	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH
1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS  LICENSING  1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK
3	CIII OF NEW TORK
4	X
	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES
5	Of the
6	or ene
7	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON
,	CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS
8	LICENSING
9	X
10	April 28, 2020
11	Start: 1:15 p.m.
<b>T</b> T	Recess: 4:00 p.m.
12	NELD AM.
13	HELD AT: Remote Hearing
14	B E F O R E: Robert E. Cornegy, Jr., Chairperson of the Committee on Housing and Buildings
15	nousing and buridings
16	Andrew Cohen, Chairperson of the Committee on Consumer Affairs and Business
17	Licensing
18	
19	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
19	Speaker Corey Johnson Margaret Chin
20	Karen Koslowitz
21	Brad Lander
0.0	Justin Brannan
22	Kalman Yeger Ritchie Torres
23	Farah N. Louis
24	Fernando Cabrera
	Carlina Rivera
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING	WITH 2
2	COUNCIL MEMBERS (CONT.):	
3	Barry Grodenchik Mark Gjonaj	
4	Keith Powers Helen Rosenthal	
5	neren kosentnar	
6		
7		
8		
9		
LO		
11		
12		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 3
2	APPEARANCES
3	Michael McKee
4	Treasurer of the Tenants Political Action
5	Committee
_	Barika Williams
6	Executive Director at Association for
7	Neighborhood and Housing Development
8	Caryn Schreiber
9	Legal Aid Society
10	Andy Morrison
11	Campaigns Director at New Economy Project
ТТ	Oksana Mironova
12	Housing Policy Analyst
13	Dana Sussman
14	Commission on Human Rights
15	Sheriff Joseph Fucito
16	Department of Finance
17	AnnMarie Santiago
	Department Housing Preservation and Development
18	Ava Farkas
19	Met Council
20	Julia Duranti-Martinez
21	Campaign Coordinator at New Economy Project
22	Joseph Condon
23	Community Housing Improvement Program, CHIP
24	David Chemtob
	Renaissance Realty
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Kenneth Litwack
4	Marshals Association of the City of New York
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 LICENSING 2 UNIDENTIFIED: Okay, Dane, we can begin. 3 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Are you ready for me to 4 gavel in? UNIDENTIFIED: Dane, we cannot hear you. CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Ralph, start it. 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED: Good afternoon ladies and 8 gentleman and welcome to today's New York City hearing. At this time, we ask that everyone to silence all electronic devices. We need everyone to 10 11 turn their cameras at the beginning of the hearing on 12 for proper identification. Please mute your 13 microphones on Zoom. Microphones will be unmuted for 14 you when it is your turn to speak. Silence all 15 electronic devices, so as to eliminate any disturbances during your testimony. Any members of 16 17 the public wishing to testify can email their 18 statements to testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, you 19 can email your testimony to 20 testimony@council.nyc.gov. We are ready to begin 21 today's hearing. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: [Gavel] Welcome to today's 2.3 hearing held by Committee on Housing and Buildings chaired by myself and the Committee on Consumer 24 Affairs and Business Licensing chaired by Council

2.2

2.3

Member Andrew Cohen. We are also joined today by Speaker Corey Johnson who would like to share some opening remarks.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Chairs Cornegy and Cohen for holding this hearing today. Nice to see everyone, I hope everyone is safe and healthy and I want to just remember all of the hero's out there. Our healthcare workers and all of the city workers that we have lost, so many of them during this hard time.

This crisis has us fighting on two fronts;

against the virus and against an unprecedented

economic crisis. We can't forget that the suffering

and anxiety in New York City right now isn't just

about health. It's also about peoples economic

circumstances.

When you are being hounded by creditors because you are behind on bills. When your landlord maybe harassing you for your rent or you're worried about whether you can afford to feed your family, that fear can become overwhelming. New York City was in a housing emergency even before this epidemic. Almost a third of New Yorkers were late on their rent. 20 percent had the utilities shut off and 19 percent

1

were doubled up in apartments, 15 percent were facing eviction. Almost half of New Yorkers are at or near the poverty line and now, over half a million workers

4 5

are probably out of a job.

6

The ripple effects here are devastating.

7

simple. Not everyone is eligible and payments have

9

been very slow to arrive. If you were struggling

Unemployment benefits will help but it's not that

10

before and had to pay your bills with credit cards

11

over money, back payments aren't going to make you

12

whole. That means that many tenants won't be able to

13

pay rent. But keeping renters in their homes has to

14

4 be our number one priority. Not just during this

15

crisis but after the emergency orders are lifted. W

16

have to give New Yorkers impacted by this crisis a

17

fighting chance to get back on their feet.

18

give New Yorkers some piece of mind to let them know

So, today we are hearing two bills that will help

1920

that the City Council is going to do everything we

21

can to make sure New Yorkers aren't going to suffer

22

harms that we can't fix later. We can't compound the

23

tragedies we're already seeing by letting New Yorkers

24

become homeless or have creditors go after them  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right$ 

25

because of no fault of their own.

Introduction 1936 which I'm co-sponsoring with Council Member Torres, would protect tenants impacted by COVID-19 from landlords who may retaliate against them. And Intro. 1912, which I've sponsored would protect the hundreds of thousands of vulnerable New Yorkers and struggling business owners.

While many mortgage holders have been offered more concrete relief, renters and business owners are left to worry of what will happen when temporary eviction moratoriums are lifted. And those with debts like medical bills or credit cards are left to hope that their lenders will do the right thing.

We're going to need rent cancelation and reductions but while we work to make that happen, we need to put a backstop in place.

Introduction 1912 will prevent Marshals and City Sheriffs from taking property or executing money judgements. This means that evictions and debt collection would be paused. It also means tenants would have time to repay their rent. This would apply to actions against all New Yorkers through September or longer if the state of emergency continues into the fall.

2.2

2.3

Okay.

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON:

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

11

hearing. As it will be mentioned at today's hearing, 3

perspective on both sides of the issue.

we're seeking feedback on two pieces of legislation. I am looking forward to hearing from a broad spectrum of stakeholders including tenants, property owners, the Marshals, Sheriff's, the advocates, and the public, so that the Council can get a better

With these bills, the Council hopes to mitigate tenant harassment during the COVID-19 crisis and help keep as many folks as possible in their homes and business properties.

In addition, the Council recognizes many landlords are facing financial pressures and need to weigh in on these issues during these challenging times. Intro. 1912 which has been introduced by the Speaker, would limit the actions of City Sheriff's and Marshals would limit the action City Marshals and Sheriffs could take during both the pandemic and post crisis recovery.

The COVID emergency is disrupting people's lives in the most horrific of ways. So, the last thing that they should have to worry about is having their money or property seized. I am very supportive of the various addition moratoria by both the state and

saving the day.

I want to thank Speaker Johnson and also wish him a very happy birthday. Unfortunately, you have to celebrate it with us in this way but you are always willing to stand up and be there for us, so I appreciate you Speaker Johnson.

As we all know the impacts of the novel coronavirus have been devastating and vast. At this hearing, the Committees hope to gain a better understanding of the economic implications of the virus. With special attention paid to the struggles of tenants as residents citywide face unprecedented financial strain.

To that end, we'll also be hearing two pieces of legislation aimed at protecting tenants as the City works to recover from this crisis. In an effort curtail the spread of the virus, the state has been on pause since March which has been a critical tool to facilitate social distancing and save lives. An unfortunate but necessary component of this pause is the closure of nonessential businesses effectively stalling much of the city's economy. The result has been a loss of employments for hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers and the numbers continue to grow.

2.2

2.3

J

\_\_\_

2.3

Now, in a city where a disproportionate share of the population was rent burdened even prior to the pandemic, more and more residents are not sure how they will make rent. In an effort to mitigate some of the renters concerns, the state and federal government have each enacted measures to halt evictions for a period of time.

While this allows tenants to remain in their apartments for now, it does not guarantee the tenants unable to pay rent will be able to stay in their apartment once eviction actions resume. Or that tenants will be safe from the landlord harassment on the basis of having been impacted by the virus.

Today, we will be hearing two bills that seek to provide additional long term protections to tenants effected by the crisis. The first is Intro. 1912, which is sponsored by the Speaker. This bill would prohibit the City Sheriff and Marshals from taking certain actions related to eviction and debt collection until the end of the first month after the state of emergency of September 30, 2020, whichever is later.

For New Yorkers impacted by COVID-19, the effects of the bill would be to extend until the end of the

1, 2021, whichever is later.

2.2

2.3

Second, is Intro. 1936 which is sponsored by

Council Member Ritchie Torres and the Speaker. This

bill would make it illegal for a landlord to harass a

tenant based on their status as a person impacted by

COVID-19. Including whether they are an essential

worker or because they were laid off or because they

received a rental concession or forbearance where the

eviction moratoria were in effect.

We look forward to hearing from the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, the Department of Finance and the Commissioner on Human Rights as well as from interested members of the public about these bills. We will now hear an opening statement from Chair Cohen, well actually, you already heard that statement from Chair Cohen. Thank you so much and I do want to add before we go into testimony that we've also been joined by Justin Brannan, Ritchie Torres, and Farah Louis.

At this point, I'll hand it over to Austen.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Yeah, great, thank you. I am

Austen Brandford, I'm Counsel for the City Council of

Committee on Housing and Buildings and before we

start, I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on to testify. I'd like to point you will be unmuted by the host. I will be calling on panelists to testify. Please listen for your name to be called as I will be periodically announcing who the next panelist will be. During the hearing, if Council Members have questions, please use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call you

When called upon, please be sure to let us know to whom your questions are directed, so they can be unmuted to. We will be limiting Council Member questions for four minutes including responses. Our first panelist will be Mike McKee, Barika Williams, Caryn Schreiber and Andy Morrison. I will call you when it's your turn to speak and your testimony will be limited to three minutes. A Sergeant at Arms will keep a timer and let you know when you begin and when your time is up. This panel will be followed by Council Member questions. You will then hear testimony from the Administration which will followed by additional Council Member questions.

Finally, we will hear public testimony.

2.2

2.3

in order.

We will now start with our fist panelist Mike McKee who will be followed by Barika Williams.

MICHAEL MCKEE: Good Afternoon.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Mike, your clock will begin when you start your testimony.

MICHAEL MCKEE: Sorry. Good afternoon, my name is Michael McKee, I'm a Treasurer of the Tenants

Political Action Committee. I want to make it clear that I'm testifying only on behalf of myself and tenants not on behalf of any other organization.

We are very much in support of these two pieces of legislation. They are important pieces of the puzzle but I want to parenthetically which Corey a happy birthday. I also want to thank the Council for getting back to work and showing how it can be done and are very much hoping that this legislation will follow your lead. And just as somebody who has been cooped up for seven weeks, I'm going thank the Council for taking the leadership on opening our city streets to pedestrians.

2.3

Intro. 1912 and Intro. 1936 are important pieces of what is needed to protect tenants but it's not everything that we need as many of you know and as we have discussed. The ultimate thing we need is some

2.2

2.3

kind of cancelation or forgiveness of rent. We are now facing a situation where literally hundreds of thousands of tenants all across New York State and across the country simply cannot pay rent through no fault of their own because they've lost their income.

When the courts reopen and when eviction moratoria are lifted, we're going to be in a situation where a lot of these people are going to be facing eviction and displacement and that's why it's important that we get rent cancellation in addition to these other measures. They are very glad to see that for people effected by COVID-19, that protection against eviction will continue into next spring and we commend you for that.

I want to emphasize that we are talking about cancelling rents, cancelling mortgage payments, and cancelling utilities. And we do believe that mom and pop landlords need relief as well as tenants. We think the big landlords like Black Zone can take a haircut. They can certainly absorb this situation for several months.

I am a volunteer on a hotline sponsored by the MET Council on Housing and until two or three months ago, almost all of the calls were how do I get

Speaker Johnson, and happy birthday I should also

2 add. Thank you to Chair Cornegy, to Chair Cohen.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's nice to see all of you back in my new roll as ED at ANHD and I'm sorry that we haven't gotten to interact except for remotely.

You all know, many of you all know ANHD and our work on behalf of 80 plus nonprofits, tenants groups and CDC's across the city. We've fought for decades against harassment of tenants, many of which are things that we've accomplished in partnership with the City Council and specifically with many of the people on this Zoom call. And ensuring that all tenants are protected against harassment especially during these challenging times. It is incredibly important. We cannot stress how much the stress of the pandemic of living through this, of losing people, of losing family members, of struggling through health is only made more complicated when the tenants are facing harassment and displacement. facing harassment and displacement in a moment where that really compromises your safety and the safety of your family.

So, we fully support expanding the definition of harassment to include threats based on persons having been impacted by COVID, the Intro. of 1936 2020.

2.2

2.3

Absolutely looking forward to and happy to continue to work with the City Council to pass this legislation and to expand these protections. We really appreciate the intention behind 1912 and really applaud the city using its authority over Sheriffs and Marshals to prevent evictions in this time and during this current moratorium.

We do have a few concerns with the bill as currently drafted and that's because many of ANHD's members are nonprofits, are CDC's who have been their community caretakers, been on the frontlines throughout all of this. And while tenants in these buildings are often struggling, they absolutely need relief. We have a lot of concerns about pushing and extending the loss of rental income onto the very nonprofits who are trying to support their communities throughout this.

