CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

----- X

February 6, 2020 Start: 10:35 a.m. Recess: 2:02 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Mark Gjonaj

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Mark Gjonaj

Stephen T. Levin Bill Perkins Ydanis Rodriguez Helen K. Rosenthal

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Greg Bishop Commissioner New York City Department of Small Business Services

Steven Picker Executive Director Small Business Services Food Service Industry Partnership

Corinne Schiff
Deputy Commissioner
Environmental Health
New York City Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene

Jim Collins CEO Kitchen United

Corey Manicone CEO and Founder Zuul Kitchens

Sean Fitzgibbons Cofounder and Chief Business Development Officer Zuul Kitchens

Andrew Schnipper Schnipper Restaurants Jeff Bank CEO Alicart Restaurant Group

Andreas Koutsoudakis

Robert Bookman Counsel to the New York City Hospitality Alliance

Andrew Rigie
Executive Director
New York City Hospitality Alliance

Matt Newburg

Amy Healy Senior Director for Public Affairs Grub Hub

Kathleen Reilly
New York City Government Affairs
Coordinator
New York State Restaurant Association

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HONA DOLTAY: Test, test. This is the Committee on Small Business. Today's date is February 6, 2020. This recording is being recorded by Hona Doltay.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [gavel] Good morning. I'm Council Member Mark Gjonaj, chair of the Committee on Small Business and I'd like to welcome to our tardy hearing this morning. Our hearing today focuses on the rise of ghost kitchens and their impact on local restaurants and the food industry. Technological innovations supporting the consumer trend of ultra convenience have changed the business model of the food insecurity. Online orders are now as important to mom and pop shop restaurants as foot traffic. While food delivery has always been part of the restaurant business, eating a purchased meal outside of a restaurant is now the norm. Between take-out, drive-through, and delivery nearly 60% of food orders were consumed outside of the traditional brick-and-mortar restaurants last year. While in 2018 the global online food ordering market was 35 billion, a UBS report estimates that this industry will grow tenfold over the next ten years, up to \$365 billion by the year 2030. And third-party

25

efficiency and cut costs, third-party delivery

2	platforms may open up their ghost kitchen facilities.
3	For example, Door Dash has already accomplished this
4	in California and has used its pre-existing consumer
5	data to approach restaurants that its users have
6	requested, filling a cuisine gap in an area that may
7	not currently have a particular type of food in that
8	delivery radius. Because every food order at a ghost
9	kitchen is a digital transaction, ghost kitchens may
10	also have access to a large amount of customer data.
11	To further vertically integrate, some ghost kitchens
12	have begun opening up their restaurants. Ghost
13	kitchen facility, for example, a cloud kitchens, has
14	used data to develop its own in-house restaurant
15	brands. This allows the ghost kitchen company to cut
16	out the restaurant, an existing client building
17	kitchen spaces with their own restaurants with
18	cuisines that they know will succeed based on their
19	data. Since ghost kitchens, mainly in partner with
20	restaurant chains or well-known brands, they
21	typically do not collaborate with our local small
22	businesses. According to the investment firm Kitcher
23	Fund, a brick-and-mortar restaurant will need to be
24	making at least one million dollars in delivery sales
25	to break even in a ghost kitchen. Mom and pop shops

2 seem to have no chance to participate in this rising sector of the food economy. The concern is that 3 4 because restaurant brands save costs in ghost kitchens by employing fewer workers and renting less expensive commercial spaces they could decrease their 6 7 menu prices, producing for delivery at below market If this occurs our mom and pop shops will be 8 squeezed even further than they already are and could force some out of business in a market where 80% of 10 11 our restaurants never make it to year five. High-end 12 restaurants that offer more memorable experiences 13 will be insulated from any harm, but your local trattoria, curry shop, burger bar, sushi place, 14 15 cannot compete with cheaper menu items delivered to 16 customers at record speeds. With mom and pop shops 17 going out of business vacancy rates will increase, 18 further undermining the character and charm of our city neighborhoods, commercial corridors, something 19 20 that we already see happening. Brick-and-mortar 21 restaurants also serve as a gathering place for city 2.2 residents. They offer a space for people of 2.3 different backgrounds to come together in a social setting. Our local restaurants provide city 24 25 residents internet access, a warm cup of coffee

2 between shifts at a job, and a meeting point for 3 parents and their children. Chain restaurants moving away from brick-and-mortar locations and opening up a 4 ghost kitchen locations may therefore further erode opportunities for community engagement. While I have 6 7 a number of other concerns that I hope to further 8 discuss today, including issue related to ghost kitchens, transparency, and how ghost kitchens may seek to undermine grocery stores, which are already 10 11 struggling to compete with mega supermarkets. 12 to make something clear. I support entrepreneurship 13 and innovation and want New York City to be a partner for businesses, not undermine them. If ghost 14 15 kitchens are planning on proliferating in New York I 16 hope they can support our strong communities and be a 17 partner to our mom and pop stores and help build our 18 neighborhoods. These hearings are important as we 19 learn from stakeholders so we can better understand 20 this marketplace and industry. With that said, I'd like to thank my chief of staff, Reggie Johnson, our 21 2.2 legislative counsel, Stephanie Jones, attorney 2.3 Christofer Jerrolds from the Office of Strategic Initiatives, and our policy analyst, Noah Mexler, for 24 all their hard work in preparing for this hearing. 25

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

also want to recognize we have with us Council Member

Perkins and Rosenthal. Thank you for joining us.

administer the oath. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

GREG BISHOP: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

GREG BISHOP: Good morning, Chair Gjonaj and members of the Committee on Small Business. name is Greg Bishop and I'm the commissioner of the New York City Department of Small Business Services. At SBS we aim to unlock economic potential and create economic security for all New Yorkers by connecting them to quality jobs, building stronger businesses, and fostering thriving neighborhoods across the five boroughs. I am joined by my colleague Steven Picker, the executive director of SBS's food service industry partnership and Corinne Schiff, deputy commissioner of environmental health with the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. New York City is home to around 27,000 restaurants and they employ over 270,000 New Yorkers. To assist

2 restaurant owners SBS offers many resources that help 3 them start, operate, and grow. Our services to 4 support restaurants include our government navigation 5 and compliance advisory services, which help restaurant owners navigate the regulatory process and 6 7 ensure that they are in compliance with the 8 regulations necessary to maintain public health and safety. To date compliance advisors have provided more than 3000 on-site consultations for restaurants, 10 11 helping these businesses avoid common violations 12 before their inspections and saving them more than 83 13 million dollars in potential fines. We also help 14 restaurant owners access capital, hire new employees, 15 and fund employee training throughout NYC business 16 solution centers. Annually SBS helps open roughly 17 500 restaurants, fill nearly 3000 open positions, and 18 connect about 100 restaurants to around 4 million 19 dollars in financing. SBS is committed to helping 20 neighborhood businesses thrive in their communities. 21 SBS provides eligible business owners with legal 2.2 services on topics including lease negotiations, 2.3 formalizing oral lease agreements and landlord harassment through our commercial lease assistance 24 Of the more than 500 businesses served 25 program.

2 through the program, more than 25% are accommodation 3 and food service establishments. To help 4 neighborhood businesses adapt to changing market conditions SBS runs the Love Your Local grant 5 program. To date we have provided technical 6 assistance and over two million dollars in grant funding to 41 small businesses, including 29 8 restaurants. Through Love Your Local SBS aims to identify common challenges that are impacting the 10 11 profitability of small businesses so that we can help 12 and test new business services to support the growth 13 and retention of long-standing businesses across the five boroughs. SBS is committed to working directly 14 15 with the restaurant industry to understand their most 16 pressing challenges. Our New York City food and 17 beverage industry partnership is made up of over 30 18 New York City restaurant industry leaders, key 19 professional associations, and community-based 20 organizations that focus on skills training. This 21 partnership allows us to work directly with the industry on priority issues, impacting both employers 2.2 2.3 and workers to support the growth of the sector. priorities include helping restaurants navigate the 24 regulatory environment, addressing the demand for 25

skilled workers, and providing support to adapt to 2 3 the rising cost of doing business in the city. 4 the major challenges elevated by the industry, members of the partnership cites the recruitment of skilled employees as one of the most important. 6 year SBS launched First Course NYC, an apprenticeship 7 8 program that teaches the culinary skills necessary for a career as a line cook, a position that is highly in demand in New York City's restaurant 10 11 industry. Technology continues to impact many 12 industries across New York City. Ghost kitchens 13 capitalize on the popularity of food delivery 14 applications and are a relatively new feature of the 15 restaurant industry landscape. Ghost kitchens, which 16 have also been called virtual kitchens, delivery kitchens, or cloud kitchens, are commercial food 17 18 preparation in kitchen facilities designed to produce 19 delivery-only meals for consumers. While they share 20 many characteristics with other food service models 21 such food hauls, fast casual businesses, and incubator kitchens, ghost kitchens pose potential 2.2 2.3 opportunities and challenges for small store-front restaurants. For a business that makes the bulk of 24 its profit through delivery ghost kitchens could 25

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

provide opportunities for business owners to reach the delivery market without the oversight hearing of operating a full-service restaurant. However, as you indicated, it may be challenging for neighborhood restaurants already struggling to utilize technology and reach the delivery market compete with businesses operating out of ghost kitchens. The landscape of the restaurant industry is continually evolving and we aim to help business owners be nimble in adapting to changing market conditions. SBS is committed to working with our agency partners and industry to better understand the opportunities, the challenges and the impacts of ghost kitchens to better inform our small business owners. This is a new issue and we look forward to continuing to engage with the City Council and to learn from our industry stakeholders. Thank you, and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,

Commissioner. Is the Department of Health going to

make an opening statement?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Happy to take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Great. So I want to thank both of you for being here on this very

1

23

24

25

2	important hearing as we better understand this
3	environment and marketplace of ghost kitchens,
4	virtual kitchens. You've, um, eloquently indicated
5	that we have 27,000 restaurants in New York City that
6	employ over 270,000 New Yorkers, offering a service
7	that is vital to this city, to our residents, our
8	visitors, and our concern is the model that currently
9	exists and the impact that technology is going to
10	have and in particular not only food order apps, but
11	in this regard ghost kitchens. Some of my questions
12	are directed directly to Department of Health. Can
13	you explain to us the current grading system for our
14	local restaurants and food establishments?
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Sure. Um,
16	all restaurants that serve the general public are in
17	our grading program. We launched restaurant grading
18	about 10 years ago.
19	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And I'm sorry, could
20	you introduce yourself, your name and your title?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Sure, yes.
22	Ah, Corrine Schiff, I'm the deputy commissioner for

environmental health at the city health department. And I oversee our food safety program. So I can take specific questions about the restaurant grading

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Um, we launched 10 years ago. Restaurants 3 that serve the public are in the grading program and 4 we have found it to be extremely popular among New Yorkers and really effective in improving food safety 5 practices among restaurants. I will put in a plug 6 that just at the very end of last year in December 7 2019 we relaunched our popular ABC Eats website, 8 which lets New Yorkers look up inspection history and grades for those restaurants and we've got some nice 10 11 new features. I hope you will check it out. But I'm 12 happy to take specific questions about restaurant 13 grading.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Great. So on the grading systems which is the letter grade that restaurants are closed down? Can you elaborate a little bit on the system that's in place now protecting our consumers?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Sure. So we have all of the, all of the conditions that restaurants need to follow that are laid out in the city's health code. So we have a provision of the health code that sets out all of the food safety requirements that restaurants need to meet. That health code is aligned with the New York State

2 version of that, called the State Sanitary Code, and 3 also is aligned with the FDA's model food code. 4 a living document. It's something that we look at, ah, regardless and monitor changes in the industry and in food safety science to keep that health code 6 up to date to continue to protect New Yorkers. lay out a series of conditions that restaurants need 8 to follow to be aligned with the health code. something that we, ah, we have a checklist that we 10 11 mail out to all of the restaurants and we publish 12 that on the website as well. And our inspectors 13 basically follow that checklist when they do an 14 inspection at a restaurant. Depending, we have a 15 point system, it's all laid out in the rules, all 16 very transparent, provided to restaurants. Depending 17 on the points that they restaurant gets at that 18 inspection that is then converted to an A, B, or a C. 19 Ah, and, um, that is sort of the way we let New 20 Yorkers, we are kind of New Yorker's eyes in the 21 kitchen and this is what we communicate, how we 2.2 communicate that to New Yorkers. There is no cutoff 2.3 for a closure, closure by which I think you mean when we see a condition that makes, that we think is 24 25 unsafe for New Yorkers to, to eat there. We will

2	close that restaurant as a kind of temporary measure,
3	really an emergency measure, um, and require the
4	restaurant to correct whatever conditions exist that
5	created that sort of emergency. There is no, there
6	is no grade cutoff. There is no cutoff. There are a
7	variety of circumstances that can lead to a, to a
8	closure and it's something that, ah, the inspector
9	will report back to the office and it's, and it's
10	reviewed by levels of supervision until there is a
11	decision 'cause we know that it's a very, ah, a very
12	extreme measure so we take that very seriously and,
13	and close that restaurant and then work with them to
14	help them reopen as quickly as possible in conditions
15	that are safe for New Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Deputy

Commissioner. So it's safe to assume that New

Yorkers have come to rely on this letter grade and

the, in determining where they're going to eat?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: We have done some polling of New Yorkers and we do believe that New Yorkers do value the grade and that many New Yorkers make decisions based on those grades.

establishments and online?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Can you help us

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And these grades are

understand how this current grading system, which New Yorkers have come to rely on, which, ah, indicate the level of compliance by our food establishments, how this would be used at a ghost kitchen facility, if you even know where the facility is located?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF:

visible on storefronts at the point of entry of these

facilities that are delivery only, that we're describing here as ghost kitchens, are subject to the very same health code food safety requirements that I just described. Um, they are permitted by the health department and inspected by us, and if they, like other restaurants if they directly, um, provide delivery to consumers then they are also part of the, of the grading program.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So ghost kitchens are not your traditional brick-and-mortar, commercial corridor, visible to the average person, is that correct?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Could be. Where would the letter grade be posted that a consumer seeking to purchase the food from an establishment, where would they be exposed to that information?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So those grades, as for any food service establishment that are part of grading program would be on the, at the location and also on our website.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But these are not traditional brick-and-mortar storefront locations.

They could be in a basement, they can be on a second floor, an upper floor. They can be in a warehouse.

What I'm gathering is there's a potential that a letter grade is not known to a consumer based on visible patronage.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Right. The grade, ah, the grade shows the consumer what we see at that, at that location, um, and is on our website.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Now on a website you would identify the restaurant or the food handler, or the restaurant or the name associated with that restaurant would receive the grade, correct?

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 21
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: The, the way
3	that the consumer looks it up is based on the, on the
4	restaurant name, yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Which is affiliated
6	through Consumer Affairs, Department of Health
7	licenses?
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: It's part of
9	the health department permit.
10	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Correct.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Ghost kitchens allow
13	for multiple names, or virtual kitchens, to be used.
14	Am I correct?
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: That's what,
16	that's what I hear you describing, yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And those names may
18	not be in your database?
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Ah, it would
20	depend on how that, ah, how that establishment is set
21	up. There are different models for these, so they
22	may or may not be on the, on the website. It will
23	depend, it will depend on how they are structured.
24	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So a structure could

exist where a restaurant could have one name, with a

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 letter grade, where your agency knows of their physical location, is able to inspect, and operate 3 under other names?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: something I will have to take a look at. I think you might be right and it's an interesting point that you're raising, and we can come back and take a look at it. It's, it's not that different from, um, other kinds of restaurants where the food is not actually prepared on site. So from our point of view this is a twist on other models that exist, but I understand where, what you're, what you're saying and we'll go back and we'll take a look at that.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Let me further elaborate. I'll give you an example. Mikado Bistro, known restaurant, compliant with the Department of Health, registered, has all its licenses and permits necessary to operate. They receive letter grades as low as C. The same establishment, the same restaurant, the same brick-and-mortar structure, operates under several other names, such as Mikado Poke, New Mikado Sushi, Master Raman Bar, Chef Honika Sushi, Raman Yamado, and Mikado Raman. That is one, two, three, four, five, six other names that it

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 operates under. Yet when we search one of these 3 other affiliates on our database there is no letter, 4 there is no grade associated to that restaurant, but yet the food is being prepared from the same brick-5 and-mortar restaurant, the same kitchen, as Mikado 6 7 with a grade of C. My concern would be, as I'm sure 8 to the New Yorkers that have relied and rely on these letter grades to indicate whether or not they're going to purchase the food from this eatery and 10 11 they've used it as a guide. They're not aware that 12 they may be purchasing food from an establishment 13 that is not up to code or a standard that they feel 14 comfortable with. How are we allowing this to happen? 15

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So what I'd like to do is follow up with your office and get that list and it's something we can take a look at. It's an interesting point and we're happy to take a look and think about what else we might be doing.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Well, Deputy

Commissioner, I think it's more than interesting. It should be an alarm and a concern, especially when we're dealing with foods like sushi or shellfish, or that New Yorkers and people have allergic reactions

2	to, or could be, their health could be in jeopardy
3	because we're not informing them of the standard that
4	those kitchens and restaurants are operating at.
5	Which is contrary to what our traditional brick-and-
6	mortar establishments are currently held to. I think
7	it's much more alarming that we understand and the
8	more we discuss this the more alarms and bells should
9	be ringing in our minds as government and agencies
10	and departments and the roles that we have to protect
11	New Yorkers. The letter C in a sushi restaurant or a
12	seafood restaurant I can assure you would deter
13	people from eating there. But if you're operating
14	under a bistro name, not aware that your seafood is
15	being prepared at that same eatery, it's deception.
16	What are your thoughts?

know, we're, we're very committed to transparency,
um, that's why we launched the restaurant grading
program and have been putting more and more
inspection data up online for New Yorkers and, again,
I'd like to take a look at that, and maybe that's an
example that we can use to dig into this. I, I hear
your point. I think it's, it's an important one. We
are concerned about food safety and we know that, ah,

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

leveraging New Yorkers' consumer power has been very, 3 very helpful in helping restaurants comply with the 4 health code. So it's an important point. That's 5 what we are interested in. We are interested in compliance with the food safety rules and we want to 6 7 promote transparency to encourage that so what I'd like to do is follow up and use those examples to 8 help us take a look at this.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Deputy, I, ah, thank you for that response. I'm truly concerned that we're jeopardizing, um, New Yorkers by exposing them to restaurants that are not operating at a standard, ah, that they are entitled to make a decision on. Um, I'm going to mention some other things that should be alarming to us and I'm glad that the commissioner is here as well. We know that so many people are allergic to shellfish. The word bistro does not indicate that shellfish are on their menu, but when you see a name specifically associated to seafood and many New Yorkers, including my own friends and family members, do not dine in establishments where they know seafood is on the menu because of the severe allergic reactions that they could have. So in this case, I'm just using one as

