CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

----- X

May 19, 2020

Start: 12:15 p.m. Recess: 7:50 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing

B E F O R E: Keith Powers

Chairperson, Criminal Justice

Rory I. Lancman, Justice System

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Criminal Justice

Alicka Ampry-Samuel Robert F. Holden Rory I. Lancman Carlina Rivera

Justice System
Andrew Cohen
Laurie A. Cumbo
Rory I. Lancman
Farah N. Louis
Alan N. Maisel
Deborah L. Rose
Ritchie J. Torres

Kalman Yeger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Cynthia Brann Commissioner New York City Department of Correction

Dr. Patricia Yang Senior Vice President Correctional Health Services

Deputy Commissioner Patricia Feeney

Hazel Jennings Chief of Department

Brenda Cook Chief of Staff

Patricia Lyons
Deputy Commissioner
Financial, Facilities, and Fleet
Administration

Dana Kaplan
Deputy Director
Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Heidi Grossman General Counsel

Timothy Farrell Senior Deputy Commissioner

Angel Villaloma

First Deputy Commissioner Department of Correction

Dr. Ross MacDonald
Chief Medical Officer
Correctional Health Services

Ben Farber Correctional Health Services

Dana Kaplan
Deputy Director
Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Margaret Egan
Executive Director
Board of Correction

Robert L. Cohen, M.D. Board Member
Board of Correction

Emily Turner
Deputy Executive Director of Research
Board of Correction

Elizabeth Glazer Director Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Dana Kaplan
Deputy Director
Close Riker's and Justice Initiatives

Eric Cumberbatch
Deputy Director
Office of Neighborhood Safety

Deanna Logan
Deputy Director
Crime Strategies
Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Michael McMahon
District Attorney

Bridget Brennan Special Prosecutor

Jill Harris Director of Policy and Strategy Kings County DA

Derek Lynton Chief Assistant District Attorney Bronx DA

Melinda Katz District Attorney Queens County

David A. Hansell Commissioner Administration for Children's Services

Sarah Hemiter
Acting Deputy Commissioner
Administration for Children's Services

Dr. Angel Mendoza, Jr.
Chief Medical Officer
Administration for Children's Services

Chief Jennifer Gilroy Ruiz

Law Department

Ana Bermudez Commissioner Department of Probation

Sharun Goodwin

Deputy Commissioner

Department of Probation

Delvany Powell

Vidal Guzman

Donna Hilton

Sharon White Harrigan

Brandon Holmes

Dr. Victoria Philips

Jennifer Parish

Kelsey Diabolo

Bianca Tyler

Mary Lynn Whirlwas

Lisa Freeman

Young Mi Li

Zachary Katz Nelson

Elizabeth Fisher

Tahini Dunn

Christopher Boyle

Alex Karishnakova

Amanda Maisel

Tita Theodora Beale

Katie Adaminez

Kelly Grace Price

who we'll hear from shortly, we've been joined by

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 8 1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 Council Member Cohen, Council Member Louis, Council 3 Member rose, Council Member Rivera, Council Member 4 Holden, Council Member Ampry-Samuel, Council Member Lander, Council Member Yeger, and Council Member Maisel, and I am sure we will be joined by others 6 7 shortly. I'm going to turn it over to our committee counsel, Alana Civen, to go over some procedural 8 items. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I'm Alana Civen, counsel to the Criminal Justice Committee of the New York City Council. Before we begin I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on to testify. Then you will be unmuted by the host. I will be calling on panelists to testify. Please listen for your name to be called. I will be periodically announcing who the next panelists will be. Today we will be hearing from representatives of six mayoral agencies, including the Department of Correction, Correctional Health Services, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, the Administration for Children's Services, the Department of Probation, and the Law Department. We additionally will be hearing from the Board of Correction, district attorneys, public defenders, and

9 COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 members of the public. We will be calling agency 3 representatives and panels and ask that council 4 members reserve their questions for after each panel is finished testifying. The first panel will be the Department of Correction and Correctional Health 6 7 Services. The first two panelists to give testimony will be Cynthia Brann, commissioner of the New York 8 City Department of Correction, followed by Dr. Patricia Yang, the senior vice president of 10 11 Correctional Health Services. I will call you when 12 it is your turn to speak. For the question and 13 answer period only we will also be joined by Patricia Feeney, chief of the department, by Deputy 14 15 Commissioner Patricia Feeney, Chief of the Department 16 Hazel Jennings, Chief of Staff Brenda Cook, Deputy 17 Commissioner of Financial, Facilities, and Fleet 18 Administration Patricia Lyons, General Counsel Heidi Grossman, Senior Deputy Commissioner Timothy Farrell, 19 20 First Deputy Commissioner Angel Villaloma, from the 21 Department of Correction, and Dr. Ross MacDonald, Chief Medical Officer from CHS, and Dr. Ben Farber 2.2 2.3 from CHS. During the hearing if council members would like to ask a question of the administration or 24 25 of a specific panelist after the panel is finished

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

statement.

2

testifying, please use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in order. Please note that we have grouped several representatives of the administration in groups and will not be taking questions until each group has testified. We will be limiting council member questions to three minutes, which includes the time it takes to answer your questions. Please note that for the ease of this virtual hearing we will not be allowing a second round of questions for each panelist. Thank you. I'll now pass it to Chair Powers to give an opening

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Um, and just checking quickly to see if we have been joined by any other folks, but I think we'll be joined by more shortly. Thank you, Alana [inaudible] get started. So thank you, good morning everyone and thank you for all those who have joined us today, to my colleagues, to the agencies, and all those who are at home, ah, and watching today. I am City Council Member Keith Powers, chair of the Committee on Criminal Justice. I am joined today by Council Member Rory Lancman, chair of the Committee on Justice System for tonight, today's joint oversight

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

25

2 hearing on COVID-19 in New York City jails and

3 juvenile detention centers. In the past few months

4 New Yorkers have had to completely readjust the way

5 that we operate in order to stop the spread of

6 coronavirus. We wear masks when we go outside. We

7 stand six feet apart from each other. We're

8 encouraged to use hand sanitizer and wash our hands

9 more than we ever have before, all of that intended

10 to mean and make sure that we stop the spread of the

11 | virus. For people who are working or in custody in

12 our jails and prisons, following these guidelines is

13 at best difficult and at worst impossible.

14 | Everything about a contained environment, like our

15 | city jails, risks the curve going steeply up. Social

16 distancing is harder when you talk about escorts.

17 | Staying six feet apart is harder when living in

18 dormitories and beds are, beds are, where beds are

19 \parallel close to each other or common areas that are shared,

20 | and shared space and equipment among staff means that

21 \parallel the spread is even more at risk. And that is not to

22 | say at all to disregard the hard work that I know all

23 the folks here and on the front lines of CHS and DOC

24 are doing to ensure that people in custody are safe.

They've done a lot, including releasing people in

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 city custody through the six-day work release program, temporarily opening EMTC to allow for more 3 4 effective social distancing, and to do as much as we can to making sure that people have access to hand 5 sanitizer and able to wash their hands, not to 6 7 mention the daily efforts by the doctors at CHS and the officers at DOC to keep people healthy while 8 trying to preserve their own health and safety and their own family members' health and safety at the 10 11 same time. The information we received thus far 12 regarding the state of jails has given us reason to 13 be concerned. According to the Board of Correction's 14 daily COVID-19 report there are 365 people currently 15 incarcerated with positive cases of COVID-19. 16 DOC staff have tested positive for the virus, as have 17 184, 85, CHS staff, and of course we can assume those 18 numbers change, ah, on any given day, or go up in any given, based on any given day. We've lost at least 19 20 three people incarcerated to the virus and along 21 with, ah, the number here says 10, but I believe that 2.2 even we lost an individual yesterday, who was an 2.3 officer, to this virus. I want to just, if we may,

take a minute to, of silence just for that individual

that we lost yesterday and all those that we've lost,

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

just to take one moment of silence tonight. of silence] OK, thank you. I want to know, to let them know that they are certainly in our thoughts and prayers today. Um, before we go any farther I just want to, oh, sorry, but we have heard, ah, ah, ah, and have had, and have continued concerns about jail conditions. In the Board of Corrections May 11 audit of correctional facilities they found that only a small people of custody, 17% of all cases audited, were, ah, were wearing masks, and 45% of audited dormitories operating were operating at above 50% capacity. On May 8 in a letter to the Board of Corrections public defenders expressed concerns that people in custody were unable to practice social distancing in dorms and single-cell units and were unable to access masks. And we've heard ongoing concerns from staff about not having access to equipment, department giving away PPE at a time when it might have been most necessary, and requiring work, staff to work 24-hour shifts. Myself and others have called for there to be a clear and public and personal from this administration to lead these efforts to coordinate the various entities that we'll be hearing from today and others that are not here

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

today, which is not a criticism against any articular person or agency but to help strengthen the entire effort and empower those individual agencies to have what they need, when they need it, and to have a mechanism to get it if they need it. Um, there's so, and I just want to mention, there's so much more information. We don't know, we don't know the amount of people that are currently incarcerated with COVID-19, we do know that, but we don't have an idea of how many people have been infected in total, including those who have been incarcerated and discharged. know how many people have died while they're in, while they were in custody, but we don't know how many people have passed away after being infected and released from our city jails. We don't know how many CHS, CHS staff members have been quarantined. don't know many people have been hospitalized. know that jail environments are inherently dangerous during this time, but we don't have a full picture of how dangerous. In the midst of all this the need to monitor jail conditions and to release people in custody could not be clearer. This is why we're hearing three bills today that I'm sponsoring, all relating to the improvement of conditions and the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM release of people in custody. The first bill would require the Department of Correction and CHS to submit to the Speaker of the City Council and make publicly available on the department's website, website, a daily report related to the outbreak of [inaudible] city jails. That report would include cumulative numbers of individuals diagnosed, deceased, hospitalized, quarantined, and tested for COVID-19. The proposed legislation would also require the Department of Correctional Health Services and the Department of, and DOH, to provide regular, in-person updates to people in custody about the public health emergency and to publish a timeline of significant events, ensuring that people have an understanding of what is happening when it comes to COVID-19. The second bill would ensure that members of the public to [inaudible] depositing funds into commissary funds would not be charged a service fee more than five dollars. During a time when it is very difficult or sometimes impossible for friends and family members to make, to deposit money or items in person it's more crucial that we don't charge exorbitant fees on such deposits. And while service

fees for kiosks [inaudible] at Riker's Island fall in

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the guidelines and are capped at three dollars, transfers made online, ah, or at kiosks off the island do not. And finally the third bill would require the mayor to create local conditional release commission pursuant to Section 271 of the Correction The commission would determine subject to state Law. limitation which individuals who are serving city sentences to be granted early release. This bill in addition to the 6-A work release program would give the city an additional tool to release people who do not need to be incarcerated. Had the commission been in place prior to the pandemic we would have decarcerated our jails in a more timely fashion and been able to, ah, identify those folks for release. I do still want to recognize that the, this administration did respond to that call and I'd do what was in I think in much in their jurisdiction do to get folks out. Um, Erie County in New York has a similar commission that has been able to use it to partner with nonprofit organizations to provide mental care, health care, addiction treatment, job training and a variety of other needed services to help people upon release. And I believe that we can

do the same here in New York City, especially during

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2 | a pandemic. I want to thank a number of my

3 | colleagues, including Council Member Farah Louis,

4 | Council Member Lander, ah, Public Advocate Jumaane

5 | Williams, Council Member Yeger, and others who have

6 sponsored not all, but different versions of those

7 bills and have been, ah, great partners here when it

8 comes to responding to this, ah, epidemic, this, this

9 pandemic and, and this crisis. So with that I want

10 | to hand it over to Chair Lancman for his opening

11 remarks. Oh, and I just, before I, before I do that,

12 | sorry, I do want to recognize all the staff on here,

13 | both my staff and all staff from the City Council.

14 | Having seen how much work goes into these remote

15 | hearings to make sure they work and that people can

16 get appropriate time to answer questions, things like

17 | that, I am, we're really tremendously grateful to all

18 | the [inaudible] and staff and everyone who is making

19 | us work today. So thank you, and I'll hand it over

20 | to Chair Lancman.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Um, good afternoon, I'm Council Member Rory Lancman, chair of the Committee on the Justice System and I am pleased to join Chair Powers and the Committee on

Criminal Justice in this hearing on COVID-19 and the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

24

25

2 | city's adult jails and juvenile detention centers.

3 Since the state of emergency covering the five

4 | boroughs was declared in early March our local jail

5 population was down 30%, and not nearly because

6 overall crime has plummeted. Rather, much of that

7 reduction is the result of deliberate policy

8 decisions and actions aimed at lowering the city's

9 | incarceration rate in response to the COVID-19

10 crisis. Jails are exceptionally dangerous places

11 | during a pandemic to detainees, officers, and

12 | civilian staff alike with an infection many times

13 greater than the population at large. The council

14 has challenged our city and state criminal justice

15 policy and enforcement agencies, our courts, and our

16 | independently elected district attorneys to justify

17 | the continued detention of every person sitting on

18 \parallel Riker's and in the city's other jails. Is there a

19 better way to achieve justice, accountability, and

20 | public safety than by locking someone in a cage at

21 \parallel enormous risks to their health and expense to the

22 | taxpayers? I'm pleased to say that the answer,

23 | nearly 2500 times, was yes. That's why our jail

population is right now at its lowest since 1946.

Those released include over 300 people serving a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM sentence of less than a year, pursuant to a law that allows the mayor to alternatively placed those individuals in a work release program outside the confines of jail. Over 250 who are awaiting trial on a misdemeanor charge, nearly 650 who [inaudible] technical parole violation, like missing an appointment with a parole officer, and hundreds who couldn't afford their bail while they awaited trial for nonviolent or violent felony charges. And some of these folks left the jail in the ordinary course of business, but most were released because of the coronavirus crisis and our city's and state's response to it. Now it's been reported that about 100 of those released from Riker's have reoffended, for what appears to be overwhelmingly nonviolent offenses. Some, like former police commissioner Bill Bratton, viewed this 95% success rate and call it "a crime virus." I choose to view this safe, rapid decarceration as a potentially much-hoped for normal. And it's important to note that the system was primed to rapidly decarcerate by the time the coronavirus gripped New York City. By the previous work of this council in decriminalizing many low-level offenses,

pressure in the police department to make far fewer

positive for COVID-19? I look forward to getting

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

First Deputy Commissioner Angel Villaloma, Dr. MacDonald, and Dr. Farber, I will call on to

administer the oath. I will also call on Dana

17 Kaplan, deputy director from the Mayor's Office of

18 | Criminal Justice for questions. I will call on each

19 of you individually for a response. Please raise

20 your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth,

21 | the whole truth, and nothing but the truth before

22 these committees and to respond honestly to Council

23 | Member questions? Commissioner Brann?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: I do.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 22 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Dr. Yang? Dr. Yang,
3	I don't believe you're in.
4	DR. YANG: I, I do, yes.
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Deputy
6	Commissioner Feeney.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FEENEY: I do.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chief Jennings.
9	CHIEF JENNINGS: I do.
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chief of Staff Cook.
11	CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: I do.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner
13	Lyons?
14	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LYONS: I do.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: General Counsel
16	Grossman.
17	GENERAL COUNSEL GROSSMAN: I do.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Senior Deputy
19	Commissioner Farrell.
20	SENIOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARRELL: I
21	do.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: First Deputy
23	Commissioner Villaloma.
24	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALOMA: I
25	do.
l	u .

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Dr. MacDonald.

DR. MACDONALD: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Dr. Farber.

DR. FARBER: I do, as Mr. Farber.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Director

Kaplan.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY DIRECTORY KAPLAN: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Commissioner Brann,

10 you may begin when you're ready.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Good afternoon,

Chair Powers, Chair Lancman, and members of the

Committee on Criminal Justice and the Committee on

the Justice System. I'm glad to see that you are all

healthy and well. I'm pleased to be joined today by

the dedicated members of my leadership team and our

valuable partners from across [inaudible] criminal

justice agencies. Since the pandemic began the

department has worked around the clock to keep those

working and living in our facilities safe, and I

thank you for the opportunity to discuss our response

for this unprecedented crisis. Before I begin I

would like to take a moment to thank the dedicated

and hard-working employees of the Department of

Correction and Correctional Health Services for their

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

incredible service during this difficult time. remain committed to protecting the safety and wellbeing of those entrusted to their care at great personal sacrifice. As commissioner I am proud to work beside them and want them to know that their terrific heroic efforts have not gone unnoticed. Throughout this crisis the department has worked tirelessly with our partners at CHS to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 and keep those under our care safe. As a result of the department's long-standing emergency preparedness protocols I have considerable experience in contagious disease management. Adherence to CDC and DOHMH guidelines and innovative problem solving we are seeing success. The number of new positive cases in quarantine housing units across the facilities is steadily declining, a clear indication that our containment strategies are working. Over the past two months there have been many concerns raised about sanitation procedures, the availability of help and the provision of personal protective equipment. Here at the facts. First, the department established robust sanitation procedures for housing units, day rooms, transport vehicles, and other congregate spaces to sanitize on a daily basis.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 High touched areas are sanitized every two hours, and 3 showers are sanitized three times per day. 4 Supervisors check these process nine times a day and 5 the quality assurance and integrity divisions as well as staff from the bureau chief of facility operations 6 7 perform an additional audit. Second, all individuals 8 in custody have access to soap and cleaning supplies 9 free of charge. And lastly, at every stage of this pandemic the department has provided all staff and 10 11 everyone in custody with ample personal protective 12 equipment. We first began providing masks on March 13 11, nearly a month before medical professionals 14 recommended it. And as our understanding of the 15 situation progressed, on April 3 we made face coverings a requirement for all staff and those in 16 17 custody, nearly two weeks before the state ordered 18 it. Our supply of PPE is sufficient and all staff 19 and people in custody have direct access to 20 replacement PPE as quickly as desired. As a further 21 protection mechanism all staff entering the 2.2 facilities must submit to a temperature and COVID-2.3 like symptom screening prior to entrance. Since the beginning of this crisis the department has worked 24

closely with our partners to identify individuals

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

eligible for release. As a result of this action, the number of New Yorkers held in New York City jails has plummeted, shrinking nearly 30% in just over one The department is currently operating at an month. overall occupancy rate of 49%, with more than half of the beds empty in open units. Significantly, the overwhelming majority of dorm units are less than half full. Additionally, we have been in constant communication with staff and people in custody to raise awareness and educate them on prevention practices, including painting cues on chairs and benches that supports appropriate social distancing. The department's multilingual COVID-19 awareness campaign includes conspicuously placed posters, an informational one-pager, and an informational slide show displayed at intake. Despite the challenges we face due to COVID-19's impact on our staff sick rate and the temporary suspension of congregate programming, in-person visitation and most in-person court appearances, April 2020 has been one of the safest months in recent history. Use of force has decreased by 47% as compared to March 2020, and by 37% as compared to April 2019. Fights among people in custody also decreased by 47% as compared to March

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2020 and by 48% as compared to the same time last year. Further, in April 2020 the department saw nearly 50% fewer slashings and stabbings as compared to the previous month and a 21% reduction in the total number of assaults on staff in the same period. These are significant reductions and we are sustaining this progress in May. The pandemic has forced many of us to significantly restrict our contact with others. As commissioner, I understand how important connections with friends, family, and legal supports are to those in our custody and the department has made every effort to afford visits remotely. For critical communication with attorneys and the court system the department has expanded its Skype teleconference group. To ensure contact with loved ones, over a matter of just days in March the department created a brand-new family televisit initiative, enabling video visitation from a personal electronic device. The department has continued to provide free telephone calls and is offering three free stamps or prestamped envelopes to persons in custody on a weekly basis. For spiritual care and guidance the department established a hotline for chaplain services. In addition to focusing program

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

delivery, the department has worked with our contract service providers to create activity packets with program-specific materials, established a discharge planning hotline to assist with reentry, and is providing tablets with educational resources to all persons in custody. Throughout this pandemic we have endeavored to be as transparent as possible to ensure that the public and our oversight bodies are aware of our approach and our outcome. Since March 17 we have provided detailed data from the Board of Correction. We began posting information publicly as of April 1. We are in regular communication with elected officials, defender organizations, and other advocate groups, and we plan to continue this collaboration. Our Office of Public Information has responded to more than 600 media inquiries from across the globe since the pandemic began. Regarding the preconsidered legislation that requires reporting of certain information during public health emergencies, the department is committed to transparency and stands ready to discuss the ways we can improve this effort. The bill as drafted prevents administrative challenges. However, we look forward to continuing to discuss this bill with the council. Regarding the

as a result. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

preconsidered legislation regarding fees related to adding money to commissary funds, the department supports the intent of the bill. We are continuing to analyze the impact of the legislation and look forward to continuing to discuss this matter with the council. Despite this unprecedented crisis and its many challenges, the department remains committed to protecting all those working and living within our facilities. We will continue to collaborate with our partners to develop [inaudible] practices and policies to effectively manage this public health emergency, and we will come out stronger and bolder

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you,

Commissioner. Next, we'd like to invite Dr. Yang of

Correctional Health Services to testify. Dr. Yang.

DR. YANG: Hi, good afternoon,

Chairpersons Powers and Lancman and members of the

Criminal Justice and Justice System Committees, um,

Patsy Yang, senior vice president, Health and

Hospitals for Correctional Health, also known as CHS.

I'm joined here by Dr. Ross MacDonald, our chief

medical officer, and Ben Farber, our chief of staff.

We appreciate the opportunity to update you on

1

25

2 | actions we've taken so far in the face of this

3 devastating global pandemic and would like to brief

4 you on three cornerstones of our approach -

5 decarceration, containment, and maintenance. When

6 the novel coronavirus was first confirmed to be in

7 New York City on March 1 CHS was well positioned to

8 confront head-on this fast-moving, shape-shifting,

9 lethal virus. Much of the foundation of our response

10 | has already been built in the four years since we

11 | became the direct provider of health care in the

12 | jails. To minimize the likelihood of transmission of

13 | SARS-CoV-2 virus we've taken aggressive and strategic

14 | steps that are aligned with the best available public

15 | health advice, tailored to the unique environment of

16 the New York City jails. Most tragically, three

17 people in custody have succumbed to this plague so

18 \parallel far. Yet because CHS and DOC strove to lock arms and

19 | stay in lockstep throughout this first wave of the

20 pandemic in order to shield people who are entrusted

21 | in our joint care and custody I'm certain that

22 | together we saved many lives. Decarceration was one

23 | of our key strategies from the outset. CHS focused

24 \parallel on identifying and helping arrange for release from

custody our patients who we determined were most

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vulnerable to a severe course of disease should they contract the virus. This effort was built on CHS's long-standing advocacy work for compassionate release of patients with serious clinical conditions. global pandemic gave, gave strength and purpose and opportunity for CHS to accelerate this work along with our partners at DOC, MOCJ, defense attorneys, district attorneys, courts, the State Office of Mental Health, the State Department of Correction and Community, ah, Service, Supervision, sorry. Um, this work relied on relationships that were built over months and over years. Between March and May more than 2000 people were released, including roughly half of our patients who were age 50 years or older. To support the safe release of our patients into the community, CHS's already robust discharge services were enhanced to respond to the emergency. While our protocols for discharging patients with mental health concerns and/or medication needs remained unchanged, CHS now additionally screens for COVID-like symptoms every patient who DOC escorts prior to release and we assist patients in securing accommodations within which to self-isolate as appropriate. We also provide information on community testing sites and on

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM supportive services. As before, individuals who need assistance after release can contact CHS's established community services, our point of reentry and transition programs, and our community reentry assistance network. For patients who are still in custody, containment was a foundational strategy. Although we were unique, we are unique actually in jail, among jails, to have an 88-bed communicable disease unit, we very quickly realized that this capacity was insufficient. Together with DOC we adapted and expanded our concept of therapeutic housing units to create an entirely new designation of housing for patients on the COVID spectrum based on clinical need and status. We separately housed our most vulnerable asymptomatic patients from patients with confirmed disease, from patients with symptoms of the disease, from patients who were asymptomatic but were known to have exposure to a confirmed positive individual. Because expansion of this notion of clinical housing allowed us to physically separate and shield patients in the face of what felt like a viral tsunami, we also planned

for surge capacities in each category of housing.

Whether it was reopening an entire facility or

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM repurposing different types of housing, DOC and CHS together daily balanced the urgent and growing needs to protectively house our patients against the shrinking availability of both our staffs, who themselves were getting sick. The housing plan that CHS and DOC together implemented involved almost 200 housing units and thousands of beds. During this current past wave of the outbreak on a given day the maximum of 278 isolation and 2889 quarantine beds were occupied. Another key element of our containment strategy was testing. We instituted an early and aggressive COVID-19 antigen testing strategy that exceeds the standards being employed in the larger community. We test at a rate 4.3 times higher than the rest of New York City. Our approach to testing was so much more aggressive for a number of reasons, ranging from the cognizance of the likely high toll on our patients who already bear a heavier burden of underlying conditions that predispose them to more severe outcomes to the realities that while congregate settings make physical distancing difficult a person's COVID status helps inform housing decisions that are protective. We test

asymptomatic patients, patients who are asymptomatic

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

but highly, we test symptomatic patients as well as asymptomatic patients who are highly vulnerable, and universally all patients who are newly admitted into the system regardless of their symptoms. As of May 15, sorry, um, antigen tests among patients total 1270, of which 537 were positive. We expect to begin, we are actually beginning antibody testing among our patients today, um, as our understanding of the disease has involved, has evolved, so has our testing strategies. We continue to proactively pursue all available laboratory resources to take advantage of rapidly developing technology, because these will continue to be the key as we prepare for resurgence of this still-present virus. The third key strategy for protecting our patients from SARS-CoV-2 was maintenance of access to healthcare services despite mandates to minimize person-toperson contact between patients and between patients and staff, in waiting rooms, in clinics, and in transit to and from housing areas. In accordance with the emergency declarations, many health systems throughout the city closed or reduced nonessential services, which resulted affecting us as well and a curtailment of certain speciality appointments during

1

2.2

24

25

2 the high of this last wave of the epidemic. However, 3 while elective and nonurgent visits had to be 4 adjusted, access to our medical, nursing, and mental health services, ongoing substance use treatment, and 5 medications remained unchanged. We continue to be 6 7 present in therapeutic housing units and access to 8 programs remains largely unchanged. Emergency 9 response, urgent care, nurse and physician availability continued around the clock. As before, 10 11 we reviewed all miss scheduled visits to prioritize 12 escorts by DOC's clinic accordingly, and as always any patient in mental health crisis or in need of 13 urgent medical attention alerted DOC, who contacted 14 15 While maintaining these core services we built 16 new work flows and system given the realities of this 17 pandemic. This required maintaining adequate PPE 18 according to the latest guidelines, responsiveness to 19 the latest clinical guidance, and constant 20 communication with our staff. We safely managed the 21 majority of COVID patients who developed the disease

without burdening our overburdened hospital partner, 2.3 and aggressively monitored for signs of more severe

disease so that we could escalate care at the

earliest sign of trouble. We incorporate COVID-like

1

24

25

2 screening at every point of contact within the

3 criminal justice process - at prearraignment, at

4 admission, at every clinical counter, and upon

5 discharge. We worked with our partner, ACS, to

6 transfer into our care at the Horizon facility any

7 youth who were suspected to have or confirmed to have

8 COVID-19. We balanced the public health imperative

9 to minimize person-to-person contact with our

10 unflagging commitment to healthcare access by

11 | spanning our already pioneering use of technology.

12 | Our years of experience and our infrastructure

13 | allowed us to use telehealth video connections to

14 | minimize disruptions in care during this past crisis.

15 Working with DOC, we were able to establish new

16 secure telephonic connections for our patients from

17 | any housing area to contact CHS directly, whether it

18 | was to report health concerns like COVID-like

19 | symptoms or to talk through their anxieties about the

20 disease. These new pathways were important

21 | supplements to the [inaudible] patient communication

22 \parallel channels that existed before the pandemic. Every

23 | evening at precisely 7 o'clock neighborhoods

throughout the city erupt as people stop in the

streets, they throw open their windows, they go out

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 on their roofs, they whistle, they applaud, they

3 shout, they bang pots, they bang pans, they sound

4 | their car horns. They do this in gratitude for the

5 | healthcare workers who put the very lives of their

6 patients ahead of that of their own and that of their

7 families. During every one of these daily tributes I

8 | feel particularly privileged and honored to work

9 alongside the staff who even among essential workers

10 | face daily challenges that are unique to the jail

11 environment and they've done so with unflinching

12 professionalism and dedication. Thanks.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now turn it over to questions from Chair Powers, followed by Chair Lancman. For these questions we will additionally be joined by Deputy Commissioner Feeney, Chief Jennings, Chief of Staff Brenda Cook, Deputy Commissioner Lyons, General Counsel Grossman, Senior Deputy Commissioner Farrell, First Deputy Commissioner Villaloma from the Department of Correction, and Dr. Ross MacDonald from Correctional Health Services and Ben Farber, in addition to Deputy Director Dana Kaplan. Panelists, please stay unmuted

if possible during this question-and-answer period.

Thank you. Chair Powers.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and, and I, I share, um, CHS, I just want to say thank you to all, everybody here, thank you to all your staff and, and particularly the doctors that are, are serving at a very, very challenging in the city, and when we do clap I know that in my district people go outside of Bellevue, they go outside of NYU, but, ah, for many of us we're, we're [inaudible] all your doctors as well who, um, are, are putting themselves in a very challenging environment. Thank you for mentioning that as well. Um, we're going to go in to a number of questions, um, related to testing and housing and things like that. But I just wanted to start, and this is, you know, for, for both agencies and I'll, I'll pull this question out for, for others as well, but, you know, one of the things we are gonna hear from I think six or seven various agencies today. We're gonna here from CHS and DOC and MOCJ and ACS and Department of Probation in addition to, um, ah, other agencies here that interest with. We have the DAs, we have the NYPD, we have Board of Correction, and, you know, one of the things I've been trying to get clarity round and just make sure we as a public understand is through the pandemic there is a, ah, a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

4

2 lot of challenges here, everything from the release,

3 | the question about release and who to release.

Presumably CHS has ideas around that. DOC has

 $ar{b}$ thoughts on that. MOCJ has thoughts on that.

6 | Certainly we know we've heard the NYPD has thoughts

7 on that. Can you explain, um, I'll open this to

8 either agency, but can you explain to us the, how

9 these decisions are made amongst a group of six, you

10 know, six, seven agencies, some that report directly

11 | to the mayor, DAs, DOC, we understand are outside of

12 | that. When we talk about, and certainly there's been

13 disagreements amongst the agencies about release, for

14 | instance. Um, how, how is this coordinated? How are

15 these decisions made and how, who is making the

16 decision when there is a conflict between agencies

17 when it comes to things like release for, or if

18 | there's a disagreement between the agencies that just

19 | testified about how to house or quarantine, things

20 | like that?

24

25

21 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Um, so I will speak

22 for, um, housing decisions and, ah, releases with

23 | regard to compassionate release. Every day since

this pandemic began we've had calls, daily calls with

CHS. Ah, we discuss cases. We discuss any issues

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM that arose the day before. We discuss issues, um, with any kind of housing disagreement, and we provide a solution before the, the call is ending. So we have a minimum of one, one call per day. We have experienced many days where we have several calls and we respond immediately to issues that arise. I can say for DOC and I, I suspect my colleagues at CHS would, would say the same thing, that the bond, um, on, between the two agencies during this crisis has never been stronger, and we have collaborated, we have become very creative in our solutions and our efforts to minimize the impact of the spread of this disease. With regard to broader release across the city, I'll let, um, Ms. Kaplan speak to that, at the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and how the Mayor's Office has coordinated amongst all the law

DANA KAPLAN: Sure, ah, good afternoon,

Dana Kaplan, Deputy Director of the Mayor's Office of

Criminal Justice. Obviously the releases were by,

ah, via a number of different mechanisms. So some

people were released pretrial. That involved a

coordinated process with the district attorneys, the

defenders, ah, and the courts. For individuals who

enforcement agencies in the city.

they had discretion over could be informed by an

understanding of any health considerations that they

should particularly be aware of during this period.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

this is back to CHS, are there individuals that you are, ah, treating or seeing that in custody right now that you believe should not be in the jail setting at this time? Based on either a medical issue or based on underlying conditions.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Ah, yes, I think there always are. I think, I think the, the jail is, ah, is not a healthy environment and particularly in the face of a pandemic such as what we're facing.

Um, what, what we do, continue to do as we did before the, the pandemic is to look for our most vulnerable and work to get them, get them compassionate release. We of course can't speak to the issues of levels of charges and, and those other factors that, that come into consideration on whether somebody is released or not. Um, but we continue to work with everybody involved, um, to identify our patients who are most vulnerable due to, um, a severe course of disease.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And there are, um, are there categories, for instance, is there an age group category, a medical condition category, or other that you see having not been taken out of the jail setting and either put into another healthcare

2.2

2 setting or been released and sent home and, and can
3 you identify which ones those are?

are broad clinical categories that haven't been,
haven't been looked at and, and efforts been made.

It, it gets really down to the individual patient,
um, and, and what his or her clinical condition is,
what the charges are, um, other circumstances that,
that come into play, um, and I think that Dana was
talking about some of them.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. And, and, but, but theoretically there is a, there could be an individual [inaudible] in practice there could be a individual where you identify because of their underlying condition, this person should be going home or going somewhere else, not being in this setting where either they are contagious or somebody else, or they have a, their own condition that could put them at risk. And, but there would be other, clearly other factors here, um, that would lead to them not being able to be released. Is that fair to say?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Ah, yeah it is fair to say, um, but it, again, I just, I just want to say

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2 | that, that our work around getting people

3 compassionately released from jail, um, it, it, it

4 precedes COVID, it, it is part of our whole, you

5 know, since, since we came over to Health and

6 Hospitals and really, really picked this up. Um, our

7 | geriatric [inaudible] care service is very much part

8 of that, our court advocacy and liaison program, our

9 discharge planning and reentry support, um, this is,

10 you know, COVID just gave more strength and, and

11 | impetus to, to the rapidity and, and the volume of

12 people who could be considered, um, because of the

13 cognizance of, of the part of the rest of the

14 criminal justice system of what, what risks were

15 | engendered by remaining in jail during this time.

16 Um, I think it made everybody more aware of, of each,

17 | the impact of each of their decisions at each point

18 \parallel of the, of the process. We have not stopped. We did

19 \parallel not start with COVID. We did not stop with COVID.

20 We accelerated through COVID. We continue to this

21 | day, every day, to identify people who we work for

22 release.

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, thank you for that. Thanks for that answer. Um, we're gonna, I'm gonna ask a lot of questions here that are both for

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

DOC and for CHS, perhaps MOCJ maybe will have to answer some. But, um, these, we're just gonna ask for some data here, some information. I'm gonna try to do this in, ah, short order so that I can hand it over to Council Member Lancman and I have a number of colleagues who I know are trying to get questions. I want to be respectful of their time. So just if you can, if you can, ah, give us that, you know, intel information in, ah, in a concise manner. Um, for starters, in total what is the cumulative number of people in custody who have been tested for COVID-19?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Ah, the numbers? So I gave you the testing numbers, um, the number of tests that we did in my, in, ah, that was 1270, right, 1270. Um, like 37 were positive. Those are tests. Um, as of that same date of May 15, 1154 people, um, tested of which 545 were positive.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So that sounds like you've tested people more than, some people you've tested more than once, is that, is that right?

COMMISSIONER BRANN:

And, and again, in some of our testing strategy we have done repeated sweeps, um, and, and again our strategies continue to change as we understand better

Sometimes, yes.

COMMITTE	EΕ	ON	CRIMINAI	_	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	W]	ТН	JUSTICE	S	YSTEM

2 the, both the technology and the yield of the, the

3 laboratory work, as well as the understanding of the

4 virus.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

5 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Are you testing 6 every new admission that enters DOC custody?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We are now.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: You are now, OK.

And then so how many tests on average per day are you, is CHS giving?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Ah, so we only started, recently started, um, at the end of April on testing universally all new admissions, um, sorry, what was the question? How many tests?

UNIDENTIFIED: How many tests per day? So I'm just gonna jump in.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED: So the tests that we've actually done per day have been very variable during the course of this epidemic. Ah, many of the tests that we do are driven by symptoms of COVID, which in turn is driven by the prevalence of the disease and the epidemic curve, and it's important to point out that the epidemic curve has declined very dramatically. So, for example, there were times,

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
у.ТПТИТОТ.	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

days, when we saw over 30 fevers in the system recorded on a given day and most of those would represent new cases of COVID. Ah, today we're back down to our baseline level of fevers, which is closer to zero to five a day. So the number of tests has, has changed and we're trying to be as strategic as possible about which populations we, we test and to go where the most risk is, which right now is in the new admission population.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. So let's, you, you touched on this, but what is a specific criteria used to determine between both staff and an incarcerated person about who gets tested?

UNIDENTIFIED: So symptomatic criteria have been present throughout, um, and those has shifted as the guidance from CDC and DOHMH has shifted, ah, as well as, as Dr. Yang mentioned, targeted strategies towards, ah, asymptomatic screening.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So symptomatic individuals, but new admissions, too, right? So we have symptomatic individuals, new admissions getting tested, and then is there any other categories beyond

2.2

2.3

2 that? You said somebody asymptomatic, but what and 3 when an asymptomatic person gets tested?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, so again, for vulnerable populations during the course of, ah, of the epidemic that we saw, ah, there were different points in time when we implemented those strategies.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK.

UNIDENTIFIED: I should just point, if, if
I may, um, also that, that, ah, COVID hit, hit the
jails before testing was actually even available, so
we were working without that, that
technological advance assistance. I mean, the minute
laboratory testing was available we, we began to use
it. Um, but, you know, we, Dr. MacDonald and the
team were, were ahead of this, ah, [inaudible]
everybody's looking at, at what data and tools we did
have, which includes monitoring fever and symptoms,
clearly by, you know, 12 weeks later everybody's
understanding is greater in terms of what the, the
meaning of having a symptom or not a symptom, or what
a symptom is, um, continues to change.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Do you think you have enough tests today to let, to, ah, accommodate your testing needs?

COMMITTE	Œ	ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WI	ТН	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

2 UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, we do.

3 UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Do you have,

OK, thank you. Are you doing temperature screening,

even absent tests?

UNIDENTIFIED: We, we screen, um, for symptoms, yes, among people who are obviously asymptomatic and exposed, definitely with DOC. Um, I think the Commissioner mentioned this, um, together launched a, a program to screen. Ah, we ask our own staffs to screen themselves. Um, but we also screen people before they come into the facilities, DOC does and with us.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, so if I, if I have a staff showing up to work I'm getting my temperature taken and my symptoms evaluated, um, is it a verbal examination and then I'm also getting my temperature taken? Is that, is that correct?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, I don't know if the Commissioner wants to say anything, but yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, for, for uniform staff, for instance, if they get, ah, if they have symptoms and they go quarantine, what is the criteria by which when they return for, to work and

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 what is the, do they get a follow-up test given to

3 them to determine whether they still have it? What

4 | happens, what, what is the process for an, an

5 | individual who is a staff member who has symptoms, or

6 let's say test positively, what is the process by

7 | which they would return to work?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So I would, um, defer that question to FDC Villaloma, who oversees our health management division.

