CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

----- X

March 22, 2021 Start: 2:21 PM Recess: 4:17 PM

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING (VIRTUAL ROOM 1)

B E F O R E:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Kevin Riley, CHAIR Peter Koo Inez Barron I. Daneek Miller Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Christina Rausch, Vice President New York City Economic Development Corporation

Eleni de Sievo, Vice President and Cohead of Government and Community Relations Team New York City Economic Development Corporation

Stephen Hayes, Executive Vice President Carey Group

Suzanne Quint, New York City Resident

Lincoln Restler, New York City Resident

Deborah Shaffer, New York City Resident

Ivo Stranic, New York City Resident

Sinade Wadsworth, Representative New York City District Council of Carpenters

Alexandria Sica, President Dumbo Improvement District Lori Raphael, Senior Vice President Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce

Regina Myer, President
Downtown Brooklyn Partnership

Michael Nared 32 BJ

Mallory Kasdan, Cofounder Dumbo Action Committee

Callie Katt
Dumbo Action Committee

Nicholas DeSantis Dumbo Action Committee

Aaron Kominos Smith, New York City Resident

Doreen Gallo Dumbo Neighborhood Alliance

Salisa Hudson Farragut Stakeholders

Melissa Prober, Cofounder Dumbo Action Committee

William Taylor Goose Tenants

Margaret Brown
Association for the Benefit of Farragut
Houses

Stelene Rogakos, New York City Resident

Geoffrey Salvatore, New York City Resident

Bonnie Corrigan, New York City Resident

Arlene Blitz, New York City Resident

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Cloud recording good.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon,
everyone. Welcome to today's remote New York City
Council hearing of the Subcommittee on Landmarks,
Public Sitings, and Dispositions. At this time,
would all panelists please turn on their video? To
minimize disruption, please place electronic devices
to vibrate or silent. If you wish to submit
testimony, you may do so at
landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that is
landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your
cooperation. Chair Riley, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you,
sergeant. Good afternoon, everyone. I am Council
member Kevin Riley, Chair of the Subcommittee on
Landmarks, Public Sitings, and Dispositions. I am
joined remotely today by Council member Barron,
Council member Miller, Council member Koo, and
Council member Levin. Today, we will be having a
DCAS application for the disposition of development
rights at 69 Adams Street in Brooklyn. But, first,
we will vote on the applications we heard at our
March 8th meetings. We will vote on applications

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS related to the four projects in the Manhattan Council district represented by Council member Perkins. four projects were submitted by the Department of Housing Preservation and the development pursuant to article 16 of the General Municipal Law and section 19 C of the New York City Charter requesting approval of the designation of four different urban development action areas and approval of four urban development action area projects and dispositions of city owned properties for such areas. We vote to approve LU 743, the Harlem Open Door Cluster. application concerns property located at 2735 Frederick Douglas Boulevard, 2752 Frederick Douglas Boulevard, 131 West 133rd Street, and 130 West 134th Street in Manhattan community district 10. application will facilitate the construction of four new affordable homeownership buildings with a total of approximately 48 units. In connection with this project, we will vote to approve LU 744, submitted pursuant to article 11 of the Private Housing Finance Law for approval of the related tax exemption. will vote to approve LU 745, the Harlem NCP CB11 site for property located at Two East 130th Street also in Manhattan Community district 11. This application

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS will facilitate the construction of one four-story affordable rental building with seven units. We will vote to approve LU 746, the central Harlem infill and CP project for properties located at 2803 Frederick Douglas Boulevard, 136, 137th Street, 203 West 135th Street, 61 West 130th Street, 142 West 129th Street, and 109 West 126th Street in Manhattan community district 10. This application will facilitate the development of five new six story buildings and one new four-story building, all of which will be fully affordable rental buildings containing a total of 58 units. We will also vote to approve LU 747, the Harlem NCP Western site for property located at 313 West 112th Street in Manhattan community district 10. This application will facilitate the development of one four-story affordable rental building with seven units. All four projects are in the district represented by Council member Perkins. We will also vote to approve LU 741, the lower East side cluster, This is an application submitted by HPD pursuant to article 16 of the general municipal Law and article 11 of the private housing finance Law requesting waivers of an area designation requirement and the requirements of Charter section 19 C and 19 D

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND
 1
    DISPOSITIONS
 2
     and approval of an urban development action area
    project and an exemption from a real property
 3
 4
     taxation for properties located at 406 - 08 East 10th
 5
     Street, 533 East 11th Street, and 656 East 12th
     Street in the Manhattan Council District represented
 6
 7
    by Council member Rivera. This application will
     facilitate the preservation of 44 affordable
 8
     cooperative units pursuant to the affordable
 9
10
     neighborhood cooperative program, ANCP. All items
    being voted on have the support of the local Council
11
12
               Counsel, please call the role.
     members.
13
                COMMITTEE COUNSEL:
                                       Riley?
14
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
                                       Yes. Aye.
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO:
                                       Aye.
16
                COMMITTEE COUNSEL:
                                       Barron?
17
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Permission to
18
     explain my vote and ask questions?
                                       Permission granted.
19
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
20
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr.
            Regarding the lower East side project, the 44
21
2.2
     units, I read the description and what concerned me
23
     is that for those who might not want to purchase
24
     their apartments, they would have to pay the
     existing -- the initial -- I think it is called the
25
```

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 10 initial maintenance and I was wondering-- I'm going to turn off my camera because I see my connection is unstable, but I still here. Okay. I wanted to know what is the-- how many persons might there be that would see an increase in their rent beyond what it is that they are presently paying if they choose not to purchase their apartment? For example, the initial maintenance for a studio apartment it is \$811. person were not -- What is that person paying presently? What is the difference in the rents between what is presently being paid in the initial maintenance? And my biggest concern, which gets to the heart of this is that the maintenance is set at 40 percent of the AMI and all housing advocates that I have been in touch with a to say that the best rent to look at is at 30 percent of the AMI. So, this is substantially more than 30 percent and that concerns And that the other question that I have is does anyone know the cost of the home in the homeownership program for the Harlem open door cluster? What is the cost of the home and what would be the mortgage? Because I think it is interesting and great that we are having these homeownership opportunities, but what are we asking people to pay? I have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 11
2	information for the lower East side. The vacant is
3	228,000 I'm sorry. Studio is 228,000. One
4	bedroom 245,000. I have that information, but,
5	again, that concerns me with the 40 percent AMI that
6	is going to be maintenance and for the Harlem open
7	door, what is the cost of those homeownerships?
8	There is 48 units. What's the cost of purchasing one
9	of those 48 units? Does it very on the size of the
10	bedrooms or not? So, I don't know if you have that,
11	but I can pass to the next person if you need to have
12	someone look that information up.
13	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, Council member
14	Barron. Counsel, can we answer Council member
15	Barron?
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The project
17	managers are trying to get you that information and
18	they will reach out to you direct delay, but we can
19	pass on you for the moment.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
22	member.
23	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council member
24	Miller? Council member Miller?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I vote aye.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 12 DISPOSITIONS Treyger? 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 3 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I vote ave. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: As the vote stands 4 5 now, it's four in the affirmative, zero in the 6 negative, and zero abstentions. So the items are 7 approved and recommended to the full Land Use Committee, but we will hold the vote open for Council 8 member Barron pending obtaining those answers that 9 she wanted. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, counsel. And we have been joined by Council member Treyger. 13 We will now move on to our public hearing. I 14 15 recognize the subcommittee counsel again to review 16 today's hearing procedures. 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair 18 Riley. I am Jeff compendia, Counsel to the Subcommittee. Members of the public who wish to 19 20 testify were asked to register for today's hearing. If you wish to testify and have not registered, 21 2.2 please go to www.council.nyc.gov to sign up now. 23 you are a member of the public who wants to watch 24 this hearing, please watch the hearing on the New

York City Council website. All people testifying

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

before the subcommittee will be on mute until they are recognized to testify. When the Chair recognizes you, please confirm that your mic is unmuted before you begin speaking. Public testimony will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit in lieu of appearing before the subcommittee or if you require an accessible version of a presentation given at today's meeting, please email land use testimony@Council.NYC.gov. Please indicate the LU number or project name in the subject line of the email. During the hearing, Council members who would like to ask questions should use the zoom raise hand function. The raise hand button should appear at the bottom of the participant panel. announce Council members who have questions in the order that they raise their hand. Witnesses are reminded to remain in the meeting until they are excused by the Chair. Lastly, there may be extended pause is if we encounter technical problems. We ask you please be patient as we work through these issues. Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda.

14

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, counsel.

1

4

3 We will now hear LU 752, the 69 Adams Street project.

This is an application submitted by the Department of

5 Citywide Administrative Services pursuant to section

6 | 197 C of the New York City charter for the

7 disposition of city owned property consistent of

8 | 98,446 square feet of development rights located on

9 | the west side of Parole Street between York and Front

10 Street at block 52 lot 15 and 17 in the borough of

11 | Brooklyn. This application will allow the transfer

12 of the development rights to an adjacent privately

13 | owned site. This proposed action will facilitate the

14 construction of a 25 story mixed-use building with

15 residential and commercial use located at 69 Adams

16 Street in the Dumbo neighbor had of Brooklyn

17 represented by Council member Levin. And I want to

18 acknowledge Council member Levin for being here at

19 the hearing and, if he wants to give any words.

20 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.

21 | I will keep it brief. I appreciated above the

22 | applicant and EDC for being here today to present and

23 \parallel for being available to answer any questions. This is

 $24 \parallel \text{fairly straightforward as an application, but I think}$

25 that there are a number of questions that I have been

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 15 DISPOSITIONS raising since becoming aware of this project, you know, some time ago. You know, well over a year ago, if not two years ago. I, specifically, having to do with the -- once the disposition was to happen, what happens then to that land underneath the bridge which is where the error rights are currently? Because DOT has, basically, occupies four or five lots in that neighborhood, you know, totaling probably a couple hundred thousand square feet. Maybe 100,000 square feet, all told. So, those areas in the neighborhood are blocked off from public use. And so, if we're going to be engaging as a city and selling that air rights to part of those parcels. Some of those parcels or one of those parcels. You know, I think that the public has a reasonable demand to be able to have public access to those spaces. DOT will tell you that it is the bridge maintenance that needs it. Bridge maintenance will tell you that, you know, there must be unfettered access by their maintenance teams between now and the year 2600 A.D. and, you know, so therefore we can never have any public access whatsoever to any of this space under the bridge and we just have to live with that. not really an acceptable position from my view, nor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 16 DISPOSITIONS an acceptable position from the community's view. Ιn addition, I would like to have a real conversation around what the proceeds of this sale are going to do and how they will be benefiting the surrounding community which has a high need for transit improvements. For anyone that's ever taken the F train to Dumbo, there is one station with one platform with one exit and entrance onto that platform at York Street and that is that 10 that is not only for the neighborhood of Dumbo, it is the neighborhood of Vinegar Hill and Farragut houses which is adjacent and anyone that wants to take the train to the Navy Yard because that is actually the closest train station to the western entrance to the Navy Yard. So, with that, I will turn it back over to you, Chair. I appreciate very much the time and your willingness to have these questions answered in Thank you, Chair. this hearing. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council member Levin. Counsel, please call the applicant panel. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel is Eleni De Siervo and Christina Rauch for EDC and

Stephen Hayes for the Carey Group.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND
 1
                                                       17
    DISPOSITIONS
 2
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
                                      Counsel, please
    administer the affirmation.
 3
 4
                COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Panelists, please
 5
    raise your right hands and state your names.
                ELENI DE SIERVO: Eleni De Siervo.
 6
 7
                CHRISTINA RAUCH: Christina Rauch.
 8
                COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Is Stephen Hayes
    there?
 9
                STEPHEN HAYES: Yes. Stephen Hayes. Can
10
    you hear me?
11
12
                COMMITTEE COUNSEL:
                                      Do you affirm to
    tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
13
    truth in your testimony before the subcommittee and
14
15
    to answer all Council member questions honestly?
16
                ELENI DE SIERVO: Yes.
17
                CHRISTINA RAUCH: Yes.
18
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Before
    you begin, please state your name and affiliation
19
20
    again for the record and then you may begin.
                CHRISTINA RAUSCH: My name is Christina
21
2.2
    Rauch and I am the vice president in our real estate
23
    team at the New York City Economic Development
     Corporation I am joined by my colleague Eleni de
24
    Siervo who is a vice president and cohead of our
25
```

