CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

----- X

April 28, 2021 Start: 1:02 p.m. Recess: 2:55 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing (Virtual Room 2)

B E F O R E: Ben Kallos CHAIRPERSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Inez Barron
James Gennaro
Mark Gjonaj
Bill Perkins
Helen Rosenthal
Diana Ayala

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Michelle Jackson, Executive Director Human Service Council

Catherine Trapani, Executive Director Homeless Services United

Nora Moran, Director of Policy and Advocacy United Neighborhood Houses

David Cohen, Deputy Political Director 32 BJ

Michael Polenberg, Vice President of Governmental Affairs Safe Horizons

Nicole McVinua, Director of Policy Urban Pathways

Tierra Labrada, Senior Policy Analyst Supportive Housing Network of New York

Nadia Chait, Director of Policy and Advocacy Coalition for Behavioral Health

Molly Krakowski, Senior Director of Governmental Affairs JASA

Towaki Komatsu, New York City Resident

Charmaine Lathan, member 32 BJ

Monique Smith, member 32 BJ

Amber Drummond, member 32 BJ

Anthony Kenna, member 32 BJ

Francisco Batista, member 32 BJ

Shaquille Shepherd, member 32 BJ

Bernice Carter, member 32 BJ

Tracey Holmes, member 32 BJ

Nefertiti Elwoods, member 32 BJ

Juan Reyes, member 32 BJ

Raphael Cruz, member
32 BJ

Quintana Omed [sp?], member 32 BJ

Terri Batson, member 32 BJ

Kofe Shutawae [sp?], member
32 BJ

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Sergeants, if we can begin the records. PC recording is underway.

 $\label{eq:SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Recording to the cloud} % \begin{center} \begin{cen$

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Back up is rolling.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Sergeant Biondo, take
us away.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon,
everyone, and welcome to today's remote New York City
Council hearing for the Committee on Contracts. At
this time, will all panelists please turn on their
video for verification purposes? To minimize
disruptions, please place all electronic devices to
vibrate or silent mode. If you would like to submit
testimony, please send via email to
testimony@Council.NYC.gov. Again, that is
testimony@Council.NYC.gov. Thank you for your
cooperation. Chair Kallos, we are ready to begin.
Chair, you're on mute.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Good afternoon.

Welcome to this virtual hearing of the New York City

Council's Committee on Contracts. My name is Ben

Kallos and I am Chair of this committee. For those

of you who are watching locally, please feel free to

2 participate in the meeting by tweeting me @BenKallos. Before we dive in to today's hearing, I'd like to 3 4 acknowledge that we've been joined by Council member 5 Gjonaj as well as Council member Ayala. Today, we will be hearing three bills all geared towards 6 7 improving working conditions for the city's hardworking non-profit human service provider 8 workers. The first bill, Introduction 1995, 9 sponsored by Council member Ayala, seeks to improve 10 the training requirements for security quards 11 employed to work at our homeless shelters. 12 Specifically, this bill would require all contracted 13 14 shelter operators to ensure that all security guards 15 working at Department of Homeless Services shelters 16 receive 40 hours of training after they were hired, 17 including 10 hours of shelter specific training as 18 well as an eight hour annual refresher course. 19 second bill we are gathering feedback on today comes 20 from Council member Moya and also relates to security guards working at homeless shelters. If enacted, 21 22 Introduction 2006 would require any entities 23 operating shelters pursuant to contracts with the 24 city to pay these security guard workers a prevailing 25 wage. Finally, legislation we've been working on for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quite some time, Introduction 2137, would extend the prevailing wage measure to all human service workers providing services pursuant to a city contract. Each year, the city contracts with human service providers to deliver a range of social services, including service for seniors, foster care, after school care, mental health counseling, shelter, and housing programs, food assistance, to about 3 million New Yorkers and yet these workers face their own financial hardship, as you may have read about. Wages within non-profit service providers who contract with the city are devastatingly low and, sadly, sometimes the service provider workers are so underpaid that they, themselves, or forced into relying on the same public assistance programs they help to provide. [Inaudible 00:03:12], for example, work closely with the New York Times and Catherin Trapani and Josh Dean at Human NYC to uncover numerous stories of homeless shelter workers who are, themselves, facing closing and unsecured living in homeless shelter and it's not good enough. For far too long, these workers have carried out the work of delivering essential services which, during Covid 19, were more important than ever without being fairly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

compensated and it is time to move beyond the heartfelt thanks and appreciation and even applause for the workers to a prevailing wage. And what we are talking about here is it isn't the nonprofits fault. The city actually sets the wages in the contracts. The city is actually forcing these wages down to try to cut costs wherever they can in, so often, that it is on the backs of our nonprofit workers. And so the city can and must do better. prevailing wage simply must become the standard and, if we have to enact laws to enforce it, then we will, which is why we are introducing -- Sorry. Why we are hearing these bills today. Now, I wanted to also note that we are still going through a pandemic. are seeing incredible financial difficulties. city received a lot of support from the federal and state government. Our human services providers actually faced a cut during the pandemic and one of the issues being that we actually just last week announced restoring that cut to indirect services and we have to make sure that we keep our nonprofit providers going. And another big piece of this is whatever we pass in the Council cannot be unfunded mandates. We need to baseline this funding in the

4

2 Council and in the budget to make sure that we can pay for it. We can't just tell the nonprofit 3 providers to do more with less which is a frequent I would like to thank all the providers 5 refrain. 6 that are joining us today and, before we begin the 7 testimony, I would like to take a moment to thank the Contracts Committee staff, our outgoing legislative 8 counsel, Josh Kingsley, who is filling in while our 9 returning legislative counsel, Alex Polinov, was on 10 paternity leave. And I am so grateful that our staff 11 at the Council is taking we. Here, at the Council, I 12 believe we offered 12 weeks leave, plus an additional 13 14 four weeks which, coupled together, becomes 16 weeks 15 and I am seeing the Council not that that is, in 16 fact, the case. I also want to thank our policy 17 analyst, Leah Scrupiak, who has really been stepping 18 up. Our financial analyst, Frank Sarno, and finance 19 unit head, Jon Russell, for all their hard work. 20 want to just speak about prevailing wage because people might not know what it is. I am a labor 21 22 lawyer. There are different wages. There is the 23 minimum wage, federally. That is around seven 24 dollars. Here in New York City, it's \$15. Not so 25 around the state of New York. There is a living wage

which is something that can be bargained for which
is, theoretically, higher than the minimum wage.
Here in New York City, the living wage is lower than
the minimum wage. And then there is the prevailing
wage. Prevailing wage is determined by state law and
it has the comptroller go to a field to find all the
people who perform a specific task should we then
what would be a bargaining unit or what would be a
title and find out what the salary is in that sector.
Then they say that this is not the prevailing wage is
and that would be what goes into the contracts. In
the alternative, if 50 percent of the people in the
title were represented by a labor union, then what
ever was collectively bargained between all labor
union, the nonprofit providers, and the city in a
three-part negotiation would become the prevailing
wage. This is actually a model for how we were able
to raise wages for specific human service workers
within daycare and we were able to raise wages for
pre-k. And so, that is actually how we were able to
do it. I would like to now turn it over to Council
member Ayala followed by Council member Moya. I will
turn it over to them. Thank you.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Good afternoon and 3 thank you, Chair Kallos. I am Council member Diana 4 Ayala and I am really happy to be here today. We 5 will hear my bill, Intro 1995. As was stated earlier by Council member Kallos, this bill would require 6 7 that all Department of Homeless Service shelters security quards receive upwards of 40 hours of 8 training after they are hired, including 10 hours of 9 shelter specific training, as well as an eight hour 10 refresher training annually thereafter. While strong 11 training standards are required for security officers 12 working at city run shelters, security staff are 13 privately operated shelters are not afforded the same 14 15 level of training. In fact, three out of four of 16 these security officers are not required to be 17 trained at all. It is imperative that all staff 18 responsible for protecting residents residing in our shelters be given the tools that they need to create 19 a safe and welcoming environment. Security officers 20 protect some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. 21 22 Their work is demanding and, at times, dangerous. 23 This required training would require the best possible services to shelter clients so that the 24 25 individuals and families who use the system feel safe

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accessing the services they need to get back on their feet. We have heard from many dedicated security officers that they have deep compassion for the residents that they serve and want access to the tools that will help them do their jobs most efficiently. Officers and privately run shelters face stress not just from conditions in a challenging work site, but from the risk to their health during the coronavirus pandemic and also from employment conditions which place many of them in a position of economic instability. In this moment in time, when our city and our country is reckoning with how to enact racial justice, we must take the opportunity to look at every aspect of how we serve and support black and brown communities. The majority of the city's residents, the majority of people using the shelter system, and the majority of the security workforce that serves them are black and brown. addition to making shelters safer, this legislation will offer frontline workers an opportunity to grow their skills and to create a pathway to advancement and upward mobility, making these essential jobs better is a matter of racial and economic justice for the sake of these workers, for their families, and

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the people that they serve. I look forward to hearing from you all today and to finally getting this is bill passed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you, Council member Ayala. I couldn't have said it better. Within the human services, 80 percent of our workers are women and 80 percent of those women are women of color and, as we saw at the beginning of the pandemic, so much money was directed at big corporations and, by creating prevailing wage and having these training requirements, that should be putting the money where it needs to go for a worker led recovery. And not only that, these dollars will be going right into the pockets of people who will be spending it in our local economy. I would like to now turn it over to Francisco Moya who, perhaps, has the most realistic background. And so, I am hoping that is him, but it could just be the background for taking me out.

