CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

----- X

September 21, 2020 Start: 10:09 a.m. Recess: 2:17 p.m.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING

B E F O R E: Keith Powers,

Chairperson of Committee on

Criminal Justice

Carlina Rivera,

Chairperson of Committee on

Hospitals

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alicka Ampry-Samuel Robert F. Holden Rory I. Lancman Diana Ayala Alan N. Maisel Antonio Reynoso

APPEARANCES

Patsy Yang CHS Senior Vice President

Cynthia Brann DOC Commissioner

Ross MacDonald
CHS Chief Medical Officer

Carlos Castellanos Chief Operations Officer

Brenda Cooke
DOC Chief of Staff Brenda Cooke

Hazel Jennings Chief of Department

Patricia Feeney
Deputy Commissioner

Heidi Grossman Deputy Commissioner General Counsel

Judy Beale
Deputy Commissioner of Programs

Timothy Farrell
Senior Deputy Commissioner

Maureen Danko
Deputy Commissioner IT Division

Richard Bush Senior Correctional Institutional Administrator

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Patricia Lyons
Deputy Commissioner of Finance

Lanelle McGinley
Acting Deputy Commissioner and Chief Diversity
Officer

Francis Torres
Acting Commissioner Programs Division Francis

Margaret Egan
Executive Director Margaret Egan Board of
Correction

Bobby Cohen
Board Member, Board of Correction

Emily Turner

Benny Boscio Jr.
President of the Correction Officers Benevolent
Association

Anna Berger Carlsen
Lawyer with the Legal Aid Society in the
Criminal Defense Practice

Kayla Simpson
Staff Attorney with the Legal Aid Society
Prisoners' Rights Project

Orayne Williams
Criminal Defense Social Worker at the Bronx
Defender

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT.)

Stan Germaine
Executive Director of New York County Defender
Services

Tonya Krupat
Director of the Osborne Center for Justice
Across Generations

Andre Ward
Associate Vice President of the David Rothenberg
Center for Public Policy at the Fortune Society

Zachary Katznelson

Sarita Katznelson Member of the Jails Action Coalition and the Justice for Women Taskforce

Dr. Victoria Phillips, Ms. V Jails Action Coalition

Jordyn Rosenthal
Director of Community Engagement for the Woman's
Community Justice Association

Kelsey De Avila Brooklyn Defender Services

Sammie Werkheiser 41-years-old and an advocate for pregnant women who are incarcerated in New York City

Kelly Grace Price
Founder of Close Rosie's

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT.)

Donna Hylton

Edda Ness Defense Lawyer

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Pedro, please start the 3 recording. 4 SERGEANT DAUTAJ: Cloud recording is good. 5 SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Good morning and welcome to 6 today's Remote New York City Council hearing of the 7 Committee on Criminal Justice jointly with the 8 Committee on Hospitals. 9 At this time, would all Council Members and staff 10 please turn on their video. To minimize disruption, 11 please place electronic devices on vibrate or silent 12 mode. If you wish to submit testimony, you may do so at testimonies@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that's 13 14 testimonies@council.nyc.gov. 15 Thank you for your cooperation, we are ready to 16 begin. 17 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Alright, good morning 18 everyone. I think we are all set right, on the back 19 end and we are live streaming? 20 SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Excellent, okay. [GAVEL] 2.2 Thank you for joining our virtual hearing today for the Committee's on Hospitals and Criminal Justice. 23 24 Today, the Committee's will be examining the

Department of Correction and Correctional Health

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 7

Services management of COVID-19. I would like to acknowledge that we have been joined by our colleagues. I see of course my fellow Chair Council Member Powers, Council Members Ayala, Holden,

Lancman, Maisel, Reynoso, and Council Member Alicka Ampry-Samuel. Making sure I didn't miss anyone.

Great, okay.

So, good morning. My name is Carlina Rivera and I am Chair of the Committee on Hospitals. I would like to start by thanking my colleague Council Member Keith Powers for Chairing this hearing with me today. I would also like to thank all of you who have joined us for this remote hearing. We are here today to examine the Department of Correction and Correctional Health Services Management of COVID-19.

This pandemic is unlike anything we had ever seen before and has caused immeasurable hardship for our city but especially for our justice system and those who are incarcerated.

As of September 20th, there have been 235,649 cases and 19,149 confirmed deaths in New York City and of those who are able to be tested, 1,423 Department of Correction staff, 576 individuals in

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 8 custody and 205 CHS staff have tested positive for the virus.

2.2

2.3

Today, I look forward to hearing about how CHS and DOC have worked to keep those incarcerated and working in our correctional facilities safe and importantly, how we plan to continue to keep individuals safe in the event of a second wave.

I want to commend CHS and DOC's efforts and I am also thankful for all those who have spoken out about these issues throughout the pandemic. In particular, I am mindful of those who are currently working within our justice systems who have come forward to ensure we address problems to keep those incarcerated safe.

Due to the monumental efforts of New Yorkers, we are experiencing a consistently low infection rate within the state. One that has remained below 1 percent for over a month. As restrictions continue to ease, including the reopening of indoor dining and schools, I am concerned as we all are about a potential increase in cases. I am particularly mindful about those in congregate settings, including individuals incarcerated and those working in the facilities as we have seen the devasting impacts

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

COVID-19 can have in similar settings including

correctional facilities in other states.

I am also mindful that as we discuss these issues, there are simultaneous discussions about the need to reform our justice system. The layered impacts of systemic racism have led to the incarceration of some of our most vulnerable New Yorkers including those who come from areas disproportionately affected by COVID-19's health and economic impacts.

I want to center our hearing today around health and racial justice and equity and I want to ensure that we are doing all we can to protect those incarcerated as well as those who work within these facilities. While I commend CHS and DOC thus far, maintaining a low amount of COVID infections, I want to be confident that we are maintaining such figures and are ensuring our data is accurate.

The Board of Corrections BOC and others have expressed concern about inconsistent PPE use. In an ability to maintain proper social distancing and sanitation practices, and such actions could be devastating if infection rates begin to rise.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

While DOC and CHS provide numerous data sources regarding the health of those incarcerated, some of the data needs to be clarified and better understand how successfully we are meeting the needs of those in custody.

CHS began making data on the number of individuals tested for infection available daily on June 29th. While we appreciate these efforts, on average 73 percent of results on a given day are listed as pending rather than listing either a positive or a negative test result. This implies that the results from these pending tests are not being reported by CHS. Without understanding how many new infections were confirmed on any given day, it becomes impossible to understand the COVID-19 infection rate.

Monitoring infection rates in a timely and efficient way is crucial for maintaining control over the spread of the virus. Also, while we know that people entering custody are screened and tested for COVID-19, we are unsure how accessible tests are for those who are incarcerated. Additionally, although Local Law 59 requires that CHS report on the number of voicemails left on all CHS phone calls, CHS claims

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 11 that the Department is not able to determine whether a phone call placed to a CHS number resulted in a voice message and does not have access to the CHS voice message system and therefore, cannot determine the number of voice messages left on such phone numbers.

2.2

2.3

Therefore, it is unclear to us how many people in custody are receiving timely access to healthcare. This is highlighted in a report by the BOC about complaints and requests for information received by Office of Constituent and Grievance Services from March 5th to April 30th. According to a report, 19 percent or 1,016 of the more 5,000 total grievances received or identified as COVID-19 related.

Of the grievances OCGS accepted about 220 were referred to CHS and the Board does not have access to these resolutions. This is of high concern, considering that the Board found that medical and mental health complaints were the most frequent kind of grievances OCGS received. The Boards findings show that for the COVID-19 grievances and the medical H&H category, 30 percent, 110 were about access to medical care which were filed by people in custody.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 12 exposure to COVID-19 and 12 percent, 45 were about-people concerned about exposure to COVID-19 and 12 percent were about the lack of preventive measures in the facilities including the lack of testing.

2.2

2.3

The high frequency of medical and mental health complaints must be further examined and I look forward to hearing more about healthcare access and access to testing today.

Thank you all again for being here today and I look forward to a robust discussion. I will now turn it over to my Co-Chair Council Member Powers.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you Council Member
Rivera and good morning everyone. I am City Council
Member Keith Powers; Chair of the Committee on
Criminal Justice and I am glad everyone could join us
remotely for today's joint hearing on the Department
of Correction and Correction Health Services
Management of COVID in city jails. And before I get
into the rest of my remarks, I just want to say thank
you to everybody who has been working on the
frontlines in helping to fight the pandemic.

I know that we feel like we're in a different place here today but for many of the folks who went through the last few months, I know this was a very

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

difficult task and I want to say thank you to everybody, the doctors, the nurses, the staff, the DOC, for their efforts and their bravery to be doing this work at a time when it was not easy. And I am glad we are here today to do another hearing related to COVID in our city jails. We are now six months into the COVID-19 pandemic and although we feel the worst is behind us as New York City gradually reopens, I think we all know that the threat of COVID-19 infection in our jails remains.

Public health officials predict a second wave of COVID-19 infection in the months ahead and people in custody are among the most vulnerable as jail environments allow the virus to spread rapidly. numbers speak for themselves. At the height of this pandemic, nearly 400 incarcerated people tested positive for COVID-19 and at least three died from the virus.

The number of positive cases has declined to less than 300 as of September 4th in large part, thanks to the hard work with DOC and CHS and the work that they have done to keep people in custody safe. But with the potential second wave looming and an uptick in the jail population particularly among the vulnerable COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 14

people in custody, we have to remain vigilant in our

oversight and in our efforts.

2.2

2.3

Today, we are going to look at CHS and DOC's effort to identify, separate, monitor, and treat sick and vulnerable people in custody and those exposed to COVID-19. Efforts by DOC and CHS to institute testing for people entering custody has been essential to fighting the spread of COVID-19 but there are still questions about delays in getting test results back. The housing of people who refuse testing at intake and what kind of health information is being provided to people in custody.

There are also gaps in the DOC's data that we would like to fail in terms of testing and grievances and as you know we passed legislation in May, I believe it was to require better reporting and data and we do appreciate the efforts on weekly basis to give us an update on it but I think we'd still like to see much more date provided to us and to be able to fill those gaps.

Testing for staff and ensuring safety protocols are followed by staff is also crucial to preventing transmission to the virus from the community into our jails, yet we have not seen a commitment to mandate a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 15

testing for staff. We've seen that in Michigan where the State Department of Correction required the staff to get tested by COVID-19 and we believe this is a good policy. It's something that Council Member Holden and others have been pushing for early in the pandemic to make sure that there was available testing for staff and I want to thank him and others for that effort.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We've also received many reports of DOC staff not wearing masks appropriately while patrolling facilities which I have also observed while I was there. While working security check points or shuttling visitors to Rikers Island. The Board of Corrections has also documented inconsistent sanitation practices and mask use amongst DOC staff, which we feel needs to be addressed. And I want to say, this is not to pick on staff or pick on an agency but it is part of preventing the spread of the virus and we do want to make sure that all agencies and particularly ones that are again with people in custody are adhering to those safety protocols. not a gotcha, it's a way to make sure that we are doing all we can to prevent the virus of being spread.

Today, I want to hear from DOC and CHS on their plans to prevent a second wave including safety measures put in place for any future reopening of religious service, programming, in-person family visitation and in-person attorney visits. The lack of clear information so far around a reopening plan in jails worries me, and I believe it worries all of us. We also needed more information from CHS around their contact tracing program in terms of how the program is functioning at its reach. But ultimately, it is the city's duty and responsibility to keep people in custody safe, especially people who are particularly vulnerable to COVID-19 and that goes as well as keeping all our staff safe as well.

I am going to thank all of the staff here for putting together this hearing. I want to thank all the Council Members in attendance and Chair Rivera for their effort and diligence making sure that we are being ready for a second wave and being able to do the work that we need to do to keep people safe.

And I will now turn it back to Chair Rivera.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you so much Chair

Powers for your leadership on this issue. I am going

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 17 to turn it over to our moderator Committee Counsel Harbani Ahuja to go over some procedural items.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. My name is Harbani Ahuja and I am Counsel to the Committee on Hospitals at the New York City Council.

Before we begin, I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on testify, when you will be unmuted by the host. I will be calling on panelists to testify. Please listen for your name to be called. I will be periodically announcing who the next panelist will be.

The first panelist to give testimony will be representatives from Correctional Health Services and the Department of Correction. CHS testimony will be provided by Senior Vice President Patsy Yang and DOC testimony will be provided by Commissioner Cynthia Brann. Additionally, the following representatives will be available for answering questions from CHS Chief Medical Officer Ross MacDonald and Chief Operations Officer Carlos Castellanos.

From DOC Chief of Staff Brenda Cooke, Chief of
Department Hazel Jennings, Deputy Commissioner
Patricia Feeney, Deputy Commissioner General Counsel
Heidi Grossman, Deputy Commissioner of Programs Judy

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 18 Beale, Senior Deputy Commissioner Timothy Farrell, 2 3 Deputy Commissioner IT Division Maureen Danko, Senior Correctional Institutional Administrator Richard 4 Bush, Deputy Commissioner of Finance Patricia Lyons, Acting Deputy Commissioner and Chief Diversity 6 7 Officer Lanelle McGinley and Acting Commissioner Programs Division Francis Torres. 8 I will call on you when it is your turn to speak.

I will call on you when it is your turn to speak.

During the hearing, if Council Members would like to ask a question of the Administration or of a specific panelist, please use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in order.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We will be calling agency representatives and panels and ask that Council Members reserve their questions for after each panelist finish testifying. All hearing participants should submit written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

We will now call on representatives of the Administration to testify. Before we begin, I will administer the oath.

Patsy Yang, Ross MacDonald, Carlos Castellanos,
Commissioner Brann, Brenda Cooke, Hazel Jennings,
Patricia Feeney, Heidi Grossman, Judy Beale, Timothy
Farrell, Maureen Danko, Richard Bush, Patricia Lyons,

