CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

January 9, 2020 Start: 1:15 p.m. Recess: 3:20 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Carlos Menchaca

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Carlos Menchaca

Margaret S. Chin Daniel Dromm Mathieu Eugene Mark Gjonaj

I. Daneek Miller Francisco P. Moya

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Haley Yee Coalition for Asian American Children and Families

Alvia Mata Translatinx Network

Helen Thomas

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KEITH POLITE: Testing one, two, one two.

Today is January 9, 2020. Today's hearing is on

Committee on Immigration, being recorded by Keith

Polite.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [gavel] Buenos dias atodos y felice anno nuovo. My name is Carlos Menchaca and I am the chair of the Committee on Immigration here in the New York City Council and represent District 38 in Sunset Park and Red Hook, Brooklyn. It's a special hearing, because the first City Council hearing of 2020 is being held by the Immigration Committee. I want to thank Council Member Danny Dromm from Queens and all the members of our committee. As we enter the new year it's important to center ourselves and recommit to the values that lead our work here in this committee and to outline some goals that I have for the rest of the session. New York City is an immigrant city. [speaking in Spanish] inmigrantes and we've always known that. And as we discuss the issues, we must acknowledge our immigrant neighbors as central and integral pillars of our city and the needed focus to shape policies to ensure their success, as it is linked to the success of the city. Today New York

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

City is home to 3.2 million immigrants, the largest number of immigrants in our city. That means that nearly 37% of our neighbors are immigrants and 44% of our coworkers are foreign-born. Our mantra is The immigrant experience is the American simple. experience, the New Yorker experience. And our collective work on behalf of our communities lead us to educate our children, care for our sick, keep our streets clean, or protect our neighborhoods from bad landlords. In all of these spaces we necessarily are talking about immigrants in New York. When we think about how best to help small businesses or make our streets safe for everyone, we are necessarily talking about immigrant New Yorkers. Through this lens, housing is an immigration issue. Healthcare is an immigration issue. Education is an immigration issue. And in this committee, as we oversee the city's effort to make life better, safer, and more affordable for all, we will ask questions about how all polices affect immigrant New Yorkers. because they are a special class to consider, but because they are, we are, New Yorkers. Those ideas must also come directly from communities impacted by our sometimes broken politics, at the federal, at the

2 state level, even here at the city level, and they 3 come as ideas from our people. Our tradition is to 4 start with a public panel to set tone and in that space come both ideas and feelings of fear and hope. Our immigrant neighbors speak about the shadows that 6 7 they find themselves in and the feelings of being 8 invisible. [Masta], step out of those shadows and be For you are the light that burns bright in the torch held so proudly by Lady Liberty as she welcomes 10 and continues to welcome the masses for generations 11 12 to come. So step out and be seen, for you are the 13 light of the city. Today the committee will be 14 hearing a package of four bills that intend to 15 enshrine this kind of commitment. These bills build on the work begun in 2017 with the passage of Local 16 Laws 185 and 186 of 2017, and continue through the 17 18 common's oversight of MOOIA, the Mayor's Office of 19 Immigrant Affairs, and their implementation of these 20 laws and their portfolio of programmatic initiatives. I want to leave some time for colleagues to make 21 2.2 statements on those bills that they've sponsored, so 2.3 I will restrict my comments to just the basics of these bills for them to be able to speak about their 24 work and our work here in our committee. 25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

first bill before the committee is Introduction 1636, sponsored by Council Member Dromm in relation to establishing the Department of Immigrant Affairs.

And with that I defer to Council Member Dromm to speak on his bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you. Since my days chairing the Committee on Immigration I have worked to ensure our city does all it can to be a safe and welcoming home for our immigrant families, friends, and neighbors. I know the current chair, Carlos Menchaca, certainly believes the same and I'm grateful to him for hearing Intro 1636 today. years ago the landscape was very different. Bloomberg administration made bold-sounding but generic statements to a national audience about immigration reform, but largely ignored the pleas of myself and immigrant advocates to enact actual politics that would positively impact immigrant lives here in New York City. At that time the criminal justice system collaborated with ICE to send immigrants into a deportation detention complex. Before she became speaker, Melissa Mark-Viverito and I were initially met with derision when we fought to evict the ICE agents from our jails. Very recent

2	expressions of contrition aside, our mayor plowed
3	ahead with a Stop and Frisk policy that destroyed
4	many black and brown lives, including countless
5	immigrants in my district who were deported as a
6	result. On the flip side, there is virtually no help
7	for immigrant crime victims seeking law enforcement
8	assistance with U and TV certifications. Despite
9	executive orders, city agencies struggled with
10	language access and did not seem to know or care
11	about the demographics of the communities they were
12	supposed to be serving. Legal funding earmarked for
13	immigration attorneys was nonexistent. Adult
14	literacy funding was woefully inadequate, and
15	something the council always needed to cover. And
16	the list goes on. In other words, the more than one
17	in three New Yorkers who were born in other countries
18	simply were not a priority. How things have changed
19	in the past decade. Now ICE is largely out of our
20	criminal justice system. Now immigrant crime victims
21	can turn to the police and other agencies for help
22	with U and T visas. Now with IDNYC no New Yorker has
23	to fear that simple interaction with the police will
24	lead to deportation or that they will be barred from
25	their child's school or other buildings they need to

2	access. Now our city boasts the most robust program							
3	for immigrant legal services in the country. Now our							
4	city is keeping tract of the diverse communities it							
5	serves and will be using that data to improve. I am							
6	grateful to Mayor de Blasio and Commissioner Bitta							
7	Mostofi for their work. We all seem to agree that we							
8	need to be responsive to our immigrant communities.							
9	Intro 1636, which would create a Department of							
10	Immigrant Affairs, is the culmination of years of							
11	progress and the recognition that we need not just to							
12	preserve that progress but to build upon it,							
13	regardless of who the mayor is. This bill does this							
14	by building upon Local Laws 185 and 186 of 2017,							
15	which included my legislation to strengthen the							
16	Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. Cities across							
17	the country now stand as bulwarks against the							
18	xenophobic and unconstitutional White House, and							
19	making the Office of Immigrant Affairs into a							
20	department is a crucial part of protecting immigrants							
21	and helping them flourish. I thank you and I look							
22	forward to hearing from the administration and the							
23	advocates.							

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Dromm, and always a honor and privilege to be

2	working with you, not just as the chair, but as a							
3	colleague and a New Yorker upon all these issues, and							
4	the history that we just heard is part of what I							
5	think is going to keep driving us to keep moving							
6	forward. And we're not done. We have another							
7	introduction, number 1836, sponsored by Council							
8	Member Moya in relation to replacing the term alien							
9	with noncitizen and addressing other matters found to							
10	be obsolete. I will give an opportunity for Council							
11	Member Moya to speak on his bill later today. The							
12	other two bills before the committee, sponsored by							
13	myself, seek to codify the values I discussed by							
14	ensuring that the city's policy always consider their							
15	impact on immigrant New Yorkers and they are also the							
16	product of the committee's ongoing oversight.							
17	Introduction number 1835 would expand the interagency							
18	Task Force on Immigrant Affairs by creating a							
19	speaker-appointed cochair to the task force and							
20	placing a minimal quarterly meeting requirements. We							
21	have another preconsidered introduction that would							
22	expand the annul reporting requirements of the							
23	Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, and both of							
24	these bills are examples of how we need to perfect							
25	our approach to policy making with respect to							

2 immigrant New Yorkers. In 2017 I sponsored a bill to 3 create an interagency task force led by the Mayor's 4 Office of Immigrant Affairs. The idea was simple. If every agency's work touches the lives of immigrants in some way then there should be a 6 7 deliberate coordination between city agencies to ensure every New Yorker's assistance. Over the 8 course of the session the committee has made multiple requests for information about the task force, with 10 11 great difficulty. Introduction 1835 would expand the existing task force to ensure this information is 12 13 easily available and transparent to all. The same 14 principle was behind mandating an annual report from 15 the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, and as a city and entity most dedicated to thinking about how 16 17 our policies affect immigrant New Yorkers, we the 18 people should know what programs the office is 19 implementing and how it is addressing challenges identified. This information benefits more than the 20 21 aims of the council's oversight. It is critical information for the many organizations serving 2.2 2.3 immigrants across the city. In June of 2019 the committee held an oversight hearing on MOOIA's annual 24 25 report to examine the data that informs the programs

and policy decisions made by MOOIA. Through this 2 3 oversight we discovered gaps in the reporting and 4 opportunities to make the presentation of data and 5 the metrics used to evaluate success more transparent. This preconsidered legislation is the 6 7 result. So I look forward to the fruitful 8 conversation we'll be having today with the administration and as we look to this next year I hope that we can continue to work together to 10 11 strengthen our communities for all New Yorkers by 12 making New York City a more welcoming city for all 13 people, regardless of their national origin, 14 language, or creed. I want to thank the incredible 15 work our committee staff have been doing to prepare 16 for this hearing and that include our committee 17 counsel, Arbani Oja, community counsel policy analyst 18 Elizabeth Cronk, committee data analyst Ben Whit, and 19 my staff, chief of staff, Lorena Lucero, and 20 legislative director Cesar Vargas, and my 21 communications director, Tony Chirito. I also want 2.2 to welcome our Brooklyn Council Member, Mathieu 2.3 Eugene. We are going to call up the first panel, and we are calling up the commissioner, Bitta Mostofi, 24 and she walks up I want to say thank you to her and 25

_								
2	her team. We've been doing a lot of good work and we							
3	continue to do this work in the name of our immigrant							
4	communities and I can't wait to continue, not just							
5	this discussion, but the many discussions that are							
6	ahead of us as we confront so much. I also want to							
7	acknowledge and I've been watching you on Twitter and							
8	just kind of following the incredible work that							
9	you're, you're doing out of just that personal momen							
10	and connection to Iran, and your heritage, and so I							
11	just want to know that, I want you to know that we're							
12	with you and the community here and we're in this							
13	together. Thank you.							
14	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you for, for							
15	that. Thank you so much.							
16	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And we're going to							
17	swear you in before you begin officially.							
18	COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand.							
19	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and							
20	nothing but the truth in your testimony before this							
21	committee, and to respond honestly to council member							
22	questions?							

23 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I do.

COUNSEL: Thank you.

