CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

September 24, 2020 Start: 10:13 a.m. Recess: 12:07 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing - Virtual Room 3

B E F O R E: Francis P. Moya

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Francis P. Moya

Barry S. Grodenchik Rory I. Lancman Stephen T. Levin Antonio Reynoso Donovan J. Richards

Carlina Rivera

Alicka Ampry-Samuel

Kalman Yeger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Lin Zeng
Director of Brooklyn Planning
Department of Housing Preservation and
Development

Rella Fogliano

Stacy Wong Architect

Bill Wilkins

Joe Appacella

Ted Robottom
Real Estate Equities Corporation

Brad Hoylman
New York State Senator

Harry Bovins

Katherine Schoonover

John Center

Susie Schrock

Tom Burchard

Katherine Wolpe

Mary Fran Loftus

Kathleen Wakeham

Laura Sewell

Trevor Stuart

Anita Isola

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning,
everyone, and welcome to today's remote New York City
Council hearing of the Subcommittee on Zoning and
Franchises. At this time would all panelists please
turn on their video. Once again, if all panelists
could please turn on their video. Thank you. To
minimize disruption, please place all electronic
devices on vibrate or silent mode. If you wish to
submit testimony you may do so at
landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is
landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Chair Moya, we are
ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you,

John. [gavel] Ah, good morning. I'm Council Member

Francisco Moya, chair of the Subcommittee on Zoning

and Franchises. I am joined today remotely by

Council Members Rivera, Grodenchik, ah, Levin,

Lancman. We're also joined by, ah, members Ampry
Samuel and, ah, Yeger. As a preliminary point of

information, I would like to note that LU 658 for the

50 Old Fulton Street proposal is being laid over.

Today we will vote on a rezoning proposal for which

the subcommittee held a public hearing on September,

2 and we also h

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and we also hold public hearings on zoning proposals with the special permit application. Ah, but before we begin, ah, I recognize the subcommittee counsel to review the remote hearing procedures. I'd like to turn it over to our counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair I am Arthur Ha, counsel to this subcommittee. Members of the public wishing to testify were asked to register for today's hearings. So if you wish to testify and have not already registered, we ask that you please do so now at the council's website, www.council.nyc.gov, by now. Members of the public may view a live stream broadcast of this meeting at the New York City Council website. When called to testify, individuals appearing before the subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the chair to speak. Applicant panels will be recognized as a group and called first. Members of the public will be called and recognized one at a time. When the chair recognizes you your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your microphone is on before you begin speaking. Now I will remind all participants that there is some delay in the process

you, thank you for that, Arthur. Ah, I want to

25

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING 7 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | AND FRANCHISES | | | |
| 2 | acknowledge that we've been joined by, ah, Council | | | |
| 3 | Member Reynoso, um, and with that, today we will be | | | |
| 4 | voting to approve LUs, ah, 678 and 678, for the 5914 | | | |
| 5 | Bay Parkway rezoning related to property in Council | | | |
| 6 | Member Yeger's district. The application seeks a | | | |
| 7 | rezoning map amendment to change an R5 district to an | | | |
| 8 | R6-C24 disease and a zoning text amendment to map a | | | |
| 9 | mandatory inclusionary housing area on the east side | | | |
| 10 | of Bay Parkway between 59th and 60th Street with MIA | | | |
| 11 | option 1, 2, and the work force option. The proposal | | | |
| 12 | would facilitate the development of a nine-story | | | |
| 13 | mixed use building with ground floor retail, | | | |
| 14 | community facilities, and residential use. The | | | |
| 15 | Council Member, ah, Yeger is in support of this | | | |
| 16 | project and I now call for a vote to approve LUs 678 | | | |
| 17 | and 679. Ah, Counsel, if you would please, ah, call | | | |
| 18 | the roll. | | | |
| 19 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya. | | | |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I vote aye. | | | |
| 21 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Levin. | | | |
| 22 | COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I vote aye. | | | |
| 23 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member | | | |
| 24 | Lancman. | | | |

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Aye.

| SUBC | COMMITTEE | ON | ZONING |
|------|-----------|----|--------|
| AND | FRANCHISE | ES | |

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member 3 Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Permission to explain my vote?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Permission granted.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Ah, I just want to say that what we're voting on with this application, um, speaks to the issue we have with ULURP, ah, and the need to change it significantly. Ah, while we were, had members of the City Council, ah, thinking about voting for a project that was, ah, prioritized by developers in Industry City, in this one case, ah, no one's talking about member deference when it comes to the building of unaffordable housing in parts of, ah, in parts of the city, where it's, ah, greatly needed. We are in a housing crisis. is a housing crisis. Especially members in black and brown districts that have beared the burden of having to build all the affordable housing, almost exclusively in those districts, approving this application is saying that the affordable housing being built in the district exclusively is exactly the way we want to continue to do this work. Segregation, um, in this city is something that we

open.

2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, ah,

3 Counsel. Um, I now, ah, open the public hearing on

4 LUs 682 through 685 for the 1510 Broadway rezoning

5 relating property in Council Member Ampry-Samuel's

6 district in Brooklyn. The application by the New

7 York City Department of Housing Preservation and

8 Development seeks approval of a set of related land

9 use actions, including Urban Development Action Area

10 | Project designation and disposition approval,

11 acquisition of a portion of the development site by

12 | the city, a zoning map amendment to change an R6-C13

13 district to an R71-C24 district, and a zoning text

14 | amendment to map a mandatory inclusionary housing

15 area utilizing options 1 and 2. Ah, together these

16 | actions would facilitate the construction of a new

17 | eight-story building with approximately 107 units of

18 | affordable housing and approximately 9000 square feet

19 of ground floor commercial space. Ah, I want to now

20 recognize, ah, Council Member Ampry-Samuels to offer,

21 | ah, her remarks, ah, on this project. Council

22 Member.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Good

24 morning, everyone, and thank you, Chair Moya, for the

25 \parallel opportunity. Um, I've met with this developer, um,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and HPD on several occasions and I am actually proud to be supportive of this development. It sits right there on the Broadway side of my district, which is the northern part of my district, where we seeing a lot of development and changes in the community, and this will allow an opportunity for real affordable housing. And I also want to say that I appreciate the thoughtfulness in the design of the building and the materials used, and even the concept of eventually, um, being able to work with MTA for a possible elevator because of the increase in, um, the population size in that area and the need for an elevator, period, on that subway line. So, um, I am in support. Um, there was a lot of community, um, input and engagement, and I look forward to updates, if there are any, during these presentation, and I do have a few questions after. So thank you so much for the time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you,

Councilwoman. Ah, Counsel, if you could please call
the first panel, ah, for this item.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel for this item will include Lin Zeng of HPD, Rella Fogliano, appearing on behalf of the sponsor of

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 requesting companies, and Stacy Wong, principle

3 architect for the project. Also available for

4 questions and answers as needed are Erin Buchanan for

5 | HPD and Joe Appacella, Ron Schulman, and Bill

6 Wilkins for the development team. Panelists, if you

7 have not already done so please accept the unmute

8 request in order to speak.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Counsel, if you could please administer the affirmation.

raise your right hands. Do you affirm the tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this subcommittee and an answer to all, ah, Council Member questions?

UNIDENTIFIED: I do.

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED: I do.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. And, ah, we are now in receipt of your slideshow presentation, ah, for this proposal. Ah, when you're ready to present the slideshow it will be displayed on the screen. The presentation will be advanced, ah, by the next slide when you say next, and please note that there might be a slight delay, ah, as the

2 presentation i

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

presentation is loaded as well as for the, ah, advancing slides. And finally, before we begin, ah, just please just state your name, ah, and affirmation once again for the record, um, and you may begin. Thank you.

LIN ZENG: Um, thank you, Arthur. Thank you, Council Member. My name is Lin Zeng. director of Brooklyn Planning at the Department of Housing Preservation and Development. Land use numbers 682 through 685 are related to ULURP actions for a project known as 1510 Broadway in Brooklyn Council District 41. The project area includes one city-owned vacant lot located at block 1489, Lot 11, and one privately one lots, um, on lot 1. The ULURP actions before the City Council involve Urban Development Action Area Projects, known as UDAAP, designation project approval, disposition of cityowned land, acquisition approval as well as a zoning map and zoning text amendments establishing mandatory inclusion area housing area. More specifically, land use number 682 is related to a zoning text amending, amendment, allowing for the establishment of an MIH area under options 1 and 2. This will allow for the proposed construction of approximately 27 permanently

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

affordable housing units under MIH option 1. Land use number 683 seeks approval for the city to reacquire a portion of block 1489, lot 11, which will be reserved for the MTA to add a future ADA accessible elevator. That's in connection to the adjacent Halsey Street subway station. Land use number 685 is related to the land use item seeking to amend the zoning map by amending the existing R6 with C13 commercial overlay, instead mapping an R71 and C24 commercial overlay. This action will allow for the construction of one eight-story, entirely affordable housing building with approximately 107 units, plus one unit for a super, and approximately 9793 square feet of ground floor commercial space. Land use number 684 is related to the proposed project that would be developed under HPD's Extremely Low- and low affordability Low-Income Program, known The ELLA program provides to create rental as ELLA. housing to low-income families with a range of incomes from 30% to 80% of the area median income. Projects may include a portion of the units with rents affordable to households earning up to 100% AMI. Projects also include units rented to formerly homeless [inaudible] individuals. The development

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

site located at 1510 Broadway will be developed by a sponsor selected through a competitive process geared towards certified M/WBE organizations. The proposed, the proposal includes the construction of an eightstory mixed use building with 107 rental units, plus a super's unit. Under MIH option 1, 25% of the residential floor area must be permanently affordable housing units, um, affordable to households with income at a weighted average of 60% of AMI. Under MIH option 2, 30% of the residential floor area must be permanently affordable housing units affordable to households with incomes at a weighted average of 80% of AMI. Additionally, as per HPD's requirement, an additional 15% of the units will be permanently affordable. Therefore, based on the number of rental units for this project, 16 units will be permanently affordable in addition to the MIH units, for a total of 43 permanently affordable units. The building will comprise a mixture of studios, one-, two-, and three-bedroom apartments. 15% of the unit count will be set aside for homeless households. Targeted incomes will range from up to 30% AMI to 80% AMI, which equates to approximately \$17,307, um, a year in salary to \$88,800, um, dollars. Rents will range

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2 from \$362 to \$2037, um, dollars, depending on

3 household income and family size. The project also

4 includes approximately 9793 square feet of ground

5 | floor retail space. The building will be constructed

6 to meet Enterprise Green and lead standards.