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
1
                                                      19
         COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS
2
    Lynelle McGinley, Francis Torres. I will call on
3
    each of you individually for a response. Please
4
    raise your right hands.
5
        Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth
    and nothing but the truth in your testimony before
6
7
    this Committee and to respond honestly to Council
8
    Member questions? Patsy Yang?
9
        PATSY YANG: I do.
        COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Ross MacDonald?
10
11
        ROSS MACDONALD: I do.
12
        COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Carlos
13
    Castellanos?
14
        CARLOS CASTELLANOS: I do.
15
        COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Commissioner
    Brann?
16
17
        CYNTHIA BRANN: I do.
18
        COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Brenda Cooke?
19
        BRENDA COOKE: I do.
20
        COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Hazel Jennings?
21
        HAZEL JENNINGS: Good morning, I do.
2.2
        COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Patricia Feeney?
2.3
        PATRICIA FEENEY: I do.
        COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Heidi Grossman?
24
```

HEIDI GROSSMAN: I do.

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 20
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Judy Beale?
3	JUDY BEALE: I do.
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Timothy Farrell?
5	TIMOTHY FARRELL: I do.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Maureen Danko?
7	BRENDA COOKE: She is not participating in this
8	meeting.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, thank you. Richard
10	Bush?
11	RICHARD BUSH: I do.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Patricia Lyons?
13	PATRICIA LYONS: I do.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Lanelle McGinley?
15	LANELLE MAGENLY-LIDY: I do.
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you and Francis Torres?
17	FRANCIS TORRES: I do.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Senior Vice
19	President Patsy Yang, you may begin your testimony
20	when you are ready.
21	PATSY YANG: Great, hi, good morning Chairpersons
22	Powers and Rivera and Members of the Criminal Justice
23	and Hospitals Committee.
24	I am Patsy Yang, Senior Vice President at New

York City Health and Hospitals for Correctional

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 21

Health Services, also referred to as CHS. I am

joined in this room at great physical distance and off camera currently, so that I can actually speak to

2.2

2.3

It is Ross MacDonald our Chief Medical Officer,
Carlos Castellanos our Chief Operations Officer as
well as Jeanette Merrill our Director of
Communications and Intergovernmental Affairs and
Benjamin Farber our Chief of Staff.

you without my mask on for a bit.

We appreciate the opportunity to update you since I last testified in May on our considerable efforts to manage COVID-19 in the city's jails.

First, I'd like to acknowledge that our last confirmed case of in jail transmission of COVID-19 was on May 19th. Although we did have 15 positive cases since then, all were individuals newly admitted from the community and we were able to prevent the spread of disease to other patients. Most fortunately, we have had no COVID related patient deaths since April.

While other correctional facilities across the country continue to content with the rapid spread of COVID-19, we in New York City have affectively bent down the curve. Our ability to manage such a highly

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS contagious virus in such a uniquely challenging setting speaks to the efficacy of our COVID-19 response strategy.

2.2

2.3

When I last testified in May, I outlined the three cornerstones of our approach to reducing transmission in the jails. Decarceration, containment, and maintenance.

We continue to adhere to these principles for this approach while modifying our practices to meet the current needs of our patient population and to stay aligned with evolving public health guidance.

While our jail system and New York City more broadly, have achieved remarkable progress in combating COVID-19. We know that the SARS-CoV-2 virus is still here and the magnitude of any resurgence will depend in large part on vigilance and rapid response.

In partnership with the Department of Correction, the clinical operations, and administrative professionals at CHS have continued to plan and prepare for reemergence of the virus in the jails.

Using our initial COVID-19 response as a blueprint for keeping patients and staff healthy and safe.

To return to our three pronged approach, our decarceration efforts centered on identifying

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 23 patients who would prove most vulnerable to a severe course of COVID-19 should they contract the virus.

Our compassionate lease efforts which proceeded but were expanded as a result of COVID-19 continue

We continue to work with defense prosecution courts and our city and state partners to help arrange for patients safe release. We know that the virus is most effectively transmitted between persons in close, prolonged contact while in an enclosed densely populated spaces where practicing physical distancing and good hygiene can be difficult. This is sometimes defined as at a jail environment.

Reducing the number of individuals in jails through targeted decarceration efforts therefore proves vital to limiting transmission in the jails and to protecting the health of individuals who continue to live and work in the jails.

We also help protect the health of people in the community by screening every patient whom DOC brings prior to discharge from the jails, so we can educate people to self-isolate and to assist those who need accommodations in which to do so.

2.2

2.3

through the summer.

I would note that since March through the last September 6th or so, we've had 1,559 request in support for compassionate release of patients and we provide that advocacy to prosecution and defense.

Back to my testimony to effectuate the second component of our approach which was containment, we implemented a robust testing strategy and an integrated housing plan. During the height of the pandemic, we were testing at a rate higher than that in the larger community.

As of September 16, 2020, we have tested over 5,000 patients for COVID-19. Accumulatively, 570 patients have tested positive for the virus. It's important to remember however, that not all of these patients remain in the system and none of our current patients are actively infectious. We have no active cases in the jails.

During the last wave of the pandemic, we offer testing to symptomatic patients and patients who are asymptomatic but highly vulnerable. As of September 16th, approximately 51 percent of individuals currently in custody have been tested for COVID-19 and our incidence rate stands at zero percent

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 25 compared to a rate of approximately one percent in New York City.

As you may be aware, CHS has not been immune to the delays that the city and in fact the country has experienced in testing turn around times. While testing is just one component of our COVID response strategy, the data helps inform decisions about housing placements and clinical care and it is critical that we receive timely results.

As of 8 a.m. this morning September 21st, CHS has moved to the city's pandemic response lab. A new laboratory that will help the city process test results within 24 to 48 hours. We are confident that this new testing infrastructure will significantly improve turn around times in our system and help streamline processes overall.

With no current transmission within the jails, we are now focused on universally testing all individuals entering the system, regardless of symptoms. All newly admitted patients are quarantined as well until they test negative and/or show no symptoms over the course of 14 days. Like our testing strategy, our housing model remains

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 26 nimble enough to respond to the dynamic nature of this virus.

At the beginning of the pandemic, we worked with DOC to adapt and expand our concept of therapeutic housing units. We basically created a new designation of therapeutic housing for patients on the COVID spectrum based on the clinical need and status of each patient. Our housing plan, which included the use of the 98 dead [INAUDIBLE 23:36] unit, separately housed individuals who were highly vulnerable to a severe course of disease should they contract the virus.

These were housed separately from asymptomatic patients known to have been exposed separately from patients who exhibited COVID like symptoms and confirmed COVID cases. Given the current state of the disease in the jails, most of these special housing designations have been suspended but they are ready to be activated when and if the need arises, as we did during the height of the crisis.

Since SARS-CoV-2 was identified in the jails, we have continued to modify our two pronged containment strategy of texting and housing to best meet the

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Additionally, we continue to monitor patients and staff for signs of disease including COVID specific patient screening and every point of contact within the criminal justice process. Prearraignment, admission, clinical encounters, and discharge.

Through the third component of our approach, which is maintenance, we have continued to provide access to healthcare services while adhering to physical distancing protocols in our clinics and in our waiting areas. We have maintained access to medical, nursing, mental health services, as well as ongoing substance use treatment. Maintaining the health of our patients is critical to helping our patients best end off the disease and to fight its worst effects should they contract the virus.

We've also maintained access to our discharge services and in some respects we've expanded the provision of these services during the course of the pandemic. As previously mentioned, CHS screens patients whom DOC presents prior to discharge from the jails for symptoms of COVID-19 and we provide information about COVID and community testing sites.

If someone reports symptoms or a confirmed positive for COVID at the time of discharge and does not have a place to safely isolate, arrangements are offered for an isolation room.

CHS is also working with DOHMH and with the New York City Health & Hospitals Tests and Trace Corps, known as T2, so that positive or symptomatic patients who are discharged to the community can be monitored and provided necessary COVID specific support or follow up standard of care.

As part of this comprehensive discharge services, CHS also provides general information to patients that its established community services that can help support successful reentry. Even include our point of reentry and transition portion court which includes telephonic assistance at in-person navigation and patient care by CHS providers in community, health, and hospital facilities.

We also refer to the community reentry assistance
Network or CRAN which offers telephonic and in-person
referrals and assistance for helping human services.
We also encourage all patients to call the port phone
line after they are released in order to speak with a
peer about accessing community based services.

2.2

2.3

I would like to note that we have worked to make our COVID-19 data more publicly available. We now provide COVID-19 metrics including the current incidents and prevalence rates on the CHS website in addition to data we report to Local Law 59.

We appreciate that the sharing of accurate and timely information is paramount during a public health crisis and we remain committed to transparency and ability.

On a daily basis I read reports criticizing the management of COVID-19 in prisons and jails across the country. Here in New York City, once the EPI Center of the EPI Center, I am proud and humbled by what DOC and CHS together have accomplished through this pandemic. We make decisions together based on an unprecedented pooling of the wealth of our respective clinical and security experience and expertise. The evolving science about this shifting virus also informed our approach and always informed our approach.

We were powered by frontline essential professionals who make personal sacrifices and exhibited unwavering professionalism. I am honored to work with such dedicated individuals during one of

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 30 the most trying times in the city's history and I know we stand ready to face challenges that lay ahead. Thanks

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Commissioner Brann, you may begin your testimony when
you are ready.

CYNTHIA BRANN: Good morning Chair Powers, Chair
Rivera and Members of the Committee on Criminal
Justice and Committee on Hospitals. I am glad to see
that you are all healthy and well. I am pleased to
be joined today by the dedicated members of my
leadership team and our valued partners from across
our city's criminal justice agencies.

Since we last testified before your Committee, the Department has continued to work around the clock to keep those living and working in our facilities safe. In the past three months, as Correctional institutions across the country have reported record numbers of COVID cases, the Department has had no new jail based transmissions among those in custody and only approximately 12 new staff cases.

Our success is evidence by the health of our staff and our population and we have been proud to share our COVID-19 mitigation strategies with

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

31 correctional institutions across the country. I thank you for the opportunity to discuss how the Departments efforts to manage COVID-19 within our facilities and our plans to continue achieving this success in the event of a second wave. First however, I would like to recognize the dedicated and hard working employees at the Department of Correction and Correctional Health Services for their incredible efforts throughout this pandemic.

2.2

2.3

Since day one, they have remained committed to protecting the safety and wellbeing of those entrusted to their care and at a great personal sacrifice. As Commissioner, I am proud of their heroic efforts and remain honored to work beside them.

COVID-19 continues to be an unprecedented crisis. The likes of which this city has never seen. Since the first days of the pandemic and every day thereafter, the Department has taken swift and decisive action to keep everyone living and working in our facilities safe. Following an initial spiking cases in March and April, the total number of active cases among people in custody quickly declined.

While other correctional jurisdictions are continuing

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH

3

2

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

32 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS to see the infections spread rapidly across their facilities, with positivity rates among those in custody, spiking as high as 17 and 18 percent in prisons across Carter and Texas. The Department has seen no new jail transmission in over three months.

We have achieved the success through strict adherence to several mitigation measures which I will recap for you briefly. First, the Department has implemented policies focusing on preventing the virus from coming into our facilities in the first place. This includes screening all staff upon entry to the facilities and testing all new admissions for the virus upon intake. Asymptomatic individuals newly admitted to custody are cohorted separately from the general population until they receive a negative COVID test or satisfy a quarantine period.

Any new admissions testing positive are quarantined for a period of at least 14 days or until symptoms subside. All staff with a temperature above 100.4 or experiencing any symptoms are not allowed to be at work and are directed to stay home and contact our health management division.

Second, the Department took critical steps to contain the spread of the virus. We leverage and

2.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 33 expanded our existing robust sanitation practices, activated protocols to provide personal protective equipment to both staff and individuals in custody and established a tier housing structure that separates individuals who are symptomatic, positive, or exposed to COVID-19 from the general population.

Individuals in custody continue to be made aware of vital public health guidance surrounding mask use and social distancing via posters, informational flyers, and conversations with DOC and CHS staff.

Third, with its citywide partners, the Department thoughtfully examined its population with the goal of identifying who is most vulnerable to the disease and would be better served in the community. As a result, the Department released over 2,000 individuals over the past six months with the affect of reducing housing capacity and increasing the feasibility for effective social distancing.

While these procedures I have described were put in place in mere days, the Department has internally reexamined in reevaluating them addressing any challenges or gaps. We will continue to build upon these measures and make changes as necessary to

2.2

2.3

Thankfully, the curve remains flat in New York
City and in the jails and we are preparing to begin
reopening certain services that have been paused to
prevent COVID spread. The Department has worked
closely with DOHMH, CHS, and the Law Department to
develop a safe plan to reinstate these services in a
manner that protects the spread of COVID-19.

In order to do so, we plan to reopen services in a deliberate and staggered manner beginning with those required by the Board of Standards. The first service the Department will reinstate are barbershop services. Taking into consideration the guidelines governing these services in the community, the Department will resume these services in a manner that affords effective social distancing, includes necessary personal protective equipment for all parties involved and involves robust sanitation processes and practices between each haircut.

To reduce movement around these facilities, the

Department will provide socially distance barber

services in each housing unit, rather than have all

individuals be transported to a centralized location.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 35

Barbers will perform one haircut at a time, utilizing appropriate sanitation practices between each cut.

Next, the Department will resume congregate religious services for all denominations. Consistent with New York States reopening guidelines, services will initially be kept at ten individuals. To accommodate this reduced capacity, more services will be hosted daily in all facilities. All participants will be required to wear masks and will be asked to bring their own religious text to reduce sharing. Appropriate sanitation will be performed in between services.

Finally, the Department will look to reinstate in-person family visits. As we prepare, the Department is considering cautions like preregistration, reduction of visit floor capacity to ensure social distancing and screening. Tele visits will continue to be available as in-person visitation resumes. As these plans develop and services are reinstated, we will continue to communicate closely with our partners in health and labor to assure that we are returning these services in a manner that takes into account the health, safety, security, and

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

While the Department is optimistic about its reopening plans and the continuation of its successful mitigation efforts, we are prepared to address second wave if it arrives. This preparation includes continuing our close collaboration and relationship with CHS to monitor COVID-19 cases in the facilities. Securing enough supplies to be ready for a resurgence and reinforcing the COVID-19 specific policies and practices through additional training, posters, and teletext. Additionally, the Department has no plans to halt or interrupt the practices that have been successful for mitigation. Such as enhanced sanitation, new admission work flow and tiered housing structure.

We will continue efforts that have been beneficial to the wellbeing of individuals in custody such as tele visits, hotlines, social service requests and attorney video conferences.

We recognize that jails as a closed congregate setting are especially vulnerable during this time and we will continue to build upon the lessons we learn this spring to keep everyone safe.

2.2

2.3

Throughout this unprecedented crisis and its many challenges, the Department has and will remain committed to protecting all those living and working within our facility stay. We will continue to collaborate with our partners to develop creative practices and policies to effectively manage this public health emergency and we will come out stronger and bolder as a result.

I thank the Council for their continued support during this time. I am happy to answer questions that you have at this point.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

I will now turn it over to questions from Chair

Rivera followed by Chair Powers. Panelists, please

stay unmuted if possible during the question and

answer period. Thank you. Chair Rivera, please

begin.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you so much for your testimony and for your time today. We really appreciate the leadership team that you brought with your organizations. I just want to hear about your plan for a second wave. What data metrics are being studied to monitor facilities for the possibility of a second wave and for whatever plan that you do have,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 38 whether you would be willing to share that plan with the Council in writing and with the public.

CYNTHIA BRANN: Who are you directing that question to me or Patsy Yang?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: It should be for both of you. I figure you are working collaboratively in case of a second wave because of the impacts on both CHS and DOC staff but we can start with CHS.

PATSY YANG: Yeah, it's Patsy Yang, thanks

Commissioner. As noted, we are focusing our efforts

on people who are newly admitted to the system from

the community.

The testing that we are doing, the quarantining that we are doing is meant to minimize or prevent as much as possible the introduction of the virus back into the jails and certainly the spread of it within the jail. We've monitor this daily. We are looking at our testing and the testing results and stay in touch with DOC in case we start seeing things going the other way.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Would you be willing to share your preparation, your plan and what you would do in case of a second wave with the public and with the Council, specifically if you plan to open like

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 39 another facility like EMTC just in case, details like that.

2.2

2.3

PATSY YANG: If I hand it over to the

Commissioner. We still have our communicable disease
unit. You know the west facility that remains
available for quarantine, isolation as needed. We
can easily stand up with DOC, the specialized
housing. We have done that in the past where we
needed to, when we needed to isolate any new
admissions with testing positive and everybody else
was with them.

We did not take down or dismantle EMTC for example. Both CHS and certainly DOC have — we took some high valued stuff like medications away but that's easily brought back in. The infrastructure is still there.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Commissioner, do you have anything to add?

CYNTHIA BRANN: Yes, I would just say that we have not dismantled any of our practices. Our enhanced sanitation, our housing strategies. We test staff every single day and anyone who is not well is sent home. We continue that. We testified at the Board of Correction last week and to provide public

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 40 information not only at the Board of Correction meetings but also we are posting all of that information on our website and if I could just point to an external objective opinion. There is a letter that was submitted to the federal court, to the judge which the monitor states that DOC was managing the pandemic very well and in fact, the Departments efforts to address these challenges under extraordinary and incredibly stressful conditions must be acknowledged.

2.2

2.3

So, I would say that what we have put in place and continues to this day is how we would deal with a potential second wave. We are constantly looking for red flags and would respond appropriately.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Local Law 59 requires CHS to report the number of individuals tested for infection but many of the results are listed as pending. Why is that? You have the ultimate result of those tests.

PATSY YANG: Yes, as mentioned earlier or in my testimony, we recognize that we like the rest of the city, like the rest of this country have experienced variable turnaround times on test results. That's hardly a function of how many tests are being done

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 41 2 nationwide. Again, as of this morning at 8 a.m., we 3 moved over from our commercial vendor to the new city 4 lab, which was constructed and built specifically for New York City. We expect that we will get turn around times that are much more timely and then we 6 7 can, you know, we like everybody else, don't want 8 test pending. We want to know what those results are. CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And if there are variable 10 11 times, that should be built into the reporting. 12 We're just looking for some data and some answers, so 13 that way we are just better informed to support you 14 and the needs of your agencies. 15 You mentioned the communicable disease unit and 16 if CDU is at capacity - well, what's the current 17 capacity of CDU? How many beds? 18 PATSY YANG: It's 98 five unit. 19 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: What's the current census of 20 CDU? 21 PATSY YANG: 38 or 36 this morning. CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Sorry, did you say 38? 2.2 2.3 PATSY YANG: 36 this morning. CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay, thank you. If CDU is 24

at capacity in the future due to a rise in case or

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 42 refusals requiring quarantine, where will you house people who are quarantined after refusing a COVID test at intake?

2.2

2.3

BRENDA COOKE: This is Brenda Cooke, the Chief of Staff at Department of Correction. As the Commissioner testified and as Patsy Yang also testified, we had activated and reopened the EMTC jail when we saw a surge and a need for additional capacity back in March. We no longer needed that space as of late June, so we are not using that jail but we have the capacity and as was discussed to reopen that facility if we need additional space on a moments notice.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I want to ask about just testing and tracing. You give us some numbers on who has tested positive, who hasn't. You are very, very low, actually zero was the number that you gave. I think it was no deaths since April, 12 new staff cases only with the Department of corrections and your last confirmed case, you said was May 19th. But can you let us talk a little bit about how you are going about daily testing exactly for those that are refusing testing, for those that have to quarantine, where are they housed and on average, what is

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 43 capacity like in that regard, in terms of screening every patient and then I want to talk a little bit about the people that you have recommended for release. But if you could just talk a little bit about testing and then how you are working with H&H, well, with DOC and CHS for your plan for contact tracing.

2.2

2.3

as Patsy mentioned is asymptomatic. Universal testing for all new admissions. We continue to have an extremely low threshold for symptomatic testing as well and we use various types of population surveillance to look at indicators of symptoms that might be associated with COVID-19. So, one of the most important tools is lifting of all fevers that come out of the system. So, any fever is examined very carefully and unless there is a very clear explanation for that fever, that person will be isolated and tested.

So, there is a great deal of testing that's going on for symptoms and if patients have any concerns, then they will be offered those tests. Those tests as we've discussed have been negative for quite some time. So, all of the tests that we're performing on

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

44 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS a symptomatic basis for many months now are completely negative which was another important and good sign and this helps us say with confidence that there is not transmission ongoing within the jails.

As far as contact tracing, you know Correctional Health Services has a long history of contact tracing and it is part of the expertise of our clinical staff. We have performed contact tracing beyond what a normal healthcare delivery system would do for many years because it's really part of correctional health.