2 an example of a Mikado Bistro that operates with six 3 other names, where four indicate specific seafood 4 use, should be more than a concern. And when we think of perhaps allergic reactions to peanuts and 5 nuts that so many of us experience in our own 6 households with our family members we would not know 8 that, I'll use an example, like a Thai restaurant that relies heavily on peanuts and nuts, could be operating as another food establishment that would 10 11 give a consumer a level of comfort and not even think 12 of asking the question about peanuts and nuts 13 interacting with their food that they could have an allergic reaction. I'm stressing the importance of 14 15 this as a health concern, Deputy Commissioner, and I'm not looking to, and the commissioner is probably 16 17 concerned, now is looking at us as what are we 18 looking to do, close these establishments down? 19 Absolutely not. But we need to be much more 20 aggressive in determining the type of food for 21 transparency, the letter grades that inform our 2.2 customers, New Yorkers, give them the information 2.3 they need to better determine whether or not they will patronize a establishment. And in this case the 24 establishment is a virtual establishment and there is 25

2 no letter grade that your agency can associate to 3 these restaurants. Commissioner, New Yorkers, New 4 York City relies on the tax base and the employment base and the services that these establishments provide. They are vital to our economy. 6 7 vital to our city. I want to work with you, 8 alongside of the Department of Health, to ensure that they continue to thrive and provide the services that are so desperately relied on, but not at the health 10 11 risk. Not at a level where we're not transparent as 12 to who's the operator, at what level of, ah, standard 13 are they operating at. The current models that exist 14 now could undermine the very existence of the 15 traditional brick-and-mortar restaurant. We see our commercial corridors, the vacancy rates, which are 16 17 concerning to us, and when commercial vacancies occur 18 on some of our main thoroughfares they also bring 19 less foot traffic to those areas, which is then a 20 downward spiral on the other small businesses. 21 should be proactive, not reactive. I encourage you 2.2 both to start looking at this before there's a 2.3 problem. Not stop it from, not stop change and evolution and technology, but help shape it where it 24 is a partnership that other small businesses benefit 25

2	from and New York benefits from while being
3	transparent and putting the interest, the health
4	concerns, first. The little we understand and know,
5	and that's why these hearings are so important,
6	traditionally if there was an example of a mouse
7	dropping in a location it would impact that
8	establishment. In a shared kitchen facility with a
9	common corridor who would get that violation, where a
10	number of restaurants [inaudible] closed doors
11	operator independently but yet the storage facility
12	which they use jointly has mouse droppings, or the
13	corridor that connects to their refrigeration,
14	shared, and dry storage areas, which are shared. In
15	this case according to the rules and how they're
16	implemented who would get that violation?
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: In the
18	situation that you just describe it is the owner of
19	the overall facility who also has a permit who is
20	responsible for the common areas and the facilities
21	issues.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm sorry, please elaborate once more on that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: In the situation that you just described the, ah, the owner

2.2

of the overall facility is responsible and has a permit from us and is responsible for the common spaces, and for example the facility issues that, and pest control.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: That would be quite different than from the common space of a brick-and-mortar single-occupied restaurant. If there were mouse droppings in their waiting area that would impact that food establishment, correct?

of a restaurant that is not shared, the owner is, the owner who holds the permit is responsible for all aspects of that restaurant. In a shared kitchen there is dual responsibility. The owner of the overall facility is responsible for those common areas. The restaurant that is essentially renting a space there is responsible for what happens in their space, their food preparation, for example.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Does the common operator get a letter grade?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: I'll have to get back to you. There are letter grades for shared kitchens, but let me get back to you about exactly how those, how those grades work.

J

\_ .

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So an example like Zuul, operating under a similar scenario, the Zuul operator would have the license that you, the umbrella, that would receive violations and they may or may not get a letter grade.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: I don't know this particular operator and it will depend on how they have structured their, their business, so we can take a look at any particular entity that runs one of these delivery-only businesses and see how they have structured themselves.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: My understanding is Zuul, and we're using them as example, does not get a letter grade. So a violation that's issued to Zuul that does not impact their letter grade and not impacting the other shared kitchen operators is misleading, at best, and not complying with the intent of the letter grade system. Isn't this a concern for us?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: It is something that we're looking at to see how we might be able, whether there's more we should be doing in terms of transparency. So the, the kinds of things that you're raising is something, some of the things

2.2

2.3

that we're thinking about it, and so it's very
helpful to hear, um, that this is a concern. We are
thinking about this and where else should letter
grades apply, and what would be useful to New
Yorkers, what information would be useful to New
Yorkers so that they can make these decisions, both
as a matter of expanding transparency and because we
know that New Yorkers making these decisions is what
is motivating restaurants, ah, is one of the things
that's motivating restaurants to maintain very high
food safety standards.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We have a lot of work ahead of us. I'm gonna ask another question.

It can be directed to both of you, um, and I want to elaborate, because there's the, the more I think about this the more that comes to mind. So we have religious concerns, whether it be halal food or kosher food. Could you imagine what we're allowing to occur, aside from the health concerns from peanuts and shellfish and other known, um, foods that, ah, have allergic reactions, it can impact a person's well-being, could there be a scenario where a shared kitchen is operating under the pretense of halal or kosher, allowing to market their products to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

۵

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

specific targeted audience and in their shared kitchen facilities there could be a compromise to the authenticity of that product. And I'll let either one of you answer that question.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, I mean, um, I

think what you're raising is, is the fact, and what we are both saying is that, um, you know, ghost kitchens, it's, it's a new phenomenon and we're looking at, um, and we're working closely with the industry to understand, you know, where the challenges can be for the industry and where there are opportunities. Um, you know, your, specifically on the health side I think my colleague is saying that, you know, there's things that we need to look at, um, ah, and for us on, on the business side because you have, um, and I have reinforced that message, you know, our, the industry is important to New York City. It's important to the fabric of neighborhoods and we want to make sure that our small, our mom and pop restaurants are not impacted negatively from this, but more so that they may actually see an opportunity, because there's a number of, as you know, technology has disrupted a number of industries and we want to always make sure that our

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 small businesses are prepared to pivot, ah, to grow 3 and take advantage of new income opportunities. 4 we have seen, ah, some restaurants actually expand their delivery capacity by utilizing one of these models. But to your point, ah, there are certain 6 challenges that we want to make sure that we don't 7 8 allow, um, whether it is cross-contamination or anything like that, um, that we, we make sure that we address that as well. It is relatively new, um, 10 11 we've heard concerns from the industry. We work 12 closely with the industry and we'll continue to 13 listen to the industry and make adjustments to the way we operate based on what we hear. 14

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And so while we have a responsibility to make sure these businesses continue to thrive and succeed, we also have a responsibility to consumers and that's point I'm making here.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Absolutely, and, and, you know, the fact that we're having this hearing I think it's timely because this industry is nascent, so we want to make sure, to your point, um, if this is a business model that could increase the capacity of our small businesses to, to smart

2 restaurants actually grow and utilize the fact that 3 consumer behavior is changing, ah, we have talked 4 about this, where your kids, they no longer go to restaurants, right? They order from the phone and you keep on telling them to put down the phone. 6 7 a lot of consumers are, are focused on the fact that, 8 you know, convenience, and I think, and you and I have hammered this message a number of times, sometimes convenience, ah, we have to educate New 10 11 Yorkers what that truly means, um, and when, ah, 12 you're looking to get delivery the day of, ah, what 13 you're doing is you're removing or you're actually eliminating a sale from a neighborhood business, and 14 15 that business, ah, could, it could be [inaudible] 16 fabric of a neighborhood, um, and if you're not 17 buying from that business what you're saying is that 18 you're not gonna support that business or you're not gonna support that neighborhood. 19 So I think with 20 this, um, you know, with ghost kitchens we need to 21 make sure, ah, that not only do we prepare our small 2.2 restaurants to understand what this is and how they 2.3 can actually take advantage of that and, and leverage the fact that people are using their phones to order 24 in to grow their capacity there, but we also want to 25

make sure, to your point, that we do not, ah, create an atmosphere where those same small businesses that are the fabric of the neighborhoods are, ah, impacted negatively from this.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,

Commissioner. The industry numbers are 35 billion,

expected to grow to 300, tenfold that, in the next 10

years. It's, ah, it's incumbent upon us to be

involved in this as we help shape...

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Absolutely.

these credible that we're faced without undermining existing battles, ah, existing concerns and rightfully so our restaurants are suffering day in and day out, not only from competition, online ordering, third-party food apps that are yielding a net loss in many cases to these restaurants, charging as high as 33% for delivery, and we know that these establishments are not making that level of profit on an order. It's our responsibility to be there to make sure that this partnership is one we're both benefit from. Where the data and what could have been at one time a good working relationship and partnership that both benefitted from can turn around

25

2 and undermine the very existence of that restaurant 3 just by simply using the data that was taken from the 4 establishment, their own client, and given to another restaurant, or worse, be used to open up your own kitchen selling the same products or menu as your 6 very client, giving competition an unfair advantage 7 to these virtual kitchens and shared kitchens and 8 third-party food delivery apps. I'm concerned. You know the numbers yourself. Eighty percent of 10 11 restaurants never make to year five. And if we allow a model which could undermine the very existent 12 13 business models we have to be involved, attentive, and proactive. I'm gonna give, I'm gonna ask one 14 15 more question before I pass it over to my colleague, 16 Council Member Perkins, if he has any questions. 17 are any of you concerned about the health effects for 18 an average New Yorker of eating out more at restaurants and less often at home? We know that at 19 20 home typically you're buying fresher products, 21 cooking, ah, with less salt, ah, a balanced meal, um, 2.2 and many times in homes they feel like restaurants 2.3 'cause you're catering to, depending on your children's eating desires, ah, the menu could be one 24 thing and you could operate like a typical

24

25

2 restaurant. But are you concerned about the 3 direction New Yorkers are going in where they're eating less at home, they're spending less time 4 preparing their foods, and dining out, which has an 5 impact on our local grocery stores as well and 6 7 supermarkets, which is another business that is being 8 impacted, so I want to make sure that we see overlapping rings here, um, across the board, ah, which we know are struggling now to compete, whether 10 11 you be a small grocery store, bodega, or mid-size or 12 small supermarket, where they could barely compete 13 against big box supermarkets, these mega-supermarkets 14 that exist. Is that a concern for either one of you? 15 COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So I'll take the 16 last part of the question in terms of, um, the small 17 supermarkets, bodegas, ah, competing. It is a 18 concern and it is one of the reasons why we launched 19 Lovely Local, because we wanted to make sure that we, 20 ah, understand what are some of the interventions 21 that we need to help our small businesses adapt. Um, and when I say adapt there are certain things that we 2.2 2.3 know that, you know, no matter how convient online is

you just, you just still need to go out, um, to the

store. And we want to make sure we understand what

25

2 that is, what are those products, and we want to make 3 sure that the businesses in our communities 4 understand how to actually pivot, ah, to make sure that they still remain competitive. I will also say that small businesses will always have a competitive 6 7 advantage because that owner knows who you are. owner knows the type of product that you want, um, 8 whether you want, whether you're coming in for a cup of coffee, they know how you want your coffee, ah, or 10 11 whether it is you have a particular type of, um, you 12 know, product or food or whatever it is that you 13 like, they know you. And no matter how sophisticated you get with technology and, you know, artificial 14 15 intelligence, there's something about that human interaction that will always keep small businesses at 16 17 a competitive advantage. However, ah, there are 18 changes that's happening, um, and we know that with online purchasing, the convenience of being able to 19 sit in my living room and order, you know, the week's 20 groceries and it gets delivered the next day, um, we 21 2.2 want to make sure that, you know, we help our small 2.3 businesses adapt to that. And in some cases it could be moving particular products that you can't order 24

online because you need to actually touch and feel

25

and actually, ah, maybe even smell, moving those 2 3 products or maybe even adding those products to your 4 inventory mix, ah, or maybe understanding a little 5 bit better of what your inventory looks and eliminating the products that sit longer. Ah, these 6 7 are sort of, and using technology to make sure, you 8 know, because a lot of folks when they move into neighborhoods the first thing they do, they go online and search. Ah, if you do not have online presence 10 11 as a small business, if you're not necessarily 12 accessible, ah, online then you're missing out on 13 that. So we have programs where it's either how to have a better search engine optimization strategy, 14 15 ah, a lot of small business owners don't even know 16 what SEO means, and so we educate small business 17 owners on what that looks like, um, we help them 18 develop that, ah, how to develop an e-commerce 19 strategy, um, really how to incorporate technology 20 into your business, and certainly with Love Your 21 Local one of the things we saw was lack of 2.2 understanding of an inventory, and then the other 2.3 part was then figure out ways to, ah, change your display in your business to make it more attractive 24 for the things that people need immediately.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

owners adapt.

Deputy?

are concerned. We will continue to learn from our
learnings from Love Your Local and feedback that
we're getting right now and that will influence the
type of services that we will build out at SBS or the
different classes that we will have to help business

8 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Commissioner,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So we know that New Yorkers love to eat out and eat out a lot, and it's one of the, you know, our vibrant and varied, ah, restaurant industry is one of the core things in New York that makes it a wonderful city. So from the health department's perspective we see this as the restaurant setting can be a place to provide information to New Yorkers about health, and so we've had initiatives over the years, um, to be providing information about calories and sodium and eliminating trans fats in chain restaurants. The council in the last year has also enacted some new laws, um, providing information to New Yorkers in the restaurant setting, and so it is an important, um, and it's an important setting for health messaging given how often New Yorkers eat out.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of you for being here today. We have our work ahead of us. We outlined some of the work and I'm sure much more will come to mind as we dig deeper into this. Ah, Commissioner, from the Small, I am grateful for your friendship and the work that you do to protect our small businesses and understanding how viable they are and we can't afford to lose any one small business, let alone an entire industry that could be threatened and currently is being threatened by these third-party food apps and which has brought us to the point where we are today, just the continuation of that model that has led us to this hearing. I'm fearful of the future for the traditional mom and pop restaurant. The chains and the franchises and the owners that have multiple locations have the expertise and the infrastructure in place to adopt. Our local eateries, our longstanding eateries that have become household names in our communities are not prepared for this. I'm not sure how we're going to help them but these models could threaten their very existence and with that it's the loss of a business, loss of employees, and loss of a tax base, and a concern that with these

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to thank both

2	vacancies there is no assurance that someone else
3	will come in. They will be faced with the same
4	challenges. So, yes, consumer behavior changes,
5	changes to big box store competition and the internet
6	has changed the world as we know it. You have to be
7	much more proactive and come up with creative ideas
8	so it's a fair system. Deputy Commissioner, we have
9	real concerns. I'm hopeful that today we shed light
10	on some of those concerns, the need for transparency
11	and putting the health and safety of our New Yorkers
12	first, especially when it comes to allergies and
13	grading systems which we have come to rely on to
14	determine whether or not we will patronize an
15	establishment. I want to thank you, and I don't know
16	if Council Member Perkins has any questions for
17	either one of you.

council Member Perkins: [inaudible] of what might be considered to be some sort of dangerous perspective that, that's being brought to our attention as to how the industry is managing its, ah, performance for the customers that, ah, do you understand where I'm coming from?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Yeah, so I...

2

3

4

S

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: So for instance do you share, ah, some of the concerns that have been presented already just in this preliminary conversation and can you respond to some of those

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Yes, so I just, as I

how you respond?

concerns, or how are those concerns have met you and

indicated in my testimony we, um, at Small Business Services we have, ah, an industry partnership with the restaurant and the food and beverage industry. So we have individuals from the industry who, um, alert us to different concerns and challenges, um, and our goal as government is not only to listen but also to be responsive. Ah, so everything from the work force and the lack of, um, skills in particular areas that is well needed in the restaurant industry, like line cooks, we were responsive to that. still continue, and it's a work in progress, to address the regulatory environment and we talked about this a number of times. Ah, so yes, so outside of media reports, ah, we heard, um, concerns from the industry about ghost kitchens, but we have yet, um, in terms of getting data to measure the impact, we don't have that yet. Ah, so that's why I said this

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 conversation is timely because we will be continuing 3 to talk to the industry, understand, you know, how 4 it's actually affecting especially our mom and pop restaurants, um, and what we can do as a city to 5 respond to that. And as I said, and I think the 6 7 Council Member shares this, ah, we're not saying that 8 we don't want to see this, ah, flourish. We want to make sure that we just, um, make sure that our small restaurants have the ability to take advantage of 10 11 this, maybe to actually increase their sales. Ah, 12 but we also want to make sure that, um, it doesn't 13 decimate the industry that's already dealing with a 14 number of challenges.

question that the decimation of the industry is not on your agenda, or any of our agenda for that matter. However, the dialogue that's taking place represents a very great likelihood that the industry may be in some kind of danger and that the customer, the folks who are going to, ah, I guess support the industry in terms of their, ah, the products that they provide and the needs that the people might have [inaudible] have those products. How do we, how do we assume let's say, for instance, the worst case scenario as

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

made to some extent have been expressed already, how do we, how do we tackle that? How do we assure the public that this is not as bad as it obviously being, to some extent, portrayed?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So I think it's a combination of education. Um, to your point, I think consumers need to know, um, you know, the impact of their, their choices, um, so, you know, ah, continually reminded New Yorkers that, you know, support your local small business, support your local restaurant. Um, I think, you know...

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Well that's already happening. The, obviously the consumers are supporting these businesses that are still, still in operation. The problem becomes, I think from the conversation we're having, is that there is a measure of, ah, concern about the quality of what the consumer is engaging and the transparency to some extent of how this industry is operating, and speak on behalf of the consumer. Is that, does that challenge, do you see that challenge and does it, and has it?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: It sounds like the concerns that you're referring to are with

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 40
2	safety, and we don't, we don't see anything, we don't
3	see that specific to these kinds of delivery-only
4	businesses, um, that we're talking about here. The
5	same requirements apply to them, the same inspection
6	happens. I think that we have highlighted some areas
7	where there's an interest in expanding transparency
8	and we're happy to look at that transparency, um, as
9	I've noted is a priority is for us and so we can look
10	at that. But in terms, I don't want New Yorkers to
11	feel like these not businesses that are, um,
12	regulated and inspected by the health department.
13	They are.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: But there seems
15	to be somewhat of a hue and cry about how much of
16	this is actually taking place. That's what's on,
17	that seems to be the nature to some skepticism, to

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Well, I do want to assure...

say the least, about how well the industry is being

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: [inaudible] is performing...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: I want to...

19

20

21

22

23

24

managed.