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 18 DISPOSITIONS government and community relations team and also the developer Rabsky Group is represented by Stephen Hayes, executive vice president at Carey Group. And good afternoon, Chair Riley, Council members. you for the opportunity to present this project here today. Can you pull up the presentation? The first slide? Great. Thank you. You can go to the third slide. Next. Yes. Thank you. There we go. you. So, we are here today to the request ULURP action for a disposition of development rights from to city owned lots to an adjacent privately owned Specifically, we are proposing a transfer of parcel. 98,446 square feet of development rights to the adjacent site for use for commercial office only as part of a new mixed use development plan for the privately owned site. Each development rights on the city owned lots are unusable because they are located under the Manhattan Bridge, so there is limited development potential on those sites. It can only be transferred to an adjacent parcel on the block, so there is a limited window of opportunities for the city to activate the development rights into jobs and other public benefits. In addition, the action also includes an easement above the Manhattan Bridge on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 19 DISPOSITIONS the city owned sites. Next slide, please. So, the city owned properties are lots 15 and 17. They are located under the Manhattan Bridge in the Dumbo neighborhood in Brooklyn. So, on the map of this presentation, they are the white parcels on the right hand portion of the block. So, these lots are currently being used by the New York City Department of Transportation for storage of materials, bridge maintenance, other operations and the transfer of development rights allows the city to unlock the value of this development potential which would otherwise be left on buildable given the constraints of the bridge and because there are no other feasible receiving sites other than this lot. development rights for commercial use will remain on the city owned lots to accommodate future agency needs such as if there was a need for a new maintenance building. The adjacent lot, lot for, is owned by the Rabsky Group, the private developer. of right, they can build 156,000 square feet of residential use on their lot. The proposed projects would transfer the city's development rights to Rabsky's parcel for inclusion in Rabsky's proposed mixed-use project. The city will restrict the use of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the city's development rights to the commercial office use only and Rabsky would use its own development potential for the residential component of the building. As of right, as I mentioned, Rabsky can build a fully residential building which would be the alternative if it was unable to purchase the city's development rights. Next slide, please. put some context about the origin of this project, in the fall of 2017, EDC, on behalf of the city, released a request proposal for the purchase of development rights on the city owned lots. The goal of the disposition in his job creation. To maximize commercial office development and align it with the city's long-standing policy to encourage commercial activity outside of the Manhattan core. built on these policies by specifically prioritizing proposals that maximize the amount of commercial office included in any new proposed building. Dumbo area and surrounding neighborhoods of the Navy Yard and downtown Brooklyn collectively known as the Brooklyn Tech Triangle, of creative and technology employment. RFP sought projects that contributed more commercial office space to accommodate this growing employment. And as with any EDC RFP,

1 21 DISPOSITIONS 2 respondents are required to commit to MWBE contracting and local hiring plans. So, even though 3 4 this project was released in 2017 which was well before the current Covid crisis, the goal for outer 5 6 borough commercial use is even more applicable than 7 ever. With the shift to remote work, a desire to be able to work closer to home, this project will create 8 office space closer to the residential heavy Brooklyn 9 10 neighborhoods. Next slide, please? This project seeks to activate the unused floor area on the city 11 12 owned lots into an asset that is [inaudible 00:21:04] city policies to grow outer borough employment 13 14 centers, support mixed-use neighborhoods, and connect 15 underserved residents with employment and business 16 opportunities. Brooklyn has seen substantial growth since the 2008 economic crisis, four times greater 17 18 than the national average. Significant residential growth in Brooklyn over the past decade creates an 19 20 even greater demand for office space. As a primarily residential neighborhood, Dumbo has a smaller daytime 21 2.2 population, so there is less foot traffic on the 23 street, fewer people to support, and the employees

that eventually come to occupy this new space and the

proposed development will help to activate that area

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS during the daytime. And, lastly, just to mention, this project will help to address the needs for local, economic development and employment

22

opportunities by creating a pipeline for access to

6 local jobs and partnering with NYCHA, the local

7 tenant associations, and, in addition to MWBE and

local hiring contracting requirements. Next slide.

And I will turn it over to Stephen Hayes to talk

10 about the program.

1

2

3

4

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Hi, everyone. STEPHEN HAYES: My name is Stephen Hayes and I work for the Rabsky Group and, on behalf of the Rabsky team, thank you for your time and your consideration of this ULURP application. want to highlight the programmatic components, all of which are as of right and show how the mixed-use program informs the building design. From feedback from various community folks, we are striving to create a contextual project in terms of both use and architectural design. And I'm going to quickly talk about the program elements, relating them to the drawing on the right here which is an elevation drawing from Adams Street looking towards the building with the intersection of Front Street on the left side and the bridge behind it. So, presenting

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 23 DISPOSITIONS the program by going from the building bottom to the top and four parts, I am going to start with the ground floor and the second floor which is the sort of public realm and the ground floor being neighborhood oriented retail, particularly concentrated on the Front Street side to fill a missing piece on the active front Street retail corridor that exists on both sides of the bridge, but not obviously on this empty site at present. Retail will also be going up along Adams Street and there will be separate entrances along Adams Street for the residential and office components, as well as parking. And parking is on the second floor. go up the second part of the building is the office component, the subject of this ULURP and goes to the seventh floor. And it's mentioned this is geared toward expanding the existing creative tech sector in Dumbo and to encourage live work opportunities. Briefly, about development of office in these times during Covid and the current home working situation, we know that the creative tech sector is eager to get back to office working with, quite frankly, we need inefficiencies and creativity from home working. has been described to us as sort of treading water at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 24 DISPOSITIONS present. Also note that the building is going to be ready in late 2023 or early 24 hoping that Covid has waned and that we are back to saw him normal next by But that being said, the office design is changing. There are no bullpens, larger conference We are accommodating accordingly. I just want to add that Rabsky is bullish on Brooklyn. is aware Rabsky is headquartered and [inaudible 00:24:33] on New York as a whole and we want to invest in Brooklyn's commercial future. One last note: the office component from feedback from the borough president and the Council members offices, 10,000 square feet of the office component would be priced at 50 percent below market rent and geared toward local startups and cultural users to give them some assistance. The third part of the building, just above the office is the amenities spaces which are floors eight and nine. That is located right at the setback in this drawing and the setback actually corresponds to the top of the bridge on the back of it this drawing and the amenities space, of course, is used for the residents in the offices -- office users. And above that in many space is the fourth part of the building which is the as of right market

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 25 DISPOSITIONS rental residential component with a roof deck and solar farm on top. If we go to the next slide, because this is an EDC project, there is a job hiring requirement and we have heard from various community groups that job hiring should directly affect local residents. So, as such, there are two directions we are taking with regard to hiring. One is local hiring for construction and for permanent hiring positions and the other is MWBE hiring during construction. Quickly, with regard to the local hiring, we will work with the city with its hire NYC program and we have also started outreach with NYCHA Office of REES to work with them on training and hiring the tenants on this project, but we are working with local officials, community, and civic leaders and workforce organizations to collectively create early job training and job posting and ultimately to hire local folks both from permanent construction and during construction. Permanent and then also during construction. With regard to MWBE hiring, we are going to be working with EDC and SPS on our MWBE hiring plan that will also coordinate with local officials and institutions, as well as the MWBE contouring to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

26

2 community. All notice that Rabsky has a construction

3 arm which will be building this project and we are

4 | sincerely eager to work with the city to encourage

5 everyone interested to participate in this project.

6 We can go to the next slide and I am going to pass

7 \parallel this over to Eleni from EDC.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ELENI DE SIERVO: Thanks, Stephen. next slide, as Council member Levin had laid out earlier, we have been having many, many conversations with the community board and with the Council member as well as the local groups in the Dumbo neighborhood. So, we have met with the Dumbo Action Committee, the Neighborhood Alliance, the BID, Chamber of Commerce, Brooklyn partnership, as well as NYCHA REES, many of which you will hear from later today and, overwhelmingly, the top concerns, top things that folks have mentioned as desires or investments in the York Street F train station improvements which, you know, we are in ongoing dialogue with the MTA. They are doing a feasibility study right now and so we are looking to see how the proceeds from this investment could help make some of those improvements that public use access to the DOT site, those are ongoing conversations with the

2 Department of Transportation, as well as

infrastructure investments in this community. From
the EDC and Rabsky perspective, we are committed to
investing a portion of these proceeds back into the
Dumbo neighbor had and back into the district and so,
the conversation becomes, you know, how do we do that
and what makes the most sense in terms of where those
investments need to be made. And so, those are
conversations that we are looking forward to having
with Council member Levin over the next week or so as
we continue through ULURP. Next slide. That is all
from us. So, if there are any questions from the

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Thank
you to the panel for that presentation. I do have a
few questions and then I am going to kick it over to
Council member Levin, if he is still here, with any
questions. My first question is, in 2017, EDC issued
an RFP for these development rights under the
Manhattan Bridge. I used development rights exist at
numerous properties under elevated bridges or
highways. How and why did EDC identify this
particular site as an opportunity?

Council members, we would love to take that now.

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 28 DISPOSITIONS 2 ELENI DE SIERVO: Sure. Christina, do you want to take a pass at that? 3 ELENI DE SIERVO: We can't hear you. 4 5 you unmute--6 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can we unmute 7 Christina, please? Sergeant, can someone--CHRISTINA RAUCH: Yeah. I'm here. 8 Ι'm here. Sorry. I couldn't unmute myself. I just got 9 10 unmuted. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem. 11 12 CHRISTINA RAUCH: So, I know that the city was interested and looked at this particular 13 14 opportunity and said that there may be a benefit to 15 selling the development rights off of these parcels 16 at this particular time. And so, that prompted us putting out the RFP. There was a potential 17 18 development site next-door. That is not always the case with development rights from the city, but it 19 20 turned out to be an opportunity that the city wanted to just see whether there was interest in doing 21 2.2 projects with these development rights. 23 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And the stated 24 public purpose of this action is to facilitate outer

borough initial office space. So why does EDC

29

2 consider outer borough office space a public benefit?