So, we do contact tracing for tuberculosis for example or for varicella and we would incorporate the principles from those types of broad experience for contact tracing those types of illnesses along with the understanding and the latest guidance from the public health community about COVID specifically. So, essentially we would have a similar process to what happens in the community except that we take a little bit of a broader scope and have some precautions that are broader than what you might see because of the congregate settings.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So, what are the best practices around contact tracing if a person is

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

45 suspected of having COVID? If you recommend notification, testing for all the staff that work with that person, the people in custody housed in that area, people in custody who have worked on work details who have been in contact with the persons housing unit?

ROSS MACDONALD: So, it depends on all of the factors on the nature of the exposure, the duration of the exposure, the proximity of individuals to that person during the contagious phase. So, we would take all those things into consideration in performing contact tracing. In addition, we make a broad assumption that anyone housed with the person could be a potential exposure. In which again, you know, broader than the recommendations because many people who are housed with a person wouldn't have met the strict criteria for exposure because they may not have been in close proximity to that person even if they lived in the same house.

So, it's a combination of the same types of strategies that one would use in the community along with a broader definition of potential exposure.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And so, for those who have refused testing, you mandate that they quarantine,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 46
they are in isolation. Can you just give a few more details on that? Because we just received some concerns from some of the advocates on what happens when someone refuses a test. What are those actual numbers? I don't think we've heard them yet and just making sure that social distancing is something that is commonly practiced.

2.2

2.3

ROSS MACDONALD: Sure, so refusal of testing would result in isolation housing and that goes for contact investigations or for new admission testing. So, you know, that CD capacity is there for that purpose at this time. and we would expand it as needed if that became necessary.

Initially, we have higher rates of refusal with our new admission testing process but more recently those have come down such that refusal is really not a major issue.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I want to ask about releases and then turn it over to Chair Powers. Specifically, for CHS, how many people have you recommended release letters for medical purposes? You mentioned 1,559 requests for compassionate release that you said, I believe to the District Attorney but if you can talk a little bit about that and DOC, how many of

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS individuals have been released based on CHS's recommendation.

PATSY YANG: Yeah, so, the 1,559 is a cumulative number from March 13th through September 6th and we continue our efforts. As I noted, our Compassionate Release program proceeded the appearance of COVID and continues through to this day.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And Commissioner, do you know how many of those individuals have been released based on CHS's recommendation? Are you still releasing people in custody through the six day release program?

CYNTHIA BRANN: So, in collaboration with our partners at CHS, under my authority under the 6 day program, 296 people were released. We have 31 left on that program and we do a risk assessment on every individual who receives a city sentence and we look at their history and whether or not they could be better served in the community or in custody with us.

Until those conversations are held in concert with DHS -

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: The 296 is since March 13th, how many have been released in the last two months?

2.2

2.3

2 CYNTHIA BRANN: I don't have that number for you 3 within the last eight weeks, but I will get that for

2.2

2.3

you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay, because I'm just you know a little concerned that there were 1,559 in the number that we're discussing in this moment is 296 and I can see an amazing potential there for a much larger number based on CHS recommendations. So, if you can give me just the numbers for the last two months and then I guess Commissioner, any plan to release more people in custody if there is a second wave?

CYNTHIA BRANN: So, I'm concerned about your large number of over 1,000. The Department doesn't have the authority to release anyone who is put here by the court under bail conditions or by detainer.

We only have the authority to release someone who has already been sentenced.

So, out of the people who have been sentenced, 296 were released to appropriate to be released.

We only have 104 individuals currently in custody who have a city sentence and we look at each individual and determine whether or not they are appropriate to be released under the six day program.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Understood and we know that you are also though working with a number of people on different levels of government in order to hopefully do the right thing and continue what we saw was possible, which was releasing individuals that don't necessarily have to be in custody.

So, I guess with that, I want to just -

PATSY YANG: It's Patsy, I just wanted to clarify again that the 1,559 number that I am referencing are individuals whose clinical conditions were such that CHS identified them as being highly vulnerable to a severe course of disease and we initiated those attempts to provide prosecution and defense with the information that would help support their release from jail. That's different from other programs and other pathways for people to be released including what the Commissioner just described.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: If I could just ask you then a question about aid. If you could specify the extent to which any COVID-19 related federal aid received by H&H has been allocated for or directed to CHS. And if applicable, how are those resources being utilized to facilitate adequate protection for CHS staff and incarcerated individuals?

1

2

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay and how many people

PATSY YANG:

were released based on the various different

Yes.

PATSY YANG: CHS has not received directly any federal aid related to COVID. However, being part of the nations largest municipal healthcare system, has given us additional muscle to leverage to ensure that we have the appropriate and adequate supplies including PPE, medications, and you know, as we just described this morning going to a laboratory that Health & Hospitals would key in in establishing specifically for the City of New York.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Understood. I am going to turn it over to Chair Powers and I know we have at least one or two of our colleagues who have questions as well and then we could always return and continue the discussion. Thank you so much. Chair Powers?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I'm just going to follow up on the last round of questions just on the releases for a second and I want to appreciate the data. I just want to clarify, 1,559 was the recommended release number based on CHS's recommendation. Is that correct? That was CHS recommendations?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 51 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 opportunities to release, whether it is a 6 day 3 program, DA's, the Governor, or the Mayor. what was the total number of releases? 4 HAZEL JENNINGS: So, good morning, this is Chief Jennings. So, between January and August 20th, we 6 7 released 11,678 persons. 1,940 were warrant lists, 2,721 were released for bail and then we had 2,231 8 persons released from their own apartments. CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Alright, but some of those 10 are standard not COVID related. 11 12 HAZEL JENNINGS: Right. 13 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Do we have a number of how 14 many would have been related to COVID? 15 TIMOTHY FARRELL: So, yes, this is Timothy 16 Farrell. During the height of the epidemic beginning 17 in March, we worked with the state docs and there 18 were 1,251 parole violators that those warrants were 19 lifted and those individuals were released and that's 20 during a period between March and the end of May. 21 In addition to that, there were 411 individuals released due to court ordered risks after the cases 2.2 2.3 were reviewed and then there were the 296 individuals released under the 6 day program but were city 24

25

sentenced.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 53 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS temperature lowers here, can you talk about what policies you will put in. Like, what other policy could you be doing differently if there is a surge in the next few months and we start to see numbers tick up, different than what you are doing today inside the jails?

So, I don't believe that what we CYNTHIA BRANN: have in place right now is anything that we would change. We may enhance our process but as you've seen with the low numbers and our flattened curve, what we have put in place and with the partnership with CHS, we have been successful. We continuously review our practices. We have conversations with correctional agencies around the country to learn practices that they are using that help mitigate the spread and we are always open to enhancing our practices to make sure that we are doing the best possible job at keeping everybody healthy and safe.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, but I assume like as Rivera had mentioned, like, you would probably open the MTC or you would probably do something else here to help deal with housing inside the city jails. mean, there is an enhanced strategy here, so I'm just trying to wonder what is included in that. I have to COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 54 imagine utilizing space that's not being utilized right now as part of that. So, are there other items that you might see as being part of the strategy or was part of the strategy that you are not utilizing now that would be part of a surge or second wave strategy?

CYNTHIA BRANN: You are correct, we would reopen EMTC if we saw a surge in cases because that was highly effective with keeping the same staff in that facility, keeping everybody in quarantine units, there was no cross contamination and it was extremely effective. And so, that would be one of our first operational practices that would go into effect.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, and what triggers that? What numbers are you looking at where you see a surge or a change in the amount of cases per day? Like, what is the — if you see one or two cases, you are not rushing to open up yet. Maybe you are, maybe you should be but what is the trigger here when you are looking at. This is both CHS and DOC, when is that decision made to start doing enhanced protocols for opening up the MTC, what is the numbers that you are looking at to make that decision?

2.2

2.3

25

55 Sure, so this is Brenda Cooke 2 BRENDA COOKE: 3 So, the bed space at CDU is 98 and so, 4 obviously that is something that the capacity and nearing capacity there would obviously be an indication but even before you know, that 6 7 determination, we work in close partnership every 8 single day with respects to housing decisions for those who either require placement in CDU is at the direction of our partners in Correctional Health. 10 11 And so, they are advising us every single day with 12 respect to the bed needs there. They are also 13 advising us every single day with respect to housing 14 designations that were used robustly during the 15 height of our pandemic in our [inaudible 1:01:29] but 16 are now nonexistent or they might have one house in 17 an asymptomatic exposed status, which is what Dr. 18 MacDonald referred to earlier, which is the very 19 overly broad assumption in ones who had contact with 20 the person who tested positive in our custody. Their 21 health in which they live, gets an asymptomatic 2.2 exposed designation and that is again directed to the 2.3 Department of Correction in partnership by CHS and then when that house is finished with their 24

asymptomatic exposed period is often determined by

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 56

CHS. And so, it's a close partnership back and forth with respect to both housing decisions, the beds needed at CDU, the anticipated additional surge capacity that maybe needed and so, it will be and will continue to be an ongoing conversation which our partners at CHS who have done a remarkable job and have taken the reins in many respects with respect to housing decisions that is certainly unaccustomed to a correction setting but with the trust of the Department of Correction and our partnership, we have full faith that we have been successful and we will continue to be successful.

PATSY YANG: It's Patsy Yang, I just wanted to give you an example, which is we do work on a daily basis constantly with DOC and as I noted earlier, we have had no in jail transmissions since May 19th and we have no active cases in the jails. Where we have found positive results for a new admissions. The fact that we have been able to move quickly, identify people who are newly admitted to the system and keep the virus from spreading within the jails is a testament to what our three you know, basic strategies have been, which is decarceration and

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 57 containment which includes testing in housing and maintenance of healthcare access.

2.2

2.3

As an example of the housing, the nimbleness with which we and rapidity with which we need to act in concert with DOC where we have had new admissions in a cohorted quarantine setting come back positive.

That house has been turned into an asymptomatic exposed house. Where DOC and we make sure that the people who have cohorted there, stay cohorted and that movement is limited until either somebody, everybody else who was with that person could turn out to be positive. Turns out either to be negative or ones through the 14 days of quarantine.

That has been a key strategy and the minute that has been done, that house goes back to being a regular house, not a designated asymptomatic exposed. So, it's a constant and continual process.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I appreciate it. Thank you for that. The MDC is still being used as new facility or has that changed?

BRENDA COOKE: No, that's correct. MDC and AMKC and Rosie's for females are in remission locations.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: How many tests are you doing per day at this point?

PATSY YANG: It's running you know, the admissions. I think the admissions at DOC is about 40 or 50 and we're offering it universally to everybody who comes in new.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So, any new admission is getting tested. There is obviously some refusals. If you are inside, if you are not a new admission, you are not getting tested ever?

ROSS MACDONALD: No that's not true. So, we're still testing at a very low threshold for any kind of symptoms that might be consistent with COVID-19 and doing surveillance as I mentioned for things like fevers.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, okay, and how is staff being? Testing is available for staff? What happens when they walk in the door? Are they getting tested? When I was there, I think they had a symptoms check. Is that happening? Can you tell us what the protocols for staff and also the accessibility, availability for testing for that?

CYNTHIA BRANN: So, we have screened close to 750,000 individual testing, excuse me, screening since March 25th. So, we test or we screen by taking temperatures. Everyone has to fill out a

CYNTHIA BRANN: Correct.

taken?

24

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, I was there, I just want to be clear. I did see the symptoms being checked. I am not saying I didn't see somebody walk in and not get a temperature taken. I didn't see a place where the temperature might be taken.

CYNTHIA BRANN: We have info red cameras, you probably don't see it as you walk through it but if you register a temperature over 100.4, you are stopped right there.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay and it's mandated for a staff member?

CYNTHIA BRANN: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. I just want to talk about visitation policies. When do you envision that in person visitation will resume and what will be the guidelines that will determine that?

BRENDA COOKE: So, at this time, in-person visitation will resume when we have the direction about the guidelines to do so. We've been working in close partnership with DOHMH and CHS and our neighbor organizations and the city's administration to make those determinations. In particular, the thresholds with respect to the infection are the last remaining items to be finalized. Whether or not that is both

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 61

the infection rate within our jails has been mentioned here today and stands at a zero percent but also a community infection threshold, a dual threshold much like what we are seeing has been the threshold for reopening of schools.

2.2

2.3

And so, we are working to identify the capacity obviously of our visit floors. Those will be reduced in order to maintain health and safety. We have identified an approach to visit preregistration as an available possibility which has not been used previously for in-person visits but we have been using that to schedule the video visits throughout the pandemic. So, there will be an opportunity to both anticipate and manage the flow of incoming visitors.

There obviously will also be visit screening to help screening as you were just describing that applies for staff. We had commenced that at the beginning of the pandemic before in-person visits had stopped. We had been doing that same health screening with temperature taking and inquiries of symptoms. And so, we will resume that with respect to visitors for in-person visits. Obviously, also then sanitation of the space between the visits from

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 62

you know, one visit group to another will be critical and so, those enhanced sanitation protocols are being identified and finalized.

2.2

2.3

Also, partnership with our labor; the staff who are working in those areas to make sure that we have communicated both with respect to the physical conditions in those spaces plus in hand sanitation and the health screening, so that we make sure that we are all moving forward with confidence with respect to the procedures and the metrics and the safety that we will ensure in order to reopen those services.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, and do you have a general timeline when you think that might happen?

BRENDA COOKE: We are waiting for like I mentioned the last pieces of information and direction from the health authorities. And so, it is unknown to me but it is the last piece of many, many, now months of conversation. We've been preparing for this even before we were ready to return to it because we recognize the value and its importance.

I would add that you know we have had nearly 19,000 family video visits take place between mid-March and last week and those video visits as the

7 able to visit via video with people in custody and

that is an invaluable opportunity of connection and 8

63

for those both locally who might be vulnerable and 9

feel more comfortable visiting that way or who are 10

11 not local.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

So, we will continue to support that robustly regardless of the return in-person visits.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, thank you. Just want to talk attorney visit for a second and I'm just you know, share some things public defenders have raised concerned about being unable, inappropriately accommodated for video conferences. For example, video conferences scheduled for attorney's to speak to their clients being cancelled the morning of. There is often a seven day waiting period to speak to clients and attorney's have been told they have a 30minute slot, if they go over this time, they lose their privilege. Which 30-minutes seems to me, not

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 64 like enough time to be able to all the work they need to do.

As I understand it, these issues have been raised as early as April. Can you tell us what you are doing to address those issues that have been raised to you or what has been done or what is planned to be done to address those concerns or those comments.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Yeah, so this is Chief Jennings. I want to say that we have expanded our SKYPE capacity where we now have approximately 65 units to do so. One of the things where it became a problem is that we are available from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. however, when we talk about the 30-minute slots, we have the capacity to perform 1,040 30-minute slots. We also, or we could do 520 60-minute slots and this is per day or 260 120-minute sessions per day.

And so, one of the things, the 8 to 12 a.m. is the preferred time for everyone that people — so, it's very challenging because everybody wants the same time periods. One of the things that happened, we had started doing this transition for technical solutions for about three years now. And so, when the SKYPE came about, we were trying to get everyone

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 65 to get SKYPE accounts so that we could handle more things and move further prior to even COVID hitting.

2.2

2.3

So, what we've been doing is, as we close housing areas, we're now starting to begin taking off one or two cells to put one unit in the housing areas for court capabilities and also one for visiting capabilities. So, we're starting to do that and then OCA, they no longer control what was previously called the bridge so that people could go. And so, we offered this SKYPE and we urged people to move towards this because we have the capability for the attorney's working out of secured locations of their office to be able to reach their clients and some of them did not take advantage of it.

So, we have since worked with our partners in OCA and we got staff trained and so now we actually manage all of the SKYPE capabilities to a different agency.

CYNTHIA BRANN: I would also like to add that attorney's are able to come to an in-person visit as well with their clients.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, but I mean I understand why some would be hesitant to do that based on you know, continuing pandemic but I think

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 66

that — are you still hearing, have you been hearing ongoing concerns even with expanded capacity and Chief, I should mention, I think we did talk about this when I was there and you showed me I think some of the repurposed space, so this is familiar to me. But are you still hearing concerns or issues raised around the 30-minutes and whether there is more time

2.2

2.3

HAZEL JENNINGS: It has been abated and I think it had a lot to do with the OCA timeframe. So, they no longer actually do the bridge at all. It is being controlled entirely by DOC. So, we are making people fully aware that if they want additional time, they can they just have to register for it because the time starts when the person actually gets into the booth. And so, we do have my executive director of CJB who has been working in close collaboration with the courts and the administrative judges and the public defenders as well as parole.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, thank you. I'm going to leave it at that because I know other folks have questions as well. Thank you everybody and I said in the beginning which is that I know this has been extremely difficult months for everybody whether you

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 67 are a staff at DOC or CHS and we do recognize how difficult it was to go in-person to work every day but we do thank all of you for doing that work and you know, where we stand today at zero percent is great and it's great progress particularly at a time where other facilities around this country are not doing nearly as well and we recognize that it's both the efforts of the staff and the leadership there but also, I think the release is more tremendously important to making sure that we didn't have these congregate settings and we were able to be compassionate at a time where we really needed to. We will be looking for you know those similar efforts if we see a surge and a second wave to talk about releases, talk about ways to utilize housing strategies and ensure there is you know, enough PPE and things like that. But I appreciate everybody's work there and for the folks that are not here today that were doing the work on the frontlines, we are deeply appreciative of all your work.

So, thank you and I will hand it back over to Chair Rivera.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

2.2

2.3

19? They are in the same space right? I mean, and do we have any lessons learned there? Why so many correction officers contracted the COVID-19?

CYNTHIA BRANN: So, Council Member Holden, thank you for your question. Our correction officers go home after their tours and so, they are out in the community and we don't know the origin of their contracting the disease but they are out in the community after their shift is over and on their past days.

So, their exposure is much greater because they have freedom of movement and I would not want to venture a guess as to why there were so many who contracted the disease.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, let's talk about lessons learned from that. That we know that when they go home, we knew that when the pandemic hit that you can catch it by various ways. We knew that I mean kind of early on that masks were involved here were important. We were told two different things.

But it took a while, I mean I think there was an August, sorry April 18th memo from the Chief of Department about requiring PPE's for the staff. Why did it take so long?

HAZEL JENNINGS: So, from the first case of
COVID, first positive case, which occurred in GRBC,
have a person in custody, we have begun giving out
masks at that first case. And so, there were tons of
teletypes that were put out. Thousands of posters
that we did. We've actually walked Commissioner
Feeney and myself and my Borough Chief of Facility
Operations to go out to talk to people, to educate
people. We had the management staff and the
facilities talking about it. And so, I just want to
speak to the fact that yes, there was a lawsuit that
came out to talk about our failure to provide
personal protection equipment however, that was not
true. I had given out well over 60,000 pieces of
personal protection equipment. This is about our
fourth or fifth pandemic. There were things that we
purchased and began purchasing during the first
pandemic that we had. Of this year, the new thing
that we began purchasing were the surgical masks.

We had previously had a supply of the N95 masks.

We have never ran out of personal protection

equipment. I thank the fact that we were able to get

donations from different organizations, ones that

were made, sort of like the ones I have on, which we

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 71

gave out to people in custody and staff. We've

received donations from -

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, could I just interrupt? My time is running out, I just want to get a few more questions in, I'm sorry.

Didn't the correction officers have to sue for free testing? Because that seems to be if a lot of officers are getting or contracting the disease, you know the COVID-19, then testing should have been done in a rigorous way early on. And one of the questions, because — what notification did DOC give to staff who replace posts where a correction officer would test positive?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Were they given any - what kind of notification did DOC give?

HAZEL JENNINGS: So, any time that there is a person that's tested positive, our health management division in collaboration with our environmental health unit makes notification to the individuals there that someone has tested positive. The housing area becomes asymptomatic and the person could actually go for testing. So, we did partner with Northwell Hospital, however, at any of the urgent

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 72 cares, we all have health coverage, we could go to an urgent care to get tested as well as I did.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I just have one more, Chairs, can I get one more question in quickly?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: No problem.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: This is for Correctional Health Services. You mentioned the incarceration and we had the numbers of how many were released. Does any consideration come into play here in releasing detainees into the public. Like, if they have a safe place to stay. In other words, I was really concerned about detainees being released into the shelter system, the congregate shelters with their dormitory style rooms. So, they were going from one location which was a hazard to an even more hazardous situation if they were going into the shelters. Do you take that into consideration?

