1	COMMI	TTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORK		
4		-	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF TH		
6	Of the		
7	COMMITTEE ON PUB		
8			
9		June 18, 2020 Start: 10:18 a.m.	
10		Recess: 10:44 a.m.	
11	HELD AT:	REMOTE HEARING	
12	BEFORE:	Donovan J. Richards,	
13		Chairperson	
14			
15	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	Adrienne E. Adams	
16		Alicka Ampry-Samuel Justin L. Brannan	
17		Fernando Cabrera Andrew Cohen	
18		Chaim M. Deutsch Vanessa Gibson	
19		Rory I. Lancman Carlos Menchaca	
20		I.Daneek Miller Keith Powers	
21		Antonio Reynoso Deborah Rose	
22		Paul A. Vallone	
23			
24			

oversight of New York City Police Departments

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 3
2	surveillance technologies. Followed by Proposed
3	Introduction 536-B by Council Member Lancman. In
4	relation to chokeholds and other such restraints.
5	Following Proposed Introduction 721-B, by Public
6	Advocate Williams in relation to respecting the righ
7	to record police activities. Following that,
8	Proposed Introduction 760-B by Council Member
9	Gibson, in relation to an early intervention system.
LO	Following that, Proposed Introduction Number 1309-A,
L1	by Council Member Richards which is I, in relation to
L2	requiring the police department to develop an inter-
L3	disciplinary matrix. And then we're voting on
L4	Preconsidered Introduction by Council Member Ampry-
L5	Samuels in relation to requiring visible shield
L 6	numbers and rank designations. And lastly
L7	Preconsidered Resolution by Council Member Rivera,
L8	calling upon the United States Congress to pass, and
L 9	the President to sign, the Eric Garner Excessive Use
20	of Force Prevention Act of 2019, H.R. 4408, which
21	would prohibit police chokeholds and other tactics
22	that result in asphyxiation.
23	The path to today's vote was a long one. Many of

these bills were first introduced last session. My

bill was heard over a year ago. And of course, the

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2.2

2.3

2 issues underlying these bills have been decades if 3 not centuries in the making.

As the Chair of the Public Safety Committee which holds oversight responsibility over the NYPD, I have not quite — oh hold on. Okay, I think I did something wrong.

I have not quite seen a day like this. Over the last hearing, — our last hearing was something I had never experienced before. Ten hours, hundreds of witnesses all of them angry at the NYPD. That surprising number were White allies who had recently had their first experience of police use of force and they were angry, so angry about it that they sat through ten hours of Committee hearings.

A lot of the anger was directed at me, at the Council, at the City, government at large which many of these New Yorkers correctly believe hadn't done enough to curb police abuse that has plagued the Black and Brown community for years.

I don't mind that anger. I get it and while I think a lot of the criticism of those of us who have been here doing this work for years is somewhat misdirected. I will take ownership of my inability to do more. In a lot of ways, they were right. Not

11

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

2 enough has been done. Even assuming all these bills

3 pass, still not enough will have been done. But

4 | there is more to the story then governmental

5 | inaction, what I found interesting is that many of

6 the people came here to acknowledge that everyone of

7 us is complicit in this failure. The main reason we

8 were unable to change anything before is because

9 people weren't here yelling all these years.

People weren't in the streets demanding change.

Up until last week, people wanted more cops to keep

12 their neighborhood safe. I'm not trying to deflect

13 blame. The fact that it's taking us this long to

14 take basic steps towards disciplinary accountability

15 and transparency in the NYPD. The fact that it took

16 another murder of a Black man on camera to pass some

As an elected official accountability and

17 measures curbing the use of police force. Those

things are as much my fault as anyone's.

transparency in the NYPD - Oh, oh, I think I doubled, just give me one second. Okay, sorry, so to everyone who was here a couple of weeks ago blaming the NYPD,

23 | blaming the Mayor, blaming the Council, and most of

24 all blaming me. I have one message; it is good to

see you. It is good to hear you're angry. It means

eyes.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

that I, as a black man who grew up and lived my whole
life and what you are finally seeing with your own

2.2

2.3

As an elected official who for six and a half years has been sounding the alarm into a seemingly disconnected microphone, I am finally being heard.