24

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you. you to Chair Menchaca and members of the committee, Council Member Dromm. My name is Bitta Mostofi. I'm the commissioner for the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. My testimony today will provide some context about the work that MOOIA engages in day to day to ensure the well-being of immigrant New Yorkers, and I will then turn to the four bills on the agenda for today. I want to thank the chair and the committee members for their partnership in serving New Yorkers, especially over the last few This partnership as well as our partnership with city agencies and with community-based organizations has been crucial in the fight to address the needs of all New Yorkers, regardless of We look forward to continuing to work with status. you in 2020. Before addressing the bills, as I stated, I wanted to speak briefly to the work of the office. MOOIA's role and approach to interagency collaborations has been integral to the city's successes in the area of serving immigrants, even at a time when the federal government is launching attack after attack on immigrant communities. Situated within the Mayor's Office, MOOIA has been

25

2 able to work with our partner agencies to respond 3 quickly and effectively to a host of federal changes, 4 including through multiagency response. As one example, during the family separation crises MOOIA 5 coordinated with myriad agency partners to quickly 6 7 deliver important services to separated children and their families. This has been a theme of our work 8 over the past few years. We've used our bully pulpit and existing infrastructure to efficiently meet the 10 11 needs of the moment, coordinating the response across 12 multiple agencies to swiftly respond to sudden 13 federal policy changes. This includes convening partners around public charge, the travel ban, 14 15 threatened raids, and attacks on DACA and TPS, 16 amongst others. Similarly, we have been able to use 17 our role as a mayoral office to help organize a 18 national coalition of like-minded mayors in cities 19 and counties in our advocacy and education on behalf 20 of immigrant New Yorkers at the federal level. 21 Through this advocacy we've coordinated mayoral signon letters and comments, including a condemnation of 2.2 the Trump administration's efforts to make it harder 2.3 to naturalize. We collaborated in the development of 24

multicity amici briefs, including for the DACA case

currently before the Supreme Court. Turning to our 2 3 work internally and in conjunction with our partner agencies, MOOIA is best suited to coordinate among 4 5 and influence the various city agencies, offices, and other entities that regularly interact with 6 7 immigrants from within the Mayor's Office. 8 conjunction with the Mayor's Office of Operations, MOOIA monitors and reports on the progress of agencies covered by the city's language access law, 10 11 Local Law 30, something that requires engagement 12 across 35 agencies. We additionally provide language 13 services support for over 15 mayoral offices. 14 Similarly, MOOIA is the office tasked with supporting 15 and reporting on the actions of all city agencies in 16 relation to immigration enforcement requests pursuant 17 to Local Law 228. MOOIA is best suited to coordinate 18 among and influence the various agencies, offices, 19 and others that regularly interact with immigrants 20 from within the Mayor's Office with the support of 21 City Hall. The interagency Immigrant Task Force has served an important role in cultivating the expertise 2.2 2.3 and best practices of our agencies in serving New Yorkers, providing notice of key federal policy 24 updates and changes, and identifying key ways to 25

25

2 build on work to better service immigrant 3 communities. Eleven members of the task force are 4 mandated to be present, but we didn't think that was So we invited nine additional agencies. enough. task force has served as a way for MOOIA and agencies 6 7 to share programmatic updates, like the launch of NYC Care and IDNYC renewals, and CCHRR'S recent published 8 enforcement quidance about discrimination based on immigration states and national origin. At task 10 11 force meetings agencies learn from each other's 12 practices. During a task force meeting last year 13 agencies discussed what they were doing in response 14 to impending raids. At another task force meeting 15 the Department of Consumer and Worker Protection 16 shared best practices around engaging in immigrant 17 communities. The task force is one of several ways 18 that we work with agencies to ensure the city is 19 serving the needs of immigrant communities. 20 force, however, is not the only way, nor should it 21 be, that MOOIA engages agencies. Because the 2.2 agencies involved in the task force range from 2.3 smaller offices to larger social service departments, a one-size approach to immigrant inclusion in every 24

situation would be inappropriate and ineffective.

25

Instead, we work with agencies outside of the task 2 3 force to improve access to services and address 4 immigrant needs in ways most conductive to advancing those goals. For example, through language access 5 work we convene agency language access coordinators 6 7 on Local Law 30, develop and distribute guidance 8 materials to agencies, and meet with agencies one-onone with agencies to discuss implementation and offer our technical assistance. Much of this work requires 10 11 working closely with the individual agency and 12 adapting to the best way of accomplishing the shared goals with them. As another examination in our 13 14 partnership with New York City Emergency Management, 15 which is one of the agencies we've invited to join 16 the task force, it is more efficient for us to engage 17 with them one-on-one in situations when we assist 18 with providing language access support instead of 19 using the task force meeting for that purpose. 20 MOOIA's approach to interagency work recognizes the 21 subject matter expertise of our partners and builds 2.2 on that expertise to expand access to immigrant New 2.3 Yorkers. One example of that approach and it's effectiveness can be seen in the work we're doing 24 with NYC Care. Instead of MOOIA creating and running

25

2 a healthcare program for immigrants, we're working 3 with New York City Health and Hospitals, which has 4 both the infrastructure and expertise to implement such a program, while providing specific areas of 5 support on understanding the essential issues in 6 7 serving health needs of immigrant communities and 8 coordinating outreach for that program to ensure we're effectively reaching immigrant communities. We have taken a similar track when working with DCWP, 10 11 the Department for Consumer and Worker Protection. 12 DCWP has the expertise in workers' issues, so MOOIA 13 partnered with them to develop multilingual immigrant 14 worker rights information, sharing our expertise of 15 the innate challenges faced by immigrants. 16 such we've discussed previously and as this committee 17 knows the city's public charge work is fundamentally 18 a collaborative effort across many agencies. Nearly 19 40% of our city's population is foreign-born. 20 inclusion of their families takes you 60%. The work 21 of entire city must and should consider their unique needs and situations, instead of being siloed in one 2.2 2.3 This work should be centered in a department. mayoral office that can work across city government, 24

leverage city resources, and identify opportunities

2	for partnership. IDNYC, for example, uses DSS HRA							
3	human services resources support, IT support, legal							
4	department, and space, which allowed the city to							
5	build out a program the size of IDNYC. Additionally,							
6	programs that are meant to be cross-cutting and serve							
7	myriad populations are operationally best situated							
8	within other agencies. This helps remove any stigma							
9	around seeking services, while ensuring that an							
10	immigrant-focused lens can be applied through							
11	partnership with our office. As one example, we're							
12	working to incorporate ActionNYC into the existing							
13	civil legal services infrastructure that lives in DSS							
14	HRA's Office of Civil Justice, while maintaining our							
15	role in helping to set the administration's policy							
16	and programmatic goals for better serving immigrant							
17	New Yorkers. This will consolidate all legal							
18	services into DSS HRA and as a result increase							
19	transparency and efficiency. I will now turn to the							
20	four bills on the agenda today. MOOIA strongly							
21	supports the proposal to remove the offensive and							
22	dehumanizing term alien from city administrative code							
23	wherever possible. MOOIA has been working on a							
24	similar proposal alongside the City Commission on							
25	Human Rights and the law department, and we're							

2 thrilled to see this introduced by the council. 3 terms of Intro 1836, we have some technical edits 4 that we can share, as well as additional provisions where we believe language ought to be changed in the human rights law. In our work with CCHR and the law 6 7 department, we've identified some state law issues. We are nevertheless certain that we share the same 8 goal here and look forward to continuing discussions about this bill with the council. MOOIA is also 10 11 grateful to be able to work with the council and the 12 chair in particular on continuing to build on the 13 just two-year-old annual report. As I testified last summer, the annual report has been used by both 14 15 advocates and by other community members who are eager to see the data we provided about immigrant New 16 17 Yorkers and our programs. We're particularly proud 18 of the role MOOIA plays in partnership with New York 19 City Office for Economic Opportunity and national 20 researches, such as the Center for Migration Studies 21 and using American community survey data to estimate 2.2 the city's various immigrant groups, including the 2.3 undocumented population. This data has been a crucial source for stakeholders in the city, 24 including the media, and understanding our 25

We're happy to continue the discussions 2 communities. 3 started last summer about the information in MOOIA 4 should include in the report, and as you are no doubt aware we're currently drafting the annual report for 2019 and incorporating some of the feedback that we 6 7 received from the council in the summer. Many of the provisions outlined in the bill coincide with that 8 feedback and we're interested in working with the council to assess what additional metrics and data we 10 11 can report on and we look forward to those discussions. MOOIA appreciate council's interest in 12 13 the Interagency Immigrant Task Force as well. 14 However, the city has concerns about the proposal 15 outlined in Intro 1835. The task force, as created 16 by the council in 2017, is a city task force led by 17 MOOIA, an office of the mayor, and as mentioned 18 earlier has been working effectively. 19 concerned how a cochaired task force would operate 20 and how that would impact the task force's important work. We would like to work with the council to find 21 ways to keep council better informed and better 2.2 2.3 involved in the work of the task force while maintaining its effective structure and role within 24 the administration. MOOIA looks forward to further 25

discussions with the council on the intent and 2 3 proposals for Intro 1835. And finally, in regard to 4 Intro 1636, we deeply appreciate the goals of this bill to ensure not just the recognition of the importance of the work of MOOIA for our city, but 6 also the ability for it to be showcased properly. 7 8 This is why we were happy to work with the council on the changes to MOOIA's mandate made in 2017. However, we do have serious concerns about 1636 as 10 11 written. MOOIA was created as a mayoral office by referendum in 2001. Since then it has served the 12 13 nearly 40% of New Yorkers who are immigrants and 60% 14 their children. I strongly believe that immigrant 15 inclusion and integration is the responsibility of the entire city, not just one agency. The model that 16 17 we have found to be the most effective is having 18 MOOIA consult with myriad city agencies to make sure 19 that serving immigrants is a major aspect of their 20 This way we can influence, improve, and work. 21 leverage existing infrastructures without 2.2 inefficiently recreating programs or structures that 2.3 exist elsewhere in the administration. concur with the need for resources and services to 24 25 best serve immigrant New Yorkers, we do not believe

25

2 that it makes sense to spend resources to build out 3 the necessary infrastructure for our department when 4 we can utilize existing resources elsewhere. Our current agency partners take very seriously the role of ensuring that all New Yorkers can access their 6 7 services and many agencies do have immigrant-specific bureaus. As examples, DSS HRA has an office of 8 Immigrant and Refugee Affairs and similarly ACS has an Office of Immigrant Services and Language Affairs. 10 11 Both of these offices are crucial partners in 12 ensuring access to services for immigrant New 13 Yorkers, with the specific mission of ensuring that the programs overseen by those agencies are 14 15 incorporating the needs of immigrants. We do believe 16 the additional institutionalization and formalization of MOOIA's rule could be helpful. For example, the 17 18 charter includes language about MOOIA's role in 19 enhancing access to benefits, but does not include 20 language about empower immigrants with information 21 about their rights. This is work that MOOIA does and 2.2 which fits into our shared goals of empowerment and 2.3 civic engagement. Similarly, MOOIA conducts qualitative and quantitative research alongside the 24

Mayor's Office of Economic Opportunity and the

25

with you.

