1	CI	TTY COUNCIL STATED MEETING	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORE	K	
4		X	
	TRANSCRIPT OF TH	HE MINUTES	
5	Of th	e	
6	CITY COUNCIL STA	AMEN MEEMING	
7	CITI COUNCIL STA	AID MEEIING	
8		X	
9		June 25, 2020 Start: 1:44 p.m.	
		Recess: 5:00 p.m.	
10			
11	HELD AT:	REMOTE HEARING	
12	BEFORE:	Corey D. Johnson,	
13		Speaker	
14			
	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
15		Adrienne E. Adams Alicka Ampry-Samuel	
16		Diana Ayala	
17		Inez D. Barron	
18		Joseph C. Borelli Justin L. Brannan	
19		Fernando Cabrera	
		Margaret S. Chin Andrew Cohen	
20		Costa G. Constantinides	
21		Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.	
22		Laurie A. Cumbo Chaim M. Deutsch	
23		Ruben Diaz, Sr.	
		Daniel Dromm Mathieu Eugene	
24		nachteu Eugene	

1	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING	2
2	COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONT.)	
3	Vanessa L. Gibson	
4	Mark Gjonaj	
-	Barry S. Grodenchik	
5	Robert F. Holden	
-	Ben Kallos	
6	Andy L. King	
7	Peter A. Koo	
,	Karen Koslowitz	
8	Rory I. Lancman	
	Brad S. Lander	
9	Stephen T. Levin	
10	Mark Levine	
10	Farah N. Louis	
11	Alan N. Maisel	
	Steven Matteo	
12	Carlos Menchaca	
13	I.Daneek Miller	
10	Francisco P. Moya	
14	Bill Perkins	
	Keith Powers	
15	Antonio Reynoso	
16	Donovan J. Richards	
10	Carlina Rivera	
17	Ydanis A. Rodriguez	
	Deborah L. Rose	
18	Helen K. Rosenthal	
19	Rafael Salamanca, Jr.	
19	Ritchie J. Torres	
20	Mark Treyger	
	Eric A. Ulrich	
21	Paul A. Vallone	
22	James G. Van Bramer	
۷۷	Kalman Yeger	
23		

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2 DIRECTOR OF SECURITY: Okay, can I have your 3 attention everybody. At this time, I am asking that everyone please place all electronic devices to 4

vibrate. All electronic devices to vibrate. 5

Council Members remember that you will have the ability to mute yourself. Thank you very much Mr. Public Advocate.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: [GAVEL] Thank you. Good afternoon and welcome to the Stated Meeting of June 25, 2020. My name is Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate of the City of New York. It is my pleasure to welcome you to the virtual meeting of the City Council. If you would like to follow along, the agenda for today's meeting is posted on our website.

COUNCIL CLERK: Please join us for the Pledge of Allegiance. I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. now have today's invocation delivered by Bishop R.C.

24 COUNCIL CLERK: I'm sorry Mr. Advocate, we have to do the roll call.

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING 4
2	PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Yeah, that's right.
3	COUNCIL CLERK: Adams?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Present.
5	COUNCIL CLERK: Ampry-Samuel?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Present.
7	COUNCIL CLERK: Ayala? Barron? Borelli?
8	Brannan?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Present, present.
10	Borelli present, I had a mute problem.
11	COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you Council Member.
12	Cabrera?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Present.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm sorry, Council Member
15	Barron also blessed and present. Thank you.
16	COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you Council Member Barron.
17	Chin?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN? Present.
19	COUNCIL CLERK: Cohen?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I'm in my kitchen but I'm
21	here.
22	COUNCIL CLERK: Constantinides? Cornegy?
23	Deutsch? Diaz?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Present.

COUNCIL CLERK: Dromm?

1	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING	5
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Present.	
3	COUNCIL CLERK: Eugene? Gibson?	
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Present.	
5	COUNCIL CLERK: Gjonaj?	
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Present.	
7	COUNCIL CLERK: Grodenchik?	
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Present.	
9	COUNCIL CLERK: Holden?	
10	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Here.	
11	COUNCIL CLERK: Kallos?	
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Here.	
13	COUNCIL CLERK: King? Koo?	
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Present.	
15	COUNCIL CLERK: Koslowitz?	
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Here.	
17	COUNCIL CLERK: Lancman?	
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Present.	
19	COUNCIL CLERK: Lander?	
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Here.	
21	COUNCIL CLERK: Levin? Levine?	
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Here.	
23	COUNCIL CLERK: Louis?	
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Present.	

COUNCIL CLERK: Maisel?

1	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING	6
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Here.	
3	COUNCIL CLERK: Menchaca?	
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Presente.	
5	COUNCIL CLERK: Miller? Moya?	
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Present.	
7	COUNCIL CLERK: Perkins?	
8	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Present.	
9	COUNCIL CLERK: Powers?	
10	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Here.	
11	COUNCIL CLERK: Reynoso?	
12	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Present.	
13	COUNCIL CLERK: Richards?	
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Present.	
15	COUNCIL CLERK: Rivera? Rodriguez? Rose?	
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Present.	
17	COUNCIL CLERK: Rosenthal?	
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Present.	
19	COUNCIL CLERK: Salamanca?	
20	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Present.	
21	COUNCIL CLERK: Torres?	
22	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Present.	
23	COUNCIL CLERK: Treyger?	
24	COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Here.	

COUNCIL CLERK: Ulrich?

world and our lives. You instructed us in the words

of Saint Paul, I urge first of all, that petitions,

3 prayers, intersession, and thanksgiving be made for

4 all people. For kings and for all those in authority

5 that we may live peaceful and quite lives in our

6 godliness and holiness. We thank you for each

7 | individual seated in this Council today and prayer

8 your blessings up on them and also for those who are

9 | not able to attend. I thank you for the rich

10 diversity of New York City and what it represents

11 around this world, even though we were forced to

12 battle the viral pandemic of COVID-19 and witness the

13 massive loss of lives. Still, we celebrate the

14 resilience of the city and the courage of our

15 essential workers.

another.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I pray that you'll direct all officials in how to deal with the racial unrest that plagues our country and give us wisdom to correct the wrongs of the past as we celebrate your image in each individual. Help us oh Lord to live as brothers and sisters that we may walk in fellowship and compassion and to love one

I pray that you will use the gifts and abilities within each of us to accomplish the tasks set before us. Help us to maintain a hopeful expectation in the

2.2

2.3

deliberations of the day and to always remember that
when our agenda stay focused on the wealth of all
constituents. We can always depend on your presence

5 and grace.

We pray as we carry out your work of justice and mercy, you will guide our hearts and direct our steps. We pray these things in the precious name of Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

God Bless You and have a wonderful day.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you so much
Bishop Nelson and I will now call on Council Member
Ampry-Samuel to spread the invocation on the record.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: I'm elated to speak on behalf of the Bishop, Dr. R.C. Nelson, Senior Pastor of the Church of God of East Flatbush. I've come to know and work very closely with Bishop Nelson and his family. It is an honor to have him here for today's invocation. A very popular scripture in the Bible found in the book of Esther for such a time is this and if you are a believer in a higher being and faith, then we accept that some things are designed with such a time as this.

Bishop Nelson's work before and with the Church of God of East Flatbush had been community focused

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

10

2 and progressive. Considering the tone of the city,

3 we are certainly privileged to have him to be our

Speaker today and he practices what he preaches. He

5 has been on the ground with crisis management

6 experience and has stood at the gap between community

7 and police and has developed affordable housing and

many experiences that we in the New York City Council

9 | value and hold in high regard.

Bishop Nelson was born on a beautiful island of
Jamaica and migrated to London England as a young
adult and pursued undergraduate studies in theology
at the European Bible Seminary in Germany and
biblical archeology studies in Jerusalem Israel. He
has a master's in divinity and a doctorate in
ministry.

Prior to pursuing full time ministry, Bishop

Nelson was employed as a psychotherapist at the

Hiwassee Mental Health Center program focused solely

on suicidal patients. After being a pastor in

Canada, he moved to New York with his family as a

trustee of the Brookdale Hospital and Medical Center

and a member of the 67th Precinct Council Member and

the Brooklyn NYPD taskforce.

None.

Communications?

SPEAKER JOHNSON:

24

2.2

2.3

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Land use call ups?

SPEAKER JOHNSON: None.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. We will now have communication from Speaker Corey Johnson.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Mr. Public Advocate. Good afternoon everyone, happy Thursday. I hope you and your families are safe and well and I want to thank you for joining us for another virtual stated meeting.

This week our city moved into the second phase of reopening and I am really proud of the work that New Yorkers all did together to flatten the curve and to get us to this point. The numbers have been showing progress but we have to keep that up. So, I want to remind everyone that we must stay vigilant. We must continue to practice social distancing and we must wear a mask. Those are two of the most vital tools to prevent the spread of COVID-19. So, let's keep up that good work. As of yesterday, we lost our city has lost — our city and state has lost 22,365 of our fellow New Yorkers. 22,365 New Yorkers have died from COVID-19. That number includes probable deaths.

I always like to remember that these aren't just numbers but actual New Yorkers who are loved and will

2.2

2.3

be forever missed. To those who have lost a loved on to this devastating disease, you are in our thoughts and prayers today.

As we do during each stated, I also want to acknowledge those who died from 911 related illnesses since our last meeting. The FDNY lost retired Firefighter Blaise DelBianco who was 66 years old. Let's have a moment of silence for Firefighter DelBianco and the tens of thousands of New Yorkers who we have lost to COVID-19.

Thank you. This Friday marks two years since the supreme court upheld the Presidents Muslim ban. We said it then and we say it now, Trump's Muslim ban is racist. It goes against everything we stand for and we will never stop fighting any and every racist policy this White House tried to enact.

Hold on one second, I apologize, I think I'm having a technical problem. I apologize. We continue to fight for equal rights for everyone regardless of race, gender, sexual orientation, and this Sunday marks the 50th anniversary of our city's pride parade. Celebrating the many achievements of a community which I am proud to be a part of. One, encouraging love and acceptance and as we celebrate

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

14

2 pride this month, it is important that we continue to

3 recognize the intersectionality of Black lives and

4 queer lives. Our struggles are not always the same

5 | but we all continue to fight today for fair treatment

6 under the law. This years march will look very

7 different from prior years but pride march is on, as

8 | it always has.

Now, let's dive into today's agenda. The Council will vote on one Article 11 property tax exemption for 3415 Knox Place in Council Member Cohen's district in the Bronx to preserve 25 units of affordable housing.

Moving on to the rest of our legislative agenda, the Council will also be voting on legislation to amend reporting requirements by short-term rental platforms. Introduction 1976 sponsored by Council Member sponsored by Carlina Rivera will amend a law passed by the Council in 2018 that required online short-term rental platforms such as Airbnb to provide more information the Mayor's office of special enforcement. These amendments would tailor those reporting requirements to cover listings for short-term rentals on the entire dwelling unit or housing accommodation and rentals for three or more persons

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

15

2 at the same time and I want thank from the staff,

3 Audrey Saun[SP?] and Austin Branford for their help

4 on this bill.