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: ...in terms of the, the reaction of the consumers, I guess.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So I do want to assure New Yorkers if the concern is that these are businesses that are outside our purview that is not true. They are businesses that are, from our point of view, these are food service establishments. They are required to get our permit. Thev are required to follow the same, ah, health code food safety rules, and they are subject to the same inspections. I can also, in case this might be helpful, in preparation for this hearing I, I spoke to the director of our, ah, of the unit that conducts food-borne illness outbreak investigations and asked her to take a look over the last few years to see if she saw anything in her investigation history that would suggest that we are seeing some new trend and, and we're not. So from a food safety perspective, um, these are, are the same kind of food service establishments that we see. The delivery-only is, is different. Perhaps some of the transparency issues is an area we can work in so that New Yorkers can have information that they want. But New Yorkers shouldn't feel that these are out of our, out of our

2.3

sight. We, we know about this. We are inspecting them and they are subject to the very same important food safety requirements.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: So, but how are New Yorkers reaching out to let you know how they feel about this, or how are you communicating?

take, we take, ah, if New Yorkers want to, have a complaint we take a complaint. We follow up on all of the complaints through 311, and it doesn't matter how they receive their food. Sit down at a fancy restaurant, take-out, delivery, we take all of those complaints. I don't think we have heard any particular concerns from New Yorkers about this. I think that the concerns may be coming to SBS as an industry matter. But from the health department we have not heard anything, ah, as far I know we have not heard anything particular about this.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: [inaudible] scenario that there is something that you haven't heard that you would be alarmed about if you did hear it, and how would you go about searching that out to confirm one way or another what is actually the

2.2

2.3

reality that, that the consumers are experiencing.

That's, that's what my concern is.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: I see.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: You, you need to make sure, let's assume it's all gossip. Let's assume it's all [inaudible]. Let's assume the worst-case scenario that it's, it's something that, ah, that is, ah, perceived, if not in fact happening.

But sometimes perception to people is more important than the fact. If they think the system is not working on their behalf then they're gonna react as if it's not working on their behalf. How do we challenge that and overcome that perception? Let's assume it's a misperception, or something like that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So I think there's a couple ways to think about your question.

One is one of the things that I think was really wonderful about restaurant grading...

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: All right, that's fine.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: ...was that it not only, ah, showed New Yorkers where restaurants were not practicing, um, optimal food safety, ah, requirements, were not meeting them at a, at a high

2.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

everything is OK.

1

level. It also showed New Yorkers places that you

3 might think were not practicing high food safety

4 standards but actually they got an A. So one of the

5 ways that we counter some of those perceptions is

6 through restaurant gradings, which is why I think

7 | your points about transparency are very important.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: In conclusion for me, so you might be saying that it's a, it's a, you have a PR problem, public relations in a sense of what the community is perceiving to be the reality versus what, the negative reality as opposed to something much more positive. And so therefore I, I think, you have to help folks understand that

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Well, that's right, and that was, and I think that was one of the really powerful things about restaurant grading. We think of it as it let New Yorkers find out who was not practicing good food safety standards, the restaurants that got a C. But one of the other great things that restaurant grading did is it let New Yorkers see that a place that they might have been worried about, actually we went in there, we're the eyes in the kitchen for New Yorkers, and what we saw

on complaints, and also doing these investigations

24

to find

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 52
2	for the unusual, ah, situations where we do have
3	food-borne illness.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Just one final
5	question. In following up on complaints, how does
6	that follow-up, ah, touch the consumer?
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Um, well,
8	they
9	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: How does the
10	consumer become aware of the follow-up and the value
11	of the follow-up, the changes that have been made,
12	and what is the
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So the
14	particular complainant that will come in through 311
15	and they get a service request number, and all of
16	that information is available to the consumer to fin-
17	out how, ah, what our activity was.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Are there sort
19	of like consumer reports that sort of spell out some
20	of the challenges and how they've been overcome?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So the, in

e, in their, if a consumer who calls 311 and, and wants to follow up on their service request number can do that, and also on our ABC Eats, um, ah, website we report our, the inspection history for the last three

22

23

24

25

2.2

2	years. So New Yorkers who are very interested and
3	want to look into the history of their, of their
4	favorite spot, um, can do that. Not only what grade
5	do they have in the window right now, but they can
6	look and see what has been the pattern of practice
7	for those restaurants. We make all of that
8	available.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: So if it's

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: So if it's possible whatever reports towards, in that regard that you might have or that you may do I would appreciate if my office could have a copy of such reports.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Well, we can certainly send you the link to the website so you can look at that.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Council Member. I want to thank you both, Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner, for being here.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm looking forward to our follow-up conversations. Um, we're gonna call up a panel and there was a request made, um, because of time issues. We have Jim Collins, Sean Fitzgibbons, and Corey Manicone. Commissioner, ah,

before you leave, I'm sure you're leaving staff here for the remainder of the hearing. Gentlemen, if it's OK, we'll begin with you, Jim, and move in this type order.

JIM COLLINS: [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Mr. Collins, if you could just recap quickly, because there's people in the audience that may not have been privy to this as of yet.

2.2

JIM COLLINS: Sure, thank you. Thank
you. Ah, I'm the CEO of Kitchen United. Kitchen
United is an operator of, ah, what we call kitchen
centers in what, in the City of New York is coming to
be called dark or ghost kitchens. We are, we have
plans to deploy facilities in the New York City area.
We don't know how many yet. It will depend on the
market. Um, our operating method is to, um, use, ah,
distressed retail space, um, in a 7000 to 10,000
square feet range and use, and, ah, we work with, ah,
a combination of national and local restaurants.
Usually about 30% to 40% of our space is filled with
larger chains and then 60% to 70% is filled with, ah,
local restaurants.

_	
2	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Mr. Collins, you
3	just indicated that you work primarily with chains
4	and larger established restaurants or eateries,
5	correct? That's your preference of?
6	JIM COLLINS: So the, um, the first third
7	of our space, ah, we reserve for the larger, um,
8	restaurant chains. But we reserve two-thirds of the
9	space for local restaurants. We honestly find, ah,
10	local restaurants have better success energizing
11	consumers in the local neighborhoods than the
12	national chains do.
13	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: OK. I'm gonna ask a
14	few question across the board afterwards, OK, Jim?
15	JIM COLLINS: Sure.
16	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Sir, if you can
17	introduce yourself.
18	COREY MANICONE: Yeah, so, ah, founder
19	and CEO of, of Zuul Kitchens, Corey Manicone.
20	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You have an opening
21	statement for us?
22	COREY MANICONE: I, yeah, absolutely.
23	Um, so, again, my name is Corey Manicone. I'm the
24	founder and CEO of Zuul Kitchens. Joining me today

is also my co-founder, Sean Fitzgibbons, ah, and also

2.2

chief business development officer. Um, I'd like to start off by saying thank you to Council Member Mark Gjonaj.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Gjonaj. And I just wanted to, you don't have to read that whole statement, if you could just sum it up for us. It's quite lengthy and we're a little pressed for time and I apologize.

COREY MANICONE: Absolutely, so, again, we are the cofounders of Zuul Kitchens here in New York City, um, very similar to, to ah, Jim and Kitchen United. Ah, we operate facilities that allow brands to come in and operate in a delivery-only capacity. Ah, very fortunate to open up New York City's first ghost kitchen facility last September. Ah, it is a 5000 square feet facility, actually just located outside of Soho. Ah, it's, it's equipped with nine individual four-walled kitchens where we have six brands occupying said kitchens, um, the majority of them being local shops here in New York City, such as Sarge's Deli, Murray Hill, ah, Deli Institution, 55 years, um, to Stonebridge Pizza, who's a, a one-stop shop in midtown as well.

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: I also would like to thank the committee. As Corey referenced, my name is Sean Fitzgibbons. I'm cofounder and chief business development officer with Zuul as well.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to thank you gentlemen for being here. So help us understand. Are you a threat to our local mom and pop restaurants, or should you be embraced as a partner that's going to help them continue to flourish and grow and, ah, benefit from, um, the low costeficient manner which you operate in, and while benefitting consumers, New Yorkers?

know, candidly I think this is an incredibly archaic and challenging industry. Um, my father actually owned an Ihop while I was growing up out in Colorado. Um, massive success, which lead to opening up a bar and grill, um, which is where I really began to see just how challenging this industry can, can present itself. Ah, and without going into too much detail, so my parents go through incredible hardship and unfortunately lose both restaurants. Ah, and I think there's an immense opportunity for folks such as ourselves, um, to truly partner and, and be the

2 operational partner for brands that, ah, really is, 3 4 5 6 7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

is a necessity in the market today. I think there's a lot of quote unquote disruption happening in the restaurant industry, but it's unfortunately on the backs of the operators. Um, so it's incredibly important for, for us at Zuul, ah, is, is to position

ourselves as a true partner at the, the tide is

rising and, and we, you know, help these brands kind

of ride the wave, if you will. 10

> JIM COLLINS: Yeah, I guess the way I'd, I'd approach the question is I, I would say, you know, one person's threat is often another person's opportunity and, um, the extent to which a small business, a restaurant, um, understands the changing landscape and, and is able to understand how to adapt their business to it and approach it, um, I, I really strongly believe we present an, an interesting new opportunity for them to grow, ah, in, in a fashion that requires, ah, dramatically less capital, ah, dramatically less financial risk, um, than, you know, anything that's really been presented to them before. So, um, change frightens people and, and I commend the Councilman and the committee for the time you've obviously spent in understanding the industry.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

anywhere in the country. I believe that these should be happening all over the place and that might come as a surprise to you given that I'm an operator of one of these ghostly dark, you know, spaces, um, but we really truly hope that, um, one of our tenants at Kitchen United is, ah, that we're really committed to the success of our restaurant partners and to educating the restaurant community with regard to the challenges and opportunities created by the industry we're working hard to create.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to thank you, Mr. Collins. It should be no surprise to you that New York leads the way for the rest of the country in many instances, and this should be, this is just one example.

JIM COLLINS: It is not a surprise.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: All right, thank you So obviously you are, your models also for that. rely on profit, otherwise you're not Mother Teresa, You're not here to help these eateries establish themselves. Explain to me about the percentages. Are you flat fee-based? Are you flat fee plus percentage-based? Explain to us the model

2 as it currently works with both of your

3 establishments and they may be the same practices,

and I'll let either one go first.

5

4

JIM COLLINS: I guess I'm gonna, I'll

6 | show you mine first. [laughter]

7

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Keeping company

8

secrets. [laughter]

9

JIM COLLINS: Ah, the, ah, Kitchen United

10

business model is effectively a membership model. So

11

our restaurants pay a membership fee to be in our

12

facility. It's structured very similar to a lease.

13

Um, in addition to that, if they choose to opt in to

14

Kitchen United's, um, own order management system,

15

which is another order channel that we make available to our restaurant partners, then the orders that they

17

16

take through that restaurant channel they'll pay

18

Kitchen United a 15% fee for those orders.

19

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

20

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: Yeah, so what we've

21

been able to execute on to date, ah, is, is generally

22

a flat membership fee, similar to what Jim had, had

23

referenced. Um, at the early onset here what we're

24

also exploring is an element of a revenue-share

25

structure with the restaurant brand as well. Um,

So

1

2.3

24

25

2 what we're sharing on the upside, or the top-line 3 revenue we proactively are driving for the restaurant 4 operator to ensure their business is a succeed. I think what's important to, to highlight as well is we're partnering with these restaurant brands, um, 6 7 and went to market with a flat membership fee. we also realized was that we wanted to make sure that 8 that, the economics of the model, and the unit economics as it specifically pertained to the 10 11 restaurant brand, um, would ensure their success. 12 one of the variables that we incorporated as a best 13 practice was financial modeling with the restaurant 14 operators, understanding, ah, as I referenced, their 15 own unit economics, what their average check size 16 were, what some of their [inaudible] metrics goals 17 are, what their labor model looks like, what their 18 cost of goods are. And we factor those variables in 19 to then, um, as a take-away, really ensure that the 20 type of ecosystem that we're creating and the 21 economic structure quarantees the brands will be 2.2 profitable and successful in our establishment.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So basically you're operating similar to a landlord-tenant relationship, but then you also have the shared, or not even

2	shared, profit, you have a percentage fee based on
3	the sales that, that independent operator or could be
4	co-owned, I'm gathering, um, works under your
5	umbrella. How is that profitable when we understand
6	that the average profit on an order is anywhere
7	between 6% and 11%, and if they're paying fees as
8	high as 15%, I believe that's your number, Mr.
9	Collins? What is the number for Zuul?
10	UNIDENTIFIED: It's right around 15 as
11	well.
12	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: 15 as well. That
13	would actually mean a net loss on every transaction.
14	Am I wrong here, or my math doesn't add up? Is one
15	and one equalling two?
16	JIM COLLINS: So the 6% to 8% percent
17	member is a, is a, first of all, I would say that a 6
18	to 8 member, just being candid, um, would indicate a
19	restaurant that isn't doing a good job of managing
20	its costs. You're, um, if you're an average
21	restaurant in the United States your profit margin on

That still leaves you upside down on the math you're doing. So there's not a lot of point in me saying

a per-plate basis is about, is actually around 10%.

22

23

24

2.2

2.3

other than just, you know, the, the probably right,
the accepted number would be 10. Um...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And by the way, the operating costs in New York City are a little bit different than the rest of the country...

JIM COLLINS: Yeah, that's true.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: ...from real estate taxes, water and sewer, and minimum wage and regulations and the fines and the licensing. It's a different environment.

JIM COLLINS: Yeah, so my minimum wage in Los Angeles is \$15 an hour, and I don't get a tip credit for my servers. I'm, I'm the, I'm acutely aware of the, um, of the profit challenge created by, um, just the changing climate in the, in the world we're living in. I think the, we knew that. When we started KU we knew that, Kitchen United, we knew that, ah, one of the challenges our restaurants would face would be profitability because of the commissions charged for orders. And so we wanted to make sure that restaurants could operate profitably and to do that provide a shared labor model, um, that takes on, takes on all, basically a lot of the non-food producing aspects of running the facility, so

Τ	
2	that the restaurants can literally operate in our
3	facility with just their trained cooks, um, operating
4	in their kitchens. And that allows them actually to
5	operate in these facilities in spite of the charges
6	that they pay typically at a higher margin than they
7	would operate in their own four-wall space. And so,
8	um, it's one of the things actually we're proud of
9	is, you know, we're watching those metrics, working
10	with our clients, that so far, ah, our restaurant
11	clients are actually doing well on the profit side.
12	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Mr. Collins, I
13	believe you also indicated that some of your clients
14	have traditional brick-and-mortar establishments with
15	existing
16	JIM COLLINS: Yep, all, of them do.
17	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: They all do?
18	JIM COLLINS: So they're already paying
19	rent. They already have a kitchen. They already
20	have staff. They already have all the overhead and
21	soft costs associated with operating a business.
22	JIM COLLINS: Yep.
	1

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Why would it be profitable for them to have a shared kitchen and pay additional staff, um, rent, build-out costs, whatever

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the minimum that dollar amount is, according to what you just said, plus 15%. Why just not do it where they are now?

JIM COLLINS: In a lot of cases, ah, the, if we're working with a restaurant that's local, we, we actually have restaurants that operate in a couple of our spaces where they have, um, a local store that's within just a few blocks of our space. the reason that they open with us is because what they find is that during their busy hours, um, you know, if you think about it, just from a, from a common-sense perspective, people eat when people eat. You know, during the lunch hour, during the dinner hour, these are busy hours for restaurants, and so their brick-and-mortar establishments are, are, basically they were designed to serve what they felt their need would be during those busy hours. happening is in addition to all the people coming into the restaurant during the busy hour they also have significant delivery demand during that hour, ah, off-premise demand, pickup or delivery demand during that hour, and in that case, ah, what they're doing is opening in Kitchen United facility so that, ah, they can effectively offload the pressure from

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that off-premise business into a nearby facility

rather than having all that impact their, ah, retail

4 space.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I understand what you're saying but there's obviously a second rent, a second or staff members that need to occupy, um, and I'm, again, I'm not a conspiracy theory individual, but I'm just wondering could this be a, um, a way for some of these restaurants as they build up their pickup only, delivery, um, orders to eventually close up their brick-and-mortar? And I share this only as I think more about the banking industry as an exit strategy, something that scares me and keeps me up at night when I reflect on vacancies and, ah, our commercial corridors. Our traditional brick-andmortar banks are on every corner in New York City. We're grateful to them for their real estate taxes that they contribute to our base. And the employment that they create. But as more, less and less people use banks and we go to the point where I've seen now that banks are selling their existing properties and renting them back as tenants. Now in my world that's an exit strategy. I come from small business. own a property why would I sell the property I'm

2	currently occupying and then lease it back at a rent
3	I envision that some day with the consumer behavior
4	changes we won't need the traditional brick-and-
5	mortar banks no longer. We'll operate out of kiosks,
6	which means no need for bank tellers. I'm not sure
7	the last time I walked into a bank was and I'm sure
8	many people in this room would agree with me. Could
9	this be going in that direction, whereas a restaurant
10	is establishing its online presence, ah, stepping
11	away from the more traditional eat-in dining,
12	creating an environment to where it establishes a
13	business model where it can close its brick-and-
14	mortar, operate on a back street somewhere, pay less
15	in retail rent and be able to profit? Is this a
16	concern that we should be looking at?
17	JIM COLLINS: It's an excellent question,
18	and I think it's also a sort of function of
19	[inaudible]. The

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I do too.

JIM COLLINS: You know, does the business rise to change consumer, does the rise in a business model change consumer preference or does changing consumer preference change the business model? Um, I think what's happening in our world is that, and

25

2 people who are, um, you know, more and more double-3 income families across the country, people are 4 choosing not to cook. They're getting home later at night and for whatever reason cooking isn't a hobby. They just have decided not to cook. And for that 6 7 reason they're getting food delivered. I always, when I speak at conferences I have to point, one of 8 the points I, I make is the numbers right now seem to be suggesting to us that, ah, people are still going 10 11 out to eat. They're just choosing not to cook at 12 As you said in your opening remarks, ah, and in the earlier conversation one of kinds of 13 businesses that's being impacted by this shift is the 14 15 grocery business because the, you know, consumers are 16 not cooking at home. So they're not buying 17 ingredients and grocery stores are adapting to figure 18 that out. Interestingly, ah, the kinds of restaurants that we're working with that are located 19 near us, um, you know, I don't think that they would 20 21 ever consider closing the kinds of businesses that 2.2 they have that are near us because it's, it's success 2.3 that's driving the need to expand, not decline. so, um, these businesses are, you know, wildly 24

successful businesses that just need, um, in a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financially reasonable way to expand, um, to be able to meet this new consumer need. Whether at some point that drives a more nascent change in, ah, or a more fundamental change in the restaurant landscape will depend on what the consumer chooses that they want to do over time.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But, Jim, the basic principles of business is great and expanding, what we do is we expand this way or this way. We occupy more square footage, um, where we share facilities, ah, share common charges. What you've just described is where there are now sharing or expanding at a complete different facility where there is more overhead for them versus expanding their existing establishment. I'm still having a difficult time understanding how this works. Now, unless you tell me that they could not expand because the, there's no vacancies, ah, that allow them to expand their business and they're forced to move to a different location to offset some of the services that, ah, that they need to meet the, the consumer demand. still not understanding how it could be profitable when you have a kitchen in place already to have a second kitchen, I just don't understand that that

2	other kitchen has to be not only, the infrastructure,
3	the investment for capital to begin with, where you
4	have a sink here, having two sinks at two different
5	locations, there's a cost factor. So to use that
6	sink there's manual labor that is going to increase.
7	And on top of that pay rent and a percentage of every
8	sale, which I think we're getting closer and closer
9	to understanding, is a net loss at those percentages,
10	and one of the reports, and you heard in my opening
11	statement, for a food order establishment solely
12	online you have to do about a million dollars in
13	transactions to be, to break even. That was the
14	number that, um, I read out earlier. What is the
15	profit level for an average client of yours? What is
16	the break point for them, considering all these
17	factors?
18	JIM COLLINS: Ah, uncomfortably, I'm
19	running out of time.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Yep, I know.