Department of City Planning, and has published 2 3 several fact sheets about the impact of certain 4 policy and legal changes in New York City immigrant communities. Working alongside our partner agencies to improve our understanding of immigrant New 6 7 Yorkers, the trends we see, their needs, and the 8 impacts of their immigrant status or English proficiency on reaching their fullest potential has been critical to our work. Finally, given the nature 10 11 of immigration and the degree to which it has 12 relevance and import at the federal level, being 13 within the Mayor's Office has further enlightened us 14 to the advantage of speaking from City Hall. It is 15 without a doubt a critical means by which we have 16 been able to wield the power of the administration 17 both internally and externally in a time when it is 18 necessary to engage in nimble and swift action to 19 fight for our values alongside immigrant New Yorkers. 20 I look forward to continuing our discussion of this 21 proposal with the council. Thank you for the 2.2 opportunity to testify about these bills, and I look 2.3 forward to the additional conversations. I'm happy to take any questions and look forward to working 24

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, thank you, Commissioner, for your testimony and for uplifting the work that we're doing together. know the bills really kind of focus on some of the, the kind of administrative relationship and, but it's an important discussion, and I just want to go through the bills really quick, just to understand that essentially the concerns, the kind of greater concerns, are coming with Intro 1636 that are asking for an agency to be created. The 1835, 1844 are here for further discussion, so we can have further discussions. There's no yea or nay, just more discussions about what that means. And then 1836 is a go. You've been working on similar administrative code changes and so we're ready to kind of move forward on that end. With the state issues we'll figure that out as...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, we'll figure out the legal issues, but that's it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, great. Thank you, thank you for that. I'm going to hand it over to Council Member Dromm to really begin the discussion on what will be probably the more difficult discussion in terms of the visions that we

are sharing, and with that I'm going to hand it over to Council Member Dromm.

3

4

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: I don't know how difficult I'll be, but, ah, anyway.

6

5

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: [laughs]

7

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: The discussion is

8

a challenge, yes, and, and it's all yours.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM:

9

Anyway, you know,

10

the purpose in me reading the success that we have

had under this administration as opposed to the

11

12

previous administration was to highlight some of the

13

things that we have done that I think have had such a

14

positive impact on our immigrant communities, and one

15

that I fear if we don't have the current

16

administration we may lose, ah, moving forward with

other administrations to come, especially with what's

17 18

happening in Washington, D.C. at this moment. So I

19

honestly don't understand or get, and it's probably

20

maybe because I'm not in the administration, but how

21

being a department would prohibit you from doing some

22

2.3

of the things that you mentioned in your testimony?

So, for example, you say the model that we have found

24

most effective is having MOOIA consult with the

25

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{myriad}}$ agencies to make sure that serving immigrants

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is a major aspect of their work. It still would be possible, wouldn't it, for a department to work with those agencies?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: For sure. I quess I'd say a couple of things. I'd say, um, it matters, for better or worse, right, externally and internally to be able to convene as a mayoral office, to call on others internally and externally from that position or vantage point, and to be able to use the infrastructure that exists across it to drive the work and, um, I think to your point, which is a sound one, Council Member, about the fact that there's, I mean, you can't compare this administration to the last one as to immigration, right? And that has so much to do with leadership in the council, leadership in the administration, and leadership within this office itself. So like if I actually had to connect the dots on what makes the work grow and what makes it successful, that's where I would point, right? don't, and so then if I'm picking, with that reality or landscape in mind, if I'm saying, OK, if you have those things in place where are you more effective. You know, this has been like a very, I've really tried to grapple with this. I've talked to a lot of

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

people and tried to inform myself, both like 2 3 structurally what does it take to establish a 4 department, how does that shift our work, does it make us more strategic, more advantageous? Does it institutionalize the work in any other real way, and 6 7 I, consistently I met with no, like you are more 8 effective than many departments, right? And that has a lot to do with the commitment and the buy into the work and who the leaders are and less to do with 10 11 where we're situated, and so from my vantage point if that's true where we're situated has been hugely 12 13 critical in driving the work.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: That,

Commissioner, is actually my concern. It is because of the work that you've been able to accomplish and the work of this administration that we've been able to do so much. My fear is that if the next administration has a commissioner for immigration that isn't as committed or is committed in the same way that this administration has been, um, then we will lose the benefit of the work that we have done or already accomplished.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And I haven't understood to be true, but would appreciate like

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

further conversation with you to understand what the difference would be. So from my, what I have seen, there's been cuts in departments, right, for varying reasons or that haven't been prioritized and I have actually seen benefit in being within the Mayor's Office and being able to prioritize or drive issues. So I haven't seen the other side of that coin.

I think in my mind COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: the idea of a department carries more weight than simply a office of, ah, Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. I think that knowing that there's an actual department, um, with our chair, our current chair, he has held budget hearings during the preliminary and I believe during the executive as well. But when I was immigration chair we didn't have that and the reason used for that was because it wasn't a department. And so we constantly had to fight for funding and, and the only reason I think we've gotten to the level of where we have funding now is because of the interaction that we have all had, and that makes me worried that if in fact it's not a department and the priority of the next administration isn't so much on immigrant, immigrants, that then they cut budgets

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

without even the public or the immigration chair
really even knowing move forward.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: So I hear, I hear And I think you hear from, I hope you hear that. from me today a commitment to try and address those concerns or challenges. I think certainly I won't be here with the establishment [laughs] of something like this, so this isn't a personal, ah, what would I It is really substantively, I think we have prefer. the same goal of thinking about how this work lives past all of us, right, in the most effective way. And I have a commitment to ensuring that the transparency is where it needs to be and improving on that and working with you to do that, 'cause I understand that, um, and I think that that's a fair thing to raise. Um, I think, though, to your point of ensuring that the work remains and is institutionalized. I think that was part of the purpose of the bills that we've worked together to pass, right, over the course of the last six years. Um, institutionalizing MOOIA's oversight rule over a language access through legislation, institutionalizing our role over immigration enforcement, right, and how city agencies are

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

responding to those requires through Local Law 228,
creating or carving a role for us to work with the
chief privacy officer as it relates to privacy
considerations, or access to property questions,
expanding the legislative role of the office, so that
actually another agency can't come in and say, no,
there isn't work in that office that deals with
federal or state policies, right? And I think there
are ways to continue to do that. I'm not yet
convinced that we would actually establish the goals
I think we both have if it were a department.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So if another department were to say that's a role that we can't allow you to involve yourself in, that happens?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sorry?

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Even if that would happen within the administration, if there's an issue with let's say Department of Transportation versus DEP, you know, oftentimes there's similar issues, related issues, you know, paving of a road versus a water main break or whatever, those agencies work together even though they're different departments.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Of course. No, I'm not suggesting that. I think I'm saying the

convening power and the influence power and the fact that from my perspective a huge part of the role of an office like this is...

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Is that it comes directly from the mayor?

it's coming from the Mayor's Office and City Hall and we're getting the weeds of other agencies' practices and policies, which I think agencies have, you know, I think we've done, I've been very appreciative to the willingness for folks to allow to play the role that we do, but as you can imagine, it is a little bit of like a big brother looking over your shoulder sometimes and I think it's helpful to be able to have the background of city hall and doing that work.

ways in which the city provides direct services to immigrant New Yorkers is through a large portfolio, social and legal services, as we've been discussing, primarily administrated, but through HRA and DSS. So would the administration of these contracts change if there, um, if they were to be rehomed in the Department of Immigrant Affairs.

25 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It has.

part of your discussion in terms of...

24

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: ... of looking at what might have to happen if in fact a Department of Immigrant Affairs is to be created.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, but I, but I

would reiterate again here what I noted in the testimony, which I don't think is insignificant, is, um, you know, my, and I think your shared kind of year to year goals has been that we are increasing the resources going into our communities and the work itself, and I think that if the necessary resources to actually create the physical infrastructure of a department are not insignificant, um, whereas the way that we work now, or operate now, is we're able to be a little bit more nimble because we're working with agencies to better suit their infrastructures to doing this work, but playing a role in that. think that it's not, it is, it is important and there should be continued work on making sure that agencies are best able to do this work and to do so effectively, and I think from, as I said, from my perspective if I could be, if I'm persuaded strategically that going in the department direction is the way to go I would still be concerned that now you're talking about a lot of new resources to build

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

an infrastructure for a department to happen, instead

of those resource going where I would like them to go

with services and programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: How many staff does MOOIA currently have?

the question and know that this is an area of where we can improve upon in terms of transparency and we have spoken internally and we look forward to being able to sit down with you and try and address this in a better way and be more responsive to these questions. So we're happy to do that with you after this.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And can we talk about how many are on detail versus how many are borrowed from other departments?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: OK. And why do you separate that out? Why are those arrangements made?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Why are they made?

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Right, that you

have some that are on detail and others that are $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

25 borrowed.

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2		COMMISSIONER	MOSTOFI:	Um,	we	don't	have
3	borrowed	staff.					

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: No?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We do not, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: OK. But you do

7 have on detail?

4

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: On detail? I don't think so either.

10 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: They're not [term]
11 that way?

12 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Mmm-umh.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: OK. Well, let's make sure that we discuss that.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, that's why I want to make sure that we're responsive. [laughs]

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Um, so the resourcing of current MOOIA is wholly dependent on the mayor's prioritization of such an office. Should there come a time when the mayor seeks to do prioritize immigrant issues how might those resources be allocated, ah, to the current MOOIA change? So if the mayor were not to, um, fully fund it as it's being funded now, we may even believe it now it's not fully funded, or as much funded as we'd like to see.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

But what would happen to those, to the funding? How would it be separated out? Would it be moved? I mean, can you envision any scenario where that would

5 happen, or what would happen to the programs that are

6 | already in place?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It is hard to, um, envision that, mostly because I think the, as is aid before, I think that the commitment to the program, I mean most of it is programming, right? The vast majority of the resources and the funding are programming from IDNYC to ActionNYC, ah, to We Speak New York, and so those are, are things that we, certainly IDNYC and ActionNYC we feel have longevity and support because people use the programs, right, and they're championed, and that is not just from us, but from you all and community members and others and so I think, ah, and part of the goal of bringing ActionNYC into the, ah, Office of Civil Justice is so that all the immigration legal services contracts live in one place and you can literally see, ah, all the resources that are there and be mindful of sort of what the needs is if they're already [inaudible]. So I don't see what you're forecasting, but I

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

definitely, I'm trying to better understand it and ensure we're protecting against that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well, do you feel it would be correct for me to state that you feel that a Department of Immigrant Affairs wouldn't insulate the concerns of immigrant New Yorkers from future political whims?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I don't see a difference. Um, I haven't, but I am open to continued conversation to ensure that we're not missing anything. I think that the council's passage of the legislation that expands the role of the office and the work mandated for the office to do is critical and important in insuring that, right? I think that we certainly, certainly I feel strongly that we have a shared vision in the work, right, and making sure that it's effective and productive as possible and that includes resources, not just for us but for agencies to do the work, right? So, I think let's continue this conversation and certainly appreciate and value that this is something that we all want to see continue and live on beyond us in the most effective way possible.

