1	COMI	MITTEE ON AGING	1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK		
3		X	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES		
5	Of the		
6	COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES		
7			
8		September 19, 2019	
9		Start: 10:16 a.m. Recess: 12:31 p.m.	
10		THE PLANT	
11	HELD AT:	Committee Room - City Hall	
12	BEFORE:	Deborah L. Rose, Chairperson	
13		Chariperson	
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
15	COUNCIL MEMBERS.	Margaret S. Chin	
16		Mathieu Eugene Andy L. King	
17		Farah N. Louis	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 2		
2	APPEARANCES		
3	Sandra Gutierrez		
4	Deputy Commissioner of Community Development		
5	Susan Haskell Deputy Commissioner of Youth Services		
6			
7	Wanda Ascherl Assistant Commissioner		
8	Rong Zhang		
9	Assistant Commissioner		
10	Lisa Schwartzwald		
11	New York Immigration Coalition		
12	Ira Yankwitt		
13	Literacy Assistance Center NYC Coalition for Adult Literacy		
14	Lena Cohen		
15	United Neighborhood Houses		
16	Nancy Robles Vow Voices of Women		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: [GAVEL] Good morning. This hearing is called to order, although, you've been very orderly.

So again, good morning, I want to thank you all for being here. My name is Council Member Debbie Rose and I am the Chair of the Committee on Youth Services.

Today, we are conducting an oversight hearing on DYCD's Adult Literacy Program. In addition to oversight, we will also hear bill Intro. 649 by Council Member Eugene, which would incorporate bilingual components into DYCD's after-school programs.

I would first like to thank our speaker Corey

Johnson who is always committed to the increasing the quality of life for people in New York City and his commitment to youth in New York.

I would also like to thank all of the young people, literacy advocates, program providers and all of those who came to testify at this important hearing.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my colleagues who have joined us, Council Member; who is our new Council Member, Council Member Farah Louis.

Thank you, this is her first Committee hearing and thank you for being here. And we will be joined by others I am sure.

Each September 8<sup>th</sup> since 1966, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization; also known as UNESCO, raises international awareness about adult and child literacy through the observance of International Literacy Day. It seems fitting that that theme of International Literacy Day this year was literacy and multilingualism.

UNESCO recognizes that literacy is an important international matter of dignity and human rights that helps to sustain communities, uplift the impoverished and provide opportunities for persons around the globe.

Indeed, Frederick Douglass, a former slave and famous abolitionist was quoted as saying, "once you learn to read, you will forever be free." This quote means a lot, as we have seen that literacy and education are mechanisms to succeed and rise through the economic, social and political ranks of society. But throughout history, literacy has also been a method of social control and oppression.

As the ability to read and right have determined where certain people stand within the societal hierarchy. Literacy has been seen as a way to keep the poor powerless and the rich powerful. However, from this quote, we see that literacy could lead also to a better future as it once did for Frederick Douglass. Low literacy levels are an important issue that exists within the United States, particularly important is low adult literacy levels. As more than \$36 million adults cannot read or write above a third-grade level.

In New York State alone, there are a total of 3.4 million residents who are either functionally illiterate, lack a high school diploma or cannot speak English. Even more shocking is that only 10 percent of those who have low literacy levels are receiving the help they need. Low adult literacy rates are common aspects of poverty, incarceration, high school drop out rates in schools and a barrier to understanding basic health, financial and consumer issues.

It has been reported that children with parents with low literacy levels are more likely to get poor grades, display behavioral problems, have high

\_

absentee rates, repeat school years or even drop out.

In addition, the economic impacts of low adult

literacy levels are extreme.

With an estimated \$225 billion or more each year nationwide being wasted due to nonproductivity in the workforce, crimes and loss of tax revenue due to unemployment and another \$232 billion a year in health care costs.

In an effort to ensure that adults receive help in improving their literacy skills, DYCD supports programming and services related to reading, writing, and test accessing secondary completion or TASC, which is now the replacement for the GED. Which has since replaced a general educational development or GED test. And English language classes for youth and adults within New York City.

Particularly, important to this hearing is DYCD's adult literacy program, which connects anyone over the age of 16, who is not enrolled or required to be enrolled in school, or who is unable to adequately speak, read, or write the English language with a range of programs. Programs include: Adult Basic Education to teach reading, writing, and math to native or fluent English Speaker; TASC prep, to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

prepare students for the required test to receive a high school equivalency diploma; and English for Speakers of Other Languages classes to improve English language skills for those who lack fluent knowledge of the English language.

Ultimately, these DYCD funded programs look to ensure New Yorkers learn the reading, writing, and communications skills they need to obtain a job and/or continue their education.

Today, we will look to better understand DYCD's Adult Literacy Program, what gaps exist and how programming can be improved. In addition to the oversight portion of this hearing, we will also hear Intro. No. 649 which is sponsored by Council Member Mathieu Eugene and would require bilingual DYC after school programs at schools with more than one third of the students in the school district being English language learners.

The law would require that such schools have certain bilingual components including things such as bilingual instructors and staff, as well as activities conducted in the native languages of the students.

As New York City is a diverse melting pot of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RONG ZHANG: I am Rong Zhang, DYCD.

SUSAN HASKELL: Susan Haskell, DYCD.

WANDA ASCHERL: Wanda Ascherl, DYCD.

races, ethnicities and religions, this legislation would be appropriate, in an effort to ensure that children who do not speak English receive comprehensive after school programming just like any other child.

I look forward to hearing from those invited to testify and would like to thank my staff, Isa Rogers and [INAUDIBLE 10:55] and the Committee Staff Paul Sinegal, Kevin Kotowski and Michele Peregrin, along with our community engagement representative Elizabeth Arts[SP?].

And now, we will swear in our panel.

COUNCIL CLERK: Good morning, would you raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today and to respond honestly to Council Members questions?

Please state your names for the record.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: I am Sandra Gutierrez from DYCD.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you all, you can begin your testimony.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: Good morning Chair Rose and Members of the Youth Services Committee. I am Sandra Gutierrez, the Deputy Commissioner for Community Development at the Department of Youth and Community Development.

I am pleased to be joined by Susan Haskell, Deputy Commissioner for Youth Services, Assistant Commissioner Wanda Ascherl and Assistant Commissioner Rong Zhang.

On behalf of Bill Chong, we thank you for the opportunity to comment on DYCD's adult literacy services and Intro. 649. Which would require bilingual instruction to after-school programs in certain school districts.

I will testify on Adult Literacy and Deputy Commissioner Haskell will then discuss the bill. These topics really speak to DYCD's mission to invest in a network of community-based organizations and programs to alleviate the affects of poverty and to provide opportunities for New Yorkers and communities to flourish.

2.2

The ability to read and write is fundamental to a persons capacity to succeed. English proficiency is associated with the ability to find and keep employment that pays a living wage and provides opportunities for upward advancement. It helps parents fully support and participate in their child's education and to actively engage in civic life.

According to the 2015 American Community Survey,

1.8 million individuals, over 23 percent of the New

York City's population are not proficient in English

and 19 percent of the city's population 25 and over,

have less than a high school education.

We want to thank the Council for its strong and longstanding partnership on adult literacy programs. It has been critical funding to programs across the city. DYCD commits \$13.87 million to support adult literacy programs from a mix of CSBG, CDBG and city tax levy funding. This work is complimented by other literacy programs supported by the Department of Education, the City University of New York and the public library systems.

DYCD's adult literacy programs include a variety of courses that meet the various needs of our

participants. For example, these adult literacy programs are for adult basic education that teaches both native and nonnative English speakers, reading, writing and math. We offer testing assessment secondary completion and English for speakers of other languages. These teach listening, speaking, reading and writing to individuals whose primary language is not English.

We appreciate the work of the literacy providers who are at the front line committed to serving immigrant communities who are constantly threatened by ICE raids, family separation, and the new public charge rule.

We also want to acknowledge the work of the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. We have been working closely with them on advising programs on the impact of the latest actions in Washington.

Fortunately, families that participate in DYCD program services will not be impacted by the changes in the Public Charge Rule.

In our efforts to support funded programs to make continuous improvement, DYCD in collaboration with the technical assistance provider, the Literacy Center, provides professional development and

2.2

technical assistance to literacy providers. Staff development focuses on building best practices in literacy, numeracy, English language instruction and curriculum development. Last year, over 40 trainee and coaching sessions were provided to approximately 400 literacy staff.

In Fiscal Year 2019, our literacy programs enrolled over 16,000 participants. While the majority of program participants made progress in literacy, over 56 percent of the enrolled participants improved their reading skills in at least one grade level. Students not only benefit academically by participating in our literacy programs, they also received other much needed assistance, such as referrals to employment training, college assistance and individual support.

Before I turn over to Deputy Commissioner

Haskell, I would like to share a story about a

student in one of our programs to demonstrate the

value of our programs. The student was incarcerated

at 16 and became pregnant at 17 years old, but she

got a second chance by enrolling in our programs.

Here is what she wrote about the program. It's a calm, supportive environment. The teachers and the

2.2

workers treat me with respect. They are supporting, understanding and care about my education. On the days I couldn't attend, the teachers called with deep concern. They taught me what to say at job interviews and even provided me with interview clothes. The program is important to me because it gave me a second chance at success. It has bestowed a purpose in my life, giving me a chance to make my parents proud and more importantly, to be the best I can be for my daughter. This is just one of the thousand examples of the extraordinary work our programs do to help students.