Hello, um, good afternoon, and thank you, thank you for the question. So if a staff member, ah, of any type, whether it be uniform or nonuniform, um, ah, had, is calling in sick related to COVID-19 they would call our health management division and, um, report sick, report their symptoms. We would track them via our sick codes. There are specific sick codes based on the symptoms that the staff member is, ah, exhibiting. At that time they would be told to stay home and self-quarantine pending them being able to, ah, either get a, a, um, test performed or for their symptoms to subside. Ah, the doctors at our health management division will, um, be guided by documentation from the employee's primary care

2 physician and, um, they would, um, provide a, the

3 results of their COVID test if they, ah, ah, were to,

4 um, receive one.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Well let's say they test positive. I test positive. I am, I say to you I'm not feeling well, I take a test, I come up positive, I'm an officer or staff member, I test positive. What happens now, I'm told, I assume I go home, I quarantine?

Right, so I can tell you, um, I tested positive and so, ah, my interactions and everyone else's interactions with HMD are that when you receive your positive test you notify our health management division. You are assigned to a doctor. That doctor tracks your symptoms. You, um, are in contact with that doctor, who tracks your, your progress until the point that you no longer, um, are exhibiting symptoms and you are cleared to return to work or receive some type of medical documentation from your, um, healthcare provider. Um, those with, ah, that is documented with HMD and you are given a return to duty date once you are, ah, free of symptoms for the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 52 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
established, ah, period of time, per medical
guidelines.
CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So I need a doctor
to clear me to go back to work?
FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALOMA: Ah,
so there have, not, not in case, right? Just because
there are certain doctors who, ah, we have come
across doctors who refuse to, um, provide a written
note, ah, because they're not physically, as we all
know during this time period, people are using, ah,
I'll go into the telehealth, so it's teledoc or it's
a virtual, so there have been a very limited, ah,
occasions where the staff member reports to, um, HMD
and so in those instances extra precautions have been
taken. But the
CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Is there a minimum
amount of days if I test positive I'm off duty?
FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALOMA: I
believe, um, the, that it's seven days from the
initial symptom or three days without any symptoms
is, are the medical guidelines.
CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I thought it was 10,

but, OK. Um, and then do I get a test? I don't get

a test at, I don't, CHS or otherwise, I don't get a

COMMITTE	SE ON	CRIMINAL	J JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

2 test to reconfirm my symptoms, or I mean reconfirm
3 whether I've cleared the test, cleared the?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALOMA: To my knowledge there is no requirement for a security test.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. And do those sick days, are, are sick days used? I assume there's an allotment of [inaudible] sick days an individual can have. Ah, does, does that count against their sick days if you are tested for COVID?

we're, I'll answer the question in two parts. The first part is uniform staff. Uniform staff, ah, to have what we like to call in general terms unlimited sick. So uniform staff, if they test positive or have their COVID case documented, documented at HMD those, ah, days will not count towards, ah, the, any potential sanctions or discipline related to, um, excessive use of sick leave, and if it's a non-uniform person who has called in to our health management division they will not be, ah, their sick time, which they accumulate, ah, will not be deducted, ah, based on having reported a COVID, um, illness.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. I just want to 3 make sure we are not asking somebody to go back to work when they, if they feel compelled to go back to 4 work based on their, um, ah, any policy the city has. 5 If they are presenting symptoms or feeling sick or 6 7 not cleared I, I think it's important that we do not, we're not having any policy that compels them to go 8 back to work.

absolutely not, and I can, and I can say that, if anything, our health management division is being extra cautious, um, because if we introduce someone who is still exhibiting the symptoms we only, ah, create the potential for more people the contract the disease, which is absolutely not what we want.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, this may be DHS. Can you tell us which, which COVID test you're, you're using right now?

UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible] testing is via our, ah, long-standing lab contractor, Bioreference.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, and are you doing antibody tests as well?

UNIDENTIFIED: We are starting those today, ah, yes.

UNIDENTIFIED: It's like 9% so far. But,

again, we've only begun the intakes.

25

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, it depends on exactly what period of time you look, but I, I think it's in the single digits or thereabouts.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Well that is a high number relative to comparatively, is, isn't that fair to say? Relative to the general population?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, that's correct, at this point it is.

UNIDENTIFIED: But again, you know, for, for the concentrated number we're assuming community transmission, right? Which is why we're focusing on new admissions. They are the people who are most likely to be, um, introducing the virus to, to the people who have been in, in custody for a while. and, and the fact that we're universally testing everybody who comes into the jail system is not something that's being done outside the City of New So, again, our more aggressive testing strategies, even from the beginning, make it very hard to make comparisons with the rest of the City of New York. Um, the rest of the city, it's, it's not as aggressive testing, it's not universal by any means. It's not available across the board. It's not, you know, this is not being offered to every,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. How many people have, OK, you gave us that number. How many people in custody are currently on ventilators?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: None that I know of. I don't know. We, we have to check.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, will it be, that number didn't, no, sorry, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yeah, we need to check with our, our sister, um, hospital, hospitals into whose care we transfer people.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We, we would like to know maybe, um, since the beginning of the pandemic how many folks have been put on ventilators, if that's available. My last question, and then I, I'll hand it over. Um, just in terms of how, I'm gonna come back, but just for now, um, just, just walk us through what happens, and this is for DOC, but when someone is tested positive, ah, after having already been in the general population in terms of housing and testing, where are they sent, how long are they quarantined for, do they go back to their original housing, if so how long after they're separated? Can you just walk us through what happens if, um, I am

COMMITTE	ΞE	ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	W]	ТН	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

in, if I was in general population and I tested

positive, what would happen in terms of my housing?

UNIDENTIFIED: So I will start for DOC.

Ah, I just want you to know that we have a conversation with DHS when that occurs and then I'll let Chief Jennings speak to how housing decisions are made.

CHIEF JENNINGS: Hi, good afternoon, how are you?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Hi there.

CHIEF JENNINGS: Um, good. Um, I would first like to thank you for the moment of silence for all of the staff, ah, and persons in custody which we lost, because I think for all of us who are not infected we have all been affected by [inaudible]. Um, and so, ah, I think at the onset there was a lot of collaboration with DHS and to talk about how best to house. So we worked with them and, um, we partnered up with MOCJ and also NYPD whereas there were two community courts that were opened up to actually have all persons coming through, ah, be arraigned via video conferencing at one in Red Hook and one in, um, Manhattan, um, and all of those persons who were arraigned there and screened they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

were either brought right into, ah, our web facility of CDU unit for the facility EMTC in which we opened up to house, ah, all persons, males, coming into custody that were either suspected or positive with the COVID. And so for all the admissions that were asymptomatic, ah, MDC became the facility in which we would house all those persons there, um, and so they were there for approximately 14 days until they were cleared by, ah, CHS and then they were properly housed. And so for anyone who were already in our system, um, in general population or any other housing, once, ah, CHS made the notification, ah, we went out and we actually went to the housing areas to make sure that, ah, the persons in custody as well as staff were made aware as to what was going on and we issued personal protective masks, and that person was either transferred to EMTC or West Facility and then the house would be placed on quarantine, um, and they were notified as to what the protocols would be. that's how the housing plan came about.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. So the answer to that is if an individual, ah, just a short, cutting this a little bit, if an individual was tested and positive EMTC and West Facilities became

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 61 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	the places where those individuals would go, and then
3	their housing unit would be quarantined, and today
4	MDC is being used for all new admissions. Is that
5	correct?
6	CHIEF JENNINGS: Asymptomatic.
7	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Asymptomatic. If
8	you're symptomatic and a new admission you go where,
9	to EMTC and?
10	CHIEF JENNINGS: You go directly to EMTC
11	or West Facility.
12	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Right, OK. So the
13	Manhattan Detention, how many people are in MDC
14	today?
15	CHIEF JENNINGS: Ah, we have [inaudible]
16	just, right around 500, 504 [inaudible].
17	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: 504 are the new
18	admissions, ah, since you've started doing that that
19	are asymptomatic in the Manhattan Detention?
20	CHIEF JENNINGS: Correct, yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK.
22	CHIEF JENNINGS: That is correct. Um,
23	I'm gonna, I'm gonna, um, hand it over to Council

Member Lancman, but [inaudible] to, ah, a question.

I, I just want to touch on one topic which came $\ensuremath{\text{up}}$

24

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 before, I have one more question, so I apologize.

3 Um, you know, the mayor had a few weeks ago, you

4 know, commented on the 24-hour tours, calling them a

5 | mistake. While I, I don't think anybody here is

6 going to defend that policy, I, I would like to know

7 | if there have been changes to, directives that,

8 changes or directives that were put in place to

9 ensure that no individual staff member is being asked

10 to work 24 hours in the middle of the pandemic. Can

you share with us what changes have been made?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, um, at the height of the pandemic we had a, ah, staff out sick rate of approximately 34%. Unfortunately there, there were many staff who were working, um, double tours because, um, we did not consolidate housing units as would have been not only fiscally prudent, but also, um, increasing our ability to, ah, put staff where they needed to be. We kept those housing units open according to our housing strategy to minimize the impact of the virus transmission. And so unfortunately there were sometimes, very few, where people went into a third tour. That was, um,

uncovered, it was discovered, and it has been

remediated, and since it was brought to light there

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
JOTNTLY	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

that not ever happening again.

2.2

2.3

have been no recurrences of anyone going into a

triple tour. That has been eliminated. And, um, I,

Will agree there's no, there's no one in this room,

there's no one in this agency who will defend that,

um, and, and we are committed to moving forward with

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Um, I mean, it feels unconscionable in the middle of a pandemic to ask somebody to work three tours, even if, even a second tour feels difficult, but I understand that's practiced, but a third tour feels, ah, unconscionable to, to me. But I, I do appreciate that, that being changed and uncovered. Um, I'll hand it over to Council Member Lancman for questions and then we'll go to members.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Good afternoon, everyone. Um, Commissioner, I want to understand the, um, the testing and, and the cumulative, ah, positive, ah, numbers. So if, if I, if I heard correctly it's 1270 tests have been administered to, um, detainees and 537 of those came back positive. That's, that's the cumulative number. Do I have that right?

1

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Whoever can answer,

UNIDENTIFIED: Correct, so as of May 15,

So that's a 42%

3

that's fine.

4

1270 tests, 537 were positive.

5

6

7 positive rate. That's an extraordinary number. But

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN:

8

before we get into that can somebody tell me, either

the Commissioner or CHS why it took weeks and weeks

10

to get us that information? I'm concerned whether or

11

not you don't have systems in place, know how many,

12

ah, people in your custody have tested positive for

13

COVID-19, or was it some effort to, um, ah, conceal

14

from the council and the public the, ah, number of

15 16 people who tested positive, because it would call

17

into question, um, how good a job the, the department was doing, ah, in protecting people? Can you tell me

18

why it took so long to get that simple number?

19

UNIDENTIFIED: Ah, we, we, it's a

20

Correctional Health number. Um, we have systems.

21

are highly data-driven. Um, we track, you know, even

2.2

before there was laboratory testing available we used

2.3

every tool and, and all our data systems to identify

24

people who were at risk or were sick and needed

25

[inaudible] and shielded from COVID. It is not for a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

lack of data systems. We report daily to the State
Commission of Correction and report daily to the New
York City Board of Correction. The New York City
Board of Correction, we really appreciate their
service to us by posting our data for us, um, so that
the public can see that. Um, there has been concern
and we have said that, we have said to the New York
City Board of Correction and to the State Commission
on Correction, um, with, with, with caution about how
our numbers are interpreted. Again, the more you
test the more you will find. We have an aggressive
testing, 4.3 times more aggressive than the City of
New York. Um, and, and, and there was concern about

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well, I, I don't want to speak for the Board. They're going to have an opportunity to, to testify.

the comparative prevalence that people were going to

draw conclusions. But that didn't stop us from

reporting daily data to the Board and to the

Commission, and the Board posted it for us.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: But I don't think

I'm out of bounds in saying that they, too, were very

frustrated in not being able to get the cumulative

2.2

2.3

data that, um, we were seeking as well. So I, I, not to belabor the point and I don't want to spend more time litigating why it is that we couldn't get data that we wanted, but you can assure me that you do in fact know how many people have been tested and how many people have tested positive and, and you, you've

had the ability to know that for some time?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: It's part of our patient management. It may not be in a format that, that suits everybody, but it, it allows us to manage the, the clinical care that we provide.

about the testing, and I apologize if I'm, if I'm going over some things that, that, ah, Council Member Powers may have asked in a, in a different, better way. Um, the, the current test that you're giving to new admissions, how long does it take to get a result?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: About 24 hours.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: About 24 hours.

And, and can you tell me what's your understand of, of how long a person, um, ah, might take from the time that they've been exposed to COVID-19 to

2.2

2.3

2 actually develop enough of, ah, the virus to test
3 positive, ah, for it?

UNIDENTIFIED: Ah, you know, the details of viral transmission are changing quickly and our understand thereof. Ah, typically symptom onset can occur within, within a range of 14 days, most commonly around five days. Ah, the time to onset of PCR positivity would be less than that five-day window in general, ah, usually two to three days, um, based on the latest data that I've seen.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And during those two to three days if for, for, if, let's say I, I was someone who was in the three-day category, I'm exposed to it and it's not until day three that I have enough of the virus that a test would, would test me as positive. During those three days am I, um, um, capable of infecting other people with the virus?

UNIDENTIFIED: During the days prior to test positivity after a point of exposure, is that what you're asking?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: [inaudible].

UNIDENTIFIED: No, no, that's not our understanding.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: OK. All right.

2.2

So, um, you test everyone who's coming in, you get the test results, um, back in, in, in 24 hours, um, what is the, um, understanding of the, the accuracy of, of that test?

UNIDENTIFIED: The, the PCR-based testing has fairly good test characteristics. As you know, no test used in medicine is perfect. Ah, but in general we quote a sensitivity of approximately 90%. Ah, there are various estimates in the literature, ah, but that's generally what we consider in our minds.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: So, um, someone comes in, they're newly admitted, they will get tested. If they have, um, COVID positivity, I think that's the term you, you used, um, you will know within 24 hours, ah, in, in about 90% of the cases if someone, um, has been exposed and they are going to develop, ah, COVID positivity, um, but, but they're in that one-, two-, tentatively three-day window of the test, that test is gonna come back negative. Am I getting that right?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, I mean, you're raising an important limitation of PCR-based testing, that

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

it's really only a point in time, and so testing is a very important part of the strategy, but it can't be the entirety of a strategy, ah, because we know that, ah, it only gives you information about that moment.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Excellent. and, um, although I'm not a doctor or a medical professional, um, I, I did have a very productive conversation with someone from the Department of Health who explained all that to me, and so that Chairman Powers and I, um, arrived at the, the conclusion that the only way to truly ensure that somebody who is newly admitted to Riker's is not going to potentially infect other people at Riker's is to quarantine, segregate, isolate each new admission for at least a period of 10 days. I know that there's a 14-day potential incubation period. I'm advised by the Department of Health that that's really the outer limits of, of science, practically a [inaudible] incubation period. And so can you tell me are you, um, isolating, segregating, quarantining new admissions for 10 full days, irrespective of whether or not their test comes back positive or negative, because you observed that test is only a moment in time. Some percentage, some percentage of

2.2

2.3

2 individuals who test negative in fact will have the 3 ability, the capacity to infect other, ah, inmates

and staff, ah, for potentially up to ten days.

UNIDENTIFIED: So thank you, that's, that's a great, great question or, or, or, thank you.

Um, I think Chief of Department Jennings, um, and, and/or Commissioner Brann mentioned this, ah, working in concert together, the two agencies have created new admission housing where people who, whose test results are pending they all, everybody is, is housed together, in fact quarantined together.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well that's fine

for the people whose test results are, are pending. So for a period of 24 hours they are, they are segregated. But as we just went through, um, unless I'm getting something wrong, some percentage of new admissions will test negative even though they are positive, either because their, um, ah, COVID positivity, ah, time period has not, has not, ah, expired or because approximately 10% of those, ah, negative test results are just flat-out wrong. So why are we exposing the rest of the population at Riker's Island and the rest of the population at whatever units these individuals are sent to after

2.2

2.3

2 their 24-hour quarantine period, rather than fully 3 quarantining and isolating them for the full 10 days?

UNIDENTIFIED: So I just, I just want to clarify that we are, we're not using that test to release them from that new admission housing. So we do, we do keep them together for longer than that.

Of course, each individual situation has to be handled on a case-by-case basis, so there are other considerations, whether they're clinical considerations such as comorbid mental illness, ah, that have to be taken into account, ah, but the test is not used as the sole factor in, ah, moving someone to general population.

me understand, let's understand, let me understand, when you say new admission housing, those are all the people who are newly admitted after that housing was, was, was set up, if I'm not mistaken, and, and more to the point that housing includes people who themselves have passed through ten days since their admission, have shown no symptoms, and who are, ah, virtually certainly not, ah, COVID-19 positive. So those people are vulnerable to being, um, exposed by someone who has been admitted, whose COVID positivity

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: So, OK, I wanted to clarify that. So what we are doing is, um, all of

that what I was asking [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: You asked me if

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the housing units at the Manhattan Detention Center are adult housing units. So everyone who lives there has a single-occupancy cell. Um, when people come in new admission, the new admission, ah, designation, and there is designated new admission housing for an extended period of time, beyond the one to three days that you've been talking about. So those folks will live in a new admission housing pending testing and including post, the, the outcome of their testing, which is what, ah, Dr. MacDonald was referring to. As a general rule we have been limiting the rehousing or the movement of anyone in our custody unless necessary for clinical reasons or for safety and security reasons throughout this pandemic. And so those who are living at MDC, when they come in as a new admission house, into a new admission house with others who are newly admitted they stay there for an extended period of time. In fact, we have opened up additional new admission housing at MDC, including up to, as of today, because we are opening up new houses as opposed to continuing to our, what would be our prior operational practice, which is to have people in new admission housing for just a handful of days and then move them in 72 hours and then move them to

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a different house. So we have modified all of our operations with the principle of containment, and so limiting the movement and the exposure of additional people to, to the new people in custody has definitely guided our practices with respect to

housing, operations, and guidance from a clinical,

ah, professional [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: So, so here's, here's my, my concern and my question. I'm newly admitted to Riker's. I take my tests, I'm negative. Ten days pass, 14 days pass, no symptoms. have COVID-19. I am still in that new admission unit and another guy is coming in, and he may have COVID-He may expose me to COVID-19 because the test that you're giving him 10% of the time it's gonna give a false negative and some percentage of people coming in, some percentage of the guys coming in behind me are gonna not be COVID-positive or have COVID positivity at the time that their test is taken. So I don't want to make up a statistic, whether it's 10%, 15%, I don't know what the right number is. But certainly some percentage of people who are coming in after 14, 15, 20 days after I came in are going to be in a position to infect me,

admission housing unit resident.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because even though I'm a new admission relative to, to, to the general population, I am still stuck with potentially COVID-19-positive people because those people are not being isolated from the 10, 14 days or really it will take 10 days that would certainly definitively determine that they're not able to infect anyone else, including their fellow new

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: Yeah, I think the, I think the challenge with your hypothetical, um, sir, is that, as Dr. MacDonald identified, um, it presumes, ah, as Dr. MacDonald identified, was not the proper approach. Ah, your hypothetical presumes that testing is our only strategy. And so in addition to, um, testing as a strategy to identify and, um, minimize and contain, ah, exposure in [inaudible], you know, we obviously have robust, um, sanitation protocols, we have, ah, PPE distribution for both people in custody and our staff. We have, um, other housing strategies, including the identification of individuals, um, you know, who's lived in the house who are in fact asymptomatic, um, but have been exposed, and then we have additional, ah, operations with respect to the limitations, ah,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

disease.

for those housing designations that are guided by, ah, and directed by CHS and only listed, um, at the direction of CHS. And so it's a multilayered, um, complex approach, ah, which testing is, is obviously, as Dr. Yang has described, robust and important, but, um, but not our singular approach and, and I'm not gonna, I'm not gonna sit here and tell you that it is perfect, because obviously, um, you know, absent, you know, absolute isolation of every person from every person forever, um, you know, there's always a risk of, ah, introduction, ah, you know, of a person in custody or a staff member or, or across each other,

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Um, let me just ask two more questions or categories of questions, but, but briefly. Um, what, ah, um, accommodations, mechanisms have been put in place for, ah, ah, detainees to be able to communicate easily with their, with their counsel?

um, to, to a very, very, um, virulent, deadly

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: I'll ask, um, Chief Jennings to respond to that.

CHIEF JENNINGS: Yes, so, um, one of the things that we've done is that, ah, we have added,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ah, additional, ah, units to each facility to be able to allow persons in custody to, ah, do, visit, ah, via Skype for their attorneys and/or the courts. And currently today we have approximately 55 units

6 totally, ah, operational.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And, and...

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: And we have, and we have, ah, ah, access to the telephones, um, in addition to the fact that, ah, personal calls in the department have been free. Ah, the calls to attorneys are, are exempted, um, from any phone, ah, limits and they can call their attorney as frequently as they like.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And those rooms are, are, are private and, and confidential and, and, ah, someone can speak, ah, candidly to their, their attorney without fear of being overheard?

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: Yes, they are.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: My last question.

Um, since you mentioned phone I was gonna just pick one example from the Board of Corrections, ah, audit and review that found, um, the problems with, with the actual implementation of a number of these safety mechanisms, um, but one, for example, ah, found that

2 across 45 instances of phone use the phone was

3 cleaned before use only three times. Um, and, and it

4 was cleaned apparently, ah, with a wipe, ah, of a

5 | cloth or, or a sponge. Um, listen, it's a big

6 operation, um, thousands of people in a confined

7 environment. Um, but what, Commissioner, I want to

8 | ask you, you personally 'cause, you know, we haven't

9 seen a lot of you, um, and you know what, if the

10 | job's getting done I, I don't need you to be out

11 | there and, ah, front and center necessarily. Um, but

12 can you tell what are you doing, you personally, to

13 | make sure that these operational changes that reach

14 | you at the level of a, of a conference room and

15 | a, or a memo, that they are actually happening? How

16 you are able to, to, in a hands-on leader way able to

17 make sure that, that these, these operational changes

18 | are happening?

25

19 COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, um, I personally

20 | tour the jails. So I'm, I'm not sure what you mean

21 | that you haven't seen me out there, that could be in

22 | front of the press, but I've been busy in the jails

23 and I tour with my warden and I tour with my deputy

24 \parallel commissioner, and I review audit protocols, I talk

with staff, I've talked with people in our custody to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

make sure that everyone is aware of, um, how to protect themselves as much as possible against the spread of this disease. I find that when I do talk to folks that, um, that they're not, they're not concerned that they don't have things available to them, ah, some of the time they just, they forget to use it. So I have seen, um, the Virex and the sponges, the buckets of that available to people in custody near the phones, so people choose not to use Some people choose to use a sock to cover a them. phone or to use a T-shirt, and it's, and that's not abnormal in a, in a correctional facility. That was occurring even before this pandemic, um, came through our jails. Um, with regard to the BOC audit that you mentioned, that was, um, 12 days in mid April and we have, um, significantly increased our, our audit response and our, um, processes. And so as people become more aware of how they can protect themselves they're using it better. Um, I frequently see, ah, people in custody not wearing their masks and I talk to them about wearing their masks. They have them. They're around their chins, but they're not wearing them. And so a lot of it is reinforced education by staff who are working every day and by when our

everyone in the housing units.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

leaders are walking through the facility to make sure
people know what they have available to them and use
it to their advantage. If you would like, um,
additional information I'll have Deputy Commissioner
Feeney talk about the audits and what's available to

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: No, I, I, no thank I just want, and I'll close with this. Um, ah, you know, you have one of the hardest jobs in, in government. Um, a thankless job, ah, the situation in Riker's is so, so fundamentally and inherently bad that we're, we're shutting it down and building new jails. Um, and, and I, you know, I just want to have a level of confidence. I've been reached out by constituents, family members of the incarcerated, many correction officers, you know, they want to know that you're out there, that you're leading. Um, and it's true, we haven't seen you, as you put it, out in front in the press and, and, and I don't, I'm not saying you need to be. Um, I just want to know that, that, you know, you're handling this, that you're, that you're, you're leading this effort in as much as a hands-on way, um, as, as possible. So, um, so I appreciate your answer and I

JOINILY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

2 hope we can all, you know, get through this together.

3 Ah, that's all I have, Keith, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I think we're going to move now on to council member questions. Thank you for everybody's patience with, ah, with us. We are long-winded collectively, but, I know I am at least, but, um, thank you, guys. We're gonna move on to questions and Alana will take it the order that [inaudible].

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now call on council members in the order that they have used the Zoom raise hand function. If you would like to ask a question and you have not yet used the Zoom raise hand function please raise it now.

Council members, please keep your questions to three minutes. The Sergeant at Arms will keep a timer, and I will let you know when your time is up. You should begin once I have called on you and the sergeant has announced that you may begin before delivering your testimony. First we'll hear from Council Member Rivera, followed by Council Member Holden. Council

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: OK, thank you.

Thank you so much, ah, for allowing me these questions. Thank you all for being here and for your service and, um, it's never easy to lose colleagues, to lose people, New Yorkers, um, so I want to just thank the chairman for allowing a moment of silence as well. Um, I, I only have a couple minutes for questions so I'll keep them very brief. I want to start with you, ah, Dr. MacDonald. Um, I thought you made a very [inaudible] and courageous statement with Twitter. You said you cannot change the fundamental nature of jail. We cannot socially distance dozens of elderly men living a dorm and you urged district attorneys and courts to let out as many people as you possibly can, and I quote, "Do you think that there are still additional individuals that could be released in order to, ah, keep more ah, I would say members of the DOC and of course people who are detained and incarcerated safe?"

DR. MACDONALD: So I see as a doctor the medical side of that equation, ah, and what I saw the city do, um, with many different partners was, ah, respond to the urgency of the situation. Um, and I, I think that, as Dr. Yang mentioned, we are certain

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2 that we saved many lives through the variety of

3 efforts and, ah, depopulation of the jail,

4 decarceration was a critical effort, probably the

5 most important one. Ah, so I feel very good about

6 how the city responded to the, to that particular

7 question.

2.2

I understand that maybe we've asked you a, a couple times in different ways, but I just feel at this point our executive leadership has kind of failed in that way in terms of being able to release people with kind of a click of a pen. But I will move on to, ah, some of staff there. So the board has found relatively low rates of people in custody with PPE and I just want to make sure that CHS's, ah, what efforts you've made to provide public health education to people in custody, to encourage mask use and social distancing, and what else you've done for those detained or incarcerated, ah, to stay safe in terms of PPE?

UNIDENTIFIED: I mean, it's, it's part of our, our, um, patient education and information.

Again, it's, it's, we have, you know, touch points throughout the criminal justice process from

2.2

2.3

prearraignment on intake, ah, at every clinical encounter, including telephonic, which is a new thing under, under COVID that we did, um, and on discharge.

about telehealth in one second. Um, I just want to give you two questions, since I have to ask everything in the three minutes. But, um, I want to ask how many currently in custody have recovered from COVID-19. The number currently in custody who have tested positive includes recovered patients, but we don't know how many. And then in terms of

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Time expired.

finish my thought, Chairman. Ah, we've heard complaints about the telehealth number often ringing with no answer and we've heard this complaint with regards to both 311 calls and calls to sick call. There's also the nighttime shift and being responsive. So can you talk a little bit about the telehealth and what CHS staff is providing and when they're expected to answer the telehealth line? And if you could just give me the, the custody, ah, the numbers of people in custody who have recovered I

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 would greatly appreciate it and thank you both for

3 being so gracious with your time.

UNIDENTIFIED: Right, um, I will get back to you on the numbers of people, um, who have recovered, ah, do some, some calculations. Um, the, the two lines that we stood up specifically related to COVID was, um, ah, a telephone line and this is again the first time that people who can call us directly from their housing units. Um, that, that is unprecedented and that has only been possible through the partnership with DOC. Um, we have a line for people who are asymptomatic but have known exposures to COVID to call us, um, to report symptoms that they may have, the concerns that they may have. established a mental health line for people who are either symptomatic or confirmed to have COVID to talk with any of our staff, our, our mental health staff about some of their concerns or anxieties about the disease or, or what it means to them. Um, those, those operate, um, the mental health line operates from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and asymptomatic reporting, um, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Um, we also, um, have made, made known the [inaudible], ah, line for people, um, who have been victims of, of,

2.2

2.3

2 ah, sexual assault. And that runs from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Well, thank you. That's all time I have. Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have Council Member Holden.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you,

Chairs, and, ah, thank you all. Nice to see you

again virtually, it's not the, it's not the greatest

but, ah, it's all we have., ah, I guess this

question is for MOCJ. Um, for inmates involved with

the early release, how many have no home to go to?

In other words, what percentage of those who were

granted early releases were ultimately sent to the

homeless shelter system?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPLAN: Hi, so we, ah, I don't offhand have the number of individuals who were homeless who were granted, ah, the discharge into the 6-A program. But what I can say is that we provided hotel rooms, ah, for that population. So we have hotel rooms that are available now for anyone who is being released from Riker's Island and doesn't have a place to go, where they can safely quarantine. We

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have hotel rooms that are available both for people who are identified as having, ah, coronavirus, where they can be in one of the specific designated isolation sites, and then we also have hotel rooms available for people who are, ah, asymptomatic or who have not been identified as having COVID-19, where they can go and have an individual room. And so actually one of the, this program was started, ah, around the exact same time as we began the 6-A program so that any individual who was identified as being a city-sentenced individual who was being discharged into the 6-A program would be able to be placed in a hotel and we have reentry providers on site as well as those same linkages to the, ah, medical services that CHS was describing in terms of the follow-up care.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: That's, that's good to know. So nobody was released into the congregate shelter system. Because that, that would be like stepping backwards actually.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPLAN: Yes, so we have hotel rooms that are available for everyone. I will say that when we have, when it has been made aware to us that someone was released and did not have a place

2.2

2.3

to go after the fact we made sure that we were able

to make a referral point back. So, ah, the mechanism

is in place for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you so much for that. Um, I guess this is for the Department of Correction. Um, with the proposed borough-based vertical jail model do you see a potential problem now with the, you know, coronavirus spread, um, that there might be some issues as apposed to, um, ah, let's say a rebuilt Riker's, would you have more indoor and outdoor space?

right now to make a judgment call on, on the inside of the building design because none of that has been decided. But I think what we will be doing is using what we've learned in our housing strategy and how we've contained this virus so far into those discussions...

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Ah, Chairs, can I just ask one quick question, um, I, um, I believe that the, ah, correction officers got the masks

2.2

2.3

2 around April 3. Um, why did the, um, why did COBA
3 have to sue to get the PPEs?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So they did not have to sue to get the PPEs. We were delivering, um, masks in early March. I believe it was March 11, before it was even, um, recommended, and, um, I can't speak for why COBA felt the need to go to court, but we were already distributing PPEs prior to that court action.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: OK. Thank you, Chairs. Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Council Member Ampry-Samuel, followed by Council Member Louis.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Hi,
everyone. I don't want to waste anyone's time. Um,
usually by like two hours in we've, um, you know, had
the opportunity to hear from the different agencies
and so I asked, my questions are all related to our
juvenile detention centers and focused, um, directly
to ACS, and so, um, I guess I'll have to hold until
we hear from them, um, and I'll do the.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we will hear from Council Member Louis.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Good afternoon everyone. I want to thank Chairs, um, Powers and Lancman for, um, organizing this call. So I have two quick questions. Ah, the first question is for Angel. On April 9 I reached out to the Department of Correction. I got a lot of phone calls and emails from corrections officers, um, that tested positive for COVID and required to go back to work. They even emailed me their, um, test results. So I want to know when did HMD implement the tracking for uniform and un-uniform staffers that tested positive. And the second, let me just be quick, because I know the time is limited. The second question is for DOC, so it was mentioned that tablets were made available to those that were incarcerated and 55 units were designated, um, for rooms for Skype and for home, home purposes. But I wanted to know how often, um, will the, ah, incarcerated population be able to provide, be able to, um, have visits, video visits with their family members, um, that wasn't answered in some of the, ah, questions that the chairs

mentioned, and what's the plan moving forward? Do

you, are you gonna have, expand capacity, is it gonna

be more than 55 units? What does the technological,

5 um, aspect of this look like moving forward? Thank

6 you.

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALOMA: I will, I'll answer the first question, this is related to HMD. We began tracking both uniform and nonuniform staff at HMD at the very beginning of March. I believe it was around the 6th of March, but I could get you the exact date.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: So what happened with those officers as of April 9 that were still required to go back to work even though they tested positive?

um, without speaking to the specific situations of the individuals that reached out to you, I can say that, as I've previously mentioned, every one our uniform and nonuniform staff, um, whether they test positive or not are assigned to a doctor, who tracks their progress and they are, um, cleared to return to work when they are no longer symptomatic. Ah, and that is in consultation with their doctor based on

2 | the documentation that that employee provides. So,

3 um, if you have specific names and, um, that you

4 | would like, um, information on we can, um, um, speak

5 about those, um, separately. But our policy is, as,

6 um, I just articulated to you and to, ah, previously

7 Chair Powers.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you, and to the Department of Correction regarding capacity for video conferencing for participation, ah, with family members and incarcerated?

UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible] um, we have installed, ah, approximately 74 kiosks, ah, department-wide, and we've done over, ah, 3400 actual visits, which are available [inaudible] duration.

Um, one of the things that, um, we looked at and prior to this pandemic we had always looked at of ways of increasing our visitation and I think that our plan all along to do additional, ah, kiosks in the housing areas, which we're looking to expand that and even when we go back to, ah, what normality will look like where we have in-person visitation is something that I would like to keep and also encourage, because, um, you know, we're looking to install additional kiosks in the housing areas so

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that people in custody can communicate with their families, because one of the things that we know is that, um, having that communication really creates a more positive outcome. Um, we had the liberty of going away to Norway to look at, ah, the way in which they are currently doing their processes and when we talk about the borough-based facilities that one of the things we would like to see is that for persons to all have tablets or kiosks in their living area so that if it's in your cell they will have the capability of doing, ah, both video visitation as well as, ah, texting, ah, to the family members, where they have capabilities of doing short videos or different, you know, like, ah, ah, activities that's happening so that they're able to participate in those, um, as well as doing, um, ah, actual health, telehealth visits, you know, um, social services, [inaudible] to be able to do those things from a tablet. So we're definitely looking, um, at the hose opportunities to include these services to people and it's something or vision that I've had all along, so we're just now taking advantage of that, um, and also to do the attorney visits, um, so that they will be able to have more contact, ah, with their attorneys

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 94 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	and, um, we've also been able to court arraignment,
3	um, via the kiosk. So it's something, I think that
4	we need to take an opportunity of this technology
5	moving forward so that we will change the way in
6	which we do business.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: I look forward to
8	seeing more on that, thank you.
9	UNIDENTIFIED: You're welcome.
10	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, we're gonna keep
11	moving on, um, and we're gonna [inaudible] I think
12	Council Member Ampry-Samuel had questions that we're
13	going to come back to with ACS on, so they'll go
14	next. I'm sorry about that.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we
16	have Council Member Lander.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Ah, sorry.
18	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Clock starts now.
18	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Clock starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Um...

Thank you for being here, and thank you, Chairs, for

convening this hearing. Um, I just want to associate

myself with the, um, taking our time to think about

and thank and mourn those that we've lost in, in all

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Clock starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Good afternoon.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

25

2 | your agencies. And, I also really do, to

3 Correctional Health Services especially, both Ross,

4 to you, ah, but also Dr. Rachel Bedard, who was one

5 | of the people who really rang the alarm bell earliest

6 and loudest. For me, pushing me not only to seek out

7 | about, ah, the releases that we need to do and the

8 changes that needed to make to do as best as

9 possible, but even to get shut down earlier, ah, out

10 of a real commitment to saving the lives of patients.

11 | So, ah, I'm grateful for Correctional Health Services

12 as well as the other agencies, ah, all of you at, at

13 | this time. And I quess I'll say, you know, I wish we

14 were going, ah, further in all of these ways, having

15 | read that Board of Correction report on the continued

16 | social distancing violations, wanting to think about

17 | who's there that doesn't need to be. We could go

18 | further. We should go further. But I will say by

19 comparison to what I'm seeing at the state and

20 | federal, ah, correctly systems, ah, I appreciate the

21 | work that is being done to have gotten our numbers

22 \parallel down and to have changed, ah, our systems as well.

23 | Um, I'm going to ask two questions and I'll just go

24 ahead and ask them now and then, ah, take your

answers. One is about one of the bills. Um, I want

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

still?

to ask some questions about the fees. Um, I know you gave us a kind of one-line we support in principle, um, but I really would like to drill down here, because there was a hearing on this issue back in 2016 and I think we asked then whether you had explored with DCAS prepayment processing options, um, that are available for other, can you guys hear me

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

apologize. Um, um, ah, have you explored with DCAS prepayment processing options that are available for many other city payments? If so, what's going on there? If not, can we set a deadline for getting that answer? What can you tell us about how many deposits are made each year and what New Yorkers are spending on those deposit fees. Um, and are you aware of the fact that other jurisdictions, ah, even our current vendors are charging a lot less. I was surprised to see that a \$40 deposit with JPay costs \$6 in New York City, but only \$2.75 in Kentucky. So help us understand what you've done and how we can get that done quickly, and then I just have a much larger question. Um, because having gotten the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

numbers down as far as they are and understanding
what it really means to think about who needs, you

4 know, who we think we need to incarcerate and hold,

5 ah, differently in a pandemic. I'm curious like what

6 you started to think about that you might want to

7 think about after this pandemic, about what we should

8 | learn, about, you know, how, you know, obviously what

9 we've heard on the other side, so to speak, from, ah,

10 the former police commissioner, even the current

11 police commissioner.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Time expired.

much data throwing out the idea that some of the things that are being done jeopardize public safety. I really want to learn here. I want to see how, how new people we can incarcerate. So what are we doing to learn from what we're doing to get it right going forward and how are you thinking about building from this awful, awful pandemic to build a far more humane and just, ah, criminal justice system, ah, in the days after the pandemic? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So I, I would ask, um, DC Lyons to, to speak about fees. But before I ask her to do that I would just like to say that I've

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

spent most of my career in corrections, um, almost 30 years, managing risk in the community. And I think that the, um, the communication and the collaboration between all of the criminal justice agencies in the city now have come together to learn about how to do that well, that we are taking a proactive and individualized look at each person who is [inaudible] to our custody and, um, looking at whether or not once they're sentenced that they could go back into the community without much risk, um, and be productive. With regard to the courts and the DAs, um, we all have to work together to understand real risks in the community, risk to self, risk to other, and risk to public safety. So I think moving forward we will take these lessons that we've learned, ah, how successful this program has been and move forward, um, in collaboration with each other rather than at odds.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Would you commit to giving us, making that report and those learnings public, I guess either as part of the required, ah, legislation here or separately so that that learning you guys are doing internally, ah, I guess where you all agree and where you don't all agree, we can

2.2

2.3

2 really see that data and have a real understanding of what we're learning?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Well, I, I'm not going to speak for MOCJ, but they, because they're situated out of the mayor's office they have the ability to gather all of that information, um, and if they're willing to do that I'm sure that they would be happy to do that. They're, they're, um, putting out reports all the time with regard to information that we gather as a city and how we work together.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Dana, is that, can you make that commitment?

and as the Commissioner said, we have been putting out, ah, regular fact sheets right now. As you noted, Council Member, the decline that we've seen in the jail population is something that is, ah, significant, impressive, the, you know, lowest jail population since 1946 and we agree that this is a good thing and that we can learn from this. Ah, you know, we, there was recently, ah, an analysis and assessment put out around the 6-A program that some of the nonprofit providers that are involved in the supervision component, ah, released, but certainly we

2 will continue to work with

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

will continue to work with the agencies and, ah, can, you know, continue to share updates on both, um, the, the data but also, you know, what we plan to do in the future in terms of sustaining, ah, this progress.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Patricia?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LYONS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: If you could handle the second part?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LYONS: Sure, thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Council Member, for your question regarding the fees. So we have not engaged DCAS in those discussions, but I'm happy to have those conversations with them and explore what options they have for us, and additionally because the fees that are collected for the deposits related to, um, banking with the commissary are outside of the correction department, meaning there are fees, you know, allocated to a third-party vendor that we don't see. I wouldn't necessarily have that information offhand as to what has been collected, um, by those vendors. Um, it's certainly a question we can explore with you. But I think, you know, now is the time to look forward and, um, in the spirit of this bill, take a look at all the agreements that we

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	WLLH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

- 2 do have right now and see what, um, what
- 3 | modifications we can make.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I'm, I'm well over time, but Chair Powers, if you want to follow up on any of those, ah, items since the bill is yours.