7 Amenities include spaces for residential bicycle

8 | storage on the ground floor, laundry facilities, and

9 | recreational spaces. Additionally, the project

10 | includes reserving space for future construction of

11 an ADA elevator adjacent to the Halsey Street subway

12 entrance. In order to facilitate development of 1510

13 | Broadway and the creation of affordable housing

14 units, HPD is before the council seeking approval of

15 | land use numbers 682, 683, 684, and 685. And with

16 | that I'm gonna turn this over to Rella Fogliano. She

17 | is going to give a brief introduction to the

18 | development team and, um, before Stacy Gluck, ah,

19 | Stacy Wong from [inaudible] will go over the

20 presentation, um, the visual presentation of the

21 project. Thank you.

25

22 RELLA FOGLIANO: Thank you, Lin. Good

23 | morning. I'm Rella Fogliano, principle of MacQuestan

24 \parallel Development. This project was awarded to us in 2017

under an M/WBE RFP through the City of New York. I

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Coming up, yep.

There's going to be a slight delay...

STACY WONG: OK, no problem.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So just say next and, you know, sometimes it will take a few seconds, but.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

2 STACY WONG: OK, thank you very much. 3 Um, my name is Stacy Wong. I'm a principle at Gluck+ 4 Architecture, um, the architects for this project. Ah, so we can go to the next slide please. On the screen is a list of requested land use actions that 6 7 was discussed in the testimony by Lin Zeng. Ah, HPD and DCAS are the applicants, and the application was 8 certified on December 2, 2019. The site was designated to MacQuestan Development, LLC under HPD's 10 11 M/WBE RFP, representing the city's efforts to build 12 capacity with minority- and women-owned businesses. 13 Next slide. This is an aerial view of the subject vacant city-owned site. It is located within 14 15 Brooklyn's Community District 16 and borders Community Districts 3 and 4. The surrounding area 16 17 includes a variety of building types, from low-scale 18 one- and two-family homes to higher-scale 13- and 16story NYCHA buildings nearby. The site fronts 19 20 Broadway, which can be characterized as local, 21 commercial, and retail and is adjacent to the J 2.2 Halsey Street subway station and the elevated JZ 2.3 subway line that runs along Broadway. Next slide please. And this is a project overview of the 24 25 proposed development. Approval of the proposed

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING

AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

slide.

actions will facilitate the development of approximately 107 units of affordable housing, serving low-income families and individuals. It will include ground floor commercial space that will activate vacant city-owned land on a portion of Broadway that has long been overshadowed by the elevated subway. And approval of this project also paves the way for a new building that has been designed to include space restrictive ventilatory defect for future ADA improvement to the adjacent subway station. Next slide please. The development is approximately 116,000 gross square feet, or 98,000 zoning square feet over eight floors above grade and a partial cellar. The trapezoidal site, um, shaped site, is bordered by Broadway and the elevated j train along the top of the slide. Jefferson and Saratoga Avenue is on the left and Hancock Street to the right. The site is well served by transit, um, with a bus stop in front of the site, as well as City Bikes across the street. The unit mix is comprised of 7% studios, 44% one-bedrooms, and the remaining 48% being two- and three-bedrooms to provide familysized affordable units for the neighborhood. Next

This ground floor plan has added color to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

better illustrate the allocation of space. is approximately 10,000 square feet of commercial floor area, located exclusively along Broadway, with approximately 700 square feet of that set aside for local arts and cultural nonprofit organizations. blue arrows indicate the multiple possible entries to these spaces, which is critical to reinforcing Broadway as the retail and transit corridor for the neighborhood. In purple is the off-street loading berth serving the commercial floor area and it's located midblock on Hancock as far from the street intersection as possible. The remainder of the ground floor space in yellow is allocated to residential floor area, including two residential, um, lobbies, six apartments, and accessory spaces such as indoor resident bike storage. And the red arrows on either corner indicate the two residential entrances at the corners of the site. The ground floor apartments are concentrated along Hancock and Saratoga to remove them as far as possible from the elevated train infrastructure. And the residential street wall of the ground floor has been set back from the property line and will be planted, um, with vegetation to further buffer those ground floor

1

25

2 apartments from the sidewalk. And on the upper 3 right-hand corner of this, ah, of the project you can 4 see a small gray square, um, facing Broadway, and this space is for a future MTA elevator to access the adjacent Halsey Street station platform. And this 6 7 space will be concealed behind the building façade and inaccessible until such time an elevator can be 8 constructed. No parking is required for the commercial space, nor for affordable units in a 10 11 transit zone and none, um, no parking is provided. Next slide. This is a view of the project from 12 13 Broadway. The design incorporates a building set 14 back after the ground floor to provide maximum 15 distance between the elevated train and the 16 residential units on the second through the eighth 17 The goals is to maximize daylight and views floors. 18 for every apartment and avoid apartments directly 19 overlooking the subway platform. This set back also 20 allows for an outdoor courtyard amenity for all 21 residents, which is at the heart of the building. 2.2 approximately 7000 square feet the courtyard will 2.3 include landscaping, pavers, seating, and a children's play area. And the indoor resident 24

recreation room common laundry room are centrally

1

2 located on that second floor adjacent to the 3 courtyard. This building set back also has the added 4 benefit of being visible and distinctive when walking along Broadway, allowing daylight to come down to the sidewalk level and creating a unique sense of place 6 7 on this city block. The ground floor design incorporates large expanses of glazing, approximately 8 11-foot high, light-colored, brown-faced masonry, and a darker tone signage band and [inaudible] to provide 10 11 transparency, visual variety, and contrast. And dark 12 sky compliant light fixtures are located along those 13 masonry panels for both a safer pedestrian experience as well as visual interest on the building façade in 14 15 the evening. Multiple commercial entry points, as 16 well as a signage band along the length of the 17 building, allows for retail tenant space that is 18 open, visible, flexible, and viable for a variety of 19 commercial tenants. Next slide. And this is a view 20 of the building from Saratoga Avenue. residential entry and lobby are located at the corner 21 2.2 at the lower left-hand spot on the immigrant. 2.3 the ground floor apartments are all buffered with plantings within that street wall set back. The 24 25 overall building and expression is inspired by the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING 1 AND FRANCHISES 2 neighborhood context. Although there is a 16-story 3 NYCHA residential tower across the street, and you 4 can see that at the far right of this image, the neighborhood fabric is actually predominantly threeto four-story town homes in multiple colors. Our 6 7 project used the neighborhood's town home fabric as 8 inspiration and articulated the building massing and façade material treatment to reference the vertical proportions of a town house module. Each town home 10 11 is clad in a different tone of white and gray, with 12 the exception of a few town homes clad in a brighter 13 color. Next slide. The project will be financed 14 through HPD's ELLA program and will serve households 15 earning between 30% to 80% of AMI, with a 15% set-16 aside for formerly homeless population. Household annual incomes range from approximately \$7000, um, 17 18 ah, \$17,000 to \$88,000, and at least 50% of the units 19 will be below 50% AMI. Nearly half of the units will 20 be family size two- and three-bedroom units and, um, 21 the building, um, and the apartments will remain 2.2 affordable for 60 years. Next slide. MacQuestan

corporation of East New York who has served the east

Development has partnered with a local development

2.3

24

25

Brooklyn community for over 40 years. The team has a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

robust plan for local hiring and M/WBE hiring. They have, um, very strong community outreach and connections that are supported by, um, their partnerships with work force development groups, their large database of local and M/WBE businesses in the building trades, and their commitment also to certifying at least 12 new M/WBE companies per year. The team also has a strong marketing plan to reach local residents as future tenants utilizing their vast network, and as an HPD Housing Ambassador they have deep experience working with people to help them prepare and apply for the affordable housing lotteries. Next slide. And this slide just shows the ULURP timeline, um, ah, based on where we are today, September 24, um, at the City Council hearing, and I think I will, um, open it up to, ah, questions and the other, um, applicant panelists to be able to answer specific and more detailed questions that you may have. Thank you.

