CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES

----- X

SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 Start: 1:24 P.M. End: 3:40 P.M.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: ADRIENNE ADAMS Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Inez D. Barron

Peter A. Koo I. Daneek Miller Mark Treyger A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) Gail Mondero, New York City School Construction Authority

Kelly Murphy, New York City School Construction Authority

Kate Lemos McHale, New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission, LPC

Anthony Fabre, New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission, LPC

Ken Lustbader, Co-Founder/Co-director
NYC LGBT Historic Sites Project

Sarah Bean Apmann, Director, Research and Preservation, Village Preservation

Simeon Bankoff, Executive Director, Historic Districts Council

Andrea Golden, New York Landmarks Conservancy

Sarah Kamillators, Preservation Associate, Friends of the Upper East Side Historic District

Charlie Samber, Vice President, Government Relations Department, NYC EDC

Lacey Tauber, Housing, Preservation and Development

Jose Sanchez, Bronx Point Owners, LLC

Ann Tirschwell, Bronx Point Owners, LLC

[sound check] [pause] [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Good afternoon. Welcome to this meeting of the Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime uses. I'm Council Member Adrienne Adams, the Chair of this subcommittee. We are joined today by Council Member Koo, and we have other Council members on their way. Today, we have a very big agenda. We are holding public hearings on 18 items. 21 items? 21 items including how many Landmark applications? (background comment). Okay, so I'm right. 18 items including 15 landmark designations, two HPD applications and a school siting on which we also need to vote. Because we require a quorum to vote, we will begin with the school siting, the Preconsidered LU for Application No. 20195068 068 SCQ submitted by the New York School Construction Authority pursuant to section 1732 of the New York City School Construction Authority Act. This application concerns the proposed site selection for a new approximately 3,079-Seat high school facility to be locate on Block 1192, Lots 41, 47, 48 and 54 in Council Member Van Bramer's district in Queens. We are joined today by SCA reps Gail Mondero

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 2 and Kelly Murphy. Before you begin, counsel will 3 swear you in. 4 LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right 5 hands and state your names. GAIL MONDERO: [off mic] Gail Mondero. 6 7 KELLY MURPHY: [off mic] Kelly Murphy. LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the 8 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in 9 your testimony before this Subcommittee in response 10 to all Council Member questions? 11 12 GAIL MONDERO: [off mic] I do. 13 KELLY MURPHY: [off mic] I do. 14 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. 15 may be begin. 16 GAIL MONDERO: Okay, well, good afternoon, 17 um, the New York City School Construction Authority 18 has undertaken the site selection process for a new high school facility within the Woodside neighborhood 19 20 in the Borough of Queens, and will serve students throughout Queens, and that's for that. (sic) Thank 21 2.2 you. I'll start with this. Um, our slide show. 23 Okay. Oh, sorry about that. Okay. (cell phone chime) Okay, there we go. So this is, um, an aerial view of 24

the, of the site located at 5130 Northern Boulevard

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

where Northern Boulevard is the northern part of this site, and the railroad, um, tracks on the south. to the east is, um, the Tower Shopping Center and to the west...excuse me. To the east is 54th Street and the Tower Shopping Center is to the west. Um, the site contains approximately 3.15 acres or 136,895 square feet of lot area, and it's on Block 1192 and contains Lots 41, 47, 48 and 54. Under the proposed project the SCA would construct a new approximately 3,079-seat high school facility. The Notice of Filing for the Site Plan was published in the New York Post on August 29, 2018, in the City Record on August 30, 2018 and Queens Community Board No. 2, Community Education Council No. 30 and the City Planning Commission were notified of the Site Plan on September 4, 2018. The Citywide Council of High School was notified on September 6, 2018 and on September 12, 2018, the City Council on High Schools held a hearing on the Site Plan. On September 6, 2018, Queens Community Board No. 2 held a public hearing, and they held a meeting on October 4, 2018 where they issued a letter of support of the Site Plan. Let's do that so the ... the Site Plan ... SCA has considered all comments received on the proposal of

the Site Plan and affirms the Site Plan pursuant to Section 1731 of the Public Authorities Law in accordance with 1732 of the TAL. The SCA submitted the Proposed Site Plan to the Mayor and City Council by letter dated August 30, 2019. So, um, this site here is kind of a more zoomed in view of the ... of the site itself and the entire...where the dark building is to the corner, and then these are just some close-up photos, um, of the site itself, um, which shows what's on-site is the former Ports Authority Building and a very large parking lot. The other photo is just kind of an alley that's between the adjacent shopping center next door, and that to the left is the existing building, which will be demolished. Um, this is just vies of the parking lot and on the corner where are just to that other side is the, um, subway lines and this is served by three subway lines and multiple bus lines, and just--just some overview of the project itself. It's going to serve over 3,000 students and there will be three different school organizations including the District 75 and serving Grades 9 through 12. With that, we look forward to your Subcommittee's favorable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 2 consideration of the Proposed Site Plan, and if you have any questions. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: thank you very much. 5 I'm just happy to see that we are aggressively 6 pursuing high school, um, high schools in-in the city 7 particularly in Queens, which we are so overburdened and this is so desperately needed. So, I'm just 8 really happy to see this. This is very aggressive 9 over 3,000 seats for a high school. So, I'm really 10 happy to see this happen. We have been joined by 11 12 Council Member Treyger, and do my colleagues have any questions at this time? Council Member Koo. 13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you, Chair and 15 yeah, I have a question. It's, um, this since, um, 16 location is really close to the highways, right, you know, the Northern Boulevard and then the--and then 17 maybe-which are the highways next to it? Is this..? 18 GAIL MONDERO: Northern Boulevard. 19 20 Northern Boulevard. COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Northern Boulevard 21 2.2 and what? What's the other ones? 23 KELLY MURPHY: 50. GAIL MONDERO:  $54^{TH}$  and  $50^{th}$  Street. 24

Broadway, 54<sup>th</sup> and Northern Boulevard all come to the

2.2

corner on the left in this picture and then the subway station the three lines is right on the corner, which we think is great for high school students, and I believe there's about seven bus lines that also serve this site.

I'm worried about because it's close to like public transportation and major highways. So, I worry about the...the air quality, um, in the school, in the proposed school. So, when you may view the school machine, you have, um, some measures to ensure the air quality in the building is...is good?

That's part of the--

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: And then when they—what do they—do they—then when they do outside activities, um, I'm not sure whether they—they would be able to do it for a long time because of the Northern Boulevard and it's a lot of toxic stuff in the air, the PPMs and so how do you prevent like asthma aggravation in order to have no problems?

GAIL MONDERO:

GAIL MONDERO: So, as part of all of our design, we—and due diligence, we do a complete air quality test, and we put appropriate filtration systems in our HVAC systems for interior air quality.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 2 As far as outdoor play, and things of that nature, we put them as far away on the site from the major 3 4 thoroughfare. This building is not completely 5 designed yet so I couldn't inform you as to where 6 it's going to be, but generally speaking, in our 7 schools that are near major roadways, place base is 8 as far away as possible from the impact of major 9 roads. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay, so you would take those into consideration when you design the 11 12 school? 13 GAIL MONDERO: Absolutely. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you. I see no 16 questions from Council Member Treyger, and just for the record, Council Member Van Bramer is in full 17 18 support of this project. So, that said, I thank you 19 for your testimony today. 20 GAIL MONDERO: Thank you Thank you. (musical sound) 21 KELLY MURPHY: 2.2 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Are there any members 23 of the public wishing to testify on this particular 24 Seeing none, the public hearing on this item

is now closed. We do have our quorum, and, um, we

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 10 1 2 call for a vote to approve the Preconsidered LU related to Application 20195068 SCQ. Counsel, please 3 call the roll. 4 5 LEGAL COUNSEL: Adams. 6 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Aye. 7 LEGAL COUNSEL: Koo. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye. 9 LEGAL COUNSEL: Treyger. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Aye. LEGAL COUNSEL: By a vote of 3 in the 11 12 affirmative, 0 in the negative and with 0 abstentions, the item is recommended for vote to the 13 14 full Land Use Committee, and the vote is held open. 15 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you. This vote 16 will be held open. We will now move onto the public 17 hearings for 15 historic landmark designations 18 submitted by the Landmarks Preservation Commission pursuant to Section 3020 of the New York City Charter 19 20 and Section 25-301 of the Administrative Code. Though these submissions are all individual landmark 21 2.2 designations, we will hear them in the three 23 groupings: The LGBT History Group, the Broadway Group and two landmarks unrelated to the other two groups. 24

If you plan to testify, on any of these items, pleas

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 11 1 2 make sure to fill out an appearance slip for the sergeant-at-arms, and to indicate the LU Numbers on 3 all of the items that on which you plan to testify 4 and whether you support or oppose the designations. 5 The first group of six landmark designations we will 6 7 hear are related to (background comments) are related to the history of the LGBT movement and Speaker 8 Johnson championed the designation of these sites to 9 coincide with New York City hosting World Pride and 10 the 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Stonewall Uprising. They 11 12 are LU 490, the historic landmark designation of the Gay Activists Alliance Firehouse former Engine 13 Company No. 13 located at 99 Wooster Street, Block 14 501, Lot 30 in Council Member Chin's district in 15 16 Manhattan. Of the three historic landmarks in Speaker Johnson's district in Manhattan, LU 491, the 17 18 Café Cino located at 31 Cornelia Street, B lock 590 p/o Lot 47, lot 492 the Women's Liberation Center 19 located at 243 West 20<sup>th</sup> Street, Block 770, Lot 17, 20 and LU 493 the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender 21 Community Center located at 208 West 13<sup>th</sup> Street 2.2 a/k/a 206-2018 West 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Lots...Block 617, p/o 23

Lot 47. LU 494 the historic landmark designation of

the James Baldwin Residence located at 137 West 71st

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 12 1 2 Street, Block, 1143, Lot 19 in Council Member 3 Rosenthal's district in Manhattan and LU 495, the 4 historic landmark designation of the Audre Lorde Residence locate at 207 St. Paul's Avenue, Block 516, 5 Lot 32 in Council Member Rose's district in Staten 6 7 Island. I now open the public hearing-hearings on 8 these items, and I want to extend my congratulations to the Speaker on his work to protect these historic 9 10 I now recognize him. We will not recognize him. We will mention that he is in support of these 11 12 desig--designations. (laughter) Okay, we're now joined by our LPC Reps, Anthony Fabre and Kate Lemos 13 14 McHale. You can tell I've had too much coffee today. 15 (laughter) [background comments/pause] 16 LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right 17 hands and please state your names. 18 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Kate Lemos McHale. 19 ANTHONY FABRE: Anthony Fabre. 20 LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in 21 2.2 your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer 23 to all Council Member questions? ANTHONY FABRE: I do. 24

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: I do. (pause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, good afternoon to you both. You may begin.