Ah, I hope you will.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, will do, [inaudible], thanks.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have Council Member Yeger.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

much, Mr. Chairman. Um, OK. Ah, I just, ah, my, my portions are very brief and, ah, Council Member Lander's questions actually touched on a little bit of, ah, where I'm coming from. I am, ah, cosponsoring one of Council Member Powers's bill, ah, the introduction related to deposits in commissary accounts, and I view this, um, ah, similar to how I viewed, ah, a previous bill of Councilman Powers, ah, Introduction 1199, which he sponsored last year, this past, ah, and it related to fees on bail. Um, I believe as a [inaudible] society we have the unfortunate need for a criminal justice system, ah,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to protect the public and, ah, it's unfortunate but it is necessary. But that also doesn't mean that government should either profiteer or should sit idly by, ah, while others profiteer off the incarceration and frankly off of people's misery. Um, so my question really is the following. Ah, I've rarely, if ever, seen an agency come before this council and say, um, hey folks, that's a fine bill you have there. You should pass it tomorrow. And, ah, with respect to this bill you've indicated that the administration supports the intent of the bill, um, you look forward to further discussing this with the council. Ah, the council's here. The prime sponsor is here. Ah, looks to me like, you know, my time is gonna run out very soon. Ah, I guess, um, as will all of our time at some point. But, ah, here we are. Tell us what's wrong with this bill and what needs to be done, assuming that Councilman Powers can get in front of a keyboard today and type in a couple of syllables or comment here or there. What is it that's preventing you from saying right now this is a good bill, you want it to pass today, tomorrow, the next day, and look forward to its implementation? What is it? That this is an outside vendor and

2.2

2.3

therefore you don't control it. That's great. Does
that mean that, that the, only an outside vendor can
run this? Can this city not run this program? What

5 is so complicated about you coming in and saying good

6 | bill, pass the bill, we'll get it done?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: DC Lyons?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LYONS: Ah, yes. So
I think, ah, thank you, Council Member. I think the,
um, we have to take a look at the current agreements
the city has with these vendors to understand the
changes we need to make, um, to ensure we can, um,
achieve a deadline that's reasonable for all. So,
um, we have agreements that are in place with, JPay
was referenced and Western Union and we'd have to
take a look at those in consultation with MOCS and
the Law Department to see how much time it would take
and the effort involved to modify them. That, that's
the reason [inaudible].

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Let me, let me ask you a question, because my clocks ticks away, ah, and the bill is unforgiving. Um, the, assuming that this JPay, um, this very great wonderful company that is, is really, ah, just incredibly onerous in its fees, ah, is not the right place. Why can't the city just

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

do this on its own? Of all the things that the City

of New York...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: ...[inaudible] from why can't the city just do this? Why can't you tell us today that you know what you're committed to doing, you're committed to talking DCAS or talking to the Department of Finance and setting up a system where, just like the city can take property tax payments, just like the city can take parking violations payments, just like the city can take a myriad of different kinds of payments and it does it every day and it's very, very good at taking payments and figuring out how to apply them, why can't the city say we're going to do this for people? The idea that, I mean, how have you, how has the department never been offended by the notion that somebody can walk in somewhere and deposit 20 bucks and have to pay a couple of bucks to do so? I mean, and I'm not blaming you per se, but I'm saying, you know, I find this frequently with bills, I apologize, Mr. Chairman, if I could just have a few more seconds. Ι find this frequently with bills that the administration kind of doesn't even read them before

COMMITTE	E ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2 you show up, you write up testimony that says we like

3 | the intent, we look forward to working with it. I,

4 I've been in the council for two years, four months,

19 days, I can't tell you how many times I've heard

6 the phrase we like this bill and look forward to

7 | working with the council to make it better, versus we

8 like this bill, here's how you make it better, pass

9 | it tomorrow. I'm just, I just don't understand it.

10 \parallel Now, I'm not that smart, but I just don't get it.

11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LYONS: So, Council

12 Member, as I had answered the previous question,

13 | we're absolutely open to conversations with DCAS and

14 | Finance to figure out a way to move forward in an

15 | amicable manner, absolutely.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: OK. Thank you,

17 Mr. Chairman.

18

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we

19 | will turn it back to Chair Powers.

20 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Thank

21 | you for the confidence and support both from Council

22 | Member Yeger, Council Member Lander, and others, and

23 | I agree we should have no, have no system where we're

24 profiting and we should have been out of this

business I think a long time ago, but I thank you for

COMMITTE	E ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
Y.TTMTT.Y	Π Π Π Π	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 echoing, um, ah, support for our bill. Ah, I'm gonna

do a few more questions, but, but as others have

4 | noted we are, ah, ah, a little time limited here.

5 Um, just a couple of questions. Um, what is, are,

6 are there, in terms of housing units right now, how

7 many units are at 50% capacity and how many today, if

8 any, are at 100% capacity?

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: Thank you for the question. This is Brenda Cook. Um, there's no units that, ah, that I'm aware of at, at, ah, 100%, um, housing capacity. Um, department—wide, as the Commissioner mentioned in her testimony, we are, ah, under 50% occupancy in the department, um, and in our dormitory housing, where the people have, in custody have the least opportunity to, um, self—isolate in a single—occupancy, um, ah, cell for, ah, you know, time during the day and sleep at night. Um, our dormitory housing is, um, is an average of 37% occupancy and dropping as our population...

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: All right, just, just, just, just, I'm sorry to be, I don't want to be rude, but I just want to stop. You said I'm not aware of any at 100%. Does that mean it's possible

25 [inaudible]?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2 CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: [inaudible] and
3 I'm, and I'm sitting here with the senior deputy
4 commissioner, Timothy Farrell, who oversees custody
5 management. I'm sitting here with the chief of the
6 department, Hazel Jennings. We, there, there are no
7 housing units occupied at 100%. We've got...

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Right, zero, so the answer is zero for 100%.

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: Zero.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: How many are at over 50% capacity?

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: Ah, I don't have that, um, that number sitting here. There are housing units that are, um, over 50% um, capacity. Ah, those are the housing units, um, that are, ah, some of our therapeutic housing units, like DEEP or CAP. Um, but in fact the, um, you know, those are smaller housing units to begin with and those housing units are based on clinical need and so we're not depriving people of access to, ah, clinical need, um, housing. Um, those are closely monitored and managed, um, in partnership with Correctional Health. Ah, there may also be, um, detox housing or other, um, again, clinical, um, housing, ah, for those with,

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
Υ.ΤΠΝΤΟΤ.	HTTTW	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

ah, um, service, ah service therapeutic needs with Correctional Health that I'm aware of that has occupancies that are driven by, again, patient care and need, not, um, not an arbitrary number of the number of people who could live in that house. And so there are some of those housing units I don't have, I don't have those numbers, um, with, as with, I believe, stated, ah, earlier, um, or maybe I just know it, and so I believe it was stated. But there are, there are more than 230 housing units open across the department. So I just, I can't recite from memory sitting here, sitting today, um, all of

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. How many are over 75%?

those housing units occupancies.

CHIEF OF STAFF COOK: Ah, I, I don't, as I said, I don't have, I don't have, I don't have that number.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Guys, like let's get, if you can, if you don't mind getting us that data, um, to understand how crowded or congested a particular housing unit might be that would be helpful and tentatively we'd get that today. Um, what, what criteria is used to determine if a person

COMMITTE	ΞE	ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
JOINTLY	W	ΙΤΗ	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

in custody has recovered from COVID, such that they could be rehoused in a regular housing unit, um, and are they then retested or, or is it a, what's the criteria? Is it a number of days [inaudible] of symptoms? Yeah, let's start there.

UNIDENTIFIED: So, ah, we generally follow the CDC non-test-based criteria for the most part, although it, there is an individual case-by-case determination depending on where the person is likely to return to. So, for example, for our vulnerable populations we've been more conservative, ah, in terms of the timeframe, ah, but we feel pretty confident and all the emerging data seems to support that CDC, ah, test-based criteria, non-test-based criteria [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: You're not testing, but you're doing a certain amount of days, and how many days is that?

UNIDENTIFIED: Ten. Ah, 10 at least, depending on symptomatology.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And so if they're still presenting symptoms you would not rehouse them, is that fair to say?

UNIDENTIFIED: Correct, ah, correct, yes.

2.2

2.3

Facility.

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. But then after
3 a certain period of time somebody is going back to
4 the housing unit from where they originated? If they
5 go to the West Facility, for instance, because
6 they're sick and symptomatic, they are now done, they
7 go back to, ah, they go back to their housing unit.
8 And, and, is that fair to say?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, in general.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, and if they were a new admission and they came in and they would normally be going to MDC, but they're not because they have symptoms, where are they sent after that?

They're not sent to MDC, they're sent to where?

UNIDENTIFIED: Either EMTC or West

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: After, but after [inaudible] they're kept there after they clear symptoms?

UNIDENTIFIED: So at that point we would, ah, indicate, we have systems in place to indicate to DOC that they're, ah, don't need to be in isolation status any longer and then, ah, DOC would make a determination where they would be housed, unless they also had some clinical reason to be housed either in

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 the infirmary or in the mental observation unit, for 3 example.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, my final questions here, um, ah, just a few, and they are, by the way, I think Kalman Yeger can tell me how long I've been a council member, I actually wrote that down. But, um, um, we, we heard, I think, I think Council Member [inaudible] asked the question earlier about, um, about telephone calls, but I wanted to, this is, I think, an acid question. You had previously told, I think our committee staff, that 311 and health calls are not counted towards the allotment of free telephone minutes to an individual. Um, we have heard reports of people trying to file complaints via 311, particularly health complaints, but being cut off before the complaint is registered. Can you share with us why that might be in light of what we've been told by staff and, um, and what [inaudible]?

UNIDENTIFIED: Ah, we, ah, without, ah, the individual information, ah, ah, you know, to investigate the specific, um, again, our, it's, it's, um, it's not the, it's not the phone system. The phone system is not set up to, ah, utilize, ah, time

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 112 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	limits and minutes for those free phone calls and for
3	the use of 311. So we'd have to, you know, you'd
4	have to give us the specifics and we can investigate
5	the specific circumstances. But the, the policy and
6	the system is, is set up, um, otherwise.
7	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, so just getting
8	on the record here, 311 and health calls should not
9	be counted towards the daily allotment of somebody's
10	telephones, is that?
11	UNIDENTIFIED: Correct.
12	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK.
13	UNIDENTIFIED: That's correct.
14	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Um, and at the
15	beginning of telehealth calls we've heard that, ah,
16	individuals are being told that the call is being
17	recorded and [inaudible] that that's not, the staff
18	has told us that you do not record these calls. Um,
19	we just want to confirm. Are those calls record by
20	either DOC, CHS, or any other agency or entity?
21	UNIDENTIFIED: No.
22	UNIDENTIFIED: No.

UNIDENTIFIED: No, the, the telephone numbers, um, that, ah, CHS uses for those, um, access to care have all been logged into the, ah, phone

23

24

25

2.2

2.3

medical...

system. They were logged prior to the launch of the phones, ah, for this purpose in March. All those phone numbers were logged, as, as we logged attorney numbers or, um, clergy numbers or, um, you know,

UNIDENTIFIED: DOC.

UNIDENTIFIED: ...DOC, DOI, they're all white listed. So those are numbers are not, those numbers are preset, um, to when a person in custody calls any of those designated numbers, they're not recorded by the system.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, do you believe that individuals understand that, because that notice alone might prevent somebody from being forthcoming about their medical conditions or issue?

UNIDENTIFIED: I, I...

UNIDENTIFIED: We have made sure that the, um, persons in custody are made aware of that and we've done that there in the council meetings.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, just want to be, that would be a concern. And then how do you ensure that those phone calls are not recorded and stored by the third-party phone provider?

2.2

2.3

UNIDENTIFIED: Ah, the, as I, as far as, um, I'm, I'm aware of the, of the system, ah, the recordings, um, that is hosted by the Department of Correction and so there is no, um, capacity for those third-party phone providers to create a record and, of a recording and store it elsewhere. It's hosted by the Department of Correction on our, on our servers.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And do you have any agreement, in your agreement with them is there anything that says that they are prohibited from [inaudible]?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: There is?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, my last question, this is just for CHS and DOC. Can you commit, I, we're gonna hear from the Board of Correction, I think, ah, can you commit to get us that information that we have asked for, some of the data? We'll follow up with what that might be. Can you get that back to us within the next 24 hours to

on, ah, out of, out of respect to everybody's time

and hand it over to, back to Chair Lancman.

24

25

I'm gonna move

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thanks. Um, I, I want to ask one last question. There are issues in, um, court proceedings around determining whether or not bail should be set, whether someone should be remanded, um, about the safety of Riker's Island.

So, um, could you confirm for me that, that it's fair to say that despite your best efforts and, and, and best execution that the environment in Riker's Island still is one that presents a much greater risk of infection from COVID-19 and a much greater risk for someone who might have, um, an underlying medical vulnerability compared to just being out in, in the general population in New York City?

UNIDENTIFIED: Is that a CHS question?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Probably.

UNIDENTIFIED: So I think, ah, it's, it's a tough question to answer at this point. I think, you know, as our data shows and as our experience shows we saw a wave of transmission, ah, that was, that was very marked and that has declined very dramatically. Ah, I think that the, the success that we've seen, though, as we've discussed many times, ah, did rely on the reduction in the jail population. And so, ah, we are concerned about new admissions,

put a lot of our focus.

2.2

um, and the risk will remain, absolutely, ah, and we don't have all the answers about, ah, COVID as a society. Um, so I think it's, it's a tough question to answer because clearly things are much better than they were in our worst days. Ah, but, ah, I would be concerned about, ah, reintroduction of disease with continued new admissions and that's where we've, ah,

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: So, so you would agree that, um, intaking new admissions, ah, only increases the possibility that we will go back where we were earlier, um, with a high rate of infection and also that [inaudible] comparing the, the rate of infection and, and the likelihood of exposure at Riker's Island to the general public it's much more significant in the jail setting.

UNIDENTIFIED: Again, I think it's, it's a complex question and it's clearly shifting, um, but I think that our success which we've achieved, ah, relied on a lower population.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And if the population were to, to go back to pre-COVID-19 decarceration levels, um, we might see that the gains

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

concern about.

2 that we've made in Riker's Island slip away. Is that
3 fair?

UNIDENTIFIED: I, I think it's, it bears saying that, you know, I think there's a general concern as the city, as elsewhere on this planet, consider, ah, returning to what people dream of as, ah, normal, um, and it will not be achieved, um, that as people re-emerge or get more impatient, um, and, and, and become, begin to, um, comply less with self-isolation or, or social distancing or hygiene etiquette and attempt to reach back to what they believed and remembered as, very fondly, as normal life, um, the risk to everybody increases. It's inevitable. The virus is with us. It, it continues to be a shape-shifter. It's, it's, it's, ah, it's something to, to have great respect for and, and, and

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And, and given the higher rates of, of infection at Riker's is it fair to say that that risk is much more acute when we're talking about a jail setting like the city's jails?

UNIDENTIFIED: I, I think a congregate setting, whether it's a jail or a nursing home or assisted living is, is always a higher risk when,

COMMITTE	ΞE	ON	CRIMINAI	_ JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	₩.T	ΤН	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2 when transmission of the virus, um, requires contact,

3 close contact, um, and can only be slowed down by

4 separation. That is true in any congregate setting.

5 Um, I, and again, I would say that, um, with all due

6 respect the, the concern about the numbers of people

7 | that we test and the total numbers of tests and the

8 positive are, need to be handled with caution. We,

9 we test four times, more than four times more

10 aggressively than the City of New York. The, the

11 prevalence can't be comparable. [inaudible] All

12 right.

15

25

1

13 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Sorry, unless

14 | Correctional Health Services had something to add.

UNIDENTIFIED: No.

16 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you.

17 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, Chair

18 | Lancman, and thank you to the agencies, and I'm sure

19 | we will have follow-up questions. We are having, as

20 | many know here, a weekly call to discuss these issues

21 \parallel and get data and to provide oversight. Um, we're

22 gonna move on now to, thank you to everybody and

23 | thank you to everybody at the department who is

24 working tirelessly to keep people healthy, keep

people safe, and as well putting yourselves at risk

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TURNER:

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Executive Director

25 Egan, you may begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

25

2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: Thank you. 3 Good afternoon, Chair Powers, Chair Lancman, and members of the Criminal Justice and Justice Systems 4 Committee, Committees, excuse me. My name is Margaret Egan. I am the executive director of the 6 7 New York City Board of Correction. I am joined today 8 by board member Dr. Robert Cohen and Deputy Executive Director Emily Turner. Chair Jennifer Jones Austin sends her regards. She had hoped to join us as well 10 11 today but unfortunately was unable. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today about the COVID 12 13 response in the New York City jail system. 14 like to speak to you today about the [inaudible] 15 response and what we are seeing in the jails through 16 our oversight work. Like all others, the New York City Board of Correction, the city's independent jail 17 18 system oversight agency, has been forced to quickly 19 adapt to this new normal in response to the COVID-19 20 public health crisis. The board has redirected its 21 oversight, setting priorities to both monitor the Department of Correction and Correctional Health 2.2 2.3 Services involving COVID-19 response and facility compliance with agency plans, and DOC and CHS's 24

general operations in compliance with DOC minimum

1

3

25

2 standards amidst the public health crisis. Our

[inaudible] independently and publicly document the

4 scope of the public health crisis in the jails and

5 | the criminal justice system's response to understand

6 the successes and challenges and ultimately ensure

7 | that lessons can be quickly learned. At the

8 beginning of the crisis the board called on all

9 criminal justice system stakeholders to reduce the

10 population of the jail. We believe this is one of

11 | the best tools at our disposal to minimize the

12 transmission of COVID-19 in the jails. The board has

13 | publicly advocated for district attorneys, defenders,

14 | city officials, the New York State Department of

15 | Corrections and Community Supervision, advocates, and

16 providers to come together to release as many people

17 | as is safely possible. Since March 16 the population

18 \parallel has been reduced by just over 1600 people. However,

19 we have seen in our daily analysis that admissions

20 | are beginning to increase again. This is concerning

21 | to us and we will continue to monitor the population

22 | over time. As it has been said, on April 1 the board

23 | began producing daily public reports outlining DOC

24 | and CHS's response to the pandemic. These daily

updates, available on our website, include data on

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM the number of people currently incarcerated who are, who are confirmed or symptomatic for COVID-19, exposed but asymptomatic, DOC staff who have been confirmed, CHS staff who have been confirmed, and the number of people who have passed away in custody. also include a full analysis of the jail population to show custody status, as well as certain demographic information. We believe these daily updates are critical to provide the public defenders, advocates, policymakers, and families who visit critical information on what is happening in the jails. In addition to the daily data reports, the board has developed a new crisis response of jail monitoring program. In our very small staff our approach has been focused on leveraging the board's access to DOC data systems, surveillance cameras, grievance tracking system, daily sanitation supply audits, updated policies, preliminary incident reports, and complaints the board receives directly from people in custody, staff, family members, and advocates. Additionally, board members and staff have had at least weekly calls with DOC and CHS leadership. There are certain obvious limitations to each of these methods, but taken together the board

124 COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 seeks to provide an objective assessment of the 3 response to the crisis and the function of the jails 4 during the crisis while also raising issues for immediate action by DOC and CHS. Based on the guidance from, ah, based on guidance from the mayor 6 7 and DOHMH, board staff have been working remotely 8 since about mid-March. Um, for the last week, however, we have begun to slowly, slowly reintroduce in-jail monitoring, taking a very targeted and 10 11 strategic approach. The board will continue to 12 follow local guidance on agency work conditions and 13 to reduce the risk of, of spreading COVID-19. Our oversight in the jails will be guided by the do no 14 15 harm principle, meaning the board will prioritize the 16 safety of DOC staff and the safety of all those who 17 work and live in the jails while planning jail 18 inspections. On May 11 of 2020 the board issued a 19 report on our observation of housing areas designated 20 for confirmed COVID-19, ah, patients, symptomatic 21 patients, and those likely exposed but asymptomatic 2.2 for adherence to DOC's [inaudible] statements and CHS 2.3 items. We reviewed GenoTech surveillance camera footage, conducting 72 audits in 56 unique housing 24

areas to monitor social distancing, use of PPE among

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM staff, use of masks among people in custody, phone access, and cleaning, and DOC [inaudible] practices in cell units. Our observations found that while the majority of staff were observed wearing PPE, including masks and gloves, there were challenges with people in custody wearing masks. We do not believe that there are issues with mask availability, but we recommended that CHS and DOC should identify and address barriers to the use of PPE for staff and people in custody and renew their efforts to educate on the importance and proper use of PPE. Um, DOHMH has advised that public health communications should be conducted by non-security staff. Another critical piece of oversight is to understand COVID-related complaints submitted to DOC. The board is working closely with DOC's Office of Constituent Grievance Services, OCGS, the office responsible for handling complaints. Board staff review COVID-related complaints daily, analyze grievance data, and audit complaint resolutions regularly, providing analysis and feedback to OCGS. We plan to publish our own analysis and audit findings in the future. Since March 5 OCGS has been tracking COVID-related

complaints in three categories - environmental, um,

1

25

2 which is the lack of access to PPE and cleaning

3 supplies, medical, which could be concerns about

4 COVID-19 exposure, um, safety, and access to medical

5 care, and staff, um, which could be complaints about

6 DOC staff from people in custody as well as

7 complaints made by DOC staff members or their

8 families regarding staff working conditions. As of

9 | May 5 the department have received 1029 COVID-related

10 complaints, from early March to May 5, representing

11 | 18% of the, ah, 56, just over 5600 complaints

12 received by DOC since March 5 when the department

13 | began tracking COVID-related complaints. Since March

14 | 16 the department's environmental health, health unit

15 | and facilities operations office have conducted daily

16 audits of a sample of housing areas at each facility

17 | to check for the availability of sanitation supplies

18 \parallel and as of April 8 mask availability for people in

19 \parallel custody and usage. DOC provides the board with its

20 documentation daily and board staff analyze each

21 ∥ audit. From April 5 through April 18 DOC audited an

22 | average total of 64 areas daily DOC-wide and an

23 | average of six areas per facility each day, ranging

24 \parallel from an average of five areas at AMKC to an average

of 12 areas at BCEC. In general the DOC audit

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

documentation shows high rates of sanitation supply availability and that work orders are submitted for inoperable sinks that are identified. Board staff will seek, seek to independently verify this documentation through our jail monitoring, GenoTech review, and other oversight sources. Every day the board receives complaints directly from people in custody, staff, family members, defense counsel, and advocates via phone, email, mail, web form, just as we did before the crisis. Phone calls from jail to the board are free and not monitored. Board staff developed a new complaint protocol to review these complaints and refer them to the appropriate agency for response. The board also reviews these complaints to identify systemic and urgent issues, which are then escalated to DOC and CHS as appropriate. From March, sorry, from March 30 through April 30 the board received 370 complaints. This is a 99% increase from the same period in 2019, when the board received a total of 186 complaints. Of the 377 complaints received from March 30 through April 30 around a third, or 119, were COVID-related. The board's oversight work has been and will continue to be critically important in this crisis response.

1

2 We have and will continue to provide necessary 3 information to the public, outlining essential data 4 and independently confirming what is actually happening in the jails. We will continue to advocate for a small, for as small a jail system as is safely 6 7 possible, and we will continue to recommend that DOC 8 and CHS provide as much information to people in 9 custody, staff, families, and the public at large. As in the community, it is a public health challenge 10 11 for trusted messengers to continue to deliver 12 critical information on how people can protect 13 themselves and the people around them. While the jail setting creates unique and increased barriers to 14 15 this work and will take a creative, intensive 16 approach, the importance of communication remains. The Board of Correction will continue to provide 17 18 oversight and we will continue to encourage the city 19 to further its efforts to engage with people in 20 custody, staff, and the public to ensure that people 21 are taking all necessary steps to protect themselves. 2.2 The number of people in custody and COVID-confirmed 2.3 or symptomatic housing has dropped from a high of 286 people on April 1 to 67 people on May 17. DOC and 24 CHS leadership and staff, as well as people in 25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 custody who work in the jails and have taken measures

3 to protect themselves should be incredibly proud of

4 | their efforts. However, the pandemic is not over and

5 the risk of getting sick in the jails is still

6 significant. Further, as the city carefully

7 considers when and how it can reopen the jail system

8 | must have clear, safe, and transparent plans for

9 managing new risks in the coming months. Thank you.

10 Dr. Cohen now has a short statement and then we are

11 | happy to take your questions.

DR. COHEN: Thank you very much. Um, part of the public health included a response to COVID-19 has been to decrease population in the jails by almost 30%. DHS has stated that then this is the most important factor in their ability to manage the pandemic, without minimizing the extraordinary clinical care they have provided. Ah, it has been the release, particularly the release of older and medically vulnerable persons. The city did an amazing job getting many, many people out. Over the past three weeks, however, the population in the jails has been going up. We should be concerned. The population on April 24 was 3869. Yesterday it was 3954. It is important to look at this data

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM category by category to understand what action to driving the increase. The number of city-sentenced persons has decreased over this period, from 134 to This makes sense when the city's criminal courts are not functioning normally. The number of persons with technical parole violations incarcerated on order of DOCCS has also decreased, from 267 to There is a population of persons incarcerated charged with a technical parole violation, an open case that I don't have current information on. The population which is increasing is the pretrial detainee population. Essentially, these are people who have been arrested by the New York Police Department, arraigned before a judge, been remanded or had bail set, and had been unable to afford bail. This population was 3316 on April 24 and yesterday it was 3484, an increase of 168. We do not know if the increase in the pretrial population represents a change in police arrest policy, a change in district attorney practice, or a change in the bail practice of the city's judges. We live today in the hope of a better tomorrow, but we live in clear expectation that there will be a surge in infections and a surge

in deaths later this year. It is vitally important

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 that the jail population not be allowed to increase 3 to pre-COVID levels. The decrease in population was intentional, the result of joint action by the city's 4 agencies, DOC, CHS, MOCJ, the elected DAs, the defense bar, and the judges. Population increase in 6 7 the jail, if it occurs, will also be intentional and 8 will follow from changes in the city's agencies, particularly police, the elected district attorneys, and in the practice of the judges with regard to 10 11 bail. Our task is clear. We must intentionally work 12 to keep the population in our jails as low as 13 possible, carefully tracking each of these 14 categories. We must work particularly hard during 15 this pandemic to release medically vulnerable persons 16 at increased risk to serious illness and death from 17 COVID-19. I am very proud to be your representative 18 on the Board of Correction and I'm very proud of the extraordinary effort of the Department of Correction 19 and Correctional Health Services during this 20

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and nice to see all of you. I do hope all you are, are, ah, doing OK, your families and, and everyone else. And

pandemic. I mourn with you those who have died.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2 thank you, guys, for that testimony and your work to,

ah, ensure oversight on the, in, in the jails at a 3

4 very difficult time. Um, one of the, in the

5 testimony I, I think you had mentioned that, um, DOC

complaints, there was about a third were COVID-6

7 related, um, and then I had two questions related to

that. One is can you give us more specificity were, 8

are the type of complaints COVID-related and then for 9

the other two-third can you give us, um, insight into 10

11 what those are related to?

12 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: Sure, um, um,

13 I'll ask Emily to, to jump in on specifics. I mean,

I, you know, I think that we're getting, we're 14

15 hearing from, um, family members and from people in

16 custody, um, in, at times about lack of

17 communication, just people from not understanding

18 what is, what is happening in, in the jails. Um, you

know, I think that's one of the general categories. 19

Emily, can you speak to, to other specific, um, 20

21 issues that we're, we're getting specifically from

2.2 people in custody?

2.3 DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TURNER:

So in addition to those that the board, complaints 24

that the board receives directly. Um, board staff 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

review every, have reviewed every single complaint that the Department of Corrections has received and that are handled by the Department of Corrections Office of Constituent Grievance Services, and we've done some additional coding of, um, those complaints to have a better understanding. Um, one of the, um, main categories of COVID-related complaints, um, which represent about 37% of those received, um, by, um, health, include health complaints. So 37% of those complaints that we've reviewed, um, that were handled by the department were health-related, so concerns about, um, a lack of access to care, um, in terms of being able to communicate and, um, reach care, um, concerns about exposure safety, so, um, concerns about who they're being housed with if someone in their unit had been, um, sick and left the unit, um, and then concerns about preventive measures. Um, some of these complaints also were related to, um, concerns about staff coming in and out of the facility and potentially exposing, um, people in the facility. Um, and then a concern about testing and having the ability to access testing. Um, and I think, um, as Meg mentioned, um, a lot of this is, um, related to, um, what is communicated or

2 not being communicated to

2.2

2.3

not being communicated to people in custody and, um, having a better sense of, um, how the jail is being managed, um, so we think that is really gonna be, um, an important part of the response is how people in custody are educated about how to access care now under these circumstances and, um, that they have a better understanding of, um, the steps that the department is taking to keep them safe, um, and how those policies are being implemented.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, if there was a second wave of this pandemic do you feel that we are prepared for a second wave? If not, do you have recommendations for how we might be prepared, better prepared for another, if there was another wave of this pandemic?

think it's fair to assume that there will be a second wave, um, and I think that, I think that DOC and CHS have done an incredible job of, of figuring out how to respond to this crisis in a very short period of time. I mean, I think this is, this is a challenge and everyone around the world has, has had to, to grapple with and in a jail it's, it's a particularly, I think, challenging situation. Um, I, I think that,

2.2

2.3

public at large.

that they are learning as they go, and I think that's important. I think in the response you want to be, you want to sort of iterate, um, what is working and what is not. Um, you know, I think the, the thing that, that we hear about the most from, um, from people in custody, from families, from defender organizations is, um, is a lack of communication.

Um, and so I, I think that, you know, if, and, and we are certainly, we as the board are certainly happy to, to help communicate as much as we can. Um, but I, I do think that's it important for both in the department and CHS to, um, to communicate more, um, with people in custody, with staff, um, and with the

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. And I, I'm gonna ask one question and then hand it over to Chair Lancman and that is, um, ah, are there data points that you think the Board of Correction needs from DOC today to provide proper oversight, and if so can you share with us which, what those might be?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: Yeah, I'll let,
I mean, Emily is our data guru so I will let her give
those specifics. What I would generally say is the
more data that, that DOC and CHS can provide us to,

2 to be able to clearly outlin

2.2

2.3

to be able to clearly outline what is happening in the jail system would be incredibly helpful. Um, I appreciate Dr. Yang's points that we want to be careful about, about how the numbers tell a story, but, but I think that we still need the numbers and we, and we want to, to make sure that we're telling that story responsibly, but I'll let Emily talk about the specific data points.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Thanks, Emily. Why don't you tell us what you think is helpful?

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TURNER: Um, well, um, a lot of the data points, um, that, um, we think are important are included in the proposed bill, um, that we're hearing about today, um, including the total number of, um, confirmed, um, confirmed positive patients that have been tested and confirmed while in custody. Um, since the start of the pandemic, not just those who are currently in.

Um, and we think it's important to also share the testing numbers so that people understand the testing strategy, um, the outcome of those, um, test results. Um, again it can be complicated for people to, to understand and put this in perspective, but it's important, um, that we have access to it and that we

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

1

- 2 | are able to have this [inaudible]. Um, ah,
- 3 hospitalizations, um, important to understand how
- 4 many people hospitalized, hospitalized, um, to really
- 5 understand the trajectory and the response to the
- 6 pandemic. Um, and then a question that Council
- 7 | Member Rivera asked about recoveries is also
- 8 | important because the numbers we're currently
- 9 reporting on total, um, confirmed COVID patients in
- 10 custody now includes a number of people who have
- 11 recovered, um, and so, you know, you may look at that
- 12 | number and, and see over 300, um, 60 people with
- 13 confirmed COVID, but a significant portion of those
- 14 | individuals have recovered, um, and so that's
- 15 | important context, too.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Thanks. And
- 17 | did the BOC support the legislation that's before the
- 18 | council today?
- 19 \parallel EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: Yeah, we do.
- 20 | Um, and however we can, we can be helpful we're,
- 21 | we're very happy to. I mean, again, robust
- 22 communication is always a good think.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, thank you. I'm
- 24 gonna hand it over to Chair Lancman and we'll go on
- 25 to, um, council members. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you very

much, and good afternoon. Um, I, I want to ask your opinion on the issue of whether or not, ah, new admissions should be fully segregated and isolated from the rest of the population for at least a 10-day period, if not a 14-day period. Are you satisfied with the current testing and quarantine protocols that the Department of Corrections is, ah, employing?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: Yeah, I think that the, the response from DOC and CHS needs to be whatever will minimize the transmission of this virus through the jails. And so if based on, you know, medical and public health expertise the best way to do that is to test everyone and quarantine, then I, I'm supportive of that. Um, and, and it sounds like that, that is a, is a good and smart way to, to manage, um, the, the vectors of transmission in the system. Um, in terms of days, you know, it's not necessarily my area of expertise, but I think whatever minimizes transmission makes a lot of sense.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well, based on our understanding of what the Department of Corrections is doing do you believe, are you confident that the, the current protocols is the most they can do to

COMMITTE	Έ	ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	W	ГТН	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 minimize transmission? You, you heard the exchange

3 that we had back and forth and you know the

4 Department of Corrections and their operations inside

5 and out. What do you think?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: Yeah, ah, yeah, I, I mean, I think, well, yes and no, because it's, it's hard to, I, it, it makes sense as a, as a going in strategy. I think this is one of the challenges of, of not having all of the data. Um, it, you know, it's hard to see how the virus is actually moving through the jail system. Um, it looks like, um, most new cases are coming in as new admissions and so a, so some period of quarantine does make sense. Um, it goes into housing strategy as a, as they talked about, um, and so, so I think it does make some sense as to, as to how you would minimize the transmission based on people going in. I also think that it goes to sort of a tangential issue, which is reducing the number of admissions into the jail system, as Dr. Cohen talked about. I mean, we're starting to see admissions rise and I think we're, we're also concerned about that, um, bringing the community spread into, into the system is [inaudible]...

1

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: ...concerning.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: All right. Let me ask about the board's access to, to, to data. I mean, the board plays a very important role in overseeing and, and regulating to a certain extent the Department of Corrections. Um, I was very surprised to learn in certain circumstances that, that you did not have data that I would have thought or, or even, even, is even more essential for you to fulfill your responsibilities than, than even the, the, the council. Have you anywhere made a list of all the different types of data that you would want and feel that you need to do your job that you have not gotten from the Department of Corrections?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: I mean, in, in response to this pandemic, um, I, I think Emily just outlined for, for Chair Powers the, the missing data elements that we, um, we think are essential to, to providing the most robust oversight that we can.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Um, so on May 11 last week you, the board published, um, ah, ah, a report on, um, on what's going on in Riker's with, with COVID-19, and found a number of shortcomings as one would expect, um, even in the best of

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

recommendations?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

circumstances, um, but given the seriousness of what's happening and, and the potential for serious illness and, and death, um, I, I want to know are you confident that the Department of Corrections is acting on the five-plus sub parts recommendations that you make in that report? Have you any indication that they're taking this report seriously and that they are actually acting on these

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: We do. I mean, we, we have had a number of, of conversations with them about the findings in the report and, and issue that have come up through our oversight, um, in this process, um, and, and I will give them credit. have been incredibly responsive where we have, we have raised issues. Um, but I think, and you're sort of, sort of getting to this point, I think it's, it's an ongoing process and, um, and, and we will continue to, to monitor their response to this and answer the general operations in the jail to raise issues with them when we see them. Um, as I said, we polled the, the monitoring staff out of the jails in mid-March. We are starting to, to do, go back in. We're starting, we restarting our, our, um, in-person

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 monitoring in a really targeted and strategic way in

3 order to support, um, and supplement the, the

4 oversight work we're doing through GenoTech, through

5 the, the grievance audits that Emily talked about,

6 through these other means. Um, and so when we're

7 raising both individual and systemic issues I think

8 the department has been, um, has been responsive.