PATSY YANG: Hi, it's Patsy Yang. Yes, we, I mentioned this before, that for people who are being discharged from jails, DOC would bring them for screening by CHS. We would screen for COVID like symptoms and/or if we knew somebody with a confirmed case, was a confirmed positive case, but who is

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 73 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 getting released anyway. We educate them and talk to 3 them about how to self-monitor, how to take care of 4 themselves. We ask specifically if they have a place where they can self-isolate and if they don't, we worked with the city, with DHS, and MOCJ to get them 6 7 a place, a room where they could stay until they are 8 better. COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, let's say they had no symptoms. Were they released? So, they are going 10 11 from let's say Riker's, would they be released if 12 they had no symptoms into a shelter system, a 13 congregate shelter? 14 PATSY YANG: CHS's role here has been about 15 people who have symptoms or positive. I am afraid I 16 can't speak to people who are asymptomatic. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: No, but you said people 18 who are at risk, let's say. You were releasing 19 people who are at risk and they didn't have COVID. 20 PATSY YANG: But they didn't have symptoms. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: But they were 2.2 compromised. Their health was compromised. So, were 2.3 they released into the shelter system? PATSY YANG: The people who CHS was concerned 24

about and advocated for and connected to a hotel

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 74 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 If they had no place to live or people who had 3 health issues, COVID related health issues. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: They went to hotel rooms, not into congregate shelters? 5 PATSY YANG: Yes, correct. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, that was my 8 question. Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you, thank you Council Member Holden. I want to recognize that we have been 10 11 joined by Council Members Moya and Council Member 12 Eugene. 13 Just to follow up on Council Member Holden's questions on testing. Aside from those who are 14 15 symptomatic and upon entry, who else is tested? 16 just trying to fully understand, can someone in 17 custody request a test? Are there requirements? 18 it based on symptoms? Is it readily available like 19 it is to us in the community? 20 ROSS MACDONALD: Yeah, so as you know we have 21 also stood up a sick call triage lines and we have 2.2 clinics that operate in each facility on a daily 2.3 So, patients can come to request testing. basis. There is a challenge in a correctional setting that 24

testing comes along with isolation. So, that's you

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 75 know, does require that while the pendency of that test, that somebody is separated in some way, so, but yes, it can be based on request.

2.2

2.3

Right now, we know that because of the asymptomatic testing on intake that we have and as we've described, the very stable situation in the housed jail population. So, people who have been there stably and are not in a new admission area, we know that the risk is very low for those folks.

So, they may not choose to be isolated to get a test result and we wouldn't necessarily recommend it in all cases but any kind of symptomatology changes that situation and we are very aggressive at testing in those cases.

Also, you know, I think as we think about a potential second wave, you know, our testing strategies need to be responsive to what is actually happening. So, if there were a cluster in a certain area, we would very aggressively expand testing in that area in response to what we are seeing on the ground. And the same goes for changing rates of infection in our new admission testing.

So, we have - it's an important strategy to test all the patients coming into the jail, but it's also

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 76 an indicator of how much activity there is in the community and particularly specifically in our population.

2.2

2.3

So, we're watching those things very closely and what we've learned tells us that our strategies need to be responsive to the local epidemiology. Like, what's happening in real time in the jails.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So, how long would they typically wait to get that test and then once they get the test, how long will they typically wait for results?

ROSS MACDONALD: So, as Dr. Yang mentioned, the turnaround time has improved dramatically. So, at various times throughout the course of this, like others, we've struggled with turnaround times. Right now, that is not an issue, so most of the tests are coming back in a day or so and that's what we would tell a patient.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So, I just want to confirm, if an asymptomatic incarcerated person asks for a test, it will be given to them. They will receive the diagnostic. I haven't asked about antibody; I just want to know about diagnostic right now. They are going to receive that test in a day maybe. The

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 77 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 response to their request and then ultimately the 3 results will turn around in how many days, three, 4 seven? ROSS MACDONALD: Generally, around one. CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: They are going to get the 6 7 test in one day and they will receive the results in about 24 hours? 8 9 ROSS MACDONALD: Roughly, we're seeing one to two 10 days most recently. 11 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay, and so, that was the 12 turn around town. If you put them in isolation, does 13 that ever mean that they are going into solitary and what is happening with solitary confinement? 14 15 HAZEL JENNINGS: So, I just want to answer that. 16 When we quarantine and house and we have them in 17 isolation that they are cohorted together with other 18 persons in their housing area for 14 or more days and 19 so, that has really been our success is that we have 20 not moved people around. We've kept them together. 21 One of the things that we hear when we go out and we 2.2 speak to people, is they have reasons why they don't

want to wear the mask because that is a challenge to

get them to wear masks, is that they feel that they

have been living with people, the same people for

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 78 long periods of time and no one has gotten sick. And so, that has helped us tremendously.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay, I think some people would disagree with that description. I understand what you are saying and I realize the kind of analogy that was offered to me as when I am home, as I am right now. I am not wearing a mask but I do think that there is a real concern considering what happened especially with the spike in the population you know, as recently as August and we haven't really spoken, really discussed why your current Census, the population right now has gone up but I just want to ask two more questions about testing. And is contact tracing part of discharge planning?

PATSY YANG: If it is indicated it is and we would coordinate with DOHMH and Health & Hospitals to test and trace in the community.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Right, and so, I want to just make sure you are working with H&H and the T2 program to make sure that contact tracing is you know done particularly effectively. I know that Council Member Holden asked this. You do test people who are being released from custody, is that correct?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Only because of -

2 PATSY YANG: Sorry.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Not bringing up you know, jail turn and the idea of people being released into their communities and potentially getting some very, very vulnerable people sick and I know particularly in my district, sometimes when people are coming home, you know, they are already in apartments where there are multiple generations. Where there are senior citizens and we have seen such a disproportionate impact in some of our low income housing and you know, those are the people who are caught up in this very broken system.

So, I'm just wondering why aren't we testing that to make sure that we are keeping all our community safe?

PATSY YANG: So, there is a couple of things and then I will ask Dr. MacDonald to weigh in on this.

You know, testing is a moment in time. It will only tell you your status at that point and time when we swabbed you. The best way and the most effective way is physical distancing, face covering and good hand hygiene. That's what we drill into everybody at all times.

2.2

2.3

We tell people that when they are living. We tell them that when we see them in clinic all the time, reinforcing everybody and everything. That's really the key. Right now, we have zero active cases. We have zero rate prevalence and incidents in the jails. It's really the city in the community that is more of a concern to us currently. Every positive that we've had said May 19th has been people who are coming in from the community. It has not been from the jails out to the community that's been the biggest worry. Dr. MacDonald?

ROSS MACDONALD: Yeah, I don't have anything to add.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Alright, I would just — I know that we talked a little bit about those individuals receiving services who have chronic conditions, underlying health conditions and I think a lot of that goes back to just PPE and social distancing and you know, making sure that everyone realizes how important it is for DOC and CHS staff to wear masks when on Rikers Island and in the facilities. And we saw some troubling information around that but I want to just ask if you know, we are all worried internally what can happen to the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 82 people on Rikers Island and of course we are worried about a second wave. You know, if there is a mask compliance and PPE if we aren't testing asymptomatic people or people who are being released, it is certainly concerning.

So, that's just why I wanted to ask those questions repeatedly to figure out how we can prevent the tragedy we saw in the first few months. I just want to thank you for answering my questions. I want to see if Council Member Chair Powers, any follow up?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I just have one more question here and I appreciate the second opportunity here. Just generally on the releases, I think is a strategy wherever you fall and where the line should be drawn on them. I think it is a strategy for ensuring that we don't have a second wave. That it is widespread through the facilities and to keep people safe and also to be, you know, just to be compassionate here.

What was the first release this year based on COVID as a factor here and when was the most recent, what we are calling here I think compassionate releases?

2.2

2.3

I'd have to go back, that was back in the spring.

mean again, we were doing compassionate release

I don't know when the first one was,

PATSY YANG:

2.3

before COVID and what COVID really did was accelerate the success of our applications because suddenly, everybody who actually makes those decisions, the courts, prosecutors, and with defense, understood the health risks of remaining in jails and they were able to more consciously weigh the risk and benefits.

So, we have continued to do compassionate release

still doing them and —

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And 40 a week based on a criteria that would make somebody vulnerable for

criteria that would make somebody vulnerable for COVID?

requests and we are about 40 a week or so.

PATSY YANG: It's not so much a COVID issue anymore that we are doing these releases, it's our regular compassionate release request. I would have to go back and get back to you on when we first did something COVID related and then the last one.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Well, I guess here is my question. So, you know, you have now, we dropped down I'd say about 30, just a tick below I think

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 84
3,900 folks. We are at 42, I think maybe the Census if 42 or something like that.

PATSY YANG: 45.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, okay. So, I was taking numbers from two weeks ago. So, those numbers have gone back up. Are we continuing while the pandemic remains, while the second wave at large, are we still looking at folks based on their age, their health criteria, other factors here to potentially release people to prevent the transmission of COVID and particularly with the potential likelihood or whatever you want to call it of this surging again? And because as those numbers go up, there are new folks coming in.

I guess the question is, are we continuing to take the criteria we utilized earlier in the year and make recommendations for release based on being vulnerable to COVID and also trying to keep the population low, so that we are minimizing the amount of folks that can transmit or are exposed to the virus?

PATSY YANG: We continue to support alternatives to incarceration and to make arguments for the people who decide, the courts and the DA's to release people

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 85 because of underlying medical conditions that make them more vulnerable to bad outcomes in jails. COVID was an accelerant but the basic risks and harms of being in jail when you are frail remain.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, I understand that but I'm talking more particularly COVID based on the fact that we did more releases at COVID. So, just go back, let me go back to the six day program for a second and I think there is a risk assessment here related to six day. This is for DOC; can you share with us the elements that go into the risk assessment for the six day program or how are those decisions made?

JUDY BEALE: Yes, good morning, this is Judy
Beale. The risk assessment we are utilizing is
called a public safety assessment, the PSA, and that
scores individuals in three areas. One area is the
risk of reoffending. Another is their risk of
committing a violent crime and the other is failure
to appear.

So, we conduct those assessments on everybody who is city sentenced and determine if they fall into a low risk category, if they are a candidate for the six day program.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS:

2.2

Sorry, can you just do this

3 one more time? Failure to appear and risk to others.

JUDY BEALE: Propensity to commit a new violent crime and new criminal conduct, failure to appear, and hold on. Failure to appear, new criminal conduct and new time.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay and obviously I would be interested in seeing more on that but then how does vulnerable condition or health factor into this when we are talking about you know, folks who might be at risk based on their age, based on their health status in that particular and I'm talking about six day in particular. There is no category there for somebody who might be vulnerable to die because of the virus and based on any condition here.

So, how is that — well, why is that not factored in?

JUDY BEALE: Well, the PSA is a nationally recognized tool that was in existence prior to COVID, so it just didn't have that measure in it. But I think that we have to weigh out somebody's health concerns along with their risk to public safety.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: It doesn't sound like you are doing that. That's my recommendation and I'm

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 87 saying I don't think it sounds like you guys are doing that.

2.2

2.3

CYNTHIA BRANN: So, we are doing that. We have constant communication with CHS and when these folks are in our jails, they are assessed by CHS in the clinic not only upon admission but throughout their stay with us when they are regularly seen in the clinic. If they have a condition that would make them more vulnerable to stay with us, we are aware of that through our conversations with CHS. And so, we would take that into account.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, we will follow up. I know there is a lot of folks waiting for testifying.

I will hand it back to the Chair and we will get more information on that.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I just wanted to ask because we are expecting our colleagues from the Board of Corrections to come up but on the six day, I know that New York City is executing its responsibility of the six day program. We just feel like it is being done under the proception that COVID has moved you all to do this but this movement should not be the exception to implementation and its results. This is actually a program that should always be in motion

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 88 and we should call for this momentum to be continued at the very least but my question is on just really quick on grievances because again, I know we are expecting our colleagues from the Board of Corrections to come up just in a minute. And according to a BOC report, medical and mental health complaints were the most frequent kind of grievances OCGS received.

2.2

2.3

The boards findings show that for the COVID-19 grievances in the medical H&H, 30 percent were about access to medical care, which were filed by people in custody. 23 percent were from people concerned about exposure to COVID and 12 percent were about the lack of preventative measures in the facilities including the lack of testing. I covered some of this in my opening remarks. What were the results of grievances that fell to CHS. What did CHS do with these grievances and how are they addressed?

PATSY YANG: We look at every single complaint that we get or request. The COVID related ones were not upheld, were not confirmed. We understood what they were. Complaints that were about access or quality were really about in general about the medical service was really anxiety and concern and

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 89 confusion and questions that we all had, right. How do I get it? How do I keep myself from getting it? What happens if I get it? How will I know? What will happen? I'm anxious, I don't want to get it.

6 How do I protect myself?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Those are really the questions and the complaints that came to us. We did a number of things; I think in May I noted in my testimony back then CHS not only maintained access to healthcare but we actually stood up additional resources. We opened a specific mental health line from the housing units in areas where we were housing people who were symptomatic or confirmed cases, expecting that they might have more concerns and more anxiety about their own healthcare and their status and their outcomes. We opened up a phoneline also in all the asymptomatic exposed housing units in which there were thousands of beds. Where people who remained asymptomatic but had a known exposure to a subsequently COVID positive case could talk to us directly, CHS directly. And also report symptoms to us in addition to our monitoring them daily.

This is on top of our ongoing access for inperson care as well as the sick call triage line that
CHS stood up before COVID, where our patients could

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 90 directly call us and talk to us. Ask us questions, express their needs and without needing to ask DOC to bring them to clinic.

2.2

2.3

answer. I know, I mean, DOC doesn't have access to grievances that go to CHS and so, we don't know how they have been resolved or how they haven't been resolved. That was the point of my question and it also ties into access to care in success of the sick call lines that you mention and we don't really know how the voicemails are being handled, which I also covered in my opening remarks.

You know, if they truly are about anxiety and stress, I could totally understand that. We're just you know, always trying to encourage transparency and with the Board of Corrections they are there for you know, accountability purposes. So, if we can just you know get some of the data that we requested, we would really appreciate it and we thank you very, very much for your time and for staying with us for these couple hours and answering all of our questions.

PATSY YANG: Yeah, so, Councilwoman Rivera. Sick call triage is not part of COVID. Again, Sick Call

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 91

triage is something that we stood up in general to increase access for our patients to talk to us. You know this rolled out this year and was really helpful during the pandemic. 83 percent of those calls during those hours are answered live. 17 percent went to voicemail but we follow up on every single

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Alright, so you have 100 percent you are answering the call.

PATSY YANG: Yeah, yeah.

one of those voicemails.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Well, thank you very much again. I just want to thank you for your time and for answering our questions and for all the work that you've done this far and continuing to work with you to make sure we are improving the lives of the people working on Rikers Island and those who are detained and incarcerated.

I encourage you to stay and listen to some of the testimony from some of the advocates that have been working on this issue for a very, very long time.

PATSY YANG: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn to our next panel with representatives from the Board

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 92 of Correction, including Executive Director Margaret Egan and Board Member Bobby Cohen.

Additionally, Emily Turner will be available for questions. Before we begin, I will administer the oath. Margaret Egan, Bobby Cohen, and Emily Turner, I will call on you each individually for a response. Please raise your right hands.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? Margaret Egan?

MARGARET EGAN: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Bobbie Cohen?

BOBBIE COHEN: Yes.

16 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Emily Turner?

EMILY TURNER: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would like to now welcome Executive Director Margaret Egan to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

MARGARET EGAN: Thank you. Good morning Chair's Rivera or almost afternoon. Chair's Rivera and Powers and Members of the Committee's on Hospitals and Criminal Justice. Thank you for holding this

2.2

2.3

important hearing today. I hope you and your

families are safe and healthy.

with us today and sends her regards.

25 quickly.

My name is Margaret Egan, I am the Executive

Director of the New York City Board of Correction. I

am joined today by Board Member Robert Cohen and

Deputy Executive Director Emily Turner. For Chair

Jennifer Jones Austin, I am sorry, she could not be

Like all others, as we reported to you at the May Council hearing, the Board has been forced to quickly adapt to this new normal in response to COVID-19.

Board staff have done amazing work in new areas as we have redirected our oversight priorities to monitor both the department and CHS's evolving COVID-19 response including facility compliance with agency plans, as well as DOC and CHS's general operations in compliance with Board minimum standards and this public health crisis.

Our work seeks to independently and publicly document the scope of the public health crisis in the jails and the broader criminal justice systems response to understand successes and challenges and ultimately ensure that lessons can be learned

2.2

2.3

The Board published daily reports on COVID-19 in the New York City jails from April 1st to June 5th and we continue to publish weekly updates. In addition, we've published two reports analyzing observational data our staff have collected via jail surveillance cameras including observations on social distancing, use of PPE among staff, use of masks among people in custody, phone access and cleaning and DOC rounding practices in cell units.

These reports can be found on our website. The Board also continues to hold monthly public meetings which address COVID-19 in the jails and we expect the pandemic will be a standing item in the months to come.

The DOC and CHS collaboration and hard work in dangerous conditions with the height of the pandemic was heroic and that work continues. The effort to identify, separate, monitor, and treat sick people, vulnerable people and people who may have been exposed is and was effective in providing care and eliminating spread.

People in custody who work in the jails were also essential in ensuring the jails were cleaned and continued its basic functions. This work in the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 95 jails has happened a long side a sharp decrease in the jail population. At the beginning of the crisis, the Board called on all criminal justice system stakeholders to reduce the population of the jail.

2.2

2.3

From our work monitoring, the jails over the last 60 years, the Board understood that DOC and CHS's best efforts would not be enough to prevent transmission in the jails.

The jail population decreased 31 percent from March 16th to April 29th to a low of 3,832 from 5,557 on March 16th. The outbreak and its human impact would have been much worse if not for this decrease in the jail population. The decreased population meant that many fewer vulnerable people were in jail and DOC and CHS were able to commit more resources to the people who remained.

Unfortunately, and potentially dangerously, the jail population is currently increasing. On September 11, 2020, the population was 4,294, an increase of 462 people or 12 percent since April 29th. The population increase is due to an 18 percent increase of people detained pretrial from 3,304 on April 29th to 3,884 people detained pretrial on September 11th. There are 100 people held

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 96 on city sentences of one year or less and 175 held solely on technical parole violations.

2.2

2.3

The jail population on September 11th includes 607 people who are 50 years or older, a population particularly vulnerable to COVID-19. This is an increase of 130 people or 27 percent since April 29th when there were 477 older people in jail.

We repeat our calls to criminal justice leaders that especially during an ongoing pandemic, we identify and release people with underlying conditions and older people in order to protect their health. Additionally, we call on the city to continue to release people held on short sentences and the state to release people detained solely on technical parole violations.

Unfortunately, the jail reductions and the incredible work of the agencies and people in custody could not eliminate the threat. At its peak, there were 259 people in custody housing COVID confirmed or symptomatic units. There were another 2,715 people housed in likely exposed but asymptomatic housing.

Sadly, three people have died in custody from COVID-19 and a number of DOC and CHS staff members have also died. In addition, a total of 1,430 DOC

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 97 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS staff and 208 CHS staff have been diagnosed with 2 COVID-19. As of September 15th, CHS reports that 3 there were zero active COVID cases of people in 4 custody and there were 252 people in custody who had recovered but were no longer contagious. 6 In order to 7 minimize the risk of transmission in the jails and prevent a second outbreak, the city at large must 8

remain vigilant and maintain its mask wearing and

social distancing practices.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Through both in person and observation and monitoring the surveillance cameras this summer, the Board documented DOC staff and people in custody regularly not wearing masks in the jails. While DOC has printed and posted many flyers in the jails, it appears a new creative approach is now necessary.

As we recommended since May, the Board calls on DOC and CHS to work with DOHMH on a new public health campaign to communicate health risks and why it is so important, particularly in the jail to wear a mask.

This campaign should employ and empower both pure educators and trusted health officials. In addition to producing weekly data reports, the board staff continued to conduct targeted onsite inspections in the jails and have been closely monitoring the Census

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 98 in the CDU's at west facility where confirmed and symptomatic patients are isolated and new admissions who refuse testing are quarantined.

2.2

2.3

As of September 11th, there were a total of 40 people in the CDU. Board staff have also been closely monitoring placements in and out of the new admission unit currently designated as asymptomatic but exposed or on quarantine.

Board staff identified several placements into and movements of individuals out of asymptomatic exposed units which did not appear to comply with the policy. The Department reports that the facility is taking the corrective action and will issue security memoranda that will be read on roll calls on each occasion that a housing unit receives a medical isolation designation.

Board staff also continue to receive complaints from people in custody, their families and advocates and jail staff from June 1st to August 31st of 2020, the Board of Correction logged 543 complaints. While not all of the complaints were specifically COVID related, COVID-19 has impacted how DOC and CHS manage the jails generally. The top complaint categories were health, medical at 63 complaints.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

Correspondence and mail have 31 complaints,

