CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

----- X

May 15, 2019

Start: 1:12 p.m. Recess: 8:27 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:
Margaret S. Chin
Keith Powers
Peter Koo
Karen Koslowitz
Brad Lander
Justin Brannan
Ydanis Rodriguez
Helen Rosenthal
Francisco Cabrera

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joshua Catcher, Fashion Designer, Author, Educator
Parsons

Eileen Jefferson, Veterinarian & New York State Representative Humane Society Veterinary Medical Association

Allie Feldman-Taylor, President and Founder Voters for Animal Rights

Stephen Humphries, Attorney Kelly, Dreyer, and Morin

Mark Oten, Chief Executive International Fur Federation

Betele Stern (sp?), Attorney Kelly, Dryer, and Morin

Clayton Bexstead (sp?), Mink rancher

Christine Kim, Senior Community Liaison Mayor's Community Affairs Unit

Lindsay Greene, Senior Advisor to the Deputy Mayor for Housing and Economic Development

Casey Adams, Director of City Legislative Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs

Dan Matthews, Senior Vice President PETA

Cathy Nazari (sp?), Board Member Voters for Animal Rights

Priscilla Ferrell, President Friends of Animals Tom Garcia, Senior Vice President & General Counsel and Compliance Officer Decker Brands

Ted Potrikus, President & CEO Retail Council of New York State

Rodney King, New York Resident

Mark Bauer, Fashion Designer

Cynthia King, Business Owner Hip Hop is Green

Stewart Mitchell, New York Resident

Justin Siller, Co-owner Staten Island Furrier

Frank Siller, Co-owner Staten Island Furrier

John Georgiades Stallion INC.

Alisha George Stallion INC.

Sugan Augarwal (sp?), New York Business Owner

Edita Birnkrant, Executive Director NYCLASS

Anna Tagliabue, Founder Pelush Faux Furs

Michelle Villa Gomez, Legislative Senior Director American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, ASPCA

Brian Shapiro, New York State Directory

Humane Society of the United States

Kristen Kern American Apparel and Footwear Association

Norman Ambrose, Small business owner New York City

Robert Cahill, Senior Vice President North American Fur Auctions

Reverend Doctor Johnnie Green, Senior Pastor Mount Neboh Baptist Church Co-founder Mobilizing Pastor and Communities, MPAC

John Bartlett, New York Resident

Andrew Kaplan, Veterinarian

Ashley Burn, Associate Director PETA

Gia Poli (sp?), New York Resident

Desmond Catagan (sp?), New York Resident

Thomas Cohen, Elected Official Long Island

Steve Cowit, Co-owner Henry Cowit

Nadin Waheed (sp?), Owner Daniel's Leather

Luis Ressi (sp?), Worker Daniel's Leather

Leonard Khan, Retired Furrier

Angelina Poli (sp?), New York Resident

Leanne Mai-ly Hilgant (sp?), Founder Vaute

Sharon Discorfano, Member New York State Bar Member, New York State Bar Association Committee on Animal Law

Michelle Cubrerro, New York Resident

Alexandros Palatitas (sp?), New York Resident

Norma McDonald, North American Sales Manager OWS

Ariel Collis, Economist Capital Trade Inc.

Nicholas Seekas, Owner of small fur business

Vincent Cerisi, General Council Echo Design Group

Nora Constance Marion, President Animal Cruelty Exposure Fund

Katerina Trabaso (sp?), Professor
St. John University

Paula Gavino, Canine Behaviorist

Arnold Brunois (sp?) Echopel (sp?)

Unidentified, New York Resident

John Patcanis, New York Business Owner

Tina Stova (sp?), Head Veterinary Diagnostic

Department Copenhagen Fur, Denmark

Leanne Barnes, Handbag & Accessory Designer

Nina Jackel, Founder Lady Freethinker

Roberto Burnelli The Animal's Battalion

Jill Carnegie, Local business owner NUMU Vegan

Paloma Iglesias, New York Resident

Linda Man, New York Resident

Karen Giberson, President Accessories Council

Victoria Suporas (sp?), Fur Business Owner

Harriet Nathan, New York Resident

Arthur Goldstein, Representative Accessories Council

James Scotto, New York Resident

Erica Shinar, New York Resident

Nicole Fischelis, New York Resident Fashion Industry Professional

Vanessa Soldano, New York Resident

Jen Othanos, Works in Fur Industry

Peter Revasis (sp?), Works in Fur Industry

Peter Liacos (sp?), Works in Fur Industry

Gary Zeltser (sp?), New York Resident

Mark Goodman, New York Resident

Kirk Miller, New York Resident

Christina Detmer, New York Resident

Maureen Median, New York Resident

Christin Lu, New York Resident

Heather Greenhouse, Board member Voters for Animals Rights

Ryan Holt, Representative of Fur Industry

Rockwell Schwartz, New York Resident

Meredith Shriver, New York Resident

Nathan Semel, New York Resident

Mitchell Adelman, Global Vice President Dennis Basso and J. Mendel

Stephen Lacacis (sp?), worker American Fur Center

Unidentified, New York Resident

Ramon Contreras, Co-founder Youth Over Guns

Laura Taylor, Instructor Suni Fashion Business & PhD Student

Richard Tax, New York Resident

Nicole Damon, New York Resident

Prateksha Patel (sp?), New York Resident & Vet

Blair Marshal, New York Resident

Stephen Walsh, New York Resident

Sherrie Ramsey, Policy Advisor Voters for Animal Rights

Rachel Edgemont, New York Resident

Unidentified, New York Resident

Aleena Goikman, Ivel (sp?) International

Eddie Wan, Works in Fur Industry

Jill Laurie, Clinical Social Worker

Catherin Casey, New York Resident

Joyce Friedman, Board member Voters for Animal Rights

Rebecca Milvich, Accessories Designer

Unidentified, New York Resident

Lehe Silva, Works in Fur Industry

Jabari Brisport, Board member Voters for Animal Rights

Donnie Moss, New York Resident

Lula Columbus, New York Resident

Michael Dawling, New York Resident

John, New York Resident

Cindy Kaplan, New York Resident

Greg McGonagall, New York Resident

Denise Walsh, New York City Resident

Marilyn Zucker, New York City Resident

Felicia Greenfield, New York City Resident

Elizabeth Ardubay, Representative Total Liberation New York

Shelby Harvey, New York City Resident

Desiree Matos, President Keeping Warm Dog Houses COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a test. This I s a test on the Committee on Consumers Affairs and Business Licensing on May 15th of 2019 by Stephen Sudowski (sp?).

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good afternoon.

Good afternoon. My name is Rafael Espinal and I am the Chair of the Committee of Consumer Affairs and Business Licensing. Thank you all for attending today's hearing on intro 1476 A which bans the sale of for apparel in New York City. I would like to acknowledge my colleagues. We have, of course, the City Council Speaker, Corey Johnson, and the sponsor of the bill. We also have Council member Ydanis Rodriguez, Council member Helen Rosenthal, and Council member Francisco Cabrera all with us today. With that said, I would like to turn to the speaker to give a few opening remarks on his bill.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, Chair
Espinal. No. No. No. No clapping here today.
Thank you. Good afternoon. Millions of animals are killed every year for their fur. It could take hundreds of lives to make a single coat. While New York is the fashion capital of the world, we are

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING behind the times when it comes to this issue. major US cities in over 20 countries around the world have burned -- have banned fur farming or the import and sale of animals for products. Stella McCartney famously said for is the most unnecessary thing in the world. And she is not alone in her beliefs. Donna Karen, Michael Coors, Diane von Furstenberg, Tommy Hilfiger, Gucci, Burberry, Chanel, Armani, Furla, Philip Lim, Vivian Westwood, and the list goes on, as all taken steps to eliminate for an Institute for free policies. This bill that we are hearing today will ban the sale of new firm products with the five boroughs and prevent the unnecessary slaughter of animals going forward. This bill will not prevent the selling or repurposed scene of used for garments or anyone from wearing or owning fur in New York City. We are not about to raid your closet. Today, I think it is important to talk about the real truth behind the so-called glitz and glamour of the fur industry. Despite their claims of the contrary, there is no such thing, and my estimation, is ethical fur. Or ecological fur. Or excellent welfare fur. That is marketing language aimed at hiding the brutality of this business. In fact, those claims

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING are so misleading that advertisements making them have been banned in other countries. The industry would have us all ignore the well-documented local ecological destruction and farther reaching environmental harms of fur farming and the hazards of carcinogenic chemicals. Meanwhile, the evidence of cruelty in the fur industry is overwhelming and irrefutable. Animals like mink, foxes, raccoons, chinchillas, rabbits, even dogs and cats in some countries are forced to live their entire lives and conditions no one of conscience could ever condone. These animals will spend every waking moment in tiny wire cages where their waste piles up beneath them. Their feet never touch the ground. Deprived of basic elements of well-being, they suffer intense psychological distress which manifests most horrifically when they attack or cannibalize their cage mates. Wounds and infections go untreated. Only their pelts and that mattering. These animals are then killed through bludgeoning, neck-breaking, stomping, gassing, electrocution through the mouth and genitals, throats being slit while shackled upside down, slow asphyxiation, dehydration, and starvation after days of psychological terror in a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING trap, perhaps gnawing off a leg in a desperate attempt at freedom or, perhaps worst of all, being skinned alive. Death by electrocution, it's a practice so barbaric that our own state legislature banned it in 2013. Other jurisdictions consider it a best practice. Undercover footage and eyewitness accounts bring these grim facts to life and I would like to share some of the footage with you today which illustrates more powerfully than words can, then needless suffering of these animals. video, created by fur-free New York does contain some graphic images of animals suffering, so people are welcome to leave the room. I want to give everyone a If you want to leave the room, you can raise your hand and leave the room before we play the video. If anyone wants to leave, this is graphic financially are about to show. Okay. Let's play the video.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

VIDEO PLAYING: Meet Bailey. Bailey was born on a fur farm. She has never touched grass.

Bailey will be kept in this same cage until she is skinned for her pelt. It takes 11 Bailey's to make a single coat. And that's not unusual. In fact, the fur industry kills over 100 million animals every

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 85 percent of them, like Bailey, lived their entire lives on fur farms, many suffering from anxiety induced psychosis and sometimes even cannibalizing their cage mates in response to confinement until it is their turn to be killed and one of a variety of cruel ways. The other 15 to 20 percent are caught with brutal traps, the use of which is practically unregulated. Stuck in these barbaric contraptions for days at a time, dehydrated and desperate animals will thrash around to the point of breaking their own bones and sometimes even to offer their own limbs in their attempt to escape. That's a lot of suffering. It's also a lot of damage to the environment. According to the World Bank, for production is one of the world's five worst industries for toxic metal pollution and uses formaldehyde and chromium, both of which have been linked to cancer. Fur farming creates ammonia runoff that leads to toxic eutrophication within our own water supply and studies show it's much worse for the environment than faux fur and other textile alternatives. And the most important and saddest fact of all, it's all unnecessary. New York is the fashion capital of the world, which makes it prefer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING capital of the world. Banning the sale of fur here means the demand for it in fashion stops. demand for fur stops, and the inhumane suffering stops. The environmental pollution ends. industry is claiming that a New York City ban on the fur sales will cause job loss. The truth is fur manufacturing jobs only account for one half of one percent of all New York City fashion jobs and the skills used in fur jobs are transferable. Over 1000 of fashion's most respected designers, brands and retailers have already moved on from fur. Innovations and material technology including bio fabrication, high tech and sustainable recycled fibers, and plant-based organics don't involve a single trap or cage and are creating more and more job opportunities. Good designs should not only be beautiful, but ethical. This is New York. We're better than fur and it's time we proved it. Stop the suffering of innocent animals. Stop the poisoning of our environment and support a move to more responsible, sustainable fashion by the simple act of voting to make New York City fur-free.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: This video is a mere snapshot of the conditions for countless animals

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING killed for their fur merely so that we can enjoy a coat, some trims, or a key chain. A ban on fur will mean an end to an unimaginable suffering and senseless violence. It will also be an impetus for innovation and evolution, a challenge which the creative minds of our city are well-suited to take I take seriously the consequences a fur sales ban would have on businesses and I urge local furriers and manufactures to diversify and embrace innovations that are already underway in the fashion industry. Companies are revolutionizing the creation of raw apparel materials, creating faux furs and other textiles from recycled materials and from ocean plastics. Faux fur made of hemp and organic cotton is now available and cutting edge bio fabricators are developing ethical, lab grown, fur. Opponents of this bill have decried the potential loss of a historic tradition that is older than the city itself. Pointed to a 75. If you are familiar with it, then you will know that it features a pair of beavers, symbols of the past, but also of our president. Beavers once populated our stated numbers that estimated 16 million, but colonial trapping for their pelts and trade practices decimated their

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING numbers. By nearly nineteenth century, they had vanished entirely from the city of New York. But through our conservation efforts and the animal's tenacity, we are seeing a resurgence. In 2007, the first being over and over 200 years reappeared in the Bronx River. That is a legacy worth honoring and worthy of a modern day city. If beavers are a feature of our city flag, it should be because they are alive and well in our rivers and streams, not because they are dead on racks in our stories. Much has changed over 400 years including our attitudes towards the treatment of animals. We no longer see animals as just a resource to exploit for any reason at any cost. We already banned or severely restrict the import or sale of products from several animals including African and Asian elephant ivory, rhino horns, sea turtle shells, and leather-- walrus Several species of migratory birds whose ivory. feathers were used in hats and polar bears, to name a few. New York City is also banned the use of elephants and other exotic animals in the circus and we are ready and able to do more to protect them. This legislation cultivates and promotes a culture that is humane to animals. It is the moral thing to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Thank you, Chairman Espinal. I turn it back to you.

[Applause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Hold your--Hold your applause, please.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet. Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Corey.

And before we move forward, I just want to knowledgeably have been joined by Karen Koslowitz from Queens. We have Council member Brad Lander from Brooklyn. We have Keith Powers from Manhattan, Bob Holden from Queens. Also Margaret Chin from Manhattan. Peter Koo from Queens and Chaim Deutsch from Brooklyn. Great to see everyone here. Thinking, Corey, again. Before we call the first panel, I would like to reiterate that I understand the concerns of both the animal advocates and those fighting to save their businesses. I do share the Speaker's concerns regarding the inhumane treatment of animals and it's an issue I care about.

environment, also a big issue that matters to me. also understand the concerns of small business owners, some of our here today who are fearful of what this bill could mean for them and the people

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING they employ. The goal of today's hearing is to get to the bottom of the facts. I look forward to hearing testimony from all sides that addresses the concerns over the sale of fur apparel. I know that there is a lot of misinformation that can be used to advance arguments on either side of the debate, so I look forward to hearing accurate and evidence-based claims today. Finally, as the Chair of Consumer Affairs Committee, I pride myself on making myself available to hear from people from all sides. I look around today and I see that I am definitely going to have that opportunity, so I think you all for taking your time today to provide your feedback. I also want to assure everyone that, even though we will be setting the time clock so that we can hear as many people as possible, all of your testimony will be given equal weight when we consider whether we move this bill forward. I would now like to call up the first panel. We have Tim Gunn from Project Runway, Eileen Jefferson from HSVMA, Joshua Catcher from Parson's School of Design, and Allie Feldman-Taylor, Voters for Animal Rights.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, Mr. Chairman, so this first panel are folks that are in favor in the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING on second panel are going to be people that are opposed to the bill.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Great. You may begin once you are ready.

 $\label{eq:speaker} \mbox{SPEAKER JOHNSON: Just make sure your mic} \\ \mbox{is on. If you hit the button.}$

TIM GUNN: It's on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes.

TIM GUNN: Thank you. Honorable councilmembers, throughout my career as chair of the department of fashion design at Parsons, as chief creative officer at Liz Claiborne, and as cohost of Project Runway, I have advocated against fur. fashion business has a troubling history with animals, but it is quickly evolving. Believe it or not, furriers used to slice up chimpanzees, gorillas, monkeys, lovebirds, baby seals, and tigers for their Most of that was declared illegal in the 1970s when the federal government enacted the Marine mammal protection act and the Endangered Species Act. Now, it's time to safeguard all the other animals from such gratuitous violence by supporting City Council Speaker Corey Johnson's bill to ban first sales in New York City, fall makers of already done in Los

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Angeles and San Francisco. There isn't much to be happy about in politics today, but this trend towards kinds Ms. should give everyone hope. At Parsons, the fur trade enjoyed years of unchallenged promotion in which it enticed budding designers to work with fur by offering them free pelts, trips to Scandinavia, and sponsorships. I introduced a program in which PETA was given equal time to screen videos showing what happened to animals on fur farms around the world both for their pelts and up in New York showrooms. Foxes, rabbits, chinchillas, and even dogs and cats are anally electrocuted, cast, bludgeoned, and often skinned alive. Student interest in the firm program dried up as quickly as enthusiasm for sustainable design increased. Consumer demand for fur has plummeted. According to the treasurer of Greater Fur New York, just 14 retail storefronts selling for a remained in the garment district in 2018, down from 450 in 1977. This year, there are even fewer. Fashion has evolved. Designers are finding it increasingly easy to be created without being destructive. Hundreds of fabrics have been developed that are more ecofriendly and animal friendly. I think you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOSHUA CATCHER: Thank you. My name is Joshua Catcher. I am a fashion designer, author, and educator. I have taught at Parsons and lectured internationally on the topics of ethics and sustainability and fashion. The beauty of a garment should be matched by the beauty of how it was made. This is why furs the epitome of bad design. So many respected brands, retailers, cities, and countries have already left for her behind. In this age of transparency, any positive symbol of for crumbles when the truth about how it is made is revealed. the past two months, five major studies and poles from respective firms like the Boston Consulting Group show that demand for furs plummeting. Shoppers want cruelty-free products. The fur industry's profits hinge on lies they tell and truths they hide and for production is hidden for good reason. A typical fox or a mink on a factory farm well languish. These are wild animals stock in small wire cages for their entire lives. This is not natural. Then they are annually or vaginally electrocuted, cast, or worse some are skinned alive. Imagine the desperation. Consider the animal's perspective. Now, multiply that by over 100 million.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING industry wants you to think that formaldehyde, chromium, and chemically died for is not truly sustainable. Their misleading ads have already been exposed by the French and Dutch advertising standards Authority's for making dubious natural, sustainable, and humane treatment claims. Those same ads are running here in American fashion magazines. Let's talk about jobs. The fur industry claims that furriers can do no other work, yet they know how to design, pattern, sew, drape, sample, merchandise, and more. Fur is simply one input. I've taught fashion students and I assure you that every single one of those skills are valuable, and demand, and transferable. Fur is obsolete. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Honorable Council members, my name is Dr. Eileen Jefferson. I'm a full-time practicing veterinarian as well as the New York State representative for the Humane Society Veterinary Medical Association. We are a national Association of veterinary professionals focused on animal health and welfare. On behalf of our 9000 veterinary professional members nationwide and 330 in New York, we support passage of intro 1476 to ban the sale of fur in New York City. The production of for relies

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING upon inhumane methods of husbandry and trapping, which drastically compromise the health and welfare of the animals used. Millions of rabbits, mink, foxes, and other wild animals are confined to lifelong in cramped, wire floored cages on factory fur farms. There, they are deprived of their abilities to engage in natural behaviors such as hunting, digging, and swimming. They are often kept in unnatural social groups, as well. For example, mean car forest to live in extremely close proximity to each other despite not doing so in nature. contrive living conditions on fur farms inevitably lead animals to suffer severe psychological and physical distress. Instances of unproductive repetitive behavior, a sign of compromise psychological well-being, have been well documented on fur farms, as have been cannibalism, untreated wounds, foot deformities, and I infections. animals on fur farms are typically count via medically and ethically objectionable methods such as gassing or electrocution. Less frequently, animals may be trapped in the wild for their pelts. Animals caught and crippling leg cold traps also undergo immense compromise and suffering, which can include

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing hemorrhage, lacerations, psychological distress, and so of trauma. Biggest animals are often forced to spend days lingering without food or water. In addition, these archaic traps remain a public health and environmental concern as they may injure and kill unintended targets including threatened species, pets, or even human beings. Consumer choices have, for many years, been trending away from further products. We hope the New York City Council will take a firm lead on this issue. We strongly support and child 1476. Thank you.

2.2

Speaker Johnson, Chairman as banal, and members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs, my name is Allie Feldman-Taylor and I am the President and Founder of Voters for Animal Rights in Brooklyn. I'm here as a resident of New York City, a voter, and in my official capacity to represent our thousands of supporters and New York City. We are an all-volunteer, grassroots organization. Today you will hear testimony from those who support and those that oppose. I ask that you consider the motives behind every person who testifies today. That dozens of experts in hundreds of advocates who are in this room in support of banning the sale of fur our here for

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING one simple reason. Animals do not have a voice and suffered greatly at the hands of the very people who are here to oppose this bill. Those who testify in opposition do it because they profit from the abuse that this bill seeks to end. In front of you, you have a bound packet. We prepared an informational packet for your consideration that I think you will find very helpful. Inside, you will find facts and evidence in support of the bill including polling numbers showing that 75 percent of New York City voters support this bill. This poll was conducted by Mason Dixon and shows overwhelming support for the legislation across every borough, every demographic, and every political party. Also in your packet is a letter of support from over 15 not-for-profit organizations that are part of our fur-free NYC coalition representing hundreds of thousands of There is also a letter of support from supporters. over 30 veterinarians. The fur industry cannot win a civil debate on the merits, so they resort to using their money to bully and spread misinformation to the general public and the city Council, representing everything that is wrong in politics. They have hired expensive lobbyists and marketing firms to spin

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING the truth, send out thousands of mailers and robocalls of this information and attacking some of you on this very committee and have bought ads that are riddled with lies to cause fear among New Yorkers. Andrea said only that has gone so far as to create fake twitter accounts, controlled by the fur industry, pretending to be New York City residents and attacking speaker Johnson personally. We have called on the fur industry to apologize for these tactics, but they have refused. All of these tactics we find ridiculous, but we're going to take the high road while they take the low road. We are at a pivotal juncture in our society. We can either take back our democracy from unethical industries or allow those with money to undermine and destroy what makes New York a beacon of light for a good. We ask humbly to reject their lives, abusive practices, and support a more compassionate New York City. You just saw actual footage of the animals being changed, killed, skinned alive, and trapped in steel traps. This bill is about those animals who do not have a voice. is a relic of the past and has no place in a progressive, civilized New York City. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Speaker

3 Johnson?

2.2

through legislation?

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

Thank you to the panel for testifying today. So I
have a few questions and anyone can answer. So, some
argue that this industry means to be a more strictly
regulated. That if we created additional
regulations, that that would be a solution. Is it
possible, do you believe, to farm animals for their
fur in a humane manner that could be implemented

JOSHUA CATCHER: No. The fur industry is entirely self-regulated. The auditors are paid for by the fur industry. This is an industry that any welfare measure cannot meet the needs of these animals.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Anyone else on the panel?

EILEEN JEFFERSON: I would point out that there's a big difference here between what we might use with farm animals using— for farm animals that are used for food. Those are domesticated animals and they are more able to benefit from incremental change. But because we are dealing with wild

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing animals, the husbandry of those animals is always going to have an element of an inhumane quality because we are never going to be able to keep them in an environment that even starts to mimic their

6 natural environment all they are being utilized.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Tim, I had a question for you. When I have talked to some furriers about the fact that some of the major fashion designers that I had mentioned in your opening statement, that you had mentioned in your testimony, whether it be Donna Karen or Donatello Versace or Stella McCartney, the list goes on and on and on of folks who said they are no longer using for in their fashion label. folks have said to me is, for those fashion labels, fur is really incidental. It's not a major component of their business, so they are able to make that decision without it affecting their bottom line in a significant way and that they made de-- What I've heard is they've made the decision off of outside pressure, not because of their own ethical concerns related defer. I was wondering if you had any information or perspective given your role in the fashion world and your interaction with these major

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 30 designers that you've worked with over the years made this decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Speaker Johnson, I would like TIM GUNN: to respond by saying that there are many people who believe that fashion and fur are inextricable, is that they are married and I say that that is preposterous. And as in my role as an educator, I would like to think that I educated eight years worth of young designers who came to terms with the fact that fashion and fur are not even related in a manner of speaking and that they don't even belong together. And that anyone who wants a fur like garment can go to faux sources. I really profoundly believe that it's an entirely unnecessary waste of lives and even human labor to be supporting this industry, but that's also very, very personal. And in my role at Liz Claiborne where I was Chief Creative Officer, at the time that I entered the company, there were 48 brands and we found collectively to go fur-free and all of the brands. And some of them were fledgling. There were up-and-coming. Others were more mature and had a loyal following. And in my role on project runway, is the only way that I will participate in this program is is, in fact, it is for free.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING agree and it certainly has never affected the quality or the perception of the work. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: As supporters of trapping is argued that it is an important conservation method and I wanted to hear any perspective on if you believe that trapping has conservation value and what are the alternatives to trapping? I don't know if you— from the Humane Society for Veterinary Medical Association, if you had any perspective?

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I was just wondering if there is been information that said that trapping is an important conservation method and if you had a perspective on that.

EILEEN JEFFERSON: As far as I know, they animals that are used in for that are trapped for fur are not species that are overpopulated. The ecology

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 37 of the situation is it does not at all benefit from animals being trapped.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And there is a very serious concern and one that has been a concern to me even though, of course, this is my bill that we have put forward and that we are hearing today. And I said very openly that I am a big animal lover and want us to live in a more humane and just society and CV, but there is a concern about the loss of jobs. There is a concern about people whose families have worked in this industry for a very long time and their skills said is working on manufacturing these doc -- these garments and the name on the solve them. What would happen to these individuals where this is their lives? And I wanted to understand from the-from the fashion side, from the side of manufacturing close what your perspective on that is, that there is also a human cost involved here, file, if we go down this route. I don't know Joshua and Tim--

JOSHUA CATCHER: I can stick to that.

These skills-- I think the mythology that's been perpetuated here is that skilled laborers can do no other work other than this one singular input which is for. And working with for requires many, many

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing skills and those skills can be used and used with different inputs. I personally produce clothing here in New York City. I personally produce accessories here in New York City and I work with manufacturers that use a variety of materials, some including fur and they are very happy to work with the other materials that I bring them. And I pay them and they make things. I can imagine a person who is a skilled manufacturer and producer who knows how to work with for her that can't work with any other fabric. I just can't imagine that.

2.2

TIM GUNN: Me, I agree. A material is a materialism material. In repurpose sing a skill set for a different material is—— I don't want to make it sound as though it's instantaneous, but it certainly doable and it's what people in other industries to win certain aspects of that industry disappear. So I would say it's easily achieved. I agree with Joshua.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. Are there any other members that have signed up for questions?

Yeah? Council member Cabrera?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much and thank you for being a voice for those,

literally, who can't speak for themselves. I had a couple of questions. One was in regards to the document that you provided. It says the People for Ethical Treatment of Animals has also shown how more than half of the fur sold in the United States is imported from China, a country that has virtually no regulations to protect fur and animals and that those furs sometimes come— excuse me. Come from domestic dogs and cats and are internationally—intentionally or intentionally mislabeled as fox, as other animal species. Can you give me more— give any more details on that?

2.2

JOSHUA CATCHER: I can answer that quickly. The reason that the Truth in Fur Labeling Act was passed into law several years ago was because of this very problem. There was loopholes that allow the furs coming into the United States, more than 50 percent of those are imported and coming from places like China. There is a well-documented and scientific evidence based history of fibers being found, sold at major department stores through major brands and ending up here in New York City and, when they are tested, some of them have been found to be domesticated cat, domesticated dog, or the incorrect

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING species. So there was an entirely-- This is

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And that is something that we are is finding specifically here, right here in New York City?

JOSHUA CATCHER: Yes.

evidence of how unregulated this industry is.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Wow. Amazing. Talk to me, Tim or maybe anybody in the panel. How have you seen the cultural change where you mentioned earlier we used to have 400 plus manufacturers here in the city and now we are down, I think, to 14. Can you talk to me a little bit about the cultural change, the sentiments of New Yorkers.

first storefronts. I don't know how many
manufacturers, actually. And that was in the 19
seventies. There is an erosion in the perception of
furs being a luxury item and for as being a modern
item. I know that the fur industry likes to say it's
not your grandmother's coat anymore and there been
many attempts and I won't qualify them, but many
attempts to make firm more modern and therefore more
relatable it just doesn't have the sort of luster
that it used to have and I don't believe we should

return to a time when it does. And the more support we can give to our alternative textiles and, in particular, faux fur, just the better off the city will be in this country will be and, quite frankly, the world will be. And it's exciting to be here today and to say that we are on the cusp of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Indeed.

