1		YOUTH SERVICES JOINTLY WITH WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORK		
4		X	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES		
6	Of the		
7	WITH COMMITTEE O	TH SERVICES JOINTLY N WOMEN AND GENDER	
8	EQUITY		
9		X	
10		November 18, 2020 Start: 10:21 a.m.	
11		Recess: 1:28 p.m.	
12	HELD AT:	REMOTE HEARING	
13	BEFORE:	Deborah Rose, Chairperson for the Committee	on
14		Youth Services	
15		Helen K. Rosenthal, Chairperson for Committee on W	Vomer
16		and Gender Equity	
17	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
18	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	Diana Ayala Ben Kallos	
19		Brad S. Lander	
20		Mathieu Eugene Farrah Louis	
21		Vanessa Gibson	
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 2	
2		
3	APPEARANCES	
4	Susan Haskell	
5	Deputy Commissioner of Youth Services at DYCD	
6	Darryl Rattray Associate Commissioner for Youth Services at DYCD	
7	ASSOCIACE COMMISSIONEL TOL TOUCH SELVICES AC DIED	
8	Wanda Ascherl Assistant Commissioner for Community at DYCD	
9	Tracy Caldron	
10	Assistant Commissioner of COMPASS at DYCD	
11	Jagdeen Phanor	
12	Chief Financial Officer at DYCD	
13	Nevita Bailey	
14	Deputy Chief Financial Officer at DYCD	
15	Josh Wallack Deputy Chancellor at DOE at the Department of	
16	Education	
17	Chris Tricarico	
18	Senior Executive Director of the Office of Food and Nutrition Services at DOE	
19	Faith Behum	
20	Advocacy and Policy Advisor at UJA Federation of	
21	New York	
22	Gregory Brender United Neighborhood Houses	
23	oniteed herginormood mouses	
24	David Gaskin President and CEO of Seamen's Society for	
25	Children and Families	

1	COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 3		
2			
3	APPEARANCES (CONT.)		
4	Felicia Soodeen		
5	Vice President of Seamen's Society for Childre and Families		
6	Nellie Suarez		
7	Director of the Family Day Care Network and Seamen's Society for Children and Families		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

SERGEANT HOPE: Good morning and welcome to the Committee on Gender Equity jointly with the Committee on Youth Services. At this time, would all panelists please turn on your videos. I repeat, at this time, would all panelists please turn on your videos.

Thank you.

To minimize disruption, please place all electronic devices to vibrate or silent mode. If you wish to submit testimony, you may do at testimony@council.nyc.gov. I repeat, testimony@council.nyc.gov. Chair's, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Good morning. Thank you for joining our virtual hearing today on this very important issue. The status of the learning labs program and childcare in New York City. My name is Debi Rose and I and the Chair of the New York City Council's Committee on Youth Services.

Today, the Committee on Youth Services is joined by the Committee on Women and Gender Equity and my friend and colleague Chair Helen Rosenthal. We will conduct an oversight hearing on the Department of Youth and community developments learning labs programs, identifying current issues and potential

responses to them as well as the successes and ways to amplify them. But first, I would like to recognize that we have been joined by Council Members Louis, Council Member Eugene, Council Member Kallos, Council Member Ayala and we will be joined by Council Member Holden.

But even before the COVID outbreak forced New
York City public schools to transition to remote
learning for at least some of the time, childcare,
especially affordable childcare was a serious issue
for working parents. It is a problem that
disproportionately plagues working mothers and even
more so single parents.

It is an obstacle to women's full participation in the economy and society. It eats up a significant position of a family's income, exacerbating daily survival struggles for the most vulnerable New York City families. Producing constant anxiety and many sleepless nights. With the COVID outbreak forcing New York City children to spend increasingly more time learning remotely, working parents are now facing the necessity of finding not only afterschool care but childcare for remote instruction days as

2 well. Making already stressful circumstances even

more challenging.

I know just how difficult the situation is, not

only am I the Chair of the Committee on Youth
Services but I am a grandma with two grandchildren
whose parents are both essential workers. And while
my grandchildren, some think they might be lucky that
I am their grandmother and I get to mind them, there
are other parents that — while their parents are
working, not all New York City households are equally
as fortunate.

And since I am also working remotely while caring for my grandchildren, I can tell you that I truly understand parents frustration and the challenges around remote learning. It is a tough situation all around.

In a move unprecedented since World War II when the nation mobilized to provide free childcare under the 1943 Lanham Act, to support women's labor force participation as part of the war effort, our state and city recognized childcare as an essential public service in an effort to cope with the COVID outbreak. By first establishing regional enrichment centers and emergency childcare centers for children of essential

workers and later launched the DYCD's Learning Labs program to provide care and enrichment for children in grades K-8 on remote learning days.

Given that the learning labs were launched in record time and during a national crisis, it is only expected that there would be issues and challenges surrounding this program. We are here today to examine the rollout of the program, the problems that have arisen, the responses to them and the encouraging success that they have had.

We are here today to hear the concerns of parents, providers and advocates and to work collaboratively on addressing the issues and concerns to ensure that our children's education and social emotional needs are being met and that our parents are assisted in their roles as caregivers and workers and are able to participate in the workforce.

I want to thank Chair Rosenthal and the Committee on Women and Gender Equity for joining our hearing today, as well as the staff behind the scenes who are making sure that this online hearing runs smoothly.

I would like to thank the Youth Committee Staff for their work on this issue. I would like to thank

Committee Counsel Paul Sinegal who unfortunately is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

leaving the Council. He will really be missed. Не has served this Committee and others well.

Committee Policy Analyst Anastassia Zimina, Finance Analyst Michele Peregin and Elizabeth Arts from the Speakers Office, sorry Elizabeth and I want to give a big thank you to my staff as well. Chief of Staff Christine Johnson and my Legislative Director Isa Cortez and Vanorie Ranawary[SP?].

And with that, I will now turn to my Co-Chair for remarks Chair Rosenthal.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much Chair Rose. I am Council Member Helen Rosenthal, Chair of the Committee on Women and Gender Equity. My pronouns are she and her. I want to start by thanking Chair Rose of the Committee on Youth Services for holding this hearing with us and with her very informed and informative opening statement. I also want to congratulate her on making the cover of City and State this week. She is a powerful representative of Staten Island.

We already know that childcare is a gendered issue that disproportionately effects women. As the New York Time put it in September, the loss of childcare during the pandemic has limited many

2.

working mothers hours and when parents decide that one of them should give up their job, it is usually the lower paid one, most often the mother.

Single parents who are usually women, are even more impacted by the loss of childcare. This is why so many were hopeful that the Mayor's announcement of 100,000 learning lab, which is K-8 and learning bridges, 3 and 4-year-olds seats would allow them to keep their jobs. As people went back to work, their children would be well taken care of.

So, today, we are here to discuss the pandemic, the City's Pandemic Childcare Initiative with a focus on K-8 learning lab program administered by the DYCD. There have been hurdles opening the learning lab bridges seats and we want to understand why. When Mayor de Blasio unveiled his plan to provide certain working families childcare, respective of a family's ability to pay, he promised 100,000 slots. As of last month, we are only a fifth of the way there.

The one learning bridges site in my district with 30 seats is completely full. There is also only one learning lab site. I recently spoke with the leading childcare provider in my district about why they did not respond to the city's request to provide

2.3

services. They advised that had "concerns related to space, the lack of clear programmatic definition and limitations associated with staffing up of full program for an unspecified period of time."

I have also heard from parents that the learning bridge site they were given after they applied, was too far away from their home to be useful. So, they did not take their given site.

The Council understands that the unprecedented challenges we are facing in this pandemic but we are now several months into the school year. The city's much held plan to switch from the rec centers to learning labs, so parents could continue to go to work and their children would be well taken of has not come to fruition. We need to prioritize our children their families and the providers that allow our city to keep working. It is important to note that D75 students face huge hurdles in accessing the learning labs/bridges program which DOE and DYCD have not addressed.

I am going to read parts of the statement we received from advocates from D75 students which speaks volumes. It is a few paragraphs, so bear with me. "Although the DOE's website states that students

2.2

2.3

with disabilities are one of the priority groups for learning labs and states that students of D75 schools may attend learning labs, the city has not created any process for approving requests for accommodations or supports or supports for students with disabilities at learning lab programs. While programs and parents have asked DYCD or the individual learning lab programs for support, both DYCD and DOE have not provided support and as a result, programs have told parents that their children with disabilities cannot attend.

For example, we are aware of students whose IEP's require them to have one on one paraprofessionals to provide support during learning. IEP stands for Individual Education Plans. So, this is the plan that the city agrees that that child needs, sorry.

However, the DOE says that due to a union issue, they cannot sent paraprofessionals to DYCD contracted learning lab sites and DYCD says they don't have funding outside the DOE to pay for paraprofessionals. The students are not getting their IEP mandated supports and are not able to attend learning lab programs."

2.2

2.3

We have heard from parents who were able to get supports for their students at the Rec's in the summer and spring but now cannot get support at the learning labs. We understand that the blame is not on DYCD or DOE and we are here today to help. We want to understand what we need to do, so students, especially those with disabilities and their families and the providers are getting the support they need to participate in this program.

You know, we have invited many providers and parents to testify at this hearing. However, given that they are so thinly stretched, many could not take the time to join us today. We invite them to submit their stories and insights to testimony@council.nyc.gov before Saturday. You testimony is invaluable as we navigate a path toward the best interests of our children.

Finally, I would like to thank my staff. My
Chief of Staff Cindy Cardinal, my Legislative
Director Madhuri Shukla as well as Committee Staff
for their work in preparing for this hearing. Brenda
McKinney my Counsel, Chloe Rivera Senior Legislative
Policy Analyst, Monica Pepple Financial Analyst and
Elizabeth Arts also from Community Engagement. And I

2.2

2.3

am not sure there are any additional, yeah, I want to acknowledge the Council Members, the additional Council Members who are present Council Member Lander.

I will now send it back to Chair Rose to introduce the Moderator. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you so much Chair

Rosenthal for your very thorough summary of what

brings us to this hearing today. I will now turn it

over to our Committee Legislative Counsel Brenda

McKinney who will review some procedural items

relating to today's hearing.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Chair Rose.

So, my name is Brenda McKinney and I am the

Legislative Counsel for the Committee on Women and

Gender Equity at the New York City Council. I will

be moderating today's hearing and I will be calling

on people to testify.

Before we begin, as Chair Rose mentioned, we will be going over some housekeeping items. I would like to remind everyone that you will on mute until I call on you to testify and after you are called upon, you will be unmuted by the host. Please listen for your

name. I will periodically announce who the next panelist will be.

Council Member questions will be limited to five minutes. Council Members, please note that this includes both your questions and the witness answers. Today, we will also allow a second round of questions at today's hearing. These questions will be limited to two minutes. Again, including both your question and the witnesses answer. For public testimony, I will be calling on people in panels. Council Members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the raise hand function in Zoom. You will be called on after everyone on the panel has completed their testimony. We anticipate that there will be three people on each panel.

For public panelists, once I call on your name, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will give you the go ahead to begin speaking after setting the timer. All public testimony and this timer will be set at three minutes and be limited to three minutes. After I call your name, please wait a brief moment for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin before starting your testimony, so that we can start the clock.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, with that, we will now move to Administration testimony and I will call on the following members of the Administration to testify. I will say all of your names first and then we will administer the oath.

So, now, we will call on Susan Haskell, Deputy

Commissioner of Youth Services at DYCD, Darryl Rattray Associate Commissioner for Youth Services at DYCD, Wanda Ascherl and please excuse any pronunciation errors, Assistant Commissioner for Community at DYCD, Tracy Caldron Assistant Commissioner of COMPASS at DYCD, Jagdeen Phanor Chief Financial Officer at DYCD, Nevita Bailey Deputy Chief Financial Officer at DYCD, Josh Wallack Deputy Chancellor at DOE at the Department of Education, Chris Tricarico, again please excuse any mispronunciations, Senior Executive Director of the Office of Food and Nutrition Services at DOE. with that, I will deliver the oath to all eight of you at once. So, after reading the oath, I will call on each of you by name. If you can please respond to the oath one at a time.

So, if each of you in your camera can please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the

Rattray? Darryl Rattray? Sorry, we might have a mute issue. Sorry, could you just for the record, so that we can get it on the record, Darryl Rattray, can you please respond to the oath?

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Unmute.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yeah, if the host can please unmute Associate Commissioner Rattray. We will keep going apologies. Assistant Commissioner Wanda Ascherl?

WANDA ASCHERL: I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DARRYL RATTRAY: Testing, testing, can you hear me now?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

DARRYL RATTRAY: Oh, awesome. The tech problem would be me, right. I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Associate Commissioner Rattray. Assistant Commissioner Tracy Caldron? Assistant Commissioner Caldron?

2 TRACY CALDRON: I do.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. Chief
Financial Officer Jagdeen Phanor? Jagdeen Phanor?
We will come back. Deputy Chief Financial Officer -

JAGDEEN PHANOR: I do, Jagdeen Phanor, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much and there is a delay, apologies, so it might be an unmute or technical issue. So, thank you for your patience.

Deputy Chief Financial Officer Nevita Bailey?

11 NEVITA BAILEY: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. Deputy Chancellor Josh Wallack?

14 JOSH WALLACK: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much and finally Senior Executive Director Chris Tricarico, sorry, Tricarico? Senior Executive Director from DOE Chris Tricarico? Sir, you should be unmuted, can you please respond to the oath? We can keep going and swear him in if he responds to questions. Thank you so much for your patients.

22 CHRISTOPHER TRICARICO: Did you hear me, I do.

23 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We can, thank you so much.

24 Thank you.

CHRISTOPHER TRICARICO: Tricarico by the way.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Can you repeat that again

3 plea

2.2

2.3

please?

CHRISTOPHER TRICARICO: Tricarico.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tricarico. Thank you so much.

CHRISTOPHER TRICARICO: You are welcome.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, thank you and Deputy Commissioner Haskell, if you are ready, you may begin your testimony.

SUSAN HASKELL: Thank you. Good morning Chair
Rose, Chair Rosenthal and members of the Youth
Services and Women and Gender Equity Committee's. I
am Susan Haskell, Deputy Commissioner for Youth
Services from the Department of Youth and Community
Development. I am joined by my DYCD colleagues,
Chief Financial Officer Jagdeen Phanor, Associate
Commissioner Darryl Rattray, Assistant Commissioner
Wanda Ascherl, Assistant Commissioner Tracy Caldron
and Deputy Chief Financial Officer Nevita Bailey.