Now, it's my pleasure to hand it over to Deputy Commissioner.

SUSAN HASKELL: DYCD supports the delivery of after-school services for young people with a range of language skills, in a manner that's linguistically and culturally accessible.

There are many benefits for young people to participate in our programs, including development of positive self-esteem, fostering positive peer connections and caring relationships between youth and staff, engagement of parents in the development of their children and exposure to different languages

and cultures within a community that can instill an appreciation for diversity.

Our program participants speak many languages in addition to English. Even so, 97 percent of our participants, the vast majority report that they speak English well.

In Fiscal year 2019, of the 180,000 after-school participants age 18 and under, roughly 22,000 plus or 13 percent indicated English was not their primary language. But the majority of these students also reported that they were able to speak English well or better. Roughly 5,500 of the participants or 3 percent indicated that they didn't speak English well or at all.

Our partnerships with community-based providers are essential to our successful programs. Our funding model reflects that community-based organizations and their staff are best equipped to meet the needs of English language learners. A key role of DYCD's partners in after school for non-English speaking youth is to help them adapt to their community and become contributing members in their neighborhood.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

23

24

25

As a youth development environment, after-school can play a vital role in the process of learning language and culture in a new setting.

The organizations we fund are expected to hire staff and design programs in accordance with the needs of their participants. Successful program elements for English language learners include: Hiring staff from the neighborhood, including those who speak the languages of participants; providing essential written materials in languages spoken by their communities; provide program activities which engage all the senses to develop language skills; having students engage with peers making social connections that will support language development in a supportive setting; using play, arts, literacy and stem activities; for example, to reinforce youth development principles regardless of language ability; and programs working closely with their school which may have additional language resources including a language service for parent meetings and orientations.

Here are just a few examples of after-school programs and their approach to supporting English language learners. In Chair Rose's district at PS

2.2

57, the YMCA serves a Liberian community in Park
Hill. A main focus for the program there, is having
staff onsite that speak multiple dialects of the
African community and Spanish, Arabic and Urdu to
help parents and youth who need assistance. The
program translates important written materials for
parents to help keep them engaged in their child's
experience in after-school. And additionally, the
program partnership with the school includes have
after school staff present for day school family
events.

In Council Member Eugene's district, CAMBA operates a SONYC program at MS 246 Walt Whitman. The program serves a predominantly Caribbean population and some staff speak Haitian Creole and are able to translate when students and parents need assistance.

In partnership with Kasim[SP?], they offer steelpan classes. They hosted a family night with a Caribbean Carnival theme where staff and students dressed in attire to represent Caribbean countries and a cultural dinner was served. The theme carried over into the community school event that took place the next day.

In Northern Brooklyn at St. Nicks Alliance, about 26 percent of youth enrolled in their programs. They have five programs, our English language learners.

St. Nicks developed a multi-tiered literacy emersion model. Their program enables young people to explore learning through visual performing and digital arts.

They celebrated the culture and language of origin of participants through a partnership with New York City Children's theater and Magic Box Productions, which specialize in teaching English language learners. St. Nicks also operates a mobile library with 15,000 title collection in English and non-English languages and offers reading coaches for one on one and small group instruction, as well as transformational coaching to help English language learners with behavioral challenges.

New York Edge operates at the Academy for New Americans, a school in Astoria, that provides afterschool services to young people who recently arrived in the country and are still learning English. Youth study at the Academy and then transfer to their neighborhood middle school or traditional high school when their English language has improved.

2.1

2.2

Children in this program come from 38 countries and speak 18 languages. Staff members serve as interpreters in Spanish, Arabic, Bengali, Chinese, French, Hindi, Urdu, Russian and Greek, for example. In addition to the recruitment efforts of our providers, families can learn where services are available through DYCD's centralized resources. For example, we operated youth connect, a 1-800 hotline. Callers can learn about our programs and find sites in their neighborhood.

When callers need interpretation assistance, we connect them to our language back operators who have the ability to speak up to 180 different languages. We also are very excited that just in the past week or so, we launched Discover DYCD 2.0. This is a new public access website which will allow New Yorkers to find DYCD resources throughout the city and it's also available in over 180 languages. Discovery DYCD includes a feature which allows them to apply to many DYCD services at one time online.

To reach out more directly to immigrant communities, DYCD advertises services in community newspapers in multiple languages including Haitian Creole, Russian, Spanish, Urdu, Bengali and Polish.

S

,

To help us meet the needs of all New Yorkers, we're currently conducting a community needs assessment across the city in ten specified languages under the city's language access law plus Yiddish.

The data will be used to inform DYCD's strategic planning and new directions for the agency.

Through hundreds of after-school programs including the examples described above, DYCD is well positioned to meet the needs of young people and families. We appreciate the spirit of the bill and look forward to continued discussions with the council on promoting services for English language learners.

Once again, thank you for holding the hearing today. We look forward to collaborating with you on how to best support literacy and after-school programs.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you so much for your testimony this morning. We've been joined by Council Member Eugene; I am sure he'll be back.

So, we all as was well stated in your presentation and in my opening remarks, the importance of literacy and the value and what the negative impacts are in the absence of it. So, today

we're trying to see where we are in terms of our literacy services and to talk about Council Member Eugene's bill.

So, there are multiple definitions for literacy. Which one or how does DYCD define literacy and does that definition drive your programming?

SUSAN HASKELL: I am going to let Rong -

RONG ZHANG: Well, yes, I agree with you. There are various definitions but here we basically your using the definition that it was used in the national survey of the adult needs. Basically, is a person's ability to use English to be able to function and to be able to read, understand and process documents.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, and so, does that definition drive the programs that you have developed?

RONG ZHANG: Sure, so, you know, programs are all designed to teach people basic reading, writing and a numeracy skills to enable people to understand basic English and be able to function at the level that's needed for them to survive in terms of English language learners and for people who are you know, high school equivalency programs to be able to conduct job interviews.

2.2

And also, there is a functional level to. You know, the materials that we use for example, most classrooms are mostly contextualized with authentic materials, so that people can learn not only the language but also the basic vocabulary that's going to be used in the situation only. For example, if you go to a doctors office, you know what are the basic words to use. If you go to a job interview, you know, how are you going to conduct yourself in terms of the language and also the verbal and a 

So, you know, it's really literacy skills, numeracy skills, plus anything that's meaningful to peoples real life.

number of aspect of an interview.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Was the curriculum developed by DYCD and is it standardized?

RONG ZHANG: No, we do not use a standardized curriculum as a lot of provider leaders. So, this has been an ongoing discussion with the providers. People generally feel that we should not have a uniform curriculum, simply because programs are — programs serve people from different backgrounds with different needs.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

standardized.

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

So, programs develop their own curriculum, develop their own lesson plans. However, we convene them to share the curriculum and lesson plans, how they conduct their lessons and we work closely with our partner, the Literacy Center to provide professional development in terms of developing curriculum and lesson plans that are in terms of the curriculum style, how you use it and that's

For example, we create what we call a nine-strand curriculum and you not only can you identify the needs of the students, you identify the program materials to be used, you incorporate an evaluation piece into the curriculum. So, that's standardized but in terms of the content, it's not.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: That's great. So, you provide a rubric for them and within that framework, they have the ability to do what meets the needs of their particular constituents.

RONG ZHANG: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, how many adults are illiterate in New York City and of that number, how many or what percentage would you say are receiving literacy services?

2.

RONG ZHANG: Well, based on what we generally know, as indicted in the testimony, there are about 1.8 people that either do not speak English well or do not have a high school diploma. So, we consider those people, they are in need of literacy services.

DYCD, thanks to the support of Council the last few years, we had expanded our services. So, we are able to serve about 16,000 annually, just within DYCD. And as you know, that DYCD is not the only literacy providing agency and there is CUNY, Department of Education and the library systems also provide services and funding could come from the City, it could also come from the State Education Department and there's also private funding supporting the services.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, is that 16,000 number your capacity or do you have the ability to serve more?

RONG ZHANG: Well, you know, we are always in the business of building capacity, as we know the number of people we serve, it is far from the needs out there. We always try to build that capacity and try to serve more. Yes, definitely programs can serve more if there is continued stable funding.

\_

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Do you feel that you have the capacity to meet the need?

RONG ZHANG: That will need a lot of study, but I'm pretty sure that our programs can serve more.

SUSAN HASKELL: I'm going to add to that, that a couple of years ago when the expansion started, we wanted to make sure not only that we could meet capacity but that the capacity was met with quality services.

So, one of the things that we knew, was that there was uneven capacity out in the community. So, some community-based organizations had a lot of capacity and did quality programs and then some others had great interaction and they had great relationships with the community, but maybe they needed more support in terms of staff development, a professional development.

And so, we put together a plan during the first expansion that had to do with how will we drive staff development to build capacity? Not just capacity in terms of instruction, but all the supporting programs around the literacy program.

So, that's how we partnered with the literacy center and they do a lot of our professional

2.1

2.2

development. We can talk a little bit about that, but also there are other ways that we build capacity in the field and that is exchanging those best practices. Having networks, providers who come together and talk about what works, what doesn't work? What needs to be tweaked? How often should this happen? How do you accelerate learning? All those kinds of questions that that community needs to have to constantly improve services with a certain amount of funding that hasn't changed or that doesn't change.

So, we have focused a lot in the place where we thought we needed to focus was on that capacity building piece, so that students could get better quality services from the cadre of instructors. One of the things that we also knew was that training to be an instructor was very expensive. So, if people wanted to be literacy providers or instructors, it would take them not only a long time, but it would cost them.