JOSHUA CATCHER: In regards to the trends that we are seeing coming from a business standpoint and an innovation standpoint, there are currently right now companies here in the Northeast who are growing leather in a laboratory. There are companies who have already have on the market lab grown silks. There are companies in Europe who are working on lab grown fur. We can grow protein fibers without the animal attached to it and there is so much potential and innovation in some of this technology. We have companies that are making bio synthetics from LG. have companies that are crafting infinitely recyclable synthetics from the waist of the fishing industry, from fishing nets. We can make luxurious fabrics from citrus peels, from pineapple, from mushrooms, from agricultural waste. The limit is only a limit of imagination and a limit of and need

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    for innovation. In this innovation is going to
    result in jobs that are here, where the innovation is
 3
    happening. And as an educator, I can see that these
 4
    students that I've worked with, they want access to
 5
    the most cutting-edge sustainable, ethical-- real
 6
 7
    sustainable, ethical materials. And they don't--
    most of them don't want to be working with the
 8
    materials of the past. It's limiting from a design
 9
    standpoint and there are ethical and sustainability
10
    pitfalls that are unavoidable.
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And so it's
    totally and necessary, that's-- that's the point
13
14
    they are making, right?
15
                JOSHUA CATCHER:
                                 I'm sorry?
16
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: It's totally
17
    unnecessary to--
18
                JOSHUA CATCHER: Within the context--
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: with all the
19
    technology that we have--
20
                JOSHUA CATCHER: Within the context of a
21
2.2
    place like New York City, yes. It's unnecessary.
23
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA:
                                           Absolutely.
    Last, if you could give me a little bit more of the
24
     environmental impact that we will have if we were--
25
```

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING positive environmental impact if we were to have the ban of fur in New York City.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

JOSHUA CATCHER: What would the positive environmental impact being?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yes.

JOSHUA CATCHER: Well, think of a-imagine a fur farm. This is a factory farm. Like any factory farm, it's fossil fuel dependent. are animals that are crammed into a very small space. The ecosystems have not evolved to handle that many animals and not small amount of space. So you have to consider the high concentrations of ammonia and phosphorus. Their foods are supplemented with things like this. It ends up in runoff in the local aquatic ecosystems and it causes something called toxic eutrophication which sucks the oxygen out of aquatic systems and killed wildlife. And then you can't go in that water. You can look in Canada, in Nova Scotia, there is a wonderful case study by the Suzuki foundation of what-- of how the fur industry has devastated the aquatic ecosystems in that area. [Background comments] These family farms are making the water-- You can't go in the water. It's poisonous. There's toxic cyanobacteria. This is not

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing an eco-friendly industry. Just because the fiber is considered natural, what goes into it, formaldehyde, chromium, as a dies, all of these things are not ecologically friendly and they are definitely not sustainable.

2.2

take a moment and to commend our speaker. I'm a coprime of this bill for really listening to New Yorkers. It's hard to get New Yorkers to agree on just about any issue and when you have 75 percent of them, and I looked at the numbers, the data from the Mason Dixon, and it's all across every borough. The numbers almost look the same, so it's not— let me just be clear. This is not a Manhattan thing.

People in the Bronx, from the Bronx, Queens,
Brooklyn, Staten Island, everybody is echoing this voice that the time is now and we can't stay lagging behind. LA already passed it, San Francisco, now it's our turn. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Council member Cabrera. Next we have Council member Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. First of all, I've been clear, you know, in my years

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING serving in the Council that for me animal rights for me as we fight for human rights and I think that we have a responsibility to stand not only for the current generation, but for the children that I see the youngest ones sitting there. They stand for my two daughters who are six and 12 who want to be sure that everyone, especially those who represent the industry, realize that we have a big responsibility to decide the future of our city. And I think that it is important to find a way on how investor gets a return from the investment that the fashion industry continues me to live in the city of New York. the question is, how can that happen at the same time that also we address animal rights? How can I explain to my six-year-old that loves the chinchilla that I support continue industry that they kill those type of animals only to fulfill, you know, [inaudible 00:39:37] in New York and in other place a demand. So I think that, again, we've been in similar fights in the past and I really think that leadership and speaker Johnson is standing for animal rights not only in this bill, but in many other bills. hopefully, we will continue working together and he will continue leading conversation not only with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING those like myself, even though my name is not there, please add my name to the bell. But also continue the conversation with those were against it. I see some individuals, friends of mine that we have been marching for human rights. So this is an opportunity for us also to be able to address animal rights as a top priority in the city of New York. So, with that, I just have a question on which is like why is fur any worse than buy leather? Because that's a-question is more because those who are being organized against the bill, they say, well, if you are working on this bill, what about leather? So what answer can we give to those who are against this bill when it comes to why is fur any worse than buying leather?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

produced as a byproduct of other industries where as the fur industry, these are millions of animals that are either trapped or maintained in these fur factor farms solely for the purpose of raising them in, usually, what's a truncated lifespan for the sake of their pelts. So they are really just spending a few years in a torturous situation for a wild animal solely for the sake of that fur. So there are many

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING people who, you know, for example, will eat meat and who will wear leather who will absolutely not wear fur for that reason. It is a particularly objectionable method of husbandry for animals and especially because they are wild animals and subject is much more fear and stress. And it's not something that comes out of them. It's something that they are evolutionarily programmed to be in a certain environment. And when they are taken out of that environment or they are never even in that environment and they are raised in a situation that is so unnatural, and is a particularly egregious method of producing something that is really very unnecessary and is really just for the sake of a look.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Peter Koo?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you very much for coming. My question is that we have been wearing fur coats since historic times, no? And I believe Adam and Eve probably wore fur coats or something like that. So, I understand you have really good intentions to ban fur sales because it did create some cruelty to animals. No? So if there's a way we

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING treat the animals differently and we-- We kill cows every day. Thousands of them, but we kill them in a humane way. All right? You-- So, is there any way you guys can amend to the-- to the ban like if you can modify the way how we treat animals in the farms, how to treat them humanely and how we kill them humanely. Things like that. Can you guys agree to some other ways of my-- Maybe a law can be [inaudible 00:43:59] we take 10 years to taper off the sales so that those in the industry have a way to adjust to the total ban. Because a total ban is kind of harsh to those people who have been making a living on this trade for many, many years. For many, many generation. So it's hard for us to say, hey, stop this. You cannot do this. So we give them, say, five-- ten years to stop this sale in New York City. Can you guys amend to that?

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this is an issue that is really been extremely controversial for many, many decades that the writing has been on the wall for a long time about this particular product that something that people a bit aware, just in terms of the market trends and consumer choices, the way it's going is less and less

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing a month towards fur. And especially, you know, the younger generation is really very disinterested and for which is why they are using these marketing campaigns to try to appeal to younger people, but this is something I fail and I have seen this in other sectors available use in society is that as we go towards faster action, the people are actually being aided because we're helping the evolution of something that is going to be going away. So I feel like the sooner that the business is get on board with this, the better it will actually be for them.

2.2

TIM GUNN: And I would just like to repeat that there were 450 first storefronts in 19-- in the 1970s and there were 14 in 2018. So says a tremendous amount.

JOSHUA CATCHER: And also like to reiterate that this industry, when it comes to conditions and welfare measures, this is self-regulated with auditors paid by the industry. Any welfare measures cost money and this affects the bottom line. I've seen animals on fur farms that have the highest ethical standards, supposedly, in Europe with untreated infractions that have been languishing. Any measure to accommodate animal

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing welfare chops away at that bottom line and it's a fragile economic system for farms. Some of them, the smallest increase in the price of food, for example, would put fur businesses under. So what would be required is just not economically feasible for something like the fur industry.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. Mark Levine?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Chair and Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am incredibly proud that this city has become more and more attentive to the issues of animal welfare and to humane treatment of animals, both domesticated and wild. I do want to ask you just a couple of questions. I think most New Yorkers have never seen an animal trap and probably are familiar with even how the mechanism works and may believe that it say, quote, natural way to kill an animal. Maybe you could describe the impact on animals and how these traps work.

is here and he brought a trap with him. If you would like him to demonstrate it, he can do that right now.

[Background comments] If that's okay.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Uh--

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	[Background comments]
3	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Is it not working?
4	DAN MATTHEWS: It works.
5	[Background comments]
6	EILEEN JEFFERSON: I would just narrate for
7	a minute that this is not like a mouse trap where the
8	animal is instantaneously killed or even it's not
9	a situation like that. It's something where the
10	animal's leg will be in this trap and the animal will
11	linger like that potentially for days. So, it's
12	This is not something that kills the animal humanely
13	and quickly.
14	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Dan, we can try it
15	later. Maybe you can
16	[Background comments]
17	SPEAKER JOHNSON: We're gonna (sic)
18	EILEEN JEFFERSON: They're not usually used
19	on carpet.
20	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yeah. Council member
21	Levine is going to ask some additional questions.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I did want to ask
23	about
24	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: an issue of 3 particular concern-- Yeah. DAN MATTHEWS: 4 It was the carpet that 5 was not cooperating. This is a leg hole trap. They cost 10 dollars on amazon. 6 7 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Speak in the microphone, 8 please. DAN MATTHEWS: Thanks. This is a leq 9 hole trap. They cost 10 dollars on Amazon. They 10 11 have been banned in over 100 countries. Their legal 12 and most of the United States and this trap is meant 13 for coyotes, but they do not discriminate. capture dogs and cats and owls and other wildlife and 14 15 let's take a look and how they work. There's a reason 100 countries have outlawed these traps. 16 17 Jersey has outlawed--18 [Background comments] SPEAKER JOHNSON: That's okay. You're 19 20 going to be on an additional panel and let's go back-- Council member Levine, why don't you ask this 21 2.2 panel questions. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And look forward to 23 hearing more about that. Just on another matter, 24

there is concern in New York City's ultra-Orthodox US

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 10 communities about traditional garn the including known as a shtreimel that are made of fur and I'm wondering, as advocates, you have a take on an exemption for religious garb.

ALLIE FELDMAN-TAYLOR: I'll take the tough one. I am a practicing Jewish woman myself. But I am not Orthodox, however, I am very familiar with the community being that my best friend is actually Orthodox herself. And, while she does not support the hats, I think this is a tough line and that, you know, we have to find a balance and while, you know, I think any animal rights advocate, we would not like to make exceptions, we do understand that that may be the reality. Our goal at the end of the day is to reduce suffering for the greatest number of animals possible. And if having a religious exemption means that we can have this law passed and save millions of animals per year, then, of course, that is something that we would support.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you. And thank you to the Speaker and the Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Mark.

Helen Rosenthal?

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so I do want to start by saying that I much, Chair. applaud our panel and our speaker for their longtime leadership to ban for. I support the band for three reasons. One is killing the animals is unethical and unnecessary. Two because of the work of PETA and the fashion leaders like Tim Gunn, Michael Coors, Gucci, yourself, Joshua. For products are not available more, as proven by the 70 percent figure, is there really a demand for fur anymore. And third, there is a strong exception for religious customs are not as important to me. I do up one question and although this is not part of the bill, I do just want to hear your opinion about feathers and in particular thinking about Canada goose down jackets. Is there an ethical reason to move to ban feathers, as well? Or would you put in the category as he talked about before, when leather in the use of cows for meat, where do you come down on that issue?

JOSHUA CATCHER: What I'll say is that when garments end up on the rack, what the majority of us are exposed to as consumers, there tends to be a conflation of materials. We see the outer material with the lining, with the trim, with the feathers,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING with the fur, and it all seems like it's coming from the same place, but these are independent industries of each other and I don't believe there's any reason why we shouldn't be able to focus on one industry and me political and ethical decisions based on that particular industry. I will say that there is a phenomenally awe-inspiring history of what transpired legally and politically in the feather trade, especially here in New York City. It was-- It resulted in many laws protecting animals from being driven to extinction and from some of the worst cruelties to animals used for the feather trade. So there is a history there that I think is telling and-- Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We have Keith Powers from Manhattan.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Great. Thank you.

Thank you for the testimony. Just a few question.

Mr. Gunn, you just had a number-- wanted to just ask
you if you could repeat on the-- I think it was fur
stores in the city. Can you repeat that number?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

TIM GUNN: In the 19 seventies, there were 450 for storefronts in New York.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And that means a store that is exclusively selling for or what is the definition?

TIM GUNN: Exclusively, I can't say.

It's a number that I got from-- one second. Great

Fur New York.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. Great.

Thanks. And presumably there are other businesses that are selling it as part of their variety of things, as well. Dr. Jefferson, you had a point about leather that I wanted to just ask a follow-up question on which was the sort of byproduct nature of the, you know, that's been raised-- and I think we're going to hear testimony, as well, from folks around sheep skin and other forms like cow hide in terms of why it might be excluded from it. You know, same definition applies. I was wondering if there were comments on whether that should be an allowable form of-- or not-- or what the opinion is in terms of other sort of items that might also serve as byproducts.

2.2

EILEEN JEFFERSON: Do you mean fur as a byproduct?

made the point that leather— why the sep— The difference between fur and leather here was that leather had been used for other purposes and was a byproduct. And I think that argument has been raised around like sheepskin and other— I think other forms, as well. Wondering if you see if those as comparable examples or—

EILEEN JEFFERSON: I do. Sheepskin would be a different product altogether because those are domesticated farmed animals as opposed to wild animals being farmed.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Good. I guess the question is if there was—— If the Council is considering an exemption around that, whether you thought that was a reasonable exception in the sense that there is—— I think it's going to be raised early, so I'm just asking the question.

 $\mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} EILEEN JEFFERSON: Yes. \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} We would consider \\ \mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} that separate from fur. \end{tabular}} \end{tabular}$

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. And then, in terms of the-- I think it's Mr. Catcher. Is that right? Okay.

JOSHUA CATCHER: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: In terms of the conversion of the industry that exists today, people who are selling it, maybe those 40 or something storefronts that are selling it right now. I mean, obvi-- You noted this, as well, and Mr. Gunn, as well, that there would be some time to convert. It would not mean if we were, essentially, asking a business to shut down in 90 days or reconvert and 90 days, I just wonder, what are the industries that you feel like that would be translatable, I guess, today who is in that business and how-- and what would the skill sets and the conversion needed. If the city needed to put any of their workforce development or water the convertible skill sets if we were asking an industry that changed today from one material to another?

JOSHUA CATCHER: I think you for the question. I don't believe that there is that much of a skill set difference. There is maybe one or two things that are very, very specific. But as far as

```
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
patterning and so weighing in merchandising and
draping, these are people that are already making
coats that have other materials on them. They know
how to make-- Some of their linings are-- They're
working with polyester. They're working with cotton.
They are working with these materials already, so
it's not that they don't know how to use these
materials. I believe there should be opportunities
and incentives to how bring profitable and exciting
innovative materials into all producers in the New
York City garment district and all fashion makers in
New York City. I think from an over arcing
perspective, the entire industry should have more
access to the most cutting-edge high tech,
sustainable, and ethical materials and I don't
believe-- I do believe there should be-- There is a
case for a transition period, but this isn't training
somebody who is a coalminer to make wind turbines.
It's not a completely different technology. It is
very similar.
           COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Great.
                                          Thank you.
           CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                 Thank you.
                                              Ι'm
going to call it the next person.
                                   Thank you.
```

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you all.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We have Mark Oten,

3 the CEO of International Fur Federation, Stephen

4 Humphries, International Fur Federation, and excuse

5 me if I miss pronounce your name. We have Bezelelel

6 Stem. Stern. Sorry. Clayton Bexstead from the Fur

7 | Commission.

1

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, Sergeant. We can begin. Just start whenever you would like. Just make sure your mic is on. The red light on your mic.

STEPHEN HUMPHRIES: Council members.

STEPHEN HUMPHRIES: Good afternoon--

12 MARK OTEN: I think--

My name is Stephen Humphries. I am an attorney with the law firm of Kelly, Dryer, and Morin here in the city and I am here representing the International Fur Federation and The Fur Information Council of America. Although subject to city and state environmental review laws, such as the SEEKER and SEEKRA, the Council is not released, at this time, and environmental assessment of the fur ban. If the city Council proceeds with this bill, it must comply with those requirements and take a, quote, hard look at the potential impacts of its action. Pursuant to

SEEKRA and the state equivalent, SEEKRA, where an

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING action has the potential to result in even one significant impact, of full environmental impact statement, or EIS, must be prepared. Here, the proposed bill has the potential to result in at least three types of significant environmental impacts. will briefly review those impacts. First, regarding socioeconomic conditions. According to the city's environmental review guidelines set forth in the SEEKRA technical manual, and action would result in a significant impact if it would substantially impair the ability of an industry or category of businesses to continue operating within the city. Given that the bill would cause for sellers, wholesalers, and manufacturers to shut down or relocate to outside of the city, the bill would result in significant socioeconomic impact. As such, and EIS must be prepared to identify, assess, and disclose those impacts. And to develop mitigation measures and to consider alternatives. Second, regarding neighborhood character. According to the SEEKRA technical manual, and action would result in a significant impact where it would significantly change one of the defining elements of a neighborhood. By closing the ground-floor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 storefronts and for related businesses that define the fur district, the bill would result in a 3 4 significant impact to neighborhood character. Again, 5 and EIS needs to be prepared to identify, assess, and 6 disclose those impacts. As well as develop 7 mitigation measures and consider alternatives. SPEAKER JOHNSON: Sir? 8 STEPHEN HUMPHRIES: 9 10 SPEAKER JOHNSON: I want-- We're going to have questions for you, so I'm going to move--11 12 STEPHEN HUMPHRIES: Sure. SPEAKER JOHNSON: on to the next panelist, 13 but we will come back and you can expound on your 14 15 points longer. So, you're not on, that were going to 16 move on the next folks and were going to go back for questions and you can continue to expand on your 17 18 arguments on the environmental assessment that you believe is needed. 19 20 STEPHEN HUMPHRIES: Thank you. SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 21 2.2 Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and 23 committee. My name is Mark Oten. I am the chief executive of the International Fur Federation. We're 24

the organization that represents the fur industry and

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING over 50 countries around the globe and I actually welcome, Mr. Speaker, some of the measures that you are trying to achieve because our business is also keen to make sure that we have a proper, regulated for industry and I hope that we can enter a dialogue with you here in New York to be able to achieve some of those aims. The fur trade is worth over 33 billion dollars and it is a growing and vibrant trade. Unlike some of the testament we heard early on, young people are working and endorsing for and, here in New York, fur is sold, yes, still in the garment district, but actually way beyond the garment district. It is sold from stores such as Barney's and Bloomingdale's. It is sold on the Internet. it is a vibrant firm business here in New York. dying at all. From Canada goose to Uggs, to Fendy, Louis Vuitton, New Yorkers are buying for and have been doing it for decades and want to continue to do The next generation are designing fur. It's on the catwalks here at New York fashion week and around the globe. A ban proposed this way would stop the freedom of those designers from being able to use for and, more importantly, stop consumers here in New York from buying further they want to buy. At a time

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING when many of us are concerned about the environmental impacts on society, makes no sense to deny consumers the opportunity to buy a truly natural material rather than pushing them towards the fake plastic alternatives. For bio degrades. It does not end up in landfill and to answer a point made earlier on, 100 percent of the fire which comes from wild trapping is part of conservation project. News of what is happening in New York has spread around the globe and we are in conversations now with countries such as Denmark and Finland and Greece about the WTO implications of what takes place. Mr. Speaker, hope you will be prepared to sit down with me and look at a solution. We would like to see New York become the first city to introduce Fur Mark, a proper, independent, scientific-based certification program guaranteed that the fire sold here in New York is from the most regulated fire that we can have in the globe and I hope we can meet to discuss that in the weeks ahead. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, mister. And I have some questions on Fur Mark, but I want to let the other two panelist speak first and then I'm happy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	to have some conversation about that with you. Thank
3	you for being here.
4	BETELE STERN: Good afternoon. My name
5	is Betele Stern, also of Kelly, Dryer, and Morin
6	which represents IFF, FICA, and Fur Commission USA.
7	We believe the legislation
8	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Pull the mic a little
9	bit closer to you. Thank you.
10	BETELE STERN: Sure. We believe the
11	proposed legislation is bad policy, but it's also
12	unconstitutional. I noticed, Mr. Speaker, that when
13	you summarize the legislation, you didn't talk about
14	the religious custom exception. Believe that
15	SPEAKER JOHNSON: It's in the bill.
16	BETELE STERN: I know, but the religion
17	custom exception violates the establishment clause.
18	So
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: I just want to be clear.
20	We added it to the bill, so I'm not sure what
21	inference you are trying to make by mean not
22	mentioning it. There was a lot I didn't mention,
23	which is why we have a back and forth to talk about
24	these things.

25 BETELE STERN: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: But the bill includes a religious exemption. So I'm not sure what you're trying to infer with that comment, but you may continue.

BETELE STERN: Thank you. The Supreme Court in Lemon V. Kurtzman explained that for a law to not run afoul of the establishment clause, a principal or primary effect must be one that neither advances nor inhibit religion and the statute must not foster an excessive government entanglement with religion. The proposed law fails to meet this test. By excluding for apparel that is worn as a matter of religious custom from its otherwise comprehensive ban on new furs, the laws primary effect is to advance religion by allowing the purchase and use of new furs for religious purposes only. Furthermore, by acknowledging that the for being exempted is worn by some Jews not as a matter of religious obligation, but only as a matter of religious custom, the law wrongly advances the interest of a particular group of Jews even though the proposed law acknowledges that there is no halalic requirement for Jews to wear furs. In 1994, an overturning New York legislation providing special privileges to religious groups, the Supreme Court explained that proper respect for both the free exercise and the establishment clause compels the state to pursue a clause of neutrality towards religion favoring neither one religion over the others were religious adherents collectively over nonadherence. Furthermore, by excluding for apparel as a matter of religious custom from the ban, the proposed legislation will necessarily foster and an excessive government entanglement with religion, which is exactly what the Supreme Court in Lemon V. Kurtzman prescribed against. Thank you.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you for your analysis.

am a fourth generation mink rancher and I am against the fur ban for many reasons. Just like my father taught me, I am in the process of teaching my son about the importance of treating animals with the utmost care and respect. As a rancher, I know how vital it is that these animals receive the best possible care every day. We are strictly regulated under the Fur Commission USA certification program that ensures the best practices. Under these mandated guidelines, the animal's welfare, comfort,

and well-being always come first. Freshwater sources and finest food ingredients are always readily available to ensure a comfortable and healthy lifestyle for the animals. The animals are cared for in a clean, organic environment that is regularly inspected and regulated. I watched my grandfather and dad spend a lifetime on the farm from sun up to sun down caring for the animals and now my family and I dedicating our lives to ensuring the same top-quality life for our animals. Ranchers dedicate their lives to giving their animals a quality life. Do the right thing and don't kill a multigenerational family business.

2.1

2.2

here. Mr. Oten, I have some questions for you on Fur Mark. So, you had mentioned Fur Mark. You mentioned you thought this would be an opportunity for the city of New York to do something that other municipalities of not done, other countries have not done. We saw that the ban went forward in West Hollywood and San Francisco and in Los Angeles. Other countries around the world have not done full bans, but have tried to further regulate fur farming and other measures.

What you'd mentioned in your testimony and what I

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING think other furriers have come to me with is this idea called Fur Mark which, jump in when I'm done with this, correct me if I get anything wrong. information I have says that Fur Mark will be a selfregulated Global program, as I understand it, oversight and enforcement sounds like a huge task that would require a significant amount of resources to be able to do about enforcement and that oversight is part is what is being formulated as part of Fur Mark. And I want to understand who would be responsible for generating the standards under the Who would create those standards and how program. well fur farms and trappers be held accountable if they deviate from the standards that get set up? MARK OTEN: Okay. Very directly, the program is not been devised by the industry. being devised by independent scientists. So they have been sent away and they have come up with what they believed to be the best welfare standards, whether it's to do with farming or to do with trapping, or indeed dressing and dying. The second part of the process is that all of this has to independently assessed. So, again, the entity does

not do this. It is assessed by private companies

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that are brought in on contract. On a farm, for example, there would be three independent assessments each year. To answer your last point, if somebody fails to actually meet those criteria, they are banned from being able to sell goods in the fur

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

8 Absolutely, crystal-clear.

 $\label{eq:speaker_johnson:} \mbox{ And have those standards}$ been created yet on what--

industry. They will not be part of Fur Mark.

MARK OTEN: Yes.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: what is acceptable and what's unacceptable?

MARK OTEN: So, the program is under pilot at the moment. We are ready to launch January, the 1st. We've been developing this program with the two big fashion groups, LVMH and Caring. So, they have major fashion houses in it. We've got buy-in, for example from Galeries Lafayette in Paris who are going to go Fur Mark only. What we would love you to do is to be the first city to actually say, okay. We want to balance animal welfare with consumer freedom and I genuinely believe Fur Mark is a way that we could sit down and create that freedom, but gave you

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING the reassurances you need about where the fur comes from and make sure that it is ethically sourced.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And, Mr. Oten, you just said that the inspections what happened three times a year?

MARK OTEN: On the farms, it's three times a year. When it comes to the trapping, the regulation is different because, obviously, you have to make said that the chops I checked and inspected within the 24 hour period after the trapping takes place. So there is whether it talking about wild over whether you are talking about farmed. Each one is for spoke to make sure that it's done for the high standards for that particular type of firm.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I mean this with total sincerity. How is there a humane and ethical way to trap an animal?

MARK OTEN: Well--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And I really mean that. Explain to me what a humane and ethical way-- walk me through that because I don't understand that.

MARK OTEN: You will hear from scientists. I hope is they get called later on to explain exactly how the trapping is put in place.

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    Obviously, because 100 percent of these animals are
     trapped for conservation, we work to government, not
 3
 4
     ours, government quotas and quidelines on how the
 5
     trapping takes place. Those traps are
     internationally approved. We sign up to
 6
    international conventions on [inaudible 01:13:45] for
     example, on which animals can be trapped. All of
 8
     those traps have to be approved not by us, but by
 9
     international government agreements. And I know we
10
    talked earlier about misinformation on both sides.
11
12
     That is transparent. You can read those guidelines.
     They are thoroughly checked, inspected, and they are
13
14
    humane in terms of the way the animals are trapped.
15
     I do not recognize Dan's demonstration earlier on as
16
    the industry that I work in income from.
17
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: But those traps exist
    and they're used.
18
                             They will-- There is
19
                MARK OTEN:
20
     absolutely no way those traps can be used as part of
```

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Not as Fur Mark, but they currently exist outside of Fur Mark.