Our next bill is one that I worked very closely on with Council Member Antonio Reynoso and countless small business owners. Our restaurants are part of the fabric and sole of our city. Many people know our city for its restaurant industry and the culinary experience here is unmatched. The shutdown has devastated so many of these restaurants and we can't allow them to fail. This is the time to get creative and reimagine our outdoor spaces. Proposed Introduction Number 1957-A, sponsored by Council Member Antonio Reynoso, will codify the city's outdoor dining program. Allowing restaurants and bars to temporarily use outdoor spaces like sidewalks, curbs, and streets. These businesses would be allowed to self-certify that they are using sidewalk and curb space directly in front of their business.

Currently, more than 4,000, sorry, almost 5,000 businesses have utilized the self-certifying process through the City of New York and from the staff, I want to thank Falckies Myrig[SP?] and Leah Skrzypiec.

2.2

2.3

Although this bill address the recovery of our restaurant industry, we need to ensure a healthy recovery for our entire city. This next bill requires the city to start planning for the reopening of agency offices. When the virus hit in March, there was no plan for protecting our workers. It was confusing and frankly scary for a lot of city employees. When they go back, city workers need to know what to expect and that we're prepared to keep them safe.

Proposed Introduction Number 1950-A sponsored by Majority Leader Laurie Cumbo will require senior staff from the Mayor's Office to work with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the Department for Citywide administrative services to create policies and protocols to facilitate the safe reopening and operation of individual city agencies.

I want to thank the staff who worked on this, CJ Murry, Elizabeth Kronk and Emily Forgione. The Council will also vote on a bill out of the Finance Committee designed to increase transparency around COVID-19 related spending. All too often, a crisis can lead to unchecked spending but the Council is

2.2

2.3

2 committed to making sure there is always

3 accountability over city spending.

Proposed Introduction Number 1952-A sponsored by Council Member Vanessa Gibson would require the City of New York to create an online public data base that would track expenditures of federal, state, and local funds for addressing COVID-19. And I want to thank the staff who worked on this Rebecca Chasan, Stephanie Ruiz, and Nell Beeka[SP?]. Also, from the Finance Committee are two bills and five Resolutions that relate to the interest rates for late and early payments of property taxes.

First, are three annual resolutions that will adopt the rates that were recommended to the Council by the city's Banking Commission. This year's rate include the lowest historical interest rates for quarterly taxpayers, which include over 90 percent of our homeowners. Those rates will be 3.25 percent for the first quarter of Fiscal 2021 and 5 percent for the remainder of the year. That is down from 7 percent in the current fiscal year.

The other recommended late payment is 18 percent for semiannual payers, which is mostly investor oriented properties and luxury homes. And the early

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

18

2 discount rate of 0.05 percent remains unchanged from

3 Fiscal 2020. However, recognizing that so many of

4 our property owners are struggling financially, the

5 | Council is voting on two bills and companion

6 resolutions to adopt targeted lower interest rates

7 for COVID impacted property owners who are unable to

8 pay their July 1st property tax bill on time.

Proposed Introduction Number 1964-A sponsored by Council Member Margaret Chin, would authorize a 7.5 percent late payment interest rate available to small rent regulated apartment and commercial buildings that pay their taxes on a semiannual basis and have been adversely impacted by COVID-19. The lowered interest rate would be available between July 1st and October 15th.

The next Proposed Introduction Number 1974-A sponsored by our Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, would authorize a zero percent late payment interest rate for COVID-19 impacted homeowners who use their properties as their primary residence and pay who either have incomes below \$150,000 who are already in a hardship payment plan. The lowered interest rate would be available between July 1st and September 30th.

2.2

2.3

I want to thank the staff who worked on this Rebecca Chasan, Emery Adev[SP?], Ray Magesski[SP?], Andrew Wilber, and Noah Brick.

This past April, the state legislature voted to legalize electric bikes and scooters, but the use of e-bikes and e-scooters was still prohibited by local law in New York City.

Today, the Council is moving to change that and this legislation is timely. As the COVID-19 pandemic hit our city, many New Yorkers turned to alternative forms of transportation for essential travel and relied on food deliveries made by cyclists e-bikes more than ever before.

As the city reopens, it is more important than ever that we provide New Yorkers with many options for getting around. It is also important that we focus on justice issues and we need to deliver justice for these food delivery workers. Proposed Introduction Numbers 1250-A and 1254-A, both sponsored by Council Member Fernando Cabrera, would eliminate local prohibitions on the use of certain e-bikes and e-scooters that are now allowed under state law.

2.2

2.3

Introduction 1250-A would allow for the use of privately owned e-scooters with top speeds of under 20 miles per hour and Introduction 1264-A would allow for the use of three classes of electric bicycles with top speeds under 25 miles per hour. This legislation would also clarify that devices that remain prohibited by Local Law may only be impounded if operated in a manner that endangers the safety of the operator or the safety or property of another and would reduce the previously excessive penalty for operating a prohibited device from \$500 to \$250.

Proposed Introduction Number 1266-A, again sponsored by Council Member Fernando Cabrera, would require the Department of Transportation to create a pilot program for the operation of shared electric scooters in New York City. The bill would require that neighborhoods unserved by existing DOT based bike share programs be given priority in determining the geographic boundaries of the pilot program.

DOT would be required to issue a solicitation for the pilot by October $15^{\rm th}$ and would allow for shared electric scooters to be offered to the public no later than March $1^{\rm st}$. The bill would also require that the Department of Transportation report to the

2.2

2.3

2 Council on the progress of the program and issue

3 recommendations to the Council regarding the creation

4 of a permanent shared electric scooter program.

The pilot also requires participating e-scooter companies to make sure their vehicles don't obstruct sidewalks or ramps. This will benefit all New Yorkers walking on sidewalks and especially people with disabilities or restricted mobility.

Shared e-scooter operators would also be required to offer e-scooters that are accessible for use by people with disabilities. I want to thank the staff who worked on these bills Elliot Lynn and Alex Washington.

I now turn it back to you Mr. Public Advocate.

Thank you for presiding over today's meeting and I look forward to proceeding with today's votes after hearing from our colleagues.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Speaker

Johnson. We will now move into discussion of general orders. We will first recognize Council Members who signed up by email and then recognize Council Members who wish to speak by using the raise hand function in Zoom. Please wait as a reminder, please wait before you begin your remarks for the Sergeant at Arms to

response plan for safety measures and clear lines of

2.2

2.3

2 communication have so far allowed us to continue

3 reopening with only a modest increase in confirmed

4 COVID-19 cases. An exception to the trend of

5 increasing cases in other states across the country.

6 New York City has reported less than 200 new cases a

7 day since last Saturday.

My Proposed Intro. 1950-A creates a task force of five — Mayoral Appointees to further coordinate, restart operations across city agencies. The first iteration of this bill designed a restart officer rather than a task force. In our discussions with the Administration, it is clear that they felt the task force would be better equipped to handle the various variables with reopening the entire city workforce.

Reopening and recommending policies to roughly 320,000 city employees across dozens of agencies, officers, boards, is a monumental task. A single restart officer would not have had the rounded experience and expertise that a task force would have. This task force is not a group of volunteers who work regular 9-5 jobs. [INAUDIBLE 26:36] Provide expertise and opinions on related issues. This would be paid staff that have expertise and managerial

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 operations across city agencies. And so, this is

3 going to be where the buck stops. These individuals

4 are going to have everything to do with how we train

5 our work force in order to come back safely. How we

6 make sure that people understand how paid sick days

7 | will now operate and how [INAUDIBLE 27:08]

experiences in our jobs.

Employees deal directly with many people including vulnerable populations. There have been so many of the elderly, those with pre-existing health conditions who are concerned about going back —

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Did we lose the Majority Leader? It looks like it. We can move forward to Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Oh man I'm still here, can you hear me?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Oh, oh, sorry, go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So, I'll just close by saying I want to thank my colleagues and all of their work in getting to this. It's important that we get this restart correct. We cannot allow a workforce to come back. There were a lot of missteps early on when COVID-19 first hit New York and we have paid the

2 price of loss of many lives. We cannot afford to do

3 that moving forward and so, I want to just thank

4 briefly my Senior Legislative Policy Analyst Emily

5 Forgione and Elizabeth Kronk, Senior Council Nicholas

6 | Connell, Legislative Counsel Christopher Murray. I

7 | want to thank my staff Jason Hur, Alicia Mercedes and

8 I also want to thank Tasha Young for all of their

9 work and dedication and Jason Goldman and especially

10 | Speaker Corey Johnson for recognizing the importance

11 of this and making sure that this Council push back

12 | to make sure that we have a safe reopening plan.

13 Thank you.

18

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Majority

Leader and it's an honor to sometimes share the Chair

16 with you and so, thank you and thank you for those

17 words. Council Member Chin?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

19 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Public Advocate.

20 | Today, we will be voting on my bill Intro. 1964-A

21 which will reduce the interest rate from 18 percent

22 \parallel to 7.5 percent on nonpayment of July 1st property tax

23 \parallel for certain small property owners impacted by COVID-

24 | 19. This legislation aim to offer some hope to a

small pool of legacy mom and pop property owners of

2.2

2.3

2 tenement buildings in my district who have too often
3 been neglected and erased by our city and state.

They are the owners who have passed on their buildings from family members to family members for generations. Or like in Chinatown, the family association who have shaped and served the community for over a century. They have been victims in an unfair and crippling property tax assessment system, even though they represent centurial tenements with the majority of rent stabilized tenants. Some pay as little as \$50 in rent.

Now with commercial tenants, their main source of income, having to shut their door overnight, the lease owner has been desperate in any attempts of intervention. The city and state for too long turn a blind eye to their unique challenges and call for relief. This legislation is by no means a silver bullet to the inequity this constituency face but it is a first step and a sign that we need to start listening.

I want to remind the Mayor that this is a tax deferral program, not an exemption. 7.5 interest rate is a start but we know that we can and should do

2.2

2.3

2 better to lower it to offer real breathing room for

3 everyone to get back on their feet.

This is about neighborhood survival. This is about preserving the deeply affordable housing that we have. This wasn't an easy fight at all, so I want to thank the Finance team including Latonia McKinney

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Rebecca Chasan, all the staff, Marian Guerra my staff and definitely the Speaker, Jason, and Chair Dromm for your incredible leadership and I urge my colleagues to vote on the bill.

Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Council Member Chin. Council Member Rivera?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much Mr.

Public Advocate for the opportunity to speak on

Intro. 1976 which will make amendments to my short
term rentals reporting law, Local Law 146 of 2018. A

bill I introduced and passed with the veto proved

majority.

2.2

2.3

I'm happy to see that after two years of legal battles, this amendment bill will allow New York City's short-term rental reporting law the strong of its kind in the nation to help the countless families we represent access affordable homes.

These tools will give enforcement power to effectively crack down on legal hotel operators that have kept thousands of apartments out of our housing stock.