From the New York City Department of Education, I am joined by Deputy Chancellor Josh Wallack and Trish Tricarico - Chris Tricarico. I messed up Chris not Tricarico, I am sorry Chris. Senior Executive

2 Director of the Office of Food and Nutrition Services.

4

5

6

7

8

3

On behalf of Commissioner Chong and Chancellor Carranza, thank you for the opportunity to appear today to discuss Learning Bridges. As you know Learning Bridges is a new program that provides free childcare options for children from 3K through 8th grade on days when they are scheduled for remote

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

learning.

Since Mayor de Blasio announced this new initiative in the summer, DYCD, DOE, DDC MOCS and especially New York City's network of community based providers, I have been working at a record pace to recreate this important childcare option. Learning Bridges programs will remain open if in person schooling is suspended either citywide or on a neighborhood level.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

We appreciate that both Committee's sponsoring this hearing recognize Learning Bridges critical role in the city's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Helping young people stay on track academically and socially and assisting working parents. While we work to expand the number of available slots, current priority for placement is given to families in

25

2.2

2.3

center.

temporary housing, including shelters and hotels. Children of New York City DOE school and program staff, including staff of the Learning Bridges sites and other contracted early childhood providers. Families residing in NYCHA developments, children and family foster care or receiving other child welfare services. Students with disabilities, childrens whose parents or quardian is an essential worker or who were previously involved in a regional enrichment

We continue to open new programs in all five boroughs providing a free and safe location to support remote learning and enrichment activities for DOE students on the days when they are not in school. We added seats throughout the fall and we will make offers to more families. Interested parents of eligible students can apply at the DOE website schools.nyc.gov/learningbridges. Before launching this service, DYCD and DOE reached out to the existing provider networks to discuss expanding capacity. And in order to reach additional organizations, MOCS released A request for information in July. Along with our partners we have

2.2

reviewing, inspecting and funding dozens of new Learning Bridges groups.

The base price per participant for the 2020-2021 school year is \$7,812 for DYCD funded learning labs K-8 programs. The date to respond to that RFI for providers has been extended to December 5th and we encourage them to continue to express interest in operating a program. We welcome the Council's support in that effort.

There are currently 406 Learning Bridges programs operating for early childhood in K-8 including 266 learning lab programs specifically for grades K-8. Excuse me, there were 406 for early childhood through 8th grade. That number will continue to increase.

As of today, the city has received nearly 46,000 applications to the centralized DOE Learning Bridges website from eligible students. Including 28,490 from families who are identified in a priority category. 39,000 families have been matched to a Learning Bridges site and once matched, providers connect with families to identify their blended learning schedules and gather additional enrollment information.

2.2

2.3

As we expand eligibility, we anticipate more parents opting in. We appreciate your help in sharing these resources with families in your community. Safety precautions are at the forefront of our work to protect the health and wellbeing of the young people and staff. All programs are following guidance of the CDC, New York State and New York City Department of Health and DOE public, health and safety protocols. We have adopted the high five, wearing makes and daily screening for children staff, frequent hand washing, cleaning and disinfecting program spaces and common areas, physical distancing and maintaining small group size and group stability throughout the day as much as possible.

In addition, the city has made nurses available to all programs for onsite visits and telehealth from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m.. Program staff have priority for expedited testing and the city is supporting programs by providing personal protective equipment whenever possible.

In cases where families are experiencing symptoms of COVID-19, parents are asked to report the symptoms of a child or family members to the Learning Bridges staff and adhere to a 14-day quarantine if necessary.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Children who become ill during the day are isolated and monitored and parents are contacted for early pickup and referred for testing. Testing and reporting practices mirror those in place at DOE schools. Including reporting, closure, contact tracing and 14-day quarantine protocols for confirmed cases.

Childcare providers notify the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the New York State Department of Health immediately upon being informed of any positive COVID-19 test result by an employee or a child at their site. Reports of symptomatic youth or staff confirmed COVID-19 cases are shared with the return to school situation room. Their health experts confirm action steps that are communicated back to the Learning Bridges programs. We are pleased that the Learning labs programs have led to new and strengthened partnerships. example, the learning lab run by UAU at the West Bright and Cornerstone on the Northshore of Staten Island has been able to cultivate a partnership with the local school PSA team which is also a Beacon Community Center.

2.2

2.3

Lines of communications have been strengthened among principals, parent coordinators and teachers and they share resources that ensure seamless alignment of academic support and problem solving as needed. The education coordinator at that site, a learning lab staff requirement works with the school day teachers to create refresher packets.

Individualized for each student structured around the unit the youth is studying during school hours.

Some learning lab programs have operated in spaces that have not previously been in use for DYCD programs, such as libraries including the St. Agnes Branch on Amsterdam Avenue. The learning lab program will soon bring a new service to that site through the after school All Star provider. Learning Labs are only possible because of a strong team effort. We appreciate the efforts of our city agency partners including Department of Health, the Fire Department, Department of Buildings and other city agencies helping us to expedite processes to get programs operating quickly and safely.

We are especially grateful to the City Council for your advocacy and support for this initiative and for helping to connect your constituents and local

2.2

2.3

2 community based organizations with the program.

3 Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. I will now turn it to the Chair's. Chair Rose?

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. I want to thank you Commissioner Haskell. I know that this has been a challenge to DYCD and I know that there are many challenges and obstacles that didn't make this an easy task to expedite. I am concerned about the number of — could you just tell me the total number of applications that you have received for the services? Parents who want to put their children in learning lab programs. Could you tell me number? I think you are muted.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will attempt to keep the administration unmuted during question and answer as well.

SUSAN HASKELL: Okay, great. Today we received 46,000 applicants from eligible students. Students who are enrolled in a DOE school and opted for abundant learning experience.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And with that, what is the capacity that you have been able to mass to how many slots do you have available?

2.2

2.3

SUSAN HASKELL: Currently, we have capacity for about 40,000 students and we continue to increase that regularly. Week to week we are adding more sites.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And these 40,000 slots, they have all been matched to a site?

SUSAN HASKELL: We have matched 39,000 families currently to a learning lab site of the 46,000 eligible applicants.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And so, with them being matched to a site, what is the criteria? How do you determine what sites of families will be matched with?

SUSAN HASKELL: At this time, we have made a few shifts in our policy since the beginning of the program. At this time, we are matching programs and sometimes giving them more than option based on geographic proximity, assuming that that will be the most convenient site for them. At the same time, families who may want a different location maybe because it is close to work or it is close to a family member who is taking care of the child on the off days, we are now inviting families to indicate their preference for the learning lab site.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And when they are assigned to these sites, is there an appeal process because I have gotten numerous calls from constituents that have been assigned to sites but they are not convenient. They are often times on Staten Island on the other side, which would take an hour or more to get to making it virtually impossible for the family to utilize that site because they wouldn't be able to get to work. So, is there an appeal process?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yes, there is and I hope that you will be seeing fewer and fewer of those. As I said, we are growing week to week. So, we have many more sites available now than we did initially and again, I hope that you will hear fewer situations like that.

Since we last spoke Chair Rose, I know we have added new sites to Staten Island but if somebody is matched to a site and they have one that would be more convenient for them, we absolutely want to hear from them. They should email

<u>learningbridges@schools.nyc.gov</u> and let us know and we will do our very best to find a better site.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: When the Mayor announced this program, he announced that there would slots for

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

100,000 young people. What is your timeline for being able to meet that amount, that goal?

SUSAN HASKELL: Well, as I mentioned, we have capacity currently to serve about 40,000 students. think if we capacity currently to serve about 40,000 I think if we met again next week, we would be pleased to tell you that that number has gone up again. We made a commitment to serve up to 100,000 people and we are going to continue to meet the need. I think we are learning more through the application process about you know, which families are interested. Where they are. You know, how to site programs geographically, where the highest demand is and our commitment absolutely remains to meet the demand and to that end, we want to encourage you, we want to encourage families to apply. That will be you know, our best indication about where to site programs and we will keep matching families to programs moving forward. We are pleased that we have come, you know, we are really making tremendous progress towards the current demand that we have.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Well, yeah, because you have, you have met — you have been able to seat 39,000

young people and right now, you still have requests for you know, there was 49,000 requests.

SUSAN HASKELL: 46,000 total application.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Right, and what is sort of the timeline? When do you think you will be able to accommodate those additional what is it, 7,000 young people?

just working as fast as we possibly can and again, I mentioned even this week, you know my colleague

Darryl Rattray in particular has been communicating with the sites, the existing sites who are signing up to operate in new spaces, like libraries that we mentioned or additional sites coming on through the RFI. In no way are we pausing at all to bring on sites. We have our pedal to the metal to ramp up capacity as quickly as possible and so, we are just going to keep our pedal to the metal and keep working with our partners.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: What are some of the obstacles that you have encountered in being able to make these slots available? You know, what are the obstacles?

SUSAN HASKELL: You know, I wouldn't say we have experienced a tremendous amount of barriers. I mean,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to begin with, we I think I mentioned in the testimony, just being you know, profoundly impressed by the capacity of New York City's not-for-profit community to meet the needs under this pandemic, to shift their focuses and for DYCD, the majority of programs that came online initially were existing providers where DYCD has an afterschool contract. But we knew that that wouldn't get us you know, all the way to meet the need so we launched this request for information and we have had many proposals and we are working through those proposals, looking at the safety of the physical facility, evaluating that the site makes sense. That there will be demand in that area. I am hard pressed to identify a specific barrier to be honest. I am not sure if any of my colleagues want to jump in and share some of their experiences, I welcome that but I think we, you know, really had a tremendous amount of support.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: We have heard from some providers that they have had difficulty, especially if they had programs functioning within schools, that they are having difficulty accessing space in DOE schools and that they are having problems accessing their supplies and their records and things for

programs that they did have in schools, like their compass programs that are not now able to have at those locations.

SUSAN HASKELL: Well, I want to first ask if my colleague Josh could be unmuted just to weigh in to that question and then, I will also invite Darryl Rattray to speak to some of the successes we have had placing programs in schools.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And as we unmute you Mr. Wallack, if other members of the Administration, just so that the host knows if we should unmute you, if you could raise your hand in Zoom as well if we should unmute you, we will do that. Thank you.

JOSH WALLACK: Okay, I think, can you hear me now? Terrific, okay. I just want to first of all thank you all for having us and for giving us the opportunity to update you on progress and just on behalf of the Department of Education, thank you for all of your support as we have rolled this out and for the spirit with which you approach this and saying like this is an ambitious effort. We have tried our best to respond to a pressing need and we always have in mind that for each family, if they don't have care on a specific day that is an

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

very happy to hear them.

with big numbers.

2 emergency that takes up — it is their whole world.
3 And so, we keep that in mind even as we are dealing

I think as far as challenges go; I think it has just been an effort to go as fast as we can. I don't think there have been particular challenges, other than to say you know, in areas where we have always experienced difficulties in finding vacant space that's suitable for after school and early childhood programs, those areas of the city do tend to be tougher. And so, we are working hard to find partners there that can work with us you know, particularly I would say Staten Island. Chair Rose is one of those places as you know and you have been terrific in working with us on that in Southern Brooklyn and in our community school district 24 in Queens in the Flushing's Corona area. areas of the city where we have always struggled with school capacity, after school capacity, early childhood capacity and it is no different here. so, in particular if you have leads for us, organizations that want to work with us, we would be

J

As far as challenges in siting programs in schools, I think our agencies have been working quite well together though again, there is capacity issues in those areas where schools are already struggling, specially given the social distancing to create space for these programs. But I will turn it over to Associate Commissioner Darryl Rattray just to fill in the gaps there.

DARRYL RATTRAY: Good morning Chair Rose. So, to answer your question around — I will answer two for one, some of the concerns that you mentioned that providers are having within schools. I am assuming and from the feedback that we have received from providers that that's coming in from the afterschool world of this, not the learning lab side and from the afterschool side, imagine that we have gotten up over 90 percent of our school based afterschool programs.

Of course, there are some where we are still dealing with facility logistics, I will call it, where principals are just ensuring that the right thing is happening in spaces where the COVID rates are high within those communities. And we are working with the nonprofits, we are working with the principals in the schools to rectify those issues.

2.2

2.3

So, we do expect to get those programs up and running in person as soon as possible. We are triaging them on a case by case basis. The one positive amazing thing is that we do have 11 learning labs within school buildings and these are locations where based on the number of students that are in blended learning, we actually identified spaces within that school where a nonprofit or existing nonprofits who have been amazing have not activated learning labs within those spaces.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. Learning lab providers are matched with schools and can only serve students in the programs who attend those schools. On October 23rd, DYCD notified Learning Lab providers that their number of matched schools would be increased allowing additional students to participate in their Learning Lab programs. In some cases, this resulted in learning lab programs being matched to too many schools. How do you plan to address that?

SUSAN HASKELL: Chair Rose, you know, I am pleased to report that we moved away from the initial policy of matching schools to students. This was a

DARRYL RATTRAY: Hey guys, if you can unmute

Deputy Commissioner Haskell.

2.2

2.3

great approach to target students with highest need. We have been clear upfront, we want to get this service to the ones who needed it most but then we started looking at where demand was coming in in the applications and the school matching and we realized we could broaden the eligibility and we took that step a couple of weeks ago and at that point, we have been able to offer thousands more people a seat. It is why you see you know, high numbers now in comparison to the applications. That approach really freed us up to reach some of the families who really needed it but who weren't attending schools that had been yet matched to a learning lab program.

So, I think we are going to see a real uptick now that we have you know, initiated that and by starting to send offers to more students and it allowed us to open up the preference to families. So, that now when they are signing up they can make a choice about what's a best fit for them, rather than the city saying you know, this is where you can go.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: You know this program was more from the REC, the Rec programs and it was supposed to provide you know, the ability for essential workers to go to work and leave their children in a safe

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

environment where they would get educational support and other enhancement services. And the program, the Learning Lab program is now just from 8 a.m. to 3 p.m. which is very difficult. It doesn't really accommodate the needs of working parents and if a parent has to be at work at 8 or 9, there is no way that they can you know, take their child to a center at 8 o'clock and be to work on time and the same on the back end, being able to pick up their child at 3 o'clock. Is there any plans to look at the timeframe that the learning labs are actually operational. there some plan to help morph them into the afterschool programming, so that this actually becomes a program that works for working parents? Because as it is, it doesn't address the needs of essential workers and I know, that's why I whined up with you know, my grandkids in the afternoon because there is no way a parent can pick them up at 3 o'clock and be at work.

