1	CO	MMITTEE ON FINANCE	1					
2	CITY COUNCIL							
3	CITY OF NEW YORK							
4	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES							
5	Of the							
6	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE							
7	X							
8		November 14, 2019						
9		Start: 11:15 a.m. Recess: 11:28 a.m.						
10		11.20 d.m.						
11	HELD AT:	Committee Room - City Hall						
12	BEFORE:	Daniel Dromm, Chairperson						
13		onarrection						
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:							
15	00011011	Adrienne E. Adams						
16		Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Laurie A. Cumbo						
17		Vanessa L. Gibson Mark Gjonaj						
18		Barry S. Grodenchik Karen Koslowitz						
19		Rory I. Lancman Farah N. Louis						
20		Steven Matteo Francisco P. Moya						
21		Keith Powers Helen K. Rosenthal						
22		James G. Van Bramer						
23								
24								

2.2

2.3

CHAIRE	PERSON DR	.OMM:	[GAVE	[L] Oka	ıy, good	l morni	ng
folks and	welcome	to to	day ' s	Finance	e Commit	tee	
meeting.	My name	is Cou	uncil	Member	Daniel	Dromm	and
I'm Chair	of the (Committ	tee.				

We have been joined by Council Members Robert

Cornegy, Council Member Daneek Miller, Council Member

Adrienne Adams, Council Member Keith Powers, Council

Member Helen Rosenthal, Council Member Karen

Koslowitz, who is new to the Committee. Welcome

Karen, thank you for being with us on the Committee.

Council Member Farah Louis, Council Member Vanessa

Gibson and my Co-Chair on the Subcommittee with

Capital Finance. Council Member and Minority Leader

Steven Matteo, Council Member Barry Grodenchik,

Council Member Francisco Moya, Council Member Jimmy

Van Bramer and Council Member Mark Gjonaj.

Today, the Committee will be voting on six items,
Intro. 1780, which would extend the rate of the
additional tax on the occupancy of hotel rooms.

Three Preconsidered Resolutions to amend and restate the property tax rates for fiscal 2020, a transparency resolution and one land use item.

Let's start with Intro. 1780 which would extend the current rate of 5.875 percent for the additional

2 tax on the occupancy of hotel rooms through November 30, 2023 to maintain this status quo.

It is estimated that by the Council's Finance division that the additional tax, if extended at the current rate of 5.875 percent beyond November 30, 2019 would generate \$68.6 million in fiscal 2020 and \$91.6 million in fiscal 2021. The Administration is supportive of extended the tax rate and members of the Committee have a letter of support for the record from the First Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Finance in the hearing materials this morning.

Representatives from the Office of Management and Budget are here to answer any questions we may have on this bill.

Next are the three Preconsidered Resolutions that would amend and restate the property tax rates of Fiscal 2020. Every year as part of the budget adoption, the Charter requires the Council to adopt property tax rates for the coming fiscal year. The rates that we adopt are largely predetermined by a formerly set forth and state law which involves determining the share of the overall tax levy that each class is required to pay.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

State law imposes a five percent cap on the amount that each classes share is allowed to grow year over year. In past years, the Council has asked the state to lower that cap as a way of moderating large increases in tax rates that result from this required formula.

This year, we saw that without any action, the tax rate for class one homeowners would have gone up by 6.3 percent as compared to last years rates.

Therefore, to limit that increase, the Council has asked the state to pass legislation to lower the class shared cap to zero percent.

The state agreed but the legislation was enacted after the Council adopted the fiscal 2020 budget and set the tax rates. Therefore, what we are doing today is amending and restating the tax rates that we set in June to reflect the recalculation done in accordance with the new zero percent cap.

As a result of the Council's efforts, a typical class one homeowner will see an approximate \$321 savings. Also, under zero percent cap, class two properties will see a decrease in their tax rate, making that rate the lowest it has been since fiscal 2009.

Next, is Transparency Resolution which sets for the new designation and changes in the designation of certain organizations receiving local and youth discretionary funding and funding for certain initiatives in the budget.

Organizations appearing in the resolution that have not yet completed the free qualification process conducted by the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, the Council or another entity are identified in the tax charts with an asterisk. As with all transparency resolutions, Council Members will have to sign a disclosure form indicating whether or not a conflict exits with any of the groups on the attached list.