I want to thank the City Law Department, the
Office of Special Enforcement, Kelly Taylor in our
Council Legal team. Jason Goldman in my staff
including the tireless Jeremy Unger for their work
during the nearly two years we spent working to
defend this important and historic housing law. I
look forward to seeing my bill, one of my first ever
passed in the Council, finally go into effect.

Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Can we have the next three Council Members names?

The next three are Council Members Cabrera, Gibson, and Menchaca.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Mr. Public Advocate.

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council

3 Member Cabrera?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much to my esteemed colleagues. Today, I am proud to share my legislations Intro. 1250-A, 1266-A and 1264-A which will enable New Yorkers to benefit from environmentally aggressive alternative transportation in the form of e-scooters and e-bikes in a safe, affordable, and responsible manner. This is about transportation equity.

For two years, environmental and environmental justice advocates have been fighting for the ability to bring clean, electric scooters to New York City.

Why? Communities like mine, which are poorly served by public transportation have no share bike programs.

We have among the highest level of asthma in the country, are always left in the cold and to be honest, I'm tired of it.

I see locals buying \$2,000 [INAUIDIBLE 33:37] and using it to avoid the subways and buses during COVID-19 pandemic. My constituents cannot afford such a luxury.

2.2

2.3

Share scooters provide working class everyday New Yorkers, particularly in communities of color to share these devices and only use them when they need them. When they are done with the commute to work and another transportation system to ride to doctor or the supermarket, the neighbors can use it and so on and it works.

I see my time is expiring here. I know there are concerns regarding how long these scooters last and just let me set the facts straight, they last for 18 months which is why an environmental organizations in this city have endorsed it and this is why the New York lead our conservation voters, transportation alternative, environmental advocate, if tri-state can't pay for transportation regional plan association —

SERGEANT AT ARM: Time is expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Chamber of Commerce and the Waterfront Alliance have all endorsed it and let me thank Elliot Lynn, Alex Washington, and Michael Avelo[SP?] and Jason Goldman and of course, our Speaker for your support.

I'll speak more about it during my time. Thank you, Mr. Public Advocate.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.

3 | Council Member Gibson?

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you so much Mr. Public Advocate and good afternoon Speaker and all of my colleagues and everyone who is watching today. Bless it Thursday to each and every one of you. I am proud to talk briefly about my bill, Introduction 1952-A which will require the City of New York to create a public database on its website that would track expenditures of federal, state and local funds for addressing COVID-19 including all agency spending, city procurement contracts, grants and The structure of this legislation was loans. inspired by the Superstorm Sandy tracker that was created as a part of Local Law 1040 of 2013 and the Mayor will create and maintain a public online, searchable interactive database for the City of New York that will include a summary of all management of COVID-19 funds are available in a format that's easy to read for the general public. Looking at all of the agencies that provide funds for the City of New York for the purposes of COVID-19 spending.

This bill really will serve as a powerful

accountability and transparency tool to ensure that

resources are reaching the hardest hit communities
across our city. We understand that many New Yorkers
have lost their battle to COVID-19. Many New Yorkers
of color and older New Yorkers and we have to make
sure that as we spend COVID-19 dollars, they are
equitable and really investments in the communities
that are most hardest hit by COVID-19. It is our
responsibility to ensure that resources are
concentrated in areas of vulnerability where New
Yorkers need the most and I want to thank my Co-
Sponsor, our colleague Mark Treyger who really did a
lot to help move this bill forward. Our Speaker
Corey Johnson for his leadership, Chair of Finance
Chair Danny Dromm, all the members of the Finance
Committee and really all of the sponsors and all of
my colleagues and certainly the legislative division
and the finance division. Thank you for your hard
work. This is a good bill that will really move this
city forward in recognizing that we have to track all
of our COVID-19 spending as we move forward.

22 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you so much and I urge all my colleagues to vote in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Public Advocate.

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Council

3 Member and we have Council Member Menchaca.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Our forgotten immigrant delivery workers are forgotten no more. For years, immigrant workers who use e-bikes have been harassed, fined, and arrested by the NYPD for keeping New Yorkers fed. It took a global pandemic to teach New Yorkers, especially this Mayor, that these workers are essential and that work should not be criminalized.

Now with legislation we are passing today, we are taking a step closer to building a sanctuary city and honor our commitment to protect essential workers as we recover. The workers are working on some far sided agendas and we need to deliver justice.

However, the e-scooters and bills connected to these e-scooters is not transformative. They have fed and continue to feed the twin disasters known as climate change and corporate breed. The only reason e-scooters are part of this package is because of the corporate interests, which show us how nakedly they want to exploit an effort to protect essential workers for profit.

2.2

2.3

After this vote, there is a lot more that we can do. The state law authorizes all e-bikes to have a sticker identifying it as a legal device starting in 2022. For now, all the e-bikes are exempted but we have to force manufacturers to send those stickers.

There is still a lot of work to do for the e-bikes and I want you to reconsider for all the reasons that I have said, but all the reasons that you are knowing as you connect to the communities that you represent to vote no on the e-scooter bills. Vote yes on the e-bike bills and let's keep talking about all the transportation alternatives that are in our work as we move for a just transition.

That's what I'm asking and I know there is a lot of support but this happened so fast and I'm not ready and someone who has been deeply embedded in this work, I'm asking my colleagues to vote no on the e-scooter bills.

Thank you so much.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you and can we have the next three Council Members?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Mr. Public Advocate.

The next three are Council Members Gjonaj, Koo, and Yeger.

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council

3 | Member Gjonaj?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

Advocate. Let me just begin by encouraging all of my colleagues to pay attention to what we're voting on today. 1347, 18 percent interest for nonpayment of taxes for properties valued over 250,000 which includes co-ops, in unjustifiable during good times. During this crisis, it's immoral. The Bank of Commissioners is required to propose a rate of at least 6 percent greater than the prevailing interest rate charge for commercial loans extends to boroughs by commercial banks in the city.

The Banking Commission knows that as of 5-13-20, the prime rate is around 3.25 percent. Meaning, we have the current authority to limit the interest to a rate of 9.25 percent and not twice that which is what we're voting on today. 1340-A 3.25 percent for the first quarter Fiscal 2021 and 5 percent for the remainder of the Fiscal Year for properties valued not more than \$250,000 which includes co-ops should have been limited at zero or at least remain at 3.25

2.2

2.3

2 percent during the entire fiscal year or until we

3 recover from the crisis.

Intro. 1349 7.5 percent for properties valued between \$250,000 and 750,000 that were adversely effected by COVID-19 is immoral and unjustifiable.

The rate should have been left at zero or a minimum 3.25 percent until we recover from this crisis.

I represent a community with the highest effective tax rate in the entire city. I remind my colleagues that the list of the highest effective tax rates in the city, the first 32 are in the outer boroughs. 32 of the outer borough Council Members have the highest effective tax rate. The most vulnerable, the poorest, those that are in the most need, pay the highest effective tax rate —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I'm wrapping up. If the Reso. passes in the current form, we should be held accountable by our constituents. I urge all of my colleagues to vote no on 1347, 1348, and 1349 and to do right by our homeowners and small businesses. We need to give them a fighting chance to survive this crisis. We can't allow them to be fatality. It's in our hands and in our power.

2.2

2.3

2 Thank you, Public Advocate.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.

Council Member Koo.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Public Advocate. I am very happy to vote in favor of today's e-bikes bills. This will provide such a great relief to many immigrant delivery workers who have been penalized just for doing their jobs for far too long.

Congratulations to Council Member Cabrera and all delivery workers in New York City. This is a great moment that we have fought for, for a long time.

On the other hand, I have serious reservations about e-scooters sharing program. While I do believe people should be allowed to use them, I think we need to take a step back and — how are city can function by allowing them on our streets before we jump into a sharing program.

Finally, I worry about what downtown on my district will look like from a shared e-scooter program competing for space amongst buses, sidewalk renters, diners, and pedestrians.

2.2

2.3

Finally, I will be voting in support of the outdoor dining legislation with the expectation that we will not be placing these spaces in areas that must compete with heavy pedestrian traffic and bus stops. So, with this in mind, I will be voting no on Intro.'s 1266-A and yes on the rest.

Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Council Member and Council Member Yeger?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. President.

First, my continued gratitude to Mr. Speaker and the Chief of Staff and the members of the Finance Staff for their continued work to negotiate a fair budget for all New Yorkers. This is perhaps the most important work this body does and I'm grateful to all who are working diligently on this important task.

This is the third time since I joined the Council that we are being called on to impose an interest charge on New Yorkers who are unable to pay their property taxes on time. In each of the last two years I've voted no and last year 13 members of the Council joined in opposing these resolutions.

2.2

2.3

This year, more than ever, we need to seriously look at what we're doing to New Yorkers. People don't skip their tax payments because they don't feel like paying and now, more than ever we know New Yorkers are having trouble paying their bills.

[INAUDIBLE 44:51] and while I congratulate with the Public Advocate and Council Member Chin for advancing legislation to assist New Yorkers who can't pay their taxes on time, I think we should stand up for our New Yorkers and do a little better as Council Member Chin said, we can do better and we should do better.

Obviously, we don't want people taking interest free loans from the city if they can afford to make their payments. And Mr. Speaker is right, in one of these resolutions, it is a lower rate than in the past but I do think we can do better.

Thus today, I propose amendments to the items on the floor today. Pursuant to Council Rule 9.40, I propose that it be transmitted to the legislative document unit as I began speaking.

With permission Mr. President, I would ask that the clock be extended to two minutes pursuant to Rule

2.2

2.3

9.40 if my time expires, so I may explain the four
proposed amendments.

By proposed amendment to Reso. 1347 which strike all references to an 18 percent interest rate and replace it with a two percent rate. These are the rates charged to properties with set evaluation of over \$250,000 and as Mr. Speaker indicated, higher valued properties. Still and nonetheless, 18 percent is just wrong, we can do better. 2 percent is fairer and still encourages those who can pay on time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: My proposed amendment to Reso.'s 1348 and 1349 would strike all references to 3.25, 5 percent and 7.5 percent and insert zero instead. As with my proposed amendment to Intro. 1964, again, this is one time change to this year alone to allow New Yorkers who are facing difficult times the ability to come out from under their debt. Zero interest to middle class New Yorkers who own their own homes or small properties just makes sense. It's the right thing to do and it enables those who are landlords to extend rent protection and help the tenants who are not able to make payments right now.

recognized is the person who has offered the

2.2

2.3

2 amendments. Council Member Yeger, do you wish to

3 | speak on your four amendments?

and president. I'll be brief, I don't have much to add. I think if the Council, there are members here like me from all across the city who represent the kind of people who I represent. Middle class New Yorkers who are struggling to make ends meet, struggling and every single day calling our offices and telling us that they just got the July tax bill and they can't pay it, they don't have the money. And the Public Advocate and Council Member Chin have advanced legislation to address them and they've done a great service in my view to the people of New York.

But I think we can do better. I think we can stop this interest rate this year and keeping in mind as members have said here, the city will ultimately get its money. The city does not have to rely on New Yorkers fund a loan sharking operation in essence of these high usurious rates. 3.5 percent? What bank in New York City is paying 3.5 percent for money in the bank? Why does the city feel the need to do this? The Banking Commission is not us. We have the right under state law to reject what the Banking

2.2

2.3

Commission has done. I think this is in line with what a lot of members want to do, which is why last year, 11 and 13 members joined together to vote no on the bill.