We're talking about Fur Mark as a new standard that you think that we should use and other government

Fur Mark and--

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 should use forward. But currently these type of traps do exist to trap animals and menus their fur? 3 MARK OTEN: I am not aware of that 4 5 taking place in America. I stand to be corrected, but I am not aware of that--6 7 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Not in America, but in other places around the world? 8 MARK OTEN: As Dan s--9 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Russia? China? 10 MARK OTEN: As Dan said himself, 100 11 12 countries have actually banned those traps and I 13 welcome that. 14 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay. So, as part of 15 the standards you are talking about in New York 16 State, as I mentioned in my opening remarks, electrocution of furbearing animals is not lawful in 17 the state of New York. It was banned a few years 18 ago. Would pelts from electrocuted animals be 19 20 certified under Fur Mark? MARK OTEN: Yes. Because land-based and 21 2.2 on independent science. And so it's not for me to 23 come in and judge that. The EU, for example, has this as the most ethical and humane standard. That 24

electrocution is the quickest, fastest, and best way

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    to euthanize an animal. It's not something we set.
     It's something the EU has independently assessed and,
 3
 4
    again, got a 30 page document I can share with you
    which sets out exactly why they believe that is the
 5
 6
    humane embarrassed way to euthanize.
 7
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Electrocuting animals?
                MARK OTEN: It is tested by scientists.
 8
    Welfare experts.
 9
10
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing]
    doesn't make you uncomfortable? Electrocuting
11
     animals?
12
                MARK OTEN: What would make me
13
    uncomfortable is me deciding rather than a scientist
14
15
    deciding. I put my faith in independent scientists
16
    and welfare experts. That always has to be the thing
17
    to do in my judgment. Both you and I are laymen when
18
    it comes to this issue. We have to trust the
    experts.
19
20
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: And we-- We just--
                MARK OTEN: And I'm very happy to have
21
2.2
    those experts--
23
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yeah. But--
24
                MARK OTEN: give evidence and cross-
```

25

examine them.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: We just— I understand. We heard from a medical expert. We heard from a doctor who spoke on the previous panel, veterinarian who specializes in the treatment of animals and she doesn't feel comfortable, I think, with what you're saying. So I don't think there is a singular threshold. You may have one scientist that says one thing and there are plenty of other scientists who wouldn't think that is the appropriate standards to use.

MARK OTEN: And I think sometimes you're going to have some vets and scientists that just don't believe that animals should be use this purpose. So there will be against whatever method it is that is used to euthanize. What I'm talking about is the independent experts who have said, look, this is the kindest, the fairest, the best way to euthanize. And, as I said, based on science and I'm really happy to share that science.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So--

MARK OTEN: If there's alternative science, let's look at that, as well.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So when other killing methods are allowed under Fur Mark. What-- If you

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 71 could give me a list of what is allowed under Fur Mark to kill animals.

2.2

MARK OTEN: Okay. So we base it on, as I said, the scientific evidence. The mink are euthanized and then around five seconds from being taken from the cage and then put into a box where they are cast. That is around five seconds. They're not transported anywhere. I've you've heard, for the fox, that is electrocution as being the best and most humane method. And then, as we talked about for the trapped for, that will be different kinds of approved traps which will be bespoke for the different animals that are trapped in different ways. So for each species, it's different and it's based, again, on the scientific evidence that we are given.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So what about for coyotes?

MARK OTEN: For coyotes, that would be trapping, as well. That would be— They're not euthanized by electricity or gassed. That is a trapped breed. And that is done in Canada and in America and you'll hear from our trapping experts later on that it is highly inspected by the government, the wildlife authorities in Canada and

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 77

America and it's been developed over-- for decades of expertise on humane trapping.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So I just want to read from you, this is the finished code of good practice for humane killing in foxes. This is what the code says from Finland on what they consider to be the good practice of-- it's part of the Fur Mark--

MARK OTEN: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: standard. And this is what it says. Quote, the position of the electrodes, the shape of the electrodes, and the pressure used to hold electrodes affect the efficacy of electrocution. It has been found that when one electrode is placed properly inside the rectum and one is bitten by the fox, the current passes through the fox properly. The rod electrode should be placed sufficiently deep in the rectum and firmly into the mouth. The current induced epileptic seizures in the brain and fibrillation and cardiac arrest in the heart. should be checked that the restraining device or other materials in contact with the fox do not affect the path or effectiveness of the current flow. Many physiological properties affect the current flow, such as body size. Therefore, the voltage and

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 amperage should be sufficiently high for the current to overcome the body resistance of even the largest 3 of foxes. So you -- Do you agree with that standard? 4 That's what-- It's part of what the Fur Mark 5 standard is? 6 7 MARK OTEN: That is part of the EU standard and it's part of the welfare standard, which 8 will be part of Fur Mark and that, as I said, is 9 based on the scientific evidence of the most humane 10 way to do it. Of course, for many people, the detail 11 12 of how any animal is put down--13 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] How do you 14 define humane? 15 MARK OTEN: I define it by-- based on 16 the vets and the scientists who have--17 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] But we--18 MARK OTEN: years of experience. SPEAKER JOHNSON: We just agreed that 19 20 there is not a singular standard. That vets and scientists don't all agree on this. So I'm asking 21 2.2 for you, which vets and scientist -- What is your 23 person comfortability standard on how to humanely treat an animal, kill an animal for the purposes of 24

25

fur?

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	MARK OTEN: I think whenever I'm looking
3	at an animal which is slaughtered for meat or whether
4	it's an animal slaughtered for part of leather or
5	whatever purpose, the number one consideration and
6	concern I have is has that animal suffered and has it
7	been treated humanely and I wouldn't do this job
8	honestly would not do this job so I was confident
9	that this industry operates 10 that high standard.
10	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do you think they're
11	curr Do you think the industry is currently
12	operating on that standard?
13	MARK OTEN: I believe that it is, but I
14	want to make sure that I do more and I push harder
15	and that's why I passionately want to see
16	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Mark
17	MARK OTEN: Fur Mark introduced
18	SPEAKER JOHNSON: If we're going
19	MARK OTEN: because I want to have
20	SPEAKER JOHNSON: to have an honest
21	conversation
22	MARK OTEN: Sure.
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: you think that the
24	industry currently is?

MARK OTEN: Yes.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Why are you proposing this if you think it's what the industry currently is?

MARK OTEN: Because I want to move further because, at the moment, a lot of the inspections are done by governments and I actually want to reach a higher threshold. I want to bring in scientists, independent.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Okay.

Could you be a little self-reflective and tell me
what you could be doing better to treat animals?

MARK OTEN: I think the issue is around the inspection. I think we need to have more inspection and the second issue--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] No animals in this industry are mistreated?

MARK OTEN: No. I don't believe that animals are mistreated in this industry. I believe that what we need to do, however, is to have a better way of demonstrating to you, to give you confidence, and consumers, that we can trace and track exactly where those animals have come from, which trapping line and which farm and to give reassurance that the best possible welfare has been put in place. Look,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING science always changes. There are always new methods and we want to make sure we're at the cutting edge of all of that, whether that's to do with the kind of feed used, the kind of cage used, the kind of water that is given to the animals. I'm never, ever going to rest and say this is enough. We've always got to push further and find better methods. SPEAKER JOHNSON: So--MARK OTEN: That's always possible with science. SPEAKER JOHNSON: But traps don't discriminate. If you lay a trap, any animal could walk into that trap, right? MARK OTEN: Again, you'll hear from the trapping experts. I'm not one, so I'm not going to give you a misinformation, but they are designed specifically for the certain animals they are trying to capture and are not, therefore, designed to capture the wrong animal. But the trapping experts

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK OTEN: I'm not the expert. I'm not going to answer a question where I don't have my facts.

will give you the details on how that works.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	SPEAKER JOHNSON: I mean, that seems a
3	little convenient given that you're up here
4	representing
5	MARK OTEN: It's just an honest I've
6	said to you all along I want to base
7	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] If
8	you're
9	MARK OTEN: it on facts.
10	SPEAKER JOHNSON: here to represent
11	MARK OTEN: Let's get the people to have
12	the facts.
13	SPEAKER JOHNSON: If you're here to
14	represent the International Fur Federation and you're
15	talking about Fur Mark, these are questions that you
16	should be able to answer.
17	MARK OTEN: And what
18	SPEAKER JOHNSON: You shouldn't If you
19	don't feel comfortable, then say, I don't want to
20	answer the question. But don't pass it off to other
21	people. You're up there as the chief spokesperson
22	MARK OTEN: Uh-hm.
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Here at the New York
24	City Council for the International Fur Federation and

putting forward a new standard. So, if you don't--

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 70
You can't say, well, someone else has the expertise.
You are here proposing something. If you're coming to testify in front of this body, have the expertise to speak on it.

2.2

- MARK OTEN: Mr. Speaker, I am very confident about what we're doing in relation to traps. You asked me a direct question about whether the traps work for every single animal—
- SPEAKER JOHNSON: So they do discriminate. The traps are figured out— They are designed in a way where, if a trap is made for a coyote and a cat steps in the trap, the cat's not going to get hurt. It was only designed for the coyote.
- MARK OTEN: That is exactly what I am saying to you, but if you want the details on how that works-- [background comments] if you want the detail on how that works, we have some greedy experts coming to give you--
- 20 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay.
- 21 MARK OTEN: all of that data.
 - SPEAKER JOHNSON: So scientists have found that death by gas is painful for mink. You said that minks are gassed. You said it takes five seconds between the cage and the gassing of the minks and

2 | they found that it's not immediate. It induces

3 seizures and it's not as effective as a means of

4 | inducing death such as animals that are skinned

5 | alive. What alternatives to gassing are there?

6 MARK OTEN: Well, skinning alive is

7 absolutely something that does not happen in the fur

8 | trade and I'm appalled to hear some of the

9 misinformation that goes around. Why would that be

10 something that anybody would do? It's abhorrent.

11 It's disgusting. And there is absolutely no way this

12 happens in this industry.

13 | SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] So what

14 | about video?

15 MARK OTEN: And I won't--

16 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Are the videos fake

17 | videos?

1

18 MARK OTEN: I'm more than happy to share

19 with you that affidavit that we have from the

20 | individuals involved who made that film who has

21 claimed that it is fake video and that this was a one

22 \parallel off and they were paid to do that. And I can share

23 \parallel with you all those affidavits that they have made.

Skinning alive does not happen in this industry.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] So, what are the other alternative methods to gassing?

MARK OTEN: So, gassing is the most he made method. That is the method that we've been told by the scientists in the welfare of experts is the best way for mink. It is the kindest way because they are literally dead within five seconds from leaving the cage to going into the gas and euthanize box.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Animal welfare experts suggest that even farmed animals require a meaningful amount of space to roam and that animals like mink, which are semi-aquatic, need water to first sell their basic instincts that they are born with. In other countries like the UK, for farming has been banned because the basic needs of animals cannot be met in farming conditions. So what conditions are provided to Fox and meeting con for farms to give them the ability to behave naturally?

MARK OTEN: So, within the Fur Mark standards, there is a list of 22 different assessments. These assessments cover checks to see whether the animals are naturally happy and their behavioral measures. I've been and seen what these

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing different checks are. It's important to make sure, obviously, there is flowing water all the time, that they have forms of entertainment to look after themselves within the cage. These are all set out and checked against a set of criteria and the inspectors will go around and they will look at the behavior of the animals to make sure that they are behaving normally and without any disquiet within the cages.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: How big are the cages?

MARK OTEN: The cages vary when it's between mink and between [inaudible 01:25:43} raccoon and between Chinchilla and between Fox news. So that--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: How big is a fox cage?

MARK OTEN: I would have to turn to one
of the farmers on the actual sizing on the fox cages.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And are animals caged
together or separately?

MARK OTEN: They're caged together, but there is a limit on the amount of animals that can be together because, when they are breeding and when they are having their kids or their pumps, they have

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING to, obviously, being together at that process. At that point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Studies have shown that for farming, in particular the maneuver produced from the farmed animals has serious and harmful consequences for local ecosystems. If you could tell me about your efforts, if any, to minimize the local ecological effects of for farming.

Okay. So we're just MARK OTEN: completing what we call a lifecycle analysis, which looks at the impact of fur on the climate. true, and certainly is one of the speakers said earlier on that there are omissions that come from a farm, but that is count turned off by the fact that we are able to use byproducts from the farm so that the mink byproduct will go into composting, fertilizer. And so, there is an offset there. is also an offset because a lot of waste food is actually the food which is used to feed the mink. So the scientists have looked at the impact as to whether it is negative or positive and because our product is not something which is thrown away and goes into landfill within two or three years, it's generally normally a 10 to 15 year life cycle that

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing people will have a fur coat for, often passing it on to a generation. That environmental impact is spread out over 15 year period, so we are very confident to say that when you compare it to, say, fake fur, weak, out much, much better in terms of the environmental impact.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And you said that you believe that fur is a very sustainable product.

MARK OTEN: A natural and sustainable product. Yes.

MARK OTEN: So, we do, obviously, with the dressing and dying process, put in coloring and that is something where there are regulations, again, coming in under Fur Mark. So we will have an approved list of chemicals. There will be inspectors coming in to check those approved a list of chemicals being used. These will all be reach compliance. Reaches the international standard for the chemicals that can be used, but I would like to go further and see if we can start to be able to introduce more natural bays dies in the dressing and dying process, as well. The address same part is nonchemical base.

It's using salt and water. Obviously, the dying bit

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 is where you bring the chemicals then and they are set against an approved list and independently 3 4 inspected. SPEAKER JOHNSON: But would you consider 5 6 chromium and formaldehyde to be sustainable, natural 7 products? MARK OTEN: No. Those individual 8 chemicals themselves, you would not call natural. 9 10 SPEAKER JOHNSON: But they are part of--They are part of the fur industry. 11 12 They are part of the fur MARK OTEN: industry and they are part of the dressing and dying 13 14 process. 15 SPEAKER JOHNSON: And going to go away or 16 you going to use something else besides them? Could 17 we get rid of formaldehyde and chromium? 18 MARK OTEN: I think over time we can. Yes. We can move-- We are certainly working already 19 20 at the moment with natural dyes and we're looking at that. We need to do there, honestly, is to have the 21 2.2 fashion industry work with us closer because they are 23 often the ones that--24 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] The

fashion industry is moving away from fur.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK OTEN: Well, actually, a lot of the brands are still working with for. LVMH Group and Caring Group who own many, many of the large fashion brands have been developing this with us. They are talking to us about how we can work with some more natural bays dies to come in for the process. So, yeah. I'm definitely keen to do that.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: But why-- why do you think, Mark, that Stella McCartney and Donna Karen and Donatello Versace and Diane von Furstenberg and timberlands and the list goes on, every major fashion designer -- Not every. Most of them have all moved away from fur. They have all said-- I mean, I'm getting letters from these major designers in New York City who are telling me, I know the industry. have worked in people like Tim Gunn and people like Tim Gunn saying, we've studied this. We know about It is inhumane. It is cruel. There are other it. ways to do this. The fashion industry is moving away from the firm. Maybe you saying consumers aren't, but industry leaders are. Why do you think they are doing that? Why do you think Donatello Versace and Donna Karen and Diane von Furstenberg are saying we no longer want to use fur?

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	MARK OTEN: I think they've made a
3	choice
4	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Why?
5	MARK OTEN: And I respect their choices.
6	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Why do you think they
7	made that choice?
8	MARK OTEN: I think that there is I
9	mean, some of these statements they've made around
10	giving up far were very odd to me because they said
11	they wanted to become more sustainable and I find it
12	odd that they are actually switching to more fake
13	plastic
14	SPEAKER JOHNSON: But they also talked
15	about cruelty.
16	MARK OTEN: Well, that's a personal I
17	mean, we come back to a You know, maybe this whole
18	debate is framed around that. Personal choice.
19	Personal decisions. Donatello and Stella McCartney
20	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] I don't
21	think it's personal choice.
22	MARK OTEN: [inaudible 01:30:26]
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: I think it's living in a
24	humane society - T think it's not about personal

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING %7 choice. I think it's about how do we treat other sentient beings--

MARK OTEN: But this is--

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: that have feelings and we've made decisions over the years on understanding that elephants have deep grief and that we don't want to sell ivory, import ivory, in a way for the killing of elephants to incentivize it. We make these decisions as we evolve as a society on how to treat other sentient beings.

MARK OTEN: But, Mr. Speaker, we also have a principle of individual choice and so for the Donatello's that have decided to ban it, the Louis Vuitton, the Fendy's, the Canada Goose, the Uggs and others are still choosing to carry on. And I guess what I would love to see here is a way and which, if New Yorkers wanted fur ban, they would just stop buying it. They wouldn't be going to Macy's and Bloomingdale's and Barney's. They wouldn't be going to the garment district. They wouldn't be buying Canada Goose. They wouldn't be applying these products, but they are buying them in large numbers and it's about— [background comment] it's about an issue of choice. I totally respect the speakers here

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	who don't want to buy for, but chose to allow me and
3	my colleagues
4	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] I I
5	MARK OTEN: the chance to buy it.
6	SPEAKER JOHNSON: I have a question for
7	you on that. Does that mean that we should allow the
8	choice of ivory?
9	MARK OTEN: No. I think, on the issue of
10	ivory, that there are difficult ethical issues for me
11	on that and
12	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Should we
13	allow the choice of tiger skin?
14	MARK OTEN: No. For me, personally, I
15	wouldn't wish to.
16	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Then, what's the
17	difference? That's choice.
18	MARK OTEN: It is choice
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: If the market decided,
20	and the market has decided in the past there is a
21	market for ivory.
22	MARK OTEN: And there's a
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So should we allow that
24	choice?

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                MARK OTEN:
                             There's a fascinate--
 3
     There's a fascinate--
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Should we
 4
 5
     allow that choice for ivory?
                                  I don't believe in that
 6
                MARK OTEN:
                             No.
 7
     case--
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Why not?
 8
     What's the difference?
 9
                MARK OTEN: Because the threshold that
10
     you arrive at, as a politician--
11
12
                [Background comments]
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.
13
14
                MARK OTEN:
                            There's a threshold you
15
     arrive at politician, I believe, where you have to
16
     take a judgment as to whether or not you feel the
17
     evidence is overwhelming in favor of a ban or
18
     overwhelming in favor or regulation and freedom of
     choice. On this issue, I don't believe it is
19
20
     overwhelming. I think there is compelling arguments
     on both sides and I would like to see the solution of
21
2.2
    having it regulated to allow that choice, but also
23
    reaching the concerns that you and many of your
```

committee have about making sure the industry is

ethical and properly regulated. I think both things

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	can be done and you, as a politician, are in the
3	business of making things happen. I know you're
4	frustrated too. This is the way in which we can do
5	rather than ending up with [inaudible 01:32:47], with
6	WTO, and all those kinds of things. Let's do this
7	together and make a difference.
8	SPEAKER JOHNSON: But if this is about
9	choice and transparency and education, there's been a
10	tremendous amount, and this is factual, of false
11	labeling in the fur industry. So that's not about
12	choice if you're giving people bad information. They
13	don't get to make an accurate choice if things are
14	mislabeled.
15	MARK OTEN: And when you ask me, Mark,
16	what is different What do you want to improve on?
17	I want to improve on that. That's why Fur Mark will
18	be a mark
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] So if
20	you're
21	MARK OTEN: a stand
22	SPEAKER JOHNSON: going to be self-
23	reflective, as I stated earlier, you would say that
24	there is a problem on labeling?
	n

MARK OTEN: I--

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: There has been a problem
 3
    on labeling?
 4
                MARK OTEN: I would say that we need to
    be not honor out labeling and giving consumers
 5
    information. Absolutely. I acknowledge that.
 6
 7
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, there has been a
 8
    problem with labeling?
                MARK OTEN: You're trying to make me say
 9
10
    that there's a problem with labeling.
11
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: No. No. If you--
12
                MARK OTEN:
                            I--
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: If you don't believe
13
    there's a problem, say you don't think there's a
14
15
    problem.
16
                MARK OTEN: There's a problem because we
17
    don't--
18
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: There's a problem.
                MARK OTEN: We don't have clear labeling
19
20
    for products in New York in this country. We need
    to. Fur Mark is the solution to that. We could have
21
2.2
    a system where the individual retailers and shops
23
    have a Fur Mark labels showing consumers we were put
    in place in an inspection regime to make sure that
24
```

every product being shown, having the Fur Mark label,

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 we can trace back to make sure it really is from Fur Mark. So we could overcome the difficulty about 3 4 labeling. Yes. I think we can. SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do you live in New York? 5 Do you live in London? Do you live in the UK? 6 7 MARK OTEN: I feel an intruder here. SPEAKER JOHNSON: Sorry. I--8 You can tell from my accent. 9 MARK OTEN: SPEAKER JOHNSON: The reason I'm asking is 10 I want to ask about London. I read and correct me if 11 12 I'm wrong. Some people told me this is bad information. That London is not allowing for on the 13 14 runway for fashion week. 15 MARK OTEN: That is bad information. 16 met with Caroline Roche. She's--17 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Because I 18 just read an article in the Guardian. I googled it. It's right online right here where the newspaper in 19 20 London says that for is not allowed on the runway anymore. 21 2.2 MARK OTEN: We can phone Caroline Roche 23 who is the CEO of London fashion week and she will 24 tell you that's absolutely not the case. I had

breakfast with her a couple of months ago. She gave

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING as me an absolute guarantee that that is not the case, February which just went, for was shown at London fashion week. It is a myth. It is not true. You can speak to Caroline Roche yourself. I will give you her cell if you want.

[Background comments]

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, what-- [background comments] in New York City, for apparel waste would necessarily go to a landfill when it is finally disposed of because it can't be recycled or composted and a landfill would contribute to the uncontrolled release of methane and a release of toxins into the soil and groundwater. What programs, if any, are available to consumers to send their for apparel so that it may biodegrade in a manner that is not harmful to the environment given the chemicals and carcinogens that we talked about that are using? What biodegradable program are you proposing or currently exists?

MARK OTEN: Well, I mean, number one, very, very few people actually said in the first to landfills. It generally gets either passed down through the generations--

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: or repurposed.

MARK OTEN: or it gets re-- Exactly.

Remain. We just did some work in the Netherlands where we took natural for and sent it to some labs and it started to biodegrade within 30 days and then we took some fake fur in the biodegradable process just doesn't begin. So, actually, our product does biodegrade. It doesn't end up in landfill. The one issue you raised in terms of the chemicals, yes.

There is obviously a chemical issue, but that would be the same, whether you are talking about the fake fur on the natural for.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Did you-- Mark, did you testify when Los Angeles was considering this a couple years ago?

MARK OTEN: No.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Did your organization testify against the bill in Los Angeles?

MARK OTEN: Oh, sorry. I thought you met me personally.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: No. Did your organization testify against the bill in Los Angeles?

2.2

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                MARK OTEN:
                             Yeah. My colleagues from the
 3
    American International Fur Federation attended both
    in San Francisco and in LA.
 4
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: And my understanding is,
 5
    in Los Angeles, it passed unanimous-- there was one
 6
 7
    no vote, but the entire counsel almost passed it
    there and I think they had extensive hearings like
 8
    this to talk about the merits of it.
 9
                            They did. But in Beverly
10
                MARK OTEN:
    Hills, the same process happened and so didn't pass
11
12
    it.
13
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: The International Fur
14
    Federation, are you all funded in any way by for
15
    farms?
16
                MARK OTEN: Yes. Completely. Yes.
17
    are funded by a levy on every single scan that is
18
    sold. So, to that extent, we are receiving money
    from the industry and we are the industry
19
20
    representatives.
21
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yeah.
2.2
                MARK OTEN:
                            We're not--
23
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] No.
    just wasn't sure who made-- who you-- the Fur
24
    Federation, who you exactly represent--
25
```

2 MARK OTEN: Yeah.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And so you are represented predominantly, exclusively, by the fur farms themselves.

MARK OTEN: No. So we represent the fur farms, the trappers. We represent the dressers and dyers. We represent designers. We represent retailers. We represent the auction houses. They are the guys that sell the fur. So we represent every part of the value chain of the fur industry.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And one of the things that I'm confused about is if we're g-- If you're asking to set up the Fur Mark self-regulated global program with the different thresholds that you mention, the thresholds are different all over the world about what's acceptable and what's not acceptable. So even if we were to come up with a standard that you all said was the appropriate standard for selling fur in New York City, if you're importing fur from China or Russia or another country that doesn't have those strict standards, how do we know that? How do we differentiate?

MARK OTEN: Uh--

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Through Fur Mark? How
 3
    do we--
 4
                MARK OTEN:
                             I--
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: How do we do that?
 5
                             I would not include China in
 6
                MARK OTEN:
 7
    Fur Mark. I am not including China in Fur Mark.
    So--
 8
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Are you
 9
10
    including Russia?
11
                MARK OTEN: I am including some of the
12
     farms that produce sable in Russia, but there are
    going to be literally 10 farms that are highly
13
14
     inspected to make sure that a meeting all the firm
15
    Mark standards. But in terms of China, it's not part
16
    of Fur Mark and the reason it's not part of Fur Mark
17
     is it doesn't reach the threshold that I want in
18
    relation to the standards and the inspections. So, I
    have heard your broadcasts on radio where you have
19
20
    expressed concern about fur coming from China.
    Mark would mean that wouldn't happen here in New
21
2.2
    York.
23
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: When was Fur Mark
24
    thought of? I mean, when did you decide to create
```

Fur Mark?

2.2

MARK OTEN: So, we started working on Fur Mark around two and a half, three years ago and, as you indicated earlier on, it's a complicated process. Because we had to get the independent science, that took us a year to a year and a half to get the scientists who go through the process. They take a long time. So--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] But how do you define independent?

MARK OTEN: Independent --

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] You--

MARK OTEN: It's the--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] You guys hired these people.

MARK OTEN: Look, yeah. We have a-Obviously, to fund them. I can't find anybody else
to fund them. So as much as they can be independent,
they are, except for the fact that, yeah, absolutely,
they will receive the money from our organization, as
well. And I can't avoid that. However, the check
and balance in there is that each of the countries
that we're talking about in relation to Fur Mark,
also, in addition to everything that we're doing,
there is government inspection and process. And,

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 again, I didn't understand when some of the colleagues who oppose fur saying that there is no 3 regulation in place. Listen, this is such a 4 controversial industry, let's face it. But there is 5 6 lots of regulation in place which is government 7 regulation. SPEAKER JOHNSON: But that's--8 Now, that is not funded by 9 MARK OTEN: 10 us. We are going above that with Fur Mark so that we 11 can streamline the process. 12 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] But 13 correct me-- correct me if I'm wrong. 14 MARK OTEN: Sure. 15 SPEAKER JOHNSON: The information that I 16 have from the lawyers and the policy analysts here at 17 the Council through their research in preparing to 18 today's hearing said that for farming has been banned in numerous countries. Is that correct? 19 20 MARK OTEN: So, it's been banned in the 21 UK. 2.2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Why? Why was it banned 23 in the UK?

MARK OTEN: Well, it--

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Why did that government
3	make the decision to ban fur farming?
4	MARK OTEN: It is a popular issue. The
5	labor government that came in, they decided to
6	abandon fur. They decided to ban fox farming. They
7	decided to ban a number of issues in the UK and, you
8	know, obviously, they have an elected man day. That
9	is their choice. Austria has also banned fur
10	farming. There is currently
11	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] The
12	Netherlands is banned fur farming.
13	MARK OTEN: There is currently farming ir
14	Netherlands, but that will be phased out in, I think,
15	in about three year's time.
16	SPEAKER JOHNSON: And Northern Ireland has
17	banned fur farming.
18	MARK OTEN: Well, it's part of the UK.
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Serbia. Serbia and
20	Croatia
21	MARK OTEN: Yep.
22	SPEAKER JOHNSON: have banned for farming.
23	It's being phased out in Denmark.
24	MARK OTEN: No. It's definitely not

being phased out in Denmark. Denmark is, as you will

- 1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
- 2 hear later from one of the vets from Denmark, Denmark
- 3 is one of the biggest fur farming countries.
- 4 SPEAKER JOHNSON: It's not being phased
- 5 out?
- 6 MARK OTEN: A hun-- Absolutely n--
- 7 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Japan?
- MARK OTEN: No. Not that I'm on.
- 9 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Ok. So I might have bad
- 10 information here.
- 11 MARK OTEN: Yeah.
- 12 SPEAKER JOHNSON: I see that the
- 13 | information I have say that in Denmark and Japan is
- 14 | being phased out.
- 15 MARK OTEN: No. No. Denmark is a very,
- 16 very strong supporter of fur. In fact, the Danish
- 17 governments have expressed concerns about what's
- 18 | happening in New York and we're talking to them at
- 19 | the moment about what the WTO and GATT implications
- 20 of a fur ban in New York are. So, no. They are
- 21 | very, very supportive of--
- 22 SPEAKER JOHNSON: And again, just on the
- 23 British fashion week in London fashion week,
- 24 | information I have and says, in 2018, the British

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 Fashion Council declared that London fashion week would be totally fur-free. 3 MARK OTEN: Absolutely-- I don't know 4 how I can say it many more times without sounding 5 peculiar, but, you know, Caroline Roche is the CEO. 6 7 I know her. I spoke to her. Absolutely not. And we can show you the fur that was shown in February just 8 a few months ago. 9 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, the lawyers told me 10 that fox farming is currently banned in Denmark. 11 MARK OTEN: Yes. Yeah. That was many 12 13 years -- Many years ago. 14 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So partially--15 MARK OTEN: Sorry. I thought you meant 16 currently being phased out. No. There was a ban in 17 the past on that. 18 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I'm going to turn it back to you for other folks that 19 20 have questions. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Mr. 21 2.2 Speaker. We have Keith Powers. Okay. We're going 23 to pass it over to Peter Koo. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. Thank

you, Mr. Chair and thank you all for coming.

So,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
would you say the fur industry is a dying industry?

Because the previous panel mentioned there were 400

fur outlets in New York City and now you only have

14. So even without passing the law, I mean, your
industry is dying, right? People's attitudes

changed. They don't buy furs anymore. Like not like
in the old times. No? I mean, I remember people use
to buy mink coats and all this. Now, when he is
interested in buying mink anymore.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK OTEN: It's-- What's happening No. is what is happening and then read tell generally. Instead of having the spoke stores which just sell one product, for has actually moved away from being in the first shop, however this still there, into Barney's, Macy's, Bloomingdale's. It's in the boutiques. And being sold on the Internet. So it's just being sold in a different way, but it is still being sold in large numbers and the industry is worth 33 billion. So it's growing and very vibrant. yes. It's not sold in exactly the same way that it was, maybe, five, 10 years ago in those individuals for shops, although they are still there. We want to protect to them, but it's been actually purchased in different ways now. And, you know, the Internet is

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 one of the challenges when it comes to trying to ban fur in New York because are you going to stop people 3 4 from being able to purchase far on the Internet? How 5 on earth would you control that? And patterns are 6 changing on how people buy things, but they are still 7 buying it. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: No. But in talking about the volume. On the sales and the amount of the 9 dollars, must have decreased a lot, right? 10 11 MARK OTEN: No. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah? 13 MARK OTEN: The pattern of what people 14 buy has changed. So, instead of it necessarily being 15 a full loan code which mainly, you would sell a 16 number of, and now people are buying for in different 17 ways. Trim is really popular. Canada Goose is a 18 huge trend. So people are just buying it in a different way. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So how many cities or countries have banned fur sales? 21 2.2 MARK OTEN: So--23 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: As far as you know. 24 MARK OTEN: No-- I just want to actually

be accurate. No country in the world has banned the

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    sale of fur. Some of the countries, as the Speaker
    mentioned, have banned fur farming, but no country
 3
    has banned the sale of fur.
 4
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO:
 5
                                     No--
                MARK OTEN: Cities, in San Francisco and
 6
 7
    LA, have voted to do that.
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Only two US cities?
 8
                MARK OTEN:
                            Only two US cities. San
 9
    Francisco and LA. So, as yet-- Unless I'm wrong,
10
    it's just those two cities. So it's yet to be tested
11
12
    in terms of international law as to whether this kind
13
    of ban is indeed legal.
14
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, I understand
15
    most of the furs come from the farmed animals, right?
16
    No trapped animals anymore.
17
                MARK OTEN:
                            Yes.
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So what's the
18
    percentage like--
19
20
                MARK OTEN:
                            About 80 percent come from
    farmed and 20 percent come from trapping. Maybe 85
21
2.2
    percent farmed and 15 percent trapping, actually.
23
                COUNCIL MEMBER KOO:
                                     Okay.
                                            Yeah.
                                                    So
24
    who regulate the farms? The Department of
25
    Agriculture or who?
```

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	MARK OTEN: It depends which country.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: In USA?
4	MARK OTEN: Clayton? Can I maybe pass
5	onto somebody else who actually is
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah.
7	MARK OTEN: a farmer who is inspected?
8	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: It's regulated by the
9	Fur Commission USA. We're actually undergoing a
10	revamping of that, so it will be an independent
11	third-party regulation.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Independent means
13	what? I mean, who Anybody can regulated?
14	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: It'll be oversaw by
15	veterinarians.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Huh.
17	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: So veterinarians will
18	come and inspect the farms.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, I'm just
20	wonder You also mentioned there are many farms
21	from other countries. In China and in Russia. And
22	how do we know the quality of their furs?
23	MARK OTEN: So, the majority of the fur
24	which comes into America comes from America and from
25	Europe. For farming is in Denmark, in Finland, in

- 1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 Greece, in Italy, in France, is in Poland. Those are the main fur farming countries in Europe. Then you 3 have Russian which is specific around Russian Sable. 4 5 And then you have the wild fur coming from Canada and America. And also a lot of mink fur which is farmed 6 7 in America and Canada. So those are the main sources for where the fur comes into America. Most of the 8 Chinese production actually stays and is consumed and 9 10 bought within china. And, as I said, it would not be part of Fur Mark. 11 12 SPEAKER JOHNSON: My understanding is--13 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay. 14 SPEAKER JOHNSON: that India has banned 15 the importing of furs. Is that correct?
- 16 MARK OTEN: Um--
- 17 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Fur products.
- MARK OTEN: On some fur, yes, it has. On some fur, yes, it has. On some fur, yes, it has.
- 19 fox it has. Yes. It has.
- 20 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Does-- Not to
 21 interrupt, but does the Fur Mark still believe fox
 22 farms and killing foxes is okay?
- MARK OTEN: Fox production is under welfare and it's part of Fur Mark. Yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Production or
3	slaughtering? Are you saying the same thing?
4	MARK OTEN: Product
5	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Production.
6	MARK OTEN: Yes. Yes.
7	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: All right. My last
9	question is when you mentioned before you kill the
10	animal by gassing, right? So is there any other
11	alternative or a more humane way to do it? Like
12	anesthesia or something like that? Gas is an
13	anesthesia, right? Yeah?
14	MARK OTEN: We are told by the experts
15	that the most humane way is gas.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Oh. Okay. Thank
17	you.
18	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Council member powers?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Great. Thank you.
20	Thank you for the testimony. You know, similar to
21	some of the Speaker's questions here, I think, if you
22	believe that this is unethical, it's hard to believe
23	that the answer is to have the industry's sort of
24	police itself in terms of the Fur Mark. And I think

that's one of the questions and the concerns that

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING anybody would have if you were trying to do some regulation is, essentially, handing it over to industry and say, and no-- or some third party that is affiliated with the industry to say that they should be-- that's the way. Because in any industry, not just the fur industry, that would seem like an odd way to handover the regulation if you feel like this is an unethical issue or this is humanity-- you know, inhumane issues related to trapping and for as-- entirely. I just wanted to add that in because I think that's a difficult thing, I know, for me to tackle is, if your proposal here is to have the industry's sort of self-regulate itself--It's good to have standards. I know you want to respond. It's good to have standards, but-- and higher standards and I appreciate that, but hard to find that that would be the last place one would end up on that. Yeah. You can respond to that. MARK OTEN: Yeah. So, just to be clear,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK OTEN: Yeah. So, just to be clear, so this is on top of government inspection which takes place. So, it's not as if we are saying the only people doing the inspection on the process is the IFF and Fur Mark. This is in addition to the current government programs which take place. But

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

the reason we are doing it is because we want to go

further and the reason we are doing it, as the

speaker alluded to earlier on, is there are different

measures and different countries that take different

approaches. But this is— it's already regulated.