So, this strategy really was to identify the people who really wanted to do that who were already doing that and who needed to get better so that we

can amplify not just the field but raise the quality of services for those.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, Commissioner and along with that line, do you provide any services to the service providers to help them be able to meet the need after you've had this cross pollination with other agencies to determine like, what are best practices. Do you then provide technical assistance to the programs at DYCD?

SUSAN HASKELL: Absolutely, so there is several layers to this, and one is that the literacy center does the formal training for those instructors, right? But then there are other strategies that we use, so that those shared practices also can be documented, and they could be shared, but Rong could talk a little bit more about that.

RONG ZHANG: Sure, that was a good question. You know, providers work with us and teachers, a lot of teachers are part-time. Very limited time to actually seek professional developments. So, what we have done is that one, with DYCD funded the literacy center to be our technical provider.

Then within DYCD staff, we have staff that are specifically trained in each of the areas that we

2.2

fund. Namely, English the second language, AB and HSE and we provide workshops on a constant basis. These workshops are all developed and designed based on a needs assessment at the beginning of each year. And then, they are developed into it could be one shot workshops, it could be a series of workshops, institutes and also coteaching, coaching. So, all sorts of things and also, we build resources for people for example, build a website where resources are there for people to access.

So, there are all different ways and it's really a multiple approach to the staff development. And on top of that, you know, we encourage programs to share best practices. We convene them to do networking, to find out about each other's resources and needs and then to do the sharing.

So, every year we convene what we call teach and share. You know, basically all teachers come in and share the best practices and then they go back and test and experiment with little techniques that they learned from the sessions.

And we also started last year, what we call a literacy conference day, a literacy staff long day. So, each year, there is one day we devoted to staff

development, where we have panel discussions. We have ten to fifteen work shops developed for each specific area. There we not only have DYCD funded programs, literacy assistant staff is there and a staff from CUNY is there. So, they are all there sharing the best practices.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. I am sure you have a demographic breakdown of those individuals who require literacy services by age, sex race and ethnicity and where they — I guess geographic location where they primarily come from. Is that something you can make available to us?

SUSAN HASKELL: We can make our demographic information available to you, yes. So, let me say something about demographics. DYCD, we collect demographic information so that we can improve programs, so that we know where people are accessing services, but we also know where the gaps are.

So, we do collect demographic information that's basic name, age, address, those kinds of things. But we also ask other questions that have to do with identifying the needs of the participants. It could be, do you have healthcare? Or other questions like that, so that when a person applies, we can connect

2.2

them to other services. If you've heard the Commissioner Chong speak about our integrated approach to the work that we do at DYCD and certainly moving forward on connecting programs through, not just referrals but best practices and capacity building, we're doing some of that work where you know, the demographic information is really important for us to use as guides or to develop new programs if need be. But we will be happy to share some of that demographic information if you'd like.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, you refer them to wrap around services that are within DYCD purview or even outside of that?

SUSAN HASKELL: Correct and we do that, I think
Deputy Commissioner Haskell did talk about our new
online system, which is an online application,
universal application. That has just launched last
week. Of course, it's new in trying it but our other
systems allow people to go onto our website, DYCD's
website. So, that they can find other programs that
are near where they're either receiving literacy
services or even in the same community-based
organization.

2.2

or African American.

But we do have some statistics about who we're serving currently, and we serve actually 87 percent.

We've served 16,526 participants in FY 2019; 87 percent of the participants are in the English for speakers of another language. The makeup of the participants are there's 52 percent Hispanic, 20 percent Asian, 15 percent White, and 13 percent Black

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, have you noticed any specific demographic trends in the individuals that are requiring services?

SUSAN HASKELL: I would say that the changes in the demographics is that there are more people of different countries. So, the diversity I think has widened in that we're seeing more people and know that we have programs that actually give services in it could be 100 different languages.

So, we know that the span is widening in terms of the number of our ethnicities or cultures, or languages that are spoken. So, that I can speak to, maybe perhaps you can speak to the other.

RONG ZHANG: I mean, you know, really our programs as you know, there all in the communities, all five boroughs. So, depending on where you go,

2.2

so, if you go to upper Manhattan, Washington Heights

3 Inguinal area, you will receive mostly Hispanic,

4 Spanish speaking population. There is a heavy need

5 for English language services.

Coming downtown in Lower East Side, you will see heavy presence of Chinese population, Asian population. In Chinatown area, we have a few programs and you go to Southern Brooklyn, you will see Russians over there. And in the Carrol Gardens are in Brooklyn, New York City Arabic population. And we also see that there is a growth of African population in the Bronx. You know, we've seen in those programs.

So, yes, we do observe some of those changes and programs are all neighborhood programs and they address those needs immediately and quickly with their staffing, with the appropriate language competencies.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I know that Council Member

Eugene has some questions, but I'd like to ask you

about Intro. 649 and what are your concerns with

this? Do you have any concerns with this bill? And
what is the feasibility of your supporting it?

SUSAN HASKELL: Most importantly, I think we support English language learners in our programs.

We certainly appreciate the spirit of this bill. We think that after school is an amazing place for young people whom English is not their first language and who have limited English proficiency.

In our programs we sited a few of the examples of the type of ways that our programs specifically address a language barrier or support families of young people who are non-English speaking, but I think generally, the way all our programs are framed, which is to address a language barrier or other barriers that young people are experiencing to connection.

But specific to English language learners, having more time. The research is evolving but having more time in an after-school setting is very helpful to non-English speaking students in after-school. Also, the environment of after-school can be very supportive and less stressful in that there aren't high stakes markers for achievement, as there are during the school day.

So, young people can relax a little bit more, get comfortable. They might feel more — if they don't

speak English comfortably, they might feel more comfortable experimenting speaking to their peers, speaking to a carrying adult without such a high stakes engagement.

And then, our programs are really very supportive in terms of young peoples motivation levels, social emotional learning, which is also a critical factor for English language learners. So, yes, we support English language learners and I look forward to sharing more of the ways that we do this in our programs.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, are there any barriers that you see to this bill being supported by DYCD?

SUSAN HASKELL: I think we still have a lot of open questions. There are many unknowns for exactly what the implications of the bill would be, and we are ready to work with Council to talk through any of those.

We just have a lot of questions essentially. I mean what the impact of the bill will depend on the individual experience of the provider and their current staffing models and the students who are in their programs. So, we do feel there are more questions to ask.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I'm going to yield to Council Member Eugene. I am sure he has some questions and then I will come back.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much

Madame Chair and first and foremost I thank you and

commend you for your leadership in addressing the

issues affecting the young people in New York City.

The future of this city, thank you so much and thank

you for this wonderful, very important public hearing

also and I want to thank your staff also. Thank you.

And I want to thank Deputy Commissioner and all the members of the panel. I want to thank you for what you are doing through DYCD, the wonderful job and the excellent program that DYCD is offering to the young people and adults in New York City. And I had the opportunity to work closely with DYCD and I say that, before I was elected or so. I was on the other side or so, because I created an organization seven young people and I know the wonderful job that DYCD is doing.

But what I wanted to say, my father always said that there is no perfection, there is always home for improvement. We should always work together to implement and to better what we are doing.

I am so pleased to have introduced, enter legislation Intro. 649 and I'm pleased that you are willing to support it and my City Council would be very pleased to hear your concern and to work together to make it work.

But let me as a very few questions. We all know that New York City is home to so many people. That's why we're making New York City a great and vibrant, people from different countries, but they don't speak English is not their first language and I think Assistant Commissioner Rong Zhang mentioned that there are about one million people I think in the State of New York or in the City of New York — in the City right?

RONG ZHANG: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Without high schools.

This is a big number. This is a big number, but you mentioned that DYCD serves about 16,000 is that correct?

RONG ZHANG: 16,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: 16,000 is a very small number when you consider one million point eight but considering also the importance of literacy.

Literacy gave to people the tool they need to succeed

2.2

York.

2 in society and especially in a city like New York
3 City. Many people in our city who came to the city

4 as you know, they have a language barrier but when we

offer them the opportunity to be literate, that gives

6 them the tool to succeed in this society and we will

7 reduce the crime, the incarceration, the poverty.

This is a very important issue for the city of New

My question is that, what DYCD have in place to increase the number and to do the necessary effort to serve more people to have them get their high school equivalency?

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: So, I want to clarify that

DYCD serviced over 16,000 people last year, but we

don't do this alone. We do this with the Department

of Education who also offers literacy programs with

CUNY and with the public libraries. And that network

of people together with DYCD services 70,000 people,

actually probably it's over 70,000 but I just wanted

to correct the number, that it's not just us, it's a

lot of people. We couldn't do this alone and we

always welcome the opportunity to serve more and

serve better, but we wanted to make that

clarification.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: When we talk about after school program or literacy program, language opportunity is very important also. So, those people, they don't speak English. English is not their first language.

So, among your staff people, do you have staff members of people, teachers, who speak different languages based on the population of students they are serving?

SUSAN HASKELL: I'll start just talking a little bit about an after-school response to that and I appreciate the question, thank you for that.

Commissioner Chong, my colleague noted that service integration and improving the quality of service through information is one of his main priorities and my colleagues Michael Deutsch and Denise Williams have been working on improving the capacity of the agency to deliver better services; you mentioned continued quality improvement.