DR. COHEN: Chair, if I, if I, if I could comment on this. Ah, I first just want to say, I want to compliment, ah, their, um, the, the chair of the board, Jennifer, ah, for, for her, for her work and, and the staff in this very difficult time. I, I think that you can make some judgment about the way this has worked [inaudible], ah, by looking at the mortality at this point. It's terrible what [inaudible] but at the same time, um, the, ah, I think that the effect of the, the effort of the Department of Correction and, and CHS, ah, can, can be measured by that and, ah, I, you, you know that, ah, the, the board's role is to oversee and to, and to identify problems and help the department to correct and [inaudible] identifying problems and we know, as you say, more than anyone what the warts are, ah, in, in, in the system. Ah, I, I, I have

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

been concerned that we were not provided the kind of data that, that are in this bill, but which came out today. Ah, when I heard Commissioner Brann, and she and I don't often agree on, on things, when I heard her response today to the board's report I was very heartened by, um, by not, by not a responsive criticism, by a responsive of, of agreeing with, with the, ah, with the, with the observations and I, and I, and a commitment to do it there. I think these are very, very hard, as we all do, ah, ah, work projects, ah, on, on wearing masks, which is a critical issue, ah, the, the department has to model that and, and the, and the men and women living in the jails have to model that behavior to each other. Imagine wearing a mask for all day long in, in a jail in, in New York City right now. Ah, it's an incredible, ah, effort that we're asking of, of people and I support the, the council's efforts to, to, ah, to instrumentalize the processes that you have through these, ah, through these goals and to get the reporting that's necessary going, going forward. But, ah, um, I think we're, ah, I think we should very proud of the, ah, the work of New York City in this moment. Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EGAN: I want to just add that, um, as we have been doing the monitoring where we have noted issues we are seeing improvements. We are seeing, actually seeing more availability, like through our monitoring of the supplies to clean phones, um, getting people to consistently do that cleaning is gonna be a challenge. Um, again the messaging to staff and people in custody has to be there. It can't just be about making things available. Um, but we have seen, um, improvements in terms of compliance with the use of PPE and the availability of supplies, um, from what we have been able to observe remotely. Um, so we, we do see, um, corrective action and improvement taking place. Um, but I think the challenge in terms of looking ahead to the future will be, um, clarifying the policies, um, making sure that the board is aware of what the policies are and how the different units are being managed, and so that if we can have written guidance we can have a better understanding of how it's working, um, and there can be more clarity in that messaging across the board to staff and people in custody, um, can, can be better implemented. Um, so I think getting those policies

COMMITTE	Œ	ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	M T	тн	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2 in place and memorializing them and then developing

3 that communication strategy will be very critical in

4 terms of preventing another, um, wave or surge in, in

5 cases in the jails. But it's gonna take, ah,

6 consistent effort and, um, you know, there's no,

7 | there's no room for complacency in this setting.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: All right. Thank

9 you.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now call on council members in the order that they have used their Zoom hand functions. Um, if council members have not used their Zoom hand functions as of yet please do so now and you will be called on in the order that you have used your hand function. Seeing no questions, we will move to the next panel.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, thanks to the board, and I think next we're gonna have up MOCJ.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Um, we will now hear from Liz Glazer, director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. For the question and answer period only we will be joined by Dana Kaplan, deputy director of Close Riker's and Justice Initiatives, Eric Cumberbatch, deputy director of the Office of Neighborhood Safety, and Deanna Logan, deputy

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 146 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM								
2	director of Crime Strategies from MOCJ. Before we								
3	begin I will administer the oath. I will call on you								
4	each individually for a response. Please raise your								
5	right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the								
6	whole truth, and nothing but the truth before these								
7	committees and to respond honestly to council member								
8	questions?								
9	UNIDENTIFIED: I do.								
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Director Glazer?								
11	DIRECTOR GLAZER: I do.								
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Director								
13	Kaplan?								
14	DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPLAN: I do.								
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Director								
16	Cumberbatch?								
17	DEPUTY DIRECTORY CUMBERBATCH: I do.								
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Director								
19	Logan?								
20	DEPUTY DIRECTOR LOGAN: I do.								
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Director Glazer, you								
22	may begin.								
23	DIRECTOR GLAZER: Thank you. Ah, good								
24	afternoon, ah, Chair Lancman and Chair Powers,								
25	members of the Justice System, ah, Committee and								

2 Public Safety Committee. My name is Elizabeth Glazer 3 and I'm the director of the Mayor's Office of 4 Criminal Justice. I appreciate the opportunity to testify today regarding the city's response to COVID-19 in its detention facilities, and I have several of 6 7 my MOCJ colleagues with me, ah, available to respond to questions as well. The Mayor's Office of Criminal 8 Justice advises the mayor on criminal justice policy and is the mayor's representative to the courts, 10 11 district attorneys, defenders, and state criminal 12 justice agencies, among others. Ah, MOCJ designs, 13 deploys, and evaluates citywide strategies to increase safety, reduce unnecessary arrests and 14 15 incarceration, improve fairness, and build the strong 16 neighborhoods that ensure enduring public safety. 17 COVID-19 has put our criminal justice partners and 18 system to a severe test, calling upon us to protect 19 the people in the city's care and custody, many of 20 whom are medically vulnerable, ah, and from our 21 city's poorest neighborhoods, ah, and it's been 2.2 called upon to do this as the city has maximized 2.3 social distancing and courts have streamlined down to only the most essential virtual operations. 24 25 city's response was a dramatic acceleration of what

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 already were histologic transformations in the 3 criminal justice landscape. In the six years before 4 this crisis the city saw histologic declines in its jail population, far less crime, and far fewer arrests, and an emerging model of safety, relying 6 7 less on the formal controls of enforcement and 8 punishment and more on informal structures of family and neighborhood. COVID-19 has hastened these trends to warp speed. Indeed, the response to this public 10 11 safety emergency by the criminal justice system, our public health system, and people of the city has been 12 13 nothing short of extraordinary. The crisis has 14 demanded distilling the operations of the justice 15 system down to what is most essential to sustain the 16 public safety. Concerns over spread of the disease 17 in congregate settings has led to concentrated 18 agencies, ah, concentrated efforts by the agencies 19 testifying today and the courts, district attorneys, 20 defenders, State Department of Corrections, nonprofit 21 providers to drastically reduce the jail population while maintaining safety. This has resulted in 2.2 2.3 unprecedented declines in the number of people held in city jails, particularly those most vulnerable to 24

the disease. Since March 16, when social distancing

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

its future.

Thank you.

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM began in the city, the jail populations plummeted to levels not seen since 1946, shrinking by approximately 30,000, ah, 30% to fewer than 4000 people. City agencies and nonprofit providers have also joined forces to help ensure those arriving from jail into a city in quarantine have places to stay, reentry services, and access to medical care. And we have seen other dramatic transformations outside the jails with the crime rate cut by a quarter and arrests by a third. Our crisis management system and the mayor's Action Plan for Neighborhood Safety are continuing their work in the hard-hit communities to promote collective civilian action and responsibility for public health and safety. There is so much we don't know about this disease and how long the city will battle it. Dr. Yang so eloquently referred to this formidable enemy as a shape-shifter, and it is so true. COVID-19 has brought tragedy and hardship, but also hard-earned, ah, lessons that may advance us even faster towards a smaller, safer, and fairer justice system. Our challenge will be to learn from this experience, both the good and the bad, and sustain our advances as New York City emerges into

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Ah,

3 Deputy Director Kaplan, you may begin.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR KAPLAN: I, ah, don't have any affirmative testimony. I'm here to answer questions as they arise.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now turn it over to questions from Chair Powers, followed by Chair Lancman. Chair Powers, please begin.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you for your testimony. Nice to see you virtually and I hope you're all doing well and your families as well.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, thank, thank you. Um, ah, on the week of May 3 there was 134 new admissions to our city jails, compared to [inaudible] admissions to jail the week of March 29, um, according to the Board of Correction, which is a 127% increase in over a month. Can you give us some, can you give us some insights into that, um, and also let us know what the city is doing to ensure, you know, that the jail population does not skyrocket, that the releases were meant to be, you know, compassionate releases to make sure that people do not get COVID.

2 | Can you share [inaudib]

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Can you share [inaudible] some insights on that and also what additional efforts the city is taking now to ensure that our population does not go back to where it was and that we are not putting extra people into harm's way?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Sure, so, um, we've been through an extraordinary period, quite obviously, and two things happened. One was a very dramatic drop in admissions, um, largely because of the conditions, um, in the city, a number of arrests, much, much smaller, ah, court system that accepted only the most essential cases. Um, and at the same time we had a very intentional and dramatic effort that a number of people have talked about already, um, to review really every single person who was in custody, ah, to determine, ah, whether there was a route to safe release. Um, that very dramatic discharge where we had discharges really sort of outpacing admissions in a significant way, um, has slowed, ah, and I think it slowed for all the reasons that could imagine, um, partly that people, ah, are, that that tension between public health and public safety, ah, is becoming tighter, ah, as the population has dropped to these low levels. The

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 admissions, um, you know, are a function of a lot of 3 different things. Um, we are in an artificial 4 period, um, I think it goes without saying, where all New York is under stay-at-home orders. Um, I think we take some hope from, ah, the period that we've 6 7 gone through and the kind of way the DAs and judges 8 and others have done, ah, to determine when an 9 whether jail is really the necessary, um, solution to getting people back to court and to ensuring the 10 11 city's safety. So I think it's pretty early to tell, 12 um, what the impacts will be and what the lessons 13 learned are, but we are intently interested in seeing 14 how we can hold on to some of the things that we have 15 learned, and I think that we may see some enduring

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: All right. Um, I'm, I'm, just, we're gonna hear from the DAs, um, ah, I think after this in the next panel. In a, in a letter back in March 29 the six DAs wrote to the mayor and to Commissioner Brann expressing concern the city was not providing housing supervision and support services needs of individuals that were being released from city jails. Um, can, can you tell us

effects of, um, behavior among judges and DAs with

respect to, um, how parsimoniously they use jail.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

what MOCJ makes of that opinion and, ah, are there

other programs that you've also put in place if, if

4 | that is a concern?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yeah, I, um, I actually think one of the heartening things to come out of this tragedy, um, has been a kind of, ah, ah, intensified focus on what the kinds of supports are that are needed to ensure that people meet jail and are supported and don't come back. Um, there's been an enormous amount [inaudible] really kind of knit together what the administration looked like [inaudible] my office played a role as well. And Dana, I don't know if you would like to sort of step in and describe in a little bit of detail what those kinds of supports look like, which for sure have evolved, um, and been strengthened, you know, since the beginning of the pandemic.

about, ah, a little bit earlier, one of the things that we put in place that is specific, ah, to this moment has been the setting up of the hotel sites, and those are hotel sites that are both available for people who are, have tested positive for coronavirus or who are, ah, symptomatic, ah, of coronavirus.

2 Those are, ah, operated by the Department of Homeless Services and Office of Emergency Management, and then 3 a separate set of hotels for individuals who are 4 asymptomatic, or not identified as having, ah, having COVID-19. Ah, on site at these hotels, um, 6 7 particularly the ones that Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice supports, we have reentry providers that are 8 9 providing, ah, daily wellness checks. Ah, we're coordinating to provide medical services, um, at the, 10 11 at these sites. Ah, we provide essential supplies, 12 such as phones, ah, food vouchers or food, um, ah, we 13 provide, um, access and referrals to benefits 14 assistance, um, to longer-term housing placements, 15 ah, and we, and while we have reentry providers that 16 are on site providing, ah, supplies and doing 17 wellness checks and making sure that they are 18 contacting people who are in the hotels, we also are accessing our ongoing reentry supports, particularly, 19 the Jails to Jobs service providers. Ah, and all of 20 21 those service providers are providing continued 2.2 services, um, virtually, ah, doing case management, 2.3 um, the same types of referrals, ah, that they have always done. Those services are of course available 24

2.2

2.3

2 not just to people who are in the hotel sites, but to 3 anyone who is coming out of Riker's Island.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, appreciate that.

But I also wanted [inaudible] the DAs [inaudible],

you know, found that that program, they claim, was a,

was a, this it their words, "It was a seemingly

haphazard process." Do you agree with that

assertion, and why do you believe that is an

assertion that they made?

 $\label{eq:def:DIRECTOR GLAZER:} \mbox{ The process of release}$ or the process?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: The process of release. This was a March 29 letter where there was a concern expressed, ah, by the DAs that the, this was a seemingly haphazard process.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yeah, I mean, I would take issue with that and, um, I'm sure you have my letter back to them as well. Um, I mean, obviously this was a moment of great crisis, ah, and 24/7 work by everybody, by the DAs, defenders, us, um, all our partners, DOC, um, and I think under the circumstances the review of every single person in custody, ah, who had, ah, who was particularly vulnerable to these, ah, symptoms, ah, it's certainly

2.2

2.3

themselves.

possible that not every person in the justice system

was happy with the outcomes. Um, but I think the

city did quite a good job, um, with our partners in

order to do that review and to be as responsible as

possible, um, and I think the results, um, speak for

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, thank you. I'm gonna get it over, ah, hand it over to, to Chair Lancman, um, [inaudible] support it, I think both of us, but I know I supported doing ah, ah, ah more release of folks that, um, were particularly vulnerable and, um, are there, one last question, are there are individuals, I ask this of [inaudible] are there individuals that are being held in our city jails today that you think should be, additional folks that should be [inaudible], you don't have, ah, the ability to release but are, do you believe there are additional individuals that should be released due to either a health condition, underlying health condition, being vulnerable, or being in any way risk of the COVID virus?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So I, you know, I think it's not just one thing. That's what makes this, um, such a difficult process. It's not only the numerous

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

- 2 different decision-makers, but it's both a public
- 3 | health, um, evaluation and a public safety
- 4 | evaluation. Um, we're very, ah, fortunate to have a
- 5 | first-class public health system within our jails.
- 6 | I, you know, as the corrections commissioner
- 7 | testified it's now, the jails are now half empty to
- 8 permit the kind of social distancing and medical
- 9 | care. So, obviously, you know, there continued to be
- 10 people coming into the system, even though at a much
- 11 | reduced rate, um, and, ah, you know, we continue to
- 12 keep our eye on that ball.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Yes or no would
- 14 | have been OK as well, um, I do, I do get concerned
- 15 | that there may be additional folks that are not. But
- 16 anyway, um, I'll hand it over to Chair, to Chair
- 17 | Lancman. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Good afternoon, how
- 19 | are you?
- 20 DIRECTOR GLAZER: Good, how are you?
- 21 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Very good, thank
- 22 you. So, um, I wrote in the Daily News and I, and I
- 23 | believe it firmly that while there's more to do the,
- 24 the effort to quickly, ah, decarcerate Riker's and
- 25 | the city jails has been, um, very successful and

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

something that we should all be proud of and I, I, I hope and understand that the newer [inaudible] crowd, um, as well. You know, one of the things that sets, sticks out in my mind, um, we were, of course, told that if you let all these people out, um, crime will, will, will rise. There will be, and there will be a, a, a get out of jail free card for people to go on, on a crime spree and, and, of course, you know, that did not come to pass, and just looking at MOCJ's, ah, weekly, ah, New York City jail population reduction in the time of COVID-19 update, um, you report that 95% of the people who were released, who, who were released under the, um, Article 6-A of the State Correction Law, the people serving a city sentence, a sentence of, of less than a year, 95% of those people have not been rearrested while in the program. another way, 5% have. I mean, can you get any, I guess you could get better than that, it can be 100%. But that, that, that is, I think it's fair to say, um, a very safe and effective way to release people and, and keep them, um, out of harm's way. Would you agree?

 $\label{eq:definition} \mbox{DIRECTOR GLAZER:} \quad \mbox{I can answer yes or no}$ to that, ah, and yes.

1

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: You could expand if you wanted to, but you, you're not required.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Well, yes, I mean, I

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

3

think the, when I said that I think there are gonna be some lessons learned here, um, obviously it's still early days. Obviously we're living in a very different city than hopefully we will emerge into, but we certainly find those results very, very heartening. Um, and there is something to be learned there, um, about what the purpose and function of jail is, um, and I think it is something that, um, our colleagues across the criminal justice system have taken very, very seriously in this crisis. Um, the DAs, the defenders, DOC, CHS, um, have really grappled with, um, and been respectful of the different views and issues that go into making a decision to release. But I think it's a very, um,

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

it's heartening.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: You, you see that data and you report the data. I got the data from, from you and you see the data. Um, Commissioner, former Commissioner Bill Bratton took to Twitter to write, "As predicted, the crime virus is expanding

25

rapidly as the jail population is decreasing

2.2

2.3

virus?

rapidly." Is it fair to say that you don't share his
view that, that we have unleashed, all of us in our
collective efforts have, have unleashed is a crime

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Look, we always want to be, ah, keep an eye on crime and what's driving it.

Ah, at least in the early returns right now, ah, it doesn't seem, from where I sit, um, that the data is showing that the releases, the COVID-related releases are driving, um, a crime spike.

about that process. Ah, because you also have a very hard job. You've got five elected district attorneys, a special narcotic prosecutor, the courts, each individual judge, who many of them are, are, are themselves independently elected, um, you know, I'm reminded of the, the, the metaphor that some wise sage senator said about, about, about being majority leader of the, the Senate, it's like trying to herd cats. Can, can you walk us through in some detail the process for how the people who are going to be released, um, were identified? Let's start with the one that, that you probably have the most have the most control over and that is the, the city sentence

2 folks. Was that you and the, the corrections

3 commissioner? Who else sitting in the room, going,

4 going person by person, with certain categories of

5 offenses eliminated for some consideration right off

6 the bat. How, how did we get to that number that you

7 got to?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So I'm fortunate to have, ah, our deputy director, Deanna Logan, who led so many of these conversations, um, with me. So let me, why don't I start and, ah, Deanna, if there is anything you would like to add that would be terrific. Um, one of the things that, ah, you know, we, ah, it sometimes sounds like a joke, but we work very closely with our partners to determine how [inaudible], um, and, ah, this crisis has very much accelerated, ah, that working relationship. I think, ah, you know, we've already heard mention of that among many different agencies. Um, but what it did was really bring together on a daily basis, um, our court system, our DAs, our defenders, us, our sister city agencies, um, in a common effort, even though we sat in different places and had different views, um, to figure out what could we do in this crisis, um, to, ah, to slow or halt the spread of the disease in

add to that. Maybe needs to...

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 our jails. Um, and there were literally daily calls, hourly contact, um, with each of those offices, um, 3 to get input and ideas on, ah, what we should look 4 at. Um, we were guided very much by CHS, ah, who is able to, um, to tell us, ah, who were the people who 6 7 had, ah, as an aggregate matter, ah, suffered from the kinds of underlying conditions, ah, that may make 8 them more vulnerable to the disease. Um, so it was really a process, um, that, ah, was intense and 10

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Can we, can we unmute her? Alana? Can we unmute Deanna Logan? Here we go.

daily, um, and, Deanna, I don't know if you'd like to

DEPUTY DIRECTOR LOGAN: Hi, good
afternoon, yes. So, ah, just echoing what Director
Glazer said, all of the system actors committed an
[inaudible] amount of time, but not only time, but
executive levels, individuals who are actually
decision-makers. So on a daily basis the decisionmakers in all of the offices, members of the court
administration, defense bar, made their personnel
available to review cases and then after doing their
reviews they took action in making sure that cases

2.2

2.3

consent to release.

2 were calendared very expeditiously to have

individuals brought before court so that cases could either be expedited for completion and/or bail reduced in many of the cases that they reviewed for, um, a number of cases that CHS brought to their attention, but then ones that, ah, defense bar brought to DAs' attentions, all of the system players made sure that they were able to on a daily basis be available and, and when we say daily we not just talking about during the week, we're talking about hours on weekends and nights and, um, pretty much at the drop of a hat they made themselves available. So that process meant reviewing people who were pretrial as well as city sentence going through and getting

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Let me ask you about the, the city sentence. Um, because if I'm not mistaken that decision is a unilateral one, correct? That's, that's, that's the city, or to be more specifically the, the Commission of Corrections, right, you don't need the district attorneys'

the individuals that after balancing health and

public safe concerns the parties believe they could

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

2 approval or courts' approval to put someone into 3 that, that 6-A program, do you?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR LOGAN: We do not need the DAs' approval or consent on those individuals, that is correct.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: OK. So...

pust jump in for, for one second. Um, I think any decision-maker, ah, is always grateful for and welcomes and wants to have, um, information before they make a decision. So what, um, what we can with respect to a case or the circumstances of a case, um, is quite minimal. I, we can see what the charge is.

I, we don't necessarily know whether the charge describes the conduct. Ah, we really don't, we meaning the city, um, don't know that. So consultation as Deanna sort of laid out was and is an important part of, um, of making those decisions.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Were there any hard and fast rules? For example, was it necessary for someone to be considered that they have some kind of underlying medical condition that made them particularly vulnerable if they contracted COVID-19?

2.

1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Correct.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Our, our focus was, um, to prioritize those who had an underlying medical condition or were over 50 years old, um, which were sort of the two, you know, main, um, main areas of [inaudible]. Um, but we were also interested in ensuring that we could, um, make the kind of space, um, within the jails, um, that would permit DOC and CHS to do the kind of social distancing and care that they wanted to do, um, and so if the DAs themselves, I mean, this wasn't just us, the DAs themselves worked with the courts, um, with lists of people that they consented to release, and so I don't think it was, our priority was to focus on people with those issues, but our priority was also to make space and if the days and I agreed there were a number of different routes to go there.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: So in terms of the city sentence folks if someone didn't have an underlying condition and over 50 they still might have been considered? Maybe not in the first round, maybe not in the first day, but, but they weren't barred from being considered, is that, is that correct?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

any, ah, just focusing on the city sentence right because, again, just the things that you control, even though I understand and it certainly makes sense to me you'd want to solicit input from a wide range of sources, sources. But for the city sentence folks, um, were there any offenses or charges that were, ah, um, would preclude them from, from being considered for this program? For example, you know, we're not letting anyone, ah, ah, serving, serving a sentence for a sex offense, or some other offense?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yeah, I mean, I think there was a particular concern, um, around sex offenses, ah, [inaudible] conduct and, you know, DV behavior, um, some kinds of violent offences, ah, so definitely there were things that, um, that, ah, you know, seemed to be limiting factors to some degree. But no hard and fast rules, um, you know, it's a program that needs flexibility. The commissioner has to exercise her discretion, ah, and so having information is important.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Did, um, did at some point, you had mentioned earlier, ah, the

COMMITTE	Έ	ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	W٦	ТТН	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

importance of creating enough space at Riker's for
there to be proper social distancing. At, at,
was there some, some target number to, to reach after

5 which, let's just say the kind of intensity that, um,

6 Ms. Logan described, ah, had and, and OK, we've,

7 | we've cleared out enough people, now they socially

8 distance, let's move on to other things?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: I mean, I think there was, um, a, a sort of natural and organic, um, process in which the decisions became harder and harder. There may be people who have underlying conditions but the nature of the offense or other concerns, um, that our partners expressed meant that, um, that was not going to be a release. So there was, we never had a quota or particular number we were, ah, we were aiming for because it was really a case by case, um, evaluation.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Is that case by case evaluation still going on or if anyone is in Riker's now on a city sentence basically they've been evaluated and it is what it is? They're, they're gonna serve out their term.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: I mean, right now for the city sentenced, um, we are not because of the

one that has to be exercised.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

nature of what's happening in the court system, we're
not seeing any newly city sentenced people, um, so we
have gone through, ah, that, ah, that evaluation
process, um, again with our partners and again, you
know, taking guidance from, ah, the corrections

commissioner, who, whose discretion is obviously the

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Um, so my understanding from, from the, your data, which is I could figure it out, um, eliminating the people who are, ah, pretrial, now moving away from the city sentence, moving to the pretrial population. um, the, the, not including the people have a, are remanded or have another warrant or hold, looking at about 1300 people who were held purely on cash bail, um, and again people not remanded, people who don't have some other hold, um, or, or warrant, ah, you know, a lot of people would think that's, on any, on any given day it's hard to, to, to swallow that somebody's incarcerated because they don't have the money to, to bail themselves out. Um, but particularly with what's going on with COVID-19 it seems particularly potentially, ah, tragic, um, is any, is there any ongoing effort being made to try to

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

figure out a way to get those approximately 1300

people out maybe through some mechanism other than,

4 than, than cash bail so that they're not sitting in

Riker's during the coronavirus crisis, um, because

6 | they don't have money?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yeah, so I don't, I can't confirm that that's right, the 1300. Um, if it comes more data, great, um, I, so I mean we have done quite an extensive process, um, ah, but less so about people coming in right now, um, so obviously the pretrial population is a more dynamic population.

People are leaving, um, and people are coming in, though obviously at much reduced rates. Ah, so, you know, there's a point at which, um, ah, the district attorneys, the police department, other people, ah, in the criminal justice system, ah, feel that in fact, ah, incarceration is the right, ah, the right place for the individual. Um, and so that's where we have, that's where we have landed.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Um, I don't think it would be fruitful for me to ask you to, ah, assess each of the district attorneys' level of, ah, cooperation and, and enthusiasm, um, but I'd love to know it and I certainly wouldn't want to miss the

2.2

2.3

opportunity for you to share it if it's something that you want to.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: I mean, I think the district attorneys have, are, you know, this has been an incredibly difficult, ah, crisis for everybody and for them and their offices as well. They have been just remarkably dedicated and, ah, at a time when they were setting up parallel system, ah, to, ah, to, ah, to carry out their duties, um, really sort of put their shoulder to the wheel on these issues as well, um, with a great deal of care and thought. Um, they obviously have an array of different, ah, views and, ah, they also have constituents, so, um, so, but I, I really, ah, I was honored to be able to work with them, even if we didn't see eye to eye all the time on every single thing.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: But that's good to hear. So my last question, just like, so we're on the same page 'cause it's hard to believe in my mind but apparently it's the case, um, the entire effort to reduce the population at Riker's Island is, is driven, is it not, by an understanding that the jail setting, even in the best of circumstances, is much more dangerous, much more likely to spread infection,

2.2

2.3

um, than having people at home or, or in some kind of
work release program. I mean, I mean, that's why you
went through all this trouble, isn't it?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So, I mean, I think
that, you know, we've heard from experts about the,
you know, the issue of congregate settings and, you
know, we as the city and our partners took that
seriously, no question. But there are balancing
tests here also. Ah, test makes it too formal, but
there, there is more than one consideration. There's
always more than one consideration, which is why, um,
it wasn't just a flick of a pen.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Oh, I understand that. And I, I agree with you and appreciate the amount of thought and effort that you went into, to balancing those, um, those, those considerations. It's, it's strange to me to hear, but potentially we're gonna hear later, um, an assertion that, that the, the, the increased risk at Riker's Island is not an actual fact and not an actual consideration, as opposed to it is a fact, it is a consideration, and of course it has to be balanced, you know, alongside other considerations, like public safety, etcetera. Um, listen, I thank

2.2

2.3

you for your testimony. I thank you and your whole team, ah, for, ah, your very hard work and, um, to a very significant degree, ah, you're, you're a great success. I would just respectfully, ah, urge that you not let up if, ah, you know, we flatten the curve, if, um, ah, Riker's appears to have more space now than it did before, um, there are no, we're here to hear the testimony of the, the people from the Board of Corrections, um, but they, like everyone else, we should all be very, very worried about a return to the, to the population size at, at Riker's driving the return, ah, in force of a new wave of the, the coronavirus. So, thank you very much.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Thank you.

now call on council members in the order they have used the Zoom raise hand function. If you would like to ask a question and you have not yet used the Zoom raise hand function please raise it now. Council members, please keep your questions to three minutes. The Sergeant at Arms will keep a timer and will let you know when your time is up. You should begin once I have called on you and the sergeant has announced that you may begin before you deliver your, before

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

delivering your testimony. Um, the only hand I see
is Council Member Holden. So, Council Member Holden.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you, Director. I'll just, ah, I want to address the public safety aspect of it, which, um, I think many of us are concerned about in the public. I'm, I'm looking at Gothamist article, about a couple of weeks ago. It said the police say that nearly 110 people who allegedly reoffended were charged with 190, ah, arrests, because some are accused of multiple new crimes. Ah, none was accused of murder, but there were several charged with major crimes. Do you have a breakdown of the crimes that individuals were arrested for after being released? Um, I don't have that at hand. Um, I would say that, um, you know, COVID has not ended crime. People leaving jail committed crimes before and they'll commit crimes after. Ah, and I think what we have our eye on is, um, what kinds of crimes, ah, is it more or less than we might expect in a pre-COVID period, totally understanding what a strange moment we are at right now. So we take it seriously. We very much, um, you know, are, obviously talk to the police department

UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, but you can't sort of

say things that are just patently untrue.

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Well, and you can, you can answer, you can answer that after I'm finished. But we are risking the public to a certain design. How many crimes, how many victims are we gonna allow by just letting people before they, they're doing their time. So there, there is a case here where we're putting the, the, ah, the public at risk, and we have to take that into consideration. There was a, there was a man that attempted to rape a woman he didn't know. He was in the jail, he got released on a technicality, or, ah, some parole violation, but you have to look at the greater picture here. What advantage does the public have in releasing people who have committed a crime over and over again and are released into the public?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yep.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So I would just say
that I, the public safety issue is an important
issue. There is no question that that is absolutely
an important thing in the balance. Ah, I would just
take very strong exception to the notion, um, that
every single crime that's committed is committed
because of these releases. Crime existed before,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, all right.

I, I agree. But I didn't say that. I didn't even come close to saying that. OK, Chair, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Now back to Chair Powers for additional questions.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Um, we're gonna move on, I think, um, out of respect for everybody's time. Thank you to MOCJ for your time and testimony. I think you're back at the council, I think even tomorrow for, ah, a budget hearing. So [inaudible] questions as well. Thank you, ah, and be well.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I think we're gonna move on now to the district attorneys.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Now we will call on the district attorneys to testify.

First we will hear from District Attorney McMahon, followed by Special Prosecutor Bridget Brennan, Jill Harris, director of policy and strategy for the Kings County DA, Derek Lynton, Chief Assistant District Attorney for the Bronx DA, Jennifer Nyberg, Chief Executive Assistant District Attorney for the Queens District Attorney. Before we begin I will administer

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

CHIEF EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DISTRICT

3 ATTORNEY NYBERG: I do.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And I will call on District Attorney McMahon.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: I do, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Special

Prosecutor Bridget Brennan you may begin.

SPECIAL PROSECUTOR BRENNAN: OK. of all, I want to thank the chairs for calling this, ah, calling this committee meeting and inviting me to testify. I think this is a critical issue for all of us and it's important to have the opportunity to discuss our strategies and the process and programs that we've used to reduce the population of city jails in response to the COVID crisis. And I want to say before I go any further how closely we have worked with MOCJ, which has, ah, demonstrated tremendous leadership in this area, particularly the director, Liz Glazer, and our primary contact, Deanna Logan, have been just extraordinary, and we've worked closely with the defense bar, with the court system. Ah, it has really brought us all together and has taught us a deep respect for each other, ah, and how to listen to each other, how to listen carefully and

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 try to get us to the best result during this terrible crisis. Ah, you have heard the statistics on this 3 4 crisis from the experts. And I won't go through those again. But I will tell you about our approach. The compassionate release of prisoners who are high 6 7 risk of serious complications from the virus is a 8 priority to us, so long as the release will not jeopardize public safety and as long as we are confident that those who are released will return to 10 11 court. Due to criminal justice reforms which 12 eliminated bail or remand for the vast majority of 13 narcotics offenses, relatively few individuals facing prosecution by our office were confined, and in case 14 15 you are not aware of the specific jurisdiction of our 16 office, we have jurisdiction of felony narcotics 17 offenses throughout New York City and we focus on the 18 highest level of offenders. Our goal is to prevent 19 deaths. So we investigate a lot of cartel-related 20 cases, um, cases of distribution of narcotics-related 21 overdose deaths, and public safety is our highest priority. 73 incarcerated defendants faced charges 2.2 2.3 brought by our office at the start of this crisis in mid-March, and most were charged as operating as a 24

major trafficker or were facing weapons or assault

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 charges. We worked closely with MOCJ to identify 3 defendants appropriate for release. We continually 4 track and update information on all incarcerated defendants, including information from reports or letters relevant to the defendant's heightened health 6 7 risk. This system, in the form of a spreadsheet, is circulated among our executive staff and used to 8 initially evaluate requests for release. Each case is then reviewed by the individual assistant district 10 11 attorney assigned to the matter and by the bureau chief. We're also able to see if defendants whose 12 13 release we have agreed to are still incarcerated and once we have agreed to it and we know that we don't 14 15 have any holds because of, ah, the difficulties with 16 communication within the system, sometimes we could 17 see that they remained incarcerated, and then we 18 would follow up with the mayor's office to understand why that was happening and make sure they knew that 19 20 we were, ah, agreeing to release. Over the past two 21 months 40 defendants have sought review of their incarceration status in our cases. These reviews 2.2 2.3 were brought on, ah, in a number of ways, including requests for review of vulnerable defendants by MOCJ, 24

writs of habeas corpus, and individual requests and

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

bail applications to release by individual defense In addition, recently we were presented with a request for electronic monitoring, ah, of a defendant who is currently remanded. Of this 40 defendants approximately 42%, 17, have been released with our consent as part of our review process. have opposed the applications, ah, for bail or writs of habeas corpus as to 23 defendants. In these cases our opposition was based on concerns for public safety or flight, ah, and none of these applications for release which we have opposed have been judicially granted. We have also closely analyzed information provided in individual cases and publicly available data on the risk to prisoners in custody of the coronavirus at Riker's, and when we have an application we do reach out to, ah, the Correctional Health Services to get as much information as we can about the health risk to the prisoner. Now, to demonstrate how we factor in these considerations I'm going to go through, ah, an application that's currently pending for a defendant who was indicted on the crimes of attempt assault in the first degree, reckless endangerment in the second degree, ah, and criminal weapons possessions, conspiracy, as well as

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

narcotics charges. Many of these charges stem from his alleged participation in two shootings, which were captured on video surveillance, and telephone calls intercepted on a wire tap. The defendant and his family members discussed the purchase of bulletproof vests which would be sewn into hooded sweatshirts. Multiple firearms were recovered during the investigation. Shortly before these incidents the defendant was released from federal prison, having serving, ah, served a 20-year sentence for a conviction of conspiracy to distribute heroin. the current charges as a [inaudible] felon he faces up to 15 years, ah, of incarceration. In this application we have argued against release, ah, for public safety reasons. But we didn't do that without first doing a thorough review of all available health records, indicating that the health care he received while incarcerated did actually protect this defendant's well-being and it appears that he may have had better access to appropriate care, ah, while he was incarcerated than he might have had when he was at liberty. In his application the defendant states that his pre-existing health conditions escalate the risk of serious complications from the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

virus and support his request for release. evaluated his claims using reliable statistical data and comparing the health risk related to COVID-19 in city jails to the risks faced by an ordinary New York citizen. Our review of his medical records indicates that this particular defendant may have had better access to virus testing and follow-up care than available to the ordinary citizen at the time. received two COVID-19 tests at a time when most of New York was unable to obtain a test. Because the virus was identified through early testing he immediately received supportive care and was transferred to a unit dedicated to inmates exposed to COVID-19 who became ill. This suggests a level of care that the severely strained city healthcare system could not have provided during that same period of time. There is no reason to believe he will not continue to receive appropriate care while incarcerated. And we balance all of these factors in determining whether we should consent to his release. And in this case, balancing the public safety concerns and risks against his health status, we have determined that we should oppose release in the interest of protecting the people in the city. Our

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM ability to respond is enhanced by the public reporting of relevant information by the Department of Corrections. DOCS regularly reports on the number of inmates under observations, whether they are symptomatic or not symptomatic, and you've heard all about this. Ah, their reporting is excellent and their responsiveness is excellent. Our concern, one concern we do have, ah, regarding those who have been released is the inability to supervise them in a meaningful way while we are in the grips of this pandemic. Our concern is best exemplified by a defendant who was released to the community by the DOCS commissioner under Article 6-A, which pertains to convicted prisoners, as you know, who are serving a year or less. This defendant faced a felony charge and he was allowed to plead to a year. The released prisoner, ah, had a release date of August 30 for selling drugs. While we consented to the majority or agreed with the majority of 6-A releases this was one of the few where we raised concerns, because this individual had three prior felony convictions, two of

which were for violent crimes. We were concerned

conditions of release. And almost immediately after

that he was unlikely to obey the law and the

2 his release my office was contacted by the lawyer for 3 the landlord of the building that he immediately 4 returned to, and he said that the defendant resumed drug dealing from his home while he was still wearing corrections clothes upon his release. And a steady 6 7 stream of strangers entered the building to purchase drugs, putting other residents at great risk. Ah, it 8 9 became apparent that at this point in time there wasn't a great meaningful mechanism in place for 10 11 supervising or sanctioning the defendant. The only 12 suggestion was to conduct an NYPD investigation and 13 make a new arrest. Ah, this is not possible under the current circumstances. These are not the kinds 14 15 of cases that the NYPD was typically involved in at 16 the time we received this complaint. And apart from 17 that we're not really looking to return defendants to 18 jail. We're really looking for effective means to keep them out of jail when they, ah, are committing 19 20 low-level, nonviolent offenses, as this person was. 21 However, the lawyer pointed out that the 2.2 neighborhoods should not be subject to increased risk 2.3 of infection, not to mention the other risks posed by this early release. I'm in complete agreement that 24 25 we want to get away from a system that incarcerates

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

people for nonviolent offenses. But instead of arrest and incarceration we must have an effective means of protecting the public. In this instance, under these unique circumstances of the pandemic it was clear that the neighbors felt their safety was compromised solely to benefit the released prisoner who immediately flouted the law. And there was little we could do about it. Ah, the current restrictions on the supervised release program didn't allow them, ah, you know, a great opportunity to intervene, ah, and as I say this is not, we're not looking to return defendants to jail at this time, but we do need a means, an effective means, of intervening. So that is one thing that I think we all should be looking at. If we don't want to keep this cycle going we need to think of how it is we're going to satisfy neighbors, satisfy the public that their safety isn't being compromised. I have one comment, um, on the proposal to create a local conditional release commission, ah, designed to address these same concerns about releasing defendants who are serving less than a year. understanding is that this commission would not take the place of the DOCS commissioner and the DOCS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM commissioner would still have authority to release, ah, defendants, obviously, who would simply amplify this. But at this time I would view this as redundant. Um, I believe there are fewer than probably a hundred defendants who are now serving sentences of this nature, and of this hundred the DOCS or the commission would have very limited, much more limited authority, much more limited than the DOCS commissioner. Their authority would be restricted. Ah, and so at this point in time when the city is facing a financial crisis, to me it appears unnecessary. Secondly, it didn't appear to me in looking at the proposal that it would have the built-in kind of authority to do the type of supervision that I'm talking about, have the, ah, ability to intervene in a meaningful way. finally, I would also remind the council that the city did have a commission like this, which was disbanded about 15 years ago after being the subject of several scandals, um, accusations of releasing people on the basis of favoritism or, ah, political connections, and if you are going to, ah, institute

anything like this I would hope that you would build

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 in some kind of protections which would prevent that 3 from ever happening. Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have District Attorney McMahon.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Ah, thank you, Council. Am I coming through?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Can you hear me? Good. Thank you very much. Good afternoon, ah, Chairpersons Lancman and Powers, ah, and all of the members of the committee, ah, and all those interested in this very important, ah, topic that's brought up by this, ah, hearing today, and Thank you for allowing me to represent, ah, the people of Staten Island and the office of the Richmond County District Attorney with this testimony today. As district attorney, my primary concerns are to serve victims of crime, uphold the rule of law, and keep our community safe by preventing and prosecuting crime. When the coronavirus pandemic reached our shores and spread rapidly through all of New York City, it created an unprecedented emergency for both public health experts and law enforcement agencies alike. We have all felt the massive strain this

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

health crisis has placed on the entire system, and my office has been working diligently with our partners, including the NYPD, to ensure the coronavirus pandemic did not cripple law enforcement's ability to protect and serve the people of the City of New York. At the same time, we recognized early on how COVID-19 infections could have the potential to overwhelm our city jails and juvenile detention facilities if containment efforts were not immediately undertaken. From the outset we recommended, ah, in a letter from the city's prosecutors simple measures that should have been put into place to protect inmates and correction staff, calling on the city to reopen shuttered buildings on Riker's Island to allow for social distancing and better quarantining and care of the sick. Instead, the administration focused on releasing as many defendants as possible from Riker's When this process began we were asked to consider consenting to the release of those convicted of nonviolent, non-domestic violence, and non-sexual abuse-related offenses with a short time remaining on their sentences. In several instances we were able to identify individuals who had little time left in their sentences and posed a minimal risk to public

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM safety and did give our compassionate consent to early release. In other instances we have been actively working with defense counsel and the court to expeditiously connect detainees with treatment providers who can serve their serious substance use disorder and mental health needs outside of Riker's, either as part of a plea or while they await trial. In our view, the compassionate release of these defendants would not pose a risk to public safety so long as the city upheld its promise to closely monitor them through supervised or work-release programs. Despite our good faith efforts, misquided and agenda-driven activists, led by the Legal Aid Society and other public defender groups, have used this as an opportunity to demand the total emptying of our jails. In an egregious example, Legal Aid attorneys successfully petitioned the court for the release of a 77-year-old Staten Island defendant who had tested positive for COVID-19 while at Riker's Island after being charged with the course of sexual conduct against a child, for allegedly abusing a minor on multiple occasions. Over our objections, but with the blessings of the city, this COVID-

positive defendant was released into a city-run

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

nursing complex on Roosevelt Island, where it was later reported that over 70 patients had thereafter become infected with coronavirus. It is unfathomable that the city would place a COVID-positive inmate in the same facilities as law-abiding and high-risk New Yorkers, but sadly this is the state of our current reality. While our concerns are many, we remain most dismayed by the utter dismissal the city has shown toward the victims of crime. Many defendants are being released equipped with cab fare, cell phones, and a key to a hotel room, regardless of the crime they committed or their current health condition. Ironically, few, if any, of these resources have been made available to the victims, who are also at risk, especially domestic violence survivors in women's shelters or children being cared for in foster homes. I think it's also been quite clear by the testimony here today that in many cases those who find themselves incarcerated in Riker's Island over the course of this pandemic have had access to more testing and more health care than the average New Yorker and certainly, sad to say, that the death rates on Staten Island, a different island, have been higher than those of those who find themselves

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 incarcerated on Riker's because of the coronavirus. 3 Again, we have to be compassionate for those who are 4 incarcerated, ah, in our, ah, penal system. But at the same time we cannot use this crisis as a reason, ah, to let those, ah, fulfill their long-standing 6 7 agendas to decarcerate our society. As long as individuals commit crimes which are acts against 8 individual victims or society, ah, and against the norms of the society, then we have to have a system 10 11 that provides for their accountability as well as provides for those who are the victims of crimes. 12 13 The so-called decarceration, or emptying of our 14 jails, does not provide that in any way. One area 15 that I would like to also discuss, ah, is, ah, some 16 proposed legislation, ah, that the council is 17 considering, in particular the local release 18 commission in New York City. I am compelled to 19 express my deep reservations on both practical and 20 constitutional grounds. As is in my written 21 testimony, there is a series of cases that would call into question the constitutional authority that this, 2.2 2.3 ah, commission would have, as it takes away the power, ah, from a sentencing judge to set the 24

sentence of someone who's convicted of a crime.