me, Chair. Thank you, Chair Kallos. And thank you, everyone. I am here to talk about Intro 2006. As we know, throughout this pandemic, we have seen over and over again the health and economic disparities, the

2 rising cost-of-living, in the impact on the communities that were hardest hit by Covid. 3 New York City's homeless shelter workers know what it is like 4 to be on the front lines of a crisis and that is why 5 6 they signed up. They signed up to care for New 7 Yorkers who find themselves in a personal state of emergency. They are the very people who continue to 8 make sacrifices for countless New Yorkers, especially 9 10 throughout this pandemic. For us to work towards the real recovery from Covid means that we cannot leave 11 behind those that have suffered the brunt of this 12 pandemic. How can we help New Yorkers get back on 13 14 their feet if they are barely making ends meet? 15 cannot accept private contractors taking public 16 dollars and then paying workers poverty wages without 17 meaningful benefits. Prevailing wages can mean a 18 worker doesn't have to work multiple jobs Jamaicans 19 Prevailing wages can mean that a worker has a 20 better shot of moving towards a permanent home. 21 Prevailing wages can mean a step forward to closing 22 the racial pay gaps. And while this doesn't solve 23 the issues to address the inequalities, it is a step in the right direction. It is how we will recover 24 25 from this pandemic equitably and with dignity.

Drummond, a nomeress sherter security guard expressed							
that she is just here fighting for an equitable							
standard of life that all Americans should have. We							
need to be part of this fight and this is one step							
closer to doing that. We need to step up for the							
respect and the dignity of all workers. I stand with							
Amber and I stand with all my brothers and sisters							
who are predominantly black and brown serving mostly							
black and brown New Yorkers. I want to take this							
opportunity to applaud the members of 32 BJ for their							
tireless dedication and for their fighting alongside							
me and the working class New Yorkers to build a fair							
and more equitable city and I want to thank also my							
colleagues who have signed on and for those who will							
be joining me in hoping to pass this bill. So, thank							
you very much, Chair, and thank you for the							
opportunity to speak on my bill.							

much. We're going to first hear from Michelle

Jackson at Human Services Council followed by Captain

Trapani at Homeless Services United and Norma Rand at

United Neighborhood Houses. After that, we're going

to hold our questions for those three and, at the

conclusion of the third person's testimony, we will

open it up to questions. We will then hear from
David Cohen from 32 BJ, take questions. We will then
hear from SHNNY, Safe Horizons, Urban Pathways, JASA,
and the Coalition for Behavioral Health, take
questions. For those three groups, we will have a
five minute clock on speakers and then, after that,
we have about 20 people signed up to speak and there
will be a two minute clock and we will reserve
questions until those folks have all had a chance to
speak. So there will be five opportunities for folks
to ask questions and bill sponsors will have a 10
minute clock on their questions and all other Council
members will have a five minute clock. And I will
turn it over to committee counsel.

Kallos. My name is Alex Polinov, counsel to the Contracts Committee of the New York City Council.

Before we begin testimony, I would like to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called upon to testify, at which point you will be unmuted by the host. I will be calling on panelists to testify individually, so please listen for your name to be called. During the hearing, if a Council member would like to ask a question, please use the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in order. As the Chair mentioned, we will be limiting Council member questions to 10 minutes for the bill sponsors, which includes the time it takes for the panelists to answer the questions and five minutes for the other committee members. Please not that for the ease of this virtual hearing, there will not be a second round of questioning outside of questions from the committee Chair. All hearing participants should submit their testimony to testimony@Council.NYC.gov. Since the administration is not present, we will now turn directly to public testimony. I would like to remind everyone that, unlike during our typical Council hearings, we will be calling on these individuals one by one to testify. Each panelist, as the Chair mentioned, will be given to minutes to speak and please begin once the sergeant started the timer. Council members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the zoom raise hand function and, again, we will call on you after the panelists have completed their testimony. For the panelists themselves, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the sergeantat-arms will set the timer give you the go-ahead to

will now turn to--

- begin. Please wait for the sergeant to make this
 announcement before delivering your testimony. We
 - CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Just a quick clarification. Five minutes for the first panelists for nonprofits in large organizations and then we will go back to two minutes for individuals.
- COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Great. Thank you,

 Chair. As the Chair mentioned, we will turn-- we

 will begin testimony with Michelle Jackson followed

 by Catherine Trapani and then Nora Moran. Ms.

 Jackson, you may begin as soon as the sergeant calls

 time.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

MICHELLE JACKSON: Great. Thank you so much. Good afternoon, Chairperson Kallos, members of the New York City Council Committee on Contracts, and other Council members who have joined today. My name is Michelle Jackson. I am the Executive Director of the Human Services Council, a membership organization representing over 170 human service organizations in New York City and they range in services from childcare to senior services, mental health services, homeless services, and everything in between. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first really want to thank you Chair Kallos, and that Council members here today for your work in helping pass the restoration of indirect funding and baselining for next year. That is a huge win for the sector. Council member Kallos, you have been a real champion for this and we really appreciate your work. And all of the Council members who really To this issue alive. It is definitely in the weeds and, but real important money and you will hear from members today who will testify also about, you know, how much that money means to them. So, a huge win for us. Unfortunately, the cuts to indirect are not the only crisis that demands an immediate solution in the human services sector. The need to invest in the human services workforce is amendments and something that the Human Services Council and other coalitions you will hear from today have talked about over the years city contracts currently pay essential human services workers poverty wages which is simply an outrage. Tax dollars, in particular, should not be asked to fund poverty level wages and there must be a dedicated effort at the city level to lift all of the salaries and this is not, by the way, not just a city issue. It is also a state issue that we are also

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advocating for at the state level. HSC is in support of any effort to lift wages of not just human services workers, but any labor force that is not paid an equitable wage. The Human Services sector exists to eliminate poverty and one way to do that is to pay equitable wages across sectors. The human services workforce is primarily women and people of color who do lifesaving work as we have seen in Covid in our communities and have seen low and stagnant wages for decades. Establishing a prevailing wage could be an important vehicle to move to higher and more appropriate wages established not using current salary levels, but comparable salary levels in government and the private sector. The average human services worker is paid between 20 and 40 percent less than they would if they were employed by government or in hospitals or the private sector. So, while we support the prevailing wage for all human services workers, we are very-- you know, the wage schedule would be very important because the wage schedule only looks that current salaries. It will just kind of create a system of poverty wages for the human services workforce. If the city is ready to make that necessary adjustment and then also

2 fund this on human services contracts, this will be a huge positive step for human services workers. 3 isn't a wage schedule for human services workers. 4 Government sets the salaries on contracts or doesn't set them, but certainly doesn't provide enough money 6 7 in the contract to pay equitable wages and, in fact, we've had some providers who have one RFPs with the 8 city and been told that they pay their workers too 9 much on certain contracts in those have to be 10 adjusted and usually downward. So, we are in full 11 support of a prevailing wage for human services 12 workers that does holistic we so that we do not 13 14 support the bill only for shelter workers or shelter 15 security guards because we need to have a holistic 16 view of the human services sector. And we need to raise wages across all salary lines and staff lines. 17 If you do want at a time, you create further 18 19 disparity and organizations and, if it is also unfunded, it creates an unfunded mandate on 20 organizations who are already struggling before 21 22 Covid, certainly during Covid more so to make ends 23 meet. If the city is ready with this prevailing wage bill to create a schedule that lists the wages of all 24 25 human services workers then make the necessary

investment to fund this on government contracts
and, by the way, when you find it on government
contracts, nonprofit still have to come to the table
with their own private fundraising part of city
contracts, but many are willing to make that decision
in order to lift up the wages of their workers. It
is something that they absolutely want to work in
partnership with the city with. So, if the city is
ready to make that necessary investment, it well,
perhaps, be the largest investment nationally in the
sector and would help ensure fair and equitable wages
for essential workers that will uplift our
communities most impacted by Covid 19 and better
prepare our city for the next disaster. Human
services workers were out there from day one keeping
people safe at home and alive and they have not been
rewarded for their work in a meaningful way. We have
seen the disparities of it being a women centered,
people of color centered workforce exacerbated during
Covid and they couldn't stay home while others could
and so, in closing, I just want to, again, thank you,
Chair Kallos, for creating this legislation and for
an important step forward in talking about the

21 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

22

23

24

25

CATHERINE TRAPANI: Thank you so much and I will never mind pausing to say thank you to Council member Rosenthal for helping with indirect and I owe a lot of thanks to you, Chair Kallos. So,

2 thank you very much. My name is Catherine Trapani. I am the Executive Director at Homeless Services 3 United and we are a coalition of about 50 nonprofit 4 5 agencies surveying homeless and at risk adults and families in New York City. Every day are member 6 7 programs work with thousands of homeless families and individuals preventing shelter entry whenever 8 possible and working to and homelessness through 9 counseling, social services, healthcare, legal 10 services, and public benefit assistance among many 11 other support. We are really grateful to you, Chair 12 Kallos, and members of this committee and the Council 13 14 and your commitment to supporting our workforce and 15 for everyone and everything that you do and your 16 leadership on homeless issues in general. I think 17 that we are going to disagree a little bit on the 18 mechanisms, but on the merits, we are with you. Our 19 workers work incredibly hard and have been here as 20 that deserved to be fairly compensated. As Michelle pointed out in her remarks, a prevailing wage 21 22 schedule doesn't actually exist for human services 23 workers, so we are concerned that this mechanism to 24 get to the wage parity in equity that we all seek may 25 not be a viable pathway to get to our shared goals.