3 What kind of tenants do you envision for this space

4 and are you confident there will be demand for this

5 | specific space?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

ELENI DE SIERVO: Yes. We are confident that there will be demand. You know, what we are envisioning -- and this goes with alongside citywide policy of having job growth within every single borough outside of the core of lower Manhattan. You know, the economic policy here is really a belief in people being closer to where the jobs can be accessed. And so, the goal here is to create class a office space, support the existing Brooklyn Tech triangle, as well as other office creative tenants that may want to expand or already are located within the area I want to continue to grow. We also hope to attract new tenants that, you know, see the value in being located in Brooklyn and want to bring those jobs closer to that community. Christina, anything to add to that?

CHRISTINA RAUCH: I'm actually going to ask Stephen do you have any comments on demand because I know that you have been in conversation with potential tenants.

30

STEPHEN HAYES: There we go. We, of course, are confident in demand, particularly in regard to the creative tech sector, otherwise, you know, we would think about it again. But, with Covid, certainly we have done a lot of research and because there is, of course, office sectors that are saying that they might be working from home or continuing for some period of time, but we do know, and that sector, the particularly we were told this by our brokers and then also by people who are in the sector directly. If you talk about the creative tech sector, they really are in need of being back in an office environment and we know that sector is eager to grow and will grow. So we feel pretty-- we're bullish on this. We feel very confident in this

> CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

And this demand. STEPHEN HAYES: Thank

you.

office component.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. My next question. Just two more questions. The negotiated purchase price for the approximately 99,000 square feet of the development rights is \$17.2 million.

2.2

2 Some have correctly observed that this price appears 3 to be below market. Can you explain why?

ELENI DE SIERVO: Sure. Christina can run through the appraisal that we received and some of the methodology that goes into that.

Stipulated that the development rights couldn't be sold for less than fair market value, as appraised. So, the way that we think about this is that development rights are at a discount to land value. That is kind of industry standard. So, the price that we sold— that we have negotiated for these development rights is \$175 per square foot, so that represents roughly 850 percent discount to land value and a discount of that magnitude is typical for development right transfers. The other thing to remember is that the development rights are restricted to commercial office use only, so that is less valuable than residential use. So that is a further discount from the highest investment sales.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you,

Christina. My last question is the Brooklyn borough

president recommends that DOT surrender control of

one or more of the properties it uses for storage in

2 the area for open space and that some portion of the

3 proceeds go to capital projects for the benefit of

4 NYCHA Farragut housing. It is EDC considering these

5 | recommendations?

2.2

ELENI DE SIERVO: This is all part of the conversation that we want to have with Council member Levin. We have already started that discussion. And so, from our perspective, we are looking at a portion of the proceeds that could be invested and so how and where and when, we want to figure that up jointly with the Council member.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. And I just want to give this time if Council member Levin has any questions he would like to ask the panel?

much, Chair. I guess my first question would be onto EDC. Have you been in discussions with the MTA on
what— on how to coordinate around any kind of
physical improvements to the York Street station. I
would direct you to read— there's an article that
came out just today in the Brooklyn paper about how
treacherous that station is and, using real like
examples in 2003, there was a fire on a train that
was entering that station. People were led to the

33

2 back of the station where there is no exit by the

3 police not knowing that it was a dead end,

4 essentially. You know, it's a single exit and

5 entrance from this busy station. It could be really,

6 really, very treacherous. If there was a serious

7 | fire down there, you know, with the-- at rush hour,

8 you know, with one exit and entrance, I mean, it

9 could be a risk of not just smoke inhalation but

10 | also, you know, being trampled. You know, it's a

11 | very dangerous station. And so, this is, you know,

12 | to be totally candid, I mean, I want to make sure

13 | that the city is not looking at something like

14 | transit improvements and saying, you know, that is

15 | the MTA's responsibility and not the city's

16 responsibility and we are not like, you know, this,

17 | you know-- they wouldn't object to that being used

18 as a, you know, destination for this funding if

19 needed.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

member. So, we did read the article by Kevin Degen this morning. It was incredibly helpful. And, as you know, we have been in touch with some of the leadership at the MTA. We also have been in touch at the staff level, so they are working through their

2 | feasibility study and we anticipate those findings

34

3 being available over the next week or so. And so,

4 | that will inform how this is something that could be

5 invested way that in the MTA in order to advance the

6 conversation around York station. We believe that

7 the next step would need to be some type of

8 conceptual design, but, you know, as you know, the

9 city does not control the MTA and so we would need to

10 | follow their lead. We wouldn't have any issues with

11 | investing a portion of this funding into that

12 project, though.

1

25

13 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Similar

14 | conversations, are they happening with NYCHA in terms

15 of like sitting down with NYCHA about the DNA at

16 | Farragut houses identifying kind of what-- where

17 capital dollars are needed or expense dollars, for

18 | that matter for the NYCHA community at Farragut?

19 ELENI DE SIERVO: We have reached out

20 | through the community affairs team at NYCHA to get a

21 | better sense of what the need is and we have been in

22 | touch with NYCHA REES and so we'll continue to work

23 you to see what the need is at Farragut Houses

24 | specifically. And whether that is capital or

programmatic. And, I mean, as I said, we have the

35

2 funding that will be coming as a result of the

3 proceeds of the sale and can use a portion of it to

4 be invested in the neighborhood. And so, you know,

5 how that breaks down between the various projects, we

6 want to work with you to figure that out. It does

7 sound like York Street station may be the number one

8 priority that you have been using, as well as

9 Farragut houses potentially having some programming

10 needs.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And just to be clear, you know, that York Street station is the single station that Farragut residents use. There is not another station, you know, in a probably— I don't know— a 1000 foot radius to Farragut houses. So, that is certainly the main pinch point of transportation. In terms of DOT— I would like to talk about DOT for a second. Sorry. My children are being loud. What are the conversations with DOT about opening up that space underneath the Manhattan Bridge? It's been something I've been raising for a few years now. You know, essentially, it's walled off. There is, you know, a significant amount of space under the bridge that the public has no access to. I know that that— you know, I think three or

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 36 DISPOSITIONS 2 four spaces underneath the Manhattan Bridge and then one very large space adjacent to the Brooklyn Bridge 3 and, again, DOT, you know, is -- if you go there, 4 5 there are about 20 percent occupied with vehicles 6 mostly unoccupied. You know, at some point, there 7 was a boat there. DOT bridges said that that boat 8 was used-- you know, it wasn't somebody's personal boat. It was about that was used to go out there and 9 inspect the -- you know, some of the bridges that 10 are, you know-- parts of the bridge are the towers 11 12 of the bridge. The bases. But I didn't see any DOT insignia on that. On that boat. I mean, I see all 13 14 types of vehicles with out-of-state plates. 15 Jersey plates. Pennsylvania plates. Not official 16 DOT vehicles. So, what is the status of the conversation on DOT consolidating their vehicles? 17 18 ELENI DE SIERVO: So, I could speak to the nature of the conversations that we have had with DOT 19 20 I can't speak directly for the agency, but our understanding is that the lots that are closest 21 2.2 for the side are used currently for bridge 23 maintenance and storage of their equipment and that 24 is an ongoing need that we have heard from the

Commissioner. That the Department of Transportation

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

does need to have access to those bridges for ongoing maintenance. You know, we have not made a—— you know, DOT is not made a final determination yet as to what is possible, although what they have said is that they are reluctant to give up those lots. And so, where we stand is that, again, there are proceeds that will be coming from the sale of these development rights. There are development rights that will be retained within this site for, you know, one story facility an ongoing agency needs. And so, those are conversations that we will be continuing with the Department of Transportation and I

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I appreciate that.

You know, it is definitely something that I think it would be important for me that the city and EDC, as the representative agency in this application process, kind of recognize and acknowledge that this is a real issue and that DOT should make a real effort to consolidate the spaces. It's not as if there is no place for them to go. I mean, just so that everybody knows, from what they told me is that there are multiple parking lots that DOT contractors should be able to park in because they have different

understand you will be, as well.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 38 DISPOSITIONS maintenance contracts. And so, you know, the maintenance contract on one part of-- on the platform of the bridge is a different contract than the tower of the bridge and, therefore, even if they are both the same company that has the contract, so [inaudible 00:42:44] of team one and [inaudible 00:42:45] of team two, they need different parking lots to park in because they can't be bothered to share a parking lot, even if means that those parking lots are 20 percent occupied at any given time. And that's, basically, what they told me. Is that, you know, the DOT-- the company that has the contract on the Brooklyn Bridge can't share space with the Manhattan Bridge because what if they both need to get out of the parking lot at the same time? gets to go first? I mean, that's, basically, what they have said is like, you know, we can't be bothered to share space. We have to be able to offer everybody in their own contract their own space that, you know, God forbid, they have to like wait 30 seconds for like another contractor to like get out of the parking lot. I mean, it's a ridiculous argument. It's, basically, as an agency, they are saying that we need 100,000 square feet or 200,000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 39 square feet in a neighborhood, you know, because we can't ask our contractor to share any space. be perfectly clear with the public, that's what DOT has said. It's a totally unacceptable position to me and if we're going to be selling air rights to one of these spaces, I mean, I felt a little bit like insulted that they decided that they wanted to sell the air rights, but retain one FAR underneath the bridge so that they can, you know-- basically the design on that is so that they could retain access to it, you know, in perpetuity. So, you know, I appreciate the need for commercial space. I think that that is a valiant effort. You know, commercial space, in and of itself, is not a community benefit. There needs to be much more going back to the community as part of this deal toward the sale of air rights. So, I just want to make that very clear. And I will turn it back over to the Chair. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council member Levine. I now would like to invite mt colleagues to ask any questions. I do see that Council member Barron has her hand raised and I want to give her the floor to ask her questions. Council

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

member Barron?

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chair. Just a couple of questions. I heard my colleague in his introductory remarks alluded to the fact that there would be no public access under the bridge if this deal were to go forward and I would just want the panel to respond to that or expand on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr.

ELENI DE SIERVO: Sure. Christina, I may ask you to step in here. So, as Christina had outlined in the ULURP action that is being taken, the transfer of development rights is for a portion of the air rights that are otherwise unusable because of the location of the bridge overhead. And so, those can only be transferred to an adjacent property owner and the Rabsky team has the as of right development. And so, the city had approached them through the RFP process about changing their program from as of right market rate residential to include commercial. there would be some type of access by the community for a job creation. This is in addition to, you know, building operation jobs. There would be commercial office jobs. The balance of the site, which is everything that is kind of below the bridge, those air rights would be -- those development rights

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 41 would be retained on the site and that is controlled 2 by the Department of Transportation and it has always 3 4 been controlled by the Department of Transportation. 5 And so, it will, as of now, continue to be controlled 6 by that agency. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, there are no plans to develop anything on that site that was 8 marked or that you are transferring? There is no 9 development that is going to go there on that 10

ELENI DE SIERVO: That is right. And so, everything will go on the adjacent site, which is the private site adjacent to the city owned DOT site.

particular location?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: What are the plans for the construction on that new site? On the site where the rights are being transferred?