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM other problem, as my colleague, Special Prosecutor Bridget Brennan mentioned, ah, is that the history of this commission, ah, is, is questionable at best. In fact, I was a member of the City Council back in 2004, ah, when the commission was, ah, alleged and then proven to have been involved, ah, in conspiracy and bribery, ah, around the sentence of State Senator Guy Velella, ah, who had been convicted on numerous counts of conspiracy, ah, and bribery relating to a scheme where Velella and his codefendants, ah, received money over a period of time to allow, ah, public works construction projects. Ah, the commission at that time, ah, reduced, ah, a year-long sentence to 12 weeks, ah, and did not follow its procedures, ah, and, ah, at that time Mayor Bloomberg accepted the resignation of all the members of this commission and allowed it to expire in 2005, and this was not the only allegation made against this commission. Given the fact that the jurisdiction of this commission would be so limited, ah, given the fact that it calls into question constitutional, ah, abrogations of the judge's power, ah, I believe that this, ah, legislation should be, ah, rejected by the

City Council. We've done so much on Staten Island

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM and indeed across our city to make the justice system more equitable, ah, and sanctions more appropriate for those who have been convicted of violating our One need not look further, ah, than the population of Riker's Island, which is lower than it has been since the 1940s for proof that our city's criminal justice apparatus has sought every opportunity to divert offenders out of the criminal justice system and into meaningful engagement with pro-social services. The proliferation of [inaudible] community providers who offer quality mental health and drug treatment, batter intervention, neighborhood place making, and other programs is evidence that we are continuing to move beyond incarceration as the only tool to hold offenders accountable. We must not be so naïve as to think that there is no need for incarceration and that cutting short judicial sentences in line with the laws of our state should be the norm as opposed to the exception. Any crime committed by someone who is released before the conclusion of their sentence represents a failing, not just of that individual but of the system and the safeguards put in place to

ensure the offender's safe reintegration into the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community. So the commission and all the early releases that are continuing to be considered by the city unnecessarily creates more opportunities for such a failing. In conclusion, let me note that we have seen several serious crimes committed in the last weeks on Staten Island, including the double homicide of a pregnant woman and her boyfriend, and multiple unrelated arrests relating to the possession of large caches of weapons, including improvised explosive devices, or IEDs, flame throwers, and yes, I said flame throwers, and dozens of firearms. each arraignment we successfully argued for bail or remand to be set, and these defendants currently remain incarcerated pending trial. But based on what we have seem from the advocates thus far, it would come as no surprise to see calls for their release without any accountability as well. The delusional mission to empty all jails will make us less safe in the end, especially the victims, whose voices continued to be ignored throughout this crisis, as they have been for far too long. As Justice Benjamin Cardozo said, "Justice, though due to the accused, is due the accuser also." As we struggle every day to contain the coronavirus pandemic, our elected leaders

need to serve all New Yorkers, not just the loudest
and most extreme. Again, I thank you for your
attention and time. I look forward to any questions

5 you may have.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have Director Jill Harris. Director Harris?

DIRECTOR HARRIS: Thank you, Chairman Lancman, Chairman Powers, members of the Committees on Criminal Justice and the Justice System for this opportunity to testify regarding COVID-19 in city jails and juvenile detention facilities. My name is Jill Harris and I am the chief of policy and strategy in the office of Brooklyn district attorney, Eric Gonzalez. When the first cases of COVID-19 were reported in New York, it became immediately clear to all of us who work in the criminal justice system that jails and prisons would be hard hit by the virus and that it would be essential to reduce the number of people on Riker's Island to slow the spread of this deadly disease. From the earliest days of the health crisis the Brooklyn DA's office has acted urgently and intentionally to reduce the number of people from Brooklyn who are detained on Riker's, where doing so would not create an undue risk of harm

to any person or to the public. DA Gonzalez views it 2 as his solemn responsibility to keep his constituents 3 safe and he understands that his constituents include 4 people who are incarcerated. During this public health emergency, in trying to do our part to reduce 6 7 the jail population, our office has had to strike a 8 balance between protecting the health and safety of 9 people incarcerated at Riker's and those who work there by consenting to releases that will reduce the 10 11 population and allow for more social distancing, and, 12 on the other hand, protecting victims of crime and 13 the public by supporting the continued detention of 14 individuals who we believe would be likely to commit 15 further acts of violence if released. Striking this 16 balance in favor of releasing someone is especially 17 challenging in Brooklyn because of the work our 18 office has already done to reduce incarceration. Before the pandemic we had already taken aggressive 19 20 steps to ensure that we were not incarcerating people 21 on low-level cases, because we view jail and prison 2.2 as extraordinary responses that should not be sought 2.3 if a non-jail alternative is available that will not endanger the public. DA Gonzalez changed our 24 25 office's bail policy well before last year's changes

2 in the state law, instructing our ADAs to consent to 3 release said arraignments unless public safety or 4 risk of flight in serious cases demanded that we seek bail and remand in a particular case. And of course we've diverted countless people into services and 6 programs to address the circumstances in their lives 7 8 that contributed to their criminal offenses, rather 9 than simply seeking to lock them up. So if we ask a court to incarcerate someone it is because we believe 10 11 that public safety requires it. But the pandemic has 12 forced us to change our calculus and we are 13 revisiting even those cases in light of the risk of 14 infection on Riker's Island. We have been going 15 through our Riker's cases involving individuals who, 16 because of age or underlying health condition, may be 17 particularly vulnerable to serious illness if they 18 contract COVID-19. In some cases we have received 19 lists of names from the mayor's office or from the 20 Corrections Health Services. In other cases, defense 21 attorneys have reached out to us, asking us to 2.2 consent to their client's release. Many cases have 2.3 been brought as writs. And in others we have simply asked our ADAs to review their own cases for possible 24 25 release in light of the health emergency.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Gonzalez has put together a small team of senior executives to help him conduct these reviews. have done a case-by-case review to determine what, if any, conditions would allow us to consent to a person's release without putting a victim or the public at undue risk. In many cases this has meant working with defense counsel and service providers to provide, to find supportive housing, drug and mental health treatment, and other services to support the person released and reduce the danger to the public. You can imagine how challenging this has been during this extraordinary situation. Our team has also considered cases where the person may not have any special health vulnerability, but in the interest of reducing the population at Riker's to permit more opportunity for social distancing we might consent to their release. And so from March 12 to May 15 the number of people on Riker's Island from Brooklyn dropped by 316 people, a decrease of 28%, and our reviews are ongoing. There have been cases where we have opposed release, gotten new information, gone back and reviewed the cases. The cases, as I said, are ongoing. DA Gonzalez has personally reviewed every single one of these cases. The decisions were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

often difficult, but we feel comfortable that we've been striking the appropriate balance. In cases that have victims, which is most of these cases, we have reached out to the victims when we were considering releasing the person charged with hurting them, getting their opinions, and, if necessary, helping them with safety planning, including orders of protection, getting locks changed, or potentially These services are especially critical relocating. in domestic violence cases, as I'm sure you can appreciate. The individuals we did not consent to release are charged with very serious, violent crimes, including armed robberies, rapes, murder, attempted murder or very brutal domestic violence assaults. And in these cases we have very strong evidence. Mindful as we are of the conditions on Riker's and the health risks to those confined there, these are not individuals we feel comfortable releasing into our neighborhoods in Brooklyn. here is where we are. Not everyone who is in Riker's can get out. Some people need to stay there. can't free them all because there are people who if they get out will hurt other people. But as we can all agree, no one deserves to die of COVID-19 either.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

And that makes it incumbent on the city and the agencies you have heard from today to see to the health and the safety of the people in their charge. The population of Riker's Island, as you've heard, once hovered over 20,000 and has now reached an historic low in the midst of this pandemic. It's now below 4000. I hope that the steps that our office and other DAs' offices have taken to reduce those numbers will make it easier for the Department of Corrections to implement appropriate sanitation and social distancing, to keep their staffs and the remaining inmate population safe. Every life is valuable and DA Gonzalez stands ready to cooperate with our city partners and with the council in any way necessary to ensure that those who must remain in detention to protect the public can be held there safely. Thank you for your attention to this important issue, for giving us the opportunity to speak with you today. Um, I haven't, um, talked about any of the bills you're discussing in my remarks, but happy to answer questions about those or any other questions you might have.

24

25

1

COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

Thank you. Now we

3 have Chief Assistant District Attorney Lynton. You

4 may begin.

CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON:

6 Good afternoon, Chairman Lancman and Powers, members

7 of the Committee on Criminal Justice and the Justice

8 | Committee. I am Derek Lynton, the chief assistant

9 district attorney for the office of the district

10 attorney, Darcel D. Clark. I am honored by this

11 popportunity to address you on behalf of District

12 Attorney Clark on a topic that is just as important

13 | as it is necessary. The road that led the Bronx

14 district attorney's office to this moment began in

15 | March 2020 when the impact of COVID-19 forced the

16 | city and state to close its church and school doors,

17 | redefining the way we work and live. We share a

18 passion for justice and we as prosecutors are

19 | uniquely positioned to administer justice. The Bronx

20 | district attorney's office serves 1.4 million people

21 | in the Bronx, including 3800 people who walk the

22 | halls of Riker's Island jails. They matter, and are

23 ∥ just as important as every New Yorker who shelters in

24 | place throughout the city. DA Clark, in her wisdom

and concern for employees, began the difficult task

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of reducing the density of our office in early March before imposing the mandates regarding essential workers. This was achieved with clear instruction that social distancing and remote work will not interfere with our shared commitment to pursue justice with integrity. Accordingly, when the office received its first of many lists from the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice requesting our assistance in reducing the population at Riker's Island it was an understandable but challenging undertaking that embraced DA Clark's policy of a safer Bronx through fair justice. I will explain. Since January 2016 the Bronx district attorney's office has performed a rigorous and intentional approach to case evaluation that has resulted in historic lows in the population at Riker's Island. Whether misdemeanor or felony, every case was purposefully evaluated and subjected to several tiers of supervisory review with the idea that freedom from incarceration is the starting point, along with consideration of available services to address the wellness and mental health of the defendants as an alternative to jail or prison. this philosophy in mind we began the consuming task of carefully and methodically reviewing each case on

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM each list. First, we reviewed the newly sentenced list, which was soon followed by the parolees over 50 years old, then those of 50 years old incarcerated under \$10,000 bail, and defendants under 50 years old held by \$10,000 bail. We [inaudible] each and every case with particular attention to those who were on the city sentence inmate list, with technical parole violations, and the cases that were approved by, for release by the New York Police Department. Thereafter, we evaluated the cases of the 300 inmates sentences [inaudible] involved the Bronx cases that were released without consulting the office. Further, there was the list of vulnerable pretrial detainees, vulnerable youth offenders, and consideration of those under 50 on the geriatrics and complex care lists. All of these lists were evaluated daily and required extensive conversations with the defense bar. I mention this list of categories as reminder of all the efforts we made to consider the public health impact of our Riker's residents. Our process to case assessment was intentionally rigorous and requires a thoughtful analysis of the circumstances of each particular

defendant. We've considered questions such as why

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

has the defendant committed the offense, what is the criminal record and the nature of her offense? Do we know enough about the defendant to understand who he/she is? Does the person have a history of mental illness or addiction? Have we considered the collateral effects of incarceration on the family of this defendant? Will the Bronx community be best served by incarceration? Is there a suitable alternative to incarceration? All assistants are strongly encouraged to engage the defense bar in meaningful conversations with an eye towards exploring the possibility of resolving the case at its earliest stages. Then each case on the lists were reviewed by the division chief, the alternatives to incarceration chiefs, and the chief assistant. Some cases required several conversations with key stakeholders in the defense bar, along with approval from the final decider, DA Clark. As we discussed those who are incarcerated, as we discussed those who are incarcerated, I must remind you that there are victims who are impacted by our decisions. addressing criminal justice reform, we cannot forget those who continue to suffer from those unspeakable acts of violence and continue to receive services for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

their traumas. Accordingly, while we attempt to reduce the population of people in jails and prisons for noble and just reasons, we cannot continue to do so at the risk of neglecting the closure, healing, safety, and health of the victim. Some of the most heart-wrenching conversations occur when ADAs must explain to victims of serious violent crime and survivors of sexual abuse that the person who caused their injury is about to be released. You can understand how this impacts their sense of safety and security, changing their lives forever. difficult to explain why a man who gouged out a woman's eye and then attempted to do the same to the other eye may be released. Or why the man who wrapped his hands so tightly around his partner's neck, causing permanent paralysis in one arm, was considered a candidate for release. In essence, we must balance this public health crisis presented by a global pandemic with our understanding of public safety. As prosecutors we have an important duty to protect our victims who we serve and keep our community safe above all else. Herein lies the important and delicate balance for a prosecutor, in particular, protecting the life of the convicted and

2 protecting the safety of the community. This is the 3 life of the prosecutor in the time of COVID. In the 4 Bronx district attorney's office our compass is informed by DA Clark's vision. We can proudly announce that reform began for us way before January 6 7 2, 2020. Our bail policies were in place before 8 criminal justice reform was law. This is why the process of bail review and writs of habeas have posed such a challenge. The decision to send someone to 10 11 jail is serious. When we were asked to review what 12 we had already determined was fair and appropriate in 13 light of our own policy and criminal justice reforms, 14 we did so with the victims in mind, along with a 15 desire to address a public health crisis and to save 16 lives. However, violent conduct cannot be left 17 unaddressed. Where there is responsibility there 18 will be accountability meted out with a fair and 19 measured hand. As to your bill creating a local 20 conditional release commission, I would like to 21 briefly highlight a few reasons why DA Clark is 2.2 unable to endorse your proposed amendment. 2.3 stated, while this bill attempts to cure what it deems as deficiencies within the parole system, the 24 commission's goal undercuts the victim's voice. 25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is the promise of the negotiated sentences made on behalf of the victim. Further, the proposed bill has ambiguity and contradictory language. In addition, it is arbitrary in deciding those who are selected for the commission, since many with relevant experience seem to be excluded, namely judges, justices, and prosecutors. Again, for these reasons DA Clark does not support this bill. In conclusion, I would like to thank you for this important opportunity to speak with you and provide a voice for fairness, justice, and recognition that the community as a whole includes the victims of crime and our Riker's Island residents. I wish you and yours safety and health as we all work together to navigate the unprecedented challenge of balancing public health and public safety during a global pandemic. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Chief Executive Director, um, District Attorney Nyberg, you're next.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DISTRICT

ATTORNEY NYBERG: Thank you. Ah, I'd like to thank

Chairperson Lancman and Chairperson Powers for the

opportunity to appear and testify virtually before

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you today. My name is Jennifer Nyberg and I'm the chief assistant district attorney for the Office of the District Attorney, Queens County DA Melinda Katz. When District Attorney Katz took office on January 1 she immediately went to work to make significant policy changes to reduce our city's jail population. She is committed to ultimately ending cash bail and feels strongly that a person's financial status should not be a factor in determining whether or not they are incarcerated pre-trial. Since day one we closely reviewed each and every request for bail to make sure that all defendants are treated fairly, while also maintaining the safety of the residents in Queens County and the assurance that the defendants return to court. Before COVID-19 hit we had already succeeded in lowering the average bail set by nearly \$5000 less than compared to the same period last In addition, 75% of those charged with year, 2019. bail-qualifying offenses were released either on their own recognizance or with supervision. January 1, 2020, when District Attorney Katz took office, there were 1100 inmates in custody on Queens County cases. By February 1 that number dropped to under 900. As of May 14 under 560 inmates remain in

1

25

2 custody. That's a 50% reduction in the jail

3 | population of those in Queens cases since District

4 Attorney Katz took office. Then in early March, when

5 | the coronavirus hit, we ramped up our efforts. We

6 reviewed multiple lists of vulnerable groups of

7 | inmates, as well as multiple individual requests. We

8 did and continue to identify those we could consent

9 to a release by either a resentence or disposition

10 | that would effectuate release or reduction in bail.

11 We, just like the other district attorneys have

12 | testified to, established a protocol with multiple

13 | levels of review, with each and every case ultimately

14 | being reviewed by me or the district attorney, in

15 many instances, herself. We have spoken to DOC and

16 CHS, the mayor's office, the Department of Probation

17 | about standards of care, about protocols, about

18 \parallel housing and services available. Most importantly, we

19 | look at the circumstances surrounding each inmate's

20 | incarceration, the underlying facts of each

21 | individual case. We, too, speak to the victims, when

22 | appropriate. We look at the inmate's health history

23 | when provided. We look at a discharge plan when

24 \parallel provided. We examine whether a defendant has a, is a

flight risk or has community ties. The interests of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

justice are best served by these thoughtful, casespecific resolutions. If an individual remains incarcerated at this point, rest assured that the balance of public health and the balance of public safety were carefully weighed and that this remains the most appropriate and just decision in light of all the facts and circumstances surrounding the Finally, District Attorney Katz would like to crime. comment on the proposed amendment to the New York City Charter to create a local conditional release commission. It is the district attorney's position that the parole board, the parole board, is uniquely situated to make the most informed determination for conditional release. History, as DA McMahon, ah, commented, has proven that local conditional release commissions are not the better choice in making these critical determinations. By all accounts, the city's previous commission had many problems. On the other hand, the parole board, a larger body with much broader and in-depth experience and knowledge, has aptly handled conditional release decisions since that time, and at no additional cost to the city, an important consideration, frankly, given the economic impact COVID-19 will have on the city budget. To

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2 take the decision of early conditional release out of

3 the hands of the parole board and place it in the

4 hands of a few appointed members with minimal

5 relevant background and experience could greatly

6 | jeopardize public safety and would create an

7 unnecessary expense for an already-overburdened city

8 | budget. On both accounts it is a price that we

9 simply cannot afford. I want to thank you, ah, for

10 \parallel this opportunity to appear before you today, and I

11 | look forward to working with you and your staff as

12 | we, ah, navigate these challenges, these challenging

13 | times, and, and move forward in the months ahead.

14 | Thank you.

25

1

15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will

16 now turn it over to Chair Lancman for questions.

17 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you very

18 | much. Good afternoon to all of you. Ah, I do very

19 | much appreciate your being with us this afternoon,

20 | particularly since tomorrow we will be seeing all of

21 ∥ your offices again. But, ah, I hope you understand,

22 | I know you understand that these are the times that

23 | we, we live in. So what are you going to do? Um, I

 $24 \parallel$ want to start with something that's a little big

picture. It's gnawing at me and nothing would make

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

me happier than for each of you to say, Rory, you don't know what you're talking about, that's not true. I get the sense from you collectively that there is a suspicion or, or, or a lack of conviction that there really is a sense of urgency of getting people off, off Riker's Island, that the situation at Riker's Island is, um, not as serious in terms of the, the negative health consequences as, as we and, and, and maybe the administration believe as, as well. Um, Mr. McMahon, in, in your testimony you, you describe "an outright falsehood that the infection rate in the city's jails is significantly higher than the city's general population." And, um, ah, the special narcotics prosecutor cites statistics. "DOC reports daily on the number of inmates who are under observation, either because they're symptomatic or because they have tested positive for COVID-19 and that number has steadily declined from April 1 to May 16, from 286 inmates, from 286 to 66 inmates." I, I don't want to mischaracterize your use of that, those statistics. But the, the, what we've been talking about today is, is I think the fairer statistic that according to the

Board of Corrections today, or as of Monday, ah,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Sunday, May 17, there were 364 inmates at, um, in, in the Department of Corrections who were, ah, tested positive for COVID-19. That's a 9.2% infection rate. That is, um, a fraction of the identified positive rate for New York City residents at large. Both the administration, the administration in terms of, um, ah, I think, I think Liz Glazer and, and, um, CHS and, and Commissioner Brann, being as diplomatic as they could, acknowledged that there's a much more serious risk of people contracting COVID-19 at Riker's Island, of having a serious, um, ah, ah, result, and in the letter that the DAs sent, I think it was, um, the March 29th letter, you wrote, I believe we'll [inaudible] onto this, just give me a second. Ah, you expressed concern that the administration was "creating a public perception that our city's jails may be incapable of providing sufficient health care for the remaining population of inmates" and you go on to say that "we believe this perception is wrong." So, so, can we, can we clear the air? Can you please disabuse me of my, my, my incorrect interpretation? You all do accept that, that, that, um, there is a serious, serious health issue at Riker's Island and that, um, the rate of,

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 of, of people testing positive on Riker's Island is

3 higher than, than the general public and, and, and

4 that there's some impetus it must be balanced with

5 other concerns of trying to get people off Riker's

6 Island if we can. Who wants to go first?

7 DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Sure. Ah,

8 | ah, I'd be glad to. Am I coming through?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Yes, sir.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Yeah. I'd be glad to disabuse you of that notion, ah, Chairman, ah, that we don't take the situation at Riker's Island seriously. Ah, that is why we, ah, really, you know, in some ways put the, the health of our staffs at risk by making them work immediately during the shutdown so that we could go through the analyses that my colleagues and their staffs, ah, described earlier, ah, in going through and making sure that we can find people who are suitable, ah, for compassionate release, so we get it. Ah, but I think you're, to some extent, mixing apples and oranges a little bit. I think even the, the team from CHS made quite clear to you that, and to this committee, that you can't compare infection rates, ah, exactly when one cohort, namely the people who are Riker's Island,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE
JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM are 100% tested and the general, ah, public, I believe the overall testing number, um, at one point was around 10%. I don't know what it is today. Um, I think if you tested everyone in, in society in New York City you'd have a much higher infection rate, but what we're saying is that Riker's Island you have a population now that has been vetted for compassionate release. Ah, as you heard, they are at under 50%, ah, capacity in terms of housing. There's plenty of room for social distancing. They have protocols in place, ah, and both DOCS and CHS spoke to the, ah, care that the, ah, inmates receive there. Um, I, I would counter by saying that one could get the impression from what you've been saying and what members of the committee are saying is that people who commit crimes and are either awaiting trial for very serious offenses or have been convicted of those offenses, ah, with quite often, after taking pleas with the consultation of victims and the concerns of victims taking into consideration, ah, that they should be released just because they should be released because, ah, there's a, a crisis that affects the whole society, the whole community. Um,

crimes are being committed and, and, you know, I, I,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM almost get a kick out of, ah, at one point early on in the crisis there were the advocates who were saying there should be a moratorium on arrests, there should be a moratorium on prosecution, and there should be a moratorium on incarceration. How about a moratorium on crime? How about the people who continue to be robbed, ah, burgled, ah, taken advantage of through fraud, um, murdered in some cases. We had a terrible case, a pregnant woman and, and another man here on Staten Island. These things are still happening. Shootings are still happening. Young people are, are, are victims of crime. Old people are victims, um, elderly are victims of crime. Um, so to say that you somehow read through our pronouncements and what we've, our advocacy, ah, that we don't take the situation there seriously, we take it seriously everywhere. But in the, in the, ah, the example that I gave, if you take someone from Riker's Island who's convicted of a series of sexual assaults against, ah, a minor and say because they're, ah, infected with COVID they should go into a city nursing home and infect all the, ah, civilians in the nursing home, ah, I don't quite understand that

either. So with all due respect, I think we see this

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
Υ.ΤΠΝΤΟΤ.	HTTTW	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

from a, from a different perspective than you do, ah, Chairman. Ah, but quite honestly I think we all want the same thing. We want a city that is safe, that is healthy, ah, and hopefully we can get there, ah, by some meeting of the minds. And I think you've heard today from all our offices that we went to great lengths to reduce that population, ah, at Riker's. Even Dr. McDonald, ah, who as from his famous tweet, he was very critical of, of law enforcement, ah, was of the opinion today that great strides have been taken, and quite frankly we're quite proud of the work that we've done.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. Ms Brennan?

don't think that we believe that there is no crisis at Riker's. I mean, clearly when you have, ah, a setup as they do at Riker's where people are living together in such close circumstances there's a higher chance of infection. Um, I, I think the point that I was trying to make is, and it was made also by people from Corrections Health Services, that those at Riker's are tested at a much higher rate, and so necessarily they're going to prove to be positive at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a much higher rate, as we've seen in the city. the test has become more available throughout the city, the city has tested at a higher rate. But it doesn't mean that the problem lacks urgency. You heard, certainly you've heard from us, but you also heard from Director Glazer. You've heard from others that we all worked really hard from the beginning of this crisis to review all the cases that were brought to us of the people who work in Riker's, the people who were vulnerable. We reviewed those cases to see whether or not we felt comfortable consenting to release. And the, our discomfort was whether those people would jeopardize public safety. It's a commitment to the people of the City of New York. But it wasn't because we didn't value the lives of those people who are incarcerated. We certainly do. There's a balance that goes on. That was the point that I was making.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. I, I,

I'm glad to have been corrected. Do any of the other

officers want to, offices want to say anything on

that subject or we could move on? Good. Um, can,

can each of you tell me how many defendants you

consented to release, um, whether you want to call it

2 compassionate release or, or

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

compassionate release or, or whatever you want to call it, in response to this, this process of trying to get, um, ah, people released from, from Riker's Island? Who wants to start first? I [inaudible] Mr. Lynton, you're, you're, you're flipping through papers. You look like you're ready to go.

CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON: Well, I can say that we, we consented to close to a hundred, um, inmates. Um, I believe, um, close to, um, mid-eighties of those people were, were actually released, um, on our consent. Overall we released over 140, close to 150, inmates in total. Um, like I said before, the process of our evaluating, um, the eligibility of, of those who committed crimes to go to, to jail started before this, and so we had been doing this for quite some time. And so, you know, we, we experienced the same challenges that a number of offices did when this, um, crisis hit and we were forced to reevaluate cases of inmates who we had deemed in prison or in jail for fair and a balanced evaluation between, um, public safety and, and health. Um, we were now forced to view this new category of cases through a different lens, a different prism, if you will, and that was through

COMMITTE	ΞE	ON	CRIMINAI	ച	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	W]	ТН	JUSTICE	S	SYSTEM

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

the, the prism of COVID-19 and that we did, and to echo what especially Prosecutor Brennan said, we did this with the same degree of urgency that, that the entire world was experiencing, and so there was nothing lost on how urgent this was, that the overriding compulsion for us, however, was the desperate need to maintain public safety. We had a responsibility to meet the commitment that we had made to our constituents, those who elected DA Clark to be in office, that we were going to keep them as safe as possible, and those who were in jail were those who had committed some of the most violent and vicious crimes, and even then in, in consortium with the defense bar, we did not refuse to evaluate every, every single case, and some of these cases we reviewed over and over again. You know, at first and

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: The number.

CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON:

...and then they came as a [inaudible]...

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Yeah.

CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON:

24 ...and then a habeas...

they came on a list...

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: The number...

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 223 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON:
3	[inaudible].
4	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Mr. Lynton, thank
5	you. So the number from the Bronx is about 140?
6	CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON:
7	In total. We consented to over 90, close to 100.
8	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: So what are the
9	other 40, ones that were released [inaudible]?
10	CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON:
11	Well, there were others that were released without
12	our consent.
13	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: OK, so, so
14	consented to about 90, 90 to 100.
15	CHIEF ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LYNTON:
16	Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: OK. Um, ah, Ms.
18	Harris from Brooklyn, do you have a number? Alana,
19	can you unmute Ms. Harris? Alana? Paging Alana?
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: [inaudible].
21	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: There you are.
22	DIRECTOR HARRIS: Am I, can you hear me?
23	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: We can hear you

now.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

DIRECTOR HARRIS: Great. Um, with respect to your prior question, um, I think I said in my testimony that we understood immediately, um, the crisis that we thought was going to unfold even before it did and we started to take actions to reduce the population on Riker's. Um, we reviewed virtually every case of someone incarcerated at Riker's, nearly a thousand cases. Um, we consented to the release of 260, um, that's about 27%. opposed release of 682, that's about, um, the other percent, 70. Um, there are another, a third category of about 34 people, between 30 and 35 people, who, um, we aren't comfortable consenting to their release because they're dangerous, um, and they're dangerous by virtue of mental health issues. They get off their medications, they become destabilized, they don't have a place to go, they go, they would be released to a shelter. Um, and those are people who in some universe might be appropriate candidates for release, but because of, um, just because of the nature of their illness we're just not comfortable doing that.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Good.

3 cases.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: All right. Don't, don't anticipate my next question. You've got to give me something to do here.

DIRECTOR HARRIS: OK.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Um, all right,

DIRECTOR HARRIS: So that's about 30-some

Queens?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: Thank you. Ah,
Chairperson Lancman, in Queens County, whether they
have asked specifically, ah, individual requests from
defense attorneys or whether, ah, they were included
on lists by the mayor, ah, that we looked at in the
beginning of the crisis for the first few weeks.

There were 440 inmates who were considered
individually, ah, by senior staff in, in the office.
42 of those inmates we consented to release and 170
of those inmates were released without our objection,
or we remained silent. For example, they were in on
a parole hold and, and we left that, ah, decision up
to Parole.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Do you know, by the way, how many of those, of the 170 how many were

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 226 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	released on your, on your specific objection as
3	opposed to, you know, your not offering an opinion?
4	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: Of the 170 we
5	were, we did not object. Those were 170 released
6	without our objection specifically.
7	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Oh, sorry.
8	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: That's OK.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: What was the 42
10	number?
11	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: Where we
12	consented. So in other words, with respect to
13	parolees what we affirmatively consented [inaudible]
14	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Oh, yeah, and the
15	170 was you didn't, you didn't object?
16	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: Exactly.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: You didn't consent,
18	but you didn't object.
19	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: That's exactly
20	right, because we didn't think, when it came to
21	parole, that was our, ah, they were better situated,
22	they were nonqualifying offenses, for example.
23	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Got it.
24	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: We had pending

here in Queens.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Got it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY KATZ: On, on many of those cases, many of which ultimately we tried to resolve through a disposition. We're continuing to do that.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Got it, got it.

OK. Mr. McMahon? Hold on, hold on. There you go.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: I'm good to
go?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Yes, sir.

know, and has been discussed by my colleagues, um, there really have been some different silos that we've been looking at, ah, through this analysis, ah, because people are on Riker's for a few different reasons. Ah, there's the group of parole violators, which, as you know, we DAs and actually the city have no say over there, a situation that's a state function, ah, by, um, by the state parole, um, and so the numbers are always changing a little bit. And then you also have people who, um, are being released on a rolling basis if they're at the end of their sentence. Ah, so the number is changing every day. But from the original analysis that we were asked to

2.2

2.3

look at, those who were, ah, on Riker's for a, a sentence a year or less, um, and under certain charges, there was a list of 30 from Staten Island.

We agreed to seven. Ah, but 30 were, ah, I should say from the list that they presented us we agreed to seven. Ah, 30 were released, so, ah, 23 over our objection. Um, and there's another group, those who were held as pretrial detainees, ah, and we've been dealing with a battery of, ah, bail applications, ah, and writs of, for habeas corpus on a rolling basis through the courts as well. Ah, the majority of those we have opposed.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Have you, um, consented to, to any pretrial releases?

per back to you on the exact number of how many have been made and how many we've, ah, ah, consented to, but, ah, the number is low, because, again, those are people, ah, who are charged with serious crimes to begin with, that's the reason that, ah, they have qualifying offenses, ah, and, um, they, um, are being held on either bail or on remand. So in most instances no. But I'll get back to you with an exact number.

All right,

appreciate that. Um, Ms. Brennan?

2.

1

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you.

4

3

SPECIAL PROSECUTOR BRENNAN: Um, I only

5

don't have the numbers before me of those who, ah,

have the numbers for those who are held on bail. I

7

whether it was a request for a 6-A release and we

8

took a position and with regard to parole release.

9

So that setting aside, ah, we received requests

10

regarding 40 defendants. Of those 40, 17 were

11

released with our consent as part of our review

12

process, and we opposed the applications, ah, with

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Got it.

almost, you know, stole from me. Um, but here's an

opportunity for you all to tell us how, how we on, on

respect to 23 defendants, ah, and none of those

1314

defendants have been released.

15

l6 um, last question, which, ah, Ms. Harris, ah, ah,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our side of the screen could do better, although in conjunction with you. Um, what programs, services, systems could have been in place, could be put in place, that would make, potentially make you more comfortable and more willing to release more people

talking about people with mental health issues. Wha

in different categories. You know, Ms. Harris was

efforts? Ms. Harris, OK, go ahead.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

infrastructure could be, could be, could be in place
that would make it more likely for you to support
these kind, the, the, these kind of decarceration

SPECIAL PROSECUTOR BRENNAN: With regard to our cases, it would be tough. We have, those who are currently incarcerated, our cases are mostly charged with operating as a major trafficker. They're involved in multi-kilo operations where they're distributing drugs that have resulted in death, and they have strong foreign connections to other countries, access to lots of cash, um, and oftentimes they may have been arrested with a significant number of weapons. And so those kinds of defendants, you know, pose a significant public safety risk. It's hard when you get to that level of defendant, which I think is what you're gonna hear from most of us, that's who's kind of, of our caseload, it's that type of defendant who is left, or one who's been involved in significant amounts of violence, or is charged, I should say, with crimes involving very significant violence, as, as I discussed, ah, and so that's where you have a, I mean, on balance, ah, and especially as we look at

COMMITTE	SΕ	ON	CRIMINAI	_	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WI	ТН	JUSTICE	S	YSTEM

2 diminishing now risks posed to the defendants as

3 Riker's has been cleared out and as the virus is

4 receding somewhat, obviously we have our, you know,

5 our mission is to protect the people in the City of

6 New York. And there's a balancing that goes on. But

7 | when their lives are at risk we're not gonna consent

to release.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: I understand. Ms.

Harris?

DIRECTOR HARRIS: So in addition to treatment, um, housing, supported housing is crucial. Um, and I think one of the biggest needs is our mental health facilities that are secure. So if you think about the person who, um, has assaults and violations of orders of protection against a family member, you know, and maybe they, you know, they go back, they get out, they go, they assault them, you know, they start fires, you know, they do things to put not only their family members but others in danger. And it's because they're suffering from a mental health problem. And the family members in a lot of those cases don't really want their person to be in jail. They know they have a mental health problem and they need help. Um, but they also don't

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

want them to just go somewhere, to a hotel or a shelter, wherever they would get released to and then just walk out the door and come home and continue to assault them. So if there were, um, more secure mental health facilities where a person would be getting treatment, um, but would be secure, wouldn't be able to walk around the streets, they wouldn't need to be at Riker's Island. Um, but those kind of places are few and far between, and I know there are also, you know, sort of legal limitations to the extent which people can be held, um, for mental health conditions. But that would be, that would make us feel a lot more comfortable in many of these cases where mental health is the biggest issue.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I, I would second that, um, and, um, actually both comments. Ah, but, because we, I think we all agree that, um, there are, for many people who find themselves in the system, ah, what they need is the support of programs that we can connect them to, um, and, ah, with, you know, we just kicked off on Staten Island Project Reset, ah, and again those are for misdemeanant, ah, recidivists, ah, who were, was not addiction-related because, as you know, we have

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2.3

I think all of us throughout the city are, are looking forward to doing that.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Any other office want to respond to that?

DIRECTOR HARRIS: Well, Councilman, um, since our colleague from Staten Island weighed in on Project Reset, um, I'd like to also put in a plug for Project Reset in Brooklyn, which has been a very successful way that we've kept people out of jail, um, and we would like to see it continue. We ask your help in that.

UNIDENTIFIED: And I'd also like to add, something that I stated in my testimony, what we find is that oftentimes it, you know, defendants reoffend when they get out. And none of us want to see them cycling through over and over again, but most of the supervisory programs that I've seen, ah, focus on, they don't have a mechanism for intervening. You know, the only mechanism for intervening is call the police and have them arrested, which we don't want to do. But you have to build in some kind of support or some kind of way to address that, ah, because otherwise people are going to be clamoring for them to be arrested again, ah, since they're put at risk,

COMMITTE	E ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

- 2 | even though we may consider it a low-level,
- 3 nonviolent offense. And so we have to consider not
- 4 | just programs that, that are gonna support and offer
- 5 the defendants, ah, ways to change their behavior,
- 6 but how do we intervene outside of arrest when they
- 7 do, ah, violate laws, if we don't want to get into
- 8 | this cycle again.