2.2

2.3

language access law requires that all city agencies develop and implement language access plans and to thus be responsible for the provision of language access to their constituencies within the framework that's laid out in the law. At the same time, MOOIA is directed to monitor language access provision across agencies. This is an example in which responsibility to serve limited English proficient New Yorkers is shared by individual agencies and by MOOIA. How would this work change of MOOIA were to become a Department of Immigrant Affairs?

interesting one, because we actually share that oversight role with the Mayor's Office of Operations, right, and we have found that to be really important. We bring different skills and expertise to that engagement with agencies and so we see that as a partnership that shouldn't change, because we've seen the value add in having, ah, their, their staff work alongside our staff in doing that oversight work.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: OK. I think that it's for now and I thank you for your time. Thank you very much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Dromm. We've been joined by Council Member Moya, Francisco Moya, from Queens, and I want to, I want to, ah, review some questions and follow-ups from some of the conversation that happened with you and Council Member Dromm. I want to start with some basics. You know, I want to be as open-minded as possible in this discussion because it is transformational in a lot of way, and I hear you speak about the power that you are wielding in terms of the connections and that's compelling. What also is compelling is this concept of transparency and you admitting here in front of us that it's been difficult. The conversation around staff and not being able to answer that here has not just been the first time. This has been a continual conversation where we are met with no information, and these are the kind of things that are difficult for us build policies around and when we work with constituents and organizations those are the kinds of things that make it frustrating, difficult, and really begin to tarnish the brand of true immigrant fighters across the board. They look to us with disappointment and they look to you with disappointment, and the mayor,

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

really the mayor. And so those are the kind of
things that are really at the center and the core of
this discussion and how we move through that with a
longer history of ahead of us where we can really
codify and an agency work the commitment to
immigrants. No one is saying that you're not
committed in the work that you do and I've seen you a
work. The question is not for you, it's really for
the future of the city, and the city has been run by
mayors that are not friendly to the immigrant
community, even with its history that both Council
Member Dromm and I spoke to. And that's the, that's
the work here. So can you define what, what is an
agency to you as the Mayor's Office? Like what, what
is an agency?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I'm sorry, I'm not, what do you mean?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can you define what a department is? What is a department?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I would maybe ask
you to do in converse. I think that the challenge
that I've had in going through this exercise is
trying to better understand the value add, um, in the
creation of a department versus keeping us where we

2 are, but continuing to be thoughtful in the work of 3 the office and its responsibilities and codifying 4 that through legislation or otherwise, right? I don't, I haven't seen the, the value add as being 5 greater than keeping us in, in this situation, and to 6 your point, and I said this myself, it's really not 7 8 about me, because I won't really be here when such a thing is created, and so I certainly have not been looking at it from a personal point of view, but 10 11 rather a strategic one and what I've witnessed in 12 doing the work over the course of the last six years 13 and how the work is done across, you know, the city, um, and the import of actually being able to 14 15 represent the mayor, which you are really doing when 16 you're a mayoral office both within communities, 17 which is important, communities who have never had a 18 mayor's office come and speak to them or care about 19 them or value their point of view or want to ensure 20 that we're serving them and helping build their power to being able to sit in a congressional office from 21 the Mayor's Office and as a spokesperson from City 2.2 2.3 Hall to say this is the position of the City of New York as it relates to immigrant communities and 24 25 immigrant values. That has not been something I've

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taken lightly and it has allowed me to do my work better and I think within the Trump administration it's actually further enshrined the value of it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, and I think,

maybe to your point that we, we're actually, we're doing our best here to define what an agency is in, um, in many ways and so we will do that in the coming days and even through this hearing is to define for you what it means to have an agency and the power of an agency and so maybe the definition and understanding of an agency really comes and can be informed by conflicts that we have had in the past in terms of how we have been at different sides of the conversation, and so maybe before I go into any specifics how does the mayor resolve conflict with agencies right now, and you being in the Mayor's Office, you're kind of in the space where you've seen maybe a potential with, with a department head, the commissioner of the Department of Education, or Department of Homeless Services, or DFTA, etc. How have you seen the mayor make or resolve conflicts?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I have not. I c speak for his approach to, I certainly can't speak for the mayor in this regard or his approach with

2.2

2.3

other agencies. I have witnessed and seen my self treated with equal amounts of respect and, ah, authority as my sister or brother commissioners. I have not by any stretch of the imagination seen my own role, or my role as a commissioner within the Mayor's Office versus a department be diminished. In fact, in some cases I have stronger relationships across [inaudible] and so I don't, in that regard I'm not sure I can be more responsive than what I just said.

example that has been a continued sore spot for all of us in terms of the decision that the mayor has made unilaterally to remove the ability for everyone to have access to legal services that do not include the, ah, the detainer, the detainer law crimes, and this has been, this is kind of the larger conversation about due process. And that's something that the mayor gets to decide and has power over his agencies to kind of impact, and part of what I think, that's an example of where our mayor has kind of gone above and beyond to make a decision that I think has been not necessarily felt across the board, and an example of where a mayor can kind of go above and

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

beyond and make a decision that's unilateral and has
the power to do that. Agencies would then have the
ability to kind of, we can hold them accountable
through the budget process and, and other ways that
would allow for further discussion That's

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: But that's a...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's an example, so I just want to kind of give an example of where we just haven't been connected in terms of values and strategy, and what I think we're saying is in a moment where a mayor decides not to go and move forward in the policy that is being driven by the City Council or by the people that an agency could be more compelled to be able to have transparency and oversight over a question about access to services.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I would disagree entirely with the premise of the question. So, first off, as it relates...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, please.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: As it relates...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Lay that out for

us.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: As it relates to the issue that you raise, let me remind you that

2.2

2.3

2 those contracts are administered by HRA, the Office

3 of Civil Justice, and actually what I articulated was

4 the goal in consolidating all immigrant legal

5 services contracts within that office, um, and so I

6 don't, that, that...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But at the direction of the mayor, the mayor has made that direction.

administered by the Mayor's Office of Immigrant
Affairs, administered by the Department of Social
Services. I don't think, and I hope, that nobody
sees myself or Commissioner Banks as ones who don't,
ah, use our voices and leadership to drive the values
that we have and share, and of course I think that
there's, ah, there's import for that conversation and
discussion, but there is transparency of those
contracts, where they are and what provisions they
hold. I don't see, if your point is aiming at
there's greater ability for a department head to push
back versus the Commissioner of Immigrant Affairs I
would disagree entirely.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. And that's helpful. And, again, that helps us understand how

2.2

2.3

2 the relationship with the Mayor's Office has with

3 city agencies. And maybe there's no power there that

4 a commissioner will have in a department.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So it kind of goes to show that really there is no extra power that a department has if the mayor decides that he wants to carve out access to legal services for people who have certain crime, ah, then that's at his. So that, that's instructive here in this discussion. Have you spoken to other prior commissioners about this question and who have you spoken to?

spoken to my immediate predecessor who shared this point of view, of course, Commissioner Agarwal, um, and I have actually reached out and engaged, to engage with the prior commissioners who are both away at this time, but we will, we will engage and talk about it. I will say the work of this office under this administration has a striking difference, right, from prior administrations and, again, emphasize that that has to do with leadership and who cares about this work and who values and prioritizes it. I have yet to hear an argument that I think is persuasive,

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

- 2 that it changes or shifts depending on whether it's
 3 an office department.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No, it shifts
- 5 | because of the mayor.
- 6 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's, and I
- 8 | think that's the point.
- 9 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Leader, no, no,
- 10 leadership.

1

- 11 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah.
- 12 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I think leadership
- 13 | matters.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Not an agency or
- 15 Mayor's Office, it's a shift that we're trying to
- 16 anticipate in the future...
- 17 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: No, I'm, I'm
- 18 | saying, I'm saying the exact opposite of that.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, so explain, or
- 20 restate your point.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Articulate it,
- 22 | yeah, restate it. I'm saying that I've yet to hear
- 23 an argument that it, the ability to shift
- 24 prioritization or issues changes from the mayoral
- 25 office to department in a way that I think is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

persuasive. So for example the reason that I think that you've seen such success in the work, in this work, is because of the commitment across leadership, um, but that, if we were a department I don't think that would have, we would have grown if we didn't have that commitment, for example. So like say we were a department when we came into office in 2015, um, would that have radically changed, and say not the speaker, not you all, not myself, not the mayor cared about immigration, would we have seen the kind of focus or commitment that we've seen? No. [laughs] So I think the whole package and context is what matters, and I haven't been able to understand, but appreciate continued conversations how this, the mayor change from the office to the department actually changes that equation.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, and I think that the disconnect here is we're not, we're speaking to the context that changes after an administration changes, the City Council's about to shift completely, leadership is going to be different, and what we're trying to do is codify that commitment. And if it takes a few more steps, if it takes a few more pillars that, that need to be constructed...

23 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you,

Chairman. And I might have missed, and I apologize,

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, yeah, yeah.

24

2.2

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

2 ah, for coming in late. But would this allow to have 3 borough commissioners?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Allow you to have borough commissioners?

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Yeah, so if you're going from, you're saying from a office to a department, right? Would this then allow you to, ah, bring in borough commissioners?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I don't know. I'm not sure I'm even fully, you mean like staff that can be representative within each borough? I mean, we have that, right? Not commissioners, I think that's where you threw me a little bit [laughs], but we have, we have staff that are, ah, responsible, if you will, for working across different communities that are immigrant-dense communities and being representative of the needs of those communities, so...

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: You look at, and

I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off. But if you

look at the Department of Transportation and other

departments they have borough commissioners.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 52
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And so that's why
3	I'm asking, if you're shifting would that be what you
4	would also be bringing in?
5	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I'm, I, well, so
6	it's not my bill [laughs] [inaudible] but I, and I
7	certainly have ideas of what I think works and what I
8	think should be continued past this and built upon.
9	I think that's, that is an idea certainly and one
10	that we should look at and consider.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: OK. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thanks.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Do you want to
15	make a statement on your bill?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I'll just make a
17	quick
18	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Please.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I'll read my
20	statement. Ah, thank you, Chair Menchaca and to the
21	Immigration Committee staff. Ah, I introduced this
22	bill because words matter and the language we use, or

conversely to dehumanize and divide. That's why I've 25

used to educate, empower, and unite people, or

23

24

choose to use, has power and consequences. It can be

personally implemented certain community guidelines 2 3 at my public forums, including specifically on social 4 media platforms. I decided no longer to allow comments that characterize human beings as illegals, a legally meaningless term, ah, a piece of hate 6 7 speech that's deployed only to intimidate and 8 otherwise not just undocumented immigrants but often legal residents. It's time for the city to retire another term and that's alien. The word alien 10 11 appears repeatedly in the City Charter and administrative code. This is an outdated and loaded 12 13 term. It's definition is non-citizen, which is a perfectly clear word that doesn't need to hide behind 14 15 a euphemism. It therefore has no business existing in our administrative code or City Charter. 16 bill would replace the term alien with non-citizen 17 18 wherever it refers to non-citizens in the City 19 Charter and administrative code and would prohibit 20 the city from using the term alien, illegal alien, or 21 illegal immigrant in laws, documents, or materials unless referencing a federal law or program. 2.2 2.3 this is, this isn't just about replacing one word with another. It's about treating the individuals, 24 these terms described as full human beings. And with 25

2.2

2.3

2 that I just wanted to thank you, um, all for being

3 here and I would like to encourage you all to support

4 Intro 1636, and now I'll turn it back to Chair

5 Menchaca.

to briefly respond because, Council Member, you weren't here when I spoke. But we are thrilled to see this legislation proposed. We have, it's something that we've actually been working on with the city Human Rights Commission so we hope to expand upon your proposal and looking at other ways, other language to change within the city's human rights law, um, and we look forward to working with you on it. So thank you, thank you.