ELENI DE SIERVO: Sure. Stephen, do you want to walk through your program for how the air rights-- the development rights that would be transferred would change the as of right program?

STEPHEN HAYES: Sure. So, the as of right program is the full residential component of the project that I just presented, as well as some of the retail component. And that component would be a

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND
 1
                                                        42
    DISPOSITIONS
 2
    building that would be approximately the same height
    but slimmer. The office component adding to it would
 3
    be added to the floors just above the retail and it
 4
     would widen the lower six floors that we're talking
 5
 6
     about, which would be the office, the seventh floor--
 7
     sorry. And then, above that, would be the as of
     right residential. So, we're basically adding the
 8
     air rights transaction to 100 percent office
 9
10
     development and applying it to the existing building
     envelope.
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Approximately how
    many stories will this new building be?
13
14
                                  25.
                STEPHEN HAYES:
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And what is
16
     currently?
17
                STEPHEN HAYES:
                                  It's noting now.
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Oh, there's nothing
     there.
19
20
                STEPHEN HAYES:
                                  Oh. There's nothing
     there now. Yeah. It's vacant.
21
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Vacant. Thank you.
2.2
23
                ELENI DE SIERVO: The as of right
24
     proposal, though, would be the same height as the
25
     commercial proposal.
```

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

I'm also concerned about community benefits. I'm very familiar with that area because I grew up in the Fort Green projects which is neighboring to the Farragut projects and, as my colleagues had said, that's a very dense area in terms of population and that train station is horrible. I understand that we're looking to see what the city might be able to do with the MTA to make any kind of improvements with that, but in addition to looking at the NYCHA development that is there, there are other communitybased organizations that are there. There is a There is a public school that is located there. There are several churches that are there and I would just hope that, as you look at community benefits agreement's, you would look not just at NYCHA, but also at the other entities that are there, it in the particularly familiar with the Church of the Open Door that is located there one block up from where the school is. And there may also be where there was at one time, I think, private Catholic I'm not sure if it is still there. If that is what it is. But I would certainly want to hope that you have a very expansive outreach to the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

44

2 community organizations that are there so that they

might also see how benefits from this development 3

would be available. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ELENI DE SIERVO: Thank you, Council

6 member.

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council member Barron. Council member Levin, do you have another question?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair. I just want to thank Council member Barron for pointing out the needs of the school. It is PS 307 which is the adjacent school as well as PS 282 which-- I'm sorry. Not 282. Now I'm blanking. sorry. I'm blanking. 237? There's definitely needs in both of those schools. Capital needs. And so, I would definitely be looking at that. And then, in regards to the Catholic church, I think that they, sometime in the 1990s, the Catholic Church was demolished and in the middle the night in the community is still very upset about that along Front Street. So, it is still very much a sore spot for the community. But thank you very much, Council

_	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND
1	DISPOSITIONS 45
2	member Barron, for speaking to these issues. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, are there
5	anymore questions from any members?
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If there are any
7	Council members who have questions, please push the
8	raise hand button now. I see no other Council member
9	questions.
10	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. There
11	being no questions for this panel, this panel is
12	excused. Thank you very much.
13	ELENI DE SIERVO: Thank you, Chair.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley, I have
15	received word that Council member Barron is ready to
16	vote now.
17	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, Council member
19	Barron there? We lost Council member
20	
	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. No.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. No. I'm not I'm here.
21 22 23	I'm not I'm here.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 46
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, Council
3	member.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. I'm going to
5	be voting no on the Lower East Side project and
6	voting yes on the three NCP scattered site
7	application in Harlem and I am abstaining on the
8	homeownership Harlem Open Door cluster site pending
9	getting the information that I need. Thank you very
10	much.
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
12	member.
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: One moment, please.
14	I want to make sure I get that right. So, this is no
15	on LU 741, is that correct, Council member Barron?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes.
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No on 741. Yes on
18	747, 746, and 756.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Correct.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And abstaining on
21	743.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Correct.
23	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: All right. So, the
24	vote on LU 747 remains four in the affirmative, zero
25	in the negative with zero abstentions. The vote on

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND
 1
    DISPOSITIONS
                                                      47
 2
    LUs 744-- 743, 745, and 746-- I'm sorry. 745 and
    746 is five in the affirmative, zero in the negative,
 3
    and zero abstentions. And the vote on LUs 741-- let
 4
    me make sure this is right. 741-- does 741 have an
 5
 6
    article 11? Let me just check this. 741 is abstain,
 7
    correct?
               COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: No. 741 is a no.
 8
               COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. So that one
 9
10
    is--
               COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: The Lower East
11
    Side.
12
13
               COMMITTEE COUNSEL: One in the
14
    negative. Zero abstentions. Which one did you
15
    abstain on?
16
               COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: 743.
17
               COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 743 abstain. And
    744, 745, and 746 you are yes on.
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: 745, 746, 747
19
20
    is a yes.
21
               COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 747.
2.2
               COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: 741-- we did that
23
    as a no. 743 is an abstention. 743 is the Harlem
    Open Door cluster. I'm abstaining. I need more
24
    information.
25
```

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 48
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 743 and 744, the
3	related article 11.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. Yes.
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. Yes. So, no
6	on 741.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Correct.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So that is four in
9	the affirmative, one in the negative, zero
10	abstentions.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You are abstaining
13	on 743 and 744. So that is four in the affirmative,
14	zero in the negative, and one abstention
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Correct.
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: on 743 and 744.
17	And yes on 745, 746, and 747.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Correct.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Which would be five
20	in the affirmative, zero in the negative, and zero
21	abstentions.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right. We agree.
23	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 49 DISPOSITIONS 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: All right, then. 3 We may continue. 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, counsel. 5 Thank you, Council member Barron. Counsel, are there 6 any members of the public who wish to testify on LU 752, 69 Adams Street? 7 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes. There are. If you would give me one moment. The first panel we 9 have on this item is Suzanne Quint, Lincoln Restler, 10 Ivo Stanic, and Deborah Shaffer. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. 13 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: It appears that 14 everybody is here. I will remind you that members of the public will be given to minutes to speak. Do not 15 16 begin until the sergeant-at-arms has announced the 17 time has started. 18 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. So, the first witness we will be going with his Lincoln 19 20 Restler. Please state your name and affiliation before you begin. You may begin. 21 2.2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 23 LINCOLN RESTLER: Thank you, Chair Riley. 24 Congrats on your promotion and chairing this

distinguished committee. It is good to see Jeff and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 50 Council member Levin and [inaudible 00:57:47]. terribly disappointed in EDC. EDC and the city are selling off their rights from the city-owned property in the Dumbo community and have come to this hearing failing to deliver one tangible community benefit for the Dumbo community. After working for years and years on this project, to not, at the very tail end of a ULURP project, to not have one single tangible community benefit is unacceptable. Nothing on funding for the York Street station is secure. Hiding behind a new study when we know that New York City transit has already studied this is disingenuous. This station is a death trap. Every penny from this project, every single penny generated from the sale of air rights towards 69 Adams should be invested as a down payment in the second entrance at York Street. There is nothing firm on securing We know their bridges division was space from DOT. totally unwilling to work with us. You should have brought them to this hearing. They should have been answering questions from Council member Levin and hearing the outrage from the community about how they block off so much of the Dumbo-- so much space in the Dumbo community from us to be able to enjoy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 51 There is not one unit of affordable housing in this 2 project, not a penny is being invested in needed 3 4 infrastructure. Not one more inch of public space. The Dumbo community isn't clamoring for more office 5 6 space. The community that has recently experienced 7 an explosion in new commercial space due to the sale and conversion of the Watchtower Properties. EDC is 8 handing over significant wealth to a private 9 10 developer and hemming and hauling on what we are getting in return. This project, as EDC has laid it 11 12 out, does not work for the community--13 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 14 LINCOLN RESTLER: and we need to go back 15 to the board. Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Lincoln. 17 Our next witness we will be hearing from is Deborah Shaffer. 18 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Deborah, state your name and affiliation before you begin. 21 2.2 DEBORAH SHAFFER: Hi. My name is Deborah 23 Schaefer and I a Dumbo resident for about 10 years and I just want to applaud everything that Lincoln 24

just said. You know, even in today's presentation,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 52 DISPOSITIONS we heard a lot about the commercial space, the commercial space, the commercial space. And I believe that this is really a big obfuscation of the developers real intention which is to gain much more valuable residential space by enlarging their footprint and getting a pedestal that they can put the residential towers on and have a hugely, hugely, hugely valuable project without a drop of affordable housing included and, as Lincoln said, without any who was quoted in the Brooklyn Eagle as saying that I feel like I take my life in my hands when I go into the York Street station. I use that station frequently and Councilman Levin spoke about a fire down there. Well, even if somebody had like a medical emergency and needed an EMT, if it was rushhour, boarding more night, you could not get a medical team down there to evacuate somebody. I urge all of you who are considering voting for this project to go to the York Street station at rush hour. And whoever said that the neighborhood is quiet in the daytime, they have never been here. This is the busiest, most vibrant daytime neighborhood. It is full of young families. happen to be one of the few older people who lives in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS
the neighborhood, but it is full of families and

53

3 children and dogs and people on the street all the

4 time. We do not need more office space. There was

5 already lots and lots and lots of un-rented office

6 space. 10 Jon Street has been built for years. It's

7 empty. It is absolutely empty. They areas on used--

we do not need commercial space. It is of no benefit

9 to the neighborhood. That has already been said.

This whole thing is a big boondoggle and I am

completely opposed to selling those air rights to--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

DEBORAH SCHAEFER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No. You can conclude, Ms. Schaefer.

DEBORAH SCHAEFER: No. That was everything I was planning to say. There really has to be much more attention paid to York Street into the other problems— you know, other infrastructure issues to the crowding in the neighborhood of the vehicular traffic and the pedestrian traffic.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms.

Schaefer. The next witness we will be hearing from is Ivo Stanic. I'm sorry if I pronounced your name

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

54

2 wrong, but Ivo, please state your name and

3 affiliation before you begin.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

 $\label{eq:community.} \mbox{IVO STRANIC: My name is Ivo Stanic.} \mbox{ I'm a}$ member of the Dumbo community.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Ivo Stranic. Sorry about that. Go ahead, Ivo.