- 9 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: OK. Well, thank
- 10 you very much. I'm actually glad that we got a
- 11 | chance to, to go over this topic, um, here today.
- 12 | Tomorrow will, I think, um, go more smoothly, not
- 13 | that this wasn't smooth. But, but, but tomorrow we
- 14 can focus on other things. Um, I hand it back to the
- 15 committee counsel for my cochairs', ah, questions
- 16 and, and other members' questions. Thank you.
- 17 UNIDENTIFIED: You're welcome.
- 18 UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.
- 19 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we
- 20 | will hear from Chair Powers for questions.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I'm, I'm
- 22 gonna keep it fairly limited because you have a lot
- 23 | of, I have a lot of people from the public who are
- 24 hear waiting and we have two more agencies. I want
- 25 to say, just say two things. One is thank you for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

everybody for being here and testifying. Thank you to, ah, the DA and all the, DA McMahon and all the [inaudible], ah, and Ms. Brennan and all the other representatives. I will say I am tremendously disappointed that the, my, my own district attorney from Manhattan is not here represented in any fashion or form, and I disagree with some of the things that have been said here by some of the DAs and some of the sentiment. But that's fine. We can have a disagreement. My, the district attorney from Manhattan decided not to even be here in the midst of a crisis to talk about even issues that we might disagree on or have [inaudible] I just want to register on the record my, you know, absolutely, um, ah, disappointment in, in that, and, um, and that's not a political thing or anything, that is just somebody from his office should have been here and should be talking to what's happening here in Manhattan. Um, anyway, that, moving on, I, I just want to address one point here, which is, um, in the letter that, um, you had sent, the district attorneys collectively had sent to, ah, to, um, Mayor de Blasio and the DOC commissioner in March you mentioned creating a public perception that our city's jails

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

may be incapable of providing sufficient health care for the remaining population of the inmates, and believed that you, and the collective belief that the perception is wrong. I, I want to reflect just something. The, the, the doctor from the DOH himself, the number two doctor, had said that. was the, that was reflecting the, the idea that jails and particularly the city jails and Riker's Island are, are not, are incapable of providing sufficient health care, not from a talent of the health care professionals, but from an environment of what the environment, what the environment is there. That, that's, that's, that's a medical professional giving us that opinion. And so I would, to be cared here why the DAs believe that that medical, we, we should not listen to that medical professional when it comes to, um, or why you believe you're creating a public perception that we're incapable of providing sufficient health care, when they are listening to, to the number two doctor at CHS?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Sure. I,

I'll be glad to, to, ah, respond to that, um, Mr.

Chairman. Ah, clearly, ah, in March, ah, the

situation, ah, needed to be addressed, ah, from two

2 directions. First was to look to the roles of people 3 who were at Riker's to see if, if there could be, ah, some people who could be released so that you had 4 less of, ah, a population which would make then the provision of, ah, good and accepted medical care and, 6 7 um, you know, distancing, social distancing, and, and proper PPE and prevention, um, and that's what we 8 did. We worked with the city to go through the list to see if there was some room for compassionate 10 11 release. And I think you heard that that was done, 12 and you not only heard from us but you heard it from, 13 ah, the medical team from CHS as well as, ah, the commissioner and her team from DOC. But the point we 14 15 were making there was you can't close down Riker's 16 completely. There is still a need for jails in the 17 City of New York as long as people commitment crimes. 18 Um, and there are those who think that we're saying at the time no, we should have a moratorium on 19 arrests, on prosecution, and on incarceration. 20 21 you can't have that. You can't have that in, in a 2.2 civil society that wants to have some modicum of 2.3 order, especially during a crisis like the one that we've been facing for the last 90 or 100 days. What 24 25 we were say was hey, yes, we'll work with you to

2 bring down the population, but get your acts together 3 on the side of, of using the available space on 4 Riker's, provide the appropriate medical care, open in to other buildings, which has been done, um, do contact tracing, provide the equipment that is needed 6 7 and the materials that are needed to keep the, ah, population safe. And, as you heard, that that has 8 been done to a great extent. So I think that we heard the other side and worked with them to decrease 10 11 the, to decrease the population, and what I heard 12 today through the, ah, the testimony was that we were 13 heard and well and steps were taken to improve conditions on Riker's, as they should continue to be. 14 15 Um, one thing that Riker's provides, Mr. Chairman, is 16 a lot of space for people to, to, you know, we were 17 building tents in Central Park, ah, and, ah, building 18 out spaces at the Javits Center. There was room to do that, ah, at, at Riker's as well. And that's the 19 20 point that we were making. You cannot close the jail 21 completely, we understand that, and at the same time 2.2 we did have to make sure that there was a, a lower 2.3 population. Listen, the best way to solve this problem is no one commits crime anymore. And when 24 25 that happens I will join you at Riker's for the

2.2

2.3

closing ceremony and we'll all be happy. But until
that happens or until there's alternatives, we need
to have that facility operating.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Just, just a point of rebuttal here. You know, there is a, there is a, an area between I think the characterization that, that, you know, I think has been made more than once, that this is, understood, there are people, there is, I understand that you're, you're trying to provide a counterpoint to those who are saying fully, you know, decarcerate and let folks out. But for, but, I, I don't believe Mayor de Blasio is saying that. I don't believe Commissioner Brann was saying that.

what, they went far, they went way ahead of the list that, we made agreements, I think, on certain, ah, individuals, ah, and there was an agreement, yes they should be released. Ah, and they went further than we would have and that's where you've seen now a level of recidivism, ah, from people who were released that we did not agree with, and yet they're out committing crimes again, and you're gonna see that increase as time goes on, and I hope that, ah, these committees will continue to monitor the level

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 of recidivism from the individuals who received that 3 compassionate release, either in agreement with the 4 district attorneys and, and the special prosecutor, or in the actions of the commissioner through the mayor, herself and himself. But either way the 6 7 public will, ah, will want everyone to be held 8 accountable, they'll want decision-makers held accountable, ah, because crimes are being committed now by those who were released. Again, a balance has 10 to be found. But, um, you cannot, you cannot argue 11 12 the fact that there were many advocates and many in 13 government who were saying, you know, moratorium on, on policing and prosecuting. That's not possible as 14 15 long as crimes are being committed. Shootings are actually up in the City of New York right now, 16 17 believe it or not, amidst this crisis. I don't 18 understand, ah, how that, how people engage in that 19 conduct. It's antisocial, to say the least. But we've got to keep the people in New York City and in 20 21 my case the people of Staten Island safe, and we will

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I'm gonna, I'm gonna leave it at that. I, I don't agree with every point.

2.2

2.3

24

continue to do that.

I, I do think there's a little bit of confusion here between...

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Well, as you said, we're not going to agree no every point.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I, I agree with that and I do, I want to, will mention the Manhattan DA did send testimony in, but I think, like others, could have been here to talk about their numbers and their release and have an opportunity for us to talk about them. They could have sent anybody. I, I, DA McMahon, I, I do think there is a, um, in a public health crisis we have to respond to that. I, I, I understand what Councilman Lancman was saying about with the sense of urgency and it's, it's not, um, all about, you know, the sort of attempt here to fight the decarceration effort in, um, in New York City while we're in the middle of a pandemic. It's about keeping people safe and keeping them....

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: ...ah, away from a virus that has the ability to kill them and, and so...

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 243 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: With all due
3	respect, sir, also to keep them safe from becoming
4	victims of crime again.
5	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Um, I'm gonna
6	leave it at that based on, ah, ah, where we are.
7	It's 5:15. I want to give the public an opportunity,
8	too. Thank you again for being here.
9	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Thanks.
10	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you for
11	spending time with us, ah, through a very long
12	hearing. And I wish you the best to you and your
13	families.
14	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Thank you so
15	much, you too. Stay safe.
16	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Hold on, I think we
17	have a, a council member question, ah, from a council
18	member.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Once the timer starts
20	you may begin.
21	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Ah, thank you,
23	can you hear me?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yep.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: OK, while we're plugging programs I want to plug the Department of Probation's NeON program in the arts, which is very successful. I've, I've looked at a number of those, ah, ah, artwork and I think it's a good outlet for people who have been previously incarcerated and how to get into a new life. I just want to plug that. And, but I also want to mention that New York City has by far the lowest incarceration rate of any big city in the US, by far. So I appreciate all the DAs weighing in on this. Um, certainly, ah, I think the DAs' opinions on, um, releasing, ah, inmates or, um, detainees should be paramount. Their opinions, they, they've dealt with the victims of their crimes, they've dealt the individuals who are incarcerated. They know them the best. Um, so I want to thank you all. Ah, also Special Prosecutor Brennan, you mentioned that a drug dealer was released and immediately upon his release started dealing heroin, ah, out of his apartment. And had it not been for those residents who called this guy might have still being doing this and ruining other lives, not only, you know, the people in the apartment with the COVID spread but the heroin that has destroyed thousands

-	JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	and thousands of lives across the US, and of course I
3	think he was dealing also with guns, too, apparently.
4	But a life of crime is not gonna change when he gets
5	out. And I think we, it's, we're not gonna play
6	Russian roulette here with the public and just guess
7	that this guy is not going to commit crime, and in
8	many cases they do. And like I mentioned before, and
9	unfortunately the MOCJ representative couldn't tell
10	me the breakdown of the crimes that are being
11	committed, um, which is kind of unusual that they, I
12	couldn't get a breakdown of the types of crimes that
13	people were committing upon release, early release.
14	They should know that like the back of their hand.
15	And they should, that's how you evaluate a program.
16	Um, just one thing I just wanted to ask the
17	assistant, ah, DA, ah, Nyberg, um, I'm not quite, you
18	know, set on the numbers for Queens. 440 were
19	considered, um, and 42 you said yes on, 170 you had
20	no objection. What about the others? Were they
21	released?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DISTRICT

ATTORNEY NYBERG: Ah, ah, Councilman, no. Ah, of the

440 the others are, ah, still incarcerated.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: [inaudible].

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHIEF EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DISTRICT 3 ATTORNEY NYBERG: And when we, when we look at, at 4 the population of the 440 who either were presented on a list or specifically asked for release, the ones that remain, ah, in terms of their, their, their 6 7 breakdown, what they're charged with, actually almost 8 25% of those who remain incarcerated are charged with homicide. Ah, over 50% are still in that are a combination of the homicide defendants, burglary, 10 11 assault, and robbery. So obviously [inaudible] very serious crimes that, that were not released of those 12 that asked for consideration. 13

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I, I know my time is up, but I just want to ask...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Are, are we giving them more programs when they get out early?

Are we giving them more counseling? Is, is that, I,

I thought I heard that we're weren't. Is that true?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DISTRICT

ATTORNEY NYBERG: I mean, truthfully that's what, you know, in response to Chair Lancman's question about what could we use, ah, what we can use are more robust alternatives to incarceration, more programs

COMMITTE	E ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT.	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

- 2 with comprehensive supervised release. We were able
- 3 to release someone last week, ah, and it's frankly a
- 4 herculean effort on the part of the court and
- 5 | alternative sentencing providers and, and the
- 6 prosecutor and, ah, you know, the defense to all find
- 7 | a program that in light of the prices can, ah, you
- 8 know, monitor someone when they are released.
- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Those are an
- 10 additional challenge. Thank you so much for all of
- 11 your for your testimony. Thank you.
- 12 UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.
- 13 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you,
- 14 Chairs.

- 15 CHIEF EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DISTRICT
- 16 ATTORNEY NYBERG: Thank you.
- 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Now we will move on
- 18 \parallel to, if there are no further questions, now we will
- 19 move on to testimony from the administration. We
- 20 | will hear from the Administration for Children's
- 21 \parallel Services. We will be joined by Commissioner David A.
- 22 | Hansell for ACS. And for the question and answer
- 23 period we will be joined by Acting Deputy
- 24 | Commissioner Sarah Hemiter and Chief Medical Officer
- 25 Dr. Angel Mendoza, Jr. of ACS. We will also be

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 248 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	joined for question and answers from Chief Jennifer
3	Gilroy Ruiz of the law department. I will call on
4	each of individually for a response, um, as I
5	administer the oath. Please raise your right hands.
6	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
7	nothing but the truth and before these committees,
8	and to respond honestly to council member questions?
9	UNIDENTIFIED: I do.
10	UNIDENTIFIED: I do.
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ah, I have to say
12	each of your names. Commissioner Hansell?
13	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I do.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner
15	Hemiter?
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: I do.
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Dr. Mendoza?
18	DR. MENDOZA: I do.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chief Gilroy Ruiz?
20	CHIEF GILROY RUIZ: I do.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.
22	Commissioner Hansell, you may begin.
23	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I'm, I'm in it?
24	Yes. Thank you very much. Um, good afternoon, or I

guess I should say good evening, ah, Chair Lancman,

COMMITTI	EE ON	CRIMINAL	1 JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

1

12

25

2 Chair Powers, members of the Committees on the

3 Justice System and Criminal Justice. Ah, I'm David

4 | Hansell, commissioner of the New York City

5 Administration for Children's Services. And as

6 you've heard, with me, ah, here are um, Sarah

7 | Hemiter, who is our acting deputy commissioner for

8 our division of youth and family justice, and Dr.

9 Angel Mendoza, Jr., who is our chief medical office.

10 And we're very grateful the opportunity to testify

11 | about how ACS and our partners have responded to the

unprecedented COVID-19 health crisis and the impact

13 | it has had on our juvenile detention programs.

14 | Today's New York City juvenile justice system, thanks

15 | to many years of effort by multiple stakeholders

16 | safely serves youth through a trauma-informed lens in

17 | the community wherever possible and with appropriate

18 | structure and supports in place. During the COVID-19

19 pandemic our focus has been on maintaining that

20 progressive approach, keeping young people and staff

21 \parallel protected from new health concerns and supporting the

22 | efforts of MOCJ, Probation, the Law Department, and

23 | the district attorneys to release those youth in

24 detention who could safely be returned to the

community. ACS does not have the authority to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

release youth, but our collaboration with our sister agencies led to the release of over one-third of the youth in detention, 20 from secure detention and 26 from nonsecure detention at the height of the pandemic from March 16 through April 9. During the same time period ACS also discharged 31 youth, or nearly a third of the young people who were in closeto-home placements, so that those youth also were at home and in their communities and in this case receiving our aftercare services. Despite the many challenges that COVID-19 presents, we have adapted ACS's full continuum of juvenile justice programs to meet the needs of youth and their families. community-based alternatives programs continue to offer prevention and diversion services to safely keep youth out of the justice system and supported at home with their families. Our close-to-home system of residential placement and aftercare is serving adjudicated youth and helping them safely transition back to the community. And as I will now discuss, we have taken numerous steps to address the health and safety of the youth and staff in our detention programs. The health and safety of those youth and staff in secure detention is our top priority and has

2 been throughout this crisis. For youth in detention and for the caring, inspirational staff who show up 3 every day to work with them, we've implemented strict 4 protocols to minimize health risks. We've continued to follow the guidance of health officials, including 6 7 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Health and 8 Hospitals, the Centers for Disease Control, the healthcare personnel who work in our detention programs, Floating Hospital at Crossroads, and 10 11 Correctional Health Services of Horizon, and, of course, our own chief medical officer, Dr. Mendoza. 12 13 As health guidance has evolved throughout the course 14 of the pandemic ACS has adapted and implemented new 15 protocols as needed and will continue to do so. 16 continually update our guidance to staff and to youth 17 about virus prevention practices, such as hand 18 washing and social distancing. Our detention 19 facilities are regularly cleaned and sanitized. 20 we've increased the number of cleaning personnel. 21 We've equipped the facilities with ample hand sanitizer, soap, gloves, and PPE for staff who are 2.2 2.3 working with symptomatic youth. Nurses conduct temperature checks for staff on each shift and our 24 health partners conduct daily screenings of youth and 25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM all staff and youth have been provided with face coverings. In the early weeks of March after consultation with medical and public health experts, we executed a bold plan to minimize the spread of COVID-19 to preserve scarce personal protective equipment and to limit the exposure of youth and staff to the virus. This plan involved consolidating youth in Crossroads, with the exception of pre-Raise the Age Youth, who have always been and must be housed at Horizon, leave the first floor of Horizon exclusively for youth presenting with COVID-19 symptoms. There the youth would be housed in one area, receive 24/7 medical care from Correctional Health Services. More recently we've begun to implement a plan to safely redistribute our youth detention population between both facilities, while maintaining the public health advantages of a discrete medical isolation space for housing symptomatic or COVID-positive youth. To date ACS has moved six youth from Crossroads to Horizon. This has enabled us to fully utilize our facility space to safely manage our youth detention population while maintaining capacity on the first floor of Horizon to

medically isolate any youth in our custody who might

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 develop symptoms or test positive for COVID-19.

Infection control practices will continue at Horizon to prevent the spread of illness among the expanded youth populations, including strict implementation of traffic control and staff separation, stricture separation of transport activities, equipment, and laundry, strict implementation of cleaning and disinfection guidelines and practices, and strict adherence to established PPE conservation and usage quidelines for appropriate staff and continuing daily temperature taking for staff. Since the start of the pandemic we have had a total of seven youth test positive for COVID-19. Five these youth have fully recovered. Two youth were more recently diagnosed, are currently in isolation at Horizon. ACS and our medical partners tested the other youth with whom these recently diagnosed youth were in contact and all of these youth tested negative. Especially during these trying times it's crucial to provide structure for youth and maintain our youth-focused model of care. Youth in detention continue to receive quality medical and mental health services, access to education and programming, and they are maintaining connections to families. We have a full

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

array of on-site medical and mental health providers serving the youth in our care at Crossroads and Horizon. As I mentioned, we contract with Floating Hospital to provide health services at Crossroads and Correctional Health Services provides health care at Horizon. We've also worked closely, as we have for many years, with, ah, Health and Hospitals Bellevue Health Hospital Center to provide [inaudible] informed screening and mental health services to youth in both secure detention facilities as well as our nonsecure detention continuum. Through its team of psychiatrists, psychologists, and mental health clinicians, Bellevue works closely with our youth development specialist, our case managers, our program counselors, and our contracted medical services staff to provide comprehensive care for youth. And we're very grateful for the hard-working teams who have been meeting the complex needs of our youth prior to and throughout this crisis. Education and programming are critical components of detention and, of course, they needed to be quickly modified and adapted due to COVID-19. All youth in detention have access to remote learning, and I want to thank the teams at ACS, including our detention program

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM staff and our Office of Information Technology Staff and, of course, the Department of Education for quickly providing and adapting to new technology. Programming is essential to enhancing the therapeutic environment in detention while helping youth build self-esteem, take part in positive activities, reduce idle time, and help youth, ah, and, um, help youth to connect with role models and credible messengers, as well as develop skills to redirect their lives in positive directions. We've implemented new types of virtual programming to engage youth while adhering to social distancing protocol. So, for instance, youth now have access to video games, movies, and books on their tablets. They're participating in virtual programming with our various partners, including a writing challenge through the Kite program, yoga, individual exercise challenges, and others. Strong family engagement has always been an essential part of our model of care and we've adapted to make sure that youth remain connected. Our case management staff connect with parents at phone, by phone and intake, and they call parents weekly to provide progress updates. One of our earliest, and frankly

most challenging decisions during this crisis was to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have to suspend in-person visiting due to health So we've set up access for youth to do televisiting by video in addition to increasing their regular telephone access so they can maintain connections with their families and with their attorneys. It has always been the case youth can write and send unlimited letters to parents and family members. Through the dedication of our division of youth and family justice staff, ACS is making sure that youth in our detention facilities are well cared for as we continue to navigate these uncertain times. As the council knows, we created a new position, youth development specialist, to carry out our expanded responsibilities under Raise the Age. Our staff of YDS are now carrying out the crucial role of working with youth on a daily basis to provide strength-based supervision, mentorship, and connection under these particularly challenging circumstances. From the start of the pandemic we've deeply appreciated the council's and the community's close attention to the needs of the vulnerable youth and the heroic staff who provide them with daily care and supervision as we work together to keep those youth, those staff, and our community safe. I'm so

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2 proud of all that our ACS DYFJ team has done to

3 quickly adapt to this challenging time while

4 providing the highest-quality care and support to our

5 youth. Thank you, and we're very happy to take your

6 questions.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn it over to Chair Lancman for questions.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Good evening.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Good evening.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: I will, I will be brief and not give your, ah, the next panel the opportunity to say good morning.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Um, and I want to thank you for staying. Ah, I, I know it's been a long day. Um, so let's just simply, you've got Horizon, you've got Crossroads, um, ah, it sounds like you've got three, now maybe four different units of population. Who's at, who's at, who's at Crossroads? Who's at Horizon? And why are you moving, who are you moving from Horizon, from Crossroads to Horizon? [inaudible].

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: OK. Ah, let me get the picture and then I'll let, ah, Deputy

2.2

2.3

there, ah...

Commissioner Hemiter, ah, elaborate on that. Um, so, for starters, um, by state requirement, um, the pre-Raise the Age population, which at this point is very small, it's just a [inaudible] of kids, um, they must remain at Horizon. Um, so they have, they were there and they have been there before and they will remain

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: And they're, they're separate from everyone else?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: That's, that's,

13 | that's one, that's one category, let's say.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's one cohort of, of...

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: [inaudible].

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: ...young people who are at Horizon. That's correct. Then, second, beginning in March when the pandemic started, we made the decision to create a facility at Horizon where we would be able to medically isolate any staff, any, I'm sorry, any youth who were COVID, COVID-positive or, ah, symptomatic for COVID. So we've been doing that for about the last two months. Ah, currently we

have, ah, many youth, ah, [inaudible] there, um,

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

testified to Horizon.

2.2

2.3

because we have the two youth who recently tested,

ah, positive. Ah, but that facility remains

available for any youth who, ah, become symptomatic

at Crossroads who would then for that reason be

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: OK, and the only reason someone would go from Crossroads to Horizon is if they tested positive or were symptomatic?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER HANSELL:} \quad \mbox{That was the case} \\ \mbox{up until recently.}$

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Ahah.

as I mention in the testimony, um, we began to redistribute the population because of an increase in population we were experiencing at Crossroads, ah, by transferring some youth from Crossroads to Horizon. They continue to be separated from the medical isolation facility, um, to maintain the infection control protocol that we've had in place now, which we think has been very successful, um, and they also continue to be separated from the pre-Raise the Age population, which is required under state law and state regulation.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: [inaudible]. Horizon there are three cohorts. There is the Raise 3 the Age, there's the COVID-19, and there's the, for 4

want of a better term, ah, Crossroads excess? 6 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Ah, essentially.

I'm gonna, ah, turn it over to Deputy Commissioner Hemiter...

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [inaudible].

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: ... to elaborate before I get in deeper than I, than I should.

[laughs]

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: Yes, but that is correct. Um, so we do have the pre, pre-Raise the Age youth at Horizon, ah, the two youth who are in the medical isolation unit at Horizon, and then recently testified, ah, adolescent offenders at Horizon.

> CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: How many?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: Ah, the total count at Horizon right now is 14. So we have two pre-Raise the Age, two youth in the medical isolation unit, and 12, ah, other AOs.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Got it. And that leaves how many at, ah, Crossroads?

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: At

Crossroads today there were 67 youth, and that breakdown is 41 adolescent offenders, um, five juvenile delinquents, and 21 juvenile offenders.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Um, what was the reason for the increase in the population at Crossroads, ah, more kids get in trouble or something else?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: We've seen, um, an increase in the number of youth coming into detention. And as the courts remain closed no youth are moving out, ah, since that first, um, as the Commissioner mentioned, those first, those releases we have not seen, um, kids leaving as quickly as we have in the past. So our population has, has been increasing slowly.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Has the office of, so it's not that more kids have, have gotten themselves put in so much as fewer kids have been able to get themselves out?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: It's a little bit of both.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: [inaudible].

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: We have seen some police admits, um, coming through, um, and we've, you know, worked, ah, the, the courts are open for arraignments and a lot of the cases, at least the juvenile delinquency cases, they have been, ah, being diverted and released, um, and the adolescent offenders that we have seen come through have been arraigned and have been detained.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Has, has OCA not set up a process, ah, for these kids to, to have their, ah, their, their, their opportunity to, ah, be released, um, heard?

understand of the process, and I think MOCJ is still on and might be able to answer this better than I can, um, but for, so we have the, the youth parts which are adolescent offenders. Um, they get arraigned, um, and once, if they are detained, um, then further court hearings are, have just started happening, I believe, um, in terms of grand jury and things like that. I'm not as familiar with that.

MOCJ can answer, you know, what the process is with respect to that. On the juvenile delinquency side, um, for the younger youth Family Court is open for

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 263 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	arraignments, um, and Probation and the Law
3	Department have been doing what they can do to divert
4	those cases. Um, and then the court has, again, the
5	decision about whether to remand those youth or not.
6	So we have seen some youth coming through on both
7	sides, on the adolescent offender side and the
8	juvenile delinquency side.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Coming in, but not
10	being able to, to get out?
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: Correct.
12	There, there, there are no hearings other than the
13	emergency hearings being held.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Right. OK.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: Some cases,
16	um, when that initial release happened, um, on the
17	delinquency side, they were placed, um, and so they
18	did go to our Close to Home facilities.
19	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: All right. And,
20	and, um, just remind me, has, has every, ah, youth

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: We have not been testing every youth, um, only when, when they

been tested for COVID-19? Or are you, too, waiting

for, ah, symptoms to emerge?

21

22

23

24

2 are symptomatic, according to the, the health
3 quidelines.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: All right. Well, now that we have rapid tests and now that the Department of Corrections is testing every new, um, admission, shouldn't, ah, you guys be doing that also?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: I, I think this is something that we're looking into. We, we don't have a protocol for that yet. I can, ah, Dr. Mendoza is here, he can speak about the health aspects of that. Um, but, you know, we, we are definitely, it is definitely something under consideration.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: All right. Um, listen, I appreciate our cooperation and, and communication, it's been very, very, ah, ah, effective, um, but, you know, on the testing issue that's been under consideration for a long time and you have such a small population, ah, and, and the Department of Corrections is, is doing it. Um, I don't know, Doctor, do you want to tell us why this, what possible reason there could be for not at this point for ACS not doing this?

2.2

2.3

DR. MENDOZA: Well, the biggest reason and the most major reason is that there is no, um, health guidance actually coming down. Ah, even specifically for detention centers, and we've been monitoring all the health guidance that comes from the CDC from DOHMH and from DOH. Um, so it still continues to be a symptom-driven kind of screening process. But, again, as we said, as we continue to monitor all of these guidelines and as the, ah, situation within the city evolves, we'll, we will change our guidelines accordingly.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well, I have to respectfully very strongly urge you to not wait for some guideline to, to come down. The testing is available now. The Department of Corrections is, is, is, is doing it. Um, there's, you have a small and manageable population, um, it makes little sense to wait for someone to have symptoms, which means that they, they are and probably have been infectious for some period of time, um, and, ah, ah, we, there's no reason not to, not to test. I mean, if there's some medical reason I'd, I'd be willing to hear it why it's a bad idea. But it's so obviously and evidently a, a, a, a good idea I can't even understand why you

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

doctor so, ah, I, I may be misstating this, but we're 3 all reading about this, this new or this newly 4 understood impact that, that COVID is having on kids,

wouldn't do it. Also, and, you know, I'm not a

kind of like a separate side disease or side ailment, 6

7 um, I urge you, like don't mess around. You've got

less than a hundred kids. Test them today.

DR. MENDOZA: We promise you, we will not mess around. And we are going to look at everything, ah, consider every factor that needs to be considered. Completely understand the concern about the new syndrome, the MISC, and in fact on the day that we got information about MISC we immediately, ah, informed all of our providers, ah, our healthcare providers and all of our staff about it, and we have guidelines on how to recognize it. The key with MISC, of course, is early recognition, because there is an actually quite effective treatment for it and so, um, all of our staff are very aware of what they have to watch for. And, ah, yes, about the testing, we are, we are going to study it and we'll, we'll make a decision about it very, very soon.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: I hope so. Alana, are there other of my colleagues have questions?

COMMITTO

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes. We will, if, if you are finished, Council Member, we'll turn it to...

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Yeah, thank you.

5 Please go to them.

6 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Great. To Chair 7 Powers.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I'm gonna actually pass it along both to Council Member Ampry-Samuel and Council Member Rose, they've been here for a long time logged in, ah, I think, waiting to ask a question of ACS. So I'm gonna hand my time over to them [inaudible].

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ah, Council Member Ampry-Samuel, you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

appreciate that, um, Chair Powers. And, um, Chair
Lancman, thank you so much for those questions,
because that was the line of questions that I had,
um, since several hours ago. I was just like waiting
to, to get those, um, answers. Um, so [inaudible]
else I represent Crossroads in my district and, um,
on March, Wednesday, March 18, I received a frantic
call from a mom of a son who is at Crossroads and I

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

immediately, it was 8 o'clock that night, March 18, and I called, um, one of the deputy commissioners and, um, my colleagues to find out what was happening because her son was sick and, um, visitation had just stopped that Saturday prior to, and so she was very concerned, and we all were, and again, this was on March 18, and so we have been going back and forth with questioning as to what's happening with the young people at Crossroads and Horizon, myself, Council Member Salamanca, and so, um, it's been some trying times. And so, um, I just wanted to ask a couple of questions in reference to the video conferencing, because one of the mothers told me that she's able to, you know, of course do the visit, the visit, the video conferencing, um, but it's once a week and I just wanted to find out, um, is there a way to do, what's the hours for video conferencing, that's one of my questions, and is, is there a way to increase that because of what we're going through, um, and the mental health piece of it, having to stay connected and engaged. And then also, um, another mother text me and said her son is not able to wear a mask. And so I know that you mentioned in your testimony that every young people has a mask, but

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there's, there's one, there's a, there's a mother right now who has a son at Crossroads and he does not have a mask and is not allowed to have a mask. so I want you to be able to clear that up for me, um, and I, and I know I'm running out of time. also, um, the training and programming in the facility as well, because we had community-based organizations that were going in there, like Cure Violence groups, and really having a, um, like cultural competent programming with our young people. And so I want to speak to what are you doing to continue to connect with the CBOs who were working in there, because I'm told that they're not able to go in there and so I don't know who is actually in there now doing the programming, because I'm told it is not appropriate or, um, there should be more appropriate programming and, um, and workshops and sessions. and, ah, I had another one, I'm trying to rush. oh, yeah, and the increase in the population. So, um, I'm told, because I see what's happening here in Brownsville and we have young people getting locked up left and right every single day and so, um, can you speak more to what you're seeing as far as the increase in the numbers and how many, what's the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

percentage of the increase since COVID? That would
be helpful to, to get a sense of.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: OK, so I'll Um, I wrote down your things so I'll try to go through them. Um, with respect to the masks, um, every youth [inaudible], um, OK, so with respect to the masks every youth has been, um, given a mask. Sorry [inaudible] that now. There we go. Um, all youth have been given masks. Um, and they, they, so I'm not sure what, what, ah, reports you've been getting, um, because all youth have been issued masks. Um, they all have surgical masks. We have enough surgical masks that if a young person needs a new one we can give them a new mask. Um, so, so, that shouldn't be a problem, um, at, at all. All staff have also been given face coverings as well. They've given, ah, they've been given, given, um, cloth face coverings, um, at least two, I think we've given more than that so that they can wash them and, ah, ah, reuse them. Um, so both youth and staff have been given face coverings, um, in detention. with respect to the televisiting, um, the televisiting, ah, so this was something that we did

2 have to put up very quickly, um, because we made the decision early on, as the Commissioner mentioned, ah, 3 4 to suspend visits, which was, was a hard thing for us to do knowing that this was a challenging time for youth, um, and for their families, um, not knowing 6 7 what was going on with them. So we did, we were able to put, um, five computers, um, at Crossroads, ah, 8 for young people to do televisiting, um, but that televisiting is also, ah, being used for, um, visits 10 11 with attorneys, ah, visits with parents, and also if, 12 if there does happen to be a court hearing, um, where 13 a young person needs to be produced virtually we are using it for that as well. Um, so it's being used 14 15 for a lot of different purposes, um, and we are looking to see if there's a way to expand that, ah, 16 17 that capability, but, but right now, um, we only have the five, um, five set up in our visiting area. 18 19 the hours for the visiting, um, were originally 20 scheduled, ah, for parents on Monday, Monday through 21 Wednesday 2:00 to 6:00 and the visit last for an hour 2.2 long. Um, but we then, um, realized that that was 2.3 not enough because lots of parents want to be in contact with their kids, obviously, and so we did 24 25 expand, ah, the televisiting on Thursdays and Fridays

Um,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM as well, so all five days, ah, are being scheduled for televisiting with parents. Um, between, ah, March 30 and May 11, ah, there were 356 televisits, um, scheduled between youth and their families at, at both Crossroads and Horizon. So it is definitely being, ah, being used, um, and, ah, um, that's, you know, it is being used. Um, with respect to, ah, programming, um, that has also been a challenge obviously. Um, as we suspended visits, ah, for people coming into the facility we also had to suspend our community-based organizations coming in as well, um, and had to figure out ways to, um, establish virtual programming for young people so that they could continue to be engaged, um, not only with their school, which we've had to do remote, ah, learning, um, but also the programming aspect of things as well. Um, the youth have been issued, um, tablets, um, and as the Commissioner mentioned there are, there are games and books and, and other things, um, loaded onto those, onto those tablets, but we have also, um, been, ah, we have, um, implemented, um, other types of programming. There was a, a writing program, um, through the, ah, writing

challenge through the, through the Kite program.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

ones I wrote down.

2.2

2.3

we've, they've been doing yoga on the tablets, um, and other, um, other opportunities on, on the tablets. Um, we have been working with, ah, with some of the community-based providers, um, to figure out ways to increase programming, um, as well, so we've been working with CCA, um, and with some of the other, um, with, um, Carnegie Hall and other programs to, to work on ways to, um, get more programming in, in, loaded onto and available for the kids through the virtual programming. Um, that's, those were the

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks. Um, hey, for that, I think Council Member Ampry-Samuel had a follow-up question. I just want to give her and Council Member Rose an opportunity to ask, ah, just a small amount over their allotment because they've been here and, and they've been gracious enough to wait for their, a very, very, very, very long hearing and, and mostly on my behalf. So, ah, if you just [inaudible] offer an opportunity to ask a follow-up question.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: I appreciate that again. Um, just for, um, clarification because you mentioned the five

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

computers, um, that are set up for the video conferencing, the reason why the [inaudible] or the flag for me is because, um, now the city seems to be finding, um, funding to do 10,000 tablets for seniors and, you know, we can, you know, find ways to be able to keep folks connected and then for you to say that you have, um, five computers and, you know, just trying to figure a way to be able to increase that, to me that just sounds, you know, again, like, um, Chair Lancman stated, this is such a small, um, population and so, um, the young people and their families in this setting should be able to get anything and everything that they need at this particular time. Um, so that's one thing. Can you explain to me what the, ah, what the challenges are, um, related to the video conferencing? And then also, um, are you able to make sure that the families, the parents or the guardians, um, have the technology on their end in order to be able to do the conferencing with their, um, their, um, children and then, um, going back to the programming with the CBOs, when we're talking about, ah, like, Carnegie Hall or the, the organization that you just mentioned and I'm sitting here thinking about all of the issues

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we see now and the fact that the mayor and the police commissioner and the district attorneys are talking about ways to stay engaged and connected and the increase in funding and programming with our Cure Violence or crisis management systems, and that's on the outside, and we knew the importance of them to be on the inside with our young people and so, um, I don't understand the reasoning as to how you can have, um, programming and, and partnerships with entities that, that are not really relevant right now to what we're seeing and the increase in our youth being, you know, going into the system, and so, um, what are some of the challenges that are causing you to not be able to connect with the CBOs and have them inside of the building, because it's not the fact that they don't want to be there, because they tell me all the time, the ones that used to be in there, how can we get inside, because those children need us and their parents are asking us what happened, and so I know that there is, um, interest and a desire for those same groups to go, um, inside. So, um, can you speak to what your challenges are, again, related to the CBOs going inside as well as the, um, the increase in the computers and the technology that's

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

needed in order to have the young people stay engaged because we all know this is a critical time and, ah, one mother that I talk to on a consistent basis child is going through some real serious mental health issues and he had those same challenges before he got locked up. And he's going to be in there for a while. He is not one of the young people that can actually go home. And so, um, ah, what he needs is not being provided for in this setting right now, but he needs to be there. And so, um, I just, I, I hear the stories all the time about the young people not at all, like, I heard what you said but that's not the picture that I see every single day, um, from the families that reach out to our offices. So can you just speak to, you know, a little more of what you were just saying? Thank you. And that's it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: Oh, thank

you. We appreciate the, the question. Um, so, so

we, um, part of the visiting, issue with visiting is

space within the facility. So we want to create

spaces where, where kids can visit, um, one-on-one

with their parents and so having, finding the space

is, has been one of the challenges. Getting the...

So, let's.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

room?

1

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: [inaudible]

I, I go in there all the time. So I know where every single like room and space is in the building, so don't say that. Like I, I literally know. I can tell you right now, what about this place, what about that space? What about this room? What about that

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: think that's part of it, is the space issue. I mean, there's, there's also technology in terms of like Wi-Fi and getting those things kind of set up, ah, for, for the kids as well, or not the kids but the, the technology that is needed. Like the laptops and those kinds of, ah, things, um, we have been able to get. It's the, the building infrastructure as well and getting that set up for Wi-Fi and things has, has definitely been, um, a challenge in terms of, um, making sure that if we have all these tablets, um, and being, doing the Skype visits and other things that we have, ah, that they can actually work. So that's, that's also been, um, part of it. We, um, you know, as, as the, we had to do things very quickly, very fast at the beginning and, and now we're able to take a step back and take a look at, at

2 what we are doing and what else we can add to, um, the programming and the other things that we have 3 available for use. So, so definitely we are 4 connecting with, with the CBOs that, that were, um, coming into, ah, our facilities. We actually have a 6 7 call later this week with some of the advocates as well. We had a call, ah, a few weeks ago, um, also 8 where, where we were working with, um, some of the, 9 the CBOs to try to, to get them, ah, um, more 10 11 involved, um, if they can't be in the, in the 12 facilities physically, um, how do we get them in 13 virtually so, so that they can assist with, um, with 14 programming and keeping the kids engaged. Um, we, 15 um, we're also, one of the big things that we're 16 looking forward to, um, are the summer programming 17 and making sure that the kids have the programs for 18 the summer, um, as summer school is not going to be 19 available like it was in the past, um, to make sure 20 that the education and other, other things are 21 continuing for the kids so that they can continue to 2.2 earn their credits, um, that they are, um, going to 2.3 be able to be involved in their schooling as well. Um, so, so, again, you know, we're definitely, um, 24 25 working to connect and, and collaborate with, with

all the community-based organizations, um, that were
in there before and trying to be creative in terms of

UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible]

coming up with ways to get them back in.

6 COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Um, thank

7 you.

2.2

wanted to say Council Member Ampry-Samuel before we, we move on that, ah, you know, we are working, ah, closely, our case managers work closely with every, every young person in their family and we realize every family situation is different, and we want to do everything we can to encourage as much communication between parents and children as we can. So, um, we would be delighted to work with you offline on any, any concerns you hear from families, any concerns you hear from constituents. Um, we, we would very much like to know about and see if we could work with them and work with you to resolve them.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: OK, and I don't want to go, um, back and forth because I know that Council Member Rose is right there with me. So

I'm gonna thank you and I'll, I look forward to
working with you. OK, thank you. [laughs]

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you.