(pause/no audio) KATE LEMOS MCHALE: So sorry. Is that better? -between Spring and Prince Streets in the SoHo Cast Iron Historic District, the G-A-A organized in the year following the Stonewall Riots and was most active in 1971 to '74 when this building served as its headquarters. Designed by the prolific Fire Department architect Napoleon LaBrun in 1881 to '82 the building features painted cast iron, red brick with stone trim and terracotta details. The interiors were partly destroyed by arson in October 1974, but the exterior is intact to its historic appearance, and to the period of association with the GAA. The owner is opposed to the individual landmark designation. All of these had the same support that I summarized earlier. The buildings derivers its significance as an important agent of change, and inspiring the creation of like organizations throughout the United States. In addition to hosting alliance meetings, dance parties and cultural events serving LGBT communities, the GAA produced a weekly cable news program during this period and published Gay Activist, a monthly news letter. The New York

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 City Chapter lobbied for passage of LGBT civil rights 2 legislations through sit-ins and ticket lines. It 3 also planned what it called ZAPS to confront 4 politicians and celebrities about their positions on 5 LBGBT issues and to gain media attention. Many 6 7 important LGBT groups were founded in the former firehouse or used space in the building such Lesbian 8 Feminist Liberation, Gay Youth, the Gay Men's Health 9 Center and the Catholic group Dignity. The Women's 10 Liberation Center is culturally significant as an 11 12 important gathering place of a collective force of women led groups, committees and organizations 13 pushing for radical political action serving all 14 women. Located on the north side of West 20<sup>th</sup> Street 15 between  $7^{\text{th}}$  and  $8^{\text{th}}$  Avenues in the Chelsea 16 neighborhood, the city-owned 19th Century firehouse 17 18 was the epicenter for women's engagement in the LGBT Right Movement from 1972 to 1987. 19 The property was 20 designed by Charles E Hartshorn in 1866 as Chelsea developed as a middle-class residential neighborhood. 21 2.2 The building features detailing such as arched window 23 and a bracketed cornice and a decorative cast iron frame surrounding the vehicular entrance. 243 West 24

20<sup>th</sup> Street has Hook and Ladder Company Number 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

from 1866 to 1967. The building derives its cultural significance as a volunteer run collective that has some of the most influential organizations working to bring public attention to the discrimination and legal injustices faced by Lesbians and all women including the Lesbian Feminist Liberation, which was a lesbian rights group founded in 1972. The group protested bigoted media representations of lesbians, fought to raise visibility for women at LGBT political rallies and pride marches, and advocated in particular for Lesbian mothers who often encountered difficult child custody battles after divorce. Other groups that used the building included the Lesbian Switchboard, the Lesbian Life Space Project, the Radical feminists, the Anti-Rape Group and Older Women's Liberation. After the Women's Liberation Center disbanded in the mid-1980s, several of the Lesbian organizations there including the Lesbian Switchboard moved to the new LGBT Community Center on West 13<sup>th</sup> Street, which I'll describe in the next presentation. 243 West 20<sup>th</sup> Street has continued to serve New York City women. Since the late 1980s has served as the home of non-traditional employment for women a skill trades workforce development program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender Community Center is culturally significant for its key role in the growth and development of LGBT rights in New York City for the past 35 years as a safe space for advocacy and community groups to meet and grow their organization. This significance continues today. The Center is locate on the south side of West 13<sup>th</sup> Street mid-block between 7th and Greenwich Avenues in the Greenwich Village Historic District. The central portion of the building was built circa 1869 with site additions constructed in the late 1870s. Used in the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> Century for educational purposes, the three-story Italianate structure was purchased from the City of New York by the LGBT Community Center in 1983 and has been its own ever since. It derives its significance as the home of the LGBT Community Center founded in 1983 as the LGBT Community Services Center to provide both men and women with a safe space to meet and share ideas. By 1985, six tenant organizations were leasing space and hundreds of people a week were crowding into the center's rooms. Efforts of the many groups and organizations has at the center let to the eventual passage of the City Council bill banning

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

discrimination based on sexual orientation that became law in 1986. Since its founding, the center has promoted the health and wellness of the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender community including the fight against AIDS and HIV. After the disbanding of the GAA and the Women's Liberation Center, the opening of the center filled a growing need for gathering space and for a supportive and accepting environment as the HIV-AIDS epidemic was having a profound impact on its communities. The Center partnered with a variety of groups in an effort to educate the broader public about LGBT health issues such as one partnership that included contributing 1,200 panels to the AIDS Memorial Club with the organization Heritage of Pride. The Center continues to provide services, act as a gathering place empowering and building a strong LGBT community in New York City. Today, the Center is home to an archival collection, arts and culture programming, young adult programs and career services dedicated to the LGBT community. The center has played an active role in promoting marriage quality and, health and wellness programs and continues to participate in Pride Week with a foot-float and an annual garden

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 18 1 2 party fundraising. And at our public hearing, the, um, representatives of the center did support the 3 4 designation and just was some concerns about 5 regulation, which we've spoken with them about. The 6 James Baldwin Residence is culturally significant for 7 its association with James Baldwin and a significant contributions to literary and civil rights history 8 through his writing and activism. 9 In 1965, celebrated novelist SAS and civil rights activist 10 James Baldwin purchased this apartment house in 11 12 Manhattan's Upper West Side, which served as his New York residences from 1966 to 1987. It's located on 13 the north side of 71st Street in the Upper West Side 14 15 Central Park West Historic District. At the public 16 hearing the property owner opposed designation of the 17 building as an individual landmark. Council Member 18 Helen Rosenthal publicly supported the designation. James Baldwin was born in Harlem in 1924 educated in 19 20 New York City's public schools and gained notice as a book reviewer before moving to Paris 1948. While in 21 2.2 New York he continued his economical semi-23 autobiographic novel Go Tell it on the Mountain in 24 1952. Baldwin's fiction was groundbreaking for its

depictions o bisexual and same sex relationships in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

works including Giovani's Room and Just Above My Head, which was published in 1979 during his association with the residents. During his time at 137 West 71<sup>st</sup> Street, Baldwin also participated in several notable New York City events including an appearance with Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. at Carnegie Hall weeks before King's Assassination in 12968 and a 1982 meeting of the New York Chapter of the group Black and White Men Together in which he spoke publicly for the first time about his experience as a gay African-American man. The photos on the left are of Baldwin in the rear yard of this property, which were taken by the New York Times. The house was constructed in 1890 as one of a group of four row houses. In 1961, years before Baldwin purchased the building, Architect H. Reynold Kenyan, Russell Kenyan extensively altered it with a new modern white brick façade that was brought even with the facades of its neighbors. It retains good integrity to the period associated with James Baldwin from 1966 to 1987. Although he primarily lived in France, he considered himself a transatlantic commuter maintaining a New York City apartment from the 1950s on. During his time in the residence he

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

worked on plays, screenplays and novels and corresponded with other prominent literary and cultural figures. He owned a building with is family. His mother and two sisters lives in the building, and this was something that was very important to him. Major writers and musicians including Tony Morrison who also briefly lived in one of the apartment, Maya Bicara, Miles Davis, Dizzy Gilespie and Max Roach visited the bold interior (sic) and were considered members of their extended family. Until he died in 1987, 137 West 71<sup>st</sup> Street was Baldwin's New York home and there is on other property within the city that there is this strong an association with Baldwin and his work, and finally, the Audre Lorde residence is culturally significant as the primary residence of the renowned African-American writer and activist from 1972 until 1987, a time in which she produced some of her most famous works. The property is located on Saint Paul's Avenue in Saint Paul Avenue Stapleton Heights Historic District, and was designed in a neo-colonial style by the prominent Staten Island building Otto P. Leffer (sp?) in 19-in 1898. Audre Geraldine Lorde was born in 1934 to Caribbean immigrants in New York City where she attended Hunter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

College and Columbia University. She worked as a public school librarian for several years before finding success as a writer. Audre Lord and her partner Frances Clayton purchased 207 Saint Paul's Avenue in June 1972. During her family's time here, Lorde survived breast cancer and produced some of her most favorite-famous works. In 1973, her third volume of poetry: From a Land where Other People Live was nominated for a National Book Award, and over the next several years, she published important poetry collections, essays and novels some of which are shown here. Through the 1970s and '80s Lorde is a prominent political activist in a number of arenas including Africa-American civil rights, feminism, and the Gay and Lesbian Movement. In 1983, Lorde spoke at the 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the National March on Washington speaking for Lesbian and Gay rights, and in 1980 she had co-founded Kitchen Table: Women of Color Press, which is dedicated to producing work by and about women of color of all racial, ethnic heritages, national oranges-origins and ages, socioeconomic classes and sexual orientation. For her contribution to literature and activism in 1991, Lorde was appointed as the Poet Laureate for New York

