CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----- X

January 12, 2021 Start: 10:04 a.m. Recess: 2:52 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing (Virtual Room 1)

B E F O R E: Ydanis Rodriguez

CHAIRPERSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Fernando Cabrera

Chaim Deutsch

R. Diaz, Sr.

Robert Holden

Peter Koo

Stephen Levin

March Levine

Carlos Menchaca

I. Daneek Miller

Antonio Reynoso

Debbie Rose

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Margaret Forgione, Commissioner Department of Transportation

Eric Beaton, Deputy Commissioner for Transportation Planning and Management Department of Transportation

Rebecca Zack, Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental Affairs Department of Transportation

Kim Royster, Chief of Transportation New York Police Department

Michael Pilecki, Deputy Chief New York Police Department

John Castelli, Deputy Commissioner for Legislative Affairs OATH

Michael Clark, Legislative Affairs New York Police Department

Marco Cannon DiAquoi, Deputy Director Transportation Alternatives

Jon Orcutt Bike New York

Sean Adair, member Families for Safe Streets Melody Bryant, member Families for Safe Streets

Axel Carrion, Vice President for State Governmental and Public Affairs UPS

Rocco Lacertosa, Chief Executive Officer New York State Energy Coalition (NYSEC)

Eric McClure, Executive Director Streets PAC

Glenn Bolofsky, New York City Resident
Paul Scheiber, New York City Resident
Michael Dunn, New York City Resident
Hannah Carlin, New York City Resident
Iain Robertson, New York City Resident
Kyle Guske, New York City Resident
Dimitris Koutoumbas, New York City
Resident

Lucia Dame, New York City Resident
Bill Feinberg, New York City Resident
Jeff Novich, New York City Resident
Ryan Frank, New York City Resident

Jana Leo de Blas, New York City Resident

2.2

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Sergeants, can you start 3 your recordings, please?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: PC recording underway.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Sergeant Bradley, can you give the opening, please?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Okay. Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing of the Committee on Transportation. At this time, will all panelists please turn on their videos? To minimize disruption, please place electronic devices on vibrate or silent mode. If you wish to submit a testimony, you may do so at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your

cooperation. We are ready to begin, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Sergeants. Good morning, everyone. Before I get
into the topic that we have in this hearing today, I
would like to say my prayer to everyone, especially
the police officer who was killed in the capital in
the last couple of days and I hope, again, that all
law enforcement throughout the whole nation are
taking all the necessary measures to be sure that
everyone is safe, especially after the API discovery

2.2

2.3

ago.

plan to the potential attack in some capital buildings throughout the whole nation. So, I know that this is a tough moment that we are living in and I know that, at the end of the day, people should be responsible for these terrorist attacks, again, promoted by fake news of President Trump and former Mayor Giuliani. And I would also like to thank the police commissioner for taking all the necessary measures to do all the investigations to find out if any police officer has any participation with a terrorist attack that happened in the city a few days

Good morning and thank you all for joining the Committee on Transportation's virtual hearing today on illegal parking and bike lanes. We will be also hearing a related bill, Intro 2159, introduced by Council member Levin and Speaker Johnson. First, I'm going to turn it over to the committee counsel to go over some procedural items.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair.

I am Elliot Lin, counsel to the Transportation

Committee of the New York City Council. Before we begin, I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on to testify when you will

be on muted by the host. Please listen for your name
to be called. I will be periodically announcing who
the next panelist will be. The first panelist will
be from the administration rubbed the Department of
Transportation, acting Commissioner Margaret
Forgione, Deputy Commissioner for transportation
management, Eric Beaton, and assistant commissioner
for intergovernmental and community affairs, but
Rebecca Zach. From the NYPD, chief of transportation
[inaudible 00:03:32], and deputy chief Michael
Pilecki. And from Oath, Deputy Commissioner for
legislative affairs, John Castelli. During the
hearing, have Council members would like to ask a
question, please use the zoom raise hand function as
the Chair or I will call on you in order. We will be
limiting Council member questions to five minutes,
including answers. Please also note that, for ease
of this virtual hearing, we will not be allowing the
second round of questioning. Chair Rodriguez?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Elliott. Good morning everyone. Today, the Committee and Transportation convenes remotely to hold this important hearing on illegal parking and bike lanes. We will also be hearing Intro number

2159, a bill in relation to hazardous obstructions by 2 3 vehicles and civilian complaints to the DOT for hazardous obstructions violations. In 2014, the de 4 Blasio administration instituted Vision Zero, a citywide initiative to improve the safety of all 6 7 these streets. Everyone knows that this policy is 8 following a worldwide initiative and is dedicated to save lives. As part of an effort to combat preventable traffic violence, the initiative has 10 11 included expanding enforcement against reckless and 12 dangerous drivers, implementing new street designs 13 and configurations, enhancing public outreach and communication, and implementing a number of pieces of 14 15 legislation to increase penalties for dangerous 16 drivers. Today, I look forward to hearing from the 17 DOT administration in regard to the illegal parking, 18 including delivery trucks and how they all contribute 19 to the city's congestion. We have certainly made 20 progress addressing pedestrian cyclists and motorists' deaths. Since 1920-- So, since 1920, 21 2.2 traffic fatalities in New York City have fallen from 2.3 701 deaths in 1990 to an all-time low of 20-- 202 deaths in 2018. In addition, traffic deaths have 24 25 fallen by a third since a year before Vision Zero

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Although these results are encouraging, the past two years have seen a market increase in the number of traffic fatalities. In 2020, at least 243 people died due to the traffic crashes, marking the deadliest year since the implementation of Vision Zero. For a nearly two months during the Covid 19 pandemic, there were zero pedestrian fatalities in New York City, however, as the vehicles returned, this trend quickly reversed with increases in overnight motorist and motorcycle deaths in a nationwide increase and in speeding that began when the streets were empty due to the pandemic and subsequent show lockdowns. Although the Mayor maintained that Vision Zero has been affected, the reasons that this has begun to tell us. That we have to continue working harder to make Vision Zero a reality. DOT parking regulation determines where vehicles can stand and park throughout the city, however-- and this is important-- parking enforcement is the sole responsibility of the NYPD and they are responsible for actually administering parking tickets. With our enforcement, parking regulations alone will not address the problem. Illegal parking is, in the city, serious safety

2 hazard for all New Yorkers, especially cyclists and 3 pedestrians. There have been numerous recent instances of pedestrians, cyclists, and drivers being 4 killed or injured due to illegal parking. This is 5 something that needs to be addressed, especially in 6 7 [inaudible 00:08:10] of the increase in fatalities 8 over the past two years. In addition to legally parked vehicles, we also know that, in many communities, delivery vehicles of also been seen 10 11 obstructing bike lanes and bus lanes. I would like 12 to hear from DOT any plan they might have to ensure 13 that we are minimizing the impact of these vehicles 14 on pedestrians and cyclists, as well. During today's 15 hearing, the committee will look to learn more from 16 DOT about their efforts to reduce illegal bike lane 17 obstructions and, ultimately, to reduce traffic 18 fatalities and injuries. In addition, Intro 2159 by 19 Council member Levin and the Speaker will be heard. 20 The bill will create a penalty for passenger 21 obstructions by vehicles and require DOT to create a 2.2 civilian reporting program for such violations. Council member Levin has an early commitment but will 2.3 give remarks on his bill when he joins us. Before we 24 hear from the administration, I will now have our 25

- 2 moderator and committee counsel recognize the members
- 3 and attendance with us today to call on the
- 4 administration to testify and administer the oath.
- 5 And, of course, I would like to welcome our new DOT
- 6 Commissioner Margaret Forgione, someone that I have
- 7 | the honor to be working with her for so many years
- 8 | before she took unto the leadership of DOT. Thank
- 9 you.

- 10 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair.
- 11 | I'd first like to acknowledge that we've been joined
- 12 | by Council members Diaz, Rose, Koo, Menchaca,
- 13 | Cabrera, Deutsch, Miller, and Holden. I'll now call
- 14 on the following members of the administration to
- 15 testify. Margaret Forgione, Eric Beaton, Rebecca
- 16 Zack, Kim Royster, Michael Pilecki, and John
- 17 | Castelli. I will now read the affirmation and then I
- 18 ∥ will call on each of you to confirm your response
- 19 | aloud for the record. If you could please raise your
- 20 | right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
- 21 whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your
- 22 | testimony before this committee and to respond
- 23 | honestly to Council member questions? Commissioner
- 24 Forgione?

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 12
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner
3	Beaton?
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BEATON: Yes.
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Assistant
6	Commissioner Zack?
7	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER ZACK: Yes.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chief Royster?
9	CHIEF ROYSTER: Yes.
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Chief
11	Pilecki?
12	DEPUTY CHIEF PILECKI: Yes.
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner
14	Castelli?
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CASTELLI: Yes.
16	MICHAEL CLARK: And Michael Clark from
17	legislative affairs. Yes.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Michael.
19	You may begin your testimony when ready.
20	COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Thank you. Good
21	morning, Chair Rodriguez, and members of the
22	Transportation Committee. I am Margaret Forgione,
23	acting Commissioner of the Department of
24	Transportation. I joined by Eric Beaton, Deputy
25	Commissioner for Transportation Planning and

2 Management and Rebecca Zack, assistant commissioner 3 for intergovernmental and community affairs. On the 4 topic of enforcement, we are also joined by NYPD 5 chief of transportation, Kim Royster. And we are joined by John Castelli, OATH's Deputy Commissioner 6 7 for legislative affairs. Thank you for the 8 opportunity to testify today on behalf of Mayor de Blasio on illegal parking and bike lanes as well as Intro 2159. First, let me say that I am incredibly 10 11 honored to have the opportunity to serve as DOT acting Commissioner. I believe so strongly in this 12 13 agency and what we are capable of accomplishing. 14 Throughout my years here, I have been impressed each 15 and every day by our teams unfailing dedication to 16 this city. I look forward to leading the agency 17 during this time under the Mayor's leadership and 18 working with you, Chair Rodriguez, and the whole 19 Council, as we continue our critical work and help 20 New York City recover from this challenging year. I 21 also want to thank Commissioner Trottenberg for her 2.2 leadership over the past seven years. We were lucky 2.3 to have had someone at the helm with her vision, passion for improving transportation options across 24 the five boroughs, and deep expertise and together we 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

accomplished so much during her tenure. I know the whole department wishes for all the best in her future endeavors. Before discussing the legislation in front of the city Council today, I want to acknowledge that 2020 was a difficult year for our city and country and we all know the road ahead is challenging. The pandemic took lives of far too many New Yorkers, brought on an economic fallout that forced businesses to close, and put many New Yorkers out of work. As Chair Rodriquez just stated, it was a very challenging year also for Vision Zero. During the unprecedented events of 2020, drivers across the city took advantage of emptier streets to speak recklessly, a phenomenon reported nationwide. Unfortunately, this trend in speeding had deadly consequences and contributed to an increase in motorcyclist and motor vehicle occupant fatalities, including many late-night crashes with operators who were inexperienced or unlicensed and too often raising on our streets and unregistered vehicles. the other hand, 2020, fortunately, had record low pedestrian deaths, including the longest. Without a pedestrian fatality since we began tracking fatality by mode in 1983. And while cyclist fatalities are

25

tragically still too high, the number held 2 3 effectively steady last year even as we saw a huge 4 increase in cyclists on our streets which translates to fewer serious crashes per trip. I am proud we continue keeping more vulnerable street users alive 6 7 and safe despite the significant operational challenges posed by the pandemic and the resourced 8 constraints of the city's massive fiscal crisis. installed our highest number ever of new protected 10 11 bike lanes, 28.6 miles, and we continue to expand the 12 speed camera program, installing more speed cameras in 2020 than in the first six years of the program 13 14 combined. With now over 1300 speed cameras active 15 across 750 school speed zones citywide. reimagined our streets to meet the needs of the 16 17 We created 83 miles of open streets to give moment. 18 people more space to socially distance and get 19 outside. We established the Open Restaurants program 20 through which over 10,800 restaurants have set up 21 outdoors on the city's roadways and sidewalks. we installed a record number of new bus lines, 16.3 2.2 2.3 miles, to shorten commute times for the city's essential workers, many of whom travel by bus. 24 Looking ahead, we will continue to follow the data in

2.2

2.3

our efforts to make the city streets safer. We will combat increased speeding with tools that are proven to be effective. Our latest speed camera report made clear what we already knew: speed cameras save lives. But in 2020, 36 percent of non-highway fatalities occurred in school speed zones during hours when cameras could not issue tickets, specifically overnight and on weekends. We must leverage this lifesaving technology to the greatest extent possible and, as the Mayor announced, the city is calling on the state to amend the speed camera law and allow us to keep cameras on 24/7. And as the Mayor said, we will have more to say soon on the aggressive strategies that we are employing as we continue expanding Vision Zero.

Now, turning to Intro 2159, co-sponsored by Council member Levin and Speaker Johnson. This bill could create a new hazardous parking violation for obstructing a bike lane, bus lane, sidewalk, crosswalk, or hydrant adjudicated at oath, as well as a new citizen complaint system for these violations. Compliance with our traffic and parking rules is essential, whether by private, commercial, for hire, or government vehicles. Otherwise, our streets

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

cannot effectively function properly for all street users and safety, mobility, and emergency response can all be negatively affected. So, we are always open to exploring new models to enhance safety and help our street designs function most effectively. We support the intent and creativity of the bill, but while it is an idea with understandable appeal, we believe it would be of limited effectiveness in ensuring compliance while requiring substantial resources on the part of DOT and our sister agencies to stand up and, therefore, oppose this legislation as drafted. We also have significant concerns about implementing such a program and it would take much longer to do so than allowed for in the current bill. First, we have significant concerns about the potential that citizen enforcement could lead to conflicts between motorists and citizen complainants. For NYPD's TEA's, we know that despite the legal protection and authority of the uniformed agents, there are typically dozens of cases of assault filed each year. Under this program, people who are not perceived to have any authority would submit complaints and we are concerned that this could lead to many verbal and physical confrontations pitting

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighbor against neighbor causing personal conflicts and safety risks. Second, most parking violations are handled at the Parking Violations Bureau and we believe that it is inadvisable to create a program involving parking summonses that are adjudicated at OATH, which could be difficult for the city to collect and, thus, not cost effective. As drafted and conceived, the bill raises legal and logistical questions that need to be explored further. Third, DOT is committed to a data-driven approach to all things under Vision Zero, from our street improvement projects to enforcement to education by focusing on the locations and driver behaviors associated with the most deaths and serious injuries. This approach allows us to have the greatest impact and it builds in more equity by making sure the benefits of Vision Zero are not skewed to the communities that are the loudest or have the most community capacity to advocate for street safety. In contrast, we are concerned that, while it would take very significant up front effort for us to set this program up, the program would have an uneven impact reaching some neighborhoods more than others. As we know, the city faces an ongoing budget crisis. We operate under

severe resource constraints will, at the same time,	
stretching our capacity in every possible way. We	
must respond to the traumatic evolution of our	
streets amidst the ongoing pandemic while continuing	
to address the urgency of eliminating traffic	
fatalities and meet so many other demands on the	
agency you. So we must prioritize our efforts	
towards the most proven, effective, and promising	
strategies and, regardless of the concerns we are	
raising, this proposal Road requires staffing,	
upfront IT investment, legal work to make rules and	
evidence guidelines for multiple types of offenses,	
as well as capacity and effort at OATH. All	
requiring significant time to stand up. When it	
comes to enforcement of our bike lanes and other	
safety critical curb regulations, automated	
enforcement using bike lane cameras could be a very	
useful and powerful tool. As we called for in our	
Green wave plant back in 2019, DOT is interested in	
exploring the use applications and the possibility of	
obtaining the necessary state authority to pilot	
them.	