This year more than ever, more than ever my friends would have to stand up for our fellow New Yorkers. We have a chance to do it now. Insert zero, we won't delay anything, we won't hurt the city. This is the chance to tell New Yorkers we feel your pain, understand what's going on. We get it and we're going to help you out.

Thank you, Mr. President.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member
Yeger. Mr. Public Advocate, we can now open debate
to other Council Members. So far Council Members
Dromm, Miller, Rosenthal, and Holden have said that
they would like to speak. You can first recognize
the Chair of our Finance Committee Council Member
Dromm.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAM: Just so I'm clear, its Dromm, Miller, Rosenthal and who else?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Holden.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Holden. Thank you,
Mr. Parliamentarian. I recognize Chair Dromm.

2.2

2.3

2 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you very much. I would like to make a point of information in regard to Intro. 1349 with the 7.5 percent interest rate, which is pursuant to Intro. 1964. We don't have the legal authority to change that. It's either an up or down vote. There is no legal authority by which we can change that.

So, I would like to go back and correct the record on this. We can't just change it. We don't have the legal authority to do that. I'd like to ask for Council's opinion and we can't extend the zero rate either.

Dromm. We will see if we can get a legal opinion on whether we can amend the 7.5 percent to zero. As a point of parliamentary order, there is currently no amendment proposed. Oh, there is. There is an amendment proposed to Intro. 1964 and everyone should know that if we were to amend Intro. 1964, we would have to wait until the following Stated to vote on the amended version of Intro. 1964.

meeting.

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Okay.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The Finance Chair, Chair Dromm asked for a parliamentary point of order. Whether we could in fact consider an amendment to a resolution that may make the underlying resolution legally invalid. The Council is able to consider any resolution even if perhaps some might say that it would be legally invalid. That's a point for Council Members to consider. It is not something that would prohibit Council Member Yeger from making an amendment to a resolution.

With that answered, we can continue debate starting with Council Member Miller.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council Member Miller?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you so much Mr.

Public Advocate. As you know, I represent the

community the greater Jamaica community of Queens, in

Southeast Queens in particular, which is the largest

African American homeowners throughout the nation and

we have been — also, it's the same community that

were gravely impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.2

2.3

Those things being considered, we have often been victimized from predatory banking practices. The fact of the matter is over the last ten years, 50 percent of the mortgages sold have been predatory mortgages in the greater Jamaica area. For that reason, we are very sensitive. I'd like to speak on behalf of my colleagues in Southeast Queens as well. We are very sensitive to these predatory numbers that the city has been preying on homeowners for so long.

Particularly during this moment of COVID, we would hope that this could be addressed. I wrote a dear colleague to the Center Dear Colleague, sign on that we sent to the Administration asking to postpone and to address this and they obviously denied and referred us to this program that is highly — in my mind highly predatory. And so, I commend all of my colleagues who had introduced legislation addressing this. It is my hopes that in many instances it could be zero, is why I don't think the city should be in the business of it either but I do understand that there is some dynamics involved in it, particular in this moment of fiscal crisis that we find ourselves in.

Also, that there have been some negotiations -

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: That I would like to ensure that whatever we vote on, that it happens expeditiously. So, that is my two cents and I look forward to moving forward with this legislation.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council Member Rosenthal?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much.

One second. Thank you, Mr. Public Advocate. I have not experienced this type of situation where a member brings up changes during a Stated Meeting. We just had a vote on this issue in Finance Committee and these issues were not raised at that time.

To begin with, I think I would need to see what Council Member Yeger is proposing and I'm hoping you could share that with the other Council Members, all of us, so we might even know what we're voting on and you read it into the record but I could not absorb it.

So, I would appreciate Council sending that around and I feel not sufficiently — this issue, I have not — as I say not raised in the Finance Committee, so it was not something that has been

- 2 proposed to me before. Perhaps other Council Members
- 3 | had seen this. Not me and I need a lot more
- 4 information before I could be asked to vote on this.
- 5 | I think Council Member Dromm raises legal concerns.
- 6 I just don't understand.
- 7 So, my two cents is, I think if Council Members
- $8 \parallel$ are going to vote on this, we need to not be blind
- 9 sighted in this way number one. And -
- 10 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.
- 11 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Need some
- 12 consideration to understand what we would be voting
- 13 on. If I am asked to vote on this, this second, I
- 14 | would vote no for what Council Member Yeger is
- 15 proposing merely for the reason that I have no idea -
- 16 COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: What is the status of
- 17 | the meeting?
- 18 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
- 19 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Sorry Council Member
- 20 Rodriguez. We are in the meeting now and the next
- 21 | person up is Council Member Holden, Council Member
- 22 Rosenthal. I will give her a couple extra seconds to
- 23 | finish her thought assuming that she is finished now,
- 24 | we can go to Council Member Holden.

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Public Advocate, before

3 Council Member Holden speaks, as a point of

4 Parliamentary order, the proposed amendments have

5 been distributed to all Council Members.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Mr. Public Advocate, before

Council Member Holden speaks, I just want to be clear

that I do not support the amendments that are being

offered and I just want to reiterate on two of the

amendments that are offered. It would legally

invalid. We don't have the legal authority to do

what two of these amendments are seeking for us to

do. Which would be embarrassing for the City Council

to do something that we legally do not — two of them

we could do but the two Resolutions, we could not do.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, Council Member Rosenthal, did you have — you had like five more seconds if you wanted to finish what you were saying.

It is not legal as Chair Dromm said.

22 You are on mute.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Helen, you are still on mute.

Okay, so let's go to Council Member Holden.

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Okay, Council Member

3 Holden?

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: You know, without the question of the legality. I just want to commend my colleague from Brooklyn for standing up for the middle class with this amendment. I think it's about time. Even before this pandemic crippled our economy, I have every year voted no on these types of Resolutions that are being proposed today in the Council. Which I believe if adopted, the interest rate of a nonpayment of property taxes is too high a rate. The middle class in this city continues to be treated as a cash cow by City Hall and instead of giving relief at this difficult time, for many in our city, of our city residents are solution is to barely lower the rates which is being proposed.

So, when it should be zero percent for the first quarter of 2021 and much lower for the following quarter. So, it's no wonder people are fleeing the city. So, I support the amendment. You know, again, if we have established that it's legal.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chin.

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Chin and Lancman.

Thank you, Council Member Koo?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you Mr. Public

Advocate. I support Council Members amendment

because I think for a long time, this administration

has taken property owners for granted.

Property owners of small businesses will lead the city to enforce quality of life issues.

Homelessness, we should send homeless people to the shelters, not stay on the streets. We should send those illegal renters to different places to find a job or train them for different jobs because they are competing with legal businesses who are paying employees tax and public tax, all these things.

We are tired of seeing people peeing on the streets and nobody paying attention to these. They probably almost pay a lot of money for the services alright but there is no service provided, especially lately. The city has been ignoring property owners. They try to demonize them and when there is alluding, they didn't go out to protect them. They didn't even say sorry for them.

2.2

2.3

So, for a long time, I think property owners have
to stand together to tell this administration enough
is enough. They have to do something for us, for the
small property owners, for the small property
business owners and property owners because otherwise
why would we be the cash cow for the city and they
are not feeding us.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council Member Gjonaj?

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you Public

Advocate. Before my time begins, I have a question

for the Parliamentarian because I'm not sure which

two proposals are legal or not legal. I'm not clear

on this.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member

Gjonaj. I will seek Counsel and get back to the body
as quickly as possible.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I can tell you. The two that are legally invalid I believe are 1349 and 1350.

Those are two that we do not have the authority to change in the way that's being asked.

and you should be aware of what according to the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 2,017 chart that I have, those districts are from

3 highest to lowest, 13th Council District, 51st Council

4 | District, 18, 12, 50, 49, 11, 23, 27, 32, 45, 31, 15,

5 | 46, 28, 17, 24, 48, 42, 19, 30, 41, 25, 20, 21, 29,

6 26, and we finally get to Manhattan, District 14.

So, all of the outer boroughs, the poorest and the

most neediest pay the highest effective tax rates in

9 | the City of New York.

I commend Kalman and all my colleagues for sending that message back to City Hall. Enough is enough. We owe it to our homeowners and to our small businesses. We have to support them in their most time of need and this is the crisis that has probably opened up everyone's eyes. Our property owners, whether they be small or large should be standing together and fighting back to make sure that our tax dollars are used wisely and usury rates of 18 percent should be illegal. We wouldn't tolerate it from anyone else.

So, I support the measures provided they are legal and if the two proposals that are legal, I fully encourage this body to rethink what interest rate, anyone in charge their own constituency.

Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council

Member Yeger?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Mr. President, might I yield to the next speaker and speak at the end of the list? This way I would be able to respond to any of the concerns that have been raised.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Sure, Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Public Advocate.

Look, I understand my colleagues sentiment. I've

been fighting on this issue for many years and there
is no equality in property tax assessment and how

property tax are being collected. A lot of times the

small building owners are lumped together with the

big building owner and that's why there was a

property tax reform commission.

This is a larger issue. It's not so simple but what I've been fighting for with the legislation was some breathing room for these property, small property owners and they came to the hearing and they told their story. Okay, we need greater reform and we have to work together on that, but last minute

2.2

2.3

coming up with this, this is not fair. This is a bigger issue and it also involves the state.

So, I think that we really have to look at this comprehensively and the city needs to collect the property tax. That's how services are being paid.

We have a big budget gap right now and we have to encourage everyone who can pay their property tax and if you cannot pay at least get some relief. That's all we're talking about with this bill. It's not you know the panacea. We still got a lot of work to do.

So, don't raise things last minute. This is something that we have to work together continuously. So, I ask my colleague if you are really serious about equality in property tax assessment, let's sit down and talk about it, okay. There are so many issues that people don't want to address and let's get those out in the open. But meanwhile I'm urging you to support the legislation that was put forward. That we need some breathing room and some relief.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: For the small property tax owner. Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Council
Member. Council Member Yeger. I'm sorry Council
Member Lancman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you. I just want to understand has there been any kind of fiscal analysis of what the impact of these resolutions would be and these amendments would be? I don't know if it's an appropriate protocol for me to address that to Council Member Yeger or whether or not the Finance division has an answer but does anyone know what would be the fiscal impact of us adopting these amendments to the resolution.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Let me respond Council Member
Lancman. We don't have an exact fiscal impact on
this because again it was offered at the last minute.
It wasn't discussed at the Finance Committee which
took a vote on this today but just to be clear, as we
are negotiating the budget, there are issues about
cash flow that the City Comptroller has projected and
our available cash is a lot less than it has been in
years past. We need around one billion dollars a
week to make payroll and other payments for the City
of New York and reducing the interest rate for late

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 payments from 18 percent to 2 percent would likely

3 induce big payers to delay their payments. It could

4 make our cash issues much more difficult and so, what

5 you saw today was a balancing act of us trying to

6 target relief to owner occupied and small homeowners

7 | while also understanding the larger cash issues that

8 the city is facing right now with a record deficit.