IVO STRANIC: No problem. Thank you. I urge the Council to vote no on this proposal for the following reasons: you know, first of all, you know, adding to the strain to the neighborhood infrastructure, as people of said, especially to York Street is a really, really bad idea. Since we are completely underwater in terms of capacity and since there are over 1000 apartments that are being built right around the station in the next two years, we must avoid, at all costs, piling on additional commuters to this dangerous station and that is exactly what we accomplish. The problem. The piling on of additional commuters and adding to the risk and what happens if we expand the size of this building. Now, in discussions with the MTA, they have said that this station is extremely difficult to update, so the chances of them fixing the problem more slim.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 55 second, is the safety risk and the cost of the added infrastructure strain far outweigh the benefits of the \$18 million price tag. And, in fact, as I have learned, 18 million does not even begin to solve the issues faced by the Dumbo community. And the money is not even going to Dumbo, so that is not even relevant. Now, this ULURP does way more harm than good and I want to remind the Council this is the same conclusion that was reached by community board two and the Brooklyn Borough President. So, the entire community is against this proposal. very evident that this proposal only serves the interest of the developer and no one else in Council member Levin has been in the loop and is well aware this, but I just wanted the other Council members to hear from us so that they know about the lack of community support, as well. And, you know, instead of listening to the dozens of additional reasons against this proposal, really a better perspective is what Mr. Restler said. There's absolutely nothing -and I mean nothing -- for the residents of the city in this proposal. And, therefore, there is no reason to approve it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2

1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY:

Thank you, Ivo.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And last, but not least, we will be hearing from the last witness is Susan Quint. Susan, please state your name and affiliation before you begin. You may begin. SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. SUZANNE QUINT: Hi. I am Suzanne Ouint

and I am a Dumbo resident. My husband also has an office in Dumbo. I am also urging the Council to vote no on this item and, again, stating that there are no-- and I mean no-- community benefits associated with this. No affordable housing, no support, importantly, for the local infrastructure, then, yes, first and foremost, that means the York Street subway station. The York Street subway station get some out as much traffic as Queens Plaza or the World Trade Center Portland Station. We've got one platform, three turnstiles, and one egress and the entire station and. And this is not a conversation that started now. It's a conversation that has been going on since 2004 and we cannot just have promises and we will do a study and, as Lincoln said, there has been a study. We can't support-you know, the community, we can't support and I don't SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 57 DISPOSITIONS think the city can support getting \$18 million over here and then not getting any meaningful benefit and safety improvement that is needed. And I do want to underscore that that station services Dumbo, Vinegar Hill, the Brooklyn Tech Triangle, the NYCHA housing--Farragut, specifically-- and the Brooklyn Navy Yard. It's the closest station to the Navy Yard which the city is working to boost capacity on, so how can we, in any conscience, boost that? We recognize that we voting no means we need to get a tower in that space, but we can't support any added density without a safety improvement. I did just want to say on my remaining time, with regards to the DOT land, that the community does not view turning over the partial of land that is immediately adjacent to this. If that one parcel of land, as a community benefit, that is commensurate with the money and certainly would not be in lieu of a safety improvement there. mean, that parcel of land, obviously, would be to beautify the developers space and really serves more as a benefit for the developer into their evaluation and then for the community right there. I would also like to respond to the benefit of jobs for the community. The hardtack and creative sector jobs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

58

have worked in the tech and the digital sector. They are not going to be hiring people from the immediate community. It's not enforceable and we don't think that is a community benefit and, frankly, we believe it to be smoke and mirrors. Lastly, I would like to raise the question about transportation density. am not an expert on all of these proceedings and these documents, certainly, but the amount of square feet, we understand, could accommodate up to 900 people as part of this, but the numbers that were used in the application and make it so that it falls just under the number needed to request an additional study. So, just under the 200 commuters during rush hour on the subway-- when I say just under, I mean like 198 instead of 200. And so, we question, without being experts, we question the calculations used, especially as it relates directly to how it impacts the density and the subway usage on this dangerous subway. So, I would conclude there. you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Suzanne.

Are there any members of the Council that have any
questions for this panelist?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

59

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If there any

Council member questions, please use the raise hand button if you have questions for this panel. I see no Council member questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I think Council member Levin has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Chair. Sorry. I should have used the raise hand function instead of actually raising my hand. just want to thank the members of the public that have testified. Lincoln, Ivo, Deborah, and Suzanne for raising these concerns and I certainly understand and sympathize with their frustration here. If this project is to move forward, this has to be a significantly different project and is presented right now and so, you know, you have my commitment that I will do everything in work as hard as I can over the coming four or five weeks to see if there is an opportunity to have meaningful community benefits as part of this and I am going to insist that that be the case if this is to move forward. So, again, I appreciate that and I make myself available any time to meet with you guys and let you know what I have

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

	CUDCOMMITTEE ON IANDMADEC DUDITO CITTACC AND
1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 60
2	been up to and get your feedback and continue to have
3	conversations here. Thanks.
4	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
5	member Levin. Counsel, are there any more questions
6	for this panel?
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If there any more
8	Council member questions, please use the raise hand
9	button. I see no Council member questions.
10	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no more
11	questions for this panel, the panel is now excused
12	and I would like to thank you all for coming today
13	and giving your testimony.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next panel is
15	Sinade Wadsworth, Lori Rafael, Alexandria Sica,
16	Regina Myer, and Michael Nared.
17	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. We
18	would
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Remember, you will
20	have two minutes to speak and make sure you're on
21	muted.
22	SINADE WADSWORTH: Good afternoon,
23	counselors. My name is Sinade Wadsworth, one of the
24	areas standard representatives for the New York City
25	District Council Carpenters. We're a membership of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

1

20

25

61

2 approximately 20,000 members. I represent

approximately 200 union carpenters in the proposed 3

4 area and I would like to take this opportunity to

5 share our full support for the project. During these

6 unprecedented times, public and private industries

7 have joined forces to invest in our communities and

it is so, so, so important right now because we have 8

lost so much last year due to the pandemic. 9

10 project will provide union careers for the community,

as well as restore faith in our city and I want to 11

12 thank you all for your time and your service.

you so much and enjoy the rest of this beautiful 13

14 today. Have a wonderful day, everybody.

15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms.

16 Wadsworth. I would like to recognize Alexandria

Sica. I'm sorry if I pronounced your name wrong. 17

18 When you testify, just please state your name and

affiliation before you begin. 19

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

ALEXANDRIA SICA: You pronounced my name 21

2.2 fantastically. Alexandria Sica, president of the

23 Dumbo Improvement District, the local BID. I want to

24 say that I support the intention of this action.

Investing in existing clusters and ecosystems of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 62 DISPOSITIONS tech and creative system which Dumbo is 100 percent at the top of that list, it seems to be very sound economic development policy for the city of New York, particularly now when we are competing more and more with cities across the country for these companies, but I do also want to say that I am really hopeful that there is going to be a good deal for the community coming out of this project. absolutely means a bunch of this money going to the F train. The MTA has clearly not made this station a priority and so, if we can give them the funding to kickstart their effort to transform that station, I believe it's the only way we will get this ball rolling. We also very much, at a minimum, need to be turnings, these spaces over from the Department of Transportation back to the community. If the city is going to benefit from this deal, if anyone is going to benefit from this deal, we absolutely should have the space coming along with it. So, we look forward to the negotiations over the next few weeks and hope that a good deal can be struck for everyone. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, I will now recognize Lori Rafael to give Alexandria.

her testimony. Please, Ms. Rafael, please state your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 63 name and affiliation before you begin and you may begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

LORI RAFAEL: I'm Lori Rafael. I'm senior vice president with the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce and good afternoon, members of the subcommittee. Brooklyn Chamber is speaking in full support of the disposition of the city-owned property to 69 Adams by the Rabsky Group and I selected by EDC through the RFP process. As you know, that Brooklyn Chamber is a membership-based assistance organization. represent the interests of our member businesses, but also businesses across the borough of Brooklyn and through the Brooklyn Alliance. That is our not-forprofit economic development arm and where conducting business assistance programs boroughwide, as well. Under the terms of the transfer, development rights are to be used in a commercial office use only to create and support outer borough office development, aligned with the Mayor's New York Works jobs plan. There must be both MWBE and local hiring plans in place for both and permanent jobs in connection with the commercial development rights and pursuant to the hiring program plan. The office portion of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 64 development is projected to bring 438 per minute jobs to downtown Brooklyn. That is a significant and important number to us. It is notable that the commercial portion of the project will be 25 stories, the same height as the adjacent as of right residential buildings. The first floor lobbies will how neighborhood retail with street facing windows activating those streets and parking will be placed on the second floor with access away from the corner of Adams and Front also improving access in the streetscape or maintaining access in the streetscape. The Rabsky Group has committed to hiring a fulltime community liaison to be readily available and responsive to community concerns. The community liaison will attend community board and other meetings, as requested, will keep the community informed of the projects progress, and will monitor construction to ensure minimal community impact during the construction phase. We are in full support of the sale of the air rights to 69 Adams Street in the measures that will be taken to bring jobs to higher MWBE and local individuals and to engage with the community on a meaningful basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

65

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Lori. I would like to recognize Regina Myer to testify next.

Ms. Meyer, please state your name and affiliation before you begin and you may begin.

REGINA MYER: Sure thing.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

REGINA MYER: My name is Regina Myer. the president of the Downtown Brooklyn Partnership and I thank all of you members of the committee, Council member Levin, and the staff at the Land Use Committee and city Council for the opportunity to speak on behalf of this proposal. I would like to express my support, our support, for the city of New York's proposed sale of nearly 100,000 square feet of unused development rights to 69 Adams Street, LLC, for commercial use. This action will facilitate the construction of new commercial office space which, has been noted, is in total alignment with the Mayors new works jobs plan that calls for investment in the emerging commercial centers with access to transportation. As others have mentioned, especially my colleague in Dumbo, mixed-use development has been key to Dumbo and downtown Brooklyn success and we must continue to advocate for jobs, especially in the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

66

2 post-recovery mode when we find that having jobs

3 closer to the neighborhood and where people live is

4 probably one of-- going to be one of the things that

5 New York City means to rebound. The mixed use model

6 supports those kinds of live work opportunities that

7 | we know people are going to be looking for as they

8 have more and more concerns about commuting into

9 Manhattan. As also has been noted, the project will

10 also create hundreds of new jobs and have a very

11 strong commitment to local and MWBE hiring. These

12 commitments really, really matter and have also

13 really been successful in other projects and downtown

14 | Brooklyn and Dumbo. In summary, I just want to say

15 | that this critical new space is really important--

16 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

REGINA MYER: and I look forward to the ongoing negotiation to bring further benefits to the Dumbo neighborhood.

20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms.

21 \parallel Myer. In the last panelist we will have is Michael

22 Nared. Sorry five pronounced her name wrong,

Michael. But you may state your name and affiliation

24 | before you begin and you may begin now.

23

17

18

19

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

67

My name

MICHAEL NARED:

3 is Michael Nared. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. We can hear

Good afternoon.

5 you.