In conclusion, I want to thank the Council for the opportunity to testify before you

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

today. I look forward to working together during this final year of the de Blasio administration in your term as Transportation Chair, Council member Rodriguez. I know together we will be effective towards our shared goal of safety while building on the transformative reimagining of our streets during this unprecedented time. I would now be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Commissioner. And it's a great honor, as I said before, to be sharing these responsibilities both with the [inaudible 00:19:55] my case, elected in 2009 and you working-- you know, being the [inaudible 00:20:01] we're going to ending today servicing in this capacity, so I know where your heart is and I know that, for the next couple of months, we still have a lot to do to address this epidemic. And even though we have to eradicate and we believe that New Yorkers and, as a country, we have to the let the signs guide us when it comes to the coronavirus and we need to believe that 2021 will be the year to come back to a [inaudible 00:20:31] and put the city back where we should be and we know that we are dealing with that epidemic. However, we

have another epidemic which is the one related to the 2 3 numbers of pedestrians and cyclists, you know, being 4 killed every year. It's something that we, as a 5 city, addressing and putting a lot of tools in place. However, looks like it is taken as to long for us to 6 7 be able to eradicate that culture that we inherited 8 of so many drivers committing hit-and-run, so many drivers not realizing that the street doesn't belong to them, that we have to share the street and not 10 11 recognizing that, for 8.6 million New Yorkers, only 1.4 million have vehicles. And more than 7 million 12 13 New Yorkers are walking every day and rely on public transportation. So, I feel, again, that we need to 14 15 elevate, you know, our work to be sure that we have 16 addressed all areas that contribute to fatalities 17 from cyclists and pedestrians. So, one of those 18 groups that I wanted to get some feedback, some 19 information from you is about trucks and, in that 20 direction, I would like to ask you -- and, again, I'm 21 not saying the trucks are the ones that contribute to 2.2 a legal parking and, of course, illegal parking 2.3 affects the visibility of other people who are driving behind, therefore, they can contribute to 24 25 crashes and I will-- and my approach is about

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

illegal parking overall. Any and that puts their

vehicles on the sidewalk, anyone who parks in the bus

lane-- but let me start looking and trying to dig

into trucks. Approximately how many delivery trucks

are operating around the city at any given time and

have we seen an increase in the last couple months?

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes. Chair Rodriquez, and we very much share your concerns about illegal parking that impacts street users and certainly trucks in particular. Prior to the pandemic, we saw-- we don't have exact numbers on the numbers of truck deliveries, but we do have information. Prior to the pandemic, we saw about 60 percent of deliveries were four commercial establishments and about 40 percent were residential. Now, during Covid, we see about 80 percent of the deliveries are residential with people staying at home and less commercial activity we have implemented -- and I will pass it off to Eric Beaton, our deputy commissioner whose very deeply involved in all of our truck initiatives, in a moment to kind of elaborate further, but we are taking steps to work directly-- when we have taken steps with the truck industry, one recent example is our expansion

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

of our neighborhood loading zone program. This program seeks to stop trucks and other vehicles such as for hire vehicles, from double parking in travel lanes, bike lanes, bus lines, by giving them dedicated space at the curbside. So, we had started this program a while back and, most recently, last month we actually extended the number of spaces and we are seeing some good success with that program. We have also done targeted outreach to the truck industry and we are continuing to expand on that. Bellamy pass it off to Eric Beaton now who can give you little more detail on our efforts.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Oh. Can we unmute Eric Beaton?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BEATON: Thank you.

And thank you, Chair Rodriguez, for all of your words and, Commissioner Forgione. And as the Commissioner said, you know, we've been working very hard to work with the truck industry because we have seen any recent trucks, particularly in residential areas and we that, on the one hand, they are providing a tremendous service to New Yorkers, you know, where people who may not want to go out or can't go out are

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

able to get food and other necessities delivered at home and, you know, it is, in a lot of ways, I think, allowing New York to continue to function throughout this pandemic. But, on the other hand, means that we are seeing or trucks in areas that we are not necessarily that. But don't have commercial loading zones and don't have places for trucks to legally get to the curb. So, we are seeing a lot of double parking and trucks where we don't want them, but that is in part because we haven't created the space for So, we want to sort of approach it from both directions and we are working with NYPD and making sure that there is robust enforcement out there, but we also want to make sure that we are providing legal opportunities for people to get to the curb. trying to make sure that there are neighborhood losing zones and regular commercial loading zones. We are providing for those opportunities.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BEATON: As the

Commissioner said, we have expanded those

neighborhood loading zones from a small pilot that

was started about a year ago. It is shown many

tremendous results in reducing double parking on our

2.2

2.3

streets and we think that most truck drivers are trying to do the right thing and when we provide legal space for them to get to the curb, we are seeing them use it, even if they have to use a hand truck to bring some of the boxes or deliveries part of the way down the street. So, we want to continue to work with the trucking industry and make sure that people can get their deliveries. We want to continue to provide space at the curb so that those deliveries can happen legally and, working with the Police Department, we want to make sure that, when anyone is not following the rules, they are being appropriately enforced against.

a truck company— or not company. The delivery company such as Amazon, when they are adding these much larger numbers of trucks to deliver, of course, responding to the demand of New Yorkers that now has been relying more on ordering online and expecting that they will get the product on time, when they place an order through a private sector such as Amazon— so it's not about blaming on them, but would that increase what we have seen of more Amazon vans and trucks throughout the five boroughs in the

2.2

2.3

last couple of months? Who has the numbers of how many more trucks have Amazon registered to be used for delivering a good in the city? And if that—And if DOT has those members, what is been the increase based on the numbers that you guys have?

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes, Chair. We do not have the number of additional Amazon or other delivery type vehicles specifically that have taken place in the city during Covid times. You know, maybe anecdotally NYPD Chief Royster might want to add a little information to this discussion. You know, what they are seeing out on the street. I don't know if Chief Royster have—

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Before getting information from them, who-- is it DOT? Who can have that information?

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Well, the trucks are all registered by their company with the state. We don't have that information. You know, I suppose we can-- we have good relationships with many of the trucking companies. Were happy to talk with them and try to solicit some more information on the increased activity.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, based on,

again, the information every now, the city doesn't

have-- none of the agencies in the city-- you guys,

DOT, Consumer Affairs, is there any agency that

collects that information?

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Right. My understanding is that we do not have any agency within the city that does it. Again, because the vehicles are registered with the DMV, that might be the place for us to go to to get some tangible information.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I think that that is one of those areas that we should definitely look at it because it's like that with the mopeds that Revel, even though in the beginning they were saying that there was a lot of things that the city couldn't do and, even, at some point we heard from the city that we were limited because they were registered under the Department of Motor Vehicles, however, that day, and the particular case, they are, you know, operating in the city of New York. So, even though I get that Amazon, UPS, and all those delivery company institutions, the vehicles are registered under the DMV. However, the purpose of

2

their use in this case for delivering which is adding 3 congestion to the city of New York, this is something 4 that definitely I think that we as a city should identify the mechanism that we should be able to now. Not to rely on a state agency that says, can you give 6 7 us that information, but we, in the city of New York, 8 should be able to know and accurate time, how many of those vehicles are those companies adding because there is no doubt when I walk around, it can be in 10 11 wood. It can be Riverdale. In any place that you 12 get to see, it's like the new every day new Amazon 13 vans in the city of New York. So, that, for me, is the trouble that we, as a city, we don't have 14 15 accurate information on when new vehicles are added by those companies when they are contributing to 16 17 congestion, when they are contributing in the 18 environmental area contamination that we have in the city, so I hope that, again, that is why I and if I 19 20 that area is one of those that we should definitely 21 close in the loop if we have the opportunity to make 2.2 any changes for the city to centralize and give us 2.3 information. On fines -- And probably NYPD will be the ones that has the information. How many finds to 24 they tend to accumulate for illegal parking and do 25

you know how many of those parking finds or forgot
vehicles who were parked on bus lanes and bike lanes?

4 CHIEF ROYSTER: Good morning, Chair

5 Rodriguez.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Good morning.

CHIEF ROYSTER: And to the

Transportation Committee, as well as Council members that are here today. I'm Chief Royster, as Commissioner Forgione mentioned. I'm grateful to be here today and be afforded the opportunity to share information with you. I was appointed to this position in October of last year to the Transportation Bureau and I grateful to serve the city in this capacity. I believe the traffic safety is an intricate part of Covid safety and I look forward to listening to our communities, working with the Council, as well as partying with our sister agencies to make sure all New Yorkers are safe, as you mentioned earlier in your presentation today. When we start to look at the number of parking summonses that were issued throughout the city, there were, at least, 7 million parking summonses that were issued in the year of 2020. However, when you start

to drill down and look at the number of parking

2.2

2.3

summonses that were issued to trucks, it was over 1 million parking summonses and over 25,000 moving summonses which are for traffic violations in 2020.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Do you know, from that million fines that they got in 2020, how many of those— and, of course, I know that all is probably an entity that, you know, deals with that parking tickets, but how many of those million dollars ended with the trucking or delivery company paying those fines or how many are still pending or they are part of the agreement that they pay a percentage of those tickets?

CHIEF ROYSTER: So, unfortunately,

Chair, I wouldn't have that level of information as

it relates to the parking summonses that were issued.

I am not sure if our other agencies will.

MICHAEL CLARK: Yeah. The Department of Finance is the one that collects that, so we don't get reports of how much money is actually collected for those million plus summonses that are issued.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. If you look if you have that information, what is the number in 2020 compared to 2019? If we saw an increase or a decrease?

2.2

2.3

CHIEF ROYSTER: So, yes. So, in 2019, we had over 2 million summonses that were issued to trucks. Parking summonses that were issued to trucks which is a decrease four 2020 which is over 1 million summonses that were issued to trucks. And in an area of traffic violations there were over 35,000 summonses that were issued to trucks compared to 2020, which is a decrease in the number of 24,000. Over 24,000.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And, in general, and besides including the truck that, as you shared, the 7 million that we have for all illegal parking vehicles and when you look at the general number, that number in 2020 compared to 2019, can you also share that number?

CHIEF ROYSTER: Sure. So, as we know in 2020, it was a challenging time for all of us nationally, as well as within that department and throughout the city. And we saw an 18 percent decrease in parking enforcement throughout the city. Before I actually get you the number, if you don't mind, there were some challenges that we saw in the city as it relates to parking enforcement. One, traffic enforcement agents, we experienced a 20% sick

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rate with our agents as a result of Covid. addition to that, or more importantly, I lost 10 traffic enforcement agents, as well as one tow truck operator and my predecessor during the pandemic, so that took a toll on our department, as well as the Transportation Bureau. But in spite of everything that we were going through, we still continued to issue summonses in the area of parking enforcement, so, just to let you know, one of the things that we realized throughout the year, even though there was a decrease, we saw in January and February, as we were issuing parking summonses, we saw that that number increased in 2020. However, when the pandemic hit the city in March, we saw a gross decrease from March throughout the entire year. So, it is clearly there is a connection with the pandemic and what was going on in the city as it relates to parking summonses. also know that my agents were reassigned to hospitals, as well as testing areas to ensure traffic flow and to make sure that it was fluid. We just want to say that it is clear that the parking enforcement that took place in the city was also related to the changes that took place as far as alternate side of the street parking which was

2.2

2.3

suspended in March, however, there was a change in the actual rules in parking where it is now the parking is—alternate side is only on the last day of the [inaudible 00:37:59]. So, with all of those changes, there seems to be a connection in the decrease in parking enforcement throughout the city.

MICHAEL CLARK: And if I could on one thing, in addition of those factors, there was also a noticeable decrease in cars on the road in April,

May, June. The data backs that up that, with a significant decrease in the number of cars, there was a decrease in the number parked illegally during that time. So it does make sense that a lot of our numbers on parking summonses would be down in 2020 versus 2019.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And, Chief, what is your take on the proposal on the ideas and suggestions by Brooklyn borough president Eric Adams, that in order for the city to have more men and women in blue to be dedicated to fight crimes, NYPD should know [inaudible 00:30:55] the men and women in uniform to be responsible to go out and give tickets, but that we should have another civil part of the NYPD or other entities be responsible to go after the

2.2

2.3

illegal parking not necessarily the men and women of uniform to be the ones responsible to give tickets on illegal parking?

CHIEF ROYSTER: Well, there are a lot of dynamics in looking at traffic and parking. I'm sure this is a conversation that I will be something that all of the agencies will be looking at. I just think that the police department is clear and it is evident that the police department in our civilian members of the service are doing what we can to protect the city. I think that we are always looking at ways to make the city safe and, if that determines that some of the responsibilities have to be to other agencies, I'm not sure how that would work right now, but I could clearly say that the police department is currently and will continue to make the city safe.