2 Homeless Services United supports increasing wages for all human services workers and frontline staff in 3 4 our programs. For decades, the city has paid our 5 nonprofit workers a fraction of what they pay their 6 own city employees for the same work and that 7 absolutely must change. The intention of the Council to increase wages for nonprofit workers is 8 commendable and, like I said, we are just so proud of 9 10 our staff. We agree that it is time to increase their pay and wholeheartedly support the mechanism to 11 do so in your budget response. We should give 12 everybody equal of at least three percent now and set 13 14 aside a fund to reserve for wage increases that can 15 be applied on a contract by contract basis so that 16 the wages are actually funded in advance of a mandate taking place. Sorry. I'm trying to summarize. 17 18 have more detailed remarks and written testimony that 19 we will be emailing to the Council, but I think like the point is that, you know, city agencies like DHS 20 had a model budget process and in that process, they 21 22 were supposed to update the rates that shelter 23 providers were paid in order to provide high quality service. Throughout the time that we were working on 24 25 the model budget, our members repeatedly asked the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Department of Homeless Services to look at wages and we were repeatedly told know. It has been an uphill battle to get them to adjust salaries at all and to do so, you've had to prove that a job is vacant for a certain amount of time or the turnover is abnormally high and it was just a really inadequate exercise. So, I think that the Council's proposal to create a fund to set aside some money restricted to personnel cost increases and apply it on a contract by contract basis is the way that we are going to get to know more equitable wage scale because if you were to pass a bill that has a mandate for a schedule that doesn't exist, I just worry that we will never get it done. So, like we have learned a lot of lessons. I think the indirect is a good one where the city can make this promise and say, oh, we're going to do this thing and then on a whim change their minds and you are sort of stuck with a mandate and a process for calculating a rate and then no way to actually pay for it. And so, when I looked at these bills, I just had that fear that that would happen again. think that if we want to pay people, the best way to do that is to put the fund in the contracts that the contract level and baseline it and be done. So, that

is really the gist of our position. Our workers
deserve the money. We want to see it. But we have
some details in our testimony about some technical
challenges that we have with the bills as presented
that we would urge the Council to address if you
choose to go down this path to make sure that the
commitment that you are making is really real and
viable and something that can actually sustain our
workforce in the long term. So, with that, I will
and my testimony and thank you for your commitment to
our workforce and certainly, you know, answer
questions when the time comes at the end of the
panel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ms.

Trapani. We will now hear from Nora Moran, the last member this panel. At which point, we will turn to the Council members for questions. Ms. Nora Moran, you may begin when the sergeants call time.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

NORA MORAN: Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Nora Moran. I am the director of policy and advocacy at United Neighborhood Houses. I also want to echo the thanks that both Michelle and Catherine said about indirect.

2 It's really wonderful that that funding has been restored and that, you know, community based 3 organizations are able to be, you know, in a more 4 5 sustainable and financial path going forward. 6 here to testify today specifically about Intro 2137. 7 I want to share some comments and perspectives from the settlement house perspective and from UNH's 8 perspective. As folks may know, settlement houses 9 employ nearly 25,000 New Yorkers. These people often 10 live in the communities and neighborhoods that they 11 serve. Many of them have gone through and come up 12 the organizations themselves and now have decided to 13 14 commit their career to working there. UNH recently 15 conducted a study of settlement house employees which 16 the Fordham University graduate school of social 17 service where we found that a lot of these workers 18 see this work as their life's mission. They are very 19 dedicated to the settlement house model, to the 20 communities that they serve, but due to chronic underfunding, many of these employees are subsisting 21 22 on wages far below the cost of living in New York 23 City. Settlement house staff, in our study, reported that they were, quote, everything from skating by 24 25 financially to severely underpaid. They have many

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

financial debts around student debts and loans and, you know, definitely a struggle with receiving wages that are below a living wage. And so, you know, regarding 2137, we absolutely support any effort to raise wages of human services staff. We stand in solidarity with the settlement house workforce who have identified that, you know, low wages are problem as well as their leadership. And we want to testify today with comments and suggestions to strengthen 2137 should it move forward. So, the first recommendation that we would offer is that, you know, this legislation should have a section that covers the exact job title that would be covered by the Right now it doesn't list specific titles. There's a very broad range of titles in the human services world. We want to make sure that this legislation targets those positions that most need a prevailing wage and most need to be supported with a prevailing wage. So it would be, you know, great to have a little bit more detail in the bill that constitutes that out so that we are really targeting support to, you know, lower wage frontline workers who really need this additional support. The second would be adding language that would really target the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

requirements of the bill to employees whose salaries are paid for by city contracts. A lot of, you know, human service organizations, as you know, provide services through city contracts as well as state contracts, funding from the federal government, and philanthropic funds. So, right now, 2137 does not specify, you know, that the prevailing wage requirements would apply just to employees whose salaries are paid through city contract funds. We think that, you know, targeting that could help potentially ease some of the burdens that, you know, human service organizations might face and also would make sure that we were not, you know, leading New York State and the federal government off the hook for increasing funding for prevailing wages, as well. We would hope that, you know, if something like this did pass that there would be companion legislation in a similar investment made at the state level in order to make sure that, you know, the state was also supporting organizations in the right way. And the final is, you know, obviously that, you know, any prevailing wages and any higher wages that are set absolutely need to be paid for. We have seen, over and over again, that, you know, unfortunately, when

times get tough, city government cuts funding to the
human services sector. We just experienced this with
indirect and we certainly would not want a scenario
where there was a mandate to pay a prevailing wage
and no funding in the contract in order to do that.
So, we would like to, you know, see provisions in the
bill that would nullify the line item funding tests
that to that prevailing wage. You know, we would not
want a scenario where, you know, the prevailing wage
was not able to be implemented because there was no
money in the contract and, at the end of the day, you
know, that only hurts the workforce who then would
not be able to have these higher wages. And the
final point that we will just raise is actually one,
you know, relating to the current budget. It is
great that the indirect cost rate initiative was
restored. It's also important to now, you know, look
at the nonprofit workforce and look again at the need
to increase cost-of-living adjustment and include
that in the FY 22 budget and we are asking that the
FY 22 budget include a three percent cola on the
personal services of all human services contracts and
T see my time is up so thank you

2		COMM	ITTE	E COU	NSEL:		Thank	you,	Ms.
3	Moran.	We will	now	turn	back	to	Chair	Kallos	s for
4	questio	ns for th	ne pa	nel.					

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I want to thank the panel for working with us on this legislation to begin with, working to try to get it is close as possible. I understand the mandate that this can't be unfunded. I understand the mandate that it can't be just a requirement on providers to pay a prevailing wage in the absence of actually a payment and I completely support the call. So, I want to thank you and I think the lack of questions from me is just because of the fact that we have been working so well and, with five minutes to testify, we have gotten very good testimony that we can work with as well as what you have submitted. Do any other members have questions who wish to raise their hand? I would like to acknowledge we been joined by Council member Barron.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Seeing no additional questions, Chair, if it is okay with you, we will move to the next panel.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yes, please.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. Next, we will hear from David Cohen followed by Michael Polenberg and Nicole McVinua. Mr. Cohen, you may begin when the sergeants call time.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

DAVID COHEN: Great. Thank you everyone. My name is David Cohen. I'm the deputy political director at 32 BJ. I'm just getting my zoom logistics in order here. I'm reading testimony today on behalf of 32 BJ president, Kyle Bragg. 32 BJ is the largest building service union in the country with 85,000 our members living in New York City Metro area. 32 BJ strongly supports Intro 1995 and Intro These two bills provide needed and overdue reforms and working conditions of security guards that ensure the safety of the city's contract in shelter system. It is something that 32 BJ has been working on for a long time around contracted security work throughout New York City. Intro 1995 addresses the need for additional training for shelter security guards and we thank Council member Ayala for carrying that bill. And sorry if I didn't say good afternoon to Chair Kallos and members of the committee. Good afternoon. I'm trying not to read specifically.