The injustice is finally being seen. Please don't forget that anger. Please come yell at me. I will be right here a couple times a month. For as long as it takes to make sure that we stay on this course.

I am proud of the disciplinary matrix bill. I think it is going to bring much needed transparency and accountability but only your continued outreach can prevent the department from putting out week punishments and having the Commissioner override it half the time anyway.

The NYPD must be held accountable for the actions of its officers for a culture that ignores and even encourages a way of treating people that is just simply unacceptable for everyone. Much less a public servant.

So, while I am proud of this bill and proud of my colleagues for their work, the truth is that these

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

./

2.2

2.3

bills barely scratch the surface and we need to keep the pressure on. We have so much more work to do.

Officer disciplinary records, DNA collection, gang database, facial recognition, criminalization of mental illness, criminalization of homelessness and so much more.

We need you to say that the NYPD cannot decide how we are going to police this city. And this city cannot send police to fix every single problem, what they've done in handcuffs.

Welcome to the struggle. Please stay angry with us. Please stay engaged. I want to thank all my colleagues today for their bills. I also want to acknowledge the people who work on these bills. For a long time, Daniel Ades, I want to thank you for your work. To the Speaker, thank you and to two former staff members who are not here Jordan Gibbons who worked on the disciplinary matrix with me. To Casey Addison as well, to Tiffany Eason, to Nevin Singh and to Brian Crow, I say thank you to each and everyone of you.

And with that being said, I will go to the other sponsors of the bills for remarks and go to Council

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

_

Member Gibson first, followed by Lancman and if
Public Advocate Williams is here, we'll go to him.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you so much and good morning Chair Donovan Richards. Good morning to all of my colleagues on the Public Safety Committee and to all of those New Yorkers who are watching today's hearing.

Thank you for the opportunity. I am Council

Member Vanessa Gibson of District 16 in the Bronx and

I thank all of you for watching our hearing this

morning. This morning is a very important day for

our city. When the City Council takes a very bold

step forward in recognizing that a sleeping nation

has finally woke up following the horrific murder of

George Floyd in Minneapolis.

While I applaud all of the advocates, the activists, our allies, and all New Yorkers who have really stepped up. Those of us who are tired of being tired, and those who have simply seen far too much.

I am really saddened that we have seen another

Black man killed by police violence in America. This

morning, I am proud to sponsor the post-Act Intro.

487 before this Committee today. First introduced

2.2

2.3

2 way back in 2017, which will increase the

3 transparency and oversight of the NYPD's use of

4 surveillance technologies and information sharing

5 | networks to keep New Yorkers safe.

The post-Act will require the NYPD to develop and disclose and impact and use policy for each piece of surveillance technology that it purchases as well as new technology purchases in the future. This policy would include information on surveillance technologies, such as the description and capabilities, the rules, the processes, guidelines and any safeguards and security measures designed to protect the information that is collected.

The impact and use policy will be sent to the Council and the Mayor and will be posted on the NYPD's website for 45 days after the closure of the public comment period. These measures are important safeguards we believe to protect the civil liberties and privacy rights of all New Yorkers.

In an effort to balance law enforcement and national security concerns, with the need for transparency and accountability. The NYPD's powerful surveillance equipment is vast and it includes such items as stingrays, cellphone towers, license plate

2.2

2.3

readers, x-ray vans and even drones. What happens to
this information that's gathered from innocent
bystanders? How long is the data and the information

kept? Is this information shared with anyone?

The answer to all of these questions is very simple. We do not know. Our city has the unique opportunity at this moment in history, to join other cities like San Francisco, Berkley, Oakland, Seattle, Detroit, Cambridge, and Nashville in our collective efforts to know about and understand the surveillance tools that law enforcement uses in our communities.

This legislation is the floor and not the ceiling and is a basic reporting bill to understand and really create a foundation of information on technology and surveillance that is used by the NYPD. We can respect individuals civil liberties and keep our city safe at the same time. These priorities are not mutually exclusive.

I want to extend my gratitude to our former colleague Dan Garodnick for his early support and commitment as the original sponsor of the post-Act.

And certainly, all credit and admiration for this moment in history to the committed and hard working advocates who remain consistent on this long journey.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2 They always knew that this day would come but none of

3 us knew exactly when.

