| 1 | COMMITT | EE ON PUBLIC SAFETY | 1 |
|----|-------------------|--|---|
| 2 | CITY COUNCIL | | |
| 3 | CITY OF NEW YORK | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | TRANSCRIPT OF THE | E MINUTES | |
| 6 | Of the | | |
| 7 | COMMITTEE ON PUBI | LIC SAFETY | |
| 8 | | X | |
| 9 | | March 4, 2020 Start: 1:24 p.m. | |
| 10 | | Recess: 5:14 p.m. | |
| 11 | HELD AT: | Council Chambers - City Hall | |
| 12 | | Donovan J. Richards, | |
| 13 | | Chairperson | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | COUNCIL MEMBERS: | Adrienne E. Adams | |
| | | Justin L. Brannan | |
| 16 | | Fernando Cabrera Andrew Cohen | |
| 17 | | Chaim M. Deutsch Vanessa L. Gibson | |
| 18 | | Rory I. Lancman Carlos Menchaca | |
| 19 | | I.Daneek Miller Keith Powers | |
| 20 | | Ydanis A. Rodriguez Paul A. Vallone | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY | 2 |
|----------|---|---|
| 2 | APPEARANCES | |
| 3 | Dermot Shea | |
| 4 | Police Commissioner | |
| 5 | Kristine Ryan Deputy Commissioner Management and Budget | |
| 6 | Ben Tucker | |
| 7 | First Deputy Commissioner | |
| 8 | Terry Monahan Chief of Department | |
| 10 | Anthony Tasso | |
| 11 | Deputy Chief, Commanding Officer of the Information Technology Bureau | |
| 12 | Michael Lipetri | |
| 13 | Chief of Crime Control Strategies | |
| 14 | Rodney Harrison NYPD Chief of Detectives | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | David Barrere Chief of Housing | |
| 17 | Fausto Pichardo | |
| 18 19 | Chief of Patrol | |
| 20 | Ernest Hart Deputy Commissioner Legal Matters | |
| 21 | Bill Morris | |
| 22 | Chief of Transportation | |
| 23 | Nilda Hofmann | |
| 24 | Chief of Community Affairs | |

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY | 3 |
|----------------|--|-----|
| 2 | APPEARANCES (CONT.) | |
| 3 | Reverend Frederick Davie | |
| 4 | CCRB Chair of the Board | |
| 5 | Jonathan Darche | |
| 6 | Executive Director | |
| 7 | Emily Marie Ramos | |
| 8 | Shane Correia | |
| 9 | Deputy Director of Strategic Partnerships at Center for Court Innovation | the |
| 10 11 12 | Shane Correia Deputy Director of Strategic Partnerships at Center for Court Innovation | the |
| L3 | Ralph Palladino Local 1549 DC 37 | |
| L4 L5 | Jorge Artelejo | |
| 16 | Towaki Komatsu | |
| L7 | Darlene Jackson | |
| L8 | Member in support of the Close Rikers and Bu Communities Campaign | ild |
| L 9 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 2 3 | | |

2.2

2.3

| 2 | CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [GAVEL] Alrighty, good |
|---|---|
| 3 | afternoon and welcome to the Public Safety |
| 1 | Committee's Fiscal 2021 Preliminary Budget hearing. |
| 5 | Today, we will hear testimony from Police |
| ó | Commissioner Shea and his staff on the Police |
| 7 | Departments budget. |

Before I get into my testimony, we're joined by Council Member's Deutsch, Cabrera, Lancman and Rosenthal and I will begin now.

The Departments capital plan totals \$1.6 billion for Fiscal Year 2020 through Fiscal Year 2024. We would like to hear the progress of facility developments and renovations for this Special Victims division, crime lab and tow pounds as well as upgrades for technology projects like the Discovery Lab and domain awareness system.

The Preliminary Budget made almost no changes to the NYPD's bottom line; however, the November Financial plan added new budgetary needs of \$38 million and 357 new positions.

Today, we will discuss the 21 million and 250 positions added to comply with criminal justice reforms, 10 million and 88 positions for homeless outreach and engagement and 3 million and 29

2.2

2.3

positions for mental health co-response teams. And although this year's preliminary budget does not add any additional positions, it also does not cut any positions.

Right now, the NYPD's workforce totals \$52,000 personnel which makes NYPD the second largest city workforce behind only the Department of Education.

We will examine the Departments baseline budget and how its 52,000 staff are deployed. We are here to discuss the NYPD's strategy and efficiency and employing these personnel to keep our city safe. And while we are gracious for their sacrifices, we can never be satisfied.

Some crime indicators increased this last calendar year including murders, shootings, robberies and hate crimes. The budget does not show us everything. There are programs, initiatives and policy decisions that are not made clear through this budget but by learning about how the Department allocates its costs, we can make sure strategies are focused on the right things and are done the right way.

As technology advances, government must ensure transparency and accountability. This last year, we

2.2

2.3

have seen troubling developments in new areas. We recently had a hearing on DNA collection and storage by the NYPD and our concern about the Departments infringement on innocent citizens civil liberties.

Facial recognition software has also changing how Police Departments across the country are investigating cases. And while it may aid in solving some crimes, there is a balance between acceptable usage and of disregarding our rights to privacy. These concerns also timed with historic ratio disparities and criminal justice.

During the last Commissioner tenure, dishonest stop and frisk practices came to an end. However, the legacy of stop and frisk is not dead but transferred to uneven methods of investigation, prosecution and more.

The raise the age policy amended outdated laws that proceeded juveniles as adults but we must ensure the NYPD has the funds to support processing these juveniles the right way. Just last month in Queens, two teenagers were cuffed to a bench in a precinct overnight and through the next day. This is not how Raise the Age is supposed to work.

2.2

2.3

| ii capitai improvements need to be made, let's |
|--|
| make them and not wait around for another horrific |
| story like this one. Now, I want to welcome, we do |
| have a new Commissioner, Commissioner Shea |
| representing a new period in the New York Police |
| Department. We look forward to hearing from |
| Commissioner Shea about what his priorities will be |
| and how the budget will be adjusted to support those |
| priorities. I'm sure our work together will continue |
| to make our city a safer place and a fairer place. |

We are encouraged by Commissioner Shea's statements in the past few months but would like to hear his plans for the Department as a whole. The New York City Police Departments Budget is essentially \$6 billion. This Fiscal Year, it's \$5.5 billion but let's say \$6 billion because if the pass is any indicator, the Police Department will reach the \$6 billion mark soon enough.

How will the Commissioner manage its budget and use the resources given to the NYPD in the best way possible, that's the question we have.

I would also like to thank my staff and the Committee Staff for their hard work. Thank you to Nevin Singh, Eisha Wright, Casey Adison, Daniel

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

| 2 | Edis[SP?], Josh Kingsley and Tiffany Eason and thank |
|---|--|
| 3 | you Commissioner Shea and to your staff for being |
| 4 | here today. |

So, this is your first time testifying before us?

DERMOT SHEA: Yes, it is.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Are you ready?

DERMOT SHEA: More than ready.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty, I'd like to welcome you now as the new Commissioner of the Police Department. Thank you, Commissioner Shea.

DERMOT SHEA: Thank you Councilman Richards and all members of the dais.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Hold on, we're going to swear you in first.

COUNCIL CLERK: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to answer honestly to Council Member questions?

DERMOT SHEA: I do.

COUNCIL CLERK: Please state your name for the record if you're going to testify.

DERMOT SHEA: Dermot Shea, Police Commissioner.

COUNCIL CLERK: The rest of the folks as well.

2.2

2.3

| KRISTINE | RYAN: | Kris | tine | Ryan, | Deputy |
|--------------|---------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Commissioner | Managei | ment | and | Budaet | |

BEN TUCKER: Ben Tucker, First Deputy
Commissioner.

TERRY MONAHAN: Terry Monahan, Chief of Department.

DERMOT SHEA: Thank you very much. Council

Member Richards and members of the dais, thank you

for the opportunity to come here this morning and

speak and thank you for your continued support.

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the Mayor's Preliminary Budget for the 2021 Fiscal Year. It's a pleasure to be here for the first time in my capacity as Police Commissioner, to testify before the Committee on Public Safety about the outstanding work all members of the New York City Police

Department are performing every day and night, how we are doing it, and perhaps most importantly, why we are doing it.

The why is easy: to keep people safe. And no matter how low we push crime, no matter how safe people actually are or feel, we will always have an obligation to do more. That is our job and that is what the brave men and women in uniform have promised

2.2

2.3

to do. In fact, that is why the NYPD exits and so, it will remain or critical mission for as long as any of us is here.

Before highlighting some key budget items, I will update you on the core mission and several significant public safety initiatives and I would like to talk to you about policing in New York City in 2020. What it means to us as a Police Department to the 8.6 million New Yorkers who live here, to the millions more who commute in for work each day and to the nearly 67 million more who visit our great city each and every year.

When you look at what has been accomplished through Neighborhood Policing in this city, through precision policing, through building trust in our communities, we are in a very good place in New York historically. The next evolution is to do much more regarding kids, the juveniles in this city. And I am not talking necessarily, about kids that get arrested; I am talking about all kids and can say with great optimism, that there is probably not a day that goes by that members of the NYPD do not meet with organizations operating around New York City and

2.2

2.3

outside the criminal justice system, that all have the same goals in mind.

We have a unique opportunity right now and it would be a shame if we failed to capitalize on it.

To really take a look at the population of kids in New York City and make certain that everything possible is being done to ensure that they do not get into trouble. That they have avenues to express themselves, that they have places to go after school, at night, or on the weekends. I challenge anyone to give me a better example of where we can invest our money, our resources and our time. And again, I am not talking just about the Police Department, I am talking about everyone.

So, 2020 presents an entire new possibility for us. It is now feasible to think about how we can equip and enable our cops to help kids avoid a first interaction with the criminal justice system.

We know the teen years are the vulnerable years, both for the young people likely to be victimized by crimes and the young people likely to commit them.

And sometimes, kids fall into both categories. From the Police perspective, we can chart a course in many of these young lives. From children who flee abusive

2.2

2.3

homes to wind up in the clutches of human traffickers to kids exposed to domestic violence, homelessness, trauma and other abuse. To the same children a few years later, turning to crime.

It is not uncommon for us to see kids as young as 16 with 10 to 20 prior arrests. And we have to wonder if we as a city are doing enough to prevent these and other young people from making from making truly self-destructive choices. I would argue that we are not. We can do far better, both within the NYPD and in coordination with a range of city agencies, private enterprises, and community based organizations. And that is what the NYPD's new youth strategy is all about. Drawing on our talented, committed personnel and on the accumulated previous encounters with these young people to make a lasting and positive difference in their lives.

In establishing and institutionalizing far closer cooperation with our law enforcement partners and community based service organizations, I believe we can identify the opportunities for intervention with young people early in the progression that risks turning them into criminals or into victims. And what we have to do is organize and focus all of our

2.2

2.3

resources and there are so many quality programs already in place through the five borough, so that a troubled kid doesn't go from 12 to 18 without us intervening in a life going wrong.

For us in the NYPD, the first step is to redefine what our NYPD youth officers do. We are establishing a new role in all of our precincts and Housing Bureau police service areas on the model of our Neighborhood Coordination Officers, called the Youth Coordination Officer. As the title implies, YCOs will play a critical coordinating role in maintaining awareness of troubled youth and connecting better, and sooner, with them and their families. YCOs will also coordinate with other cops and with city agencies and local community groups, to see what is available to kids in this city and making sure we are marrying all of it up.

As I have previously outlined, there are three groups of young people we have to reach:

First: For those already far along unfortunately on the path to criminality. As it is currently set up, the criminal justice system alone does not do enough to deter them or to help them either. Our YCOs will be fully conversant with these most

2.2

2.3

troubled youth, helping to guide the appropriate

criminal sanctions and social service interventions

in each case.

Second: Those who are beginning to drift into criminal activity. Whether it is shoplifting, stealing from other kids on the street or other minor crimes. For these kids, we have to bring the full capacities of our social support and service networks into play. The YCOs will be the nexus of this effort, identifying the kids on the cusp of crime, finding the right programs and making the critical connections.

Third: The large majority of young people who aren't committing crimes at all. In fact, some of them may even wind up as victim. We, of course, should be engaging with them as well because it is absolutely the right thing to do.

We are determined to breathe new life into existing programs all across the city and to help establish new ones. We envision our new YCOs as the force multipliers who bring people together, in every neighborhood and it is essential for everyone to come to the table and lock arms and I'm sure that as the

afternoon progresses, we will speak to some of the executive team that is working on this.

That said, there is clearly no cookie cutter answer to everything in our line of work but the renewed focus on our city's young people is part of the evolution now, as a police department and as a city. And whatever we do, whatever approach we take, it has to always be about all of us sharing that responsibility, strengthening relationships and building trust. Working together to reduce crime and violence and when these things are happening together, when we are building the bridge between the public safety and the public trust, we all win.

Let me clear, we can do this. The NYPD can do this but only with the rest of the city's continued and increased support. The police and the public turning a professional relationship into a true partnership is already fundamentally changing law enforcement and it is a model for the rest of the nation quite frankly. Our neighborhood policing crime fighting philosophy has helped New York buck the crime trends in other large American cities and enabled us to set the standard for effective and as you said, efficient policing in this country.

2.2

2.3

Clearly though, we are far from finished. As many of you remember during the 70's, the 80's and the 90's, there were people in New York City who believed we would never get to where we are today. They believed that high crime sustained fear while walking down the street was just the way it was and always would be. In some neighborhoods, violent crime unfortunately was a daily occurrence.

In the first half of 1993 for example, in the 75th Precinct, 5.5 square miles, someone was killed there on average of once every 63 hours and that occurred even as brave, dedicated NYPD cops took violent criminals and illegal guns off the street every night.

Instead of throwing their hands in the air, however, our police officers, your police officers, refused to believe there was nothing to be done about the state of things. They refused to accept life in the city could not change for the better. They knew that reversing decades long trend of rising crime and violence would take time and they knew the NYPD could not do it alone.

At that time, starting to reclaim our neighborhoods required coordinated efforts, along

2.2

| with ultimately the full and willing partnership of |
|---|
| the people we serve. And where it took us two |
| decades later was categorically historic. The lowest |
| crime in three generations. The last three yes in a |
| row, 2017, 2018 and 2019, saw fewer than 800 shooting |
| incidents in a city approaching 9 million people. |
| Prior to that in the modern era, the number of |
| shootings in New York City never fell below 1,000. |
| And although shootings rose by 22 incidents in 2019, |
| showing that there is still work to be done, they |
| were still down by 332 incidents or 30 percent from |
| just six years prior. |

Make no mistake, the past six years were a time of generally declining crime with a nearly 15 percent reduction in all index crimes, led by a 30 percent drop in robbery, a 30 percent decline in burglary.

In those six years, arrests were also down nearly 50 percent and criminal summonses were down nearly 80 percent. We have clearly managed to keep crime falling steadily while dramatically reducing the enforcement footprint of this city and New York has easily sustained its ranking as the city with the lowest overall index crime rate among the 320 largest cities in America.

2.2

2.3

As we made our way through the last year and with crime in New York City reduced significantly compared to decades ago, a large number of people I would say adopted the view that with crime down, there was no need for our law enforcement activity. And that viewpoint now is significantly hampering our ability to keep people safe. We are currently facing assaults on much of the technology we use to home in on the real drivers of crime and violence in New York City and this speaks to the precision policing.

Tools that we use, we would argue professionally, fairly and constitutionally to find justice for victims by focusing with great precision on the serious crimes and the serious offenders who make up a relatively small percentage of the population.

For one, facial recognition is a hugely valuable tool in countering robberies, hate crimes, sexual assaults, shootings and other violence on our streets. Given the scale of both city-owned and privately-owned security cameras, the images of these perpetrators are frequently captured on video. Our ability to compare these images to legally acquired mug shots of perpetrators from past crimes has taken our investigations to a new greater level.

2.2

2.3

Any facial recognition match is vetted by trained investigators within the NYPD Facial ID section before it is forwarded to an investigating detective under Chief Rodney Harrison. And a facial recognition match by itself, is not grounds to arrest

A detective following that lead must establish with other corroborating evidence that the subject of the investigation is in fact, the perpetrator.

a person or authorize a search warrant, it is a lead.

Let me emphasize, the NYPD does not misuse this technology. We agree that balance is necessary. We do not use it to identify participants in political protests, we do not surveil passerby in the general population, we do not even use facial recognition to identify people wanted on warrants. We use it to match images of people shown on video committing crimes to images of people in mug shot databases who have committed past crimes.

As is the case of facial recognition, the NYPD has been charged with misusing the local DNA database. The database, which is managed and maintained by the city's Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, is used only to compare suspect DNA to crime scene DNA and DNA from one crime scene to DNA

2.2

2.3

form other crime scenes. In order to match or exclude suspects in cases for which crime scene DNA is available. The DNA profiles in this database are untouched, except when a match is found between crime scene evidence from a rape case, for example and a suspect.

DNA objectively distinguishes unique individual identities. Unless their DNA is matched to crime scene, DNA in a given case, people whose DNA samples are included in the database are at no risk of being subject to law enforcement inquiry. And let me be clear, the NYPD knows of no person who has ever been falsely convicted, indicted or even arrested because of evidence from the New York City DNA database. On the other hand, prospective suspects in rapes, murders and other crimes have been routinely excluded from investigations on the basis of DNA, sparing them police inquiries and possible interrogations.

Although critics have suggested that NYPD is routinely collecting huge volumes of DNA samples from arrestees, the database currently contains about 30,000 suspect DNA exemplars, compared with nearly 1.8 million arrests in the past six years. Most of the samples have been taken from suspects during

2.2

2.3

active investigations of serious crimes and in response to concerns about the duration of time samples are maintained in the database, the NYPD will be begin auditing the database and recommending that the OCME remove exemplars that are no longer needed in active investigations; again, balance.

As for the NYPD's Criminal Group Database, referred to by some as the gang database, we maintain a collection of about 550 street crime gangs and crews. I can tell you with certainty that 91 percent of the database entries have been arrested for at least one serious felony. Across the list, entrants average 12 arrests, including an average of 5.7 felony arrests. They have been linked to more than 700 murders in New York City and 20,000 robberies. So, let me repeat that, 20,000 cases of stealing something from another using force and more than 700 lives ended.

I would be grossly irresponsible for the NYPD to fail to monitor these groups, who are among the principal purveyors of violent crime in New York City. The entry process to the database is closely controlled. Most police officers are not authorized to recommend new entries, only field intelligence

2.2

| officers who track criminal activity in each precinct |
|---|
| and other gang experts may make these recommendation. |
| And based on their detailed knowledge of on the |
| ground situations, they submit supporting |
| documentation which is reviewed by supervisors with |
| gang expertise. The oversight structure that ensures |
| that multiple gang experts agree on every database |
| entry. Further, the NYPD systematically culls the |
| database to remove the names of people who have "aged |
| out" of gang activity or had no negative contacts |
| with the police in three years. |

There are now approximately 18,300 names in the criminal group database, that is compared to over 35,000 in 2014. Only 2.7 percent are currently under the age of 18. The average age of all entries is 27 years of age.