2 Under the current practice, shelter regards may not receive more than the minimum training required under 3 law. While the legal minimum might be sufficient for 4 5 a typical business, shelter, as we know, is not a 6 typical business. According to a report by the 7 Coalition for the Homeless, the primary reason for homeless persons not returning to the shelter system 8 was safety. So, the city is commendable outreach 9 10 efforts to on housed persons will yield only small returns along with the city shelters-- excuse me. 11 Will yield only small returns so long as the 12 Sorry. city shelters are perceived to be unsafe. With 40 13 14 hours of additional training, and that is the 15 industry standard, the city shelter guards will be 16 able to provide a more secure environment for shelter residents and themselves. Crucially, in addition to 17 18 providing more advanced training that is not context 19 specific, Intro 1995 also requires training 20 specifically related to the shelter environment and that is what, you know, we're going to year from 21 22 workers later on about the need for the shelters--23 the need for this training in the shelters. 24 I'm out of time, so Intro 2006 would require shelter 25 security guards be paid prevailing wage. Security

2 guards at city run shelters are already paid the prevailing wage and some security guards all their 3 wages fall after certain shelters were privatized. 4 5 As recently as highlighted in the New York Times 6 article, the competition among contractors for city 7 contracts, while perhaps to achieve lower costs, can also create a race to the bottom on as to worker pay. 8 And I think we heard from previous panels about the 9 10 importance of paying our workers fairly, so, as a result, those working at security and in shelters may 11 also be living at a shelter or facing housing 12 insecurity. So, our shelter system is supposed to 13 14 help solve the homelessness crisis, not create 15 additional homelessness. Paying these workers a 16 prevailing wage is not oppositional to the goals of our shelter system, but furtherance of its goals. 17 18 So, lastly, these bills should ensure that those 19 workers that ensure the safety of the shelter residents in compliance with the city's fire code are 20 also covered. These workers are also we need of 21 22 family sustaining wages. Moreover, many of these 23 workers provide security services and would benefit from the additional training. So, we urge members of 24 25 the committee and the Council to pass Intro 1995 and

Intro 2006. 32 BJ strongly supports them. You're
going to hear from many workers today on why they
need the standard and why we need the training and I
greatly appreciate the committee's time and thank
you, again, to that Chairperson holding this
committee and, if I didn't think we sponsor on Intro

2006, Francisco Moya, also thank you.

- CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you so much. We have been working with 32 BJ on this issue dating back to before we had a hearing on Acacia. So, thank you. I don't have questions just because of how much work we have been doing on this. Do we have any questions from Council members? Please feel free to raise your hands if you do. Seeing nine, we will thank David Cohen from 32 BJ.
- COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair.

 We are now going to continue with the next panel.

 Next up is Michael Polenberg followed by Nicole

 McVinua and then Tiara Labrada. Mr. Polenberg, you

 may begin when the sergeants call time.

22 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

MICHAEL POLENBERG: Good afternoon,

Chair Kallos and members of the committee. Michael

Polenberg. I am vice president of government affairs

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for Safe Horizon, the nation's largest nonprofit victim services organization and I am here to testify about Intro 2137. We are grateful to the Chair for recognizing the need to address historically inadequate wages that so many staff in our sector receive. The long-standing belief, the reinforced time and again through contracts that failed to cover the full cost of service delivery that the efforts of those who feed shelter and ensure the safety of vulnerable New Yorkers can be purchased at a discount must be refuted out right. The fact that the lowest wages in our sector are so often reserved for our staff of color is a stark example of the systemic racism that is built into our contracts. These jobs are also underpaid because they are seen as women's work, a reality which compounds the role of structural racism. At Safe Horizon, our staff have continued to provide in person direct services throughout the Covid 19 pandemic to victims of violence and abuse in our domestic violence shelters, our five child advocacy centers, and our street work project for homeless youth. Where in person services couldn't be offered safely, we quickly pivoted to offer critical services remotely, including at our 24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our hotline, legal services, and many other programs. There is no question our staff deserve a salary commensurate with the difficult and complex work inherent with responding each week to children and adults with experienced harm. We greatly appreciate the intent of 2137 and we have a few questions and concerns about how it will be operationalized, some of which have already been mentioned so far. You know, we are worried when we don't see funding attached to legislation that the administration will say, fine. We will do this, but we are going to take away on the indirect cost increase that we fought so hard to get and that Council member Rosenthal and others fought so hard to win. We would hate to see the city decide to pit one of these funding sources against the other. We are not sure, but in this has been referenced today, what measures the comptroller will take to set prevailing wage schedules for our sector when no such thing exists currently and that the already low rates will be codified, further compounding the problem for the foreseeable future. And the bill considers anyone who works for human services provider, whether or not they are paid through by the city contract to be covered by this

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

you.

- 2 That means staff covered by state contracts, federal contracts, or other means. We are not sure 3 4 how operational you want would pass on a rate increase to somebody paid for by estate contract. 5 So, we urge the Council to consider these and other 6 7 questions from stakeholders in our sector. We look forward to continued discussions. I don't think in 8 all of-- you know, we have opened staff meetings at 9 Safe Horizons and quite regularly and I don't think 10 there is an issue that rises to the forefront as much 11 as the need to address salary inequities. So, we are 12 grateful to you, Chair Kallos, and to the full 13 14 Counsel for really trying to tackle this complex 15 issue and I am happy to take any questions. Thank
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Mr.

 Polenberg. Seeing no questions, I will move on to
 the next panelist. Nicole McVinua is up next
 followed by Tierra Labrada I and then Nadia Chait.

 Ms. McVinua, you may begin when the sergeants call
 time.
- 23 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
- NICHOLE MCVINUA: Good afternoon, Chair
- 25 Kallos and members of the committee. My name is

2 Nicole McVinua and I'm the director of policy at Urban Pathways. Thank you for the opportunity to 3 provide testimony today. Urban Pathways is a 4 5 nonprofit homeless services and supportive housing 6 provider. We serve single adults through street 7 outreach, drop-in services, safe havens, extended stay residences and permanent supportive housing. 8 Last year, we served over 3900 New Yorkers in need. 9 10 Wage equity in the human services sector is a critical issue. I would echo Mr. Polenberg and that 11 this is the number one issue that is raised by our 12 staff. You know, employees working for nonprofit 13 14 organizations contracted by the city have their wages 15 set at much lower rates and receive fewer benefits 16 since city employees despite providing essential services on behalf of the city. Wage equity is also 17 18 an issue of race and gender equity. 80 percent of 19 human services workers are people of color and 82 20 percent are women. Prior to the pandemic, 60 percent of the workforce qualified for some sort of public 21 22 assistance and a recent New York Times article, as 23 was mentioned earlier, chronicled individuals who work in our cities homeless shelters while also 24 25 living in them themselves. And we simply cannot

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allow this to go on any longer. It is wrong to design an industry that puts its own workforce in a position to require the very services that they provide. The poverty wages that are provided in our contracts also make hiring in maintaining employees extremely difficult and the high turnover rate of our staff is reaching a level of crisis. And this also negatively impacts, you know, the people that we serve because they build relationships with these folks that are really critical to their success. that is why we are testifying in support of Intro 2137 today. The creation of human services prevailing wage has the potential to increase wages to a more appropriate level for the essential work of the sector, but for this potential to be realized, there are several factors that need to be considered. Some have already been mentioned. The prevailing wage must be based on comparable salary levels in the government and private sector and not just on the current low wages of the human services sector that we see now because we don't want to codify those low In the sector should be included in creating the methodology for studying the prevailing wage schedules and, you know, it also must be backed up by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

full funding from contracting city agencies on both our current human services contracts and future human services contracts going forward and for current contracts, if this legislation were to pass, it would be important for amendments to be put in place prior to the law going into affect as to not place financial burden on organizations. But, we come into the comprehensive approach of Intro 2137 and that is aimed at lifting the entire sector at once and providing the necessary funding to do so. And with that, we do not support Intro 2006. Creating a prevailing wage for shelter security guards alone would only deepen the wage disparity between underpaid employees. Currently, our security staff and our cooks in our maintenance staff are all paid at similar wages and creating a prevailing wage for just security staff, we think, would really just deep in the disparity. And Intro 2006 also fails to provide a funding mechanism. So, you know, we would like to see 2137, you know, move forward. That would also accomplish the goal of raising shelter security guard wages while also uplifting the whole sector. And we would also like to comment on Intro 1995 Which requires additional training for DHS security

guards. We would like to recommend that the proposed
additional training requirements be provided by an
organization and instructors with expertise in social
services and/or mental health rather than by a
security guard training school and instructors with
security guard or law enforcement experience which is
what is currently indicated in the bill. We believe
that the additional training needed by security
guards working with people experiencing homelessness
are in de-escalation, recognizing symptoms of mental
health disorders and trauma, communicating with
people in crisis. And we think this type of training
would be better provided by social service and mental
health experts rather than, you know, folks in law
enforcement. So, we would like to recommend that
that change implemented into Intro 1995. And to
conclude, we would like to thank Chair Kallos, and
Council member Rosenthal and other members of the
Contracts Committee for championing the full funding
of the indirect cost rate initiative. We really
appreciate that and we look forward to ensuring
working with you further to ensure that our workforce
is

NICOLE MCVINUA: Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you. We're going to hold questions. Next up, we have SHNNY, JASA, and the Coalition for Behavioral Health, at which point, we will open up for Council member questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair.