We are going beyond that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. I appreciate I want to go switch to-- You know, just a question to the other panel and I appreciated the response I was given about storefronts and stores and I heard your answer about diversification and how people are selling at different places, but it does seem to represent something to me if there is a, you know, reduction in places that are selling it, the numbers are for the versus what it was, in terms of usage and, you know, people wearing it, I know [inaudible 01:50:50] is still a way that many people have fur and everything. But what is the--I mean, what is the answer to-- It can't just be diversification. I mean, there does seem to be a trend away from wearing fur and real fur in one's Can you give us any data around sales in New life. York City and what has been a changeover, let's say, the last two decades--

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 MARK OTEN: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: with regard to

sales?

2.2

MARK OTEN: looming, the changes, as I said earlier on, really. That's a model of specialist stores, whether it be to do with fur or other items has shifted and changed. You do have this model of going into boutiques. The two of this model Barney's and Macy's. They have their concessions within the stores. You have this shift and change from being the full garment to the, you know, the Canada Goose style trim. You've got color, you've got innovation. You've got the Internet. This is all meant that the whole pattern of how people purchase things has changed completely.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Do you have any data on- let's say even online sales versus brick-and-mortar sales in New York City?

MARK OTEN: I don't. I might be able to get some to the committee. It's pretty tough. It's pretty hard. That if we get down to the point where you will be doing a ban, then we will need to get into that because somehow you're going to have to ban the percentage of people who are buying on the

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 Internet. And that is a tricky one because I'm not sure how you would enforce and stop the New Yorker 3 4 from buying on the Internet. I just don't know how 5 you do it. And I assume, if there was a band, people 6 would just switch to trying to sell on the Internet. 7 So, you know, I'm not sure how that can be done. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. And I've seen a number of n-- I appreciate that. And it 9 10 would be helpful to hear those numbers--

MARK OTEN: Let me try.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: because, you know, there is a lot of information being put out and I think there is always— the lack of clarity in terms— we talk about an economic input about what really— you know, the validity and the numbers, I guess, is the—

MARK OTEN: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: and jobs is another one that has come up and I know there is different segments of the industry. But do you numbers around jobs in the economic--

MARK OTEN: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: impact?

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2.2

MARK OTEN: I really hope that I can stop talking soon and we can hear from some real New Yorkers who are going to be affected by this. But we believe that the economic study that we've done is that it will be over 7000 jobs which will be impacted here in New York.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Can you break down those 7000 jobs for us in terms of where they are?

MARK OTEN: You're going to hear from the individuals, but it will be people who actually own the businesses, people who work inside those businesses whether they work in retail. So that's-[coughs] excuse me. Sales staff or whether that's manufacturing staff. Whether they are craftsmen.

Some of these have been crafts that it been passed down from generation to generation. Artisans, designers. There is a bunch of folk in this room that really want to tell their stories about how it will impact on them.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I will ask one more question so we can get to them.

MARK OTEN: All right.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Does the number include employees at Macy's or Bloomingdale's? Not

- COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
- 2 to pick on those stores and some are in my district.
- 3 I just mean does that encompass all jobs at a place
- 4 | that might sell apparel that has fur on it?
- 5 MARK OTEN: I think it's-- Excuse me. I
- 6 think it's an underestimate because what we have
- 7 tried to do is to link it where we can. But, for
- 8 example, we haven't included in those figures the
- 9 kind of impact of somebody who might be selling and
- 10 Ugg or Canada Goose into it, for example. So we have
- 11 tried to ring since then around the fur industry jobs
- 12 | itself, rather than broadening that out. If you
- 13 | wanted to broaden it out, yes, but I couldn't give
- 14 | you an accurate figure on that.
- 15 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 Thanks to the Speaker and the Chair.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
- 18 | Cabrera?
- 19 COUNCILMEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much.
- 20 And welcome to the full panel. I'm a little stroke
- 21 | with the number that you just gave, 7000, because
- 22 | just about every article that I've seen from your
- 23 | side, then number that I keep seeing was 1000. So
- 24 | this is the first time, to be honest with you, I have
- 25 | heard the number 7000. So you have a huge

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING incongruency (sic). But I want to address you kept mentioning scientists. You know, the scientist job is not to determine morality. Here is where we bring these issues, social issues, and we get to determine whether we want to codify these moral issues that take place. So I'm not going to do for my judgment to scientists because we've seen with some scientists, pointed and how many people have been injured and hurt throughout society. The second thing I wanted to bring up is that you talked about, and I hear you trying to come out with scenario where you have regulation, but how do you regulate trappers when trappers don't let anybody else know where they put traps?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK OTEN: Okay. So, two questions. On the morality issue, I totally agree with you. It's your moral versus my moral and a scientist can't impact on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I appreciate that.

MARK OTEN: I totally respect that and get that. In terms of the trapping, the trappers are issued licenses and they are regulated in Canada and America and they have agreed trapping areas where

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
     they are allowed to trap. They have agreed then
     strict quotas on how many animals that are allowed to
 3
     trap and we and can trace back exactly where the
 4
     animal which goes to be sold at the auction comes
     from. Which trap line it came from and we can check
 6
 7
     and inspect whether that trapper has got their
     license and whether they are working to the approved
 8
     quota that the government set for whether it's beaver
 9
     or whatever particular animal it is.
10
11
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA:
                                            Okay.
12
     appreciate that bit of information. How many minks--
13
     Maybe I should ask what animals do you deal with?
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Mink.
14
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA:
                                            Mink?
16
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Yes.
17
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: How many do
18
     you usually have in a cage?
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Never more than two.
19
20
     Like Mark said, during the whelp, you know, when
     they're having their babies, you know, you can't
21
2.2
     avoid that, but, yeah. Never more than two on our
23
     farm.
24
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA:
                                            Are there--
```

Are they not solitary creatures in the natural?

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: No.

2.2

Solitary creatures. I'm telling you. They are.

Which I'm surprised. This is what you do for a
living thing, you know, when you put them together,
you are taking them out of their normal habitat. And
the normal natural instinct. You put them in a
situation that—— I don't—— You put them in a
situation that isn't all natural and therefore not
beneficial for the mink. And so, I'm just wondering
why you wouldn't put them in a single cage. An
individual mink in an individual cage.

CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: So we put them in-- We have done studies. There are studies been done where they do better at a young age with a pair and then, once they reach adulthood, then, yes, they are an individual cage for no doubt about that. Once they become adults. For their own safety and the safety of the other one, of course.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Here's my last thing--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: How big are those cages?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Good question.

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: They're-- I don't know
 3
    if the top of my head. I don't want to guess.
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Wait. Wait.
 4
    Wait. I'm confused. You're the rancher.
 5
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Two feet by three feet.
 6
 7
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Two feet by three feet.
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: With a nest box and
 8
 9
    bedding inside of it.
               COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Two feet by
10
11
    three feet. This is the living space for their
    entire lives?
12
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Yes.
13
14
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Our understanding is
15
    most mink--
16
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Do you--
17
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Our understanding is
18
    that most mink cages are the size of a shoebox is the
    information that we received.
19
20
                CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: That's not correct.
21
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: No? Okay.
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Well, let's
23
    suppose-- I'll give you the two feet three feet.
                                                       Ιs
    that like a natural type of environment? A humane
24
25
    way to go about it when it comes to a mink?
```

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: According to the You
3	know, like Mark said, according to scientists, that's
4	what they
5	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I don't know
6	the last time that a scientist interviewed a mink and
7	said, hey, is this the way you know, is this a
8	good living? I know I'm being a little sarcastic
9	year, but, come on.
10	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Excuse me, sir. Have
11	you interviewed a mink?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: No. And
13	that's my point. That's exactly my point. But I do
14	see in the natural habitat where they live in and
15	they do not live in a two feet by three feet
16	environment.
17	[Applause]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Let's be real.
19	Let me talk about the elephant in the room here.
20	[Background comments]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: We are raising
22	animals just for the sole purpose of skimming them
23	for our locks. Really. That needed to be said.
24	[Applause]

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

120

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I've just got

3 | to get it off my chest.

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

like's borough--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: No. No. Folks. Folks.

5 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet in the room.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: If you want to-- If you agree with something, just both sides, just go like this. All right? Let's do like church. So, but I have to tell you. I have to get it off my chest because, really, at the end of the day, that's what it comes down to and I-- It was said before. It's not a byproduct, you know, when it comes to food. Yes. I hear my colleague with Adam and Eve. I'm a pastor of a church. Then there was a necessity back there. We don't need this anymore. This is the real issue before us and I sympathize when it comes to the job. Please understand. My heart goes out. I hear you. But what I hear and what we could may be to hear, Mr. Speaker, is to be able to transfer these jobs to be conducive and congruent to what New Yorkers are asking for. We're talking about 75

[Background comments]

percent plus and all of the boroughs. This is not

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: wants this and the other one wants this. I know you mentioned Beverly Hills, but you know, some of the richest people -- As a matter of fact, the riches people --The fifth richest people in America live right here a couple blocks away and they are speaking loud. They live right here in Tribeca making 850,000 dollars. And then you go to my district where people are making 30,000 dollars and everybody is saying the same thing. And I think the discussion should be now how do we transition people who are in the field to be able to help them with the transferable skills that they have, awesome skills that they have, to be able for them to have a job to take care of their families and, at the same thing, do your main thing. Because I don't see a humane way of raising animals to be-- to, basically, where going to raise you-- I imagine aliens come from outer space and say well, were just going to raise humans just to take your skin so we can wear them. I mean, it's just like it just doesn't make any sense. I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. I just don't see-- And can answer back if you want, but I just don't see the logic. It's unreasonable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING and I-- and it's inhumane. You know? It just makes 2 no sense to me. 3 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Council member, I want 4 to thank you and I want to just mention that it's my 5 6 understanding and, again, correct me if I'm wrong, 7 but the for commission, the guidelines that are developed and regularly updated by the for commission 8 of the United States advises on the ethical farming 9 of minks that this is what they recommend. 10 recommended pen cage size for a female mink without a 11 12 letter is 15 inches high and seven and a half inches wide. That's the guideline that the commission 13 recommends in the United States of America. So 15 14 15 inches high and seven and a half inches wide. It's 16 only a few inches higher than a shoebox standing on 17 its end. And that's--18 CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: That's--SPEAKER JOHNSON: what we have. 19 20 CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: No. That's currently outdated. No. That's not correct. 21 2.2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: That's out-- When was 23 that changed? 24 CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: A month ago. Two months

25

ago.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Two months ago.
3	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Two years ago.
4	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Two years ago. Two
5	years ago. Okay. I want to thank you, Council
6	member. I'm going to turn it to Council member
7	Rosenthal.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It just So,
9	two years ago What's the new standard requirement?
10	SPEAKER JOHNSON: What's the
11	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: I'm sorry.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What's the
13	current
14	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: I didn't hear the
15	question.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: requirement?
17	SPEAKER JOHNSON: What's the current cage
18	requirement?
19	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: I don't have the
20	standard in front of me right now.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you know?
22	What's the current
23	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: requirement?
25	[Background comments]

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Slightly larger.
 3
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So it's
     slightly larger than 15 inches by seven inches.
 4
 5
                [Background comments]
 6
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:
                                           So maybe--
 7
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: So but--
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: 10 inches by
 8
 9
     20 inches.
10
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: But just so you know,
     Council member, what I was reading off of is--
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:
                                            Yeah.
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: from the Fur Commission
13
14
     website. Anna says this was the 2019 edition book 1.
15
     So this was the updated edition from this year is
16
    what is on the website right now. So the Fur
17
     Commission should update the website the website is
18
    not accurately reflecting reality. This is what the
     website says right now if you go to the website.
19
20
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:
                                            So that's
     concerning and it does also make me wonder -- living,
21
2.2
     I don't know if you are sworn in, but it makes me
23
    wonder about your saying that your cages are two feet
    by three feet. But even that aside, I concur,
24
     course, with Council member Cabrera that that is
25
```

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 inhumane from the get go. Of course, and the fox industry, the goal is to overfeed them so they are 3 4 five times the size they normally would be to use the firm. Is that also done in the mink industry? 5 CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: No. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What's the goal for the size of an adult mink? 8 CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: We don't particularly 9 have a goal per mink. It's like I said in my opening 10 statement. And so we feed them. They get an amount 11 12 of feed to where they eat what they want and when 13 they're done eating, they are done eating. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I don't know 15 what a mink's biological impulse control is. I mean, 16 I know with cats and--17 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Council member, may I 18 interject? What's the average length of a mink that you are raising? An adult. An adult mink? 19 20 CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: I don't know. SPEAKER JOHNSON: You farm them, so you 21 2.2 would know. What is the average? What's the average 23 length?

24 CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: 24 inches? On average.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And how about
3	a mink in the wild?
4	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Live mink. Close to
5	that.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: All right. So
7	when we get some facts, we should look at those, but
8	regard
9	SPEAKER JOHNSON: The re
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Irregardless
11	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Council member, the
12	reason why I asked is if it is 24 inches and we just
13	talked about the sides of the cage So, is the
14	average amine canoes 24 inches long
15	[Applause]
16	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Settle down, please.
17	SPEAKER JOHNSON: and even if the cage is
18	two feet by three feet, though that's not what the
19	guidelines we have in front of us are, that is a very
20	small amount of room for an adult mink to be able to
21	move around in and you just sold as its 24 inches.
22	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: Well, it
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, it That
24	CLAYTON BEXSTEAD: That

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: That's very

disconcerting to hear that those are the numbers.

thing is disturbing. I don't care if you're going to add an extra foot to it. You know, I-- It's inhumane. It's cruel and inhumane. Full stop. And it looks to me like the market is recognizing that. I am looking at an April 30, 2019 article that says that the largest for her auction house is cutting its-- the number of its workers by between 130 and 150 employees which was on top of a 45 person cut in 2018 and it's because the demand has been reduced by over 30 percent.

MARK OTEN: Yep. That's accurate. The demand for fur sored in the last five or six years in Asia and then, in China specifically, the market for luxury good has really tailed off in the last two years. Huge, huge downturn. And it's, as a result of that downturn in China, that the overall figures are down. That's not the picture in cities in Europe and New York. It is, if you talk to Jaguar, Land Rover, if you talk to many industries, just look at the stats on the China economy.

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And then just
3	looking at one step. If you have additional
4	statistics you would like to supplied to the Council,
5	I would be interested.
6	MARK OTEN: I would love to.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: In addition to
8	that, I would really like to see the route to your
9	number the 7000 jobs would be lost.
10	MARK OTEN: We
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Given that the
12	number of for houses decreased from 450 in the
13	seventies to 14 right now, it cannot be explained by
14	some little pop-up shops and Macy's and wherever
15	else. You need to show us the route to the 7000.
16	MARK OTEN: We will.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And I'd like
18	to know what the number was in the 19 seventies. Was
19	it still 7000?
20	MARK OTEN: If you would
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So a route to
22	the number
23	MARK OTEN: Sure.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: would mean the
25	number of stores.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	MARK OTEN: We have a full economic
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you have
4	that?
5	MARK OTEN: We have a full economic study
6	available and the way I'm more than happy to
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: sorry. Was it
8	distributed for this hearing? Do I have it in my
9	pile of
10	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Did you give it to us?
11	MARK OTEN: I don't know if you've got it
12	in your packs at the moment, but I know that we have
13	done it and I believe we have submitted it. But I
14	can't say, however, whether it's in your particular
15	pack at the moment. I don't know what you have in
16	front of me.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I have the
18	material when anyone testifies and I think the
19	general public knows this. I'm sorry if you didn't.
20	You come with your facts and figures if you're going
21	to ask the Council for its time, which is what I have
22	right now, although I'm headed down to a budget
23	briefing. Right? So
24	MARK OTEN: Well

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: now is my time
3	for me to look at data. And I'm not seeing it is
4	what I'm saying.
5	MARK OTEN: Okay.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So
7	MARK OTEN: Well, I know it's been
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: perhaps for
9	the second hearing
10	MARK OTEN: Sure.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: you'll be able
12	to provide some actual data to show us why we would
13	continue and inhumane practice.
14	MARK OTEN: I can only apologize
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very
16	much.
17	MARK OTEN: if you've not received it and
18	you will receive it.
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you all very much.
20	MARK OTEN: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
22	SPEAKER JOHNSON: You can go ahead to the
23	next panel.
24	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Oh. The next panel

way of Lindsay Greene from the mayor's office.

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 [Background comments] 3 SPEAKER JOHNSON: I'm going to ask the Council to swear the administration in. Thank you. 4 5 LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right 6 hands. Do you swear and affirm to tell the truth, 7 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth before this committee and to answer Council member questions 8 honestly? 9 LINDSAY GREENE: I do. 10 CHRISTINE KIM: I do. 11 12 I do. 13 LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you. 14 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. You may be 15 getting in whatever order you would like. Thank you 16 for being here today. Thank you for your patience 17 and letting some other folks testified before the administration. 18 CHRISTINE KIM: Good afternoon, Speaker 19 20 Johnson, Chairman Espinal, the committee on consumer affairs, and Council members. My name is Christine 21 2.2 Kim and I am a Senior Community Liaison at the 23 Mayor's Community Affairs Unit. And I work primarily on animal welfare issues. I am joined on the panel 24

today by two other colleagues from the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING administration. Lindsay Greene, Senior advisor to the deputy mayor for housing and economic development, and Casey Adams, director of the city legislative affairs at the department of consumer affairs. We are pleased to represent Mayor Bill DeBlasio's administration here today. Ms. Greene and I will be testifying today and Mr. Adams will be joining us for Q&A I am pleased to be here today to discuss animal welfare, which is a priority of this administration. Like the Council, we are committed to advancing animal protection causes and I want to specifically thank you, speaker Johnson, for your personal commitment to animal welfare issues over the last several years. The mayor has long supported progressive animal welfare legislation, signed many bills into law to protect animals, and implemented bold and positive policies for animals ranging from companion animals to wildlife. Mayor DiBlasio is also the first and only mayor to have an animal welfare liaison to be the direct link between City Hall and the animal welfare community. He is been listening and responding to the concerns of animal advocates since day one. For example, in collaboration with the city Council, the Mayor has

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING invested an unprecedented amount of funding into animal care centers of New York City, which is our hope in to end mission municipal animal shelter Just last year, the mayor and speaker system. announced an additional 3 million dollars for animal care centers, bringing their fiscal year 19 budget to a record 17.6 million dollars. As a result, animal care centers is at a historic 94 percent placement rate making international leader in the placement of dogs, cats, and rabbits. In 2016, the mayor announced a plan to bring full service animal care centers to each of our five boroughs for the first time. As well as a standalone adoption center next to our Manhattan shelter. Further increasing our ability to adopt out animals, prevent the surrender of animals, and provide critical animal services to our communities. With the support of the city Council, we are now well underway having locked insights for the care centers in the Bronx and in Queens. This commitment to shelter animals has been cemented in the past year when we signed a 34 year contract to ensure the stability animal care centers need in order to continue to deliver positive outcomes for shelter animals for decades to come. We

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING have also worked with city Council to enact a number of pet shop laws, which ultimately reduce the number of surrendered and homeless animals in our shelters. These laws include a mandate to spay and neuter dogs and cats sold in pet shops. The banning of the sales of dog and cats sourced from puppy and kitten mills, and the banning of the sales of rabbits in pet shops. And, thanks to the Council leadership, all new pet shops and 24-hour veterinary clinics are now required to have a fire sprinkler system. Recently, the mayor announced that all New York City public schools will participate in meatless Mondays, which will affect 1.1 million students. Not only will our schools, as well as all 11 of our public hospitals, be serving vegetarian meals on Mondays, but all city agencies will be required to phase out processed meats and reduce their beef purchases by 50 percent as directed by the mayor's Green New Deal to combat climate In addition to his many accomplishments for animals used for food and companion animals, the mayor has shown extraordinary commitment to wildlife. The brand-new state-of-the-art animal shelter in the Bronx to be completed by 2024, will not only be for companion animals, but will also feature a clinic in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING education center for New York City's only federally licensed wildlife rehabilitation center. The mayor is also demonstrating the feasibility and success of large-scale nonlethal wildlife management with the implementation of the city's deer impact management plan, which is already led to a deer population reduction of approximately 15 percent. This is a dramatic example of a humane and scientifically cutting-edge alternative to hunting and conventional lethal methods of wildlife management. And through the city's wildlife NYC campaign, we are promoting the safe coexistence of wildlife and people and managing other impacts of deer in our urban environment. In 2017, the mayor was pleased to support a bill that Speaker Johnson was a lead cosponsor of. To ban wild and exotic animals from circuses in the city. Wild animals do not exist for our entertainment, nor do they exist to be made into luxury apparel, to be worn when there are modern and synthetic alternatives. Fur's time has come and gone in terms of the fashion industry. A lot of the leading figures in fashion agree and may have stopped using far. It is inhumane. While we believe there are possible changes that would improve the bill's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

implementation and effectiveness, the prohibition on the sale of for aligns with the mayor's perspective on animal welfare. The mayor supports the intro-The mayor supports intro 1476 A to make New York City the first city on the East Coast and the largest city in the country to ban the sale of for. We look forward to discussing and working with the Council on the details of this bill. Now I turned my colleague, Lindsay Greene, who will discuss the administration's feedback on the proposed bill. Thank you.

2.2

LINDSAY GREENE: Thank you, Christine.

Good afternoon, Speaker Johnson, Chair Espinal, and

Councilman Cabrera. I am Lindsay Greene, a Senior

Advisor to the Deputy Mayor for Housing and Economic

Development. I focus on policy issues that involve

economic development and business regulation, among

other topics. Thank you for inviting me and my

colleagues to testify on the proposed legislation to

ban the sale of for apparel in New York City. As you

know, mayor DiBlasio has always been a strong animal

welfare advocate. As you have already heard from Ms.

Kim. Under Mayor DiBlasio's leadership, the

administration has deepened its commitment to support

animal welfare in New York City. Having highlighted

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING those accomplishments and our ongoing partnership with the Council, I wanted to provide some specific feedback on the bill at hand. Intro 1476 A seeks to prohibit the sale of all for apparel in New York City with proposed exemptions for used fur items or for items worn for religious custom. The administration supports the Speaker's bill as it is consistent with our history of supporting animal welfare values. want to specifically thank you, Speaker Johnson, for your leadership on this issue and for your work and dressing animal welfare issues over the last several years. With regards to this specific legislation, I want to quickly touch on some anticipated enforcement practices. The administration wants to ensure that the firm prohibition is clear, easy to understand, and enforceable. This will increase the likelihood of compliance, which is a benefit of all parties, including businesses and their customers, and presents the best outcome for the broad and the welfare goals of the proposed legislation. recognize the need for carefully crafted exemptions for used for apparel and the need for religious sensitivity for fur apparel worn as part of a religious custom. In most cases, only the owner of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING the piece of apparel will be in a position to know whether that peace is used, is constructed from other used pieces, or is worn as a matter of religious custom. As currently drafted, the proposed legislation and includes these exemptions and we believe this language could be a bit clearer. Therefore, we believe that the bill should base the burden of proving that a piece of for apparel qualifies for an exemption upon the person most likely to have the information needed to make that determination. The person or business who chooses to sell it. This approach will make the bill more enforceable and ensure that only for apparel that genuinely qualifies for exemption will remain on the market. We also recognize that this bill, while achieving progress from an animal welfare issues perspective would impact businesses and workers in this sector. One option we have heard proposed is to allow for phase in an adjustment. That will maximize a business's ability to adapt to this significant new regulation. On the merits, it would be a way to allow businesses the opportunity to transition to for apparel that is used or vintage or high-end faux fur, as have many fashion houses as discussed today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING This proposal, as well as others that we expect to come from such businesses that engage in the legislative process regarding this proposal all warrant consideration. In conclusion, I would like to reiterate that Mayor DeBlasio is a strong supporter of animal welfare issues. I want to again, thank you, Speaker Johnson, for your leadership on this issue and commitment to animal welfare during your tenure. The mayor and his administration support your bill, Speaker Johnson, and recognize that there are many details to be refined in order to most effectively implement it. We look forward to working with the Council to do just that during the legislative process. Thank you for your time. happy to take any questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all for being here today. I really appreciate it. I am grateful to hear that the mayor supports this bill and I just want to say I'm really proud of the record that we have together over the last five and a half years. The Council pushed really hard for that additional funding for animal care centers so that we can get an even higher live release rate of animals that enter our shelter system. We deserve to be a no

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING kill city and that's what we have to strive for through our shelter system. We need to build those shelters faster though. We've been waiting a while and we need to get them done. Especially a Manhattan shelter and we just finished the upgrades on the State Island shelter, which is great. I'm really I'm really proud that we are-- Just figured proud. out the Bronx shelter up in Co-op City and we are still working on a Queens shelter location, as well. And the bills that you mentioned, whether it's the circus bills that I worked on with former Council member Rosie Mendez or bills that we are contemplating now on bird-safe glass in New York City to protect birds or looking at foie gras and how it's cruel and how we don't need it in New York City anymore. I am really proud of our agenda and becoming a more humane city for animals and I'm grateful for the partnership that we have had. want to thank you for that partnership and for being here today. I have a couple of questions for you if I can find them. So-- The exemptions that you mentioned, Lindsay, on the religious exemptions that we included in the bill, when the administration and the law department took a look at this. Did you look

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

at what other municipalities had done in Los Angeles

and in San Francisco and other places to come to a

place of being comfortable with what you delineated

in your testimony today?

2.2

the question. We have begun to look at those other jurisdictions. I think there are some additional details to talk through with staff during the legislative process and I think there's some things to be learned from other jurisdiction's experience implementing. I know it's early days, but I think we have some things to learn and would love to talk about those things with you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

Chair Espinal, I'm really grateful that you've had this hearing today. I have to run out. I'll be back, but I have to run to a meeting and I'll come back and I appreciate, Council member Cabrera, you being here, as well. Thank you for your testimony today.

LINDSAY GREENE: Thank you, Speaker.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Mr.

Speaker. With that said, we don't have any further questions, so thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	LINDSAY GREENE: Thank you.
3	[Background comments]
4	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Up next we have
5	Cathy Nazari, Solid Waste Advisory Board, Dan
6	Matthews from PETA, Alexi Limbo Sorry.
7	Libormisla? Please correct me when you get up there.
8	[Background comments]
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet down, please.
10	[Background comments]
11	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And we have
12	Pricilla Farrell, Friends of Animals.
13	LEGAL COUNSEL: You should explain that
14	we're alternating [inaudible 02:24:21]
15	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So we're going to
16	put a clock of two minutes for testimony. You may
17	begin.
18	DAN MATTHEWS: My name is Dan Matthews,
19	Senior Vice President of PETA. PETA was involved in
20	the fur bans that passed overwhelmingly in LA and Sar
21	Francisco. Law makers are voting to ban fur sales
22	because fur producers operate outside of the law.
23	Unlike other industries that use animals, fur
24	producers do not receive government oversight or
25	inspection to ensure that the animals live or die

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING with even minimal standards. PETA filmed a mink farmer in Maryland who killed the animals by injecting them with weed killer because it was cheap and easy. He had no experience and no interest in veterinary medicine. We filmed a chinchilla farmer in Indiana who genitally electrocuted the animals by clamping their genitalia and attaching it to a car battery. The voltage was no consistent and he laughed when some of the animals came alive on the skinning boards. He had no interest and no experience in veterinary medicine. In Korea, we filmed a fur farmer's long foxes by the tail between two wooden boards and then crushed them to death before exporting their pelts to New York City. China, we filmed fur farmers who slammed the raccoon dog's heads into the ground which dazed them, but did not kill them. They were skinned alive. from China has ended up on racks here in New York City where it was mislabeled as fake. The FTC has cited many retailers for false labeling, but there were no penalties. That's why we need a law banning fur that comes with the penalty. Nowadays, in the States, animals are often killed for less conspicuous for trim or collars, mostly coyotes. They are killed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
in traps like I demonstrated before. Traps that have
been banned in 100 countries, but are used in all but
seven of the United States. That trap was available
for 10 dollars on Amazon and, though meant for
coyotes, may routinely cripple and kill family dogs,
cats, and other wildlife. Like for farms, trapping
is self-regulated. Trappers claim that they check
traps daily, but if the weather is bad or they just
don't feel like it
[Background comments]
DAN MATTHEWS: animals can languish
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Every
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Everybody keep it
down. I'll decide when I want to cut off a speaker.
DAN MATTHEWS: They die of exhaustion,
infection, shock, or by being eaten by a predator
from whom they can't escape. Some animals even
attempt to chew off their limbs to escape. Trapping
cannot be regulated because only the trappers know
where the traps are. Like for farmers, the trappers
operate outside the view of the law and that is why
lawmakers are banning for. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CATHY NAZARI: Hello. Thank you, Council member Espinal and the committee for allowing me to speak. My name is Cathy Nazari. I am a board member of Voters for Animal Rights and the solid waste advisory board. The barbarity of practices like scanning and animal alive while fully conscious should be reason enough to ban the sale of fur. impact does it have on the environment and human I included two pages of references for you, health? so I won't go into great detail. The World Bank calls for one of the top five worst industries for toxic metal pollution. A fur coat takes 20 times more energy to produce than a faux fur coat. Don't be misled. European countries have actually banned for as green advertising because it is false. Further is heavily dependent on fossil fuels and electricity, it's intensely polluting to air and water, kills marine life. For traps capture endangered species and family pets. For processors have been fined by the EPA and use at least 14 chemicals classified by the EPA, OSHA, and other agencies as human antigens causing cancer, leukemia, hormonal imbalances, and respiratory problems, as they are absorbed through the skin and nose and

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING remain in the body for 20 years. Forbidden levels have even been found in children's apparel in the American Journal of industrial medicine associated for processing with an increase in women's breast cancer. Fur puts human health at risk both for the worker and the consumer. It has no place in our future or in New York City's Green New Deal. Green New Deal is about to change everything. primary goal is to achieve net zero emissions by eliminating fossil fuels and toxic pollution from our environment. Manufacturing, agriculture, and other industries to quarantee clean air and water. And it aims to protect endangered species. By eliminating toxic fuels, no one is saying-- fossil fuels. one is saying coal miners and oil workers should lose their jobs. Green New Deals calls for training to transition these workers to green energy jobs. the same way, we would like to say the people who work with fur transitioned to other textiles. Manhattan borough president, Gail Brewer, created the garment center steering then Speaker Corey Johnson and the city Council recently approved a plan that will help garment workers move into the future. city and the Council of Fashion Designers of America

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 are launching the 14 million dollar expansion of the fashion manufacturing initiative providing grants to 3 support existing employees with training to work with 4 emerging technologies and upgrade equipment and local 5 manufacturing. What better use than to transition 6 7 for workers to new textiles? I've spoken with the leadership that Parsons School of Fashion who are 8 interested in discussing--9 10 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I'm going to have to ask you to wrap up. 11 12 CATHY NAZARI: Can I just finish one more paragraph? 13 14 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: It's the second 15 time it rings, so try to wrap up there. 16 CATHY NAZARI: Okay. Thank you. 17 PRISCILLA FERRELL: Hello. I'm 18 Priscilla Ferrell, President of Friends of Animals. We were incorporated in New York City in 1957. 19 20 I started working here in 1974, my first assignment was to hold a fur protest outside the ASPCA's fur 21 fashion show at a luncheon on Fifth Avenue. That's 2.2 23 how far we've come since the 1970s. Since the heyday of fur in New York City. I had a former trapper, 24

someone with a license, here today to demonstrate two

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING traps, but he didn't get inside. So what I want to emphasize is what he would've told you about those trapping devices. The leg hole trap was invented in It's a 19th Century device. The conibear trap was invented in 1957. These are sadistic trapping devices. Not only do they close on an animal's limb, the real damage to an animal, the suffering occurs during their struggle to escape. When they are thrashing around in the steel jaws, they rip tendons, they fracture bones, they sometimes chew off their own feet to escape. The conibear trap smashed on animals that are water animals like beavers. Before they drown in that trap, their pelvises are crushed. They are called body-crushing devices. And although at least one device is widely prohibited outside the US, they are entirely legal here. The fur industry is in free fall. This is the time you think about moral arguments rather than weighing everything according to economics. The economic value of those pelts, a beaver in a New York auction now commands 10 dollars. A raccoon seven dollars. A fox eight dollars. Coyotes 10 dollars. These are throw-away animals whose lives should mean more. It's important for our humanity to support 1476 and I ask you to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 1/0 throw your full weight behind it and I thank you so much for indulging this difficult issue.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Do you have any questions? I have one question for Dan. In your testimony, you mentioned some very egregious ways that these animals were killed. Is that, would you say, an industry norm? Are there regulations around whether or not an animal can be killed that way for its fur? For its pelt?

DAN MATTHEWS: All the farms are selfregulated. The many fur farms and many trappers do
it as a hobby. PETA has gone around the world with
video cameras and we ask the fur farmers to show us
how they do what they're doing. We often say that we
are interested in this whole issue. Interested in
possibly opening a fur far. And they gladly show us
how they do this. This is why all these video that
have changed the world are all over the internet. We
have had to buy out some fur farmers. In Montana, we
had to buy out a beaver farm because they had no
money and the animals were starving to death. We
recently last year bought out a chinchilla farm in
California where the animals were not getting any vet

2.2

- 1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
- 2 care. It's a completely self-regulated industry, as
- are the trap lines. 3

7

8

9

- 4 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So there are no regulations regulating how these animals can be 5 killed and whether or not--6
 - DAN MATTHEWS: [interposing] That's right. It's up to them. It's up to them. It's up to each individual farmer.
- 10 [Background comments]
- DAN MATTHEWS: And again--11
- 12 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right.
- 13 DAN MATTHEWS: it never occurred to 14 them to learn about human euthanasia or get
- 15 veterinary training. It's not on their mind.
- 16 [Background comments]
- CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. 18 I'm going to call up the next panel. And I'm
- going to ask everyone to please keep your voices 19
- 20 down. If you continue being disruptive, I'm going to
- have to ask you to leave. Everyone is going to have 21
- 2.2 a change to say what they have to say. Everyone will
- 23 have the chance to testify and when we get to you,
- 24 you'll be able to express yourself. Thank you. Up

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
151
next we have Tom Garcia from Decker Brands and Ted
Potrickus, Retail Council of New York State.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOM GARCIA: Good afternoon. My name is Tom Garcia and I serve as the Senior Vice President, General Council and Compliance Officer at Decker's I would like to thank Speaker Johnson, brands. Chairman Espinal, as well as members of the committee to testify before you today. Founded in 1973, Decker's Brands is a global multi-brand company that includes footwear brands such as Ugg, Teva, Senook, and Hoka One One. I'm here to testify today in regards to Intro 1476 A which prohibits the sale of fur apparel. The current definition of fur in the bill means any animal skin in whole or in part with the hair, fleece, or fur fibers attached. definition would prohibit the sale of certain Ugg brand products, including our iconic UGG classic boot that contains sheepskin. It is important to distinguish sheepskin products from luxury fur products such as fox, lynx, and mink that this legislation is seeking to ban. Sheepskin, like leather, is a byproduct of food production, making it fundamentally different from luxury fur products. May 8, Speaker Johnson framed the byproduct

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING distinction in explaining that the current bill would not apply to leather by saying leather is a coproduct of meat, so, right now, if you are killing lynx or chinchilla or coyote or fur, you are not eating meat. In light of this important distinction, we believe a byproduct exemption for sheepskin should be included in this legislation. Simply put, sheepskin is just like leather. It is important to note that the hides would otherwise be discarded or not incorporated into products -- if they are not incorporated into products. Utilizing them as a more sustainable It is for these reasons that other approach. jurisdictions with for bans such as Los Angeles, San Francisco, and the city of Berkeley have exempted sheepskin from the definition of fur. There is also a bill in the New York State assembly sponsored by assembly member Rosenthal that bans the sale of fur, but includes an exemption for sheepskin in the definition of fur. We are committed to sourcing our material in a sustainable way as evidenced by our ethical sourcing and animal welfare policy, which is posted on our website. Additionally, Decker's Brands is part of an animal welfare group within a leather working group which is made up of member brands,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 153 retailers, and leather manufactures that work together to develop industry best practices. We urge the Council to amend the legislation to include an exemption for sheepskin from the definition of fur and we look forward to working with the Council on this issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TED POTRIKUS: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman Espinal and Member Cabrera. Thank you for having us here. My name is Ted Potrikus. I am President and CEO of the Retail Council of New York State. We're the state's leading trade association for the retail industry representing member stores in New York City and across the state ranging from the smallest independence to the nation's best known brands. Thank you for the opportunity to speak here today on 1476 A. We share the concerns raised in the introduction regarding the sourcing of fur currently available for sale and indoors, without reservation, the restriction of fur produced without regard for animal welfare. We submit, respectfully, the product bans no longer are an effective tool to affect permanent change within the supply chain. Shoppers in 2019 demand choice and, literally, within the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING palms of their hands they have more options than ever before as to where, when, and how they shop. easy for today's shopper to evade any fan on any product. Unable to find what they want in New York City, they simply will go to another state, city, or online to buy what they choose. This Council has the opportunity to preserve that coveted customer choice and drive real and sustainable change that was the fact the fur marketplace beyond the city's border. To that end, we urge you to incorporate certain provisions found in related measures approved in Los Angeles and elsewhere, including allowing the sale of shearling and cattle hair and an effective date of January 1, 2021. In addition, we think that strictly regulated practices in New York would reduce and, quite possibly, eliminate bad actors throughout the global supply chain. Codified standards in the sourcing of fur sold in New York City would require suppliers from around the world to exceed to certain assessments. And surely, suppliers would want their products to be available for sale in New York City, one of the world's top shopping destinations, and would redouble their efforts to ensure they meet the city's requirements. We reiterate our support to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing restrict the sale of fur produced without regard for animal welfare and today offer our pledge as an industry to work constructively with you towards a solution that preserves animal welfare and customer choice. We hope to be your partner in ensuring full and rigorous compliance. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank you.

TED POTRIKUS: Thank you very much.
[Background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Up next we have Rodney King, Mark Bauer, Stewart Mitchell, Cynthia King, and Elsa Lebowitz.

[Background comments]

Good afternoon, Council persons. Thank
you. My name is Rodney King. I'm here as a private
citizen. I'm a native Brooklynite, husband and
father and someone who comes to you today to speak in
strong support of 1476, a measure to ban the sale of
fur products in New York City. My purpose today is
to speak not only as a proponent for the humane and
ethical treatment of animals, but as an AfricanAmerican man, I am here to dispel some of the myths
that may be propagated here today. And stereotypes

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING of how we, African-Americans, and more specifically black men, think when it comes to issues such as this. I come from a people who are too often been portrayed by the largest society in general of people who are incapable of a broad range of feelings and emotions were as incapable of showing compassion for others, much less, creatures described as lesser than Being not much more than brutish creatures ourselves. African-Americans have indeed routinely been denied the ability to achieve the so-called status so often reserved often for many white Americans. And so, when the obstacles to those achievements are overcome, it is indeed something to be celebrated and cherished. However, immersing ourselves in the outward trappings and arraignment of this so-called status does nothing to actually achieve any achievement at all. And only serves to reinforce long-held beliefs that we are backwards thinking juvenile people who are more concerned with material goods in obtaining the many bobbles and trinkets of our former oppressors that were so long denied to us as opposed to obtaining the true signifiers of achievement, a good education, good job, decent living conditions, decent healthcare, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

a just and fair criminal justice system and respect of our neighbors and peers. Please don't fall for the okey-doke. Please don't be bamboozled. Okay?

It is incumbent upon you for you to act upon your conscience and not be intimidated by political fear.

By those who would use subterfuge to make you believe that this is somehow racial or religious issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK BAUER: Hello, everyone. Thank you for letting me speak here today. My name is Mark Bauer. I am a New York fashion designer. I have a successful business here in New York City. We do not sell or design anything using real fur. I am fortunate to dress some very famous women like Angelina Jolie, Beyoncé, Oprah, Shania Twain, Mariah Carey, Emily Blunt, and Tyra Banks just to name a few. Many who seek out for like alternatives, I am only too happy to show them. We New Yorkers do not hunt animals for food. We New Yorkers do not live in caves. There is no good reason to wear real fur on our bodies to keep us warm. Technology has given us incredible faux fur if we so chose to wear fur. Animals that a bread of fur farms specifically for their skins live in horrific cage conditions. are anally electrocuted causing unimaginable