Importantly, no NYCHA official, landlord, or prospective employer has access to any name in the database. Federal immigration agents are likewise locked out and critics cannot cite a single instance of anyone being denied housing or a job or being subject to immigration enforcement on the basis of the NYPD gang database. Mere entry into which has

2.2

2.3

never been founds for arrest or any other types of enforcement action.

In my opinion, an entrenched street gang spreading violence and fear through a community is just about the worst thing that could happen to a neighborhood. Do we really want our police to begin at square one each time there is an act of gang violence? Surely, it is better that professional investigators already know the likely perpetrators of a gang shooting. Perpetrators who themselves are now at grave risk of retaliation from on opposing group. This is what our investigators see each day.

Knowledge of members is essential to any effort to intercede with gang culture, but also to pull young men out of criminal life before they are arrested or killed.

As I hope I have made clear, these investigative resources are centrally important to the NYPD's ongoing enforcement efforts. As used by the NYPD, they do not represent a threat to civil liberties.

They do, however, represent a marked advance from many of the older methods of investigating crime, such as eyewitness ID's and fingerprints. I trust we can agree to preserve these essential modern day

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

police techniques when used appropriately, so that the hardworking members of the NYPD can continue to preserve public safety throughout New York City.

So far in 2020, we are seeing increased in crime across multiple categories, which unfortunately reinforces what we already knew. I am on record as saying that I believe these increases are tied to some of the recent reforms that took effect in January and we are already showing signs of cause and effect in the fall of 2019. We saw momentum building in January and now we are through February and into the first three days of March and I will tell you that the second complete month of data sharpens our focus on what our responses as a police department must be to keep New Yorkers safe. We are identifying precincts with the most dramatic increases in crime. We will use both tradition redeployment of personnel coupled with non-traditional deployment. allocate additional overtimes for these necessary steps as well and let me clear, we will do everything responsible as we always do to redeploy as necessary and keep New Yorkers safe.

We recognize the challenges we are facing and we are addressing them. Our enhanced technological

2.2

2.3

capabilities are some of the reasons that is possible. They have helped us to better focus our enforcement efforts on the real drivers of serious crime. Indeed, to a large extent, precision policing depends on our ability to effectively leverage technology specifically in the areas of robbery, burglary, auto theft and I would argue sexual crimes as well. WE call these pattern crimes because they are frequently committed by chronic recidivist who often use identifiable methods that link suspects to several or more offenses in the same group.

I would urge members of the Council to maintain a sense of proportion about the technological resources the NYPD uses to fight both pattern crimes and shooting, the most prominent of which are the tools I mentioned earlier, facial recognition, local DNA database and the gang database. Each has brought greater precision, accuracy and efficiency to our investigation to serious crime. I can tell you that in any time of rising crime, the last thing a police department needs, is to have tools that we use to conduct such investigations removed. Simply put, the police needs tools to do our jobs. We need tools to effectively and efficiently keep people safe and that

2.2

2.3

goes for all aspects of our work. The uniformed men and women on patrol answering calls for service, the seasoned detectives knocking on doors and tracking down every possible lead and the investigator and analysts that work behind the scenes to pinpoint patterns and predict retaliatory violence. I'll say it again and I'll be emphatic, investigative resources are centrally important to all of our NYPD's enforcement efforts and ultimately to our effectiveness.

Turning to budgetary issues, the NYPD plans to again apply for and to obtain federal assistance to protect members of the public and critical infrastructure, including the Financial District, the transit system, bridges, tunnels and ports.

On February 14, 2020, the FEMA announced the Federal Fiscal Year 2020 Notice of Funding Opportunities for the Homeland Security Grants to assist states, urban areas and others with their preparedness efforts. The NYPD has already begun the process of preparing the application, which are due on April 15th. It is anticipated that these grants will be awarded no later than September 30th of this year.

2.2

2.3

Historically, the federal Homeland Security funds have brought us a lot. Including our Bomb Squad's Total Containment Vessel, the rolling vault that allowed the NYPD to remove the live pressure cooker bomb planted on a street in Chelsea, and some 16 pipe bombs mailed to various recipients throughout New York and the country. The money also funds our Vapor Wake dogs, that patrol large scale events searching for hidden explosives and keeping all New Yorkers safe. And our active shooter training that hones the tactical skills of thousands of officers who might one day have to face a machine gun wielding attacker in a crowded concert venue or school.

These funds also allow the NYPD to hire intelligence Research Specialists, so IRS, deploy officers to the transit system and other strategic locations, citywide, based on intelligence and to train officers to respond to chemical, ordnance, biological and radiolocation threats of incidents.

The NYPD uses federal funds to purchase personal protective equipment for uniformed members and to purchase other critical equipment that enhances our ability to protect New Yorkers and vital transportation and port infrastructure. In addition,

2.2

2.3

these funds have allowed us to develop and sustain our sensor and information technology centerpiece knows as DAS, which supports the police department's counterterrorism mission.

On February 10, 2020, the President's Budget for Federal Fiscal Year 2021 was released, which reflects significant decreases for State and Local grants for first responders under the Federal Homeland Security Grant Preparedness grants. The budget proposes a national funding level for Urban Area Security Initiative of only \$426 million after being funded at \$665 million in Federal Fiscal Year 2020 or a 36 percent reduction.

The State Homeland Security Program proposed amount is \$332 million, a reduction of 41 percent from the prior year. The Transit and Port Security grant proposed amounts are reduced from \$100 million to \$36 million or 64 percent each.

Although we are undoubtedly the safest of all large cities of comparable size in the country, we clearly remain the nation's top terror target. New York City has been the target of approximately 30 terror plots since the devasting attacks of September 11, 2001. Plans that have included a would be

2.2

2.3

suicide bomber who detonated a homemade explosive device in a subway passageway beneath Time Square, a fatal truck attack on pedestrians and bicyclists along the West Side Highway, plans to place bombs among festive crowds watching July 4th fireworks and a plot to capture on video the beheading of a woman in Manhattan. While we must be at the top of our game every single minute of every single day, we are acutely aware that those who wish us harm need only to be successful once.

Regarding the Preliminary Budget and its impact on the NYPD, the NYPD's Fiscal Year 2021 City Tax

Levy Expense Budget is \$5.3 billion. The vast majority of this 92 percent is allocated for personnel costs.

As part of the November 2019 Financial Plan, the NYPD received additional funds for 250 civilian personnel and technology upgrades to allow the police department to better comply with recent changes in the criminal justice laws. Complying with these laws requires an enormous amount of new funding, none of it from the state, plus a massive retooling of NYPD practices, several important technological upgrades and the hiring of hundreds of additional personnel

2.2

| across several areas of the department. These new |
|---|
| funds will help pay for such things as new portals to |
| better share information with district attorneys and |
| the hiring of criminalists and others to more quickly |
| produce case materials and handle the increased |
| paperwork burden. The expense funding totaled \$24.7 |
| million in fiscal year 2020 and \$20.7 million in |
| fiscal year 2021 and the out years. This is in |
| addition to capital funding provided during the |
| Adopted Capital Commitment Plan. The NYPD received |
| \$28 million for crime lab technology upgrades and \$10 |
| million for legal technology to better allow for |
| compliance with the discovery law changes. |

The NYPD's Preliminary Capital Budget contains \$1.64 billion for Fiscal Years 2020 through 2024.

Aside from the funding provided in October, the department received additional funds for the following:

137 Centre Street Renovation for special victims. \$18.6 million for building improvements to this space, which currently houses the Manhattan Special Victims Squad and will include additional units to minimize the department's lease footprint.

2.

2.2

ARGUS camera expansion of \$3.6 million to install additional cameras in areas that might be the scene of hate crimes.

And the 116th Precinct Station House in Queens, an additional \$17.2 million was provided for the increased costs of construction based on the most recent bids. Construction for this facility is projected to begin in the spring of 2020.

And finishing up, across the NYPD, we will continue to leverage every tool available to us to keep New York City safe. Including the use of new and innovative technology. We are keenly focused on such advances and how they can be applied to fighting crime, creating safer and more efficient ways for police officers to do their jobs and also, contributing to the important work of building trust and strengthening relationships throughout the five boroughs.

As e take Neighborhood Policing to the next level by way of our invigorated youth strategy and through all of the remarkable changes we are undertaking in the NYPD, we have the Mayor's full support and have benefitted greatly from the City Council's support as well.

2.2

2.3

I thank you for your ongoing partnership and for everything you do to help build a more effective and efficient NYPD, always with officer safety in mind.

New York needs even more of our ideas and all of our actions now and that goes for the entire public safety spectrum from traditional crime to terrorism and to the seedbed activities that can draw young people down paths of criminality. This is our mission and we owe every New Yorker nothing but our best efforts.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify this afternoon and $I^{\prime}m$ happy now to take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON RICHADS: Thank you Commissioner Shea and we're joined by Council Members Gibson, Brannan, Powers, Cohen, Miller and Vallone.

And first, I'll start off with new needs for this Fiscal Year. First off, are there any new needs that you've requested from the Administration that have not been funded?

KRISTINE RYAN: We're continuing to work with OMB to look at exec will look like. There are various items that we are focused on. Some OTPS needs and

2.2

2.3

| some | PS | needs | but | we're | still | trying | to | work | out |
|-------|-----|-------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|----|------|-----|
| exact | tlv | what | we ' l: | l aet. | | | | | |

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And has the Administration given you a savings target to reach before the budget is adopted?

KRISTINE RYAN: We are also talking to OMB about where we can find efficiencies but we don't have a specific number that we've landed on with them yet.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And when will you have those specifics?

KRISTINE RYAN: At the time of the Executive Budget.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, can you talk about capital? Are there any additional capital needs that you have that have not been funded yet?

KRISTINE RYAN: At this point, we have addressed as the Commissioner said, some of the significant we had for example in the 116th Precinct, the money for the bids. We are evaluating the cost for some of our other programs, so there maybe additional needs at Exec but we're still evaluating that.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I'm happy to add, we're building a new Precinct in South Queens, so no complaints about more money being added.

2.2

2.3

| 2 | So, you're anticipating more money being added? |
|---|---|
| 3 | KRISTINE RYAN: We're evaluating as with that |
| 4 | example when bids come in, we have to make |
| 5 | adjustments. |

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, are there any federal funding cuts you anticipate? And I know you touched on it a little bit Commissioner and I thought I heard of reports that the Trump Administration certainly was reassessing grants that he was giving to New York City based on us being a sanctuary city. So, we're not anticipating any cuts there?

KRISTINE RYAN: We are hopeful that in working with the federal government we'll be able to maintain the level of funding that we have had in the past.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, you're pretty confident?

KRISTINE RYAN: We're hopeful.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And if they did decide to cut, where would we find the money? Do you believe you will be able to fill those gaps?

KRISTINE RYAN: We would work with OMB to prioritize what are critical needs in those areas.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And the total on those grants again are?

KRISTINE RYAN: The Federal Fiscal Year 2019 for federal funding was \$142 million over the last five years we've received a total of \$900 million in federal funding.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, lets hope into criminal justice reforms quick. As a result of state criminal justice reforms, the NYPD must now expedite the exchange of documents and evidence to 15 days after arraignment. That includes providing information such as 911 calls, body camera recording, warrants and other evidence to prosecute. As a result, NYPD's budget has added over \$20 million per year to hire 250 new positions. Where are we at in hiring for the new 250 positions?

KRISTINE RYAN: As of right now, we have 163 of those 250 onboard. At the end of next week, we'll have an additional 59 starting, so at that point we will have about 28 vacancies remaining. So, we'll be about 90 percent of the way there.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, and can you tell us the need for specific titles? For example, why the need for 82 police communication technicians and 20 police administrative aids in the highway division?

2.2

2.3

2 KRISTINE RYAN: So, the police administrative
3 aids are necessary to help with collecting all of the
4 information that needs to be turned over and there's
5 a significant amount of work that needs to be done in
6 that area. With the PCT's, you also need individuals
7 to be able to review and evaluate the 911 information
8 that is coming in.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Lets hop over to head count questions, civilianization and we have a graph pretty much here.

In the most recent analysis, we've had regarding uniform members performing civilian duties, there were 368 potential civilianize able positions. Do you have any plans to civilianize these positions and get the right person working on the job? Why are police officers doing those jobs?

KRISTINE RYAN: Yes, we are having ongoing conversations with OMB about further civilianization.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And can you tell me what those conversations are? How many positions do we anticipate?

KRISTINE RYAN: We are still in the discussion phase but it is around the 360 area that we've discussed in the past.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

| 2 | CHAIRPERSON | RICHARDS: | Alright, | and we | saw | 30 | of |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|---------|--------|------|----|
| 3 | these positions | are manage | ement audi | tors. | What | do | |
| 4 | they do? How a | re uniform | officers | perform | ning f | this | 5 |

job?

KRISTINE RYAN: I'm going to have to get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, nobody knows what management auditors are?

DERMOT SHEA: Anyone here from personnel but management auditor is not a position that I'm familiar with.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, so you'll follow back up with us on that. Let me hope to Text to 911 and this is one of the reasons I think this conversation is critical because we do anticipate obviously there will be uptick in 911 calls, especially with Text to 911. When do we anticipate Text to 911 to go live? Because every month we hear a different story on this.

DERMOT SHEA: Tony will come up from ITB and I can tell you councilman that we are already seeing an increase in volume of radio runs at the beginning of this year.

24

2.2

2.3

| 2 | CHAIRPERSON | RICHARDS: | Right | and | that's | prior | to |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------|-----|--------|-------|----|
| 3 | text? | | | | | | |

DERMOT SHEA: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

ANTHONY TASSO: Thank you, good afternoon, Deputy Chief Anthony Tasso, Commanding Officer of the Information Technology Bureau.

So, we've been working very closely with DOITT on a junior lease for Text to 911. Our communications division and our life safety division have worked very closely hand and hand throughout the whole process. They are currently assisting them with testing. We've taken some other steps. We've created a media unit, a multi-media unit to process any multimedia attachments that might come in with those Text 911 calls.

We've also done some application development work to make sure that the Text to 911 indicators are present for the police officers, so they know that the 911 call came in through Text.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right and I'm assuming that our 911 operators are going to be much more overwhelmed over Text to 911. Are we discussing any increases to headcount in that specific area? And

2.2

2.3

this is why the conversation around civilianization is so critical I think during this conjure. So, where are we at with that and we don't want our 911 operators being overwhelmed, being told to do more with less and I think one way to resolve that is to see an increase in headcount there.

ANTHONY TASSO: They are all going through training and they've all been scheduled for training, so by the time we go live, we will have a good contingent of all of our dispatchers on call and I guess we'll assess moving forward what the volume of calls are that are coming in and whether that necessitates —

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But as the Commissioner just raised, he just spoke of already seeing an increase in radio runs. So, with Text to 911, we have to anticipate we're going to see much more calls or texts coming in obviously, which adds to the burden and I've still not heard a specific plan on where we're going to increase headcount there.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, we evaluate that continuously on an ongoing basis and we will adjust up if needed. Where we are seeing the results of that increase in radio runs, we are able to absorb it at the PCT

2.2

2.3

level. We have not had a need to add additional staff. Where we are feeling it, more is on the patrol side and responding to those calls.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, to be continued but I don't think we should fall behind the eight ball here. I don't want to stay here forever but we've had this conversation every year and being that the conversation around bill reform and all of these are happening and people are pointing to bill reform, I think this is an opportunity to ensure that more civilians are doing the job that you have cops who can be out on the streets patrolling doing.

So, this is why this conversation is so critical if you are speaking of seeing increases.

DERMOT SHEA: I agree with you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, I don't want an agreement though, I want to see numbers. Coronavirus as you know, a couple of coronavirus cases have been confirmed in New York. Can you tell us about the NYPD's planning for coronavirus? Do you have implementation plans for it if a significant percentage of officers have to stay home?

2.2

2.3

DERMOT SHEA: Currently, right now, we're meeting

everyday over at the OEM, three hour day meetings in

4 | the planning of where we are.

Right now, we've issued masks, gloves and disinfectants to all of our precinct commands. We do not have the out on the street right now. There isn't a need for our cops to be going out wearing a mask to cause a panic at this point, but they are available in case it starts to become more prevalent. We have procedures in effect, that if a call comes over of an individual who is possibly suffering from coronavirus, that our officers will respond and remain outside the location, awaiting EMS.

EMS then, working with the Fire Department will suit up in proper protection gear and they will go in and remove that individual to whatever location that they need to go.

If it were to progress, we're working hand and hand with OEM and every other city agency to determine what protocols we need to put in place.

Currently, if an officer, we've had a few officers that have traveled to regions of the world that are considered problematic, upon their return, they've been told to self-isolate and stay out of work for

2.2

2.3

the 14 day period to see if any of them become symptomatic.

As of this point, no police officer is symptomatic. We currently have five that are right now self-isolating.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And are all patrol officers being given training or what is -

DERMOT SHEA: We've sent out messages on what to do on a daily basis. They've come from the Police Commissioner's Office; they've come from my office. Right now, the information is what I stated, is how to respond to a potential case and to use basic flu protections. Consistently wash your hands, use disinfectants and use the same sort of situation you do if there was a flu epidemic.

OVERTIMENTO RICHARDS: Alright, let's go into overtime, thank you for that. And overtime, overtime spending has been a persistent area of concern for the Council. We'll go to that slide. The budget for overtime for Fiscal Year 2020 and Fiscal Year 2020 average is \$612 million. \$531 million for uniform and \$81 million for civilian. The slide actually show overtime spending for the last four Fiscal Years in blue of the City Funded Budget and red is state

2.2

2.3

and federal grants that fund the NYPD's overtime and the green is the overage in spending.

How much does the Department receive in state and federal dollars for overtime spending?

KRISTINE RYAN: So, the non-city funding for the overtime budget in Fiscal Year 2020 is right now, about \$79 million but that will vary throughout the year but that is the budget at this point.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: \$79 million.

KRISTINE RYAN: Right.

12 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And that's not federal.

KRISTINE RYAN: It's federal state.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, federal state, okay and I talked about this, the anticipation of reductions in the grant and you don't foresee that happening right?

KRISTINE RYAN: We're hopeful we'll be able to maintain the same levels and the grant funding gets added throughout the year, so it's not fully reflected in the budget at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And what is the city funded budget for overtime in Fiscal 2020?

2.2

2.3

2 KRISTINE RYAN: The total for civilian and
3 uniform is \$546 million and the uniform city funded
4 overtime cap is \$506 million.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: \$506 million. So, we went over. We anticipate we're going to go over.

KRISTINE RYAN: At this point, as we have done in the last four fiscal years since the inception of the uniform overtime cap, we have essentially lived within the cap, we are monitoring this closely.

Obviously, there are a lot of different events that happen over the course of the year, so at this point, we are focused on the cap and continuing to monitor but we'll know in the coming months.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And you can't describe the overtime control plan or what's your overtime control plan?