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME
    USES
                                                        22
 1
 2
     State, a position she held until her death in 1982.
 3
    As noted in a 19-a 2019 New York Times article,
 4
    Lorde's work is still very resident today, and in
 5
     particular as women in the LGBT community continue to
 6
     fight for equality. So, with, that, I will thank
 7
     you, and I'm happy to take any of your questions.
                CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: No, I just want to
 8
     say that, um, this is an impressive package, and
 9
10
     extremely important to the LGBT community. So, I
     just wanted to, um, commend you for your work at LPC.
11
12
     This is something that is unprecedented in the world
     of landmarks for the City of New York. So, I thank
13
14
     you for making history for the City of New York and
15
    preserving these amazing properties so that they will
16
     live on in perpetuity for this community that has
17
     been overlooked for so long. So, I thank you for
18
     that.
19
                KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you.
20
                CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I don't have any
     questions. Council Member Koo.
21
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: No.
23
                CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you very
24
    much for your testimony today.
```

25 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Are there any members of the public wishing to testify? I see Simeon

Bankoff, Ken Lustbader and Sarah Bean Atman.

[background comments/pause] You may begin when you're ready.

KEN LUSTBADER: Okay, thank, um, good day-good afternoon. My name Ken Lustbader, and I am the co-founder and co-director of the NYC LGBT Historic Sites Project. The overall project goal is to make an invisible history visible since many LGBT place based historic sites remain unknown and unappreciated. On behalf of both the project and myself I strong support the designation of the six cites being considered as individual New York City landmarks. For over 25 years along with my project co-directors, I have been involved in the issues related to LGBT history, documentation an and historic preservation. Beyond the already recognized Stonewall, the project is identifying hundreds of existing sites form the 17<sup>th</sup> Century through 2000 that illustrates the richness of the city's LGBT history and the community's contributions to American culture. When we started the project in a survey or comprehensive documentation previously existed of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 2 site associated with the LGBT history and culture of New York City. This deficit had prevented effective 3 4 advocacy and educational opportunities leaving 5 potentially significant sites and histories 6 unappreciated, uncelebrated, and potentially 7 endangered. I want to thank the Land Use Committee the Landmarks Preservation Commission Chair, 8 Commissioners and staff for considering these six 9 10 sites for designation. Earlier this year, the project submitted to the Commission a list or 11 12 prioritized sites for possible designation that included these six locations. Those recommendations 13 came from our recently published historic context 14 15 statement for LGBT history in New York City, which 16 surveys by nine themes the city's rich LGBT's place-17 LGBT place-based history. The report help 18 contextualize LGBT history tied to specific sites in the city. The City's actions in officially 19 20 recognizing and memorializing sites associated with LGBT history and culture sends a strong message 21 2.2 beyond the physical preservation of buildings and 23 spaces. It's continue-a continuation of the activism 24 started by earlier advocates from Henry Gerber in the 1920s to the Madison Society and Daughters of 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Elitists in the 1950s to those individuals such as Craq Rodwell, Carl Jay, Silvia Rivera, Marsha V. Johnson and countless-countless others who fought against discrimination and for liberation in the 1970s. With the recent pushback against LGBT rights, the histories embedded in place-based heritage can help inform how personal and political decisions are made. The city's official designation of these sites has the power to provide both a tangible visceral connection to what is often and unknown and invisible past and the tangible benefits of pride, mEmery, identity, community and continuity. Designation will help recognize that LGBT history is American history and reduce shame and isolation for future generations of individuals coming to terms with their identity for the benefit of learning about their LGBT past. Thank you.

SARAH BEAN APMANN: Okay. Good afternoon may name is Sarah Bean Apmann. I'm he Director of Research and Preservation at for Village Preservation. I'm here to express our support for the designation of Café Cino at 31 Cornelia Street, the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Center at 208 West 13<sup>th</sup> Street and the Gay Activist Alliance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Fire House at 99 Wooster Street as individual landmarks. The 2006 Village Preservation proposed Café Cino for a landmark designation as part of the Village-South Village Historic District noting its critical LGBT and theatrical history. In 2010, the Landmarks Preservation Commission designated the first phase of that proposed historic district including 31 Cornel-Cornelia Street as a significant building and not it's LGBT and fair history in the Designation Report. Nevertheless, we are happy to see that history fully recognized with individuals landmark status and support the proposed designation. Café Cino is universally recognized as the birth place of the off-off-Broadway Theater Movement as well as for the critical role it played in nurturing experimental theater, and in providing a forum for openly gay playwrights and actors to share material related to gay and lesbian identity when few other opportunities existed. Because of this extraordinary level of openness provided by the café operator Joe Cino himself a gay man, the café became a hub of creative innovation and accessibility freeing playwrights and actors from the constraints normally associated with community shell theater even off

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 27

Broadway. In 2014, Village Preservation proposed the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LGBT Center and the Gay Activist Alliance Firehouse long with the Stonewall and—and Jillian Aspar (sic) for landmark designation addressing the lack of recognition of the important LGBT history of these sites in their historic district designation reports, which in all cases contain no mention of this incredibly important aspect of the building's history. The center is eminently worthy of designation-of landmark designation. For three and a half decades it has been a center of community and political organizing and the provision of much needed social services, a communal meeting place, a public forum and a keeper of culture. The Center has played a key role in recognizing and serving the needs of LGBT youth, seniors, families, religious communities and people with disabilities, victims of hate crimes, people with AIDS and writers, artists and performers. It was the scene of early organizing to secure civil rights legislation, access to healthcare and inclusive curricula in city schools. The GAA Fire House also more than merits landmark designation, the Gay Activist Alliance was one of the most influential

post-Stonewall LGBT groups pioneering zaps against

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 28 1 2 political opponents while also promoting earlies gay 3 civil rights legislation in the country. Their 4 presence at the Fire House at 99 Wooster Street was 5 particularly consequential as they turned the building into a de facto LGBT community center where 6 7 no such entity formerly existed. Their reuse of the abandoned city-owned structure also spoke to the 8 growing movement transforming Lower Manhattan at that 9 time where disused structures were re-imagined for 10 new and unconventional purposes heralding a period of 11 12 unrivaled political and social creativity and ferment in this area in the mid to late 20<sup>th</sup> Century. Prior 13 14 to 2015, there was not a single individually 15 landmarked building in New York City based primarily 16 upon LGBT--LGBT history. In fact, while there has 17 been significant progress on that account in the 18 designation of history districts, until these recent designations with Stonewall and remain New York 19 20 City's sole individual LGBT historic landmark. We are happy to see that change. These three sites all 21 2.2 merit individual landmark status due to their 23 significant contributions to LGBT history and we, 24 therefore, urge this Subcommittee and the City

Council to approve their designations. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SIMEON BANKOFF: Good afternoon, Council Member, Simeon Bankoff, Executive Director of the Historic Districts Council. HDC is in very strong support of all of these items. We would like to commend the Landmarks Preservation Commission and the Council for being such strong advocates for that. These are truly breakwater sites and designations in the sense that they are adding to our common history, adding to our shared history. Although five our of six of these sites were already regulated by the LPC, there are elements in each of them that were lost, and would not be known without these designations. Additionally, I'd like to say that we are particularly proud of Baldwin House because that's a case which that history could easily be erased by the proper preservation issue, proper preservation practice to restore it back to an earlier time. Instead, through this designation we're actually capturing the importance of Mr. Baldwin. I'm going to conclude by saying it's a very strange thing to see one's personal history actually become official history, that when I used to hang out at the LGBT Center with friends, we were, you know, and then we thought it had been there forever and, you know, I

2.2

was surprised to discover it actually it had only been there for about three years, and now to have it become a landmark is a remarkable thing. It's a remarkable thing to see the, um, the Women's Liberation Center where my mom used to volunteer with those on the Lesbian Switchboard back in 1981, become a landmark, and these were all places, which helped service a community that was criminalized in the very recent past. As the commission continues to expand its reach and its breadth, we hope that they continue to recognize, honor and protect places of formerly marginalized communities. It's tough but it's very important, and it's a very important thing to share for all of us to move forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I thank you all for your testimony today. I agree a thousand percent with everything that the three of you have said today. I thank you so very much, in particular the Baldwin House designation. I believe that one of my sheroes she wasn't mention, okay, but I believe that one of my sheroes also frequented that and that was Maya Angelo, I believe that she...she also frequented the Baldwin Residence as well, and, um, I'm very, very excited as I know that you are to be a part of this

2 history as well, and I thank you again for your 3 testimony.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SIMEON BANKOFF: Well, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: We've been joined by Council Member Inez Barron. [background comments/pause] If there are no more members of the public wishing to testify on that item, we will close the public hearing on LUs 490, 491, 492, 493, 494 and 495. We will now move onto a group of seven landmark designations submitted by the Landmarks Preservation Commission pursuant to Section 3020 of the New York City Charter and Section 25-302 of the Administrative Code all of which are located on Broadway in Council Member Rivera's district in Manhattan. LU 481 the 817 Broadway Building a/k/a 817-819 Broadway 48-54 East  $12^{th}$  Street, Block 563, Lot 31. LU 482 the 826 Broadway Building now the Strand Building a/k/a 826-828 Broadway, 57-63 east 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Block 564, Lot 34, LU 483 the 830 Broadway Building, Block 564 p/o lot 36; LU 484 the 832-834 Building, Broadway Building Block 564 p/o Lot 36. LU 45 the 836 Broadway Building located at 836 through 838 Broadway, a/k/a 72 through 74 East 13th Street Block 564 Lot 39; LU 486 the 840 Broadway Building located

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME
    USES
 1
     at 840 Broadway a/k/a 64 through 70 East 13<sup>th</sup> Street,
 2
     Block 564, Lot 41 and LU 487, the Roosevelt Building
 3
     located at 841 Broadway a/k/a 837 Broadway, 837
 4
     through 847 Broadway, 53 through 63 East 13<sup>th</sup> Street,
 5
     Block 565 p/o Lot 15. I hereby open the public
 6
 7
     hearings on LUs 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486 and 487,
     and we'll let everyone know that Council Member
 8
     Rivera is in support of these designations. I now
 9
     once again recognize LPC representatives to present
10
     their testimony on these designations. I call again
11
12
     Kate Lemos McHale, and Anthony Fabre, and before you
     begin, I ask you once again to restate your names for
13
14
     the record and remind you that you are still under
15
     oath.
16
                KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you Chair
17
     Adams. I'm Kate Lemos McHale of the Landmarks
     Commission
18
                ANTHONY FABRE: I'm Anthony Fabre with
19
20
     LPC as well.
                CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you. you may
21
2.2
     begin.
23
                KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you for the
24
     opportunity to present these seven individual
```

landmarks that were designated by LPC on June 11th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

New York City's landmarks represent the city's cultural, social, economic, political and architectural history, and their protection promotes understanding and pride in our history and heritage along with bringing recognition, promoting tourism and other benefits. LPC identifies potential landmarks through detailed survey evaluation and research in response to requests from the public and based on agency initiatives and priorities. We do extensive outreach with property owners and are happy and willing to meet with them as many times as is needed or desired with the goal of gaining our support for designation and understanding of our regulatory process. Designation does not compel owners to perform work on their property not does it freeze the appearance of a building in time. Landmarks recognizes that buildings may need to be changed and altered to remain functional, relevant and productive. The LPC has an extensive body of rules and reviews and approves restoration, maintenance and appropriate changes to landmarks proposed by their owners, and approximately 95% of applications for work on them are approved at the staff level. I'd like to provide you with a summary

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

of our study of this area and the developmental history and significance of this group of individual landmarks and then very short presentations on each building. The area south of Union Square was an exclusive residential neighbor--neighborhood in the 1830s and 40s. Its growing population housed in row house and mansions. Following the Civil War, Broadway became an important commercial corridor attracting hotels, theaters and stores. These photos show the evolution of the neighborhood by the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century when with the construction of the subway through Union Square and technological innovations allowing taller structures with steel framing, electricity and elevators the area was transformed by the construction of new tall store and loft buildings. The seven landmarks presented here today are outlined in red on this map. They were the result of a comprehensive survey and evaluation of the area south of Union Square between Fifth and Third Avenues carried out last summer in response to community concerns about development in the area, which was supported by Council Member Rivera. LPC devoted substantial resources to the building by building study. Our survey and analysis found that

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES the area contains a variety of residential, manufacturing and commercial structures erected in the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> Century interspersed with many altered and new buildings built within the past 30 years. Because of this variety of age, type, architectural quality and integrity, we did not find a historic district narrative consideration in this area. However, our study underscored the outstanding significance of Broadway to the historical development and architectural character of the area, and we identified the most architecturally

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

distinctive and intact buildings along with a section of Broadway for designation as individual landmarks. The seven landmarks shown here in historic photographs were designed by notable New York City architects. One was built in 1876 with neo-grec style cast iron facades. The rest were constructed between 1895 and 1902 and designed in the Renaissance Revival style with facades clad in stone, brick and terracotta in the light color pallets incorporating elaborate classically inspired ornamentation. They were commissioned by speculative developers and primarily housed garment industry showrooms and factory space. The garment industry was a major

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

employer of New York City's working class and immigrant women and became an important sphere through which their advocacy for labor rights and suffrage emerged. Many of these buildings were picketed during labor strikes that furthered goals of the labor movement. The remarkably intact building anchor prominent corners and form an entire block front of the section of Broadway between NoHo and Union Square, and reflect the late 19th Century development of the avenue and broader areas. They're architecturally significant examples of their style and type and culturally significant for their associations with the garment industry and labor history as well as filmmaking and book publishing and selling. LPC believes the designation of these meritorious buildings as a cluster recognizes and protects the significant historic character remaining along this important corridor. 817 Broadway is a handsome and finely detailed example of the Renaissance Revival style designed by George B. Post in 1895 on a prominent corner site, and a significant design retains a high degree of integrity. It's located at the southwest corner of Broad and East  $12^{\rm th}$  Street. At the December  $4^{\rm th}$  public hearing, 14

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 37 1 2 people spoke in support of the designation of 817 Broadway and the entire group, and I'm just going to 3 summarize it once now, and not-and lie you know any 4 5 additional testimony we received for the specific 6 properties. Support included representatives or the 7 Historic Districts Council, New York Landmarks Conservancy, Society for the Architecture of the 8 City, Greenwich Village Society for Historic 9 10 Preservation and East Village Community Coalition. The Commission also received seven pieces of 11 12 correspondence and supportive designation of the whole group including from Council Member Carlina 13 14 Rivera, New York State Senators Brad Hoylman and Liz 15 Krueger, New York State Assembly Member Deborah 16 Glick, Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer, the 17 Municipal Art Society of New York and the Municipal-18 and the New York Metropolitan Chapter of the Victorian Society in America. We received one email 19 20 opposing the designation of all seven buildings. 817 Broadway is currently undergoing a sensitive 21 2.2 restoration of its brick and terracotta clad facades, 23 and the owner spoke at the public hearing to describe this work and state that he looked-that they looked 24

forward to working with the Commission. The building

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 2 was an early tile commercial building in the neighborhood and remains prominent in the 3 streetscape. It's Architect George B. Post was one of 4 New York's best known and influential late 19th 5 Century architects. The highly visible street 6 7 facades are embellished with tan colored Roman brick and terracotta reliefs inspired by Italian 8 Renaissance architecture. Stand-out features include 9 its distinctive angle peers and elaborate crown with 10 a pieced pair cut. Post design 817 Broadway is the 11 12 Meyer Jonasson and Company Building, a firm advertised as the world's largest manufacturer or 13 lady's garments. 817 Broadway continued to serve the 14 15 garment industry in the early 20<sup>th</sup> Century. Across Broadway, 826 Broadway is as significant 16 architecturally as it a distinguished commercial 17 18 expression in the Renaissance Revival style built in New York at the turn of the  $20^{th}$  Century. It's also 19 20 culturally significant as the home of the Strand Bookstore since 1956 and for its historical 21 2.2 association with the garment industry. The 11-story 23 store and loft building was built in 1902 on the northeast corner of Broadway and East 12<sup>th</sup> Street. At 24 its first public hearing on December 4th, the 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

following written submissions in addition to the support I already described 12 people spoke in opposition to the proposed designation including the building's owner and the Commission received three written submissions in opposition to designation. the owner's request, LPC held a second public hearing on this property on February 19, 2019. At that time, seven people testified in favor of the proposed designation including Council Member Carlina Rivera, representatives of the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation and Historic Districts Council, the New York Landmarks Conservancy and the Victorian Society of New York. Fourteen people testified in opposition including the owner. The Commission subsequently receive 53 written submissions in support of the designation including from Representatives of the-of eight historic preservation advocacy groups and received a letter from the National Trust for Historic Preservation. The Commission received 76 written submission opposing the proposed designation, and a petition to "Save the Strand" was shared with the Commission when it had approximately 6,600 signatures. 816 Broadway was developed in 1902 for garment industry tenants