And going back to-- and thank you, Chief, for the great job and it's an honor to be working with you and I know that all of us will stand on the shoulder of Chief Morris so that everyone will always remember her for being the big ambassador of the NYPD to go around developing good relationships between the police and the community and I know that we all have

- 2 good experience working with Chief Morris. So I know
- 3 that all of you guys that are responsible for Vision
- 4 Zero from the NYPD will have a Chief [inaudible
- 5 | 00:40:54] in our lives, but I also know that you have
- 6 a great background and I know that all of us will
- 7 | have an important leader who works together with DOT
- 8 and the Council and City Hall to fight against this
- 9 epidemic of pedestrians and cyclists losing their
- 10 | lives because of irresponsible drivers.
- 11 Unfortunately, they don't get that they need to share
- 12 | the streets and that the streets don't belong to them
- 13 only. So, thank you for your leadership.
- 14 CHIEF ROYSTER: Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Going back to in
- 16 general and I don't know if DOT Commissioners the one
- 17 probably appropriate to answer this question. How
- 18 are we doing when it came to protect bike lanes
- 19 | from-- bike lanes and bus lanes from drivers that
- 20 | leave those cars, their cars, in those areas and
- 21 probably that also can be the transition to what you
- 22 | think about the bill introduced by Council member
- 23 Levin and Speaker Johnson.
- 24 COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes. Thank you.
- 25 So, as you know, we have a pretty robust bus lane

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

camera program that has proven to be quite effective in reducing the violations in bus lanes. You know, there is always room to do better and to do more. Bike lanes, we have, you know, wherever we can we seek to build protected bike lanes that are more difficult for drivers to get into. So, that is always a goal to be able to do that. Do we need to do better on enforcement of bike lanes? We would definitely agree there is room to improve there. we mentioned, previously, in our Green Wave plan, we are very interested in automated bike lane enforcement and I think that is something that we are going to give some serious thought to going forward. So I definitely want to acknowledge that we seek to do better as a city in terms of enforcement to keep people safer. Absolutely. But all that being said, the proposed bill at hand does give us pause. would like to work with you further on it to see how we can go forward in a way that is more workable, but the way it is conceived currently is something that we do will pose. First and foremost, we truly see a very concerning result of citizen on citizen assaults. You know, verbal assaults which are one

thing, but, most concerning, physical assaults.

2.2

know that every year NYPD has close to 40 traffic		
agents who are assaulted by members of the public.		
No, these are agents that are in uniform with the		
police department patch on their arms with the full		
backing of the Police Department and yet they are		
assaulted. We worry that, with this program, you		
know, members of the public are going to see another		
member of the public taking a picture of their		
license plate or what have you and that could result		
in, you know, split second violent confrontation.		
So, we are very concerned about safety under this		
proposed bill.		

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

require quite a bit of resources to step it up. We would need a very elaborate IT program so that when people, when members of the public, submit violations, we know that they are being submitted correctly. So, for example, in a bustling, we would have to establish that the car or the vehicle was there for period of time because you are allowed to drop somebody off or pick somebody up in a bustling. So, we can't just take one picture. It would be more than one picture. We have to make sure the members

of the public understand the parking regulations when
they are submitting these violations. So, we would
need a really robust, perhaps complicated IT system
for people to submit complaints. We would also need
staffing and OATH would need some significant
staffing for this. Two more concerns with the bill
that we think that the benefits could potentially be
distributed unevenly around the city. We don't know
that people would end up submitting violations in the
places that we most need them to be, so you might
have too much in one area and not enough in another
area. And, lastly, returning the violations to OATH
do create some issues which we can expand on further.
We have a Deputy Commissioner from OATH, John
Castelli, here today who can explain if you would
like, but basically, the OATH violations are much
harder to collect on and that calls into questions
even, you know, whether or not this proposal makes
sense financially. But that's sort of my overview
and we can talk further about any one aspect of that.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Let me take you to two more questions into areas and them will call my colleagues for questions. One is on delivery trucks. I have seen more work being done, especially

on the inside where we have to say like a center
where 86th and third Avenue the delivery company,
they go and mess distribution from there. Like
centralized distribution that, from there, most of
the orders they are delivering in tricycles and
bicycles so that they avoided reduce the number of
trucks in those areas. Is that something that we
expect to see? How much progress has been made when
it comes to identify an area throughout the five
boroughs where Amazon, UPS, or anyone brings all
those goods and delivering them to what area and,
from there, deliveries are made using tricycles,
bicycles, or any motor transportation so that we can
reduce trucks and reduce congestion?

very interested and we have a cargo bike pilot program that is currently underway. We can tell you a little bit more about that, but we are very interested in seeing more deliveries have been via cargo bike. The question becomes what you just described. I think you said 86th Street. If the truck that the pikes are replenishing from like 86th Street and other trucks are coming to replenish it and then the cargo bikes go out from there, that is

2.2

2.3

the cause of concern. I think we are much more interested in seeing the activity of replenishing the vehicles happen not in the congested neighborhoods or outside of the congested neighborhoods. But it is the program with a lot of promise and it is something that we are working with the industry on. If you would like a little more detail, Eric Beaton can explain which companies we are working with in our cargo bike program and some of the results we have seen so far.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Why don't we have Eric talk a little bit about that. Sorry. We need to unmute him again. Eric Beaton. Do we have somebody who can unmute Eric Beaton? There we go.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BEATON: Hi. Hi.

There we go. So, yes. As Commissioner Forgione
said, we are very excited about the potential for
seeing more deliveries by cargo bike and as sone of
you may know, we stood up a cargo bike pilot program
about a year ago and, since then, we've more than
doubled the number of cargo bikes delivering on our
streets which we think is really terrific and a lot
more to do and we think in a lot of these narrower

2 residential streets, a cargo bike is a more 3 appropriate vehicle to deliver. And what we've heard 4 from the delivery companies is that a cargo bike can effectively carry as much as a truck because, given 5 the traffic on the streets, you know, the trucks 6 7 aren't necessarily even always full. So, the 8 delivery bikes can be a very effective way of doing this and we worked with Whole Foods. We've been working with a lot of the big commercial package 10 11 carriers and we're seeing a consistent increase until 12 we've been looking at what we can do to continue to 13 encourage this, whether it's providing some dedicated space on the street where some of the loading 14 15 happens. You know, that's not appropriate 16 everywhere, but in very busy locations. And then, Chairman, to your point, we've also been trying to 17 18 find places where some of these transfers can happen 19 off street. You know, it's not necessarily in space 20 that the city controls, but trying to work with some of the companies and some of the parking garages to 21 try to find spots where some of those transfers might 2.2 2.3 be able to happen outside of the public right of way, as well. So, we think there is a tremendous amount 24 of potential here, but you are also exactly right 25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we wanted to make sure that we do it in a way

that really encourages this clean and efficient mode,

but does it without creating these sort of intermodal

5 transfer hubs in the middle of busy commercial

6 streets. So we think there's a lot of progress there

7 but also about [inaudible 00:51:04] to do.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yes. Thank you assuring that. And Commissioner [inaudible 00:51:11] follow with our staff so that we can get more details about the evolution of the pilot project so that we can, you know, get information and be able to--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [inaudible 00:51:27]

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes. Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And my last question at this moment is related to bicycle cyclists being killed. In 2020, as you know, it has been reported that there were at least 26 cyclist fatalities in New York City and if you can show what else [inaudible 00:51:50] and how many of these fatalities were the result of illegal parked cars, can you give us a breakdown by borough or where the cyclist deaths occurred? And has the city identified any intersection or road that are particular dangers

for cyclists and what measures have been undertaken

had four, Brooklyn North had four, Brooklyn South

- five, Manhattan South two. We had no bike fatalities
 in Queens South. One in Brooklyn North and one in

 Staten Island.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Do you have, Chief, 6 a breakdown of how many of those fatalities involved 7 trucks? [inaudible 00:54:10].
 - CHIEF ROYSTER: One second.
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED: 10.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- CHIEF ROYSTER: 10 of those fatalities-- of bike fatalities-- involved trucks.
 - CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you, Chief. And thank you, Commissioner. Let me go back now to our staff so that they can direct the Council members the order that they raise their hands who will smooth questions. Thank you.
 - First, I would like to acknowledge that we were joined earlier by Council member Levine. We will now call on Council members in the order that they have used the zoom raise hand function. Council members, please keep your questions to five minutes. The sergeant-at-arms will keep a timer and he will let you know when your time is up. Council member Menchaca will be first. Council member Menchaca?

adding more support to thinking about them in

different ways both as commuters, like myself, who

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

bike around the city and our cargo bikes, that is going to require heightened design and focus.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes. So, I also work forward to looking with you, Council member Menchaca. Thank you for those words. So, I didn't mean to convey any kind of lukewarm endorsement of protected bike lanes. This year, as you know, we did more protected bike lanes then we have any other year. We did 28 miles of them and we intend-- for this past year. In this current year we do intend to do about the same number. Hopefully, little bit more. So, we are very aggressively installing protected bike lanes and that is absolutely the best alternative for safety on our streets. You know, to be fair, though, they do present challenges. Operationally, they are more to keep up. If we have delineators or other devices protecting traffic from the bike lane, they present challenges in both terms of maintenance for both snow removal and sleeping with sanitation. So, we can't dismiss those other concerns. We are aggressively looking to be able to work on them better. We are talking with sanitation. They are pursuing more and more small equipment that

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 helps them get into these lanes and we very much want 3 to increase the number of protected bike lanes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. So, I'm looking forward to that and really looking forward to working with you and the team and something that the previous Commissioner really and finally understood the issue in Redhook where you have six last mile delivery sites sprouting that is going to can just our streets and if we just don't get that right, were going to-- with really creative ideas like cargo bikes in bringing more stipulation to companies like UPS and Amazon and others, we are going to create a lot more issues that are going to endanger pedestrian cyclists and drivers in Redhook. You can answer if you have any kind of updates on that, that would be great, but let's just move over and in the short time that I have, on the enforcement conversation that this bill was asking us to consider and civilians versus agencies support. And I want to kind of ask you to go deeper into this understanding that may be some communities will have more eyes on the street and really moving to a civilian operation for this kind of enforcement. I just want to get a sense about how you are thinking about that more deeply.

2	COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Right. Well, we
3	think that, clearly, as the violation is 175 dollars
4	and the citizen gets 25 percent, that is sort of
5	substantial. So we think that, you know, I whole
6	sort of industry and workforce will spring up as
7	could spring up as a result of this proposed bill.
8	So, we don't completely know how that plays out. Do
9	they go to the neighborhoods? Do they stay in their
10	own neighborhoods? Do they travel the neighborhoods
11	that they think are good pickings on violations? We
12	don't know. It is certainly not methodical. We do
13	know that, right? So, we do think it would be
14	uneven. It might not be in the areas that we have
15	the most safety concerns. It might just be in more
16	sleepy places that may be are less comparatively less
17	concerned about those violations. We are concerned
18	about all of them, but, obviously, on key major
19	corridors where we are more concerned about safety.
20	So, I don't know exactly how it would play out, but
21	it won't

22 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: be methodical.

24 That's what I can say.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. I would like to come on do a second round of questions and maybe dig a little bit deeper on that front. Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council member, if you have other questions on that, you can ask them now.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okav. Thank you. So, just to, again -- the spirit of this bill, I think, really begs us to have a conversation about how we can bring in a very material and civilian There are so many cyclists like myself who force. are taking photos already and wishing they could do something more than just put it on Twitter and shaming people and I think that that is part of the culture that the enforcement is that there and that people are just going to get away with it. there is an opportunity here to take a leap in shifting culture that allows for communities. And so, I'm hoping that you can really sit down with us. And I think there are a lot of other ways that we can take this civilian response, especially when there are more people riding their bikes and they are

2.2

2.3

and respond.

writing them as families and I think that there is a way to really push the culture that we are seeing on the streets in bringing in our community boards.

Maybe we train our community boards to be part of this is new civilian force. Our communities know which corners are the problematic corners. Again, that shifts how we think about enforcement and I know that we have a big conversation around defunding the NYPD which means that we don't want to put more work on armed humans in our streets, either. And so, this is, I think, the response that we are looking for for DOT and I'm wondering if you can kind of take that

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Good. So, I agree. People in their communities, they do know best and they have very good feedback to provide us and, obviously, we take an NYPD takes in lots of complaints, but maybe there is a more methodical way of doing that. A more analytical way of like crowdsourcing all of the hotspots and the trouble spots. So, we would definitely like to talk with you more, and your colleagues, about how we can better get feedback from people in the communities. We totally agree with you.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And would you be open to 311 being an access point for this?

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. That's great. So, we should bring 311 into this--COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: conversation, as well.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And I think
the last thing I want to ask is the ability for you
or your team to give us an update about what's
happening in Redhook because it is going to be a
microcosm to other communities that are going to see
us sprout a last mile delivery and I just don't think
the city is moving fast enough to address these
issues until they are going to become— they will
become— these issues are going to become issues
that are going to take lives of New Yorkers. More
lives of New Yorkers in our communities like East New
York and some other industrial areas that are going
to see us sprout a very dangerous last mile delivery.

been very busy this year and into late 2020 and early

- 2 other question-- and living it back to some
- 3 questions on-- if you don't mind, give me one
- 4 second, please. People have a right, New Yorkers
- 5 have a right to call 311 for all the issues and how
- 6 many calls were made in 2020 related to illegally
- 7 parked vehicles in the city of New York? I mean,
- 8 [inaudible 1:05:50] calls to 311? Does NYPD have
- 9 that information?
- 10 CHIEF ROYSTER: Chair, I can provide you
- 11 | with the number of 311 calls that were made for
- 12 vehicles parked in bike lanes for 2020.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Sure. If you
- 14 | would. And if you can also compare 2019 and whatever
- 15 universe you can cover that is important.
- 16 CHIEF ROYSTER: Very well. I'll start
- 17 | with 2019. In 2019, we had approximately 17,851
- 18 | calls for vehicles parked in bike lanes. 311 calls.
- 19 \parallel In 2020, however, we had 8369 calls. That is the
- 20 decrease in 53 percent of calls for vehicles parked
- 21 | in bike lanes. To add some level of specificity to
- 22 that, in January and February, we had the highest
- 23 number of calls in 2020 and, from March on, it seemed
- 24 like the calls for 2020 for vehicles parked in bike
- 25 | lanes decrease dramatically.