9 So, we don't have an exact dollar amount but I'll

10 tell you that if this went through as projected, it

11 | could create some very significant cash issues for

12 | the City of New York.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Yeah, thank you Mr.

Speaker. So, I think that it's extremely difficult,
almost impossible to really think about these
amendments in the time that they've been made. I

don't want to be critical of my colleague but I was
not made aware of these amendments before this

meeting. We have a Finance Committee meeting, I

don't believe the issue was raise there, certainly
not in any detail and as the Speaker used the phrase
balancing act. We are in the middle, not the middle,
we are towards the end of trying to negotiate our way

out of an \$8 billion, \$9 billion budget hole.

budget negotiating team is meeting constantly.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

62

2 simply impossible and I'm going to go so far as to

3 say, irresponsible to contemplate voting for these

4 amendments while we're in the middle of all of this

5 without being able to take - put these amendments in

6 that context.

So, without in anyway diminishing the importance of this issue, what it means for the homeowners in my district of which there are many, myself included. I don't think this is the appropriate moment, the appropriate forum to vote for these amendments. I'd love to continue this conversation as part of the budget process further but I'm going to vote against the amendments.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And I urge my colleagues to do likewise.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Point of information.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Public Advocate?

20 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Yes, Parliamentary.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The following Council Members wish to speak. Council Members Cabrera, Vallone,

23 Dromm and Yeger. As a point of information, Council

Member Dromm, you can ask your question and be

that have not been answered.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

answered.

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Yes, Parliamentarian,

I'm pretty sure someone can't see their time necessarily to have someone answer a question but there are some very important questions that have been asked. So, to get that answer, Parliamentarian, can you get that information and get it back? You could wait until Chair Dromm's time to speak so he can answer all those questions? Please guide me in the best way to make sure those questions are

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Public Advocate, you can recognize Council Members as you see fit. So, you can recognize Chair Dromm.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Sure, I'd like to certainly recognize Chair Dromm to answer some of those questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: I would like folks to know and to understand that this legislation actually reduces the penalties on those families who have been affected by COVID. This is a reduction in the penalties, this is not an increase and I just want people to understand that. It is not an increase. If we were to go to zero, the potential for bankruptcy exists and layoffs would be possible.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS:

1964, okay, please continue.

my question; I was talking about a wrong bill. So,

Thank you. I retract

25

24

2.2

2.3

Vallone?

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes, I was waiting to be 3 recognized. -

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I'm sorry Sergeant, is the time running?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yeah, starting time.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Alright.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: We've been having this argument without really anyone listening for seven years. So, we're always in favor of getting some relief to the families that pay for everything in the city and basically get nothing back.

So, with the real estate taxes that have been used to pay for pretty much everything we could come up with. However, the format, you know, I'm a big part of our Council, our institution. I've always believed that the Vallone's always have stand strongly with our Speaker. It's not proper to do this to our fellow body at a time when our city is struggling. So, I oppose the method that was done today and it should have been clearly brought forth and debated by everyone but I can clearly support the reduction in real estate tax for homeowners on residential homes.

2.2

2.3

If you look at where those taxes go, it's not coming back to the homes that are paying. So, I will always support a decrease of taxes if it's done the correct way but I'm not in favor of it at this late moment with the amount of days and the chaos that the city is facing, we need to show leadership and done the right way. And it's time for us to be leaders and not coward down to advocates and folks that are out there telling us what to do. We know what to do.

So, it's time for us to step up, do the right thing, balance this budget the right way and not do [INAUDIBLE 1:38:00].

Thank you very much Mr. Speaker.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council Member Dromm, you are on cue, so do you want to speak again?

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well, yes, I just want to say that if we don't collect our taxes or if we don't have a penalty for those who don't pay, then they are not going to pay. So, how do they recommend that we collect taxes from people? I think that you know, you have to in some way incentivize people and those who are paying early get a benefit and those who are

paying late get less of a penalty, a lot less of a penalty then they would have in the past.

So, I am opposed to this Resolution. Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you and Council

Member Yeger?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. President.

I appreciate the vibrant debate here. I want to know a number of things. First of all, yesterday afternoon at 3:15, members of the Finance Committee received an email from staff of the Counsel informing them of what items would be voted on this morning in Finance and these interest resolutions were not on that email.

It was not included on the email to members of the Finance Committee until 8:03 p.m. last night. I do apologize for the late notice. This is not the first time to correct one of my colleagues who indicated that never in her life has she seen a resolution proposed, an amendment proposed on the floor. It was done just a few months ago from my friend in Queens regarding a very important issue here in the Council.

2.2

2.3

And it's been done and it's not onforward to do that. That's why we have a rule that says that it's okay to do it this way. It's specifically anticipated that in the case of an emergency, which is what this is, because this was so last minute.

Reality is and I appreciate the legal advice that's been sought. This Council has in the past has asked things that some people have thought were legal turned out that it is not legal. Some thought were illegal turned out to be legal.

I have been wrong in the past. I am the first to admit that. Other members have been wrong in the past. The item before us on the floor is whether or not we are going to stand with the people of New York and reduce the burden that they have and it's an important question.

If it turns out that our vote and it turns out that this is not lawful, all that will happen is that there is not interest rate. Nothing bad will happen and [INAUDIBLE 1:40:33] last year when this was presented to us, we don't really make a lot of the city in the big scheme of things from the interest. I will also note in my remaining time Public Advocate, I ask for indulgence, the Mayor's office is

amendments.

- 2 proposed amendments are Council Members Koslowitz,
- 3 | Gjonaj and Deutsch.
- 4 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council
- 5 Member Koslowitz.
- 6 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
- 7 COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: I'm going to wait
- 8 until I vote to give remarks.
- 9 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council
- 10 | Member Gjonaj?
- 11 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you again Public
- 13 Advocate and just for the record, we are not looking
- 14 | to reduce the real estate taxes. The amendments that
- 15 | have been put forth by Council Member Yeger, are to
- 16 | lower the interest rates and I want to make that
- 17 point clear. This morning, I voted. I was not aware
- 18 | and because of technical difficulties I was having, I
- 19 | saw the email from yesterday at 3:15 knowing what we
- 20 | are voting on. I was not aware of the 8 p.m. email
- 21 | that was sent out amending the agenda and I sit on
- 22 Finance. And this morning, I voted for the motion to
- 23 approve all of the bills. I only found out
- 24 thereafter that these bills were added on and I

2.2

2.3

2 called to ask to see if I could amend my vote and I

3 was told sorry, that once the vote has been placed.

So, you see a few things happened here today that probably could have been avoided and in transparency we should look to solve and prevent from happening in the future. But these motions that are being made are justifiable. I mentioned to you the highest effective tax rates in the City of New York, now paying 18 percent interest and having the highest effective tax rate is hurting our very own constituency and communities.

The first 19 with the lowest effective tax rates are in Manhattan. Outside 32 of our Council

Districts on the outer boroughs are paying the highest effective tax rate. We may not be able to change that until we bring actual forum to the real estate tax structure. But today, we can send that message clear; that interest rates of 18 percent and 7.5 percent and 3.5 percent are way too high for struggling New Yorkers.

We are going to be held accountable for the vote that we do today $-\ \,$

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And I commend all of you
for bringing this up and fighting alongside of our
property owners small and large and I don't think the
highest interest rate — the effective tax rates of
Manhattan are valid either. I support them as well
but I want to point out to all my colleagues that
it's your communities that are paying more than their

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Council Member Gjonaj.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you Sir.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council

13 Member Deutsch?

fair share.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Staring time.

I just want to say that I am very happy that

Councilman Yeger brought up this amendment that I

just heard for the first time on the floor today.

And we are always thinking about equity here in the

City of New York and we haven't seen much equity when

it comes to my constituents and once the amendment is

brought up, how could I say no. I support this

amendment because my constituents are nickeled and

dimed every single day. And you know, in regards to

the legality of it, you know, we vote on many issues

first time we've ever brought up a point of

discussion or an amendment later in the process and I

24

2.2

2.3

2 think that's okay. We are all dually elected

3 officials and we are called on to debate important

4 issues and this is an important one and if Council

5 Member Yeger wants to bring it up, I think that's his

6 right.

All of then are dually elected officials and have to make the best decision with the information that we are provided with. So, I am not offended by Kalman bringing this up at all and I think he has every right to do so. Again, I am voting no and I disagree with him but you know, I actually think the Council is at its best when we have debate and we actually have discussion and we talk about the things that we agree with and disagree with and this is part of the process, and I wish we did more of it quite frankly.

So, while I am voting no, I just want to say I don't recent Kalman Yeger for introducing this and asking us to take up a contentious issue. That's what we do as legislators. We all fought to be here, we should be able to take votes and own our votes.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Council Member. Mr. Parliamentarian, is anyone else signed up to speak?

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, Mr. Public Advocate. No

3 other Council Members wish to speak at this time.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I do actually want to take a personal privilege to speak. I will be speaking obviously as one of the sponsors of the bill and I also want four Council Members who signed on an amicus brief to try to force this Mayor to deal with some of the issues that Council Member Yeger brought up. I also have to acknowledge that I don't have to wait for vote that everyone else will.

As Council Member Van Bramer said, I think lively debate is good for any legislature. I think this has been something that's been debated for a very long time. Maybe this will help push folks forward to actually deal with this. So, I want to make sure I say that first but I do have to say I spent a lot of time negotiating this bill. I don't know the things that Council Member Chin went through negotiating here bill. I imagine it was the same or even more and we are facing a time right now, just right now today where a conflux of things are happening.

We are about to pass a budget. We are trying to maintain services and we're trying to provide relief for people who need it. And I know for my bill and I

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

78

2 assume it's the same for Council Member Chin, it was

3 about making sure we can get the taxes for the

4 services that we need primarily focusing on the

5 people who just can't pay. It doesn't matter

6 whatever you say, if you can't pay it, you can't pay

7 it because you've been effected by COVID, we wanted

to make sure that there was relief.

Both of these bills provide that relief. I understand that at least for Council Member Chin's bill, the recommendations of trying to get it even lower, I think that is noble and stuff that we should discuss but I think it's tough to do just on today without knowing the impact that will happen. We have negotiated the bills knowing the impact that will occur based on — sorry, based on what we presented today.

Given where we are on the budget, given the relief that's needed now and given that we're trying to find a sweet spot of getting the tax revenue for the services and providing the relief, my hope is that we will support the bills that they are and vote no on these amendments and I would encourage Council Member Yeger to put these in as LS as soon as possible and hopefully the body can take up those LS

four bills in one roll call vote.

2.2

2.3

If members wish to vote differently on any of the four votes, you can say I vote aye, with the exception of the amendment on Resolution 1347 or however you would like to split your vote. But we will now take a roll call vote on just the four amendments that Council Member Yeger has proposed on the floor.