JIM COLLINS: I'll try to answer this question. I'm really sorry, um, and, and my, my, ah, colleagues are fully expert and I feel a little like I've monopolized the conversation. Um, I would tell you that, ah, when we're working with restaurants

looking to operate at Kitchen United facilities the 2 3 number that we talk about with them is a minimum of somewhere between, um, \$325,000 and \$450,000 to 4 operate in our space in top-line revenue. Um, and we are, I'm very careful of the restaurants that we 6 7 actually operate in our space because, ah, we want to 8 make sure that the restaurants that are operating in our space are profitable and successful. Um, a restaurant's operating margin in a Kitchen United, 10 11 ah, facility today averages somewhere between 15% and 12 What they're seeing is that their profit margin 13 is actually significantly higher than, than the 14 profit margin that they're achieving in their regular 15 brick-and-mortar store and they attribute this to 16 much more efficient use of staff, um, in these 17 facilities both because of the dynamics of delivery 18 order versus retail pickup, and because the, ah, they 19 don't have to staff the facilities with sort of 20 management and support positions. Ah, those, ah, 21 functions are provided separately. So the 2.2 restaurants, um, operating in these spaces are 2.3 actually able to, ah, derive, ah, margin gain by operating in this space. I understand, I'm so, ah, 24 acutely aware, um, because of my position and, 25

and what I do of the confusion in the market with regard to all of the, you know, it's a lot of change happening all at once, right? And sort of different

topics. There's virtual restaurants...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And different

2.2

2.3

models.

JIM COLLINS: Exactly. Models, there's

even different models for ghost kitchens. There's all of that sort of thing. Um, I think that, um, conversation like this is, is extraordinary and extraordinarily beneficial. And I would like to make myself available to you, the other members of the council, and at your request, whatever time is necessary, um, in order to be a resource for you.

Um, and also trust and respect, um, the other

gentlemen sitting at the table with me.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to thank the gentlemen for your indulgence. I know that you had a loss in your family. My condolences to you on the loss and for making it a point to be here and that you're flying out. With that, I just want to bring up three quick things that you don't have to answer here that we'll follow up on. Data.

JIM COLLINS: Yep.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Um, do any of your 3 establishments sell liquor?

JIM COLLINS: No.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: No alcohol? Third - what prevents you from raising the rate from your 15% to 25 after a business has been established, um, has proven profitable, what prevents you from becoming a Trojan horse and taking over that existing model, that you may have helped build by providing this growth opportunity, but you also have on one hand the opportunity to throw him out or raise the rate and be able to take over his existing model under your own ownership.

JIM COLLINS: Yeah, I'm happy to, happy to those conversations with you, the, um, you know, I think what I would say on the, on the number three question is um, the consumer speaks loudly and clearly. The, as, as we move forward, as we figure out how the business works there's no question in my mind that, um, one of the big differences that we see between the international making and the US market is the prevalence even of local brands and the power of those local brands in meeting, um, the consumer. And consumers tend to look darkly on companies that

## COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS /4
2	impede access to their favorites and they look even
3	more darkly on, um, companies that damage their
4	favorites. And so I think what you find is that, um,
5	it's in our best interest to work with those brands,
6	help them flourish, make sure those brands are saying
7	great things about is in the market. Because if that
8	works then we have a really great symbiosis. Thank
9	you again for the time.
10	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I just want to
11	answer that.
12	JIM COLLINS: Yeah.
13	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: While making a
14	profit, right?
15	JIM COLLINS: Oh yeah, oh yeah.
16	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And you
17	JIM COLLINS: If they don't make a profit
18	they move out, so.
19	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: No, and your model
20	as well.
21	JIM COLLINS: That's right.
22	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Are you making a
23	profit currently?

JIM COLLINS: [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: OK, great.

## COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

Τ	
2	JIM COLLINS: We'd better.
3	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. Zuul,
4	I'm gonna ask that you remain, ah, safe travels to
5	you, Mr. Collins, and again my condolences on your
6	loss. I want to thank you gentlemen for indulging
7	me, understanding the circumstances, allowing Mr.
8	Collins. You heard some of the questions.
9	UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah.
LO	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And maybe you can
L1	help me understand
L2	UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah.
L3	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ:better, and all
L4	the stakeholders, as to how this industry operates,
L5	not only from the data concerns, but I do want to as
L6	you before we get, do you offer liquor sales,
L7	alcoholic beverages, as part of your platform?
L8	UNIDENTIFIED: No.
L 9	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: No interactions
20	whatsoever?
21	UNIDENTIFIED: Correct.
22	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So this is, and they
23	can order a pizza from you as well as a six-pack of
24	beer? Not happening?

UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [inaudible] good.

3 Um, the data that you obtain through the

4 transactions, is that co-owned? Are you allowed to,

5 does your business model, um, keep that confidential?

6 Are you allowed under your agreement to sell that

7 data or use it for any other purpose that benefits

8 you?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Yeah, great question. COREY MANICONE: So as it stands today, um, we're actually not capturing any of the data from the brands on an order Again, we wanted to take a separate back. weren't trying to reinvent the wheel completely. wanted to de-risk the opportunity for these folks to, to expand their, their delivery or their digital business. Um, you know, that said, they still manage the relationships with all of the third parties. however, we did actually just acquire a small company out of Philadelphia that's gonna help us drive demands for our brands to the 15% that we were speaking to earlier. Ah, and we have full intention of, ah, of supplying and, and being incredibly transparent around that data.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So you don't see the transactions at all? The person making the order,

2.2

2.3

2 the credit card, you're not involved in any of that
3 at all?

COREY MANICONE: We just help them take the finished product from their kitchen up to the front and hand it off to the courier.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You don't help marketing, you don't come up with, um, options and discussions to further enhance their profitability or market, ah, of specific items?

COREY MANICONE: Yeah.

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: Yeah, no, absolutely we do. We, we think about that all time, right? And I know Jim, um, was able to provide some insight on their approach and, and one of the things that I think it's important to address here is that Corey and I are fortunate on a daily basis to talk to small business operators regionally here in New York City. And one of the thing that is very evident in terms of why they're electing to partner with Zuul is based on the fact that there are a multitude of challenges that they're dealing with on a daily basis in this industry. I think we're all well aware of that. Um, and part of our objective then is to listen to the restaurant operator and understand how we can build a

solution set to support those variety of challenges. 2 3 So, um, as we were referencing earlier about, um, the 4 use case for the business model I'll, I'll bring to, to light a actual single-unit operator that Corey mentioned earlier, Sarge's Deli, 55-year operator in 6 7 Murray Hill, and what's happened to them over the last couple of years due to consumer habits is 40% to 8 50% of their overarching business has been driven by off-premise dining. Consumers prefer the catering or 10 11 delivery aspect of that business. Now, if you were 12 to look at the logistics of operating in the city, 13 one of the things that Sarge's is doing, or unable to do, I should say, from Murray Hill is actually 14 15 deliver effectively from a logistic standpoint south 16 of 14th Street. So part of their strategy of not 17 only growing their business and allowing consumers 18 the autonomy to access their product in new markets was partnering with Zuul. And the, the reason that 19 that was an appealing endeavor for Sarge's Deli was 20 21 the nominal [inaudible] associated with expanding 2.2 into new market share and it was part of the 2.3 marketing initiatives and overarching strategy that we developed to ensure they were successful. Are we 24 going to curate their menu differently based off of 25

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 what travels better, based off of what are top-3 selling items, that they're giving us insight from 4 their own data that we can take into consideration as 5 we're designing how their operation is going to work. Or understanding what the hours of operation should 6 7 be, knowing that we're supporting predominantly 8 business in the financial district, which has a high peak of lunch hours, um, and orders throughout the course of the day. Or figuring out other creative 10 11 ways to drive demand for them from marketing 12 campaigns to ensure that their catering business is 13 increasing, um, guaranteeing their success in the 14 space as well. So all of those variables, in the 15 spirit partnership and ensuring they're successful, 16 are taken into consideration by both us and the 17 restaurant operator when we're looking to work 18 together.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Now is it that on a case by case basis, or do you also use some of the data from the other customer, clients that you may have? For example, if one of them is selling garlic knots and the other one is not and he's a pizzeria, or selling pizza, do you encourage them to start adding garlic knots to their menu, ah, that the

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

profit level is much greater there, rate of return,

3 and you already have the existing dough. We know it

4 works 'cause next door to you, you have the model

here, that we've seen the markup and how it works.

6 Is, does that occur or can it occur.

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: Yeah, I think more specifically what's been fascinating is that, ah, that does occur after we've established an element of trust with each independent restaurant operator. know, today there's so many different solutions being proposed to them and the restaurant industry that generally don't have always have their best interest in mind of ensuring they're a successful operator, and, ah, Mr. Gjonaj, after we've been able to establish an element of trust what happens over a period of time is the restaurant operator then shares insights into their data, into what menu items are selling more than others, um, specific times of days, what the margin is for them on respective product sets, and what we're able to do then is analyze how do we curate a menu, how do we cross-utilize product to allow them to be thinking a little bit more, ah, differently about how they're gonna build a menu set

24

2.2

2.3

in this type of operation, again, ensuring they're successful.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Do you also go to the extent of determining that a product that they may be selling or offering on their menu is a net loss to them, ah, that it's perhaps not priced correctly?

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: Occasionally, I think depending on the operator and, and the extent of the their knowledge in terms of their own menu set.

Sure, we can have conversations about ultimately kind of the overarching design of, of the menu set, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: What prevents you from raising your rates as these establishments, ah, build a business model that works and they turn a profit?

COREY MANICONE: Yeah, I mean, I think if you take a step back, you know, the success of Zuul is predicated upon the successful of our members. So the last thing we ever want to do is hemorrhage their P&L from any capacity. Um, so inherently I don't think it would be in our best interest to raise our rates, thus making them less successful.

2

another third-party app that said the same thing when they began, and they began at a very profitable

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You know, there was

5 partnership. They began at 10%. They infiltrated.

6 They became a part of their existing model. They

7 took over their model and today that same client that

8 they helped build is paying 33% for the very existing

9 | third-party app. That's scary. As you learn more

10 about me and integrate more about my business and

11 become a part of it that you can take it over by

12 charging me a fee of your choosing because you

13 understand, and I think it's obvious today, without

14 | third-party apps and these delivery systems that

15 exist it's instant death. With them it's a slow

16 death.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: So I think the response to that is we agree, we recognize and acknowledge that a 33% fee is unsustainable for the restaurant operator in an aspect of the business that is growing the fastest. Um, hence the threshold of coming in at a 15%, you know, objective of figuring out how to take more control of the margin back to the restaurant operator. Um, at the same time, since data has been a subject here, um, when we, as Corey

referenced, are able to drive demand for our own 2 3 technology, not only re-envisioning doing so, um, 4 with a better margin that's ever been achieved, um, in the industry, which is really [inaudible] to the operator, but it's also with the receptiveness of 6 7 utilizing and sharing data and having the element of 8 transparency that doesn't exist today for the restaurant operator with the way that the platforms are functioning at the moment. So all of those 10 11 objectives that we're looking at incorporating into 12 the solution set are part of, um, with, are fully 13 with intent of, of disrupting, um, the way the model works today, doing [inaudible], ensure the restaurant 14 15 operator can take control back, um, with that aspect 16 of their business.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Because you're already established in New York City, can you elaborate on some of the issues and hurdles that you had to overcome, whether through bureaucracy or regulations, and you heard the opening testimonies of, um, Department of Health and the concerns we have, and around the letter grades and how that system operates, and how it impacts the shared

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

kitchen scenarios. Can you elaborate any on any of these topics?

COREY MANICONE: Yeah, absolutely, so, ah, very similarly to opening up a brick-and-mortar restaurant we had to go through the similar steps, you know, working with the Department of Building to ensure that our design and construction plans were, um, in accordance to their, their laws. You know, similarly we worked very closely with the Department of Health, you know, as this was New York City's first ghost kitchen facility. How do we work together to figure out how to make sure that everyone's being held accountable from a sanitation standpoint. Um, to working very closely with Con Ed. As you can imagine, with nine kitchens the gas and electrical load there is significantly different than what a typical restaurant sees, um, and I think, you know, what Sean and I have really strived to do with our incredible team is position ourselves as New York City's ghost kitchen partner, and really the go-to, and I think if you were to, to take a peek under Zuul's hood you'll see that we've got an open book, ah, transparency, you know, core value through and through. Um, we understand this, you know, industry

2.2

2.3

is, ah, incredibly new. That said, as Jim said,

consumers are really dictating that this is the model

of the future. I think the trends and the data

certainly supports that. So we welcome, you know,

opportunities to connect with, with folks such as

yourself to ensure that we are putting out not only

Zuul's best foot forward but our brands as well.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Does Zuul get a letter grade by the Department of Health?

COREY MANICONE: So we don't get a letter grade. We are actually a shared kitchen, which is, ah, permitted as H26. That said, it is very similar to the grading system. Um, happy to announce that we only had two points docked, ah, on our first inspection. Um, that said, I'll just lead in to probably your next question. Our brands do have letter grades that they very proudly display in their, their windows of their kitchens as they all have, ah, obtained As, ah, at the earliest onset here. And that's a, a part of us helping to keep their facilities clean as well, you know, certainly the shared space, um, within Zuul.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So when you heard the questions that I posed earlier to the deputy

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 86
2	commissioner of health, um, if you, if you have or
3	are responsible for the common area, whether it be
4	dry storage or just a hallway leading to the,
5	connects the restaurants or the small shared kitchens
6	to the refrigerators or shared, or shared dry storage
7	rooms, who receives the violation currently?
8	COREY MANICONE: So that would fall on
9	us.
10	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Great.
11	COREY MANICONE: I know that that does
12	fall, it can fall down to, to the individual license,
13	which obviously incentivizes us to make sure that we
14	maintain an incredible level of sanitation in our
15	facility.
16	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm, I'm happy to
17	hear that. So if there was mouse droppings found in
18	a commercial, in your shared corridor, that violation
19	would be issued to Zuul.
20	COREY MANICONE: Correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And that would have
22	no impact on the kitchens that operate within the
23	facility?

COREY MANICONE: As it stands today, correct.

25

2

CHAIRPERSON

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You see the

3 difference between our traditional brick-and-mortars?

4 | If that was the scenario in a normal establishment

5 that would have an impact on that restaurant, which

6 | could be easily tracked, ah, through the internet.

7 You can see how many violations an establishment has

8 received. And if there is concerns it will impact

9 | their grade. And as you heard earlier when it comes

10 | to, ah, food such as seafood, which we're very

11 sensitive about, we want to make sure that they're

12 perating at the highest standard possible, because

of cross-contamination and the health impacts. Do

14 you see that there's a concern here?

15 COREY MANICONE: Oh, absolutely. And I

16 | think it's the top of mind for us. I mean, you

17 should see our, our binder that has our, our health

18 | cleaning standard operating procedures. Um, we run a

19 very tight ship because we know that the, you know,

20 | that the common, ah, common areas, um, can have an

21 | impact on, on the brands in overall sanitation as

22 | well.

23 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right, but in this

24 case it doesn't. In this case the violation is

25 | issued to you, not to the independent kitchen.

1	
П	
_	_

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 They're actually shielded from ever being impacted by

3 it. And that same protection is not afforded to

traditional single owner-occupied brick-and-mortar.

I, I'm concerned as to how do we make sure that we're transparent and I'm sure you're operating, I've been at your locations and I'm, um, I can attest that it was incredibly clean and organized.

COREY MANICONE: We have that on the record, right?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Yeah. That was the, but I haven't been back since then, so I don't know what happened last week. But my concern, the double standard. And obviously if there was mouse droppings inside one of the four walls of this independent kitchen that you're, that's renting space from you, that violation will go to them and not you. that mouse was running between two doors, unless we can trace that there was mustard on the mouse's moustache to know which, where he dined or she dined, we have no way of actually issuing violations to those other kitchens. And the consumer may not be aware that they could be operating with a substandard grade. You heard about that one example?

progress.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: As a bistro with a C grade operating a virtual restaurant with six other entities with no cross connection to the person placing the order and selling something as sensitive as seafood. You, I guess we'll have to sit and look to figure out how to shape this moving, so everyone understands that if you're gonna operate in a shared facility there's gonna be a shared impact and responsibility on violations, whether they're the responsibility of the umbrella, there is a meaningful and I guess intent for transparency and better informed, ah, our customers as to when they patronize an establishment. Um, I don't know if you want of

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: No, I think we, we acknowledge you and hear you on that. I mean, there's a paradigm shift in consumer eating habits. There's something monumental happening in the way restaurant operators are evolving, and I think, um, what excites Corey and I is, and the reason we're here right now is we're at the forefront of this in New York City, and really look forward to collaborating together to figure out from an element

the add to that at this moment, but this is a work in

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 of transparency, ah, being able to, to recognize the

3 fact, which we're incredibly proud of, as is our

4 team, as are our brands, and all of them received A

5 letter grades, um, that there is an element of

6 transparency and collaboration to make sure that

consumers are aware of the quality that's happening

8 | in our facility.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Look, I want, I, I get phone calls from my small businesses that are being crushed. Crushed by these violations that could be interpreted differently by an inspector. Where a day earlier an inspector found no problems, or found the problem and suggested [inaudible] in this manner. Next inspector comes in and translates that code to mean something else to give another violation, and these fines are hefty. They're not nickels and dimes. Now, again, what your business model is sounds great and it's offering, it's successfully, obviously, 'cause you continue to thrive and expand. But when I hear from one, from the industry that we're literally being destroyed by the Department of Health, that they can walk in at any given time, shut down our kitchen at our busiest moment, whether it be lunch or dinner, and here's an

2	advantage that your model is offering that shields
3	those kitchens from, without any consequence or
4	potential damage. I'm, I'm concerned, as you could,
5	as you hear in my voice. I'm not sure how we're
6	going to be able to do this and as a piggyback 'cause
7	I'm looking at, again, understand that we shape
8	things together, do you see a future where food prep
9	is going to be automated as you strive to lower the
10	cost, um, of operation and come up with more
11	efficient ways, do you foresee this day or strive to
12	automatic delivery service or, ah, using drones, as
13	we're now thinking of, to robots that are going flip
14	burgers for you and, um, cook the food to the perfect
15	temperature and degree and get rid of the need of
16	human skill?