So, I'm excited that we were able to look into the language of participants and that's part of the new data collection, efforts that DYCD has launched recently. So, I am able to give you more answer to that question now, because we have better information

2.2

and in after-school, we had hundreds of staff that had been tagged by the program provider in the system as speaking a non-English language. At least 14 languages were noted, Albanian, Arabic, Bengali, Chinese, French, Haitian Creole, Hebrew, Hindi and several more, including other.

So, yes, we have in the after school — and I think this has always been true, we've seen the data now, but I think people who work in the after-school programs know that this is part of the work that they do is an effort to have staff reflect the community that they are serving. So, yes, we have many staff members who speak languages other than English in the programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Let me put it another way. Let's say for example, you have a class of 100 students and 20 or 30 of them speak let's say,

Spanish or any other language, are you going to select or appoint or get somebody who speaks Spanish and English to serve these 30 or 20 students?

Because they represent approximately one-third of the class?

WANDA ASCHERL: So, we don't necessarily enforce a specific practice, but we have seen in our after-

school programs is that our agencies organically design activities and identify staff that reflect the community.

So, in that example of 100 participants in let's say 20 of the young people, speak let's say Spanish.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: An example, it could be any other language.

WANDA ASCHERL: Or any other language. They would hire, they often times hire several staff that speak that predominant language in the program, so that the young people can interact with adults, interact with peers in the activity level.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: So, I think measure the success of the after-school program. How do you measure the success of the after-school program or literacy program?

WANDA ASCHERL: Well, I'll start with afterschool. We have a few different measure depending on
the program area, but one thing we look at as a
starting point, we look at whether the program is
able to engage young people.

So, we have a contract with community-based providers, if the quality of their work is not excellent, it's less likely that young people will

\_ -

attend, and the parents will sign their young people up for the program.

So, the first thing we look is, are they able to engage young people? And if they are, how often do those young people participate in programs? So, they signed up and they came, do they keep coming back because they value the service that they are given and in addition to that, we know that there is a lot of support for the after-school programs from communities. We see that in advocacy year after year.

More specifically, we have independent evaluators who look at outcomes in our programs. Some examples, and again, they very widely are, do young people feel that they are learning new skills? Do they feel comfortable that they have a supportive peer environment? Do they feel connected to caring adults in the programs? Do they feel that the programs are enhancing their leadership skills? We have a comprehensive questionnaire that we implemented with our middle school students about how their developing leadership skills.

Are they getting hours in stem and literacy? And how do parents feel about those programs? We want to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

know about their satisfaction as well as a principal. How does the principal feel about this program supporting their school day?

So, we have multiple measures on the outcomes and the experience of young people in our programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: So, you said, part of your strategy to measure the outcome or the success of the program is to find out if the students are comfortable with the program, if they are involved, if they keep coming, but when you find out there are several issues or the student doesn't come back to the program, they are not satisfied. What is a step that you take to remedy to resolve this situation and to ensure that they come back and that they are comfortable? Do you have staff in place for that? Social workers, psychologists, professional to go in exactly and find out what is wrong and how can you resolve the situation?

SUSAN HASKELL: I think, you know fundamental to positive youth development, which is the basis of doing good programming is to help meet a young person where they are, especially the young peoples who are experiencing barriers. And some of the ways we get

2.2

to those satisfaction or dissatisfaction questions, is through our customer service questionnaire.

We're launching additional questionnaires in all of our programs, we did a pretty comprehensive assessment of beacon participants in prior years to find out, are they getting what they need, and we'll look at where the demand is that maybe were not hitting.

On the top of my head, I can think of one thing that really made an impression on our agency was that a lot of participant felt like food and security was one of their main concerns and as a participant of the program and we've been making efforts to connect food services with the programs.

And then we also, look we have a hotline. I mentioned the hotline in multiple languages and sometimes we get specific complaints about a program. It doesn't happen very often, but we welcome those concerns. We look forward to responding immediately to any of those concerns. Talk to the parent, talk to the young person, negotiate a more positive outcome with the provider.

Those are a few things that I can think of off the top of my head.

Question. Bilingual program and literacy program are a very important program that benefits not only the student but also the City of New York in the society. I know you are trying to do the best that you can do. What is the biggest challenge that you face in trying to provide literacy and bilingual program to the people who are in need? What is the biggest challenge? There is no challenge?

SUSAN HASKELL: No, of course there are.

WANDA ASCHERL: I see the biggest challenge and I think that's something that's beyond this is that in the youth development field, there is a high demand for staff and there is a shortage of staff.

So, I think that that would be the one challenge that I see for our providers is hiring qualified staff that meet our DOH and credentialing requirements and regulations, while at the same time having the experience in this field. So, that I would say would be the challenge.

SUSAN HASKELL: I agree with that, that's a micro challenge, meaning like on a specific program level and it's kind of a macro challenge across the city.

As a direct result I think, of the great expansion

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 we've had in this Administration for Youth Services.

3 It's the capacity question that you were asking.

4 That was being asked about literacy programs earlier;

5 we continue to expand after-school programs in

6 particular for middle school students and community

7 centers and we need more staff in an after-school

8 program than you need in a school day, because the

9 staff ratios are higher.

And so, one of our challenges has been helping providers meet those needs. Helping them with recruitment efforts, connecting to arts. Adults in arts professionals, connecting with CUNY, we're constantly trying to help respond to that.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: And to complete, what is your process to recruit staff? When you need to recruit some staff, what is your process? The process you are going through, how do you do that, to recruit the staff?

SUSAN HASKELL: I think providers, I mean, they start in their own community I think with staff recruitment. It could be from people who are coming up through the programs. People grow up in programs and become staff members and I think there's been in recent years like a stronger effort toward career

development. If I am a group leader in a program,

how do I develop my skills that I can become a program director? So, we have the higher-level positions. There are the more traditional methods of advertising and we have some websites specifically dedicated to recruiting youth professionals.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: We also, as an agency, through

our capacity building department provide resources and training for the providers. Staff development, career development, how do you facilitate a curriculum? How do you manage a classroom? So, from the agencies perspective, we try to provide resources beyond what the providers do at the local level.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much. Thank you, Madam Chair, thank you so very much.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you so much Council Member Eugene. I have a couple of nitty gritty questions.

How many programs are within your adult literacy program portfolio? And, what is the breakdown of the number of participants per specific program? And, what is the cost per participant in each of these programs?

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: We have 77 base contracts, 40 discretionary contracts and the price per participant is \$950. In 2014, we increased that price per participant from \$500 to \$950.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: In 2014, in that last RFP and in terms of your last question about how many participants are in each one of those contracts, we can send that information to you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: You increased that when?

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, thank you and — RONG ZHANG: So, you know the program very well, so several areas just pointing out. So, out of the 16,200 some people we served last year, in the ESL program, we have about 12,500.

So, as Commissioner Gutierrez just mentioned, the ESL population accounts for about 78 percent of the total we serve. And in our ABE programs, we have 2,800 - a little over 2,800 in there. And then the smallest portion is the high school equivalency students is 930, somewhere there.

So, I just wanted to point out is that in DYCD's programming, it is actually stating our RFP clearly that we want programs to focus on lower levels. So, we've basically said that we would like to see you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

know, anywhere between 50 to 60 percent of students be at the lowest level that other programs do not serve or cannot serve.

And that's one of the reasons why you know, our population for the high school equivalency program, the HSE programs is small. And in the ESL, if you'd further look at it and more people are at the lowest in the levels.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And your adult literacy, what was your adult literacy programs, what was the number? In your adult over 18 years of age?

RONG ZHANG: Oh, the adult literacy program serves people 16 and over and within that population that we serve, most of the people we serve are between 25 and 44. That's the major and then you have about 20 to 25 percent of the people between 16 and 24, that's the breakdown in terms of age.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And what's the total number of people who are enrolled in your adult literacy programs?

RONG ZHANG: That's year we enrolled over 16,000.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: 16,000, okay, and is there a waiting list and if so, how do you plan to reduce that list? Are there waiting lists for services?

RONG ZHANG: Well, in terms of waiting lists, each agency automatically documents people that they cannot place in the classes immediately.

So, yes, all programs have their own waiting list and we know that on average there are probably about anywhere between 400 and 600 people on the waiting list, but we don't know how accurate that it. For example, a person can walk into a program asking for service, but if you don't have an opening at this point, and you put them on the waiting list, the person could go walk down do another program that's down the block and get enrolled.

So, you know, we're not sure how accurate that is, but there certainly is waiting lists and programs, that's why we always try to convene programs and do networking and have programs know that there are other programs in your neighborhood. There are other programs somewhere else, so you can always make sure that you can refer people to other programs instead of having them wait for services. So, yes.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Do you think there is a need to increase the number of programs that we have that are providing the services?

2.2

RONG ZHANG: Certainly, and you know, with Council's support last three years, this is the fourth year, we were able to actually enroll a lot more people because of the expansion funding.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Did we actually increase the number of programs that you are not contracting as opposed to -

RONG ZHANG: With the expansion funding, what we did was that we for example, this year, we plan to actually expand 55 contracts. Of course, this will be at the request of CBO's to. We cannot just go there and impose an increase. So, we have them understand that there is additional funding to support and they have to take into consideration their capacity in terms of the staffing, space and everything else and then we can request.

So, we are expanding 55 contracts this year to serve an additional 4,000 people this year and Council has designated 40 some contracts.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Will they be new contracts or just expanding existing services?