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thanks. Ιn another question probably to you guys from the NYPD, 3 4 chief, is related to the numbers of NYPD cars illegally parked in the surrounding area of the 5 prisons. Again, myself, and someone led anyone knows 6 7 that has been organizing since I landed in New York 8 City in 1983, has not been shy to say you we need to address the issue of police brutality and any issue related to the men and women. They want PD 10 11 accountable is all of us that serve the government 12 should be accountable to. No one should be treated 13 different. And we always also understand that our 14 responsibility is also to provide that, you know, men 15 and women, they want to be accountable and at the 16 same time that we need to provide all the resources that they need in order to do their job. So, I'm not 17 18 questioning the need that, you know, the men and 19 women at in order to park their vehicles, you know, 20 when they come to work through the prisons. 21 Especially those of them who live in areas that are 2.2 transportation desert area that they need to drive. 2.3 The one on my questions is how can you -- What is the city doing to address the over parked vehicles around 24 prisons that we see today? Like I don't get to-- I 25

2.2

2.3

know that math is not my background, but when I look around and see and, based on the information that we have with local inspectors or friends of those that, you know, from you guys and the NYPD that also are inspectors or sergeants and cops in different prisons throughout the five boroughs. I don't get to see why there's so many cars parked on the sidewalk on the middle of the street around prisons. So what is—why should we expect [inaudible 01:09:24] or what has been done to address the abuse of the use of the street space around prison by some members of the NYPD.

right, Chair, when you talk about parking whether it's an emergency vehicles or private vehicles around a facility, a police facility. One of the things I would like to talk about is that we are leaders in the community and serve the community. So, if we are parking illegally or we are abusing our authority to park, what it does is the road the trust that the community has in the police department and, more importantly, in the officers that serve that community. So, when you have a certain culture, what you want to do is to invoke change and, throughout

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the time, we have been instructing our officers, as well as our members of the service and our facilities, about parking illegally and double parking, bus stops, or whether there is a bike lane in front of that facility. And we have done that through messages, but we realize that messaging just does not reach everyone, so we have also identified supervisors, as you mentioned before, that will be accountable in the way we need to this is through previously our traffic stats which is responsible for addressing traffic safety issues -- which parking is a traffic safety issue-- but we, more importantly, address the executive officers in that precinct and that's going to continue under our traffic safety forum which should be done every month where we bring in executives and talk about some of the traffic safety issues that we are having not just with the community and drivers and motorists, but also our members of the service because we have to continue to be leaders in the sight of the community. We want our executive officers to be held accountable. hold those traffic safety forums and I am going to drill down on the fact that this is a culture we will continue to inform officers, but not only inform, we

will actually take into consideration the information 2 3 that we received from 311, as well as the community 4 and I have an outreach team that will go out and look at the infrastructure of that precinct to determine what we need to do it internally to make sure that 6 7 officers are not parking illegally in front of the 8 facilities or around the facilities. And may I also say that, in each of our 77 precincts, transit districts, as well as housing facilities, 10 11 tenants that are integrity or are sergeants that are 12 ICLs, integrity control officers. They are 13 responsible for circulating information, as well as 14 doing observations every tour to instruct and make 15 sure that officers or civilian members of the service 16 are not parking their vehicles in [inaudible 17 01:13:07]. Going forward, Chair, for the year 2020, 18 in addition to all these steps that we are taking, we 19 are also going to make sure that there is signage inside of our facilities to address the 20 21 infrastructure that is in and around the police facility so that officers will be aware of where they 2.2 2.3 actually are not supposed to park as well as instruct them and give them additional training. So, this is 24 something that we-- I am going to continue to 25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 monitor with not just the Transportation Bureau, but

3 also the other units within the police department.

4 Our Chief of Departments Office, our Patrol Services

5 Bureau which actually oversees the officers, or any

6 other bureau within the department. This message

7 | will be amplified going forward.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yeah. I just think that some assessment-- I'm happy to hear I think that the additional assessment must be done about-what is the numbers of parking spots that each prison needs? Because what I feel is that -- and I get it. And I will assume that the prison has a number of vehicles used for undercover operations. I get that those prisons also need to have others, you know-they have other needs, but let's say if in X prisons we have, let's say, 250 police officers, in no time, have of them work at the same time and sometimes you pass by and will drive around some of those prisons and you get to see so many cars partaking blocks around prisons. Taking the sidewalk and it's like all the time all police officers are working. I know that it's not only about those with you for. There is also the civilians. I know that there is also the detective, but I feel that an assessment

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | must be done because, when I and some friends, it's

3 like. Of parking spots taking at the same time. So,

4 if there is no point in order any assessments, I

5 | think it is important, I think, that the Council

6 should be reported on how many parking spots are

7 needed in each prison, working in collaboration with

8 you guys, we can have a better understanding of the

9 use of parking spots around prisons.

CHIEF ROYSTER: I agree, Chair. I think this is something— but assessment that you are mentioning, I think that is something that the police department, as well as the Department of Transportation, when we start to work at the infrastructure of each of our facilities, we each have a discussion about, Chairman, what is feasible and also what is necessary as far as the parking of our emergency vehicles so that we can safely respond as well as park and make sure that the community is not actually troubled by the parking situation that is around our facilities.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, Commissioner, so what should we expect in 2021 when it comes to, you know, the only-- I know that all of us in your case, we follow the [inaudible 01:16:52] of former

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Commissioner Polly Trottenberg to whom we have a lot of respect and I can say that we have good things to say about her when it came to her leadership and we all [inaudible 01:17:05] or whatever it is she would decide to do in the future, hopefully at the national level, too, which would be a great access to the city of New York if that's what she would decide to do, but in your leadership, what should we expect? Because this is about leaving our fingerprints. all have to continue [inaudible 01:17:24] we are, you know, doing the work to be a role model to our kids, but also we want to expect that the future generation will do better than us. So, what should we expect in 21 when it comes to you as the leaders of DOT at least for these couple months -- starting this couple months -- to see how aggressive will we be when it comes to addressing illegal park happening in New York City?

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes. Thank you,

Chair, and I share your feelings very much so about

Polly Trottenberg. We accomplished so much the last

seven years with her at the helm. That it is my

largest priority to continue that good work and take

it further. You know, for 2021, first and foremost,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

is safety. As we have been discussing this morning, 2020 was not the direction of Vision Zero that we want to see it go, so I think the largest thing that we need to focus on is doing much better for Vision Zero in 2021. Now, everything will be overshadowed by the continuing pandemic. Hopefully in the coming months we will start to see that abate and things will get more back or somewhat back to what they used to be, you know, in our lives and on the roadways in terms of some of the negative effects of the pandemic. But, you know, we at DOT will be juggling our work. You know, we have done a tremendous amount of work with Open Restaurants and outdoor learning. Open Storefronts. So, we will be continuing that work this year as long as we need to and some of those programs, as we know, we really want to see them last far into the future, but thought all that, we also need to really double down on what we can do with Vision Zero. So, right now we are in pretty deep discussions about what else we can do this year and, in the coming weeks, I think the Mayor mentioned this a week ago, we will be talking more about the specifics of what we can do in 2021 for Vision Zero.

2	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,
3	Commissioner. And, Rebecca, too, unless they have
4	conversations on the possibility of doing some level
5	of our Earth Day celebration and it, of course
6	[inaudible 1:19:58] that you're committed to doing
7	now. I know that this is something that we were not
8	able to do because of the Covid 19, but hopefully
9	with the vaccine and the city coming back, you know,
10	in place, probably we should look at the possibility
11	to do like add our Earth Day celebration in April.
12	So, if you don't mind, let's touch base on other
13	conversations to see how
14	REBECCA ZACH: Yeah. I know the state
15	guidance is going to dictate a lot of like the size
16	of gathering right now. Like that is suspended I
17	think until the end of February, I believe. But we
18	will confirm. You know, it has been a thought year
19	to, obviously, because April is right around the
20	corner.
21	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Uh-hm.
22	REBECCA ZACH: Yeah. Happy to talk.
23	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thanks. And,

25 issues, but on the scooter pilot project, are we on

24

Commissioner, the in known related illegal parking

time? Is that pilot project planning continue moving
and should we expect that? What like, of course, I
know I'm not expecting for you to like pull out
information, but I know since your team has been
working with this, the if you can show where are we
with the status of the pilot project for the scooter?
I know that March is important and, of course, I'm
not talking about discussion information that it is
only you guys discussing with those who respond to
the RFP, but it is about timing wise and, of course,
I started saying that I would like to see the pilot
project coming out and, you know, moving forward as
March being important. So, can you update us with
some information in regards to that?

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Yes. So, we are doing well in terms of our schedule. We received the proposals maybe three weeks ago. We are currently evaluating proposals. We got a good number to work with and, you know, the next weeks or month or so we will be able to talk more publicly about next steps.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: So, we are doing well. We are on track.

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And the last item that I want to address with you on non-3 4 bike-- on a matter that you seem to be working very close and I appreciate what you're doing which is about the bike lane along 181st Street. One idea is-6 7 - and this is something that I know in the past--8 in your previously law, the Manhattan commissioner, I think that you are familiar with something that has been mentioned in the past that I would like to say, 10 11 you know, how you are in the new role in working with 12 a team that is having the meeting. There was one 13 last week with the stakeholder of this community did take a think back about how do we move forward 14 15 showing that 181st is included as part of the new 16 vision for buses. I'm sorry. Did I say bike lane? 17 For buses along 181st. one idea is can we-- and, 18 again, I know I'm putting this [inaudible 1:23:23] 19 it's to look at it because you haven't mentioned it 20 in the past. I think all buses that come from the 21 Bronx to Manhattan to 181st, they should stop in the 2.2 Bronx side at university and have shuttle busses that 2.3 go along 181st up to Fort Washington so that instead of having the four buses lane-- 13, 36-- coming to 24 Manhattan, they should end at the university and 25

2	having the shuttle buses. I think it would help big
3	time for us to reduce the congestion of buses coming
4	to this area. So, we, again, at the community board
5	this is something that has brought in the past. I
6	have not brought to the stakeholder meeting, but if
7	you can also, you know, look at it and I'm more than
8	happy to continue this conversation with the team
9	that you have assigned to work with this. I'm more
10	than happy to continue, but I feel that it will help
11	us big time.
12	COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Sure. We'd be

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Sure. We'd be happy to talk with you more about that. We can get our team together with the MTA talk through it.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Good.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, from IN, I
don't have more questions. I don't know if Council
member Levin has been able to join us, but I see
Paul--

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes. Council member Levin has joined us.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, Elliott, I bring it back to you.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council member
3 Levin, if we have you now, would you like to give

4 remarks on your bill?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yes, sir. want to thank Chair Rodriguez and I want to thank the Commissioner. My apologies. I was delivering remarks on a conference, so I apologize for [inaudible 01:25:19]. I just want to take a moment here to speak on Intro 2159. 2159 came about as a result of years of unaddressed placard abuse. is not the first step. This was not the first step solar should or the second, but years of agencies failing to keep our streets free from obtrusive and harmful parking has made this bill a necessity. have gotten thousands of calls from constituents over the years who have watched vehicles abuse bike lanes and bus stops, bus lanes as parking lots. In fact, I got goals what about cars using travel lanes and those parking lots. And agencies blatantly ignore the law and it has grown to be a persistent and endemic problem. We cannot let people who use placards be held to a different standard and it is time for some accountability. This bill takes a pragmatic and responsive approach to all long-

25

2 standing and entrenched issue and provides community members with the tools that the government is had, 3 4 but not effectively used for years. Intro 2159 does not prohibit agencies from enforcing parking violations. DOT and NYPD can continue issuing 6 7 violations and towing vehicles at any point if they 8 are worried about equitable enforcement. I have waited for enforcement on placard abuse and extreme parking violations for years and it hasn't happened 10 11 and we cannot continue to wait, especially as we put 12 in place more dedicated bike lanes. More bike lanes 13 only work when they are actually able to be used. The fact is if we continue to allow parking abuse to 14 15 go on unfettered, we are not doing our jobs as 16 government officials. It is irresponsible to 17 continue to do nothing. And, you know, this is not, 18 obviously limited to those holding placards, but in areas around-- particularly in downtown areas around 19 20 the city, you know, it is so clearly a double 21 standard in enforcement and, frankly, I have, you 2.2 know, after years of banging my head against the 2.3 wall, I mean, we have just landed at a point now where I am not confident that the administration has-24

or the police department has an answer for-- you

2 know, and so I guess my question is to the 3 Commissioner if this isn't the solution that the city 4 wants to pursue, what then is the solution that the 5 city is putting forward? Because, you know, all you have to do is go anywhere in my district in downtown 6 7 Brooklyn. Jay Street. Monpillory Street. 8 even get me started on the ramps on and off of the BQE. And, frankly, it is just, you know-- you don't even have to have a placard. You just have to have 10 11 some indication that you are a member of the uniform 12 service in the city and you well be exempt from 13 getting any type of parking violation. And I will 14 say this. I am a city Council member. I have a 15 placard. I will give you an example. Outside of my 16 district office on Atlantic Avenue there used to be a 17 no standing four to seven so it was clear for a 18 travel lane. This was on the south side of Atlantic 19 And there would be times I was in a meeting 20 and I would forget and I would realize and lock up. 21 It is a quarter after four. I would look up and run 2.2 out to move my car and my car would be towed and that 2.3 happened several times. I had my car towed to the Navy Yard multiple times. I stopped driving into 24 25 But it's not as if it is just placard holders

2.2

2.3

parked illegally in an outstanding zone. It is that it is uniformed personnel. If you have indicated that you are a uniformed personnel, there is the code of solidarity between uniformed personnel. Maybe DOT is lumped into that and, you know, DOB cars, as well, but, you know, it doesn't just go across the board and it is just—— I just have heard no solution in the 11 years at the Council that the administration has put forward to have addressed. That is why we are where we are today. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: So, thank you,

Council member. We understand your frustration and

will pass it off in a moment to Chief Royster to

speak more specifically about law enforcement, but as

I mentioned earlier, a few things. One is that we

are very interested in automated bike lane

enforcement. We have been very successful with our

other camera programs for enforcement and we would

really like to advance that in the future and

hopefully in the near future. So, that doesn't

necessarily specifically address placard abuse, but

it would include that. So that is one thing that—

something that we raised in our Green Wave plan a

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

year or two ago and it is something that we think has a lot of promise. So, we'd like to make sure that we have those discussions. But in terms of enforcement in general, we believe it is very important to take the discretion out of enforcement. That is why we have been working with NYPD over the last few years to move to a license plate reading technology for enforcement. So, the way it would work-- and we are about this year were starting to modify all or 14,000 parking meters to be able to accept license plates for enforcement. In the way it would work for placards is that any given vehicle that is legitimate to have a placard would be included in the system in this database. It doesn't mean you can park anywhere, as you're pointing out, with a placard, but it tells you that that vehicle is legitimate by only having-- by not having a physical placard in the windshield, you prevent people from lending their placard to somebody else, using an outdated one instead of turning it in is there supposed to. eliminates a lot of abuse, so were moving in this direction with NYPD. NYPD is getting new devices for their agents to be able to better utilize this new technology. So, in the next few years, this is the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

direction that were moving in and that will also help address some of the placard abuse.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah. The purpose of this legislation, though, specifically as to the crackdown on dangerous parking. You know, it's not just all-- you know, it's not just all parking violations. It's not overstaying at the meter. It's not even parking at all no standing zones. specific to the kind of parking that requires other users of the road to put themselves into incoming traffic. You know, a blocked bike lane means that you are going into-- and we have seen tragic results. The young woman who on Central Park West a couple years ago now. But it's, you know, as a cyclist myself, you know, you're kind of putting yourself into-- you're putting yourself at risk when you have to go around a parked vehicle and out by And similarly with pedestrians having to walk around parked cars in crosswalks. And so, what's the-- because I'm not quite sure, what is the-mean, placard or no placard, what is the enforcement I mean, it's one of those things. It's like a big open secret in the city that, you know, that you won't get a ticket if you're seen as like, you know,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2.3

2 if you're somehow a part of this code that doesn't get tickets.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Okay. I mean, this point, maybe Chief Royster wants to talk a little bit more about the initiatives and the police department that they have been pursuing.