```
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
suffering. Many don't actually die from this process
and are often skinned while they are still alive.
places like China, they are just skinned, peeled, and
tossed alive into writhing piles to die a slow,
agonizing death. All these poor animals, like us
humans, bond and protect their young. They, just
like us, experience fear, pain, and suffering. There
is absolutely no excuse for cruelty to animals.
Anyone that profits from the sale of fur is
perpetuating cruelty to animals. Anyone wearing real
first sends a message of vanity. They just don't
care. So I am asking you, New Yorkers, please care.
We New Yorkers are the heroes of compassion and
change. Please, New York, be the heroes for the
suffering animals and ban the sale of fur in New
York. Real fur belongs--
           [Background comments]
           SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.
           MARK BAUER: on the animal's body and not
on ours.
          Thank you.
           CYNTHIA KING:
                             Thank you, honorable
council members. My name is Cynthia King.
business owner, a teacher, a wife, proud wife, and
```

mother, and a voter. I'm speaking today on behalf of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING New York City Hip Hop is Green. Hip Hop is Green is a pioneering movement led by a team of artists and performers that use the power and influence of hiphop to speak to youth and spark positive changes in their lives. First symbolizes inhumanity, captivity, oppression, and violence. We refute any notion that fur has some specific cultural importance as we know that, unfortunately, status seeking and materialism belongs to many cultures. We work to empower our young people with things of true value: empathy, compassion, healthy habits, and a good education. teach them to avoid following detrimental trends like the excessive spending, especially on things that they been convinced represents success, achievement, luxury, but really only empower the owners, the industry, people who do not uplift our community. The fur industry is a cruel and barbaric one and all cruelty is linked directly or indirectly with every type of violent crime. Domestic violence, child abuse, spouse abuse, and elder abuse is closely associated with animal cruelty. Perpetrators use animal cruelty to control women and children in abusive situations. The connection between animal cruelty and violence is indisputable. The fur

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING industry is animal cruelty. We must not turn a blind eye to an industry that perpetuates violence. exact opposite of what our community needs. We must embrace this moment of progress and ban the sale of fur in our city. We know New York City leaves the world, although LA is ahead of us a little bit on this one. The fur industry has sunk to a new low. To try to maintain profits and relevance, they feign concern for communities that they see only as a revenue source, not as a genuine ally or partner. Ιt is common sense that we should try to alleviate suffering whenever we can. We commend speaker Johnson on his courageous and compassionate leadership and you all. New York City Hip Hop is Green supports Intro 1476. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

me to speak. My name is Stewart Mitchell. I live in the Bedford I the second section of Brooklyn, the 30 sixth district which is Robert E. Koenig Junior's district. The world around us is changing. People are starting to make more ethical choices concerning the environment and the welfare of others species. People started to use less plastic because of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING effect it has on our oceans and ocean life. People are starting to gravitate towards more plant-based alternatives to milk and meat because people are starting to realize the ill effects that factory farming animals for meat has on the environment and the planet. A fur ban in New York City is a step in the same ethical direction that all other industries are moving towards. New York has long been considered a fashion mecca. We have always set the pace for everyone else to keep up with those far as fashion is concerned, but, unfortunately, this is the one time we are falling behind in everybody else is moving ahead. Think of the impact we would have on the world if we said no to fur for fashion. For farms all over the world are already closing. Cities like Los Angeles, San Francisco, and West Hollywood are already on board here in the United States for banding for sales. Famous designers like verse Saatchi, Michael Cortez, Armani, Gucci, and so many others are already saying no to fur. We are behind. In 2019, wearing the skin of an innocent animal is unacceptable. There are more sustainable alternatives to stay warm and be fashionable and that don't exploit animals for what is rightfully theirs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

169

Two years ago, my daughter asked me to buy her a

Canada Goose coat. I told her, times, you know I'd

do anything for you, but you are not getting that

5 code.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

[Laughter]

STEWART MITCHELL: You have a choice. Animals don't have a choice to become a fabric. explained to her how coyotes are trapped in their habitat and killed for their fur to make the fur trim on the hood and after I explained this to her, she didn't want the coat anymore, but then she asked me, daddy, why don't they just use fake fur? And that's a question I have for everyone here. Why are we not using fake fur? Animals are not items that we have to end this barbaric tradition of scanning them alive for one is rightfully theirs and I support Intro 1476 A and also want to say that as a descendent of African slaves who were brought here and used as a commodity, it is disturbing for me to watch other fellow sentient beings have their freedom taken away from them and used as a commodity for the gain-monetary gain of others.

CYNTHIA KING: Tell it.

[Applause]

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Please hold.
                                                    Hold
 3
    your applause, please.
 4
                [Background comments]
 5
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                      Thank you guys.
 6
                [Background comments]
 7
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Maria Ryche, Alicia
     George, Frank Siller and Justin Siller. Nick
 8
     Palagorses. Palagorges.
 9
10
                [Background comments]
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Maria Ryche, Alicia
11
12
    George, Frank Siller, and Nick Palagorges.
13
                LEGAL COUNSEL:
                                  Why don't you just call
14
    this guy?
               [inaudible 02:51:34] doesn't show up.
15
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: John Gorgedes.
16
                [background comments]
17
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You may begin.
18
                JUSTIN SILLER:
                                  Hi.
                                      My name is Justin
    Siller and I am the co-owner of Staten Island Furrier
19
20
    and the way our brick-and-mortar store and I
    understand the concerns of the other side. I will
21
2.2
    tell you this. I am a father. I am married.
                                                    T have
23
    three small children. I have a mortgage.
                                                I have
24
    school tuition. Where good, decent human beings who
25
    work very, very hard and if this ban goes through,
```

the psychology that you talked about with the animals, I'm thinking of the human psychology of the people in this industry. The more than 7000 in the city and all across the country because I can see the writing on the wall right now. We have to be protected. We are humans and we have to be protected. Thank you.

[Applause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Please. Please hold your applause again. Hopefully this is the last time I will say this.

FRANK SILLER: My name is Frank Siller.

I am co-owner of Staten Island Furrier. This is my son here. I will say that, you know, listening to so many people speak into the specifics, there is not one furrier here that wouldn't follow better guidelines that I would say. There is not a store here, of for store here that wouldn't follow better guidelines that we could work together to create a more humane way to run our industry, to raise furs, and to take furs. That being said, many people here made up a lot of stories on how a lot of people raise their furs. They had to go to China to talk about how some furs were raised. This is America and most

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING people in America raise it the proper way. Those who don't, should be put away. Absolutely should be put away. That being said, some people here will not stop until you or you can have a pocketbook or a belt or shoes or I can't eat me or decide to have a chicken for dinner. They will not be happy until that happens. Our fur industry is willing to make changes to make it a better environment. Absolutely. And I want to say another thing. Most people in the fur business are community people. They are involved in their communities. I will speak with our store here on Staten Island that we, over the last 10 years have donated over 150,000 dollars to the homeless and to help feed them and house them in project hospitality, which I know many people in your legislative know all about project hospitality and the beautiful work that Rev. Troy does. We are involved in many different levels. Many times you hear about it and many times you don't. We are part of our communities. We are family. It is a family business. Generation after generation have been in this business and a lot of them cannot transfer or cannot be taught something else. This is what they know and this is what they can do. You know what?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

166

It's a decent business. Whether you like it or not,

it's a good business. And I don't think anyone has

the right to come in here and tell me that I am doing

something wrong when I know I am not doing something

wrong when I care about human beings. Thank you.

[Applause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Again. Again, guys. We are not allowing applause in the chambers.

Just raise your hand. Shake them in the air. You can do that. Just not applaud. Thank you.

Georgiades and I represent Stallion INC., a New York
City economic development Corporation funded
manufacturing company. We are the manufacturer and
retailer of women's fur coats and ready to wear
apparel. [inaudible 02:55:56] says that
approximately 30 million and we have three retail
stores and our factories in Long Island city. We
moved to Long Island city. We have 90 employees that
represented by Council members here. We moved to
Long Island city in 2007 and then funded our current
production facility with financing provided by the
New York City industrial development group. The
financing was provided because we improved the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING economic and employment conditions in the area. Our number of employees has doubled over the last 12 years. In 2015, filled New York City business Corporation refinanced our building with over 7 million of revenue refunding bonds. Substantial portions of the project were used to improve our building and provide additional resources specific to the fur business. If New York City decides to ban fur, it will have a catastrophic impact on our operations. We will be forced to close a factory and retail stores in New York City and elsewhere all of our employees would be let go without severance pay and benefits including medical insurance, which are provided to our employees at no cost to them. going to default on 8 million in obligations on the New York City revenue refunding bonds. All costs associated with our store leases of over 3 million dollars will be lost. We will be in default of our working capital debt of over 6 million and our inventory supply contracts will be abandoned and that will result in a myriad of lawsuits. We will default and our multimillion a year Madison Avenue leases which will result in huge liability. Our 90 employees with families who are dependent on them

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

160

will lose their livelihoods because you have decided

to put our industry out of business. You funded this

business with the industrial revenue bonds and now

you want to take it away. How does that make sense?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ALISHA GEORGE: Hi. I'm Alisha George and I represent Stallion INC. my speech I had prepared I can no longer speak because after I heard all that was said earlier, it's very disheartening to I came here as an immigrant from the Caribbean and I came here in pursuit of the American dream. And, because of the fur industry, I was afforded that. Today I look and I'm afraid that my dream will be shattered because you have a very small group who are more concerned about animals than-- A community to me is human life. When I am listening to everyone more concerned about the welfare of an animal, how about the human beings? There is so much you can do for the community today. When I see homeless people setting, I see people dying from cancer and you're telling me you are concerned about an animal that is being caged, really? I am concerned to-- Yes. animal has afforded me in my lifestyle today. death of it. I understand that. I understand and I get you have the right to care for animals. Have

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

160

them as your pets. I understand that. I love

animals, too. But, you know why? I cannot see how

an animal--

[Background comments]

2.2

them. I love them. Absolutely. I love them.

Wearing them on my back. Yes. I'm a love of fur.

But I tell you what. If you are telling me animals are much more of concern to you than the people you are looking at. The people who have worked so hard to build and give the growth in New York today, my dear friend, Councilman, I cannot believe you're the one that I'm looking for to to make New York the place and I want to be in. Thank you.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right, guys.

No clapping. Please. Just a quick question. You mentioned earlier about where you-- about the firm being sourced from different parts of the world.

Where do you source your fur from and how do you verify that your fur is not coming from China, for example?

FRANK SILLER: Well, they are fur labeled. And that was something that was discussed

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 today that you said or some of the experts said that we could improve on that and that will be improved 3 4 upon. 5 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So they're fur labeled? 6 7 JUSTIN SILLER: Yes. They are. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Can you go into 8 that a little bit more? How do you purchase your 9 fur? How do you know where it comes from when you 10 fur label it? Where you get it? 11 12 JUSTIN SILLER: We have partners that we 13 work with in this city, wholesalers and manufacturers 14 that we buy from that have the country of origin. 15 And then, when we sell it, retail, we have to put on 16 the tag, the ticket, the country of origin. Where 17 the pelts are from. 18 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Are you able to trace back which farms the--19 20 JUSTIN SILLER: [interposing] Are you asking me if I go to the farms? 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: That's not what I 23 asked. I asked are you able to track which fur--

24

What--

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 JUSTIN SILLER: [interposing] Well, I 3 trust my business partners, yes, in New York City who are regulated as they discussed at the first panel 4 5 today. 6 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Thank you. 7 FRANK SILLER: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I want to call up 8 Michelle Villa Gomez, ASPCA, Brian Shapiro from 9 Humane Society of the US, Edita Birnkrant from 10 NYCLASS, Sugan Goel Agrawall from Goonez, New York. 11 12 Anna Tagliabue from Pelush. 13 [Background comments] 14 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You may begin. 15 SUGAN AUGARWAL: Dear Council members, I 16 am Sugan Augarwal and I live in Long Island City. I 17 started my company in 2009. My brand is called Gunas 18 (sp?) New York and I have been committed to make luxury, vegan, cruelty handbags for the modern style, 19 20 conscious, and fashion forward woman. My brand has been awarded the best green handbag by InStyle, best 21 2.2 retail bag by Macy's, best vegan handbag brand by 23 PETA. The brand has been featured in Voque Magazine, Harper's Bazaar, Let's Make a Deal TV show, and a 24

bunch of other TV shows on Netflix. I'm here today

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING to testify in support for the ban on sale of fur in New York City with primarily three strong arguments. One, plastics, in my opinion, are a genius human invention. Unlike plastic straws and bottles that are a convenience tool and can easily be avoided. Faux fur and faux leather are a genius use of plastics. Using plastics as faux fur is an adaptation of nature, a way to make its beauty instead of destroying it. Several innovations and the form of firm made from hemp fibers, corn-based plastic, water-based faux material instead of petrochemicals, and even plastic made from avocados seeds are now being developed by scientists all over the world. Let's not be a creature of habit rather than-- rather an evangelist of change. The change that is the need of the hour. Let's stop the abuse of animals in the name of fashion. Point two, ethical jobs will be created. There comes a time when an industry no longer serves the purpose of humankind. We are at this very crossroad with the fur industry. Instead of fearing change, we need to embrace it, and of a, and give our future generations what they really want. There is a clear, growing demand for animal free products. As a small business

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING owner, the growing success of my brand is a clear indication that the millennial and Gen Z consumers want this change. We want to have nothing to do with garments made from brutality. No amount of regulation in the fur industry can justify the torture, abuse, and pain being inflicted upon animals. Point three, diviners, big and small, do not support the use of foreign fashion. New York is one of the top four world capitals for fashion. values do we want to stand for? Torture cannot be labeled as a statically, morally, and visually pleasing. Animal abuse is modern-day slavery. Let's livery them by creating incentives similar to those embraced through several other green initiatives by our city. As a mom, as an immigrant, I want this kind of world for my child and as a business owner I know it can be done. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

EDITA BIRNKRANT: Thank you, Chairman Espinal. My name is Edita Birnkrant and I am the Executive Director of NYCLASS, and animal advocacy and political action nonprofit organization based in New York City and I am a resident of Queens. And NYCLASS is strongly in support of Intro 1476 and we

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING applaud Speaker Corey Johnson for introducing this important bill that confronts and outlaws the sale of a form of hideous animal cruelty, as we've already heard today. And already mentioned was the citywide Mason-Dixon poll of registered voters conducted this month and found that an overwhelming majority of New Yorkers, 75 percent, support the band and the breakdown of people polled was 74 percent of Democrats, 71 percent of Republicans, and 79 percent of independents all wanted to see the ban of fur go And these polling results, to me, speak forth. volumes about the fact that how we treat animals as a society and as a city is truly nonpartisan. people at total opposite ends of the political spectrum agree almost equally that the immense violence and cruelty to animals inherent in each piece of fur means that it should be unacceptable to sell the products of such torture in the year 2019 in New York City. And I don't use the word torture lately. We have already heard from vets and many other people about the torture that is inherent in fur and the over 100 million animals every year that are electrocuted, gassed, poisoned, legend, trapped in the wild, and skinned just for their fur. And the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing many toxic chemicals that are then necessary to treat the pile, making the fur industry a menace to, not only animals, but the environment. And the animals that these— The agony in that the use animals and door, including dogs and cats and many other wild animals, is undeniable. And how can we continue to justify such cruelty for fur collars, coats, or accessories when ethical opportunities abound and we have already heard from designers who are doing just that. Providing those ethical alternatives. These are the reasons many top designers and retailers are shunning fur and that consumer trends have been declining and we hope that the committee votes yes. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

ANNA TAGLIABUE: My name is Anna
Tagliabue. I'm the founder of Pelush, a zero waste
faux fur clothing company based in New York City.
Today we can accurately imitate any kind of animals
for existing in nature and even invent new ones. We
are in the middle of a fur revolution or, as I call
it, a right-volution. Fox, mink, chinchilla,
[inaudible 03:07:20], coyote, rabbit, lamb. All
these beautiful creatures don't have to be
slaughtered for vanity. In many cases, their fur is

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING used as an accent, not even providing warmth for clothing. Technology has rendered this obsolete and now we can celebrate our beloved animals as inspiration with exciting new high-tech technology textiles that have replaced the need to kill animals for fur. It's very simple. There should be no confusion about it. There is no gray area. There is a right and there is wrong. In killing animals for fashion sink is wrong. How can we justify wearing real fair in the 20 first century? I have devoted 20 years of my life developing the antidote to this cruelty. Before that, I worked in the high-end luxury fashion industry selling animal furs until I had the life-changing epiphany and realize that my industry was really a killing machine. Profiting from the barbaric and antiquated trade in cruelty. remember the first time discovering imitation for textiles and immediately seeing the vast potential of such product. They are beautiful and innovative like something from the future. I thought to myself, if we can reproduce some things so beautiful that looks and feels like real fair, why do we have to enslave, exploit, torture, and kill innocent animals for vanity? So I began researching and reinvented my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

career in fashion is a mission not only to create beautiful functional clothing, but to ensure that they are free from cruelty, suffering, and blood.