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 40 1 2 seeking larger manufacturing and whole-whole sale Its Architect William H. Brookmeyer was a 3 spaces. 4 prolific designer of steel framed structures in New 5 York City and a well know expert on the engineering aspects of design writing several publications that 6 7 were important references in the field. 826 Broadway is an intact and especially robust example of the 8 Renaissance Revival with identical decorative 9 features on both facades lending prominence to both. 10 In 1956, the Strand Book Store moved from its 11 previous location on East 9<sup>th</sup> Street near Fourth 12 13 Avenue's Book Row to the ground floor of 826 14 Broadway. Located there for over 60 years the Stand 15 is the building's longest occupant eventually 16 expanding to three floors of retail space with an inventory of more than 2.5 million books and 17 18 purchasing the building in 1996. The Strand became a center of literary life in Lower Manhattan as well as 19 20 internationally recognized destination for New Yorkers and visitors alike. The building serves as 21 2.2 an important reminder of the neighborhood's historic 23 role as the center-center of the book trade in New York City. The 830 Broadway Building is another 24

remarkably intact example of the tall store and loft

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 buildings introduced in the late 19<sup>th</sup> Century around 2 Union Square to house garment related businesses and 3 has facade decoration. It is located mid-block 4 adjacent to the Strand. At its public hearing on 5 December 4<sup>th</sup> and in subsequent correspondence, the 6 7 building received the same support of designation asas previously described. Built by the Mella 8 Fireproof Partition Company in 1898, 830 Broadway 9 seen here with 832 Broadway on the left and the 10 Strand Building on the right originally housed 11 garment manufacturers and wholesalers and later a 12 variety of tenants including publishing firms in the 13 14 1940s and '50s. It was converted to work-live/work 15 space by artists and musician in the '70s and became 16 a residential co-op with 832 Broadway in 1980. It was 17 designed by Covernan (sic) and Petzel known for their 18 use of finely crafted ornamentation and features elaborate ornamental detail in particular at its base 19 20 and its two-story crown shown here, and retains a high degree of integrity to its original design. 21 2.2 to 834 Broadway is a distinguished and intact 23 Renaissance Revival style store and loft building built in 1897. The designation recognized built it's 24

architectural and its cultural significance related

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to turn of the century commercial transformation of this part of Broadway and connections to labor history and political activism. It is located midblock as we can see here in the map and received the same support as I described earlier. 832 Broadway was developed by the Commercial Realty Improvement Company in 1897 and designed by Ralph Townsend, a noted architect of the era. His work in New York City has been recognized by the Commission and includes a variety of building types and styles. Its entire façade is encrusted with decorative classically inspired ornament as seen in these detailed photographs. 832 Broadway was originally home to the cloak makers, and in 1898, it was the location of an agreement made during the cloak makers' strike sending 500 workers back to work. A variety of garment manufacturers occupied the building through the 1930s when the Workers Party of America, later the Communist Party of the U.S.A. established a publishing company in the building, and published many Communist Party pamphlets and printed propaganda such as shown here. 836 Broadway Building is a cast iron store and loft building designed by Stephen Decatur Hatch in 1876. It's the oldest

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

building among this group and its two facades on Broadway and East 13<sup>th</sup> Street are architecturally significant examples of the Neo-Grec style popular after the Civil War. It occupies an irregularly shaped lot shown here. At the December 4<sup>th</sup> hearing and in subsequent written correspondence we received the same support for designation. building was constructed by the estate of James and Cornelia Roosevelt who were the great uncle and aunt of President Theodore Roosevelt, on the site of their The first long-term tenant was Mitchell Vance house. and Company important manufacturers of ornamental metal clocks and electric lighting fixtures. The Architect Stephen Decatur Hatch designed this building as well as the Roosevelt Building, which we'll see in a minute, and he was an important 19th Century architect. He was an architect of the U.S. war Department, and known for several New York City landmarks such as the Guilty Hotel, and the original portion of the New York Life Insurance Building on Broadway. Hatch's design for 1836 Broadway exhibits a clearly defined hierarchy and generously sized windows. Particularly notable are the delicately incised and applied ornament and Renaissance inspired

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

surround at the Mansard roof. The Roosevelt estate sold at 836 Broadway in 1921, and it continues to function as a commercial building today with an antique business on the first two floors and offices above. The 840 Broadway Building located on a prominent corner and completing this block front is another architecturally significant and well preserved example of the transformation of this area at the turn of the century. It's located at the southeast corner or 13th Street and Broadway, and it received the same support already described. At the public hearing a few representatives of the owner also spoke noting their appreciation for the hone or designation and posing a number of questions about how work on the building would be regulated. The 12story building was designed by Robert Manicke a notable designer of commercial buildings in New York City. It was built between 1899 and 1901, and can be seen in these historic photographs. Like its neighbors on the block, 840 Broadway has mainly garment manufacturers and wholesalers. The Goodyear Waterproof Company, who sold raincoats and rubber apparel occupied the ground floor in the 1940s and It was converted to a mixed use cooperative in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the 1970s and today is primarily residential above the retail ground floor. The impressive Renaissance Revival style building retains a high degree of integrity with intact limestone, brick and terracotta façades and beautiful historic store fronts with curved glass and decorative metal work. (alarm sounding.) And finally the Roosevelt Building is an exquisite element of Broadway's streetscape and an outstanding example of the late 1900<sup>th</sup> Century commercial development south of Union Square. Built between 1893 and 1894, it is also historically significant for housing one of the first American film studios the Biograph Company, which advanced film making technology. At its public hearing and in subsequent correspondence, this proposed, this designation received similar support and the owner also expressed support for designation and described the planned restoration of the base of the building. It's prominently situated on the northwest corner of Broadway and Easy 13<sup>th</sup> Street, and the landmark site is a lot in part consisting of just this building. Stephen Decatur Hatch designed the building with striking facades that include elaborate terracotta ornamentation with provocative faces, beasts, sea

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 46 1 2 creatures and foliage. Clothing manufacturers were the Roosevelt Building's primary tenants including 3 4 the Hackett, Carhartt and Company whose signage is 5 evident in these historic images. And the American 6 Mutoscope and Biograph Company later just the 7 Biograph Company was also a tenant from 1896 to circa The company pioneered the Mutoscope in 1894, 8 which was a filming viewing device, and late the 9 Biograph Projector, which defined a new ear of film 10 making and viewing. Their first film studio was 11 12 located on the roof of the Roosevelt Building captured in the still on the left from their 1905 13 14 film the Skyscrapers of New York, and that is it for 15 thee Broadway buildings and I welcome any questions. 16 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I don't have any questions on these applications. Council Member 17 18 Barron? Okay, thank you for your testimony on that. KATE LEMOS MCHALE: 19 Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify on these 21 2.2 particular items? Seeing none. I do. Oh, sorry, 23 sorry, sorry. Let me call you back. I see some.

(laughter) Simeon come back, Sarah come back and

Andrea come back or come up. You may begin when you're ready. Yeah, you want that place.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SARAH BEAN APMANN: Sarah Bean Apmann. I'm the Director of Research and Preservation at for Village Preservation. Village Preservation has long called for action for to protect-to protect the precious and vulnerable historic resources on the border of the Village and the East Village stop of Union Square. This is an area with nearly 2019<sup>th</sup> and early 20th Century buildings, many of which were home to key figures and events of the 19<sup>th</sup> Century commerce and 20<sup>th</sup> Century art movements, almost none of which have landmark designation. There have been an increasing number of demolitions and unsympathetic alterations in the area fueled in part by tech movement spanning south of 14<sup>th</sup> Street. That pressure will be vastly increased by the City Council's recent approval of a commercial upzoning for a tech hub directly adjacent to this area on the south side of 14<sup>th</sup> Street. The Saint Denis Hotel at 799 Broadway and built and built in 1853 is the most recent casualty having been demolished in just last the last several months. In response to this increasing pressure, Village Preservation and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

thousands of neighborhood residents called for zoning our landmarks protections for these areas-this area to mitigate against the increasing pressure for demolition, and most certainly we feel that the seven proposed buildings would make strong contributions to a more expansive historic district and support their designations as individual landmarks, we question the approach of cherry picking seven buildings none of which are threatened or likely to be threatened due to their size while many other worthy buildings in the surrounding area remain protected and vulnerable. All seven structures are historically, architecturally and culturally significant and thus worthy of designation. They are all standing and intact examples of their respective styles and their histories are interwoven with the development of the area, and are indeed valuable parts of New York City's history and cityscape, but they are only a sampling of such buildings in this area, and considered in isolation, the context of which they are a part is missed. This is the context which is rich in both cultural history and architecture that is being lost as we speak. This approach of selecting on these seven especially as part of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

deal to approve an upzoning, which still increases pressure on this area is highly flawed and results in the destruction of more New York City's—more of New York City's recorded history than its preservation. We urge the city to consider a much broader view for this area and particularly of those buildings which unlike these are currently endangered or potentially endangered. Thank you.

ANDREA GOLDEN: Good afternoon Chair Adams and Council Member Barron. I'm Andrea Golden speaking on behalf of the New York Landmarks Conservancy. The Conservancy is pleased to support designation of 826 Broadway and all of the landmarks before you today. We issued statements of support for each of them at LPC hearings, but as there's been a great deal of public misinformation regarding 826 Broadway, it's the focus of this testimony. 826 Broadway is one of a group of seven distinguished buildings you're hearing today along Broadway that will represent the history and architecture of Manhattan just south of Union Square. 826 like the others clearly merits designation for its design and construction. This building features intact Renaissance Revival facades of limestone and brick

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

with rich terracotta details. Architect William Burkmeyer, who was known for his writings on early skeleton frame construction exemplified with that style in this 1902 structure. The owner of 826 Broadway and the Strand Book Store which occupies the building's lower floors has made many claims about the ill effects she anticipates for the Strand with designation. We'd like to respond to a few of them, which have been posted on the store's website. website noted that over the last 70 years the number of book stores in New York City has fallen dramatically, but Amazon poses a particular threat and that designation would be another blow, and this is certainly a time of a volatile-volatile retail environment, but there's little evidence that designation leads to these closures. Concerns were raised that the burdens of designation would prevent the owner from making improvements to the façade, reconfiguring the interior, adding a coffee shop or dealing with disasters. The Landmarks Commission routinely approves such items as lighting, signage and awnings, but doesn't regulate changes to the interior. A coffee shop would require permits from multiple agencies and the Commission has been quick

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to respond to disasters such as fires, floods and hurricanes, and as we said in the past, the conservancy offers to help the owner if any issues arise. Designation doesn't preserve buildings in amber. For over a century 826 Broadway has evolved as needed. It will continue to do so, but now under the guidance of the Landmarks Commission. We believe that designation will preserve not only the buildings of this neighborhood, but its dynamic character and vibrant quality of life. The Strand at 826 Broadway is a landmark in the hearts and minds of New Yorkers tourists and book lovers everywhere, but without landmarking, its home is as unprotected as Risoli (sp?) on West 57<sup>th</sup> Street was demolished and we would we also have the loss if it were the suffer the same fate. So, we urge you to affirm this designation. Thank you.

Members. Simeon Bankoff, Historic Districts Council.