KRISTINE RYAN: The overtime control plan is essentially at the beginning of the year. We look at the overtime allocation, we divide it amongst bureau's and we monitor this constantly every few weeks. We're looking at reports, we're focusing on where overtime is up and looking at particularly areas where there maybe discretionary overtime that we can adjust.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And in 2019, the City

funded budget was exceeded by \$22 million and a

report given to the Council recent overages in

spending were due to the Transit Priority Post

program and the Transit Homeless Diversion program.

Why are these programs run on overtime?

KRISTINE RYAN: The Transit Homeless and the Priority Post are in part because we're doing that on a temporary basis. For the homeless for example, it was focused on the coldest months of the year, so it made more sense from an efficiency perspective to utilize overtime for that.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, alright, I'm going to start to wrap up but I have a few more questions and I want to get quickly into crime stats in general. Murders and shootings, the major performance indicators used to assess a police department's performance is crime statistics. So, let's talk about those for a moment.

Murders increased to over 300 in 2019, the largest figures in three years. Some of these I understand were actually from 2018 and were cases that were reclassified as murders. Can you tell us what changed about the accounting in these cases

2.2

2.3

bit more?

because we need to be careful not to reclassify

crimes as something else because it can distort the

data we look at. So, can you just tell us a little

DERMOT SHEA: I mean Michael Lipetri, Chief of Crime Control Strategies. It can go as deep as you want into the numbers. The short answer to your question is nothing changed. We have a system in place that has been in place. The same system for years and that's the most important thing, continuity in terms of reclassifying crimes. But it's predicated by on January, roughly 15th of year the book closes and we report up the chain at what winds up going to the FBI. And then you run into situations where year after year we do in fact have reclassified homicides but it is the same defined standards used year after year and that's out of our control.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Just to add on that, so two of the easiest factors to describe is waiting on an official death certificate from the OCME or somebody sustained an injury, whether it be a gunshot or stab wound, then subsequently dies in 2019. That would count as a 2019 murder.

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Shootings also increased in 2019 and in January of 2020 there were 15 more shootings incidents than in January. What is the Departments strategy encountering this trend?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: So, real time, we monitor this real time and we did see an uptick last year specifically in two patrol bureaus, patrol bureau Manhattan North and Manhattan South for the Manhattan, so an increase of 34 shootings. As far as Precinct specific, the 75th Precinct in East New York saw an increase of 20 shooting incidents last year. We moved resources to an area of Manhattan North the 23, 25, the 28 across Central Harlem. We identified four developments. In and around those four developments there was cumulated violence. We added numerous resources both investigative and patrol. With Patrol resources we added foot patrol, offices and vehicles and we also identified these crews and arrested individuals for the related crimes.

As far as what we're doing now, we are rolling out and all out, we are going to be moving 300 Administrative offices to areas across the city where we have dense street crimes. When we look at the street crimes, we're talking about street robberies,

2.2

2.3

grand larcenies from a person and shootings. We're looking at historical data along with real time 56th data. We've identified 16 geographic areas in 16

Precincts that these resources will be added to, both on the patrol side and the investigative side.

The Commissioner spoke briefly about the youth forum. We had our first youth forum last month and that was specific to the island of Manhattan where we see the largest increase of youth related robberies but also the largest increase of youth victims and we actually will be having a follow up this Friday with probation to talk about the drivers of those youth robberies in Manhattan.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And can you go through domestic violence shootings?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Sure, domestic violence continues to drive USI crime in New York City and when you drill down on it and you look at the housing developments across the city, quite frankly, it's alarming.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: What's the percentage you would say?

2.2

2.3

| MICHAEL LIPETRI: | The percentage of domestic |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| violence USI crime is | 40 percent in New York City |
| housing developments. | |

DERMOT SHEA: That's not an increase of 40 percent. Domestic violence crime makes up 40 percent.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: 40 percent.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Of the shootings you're saying?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: No, no, no of all seven majors.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All seven majors, do DV is a large driver of that.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: That's correct and that unfortunately has been consistent. We have a robust domestic violence, I think the best in the country, where we are aggressively proactively looking at domestic violence indicators. Not only at the Precinct level, at the borough level and also, there is a domestic violence unit that reports directly to the Chief of Department.

DERMOT SHEA: We have domestic violence counselors in every precinct. We have domestic violence officers in every precinct. So, this

2.2

2.3

remains a focus because we know the danger that could come out of it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But it seems like we need to do a lot more work perhaps with organizations on the ground and perhaps more coordination and I know you've taken some good steps in that direction but maybe strengthening that could help in this area.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: We have advocates in every precinct. We work very closely with domestic violence shelters. Safe Horizon, we work very close with our District Attorney's Office. This is a robust multiagency plan to decrease domestic violence.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Let me get into clearance rates quickly. So, the clearance rates in 2019 for burglary were 35 percent. For larceny theft, it was 23 percent and for vehicle theft, it was 18 percent.

So, we've been having a lot of conversations around bill reform and I know we don't see eye to eye obviously on this issue. Is it possible that this explains the uptick in crime in certain categories that are now being blamed on bill reform? How do you explain 35 percent larceny thefts, 23 percent in vehicle theft, 18 percent clearance rates.

2.2

2.3

DERMOT SHEA: I am not sure I understand the question. When you look at the clearance rates on how we take reports in New York City. How many get closed by arrests, how many cases get referred to the detective bureau. How many of those get closed by arrest. IC consistency is year after year after year. I don't see anything jumping off this year. Whether you're talking low level crimes or whether you're talking shootings or homicides.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And you would say 35 percent clearance rate on burglaries is normal for a fiscal year?

DERMOT SHEA: I look at the clearance rates that we see across the city and I see consistency.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, citywide crime clearance rates.

DERMOT SHEA: And remember there's a difference to with the clearance rates in terms of as many times that we identify who does that crime, but they will not for a variety of reasons be charged.

RODNEY HARRISON: Mr. Chair, if I could just take over real quickly. If you just look throughout each borough. If you want to talk about burglaries, a clearance rate is in the Bronx 35 percent in

2.2

2.3

Brooklyn, it's 33 percent in Manhattan it's going to be 49 percent Queens 27 percent and Staten Island is 33 percent. That seems to be steady throughout the comparison to 2018. The numbers I am reading off to you right now are from 2019and it's somewhat synonymous with the numbers from 2018.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, it seems like great work is being done in Manhattan. What about the outer boroughs?

DERMOT SHEA: You have to peel back the individual crimes and there are differences. So, for example in Manhattan, what you will see is stores that are constantly hit by groups shoplifting. Or people that have a drug habit and go into a particular store. I mean, I could tell you and Rodney could tell you time after time whether it's certain drug stores continuously get hit and then what will happen is the people that get caught stealing will sign an affidavit that they will not go back to these stores and then what you have is when they do it again, they are charged with burglary.

So, even when you see the simple word burglary, they mean very different things potentially in different boroughs.

2.2

2.3

When you see lower rates unsolved burglaries, that's the traditional climb through the window. You come home from work and now your apartment is ransacked. Sometimes you also see package theft, depending on where the packages fall into this. So, you really need to dig down into the numbers.

RODNEY HARRISON: And if you talk to about case load, I know there was a concern about Manhattan, but the case load for all the boroughs, hand self-case load per detective is 247, Manhattan North is 222.

The Bronx is 192, Brooklyn South is 200, Brooklyn North is 167, Queens South is 170, Queens North is 225 and Staten Island is 140.

So, throughout the city, the average case load per detective in the number squads is going to be 196, which is down from 2018, which is 207. So, we're down 5 percent overall.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But I'm sure now that I mention these clearance rates across the borough, that's something you're going to look at a little bit closer.

DERMOT SHEA: It will be hard to look at it closer because we look at it every single day. We really do, every day we're looking at this. Michael

2.2

2.3

Lipetri this is when he wakes up, goes to bed, he dreams about it. He wakes up in the middle of the night and his wife says he's talking about clearance rates.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, I once got an 18 on a test in high school. That wasn't too good, I'm not ashamed to say it because I graduated but that was the ninth grade when I was goofing off. I don't know if this would even, really bad.

So, this is what people can be feeling actually on the streets when we go out to different communities, they are saying they feel some upticks in some of these areas and if our clearance rates — alone, I meant, we could go back and forth on it.

DERMOT SHEA: I would take Umbridge with this is bad. I would pivot to you have the best police department in the country and I think we should all be proud of it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I agree with you and this is why around bail, we should stop jumping out and talking about bail without conclusive numbers.

Because we do have the best NYPD.

TERRY MONAHAN: If you compare clearance rates of the NYPD to any other police agency in the country,

2.2

2.3

we far exceed them. If you look at Chicago,
specially on homicides, it's not even close.

Shootings, they don't even come close to us. Again,
you're taking a look at a grand larceny where someone
may break into the car in the middle of the night and
steal a wallet out of it, those are not easy crimes
to solve.

So, that will end up as a case that's hard to close but we do this better than anyone in the world and if you take a look at who we arrest and we arrest the same people over and over again and as you talk about bail reform, a lot of these same people, once we arrest the multiple cases, are right back out. We have to re-arrest them.

DERMOT SHEA: Precision policing is all about less enforcement footprint, which we've demonstrated. Lowest era of arrests stop and summons right now and concentrating on people that are doing the most crime but the converse is also true, so that when do make those arrests that Terry mentions, it makes it difficult when they get released.

RODNEY HARRISON: And if I could just say one more last thing then I will pass it over to Chief Lipetri. Neighborhood policing has helped us with a

2.2

2.3

lot of clearance rates. A lot of people are a lot more forthcoming to cooperate with us now because they know their local police officers. They know who their neighborhood coordination officer is and there's a better trust factor that's helping us solve a lot of cases.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, we'll be watching those clearance rates closely as we debate bill reform and I know some of my other colleagues will certainly have a lot more to say on this.

The last question toward DNA and obviously in the budget, we don't clearly see what the NYPD is doing with DNA. What we can see is the forensic investigative division which has a budget of \$53 million. 330 uniform offices and 283 civilian personnel. Can you tell us what portion of this budget goes directly to DNA collection analysis and other DNA focused work?

KRISTINE RYAN: The numbers you're reading off are tied to the lab in total, so we'll have to get you the investigations, the lab works and we'll have to get you a more granular breakout of how the budget breaks out but that's the lab overall.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And this division has a larger budget than the grand larceny division, the special victims division etc. Even if all the money is not focused on DNA, how can you ensure that your DNA collection analysis is not overstepping civil liberties when there are so many resources made available?

DERMOT SHEA: The lab as is currently possession is a mix of inside and outside so, for example, all of the crime scene units that go around New York City on the street responding every day and collecting the evidence, that falls under the lab. As well as the DNA, we could certainly follow up and try to break out individual costs for you but I would argue that that's a small portion of the overall work that the lab does.

When you take a look at all the evidence that is collected and processed beyond DNA, when you look at the ballistic work, there are many, many different pieces to the lab outside of DNA.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And obviously, we had a hearing of 30,000 people in the DNA local database, do have numbers, a breakdown of the ethnicities of those individuals?

2.2

2.3

RODNEY HARRISON: So, I got it Commissioner, sorry. So, Mr. Chair, we talked about a couple new policies that we're putting in place. Unfortunately, at that time on February 25th when we had that hearing, we didn't have those numbers.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We have them now.

RODNEY HARRISON: No, we don't have the numbers now but going forward, we going to use our pet system that's going to help identify the demographics of those individuals that are being put into this DNA local database.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And at that 25th hearing,

I believe the Chief of Detectives stated you would be putting the process for DNA database removal on your website including terms of obtaining a court order and a review process to date and that has not been done. When can we expect to see that on your website?

RODNEY HARRISON: It's going to be done within the very, very near future. There is a couple of things that we are adjusting regarding the entry and as well as the removal for DNA. We looked at the juveniles process, we looked at the consent form. We looked at transparency, making people cognizant about hot to get themselves out of this database.

_

now.

So, I'm comfortable where that policy is right

So, we're doing a lot of different things to

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And Commissioner Shea, do you believe innocent people who have not been convicted of anything should be in this database?

better the system to make sure it's done appropriate.

DERMOT SHEA: I think we've demonstrated through our efforts and the collaborative effort that we've done recently with DOCME and the different district attorney's willingness to listen.

Anytime we collect someone's DNA in that it's regarding a specific verified crime and we're coming up to be more transparent in terms of the policy that's going to be released. We're also doing a facial recognition policy, by the way that is also going to be released. So, that's two of the three and there are clearly stated parameters when you see that policy in terms of changing our polices, changing the collection form, reducing the number of times which is already extremely small. When juveniles DNA is collected, it is extremely small even further reducing that.

2.2

2.3

RODNEY HARRISON: And if I could just jump in Mr. Chairman, sorry to cut you off sir. We're looking within the next year or so to possibly remove close to seven to eight thousand individuals from the DNA database due to the fact that there is new stipulations that were put in place.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And will that include, I mean, well, I hear a year away. Will that include and I think at the last hearing I requested and Mr. Commissioner I want you to answer this, the Howard Beach case specifically and I know you can't get into specifics because there's an appeal going on there but they developed 360 Black men whose DNA were obtained and put into that database and obviously the NYPD built a case against someone. Are those individuals DNA still in that database and how soon can we get their DNA removed being that they weren't convicted of anything?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, well, I don't have the number that you had and I definitely don't have the racial breakdown that you have. So, I'm not sure where you got that from but what I can say about that case is, it is ongoing. So, in terms of the details of the criminal case and the collection of evidence, I won't

2.2

2.3

comment on that but as I said a moment ago, we have in an effort of transparency and working collaboratively with the different district attorneys, working with the office of the Chief Medical Examiner, we have come up with a new policy that we think is fair and will be a step forward in terms of you know, fairer justice and utilizing these collection methods in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, I believe 99 percent of those individuals are Black men and I'm hoping that they can at least get an apology and secondly, their DNA be removed immediately since they were not convicted of anything. So, that needs to be done yesterday and we look forward to hearing a lot more conversation, less conversation actually around these gentlemen's DNA being taken out of that system.

I will now turn it over to my colleagues. I mean, I have a lot more questions but in lieu of time, we will certainly go to my colleagues for questions.

So, we'll start with Council Member Lancman and we're going to put a clock on for five minutes for colleagues and if there is more time, we'll come

2.2

2.3

around for a second round. And so, we'll start with Council Member Lancman.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon Commissioner and to your team.

DERMOT SHEA: Good afternoon.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Daily News, March 3rd, murders up 20 percent in year to year comparison, March 3, 2015. At the time, we were told well, you know, in the course of a long 20 year decrease that started in the last two years of the Dinkins Administration a decrease in crime, there will be some months, some weeks, maybe even a year or two where crime edges up but the Department will gets hands on the situation and keep that crime number going down.

So, I was very surprised and my colleague and I were very surprised, that's why we wrote you a letter, when after a one month crime spike in January from 2020 over 2019, you declared bail reform to be the culprit. And we sent you a letter, we sent you a letter which highlighted the fact that of the index crimes January 2020 verses 2019, there was an increase according to the NYPD's own data released on February 4th of 1,222 more crimes this year than last

2.2

2.3

year, a 17 percent increase. And then when a political reported asked you to break those down and tell us, well how many of those crimes would have been people who were now no longer eligible for bail under the new law, it turns out it's only about 84 out of those 1,222 or seven percent of the January increase could conceivably, possibly be attributable to people who had been let out or otherwise might have been sitting in Rikers Island because they couldn't afford bail.

Can we today at this hearing, put to rest your assertion that the bail reform law that took affect on January 1st is in any way responsible for the nearly 17 percent increase in index crimes in January, when your own numbers show that at most, 7 percent of those crimes could possibly be attributable to bail reform?

DERMOT SHEA: Respectfully, I disagree nearly with most of the assertions that you just recounted.

I'll let my dig into the numbers, those number are fluid but I do stand behind my comments. We have,

I've been doing this a long time. One thing I do know is crime in New York City and we have never seen a month like January of 2020. It was the breadth of

2.2

2.3

what we saw, it was almost universally all crime categories. It was double digit crime increases; it was the largest crimes increase going back. I stopped counting at ten years in terms of one month in New York City.

This is on the hills of almost a 20 percent decrease in the Rikers Island population in the proceeding month. Not only do I disagree, I stand firmly behind my comments and now we have a second month in a row.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Is there anything in the math that I gave you because -

DERMOT SHEA: I think you're missing some of the details though, so crime takes time to play out as arrests are made. Councilman Richards mentioned clearance rates, as cases are solved, Mike will get into how those numbers are growing. That's one aspect of what I knew at the time. That doesn't take into effect for example desk appearance tickets. Those are never making those numbers. It doesn't take into effect what we're seeing in terms of, you have to remember that we've cut dramatically our footprint in how we police New York City.

2.2

2.3

2 I've said it three times already today and we're 3 proud of it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: We've been doing that for six years though Commissioner and Commissioners before you, Commissioner O'Neill and certainly Commissioner Bratton sat in that chair in various ways shape or form and told us, if you enact this reform, crime is going to go up and crime has not gone up. And now, we have a one month spike, one of many one month spikes.

DERMOT SHEA: We're into our third month.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: One of many such spikes over the course of the 20 year decline in crime.

DERMOT SHEA: I agree with you Councilman in your statement and I've said it, it has come out of my lips many times that you better be real careful when you make statements about crime on short term fluctuations because you can expect fluctuations in crime.

What we saw in January was not a fluctuation in crime, it was a categorical shift based on a couple of factors here. You have to understand the pipeline; we have never had a 20 percent drop in the New York City prison system in a 30 day period. That

2.2

2.3

is seismic and we should not be surprised by the results we got. 20 percent of think of the last six years of precision policing. Rikers Island used to be 21,000 people, it was down to 7,000 and then 20 percent were locked out.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Do you have any data on whether or not the individuals who committed these offenses were people who were released from Rikers Island in the month of December? Because relying just on bailable offenses, the numbers just don't add up.

empirical data. I also have anecdotal evidence that bail reform is part of the spike in crime namely the violent crime, UCR seven majors in New York City. I can stay here all day long and go over the many factors that contribute to a criminology study.

Alright, this was done scientifically with data scientists that work directly in my office. It was shaped by myself who I think I have many, many years of experience shaping the data that I think we have the most accurate analysis. And I will keep this in the simplest terms but it is very, very difficult to keep in simple terms and like the Commissioner said,

2.2

2.3

| there are so many confounding variables that affect |
|---|
| bail reform but the simplest term, what you're asking |
| for is the empirical data on non-bail eligible |
| felonies correct? |

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Sure.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: So, that's what we did. So, we looked at non-bail eligible felonies and when we look at non-bail eligible felonies from January 1st of this year to February 28th of this year compared to the same period, apples to apples in 2019, we see 482 people who have reoffended more than 800 times compared to less than 600 times in 2019.

What's very concerning is -

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Sorry, just so I understand, 800 to 600?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: That is correct. What is very concerning.