I want to acknowledge the Brennan Center for

Justice, the surveillance technology oversight

project, Urban Justice Center, American Civil

Liberties Union, New York Civil Liberties Union, the

Legal Aid Society, the Council on American Islamic

Relations, the National Lawyers Guild, the National

Action Network and Empire State Indivisible.

I thank all of my colleagues who have signed on as sponsors of the post-ACT and certainly the legislative division who have worked extremely hard on this bill and I urge my colleagues on the Public Safety Committee to vote aye.

Thank you so much Chair Richards for the opportunity to speak on a very important piece of legislation for this moment in history.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. I'm going to go to now Council Member Lancman.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you Mr. Chairman and good morning. I will have a lot more to say on the chokehold legislation that we will be passing at the Stated. But for now, let me just thank everyone

2.2

2.3

2 for their support including in particular yours Mr.

3 Chairman. This has been a long time coming.

Six years now we have waited for this legislation to pass and finally the day is here. I am hopeful and even at this last moment, I want to be optimistic that the Mayor will sign the bill into law but if he doesn't, I'm confident that we have the votes to override his detail. And we didn't get here by accident, we got here through the sacrifice and hard work of the mothers of the movement and in particular Gwen Carr herself, Eric Garners mom. The bill now is broader than just a chokehold bill. It includes restraints on a persons ability to breathe if an officer sits, kneels, or stands on their chest or back.

But the origin of this legislation was the horrific killing of Eric Garner that we all witnessed with our own and having witnessed that, how can we not act. We must act and today we are acting.

As I said, I will have more to say on the chokehold bill at the stated meeting but for now, I thank everyone for their support. I thank the staff for their hard work over these years and I look forward to a great day, not just for my bill but for

all the bills that we're passing today and the

2.2

comprehensive criminal justice reform that this

Council is continuing to push forward.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARD: Thank you. Is Public

Advocate Williams here? If he is not, we will go to

Council Member Ampry-Samuels.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Good morning everyone and thank you so much Chair for all of your hard work and your voice and always speaking your truth and I really do appreciate your opening remarks.

Yesterday, the Human Rights Council, the United Nations Human Rights Council, held an urgent debate on race based human rights violations, systematic racism, police violence against persons of African descent and violence against peaceful protestors within the United States and other jurisdictions.

The organization called for the debate to lend meaningful outcomes including inquiry mechanisms designed to investigate systematic racism in law enforcements globally. And on June 19th, two years ago, the United States announced its withdrawal from the United Nations Human Rights Council.

2.2

2.3

The White House said at that time that the decision did not mean that the country would retreat from its stance on human rights but we see different.

And there is no wonder why we have aggressively gone backwards these past few years.

And also, yesterday State Attorney General

Letitia James held a public hearing with former

United States Attorney General Loretta Lynch who

heard from peaceful demonstrators about their

encounters with police officers. And most of them

testified about not just assaults but also the

blocking of police badges. Story after story had the

same experience and encounters of police hiding their

badge numbers.

So, Preconsidered Intro. 1962 which will require the shield number or rank designation of a uniformed officer to be visible. A private right of action would exist where an individual demonstrates that they requested that an officer make their shield number or rank designation visible and such officer did not apply. This means uniformed police officers can no longer hide or cover their badge number during a protest and allows for transparency and police accountability.

2.2

2.3

Officers who have become accustomed to this practice because they knew there would no punishment, or they knew that their bosses had the discretion. This will no longer be tolerated and will now give people a right to a private action in court. Simply stated, when policies don't work within our agencies as a Council body, we must legislate and this bill and the companied pieces of legislation is a start of ensuring that when Americans Pledge Allegiance to the Flag, that last part, that last line that states, with liberty and justice for all. These bills will truly mean liberty and justice for all.

So, thank you so much for allowing me to just speak on my bill related to the blocking of police badges and I hope that everyone is very supportive of this bill because clearly it makes sense. And I will save the rest of my comments for Stated.

Thank you so much Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. We'll go to

Council Member Rivera. Okay, she is not here. So, I

will ask the Counsel to call the roll. Are there any
other Council Members who want to give remarks at
this time?

known as the Early Warning Intervention System.