So, is there some sort of — you know, there is two questions there. Are you going to address those issues?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, I will start; there are a few things to that and I will welcome DOE to weigh

2.2

in. I am not going to speak too much to REC's in
particular because it is a really a very different -

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: No, I don't want you to.

SUSAN HASKELL: Okay, good but also I am a lifelong advocate for the 3-6 p.m. period both because it meets childcare needs that are essential for working parents and it is really important for young people's development for them to use those hours to be engaging in enriching activities.

At the same time, we did build up Learning
Bridges and as an alternate to the school day. So,
with a commitment to give them the hours that they
would have had without a pandemic, if there child was
in enrolled in school five days a week.

So, that was the model that we launched but we have heard from many parents and we have heard from advocates like you that there are still families who need more support. That was true before the pandemic and that continues to be true. So, we are exploring ways and regions or we might be able to expand those hours either in the morning or in the afternoon to provide additional support and we look forward to getting back to you about that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, many of our UJA providers have been able to relocate current staff to work in their Learning Lab program while others had to hire new staff. However, DOHMH has struggled to complete the background checks in a timely manner, causing up to two month delays in the hiring process for staff members and learning labs. What is DYCD doing or have you done or is planning to do to assist DOHMH in expediting the comprehensive background check process. Will DYCD hold learning lab providers harmless for under enrollment in the programs due to not having enough staff cleared to work with children and youth?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, I am going to pull in my colleague Tracy Caldron if she could be unmuted to give her responses because she has been working you know, helping to connect with Department of Health.

And I will just say while she is getting unmuted, it is not really funny but sometimes I have to laugh to myself, when the new federal background clearance guidelines came back last September, I was confident this would be the biggest obstacle to our providers to bringing childcare program as they had in the past

J

and then March 2020 hit and for sure that was not the biggest obstacle hitting childcare.

So, we have been in tune to that need since before the pandemic and maybe Tracy could speak a little bit more about — Tracy, if you want to raise your hand, maybe that will help them find you to unmute.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We are having an issue; it is not unmuting. So, we are sending an unmute, you have to accept the unmute request. So, there is a delay in unmuting so if members could use the raise hand function in Zoom if you are going to be answering questions, that we can do that in advance. Just hit unmute. Okay and I think Ms. Caldron is unmuted.

TRACY CALDRON: Thank you. So, yeah, so due to a regulation, a federal regulation change, there has been a slowdown in the clearance process and we have experienced that when it first was enacted. But to our knowledge, currently there hasn't been any impact to the learning labs due to the clearance process or the new clearance process and we welcome and invite you to let us know about providers and programs that have communicated that there has been an impact to their particular programs.

2.2

2.3

But we are not aware of any impact or any programs that have been inhibited to provide services to any learning lab or learning bridges participants but initially when the law was enacted, we provided support, resource, we had trainings to support our programs and our providers to become knowledgeable about the process and so, we did initially provide that support to help aid in speeding up the clearances on our side.

SUSAN HASKELL: I will just add to that that the Learning Labs program you know, are operating in a very different way than our community based organizations are used to operating where they really manage at the local level. Their direct outreach to families and their enrollment processes, so this is a much more centralized approach than there used to.

So, I think to Tracy's point, we are not aware of any young person program who couldn't be served because we didn't have enough cleared staff, even though we know staff clearing can be a barrier but we are funding programs Learning Lab programs the same way we fund other youth services programs in any time at DYCD. You have a contract value; you provide the

service you are contracted for and then you are reimbursed through your approved expenses.

I welcome my colleague Jagdeen Phanor if she wants to add anything to that.

JAGDEEN PHANOR: Alright thank you Susan, just repeat one more time, I got distracted with an incoming text. What is it that you wanted me to cover specifically?

SUSAN HASKELL: Chair Rose, your question was about I think, was about reimbursing providers as they continue to ramp up their enrollment.

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, thank you, thank you for clarifying. Good morning Chair Rose. One of the things that we want to stress is that of the approximate 170 contracts that we have, currently almost 119 of them have existing base contracts and so, what my team has been doing on a continuous basis is if a provider reaches out and is having cash flow issues, we are assessing what their base contracts looked like before contracts are registered. So, that we can make sure that providers are getting the financial assistance that is needed.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, because — so you are saying that DYCD will compensate Learning Lab

2.2

2.3

providers for the full amount of their FY21 contracts regardless of their daily attendance at their programs and when would they be able to expect compensation and reimbursement?

5 compensation and reimbursement?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, just to reiterate again, reimbursement as contracts get registered, we are definitely reimbursing. If before registration happens and a provider needs assistance, cash flow assistance, we are doing contract by contract assessment and if they have a base contact, we are tapping in to make sure that they can access some of that funding up front while they wait for their new contracts to be registered.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I have taken a lot of time and I want to be fair to my Co-Chair, so I will come back. I will ask other questions; I have a lot.

Chair Rosenthal?

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much Chair Rose. Yeah, there are a lot of question, I really appreciate the ones you have already asked and I have a few follow up questions to those. Let's just start with the last point. I think what Chair Rose was asking was a little different than the answer, I think.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 So, let's say a contract is approved for a 3 provider and I understand that the reimbursement will 4 happen as soon as the invoice is sent in and you are helping to smooth things over, which is great. 5 think the question is and especially because the way 6 7 you have talked about the funding is per person. 8 question is, is the reimbursement per person or is it for the entire value of a full program? In other words, if the program promises 30 seats but only 20 10 11 kids show up, it could be different kids but only 20 kids show up every single day, will the provider be 12 paid for 30 children or 20 children? 13

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, I am going to ask that you unmute Nevita and I am going to start the response to your specific question. Providers, listen, we follow the providers lead. The provider is going to send, they have access if they have a contract, they have access to their entire contract amount. We are reimbursing based on what they submit as expenditures.

So, I think what I want to stress, it is the provider who is going to kind of lead that charge, right and submit what is needed in terms of expenditures, right. The PPE that we mentioned which

2.2

2.3

was approximately 7,800 right, includes several different things. Several different components and so, as providers submit their reimbursement and their ask, we are reimbursing and I think to answer your larger question, yes, we have all intention and we are committed to paying providers for these slots and seats that they have been contracted for. I don't

know Nevita if you want to add anything to that.

NEVITA BAILEY: So, good morning, this is Nevita, hi Chair Rosenthal. So, I just want to expand on that. Reimbursement is not based on performance and so, I think your original question is, is this a performance based contract and where reimbursement will be based on performance.

Reimbursement is based on what is submitted in an invoice as Jagdeen indicated. And so, providers will not be held to account regarding under enrollment if they are unable to fully enroll their participants.

Along as their expenses are on the invoice are eligible consistent with our fiscal manual, they will be reimbursed. So, I just want to make sure that is clear. That is not tied to reimbursement for providers. I hope that answers your question.

2.2

2.3

Initiative overall.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Totally, that was so clear. Thank you because I am hearing from providers that yeah, their overhead is the same whether or not a child shows up but you could see that fewer PPE you know is used — okay, great. Thank you that was very clear. I am going to go back to the top just for a second and just as a baseline, Deputy Commissioner Haskell, are you using, is the City using in its testimony and its answers, Learning Lab, Learning Bridges sort of interchangeable or fused together.

So, in other words, like what we just discussed about reimbursement. Can I assume that is true for Learning Bridges and Learning Labs or are your answers only in regards to Learning Labs?

SUSAN HASKELL: That is a great question. I am going to welcome Josh to weigh in. DYCD is you now, contracting the Learning Labs part K-8. Learning Bridges is the whole initiative. Because this is a Youth Services hearing, we are focused on Learning Labs and I apologize, I sometimes use the term interchangeably with all the supports we have with DOE and our partnership here, we are able to answer a lot of questions about the Learning Bridges

-

)

So, thank you for clarifying for that for people who are listening as well. I have been using those sometimes interchangeably. Josh, I welcome you to reply to the reimbursement question.

JOSH WALLACK: Sure, I appreciate it. I am jumping in whenever there is a difference and so, in this case, it is the same. We also pay for the capacity, recognizing that you know, as you mentioned Chair Rosenthal, providers have fixed costs, atop in a classroom and we consider there is a partnership and so, you know, it is incumbent upon us to help make sure that families connect to these sites to get the care they need and for us to make sure that the organizations have what they need to run a quality, safe and healthy program for them.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Fantastic, thank you.

These are a tiny bit random but as I said, I am just asking follow up questions to the ones that Council Member Rose asked. I am wondering about the applicants who turned down their placement in September because perhaps the placement was an hour away and so, it just didn't help them.

Are you aggressively going back to those applicants now that you have more sites to offer them a slot?

SUSAN HASKELL: Well, I want to say that yes, there is the opportunity as you mentioned to come back into the portal and reup your application, pick, select a different site and yes, we are working on outreach strategies constantly. We appreciate your support with that. We are looking to different audiences we need to communicate with to say, this resource is still available. We want you to apply. If you need it, we know how critical it is to your day to day. So, yes, to both.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Well, yeah, I mean, wouldn't it just be a simple automatic almost. You could do it through the application process. You could see who applied, didn't accept their placement and therefore, automatically a letter goes out saying please reapply?

SUSAN HASKELL: We can do that. We will keep outreach, we really want to focus — we are not sure we have all the demand out there, we probably don't. We need to communicate with those families that have already applied and families who haven't yet applied

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

and let them know that the resource is available. hear what you are saying.

JOSH WALLACK: We are actually about to go out this week with notices to families that have applied, just letting them know where there are new opportunities. Just to your point Chair Rosenthal. So, that will happen in the next few days and we will continue to do that. We are just upgrading these systems as we go and making more and possible with each week. So, thank you for the suggestion.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Makes sense. mentioned in your testimony that a library in my district, the St. Agnes Branch, will soon be open as I think a Learning Lab. I am wondering when would applicants know about that and seats and stuff like that?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, without getting too much into specifics, I am not sure how many seats they have but the process and Josh, correct me if I am wrong is, the minute a site comes online, we notify DOE to dig into the application pool and notify all eligible families who are in that area that they can connect with this provider and offer them the contact information. And in fact, if they have applied and

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they are a priority in a priority group, we are going
to let them know you have priority for this seat and
we are going to let the provider know that to.

JOSH WALLACK: Right, we put out notice as soon as a new offer — as soon as a new site comes online, we let eligible families in the area know and we make matches weekly. So, we are on a weekly pace.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Wow.

SUSAN HASKELL: And we add those sites to the website. So, they will be visible to the public on the DOE website.

DARRYL RATTRAY: And just adding that the St. Agnes Library will have 105 seats.

SUSAN HASKELL: Nice.

DARRYL RATTRAY: Operated by afterschool stars.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, that's a lot of seats. Very exciting, it is a big library, that makes a lot of sense and also confirming that the library is not open to the public. So, it will only be used for this program and is the program from 8 to 3 or 8 to 6 because the name of the provider includes the words, Afterschool All Stars?

DARRYL RATTRAY: That's correct. All Learning

Lab programs are open from 8 to 3 p.m.. Coincidently

2.2

2.3

the providers name is Afterschool All Stars but
that's their official provider name. And double
confirming your point that yes, libraries are closed
to the public and we are working with the library
systems as well as the nonprofits that will be
operating out of the libraries for proper signage
because of course, folks are going to see activity
and try to walk in.

So, we will be sure to proper signage out front on the entrances as well.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, terrific. A lot of families sort of around there who will need service. You know, the answers I am hearing, I think — so my question is, would you be able to send the Council sort of a chart of by Council District, how many applications and how many filled? And the reason I ask that question and I sort of hear Josh in your mind, thinking, well, it gets updated every week, so how could we do that and I hear that but my frustration is that I am hearing different things from different people. One provider told me that they tried to apply but were told that there was no demand in my district. And it sounds like that wasn't true or else you wouldn't have set up 101 seat

2.2

2.3

provider. Is there anyway to do that in a point of time or — and the reason would be of course, we send out weekly emails and if there is space available, I would love to be able to tell my eblast list that that is the case and maybe flyer some of the low income buildings around me.

SUSAN HASKELL: Yes, we can definitely get you a list of programs by Council District, absolutely.

I can go on your site and pull out mine but more importantly for the information of the Council Member, maybe I don't know, maybe in your high priority areas, so Council Members could know to reach out if there are applicants that haven't gotten a site yet. So, in other words, the delta, to know the delta between those who applied and those who got seats.

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, we will work with DOE on that absolutely.

JOSH WALLACK: Yeah, I think we can — let us look at what data we are able to pull and how quickly but I understand what you are looking for and I think we would share that information just in the spirit of trying to work together to fill gaps where there are

_ ¬

gaps. So, I appreciate that. So, yes, let us look into that and we will get back to you very shortly.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And obviously the date would have to be front and center, yeah. Alright, great, thank you and then I am wondering in your testimony you mentioned that Learning Labs and Bridges are basically free childcare options for children from 3K through 8th grade on days when they are scheduled for remote learning.

I am wondering should the schools close down and perhaps you already answered this but should the schools close for any reason, will the Learning Lab and Learning Bridge sites remain open?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yes and I did say that in the testimony and we have you know; we have been preparing as we watch the news and we see that there is some uptick in the cases. We have been preparing providers. We sent an email out to the K to 8 groups on Friday, just saying listen, if schools are to shut down, please remain open, continue to serve your families and expect that you will have families asking for more days of the week. So, we want to — the way the data is right now, it looks like in many

cases, maybe in most cases, we would be able to accommodate additional days.

Families will need to communicate back to the provider to let them know that they are interested and allow the provider to you know, set up a new schedule based on probably families who will have more need and potentially families who don't want to come in person under those circumstances and we will be prepared for both.

So, yeah, we will be open and we will be trying to do more to meet the childcare needs if they aren't in person on some school days.