other bills as well, and I'm glad that there's a lot of alignment there, and I think we're all ready to move forward. So we're looking forward to sitting down and really hammering out the next steps on those pieces of legislation that are presented both by Council Member Moya and Louis. So I might want to just pause and make a statement and move over to the other bills, 1844 and 36, but the, I guess the conversation here, we're, we're at a, we're at a

standstill in some ways about what we're speaking to 2 3 in terms of the real value that we're trying to bring 4 into this conversation with codifying, building an agency that can be held accountable. The history of this, and Council Member Dromm mentioned this, that 6 7 the concept of a budget hearing was new and that came 8 after Melissa Mark-Viverito was elected by her peers as speaker and the mayor came in and it worked. There's, there's no reason why someone, and even now 10 11 the mayor can say we're not going to want to have a 12 budget hearing with all of you because it's, there is 13 a, an argument to be made that there is no agency and therefore there is no need for a hearing. And we'll 14 15 fight back, of course, and that's not where we are 16 right now, but there is reason today for even that to 17 happen, and so an agency just on that alone the 18 budget oversight has been critical in growing those 19 numbers of dollars, sometimes without even getting 20 that request from you. We think that there's more 21 money that needs to happen and because of, and especially in my opening remarks, immigrants who are 2.2 2.3 being impacted, when we think about health care it's an immigrant issue. Housing is an immigrant issue. 24 Transportation is an immigrant issue. That, that we, 25

2	we must build infrastructure to permanent every parts
3	of it, so everything from, from a commissioner that
4	can be held accountable by the charter to bring
5	through a budget to be reviewed transparently is, is
6	a positive thing. The borough concepts of offices in
7	every borough, and every borough is different in
8	terms of what populations exist. Those are all the
9	kind of things that even now when we ask about staff,
10	we don't even get that from you. Those are the
11	things that are not just frustrating, but I think are
12	super concerning and flags that could be solved by an
13	agency. And so while there may be risks that you are
14	presenting that I think are compelling, the ultimate
15	goal here is to codify our commitment to our
16	immigrant community. That is not a side dish. This
17	is the main course for the city as it moves forward
18	in possible dark economic times and it is immigrants
19	who are going to take us through that. And so that,
20	those are the kind of things that are, I think, part
21	of our conversations internally and why we support
22	this discussion and why we're going to be very
23	forceful as we move forward to get those questions
24	answered as we make a final decision.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Good.

3 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I don't think
4 we're a side dish. This is the only comment I have.

5 But go ahead. [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No, well, not you, but immigrants and how we can really make sure that we bring it to core of every discussion and we think this is a way to go. Now, Intro 1844. So as I mentioned in the statement, my opening statement, the purpose of MOOIA's annual report is to provide clear snapshots of the immigrant population that calls the city home. To identify the barriers that they face and to demonstrate a clear data-informed approach to programmatic initiatives that tackle the barriers identified. One goal of this legislation is to codify a connection between the data reported and the annual report and the programmatic work conducted by MOOIA, alone and in partnership, lone and partnership. So here's an illustration of MOOIA's annual report from calender year 2018. On pages 12 to 13 there's a data, there's data on the LEP foreign-born population and the languages that they speak. On pages 23 to 24 there is an analysis of the linguistic isolation among children living in mixed-

status families. This clearly identifies literacy 2 3 issues and barriers and that is an important first 4 step. What the report does not make clear, however, is which MOOIA's programs address these specific issues and barriers. The report includes a section 6 7 on We Speak NYC, which states that in English 8 language learning, ELL program, but the program data included the classes organized, students engaged, and volunteers trained. It does not address the issues 10 11 and barriers identified in a meaningful way or measurable way. For adult literacy classes it would 12 13 be more helpful to know how many adults signed up for those classes, attended those classes, completed 14 15 those classes, signed up for additional classes, any 16 skill level gains, educational attainment, and 17 employment outcomes. That way success or failure 18 could be measured. And I realize that the failure 19 can be scary to admit. But for the sake of our 20 accessibility to taxpayers it is our responsibility 21 to know if a program is not working. The data will 2.2 help us see if we see, ah, if we need to dedicate 2.3 existing resources elsewhere. Lastly, it would be helpful to see recommendations based on those 24 The recommendations section of the last 25

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	annual report does not have any recommendations
3	related to literacy. That said, I'll start with
4	these two questions. How does the bill ensure that
5	needs of immigrant New Yorkers are accurately
6	described? And then, two, how does the bill ensure
7	that the important work MOOIA conducts is
8	contextualized within the landscape that I just
9	described?

10 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: The bill or the 11 report?

12 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: The report.

13 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um...

14 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: The report, yeah.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: So, sorry, give me

the first question again?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So the language that ensures that the need of immigrant New Yorkers are accurately described. So I kind of walked you through the, the kind of discrepancy and gap of understanding that could lead to, ah, another space of adult literacy, like we just, we, we have different ideas about adult literacy and how we go there. Data that I'm describing does not exist, could actually help us solve some of the policy

2.2

2.3

issues around adult literacy where we can really kind of move further. [nycal] comes every year with new ideas and how we do that. But we're still kind of stuck in this discussion about adult literacy. How much adult literacy need do we have in the city and the kind of particular communities that are impacted? Those are things that we would like to see in the annual report, things that MOOIA is working on, and so that's, that's the kind of questions that, that this bill particularly is, is speaking to.

that example I'll say a couple of things. First off, as it relates to the report, and you noted this in your introduction, the bill that passed to create the report only had the first report be in 2017, right? We're talking about a report that's less than two years old, and we're talking about data that was presented in that report that actually was never previously even published, let alone something that was utilized, um, across sort of city government and otherwise. And so I think I first want to start by stating how important I think that that is, that it exists, that there be a report that's dedicated to looking at that, but also commending the teams that

25

2 have made it happen. I, I don't, I feel sometimes 3 that there is a lack of understanding or appreciation 4 for just how much, ah, hard work, innovation, and creativity is done not just by my team, but my team 5 working in conjunction with the Mayor's Office for 6 7 Economic Opportunity, ah, to publish a methodology 8 that looked at even how you get to, ah, undocumented population and then leverage that methodology to provide all of the statistical data that we put in 10 11 that report. We actually had none of this 12 infrastructure, none of this staff, none of this work 13 that existed in the office before two years ago and I think, I just want to emphasize I appreciate and 14 15 always emphasize with every, across my team and with 16 others that we're constantly iterating and building 17 on the work, but just how great an accomplishment 18 that is in so short a period of time, um, and it's been iterated on, I mean, there's just two reports, 19 20 right, and we had the very first conversation with you all even about the report just this summer, much 21 2.2 of that feedback being incorporated in the report 2.3 that we're going to do for the next year, and I think wanting to be realistic about what is the tool that 24 we're creating through this report whereas what

25

exists elsewhere and being mindful and thoughtful 2 3 about not, you know, creating new wheels or 4 duplicating efforts. We've had lots of conversations, for example, about literacy, right? 5 And we took many, many, many steps over a very long 6 period of time to ensure that there was actually an 7 office in the administration that was focused on 8 literacy more broadly and that's the Office for Workforce Development, who has within its scope a 10 11 purview, and we work closely with them now, but in 12 the last year, right, looking at literacy, 13 understanding the questions that you have presented, right, it is part of what they're doing, um, and we, 14 15 ah, felt very strongly that that, when you look at 16 limited English proficient New Yorkers that that's 17 not just immigrant New Yorkers, actually, right? 18 but also that it's important that the, that there be, 19 and we agree, right? That there be like an office 20 that's actually looking at and responsible for 21 literacy programming across the administration. 2.2 from everything from the Department of Education to 2.3 the Department for Youth and Community Development to our work with We Speak, etc., um, in an umbrella of 24

better understanding, and we've talked about we're

2 working with CUNY on a broader report to understand 3 that spectrum of service across agencies, um, and to 4 better evaluate it. That is different than looking at We Speak, right, alone, or looking at the successes or failures of that program alone. 6 7 work should happen and we should be a part of it, and 8 we should be mindful of it, and refer to it, right? But it's not what we have reported on in the report when it relates to that specific program, and we have 10 11 evaluated the We Speak program. I think, as you 12 know, less than two years ago we presented to you and 13 to your team and the council staff, that evaluation, 14 so, because it helps inform the work that we do with 15 that program, and we took from that evaluation some learnings of what was working and what wasn't 16 17 [laughs] and we from that built out, ah, a web 18 infrastructure that we built upon even further this 19 year to give people tools that they can use at home. 20 So they're not dependent upon coming to a class, 21 because we have learned that many of our communities 2.2 work and it's actually hard for them to make the 2.3 classes, and we need to be more mindful of how learning is happening in the broader education field 24 and that's often actually online. So that's where 25

2.2

2.3

we've been focused. And so I think there are many, many, many ways to do the work that you're describing and we take very seriously, um, the import of making sure that there is the right entity or agency that's looking at the umbrella view and understanding what our role is within that and can speak further with you about how to either reference that work or be cognizant of it through the report where there's value to it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And, and we see that value. And, and I want to just reiterate that I, when I think about the accomplishments in the work that you are connected to the report is one of them and we appreciate that. We are, all we're trying to do is make the report better and that includes just data and information and transparency, and so this is where, this is where it's coming from in terms of how we make that. And so we have sat down and we're going to continue to sit down, and that's why these bills I think really require a kind of programmatic reporting to use industry-standard metrics. I think that's the, the other piece that we have spoken to, that, that, allow, allow, especially in the nonprofit sector to kind of look at this information with us

21

22

23

24

25

2	and examine that. That's our job, the oversight job,
3	and, and we want that to be made available. And we
4	know that this is a pioneering work. This is your
5	second. You've had two annual reports and, and we
6	know that this is the beginning of kind of evolution
7	of this work and so we, we appreciate that, too.
8	This was a legal mandate by the City Council to kind
9	of do that, which kind of shows where, where we're at
10	and making sure that there's legal framework. MOOIA
11	offers a range of programs from legal services and
12	referrals through ActionNYC to language access. And
13	can you talk a little bit about, a bit about those
14	metrics that are currently used to measure program
15	success and how closer are you getting to the
16	industry-standard metrics?
17	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I mean, I think
18	this is a question that we have for you and the

staff. What do you mean when you say industry-20 standard metrics? That, certainly we don't think we're not....