At the conclusion of the vote, the clerk will announce the results of the vote and we will give more information to the Council Members on where we stand. As a reminder, at the conclusion of all the voting in the parliamentary procedure regarding these four amendments, we are still in discussion of general orders and there are additional members who wish to speak about general orders before we take a vote on the underlying bills for general orders.

So, as a last point of clarification, right now, we are only voting on Council Member Yeger's four proposed amendments. A vote age means a vote in favor of the amendment. A vote nay is a vote against the four proposed amendments that Council Member Yeger has proposed.

2.2

2.3

With that, Mr. Public Advocate, please call on the clerk to take a roll call vote on the proposed

4 amendments.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Asking the Clerk to take a roll call vote on solely Council Member Yeger's four amendments.

COUNCIL CLERK: Adams?

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Permission to explain my vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you Mr. Public

Advocate. I, of course, have to say to my colleague

Council Member Yeger, these amendments are so

thoughtful and I appreciate them. I appreciate the

debate that we just had with regard to these

amendments. You have given me a lot to think about.

I took a lot of umbrage with our colleagues who

stressed that this has been debated for years and I

would have loved to have debated this in Committee

and I still want to debate this out even more because

I just think that these amendments are so very

thoughtful. I'm just not prepared to give an

affirmative on them yet. I think that we all have to

come back to the table and talk about it, hash it all

2.2

2.3

out, see where we would be if we were to say yes to
these amendments and the effect that it would have
overall on the city in the condition that we are in

5 | right now as a city.

So, with that said, thank you Council Member Yeger for these amendments. Respectfully, I will vote nay. Thank you.

COUNCIL CLERK: Ampry-Samuel?

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Permission to explain my vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Very similar to my colleague Council Member Adams. I do want to say to Council Member Yeger, I 100 percent appreciate the discussion and honestly, as I was sitting here, I haven't had this level of quick research and analysis of the law since law school in all honesty.

I have sat here at this computer going back and forth and texting with colleagues and I appreciate that and I appreciate you but because of where we are right now in the middle of COVID and what we are trying to accomplish right now, I look forward to

vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

24

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

2.2

2.3

0	
ದ	n

been hearing from my colleagues how this have been discussed at a hearing. This should have been discussed this morning at the Finance votes but I have to say and be very honest, I applaud Council Member Yeger for bringing this up at the Stated Meeting and only to prove the fact that now it's getting some attention is that I'm watching all my colleagues during the stated and no one is eating lunch but paying attention. Paying attention to help all New Yorkers and all those that need this type of relief.

So, with that, I am voting age on this amendment and I want to thank all my colleagues for paying attention to this issue.

Thank you.

COUNCIL CLERK: Diaz?

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Permission to explain my vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Yeah, thank you Mr. Speaker and all of you for this opportunity and I would like to congratulate Council Member Yeger for bringing

speaking up, particularly about such an important

obviously there is a concern and these are trying

another year, so I vote aye.

COUNCIL CLERK: Grodenchik?

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK:

COUNCIL CLERK: Holden?

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Permission to briefly explain my vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

24

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

ave on all.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: The Mayor's Office texts
to the members during this debate confirms that the
middle class property tax and the interest penalty,
is the cash cow of this city. So, enough is enough.
Strike one for the middle class here. I want to
thank Councilman Yeger for this amendment and I vote

COUNCIL CLERK: Kallos?

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Permission to explain my vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

responsible for convening a New York City Advisory

Commission on property tax reform that issued a

preliminary report back in January with a host of ten

different recommendations aimed at trying to level

the playing field. In particular why the

recommendations would have been particularly helpful

to those in co-ops and condo's, many of which live in

my district along with many others across the city as

well as trying to scale up and level up the taxes for

the entire city and trying to create something that

was more equitable and sought after.

2.2

2.3

The public hearing schedule was about to begin
when this pandemic hit and I think that that is the
correct process. It is a process that would involve
the city and the state and I do support that and I
want to see that process move forward and I would
encourage all of my colleagues who are talking about
trying to support the middle class to fully engage
the property tax reform commission and that we demand
that this move forward and start taking testimony
through online and that being said, I vote no on all.

12 COUNCIL CLERK: Cohen?

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Permission to explain my vote briefly?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you very much.

While I certainly respect my colleagues right to

bring the motion to the floor, I really don't think

that this is the appropriate forum in which we could

hash this out and have a discussion based on facts

and come to a decision based on facts. I would need

more information.

So, for that reason, I'm going to vote no on the amendment today. Thank you.

25 at least we show them the first step that we are

They are retired teachers right.

retired workers and they are on limited income. So,

They are

2.3

- 2 | listening. We are trying to help them. This is a
- 3 | first step to lower the interest rate if they don't
- 4 pay and they are we have to decrease the property
- 5 | tax rates. We have to find a forum on how to
- 6 decrease it because this has been increasing every
- 7 | year, every year, year by year. After 20 years you
- 8 pay almost double and people's salaries are not going
- 9 double. So, with all that said, I vote to support
- 10 the amendments.
- 11 COUNCIL CLERK: Koslowitz?
- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: May I be excused to
- 13 | explain my vote?
- 14 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.
- 15 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.
- 16 COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: While I do not want to
- 17 | throw my constituents or any constituents under the
- 18 | bus by taxes, right now we are going through a \$9
- 19 | billion budget deficit fighting for these services
- 20 | for these people that we are talking about today and
- 21 | I want to get through that. I want to revisit this
- 22 again and I feel that we have to revisit it and we're
- 23 going to.
- 24 I vote no.
- 25 COUNCIL CLERK: Lancman?

COUNCIL CLERK: Maisel?

Thank you.

24

25

communities impacted by COVID.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL CLERK: Miller?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Permission to explain?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

advocating on behalf of homeowners and those people who are critical to tax bases. This is a conversation that is not [INAUDIBLE 2:11:46] some that work in the Council when this conversation began of [INAUDIBLE 2:11:50-2:11:52] that is why we have the reform commission that was mentioned by Council Member Kallos and we had continued to address that and we understand that this is certainly disproportionate and that this is a separate issue on penalties but it is certainly time to move forward on this.

I think I commend all of the thoughtful discourse that has happened today but I want to commend especially the members who introduced this legislation. Who thought it was important enough to in a very responsible way reduce the communities for homeowners. At the same time, ensure that we can provide services in a time of COVID-19. Many of us whose communities have been ravished, who have

out their constituents and property owners in the

outer boroughs in particular.

2.3

2.2

I am concerned about the finances of the city
right now. I'm concerned about changing without
having a fiscal impact, without understanding what it
would do in terms of our property tax revenues being
collected and how it effects services, so forth and
so on. So, I am voting against the amendment but I
do appreciate all the debate and hearing a response
of it. It was very helpful to me but I do think we
in a fiscal crisis have to be careful about how we
impact the city's revenues going forward and
concerned about the impact on people paying.

So, thank you. I'm voting against.

COUNCIL CLERK: Reynoso?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Permission to explain my vote Public Advocate?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you. I just want to put into perspective the fact that this debate that we're having right now is not necessarily one of people that support homeowners and people that don't. The legislation doesn't do either of that.

2.2

I think it's an attempt here to have a larger
conversation about property tax reform which I do
think is a valid conversation to have. I just don't
think that these bills are necessarily contradictory
to that work. So, I just don't think this was the
appropriate time to have this debate. I think we
should have had this debate a long time ago but we do
offer Council Members opportunities in subcommittees
and committees to really talk about this extensively
and if the said Council Member didn't take the time
to do that, you know, I feel like this is more of a
performance than it is an actual want to help people.
So, I'm going to be voting no against this on

So, I'm going to be voting no against this or all.

16 COUNCIL CLERK: RICHARDS?

17 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: No.

18 COUNCIL CLERK: Rivera?

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much. I do hope that at some point soon we spend this amount of time talking about tenant relief as well. And with that, I vote no on all.

23 | COUNCIL CLERK: Rodriguez?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I also believe that as we're taking the time to disclose this proposal, we

2 also pay other attention to be sure that as we look

3 at consequences. All Council Members and the Speaker

4 and the Administration focus on working on this

5 project, focus on the property initiative, so that we

6 can get prepared to another type of coronavirus and

7 | with that, I vote no.

COUNCIL CLERK: Rose?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Permission to explain my

10 vote?

8

11

12

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

13 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. Usually when we

14 | talk about property tax bills, I vote with my

15 colleagues Yeger and my fellow Staten Island

16 delegation because I happen to represent a district

17 | that is disproportionately taxed and have been

18 \parallel negatively impacted by the disparities in the tax

19 laws.

20 And so, this is very difficult for me because my

21 | homeowners are being unfairly taxed and the interest

22 | rates are unfair but I have to being on the budget

23 | and negotiating team, I am keenly aware of the state

24 of our budget. And so, it makes it very difficult to

25 vote in a manner that I think is now fiscally

fairness in the property tax system but there is no

2 way

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL CLERK: Ulrich?

way you can have an honest conversation about property tax if you do not discuss New York State governments role and the Federal governments role.

Why is there such a reliance on local taxes?

Let's look at the fact that as of this moment,

Washington DC has appropriated zero to local and

state aid in the middle of a pandemic and fiscal

crisis. The last time I checked many homeowners are

also teachers, nurses, bus drivers and other critical

essential workers whose jobs are on the line right

now. And the last time I checked New York State

still owes our schools over \$1 billion. New York

State is on the verge of cutting an addition \$2

billion to New York City.

Ask hospitals in my borough Brooklyn if they are getting their fair share from Albany. Ask hospitals in Queens are they getting their fair share from Albany. The answer is no and so, yes, we need fairness and justice in property taxes but lets have an honest conversation how New York State and Washington DC continuously fail the people of our city and that is why I vote no on this amendment.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Just wondering if this is

a good time to bring my no confidence vote. I'm just

4 kidding. I am voting yes on the amendments and I

5 think that the debate is great for the body and its

6 great to see everybody's faces. Stay safe, I vote

7 yes.

8

9

11

13

14

16

25

COUNCIL CLERK: Vallone?

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Permission to explain my

10 vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

12 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you. So, when have we had this conversation? For those of us who are a little upset that we've gone off track, I don't

know when we had it, so it is time to talk about how

are a little upset that we've gone off track, I don't

we pay for things. It is time to figure out how we

do finance the city and where the income come from to

19 create this great city. So, yes, Kalman Yeger's

20 amendments again, should not have been done this way

21 and the only reason why that I'm voting no, but that

22 will never happen again. And the next time we ever

23 have - it has to be on the promise that we have this

24 real conversation because for anyone who doesn't

think we need this conversation then you are not

this debating style legislature but I disagree with

So, for those who have somewhat umbrage in the way that it was done, my apologies. I am grateful -

vote yes that this conversation has to happen.

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

2 one member, although some have alluded to this.

Mr. President for you leading the debate.

Council Member Van Bramer said right before me, this is what we should be doing. This is what we should be doing. We are paid to be legislatures. We're not a rubber stamp and we're not a community board, we're not the prompt City Council. We are a legislature and this is what we should be doing. I am so grateful that the Speaker has allowed us to come to the floor and allow this conversation to happen and

My friends, we can continue this conversation.