We know that statewide, two-thirds of the folks who filed for unemployment earned \$40,000 or less, so that is really the tenants that mainly reside in our buildings and in communities and we really just don't want to end up in a place where the extension of anything means that there is a loss of services or that that burden falls back on our nonprofits that

when you begin your testimony.

2.2

2.3

CARYN SCHREIBER: Thank you. Good afternoon, thank you Chairpersons Cornegy and Cohen and members of the Committees for the opportunity to testify on behalf of the Legal Aid Society. The nation's oldest and largest not-for-profit legal services organization.

We welcome this opportunity to endorse and share our view on this legislation and we commend the committees for holding today's hearings on both bills which will provide relief to numerous New Yorkers who are currently on the edge of homelessness and financial distress.

We strongly support the passage of the bills and have some suggested recommendations to strengthen the legislation. We strongly support the passage of Intro. 1912, which will temporarily help in taking restitution of property and the execution of money judgments. As you are aware, the COVID-19 pandemic is causing devastating and lasting economic hardship that disproportionately impacts low and moderate income New Yorkers and communities of color. This has caused numbers low and moderate income New Yorkers to default or fall behind on financial obligation.

2.2

2.3

The COVID-19 pandemic has amplified New York
City's ongoing housing crisis in ways that are
impossible to ignore. Housing insecurity is a brutal
fact of life for many New Yorkers. 44 percent of New
York City renters are rent burdened and four out of
ten low income people in New York are either homeless
or severely rent burdened.

A budget overwhelmed by housing costs increase the families risk of food insecurity, lack of access to proper medical care and addiction and with little room for savings, a reduction in work hours or an unexpected expense may cause turmoil and ultimately displacement. And similar to the COVID-19 pandemic, involuntary displacement is not born equally in New York City where low income, Black and Latinx households are most impacted by eviction homelessness.

Housing and security now impacts a far broader range of households than it did earlier this year. For low and moderate income renters on the precipice rent burdened and without savings, they have now fallen off of a financial cliff. While New Yorkers are right now protected from eviction by Governor Cuomo's 90-day statewide eviction moratorium, the

altered by the end of the state moratorium on June

1

economic landscape is unlikely to be dramatically 2

3

20<sup>th</sup>. 4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

By June 20<sup>th</sup>, renters will owe months of rent arrears and fees. Many will promptly face eviction proceedings seeking thousands of dollars of debt and disposition. Far from solving up the crisis, the end of this short term moratorium will be catastrophic for renters.

The eviction of any one household is a tragedy and the eviction of thousands of renter households is a humanitarian crisis. The consequences of eviction are vast and have devastating long term negative impacts. Similarly, New Yorkers face financial challenges beyond eviction and the hardships imposed by money judgments are equally as devastating for individual judgment debtors and communities at large.

An increasing number of judgment debtors have contacted the Legal Aid Society in the last few weeks seeking assistance due to frozen bank accounts and garnished wages.

So, we suggest, well we support the legislation, we have a few suggestions that will further reduce the harm including clarifying -

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

CARYN SCHREIBER: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you Caryn. We'll now here from Andy Morrison and open up for Council Member questions. Andy?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Andy, your time will begin when you start your testimony.

ANDY MORRISON: Thank you Chairs Cohen and Cornegy and members of the Committees for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Andy Morrison and I'm Campaigns Director at New Economy Project and many of you know us and our work. We are an economic justice organization that works with community groups and low income New Yorkers throughout New York City.

We strongly support Intro. 1912. We urge the Committee to take swift action to move the bill forward, so that the full body can pass it into law as soon as possible and we commend Speaker Johnson and other sponsors of this emergency legislation for their leadership.

We have calling for several weeks now for a statewide emergency moratorium on predatory debt collection and we're very pleased that in the absence

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of state level action, members of the Council are taking this crucial step to protect New Yorkers. New Economy Project, we run a free legal hotline for low income New Yorkers and we've heard over the years from thousands of New Yorkers who have been harmed by discriminatory and abusive debt collection. since COVID-19 gripped our city, we've been flooded with a new spade of calls from low income New Yorkers who are being hounded by debt collectors. It should just go without saying that no New Yorker should be having his or her bank accounts frozen or wages garnished and as debt collectors continue to siphon wealth from New Yorkers and from communities, the predatory debt collection is morphed into a public health crisis and so, we really need this action to further and ensure economic and racial justice and community equity.

A lot of the New Yorkers we've heard from have been speaking out and sharing their stories and I just want to read one testimonial from a Brooklyn resident named Veronica and refer you to our written testimony where we have included several others.

"I just found out that my paycheck was garnished.

I don't know what it's for. I can't afford to have

any money taken away right now. My two daughter and two grandchildren live with me. I'm trying to support my family but everything is uncertain. My job has cut the number of days that I go into work for safety reasons. One of my daughters lost her job because of coronavirus. That money was taken from me, could have gone toward a lot of other things that I am worried about right now, like food, disinfecting supplies, and other things I need to keep my family

Stories like this underscore the need for bold action and as we address this issue in the immediate term, we also want to underscore the need to be thinking about the structural inequities that underly this crisis from lack of healthcare to housing insecurity and discrimination built into our financial system. So, in addition to addressing this issue in the immediate term, which we urge the Council to do right away, we also want to encourage the Council to be thinking about broader measures including debt cancellation and other more structural solutions to our unequal economy.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

2.2

2.3

healthy.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you Andy. We will now open it up to the questions from Speaker Johnson,

Chair Cornegy and Chair Cohen before moving to general council member questions.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Austen, it's good to see you. I hope you are safe and healthy. Let me, give me one moment. So, I wanted to start off for anyone on the panel and I want to thank you for the work that you're doing. You've really been at the forefront on these issues and even though two-thirds of New Yorkers are renters, they certainly aren't getting two-thirds of the help.

We've seen a lot more support be announced for landlords and mortgage holders and we have to make sure as this hearing is about that we're not leaving tenants behind. It's governments job to solve this problem. There have been devastating personal impacts for many New Yorkers but this crisis also threatens the city's budget. If people aren't able to pay their rent, we will see a drop in property tax revenue and if people can't afford to pay their bills, we will see less spending and that means less money in sales tax revenue. All of that means less

2.2

2.3

money for the social safety net, which we need more

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

than ever right now.

30

So, the Council is doing what we can but we're going to need more help. I've been advocating for a lot more money from the federal government and we need the state to cancel rent as we've seen in these bills but they're calling for money from the feds as well.

So, I want everyone watching to understand how serious this is. Can each one of you talk about what you think will happen if we don't figure this out? If New Yorkers who need help with rent don't get it. And maybe we can start with Mike McKee.

MICHAEL MCKEE: Thank you Mr. Speaker. I think we're facing, I forgot which witness it was that said this is a humanitarian crisis. I mean, that's exactly what this is going to be. There is going to come a point when the courts reopen and when these moratoria on eviction are lifted where literally tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands if you look at it statewide or nationwide, of tenants who just can't pay the rent are going to be facing displacement. And another issue is that the courts are simply going to be overwhelmed with eviction cases. Right now,

2.2

2.3

landlords cannot file new eviction cases but at some point, they will be allowed to do that. This is all going to pile up, so there has to be money from the federal government targeted specifically to housing and rent relief. There has to be a cancellation of rent.

It's my view that at some point Andrew Cuomo is going to end his stonewalling on this issue and recognize that something must be done. And that, if you don't just cancel the rent and then figure out how to take care of mom and pop landlords while you're doing that. And as Barika pointed out, nonprofit landlords have special needs to and they don't gouge their tenants. So, they are really running at a very low margin if any.

This all has to be done and it is governments job to do it and if it isn't done, it's going to be a disaster and I don't think ultimately government or the courts are doing to sit by and let that happen.

I cannot imagine most Judges presiding over eviction after eviction after eviction, human nature being what it is and Judges being who they are, I would suspect they are going to be pressuring landlords and tenants to come to some kind of settlement possibly

32 I

where tenants pay some of the rent if they can.

3 don't know, maybe some kind of fund to help make this

4 up, but I think it's a very dangerous and serious

5 situation that we just can't ignore and I think that

6 by not talking about it now and by basically

1

2

18

19

7 stonewalling on it, the governor is creating a lot of

8 | fear and anxiety. And I think it's time that

9 everyone in state government, our friends in the

10 state legislature and the governor recognize that

11  $\parallel$  this is a serious issue that has to be addressed.

12 | The city can only do so much and I think it's

13 commendable that you're doing what you're doing but

14 | if we don't have federal and state government

15 weighing in on this, we're not going to be able to

16 deal with this. There's not going to be a solution

17 | that's going to keep people in their homes.

SPEAKER JONNSON: I'm not going to let; I have other questions and I want to get through them

20 quickly because we have a lot of Council Members on.

21 | I want to go to ANHD. You all have been ringing the

22 alarm for weeks. Your analysis has shown this crisis

23 | is hitting Black and Brown working class

24 neighborhoods the hardest. I know you are certainly

25 seeing this when it comes to tenants loss of income

and the inability to pay rent. What are you all seeing on the ground with respect to small businesses, vendors, particularly immigrant owned

5 businesses?

2.2

2.3

BARIKA WILLIAMS: Thank you for that Speaker

Johnson. I mean, as we've seen for so many people,

we've seen our immigrant and Black and Brown

businesses are the hardest hit. Many of them were

unbanked or underbanked before and so, there has been

a lot of conversation, a lot of work at the federal

level because many of these small businesses have

been entirely shut out, if not by process shut out of

the federal aid.

But it also feeds into the side of things that as residential tenants and the broader community supports, because often times these are businesses that are tied to some of our residential buildings. They are the businesses that are supporting people's incomes. Obviously the longer they stay shut and impacted, the more we're impacting peoples earnings and so, this all ultimately gets tied together.

One of the things we're hearing on the ground from many of our members is really, to put the human side on it as Mike McKee was talking about, really

2.2

2.3

being confronted with the challenges of because their government is not stepping in. Because at the city, at the state, at the federal and I know you all are limited in how much you can do and what you are able to do in this but there hasn't been a clear approach and relief package for residential tenants. And so, what these landlords are being confronted with is going to tenants that they know have lost their jobs, know that their businesses aren't open because they are around the corner. Know that they are not able to help them get a loan with the bank that they have a relationship with and then also, being faced with having to knock on their door and ask them, what can we do about rent?

And our groups really don't want to be put in that position, right. At the end of this down the line, what we're looking at is if we do not figure out a rent relief package, in some way shape or form, we are looking at an explosion of our homeless population and explosion in our Human Services needs. Because we've got tons of people going to food banks now but where will we be then and what little intergenerational wealth that we have built for immigrant communities and Black and Brown communities

will be absolutely wiped out. And as we kick this down the road, as we go from four months' worth of rent out a \$1,400 rent, is like \$5,600. Most families don't have more than \$400 or \$700 in savings.

So, there is no possibility and that savings, they are already burning through. So, the likelihood that they are able to take and pay that back, even over a one year timeline is just incredibly slim.

So, what we're really talking about is creating like a long term debt burden for people that they know they will never get out of.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. Thank you Barika.

I want to go Legal Aid for a quick question. This crisis is deepening the inequalities that you just heard about that we had even before coronavirus hit our city. Low income communities of color are taking the brunt of the health impacts and if we don't do more to help with debt and rent, we're going to see the irreversible economic impacts that Barika just spoke about. Can you talk about what you are seeing on the ground? What are your clients facing in terms of economic hardships? How important is taking care

2.2

2.3

2 of the rent issue, in terms of helping New Yorkers

3 out?

2.2

2.3

CARYN SCHREIBER: Thank you for that question

Speaker Johnson. It is helping renters in this city
is of the upmost importance right now as the other

witnesses have said, people are struggling and they

will be struggling even further come June, come this
fall. The rent continues to accrue and people are

terrified. That's what we are seeing.

We are seeing people, hearing from clients who don't know how they will pay their rent in May, don't know how they will pay their rent in June and going forward. They are preparing for and resigning themselves to having to leave their homes, which has as I mentioned before very dramatic negative effects on employment outcomes, school performance and physical and mental health.

We appreciate the City Council's recognition of this tremendous need and we hope that the state and federal governments will do more here because we are faced with a reckoning and come June, we will see tenants brought to Housing Court on a tremendous scale and scale that the courts will not be able to

handle and that the city's infrastructure will not be

3 able to handle.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And Caryn on that point, I just want to hear Legal Aids thought on this. There are two temporary eviction moratoriums that could apply to New Yorkers right now. There's the states 90-day moratorium and then there is the 120-day federal moratorium that applies to properties with a federally backed mortgage. Does it seem like tenants and even landlords understand what protections apply to them or their tenants?

CARYN SCHREIBER: Unfortunately, I think no they don't. We are hearing from our partners around the country to that. The federal moratorium is causing a lot of confusion or well, confusion I guess, for landlords who don't realize that people cannot be evicted for nonpayment if they reside in a property that has a, as you said Mr. Speaker, a federally subsidized mortgage or has another subsidy attached.