HDC is firmly in favor of the designation of these seven buildings although we share our colleague's concern that we're missing the forest for the trees with this particular tranche of designations that it's sort of sad after we were just talking about the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

importance of the cultural history of the LGBT community to not really focus on the important cultural histories of the Labor Movement that really was very evident in the buildings on Broadway between Union Square, not call Union Square because it was the first Labor Day Parade, but because it was the union of streets, yet it still was still the first Labor Day Parade between 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Broadway between 14th and 8th Avenue, which was really part of the Ladies Mile and a major manufacturing hub for people in the garment center and there was a lot of activity going on there. We also share our colleagues at the Lumbers (sic) Conservancies concerns and rebuttals to the information that had been promulgated by the Strand owners. We have seen landmarked properties throughout the city. Businesses and landmarked properties help the city prosper and continue on despite and, in fact, sometimes even because of designation. Similarly, we have seen long time businesses in landmarked properties and outside of landmarked properties fail that the two things are not really connected. Landmarks Preservation Commission is very good about working with owners in cases of emergency in cases of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 53 1 2 standard renovation, and they do not regulate interior uses. Finally, as our colleagues at the 3 4 Conservancy mentioned, if there are concerns about 5 changing uses, putting in a café or something like 6 that, then I would not hesitate to say it's much more 7 difficult to get new café licenses than get a new 8 door from the LPC. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you again for 9 10 your testimony today. We appreciate it. Okay, once again are they—are there any more members of the 11 12 public who wish to testify on these items? Seeing none, the public hearings on LUs 481, 482, 483, 484, 13 14 485, 486, and 487 are now closed, and as the vote to 15 approve the Preconsidered LU related to a new school 16 siting Counsel. 17 LEGAL COUNSEL: Council Member Barron, 18 how do you vote on the Preconsidered item 2019 5068 SCQ: 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Hold on. [pause] LEGAL COUNSEL: With 4 in the 21 2.2 affirmative, 0 in the negative and 0 abstentions, the 23 vote is held open. 24 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, the vote is

held open. Our third set of historic landmark

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 designations consists of two individual landmarks, 2 LU 488 is the designation of by the Landmarks 3 Preservation Commission of the National Society of 4 Colonial Dames in the State of New York Headquarters 5 located at 215 East 71st Street aka 215 through 217 6 7 East 71<sup>st</sup> Street, Block 1426, Lot 10 in Manhattan at the historic landmark. This site is located in 8 Council Member Powers' district. LU 489 is the 9 designation of the first Hungarian Reformed Church 10 located at 346 East 69<sup>th</sup> Street, aka 346 through 348 11 East 69<sup>th</sup> Street, Block 14243, Lot 37 in Manhattan as 12 a history landmark. This designation is in Council 13 14 Member Kallos' district, and I will now read the 15 letter from Council Member Kallos into the record. 16 Letter in support of the designation as individual landmark First Hungarian Reformed Church aka 346 17 through 348 East 69<sup>th</sup> Street. Since the Request for 18 Evaluation submission on August 6, 2013, Friends of 19 20 the Upper East Side has supported the designation for the First Hungarian Reformed Church as an individual 21 2.2 landmark both as the representative of City Council 23 District here the structure likes and as that grandson of Hungarian immigrants who was raised in 24 Yorkville, I treasure the physical markers of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Yorkville's unique history. Along with Friends, I would like to formally support the proposed-the proposal for designation of this church as an individual landmark because it is a rare example of the Hungarian vernacular in New York City and is associated with the story of the Hungarian immigrant community in Yorkville, the First Hungarian Reformed Church was listed on the National Register of Historic Places on August 31, 2000. Moreover, this church was and remains a cultural gathering spot and place of familiarity for the Hungarian community, but the vernacular style and detailed craftsmanship of the First Hungarian Reformed Church make it a unique architectural structure, and it should be protected as a symbol of Yorkville's ethnic history. It is vital that structures like this church which physically mark the relationship of Yorkville to its history as an enclave for European immigrants, be landmarked to preserve this cultural history. church was and remains a cultural gathering spot and place of familiarity for the Hungarian community designated by prominent Hungarian architect Emery Roth in the Hungarian vernacular style, the church is a symbol of the Hungarian community and their efforts

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 56 1 2 to their homeland, and their efforts to established a reformed congregation in the city free from the 3 4 religious persecution they faced in their homeland. It has instilled a sense of pride in their culture 5 while also providing a sense of security for the 6 7 Hungarian immigrant community. That history is my family's history. My grandparents came to New York 8 City in the wake of the Christian opt-Christian-opt 9 (sic) prior to the start of World War II joining the 10 existing community of Hungarians moving to an 11 apartment on East 71<sup>st</sup> Street between First and 12 Second Avenues with a ground floor dermatology 13 practice. By 1940, New York City had the larges 14 15 Hungarian community in America with a population of 16 about 223,000. The First Hungarian Church desig-17 designed in the Hungarian vernacular and 18 successionist style became a cultural enclave for the Hungarian community. The church recalls churches 19 20 located in small central European villages thus creating a Little Hungary within Yorkville. 21 2.2 Moreover, this provided and still provides a sense of 23 security giving immigrants like my family a sense of place within their new country. This is the 24

neighborhood I grew up in, which had so many cultural

touchstones from restaurants to bakeries and cultural institutions many of which have since been displaced. That is why I cherish any buildings that connect us to our past, and stand in living testimony to the rich cultural immigrant-immigrant heritage of the area that might otherwise be denied. As a child I walked past the First Hungarian Reformed Church every day no my way to Yushiva a Rabbi Arthur Schneier Park The church continues to this day as East Day School. a part of a waning group of religious institutions devoted to and with services in the mother tongue connecting us to that immigrant heritage we share. It continues to serve the Hungarian community and the neighborhood at large, frequently hosting block association cooperative and condominium meetings. For all these reasons, I am proud to support the designation of the First Hungarian Reformed Church as an individual landmark, and ask my colleagues to vote in favor. It is signed, Sincerely Benjamin Kallos, Council Member, Fifth District. I hereby open the public hearings on LUs 488 and 489 and again invite our LPC representatives to present their testimony on these designations, Anthony and Kate, and once again,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 58 1 2 please restate your names for the record, and I remind you that you're still under oath. 3 4 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you, Chair Adams. I'm Kate Lemos McHale 5 6 ANTHONY FABRE: Anthony Fabre. 7 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, just make sure 8 the microphone is on that the red light is on and you may begin. 9 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: The National Society 10 of Colonia Dames in the State of New York 11 12 headquarters was designed in 1929 by the noted Architect Richard Henry Dana, Junior and is 13 exceptionally intact example of the Georgian Revival 14 Style. The building is located on East 71st Street 15 16 in Yorkville. At the public hearing on May 21, 2019, 17 three people spoke in favor of designation including 18 representatives of the National Society of Colonial Dames in the State of New York. The Historic 19 20 Districts Council and the Friends of the Upper Eastside Historic Districts. The Commission also 21 2.2 received written submissions and supportive 23 designation from Council Member Keith Powers, Manhattan Community Board 8, New York Landmarks 24

Conservancy and an individual. In the last quarter of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 59 1 the 19<sup>th</sup> Century, celebrations of the Centennials of 2 3 the Declaration of Independence and George Washington's inauguration resulted in a renewed 4 interest in the history of the Colonial Era. Around 5 the same time the Colonial Revival style of 6 7 architecture was gaining popularity across the country as architects referred to the styles of the 8 Colonial Era and new civic, institutional and 9 residential buildings. The National Society of the 10 Colonial Dames of America was established in 1891 11 12 along with other similar groups to preserve and promort-promote Colonial Era history. In 1893, the 13 14 New York Society was founded offering lectures, a 15 library of genealogy and history with the growing 16 immigrant community to offer classes in English, 17 American History and the naturalization process and 18 managing the Van Cortlandt Mansion built in 1750 and show here on the right. In 1927, the members of the 19 20 New York Society approved a plan to construct a new headquarters to showcase their mission and to enable 21 2.2 students and non-members to the library. They hired 23 Richard Henry Dana, Junior, a respected architect and expert in the architecture of the Colonial period to 24 25 design the building. He-his initial design was

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 60 1 2 directly inspired by the building pointed out on the left, which is the Colonel John McCaver House 3 formally on Wall Street from the 18th Century. In 4 1929, the organization purchased property on East 5 71<sup>st</sup> Street. Dana adopted his design to more 6 7 efficiently fit a 40-foot wide lot ultimately using as design inspiration elements from nine Colonia Era 8 houses along the New England Coast and noting them 9 into a cohesive Georgian Revival design. 10 Headquarters Museum House, as it is currently called, 11 12 is operated as a house museum showcasing Colonial Revival architecture and art-artifacts with classroom 13 and event space and a library. Beautifully 14 15 maintained since its completion in 1930, the National Society of Colonial Dames in the State of New York 16 headquarters is a remarkably intact example of an 17 18 idealized Georgian Revival Style Mansion. Its design notes architectural elements drawn from Colonia Era 19 20 structures into a cohesive design adapted to the site and expressing the mission of the Colonial Dames 21 2.2 organization. The First Hungarian Reformed Church of New York located on East 69<sup>th</sup> Street in Yorkville is 23 a striking example of early 20<sup>th</sup> Century church 24 architecture incorporating both successionist and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 61 1 2 arts and craft details into a sophisticated design. It's one of the small number of religious properties 3 designed by the distinguished New York City architect 4 Emery Roth, and it's significant for its association 5 with the Hungarian American community who settled in 6 7 the Yorkville neighborhood. The landmark site as shown here on the south side of East 69<sup>th</sup> Street 8 between First and Second Avenues. At the March 26, 9 2019 public hearing five people testified in favor of 10 the designation including New York City Council 11 12 Member Benjamin Kallos, representatives of the Historic Districts Council, the New York Metro 13 Chapter of the Victorian Society in America, Friends 14 15 of the Upper East Side and two individuals. A letter 16 from Community Board 8 supporting the designation was also read. No one spoke in opposition. Letters of 17 support were also received from the 69th Street Block 18 Association, and ten individuals including two of 19 20 Emery Roth's grandchildren. This Hungarian Reform congregation dates to 1895 and was originally located 21 2.2 in the East Village, in 1914, the congregation made 23 plans to build a church in Yorkville where a large number of Hungarian, German, Czech and Slovak 24 immigrants settled for-in the first half of the 20th

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Century. Yorkville is a location of breweries and factories along the East River providing jobs for immigrant families and many Hungarian businesses such as bookstores, bakeries, butcher shops and restaurants were located within a few blocks of the church in Little Hungary. To design the new church, the congregation commissioned Emery Roth one of New York City's most important and prolific 20<sup>th</sup> Century architects who was born in 1871 in Austria, Hungary and present day Slovakia, and many of his buildings are New York City landmarks including iconic apartment houses on Central Park West, and this is a rare example of church design by the architect early in his career, and as Council Member Kallos noted in his letter, the design for this building does meld arts and crafts and Successionist stylistic features and also draws from a more traditional vernacular from Eastern Europe, and so this is a very interesting sort of combination of the architectural design, the architect, the congregation that it was designed for all coming together in this expression of the building, and the visually striking church continues to serve the same congregation that built it more than 100 years ago, and looks much as it did

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 63 1 when it was constructed in 1915. With its 2 distinctive design, the First Hungarian Reformed 3 Church is a significant religious structure designed 4 by Roth, and is an exceptional cultural and 5 architectural reminder of the early 20<sup>th</sup> Century 6 7 Hungarian-American community in Yorkville. Thank you. I'm happy to take any questions. 8 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I have no questions 9 on these applications. Council Member Barron no 10 questions. Thank you very much for your testimony. 11 12 Appreciate it. 13 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, okay, we'll now 15 call Simeon Bankoff back and-and Sara Kamillatos 16 (sp?) (pause) I think you're busy today, Simeon. 17 SIMEON BANKOFF: Yeah, I am, you know. 18 [laughter] Go ahead. SARA KAMILLATOS: Hi, Good afternoon. 19 20 name is Sarah Kamillatos, and I'm the Preservation Associate, Fiends of the Upper East Side Historic 21 2.2 District. I'm here today to voice our enthusiastic 23 support for the designation of both the National Society to Colonial Danes Headquarters and the First 24

Hungarian Reformed Church. I'll speak first on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