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: Yeah, you know, I certainly don't have a, a crystal ball here, but I do think that, ah, ah, operators are always looking for efficiency gains. Um, and I think it's something that we offer, and inside of our, our first facility, um, by way of, you know, aggregating multiple brands under one roof it allows us to, you know, share resources, if you will, in this incredibly archaic and challenging industry. So you know, to answer

2.2

2.3

your questions I don't have that, that crystal ball today, ah, but know that folks are looking at how to make themselves more efficient.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But you would strive to do this, to help your clients lower their costs, the operating costs, robotics is not out of the question?

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: Sure, I think there's an ecosystem that exists where we can, ah, provide ancillary support to make our brands more successful.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I think Mr. Perkins you have a question? OK. I'm grateful to you gentlemen for being here today and bringing your expertise. We have quite a bit more, um, to discuss, ah, and I'm grateful that you've kept your, ah, the lines of communication open as we start looking at the changes that, um, how we adapt to this change in consumer behavior and industry, ah, to shape it where, um, it's fair for all and not undermining the, um, models that currently exist that are so important to this city. Um, my door will always be open to you. This committee will always be open to you. Um, we'll fight for you, ah, as a small business as well and that's what you are, with a different model. So

## COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

2	if you have issues that you need to bring to our
3	attention, whether it be the Department of Health or
4	Sanitation and the Department of Buildings we're here
5	to fight for you as well. Um, thank you for your
6	time

COREY MANICONE: Thank you.

SEAN FITZGIBBONS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Um, next up we're gonna ask for Andreas Katasoudis and Andrew Schnipper please. And we're adding Jeff Banks as well. No particular order, any of you gentlemen can start.

Just introduce yourself and where you're from.

UNIDENTIFIED: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. And thank you for your time, and I hope you found this as interesting as I did and, um...

ANDREW SCHNIPPER: Yeah, thank you, Councilman.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm grateful to you.

ANDREW SCHNIPPER: I find this actually very interesting and, ah, I commend you on, on digging into this topic so early in the game because I know you're also, there's, there's a lot of

2	discussion about third-party delivery platforms that,
3	that you and the council is looking at and, ah, you
4	know, I don't want to say we're late to it, but it's
5	been around for a long time and, and I'm sure a lot
6	of us wished we looked at this 10 years ago. So, you
7	know, I don't think any, any of us, and I'll speak
8	for myself. I'm not against those kitchens. I think
9	there's, I'm sorry, I'm Andrew Schnipper, my
10	apologies. Ah, Schnipper Restaurants here in New
11	York City. Um, just a real brief overview and then I
12	have a few, few comments to make, is that I'm not
13	against ghost kitchens and as you said earlier about
14	innovation and entrepreneurship, the market is
15	certainly changing in certain ways and I do think
16	that, um, these businesses exist because the market
17	is heading that way and, and regulation and, and
18	impeding businesses from, from existing is certainly
19	not something that I would ever advocate for. But I
20	do think it's very, very important as a New Yorker,
21	as a small business owner, that we, all the
22	stakeholders, whether it be small businesses,
23	restaurants, the citizens of New York, employees
24	understand what's coming as this grows a bit and to
25	what degree should it be looked at and are they

25

2 regulated or rules set in motion. Um, I've personally have owned and operated in the restaurant 3 4 and delivery space for over 25 years. So I've, I've 5 been heavily in delivery space. It's not a small part of my business. It's been as much as 30%, 40%, 6 even 50% of restaurants that I've owned, ah, over 8 many, many years and maybe I was one of Seamless Web's first customers back in the late '90s, so I'm very, very familiar with, with the space and, and 10 11 what happens with it. Um, delivery has gone from an 12 important profitable part of business, not just for 13 me but I think for most of my peers, to a, a business area that is either not profitable or marginally 14 15 profitable. And you just see it in the fees and the 16 costs and what-not, and what's been particularly 17 difficult is the competition in the space has grown 18 quite a bit. Which makes sense, customers are 19 demanding, demanding more. But whereas there are 20 some restaurants that never delivered before and now 21 we're starting to do some deliveries, the pie is only 2.2 so big, and I think one thing that's very important 2.3 that hasn't been said here yet today is New York City is very different than the rest of the country. 24

We've had delivery for a very, very long period of

2 It's not new to us. And one of the things 3 that makes New York City interesting is it, you can 4 get pizza at 2 o'clock in the morning and that's not That's gone, that's been my whole life and, you know, for many, many decades. So the business has 6 7 been here for a while. When you talk about a tenfold 8 increase in delivery business a lot of that is because there are small towns in Iowa where it doesn't exist at all. And New York City has had that 10 11 for quite a while. So, so, you know, I think, I 12 think that one of the big issues for us as an 13 industry and for me personally is, is, it relates to what's going on with the third-party platforms and 14 15 how deliveries become very not profitable and where I see ghost kitchens as an issue is it only extends 16 17 that. It's an extension of third-party delivery, 18 third-party, ah, data control, you know, etcetera, 19 etcetera. We, we know in our restaurants that customers are, ah, ordering our products and then 20 being asked or being pinged by third-party platforms 21 2.2 to order similar products from competitors that might 2.3 pay a higher commission. Um, so, you know, I, I, there's a whole variety of issues with data and with 24 the cost of fees. The other thing that I'm 25

2 particularly concerned about is as there are more and 3 more delivery players in the space what will likely 4 end up happening, it's already happened to some degree, and this is exactly how I get marketed to from the Grub Hubs and Door Dashes and Post Mates of 6 7 the world, they're, if you want to do more business 8 pay us more and you'll do more business. That's what ends up happening. So when you see these 30% things and, you know, fees or whatever it is, their argument 10 11 is you don't have pay quite that much, but do you 12 want to do business or don't you want to do business. 13 The more players in this market the more that that's 14 likely going to happen. So, you know, there's a 15 real, a real concern that not only for our bottom 16 line and profits, perhaps at some point or another 17 that does get passed on to the consumer. Um, outside 18 of that I, you know, we've looked personally at third-party, ah, at doing something with a ghost 19 20 kitchen. You know, our sense is that, as you 21 commented earlier, that it just makes more sense for 2.2 us to do it internally. Our restaurants rely upon 2.3 delivery. If we wanted to do a new market we might consider it. Um, but I actually think the million-24 dollar number is correct and just being in the 25

2	delivery business I know what it takes to do a
3	million dollars in delivery. It's quite a bit. So
4	just to close it out, um, you know, I'm not a fan of
5	the [inaudible] but I just caution everyone that the
6	repercussions of widespread ghost kitchens are hard
7	to probably see today. But once they're in place it
8	will change the landscape dramatically. I think
9	there should be regulations on, um, how they're
10	registered so that customers know that they're ghost
11	kitchens, how they're, how they exist, and just the
12	last thing, getting back to the data, you know,
13	something that we've all talked about in the
14	restaurant community is the ownership of data really
15	should belong to the restaurants. And we feel, I
16	feel very strongly about that. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Andrew.
18	JEFF BANK: Thank you. I'm Jeff Bank, ah,
19	CEO of the Alicart Restaurant Group, Carmine's and
20	Virgil's restaurants, 30 years here in Manhattan.
21	Most of my comments, I'm going to turn to my prepared
22	remarks. I probably have more questions than
23	comments now after listening to everybody else, and

25 alignment here. I feel a lot of this is just a

then hopefully we can try and find some, ah,

24

classic bait and switch. I don't think we're aligned 2 3 at all with these ghost kitchens. I feel like this 4 is the exact same playbook that's happened to us with every third-party app and technology, whether it's 5 from Open Table and Reservations, Seamless, ah, and 6 7 third-party deliveries and so forth. These companies 8 come with, you know, doing it again, you have cloud kitchens coming in with 400 million dollar war chests from Saudi Arabia, literally by the guys from Uber 10 11 that did this exact playbook. They come in, they're 12 not aligned with us. They can get as much market 13 share as they want because they're not running a 14 profitable business. I've had 30, ah, years of 15 profitability in the city and Seamless last quarter 16 lost 25 million. Uber only lost one billion. they're not worried about what they're losing. 17 18 these guys are gonna wind up building up their 19 businesses on my back. And while they may have, 20 think they have altruistic, you know, ideas about 21 their supporting Sarge's Deli and this and that, 2.2 let's see if Sarge's Deli is really with them a year 2.3 from now. Friends with the owners, I promise you they're not. Unless someone just heard this comment 24 25 and now Sarge's Deli will keep their fees low. So

2.2

2.3

some of my questions are right now is 40% of chain, 60% locals. Do the local restaurants pay the same fees as the chains or the chains have a better deal? OK, they probably do. Ah, from the health department they're very excited about letter grades, you know, and letter grades fix everything, you know, a completely aside I'm going off on. Love to see letter grades in the public schools if it's such a great system, OK? It belongs there, it's phenomenonal, I don't why I don't see it in my kids' school.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But I think that's $$ an easy answer. It's an F.$ 

JEFF BANK: Yes, which is why it'll never be there. From the Small Business Administration giving me a nice lecture about it'll help me with my SEO and SCM, I do spend money on SEO, SCM. Probably most restaurants can't afford to. I can. Google Carmine's Delivery. I'll be number nine. After I'm buried by Seamless, Grub Hub, which is the same company, Yo Beats, No Wait, Seamless bought Yo Beats and Grub Hub was sorry about that. Um, Open Table, Easy Caterer, you won't find me. So what these guys are doing is taking my customer. They're not

2 increasing my sales. My customers can't find me. 3 have customers for 30 years. If they want to order 4 from me they gotta wade through 15 other programs, fake web sites, phone calls, money that still hasn't been returned to me. You've seen this game a 6 7 thousand times. So I don't think we should fall so 8 quickly for all these cloud kitchens are meeting, you 9 know, so well to help us build our brands. They will build up the brands and then they'll double our fees, 10 11 and then there's really nothing we can do about it. 12 Um, it's just where I am. I think it's not anything 13 that I'm telling you that you don't know, because 14 we've all seen this happen already three or four 15 times. And it's gonna happen again. Little mom and 16 pops are gonna get steamrolled. I'll wind up being 17 fine. My businesses will do well in one of these 18 places, this and that, and all of a sudden someone 19 will say, oh, why don't just run a Carmela's Italian 20 Restaurant, and then my customers will be moving a 21 little fast and won't really realize it. So I don't 2.2 see them as partners. I think if they were partners 2.3 they'd still be here today, you know, and they would be talking with us and working hand in hand. I don't 24 see it. 25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Jeff.

JEFF BANK: You're welcome.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Good afternoon.

Thank you for having us. My name is Andreas Koutsoudakis. I have a restaurant with my father, ah, which my father has run and, and been in the restaurant business his whole life. I'm actually a restaurant lawyer. So I'm gonna give a few examples that have come up just in the last few weeks, ah, in my dealings with different restaurant owners throughout the city, as well as in speaking with my father. Um, relating a lot of the messages that have been said by Andrew and Jeff, um, one of them is the bait and switch issue. Um, that's exactly what happens. It's also, ah, a, the data issue which you identified, which is a big problem. So the first example is Grub Hub contacts, ah, a restaurant and says hey, you're a diner, ah, people don't order pastas from diners. But a lot of people are buying pastas in your area. They're just buying them from Joe's Italian and Mike's Italian and so on and so forth. We're gonna create your name, but a diner, but a pasta version. So Maria's Italian Pastas. You have a pasta section on your menu. We're just gonna

25

2 change the names, change the descriptions, call it 3 this, and take away diner, put a new brand on there, 4 and it's gonna be an Italian restaurant now, coming 5 out of the same address. This is just, this happens every single day. And all of a sudden customers are 6 7 ordering a lot of pastas from this diner that they 8 never ordered pastas from. Same exact item, markedup prices because they think they're buying a better product or a different product, and they're doing it. 10 11 Whether this is legal or not is irrelevant. point is that there's this flood of customers and, 12 13 ah, tying the two concepts of data and the bait and 14 switch together here, it's not enough that they're 15 coming in to innovate and create a new way to connect 16 buyers and sellers, customers and restaurants. 17 not enough from them, the actual locations. Now they 18 want to create more locations within the restaurants 19 so that they can get more commissions and more and 20 more and more, um, which is happening as a result of 21 the data that they have. They might very well be taking the data from the Italian restaurants in the 2.2 2.3 surrounding neighborhoods. That's exactly where they're getting it from and saying people are buying 24

these pastas. Restaurant X, Y, and Z are priced

2 between 15 to 20. So we're gonna put Maria's Italian 3 You're at 10. We're gonna mark it up at 14. 4 You're going to be better priced than everybody else. We're gonna know exactly when to put it up there and 5 when to put you up because this customer always 6 7 orders Italian on a Thursday. So these are the 8 things that you're literally manipulating our communities' minds, creating a ridiculously unfair competitive scenario in the market that can only be 10 11 controlled with a tremendous, tremendous wallet, um, one that even the most successful restaurant will 12 13 never have. The business is just not designed for venture capital, ah, money. That's, that's Monopoly 14 15 money. It's not earned blood, sweat, and tears 16 money. Um, the second example is, ah, again to 17 reiterate the point that Jeff said, which is their 18 partners, a lot of these founders, particularly the 19 group that was here before, it's sounds like their 20 coming with good intentions. Business does well, 21 they have good ideas. But the problem is when you're backed by hundreds of millions of dollars eventually 2.2 2.3 you gotta make sure that money gets backed with a certain multiple so that they can sell it to the Grub 24 25 Hub or whoever else they're gonna sell it to and cash

25

2 So even if intentions are genuine now, and they 3 very well might be, in fact I believe they are, um, 4 especially the gentleman who said his family had an Ihop and they lost everything in the restaurant business. It's a great story. I believe him. 6 that's true then he's coming from a good place. 8 that doesn't mean that at some point someone else who put in a hundred million dollars into the company is not gonna force direction one way or another to make 10 11 sure that hundred becomes one billion. Um, so an 12 example that I encountered last week which was 13 unbelievable is a restaurant called me, a restaurant 14 client called me and he said I want you to send a 15 cease and desist letter to Restaurant X. I knew both restaurants, actually, through family friend, 16 17 neighboring competing restaurants. The neighboring 18 restaurant that he was complaining of had created, 19 literally on the spot, created a new restaurant 20 within his restaurant. Named it clearly my client's 21 name under his restaurant's, under the other, the competitor's address. He called Grub Hub and said 2.2 2.3 what's going on, this is mine, I'm a customer of Grub Hub. I have four locations. This guy is not, he's 24

not that, he's unrelated to me.

I want it shut down.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 106
2	You have to go through lawyers. We can't control
3	that. I'm sorry, we can't help you. So not only is
4	this, literally with the switch of a button someone
5	can create a competitor's business as their own ghost
6	kitchen in their own restaurant for horrible reasons,
7	right? But the, but Grub Hub or whoever it is, is
8	not even able to help you. You're left, go figure it
9	out on your own. So, again, that's just another
10	example of very, very, very dangerous territory, um,
11	that most people can't, can't deal with.
12	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to thank you,
13	and have some questions for you. But I just wanted
14	to help, tell, show the difference between virtual
15	kitchens and ghost kitchens.
16	ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Yep.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Or shared kitchens.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Like Kitchen Centers, and there's so many different names.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Yeah, the commissaries, as you described them, have their own problems and I think the health inspection one is one of the biggest ones.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right, and also going back to the virtual kitchen of what you just described where one restaurant with, that is known and a database of agencies and departments and registered can operate a list of other names and no one would even know.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And in essence hijacking a competitor's business. I just want, I'll ask a question and then we'll go back and forth on This industry is completely different than the other industries where we've seen technology really change. So, Uber, we know the impact that it had on the yellow medallions, but the yellow medallions all coalesced together. There was, TLC represented them, the needs, and there was a different type of scenario. Ah, AirBNB versus hotel chains. Technology and the software, consumer behavior demands changed the model. But the big chains came together and are able to push back through advertising, better informing, offering discounted rates if you come directly to us. Travel Velocity, right, with airlines. The airlines coalesced, they came back and pushed back. In this field, in this

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | industry of food service you have 27,000

independently owned restaurants. You can never agree
on anything. There's no one that represents you

5 wholly or that you can coalesce around. So it's easy

6 pickings. I get it.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: There's no umbrella organization that currently exists that will help push back, and technology is going change our way of life, right? We know this. The internet has infiltrated our business models, um, or look at toll booth workers. When was the last time you saw a toll booth operator? It's gone.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So that work force is no longer needed. These are my concerns and not stopping change, though.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: The advancements are gonna, 'cause we just need to make sure that we shape them where we can all benefit from it and your businesses and the question I'm gonna ask you, you, I have very little knowledge, going back to my pizzeria days, how is it possible for you to have a separate

kitchen at a separate facility paying 15% of yourtotal sales price plus the additional labor

4 profitable?

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF BANK: Plus rent for the space.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right.

JEFF BANK: So there's a couple of things that are going on. One, I agree with you about, you know, technology, it's different, [inaudible] disruptive in other industries. In this industry, in this industry something you can go back to is the health and safety factor. If you go back 20 years, or 15 years before all these apps and this and that and you ordered delivery from my restaurant everyone who worked for me, I'd have people on the premise who had been trained through the health department, we had safety inspectors, and everyone in the business was beholden to me. Now when you order from these third-party apps and a complete stranger picks up the order, does that person have any food, ah, safety handling certificates? What is the responsibility of that person? So if the person, if the guest gets sick at the end of the day and then complains and sues the restaurant, you without even reading it could probably tell me the answer to this question.

What, who do you think has the liability when I sign
that contract of adhesion that I had no choice to

2.2

2.3

sign, that was shoved down my throat? Who's probably liable for the, for the healthy and safety, me or the third-party kid who works for 12 different companies and took the delivery? So there's a health safety

8 factor that has to be looked into this one for sure.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Help me, because I want to stay focused on the ghost kitchen, virtual kitchen environment and not so much on the third-party apps. We had a hearing on that already, but they overlap.