DERMOT SHEA: At a time when less arrests are being made.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Right, I'm going to drill down on this. What is very concerning is, within those 800 crimes, there are 35 percent of those or 299 have been seven majors, compared to 18 percent or 109.

25 So, that is an increase of 3 times, 2.7 times.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

| 2 | С | COUNCIL | MEMBER | LANCMAN: | So, | that's | about, | you |
|---|------|---------|--------|----------|-----|--------|--------|-----|
| 3 | said | 299? | | | | | | |

MICHAEL LIPETRI: 299 but those individuals who were on a non-bail eligible felony.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: In those two months?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: In those two months.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Right.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: And that's arrests. I think the Councilman hit on something before which obviously Chief Monahan discussed. You know, our clearance rate, we're very proud of our clearance rate. Look, we want to be 100 percent but these are people arrested. This is not people who we know are reoffending a lot more across the City of New York with 7,000 less arrests and I'm just talking about, this does not include our declined prosecutions which are through the roof. And a lot of people say well, why are your declined prosecutions through the roof, so I'm going to give you an example. One of those examples is a non-bail eligible seven major, on burglary third degree and burglary second degree. Who are your pattern burglars in New York City right now? Those are your pattern burglars. They are not your burglary first degrees because a lot of these

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

burglars are arrested after the fact because that's

why we drop seven arrests on them at one time.

So, to become a burglary first degree, you have to have a weapon on. You have to have an explosive on you at the time of that arrest. You have to cause a physical injury. That's not your pattern burglar. Your pattern burglar is the person that's going into somebody's dwelling, burglarizing it on a district modus operandi, going across the street, using the same modus operandi to push through an air conditioner into that person dwelling and that person could be home, it doesn't affect the crime. will then go down the block and commit the same burglary, so after investigations, we want it sooner but sometimes later, we charge that person with seven burglaries. That person because of bail reform will not be charged with those seven burglaries and here's why. Because that is an absolute non-bail eligible felony. So, what does that do? All those crimes is modus operandi, which means the subject matter all has to be met on your initial arrest, which means discovery has to be all turned over for seven burglary arrests. So, now you're talking about DNA,

24

2.2

2.3

you're talking about photos, you're talking about
interviews, you're talking about traceable property.

So, it is impossible to charge all those crimes.

So, what we are seeing for the two months of looking at this bail reform empirically is that, that person will only be charged with one of those burglaries.

They will get an RR and then the other six will be deferred for a later time, so we can have everything in order to turn over the discovery. If not, that case will be declined prosecuted within 15 days.

So, again, these are anecdotal but also empirical things that need to be talked about. Let's look at arraignments. What I just described to you before on the increase of almost three times of the seven majors on non-bail eligible and let's ask the victims if it matters to them because that's what they all are and these are serious crimes. These are robbery third degrees. What's driving the robberies in the City of New York today? I'll tell you what's driving the robberies; robberies aided by another, by groups of males across the city and what is that? That is a bail reform must release no bail.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Isn't that what Kalief Browder was arrested for, robbery aided by another?

2.2

2.3

I mean, not every robbery aided by another is a serious violent felony. I just want to understand that.

DERMOT SHEA: I would disagree. I mean if you are beating somebody up and taking their property and breaking their eye socket, that's a robbery and that's awfully different.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But you don't need to do that level of violence for it to be robbery aided by another but go ahead, I want to hear your whole —

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Okay, because I have more empirical data that I think is very, very important.

So, again, we see an increase in non-bail eligible felonies only this year by almost three times, almost 200 more this year. What that data is showing again, you have to be arrested after your initial offence. So, if you committed that crime after your initial offence, it doesn't count. You could have done six burgs in December but charged in February after initial. That was taken out by my analyst. The other thing is this does not include decline prosecutions. When I just look at arraignment status, we are releasing people, 72 percent of individuals that are arraigned on a non-

2.2

2.3

bail eligible felony this year, are either RR'd, DP'd or DAT'd compared to 58 percent last year. That's 14 percent and again, we're down 7,000 arrests. Let's drill down on it a little bit more. Let's look at our robbery and burglary non-bail eligible felons. That then goes up to 16 percent.

Okay, then when we look at the agers driving 30 percent, 30 percent of our robbery arrests, again arrests, are under the age of 18. With the changing law as the NYPD has always stated, supported, but with the things that we're seeing as far as who is making it into the youth part criminal part. Who is getting adjusted with probation and who is going to then call up counsel family court. You know, we have a lot of questions and that is specifically what the Police Commissioner has asked me to do during the youth forum and the closer meeting that I'm having with probation on Friday is really getting to the adjustment period.

You know, why are we seeing youth under the age of 18 arrested four or five times after they were placed on probation? So, we have questions, we have concerns, I have empirical data, I can speak you, you know, anytime. I invite you up to my office and

2.2

2.3

drill down even more but I will say, law enforcement recidivism only grows during time. This is only two months of data and these are re-arrests. This is

5 only going to grow. This will only grow.

DERMOT SHEA: Councilman, if Mike could just, because I know everyone wants to move on but can you just mention though too because I think it's helpful, the desk appearance tickets in a second. And as he gives you that information, which again is contributing to crime and is directly tied to the bail reform. But I want to say on the record again, what I've said every time I've spoken about bail reform, we support the bail reform law. We 100 percent support the bail reform law.

You mentioned Kalief Browder, so whether it's somebody sitting in Rikers Island that can't make bail and somebody that does the same crime is getting out should be leveled. We 100 percent think that was right and just. Somebody sitting in Rikers Island being forced to take a plea because they can't see the evidence against them, 100 percent was the right thing to do, correct it.

So, we support the law, we think that the law with minor changes to help public safety can keep the

2.2

2.3

2 spirit of the intention of the law. But if you could 3 just mention the DAT.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Yes, thank you Commissioner.

So, across the state the NYPD was one of the only municipal police departments that they used a DAT procedure and we used it for many reasons and they were usually for low level offences for people that we felt were not a public safety risk and when we looked at the new procedure that was built into the criminal justice reform, we have tons of concerns and those concerns have just come to fruition.

So, let me just start it off with a very simple analysis in that going back again to non-bail eligible arrests, again, you cannot get bail from a judge. When we look at the percentage of DAT's that are given this year compared to last year, 12 percent or 818 were given DAT's. Which means they walk right out of the Precinct station house and in the past, the procedure was written, you cannot be on payroll, you cannot be on probation. You know, stricter identification rules. That was all taken away from us and now, with those same bail eligible felonies compared to this year to last year, last year we gave 63. So, 63 people qualified last year, so they had

2.2

2.3

to go to an arraignment. Where this year, 818 people walked out of Precinct station houses and the anecdotal evidence to that is, we arrest people on grand larceny of an order. The stealing of a motor vehicle.

They get a DAT just like somebody that walks into a department store and takes a shirt off the rack. Also gets a DAT and we have anecdotal evidence that individuals that we arrest for grand larceny auto multiple times this year have walked out of a precinct station house on a DAT for a grand larceny auto, walked two blocks, jumped into a motor vehicle that was running and stole that vehicle.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So, my time is expired.

I do want to say that the data that you cite with the fewer number of people who are sitting in bail, sitting in Rikers Island, the fewer number of people who are getting DAT's. The fewer number of people, those are all laudable goals and for many of us, that represents the success of bail reform and in order for you to persuade me and I think anybody else, that these reforms are causing the spike in crime, you're going to need much more than just anecdotal evidence.

2.2

2.3

MICHAEL LIPETRI: I gave you empirical. I could give you much, much more.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: If I had the time, we could go back and forth with them, I would be happy to sit with you and go through this in detail.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: I welcome it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But the letter that the Chairman and I sent to the Commissioner, which we have not had a response to, lays out data and math and nothing that you've said to me today refutes the data and the math of the felonies of the crimes that have been committed and who have been arrested for committing those crimes.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: I disagree with you wholeheartedly. I have the empirical data; I don't know if you understanding what I was saying but I disagree. I disagree.

DERMOT SHEA: But we welcome to sit down and the point about everything that you hold as good, as do we. I think that as you said earlier Council Member, everything we do has to be balanced. Whether it's using technologies, respecting the rights of people and privacy issues, but keeping the public safe and I would make the same argument here. Of course, we

| want to get as many people as we can out of Rikers |
|---|
| Island, sitting in prison upstate. Of course, we |
| want to do that. We demonstrated the last six years |
| as we have significantly worked with prosecutors, |
| worked with nonprofits, worked with the Council, |
| rewritten our policies internally, rewritten laws. I |
| mean, when you look at a 50 percent reduction in |
| crime, that's directly led to a reduction in Rikers |
| and then therefore a reduction in state prison |
| populations. That was all accomplished before the |
| bail reform law, and we welcome other opportunities |
| to it. But very quick movements such as I cited with |
| a 20 percent drop, without safety nets in place, |
| without options for judges. I think that when you |
| look at some of the data that Mike has, when you look |
| at some of the stories that you see in newspapers |
| etc., there's got to be a middle ground and that's |
| what I welcome. |

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you and we're going to request you bring that data.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: You've got to also think about the stories of the people who are not sitting in Rikers and are with their families. Those are stories to.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MICHAEL LIPETRI: We agree, look, we agree with that but again, where we look at the individuals that are getting out because of non-bail eligible felons, that is not those people. These people are convicted felons, these people are committing crimes all across the city and again, I gave you arrest data, I did not give you -

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, alright, we're going to move on from this because I got to get to my colleagues. Council Member's Rosenthal followed by Rosenthal, Gibson, Deutsch, Brannan, Miller, Perkins.

But we're requesting that data by the way.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much Chair and thank you Commissioner and all of your staff for being here. I want to preface my question by just making it clear that I encourage all victims of sexual assault to come forward to the NYPD Special Victims Division in order to get justice. encourage them to go to a crime victim treatment center, the Alliance Against Sexual Assault in order to get their own personal healing together.

So, I really want to make sure that's very, very clear because I've been, you know, that's not what's

2.2

2.3

been in the paper. And so, I want to make it very
clear that I encourage people to come forward always.

I'd like ask and confirm a couple of the numbers and this is data that on the Special Victims Division that a couple of years ago we passed legislation requiring this data be posted and so, I've looked at that data and that's the source of my questions.

So, first of all, I see that just looking at the adult squad, which is what the DOI report was about.

I see an increase in rape cases between 2018 and 2019 of 288. That's what your data shows. So, we have an increase in adult cases.

The case load again, this is only looking at the adult squad, which is what the DOI report was about. The case load came down very meaningfully. So, prior to the report coming out, the caseload for the adult squad in some years was as high as 80 cases per detective. Sometimes 70 per detective and now it's in the low mid-50's.

So, there's a meaningful drop in caseload, there's a real attention being paid. I would argue that 50 cases per detective is still far too high and we're not doing enough. We're not giving them enough tools, right. We want to see that number come down

2.2

2.3

even more because I believe and I hear this from the advocates, that 50 itself is still way too high.

I want to look at the child caseload. The child squad caseload. So, I'm looking at the numbers you know, there are two columns: adult caseload and total child caseload. So, in 2019, the caseload was 308 cases per detective. Can you explain to me how any detective can rightfully investigate a case if their caseload is 308?

RODNEY HARRISON: Councilwoman, good afternoon.

Rodney Harrison Chief of Detectives. So, if you

don't mind, I'm going to just real quickly discuss

the adult squad cases and it's at 55 cases per

investigator and I know you —

asked about the child's squad and the reason I'm asking is because I'm concerned that the DOI report focused on adult cases where you have successfully brought down the caseload to a number that many advocates would argue is still way too high but the child's squad has suffered, has suffered, 300 cases per detective. So, I'd like to understand how any detective can investigate child sexual abuse cases if

2.2

2.3

| 2 | they | have | 308 | cases | at | any | given | time. | That' | S | my |
|---|-------|------|-----|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|---|----|
| 3 | quest | ion. | | | | | | | | | |

RODNEY HARRISON: So, I don't have the cases for the child abuse squads.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I can tell you. The number of cases opened in 2019 was roughly the same as the number opened by the adult squad.

RODNEY HARRISON: Okay, Councilwoman -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Roughly it's going to be between 5,800 and 6,400.

RODNEY HARRISON: Councilwoman.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But the number of detectives is half, so I can't understand.

RODNEY HARRISON: I can guarantee you that they are not holding 300 cases -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I am only reporting from your statistics.

RODNEY HARRISON: I will have to get back to you. That cannot be accurate.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: From your public website and my last question because I don't want to go back and forth on this Chair, my last question has to do with the length of time and the training that you give to the Special Victims division, which you

2.2

2.3

now publish on your website. I added up the weeks and it's three weeks, four days and three hours.

Remarkably, every single detective passed every single exam, 100 percent in the first round. Which is interesting to me, wow. But I want to know, what's the length of training for somebody who is in the motorcycle squad? Is it more or less than three weeks?

RODNEY HARRISON: Alright, so Councilwoman let's just go over some of training that's being given to the Special Victims division. Going into March 11th and 12th, we're going to make sure 50 of the investigators have the FETI training. That means now that 85 members of the service that are assigned to Special Victims will still be looking to get FETI trained. We're going to give another FETI training in June and you know, once again, we've had intimate conversations about the numerous amount of training that the Special Victim Investigators get. They get the trauma informed training which is distributed and conducted by the Mayor's Office.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, there are four hours of classroom instruction in trauma informed interview training. This is all in your website.

2.2

2.3

For the SVD training, they get five days and there are, the examinations are administered at the beginning and upon completion of the course, evaluating the students proficiency, 100 percent pass rate for the SVD training.

So, yes, you have five days for an SVD. You have four hours for the trauma informed and for FETI, you have seven days and that says, the students successful completion of the training delineates passing proficiently.

RODNEY HARRISON: So, if I understand your question correctly, you have a concern about everybody passing the training. Is that what your implying?

RODNEY HARRISON: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I have three concerns.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: One, that three weeks is not enough and what I'm hearing from experts and what is the standard across the country is closer to ten to fifteen weeks. And secondly, I'm noticing that while the legislation asked for whether or not somebody success — you know, a report on whether or not someone successfully passed a class, that information is not given for over half of the

2.2

2.3

classes. Over 80 percent of the classes are power points. So, sitting in a room with a power point instruction and I'm concerned that the FETI training with the high rate with the high rate and I really don't want to get into here, but the fact that you have 50 more detectives getting FETI training in the upcoming weeks, tells me that 50 left, because the last time we met, you let me know that 100 percent of the detectives had FETI training.

So, I'm concerned that 50 have left since the last time we talked. I'm glad you got 50 more in, I'm glad they are getting FETI training but that does raise a specter of concern as well.

And my time is up.

RODNEY HARRISON: Thank you.

DERMOT SHEA: Councilwoman, first on the adult squads, thank you for recognizing that the shift downward in the caseloads per investigator.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh no, I don't want you to misunderstand what I've said, because I have had this experience with you misunderstanding my trying to be polite and gracious.

The number of the cases per detective in the adult squad is woefully high, woefully high. It is

2.2

2.3

at least two or three times higher than what it should be. So, please do not mischaracterize my generous kind statement with approval for where we are. I in no way shape or form am happy with where we are in the Special Victims division. A decrease from 80 cases to 50 means a decrease from horrible to bad. Okay, and now with the child's squad at 308 cases per detective, beyond even what the DOI report looked at for the adult squad is shameful. So, please don't mischaracterize what I have said Commissioner.

DERMOT SHEA: To the multiple points there. To the child's squad, I'll commit to Rodney can take a second look at that and we are absolutely committed if there is a problem with the caseload, with the child investigations. We will move resources to correct absolutely —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Move from outside of the Special Victims division or within the Special Victims division?

DERMOT SHEA: It would be from outside if it — COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Let's be clear.

DERMOT SHEA: I am being clear. If it is in fact a problem. I will point out that not all of those

2.2

2.3

cases involve, just for the room, not all of those cases involve sexual abuse, child abuse as well. But if there is a problem with the caseload that I am unaware of, we will absolutely move to correct it and we commit to that on the record.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, alright, we're going to Council Member Gibson, Deutsch then Brannan.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you Chair Richards. Good afternoon Commissioner to you and everyone here. While I have five minutes, I'm going to try to spit out as much as I can. But really want to thank you for your partnership. This City Council since I've been here for seven years we work very, very closely on a number of priorities that are mutually beneficial and that we hear from many of our constituents.

So, Chair Richards mentioned it and I also want to emphasize civilianization. It's been a topic we've talked extensively about for the past several years FY's '16,'17 and '18, we've been able to civilianize about 416 positions and that's been a great step of progress but we obviously want to take that to another level. So, yes, to more civilianization. Yes, to continued conversations

2.2

2.3

with OMB. And another topic that I always talk about and my colleagues certainly always talk about, school crossing guards. In the last couple of weeks, we've seen unfortunately horrific crashes with children and pedestrians. DOT is doing their part but I also feel that we have to do more around school crossing guards.

The last report that we got from the Council in January, we saw a vacancy of 189 positions throughout the City of New York. So, while we've provided better recruitment efforts outside of the Precinct, we've done a lot but we want to do more. The working conditions, the salary. Majority of school crossing guards are women and women of color. We also want to make sure we have more. The interagency coordination with PD, SCA, DOE has to be tight as we open new schools in September, the school crossing guard should already be in place.

And so, I want to understand that where areas are hard to recruit out of that 189, how we can identify that and I have a question on the Mayor's action plan for neighborhood safety. Many of us represent many residents in public housing, in NYCHA, our PSA's.

Grateful to hear that the Youth Coordination officers

2.2

2.3

are enrolled into housing as well. That's a good thing but the Neighborhood Map program, the 15 developments we've had for several years, many have talked to us about expanding that beyond the 15.

We've seen a reduction in index crimes in those 15 but in other parts of NYCHA, we have not. We've seen an increase in index crimes.

So, I wanted to understand what we're doing, how we're working with the Administration on the Mayor's action plan for neighborhood safety and I had a quick question and Commissioner you alluded a lot around technology and I appreciate the work the Department is doing. Facial recognition, the cell phone towers, stingrays, license plate readers, I don't think it's that unreasonable to ask for a process by which we can make sure that we're holding everybody accountable.

So, when we have legislation like the Post Act or anything else, where we're talking about developing an impact and use policy, with a public process for the residents of this city to provide input, I don't think that unreasonable. So, would love to continue to have dialogue with the Department as well as many of our civil rights, our activists and agencies. We

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

can do both, we can keep people safe and we can respect everyone's individual civil rights.

The last question I had; I hope you're taking The capital list, I didn't see the 40 precinct in the Bronx, so I want to make sure we're good with funding on that and Rodman's Neck. residents of City Island in the Bronx had been living with Rodman's Neck for years. We have a plan to make it noise resistant. I don't know where we are with the capital. I understand we're currently in design but for the sake of the residents of City Island, we need to bring them some relief and general precinct upgrades, I know we can't upgrade every single precinct but not just for the works inside, the people that come in, we have to look at more capital upgrades; HVAC, air conditioning, we talk about that a lot as if it's a luxury, it should be a necessity.