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: There's, there's a...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure, but I think we should have this conversation. I think that there

2 are, from our perspective, certainly there are 3 4 6 7 8 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

different ways of looking at how you approach evaluation and not, and we would define or think that they fall under the definition of industry-standard metrics, and so I think it's just making sure that we're aligned. By way of example, we didn't think the way we cited to our methodology was wrong, but you would like to see it cited to in a different way. So we can do that. That's not a big deal. think we should sit down and have that conversation to make sure we are at least clear that we are talking about the same thing and then make decisions from there.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. And, look, I'm, I'm not a researcher, so I, I don't know, but I know that what we have been briefed on and, and made aware of that there, there are industry-standard metrics that can be helpful in actually trying to figure out how to build policy, new legislation, and solve the literacy piece, the legal standards piece, mixed-status families, and how they engage education. So I'm, and I'm relying on our team to help inform me and the broader community of advocates that are with us often, and they're the ones that build our

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Τ	57
2	legislative agenda in so many ways. How many of the
3	additional provisions in this legislation, the 1844,
4	are wholly new or onerous to the established ways in
5	which MOOIA internally tracks information and/or
6	prepares data for the annual report? We, we kind of
7	outlined that in 1844 about what kind of things that
8	we want and how many of those things are you feeling
9	like just go kind of above and beyond and are onerous
10	in any way?
11	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I mean, I'd like
12	to get back to you on that one and go back and look,
13	um
14	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.
15	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And sort of see

what feels like excessive and maybe not as useful or helpful as other things.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What about data that you're not collecting right now that, that will be, well, I mean, if you can speak to that, if there's anything that kind of pops out about stuff that you're not collecting right now that we're asking you to collect?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Stuff that we're not collecting that you're asking us to collect?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Correct.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Nothing comes to my mind at the moment. Um, I don't know if you're speaking to a specific example that you have that I don't.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Ah, if there are I'll get them to you. Um, let's, let's move to 1835. 1835, can you describe the administration's goals and visions for the interagency task force, um, you did that a lot actually in the report. The work that we're asking is for more transparency. Some of the stuff that we ask on, in interagency, is not a micromanaging kind of request. It's really to start to build out agendas that are understood and allow for voice. That is different. Interagency conversations are going to be happening whether or not we ask you to do it or not. That's just, it's a natural thing for the administration to kind of speak through these things. We're asking for something very different. And the vision for the interagency conversation and our now our request to have a cochair to really develop an agenda that speaks to the stuff that we think are important, maybe the gaps that are coming out of public hearings can be co-generated by a

2.2

2.3

cochair. Ah, council-appointed cochair. And how
does that shift, and is that a, is that a, is that a
departure from what you understand interagency task

5 force to be?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I mean, the only thing I heard you say that was specific was having a cochair. But what do you mean?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Cochair with, with very specific roles and responsibilities, setting agenda, really kind of being present and, and having discussion among the multiple areas.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: But that doesn't speak to your goals for the task force. So if you could be more specific or clearer in what you mean there.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, it's about transparency, one. Ah, two, a kind of timely periodic meeting and that it happens and that, that we can kind of be part of that, discussions that might be coming out of those, um, or ah, policy conversations that come out of this might lead to legislation or, or budget, or budget impacts. And so for us we think about this as, as the value of what the interagency task force could have been but is not

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 today. And this is part of the, the kind of drive to
3 change the way that the interagency task force works.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. So I'll say a couple of things there. I think, um, first I'll start with the work that we've done and sort of the way that we see the work of the task force moving. Um, I'd say first off as it relates to frequency I don't, again, that this is less than two year old task force, um, that it actually didn't take effect until late 2018 and actually in 2018 we met two times, even though it didn't go into effect until later, and last year we met three times, and I think we've actually stated that we have the goal of meeting quarterly, but want to be conscientious of the fact that we work very closely with many, many, many of the agencies within the task force separately, and that's part of actually what happened last year. We work so much with so many of those agencies on public charge that the frequency of the meeting, we thought three was sufficient, in terms of driving some of the agenda that we had across interagency work. So I don't actually think we have a difference of opinion in terms of the frequency. do actually think there should be flexibility to what

25

office with dedicated staff that's actually

25

would bring to the table without us even being there,

-	
2	right? Ah, what tools do we need to create so that
3	they can go there first and not necessarily have to
4	come to us? So we created a portal where we put best
5	practices, or [inaudible] plan, things that people
6	can look at and have looked at or used, um, by way of
7	their, their own work and not having to come to us.
8	Um, we know that something that agencies haven't done
9	necessarily a lot of, for example, is, ah, um,
10	outreach or engagement with communities in a very
11	intentional way. So we've focused on bringing in
12	agencies to share best practices about how they do
13	that work, um, with other agencies, um, and we've
14	heard really tremendous things from agencies and what
15	they get out of those meetings, right? And most of
16	the work is not in the meeting. It is in the
17	aftermath of the meeting, um, as I often say to my
18	team, the work is not in the meeting, have less
19	meetings, do more work, right?
20	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, who sets the
21	agenda for those task force meetings?
22	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We take feedback,
23	we take feedback from the agencies.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: How does that work? Help me understand the mechanism.

24

25

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI:

: So, um, we, one,

3 every task force meeting we remind the task force

4 that we want to hear from them, um, what they need,

5 what would be helpful, what information could we

6 share, what should we present on. We've had, um...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Email, or phone

8 | call, or?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Orally and an email, ah, sorry, orally in person and an email. and we have gotten requests from task force members to have, to come present on something to the task force, right, so we'll make space and room for that, um, and we have, um, centered as one of our goals, of course, because of the nature of, um, our work that we will present on policy, federal policy updates, or areas that we want them to be mindful of and as sort of a flag that we're coming to you to work on these So we find it very useful and constructive issues. and positive. I think we're certainly open, as I said previously, I think I said, to, you know, what is the role that the council could play. But I feel strongly that we're, as you said, two years in and part of this is building the muscle of agencies to ask dumb questions, right? To feel like in a safe

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

space with their colleagues to be able to say, oh,
you do that? Or, oh, I don't have that contract,
right? Do I need that contract, right? And have the
bigger, the robust conversation. And I really,
really, really think that's important. I equally
think it's important for you to be able to, you know,
hear from agencies. I hope that's happening, and I
imagine it is, outside of a task force, but we can
certainly talk about informing agenda or coming to
present to a meeting or what might be the appropriate
role.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, let's talk about that, too, because some of what we're thinking about in terms of the agendas are if those agendas are made public in any way or viewable by representatives of the administration or counsel prior to the meetings.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: They're not. That's something we can talk about.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Are minutes of the meeting taken?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: There are, yep.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And are they

25 | shared?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: They're shared with all of the agencies.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So that's internal documents, not external documents.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I mean, we report on what the task force did, obviously, in the annual report, um, and so, you know, we can have further conversations about, about that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And has the task force worked on any of the MOOIA recommendations listed in the 2018 calendar year annual report?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I think I'd have

to go back and look at that to be responsive.

annual report states that MOOIA hosted two meetings with the interagency, you just mentioned that, and that the discussions were focused on the purpose of the task force, ah, 2020 census, the barriers to LGBTQIA+ immigrant, ah, immigrants and the barriers that they face, and updates on federal and state developments. Can you tell us money about the barriers the LGBTQIA+ immigrants face that were discussed and whether these discussions led to any changes in policies or strategies and budget needs

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 that regard any of the city agencies and the task 3 force?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. I'd have to go back in terms of the, the timelines, honestly, just because I didn't look at this before this meeting, so, um, I can speak for what I have by kind of my memory and understanding of these things. we presented on these issues. We brought in obviously our city experts that work on these issues who are not a regular part of the task force, um, ah, to come and to share and to talk about the work of the administration as a whole, but also how agencies need to look and approach the way that they, the needs of, of LGBTQI communities and we have as a part of those conversations, ah, we provided, um, one-time funding to a coalition of groups led by the Anti-Violence Project to develop trainings for, um, ah, legal services providers on serving, better serving LGBTQI communities.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Is this like through ActionNYC?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um-hm.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And, um, so that
3	was one outcome and there was recent, recent
4	trainings, um, that have happened in that regard and
5	certainly that's not just informed by the task force,
6	but from meeting with those groups as well.
7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome, that's a
8	great example and I want to follow up with, with
9	that.
10	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.
11	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Do the task force
12	meet in, at, at the, in a, at least quarterly in
13	2019?
14	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We met three
15	times.
16	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Three times.
17	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And I said, as I
18	said
19	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Oh, that was, that
20	was just for 2019, not for 2018 and 2019?
21	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: No.
22	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. So three
23	times in 2019. Thank you for clarifying that. And
24	what were the topics of those meetings in 2019?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, I have some of
them. Some of the topics included public charge,
which as I said, had many, many more meetings outside
of the task force, which is part of the reason we
didn't reconvene for a fourth. Um, immigration
enforcement, um, more broadly border updates, DACA
and TBS proposals. Those are some of the, um, ah,
and, and, sorry, rule changes and fee waiver
increases. Those are some of the federal policy
updates that we talked in more great length about
within the task force. Um, program updates, we
shared NYC Care, which was a really important sharing
and has actually since resulted in a lot of good
cross-agency collaboration. Um, IDNYC renewals, um,
coming up and activating, ah, agencies to be a part
of that effort. Some best practices, I talked about
conducting outreach and engaging with communities,
additionally the executive order mandating, which
we're really happy about, um, the agencies utilize
community and ethnic media in doing their marketing
efforts.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome. Super robust. And at the end I'm going to ask you, before

2 you leave, to let everybody know about the renewal and that they should renew.

3

4

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.

5

6

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So we'll come back That's going to be your kind of closing for that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Because I think

7

moment.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

9

8

it's an important PSA to include here. Historically,

11

10

MOOIA was established within the Department of City

12 13 Planning and given this context and connection should the Department of City Planning be included as a task

14

force member? Now, I have a personal connection to

15

this question because of what's happening in Sunset

16 17 Park. I don't know if you've heard or read about something called Industry City in Sunset Park and the

18

impact it's having on our communities, but from your

19

point of view should DCP be included?

20

21

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We have invited DCP to join. They're one of the additional agencies

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome. Thank

2.3 24

you for that.

that we invited to join.

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

_	
2	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I'd like to
3	talk to you more about, about that impact, including
4	the kind of displacement questions that immigrants
5	are having and, and where MOOIA can play an integral
6	role in that. Ah, you mentioned in your testimony
7	that you've added nine additional agencies and you
8	just kind of spoke to DCP. What other agencies are
9	you expanding to?
LO	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We've included
L1	CCHR, DCWP, DCP, um, NDGVB, HPD, um, NYCM, so
L2	emergency management, NYCHA, SBS, um, and TLC.
L3	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. Is that all
L4	of them? Are there some, what's the list, it sounds
L5	like maybe the list is shorter on the not invited
L6	list.
L7	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah [laughs].
L8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [laughs]
L9	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It's shorter on
20	the non-invited list, that's true.
21	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: All right, all
22	right. Look, clearly we
23	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: [laughs]

[inaudible] DOI.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Why not? Ah, why not? We, we're really concerned about transparency here. And a lot of the questions are really just trying to extract understanding of what's happening and our role in oversight really kind of demands information. And that's why we're here. accountability, who's accountable for all this? for us that's, that's what's driving. Both the questions are on the department and, and the task force, and a cochair that can drive an agenda, that can be made public. And I think our community right now really wants to see, and I think depends on that kind of connection and transparency and understanding. One, so they can feel connected to it, but also maybe potentially shape that agenda, and I'm not saying that we're not dedicated to the immigration community, but, but our continual return to the community isn't just in presence, it's also giving space. And I think that's what's driving a lot of the questions, and so I really appreciate that, that, ah, kind of communication, discussion, and dialogue that we're having right now, and we're going to continue to talk about the bills as well. So, I'm done with my questions, and if you can tell

2.