National Society of Colonia Danes Headquarters, which is a fine example of elements that define the Colonia Revival style favored by clubs and upscale private homes through the late 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> Century in Manhattan and that a renewed interested in the Colonial Period sparked first a widespread centennial celebrations of the United State's founding. Founded in 1891, the society has since been dedicated to protecting and promoting tangible colonial heritage through historic preservation, patriotic service and social and educational work. The members of the National Society of Colonial Dames were among the early proponents of historic preservation and indeed the house is a testament to their commitment to honoring and highlighting the notable legacy of New York State's Colonia Era through this architecture. The Society commissioned Richard Henry Dana the IV, and architect noted for his excellence in the Colonial Revival architecture in New York and New England. Dana sought to the design a new building that would emphasize another age in a modern setting evocative of the dignified and charming way in which our forebears lived. An effort was made to incorporate details from Colonial era buildings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

elsewhere in New York State reflecting the focus of the New York Chapter of the Society. Each of the design elements were chosen deliberately to echo the appearance of notable pre-revolutionary sites. An announcement from November 1930 in the New York Times spoke of the Dames new home typical of pre-revolution days that was to house relics, furniture and paintings of 18<sup>th</sup> Century, New York. The sun colored bricks recalled the Schuyler Mansion in Albany and the door and fan light were inspired by the Phillips Manor in Yonkers, which was constructed by Jacobus Van Cortlandt and Eva Phillips whose son Fredrick built the Van Cortlandt mansion that was later purchased by the Colonial Danes as a museum. Additionally, the fifth floor dormers were adopted from the typical scale and appearance of 18<sup>th</sup> Century New England homes. These evocative elements coalesce on the façade and the building stands an amalgamous source of the Colonial style of which there are few examples remaining in New York City. These inspirations were seamlessly merged to create a primary façade that definitely recreated and exemplified the Colonial past through Revivalist architecture. The building has been meticulously

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 66 1 maintained and continues to serve the community as a 2 house museum and popular location for educational 3 tours and it's still in use of the Colonial Dames 4 5 primary club house and event space. The group has been in exemplary steward of 215 East 71<sup>st</sup> Street, 6 7 which has not been altered significantly since construction. The façade pay homage to the Colonial 8 history of New York State. The history about the 9 society of Colonial Dames had been committed to 10 preserving since its inception and this commendable 11 12 stewardship deserves to be supported by local designation. Regarding the First Hungarian Reformed 13 14 Church, it's an enduring brick and mortar 15 representation of the strength of Yorkville's 16 longstanding Hungarian community, and its Hungarian-American architect Emery Roth odes the building 17 18 styles to the homeland he shared with its congregation. The church, which is Emery Roth's only 19 20 Christian ecclesiastical building is a striking example of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century vernacular church design 21 2.2 and is listed on the National Register for Historic 23 Places in the year 2000. The building's primary façade recall the appearance of traditional Christian 24

buildings throughout Central Europe along with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

geometric secessionist details definitely included by Roth took the design relevant within its Early 20th Century context. Additionally, the church's tower houses the bell from the congregation's former building on East 7<sup>th</sup> Street, which speaks to the rich history and continuity of the church's parish in New York City. The First Hungarian Reformed Church is part of an array of mid-block religious structures that serve various immigrant communities within Yorkville. As these communities have long been dwindling, designation would help to preserve this clear visual representation of this neighborhood's unique past, and to protect from the new development pressures. The church has withstood the past century of the highly intact and rare excellent reminder of the neighborhood's Hungarian legacy, all while actively serving the community and spiritual needs of its dedicated congregation, and we hope that surviving buildings-other surviving buildings that represented the rich immigrant history of Yorkville continued to be recognized and protected. Thanks so much for your time.

SIMEON BANKOFF: Good afternoon Council Members, Simeon Bankoff Historic Districts Council.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We are in strong support for both of these. I cannot possibly equal Council Member Kallos' impassioned letter about the church, which is a remarkable building. I can only add that as Sarah had mentioned, this is one of only five Emery Roth religious buildings. It's his only Christian one. He was a Jew, which I think kind of speaks a lot about New York City and-and how we all kind of work in each other's play-play pens and work well. With regards to the Society For Colonial Dames, I'm not going to be a preservation nerd about this, though I easily could The society, um, was one of the sort of exemplars and forebears of the historic preservation. The Van Cortlandt Mansion Museum-I'm sorry, the Van Cortlandt House Museum is the fourth oldest house museum in the country when it was chartered and the Dames have always been looking forward and, and kind of pushing forward the or the notion of the tenets of historic preservation through origin stories through the house museums. I will also just end up quoting supposedly when the, um, when the Dames were opened and testament to its faithful interpretation of Colonial styles, one of the most asked questions when the headquarters opened in 1930 was reportedly:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Would you mind telling me exactly when this house was built? Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you both very much for being here, and all of your testimony today. We appreciate it. Thank you. Are there any other members of the public wishing to testify on any of these items? Seeing none, the public hearings on LUs 488 and 489 are now closed. Finally, our last two items today are two applications submitted by the Department of Housing Preservation and Development. First, we will hear the Preconsidered LU relating to Bronx Point, Application Number N-190501 HAX submitted by the Department of Housing, Preservation and Development pursuant to Article 16 of the General Municipal Law of New York State for approval of the designation of an Urban Development Action Area and for property located at Block 2356, Lots 2 and 72, Block 2539, Lot 1 and Part of Lots 2 and 3 and a demapped-demapped portion of East 150<sup>th</sup> Street in the Bronx, and approval of an Urban Development Action Area Project for such area. The proposed action would facilitate a new publicly accessible open space along the Harlem River Waterfront as part of a new mixed-use development that would include

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 70
2	approximately 1,044 units of affordable housing,
3	ground floor retail space, cinema, office space and
4	community facility space. The project is located in
5	Council Member Ayala's district. We are joined today
6	by HPD representatives Lacey Tauber. [background
7	comments/pause] and other representatives Charlie
8	Samber from NYC EDC; Jose Sanchez, Bronx Point
9	Owners, LLC and Ann Tirschwell, Bronx Point Owners,
10	LLC. [background comments] Before you begin,
11	Counsel will swear you in. [background
12	comments/pause]
13	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right
14	hands. Please state your names?
15	CHARLIE SAMBER:
16	ANN TIRSCHWELL: Ann Tirschwell.
17	JOSE SANCHEZ: Jose Sanchez.
18	LACEY TAUBER: Lacey Tauber.
19	LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the
20	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in
21	your testimony before the Subcommittee and in answer
22	to all Council Member questions?
23	PANEL MEMBERS: [in unison] I do.
24	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: You may begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHARLIE SAMBER: Good morning to the Subcommittee On Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime Uses and Chair Adams as well as Council Member My name is Charlie Samber and I am Vice President with the Government Relations Department at NYC EDC. I'm excited to being the Bronx Point Project before the City Council again today. This time in the context of its UDAAP request, which is one of the major-one of the many agency approvals this project is seeking as part of the development process. The project, which originally receive ULURP approval in October of 2017, which included a rezoning of the site and sites-the disposition among others. Later that year, the project was also approved by the Bronx Borough Board for three of the four dispositions of the Bronx Point Development team. By way of background, in 2016, the New York City Economic Development Corporation issued a lower concourse north Request for Expressions of Interest or otherwise known as RFEI to develop this site known as the Lower Concourse North, a site bounded by the demapped 150th Street to the north, Exterior Street to the east and east 149<sup>th</sup> Street to the south and the Harlem River to the west adjacent to the  $145^{\rm th}$ 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Street Bridge in the Bronx. The spirit of the RFEI sought to provide the opportunity to achieve multiple community and sane policy goals while catalyzing development in the general Lowe Concourse area. The intention of the project is to facilitate a mixed use transit oriented development that provides substantial affordable units for residents with a ride range of incomes, provides publicly accessible open space to all local residents and visitors, expands open space access to adjacent-to the adjacent Mill Pond Park and creates the opportunity for a variety of community uses serving local and potentially regional needs as well. It ultimately creates the opportunity for cultural and community facilities to serve Bronx Community Board 4 and Community District 1 residents as well as those from the broader Bronx and New York City. In 2017, NYC EDC and HPD selected a development project known as Bronx Point, a joint venture between L&M Development Partners and type A projects, which are represented here today. The ULURP and 384-B-4 approvals for this project were subsequently approved, as I said in October and December of 2017 respectively. The JV will speak to the broader project, but the approval

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we are seeking from this Subcommittee and from the Land Use Committee is what we'll be presenting today, which is the open space improvement associated with the mixed-use project designed by Marvel Architects. These open space improvements will be funded in part through city funds, which is what the UDAAP approval we are seeking today is for, which HPD will cover in a moment. Phase 2 of this development, which is included in in the UDAAP, but all of the open spaces will be delivered as part of Phase 1. We are very excited about this proposal and we look forward to presenting it to you today, and we'll take questions at the end of our presentations. Before I turn it over to HPD, I would like to briefly discuss some planned investments in the area and the recent history leading up to this development proposal. All of these investments will complement the mixed-use development project. As part of the ULURP in 2017, the Special Harlem River Waterfront District was originally approve-which was originally approved in 2009 was expanded to included the Bronx Point site. It was expanded to include the Bronx Point site. This facilitated a waterfront access plan to be implemented into the design of this project, which

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES coupled with the zoning district's expansion, and the Bronx Point project was a capital investment of nearly \$200 million to reconstruct key intersections along exterior street, update water and sewer lines, update the street scape and install commercial broad band access. All of these capital investments with the exception of the open space, which we will be discussing today will be done by the New York City Economic Development Corporation. Funds to reimburse the redevelopment—the Development Team for costs associated with the open space will be derived from this \$200 million investment. Infrastructure work along these key intersections are in the final design phases, and we expect construction to begin in 2020 in close coordination with the development team part of their development process. Together, all these investments comprise what we're calling the Lower Concourse Infrastructure Investment Strategy of nearly \$200 million, which complement, as I said, the work of the Bronx Point Mixed-Use project. believe these investments working in consort have the opportunity to strengthen the South Bronx and provides opportunities for supporting new affordable housing creating jobs and bringing new open spaces to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the Harlem River. My colleague and I at HPD, Lacey
Tauber will say a few words as further introduction
to the UDAAP Application. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LACEY TAUBER: City Council Members, I have, um, some testimony that I submitted in writing, but I think a lot of it is kind of repetitive from what Charlie said and also what you are going to hear from the team. So, I just want to add that a couple of things to what he just said. You know, he mentioned that, and this project was previously approved. You know, what we're doing today is expanding the area that qualifies as UDAAP or a Urban Development Action Area, as that allows us to route some of the investments and funding that Charlie was mentioning to this project for the open space improvement. HPD's role here also has to do with the housing, and I would just mention that the Phase 1 building has approximately 400-sorry, 540 units of permanently affordable housing, which utilizes HPD's Mix and Match term sheet, and there's a little bit more detail in there, but I would let the development team I think just run you through their plans.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, turn it on.

JOSE SANCHEZ: [off mic] Council Members.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOSE SANCHEZ: Good afternoon Council members. So, we're really excited to be here to discuss the Bronx Point Project. So, as Lacey was mentioning, the Bronx Point Project is-is-is a-it's an exciting project at the-and the-at the-next to the Harlem River. It's a gateway site into the Bronx. You have the 145<sup>th</sup> Street Bridge from Manhattan, and so it's a really-it's a project that will catapult waterfront development along the Harlem River. As Lacey mentioned, the-the project in its totality has a little over a thousand units, a 1,045 units across two phases, Phase 1, which would include all of the open space, includes approximately 540 units of permanent affordable housing. The, um, there's over 2.8 acres of open space, which would all be included as part of Phase 1. A big portion of that is-is a new playground for the community, which my colleague Annie will-will dive into, and the building includes aside from the-from the housing we have over 57,000 square feet of educational and community facility We have our-our community partner Bronx Works, which has been doing work in the area for over 40 years. They will be providing supportive services for-for formerly homeless households in the-in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

project. City Signs and a Billion Oyster project will provide educational programming for the-for the-forfor people and then kids in-in the project in the vicinity as well, and our community facility spaces is anchored by our permanent home for the Universal Hip Hop Museum, which will celebrate hip hop culture across many exciting and engaging exhibits. On the commercial side we have over 70,000 square feet of engaging retail anchored by a state-of-the-art movie theater. It's going to have ten screens, slated to have ten screens at the moment, and so we're really excited to bring in this use in the Bronx, which has lacked or is underserved for movie theaters. Next slide. Here we're just going to-here's an aerial view of the project. As I mentioned, it's along the Harlem River Waterfront, which doesn't have or has a lack of-of residential developments over the years, and so this extends the adjacent park to-to build a new playground, a new waterfront esplanade along with the building as well, and before I turn it over to my colleague Annie, which will, which will dive into the open space areas, just it's-it's important to not that this has been a collaborative effort with thethe local community and particularly Community Board

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

4. We've had over 20 sessions dating to now and nearly two years of engagement with the local community. We have another one coming up in about a week or so, and so, the the plans that you see here were in close collaboration with the community who provided key input to the open space design.