RELLA FOGLIANO: Thank you, Stacy.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Um, thank you for the presentation. I'm going to take, ah, just a quick couple of questions before, ah, I turn it over to Council Member Ampry-Samuels and, ah, some

2 other council members who may have some questions. I

3 just want to get back to, ah, talking about, ah, the

4 elevated, the train that goes by, ah, on Broadway.

5 And you might have mentioned it. I'm sorry if I

6 missed. Is the building being designed, ah, to help,

ah, reduce, ah, the noise emissions into the

8 | apartments?

1

7

10

RELLA FOGLIANO: Stacy?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You just got to unmute

11 | yourself. Yeah, just. Go ahead. You can unmute

12 yourself, Stacy.

13 STACY WONG: OK, great, perfect, thank

14 | you. Ah, so, yes, the building is going to be

15 designed to deal with the sounds, um, the sounds from

16 | the elevated train. Um, the EAS for the building

17 | actually stipulated very specific window and wall

18 \parallel noise attenuation criteria for the building. Um, the

19 | apartments facing the train actually have a stricter

20 | criteria and very specific criteria than the, um,

21 \parallel facades, ah, not facing the elevated train. And...

22 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [inaudible]?

23 STACY WONG: I'm sorry?

24 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Can you just, what was

25 the criteria you said? I'm sorry.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

STACY WONG: Sure. So, um, the EAS stipulated a window wall noise attenuation of 33 dBA for, um, the building facades facing the train and a 31 dBA for the facades facing Saratoga and Hancock. And so when we're, um, in essence in order to achieve that we find in, ah, a composite OITC, um, rating for, um, the solid wall, the windows, and the PTAC units combined to make sure that we're meeting that criteria. And so, um, we've worked on a couple of different projects in very close proximity to, um, ah, elevated subway lines and, um, are very comfortable with very specific products for windows, um, that have certain OITC ratings, as well as for the PTACs. Um, there are special sound attenuation packages that can be ordered for these PTAC units, and then the solid wall with these components get, um, analyzed by our acoustic consultant to be able to, um, show that it's meeting the criteria stipulated in the EAS.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And, um, towards the end of the presentation you were talking about local hires and, and M/WBEs. Um, can you describe what the plan for the local hires, ah, is gonna be in

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

construction and how many local hires would typically be involved in a project like this?

STACY WONG: I think I'm gonna pass this on, over to Bill Wilkins, who, um, ah, represents the LDC of [inaudible]. And there he is.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK. Hi, Bill.

BILL WILKINS: Yes, um, thank you very much for, for the question, Councilman. Um, as it relates to, to local hires we have worked on several development projects in the past and what we try to is a deep dive into the respective ZIP code and use, um, an extensive database of job-ready individuals that we, um, are in contact with different work force developer, actually work force develop providers, um, that have the necessary OSHA training. Um, we try to, um, as comprehensively as possible, use a hyper local approach, um, as it relates to working locally with some of our companies in the building trade and also hiring locally and, more importantly, having residents locally secure these apartments.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So give me, just like give me an example. You're saying you worked with, with [inaudible], um, give me, give me an example of what, ah, that looked like in different projects.

1

2 How many local hires were there, and then, if you can, my second question was, ah, how many local hires

4 would typically be involved in a project like this?

5 BILL WILKINS: Well, um, I'll say, it's,

6 it's a fluid process. It's, it's a little, um,

7 difficult to give you a hard number. But in the

8 past, as far as, um, laborers, we were able to secure

9 about 15 to 20 people. If you include, um, the

10 \parallel security detail, then that number increases to 25.

11 Also, it depends upon who you're using as the

12 contractors and the subcontractors because they also

13 | hire locally. But it's something, it's something

14 | that is very important to us. It's paramount,

15 | because, as you know, um, District 16 and Brownsville

16 we have, um, a significant, a very high unemployment

17 | rate, so any time there's an employment opportunity

18 | we, we looked to, um, source those opportunities with

19 | local people.

20 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And I'm sorry that I'm

21 gonna keep harping on it, but you said 25?

BILL WILKINS: I, I know...

23 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [inaudible] many.

BILL WILKINS: Excuse me?

24

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You said typically you hire 25, you give an example of 25 construction workers, like out of what was the number of construction jobs [inaudible] like that?

BILL WILKINS: OK, to answer specifically, there should be about 150 construction jobs. Um, post construction retail there should be 20, and then there's three in building services.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK. And for, for, so that, is that what you're giving me this number for what the size of this project would be?

BILL WILKINS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK, perfect.

BILL WILKINS: And that's the aggregate, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK, so, got it. And then, ah, just like how do we ensure follow-up, ah, and the progress report, ah, on these commitments?

BILL WILKINS: Well, the progress report, um, that would be ongoing communications with the Councilwoman and also with District 16, um, that we made representations that we intend on keeping, and we will then periodically be reporting and also asking members for help and guidance as it relates to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING

AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

security these opportunities. So the process is frontline and our commitment to the community spans over four decades. So [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [inaudible] so thank you. How, how do we go forward?

BILL WILKINS: Right.

echoing a little bit. I just want to make sure, um, there is a actual process here that monitors them so that the council [inaudible], ah, and be there for that. I'm going to figure what's happening with me here, ah, after the last question anyway. I'm going to now turn it over to Council Member, ah, Ampry-Samuels, ah, for her questions. Thank you.

BILL WILKINS: You're welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Thank you,
Chair Moya, for those questions. That was also part
of my, um, line of questioning, and it's always
related to opportunities for employment, um, on the
site, during the process, across all jobs. Um, but I
will say that we have a great relationship with Mr.
Wilkins, and when I say we I mean, um, myself and the
State Assemblywoman and, um, this is for you, Chair
Moya. He's always in the hot seat when he's in our

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

offices and so, um, we make sure that, um, things are moving accordingly and so, um, but this is something that's always of great concern for us, um, because historically we'll have developers come in and say what they're going to do and then not do it. You know, there's always some kind of an excuse as to why, um, it's difficult to hire locally, and it's everything from we can't find folks with the proper certifications to licenses and, or they left, and so it's more than just providing, um, folks from our community with a job opportunity, but also all of the soft skill training that goes along with the, to keep the job. Um, um, so with that I'll move on. Um, can you talk a little bit about how you're partnering with any local organizations, um, as the administering agents for the affordable housing units themselves? Um, and I say that because with affordable housing deals we'll see, you know, possibly a list in the newspaper or in Housing Connect, um, and maybe a couple of ads on social media, but that's it, so, and that's never enough. You know, there's always people from someplace else that might be able to qualify for the units and still move in, and so we want to make sure that the folks

1 AND FRANCHISES

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

from the community are the ones that are not only applying for the apartments, but have the necessary, um, paperwork to be able to complete the process and So can you speak a little bit about how move in. you'll be able to work with the organization to make sure that the people get into the apartments as well?

BILL WILKINS: Um, well, thank you very much for, for the question. Um, I think that we have developed a model that really works because, as you know, an asset coming on like this what we don't want is for our local residents to say I didn't know about it. I didn't have any opportunity to go on Housing Connect. So what we do, we have, um, one of our local businesses, um, actually is the marketer and they provide those door hangers. So what we do is we saturate the community with over 20,000 to 30,000 announcements and we send it also twice, and we produce probably about 50,000 flyers and we go to local stakeholders, also local electeds, um, and make presentations at the community boards so that everyone knows, because we would like to see the super-saturated not with just 50% of the residents of CB16, but we'd like to see that number even higher.

Even though traditionally when something of this

nature goes online, a project of this nature goes
online, we'll see about 60,000 to 65,000 responses.

But we found it very effective by using this door
hangers because they go into our public housing
complexes and we do the drop about two or three
times. And I will, I will, um, highlight the fact
that MacQuestan is allowing us to provide this, this
service because it is, of course, well, we find it to

10 be very effective. Other developers sometimes will
11 say no and they'll allude to the methodology that you

12 stated. But this way we have this hyperlocal

approach to reaching our local residents.

RELLA FOGLIANO: I'd like to add something. Bill, thank you very much, you're so eloquent as always, ah, I also want to, ah, be, ah, forthcoming. We, we do that because we, we are held to doing a lottery, um, but all of the actions that Bill is discussing is talking about with the community we'll be two weeks ahead of the opening of the lottery so that there will be ample time for the residents in the community to be aware. Um, there is also going to be a 50% set-aside so, for community residents, um, and once that's filled that's when we

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 look that's when we look at applicants outside of the
3 community.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: OK, thanks, just struggling with unmuting myself. Um, so that's great. That outreach is very necessary, you know, of course. Across the street from this development we have, um, family shelters. And then, as you mentioned in your presentation, right next is a NYCHA tower. And so we always hear public housing residents, you know, saying, well, there's this brand-new shiny building being built right next door and I can't get in. And so I appreciate the outreach effort. And my last question is clearly, and I've said this a thousand times in our meetings, um, can you speak a little bit about the commercial space and the community facility uses? Um, the commercial space in particular because this will be ground I know there's a grocery store across the retail. street and I always talk about access to, um, you know, fresh fruits and vegetables, um, but also you know my disdain for 99 cent stores and the fact that Broadway has a thousand 99 cent stores. Um, so can you talk to us a little bit about, um, any potential tenants for the commercial space and, again, speak a

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 little bit about the community facilities, and then 3 that's it, Chair Moya, for me.

RELLA FOGLIANO: Joe Appacella, would you like to speak the that?