JOSH WALLACK: We reached out to preschool providers as well late last week just to also let them know that the plan is that they would be open if schools should close.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Got it and I assume you are tracking the positive rate of COVID at each one of the sites on a regular basis and following the same rules that DOE does where if it hits a certain number, you close down the site, great.

JOSH WALLACK: So, just to say, sorry to interrupt but I just want to clarify. The community based organizations that run preschool Learning

2.2

2.3

Bridges and 3K and PreK, we are looking at the statistics of course but they will remain open even if the citywide positivity rate goes above 3 percent, just to say.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, if there is a specific site.

JOSH WALLACK: Yes, all of those cases would be reported into the situation room. They are all part of the same rules. There it is not about a percentage, it's about a number of cases that are not linked to one another. That to the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and our health experts, means that there is a reason to shut the site completely.

So, those cases are reported as I think Susan

Haskell said in her testimony into the same system as
the schools and are treated the same way. Luckily,
we have seen very, very few cases in Learning Bridges
sites so far, either on the preschool or school age
side. So, that's great news.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Great, that's impressive.

Alright, my last set of questions for this round have
to do with the students with disabilities.

2.2

2.3

So, are seats available? Are Learning Lab seats available in terms of you know, actually reality for D75 students, students with IEP's, yes or no? And do you have numbers, do you have any numbers around that?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yes, yes, we are open for students with disabilities. I appreciate that question. On a personal note, I am so grateful everyday that my children are grown and no longer school age. I appreciate the point that you and Chair Rose made earlier about how challenging this is, especially on moms. My colleagues, you know, you see the kids sometimes coming into the work calls and it is a great interruption but the reality of trying to balance is unbelievable and my own student who got specialized services since age 2, I can imagine how difficult this is for families.

So, it is especially hard for families of students with disabilities or special needs and we have made matches. So, 9,000 of the students that we talked about being matched to a program are students with IEP's and we absolutely know of cases that have been shared with me by specific providers of services going well for students with disabilities. At the

2.2

2.3

same time, you know, we are ramping up a system which is, you know, meant as an alternative to the school day during blended learning. And the Learning Labs programs just don't have the same level of supports that a school has through the Office of School Sports

and Office of Special Education.

So, there are cases coming to our attention and we will continue to work to meet the needs of those families. We have individualized support. My colleague Tracy Caldron, I know Department of Education because very often we are working together on what we can do to improve accommodations. We are also looking at whether there are sites where we could add more staff so that you know, we built our program off the childcare ratios 1 to 10, 1 to 15 and that's not adequate in some cases for young people. So, we know we have more work to do with this but we also know that we are seeing many successes for students with disabilities, so we just have to keep this as a priority and we will continue to do that.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay, it is great to hear 9,000 kids have been with IEP's have been matched.

Can I assume that they were matched either in schools

where there is space for it or it was situations where they don't need a para, these kids?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, it may have been a situation where they don't need a para. I think we have programs that are actually you know, finding a way to provide para support as well and I will ask Josh if he wants to add anything to this but we are not specifically matching students to school based programs.

And so, most of the examples that I know are actually in our community based programs. I know SOBRO Coalition for Hispanic Family Services, Childrens Aid, these are some of the programs that we have been working with directly to help place students. There hasn't been a specific effort to match them to the school programs.

JOSH WALLACK: I think - oh, I am sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Nope, I was just making it up given that one of the reasons for not matching was space but please, yeah.

JOSH WALLACK: No, I think that's just right. I mean, we are looking at which ever setting can support students with disabilities. We are finding a lot of community based organizations are stepping up

2.2

2.3

and saying that they can do so. I do want to just be you know, I think as we said, we acknowledge we have more work to do here. I think it is a top priority for us to be able to serve all the students with disabilities that need Learning Bridges on days that they are not in person. And we at the Department of Education have been working very hard with DYCD and our special education office is deeply involved in trying to make sure that improve these services as quickly as we can and trying to get services into the community based organizations or the schools for that matter for kids who need them.

It is you know; we appreciate sort of the attention here and just agree that it is a real priority and that we have more to do but we are making some progress as Susan pointed out. We do have thousands of kids that are in sites and getting the services they need. We just have to keep pushing.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I mean, it would be great if you could actually be specific. So, how many of the 9,000 need para's and yeah, and I guess it would be how many of the 9 - first of all, how many apply? And then how many got a placement?

2.2

2.3

JOSH WALLACK: Yeah, we don't have those numbers now. I will say, I think we are having trouble in particular replicating that para service that you are referring to. That is a challenge for us. We are trying to add more staff to you know, give better services. We want to improve. Let us come back to you with the numbers. We are happy to you know.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: For sure, but so, have
you negotiated — obvious, so you negotiate a contract
with a provider and obviously the providers that have
the capacity to have additional staff and you know,
take D75 kids or someone who needs a
paraprofessional, wouldn't that contract by
definition have more — have a higher reimbursement
level because they have a higher number of staff?

SUSAN HASKELL: Well, at this point, we have a base contract and all of our programs are funded at that base contract level. And I think, you know, I want to give credit to all our providers really working with families to make accommodations whenever possible.

Sometimes providers themselves are engaged in this work you know, outside of the Learning Labs program so they have a higher level of you know

2.2

2.3

resources within the agency that maybe they can pull in or they just have expertise and they are better equipped to meet the needs. So, we are looking at adding resources potentially for additional staff.

At this point, we have a single model and we are doing our best within that model but we are exploring whether additional resources could move us further toward fully meeting the need.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I mean, let the record show the answer to that question was no and that, you know of course you are thinking about it but the answer is no.

So, in other words, up to now, there is sort of no you know, a provider would have to pull from other resources if they were going to take a student and if they had to provide a para. I mean, it is just an important distinction to make.

SUSAN HASKELL: You are right, we did not set up a program that was you know, fully equipped. Again, designed with the full set of resources that are available through the Department of Education and that's the challenge that we are going to continue to push through.

2.2

2.3

DOSH WALLACK: And that's why the partners have been so important. I mean I think we are — you know, this is a top area of focus for us right now. You know, literally today and tomorrow and the next day, we hear you loud and clear and we have heard from families as well. We are working right now with our special education office to try to find solutions here that might be possible.

So, I think we understand it is a priority. Let us work a little bit more with our partners at DYCD and we can update you in the days to come about our progress. But again, it is a real focus for us and we really hear you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I will be — well, I will get to budget questions in a minute. So, I am just going to read a couple questions. I think you already answered them but I just want to make sure I heard the right answer, heard the accurate answer. So, how many requests has DYCD received from parents or programs or accommodations or supports including the paraprofessional support for students with disabilities?

SUSAN HASKELL: I don't know if we have that specific number. I can call on my colleagues — yeah,

2.2

2.3

I don't think we have that data. You know, I have one colleague here who I know has been doing some individualized supports and she could talk about the cases that she has been working with. I don't think it would paint the full picture that you are looking for. So, we can you know again, to point, let us regroup on this and try to help give you what you are looking for to get you the information you need.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, I mean, if the answer really is don't know, that means that in your tracking system, you don't have a box check here for will need a paraprofessional. Will need additional support. You are not even asking? Like if you don't know, that means you are not collecting the data because you are so good on giving us the information about other data. So, does that mean you are not asking the question in the application is my question to you.

JOSH WALLACK: We are starting to collect the data. I think that again, I think some of this is as you pointed out, we understand it is a real priority which is why we have worked so hard and accelerated to offer slots to kids. And that said, I think we have more work to do on our side to collect this data

2.2

2.3

and to give you sort of a full response on what our approach will be. We do know which students have IEP's. We know that and then we work with the program to make sure that they are able to meet the services that are mandated on the IEP. What we don't have right here and now is the number of students with an IEP in which cases, the mandated services require a para.

So, that's the breakdown that we are missing but I think what you are pointing out, the larger issue is, do we have a comprehensive approach to make sure that in every case, we are able to get through our Special Education office, the services that that child needs to sort of thrive in Learning Bridges. I think there we have more to go and I think we just need to keep you posted in the next couple of weeks about how we mend that.

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, yeah, and to agonize that many, many young people who apply will be successfully served in the mainstream Learning Labs programs and we do say, if you need additional support, here is where to reach out and that's where we are getting that individualized attention that Tracy has been working on.

_

_

So, Josh when you say you know how many kids have IEP's, that's the 9,000 number?

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, that's confusing.

JOSH WALLACK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And what you don't know is how many people applied. You know that 9,000 have been served.

JOSH WALLACK: Right, that's in the larger, that 9,000 is part of the larger number that we led with. So, most of them are being served, yes.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Well, you don't know how many applied.

JOSH WALLACK: We know that most of the children that are in priority categories that applied are being served. So, they are part of that larger group.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, it would be helpful to know that number and to think hard about that number and then I guess my question would be, I think you said Tracy might know this answer. How many parents then because it would be on the parent proactively reach out and ask for additional support. What's the number of people who have reached out?

2.2

2.3

support? And I mentioned that it is proactive

because that means a parent has to assume, has to

know that those supports are not being given, even

though they were given at the REC center.

So, you know that exists. You are going to get back to me with those numbers?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay and shout, I forgot.

Do you know how many requests for paraprofessionals

or other sports have been granted?

SUSAN HASKELL: No but I think you know, you are directing us to an area where we are like to Josh's point, we are putting more attention as we have you know, gotten some traction with this program.

Learned a little bit more about what the special needs are of our applicants and we will get back to you with more information.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And actually, Josh in your answer or both of you, your answers have seemed to focus on Learning Bridges for the youngest children. Do you answers apply both to Bridges and Labs?

JOSH WALLACK: Yes, absolutely. We have responded to needs in both programs and are working

2.2

2.3

to focus even more on that. And I will add, I think this is another case where we want to work on the overall approach and also, we would like to partner with you because really, each parent that we have heard from we have responded to and supported. And we want to have a systemic approach so it is more automatic but also, if you are aware of particular cases where folks are having challenges, we want to respond as quickly as possible.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Josh, that's quite an assertion and I am not going to dwell on it.

JOSH WALLACK: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I am just going to go on but everyone who has reached out has been accommodated but I asked a minute ago, how many have reached out, how many have been accommodated. So, I don't — I hear you, most who have reached out have gotten accommodated. I just, we need to be careful about assertions.

JOSH WALLACK: Understood. We will show our work. We need to come back to you, I get it.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I appreciate that and specifically in the application process, does the

2.2

2.3

city, is there a place for families to request the
additional supports?

SUSAN HASKELL: There is a contact for outreach to walk through that process.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: But there is nothing in the initial application that says, I am sorry, I think I already asked this. But there is nothing in the — I understand there is a phone number if someone can be proactive but is there a box, I am sorry, I think I already asked that question.

JOSH WALLACK: No, no, there is not a box on the application but the reason for that is that when we get an application, since these children are all enrolled in New York City public schools, we match their application to data that we already have about the student and so, we know whether they have an IEP. And so, we don't have to ask the family to reinput data that they have already given us. We are trying to do less and less of that.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: For sure.

JOSH WALLACK: So, we just capture enough information to know which student we are dealing with and then we try to do the best we can with the information we have and then there is an additional

)

email address if families want to reach out with us with more information or have issues or questions.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I mean the only flaw in that approach and I respect that. I mean, that says volumes about your data system, that's great but for it doesn't answer the question really for the Learning Bridges because I would imagine many of those kids are not enrolled in a school yet.

Maybe, right?

JOSH WALLACK: Oh, in preschool programs, they would be. As soon as they are in - if they are enrolled in 3K or PreK, and that's -

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I'm just saying there are those who are not enrolled in 3K and PreK. That's all.

JOSH WALLACK: Got it.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And that might be the way to ask the question. If you are not currently enrolled in a school, please check here. If you will need additional supports for you child.

JOSH WALLACK: Yeah, I think that's important and I think we both have the same goal here and forgive me for just clarifying but I do want to make sure as folks are watching that they understand clearly only

2.2

2.3

children who are already enrolled in a Department of
Education School or program in blended learning are
eligible for Learning Bridges.

So, in order for a preschool age child to apply, they have to be in 3K or PreK and if they are, then they are in our data systems and we know whether they have a need, an IEP.

So, just so we are clear, at this point, if folks are not enrolled in a DOE school or program, they are not eligible for a Learning Bridges spot and that's just important to clarify for those who may be watching.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. I really appreciate that clarification. In other words you could be income eligible but not enrolled in DOE. Perhaps enrolled in a religious school and you are not eligible. How about Charter kids?

JOSH WALLACK: They are not eligible at this time.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Very helpful, thank you.

Okay, quick, I am going to ask you just — I am going to go back to funding. Do the Learning Labs and Bridges serve families in homeless shelters or

2.2

2.3

homeless hotels and how do those families find out about the program?

SUSAN HASKELL: We have been again working closely with our partners at DOE including the students in temporary housing, Office for Students in Temporary Housing. They have done direct and specific outreach to DOE enrolled families participating in blended learning to let them know about this option. In some cases, we have you know, generated letters, matching them to sites. You know, even before an expression of interest or at least alerting them to the ability to enroll in a site. So, we have been very targeted toward our homeless students in terms of making families aware that this opportunity exists and making matches to programs.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, and the family would have to have a computer in order to do this obviously, right to apply?

SUSAN HASKELL: That's right, the application is online, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: They would have to have internet wherever they are.

JOSH WALLACK: Families can also use 311. So, if they don't have access to internet but have access to

2.2

2.3

a phone, they can call 311 and apply that way and we have also been sending offers you know, directly to sites. You know, so that families have offers ready

5 to go again, without internet.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I am glad you are again, saying this for the public. So, it is really helpful to know. Do you have a total budget? Is there a number in the city's budget for Learning Labs and for Learning Bridges? But let's start with Learning Labs. Does DYCD have a line in the budget that says, amount for Learning Labs?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, I am going to throw this to my physical team if we could unmute Jagdeen Phanor and Nevita Bailey and just, while they are doing that, I will note it is a rolling initiative. In other words, we continue to add sites and I see they are unmuted now, so I will let them take it.