Please, respected member of New York city Council, do the right thing. Make the right choice. There is no confusion. Animal fire is immoral, unethical, unsustainable, and completely unnecessary. It's very simple. It's wrong. Thank you.

[Background comments]

2.2

MICHELLE VILLA GOMEZ: Good afternoon. I am Michelle Villa Gomez, New York City Legislative Senior Director for the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the ASPCA. I'd like to thank the consumer affairs committee and Chairman Espinal for the opportunity to share the ASPCA's position on fur. While the ASPCA is known widely for a long history of work with companion animals, we believe that all animals should live free from abuse and suffering. Our official policy and position statement states that the ASPCA is opposed to the farming, ranching, trapping, shooting, or otherwise killing of furbearing animals for clothing and accessories. For this reason, we support the effort of the bill sponsors, Speaker Johnson, Council

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING member Levine, and are grateful for their leadership in rejecting the for-profit suffering of vulnerable animals for fashion. Consumers need to be empowered to make ethical and sustainable choices. designers have been moving away from using for in the last several years and many of them have been outlined in previous testimony. Bands on the sale of fur have been instituted in Austria, the UK, in the Netherlands, as well as Los Angeles and San Francisco here in the United States. We have an opportunity to prevent animals like raccoons, foxes, mink, and chinchillas from suffering from cruel and inhumane treatment and for farms here and abroad. We applaud your close examination of this important policy question. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BRIAN SCHAPIRO: Thanks, Michelle. Thank you, Chairman Espinal and honorable committee members. As the New York State Director for the Humane Society of the United States, thank you for the opportunity to present today and also for the leadership shown by Speaker Johnson. I will keep my statement short. There's many people who wish to speak. The HSUS has worked with companies from Gucci, Michael Kors, Chanel, TJ Maxx, and Burlington

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Coat Factory in order to find a more humane and profitable way for them to continue to sell products. When these companies stop and think about it and make such a significant policies change, it's based on the fact that they've come to the conclusion there is not any way to humanely source fur. I mean, just speaking honestly and plainly, I had to pinch myself earlier when we're having a discussion that anal electrocution is acceptable or maybe considered humane because a scientist somewhere says that. You know, culturally and historically, we have put our faith in scientists who say such things in the past and, at times, it is not turned out so well. With that said, consumers, industry leaders, leading animal protection organizations, and constituents applaud the introduction of 1476 A and respectfully ask that this measure passed committee. Thank you for your consideration and for your service to the people in New York City. SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Be quiet, please. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. BRIAN SHAPIRO: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Reverend Doctor Johnny Green, Robert Cahill, Jack

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Up next we have

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
190
Cohen, Kristen Kern, and Norman Ambrose. Doctor
Johnny Green, Robert Cahill, Jack Cohen, Kristen

4 Kern, and Norman Ambrose. You may begin.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KRISTEN KERN: Good afternoon. My name is Kristen Kern and on behalf of the American Apparel and Footwear Association, I think you for the opportunity to testify on Into 1476 A. AAFA is the national trade association representing apparel, footwear, travel goods, and other sewn products companies and their suppliers which compete in the global market. We were present over 100 companies with corporate offices or headquarters in New York State which would be impacted by the sale on animal skin or fur products. This legislation would greatly impair our company's ability to deliver products that consumers want. The choice of materials used in apparel and footwear products is important and consumers make educated decisions about the types of products that they purchase. When a consumer demand exists, the product is there to supply the demand. And when demands change, companies adapt. Regulating material choices for companies artificially restricts trade and will drive business out of the city and to surrounding areas. Because the demand for fur will

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING still exist, it's likely that most consumers will continue to buy fur whether it is sold in New York City or elsewhere. Moreover, this legislation will cause a loss of jobs and closure of small business manufacturers in the city. Dictating elements of style and design may also negatively impact fashion tradeshows which frequently travel to New York City and threatens New York City's status as an international fashion capital. This also risks and negative impact on tourism and shopping, a major source of revenue for New York City businesses. Additionally, AAFA advocates for the harmonization of regulations at the city, state, and federal levels. Numerous and conflicting regulations from one locality to the next increases the cost of doing business, especially for companies who sell in many different product categories, many of our companies Of course, increasing the cost of businesses by requiring companies to track changing regulations, update their compliance policies, and develop new products increases the cost of products for consumers. We recommend that the Council reconsider this legislation which will limit consumer choice,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
197
kill jobs, and hurt New York City's economy. Thank
you for your time and consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NORMAN AMBROSE: Good afternoon. afternoon. My name is Norman Ambrose and I am a designer and small business owner here in our great city of New York. Working in fashion has been a lifelong pursuit, one that has taken me on an incredible journey of amazing hives and extreme lows. As we can all relate, life can be tough, full of hard times, and when I fell on at times, with the help of the local furrier, family business owner, and manufacturer that embraced me and my talents supporting my label and paying me a working wage. are in an industry of inclusion and unity. One made up of many races, nationalities, religions, and orientations. We are New Yorkers. Today, I am the thousands of New Yorkers who will be affected by this bill are united to stand up for our livelihood, the environment, our freedom of choice, and for social justice. Fashion, as an industry, is the second most polluting industry in the world. Every year, only one percent of new clothing made is of recycled materials and 74 percent of new clothing either ends up in landfills or is burned. The United Nations

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING called upon the general public in a tweet this past February that all of us as a global community need to make better choices when it comes to the clothing we purchase by choosing natural materials. My brand believes in sustainability and reducing the amount of man-made fibers that pollute our environment. Dioxins, vinyl chloride, dichlorides, ethylene, led. These are all components of faux fur. The majority of faux fur. And I remind you, they do not biodegrade. Sustainability and the environment are at the forefront of mainstream industry. A major conscious shift is taking place within our society to evaluate the impact on the environment. Our very own Mayor DiBlasio has been working on the Green New Deal calling for the reduction of omission as I quote from past Monday, the Green New Deal is here to stay. It's bold, audacious, necessary. He is talking about 100 percent renewable energy, assuming things can be different. On this relating to reducing pollution in our environment. You call out Trump and what he's doing to the climate and yet here we are fighting for the right to use a natural material where your proposed alternative is a polluting plastic. How is that helping our environment? If anything, it's a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
197
complete contradiction. To give time to fellow
members, I will stop there, but thank you for your
time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT CAHILL: Good day, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the time to speak today. My name is Robert Cahill. I'm a Senior Vice President of North American Fur Auctions. Our company traces its roots back to 1670, 350 years and the establishment of the Hudson Bay Fur Trading Company. We currently have operations in the United States, Canada, and Europe. And our company has advocated for and has supported financially over decades the best practices in terms of humane trapping standards, which you will hear from wildlife experts who are here today to speak, and also the development of -- the ongoing development of for farming practices. When I would like to say is the trapping is undertaken in virtually every country in the world for many reasons, including scientific research, relocation. These traps are used to capture animals and relocate Disease control, problem wildlife and ecosystem management. Essentially, wildfire is the byproduct of wildlife management and contributes hundreds of millions of dollars into the rural

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING economies of the United States and Canada through commercial trapping. And this includes licensed trappers and tens of thousands of indigenous trappers. In fact, Mr. Chairman, it was our company that set those quotas that the Speaker talked about in terms of conservation in the early 1800s. It was our company that actually set those up recognizing populations were reducing. We collect fur from the trappers in the farmers where it is sorted by type, color, size, quality and sold to the world buyers who use the fur for any reason. To our auction house, 100 percent of our furs can be traced back to the person who produces those furs. So, that is one of the things we are working through. Is taking that traceability system all the way through from that producer to the retailer and to a consumer. And, in fact, we have some test cases where we are doing that with certain customers right now. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife agency plays a significant role in the oversight of this, as well as other agencies. Then I would say that the quality of the fur has a direct relationship to the health of that animal that is either raised or produced. In North American states and provinces are world leaders in the development of

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
humane trapping standards and implementing and
enforcing wildlife management systems that ensure
that the harvest is sustainable. Our company has
been here for 350 years and wildlife management will
continue in every state in the United States
regardless of where there are fur trade. If furs
never used again in a fur coat, the wildlife
management will continue. We have multiple examples
of states and countries that don't use the fur that
are continuing to manage the wildlife at taxpayers'
dollars. So what's happening is, and you can hear
that what we've heard is that it's going to end and
we should save this. The reality is there countries
all around the world-- I heard last week the United
Kingdom, 400,000 red fox in the United Kingdom are
harvested every year. Not one is used. This is
management. So, it's a case of either it's going to
be used--
           CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                 [interposing]
                                                 Ι'm
going to have to ask you to wrap up.
                            Yep. But if you--
           ROBERT CAHILL:
                                 But if you have--
           CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
           ROBERT CAHILL:
                            It's going to be used by
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

expert people--

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: If you have

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 testimony, and we can submit it for the record.

ROBERT CAHILL: Yeah. I will. And to produce a product or taxpayers are going to be paying for that and that's the reality that you really need to look into before you make this decision. Thank you.

DR. JOHNNIE GREEN: Good afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to testify before the committee regarding my position to the proposed ban on the sale of for apparel, Intro 1476. My name is Dr. Johnnie Green and I'm the Senior Pastor of Mount Neboh Baptist Church in Harlem. I'm also the President of Mobilizing Preachers and Communities, known as MPAC, which is a nonprofit, civil rights, and faith-based organization with membership of over 300 churches throughout the New York and Tri State I co-founded MPAC because I felt that the church needed to take a more active role in the life of the community to ensure justice and equality for all people. It is these concerns that bring me here today. I find it troubling that activists and leaders such as yourselves would try to take away our right here in New York City to choose what we can and COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING cannot buy. All citizens here in our diverse urban city are largely populated by minorities are being stripped of their right to purchase what they choose. People have a right to choose not to wear for, but for opponents to not have the right to determine how we reward our hard work. Americans believe in freedom, choice, and prosperity. We believe that with hard work and opportunity, you will be rewarded. For our community that has struggled for centuries to achieve equality and freedom in this country, to being out told what we can and cannot purchase is an insult. Everyone is entitled to their own personal beliefs whether it be cultural, religious, or custom. But opponents to refer are not entitled to encroach on the rights of others who disagree. Opponents of furred do not understand the importance in the black community. They do not understand that we have a long history of wearing furs passed down through our families for generations. They do not understand the role of fur as a symbol of achievement in society for a disadvantaged group. Our ability to wear for historically has been assigned that we find only be, part of New York society, something we were prevented from being a part of for hundreds of years. A ban on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING for is a ban on black culture. For us, this is a pattern throughout history of Blacks being told what rights they can and cannot have by the white majority. We cannot and will not stand for this injustice and this discrimination. A firm band would not only negatively impact black community, it would have devastating economic impacts for the historic for industry in the city's entire fashion economy. This will destroy one of the last small business-run industry in our city. Shouldn't we be protecting these works who rely on the industry to make money to feed their family? Shouldn't we be preserving small businesses instead of destroying them? I will wrap it up. New York City will lose 7500 jobs and 150 businesses will be forced to shut down with the ban of the sale of fur. These are small family businesses that are being forced to shatter their storefronts that have been around for generations without fair say. A firm band would be another way to rapidly gentrify taking jobs away from first and second generation Americans whose parents and grandparents came to this country and worked hard to provide for their families.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                       Thank you,
 3
               I have to wrap up. Thank you.
    Reverend.
 4
                [Applause]
 5
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Folks, no round--
 6
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                      Again--
 7
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: of applause. You've
 8
    been warned multiple times.
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right.
 9
                                                   Thank
10
    you. Thank you all for your testimony.
                [Background comments]
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: John Bartep.
13
                LEGAL COUNSEL:
                                  Bartlett.
14
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Bartlett. Andrew
15
    Kaplan, Gia Poli, Ashley Burn. One more. Desmond
16
    Catagan.
17
                JOHN BARTLETT:
                                  To the esteemed city
18
    Council members, my name is John Bartlett and I live
    in Chelsea and Council member Corey Johnson's
19
20
    district and I urged him to support this bill.
    here to speak for those who have no voice, the
21
2.2
    animals. I'm a fashion designer here in New York
23
    City, a member of the prestigious CFDA, Council of
    Fashion Designers of America, and have had my own
24
```

clothing labels since 1992. In the winter of two

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING awards from the CFDA, best newcomer and menswear designer of the year, and was also the recipient of the designer of the year from the American Apparel and Footwear Association. My work has been shown on runways across the globe and I have sold my collections and higher-end department stores like Bergdorf Goodman, Barney's, and Saks Fifth Avenue. For my fall 2000 collection, I reluctantly used for due to the ongoing pressure from retailers and magazine editors who wanted a more, quote unquote, luxurious product. After many years of being out of fashion, the industry was seeing a resurgence in for and I decided to jump on the bandwagon and use it. didn't feel comfortable, to be honest, and immediately after I showed my collection in fashion week, I received a video from fellow designer, Stella McCartney, revealing the underbelly and violent reality of the fur industry. I have never used first since then and have realized how heartless, inhumane, and archaic the fur industry is. While smaller animals are gas to kill them only further for, larger animals like foxes are anally and vaginally electrocuted and this is something the public does not realize. Steel traps are used for coyotes in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING wild, another cruel practice. And many customers don't even realize that they are buying a fur trimmed or for garment. It is time for New York to embrace a more humane economy, one that reflects the values of the majority of its inhabitants. Our culture and society are evolving in so many ways and banning the sale of fur, and outdated and inherently violent and cruel product, would reinforce New York's stature as the fashion capital of the world and create new opportunities for the fashion industry to evolve along with its customer. There is talk that using furs more environmentally efficient and green then faux fur, but there is no relevance in this either or argument. For one, raising the animal for its furs not environmentally sound and causes a good degree of waste and suffering and many of the new photo furs being developed are environmentally friendly as the demand for them grows. Irregardless, the issue is not real for versus faux, and anyone using that as an argument to justify suffering is grasping at straws. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You may-- You may continue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANDREW KAPLAN: You ready? Hi. My name is Andrew Kaplan. I'm a veterinarian in Manhattan district 6. Gandhi says the greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated. As a vet I can tell you I have first-hand experience with how animals can suffer. can tell you about the mental breakdown that occurs when animals are crowded in unnatural confined spaces for prolonged periods of time. I can tell you about how traumatic and cruel it is to die by electrocution, drowning, gassing, and direct trauma. I can tell you about the unfathomable act of scanning another living being alive. I own a veterinary hospital in the upper west side. Having had enough of seeing my clients bringing their dogs into my hospital wearing fur coats and confronting them about it, I finally placed graphic signs in the window of my office showing, alongside the code that they would soon be turned into, animals with their legs crushed in steel whole leg whole traps awaiting a bludgeoning death by their captors. This, in order to educate the community in hopes of preventing them from buying these coats. Having seen one of my signs, one of my clients, who might be here today, her name is Andy

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Golden, owner of Golden Styles, a fur company in Manhattan, felt compelled to reveal who she was. Incredulous, I asked her what she felt was the difference between her dogs that she loves and the furbearing animals that her company tortures in her business. To that, she had no answer. I then asked her how she would feel if dogs became the object of the fur industry in the United States and if her dogs were taken from her to be killed. Again, she had no I last asked her if she had ever visited the answer. fur farms of her business and experience the torture for herself. She says, I could not do that. I asked why and her answer, because it would be too disturbing. I suspect any human being with feelings, i.e. all of us, given an opportunity to witness in person what we would do today's animals on fur farms and in order to capture them in the wild would find it at least disturbing and, at worst, downright disgraceful, shameful, unspeakable, contemptible, and-- at worst. And if you don't, you would certainly be in the minority. The majority find this practice reprehensible and therefore, it must end. We must allow our conscious to guide us. listened to the prior testimony and I want to make

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing some emphasis on the fact that these animals are being tortured and killed for fashion only. This is not necessary and just because we can doesn't mean we should. And finally, animals are not trapped for conservation. They are trapped for money. The trappers don't wake up every morning saying, I'm going to go work on conservation. They do it because they want to make money.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

ASHLEY BURN: Good afternoon. I'm Ashley burn and I am an associate director with PETA and a longtime resident of New York City. Over the past decade through my work with PETA, I've had a front row seat as consumers have rejected fur as designers and retailers have responded to the demand for clothing that is at the: eco-friendly. I've also been on the front lines of the grassroots movement to educate the public about fur here in New York City and I can tell you first hand that so many people now who are purchasing for are doing so without knowing that it is real. I can't tell you how many people, when approached on the street and told that their fur collar or their, you know, for keychain is real will break down crying, they will be disgusted. I've seen

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING people take off their trims, throw them away. People are so upset. So many people just assume that something like that which is not even be real fur. So, that's one reason why we see this as a consumer protection issue. We know that most consumers do not want real fur. Designers and business owners to continue to use abused animals and their collection are a dying breed and the future of the fur industry lies in innovations like faux fur made from mota (sic) acrylics or hemp that don't harm the planet or the animals who live on it. In many designers, retailers, and brands formally in the business of selling for have found that they can do quite well without it. For example, this past winter, a designer named Kim Cantor, who was the former creative director of Jay Mendel, launched a line of sustainable faux fur outerwear called House of Fluff. She used the skills that she had initially developed in the notorious fur atillier (sic) to create her collection, citing a desire to produce fashionable, luxurious products without the untenable cruelty to animals and extreme environmental damage that she could no longer ignore in the fur industry. collection debuted at Saks Fifth Avenue and, in just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 a handful of months, it is received accolades and attention across fashion press and business press, 3 all saying the same thing. That an ethical approach 4 to fashion is good to business. There is no reason 5 why others cannot and should not follow suit. With 6 7 so many options that are warm, beautiful, ecofriendly and cruelty free, there is no excuse to 8 clean the something is outmoded and cruel as real 9 fur. Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Hit the 11 12 red button on the microphone. And remember to say your name before you give your testimony. 13 14 GIA POLI: Hi, everyone. Good 15 afternoon. My name is Gia and I am eight years old. 16 I am here today because I am in support of Intro 17 1476. I think that it is cruel to keep animals in 18 filthy cages, electrocute them, and sometimes even skin them alive all because they were born with a fur 19 20 coat. [Background comments] 21 2.2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Keep it down, please.

GIA POLI:

many people here today that want to ban the sale of

fur because animals are in pain, they are dying,

As you can see, there are

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 100 scared, and being killed. That's why we want you to support the animals, join us, and to make a difference. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

DESMOND CATAGAN: Hello, honorable Council members. My name is Desmond Catagan from Harlem. have been a PETA volunteer since the 90's and I have participated in many anti-fur protests here in New York. As a gay black man, I am also a long-time advocate for both gay and civil rights. surprised when I heard that a preacher planned to protest this bill because some black people like to wear fur coats to church. This bill doesn't preclude anyone from wearing fur. Also, I follow Black Live Matter and the NAACP and I have never see the right to buy new fur coats in any civil rights agenda. saw the flyer that Reverend Green posted urging his followers to get on a bus to city hall for what he called a free field trip, a free lunch, and a chance to win a 250 dollar American Express gift card with no mention whatsoever of the fur issue. As someone who volunteers for causes free of charge, I came to city hall that day to meet this group and ask questions. I have the utmost respect for views other than my own and look forward to having an exchange of

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING ideas, but the group was stage managed and neither I nor a reporter were allowed to talk to anyone other than the appointed spokesperson. When the group got off the busses, they were handed homemade protest signs by white pro-fur lobbyists. Whether money changed hands to stage this stunt, God only knows. There are so many serious civil rights issues that it's demeaning for an African American community to be used as smoke screen for the predominantly white fur trade. The fur trade attempted to inject the race issue last month at a hearing for the bill to ban furs-- ban fur sales in California. Christ Holden, an African American assembly member from Pasadena said that he found such attempts insulting. In pledging his support for the bill, to suggest that there's a cultural connection to this issue trivializes the point and it focuses on a divisive issue that is not accepted by me and it doesn't sell with any African Americans I know. I just want to say-- Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it. Thank you all for testifying. I'm going to call up the next panel and I'm going to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 strict on the clock for many reasons, but mostly because we have 150 people who are left to testify. 3 4 [Background comments] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: 150 people at two 5 minutes is about five hours. It's--6 7 [Background comments] 8 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: It's going to be about 5 o'clock in a few minutes, so just keep that 9 10 in mind. I am not trying to be rude. I'm just trying to be respectful of everyone's time. Up next 11 12 we have Honorable Thomas Cohen, Stephen Conit, Nadeem 13 Walt-- Walter or Waltell. Lenny Khan. Lou Russi. 14 [Background comments] 15 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Those who have 16 testimony can actually submit their testimony for the 17 record and it will be treated as if you spoke on the 18 panel, for those who have to leave. You may begin. Hello, Mr. Chairman. 19 THOMAS COHEN: 20 I'm sorry that the other members of the committee are 21 not here. It would've been nice to address them, as 2.2 My name is Thomas Cohen. I am a four term 23 elected trustee and police Commissioner for Long Island. I am also the president of the Cheston (sp?) 24

Foundation of the Fur Industry of New York, an 80-

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING year-old 501(c)(3) charitable organization that has been providing financial support to elderly and infirm for workers. I am a first generation American and a third-generation for a year. I have been employed in the fur industry in the city of New York for 36 years and I can assure you that I am totally familiar with the sale in the use of fur and related products and I also understand the passion behind this proposal, but I also know that it is a mistake. This proposal is an overreach on the part of government. The marketplace should determine what industries survive and which industries die. Dinosaurs were not legislated out of existence. Crime hasn't disappeared in New York because of restricted handgun laws being enacted. As officials, you should know that you cannot legislate conduct or impose your personal beliefs upon the residents of the city of New York. This proposal is about one thing and one thing only and that is intolerance. It's intolerance on the behalf of the group of individuals who are opposed to the livelihood in the industry and then I probably support. I am old enough to remember when paper bags were blamed for the destruction of millions of trees and politicians

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING determined that plastic bags were of the solution. ban on the sale of fur garments and related accessories will not achieve any of your intended goals. Determined fur buyers will take the Long Island Railroad to Nassau County, Metro North to Westchester, New Jersey transit to New Jersey. actions only force the closure of small companies in the loss of jobs and, simultaneously, decreased tax revenues in the city that it so badly needs. you to look at the portrait of George Washington that's over there and on the ceiling above everyone's had there is a comment. It's a quote and it says our commercial policy should hold an equal and impassioned hand. George Washington. This bill is not compassionate. Thank you, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

STEVE COWIT: My name is Steve Cowit. I am the co-owner of Henry Cowit Incorporated and Madison Avenue Furs. Our address is 118 West 27th Street in District 3. My brother and third generation furriers. I have been in the firm business for 42 years. Our business dates back over 80 use. Our business employs five full-time workers besides my brother and myself. If you pass this for ban, these workers will lose their jobs and we will have to shut

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING our doors. All of us are over 50 to 60 years old and a tough age to be looking for new types of jobs as we have been involved in the fur industry for the majority of our lives. The band is almost criminal in nature as the speaker the Council and his backers will be closing thriving multi-generation family businesses. They will be putting thousands of workers on the unemployment line. They will use millions of dollars of tax revenue for the city. They will add many more empty storefronts to the already saturated city. This band will take away constituents freedom of choice. Over the last few, we've heard from hundreds of our customers asking the very question: how dare they take away our choice of fur. How dare they take away our choice of freedom. They are also asking the question: what comes next? Leather, goose down, silk, wool. Peter's got wool campaigns against wool. Where will it end? Speaker Johnson refused to speak to our industry, nor has he visited our stores and businesses. He was invited. He, however, has spoken to a representative of PETA. Their agenda and goal is the total elimination of all animal use. They are against animals used for medical research that find cures for life-threatening

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

diseases. They are also a tax exempt organization

who wants to put my tax paying business out of

business. We ask the Council and especially this

committee. Do your research and not base your

decision on strictly emotion. We all believe in

humane treatment of animals, but how about human

treatment of humans?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, sir.

NADIN WAHEED: Hi. My name is Nadin

NADIN WAHEED: Hi. My name is Nadin
Waheed and I'm the owner of Daniel's Leather. I had
a written speech, but I ripped it apart 20 minutes
ago after hearing so many lies from the other side.
Just to give one example, one lady over here said
that fox pelt costs 10 dollars. If it would cost 10
dollars, I'd be a millionaire right now. It costs
about 125 dollars. So there were a lot of things
that were being said that are not true at all. I
thought that I was listening to Donald Trump.

[Laughter]

2.2

There--

NADIN WAHEED: There are about 7000 jobs and I'm surprised that the one theme that is constant that I see: they talk about animals. I am an animal lover. If you go on my hands to Graham

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING paints, the 20 pictures of my dog. What about the humans? Not one of these people mention anything about the people that will be suffering from this. Families-- so if it 7000 jobs and each one with a family of four or five, imagine how many people we are talking about. Another lie I heard was that these people can be trained to do something else. That is not true. I am--To give you an example, there is a Russian couple that I worked with, 70 year old. All their lives they have made me cats. is the only thing they know. They've made a dignified living and now they are going to be told to do something else. That makes no sense. The third thing is that I think that it is a slippery slope. Today they are starting with this thing. I have dealt with these people. They are protested in front of my store. To them, even a service dog for the blind they think it's animal cruelty. So where do they draw the line? So you should ask them. Nobody asked them this one question. How do you feel about lamb or cow or add or chicken? Then you will have seen where they are really coming from. Thank you. LUIS RESSI: Hello. Hey, my name is Luis

Ressi and worked with Mr. Waheed here for 20 years on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Orchard Street and I am pro-abortion just like a lot of everyone in here is, as well. Just time to get that out. Yeah. The-- And to be honest, save the company I work for, I just don't see the representation of the consumer because were talking a lot about ethics and morals, but this is a consumer affairs committee. I don't see the representation like, as I said. If you were to look up a small company, we have been around since 95. We have about 160,000 followers on social media. On Minster 95 percent of those followers are New Graham. Yorkers. That is your constituency. Those are the people who are buying from us. Who are following us. Those are the people who vote you into your seat and I think any vote for this fur ban is a vote against your consistency. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LEONARD KHAN: My name is Leonard Kahn.

I'm the last of 14 related Khans who are in the firm business. I am 91 and I joined my father's business after graduating from Penn State in 1947 and, except for Army service during the Korean War years of 51 to 53, I stayed in the same industry. During these years, I came to appreciate the difficult hands-on technical work that went into the making and

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    marketing of our product. All involved, employee and
     employer were like family. We depended on each
 3
     other. I was able to put two sons through medical
 4
    school and my daughter through college, after which
 5
 6
    she became a teacher. I appreciate my clients, many
 7
    third-generation who are so loyal and enjoy the
    warmth and beauty of fur garments. God gave humans
 8
    the right to choose one is right for them.
 9
10
    bill, if passed, will take away that right and, in so
     doing, will deprive many hard-working people of the
11
12
     ability to make a better life for their families.
13
    And that is inhuman.
14
                LUIS RESSI: That's right.
15
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
                                                   Thank
16
    you all.
17
                STEVE COWIT: Do we give this to you?
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah.
18
                                              Shit.
                                                     All
             Just, again, for the record, if anybody has
19
    right.
20
    any testimony they want to submit, they can leave it
    at the front desk here with the Sgt. at arms and will
21
2.2
    be treated as if you testified up on the desk.
23
    you.
24
                LEGAL COUNSEL: So we're picking from
```

25

my--

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yes. Next we have 3 Michelle Cuberro, Angelina Poli, LeAnne Hilgart, and Sharon Descorfano. 4 Let's see if they come. 5 LEGAL COUNSEL: 6 [Background comments] 7 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet down, please, 8 everybody. Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You may 10 begin. [Background comments] 11 12 ANGELINA POLI: Good afternoon. My name 13 is Angelina and I am alive in the years old. from Staten Island. I am here because I would like 14 15 to grow up in a world where we don't torture 16 beautiful, sentient being stressed to wear for. 17 Wearing animal skin is cruel, outdated, and involves 18 pain and suffering you wouldn't wish on your worst They animals to not deserve this kind of 19 20 treatment. Whether they are shot in the head, anally electrocuted, trapped in wire cages, or skinned 21 2.2 alive, it is extremely unnecessary and wrong. Brands 23 such as Gucci, Michael cores, and coach has gone for free along with many more and if they can, so can 24

you.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

[Applause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LEANNE HILGART: Hi. My name is Leanne Mailey Hilgart. I live in District 34. I just want to say thank you to our Council member, Antonio Reynoso for cosponsoring this spell to ban the sale of fur in New York City. This is a very special day to me. When I was six years old, a girl down the street got a rabbit fur coat for Christmas. I didn't know anything about a nonelectric use and, for farms, or that it took 40 lives to make that coat, but I was friends with the rabbits in my neighborhood and I knew that many like them had lost their lives for this coat. It was hard for me to understand how and, at 10, I used my social studies fair report to research for farming. What I found was so awful that I knew I needed to dedicate my life to saving animals from industrial cruelty. So 10 years ago I started Vote, a pioneer vegan fashion brand to make winter coats warm enough for Chicago winter without the use of any animals, also sewn in New York City. I filled our Co. with high tech textiles like primaloft (sp?) eco that keep astronauts and Arctic explorers warm so that there is no reason for innocent animals to lose their lives for fashion. There have been so many