JOE APPACELLA: Yes, I would. I was just Thank you, everyone, and I hope everyone's unmuted. staying safe and healthy. On the retail, Rella and I have been involved in retail recruitment and marketing for mixed-used projects like this for many years. There's the great complexity of the uncertainty of these times, so we have been working with, ah, the LDC of East New York and Bill to focus first, ah, Council Member, on looking at some banking institutions, because there's a dearth of banking institutions in the area. And Bill will speak in a moment to greater detail to the specific outreach that we have made, not successfully yet. Having said that, we also consider ourselves in the quest to be nimble, something Rella, ah, prides herself on. we're working with, ah, commercial brokers that have had great success in the area, and we're focusing on things that we think can work today, such as, ah, there's a tremendous need, believe it or not, for birthing centers, ah, with people, ah, pregnant women

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hesitant to give birth in hospitals. They're looking for alternative facilities, which is a new, ah, a new opportunity. Childcare, childcare is a tremendous need today with the school scenarios and the like in the era of COVID. So we are working feverishly in order to try to find an appropriate commercial user that's economically viable and also provides a real service to the community and to our new residents and the residents of the area. The City Planning prior to this asked the question, well, we understand the complexity of marketing in this environment. What do we do if we have a protracted vacancy? And what we provided to them was a detailed plan of how we could temporarily engage the community through the LDC of East New York working with Bill Wilkins, ah, to do what we've done elsewhere, which is to have, ah, contests, arts contests, mural contests, to activate that storefront and to make it look viable, ah, in the absence of a tenant, and we would employ in the alternative, as a last resort, to keep the building vibrant and marketable. Bill?

BILL WILKINS: OK, thank you, Joe. Yes, and when we were in front of the community board and, and prior to that we did an analysis on Broadway as

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to what type of retail options [inaudible] on that commercial strip and number one was a banking institution. So Joe and I rolled up our sleeves. reached out to Bank of America. We reached out to Chase, reached out to TD Bank, and Investors Bank, because some of those entities are rolling out and opening up more branches. Unfortunately, we weren't able to curry the favor that we wanted, but I think it also allows us for an opportunity to revisit those financial institutions because that's what need on Broadway. That would be a coup. This building has really gone to help to anchor and stabilize Broadway from, from its design vantage point and also for the opportunity being so close to, um, an MTA facility, an MTA station. So we'd still really like to see a bank, and we're really gonna keep pushing on that and use whatever methods we can to at least have those conversations as we go forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Well, thank you so much, um, for the work that you've done thus far, and I look forward to the continued process.

Um, Chair Moya, that's all I have.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Council Member. Um, I now want to take this opportunity to

1

8

10

24

25

invite my colleagues, ah, to ask, ah, questions, and just as a reminder, ah, if you have questions, ah, for the applicant panel please click the raise hand

5 button on the participant panel. Ah, Counsel, are

6 there any council members that have any questions? I

7 | see that we have Council Member Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you.

9 Thank you, Chair Moya. Ah, can I, should I start?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yes, absolutely.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Oh, thank you.

12 | Thank you, Chair. I just want to, ah, I would love

13 to be able to go back to the screen that shows the

14 rents, um, that are gonna be, ah, be given here or

15 | that are gonna exist here. Ah, because, ah, I made a

16 statement earlier on about the segregation that

17 exists in our city and how black and brown

18 | communities, ah, tend to bear the burden of having to

19 build all the affordable housing. And it's

20 | extraordinary how we can have two projects that are

21 so different, um, in one day be presented to us, and

22 | I just wanna, whatever negotiation Council Member

23 Ampry-Samuel was doing, ah, the work that this

developer is doing, it really is a breath of fresh

air to know that people are out here trying, ah, to

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

figure out, that's my son in the background, I 2 3 apologize, to figure out this crisis. But to think 4 about, um, the formerly homeless, ah, the set-aside, and that people making, two people making a minimum 5 wage here are gonna be able to get, ah, have a, a 6 7 comfortable, beautiful home. This is across, ah, the street from my district, so the only thing that I'm 8 upset about that is, that it's not in my disease. So, again, I just, I'm really proud of the work that 10 11 Council Member Ampry-Samuel is doing here. Um, thank you guys so much, ah, and I'm looking forward to, to 12 13 voting on this in the future.

RELLA FOGLIANO: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you.

BILL WILKINS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Council Member. Ah, Counsel, are there any other council members with questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, ah, I see no other members with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK. Ah, there being no further questions for this panel, ah, the panel is now excused. Um, thank you very much for, ah, your participation today, and I ask are there any members

AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

of the public who wish to testify on 1510 Broadway, ah, rezoning application? The panel is excused, thank you.

of the public who wish to testify on LUs numbers 682 through 685 for the 1510 Broadway rezoning please press the raise hand button now. The meeting will now stand at ease while we check for members of the public. Chair Moya, I see no members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, ah, there being no members of the public, ah, who wish to testify, the public hearing on LUs 682 through 685 for the 1510 Broadway rezoning is now closed. Ah, the next item, ah, that we will hear is for LU 683 for the 3 St. Lawrence Place special permit application, ah, relating to property located in Council Member Rivera's district in Manhattan. The applicant seeks approval for a special permit pursuant to Section 7479 of the rezoning resolution to facilitate the construction of a 10-story building located at 3 St. Mark's Place in the East Village neighborhood of Manhattan. The special permit would allow the transfer of approximately 8400 square feet of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING

AND FRANCHISES

1

2 development rights from the designated individual

3 | landmark site across the site at 4 St. Mark's Place,

4 known as the Hamilton Holly House. If approved, the

5 special permit would modify the applicable bulk, ah,

6 provisions to allow the proposed new building to, ah,

7 penetrate the maximum front wall height and the sky

8 exposure plane at the St. Mark's Place, ah, footage,

9 | frontage, sorry. Ah, and now I would like to

10 recognize my colleague, Council Member Rivera, um,

11 for a statement.

12 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Ah, Chair Moya, I
13 will forego my statement so we can get into the

14 presentation, if that's OK?

15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Perfect. Thank you,

16 Council Member Rivera, and, ah, Counsel, if you could

17 please, ah, call the first panel for this item.

18 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel

19 | for this item will include Ted Robottom, appearing on

20 behalf of the sponsor Real Estate Equities

21 | Corporation. Applicant panelists, if you have not

 $22 \parallel$ already done so, please accept the unmute request,

23 | ah, in order to begin to speak.

TED ROBOTTOM: Ah, good morning and, ah,

25 | thank you, everybody.

24

affirmation?

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: One second. Ah,
3 Counsel, if you could please, ah, administer the

raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this subcommittee and in answer to all subcommittee, ah, answer to all council member questions?

TED ROBOTTOM: Ah, I do.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK, ah, Joe, um, thank you. Just, again, just state your name and the affirmation for the record. Um, just wondered, do you have a presentation?

TED ROBOTTOM: I do not, ah, I do not, ah, Chair Moya, I was gonna read a statement.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So I'll just say that, ah, this is a first for me. Ah, I've been chairing this for now close to three-and-a-half years. I've never seen an applicant, ah, come to a public hearing, ah, not prepared with a presentation. Um, you know, the subcommittee in general is accustomed to seeing some type of, of visual presentation for these types of projects. Ah, so this is a very

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unusual situation. Um, but before you begin my
question is are you going to be able to answer
questions regarding the proposal, ah, from the
subcommittee members and take us through your

rationale, ah, for seeking this approval?

TED ROBOTTOM: Ah, I'm, I'm happy to answer any questions that I'm able to answer today.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK. You may begin.

TED ROBOTTOM: Oh, sure, OK. Ah, so good morning and, ah, thank you, everybody for, ah, you know, giving us the opportunity to speak today. , you know, I have a couple things to say. Um, you know, since day one on, on this project on St. Mark's, which, um, you know, almost marks three, three years ago, ah, from today, we've always been what we, what we felt is, ah, you know, thoughtful and, and considerate about our design, ah, and how it relates to the surrounding neighborhood. You know, as a nod to the neighborhood's aesthetic, ah, we designed one of the, ah, the only brick office buildings in the needed area in hopes that the community would support, ah, the contextual significance, um, of the area. Um, and it's very important that, you know, the community understands that we do not have to do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this, um, we could have built a, you know, very large glass building as-of-right, similar in size, um, without any public approval whatsoever. Um, but out of respect for the character and the history of the neighborhood we, we decided not to. Um, moreover, we could have designed an 85-foot street wall, um, that did not match the height of the adjacent buildings along St. Mark's, um, which definitely would have seemed, ah, out of character. Um, but, again, we, we decided not to do that. Instead, ah, you know, we reached out to multiple preservation groups. incorporated community feedback and invested a significant amount of time and funds redesigning every little detail. Um, we were asked to work with Lespy on the design, ah, which we had done for Um, originally opposing our development, months. they changed their position to actually neutral, which we felt was a very significant move, ah, on their part. But aside from the design elements, you know, we proactively decided to help reshape for St. Mark's, which is, obviously, as everybody knows, the Hamilton Holly House across the street. Um, and as many of you know, this is one of the oldest and most historic buildings on St. Mark's Place. And this