JAGDEEN PHANOR: Thank you Susan. Look, we know that we have a rate and we have a targeted number of kids that we want to serve and it could change based on COVID and school enrollment. However, we are still working with the state on funding eligibility and don't have a breakdown of the exact amount of funding type yet. What I can say to you is through

2.2

2.3

the contract negotiations that we have done thus far, we have approximately or have obligated approximately \$133 million towards the Learning Labs Initiative and again, I want to reiterate what Susan is saying that it is a rolling obviously based on need and as we work through the details on the backend, we are going to be committed to reimbursing.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I understand. So, funding for this program, how much is city funded? How much is sate funded?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: That's so, I am going to reiterate again, that's what we are working behind the scenes on making sure we still are in conversations with the state and we don't have that funding type detail yet. What we do know is based on what we have negotiated thus far, we are at about \$133 million. When we get the details behind how it is going to be funded, whether it is city funding, whether it is state, we will give you more clarity but we are still working behind to the scenes to flesh out those details.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, in the November plan, when I look at the DYCD budget, hypothetically, no,

there would be a line that says \$133 million in

expenses?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, you won't see expenses because they are not all incurred yet. Look, we are working very closely -

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Actual versus budget. I am just saying in the November plan, which is budget, are you going to have a budgeted amount in there?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: Sorry to interrupt you Chair.

It is our intention to work very closely with OMB and our other partners to make sure that we can solidify the funding. Again, what we are trying to do behind the scenes is work with the state on what funding is going to be available from their end and looking at several different pots to see where this funding is going to materialize. What I can say is, that it is not going to impact the way that we reimburse our providers. We will not leave them in a ditch. We are going to figure it out but I don't have the level of detail that you are looking for, which we normally have and we are going to get you that information as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, no, actually I understand that. If you can't show where the

2.2

2.3

revenues are coming from, you hold off on showing it in the budget as an expense on quite a few programs.

And so, you wait until you get the revenue in and then you show both.

I understand that but it does mean that at some point there will be city funds needed to cover costs obviously and hopefully, well, you know, stop holding back. It is what \$2 billion and step up to help fund this program.

JAGDEEN PHANOR: From your mouth to $\operatorname{God}'s$ ears. We hope the same.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I hoping they are hearing me when I am saying that.

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, am I.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay, hang on one second, I have a constituent at the door. So, this timing is good. Council Member Rose, Chair Rose, I am going to push it back to you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you so much Chair

Rosenthal. I was just wondering to piggyback on

Chair Rosenthal's. Does DOE provide any funding in

DYCD's budget for Learning Labs and if so, how much?

And what funding category is it coming from?

2	

SUSAN HASKELL: Jagdeen, I will turn to you for that.

3 4

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, were you asking specifically for DOE because you mentioned -

6

5

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Yes, yes.

7

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, I think that's Josh because I can't speak to DOE's budget.

8

SUSAN HASKELL: I don't think we have funds from DOE in the DYCD budget right now.

10

11

12

13

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, I thought you were asking for the funding in terms of Learning Bridge and where we are in terms of obligation, so excuse me for that. No, nothing is coming from DOE into DYCD's budget

14 15

currently, no.

16

17

funding stream? Is that one of the streams that we

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, is that a possible

18

are looking at in terms of the \$132 million or more?

19

20

JAGDEEN PHANOR: Currently, no, it is more of a revenue situation that we are looking at. Definitely

21

not from DOE.

22

SUSAN HASKELL: Gosh, you got some money you want

23

to throw at us.

JOSH WALLACK: I was going to say, we are all in the same boat here. We all are looking hard at ways to fund the initiative.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, I just want to ask one question before I give the floor to my colleagues. You know, when we were talking about the Learning Lab sites, have there been any issues around food supply and to the Learning Lab sites and specifically, I have been approached about in regard to access to nutritious Kosher foods. And has DYCD explored ways that maybe the Learning Lab providers can use their own Kosher caterers and be reimbursed for providing the meals, which would eliminate travel requirements as well as ensure that these meals are high quality.

CHRIS TRICARICO: I can answer that question.

SUSAN HASKELL: Thank you Chris.

CHRIS TRICARICO: So, we work very closely with DYCD as well as the other Learning Bridges program to know the number of students at each facility. We are providing meals for all of those sites, including the sites at Learning Labs through DYCD. We are in constant contact with the team at DYCD, in fact we just had conference call a couple of hours ago about meals that are being provided there. We are also

2.2

2.3

providing Kosher meals at several sites. DYCD Learning Lab sites. The meals that were requested went through the facility itself, we never got any student or parent Kosher request but we are still providing around 450 to 500 Kosher meals a day at sites. There have been no supply chain issue.

When there ever is an issue regarding the specific meal we address it right away. We are in constant contact like I said. We are always open to hearing feedback and addressing anything that may come up. As far as sites using their own caterer's, we are providing the meals as a service. If a site wanted to go out and procure and pay for their own meals through a different service, they could definitely do that. We are just providing this option, obviously any DOE student that attends Learning Bridges or Learning Lab program, we are getting reimbursed for those meals.

So, I think that is one of the advantages of the Learning Bridges Labs using our service.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, if they did go out and procure their own meals they would not be, there would be no reimbursement for them.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHRIS TRICARICO: That they could apply to be their own SFA, their own School Food Authority if they would like to but that's a long process for them to be able to do that. When we are providing meals, we are covered under our own SFA. New York City has the largest school food supplier in the country. Obviously, we are getting reimbursed by the federal government.

So, the dots kind of line up. The T's cross when we are doing it, so we are getting reimbursed for every meal we serve, breakfast and lunch to a New York City student whether they are in school person learning, whether they are providing takeout meals or whether they are in the Learning Bridges or Lab program. We will also provide meals obviously at the REC programs and we talked about that a little while ago. And just to bring up one of the questions, I don't think it was asked specifically, if the schools do close again and go full remote, my folks are essential. My folks work, we will continue to provide meals exactly the way we are providing meals today. We haven't had a day off since March. only other day we had off was Labor Day. We will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

2324

25

continue to provide meals even over the holidays as well.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, and you might have said this but what is the redress that they have if there are issues with the quality of the meals?

CHRIS TRICARICO: Every single site, Learning Labs or Learning Bridges has a contact for one of my managers at the closest site where food is being picked up. They are to go directly to that person. I get involved 99 percent of the time to make sure the issue is resolved immediately. Whether it is a manufacturer issue or a food service line issue. So, they know exactly who to contact and I am on about 90 percent of those emails when they come in and we make sure they are addressed immediately. We don't want any issues happening but serving the amount of meals that we do on a normal day, which is a million. During this time it is a little bit less than 500,000. We know there may be an issue here or there, it is not acceptable but we will address it as soon as we possibly can.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, I just want to ask

Commissioner Haskell, you know, with the — in the

attempt to increase the number of sites that we have,

2.2

2.3

a number of people, a number of CBO's have applied to be Learning Lab sites. And there might have been a reason why they were denied. If they have taken measures to mitigate whatever those circumstances were, is there an appeal process for them to sort of reapply and be considered?

SUSAN HASKELL: Thank you for that question, I am going to throw that to my colleague Darryl Rattray who can talk a little bit in general about the process for application and that should answer your question.

DARRYL RATTRAY: Good morning again Chair Rose.

So, I would answer this, I guess the fuller answer and I will get to the point where a provider may have been denied and whether or not there is an appeal process.

So, of course, any providers that are submitting to operate a Learning Lab that is not an existing DYCD provider is going through the RFI.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Right.

DARRYL RATTRAY: So, the first step there is we get them through the RFI, our procurement department does a quick responsibility and termination. They are checking to see that there is no adverse

2.2

2.3

information on this particular provider. At that point, it becomes alphabet soup of city government. I am sending it to DDC, FDNY, DOD, DCAS, EDC. We are looking at that site, we are doing site inspections. Someone is on the ground doing a walk through making sure that that site is safe for childcare. At the point that we deem the site safe, it has a proper fire alarm systems etc., they go to what I am calling in what we are now coining as the final DYCD interview.

Now, of course, as you all know, ordinarily an initiative like this we would have done a comprehensive RFP process. Because this is an RFI, folks are expressing interest quickly through the RFI. So, during this final interview if you will, it is a panel of DYCD staff. We are discussing the experience of the organization; the plans they have for the Learning Lab and the readiness they have to get the lab up and running. If they pass the interview, we move them forward to a Learning Lab contract. If they don't pass, they got a declamation letter from our procurement department. If there is anything that they cleared up or if they feel that they may be ready to attempt again if you will, they

2.2

2.3

can send us a letter. I don't know the timeline on that process when we are going to entertain going back to a set that got declined but they should certainly email us a letter indicating the details and that they are requesting another opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, thank you. I will now turn back to our moderator to call upon my colleagues for questions of this panel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Chair Rose. We do not have any other Council Member questions at this time. It appears that if there are Council Members or anyone that has a question, please use the raise hand function in Zoom. We don't have any other questions.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, alright. So, before we move on -

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Oh, sorry, apologies Chair Rose. Chair Rosenthal is waving, I think she might have a question.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Sorry, just a couple of follow ups and Chair Rose, you really have nailed all of it. A quick follow up question and I am back to the budget for just one second. Are there any

2.2

2.3

providers who have had their contracts modified and signed off on?

SUSAN HASKELL: We will need to unmute Jagdeen and Nevita to respond to that and I suspect they will want to hear a little more detail about what you mean by signed off and modified. Yes, many of our contracts are getting amendments; that's the existing provider group not the RFI and there are so many layers of sign off.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: What I mean is to the point where the Comptroller can release the money if invoice and has anyone started invoicing?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: So, I am going to pivot to

Nevita because she is on the that operational team.

Nevita, do you want to get that?

NEVITA BAILEY: Sure, so as of right now, we do not have any contracts or actions associated learning labs that have been registered. So, as of right now, they are in the process and we have almost three dozen that are in queue, rather they be at MOCS or the Comptroller's Office for Reimbursement. So, that's in process, so as of right now, nothing is registered reflecting the Learning Lab Initiative.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. So, if I remember correctly there were 100 contracts and of course from multiple sites.

NEVITA BAILEY: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: That you are modifying or 117, I forget but your point being that some of those are already at the Comptroller's office.

NEVITA BAILEY: I am just going to expand on that. So, what Jagdeen Phanor was referring to early is that a number of contracts associated with the Learning Lab Initiative, about 7 percent or more, are actually amendments to existing contracts. So, that means if a provider has an existing registered contract that's base, they are able to submit an invoice and be reimbursed for it.

So, there should be no issues for the large part for most of our organizations to get reimbursed for delivering services.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Wow, that's a great interesting answer. So, how many contracts are in that stage?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: 171 have existing contracts.

So, 117 have existing contracts. That's where Nevita

was able to say approximately 70 percent of our total contracts have access to some cash flow.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Sorry, out of 117 out of how many?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: 171 contracts.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Got it and for those 117, how many can right today submit an invoice?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: They all can, they all can.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Full stop.

JAGDEEN PHANOR: Full stop.

NEVITA BAILEY: Full stop. All these programs as a reminder many of these programs have existing afterschool contracts. They are currently operational; they can submit an invoice and be reimbursed for those. We followed up with our leadership and our payments division and she has indicated that she has not received any inquiries or complaints from our provider community indicating that there is any issues regarding cash flow or request for funds.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And so, have any presented — how many have presented invoices? How many of the contracts?

2.2

2.3

NEVITA BAILEY: We get contracts on a regular basis. Last year DYCD did over 28,000 invoices. I mean —

SUSAN HASKELL: Chair, it would be hard because we are allowing them to expense off their base contract. It would be hard to know if this was a Learning Lab until that all gets rectified.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I get it. Thank you so much and so when you talked about there are number that are in the Comptroller's Office, that would be of the one's, I am going to do my math, 120/50, there are roughly 50 that are new contracts, right?

JAGDEEN PHANOR: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay.

NEVITA BAILEY: There are roughly 50 new providers that have been introduced to the DYCD portfolio that will have to get registered.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And you — so you said some of them are already in the Comptroller's office waiting for that final registration or?

NEVITA BAILEY: Of the 40, I don't want to say that necessarily they are new CBO's but there are a

2.2

2.3

number of actions that are currently have moved along
in the process and are closer to registration.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Have any of those been able to submit invoices?

NEVITA BAILEY: Are you asking regarding the new providers? As of right now, there has been no new providers that have been registered. So, as of right now, they are unable to submit an invoice and be reimbursed.

JAGDEEN PHANOR: I want to be able to reiterate that our ACO has been working very closely with them and MOCS to try to get the loan going. So, we are doing everything possible to try to make sure that that subset of our contractors are trying to get the assistance that's needed.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Great, great, great, great, great. Yeah, so you are setting them up, so that as soon as they are registered, they can get a loan from the returnable grant fund.

NEVITA BAILEY: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay and you are confirmed that there is enough money in the returnable grant fund?

2.2

2.3

NEVITA BAILEY: I can't speak to that. The MOCS would have to speak to that but what I am confirming is that we are doing our due diligence in directing providers who don't have access to cash flow to the loan fund and we are working very closely with them and it is our hope that many of them will get through.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I only ask because again, if this is a hurtle in some ways for new providers, it is just interesting to think about as we try to expand it to so many more.

NEVITA BAILEY: Understood. It is on MOCS radar and again, I can't — I think Susan has said tremendously throughout this hearing but we have been working very well with many of our partners and many of the different agencies and whether it is MOCS, DOE, everyone has stepped up to the plate and understand the severity of you know, this initiative, so.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: That's so, so helpful.

Last question, just about health and safety. Deputy

Chancellor Wallack mentioned that a just very few

number of the sites have incurred anyone with COVID.

Do you have roughly a percentage or some sort of data

around how many sites have experienced a case with

COVID?

SUSAN HASKELL: I don't want to give you

information and have to walk it back but I will say very anecdotally and I do - I am looking at that regularly. We hadn't as of Friday had any Learning Lab site closures at all. For the K to 8, actually that was true for the Early Childhood as well.

We definitely had some reports of either a symptomatic child or a COVID tested positive child or staff person. In those cases, the person would be staying home to quarantine and if they had close contact with another person in the program, those people would also get a letter to say — I am sorry, if the case was confirmed also to quarantine.

So, there has definitely been a little bit of reporting going on that we are staying on top of, working closely with our partners, test and trace, Department of Health, telling us exactly you know, when was the contagious period and here is the specific action steps to take with providers.

So, it has been, I think it has been relatively low but yeah, certainly there have been cases.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much.

That's it for me Chair Rose. Thank you so much to the Administration. I really want to express you know, gratitude to you all. I know how hard you are working on behalf of our city's kids, so you know, thank you for that.

