CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES

-----X

December 17, 2009 Start: 9:55 am Recess: 10:17 am

HELD AT:

Hearing Room

250 Broadway, 16th Floor

B E F O R E:

JESSICA S. LAPPIN

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Jessica S. Lappin

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Charles Barron

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.

Elizabeth Crowley

John C. Liu Rosie Mendez Annabel Palma

Daniel R. Garodnick

Tony Avella Simcha Felder

APPEARANCES

Jenny Fernandez

Director of Intergovernmental and Community Relations Landmarks Preservation Commission

Robert Cunniffe Owner Herman and Malvina Schleicher House of Intergovernmental and Community Relations for the Landmarks Preservation Commission. I am here today to testify on the Commission's designation

of the Schleicher House in Queens.

б

On February 10, 2009, the Landmarks
Preservation Commission held a public hearing on
the proposed designation of the Schleicher House.
Ten witnesses spoke in favor of designation,
including City Councilman Tony Avella,
representatives of the Historic Districts Council,
the Landmarks Conservancy, the Municipal Art
Society, the Poppenhusen Institute, the Queens
Historical Society and two tenants of the
building.

On October 20, 2009, the Commission scheduled a vote to designate the building a New York City landmark. At that public meeting, prior to the Commission's vote, the owner spoke in opposition to designation.

The Herman A. and Malvina

Schleicher House is located in College Point in

North Central Queens. It stands on an unusual

circular site that was created circa 1906 when the

original 14-acre estate was subdivided into

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and quoins.

Though relatively little is known about the Schleicher family, census records indicate that Herman had Prussian parents and was a successful merchant involved in the sale of dry goods, stationary and coal. He shared the house with his wife Malvina, four children and three servants.

Following his death in 1966, the building was sold and at the turn of the century was converted to the 11-room Grand View Hotel.

Divided into apartments in 1923, there are currently seven units in the building.

Despite changes, the 1857
Schleicher House has many notable characteristics.

shared. To my knowledge, any landmark help that I

2

would get with this building would be minimal,

3

maybe \$5,000, \$10,000. This building, if I had to

4

restore the outside to its original condition

5

would cost in the hundreds of thousands of

6

dollars, maybe upwards of a million dollars.

While it's true that when I first

8

told me that it's been calendared for a landmark,

found out about the building, some of the tenants

9 10

when I went to the old owner, she had told me that

11

she was against it. I guess through my ignorance

12

I thought without her signing off on it that it

13

would never happen.

14

Committee. There was a timing issue and I was not

I did appear before the Landmarks

I understand that Tony Avella is

15 16

really prepared. I wanted to voice my opinion; I

17

didn't want it to be landmarked.

18

going to say that a lot of historians or neighbors

19 20

had wanted this landmarked for many years, but it

21

22

tenants, possibly the two tenants that showed up

23

here. I think that's just a tactic by them.

24

haven't showed up since and they've manipulated

was really brought to the forefront by some

25

the situation to pay \$1 a month from then until

now which we're trying to reverse, which is another huge burden.

б

When you landmark a building without helping out on its financial burden, I think you just leave the building sitting there because nobody can afford to renovate the building. The Landmark, they can say they'll help you with its decisions and looking back into the history of a building, but nobody is going to cough up the money. That's the bottom line.

I have no intentions of tearing the building down. If it's going to cost \$500,000, \$800,000, who is going to pay for it? I don't think that's right. I think over the next few years, I am a small contractor, I will restore the building gradually and it will not be left the way it was. But by putting this burden on my back it's going to sit there. What am I supposed to do if to redo the façade costs \$500,000? This is not a corporation, I'm an individual. So if you wish to landmark it, I think, as I said, I think somebody should share in the financial costs. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: When did you

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 9
2	purchase this property?
3	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: September 23rd.
4	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Of 2009?
5	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: I'm sure
7	you're aware, but the Commission held a public
8	hearing on the designation in February.
9	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: I didn't even
10	know of the building. I hadn't even looked at it.
11	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: I guess what
12	I'm saying is it's not as if this was just a
13	building that was being potentially considered by
14	the Commission. It's a building that not only had
15	been calendared but there had been a public
16	hearing on the item.
17	I mean I understand what you're
18	saying, but in terms of due diligence and
19	understanding what you were purchasing, this was
20	already something that had been discussed very
21	openly in public at a hearing of the Commission.
22	To that extent, from my point of view, when you
23	purchased the property you should have known that
24	it was going to be designated.
25	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Fair enough. But

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 10

you're talking a lay person. I mean you guys have a lot of funds and experience in this. I only looked at the building I think it was in May. I signed a contract that was supposed to close in June. Maybe it's due to my own ignorance, but I didn't know what had gone before. When I had spoken to this person Eva Rohan, she had said yeah, it's landmarked. She's 80-years-old. She said yeah, it's landmarked but I'm not going to agree to it. I didn't realize that it didn't need her permission.