So, first up is Tierra Labrada followed by Nadia

Chait and then Molly Krakowski. Ms. Labrada, you can begin when the sergeants call time.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

Good afternoon, everybody. Good afternoon, Chair
Kallos and all of the members of the City Council
Contracts Committee. It's going to be hard to say
anything different than what all of my colleagues
have said before me. I think, you know, we are all
feeling the same way. So I'm just going to jump in
my testimony. My name is Tierra Labrada. I'm the
senior policy analyst at the Supportive Housing
Network of New York. The network is a membership
organization representing the nonprofit developers
and operators of supportive housing, their staff, and

2 tenants therein. I want to thank you for the opportunity to submit comment today regarding Intro 3 2137. For years, the network and our partners have 4 called on the city Council and the administration to 5 6 recognize the deep wage inequities on city funded 7 contracts in supportive housing and the nonprofit human service sector more broadly. Our women led 8 workforce has carried the cost of economic and equity 9 for far too long and deserves bold, systemic change 10 to address this problem. We are happy that the 11 12 Council and Chair Kallos and so many others are behind us, but given historical precedents, there are 13 14 some of our members who are hesitant to support this 15 legislation for fear that, once again, the city will 16 demand compliance without appropriate compensation. However, we are optimistic that this is a step in the 17 18 right direction, provided that there are clarifications in the bill language and continued 19 partnership between our sector and the city. With 20 that, we do want to express our support for Intro 21 22 2137, but noting the following: we would like to see 23 the term the human service workforce, quote unquote, defined and expanded to include building workers who 24 25 may not fall under city contracts. We would like to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be coalitions the nonprofit community to be active participants in the process as the comptroller sets the wage schedule and, like many of my colleagues said before me, making sure that the wage schedule is not based on current wages so we do not codify those low wages. Again, fully funding the mandate, we want Intro 2137 to be fully funded. With historically underfunded contracts, there is really no room in our provider budget to cover the cost up front and so the bill should stipulate that the city must have all necessary contract amendments in place before that increased wages are paid out. Also, I think it is important to note that state contracts would not be included in this and a lot of our providers, some within even the same residences, have staff who are funded under city contracts and some who are funded on the state. So, we would like to see the city really advocate at the state level to ensure that these inequities get addressed there, too. With regards to Intro 2006, like Nicole said right before me and Catherine before, we don't want to support a standalone bill that only raises wages for one type of worker. Shelter staff, including security and other building workers should be included under Intro

2 2137. And, with that, I am done. I thank you for

the opportunity and we look forward to ensure proper

compensation for our workforce. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ms.

Labrada. Next, we will hear from Nadia Chait

followed by Molly Krakowski and then Towaki Komatsu.

Ms. Chait, you may begin when the sergeants call

time.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

NADIA CHAIT: Good afternoon, Chair Kallos and members of the Council and thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I'm Nadia Chait, the director of policy and advocacy at the Coalition for behavioral health. We have about 100 community based mental health and substance use providers as our members who collectively serve over 600,000 New Yorkers. And this has been a year where their services are in demand more than ever before and where they are dealing with a society that has experienced such amendments trauma and yet we know that our workforce, you know, as all of the other coalitions have mentioned today that the workforce that is handling these problems is deeply underpaid and that, as we have asked them to not only deal with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Covid in their own lives, but the help all of New York through this message pandemic and that were asking them to do this for some claps instead of a living wage and instead of truly thanking them by compensating them appropriately. So, we strongly support the efforts to raise the wages for that sector. You know, it's a critical issue and, for our sector, our low wages contribute to a substantial vacancy crisis and turnover crisis. We operate with about 20 percent vacancy in most programs and 40 percent annual turnover. Yesterday, the city announced plans to hire new social workers for schools which is wonderful and my members are also now deeply concerned that the city moving to expand mental health services will actually result in a contraction because we know that the city will pay far more for these positions then our members are able to provide. And so, they will lose capacity in the community. So, we very much appreciate the attention to this issue and the acknowledgment that the low wages are not coming from the nonprofits themselves, but are coming from the city and the rates that the city sets and contracts. But I would mirror many of the concerns that other folks have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

raised in terms of just really ensuring sufficient funding for this and that this is adequately funded across the board on contracts. We are concerned about how this would apply to work at covered-- to employees at covered employers but who don't work on city contracts. In our sector, much of the funding comes through Medicaid-- as well as some funding from commercial insurance and state contracts and while all of those staff, of course, should receive a higher wage, we want to make sure that this is adequately funded to allow that or not encompassing of those wage streams. And then I would certainly agree with all of my colleagues concerns that we want to make sure that a prevailing wage scale would not codify the current low wages of the sector. And so, we would want to ensure that, in the development of the wage scale that we would look to the wages that the city pays its own employees as well as, in our case, the wages of, you know, hospitals and managedcare companies and other organizations in the private sector to ensure the wage scale that does not codify low wages. But, again, we really appreciate that Council's attention to this issue and the work that you all put it on indirects. You know, it is

2.1

wonderful to have Council members who really
understand the difficulties of our sector and the
challenges that we face and are looking to support

our workforce. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ms.

Chait. We will next hear from Molly Krakowski

followed by Towaki Komatsu. Ms. Krakowski, you can

begin when the sergeants call time.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is Molly Krakowski. I am the senior director of government affairs at JASA. I would like to thank Council member Kallos and the members of the committee for the opportunity to testify today. We really welcome the opportunity to share some of our concerns regarding Intro 2137. JASA is a nonprofit organization serving older adults across New York City. We have over 40,000 individuals that we serve and programs in Manhattan, Brooklyn, Bronx, and Queens with a wide range of services. The intent of Intro 2137 which seeks to establish prevailing wage requirements for city contracted human services workers is a very positive event, unfortunately, JASA cannot support the legislation as it is currently

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

written. The human service provider community will attest to the remarkable workforce providing critical services to the most vulnerable while simultaneously burdened in their own minds with inadequate wages. For years, JASA and our colleagues in the nonprofit sector have urged the city to increase contract funding to provide appropriate salaries and salary parity across and within city agencies, as well as to institute an annual cost-of-living adjustment for the contracted workers. For example, throughout the pandemic, these individuals have worked the critical front line in the field traveling to the client homes and to work sites in order to ensure the safety of our clients. We have continuously asked to the administration to recognize our staff as essential workers and compensate them appropriately. failing to provide funds to compensate essential social service workers who are predominantly women of color and immigrants, the city contributes to the very problems of inequality and financial insecurity that we seek to address. JASA recognizes that Council member Kallos and the sponsors of Intro 2137 have the very best intentions for the human services employees, however, as it is written, Intro 2137

2 leaves the financial burden in the hands of the nonprofit providers and there is just no way that the 3 human services community can absorb the cost of the 4 prevailing wage. The financial obligation lies 5 exclusively with the administration. While there may 6 7 be temptations to pass a prevailing wage and work out the details later, and unfunded mandate to pay 8 prevailing wage will devastate our chronically 9 10 underfunded budget. Each year, agencies must supplement government contracts with private and 11 12 philanthropic dollars to make up for the gaps in our program budgets. JASA turns to the New York City 13 14 Council annually to help and help you do through 15 discretionary funds and Council initiatives, adding 16 nearly one and a half million dollars to our budget 17 and we still have a gap. And while this week we 18 learned that the administration will follow through 19 on its promise to pay contracted agencies the approved indirect rate and we're very grateful for 20 that and those are for services in FY 21 and FY 22, 21 22 it's important to recognize that it took two years of 23 advocacy to see the promised funds from FY 20 put into the executive budget. JASA is appreciative of 24 25 the support of the Council for the human services

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

workforce and we will eagerly support future

legislation for decreased wages in the human services

contracts once the language makes it clear that the

city is responsible for the funding and we look

forward to your leadership on this issue when we

thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony

and look forward to working and continuing to work

with the city Council and the administration as a

valued partner. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you. We are going to conclude this group of people that we were holding questions. We are going to ask any Council members who have questions to raise their hand before we move to the next group of testimony. I just want to echo the sentiments. I agree that we do have contracts where there are city and state funding sources and that, if we did a prevailing wage at the city level, we would need to immediately go to Albany and perhaps even contemporaneously get all of them to agree to manage the city, although I will say that it becomes a little bit of a chicken and egg scenario and conversations with some providers, if we get the prevailing wage here, it may be easier to get it up there. And then, in the same guys as the fact that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we are considering doing prevailing wage for the security workers, I believe that-- I'm not sure anyone is questioning whether or not that would be funded or if it would be an unfunded mandate, but, in the same way as being security workers would have to be funded, the same thing goes with any mandate with In terms of that concern about the prevailing wage. being walked into the low wages because the statute for the state requires a survey of existing working conditions, I hear that sentiment completely and hope that there is a way through bargaining and, if there are other solutions, I will be reviewing the testimony to try to find that. I'm seeing if there are any other questions or remarks. Seeing none, I want to thank the representatives for so many of our cities nonprofits and many of you represent coalition groups that represent all of them, perhaps even overlap. So, I want to thank you all for coming out. Please stay in touch because I believe that a lot of this legislation is moving quickly and I want to make sure that we make sure that your voices are heard as part of the budget conversation. I am now going to call, and we are going to have a two minute clock. We have Towaki Komatsu who are seen at a number of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- hearings I'm always happy to welcome him. I wanted
 to make sure that we brought him up as an individual
 before we bring up the next panel which will be
 considerably longer. You will have two minutes.