JEFF BANK: But it's a part of these ghost kitchens because if you're, if you're working [inaudible] only paying 15% and your lease and your rent who's delivering for you?

ANDREW SCHNIPPER: But you, sorry, but you're not only paying 15%, it's one of the piece that was missed. You pay 15% if they can get customers to order through their app, but the reality of the matter is the other third-party apps are much larger. So if you're operating in a ghost kitchen I can guarantee you, without a shadow of a doubt, your largest deliverer of orders to your restaurant will

2.2

2.3

2 still be Seamless, Grub Hub, Door Dasher, Postmates.

3 It's not gonna be their apps. So you're at 30%.

Your smallest piece is 15%.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So you're, you're, you just brought something to light that I didn't mention earlier. Ah, under the shared kitchen scenarios, the virtual kitchens or ghost kitchens, when they're paying 15% to those, whether it be Zuul or whatever the other entities are, in addition to that an order can come in through a third-party app where you could be paying up to 33% plus the 15%, ah, on top of that, you are now at a, based on the numbers we know, at a loss of 60%?

paying labor, and you do have a brand that you're supporting out of your other brick-and-mortar restaurant. You're not just opening up in this place out of nowhere selling sandwiches. So as much as Sarge's may be downtown Zuul you still have to attribute some of the good will back to the original restaurant, the costs and overhead over there. This model doesn't flush out. And the problem is a lot of collateral damage during all this. But the companies don't worry because of the 400 million.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Do any of you have
any evidence that the third-party food apps are
working with, ah, these shared kitchens, ghost
kitchens?

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: I'm sure there, I'm sure they try to.

ANDREW SCHNIPPER: The Door Dash, Door Dash...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: They have an agreement not to [inaudible]...

ANDREW SCHNIPPER: But Door Dash built their own ghost kitchen in California. So, and then put in their own, and put in, put in concepts, and they own the actual ghost kitchen, so it may be not here yet and, and, there's only one concept here at the moment, one ghost kitchen, as Corey pointed out, or Sean. But the reality is that it's already happening.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Are you, your current models, are you able to compete with ghost kitchens, where they don't have a traditional brick-and-mortar, commercial corridor, you know, paying high retail space for, um, the storefront, ah, plus

2.2

2.3

the lower costs? Are you guys able to compete with that type of [inaudible]?

make a profit and they don't have to. So they can do whatever they need to do, throw at the internet, advertising, subway ads, whatever they need to do to get their business. Like I said, if you google Carmine's Delivery, forget whether I'm in the ghost kitchen or in the store, by the time you find me you're going through one, you're going through either the cloud kitchen, saying they deliver for Carmine's, or this, I'm kneecapped and cut off. Can't afford to compete on that level and I'm a bigger, I'm a bigger group. So I have no idea how an independent could, you know, do that. It'd be impossible.

ANDREW SCHNIPPER: But also getting to what Jeff said earlier about, um, how, their business model doesn't necessarily require profits, there's a lot of collateral damage. So can we compete? The question may be how much business are they gonna take from us while they're losing money. So maybe one day they go away, maybe one day it consolidates and it gets worked out. But in the interim while they're not operating in a traditional business environment

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

where we, we all need to make money to keep our doors open, there is a lot of collateral damage. losing a lot of sales in the process. So there is a piece, it's not a question of competing. It may be a question of how much share they're taking.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: I think, I think really it just comes down to, you know, the same analogy, the same problems that you heard a few years back with the food carts that pay nothing, that didn't, you know, are renting a license of one vendor and you have 30 vendors copying the same license and all of sudden you have this big problem. Where are they going to the bathroom? Where are they, you know, washing their hands? Where are they doing all these things, at the same time that the letter grade was happening. So from a high level you have on the one had the city and state and everyone's complaining and worried and oh my God, all these storefronts are empty. Um, and on the other hand why, why is it happening, and there's always a target. The target, the reason, the explanation of why is because look at the burdens of the actual traditional brick-andmortar storefront business. I don't care if it's a nail salon, a restaurant, or anything else, versus

1			
- 1			

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | the technology version who is in some random

3 location, who is loopholed around health inspection,

4 who does not have to worry about as many employees,

5 does not have to worry about, you know, sexual

6 | harassment training and handbook policies and

7 disclosures. The rules are stacked against the

brick-and-mortar and it's much more expensive to do

9 business that way than the other way.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Andreas, help me, going back to the relationship that you described before where you knew both, ah...

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: The restaurants using, the competitor using the other one, ah, the restaurant's name?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Under the ghost kitchen, virtual restaurant scenario, were the prices competitive or lower than his competitor?

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: So that, the example when my, when my client was telling me about his conversation with Grub Hub, the conversation was exactly what I said. I know what the customers in this area want. The only way they know what they want is because of the data they accumulate through Grub Hub's sales orders, from presumably their own

2

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

customers, other restaurants, what are people

searching for, where are they buying it from, at what

price, right? You have all of these menu items.

This is the price point that you're gonna be. We're

gonna set you up. We're gonna do your brand. We're

gonna create your menu, your description. You have

to do nothing. The orders are gonna come through the

same fax that you receive your other orders, your

same print-out machine, however it's gonna be coming,

same POS, everything is the same. Actually I think

they gave them a separate POS for that business, so

they got a little smaller iPad for it. But that's

it. And so they knew exactly what to do and it was

on-off switch.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right. So that...

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: That's the danger of this, it's just market manipulation.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Pricing was, the pricing was compatible to his competition?

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: It was higher, it was not, you know, a \$12.99, ah, you know, spaghetti and meatballs at a diner. It was, you know, \$18.99, I don't know the specifics. But the, the more...

and now I'm able to offer the same products with the

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 113
2	same logo name at a reduced price. What would happe
3	to your business?
4	JEFF BANK: I can't compete with that.
5	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: What's the, and give
6	me a range, what's the profit on your, ah, products,
7	across the board, average?
8	JEFF BANK: Across the board, with food
9	costs, 25%.
10	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You're 25%?
11	UNIDENTIFIED: I'm selling [inaudible]
12	UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible] just the food.
13	UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible]
14	ANDREW SCHNIPPER: Just the ingredients.
15	JEFF BANK: If you want me to be all in
16	and my costs are good, 65%.
17	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Say that again?
18	JEFF BANK: 65%, 10% rent, 75%, 15%
19	miscellaneous, that gets me to 90%. Then I get a
20	snow day, I lose a point. You know, it's a, you're
21	in a 10% model, 8% to 10%.
22	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: 8% to 10% businesses
23	model?

JEFF BANK: At the end of the day, yup.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Perfect. Roughly the same for you gentleman?

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Even less in my father's, in the diner business it's, the margins...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So please help me understand. How are you able to survive paying 15% if you're a shared kitchen scenario or as high as 33%?

JEFF BANK: Their argument is they're giving me incremental sales [inaudible]...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Which we know, we've seen the [inaudible].

where the problem comes in. So, no, you can't survive on that model, which is why we're here today. The last four questions I have for you is are they gonna be forced to be transparent and disclose all the fees so the local guys know what the chains are paying 'cause they can't negotiate the same, so are they really gonna be transparent with their fees? Who's gonna protect our trademarks, 'cause we can't fight these 800-pound gorillas? Are they gonna pull a We Works and drive up the real estate, you know, real estate around the whole city? Are they gonna

2.2

pull an Amazon and pay no tax and transfer everything out to their Nevada headquarters for management fees, and is that gonna destroy the New York City tax base? Are all their employees gonna be 1099, you know, it's not a level playing field, it's just not. Sounds great, but it's not.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So to answer your questions, that's why these hearings are so important.

JEFF BANK: Sure.

understand, ask our questions, hear from the public stakeholders and they bring to our attention issues and this is going to continue, and I'm grateful to you for again making the time to be here. Ah, we're gonna stay in touch. And always, my door is open to you as we continue to figure out how to best protect all stakeholders. Thank you, gentlemen.

JEFF BANK: We're thankful you have a flashlight on us.

ANDREW SCHNIPPER: Thank you, Councilman.

ANDREAS KOUTSOUDAKIS: Thank you very

much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Ah, Robert Bookman,
Andrew Rigie, and Matthew Newburg.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Robert Bookman. I am counsel to the New York City Hospitality Alliance, that umbrella organization with about 2000 establishments in the City of New York in the hospitality industry and we would certainly like to cover everybody. I want to thank you once again for holding a hearing such as this. It is rare in government at any level where there is concern about the future, um, and we're always looking for current problems and the future comes and bites us you know where. And I think the lack of future looking is what's caused this crisis that we have with small business vacancies right now in our neighborhoods, because there was no future look at what's happening to the retail and what are we doing to small businesses that is making them so expensive to operate that nobody's taking over these, these spaces. So this is part of an important conversation. What keeps me up at night, and I jotted down some notes when I literally woke up in the middle of the night, was the following. A fivestep program to turn individual and independent mom

2 and pop restaurants into the next generation of 3 independent bookstores, meaning they're gone. Step 4 one - get everyone addicted to a home delivery 5 virtual platform. Step two - once they're addicted, significantly raise the fees to restaurants so they 6 7 can continue on that platform. Step three - move 8 existing restaurant customers to their platform by making it difficult to find their restaurant that they've always ordered from anyway and order directly 10 11 from them, charge them bogus fees for people calling 12 them directly, and collecting fees that they 13 shouldn't be entitled to in the first place, because this is my customer trying to call me, but they find 14 15 their phone number instead, like Jeff just said. 16 Step four - spend millions of dollars in advertising 17 directed at consumers with the message why eat out, 18 just get delivery. And step five - open their own 19 virtual kitchens where they own the names which only 20 exist in the virtual university and cut out the need 21 for local restaurants completely. That's what I 2.2 worry about, and that's when your independent 2.3 restaurant in the neighborhoods outside of, you know, Times Square, you know, where you have 60 million 24 25 tourists coming a year, ah, will become the next

2.2

2.3

generation of independent bookstores, they'll be These multibillion-dollar companies are not here to expand our business. They're here to ultimately become the business. They don't need us in their long-term plans. They want to own the food. They want to own the delivery. They want to own the spaces, ah, and the names, and why bother, quote, partnering with a restaurant. So that's what keeps me up at night, and I know it does you as well, and we need to find solutions for that.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Now I just, Robert, and I hear your concerns, and I, we just want to push back on one thing. Change is inevitable, right? I mean, um, if we look back at the history, at one point someone was making the best wheel for a wagon ever. Cars came in, did away with the need for wheel wagons and wagons altogether. In your own home I'm sure you can remember a time when Mom or your grandparents would sew their own clothing. We no longer do that at home. It's much more convenient and efficient for us to purchase products. Change and evolution in demands. I'm not frightened by that. I embrace it. We just want to shape it, right?

2.2

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Of course.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We don't want a hostile takeover and the use of data to, the very data that we have, you have given and your partner to be used against you is the concern. Ah, or when they become a part of your business model and then raise your fees to a point where you can no longer stay in business. These are the things that concern us.

examples ultimately resulted in more jobs and betterpaying jobs building cars than they did wagons, ah,
and the clothing industry, etcetera. What we're
seeing with these changes are a reduction in jobs, a
reduction in good-paying jobs, no need for waiters
and waitresses making a good, you know, middle-class
living working three shifts a week. That's...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [inaudible] is coming, ah, the short-term gain, long-term loss, the less people that are working, the less people can afford to buy services and products and food and what-not.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: And empty stores.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Lots of empty stores.

4

5

CHAIRPER

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to circle

3 back to a few questions, so no particular order.

ANDREW RIGIE: My name is Andrew Rigie.

6 Hospitality Alliance. Ah, we represent about 2000

I am the executive director of the New York City

7 businesses in the five boroughs, um, and there was a

8 | lot said so I'll do my best not to repeat. I've

9 submitted testimony for the record. But I do want to

10 thank you, Mr. Chair, and these are the conversations

11 | that need to be had, need to be happening

12 proactively. You're right. Change happens, it's

13 | inevitable, and the pace of change is faster now than

14 | it has ever been, ah, in the past, and it will only

15 continue to accelerate. Um, I think what we're

16 seeing here with ghost kitchens are there's pros for

17 | brick-and-mortar restaurants and if not checked there

18 | can be a lot of cons, um, many of which were listed

19 | here. I think one of the best ways when it comes to

20 customer data and the potential damage to our

21 | traditional brick-and-mortar restaurants is something

22 | like Amazon Basics. You know, there's tons of

23  $\parallel$  merchants that sell products on Amazon. Amazon said,

24 wow, batteries, you know, we're, our merchants are

25 selling a lot of batteries. So what do they do?

2 They come out with their own battery line. Now they 3 have, I don't even know, probably 10,000-plus Amazon 4 Basic products that were the most popular being sold on their site. Ah, so if you use that analogy to what we have with ghost kitchens, ghost kitchens will 6 7 have many different restaurants within their venues. They will have a burger place, a pizzeria, a pasta 8 place, um, the list goes on. They will then be able to collect that data and say, you know what, these 10 11 tacos are selling really, really well. 12 could go to the restaurants and tell them this, like 13 Andreas in the previous panel, and tell him, you know, why don't you start this virtual pasta 14 15 restaurant? Um, but more likely what could 16 potentially happen as well is they say why do we even 17 have the restaurant as the middle business there. 18 Let's just use all this data and start our own 19 virtual taco restaurant in there. And then what 20 would be the next logical step, which we've seen in 21 the Amazon and in other cases, is they will then open that virtual taco restaurant within the venue that 2.2 2.3 would directly compete with the ghost kitchen that's tied to the brick-and-mortar business. And they'll 24 25 say hey, if you want to be listed higher in the

25

2 search result you need to pay us more. So you will get into this circular issue where they are able to 3 4 in a very sophisticated way using technology not only be higher in the listings but then use that data to 5 say you pay us a higher fee, we'll get you more 6 7 sales. And if you want more sales you're gonna keep paying more. So that's, you know, one example. 8 when we talk about potential ways to regulate I think the ownership of customer data is vital. Now, I 10 11 understand this is a much larger issue when you're 12 looking at Facebooks and everything, but I think that's something that could certainly be studied. 13 There needs to be co-ownership to not just the data, 14 15 but all of the analytics that are actionable that are 16 being used. Um, two, fees. This is one of the 17 Most of the fees, the third-parties, ongoing issues. 18 which I would say include ghost kitchens, and perhaps 19 not yet but certainly the direction they're going in, 20 will be to charge additional fees. The problem is 21 the end user, the consumer, never sees those fees 2.2 because they're baked into the cost of the product. 2.3 So that, one, will mean the restaurants will increase their, you know, their fees for their products, 24

causing more, you know, being more expensive for the

25

2 consumer, making New York City even more, you know, 3 expensive for everyday New Yorkers to live. But it 4 also means that people don't think that there is a cost to convenience and there is a severe cost to convenience. So another regulatory measure could be 6 7 allow the restaurant, the brick-and-mortar business the option, not mandate, but mandate that they have 8 the option to pass on third-party fees to the consumer. It's consumer transparency. The New York 10 11 City Department of Consumer Affairs is into making 12 sure consumers know what fees and charges are, you 13 know, being charged. So those are, you know, two things that could do. You could also look at zoning. 14 15 We've dealt with our night life establishments. Where you can dance wasn't so much this cabaret law 16 17 that was repealed, it was whether or not the business 18 was located within a zone that met a proper use 19 So if we want to talk about where these types 20 of establishments can be located, zoning is certainly something that can be looked at as well. And I think 21 2.2 the big 800-pound gorilla, you know, in the room is 2.3 that consolidation in the industry, is that these companies are able to so much market share and 24

they're backed by so much finance where they have the

2 ability to burn through cash to gain this market 3 share that you'll see vertical integration. So right 4 now you have a, the ghost kitchen, but nothing will stop them, as we've heard, from them purchasing or, ah, you know, merging with a third-party delivery 6 7 site. So then they are not only the ghost kitchens, 8 but they're also the site where you transact the orders. Once they have all this data, why wouldn't they then create a buying group? There's 10 10 11 different restaurants in here. We're gonna start 12 purchasing. Then they're gonna get all of the data 13 from all of those purchasing behaviors. And the 14 company will benefit from that probably more so than 15 the individual restaurants. Um, so the list goes on 16 and on and on, but what will happen eventually is you 17 will have a brick-and-mortar establishment by name, 18 but the production, the labor, as we've heard before, 19 where the orders are transacted, how the food is 20 purchased, how it is delivered from the ghost kitchen 21 to the customer, will all be owned by one major 2.2 entity that is backed by billions of dollars and they 2.3 can burn through this cash. So the small, everyday restaurant basically doesn't own their business 24 25 anymore.

2.2

2.3

about this. I'm grateful to both of you and all of you for the months and months, and if not years that we worked on small business needs with you. Let's also remember that we are a free market society and we have to be very careful when we start looking at some big-picture items here. Otherwise, we should all be selling pizza at the same price, a gallon of milk should be all the same price, and whatever products and services are offered there should be no competition. Competition is good. Unfair competition where that, and we go back to some of the [inaudible].

ANDREW RIGIE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: These are concerns.

So although I am well aware and agree with you on many of these issues, this is still the freest country in the world, um, operating on free markets and not socialist views where we're going to dictate everything across the board.

ANDREW RIGIE: Yep, and I, I agree with you. I think, I think most, as you heard on the prior panel as well, um, this is the way business works, but it goes down to what is fair. And

going to hamper...

currently, as you know, with small businesses there are tons of laws and regulations. Right now we talk about passing on charges. You know, currently many restaurants would love nothing more than the opportunity to add a clearly disclosed surcharge to restaurant menu prices. They are not allowed to do that. Every other industry, even the restaurant industry outside of the five boroughs, is permitted to do that. Um, so, you know, again, it comes back 

2.2

ROBERT BOOKMAN: And that's by, local regulation prevents us here in New York City from doing that.

to being fair. We don't want to do anything that's

ANDREW RIGIE: We don't want anything that's going to hamper innovation, but at the same time customer data, you know, what is being put in agreements, ah, is that a business, although it is really their data about their direct customers, um, it is being owned by a third party and as has been put out, you know, you can't compete. So I think, again, it's just having fair and equitable access to the information.

2.2

2.3

ROBERT BOOKMAN: I think what we we [inaudible] I think what we've been saying is we, we don't disagree with you, ah, it's just that, as we see it on a national level as well, that these new large mega corporations that have developed are unregulated compared to the mom and pop businesses that have always existed. We're looking for more of a level playing field of regulation.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, ah, Matthew, you just witnessed the two superheroes, Batman and Robin, and how well they play together and they are a great tag team and you would be a great add-on to their conversation.

MATT NEWBURG: Thank you. Thank you,

Council Member Gjonaj. My name is Matt Newburg.