classification and housing had 30 complaints and

physical plant had 22 complaints.

The 63 health medical complaints were generally people in custody and their families were reporting that they needed some type of medical treatment that had not received care and location complaints, COVID related complaints or other issues.

Mail complaints were largely about incoming and outgoing mail delays. Classification and housing complaints were related to a person in custody's fear for safety or concerns about a persons restraint status, classification status, or enhanced supervision housing placement.

Most physical complaints were about high heat conditions in the jail. In addition, Board staff continued to monitor grievances received by DOC's Office of constituent and grievance services or OCGS from June 1 to August 30, OCGS received 7,275 grievances and requests from people in custody, staff, family, attorneys, and advocates. The top three grievance categories were staff, medical, H&H and other requests.

2.2

2.3

Staff complaints are generally complaints about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DOC staff from people in custody. Medical H&H complaints are generally about access to care or medication and the other requests category includes request for information about people in custody and other jurisdiction, legal issues request that lack any identifying information, information about volunteering, and more.

DOC has been closely monitoring COVID related grievances from June 1 to August 31. OCGS received about 213 COVID related concerns which represents 3 percent of all grievances received by OCGS during that period.

The top three categories were concerns about social distancing, concerns about exposure to COVID-19 and concerns about COVID-19 testing. While the jails must continue to structure operations to mitigate the risk from COVID-19, the Board increasingly receives questions from people in custody, families, and advocates about the city's plan for a phased reopening of the jails. includes questions about how the department will decide when to begin some form of in-person family visiting. School for young adults, congregate

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 101 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS religious services, law library and more. Obviously, any resumption of congregate services must be done safely and smartly. The Board hopes to learn more about what the Departments considerations are here including the metrics that inform the departments decisions. The state prison system began in-person visiting at the beginning of August with visitors coming from the city and across the state. federal prison system will begin in-person visiting on October $3^{\rm rd}$. The City should learn from these efforts and those in other states and work with staff, people in custody, community stakeholders to begin a safe and careful phased reopening of the jails.

Finally, I will close by addressing the Boards current budget situation. This year the Board of Correction budget has been subjected to a 16 percent reduction in funding and a 31 percent reduction in staffing to a headcount of 27. The Board recognizes that the city faces a very deep and real fiscal crisis. However, with these cuts, it will be a challenge to meet our charter mandate. A council legislative requirements, our public expectations, a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 102 little struggle to perform the effective oversight role that will be necessary for the city's jails.

2.2

2.3

Today, on Rikers Island and tomorrow in new borough based jails to meet the goals that we all share of smaller, safer, fairer, and more humane jails. We call on the Mayor and the City Council to adequately fund the Board of Correction to allow for this critical work to continue.

Thank you and I am happy to take your questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

I would like to now welcome Board Member Bobby Cohen
to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

BOBBY COHEN: Thank you very much. Thank you

Commissioners Rivera and Powers and all the other

City Council Members who are present. You know, my

name is Bobby Cohen, I am a physician and a member of

the New York City Board for the past eleven years as

one of your representatives. I'm not speaking on

behalf of the board today, although I completely

endorse everything that Meg Egan just stated.

I am speaking based on my 40 years of experience and the failed field of prison and healthcare and I have worked as physician in county jail, did research on epidemiology, epilepsy in the Illinois Department

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

of Correction. I was the associate medical director of Rikers Island Health Services in 81 and from 82 to 86 was the Director of the Rikers Island Health

Services. I served as the Vice President for medical and professional of the New York Health & Hospitals

Corporation and then became the Director of the Aids

Center at St. Vincent's Hospital. And I have been appointed by federal courts to oversee the implementation of consent agreements to remedy unconstitutional medical care in Florida, Michigan, Ohio, Connecticut, and in New York State at Green

2.2

2.3

Haven.

I come to speak to you today about actions that should be taken to minimize the morbidity and mortality the coronavirus infection in the city's jails. First, of course is the discharges met incarcerated people as possible. The population has been increasing when it should be decreasing.

On September 19th, this was noted two days ago, the population was 4,299, four months ago on May 25^{th} , it was 3,981. The Department, MOCJ, the Police Department, CHS, the District Attorney's, the judges and the Governor must resume the process which saved so many lives last spring. The rate of infection in

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 104 the jails among staff and incarcerated persons was extremely high. The death rate was low thank goodness because the persons most at risk were those with chronic diseases and those older than 50 were released and allowed to protect themselves. That process must be continued and intensified.

In preparation for a second wave, the Department through its six day program, CHS, and MOCJ, should be working with relevant agencies to decrease the population by releasing people over 50 years of age and those with increased risk of serious complications that they become infected and those who shouldn't be in jail anyway. Instead the population in the jails is increasing.

The basic policies of distancing, wearing masks and maintaining necessary environmental standards, need to be reviewed and reestablished. When I visited Rikers Island last month, social distancing was not being practiced. Mask wearing was not being supported and persons inside housing areas were not being provided masks. Incarcerated persons lined up without distance between them for their lunch and sat together at small tables.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

If there were no pandemic, this would be fine but there is a pandemic. The Department is fully aware of the practices I have described. They have set them up and they are aware because they work there.

I ask that the Department conduct a full review of this program. Masking, distancing, and environmental protection in light of the current breakdown of these programs. We must all recognize how difficult this is. We have all been living in a strange, frightening, and life threatening world for more than six months. There are few normals anymore and our expectations of each other are and must be extremely high.

I speak today not at all to shame the Department which has done an extraordinary job but to urge you to work harder to meet the standards it has set.

When there are no cases of COVID-19 in the jails, these failures will not have consequences. If cases were return, they will rapidly spread disease throughout the jails.

The city, under the leadership of the Department of Health must end the mayoral suspension of the Board of Corrections standards regarding visiting barbershop, congregate religious services, and law

library. We certainly can address these things

3 through variances.

2.2

2.3

These are essential services, essential standards. Under Board pressure, DOC has stated that safe congregate religious services will hopefully resume shortly as will access to barbers. The state has begun to resume business in its prison as have jails in the county's that join ours, Nassau, and Suffolk county.

Under DOH guidance, DOC should develop and as published its plan for resuming these essential services and the mayor should resentence his executive order.

Finally, I would like to offer suggestions to prevent the second wave of infections from establishing itself in the jails. What is needed is a comprehensive program to prevent coronavirus from again establishing itself in the jails and again infecting thousands of persons as happened last spring.

I will discuss components of this project. I know that the Department and CHS are thinking about this every day and respect the work that they are doing but I still offer some additional suggestions.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 107

Of course, before anything else, reestablishing the program to release as many incarcerated people as possible is critical to the success of this project, as it was so successfully in the spring.

There should be a function of intake viral screening for all new admissions with testing of appropriate type and frequency, rapid turnaround, and 14 days of quarantine before admission to the general population of the jails. CHS and the Department have established ineffective approach here and I fully support it. With further guidance from the Department of Health, the Department needs to review and publish its plans for vehicular transfer of incarcerated persons to and from the jails. Thinking now about how can the virus be kept out and what ways will it come in?

When incarcerated persons go to court, is there adequate distancing, ventilation, and sanitation on those buses? Are the courts maintaining sufficient distancing ventilation, mask wearing and sanitation to prevent DOC staff and incarcerated persons from becoming infected and bringing the virus back into the jails?

2.2

2.3

Similarly, when transporting patients outside of the jails for specialty care in health plus hospitals, are appropriate standards being met to maintain safety and not allow patients to become infected and bring the virus back to the jails.

Finally, and this is complicated but I really think it should be part of the planning and discussions going on today. We must recognize that an infective intake screening and quarantine along with safe transfer from and to the jails for necessary court and clinical appearance will not engage the primary mechanism through which virus will enter the jails should a second wave occur.

This has been discussed by the Council and the Commissioner today. That mechanism will be through the ten thousand civilian and security staff who enter the facility daily with adequate screening.

Screening for temperature and screening by questions is not sufficient and we know that. We all recognize now, even the CDC, that substantial spread of the pandemic occurs through transmission from asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic persons. Asking a few questions and checking temperatures are not sufficient.

2.2

2.3

prevalence is high.

Nursing homes require intake screening, testing and quarantine of new patients and screening and testing for coronavirus multiple times each week of the nursing home staff. And I've in my testimony I

submitted, I've given references for this.

The CDC recently published the discussion of mass testing of staff and incarcerated individuals in the MMWR entitled, Mass Testing of SARS-CoV-2 in 16 prisons and jails. That was on August 21st.

Appropriate strict intake screening and quarantine is not sufficient when the pandemic is advancing and

The CDC has recommended that because of the similarities to nursing homes, jails and prisons should consider testing of their staff and the Department of Health should work with CHS and DOC to develop a plan to enact screening of staff in the event of a second wave.

Contact tracing by CHS must include civilian and correctional staff. Of course, enhanced testing of persons symptomatic and asymptomatic within the jails must take place if the second wave breaks into the jails and CHS mentioned that they intend of course to do testing —

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I

am proud to be associated with the Board of

Correction which has provided support and leadership

locally and nationally in its advocacy and reporting

6 around this pandemic. The Council's leadership

7 throughout this epidemic has been extraordinary and I

 $\,$ am proud to serve as your representative on the

board.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

I do wish to echo Executive Director Egan's remarks that the substantial cut in the BOC budget should be reconsidered and urge you to do that.

Thank you very much and I am available for any questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

I am now going to turn it over to Chair Rivera for questions followed by Chair Powers. Panelists, please stay unmuted if possible during this question and answer period.

Thank you, Chair Rivera, you may begin.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you so much for your testimony and for staying with us and listening to the two hours of testimony given by DOC and CHS and I know if DOC and CHS issue any additional or revised policies or procedures to its COVID-19 action plan,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 111
how does BOC intend to monitor the implementation of

these policies going forward?

MARGARET EGAN: Sure, so a couple things. We are in pretty close contact with DOC and CHS, communicating with them regularly and we will continue to provide the oversight that we have. We will continue to issue public reports based on the data that we receive daily and weekly from both agencies. We will continue with our targeted onsite inspection. We will continue with our video surveillance work and monitoring both the complaints that come into the Board and the grievances that are filed with DOC.

So, our goal is to continue to approach oversight of the pandemic in the comprehensive way that we have been to date.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And some of the things that we discuss with these agencies, there is just two things I wanted to ask you about. One was about the sick call and they use three tele help lines. Sick call, a phone line available for people who are asymptomatic and a mental health phone line for people who are symptomatic or confirmed.

2.2

2.3

/

Are there concerns that there are people in custody who are missing needed healthcare because of unreturned phone calls. You might have heard their answer on the voicemail, which is that 100 percent are either answered live or that those voicemails are returned. In your experience, do you have concerns?

MARGARET EGAN: Yeah, I mean I would say that based on our review of grievances and the complaints that we received directly, access to care, access to healthcare, mental healthcare continue to be top areas of concern for people in custody and unfortunately, we don't have an easy way to monitor whether voicemails are returned and whether or not they are returned in a timely manner.

And so, so we continue to ask CHS for updates on data for calls that are received and voicemails that are returned. I will say that through recent interviews with individuals during our onsite inspections, we have received generally positive feedback about the CHS sick call line and reports that the process for accessing CHS through the phone lines has improved.

And while the number of medical grievances decreased substantially after March and April, it is

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 113 starting to tick back up. We are seeing grievances of 55 percent from July to August. So, while it seems to be getting better, I think that we still have concerns.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And you mentioned I mean, you mentioned briefly the grievances, I mean what more can we do to address the health grievances and complaints of those incarcerated and I know when it comes to how you can be effective, the budget is certainly a concern.

So, my follow up question to that also is, how are the budget cuts going to affect your ability to monitor the jails during that potential second wave of COVID-19 infection?

MARGARET EGAN: Yeah, so, Chair first question, we continue to analyze the grievance data through the grievance system. You know, we have access to the OCGS systema and continue to do that analysis.

And so, as we see issues arise through that data, we raise them with DOC and CHS but also, you know, I think these public forums are also important to make sure that the concerns of people in custody, of their families, of advocates, of attorneys, are raised and addressed.

2.2

2.3

In terms of the budget and the very real concern that we have about capacity. We're going to continue doing the work as best we can. You know, this will remain a very high priority and you know, the reality of a budget crunch is you have to prioritize but this will remain a high priority. The highest priority as long as the pandemic continues.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I know there are some people here with time constraints, so I want to just ask my colleagues whether, well, I'll go to Chair Powers first of course. Chair Powers?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I got to unmute myself.

There we go. Well, thank you guys. Thank you for all your work during the pandemic and we had an opportunity to visit together during some of the hottest days, so heat conditions. I want to say thank you to staff and thanks for your kind of ongoing work here.

You guys have issued reports and recommendations to DOC about improving compliance with its protocols. Can you just speak to us a little bit about what your recommendations are? How the compliance has been with those recommendations and then finally, any intention promulgated rules or standards to regulate

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 115 conditions based on what you've seen in the last few months or with the anticipation of a surge ahead when it comes to COVID?

2.2

2.3

MARGARET EGAN: Yeah, so in terms of rules, updating rules and lags, I think that's probably a longer term question. You know, we're going to have to figure out, we're going to have to figure out ways to evaluate what should be updated and turn to that but as probably frankly a longer term, priority in the short term, we want to make sure that we are providing the oversight to the ongoing crisis and raising issues where we see them.

In terms of the recommendations that we have made and I will ask Emily Turner to jump in if I miss anything. So, one of the critical areas of concern from both our in-person observation and through the Genentech system has been you know, mask use and the use of PPE among staff and people in custody.

You know, as I mentioned, DOC has printed and posted flyers in jails but we feel like something new and creative needs to happen and again, as I said, we've been recommending for the last several months that DOC and CHS work with DOHMH on a public health campaign.

2.2

2.3

I think the other area of recommendation is around communication. You know, developing and sharing written protocols for the management and housing of confirmed patients, symptomatic individuals and those who are exposed and asymptomatic. Housing, including both the admission criteria and the removal criteria of those housing types clarifying to the public, to the board, to DOC staff, what social distancing strategies are being implemented.

I think what will help, making sure that people understand what is happening and as changes are implemented, what those changes are. And I think you know; I think there are always going to be ways to implement more social distancing practices. It's certainly a challenge in a congregate setting like a jail but it is so critically important.

So, I think you know, those are I think the main recommendations. Emily, have I forgotten anything?

EMILY TURNER: I do just want to add that the Council's Local Law 59 has been really important in pushing the Department and CHS to think about their communication strategies and on a weekly basis, they have been issuing flyers to across all housing areas

Ŭ

a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 117 to people in custody which is part of you know, which is related to our recommendation about a public health campaign and I think that has been an important step in sharing information about changes to jail operations during COVID.

So, we are encouraged by that, seeing that happen in the jails. I think another piece of this will be working with other credible messengers to reinforce this message but also seeing it reinforced with staff who can set an example for people in custody.

One more addition on the importance of social distancing, so in our weekly reports we regularly analyze how many dorms are above or below 50 percent capacity. That's an important metric because if a dorm is above 50 percent capacity, alternate bed spacing and other strategies that could help minimize the potential for spread are not able to be implemented and that is a concern that we have heard and we've seen an increase in concern about the number of people who have been housed in dorm areas.

So, that's when we look at the complaints, we see concerns about social distancing. They are related to the housing area capacity.

2 And so, we will continue to publish the

2.2

2.3

social distancing.

statistics on what the housing area capacity looks
like in our weekly reports and encourage the
department to use some of the additional space it has
due to the low population to continue to promote

As of September 10th, 61 percent of all open dorms were above 50 percent capacity meaning alternate bed spacing was impossible there. And recent CDC research suggests that the prevalence of COVID in jail settings has been higher in dorm based housing. So, that's something that we are keeping an eye on.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, thank you. Just out of respect of time, I will end it there and follow up with any other questions I have. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn it over to questions from Council Members. As a reminder, if Council Members have questions, you may use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in order. To start, we will begin with Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

generated. Were they consistent? Like everybody

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 120 observed the same thing on their visits or was it they are in improvement?

BOBBY COHEN: As I recall and Emily can speak better than this. The second report that we issued showed some improvement in mask wearing relative to the first. When my visit, I don't know how to compare that in terms of a metric. Masks were being worn when people left their facilities. You know, if they were in a housing area and they left with a correction officer, they never got into wearing the mask but just walking through the facilities and then the housing areas, there was probably most people were not wearing masks and we had documented that. I'm not sure the publication of that, perhaps Emily could add to that.

EMILY TURNER: So, when we conduct the targeted jail inspections, we produce a report internally and then we escalate concerns directly with the department.

So, as a follow up to our visit with Dr. Cohen, we shared our concerns that we observed a lack of mask usage with the department after that visit. So, since May 9th, we have been conducting targeted on

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 121 site inspections and reporting back in escalating concerns as we encounter them.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Oh, it was by detainees not wearing masks or staff? Could you break that down?

EMILY TURNER: Both.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Oh, staff not wearing masks. Now, so, this is alarming then if in a confined space. You know, just what we talked about as a second wave, we could be in for a problem if this is continuing.

Is anybody aware and I wanted to ask and I forgot to ask this question but are you observing any technology being used, like to filter the air in the jails at all or upgrades? Other than just social distancing or masks. I mean it seems to be that we know we do have technology available and as the Technology Chair in City Council, I've met with a number of companies that have proposals on cleaning the air and so forth in confined spaces. Have you made any recommendations in that area or observed anything about cleaning air or measures that were taken?

2.2

2.3

2.3

BOBBY COHEN: I certainly haven't but I share your concern. One of the problems of course with Rikers Island is its age and the quality of the HVAC systems going on.

I know within the courts right now and I raise that because the detainees will be going back and forth into the courts. Some of them have adequate HVAC, some of them do not. There have been some attempts at evaluating what level of air exchange is going on in those facilities. I'm not aware that, Margaret would know if they have done that kind of important work.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, because just in reviewing a lot of the technology, there is slower units that are \$250 that would do a small room and there is ionization filters that could be put on HVAC for under \$1.00 a square foot.

So, there are you know, measures we could be investing in and it's not expensive. Just to safeguard, because as you know with the COVID, we have heard it that somebody sneezes, it could stay in the air and it could be transferred very quickly and again, if we go through a second wave, we might be in for it especially in a confined space like the jails.

2 Alright, thank you. Thank you Chair.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member, I will turn it back to Chair Rivera for questions.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you Council Member I just wanted to ask about visitation, I know it was mentioned somewhat in your testimony and you certainly have recommendations and I just want to thank you. I know you mentioned testing everyone rapidly with quarantining the education campaign, stepping up communication and of course social distancing strategies especially in the housing unit. Making sure that we are really looking at the 50 percent threshold. But what is the extent of your involvement in DOC's plans to reinstate in person family visitations, congregate religious services? know they mentioned in their testimony, they certainly wanted to start with the barbershop. there is no direct I guess involvement, will you have an opportunity to provide input before the plans are implemented?

Yeah, I think it goes back to you know, our regular communication with DOC and CHS and we will continue to do that. I mean, I think visitation is incredibly important. It's an incredibly important

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 124 tool and connection to family and others and we want to see it restored as soon as possible, but we also want to make sure that whatever steps are taken to resume visitation is done safely and smartly. We don't want it to become a vector for transmission and so, we'll continue to talk to DOC and CHS and make sure that we have updated plans and then continue providing oversight on those plans and making sure that whatever policies and procedures they produce, they are adhering to.

2.2

2.3

You know video visitation, has been hugely important but the sooner that in-person visiting can again resume safely and smartly I think the better off we are. So, we will continue to talk to them and monitor.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Well thank you. Thank you so much for being a great partner and being so dogged about getting the data. It's been hard for me as the Chair of Hospitals sometimes in requesting data from H&H and for all of your work and especially making sure that our transgender nonconforming nonbinary detainees and incarcerated individuals are really being counted and receiving the services that they need as well. I just wanted to thank you for that.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

With that, I have no further questions and just want to thank you for your testimony.

MARGARET EGAN: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

EMILY TURNER: Thank you.

BOBBY COHEN: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I'd like to ask if Council Member Powers or any other additional Council Members have questions at this time?

Okay, thank you. With that, we've concluded Administration testimony and we will now turn to public testimony. I would like to remind everyone that we will be calling on individuals one by one to testify. Each panelist will be given three minutes to speak. Council Members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will call on you after the panelists have completed their testimony.

For panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and you can begin delivering your testimony. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

I would now like to welcome Benny Boscio to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

2 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

BENNY BOSCIO: Good morning Chairman Powers,
Chairwoman Rivera, and the distinguished members of
your Committee's. My name is Benny Boscio Jr. and I
am the President of the Correction Officers
Benevolent Association. The second largest law
enforcement union in the City of New York. Our