 Chairs you wish and thank you for joining us and
- 6 Chairs you wish and thank you for joining us and 7 coming to so many city Council hearings.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: Hi. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We can.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

The agenda for this TOWAKI KOMATSU: hearing is to, I guess, determination whether to raise the wages for security workers in shelters. Yesterday, I visited the headquarters of Urban Pathways. I gave them a copy of the motion that I gave to the Second Circuit yesterday for authorization to file a motion in excess of their page limits meaning I have a deadline of May 10 to submit a motion by. I also have an oral arguments. With the Appellate Division first apartment on May 10 against HRA. So, I've had conversations with Mr. Kallos previously, as well as Ms. Rosenthal. assaulted in want of Urban Pathways facilities only because of the fact that HRA and Urban Pathways jointly committed a criminal bait and switch with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regards to an apartment lease agreement. That was after an attempted assault. I had a conversation with the Bronx DA last month. That phone call was recorded on audio. He told me that he subpoenaed one of Urban Pathways' workers to testify at trial. worker did not appear. Bronx criminal court judge, Corey Weston, was aware of that and did no compel that person to appear. So, I guess, with regards to today's hearing and the fact that we are still in a pandemic, why the heck are you guys considering giving Urban Pathways more funding whatso ever when people are being assaulted in the facilities when that assault has severe repercussions that I have discussed with you previously? I also got discovery [inaudible 01:01:26] by federal lawsuit against the city on February 1st. I think we have subpoena power. I read something recently about subpoenaing records. Do you want to issue a subpoena to the New York City law department to get you what I got on February 1st? Because judge Gabriel Gorenstein won't let me talk about that due to a confidentiality order that he issued on January 15th of this year. He used to be the general counsel of HRA in the 1990s. so, thing about it. I've had litigation against HRA

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 since 2016 and then, when I get to federal court, I
- 3 have to go against its former general counsel. So,
- 4 | like I said, with regards to--

all this has been about?

- 5 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
- TOWAKI KOMATSU: Close out, can you issue that subpoena to get those records and then see what

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you for testifying. Thank you for your work and I'm so sorry for what you went through. Subpoenas are an act of last resort. I can request certain documents. as with any time we see you -- or I see you and you share something that is as disturbing as you have shared, I will follow my mandatory reporting requirements and share with the Department of Investigations which I also know you have not been thrilled with them, either. But thank you for joining us. I'd like to-- we now have about 15 to 20 folks who will be testifying. Because of the fact that everything is remote, my understanding is that they already the space that is a rowboat with telecasting capabilities and videoconferencing, but it seems like it might be impractical for us to try to call people individually as folks will be all on

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 58 2 one camera. So, I believe we have about 15 people. We are doing a two minute limit per speaker, but for 3 4 the sake of convenience, we are just going to run one 5 30 minute clock for everyone present on the 32 BJ team zoom. So, if that works, if we can get the 6 7 camera on and let the audio on from the 32 BJ zoom-and does that sound satisfactory to folks that 32 BJ 8 team zoom? Can we unmute them, please? 9 10 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: One moment, Chair. 11
 - We are trying to unmute them. We should note, though, to the folks at 32 BJ, for each person who speaks individually, to please state your name before you begin speaking.

UNIDENTIFIED: Good afternoon.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Let's hold the clock while we work out the technical difficulty for a moment.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Yep. Got you.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And we will leave them unmuted while they figure that out. Sorry. You are currently muted. We're asking you to unmute.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: 32 BJ, you need to unmute when we give you the request. There you go.

24

25

_	COMMITTEE ON CONTINUED
2	UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible 01:04:56]
3	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Major echo.
4	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: There is a second
5	32 BJ team zoom which we have just asked to unmute.
6	And it seems as though we've lost that You are
7	currently unmuted in your main zoom.
8	CHARMAIN LATHAN: Good afternoon.
9	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Perfect. We will
10	start the clock. Please hold.
11	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
12	CHARMAIN LATHAN: My name is Charmain
13	Lathan. I am a shelter security guard at Travel and
14	Family Shelter. I have been working as a shelter
15	security guard for over a year and I strongly support
16	Intros 1995 and 2006 which would raise up standards
17	for workers like me. I love working in the shelter
18	system. I have been in the client's shoe until
19	November 2020. I was living in a homeless shelter
20	with myself and my three daughters. I was working
21	full time as a shelter security guard, but I still
22	had no way of affording a place of my own for me and
23	my children. It wasn't until I received the public

housing voucher that I was able to move out into an

apartment in Harlem. The reality of private shelter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jobs are clear. We still have to rely on public housing or other government services to simply afford a living and put a roof over out heads. We don't get paid enough and we do not have the necessity -- the necessary health benefits to sustain ourselves, either. I was on Medicaid when I had to have a hysterectomy. We work in stressful environments and perform jobs that are, at times, very dangerous but when it comes to having the wages and the benefits to sustain ourselves, we are only a few dollars away from many of the clients that we serve. Just because I was fortunate enough to get a housing voucher doesn't mean I am living a comfortable life. My youngest daughter, who is 16, has dreams of going to college. If we had good paying jobs and higher salaries, I could save up some money to put towards her education. I am barely able to pay all of my bills on time. Every paycheck can be the difference between going -- Sorry. Could be the difference between going into the shelter system or holding on to my apartment. Security guards protect the welfare of our shelter neighbors and staff and allow vital social services to be provided in a fake environment. The city shelter system is the largest in the

Please start.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 country. Security guards working at shelters managed by private operations under contracts with the city 3 4 are currently excluded from the prevailing wage law and are not covered by the same training 5 requirements. Without these standards, there is 6 7 nothing to ensure that privately run shelters are providing decent wages, benefits, and training 8 opportunities to security workers. I ask the Council 9 to pass these bills without delay. The Safety in our 10 Shelters Acts will make a real difference in my life 11 and the lives of my three daughters. I know what it 12 feels like to be homeless. In order to help these 13 14 who are living in shelters, I need the training to 15 address critical situations and the safety net of 16 living wages and health insurance. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The clock is 18 running if the next person can please join us.

MONIQUE SMITH: Yes. Hi. My name is

Monique Smith. I am a shelter security guard at

Manhattan Times Square Family shelter. I've been

working as a shelter security guard for three years.

I strongly support Intros 1995 and 2006 which will

raise up standards for workers like me. When I was

2 pregnant, I moved out of my parent's house. I was in a shelter system until I received my first apartment. 3 Currently, I live with my 10 year old daughter in a 4 5 one bedroom apartment in public housing. I make 16.50 an hour and this isn't enough to sustain a life 6 7 in New York City. I rely on Medicaid for healthcare. Through 2020, the Covid 19 pandemic has devastated 8 the city I call home and it has also pushed our city 9 10 to a breaking point. We have been working on the frontline this entire pandemic. It's time we were 11 paid a fair wage. Because of my experience, I 12 understand how important it is for New York City to 13 14 improve the standards of the city shelter system and 15 expand access to permanent affordable housing. But 16 how can you address homelessness and poverty while creating jobs that don't allow people to get by in 17 18 this city without public assistance? Security guards 19 are vital to keeping shelters safe and are necessary 20 for shelters to operate. The city's shelter system 21 is the largest in the country. We can and should do 22 better. When we make these jobs good jobs, we make 23 We help lift people out of poverty shelters safer. and depending on social services. All cards working 24 25 at any shelter contracted by the city should have

access to affordable healthcare and access to real trading. Guards did not all city contracted shelters should be provided with decent wages. I asked the Council to pass this bill without delay. The safety and our shelters will make a real difference in my life. I would pay my bills, be able to take care of my kid. Right now, with the money we have, I cannot afford anything. I would be able to afford more school supplies for my child. It would also mean having access to better training so that shelters would be safer.

AMBER DRUMMOND: Hello, everyone. Thank you for your attendance and your time. My name is Amber Drummond. I work and I live in the shelter. I work in Queens at a shelter by JFK. I work at Brookville Holiday Inn. So, after long days of work, I go home— Well, go to the shelter and I try and relax and I look for housing and I've been working in the industry for over two years and I strongly support 1995/2006 to raise awareness and raise standards for workers like myself. In addition to that, it's for a better training. I actually make 16.50 an hour and it is not enough to lift myself out of the shelter system. And decent wages would mean

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I can actually save up enough to move out somewhere else without any subsidies. As a shelter officer, we work in dangerous environments, highly stressful environment. Shelters security officers protect the welfare of our shelter neighbors and staff and allow vital services to be provided in a safe environment. Within the last year, I suffered multiple injuries at work including an open wound near my I and a rotator cuff breaking up a fight between staff and residents. In order to de-escalate dangerous interactions between clients and to protect ourselves better, we need access to the real and continuous training. In addition, we need affordable healthcare that these bills will provide us. cannot remember the last time I went to see a doctor, even through the pandemic. I rely on teas and herbal remedies to keep myself while unable to fight another If we cannot keep ourselves healthy and aware of our environment, we will all fail. So, today, I'm asking you to support us. In addition, we need affordable healthcare and the raising of wages. Security officers at the shelters managed my private operators under contract with the city are currently excluded from prevailing wages and are not covered by

the same training requirements. Without these
standards, there is nothing to ensure that privately
run shelters are providing decent wages, benefits, or
training opportunities. And, without these
standards, I don't see a way for our shelters to be
safe or for me to get out of the shelter. As private
security officers, we cannot afford to live in New
York City. Many of us work overtime and we still
remain homeless or at risk of losing you know her
home. We are the working poor of the city because
our jobs don't provide our families sustainable
wages, healthcare, or training that we need. I asked
the Council to pass bills without delay. With the
safety and our shelters, can finally afford a place
to call home which is just a tiny home, by the way.
Thank you for your time, guys.