ANN TIRSCHWELL: I'll testify today. Thank you. So, I wanted to just very briefly walk you through I think what Jose had mentioned was a very exciting community driven design process. First and foremost, we wanted to make sure that the siting of the building allowed for seamless integration of new open space with the existing park, and incorporate the creation of a new waterfront esplanade. One thing that we'd heard from community-from the community was that a lot of new developments. The open space around it always felt like it was for the new buildings and not for the community at large and so when we were creating the siting of the project we created both visual and pedestrian access through the site to the water and to the open space. That was a really crucial component for us. We didn't-even though zoning allowed us to, we didn't want to create a wall of building between the community and the open

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

space on the waterfront. So, we created a rather porous site plan. You'll see that there is a new roadway being constructed. We tried to-well, we pulled that as far away from the existing open space as we can by siting it as a cul de sac road in between Phase 1 and the future Phase 2. So, the elements of the open space really did come out of this 20+ meetings wit the community. There were a number of elements that were really first and foremost in the community's mind for what they wanted to see, a large playground for multi-ages is really at the heart of what our plan shows, and you can see that in sort of large beige areas right in the middle. If you've ever gone there on a summer weekend, you know that the barbecues are very well attended. So, we have expanded the barbecue area. We've also created adult fitness areas, and created a tremendous amount of shaded viewing to the waterfront. One of the things that the community also wanted was enhanced lighting and safety, and we accommodated that in our plan as well. The last thing I'll mention is that they wanted—the community really wanted an open area for dancing and for yoga classes and so we incorporated that into the plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

say the one other piece that we spent a bunch of time on are-was around sustainability. So, the existing site is that elevation of plus 6. We're bringing the full open space up about five feet to an elevation of plus 11 and even berming the playground in areas to a plus 15 so that we're creating a sustainable waterfront and also buffering some of the improvements from potential water impact. This is just really to show you that the sort of striped space is the, um, developer maintained space and the purple and orange solid spaces is all of the open space to be maintained by the Department of Parks and Recreation. I think it's important to note that as part of the development agreement, the developer-the development team is giving money into a fund to help maintain the open space. Now, some -- some pretty pictures. The, um, the building itself integrates a very large stairwell-stairway. I guess not a stairway, it's a stair, into the design. As you probably know, there is a train track right adjacent to the site, and right-the first thing you sort of note when you look at the waterfront. So, it was the Design Team and the Development Team's intention to bring people up above the level of the train tracks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and rally enjoy the dicolic (sic) nature of the Harlem River. We did that by incorporating this ADA compliant stair that we worked with the Mayor's Office on. We'll see that on the first and second floors of the building is a Hip Hop Museum. Jose spoke about facing the water. We have all of our community facility space and the bridge-like element is-is emergency egress for the-the museum or the movie theater. Again another view towards both the museum and the open space, and then you'll see that we are creating a plaza as well as part of the development project along exterior street to engage the community and bring them across the river and up to the waterfront and the open space. This is that plaza. That's part of our development site. Again, really setting the stage for what we hope is a gateway to the waterfront and the open space, the much wanted open space for salsa dancing. The esplanade is an obviously very critical component of this project. It extends the waterfront access already existing in Mill Pond Park and we hope that it will continue down the waterfront to the south as does the community on future developments. One thing, um, as we mentioned really desired by the community

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is a multi-age playground. I would -it's a-it's a lovely playground we think. The community was very excited about it. There are two elements that they wanted. In particular one which is water features and on the bottom right is a piece of equipment designed for children facing challenges of autism. It creates a sort of cozy dome. So we really tried to incorporate multiple piece of play equipment for the whole community. The, um, these towers that are these play structures are also ADA accessible. So they have ramps, which allow for children in wheelchairs to access that equipment as well. is just a lighting plan, which shows that we have dramatically enhanced site lighting as is appropriate. They will meet all EGC dark sky requirements for the podium and for the plaza open space. I thinks that's-one more. Sorry, and again this just shows you that that we-we took the site's 12-month 24-hour presence very seriously and wanted to make sure that the site felt exciting and safe and engaging, and we hope that we did that in evening hours as well with a dynamic lighting plan. So, that is the end of our presentation.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you.

2 ANN TIRSCHWELL: And before I end it.

3 [laughter]

2.2

exciting, extraordinary project and I—I I'm still amazed. I've seen the presentation before, but it still amazes me to see all—all of the items put in place for this community. I'm excited for the community to have this—this amazing museum and I—I had mentioned that, you know, think about Queens a little bit when it comes to this. Along those lines, you know, with these projects come amazing and extraordinary opportunities also for workers. So I just wanted to touch a little bit on what we are putting in place for workers on the project, and what wage and benefit rates like health and retirement are currently included in HPD's underwriting for the building service jobs at the site?

LACEY TAUBER: I would say, um, because building service that's something that Council Member Ayala brought to our attention, something that and we're looking into it now. I don't have—I can get back to you on the—on the details there.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: So along those same lines is there any kind of commitment then since my

colleague did bring that up to you before is there
any kind of commitment that the team has come up with
to ensuring?

LACEY TAUBER: I don't know. I would say, you know, every—everything is a trade-off in terms of our financing. So it's something we have our Development folks looking into to see what's possible.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, we'll follow up with that. it's very important. I don't have any other questions on this application. Council Member Barron.

much for being here and for your presentation, and I have some questions just a few. What's the height of this building that you're proposing? This is phase 1 I think you said. What's the height?

JOSE SANCHEZ: Yes, the—the height of the building is 260 feet. There's a little more feet when—for mechanical spaces, but we're—we're topped out of zoning at 260 feet.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And what—how many stories is that?

2.2

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME
    USES
 1
 2
                JOSE SANCHEZ: The building is 23
 3
     stories.
 4
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: 23 stories, and
     what's the FAR?
 5
                JOSE SANCHEZ: Oh, the FAR?
 6
 7
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: The FAR, uh-hm.
                JOSE SANCHEZ: Um, hm, I think that it's
 8
 9
    high. I think it's like six
10
                LACEY TAUBER: Around 370-
                JOSE SANCHEZ: Yeah, I don't-I don't'
11
12
     think I have that information, but the-the building
     itself is about 585,000 square feet. It's zoning
13
14
     compliant, but yeah, that's the gross square feet of
15
     the building.
16
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay do you-
17
                CHARLIE SAMBER: So, as my notes here,
18
     the-the zoning allows for-it's capped at 4.6 FAR.
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I didn't hear
19
20
     you.
21
                CHARLIE SAMBER:
                                 4.6 FAR.
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. So, I
23
    think that in your-some place in your literature that
24
     the apartments are designed for AMIs from I think it
```

said starting at 30%? Yeah, 30 to 130% of the AMI.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 1 2 Targeted incomes will range from 30 to 130% of the 3 AMT. ANN TIRSCHWELL: That's correct and then 4 there's also some units that set aside for the 5 6 formerly homeless as well. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I love you. There are some units set 8 LACEY TAUBER: aside for the formerly homeless and then the-the 9 lottery units range from 30 to 130. That's correct. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, you have some 11 12 set aside for formerly homeless? 13 LACEY TAUBER: Right. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Do we have the 15 income bands? 16 LACEY TAUBER: So, there was actually 17 points of agreement that the city entered into with 18 the Council Member at the time in 2017 that outlines pretty specific income bands in that range, I imagine 19 our mix and match term sheet at the time. As you may 20 be aware, we have made some tweaks to our term sheets 21 2.2 in the last couple of years, so one thing that we're 23 actually looking into is if there's a possibility to bring some of the units down at the higher end, which 24

might, you know, require making some changes in the

middle, but that's a conversation I think, you know, we want to have with Council Member who represents this area, and as we move forward, but I would say just generally we're still committed to using the mix and match term sheet, which provides for that range of incomes.

always the degree to which these so-called affordable housing project, in fact, displace people who live in the community or create situations for people who presently live in the community to eventually be priced out as these rentals come in at 130% of the AMI. 130% is middle income. 130% AMI is people making \$122,000 basically for a family of three. So, do we have any idea of what the income bands presently are in the community where this housing is proposed?

LACEY TAUBER: I don't have that information in front of me right now. I would say, you know, we know it's on the lower end. I would also add, you know, again that there was a PLA that was signed a couple of years ago that outlined, you know, a range and, you know, we're committed to working to

2.2

match that or come close to it to the extent that we're able with a new term sheet.

2.2

think that we can submit this as part of follow up.

there was a letter that the Community Board sent to

us as part of the ULURP process, which outlines their

desire to see a mix of incomes, which included some

at the higher end, but obviously addressing

affordability levels at the lower spectrum, but we

did hear quite surprisingly that from the community

board and others that they wanted to see a mix that

included both low, moderate and—and units at the

higher end.

interesting and I'd love to see what—what they proposed to be the proportions or the percentages across the income bands that will be designed for this project, and do we have any idea of the number of units? The mix of units are they going to be studios, 1 to 3 bedrooms? Do we have that information.

LACEY TAUBER: That's actually in my testimony. Sorry, I skipped over it, but I do have it here, and so for the first phase, which is the one

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME
    USES
                                                        89
 1
 2
    that-for which the planning work is-is done. It's 135
     studios, 192 1-bedroom, 122 2-bedrooms and 93 3-
 3
 4
    bedrooms.
 5
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And did you say
     studios?
 6
 7
                LACEY TAUBER:
                               I did. 135.
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: 135 and you know
 8
    my next question with the studios.
 9
10
                LACEY TAUBER: How big are the studios.
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right.
11
12
                LACEY TAUBER: I'll turn it over to them
13
     for that.
14
                JOSE SANCHEZ: I mean they—they range but
15
     they're all in compliance with HPD design guidelines.
16
     So, we-we followed that. I think we're towards the
17
     higher end of that range, but where we've been
18
     following the HPD design guidelines to-to design the-
     the units. This is managed project and HPD, of
19
20
     course, will be reviewing our plans to make sure that
     we're compliant.
21
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
                                        Okay, so, I-I
23
    would like to know also how we're matching up these
     different size units with the income bands to make
24
```

sure that, they're not all—those lower units, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

smaller units are not concentrated at lower AMIs, but I'm always concerned about how these so-called affordable projects go up to 130% of the AMI, which according to AMDH, is about-I don't have the exact-Oh, five percent of the population of New York City according to AMHD is about-no, I'm sorry. 130 they have 3% of New York City's population is presently at So, when we talk about brining in a large 130% AMI. percentage of projects-apartments within a project, at 130% of AMI of the AMI, which AMHD says represents 3% of the population, I'm concerned. I think that's a beautiful project. It's wonderful. The amenities and all of that, but who are we actually preparing these projects for?

mention we are following the mix and match term sheet. 60% of the apartments are at tax credit eligible rents and incomes meaning 80% AMI or below. I would like to focus 10% of the units are at—they're dedicated for formerly homeless. We also have bands at 30% AMI in addition to the formerly homeless and we have another 20% at I believe it's 47% AMI. So, e have a project that 60% of those units are—are from tax credit eligible units, 80% AMI or below and we

have approximately 40% at extremely low or low incomes. I would say that the—the 100 or 130% AMI is a small portion of the building to—to have a mixed income community, but—but the project has a lot of—is really targeting a lot of low—income sectors income tiers, which is what the mix and match term sheet, what the intent of the mix and match term sheet.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, thank you very much. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you Council

Member Barron. We have been joined by Council Member

Miller and I don't think Council Member Miller has

questions for this panel.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Right.

much for your testimony today. I'm sure that we'll be following up with you. Let's see. If there are no members of the public wishing to testify on this item, seeing none, the public hearings on the Preconsidered LU relating to this application number and 190501 HAX is now closed, and ask to vote to approve the Pre-considered LU related to the new school siting. Counsel.