Were going to purchase a property or when I have purchased property and the owner didn't hide this from you; the owner said it's in the landmark process. So not only had there been a public hearing but the person you purchased it from notified you of this and then you didn't do whatever the research was that you should have done to know what you were purchasing.

The one other thing I wanted to ask about is that I understand that over the summer you did apply for some interior work and the commission issued a notice of review and said that

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 11 they have no objection to the work. ROBERT CUNNIFFE: That's only interior work and the reason that was done is because the gas had been disconnected from the street. No permits can pass the DOB without being signed off on Landmarks. We just needed to get a gas line because the tenants have had no gas. think it was October 23rd we finally finished with

the bureaucracy of the DOB, the plumbing

department to get the gas turned on.

Yes, as you say, I didn't do my due diligence. As I said, I'm only a lay person.

When I found out about this, I asked the owner.

It was the tenants that actually told me it was landmarked. I asked the owner and she kind of

rolled it off. I was unaware, I didn't know.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: I hear you, but when you purchase a property and you have that kind of information you should find out what that means. And maybe at this point you should still and I want to make sure you are aware that when this building is landmarked, you don't have to restore it to what its original condition was.

When it's landmarked that is the condition that is

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 12considered moving forward. So you have to 2 maintain it in the condition that it is today, not 3 what it was in 1906. So when you do work in the 4 5 future that will be the standard you are held to, what the condition is of the façade today. 6 ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Correct me if I'm 8 wrong, that's not actually accurate. 9 CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: 10 accurate, so I am correcting you. 11 ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Well the old 12 owner has gone over the façade with basically an 13 aluminum wrap. Now apart from doing small 14 repairs, if I wanted to fix that properly, then I 15 have to go through Landmarks. A lot of it is 16 wrapped with aluminum. 17 CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: It's being 18 landmarked in the condition that it is today. You 19 don't have to go back and make it look like it did 20 in 1906. I mean it seems to me, and I think you take this advice, you throw it away, but it seems 21 22 to me you should consult. I mean I know you have 23 met with the Commission but I think it would be 24 helpful for you to talk to them a little bit more

and understand what this means for you. They have

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 13 some excellent resource materials and maybe for 2 you to understand a little bit more of what the 3 4 obligations are because they're not as onerous as 5 you seem to believe that they are. б ROBERT CUNNIFFE: You say if I had 7 to do some repairs, I can repair a piece of aluminum. If the window falls out, let's call it, 9 a change from the soffit to the window. If the 10 window falls out, that window has to be replaced 11 as is original as what Landmarks will allow me to 12 do it. 13 CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: You're going 14 to have to go to Landmarks for permission but not 15 as an original window. I mean they understand 16 that you're going to purchase what's available on 17 the market today. 18 ROBERT CUNNIFFE: I did speak to 19 Mr. Tensing and he did explain to me obviously 20 it's not going to be wood that's dated from back 21 then but I could not put back what's there right 22 now as an aluminum window. They're all aluminum 23 windows. It would have to go back to match as

closely as possible to its original window.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Council Member

24

±	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC STIING AND MARTITME USES 14
2	Barron, do you have a question for the owner?
3	Tony, do you want to say anything at this point
4	while we wait for Council Member Barron?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: No, after
6	the public testimony is finished.
7	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Sure. We were
8	waiting for you.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I
10	understand that you're a lay person but did you
11	have a lawyer?
12	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Yes, I did.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: You had a
14	lawyer, right?
15	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Yes, a regular
16	lawyer that closes on the purchase of property.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Lawyers are
18	usually familiar with this Landmarking process. I
19	just get a feel, I don't know you, but I get a
20	feel that you're not as innocent and ignorant as
21	you're professing to be when you have a lawyer.
22	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: I didn't say I
23	was ignorant, sir. I just said I don't know about
24	this process.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Don't