CHIEF ROYSTER: Thank you, Commissioner.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you.

CHIEF ROYSTER: How are you?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Good. How are you?

CHIEF ROYSTER: So, there were a couple of measures that we have taken previously as it relates to parking. One of the things that I would like to mention that you mentioned before is the overarching [inaudible 1:35:51] safety. The safety for our most vulnerable road users, our cyclists, our elderly, our people. Our community that is walking on sidewalks. We will continue to make sure that our officers are aware that parking in areas like this is a safety hazard, but one of the things I would like to share with you today is that the conversation and giving instructions to officers is just not where we are going to stop. We know that this is a cultural

thing and we are going to change it and were going to

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

work with all of our units within the department to make sure that message is down to the lowest rung on the ladder. It is an issue in our community. It erodes the trust in our community and the one thing that we are going to do is make sure that our leaders are held accountable in the precinct to make sure that it is not done. I just want to say that every year we issue placards, restrictive parking permits, to our members of the department. That message is going to be amplified when they do get parking permits. One of the things is that there are rules that go along with these permits that they get. rules are very clear. They are not to violate the They are not to park in locations where they cause safety hazards. The other things is that we're going to look and make sure that there isn't a pattern of this being done. You now, instructions Holding someone accountable is are one thing. another thing. But if a person is found to do this, then we're going to make sure that we revoke those permits. We issue them every year, as I mentioned before, there is the process in doing that. misuse of a placard is very important to us. don't take it lightly. We will continue to work with

2.2

2.3

all of our units within the police department and we will continue to do external outreach to other law enforcement agencies to ensure that they know the importance of not parking in bike lanes or bus lanes or misusing placards.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chief.

I appreciated I do look forward to working with you on all those initiatives and I appreciate the work that you put into this. You know, I do think that, at a certain point, you know, the purpose of this legislation is to give citizens, everyday people in the city, you know, that agency to be able to take action themselves. And it is reflecting, you know, the level of frustration over the years. So I do look forward to working with you. I know you are relatively new in the position, so there's, I think, opportunities to be had, but I do want to move forward with this legislation and I will be in touch.

CHIEF ROYSTER: Yes, sir. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you. And with that, I'll turn it back over to the Chair. I appreciate everybody's patience and I know that my colleagues have to ask numerous questions, as well.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Well, with that, 3 Council member Levin-- unless Elliott has somebody 4 else, I think that we can move to the end of questions for Council members. Both Chief and 5 Commissioners, it is an honor to be working with you. 6 7 I know that this is a tough time, but I also know 8 that we have the responsibility to continue working around Vision Zero. Yes, we can save lives. Yes, we can reduce to zero the numbers of New Yorkers who 10 lose their lives as a result of crashes and I think 11 12 that having the great leadership-- in this case by both of you-- was achieved in the commissioner and 13 14 in working with City Hall and Speaker Johnson with 15 colleagues, we will accomplish this goal. So, with 16 that, thank you to the administration. 17 REBECCA ZACH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And now I go back to Elliott.

COMMISSIONER FORGIONE: Thank you very much, Chair Rodriguez.

CHIEF ROYSTER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn to public testimony. I would like to remind everyone that, unlike our typical Council hearings,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Marco?

we will be calling individuals one by one to testify, so there is no need to use the raise hand function. We will call everyone who has signed up to testify. Each panelist will be given two minutes to speak and if your testimony will run longer than two minutes, please summarize. Please begin once the sergeant was started the timer. Council members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will call on you after that panelist has completed their testimony. For panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the sergeant-at-arms will give you the go ahead to begin upon setting the timer. Please wait for the sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony. first panelists today will be Marco Connor DiAquoi.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

MARCO CONNOR DIAQUOI: Thank you very
much. Thank you, Chair Rodriguez for convening this
hearing and Speaker Johnson and Council member Levin
for introducing this legislation. My name is Marco
Connor DiAquoi and I am deputy director at

Transportation Alternatives. I am testifying today

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in support of Intro 2159. Now, I also want to urge the Council to pass another bill, Intro 1141 by Council member Constantinides, to reform the stipulated fine abatement program for commercial vehicles obstructing pedestrians and cyclists right That bill, 1141, as more than a majority of Council cosponsors and it is long overdue to bring it to about. In 2018, Madison Jane Lydon was killed while riding her bicycle in the Central Park West bike Lane, but she was forced into traffic by the driver of a large truck because of a car driver who was parked in her right-of-way and she was struck and killed. This is precisely the type of reckless behavior that this legislation aims to address and for every person like Madison, hundreds more are injured for the exact same dangerous parking behavior. Vehicles illegally blocking crosswalks, bike lanes, bus lanes, and sidewalks is a problem that is rampant throughout our city and it is something that the administration is not remotely addressed with the seriousness it requires. proof of this inaction is right before us on every street where pedestrians and cyclists are forced to walk into dangerous traffic where driver sightlines

2 are obstructed, limiting their ability to see 3 pedestrians and where transit riders are on accepted 4 lead to delayed. And the proof is in the absence of Madison Jane Leiden. The fact that she is no longer here, that is the proof of the utter inadequacy of 6 7 this city and prior administration efforts today. 8 want to also highlight how illegal and dangerous parking affects people using wheelchairs in many When already limited ADA complied sidewalk 10 curbs are blocked by vehicles parked in the 11 crosswalk, it forces wheelchair users who are 12 13 pedestrians under state law, to travel in the vehicle 14 traveling next to multitouch and lethal vehicles to 15 the next intersection in the hopes of being able to 16 ride onto the sidewalk there. And these are just 17 some of the many ways illegally parked cars cause 18 real harm in creating unacceptably dangerous 19 conditions throughout our city. In addition, and 20 harms how we feel about using public space. Already, 21 more than 75 percent of our street space is reserved 2.2 for moving or storing, for free, private cars and 2.3 trucks with pedestrians and cyclists pushed to the margins of the road. When cars then occupy 24 additional space by blocking sidewalks and bus lanes 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and bike lanes, spaces that is reserved for other road users, it sends the signal that these streets are not for you if you aren't in a car. That you are not welcome here. And when our city fails to enforce against that behavior, it says that our city, our Mayor, and our government do not care about us feeling safe and free to move around in our own city. These are spaces that should be sacred, not free for all parking spaces that harm New Yorkers. Operating a large multi done vehicle comes with a tremendous responsibility, including not speeding and also not creating hazardous conditions like parking illegally. We generally are cautious of enforcement measures or new enforcement measures, but this proposed law creates a civil, not a criminal fine and would not result in more officers on the street. We all have a responsibility in operating large multi time vehicles to do so with extreme caution in ways that do not harm our fellow New Yorkers and, if you can't do that, then a civil fine is appropriate to deter that behavior. Finally, to help ensure this loss of fairness, we urge you to consider a fine structure that perhaps starts with fines of more than 175 dollars, a warning for your first offense followed by

panelists?

escalating fines for subsequent violations, and also
ensuring that these violations never lead to awards
being issued. These are just some of the ways that
we can address some of the concerns raised. So,
there is also precedents for this citizen enforcement
in the form of the city's idling laws administered by
the Department of Environmental Protection, structure
that is already in place and can easily be emulated
to quickly implement this proposed law. So, in
closing, thank you, Council member Levin for
advancing this, Speaker Johnson, and thank you,
Council member Rodriguez for your steadfast
lifesaving leadership in advance of Vision Zero and
livable streets for all New Yorkers. At
Transportation Alternatives, we support this
legislation and we urge its passage and enactment as
soon as possible. Thank you.
COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Marco.
Do any Council members have any questions for this

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I just want to thank Marco for his leader and no questions at all. We know that you are a great partner on all the

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 effort that we take at the Council to save lives.
- 3 Like so pedestrians like yourself. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. Our next

5 panelist will be Jon Orcutt. Jon?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JON ORCUTT: Hi, Council members and Chair Rodriguez. Apologies, but I am having some technical issues with video, so it may be voice only, but I will be quick. First of all, I want to thank Council member Levin and Speaker Johnson as a sponsor for his Bike New York strongly supports the Intro in instituting citizen enforcement of parking. Council member Levin said, it's ubiquitous. a completely out of control illegal parking situation that's been allowed to develop and deteriorate for decades in this city and we need measures to take it back. I want to point out that, you know, the DOT in the PD discussions today had really no analysis of that problem. They were just talking in general and kind of off-the-cuff to oppose the bill with very little to offer. It's just especially maddening for an agency like DOT to come in and say. You know, we are data driven and all things, but they never admitted that there is the problem with chronic

2

parking and bike lanes. So, we have never looked at 3 They don't have a solution because they don't 4 really study it and to say that we can't have a solution because we may have more citizen activity in 5 one place than another is really just kind of 6 7 throwing in the towel all on this thing. So, I 8 really appreciate the Councils continued policy leadership and to the vacuum left by the de Blasio administration. Having said all that, on the issue 10 11 of parking enforcement, I do want to say we are kind 12 of astonished that we can have a hearing on cars and 13 trucks and bike lanes for going on in several hours 14 now that is not even wants brought up the idea of 15 bike lane design. We have what the city calls 16 protected bike lanes and the city celebrates as more 17 and more protected bike lanes all over the city, but 18 they're not designed to really protect cyclists from 19 cars and trucks. Cars and trucks get in the 20 protected bike lanes on a regular basis. You know, 21 you don't need our daily experience with that. 2.2 Hunter College study did and said, you know, for 2.3 every 10 block stretch, we find three cars and bike lanes. That is just students going out and, you 24 25 know, writing down what they see. It's a huge

problem in the bike lanes that we have today are not
solving that. The practice of moving cars off the
curb to create a third space on the street, parking
protected bike lanes, those are working for the most
part. They are better than we have, but they still
have problems at intersections. But the plastic
stick protected bike lanes are just not protected
bike lanes. Cars drive over them. The plastic
sticks are designed to be driven over by cars and
trucks. There are a variety of issues no matter how
you solve this. Cities all over the world solve it
and they do it and it takes leadership from the top.
We are really looking for some of the May oral
candidates the probably save us from this, but I
wasn't heartening to hear

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Jon.

JON ORCUTT: city DOT saying, you know, our plans for next year are stay tuned and we'll let you know. But anyway, thanks, again. Please pass Council member Levin's legislation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Jon. Do any Council members have questions for this panelist?

Okay. Seeing none, our next panelist will be Sean

Adair. Sean?

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SEAN ADAIR: I hope you all can hear me.

3 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

SEAN ADAIR: My name is Sean Adair. going to read my prepared statement first and then the ongoing testimony that I have heard so far has also alerted me to a number of other very specific issues which I am familiar with. My name is Sean Adair and I am a member of Families for Safe Streets. And I am here to strongly support the bill 2159. know firsthand the dangers of illegally parked cars. About three years ago I was riding my bicycle home in the first avenue bicycle lane near 21st Street when a truck was illegally parked in the left turning lane forcing other vehicles to pass around it in a very difficult intersection area blocking all visibility between me and turning traffic and a car swerved around this truck and stops to, you know, pedestrians in that area and abruptly in an area that caused me to crash into this car. Fortunately, this was not a dangerous accident. However, I wasn't so lucky a year later when a car for hire went through a red light, hit me from behind, giving me lasting injuries and part of the reason why I have become very, very passionate about safety on the streets. I actually

2 live on the East West bicycle corridor of 12th and 3 13th Streets in Manhattan and I use these bike lanes 4 on nearly a daily basis. It's the is welcome, but very different kind of lane that is especially prone to vehicle interference. This is one that could be 6 7 called a protected bike lane, but it is not really. 8 There are I want that trucks just run over, that car service circumvents, and it is a particularly dangerous area because, if you do leave this area, 10 11 but the street is-- the remainder of the street is 12 extremely narrow. It's actually more dangerous than 13 sharing that space. When I go out and walk down my street, there is always a vehicle in the bicycle lane 14 15 regularly requiring me and other cyclists to ride around the parked car or truck and putting us in 16 17 I have had doors open in my path from both danger. 18 delivery and car service vehicles which use this no 19 stopping designated area as a free-for-all pullover 20 zone, there are regular service trucks parked 21 illegally throughout the day in the bike lane. 2.2 instance, near the Verizon [inaudible 1:54:10] 13th 2.3 Street and second Avenue. There is no effective enforcement of this. There are also NYU vehicles 24 25 that park in the lane between Third and Fourth

thing.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 Avenues on 13th Street. The result is, anyway, that 3 it is more dangerous than sharing the road, in many cases. And I have complained to the parking 4 enforcement officers that have actually seen it that don't seem to be willing to address this type of 6 7 infringement. If someone is in the vehicle, saying, the car service or a delivery truck, they do not want 8 to address the safety issue that is taking place there. You know, they might ask them to move, but I 10 11 don't see any fines taking place for this type of
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Sean.

 If you can summarize.

I'm a member of the Citizens Reporting for Idling
Commercial Vehicles. This has been a successful
program. It was one started by George Packenham and
I think it has been one that is been very successful
to the city. So, there is an existing pilot program
for citizen reporting of street addresses. This is
specifically for commercial vehicles and I think
everyone would find it successful.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Do any Council members have questions for this panelist?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Okay. Seeing nine, our next panelist will be Melody
Bryant. Melody?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time begins now.

MELODY BRYANT: Hi. My name is Melody Bryant and I am a member of Families for Safe Streets. I will be reading a statement of Amanda Berry's daughter, Madison Lydon, was killed on Central Park West for reasons that I have already been described. Amanda couldn't be here because she lives in Australia and the time difference. It has been my honor with founding member Daniel Lerner to take care of Madison's memorial bike. We adorn it with photos of Madison and her family, poems they have written, her diploma, ribbons they have sent with messages for her. We take photos of the bike and send it to her family in Australia so they know that Madison is not and will never be forgotten. This year she would've been 25. This is her testimony. Amanda's testimony. I still cannot comprehend that my daughter, Madison, is no longer with us. No longer gracing this art with her infectious laughter, her inner and outer beauty. Maddie was so loud and clumsy. She asked so many questions. Her thirst for knowledge was unlimited.