Capital upgrades so that everyone feels a sense of pride when they walk in a precinct but also the staff that work inside feel a sense of pride as well.

So, I got all of my questions out. Now, you guys can take my last minute and rock out all my questions. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

FAUSTO PICHARDO: Council Member, I certainly appreciate you affording us the remaining time for us to articulate all your answers.

Listen, I think there's not a person in the City of New York, if not the State of the country who would arguably not have their hearts broken by the tragic events of last week with those two kids the last couple of weeks in the 75th Precinct in East New York.

To answer your question about the school crossing guards. A tremendous undertaking no doubt, but the status of where we are today, we are short about 159 school crossing guards. Just this week, just this week, 53 school crossing guards finished their training and that's the second class in the year 2020. The third consecutive month in December, we put out 69 school crossing guards, 53 in January, anther 53 that came out this week and we're slated to hire another 50 plus in March.

You spoke of difficulty in recruiting and we annotate that and compound it with our social media platforms of course, on Facebook and Twitter. Our Build A Block meetings, our Neighborhood Coordination Officers. We reach out to our community boards and

2.2

2.3

community partners and certainly you. You've played a tremendous role in making sure that we get the word out that the NYPD is hiring school crossing guards. If you overlay that with the fact that we were able to hire approximately 92 level 2 crossing guards and we talk about the opportunity for school crossing guards level one for them to move on to a full time position as a school crossing guard level 2, which are supervisors who are afforded the opportunity to move resources when someone calls out. To make a little extra money, to have full time capabilities.

And we recognize the tremendous job and how precious our school crossing guards are and that's why last year in 2019, we had our first school crossing guard ceremony, where we honored 39 school crossing guards throughout the city because of all the great work that they do day in and day out.

So, we'll continue together with you to push out those messages that we are hiring. That we are looking for more school crossing guards, not just police officers and traffic agents and school safety agents but school crossing guards as well.

So, thank you for being our partners and thank you for helping us push that message out.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, thank you for the 3 update.

Precinct. It is in construction, so that's moving forward with the \$75 million investment in capital that we've made there. For Rodman's Neck, it is in design and we do have some progress on the sound abatement. We've identified a temporary sound abatement measure and we're working to move forward on the procurement for that. And with regard to the precincts, as you are aware, we have a precinct enhancement program, which continues to have \$6 million in the baseline to work on improving the conditions within the precincts and there is also a continued capital investment for the bathrooms and for the locker rooms and other precinct specific work.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, that's citywide, \$6 million?

KRISTINE RYAN: That's on the expense side for ongoing work.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Got it, okay.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: I'm sorry, can someone answer my question about housing please. Okay, thank you.

DAVID BARRERE: Dually assigned Chief of housing. Thank you, the MAP has been very successful over the past years. In the past six weeks, we've took a look at all the developments. Right now, in terms of MAP, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice would have a final say on that but we are clearly open to expanding. Its been a very successful program in housing and we would definitely like to expand it.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, we'll have to talk to you more about that since there are other developments, we need to pay attention to the not in the Neighborhood MAP program.

DAVID BARRERE: Yes, ma'am absolutely, looking forward to it.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Deutsch,

Brannan, Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you, good afternoon. First, I just want to say thank you Police Commissioner and I mean this literally, thank you for sticking to your guns on bail reform and I

| think that a bail reform is a cheap inexpensive and |
|---|
| easy way out that the state put this law into place |
| and what we need to do is promote and prevent people |
| from going into jails and also to prevent those bail |
| eligible crimes from actually happening and what |
| should be done is that we should double and triple |
| our efforts to reducing gun violence and increasing |
| youth programs and bringing in real mental health |
| resources as well as job training, job opportunities |
| and job fairs and also, when it comes to SYEP, Summer |
| Youth Employment Program, there are thousands of kids |
| who are still left behind. And we need to double and |
| triple our efforts to make sure that these young |
| adults have a place to go during the summer months |
| and also throughout the year |

And this is what we need to do and this is what the state needs to do in order to prevent these incidents from happening. So, I commend you and I commend your staff for being very vocal, as I have been since before January. So, thank you very much.

So, my question is that was just a comment, my question is, is that we always say the NYPD is the best in the nation and we all agree that the NYPD

2.2

2.3

offices are underpaid and they don't receive a fair market pay.

Now, being that here in the city what we have seen since 911 all those attempts of terrorism acts and also what happened in Muncie and also Jersey City, that our schools are under protected. We do have an initiative in the City Council, which is \$19 million to protect private schools and those schools have private school security. And they are state certified, they are not certified here by the New York City Police Department or by New York City at all.

So, my question is, is that would you support in this initiative for private school security for it to be going through NYPD pay detail? So, this way we have offices, NYPD offices who are protecting these institutions and secondary, it would also give these offices extra opportunity to receive income to support their families.

DERMOT SHEA: I'll start it off and I have Deputy

Commissioner Legal Matters Ernie Hart who just came

up.

And this is not probably the answer that you want, but anything that keeps kids safe, I will

2.2

2.3

absolutely have that conversation. Whether or not we were allowed to do it, I would need more details and I'm not sure if there is anything ongoing regarding that particular issue.

ERNEST HART: I think that's the point. There is some legal issues, there is some union issues with that. So, I've already spoken to the Commissioner about this but if it comes to me, I'll take a look at it but I will tell you that there are some issues connected to that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Can you just give me like one, a legal issue.

ERNEST HART: One legal issue is having, are we talking about NYPD in private schools?

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: NYPD Paid Details outside of private schools.

ERNEST HART: In private schools. Well, the Paid

Details is a union issue and whether or not because

for example, the union believes that a Paid Detail

should be compensated at a different rate. Overtime

for example, we've had this similar problem when we

are dealing with elections and Paid Detail. So,

that's are real union issue.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, I don't understand.
3 So, we're talking about overtime, let's say if you
4 have a house of worship that has security through the

5 NYPD Paid Detail, why is that any different?

ERNEST HART: I'm just saying it's a union issues, I'm not saying impossible.

TERRY MONAHAN: We've had union issues; I've dealt with union. With the board of election because that was a state run agency to have a uniformed officer to work in the state.

In a private school, it's probably something we could look at, we're going to have to dive deeper but again, the amount of private schools whether or not there is the personnel available. We're having trouble right now filling all the requests that are coming in, but that is something we could definitely sit down and take a look at.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, it's a personal issue, so it's not a legal issue.

ERNEST HART: No, no, no, I'm not saying that, it can be a legal issue. There was an issue for example when you had public school teachers going into private schools. That was something that had to be worked through. There are legal issues; I'm not

2.2

2.3

2 saying that's impossible, but I have to take a look 3 at it, that's all.

DERMOT SHEA: Councilman, as I said, anything whether you're talking school crossing guards, with that you know, Fausto talked about two young children last week or safety in general at schools. Anything that is going to keep kids safe, we will sit down and have that conversation and if there's obstacles that we can work around it, we will absolutely have that conversation with you or anyone else on the Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Alright, thank you.

DERMOT SHEA: Council Member Richards if I may, since we're already over but we're among friends and we always go over. You know, I mentioned before committing to reducing the caseload on the child squads and I just want it on the record that I was just handed what was posted on our website. It is the Bronx average caseload is 67.6, Brooklyn is 74, Manhattan is 71.8, Queens is 54.9, Staten Island is 40.

When you add those up, it is 308. The caseload for a child abuse investigator is not 308. That is the adding up of the average of all five. So, on the record, that point is clarified.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. I'm going to
3 go to Council Member Brannan, Miller and Perkins.
4 I'm glad to see you're keeping up Commissioner

5 O'Neill's timing consistency.

DERMOT SHEA: Only because I took so long at the beginning. I figure we're among friends, we'll add it to the end.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Thank you Chair.

Commissioner, can I ask about Finest Care, the mental health pilot that was rolled out with New York

Presbyterian. I wanted to know if you could give us an idea of how many officers have taken advantage of that program.

BEN TUCKER: Well, you know, we kicked it off in October of 2019 and started to get calls immediately from our offices and so, over time and where we are to date, well over 150 calls, and I don't have the figures with me but I will tell you that and preface this by saying two things. One is that when we spoke with New York Presbyterian and we put together our memorandum of understanding as to how we would manage the process. One of the things we were concerned about is given data out and we worked out an arrangement where we built a firewall, so that we

2.2

2.3

could at least identify you know, what the numbers are and have them provide us aggregate information but not officer specific information as you can imagine.

One of the challenges and the reason for that is because our officers and we recognize that there is some stigma attached perhaps and we don't want our offices to actually decide not to take advantage of the services that New York Presbyterian is providing in that respect because they are worried about confidentiality.

So, we're keeping that confidentiality. We have kept it. We get some numbers from them, aggregate numbers on how many people they've seen on the nature of the conditions that they're treating but we've been reluctant to really give specific data in that regard.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: I'm not looking for anything more. I mean, you're saying there's been about 150 calls.

BEN TUCKER: Yeah, actually people taking advantage. 90 people came in and were moved forward in the process and so, we have some of those folks continuing to receive services on a regular basis.

_

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Okay. There's been a lot of talk over the years with the IBO and some other groups that have looked into modifications of work schedules that might alleviate some of the stress and pressures on the officers. Has the Department considered any modification toward length in trying to work on the work life balance and that kind of thing?

DERMOT SHEA: The answer is yes, and it's something that has come up many times over the years and its come up as recently as this week internally in discussions. Our office of Management, Analysis and Planning I think probably everyone sitting at this table, we have discussed it in some form just in the last two weeks in terms of whether you're talking about ten hour tours or twelve hour tours or varying shifts. To Councilman Lancman's point earlier, I want data on this as well. In terms of who is for it, who is against it, what does it really show, what does it show in terms of increased sick. Everything, positives and negatives, what does it really cost?

Is there increased cost in terms of overtime?

So, the short answer is yes, we are looking at it. The second phase to that answer is, we are not

2.

at a place yet where we are comfortable making any decisions on altering the existing schedule. But it is still something that is very much on the table.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you sir. We'll go to Council Member Miller followed by Miller, Perkins.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you Chair Richards. Good afternoon Commissioner, you and your team. We thank you for being here. I especially wanted to thank you for coming out to southeast Queens last week. The community was well informed and it was a good time. On that, there was a few unanswered questions and I was told that we would receive some of that information and not necessarily at today's hearing but today is as good a time to talk about some of the things that were mentioned there.

Including obviously there was once again a very aggressive defense of the position that NYPD is taken on bail reform and so, obviously that position requires an answer to their lives and what we're seeing or at least what you are seeing.

And so, we've seen at least peripherally an increase in the number of stops and frisks citywide, in particularly in southeast Queens but we've seen it

2.2

2.3

throughout in discussing it with my colleagues, so we'd like to know what those numbers were have we seen. I know that in January there was an increase, we seen another increase in that and also, in your testimony there are statements about the use of technology, DNA testing, facial recognition, drones and alike. And so, we also asked questions last week about this and we were going to get some clarifications on what policy was to ensure that civil liberties were being respected.

So, we want to talk about those two and then finally, the easy stuff is, no, I wouldn't say that, New York City Transit or MTA properties in crime has gone up over the past two years particularly as it relates to MTA employees.

Last year, during this hearing, we asked for specific data about assaults on those employees. Do you have that data and if so, what are we doing to resolve and mitigate and keep those employees safe and then finally, commercial truck enforcement. Last year, we passed legislation that went into effect, Local Law 74, which amended Local Law 74 and it went into effect in August and that was an increase in commercial truck fines, which either it exceeded

2.2

2.3

their three hour loading limit or they were parked overnight in residential communities. They went from \$250 to \$500 for the second. I'm sorry, \$250 to \$500 for the second and now they are \$400 and \$800 for the second but we know that historically throughout the city, that officers are still writing \$65 parking tickets and that does not deter these trucks from parking in these residential communities.

DERMOT SHEA: In rapid order, Commissioner Tucker is going to hit on the stop question and frisk.

Chief Lipetri is going to hit on the assaults on the MTA workers and then Billy Morris, if you could hit the summonses on the trucks.

BEN TUCKER: So, on the year to date numbers,
2019 versus 2020. We're up 3.9 percent on stops
citywide and that's again, that with 7,000 fewer
arrests and we had an uptick at the end of '19, so in
'19 we had 13,251 stops and that was up 200 plus from
2018. But those numbers are as I said, are pretty
tiny and they continue to be you know, given where we
were years ago. The number of stops reported in 2019
represent 71.3 percent decrease from 2014 when we
were at 93.1 percent decrease from 2013 as well.

2.

,

So, the numbers are, they're not remarkable and so, we haven't connected, we haven't looked at this in a way that's a guess that somehow stops are somehow on the rise.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: The numbers do suggest that they are.

BEN TUCKER: Wait, wait, no, I mean, when I say they are not on the rise, they are not on the rise with respect to the actual number of stops, we don't think. So, the Chairman asked the question earlier with respect to the auditors. And when we talked about the budget, we spoke about the budget and you asking what the management audits were and so, what they are, they are people that we're hiring in our Risk Management Bureau specifically to look at our quality assurance division to infuse our quality assurance division with additional staff to monitor the number of stops.

I mean, one of the things that we've been doing in our work with the monitor, federal monitor, over time these past six years is look at compliance and how we get there and the way in which we have been getting there is as we bring new procedures onboard and our auditors go out and look at what's happening

2.2

in commands, they review body one camera video. They check and ensure that the individuals, our individual officers out there making stops are actually recording those stops. And so, compliance really matters in these cases. The fact is, the more we look and pay attention to and do the audits, if we see deficiencies or we believe that officers aren't filling out the requisite paperwork, we believe that it is now getting traction. So, that would account we believe for the increase in some cases.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Good afternoon. So, as far as capturing the data on assaults of MTA workers, we do capture that data. So, my office does have that data. I just don't have it with me.

Last year, we were slightly down in assaults on MTA workers. This year we see a slight uptick but it is something that we recognize and we take it very, very seriously. Actually, right before I got here, I was reviewing a case for CompStat on where an MTA employer was assaulted.

So, it's something that we do look at and we do capture that data.

2.2

2.3

| COUNCIL MEMBER | | | | MILLER: Is that all as | | | assau | ssaults | | | |
|----------------|-----------|------|-----|------------------------|-----|----|-------|---------|------|-------|----|
| | including | twos | and | threes | and | no | mat | ter | what | level | of |
| | assault? | | | | | | | | | | |

MICHAEL LIPETRI: So, if you're assaulted as an MTA worker, it rises to the level of an assault 2.

So, a simple assault is an assault 2 against an MTA employer.

DERMOT SHEA: Mike, you can get him that information?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Absolutely.

DERMOT SHEA: How long will it take?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: I can get it to you by today, tomorrow.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Council Member Perkins.

DERMOT SHEA: The trucks.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: The trucks are there and this is important but there is one more thing, you talked about risk management. I got a text from a, a tweet from a constituent and I know this happens throughout the city, that there was a summons served or door broken down and they have been without a front door for the past week and they were told to call 311. And this is not a new phenomenon that we've seen this in the past. What is the process by

2.2

2.3

which these people, the doors get fixed and homeowners are made whole.

TERRY MONAHAN: It's according to exactly the incident that happened. If it's a search warrant where we have to make a no knock entry, we'll make the entry and its whoever the super is, we'll be notified to come in and fix it. In that incident, whatever it is, just give it to us afterwards and we'll have it taken care of.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you.

BILL MORRIS: I'm Bill Morris, I'm Chief of
Transportation. Your question before about the
overnight commercial parking. Currently under the
law there are two sections for commercial overnight
parking. One is Code 78 and the other is Code 6.

I'd like to thank you first publicly, working with your office in the legal bureau, you had brought this to our attention earlier with your staff, so we followed up with you on it. Just to give you some numbers, looking at the Code 78, which is the \$65 summons that you referenced before. In January of this year, we've issued 4,936 of those violations. If we look at the same period in 2019, we issued 5,138. So, you can already see as a result of

2.2

2.3

working with you, we're seeing a reduction of almost
4 percent as far as the \$65.

At the same time, the Code 6, which more specifically addresses the larger tractor trailer trucks parked overnight and as a veteran of the 113 Precinct and 105 Precinct, I'm well aware of the challenges there.

Already in January of this year, we've issued 24 of those. That compares to the same time last year, we had only issued three of them. If you look at all of 2019, we only issued eight summonses for that tractor trailer.

So, you clearly brought it to our attention. So, already this month we're up about 700 percent addressing the larger ones with the tractor trailers.

You also asked me about the oath summonses. I'm working with the Legal Bureau to operationalize that. Just so that the Council knows, there's a challenge with the service of those oath summonses on the vehicle itself, we may actually have to work out a mechanism to have those summonses delivered to Albany in order to constitute service on the trucks.

We're working on it but in the meantime, we have instituted the Code 6 for the greater fines.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Council Member Perkins. Thank you, Council Member Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Thank you. Can we get an overview of some of the steps that we're taking to mitigate the conflict between our youth and their relationship with the Police Department in their neighborhoods. Are you doing things towards that end that you can share with us today that might be useful in terms of what we can also add to.

NILDA HOFMANN: Thank you. Thank you for the question. Nilda Hofmann Chief of Community Affairs.

So, one of the things, first of all, in Community Affairs, we have been doing a lot of work when it comes to youth. We first of all, if you haven't been there, you have to visit our NYPD Community Center in east New York 127 Pennsylvania.

So, the NYPD, this is an amazing community center. It used to be a PAL, it was newly renovated, we have partnered up with the Child's Center at that location. This is a location that has gym, has a dance room, has a music room, has a workout room and at this location it is open seven days a week from 8 a.m. to 10 p.m. and we have police officers there assigned to our youth strategies division and the

2.2

2.3

2 location is open to welcome all kids and it
3 absolutely is free.

We have as you know; the police department has the biggest explorer program. We have close to 4,000 explorers in every precinct, every housing development, I mean, every housing precinct we have explorers also in our Transit division.

Over the summer, we have a six week camp that we provide 15 locations throughout the city where police officers, our school safety agents have the young people between the ages of 10 to 15 years old for six weeks. We besides kind of giving them a curriculum similar to what we offer the police officers. We take them on weekly trips. We also partner up with our SYEP. We offer within the police department, we offer our young people, this year, we're going to offer 350 young people jobs, so they will be spread out through the police department, different precincts, different organization.

And then finally, that some of the things that we do for young people but finally as the Commissioner began, we have a new initiative where all our youth officers now in precincts, we've kind of eliminated that and we've began our youth coordination officer.

2.2

So, every precinct housing precinct will have

Youth Coordination Officers, anywhere between 1 up to
6 police officers. What their task is going to be is
everything about young people. Anything from you
know, helping the young people to find something to
do in that precinct from activating spaces, finding
you know, houses of worship, finding parks to be able
to connect these young people to.

Kids that come in and might be in trouble from maybe a minor offense maybe could we send them to somewhere else, somewhere else in that community to help that young person. This is going to be rolled out on March 9th, next week Monday. These officers are going to be trained. There are about 350 officers citywide.