RONG ZHANG: No, these are in addition to DYCD's existing contracts and we do contracts with them, we support them, we provide staff development. They

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

serve close to another 4,000 people, so we're talking about an additional 8,000 people each year.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okav.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: Can I expand on that a little bit.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Oh yes, Commissioner.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: Because I want us to manage expectations among us. I think that what we said before was that we wanted to make sure as we did these literacy services to balance capacity building with the growth of the need, right, or responding to the need. And we wanted to make sure that if we say okay, we want services for 100,000 people, is there capacity building money? Is there capacity building support to do that, so that we can keep the quality services.

Why are the quality services so important? have always been important, but they're much more important now, because when people who are having to learn literacy skills, the job environment expect much more of them than they used to.

So, it used to be that immigrants who came to this country went for a particular kind of job. That is no longer true, they can aspire to any kind of

O 1

job, which can include skills in technology, other kinds of skills that in the past, they might not have needed.

So, we have to continue to improve capacity in terms of quality instruction, but also be more diverse in terms of not just giving language skills, but language skills in context to a new workforce, right. A new workforce environment, a new expectation about how someone uses language skills in the workplace.

So, we used to have workplaces where people didn't talk. They went to work, they made cars, you didn't have to talk too much. But now, you have to be socially adaptable in that environment and it means that you need language skills beyond just language, but communication skills, social skills, and those kinds of things. Be adaptive with social media, all kinds of things that were not the expectations before.

And so, do we have a need? Yes, the need continues to grow because there's more and more immigrants coming into the US and we have a considerable population that does not have a high school diploma, even if they were born here. But it

is the same challenge, right, that we have to stop thinking about literacy as people just learning how to speak English or learning math but that we have to think about literacy skills as language acquisition plus all of these other things that are expected to them.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: The broader definition of literacy. So, are you saying that when you say we need to ensure that the capacity is there, are you talking about the capacity to meet those needs? Not in terms of space, spatially but I guess the intellectual ability or staffing? That we have enough people to staff these programs that can meet our learners at the level that they need to be engaged at?

So, do you collect any data from these programs regarding success, where they are going? Is there any type of data that you collect from our providers or the contracted providers?

RONG ZHANG: Oh, yeah, sure. In addition to the demographic, very important demographic information we have there, we also collect household income. In terms of success of programs, all students come into the program taking what we call a pretest,

establishing a baseline and then they participate in classes. Then they are post-tested periodically.

So, we record what we call, incremental progress. That is the small baby step programs; we document that. We also have an expected education gain outcome, which is students in need to move from one prescribed level to another level.

So, just to give you what we had last year, last year we just said we served over 16,000 people, we had 56 percent of the people who actually made one level gain, which is the outcome expectation. And the majority of the people made of course, the baby step progress.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Do you evaluate these programs and what is the rubric that you use.

RONG ZHANG: The evaluation is something that's very important. We have contract managers that manage those contracts. So, our staff go out to observe and visit programs regularly and when they get there, they not only talk with program director staff but also with students. We also observe classrooms and following each visit, we have a report that we write out and basically, you know, what we see there in our comments and we share our findings

,

2.2

with CBO's, so that this happens all the time and these findings from this visit, inform our staff development design. So, they all go hand and hand. This is done on a regular basis.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, I am assuming that the instructors that are doing what used to be the GED, the high school graduate exam, are certified teachers or no, are they DOE certified at all of these locations?

RONG ZHANG: So, DYCD do not require teachers to be certified, but we do have requirements in terms of qualification. For example, program directors, teachers will have to have a bachelor's degree a related area with five years teaching experience working with adult population.

We also have programs that work very closely with the Department of Education. You know, to kind of leverage resources. So, teachers provided through the Department of Education are very often state certified.

What follow up services or programs does DYCD provide to those who complete the literacy programs?

Like, job search programs, things of that nature.

\_\_\_

RONG ZHANG: Helping students transition is something that we've been emphasizing the last few years. The next step would be employment, employment training programs or college. Since this is a literacy program that we're talking about, we look a lot at the next steps moving students from ESL to AB programs, AB students to HSE programs and that's the biggest effort that we make in moving students on this continuum. Annual students that are in HSE programs we connect them, you know, we try to do everything we can to provide college and a career advisement in that area.

Programs do work with CUNY colleges to connect students who are ready for the next steps. At this point, our funding does not specifically focus on follow up, but we do realize that the transition, the helping students, making sure that they get to the next step, is important. So, we convene them, we talk about this, but we do not have specific requirements on those.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: In the past adopted budgets where the Council and the Administration have secured \$12 million for adult literacy programming, the funding was shared between DYCD, the Mayor's Office

of Immigration Affairs and DOE's community schools initiative. In Fiscal Year 2020, how much of the \$12 million went to DYCD?

RONG ZHANG: Right now, the final plan has not been approved yet, but we have proposed to amend our existing contracts and also provide necessary support to programs. We are spending about \$6 million of the \$12 million within DYCD contracts and then there is the \$4 million that's going to be designated contracts through the Council. So, were talking about \$10 million that DYCD is going to manage.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: What is the estimated cost per participant in a bilingual after school program and how does that cost compare with an after-school program that's conducted only in English?

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: I don't have any information about that right now and even the question about how much after school costs can vary whether you are talking about an elementary school, a middle school student, how many hours. There are so many variables in the cost, I don't know what the answer to offering bilingual instruction and after school will be.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Can you venture to say that there's some appreciable difference in the cost?

J

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: I would say yes, yeah, I would say yes, primarily in staffing costs.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And so, is that because you would have to pay bilingual staff more than staff that did not have another language?

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: That could be, it could also be the necessity to — maybe it's hiring additional staff. Is it getting rid of some staff or replacing other staff. Is it the cost of managing your staffing model all together? The additional administrative work.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Staff hours?

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: Yeah, staff hours, yeah, it could vary on many factors. Many unanswered questions I would say to get to that point.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Do you think that the difference is enough to rule out having bilingual after school programming across the board?

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: I don't think I could say that, but I do think there could be an appreciative cost to the nonprofit providers, yeah, I think it's possible.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I know we've talked a lot about cost and you know, it's very hard to sort of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: This is adult literacy, so the

price per participant is going to be very different

quantify some of the values that you might need to come up with a figure, but I would like to see you sort of put together what it would cost to have bilingual after school programming.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: I will take that request back and also raise that the research to answer that question wouldn't be accessible in house to DYCD. Again, because the impact on individual programs 1,200 or so, individual programs would depend on many factors including their current staffing models, their current student population, those things are fluid. The skill level of young people today. skill level of young people in six months, but I will take that request back.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, well, you've already I think made some sort of assessment because you're talking about expanding the after-school literacy programs now, aren't you? We talked about that previously.

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: No, those are not after school programs, those are the adult programs.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Oh, okay, okay, okay.

2.2

of apples and oranges.

than an after school, for all the reasons that

Assistant Commissioner Haskell talked about before,

which is that you know, they have very different

criteria for after school, certainly expectations and

it costs a lot more to have a child in after school

than to have an adult who takes care of themselves,

pretty much themselves, to a class. So, the price

per participant is less than being able to give

bilingual education to a child in after school. Sort

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, so, I just want to know, is this something that we can expect that you would look at and try to give us a number?

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: Let's continue the conversation about that. Let's talk with you know, let me bring this back to DYCD and we'll work with Council and Council finance on any questions around this.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And my last question is, how do you interact and interface with the other literacy programs like, First Readers, other sort of community-based literacy programs? Is there any kind of interaction and sort of what is the mechanism that you use to have that?

2.2

SANDRA GUTIERREZ: Yes, if I understand your question, I would say absolutely yes, maybe Wanda could talk about the connection between the literacy programs and our community centers?

WANDA ASCHERL: So, we have several programs that have both the literacy component and the beacon component that exists in one school and often times we find that in some cases it's the same provider, which is fantastic, because then they have the literacy piece. The staffing structure is within that agency and they have the after-school component and what they do is that they integrate those services as very seamless in that model where they serve as a referral and vise versa. From the after school to the adult literacy during the day.

We'd like to say that we're almost like serving the whole family, so you may have the adult learner in the ESL program, while their children are attending the after-school program and that's how we see those things happening.

And I did want to add that some of our providers, especially in our beacon and our corner stone programs, their required to collocate with other entities or smaller agencies that may not have the

2.1

2.2

living.

infrastructure to run a comprehensive program, but
they are utilized to enhance service within the
after-school program. And I've seen programs, in
particular, one in Chinatown where they had beginners
in advanced levels of basic literacy and learning
just the basics of even just grocery shopping. Like,
how to ask for oranges and apples to actual
conversations and in this particular example, I was
able to see twenty plus adults in three different
classrooms, learning different types of
functionalities around literacy and just every day

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. What other city agencies or agencies does DYCD work with to address the problems associated with a literacy like DOE and HRA? Can you describe the nature of the interagency interactions and have any other programs resulted from this interagency collaboration?

WANDA ASCHERL: Well, we did mention that we work with the Department of Education on sharing teachers or making sure that there is a referral system back and forth. We have had conversations with them around literacy strategies or how we could do that better, those referral systems. With CUNY, we really

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

rely on CUNY to help us with staff development and that sort of thing, but also to introduce our young people who have gone through literacy programs and then have completed their high school education, so that they can enter college and stay in college and of course, we talked about the need and the collaboration that we have with the public libraries.

We have in the past talked with HRA about literacy in the context of job training and job development and some of those discussions continue to go on because as you know, we have a lot going on at DYCD in the development of jobs, employment and career training.