members as you know, provide care, custody, and
control of over 4,000 inmates daily in the nations
second largest municipal jail system. We are here
today to discuss the Department of Correction and
Correctional Health Services management of COVID-19
in the city's jail system.

Before I begin, I want to read the names of eight of my members who lost their lives due to COVID-19 and ask that we pause for a moment of silence after I read their names. Michelle Sumpter, Maurice Lacey, Jr., Ely Galan, Elvester McKoy, Connie Jones Hawkins, Quinsey Simpson, David Williams and Richard Closs.

Thank you. These brave officers lost their lives during the unprecedented public health crisis. Another 1,400 correction officers tested positive for COVID-19 and at the height of the

pandemic in March, 3,000 of our members were out sick.

2.2

2.3

According to data collected by the New York
Times, the virus has taken more correction officers
in New York working in the epi center of the epi
center than in most other large American cities,
including Chicago, Houston, Miami, and Los Angeles
combined. The reality is that the City of New York
failed to do everything possible to keep my members
from dying and from getting sick. From the onset
of this crisis, we sounded the alarm about the
deadly threats our members were facing. Rather
than hearing our cries for help and collaborating
with the boots on the ground, the Department of
Corrections fought us every step of the way.

Let me outline the biggest areas of contention. First, COBA had to fight tooth and nail to convince the DOC to shut down inmate visits. This process took two weeks and the visits only shut down after the state prisons shut down inmate visits. So, the question I pose to you is why did we have to wait.

Second, as the pandemic worsened and other agencies began to adopt protocols for protecting the health and wellbeing of other essential

2 worker

into April.

_ _

workers, we met with silence from the senior
managers of our agency. Recognizing that the
agency was not actively and systematically
distributing PPE, correction officers began
bringing their own masks to wear. When this
happened, they were told that bringing their own
mask wasn't permitted and they should go home. The
failure to allow officers to wear masks early on,

coupled with the failure to provide PPE dragged

down for weeks throughout the month of March and

Finally, after realizing help was never on the way, COBA purchased over 40,000 N95 masks and hundreds of gallons of sanitizer for our members to help keep them safe. In short, we do what the DOC and the City of New York failed to do. We also called for correction officers —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

BENNY BOSCIO: COVID-19 testing on Rikers

Island. That too fell on deaf ears. Our union
then filed a lawsuit in late March demanding that
the City of New York be compelled to provide our
members with PPE, COVID testing, and to increase
the sanitization of the jails. It was our lawsuit

and non-uniform members of service.

2.2

2.3

So, just to be clear, it took numerous officers getting sick and calling out sick and a lawsuit to finally make PPE distribution mandatory some six to eight weeks into the pandemic. That is inexcusable and at best borderline criminal at worse. To make matters worse, the Department response to the staffing shortage was to force dozens of officers to work triple tours of duty, which required us to file yet another lawsuit on April 23rd, arguing that forcing officers to work for 24 hours straight during the public health crisis is a direct invitation to infection and disease because sleep deprivation negatively impacts physical and mental health. Even Mayor de Blasio publicly called this

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 130 a dumb managerial mistake. Another dumb managerial mistake was when the DOC gave 30,000 N95 masks to the FDNY from our stockpile. After weeks after the Mayor said the FDNY already had sufficient supply of PPE —

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I just to make sure you wrap up sir. Thank you so much. Did you finish your last thought? You cut out there.

BENNY BOSCIO: So, if we are really going to sit here today to examine the impact of COVID-19 in the jails, we first need to face the facts that I have just outlined. The fact is the record shows a series of gross mismanagement failures, negligence, and leadership voids that led to the unnecessary and preventable deaths of eight of my members and the suffering of my 1,400 members who tested positive.

While all of this is going on, my members still want to work and have to grapple with inmates assaulting them and deliberately coughing and spitting in their faces. Correction Officers have always been the unsung heroes of law enforcement and their bravery in the face of adversity throughout the pandemic clearly illustrates that.

2.2

2.3

So, let's fast forward today. Fall literally begins tomorrow and for months the health experts of the CDC have been sounding the alarm about second wave of COVID-19 returning. I have not as of today seen a written action plan from the DOC to ensure that the litany of mistakes made the first time around never happens again. To ensure the DOC is better prepared, I ask for you to join me in holding the City of New York fully accountable for protecting the thousands of lives in our jail facilities including my members.

To start, the DOC can immediately prepare for the next wave of COVID-19 by taking advantage of the low inmate population which currently hovers around 4,000 inmates. Instead of consolidating the jails and piling inmates on top of inmates, where we are already out numbered 50 to 1 in most housing areas. The DOC can spread the inmates out throughout the jails lowering the officer to inmate ratio to 15 to 1.

This would allow the practice to better social distancing, allowing also increasing the safety of our officer and inmates alike. As part of this redistribution of housing areas, the DOC should

break up the gangs in our facilities and are housed
according to their gang affiliation.

2.2

2.3

This practice has created little army's within the jails where inmates who weren't part of a gang initially are now forced to join gangs just to be safe. Breaking up the gangs would immediately help us mitigate the potential second wave of COVID-19 and making our facilities safer for everyone.

Secondly, we have attempted to compel the city to mandate all correction officers test negative before returning to work if they have tested positive for COVID-19.

While the city has refused this request thus far, I ask that you support us in this critical effort to keep our members safe and to keep the inmates safe as well.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Just wrap up.

BENNY BOSCIO: To meet and speak with me on a regular basis so you can see first hand how correction officers are navigating the challenges posed to use by COVID-19 and to address any deficiencies in the agencies response. We cannot afford the repeat of the mistakes of the past. We cannot waste a single day as soon as the first

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

COVID-19 emerges. Thousands of lives are on the line and the actions you take now will play a vital role in protecting each and every one of us, with those lives.

With that said, I am happy to answer questions you have. Sorry for going over my time.

2.2

2.3

Very much for being here for testifying but I just want to ask because you mentioned a few things right away that needs to be stepped up to ensure that everyone is safe. I wanted to ask specifically, cause you mentioned tests. Clearly COVID tests need to occur more frequently. I feel like that is what I pulled from your testimony. You can correct me if I am wrong.

What more can be done to protect those including testing? Is it happening on a daily basis? Do people have to request them? If you can just give me a little bit of insight as to because testing doesn't seem to be at an adequate rate, how does it work right now for your members?

BENNY BOSCIO: Well, right now, you fill out a questionnaire and you walk into the facility and they have like an infrared camera that tests your

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 134 temperature but the reality is that the department has an opportunity to be proactive this time around, instead of reactive like the last time.

They can spread out the MA population to allow social distancing properly. We currently have the cell space to do so and we have the amount of staff able to accommodate that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Understood and right now, you are saying is PPE sufficient? I realize that at the height of the pandemic you had to buy thousands of masks and hundreds of gallons of hand sanitizer. Do you feel like you are now in a place where there is adequate PPE?

BENNY BOSCIO: Well, now officers are allowed to bring in their masks and I haven't heard an issue on PPE's being an issue now. But that could change, so we need to make sure that they are prepared.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Alright, I want to turn it over. I see Council Member Holden, actually I think Council Member Powers just stepped away for a second but he will be back. So, I will go to Council Member Holden who has a question.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

Thank

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN:

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Thank you Chair.

3 you President Boscio for your testimony. You know,

4 | it's not a coincidence, we saw the MTA workers that

5 got hit hard. They are in a confined space. We

6 also see the correction officers hit hard,

7 particularly hard with the COVID because you are in

8 a confined space.

So, I just want to talk about procedure and you heard Department of Corrections saying, no, they gave you masks, they didn't have to sue. You didn't have to sue. So, obviously, there are two trains of thought here. DOC is saying they gave you masks and you guys had to sue for masks and testing. Something doesn't jive here. Can you elaborate on that a bit and were officers penalized for bringing their own masks?

BENNY BOSCIO: Yes, the officer that brought in their own mask and was questioned at roll call as to why she had a mask on and she ended up having to be sent home after the fact for bringing in her own mask. They wanted her to take the mask off. She was concerned, she didn't want to take the mask off, she ended up being sent home.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Now, because of the

splashing, are your officers given face shields on

a regular basis?

BENNY BOSICO: No, we don't have face shields on a regular basis. We are getting splashed with urine and feces. We are getting spit on. We had inmates literally say, I'm going to give you COVID and cough in officers face on purpose at times.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, you were not provided face shields at all or at least enough for every officer?

BENNY BOSCIO: Not enough for every officer.

Maybe in certain areas, maybe in the CDU, but I am not 100 percent sure if that even happened. I know there was many complaints early on from my member about not being able to get masks.

They would send an officer to a location to pick up boxes of masks and bring them back, so it was a really, really tough situation in the beginning.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, let's say your officers or a supervisor tests positive for COVID and they go out you know and let's say they get

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 137 well. Does DOC or CHS require a negative test to

3 | come back to work?

2.2

2.3

BENNY BOSCIO: No, no, they do not. They were rushing officers back to work. They were still sick. The Health management division —

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: That needs to be investigated because that doesn't sound right.

Because I know there is a lot of procedures and you heard DOC and CHS patting themselves on the back for a great job they did and I don't think the numbers tell us that and I remember how slow they were in the beginning, the lawsuits that had to be filed. So, you know, yeah, they are taking credit now because the COVID in New York City is really at a low point but again, I'm worried about the second wave. If they learned a lesson and I'm not sure they did. In fact, that the face shields are in short supply.

My office is given face shields. I'm getting hundreds of face shields to my office on a regular basis yet it's all alarming that correction officers are not given enough.

Thank you Chair.

everyone. My name is Anna Carlsen, I am a Lawyer

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 139
with the Legal Aid Society in the Criminal Defense
Practice and I am testifying today on behalf of the
Association of Legal Aid Attorney's and UAW2325.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to tell you today what I have experienced as a criminal defense attorney representing incarcerated individuals during this pandemic and what I have seen of their experience in the areas of the court house that are controlled by Department of Corrections. My incarcerated clients are now produced in person to the court houses when they are scheduled to testify before a grand jury because the grand juries are now convening in person and the grand jury is an incredibly crucial stage in their case. decision whether to testify is unique and personal to each client. It really needs to be a conversation that is held in confidence and it is fully informed and therefore it is a long conversation. And I want to point out, there has been conversation in this hearing earlier on about scheduling video conferences, it would be my desire to have sensitive conversations about the grand jury via video conference while my client is incarcerated. The grand jury proceeding takes

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 140 place roughly five business days after the initial arraignment, so it is time sensitive. And I want to point out that I have failures all summer to set up timely video conferences.

2.2

2.3

In fact, just this morning, I arraigned a gentleman yesterday in court who has a grand jury proceeding scheduled for Friday. Just this morning, I tried to set up a video conference and I was told the soonest available time slot will be September 29th, four days after his grand jury proceeding.

So, I have no choice but to meet with him in person. I want to tell you what it's like to go into the area that we call the pins, where my clients are produced, where I speak to them and the dangers that I perceive in the physical layout of the pins.

In Brooklyn Supreme Court where I practiced, the pins are a small, low ceiling, windowless room on the third floor. They always smell awful, which really raises the question of ventilation. You could cut the smell with a knife. It smells like sweat, toilets, spoiled milk from the milk cartons that the inmates are given to drink. Corrections

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 141 officers regularly have candles or incents burning but even during COVID, that smell is ever present.

Whatever enters the air in the pins is still hanging there.

The room is split into two halves by a wall of reinforced plexiglass and along that central wall with plexiglass are small interview booths that have been built out. To have a confidential conversation, my client goes into one half of a booth on their side of the wall, shuts the door behind them and I enter the booth from the other side. The booth is sound proofed and therefore sealed and unventilated. There is no way for me and my client to socially distance from each other while we are having this intensive detailed long conversation.

The only way for me to hear what my client has to say and my client to hear my advice is to speak through a hole that's cut in the plexiglass between us. It's about the size of the saucer that I am holding up. It's about four and a half or five inches across and my clients are desperate for me to hear what they have to say. They are spitting

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 142 through the hole sometimes. They are holding their mouth directly up to it.

I see I am at my time but if I could just finish this thought?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yes.

2.2

2.3

ANNA BERGER CARLSEN: With your permission.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Please.

ANNA BERGER CARLSEN: I just want to let you know that for example, on August 26th, I met with a client to prepare him for grand jury testimony and he was produced without a mask and the court officer who brought him into the pins also was not wearing a mask. I asked him whether he had been given a mask and he said, oh yes, they gave me one when I came into the facility, when I was brought to NDC and he produced from his pocket a completely flattened, filthy, tattered surgical mask that he said he had been wearing for the last five days.

So, there is a real problem with the lack of PPE. There is a real problem with the lack of court officers wearing PPE and complying with those protocols. So, as somebody who is coming from the public into the court house and meeting with my

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 143 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS client in a way where I cannot possibly socially 2 3 distance from them, I want to ask DOC and DCAS to have written safety plans that are public. I want 4 the same kind of written safety plan and 5 transparent protocol that the Department of 6 7 Education has to safeguard the public's health 8 because the communities that I represent are communities that are already ravaged by COVID that have had the worst. They are completely 10 11 marginalized and they need for this space, where they have the least control over what happens to 12 13 them, they need this space to be safe. 14 So, I want to thank you Chair Rivera, Chair 15 Powers and everyone who is working to keep these 16 vulnerable communities safe. The courts in their 17 current state are a super spreader event within the 18 jails that is waiting to happen. 19 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your 20 I will now turn it to Kayla Simpson for testimony. 21 testimony. You may begin when you are ready. 2.2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time. 2.3 KAYLA SIMPSON: Good afternoon, my name is Kayla Simpson, I am a Staff Attorney with the Legal 24

Aid Society Prisoners' Rights Project. Thank you

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 144

Chairs for this opportunity to speak and I want to focus on masks for a second. I know we have been talking about that a lot but I want to focus on them and I will tell you why. Because they are important but also, because I think it tells you something about the agency that is at the heart of this hearing and what the Council should do in

response to that knowledge of the agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, they are important, masks are important. Just last week, CDC Director Dr. Redfield told the U.S. Senate that masks are the most important powerful public health tool we have against the pandemic, and they matter even more in our jails. Staff come from all over the city and beyond, in and out of facilities every day, working different housing areas, different buildings. And so, DOC rightfully has a policy that staff must wear masks but they are not wearing masks and DOC leadership cannot adequately explain why they are working for city employees can flout the mask mandate with such visibility and impunity and our attorneys, you have heard it today from the Board of Correction but our attorneys say the same thing. Anna just reiterated, they visit clients in person and via

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 145

video conference and the see that DOC staff wearing

mask properly is an exception not a rule.

One attorney even described watching two uniformed officers posting mask required signs while they themselves were not wearing masks.

2.2

2.3

And when we raised this issue with DOC

leadership, there response was just to say, listen

it is a policy. We posted posters, we made masks

available and will continue to reiterate

expectation. Bureaucratic, what Commissioner Brann

did not say is that she is willing to enforce the

rule and this failure of leadership over a

municipal workforce endangers everyone in the jails

and in the communities. We should be outraged by

it.

When public schools reopen, we will require kindergarteners to wear masks or be sent home, yet this administration can't seem to ask the same of its correction officers. Why should we expect more of children than we expect of city employees? And I raise this because the issue is representative of the fundamental problem in this agency, which is that policy just isn't reality. It's true with masks, it's true with other COVID-19 responses.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 146 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS Paperwork doesn't translate to practice and you can see it I think in the social distancing question that Benny Boscio raised. The recent BOC reporting shows us that the housing area density is rising. 61 percent of particularly dangerous dorm units are above 50 percent capacity which is a much higher number of course than we will except when we return to indoor dining this month. Where we might only sit in a place for two hours but the max capacity allowed by city officials is 25 percent. And I think that begs the question of how we can value the lives of people in custody so little that we protect our indoor dining experience more than we

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

protect them.

And I see I am at time again but I have one more thought is I could share.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

KAYLA SIMPSON: so, I think when we're looking at actual practices in the jails and not just the promises, I think we see that the department is creating conditions recklessly in the jails that are right for a resurgence second wave, which Council Member Holden was right to raise. And I think the Council can and should legislate some of

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 147 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 those practices. Like the rubber meets the road 3 practices. To cap capacity of housing units during infectious disease emergencies like the one we are 4 in, to mandate random mask testing of the staff and people in custody in line with CDC guidance and to 6 7 adopt other modern outbreak prevention methods like waste water testing. Like some prisons and 8 universities are using to identify outbreaks early. The jails just aren't safe and they grow less 10 11 safe everyday as the population continues to rise. We have to decarcerate and we can't take our eyes 12 off these conditions. It's not the time to relax. 13 People in custody are part of our community and 14 15 they require vigilance. Thank you so much. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your 16 17 testimony. Next, we will hear from Orayne 18 Williams. You may begin when you are ready. 19 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time. 20 ORAYNE WILLIAMS: Good afternoon Chairperson 21 Powers, Chairperson Rivera, and community member. 2.2 My name is Orayne Williams and I am Criminal 2.3 Defense Social Worker at the Bronx Defender. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before 24

you today. As a social worker, we pay close

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 148 attention to issues regarding the health and the wellness of our clients being held pretrial in the city jails.

We emphasize the need for meaningful access to mental health services and necessary changes in environmental factors that are putting our clients life at risk. Environmental factors such as extreme heat, unclean water from pipe and isolation throughout this pandemic have created an inhumane environment.

In addition to the extreme risks caused by COVID-19 in a crowded jail setting, there is a disregard for human lives in those facilities.

These conditions not only affect our clients physical health but also the emotional and mental health. Throughout COVID-19 pandemic, we have seen our clients struggle to access necessary mental health support.

In many cases, clients who express ongoing suicidal ideation, receive no attention despite regular advocacy on their behalf.

Many of clients story reflects the danger of neglectful correctional healthcare. Our asthmatic

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 149 client who became sick during the initial outbreak in jails were at times denied access to inhaler.

2.2

2.3

In August, while checking in with one of our clients, he explained it was extremely hot in his cell. As a result of this heat, he experienced difficult sleeping at night, nose bleeds and dizziness with intense headache.

This month, while checking in with another client, he explained that he had some rashes appearing on his skin and a doctor informed him that it could be a result of the water. Our client confirmed that the water from the pipe is often blackish or yellow with a bad odor. Additionally, we want to highlight the lack of transparency regarding DOC and CHS policy and procedures which create barriers to decarceration. Defense attorneys and advocate attempting to visit their client in person at the jail facilities report many officer without masks entirely or wearing them below their chin while interacting with the public and people in custody.

Additionally, limitation and access to Council are ongoing and must be addressed. DOC struggle to produce our clients by video for court appearance,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 150 which result in case being adjourned and current and unnecessary delay in the due process. As remote work continues, it is critical that the city takes the necessary measure to ensure our clients are present for their court appearances. Also, our clients are being denied access to Council and the opportunity to participate in disciplinary processes.

2.2

2.3

We ask the City Council to support our advocacy effort with the Board to include access to Council in disciplinary proceeding as a part of their restrictive housing rule to be released.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ORAYNE WILLIAMS: In closing, we urge the Council to think creatively about other ways to reduce barriers to decarceration. While finding ways to release many people as possible and for those who remain incarcerated, it is critical that the condition be improved wherever possible. This pandemic is not over. It has seized to ravage our state for right now but there is a second wave predicted for the fall.

City Council must act now learning from their mistakes made these past six months and take real

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 151
tangible steps to protect New Yorkers in custody

before more lives are being taken. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIPERSON POWERS: I just have a quick follow up question. Thank you for your testimony and I agree with you and I think we you know agree with the recommendation around having legal council disciplinary hearings and it is something that we have talked to the Mayor's office about and DOC and something that I would like to see included in any rule making when it comes to any changes when it comes to housing.

Just can you share any more information about what you would like to see when it comes to representation? I think that you know particularly with COVID, there has been I think some you know, challenges to get you know the public in there but is there any other recommendations you have around that. It is something I am in support of. We have articulated I think in writing at the BOC and others but I would like to hear if there is any specific recommendations around that that you have. This is the last individual who just testified.

ORAYNE WILLIAMS: Oh, for me, the recommendation that we would have from our office

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

2 is just more transparency and real transparency

3 from DOC and CHS. We believe that if the court is

152

4 | fully aware of how bad the conditions are in those

5 | facilities, they will be more willing to release

6 our clients. Those who are in need of being

7 released, especially those who are at high risk

8 | with health conditions but with the court not being

9 aware of the true condition of what is going on in

10 those facilities, it makes argument application and

11 other advocacy moments in the court difficult for

12 our clients who are in.

1

13 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Alright, but you also, am

14 | I correct saying you are recommending that you

15 | should be able to have legal counsel if there is

16 like a hearing on punitive segregation or

17 restricted housing?

18

25

ORAYNE WILLIAMS: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, I share your opinion

20 on that and I would like to see that happen. It is

21 \parallel something we are pushing for. Obviously, we would

22 | like to see the entire sort of end of it and that

23 is something that both -

ORAYNE WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, in response to

that specifically, we would like to be notified

represents clients exclusively in Manhattan.

24

As a preliminary matter, please note that I have introduced substantive written testimony including a report produced by my office based on a survey of incarcerated clients in Rikers Island.

What I want to focus my overall remarks on is the untenable situation at DOC facilities which is the persistent and inexplicable lack of mask wearing by corrections officers.