That federal moratorium covers a certain portion of tenants and other renters from again, eviction for nonpayment through the end of July but it doesn't go far enough. It seems to relate again only to nonpayment proceedings, leaving open other types of

proceedings where nonpayment could be an issue but is

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Chair Cohen.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And further, it's only I believe less or a little

bit more than 30-days longer than the current state

moratorium. So, even if more than half of New York

City's renters are covered by the federal moratorium,

come the end of July, we will see the same thing that

we will see at the end of June likely.

not explicitly stated.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. I have more

questions but I want to go back to the Chairs, so

they can get through some of their questions and then

we'll go to the Council Members. I can come back

later to ask the rest of my questions for this panel.

So, I turn it back to either Chair Cornegy or

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you Speaker Johnson.

Both Barika and Mike have alluded to this fine line

that has to be drawn and the governor has to be

involved while protecting you know, tenants, small

homeowners as well not burdening them and it's a

delicate balance. As the Chair of the Committee, I

am also faced with doing that and I'm acutely aware

that the input of the state and federal government in

doing that is essential. I just wanted to ask

2 statistically, do you know the ratio between big

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 buildings and big building owners as landlords as

4 compared to small homeowners? I had heard that there

5 is a statistic that there actually maybe more small

6 homeowners responsible for the makeup of tenancy,

7 that it actually is big buildings. I just wanted to

8 know, I know you probably, the two of you I know you

9 probably know. I'm just curious as to what that is.

MICHAEL MCKEE: Barika, you want to go first.

BARIKA WILLIAMS: I mean, I actually don't know that number off the top of my head but I could probably get it for you in about two minutes. So, if you give me a second Council Member, I can pull that but I also would say one thing that's a little bit challenging here is that sometimes it's not a clean break between the size of the building. It's really about the type of owner, right. We've seen big investment firms and specifically hedge firm companies buy up a series of buildings across an entire neighborhood but these are one to four family homes, so Bushwick is one of the places that's been rampant for this. We want to treat them who have

investors and backers and reserves very differently

than the understanding of a first time homebuyer who

is likely being supported by some of the groups that

3 you will hear from today; Impact, Chhaya, right,

1

2

25

4 CNYCN, some of these groups that are really trying to

5 build new homeownership across the city. And part of

6 their ability to pay for the mortgage is that first

7 | time homeowner collecting rent or in one or two units

8 of somebody that they know and they have a

9 relationship with and they are trying to support

10 their tenant. Those are two very different things

11 and the hard thing is that by slicing it just by

12 stock, they kind of get blurred together.

13 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, Mike, before you try -

14 | MICHAEL MCKEE: Well, I don't have exact numbers

15 | for you but we can certainly dig that up. The data

16 show very clearly that the majority of rent

17 stabilized apartments in New York City are owned by

18 | large landlords. There is no question about that but

19 | it's also true that a majority of owners are small

20 | landlords who own one or two buildings. And as

21 Barika pointed out, there are small landlords and

22 | there are small landlords and in fact, one of the

23 | thing predatory investors have done in the aftermath

24  $\parallel$  of the 2008 economic crisis is they went around the

country and bought up mobile home parks and they

bought up even single family homes that have been foreclosed and turned them into rental properties where they are gouging and evicting people.

So, the mere size of the building is not what you need to look at. You need some kind of system where you can actually ascertain who people are. Just for example, there is a very famous landlord from Brooklyn who comes to the Rent Guidelines Board every year and testifies that he is a small landlord. Well, when he started out doing this back in the 1980's, he owned one 20-unit building. He now owns nine 20-unit buildings. All rent stabilized, of course, now he has some deregulator departments thanks to Peter Vallone and thanks to George Pataki but you know, he's done very well under rent stabilization. He owns 180, 9 times 20, 180 apartments and he bought these new building while he was running his original stabilized building.

So, it's not cut and dried and you can't simply go by the size of the building. You have to go by pattern of ownership and other factors but we can certainly prepare numbers for you that will help you understand who owns what.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Well, I appreciate that but

2.2

2.3

I also know that it is very difficult to do that because as you mentioned, you have these hedge funds who are operating as individual LLC's to some degree. So, trying to get the cumulative affect of their buying power sometimes is difficult. We've tried to look into, but you know, LLC's federally are protected from who their owners are. So, we know

that that is happening as well.

I just want to be mindful because there are some small homeowners who while their tenants will be getting some help and some relief, they still, are subject to rising energy costs and things like that that kind of make it difficult. So, I'm just, I'm in precarious position, I'm screaming at the governor just like you guys are because we need help. We need to be able to disseminate you know, who is who.

That's going to be very important to do and we don't have a whole bunch of time to do it. So, I look forward to working with the entire first panel to make sure that we continue some of this apart and target those that we need to help in terms of homeowners and/or landlords.

landlord/tenant proceeding. But of course, there are

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS
LICENSING
44
people that can't do even that and it's you know, we
refer people to local community groups, we refer
people to lawyers when they need a lawyer. There is
not a lot you can tell people except that you know,

hunker down, and get through this and stay safe.

2.2

2.3

You can't tell people to save money when they don't have an income but it all depends on the individual situation when you talk to the tenant who is calling in. We also have resources that we refer people to —

CO-CHAIR COHEN: I think we lost Mike again.

MICHAEL MCKEE: I think there are even more who won't be able to pay on May 1<sup>st</sup> and there are other tenants who can pay but who are going to withhold rent out of solidarity which I have no problems with if they want to do that but ultimately this has to be a government solution.

CO-CHAIR COHEN: Briefly, Caryn Schreiber from

Legal Aid, in your testimony also, you said that you
had some suggestions on possibly improving the bill.

I don't know if you submitted written testimony in
which those suggestions were made otherwise, I'm
interested in them.

Can we unmute Legal Aid? You are unmuted.

2.2

2.3

second round of questions Council Members questions
will be limited to two minutes. A Sergeant at Arms
will keep a timer and let you know when your time is
up. Our first question will come from Council Member
Yeger followed by Council Member Cabrera.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: And Council Member Yeger, your clock will start when you begin your testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'm not testifying, I'm a member of the Council. How are you, thank you.

First of all, I'd like to echo Mr. Speaker, I agree with a portion of his opening statement that we do need rent cancellations, we do need reductions. I also believe as Mr. McKee said, and Mike McKee has been a leader in tenant advocacy, so this is an important point from him that that has to go hand and hand with relief for landlords. So, particularly small landlords, I'm not worried about the very large landlords, I am worried about the small landlords. Excuse me, I have some background noise, but my question more importantly is to Ms. Schreiber and since you are the only lawyer on the panel, I wanted to ask you this question. With regard to your Introduction 1912, my understanding and you can correct me if I'm wrong is that the authority of a

2.2

2.3

Sheriff or a Marshal to execute a judgement comes from state law. And state law particularly the CTLR with respect to execution is what a Sheriff perceives it contained the word shall.

Do you believe that the City Council has the authority to supersede state law and to replace the word shall when a Sheriff receives a lawfully received an executed and commit execution or money judgement execution or a warrant.

CARYN SCHREIBER: So, thank you very much for that question Council Member Yeger. We believe, well with regard to City Marshals specifically, that the Mayor has the authority to direct City Marshals to stop enforcement of judgements and that the City Council has the power to legislate here as a result.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, and that the City

Council, not withstanding the fact that if a judge or

clerk of the court or an officer of the court signed

an execution that says at the top, the people of the

State of New York to any Sheriff or Marshal and gives

it to a Sheriff, the Sheriff can put it aside because

we passed a law that says so?

CARYN SCHREIBER: I think that the Sheriff would not be placing the judgement aside indefinitely. It

48

could be exe— it will be executed let's say, not could. It will be executed when the moratorium is lifted and it would not be flying in the face of state law to say that that judgement is going to be paused for lack of a better word for now. Until such time has passed that it makes sense for the community

at large for judgements to resume being enforced.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I appreciate that very much. Well, the Sheriff is going to come on and testify in a little while and I'm interested in hearing his opinion on this topic. But I appreciate all of the advocates who came today and the important work that you do. Of the lawyers who are on the front line and Mike McKee who is a legend in tenants rights and tenants offenses and I'm a tenant too and you know, I can pay my rent, so I'm going to and I will. But there are a lot of people who are suffering right now and can't. And I'm going to use the remaining clock that the Sergeant has at 40 seconds to say that I do believe there are things that the City Council can do to relieve the burden on the owners in addition to the tenants because it can't just be that tenants stop paying rent simply

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

because we say so and not withstanding that they are not able to afford it.

Tenants who don't pay rent and can't afford it will never be able to make that up and that's going to leave a hole. And we have to do it on both ends, we have to help the tenants and freeze their rent and we also have to help the landlords and we can do it on taxation.

We can do something to relieve the tax burden by stopping the interest payments on late payments.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay Serg. We can stop
the interest on late payments and allow the owners to
have a little bit of float so that they don't have
the proverbial gun to their head on making payments
at the same time that they are not receiving an
income.

So, that's why your advocacy on this Mike is so important because you are recognizing that it does come from both ends. We can't just stop it on one end, we do also have to help those people who have those 20 unit buildings before they get the other 8 20-unit buildings.

2.2

2.3

a big segment that is in a tremendous need. Doesn't

2.2

2.3

it make more sense to have the state and the city come up with a fund to pay for those rent, just like Delaware. This is not unprecedented. The State of Delaware pay for the rent for all the renters?

My second question because I'm going to run out of time is that if the nonprofits organizations are excluded, wouldn't it make also sense to include those landlords, they have the same business plan, they have the same agreements with HPD when it comes to, you know, many of them they have Title 11. There were agreements in place to be able to have low rent. And also, the last thing is Assemblywoman Inez Dickens; I was in a meeting the other day and she was very much afraid of landlords or minority owned landlords that could essentially be wiped out if they are not able to collect rent and we could see pretty much the end of a generation of minorities who own property.

So, here trying to find that balance, which I know is very difficult. So, I'll turn it over to the panel for some wisdom.

BARIKA WILLIAMS: So, I think — this is Barika.

I think I can pick up on the minority landlords and CDC's. I think we see those as being interrelated.

3

4

5

6

7

8

J

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We do have some large landlords who are minority owned but by and large that's rarer in the city.

I was able to pull those numbers and just so folks know, I think it's about 13 percent of landlords own one building or less in New York City as compared to 27 percent that own 61 buildings or more. So, that's sort of the spread that we're talking about. There is relatively few buildings that are owned by small landlords. But in both cases when it comes to CDC's, when it comes to some of our community controlled, like CLT'S or our limited equity co-ops and then also our MWBE developers. They face slightly different challenges. Our affordable housing have so many regulations that are put on them by HPD but also at the federal level that dictate their contracts, what they can collect in rents but also what they can charge, how much they can have in reserves.

And so, they don't have the ability to sort of pull in from other buildings and other locations.

Likewise, though there are concerns similarly for our minority developers and landlords sometimes because we do know that they are underbanked and often underserved.

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

2.2

2.3

BARIKA WILLIAMS: On loans and they get higher rates.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thanks Council Member. The next person is going to be Council Member Powers followed by Council Member Koo, but first I want to remind everyone to identify to whom your questions are directed, so they can be unmuted to. Council Member Powers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: And your clock will start now.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Great, thank you. Happy birthday Mr. Speaker. I hope you are enjoying it and thanks to everybody for your testimony and everybody viewing at home. I hope everybody is safe and healthy.

This is directed to any members of the panel, I guess I can start with Mike McKee, but other folks are obviously helpful to join in. I wanted to echo some of the comments that were made earlier. I do think that it's important that we, at the state and local level, you know, ensure that folks are not being evicted at this point and time based on loss of income or inability to move out of an apartment.

There is just so many reasons why we need to make sure that people have some housing stability but particularly right now.

I am concerned that we also should be providing people with the ability and a better ability to pay their rent in the meantime which is good for all stakeholders that we're talking about here. And you know, we put out some proposals and suggest some things like flexibility in terms of using the security deposit to be able to pay the next months rent. Obviously, that only covers one month but you know to give you a bridge in the time period that we're talking about.

My landlord has offered rent deferral programs to be able to pay part of your rent or just to be able to push a months rent back and pay later, which I also have some concerns. But enhance rent programs, things around SCRIE to enhance the SCRIE program for people in need.

2.2

For me, it's really important that we also make sure people can find ways and creative ways to make sure that people have the ability to pay rent and I wanted to hear ideas or thoughts on in addition to just an eviction moratorium, other ways that the city

most of which were repealed last year, thank God.

2.2

2.3

case.

There was a huge hit on affordability to housing, not just rent regulated housing but housing in general in the downstate region. And we are still living with the effects of that hit on affordability. Rents are much less affordable now than they were 25 years ago. 25 years ago, no one thought that \$2,000 a month would be a normal rent in Brooklyn or Queens. In fact, Council Member after Council Member that we were trying to convince to vote against Peter Vallone Decontrol bill from Brooklyn, Queens, and the Bronx all said, "I don't have any apartments in my district renting for \$2,000 a month." And we said, just pass this bill and wait ten years and you will and who was right? Were we right or were they right? I rest my

But there are a whole bunch of things that could be done. We won a lot of things last year but we did not get everything we need. There was no rent rollback.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MICHAEL MCKEE: The apartments that were deregulated were not reregulated and we did not get good cause eviction passed for small buildings.