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

building really stood to benefit from a long-lasting, continual maintenance program if our application was approved. This would brought the building into, you know, very sound, first-class condition and, and, you know, unfortunately we cannot make that commitment any longer and therefore cannot really guarantee that this building that, you know, was constructed in the early 1800s will retain its prominence. Ah, we made extensive efforts and reached out several times to, ah, Council Member Rivera. Um, we offered jobs, employment training and education, um, more design tweaks, ah, but we felt that these offers were largely not considered. Um, nothing was even proposed back from the office that, you know, we heard that would benefit the community, um, and we feel that this is not only a major disservice to us, but, you know, also to the general public and, more importantly, to the East Village. Um, it should also be noted that the majority of the public testimony focuses on not just, you know, not wanting the development, um, or a project at all, and it really doesn't focus on the nature of the application and an air rights transfer that we're applying for. Um, and, you know, the reality here is that a, a building

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is going to be built, and so why not taking advantage of the benefits that we can offer the community as the developer as opposed to really just saying no and having nothing in return. Um, so, you know, in short, ah, we're very disappointed that, ah, you know, our application didn't receive the support that, ah, it needed, um, and we felt that this minor, you know, 8000 square feet transfer, which really wouldn't even be noticeable, ah, to the naked eye from the street, ah, could have rewarded the, the community. Um, it would create the additional jobs, ah, tax revenue, and, and economic injection at a retail level that not only the neighborhood needs, but the, the city desperately needs, ah, right now. Um, so as of today the project is under construction. It will be built and right now there's no public benefit in place. Um, and in this incredibly difficult times that, you know, everybody is living it, it seems only logical and practical to me and to us to endorse a project that, you know, can help the neighborhood, its residents, and the city overall, ah, with additional tax revenue that would be generated by just a slightly larger building. so, despite the negative feedback on our application

47

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 our position r

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our position remains that, you know, we are in support of the community and we're ready, willing, and able to offer something back to the neighborhood during, during this difficult time. Um, so with that I, I thank everybody and, um, you know, we appreciate your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thanks. Just a couple of questions before I turn it over to Council Member Rivera, 'cause I don't really think you addressed, you know, and talked about the findings here. So let's go back to, to the basics. You have a DOB approval. Ah, and I understand that some work has already begun, ah, on site. So to me, um, and to most I think it seems that you have an as-of-right option that is feasible, ah, and can work for you financially. Ah, so could you talk about what brings you here today and your basic justification, ah, in terms of the required findings for the special permit? You've got to unmute yourself when you're ready to answer. No? Hold on.

TED ROBOTTOM: OK, thank you, thank you, Chair Moya. Um, yeah, you know, I'm not, I'm not sure I fully understand the question. But, um...

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: A little back note.

2.2

2.3

TED ROBOTTOM: Yeah, yeah, please.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK, you have an as-of-right, OK. You've already invested money financially into this.

TED ROBOTTOM: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Um, it, it could actually work for you if you just do your as-of-right. So talk to me why you're today, your basic, ah, justification in terms of the required findings for the special permit.

TED ROBOTTOM: Sure. So, we're here today, ah, exercising, um, an air rights transfer from a building across the street, right, um, and that building is 4 St. Mark's and the reason we're here today is to, in hopes of getting that application approved so we can continually maintain and help the landmark, ah, the landmark building at 4 St. Mark's, um, by approving our, our 8000 square foot transfer.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Ah, so, OK. Um, I'm going to go back to something else. But could you explain the difference between the proposed building envelope, ah, and the permitted as-of-right envelope under the C6-1 zoning?

2.2

2.3

TED ROBOTTOM: So the as-of-right, ah, the as-of-right would be a, ah, nine-story building, Chair Moya. Um, it's generally the same type of building, um, same type of envelope, but it will be one story, ah, lower. Um, the street wall will generally be the same. Um, and I believe also the max height of the building will, will also be the same. Um, but the bulk, um, the bulk of the building will be a little less dense. I hope that, I hope that answers your question.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: But, um, what, so let me move on to something else right now. Ah, what plans do you have for, ah, local hiring or M/WBE, ah, participation in terms of construction?

TED ROBOTTOM: Well, that would be part of the application, right? So if, you know, we had reached out to, ah, Council Member Rivera and we had, um, what I thought offered that, um, and we, you know, did not receive, ah, really any sort of feedback about what we should be doing to help, um, so that's, that's sort of how I would respond to that.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So what you're saying is, ah, because you didn't, ah, hear from the

2 Councilwoman just, you know, one of the more diligent

3 council members that we have here, ah, you're telling

4 me you don't have a plan for local hires? You don't

5 have an idea of how that's gonna get built out? Your

6 M/WBE process to this, there is none? Is that what

7 | you're saying?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

TED ROBOTTOM: We offered. We offered to follow, I believe we offered to follow an M/WBE, ah, M/WBE process. We offered to hire local workers.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: What, what is that process?

TED ROBOTTOM: I'm not, I'm not sure what you mean, but.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: What's, what's your process for, ah, hiring M/WBEs? What is the process that you're taking for, ah, the local hires? How many would that be? This is a normal question that gets asked in projects that are being built.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Let me be clear, by the way. I'm, ah, I, I don't know where the confusion is.

TED ROBOTTOM: Right, yeah. So, so...

TED ROBOTTOM: Yeah, so, um, you know what, we, we had offered to hire local workers. We

18

19

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

TED ROBOTTOM: I am fine, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK. Ah, you're just saying that that incentive is if you get, ah, the

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 local council member to agree to something. You
3 don't actually do this on your own?

ah, maybe I'm being misunderstood. No. Um, I am, I am saying that we are happy to hire local workers.

We have already hired local workers. Um, but we are happy to hire more and we're happy to offer more community engagement and, and, and more involvement with the community if we receive some level of feedback, ah, from Council Member Rivera, um, about our application.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK. Um, this is my last question, ah, and then I'll turn it over to Council Member Rivera. Ah, what kind of tenants, ah, or businesses do you envision, ah, occupying the building, ah, and what plans, if any, do you have for attracting local businesses or organizations, ah, whether it's for retail space of the ground floor or for the, ah, upper floors, ah, that deal with the office space?

TED ROBOTTOM: Yeah, to be, to be honest, you know, currently right now, um, we, we don't know.

Um, right now it's just the hole in the ground. We are planning to start our foundation, ah, pretty

AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

soon, but it really won't be until probably two years until the building is delivered, Chair Moya. Um, so, you know, but largely we envision this to be hopefully local businesses that are occupying the office space so, you know, ah, ah, one or two local businesses that are occupying the, the, ah, retail space on, on the first level.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Um, I'm gonna now turn it over to Council Member Rivera. But I will say that, ah, it is extremely disappointing that, um, you come here today with no presentation, ah, extremely disappointed in hearing your answer about local hires and M/WBEs. Um, that, that says a lot. Ah, I had not had made up my mind on what [inaudible] we were going with this project. These two things are heavily weighing on me now, ah, and seeing the way that, ah, you've come in here not prepared, ah, to really answer these questions and give a thorough presentation, um, for this, ah, rezoning. So with that I want to turn it over to, ah, Council Member Rivera for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much, Chair Moya. Um, I'm sorry. It's a little dark and I don't see your name, so I, I just wanted to ask

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

were you in any of the previous meetings that we had pre-COVID in person or via teleconference when we had these conversations? I think someone has to unmute the applicant.

6 TED ROBOTTOM: Ah, I, I personally was 7 not.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: OK, 'cause I was a little taken aback by your comments of, of meetings, we've had multiple meetings with the application and I'm, I'm sorry you missed it. You must be new to the process. So, again, I just want to reiterate, ah, what Chair Moya just said, which was how disappointing that you did not come with any presentation, which is something we have not seen in, I don't know, over three years in the council, um, with me being on the zoning committee. I guess I'll ask you some, you know, let me just get right to, we did ask about community space, we did ask about affordable housing. Sometimes the applicant, and you wouldn't know 'cause you weren't in any of the conversations, but sometimes the applicant said jobs, um, there was really no commitment there, there was no process. I, I'm a little also, I guess, disappointed or just kind of puzzled as to why I

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

should be the one to tell you how to commit to creating good jobs and having M/WBE, um, vendors and tenants. It's, ah, startling even. Um, I would also say that when the applicant was asked by Community Board 3 about housing and community space the applicant said it wasn't an option. So that was a little quick history lesson for you, and I'm gonna get into my questions. So the proposal is for a special permit to waive some of the height and setback regulations at the St. Mark's frontage of the building. St. Mark's Place is 60 feet wide, which makes it a, a narrow street under zoning. What consideration, if any, was given to shifting the bulk to the Third Avenue frontage, which at a hundred feet is a wide street under zoning, and seeking the bulk relief on that side?

TED ROBOTTOM: Ah, yes. So we, um, you know, I, I hope this answers the question, but we basically were trying to, ah, keep the street wall at, ah, I believe 65 feet, um, which, ah, to your point, Council Member Rivera, I think matches the height of the adjacent buildings along St. Mark's.

Um, so I think as-of-right we could have gone up to, ah, an 85-foot height. Um, but instead we designed a

| SUBC | COMMITTEE | ON | ZONING |
|------|------------|----|--------|
| AND | FRANCHISES | | |

building that would be, I believe, 65 or 60 feet, um,

along St. Mark's to match contextually and conforms

the rest of the, ah, St. Mark's buildings, ah, to the

5 east of us.