In sum, based on direct observation by my staff at least half of New York City Correction Officers are either not wearing masks or wearing them around their chin with their nose and mouth exposed and thus rendering them useless. It is unfathomable that after what the city has gone through during this pandemic that we have persons refusing to wear masks in jail of all places.

While the infection rate is low throughout the state and city, the virus is very much still here.

Last week, we had 5,537 new cases and 60 deaths in New York State including 38 deaths in New York City and 2,399 new COVID cases in our city. How is it that supermarket employees, MTA employees, and every day New Yorkers can wear masks when outside their homes but DOC employees openly flout this

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 155 requirement, especially when eight members of their union lost their lives with another 1,400 union members testing positive. It is simply inexplicable. Union President Boscio just spent a lot of time talking about the inability to get masks. However, now that his members have masks, up to 50 percent of them are not wearing them. I ask him why? My lawyers and our clients personally made these observations of masks with the CEO's

My lawyers and staff need to be able to visit incarcerated clients to provide the level of advocacy that incarcerated persons deserve.

However, I will not ask my staff to place their health at risk because correction officers are choosing to ignore what the CDC Director, Surgeon General, Dr. Fauci, Governor Cuomo and all elected officials are asking all Americans to do. To help combat COVID-19 which is wear a mask.

We ask that the City Council demand in written policy from DOC leadership regarding mask wearing in jails and that there be real consequences for noncompliance.

2.2

2.3

every day.

2.2

2.3

I will leave you with this thought. When schools reopen, if you as elected officials hear that teachers are not wearing masks. That Board of Education employees are walking around schools without wearing masks —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

STAN GERMAINE: What would the level of outrage be among elected officials, among parents, among cities, among citizens? Incarcerated people deserve the same level of outrage. Our public defenders deserve the same level of outrage that the maskless corrections officers are presenting a clear and present danger to our staff and to our clients. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I will now turn it to Chair Rivera for questions.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you so much for waiting for testifying for all the work that you do. The service that you provide to the city and I'm so sorry that you are faced with this reality of even when there is PPE provided, it's practically useless and that you also feel — well, I'm going summarize that you are also putting

2 yourselves at risk having these meetings, going

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

get a mask."

3 back to your organizations where you work

4 tirelessly with your colleagues as public

5 defenders. So, are those in custody facing access

6 to care in addition to maybe the lack of PPE and

7 then my other question for anyone on the panel is,

8 do your clients mention that they get a test at

9 least or that they are asked that they want to be

10 tested. That there is some sort of protocol that

11 | they go through to keep themselves and the people

12 around them, such as yourselves safe?

read to you an exchange one of my lawyers had just last Wednesday with an incarcerated client and this is verbatim and this is coming from an incarcerated client. "We are packed in a dorm. Every single bed is full. There is no social distancing. It's like they are running out of room to put people. When I first got here, they had every other bed was empty and the middle but now, there is no pretense of social distancing. They are keeping the place full. It's only when you have to go up front when you are around the wording when you all of a sudden

Question, when was the last time you got a mask? Answer, last mask was last Tuesday when I worked up front. When I was near the brass like the warden and lieutenant.

That's the reality of what's happening at the Rikers Island jail from somebody who was there. There apparently has been a clear shift from an emphasis on social distancing and mask wearing, so this kind of attitude that well, the infection rate is at one percent and there is no big deal.

And as I pointed out in my remarks, the virus is still very much here and this is very concerning.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Did somebody want to add because my second question is about the attorney, client privilege when you are having virtual meetings but if anyone had anything to add to my first question, please feel free.

ANNA BERGER CARLSEN: So, Chair Rivera, I just mentioned that the client that I spoke about previously who I met with on August 26th, he told me that he was tested when he entered Department of Corrections custody right after his first arrest but he was not retested after meeting with me and

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

159 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS we were meeting in a non-socially distance manner through a hole. When he was brought back again to MDC, he was not retested after being in the pins, after interacting with court officers who were not masked and so, that seems to me like a gigantic and obvious place where COVID could reenter the jail. Is after these court appearance due to conditions that are in the courthouses.

I'd also point out as far as attorney client privilege conversations that you know my clients will often try to call me because there is such a long wait for video conferences and specifically the line for the phones is another place where they mention that they are having problems with social distancing. That there is always a long line for the phones. That people are not staying away from each other in that line because they don't want to miss their turn and that they are unmasked during that waiting period.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. The virtual meetings was something I did try to ask about. I realize that CHS and our legal system technically might use different systems but that breach of trust and confidentiality has certainly been a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

cause of concern. I wanted to see if any of my colleagues had any questions for this panel?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Just a quick statement. I appreciate the comment and the suggestion around after the visits and the contact with other folks. I think it's something that, first of all I think we all agree that the face mask wearing has to happen and particularly if we start seeing a surge, not only do we have to have PPE available for folks, which was an issue early on but they have to be willing and able to use it.

But I also, on those other areas where you know, we may not be seeing testing happening or there may be contact with folks from the outside, I think it is a good area to focus on as further compliance and get a strategy around testing, so something I think both Council Member Rivera and I can follow up with and figure out how to make the Department sort of better adhere to. I think our outside standards for what centers in other places for testing and we will be happy to follow up on that but I appreciate you raising those.

And we would be happy to hear anymore that folks have either through email or testimony.

•

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And about some of your clients you know, in terms of receiving meaningful and adequate access to medical care. That is something that I am very, very concerned about. I do see Council Member Holden; your hand is up. You want to ask a guestion of the panel?

council Member Holden: Yeah, I just want to echo both Chairs concern. There should be a set of procedures and that's why I have asked BOC and certainly we need it from DOC, to find out what's the procedure when you are leaving, going to court. When you are coming in contact with people from the outside on a regular basis. We need — I mean, it's easy to take credit now and say oh, we don't have, we were under one percent, we are doing a better job than any Department of Corrections in the country in keeping down the COVID.

Yeah, because New York City is doing that. So, taking credit when we are down to less than one percent is disingenuous, I would say but it's alarming to hear from the advocates of saying that there is nothing set up. Nobody is getting retested. They are coming in contact with the outside. We need to hold everyone, the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 162
administration accountable, because again, when the
second wave comes, it doesn't sound like we are
going to be ready.

2.2

2.3

So, I think we have to really make it an issue certainly and I thank the Chairs for doing that.

Members, I know CHS represented today that people in custody who request testing are able to get one even if they are not symptomatic but I would really encourage following up on how many of those tests have actually been performed and what the results of them have been because we regularly hear it from people in custody that they want tests but are not able to get them.

So, I would just be interested in some of the data behind that and I think that sort of underscores some of the things that Dr. Cohen has recommended that certainly we have been asking for which is a much broader testing strategy. Maybe even random mass testing offered to people in custody as sort of an outbreak prevention mechanism because Council Member Holden you are quite right. Like, where are the low prevalence in the community, which is why we are in a low prevalence

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 163 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 in the jails and I think that that's the kind of 3 vigilance we need to be talking about and I feel 4 like often the agencies are far to reactive rather than you know, doing some of these other strategies that other places are using. Like, random mass 6 7 testing, like mandating staff regular testing. 8 Like even waste water testing, which I know I mentioned briefly but I would just encourage follow 9 up on that. We would really appreciate it. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Absolutely, I mean Local 12 Law 59 requires them to report the number of individuals tested for infection but we mentioned 13 that some of those results are pending and then we 14 15 also got kind of a range of the daily tests and

So, we certainly have a large request in for data, detailed data at that. So, I thank you. I agree, it's certainly urgent and we really do appreciate all of the comments from this panel and what you have done.

then it was a bit unclear as to how long it takes

between your requests getting tested and then the

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

results.

STAN GERMAINE: Thank you Committee Members.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you so much.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Thank you for

2.2

2.3

your testimony. Seeing no other Council Member questions, we will move no to our next panel.

I would now like to welcome Tanya Krupat to testify. After Tonya, we will hear from Andre Board followed by Zachary Katz Nelson followed by Sarita Daftary.

Ms. Tanya Krupat, you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TANYA KRUPAT: Hi, can you hear me? Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. My name is Tanya Krupat, I am the Director of the Osborne Center for Justice Across Generations. The policy arm of the Osborne Association.

Osborne offers a wide range of diversion and reentry programs in the Bronx, Brooklyn, Harlem, Newburgh, and Buffalo as well as services at 30 state prisons and 7 New York City jails.

Over the past six months, our program staff who work on Rikers or in borough jails have pivoted to providing program materials to DOC for distribution. Staffing a reentry hotline to assist those preparing to leave or having just left.

Conducting expensive outreach to people upon discharge from DOC facilities and providing reentry services both in person and virtually.

Today, I will be focusing on visiting the lack thereof and tele visiting. I am a member of the DOC visiting work group, although I am not testifying on behalf of the work group today. The benefits of visiting are numerous and well documented including benefits for correction such as reduced violence and institutional adjustment, as well as benefits for the incarcerated individuals, their children, and families.

Sadly, visiting is often an aspect of corrections that gets overlooked at best or deemed inconvenient, a security threat or unimportant at worst. Visiting at the city jails has been suspended since March 18th. No plan has been announced indicating when visiting might reopen or what it might look like when it does. There has been silence regarding the issue of visiting.

Although DOC processed 500 to 700 visitors per day, five days a week until COVID halted all visits.

Visiting has resumed in state prisons with social distancing and PPE in place. The revised

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 166 visit schedule and details made available to the public on the docs website. Visiting can be managed during this time and we ask that DOC work with CHS, representatives of those who visit.

Visit room officers, the DOC visit work group, and the DOC to develop a thoughtful informed plan for reinstating visits.

2.2

2.3

I wanted to speak about tele visiting. While we commend the Department for expediting an expansion of tele visiting, we wanted to speak about a few details in this. Between April 1st and June 21st, the Department conducted 9,400 in tele visits. While impressive, when analyzed across the 10 facilities and the 11 weeks that this encompasses and the fact that no other visits are happening, the numbers actually paint a different picture.

To put this into perspective applying the

Census numbers from this past Friday, if only half

of all people incarcerated on Rikers received one

tele visit per week over 11 weeks, this would

amount to 23,584 tele visits. My testimony

includes a chart of the estimated percentage of the

population at each facility who might be having

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 167 weekly tele visits. While OBCC is an impressive 65 percent, all other facilities are under 29 percent with Rose M. Singer Center at 23 and RNDC at 20 percent.

So, close to 80 percent of women and young people and most others on Rikers are not having tele visiting or visits.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

TANYA KRUPAT: Can I just say one thing in closing? We ask that you also look into the practice of strip searching individuals before and after each tele visit. We've been told that DOC will conduct strip searches upon reasonable suspicion and we ask the Council and the Board look into this further and require weekly reporting of any strip search that is conducted. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We will now be hearing from Andre Ward. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ANDRE WARD: Yes, to Chairpersons Rivera and Powers. Good afternoon. My name is Andre Ward, I am the Associate Vice President of the David

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Society.

The Fortune Society is a 53 year old organization that supports successful reentry from incarceration and promotes alternatives to incarceration. Thus, printing the fabric of our communities. We do this by believing in the power of people to change, building lives through service programs shaped by the experiences of our participants, and changing minds through education and advocacy to promote the creation of a fair, humane, and truly rehabilitative correctional system.

In a March 30th article features in the Daily
News it was reported that at least 167 people that
were currently detained in New York City jails now
have COVID-19, an increase of more than 60 percent
since Friday according to a daily report from the
Department of Correction.

Another 114 jail staff members have also tested positive for the illness according to DOCS. More recently in an August 10, 2020 article featured in the Daily News, it was reported that a total of 754 incarcerated people in all New York State prisons

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS have tested positive for coronavirus. Of those cases, 608 have recovered. 17 incarcerated people have died of the disease.

The Fortune Society is really grateful obviously for the hard work of advocate and law makers who amplify the need to release thousands of people that were detained on Rikers to stem the spread of the coronavirus and we thank both the Board of Corrections for identifying over 2,000 detainees for released and Governor Cuomo for taking some action to release some of those who were detained. Yet that is not enough. In order to address the much needed safety protocols that need to be put in place to prevent the spread of the coronavirus in jails and prisons.

There is also no evidence of measures being taken to effectively engage people in jails and prisons if some future pandemic occurred. What's needed is more when it comes to scientific medically effective and humanely centered approaches to addressing a pandemic in jails and prisons. This is especially applied to those who have been put in position to public trust, such as correction officers whose roles should be to

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 170 demonstrate the care all humans need to grow and transform while they remain temporarily in custody in other institutions responsible for supervision.

2.2

2.3

What is needed is an actual monitoring of how people in jails and prisons are treated by corrections officers during any pandemic or national crisis. This monitoring could come in the form of corrections officers being mandated to wear body cameras to ensure that the detained and incarcerated people are treated with humanity and that the safety mechanisms that the department says have been put in place are in fact being used on a daily basis.

What is needed is mandated first aid training for those detained and incarcerated, as well as correction officers. Not only can this training be used to save lives in jails and prisons, it can also be used by a detained incarcerated person.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

ANDRE WARD: As a transferable skill. As a formerly incarcerated man of color, I experienced medical neglect while in prison and witnessed countless others experience the same thing. I can only imagine what the women and men that are

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 171 detained and incarcerated are going through today, which means immediate action must occur. Leaders like yourselves Chairperson Rivera and Powers, remain committed to making a difference to the way the criminal justice system operates. And with this pandemic or any other, we are looking to get others in the assembly to join alongside you to ensure that those who are detained and incarcerated, especially during a pandemic are treated humanely. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Next, we will be hearing from Zachary Katznelson. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ZACHARY KATZNELSON: Good afternoon. My name is Zachary Katznelson, I am the Policy Director at the Lippman Commission. Our formal name is the Independent Commission on New York City Criminal Justice and Incarceration Reform.

Thanks for the chance to testify today and thank you for holding this hearing. You know, on many levels COVID has brought home the lesson that we must continue to move away from incarceration as much as possible. CHS testified earlier, the best

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 172 way to prevent the spread of COVID in the jails is to have as many people as safe as possible. To have as few people locked up as possible. And of course, it is important to recognize the steps that the Administration and other actors in the system took to release significant numbers of people earlier in the pandemic. Clearly more could have been done and it could have been done more quickly.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'd like to focus today on people serving city sentences. Commissioner Brann testified and she stated that 296 people have been released by the six day program. If you compare those stats with the stats that the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice released for March and April, it seems that no one or almost no one has been released under that program since earliest days of the pandemic. And we would strongly urge the Commissioner to change that and for the Council to put pressure on the Administration to change that. Because not only did the six day releases undoubtedly save lives by eliminating exposure to COVID, it brought down the recidivism rate by providing people who are being released with housing and wrap around services as needed.

2.2

2.3

Many of the people in the six day program had several previous convictions. As one service provide described it to me, it's people who are effectively serving a life sentence one misdemeanor at a time and they are being cycled in and out of jails subjected to trauma and violence and not getting the services they need and just repeating the cycle.

But the six day program has proven significantly more effective in the rearrests rates of the program are just about half of what the people are — for people released generally.

So, these services matter and it cost vastly less than it does at Rikers as housing wrap around services costs between say 50 to 75,000 a year per person depending on people's needs.

At Rikers today, we spend approximately 500,000 per person per year. So, the 100 people who are serving city sentences right now, that's \$50 million a year just for those 100 people. That's not a good use of our resources.

And one of the things that before COVID hit, the administration promised that they would permanently move people with serious mental and

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 174

physical health needs out of the jails and into city hospital settings and even though that too would obviously reduce the population and take the most medically vulnerable people out of the jails,

that process has stalled.

2.2

2.3

And so, we would encourage the City Council to really push the administration to start moving that forward as quickly as possible. So, ultimately, hopefully we can all take the lessons that COVID has taught us and permanently revamp our reliance on incarceration. Because not only will that help save lives, it will help the lives of the people who are incarcerated who are serving sentences or facing charges and frankly, to help people in the community so there will be fewer victims and the low recidivism when people come out.

So, that's the real path forward we believe. Thank you so much.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Next, we will be hearing from Sarita Daftary. You may begin when you are ready.

SARITA DAFTARY: Hello, good afternoon. Thank you Council Member Rivera, Council Member Powers

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 175 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS for holding this hearing. I am testifying today as a Member of the Jails Action Coalition and the Justice for Women Taskforce. I want to thank you for your work in partnership, the formally incarcerated leaders, and allies across New York City to advocate for reducing the use of incarceration in New York City overall and in response to COVID-19. The urgency of decarcerating, closing Rikers and addressing unsafe conditions in all city jails is more clear than I want to echo all of what the advocates and public defenders have raised in previous testimony and add a few details.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The last few months have magnified both the completely unacceptable physical environment in the jails and also the disregard and disorganization with which the Department of Corrections and its staff operate. Again, we continue to hear great discrepancy between what DOC says and what is actually being done where people who are in the jails are reporting to us.

Recently, loved ones of the people currently in DOC custody reported the following. One mother described to me that her son and others in his

since March. She said he looks neglected and sad
in her weekly video calls with him. She said CEO's

are not consistently wearing masks.

2.2

2.3

A woman described that her partner who was being held at the boat has watched DOC put up signs saying that they will be giving masks and gloves but they haven't. He said CEO's are not wearing masks and gloves themselves.

A mother reporting back from her son, who was also held on the boat, said that DOC only gives small hotel size soap bars and only when they have them. He said they also give masks only when they have them and when people in custody ask. Often, they must reuse masks repeatedly and he also noted that CEO's are not wearing masks regularly at all. People in custody are expected to clean their own housing areas but they are not provided with disinfectant regularly. He described a particularly dehumanizing incident last week when a person in his cell block who was not mentally well overflowed his toilet. The people in custody were forced to clean feces and urine the best they could without proper cleaning supplies.

While the behavior of DOC indicates that they feel no urgency to enforce the COVID-19 regulations among their staff particularly wearing masks, they continued with provisions that are convenient for them prohibiting visiting and programming. The reason is pretty transparent. DOC has always viewed visiting and programming as a nuisance and is using this opportunity to deny those rights to people in custody.

There is a clear discrepancy between what DOC says they are doing and what people in custody report but that is not new. Actually, Council Member Powers, you mentioned that it is the city's duty and responsibility to keep people in custody safe.

And what I want to say to close is that people in DOC's custody were not safe before COVID and they are aren't safe now. It's been well documented by the federal independent monitor and others that this is a department that consistently violates minimum standards for responsible treatment of people in their custody and consistently fails to hold its staff accountable or

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 178 following the rules established to protect human rights. Yet the Mayor has subjected the Board — SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

SARITA DAFTARY: Just finish this sentence if you don't mind. The Mayor has subjected the Board of Corrections to budget cuts that will reduce their staff and who provide critical oversight by 31 percent without planning any similar cuts to the Department of Corrections which employs two officers for every person in custody but still cannot manage to distribute masks. The Council simply cannot allow that to happen. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now ask if any Council Members have questions.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I just want to thank you all. Clearly them brining up the low infection rate at was mentioned is reflective of what we are all trying to do but I think we've been pretty clear about the release program and releasing as many individuals as possible. It happened very, very quickly at the beginning and there is no reason why that momentum should not continue.

So, I thank you all for your service and for your testimony and we are absolutely committed to

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS

following up on the PPE, on the testing, on

protecting the staff and the need

protecting the staff and the people that are there and making sure that there are social distancing

protocols in place and that there is contact

6 tracing.

2.2

2.3

So, I just want to thank you all for your testimony and really just unacceptable conditions and experiences that the people that you know and your loved ones are having.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. We will now be moving on to our next panel. I would like to welcome Dr. Victoria A Phillips to testify.

After Dr. Phillips we will be hearing from Jordyn Rosenthal followed by Kelsey De Avila followed by Mrs. Sammie Werkheiser followed by Kelly Grace Price. Dr. Phillips, you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DR. VICTORIA A PHILLIPS: Good morning Chair
Rivera and Powers and all others or afternoon,
sorry. My name is Mrs. Dr. Victoria Phillips, you
all know me as Ms. V and I am member of the Jails
Action Coalition. The Community Health and Justice

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Organizer at the Mental Health Project Urban

Justice Center and founder of Visionary V.

For the past two decades I have worked in

nursing, mental health and criminal justice and arrange of environments with almost every demographic within our society. I speak from a place of demanding justice and never to demean DOC or CHS but to assist them in becoming better and most productive while overlooking our New York City community members. There has always been so much to say within three minutes and I am always fighting internally in who gets the truth. Whose truth get highlighted in such a short time. I urge this Council to figure out a way that those on the inside can call into these hearings, so that you can hear from them yourselves and I want to inform you that most reports coming to me right now from the inside are around the lack of access to PPE, timely medical visits or mental health treatment, access to law library and receiving back all that they request and virtual visits.