2 I could talk forever about her endless qualities in 3 her zest for life. Madison was 23. She had saved up 4 for the trip of a lifetime and traveled from Australia to see the world. She went to so many islands and countries: Japan, Philippines, and then, 6 7 most exciting, America. She had just graduated with honors after studying psychology for four years and 8 she wanted to do so many things with her degree to help people, but she didn't get the opportunity to 10 11 even apply for the Master's program she had hoped to attend. Madison was killed in New York while she was 12 13 cycling in the bike lane on Central Park West. She 14 was hit from behind by a truck driver after swerving 15 out of the bike lane as an Uber driver was parking in 16 it. Her best friend Pam witnessed the whole thing, 17 but she could only scream. I relive it in my mind 18 constantly and have regular nightmares, always 19 worried that she suffered. Since the crash that 20 claimed her precious life, I became severely depressed and suffer from PTSD. I'm not the only one 21 suffering a lifetime without her. Her dad, Andrew, 2.2 2.3 is terribly broken, as well as Madison's three siblings. A big brother and two sisters. Page is 24 Madison's identical twin sister. They were meant to 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be together always. Madison also has a huge family and huge network of friends and colleagues and so many are suffering because of her death. Our hometown lowered the flags to half-mast in her memory. Now is had that horrific event shape her in ways we will never know. You don't just pick up the pieces no matter how hard you try or pretend. Our friends and family can see we are just not the same anymore. We never will be. The what if's always go through my mind. What if that Uber had been in the bike lane? My daughter would have been safe. only he hadn't moved back when he saw her. If only he hadn't been there in the first place. I hope my daughter and so many others who have been killed and injured because of the dangerous act of parking in a bike lane is forever present when you consider this new lifesaving bill, sincerely, Amanda. Families for Safe Streets has joined with others to fight tirelessly to change the parking and bike lanes and, for the first time, because of Madison's death, Central Park West has a protected bike lane. even with this, drivers still Park and it. Bicycle I need more protection. A civilian reporting program that both finds abusers and incentivizes reporting

- 2 | will, we hope, be a solid step in ensuring that
- 3 bicyclists are truly protected in New York and that
- 4 no other families will have to suffer such a loss.
- 5 Families for Safe Streets strongly supports this
- 6 lifesaving bill. It cannot pass soon enough and I
- 7 | want to thank Council member Levin for his leadership
- 8 in advancing it. Thank you.
- 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Melody.
- 10 Do any Council members have any questions for this
- 11 panelist? Okay. Seeing none-- First of all, I just
- 12 want to remind everyone to try as best you can to
- 13 keep your testimony to two minutes. You are also
- 14 | welcome to submit written testimony at
- 15 | testimony@Council.NYC.gov and I apologize for having
- 16 to cut people off. Our next panelist will be Axel
- 17 Carrion. Axel?
- 18 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Clock stands ready.
- 19 AXEL CARRION: Good afternoon and thank
- 20 | you to Speaker John setting Chair Rodriguez for
- 21 \parallel holding this important hearing on illegal parking and
- 22 | bike lanes and the opportunity to testify today. My
- 23 | name is Axel Carrion, VP for state government and
- 24 public affairs at UPS. As UPS looks to expand its
- 25 | cargo bike operations in New York City, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

coordination with New York City DOT E cargo bike pilot, we appreciate the city's efforts to expand the bike network and reduce conflict between cyclists and vehicles. UPS invests millions of dollars in safety training every year. UPS has collectively spent nearly 6 million hours in training classes. Over 10,300 drivers nationally and including many of our drivers operating right here in New York City, have earned UPS circle of honor distinction, meaning they have not had an unavoidable accident and over 25 years. Our commitment to safety goes beyond our own fleet, as well. As members of the Together for Safer Roads Coalition, the UPS foundation is proud to help underwrite the installation of backup cameras, convex mirrors, and side view cameras. The City Harvest, New York's largest food rescue organization through division zero tech fund. Further, UPS utilizes technology to increase the efficiency of our routes and reduce the number of vehicles on the road. However, training and technology alone cannot solve for the fact that, as more residents and businesses rely on e-commerce and deliveries further amplified by Covid 19, illegal parking positions for final mile deliveries have not Pace with demand, making our

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

streets less safe for everyone. However, there are steps that the city can take in short order to address some of the root causes of illegal parking and increased safety for cyclists, pedestrians, and drivers alike. First and foremost, UPS supports increased enforcement to ensure that existing loading zones are reserved for those making commercial deliveries and are not simply area as for for-hire vehicles and private cards to idle. Second, we encourage DOT to review all permanent and temporary changes to the streetscape holistically. commercial loading positions are displaced by construction activity or infrastructure improvements, DOT should identify alternative positions to allow for expeditious delivery. It is time to rethink our curb space to make New York City streets safer. has put forth a fleet of proposals that we believe will increase street safety and reduce illegal parking, including a pilot program to dedicate loading zones with low admission we across, expand the use of Walker systems to reduce redelivery attempts, and increase the maximum all allowable time for commercial parking to reduce the chances of conflicts between cyclists and vehicles.

AXEL CARRION:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Council member Levin would also like to ask a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mr. Carrion, a quick question. And I'm sorry if you had mentioned this is in your testimony. How many spots does UPS or UPS and FedEx or the overall kind of delivery companies— how many spots around the city do they feel are short right now?

AXEL CARRION: I would say more on the commercial zone. The DOT has done a good job, as they mentioned before, and expanding from residential—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, yeah.

pandemic, Councilman, is definitely—— Council member, Covid 19 is definitely changed the landscape of our delivery percentages as far as residential and commercial product mix, whereas it used to be 60 percent or 40 percent give or take commercial to residential. During the height of the pandemic, we were looking at summaries of 85 to 15, even 90 to 10. So, we have seen a dramatic change in parking needs for our residential areas, but I will note that there were many comments made earlier, Council member, as

2.2

2.3

far as the number of tickets going down and there is some truth that there was less, I guess, traffic enforcement officers on the road who are dedicated to ticket issuance, but I will subscribe that— and make the argument that it was more to illegal parking just being available. So, I think working with the city, one of the focus that we have been trying to hammer out is taking a look at holistically the set up from the street to the curb, and from the curb to the street. There is a legal parking definitely taking place every day in our city streets and the question is, if we still have final amounts of deliveries that need to be made, where those parking positions [inaudible 2:06:03].

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah. Absolutely.

And I don't think you get a lot of argument from a lot of us in the Council that, you know, the only—you know, there is only—you are looking at a pretty fixed hi and so, you know, in my opinion and, I think, in the opinion of a lot of elected officials, you know, the if we are taking—if we have to take parking away from kind of unfettered on street parking, that is—I am entirely supportive of that. And I mean, across the board, and if there

know, all the time.

25

1

2 are-- you know, we all are ordering Amazon. all ordinary things online and not just because of 3 4 Covid. Covid has changed that, but I don't know what that is going to be going back and that other 5 direction anytime soon. And so, you know, kind of on 6 the hierarchy of priorities, you know, people need to 7 8 park their private vehicles on the street is the lowest priority in the hierarchy of priorities. Sorry. So, the that needs to be done aggressively in 10 11 the city because we can't -- the status quo is just 12 not acceptable. And so, I know UPS and FedEx have 13 like a kind of system with the city where you guys pay, you know, a certain amount up front and then 14 15 have tickets dismissed, but that is not a workable solution and I mean, again, I understand the need of, 16 17 you know, your driver's. You have to park somewhere 18 in order to get the packages off the truck, but I am 19 all in favor of having, you know, bike loaded last 20 mile delivery, but there are some limitations to 21 that. I mean, again, the hierarchy must go safety 2.2 and then, you know, accommodating commerce and then 2.3 the last priority has to be, you know, the ability of people to park their cars for free on the street, you 24

So--

2	AXEL CARRION: Yeah. I agree. And we
3	do reserve a great interest in the safety of bike
4	lanes because, as I mentioned in the testimony, we
5	are looking to have a significant part of our
6	operations that are cargo bike related, so, you know,
7	when it comes to bike lanes, we have an interest in
8	making sure that the bike lanes are rolled out
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: No. And I get it.
10	I get it. But I have been to your distribution
11	facility in Queens. Like, you know in that
12	parking lot, there's got to be 700 trucks or
13	something like that. We are not going to be totally
14	getting you know, were not moving entirely out of
15	tracking from UPS and FedEx. That's just not
16	happening. But, I mean, I appreciate that and the
17	more the better.
18	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But, you. Anyway,
20	I will leave it at that.
21	AXEL CARRION: Thank you, Council
22	member.
23	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Our
24	next panelist will be Rocco Lacertosa. Rocco?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Clock stands ready.

2 ROCCO LACERTOSA: Good morning, Chairman 3 Rodriguez and the rest of the committee members and 4 thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Rocco J. Lacertosa and I serve as the Chief Executive Officer of the New York State Energy 6 7 Coalition, better known as NYSEC. NYSEC serves as 8 the voice of the renewable biodiesel and heating oil industry in the five boroughs of New York City and [inaudible 2:09:40] Suffolk County. Today's hearing 10 11 will involve a broader discussion about the issues of 12 illegal parking and bike lanes throughout the city, 13 but I would like to focus my testimony on Intro 2159 14 which we believe will negatively affect to the impact 15 of the way our industry operates. The heating oil industry is well into the 2020 - 2021 heating season 16 17 and we are already seeing cold temperatures and 18 winter conditions in the city. As a result, our 19 members are working harder than ever to deliver 20 consistent service amongst a number of obstacles. 21 Furthermore, given the pandemic, an increasing number 2.2 of people are working from home and residing in the 2.3 home for longer periods of time. The demand for heating oil has been higher than ever, which should 24 be of no surprise to the members of this committee 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that delivering any type of essential service in New York City is becoming increasingly difficult given the expansion of transit infrastructure improvements and such as dedicated bus lanes and the proliferation of bike lanes in neighborhoods throughout the five boroughs. The heating oil industry, however, faces a unique set of challenges as we are unable to park just anywhere when conducting our deliveries. almost all cases, our fuel trucks most park is closely as possible to the customer's location, whether it be commercial or residential, in order to access the point of delivery for the fuel tank. Thankfully, our delivery personnel take, on average, 15 to 20 minutes to complete a fuel delivery depending on the size of the tank with a maximum of 30 minutes in some specific cases. As the city implements more bus and bike lanes to improve the transit system in New York City, our members have had to adapt their delivery options to fix the current landscape, however, some of our members have reported customer locations where they have no physical way of delivering fuel without temporarily occupying a bus or a bike lane. It's important to note that our members go out of their way to ensure that their

2.2

2.3

vehicles are complying with all New York City parking
and traffic regulations, but the legislation being
proposed today could make things significantly more
difficult for members.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

ROCCO LACERTOSA: As written in Intro

2159, it would create a new civil violation

punishable by up to 175 dollars for parking in a bus

lane or bike lane within a radial distance of 1320

feet [inaudible 02:11:48] or exit of a school. Many

of our members serve not only the department of

education, private school facilities, but also a

number of residential and commercial customer

locations would fall within that distance. As such,

the legislation imposes a number of concerns for our

members and we look forward to working with the bill

sponsor and that Council to address these concerns.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Rocco.

Do any Council members have questions for this

panelists? Council member Levin?

much. Thank you, Mr. Lacertosa. We spoke earlier in the week as you reached out-- or last week and, you

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appreciate it.

- 2 know, I am understanding of the concern because 3 there's, obviously, [inaudible 02:12:47] that you 4 pointed out. So, we will continue talking and engage 5 with the individuals, the advocacy organizations that have been testifying here, as well, to kind of talk 6 7 through how we would address the specific issue of home heating oil delivery and that very narrow kind 8 of category of where there is, you know-- for example, the -- also the facilities where there is 10 11 [inaudible 2:13:25]. Thank you so much.
 - $\label{eq:rocco} \mbox{ROCCO LACERTOSA: Thank you. Thank you} \\ \mbox{for your time.}$
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. If there are no other questions, our next panelist will be Eric McClure. Eric?

ERIC MCCLURE: Thank you. Thank you,

Chair really appreciate the opportunity to testify

today. Streets PAC strongly-- my name is Eric

McClure. I am the executive director of Streets PAC.

We strongly support Intro 2159. Reporting mechanism

called [inaudible 02:13:59] the bill is modeled on

the Department of Environmental Protection citizens

air complaint program which works the same way you

for idling violations in a bit like the TLC's 2 3 complaint system which is not [inaudible 2:14:09]. 4 Both of those programs are considered successful and 5 allow the city to increase enforcement of harmful behavior without burdening law enforcement personnel. 6 7 Illegal parking is rampant in New York City and, in too many cases, creates hazardous situations for 8 people using the streets. One of the most tragic recent examples was the death of Madison Jane Lydon 10 11 which my colleagues have talked about extensively. 12 While such instance, illegal parking happens in 13 thousands, if not tens of thousands of times every 14 day, they are reportable to 311. However, existing 15 enforcement efforts are severely lacking. It often 16 takes hours for police to respond, frequently well 17 after the violator has driven off. Worse, in too 18 many cases, police failed to take action when they do 19 respond. Not only will Intro 2159 help hold those 20 creating dangerous street conditions accountable, but 21 it will, in many cases, the free up police for other 2.2 Ideally, we would like to see the bill 2.3 advance without the quarter-mile restrictions since illegal parking can create danger anywhere. I'm not 24 sure, for example, if there is a school within that 25

2 radius from where Madison was killed, but we support 3 this legislation regardless. The concerns expressed 4 by the administration can be addressed. We urge the 5 Council to reconcile these concerns quickly and vote Intro 2159 out of committee and pass it into law at 6 7 the earliest opportunity. Of course, this 8 legislation alone won't eradicate the rampant problem of illegal parking and bike lanes. We strongly support the use of bike lane cameras and will gladly 10 11 work with the administration to help advance 12 legislation permitting their use in Albany, however, 13 the best way to address the problem is to design and 14 build bike lanes that can't be parked and driven in. 15 Too many of the cities quote unquote protected bike 16 lanes are only protected by flexible plastic posts 17 that drivers too often flattened. The bike lane 18 should only be counted as protected if it can't be 19 driven in which means hard physical protection in the 20 form of parking protection, Jersey barriers, or curbs 21 that can't be mounted. We also strongly support 2.2 expansion of cities pilot neighborhood the loading 2.3 zones program and urge that the program be expanded widely and be made permanent. Finally, it is 24 imperative that the Department of Transportation 25

2.2

2.3

release it's overdue smart truck management plan
without any further delay. As the number of truck
deliveries continues to soar, the potential--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

ERIC MCCLURE:

neighborhoods with truck traffic. In Brooklyn's Red Hook, for example, there were at least for e-commerce distribution centers planned all as of right in the neighborhood whose old cobblestone streets are illequipped to handle the onslaught. Thank you very much for the opportunity today and I look forward to

to overwhelm

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Eric.

Do any Council members have questions for this

panelists? Okay. Seeing nine, our next panelist

will be Glenn Bolofsky. Glenn?

seeing you all again in person at some point soon.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Clock stands ready.