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING amazing cruelty free winter coat companies that have followed since then. Was still many people have no idea how a fur coat is made or how many lives are lost so tragically, as people have become educated, the demand for ethical fashion has begun to grow intensely. 50 percent of Americans prefer responsible brands and two thirds of millennials do and it is growing. Plus, a recent study shows that 9.3 million vegan fashion hits, social impressions were made over 12 month period. I understand there is concern for the workers who currently make for as a living. Fortunately, as fur making declines, this new type of luxury fashion, ethical fashion, prioritizes local and living wage production. ethical fashion doesn't just include making things cruelty free or good for the environment, but often prioritizes making apparel locally. Supporting the city's garment district and artisans with living wage jobs, as my label has for several years. When I was 18, I participated in my first fur-free Friday and it is so exciting to be here today. The world is ready for the new era in fashion where we are creating good through our industrial system. Using business to make the world a better place, not murdering 40

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing 211 animals per coat. As a leader in the world, it's time for New York City to ban the sale of fur and begin the end of this barbaric, outdated industry to usher in a new era of ethical standards and fashion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SHARON DESCARFANO: Good afternoon. Μy name is Sharon Descarfano. I am a New York City resident, member of the New York State bar and a member of the New York City Bar Association's Committee on Animal Law. I am here testifying in a personal capacity in support of Intro 1476 prohibiting the sale of further apparel. As an animal protection attorney and advocate, I am numerous times shared my story of a naïve 16-year-old Sharon on a school trip to the Soviet Union when I purchased a fur hat as a souvenir. I share this story to underscore how each of us can and must change our consumer behavior as our own understanding of all's. I no longer purchase or wear for because I now know what I didn't know then. How millions of animals are bred to die on for farms every year, as we've heard today how they are confined to tiny wire cages for their entire lives, how undercover investigations have documented her effort cruelty, including animals being skinned alive. With that

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING individual knowledge comes the responsibility to make more humane choices. And when that individual knowledge becomes common knowledge, our laws need to reflect and enforce the collective belief, cornerstone of any civilized society, cruelty simply is wrong. Opposition today has been focusing on the impact this band will have on businesses. appreciate that, as the hub of the fashion industry, New York will be especially cautious about a band similar to ones approved in Los Angeles and San Francisco. However, leading design houses, as we have heard today, including designers such as Gucci, Versace, Burberry, Michael Kors, and coach already have renounced the use of fur. Publications such as in style and London fashion week have banished for from their pages. As always, continued success for businesses will depend largely on their ability to adapt to the changing needs of customers rather than clinging to outdated trends. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. SHARON DESCARFANO: I want to point out, just also given just a little extra time, as a

member of the New York State bar, the exemptions

included in this proposed legislation to make a fair

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    and balanced approach to addressing animal welfare
     concerns while being respectful of religious customs
 3
    and practical in terms of already existing for
 4
 5
     apparel and, furthermore, the penalties for the
 6
    violations are necessarily proportionate to the
 7
    considerable praise tag of for apparel. Dollar
     amounts need to be high enough to deter those--
 8
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
 9
                                      [interposing]
10
     Thank you.
                SHARON DESCARFANO:
                                       who might otherwise
11
12
    deem the risk of penalty.
13
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You can submit it.
14
    We will definitely take a look at it.
15
                SHARON DESCARFANO:
                                       Okay.
16
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                      Thank you so much.
17
                SHARON DESCARFANO:
                                      Thank you so much.
18
                [Background comments]
                MICHELLE CUBRERRO:
                                      Hello.
19
                                               I'm
20
    Michelle Cubrerro. I'm from Queens. Middle Village.
    And I am deaf and there is no-- I have some
21
2.2
    questions for everybody. I'm just wondering if I had
23
    my own business, I'm wondering how you would feel if
     I sold your skin? What if I ripped your skin from
24
25
    your body? What if you were left for dead in a trap?
```

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    How would you feel if I stole your babies and sold
           How would-- How would you feel if your hair
 3
    was ripped out of your head? How would you feel if
 4
    you had to chew off your own hand for survival to
 5
    escape that trap? That is the reality of the fox and
 6
 7
    the chinchilla and the mink. And if you support the
    fur industry, that means the blood is on your hands.
 8
    And just imagine being trapped for a long time in a
 9
     small teeny tiny cage. Your body is aching. You
10
    can't move. Can you imagine this? So that's all I
11
12
    really wanted to share. Thank you for listening to
13
    me.
14
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
15
    you. Thank you all.
16
                LEGAL COUNSEL:
                                 There is some on here.
17
    So--
18
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I want to call up
19
    the next panel.
                     Yeah.
20
                LEGAL COUNSEL:
                                 There is some included
    in this.
21
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Fredrick Gelb,
23
    Michael O'Brien, Jacob Robridge, Alexandros Palitas.
    Fredrick Gelb, Michael O'Brien, Jacob Robridge,
24
```

Alexandros Palitas.

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: If the name was called,
 3
    please come up.
 4
                [Background comments]
 5
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Yep. How many did you
 6
    need?
 7
                As many as you can afford to give me.
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: 10?
 8
                Yes.
 9
10
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Norma McDonald,
     Nicholas Secas, Vincent Serechi, Ariel Colis.
11
12
                [Background comments]
13
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You may begin.
14
                Is that fun? Okay. So, God afternoon.
15
    My name is Alex--
16
                Oops.
17
                ALEXANDROS PALATITAS: My name is
18
    Alexandros Palatitas and I'm a 17-year-old high
     school senior and an incoming freshman as an honor
19
20
     student at Baruch College right here in New York
     City. Now, the proposed firm band has played a huge
21
2.2
     role in my college decision. Although I am beyond
23
    grateful to have received the full scholarship
24
     through Baruch, I was forced to leave many more
```

enticing opportunities on the table due to the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING financial restriction it would apply on my family if this for band would have passed. Now the reason I share that story today is because, between all this debate of whether for should be used in fashion or not, I feel a very important group of people seem to be forgotten in this equation. Those people are the sons and daughters of those that would be affected if it is the van of fur were to occur. In other words, we have truly failed to ponder how we are affecting the next generation of hard-working Americans. For example, I aspire to become a lawyer and my brother recently became a certified teacher for the Department of Education. Both of us were able to pursue our dreams and conquer lifelong goals thanks to our parent's hard work, dedication, and success in the fur industry. So as I stand before you today, and not only fight for the hard-working men and women in the fur industry, I fight for those who are merely too young to fight for themselves. I fight for the dreams of every son and daughter that simply has no control what their parents do to make ends meet. Today, as I stand up here, I fight for future doctors, our future lawyers, our future teachers, and our future engineers. Now, for those who are looking

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING at me and don't get the full picture, I would like to ask a simple favor. I'd like every mother and father to go home today and asked the kids with their dreams are and take special notes of how their faces will light up talking about that dream. Now tell them their dreams are nearly unattainable thanks to you not being able to provide for them and, once again, their facial expressions will tell you the full story. But this time their faces will be filled with fright and English. That's a conversation no parent and no child should ever have to experience, especially in the greatest city of New York City. in the shortest of terms, the proposed for band is robbing our youth of opportunities and crashing their dreams in the process. Now that's what I call inhumane.

[Applause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NORMA MCDONALD: Good afternoon. My name is Norma McDonald. I'm the North American Sales

Manager for OWS since 2009. OWS is a globally accredited totally independent laboratory with 31 years of experience testing more than 10,000 samples for determination of physical and biological degradation in a wide variety of environments

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING including marine waters. In May 2018, OWS concluded 30 days of degradation testing of four natural furs and one faux fur in accordance with test methods ISO 985 and ASTM D 5511. These methods have been proven to simulate and accelerate the anaerobic, meaning without oxygen, biodegradation process that occurs spontaneously in a landfill over decades. The test prescribes placing the samples into an inoculum coming from a commercial facility, treating solid ways that would otherwise go to a landfill. The test measures biodegradation on the basis of biogas that is produced by the microbial activity. These tests were performed in duplicate and included a blank and positive control and all requirements for a valid test were fulfilled. The biodegradation of each of the natural furs started immediately and then reached a plateau during the 30 days of testing showing The rate and extent of partial degradation. biodegradation under anaerobic conditions was similar to other natural materials. For instance, newspaper, we straw, and oak leaves. Many natural materials require fungi to completely biodegrade, which are not present in an anaerobic environment. Biodegradation of those so far never started. At the end of the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

test of biodegradation percentage of 0.3 was measured. The slight positive result is attributed to natural variations in the biogas production of the inoculum. Therefore, it is concluded that the sulfur is not biodegradable under these conditions. In addition, testing showed that the natural first samples readily disintegrated within 30 days. The skin fell apart and disappeared, but the hairs still remained since proteins and hair are more resistant to decay. Additional research is recommended to test biodegradability under anaerobic condition where oxygen and fungi are present to complete a comparison between natural and faux fur.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I apologize. Go ahead, sir.

ARIEL COLLIS: Council members, my name is Ariel Collis. I am an economist with Capital Trade Inc., an economic consulting firm based in Washington DC. I was commissioned by the International Fur Federations of the Americas to estimate the impact in New York City on the proposed ban of the sale of fur products in the city. The results of my research are summarized in a report, a summary of which has been sent to all councilmembers,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING but the report can be made available to any Council member that requests at. My research found that is the proposed city band were enacted, and the first year of the band, the city would lose up to 850 million dollars in revenues from businesses that sell for products and businesses that earn revenues from first sellers. The city would lose up to 76 million dollars in sales taxes on the user revenues. It's estimated that up to 7500 men and women would lose their jobs in the city in the first year of this ban. However, the ban would remain in effect, not for one year, but for the first seeable future. Over the first 10 years of this proposed ban, the city would lose up to 7 billion dollars. My estimation of losses come from first-hand interviews and surveys submitted by businesses that sell for throughout the city, as well as financial disclosure firms from firms that sell fur. My research shows that business is affected by the band include 150 retailers, wholesalers, manufacturers, and service providers who earn the majority of their revenues from fur. proposed city ban were enacted, and it's anticipated that nearly all of these fur businesses would move their operations from New York or close down their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING business entirely. This assumption is based directly on responses from survey participants. 97 percent of respondents representing 99.8 percent of the revenues among the respondents stated that they would close their store or move operations from New York City and the proposed city ban were enacted. These are largely family-owned and operated business is that would be closing down and relocating. Based on my research, most of these businesses were second or third generation family operated businesses that have done business in New York City for an average of 47 years. I'd like to thank the committee for the opportunity to testify today. More of my testimony is available for your review.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

ARIEL COLLIS: Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Could you please share how you conducted your analysis, all that information for us with your testimony? If you could include it for us so we could take a look at the tax revenue, the survey, all of that be helpful for us.

ARIEL COLLIS: Certainly. The report will be included and submitted to the Commi-- To the panel.

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Dear members of the New York City Council, my name is Nicholas Seekas and the proud owner of a small fur business here in New York City. I councilmembers Justin Brennan. It is here--It is with great sadness that I stand before you today. Sadness because I am starting to feel that my own city that I live and work in, the best city in the world, is becoming unrecognizable. When extreme and radical ideologies help influence policy and ultimately our culture and way of life. You see, it was exactly my age now, 55, that my immigrant father, the founder of his own fur manufacturing company was facing his own crisis. At the time, I was employed in the aerospace industry working as a systems engineer. As the eldest of three siblings who were still in high school and college, I quit to come back to the type of work I grew up doing part-time since the age of 13. I believe it was Speaker Corey Johnson who said that it was the right thing to do when asked why he introduced this bill. Well, is that the right thing for someone, anyone, to decide what a person should wear or eat? People have their own cultural beliefs, economic and personal reasons

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING to consume a product. There is no right or wrong. Just an opinion formed based, hopefully, on sound information. The fur industry is in the fashion capital of the world. Loving and respecting the animals is the cornerstone of our heritage, something that the activists like to distort. We understand that the only way to achieve the quality and pelts brought to market requires the highest of animal welfare standards. When to the mandate to create jobs get replaced with the one that destroys jobs? When did the belief that one could work hard to try to achieve the American dream get replaced with selective reasoning? When did the future of our business depend on political headwinds and not the marketplace and common sense? On the news last week, it was said that about one garbage truck worth of textiles is burned or placed in a landfill every Every second. For is natural, sustainable, second. biodegradable, environmentally friendly, and recyclable. We are part of the circular economy. wife who now works with me also came from the garment industry. Together, we strive to provide all that our customers wish for in products and services. Our retirements and livelihood completely relies on this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING business, as well as the people who work for us. would be devastating, as it would be nearly impossible to start completely over at this point in our lives. It is difficult enough to operate and maintain a small business here in New York City, but to now have this taken away with the stroke of a pen seems unconscionable. I would like to remind everyone that we enjoy living in a democratic and capitalist society that are awards us certain personal freedoms and lets markets determine as a business is viable enough to continue. governance role should be to adhere to these values and not take us down the wrong path of history. have a duty to all citizens of this great city and nation to uphold these truths and not fall victim to extreme views that do not help brothers and sisters. I ask you, I implore you to oppose this legislation to ban for sales. Please do the right thing. you for your time and God bless America.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

VINCENT CERISI: Good afternoon,

councilmembers. My name is Vincent Cerisi and I am

the General Council of the Echo Design Group. On

behalf of Echo Design, I think you for the

opportunity to testify in this hearing. Echo Design

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING is a family-owned and operated enterprise in operation since 1923. Today, Echo Design is one of the world's leading designers and manufactures of accessories and home products. We sell our product in both wholesale and retail capacities to department stores and specialty stores, as well as through consumers directly through catalogs and the internet. Echo Designs develops, produces, and distributes market-leading fashion accessories driven by print, pattern, color, and texture, including scarves, wraps, hats, gloves, home products, handbags, swimwear, engineer, beach, custom-designed products, and other fashion accessory items, utilizing the best fabrics and materials available. In addition to Echo branded product, we are long-standing licensee of a renowned American brand in the fashion accessories category and design and manufacture a product for many other prominent brands in the industry. employ over 120 individuals in New York and New Jersey. Fur, shearling, and calf hair leather are routinely utilized by Echo Design as key components of the articles that we produce and sell under the Echo and other well-known brands. A ban of these materials would significantly impact our business and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that of our customers. As such, we respectfully request that the Council carefully weigh the ramifications of this legislation and its far-reaching effects on the lives of business owners, employees, and consumers who will be detrimentally impacted if this bill becomes law and effectively robbed them of their freedom to make a choice in a country that was founded upon freedom. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I want to thank you all. I have a question from a gentleman who is here who spoke about his business so he runs with his family. I want to thank you for being here today. apologize for the folks that testified when I was out. I was in a meeting that I couldn't miss, but I planned on coming back to hear from people who run these for businesses. So thank you for being here today. I wanted to ask you the skills set that is used by your family, your workers to be able to manufacture garments and sell garments, is that not a skill set that could be used for other products that are not fur-based products that are synthetic materials or other materials that do not involve the fur of the animals that we have talked about today? Are the skills so particular and specific that it

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 227 could be only be used on for and not any other type of garment or material that could still allow for the manufacturing of items that would still have a market in the marketplace that you just spoke about?

2.2

NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Addressing--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes. I was addressing you, sir. Thank you.

NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Thank you. I believe the answer is that they are not transferable. Many of these, especially in the manufacturing part of making a garment, when you're actually working with fur itself, there are special machines.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Uh-hm.

methods from start to finish that take years to apprentice and learn and those are skills that really don't apply to anything else by using that specific machine to amend the pelts together to be able to match the pelts. And it takes years to understand and know how to do these things that these people who— The force, the labor force, is trained in working specifically in that— in their skill set to apply in very— I don't know exactly to what they can put that. It's not rolling outlining or

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing 220 anything. That's only a part of it. Right? That's the finishing part of the garment, if you're making a garment. Whether it's accessories or what have you. But the actual handling of the product is very unique.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, it sounds like we're not going to agree on one aspect, which is okay, which is -- to me, I do, of course you can tell from my opening statement and from the questions that I asked earlier in the earlier panels, to me this is a welfare issue, humane issue. An issue of wanting to ensure that animals are treated properly and not unnecessarily killed just for the purposes of wearing them for what many would consider to be a luxury product. And we may not agree on that. But what I'm trying to understand, is that ends up being the belief of the Council, not just of me, but of my colleagues, how do we do things to support familyowned legacy business is that is you, I think very articulately spoke about, part of the American dream, and wanting to be here and support a family and employ jobs. Societies and governments evolve all the time and things that we use to find we were okay with-- and I use an example or layer of we used to

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
think selling ivory was okay and then we decided that
wasn't appropriate. What are things that we could do
to support business says and small companies who we
want to still have manufacturing jobs and still have
retail businesses, what could we do to support the
industry, maybe not in the exact way that it exists
right now, but are there ideas that you have on what
we could do to continue to support businesses that
are trying to do this type of work, but would be
potentially open to all evolving and getting support
to do something not exactly the way it is now, but
something along those lines.
NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Again, directing that to
me?
SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes. To you, sir.
NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Thank you. So, maybe
I'm not the expert on the panel here to address that
entirely, but I think earlier one of the programs,
Fur Mark, I think addresses a lot of moves. If I
may
SPEAKER JOHNSON: Please.
NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Do you agree that we
should protect certain animals?

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes.

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
                NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Do you believe we might
 3
    have the right to own pets?
 4
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes. I own-- I have a
 5
    pet.
                NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Do you believe that we
 6
 7
    might use animals for consumption? To eat?
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes.
 8
                NICHOLAS SEEKAS: So it's--
 9
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: But I believe there are
10
11
    limits.
12
                NICHOLAS SEEKAS: I understand that.
                                                      I--
13
    I also--
14
                SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Not carte
15
    blanche. But yes. Go ahead. Sorry.
16
                NICHOLAS SEEKAS: And also believe that,
17
    maybe, there's also animals that we should also try
18
    to avoid, right? These are animals that I'm scared
    of. And so, the ability-- the distinction and may
19
20
    be discrimination, if I may say, to prohibit wearing
21
    of animal skins when it's also part of what I
2.2
    mentioned, the circular economy, because we are
23
    using-- we're using the entire animal. We are not
24
    letting it go, you know, to waste as the makeup
```

industry, eyelashes, compost, fertilizer, pet food--

These animals have to be treated right for our industry because the quality of the pelt is dependent

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

4 on it. So, yes. There's many things, absolutely.

5 Everything that I think was touched upon tonight,

6 today, from coming up with better methods--

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I hear what you're saying, but my-- I guess the difficult thing for me to bridge, and I had the conversation -- I know he's still here in the back with Mr. Oten-- is this would be a self-regulated system that is set up and I think given the -- again, we may not agree on the exact language you would use to describe it, but given the, what I considered to be very upsetting footage and evidence I have seen in the past-- I mean, some folks want to say it's all propaganda and it's all made up. I don't believe that it is all propaganda and that it is all made up. I believe there are bad actors that are doing bad things and if we allowed a self-regulated system, I am not sure we would get to the heart of the matter which is, how do you live in a more humane society? Now, I agree with you. not someone who believes, you know, were going to tell people they can't wear leather or eat meat or have certain animals that operate in their lives in

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING some way, then I think that there are limits. And to try to figure out what those limits are is really helpful, but in the-- what I heard from Mr. Oten earlier is a self-regulated system that has not really been tested anywhere else and where there is a disagreement just in the testimony that I had in the back and forth that I had with him on science and on what certain scientists believe is humane and other scientists believe is inhumane, it becomes a difficult thing to achieve. And that's why I was trying to asked the question in a few moments ago is are there other more ethical, what I would consider to be, more ethical fabrics and materials that evolved us away from fur farming in from the trapping of animals which, in my estimation and involves the level of cruelty to still support an important manufacturing industry, but at the same time says we are not going to needlessly, unnecessarily, kill animals just for the purposes of wearing them. may not agree on that exact topic, but I am trying to figure out are their programs, their incentives, or their government grants, their machines that could be used that we could continue to support the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 222 manufacturing sector, but not in the exact way that

NICHOLAS SEEKAS: So if I could briefly answer that, I think many-- there is a lot of valid questions there and there are probably some solutions. And I think that is probably the dialogue we should be having. I think a ban doesn't make sense. We all probably know that. We are probably the oldest, you know-- We've been clothing people since the beginning of mankind. Is that right now? Does it make sense for 2019? Maybe not, but we can have an intelligent conversation on went to we do to make sure that, is that this is still something that people still want in some way, they have a reason to want this whether it is culturally, for whatever reason, that those voices aren't just stamped out. just think you can't just go one to be-- one way or the other. It has to be some kind of compromise to figure out the best way where animal welfare, which we believe has to be the highest standard, that that has to be incorporated. And there are third parties -- Not your --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

it exists right now?

2 NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Not either side taking

3 part--

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SPEAKER JOHNSON: If there was a--Respectfully, I think it's good that we are having this conversation and back and forth. If there was a way to achieve that, which hasn't-- I haven't seen yet, that would be a, I think, good conversation I have. But part of the concern that I had is today, even on that earlier panel when I was having that back and forth and we ask questions about the size of the cages that minx were being held in, if they are an average of 24 inches long and they're being held in a cage that is 36 inches long, these are things that I very significant concerns over and I felt like there was-- there hasn't been full transparency from the folks that I was asking the questions too. Oh, I don't know the answer to that. I don't know the answer to that. If we are going to have a conversation about these things, I think we need to have all the facts on the table. If there are certain misleading videos, I don't want to see them. I want to know the facts.

NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Yes.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And I feel like we should operate factually on how things are in, to me, factually, if animals are being treated a certain way, I don't feel comfortable with that. At the exact same time, I don't want significant job losses, which is why I am asking, are there things we could do as a city and as a government to continue to support family businesses, legacy businesses overall, to still give something that is marketable and attractive to the public that moves towards a more humane society. And that is the balance that I am trying to strike, but I don't feel like I have been given a path to achieve that at this point.

NICHOLAS SEEKAS: I was trained as an analyst before I was doing— and to come into this and I think that what you are saying makes a lot of sense. I think that we all have to explore on both sides to get the real facts because on both sides, if I may, there is some misinformation or maybe it's not clear. It's cloudy. I think that is first and foremost and once the facts are laid out in everything, then you can put together a path to move forward. The prior to that, tinges, you know, cut something off without knowing that, you can't.

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Facts are really 3 important to me.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Yes.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And I really want to operate in that way. So if there is information that hasn't been shared with me, though I have been having conversations with furriers, and they have been trying to give me information. But, again, I felt like today's some of the earlier panels that I was side, I don't feel like there was a level of transparency or a level of self-reflection. I mean, said, are there any -- do you think there is any abuse going on? And the answer I got earlier was no. I mean, that is shockingly here. You can be semiself-reflective and say, you know what, there are some bad actors. They are ruining the name of our industry. We need to do a better job. We need to root them out. We want to-- I didn't hear that. And now is one of the major representatives speaking on behalf of the industry who is here today. So it feels hard to have an intelligent conversation about this when there isn't that recognition or selfreflection involved. And so, I'm happy to continue this conversation. I really appreciate you taking

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 the time out of your busy day and, of course, how emotional this is to be here for you today. And I 3 4 appreciate the panel for being today and sticking around. 5 6 NICHOLAS SEEKAS: Thank you. 7 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 8 [Background comments] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Jana Sezbarro, Dr. 9 Eileen Jefferson, Eleanor Molbilgot. And we have a 10 Brumis Gard, Echo Pal Faux Fur. 11 12 [Background comments] 13 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Jana Sezbarro, Dr. 14 Eileen Jefferson, Eleanor Molbilgot, Brumis Gard. 15 Nora Constance Marina, Paula Gavino, Katarina 16 Trabaso. Paula Gavino, Nora Constance Marino, 17 Katerina Trabaso. 18 [Background comments] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. You may 19 20 begin. NORA CONSTANCE MARINO: Good afternoon. My 21 2.2 name is Nora Constance Marino. I'm an attorney. I'm 23 a former First Lieutenant JAG officer in the United 24 States Army Reserves. I received an honorable discharge. I'm currently a commission on the Tax

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Limousine Commission, although I'm not here in that official capacity today. I am here in my capacity as a concerned citizen and also the President of an Organization called the Animal Cruelty Exposure Fund. I don't want to repeat any of the testimony that's been given. It's already very late in the day, so I just want to point out a few things-- a few points that are follow-ups of other people's testimony. First of all, I want to clarify something. attorney for the opposition to the bill stated that Lemon Vs. Kurtzman, which is a 1971 US Supreme Court Case hold that this type of law that this type of law would be in violation of the establishment clause. It wouldn't. My law practice specialized and concentrates in Constitutional law issues and civil rights violations. And that is simply not true. can't explain that in a minute and three seconds, but it's not. I understand that people are worried about losing jobs and losing businesses, but evolution sometimes just dictates that. And as the speakers side, there are times when inner reflection is necessary. Yes. We decided ivory isn't appropriate and there have been lawns put a place in the. ago, husband could legally be his wife.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING expression rule of thumb comes from the fact that a husband could beat his wife with a rod that did not exceed the width of his thumb. We decided that wasn't right. Laws evolve and change with society and if New York City is going to be the progressive city and that we want it to be, we have to engage in progress and realizing that animals are sentient beings, we are the dominant species on this planet. We have an obligation to other animals. And there is no choice here. We don't have to choose you have to care about humans or animals. Guess what, we can care about everybody. And I am in full support of this bill. It's a humane bill. It's a progressive bill. In New York City should be leading the way with these types of bills. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

KATERINA TRABASO: My name is Katerina

Trabaso, a professor at St. John's University.

First, thank you for introducing 1476 in the
opportunity to speak in support of this bill. I
recently learned that a few individuals are calling
this a racist bill. It is unfortunate that anyone
would use arrays to defend an industry that
represents apathy, cruelty, exploitation, oppression,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING slavery, and torture, all of which are not excluded to humans. I would remind these same individuals that for is used as a social status symbol of luxury and vanity mostly wore by white privileged women. Ιt is criminal for anyone to support an unimaginable cruelty and cruel industry, especially when we do not need to wear something with represents exploitation. Like humans, animals are not commodities. Another excuse presented was the loss of jobs. Like many other things, jobs evolve, jobs are not secure, nor should they be when it involves oppression. Remember that slavery was a business and legal and a personal choice. This is one of the reasons the biggest names in fashion are no longer using fur. To the furriers, this is not your demise. This is an opportunity to create more jobs with many new different alternatives. For is antiquated and wearing it in 2019 appears primitive. Be on the right side of history by ending the sale of for. You can do this, New York City. Thank you.

PAULA GAVINO: Hello. Thank you for-CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Hit the-- Hit the
button on the microphone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PAULA GAVINO: Oh. My name is Paula I'm a canine behaviorist in New York City. Gavino. So almost all of us share a very special affinity with individuals of other species. Many of us share that bond with furbearing animals. We share our lives with dogs and cats at home that are direct relatives of animals used in the fur industry. it's so painful to walk the streets of New York City during winter and see their skins on display of department stores thinking, that could be my dog. A member of my family. While animals should be free display those things? For is only beautiful in its original owner. That's why I am speaking out today support of Intro 1476. Each year, over 100 million furbearing animals die miserably in fur traps, many leaving their babies behind to die of starvation and other equally inhumane deaths. What we have been hearing here about fur farmers treating the animals humanely is totally false. The truth is, there is no humane or respectful way to slaughter an animal or someone just for some things so vain and selfish as a fashion garment. As the stewards of this planet, we have to be more empathetic towards other animals and trying to make their lives better. Also, we don't

think about it. Their lives have a meaning and a purpose and that— as does the lives of each of us. Their lives is there only thing they have. Life they experience through their senses and emotions. Through their interactions with others and their environment. Animals used in the fur industry have been deprived of all of this. They have no autonomy whatsoever, no natural environment. We have robbed

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

PAULA GAVINO: Sure.

them of everything that is natural for them.

ARNOLD BRUNOIS: Good afternoon. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to express my views here. My name is Arnold Brunois. I represent the company, Echolpel, the leader and luxury faux fur. We work with many internationally renowned fashion brands based in New York. After having reviewed carefully a briefing that is at stake, we think a ban on the sale of firm products is a good idea for several reasons that go far beyond mere commercial reasons. Bands already exist in other areas. They provide a frame and have a positive impact on society. For example, more plastic bag bands are being implemented and they have demonstrated their

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING positive impact. Evidence shows that smart and judicious bans can shape greener and more responsible consumption patterns. Bans on the trade of endangered species also already exist and are a very good thing. Wild animals are protected while it is still possible to have the logo for from these species. Thanks to the imitations of a label info for. If a ban on the sale of real fur products would be voted, that would not mean the end of the fur look. It would just mean that there are new ways to present old ideas. Vegan leather is booming. Emerging startups are creating textiles made from apples or silk made in a petri dish injecting a new energy in the way that fashion is done today. Regarding animal welfare, even if we do not live in a [inaudible 04:32:44] world, reducing the numbers of animals used is positive. The scientific community, for instance, has long adopted the concept of a reduction when it comes to animal testing. concept of reduction is key. Fur bans help the textile industry to reduce its use of animals. 2018, a 20 percent reduction in animal exportation has been reported which means millions of animals

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 saved. So our vision is more recycling, more bio fabrication, and less factory farming. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. 5 ARNOLD BRUNOIS: Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you for 7 testifying. I appreciate it. I'm going to call up the next panel. We have Susan Romano, Eugene Cio or 8 Ceo, Farah Del Ruvar, Donald Levy, Eric Hannerman or 9 Eric Hannergan. And again, you can submit testimony 10 at the front desk here if you cannot stay. We are 11 12 also taking testimony through email until Monday which you email to the Speaker's office. Or if you 13 14 cannot email, you can write something and bring it 15 in, we'll-- the Speaker's office will be taking it 16 until Monday. Head-- Ed Haweeva. Matt Peck. John 17 Pacanis. John Pacanis, Matt Peck, Ed Haweeva. 18 [Background comments] 19 LEGAL COUNSEL: Try these three. 20 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Stacie Panerebs, Tincy Strune. Tincy Strewer. Leanne Barbs. 21 2.2 LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You can begin

24

testifying.