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

us all in New York City who are listening right now

3 that we have renewals for IDNYC, I can't believe

we're finally here. Mine expires in a few weeks.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah [inaudible]

6 expired [inaudible]. [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [laughs] And we need them renewed. So tell us a little about that and how important that is.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. So we're really excited, um, and have shared some exciting We'll continue to share exciting news about renewal, but, um, this is our first ever renewal, as you said, pioneering is something we do on a daily basis, renewal period, and that means that if you've had your IDNYC since 2015 you might be coming up for renewal sometime soon. So if your card is going to expire in 60 days or less, um, you're in that sweet spot window to start looking at renewal and you can do so in two ways. You can go online, which we've tried to make as easy as possible for folks and we're continue to iterate based on experiences that we're hearing and in person, um, at any of our enrollment centers if that's what you prefer. So, um, we really, and it's free, and we had a whole new slate

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2	of benefit partners that we're excited about. We're
3	excited to talk about even more to come with the
4	program, um, soon and we encourage everybody to
5	renew. No need to wait. And the new card design is
6	really beautiful.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: It is beautiful, absolutely. Ah, how many in here have your IDNYC, raise your hand, in the room? Beautiful, there's some non IDNYC holders. This is an opportunity for you to renew, or to get your first ID. And the second question is how many who have had it have renewed already? OK. Right, all right.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I mean, how many, nobody's card has expired.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No, I know, but we, we're in renewals right now, right?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes, but nobody's card has expired.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No one's expired, but everybody can renew.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And you can renew up to six months after your card expires.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 8
2	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: So we're making
3	this as easy as possible.
4	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, good point.
5	[laughs]
6	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: [laughs]
7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: All right, good,
8	and important. Thank you. Awesome. Well, thank
9	you, Commissioner, to you and your team.
LO	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you.
L1	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And let's keep
L2	doing the good work.
L3	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: OK. Thank you.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Happy
L5	New Year.
L 6	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Happy New Year.
L7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We have two
L8	members of the community who want to speak on the
L 9	topics that we are discussing today, and anything
20	else you want to talk about actually. Ah, we have
21	the Coalition for Asian American Children and
22	Families, Haley Yee, if you can come. Alvia Mata
2	from the Translating Network if you could come up

And is there anyone else that is inspired to speak

today, wants to come and say a few words, ask

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	questions of me or of the committee? Come on up and
3	we'll have you fill out a form. One last call. OK,
4	come on up, come on up. And the Sergeant at Arms
5	here will give you a form to fill out. Thank you so
6	much for your patience in this discussion. I know
7	the questions were and the discussion were kind of
8	administrative in many ways, but we're really excited
9	for you to be here to talk about any one of these
10	topics or anything related to the immigrant
11	community. And if we can start here to my right,
12	your left. And, again, make sure that the mic is
13	close to you and that the light, as you press the
14	button, is on, and you're good to go.

ALVIA MATA: Good afternoon, Chair Menchaca and council members and staff of the Committee on Immigration. My name is Alvia Mata. pronouns are she, her, hers, and I am the outreach and benefits coordinator at Translatinx Network. Since 2007 under the direction of Christina Herrera, Translatinx Network has been providing services to the LGBTQ community with a focus on transgender, nonbinary, and gender nonconforming individuals. We provide client-centered, evidenced-based services that help our members become economically self-

25

2 sufficient, civically engaged, socially connected, 3 safe, and healthy. In addition, as we work locally 4 and nationally to ensure the human rights of all people, regardless of gender identity or immigration status, some of the most notable and successful 6 7 problems are through our community legal clinic. 8 Clients have been approved for asylum, received visas, gotten name and gender marker changes, successfully petitioned to remain stably housed, and 10 11 resolved consumer issues. In fact, many clients have 12 been relieved that they have, they now can not 13 disclose their gender identity through the IDNYC card, thanks to the work of the City Council. 14 15 Through our TGNC bridge program, nearly a dozen of 16 our peer leaders provide seven cultural competency 17 trainings to 150 police officers in two precincts in 18 Queens and two in Manhattan. ESL classes and 19 linguistically appropriate peer support offer our 20 clients access to English language skills and 21 translation services that address their unique needs 2.2 as people of TGNCNB experience. Having dignity in 2.3 language can reduce miscommunication with medical immigration and other officials. Of course, we also 24

vital programs necessary to keep our community safe,

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	HIV and STD testing, access to PrEP and PEP, condoms,
3	and safer sex kits, and seamless referrals to
4	healthcare providers. As a daughter of immigrants,
5	the hardships that I have seen my parents and those
6	of my community go through upon the arrival of this
7	country are numerous and arduous. These can be
8	insurmountable when we speak of the experience of
9	TGNC and NB immigrants members within Translatinx
10	Network. Our members' needs are not foreign. Our
11	members are simply looking for the same dignity,
12	respect, opportunities afforded to all New Yorkers.
13	Our members want a seat at the table. Thank you,
14	Chair Menchaca and council members and staff of the
15	committee. Translatinx Network is here to partner
16	with the council and all its members in whatever ways
17	serve our constituents. I am happy to answer any
18	questions you may have, and you may contact me as
19	well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. And maybe, maybe just one question to start as we move through the panel is anything that you wanted to respond to in terms of the back-and-forth with the commissioner and the council members. Is there anything that kind of popped up in terms of an

2.2

2.3

opportunity that you might see or something you want to highlight?

AVILA MATA: Yes, um, actually, um, in terms of speaking about, um, LGBTQ, ah, IA issues I think it's important, as you mentioned, housing, education, are all also immigrant issues. But I think it's also important to speak about decrim, decriminalization of sex work. This is also an immigrant issue particular to our community, um, but overall, ah, it is considered an immigrant issue because any persecution with sex work can lead to impediments in, ah, obtaining a legal status in the US. So yes, absolutely.

that. And that's definitely not just on our kind of larger grouping of agenda items that impact immigrant community but part of a kind of a statewide discussion, too, on reform that's happening up there, too. And that is also informing our statewide agenda, and we're working with you and so many other advocates on how to continue that discussion. That just has been difficult for us to move agencies like the NYPD, and even some of the DAs as well. They're not all on the same page, ah, so thank you for, for

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 lifting that voice up here today. Thank you. If 3 you'd like to introduce yourself and give any

4 testimony.

HALEY YEE: Of course. So thank you, Chair Menchaca and the Committee on Immigration for convening this hearing. My name is Haley Yee, policy coordinator of the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families, or CACF. And we are here today on behalf of the Asian Pacific American immigrant community of New York City. CACF is building a community too powerful to ignore. Since 1986 we have been the nation's only pan Asian children and families advocacy organization that leads the fight for improved and equitable policies, systems, funding, and services to support marginalized American Pacific, Asian Pacific American children and families, or APA. Currently Asian Americans are by percentage the fastest-growing community in New York. Of the 1.6 million Asian New Yorkers in the state, approximately 80% live in the New York City metropolitan area, nearly doubling every decade since 1970. They make up 15% of the city's and 10% of the state's population. In fact, New York City has the largest APA population of any

US city. Yet the needs of the APA community are 2 3 often overlooked, misunderstood, and uncounted, 4 constantly fighting the harmful impacts of the model minority myth, which prevent the community's needs from being acknowledged and understood. This mean 6 7 that our communities, as well as the organizations that serve the community, often lack the resources to 8 provide critical services for those in need. We work with almost 50 member organizations to identify and 10 11 speak out on common challenges and needs across the 12 APA community. APAs hail from south, southeast, 13 east, and central Asian countries, as well as from 14 the Pacific Islands. In NYC we represent over 40 15 ethnicities, tens of languages and religions, and a 16 multitude of cultures and immigrant experiences. 17 this group, over 70% are foreign-born, making 18 immigration issues particularly salient for our 19 community. On behalf of the almost 50 Asian-led and 20 Asian-serving community and social service organizations that comprise our membership, we 21 2.2 respectfully request the City Council to support the 2.3 legislation introduced here today. CACF particularly supports the expansion of reporting and collection of 24 data outlined in Council Member Dromm's and Chair 25

2 Menchaca's legislations. When government agencies 3 collect and issue reports this diverse population is 4 often not mentioned or rather grouped into the generic categories of Asian, other, and sometimes even white. Within the 40% APA subgroups there are 6 7 unique social, educational, and economic differences 8 associated with each ethnicity that are not being assessed and addressed properly due to insufficient data disaggregation. For decades the APA community 10 11 has been praised as the [mild]minority America, 12 overrepresented in education success stories, yet 13 simultaneously underrepresented in stories about poverty. The way the data is presented makes it seem 14 15 as though this stereotype holds true. What it 16 obscures, however, is how unevenly success is 17 distributed among the members of our community, um, based on a number of factors, including ethnic 18 background, socioeconomic status, and immigrant 19 20 experience. Evidence-based policies and targeted 21 intervention programs are ineffective, without proper 2.2 needs assessment based on accurate data reports. 2.3 Data disaggregation efforts are a necessary step towards developing public policy and interventions 24 that respond to the unique needs of historically 25

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

overlooked and marginalized communities. Inequity in
health, education, housing, and more cannot be
tackled through the existing lens of heterogeneity.
We speak different languages, practice different
religions, and come from different cultural
backgrounds and the consequence of generalization are
severely unequal outcomes. We are by percentage the
fastest-growing racial group in New York and the
needs of underserved segments of the community
outstrips current levels of service. Improved
collection, disaggregation, and reporting of data on
APAs will improve government efficiency and help city
agencies better support our community. Please stand
with CACF and those we represent and support these
forward-looking pieces of legislation and hear our
advocacy groups' concerns. I would like to thank
Chair Menchaca and the entire Committee on
Immigration for your leadership, and we look forward
to working with you all closely moving forward on
these pieces.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and I'll also give you an opportunity to, ah, any, any kind of comments you want to make.

2.2

2.3

HALEY YEE: Yeah, so, um, while credit is due to MOOIA, we believe that expanding the functions of MOOIA into a department would actually allow for the city to meet growing needs of a growing immigrant population. Um, so, giving them their credit, but also supporting the expansion of supports, oversights, outreach, and just so much more that could be given to our communities through a full agency.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I don't disagree with you in the, in the way that you kind of formulated that, the, ah, the kind of feedback that good work has happened, and yet even with good work there might still be a massive gap actually...

HALEY YEE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: ...to the needs of so many different communities and as immigration becomes an intersectional conversation, when we think about LGBTQI+ communities and multiple language issues and language access issues it becomes a greater need, and we're probably still at the tip of the iceberg in terms of what kind of resources need to happen and, and an agency might be the way through, through that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

HALEY YEE: ABSOLUTELY.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And so thank you for that, for that comment.

HALEY YEE: Yeah, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And Ms. Thomas, if you can introduce yourself and make any and all comments you'd like to make.