SUSAN HASKELL: Thank you. Chair Rose, you need to be unmuted.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. Thank you Chair
Rosenthal for your thoughtful and insightful
questions. I just have a few more and then we will
let you go. I know it has been a long day. I was
just wondering in terms of safety, are the protocols,
have the protocols for cleaning and disinfecting for
the childcare providers and how were they made aware
of what those protocols are and are they expected to
cover the extra costs for regular deep cleaning and
disinfecting? And how are you monitoring and
enforcing these protocols?

SUSAN HASKELL: Okay, I am going to turn to my colleague Wanda Ascherl to talk a little bit about the monitoring and Josh may want to weigh in as well.

JOSH WALLACK: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

SUSAN HASKELL: I will say that the contract for
Learning Labs includes the costs for OTPS which would
include your cleaning and disinfecting and your PPE
and going back to the summer, Department of Health
has been very clear these are the guidelines for
cleaning and disinfecting. It is not rocket science
but it is essential that programs adhere to this.
So, we are reiterating it regularly. It is part of
our core guide for providers, hand hygiene,
respiration hygiene, keeping your masks on, cleaning
first and then disinfecting. All the commonly used
areas in the program. That's you know, becoming part
of the day to day protocol that they are used to and
we keep offering them supports about how to do that.

We also have some monitoring efforts in place.

Wanda, do you want to talk a little bit about that

and maybe DOE wants to share some of their efforts as

well.

WANDA ASCHERL: Sure. Good afternoon Chair Rose and Chair Rosenthal. As Susan mentioned, in partnership with the division of Early Childhood Education, the Office of Health will be providing in addition to our messaging to our providers, they are providing nursing support during over the course of

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

this year. And the support includes call-ins, inperson support to ensure that all programs have
appropriate process and practices in place and to
respond to program specific needs. They will also be
available to guide program with their health and
safety practices. Any questions that they may have
about COVID-19 symptoms and also the set up of the
isolation rooms and the use of PPE's.

And then these nurses are going to be visiting programs over at least once every four to six weeks and in addition to these support services from these experts, DYCD to what Susan was mentioning is also conducting in-person visits over the next couple of weeks and we are going to be utilizing our own evaluation tool that is designed to provide additional support, especially as they are navigating contracts and attendance tracking. And it is also designed to provide coaching and to observe program design and all around safety practices, overall quality of services and then there are three main areas that we are looking at, administration. So, anything around paperwork, attendance tracking, program practices, you know what do they have in

2.2

2.3

place to ensure safety. What is their program design
and then just overall, service quality.

And then lastly, we anticipate over the next couple of days to have a series of both internal and external communication on the evaluation tools, so that everyone kind of knows what to expect, is prepared and we can answer any of their questions as we conduct our visits in person over the next couple of weeks.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Is there a testing component?

Are tests available at these sites for the students that are participating and possibly their family members?

WANDA ASCHERL: Can you clarify? What do you mean by testing?

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Testing of COVID, you know, testing and tracing. Is there any component that's available?

SUSAN HASKELL: I am sorry Chair Rose.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I am sorry.

SUSAN HASKELL: I am sorry to interrupt you. I was going to say, all the community based organizations providing Learning Labs programs were given priority access through city run testing sites

2.2

2.3

for expedited results and that was before programs began but it continues now. So, all the provider staff are informed. Providers are making sure that families know either when somebody is symptomatic or just as preventative measures. Here are the places you go; it is in our guide. Here is where you can access free testing. It is not part of the onsite programming for the most part, there maybe some exceptions where providers are doing that onsite but it is available to all the programs.

WANDA ASCHERL: Yes and to add to that, some of our, to what Susan was just saying, some of our providers have partnered with like local clinics and they have created like a community free testing day. So, you know, they are leveraging their resources and their partners within their community.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And are we doing anything to support the mental health needs of the children and their families in the Learning Lab programs?

SUSAN HASKELL: Yeah, I want to say like I think and I know DOE will have something to say about this to because I know for both agencies, like the past few years, there has been a tremendous focus on social, emotional learning and providing trauma

2.2

2.3

informed support for families and we are aware, obviously this is an incredibly stressful time for everybody especially for young people, some of them are really grieving but in any case, they have lost a lot of the social networks that are part of basic human development as child development, youth development and so, I a feel like it is positive that we had laid the ground work through mental health first aid trainings, through trauma informed practices, series of capacity building trainings that we have offered to staff in programs.

On top of that, we have got all the resources of Thrive, texting and calling NYC Well for your specific questions. Their website has great resources about how to talk to young people about what is going on with an emphasis on safety. How to engage young people and identify signs where somebody is really you know; we are all struggling but struggling in a way that might need some extra support.

So, I feel we had a great foundation before the pandemic and now we have a lot of concrete resources that we are sharing. I know DOE has similar supports for providers.

2.2

2.3

JOSH WALLACK: That's been a big focus for us in the school system over the last couple of years and then as we entered this fall, through out division of school climate and wellness, we made sure that all the staff in our school buildings and all of the team in the division of early childhood got some additional support, so that we could be equipped to provide trauma informed care and mental health support in the context of what we do with families every day, just understanding. Try to be sympathetic to and attentive to the experiences that they have undergone during this pandemic and provide appropriate support to them.

We are also thinking hard about how our nurses can supplement that as well as we begin to integrate them more and more into the program. So, it's a big focus of ours as well.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, when the nurses visit, do
they actually get the opportunity to sit and speak
with the young people to make some type of assessment
of what maybe the mental health needs might be? You
are saying that there is an in service kind of
training for our providers to maybe recognize trauma

induced behaviors or something that our young people might be experiencing.

You know, I just want to know if it is a part of the sort of a regular part of the programming and what we look for in terms of needs for the young people who are in learning labs. Is that a component.

JOSH WALLACK: Yeah, I will just say on the early childhood side, I will just start. It is and it really has been part of the foundation of 3K and PreK work from the beginning and we have a team of social workers that's deployed to sites to help build that capacity in our community based partners and I think we just accelerated and built on that as we entered the pandemic. And just made sure all of our teams were trained on the fundamentals of trauma informed care, so that we could respond appropriately.

So, that's what we have done sort of on the preschool site. I think the school age side, again, just sticking with Department of Education, I think all the students in Learning Bridges are also attending DOE schools where those teams were also trained in trauma informed care as we approach this school year. And so, those kids are getting support

2.2

2.3

from their school based teams in addition to the work that we are doing with providers Learning Bridges.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, well, I want to thank
you. I really would like to thank you all from the
Administration for what you are doing. I know that
these are very challenging times. They are
challenging for you as Administrators but they are
also very challenging for our families who have to
try to ensure that their children are getting the
best quality education that they can while being able
to take care of their families because they are
essential workers and they have to support these
families economically.

And so, I want to thank you for you know, working as hard as you are. As diligently and as expeditiously as you are to fill these slots. I still have a concern about those who have not gotten placement. You know, I don't want this to become an issue of educational neglect where our children are not getting what they are rightfully entitled to.

So, I am willing to do all that we can to help you get all of the sites that you need to accommodate the total needs and that we really have to do a better job of addressing the needs of our young people who

2.2

2.3

have IEP's. Who need you know, more supportive services in order for us to meet the mandates of their IEP's. And so, with that, again, I say that whatever we can do, I can do to help expedite these situations, please let me know and I thank you. I know that you are all working as hard as you can to mitigate any of the obstacles that we have. And so, I would like to thank you. I would just like to thank you.

So, I will now turn the Committee back to our Moderator to call on members of the public to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL And before we excuse the Administration, apologies, I think Chair Rosenthal also had another question.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Oh, I am sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: It is like the hearing that — it is like Groundhog Day. It just never ends but I just want to triple check with you that if our schools close, you will remain open and that any child who is currently enrolled in a DOE school, will have access to — and who maybe is already signed up with right, will continue with their Learning Lab and Learning Bridges program. How about kids, okay, so

2.2

2.3

for kids who are not enrolled currently and if schools were to close, they will not — I just want to say it out loud, they will not have access to a Learning Lab or Learning Bridge program but you will continue to make sites available up on your website. What I am thinking about is let's say there is a kid who did not opt for blended learning but opted for onsite. Oh, can you do that? Well, if there was a school, maybe a D75 school. Maybe the question is will D75 schools remain open as Learning Lab if the rest of the schools close down?

SUSAN HASKELL: Well, let me start with this,
yes, Learning Bridges programs will remain open even
if schools are shut down. Yes, all currently
enrolled students who are DOE students enrolled in
blended learning will continue to be served at
Learning Bridges programs.

Yes, we will continue to open new sites and add eligible applicant families to those sites to the extent that they still have capacity even after offering possibly additional days to the currently enrolled students. And yes, we want to continue to accept applications from people, sticking with the

eligibility of DOE enrolled students who had opted

_

O 1

into blended learning.
CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, incredibly helpful

but can you answer the question about the D75 schools?

SUSAN HASKELL: I don't know that. I don't know if Josh has that information.

JOSH WALLACK: Your question is would they remain open if the citywide positivity went above 3 percent. I want to triple check, my understanding is that the entire system would close. So, that's my understanding, so we would be in a situation where those kids, if they weren't already enrolled in Learning Bridges, you know, we would have to talk with those families. But let me triple check that and I will get back to you but that's my understanding.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And you know, there is no good answer in that situation, right. I mean, this is a tough thing, no one has gone through it. Do we want the D75 schools to stay open? There are reasons to do that. Do we want them to close? There are reasons to do that but I guess, my question is, would DOE just if you could confirm for me that you will

make real consideration about D75 schools sort of

separately independent from the rest of the school

JOSH WALLACK: Say that again, I am sorry, I just

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Just that, sorry, there

2

3

4

system.

missed part of that.

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

were other people here. Just that you will take into consideration the unique needs of D75 kids and

parents as you think of closing the schools system.

JOSH WALLACK: Oh, absolutely, absolutely, no question about it.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Great thank you and for sites that are not schools, are they identified if anything should happen on their site. In other words, do they automatically through the contract carry the city's identification should something happen?

I am going to get back to you SUSAN HASKELL: about that. About the specific detail in the contract. So, their legal department, we can get back to you. I am not sure about that. I don't know about DOE.

JOSH WALLACK: Same, I have to check.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay, so, what I am hearing from — that question comes from a provider, so they are concerned that if the schools close and their site remains open, that the city has not made it so they are identified.

So, particularly, should the schools close, I hope you can resolve that situation and not leave the providers out on a limb. Okay, thank you very much.

JOSH WALLACK: Thank you.

SUSAN HASKELL: Got it and we, you know,

Commissioner Chong, DYCD, my colleagues here, we

really want to thank you guys for your support as

well. This has definitely been like a full team

effort and I know in particular Chair Rose you know,

pushing us, asking questions, letting us know where

there are concerns across the community along the way

and if I may the silver lining of this dark, dark

period has been getting to know some of my fabulous

colleagues that we haven't connected with before and

just seeing that the DOE team, the DYCD team and our

other agency partners doubling down, tripling down,

working so hard to help meet the needs of families.

No one complaining, even though I am sure we are all

exhausted and struggling with the some of the same

2.2

2.3

issues you have highlighted here today. And I just want to take the opportunity to say you know, on the record, how much I appreciate working with my colleagues and how impressed I am and we are grateful to be in these jobs and have the opportunity to serve the city and that is one silver lining in this experience.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: That is really great that you said that. Terrific, we are only going to have one more panel that is going to have some parents and some providers on it. I would hope the city could find at least one person to stay on this Zoom, just to make sure you know, we know you are hearing their concerns. That would be really great. Thank you.

SUSAN HASKELL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. Thank you again
Chair Rosenthal and yes, if Admin could stay, we
really would like you to stay. It is only three
panelists and they only have three minutes each. So,
please stay.

Okay, so now I am going to turn it back to the Moderator so that she can call on the members of the public to testify.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Chair Rose and Chair Rosenthal. Chair Rose, we now have four members of the next panel that are logged in. One more person joined us, so we will have one panel today with four individuals. We will name all those individuals but first, I just want to go over some housekeeping items and some reminders.

For public testimony, I will call up individuals in panels, so the one panel. Council Members who have questions for a particular panelist, please use the raise hand function in Zoom. You will be called on after everyone in the panel has completed their testimony.

For public panelists, once I call your name, a member of our staff will unmute you and a Sergeant at Arms will give you the go ahead to begin speaking after they set the timer and there is a slight delay in unmuting you and you will get a box to accept the unmute, so please click the unmute button when you see that.

All public testimony will be limited to a three minute clock. After I call your name, please wait a brief moment for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin before you start your testimony.

2.2

2.3

so, the panelists today, there will be one panel and I will list your names in order and then call the first panelist. It will Faith Behum and apologies for any pronunciation errors again. Gregory Brender from United Neighborhood Houses, David Gaskin from Seamen's Society for Children and Families and finally Felicia Soodeen.

So, we will start with panelist one, Faith Behum, you may begin your testimony once the Sergeant calls the clock.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin now.

FAITH BEHUM: Thank you Chairpersons Rose and Rosenthal for the opportunity to present testimony at this hearing today. My name is Faith Behum and I am an Advocacy and Policy Advisor at UJA Federation of New York.

Ten of UJA's nonprofit partners oversee Learning
Labs, providing services and supports to children and
youth in all five New York City boroughs. I am going
to outline a sampling of the issues UJA's nonprofit
partners have experienced overseeing the Learning
Labs and will submit my entire testimony.

First, there have been a number of issues with Kosher food and Learning Labs. Providers must invest

2.2

2.3

a significant amount of time traveling to and from
the DOE Grab and Go sites that supply Kosher food.

UJA agencies have reported experiencing issues with
the Grab and Go program. Including with the meal
quality, many report a lack of variety and
unappealing cold meals. Food being inappropriate to
meet the nutritional needs of the children and youth.

For example, meals consisting solely of carrots and
humas, and an insufficient number of meals available
for participants in their programs.

All of these issues could be resolved if our providers are compensated to provide these meals within their own agencies using their own Kosher carriers.

Second, UJA agencies set a Learning Lab

Initiative as an opportunity to support children and youth, including those with disabilities as they navigate remote learning and provide a safe place for families to leave their children as they return to work. When Learning Labs are first announced, students with disabilities are one of the groups indicated as being prioritized the benefit from the program. Unfortunately UJA's network of nonprofit

2.2

partners have struggled to serve individuals with disabilities through the Learning Lab programs.