So, wherever there's an opportunity for us and this is in the spirit of what we have said before in the spirit of our mission and certainly with Commissioner Chong's leadership about integrating services but that's not just integrating services among the community-based organizations. It's not just about integrating services among even our internal units, but it is among those city agencies who have the same interest in helping New Yorkers just thrive and succeed.

2.2

So, obviously, we are going to be talking to HRA. We talked to the Department of Health, you know, because DYCD has such a large footprint in terms of the services that are provided, right. And particularly because we have a focus on alleviating poverty.

It's in our interest, but it's also in the interest of other city agencies to work with us, to partner and to develop all kind of strategies where people could use the services. I think everybody wants the same thing, that we want New Yorkers to be able to access first, to be informed, to be able to access and then to be able to participate in services. So, that the city gets better.

So, I assume they're members of the ICC and they address literacy as part of the -

WANDA ASCHERL: Yes, the ICC, we made a recent presentation to them actually.

RONG ZHANG: Right, so every time there is a quarterly meeting, this is made known to our providers and if I remember correctly, we have actually had student representatives talking about their programs, the programs that they make at those meetings. So, yes and also talking about and you

\_ -

asked a very important question, there is collaboration and partnership with other entities. You know, in addition to the city agencies that we work with, you know, in order to achieve what we always say, you know, collective impact. You need to really reach out to other services.

You know, this is literacy services, so tried our best to kind of connect our programs to entities like City Tutors, New York Cares, RSVP of Community Services Society. So, there are a lot of you know, untapped resources out there. For example, just yesterday we had a providers meeting and where we have folks from RSVP do a presentation on their volunteer program.

You know, they have retired people from all sorts of fields and who can actually serve as volunteers for programs. So, we not only talked about programs, we actually introduced what forms you need to complete, how are you going to be able to access those volunteers? We had one agency from Staten Island, JCC, actually talked about their experience working with RSVP and they just feel that it is such an asset that we certainly cannot just let it slip by and we got use them.

2.2

So, you know, the programs have specific requests. Like they need tutors, they need for ESL learners, they need conversation partners. You know, and Union people who help them with digital literacy.

So, there are lot of talents out there and this is what we do. We want to connect programs to those and leverage the resources.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you, and what is the impact on children of adults who struggle with literacy? You know, for example, are there children who are more likely to suffer because of low literacy among you know, their parents?

RONG ZHANG: Oh, yeah, definitely. Lots of research points to that. You know, children with educated parents come to school with much much larger vocabulary for example. They are going to be able to benefit from the reading and accessing reading material is much easier than kids from families, from parents that do not read and write. And that's one of the reasons that our literacy programs —

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Address that?

RONG ZHANG: Yes, and we also integrate parent involvement, family involvement, family engagement is a wonderful thing that we emphasize in our programs.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, I said three questions ago, I know I said three questions ago, it was the last question. I just wanted to see if you were still paying attention. And this is the last one, this is the last one.

How many school districts in New York City have more than one third of the total number of students being English language learners, how is this measured and how is this reported?

SUSAN HASKELL: I don't know the answer to that question.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Oh, you're going to fail the test at the end?

SUSAN HASKELL: No, no, no.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: You were doing so good.

SUSAN HASKELL: No, what I do know is, as I stated earlier, we have more and more information about our programs and what is I think you know, the spirit of this conversation is around what is happening with a young person who doesn't speak English in our programs?

And so, we have better participant data which I shared a bit in our testimony. I spoke to the number of staff we have. I also wanted to mention that our

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

25

data shows that we have hundreds of program activities within after school that flag that a non-English language is being supported by the program activity. I think some of the examples we gave in testimony. We have many, many more examples, at least 18 other non-English languages are represented in an activity that's going on in the program.

So, I think the focus, what we learned in looking at this data in preparation for this hearing, is the 5,000, approximately 3 percent of young people in our programs who aren't reporting that they speak English well. And I think that's the young person that we're trying to impact with the framework that we put around our programs to make sure they are connected to peers and caring adults and making sure that their experience is positive and that they continue to develop those literacy skills which are so important.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Do you think it's possible for you to get me that number though?

SUSAN HASKELL: Can you -

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Yes, I want to know how many school districts in New York City have more than one third of the total number of students being English language learners.

SUSAN HASKELL: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Yes, and we want to know how it's measured and reported because it's an important part of the bill that we're interested in passing.

SUSAN HASKELL: We'll reach out to our partners.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, now, I will say, thank you so much for your testimony and your time. And congratulations on the resource guide being out and available and again, thank you for your cooperation. And any of those numbers we ask for, I'm sure you'll be following up with getting to our administration.

PANEL: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you. And so, our next panel will be.

COUCIL CLERK: Lisa Schwartzwald from New York
Immigration Coalition, Ira Yankwitt from Literacy
Assistance Center NYC Coalition for Adult Literacy,
Lena Cohen from United Neighborhood Houses and Nancy
Robles, Vow Voices of Women.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Hi, as soon as you can, sit down, give us your name and your affiliation and you can begin your testimony.

Okay, I did say hi while you were getting seated, but I will say it again. Thank you for being here

2.2

this afternoon, well, it's not quite afternoon yet.

3 This morning and please give us your name and your

4 affiliation and you can begin your testimony. Thank

5 you.

Assistance Center.

IRA YANKWITT: Good morning, thank you Chair Rose for the opportunity to testify. My name is Ira Yankwitt and I am the Executive Director the Literacy

A 36-year-old nonprofit organization dedicated to strengthening and expanding the adult education system and to advancing adult literacy as a foundation for equal opportunity and social justice.

Today, I will be testifying on behalf of the New York City Coalition for Adult Literacy or NYCCAL. A coalition comprised of adult literacy teachers, program managers, students and allies from over 40 community-based organizations, CUNY campuses and library programs across the five boroughs.

Today, in New York City, there are approximately
2.2 million adults who lack English language
proficiency, a high school diploma or both. The
majority of these adults are immigrants. Others were
born in the United States but underserved by the
public-school system. Many of these adults are

2.2

unemployed or live in poverty. Most are people of color.

Limited skills impact almost every aspect of their lives, making it difficult for them to secure living wage jobs, support their children in school, advocate for their rights as workers, access quality healthcare, and fully participate in the political process.

Yet public funding for adult literacy education is so limited that fewer than 4 percent of these 2.2 million adults are able to access basic education, high school equivalency or English language classes in any given year.

NYCCAL is grateful to the City Council for championing the cause of these adults and for securing a \$12 million expansion of adult literacy funding and services for each over the past four years. Unfortunately, this funding and these services are just the tip of the iceberg.

When it comes to funding for adult literacy, there are really three issues. The first is the paucity of the funding itself which shuts the doors to over 95 percent of those adults in need.

The second is the unreliable nature of the current funding streams, which poses a continuous threat to program stability, staff continuity, and the ability to fully achieve program and policy goals.

The third is the inadequacy of the funding formulas and rates which undermine programs ability to provide the full array in depth of services that students need.

In December of 2017, my organization, released a report entitled, Investing in Quality. A blueprint for adult literacy programs and funders. Funded by DYCD, the report details 14 building blocks of a comprehensive community-based adult literacy program, identifies the resources needed to fully implement the building blocks and includes a first of its kind cost model.

Based on our cost model, we found that community-based adult literacy programs would need to have their current funding rates increased by at least four times in order to fully implement the components and services outlined in the report.

While this might sound like a big leap, we know that in current funding rates, many of the critical

program components that we identify, such as full-time teachers, counseling, support for students, workforce transition services, professional development and planning time for staff, and integrated technology are often compromised.

NYCCAL is calling on the City Council and the Mayor to take two crucial steps toward creating a city that truly provides educational opportunity for all.

First, baseline the \$12 million for DYCD funded adult literacy services and combine these funds with the existing \$3.5 million in previously baselined funding.

Then, once the baseline funding level for adult literacy services is increased, issue a new adult literacy RFP that establishes a funding rate that will enable programs to provide the high quality, comprehensive services that all adult students deserve.

Currently, DYCD funded programs are funded at \$950 per student, as we heard earlier. NYCCAL is calling on the next DYCD RFP to establish a rate of no less than double that amount and ideally up to

\_ .

four times that amount consistent with the rate identified in our analysis.

NYCCAL believes that being able to read and write, speak and understand English, obtain an equivalency diploma and successfully enter job training or post-secondary education are the rights of every New Yorker and that every adult in need should be able to access high quality adult literacy services.

If we are truly a city committed to equal opportunity and social justice, we should expect no less. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

LENA COHEN: So, it's still morning, so good morning Chair Rose. Thank you so much for holding this hearing. I think it's a really excellent step that the Council is taking to provide as much oversight over these critical adult literacy services and we're really happy to be speaking with you today and hopefully, you know, a really productive relationship will develop so that we can strengthen New York City's Adult Literacy program.

My name is Lena Cohen, I am here on behalf of United Neighborhood Houses. We've had the pleasure

\_

of working with you on a range of issues.

Especially this last year over the salary parity and  $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$  want to also thank you for -

UNIDENTIFIED: [INAUDIBLE 02:21:17].

LENA COHEN: Yes, absolutely, we are lucky to be partners with you. Another issue that United

Neighborhood Houses focuses on is adult literacy.

Our network of 42 settlement houses across the state reaches 765 New Yorkers from all walks of life and right now, we're in our 100<sup>th</sup> year of mobilizing settlement houses and their communities to be leaders in strengthening their access to important public programs and civic engagement opportunities and so much more.