25 | Those are things that need to be done.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Mike and amen and I certainly don't have any apartments at [INAUDIBLE 1:04:26] I think at this point in my district, but I'm just wondering if there are things right now you think that or Legal Aid or ANHD or others that we should be doing in addition to extending an eviction moratorium, just as a flexibility.

So, I see others raising their hand, I think Barika had her hand up to, so.

BARIKA WILLIAM: No, Council Member Powers and we're happy to have those conversations and work with you on some of those ideas. There's been conversations around things like waiving security deposits and allowing tenants to use them around accessing the reserves. Around letting tenants amortize their rent over the following year to break a current lease early without a penalty. But some of what Mike is talking about but I think sort of our larger point and push and this is why it's important for Council Member Cabrera's earlier question. It's important for everybody to understand the scale and volume.

So, if we were talking, there's almost 3.5 million rental units in just the city, assuming that

insurance. Everyone has money coming from the state

2.2

2.3

So, just a rough estimate, an average worker, even though they are not working, they collect unemployment, they will get like \$3,000 a month.

So, I mean, some of them might have other circumstances but I think many of them are able to pay their rent. If they are not able to pay the rent, where did the money go? If you have \$3,000 a month, per month from the government and you buy food and you pay bills, and unemployment wages, everyone don't pay rent. All the landlords are going to go bankrupt.

So, how do we help all these landlords, especially the small ones? Many landlords have their own obligations. They have to pay mortgage, utilities and property tax and don't forget property tax is one third of the city revenues. If the landlords don't pay tax, the city will go bankrupt immediately.

So, we all have to understand there are good intentions but then there are unintended consequences. We don't want those things to happen to adults. They are paying property tax to the city

and if they don't pay tax the city will get broke in a minute. So, can any one of you answer these questions?

MICHAEL MCKEE: I'll take a stab at it Council

Member. First of all, just let me point out that

most people who have filed for unemployment have yet

to receive any benefits at all. In fact, there was a

study that was released yesterday Pew Search outfit

that found that 29 percent of Americans; this was a

national survey who filed unemployment claims in

March have actually received unemployment benefits

and that means 71 percent have not. Plus \$1,200 a

month that the federal government has graciously

granted to individuals, I mean, that's a joke.

So, I don't really have any response to your larger questions about what are we going to do to keep the housing market from collapsing but obviously, this has to be a government solution and it's going to involve money.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I thought if they were approved, they can get unemployment retroactively.

MICHAEL MCKEE: Well, look, yeah but they don't have it now.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Well, they are soon to have a bunch of money coming.

MICHAEL MCKEE: I have a friend who have been trying to apply for unemployment for three weeks and she can't get through.

BARIKA WILLIAMS: Mike, the other thing that I would add into this is that it's very clear that there are huge parts of New York City's population who are ineligible to even quality for unemployment, because of their documentation status, because they operated in a cash economy, because they were out of employment too long prior to this.

So, there's also a huge set of people who have been shut out, which is heavily our immigrant population and our Black and Brown populations which is the same set of populations that, and especially for our Asian population who have suffered from this crisis almost a full month before almost every body else in the city, right.

So, I think there are some key things that we know and we've heard are huge gaps in even that one time stimulus check or the \$600 bump consistently.

2.2

CARYN SCHREIBER: Mike, do you want to go ahead? MICHAEL MCKEE: Caryn, I think maybe that's a better question for you.

CARYN SCHREIBER: Sure, thank you for that question Council Member Torres. So, in terms of what we would recommend, it's just a suggestion that the

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

bill could include an additional definition of a person impacted by COVID-19.

2.2

2.3

We proposed including a catch all provision for a person who may be impacted for the purposes of the legislation but doesn't fall into one of the categories listed.

In terms of what we are seeing on the ground, we are hearing about harassment based on a perception of lost income or following a renters attempts to discuss with the landlord that they have lost income and would like to set up some sort of payment plan and in a particularly insidious turn because of the eviction moratorium that's currently in place, we believe that this harassment is going on to get tenants to in some way voluntarily involuntarily move.

A way of a work around, around the current moratorium and we expect that this behavior will only escalate and get worse as the months go by and folks continue to be unable to pay some or all of the rent.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And I'll just make one general comment here, where as a city we're facing a humanitarian crisis, a nightmare that poses a systemic risk to working families, to small property

2.

owners, to even the city which disproportionately depends on property taxes.

And as has been pointed out, you know, there is risk in freezing evictions without cancelling rent.

And there is risk in cancelling rent without subsidizing it and as far as I can tell, there is no means of subsidizing without federal intervention and I'm pessimistic about the prospects for federal

support.

So, in the absence of federal support, what's the exit strategy from this nightmare? For me, there's a real quandary about how to move forward.

MICHAEL MCKEE: I think your question is well taken and I don't think any of us has an answer. I mean, state and federal government have got to step up and it's going to involve money and you know, as Barika pointed out, we have thousands and thousands of people who don't qualify under any of these programs and they are going to be left out on the cold which is why we are calling for universal rent forgiveness or cancellation, not means tested.

Because if you have a means test, his or hers and

Because if you have a means test, his or hers and undocumented tenants, they are going to be excluded

and that's not right.

a little data to the Council and I think after this

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LICENSING dialogue about how many New Yorkers and especially what percent of tenants roughly will not be eligible for unemployment insurance and federal stimulus, would be really helpful here. Because it is true that part of the idea of unemployment insurance is to enable people to have the resources that they need to pay their bill. So, it's taking too long, a lot of people won't get what they need but as people start to get those and it is income replacement, you know that for people in something of a position to cover their expenses but as you point out, there's a very high percentage of New Yorkers who will not be receiving any relief because of the callus xenophobic. We just shouldn't let that sit as though that's like reasonable. What we have are a set of xenophobes running the senate and the White House and as a result, a whole set of hard working New York families who just as much as everyone of us on this call need a place to live and food to eat are going to have no relief.

So, I think it would be helpful if you could just help us document what percent of New York tenants are being left out in the cold because that is a piece of why New York City and New York State have an extra

2 responsibility to step up and do something here. So,

3 | is that something that you could help with?

2.2

2.3

BARIKA WILLIAMS: Yeah, absolutely.

Want to just ask a little, I understand you know,
Michael you're right that we need federal
intervention here but I do think it's worth like
going a little further on how we think about that
because if what we're saying is, some version of
those of us that are lucky enough to still you know,
have our income and you know, pay rent and pay
mortgage but there's a whole lot of people who can
you know, pay rent and pay mortgage but there's a
whole lot of people who can't and how that's going to
work its way through the system.

It's not that hard to imagine that the federal reserve or a set of banking institutions in partnership with the federal government could actually imagine some reasonable guidelines. So, that you know, where tenants can't pay and therefore were multifamily building owners with tenants who can't pay their mortgage, they can expect to relate to their lending institutions in a way that says, yes, we're like all in a shared crisis. Here is some

2 set of provisions for how to deal with it in ways

3 that don't put people on the streets and we haven't

4 heard anything about that at the national level. I

5 know that's not your job or the job of today's

6 hearing but I wonder, Barika, this is something that

7 you, you know, the housing market and its

8 | interconnectedness pretty well. Like can you just do

9 a little more thinking with us assuming we start the

10 | ball rolling with this legislation and we push it up

11 | to the state with the rent and mortgage moratorium

12 legislation.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

What do we expect at the level above that to kind of hold our system together for the next year, not put people on the street but then still leave us the housing economy as we move forward beyond that?

BARIKA WILLIAMS: So, I mean, I think what this bill and this legislation seem like they are doing is creating sort of a bubble around the New York City housing stock, so that when we get to what in vernacular, we're all calling the 91<sup>st</sup> day. Which could be at 91 or could be at 120 or right whatever day that that is.

So, when we get to that  $91^{\rm st}$  day, how are we not in a place where to Caryn's point, we don't have you

LICENSING 69 know, thousands of people run in and file evictions,

3 right. That creates an enormous strain right there.

So, we want to prevent that but what we also need to

5 ensure is that we're not delaying that a year out, so

6 that we're looking at having that same exact

7 | circumstance, just maybe trickled out over a longer

8 period of time or delayed a longer period of time.

9 And I think that's where it goes to okay then, what

10  $\parallel$  is the state going to be stepping -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

BARIKA WILLIAMS: In to do in the interim and

then what is the federal government going to be

15 the same for everybody or they might not be the same

stepping in to do and those solutions might not be

depending on what point and time you're in. Whether

17 | it's you know, one year out or it's six months out or

18 | two years out.

19 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you very much and

20 I'm pleased to sign onto both pieces of the

21 | legislation being heard today with thanks to their

22 sponsors.

23 AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Okay, next we'll have Council

24 Member Louis followed by Council Member Chin.

1

2

4

11

SERGEANT AT ARM: Council Member Louis, your time will start now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Good afternoon everyone.

I want to wish Speaker Johnson a very happy birthday and thank you Chair's Cornegy and Cohen for hosting this hearing. I'm getting a lot of emails from different small businesses in regards to this bill, so I am assuming this question could go to Caryn or anyone on the first panel. But the question is, for big businesses like Kings Plaza, City Point, those locations are in Brooklyn. If they were to commence an action for eviction before the New York enforced policy, are City Marshals still prohibited from evicting? And if so, how does 1912 help or hurt small businesses? Thank you.

CARYN SCHREIBER: Thank you Council Member. Just so I can make sure I understand your question. Will City Marshals be able to evict currently or if, or while the state moratorium is in effect or if the 1912 moratorium was in effect or all three?

Oh, Council Member, I think you are muted.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Can you hear me now?

CARYN SCHREIBER: Yes, I can thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

during the New York on pause, were they able to evict after. Will they still be able to evict if they already had come into action before hand and how does 1912 help or hurt the small businesses in the bigger conglomerate? I don't know if you are familiar with Brooklyn or Kings Plaza more or City Point, if there was a smaller business within and they were about to get evicted, before New York on pause, how does this bill help or hurt them? Thank you.

CARYN SCHREIBER: So, it's my understanding that after the moratorium ends, any notices of eviction which are legally required before a Marshal can execute a warrant of eviction, must be reserved.

So, if an eviction was scheduled for let's say

March 20 or maybe like March 21<sup>st</sup>, and that eviction

did not happen, the warrant wasn't executed. The

notice of eviction will need to be reserved again

either when you know, when they are able to, when

this current moratorium ends and/or when the Marshals

begin serving notices of evictions again in order to

execute them.

And this I mean, having notice served again is extremely important whether for residential tenants

to our Speaker. What I wanted to raise is that in my

1

district particularly, I do have a lot of small

3

4

6

7

from. And they are working with their tenant and

8

trying to work with them. They are not evicting them

because they can't pay rent but what they are asking

10

the city for is, you know, help them out with the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

property owner that are legacy owners. That are owned by you know, families for generation. They all wanted to build things or family association based on last name or the part of China that the immigrated property tax issue.

What about you know, deferring a portion of the property tax or delaying payment, so that they can also meet their needs? And that's something that they are asking the city. At the same time, you know, the water charge are going up. You know, lot of people are home, they use more water and water bills are coming due.

So, they are really asking for deferral of these payments you know, hopefully after the crisis is over. So, that's something that we have to look at what we can do as a city for some of these good property owners who are supporting their tenant.

At the same time, we still have some really bad property owners who are using these times to raise

2.2

2.3

2 rent. They are issuing new leases to residents and

3 they are asking for a huge rent increase or that they

4 are trying to evict them and not allow them to have

5 secession rights, that they have to fight for it. I

mean those are the cases that we're still getting and

also some landlords not providing heat and hot water.

So, I think what the bill in terms of the harassment, I wanted to ask Caryn from the Legal Aid Society, in terms of and maybe Mike. Is there other tenant harassment issues? Are they also coming into your office and to the hotline and how are we helping these tenants because right now, the courts are closed. But they need to prepare to fight the

harassment or fight the evictions. Thank you.

CARYN SCHREIBER: I can start Mike if that's okay with you. So, in terms of certainly we are hearing from tenants about landlords not maintaining essential services like hot water or heat during the night or even during the day when it's still cold.

And the housing courts remain open for those types of emergency housing part proceedings related to repairs. The housing courts are also open for illegal evictions or lockout cases because any eviction right now is an illegal eviction and

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 7.5 LICENSING unfortunately, those types of cases are still being 2 3 filed. People are being unlawfully locked out of 4 their homes and certainly, we are also hearing about tenants who are being made to feel that they need to leave their homes because of the impact that COVID-19 6 7 has had on them, either as people who are potentially exposed or are sick with COVID-19 or those who have 8 lost income as a result of the pandemic and the shutdown. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you. AUSTEN BRANDFORD: We'll now turn to Council 12 13 Member Grodenchik who will be followed by Council 14 Member Rosenthal. 15 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Council Member Grodenchik, 16 your time is starting now. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you very much. 18 Thank you Chairs, happy birthday Speaker. Ms. 19 Williams, do we have an estimate or Mr. McKee or 20 anybody, do we have an estimate on how many people 21 you feel are going to be in danger of not being able 2.2 to pay their rent on a long term basis in the City of

New York? How many units? Are we talking 10,000

really a big part of this issue here. You know if

units, are we talking 100,000? Because that is

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 76 LICENSING it's 100,000, it's a big, big, big issue. If it's 2 3 10,000, much more manageable. 4 I think Ms. Williams is talking but -BARIKA WILLIAMS: There we go. I think the best 5 way that we've had to look at the numbers, so some 6 7 people are looking at the numbers based on unemployment. The other side and the other way that 8 we've looked at these numbers is based on the loss of 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I don't have much 12 time; do you have an estimate? 13 BARIKA WILLIAMS: We think currently in the first month and a half, it's about 30 percent loss of rent 14 15 role for many of our members. COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And how many people 16 17 would that be with 30 percent of what? 18 BARIKA WILLIAMS: If we were looking across the 19 entire city's rental population, that would be one 20 million. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: How many units are 2.2 there of rental housing in this city? 2.3 BARIKA WILLIAMS: About 3.5 million. COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay, so that is 24 25 almost -

1

MICHAEL MCKEE: Roughly 2 million rental units.