DYCD did mention when they were testifying earlier today that families would be given more of a say in which learning labs their child can attend and this will positively impact children and youth with disabilities. Allowing them to choose learning lab placement and organizations that are closer to their homes and in programs they are familiar with.

What stills remains a problem is that programs that do have individuals with disabilities in their learning labs are finding it incredibly difficult to support these participants appropriately. Many of these individuals require one on one supports when they attend school and also require this when they are engaging in remote learning. Learning Lab contracts offer no additional financial assistance for programs to provide these supports.

UJA urges DYCD to increase the per participant rate for learning lab providers who have students with disabilities enrolled in their programs. And quickly, some things I want to highlight. Guidance that is given to providers, especially when it is addressing school closures is often reactionary and

2.2

2.3

leaves many learning lab providers with more questions than answers. Comprehensive background checks being completed in a timely manner are impacting learning lab providers ability to staff their programs.

Providers have no say in how many schools they are matched with, causing these programs to be overwhelmed by the various school schedules they need to build Learning Lab services around and providers still have nothing in writing —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You time is up.

FAITH BEHUM: Sorry. Have nothing in writing from DYCD that will be compensated the full amount of their contract and make providers continue to wait to be compensated for learning lab services they have already overseen.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I would like to hear the rest of your comments. Can you wrap it up in a few seconds?

FAITH BEHUM: Oh, that was it. Yeah, I actually did, yeah. The last thing was the being compensated the full amount of their contract. We just want to

2.2

2.3

have something in writing from DYCD saying that that is going to happen.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. Our next witness will be Gregory Brender from United

Neighborhood Houses and just a reminder to everyone,
if Council Members could please use the raise hand
function if you have questions and that written
testimony will also be accepted up to 72 hours after
the hearing. Thank you. Mr. Brender?

GREGORY BRENDER: Thank you and thank you Chair Rose and Chair Rosenthal and -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

GREGORY BRENDER: Oh, I also see that several members of the Administration and DYCD and DOE have stayed on in response to Chair Rose's request. So, thank you for that.

I am Gregory Brender from United Neighborhood

Houses; we are a policy and social change

organization in New York City settlement houses. I

have submitted longer written testimony, but I want

to run through our recommendations around Learning

Labs and also just emphasize that Learning Labs are

one of the many ways in which CBO's have been called

on in even greater degree to respond to this crisis particularly for children and youth.

The first thing is, I think we need to recognize that in keeping learning labs open during any school shutdown, that that requires the staff of these community based organizations to risk their own health and safety to keep providing emergency childcare for New York City to continue to offer this service. These are staff who are generally paid lower than similar staff in public schools and in order to — they deserve to receive incentive pay because of the risks they are taking in order to provide an emergency childcare system for New York City's families.

The other key recommendations: We urge that there is clear communication with Learning Lab providers if New York City faces a systemwide school building shutdown. That we need to ensure that funding remains consistent. This was something that was addressed in the hearing but we need to keep the understanding that as an emergency system, this is not something you want to base on the number of children participating. You need to base it on that this is a system there for when parents desperately

J

2.2

need childcare because of their role as essential workers during the pandemic.

We want to have DYCD and DOE provide greater flexibility around scheduling, particularly because providers are receiving rosters that don't have information about when the children are in their hybrid school in-person days. We want to make sure that they have flexibility to maintain schedules and particularly to make sure that they have appropriate numbers of children in so that they do not go over any minimums or maximums for classroom sizes.

This is something that was discussed in the hearing but as noted many of the providers, our afterschool providers, they already have relationships with many of the families and in some cases you have the case where the kids are actually leaving the learning labs to go home to participate in remote afterschool. We want to find ways to make sure that there is a seamless transition so that you actually have something providing 8 a.m. to 6 p.m., the full day of care that youth development programs have been working with schools to provide for all these years as part of these development framework.

2.2

2.3

We want to ensure that there are additional resources available to staff learning labs if they need to expand and in particularly if there is a school shutdown and you are now serving the same number of children but for a larger number of days. You need to increase the number of staff and increase the amount of space available, so that they can keep children in separate classrooms and maintain the pod model.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time is up.

GREGORY BRENDER: Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Oh, go ahead.

GREGORY BRENDER: And then the other two, I just wanted to reiterate the backlog of comprehensive background checks is a major issue and it is particularly an issue for learning lab providers because the solution that came to this issue from the state was to allow supervised clearance. Is where an already cleared staff member would be supervising these staff members but because learning labs are sometimes opening these sites, they can't avail themselves of that because they don't necessarily have a cleared staff member in that site. So, it is really important, particularly if we are going to see

2.2

2.3

an expansion of this program, that we clear the backlog of comprehensive background checks. We make sure that these important checks which we fully support happening. They are checking for very important things around who we want to have access to children. That that system works smoothly and actually checks back to providers in a timely manner

and thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you.

GREGORY BRENDER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright and we will move to the next panelist, which will be David Gaskin from the Seamen's Society for Children and Families. Mr. Gaskin.

DAVID GASKIN: Thank you very much.

for the discussion today.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

DAVID GASKIN: Thank you very much. My name is
David Gaskin, I am the President and CEO of Seamen's
Society for Children and Families and before I make
my remarks, I just wanted to say this is a very
critical conversation. I wanted to thank Chair Rose,
Chair Rosenthal, Commissioner Haskell, Deputy
Chancellor Wallack and Associate Commissioner Rattray

2.2

2.3

I am here really to support the testimony of Nellie Suarez, our Director of our Family Day Care program, hopefully we can unmute her as well and Felicia Soodeen the Vice President of the programs.

Seamen's Society has been fortunate to serve New York City families since our founding on Staten Island in 1846. Since our beginning 174 years ago, Seamen's has been a place of comfort and resilience for vulnerable children and families, especially during times of uncertainty, including the last pandemic in 1918. We are well positioned as you will hear from Felicia and Nellie today to provide the learning lab services. We have actually been a day care provider for the past 40 years and we have been very successful at it.

During the pandemic, we have been the only
provider of emergency childcare services to Staten

Island families of first responders and we are very
proud of that. I think the biggest take away from
the discussion that Felicia and Nellie will share, in
terms of our experience with the Learning Labs

Initiative, is really that we are well positioned.

We have the capacity, the staff, the safe space. We
actually literally call it a learning lab and we have

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.3

24

25

the high quality level of experience and connection to the community on Staten Island to responsibly and

4 safely serve the children in the learning labs. We

also have wrap around services that can support the

6 children as well.

So, I just wanted to share my thoughts today. I appreciate the time for our testimonial and if you can unmute Nellie Suarez and Felicia Soodeen, they will continue our testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, so we are going to switch our order. Chair's if this is okay, so the order had been Faith, Gregory, Faith Behum, Gregory Brender, David Gaskin, Felicia Soodeen and now Nellie Suarez, excuse my pronunciation. So, we will now go to Felicia Soodeen and Nellie Suarez and then, yes, that is correct. The host will unmute you and when the Sergeant calls the clock, you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: The time will begin.

20 FELICIA SOODEEN: Hi, my name is Felicia Soodeen,

I am the Vice President of Seamen's Society -

22 CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Louder.

FELICIA SOODEEN: Sorry, my name is Felicia

Soodeen and I am the Vice President of Seamen's

Society for Children and Families. I oversee the

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

prevention program, which works on children who are

eminent rest for placement into foster care. I also

oversee the Domestic Violence program and the Family

Day Care program.

Today, I am here to provide testimony that we should be able to provide childcare services under the Learning Bridges program to children in the community. We have been serving Staten Island in childcare services for over 40 years. We worked during the entire pandemic providing early education services to the children in need. If there is anyone in this community who is ready and willing to be able to provide services, it is us.

Is everyone hearing the same background? One second.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Now, we can't hear you at all.

FELICIA SOODEEN: Sorry, I muted because it was echoing. So, what I am going to do is I am going to turn it over to Nellie because we will both be echoing because we are in the same area.

22 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

NELLIE SUAREZ: Good afternoon, I am Nellie Suarez and for the past 34 years I have worked as a Director of the Family Day Care Network and Seamen's

2.2

2.3

Society for Children and Families. I want to thank

Chair Rose and Chair Rosenthal for the opportunity to

testify at this hearing. As Felicia mentioned, we

have been providing childcare services to Staten

Island for the past 40 years. We served

approximately 200 children who were cared for by 21

licensed providers.

Our program followed a public curriculum to prepare children for kindergarten. Our family day care program in all its history has never been on corrective action or heightened monitoring. Seamen's score in the past court system has always met or exceeded our expectations.

I would like to move on now to the Learning Lab and provide a breakdown of the sequence of events that actually led us to this hearing. On July 21st, Seamen's applied for the Learning Bridges program to provide childcare services for children when school is out of session. On September 15th, we were informed by DYCD that 100 slot contract will be awarded to us. On September 16th, Felicia Soodeen, Vice President of the program and myself were part of a remote interview led by DYCD Director Paula Calby.

2.2

contract would be begin on October 5th. On October 7th, Seamen's was informed by DYCD that they were unable to award us 100 slots. To date, we have no details as to why this decision was made and I just want to point out that there is still an overwhelming need for childcare on Staten Island. Parents need to work. There are public schools particularly in the same area that have not yet been matched with a Learning Bridges program. Parents are frustrated and still waiting to be contacted about their child's enrollment in the Learning Bridges program.

I would like to close by stating that Seamen's Society for Children and Families was and still is prepared to meet that need. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Chair Rose.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. Thank you to all of the panelists. You know, I have been informed that DYCD holds weekly calls for providers and coalition members. Have the panelists communicated these concerns with DYCD and what was the outcome?

DAVID GASKIN: Go ahead Felicia. I was asked to unmute but we have communicated our concerns and go ahead Felicia.

2.2

2.3

FELICIA SOODEEN: I was going to say, yes, we have communicated our concerns to DYCD. We reached out to them advocating for the need for the community services. We asked for an additional opportunity, so that we can provide service given the need to the community and we have not heard a response yet.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay and were you at least told there would be some follow up? That there is an appeal process or anything? No, well, we addressed that on this hearing today and there will be some follow up at least to have a follow up conversation with you regarding this issue.

I was wondering if from Faith and Gregory, if you have had any conversations with DYCD either on their weekly calls or by any other source of communication about what your concerns are and has there been any follow up or what was their response to the concerns that were presented?

GREGORY BRENDER: We have raised these concerns with DYCD as well as with other agencies. I know that some of them go beyond DYCD and that there are particularly with for example, the background checks issues, we deal with the Health Department as well as also a lot of the decisions coming down both from

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DYCD and DOE particularly around allowing students

from outside the initial feeder schools into the

4 Learning Labs.

FAITH BEHUM: Yes and as far as our providers, specifically about the Kosher food issue. I know a number of them have talked to DYCD and DOE because there is a number of issues with getting spoiled and just not fresh food in the beginning and that has sense improved but as far as like the location of the Grab and Go sites, it is just a very time consuming process and the meals still, quite honestly aren't So, our providers have really been asking if they could be compensated for using their own Kosher caterers, their own in-house caterers that they actually use to feed the kids in their UPK programs. But so far, it is has been a hard no from DYCD and as far as the students with disabilities issue, a number of our providers, especially the ones who are really just want to serve kids who are experiencing and number of challenges. We have one program that have kids with autism, Down Syndrome and even physical disabilities and this program is like, we cannot properly serve these kids if we don't have an additional person helping them one on one.

COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY

And they have reached out to DYCD just really advocating for the increase of rates, so that they could actually hire more people to help those kids but so far, there has not been any response on that end, on DYCD's end.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay.

2.2

2.3

DAVID GASKIN: And if I could just add Chair Rose to my earlier comments. You know, it is hard to overstate that the Seamen's Society has a sterling record of service as it relates to childcare services on Staten Island. We have a sterling record and we know that there is increasing demand and certainly as the pandemic wears on, families are going to need support and as we saw today in the discussion, you know, there is a — demand is exceeding capacity right now by about 6,000 children.

So, with that being said, I think we have a very strong record of service upon which we are ready and willing and able to serve.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. Yes, I don't think that there is any question about the quality of the service that Seamen's Society has delivered for the residents of Staten Island and so, I again implore that you follow up and speak to them about the appeal

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

process to see. Especially since, where in need of
more seats, more slots. So, I will be following up
with DYCD also to find out whether or not you have at
least been given the opportunity to find out what
criteria it was based on and if there is some other
steps that could be taken.

I want to say to the other panelists that in terms of communication, I asked DYCD to have an official, you know, to stay on and follow up. So, I will follow up with DYCD to ensure that you know, your concerns have been heard and that there is a response to each of these concerns. And I just wanted to ask, in terms of enrollment and capacity, Gregory, I know you represent U&H which is a series of providers and I know UJA is on this panel. Do you feel that you have your ability to provide the capacity has been met or that you can accept more slots, more young people to your programming? We still have a need that hasn't been met. I am trying to determine capacity. Do you feel like you have capacity to enroll additional youngsters or is that not an issue for you?

GREGORY BRENDER: I think its and this is probably going to be frustrating answer. I think it

2.2

2.3

really differs neighborhood by neighborhood. Most of the folks we have been talking to there is some under enrollment as you have seen in the numbers citywide.

Whether there be enough capacity for sort of doubling of the system if it is moving towards five days a week during a situation with the school shutdown I think is a larger question, it would probably need a more significant investment to get there.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay and my last question is, do you feel that there has been enough transparency and the communication has been at a level that has been responsive to the needs of the providers?

GREGORY BRENDER: I think there is a lead for a lot better communication. I don't know if I will get in some trouble for saying this but sometime we are learning things from the Twitter feeds of education reporters because you know, yes, are you and including from Council Members.

So, there is definitely a need to increase communication particularly I think when it comes to decisions around school closure and the kind of changes you know, even when Learning Labs was first proposed, a lot of folks, when they learned about it because it was a Mayoral press conference and there

really should more direct communication with providers that really gets down to brass task of what is being asked.