2.2

2.3

relatively rare instance where an owner seeks relief through this particular permit, the, the 74-79 special permit. Are you familiar with prior examples of this permit? Can you tell us about prior examples that have been sought outside of a central business district or adjacent to a residential district?

TED ROBOTTOM: Ah, I, I, I cannot. I'm not a zoning attorney. I'm not a land use attorney. Um, but I, you know, happy to try and provide examples by going back to somebody like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: OK. When the City Planning Commission originally adopted this special permit text in 1968 they wrote of a desire to promote architecture that will relate and enrich the areas around landmark sites and not be detrimental to its surroundings. How do you believe your development proposal achieves this?

TED ROBOTTOM: Um, so I think it, it achieves this in, um, a few ways. Um, like I said,

2.2

2.3

you know, before in the beginning, um, we are building one of the only brick-based office buildings, um, you know, in our, in our immediate area. Um, you know, across the street on Astor, um, you know, 51 Astor, obviously, was the massive glass office building. Um, we felt that our project should not be designed like that, um, and we really wanted it to conform to the rest of the buildings on St.

Mark's. So, um, we did that by, ah, not doing much glass, minimizing the, the glass impact and, um, maximizing a, a special brick that we imported.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Understood. Um, again, I just want to express, um, my disappointment, um, the lack of a presentation, the tone of your comments and just not being at the meetings where we really did sit down multiple times in trying to figure out how this was something that made sense, which, you know, thank you for your time. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for the questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, ah,

Councilwoman Rivera. Um, I want to now check with

our counsel to, ah, see if there is any other, ah,

council members, ah, that may have questions.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, I see no members with, ah, additional questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Um, so I want, I want to thank you for your testimony today. But, ah, I want to reiterate this for the record, ah, that this is, ah, something that, ah, really is very disappointing. Um, you know, the inability, ah, to answer, ah, questions that dealt with the bulk and the insufficiency of those answers, ah, the lack of presentation, um, is something that, ah, really has set a, a bad tone here. Um, so I just wanted to make sure that we have that on the record. Ah, with that, I want to thank you for, ah, being here, ah, and now I'm going to dismiss this panel and turn to our counsel, being that there is, ah, other council members, um, with any more questions. Um, Counsel, do we have any members of the public now who wish to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Chair Moya. We have approximately, ah, 12 public witnesses who have signed up to speak. The first witness to testify will be New York State Senator Brad Hoylman. If we still have the senator he will be the first witness to testify.

2 SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you very much.

3 Ah, thank you, Chair Moya, and thank you, ah, Council

4 Member...

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Just one second before 6 you start.

SENATOR HOYLMAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Ah, good to see you,
Senator. Hope you're doing well. Ah, I just want to
make sure that the counsel will, ah, ah, swear you
in...

SENATOR HOYLMAN: Oh.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ... before you begin.

SENATOR HOYLMAN: [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Sorry, you're right,

16 | you're good to go, Senator. My apologies.

SENATOR HOYLMAN: Oh, OK. OK. Well, ah, since, thank you, thank you, Chairman, and thank you, ah, Council Member Rivera. Ah, since we're talking about in part Alexander Hamilton, ah, and the Hamilton Holly House, I just want you to know that it's good to be in the Zoom where it happens. I hope you appreciated that. Um, I just, ah, again, wanted to thank the council, ah, and, for this opportunity. I'm, I'm testifying briefly today, ah, on behalf of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

myself and Assembly Member Deborah Glick, um, about the proposed, ah, transfer of, ah, over 8000 square feet of air rights from the landmark, ah, for St. Mark's Place, the Hamilton Holly House to the site across the street at 3 Marks Place. Um, if approved this transfer, ah, could result in the construction of a 10-story building, we know, at the corner of St. Mark's Place and Third Avenue in the East Village neighborhood that we represent, that we think will be entirely out of character on a historic block with mostly four- and five-story buildings. So we join, ah, the council member with her concerns, along with Community Board 3, ah, Manhattan Borough President Gail Brewer, neighborhood preservationists, ah, and residents in strongly urging you to vote against this application. The application also proposes to modify the height and setback requirements, as you know, ah, of the zoning block, which would allow the developers to penetrate the maximum front wall height and the sky exposure plane. And while the proposed, ah, agreement would create a fund for the ongoing maintenance of the historic Hamilton Holly House, ah, we believe that 5% is really a paltry sum in relationship to what the community is being asked to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We have deep reservations, ah, regarding the accept. terms of the transfer for this project and legitimacy of a change to the zoning resolution that will facilitate the construction of a building with about twice as many stories as others on this historic block. Um, just wanted to also say that we fully support the sentiments raised by the borough president, ah, in her February 2020 ULURP recommendation and by Community Board 3 in their December 2019 resolution opposing this application, ah, as well as groups such as the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation and the East Village Community Coalition. We thank them for their advocacy and outspokenness, ah, on this important issue. And while the developers may be willing to allocate an amount for the perpetual maintenance of the Hamilton Holly House, it doesn't seem to exist a guarantee that further development won't put the historic house at risk. Um, moreover, the plan to help fund the preservation of the historic Hamilton House, ah, is represented as the public benefit. it does not account for the broader concept, context of the neighborhood, whose unique character is constantly threatened by the development process.

62

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

AND FRANCHISES

1

2 Um, so long and short, um, we oppose this

3 application. Ah, um, we think the Hamilton Holly

4 House should be preserved on merit for being a

5 notable structure in our community that represents an

6 | important part of New York City history, not

7 necessarily because sale of air rights made that

8 possible. For these reasons, we ask you to vote

9 against this application. And, again, thank you very

10 much, Mr. Chair, for your time.

11 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Senator.

12 Good to see you.

SENATOR HOYLMAN: Nice to see you. Miss

14 you in Albany.

15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thanks. Safe New

16 Year. Ah, thank you for your testimony. If any

17 | council members have any questions for this witness,

18 | ah, please indicate by, ah, using the raise hand

19 | button. Seeing none, ah, OK, there will be no

20 | further council member questions, ah, for this

21 | witness. I'll now turn it over to, ah, our counsel.

22 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: For members of the

23 | public who are testifying today, please note that

24 once you have completed your testimony you will be

25 | removed from the meeting as the next speaker is

2.2

2.3

produced. At that time you may continue to view the live stream broadcast, ah, for the hearing at the council's website. Members of the public, ah, members of the public will be given two minutes to speak, and I would remind you to, ah, not begin until the Sergeant at Arms has started the clock. The next speaker on this item will be Harry Bovins. Harry Bovins.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

HARRY BOVINS: Hello. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We can hear you,

Harry.

ah, thank you to our local Council Member Rivera for continuing to listen to the community and the community board resolution in opposition to this project especially. Ah, Village Preservation is the largest membership organization in Greenwich Village, the East Village, and NoHo. We strongly oppose the air rights transfer from the landmark 4 St. Mark's Place to an office tower at 3 St. Mark's Place. The potential benefit to the landmark house in the neighborhood is simply not worth the trade-off. Much of the restoration of 4 St. Mark's Place has already

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

been executed. The applicant is simply seeking to get after-the-fact credit and financial benefit for this work and extra square footage for an office tower for additional work that is not needed and doesn't justify this transfer. We have serious concerns about the planned office tower at 3 St. Mark's Place, a gateway to the East Village. We believe an even larger office tower at this prominent location would have a negative impact upon the character of the neighborhood. Part of the beauty and significance of 4 St. Mark's Place, which our organization successfully proposed for a landmark designation in 2002, are the changes it's undergone over time. To simply erase those to gain approval for the air rights transfer is unnecessary and wrong. We are huge supporters of historic preservation. The nearby Merchant's House Museum is a perfectly preserved slice of New York from 200 years ago. is not what this house, which isn't open to the public, should be or needs to be. Erasing all the history to create a facsimile of what the building looked like two centuries ago is neither desirable nor justifies the air rights transfer. The real purpose of the applicant is to simply increase the

2.2

2.3

you.

size of the office tower. The special purpose, the special permit is not necessary to fund needed or even desirable work. The neighborhood is undergoing significant detrimental changes as the city seeks to extend Midtown South and Silicone Alley to the area. We continue to demand landmark and zoning protections here to prevent that from happening. Allowing this tech office tower to increase in size by 20% would only make that situation worse. We urgely, urge you to reject the application before you today. Thank

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Harry, for your testimony. Ah, Counsel, do we have any council members, ah, who may have questions, ah, for this witness? We got to unmute you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: That's OK.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, ah, at this time I see no members with questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Ah, there being no, ah, further council members with questions, ah, the witness is now excused. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will be Katherine Schoonover, Katherine Schoonover.

2

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

3 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Katherine?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Katherine, you're gonna unmute yourself and you may begin when you're ready.

KATHERINE SCHOONOVER: Thank you. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We can health ru.