Т.	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARTITME USES 10		
2	interrupt me. I'm telling you what I feel.		
3	Ignorant means you don't know. It doesn't mean		
4	anything negative. I'm not saying you're stupid.		
5	I just said ignorant. Ignorant means you just		
6	don't know something. So you are professing		
7	ignorance to this process of landmarking, correct?		
8	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Somewhat.		
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well		
10	somewhat, I don't know what somewhat means. I'm		
11	just saying to you that I just find it interesting		
12	that you have a lawyer and lawyers usually are		
13	fundamentally aware of the landmarking process.		
14	Anyway, you did have a lawyer.		
15	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Yes, a real		
16	estate lawyer.		
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you		
18	very much.		
19	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Thank you very		
20	much, sir.		
21	ROBERT CUNNIFFE: Thank you.		
22	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Jenny, would		
23	you like to come back and speak to anything that		
24	was stated?		
25	JENNY FERNANDEZ: The question of		
	i de la companya de		

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 16

replacing windows, for example, if the original windows were wood framed, et cetera, the configuration of materials of the windows, whenever windows that have been replaced with something else such as vinyl windows or aluminum windows, if those need to be replaced again, the Commission does urge to recall what was there but it doesn't have to be an all wood window, this just to get a staff level approval. This means, in order to meet the rules, it would have to either recall the original configuration and materials of the windows, or they have looked at different materials that are substitute materials for that sort of thing.

wish to conform to that and wants to propose something else, they always have the opportunity to present before the Commission any sort of proposal. If they want to use aluminum wood windows, which is something that has been approved by the Commission in the past and just prepare a presentation for different materials. The Commission has approved different things.

Everyone has the opportunity, if something doesn't

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 17 meet the rules, they can certainly present before 2 the Commission and have something looked at. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Thank you, Ms. 5 Fernandez. Council Member Avella, would you like the last word? 6 COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: No, the Chair has the last word. I thank the chair and 9 the committee for hearing this item today. couple of points, first of all, this has been a 10 11 long-awaited designation by the community and 12 probably for decades because I remember even 13 before I was elected that this location has been talked about for a long, long time. 14 15 In respect to two of the comments 16 that the owner has made, and I understand his 17 situation and I think we all agree that at some 18 point we should do more for owners of historic 19 buildings because we want to encourage people to 20 support designations. So at some point we should 21 be able to do more for them financially. 22 But in reference to some of the pointed comments that the chair made, once it's 23 24 calendared, it is then put on the DOB website and

I think it's incumbent upon anybody, especially

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 18

somebody who is a contractor to recognize the fact that this property is being designated for

б

landmark status.

mentioned about the tenants is two totally separate issues. The issues of the tenants are totally a separate issue. This is regarding landmarking the façade of the building. The inside of the building and the tenant issues have nothing to do with the designation. The tenants have actually supported designation, but it's two separate issues.

Again, I want to thank the chair and the committee and I would urge approval of this designation. Thank you.

much. The hearing on this item is closed. Can somebody call Councilwoman Mendez on the 14th Floor please so that she knows that the hearing is over? Because she's chairing her own subcommittee meeting and she wanted to be notified. Is there any discussion on either of the items that are on the agenda today? I would recommend a favorable vote on both items. To remind the committee, that

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 19
2	is the Con Ed solo site and the landmark item that
3	we heard today.
4	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Christian
5	Hylton, Counsel to the committee. Chair Lappin?
6	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Aye.
7	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
8	Barron?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Aye.
10	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
11	Comrie?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Aye.
13	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
14	Palma?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.
16	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
17	Crowley?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Aye.
19	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: By a vote of
20	five in the affirmative, none in the negative and
21	no abstentions, Preconsidered LU 20105219 and LU
22	1292 are approved and referred to the full Land
23	Use Committee.
24	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN:
25	Congratulations, Council Member Avella. We'll

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 20		
2	keep the vote open until the beginning of the Land		
3	Use Committee meeting. This is our last meeting		
4	of 2009. I don't believe we have any Land Use		
5	meetings scheduled yet for 2010. We have to wait		
6	for the Charter meeting on January 6th, so		
7	everybody will have to keep their eyes peeled in		
8	terms of scheduling in the New Year.		
9	It's been a great year. I wish		
10	everybody a very, very happy holiday season and a		
11	wonderful, happy, healthy, prosperous and blessed		
12	2010. With that, I'm going to close this meeting.		
13	And as I mentioned, keep the vote open until the		
14	beginning of the Land Use Committee.		
15	[Pause]		
16	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member		
17	Mendez?		
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I vote aye.		
19	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: The vote now		
20	stands at six in the affirmative, none in the		
21	negative and no abstentions.		
22	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member		
23	Arroyo?		
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yes.		
25	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: The vote now		

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 21
2	stands at seven in the affirmative, none in the
3	negative and no abstentions.
4	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
5	Liu?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: I vote yes.
7	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: The vote now
8	stands at eight in the affirmative, none in the
9	negative and no abstentions.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Elma Le	(Labe)	
		8	

Date __December 30, 2009_