3

BARIKA WILLIAMS: Oh, sorry, yeah, 2 million

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rental, 1.5 homeowner. COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay, well, I do

think you know, I was involved in the early 90's because I'm old in the co-op and condo crisis when you were able to take a building that had 15 percent you know join and then you know, people were in danger of losing everything. We were able to put together in the Queens Borough Presidents Office under the great leadership of Claire Shulman, we were able to save the units of 20,000 homeowners in Queens and it also helped of course the renters as well because they weren't dispossessed either. And I really think Brad Lander hit at it, but I think that we are going to need a holistic solution where the banks who made these loans are willing to step forward and everybody, I think is going to have to eat something here.

I'm not sure that a blanket noneviction procedure will be the answer but I think we definitely need to have an answer to this and I want to thank the Chairs for leading this discussion today on these important pieces of legislation. But I really think that we

also try to get to some numbers and think about for

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, we've got a set of population primarily focused in our Asian communities that were impacted,

79 LICENSING the purpose of helping the city bring this to the attention of the federal government because I really do think at the end of the day, the city is not going to be able to bail out you know, renters or small property owners. And of course, we all want to prevent some sort of venture capitalist from coming in and swooping everything up and making it ten times worse.

And I'm listening to each of you go back and forth on the numbers and just having a hard time trying to fine tune it. You know, if you say we have 2 million renters, how many people in New York City are on unemployment, a half a million now? Not quite sure. And then add on that, everyone who can't even get unemployment insurance. Can we start to quantify the magnitude of it that way?

BARIKA WILLIAMS: So, I would say, I think one of the, I'm hearing a lot about the numbers. I think one of the challenges here is that these are moving numbers. We're pulling things from different resources. They are not disaggregated and we can't line them up.

2.2

had a rent loss, really largely before any of this tracking started. So, put them on a months impact ahead of everybody else. Then we start from tracking, if we use unemployment, we're skipping some of the other populations highlighted before, right. If we're looking strictly at the loss of rent roles, that doesn't necessarily speak to people who have lost well, sorry, unemployment also doesn't speak to the fact that people might be taking unemployment but still trying to pay their rent or still paying a

portion of their rent, right.

So, that doesn't necessarily fully line up with the ability to pay or not pay your rent although we expect and fully anticipate that that's a core part of the population that isn't able to pay. And then, we've got another set of the population that isn't tied to unemployment at all, it's sort of separate from this. Maybe is still employed, has had huge reductions in their incomes, they are working part time and they are also struggling to pay rent. They might be paying half, they might be paying a quarter, they might be paying zero. And so, all of those numbers, right and then each two weeks, we probably scale that number up proportionally because there is

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And I would add to that, how do we expect people to ever be able to make up the rent? It's not going to happen.

BARIKA WILLIAMS: Right.

2.2

2.3

MICHAEL MCKEE: A lot of these jobs, they are gone. They are not coming back plus there are people leaving the city because of lost of income, loss of employment and how you calculate this, I mean, good luck with it but as Barika points out, it's a moving target. It's going to be big; it's going to big; it's going to big; it's going to be a lot.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I agree with you on that. I just think you know we got low — SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, thank you for keeping me on the clock, but thank you to the panelists for all your hard work, everything you are doing to keep our tenants safe. There is no question the federal government is going to have to come in and there is no question but that banks are going to have to step up. That's who was bailed out last time

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. Just circling back to Speaker Johnson for any final questions and moving

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Austen. I just want to quickly go back and ask, is the new economy project on?

MICHAEL MCKEE: Yes.

on to Administration testimony.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay, I wanted to ask you guys, you guys have been fighting for a broad set of emergency measures to protect New Yorkers health, safety and financial security including advocating for a moratorium on debt collections in New York State. Can you talk about the short and long term harmful impacts of money judgements and other debt collection measures on New York City's low income communities and communities of color?

ANDY MORRISON: Absolutely, so, already debt collection, predatory debt collection is a scourge in low income communities and communities of color and what we've seen over the years particularly through our financial justice hotline is debt collectors

2.2

2.3

using and abusing our courts to get judgements
against New Yorkers violating their due process using
all kinds of shady tactics to get judgment and then
enforce those judgements against New Yorkers to
siphon massive amounts of wealth from communities.

So, it's an issue that exacerbates and perpetuates racial and economic inequality in our city and again, to underscore, these are the same communities that have been particularly harmed by COVID-19 and are subject to all kinds of racial and economic inequities.

And so, in the short term, the moratorium is needed as our other immediate remedies to some of the issues that we've seen New Yorkers facing. In the long term, what we're hearing from a lot of our community partners and organizations that we've worked with is that, while we need to really stretch the bounds of the city's authority and our creativity to address the immediate issues people are facing and while we need to pressure the state and the federal government to do more, we also need to be thinking about building alternative institutions. And New York City is fortunate in one way that it has laid a lot of critical groundwork for worker cooperatives,

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 84 LICENSING community land trusts. There's an exciting movement 2 3 for a public bank, which would over the long term 4 address a lot of the issues that have been surfacing 5 throughout this hearing from the banks not serving communities equitably, to landlords harassing and 6 exploiting their tenants and the list goes on and on. 7 And so, there's a lot of excitement and 8 9 enthusiasm for building new institutions and economic models that would create and build resiliency and 10 11 build institutions that are rooted economic and 12 racial justice and equity. And so, we really 13 strongly encourage the Council to be thinking about that as we move into this economic recovery phase. 14 15 To be thinking about the types of initiatives the city can adopt to really recreate an economy that 16 17 works for everybody. 18 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Andy and do we have someone from the Community Service Society CSS on? 19 20 No, no one from CSS or do they need to be unmuted? 21 BARIKA WILLIAMS: I think Sergeant it's Oksana. 2.2 MICHAEL MCKEE: Oksana is here, online. 2.3 BARIKA WILLIAMS: Yeah, she's here. SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay, hold on a second. 24

25

Oksana.

OKSANA MIRONOVA: Thank you. Thanks, Barika.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Hi Oksana, so, first before I ask my question, I just want to really extend my condolences to you and to the entire CCS family in New York City lost a legend and you lost a colleague in Tom Waters who such a giant in the housing community and fighting against poverty in New York City for decades and we know that COVID-19 took his life and we're sending our condolences to you all at CSS and the Broader Tenant Movement is mourning Tom's loss as well. We really appreciate CSS's continued dedication to fighting for the most vulnerable during this time where your mourning Tom's loss, so thank you for being here.

You all done a lot of work to show that many New Yorkers were in crisis even before COVID. That 30 percent of New Yorkers were falling behind on rent before the virus hit. I wanted to ask, what percent of New Yorkers do you think are falling behind rent right now as a result of this crisis and what are the risks that those tenants are going to face and what risk does the city face if we don't step up to protect them now?

2.2

2.3

a

2.3

OKSANA MIRONOVA: Absolutely. Thank you and thank you so much and thank you for listening to our testimony and yeah, I really appreciate the statement about Tom, it's difficult to believe that he's gone.

So, we know that from the data that was available from the CUNY public health research; they've been doing a survey every single week about the number of people who are effected by COVID-19. And from our own research about the number of people who have less than \$1,000 in savings which is 70 percent of low income people have less than \$1,000 in savings.

At the end of March, there was probably about 126,000 people who were I don't want to say were likely to fall behind on rent but who lost most of their income and also didn't have enough money in the bank to make a months' worth of rent.

So, that's 126,000 renters, specifically in the private market, so that's excluding everyone who gets Section 8. Excluding everyone who lives in public housing because we're making the assumption with the Cares Act that their rent is going to be covered at least for the next couple of months.

There is no guarantees beyond that but for the foreseeable future. So, that's 126,000 people in

2.2

late March. We don't have figures for April, we don't know what's going to happen in May but the harsher social distancing rules went into effect I think on March 16<sup>th</sup>. So, and lots of people lost jobs after that, so that number is much larger by now.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. Thanks, Oxsana. I just want to, yeah Barika, go ahead you are unmuted.

BARIKA WILLIAMS: I just, since we've gotten a lot of questions about specific data, I think Oxsana can probably chime in on this and tell me if she disagrees, but I think one thing you all could do and especially you Speaker, could maybe help us with one thing we know would aid a lot of our analysis is being able to get the unemployment data disaggregated at something other than the citywide or county level.

So, when we just get that big number, it's really hard to do anything with. That translates into very different rents, very different numbers of people in different places. One thing that we've been trying to figure out with New York State DOL, I'm not sure where they are but if we could get that number at some sort of smaller geography and with more frequency, I think that would be hugely helpful.

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. I appreciate you all testifying today under these trying circumstances. I want to thank all the Council Members who I have been listening to all their questions, very thoughtful questions, I appreciate it. And I just want to say you know, the Council is doing the best that we can. We are trying here to give some level of protection to renters, to tenants, to New Yorkers who we know are vulnerable and suffering but again, I think it's just important for the public to understand and realize that with one of the worst economic situations the city has ever been in, with a \$2.5 billion budget shortfall in the current fiscal year that we're in, not even talking about next fiscal year which begins on July 1st. We estimate that that number is upwards of another \$5 billion, so we're somewhere near \$8 billion in the hole and that number could grow. The city doesn't have the resources and money to be doing many of the things that we all know is the right thing to do, which is why we need federal help and federal intervention to help us here.

There was a bill I know that was put forward by some Assembly Members and Senators calling for a \$10

2 billion program from the federal government to

3 actually compensate landlords for tenants who can't

4 pay their rent. So, landlords could still pay their

5 property taxes and real estate taxes which then

6 | wouldn't undermine the city's budget.

We know there is another bill that would cancel rent but by Senator Gianaris but we want to do anything we can but ultimately when you've seen these really outrageous statements by the Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell, saying that states and local governments should just declare bankruptcy, the human impact, the toll that that would have on New York City is beyond comprehension. And so, his comments softened just a little bit yesterday but we know how he really feels. We really need in this next stimulus bill a significant amount of money for New York City to protect the social safety net.

Our north star in this process is to protect
people that are vulnerable. To protect New Yorkers
that were struggling and vulnerable before this
crisis hit to protect children and seniors and
tenants in low income communities and communities of
color and immigrant communities, people that we knew

2.2

2.3

were struggling before COVID-19 slammed into our
city.

So, throughout this budget process and through our advocacy to both the state and our federal representatives, we are going to continue to push for money and programs that will help New Yorkers that need it most right now.

I really appreciate all of you and your organizations joining us today for this important call. It's a nice way to spend my 38<sup>th</sup> birthday on this Zoom conference and I just wanted to thank you all for being here today and I'm happy to either turn it back to Chair Cohen or Chair Cornegy or to the Committee Counsel so that we can hear from our next witness.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: I can now call on members of the Administration to testify.

So, today we will be hearing testimony from Dana
Sussman of the Commission on Human Rights and Sheriff
Joseph Fucito of the Department of Finance. AnnMarie
Santiago Department Housing Preservation and
Development will also be available for questions.

Yorkers during the COVID-19 pandemic. We know that

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many of us are now struggling and may be mourning a

loss of friends and family and co-workers and I want

to take a moment to acknowledge that during this

5 incredibly difficult time.

As you likely know, the Commission is the local law enforcement agency of Civil Rights Law Enforcement Agency that enforces the New York City Human Rights Law, one of the broadest and most protective anti-discrimination and anti-harassment laws in the country, now totaling 26 protected categories across nearly all aspects of city living: housing, employment and public accommodations, in addition to discriminatory harassment and bias-based profiling by law enforcement. By statute, the Commission has two main functions. First, the Commission's Law Enforcement Bureau enforces the City Human Rights Law by investigating complaints of discrimination from the public, initiating its own investigations on behalf of the City, and utilizing its in house testing program to help identify entities breaking the law.

Second, the Community Relations Bureau, which is comprised of Community Service Centers in each of the City's five boroughs, provides free workshops on

2 individuals right and businesses, employers and

3 housing providers obligations under the City Human

4 Rights Law and creates engaging programming on human

5 | rights and civil rights issues.

2.2

2.3

Before turning to Intro. 1936, I'd like to highlight some of the important work that the Commission is doing to address discrimination and harassment that we have seen emerging in the midst of the current public health crisis posed by COVID-19. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, we have seen a multitude of ways in which the City Human Rights Law intersects with the rapidly changing needs of New Yorkers in crisis. Starting in January, the Commission began to monitor and increase in anti-Asian discrimination and harassment, including scapegoating, fearmongering, and the spread of misinformation, as news about COVID-19 started to emerge.