Now, with a little bit of time left, please allow me to answer one of your questions directly on the record from someone on the inside.

[INAUDIBLE 3:37:38-3:38:05]

	COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 182
2	DR. VICTORIA A PHILLIPS: Thank you for being
3	so honest and open. I have so much more that I
4	could play to answer all your questions. I have
5	hours of tape directly answering your questions
6	about PPE, going to court and this is how it is.
7	How they don't have privacy, why they are talking
8	to their attorney's. How people are being emailed
9	after a visit is supposed to have start to be
10	notified that they are supposed to be on a visit.
11	I have so many recorders but please, please City
12	Council, make it where people can call in and you
13	can hear from them before you even start
14	questioning DOC or anyone else that sits before
15	you, so that they can properly have to answer what
16	you are hearing directly from the inside. Please,
17	I beg you, make that happen. Make it happen now.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your
19	testimony. Next, we will be hearing from Jordyn
20	Rosenthal. You may begin when you are ready.
21	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JORDYN ROSENTHAL: Hi, my name is Jordyn

Rosenthal and I am the Director of Community

Engagement for the Woman's Community Justice

Association and a member of the Justice for Women

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 183

Taskforce and I want to thank fellow sisters and
other advocates who are testifying on behalf of the

4 Justice for Women Taskforce.

2.2

2.3

The Women's Community Justice Association is a gender specific trauma informed advocacy for justice agency that uplifts and amplifies the voices of all women who are experts through their life experiences and efforts.

I want to begin by thanking Chair Powers and Chair Rivera for holding this crucial oversight hearing.

On Sunday, March 22nd, Governor Cuomo announced the New York State on pause executive order and our lives were drastically changed. The city and state scrambled to implement policies and protocols that assured uniform safety for everyone and surprisingly, people detained in New York City jails were not a priority during this effort. They have remained an afterthought and as a result, our city jails have been ravished by the novel coronavirus.

When the Board of Corrections started to release its daily report in April, it was clear the fire was spreading like wildfire and more than half

2.2

of the women detained were exposed but asymptomatic. By mid-May, 75 percent of women detained at Rosie's were likely exposed but asymptomatic.

The Rose M. Singer Center is not a particularly sanitary or clean place to begin with. The lack of personal protective equipment and access to hygiene stations have exacerbated the problem. Even now when the virus is somewhat contained, I am leery of the efforts of DOC. For instance, if police officers are supposed to be wearing — I'm sorry, if police officers are supposed to be giving \$50 tickets to those on public transit without wearing masks that are not wearing masks themselves, how is the Department of Corrections operating behind closed doors.

As we've heard from everyone else, they are not wearing masks. They are not abiding by their own rules. Which brings me to the next topic, transparency. The Board of Corrections updates has been an important tool for our organization and we are grateful for the Boards commitment to transparency.

2.2

2.3

However, the Board stopped reporting the number of pregnant women in custody on May 20th. When I reached out, they explained they stopped reporting because they were receiving inconsistent information from DOC and they were concerned about reporting inaccurate data. It is baffling that the city cannot record accurate information regarding who was in their custody and even more specific for a subpopulation that requires special care.

If an entity does not know who is in their custody, how can they accurately care for them?

So, which brings me to recommendations. Before a second wave of COVID hits, the city should book us on decarcerating as many women and men as possible.

Over 75 percent of the women detained at Rosie's are pretrial meaning they legally innocent. The Woman's Community Justice Association has been advocating for a single standalone site and there were points of agreement in the ULURP process saying that the city would help find the standalone site.

The one in Queens is too far away and it is going to take too long to build. We could close the Rose M. Singer Center now and get the women off

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 186 2 of the island. I'm sorry, can I finish my sentence 3 or -4 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired. JORDYN ROSENTHAL: We could actually get the 5 women off the island and use the Rose M. Singer 6 7 space which is larger to accommodate social distancing by renovating a place like Lincoln 8 Correctional Facility, Bayview or even [inaudible 3:42:17]. It would just require work within the 10 11 city and state. Please help us you know; make this a reality 12 13 and we are also submitting written testimony within 14 the 72 hours for further details of our plan. 15 Thank you so much and my apologies for going over 16 time. 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your 18 testimony. Next, we will be hearing from Kelsey De 19 Avila. You may begin when you are ready. 20 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time. 21 KELSEY DE AVILA: Hi everyone. My name is Kelsey De Avila, I am with Brooklyn Defender 2.2 2.3 Services. Thank you Ms. V for sharing that recording and 24

I agree. I hope this Council can find ways for

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 187 people incarcerated to testify directly themselves in all public hearings including Board of Correction hearings.

I do want to spend the time to just respond to what CHS and DOC said about testing and contact tracing. When someone is arrested, likely by police officers not wearing masks and bail is set, they are transported from a DOC bus. They are handcuffed to an individual. Eventually, they are moved from intake to new admission housing and they are not just with the people they enter the jail with but with those who entered days before they did.

Now, we have two people, person A and person B who are both in the same new admission housing unit. Person A was tested before person B arrived in the unit and they will stay in the unit until Person A gets their test results back. Person A and Person B are now in the same unit. They are sharing the same day room space, telephone, showers, with little to no sanitation being witnessed.

2.2

2.3

Now, person A, his tests results come back
negative and is moved to another unit, potentially

4 another facility.

2.2

2.3

Person B gets their tests results back days

later and they are positive. CHS says the new

admission unit is put on quarantine status and any

retesting is done on a case by case basis depending

on if I quote, if the person was using PPE. But is

person A notified and is the new unit that person

is in, are they being tested or are they notified.

Today, CHS had testing's available for everyone but many people have reported that they will asks for tests and their requests are ignored or told, we will look into it.

People are entering housing units before or without ever receiving their test results. It's creating unnecessary stress and anxiety as it was pointed out earlier. It is building up tensions in the jails and people have been saying this since March. Housing units are above 50 percent capacity and DOC is moving people every day. My team worked with someone who was moved to four different facilities in one week.

When we asked CHS if they test every time someone enters the facility, we were told and I quote, "it's not practical because transfers within custody happen frequently." Transfers are happening at the guidance of DOC, not from the guidance of CHS or public health officials. I would ask for clarification on their contact tracing efforts. We are told it is complicated, it is complex.

We are heading into a second wave with little information on how CHS and DOC are handling this crisis. DOC said today that they are waiting DOHMH guidance on family and social visit reopening yet legal visits are happening with what seems like no guidance at all. With DOC apathy towards compliance and increasing jail population, Rikers Island will once again be at the epi center of this crisis and we will have the headlines to show it.

And lastly, I will say the city has not publicly reported the number of people who contracted COVID-19 in the jails but died post release. And advocates and attorneys work endlessly for their release and for many it was too late. And I think to understand the true impact of

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 190
COVID-19 in the jails and the spread of COVID-19 in the city, we must know this number. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Next, we will be hearing from Ms. Sammie Werkheiser. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MRS. SAMMIE WERKHEISER: Hello. The following is my testimony before you the New York City Council on September 21, 2020. My name is Sammie I am 41-years-old and an advocate for Werkheiser. pregnant women who are incarcerated in New York City. I speak today for the pregnant women on the inside who have had to grow a human being inside of their bodies while also serving jail time or a prison sentence. I proudly advocate for women who are justice impacted with our team at a little piece of light in Donna Hill in Brooklyn New York. I am a member of the Justice for Women Taskforce, a speaker for the National Action Network for Solitary and the National Religious Campaign Against Torture. I advocate and bring them to New York for incarcerated men and women with the citizen action of New York's southern tier chapter.

I am calling for the immediate and to solitary confinement being used for medical quarantine during the COVID-19 pandemic. The practice of solitary confinement itself is humane and unfair and constitutes as cruel and unusual punishment. A violation of our constitutional rights.

Three women that I am friends with Bedford

Health Correctional facility were diagnosed with

COVID-19. Darlene Benson also known as Lulu was

diagnosed with COVID-19. Lulu and I both entered

prison in 2013. It was the other women on 113 C

and D housing unit that got the officer's attention

to ensure that Lulu got to the hospital. Lulu

passed away while serving her sentence. She was a

woman of color and an elder. She was a friend,

mother, sister, daughter and grandmother and she

was my friend.

She applied for clemency last year but was denied even after a heart operation. When I was in solitary confinement while serving a 12 years to life prison sentence, my water broke while I was only 5 months pregnant with twins. My son Julius Kingston survived and his twin sister

2.2

2.3

2 Dacenterine[SP?] was born alive but lived only 22

3 minutes on my chest.

2.2

2.3

The effects of solitary confinement are real.

Thousands of men and women incarcerated in New York have been placed in solitary as a means for medical quarantine. Other reasons for solitary can be protected custody, temporary custody or for disciplinary reasons. There is no good reason to throw a woman or man and certainly not a pregnant mother in the hole. If you are unsure if solitary confinement should be eradicated or not, I propose an experiment for you to do at home. You will need a stranger. Have the stranger lock you in your bathroom. Have them lock it from the outside and open it when they feel like it about three times a week.

Consider then what it is truly like to live like us. Human beings like you think then of the thousands of men and women, specifically pregnant women in protective custody just like I was.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SAMMIE WERKHEISER: Think of the unborn babies swarming in utero dealing with their mothers daily stressors from jail life and just surviving on the

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS inside. Darlene Benson Fay and Jesinta Rain Werkheiser[SP?] who were not as lucky would want me to plead with you to end solitary confinement for all people of New York City and across the state of New York. It is especially despicable and harmful to human life as a needs for medical quarantine during the COVID-19 pandemic.

This concludes my testimony today and a copy of the aforementioned will be submitted to the New York State Senate. Thank you for your compassion and consideration for a radical change and human decency. Respectfully submitted, Mrs. Sammie Werkheiser. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We will now hear from Kelly Grace Price. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: Good afternoon. I am going to keep my video off because of my bad internet connection. I am Kelly Grace Price, the Founder of Close Rosie's. I wanted to talk today about sexual abuse in the jails during the time of COVID. will get to that in a second. I wanted Councilman Powers just to ask, there was a hearing last May

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

194 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS about COVID in the jails and you and Councilman Lancman were asking the Department specifically if there was a special area for people to be housed after they are tested at intake and before the results come back.

We never heard if the DOC in fact have an interim staging area like this or was going to set one up. They promised to get back to you. heard conversations about this since that, since that time, since that discussion and it would be great if we could get follow up about that specific issue.

Specifically, about sexual abuse in the jails. A report was just published by the Department, the semiannual report, the pre-report in August and rates of sexual violence in the jail have skyrocketed despite the fact that the population has added considerably. The population of the Rose M. Singer at one point was down almost 80 percent but yet the total number of staff on inmate allegations of sexual [inaudible 3:51:44] has gone up 185 percent.

Incidences of sexual abuse have gone up 111 percent and sexual harassment up 850 percent. I COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 195 want to bring this to your attention. Of course, I will submit my very comprehensive data analysis in writing but still, no one is talking about this issue of sexual violence in the jails. The reporting bill Councilman Powers that you promised me when you were elected that was signed in early 2019, it is still not, the information still isn't being released properly and especially at a time when we shouldn't be touching each other. These rates of staff on persons that are behind bars of incidents of sexual abuse and harassment against them skyrocketing raises some alarming red flags.

Like I said, I will submit my written

2.2

2.3

Like I said, I will submit my written testimony. As far as Rose M. Singer Center, allegedly rates of sexual violence have gone down but you know, that's kind of splicing hairs. At the same time, the population has gone down 80 percent.

So, just because we have two less incidences of sexual violence in the jail reported in this half of year semi annual assessment report, doesn't necessarily mean that we are making any progress at Rosie's if the population has gone down 80 percent. I will email you directly the reports and my data

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 1 196 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 2 analysis, so you can look at them yourselves and I 3 would like to get a commitment from you Councilman 4 Powers. SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired. KELLY GRACE PRICE: From you Councilman Powers 6 7 to work hand and hand to improve this issue because 8 it really has been shoved to the side. Thank you so much for letting me testify today. CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We will follow up with you 10 11 on that. Thank you. 12 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your 13 testimony. I'd like to now welcome Edda Ness to 14 testify. You may begin when you are ready. 15 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time. 16 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, I think there might 17 be some issues. I'd like to now welcome Donna 18 Hylton to testify. You may begin when you are 19 ready. 20 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time. 21 DONNA HYLTON: Thank you. I'm sorry I missed 2.2 most of what was testified to but I just wanted to 2.3 jump back in and because of what I heard earlier. So, it's kind of changed the direction of my 24

testimony. As a formerly incarcerated individual

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 197 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS who spent 27 years in the system, 13-months of that are on Rikers Island. I just want to really emphasize and reemphasize to you the lies that I have heard today. There is absolutely no way for people who are on the island to have any kind of social distancing, any kind of real, real medical attention and right now, let me emphasize that the absolute lack of mental healthcare. The absolute lack of mental healthcare. We are in a time of a pandemic that no one is certain of anything and so, the rate of mental health and within our system is at an exorbitant level and no one is addressing this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

No one is addressing, I'm pretty sure that my sister and colleague Dr. Victoria Phillips has said this already and will continue to say it, but I need to highlight this and I am really, really saddened that we continue to have panels, hearings like this where we have to continue talking about the same things. I join this fight. Many years ago, and we are in 2020, almost at the end of 2020. We are in a pandemic and the people that have been released have been sent back to prison. Have been sent back to be detained on Rikers Island during a

2.2

2.3

pandemic. We have the most vulnerable people who are on the island right now that their issues are not being addressed and we expect the system to address it where we know the system is inadequate at best. Inadequate and so far medical attention and mental health attention.

And so, I'd just like to jump in and say that from what I heard earlier and I'm one that often times that I'm transparent and I speak my truth and I have to call lies when I hear them, especially knowing the system the way that I know the system. There is absolutely no way that all those things that we heard this morning are truthful. I'm sorry, we continue to hear our people sending us SOS messages because they are afraid. They are not hearing the truth about how many are tested, how many are tested positive, what they are doing to address this. We are not hearing the truth and I am telling you this from a person from the inside as well as an activist and an advocate now on the outside.

I can attest to this and I will stand on it.

Please do not believe what you are hearing and what
you have heard this morning and what we have heard

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 199 many times back. We should be at a point where we shut down this island and address the needs, the root causes of the issues that continue to send people to that island for detention and for whatever other causes and issues.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

2.2

2.3

DONNA HYLTON: We must relook at our communities and invest in them and to stop this. It is wrong, it is unjust, it is not equitable, it is not fair and it is inhumane and cruel. I am sick and tired of having to fight for people and having to go through these hearings and like a dog chasing our tails.

Nothing is getting done. We are in a pandemic people, a pandemic. Regardless of guilt or innocents, the color of a persons skin, their religion, whatever their mental health status is. They should be afforded the opportunity for care period. It is time that we vote and I am telling you we have a voting initiative running across this nation and I am telling you now as Donna Hylton, activist, advocate, formerly incarcerated woman, mother, Black woman, the time to vote a lot of you out happens now. This is too much. We are dealing

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 200 with human beings and human beings lives. It is just too much and I say this with all respect. I say this with love, I say this with care but I need you to understand, the times that we are in.

Enough is enough. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We will now be turning to Edda Ness. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

2.2

2.3

EDDA NESS: Hello, can you hear me. Hi, my name is Edda Ness, I am a Defense Lawyer. You know, I just wanted to say, reiterate what Ms. Hylton just said so passionately but also my experience you know, what's happening right now in the jails is intertwined with the lack of safety in the courts because what hasn't been addressed is how the courts are treating our clients in terms of how they are bringing them to the court house. Like are the buses sanitized, handcuffs sanitized. It may sound minor but all those kind of issues are not minor issues because once a client is brought t the court house, they are exposed to the lack of safety inside the court house and then when they are taken back to Rikers Island, they are exposed

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 201 to the lack of safety there. So, their exposure rates especially if there is a second wave coming, goes back and forth and it is being transmitted.

So, it is directly, it's very important to look at the fact the courts have not been transparent at all. That includes OCA, that includes DCAS. realize this hearing is about COVID in the jails in terms of the Department of Corrections but everything is intertwined and so, we do have to demand, I would hope that the City Council demands transparency in terms of accessing the written reports from OCA, from DCAS and also from the Department of Corrections. Because that's very important to see what's actually happening there. I don't believe our clients are being given the respect that they should be. This is a pandemic time. It is actually quite horrendous that clients are treated with such disregard and lack of care in terms of where they are coming from. In terms that there are poor people from incarcerated at Rikers Island. It's a lack of respect that's afforded to them by the OCA system and by DCAS inside the court building.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.3

Everything is intertwined there and that total lack of respect that has occurred for so many years now and all this push for restorative justice, everything is intertwined and I would hope that the City Council keeps on pressing forward in terms of looking at the [lost audio 4:01:20-4:01:26] on them.

So, I thank the Council for the opportunity to speak on this. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now ask if any Council Members have questions for these panelists.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I did have a question about you know some of the — clearly we are here in mutual frustration because in order to solve a problem which is very intrenched systemically in terms of the racism. You know, we're just asking for basic things like data, which we can't even get and I will tell you as an elected official who has been pretty much asked to foil data rather than being presented with it, so that I can practice my charter of mandated responsibility of oversight and investigation. It's incredibly troubling.

2.2

2.3

So, I just wanted to ask I guess, if there was at least one person, I know Kelly mentioned about some of the data in terms of sexual assault and I know there was a previous panel that also mentioned strip searching and getting data on that. Where do you get most of your data as you are compiling some of this analysis?

I'm not sure if she is still, Kelly, are you still there. Well, either way I mean, I wanted to just mention to any of the people on the panel that I realize and I would say to Donna as well, what you said about just not getting any answers and going around in circles.

You know, that's why we bring them here to get them on the record. To have them let us know what they have and what they don't have and I see about the Manhattan DA or Bronx DA and CHS and holding them accountable as well. I realize the legal system is intwined and Council Member Powers has been a leader on that. Council Member Powers, I don't know if you had any questions for this panel.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: No, I appreciate it. I am, I think both myself and Council Member Rivera are deeply concerned about our preparedness for a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, I do appreciate everybody being here and being part of this and I know Council Member Rivera and I are going to have to convene our folks after this and talk about ways to ensure that these agencies are meeting their mandates and meeting the requirements but we appreciate you guys being part of this and any information or reporting that you

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 205 are not receiving from the agency, we are here to

make sure you hear that information. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Members.

At this time, this concludes our public panel
testimony. If we have inadvertently missed anyone
that has registered to testify today and has yet to
be called, please use the Zoom raise hand function
now and you will called in the order that you have
raised your hand.

Seeing no hands, I am going to turn it over to the Chairs for closing remarks. Chair Rivera.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you so much to everyone who has been with us. Clearly we are sharing your concerns and you know having witness the condition there. Certainly, the court room, the access to medical care. We are very, very troubled and concerned and are certainly outraged and thank you all for all of your work. I know that the community spread created horrific conditions before lockdown and asymptomatic people added to that rampant spread because we just didn't know how many people had it.

So, I am very, very concerned that even with the dedicated medical staff that is a part of

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 206

Correctional Health Services, by the time that we realize we need more masks and we behave strictly, and that's all parties involved, it will be too late. Asymptomatic spread is real and unfortunately if we do see a spike, if we do see the second wave, I think we are all very afraid that it's likely it could already be in the jails because of the lacks protocols.

2.2

2.3

So, that was one of the reasons we are having this hearing today and again, I just want to thank you all for testifying and for staying with us all these hours later.

Chair Powers, do you want to add anything in closing?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Well, first of all, thank
you to Chair Rivera for always ensuring that our
CHS and DOC are doing their jobs when it comes to
providing their you know, upholding their
responsibility here to keep folks safe during a
pandemic but I will say I do anticipate, I think we
all do, that the next few months will be you know,
filled with this sort of concern and fear of a new
surge in COVID, not to mention the regular flu and
other health issues that will come up during winter

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH 207 COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS and beyond this hearing, I think there is the work that Council Member Rivera and I will do to make sure that DOC and CHS are doing their jobs. Including both of us have been there I think recently being inside and making sure that they are doing their jobs and seeing it ourselves. I will say and just to end on this note, just a little bit unrelated to this because this has come up in one of the persons testimony, we are now on September 21st and the Mayor has promised all of us that he would appoint and empower his taskforce to come back to us with rule making related to housing. Particularly when we talk about solitary confinement and punitive segregation.

I know Council Member Rivera and I both testified to Board of Corrections last year calling for an end to it and we are waiting for those results back and anticipating the Mayor to uphold his promise here to end solitary confinement in our city jails.

So, we are calling on him again I think to do that and do it before we hit a second wave of pandemic and to send us the results of that, so we

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS 208 can begin doing our oversight function on that and be ready to take action.

So, I want to just remind that because we had the last speaker. So, I know some of the folks on here had been calling for that and I want to echo their calls for that once more. But again, thank you for everybody for being here through this, to the Chair for her work here to make sure that we are providing critical oversight to these agencies that have custody over New Yorkers and I think it would make sense for us to do some follow up after this with those agencies on the questions that remain or the areas where there needs to be continued attention.

So, thank you and thank you everybody for being here.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: You are here, thank you for your leadership, thank you. And with that, I will adjourn this hearing Committee on Hospitals and Committee on Criminal Justice. Thank you so much everyone. [GAVEL]

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Alright, good job everybody. Goodbye.

2.2

2.3

1		CRIMINAL JUSTICE HOSPITALS	JOINTLY	WITH	209
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 30, 2020_____