Anthony Kenna and I am a shelter security guard at Cliff Hotel. It's the family shelter. I strongly support Intros 1995 and 2006 because raising the standards for workers like me is long overdue. I have been working as a shelter security guard now for 13 years. I went to work through the pandemic on the front lines commuting for an hour and a half each way

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on public transit to do my job to help keep shelter client safe. I asked the Council to take action to pass these bills. We need this. We need this. safety of our shelter acts will make a difference in my life currently. I am behind on my rent. If I pay my rent, then I can't buy groceries. Sometimes I have to make sacrifices. When I am sacrificing on groceries, I am undernourished, but if I don't pay my rent, all be further into debt. I have no health coverage. I have no health coverage and I can't afford regular visits to maintain my health and take care of my medical conditions. We deserve to be basically respected. We deserve the basic respect of the job that doesn't keep us in poverty. We need the basic respect of a job that really won't keep us in poverty and give access to affordable healthcare and real training. Sometimes I feel like they speak to us like we are slaves. We deserve to be included under the prevailing wage law. As New York City works to make sure that everyone has access to a safe shelter, the security guards who work to secure the shelters should not be left out of the picture. work should have the same training requirements as the guards working in the city-- that the guards

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

working at the city run shelters do. We work with

people suffering from PTSD and other mental health

issues. Please help us to receive the training we

need to better de-escalate situations and provide a

FRANCISCO BATISTA: My name is

Francisco Batista. Thank you for the opportunity to

safe environment for our clients.

testify today in support of these two important bills for shelter and security guards I have worked at shelter security for 10 years. I currently work for Acacia at a [inaudible 01:21:33] Women's Shelter in Long Island City. I also live in Queens with my wife and nine-year-old daughter. Micro shelters serve the mentally ill and chemically addicted. Working with this client population can be demanding on security staff. We often deal with the difficult and high right situations like intervening to settle clients with arguments and protect clients when fights break. Fortunately, I haven't been injured but sometimes it feels unsafe and we don't get enough training from the employers on how to deal with these incidents. I'm a professional in the security industry and I know that other jobs aren't like this. I currently work a second security job in a commercial office

2 building in Manhattan. There, we get all the training we need for what we are likely to encounter 3 4 on site. We understand the protocols and use 5 logbooks to keep track of incidents and issues when 6 we do our inspections. This doesn't happen at the 7 shelter. Prior to working at this shelter site, I worked at an apartment building used as a temporary 8 homeless shelter. The contractor there paid the 9 industry-- paid the wages and benefits. 10 opinion, the security standards were much better. 11 took a pay cut when that site was closed down and I 12 started working and now over \$18 with benefits to 13 14 \$16.50 with no benefits. I'm just lucky that I have 15 health insurance from my other job at the office 16 building where we have a union. My shelter is always understaffed. It's no wonder they find it hard to 17 18 recruit and retain guards when they don't treat us like professionals. I've completed qualifications to 19 20 be [inaudible 01:23:34] safety director. There needs to be someone with this credential on the site 24 21 22 hours a day, but I don't get paid any extra for it. 23 In the security industry, the FSLE position is well respected and considered a good career progression, 24 25 but when shelter operators are trying to make savings

2 on the backs of workers, this respect is forgotten.

3 We need the city to ensure that the shelter security

4 contractors are privately managed sites and pay the

5 same prevailing wages and benefits that guards and

6 FLSE earn at city managed sites. We are the

7 professionals working to protect vulnerable New

8 Yorkers. There shouldn't be any difference in our

9 pay or our abilities to support our families. I urge

10 your support for Safely in our Shelter Acts. Thank

11 you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

SHAOUILLE SHEPHERD: Hello. My name is Shaquille Shepherd and I am a shelter security quard at Quality Inn Long Island City. I have been working as a shelter security quard for almost three years. I strongly support Intros 1995 and 2006 which will raise up standards for workers like me. myself, and formerly homeless and live with my mother in a shelter. The pandemic has devasted our communities but we kept working as essential workers on the front line. We deserve to be paid a fair Often, clients would refuse to wear masks and when I reported the issue, no action was taken, even though this puts both clients and workers at greater

risk and makes me feel unsafe. As I make my way home

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the buss, it takes two hours to get home at night and I have to wonder if I am bringing Covid back home to my mother. She is unable to work. disabled. She has a long list of heart problems and high blood pressure. We got lucky and very fortunate that we have a section 8 apartment. We split the rent and sometimes I try to make her comfortable and help her with food and give her a little extra so that she has some money left in her pocket. With a little bit more in wages, I could have more financial security and I could also pay off my student loans. We also need access to affordable healthcare and access to real training. Right now, we are not excluded from the same training requirements as guards working at other shelters. We need this, not just for guards and our families, but also to make sure we raise standards for shelter security and Proper protocols will be followed to report problems when they happen, but a training would help us prevent fights from happening so that guards would act faster. Without these standards, there's nothing to ensure that privately run shelters are providing decent wages, benefits, and training opportunities to security workers. I have no health insurance and,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under the new legislation, I would be able to get

coverage. The Safety in our Shelter Acts is needed.

Thank you.

BERNICE CARTER: Good afternoon. My name is Bernice Carter. I work as a guard for over three I work in the Bronx. We provide services for men and women, some disabled, some struggling with drugs or addiction. I am asking you today to support the Safety in our Shelter Acts. Improving the shelter system and jobs of security jobs is personal I've also been homeless myself only two years to me. ago. It was the hardest years of my life. I've done everything as far as continue working and [inaudible 01:27:48]. I also volunteered and took training to improve such as getting my mental health certificate just to work better with clients that have mental health issues. It's important to me that the company reimburse me for some of my skills that I've learned to help the shelter. Through my training experience, I was able to build relationships with clients and diffuse situations. This helps the shelter run smoothly and get me home safely at the end of my shift. Okay. Earning a prevailing wage would make a massive difference in my life. It will mean a pay

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

increase that will help me pay my bills that
[inaudible 01:28:40] work. I recently have been
positive for Covid in February. My company made me
use my sick time, but I ended up losing income and
falling behind on my rent. It was only because of
the Covid stimulus check that I was able to make it
up. Shelter guards like me are dedicated to
improving our jobs and building good lives for our
families and ourselves. I urge you to give us this
bill and your support so that we have the training,
paid benefits

TRACEY HOLMES: Good afternoon. My name is Tracey Holmes and I am a shelter security guard at the Confidence Sunset Men's Shelter. I have been working as a shelter security guard for almost a year. I strongly support Intros 1995 and 2006 which will raise the standards for workers like me.

Through 202, the Covid 19 pandemic has devastated the city I call home. With so many New Yorkers losing their lives and many others losing their jobs. It has also pushed our city to a breaking point. We have been working on the frontlines through this entire pandemic raising our lives—risking our lives and risking spreading Covid to our families.

For much of the pandemic, we came to work day in, day
out without even being given proper PPE. It is time
we were paid a fair wage. It's access for better
training is important to me so that I can handle
different situation that may arise in our day to day
duties. Deescalating training would be helpful
because it teaches you how to respond to issues.
More training makes you a better and more confident
at your job. The clients really just want to know
that you are listening and we want to be able to help
them feel safe and get the help they need. I ask the
Council to pass these bills without delay. The
Safety of our Shelters Acts will make a real
difference in my mind. I don't have health insurance
and I have to pay for my medication out of pocket
because I have to ration the medication I take for my
high blood pressure because I am unable to afford it.
I have not been back to the doctor in a while because
it is so expensive. I really need to be seen. This
bill would help me and my family and lift up our
communities. Thank you.

NEFERTITI ELWOODS: Good afternoon,

Chairperson Kallos and committee members. My name is

Nefertiti Elwoods. I have worked in shelter security

24

25

2 for four years and currently work in Acacia's network shelter in Long Island City. Thank you for the 3 opportunity to testify before the committee in 4 5 support of the Safety in our Shelters Acts. Passing these bills [inaudible 01:32:23] will be lifechanging 6 7 I support my mother which stretches me for me. financially. I've currently racked up on my rent 8 when I am not looking after [inaudible 01:32:34]. 9 Ι also have a number of health conditions: asthma, 10 bronchitis, diabetes, high blood pressure, and 11 migraine headaches. I've also been referred to a 12 cardiologist. Getting paid the prevailing wage and 13 14 having access to all the health insurance will make 15 an enormous difference. I've overcome struggles 16 before. I've been homeless myself due to domestic 17 violence. Surviving in the city on low wages is 18 another struggle. I'm asking committee members and 19 the Council to do your part and make the struggle a 20 little bit easier. Please give these bills your support and, when they come up for a vote, do 21 22 everything in your power to pass them into law. 23 Thank you for listening.

My name is Juan Reyes. I'm a security officer at the

JUAN REYES: Good afternoon, everybody.