So, it's similar and it models under a neighborhood coordination officer. As you know, our neighborhood coordination officers, you know many meetings that I go to, they are very engaged, out there with the neighborhood. So, this is similar to that. So, this is going to be youth coordination officers that are going to be very involved, know everything there is to know about young people in that community and be able to connect.

2.2

2.3

And I guess, you know, one of the things you said, I guess ended with what else can you do that will help as a Council? I mean, we get a lot of help from City Council, especially when it comes to our explorers, we get a lot of support. But you know,

7 it's really helping us to continue to connect our

things I hear a lot from young people is they are

young people to organizations, jobs. One of the

10 looking for jobs, full time jobs.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: I appreciate your response to my question and you know, it's a very, very powerful statement that you made and the opportunity that you're creating to bring our young people into alliance so to speak, with you and the opportunities that that kind of relationship can have.

So, if there's any way that we in the Council or individually can be useful towards some of the work that you are trying to accomplish, I think that's a very, very valuable opportunity. Our young people would very much appreciate it.

NILDA HOFMANN: Just one of the things too, just recently now the Summer Youth Program application opened up and we heard from DYCD just in the last two

2.2

2.3

weeks that the many jobs do not get filled because young people do not know about it. So, really just giving that information out and letting them know that the jobs are there.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: And I think that we at the Council can be useful in that regard because we do have our newsletters and other means of communicating with our constituents and so, towards that end, please don't hesitate to you know, incorporate us in you know, getting the word out on what you want to try to accomplish.

DERMOT SHEA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Commissioner, we're going to begin to wrap up. Just a few other questions. What's your position on 50A and have you made any progress in Albany around, have there been any conversations this session around the conversation in 50A?

DERMOT SHEA: I mean, every conversation that we have regarding 50A again, I feel like I'm beating the drum today but talks about balance. I think we have to balance the concerns of the public to have some of this information and transparency but we also have to

2.2

2.3

| | | it | from | a | workforce | in | terms | of | public |
|---|---------|----|------|---|-----------|----|-------|----|--------|
| 3 | safety. | | | | | | | | |

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Have you lobbied this far Albany?

DERMOT SHEA: So, the position is that amend is what my predecessor has stated on the record and I will confirm that is likewise my position. I think there's a balance that can struck.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right and my question is, have you had any conversations with Albany about amending.

DERMOT SHEA: I have not yet.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: When do you anticipate you will start that conversation?

DERMOT SHEA: Unknown at this point and time but I'm sure that that will be active conversation.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, we look forward to hearing more on that. I wanted to ask about, and this is not to your necessarily, the MAP program and this goes back to Chief Barrere. Do I call you Chief now? What's your title? Okay, still Chief, okay, got it. He's always been my Chief in Queens.

So, we talked about extensions and we've had a lot of conversations around this for a long time.

2.2

2.3

Welcome to the hot seat. It's been the same 15

developments for a while, so do you have any

particular number on extensions and when?

DAVID BARRERE: As you know, it was very successful. It is run by the Mayor's Office, MOCJ runs it. We're very amenable to expanding it and moving forward. We can use your help and I welcome it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Budgetary question, okay, so we look forward to more conversation. There seems to be a lot of conversations happening but we want to see some progress in these areas.

What's the PR Budget for the NYPD? I just wanted to get the number, what's your PR budget.

KRISTINE RYAN: I'm going to have to get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

DERMOT SHEA: And I have many voices. I understand now, so although I haven't been up to Albany and professing that Oleg has on my behalf that on the 50A.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Say that again.

DERMOT SHEA: Oleg has been up speaking on 58 to Albany on my half.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, I like Oleg's smile but I want to see the Commissioner up in Albany.

And then, just last question and Rory has one last question. Hate crimes; obviously there was a big uptick there. Police suicides, can you speak to, do you have any new strategies you are implementing around these two areas as the new Commissioner?

DERMOT SHEA: Rodney will hit the hate crimes quick and then Chief Monahan will just briefly wrap up with the suicide.

RODNEY HARRISON: Mr. Chair, so hate crimes, we're down for the year, 63 versus 65. We had a concern in 2019 regarding antisemitic. We're actually down for the year to date with antisemitic, 35 versus 41. We're also down with sexual orientation hate crimes 4 versus 6. We do see a little bit of a spike. I'll be very transparent in regards to anti-Black. We're up 10 versus 3 in anti-Black hate crimes task force. We do have 1 arrest regarding the out of the one of the ten. I can just give you a quick breakdown regarding the anti-Black hate crimes. Aggravated harassment first degree, we have 3 aggravated harassment 2. We have 3 in criminal mischief. 4th we have 4 of them.

So, we take every hate crime seriously. We have 25 investigators, excuse me, 18 investigators, 25 people overall in hate crimes task force and they are working very diligently to address every single hate crime with a priority.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And graffiti it's also a big issue?

RODNEY HARRISON: Affirmative, so we see graffiti with the [INAUDIBLE 3:30:52] and other words that defamatory being utilized too often. Those crimes are somewhat difficult to identify a perpetrator but yes, that is an issue that we see.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty and are you funding. Are you increasing funding for graffiti removal specifically for this area?

DERMOT SHEA: We've had recent conversations in terms of our crime stoppers program to expand it to cover this type of crime. That's ongoing and nailing down the details.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And may I suggest we fund organizations that do cleanup, so if there is coordination there maybe some synergy. Not that I want the Council's resources used for this but there

2.2

2.3

certainly could be some synergy between us and you a
little bit more in the graffiti.

Okay, Cohen and then Lancman and then we're done on questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you Commissioner.

I'll be very brief, I just, I have a lot of quality of life issues, which I'm fortunate that in the 5 0 and in my portions of the 5 2, and even in the 4 7, unfortunately there's not a lot of serious crime and I'm very grateful for that but it does lead to some issues of trying to get enforcement of the sort of lower level stuff.

I don't know if you have any details on you know, like if it's not traffic enforcement trying to get you know, there's a car parked at a hydrant and you call it in, it's very hard to get enforcement on those kinds of things. I don't know if you have any information about that. But the summons is written by non-traffic agents.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, Chief Pichardo, the Chief of Patrol; I don't know if he has those specific numbers but he'll just talk to in generally our response to quality of life complaints and what an emphasis we place on it.

FAUSTO PICHARDO: Certainly, and I think as many 2 in this room sitting to my right and certainly at the 3 table here know as former Precinct Commanding 4 Officers, one of the chief, if not the chief 5 complaint in any meeting, community council meeting, 6 7 community board meeting, public safety meeting really 8 encompasses quality of life concerns. As you alluded to, double parked cars, driveways etc. And that's really the cornerstone of neighborhood policing. So, 10 11 I don't have the data specifically for the 5 0 and 12 block driveways and other concerns but I can 13 certainly talk to you afterwards and get that

But I will ask that, we want to make sure that as we move into our fifth year of neighborhood policing and some of us are traveling around the whole city just to get feedback really from the ground on, from the people in the street of the City of New York, let us know how is it working? What can we do better to serve the 8.6 million New Yorkers.

I want to make sure that they are also connected to our neighborhood coordination officers and our study sector officers, so that those core root

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

information to you.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

quality of life problems don't evolve and manifest into something else.

DERMOT SHEA: What I was just going to finish up and say, we all the time, we talk about the major We talk about terrible tragedies, the shootings, the homicides, the sexual assaults, but often times this conversation doesn't come up. remain committed to addressing the quality of life for all the reasons Fausto said. These are the complaints that overwhelmingly we get throughout the city at community meetings, whether it's through 311. These are the ones that effect New Yorkers day in and I think we can do better. I'll be day out. perfectly frank. I think we can do better; I know that Mike Lipetri shares that vision. Mike Chairs our CompStat meetings and I think that you know, on quality of life, whether it's a block driveway, whether it's people hanging out, whatever the complaint is, shame on us if we don't do everything to connect to that person. To tell them what the results were of the complaint. It may not always be to their liking. It may not always be a summons or an arrest. We give our offices discretion to but we need to close that loop in my opinion in terms of

2.2

2.3

people complaining and feeling they got the service they deserve.

So, that's my commitment. I know that Mike shares it and we'll look to improve in that area.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I appreciate that. I recently had a sit down with I think it was Captain Melendez whose at the $50^{\rm th}$ who I know you were back there in the day.

You know, I don't know and maybe again it's a good conversation to have but he talked about discretion that a lot of the officers have discretion because you know, I'll often make 311 complaints myself. You know, I see something, I call it into 311 and I'll often, you see the response where the police responded and decided no action was necessary and I'll drive by and well, that's interesting because that car is still parked at that hydrant.

DERMOT SHEA: I think that discretion is very important and it's a very important tool to have and I think that's a positive.

But I also have said many times and they've heard me say this at CompStat's. At the end of the day, the people that live on the block, the people that live in the window and the people that can't get

2.2

2.3

sleep at night, shouldn't be frustrated by our response. So, I'll end it with that and I mean,

4 discretion is positive but we have to be responsive.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I'll just lastly say I'm thrilled to see Nilda Hofmann, she was great when she was at the 5 2. I already miss Chief Nikunen and also Tommy Alps is phenomenal. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright Lancman, very briefly.

Journal reported that the Department lost 125
officers to the MTA in January or December. Mainly
because the pay is so much better. Can you tell us,
how damaging is it to the Department to be unable to
retain officers who are experienced, who we have
invested so much money into training, because the
city won't pay them a salary comparable to what they
can get in other jurisdictions?

DERMOT SHEA: So, it can be frustrating at times to lose employees to other jurisdictions. The positive here, if there is a positive, is that when you ride across the country now and we go to a lot of conferences many of here and I read one article today, I don't remember the jurisdiction. There are

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

jurisdictions in this county, law enforcement that are having serious recruiting shortages and problems.

Not only retaining people, hiring people that they have positions that they can't fill.

The positive is, we are not in that situation. We retain a very healthy pool of applicants. literally recruit from across the globe but back to your point councilman, certainly it can be frustrating at times to go through the entire applicant process, to which there is a cost. recruitment, the advertising which just came up, the selection, the screening, the police academy and then to lose them after a couple years. It is not a new phenomenon, this is something that we've seen, whether it's to our brothers and sisters out east and Suffolk County. Certainly, many places in Rockland County, the Port Authority. It's something they're aware of. We're able to withstand the loss right now but the shorter answer is do I wish it didn't happen. I wish it didn't happen.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty, well, I want to thank you Mr. Commissioner. Oh, what am I doing.
Well, Mr. Commissioner, you know, every time a

2.2

2.3

Commissioner comes, they got hard stops during the budget hearings when the Commissioner comes. So, we want to be consistent in keeping you over your time.

DERMOT SHEA: I want to thank everyone.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We like spending time with you.

DERMOT SHEA: I want to thank everyone at the Dais for your questions and concerns.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much. We look forward to working with you. Thank you.

Alrighty, we're going to begin again. Good evening and welcome to the second portion of the Public Safety Committee Fiscal 2021 Preliminary Budget hearing.

We welcome the Civilian Complaint Review Board here today and look forward to your testimony. I'd also like to remind everyone that the public will be invited to testify at 5 p.m. but we could start subsequently before if things progress here.

CCRB'S Fiscal 2021 Budget is \$20.4 million. Most significantly for their budget, voters approved a measure to tie the CCRB staff headcount to the NYPD's uniform headcount. This ensures CCRB will receive

2.2

2.3

adequate resources and remain in effective check on the Police Department.

This year, we commend the CCRB on tackling new challenges like investigating sexual misconduct claims, outreach to communities in support of the Raise the Age legislation and investigations that now involve body worn camera footage. We also cannot overstate their success in prosecuting Daniel Pantaleo. This has brought some semblance of justice to communities all around New York City and the country as a whole.

I look forward to hearing about developments in your budget, your outlook for the next year and about any concerns you might have. Thank you to CCRB Chair of the Board Reverend Frederick Davie and Executive Director Jonathan Darche as well to your staff for being here today.

You may begin. We are joined by Council Member Lancman.

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Thank you. I'm going to do an abbreviated version of the testimony.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Great.

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: And you have the full testimony I think before you.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, Chairperson Richards, members of the Public Safety Committee, thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today. As you know, I'm Frederick Davie, Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board and I'm joined by my Colleague, our Executive Director Johnathan Darche.

As you have already pointed out, there have been several significant developments for the CCRB over the past year including the CCRB's prosecution of Daniel Pantaleo for the killing of Eric Garner and Mr. Pantaleo's subsequent termination from the New York City Police Department. November's successful ballot initiative resulting in changes to the New York City Charter that have an impact on CCRB's work, the completion of a Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and the NYPD to help improve the CCRB's access to Body Worn Camera footage, and the launch of Agency's Civilian Assistance Unit, which seeks to provide our complainants with assistance and connection to needed city services. In addition, in 2019, the CCRB received 4,959 complaints in its jurisdiction, the highest number of complaints received since 2013, and about a 4.5 percent increase over last year's 4,745. CCRB's complaints have risen

2.2

2.3

nearly 16 percent since 2016, a rise that is at least partially attributable to the agency's recent focus

Each of these turns of events have had considerable influence on the practice of police accountability at the CCRB.

on community outreach and public education.

On November 5th, also as you noted, New Yorkers voted to implement a set of charter changes. Grouped together on the ballot to initiative that made the disciplinary process more transparent, strengthen the CCRB's oversight capabilities and now improve the agencies efficiency.

Among these changes is that the CCRB's headcount as you noted will be linked to 0.65 percent of the NYPD's uniform officer headcount. The CCRB has been in close communication with the City's Office of Management and Budget OMB, to determine exactly how many additional staff members this will mean for the agency.

At the close of FY 2019, the CCRB's authorized headcount was raised by 16 percent from 183 to 212 people and as a result of the revised Charter language another 17 staff members were added in the January plan.

2.2

2.3

While the Charter guarantees headcount, there is no specific funding levels attached to that headcount and so, we have worked closely with OMB to determine what these new personnel lines will be. Similarly, we continue to discuss with OMB what level of support is available for infrastructure items like workspace, computers, desks, external training modules and office network access, all covered under categories other than Personnel Services. Specific funding for which is also not guaranteed by the new Charter language.

In 2019, 58 percent of CCRB's fully investigated complaints featured video evidence. Nearly 60 percent of these cases with video featured BWC footage and 74 percent of the cases involving BWC evidence are closed on the merits, in other words, as substantiated, exonerated, or unfounded. Because the facts of these cases are more clearly determined when there is BWC footage. In complaint with no video evidence, only 40 percent are closed on the merits. In 2017, the year the BWC program launched, the CCRB received 158 BWC video files of roughly 37 gigabytes.

In 2019, the CCRB received 8,000 BWC video files of roughly 1.3 terabytes, a 3,385 percent increase.

2.2

2.3

Body Worn Camera evidence is vital to the CCRB's investigations and has had a massive impact on our work. This also highlights the importance of the MOU, Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and the NYPD on how to access BWC recordings. Once this new protocol goes into effect, CCRB investigators will be able to search for BWC footage in collaboration with NYPD staff, view unredacted footage and take notes on content and request for downloads of sections of video that are relevant to the investigation and the prosecution of allegations of misconduct in the case.

The agency has also continued to work to support the needs of our complainants. Sexual misconduct, an allegation category the CCRB took on in February 2018, as one of the reasons the agency has worked to create a Civilian Assistance Unit. This innovative unit will support complainants by assisting them in understanding and navigating the investigatory and disciplinary processes that provide complainants with connections to critical city services like housing assistance and mental health services.

Advocates for at risk populations often cite that the CCRB's process is too difficult for their members

2.2

2.3

to navigate. Members of the public at board meetings often speak about the fact that the CCRB's process is too difficult and emotionally taxing and the investigators and prosecutors anecdotally report that witnesses complain that the process causes them emotional distress and they decline to follow through with their complaint.

The CAU will pair complainants with social workers and counselors who help them mitigate post event trauma and aid them in navigating New York's network of support services in a meaningful way.

As a part of its oversight and accountability work, Underage Charter Mandate, the CCRB engages in a wide variety of public awareness efforts. Since the beginning of the current administration, the CCRB's Outreach Unit has expanded significantly both in size of its staff and in its baseline funding. The unit has diligently focused on reaching larger audiences and building relationships with community stakeholders, service providers, elected officials and advocates.

However, the agency continues to face challenges regarding public awareness of the CCRB and its powers and resources. We know that this awareness is

extremely consequential. While many members of the public who encounter our outreach, staff continue to report a previous lack of knowledge of the agency, we'll continue to work with other city agencies to discuss ways to spread public awareness of the CCRB.

And I want to just go off script here and just say a word about the continued need for the CCRB to have support for public engagement and public education and particularly public education and maybe we can talk some more about that in the Q&A and but I don't want that point to get missed, because I think it's crucial to the agencies ability to effectively serve the citizens of this City.

With the support of the Administration and City Council, the agency continues to be better able to accomplish its mission to provide strong effective and independent civilian oversight for the New York City Police Department but there is more to be done. I am confident that with your help the CCRB will continue to flourish, improve and lead the way in civilian oversight nationally.

Thank you for your time and continued support and we're open to any questions you might have.

2.2

2.3

complaints.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you Chairman. Let

me just start off. So, in your testimony, you said

since 2013, you've seen a 4.5 increase over last

years. I'm sorry, you've seen the highest numbers of

complaints you received since 2013. What do you

think is a large contributor of the increase in

REVEREND FEDERICK DAVIE: So, I'll start and then I'll turn it over to Jon. I think we have a pretty excellent community outreach team and they have worked hard to connect to community based organizations to provide more information on the agency and its work. And I suspect also with the Pantaleo case the agency has been more high profile as well but I'll turn to Jon and see if you have anything additional to add.

JONATHAN DARCHE: The Chair is right about those two factors. But two additional factors are the enactment of the Right to Know Act created an additional class of allegation that can made against a police officer, as well as the CCRB's determination to investigate instead of refer sexual misconduct complaints. I think those two decisions also impact the increase of complaints.

J

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Helen, did you want to come now. I'm sorry, I'm just going to — we just had to make a correction on the record. She wanted to make a correction.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much
Chair Donovan. For the record, I just wanted to
correct that the number of detectives per case in the
child squad is 64 detectives, sorry, 64 cases per
detective this year. Last year, it was 88 cases per
detective and I want to apologize to the police
commissioner for that math error on my part. I want
to reiterate that there just aren't enough detectives
in the Special Victims division to take care of the
number of rape cases that come through the door.

Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you Council Member.

Alright, let's get back to recent budget changes now. Let's talk about the main changes to your budget, which are two headcount increases. First, as part of the Adopted Budget last year, the Council successfully negotiated with the Administration to fund 24 new investigators. What's the status of those 24 positions?

2.2

2.3

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, the agency worked hard to fill those positions. We are very close to our headcount right now.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, we filled all 24?