Not today, because it's almost over and again, my apologies to those who plan to exit this meeting a little earlier. We have to do a little bit more for New Yorkers, we do and as Council Member Rivera said, it's not just for one or the other. We have to help the tenants. We've been talking about that for the last several weeks. We have to help the tenants but if the tenants don't pay rent, there is no property tax to pay.

These problems are hand and hand and the solution is hand and hand. So, with that Mr. President, I vote age on all and I am grateful again.

Thank you, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vote?

2 COUNCIL CLERK: Matteo?

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: I vote aye on all.

COUNCIL CLERK: Cumbo?

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Permission to explain my

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you Public Advocate Jumaane Williams. I just want to say that when we really care about issues and issues are important to us, I believe it is important for us to be able to have the proper debate in the proper setting, such as the democratic conference, such as hearings, meetings within our delegations. These are opportunities for us to speak about issues that we care about with experts that can help us to answer questions. Everything is a checks and balance system. If we do one thing, we need the experts to also tell us how it impacts every other thing. What is the domino affect that it will have on our city, so that we are making the most responsible decisions. Do we really care about these issues? We need to make sure that we have these discussions in the proper forums. type of forum doesn't give the members an opportunity to ask questions, to speak to financial experts, and

in essence, time is the most valuable thing that we

have right now and we have to utilize it wisely.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL CLERK: Speaker Johnson?

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I vote no on all the

amendments. Do we have a tally? Mr. Clerk?

So, there was an opportunity to bring this up in

the hearing with Finance and it was not done so.

the challenge that I have with this, are we actually

trying to get progress? Are we trying to create a

change or are we trying to create political

favoritism with the people that we represent or

others or with colleagues or with the media or the

press.

13 It's critical that we make movements to make sure

that we are actually achieving our goals but not

simply headlining issues that are not going to go

anywhere without the proper information, impact,

research, question, and debate.

I vote no in terms of how these were brought to

the floor. This didn't give us adequate time,

energy, or resources to make the most informed

decision.

Thank you.

the Intro. and the Resolutions that you will be

2.2

2.3

voting on coupled on general orders will be in their
original form.

3 original form.

Thank you very much Mr. Public Advocate, you can now recognize the remaining two speakers in discussion of general orders.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Mr.

Parliamentarian and as I know we have all simultaneously trying to feverishly change our schedules around. We will continue with our general orders and call on Council Member Reynoso and Council Member Treyger.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Council Member Reynoso?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yeah, I'm so sorry, I didn't know I was going next. I just wanted to say thank you to everyone that is going to be supporting the outdoor dining bill today. There was very important work that was done by the City Council in ensuring that we got to this in a timely fashion and not delayed it for small business. COVID has hit many of our communities very hard and it has also hit small businesses very hard and we finally got an opportunity to really think about street space and

2.2

2.3

2 exactly how we can use it to help vulnerable

3 populations including our restaurants.

We're also talking about hundreds; I mean thousands of people getting back to work. There is one block in Manhattan that has over eight businesses that are going rehire 360 people — that have already hired 360 people and that's the conversation we need to be having.

I'll end by saying, this legislation also speaks to equity. We're not asking for people to spend a lot of money, hire lobbyists or architects to put forth a sidewalk café type of system. Instead we are allowing for the neighborhoods of Brownsville, the lower east side, the upper west side, and South Bronx, all the exact same opportunity to put something in front of their restaurants and it just speaks to equity and gives everybody an opportunity to have that experience.

So, again, I want to thank my colleagues that will vote yes. I am really excited to see this program continue. I've gone out there twice already and it's been a great experience. I hope the rest of my colleagues can be supportive both by supporting

2.2

2.3

2 this bill but also going out and supporting your

3 | local restaurant.

Thank you very much and very quick, I just want to thank Speaker Corey Johnson. I always have a lot of crazy streets ideas and he took this one and ran with it and I really appreciate his support of the work that I'm doing when it comes to rethinking streetscape and for his leadership on this issue.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council Member Treyger?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

Advocate. I wanted to — actually my explanation on my vote recently is relevant to my general order remarks. I want to thank the leadership of my colleague Council Member Vanessa Gibson for spearheading the funding tracker bill on COVID-19. I want to argue that you know, Coney Island is not just a beach and boardwalk. It is not just the wonder wheel.

Over 50,000 people call it their neighborhood home and we have the $5^{\rm th}$ highest death rate per capita in the entire City of New York. And we need to make sure that resources reach the most impacted

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 communities like mine and like Council Member Gibson

3 mentioned, there is precedent of this with the Sandy

4 Tracker Bill making sure that resources flow to the

5 hardest hit communities to make sure that our

6 neighborhoods have adequate healthcare access. That

7 | every single school is a community school with all

8 the integrated services that our children and

9 \parallel families and our seniors need.

So, I just want to urge my colleagues to please vote yes on the funding tracker bill.

Thank you very much Mr. Public Advocate.

13 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr.

Parliamentarian, do we have anyone else signed up?

15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, we do not Mr. Public

16 Advocate.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you and just very briefly, you know, there is a lot of discussion about the bills, I didn't have the opportunity to — I want to discuss mine very quickly and thank people who helped work on it.

As I mentioned, there is a delicate balancing act, the need to collect property tax payments which is our biggest source of revenue, while extending economic relief to those who need it the most. These

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 were negotiated well into the night. My bill simply

defers July property tax payments to October, given

4 New Yorkers time to pay the liability with no

5 interest applied. If property owners still struggle

6 to make their payment by October, they can apply for

7 City Property Tax Aid program, which provides an

8 installment again.

The Property Tax deferral is applied to residential properties with an assessed value, not a market value. An assessed value of \$250,000 or less if the property is the owner's primary residence.

Property owners with a combined income of up to \$150,000. Property owners who have been adversely effected by COVID including having been diagnosed with a family members diagnosed with COVID-19, experience symptoms of COVID-19 as a medical diagnosis and loss of primary source of income due to COVID-19 between March 7th and June 30th which continued for at least one month.

I think this strikes the right balance and I do want to thank the Mayor's Office for helping come up with the necessary agreement, Noah Brick and Rebecca Chasan for the Council's Finance Department. Of course, Speaker Johnson and Chair Dromm and I'd also

1	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING 115
2	like to thank my staff Director of Legislation Casie
3	Addison, Legislative Associates Anika Michel, Brandor
4	Jordan, plus Deputy Public Advocate Nick Smith, Chief
5	Deputy Public Advocate Veronica Aveis and my entire
6	Policy Division and urge my colleagues to vote yes or
7	this bill.
8	Next, I believe if I'm correct Mr.
9	Parliamentarian, are we doing Report of Special
10	Committee's? Is that correct?
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, that is correct.
12	PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Reports of
13	Standing Committee's?
14	COUNCIL CLERK: Report of the Committee on
15	Consumer Affairs and Business Licensing, Intro. 1957-
16	A Outdoor Dining?
17	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
18	Orders.
19	COUNCIL CLERK: Report of the Committee on
20	Finance, Intro. 1952-A COVID-19 Funds Tracker?
21	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
22	Orders.
23	COUNCIL CLERK: Intro. 1964-A Fiscal Interest
24	Rate?

1	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING 116
2	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
3	Orders.
4	COUNCIL CLERK: Intro. 1974-A Interest Rate for
5	Property Owners Effected by COVID-19?
6	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
7	Orders with a message of necessity.
8	COUNCIL CLERK: Preconsidered Reso. 1346 through
9	1350 Payment of Real Estate Taxes?
10	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
11	Orders.
12	COUNCIL CLERK: Preconsidered LU 664 and Reso.
13	1351, 3415 Knox Place?
14	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
15	Orders.
16	COUNCIL CLERK: Report of the Committee on
17	Governmental Operations Intro. 1950-A COVID-19 Task
18	Force?
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
20	Orders.
21	COUNCIL CLERK: Report of the Committee on
22	Housing and Buildings, Intro. 1976 Short-term Housing
23	Rental Transactions?
24	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Amended and Coupled on General
25	Orders.

everyone to vote aye.

Certainly, a district like mine in the Bronx could

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 always use alternative modes particularly for many 3 residents and youth that need to get around and go to work and certainly providing those transportation 4 alternatives. I would hope that the sponsor as well as my colleagues and I have an opportunity during the 6 pilot for the shared e-scooter legislation, that we 8 work very closely with the New York City Department of Transportation as it relates to this pilot. begins, that provides for safety and protection for 10 11 all users, safety measures and making sure that

everyone is safe in terms of protecting not only the

user but communities as well.

What we don't want to see is a proliferation of e-bikes and e-scooters all across the City of New York. Certainly, we embrace new ideas but I think as this pilot commences, it's important for us to work very close with the DOT to make sure whatever gaps in service and deficiencies that we are seeing, that we recognize it and we work with DOT as well as all stakeholders, transportation groups and environmentalist groups and really make sure that the pilot can be successful. And if we identify any issues, I would hope that amendments could be made as we see fit moving along.

2.2

2.3

So, I will be voting aye on today's agenda and I want to thank all of my colleagues for a very spirited debate today on a number of bills on today's agenda and thank you Council Member Cabrera for bringing these bills to the floor and certainly looking forward to the continued work ahead.

Thank you so much.

COUNCIL CLERK: Gjonaj?

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Permission to briefly explain my vote?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I am looking forward to being a part of the conversation with the pilot programs and around 1250 and 1266. Everything that was mentioned by my colleagues earlier including not only safety but proper storage. My concern is that we will have scooters in our corridors and in our residential blocks that will be more of a hurdle and perhaps an obstacle to our pedestrians.

But I'm looking forward to helping fix and adjust that to a permanent solution as we do in the future.

I'll be voting aye on all except 1347, 1348 and 1349.

COUNCIL CLERK: Grodenchik?

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Aye on all.

about maybe a modest pilot in terms of the public's

2 ability to use e-scooters but going from zero to

3 sixty, if you don't mind the transit metaphor, is a

4 | little too much for me.

2.2

2.3

So, no on 1250 and 1266 and yes on everything else. Thank you.

COUNCIL CLERK: Lander?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Request permission to explain?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

Advocate. I vote aye on all and I'm especially enthusiastic about Council Member Reynoso's bill for open streets. I've also been eating out most every night but I do want to say, I remain disappointed that the deliver workers that worked for those restaurants still don't get a single paid day off when they are sick. And that while we are handing open space, free public space over to restaurants like Chipotle and McDonalds and other fast food restaurants, that we're not protecting their workers from unfair firings for speaking up about COVID conditions. We could do both those things. We have legislation in the essential worker and fast worker

2.2

2.3

Bill of Rights and I really hope we will take them

up. I wish we were doing those today along with

Council Member Reynoso's bill.

And then I also want to say, you know, I'm sorry we're not at City Hall today because if we were, we would be hearing right outside us the voices of the people who are out there speaking up loud and clear about what they really want us to be debating in this body. Demanding that we fight to defund the NYPD by at least \$1 billion and repurpose that money to protect education and youth services and social services especially in Black and Brown neighborhoods.