In February, the Commission started to receive its first reports of New York City-based incidents of discrimination and harassment targeting Asian New Yorkers. At the same time, the Commission's East Asian Communities Liaison Flora Ferng and other members of the community outreach team were working

2 regularly with community leaders of Asian and Pacific

3 Islander or API communities throughout New York City

4 to provide information and resources about the

5 Commission's work.

2.2

2.3

From February through mid-April, the agency recorded 284 reports of harassment and discrimination related to COVID-19, over 40 percent of which or 115 identify incidents of anti-Asian harassment or discrimination. And I'd just like to note that we released numbers last week. The numbers I'm reporting out today are updated as of earlier this week, so the numbers are slightly higher.

By comparison, during this same time period in 2019, the Commission had received just five reports of anti-Asian discrimination. This influx in reports and cases resulted in the Commission's April announcement of the formation of a COVID-19 Response Team to handle reports of harassment and discrimination related to the outbreak. The Response Team is comprised of staff from the Law Enforcement Bureau and the Community Relations Bureau working in coordination to quickly and efficiently track and respond to the sharp increases in reports of harassment and discrimination connected to the

2.2

2.3

pandemic. The COVID-19 Response Team has now taken action in 176 of those reports, including, for example, conducting early or emergency intervention, providing know your rights information about how to request a reasonable accommodation, referring the individual to another service or agency, or commencing an investigation.

In addition, the Commission has opened active investigations into 26 matters spanning discrimination in housing, public accommodations, and employment on the basis of race, national origin, disability, and lawful source of income.

Additionally, the Response Team has now successfully resolved and closed ten matters of COVID-19 related harassment and discrimination so far.

The Commission's Community Relations Bureau or CRB has also held bystander intervention trainings with the Center for Anti-Violence Education, which provide techniques to safety de-escalate a bias incident in real time and just earlier this week or late last week, were recently piloted in Mandarin.

In early March, CRB co-sponsored community forums in Sunset Park Brooklyn and Manhattan's Chinatown educating Asian communities of their rights and

protections under the law. The Commission has held virtual town halls, in partnership with sister agencies, elected officials and community based organizations highlighting workplace rights related to COVID-19, reporting discrimination and harassment related to COVID-19 and responding to hate and bias with restorative justice measures among other topics.

The Commission continues to produce and promote content to provide key information to impacted communities on their rights in several language including many of those spoken by Asian New Yorkers facing heightened harassment and discrimination including Cantonese, Fujianese, Korean, Mandarin and Tagalog with additional language forthcoming. The Commission staff currently speak over 30 languages.

Shortly after the outbreak began, the Commission launched an online resource page outlining New yorker's rights and protections around COVID-19 related harassment and discrimination in housing, employment and public accommodations which is regularly updated. The page is available at nyc.gov/stopcovidhate. The commission also currently has a paid campaign running on social media platforms

2.2

2.3

2 directing people to our resources on their rights as 3 they relate to COVID-19.

Turning now to Intro. 1936, most cases of housing

4

discrimination against a person with suspected or 5 confirmed COVID-19, or against a person carrying for 6

7

someone with a suspected or confirmed case, are

8

protected under the City Human Rights Law's broad

protections based on actual or perceived disability

10

or a person's association with someone with a

11

12

13

14

15

disability.

In addition, essential workers who may face housing discrimination because they are at risk of exposure to COVID-19 are protected by the City Human Rights Law's protections based on lawful occupation.

16

17 directly received 228 COVID-19 related inquiries, 44

18

of which are in the housing context. The Commission

The Commission's Law Enforcement Bureau has

19

has provided tenants and co-op residents with

20

information regarding the City Human Rights Law and

21

in some cases, contacted management companies or co-

2.2

op boards to advise them of their responsibilities under the law. For example, where restrictions on

2.3

residents to reduce the spread of COVID-19 did not

24 25

allow for accommodations for residents with

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 98 disabilities. For example, in situations in which buildings are not permitting or facilitating

2.2

2.3

reasonable accommodation.

deliveries to the door of individuals with disabilities unable to exit their apartment due to their limitations or immunocompromise conditions, COVID-19 self-quarantine, or because they are unable to lift or otherwise carry packages due to a disability. The Commission's Law Enforcement Bureau is able to intervene and provide inquirers with information about their rights to request a

While Intro. 1936 does not amend the City Human
Rights Law, it does amend or seek to amend the
Housing Maintenance code's language on protected
categories with respect to tenant harassment which
was modeled after language in the City Human Rights
Law to protect against harassment based on a person's
protected status.

That 2017 addition of those protected categories with respect to tenant harassment and housing code, allows tenants to choose whether to file a discrimination claim with the Commission or can take a case to housing court. Because of the substantial overlap between existing protections in the City

2.2

2.3

Human Rights Law and the Housing Maintenance Code,
several of the protections contemplated in 1936
already exist to protect tenants against harassment
in housing.

As I said before, this is true for cases of both confirmed or suspected COVID-19, or when an individual has a relationship or association with someone with an actual or suspected case of COVID-19, including for example, family members who reside in the unit.

It is important to note that if a tenant chooses to prevent claim under this provision in housing court, they typically will be precluded from bringing the same claim at the Commission. Currently, remedies in housing court are usually limited to civil penalties ranging from \$1,000 to \$10,000 compared to remedies at the Commission, which include uncapped compensatory damages to the victim, civil penalties of up to \$250,000 and other affirmative relief. Because the remedies in housing court are more limited than at the Commission, it is vital that tenants understand the options available to them and are able to make an informed decision regarding the venue they choose. To the extent that New Yorkers

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

experience discrimination or harassment with respect

3 to any of the protected categories articulated in the

4 City Human Rights Law, we always encourage them to

contact the Commission.

The Commission recognizes that people who have COVID-19 are at risk of contracting the virus and/or are essential workers must be able to live safely and securely and should never, under any circumstance, have to contend with discrimination harassment. We are committed to working with the Council to ensure that he devastating impacts of this public health crisis are not unnecessarily compounded and that new Yorkers can live peacefully in their homes, free from harassment. We are acutely aware of the vulnerabilities of New Yorkers right now and the Commission is ever more committed to defending the human rights of all New Yorkers, especially those impacted by COVID-19.

Thank you for convening today's hearing and I look forward to your questions.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. A quick reminder that if you mute yourself, you cannot unmute yourself.

Sheriff Joseph Fucito?

JOSEPH FUCITO: Good afternoon members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs and Business Licensing and Committee on Housing and Buildings. I am Sheriff Joe Fucito and I am here today to discuss proposed Intro. 1912.

Before I do, I want to take the time to thank the City Council for its efforts to tackle the many hardships facing New Yorkers during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. We have all been touched by this crisis, my office included, with the loss of loved ones. Sheriff's Public Safety Officer Serge Paul passed away last week after a brave struggle against COVID-19. He was a dedicated law enforcement officer, husband, and father and I would be remiss if I did not publicly acknowledge his dedicated service and sacrifice.

The Sheriff's Office fully appreciates what we believe is the Council's intention to provide relief to New Yorkers from debilitating seizures of property and monetary assets. However, Intro. 1912, while well intended, raises significant legal concerns for my office. The work of our Office is integrally related to the work of the judicial system and governed by State Law.

2.2

2.3

May I take a moment to provide a quick explanation about judgement enforcement matters. A judgement is the final outcome of a court case. A judgment resolves the contested issues and terminates a lawsuit, since it is regarded as the court's official pronouncement of the law on the action that was pending before it. The judgment states who has won the case and what remedies are awarded to that prevailing party. Remedies may include monetary damages, injunctive relief,

or both.

Judgements are enforced by writs of execution, which can be addressed to the Sheriff or in New York City to the Marshal, commanding them to give the plaintiff possession of land; or to enforce the delivery of personal property which was the subject of an action, or to collect the amount of the judgment, depending on the relief sought in the underlying case.

As I've described, judgment and execution enforcement are mandates that come from the court. They are under the governance of the State court system and implemented through the State Civil Practice Law and Rules. Indeed, they represent the

established structure.

2.2

2.3

core function of the judiciary branch and the ultimate expression of its independent decision making authority. We believe that Intro. 1912 would require actions that interfere with this well

The bill assigns duties to the Sheriff that presupposes the Sheriff has control over the judgment and execution process. However, that is not the case. The Sheriff is an officer of the court and wholly guided by the exacting statutory steps set forth in the CPLR which preclude the Sheriff's personal judgment or outside review.

Courts have repeatedly held that a Sheriff cannot look behind the court mandates or review them for error and can be penalized for doing so. For these reasons, it is our view that the Sheriff could not comply with the directives of Intro. 1912 without violating the panoply of State laws that govern the Sheriff's role as the civil enforcement official of the courts.

In my role as Sheriff, I am not a heartless bill collector, but rather an officer of the court who has the duty to enforce the laws enacted for the protection of the lives, persons, property, and

2.2

2.3

today has conveyed my passion for following the law and having the Office of the Sheriff working productively and cooperatively for the betterment of all New Yorkers.

Thank you for your time and I will now take any questions.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. We will now open it up for questions from Speaker Johnson and the Chair's.

appreciate your patience. I know you guys waited for a while to testify. So, Sheriff, I want to thank you for your patience and I want to give you our condolences on the loss of someone in your office, I'm really sorry. As you said, this is touching all of our lives and we want to remember all of the folks that have been serving New York City, especially the city workers. So, thank you for acknowledging this wonderful person who served the City of New York.

I wanted to ask you Sheriff; do you have any policies that guide how you decide to prioritize your enforcement actions? Are you trying to avoid targeting low income New Yorkers right now?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOSEPH FUCITO: Part of our protocols during this COVID-19 emergency is we're looking at emergency type orders and orders that affect public safety.

So, right now, orders of protection are still being enforced, mental hygiene warrants are still being enforced. Things that involve public safety, we are enforcing.

Things that require the types of court process that require the seizure of money and property, we're looking at very carefully. There are certain protections in place that are only available when the court system is open and fully functioning. So, those are items that we take a careful look at because whenever we seize money or property, those remedies should be available to the person. They should be able to be able to go to court and look to have protection granted by the court.

So, right now we're not conducting any property seizures but that's simply because the court system is not fully open and those remedies are not available to them except for very limited circumstances. So, the types of orders we are carrying out you would be considering emergency type orders.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Sorry about that. Is it safe to say Sheriff and so, I assume it is because of the answer to the question you just gave. Is it safe to

5 say that you are enforcing judgments during this

6 crisis?

2.2

2.3

JOSEPH FUCITO: Yes.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And what if you go and the person says they have COVID-19?

JOSEPH FUCITO: Well, the idea of enforcement doesn't necessarily work that way. If we have to serve an order of protection on somebody and they have COVID-19, the Deputy Sheriff has to serve that person. So, our officers have to wear protective gear. We try to have as limited contact as possible the person within the confines of the order.

So, whatever the order tells us to do, we still have to carry out even though COVID-19 is going on but we can take obvious safety precautions for our officers.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you and if the state moratorium lapses, are you going to rely on the courts to determine whether someone is protected by the federal moratorium?

2.2

2.3

JOSEPH FUCITO: We have to. Unfortunately, in my role as Sheriff, while I have personal opinion and like said, my personal feeling is I think that these concepts are very good to explore. In my role as Sheriff, I have to be somewhat agnostic and stand offish, neutral. I can't really weigh in on these positions and I have to rely on the court to be the best guide for what the Sheriff is supposed to do.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Sheriff and thank you to the members of the Administration. I turn it back to the Committee Counsel.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you Speaker. Any questions from the Chair's?

CO-CHAIR COHEN: Austen, I'm going to defer. I'm going to let the Committee Members ask and then I'll come back.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Okay, great. I will now call on Council Members in the order they have used the Zoom raise hand function with a quick reminder that Council Members Torres, Yeger and Powers raised their hand previously to ask questions at this time.

Council Members, please keep your questions to four minutes including responses. If there's a second round of questioning, Council Member questions

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 LICENSING 108 2 will be limited to two minutes. The Sergeant at Arms 3 will keep a timer and let you know when to start and 4 when your time is up. We will begin with Council Member Torres followed by Council Member Yeger. 5 SERGEANT AT ARMS: And your clock will start now. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you. I have a 8 question for the Sheriff. Sheriff, imagine for a moment the Council were to go forward with Intro. 1912, so it's the law of the city and then a judge 10 11 were to issue an eviction warrant. In the event of a 12 conflict between the local law and a judicial order, how would the Sheriff's respond and I'm curious to 13 know how the Marshal's respond. I know DOI is not 14 15 present at the moment but what would you do in the 16 event of a conflict between a judicial order and the 17 local law? 18 JOSEPH FUCITO: The law is very clear on it. 19 That the Sheriff can't look behind a judgement. 20 fact, most of the case law in New York involving a 21 Sheriff questioning an order happened here in New 2.2 York City and it's a very well settled law that if 2.3 the Sheriff is ordered to do something, he can't look behind the reasoning of the court and he must carry 24

out the mandate according to its command. That was

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

the whole principle by having a Sheriff be the ministerial officer for the court and for the Sheriff carrying out those duties, the Sheriff is granted quasi-judicial immunity. Meaning that even if that action were to disobey a current law, a Sheriff would be immunized for carrying it out. That is the protection that is granted the Sheriff because he is carrying out the will of the court.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So, even if we were to enact the law, you would not enforce it over a judicial order, is that—

JOSEPH FUCITO: If the Sheriff fails to act, he can be held in contempt. There are two types of contempt in New York State. There is a criminal contempt which is punitive and there's a civil contempt which is coercive. The court could apply a criminal contempt to me which would jail me for 30-days and a civil contempt which could jail me indefinitely until I perform the act.