I've spent the last eight years living in New York

working as a product manager and entrepreneur in the

technology field after graduating from the Wharton

School of Business and the University of

Pennsylvania. Last summer I released a 25-minute

investigative online video that featured three

delivery-only ghost kitchens providers in Los

Angeles, interviewing restauranteurs from each of

them to make better sense of this new trend. While

25

2 this began as a side project, the response from this 3 pieced launched me on a new path to form Hungry, a 4 new media platform that examines how technology shapes the way people eat. I have done extensive research into online food delivery and ghost kitchen 6 7 providers, visiting different sites in LA, San 8 Francisco, and New York, speaking with as many restauranteurs, real estate operators, and employees as possible. I'm here today to share my knowledge of 10 11 the power that these kitchens hold and the potential 12 impact that I foresee on our communities, workers, 13 and small businesses. My conversations have led me 14 to conclude that the goal of these startups is to 15 build kitchen infrastructure automation and logistics 16 that are optimized to deliver food at a lower price 17 than dining in a restaurant or cooking at home just 18 like in China. Because they aggregate dozens of 19 restaurant brands and convenience items under a 20 single roof, large players like cloud kitchens can 21 leverage higher, higher average order values to 2.2 subsidize the cost of delivery, making it free for 2.3 the end consumer. Imagine ordering a burger, your significant other ordering sushi, and adding in a 24

bottle of wine into a single delivery order. And

25

because they are located in facilities that are 2 3 optimized for the last mile delivery rather than for 4 foot traffic, all of this is delivered at breakneck speeds that we have yet to fully experience in this 5 country. At a high level, as many people said, you 6 7 can think of a ghost kitchen like a virtual food 8 court that you might find an airport where a very small number of companies are responsible for a wide variety of concepts. Today these kitchens plug into 10 11 third-party delivery marketplaces, like Uber Eats, 12 Seamless, Postmates, and Door Dash, but tomorrow it 13 could very easily exist on a single platform. quite analogous to Amazon, but instead of books it's 14 15 freshly prepared, freshly prepared food that we put 16 into our bodies. This infrastructure is largely 17 fueled by nearly two billion dollars of foreign 18 capital from the sovereign wealth funds of Saudi 19 Arabia and Dubai for startups like cloud kitchens and 20 REEF technologies, respectively. On the surface they 21 are repurposing real estate like parking garages, 2.2 warehouse, and strip malls for the on-demand economy. 2.3 They have eliminated the front of house in exchange for designated delivery pickup areas and feature 24

exterior signage designed to ensure that drivers, not

diners, can locate the right facility. 2 Thanks to a 3 credit line provided by Goldman Sachs, cloud kitchens 4 alone has the ability to turn its 700 million dollars of equity capital into a 2.8 billion dollar war chest, at a minimum. To purchase any property that 6 7 can be conceivably subdivided into dozens of 8 kitchens. As famous entrepreneur and early Uber investor Gary Vaynerchuk explained cloud kitchens to a roomful of marketing executives last summer, it is 10 11 unbelievable how much disruption is coming. The only 12 thing that's going to be left is the brand, the 13 affinity that the customer has for the brand, and 14 that is it. It makes total sense that it's fast, 15 casual, and quick serve restaurants witnessed the 16 majority of their sales shift from dine-in towards 17 off-premise channels like drive-through, pickup, and 18 delivery that restauranteurs adapt to this very new 19 world of convenience. But despite these kitchens 20 lower upfront costs, the significant fees charged by 21 delivery marketplaces, the operating costs still make 2.2 it incredibly difficult for the average independent 2.3 restauranteur to turn a profit. The recent study from Kitchen Fund, which you pointed out, an investor 24 group that backs brands like Sweet Green, Buy Chloe, 25

2 and End Day found that a ghost kitchen operator must 3 generate at least \$650,000 in annual sales from a 4 ghost kitchen in order to break even. By comparison, 5 the average Chipotle store generates just under \$400,000 from both pickup and delivery. That's about 6 7 18% of the average retail location sales. Both cloud 8 kitchens and Kitchen United have experienced significant turnover from tenants since they both The latter only has two of its original 10 11 seven tenants remaining from its first location in 12 Pasadena, California. Because many restauranteurs 13 cannot stay afloat selling a single brand in a ghost 14 kitchen, providers like cloud kitchens equip their 15 tenants with custom-built software that makes it very 16 easy to launch multiple concepts from each of their 17 225-square foot kitchens. To illustrate this point, 18 inside a single cloud kitchen's 11,000-square foot 19 warehouse in West Adams, Los Angeles, there are 27 20 individual kitchens that are responsible for 115 21 restaurant listings on every single delivery platform 2.2 at the time of my investigation.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Repeat that sentence one more time. Lot of numbers.

2.3

24

MATT NEWBURG: To illustrate this point,
inside just one cloud kitchen's 11,000-square foot
warehouse in West Adams, Los Angeles, there are 27
individual physical kitchens that are responsible for
115 unique virtual restaurant listings on every
single delivery platform at the time of my
investigation. Many of these are virtual concepts
rather than physical restaurant brands, some of which
aggregate items across multiple kitchens inside the
warehouse, enabling that dinner date scenario I
mentioned to you earlier. You won't discover them by
walking down the street. If you want to see them
firsthand, I suggest you google site:postmates.com
1842 West Washington Boulevard. If successful, I
fear that the diverse set of local restaurants around
this very neighborhood, such as Sophie's Cuban
Cuisine, Basilo Italian Panni, Bombay's Indian
Restaurant, and Leo's Bagels would be replaced with
virtual concepts like Fry Me a River, Italian
Stallion, WTF is a Queserado, Late Night Munchies,
Panni Gang, Try Tasty Tacos, and Morning After. I'm
not making these up. There are 185,000 single-unit
full-service restaurants in the United States
accounting for nearly a third of the total dining

2 landscape by volume. According to the Bureau of 3 Labor Statistics independently owned restaurants 4 employ just over 3 million workers. As restaurants shift towards an optimized off-premise ghost kitchen model, the need for front-of-house staff is 6 7 eliminated. The number of back-of-house cooks is 8 drastically reduced. Cashiers, hosts, and waiters account for 60% of this work force. These jobs are replaced by apps in a ghost kitchen environment. 10 11 Dishwashers become a shared service within the entire 12 facility, therefore that number trends towards zero. 13 The remaining third of the back-of-house shrinks in 14 half from five to two or three, leaving a total 15 population of 500,000 cooks to find new work in ghost kitchens, about 17% of the current work force. 16 17 two to three workers could have again, thanks to 18 cloud kitchen Silicone Valley operation that is 19 working on building advanced conveyor systems. 20 could hypothetically evolve towards robotics. While 21 ghost kitchens could create positive efficiencies, 2.2 like lowering carbon emissions through batch delivery 2.3 orders or enabling restaurants to rapidly test new concepts, they simultaneously add another 24 intermediate layer that makes it incredibly difficult 25

25

to trace our food back to its original source. 2 3 recent investigation I discovered that a Rachel Ray 4 virtual restaurant concept on Uber Eats was originating from REEF Technologies kitchen trailers 5 in Chelsea and Long Island City parking lots, the 6 latter of which was adjacent to a Port-A-Potty. 8 These kitchens were recently shut down by the fire department due to their abundance of propane tanks that pose as a safety hazard. If there are any take-10 11 aways from my testimony today it's the power of 12 leverage that these kitchens have in their ability to 13 shrink an entire city block into a single warehouse. One liquor license that has the potential to blanket 14 15 an entire city, one location that equals 13 operators, 27 kitchens, 115 concepts. There are four 16 17 cloud kitchen locations in New York already and more 18 on the way - two in Tribeca, one in midtown, and 19 another in Long Island City. By the end of this year 20 the 300 person-plus company will have a presence on 21 every single continent in the world. It is my hope that today's discussion will inform future action 2.2 2.3 that allows us to innovate while ensuring the future health and diversity of our modern workers, small 24 businesses, and communities. Thank you.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Matthew, you are 3 very sobering, I can tell you that.

MATT NEWBURG: [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You've just predicted Armageddon and the end of the world as we know it. [laughter] Um, the number that startles me the most, I guess, where do you see, you just pointed out ghost kitchens of the future, this is a marketing, electronic, no need for, ah, walking down a commercial corridor, stay at home or bring it to you at your place of business. Got it. Consumer behavior demands changes, we adopt. The automation, is that your concern of the future, that we will no longer, once they've built this concept and control the market share, ah, alleviating entire need for brick-and-mortar establishment, ah, that we now look to further cut costs and go fully robotic with automation at that point, three million jobs, is that where you're headed with all this?

MATT NEWBURG: Yeah, I am. I think you touched on all the right points. I mean, for me it's, personally as a New Yorker it's, it comes down to perceived value of choice, but really having that all being provided by a very few handful of players,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and we've seen that play out in the industrialized food system that we have today with, you know, factory farming and what-not. So I see this following a very similar trend.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: All right. So based on your scenario I think we just solved the housing crisis for New York City 'cause we're gonna have plenty of empty retail space to convert to housing, um, and we'll address that issue. I'm with you. between, and you, you all have a purpose here and you represented your industry and fight for your members, ah, bringing to light many of the issues completely. I just want to make sure that be careful what we ask for because the same principles can be applied to you. So whether it be under the same notion, ending, seeking to end franchising, um, which some small owners have complained about and want to do. It's a We want to figure out how to work problem for us. with the changes so that everyone can benefit, and I, I'm alarmed and concerned about the future of our retail establishments, in particular this case restaurants. We see the impact. We see, um, major, um, name brands closing down their establishment, keeping one flag store open and everything being done

Τ	
2	online. It has changed retail. We are facing the
3	same challenges for restaurant establishments, food
4	establishments, except that the model is allowing for
5	an identity in the business model that it was
6	received from to be used against that very same
7	establishment. Is there anything besides the passing
8	on of the fees that these third-party apps are
9	charging? Is there, besides the zoning that you
10	brought up and the protection of the data that
11	belongs to the actual restaurant. Anything else that
12	we can add that we should be reflecting on as how,
13	not to stop this, but help shape it where it's more
14	fair and balanced?
15	ROBERT BOOKMAN: Yes, and
16	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Let me direct it

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Let me direct it first to, if you don't mind, to Matthew and then I'll spring back to you.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: As Batman on the behalf of Robin, I want to, by the way, welcome Superman to the Justice League. [laughs]

MATT NEWBURG: Thank you, thank you. Ah, I don't, sorry, your question was about...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: What can we do?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MATT NEWBURG: ...what other, what else can we do? I, I don't really have the, you know, those exact answers. I do think sitting in this room today gave me a sense, from what you were speaking about with the health ratings was a very good point about transparency. If, you know, I think we can have all this convenience as long as we know what the costs are, if we can externalize those costs and someone is, is paying it, um, I think that that's, that's a, if I know this restaurant had a low health rating when I went to order, right, even if it just said which kitchen it came from I'm, I know these tactics to look up all these kitchens and I'm pretty good at looking up public records, um, and that's how most of this information came, came about today. the average consumer is not gonna spend as much time as I'm gonna spend doing this homework, right? the more that we can, we can educate the consumer about what it is they're being sold, um, I think it's, that's, that's kind of all you can really do. I do want to point out aggregation theory. think, you know, everyone said Amazon, AirBNB, Netflix, I mean that's effectively what's being done here today. You know, these kitchens are being

- 2 built, the larger companies, that ones that raise
- 3 hundreds of millions, not the ones that came to
- 4 testify today, they're the ones that are really
- 5 looking at the brick-and-mortar retail, sorry,
- 6 they're looking at the physical kitchen
- 7 infrastructure as a mousetrap to aggregate the
- 8 supply, in turn get a crazier valuation for
- 9 aggregating the supply side.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Any solution that
- 11 [inaudible] mentioned that you think we should be
- 12 looking at?
- 13 MATT NEWBURG: And we also need to
- 14 educate small business owners who might be signing
- 15 | leases in these kitchens. If you look at the
- 16 marketing materials for cloud kitchens, ah, they
- 17 suggest that if you want to go and open up a
- 18 | restaurant that it's, they make it sound easy. They
- 19 make it sound like you only need \$30,000 of
- 20 | equipment. Um, that's true, but, you know, you're
- 21 going to sign a 12-month lease. You could lose
- 22 \$100,000 to \$150,000, maybe even more, \$200,000 of
- 23 your personal savings, ah, going into one of these
- 24 kitchens. So I think outlining for those, those

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 restauranteurs and knowing what they're getting into, 3 um, is another area.

ANDREW RIGIE: Yeah, I would just add I think part of even at the Department of something. Small Business Services when they offer services on lease negotiations as these, um, you know, kitchens continue to expand and grow the larger, sophisticated restaurant companies will have the resources to review all these leases. But simple things like noncompetes, I mean, if you're gonna open up a bakery or pizzeria you may speak with your landlord and you want a quarantee in the lease that they're not gonna rent this space right next door to another bakery or a pizzeria. So part of it can happen in the free market, but we need to empower particularly those small business owners to ask the right questions and to insist that, you know, if I'm going to sign this lease because I'm bringing value add to your ghost kitchen that in turn you need to give me something. And that happened outside of the legislative and regulatory process, so it's knowledge which is power.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Two things. I think we need a lot more. I know we've started discussions on city legislation, ah, demanding and requiring

ones handling all of these issues and dealing with

24

2.2

2.3

2 all these restrictions and they're not, it's not a

3 level playing field.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Robert, do any of you have any clients or representing anyone that has a cloud kitchen, a virtual kitchen or a ghost kitchen?

ROBERT BOOKMAN: I think have members that are operating in some of the, um, cloud kitchens or ghost kitchens.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Has anyone brought to your attention this, not only the 15% fee that is paid on top of the rent to the ghost kitchen, but potentially if that order comes from a third-party food order app...

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, well that, I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: ...that there's an additional 33, 33 on top of the 15, you are on a 6% to 11% profit margin, now you're at below 60% loss.

ANDREW RIGIE: Correct. So if you're opening in a ghost kitchen currently you're paying all of those fees, but most of your transactions may be going through Grub Hub, for example, so you are paying their fees as well. So, again, what's happening is we're getting more third parties into

2 each step of our business that are each extracting a fee.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ROBERT BOOKMAN: And I think now to the extent that we have any members they're in the early steps of that five step where, ah, the Sarge's, you know, Deli that they were talking about, oh, it's still the honeymoon where I'm now delivering to a part of Manhattan that I never delivered to yet. We haven't gotten to step three and step four, you know,

and step five is while they decide what do they need

Sarge's at all. 12

> CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Which ultimately will lead to higher pricing, which means...

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: ...because it's a percentage-based agreement they're still making everybody into [inaudible].

MATT NEWBURG: I just want to clarify. think there may have been a misunderstanding about that 15% and I'm not entirely sure about this, but it sounds like from Jim speaking that he was saying if the order comes from Kitchen United they charge 15%, not on top of the existing fee.

MATT NEWBURG: But they, they will do, their main, their new investor is RXR Realty. They

ROBERT BOOKMAN: No delivery?

2.3

24

25

are working with RXR to generate demand from other office properties where, I don't know who's, it's probably going to be someone like Relay, which is a third-party, um, fulfillment API that will basically, you know, do the delivery on behalf of Kitchen United or Zuul or whomever. Those guys actually used to work at Relay.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, gentleman.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

Hub. Ms. Healy, I want to thank you for your patience and I'm so glad that you're here to be a part of this. And I'm glad that you actually were able to stay for the whole duration, as we understand the moving parts, and I'm sure this is gonna help in the testimony you're about to give us as we help shed light on the industry and the business models and address some of the concerns that were brought up. So thank you so much for being here. I'm really grateful to you.

AMY HEALY: Thank you, Chairman Gjonaj, and members of the committee. Thank you for having

25

and we've proudly driven billions of dollars in

25

2 revenue to local restaurants and over a billion 3 dollars in tips to our drivers. It's important also 4 to point out that the products and services that Grub Hub designs are meeting the changing needs of diners 5 and consumers in the United States. So, again, we 6 7 are following demand, um, and hopefully doing it and 8 we strive to do it better than our competitors. Grub Hub does not own kitchen space, nor do we have any plans to do so. I want to be very clear about 10 11 that. Nor do we own any virtual restaurants. 12 in the business of connecting great restaurants with 13 hungry diners, not real estate or restaurant 14 operations. That said, we have partnered with 15 restaurants and restaurant concepts to market them on 16 Grub Hub and to handle delivery logistics. 17 larger share of the virtual concepts that we see on 18 our platform are from independent restaurants that 19 choose to open a virtual restaurant from their 20 existing brick-and-mortar location or to expand their 21 customer base and capitalize on untapped demand in their market while driving more value from their 2.2 2.3 fixed costs. For example, we've seen a brick-andmortar sushi restaurant that also sets up a virtual 24

restaurant specializing in poke bowls to use the same

25

supply of tuna and salmon. As I mentioned earlier, 2 3 data and analytics are important tools for our 4 restaurant partners. While complying with state and federal privacy laws and our own privacy policy, Grub Hub shares data with restaurants about their business 6 7 that is essential when they are thinking about 8 virtual restaurants. We provide a data analytics dashboard to restaurants that includes daily and weekly sales, orders, and menu item performance 10 11 metrics as well as trends. In addition to this dashboard our restaurants' success team works every 12 13 day to evaluate the performance of the restaurants on 14 our platform and to create customized plans to help 15 our restaurant partners be successful. We regularly share data via email to restaurant partners with 16 17 suggestions about what dishes and cuisines are 18 trending in their area to consider if they wish to open a virtual restaurant concept. But the choice of 19 20 whether to open a new concept is always in the hands of the restaurant. Grub Hub has been committed to 21 2.2 virtual concepts for the last five years, which is an 2.3 example of how we're focused on making a difference with innovation that provides real value to our small 24

and medium restaurant partners and diners. Virtual

restaurants let our diner and restaurant owners offer 2 3 more creative menus without the overhead of renting 4 additional kitchen space. Here's a local example. We worked with an independent restaurant operator in Brooklyn to reach two delivery zones with their 6 brick-and-mortar virtual restaurant combo. The owner 8 had operated a brick-and-mortar Mexican grill in Bay Ridge for years. But recently after the owner took over a pizzeria restaurant in Park Slope he decided 10 11 to use the new location as a virtual Mexican grill as 12 well, adding another delivery zone without all the 13 added oversight hearing. We understand with these 14 new restaurant trends comes new policy and regulatory 15 questions for local lawmakers and government 16 agencies. And Grub Hub applauds the committee for 17 bringing together interested stakeholders at this 18 hearing so we can all better understand the 19 implication these trends have on our local business 20 communities. Grub Hub provides the same benefits to a shared kitchen or virtual restaurant available to 21 2.2 our brick-and-mortar restaurant partners. We require 2.3 the same contract for a virtual restaurant or shared kitchen restaurant as we do for brick-and-mortar 24 restaurants and every one of our business entities we 25

2	partner with are required to comply with all local
3	licensing rules and legal requirements as part of our
4	agreement with them. Grub Hub is proud of our
5	ability to drive revenue, attract diners, and provide
6	tools to our small and medium size business partners
7	that have traditionally only been available to
8	national brands and chains. We continue to strive to
9	develop products and services to help our restaurant
10	partners adapt to changing diner demand and a
11	changing restaurant marketplace. Again, thank you
12	and I look forward to answering any questions, and I
13	will preface it with today's my one-month anniversary
14	at Grub Hub so I do my best. Um, if I can't answer
15	your questions I'll be happy to take them back and
16	respond at a later time.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Well,

congratulations and, again, I'm grateful to you for

being here, and I apologize that we left you for

last, but understanding the hearing focused solely on

ghost kitchens and virtual kitchens this would be a

great way to end this hearing.