UNH leads advocacy with our partners such as the New York City Coalition for Adult Literacy on a broad range of issues including: Civic engagement; youth services; early childhood education; as well senior services and of course, immigrant services in adult literacy.

So, we thank the City Council for passing a budget that included \$12 million for adult literacy over the past few Fiscal years. It's been a really important step that the Council and the

2.2

Administration have taken to provide the 2.2 million New Yorkers in the city that lack either an English proficiency, a high school diploma or both.

But the available programming only serves a small fraction of the need. Right now, we're looking at a population of 3 percent that has access to English literacy services. And so, that really puts us in a difficult position when we consider the broad crisis that we're trying to battle.

DYCD funded adult literacy programs are truly excellent. They are so important to invest in because they serve people in need that are barred from participating in many other types of adult education programs. A lot of the other programs focus on things like workforce outcomes and what not, and that really tends to leave out the lowest level learners that community-based organizations, such as settlement houses focus on.

As we heard in the DYCD testimony, their programs are really structured to serve at least 50 percent learners that are at the lowest levels. And so, that's why these programs are so essential to invest in and fund because they work, and the data proves

that and it's just a question of whether or not we have the funds to really meet the growing need.

Additionally, DYCD funded programs are open to all residents regardless of citizenship status. So, given the growing attacks against immigrant communities and other adult literacy learners such as Public Charge, these programs are really essential in terms of providing a safe space for immigrants and their other students and allies to connect with teachers at community-based organizations in the context of learning English and trying to improve their lives.

However, we are extremely thankful for the partnership that we've established with the Council and the Administration to secure these additional \$12 million expansion dollars, but they haven't been baselined yet. And of course, that leaves providers year after year with uncertainty as to whether they can keep the door open or retain their staff and this perineal uncertainty really prevents programs from expanding in achieving the goals that we all want them to be able to achieve.

So, that's why we're really excited to work with you and your colleagues in the Council to get these

2.2

dollars baselined once and for all in Fiscal Year

2021, so that we can then focus on the other things

that my colleague Ira was talking about, such as the

5 lower reimbursement rates.

As Ira mentioned, the DYCD Commission report in 2017, that the Literacy Assistance Center conducted showed that providers are only receiving \$950 per student. And that rate is not anywhere close to what they really need to provide high quality service. However, the community-based organizations that provide these services are committed to meeting the students needs.

And so, they often dip into their other funding stream, such as general operating dollars and what not, to make sure that they are able to support their students. That leaves them with a deficit year after year. They are willing to take that on because it is such an important service to provide English classes, but the city should really you know, consider the fact that in order to provide sustainable funding for these programs, we do really need higher reimbursement rates and we're excited to work with you all to figure out how we can make that happen in a way that allows us to address the gap in education

among adults, as well meet providers where they need to be met.

And we think that's possible because these programs are structured in a really helpful and productive way and we hope to work with you to see them grow in the next year.

So, thank you for hearing this testimony and we're excited to partner with you.

LISA SCHWARTZWALD: Alright, is it afternoon yet?
We're five minutes over now, so, good afternoon
Council Member Rose. My name is Lisa Schwartzwald, I
am a manager of Education Policy. I specialize in
two generation work, early childhood and adult
literacy at the New York Immigration Coalition.

So, we are an umbrella policy and advocacy organization of more than 200 groups serving immigrants and refugees across New York State.

Our member organization specifically served the needs of marginalized immigrant communities including newly arrived immigrants, low income families, and youth and adults with limited English proficiency.

So, we would also of course like to thank you and the Mayor and the City Council for the \$12 million investment in Adult Literacy services. I would say

that I absolutely echo what my colleagues Ira and Lena have both said. I would also like to add that across New York State, there are around 971,000 parents of multilingual learners and I apologize, it's not in the written testimony.

But, between the ages of zero and eight and many of those live in New York City and around 42 percent of those parents are limited English proficient.

So, when we talk about these adult literacy programs and how important they are, I think that it is also equally important to stress how many parents need to access services and programs like this. Not only for their own benefit and for the benefit of workforce but also because they are their child's access to all of these incredibly

important services to their healthcare, to their education. You know, if they want to go to the doctor, if they want to talk to their child's teacher. Like, all of these are things that they really need the support in doing and I think we've seen that parents truly do so many things on behalf of their children.

So, when we talk about this fewer than four percent of New Yorkers who need these services not

2.2

being able to access them, we are also talking about all of those parents.

I would also again like to echo the ask that we baseline that \$12 million and combine it with the 3.5 that's already been baselined, so that we can really you know, plan year to year for these programs to keeping them as strong as they are already. And also, to echo that we also hear from our providers that the amount of money that they get per student is just simply not enough to really cover the costs of running these programs.

And again, they do do it anyway. I think particularly in the worlds that we currently live in when immigrants are really under attack.

Being able to access resources like this that can help them on the immigration path and that can help them to really integrate and join their communities and then give back is incredibly important.

So, I just want to say thank you again for having us here today and I look forward to working with you in the future.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Thank you.

2.

NANCY ROBLES: Good afternoon, my name is Nancy and I am a survivor of domestic violence and a member of Voices Women known as VOW.

VOW is a grassroots organization of survivors of domestic violence who organize to improve the systems that abused women turn to for safety and justice.

It's important that we provide services to victims in a safe, compassion and swift manner.

One of the key gap to improving these services is that many domestic violence services, organizations do not have peered delivered services model in place.

We believe that agencies that receive funding for domestic violence services, should have an active peer delivered service model.

They can begin by having all of their employment advertisement include language that encourage survivors of domestic violence to apply. Moreover, we believe that the City Council, Department of Homeless Services, Human Resource Administration and the Mayor's Office to end domestic and gender-based violence should mandate that organizations applying for funding has this model in place within their organization.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

NANCY ROBLES: Thank you.

Hiring survivors helps other survivors establish connection with someone who shared a similar story. It can increase hope which many survivors have lost during an abusive relationship. This is just a beginning and we encourage all who work in the domestic violence services field to put into action a peer delivered service model.

Thank you.

Thank you and in regard to CHAIRPERSON ROSE: your comments about domestic violence and peer related services, peer delivered services, are you also requesting that these services be delivered in the languages that many of the survivors you know, come to the agency with?

NANCY ROBLES: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: And so, you would want culturally competent peer directed services.

NANCY ROBLES: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, and I thank you for your courage to come and testify before us today. that's something that we would more than be willing to promote.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: So, I want to thank all of you, and I think I just heard in all of your testimony that you think baselining is a good thing. Go figure. So, you are asking that the funding, at the level that we currently fund, that that number be baselined and is it because of the difficulty in retaining staff from year to year because of the uncertainty of the funding, or are there other contributing factors?

IRA YANKWITT: Yes, exactly, it's both the inability to have certainty about being able to maintain staff which then also becomes an issue about actually being able to hire staff, because there are fewer people who are willing to take on that uncertainty as teachers. But it's really the instability both of staff and then all of the other program structures and personnel and resources. So, it's teachers, it's counselors, it's space concerns. So, anything that you need to run a program, if you don't know that you are going to have funding in subsequent years, it's hard to make those kinds of commitments and that's part of why it's been sometimes difficult for DYCD to distribute the funds as quickly as you might imagine.

2.2

Programs are very eager to expand their services, but between the fact that in some cases, as Lena mentioned, there running at a loss at \$950 a student. They can't increase that loss and because they don't know that the services and the funding, the services are going to extend into the out years. They have to regrettably decline.

So, it's both a staffing issue, uncertainty about staffing, but really uncertainty about every other kind of resource and structure that a program needs to invest in.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: DYCD increased the per student allocation to \$950. Each of you indicated in your testimony that that's not enough and you said that \$2,000 per student is a rate. What would you say would be an acceptable per student rate to provide the quality of services that are needed?

IRA YANKWITT: So, my organization as was mentioned earlier in the DYCD testimony, is DYCD is a technical assistance provider to the adult literacy programs that they fund. And in our first year of having our funding increased as a result of the \$12 million in expansion funding, DYCD, I would say very courageously allowed us to use part of those funds to

2.2

work with programs to produce a report that says, here's what makes a good program. Here are the resources you need and then to do a cost model budget that where we actually created a hypothetical adult literacy program that look typical of the programs that we see in CBO's throughout the city and identified what the costs and went in and did the research and including things like looking at what's the cost of commercial real estate in the South Bronx, right.

And so, from that, we came up with a per student cost, which is about four times the current funding rate. So, that's why we keep on citing that funding.

Obviously, there is attention that even if we were able to baseline the \$12 million and have that built into the RFP, if we increase the investment per student at the same baselined amount, that decreases the number of students who would be served.

Now, we might argue that you know, the ability to provide the kind of quality services that these students need, and the kinds of outcomes we're going to be able see. Not just in terms of workforce or credentialing, but in terms of a parents ability to support their children. The ability of an immigrant

2.2

keeping wait lists, because if I come to a program

to really fully participate in the political process to access healthcare.

We might say that that's worth the trade off in numbers but there is a tension there and you know, I'm talking off script here, but really what we should be coming to and asking for is \$50 million.

So, that we can quadruple the level of funding in each student but also maintain and even increase the numbers served because we're already only serving 3-4 percent.

But I'm happy to share that report with you anytime and you could see how we cost it out.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: Okay, is there a wait time to get into the programs?