An example was recently you had a county clerk who refused to take marriage licenses. That's a ministerial act and if a Sheriff is required to carry out a ministerial act and he refuses; he faces

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

probably the most severe punishment available under the law for an officer.

So, I face both a personal liability, criminal and civil but if I carry out the order, I am fully immunized and I have the full backing of the court to do so.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So, since you're an agent of the state court, neither a federal and/or a local moratorium is viable without the buy in of the court, is that what you are saying?

JOSEPH FUCITO: Yes. Unfortunately, the court in the court room, the courts process really controls what the Sheriff does and since the Sheriff has no ability to look behind the courts order, then whatever the court tells the Sheriff to do, the Sheriff must do. The Sheriff leaves these issues for the litigation in court. That's why a lot of civil process has a notice requirement. The Sheriff give notice before he does something and that gives the person the opportunity to go to court to make these claims.

Many of these issues that you are bringing forward are claims that would be appropriately made in court not to the Sheriff.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for HPD but suppose in the absence of a moratorium, is there anything that the city could do, the Council could do to address egregious case of rent gouging in an attempt to exploit the COVID-19 crisis?

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: This might be a question

DANA SUSSMAN: So, I don't know that we are prepared to comment on that. I haven't heard that as a suggestion at this point Council Member but we can certainly take that back to see if there has been any discussion around that particular issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you. I see my time is about to expire, so thank you for affording me the opportunity.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. Now, we'll hear from Council Member Yeger followed by Council Member Powers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: And your clock will start now.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you very much. Sheriff, thank you for being here. First, I just want to echo Mr. Speaker's comments anytime somebody in our greater family of New York City employees is taken, it's a shock not just for the greater system but obviously to the employees who are close with that person and your office being the small office

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

that it is, I'm sure, I have no doubt that it's hitting you folks at the Department of Finance pretty hard and I want to express my condolences.

Sheriff, in your opening testimony and in Councilman Torre's questions, you really did allude to many of the items that I wanted to talk to you about today and some of which I discussed with the earlier panel. But I just, I want to get some more information a little bit, just so that we can have some clarity.

So, if I may, when did you start working at the Sheriff's office?

JOSEPH FUCITO: 1988.

at least for myself, as for a good number of my colleagues in the Council. I would think it's fair to say you probably have a greater understanding of your powers and authorities than I do. I just want to focus I think on some of the remedies. Since it's so clear to me that this law cannot be enforced. Introduction 1912. If it were to be enacted, you would not be legally allowed to follow it under any circumstances.

2.2

2.2

2.3

I don't doubt for one second that the Mayor would not fire you for not following an unlawful act of this Council. I know for sure that the Mayor values his oath. I know you value your oath. I value my oath as a member of the Council but I also value my oath as an officer of the court and a Sheriff is an officer of the court, an arm of the judicial system.

So, I want to focus on some of the remedies that can be done instead. My understanding obviously is that your powers come from the CPLR and from state law but clearly, if the Chief Judge of the State of New York were tomorrow to issue an order directing judges in the state that they may not issue execution, is it fair to say that you would not receive executions because judges would stop issuing them?

JOSEPH FUCITO: Yes, if the court directed us, we would do it.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Alright, so you know the obvious solutions to these problems don't come from the well intentioned efforts here in the Council, but the notion that there are places in this state that govern your activity and you do not have the ability to decide to refuse to execute on an execution that

you receive but more to the point that you stated earlier, there are remedies should you receive an

4

execution.

Number one, is there are notice provisions, correct?

67

JOSEPH FUCITO: Correct.

8

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, and number two is

9

when you actually seize property, you don't

10

immediately that day or the next morning turn it

11

over. You actually hold it for quite a while to

12

allow for within the confines of the Sheriff, so that

13

the debtor can actually collaterally attack the

14

execution and the underlying judgment if necessary,

15

is that correct?

JOSEPH FUCITO: Correct.

17

16

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Well, there are remedies

18

as we know. My concern of course is as I've said

19

Sheriff and you may not necessarily be aware of this

20

but I say this for the greater public, I have in  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ 

21

time in the Council been, I believe, very protective

22

2.3

of the authorities of the Council. I believe that the council has authorities as it is stated in our

24

Charter and under state law but it is limited and

25

when it is limited, we should not try to cross that

wondering if on the other side of this equation,

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 116

2 | would your ability to do money judgments, I'm just

3 looking at the bills. Would you ability to do money

4 judgements here affect a tenant who is suing the

5 | landlord to get their security deposit returned to

6 them and would you be able to take action in that

7 case?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

JOSEPH FUCITO: Well, supposing that there was a ban and as I said earlier, we don't think we would be able to carry through on it. The ban would work both ways. In fact, it would probably impact; the state has a tribunal community and housing renewal. They actually have a tribunal where they award triple damages to a tenant who has been rent overcharged.

So, the workings of the justice system on both sides would be impacted by this type of legislation.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Meaning that If there was a rent overcharge or I guess a security deposit issue, you would and you were meant to on behalf of the tenant help them out or restore their money or damages that you would — at least for this period of time be able to do that?

JOSEPH FUCITO: Correct, the Sheriff is everyone's Sheriff. We carry out the mandates of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

judgement enforced impartial.

court and everybody is entitled to have their

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Understood and you would be able to then do it after this period ended? You know, I understand there is a constitutional question here that you are raising but let's just say we were able to figure that issue out, you would be able to do it but it would be at the end of that period and time.

JOSEPH FUCITO: I don't want to speak to what the legislation would look like. I could only speak to if the appropriate remedies were available to stop the Sheriff, the Sheriff would be stopped and we'd follow the directions that we're authorize to follow.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and I applaud this because I don't always know the exact intersection of where you hand over to the Marshals but I know the Marshals have some jurisdiction over things like parking tickets and I think other tickets that are unpaid in the city.

JOSEPH FUCITO: A quick reference. The Sheriff is a law enforcement agency. We are like a small police agency that handles the enforcement of court The courts that we handle are all the courts orders.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 118 in New York City. So, all the courts in the city and

the state. The Sheriff is the enforcement officer.

The Marshal is the enforcement officer for the civil court of the City of New York.

They work in a similar fashion but I don't want to speak for them.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and I think I am confident that they will be you know, probably testifying later today. But just my question that is, maybe you can speak on this issue and then I'll, if the Marshals do testify, I'll ask this question.

For things like red light camera tickets, speeding tickets, I guess parking tickets too. Is that impacted by this legislation if we are looking to — I guess it takes some action related to things that many issues we talk about like speeding and red light cameras and things like that.

JOSEPH FUCITO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: How is that impacted?

JOSEPH FUCITO: Most of these public safety

judgment enforcement in the city relies on judgement.

So, like, building code violations, fire code

violation, speeding violations, all of those

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 LICENSING 119 ultimately culminate into a decision that's docketed 2 3 with the New York City Civil Court as a judgement. COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And that would be put on 4 5 pause for the time period. JOSEPH FUCITO: Yes, the legislation has that 6 7 view. COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, great, thank you. 8 9 Thanks for answering my questions, but my times up Thanks. 10 anyway. 11 AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. We'll now here from Council Member Cabrera followed by Council 12 Member Chin. 13 14 SERGEANT AT ARMS: And your clock will start now. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I'm going to use a very short amount of time because my questioned was 16 17 answered by the questions that were asked by my 18 colleagues. 19 Thank you so much, I'll pass. 20 AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Council Member Chin? SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your clock will start now. 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yes, thank you. Thank you 2.3 to the panel. I first wanted to really thank the Deputy Commissioner Sussman from Human Rights 24 Commission for working with our community, in 25

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

120

fighting xenophobia and hate crime and we got to do more to educate. I think the bystander training are great. My staff is all taking it and we want to urge more people to report the hate crime that is happening unfortunately.

My question is, two things, I don't if someone from Department of Finance is here. One of the things that I talked about earlier was you know, support for property owners, small property owners who are providing affordable housing, rent regulated housing and they need relief and I talked about property tax deferral but we know the city needs money and the only way we can get out of this mess is we get the federal dollars. But in our City Council response to the Mayor that I think there was precedent set I guess back in the economic crisis in the 70's that large property owner, commercial property owner that could afford to pay property tax up front, that we should encourage them to do that so that we can give a deferral program to the smaller property owner who are providing affordable housing in our district.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS
LICENSING 121

I don't know if anybody can answer that. If there is anybody from Department of Finance. If not, we'll bring it back to the Administration.

And the other question is to Deputy Commissioner Santiago in HPD. You know, during this time, as I said, we still have predatory landlords, bad landlords who are still doing tenant harassment. So, I'm just interested to see like, how many cases have HPD been working on? The Commissioners HIPAA rights gave us some really good statistics in terms of complaints and so, if you can address that issue, that would be great.

ANNMARIE SANTIAGO: So, as you know the Mayor has supported us greatly in tenant harassment initiatives and HPD had started and has an anti-harassment unit, which tenants can contact and we have continued to receive complaints during this period.

Most are around heat, hot water. We are still responding with inspections to those conditions and we're taking information from tenants to follow up once we're in a better state to do full building inspections because that is what mostly what the anti-harassment unit does.

2.2

2.3

So, we've received about 60 complaints during 3 this period and we're continuing to reach out to all 4 of those tenants who contact us to make sure that once we can continue with our anti-harassment

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

inspections, do inspections for violations, conditions in the buildings, we will be ready to continue that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Do you know if all those complaints came in through HPD or are some of them from referrals, from elected officials office or could maybe base -

ANNMARIE SANTIAGO: The vast majority have been through 311 who refers them to HPD.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Okay, alright. Thank you.

ANNMARIE SANTIAGO: Your welcome.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. We will now circle back to our Chair's and Speaker for any final questions for the Administration before moving to public testimony. Chair's?

CO-CHAIR COHEN: I appreciate that. I did want to say thank you to the Administration for your patience. I know the hearing has been long, so I want to say thank you for that.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

To HPD, I wonder if I really, maybe I should have asked this at the last panel but I'm of some thought that perhaps you know, eviction is a legal proceeding in the state of New York. Housing court is uniquely set up to deal with those and we've talked about you know, tenants and landlords and property owners all differently situated. Maybe housing court isn't really the best place to try to sort this out as the time, when the time comes, as opposed to looking, I mean it would be great to find some macro policy that just solved everyone's problem in one great piece of legislation but I wonder if HPD has any thoughts on you know, maybe the individualized approach at housing court might be the way to go, or if you have thoughts one way or the other.

ANNMARIE SANTIAGO: I think as some of the advocates said, housing court would be totally overwhelmed if and when we ever got to a position where all of these cases and all of these people, that they are talking about at risk, wound up in eviction proceedings or wound up in some other proceedings in housing court.

I think the Mayor you know, is continuing to look and has advocated for a lot of the initiatives that

may have been asked, but I'm just curious whether or

2.3

not there's a belief that there is enough resources to actually effectively and efficiently look at tenant harassment cases.

So, I don't know if someone asked. I don't know if there is a complaint being made by HPD about the staff size and the requirements now that have expanded their duties but I'm just curious as to whether or not there is an opinion that the necessary resources are already in existence or are there more resources needed to do this job? Especially the job of investigating these cases effectively.

ANNMARIE SANTIAGO: So, I think there are a couple of different issues on the table here Council Member. So, you know that the Mayor is in full support of anti-tenant harassment measures and I think between HPD, DOB, HRA, a number of agencies who deal with tenant harassment generally a lot of resources have been put forth.

If you are speaking specifically about the COVID related cases that we are talking about today, I don't want to speak on behalf of the commission who testified about their resources and what they've been doing. So, I would defer to them, specifically in that area.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Well, I won't hold up moving forward because again, I believe that my colleagues who I am very proud of, of being a member of this body, have probably asked questions that are relevant to the questions that I was going to ask.

So, thank you though for appearing today.

ANNMARIE SANTIAGO: Your welcome, thank you.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Great, with no further questions from Chair's, we'll be turning to public testimony. Thank you all for waiting.

I'd like to remind everyone that unlike our typical Council hearings, we'll be calling individuals one by one to testify. Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will give you the go ahead to begin.

Your testimony will be limited to two minutes and a Sergeant at Arms will let you know when to begin and when your time is up.

Please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to announce you can begin before delivering your testimony. I would now like to welcome Ava Farkas to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARM: Ava, your clock will start when you begin your testimony.

1

2

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

AVA FARKAS: Sorry, I just heard my name, can you give me one second.

Okay, thank you very much, thank you. I just wanted to thank Speaker Johnson and the Committee on Housings and Buildings and the Committee on Consumer Affairs and Business Licensing for having this hearing.