AMY HEALY: Absolutely. Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So thank you for your patience. I know that we have another panel

never have yielded a charge?

afterwards, so you may want to stick around for that if time allows you to do so. I can't help but begin the first question on something on a hearing that we had some months ago, and maybe you can just help answer. Are you, is Grub Hub still willing to reexamine, um, the new policy on the phone orders and perhaps increase, ah, the number of refunds that should not have, back to the restaurants that should

AMY HEALY: Um, I can tell you that Grub Hub continually looks at improving, um, our phone orders, which comprise a very small fraction of our orders. Um, we'd be negligent not to continue to look at how we can make that service better.

I, I'm really stuck on this issue and the more I hear back from those restaurants that, ah, took it on face value that the charges that they were seeing on their bills that were itemized were actual transactions that occurred, and for some of these small businesses those fees over a duration of time were in the tens of thousands of dollars. I am going to be working with you to come up with a solution to those charges that should never have been incurred be refunded back

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to those small businesses. It would go a long way in 3 them continuing to keep their doors open. Ah.

3 them continuing to keep their doors open. Ah,

they're struggling. I'm sure you see it out there

5 and the numbers are real. They come to me regularly

6 | looking for updates. It would make the world of a

7 difference for some of these very small micro mom and

8 pop shop restaurants. That five or 10 thousand

9 dollars in questionable fees could determine whether

10 or not they stay in business.

AMY HEALY: No, and I would encourage you to make sure that we communicate on this and if there are restaurants that are not getting the answer that they need from, from Grub Hub that are going to you then that's why I'm here.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Great. So you heard, thank you for that. You heard some of the testimonies and I didn't pick up on it until later and obviously I got a different answer that perhaps a ghost kitchen or shared kitchen scenario the percentage that they're paying that umbrella organization, ah, could not be a double hit with Grub Hub. Is there an instance where Grub Hub platform, where some of these independent kitchens are using more than just the Zuuls of the world, ah, shared

_						
2	kitchen concept, but they're also using Grub Hub and					
3	other third-party online provide services?					
4	AMY HEALY: I'm not sure I understand					
5	your question.					
6	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So you heard the					
7	model of shared kitchens.					
8	AMY HEALY: Yep.					
9	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Where several					
10	kitchens are in one facility.					
11	AMY HEALY: Right.					
12	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Ah, where they pay					
13	rent and in addition they pay a percentage to the,					
14	um, the umbrella					
15	AMY HEALY: Like cloud or Zuul or					
16	whoever, yeah.					
17	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Correct. Are those					
18	independent kitchens also working with other third-					
19	party providers like Grub Hub?					
20	AMY HEALY: We absolutely do deliver for					
21	restaurants that are contained in cloud or shared					
22	kitchens. Um, I don't know what percent of our					
23	business is that, but there are times where they					

contract with us to provide the delivery.

24

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right. So in addition, and you also offer the same packages of marketing, um?

AMY HEALY: Right, so our commissions are based on a sliding scale, depending on the needs of what the restaurant chooses to purchase from us.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right. And those fees we know could go as high as 33%, which includes delivery and credit card charges on top of that.

AMY HEALY: And marketing services.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Correct. Is there any scenario where they could be paying both, up to 33% fee to Grub Hub and then on top of that a 15%?

AMY HEALY: I don't know what a restaurant is paying to a different third-party provider. I don't personally know. I can see if I can find out. But, um...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So could there be a connection where I'm gonna order through Grub Hub, Grub Hub through Zuul or cloud kitchens, to, um, Reggie's Pizza?

AMY HEALY: Is there a connection between?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Could there be a connection?

AMY HEALY: I'm sure there could be a connection. But those fees, my understanding from listening to the previous, and I don't want to talk about their business 'cause I, I don't know it...

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Oh, no I'm just [inaudible]

AMY HEALY: But they're charging the restaurant that, they're not charging the diner.

Went on to Grub Hub's application looking for a restaurant, I purchase through your website, your software, could that software now connect me to any of those other cloud kitchens and shared kitchens and virtual kitchens? Where you now are going through a cloud kitchen, a Zuul, or any of those other kitchen centers?

AMY HEALY: Grub Hub does partner with restaurant concepts that are part of cloud kitchens.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right, not the independent kitchen itself, the provider of the marketing service as well.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

AMY HEALY: OK, that I'm not sure, I can't answer it for you, but I can find out.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But in your mind the theory could exist?

AMY HEALY: The theory could exist. But, again, you know, one month in I'd be, it'd be irresponsible for me to make that claim.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Yep. We know this about small businesses. They don't have the time, you know, they're busy making the donuts, you know, focusing on getting the orders out and their day-today tasks. And we, as we know through past experiences they really are not afforded the time and liberty to go back and look at statements at the end of the month. I would hate to see a scenario now, and because of this hearing, that could potentially lead to a double commission, that that small independent operator may not be aware of, using this virtual kitchen, shared kitchen concept, where a percentage is being paid to the operator of the general facility and then on top of that because of a connection through the software platforms and just third-party apps be hit by a second commission.

2.2

2.3

	AMY HEALY:	Right.	My unders	tanding,	
listening	again to the	e previous	panels,	is there'	s a
gentleman	who might ha	ave missta	ted or ove	erstated	the
amount of	fees that we	ere being	charged.	Um, so,	I
mean, we'r	e all for the	ransparenc	y, Councii	lman.	

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But that would, but, and just talking it over it could potentially happen.

AMY HEALY: Um, I guess it could. Again, I'm not going to speak for the cloud business model.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We'll look into and I'm sure there's gonna be a lot more questions in and around...

AMY HEALY: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: ...scenario that just came up as of today's hearings. Do you support displaying, ah, Department of Health grades on your app for your clients?

AMY HEALY: Um, I'd have to find out. I believe that issue has been talked about and I know there is an issue with space on, on the mobile app for how much you can technically put on their. Um, I know this issue has been talked about for years with Yelp and, and other, you know, online directories that serve the restaurant vertical marketplace. Um,

2.2

2.3

and I'm not sure where the city has landed out previously on that.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We're still working through it, but a single letter I don't think is gonna take up much space on a, whether it be a phone or computer.

AMY HEALY: I know. Try, try talking to the engineers about changing, changing things, it's not as easy as it sounds.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I can understand what you're saying. Um, so we're open to that discussion. The concern, especially in hearing today's testimonies where you can have a kitchen operate a virtual kitchen and bypass the grading that so many New Yorkers rely on to determine whether or not they'll patronize an establishment. You heard some of the examples.

AMY HEALY: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You know, where a restaurant, ah, as a bistro is offering six other, ah, under six other entities serving a multitude of foods, including something as concerning as seafood.

Um, the grade that we have, the system that currently exists, would be extremely beneficial for the end

2.2

2.3

2 consumer when determining what location or

3 establishment they're going to purchase from. That's

4 the purpose of the question to you.

AMY HEALY: Right, no, no, and I, as I think about this issue and as I was preparing for this hearing, um, you know, as public policy professional I see the, the new, challenging landscape for restaurants that the city's gonna continue to address, and, you know, we're happy to be part of that conversation. Again, Grub Hub doesn't own the restaurants, we don't own the space, we don't own commissary kitchens, and we are a third-party marketing and delivery and processing app.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So this leads us to the next question. Um, how do you inform consumers that they're ordering from a virtual restaurant? How you would perceive that?

AMY HEALY: I don't believe there's any difference, um, when someone's ordering from a virtual restaurant, um, but I'd have to confirm that.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Are you concerned about these ghost kitchens and shared, ah, kitchen centers and the impact it could have on your very industry based on what you've heard today, whereas,

2.2

2.3

um, I think the number was from one location a hundred-plus restaurants in name are offering products of multi, of 2700 restaurants offering over a hundred options of specialty foods? Would that undermine your very existence as well if this became

7 a new trend?

AMY HEALY: Grub Hub is there to serve, you know, diners that want variety, a complete list of restaurants, um, and convenience. So if, you know, we have to adapt, too, to the changing marketplace, you know, and I'm confident that, that we can do that. Um, so I wouldn't use the word concerned. Are we paying attention to it?

Absolutely. Um, are we trying to help our small business partners that are interested in virtual reality, excuse me, virtual restaurants, um, with advice and data and tools? Absolutely. Um, so this, this is a new space for us and it's one we've chosen not to get into on the level that some of our competitors that you've mentioned and others have mentioned today.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And the numbers are, in one facility of 11,000 square feet, 27 kitchens offering 115 restaurant entities.

2.2

2.3

AMY HEALY: That's, that's challenging.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: That would take over a whole market in a rural area, I would imagine, as they market and brand themselves. That would also impact you and other third-party food order apps across the board, if they can control that type of a footprint.

AMY HEALY: Right, well, I mean, we do really well in, in smaller towns. You know, our business relies on a healthy thriving SMB restaurant space, no doubt about it. The vast majority of our customers of restaurants are small businesses. Um, a very small percentage are your large national chains.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Um, and when it comes to data, as you heard so often come up and small businesses, particularly these mom and pop shops that may not have realized the importance of data, um, and how relevant it was to their very existence, their concerns are obvious that that data that was obtained through their establishments, I mean, they created the food, they created the market, they created the concepts, they've, um, built a reputation in and around the specific food, to find an app that, ah, such as yours, that comes in

AMY HEALY: I'm not familiar enough with our sales and marketing team to understand how

viable under your existing, ah?

2.3

24

25

1

3

4

6

7 8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they're using the competitive intelligence in that way. I do know, um, that if we see that there's, you know, a dearth of a certain type of food in an area we might communicate to our restaurant partners and say there's, you know, there's a need here that's not being met and if that's an opportunity for them absolutely we'll help them. Our job is to help them, ah, take advantage of opportunities in the marketplace to drive and grow their business.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And that's the So, yes, maybe there's a restaurant or a concern. concept that exists outside of the delivery radius and the amount of time it actually takes to deliver that food to that specific location, by giving that information to another client will probably yield in a loss of sales to the business that that data...

AMY HEALY: Like I said, Councilman, I'm not sure that the situation you're describing is how we operate at Grub Hub. So, you know, I'm not gonna, I'm not gonna comment on that.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm just looking at scenarios and so these are very complicated scenarios. These are very complicated industry and marketplace.

AMY HEALY: Right, the business of data
and how you use it and provide it to your customers,
not just in our business, but in every business,
you've said the challenges of technology today and so
much of that is due to the type of data, right? The
comparison of Amazon and how Amazon knew the people
were ordering certain products so they decided to
come up and, you know, brand their own products. Um,
certainly data is a very valuable thing for small
businesses, and some of the products we've launched
for them have only been available to the national
chains before. Um, the way that we're able to
provide an analytics dashboard for a mom and pop,
that type of thing was only available to national
brands. We've just launched a point of sale
dedicated to small businesses, a point of sale kiosk.
Those types of services were only available to your
Paneras and things like that. So we absolutely will
use data analytics and technology to help mom and
pops that have been left out of, of that wave and
that's something that we're really proud of.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. My last question for you, have we come up with any data that shows your platform is increasing sales, ah, to those

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 restaurants based on the concerns of profit 6% to 3 11%, if they're paying as high as 33% with delivery 4 and credit card changes, um, that it is sustainable, meaning that you're bringing that, you're offering 5 the sales approaches that we're gonna bring more 6 7 customers to your location. The data I've seen all 8 along has shown that this is a cannibalization of existing customers, that there is no real customer base that's so impactful that warrants those fees 10 11 being paid because of the increase in business sales. 12 I haven't seen anything thus far that substantiates 13 the, ah, thought that we're bringing new customers to you, that warrant these high fees. 14

AMY HEALY: I'm, I'm, we're a public company, you know, our books are open and, um, our CEO just issued a big shareholder yesterday.

Earnings came out yesterday. So, you know, I can go and find out. Again, we support transparency. But the bottom line is, you know, our customers renew with us because we're helping drive their business and they tell us so. Um, you know, we believe that they're, nobody knows their business better than they do and they choose to us third-party partners, with vendors on all levels because they believe it's good

2.2

2.3

for them. If we're not a good partner they can walk away.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: OK. I think they've also realized that without an online presence they're not able to stay open. But the fees and the commissions that they're paying, as you may have heard, and we get a slew, restaurants and whether through their partners or umbrella, ah, associations shows that each sale is a net loss, and it's not just one particular third-party app. This is across the board based on the percentages, that they come back and they now say without, we know that without an online presence we are certain to fail. With an online presence under these third-party apps it's a slow death.

AMY HEALY: Are those orders that are,
um, just online orders or delivery too? Because Grub
Hub, unlike our competitors the vast majority of our
orders, um, through the app or online are delivered
by the restaurant themselves.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I believe it was a mixture of both.

AMY HEALY: Mixture of both.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Yeah. Which we'll stay in touch. I just...

AMY HEALY: So, I mean, it's a, it's a complicated industry, right? I know there's no easy answers, which is why we're gonna continue to have these conversations.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Just using basic math, if we know it's 6% to 11% profit paying up to 33% in a few has to yield in a net loss for that particular transaction. Can't see profit there.

AMY HEALY: I mean, you know, you're, you're putting the numbers out. I, I haven't seen the numbers that you're seeing.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We'll continue to work on this.

AMY HEALY: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Again, I want to thank you for your time and your patience, and we'll continue to figure out how we're going to shape the future, ah, of this industry and this marketplace together.

23 AMY HEALY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

AMY HEALY: Have a good day.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Next is Robert

3 Gornero and Kathleen Reilly.

UNIDENTIFIED: Robert left.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: OK, and Kathleen.

OK, Ms. Reilly, we left you for cleanup. Whenever.

KATHLEEN REILLY: All right. Well, after almost four hours of testimony I'll do my best not to repeat anybody. Um, good afternoon. As you know, my name is Kathleen Reilly. I'm the New York City government affairs coordinator for the New York State Restaurant Association. We're a trade association for New York city and state food and beverage. Um, we've been representing our members for over 80 years and as has been discussed in this setting the restaurant industry is one of the last strongholds for brick-and-mortar and New York City is contending with a transformative impact of e-commerce and changing consumer behavior. We think it's very important to ensure the continued viability of the restaurant industry in New York City. Today we're very appreciative that the council has taken the opportunity to discuss ghost kitchens and that you have taken the opportunity to listen to all this testimony and get this conversation started. They're

25

growing in popularity around the country and New York 2 3 City, and we really appreciate you being on the, 4 having your finger on the pulse of this as an emerging and trend and getting stakeholder insight. In a ghost kitchen, as we have all established, it's 6 7 a commercial kitchen that's for delivery only. 8 may be associated with existing storefronts and others may have their own independent concepts. our perspective ghost kitchens are reacting to the 10 11 difficult business environment that has been created 12 in New York City. As a business model they allow for 13 renting less expensive space, focusing solely on a 14 kitchen footprint and eliminating customer-facing 15 In a city where rent and property tax pose a 16 major burden, labor is ever-more expensive and 17 regulations are consistently handed down from the 18 city and state. Our legislators have incentivized a 19 business model like this. So for just to give a 20 couple examples, if you don't have to have customer-21 facing space you don't need to worry about your 2.2 tables, how many tables do you have, do you need a 2.3 public restroom. Um, you don't have worry about commercial music licensing. You don't need to 24

entertain anybody in their. You don't have to worry

25

2 about the customer-facing posters to warn people 3 about various different risks. You don't have to 4 worry about is your trash area intuitive enough that your customers will source separate their things 5 properly after they've received them from you. You 6 don't have a physical storefront, OK, well you don't 8 have to worry about your signs and awnings and A frames and following all of those rules correctly. You don't have to consider potentially do I want to 10 11 expand to a sidewalk cafe and jump through all of 12 those hoops. You don't have to worry about if your 13 building edifice requires scaffolding on it is your 14 sales going to plummet because you're not visible 15 anymore. You don't have a storefront. You don't 16 have to worry about it. The point is with that in mind our stance on ghost kitchens themselves is 17 18 simply that they need to be held to the same 19 regulatory and inspection standards as restaurant 20 counterparts to ensure a level playing field. 21 there have been a lot of suggestions that people have 2.2 given about different specific ways that level 2.3 playing fields can be accomplished. I think the point that you mentioned around, um, lack of 24

visibility for grading, the health department grading

25

when you're ordering online, honestly from ghost 2 3 kitchens or not, when you're ordering online you 4 don't have the same visibility as you would if you were going somewhere in person, and as, ah, I think Matthew mentioned, almost no one is going to take the 6 7 time to go to the Department of Health website and 8 look up the grading to a place they've never been to before just for the sake of due diligence. people aren't going to do that. So we're looking to 10 11 see a level playing field. We don't necessarily take 12 specific issue with ghost kitchens existing because, 13 as you've mentioned, it's a free market economy. 14 People are reacting to the realities of the business 15 landscape. Ah, functionally ghost kitchens are 16 basically one step away from a business that serves 17 food from a takeout counter. Just no counter, 18 otherwise more or less the same idea. importantly, we see ghost kitchens as a symptom of a 19 20 very difficult business environment in New York City 21 and they're part of a trend. The trend is towards 2.2 quick service, delivery-oriented businesses, takeout-2.3 oriented businesses, the idea that you want to minimize floor space and staffing, and you're, and 24

maximize your efficiency so that you can try to stay

25

conversation. And we urge the council, this

committee, to continue to remember the importance of restaurants in the future of New York City dining and to encourage legislators to consider various means of supporting these businesses. Thank you for the

conversation, and I have enjoyed listening to what

7 everyone had to say this afternoon.

thank you for your input today and throughout the year, um, the industry that you represent, um, you've been a strong advocate for them and you, and together I hope they realize how we've made things better for them and we're not done yet. So I want to thank you again. The door is always open. Unless there is someone else here that is going to testify today, I think this is the time that we close the hearing.

Thank you so much for being here. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_March 12, 2020