2	This bill would take affect this September and
3	would require the Department to conduct an annual
4	report and submit it both to the Mayor and the
5	Speaker that will really look at complaints received
6	and results of investigations conducted by the CCRB.
7	Complaints received and conducted by the police
8	department, not limited to investigations by IAB but
9	certainly looking at arrests and summons data.
10	Criminal arrests and investigations of an officer
11	known to the department. And I think given the
12	reality of what we're dealing with and understanding
13	it, many of these cases, individuals and officers
14	that are accused of excessive force, when you look at
15	their history, they have a certain level of
16	violations of substantiated CCRB cases as well as
17	lawsuits against them individually and so, we believe
18	that a warning system would provide red flags that
19	are necessary to identify those officers that are
20	really in need of assistance. Training or other
21	measures that we can intervene and look at these
22	officers that many of which are on patrol and in
23	specialized units and we can take them out of those
24	units and really give them the help and support that
25	they need before the lawsuits and the CCRB complaints

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Miller?

2.2

2.3

2 Council Member Miller: I'd like to thank my

colleagues for their thoughtful, insightful, and courageous legislation put forth today. I vote aye on all.

6 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Rodriguez?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Permission to explain my vote?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Council Member Rodriguez, you have permission to explain your vote.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Chairman Richards and former Chairman Gibson, you make a big difference in this Committee. As someone that served my first four years where there was no space to talk about police reform, having both of you leading us in this work, I'm so proud of what you have done. Thank you, the brothers and sisters who are not Black, Latino, Asian. Those of you who are White, who also has raised this issue. You know, it's tough. I have been arrested more than 15 times in the civil disobedience. Among those, there have been four or five. Two of them that I felt for my life. Being their raised in 1989, when I was taking political science 101, using my [INAUDIBLE 22:40], I never thought that it would be my first interaction with

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the police in the way that [INAUDIBLE 22:49] there is

3 no freedom. Then when I was arrested on the street,

4 I won my lawsuit of \$25,000 and even though my wife

5 | would have liked to have killed me because I every

6 single dollar, I donated to Center for Constitution

7 Right because they took my case in '89.

If you are not Black or Latino, if you don't live in the poorest neighborhood, my brothers, and sisters from the upper east side of the area, thank you for solidarity. You and your family will never feel what we go through.

So, this is a historical day. I think that with this reform, we will attract police officers that want to serve, keeping our community safe, getting the respect from the community but not thinking that just because they had a gun. They have all the power to go around cursing or hurting people.

While my brother was a police officer at the 42nd,

I'm proud Chief [INAUDIBLE 24:01]. I'm proud of

Chief Mauri[SP?] you know when he was at the NYPD.

I don't think that most police officers are bad apples but we have a few bad apple everywhere in the police departments, in government, public and private sector.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

2	So, thank you Chair. Thank you, all the
3	colleagues. With this reform, we're taking New York
4	City to be a remodel nationwide. And this will not
5	make the job at the NYPD harder. It will make it
6	difference. They have to abide themselves and those
7	who are not ready to work in this way, they can get
8	another job. And explain if they want to serve,
9	dressed in blue because they want to keep our
10	community safe and with this reform, I know that it
11	would be the best working relationship with the
12	community we have respect to the police and the
13	police would respect the community.
14	With that, I vote ave.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Vallone?

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Aye on all.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Adams?

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: So, proud to have signed onto every piece of this legislation. So very proud of my colleague. I'm proud to be a member of this body and I proudly vote aye on all.

2.2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Brannan?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Brannan?

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Hello, hi, sorry. Aye

25 on all.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Powers? 2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Aye on all. I'll save my 3 comments for Stated. Thank you to everybody who has 4 worked very hard on these bills to get us where we are today. I'm voting aye on all. 7 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: By a vote of 12 in the affirmative, 1 in the negative and no abstentions, 8 all items have been adopted by the Committee. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you all. With that 11 12 being said, I also want to just acknowledge in closing the work of the Black, Latino, Asian caucus. 13 I want to thank the Co-Chairs Council Member Adams 14 15 and also Miller for their work as well. 16 With that being said, this vote is closed. 17 [GAVEL] 19 20 21 22

22

1

5

6

10

18

23

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 30, 2020