GLENN BOLOFSKY: Yep. How is everybody doing today? Nice to see everybody again. Thank you to Speaker Johnson and Chairman Rodriguez. Very happy to be with everybody today and I want to thank Council member Levin for introducing this bill and all the cosponsors of the bill, as well. I support this bill to protect the safety of our community. I

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mean, too many lives are lost every single day, every It doesn't end. It's got to end. You know, we have got to do more. We deserve more. families, our friends. We all deserve more. DOT has restraints. I have complete respect for their efforts, but we still have to do more. I not only support this bill to protect the safety of our community. I secondly support Transportation Alternatives and others to not only support this bill but for Intro 1141 2018 which will end the stipulated find program and generate as much is 300 million a year in revenue that we all desperately need for the new budget. With more than a majority of the members on the bill and that package delivery companies enjoying windfall profits, they really should do their share and pay the same fine as everyone else. I think it's about time we start calling this the fair finds program because of the social inequity in the social injustice of people who are out of work, trying to put food on the table, and having to pay sometimes five or six times the price-- or multiple five or six times in the largest companies who enjoy the use of our streets. They enjoy the use of our streets, the record profits. They should need to pay

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Clock stands ready.

25

2 PAUL SCHREIBER: Hi, there. My name is 3 Paul Schreiber. I live in Council member Levin's 4 district in Brooklyn. I am here to speak in support of the bill. As a cyclist and pedestrian, that not a day goes by that I have up for a bike ride where I do 6 7 not have to avoid a vehicle parked in the bike lane 8 or put myself in danger by writing into oncoming I would like to make two additional points traffic. here. One is there are a couple of ways to improve 10 the bill. The fine of 175 dollars is to allow to 11 12 start and it should increase with subsequent finds. 13 And, secondly, the restriction of being way then 1320 14 feet, which I looked up as one mile, is unnecessarily 15 limiting and should be expanded to protect all bike lanes everywhere. And, lastly, I would like to bring 16 17 some data to this discussion. The 8245 block bike 18 lane complaints filed with 311 in 2020, only 109--19 I'm sorry. That's 1.3% of them resulted in a 20 summons. 76% of these complaints resulted in no 21 action and 19% resulted in the police took action to fix the condition, which is unclear what that 2.2 2.3 actually means. So, if the NYPD thinks that 99% of complaints are unfounded and don't require a summons, 24 then something is really, really wrong here and we 25

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 110 2 need to figure out what that is and fix it. 3 you. 4 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Paul. Do any Council members have questions for this 5 panelist? Okay. Seeing nine, our next panelist will 6 be Michael Dunn. Michael? 8 MICHAEL DUNN: Yeah. Present. I am 9 going to read a prepared statement and then I'm going to try and share my screen to just share some 10 11 pictures of what I'm talking about. Hopefully, that 12 works. We will see. Hello, my name is Michael Dunn 13 and I am here to ask some questions about the NYPD and our parking placards on Classen Avenue, 14 15 specifically. Classen Avenue has a lovely park, 16 playground, and a school, PS 270. It also has an 17 NYPD precinct, the 88th precinct. The 88th precinct 18 has decided that the laws of the city of New York to 19 not apply to them. They have painted parking spaces 20 onto the sidewalk of Classen Avenue. These parking 21 spaces are labeled XO, patrol Lieutenant, ICO 2.2 Sergeant, etc. These spaces are assigned and clearly 2.3 maintained [inaudible 02:22:39] endorsement of every

command level within the building and beyond.

Indeed, the ICO Sergeant is the Integrity Control

24

25

2 Officer. That is the person who is responsible for 3 the placards and every day they are parking on the 4 sidewalk. These spaces are clearly in violation of 5 both the city charter and the Americans with Disabilities Act. By habitually parking on the 6 7 sidewalk, the 88th precinct demonstrates a flagrant lawlessness and poses an active threat to the health 8 and wellness of the surrounding community. I have personally witnessed New Yorkers in wheelchairs who 10 11 have been forced into the street because of these 12 vehicles parked on the sidewalk. Placard abuse may 13 seem like mundane corruption, but the lack of 14 accountability and civilian oversight contributes to 15 a cultural lawlessness that permeates the NYPD. 16 comes to the city Council and they live. They lie 17 about placard abuse. They lie about their 18 disciplinary process. They lie about bail reform. 19 They lie about the New Yorkers who they shoot in the 20 streets. They are not good-faith participants in 21 this process. They are not seriously trying to fix 2.2 their culture. They relish the privileges of the 2.3 lacquered class. They said they would do nothing different about the protests earlier this summer when 24 25 they beat us in the street. The NYPD operates

you can do so at testimony@NYC--

25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 testimony@council.nyc.gov. Our next panelist will be
3 Michael Streeter.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Clock stands ready.

MICHAEL STREETER: Hi. Council member Levin's district. I wanted to speak in support of Intro 2159 which is modeled after the DEP's Anti-Idling initiative. Both of these bills were drafted to address laws that are constantly being broken all day, every day all over the city which, despite years of begging and pleading, have been ignored by NYPD. I wanted to use my time to make two quick points. Number one, regarding safety of civilian reporting, there have been just over 18,000 complaints submitted to the DEP's idling program in three years and I personally am responsible for nearly 1% of them. Each submission requires that I walk around the vehicle with the phone pointed at it for over four minutes while the driver is in their vehicle. not experienced any incidents with drivers, nor have I heard of any physical confrontations from the many other complainants that I speak with. Or TLC or 311 complaints instead of making assumptions like we have heard argued by DOT this morning. My other point regarding the potential of this proposed bill, the

idling complaint program has made a huge impact on
truck driver behavior in very little time. I can
only tell you about this firsthand anecdotally, but I
have a clear before-and-after perspective. In 2019,
the on a lunch break in Midtown and one hour I
recorded five idling trucks just while walking to a
bookstore and back to my office. I submitted five
videos. Five summonses were issued. Five hearings
were won by the DEP. Less than two years later,
that's not happening anymore. Truck drivers know
what is going on. They have changed their behavior.
There is still plenty of idling, but it is not at
that level anymore and it is thanks to the crowd
sourced enforcement. So, every day I see cars
illegally parked

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

MICHAEL STREETER: it's time to finally hold them accountable just like we were able to hold polluting truck drivers accountable. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Do any Council members have questions for this panelist? Okay. Seeing none, our next panelist will be Hannah C. Hannah?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hannah, are you--

3 HANNAH CARLIN: Hi. Sorry. I was

4 having a little technical difficulties. Is it me

5 now?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

6 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes. Go ahead.

HANNAH CARLIN: Sorry. I'm trying to get a couple things to happen at once. I know I am burning time. Just a moment. Okay. Yeah. Hi. am Hannah Carlin. I'm a lifelong New Yorker, Brooklyn resident. I don't have a prepared statement. I found out about this hearing and just decided to make time for it not realizing how much time it would be. I am passionate about this issue for a number of reasons, but chiefly because my friend, Sarah Pitts, who was an activist and Brooklyn assistant district attorney was killed on her bike on her way home in September. Individual space has been built where this happened on Wythe in Williamsburg Street and every single time we are there, there are buses in the bike lane. There are private buses. There are private transportation vehicles every time we go. About half the time we go, there are also

police vehicles there because they know that it is a

dangerous intersection and when we ask them to do

something about the bikes, they do nothing. 2 3 tell us that they are not going to do anything. 4 get I don't know what to tell you every single time. 5 It's awful that we have so much data about bicycle safety and infrastructure and death, but I feel like 6 7 it shouldn't actually take a death tally to see that 8 a legal parking of this type is dangerous and that protecting a legal parking of this type makes space for injury and for death. I get that it is a burden 10 11 on businesses. We are asking is to make space for 12 people who get hurt and killed. The intersection of 13 Wythe in Williamsburg is a perfect example. It's a dangerous intersection for a lot of different 14 15 reasons. The illegal parking is one of those 16 reasons. But, you know, you have to solve the whole 17 thing. Again, they have sent police there because 18 they know it is a dangerous intersection. It is not 19 Every piece of this puzzle has to get enough. 20 I want to speak very, very quickly just to, solved. 21 I think, the notion of an IT system worry is 2.2 nonsense. I think repeat offenders are ridiculously 2.3 easy to document and a simple upload recording of an empty your idling vehicle would be easy enough. 24 think the school radius strikes me as a totally 25

- 2 needless restriction. It is dangerous everywhere.
- 3 Every single person on this call knows that it is
- 4 everywhere and we know that it needs addressing.
- 5 And, lastly, I want to thank, in particular, Council
- 6 member Menchaca for working really passionately on
- 7 | this issue, for doing it with an eye for it's
- 8 intersections of race and class, and for showing up
- 9 for Sarah on multiple occasions. Thank you, Council
- 10 member Menchaca.
- 11 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for
- 12 | testimony. Do any Council members have questions for
- 13 | this panelist? Okay. Seeing none, our next panelist
- 14 | will be Iain. If you could just say your name for
- 15 the record.
- 16 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time.
- 17 IAIN ROBERTSON: Hi. My name is Iain
- 18 | thank you so much for your time today in 2019, I was
- 19 almost crushed by an MTA bus which broke the law and
- 20 | almost killed me on the sidewalk, hence my interest
- 21 | in traffic safety. Vision Zero and the current
- 22 | traffic laws and enforcement are simply not working.
- 23 There are hundreds of millions-- and I do repeat.
- 24 Hundreds of millions of traffic violations in New
- 25 York. Traffic violence is out of control and has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

destroyed many lives, polluted our neighborhoods, gridlocked our cities, and endangered, especially, are young and old. The police union has publicly acknowledged the problem and the inability of the police to now address this. As a result of my neardeath experience, I actually proposed a new law to New York City Council based on both my experience in DEP and in TLC. Drivers break the law for one simple NYPD cannot enforce the law given the scale of violations, you had an army of citizens is willing to assist New York City to make the street safer in our neighborhoods towards a vision zero have dealt with both the DEP and the TLC. I can tell you that, in the last year I've managed to have convictions of over 100 taxi drivers without a single failure. is a simple photo to the TLC. It is a very simple and easy system to do. In the eight years of Vision Zero, let's be honest. The issue of traffic violence has simply not been addressed. People are still dying. People are still being injured on a daily basis and I would like to make one offer to the Council today. I fully support this law, but I propose to the Council already a law that is exactly this law. I would like to make further proposals

taken cycling is a form of exercise, recreation, and

25

2 transportation for the last two years. Grant Street 3 in Brooklyn which is supposed to have protected bike 4 lanes is both a major through fair for psychologists in large commercial vehicles, but every time I ride there, there are vehicles parked just about every 6 7 other block. This forces cyclists to ride with large 8 commercial traffic which is a very dangerous and prevents newcomers from adopting cycling in the city which is so important, especially during the 10 11 pandemic. This happens every time I ride here and I've never seen a single vehicle ticketed. Of 12 13 course, this phenomenon is not limited to Grand Street, but occurs across our city. I observed way 14 15 too many cars parked in the bike lane, endangering the lives of cyclists. This means there is not 16 17 enough enforcement. Many of these vehicles are here 18 for short times, so, regardless of the will of the NYPD to enforce parking in the bike lanes, they may 19 20 not be equipped to do so. Moreover, their 21 enforcement will is questionable because nearly every precinct is both police and private vehicles parked 2.2 2.3 illegally on the sidewalk sand and bike lanes. Short of fixing our infrastructure to prevent these 24 scenarios which should be our Northstar, we must act 25

now and provided Avenue of enforcement to save lives
and encourage cycling, a green, socially distant, and
healthy form of transit. I have many friends here in
New York City who expressed interest in cycling, but
are hesitant for safety reasons. We need to move
forward with every reasonable effort from increased
cycling safety to the point that everyone who wants
to cycle feels safe cycling in our city. Currently,
there is no mechanism to report these parking issues
that carry any accountability. 311 will close the
case and it will not be investigated. Constituents
must feel empowered to make their streets safer.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

KYLE GUSKE: I would like to thank the Council for the opportunity to testify today. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Do any Council members have questions for this panelist? Okay. Seeing none, our next panelist will be Dimitris Koutoumbas.

DIMITRIS KOUTOUMBAS: Good afternoon. I wanted to--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

25

2 DIMITRIS KOUTOUMBAS: I want to thank the 3 Chair, Council member hearing. Speaker Johnson and Council member Levin for introducing this bill and 4 5 the opportunity to testify today. My name is Dimitris Koutoumbas. I am a resident of Washington 6 7 Heights and biking has been my main mode of transportation for getting around since moving to the 8 city a couple of years ago. It has been very rewarding to see the positive changes happening on 10 11 our streets to the benefit of safety and slower 12 travel speeds, but at most times, these changes have 13 been happening reactively. The city is not done 14 enough to proactively encourage its citizens to try 15 alternative modes of transportation. Through my 16 experiences, I can clearly say that many drivers do 17 not see a reason to share the street with anyone but 18 themselves. It is very discouraging and infuriating 19 for bicyclists to continuously see double parked vehicles on St. Nicholas and Amsterdam Avenues. 20 21 only two major thoroughfares up town with dedicated 2.2 bike lanes. The culture needs to change and it all 2.3 starts from leadership at the top. I am a strong believer that if you design proper streets for the 24 needs of all users, enforcement shouldn't be

required. I can say that, through my experience, the 2 3 NYPD has done a terrible job in enforcing drivers to 4 obey the rules of the law. They should not be in a position of enforcement when they, themselves, 5 disregard the safety of bicyclists and pedestrians 6 7 through blocking bike lanes, blocking crosswalks, blocking sidewalks, and converting blocks into 8 parking lots. I do not understand why police officers need to patrol their neighborhood in the 10 11 comfort of their SUV when New York City is extremely walkable. It is bad for community relations, the 12 13 environment, and the safety of other users on the 14 I have also been an avid user of the Report street. 15 in New York City at which provides a simplified way 16 to submit feedback to 311 and the TLC Commissioner 17 regarding any infractions by drivers on the road. 18 have many times submitted photographs through the app 19 which allows the TLC to prosecute drivers and, if 20 guilty, pay a penalty. Even though this is a terrific, I do not have any direct incentive to keep 21 submitting evidence of traffic violations. 2.2 2.3 closing, this bill introduced today would benefit all citizens to proactively engage with government and 24 help with the revenue the city desperately needs. 25

users. Thank you.

understand that this should not be the only solution
to our problems. The city has to start looking at
our transportation system holistically and recognize
that, in order to properly implement Vision Zero,
government should not rely on enforcement, but
adequately engineer its streets to the benefit of all

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Do any Council members have questions for this panel as?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I would like to thank Dimitris, a former intern in my office, resident of our community, so one that I know has a lot to offer today and someone that I know is to hear from these amazing ideas on how to focus on our planning and bring solutions to transportation issues that we face. So, thank you for being a resident in my district and for the year that you served as an intern and I know that the city will continue listening to a lot of good ideas coming from you in the near future, especially on transportation. Thank you, Dimitris.