JONATHAN DARCHE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, and can you tell me, how has this impacted your investigative unit? Have you observed the benefits? Has it helped produce caseload?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, one of the — I think it's been vital, that increased headcount into letting us stay as effective as we have been. Body Worn Camera footage is really almost swept through the agency in the investigative process, which has caused our dockets to increase and case investigation times to increase. And without those new staff we would have suffered even worse.

CHAIREPRSON RICHARDS: Right and I noticed

Chairman in your testimony, you said in 2019, 58

percent of the CCRB's fully investigated complaints

featured video evidence.

Nearly 60 percent of these cases with video featured Body Worn Camera and 74 percent of the cases

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

| _ | |
|---|--|
| 2 | involved Body Worn Camera evidence as well. Can you |
| 3 | speak to the 58 percent number? Why not 100 percent? |
| 4 | REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: You want to talk about |
| 5 | that? |
| 6 | JONATHAN DARCHE: Sure, so Mr. Chair, I think |
| 7 | your saying why doesn't every case have Body Worn |

Camera footage?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, yes.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, there are some instances where members of service may not turn on a Body Worn Camera because they either don't think they have to or they are required not to for particular reasons. Like if there is a victim of a sex crime, they are instructed not to turn it on.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, do we have a breakdown of that when they don't turn it on? And I know you have a report I think that you're going to issue.

19 So, some recommendations?

> REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Yeah, we issued a report was it last week?

JONATHAN DARCHE: Yes.

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: But we can either find that now or we can -

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Actually, you're right, I
3 know Tiffany, yes. Okay.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, but I will note that if the board determines that a member of service should have had their camera on and didn't, then we will note that for the Department to discipline the member of service.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And how many instances have you had to do that?

JONATHAN DARCHE: I can get back to you with that number.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Let's get back to the budget. Can you explain why there are ten positions listed under the financial plan savings that do not have funding? Are there no plans to hire for these positions?

JONATHAN DARCHE: I'll get back to you on that.

Mr. Chair, I don't have an answer for that.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. The second major headcount addition was part of the November financial plan as part of the proposed Charter revisions in the November ballot's, on the November ballot. Voters approved five proposals for CCRB. The main budgets or revision was to tie CCRB's budget to 0.65 percent

2.2

2.3

of the NYPD Uniform Budget. How many positions will be added to the budget for Fiscal Year 2021 based on this provision?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, our current information from the Office of Management and Budget is that 17 positions will be added. We've already taken steps with our training staff and with the Investigations division to make sure that when those positions become available, we're ready to bring them onboard.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, that will be 17 additional positions and is this still — it's a step in the right direction, do you still believe you need more staffing?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Always.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Would you support another Charter Revision?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: How do we even get there, sure.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty and okay, and which positions do you plan on hiring for with the 17?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, the agency is still determining what is the best mix of people to bring onboard and is working with the Office of Management

2.2

2.3

and Budget to make sure that we have the funding to bring on the right mix of people for those positions. But clearly, we need more investigators to meet the increased demands of caseload on the staff that we have to investigate the cases we have.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, let's stay on Body Cameras. Again, go back to Body Cameras, so I know CCRB signed an MOU to share body camera footage in a shared room at CCRB's office with the NYPD. When will this begin? Has it begun?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: It hasn't begun but staff is working with the NYPD and City Hall to get it underway more quickly and maybe Jon has more specifics on that.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, the agreement originally envisioned using the 12th Floor of 100 Church Street and then splitting that floor. Half would be for additional CCRB personnel and then half would be an NYPD facility for the secure room and additional office space for that.

The 12th Floor we had thought would be available and is not available and we've been working very closely with the Department with City Hall, with DCAS, with Office of Management and Budget and we've

2.2

2.3

2 located a temporary space to set up a secure room and additional office space for the NYPD.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And where will that be?

JONATHAN DARCHE: That's going to be on the 10th

Floor of 100 Church which is where our offices are

right now and we think that PD will be in that space
in early May and that the secure room should be up
and running by June.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, so, everything running by June?

JONATHAN DARCHE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Let's hop around to the Civilian Witness Assistance unit. I know you've been trying to fund this unit to help intake victims of sexual misconduct by police officers. OMB was able to fund one position in November financial plan but didn't fully fund this unit to the five people you asked for. Has there been any progress there or are we still having conversations.

JONATHAN DARCHE: The current plan is there is a,

I'm going to call it an RFP but I don't think that is

the actual technical term. I apologize to you all

but to bring on the staff through a third party, to

work for the Director. That process, we haven't

2.2

2.3

| found a vendor so far. If we are unable to find a |
|---|
| vendor, we're working with the Office of Management |
| and Budget and with City Hall to try and find another |
| solution to give us the staff to open up the civilian |
| assistance unit. I think all parties are committed |
| to having that happen. |

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And why do you need a third party? I'm confused.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, I think it was felt that it would be beneficial to the city's fiscal situation to have it done that way.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But they could just fully fund CCRB to do this work, correct?

JONATHAN DARCHE: And that may end up being how we go but we're working with the Office of Management and Budget and City Hall to fund the positions.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, and you anticipate everyone in this unit would get FETI training?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, I think that — I don't know that they would need FETI training. I think we're going to try and make sure that our investigators and intake personnel and prosecutors have the FETI training.

_ _

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, and they are the only ones who currently get FETI training in CCRB?

JONATHAN DARCHE: Not the only ones, there are some additional folks but generally, that's where we want to focus most of the FETI training.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, let me just go into, can you tell us the difference between Phase one and Phase two cases and whether you've begun investigating phase two cases.

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: I will say that the Phase one investigations are well under way.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And can you just explain what Phase one is?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, when the agency was trying to figure out how best to undertake the investigation of sexual misconduct, we were able to divide these types of allegations into two groups. The first was sexual harassment, Phase One was sexual harassment cases and the second was sexual assault cases, which we considered Phase Two. And we felt that the Phase One investigations were close enough to the work that we were already doing, investigating discourtesy and other types in offensive language cases that we were able to start those investigations right away. And

2.2

2.3

so, we begun investigating those already. The Phase Two cases, we are not investigating yet and we don't anticipate investigating those until the CAU is fully up and running. We've been working extremely hard as an agency to make sure that when the CAU is ready to go, the agency will be able to begin investigating Phase Two sexual misconduct cases.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: In 2019, there were 114 closed sexual misconduct allegations. Can you tell us how many of those were substantiated and what type of discipline do you recommend in these types of sexual misconduct cases?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, you asked for the number of closed cases?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Substantiated.

JONATHAN DARCHE: Substantiated. So, we had 16 percent, 72 allegations, 16 percent, 245 allegations and 168 complaints. There are 72 allegations pending. Of the closed allegations, 16 percent were substantiated, 6 percent were unfounded, 7 percent had member of service unidentified, 20 percent unsubstantiated, 49 percent truncated and 1 percent were other.

So, 16 percent were substantiated.

)

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And can you speak to what type of discipline is recommended in the substantiated cases?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: So, generally in our discipline framework, those allegations generally have, the presumption is that they would have charges but there maybe reasons to not have charges in the case and we would have to get back to you on the breakdown of discipline for every substantiated, the discipline recommended for every substantiated Phase One sexual misconduct allegation.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, and then half of the cases were truncated, so can you just speak a little bit more to why half were truncated?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: That's generally when we can't get the complainant or witnesses to actually participate in bringing the cases to conclusion but I'll let Jon talk more specifically about that, if he wants.

JONATHAN DARCHE: I think the agency has always been concerned with the truncation rate for as long as I have been at the agency. One of the things we're doing differently now is the Blake Fellow is really working hard to get to the root causes of

2.2

2.3

truncation and what we can do better as an agency to reduce truncation. And I'm hopeful that we're going to get the first report from the Blake Fellow, the inaugurated Blake Fellow out soon, so that we can begin working on her recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I assume that staffing is a big challenge still in striking while the iron is hot as we would say because if you let time go by, you know, people are less likely to follow through. And that get's me into caseloads for a second.

So, let me look at the caseloads, if you could pull that slide up. Your time to complete investigations increased in FY19 as compared to FY18 from 190 days to 249 days. Can you speak to the reasons for the increase in case times?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: I'll just say, I think it's probably related to access to Body Worn Camera footage before anything else but obviously Jon deals with this everyday and you can talk about that.

JONATHAN DARCHE: One of the reasons we are anticipating eagerly the going live in the secure room is because of how important it is for us to get access in a timely manner to Body Worn Camera footage. The explosion of video has really caused

2.2

2.3

delays in our case work and caused the explosion of dockets.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And access is still a challenge I'm assuming, even as you wait.

JONATHAN DARCHE: It will be resolved when we can implement the MOU but as long as it's not implemented, we have those same access issues.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And, let me ask you this, and it still have not been as cooperative, would you say the NYPD can still be more cooperative in this period? And even with the new investigators, you still are finding it hard to close caseloads?

JONATHAN DARCHE: I think, well the MOU has not been fully implemented, there have been aspect of it that have been implemented, such as streamlining the waiver process and I think while full implementation of the secure room is really necessary to get the process more streamlined, I don't think it is certainly at the highest levels of the Deputy Commissioner of Legal Matters Office, they are working hard to get us the footage we need.

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: And I would like to just give my colleagues at the NYPD some credit for having worked with us to actually produce this MOU.

2.

There's always room for improvement and there is always more that we can do but this is a big step and they took it with us and now we just need to be able to implement it and get on with it.

to Councilman Lancman. Before I do, so, I'm looking at data and in the 44th Precinct, we saw 20 members of the NYPD had substantiated complaints that were closed in 2019 alone. Can you comment on whether you see it as a problem when specific precincts have more substantiated complaints than others and what steps does CCRB take I think in outreach in an instance where you see more substantiated cases that specific precincts.

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: So, again, preliminary comments on my part. I think that one of the things that we've tried to do is where we have precincts, where there are high levels of substantiations, we try to hold community meetings in those precincts.

Both in terms of our CCRB meetings and where we have public testimony and we try to hear from both members of NYPD as well and especially the public as to what the dynamics are and interactions are between the precincts and the people that live in those areas.

2.2

2.3

And that's been very helpful in helping us to understand how better to relate and respond to people in those communities.

Also, the community outreach team works with community based organizations in precincts where there are higher incidents of substantiations. So, those are two things that we're doing and obviously conversations with the NYPD about what we're seeing and why and the sharing that that information back and forth helps to address that some to but I'll turn it over to Jon.

JONATHAN DARCHE: We've improved our information sharing with the Department a great deal. We now give them monthly reports on new complaints that are coming in by the individual member of service and what command and assignment they have, so that they are better able to track what's going on. But I think as to what the Department is doing to address if there any other larger issues is something that you would really have to talk to them about rather than us.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Council Member Lancman.

_

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you. Forgive me if some of these were asked already, they weren't asked by me. I want to understand the process in the staffing that's necessary for the CCRB's new jurisdiction to include false official statements made to the CCRB. When does that take effect, immediately, January 1st?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, I think it's either March 31st or April 1st it will go into effect. Because the CCRB has been studying false official statements and then referring them to the Department for them to determine whether misconduct occurred for many years now and we have been — our investigators are trained to make those determinations. It was more of a process related change into how are you going to and we had to update our computer systems and make sure people knew which dropdowns to do but we were ready for the Go Live and there wasn't much that we had to do to train people differently than they were already getting trained.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Got it, that's good to hear. Have you and do you need to engage in any kind of a dialogue with the NYPD to set up any kind of mechanism, so you are handling this instead of them

2.2

2.3

or again, it's just everything's already been in place, it's a matter of now you can file those charges or whatever that next step is?

JONATHAN DARCHE: Hopefully, it should be smooth.

I don't anticipate issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, good. The issue of the CCRB's headcount being linked to the NYPD's uniform officer headcount, I'm sure it's in here but how many more people does that mean coming to work for the CCRB?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Seventeen is what we've talked about but that's complicated.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, we originally thought there was going to be 24 people but we have some peridium personnel at the agency. We're not on our official headcount, and so the Office of Management and Budget counted them and subtracted them from the 24 to get to the 17.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And that's what you are getting?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, we're still in negotiation with them. I'm hopeful that maybe we could get one or two more bodies.

2.2

2.3

| 2 | COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, because my |
|---|---|
| 3 | recollection is the Mayor supported these Charter |
| 4 | Reforms. |

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Yes, more or less.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Lastly, I want to ask about the Civilian Assistance unit. So, it's not up and running yet?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Correct, we have the director but we don't have the staff yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Got it, and it may or may not be staffed by an outside organization?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And it's contemplated that it's much more than just like a pro se office for the CCRB. It's not just helping the litigants.

It's not just helping a complainant fill out a complaint form, right it's trying to get them service etc...

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Alright, well, for what it's worth, I don't have a firm opinion one way or the other whether it should be something that's done in house or contracted out, but certainly there are a lot of nonprofit organizations that have a tremendous

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

amount of experience serving people who are victims of sadly any number of kinds of categories of offenses, whether it's domestic violence or sexual assault. You name it and so, it will be interesting to see how you work through that. Do you have a preference?

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: My preference is to have it up and running as soon as possible. whatever can get the program up and running guickest is what I'm in favor of. And I think one of the ways it's going to really help is so many people who feel victimized by the criminal justice system have other issues, other traumas that are effecting them other than just having interactions with the NYPD. may have housing issues; they may have mental health There are all sorts of things that people issues. come to our agency where they're bringing problems with them or issues with them that have nothing to do with their interaction with the NYPD. And we're hopeful that Civilian Assistance unit will be able to get them the services they need in a way that will help us get the CCRB complete our investigations but also help them as human beings be better people.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I would think if they have a housing issue or they have an immigration status issue and they drop off the radar screen to deal with those problems or as a result of those problems, it's probably hard to get them to come and testify and do all the things you need to do to complete your investigation. So, for what it's worth, I wholeheartedly support this effort.

That's all I have, thank you.

REVEREND FREDERICK DAVIE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, thank you all for coming in.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you for the work you are doing. Great work last year, let's keep building on it.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Mayor, give them more staffing. Alrighty, we're going to call Shane Correia Center for Court Innovation, Emily Marie Ramos JustLeadership East Harlem Preservation Green Workers and who represents all five boroughs and now Workers Co-op Academy, Ashley Sawyer Girls for Gender Equity, Ralph Palladino First Vice President Local

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

| 2 | 1549 | 9, | Jon M | cFarl | Lane | Voca | al New | York | and | CPR, | wearing | ć |
|---|------|----|-------|-------|------|------|--------|------|------|-------|---------|---|
| 3 | lot | of | hats | and | Bran | ndon | Holmes | Just | Lead | dersh | ipUSA. | |

Okay, I'm going to call you again, Jon McFarlane Vocal New York CPR, Ralph Palladino First VP Local 1549, missed you Monday.

RALPH PALLADINO: Second, thanks for the promotion.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Ashley Sawyer Girls for Gender Equity, Emily Marie Ramos JustLeadership,

Shane Correia Center for Court Innovation, Brandon Holmes JustLeadership.

Your really going to read all of this. It's longer than the Police Commissioner's.

UNIDENTIFIED: No.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

representing and then you may begin.

UNIDENTIFIED: That's for staff homework.

Documentation like we aggrievances. That's why we win them. Not this case.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, we're going to start with the ladies first. So, you state your name for the record. Okay, perfect. We're still going to start with the ladies. So, we'll start from here. State your name for the record, who you're

2.2

2.3

2 UNIDENTIFIED: Hi, my name is -

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Press your button.

EMILY MARIE RAMOS: Hi, my name is Emily Marie

Ramos, I am an [INAUDIBLE 4:57:58] who was born and

raised in New York City. I grew up in the lower east

side in Lillian Wald houses in New York Public

Housing and was raised in East Harlem in East River

houses.

I'm here representing [INAUDIBLE 4:58:20] which is a woman in fame of color marijuana cooperative.

NYC NOWC which is New York City Network of Worker

Cooperative which is a trade association for Workers

Cooperatives in New York City. Green Worker

Cooperative which is a business incubator program out of the South Bronx. East Harlem Preservation which is a nonprofit fighting gentrification in East Harlem and JustLeadershipUSA, which is launching a campaign to stop the investment in prisons and the criminal justice system and Close Riker Island and invest in communities.

I come to this work as someone who has been personally harmed by the Criminal Justice system in New York. I don't say a judicial system because I'm defining the system by how it functions and it does

2.2

2.3

not work to reduce crime. It creates the perfect conditions, the breeding grounds for criminal activity.

We want to focus on tackling issues at the root of the problem, which most of the time is poverty.

As we saw from the police presenting earlier, there are increases in crime. 17 percent increase in index crimes, increase in murders. So, this system is clearly not sustainable. We should be measuring the success of this system, not by its expansion and growing of prisons, convictions and crime because clearly that means that someone is not doing their job. We should be measuring the success of these systems by the reduction of prisons, by the reduction of prisoners, by the reduction of crime, by the reduction of convictions.

And the way that we could do that is not by expanding criminal services and the criminal justice system and policing, it's by investing in communities and tackling problems at the root of them, which often result from poverty.

So, that's investing in education, investing in healthcare, investing in career training, investing in affordable housing, investing in business

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

incubator programs. Initiatives like the Worker
Cooperative Business Development Initiative in New
York City.

Support entrepreneurs because they are good for the community. They are part of the community; they spend their dollars in the community which stimulates local economy and they often give back to the community. I am a worker owners and do a lot of advocacy and organizing within New York City. host educational forums throughout New York City to educate people on where the legislation, the Marijuana Regulation and Taxation Act is in New York, how it effects our community, how they can be effected in legalization whether they will be prioritized and licensed. How the tax revenue will be reinvested in our community. How the harms will be repaired for people who have been harmed by prohibition. Reentry services for people who are coming out of prison.

My father was someone who was arrested for marijuana in 1993 when my mother was pregnant with me. He was arrested from Baruch Houses in the Lower east side. My grandparents apartment was raided, guns were put in my grandmothers face and my dad

2.2

2.3

spent 12 years in prison because of a marijuana conviction while people in other states right now, are making billions of dollars on the marijuana industry. While Governor Cuomo and the New York State Senate and House are saying that it's inevitable that we're going to legalize marijuana in New York. We just want to make sure we get it right.

My dad is still living with my grandparents, not able to get into affordable housing. He's barred from entering NYCHA because of the laws. He was barred from accessing funding for higher education, so he couldn't get a college degree. He was barred from traditional employment. He had to start his own business and he is still struggling.

So, even though I am 26 years old now, 26 years later, I'll be 27 this year, my dad is still trying to rebuild his life after the harm of marijuana prohibition.

This criminal justice system is not working.

Most of the people in prison right now are for

marijuana and drug related crimes. We decriminalized

marijuana in New York in 1977. Since then, over a

million people have been arrested for marijuana

2.2

2.3

related crimes and most people in New York City and of those, 86 percent were Black and Brown people.

When are we going to stop this system that is attacking our people and when are we going to be investing in resources and community services that actually support and build our community? That actually give people in our community a future that allows us to create intergenerational wealth. We can't pass down a job. You can't pass down an apartment especially if you live in NYCHA because of remaining family member and succession rates.