LENA COHEN: Yeah, quite a few of them have wait times. I think that in terms of which of our programs kind of collected information, how many of them are able to actually bring people in off the wait list. It can definitely vary from program to program and from neighborhood to neighborhood. But certainly, I think almost all the programs have some sort of wait list involved.

IRA YANKWITT: Right and there is some cruelty in

2.2

me best.

and I'm looking for services and I'm put on a wait

list, my assumption is that that's real and I'm going

to get off that wait list. And I'm actually going to

get services and it also may lead me not to look for

services elsewhere or to accept services elsewhere if

that's the program that I really believe would serve

So, I know when I ran a program, we really struggled with whether to keep a wait list at all. You know, because we didn't want people to have the false hope that they were going to get in.

So, you know we don't really — we know what the need is in terms of the \$2.2 million. We know the number that we're serving in terms of the 16,000 that DYCD cited or 60 plus thousand citywide. What we don't know is what the demand really is because programs are sometimes reluctant to keep wait lists or longer wait lists than is really realistic.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: What is the largest gap in terms of adult literacy throughout New York City and can it be fixed?

IRA YANKWITT: In terms of the types of services?

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: In terms of adult literacy

programs, what would you say is the largest gap?

2 IRA YANKWITT: I think we probably all could 3 speak to that a little differently. I mean, I think something that I've observed from the time I started 4 in the early 90's, to now is that as the demographics 5 of the city change as we welcome more and more 6 7 immigrants, more of the services have shifted from the basic literacy. Basic education, high school 8 equivalency, but those who are underserved by the 9 public-school system to English language classes for 10 11 immigrant and even in those basic literacy and high 12 school equivalency programs, often what we're seeing 13 is immigrants who develop English language 14 proficiency then transferring in to get their

diploma, which is fantastic.

But I think the reality is, because the capacity is so limited in terms of the number of seats, and the demand on part of immigrants is so great, not only aren't we serving the vast majority of immigrants who can use the services but just a small handful, but it also means that we're seeing fewer and fewer classes for those who were born and raised in New York City and didn't graduate from high school.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

And that concerns me, because by not offering the classes, we're not really seeing what the true demand is and I don't think we're speaking to a need and a real responsibility we have to serve people who we weren't able to serve as children.

LENA COHEN: And I would just add from the settlement house perspective, that the majority of students that seek out classes are working parents, and these are parents that don't necessarily fit the nine to five office job image that you know, a lot of people would associate with when you want to schedule a class. Because if someone else doesn't want to schedule their adult literacy class at 5 p.m. if that's when the folks that they want to serve are going to work.

So, as a result, we've seen a lot of organizations such as university settlement or Henry Street settlement or CAMBA schedule their adult literacy classes at the time that the parents would be dropping off their children to the early childhood education programs.

And so, that's why when we talk about the gaps in population served, we really have to consider what the life model is of the student that's going to the

class in addition to all of the age and demographic and social characteristic information that DYCD is very good about collecting.

Anecdotally, we know that students often have to stop taking classes because it no longer fits with their work schedule. They don't have childcare available and if they can only go to a settlement house for a service, their probably going to choose something closer to case management or other types of wrap around social services.

The language in Schedule C that explains the scope of service for adult literacy programs, includes support services such as case management. which it's really great, however, because providers are only receiving \$950 per student, it's really going above and beyond. But whenever they build in case management into those programs.

And so, again, it goes back to the issues of programs operating on a deficit, programs being unable to plan for the years ahead due to the lack of baselined funds and then, when we talk about the wait list, it's like how can they really get to the wait list when they're just trying to make sure that on July 1st, their doors will still be open.

21

2.2

23

24

25

LISA SCHWARTZWALD: I would add in addition to agreeing absolutely with both of those things. the NYIC had actually done a series of round tables this past summer, both in New York City and in a couple other places and what we were really hearing in addition to just the difficulty. Particularly the difficulty with working adults was that for parents specifically, often times the goal of learning English was too intergrade. Right, so they were really just integration factors. They want to be able to go around their neighborhood and just interact with people and you know, build a home somewhere and the sort of end goal of a lot of adult literacy funding is very, very focused on workforce development and high school equivalency part of whats great about the DYCD funding is that so much of it does go to lower English proficiency, but there is still a sort of idea, I think, that circulates like the purpose of adult literacy is ultimately jobs and that is not what we always see reflected.

Often times you have one parent working but one parent may not be working. And so, their primary focus is really on parenting. And that particular population of people; it's very difficult to get them

into classes, just because there are so few of them to begin with that focus on those levels.

And when programs are really being pushed towards these sort of workforce, adult literacy programs, just because that's where quite a bit of the funding exists. Then it really limits the spots that those parents can take to do what they want to do.

IRA YANKWITT: If I could just piggyback on that.

I mean, throughout this hearing what we've been talking about are the current publicly funded community-based adult literacy programs.

When you ask about gaps and what additional funding might be able to support, I think about organizations that are currently providing services that are not getting publicly funded or the kinds of organizations that could be providing services that aren't getting publicly funded.

And those are often the organizations working most on the grassroots, most contextualizing their work around issues in communities. So, I think about an organization like ID Car in Queens, in Jackson Heights, working with an Epulis community who are providing ESOL classes with volunteers from the

community and they focus on issues like temporary protected status and the right of nail salon workers.

You know, which is a large job but within the community. Their not getting publicly funded dollars to do that work.

I think about the testimony that we heard from the panelist at the end, which I was very humbled by and really appreciate it. Why aren't we providing in addition to other services, adult literacy classes within domestic violence shelters. So, I vote think about where are the gaps and services in terms of who we know are in need of the traditional services if you will, but I also think about where the gaps are in terms of the folks who are providing nontraditional services or could be providing services. And I'd encourage us to expand our thinking about who and where these services could be provided if they were the resources.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: That's excellent, we're like on the same page. What would a sort of a perfect model look like in an adult literacy program? What are the pieces, the components that you know, would make it totally comprehensive, you know, collaborative? Do you have like a model program that

could be actually — maybe we could pilot? So, that we could you know, make it a comprehensive —

IRA YANKWITT: Funny you should ask. So, this report that we produced, and I'd be delighted to send you as many copies as you want, or just the link and you don't even have to kill the tree.

The report is structured such that we actually identify based on research and work with programs, program managers, teachers, students in New York City. We identify 14 components of a comprehensive program and we describe them, and we summarize them all in one page.

And it's generic in the sense that they are the components that any program anywhere would need to have in place. Obviously, depending on your community, who you're working with. Which if those would be more in [inaudible 2:57:38], which might be different, but these are 14 components of a comprehensive program.

Then we went on to say, describe what the resources you needed to implement them and then the cost. So, that's what that report outlines and happy to share that.

And what we have come to Council to discuss for at least the last two years, and Council Member

Menchaca who is a real champion in this issue, he has been very receptive to.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: He is a very vocal advocate.

IRA YANKWITT: Absolutely, is potentially funding a pilot where we would identify and we would do this in partnership with Council, because you obviously know your communities far more than we do, right.

Where we would identify let's say, five programs that have been providing services within five different communities that would have the capacity to have their funding quadrupled, consistent with our report to serve the same number of students but to serve them fully and comprehensively and to be able to build out all those components and let's think not just about the traditional workforce outcomes or educational gain outcomes, but the range of goals that students have for coming to programs.

Let's track their outcomes around that full range of goals you know, and maybe most significantly parent engagement and parent empowerment. And let's really see, are we right? Right, we're coming to you and saying, if you fund these programs at four times

the level that they are currently funding at, they are going to be able to serve their students better and we're going to see significantly better outcomes. Let's test that and so, we've suggested five programs, four times the amount. It's a few million dollars; Council Member Menchaca has been receptive. We've had those discussions, but we really never moved forward in seeing that.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I was going to say, you don't have to sell me on this. I'm going to talk to Council Member Menchaca, we're both on the budget negotiating team which is going to meet shortly.

So, I look forward to sort of circling back to have an extensive conversation about this and I just want to ask you very quickly, any feedback, any concerns, anything about Intro. 649 from your prospective?

LENA COHEN: Sure, I'll speak on behalf of UNH.

We appreciate the Council creating this opportunity
to discuss the bill, which will require bilingual
staff after school programs. As settlement houses
are one of the leading providers of after school
programs, you know, our members kind of perked up at
this idea and recognize — or you know, we're happy

that the Council is responding to the need for multilingual access in after school programs.

However, city and state contracts for these programs do not provide sufficient funds to allow providers to hire staff that meet all of the language requirements that would be in this legislation.

Many programs are working for the minimum wage or just a little more and a lot of staff at these programs are competing with jobs that offer similar compensation but do not require specialized skills, so we're concerned on that.

While we completely agree with the need, we would just have to find a way to ensure that we wouldn't see too much staff transitioning away given these new requirements and instead, we could really focus on supplementing the bill with professional development and technical assistance as well as the funds that provide us with need to retain the staff and really achieve the goals that we very much see this bill sets out to do.

CHAIRPERSON ROSE: I want to thank you all. I want to thank you all for a very good hearing and I really like the fact that you made it very clear what

$^{\circ}$	0
9	0

## COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES

2 the recommendations should be going forward. Thank
3 you.

Nancy, thank you for your testimony and if there's anything that we can do to be of assistance, please be in touch and I just have one for the record. The New York, the YMCA of Greater New York, has submitted testimony for this oversight hearing, that will be entered.

And with that, I'd like to thank you all again and this meeting is adjourned. [GAVEL]

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018