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

Thank you. Our

3 next panelist will be Lucia D. and if you could just

say your name for the record, please.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

LUCIA DAME: Hi. Yes. My name is Lucia I am a resident of the Bronx in the concourse Dame. in Fernando Cabrera's district. District 14. in full support. Thank you, by the way, for the opportunity to speak on this. I am in full support of the bill introduced by Council member Levin for a lot of the reasons that everyone else had already mentioned. In particular, you know, every day I observe NYPD and other city officials parking their cars on the sidewalk median ride on the Grand Concourse. I mean, it is unsafe. It prevents merging traffic from seeing folks riding in the bike lane. It is unsafe. They are parking right on top of subway grates and I think the biggest thing is that it, essentially, sends a signal to others that it is acceptable to do this and it completely erodes the respect, trust, and legitimacy of the NYPD and other city officials. And when you do submit 311 reports of this, the reports are immediately closed and, essentially, the local precinct says, oh, we are

2.2

2.3

- taking care of it. When, in fact, it hasn't. So, on top of the illegal parking, there actually creating an additional legal document. A false document. So, you know, we definitely need to look at other mechanisms to prevent this from happening and it really needs to start from the top. You know, it really needs to start from leadership holding themselves accountable and it is shown that NYPD is just either incapable or unwilling to do this and I think it is a great idea to move this enforcement action to a different agency. So, I really hope that
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Do any Council members have questions for this panelist? Okay. Our next panelist will be Bill Feinberg. Bill?

Thank you.

everyone can support this bill.

BILL FEINBERG: Yes. I'm Bill Feinberg.

I live in the Gramercy area and I was actually taken aback by Ms. Foregione's testimony that placards don't really have anything to do this hearing and we are concentrating on bike lanes which I appreciate the bike lane issue, but what I'm looking at is—and I proposed and made a proposal to Polly Trottenberg and city Council members and I really

2	appreciate what Stephen Levin has done. Everything
3	is connected. And I had proposed charging \$500 a
4	year for the 166,000 placards in New York City. It
5	would generate probably \$100 million just in
6	Manhattan. Eliminate all lacquered parking at Uni
7	meters. Here, and Gramercy, between 22nd and Third
8	and 15th and Irving, there are 50 metered spots.
9	Every day, an average of 38 spots are taken up by
10	Parker parking. That is about \$1.2 million a year
11	loss just on those 38 parking spaces for 300 days a
12	year. We have also proposed resident parking \$250 a
13	year. Only residents of the neighborhood. Let's say
14	in my neighborhood. 14th to 34th, River to Fifth.
15	That would generate about \$3 million a year. It
16	would also eliminate people driving in from outside
17	the city and searching for spots, double parking,
18	idling. All told, the city could raise about 250
19	million. Cops park

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time.

BILL FEINBERG: everywhere in my neighborhood. They park on meters. They park on sidewalks. They block streets. In fact, the DOT has, the 13th precinct, they haven't done a thing about blocking 21st Street between Second and Third

- 2 which the cops took up after George Floyd. They
- 3 haven't done a thing. The NYPD can't block streets.
- 4 Only the DOT can, but in my proposal we would raise
- 5 250 million, eliminate congestion, and stop
- 6 corruption. Also, it was also pointed out that
- 7 police destroy their plates. They alter plates.
- 8 | They bend plates. And that is only meant to stop
- 9 paying tolls. I have to pay tolls. I have no
- 10 problem. I understand it brings in revenue and pays
- 11 | for streets. But they destroy their plates--
- 12 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time.
- 13 BILL FEINBERG: to get around paying
- 14 their rightful number.
- 15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your
- 16 testimony.
- 17 BILL FEINBERG: I also wanted to thank
- 18 | Michael Dunn. He's absolutely right about license
- 19 | plate covers. Michael Streeter about idling. And
- 20 also it is absolutely ridiculous, as Glenn Bolofsky
- 21 pointed out, that UPS and all these other companies
- 22 | bulk pay tickets at between five and 10 dollars where
- 23 \parallel if I am five minutes over a meter, I have to pay \$65.
- 24 | Absolutely ridiculous and insulting. Thank you.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Bill.

3 Are there any questions for this panelist? Okay.

4 Seeing none, our next panelist will be Jeff Novich.

Jeff?

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JEFF NOVICH: Hi, everybody. I'm Jeff Novich and I strongly support it is a free app for New Yorkers to quickly submit complaints about cars illegally blocking bike lanes and crosswalks and, in 2019, over 900 New Yorkers, many of them on this call, filed over 18,000 submissions, all of them with photos that would qualify under this bill. Now, these complaints are then relayed to 311 and that is how Report It works. It is a passion project. makes no money and there is no business. You can guess what happened to each of these. I ran the numbers, looked at the SR numbers, and virtually none of these complaints sent to the NYPD yielded a summons. Literally like 10 out of 18,000. addition, NYPD responded on average three hours after the submission was made, making it nearly impossible to catch these drivers. Now, 311 basically requires citizens to beg the New York Police Department to respond to egregious violations like cars routinely

blocking bike lanes and just pray that they do their
job. We have all been there. And I'm here today to
say that that current system does not work in this is
why we need this bill. On a more personal note, I
live in Harlem and, before Covid, I regularly
commuted to Chelsea by City Bike. My wife bikes our
two children, soon to be three, on a dutch cargo
bike. We have routinely had to deal with drivers
blocking bike lanes, something that is made more
dangerous when you have children with you. The NYPD
has been virtually ineffective. They frequently
block the bike lanes themselves as Streets Block has
uncovered recently. So, I recognize this bill won't
solve all the problems, but it gives citizens a way
to hold drivers accountable without having to engage
NYPD. So, it is a major step forward towards
directly addressing the scourge of cars and bike
lanes. In about two seconds. I just want to add
three additional pieces of feedback to strengthen the
bill. One, I think it should include no standing
zones. I don't know why that is excluded. It's
illegal even if you have a valid placard.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 JEFF NOVICH: Two, the designation of 1320 3 feet from a school entrance is arbitrary and 4 irrelevant. That is been said. No one is carrying a tape measure here. An SUV blocking a crosswalk that is 1400 feet from a school is still blocking your 6 7 crosswalk. And, number three, I think the 8 requirements need to be simple. New Yorkers need to be able to submit these in under 30 seconds. how we build Report It. It makes it really easy. 10 11 you make those requirements really tedious, you are 12 not going to have citizens engaging in this bill. 13 Thank you for your time on this important subject. 14 Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Jeff.

Does anyone have questions for this panelist? Okay.

Seeing none, our next panelist will be Ryan Frank.

Ryan?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

RYAN FRANK: Thank you. My name is Ryan

Frank. I am a resident of the upper West side and I

work in Sunset Park. I am testifying today in

support of Council member Levin's legislation. I

started to raise concern about cyclist safety after a

friend of mine, Deborah Freelander, was killed in

2 2019 while riding her bike on Bushwick Avenue. 3 was one of 29 cyclist fatalities in New York City 4 that year. And after Deborah's death, I became more conscious of the scourge of illegal parking and how 5 it creates unsafe road conditions for cyclists, as 6 7 well as pedestrians and drivers. One spot that has 8 been consistently problematic is the bike lane on Columbus Avenue near the 20th precinct not far from where I live. Cars with placards are consistently 10 11 parked there illegally, partially obstructing a bike 12 lane and a no standing zone. I reached out to the 13 20th precinct. I have attended community Council 14 meetings. I have spoken with Helen Rosenthal's 15 office, made numerous 311 complaints, all to no avail. And the problem persists and it, in my 16 17 experience, the Police Department has been unhelpful 18 and unwilling to address the issue. I've witnessed 19 and heard about countless other placard abuse 20 hotspots throughout the city. We have heard from 21 some of these from the panelists and speakers today. 2.2 There is a car with an FDNY placard that parks on my 2.3 block on a near daily basis blocking a crosswalk in a hydrant. I have made 311 complaints and nothing gets 24 25 done. With all due respect to NYPD chief Royster who

2.2

2.3

spoke earlier, you know, I don't think we need more communication or messaging. We need consequences for illegal behavior. We see illegal parking happening throughout the city every single day and, as many people pointed out, all you need is not just an NYPD placard, but, you know, sometimes it is just a thin blue line sticker on the bumper and they seem to be exempt from parking laws. You know, the Department of Transportation with the city Council, with community—

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time.

RYAN FRANK: boards, they collectively determine how our space is allocated. It should not be up to a few people who happen to have a parking placard to supersede those roles. They need to be leading by example. We need more transparency and accountability. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Are there any questions for this panelist? Okay. Seeing none, I would now like to ask if there were any other panelists that we might have missed on our list. If you could please use the raise hand function. Okay. Seeing none, I will turn it back over to Chair Rodriguez.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Elliott, I think
3 there is someone raising her hand in the screen, so
4 if you can look at it?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. It looks like we want more. Jana. If we could give her two minutes.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Can we please unmute Jana Leo?

JANA LEO DE BLAS: Okay. In support of this law. I will say to remove the limitation from the school and apply everywhere and not only to certain areas. The fine should be increased at least two 250. My experience is that all the reports are always closed and I will say this. A fine is necessary. You know, we are in violence with traffic and the same state that we were with sexual violence in the 70s. You know, to prevent date rape, you don't tell a guy to behave. You charge for breaking the law. Okay? This is what we have to do. We have to charge for breaking the law and the charges going to be a fine. You know, if [inaudible 02:54:01], it doesn't mean only disability block, but bodies

exposed to cars on the other line. It's almost

2	impossible to have an accident on a bike. At least
3	you have a heart attack, you know? You know, biking
4	on itself is very safe. It's the that is what is
5	not. Most likely, if you have an accident in your
6	bike, you are going to be hit by a car or by a truck.
7	In my route daily from Carlin to Chinatown, the
8	number of trucks has increased. I see with the Green
9	Wave and Hudson close, in Riverside Park I see five
10	delivery trucks every day. I have to avoid a bus
11	every day. Then, when I take my route east on
12	Carmina Street, there are five to seven cars there
13	every day. You know, often, if I have the time, I go
14	down to my bike and I actually make a point of
15	talking to them. Hopefully, they moved. Sometimes
16	they do. Most likely, they laugh at me. You know,
17	we really need to do finds. We need to enforce these
18	because that's the way we do everything here. You do
19	something that is illegal, you get the fine or you go
20	to jail. Period. I really, really want to add
21	something else and this is a

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

closing remarks.

2.2

2.3

blah blah blah rhetoric. The rhetoric talk is used
in this de Blasio administration.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

JANA LEO DE BLAS: We are having--

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Sorry. Thank you.

The time expired, so thank you very much. Thanks a

lot. Now, before close, I would like to call Council

member Levin if he has anything to say before I do my

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.

I greatly appreciate all the testimony from the public just now and it certainly is heartening to know that the public sees the need for legislation so strongly. And certainly that there is— calling out that there is certainly not a valid and prevailing argument made by the administration. It's really devoid of any real substance. And, you know, I'm happy to talk with anybody about kind of the parameters of the bill. There are certain reasons why the radius is in place and with some of the—for example, why regular no standing is not in this bill. The goal on our end is to— at least from my end is to ensure that the most dangerous parking infractions that are going unpunished right now, that

2.2

2.3

Rodriguez.

those are covered. And so, you know, focusing on the ones that really force not just illegal parking because, you know, there is a lot of illegal parking that is not covered in this bill, but the ones that are forcing cyclists or pedestrians into oncoming traffic and into harm's way. That is the goal of this legislation. Because, keep in mind that all of these things are illegal to begin with and so what we're doing here— we are not allowed legally to create ultimate means of enforcement for existing laws. That is why we are creating a new infraction. And so, there it gets into the legality of it, but I'm happy to talk about that further with anyone. And, with that, alternate back over to Chair

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank
you, Council member. I would like to thank everyone.
The applicant, the administration for being a part of
this hearing and we will continue to have
conversations with the Speaker in Council member
Levin on the bill that we are discussing today. As
Chairman of this committee, I can tell you that those
of you who were the leaders and the champions in the
80s and 90s when we didn't have bike lanes in many

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

places [inaudible 02:58:55] and Amsterdam Avenue and many other areas throughout the five boroughs. can compare how far the things that we were not doing in the 80s and the 90s and where we are today. am pretty sure that all of us agree that last year was a bad would for all of us when it came to the numbers of cyclists and pedestrians that lost their lives. However, when we compare numbers before and after Vision Zero, what we have done in the last eight years, no doubt that we have taken the city to a better place when it comes to the safety of pedestrians and cyclists. Is that enough? answer is no. I'm we, as New Yorkers, have to be ambitious. We cannot accomplish our goals, as established by Mayor de Blasio by all of us on that Council to be sure that we bring 20 the numbers of pedestrians and cyclists being counted and losing their life in the city of New York. So, I think that there is a lot that has to be done and I am proud of all of those bills in every different way that we have addressed Vision Zero, especially from those at the Council and the administration, the DOT Commissioner. We are here today holding this hearing because we know that much more can be done.

2 let's continue working together. Let's be sure that we save the lives of every single New Yorker. Let's 3 4 be sure that we take the necessary measure to address illegal parking. Let's be sure that, as we hear from the NYPD, and assessment on how many cars in the 6 7 parking space and spots around the precinct. I feel 8 that we are in a good place because, thanks to Vision Zero initiative, thanks to Transportation Alternatives, Families for Safe Streets, academics, 10 11 individuals of the public and private sectors, we all understand and agree that we can reduce the number of 12 13 individuals losing their lives. Covid 19 is a pandemic that has [inaudible 03:00:58], however, the 14 15 numbers of the year individuals losing their lives as 16 a result of crashes is another pandemic that we can control and we can eradicate it. We need to look at 17 18 urban planning. We need to look on adjusting that 19 technology. We need to look at enforcement. I feel 20 that if we can bind all those areas and all those tools, we definitely can make the city of New York a 21 role model in the foundation when it comes to build 2.2 2.3 us up as a cyclist and pedestrian friendly city. This is issue related to safety in our streets, but I 24 25 also want to close this hearing on the topic that is

not related to safety on our streets. We need to get
rid of that guy that took our nation to allow
terrorist attacks to happen in the city. We need to
throw all of our support to 11 members of the New
York City Congressional delegation, to majority very
soon to be Senator Schumer, to Pelosi and everyone.
It is a sad, sad to hear that guy was unfortunately
from Brooklyn in the White House and going to the
border of Texas saying that he doesn't regret what
you did. He needs to pay for his consequences.
Using fake news and his son, former Mayor Giuliani,
to deny the victory of a Biden and Harris and took
our nation to be endangered and, as yesterday, what
has been discussed, they had a plan to attack the
state capital building. So, let's continue
addressing and improving safety for pedestrians and
cyclists. But as we are speaking today, the danger
that we face today is by that guy that we have in DC.
Any minute that we have in DC puts our nation and the
world in danger and we need to take him out of the
White House. With that, this hearing was adjourned.

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 9, 2021