And when the answers aren't there, there should more of an effort to bring providers to the table to help providers help the city shape what these programs will be.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you, thank you. Chair Rosenthal.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Rosenthal.

much and thank you to all who testified just now. I really appreciate your insights, that's how we can do anything is by learning from you. So, I really appreciate that. Just a couple of quick follow up questions to Council Member Rose's questions. I am wondering particularly for U&H and UJA, again, on the demand for seats compared to the number of seats, I am wondering if your providers keep wait lists?

GREGORY BRENDER: So, for Learning Labs, we don't have that option because we receive the roster, providers receive the rosters from the city. For most afterschool programs, the do keep wait lists and generally they do know the families because most

2.2

2.3

learning labs are being run by afterschool providers.

They do know the families who they have connections

with and who they have been serving in that way. But

5 the learning labs formerly, I don't think we can have

6 | a wait list.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, that makes sense because you wouldn't know hypothetically who apply. To your point about the wait list on after schools could be a great proxy for that. So, that would be interesting if you can find that out. I think that would be a great proxy to hear about and yeah, so thank you. Any other thoughts on that?

GREGORY BRENDER: One thing that we have heard you know is that providers are hearing from the families who they have connections with who they have been serving in their afterschool programs, particularly in the situation like I think Chair Rose mentioned where the feeder school of a learning lab was on the other side of Staten Island.

In situations like that where the connection between the CBO and the school has some geographic distance between it. A lot of times providers are actually hearing from the families in their immediate neighborhood who traditionally they have been serving

2.2

through afterschool or even if they are you know, grandma's in the senior center.

FAITH BEHUM: Especially like student with disabilities, just because a lot of the, you know, they get used to the programs that they have attended in the past and the staff and so, a lot of the people in our network have been saying that some of those kids have been — they have been matched to a Learning Lab that isn't at a UJA program where they are used to going for afterschool or whatever. And in some instances, there are some people who are matched to a different learning lab while they are also matched to an afterschool program at a UJA provider.

But because of where their learning lab is in location to where the UJA provider is, they can't get to either the afterschool program from the learning lab because of the lack of transportation. So, it's a missed opportunity because this is like, this individual child for instance could have had like 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. care but because they were matched in that different learning lab, that option is off the table for them.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And why do you think they were matched at that other location? Is it because

J

J

that provider was willing to take kids with special needs, despite not being paid for it?

FAITH BEHUM: I don't think so, I mean, a lot of it is just how the DOE determined which kids should go to which learning labs and so, there really just has not been a say either by the providers or from the individuals, the families themselves who are sending these kids to these programs.

So, I was heartened to hear that DYCD, I guess they are looking at giving more family choice in the future which would I think help this problem. I think the other reason why DOE was doing this though was to keep the cohorts, like to try to have some control over keeping cohorts stagnant from certain schools. But you know, in the beginning there were some schools that were across the street from some of our programs that they weren't matched to the learning lab that was across the street from the school that they usually serve.

So, there is just a lot of issues like that with how the schools were matched to the learning labs and I think a lot of that is because they just weren't connected in a way that was thoughtful.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I mean, your answer implies that there are providers out there with the capability of serving kids with disabilities and you have agreed to contracts that don't pay them for the services, which is interesting.

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And you think that your kids who need supports are getting those supports albeit at a different site?

FAITH BEHUM: Or they are just choosing not to participate in the program because they are not familiar with the people who are serving them in that different program and in some instances, it is a distance issue. Where the families is like, we can't get the child to that program in order for me to get to work on time or whatever.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, right, right, right, right, I mean, it strikes me that after this hearing, after what we have learned today, it would be worth sending a note to all of your participants saying reapply or submit you know, request for change.

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, at this juncture and I would be really curious to know two weeks from

now or three weeks from now, whether or not they have gotten a reply. You know, that does sound just so strange.

Another sort of follow up question to Council

Member Rose's question about food. Faith, did you

hear, I didn't quite get the number when they were

saying how many Kosher meals they were providing, did

they say 400 meals a day? I couldn't quite hear it.

FAITH BEHUM: It was something with 4, I am sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And I heard your comments loud and clear, so again even for that situation what we learned today is I heard the city say that a nonprofit could — a provider could go back and say, the Halal meals aren't working out, we are going to contract with our own provider and they would be open to that. I guess, what they said, was sure we are open to that, we are just not going to give you anymore money if it cost.

FAITH BEHUM: Right and there lies the problem, yeah, yeah. Because I mentioned this before, our PreK programs, they serve Kosher foods through their kitchens to those programs. So, like it happens through different city contracts or like the senior

centers and you know, the same thing. They are serving meals through those other city contracts. So, this isn't something that's totally novel and hasn't been done before. It just requires —

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, the question there, I apologize, I was so excited by what you said. But then the question is those other programs for seniors or PreK, whatever, does the city's contract include full payment for those meals?

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah, I know like our UPK providers are saying that in particular because yeah, at the DCC's for instance, they are saying you know, how their four year old's get these nice warm little meals of like soup and like a nice little side and like the Learning Lab kids are getting these just cold tuna salad, egg salad, hummus and carrots, you know.

So, and there are some days where our providers are like, I cannot serve this to our children and they will buy cheap pizza or they will try to cater it through their own kitchens but again, they are not getting compensated on those days that they make those decisions to do that.

2.2

2.3

and it is 400 or whatever the number is, do you think yeah, that's right, it might be worth doing the math and finding out the difference and then you know, we could make a special plea for that. Although, we won't be capturing all sites but it might be interesting.

And the other question that we didn't follow up with but I wonder about, they did not say whether or not they are providing Halal meals. I wonder for students who require a Halal meal whether or not that is open to them.

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah, maybe.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, I wanted to ask Faith for you and for Gregory, do your providers — I think maybe you already answered this but do your providers who are equipped with the supports or the para's, have any — do you have any placements of those kids from DOE?

FAITH BEHUM: So, we have — the thing is that, like one of our agencies in particular we have been talking a lot about this who need the extra one on one. They could bring the one on one's in. So, they have had kids who are placed with them who have this

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

need for the one on one support, it is just they don't have the money to pay those Para Professionals to be there to help them do the one on one support when they are doing the remote learning.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Got it and so, the organization makes the decision maybe kid by kid.

FAITH BEHUM: Right, so this organization has reached out to DYCD and said like, well, we have the ability, we actually have the staff here who could do this but we would have to be paid for these extra people who are helping out and so far, there hasn't been any budget on like increasing the rates or anything now.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, I mean, what I heard today was reach back again because it sounded like they are now paying attention and trying to resolve issues about these - to help these children. So, I think you know, if this week they are paying attention to it, this is the week to reach out again and appeal, you know put in appeals for this.

And then, let's see, oh, one quick last question, Gregory you were talking about the challenges with the communication with DOE. Do you have specific

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

24

2.3

25

suggestions on how the city could better communicate details?

GREGORY BRENDER: I think some of it is - they have been doing fall, what they are calling Fall Learning Series from DYCD. I think having more opportunity for open questions, so allowing the providers to speak and speak more on these and come back I think would be helpful. Also, just getting you know, at least contingency plans in advance. So, knowing for example the schools may close. Having some information prior and I understand we may be hearing about this soon but knowing that this was a possibility two months ago, creating those contingency plans and saying well, we don't know every single number that will be in here, here in the basic plans that you need to know. And basically also just updating via email. Like, letting folks know when there is new guidance and having as clear guidance as possible.

FAITH BEHUM: I think to just like reiterate, not reiterate but to highlight the early childhood education department through DOE has been sending out bulletins since the beginning of the pandemic and like, you know, sometimes there is a bunch of new

2.2

2.3

information in there that is really helpful to

providers. We send them out a few times a week and I

don't even think like, Gregory said, I don't even

think anything that elaborate has to be said, I think

like just more emails. Even just like frequently

asked question documents I think would be helpful. A

lot of these things have just not been put into

writing for providers.

So, like, people are just scrambling at the last minute when things do change, when the school does go remote or when if anything goes remote, all the schools go remote, like, really what does that mean for the learning labs in our programs? So, they will reach out to their program managers individually at DYCD but it would just be helpful if there was more universal language. This is the game plan, this is what you need to do which is just not, it is lacking right now, in my opinion.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, what you are saying and we receive many of those eblasts as well with information. For example, we get weekly updates from Department of Social Services but they are 20 pages long and I hardly read them anymore and it really would be great if right at the top, it would say, for

2.2

2.3

the week of blah, blah, here are the top three changes that have been -

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah, yeah, I need something like that Gregory.

GREGORY BRENDER: Yeah, absolutely.

think again, like, DOE, the Early Childhood
Bulletin's have done a decent job and they even have
like a place online where you can look at past
bulletins. That has been really helpful. You know
but again, I don't even know if you need anything
that elaborate. I think like what you said Council
Member, just like top three things you need to know
this week. Maybe there isn't anything you need to
know this week but like, usually there is because we
are in the middle of a pandemic, so.

GREGORY BRENDER: I would second that.

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, I am glad the Admin is still on. And then, one thing you just, the way you answered this question Faith about how if you are regular provider, the information is in there. What happens for providers who are not, you know, the new contracted providers, do they get any updates since

they are not part of the system or do they get an update that is — and you might not know the answer to this question but do they get an update that is specific just for Learning Labs Bridges? Do they get the whole update for Early Childhood? Do we know?

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah, I am unsure. I don't know. Gregory, if you know that answer.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah, my guess is all of your providers didn't have contracts.

FAITH BEHUM: Yeah, we didn't have any and we had some providers that were, they are not DYCD providers and they looked into the program and in the end, they just decided like, this is way beyond what we can do right now and decided not to go for the RFI when it was introduced. But all of our providers are current DYCD providers.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right, right, I mean again, it might be worth suggesting to your providers and I am going to do this with mine that they look at it again because it sounds like it has gotten you know, improved on over time and perhaps now there is clearer guidance and it might be easier, who knows.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 GREGORY BRENDER: I also would like to add that
3 they should be emailing the testing rates

particularly as they come closer to 3 percent.

5 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: In other words you are
6 saying each individual provider should get the
7 information about their own testing rates?

GREGORY BRENDER: But also citywide since that influences what the citywide decisions are going to be.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Got it. Yeah, you could see that being the top thing on the regular updates. City rate is you know, 2.999. Okay that is super helpful. Again, thank you all for the work that you do, it is extraordinary.

GREGORY BRENDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: That's all my questions.

I really appreciate everyone on the panel here.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Before we go back to Chair Rose, if I could just ask if there are any Council Members who are present who have questions. If you can please use the raise hand function in Zoom. We are noting no Council Member questions and then before also handing it back to Chair Rose, at this

2.2

2.3

point, we have concluded public testimony if there are no other questions. So, if we inadvertently missed anyone that would like to testify, if you can also, if those individuals could please use the raise hand function in Zoom.

I am noting that Ms. Soodeen, Felicia Soodeen has raised her hand.

FELICIA SOODEEN: Sorry, can everyone hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Ms. Soodeen, so go
ahead, thank you. Ms. Soodeen, oh, you are muted
again. We can hear you.

FELICIA SOODEEN: Thank you, sorry, I just wanted to make sure. Can everyone hear me without the echo? Great. So, based on today's testimony, I just wanted to give a little bit of remarks because you know, based on what I am hearing, you know, the Seamen's has done a lot of work in the community you know serving children. When they talked about the disenfranchised children that are being served better in foster care or in prevention services, those are the families that we have been serving for such, you know a long time. Our staff, we have licensed clinical social workers. We have you know, staff who have education, all of our staff, actually that would

2.2

2.3

have been working in the program are cleared and have a background clearance and so, you know, that ability

4 to be able to continue to serve you know, the

 \parallel community is of upmost importance.

You know, our healthcare management includes nurses and so, we have these capabilities on staff through the continuum of care that we provide and for us to not be able to have the opportunity you know, given the need of the community is just so you know, unfortunate and is just want to thank everyone who has given you know, Seamen's Society over the years the support that's needed, so that we can continue to do the services that we are doing.

I commend every single agency that is on here and every provider because we have kept our doors open to the children every single day in fear for our own lives and have done this safely, right. Like, if there is anything that we can say that has gone well, is the fact that we have done this successfully with little to minimum exposure, right. And so, that just speaks volumes to the dedication that we have to the communities that we serve. And I just think that it is only fair that everyone get an opportunity who is

invested in the community be able to do that with the resources and the supports that's needed.

And so, I just wanted to add that to the testimony because I just think it is so important. You know, how do you fight to like serve your own community? That's like absolutely insane but I commend every single person on this call today.

So, thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. I am going to return it to Chair Rose.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, I just want to thank all of the panelists. I want to thank you for the work that you are doing. That it is often times very hard, tedious and unappreciated sometimes. I just want you to know that we really appreciate what you are doing. We appreciate that you have challenges that are not of your own doing. That you fight real hard every day to overcome some barriers that some artificial, some that seem insurmountable but you keep going on to serve the young people in our communities.

So, I want to first thank you all. You know, I want to say to Seamen's Society, we are going to follow up with DYCD to see if and how this can be you

2.2

2.3

know, addressed and to Gregory and Faith, you know,

thank you. You have always risen to the occasion

despite all of the obstacles that you know, that seem

to be placed in your way and I want to thank you for

that. For continuing you know, the tenacity to work

with this Committee and to work with City

Administration to deliver services.

I want to thank my Co-Chair Helen Rosenthal for you know, her wonderful you know, in depth, hard hitting questioning. I want to thank you for helping us get to the root, no, no, no, no. I want to thank you for helping us get to the root of these issues and I know that we are going to be able to work together.

It is so important, you know, women are really the backbone. Women constitute a large number of the workforce and they are being you know, disproportionately impacted once again by the lack of you know, their ability to find safe places for their children to be while they work because out of necessity, not because of something that they just want to enhance their economic standing.

And so, with that, I want to thank the Administration for you know, for their diligence in

working to meet the need. The need is great and you know, my only issue is that we need to expedite it you know, as quickly as possible. No child, no child, should be left out there without the necessary

services and especially since we apparently have the

ability to deliver those services.

So, I want to thank you all for being here and being a part of this Committee and this hearing today and I assure you that there is going to be ample follow up and that we will make whatever answers we get available to all of the participants in this hearing today.

With that, this hearing — Helen, do you want to say anything? No, okay.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Rosenthal is shaking her head no for the record.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, alright, so, with that, this meeting is adjourned at 1:28 p.m. [GAVEL] Thank you.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 30, 2020_____