I like when this body is used to debate and we want to have robust debate but let's have the debate on the things that the people are calling for, on the things that the people are out in the streets for. I know we're finalizing that budget and we'll have a subsequent meeting to get it done but boy, if we're going to raise up our voices here and spend our time debating, let's do it on the things that are most urgent in our city.

I vote aye on all. Thank you.

COUNCIL CLERK: Levin:

I'm glad that Council Member Lander invoked the

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Did you hear me?

that that should happen after this vote.

2.3

So, I am voting aye on all and I want to just congratulate Council Member Cabrera and all of the customers that have been pushing for this for a long time, good job. Aye on all.

COUNCIL CLERK: Richards?

on all and I also just want to add that I know that the Mayor's folks are listening around this conversation on property taxes. I'm hoping that we're going to see them double down in this budget by ensuring that foreclosure assistance funds are there for homeowners as well and that we're seeing more resources certainly pumped into these specific areas as well as we negotiate this budget.

So, I do agree with Kalman specifically on the need to have this conversation which we've been having now for six years. I was a part of that amicus brief, so I'm hoping that this budget will reflect the values and prioritize homeowners as well.

Thank you. With that, I'm voting aye.

22 COUNCIL CLERK: Rivera?

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Congratulations to my colleagues, I vote age on all.

crashes with those e-bikes and have gotten seriously

24

25

injured.

	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING 131
2	But for a variety of reasons, I'm still voting
3	yes on them. What I would ask is that we think hard
4	about how we keep people safe with the e-bikes and
5	the scooters and what I'm wanting from the Black
6	Lives Matter Movement, is to look at all of our city
7	systems and think about how they are designed and how
8	they intersect with law enforcement.
9	Environmentally, we want to encourage alternative
LO	forms of transportation and I believe DOT could do a
L1	lot more in terms of ensuring biking is safe for all
L2	bikers, regardless of race or immigration status and
L3	understanding that the PD you know, their facial
L 4	recognition cameras aren't the only transportation
L5	enforcement strategy.
L 6	We need to get creative.
L7	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.
L8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much.
L9	PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Mr. Clerk?
20	COUNCIL CLERK: My apologies. Salamanca?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Aye on all.
22	COUNCIL CLERK: Torres? Rodriguez? Treyger?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Permission to explain my

24 vote?

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Permission granted.

2 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you Public

Advocate. So, I want to commend Council Member

Reynoso, Speaker Johnson also, Council Member Powers,

who have been very forceful, passionate in the

outdoor dining accommodations for restaurants and

businesses.

In my district, we don't have any of the open streets but we do have our boardwalk and as the city is waiving fees and certain — making accommodations to businesses in the open streets program, they have made no such accommodations for concessions and businesses on the boardwalk to have outdoor activities and outdoor dining.

So, I am insisting that the Administration provide the same accommodations, the same waiving of fees that they've done for businesses and neighborhoods to the businesses in Coney Island and Brighton Beach who are really going through a lot right now as well.

22 And with that, I vote aye.

23 COUNCIL CLERK: Ulrich?

way you voted, I know you care about New Yorkers and

11 in the negative and no abstentions.

Introduction 1250-A, 40 in the affirmative, excuse me, 40 in the affirmative, 7 in the negative, no abstentions.

Introduction 1264-A with 41 in the affirmative, 6 in the negative, zero abstentions. Introduction 1266-A with 39 in the affirmative, 8 in the negative and zero abstentions.

And Introduction 1964-A with 45 in the affirmative, 2 in the negative and no abstentions.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.

The items on today's general order calendars are

adopted. Introduction and Reading of Bills.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: All bills have been referred to committees as indicated on today's agenda.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. There are no Resolutions on today's calendar, so we will now move into general discussion. As a reminder, please wait until the Sergeant at Arms has begun the countdown clock before you begin your remarks. And I'll ask the Parliamentarian if anyone has signed up for General Order.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes Mr. Public Advocate.

Council Member's Koo, Rivera, Menchaca, and Majority

Leader Cumbo have signed up for General —

2.2

2.3

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Koo, Rivera, Menchaca, 3 and Majority Leader Cumbo.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Correct.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you. Council Member Koo.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Mr. Public Advocate. Today marks the 70th anniversary of the beginning of the Korean War. I would like to take this moment to offer my thanks to the many Korean War veterans in my district here in New York City.

The United States and South Korea continue to remain allies thanks to the many sacrifices of our veterans and we are all eternally grateful for their contributions for peace.

I would also like to say that I am very pleased that we are finally beginning the phase two of reopening. I believe we will all see in the coming months how difficult it will be for our local businesses to get back on their feet. Now, is the time for the City Council to focus on how to jump start our economy and help small businesses.

2.2

2.3

We need to start looking at how to implement a more moratorium on property tax increase. In order to provide relief with our businesses and our homeowners are being hit hardest. We also must not let quality of life issues be ignored. We need to continue cleaning up our streets. Move the homeless into shelters. Ensure our sidewalks are free from debris and illegal vending and create real solutions to help the small businesses who make up the bricks and morter of our city.

If we can do that, we can restore the people's faith in government. Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Council Member Rivera?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much everyone. We all know that the social justice movement has always included the belief that housing is a human right and as we continue to debate our next budget, and the need to transfer funding from police to social services and our neighborhood programs, we must ensure that part of that discussion involves community lead development.

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, our city is now dealing with two new fronts in the housing

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 crisis. A newly unstable real estate market

3 vulnerable to exploitation from predatory interests

4 and the on spot of inspected evictions as online

5 legal proceedings resumed this week. We know these

6 moments of crisis can allow speculators to easily

swoop in and increase gentrification in our

8 neighborhoods.

We saw this in 2001 and 2008 and we cannot allow that to happen again. That's why this morning I held a rally with the diverse group of housing advocates to call for funding for critical housing initiatives in the city budget, including the citywide CLT initiative and to stabilizing NYC Initiative. We also called for the restoration of the HPD capital budget.

Beyond the budget, our rally drew attention to Intro. 1977, a new piece of legislation I'm introducing with Council Member Reynoso and would give affordable housing developers and communities an equal opportunity to compete and purchase new property in the hyper competitive New York City real estate market. Intro. 1977 which we call the Community Opportunity to Purchase Act or COPA, will give nonprofit affordable housing developers

Menchaca, you still there?

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Can you hear me now?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: We can hear you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. As the COVID-19 pandemic raged across New York City, we saw a spike in deaths across the five boroughs. Families were scrambling to find resources and information to help bury their loved ones and on top of an unprecedented economic and health crisis.

However, the Mayor's Office was totally unprepared. Council offices were suddenly flooded with calls and requests to help bring dignity to family members who had suddenly passed. But getting information from the Mayor's Office was nearly impossible and turned into a blame game. The Mayor's Office pointed a finger at the state who then pointed a finger federal government, who then pointed it back to the Mayor and as usual, it was immigrant New Yorkers who suffered the most from this incompetence.

We have seen the importance of consolidating city services in 311 and we should continue to do that. However, in a pandemic where mass casualties are inevitable, the city must ensure that we are supporting families by communicating immediately that the latest information dealing with — what the

2 information is about the borough services. For

3 example, most New Yorkers had no idea that the Chief

4 Medical Examiner was helping overwhelmed hospitals

5 and funeral homes by operating long term

6 refrigeration to preserve bodies. Most New Yorkers

7 also did not know that a 15 day deadline to claim

8 | bodies had been lifted. Had these things been

9 communicated quickly and in multiple languages,

10 | hundreds of families particularly immigrant families

11 | would have been spared weeks of heart wrenching grief

12 and stress.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Speaking of which, while 311 has become an indispensable hotline during the pandemic, 311 operators are not trained to speak with someone or help them cope when they are experiencing a trauma of losing a loved one.

We should create a separate hotline with trained professionals from New York for New Yorkers to get burial assistance. The legislation I am introducing today will do all of this. It will ensure that during an emergency, New Yorkers will never compound their trauma of losing a loved one —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

was just going to say April 1^{st} or thereabouts, my

- 2 office put out a report on how to respond to COVID.
- 3 They had a few ideas. I'm proud that one of them was
- 4 passed today 1964. I want to thank my colleagues for
- 5 | that. There are a couple of other pieces of
- 6 legislation that were put in today and I'm looking
- 7 | forward to working with my colleagues to addressing
- 8 | that as well.
- 9 I also just wanted say congratulations to all
- 10 folks who have bills today and all the colleagues who
- 11 | were on the ballot this past Tuesday. All of us know
- 12 | the difficulty of putting our names out there and
- 13 | having them fully campaigned. So, congratulations to
- 14 | everyone who tried it and a special shout out to
- 15 | Council Member Richards and Torres who had pretty
- 16 good nights on Tuesday.
- 17 Thank you and I guess the meeting will stand at
- 18 | ease Parliamentarian.
- 19 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes Mr. Public Advocate for
- 20 just a few more moments.
- 21 Mr. Public Advocate?
- 22 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Yes
- 23 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please recognize the Clerk
- 24 who has an updated vote tally.

- I think Council Member Barron, did you want to

not having a class this September, so I'm using this

critical issue. But I also want to use this time to

forum to let the Mayor know that that's a very

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

respond to an article that was in today's daily news
which said that statue debate is becoming downright
dumb and it describes the actions of our Council to
have that statue removed as a sudden decision. Well
for the writer to think that this was sudden, it's
indicative of how out of touch, how uninformed, and

8 how far removed he is from the grassroots people and

 \parallel what it is that they want.

This is not an impulsive response to the massive demonstrations that are called interracial justice, in fact, and an investigative researcher would have found that this issue of the insult of the presence of the statue goes back more than a decade when the issue was raised by my husband Assembly Member Barron, who was then the Council Member and the documents which the writer referenced in this article that he feels are so supportive of Thomas Jefferson being a great person, refers to the Declaration of Independence, which refers to Native Americans as Indian Savages.

The Constitution which has a three fifths course as it relates to Black Americans and his writer referencing Jefferson's wall of separation between

1 COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING the church and state doesn't talk about the life that 2 3 he lived that demonstrated a wall of denial. 4 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired. COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Of the basic human rights 5 of freedom, compensation for labor, and control over 6 7 their own body. He held hundreds of Africans enslaved in conditions that were horrible throughout 8 his life and had sexual relations with a teenage girl. 10

147

So, we're calling for the removal of the statue and while the statue is gone, we certainly will be able to have an opportunity to engage in business without in conferences of reminders of people who did not have any regard for African Americans or for Native Americans.

So, with that, I thank you and I yield back my time.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Council Member. Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. The Stated Meeting of June 25, 2020 is hereby adjourned.

2.3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON STATED MEETING 148
2	REVISED VOTE ON:
3	INT 1250-A: 39 affirmative, 8 negative, 0
4	abstentions.
5	INTRO. 1264-A: 42 affirmative, 5 negative, 0
6	abstentions.
7	INTRO. 1266-A: 38 affirmative, 9 negative, 0
8	abstention.
9	INTRO. 1964-A: 46 affirmative, 1 negative, 0
10	abstentions.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 10, 2020