HELEN THOMAS: Yes, hi. My name is Helen I am a citizen of New York City, and as I was sitting here, this is a new opportunity for me and I decided that this year I was going to make sure that I did find out exactly what was going on with some of the situations and concerns that the city is bringing to fore. And I am somewhat concerned, and the reason why I am concerned is that as a [inaudible] born American and New Yorker, ah, I really have issue with the fact that we are seemingly become some type of city-state within the United States. We are separating ourselves from the rest of the citizens in this country based on, um, you know, bifurcation and just a lot of, ah, situations that seem to be separating as opposed to bringing us together as a nation. We are one nation and regardless of where you came from and what your

25

2 current situation is, you are in this context. have to remember that there are those of us who have 3 4 been here for a long time and we fought the opportunity for those of you who have come here 5 since, um, for your opportunity to step on our backs 6 and have the opportunity to speak out and say we want 7 8 our little share. Um, understand that I have empathy for individuals, but I think that the council is somewhat disingenuous in saying that 40% of the 10 11 individuals in this city are foreign-born. 12 that's probably true. But the question is, being 13 foreign-born and then how many are naturalized or 14 have visa and green cards? How many of those 15 individuals are living here in a way that is legal, 16 um, that is not in the same way that you're kind of 17 saying, well, 40% of the individuals and they need 18 all these, ah, this assistance. The question then 19 becomes are you being disingenuous by saying 40% of 20 the people are foreign-born, because I know that there are individuals who are within that 40%, 21 naturalized citizens, which changes the narrative. 2.2 2.3 And I would think that probably about at least half of those individuals are probably naturalized or have 24

green cards or visas. Now, that would leave like 20%

2 individuals who are not legally here, and I say that 3 because a law is legal and if you're not here legally 4 you, um, have an issue. My issue with that is that 5 when you were speaking of the, of the sex trade, OK, I don't want my children to be in a situation where 6 7 they are exposed to sex trade as a legal job. That's 8 not what I want for their lives, OK? Um, I'm sorry, it may sound a little cold-blooded, but I don't want my children, my grandchildren, my neighbor's children 10 11 to have to feel like, you know, that's a legal way to make money. It's not a safe occupation. 12 It's not a 13 good occupation. And individuals, I believe, should 14 look to other avenues to be productive. Um, I'm just 15 looking at the issues and I'm saying as an American citizen, I've been through civil rights, I've 16 17 marched, I've done all of this stuff and made it 18 possible for my father to finally vote, and now I'm 19 going to sit back and allow individuals who don't 20 feel that the legal system here really works, um, I 21 did all that to become part of the infrastructure, 2.2 not to speak separately about, you know, I need 2.3 separate, you know, consideration. I want to be part of the structure. And so we have to keep in mind 24 25 that there are individuals who are challenged by what

25

2 you're talking about and doing. It's about money. 3 It's not just about numbers, but it is about money 4 and we have to understand that that money comes from my pocket, my husband's pocket, um, and the, the people in this city who are working, and when you 6 7 talk about state and federal it comes from other 8 individuals' pockets. So I'm just a little bit concerned that you're being disingenuous and not really talking about some of the really vital issues 10 11 that not only affect the specific individuals' 12 groups, but how do we look at the possibility of 13 making us whole again, because we're not whole at 14 this point, and individuals such as myself who is 15 what commonly is called a conservative, and I believe 16 in conservatism because it saves human life, um, we 17 feel a little bit left out and pushed over to the 18 side and at the same time it's our, um, resources 19 that are being used and utilized to, you know, help 20 other individuals without us getting any benefit from 21 it or us being silenced. So that, that's my real 2.2 concern. Now, you'll be seeing more of me, um, in 2.3 these hearings because I think that there needs to be another voice, and I think that there needs to be 24

someone who's willing to step up and say, OK, I

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

understand your issues as a human being, but there's some things that cross the line of what really needs to be done for individuals.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

HELEN THOMAS: And that includes, you know, the free classes for English speaking, I believe that that's one of the unifications of a community and if we don't have that, if I'm not able to talk to you I can't help you, OK? The same thing with children who are here without parents, um, how do we make sure that they're safe, because they're not always safe if they're not here with someone who's going to watch over them, because a lot of them, from what I understand, that a lot of the children that have come over are not with individuals who are related to them and they've been used as pawns to get in here and, you know, um, use our So I'm, I'm concerned about those things. resources. I'm concerned about the quality of life in this city that should be at an acceptable level for everybody. So, as I said, you'll probably be seeing me quite a bit more, um, because I think that somebody needs to voice concerns that may not necessarily make a person

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

feel very comfortable, but we have to think about how it affects everyone. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, thank you, thank you, Ms. Thomas, for, ah, for speaking your truth, and I think what, what's important here is that you feel comfortable speaking that and the City Council is ready, willing, and able to ensure that these spaces are for everyone and part of what is important in this discussion is that we, we speak our truth but we also understand through data what, what is real, in terms of the information, and so I hope that we both continue to learn from each other and this committee and the work that the staff are doing constantly to understand. So much of the conversations that we were have today with, with the commissioner was about information. It was about understanding that actual impact that a municipal government, which is different from the state, it has different powers, that is different from the federal government, that have different powers, and budgetary opportunities and responsibilities. I believe here in the City of New York we have a, we have a mandate to really protect every, every soul here in the city to ensure that people can feel connected and, like

7

8

9

14

2 you said, the wholeness of our communities is what is

3 | at stake and what is driving us. The, the fact that

4 we do have many immigrants, and I do want to give you

a sense of, the approximately 56.2% of immigrant New

6 Yorkers are naturalized, ah, citizens.

HELEN THOMAS: How many?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: 56%.

HELEN THOMAS: So, so that reduces the

10 number of foreign-born, you know, because the way

11 that that is presented...

12 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, nothing is

13 going to remove the foreign-born component.

HELEN THOMAS: OK.

15 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But, but I'll give

16 you the second part, which is an estimated 660,000

17 | immigrant New Yorkers who are lawful permanent

18 residents, those are green card holders, are

19 \parallel potentially eligible to naturalize. So that's the

20 \parallel other piece that we struggle with here in the city is

21 getting that legal path to citizenship and access to

22 | those lawyers that can help them get that. Ah, like

23 | you said, there are laws. Now, we can talk about my,

24 | ah, my kind of critique on the laws that I think that

25 | they're, they're broken, but the laws today are, are

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

giving an estimation of a large population in the city that just don't have the understanding the

4 access...

HELEN THOMAS: Understood.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: ... to get the lawyer to get naturalized and become a citizen, and those are the things that we're working on all the time here in the city just to ensure that our neighborhoods get access to those, those kind of services that can lead them to a powerful voice like citizenship, that can get them a, an opportunity to vote and be part of, part of the system, and so I think that there's a lot of common ground here in terms of the welfare, the general welfare, of our communities and what we're trying to do is really allow for a space like an immigration committee to highlight one community because we have so many different, ah, council committees that focus on transportation, for example, or homelessness, or mental health care, and so, that gives us an opportunity to dive deeper. And so I welcome you back to this conversation and whatever we have, every month we have, we'll have a public hearing and, and I invite you back to, to be part of this discussion and

2	wherever your kind of political, ah, thoughts are,
3	we'll, I'll take them all.
4	HELEN THOMAS: Well, once again, thank
5	you very much for that response. Um, once again,
6	you're saying that 52% are naturalized citizens?
7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Correct.
8	HELEN THOMAS: Of that 40%? 52% of that
9	40%, right? And how many are on the path to, ah
10	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: 660,000 immigrant
11	New Yorkers are lawful permanent residents.
12	HELEN THOMAS: Right.
13	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And are
14	potentially eligible to naturalize.
15	HELEN THOMAS: So that's about what,
16	another 10% to 15% of that 40%.
17	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Um-hmm, um-hmm.
18	HELEN THOMAS: So, what I'm saying is
19	that is disingenuous to say that, oh, 40% of the
20	citizens in New York City are foreign-born and, you
21	know, and they're, they're really desperate to be a
22	part. They're already a part. A lot of them, even
23	though they're foreign-born, they're naturalized

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right.

24

American citizens.

2.2

2.3

having the issues that a lot of you guys are talking about. They're probably very well-spoken in English. They're probably very productive in what they do, and they're not hiding in the shadows, as so many people say. So we've got to stop being disingenuous, pretending like, you know, kind of like not telling the, because that's not transparency.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Hmm, hmm, OK.

of the people are, you know, foreign-born, but not to say that not that full percentage of individuals is scrambling in the shadows, OK, that's not true. The truth is that there's a very much smaller percentage of individuals who are not being, um, recognized within the system as being legally, ah, able to participate fully and that means that, number one, it's going to cut your budget, OK, it's going to cut back on the number of individuals that you need to help them. So let's be real about what's going on and, um, what really is needed.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, I get you, and so what I want to do is I want to continue this conversation, and...

2.2

2.3

2 HELEN THOMAS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: ...the MOOIA

report, the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

annual report, which was in discussion today about

some of the data, and we only have two data, two

years of data, would be a great place for, for you to

kind of just dive deep and understand the kind of

statistics...

HELEN THOMAS: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: ...that we are capturing right now. We want more statistics, which is what the conversation was today with MOOIA.

HELEN THOMAS: [inaudible] am I.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I'll give this to you...

HELEN THOMAS: Oh, OK.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: ...so that you can take it and have it, and, and again, we'll have these monthly discussions. But I, I think what one of the things that are important are that, ah, all immigrant New Yorkers are facing some, some or many different issues and the question that we're having here is how do we make sure that everyone participates in the creation of government and how government responds.

25

young as fourth and fifth grade can actually vote in

2.2

2.3

their community and how to, how to choose where to spend a million dollars of capital improvements to city, city infrastructure, again, like parks, streets, schools, that they can join in and learn about how their government works so that we can, we can have better government. Better government comes from more participation from everyone, no matter what, no matter what. Ah, we are going to end this, this, this discussion here today, but I welcome you back and thank you all for coming in. And on the kind of advocate, the kind of advocate, if you have any kind of final comments to make, please.

AVILA MATA: If I may.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Just make sure that your, ah, your light is on as well.

AVILA MATA: OK, yes, it's on.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Oh, it's on, good.

AVILA MATA: Ms. Thomas, um, I'd like to acknowledge your concern, um, and a response to that concern. Um, the idea of decrim, decriminalization, of sex work is not to make sex work a viable option. Um, more it is a way to have, ah, sex workers become part of the structure. Um, in order for, ah, either past sex work or current sex work to not affect, ah,

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

perhaps, ah, ah, a legalization process, ah, to not affect, um, their needs, whether they be, um, legal, medical, or, ah, educational. So decriminalization is not looking, ah, to be, um, the only way. Um, however, it might just be a safer way.

Mata, for that, that response and that dialogue, and let's continue this dialogue because I think there's a lot of education that we can have just by discussing these issues and to do it in a forum there that's transparent and connected to our communities through social media, etc. So thank you all for being here today, for being honest, for speaking your truth, and that's how we get and move forward together. So thank you. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____January 12, 2020