But you can pass down a home, you can pass down land, you can pass down a business. You can pass down any type of cooperative whether it's a producer cooperative, if you are growing your own food. A consumer cooperative and you have your own grocery market.

There is all these different types of ownership that we should be investing in in our community, so that our community is able to support and sustain itself. Because the criminal justice system is not sustainable. And this is not something new.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I'm going to ask you to wrap up.

2.2

2.3

EMILY MARIE RAMOS: I'm sorry, really quick.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: No problem.

EMILY MARIE RAMOS: Angela Davis's work on prison abolition, her book, Are Prisons Obsolete, violence against women and the ongoing challenge to racism, abolition democracy beyond empire prisons and tortures, the meaning of freedom. Michele Alexander's the New Jim Crow, Ava Duvernay 13th her documentary on Netflix. George Lipsitz The Possessive Investment in Whiteness. Paula E. Wanebo the Emotional Politics of Whiteness, how feelings trump fact in the age of colorblindness. Kimberle Crenshaw Say her Name, resisting police brutality against Black Women. Khalil Gibran Muhammed from the Schomburg Center in New York wrote a book called Condemnation of Blackness.

DPA's report Unjust and Unconstitutional which talks about racist policing and how people of color and communities that are predominantly people of color, especially in NYCHA developments have been targeted by policing and Scott Stringer report, addressing the harms of prohibition. What New York City can do to support an equitable cannabis industry are all literature that we have at our disposal.

2.2

2.3

That can be resources that we can use to understand how this criminal justice system is another form of slavery and how it is attacking and harming and devasting people of color, especially poor communities and how we should move from the investment in the criminal justice system.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

EMILY MARIE RAMOS: Close Rikers and invest in our communities. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you and your dad should be proud of you and I want that list by the way, so I'm going to give you my email. I did read the [INAUDIBLE 5:07:08]. I think identified that but thank you. Yes sir, you may begin.

SHANE CORREIA: Good afternoon Chair Richards.

My name is Shane Correia, I am the Deputy Director of

Strategic Partnerships at the Center for Court

Innovation and thank you for allowing me to speak

today.

I'm here to request the City Council's renewal support for the expansion work that we were granted last year but as our work expanded, so did the use of diversion programming in the state of New York,

2.2

2.3

2 thanks to both closing Rikers, as well as to various
3 reforms in the criminal justice system.

For that, I'm here to talk a little bit about that needs that have created in terms of expansion and continuing to provide a high level of service within the communities we serve. And finally, because we are the Center for Court Innovation, I'm also here to talk about new programming ideas that we have regarding addressing more intensive issues such as mental health support for frequent repeat offenders and addressing gender based violence.

Regarding renewal, our City Council funded work, we request continued renewals for our core funding that we received from the Citywide Speaker request Project Reset which operates citywide, Driver Accountability Program, as well as our Brooklyn Felony Alternatives to Incarceration program.

The last two of which began implementation last year and we're excited to see the initial results.

Regarding Project reset, which is a low level misdemeanor diversion program, that works to ensure that individuals never have to go to court to experience the collateral consequences that were actually just referenced. We've noticed that because

2.2

2.3

of the new criminal justice reforms, the capacity
that we were funded for was supposed to serve 13,000
individual, but thanks to the new reforms and
prosecutorial welcoming of the program, we're
expecting over 19,000 individuals within the first
year.

In addition to that, because there is such a severe distrust of the NYPD within these communities, we've noticed that certain individuals do not give their information and so, they show up to court in time for their court date, however, they do not get to benefit from a pre-arraignment adjudication because of that distrust. We would like to pilot reset at arraignment, so that they are not excluded from this opportunity to avoid the collateral consequences of their arrest.

Additionally, we would also like to pilot a mental health court targeting individuals with over 30 convictions and/or three arrests within a year, so that they can receive consistent mental health support within the traditional system. They are cycling in and out and we would like to get them consistent care with one judge and one team.

2.2

2.3

Regarding Staten Island, we would like to take the models that have been successful in Manhattan, Brooklyn and the Bronx and give our Staten Island judges a centralized opportunity to give their clients, or rather the defendants within their communities consistent access to alternatives to incarceration.

Additionally, melding that provision of centralized court support, with the Far Rockaway and Brownsville model, so that they are actually served within their community but what they do within that community counts as part of their fulfillment of their mandate.

Regarding gender based justice and I see I have 15 seconds left, so I will speak very quickly. Regarding gender based justice, we would like to expand the work that we've been doing in our cure violence sites and focus on IPV crime similar to the way that gun violence is targeted. Where there is high crime, we would like to get those specific resources to the community.

And in wrapping up, there is still one jail in Manhattan that has not closed down and that's within our midtown community court where we have a capital

2.2

2.3

request to shut down the last three holding cells that we frankly have no use for because of the criminal justice reforms.

So, we've submitted that as a request, so that we can utilize that space for community programming.

So, that is essentially an overview of the asks that we have which have been informed by the 26 program directors that I work with who operate throughout New York City serving in the communities and over 77,000 individuals a year and we see that number continuing to burgeon as the city continues to invest in diversion.

So, thank you for this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Alright, Mr. Palladino.

RALPH PALLADINO: Ralph Palladino, Local 1549 DC 37. I'm here to talk about the 911 system in terms of staffing and also civilianization.

On civilianization, I mean, I'm sorry, on the 911 staffing first. There are now 50 fewer PCTSPCT's than there were as of February 1st. The amount of overtime that is paid by the NYPD for the 911 personnel is 10 percent of their salary. If you hire more PCT's, you can reduce and eliminate the overtime

2.2

2.3

and hire 120 new PCT's without having to do the overtime. It's pure math.

More people are needed thanks to the texting and other things that you know about already. On the 911 surcharge, while they maybe getting some money in this city for it, there's \$186 million still sitting in the state, which could be asked for by the NYPD if they listen to us and work with us and the city. The City Hall has to ask for that money and we can get things like buses to and from the PSAC center.

For safety reasons that I know you were helping us with. And also, we can get a childcare center that Mike Bloomberg closed down for the NYPD employees. In terms of civilianization, crime in nearly all categories is going up according to a story in the New York Post.

If this is the case, than why does the city and the NYPD allow 500, we say 500, abled bodied uniform officers to sit at desks performing routine clerical duties rather than be on the street keeping the public safe. This violation of three arbitrators decisions cost the city \$30 million a year, that's \$180 million under the present Administration if you go six years.

2.2

2.3

Why does the city and the NYPD continue to waste
tax dollars in such a way? The NYPD should
civilianize immediately. Police officers, traffic
enforcement agents and school safety agents
performing routine clerical duties at the desks.

They are filing, they are greeting people coming into
the precinct, you can see it in every precinct.

Okay, and the current Administration is wasting

money, \$180 million as I said before.

They say that there is 368 positions that could be civilianized, we say 500 and it's Police

Administrative Aids. They have done some civilianization but not of that title. The Police Civilianization Aids are the ones that filed the grievance and won it and they are being disrespected as this Local is and all the people sitting on civil service lists waiting to come off that list that need jobs in this city.

The Mayor had promised us in 2017 and it hasn't happened yet. The City Comptroller has said he would do an audit two years ago, it hasn't happened yet.

What is going on in this city that people can waste money like that? It's absurd to have — last year, the City Council put in for 100 positions, the NYPD

2.2

2.3

had a cut in the clerical area. Why do they have the police officers sitting there doing that work if there's a cut, if the work isn't needed? If it's automation, why are they still at the desks? Good question, it begs an answer.

Thank you very much and thank you for all you do. We know you've been helping us, I heard you earlier in the day as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. It's called overtime.

RALPH PALLADINO: I'm sorry.

ASHLEY SAWYER: Thank you. Good evening Chair Richards and Committee Members. So, I won't read to you the testimony that you already have before you, I'll just highlight the high level points.

My name is Ashley Sawyer and I am the Director of Policy at Girls for Gender Equity. We've been serving the youth of New York City for close to 18 years now and our commitment is to removing systemic barriers that prevent girls including cisgender and transgender girls and non-binary youth of color from living self-determined lives. I want to hone in on two key points. The first point is the importance of

2.2

2.3

reducing the contact young people of color have with the New York City Police Department including the school safety division and putting a halt to the proposed, I won't even say proposed. The Youth Coordination plan that seems to be rolling out quite quickly and second, the alarming growth in the city spending on criminalization of girls of color.

I want to note the second page of the testimony that you have before you includes a graph and chart and you'll see that the cost of the school safety division this year will be the most expensive that it has ever been totaling at \$451 million and that kind of growth cannot go unchecked. We have to recognize the ways in which the growth of school policing has been harmful particularly for girls of color.

You'll see in the data that I shared with you that Black girls experience extremely disproportionate rates of interactions with school police in New York City. And the same racial disproportionality that we see with Stop and Frisk and police interactions on the street. We see that same racial disproportionality in our schools.

The most important thing is that again and again advocates have said educator students have said that

2.2

2.3

they do not need more policing, more police interaction in order for them to feel safe. They want investments in the ample staff, non-law enforcement staff to support them for their educational needs, their mental health, their emotional needs and they want resourcing for their schools.

So, the new youth coordination plan that the NYPD has been bragging about is quite alarming in that it proposes to bring more young people into the system.

More young people into arrests instead of moving away from young people having to have any interaction with the school safety division, and we want to make sure that this body is doing everything it can to slow down and hopefully put a stop to this program.

I've testified before this body before. When I was an attorney representing kids in this city who have had contact with the system when I was in Queens, I had to watch surveillance video of one of my clients being dragged by five school safety agents. A young queer girl, she was tackled to the ground because she cursed at a teacher at a Queens High School and the situation escalated because there were police present and that would not have happened,

2.2

2.3

I believe, had not police been involved in normal adolescent discipline.

I'll wrap really quickly by noting that of the data that we shared with you, GGE found that Black girls represented 57 percent of all school safety division and interventions that involve girls but Black girls are only 25 percent of the population in New York City schools.

We recognize that the MOU that went into effect this year has not reduced the level of interventions. In addition, I want to make sure GGR history is doing the work of preventing sexual violence as it impacts girls of color. Where the institutional home of the Me Too Movement, we heard with the CCRB testified and we want to make sure that you all are aware about the extremely high rate of sexual misconduct of school safety division.

One of our young people testified here last year about feeling uncomfortable because of the sexual harassment that she and her colleagues, her other classmates experienced at the hands of school safety officers. Whether it was inappropriate gestures, flirting, leering, all of that behavior has to be addressed and we believe that young people should not

2.2

2.3

have to interact with police as they are going through their normal maturation.

Finally, I want to make sure you take a look at the most recent data that I shared with you about the extreme increase in the funding that's going to the school safety division and that's not being allocated towards the resources that students and families have been begging for.

Thank you for your time and I appreciate your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much for your testimony.

Good evening Chair Richards. Thanks for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Brandon Holmes, New York City Campaign Coordinator with JustLeadershipUSA, leading the Close Rikers Campaign.

Today, I want to highlight some of the opportunities that are right in front of New York City's eyes that we are not currently taking. To immediately invest in the types of community resources that can create true safety by strengthening and stabilizing our communities.

Council Member Richards, I know you as a Black man raising a family in New York City, you understand

2.2

2.3

the narrative, the legacy that has been plaquing our communities for generations. Me as someone who went to PAL the Police Athletic League camp out in Cambria Heights and Springfield, I also know the neighborhood that you have grown up in or have represented. But today, I want to focus on identifying other opportunities in other agencies that are overfunded and overstaffed. One of them being the Department of Corrections. And we spoke a lot about and you know, you all questioned a lot the NYPD, but the Department of Corrections currently operating with over just about 9,000 uniform corrections officers with less than 5,500 people in their custody. This is almost a two to one ratio of an agency that's operating with essentially a \$2.5 billion budget.

The Lippman Commission has reported that we could safe over \$1 billion just this year alone from the impact that reducing mass incarceration in New York City has had. So, that's one pool of money right that we shouldn't be questioning where is this money coming from right. We know that New York City is in a deficit, that there are cuts from the state that we are facing. There is no question of where this money is coming from. As crime last year was reported in

2.2

2.3

all time low, we've seen that over the past six, seven years. These budgets have continued to increase with the NYPD and with the DOC and now in the face of this plan to get us below where our current jail population is, we have a real opportunity to talk about how we divest that money from these law enforcement agencies, this year and next year.

This isn't something that's going to happen in one budget cycle, which we're very clear about but this is a massive opportunity for Council Members and Committee Members to be using the cover of the closure of Rikers Island and the goal of closure by 2026 to begin setting New York City's Budget on a path over the next several years to divest from those systems from punitive responses to crisis in our communities and invest in proven alternatives and solutions.

And you know, many of the folks here who are engaged in service provision spoke very well today and I want to uplift everything that they said. And I also want to name a couple of the things that are from our Build Communities 2.0 platform. This is a platform that identifies, there's \$14 billion spread

2.2

2.3

across New York City Law Enforcement agencies and different solutions and alternatives to investing that money directly in community resources and programs that are not punitive or justice system responses.

So, one is, creating paid opportunities for community members to learn and apply skills related to social and emotional support and civic engagement such as conflict, de-escalation techniques for themselves, their families and neighbors. If there was that much effort put into training in our community members, as there is to retraining DOC several times over the past few years or retraining police officers to adopt new neighborhood policing models, we could actually address and confront violence in our communities before it got to the point of reporting crimes.

Another thing I want to talk about is expanding funding for violent interruption programming to include civic engagement, mobilization and political education and the creation of youth public health workers. Each site, each violence interruption site that currently exists should receive at least \$1.5 million for services not including the cost of their

2.2

2.3

space and to be trusted and effective in their communities. These programs must have no affiliation with the police. Right, in order for them to really be the credible messengers that we seek and we need to confront violence or harm in our communities, before incarceration and before people are trapped in the justice system. We need to make sure that we are doing the best to invest in these community programs outside of police oversight.

So, I will stop there and submit this to the record. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you all for your testimony. Thank you.

Alrighty, last panel. Jorge Artelejo, I think I messed that up, I chopped that up. Towaki Komatsu, Darlene Jackson Bronx Community Board 9 Close Rikers Campaign. Okay, Darlene, right? Okay, perfect.

You may begin sir.

JORGE ARTELEJO: Can you hear me? Good. I come to New York City from the American southwest in the city to the proceedings that proceeded here and that the other spoken that it seems that New York is true blue and in that case, that it is that it's necessary in those instances in which there are concerns that

| the public necessarily might have with regards to the |
|---|
| method by which that trueness in the blue is |
| enforced. It should be in fact addressed adequately |
| and unfortunately for the city; they have avenues by |
| which complaints can be addressed. But the problem, |
| it seems to be that individuals who have complaints |
| for whatever reason, legitimate reasons that they |
| might have for not proceeding with their complaints, |
| they choose not to complain. And if they are not |
| choosing to complain then the complaint continues to |
| exist. And if it's an extinct complaint than the |
| problem continues to exist and manifest itself and I |
| hope that the City of New York undertakes efforts by |
| which it can address those instances in which |
| individuals are having difficulty complaining |
| legitimately for those concerns to in fact alleviate |
| those concerns, so that those complaints that those |
| individuals have are brought out and addressed, so |
| that New York can function in the light that New York |
| exists and in fact functions. The true blueness of |
| the City. |

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much for your testimony.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: My name is Towaki Komatsu. We had a conversation prior to the start of today's hearing. I sent you an email last week asking if I could present video in conjunction with today's testimony. There has been no preparations made whatsoever to have that done. There's no laptop on the table that would allow me to present that video recording for the benefit of the audience.

So, this hearing is about a budget hearing for the NYPD. I previously talked to you about the fact I was facing a frivolous criminal prosecution. I prevailed in that prosecution without your assistance. In January of this year, four months after I met that a-hole he stopped an Uber car, didn't turn on his body camera video and he is still a part of the NYPD. The Mayor's head of security, Howard Redmond, he had a federal court hearing earlier this week in a lawsuit filed by a members own team in a racial discrimination case.

So, the question is, in terms of budget, why in the hell should taxpayers foot the bill for the NYPD when they are violating the civil rights of military veterans sitting in front of you. They are violating

2.

Ŭ

2.2

24 Thank you.

the civil rights of members of the Mayor's NYPD security detail and there is no oversight.

As a corollary, earlier today there were a bunch of other Council Members sitting to you left, your right, where in the hell are they? We have a due process right to be heard in this public hearing.

So, where is the hearing by Rory Lancman, where is the hearing by Venessa Gibson?

Also, to close out, as I apprise you previously, I have a federal lawsuit against the city. Judge Gabriel Gorenstein, he issued an order on March 2nd, asked me in essence, what additional claims do I want to assert in my lawsuit against the city?

So, I testified to you on November 18th, I asked you if arrangements could be made to have video presented for the benefit of the audience. Three days after that hearing, the video from that hearing wasn't available online in accordance with New York City Charter 1063. So, with regards to answering that question by Judge Gorenstein, what additional claims I seek to assert, I hope you enjoy being a defendant in that lawsuit.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you for your 3 testimony. You may begin.

DARLENE JACKSON: Okay, my name is Darlene

Jackson and I'm a member in support of the Close

Rikers and Build Communities Campaign.

As the city begins the closure of Rikers Island and shift into safer, smaller and humane borough based facilities to reach New York City's decarceration goals and a restorative approach. The culture of systemic violence as a result of poverty in this city needs to begin to shift and be treated as a public health crisis and not continue as a punitive system.

We need our elected officials and City Council to be bold and have the political courage to do the right thing and divest from the NYPD's \$5 billion budget and invest in communities of color impacted by mass incarceration and drastically eliminate the number of arrests through preventative services. And we can do that by creating a just transition to union jobs that meets the needs of NYC.

Ending our city's culture of violence, begins with addressing the historic disinvestment of resources in our communities that fills our criminal

2.2

2.3

justice system because of poverty, the worse form of violence.

The City for far too long has relied on our jails to warehouse people experiencing homelessness, mental illness, substance use, and untreated trauma. These issues are intensified by placing the responsibility on law enforcement, an agency that is not equipped to deal with our public health crisis and its root causes and are simply trained to ensure safety and security. They are not properly trained in trauma informed care that can support their own wellbeing as well.

We have an opportunity now to reimagine what

Public Safety truly means in New York City with a

restorative justice lens that would allow everyone to

live in dignity with their basic necessities met

regardless of race, class and due process.

I urge the City Council to invest in the community resources laid out by the Build Communities platform, divest in law enforcement and invest in resources that would better serve public safety and health such as school counselors, crisis intervention teams, crisis respite centers, affordable housing and affordable healthcare.

It is time to move away from living in a punitive state and truly create a pathway for New Yorkers to thrive.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you all for your testimony. This concludes the FY21 Preliminary Budget hearing. I look forward to continue to work with all parties and I certainly agree with a lot of your testimony. We have a lot of work to do to make sure we are investing in communities and not just law enforcement because community based solutions actually will drive down crime.

So, with that being said, more work to be done. Thank you everyone for coming out. This hearing is now closed. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018