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LEGAL COUNSEL: On Pre-considered Item
2019 5068 SCQ, Council Member Miller how do you vote?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I vote aye.

LEGAL COUNSEL: By a vote of 5 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and 0 abstentions, the item is approved for a vote with the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay and that item is now closed. Our last public hearing today will be on the Pre-considered LU relating to Brownsville South Application No. C 190 373 HAK submitted by the Department of Housing, Preservation and Development pursuant to Article 16 of the General Municipal Law, and Section 197-C of the New York City Charter for the designation of property located at 47 New Lots Avenue, Block 3855, Lot 40, 609 through 615 Osborne Street, Block 3628, Lot 9 and 120 through 122 Liberty Avenue, Block 3693, Lots 22 and 23 in the borough of Brooklyn, and Urban Development Action Area approval of an Urban Development Area project for such area and approval of the disposition of such properties to a developer selected by HPD to facilitate the construction of three residential developments containing approximate 41 affordable dwelling units

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 93
2	and commercial space. This project is located in
3	districts represented by Council Members Barron and
4	Espinal. Council Member Barron, do you have any
5	remarks to share?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Madam
7	Chair. We met last week and there was some concerns
8	that I voiced at that time. Some would be eager to
9	hear what it is that was being presented. Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very much.
11	We are once again joined by members of HPD, Lacey
12	Tauber and Michael MCCARTHY. Mr. MCCARTHY, we have
13	to swear you in.
14	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right
15	hand and state your name.
16	MICHAEL MCCARTHY: [off mic] Michael
17	MCCARTHY.
18	LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the
19	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in
20	your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer
21	to all Subcommittee, no Council Member questions?
22	MICHAEL MCCARTHY: Yes.
23	LACEY TAUBER: In fact, let me make sure
24	you all have copies of the presentation.
25	

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, you may begin when you're ready.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LACEY TAUBER: Okay, this, um, this Preconsidered Land Use item is related to ULURP Application No. C 1907373 HAK, that seeks UDAAP designation project disposition-oh, sorry, and project and disposition approval for four city-owned vacant lots located at 120 to 122 Liberty Avenue in Council District 37, and 609 Osborn Street and 47 New Lots Avenue in Council District 42. The projects bounds the south and is slated for development under HPD's Neighborhood Construction Program NCP, which funds rental housing of up to 45 units affordable to low, moderate and middle income households. Development Team will serve in the direct competitive process and proposes to construct three buildings containing a total of 41 affordable residential units and a superintendent's unit. Upon completion 120 to 122 Liberty Avenue will be four-story building with two studio units, seven 1-bedroom units, three 2bedroom units and 1 3-bedroom unit for a total of 13 units. The building to be located at 47 New Lots Avenue will be a 6-story building with five studio units, six 1-bedrooms, three 2-bedrooms including the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

super's unit and three 3-bedroom apartments for a total of 17 units. The building will also contain approximately 2,000 square feet of commercial space on the ground floor. The third building at 609 Osborn Street will be four stories with four studios, five 1-bedrooms, and three 3-bedroom apartments for a total of 12 units, and the unit count approximately five units, 12% of the total will be set aside for formerly homeless families and individuals reflecting other social services and with the Department of Homeless Services DHS. The targeted incomes from this project will from 30 to 80% of the Area Median Income or AMI. The buildings will be built to meet Enterprise community centers and amenities will include laundry rooms, bike storage, elevator and recreational rear yard per building. Today HPD is before the Landmarks Subcommittee seeking approval of the Brownsville South MCP Project in order to facilitate construction of these affordable residential buildings, and I would just add that yes we-we have met with Council Members Barron and Espinal and we've heard their feedback on this project and, you know, we are working to incorporate that feedback to the extent that we are able.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 96 1 2 are small buildings. You know, this project, this term sheet I should say is really designed to, you 3 know, put affordable housing on some of these smaller 4 5 tough to develop lots and take advantage of, you 6 know, economies of scale to do these buildings in 7 clusters and, you know, so we are working to work with them to the extent that we are able to do so 8 within the constraints of, you know, a small project 9 like this, and I'll turn it over to Development Team 10 to give you some more details. [pause] 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Please be sur your mic is on. We have to make sure it gets into 13 14 the record. 15 MICHAEL MCCARTHY: Okay. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That's good. 17 MICHAEL MCCARTHY: Alright. There seems 18 to be a disconnect between this computer and that 19 screen. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Can you just assist with getting that working? [background 21 2.2 comments/pause] Okay, we're going to see if we can 23 get you a technician and help you. [pause] LACEY TAUBER: Oh, it's not the make. It's 24

that we can't-we're having to-we're advancing the,

um, the presentation. I'm not really sure what's going on there. I mean maybe...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL MCCARTHY: Yeah, we—we can just do these.

LACEY TAUBER: If we can get it to work, work, but it's nice, too, because I think they can—if someone is watching on the feed they can see it, and maybe we should give it a second. [pause] Okay.

MICHAEL MCCARTNEY: Alright, so my name is Michael McCarthy and I represent Alembic Community Development. We are coded up in this project with JMR Residential and our architect is Urban Quotient. As Lacey went through, we have a-the project is on three sites in the Brownville neighborhood. The first one is 609 Osborn Street. This will be a four-story building with a total of 12 units with four studios, five 1-bedrooms, and three 3-bedroom units. Um, and, uh that...that site will include an elevator, a back yard with-for passive recreation, a laundry room and bike storage. The second building in the cluster is 47 New Lots. This is the largest of the three buildings. It's a six-story building with a total of 17 units including five studios, six one-bedrooms, three 2-bedrooms including a super's unit for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

cluster, three 3-bedroom units and approximately 2,000 square feet of commercial space. Like the other buildings, this one will include and elevator, a passive recreation area in the back yard, bike storage and laundry. And the third site is a little further away up on 120 to 122 Liberty Avenue in Council Member Espinal's district. This part-this building is another four-story building with a total of 13 units including two studios, seven 1-bedrooms, three 2-bedrooms and one 3-bedroom unit. As it-like the other two the amenities include laundry, an elevator and a passive recreation area in the back yard. The, um, the total proposed unit mix between the four-three buildings is a total of 11 studios, 18 1-bedrooms, two 2-bedroom and I'm sorry six 2bedrooms and seven 3 bedrooms for a total of 42 units. In our current underwriting that's under review, after our meeting last wee, with Council Member Barron, we currently have about half of the units at-at-above 60% of AMI and half of the units below 60% of AMI. So that is one of the things that we are currently looking at changing based on the feedback that we received from Council Member Barron and Council Member Espinal and just to, you know, a

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 99 1 2 summary of the project, the-it's 100% affordable with a 10% set-aside, a total of 42 units and three new 3 4 construction buildings and and each of these, um, 5 parcels are currently vacant so they'll help to 6 improve those vacant lots in the neighborhoods. 7 building has the amenities that I listed. It will be developed to Enterprise-Enterprise community 8 standards, and the current proposal is to include 9 landscaped areas featuring a variety of plant life 10 that will help control storm water runoff. 11 12 a commercial space at 47 New Lots of about 2,000 square feet for which we are open to possibilities of 13 14 what kind of tenant that would be. We've been 15 requesting feedback from the local community board 16 and the Council Members as to what, um, what-what 17 uses they are interested in seeing there, and 18 finally, the project will be financed with HPD subsidies through the NCP Program along with 9% low-19 income housing tax credit equity. 20 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very much. 21 2.2 I will yield to Council Member Barron. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Madam 24 Chair. I was pleased when this project came to me

for consideration. I was concerned about some of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

problems of the areas or the aspects of the project, and in terms of the construction if you've heard me talk about projects in my development, you know, I'm always looking for brick, and the developers came back and no problem. I was also very pleased of the consideration of the fact that even though this is a small site, and only a four-story building that they were in fact including an elevator, which to me shows respect for the fact that it's an inconvenience to live in a four-story building on the third of fourth floor and have to climb the steps. So, that was also I thought a very good consideration of the clientele and the residents that would be in the building. amenities were great as well, laundry room, bike storage, and a very pleasant outdoor sitting space that would be used for residents. The ability to include formerly homeless in some degree within these three projects also a great consideration. utilization of commercial space being considered by local CBOs and an expansion of their programs another great consideration. So, the sticking point that we have is that 50% of these units, as has been said, is set at above 60% of the AMI and in Brownsville, 53% of my population has an income of \$35,000 or less,

and when wen go to 60 and 70 and 80%, it's a total of approximately 11% of the population that lives there that fit that income band. That's a problem for me because to me that's a manifestation or a part of what we see as gentrification. We say affordable. The-the piece that's missing is affordable to whom? And we want housing coming in in these areas that have under-developed until recent years that have been ignored that have been denied an opportunity of the resources of other more affluent communities. We want them to not now be priced out of living where they have endured hardships for many, many decades. So, it's always a concern of mine that there's a closer match between the income levels of the people who are living in the community and a percentage that is closer to what it is that presently live there so that they have an opportunity to be able to apply for small developments. These are small developments, but admirable nonetheless to have an opportunity to be able to apply and realize that their incomes don't disqualify them because they're so low. So, that's a sticking point that we have, and it's a major sticking point, but I'm sure that as we get closer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

that we'll be able to make some modification, and that's what I look forward to.

2.2

LACEY TAUBER: I think, though, and we heard you. We're working on it. We should be able to get back to you pretty much--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Great.

LACEY TAUBER: --with something I hope you will like, and I will add, as I said before, you know, I hope you understand that's not a lot of room to work with in these small projects, but we'll try to, um, we'll do our best to work with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank your, Council Member Barron. Council Member Miller.

and I and I want to echo the sentiments of my colleagues on how important these projects are particularly the smaller projects and know that we are really taking advantage of all the opportunities and not just looking for the larger projects, and in the meantime it is—it is the smaller projects like this that make up the larger demographics of the city and that really change and direct the fabric of—of

who we are and who communities become. So, I am glad that this conversation and dialogue is happening so that, um, we made sure that we're protecting the integrities of those communities as we move forward. And—and these particular package of—of projects that we see here, how far are we once we vote on and—and shovels in the ground, how—how—when do we plan on—on closing and—and moving on these projects?

LACEY TAUBER: Yeah, I see when the closing—the anticipated closing date is. I mean I think that's—that's something that we hesitate to commit because, you know, it can always change as we move the projects forward. They still need to actually apply for the tax credits for this project. That's a competitive process and, you know, something that we work with the development teams on, but it's hard for us to say for sure before we're even at that phase.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Is there any hopes that this would happen this year?

LACEY TAUBER: Well, the tax credit application actually isn't even due for another—I think it's like a few more weeks and then as the—

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 104 1 2 MICHAEL MCCARTNEY: [interposing] We're 3 haven even posted it et. 4 LACEY TAUBER: Yeah. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay. 6 LACEY TAUBER: Yeah, but no, not this 7 year. MICHAEL MCCARTNEY: It we had—if it 8 worked the way that we wanted, we would have applied 9 10 for credits this coming month. We would get an award later this year, and we would close on construction 11 12 financing early next year. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay, thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very much 15 Council Member Miller. Thank you for your testimony 16 toda. Appreciate it very much. 17 MICHAEL MCCARTNEY: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Are there any other members of the public wishing to testify on this 19 20 Seeing none, the public hearings on the Preconsidered LU relating to Application Number C-21 2.2 190373 HAK is now closed, and that concludes today's 23 business. The vote on Preconsidered LU for Application Number 20195068 SCQ is hereby closed, and 24

all other items on today's agenda are laid over. I

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES
2	would like to thank the members of the public, of
3	course, my colleagues, Counsel and Land Use staff for
4	attending today's hearing. This meeting is hereby
5	adjourned. [gavel]
6	But no, not this year.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 10, 2019