If any of the Council Members has a potential conflict of interest with any of the organizations listed, he or she has the opportunity to disclose the conflict at the time of their vote.

As a reminder, please disclose any conflicts you may have with proposed subcontractors used by organizations sponsored by discretionary funding.

These disclosures must be made before the subcontractor can be approved.

2.2

2.3

Benjamin Smith from the General Counsel's Office is here and can assist you with any questions or concerns regarding the disclosures.

Lastly, we have the Land Use item. The J2

project is in Council Member Miller's district in

Queens. The action would require a 40 year Article

11 property tax exemption to support the new

construction of 543 units of housing. Council Member

Miller is supportive of this exemption in his

district. Are there any questions on any of the

other items on the agenda?

Okay, seeing none, before I ask Billy Martin

Committee Clerk to call the roll, I want to remind my colleagues that the Finance Committee jointly with the Committee on Government Operations is holding a hearing next Tuesday, November 19th at 1:00 p.m. in this room to consider four pieces of legislation intended to improve communication and ease the bureaucracy between city and not-for-profit organizations.

And with that, I'll ask Billy to call the vote.

COUNCIL CLERK: Billy Martin, Committee Clerk

roll call vote Committee on Finance, all items are

coupled. Chair Dromm?

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 7
2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Aye.
3	COUNCIL CLERK: Louis?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Aye.
5	COUNCIL CLERK: Gibson?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Aye.
7	COUNCIL CLERK: Cornegy?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Permission to explain my
9	vote.
10	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: So, while I respect and
12	appreciate what the Council is doing here today in
13	finding a modicum amount of tax relief for citizens
14	in the city, I think it doesn't go far enough and I
15	think that minority home owners in gentrifying areas
16	are particularly vulnerable in the current tax
17	structure and I look forward to working with the
18	state to get to a better remedy overall. With that I
19	vote aye.
20	COUNCIL CLERK: Cumbo?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I vote aye.
22	COUNCIL CLERK: Koslowitz?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: I vote aye.
0.4	

COUNCIL CLERK: Rosenthal?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Permission to explain my vote.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes.

on all but I want to raise serious concerns about the continuation of the hotel tax. You know, this is an opportunity to look harder at what's happening with the hotel industry and we know that Airbnb has had an impact on their occupancy. Airbnb has you know, flouted New York City, New York State Law. They've been part of the problem making our affordable housing shortage worse.

The state of New Jersey just recognized that and put on further limitations on Airbnb. So, you know, this is a tax that while staying the same and possibly decreasing over time, I think would be worth engaging in a study to understand what the impact is on the hotel industry that is such a critical part at the end of the day. You know, tourism is such a big chunk of our economy and I'm not thrilled with the idea of continuing this tax on the hotel industry.

But at this juncture, I don't think we have enough information but I think we should do more serious research on this issue.

COUNCIL CLERK: Gjonaj?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I vote no on all. It
3 would take too long for me to explain my vote.

COUNCIL CLERK: Moya?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I will be voting no on Intro. 1780 and yes on the rest.

COUNCIL CLERK: Powers?

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Permission to explain my vote.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you, I am voting aye on all and I'm abstaining on 1780. I recognize there's about \$92 million raised by that extension of the hotel tax occupancy rate and a dedicated stream goes to the tourism and convention centers here in New York City.

I think there is a actually a longer conversation to be had with those in this industry who are struggling and we've had hearings here in the Council around tourism. How to improve tourism in New York City that I think could actually even benefit the ability to track people here and even enhance our investments in tourism and tourism in the city. For that reason, I'm abstaining about it and I, you know, in the future would hope that we can have a deeper

2.2

conversation with all those who are affected around impact to the city's industry and the ecosystem around the tourism as well. Thank you.

COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member Gjonaj?

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you. Let me just revise that was in my passion, the heat of the moment, I overlooked the transparency resolution.

So, my vote is to reflect no on 1780, no on 1152, no on 1153 and 1154 and aye on the rest.

affirmative, zero in the negative and no abstentions,

Preconsidered Land Use and Resolution 1155 has been
adopted by the Committee with Introduction 1780 being
adopted by the Committee. Ten in the affirmative,
three in the negative, and one abstention and

Preconsidered Resolutions 1152, 1153, and 1154 are
twelve in the affirmative, two in the negative and
zero abstentions.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you very much and with that, this meeting is adjourned at about 11:27.

[GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018