KATHERINE SCHOONOVER: OK. My name is Katherine Schoonover and I'm here to testify in opposition to the proposed air rights transfer from 4 St. Mark's Place to a new, completely out-of-place office building being built at 3 St. Mark's Place. I'm a lifelong villager and grew up on Stuyvesant Street, around the corner from the site at issue. know the area well. It is known the world over as the center of the East Village and its famous counter The proposed office building is totally culture. inappropriate for the site, excuse me, um, and allowing the building to be 20% larger than it could otherwise be by means of an air rights transfer would only make it that much more intrusive on the character of the neighborhood and its value as a tourist destination. Furthermore, the notion that

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

AND FRANCHISES the air rights transfer is justified because it will benefit a designated landmark is laughable, since only 5% of the proceeds of the transfer are even designated to be used to maintain the historic building across the street at 4 St. Mark's Place. The rest will simply go to enrich the developers who own the property. There will therefore be little to no public benefit from allowing this transaction to proceed, whereas there will be clear harm to the neighborhood and ultimately to the city if it does proceed, because the value of St. Mark's Place as a tourist destination will be diminished. Further, the life of the neighborhood will also be diminished because the office building will continue the alarming trend of homogenizing formerly distinctive areas of the city with fungible office developments everywhere. A larger office building will mean just that much more damage to St. Mark's Place. strongly urge you to deny the application to transfer these air rights and to instead urge the Landmarks Preservation Commission to consider extending historic district protection in the area, consistent

> SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

with its unique character and social and cultural...

2

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

KATHERINE SCHOONOVER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, ah,

4 Katherine. Thank you for your testimony. Counsel,

5 do we have any, ah, council members that have any

6 questions for this witness?

 $\label{eq:committee} \mbox{COMMITTEE COUNSEL:} \quad \mbox{Chair Moya, I see no} \\ \mbox{members with questions at this time.}$

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Ah, there being no further council members with questions, ah, the witness is now excused.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next witness will be John Center, John Center. And I will remind the public that once removed from the meeting you will be able to view a live stream broadcast at the council's website using the link to virtual room 3.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JOHN CENTER: Chair Moya and council members, my name is John Center. I've lived on East, ah...

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: All right, let's start all over again. Um, it's OK, no worries. If the Sergeant at Arms could just help...

JOHN CENTER: I'm sorry, sir.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: It's OK. Take your time. Whenever you're ready, John.

JOHN CENTER: Chair Moya and council members, my name is John Center. I've lived on East Ninth Street for more than 25 years. I'm opposed to the transfer of air rights on St. Mark's Place from number 4 to number 3. In short, REEC's proposal I urge you, the full Committee on Land Use, and the entire City Council to deny this application. [inaudible] Community Board 3 and other elected officials who have opposed it. Many neighbors share my view that it will be bad enough that the East Village gets yet another large commercial office building. Rampant, out-of-place commercial development continues from Union Square south to Astor Place while, um, numerous nearby storefronts remain vacant. We need better zoning and landmark protections here, not an annex to the [inaudible] at 51 Astor Place. I was resigned to see a strictly commercial office building plan for 3 St. Mark's Place. But to add another 8400 square feet to the project by transfer [inaudible], the bulk of any benefits would go to the private developers, not to the public. We don't need to increase [inaudible]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FAR from 6.0 to 7.2. The developer says that with the air rights transfer this new building would better fit in with other nearby buildings, but this is a flawed notion since several of those buildings are oversize from abuse of the community facilities bonus section of the zoning resolution. I appreciate the historic repairs and renovations that have been made at the Hamilton Holly House. However, the promise of continuing maintenance plan in exchange for a transfer of air rights is not enough. Please deny this application. I submitted a longer written testimony and respectfully ask that you read and consider it before you vote. Thank you. My written testimony addresses some of the applicant's comments today in detail.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, John.

Thank you for your testimony. Um, Counsel, do we have any council members that, ah, may have any questions for the witness?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, there are no members with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: There being no further, ah, council members, ah, with questions, ah, the witness is now excused. Thank you, John.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will 3 be Susie Schrock, Susie Schrock.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

5 SUSIE SCHROCK: Hello, everybody. Um,

6 can you hear me?

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We can hear you, Susie.

SUSIE SCHROCK: Yes, OK, great. Um, so I am the tenants' association president at 8 St. Mark's Place and I'm also a county committee member. I'm here to strongly, um, oppose the air rights transfer from 3 St. Mark's Place. Um, this is a very important project to the community and I have to say, um, for somebody to come to this meeting unprepared is kind of insulting, considering how much time we have spent in looking into these things. I question why the only options are pretty with an extra 8000 square foot, or insanely ugly. Good designers can solve issues like that. And the advantages, quote unquote, advantages that are presented to the community, we have never seen those proposals and we don't know what they are. And, um, I don't understand how a developer could think that we're, you know, unpersuadable. So, um, I want to say that,

AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

neighborhood...

um, the fact that there is no proposal on the table and the interaction with the community shows how genuinely intent this developer is on developing a relationship with the people here. They don't care. And COVID has demonstrated further infrastructure [inaudible], um, the adding of retail, ah, in this particular location could only, um, further, um, increase an over-congestion that we're already experiencing. Right now, for those of you who have been on the block, um, it's extremely congested. One part is the construction and the fact that it's a narrow street. Um, brick-and-mortar detail in the

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

area is pretty dead. And adding more retail to the

KATHERINE SCHOONOVER: ...will only hurt, um, ah, local businesses. I strongly, um, encourage, ah, you to vote against this application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. Um, Counsel, do we have any council members that have any questions, ah, for this witness?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, no, no members with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Ah, there being, ah, no further council members with questions, ah, the witness is now excused. Thank you so much for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will be Tom Burchard. Tom Burchard.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Tom? Whenever you're

10 ready.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

TOM BURCHARD: OK, I'm ready. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yep, we can hear you.

TOM BURCHARD: OK, good. Thank you.

Thank you very much for this opportunity to, ah, say a few words about this project. Um, let me introduce myself. I'm Tom Burchard. I own Veselka, a restaurant on the corner of Second Avenue and Ninth Street. Um, I've been there for a long time. I love

the neighborhood. I consider myself a very fortunate

21 | East Villager. Um, I've always regarded this

22 intersection as the entryway, the gateway, the, ah,

23 border, if you will, to the East Village. Um, and to

me it's particularly distressing now to have a large

office building built on the corner where formerly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

there was a candy store, a pizza parlor, a dive bar, further down a bookstore. Um, listening to the developer make his presentation I consider it highly, highly unlikely that any business like that will go into this new building. Um, again, when I, when I cross Third Avenue I've, I, I feel like I'm entering my home neighborhood. I'm leave, I'm going into a neighborhood that's characterized by small buildings, many shops, mom and pop shops, many, many, ah, of whom are run by people I know. And to have that corner now occupied by a large office building is really emblematic of the extreme, um, ah, development pressure our neighborhood is facing and honestly it's very, ah, distressing. So I, I ask you to please not allow, not to approve this application, not to reward this developer with additional floor space, and I also urge the council to please, um, institute increased zoning and, ah, landmarking protections for our neighborhood, which is so severely...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

TOM BURCHARD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Tom. Thank you for your testimony. Um, Counsel, do we have any

such designations in preserving our city's

25

2 neighborhoods and flavors. The East Village is a

3 unique neighborhood, reflecting the diverse

4 immigration from many countries to a neighborhood

5 where people live together in peace. The

6 construction site at 3 St. Mark's Place is across

7 | from the Cooper Union's historic main building where,

8 | hi, do I need to click something?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: No, you're fine,

10 Katherine.

1

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

at St. Mark's, 3 St. Mark's Place, is across from the Cooper Union's historic main building, where President Abraham Lincoln gave a famous address in the 1800s. Granting air rights for this construction will impact this building and the Astor Place area, which is also already overcrowded. A large building will place additional burdens on subway and bus service, not to mention to pedestrians on already overcrowded nearby sidewalks and traffic. We already have NYU and Cooper Union dormitories and classrooms within a few blocks of this neighborhood. Other infrastructure affected would be water supply and electrical service. I strongly urge you not to

2.2

2.3

2 order, not to allow this air rights transfer to take

3 | place at 3 St. Mark's Place. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, ah, for your testimony. Ah, Counsel, do we have any council members, ah, that have any questions for, ah, this witness?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, no council members with questions for this witness.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: There being no further, ah, council members, ah, that have questions for the witness, ah, you're now excused.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will be Mary Fran Lotftus. Mary Fran Loftus.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MARY FRAN LOFTUS: Hello?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yep.

MARY FRAN LOFTUS: Oh, OK. My name is

Mary Fran Loftus. I have lived at Third Avenue and

Ninth Street for over 25 years. Against the proposed

air rights transfer from the landmark St. Mark's

Place to a new commercial office building at 3 St.