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL NARED: Okay. Thank you. you for having this meeting today. I am a long resident of Dumbo, 40 something years, but now I come I come with 87,000 other members of my 32 BJ brothers and sisters who work in support working families with prevalent wages. Today we want to discuss the real quick issue of having the support of this Dumbo project due to the fact that due to Covid we have lost a lot of jobs and a lot of things that went with that, especially in that neighborhood and we are just looking to be able to become part of a working force back there where we can actually, you know, take pride in what we do there and have an abundance of people working back there because we have lost tons of them during this pandemic. Rabin-- keep pronouncing their name wrong. I apologize for that. The Rabsky Group, we dealt with them is 32 BJ members and they have always had a track record of doing the right thing by the people that work in our city. The prevalent wage thing is

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 68 very important because, you know, with all this new growth that is going on, we want to be a part of that new on different ways. We want to be able to not just work, and that led in the places that we are building and we know that the city, Dumbo, was the new Midtown Manhattan as far as development is concerned and we, basically-- I've got to read something to you real guick and I know I only have two minutes, but we need to put our working families and good jobs in the center of our recovery and we can do so through the New York develop projects like the ones on 69 Adams Street. My local development, the Rabsky Group. The proposed development at 69 Adams would ensure that Brooklyn families will benefit from our new development where workers--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

MICHAEL NARED: can earn a prevalent wage. Summarizing, we need this. 32 BJ supports it and more than willing to say yes to this project and we hope for the betterment of it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Michael. Counsel, are there any members who have questions for this panel?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 69
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council members who
3	have questions, please use the raise hand button. I
4	see no Council member questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel Sorry.
6	There being no more questions for this panel, this
7	panel is now excused. Thank you so much for
8	testifying today.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next panel that
10	we have on this item is Mallory Kasdan, Aaron Comino
11	Smith, Callie Katt, and Nick DeSantis.
12	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The committee will
14	stand at ease while we admit them to the room. Are
15	they all here? Okay. You may proceed.
16	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I will
17	now recognize Mallory Kasdan to begin. Mallory,
18	thank you for being here today. Please state your
19	name and affiliation before you begin and you now may
20	begin.
21	MALLORY KASDAN: Hi. I'm Mallory Kasdan.
22	Can you hear me?
23	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.
24	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

MALLORY KASDAN: Great. I am the cofounder of the Dumbo Action Committee and a Dumbo resident since 2003. Thank you so much for listening to us today and we really appreciate being heard. founded this organization with another woman who will be speaking on here. We felt that we wanted a neighborhood that was safe and responsible development wise and we found that things were going a little haywire, quite frankly, in this neighborhood and I had no experience in the city planning or community organizing, but it seemed to me very important that, as people that plan to live here-we sent our kids to public schools, I am not planning to leave ever-- to be more versed in how things get done here because it seems often that, as residents, we are often caught unaware with the amount of development that is happening. This particular project, I just want to say, with all due respect, we had very little contact with EDC or the Rabsky Group. Our team at DAC, Dumbo Action Committee, approached them in 2019 in the fall to have a meeting and we had another talk with a high member there very recently about this project of which we have considerable concerns which my other Dumbo neighbors, I think,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 71 DISPOSITIONS 2 have pointed out-- specifically, the infrastructure problem with our F train and we feel that this is a 3 not very well thought through plan. We don't feel 4 5 there is any community benefit, as my friends and 6 colleagues have said and we would like to really be 7 considerate in a community conversation, not just, you know, as a bullet point, but we really haven't 8 felt heard until now because now everyone is talking 9 about the F train and this is one of the things that 10 we've been working on for the last three or four 11 12 years trying to get people to pay attention as our neighborhood gets more and more crowded. 13 14 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 15 MALLORY KASDAN: Okay. Thank you. 16 Sorry. 17 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No. you may 18 conclude. Go ahead, Ms. Kasdan. MALLORY KASDAN: Oh, okay. 19 I just want 20 to say, you know, we feel, as a community organization, that we really need to be heard when we 21 2.2 say that this building is not appropriate and we 23 realize that it will be built regardless and there will be jobs. There will be construction jobs if 24

this building gets built as a residential tower, but

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 72 DISPOSITIONS we don't feel that we're receiving any concessions as 2 a community with the additional space being sold. 3 4 So, thank you for listening. 5 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. 6 Kasdan. I would like to recognize Callie Katt. 7 Callie, please state your name and affiliation before 8 you begin and I'm sorry if I said your name wrong. You may begin. 9 CALLIE KATT: I'm Callie Katt--10 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time. 11 12 CALLIE KATT: with the Dumbo Action 13 Committee. Can everyone hear me okay? 14 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sure. Yeah. We 15 can hear you. 16 CALLIE KATT: Yes. Okay. Thank you for 17 everyone's time. I am also speaking in opposition to 18 the 69 Adams Street ULURP. As an organization, DAC has spent countless hours voicing our concerns about 19 20 the onslaught of development in Dumbo. We are not anti-development, but residents have enjoyed the day 21 2.2 today hardships of living through construction and 23 all of the private developers have come in, built their buildings, taken their profits, and not the to 24

improve the lives of Dumbo residents and, frankly,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 73 DISPOSITIONS enough is enough. We have an opportunity here to finally change how developers work with our neighborhood on an ongoing basis. Without a firm commitment for improvements to our neighborhood and its infrastructure, we cannot support further development here in Dumbo. New York's Street F station is a disaster waiting to happen, as we have heard about all day. It is poorly designed, overcrowded, and not only has just one exit, but also I just one staircase leading off the platform and only three turnstiles. With so many new residential land commercial units coming online in the next few years, at the station will become unusable due to the large crowds and unsafe conditions, especially at rush hour. In addition, this ULURP allows for 69 Adams to add commercial space to the building. While I understand the desire to create jobs in other boroughs, this neighborhood is already filled with underutilized commercial space. The panorama development consists of over 600,000 square feet of class a commercial space and it is empty. There is not one tenant there. The Dumbo Heights development on Prospect Street is virtually and D, too, and 29 J is a new building that will add over 200,000 square