5 [laughs]

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Rose, you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I want to thank, ah, Chair, um, Powers for allowing, um, allowing me to, to go before him, and it's always a pleasure to follow, um, Council Member Ampry-Samuel because she is, is quite, ah, she does a comprehensive, ah, sweep of the all the information that's needed. And, um, many of my questions were, um, were in the same vein as, as hers. So I don't want to belabor the point. But, um, I, I have to cosign to many of the things that she said. As the chair of the youth committee I'm getting reports that, um, that the young people don't have access to masks, soap, and hand sanitizer. Um, of course, the youth or the staff, um, and, um, my other concerns is I'm just gonna throw out my, my questions and, um, then you can answer them. Um, I'm also concerned, really, really concerned about, um, our young people receiving proper educational

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2 instruction from DOE because we've been told that

there, there's not, there's not or there's limited 3

functional Wi-Fi at both, either of these centers. 4

Um, and so, ah, it makes, ah, distance learning, um,

6 a, a real challenge. So how you are, um,

7 transitioning to remote learning and how effective

has it been? Um, and, you know, and that would 8

translate also in terms of summer programming, um, as

well, and, and since our young people are, you know, 10

11 have been isolated from their families, um, you know,

12 with very limited activities, ah, recreational

13 programming, and schooling, you know, how are you

14 helping them to cope with, um, with the toll that

15 it's taking on them mentally and physically, ah, and,

16 um, and do they actually have unfettered use of the

17 phones and, ah, tablets? I know, I know my

18 colleagues spoke about remote, um, about the, um, the

19 But I'm talking about the, um, actual access visits.

to the phones and, and the tablets so that they, they 20

21 are engaged, they have something to do, especially in

2.2 light of the fact that the CBOs are no longer coming

2.3 into the facility.

24

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Ah, yes, ah,

25 Council Member. Let me, let me start off and then,

2.2

2.3

ah, Deputy Commissioner Hemiter can, can elaborate.

Um, very important question. Um, with regard to education, um, I think, you know, I think what we, we found initially, just as, you know, we saw with so many families who are trying to connect their kids with distance learning at home, um, is that there

getting up and running with remote learning. It wasn't easy for anybody. It was a very different way

was, to use a bad pun, there was a learning curve in

of operating than any of us was familiar with, and so, um, it did...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

us, first of all, to make sure that we had the right equipment from DOE. And they took to some time to make sure we had the right connectivity in the facilities so that we could use the equip. Um, and then, ah, it's been also a process to work with the young people to make sure they knew how to function in a very different, you know, style of interaction, ah, with, with education. Um, so, ah, it was a process of getting there. Um, we, we do have the equipment. We do have the connectivity, um, and, um, our staff at our end, they used development

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 | specialists, the case managers. The program

counselors are all working with the youth now to make sure they really can use the technology to engage in distance learning the way that, ah, that we want them to make sure that they can full take advantage of the educational opportunities that DOE is making available to them. Um, they do also have, as, as I mentioned in testimony, ah, they have, ah, tablets that they can use for other kinds of, um, ah, um, activities like video games and reading books and watching movies, things like that. Ah, there are recreational activities and things like that. So we're doing as much as we can to keep kids engaged, both in the remote learning activities but also in a range of other things, um, so that we, ah, make sure they have as, ah, as much constructive activity and as little idle time as possible.

council Member Rose: So the infrastructure is now in place. You have Wi-Fi. All of the infrastructure, um, issues have been resolved and, um, and they are, um, available now for them to use. And when was that, and when did you actually accomplish that? What was that timeline?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Ah, I don't, I don't have the detail on that. We can get that to you. I don't, Sarah, do you have the details on that [inaudible]?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: I don't, sorry, I didn't know if I was muted. Um, we, ah, I, I'd have to get back to you. I know that the Chromebooks or the tablets that, that the DOE, um, gave to us to hand out to the kids, there were definitely challenges with that in the beginning, um, and we had to pull them back and, and redo things, and so that definitely was a challenge, um, but they do all have, um, tablets now that they are, they are handed out daily, um, for educational and the programming purposes. Um, if, if, ah, the young people, you know, the longer they, the, the better their behavior is the longer they get to keep the tablets and, and use them, um, as well. We also are, are, um, as the weather is turning nicer, um, the kids are going outside also. Um, they've always had access to the gym and, and the outdoor spaces for the recreation. Um, you know the kids want to play basketball which has been a challenge during this time when we're trying to keep the kids apart and

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

socially distanced, um, so we are working with them on, um, calisthenics and, and other things in the outdoor spaces as well to get them up and moving around, um, so that they aren't just sitting using the tablets all day as well. So, so we're trying of mix of different things with the kids to keep them active and engaged.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: But didn't you say to, um, Council Member Ampry-Samuel that, that there were some issues with infrastructure and Wi-Fi and that's why, um, there was some issues in terms of teleconferencing with their, with their families?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: In the...

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [inaudible]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: Sorry. In the visiting area there has, there have been some challenges with the Wi-Fi. Um, so, so the areas where the visiting is taking place, um, we, we have only been able to put up, ah, five tablets there because of some challenges with the Wi-Fi. Um, the...

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And so what is your, um, and what's your timeline in terms of, of

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMITER: My, my
understanding it's just at Crossroads. Ah, I can
verify that.

21

Crossroads.

2.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: OK. Um, I, I want to thank you for, ah, allowing me to have the time, Chair Powers and, um, and we really, we really need for you to make available all, all the resources that you can to, to make sure that while these young people are, are suffering through this isolation that we all are, that, um, their mental health and their physical health, um, issues are, are taken, are addressed. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Ah, I believe Chair Lancman has one more question.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thanks. This is for the, the Law Department. Are, are you folks still around? Hi. Can you unmute the Law Department? There you go. So we heard from MOCJ earlier about this, ah, intense deliberative, ah, process for trying to get, um, adults released from adult detention, and I want to know if there's been any, ah, comparable process to get juveniles released, what the Law Department's role has been, and, ah, how many young people, if any, has Law Department consented to, ah, to release? At least, you know, for those who are being prosecuted by the Law Department.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHIEF GILROY RUIZ: Yes, thank you, um, Councilman. So there was a, an intentional effort to this, um, which began actually on March 13, when Deputy Commissioner Hemiter and I spoke and we were given lists of the, um, youth who were in detention and we began to go through the list on a case-by-case basis, um, reviewing every single case of the youth in detention. It was a multilayer review by the Law Department, um, starting at the line level up to the unit chief, up to the deputy chief of litigation, including myself. Um, and as a result of that the initial reduction that had happened with juvenile [inaudible] the population in secure detention was decreased by 66% and in nonsecure detention by, um, 53%. As this was happening, ACS also did a similar, um, review of medical conditions, as we've heard about in the adult system today. And, um, so we provided them with a list of 20 youth who may have, um, conditions that make them more vulnerable to the virus, um, and so there was, again, an intentional Um, and we believe it was that number because we had reduced the population so much previously. So we did do another review of these. This included all the youth in detention, so it was

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM review of each case by our office as well as, um, with the assistance of the Department of Probation, with MOCJ and ACS with input from NYPD as well as the DAs' offices. And so, um, a group of that youth were deemed appropriate for release. That has to do with the number of cases that were in at the time and so that number of cases, um, ultimately resulted in, um, in an original list of 53 youth, 32 [inaudible] released overall. And, as the Commissioner said, with both reviews there has been a one-third decrease in the population in detention. Um, a question was asked by one of the councilwomen with regard to the cases coming in now to detention, and I, I believe Commissioner Bermudez may speak of this as well, as it's a different process than in the district attorney's office. When, when a case is referred by the police department it [inaudible] to the Department of Probation, who then either adjusts the case or, um, refers the case to the, to the Law Department, and then we determine the appropriateness of filing a case. Um, there has been a real intentional effort between DOP and our office actually handling these cases at the police precinct

prior to them even being transported down to the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

court, and as a result of that approximately 80% of the youth initially in custody have been released either by the Department of Probation or by the Law Department, pending a potential filing later one. just want to correct one thing that was sad. Family Court has not [inaudible], um, at all and so the hearings that were necessary to accomplish these releases were done by the Family Court judges. once we had come to a conclusion based upon the supports provided by DOP and ACS and MOCJ through the alternative to detention programs we then went to the attorneys for the child who are the defenders and Family Court and together we negotiated, agreed upon conditions of release, which were then approved by the court. Um, so there has been a continued effort to make sure, um, only those youth who need to be in detention are in detention, and I would just say that the standard that we used went above the standard that is the initial remand standard in Family Court . That standard is based whether there would be a further act of delinquency or whether there would be a nonappearance in court. Instead, we went to the mission of the Family Court itself in terms of outcomes and that is a balancing between the needs

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Oh, sorry.

2.3

24

name first.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Commissioner

3 | Bermudez?

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Yes, I do.

5 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner

Goodwin?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GOODWIN: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

Commissioner, you may begin.

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Thank you, all right, let's bring this home. Um, good evening, Chair Powers and Chair Lancman, as well as members of the criminal justice and justice services committees. I am Ana Bermudez, commissioner of the New York City Department of Probation, and with me is Deputy Commissioner Sharun Goodwin. Thank you for the opportunity to testify about the important work of the Department of Probation and the recent bill introduction to reestablish New York City's local conditional release commission. As you know, probation is the largest alternative to incarceration in New York City and plays a crucial role in keep us all safe. At DOP we understand that safety is more than just one's physical well-being, but that it's the network of trusted relationships built around a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

person to keep them on track when times are tough. This has never been more apparent and important, and I'm very proud of this agency's ability to be nimble and adapt to the present circumstances. The 17,225 people currently under supervision, divided up between 16,000 adults and 12,225 juveniles are being safely supervised by dedicated and professional probation officers through electronic means mostly, like phones, text, videos, and web check-ins, um, similarly to how we expanded intensive community monitoring, or ICM, for youth that would otherwise be detained when we saw the need with Raise the Age. We have adapted to this new now by transitioning our programming online so that clients and other community members can stay engaged with the people and programs they have grown to rely on during this time when they have, when they are needed most. Our ground-breaking, incredible [inaudible] mentoring programs, such as Arches and parent coaches, our unique partnerships, like the Made in New York animation project, NeON arts, thank you, ah, City Council Member Holden, ah and NeON photography as well as our behavioral health specialists, are all available remotely for clients and their families to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

access. And, touchingly, as I have spoken with probation officers about their experience with remote supervision, they often report that clients are calling to check in on them and to see how they are doing during this crisis. For those on probation where regular in-person check-ins are necessary, we are employing social distancing and other recommended precautions to keep individuals under supervision, probation officers, and the public safe. In fact, of our in-person operation that has increased the most during the pandemic is our NeON nutrition kitchens, with which, with the support from the Young Men's Initiative, have now tripled their capacity. We are now serving some 12,000 people per week, a more than sixfold increase of our typical pre-COVID volume. Though that is a sobering a statistic, it is also an uplifting one, as it shows the incredible impact the work of this department is having on the lives of our clients, their families, and the communities in which they live. We could not have done this, first and foremost, without our dedicated staff, who came up with the Nutrition Kitchen idea in the first place, as well as our partners, like Why Am I and the Living Redemption Youth Opportunity hub in Harlem who

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stepped up to the plate, no pun intended, um, when our previous location had to close in Harlem. And it comes to no surprise, as no surprise to us, that the neighborhoods with the most positive COVID-19 cases are home to communities of color and, and whose residents are disproportionately employed in frontline service. COVID-19 has revealed the racial and economic inequity that is deeply in our city's socioeconomic infrastructure. Our NeONs aim to be the opposite of that, instead serving as engines of equity by working with neighborhood residents to develop ground-up solutions for what their community needs. By being rooted in partnership with both residents and service providers, the NeON model has allowed us to invest valuable resources and help to restore a sense of agency in these communities. are, and we are seeing the results pay off, for despite decades of concentrated disadvantage the residents of our NeON neighborhoods are successfully completing probation at a rate of more than four out of five, the same rate for residents of neighborhoods that do not have these structural challenges. there is still a lot more work to do, I am proud of this department's contribution toward ensuring that

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

justice system outcomes are not defined by a person's ZIP code. Though the local conditional release commission has not been operating, ah, during my time as commissioner, I am familiar with its duties and scope. As commissioner of DOP, I would be an ex officio and nonvoting member of the commission and anyone granted conditional release would be supervised by Probation for a period of one year. advantage of the LCRC is that all components of the process would be housed under one roof within Probation, ensuring both a consistent programmatic ethos and seamless integration across the conditional release continuum from application through community supervision. The department does not have any objections to this legislation and would work with you to not only ensure its implementation, successful implementation, but to prioritize connecting the work of the commission with the communities that have historically been disproportionately represented in the jail-prison pipeline. Thank you for, um, allowing me the testify and, um, moreover, thank you, council members for the incredible and continued support you have shown this department and the people

24

2.2

who serve over the years. I am pleased to answer any questions that you may have.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll now turn it over to Chair Powers for questions.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, thank you for bearing with us on a marathon of a day, as we are now at 6:20. Um, I'm gonna get a couple questions out and then I'll hand it over to folks. Um, I thank you on the feedback on the bill that we've got the local commission, ah, on release. Ah, can you tell us, um, ah, what staffing for that would look like if, if we did pass it? Does that require additional staffing? Do you believe that the department could staff [inaudible] any ideas on that?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Yes, um, so in the past it was staffed by, ah, two probation line, ah, folks, um, and two administrative support, ah, staff. And we think that's appropriate, ah, moving forward. Ah, my understanding is that, and, and the statute calls for five, ah, commission members. So, um, it would be a, a separate unit and, and a distinctive unit within DOP, and we, um, were confident that we could staff that.

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, and, and in terms of appointees, um, any, any thoughts on, ah, qualifications or what would be looked for in terms of an appointee?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Well, the, the,

ah, state statute is very clear as to the qualifications, ah, that are required. Um, so, you know, it would have, it would have to confirm with that, um, I have, let's see, um, for example, so each member of the commission has to have, ah, graduated from an accredited four-year college or university, at least five years of experience in the field of criminology, ah, the administration of criminal justice, law enforcement, probation, parole, law, social work, social science, psychology, psychiatry, or corrections. So it's a fairly, you know, definitive list of criteria that need to be met.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, thank you. Um, and, um, can you tell how many people are currently incarcerated due to a probation warrant or violation or probation?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: There is very, ah, few of those. There is an issue about the data there because oftentimes, solely on a violation of

2.2

2.3

2 probation there's generally nobody on hold, ah, at,

3 at Riker's. Usually a person who is at Riker's on a

4 violation of probation is because there's a new case

5 | for which they're being held.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Do you, and you don't know how many that there are in that case?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Not at the moment. We can, we can, ah, I'm sorry? Um, so, but we file less than 1% of, ah, violations, right, so, so, it's very, I'm sure it's very few.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. And just, just, you know, on the bill that we have I think there's that state law, but I, I think that we read that as maybe, maybe a minimum versus a, a, ah, ah, of maximum in terms of, ah, stating it out, but we'll be happy to work with you on terms of, um, hashing some of those issues out. Um, ah, are check-ins for juveniles now entirely remote?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Ah, for the most part we have, ah, some group of young people that we go to their homes still. Our ICM, ah, which is our intensive community monitoring, is our alternative to detention, ah, ah, intervention both for the youth part and for family court. Um, we have, ah, we go to

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
Υ.ΤΠΝΤΟΤ.	HTTTW	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

- 2 | the youth's home as, ah, on a regular basis,
- 3 following social distancing, etcetera. But nobody
- 4 comes to our offices. There's some cases that we go
- 5 out, however.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And is there, is
- 7 | there any instance where you would provide ah, ah, a
- 8 | juvenile with a telephone or a tablet so they can
- 9 be...

- 10 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Do you know how
- 12 many instances you've done that?
- 13 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Ah, let's see. I
- 14 | thought I had that. I'm not sure I have that, but we
- 15 | have done that. I don't have the actual number. I
- 16 | will get that to you. But every young person, one of
- 17 | the things that we did when we moved to remote, ah,
- 18 | work was to ensure that everybody had a device with
- 19 | which we could communicate. So they either have
- 20 | laptops or phones, and when they haven't had it we've
- 21 | provided it for them. We've been able to do that,
- 22 | yes.
- CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, thank you. Ah,
- 24 | I'm gonna hand it over to Chair Lancman and then

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 30: JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM
2	other members as well, they will ask questions as
3	needed.
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe Chair
5	Lancman does not have any questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, I see him wave.
7	OK. Um, and, um, ah, could I, could I just ask, ah,
8	just a question in terms of the department itself, I
9	do not have any data on staff or individuals in term
10	of the impact of COVID on the department?
11	COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Yes. So we've
12	had, ah, 39 confirmed cases, um, 32 in the provision
13	officer line and, um, seven in the non-provision

officer, um, units, and, ah, yes, that's, that's.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And, and everybody, how, how are folks doing? Are they?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Folks have, ah, recovered well. We had one confirmed, ah, death, um, unfortunately, and one, one non-confirmed but strongly suspected.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. I'm really sorry to hear that, so send all our best to everybody at the department and, ah, and their families. and then just some, just some final questions. Has there been any requests for equipment or, ah, it

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

could be PPE, it could be other equipment or other 2 3 things that the department has been unable to provide to folks at this time?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So far we have been able to provide what we need. We've been working very closely with our union, the UPOA, and between them and us we've been, ah, you know, been able to outfit our staff with what they need to go out, ah, in, ah, out in the field when needed and to do the Nutrition Kitchen, ah, food distribution as well, um, which will also actually providing through our Nutrition Kitchen food distribution also PPEs for the public. When they come to get their food they get their, you know, masks and, and the information about COVID, etcetera.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. So, thank you, thank you for spending so much time with us today, ah, and, and we send all our best to everybody at the department and, ah, to their families. you, and hope you're staying safe and healthy as Do we have any other questions from folks? don't see any at this point. OK, thank you, thanks so much.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will I'd like to remind now turn to public testimony. everyone that unlike our typical council hearings we will be calling individuals one by one to testify. Each panelist will be given three minutes to speak. Please begin once the sergeant has started the time. Council members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will call on you after the first group of panelists has completed their testimony. For panelists, once your name is called a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will give you the go ahead to being upon setting the timer. Please wait for the sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony. would now like to welcome Delvany Powell to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DELVANY POWELL: Mr. Speaker,

Chairperson, Council Member. My name is Delvany K.

Powell, proud president of more than 800 majority

African female members of the United Probation

Officers Association. For, for more than 33 years

I've worked in adult and family court services as a probation officer and supervising probation officer.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Probation officers since prior to appointment must minimally, must minimally have a four-year college degree with related experience, with related experiences or, or a master's design. As [inaudible] officers we carry firearms and undergo eight weeks of training. We are community correction supervision, the best incarceration alternative. We provide services to adults and to youth who have been convicted of a misdemeanor or a felony. Youth can be placed on probation from six months to two years, while an adult can be sentenced to probation for as long as 10 years, probation officers in foster conditions on probation. Officers' teams interview potential clients and supervise probation, probationists/clients for 24 hours a day, seven days a week after court findings and convictions. Officers complete mandated [inaudible] investigation These critical documents include reports. recommendations include recommendations of community supervision or incarcerations. Officer make sure, make sure services are provided to those under our supervision. The objective is to keep people out of jail. Every probationer is an individual. We do not believe in one size fit all. We prepare supervision

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM plans to meet the needs of our clients/probationists. These plans are adjusted accordingly to each goal as each goal is met. Probation's primary objective is community safety and to assist those who we serve to become law-abiding citizens as well as have no [inaudible]. Probation is a second chance. COVID-19 has not changed our work. Probation officers and supervisor probation officers are required to make home visits. We are on the streets, essential personnel, protecting our city. Electronic monitoring is now an important tool for our, for many reasons. It allows keeping more people out of city prisons while providing additional safety to the community at large. Whether an adult or youth should be incarcerated or placed in a juvenile facility during COVID, during the COVID pandemic, must be contingent upon public safety risks. Who can, who can effectively provide this oversight? Our trained, experienced probation officers can and will. To the extent that we have to come in direct contact for their safety and for the safety of our members, we will take all, we will have to take all safety recommended precautions. Therefore, therefore, to

keep us on the street we need the proper equipment,

2 such as PPEs, larger vehicles, the frequent cleaning
3 of our offices and our reception areas, as well as

4 the installation of Plexiglasses in our offices when

5 we go back to our new now. Thank you for the

6 opportunity to testify.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Um, if there are no questions we will move on to the next group. Thank you for your testimony. I would now like to welcome Vidal Guzman to testify. After Mr. Guzman I will be calling on Donna Hilton, Sharon White, oh, apologies. We have one question from Council Member Powers, from Chair Powers.

about that. Um, and thank you for, for, for, ah, sticking through a long hearing. I just want to say thank you to everybody who's been on this for, for seemingly all day now. But, um, just as you talked about going back to work and all the, um, all of the things you're going to need include Plexiglas, equipment, have you been talking to the department about your needs have they indicated they will be able to accommodate the needs of your folks whenever they, they have to go back to work?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

DELVANY POWELL: Yeah, we continue to have labor management meetings with the department on a regular basis. And we have expressed to the department the needs that, what we're gonna need in order to do our job more effectively and to keep the members safe as well as our clients. So [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, and right now do you feel you like have everything that your folks, everything they need in terms of whether it's equipment or support right now from the department?

DELVANY POWELL: I, like the Commissioner said, we've given out, um, um, PPEs to the members and the department's been very helpful with helping us get it distributed, um, PPEs to the, to our members. And, like I said, they have been very cooperative with us in this whole crisis.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, thank you.

DELVANY POWELL: And, and [inaudible] continue.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK. Thank you.

Thank you for, for that and, ah, hang in there and it's nice to see you virtually and, ah, we'll hope to see you some time in the near future.

3

4

7

8

9

17

24

25

1

DELVANY POWELL: I just want to make sure

that we get our Plexiglasses. [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We will be, you let

5 us know and we will be happy to, to help out

[inaudible] for that as well. 6

DELVANY POWELL: Thank you so much. Bye-

bye.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Absolutely. Thank

10 you.

11 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Um, Chair Lancman, did you have any questions? OK. We will now move to 12

13 our next panel. I would like to now welcome Vidal

14 Guzman to testify. After Ms. Guzman I will be

15 calling on Donna Hilton, Sharon White Harrigan, and

16 Brandon Holmes.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

VIDAL GUZMAN: How ya doing? Thank you, 18

19 everybody, for giving me the opportunity. My name is

Vidal Guzman. I was on Riker's Island and also the 20

Manhattanville Tombs. I'm also a Harlem resident. I 21

2.2 am the outreach and, ah, engagement organizer for the

2.3 Close Riker's Island campaign. And thank you for

hearing from me today. I want to talk about the

conditions on Riker's Island, the culture and the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

response to COVID-19 and how its people fear for their life. We hear from people who are on Riker's Island, they loved ones, through our Free New York campaign as, as we heard some of the DAs talk about The fear that I can hear and see in family members' faces and voices tell us that they are worried about their loved ones. The Department of Correction was not prepared. We knew they was not prepared when they gave masks too late to people detained, from people who was incarcerated on Riker's, and a woman who was incarcerated [inaudible]. There's no way to create a floor plan to allow social distancing in Riker's Island. New York City was not prepared to protect Riker's Island during the, ah, Hurricane Sandies or the swine flu epic, ah, epic center. Ah, they were slow to response to this pandemic as well. I went through Riker's when I was 16 and again at 19 years old. And I'm gonna tell you that I learnt how, ah, New York treats people who are incarcerated. We were always treated as second class when it comes to anything, right? And I think another thing that I been hearing, um, because I could also send you my

testimony, was through DAs and everyone, ah, talking

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

24

25

2 about the importance of investing in communities. So

3 | for a lot of people who know that, ah, the Close

4 Riker's Island campaign created a build community

5 | platform, it's called two point, ah, the build

6 community platform called 2.0 that talks about every

7 | single issue that every City Council, ah, DAs, or

8 anyone who actually spoke before us, ah, ah,

9 concerned, right? Some of these build community

10 platform talks about the public health, housing,

11 | employment, economic developments, education and

12 school system, community programs and services,

13 | conflict transformation, attorney accountabilities,

14 | and structures and investments. So when people talk

15 about answers about what public safety actually

16 means, public safety has to be defined from the

17 | community side first. And when we talk about as a

18 | organizer, when I talk to people in my community

19 | about what they actually need, well, it's not more

20 policing or incarcerating people, puts more

21 | investments in our community. This, you know, I

22 | overheard someone even quote, ah, ah, ah, even give a

23 \parallel quote and this is what I have to say. Because this

is in [inaudible] in, in City Hall's, ah, ah, um,

chamber, right? That this nation under gosh, eh,

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2 | should have a new birth of freedom, that this

3 government of the people, by the people, for the

4 people should not perish from the earth. And when we

5 talk about quotes from Abraham Lincoln that defined

6 | what exactly does our community actually need or what

7 is, what defines what a society that's healthier and

thriving actually needs...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

10 VIDAL GUZMAN: All I have to say that

11 when it comes to any community investments we have

12 | the answers. It's called the build community 2.0 and

13 \parallel we could send to every single one of ya. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Donna Hilton, you may

15 begin.

1

8

14

24

25

16 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

17 DONNA HILTON: Good evening, thank you.

18 | It's been long waiting, but important. Thank you for

19 giving me this opportunity to speak. I would like to

20 say that all the things that I've heard on this

21 | hearing today I, I'm changing my testimony. I have

22 | to bring to light that the, um, the issues that

23 \parallel people have brought up, and I don't see many people

from the DA's office and the like on the call, on the

hearing anymore, ah, I'll continue to talk about

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 those that continue to commit crimes or do, or 3 misbehave. We don't talk about the thousands upon 4 thousands that don't. Most people like me and those that have been waiting to speak to you, and are working on the front lines, I was in the field this 6 7 morning addressing the needs of our communities. I am including those on, on Staten Island, those who 8 continue to be marginalized and discriminated against because they're poor. Poor, poverty in itself is a 10 11 violent, it's a violent situation, it's violent. But 12 we don't want to recognize that. We treat people 13 badly because of it. We treat people badly because they're poor, because they're black, because they're 14 15 not educated, but we don't look at the root causes of 16 these things that want to address it and we have 17 become a country that wants to incarcerate it. You 18 incarcerated me as an adolescent who was being raped and abused by a man who served in World War II, whose 19 20 mother was the head of New York State Mental Health. 21 You treated me less than and you continue to treat 2.2 those like me less than instead of facing the issues 2.3 that we oftentimes contribute to. If we continue to talk to people and talk about people like they are 24

less than, that they are not human beings, that they

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 313 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM are not, ah, important, then they will continue to act in such a way. They should not wait until jail or incarceration to get the treatment that they need. Mental health is not, is not treated in jail. Substance abuse is not treated in jail. It is not. We see a lot of people still being technically violated right now, during this time, during this pandemic, going back to Riker's Island and sitting there, and getting sick, very sick. And let's be clear and honest that the medical care in prisons and in jails on Riker's Island is inadequate, subpar at best. And when you have, when you're sick and you're released and you to go to, ah, Elmhurst Hospital where the treatment is, you don't get treated as well as everyone else that's not, does not have a NYCID number or a den number. Let's be very clear on that. So it's, it's really disheartening right now during this time of a pandemic when human lives are at stake, regardless of guilt or innocence, and we must emphasize a lot of the people on Riker's Island are held pretrial. They're detained. They're not convicted of anything. And if they are they're

sentenced to city time. You're talking about bills

that have nothing to do with someone that's going to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2.2

2.3

2 stay in prison. You're talking about bills that the 3 city can address, that the council can address.

These are not serious so-called offenses, violent or otherwise. They would not be doing...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DONNA HILTON: ...city time if they were.

Thank you for the opportunity. But I also demand
that you start looking at people as human beings and
recognizes the harms that you cause, you cause, and
shame yourselves, shame yourselves. Sharon White
Harrigan, you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SHARON WHITE HARRIGAN: I would just like to say thank you so much for this time to both chairman and the rest of the council's, ah, committee, committee members. Um, I just, for the things that I heard, ah, I just don't even have enough time to even speak on that. But that would be another day. But I would like to speak to the 6184 bill to amend the administrative code of the City of New York in relationship to the maximum fee allowed when transferring money to a person in the custody of the Department of Correction and so in this time of, of this pandemic we're already pouring marginalized

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 315 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM people, ah, hurting, struggling, and suffering, people have lost their, has lost their employment, their homes, their good health and their loved ones. We have to take time out to address a fee to transfer money to people incarcerated. This is reprehensible. We continuously find ways to target our black and brown communities and so I represent the Women's Community Justice Association, but I'm also here representing the Justice for Women COVID-19 Task Force and when the task force went to place money in their accounts of all the women on Riker's Island it could not give them \$25 each because the fee went to \$6.95 per person, so we had to settle on \$20 at \$3.95 per person. For a wife who tries to maintain a household, kids, transportation, just kind of keep things afloat and trying to support her incarcerated husband but could only give him \$15, but after the fee it turns to \$8. We shouldn't just cap the fee. It should be removed. In a time of COVID where everybody, everyone is suffering, come on, I mean, this is not even something that should be up for discussion. We need to stop profiting off the backs of poor people. And lastly we need to do better with

our language and categorizing people. It's offensive

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and anyone here would be offended if we were

stigmatized. So when we know better we should do

better. It's not inmate, it's not, you know, these

are derogatory names. These are men and women who

6 are detained or incarcerated. Thank you for your

7 | time.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, um, and, ah, I just wanted to just clarify, I think we have, ah, are in agreement to you, with you, about, um, the fees and, you know, in this council I've tried to pass legislation, as long as I've been here in the chair to, ah, eliminate any sort of, ah, area where, ah, we think the city is making money off folks who are incarcerated. It's unconscionable, it's, it's, it's, um, it's hard to believe at any point that has been the, the policy of this city. Um, on this particular one we are, ah, looking at some of the state laws and how this bill interacts with the state laws and what we're allowed to do. Of course, I think if we are able to we would get this to, ah, ah, to be no fee and I just wanted to share that intention because as we look at some of the state law this interacts with, um, but I am very grateful to a lot of my colleagues and, we've, who have introduced

COMMITTE	ĽΕ	ON	CRIMINAI	ַ ע	JUST.	ICE
JOINTLY	WI	ТН	JUSTICE	SY	STEN	N

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 and passed legislation in this council about

3 | telephone calls, we've done on fees on, on bail and

4 other things and that's where this is intended

5 [inaudible] so you understand where we're coming from

6 as well. Um, you [inaudible] and I think Alana we'll

move on to the next one. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:committee} \mbox{COMMITTEE COUNSEL:} \quad \mbox{Next we have Brandon} \\ \mbox{Holmes.}$

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

BRANDON HOLMES: Good evening. I'm a lifelong New Yorker whose family has been on the other side of the bars at Riker's Island and I'm testifying on behalf of Just Leadership USA and the Close Riker's campaign, and as a member and supporter of Jails Action Coalition. Thank you to the City Council and in all central and member staff who have shown a commitment over the last two months to holding this administration and its agencies accountable to protecting the most vulnerable New Yorkers during this pandemic. We have a long way to go to complete the New York City we are fighting to build and because of this I want to acknowledge the urgency of the current budget-making process. We need a council that is going to be relentless. Many

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

25

2 of you have participated in the height of a

3 grassroots movement to end mass incarceration, a

4 | movement to respect black lives, and to invest in the

5 | infrastructure needed to afford housing, education,

6 and health care as rights for all New Yorkers. The

7 urgency of your work and our work to shrink the jail

8 population, demolish facilities on Riker's, and

9 enforce true culture transformation within the

10 | justice system is directly tied to the urgency of

11 | fully funding and supporting communities, not only in

12 | a time of crisis and pandemic but always. The

13 | mission to close Riker's Island in partnership with

14 directly impacted communities and advocates is more

15 | urgent than ever. And while this mayor, district

16 attorneys, and NYPD Commissioner Shea are set on

17 | hoarding resources for law enforcement and punitive

18 responses during a global pandemic while their cops

19 | have been recorded on multiple occasions assaulting

20 | New Yorkers of color, New York City has to realize we

21 | have faced a deficit before. There is no shortage of

22 | money during this pandemic that we can't overcome.

23 | What we have is a misalignment of priorities which

24 \parallel will dig us deeper into a depression if you do not

fight for budget justice. The Close Riker's campaign

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 and our leaders support the reporting amendment to 3 improve transparency and oversight of DOC and CHS 4 pandemic response efforts. We enthusiastically support the creation of a local condition to release 5 In April over 1500 New Yorkers were 6 commission. 7 released through COVID-19 advocacy efforts and many 8 of these people were reunited with loved ones, continued education, or receive treatment in their communities. We've seen that roughly 95% of the 10 11 people released have avoided rearrest. Despite fear mongering and racism from media and law enforcement 12 13 [inaudible] there is no increased or crime wave. 14 the past several weeks, though, we have seen a slight 15 increase from the city's low of around 3808, ah, average daily population to 3943 reported May 18. 16 17 have seen a significant results from the city's more 18 cost-effective hotel placement programs, too, which 19 have been done in partnership with nonprofit service 20 providers who can support people recently released or 21 people with supervision conditions. The city should 2.2 be investing in future resources that will further 2.3 the progress we've made towards decarceration and ending mass incarceration and protecting that and 24

future generations of New York.

This commission

2 should also serve a more

2.2

2.3

should also serve a more transparent and aggressive role for correcting harmful trends within the justice system through challenging...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

BRANDON HOLMES: ...district attorneys and judicial discretion or enhancing New York City-based ATV and ATI programs to protect New Yorkers, ah, from current failures on bail reform. I'll submit the rest of my testimony, ah, to the record.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Are there any questions from Chair Powers? Chair Lancman?

Thank you. If there are no questions from any other council members we will move to the next panel. Next up is Dr. Victoria Philips, who will be followed by Jennifer Parish, Kelsey Diabalo, and Bianca Tyler.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

DR. VICTORIA PHILIPS: Hello, it's Ms. V from the Jails Action Coalition and Zero Profits

Coalition, Mental Health Project, and Justice for Women COVID Task, um, Force. I want you to hear directly from the inside. Listen to your constituents, please. [plays recording] I, I just wanted to hurry up and skip forward 'cause a lot of things were said today. So, um, it's important, I

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2 | had this call last night because I know DOC likes to

3 | tell, um, everyone that I reach out to that things

4 | are taken care of, so I had to make sure I got this

5 | call in last night and so please listen. [plays

6 recording]

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Ms. Philips, we're gonna have, we're gonna have to move on. I'm sorry I that I cut you off there. But you can definitely send that to us, and I would just note to anybody, for any individual, I mean, I, I, I agree with some of the sentiment in there, which it seems like we were very late in terms of, in, in instances of getting the appropriate, um, resources we needed, whether it's sanitizer, hand soap, or, or much, or even release, things like that. Um, we certainly, our office and, ah, staff here will, will accept any of those, um, either, whether anonymous or, or if somebody wants to call us and talk to us about any of the individual issues. Thank you for testifying. And I think we'll keep going unless there's questions. Oh, Council Member Ampry-Samuel, I think Ampry-Samuel has a, has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Um, I just wanted to say real quick, um, that I appreciate you,

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it.

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM sis, for that because, um, when we're conducting these hearings [inaudible] say that we are making sure that we hear from the public, hear from our constituents, and allow people to be able to testify on whatever the subject matter is, and what we're never able to do is be able to hear directly from our brothers and sisters who are detained. And, um, just like the other sister said, Ms. Hilton, that, um, you know, we have to remember [inaudible] individuals, um, that are not [inaudible]. They are detained, right? And so they, um, you know, our brothers and sisters are still even able to vote during this time. And so again I just want to say that I appreciate you for being able to come in and allow us to hear the voices because we were not that, that is something that we didn't, were, were never able to capture. And so I just wanted to say I appreciate you and that's all I have to say. Thank you. And we, and we should figure out a way to be able to hear the voices of our brothers and sisters, um, so that they can be able to testify before our hearings as well.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have Jennifer Parish.

2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

3 JENNIFER PARISH: Good evening. 4 you for the opportunity to testify. My name is 5 Jennifer Parish. I work at the Urban Justice Center Mental Health Project and I'm a member of the Jails 6 7 Action Coalition. There's still more people in the jails who should be released. I want to focus on the 8 199 people incarcerated solely on technical parole violations. After the governor announced that this 10 11 population would be released the State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision implemented 12 13 this directive by categorically excluding from 14 eligibility for release people with serious mental health concerns. After this blatant discrimination 15 16 against people with mental health disabilities came 17 to light DOCS said that it would reconsider the 18 release of people who were initially disqualified. 19 However, there's been no public reporting on the 20 outcome of that review. We fear that many of the 199 21 individuals incarcerated on technical parole violation may be there due to their mental health 2.2 2.3 disability. Keep in mind that mental health disabilities place people at high risk for serious 24 complications from COVID-19 and there's a reason for 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

their release. Extensive research has established that serious mental health concerns may [inaudible] chronic stress, anxiety, or depression compromise the immune system's ability to defend the body against viral infections. In addition, people with serious mental health conditions have higher rates of chronic medical conditions, such as hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease, which increase their vulnerability to COVID-19. Also, I want to respond to what Ms. Harris said about the 60 people whom the Brooklyn DA refused to release because of their mental health needs. [inaudible] arranged hotels to house people who would be homeless upon release. The city should be providing services to make sure that those people with mental health needs aren't denied release because of their disabilities. For example, the city could involve mental health treatment providers, such as forensic [inaudible] community treatment teams to provide those services to these individuals, who could also be placed in the hotels. This is a clear example of people with mental health needs being warehoused in jail because there's no accessible comprehensive services for them in the community. DAs and judges are content to leave

2.2

2.3

people with disabilities in jail. This is shameful and the council should not stand for it. You must ensure that funding for these resources is included in the city budget. I also want to mention that we're concerned about the availability of mental health care and discharge planning for those who remain in jail. CHS is certainly committed to providing the care, but given all the competing interests within the jails many incarcerated individuals are not having their mental health needs met. We urge the courts and district attorneys to reduce the number of people going into jail not only because of concerns regarding COVID-19, but also because of the strain on healthcare generally. Thank

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and thank you for waiting for so long to testify to everybody. Let's, let's have a follow-up conversation after the hearing, maybe even this week, about some issues you raised, particularly around 199 folks and we'll have a chat. Maybe we [inaudible] follow-up. Thank you for that.

you for the opportunity to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, Kelsey Diabolo.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

KELSEY DIABOLO: Hello, my name is Kelsey Diabolo and I work with Brooklyn Defender Services. Thank you, Chairs, for calling this necessary hearing. I appreciate the questions that have been asked, most notably those aimed at the DAs' gross mischaracterization and disconnect of how jails are appropriate responses for care and safety. Today we heard two very different perspectives. Ah, one narrative is shared by city agencies and largely reflects policies to describe how things should be. Ah, the other is described by directly impacted people who see firsthand how those policies are failing them. From the advocates before me and in the panels to come, you're going to be hearing a very different reality than what you've heard by the agencies. You're going to continue to hear about conditions [inaudible] so just due to time I'm just gonna focus on, ah, issues related to access, the first being the CHS hotline. Of course we support additional means of communication. But the implementation has caused a great deal of confusion and concern. It wasn't clear that there was a separate number for mental health. The hours are

limited and even during the set hours people in

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

24

25

2 custody are sometimes met with a voicemail. 3 no confirmation or follow-up. Um, and just to 4 briefly discuss about the phones and DOC's recent report, and today board staff said that they did not find phones, that they did not find phones to be 6 7 regularly disinfected, nor were there cleaning agents 8 within the vicinity of the phone areas. Our office hears these, these same concerns consistently. People have resorted to using their own soap and 10 11 shampoo in an attempt to sanitize the receiver, or 12 they cover the phone with their sock. People do this 13 not because they want to but because they feel those are their only options of protecting themselves. 14 15 the second piece is about grievances. I know DOC's 16 Office of Constituent Grievance Services staff are 17 now primarily working remotely and the new, and their 18 new protocol is encouraging people in custody to 19 share their grievances with correction officers, for 20 them to share it with grievance staff. This fails to

21 address the very real problem of submitting

22 complaints to officers in the unit. In some cases

23 people have no choice but to submit them to a

correction officer, which eliminates any notion of

privacy and endangers people who voice their

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

complaints. Our office has received hundreds of calls from people in custody, and this is not an exaggeration. People are terrified. They are anxious. And these calls are being heard by family members, their loved ones, and the other defender offices. We're all hearing the same thing. And I just want to stress that these issues are not isolated to just a couple of people, but rather facility- and island-wide. And I just want to end on this note, that the chief medical officer said today that the focus is on new admissions contributing to the rate of infection in the jail population. larger strategy here for containing this virus must include and address NYP practices and we must continue decarceration efforts. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and thank you also as, as far, ah, in terms of waiting through a very long day, and thanks for the testimony. And, um, you know, similar to what I said earlier is, is as there are particular [inaudible] coming up, first of all, I, I did not get to my section of questions on grievances, I just want to mention that. I had a number of questions on that and due to timing we'd be till midnight if I went through all my questions.