2	Acacia shelter in the South Bronx. I'm here today to				
3	testify in support of the Safety in our Shelter Acts.				
4	I have worked at the shelter security for over 10				
5	years. It's clear to me that we cannot improve the				
6	security standards in our shelters if we don't				
7	improve the training and employment standards of				
8	security guards. Shelters are challenging places to				
9	work. Clients accessing shelter are often unstable				
10	and experiencing mental health conditions. There is,				
11	unfortunately, the risk of confrontation and				
12	violence. I have personally been pushed and				
13	threatened. I have also seen colleagues pushed and				
14	shelters vandalized. I often feel unsafe on the job.				
15	We are understaffed and lack equipment like metal				
16	scanners. Guards should have more specialized				
17	training, particularly how to diffuse and de-escalate				
18	situations. Having better trained guards who feel				
19	safe around the jobs could help reduce turnover and				
20	solve the chronic guard shortage we experience.				
21	Better wages and benefits would also help to recruit				
22	and retain guards. It's not right that we work in				
23	the same high risk environment as guards at city				
24	manage sites, but are guaranteed the same wages and				
25	benefits. Receiving the prevailing wage would make a				

2	huge difference to my family's life. We have been
3	through a lot of instabilities. My wife has recently
4	at a homeless shelter herself. We are renting an
5	apartment now in the South Bronx, but are living
6	paycheck to paycheck. I worked two jobs. I would
7	love to be able to buy things for my three kids then
8	moved to a better apartment. I have health
9	insurance, the Metro Plus, that I pay out-of-pocket.
LO	Under the prevailing wage standards, my employer
L1	would have to pay my benefit supplements that would
L2	cover quality full family healthcare insurance. This
L3	could be life changing for my family. My wife
L 4	recently had Covid. We need the confidence that our
L5	medical costs are covered and we can get the care and
L 6	medicine we need.
L7	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
L8	JUAN REYES: Thank you.
L 9	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you. How
20	many more speakers do we have so we know how much
21	time to add to the clock?
22	UNIDENTIFIED: 10 minutes.
23	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. We will add

24 | 10 minutes. Thank you.

2 RAPHAEL CRUZ: Good afternoon, Chairman 3 Kallos and committee members. My name is Raphael Cruz and I'm here today to ask for support for Safety 4 5 in our Shelters Act. I have been a security quard in 6 the shelter system for a couple years and I currently 7 work for Security USA in the Harlem YMCA shelter system. We need to put security quard standards in 8 our shelters. By ensuring that guards in the 9 industry standard wages and benefits and receive more 10 specialized training, this bill will make a big 11 different. Shelter systems are a dangerous place to 12 work. We are the first line of defense, but we're 13 14 undertrained. On a daily basis, we encounter risk, 15 clients, weapons, hazards, and different things that 16 we have to go through that normally other shelters Training is the key. In order to encounter--17 18 excuse me. Training is the key in order for guards 19 to know what to do. I see new workers put on the job 20 without being given the proper training. When this happens, everyone is less safe and new guards 21 22 themselves and other guards like me. I am a fire 23 safety director, coordinator at the shelter. an important job, but I am. Last then workers who 24 25 get the prevailing wages. I also did not get

vacations or time off and our work standards are different while doing the same role at the shelter than in the city. I am married and I have a 13-year-old daughter. Getting paid the prevailing wage on benefit supplements would make a huge difference to us. I currently have my wife's health insurance plan through her job. Having meaningful benefits provided by the employer would not only mean my family has more options when it comes to our insurance coverage, but it also means contributions towards our retirement plans. I urge you to pass these bills. Our work is crucial to keeping the shelter system safe. We need to get of our jobs and our lives the value they desire. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Good afternoon,

Chairperson and committee members. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is [inaudible 01:38:33]. I work as a guard in the shelter system over three years and I work for [inaudible 01:38:41][

Security Services at the shelter in the Bronx managed by Community Life. Guard working in [inaudible 01:38:52] need better benefits and training. That is what is exactly [inaudible 01:39:06] today would give us. Shelter can be a high risk location for a guard

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS

2	to work. The most dangerous situations I have
3	encountered was when a client attempted to hit me
4	with a fire extinguisher. All guards need adequate
5	training to deal with volatile situations so they can
6	be de-escalated. Therefore, shelter can be made safe
7	for all residents and staff. We also need to be paid
8	fairly for the work we do in the risk we deal with.
9	The [inaudible 01:40:02] for equitable is a
10	[inaudible 01:40:05] in America equity and fairness.
11	Vast of Americans support equity, regardless of
12	gender, race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic level and
13	is frequently identified as a top quality, especially
14	for those who face sharp pay disparities. [inaudible
15	01:40:45] at all levels. Voluntary action by private
16	employees should be accompanied, but they are not
17	suited office from legal petition [inaudible
18	01:41:09] enforcement all institutions at [inaudible
19	1:41:15]. To make the promise of equity for
20	equitable, it must be more than voluntary option.
21	That is why we need this bill. We need the power of
22	law to ensure that security guards working in the
23	shelter system for private providers are paid fairly
24	for their work and can [inaudible 01:41:54] their
25	rights. [Inaudible 1:42:01] in University. If I

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 earn the prevailing wages of [inaudible 01:42:11], it

3 | will mean I will be able to save money to send to

4 them. Passing this law would also mean [inaudible

5 01:42:24] affordable quality health insurance. Right

6 | now, I have no insurance. I cannot afford the city

7 | that it costs to take up the employee's plan.

Please, support this law and reform that can help

9 [inaudible 01:42:52] for workers.

QUINTANA OMED: Hello. My name is Quintana Omed and I'm a shelter security quard at Crystal Place. I have been working as a shelter security quard for almost 8 years. I strongly support Intros 1995 and 2006 which will raise up standards for workers like me. Through 2020, the Covid 19 pandemic has devastated the city I call So many people have lost their lives. We've been working on the front lines through this entire pandemic and our communities are in crisis. time we are paid a fair wage. Safe shelters are essential in New York City. Security guards play a vital role in providing a safe haven to people in Quality jobs for shelter security guards must be part of the picture. I know how important this is because I was a client in the shelter system myself.

2 In 2012, when I give birth to my son, we lost our apartment because our landlord was selling the 3 building and wanted to get rid of all the tenants. 4 had to live in a shelter for six months. My mother 5 was eligible for section 8 as a military veteran, so 6 7 we eventually were able to move in with her. a second job as a medical assistant. I work more 8 than the full-time hours on the day shift at the 9 10 clinic in overnight at the shelter. I need a job so that I can make enough money to not have to work 11 overnights so that I can stay home with my eight-12 year-old son. My mother is planning on moving and 13 14 won't be able to watch them at night. Sometimes I 15 get called from his school and I have to leave work 16 because my mother, who has her own medical issues, 17 cannot watch him. He has ADHD and I wish I was able 18 to be there for him more instead of having to work two jobs. We also need to have affordable healthcare 19 and access to the real training. Security guards 20 working at shelters managed by private operators 21 22 under contract with the city are currently excluded 23 from the prevailing wage law and are not covered by the same training requirements as guards working at 24 25 city run shelters. Without these standards, there is

- 2 nothing to ensure that privately run shelters all are
- 3 providing decent wages, benefits, and training
- 4 opportunities for security workers. I asked the
- 5 Council to pass these bills without delay. The
- 6 Safety in our Shelters Act will make a real
- 7 difference in my life. Currently, I have no health
- 8 | insurance from my place of employment. I rely on
- 9 | Medicaid. I would also need having access to better
- 10 | training to handle difficult situations that
- 11 | constantly arrive in our day-to-day duties. Thank
- 12 you.

- 13 Hello.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Hold on one moment.
- 15 | We'll add four minutes to the clock, please. You may
- 16 begin.
- 17 TERRI BATSON: Okay. My name is Terri
- 18 | Batson. I am 59 years old. I have been working with
- 19 | the shelter system for two years. I strongly support
- 20 the Safety in our Shelter 1995 and 2006, but I fear
- 21 | we need more like better training and better medical
- 22 | insurance, better working conditions, and better
- 23 paying raises. Especially with my health issues
- 24 | because I suffer with diabetes, arthritis, glaucoma,
- 25 | cataracts in both eyes and I am using my own medical

all it got to say.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

insurance and sometimes it's very hard to go see my
doctor right now and sometimes it's very hard for me
to pay for my medication. So, that is why I want
to-- That is why I want the law to pass the bill in
order to get better support in all of that. That's

KOFE SHUTAWAE: My name is Kofe Shutawae. I am a shelter security quard at the Best Western [inaudible 01:47:51] shelter. I have been working as a shelter security guard for almost three I strongly support Intro 1995 and 2006 which will raise up the standards for workers like me. Currently, I have no health insurance from my place of employment. I rely on Metro Plus subsidized healthcare I qualify for. I came here for the American dream. My wife and my young children are in Ghana and they rely on me to pay for their rent and their schooling. I share an apartment currently with roommates and I want to be able to afford to have a decent home for them so that they can be here with I work two jobs and still it is not enough. came here from Ghana in 2004. I ask the Council to pass these bills without delay. It is not right that, because we securely work at the shelter managed we can assess better--

by private operators, we should be excluded from the prevailing wage law. We are paid less and not covered by same [inaudible 01:49:47] as guards working at city run shelters, even though these shelters are also contracted by the city. We need these standards for ourselves and our family and so

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

MOFE SHUTAWAE: The work we do is difficult. Almost daily I encounter clients who are ready to assault me or another client. We need help to keep the people and ourselves safe. We need training. We protect the safety of the client in our community by helping secure the shelter. We work through one of the most difficult times our city has faced on the front line of the pandemic. Our work is [inaudible 01:50:48] for the city to make sure all New Yorkers can access safe shelter in the time of need. We need to be fairly compensated for our work. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you. I believe that that is all the speakers that we have.

If everyone who read testimony is able to please

committee staff and my staff and I hereby conclude

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS	86
2	this meeting of the City Council Committee on	
3	Contracts.	
4	[gavel]	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 22, 2021