My name is Ava Farkas and I am here to testify in strong support of Intro.'s 1912 and Intro. 1936. Met Council's mission is to fight for housing as a human right. The fact that it is not a human right has exacerbated this current COVID-19 crisis and its health impacts.

92,000 New York State residents are homeless and cannot shelter in place and if we do not have a plan, post eviction moratorium then millions more will find themselves in the same predicament.

I want to thank members of the City Council for supporting one of the critical services that Met Council provides which is our tenant rights hotline, which is now more important than ever for New Yorkers.

Our hotline calls are confirming what everyone knows that tenants who were barely able to afford

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 128 LICENSING their rent before the pandemic are completely unable 2 3 to now. Our hotline calls have gone from questions 4 about getting repairs to questions almost exclusively about inability to pay the rent, either due to layoff, reduction in hours or a roommates layoff or 6 7 reduction in hours. We have also gotten at least one call from a healthcare worker whose roommate and 8 primary tenant has been harassing her into leaving the apartment before her sublease is up. So, Intro. 10 11 1936 is critically important. In addition, we conducted a survey with our base, 12 13 556 people responded, so this is a self-selecting survey but I want to share the data with all of you. 14 15 Of the 556 people who took our survey about how COVID-19 is impacting their ability to pay rent, 80 16 17 percent -18 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired. 19 AVA FARKAS: Sorry. My time up? 20 AUSTEN BRANDFORD: If you have additional 21 testimony, we will review all written testimony submitted.

24

2.2

23

AVA FARKAS: Okay.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS
LICENSING 129

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: We will make sure we look at it. Alright, next, I would like to welcome Julia Duranti-Martinez to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: And Julia, your clock will start when you begin your testimony.

JULIA DURANTI-MARTINEZ: Hi, good afternoon
Chair's Cohen and Cornegy and Committee Members and
thank you for the opportunity to testify.

My name is Julia Duranti-Martinez and I'm a

Campaign Coordinator at New Economy Project. As my

colleague Andy Morrison noted on the first panel, in

addition we are also working to expand community land

trust in New York City, including through a citywide

community land trust discretionary funding

initiative.

We welcome the steps the Council has taken to combat housing discrimination and displacement during the COVID-19 crisis through Intro.'s 1912 and 1936. In addition to protecting New Yorkers from harassment and evictions, it is critical that Council support community led institutions like Community Land Trust to ensure a just recovery in New York City's communities of color and to the communities interest

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

LICENSING 130

and lease use of the land for permanently affordable housing and other local needs.

As community governed organizations, CLT'S have the relationships and trust to address rapid response needs during times of crisis and more than a dozen emerging CLT's citywide are engaged in COVID-19 mobilization efforts from conducting wellness check calls to residents and connecting tenants to legal assistance to distributing food and supplies to elderly and homebound community residents.

Nationally, CLT's also have a track record of stabilizing housing for low income families in communities, including preventing park closures in the wake of the 2008 financial crash and aiding in recovery efforts after the hurricanes in Puerto Rico.

Now more than ever, New York City has to invest in proven community led solutions like CLT'S to strengthen and stabilize neighborhoods that have long born the brunt of housing in public health crisis.

We urge City Council to sustain and expand its support for CLT's and community led cooperative economic development. We are calling for renewed fiscal year 2021 discretionary funding which will directly support the hardest hit neighborhoods and

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 LICENSING 131 build institutions that will be crucial to prevent 2 3 evictions, foreclosures, and speculation in the wake 4 of economic devastation brought by COVID-19. SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired. AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. We would now like 6 to welcome Joseph Condon to testify. 7 8 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Joseph, your clock will begin 9 when you begin your testimony. JOSEPH CONDON: Good afternoon and thank you for 10 11 the opportunity to provide testimony. Thank you, 12 Committee Chairs, thank you Speaker Johnson. My name 13 is Joseph Condon and I am providing this testimony on behalf of the Community Housing Improvement Program, 14 15 also known as CHIP. 16 CHIP is an organization made up primarily of small and medium sized owners and operators of rent 17 18 stabilized housing in New York City. Our members run hands on small businesses by managing their own 19 20 buildings and becoming long term fixtures in their communities. 21 During the COVID-19 pandemic, these members and 2.2 2.3 their staff are on the frontlines making sure people

have safe, clean properly functioning apartment

2.2

2.3

buildings to live in while the shelter in place order is in effect.

Because our members are community oriented, they are extremely sympathetic to the painful financial circumstances that COVID-19 has created. According to a survey of our members, more than 50 percent of them have already entered into payment agreements with tenants who have been impacted by COVID-19. Another 25 percent of our members who responded said that they expect to offer some relief on a case by case basis moving forward and almost all of our members have provided information on employment benefits and other benefits to their tenants.

Now, we understand that not everyone who has lost income will be eligible for those benefits, nor will those benefits make up all of the lost income for those who are eligible. But CHIP members and other property owners are working with these tenants to figure things out. Property owners and managers are in the best position to deal with a variety of circumstances tenants are facing. But if everyone else who can pay rent just stops paying, the ability of an owner to provide relief to those who can't get it anywhere else is significantly diminished.

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

Despite this being a time where we need to put politics aside and work together, many tenant activists are using the COVID-19 crisis as an opportunity to push a political agenda. Cancelling rent without also providing relief for the expenses of small property owners is not a workable option as

of small property owners is not a workable option and should not be realistically considered by these

committees, unless there is some commencement relief

10 on the expense side.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time up.

JOSEPH CONDON: Relief in the area of property taxes is an excellent compliment to any moratorium. Between 30 and 40 percent of a tenants rent payment goes to the city in the form of property taxes but only focusing on one side of the equation, these proposals add fuel to the political fire of antihousing activists who are calling for a rent strike

19 for all. This is one of the main reasons CHIP
20 opposes 1912.

CO-CHAIR COHEN: Thank you for your testimony.

JOSEPH CONDON: Thank you.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. We'd now like to welcome David Chemtob to testify.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: David, your clock will begin when you start your testimony.

DAVID CHEMTOB: Can everyone see me? Can you hear me?

CO-CHAIR COHEN: Yes.

DAVID CHEMTOB: Okay, dear honorable members of the New York City Council. Thank you for hearing my testimony today. Now that you are considering new legislation in the wake of this COVID-19 pandemic to allow tenants not to pay rent. I would like to ask the Council Members who are in favor of this a few questions. Landlords are currently providing crucial and essential services to their tenants. essential services could only continue if landlords could continue to collect their rent. Specifically, how are landlords expected to pay for heat and hot water if tenants are not going to be paying rent? How do you expect landlords to pay for water and sewage that allows tenants to take showers and to go to the bathroom?

Landlords are paying supers and porters to put the garbage that tenants are generating today. How will landlords continuing to clean up and mop public spaces without the critical cash flow that comes from

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 135 LICENSING rent collections? How does City Council expect 2 3 landlords to pay property taxes without rent? 4 Property taxes have skyrocketed in the last three years to a level as equal to 35 percent of our rental 5 income. Why is the landlord trying to collect termed 6 7 as harassment? Is a landlord not entitled to collect 8 rent for providing shelter, heat, hot water, and cleaning services and etc., to their tenants? Why are we even using the term harassment? All 10 11 we want to do is just collect the rent on an 12 apartment so we can continue paying our property 13 taxes, paying our supers, paying the oil company 14 that's going to deliver oil tomorrow. 15 We got to pay ConEdison to keep the elevators rolling. Why is government considering to throwing 16 the burden of this pandemic completely on the 17 18 landlords? Why is City Council not considering a bill to allow people to walk into a local 19 20 supermarket, pick up some groceries and walk out 21 without paying? 2.2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired. 2.3 DAVID CHEMTOB: Thank you and good luck. AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Thank you. We would now like 24

to welcome Kenneth Litwack to testify.

as von start von

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Kenneth, your clock will begin

3 as you start your testimony.

KENNETH LITWACK: Good afternoon Chairman

Cornegy, Cohen and Speaker Johnson and the members of
the Committee. I want to thank you for this
opportunity to testify before you today on behalf of
the Marshals Association of the City of New York. I
am going to speak in opposition to Intro. 1912.

Since 1979, when I was first appointed as Council in the Inspector General to the New York City

Sheriff, I've been involved in this aspect of the law. I became Director for the Marshals Bureau for the New York City Department of Investigation after serving for the Sheriff and that is the unit that is responsible for regulating New York City Marshals pursuant to state law. I'd like to point out to you that Marshals employ approximately 250 people or New Yorkers whose lives would be deeply impacted by the long term disruptions to their operations.

Our first objection to Intro. 1912 is that the City Council does not have the jurisdiction or legal authority to enact such a measure. There is no statutory basis that would permit the City Council to restrain a Marshal or a Sheriff's functions.

two minutes.

2 CO-CHAIR COHEN: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

KENNETH LITWACK: If the proposed legislation were enacted as written, it would negatively impact small claims court and a needy plaintiffs ability to enforce a money judgement. As a result of this legislation, plaintiffs would have no way of recovering money they are legally entitled to which adds insult to injury during these trying times.

New York City Marshals enforce approximately

2,000 to 2,500 money judgments in small claims court
each year. It is imperative that the Council
consider the budgetary implications of this bill. We
are a key part of an approximate \$80 million in
revenue that the city collects in judgements every
year on parking, speed, red light violations, camera
violations, as low as environment control board
violations.

This is a significant source of annual revenue for the City of New York and is needed more than ever as the city's budget deficit continues to expand. Furthermore, the money judgments Marshals execute in these categories serve as a deterrent to this type of behavior and further the goals of the city's safety programs like Vision Zero.

I'd also like to point out that putting aside 2 3 these objections, in the past Marshals have 4 cooperated with the city in for example during 911 and during Hurricane Sandy, in cutting back on 5 6 7 8

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

evictions through the city and working with the city. I'm very sensitive to these issues. We look forward to working with the Council on this issue and I am happy to answer any question you may have.

CO-CHAIR COHEN: Thank you very much.

AUSTEN BRANDFORD: We will now turn for any questions from the Chairs. We have a question from Council Member Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Mr. Lipblack, I'm glad you are here to testify because you are adding clarity to a place where the Sheriff was not able to opine. He limited his opinions to his own powers of authority but it's very clear from what you are saying that should the Council, and this goes to a very similar question that I asked earlier. Should the Council pass this law and the law says that you are to ignore a lawfully delivered execution. You would not be empowered to abide by the Council law, you would have to abide by your powers as stipulated by Section 1609

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS 1 LICENSING 140 of a civil court act and Article 52 of the PPLR, is 2 3 that correct? 4 KENNETH LITWACK: That is absolutely correct. If 5 would be improper and illegal for a Marshal to refuse a command of the court. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, I have nothing further. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 8 9 AUSTEN BRANDFORD: Alright, with no more questions, this concludes the public testimony. So, 10 11 if inadvertently forgotten to call on someone who 12 registered to speak, that person can raise their hand 13 using the Zoom raise hand function in the next minute 14 or so, we'll try to hear from you now. We will wait 15 for a minute. 16 Seeing none it looks like we can turn this back 17 to the Chair's here for some closing remarks. 18 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, Andy, I don't know if you want to go first. You kind of held this down. 19 20 CO-CHAIR COHEN: I'll be very brief. I really just want to say thank you to everybody who 21 2.2 testified. I think I mentioned this in my opening 2.3 but it took an enormous amount of work on part of the staff to bring this hearing off and a tremendous 24

amount of prep you know from our committee, my

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

141

2 Legislative Director Patty, like, it was enormous

3 amount of work and I don't want to hurt my arm

4 patting us on the back here but I thought this went

5 | very, very well and I want to say, thank you for

6 everybody participating and I want to say thank you

for your partnership Rob, I think you did a good job.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. I'd like to say

9 for those who are watching an essential function of

10 the council is to meet and to advocate through

11 | legislation and policy on behalf of the voice of the

12 | city. I think this was a balanced effort at looking

13 | at what we're facing as it relates to tenant

14 displacement during COVID. But I think what we heard

15 was testimony that you know, that applicates on

16 behalf of small landlords as well, which demonstrates

17 | the need to get this right and to make sure that you

18 know that we don't have a singular focus and as a

19 | Council, we have a duty to hear these things and this

20 | is unique environment to do it in. I have to say

21 | that I've never been prouder of the Council to honor

22 | its commitment to act on behalf of its citizens even

23 | in this unique way.

I want to thank all the staff that was involved because what people don't know is you see this couple

25

24

1

7

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 142
2	of hours of hearing and of testimony but there were
3	countless hours put in prior to and in countless
4	hours that will be put in in debriefing and making
5	sure we have all of the information. So, I want to
6	personally thank all the staff involved, including
7	the Security staff who make sure that those who are
8	supposed to be here are here and those who are not
9	are not allowed to disrupt this. So, thank you agai:
10	and I think this is the part Austen where I gavel
11	out. With my favorite drum sticks by the way. So,
12	[GAVEL], this conclude the hearing of Housing and
13	Buildings and with my partnership with Andy Cohen.
14	Thanks Andy.
15	CO-CHAIR COHEN: Great job Rob, thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thanks Mike.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018