2 But, um, the, um, we did have some questions around that and we'll follow up with. On terms of any, any 3 4 particular, if you are hearing of any particular 5 areas where perhaps there's not proper, um, ah, ah, [inaudible] now. Um, if, if, um, so I'm gonna keep 6 7 going. Um, if there are particular entities that you think are worth bringing back to us, we have regular, 8 ah, we are having regular calls with all the agencies 9 that were on here today to talk about specific issues 10 11 and raise them. Our staff who are on here have been 12 in regular contact with them about particular areas 13 where we think there's something that needs attention, and, um, and, of course, it is the Board 14 15 of Correction as well, we can raise those to them as 16 well. And I just raise that because I know, I don't 17 that everybody understands and knows that we are in a 18 sort of constant communication with them, bringing issues and vice versa. So, um, on the very 19 20 particulars of any particular housing unit might 21 need something or if an individual raised something, 2.2 those are things worth relaying to us, in addition to 2.3 the work you're doing, and we will do our job to make sure that we follow up with them and get attention on 24 25 them. So, thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2 KELSEY DIABOLO: I appreciate that.

3 | Thank you, Chair Powers.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And, um, I think we 5 have a copy of your testimony, so thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next is Bianca Tyler.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

BIANCA TYLER: Hey, hello, um, thank you, is it, um, thank you, ah, for calling this meeting and for the chairs, um, ah, Chairs Powers and Chairs Lancman for, um, just your continual work on these issues. Ah, I want to reinforce why on all the calls that advocates have been making for obviously releases from facilities, um, as well as the conditions, but I also do want to talk really specifically today about, um, the, um, bill, ah, around money transfer fees. Um, so I think that there's a number of things that were raised in the testimony, um, earlier from, um, some of the administrators that I think really needs to be honed in on. Um, so I think the first thing that I, I want to just sort of, um, address is that this bill, um, while a really important intention, also just codifies state law. There is, um, the fact that

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM there is state law that exists and the city has been getting a waiver, um, from that state law to charge more, um, is something that kind of hasn't been raised and the fact there has to be a city law to simply ensure that like our city agencies, um, actually abide by state law, um, is, is reprehensible. It seems absolutely ridiculous, um, and quite low of a bar, um, and so in saying that it feels the city should do more than what is required simply by state law, having to pass that city ordinance, um, seems a very bizarre, um, sort of tactic and it feels like we can and should do more. Um, importantly, there was a question asked, um, to DOC around whether it has spoken to DAS, um, ah, sorry, to DCAS about other great opportunities to make city payments and in fact, um, they had not. And this exact question was raised by the City Council back in 2016 when another similar bill was being considered. Um, and at that time City Council members had once again asked whether, um, the, whether DOC had in fact, um, checked with DCAS about free ways, um, that people would be able to make money transfers. They had not then and now four

years later they have still not and so it's

COMMITTE	E ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

19

25

2 absolutely imperative that the City Council actually

3 | hold DOC accountable to that. Because we know that

4 | there are actually several other agencies,

5 | jurisdictions around the country, states, and

6 counties, um, and cities that are actually doing this

7 themselves, um, where the fees are far lower.

8 Arkansas, Maine, Montana are all doing that. And now

9 during COVID, um, this rate is actually really, I

10 mean, when we're talking about families, um, or

11 people inside, rather, using their own cleaning

12 | supplies, things that their families bought in the

13 | commissary with that money that was transferred, um,

14 | having to use that money to, ah, pay for these items,

15 then absolutely these should be free right now. And

16 when we talked about free phone calls, that cost of

17 | free phone calls, um, you know, was a cost that the

18 | city took on and it feels like this is in that place.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

20 KELSEY DIABOLO: More than two, families

21 \parallel are spending two million dollars a year on money

22 | transfer fees, something that obviously, um, they,

23 | they should have never had to pay and now during

24 | COVID, um, certainly they cannot afford. Ah, the

very last point and then I'm gonna stop is just that

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

issues.

2 payment processing fees like just generally do not

3 | top 3% in the free world. There's absolutely no

4 | justifiable reason that JPay, this predatory company,

5 | is charging as much as 35% to make a payment

6 | transfer. Ah, thank you for your time, and we'll be

submitting, ah, written testimony with more on the

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Great, thank you.

8 | technical aspects of this legislation.

We'll, we'll, um, ah, we'll take your written

testimony when you have it, um, and we'll schedule a

follow-up to talk about some of the points you raised

when it comes to the bill, um, and, and we are trying

to address some of it, I think, some of those issues

and, I think you, you know, and, and I appreciate all

your work you've done with the council on this, in

these areas, at least since I've been the chair for

the last two years, um, and I think we share a lot of

the sentiments that you raised and [inaudible] how to

draft and how to work through some of those issues,

KELSEY DIABOLO: Thank you.

conversation with you to talk through some of the

Thank you. And thanks for your time.

but we're happy to [inaudible] have a follow-up

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

EL: Thank you. Um, if

3 there, I see no other council member questions. If
4 there are council member questions please use the

5 raise hand function. OK, seeing that there are no

6 council member questions we will move to our next

7 panel. Next testifying will be Mary Lynn Whirlwas,

Lisa Freeman, Young Mi Li, and Zachary Katz Nelson.

9 Mary Lynn Whirlwas you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MARY LYNN WHIRLWAS: [inaudible] I'm Mary
Lynn Whirlwas, director of the [inaudible] Project at
the Legal Aid Society. Thank you for giving us this
time and everyone sticking around so that we can all
work together. It's actually been a very informative
day. There are many things I think we've learned.
One thing we've learned today CHS and DOC have the
data about COVID-19, scientific information that
would greatly benefit public policy, and how New
Yorkers understand their [inaudible]. They just
haven't shared it. If we want data-driven policy in
our city we need to the data. So we greatly
appreciate the council's efforts to obtain important
fact data, including [inaudible] infection rates,
testing, housing density in Department of Corrections

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 that we need to guide our response to this challenge 3 with [inaudible] of legislation, seeking this data 4 and encourage your steadfast commitment following [inaudible]. It doesn't slight the efforts of the hard-working people in CHS or DOC or the city to face 6 7 the fact that the city jails of a congregate nature are not providing the physical separation and 8 9 sanitation to stop the [inaudible]. You talk of an average occupancy in the department. Where's the 10 11 reality? That in our city a dozen, three or four 12 dozen men are confined during this pandemic in the 13 same room, breathing the same air, using the same toilet 24 hours a day. That's simply a public health 14 15 hazard to them and to all of us. We cannot morally 16 burden the doctors and nurses who are trying to 17 control this virus with the added rate of new 18 admissions to the jail. The city must accelerate its decarceration work. It hasn't done enough, and work 19 20 aggressively to ensure that vulnerable people are out 21 of harm's way. Ah, very disturbingly today we also 2.2 did not hear agency officials [inaudible] knowledge, 2.3 let alone deal with many of the facts that people in custody are not getting what they need. That, and 24

we've heard about CHS's phone line, but not about the

25

COMMITTE	SE ON	CRIMINAL	J JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

1

24

25

2 many complaints that the majority are not answered.

3 We've heard about people not wearing masks, but not

4 about the repeated and futile efforts to get them.

5 We're even hearing insinuations that incarcerated

6 people must prefer to clean the communal handset

7 | that's their only link to a doctor with a sock rather

8 than a sanitizer. Ah, and other, that's, we've heard

9 | about cleaning but not about the workers' tasked with

10 this critical public health mission, namely

11 | incarcerated workers. This is a group of people most

12 exposed and most likely to expose others as they hand

13 deliver 12,000 meals a day to their brothers and

14 | sisters, move from unit to unit. Their risk is

15 | astronomical, their bargaining power nonexistent.

16 | We, just as we supported [inaudible] at the Legal Aid

17 | Society in its efforts to obtain masks and protective

18 gear, so too do we support these workers, and ask the

19 | council to intervene and protect them doing this

20 | critical public health work. The work is just

21 | beginning. It's work for [inaudible] stakes. Thank

22 \parallel you for giving us this opportunity to do it all with

23 | you and for the council hearing all of us today.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and thank

you for your work throughout this, ah, pandemic.

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

Even from the beginning, ah, all your folks to help raise issues that might be, needed to be addressed early on when it comes to conditions in there. We really appreciate, um, that work is ongoing and does help inform us being able to do more work, and, and in areas where there are folks who are working that need [inaudible] support them and we will be happy to lend our voices to any places where people are not getting proper treatment or equipment. So just please stay in touch with us then. Thank you for waiting and thank you for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have Lisa Freeman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

IISA FREEMAN: Hi. My name is Lisa

Freeman. I'm the director of the juvenile rights

special litigation and law firm unit at the Legal Aid

Society. And, um, I would reiterate much of what

Mary Lynn has said, but say that while there were

many problems with the testimony of both with regard

to the adult system, the testimony with regard to the

juvenile system is, is really, frankly, just as

troubling. There is no testing program going on in

the juvenile system. There is no contact tracing

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

going on in the juvenile system. We know kids are getting infected. They all live in housing areas that were envisioned to be used only when the kids were not out of the housing area and at school, but instead because all school is happening remotely the kids are locked into the units essentially all day long except when they are, um, either doing recreation or they're, or they're eating their meal. And all of this is happening, happening congregately. So there's also no reporting whatsoever. So these, these numbers, we've heard numbers in the past, but these numbers were the first that we've heard that were confirmed, because I think in part it's because there's no testing. So they don't even know what the scope of the crisis is. Ah, we, we in fact have been told that there are more than 40 staff who've tested positive in the juvenile system. So, you know, there really needs to be, um, there really needs to be a stepping on the part of ACS and, and, um, we call on the council to hold their feet to the fire and mandate reporting and mandate, um, more information Um, I would also just point out a couple of other things. There were, there was discussion, um, from, ah, Council Member, um, Ampry-Samuels and, ah,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Rose about things that they've heard about access. You know, all in-person visits have been stopped. You can only imagine what a frightening experience this is for kinds who are incarcerated or for, or for their families and the, the technological obstacles that they're talking about, you know, obviously need to have been addressed by now. We've also have been told that it was only as of this week that kids are beginning to get access or supposed to begin to get access to actual teachers and they've been handed, you know, ah, packets, often packets, not even computers, but more recently I think they've been improving it and getting them access to computers, but no actual live individuals to assist them with their school work. So there really needs to be increased programming, which is not happening. is the, ah, improved school access and, um, you know, one of the key programs for kids in the summer is kids, even in detention, have access to the Summer Youth Employment Program, or some do, and we would really call that, that the council, um, press for that to be funded so that there is in fact an opportunity for kids to have some meaningful experience while they're incarcerated. So thank you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to the City Council for holding this hearing and, ah, we look forward to working with you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next is Young Mi Li.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

YOUNG MI LI: Good evening. Ah, my name is Young Mi Li. I'm a supervising attorney at Brooklyn Defender Services in the criminal defense practice. I want to thank, ah, the City Council Committees on Criminal Justice and Justice System, ah, as well as, ah, the chairs, Powers and Lancman. Ah, BDS supports Intro 6175, which would create a local conditional release commission with the power and duty of determining which persons sentenced within the City of New York may be released on conditional release and under what circumstances. Um, I did hear testimony from the DAs earlier, ah, voicing their opposition to the reestablishment of such a commission. However, ah, I think an isolated incident, ah, which led to the eventual disbandment of this commission in 2005 should not be the reason why we do not have, ah, a local conditional, ah, release commission. The reason why I'm giving this testimony is that, ah, as BDS has been working so

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM hard through so many writs, including parole writs, ah, to release people from Riker's Island, um, we are seeing that parole violations are continuing at an extremely steady rate. Ah, we see people who are coming through arraignments, getting ACDs, ah, good dispositions on their open criminal cases, yet they're being held, ah, because of parole violations. And many of these parole violations are based on really, ah, technical violations, like missing curfews, missing appointments, ah, and people are just going back to Riker's Island and it's being repopulated as we work so hard to try to get as many people out of Riker's Island. We believe that a local, um, conditional release commission, ah, working with the Department of Probation as opposed to the parole board, ah, will help, ah, depopulate, decarcerate Riker's Island. We, ah, we strongly believe that these arbitrary decisions to just, um, issue and execute parole violations, ah, would not be as rampant, especially for those people who are serving city, ah, jail sentences of up to a year. Um, and for those reasons, ah, if a local conditional release commission is established, obviously choosing

who will serve on that commission, ah, is extremely

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

commission.

2 important. We would ask that at least one or two,

3 ah, defender, ah, representatives as well as

4 advocate, ah, representatives, ah, serve on that

5 commission, because really, ah, when I hear the, the

6 | testimony, when I heard the testimony from the DAs

7 | earlier, this is not just a question of public

Thank you.

safety, ah, and who is deemed to be dangerous...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

YOUNG MI LI: It's really about the humane treatment, um, and providing services for, for really the most needy people in New York City, ah, and for those reasons we do support, ah, the establishment of a local conditional release

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, thank
you. I, I share a lot of your, your sentiment there
and I just wanted to add my, um, my agreement with
you that I think that the past, the reason that, ah,
it was cited for the past, ah, breakup of that
commission does not seem to me to be relevant to the
need to maybe reestablish one and protect against any
particular situation that may have caused, ah, it, it
to be abolished in the past. So I, I appreciate you
raising that point as well. Um, thank you, and thank

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you for waiting so long through all of this. I think
we're gonna head to the next spokesperson.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next is Zachary Katz Nelson.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time begins now.

ZACHARY KATZ NELSON: Hi, good evening. I'm Zachary Katz Nelson. I'm the policy director at the Lippman Commission, and thank you for holding this hearing. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I want to start out by just saying the approaches as, as many of you have mentioned, ah, the council members have mentioned, the approach over the last several weeks to jail using it incredibly sparingly or hopefully as, as fairly as possible, making sure that truly is the last resort, that should be the new normal moving forward. And we need to do everything we can in the city to make sure that that's possible. There's one way, one key way to do that, is to ensure that there's a robust array of alternatives to incarceration programs, so when DAs and judges are looking at a case, looking at an individual there's a program there that they meet, meets their security concerns, but also meets the concerns of the needs of the person who is facing

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 incarceration. And so that's things like the 3 commission, the conditional release commission being 4 considered today, which we support. It's things like making permanent the 6-A program, which the city has been using to get city sentenced folks out of jail 6 7 and it's making sure that supervised release providers have the resources that they need. And 8 there are really two through-points for all the The first is the incredible community of 10 programs. 11 nonprofit service providers who have stepped up 12 tremendously during this time to provide services, 13 wraparound services, that some of the DAs themselves 14 mentioned earlier as necessary for them to feel 15 comfortable with releases. But also the provision of 16 housing. The city really has been tremendous in 17 providing housing over the past several weeks to 18 anyone coming out of incarceration, both at the state level and the city level who needs it. And that 19 20 housing matters tremendously. You know, study after 21 study after study shows the importance of stability, 2.2 to people's ability to, to, you know, get back on 2.3 their feet and frankly not to commit more crime. so we really need to focus on that, and the costs of, 24 of housing somebody, providing wrap-around services

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

time, I appreciate it.

which are critical, it's, it's not cheap on its face. Obviously, it's 70, 80, sometimes even 90 thousand dollars depending on the intensity of services. But compare that to the upwards of \$350,000 a year that we spend at Riker's Island, and what do we get for We get degradation, we get brutality, we get violence, we get isolation. And so continuing down that path is not only morally unacceptable, it is fiscally irresponsible. And so really this is an opportunity to shift quite dramatically the way that we approach incarceration moving forward. would just, I would just note one, one key piece of this obviously moving forward is the closure of Riker's itself and to get that done we need to get borough-based jails built as quickly as possible. And so over the next fiscal year there needs to be money there and we could ensure to move forward, that the planning process moves forward, that the design and build teams are selected, that procurement moves forward, so that we can get stakes in the ground as soon as possible and so the jails are built by 2026 at the very latest, but hopefully sooner than that. With that, thank you very much for your [inaudible]

Elizabeth Fisher, you may begin.

2

3

4

6

7

1

are no council member questions we will move to the next group. Seeing no questions, I will call on the next panel. We have Elizabeth Fisher, who will be followed by Tahani Dunn, and then Christopher Boyle, and then Alex Karishnakova, and Amanda Maisel.

8

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time begins now.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELIZABETH FISHER: Good evening. I'm the managing attorney of the criminal defense practice at the Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem. you for holding its hearing, and I want to say off the bat that we support all three proposed measures that have been discussed today. But I want to talk, ah, briefly about something that has only been mentioned in passing. From when the pandemic first hit we have been fighting for the release of our clients from city jails and juvenile detention centers in order to protect them and those who are forced to remain in those facilities from this toooften deadly disease. And amidst these efforts we were pleased to hear that electronic monitoring had become available on April 20 to allow for the release

of additional pretrial detainees that judges and DAs

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

had not yet seen fit to release. Upon learning of this new initiative coordinate by MOCJ and the sheriff's department our attorneys immediately began to ask for the release of our clients on electronic monitoring. And despite these requests a month into the electronic monitoring program today we have been unable to get the court to release a single client on electronic monitoring. Indeed, as of today only three people have been released on electronic monitoring across all five boroughs. This initial failure of electronic monitoring to secure pretrial detainees' release has two primary causes that we've seen. One is that the requirements for eligibility, which are stable housing and a telephone, disqualify the poorest clients who could benefit from its use the most. Our cash bail system has already ensured that whether someone remains incarcerated pending trial depends mostly on whether they're rich or poor, and the electronic monitoring eligibility requirements exacerbate this inequity. Rather than requiring that clients show they already have access to stable housing and phone, the city should help facilitate and coordinate access to these resources necessary for eligibility, so that no one is declined JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

program. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

release by electronic monitoring simply due to poverty. The other reason that we've seen that electronic monitoring has not allowed more people to be released from our city jails is that judges and prosecutors are simply refusing to use it. Even though it can mitigate concerns about flight risk, prosecutors and judges are not agreeing to release people who could be safely back at home on electronic monitoring. If prosecutors and judges begin using pretrial detention only for its intended purpose of assuring a client's return to court and not as punishment or as a tool to induce a client to plead guilty, there are far more than three people across our city who could be released and could be home and not in our jails now with the electronic monitoring

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we have Tahani Dunn.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time begins.

TAHANI DUNN: Good evening. My name is

Tahini Dunn and I am a criminal defense and

prisoners' rights attorney with the Bronx Defenders.

On behalf of the Bronx Defenders and the Bronx

community, I would like to thank you for your

349 COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM attention to these critical matters and for the opportunity to testify before you today. Our office has submitted comprehensive written testimony. However, my testimony today will specifically address the persistent violations of minimum standards regarding punitive segregation and issues relating to access to council. In the course of hundreds of conversations with our clients and advocates in my office, it became apparent that every single one of our clients who has been placed in solitary confinement reported that it happened without a hearing. In almost every case our client reported receiving, not receiving a ticket explaining the alleged infraction or the disposition stating the findings and the consequences. Their rights to due process are being compromised in ways that are unacceptable no matter what the circumstances may be. To make matters worse, our clients have reported that when they inquire into these due process violations and attempts to assert their rights they are told by correctional officers that, that the disciplinary process has been suspended due to COVID-19. To our knowledge no such suspension was granted by any

governing board, body, or agency. The remedy for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

350 COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM addressing these due process violations is to file an Article 78. However, gathering the necessary information to write and file this motion requires the ability to communicate with our clients, which, as I will explain, has been extremely challenging. As a result, our clients sit in solitary confinement for 30 consecutive days without any due process or legal recourse. Access to counsel has been significantly limited since the onset of the crisis. Without in-person visits, video conferences are the only way for attorneys and advocates to proactively communicate with our clients in custody. also the only means to conduct virtual court appearances, competency exams, and now grand jury proceedings, therefore creating a significant backlog, requiring requests to be made several weeks in advance. In addition to the backlog, urgent issues of confidentiality and technical difficulties affecting audio occur frequently. Our clients are rarely in the group at the start time of the video conference, cutting significantly into the 30-minute time slot. Thirty-minute video conferences are inadequate for serious and case-related

conversations, thus impairing our clients' rights to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTE	E ON	CRIMINAI	L JUSTICE
Y.TTMTOT,	WTTH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

have access to their defense team in a way that could have devastating effects on the outcome of their cases. We ask that the City Council inquire into efforts to increase, expand, and systemize these video conference capacities, capabilities. applaud DOC for providing people in custody with a round of free stamps and free stamped envelopes at the beginning of the COVID-19 crisis and are happy to hear that this will continue. In addition to ongoing mailing supplies, we request the DOC implement a mail forwarding process for all mail, but specifically legal mail. It is frequently reported to us by our clients that they have not received mail from our office. This seems to be due in part to the increase in facility transfers on Riker's Island and NYC While advocates understand the need to move jails. people in custody around to keep them and others safe and healthy, placement in new facilities should not hinder one's ability to receive their legal mail. Legal mail...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

TAHINI DUNN: Legal mail almost always contains sensitive and confidential information

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time begins.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hear me OK?

categories of clients to try to get them released,

and they started with the over-50 population and the

population, ah, that had pre-existing conditions of

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM some type. Ah, we need to expand that. Ah, there, there are nonviolent offenders that are under the age of 50 that don't have any pre-existing conditions that should be getting out so they can physically separate from the over-50 group that have preexisting conditions that are charged with homicides or violent felonies that we may not get out. Ah, you need to be able to socially distance these groups. Ah, we have done a survey and we've asked clients to answer questions of our survey. Some of the anecdotes that we have are, ah, one client said the only way I can socially distance is if I stay in my bed all the time. Otherwise, I'm around other I stay in my cell most of the time. I shower at night to avoid the crowds in the bathrooms, even though we are allowed to. Cleaning supplies are scarce. I tell officers and captains that there's no cleaning supplies or rags and they give me the runaround. 13% of our clients report that DOCS staff wear masks at all times. 54% state that their masks are visibly dirty. 77% of individuals have been reusing the same mask for at least a week. Um, only 18% of clients state that they are able to observe

socially distancing protocols. Ah, we tried to give,

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would be...

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 ah, PPE material over to a client. We mailed it to 3 Department of Corrections wouldn't accept it. 4 They mailed it back to us. We sent a FOIA request on April 24 to the Department of Corrections to get information from them about, ah, the PPEs and how 6 7 they're handing them out. We followed up on May 5. 8 We followed up on May 14. Ah, so far we've received no response. I do want to add that we've gotten about 100 people out and 98%, ah, have not 10 11 recidivated. Ah, we've only had two clients that 12 during that time period got rearrested. So 98% of 13 our clients are staying out and staying, ah, engaged with reentry reform. Ah, I would ask, ah, about the, 14 15 the, ah, electronic monitoring is a major problem. 16 Ah, the fact is that this, this has been going on for 17 about three weeks. Ah, and we all thought that the,

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

the 50 electronic monitors for the five boroughs

CHRISTOPHER BOYLE: ...would be out and, ah, we wouldn't have anybody getting them, and so far at three weeks we've only had three. I don't know why that's happening. Ah, I just want to say thank you for having the hearing.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we 3 have Alex Karishnakova.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time begins.

ALEX KARISHNAKOVA: Can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

ALEX KARISHNAKOVA: Thank you for hosting the hearing today. My name is Alex Karishnakova and I'm one of the organizers of the Emergency Release Fund. We are a grassroots bail fund for LGBTQ individuals in New York City and COVID-19 bail-out community response. We believe that cash bail is an unjust system that punishes people in poverty with jail time and should be ended. It is unfairly being used even during the epidemic to keep medically vulnerable and marginalized communities in unsafe conditions in detention. Since the mayor and governor refuse to release people during this pandemic, especially medically vulnerable individuals, we are using cash to get people out of jails fast. [inaudible] pandemic in New York City we have bailed out over 160 medically vulnerable and LGBTQ individuals from Riker's. We have sent over 40 volunteers to bail windows at each borough and have faced a number of issues when paying cash bail.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM testimony discusses the need for an easier bail payment system at all times, but especially given the current pandemic as have many initiatives [inaudible] city processes remotely, um, bail payment appears to be left behind and in turn people behind bars have been left out. Like Local Law 6184, we request the city provide an alternative to in-person bail payments during the public health crisis. We also request that you ensure bail refunds continue to be processed and returned to individuals while the court is on a reduced schedule. Historically and at present the process of paying cash bail in New York City requires going to physical locations to retrieve cash or a cashier's check, often taking public transportation to get to bail windows, interacting with DOC staff, and other sureties in a, in a private payment area. Each step of the process creates risk of exposure to COVID-19 for both the person paying bail and everyone they interact with at each stage. For example, with reduced bank hours and locations we have spent over an average of two hours a day in lines at the bank to get cash or cashier's checks to pay bail. State rules restrict bail payments by one

individual to no more than two bail payments per

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

month, hence why we had to put over 40 volunteers to bail windows. We've gone to bail windows only to find that no staff had been reported on duty or had been reassigned to work at bail windows, making the wait time for us to pay bail even longer. Bail windows are small and it's impossible to be a part, so our volunteers and other sureties have been forced to wait outside for hours, even in the rain. I spent over eight hours to pay bail once. Um, and thus in turn these people behind bars are forced to wait even longer to finally be released. Creating a process for taking bail payments over the phone and online would be a simple and powerful solution. [inaudible] both families paying bail and the city civil servants who accept it. The city contracts with GovPay to accept virtual payments for cash bail, but with the burdensome [inaudible] service fee of 7%. We talked before about local law for the commissary and 7% for a \$25,000 fee is huge, um, especially for marginalized communities to pay. so we're asking for all service fees to be waived and for GovPay to be included, um, for all bail payments. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: OK, thank you. I, I think you are, but I think just, you know, with the [inaudible] I think you're staying in regular contact with some of the staff here, but, ah, it sounds like there's some issues for us to take a look into, so once you have the testimony send it over and also I know a lot of others will be in contact with you to address any issues if there are, and I hear you.

Thank you for the work you're doing and I understand there are difficulties to doing that. We want to, especially [inaudible] pandemic, we want to, we want to take a look at that. So thank, thank you, and thank you for waiting for so long.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next is Amanda Maisel.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

AMANDA MAISEL: Hi. Um, my name is

Amanda Maisel. I'm also a volunteer with the

Emergency Release Fund and I, um, thank you for the

time to make a comment today. Um, there's a lot that

could be said, but I want to, um, limit my comment to

some very specific issues that we've had with paying

bail and with being able to support those who've we

released on bail. Um, I would like to emphasize, um,

2 Alex's point that we are demanding that bail, um, be 3 online, available for all, um, bail payments during 4 this epidemic and that the, um, the 7% and, um, credit card fee and the online fees be suspended for all bail payments. But I'd also like to bring 6 7 attention to the, um, the lack of predictability and 8 extreme wait times that we've been experiencing, um, for releases after we post bail. Ah, we have been seeing multiple instances where people are meant to 10 11 be released in the morning and are being released at 12 all hours of the night. Um, we're seeing multiple 13 instances in which people are released, um, like more than 10 hours after the time that they were meant to 14 15 be released, and this is causing a huge issue during the pandemic, um, for individuals who, um, are going 16 17 to help with release and help transfer, um, those 18 people to safe places to quarantine and to homes. We've had a number of people who are medically 19 20 vulnerable, stranded, um, at night because they were 21 released hours after we expected, um, and volunteers 2.2 have been unable to communicate with them to, um, 2.3 meet up with people to take them to quarantine, um, if that is what they are doing, or to take them home 24 25 to be with relatives. So we ask that there be set

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 release times, schedules that are adhered to so that 3 we can make sure that people who are, um, leaving,

4

members or loved ones or volunteers that are 5

assisting them. Ah, we think this is an important 6

who need rides, um, are able to meet up with family

7 matter for public safety as well. We don't want

people who are released from a place with 8

astronomically high rates of infection to have to

take public transportation or walk. Um, and we also 10

11 ask that everyone who is released have access to a

12 non-compulsory, um, test so that they are not at risk

13 of unknowingly infecting, um, their family members or

loved ones or others that they are staying with. Um, 14

15 so in addition to having set times, ah, we ask that

16 there be a test optional upon release and that people

are not in the process of being released, shuffled to 17

18 multiple different facilities, um, where they are at

19 risk for exposure, um, and they are coming into

20 contact with people who they wouldn't ...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

AMANDA MAISEL: ...[inaudible] contact 2.2

2.3 with. Thank you.

21

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. seeing any council member hands. We'll move onto the 2 next group. We have, it looks like three people

3 left. Ah, we'll first have Tita Theodora Beale,

4 | followed by Katie Adaminez, and Kelly Grace Price.

Um, Tita Theodora Beale, you may begin once the time

6 is called.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TITA THEODORA BEALE: Thank you very much, and thanks for your stamina. Ah, I am here with New York City Jails Advocacy Coalition for fair treatment of Riker's detainees. I do not work in the legal system, but I knew an innocent man who waited for trial in Riker's in 7 Main for 14 months. Ah, so I hope you will pass, ah, the T226175, ah, for the conditional release commission. Um, not just for today's COVID time, but also for any future flooding with hurricanes, fires, terror attacks, chemical explosions, whatever you can imagine. The commission would have the time to do what somebody said earlier, look at the bill, suggest improvements, and pass it. Some needed positions can be made and action taken, um, before COVID spreads too much, when one or two cases can turn into a hundred quickly. Today I have heard a lot of either/or thinking. Um, a commission would have the expertise to move people charged with

2 violence and repeat offenders to COVID-free areas and 3 send nonviolent offenders home with ankle bracelet 4 trackers. It's not either we protect the public or we take care of prisoners. Some people seem to set up that dichotomy. Um, I have three main reasons for 6 7 supporting the commission - innocence, income, and race. One of the foundations of our legal system 8 are, is that people are innocent until proven quilty. 9 Today some people talk as if all detainees in Riker's 10 11 are quilty. Phrases like don't, don't commit crimes 12 and we won't have a problem. Ah, a lot of people are 13 waiting for trial. The man I knew in Riker's for 14 months was charged with violence, but refused plea 14 15 deals, insisting he was innocent. No record, but not given bail because of the charges, and maybe because 16 17 his complexion is brown. He's Muslim and he's not 18 American, while his accuser was a wealthy white New 19 York businessman. Unlike many people in Riker's, the 20 defendant had the money to hire, ah, lawyers and an 21 investigator who uncovered strong evidence of 2.2 innocence and the reasons for false accusations. 2.3 detainees in Riker's have that kind of money. A jury acquitted him 100%, but today he would be, while, if 24 25 he were waiting for trial he would be at risk of

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	J JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

11

25

2 disease and death, maybe never make it to trial. And

3 | think about, I hope the people just dismissing the

4 commission and calling everybody criminals will think

5 about that. Ah, how many people are really, are

6 innocent or, or, well, the next issue is income. Um,

7 I thought maybe my person was an exception. His

8 lawyer saw so many black and brown people in Riker's

9 who were only there because they couldn't afford a

10 | lawyer to check evidence, people...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

12 TITA THEODORA BEALE: And the last one is

13 race. My white kids would get calls from lawyers,

14 | from police warning them, ah, call me and warn me.

15 Their black and brown friends ended up in Riker's for

16 same, you know, open carry beer or cigarettes or

17 | fighting or whatever. So I think, I hope you can

18 | make sure people think about that when they think

19 about the commission.

20 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next is

21 \parallel Katie Adaminez. You may begin once the timer starts.

22 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

23 KATIE ADAMINEZ: Thank you for having me.

24 \parallel I know this has been such a long day for everyone.

My name is Katie Adaminez. I am the New York State

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

director for the Fines and Fees Justice Center. submitted testimony in writing. I won't read it. I believe the council is familiar with the Fines and Fees Justice Center and we thank you for introducing a bill that, um, would limit money transfer fees and we support the bill, um, and while limiting money transfer is an important first step, we do need stronger fines and fees reforms for New Yorkers. Um, especially now, these fines and fees are causing, they're exacerbating the already disproportionate harm to low-income communities and communities of color that already preexisted COVID-19, but in the wake of COVID-19 we're seeing the exact very same communities harmed by both the public health crisis and the fines and fees at the same time. So we knew that these practices were wrong before COVID-19 and they are even more egregious now. Um, so, for example, um, with JPay, um, it, they, they continue to extract millions of dollars from people in city jails and their loved ones, and often even if the fee is just five dollars it's still like 20% of the deposit. And, and we are concerned that companies can still, um, for example, limit the amount of the deposit to keep that percentage even higher than it

2 is right now. Um, our position is that fees should 3 be abolished, especially now, but we understand that 4 the city, um, may not end money transfer fees. So if the city does not end money transfer fees entirely, and only lowers existing fees, um, it must do so in a 6 7 way that stops the worst forms of price gouging for people who are supporting the basic needs of their 8 loved ones behind bars. So we would like to see the law prohibit vendors like JPay from reducing the 10 11 current allowable deposit amount, um, and rather than 12 a stand-alone flat fee cap, um, we would like to see 13 percentage cap up to a maximum of five dollars, so that we know that this price gouging by percentage 14 15 wouldn't be possible. Um, we, we also think that 16 there needs to be more data publicly available and 17 easily accessible about what these companies collect, 18 and we want to see those contracts publicly made 19 available so that these things are easy to track and 20 easy to reform when they're, when they're harming our communities of color and our low-income communities. 21 2.2 And, ah, we'd also like to encourage council to take 2.3 up the other fines and fees issues that are harming people. We're so grateful to see that, that these 24 25 issues are moving in New York City, um, but there are

COMMITTE	EE ON	CRIMINAI	J JUSTICE
JOINTLY	WITH	JUSTICE	SYSTEM

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 still so many, especially access to diversion right

3 now. Diversion allows people to make money, continue

4 to work, continue to see their families, and continue

5 to practice physical distancing, rather than being

6 behind bars where on top of all the other harms that

7 | had already caused people...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

KATIE ADAMINEZ: ...there's also increased risk of exposure to COVID-19. Thank you so much for letting me speak.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. And last we have Kelly Grace Price. Once the timer begins you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

I'm going to turn in my written testimony. I'm so tired after this eight-hour hearing. But I, I didn't hear anything about furloughs mentioned, and I want to ask, please, Councilman Powers, Alana, and Councilman Lancman, please look into the qualifications for these furloughs, because we've heard specifically from a press release on April 23 from Court Innovation and also in a little bit in the intercepts that quoted someone from MOCJ saying that

2 300 people have been released on these stay-at-home

3 | furloughs and there's [inaudible] supervision. What

4 | are the qualifications? Who's being released

5 [inaudible] be used to [inaudible] turn in testimony.

6 Thank you for a really great hearing, Councilman

7 Powers.

1

8

9

10

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: I'm done. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Um, thank you. If we

11 have inadvertently missed anyone that is registered

12 | to testify today, also assuming if there are no

13 | questions. OK, there are no questions. Um, if we

14 | have inadvertently missed anyone that has registered

15 | to testify today and has yet to be called please use

16 the Zoom hand function and you will be called in the

17 order that your hand has been raised. Seeing none, I

18 | will now turn it over to Chair Powers for closing

19 | remarks. Chair Powers.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Thank
you, Alana. I want to thank everybody, ah, I see so
many folks who signed in right at the beginning and
have been through this entire hearing. Ah, I know
some probably came and went, but we are deeply

25 appreciative of everybody who has spent this

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

seemingly, ah, entire day with us here to talk about what is a really important, essential, ah, ah, hearing here, and we talked about the COVID crisis and the bills that we are working today, the three that I carry, to get more data, to, to set up a release commission, and to talk about the fees I think are incredibly important for us to pass and pass very soon to make sure that we all, ah, both City Council members and those who are doing the work out in the field have the appropriate amount of information, have the appropriate amount of data, and are able to do our jobs and also make sure that people that are inside of our city jails are being treated appropriately at this particularly very difficult time. We're not gonna stop advocating for release. We're not gonna stop [inaudible] the work that we have been doing since the beginning of this pandemic, but these bills, I think, help us bring us along the way. Um, and I do want to offer one thing, which is I know that sometimes that these, these hearings go on for very long and I know that sometimes many of you wait through many of this, but the public testimony is perhaps the most important

part of all these hearings. We listen to all of it,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 we take all of it, I take notes, the staff take notes, and, um, we copiously go through them at the 3 4 very end to look for issue that, in the legislation, issues to raise with the agencies, and of course other ideas for legislation, other issues we should 6 7 be focusing on. So I mean that very seriously when I 8 said you should follow up with us, you should talk to us, and, um, and thank you for spending that time with us because it helps us do our jobs even better. 10 11 Um, I'm not sure that we set a record, but we 12 certainly came close when it comes to at least a 13 virtual hearing. I want to thank Chair Lancman. want to thank all the staff. I see Council Member 14 15 Holden. I know Council Member Cohen had been on since the very, very beginning and, and listening 16 17 and, and, ah, paying attention. So thank you to them 18 as well and any others I might have missed. Um, and I am exhausted, so I'm going to leave you there. 19 I'll hand it over to Council Member Lancman and a 20

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Keith, thank you. Um, thank you everyone who testified today, particularly to the members of public who stuck it

Thank you.

very gracious to all of you for spending so much time

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with today.

1 JOINTLY WITH JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 out to the very end and, and to my colleagues. Ah, this is one of the most important issues that we are 3 4 trying to deal with in this coronavirus crisis. It's been said, and I don't think it's an overstatement that at least in New York and maybe in the United 6 7 States that Riker's Island is the epicenter of this 8 crisis. I think everybody, um, on this panel, the staff, and all the witnesses can take a lot of pride in having moved the ball forward very considerably, 10 11 um, from where we were just two months ago. But, as 12 people have testified to, there's still work to be 13 done. So let's keep doing it. Ah, Keith, thank you 14 very much. Thank you to the staff that, that made 15 the mechanics of this whole day work and, um, look 16 forward to continuing working with everyone.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, and we'll have the chair close us out.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, everybody. We are closed here. I'm going to gavel out. Thanks so much and have a great night. Talk to you soon, and Jack, you have great, you have wonderful, beautiful kids. [laughs] [gavel]

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

you.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____June 25, 2020