Mark's Place, as this does not serve the public

interest. An oversized office tower does not belong

on St. Mark's Place. In this time of the COVID-19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pandemic when many of our neighbors struggle to pay their rent, every block is strewn with for-lease signs on storefronts and offices require their staff to work remotely. It is unconscionable to impose a strictly commercial building on this unique and mainly residential neighborhood. This corner is considered the gateway [inaudible] known for its rich cultural history and art and music. As Manhattan Borough President Gail Brewer said in her decision, "The building's stated use and design is devoid of any acknowledge [inaudible]." The meager 5% of the [inaudible] sale of the air rights that would go towards maintenance of the Hamilton Holly House show that this proposed transfer offers relatively little benefit to the public and little true preservation purpose. To my eyes, the only purpose of the planned transfer is greed, thereby lining the pockets of the The additional square footage requested developers. in this proposal is equivalent to 11 one-bedroom apartments which would better serve our community. Rather than transfer air rights, we need improved zoning and landmark protections in this area. fervently urge the committee to protect the people you have been elected to serve and whose taxes pay

| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING 79 AND FRANCHISES |
|----|--|
| 2 | your salaries. Join the unanimous decision by |
| 3 | Community Board 3 and Borough President Gail Brewer. |
| 4 | Deny this proposal. Thank you. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for your |
| 6 | testimony. Um, Counsel, do we have any council |
| 7 | members, ah, that have any questions for this |
| 8 | witness? |
| 9 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, I see no |
| 10 | members with questions for the witness. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Ah, there being no |
| 12 | further council members with questions, ah, the |
| 13 | witness is now excused. |
| 14 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will |
| 15 | be Kathleen Wakeham. Kathleen Wakeham. |
| 16 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Kathleen? |
| 18 | KATHLEEN WAKEHAM: Yes, I'm here, I |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Kathleen? |
| 20 | KATHLEEN WAKEHAM: Yes, um |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yep, so, Kathleen, |
| 22 | wait before you start. |
| 23 | KATHLEEN WAKEHAM: Yes. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK. |
| | |

KATHLEEN WAKEHAM: Can you hear me?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, we can hear you.
[inaudible]

[inaudible], ah, the KATHLEEN WAKEHAM: Metropolitan Council on Housing. I am a rentstabilized tenant who has lived in the East Village since 1973. Because I am aware of the needs and character of our community I ask please do not approve air rights or any other concessions for the proposed high rise at 3 St. Mark's Place. As we know, over 24,000 New Yorkers have died from COVID-19. Over a million have lost jobs and another million are facing eviction because of inability to pay rent. In these times our community does not need another high-rise tower. This proposed tower will not provide employment because many are working from home, if they are not already unemployment. changing face of the world of work demonstrates that high-rise office space is something of the past, not the future. It will not provide needed affordable housing. Rather, it will only increase the vacancy rate to over 5% because very few, if any, can afford rents in a high-rise tower. The benchmark of 5% by the Housing Vacancy Survey may end rent stabilization. The survey is to be issued next year

1

- 2 pending legislation in Albany. Also, this
- 3 construction will drastically impact our
- 4 neighborhood. It will be an erection of the Grim
- 5 Reaper, Reaper, over the demise of the East Village.
- 6 Please do not approve air rights or any other
- 7 concessions for the proposed high-rise tower at 3 St.
- 8 Mark's Place. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you,
- 10 | Kathleen, for your testimony. Ah, Counsel, do we
- 11 | have any council members that have, ah, any questions
- 12 | for this witness?
- 13 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, no
- 14 council members with questions at this time.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK, so there being no,
- 16 ah, further council members with questions, ah, the
- 17 witness is now excused. Thank you so much, Kathleen.
- 18 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will
- 19 be Laura Sewell. Laura Sewell.
- 20 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Laura?
- 22 LAURA SEWELL: I am joining. Thank you.
- 23 | Um, I'm Laura Sewell. I'm trying to start my video.
- 24 | Here we go. I'm Laura Sewell...
- 25 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [inaudible]. OK.

1

LAURA SEWELL: ...the director of the East 2 3 Village Community Coalition and a long-time East 4 Village resident. The developers have heard over and over again from our community regarding use of an air 5 rights transfer for this particular project. 6 7 Community board hearings on the subject, both 8 Landmarks and Land Use Hearing Committees, exceeded capacity. They were packed. People waited hours for the chance to say the same thing. Our community 10 11 simply doesn't find enough public benefit on offer. CB3 agreed. Limited additional work and a 12 13 maintenance plan for the recently restored Hamilton 14 Holly House does not offset the impact of adding an 15 oversized commercial property here, at the expense of 16 a neighborhood already experiencing extreme 17 development pressure. The response from the 18 developers to any real community concessions was that it was not an option. We had an attitude of you 19 20 don't seem to understand we're gonna build anyway. We could have made it all glass. Or we'll make it 21 2.2 prettier if we can make it bigger. That was the 2.3 response. And, and I agree with the people who said today that that is extremely disappointing, for such 24 25 an important corner to our neighborhood.

the opportunity to speak.

2.2

2.3

it's a, it is the gateway. It is a plaza, um, that
is the path to the East Village from the West Side
and any development here should reflect the character
of the Lower East Side, East Village historic
district. Thank you very much for your time and for

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, ah, for your testimony. Counsel, do you have any council members, ah, that have any questions, ah, for this witness?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, no council members with questions for this witness.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Um, OK, there being no further, ah, council members with questions, the witness is now excused.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will be Trevor Stuart. Trevor Stuart.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TREVOR STUART: Ah, Chair Moya, ah, subcommittee members, ah, thank you very much for the opportunity to speak in opposition to, ah, the proposed air rights transfer, and I believe in support of Councilwoman Rivera's opposition. Ah, my name is Trevor Stuart and I've lived in the village

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING

1

25

needed.

AND FRANCHISES 2 for over half my life. Ah, the office tower planned 3 for 3 St. Mark's Place is completely inappropriate 4 for this neighborhood. More office space and retail space unfortunately crying for a bank, a drug store, or a Starbucks, [inaudible] what we need. 6 7 Unfortunately, this is part of the city's misconceived plan to transform the neighborhood into 8 an extension of Silicone Alley in Midtown South by 9 demolishing, ah, low-rise historic residential 10 11 buildings and replacing them with high-rise office 12 The [inaudible] of the 14th Street tech hub towers. 13 last year is accelerating this disturbing trend. Unfortunately, the damage to 3 St. Mark's Place is 14 15 now down, it's history, water under the bridge. 16 matter before you today is whether to add insult to 17 injury to make this inappropriate development at 3 18 St. Mark's 20% larger by approving the transfer of air rights from the landmark Hamilton Holly House 19 across the street. The proposed air rights transfer 20 would harm the character of the East Village, as 21 2.2 you've heard from others, and is not in the public 2.3 interest. The 5% of the proceeds that would go towards maintenance of Hamilton Holly is hardly 24

The house is in good condition and doesn't

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 need an air rights transfer to fund its maintenance.

3 There's little benefit for the public and little true

4 preservation purpose. Instead, the purpose of the

5 planned transfer seemed largely to be to enrich two

6 developers. Instead of increasing the size of this

7 | out-of-character development, the city should instead

8 extend real landmark concerning protections to this

9 area. Unfortunately, it has consistently refused to

10 do so. I strongly urge you to not approve this air

11 | rights transfer. Thank you very much for the

12 | opportunity to speak.

13 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, ah, for 14 your testimony. Ah, Counsel, do we have any council

members that have any questions for this witness?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, no members with questions for this witness.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Ah, there being no further, ah, council members, ah, with questions, the witness is now excused. Thank you so much for your testimony today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will be Anita Isola, Anita Isola.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ANITA ISOLA: Ah, can you hear me?

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We can hear you.

ANITA ISOLA: OK. Um, I'm sorry, I don't know what happened here. But, anyhow, ah, I live at the, I live on East 10th Street between Broadway and Fourth Avenue. I have lived in the village for my whole 70 years. And I have watched the changes that have been coming about. The most drastic is what I'm seeing now in this East Village area, this south of Union Square that has been targeted by the city as, ah, the new Silicone Alley or Midtown South. There is, this building is totally out of character. it totally mars the gateway to the East Village. There is no public benefit, because the meager 5% of the proceeds is not needed to maintain this building. Um, the argument that was made about these local businesses that will be moving in is also ludicrous. No local, little local businesses typically of the East Village will be moving in there. There will be bank, a bank, and there will be a drug store, and maybe, if you're lucky, there will be another nail salon. Ah, I am, I am very deeply opposed to this transfer. What we need, what we need in this area is a rezoning and landmarking protecting that will prevent any further marring of this area. Ah, thank

2.2

2 you for your attention. I very strongly, I very
3 strongly urge that you deny this request. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. Um, Counsel, do we have any council members, ah, who have any questions for this witness?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, I see no members with questions for this witness.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. There being, ah, no further council members with questions, the witness is now excused.

other members of the public who wishes to testify on LU number 680 for the 3 St. Mark's Place proposal, please press the raise hand button now. The meeting will now stand at ease while we check for members of the public. Pardon me, Chair Moya, there are no other members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Um, there being no other members of the public who wish to testify for the public hearing on LU 680 for 3 St.

Mark's Place, ah, the hearing is now closed. Ah, that concludes today's business. Today's

2.2

2.3

applications are laid over. Ah, I remind you that if you have, ah, written testimony on the items you may submit to, ah, landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov, ah, and please indicate the LU number and/or the project name in the subject heading. Ah, I would like to thank the applicants, members of the public, my colleagues, the subcommittee counsel, ah, and land

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, sorry, just before you adjourn...

use and council staff, the Sergeant at Arms....

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yes?

 $\label{eq:committee} \mbox{COMMITTEE COUNSEL:} \quad \mbox{We'll come back for } \\ \mbox{the vote.}$

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Oh, my apologies.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ah, on the subcommittee vote, I have a vote of 5 in the affirmative, 1 in the negative, and no abstentions. The item is approved and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. And now that concludes today's, ah, business. Today's applications are laid over. I remind you that if you have written testimony on these items you may please submit them to the landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Please indicate the LU number and/or project name in the subject heading. And of course I would like to thank again the applicants, members of the public, my colleagues, the subcommittee counsel, land use and other council staff, and the Sergeant at Arms for participating in today's hearing. Ah, this meeting is hereby adjourned. [gavel] Thank you.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 4, 2020