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND
 1
                                                        74
    DISPOSITIONS
 2
     feet of additional commercial space to the
     neighborhood that simply cannot be absorbed.
 3
 4
     DOT owns many parcels of land throughout the
     neighborhood and they should not be able to sell
 5
 6
     their air rights without giving the neighborhood
 7
     something in return. Many of their parcels sit
    vacant and are an eyesore to the people that live,
 8
    work, and visit our neighborhood. In conclusion,
 9
     this ULURP should not proceed and tell EDC
10
     renegotiate said and gives that community summary all
11
12
    public benefits. Thank you.
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
                                       Thank you, Ms.
13
14
           The next witness we will be acknowledging is
    Nicholas DeSantis. Nicholas, please state your name
15
16
     and affiliation before you begin and you may begin.
17
                NICHOLAS DESANTIS:
                                       Can you hear me?
18
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
                                       Yes. We can hear
19
     you, Nicholas.
20
                NICHOLAS DESANTIS:
                                       Thank you. My name
     is Nick--
2.1
2.2
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
23
                NICHOLAS DESANTIS:
                                       DeSantis.
                                                   I am a
    member of the Dumbo Action Committee. I am also a
24
```

resident of Dumbo for what will now be 14 years.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 75 DISPOSITIONS am testifying in opposition to this ULURP. going to state some statistics that you have mostly heard already and reiterate some others . We know that York Street station has a single egress. not ADA compliant. It has three turnstiles. serves riders of every demographic and socio-economic category. The station serves residents of Dumbo, of Vinegar Hill, the Farragut houses, Fulton Ferry Landing, , and Dumbo is a hub for Brooklyn Tech Triangle. The station also serves commuters coming into city tech and why you and the Navy Yard. Navy Yard currently has approximately 11,000 people working very and they plan to expand to 30,000. There are many tourists coming to Dumbo to visit Brooklyn Bridge Park to see Dumbo, and to photograph themselves on the corners of Washington and water Street, the most Instagram to place in New York City and possibly the United States. The station also serves Dumbo itself. Dumbo has the city's highest concentration of technology firms. Dumbo is home to 25 percent of New York City's technology-based firms. Dumbo is a hub for the Brooklyn Tech Triangle. also the corporate headquarters for Etsy and West Dumbo has 1000 new residential units coming Elm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 76 DISPOSITIONS online in the next year or two and already, as you've 2 heard, it has over 1 million on occupied commercial 3 square feet. In 2019, the MTA statistics showed that 4 the station had nearly 4 million riders. 5 That is a 6 42 percent increase from 2014. The volume of our 7 station is on par with Hobbes like Queens Plaza, and the World Trade Center Cortland Street. The city 8 continues -- the city and state continues to push 9 10 Dumbo in the areas as tech, work, lifestyle, and tourism. 11 12 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. NICHOLAS DESANTIS: but they do not 13 14 back it up with any infrastructure support. Thank 15 you. 16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, 17 Nicholas. In our last witness we will hear from Ms. 18 Aaron Kominos Smith. I am so sorry if I pronounced your name wrong. Aaron, please state your name and 19 20 affiliation before you begin and you may begin. AARON KOMINOS SMITH: I'm Aaron Kominos 21 2.2 Smith. You got it close enough. We will take it. 23 am speaking in opposition. I have lived in Dumbo for 14 years now. I mean, we've heard a couple of 24

comments about the EDC pushing for mixed use

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 77 DISPOSITIONS 2 development and then the second piece that was finally mentioned was mixed use with access to 3 4 transportation. It just does not exist here in 5 Dumbo. Everybody loves the idea of mixed use. Office, residential, retail. Let's get it all in 6 7 It's a great idea, but Dumbo cannot support Even if all ET million dollars of this transfer 8 went to a new station, voyage there currently is no 9 10 ready plan that has been created, it would not be nearly enough to even get that station going, even as 11 12 proposed if it was the down payment. I think my biggest frustration with this is that EDC just 13 14 basically proposed a number of 18 million and it is 15 beyond a bargain. It is an absolute joke for what 16 Rabsky gets as a result of this 18 million. One, 17 they get an entire office building that they get to 18 build. A massive office building that they will easily break even and make a profit on, about reality 19 20 what they get to do is take residential that would otherwise be at second, third, fourth floor with the 21 2.2 building right across the street and instead now 23 place that residential way higher up with beautiful views and get so much more profit. I mean, we're 24 25 talking probably 40 or \$50 million in additional

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 78 profit from that residential. I was looking at their They have a section drawing of what their residential would be as of right and it would be the single loaded corridor that would only face West, which doesn't even make sense. No one would build a 32 foot wide building that is 200 feet long, but this would allow them to build a building they really want which is all about the residential. I understand 18 million dollars was based off of a very specific pricing for commercial property, but that's ridiculous. They weren't just buying commercial space. What they are buying with that money is an opportunity to raise the so much more of their residential--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

AARON KOMINOS SMITH: and make it higher. So, I guess that is my frustration. They're not interested in the commercial. They would build this on an empty 12 story platform if they could because of all the extra benefits that they get monetarily from the residential. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Aaron.

Counsel, are there any questions for this panel?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 79			
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Any Council members			
3	who have questions should use the raise hand button			
4	now. I see no Council member questions.			
5	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no			
6	questions for this panel, this panel is now excused.			
7	Thank you so much for your testimony today.			
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next panel is			
9	Melissa Prober, William Taylor, Salisa Hudson, and			
10	Doreen Gallo. One moment while we admit the			
11	panelists to the zoom room. Is Melissa Prober in the			
12	room already?			
13	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No. I don't see			
14	her.			
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I see Doreen Gallo,			
16	Salisa Hudson, and William Taylor, so why don't we			
17	proceed with that and we'll try to locate Melissa.			
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem. So, I			
19	will recognize The first witness I will recognize			
20	on this panel is Doreen Gallo.			
21	DOREEN GALLO: Hello. Hi.			
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hello, Doreen.			
23	Please state your name and affiliation before you			
24	hegin and you may hegin			

1

23

24

25

2 DOREEN GALLO: My name is Doreen Gallo and I am representing that Dumbo Neighborhood 3 Alliance. I have lived in Dumbo for 40 years, so I 4 5 have seen it all. All the promises made. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of the Dumbo 6 7 Neighborhood Alliance. DNA is asking for the committee to vote no on the city's proposed sale of 8 unused development rights for commercial use. 9 that the as of right M1-5 R9-1 zoning for 69 Adams 10 have the appropriate percentage of affordable units 11 12 in keeping with the mandatory inclusionary housing quidelines. 69 Adams is captured in the 2001 one 13 block rezoning. This rezoning was a continuation of 14 15 the piecemeal development in Dumbo with no givebacks 16 to the community. The R9 was a deeply uncharacteristic ups zoning adjacent on all sides and 17 18 smack up against the Manhattan Bridge. block rezoning became a catalyst for rampant 19 overdevelopment without preparation for the necessary 20 infrastructure. The commercial corridor is 21

22 inappropriate at the site, adding too much d

inappropriate at the site, adding too much density

within such close proximity to the bridge. There is

an oversupply of vacant commercial spaces already and

businesses are leaving Dumbo, many struggling before

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 81 DISPOSITIONS Covid. An R9 building in this rezoning, 100 J 2 Street, was not built until after 9/11. At that 3 4 time, city planning had the opportunity for a FUCA, a follow-up corrective action, because the 5 environmental review was flawed and clearly still is. 6 7 Buildings on York and York Street [inaudible 01:36:30] registers the part of our historic 8 district, the Manhattan Bridge, remains an eyesore 9 with DOT rooted under every parcel. Zoning has 10 sanitized Dumbo of its mixed-use neighborhood, 11 12 leaving DOT with the only industrial use. DNA has advocated for the restoration of down under the 13 Manhattan Bridge, accessing pre-existing 14 15 transportation and water avoid privatizing our public 16 streets and connecting our adjacent neighborhoods and being the north entrance to Brooklyn Bridge Park. 17 18 The city has had over 20 years to address the inaccessibility and the dangerous means of egress at 19 the York Street station--20 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 21 2.2 DOREEN GALLO: Okay. May I just finish 23 with saying that DNA will be submitting written

testimony and it will include a historic 1930s photo

of the York and Pearl Street stations with intact

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 82 2 historic resources. And just one other note. city enable the Jehovah's Witnesses to raise historic 3 buildings, sit on those speculative sites, and leave 4 5 with a billion dollars of profit only to give back 7 6 million dollars for a park that our organization initiated and we still don't have that. So, please 7 8 vote no. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: 9 Thank you, Doreen. 10 The next witness we will acknowledge will be Salisa Hudson. Salisa, can you please state your name and 11 12 affiliation before you begin and you may begin. SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 13 14 SALISA HUDSON: Hi. Can you--15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. We can hear 16 you. SALISA HUDSON: 17 Hello. My name is 18 Salisa Hudson. I am with the Farragut Stakeholders. We, the Farragut Stakeholders are fully aware of the 19 20 proposals to change land zoning on 69 Adams Street. I first want to be thankful to Councilman Levin and 21 2.2 that he does acknowledge the Farragut community and 23 that is not, indeed, part of his district, but just adjacent to his district. The decision, however, has 24

a direct impact on the quality of life of Farragut

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 83 DISPOSITIONS residents and that the new construct would be a short walking distance and sharing the train station Farragut has frequented for decades before the rapid development in Dumbo. The Farragut community has been disenfranchised and marginalized in the way that the district had [inaudible 01:38:59] around and developed in all areas its borders and there has yet to be any significant chances, development, or cultural programing to benefit the tenants in regards to employment, education, or opportunity for upward mobility. The jobs that are always promised to the Farragut community have never followed through and it's always been exercising a great [inaudible 01:39:20]. The proposal to build commercial space within this construction is both unnecessary and potentially burdensome. The York Street subway station is already operating at capacity for its physical status. The station has one exit for both entrance and exit, extremely narrow train platform in a subpar ventilation system. This station, without the desperate repair and without additional ridership from the proposed rezoning is in desperate need of repair, updates, and ADA accessibility. The subway station is a danger zone at this very moment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 84 2 are already large buildings being constructed that will contribute tremendously to the foot traffic of 3 the area and the subway station. We in this area 4 5 cannot tolerate it anymore. In the event, however, 6 that this proposal is passed, it must be under the 7 conditions of funding for updating the York--SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 8 SALISA HUDSON: Thank you. I'll wrap it 9 10 up. Just to make it safe for patrons like myself and people with disabilities. Funding for the 11 12 development of the Farragut community houses and to address the decade long issues of the conditions 13 14 there, as well. Farragut has been forgotten and many 15 of these other positions that have happened in the 16 city. Please do not forget us in moving forward. Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. The next witness we will acknowledge is 19 Hudson. 20 Melissa Prober. Please state your name and affiliation before you begin. You may begin. 21 My name is Melissa 2.2 MELISSA PROBER: Hi. 23 I have lived in Dumbo for almost 10 years 24 and, with Mallory, I am a cofounder of the Dumbo

Action Committee. Thank you so much for listening to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 85 DISPOSITIONS I think you are being sold a bill all of us today. of goods and I would just ask you to not buy them. They have had over a year to negotiate and figure out a community benefits and they have come up with nothing. They had their time to say that it can go on and they can keep talking. That's just empty promises and I've really want to go to what Ms. Hudson just said. Everything she said is absolutely true. The Dumbo Action Committee has been trying to work with developers to help fund PS 309 and give money to that community and nobody has. There's no money in this program, as Council member Barron said, for the local schools, the NYCHA housing. There is nothing. And if you approve, there's going to be no incentive to do any community benefits whatsoever. The ULURP should not be approved without a written MOU in place specifying what concessions are being given and where the money is going. To York Street, which I think, you know, everyone that lives in the area knows that that is a big problem. Affordable housing, helping the PS 309 which-- really, you know, PS 309, that PTA raises just a few thousand dollars a year where when you go to Brooklyn Heights, PS 8, the raise \$800,000 a year. That school could

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 86

really benefit from some of these developers helping and funding that. You are being sold a bill of goods because they are confident demand— you have heard that Dumbo, the commercial space is empty. There is a Wall Street Journal article from December 15, 2019 talking about it called Brooklyn Startups Face Speed bumps and also—

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

MELISSA PROBER: I know I am out of time, but the fair market value, as you heard from Aaron, regarding how the property is going to be higher and get better views. We are fine with it going on as of right. Please vote no. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Melissa.

The next witness we will hear from is William Taylor.

William, can you please state your name and

affiliation before you begin? And you may begin.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

WILLIAM TAYLOR: Hi. My name is William Taylor. I am from an organization called Goose Tenants, a tenants group comprised of residents who reside in some of Rabsky's 1500 apartments. Upon completion of the Broadway triangle and this project at 69 Adams, the number of Rabsky's tenants will

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 87 DISPOSITIONS double. Future projects include hotels and a gigantic 79 story tower at 625 Fulton. Easily one of the fastest growing developers in NYC. But there is a huge problem and now is the time to fix that. yet again find a developer who says all the right things, but continually comes up incredibly short. During the Covid 19 pandemic, Rabsky's properties have been a nightmare, absolutely ignoring NYC and CDC guidelines for months, we begged for the most basic of signage and policy. At 26 W. Street and Greenpoint, residents were trapped in their apartments while rooftops are rented out and unmasked inebriated party quests build into our elevators and halls last summer. A resident nine months pregnant, and fear of becoming infected, forced to walk down five flights of stairs because of new Covid protocols in place. NYC bars are closed. No problem. Across town in Bushwick, at the 500 unit Rheingold building, Mr. Dasinski [sp?] has wine and cheese parties, indoor movie nights, and a two-story gym with seemingly no rules. In Bushwick, one of the hardest hit locations in the city for Covid 19, what did Rabsky do with the hundreds of thousands of dollars in federal PPP money they eagerly received? Look no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 88 further than the abundance of empty units they let 2 sit on the market for 80, 90, 100 days last year with 3 artificially propped up prices. 32 percent of the 4 5 population falls under the poverty line in Bushwick and we wonder why there is a lack of affordable 6 7 housing. The dots have never been easily more connected. In 2016, the publication Curb New York 8 ran a feature entitled Will Bushwick Rheingold 9 10 Development be a Fantasy or Dystopia? Five years later, in 2021, we have our answer. While Mr. 11 12 Dashinski may still be living in a fantasy land, he is creating fully realized dystopias within our city. 13 14 Now is the time to stand up and protect Brooklyn. 15 Tell Rabsky that the health and welfare of our 16 residents are not for sale. Not for 18 million. Not for 180 million. Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, 19 William. And thank you, panel, for your testimony 20 today. Counsel, are there any questions for this 21 panel? COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 2.2 if there are any

Council member questions, if so, please use the raise

hand button. There are no Council member questions.

25

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 89 DISPOSITIONS 2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no questions for this panel, this panel is excused and I 3 would like to thank you each for your testimony 4 5 today. Thank you. 6 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next panel is 7 Mary Andrews, Stelene Rogakos, and Jeffrey Salvador. The next panel is ready when you are, Chair Riley. 8 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council. 9 The next witness we will be calling is Mary Andrews. 10 Mary, please state your name and affiliation before 11 12 you begin and you may begin. MARGARET BROWN: My name is Margaret 13 14 Mary Andrews will not be able to speak today. 15 I am speaking on behalf of her. I am the vice 16 president of the Tenant Association of Farragut 17 Houses. 18 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You can go ahead, Ms. Brown. Thank you for being here with us today. 19 20 MARGARET BROWN: Okay. The Association for the Benefit of Farragut Houses is against the 21 2.2 rezoning regarding the lane used at the 69 Adams 23 This proposal to build a commercial space will create additional congestion and it is already 24

overcrowded by-- packed by York Street train station

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 90 DISPOSITIONS which, for many years, has been used by the Farragut community. Due to the increase and development of Dumbo, noncommunity residents who park their cars and use the train to get to where-- in addition to persons from the Navy Yard, the York Street train station has become gridlocked. The station has one entrance, one exit, no planned upgrades. Farragut has been overlooked and dismissed. We are surrounded by condos, tall buildings, and new construction, but never any benefits extended to our community. We deserve the same quality of life as the investment that we are surrounded by. This rezoning falls under district 33, Council member Stephen Levine. Levin. However, it has a tremendous impact on district 35, Farragut Houses under Council member Laurie Cumbo. If this proposal passed with the 18 million funding, it needs to go to the York Street station as well as some form of contribution to the Farragut community houses such as summer programs, food programs, after school activities. We don't want to watch any longer while everyone around us benefits and we don't benefit from any of it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you very much

The

for coming here and testifying today, Ms. Brown.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS
- 2 next witness we will be calling is Stelene Rogakos.

91

- 3 I'm so sorry if I pronounced your name wrong.
- 4 Stelene, please state your name and affiliation
- 5 | before you testify and you may begin.
- 6 STELENE ROGAKOS: Hi. My name is Stelene
- 7 Rogakos. I'm a resident of Dumbo. I was born and
- 8 | raised and lived my entire life in-- Can you hear
- 9 me?

- 10 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. Yes. We can
- 11 hear you.
- 12 STELENE ROGAKOS: Okay. Have lived my
- 13 | entire life in Brooklyn. Regarding the proposed sale
- 14 of almost 100,000 square feet of commercial air
- 15 | rights to the 69 Adams Street project, I urge you all
- 16 to hear the voice and the concerns of the entire
- 17 community and vote against the proposal. Based on
- 18 Transit Authority statistics, the station is already
- 19 one of the busiest stations in the system and is one
- 20 of the very few in the system that has only one exit,
- 21 making it a potential death trap. Its narrow
- 22 | platform is overflowing with commuters and there are
- 23 often lines of commuters trying to get in, extending
- 24 out into the street which has been shut down on
- 25 | several occasions by the police due to the dangerous

92 DISPOSITIONS overcrowding. There was already a huge one city block mixed use project under construction at York and Front Streets that will bring over 700 new apartments and fight a lot of commercial square footage across the street from the only entrance to the York Street station and will bring over 1500 new residents to the immediate area and will definitely add more pressure to the York Street station than it can handle. The proposed sale of the air rights at 69 Adams Street will create close to 100,000 square feet of office space and will bring up to 1000 new employees to the area, many of whom will look to use the already overcrowded York Street station. Allowing the sale to go through without mandating and completing a new entrance to the station is a recipe for disaster. In addition, our neighborhood is desperate for parking. Street parking is extremely limited and it is almost impossible to find a spot. Certainly, a large number of the thousand additional employees and the many customers visiting those offices will be using cars and, yet, from what I understand, there is no parking provided for the proposed commercial space. In closing, I urge you all to take into consideration the voice of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

2.2

2 residents that you are representing. Community board
3 number two voted unanimously--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

STELENE ROGAKOS: against the sale and, subsequent to a public hearing, the borough president of Brooklyn vetoed against the proposal. Approving the proposal disregards the wellbeing and safety of the current Dumbo residents and simply ignore the voice of the community with no justification. Thank you and I appreciate your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Stelene.

The next witness we will be calling is Geoffrey

Salvatore. Geoffrey, can you please state your name

and affiliation before you begin? You may begin.

Salvatore and I'm a Dumbo resident. I'm a relative newcomer here. I've only been here for five years, but as my neighbors have all very, very eloquently pointed out, we are very, very united on this ULURP application. We would urge the Council to vote no on this. I think it's interesting because what everyone has pointed out is that it's two fold here. There is both problems with the actual development itself and the way that this process has been handled and the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS fact that we have no guarantees to date. But, more

94

importantly, is that Dumbo is, literally, getting nothing in return. There is no infrastructure improvements. We've talked a lot about York Street station today. There is no commitments. There is no movement towards getting anything to make that

station safe for. There has been no declaration of

public space. There has been no agreement for 9

10 affordable housing. There has been no programming

for PS 307 or other community members. Literally, 11

12 Dumbo is not getting anything out of this. You heard

many of my neighbors share. We're very understanding 13

that there will be a building built even if this

15 ULURP is not approved, but if we have the

16 opportunity, by virtue of this air rights transfer,

to get something out of it from the community, we 17

18 would ask that our public servants demand more and

give us something in return. Thank you. 19

> CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Geoffrey. You're not new. I am only 10 weeks new,

2.2 so it's okay that you are a newcomer in the

23 community. But I would like to thank this panel.

24 Counsel, do we have any questions for this panel?

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 95 DISPOSITIONS 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If there are any Council member questions, please use the raise hand 3 4 button now. I see no Council member questions. 5 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no questions for this panel, this panel is excused and I 6 7 would like to thank you all for testifying today. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 8 The next panel is someone who is registered as Ms. Corrigan and Arlene 9 The committee will stand at ease while we 10 Blitz. locate Ms. Corrigan and Arlene Blitz. Okay. You may 11 12 proceed. 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. The 14 first witness we will recognize on this panel is Ms. 15 Corrigan and. Ms. Corrigan, please state your name 16 and affiliation before you begin and you may begin. 17 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 18 BONNIE CORRIGAN: Hi, guys. I don't know if you can hear me or not. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. We can hear 21 you. 2.2 BONNIE CORRIGAN: Okay. Cool. Yeah. 23 have been a Dumbo resident for the past five years also and, I mean, I am pretty much going to say 24 everything-- everybody else said what I was 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 96 DISPOSITIONS 2 thinking. I was listening to the proposal of Rabsky's Group and they said nothing. You guys are 3 saying nothing about what you are going to do for the 4 community. It just sounded really like vague and 5 6 unclear and I agree with everyone else. Like we have 7 a local school year that barely gets any money and the York Street F station is so dangerous and we also 8 have to keep in mind we have a project coming up with 9 728 apartments and, on average, you've got to average 10 out about three people per apartment. So, there is 11 12 going to be at least 2000 people coming to Dumbo. And to build this building and have even more and not 13 even contribute to the safety of the York Street 14 15 station is beyond me. I really--16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sorry, Ms. 17 I'm sorry to cut you off. Can you just Corrigan. 18 state your name for the record, please? BONNIE CORRIGAN: Yes. It's Bonnie 19 20 Corrigan. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: 21 Thank you. You may 2.2 proceed. Go ahead. 23 BONNIE CORRIGAN: So, I am just asking that the Council votes no on this until we at least 24

get some like actual concrete guarantees that these

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND
 1
                                                       97
    DISPOSITIONS
 2
     folks are going to do something for the community.
    And that is pretty much it.
 3
 4
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
                                       Thank you, Ms.
 5
    Corrigan.
               The next witness that we will be calling
 6
    from this panel is Arlene Blitz. Arlene, please
 7
    state your name and affiliation before you begin and
 8
    then you may begin.
                ARLENE BLITZ: Hi. My name is Arlene
 9
    Blitz. I am a resident of--
10
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
11
12
                ARLENE BLITZ:
                                 Excuse me? Can you hear
13
    me?
14
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
                                       Yes. We can hear
15
    you.
                ARLENE BLITZ:
16
                                 Okay. I am a resident
    of Dumbo for nine years. I wasn't planning to speak,
17
18
    but as long as my name is up there, I want to just
    register also as being against this project for all
19
20
    the reasons that were very well put before you today
     and, in addition, I think this building is huge
21
2.2
    compared to anything else and Dumbo. We have one
23
    area in Dumbo, most of Dumbo, that is zoned for 12
    stories. We have only one block in Dumbo that is out
24
```

of that zoning, but there is no building that comes

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 98 DISPOSITIONS 2 close to this in height. I think that the people who are in favor of this project don't live in this 3 neighborhood. They are pro-development regardless 4 5 and I just very much against it. That is it. 6 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Arlene. 7 Do we have any members that have any questions for this panel? 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I see no Council 9 10 member questions for this panel. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no more 11 12 questions for this panel, this panel is now excused and I would like to thank you to ladies for 13 14 testifying today. 15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If there are any 16 other members of the public who wish to testify on LU 752, the 69 Adams project, please press the raise 17 18 hand button now. The meeting will stand at ease while we check for members of the public. Oh. I see 19 20 Council member Levin wishes to speak. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very 21 2.2 much, counsel, and thank you, Chair. I just want to 23 address this to everybody that has testified on this topic. I hear you loud and clear. This is, as 24

proposed, is not meeting the standards that any

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 99 DISPOSITIONS community can expect or deserves when it comes to public benefits from any action and that enhances the development potential or value for a particular developer. So, I am committed to working as hard as I can and dedicating as much time as possible in the coming weeks to making sure that there is something that is legit to present to the community, that it is not vague promises, that it is something that is commence our it with what is being given up here which is, you know, every development potential in the neighborhood has a commence at loss of light and air four brothers for the community and so I take that very seriously. I do want to note that when it comes to York Street which is the overwhelming issue that I took from this hearing and what I've taken from conversations about this project in recent weeks and months, this has the potential-- not a sure thing, but a potential to provide meaningful funding to get and improve York That enhances the public safety and ease of use of the subway station. And I do not take that lightly. We do not have endless sources of funding. This is a project that I've had conversations with the MTA in recent weeks about -and the station that has conversations with the MTA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 100 DISPOSITIONS about recent weeks. It's not on a capital plan list in this current capital plan. It's not, you know, on the next one, you know, kind of all things status quo. So, without funding from this, it's not likely to be on the next capital plan. These are a five year capital plans and, frankly, the next station from an MTA systemwide perspective, you know, they are looking at making stations accessible. You know, they see the next station on the F line at Metro Tech is that is an accessible station. And so, from their perspective, you know, the very next station is accessible, so it makes it less of a priority to make York Street accessible. You know, obviously, that doesn't do anything for the residents of Dumbo, Vinegar Hello, and Farragut or the people that work there, but it is, kind of from a system perspective, from the MTA's perspective, you know, I don't see any likelihood that this is on the next capital plan and it is certainly not on the one that is currently underway. So, now, if this project were to go forward and it produces enough funding that gets that underway, then there is a possibility, maybe-- and I'm not saying that that is for sure right now, but this is what I am exploring. The possibility that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 101 2 this could then get into the list of priorities. And so, I know that that is a kind of roundabout 3 explanation of where I, but I want everybody to know 4 kind of what the conversations are that we are having 5 6 and be fully transparent with the wall and I look 7 forward to continuing to do that and engage. And then just one last thing. I misspoke earlier in a 8 brain foq. I said PS 237. It is PS 287 which is one 9 of the local schools in addition to PS 307. So, my 10 apologies to my friends at PS 287. And with that, I 11 12 will turn it back over to you, Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council 13 14 member Levin. Counsel, are there any additional 15 members of the public who wish to testify on this 16 item? 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Once again, the 18 committee will stand at ease while we wait to check. If there are any members of the public who wish to 19 20 testify on LU 752, please use the raise hand button now. There are no members of the public who wish to 21 2.2 testify. 23 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There are no other 24 members of the public who wish to testify on this

item, the public hearing, LU 752, the 69 Adams Street

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 102 DISPOSITIONS project is now closed and the item is laid over. 2 3 That that concludes today's business. I remind you that if you have written testimony on today's item, 4 you may submit it to the land use 5 testimony@Council.NYC.gov. Once again, that is 6 7 landusetestimony@Council.NYC.gov. Please indicate the LU number or the project name in the subject 8 9 heading. I would like to thank the applicant's, members of the public, my colleagues, and the 10 11 subcommittee counsel, land use staff, and the 12 sergeant-at-arms for participating in today's hearing. This meeting is hereby adjourned. 13 14 [gavel] 15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, 16 everyone. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date	May	12,	2021