2.2

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you for letting me speak. I am in-- I live in Council members Keith Power's district. I'm a small business owner. I've been in the fashion industry since I was seventh grade and I am very much-- I have passion for this industry and I have passion for the fur industry. I had rewritten this speech, but I have to rewrite it over and over again. I want you to understand the people in this industry are elderly.

[Background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Please keep your voices down.

UNIDENTIFIED: And they won't be able to use social medial like you and I can. They can't speak for themselves. They will not be able to go find another job. I am in the apparel industry and I wanted to be present here so that you can hear me. Even in the apparel industry, salespeople can't find a job, let alone you want someone who has only been working in this industry for 50 years or 40 years to go do what? They can only do washing floors. These are— Imagine your parents building a business with their bare hands and then, all of a sudden, the committee that I find in my committee, you are here

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING to protect me as a small business owner, you're going to take that away from me and from them. You talk about insatiate beings. Pass the most progressive reproductive, antiabortion -- I mean, abortion laws in New York. That is amazing. It is amazing that I have the right to choose when to have-- when to abort the child. But you are telling me that I cannot self. The child is also 24 weeks and that is definitely a living being. You pass the most amazing ban to protect our environment and that is plastic. Thank you. Thank you for doing that. But then you promote anti-evniron-- I mean, you're against the environmental at the same time. All the chemicals that you are saying that goes in the faux fur, it takes 100 years for that to disintegrate. Please help sustain the garment center. Sustain our businesses. Just think about parents, your parents. We are not professional politicians. I am here to let you know that I have worked since I was in seventh grade.

22 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: And I am very proud of

24 | it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2/17
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you for

3 testifying.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Hello, Council. All of JOHN PATCANIS: you who is here, who is not. Thank you for listening to our testimonies today. My name is John Patcanis. I'm 42 years and a second generation business. Very proud. Very thankful for what was passed onto me and the industry I work in. I live in. Literally live I have 10 employees. That's my family. My next of family, other than my blood, that's my family and I support them and they support me and we support one another. They can't go find a job because they've been with me for 20 years. And guess what. In 20 years, they've never had unemployment. If you put out this industry now, you're going to have thousands of people on unemployment, I promise you. And back to the beavers on the state flag swimming downstream, if you don't have Department of Conservation, you better get some engineers because you're going to have a lot of flooding. I promise. Okay. It's freedom of choice. Let the small businesses continue. They built this country. They really did and you're shutting us down for personal goals of certain people that have the wrong facts. The facts

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING are totally wrong. I invited the whole Council to my factory showroom and nobody ever called me and asked I'd like to come down. I'd like to make an appointment. I'll tell you all about it. I could do it blindfolded. Blindfolded. I buy skins from the auction. I know what grades are. The farmers, the farmers produce top-quality because it's to their best interest. Their best interest is to get the better dollar. Yes, it's about money. Just about politics. Politics is about money, too. Making the right decisions, you know? So please, come get the facts. We've got the facts for you. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN PATCANIS: Don't let us down.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

JOHN PATCANIS: Thank you.

TINA STOVA: Thank you for letting me speak. My name is Tina Stova. I come here from Denmark and you talk a lot about the facts. I've seen so many facts today that are not true and that I cannot recognize from my job from home. I am a veterinarian. I am the head of Veterinary Diagnostic Department at Copenhagen Fur in Denmark. Copenhagen Fur is one of the largest fur auction houses in the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING world and we process the vast majority of the European furs to the USA. I am here today to oppose to the ban and rebut welfare concerns. I have a PhD in epidemiology which means surveillance of animal health and preventive measures. I have worked with mink in seven years making sure that our mink get the best conditions through evidenced-based research. Our standards does not allow any animal production unless the welfare can be maintained at an excellent level and well kept, healthy animals equals better bidding results and a higher quality of the fur. my job, I go to visit the farms and I work with the animals in all seasons and I find that the animal welfare are very high and that is because minks are allowed to meet naturally. They build a nest before they deliver. They give birth unrestricted and they nurse their own young. And when it all ends, they are euthanized in a humane way just outside their cages. All this means that there is a very large potential for animal welfare and therefore the farmers just have to take very good care of their animals to make sure that this potential is fulfilled. In Europe, we have a welfare system which is also part of Fur Mark. We have independently

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

measured the actual welfare state of mink. It's an external company who measures the welfare. We don't self-regulate. They have measured the mink welfare on 2700 mink farm saying you're up. Based on very low levels of problems, the welfare turns out to be at a very high level in most farms. Openness and transparency are key in Danish mink farming in our doors are always open to visitors. We are very proud of our animal welfare and we welcome you all if you Denmark. Come see for yourself.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

LEANNE BARNES: Good evening. My name is Leanne Barnes and I am a handbag accessories designer with operations in Los Angeles, Atlanta, and New York City. And today is been quite eye-opening in a number of different ways. I use both faux, as well as what I call real genuine hair on. And the proposed legislation, I'm a little bit confused on because it says that— The New York City proposed legislation says that it applies to any animal skin in whole or in part with the hair, fleece, or fur fibers. Now, my understanding with the Los Angeles law is that that would not include hair on cow or shearling or fleece. So I can produce there no

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 251 problem. I am an ethical designer and I believe in full sustainability and circularity. Can you expound upon what this is? Is it is true that the law would restrict me and banned me from using hair on cow and shearling?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: As it currently is strapped in, yes. But the staff can get back to you if you have further questions or suggestions.

LEANNE BARNES: Well, I just-- I thought in the very first testimony with a veterinarian that was speaking on behalf of proposing for the band, see inside and stated clearly that animals that are used for food, their skin is a byproduct and that's the skin that I use and that skin I use on these bags and I use every scrap, even down to this little hair tie that is made by survivors of domestic violence. So I'm a huge proponent of using the entirety of a species and the wellbeing of animals, but I would hope that this law will be amended so that it won't prohibit me from participating in New York's amazing trade shows at the Javits Center to help me sell my products to the world and be a beacon. New York City offers so much and offers so much inspiration. I hope that you all

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    will take a look at the bill and make the right
    recommendations and not ban the promotion and
 3
 4
    businesses that flourish here in New York City.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Thank you.
    Your testimony is all recorded, so the staff will
 6
 7
    over it-- will look at all the testimony given
 8
    today.
 9
                JOHN PATCANIS:
                                 Any questions?
10
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I don't have any
    questions. Thank you.
11
12
                LEANNE BARNES:
                                 Thank you.
13
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Next panel.
14
                TINA STOVA: Thank you.
15
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Roberto Benelli,
16
    Nicole Hall, Nina Jackel, Paloma Iglesiasoto, Paloma
17
     Iglesiasoto, Drew Carnegie, Linda Mann, Or Jill
18
    Carnegie. Sorry. And Linda Mann. Jill Carnegie.
    Linda Mann.
19
20
                LEGAL COUNSEL:
                                 Oh. Someone's coming.
21
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. You may
2.2
    begin.
23
                NINA JACKEL: Dear Council members, thank
    you for the opportunity to speak. My name is Nina
24
    Jackel and I am the founder Lady Freethinker, a
```

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING nonprofit media organization that publishes news and grassroots actions to build a more compassionate world for all species. Our readership of millions of people includes tens of thousands of subscribers who live right here in New York and care very much about animals and would like to see this bill passed. would like to testify wholeheartedly in support of Intro 1476 because the plain truth is that we no longer live in a world that requires inflicting barbaric torture upon animals for clothing. From coyotes chewing their own limbs off in a desperate attempt to escape steel dog traps, two raccoon dogs living their short lives in pain and filth before being electrocuted and skinned alive, the fur trade is undeniably cruel. The rest of the world is waking up to this particular of the in taking steps to stop it. New York has already fallen behind cities like Los Angeles and San Francisco, which have already banned fur. As the fashion capital that the entire globe looks to when deciding what to add to their closets, New York City has a responsibility to send a message that animal suffering is never in style. There are two very clear choices here. New York City can take the ethical, commendable, and progressive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

step of banning fur sales or it can cling to the past and continue to endorse violence against animals simply because people make money selling fur. I believe that new York is better than that and I hope that you do too and that you will do the right thing by voting to pass Intro 1476, ensuring that New York remains a leader and not just fashion, but in compassionate legislation, as well. Thank you very much.

2.2

ROBERTO BURNELLI: Good afternoon, Council members. My name is Roberto Burnelli. I run an animal rights advocates see organization called The Animals Battalion. I have been a full-time animal rights activists for 11 years now. The one issue that compelled me down this path was the never-ending slaughter of animals by the fur industry. They opposition will tell you that this is simply a matter of consumer choices. What the fur industry truly is as legalized brutality. The furbearing animals used by this industry are killed in numerous horrific ways from steel traps that crush their bones to snares that choke them to death to anal and vaginally electrocution. Animals raised in fur farms experience malnourishment, disease, and forced

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING cannibalism before they are killed. In countries where there are no animal welfare standards, these animals are even skinned alive or bludgeoned to death. A simple Google search will show you videos of everything I just described. I ask you to honestly see for yourselves. This is an industry that runs on animal abuse. Animals killed by the fur trade are no different than the companion animals you have at home. The fur trade victims are simply the free-roaming counterparts of the dogs, cats, and rabbits who are part of your families. If there were nothing wrong with the fur industry, its defenders would not hesitate to show you how there for is produced. They do not do that. Nor did these family businesses show the children the process of how the fur is obtained. To their objections, I respond by saying I, like many of them, like many workers, and also working-class emigrant who has one time faced unemployment, that has never been a justification to support animal abuse. Council members, you have one question to answer. Is New York City an example of civilization or savagery? Because if we are to be leaders for the rest of the world, we cannot allow the brutalities and vulgarity of the animal abusing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 256 for trade in our city. I am imploring you to support Intro 1476. Thank you for your time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JILL CARNEGIE: Hello. Thank you for the opportunity. My name is Jill Carnegie and I am testifying today as a resident of Hell's Kitchen and a local business owner. Thank you to my Council member, Speaker Corey Johnson for championing this bill. My company is NUMU Vegan which is currently headquartered in Brooklyn and we have created and produced nondairy mozzarella cheese. I'm excited to report that we are fully funded and have incredible distribution partners lined up to take NUMU Vegan cheese nationwide this summer and what we have found is the largest and smartest dairy companies are clamoring to work with us and the reason is because they are finding that the dairy industry is completely unsustainable, both environmentally and financially and I bring this up today because we are seeing that writing on the wall with the fur industry, as well. If we can take something as quintessential as the slice of New York City pizza and replicated in an ethical way without sacrificing taste or texture or experience, then I see no reason why we cannot remake or makeover, if you well, an

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING industry that, you know, New York has always been proud to be ahead on, which is fashion. And New York City is also an example of consumerism to the highest degree which, frankly, I don't have a big problem with as a business owner. But that also means that we need to acknowledge conscious consumerism. Labeling issues have been brought up many times today and that's a very, very big concern for conscious consumers who are overburdened with researching the nuances of labeling across so many industries with every decision that we make. When we are in a city like New York, which is not only progressive, but also a sanctuary city for humans, sometimes we need to face the fact that it is necessary to legislate compassion. And we need to take leadership and show consumers better way. So thank you so much in advance for your support of this bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PALOMA IGLESIAS: Hello. My name is

Paloma Iglesias and I am a resident from Harlem. I

moved to the city a use ago and it's been a years ago

when I was walking down Union Square on a cold

December and got informed in a demo about the vial

truth of this industry and a cruel and unfair

standards that are in place for these animals and

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING just want to live just like us. I mean, we are just lucky that we are born human. If we were born--What if we were born a fox or a mink? sure you wouldn't want to be scanned. It's common sense. Coming from a warmer place, I even thought that fur was banned in the 90s. Seeing all these gruesome videos and having the information at hands, it baffles me that people can still do this. pretty sure that we all got introduced about the horrific acts of fur from even in the children's movies from 101 Dalmatians and I'm pretty sure most of us were in favor of the puppies to be safe, alive, and away from the vain and evil hands of Cruella Deville. This is something that has been longed for. Especially in this progressive city. I am in favor of this law to pass and I'm coming from Puerto Rico. I have been eight years surviving the cold temperatures of NYC just fine without the use of far due to the amazing technology and so many brands that are out there making the changes for consumers to have what we need to stay warm because that's the point of it, right? Everything that I have heard here comes from privileged people profiting off the lives of most honorable beings in our society: the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING animals. Privileges when you think something is not a problem because it doesn't affect you. They don't see fur as cruel because it brings them profit. reality is that this industry is going to end regardless. Most of the furs in the city are sold in department stores and if they phase out furs, no jobs are going to be lost. The small stores that specialize only on fur in the city can move on to other textiles. Faux fur is the future. There is no excuse to use the fervent assent, defenseless animals for a fashion statement. And he and all first status useless and all about money industry. As 2019. time to stop dragging the past into our future. future generation does not want to. We are smarter than that. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

LINDA MANN: Good afternoon. My name is

Linda Mann and I come to you as a person who had a

wonderful career as a buyer of women's clothing. My

career spanned an amazing 40 years because I chose to

adapt to changing times. And now, these are times

that call for change. We live in a time where it is

no longer possible to deny what is happening. We

can't say we didn't know. We didn't see. So I ask

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING you with great respect to respect those who, although they cannot speak with words or cast votes, they speak more importantly to our hearts. I ask the fur industry to not mistake my passion for ignorance and I asked them to not insult us with talk of sustainability, humane slaughter, or ethical for. The truth is extremely different. Albert Schweitzer said we have no right to inflict suffering and death on another living creature unless there is some unavoidable necessity for it. So it is on that note that I ask you for the ones whose paws are crushed in steel traps, for the ones who are skinned alive, and for the ones who are anally electrocuted, why, if you have the power, wouldn't you use it to save a life. If I may paraphrase, whoever destroys the soul, it is considered as if they destroyed an entire world and whoever saves alive, it is considered as if they saved an entire world. You have been given a great, great gift. You have the opportunity to save the world. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Next we have Karen Gibberson, Jackie Olemon, Peter Speliopopilus, Carla Dawn Berley. Karen Gibberson,

Jackie Climan, Peter Sperliopopilus, and Carla Dawn

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

Berley. Harriet Nathan, Victoria Saporus. Jason

Rogowski, Virginis Boris O'Hara. Okay. Great. You

4 may begin.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KAREN GIBERSON: Is that on? My name is Karen Giberson and I'm the President of the Accessories Council. 320 corporate member, not-forprofit based in New York. New York is the hub of our industry and most of our members are headquartered here, have stores here, showrooms here, or participate in trade shows here to sell their products. We are, in fact, headquartered in the same building as Speaker Johnson and we share the space with over 20 companies that sell and manufacturer for products. I have some serious concerns as the law is currently written. The title fur apparel does not represent the scope of what would be covered. fact, as written, it covers shearling, hair on calf skin and other food first items. These are not materials that our industry has traditionally defined as fur. So notifying our companies about this potential bill has been very challenging. most every designer that is been mentioned today that has given up for uses hair on calf skin and many of them use shearling. Hair on calf skin is leather.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING It is a one-step difference in processing the leather compared to the issues that many of the people in the room are wearing. I'm concerned about the rush to push this legislation through. We know there will be at least 7500 jobs lost and we haven't had a reasonable amount of time to fully understand the economic impact of this bill as it considers these other materials. In addition to the job losses, we know that New York residents in our companies will do business outside of the city where they will undoubtedly purchase other items, buy food, and spend their dollars. Mostly, we are concerned about the slippery slope of a ban. We have heard many suggestions as the Fur Mark and we endorse the choice of materials.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

VICTORIA SUPORAS: Hi. My name is

Victoria - Oh. I'm sorry. Okay. Hi. My name is

Victoria Suporas and I've founded one of this new

company. Our store [inaudible 04:59:42] district 3

and our Councilman Speaker Corey Johnson. We're a

family-owned small business that my husband and I

have been around for over 24 years. we mainly

specialize in high-end shearling coats, fur, leather,

and accessories that are locally manuafactured right

```
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
      Right in New York. And we're selling that
accessories and fur and shearling right from our
stores. Right from our store. And I'm here today to
ask you and just really, really give you this
message: Please ban the fur ban. It's going to hurt
a lot of people. A lot of families and it's-- these
people, it's not -- Most of them, it's not that young
people anymore that can find-- go and find jobs very
easily. It's not-- It was before [inaudible
05:00:40] like it's how easy going to be to find a
     It's almost impossible and that people it's
job.
like 47-- 45. They have to go and study for
something else. And like in my case, I have my
husband and me in the same business and it's a lot of
like you heard before. It's a family business where
there's a husband and wife in same business. We
don't have another income coming. So it's like what
does that mean for us? It's like we're gonna (sic)
wake up one morning right after this ban gonna--
it's going to go full effect and we just have no job.
We don't have no next month to pay rent, like our
insur-- medical insurance, our daughter's college.
And any expenses to-- I'm not talking just about me.
I'm talking in my family. I'm talking for example
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING for every single person. Just don't think about the numbers, 7000 and ha-- seven and a half thousand people are going to lose their jobs. It's really much more. Think about their family, about the kids, about their older parents. Also, I have a really--CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I'm

going to have to ask you to wrap up. Thank you.

VICTORIA SUPORAS: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I appreciate it. Thank you.

VICTORIA SUPORAS: Okay.

HARRIET NATHAN: Hi. My name is Harriet I currently live in Ben Kallis' district. I had my story prepared for days, but it appears that the truth and New Yorker stories don't really need to be heard. Many lives have been told today, but here is the fact. This industry is made up of proud, talented, and hard-working people. Multigenerational family businesses. I was in a different industry when I was younger. I was a woman in big corporate industry and I left that to come to this industry. I chose it. This was my choice to do this. I now work with my husband and I have a small business in New York City, but it seems that the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Council is looking to close many small businesses, including mine. My husband and I have put our blood, sweat, and tears and money into this business that we won't be able to sell. That the proposed for ban wants to shut down. We recently moved back into the city to achieve our dream of living here again and be closer to our business. Back to the city that we love. That we thought was a proponent of small businesses. But if this ban goes through when we lose our business, we will not be able to stay and afford to live in New York City. The end of another dream for us. We are too old to get another job. start again. We have tirelessly worked here in New York City for many years. We don't have a safety net. We only have our business. We have no other jobs and our lives are 100 percent intertwined. have given all of ourselves to work here in New York City, to live here in New York City. The city that endorses small businesses. So, now what? What do we do? Please don't shut us down. Please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN: Arthur Goldstein. I represent the Accessories Council. I just want to clarify one remark that was made earlier. The firm

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    Mark was described as self-regulating about three
    times today. It is on top of government regulations
 3
 4
    and eight is independent. So it's not like the
 5
     industry is controlling this whole process. It's
 6
    whatever the roles that will be set up when the Fur
 7
    Mark is in place. It is not self-regulating. This
 8
    is the key point I wanted to make. Thank you.
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I'll
 9
    call the next panel. We have Vanessa Saldana, Vivian
10
    Barna, Alan Resnick, James Scottall.
11
12
                   LEGAL COUNSEL: Here's two more in case
13
    what looks like--
14
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Joshua Catcher,
15
    Michelle Poli.
16
                LEGAL COUNSEL: Here. Call these two.
17
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Matthew Schwartz.
18
    Linda Obuchosca. You submitted testimony?
19
                LEGAL COUNSEL:
                                 Thank you.
20
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
     appreciate it. Thank you. Erica Shinart, Laura
21
2.2
    Lapardo. Leonardo Angiano. Rebecca Wolfe. Rebecca
23
    Wolfe. Elizabeth Carado. Beshelis Nichole.
24
               LEGAL COUNSEL: You can just let them
```

25

start.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. You can

3 begin.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JAMES SCOTTO: Good evening. My name is James Scotto and I'm a physical education and health teacher at a small school in Manhattan for grades eight through 12. I come here and strong support of 1476 and I have to say, no matter how many times I hear of first supporter our industry state that it's humane or acceptable or that they love their animals, I feel like I'm in an alternate universe. Under no condition shut any of this barbarism be occurring. This becomes especially true in a society that considers itself civil and progressive. The fur ban becomes even more urgent considering that this massive amount of suffering inflicted upon the most innocent and defenseless amongst us is all perpetrated so that someone can feel fashionable in a fur coat or put on a hat with a fur pom-pom on it. I'll never forget the day I looked in the fur industry and watched undercover videos. I was in shock for days. A few days I could barely speak. I cried. I felt nauseous. I couldn't process the fact that what I was seeing was actually legal and happening to animals all day every day.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Animals who are abused for their fur are sentient beings who have complex emotions and feel pain and suffering, just like the companion animals who are beloved members of many of our families. Fortunately, humans have skills to find employment and fellow humans to support us through transition. We don't experience the agony of being stuck in a trap or intensively confined or getting anally electrocuted or being scanned while still conscious. If it is humane to absolutely brutalize animals for fashion as the fur industry members have said, then finding a job seems relatively trauma free. What do the animals have? They have nothing but unimaginable suffering and misery. The only ones coming to lend a hand are those who will further brutalize the animal. If people in New York City in the year 2019 are okay with this, then there is no way we can call ourselves, as a society, civilized or progressive. The legality of fur is, no question, a horrific statement about our humanity. Thank you. ERICA SHINAR: My name is Erica Shinar. I reside in Park Slope Brooklyn. Thank you Council members to give me an opportunity to speak to you

today. I want to thank city Council Speaker, Corey

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Johnson, for proposing this legislation that would ban the sale of fur apparel in New York City. I also want to thank my councilmember from District 39, my Park Slop neighbor, Mr. Brad Lander, for cosponsoring Intro 1476, the fur apparel sales ban. Thank you so much, Mr. Lander, for supporting innocent animals who undergo so much agony to be, unnecessary fur coats for humans. You are giving your voice to those who have none, but, if they could speak, would certainly say, please save us from this horrible life and terrible death. I sincerely hope that all of your fellow council members follow your example. This is the ethical and humane thing to do. The fur sales ban is especially personal to me because my great uncle was a furrier in Brooklyn in the 1930s through the 1970s. My mother, who was in her late 80s, remembers that our uncle made her mother, my grandmother, a fur coat with her initials inside. When my sister and I were very young, he gave us what I remember were mink tails that we used for our Barbie dolls. I was too young to understand than the tear in pain those minks went through. I now know that these beautiful animals lived an awful life in a cage and died a horrendous staff by being gassed,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
    drowned, electrocuted or skinned alive. I loved my
    great uncle, but if he were alive today, I would have
 3
    to ask him how he could continue to make fur apparel.
 4
 5
    Knowing that tortures these sentient beings with
 6
    feelings of despair, agony, and pain just like ours,
 7
    went through all their lives just to become a fur
    coat, hat, or the trim on a pair of gloves. My
 8
    mother and I discussed in my being here today to
 9
     speak in front of you. She, the niece of a furrier,
10
    grew up to become a lover of all animals. Can I
11
    continue?
12
13
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Just because of the
     fact that we still have--
14
15
                ERICA SHINAR:
                                  I just want--
16
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: many dozen people.
17
                ERICA SHINAR: to read my last
18
    paragraph. It's important to me.
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. If you can
19
20
    do it quickly, that'd be great.
21
                ERICA SHINAR:
                                 One of my personal
2.2
    heroes is the great founders of the ASPCA and the
23
    humane movement here in New York City, Henry Berg.
24
    Henry Berg memorably said, men will be just men when
```

they are kind to animals. Please, council members,

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 let's again show the world that New York City is a leader when it comes to being just and compassionate 3 both to men and animals. Please support him pass 4 this animal protection bill into law in New York 5 City. Thank you very much for listening. 6 7 NICOLE FISCHELIS: Good evening--CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: 8 Sorry. Just hit the button for the microphone. And, again, I'm not 9 trying to be rude by cutting anyone off. I'm just 10 trying to respect everyone else's time. 11 12 ERICA SHINAR: I'm done. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah. Yeah. 13 14 I'm not speaking to you. I'm speaking to the 15 rest of the public [laughter] and I appreciate it. 16 ERICA SHINAR: I think I'm done. 17 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: But just being fair 18 to everyone else here, I'm not cutting you off because I'm trying to be rude. Only because I want 19 20 to be respectful of everyone else's time. 21 NICOLE FISCHELIS: Good evening. Thank you 2.2 for having me tonight. My name is Nicole Fischelis. 23 I live in Manhattan. My councilmember is Mr. Keith powers. Although I am born in France, I have worked 24

for American store and retailer my entire career.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Staring in Paris, they needed a new hub for an American buying office who had presented the biggest store in America such as Sax's Fifth Avenue, Neiman Marcus, Bergdorf Goodman, and Marshall Field. moved to New York to become the vice president of Saks Fifth Avenue then I went to work for Ferragamo as their worldwide fashion director and then, finally, with Macy's as a globe fashion director and forecaster. Today, I have my own consulting company. My life has been involved with fashion and fur and my family has worked in the fur business from Paris, London, New York. Fur has been part of generation of my family and I am here to oppose this bill that could end this part of my life and my culture. As a child, I watched my father and his team and admired the craft. The beautiful craft of the handwork and the passion that has been passed from generation to generation. Fur is not fast fashion. It a dedicated craft that has survived wars and conflict and provided families with income over their generations. The fur business has also contributed to the prosperity of the New York fashion and luxury business. Fur is part of the [inaudible 05:13:05] of an industry which gained worldwide recognition and as

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
 2
     [inaudible 05:13:12] New York. Fur is part of the
    New York history and heritage. It's part of its
 3
    culture and that thousands of immigrants who have
 4
    worked and contributed to the economy of this
 5
    country. When I was at Saks, I worked at the global
 6
 7
     [inaudible 05:13:26] of the company and I discovered
    and bought many designers to America. I also
 8
    supported a lot of American designers from the
 9
    beginning which are now recognized companies and
10
11
    designers.
12
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                       Thank you.
                                                   Thank
13
    you.
14
                NICOLE FISCHELIS: I am not finished.
15
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                       I know. But
16
    because of the time, we have to move forward.
17
     sorry. You can submit that, again, for the record
     and it will be treated--
18
                NICOLE FISCHELIS: Can I just say one more
19
    thing, please?
20
21
                [Background comments]
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Just for timing, we
23
    can't. I'm sorry.
24
                VANESSA SOLDANO: my name is Vanessa salt
```

I was born and raised in Staten Island, New

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING York and I currently live in District 49. designated Council member is Deborah Rose. Unnecessary animal cruelty is all around us, however, it is more apparent and undeniable in certain industries than it is in others. The fur industry is one of the many animal abusing industries that is completely unnecessary in New York City. Not only are there superior cruelty free and eco-friendly alternatives to fur, but there is an entire nonviolent growing industry that focuses on creating compassionate fabrics and making compassionate fashion and beauty choices. Choices that don't rely on anally electrocuting, leg trapping, drowning, neck breaking, skinning, and ultimately killing harmless, innocent animals who deserve respect. The cruelty free fashion industry is one of great opportunity, for those of you that are concerned about your money, despite the fact that there should be more prevalent concerns on your minds. If you are here because you are concerned about money, please be reminded that fur industry money is filthy. Fur industry money is blood money. Fur industry money exists thanks to the people in this room paying other people to deliberately hurt and tortured nonhuman animals just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	like the pets we see walking the streets of New York
3	City. Just like the Pats a lot of us in this room
4	take care of and protect at home. Went to you by
5	your families with the money paid off of this
6	torture? Would you want your child to bring videos
7	of anal electrocution to show and tell? What kind of
8	world are we continuing to create for our children?
9	How can we teach them that hurting a domesticated dog
10	or cat is wrong and illegal and wearing the fur of
11	another animal is beautiful and legal. One more
12	thing. I'm sorry. In America, freedom of choice
13	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
14	VANESSA SOLDANO: is questioned when there
15	are victims involved.
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
17	VANESSA SOLDANO: I'm not the only person
1.0	
18	with these questions.
19	with these questions. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank
	-
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank
19 20	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you all for testifying. And, again, I do
19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank you. Thank you all for testifying. And, again, I do not I am not trying to discourage anyone from

[Laughter]

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. Can I just get a show of hands who is here to testify in support of the bill? And who is here to testify against the bill? Okay. All right. Mark Goodman. Mark Goodman. Marvin Ngyen. Adrienne Lendau. Jen Othanos. Aurora James. Timothy O'Hara. Peter Avasis. Peter Liacos. Lauren Cabrolissa. Marcelo Zarniak. Gary Zeltser. Okay. All right. You can begin.

JEN OTHANOS: Hi. My name is Jen Othanos and my family has been in the fur business for over 30 years and I have been full-time with them for the last three. Myself, my father-in-law, and my uncles depend on this business as our source of income to provide for our families. This is all they've ever done in, without this, I don't know how mortgages, rent, or bills will be paid. Passing this fur ban will rip the rents from under working-class people. My family is a family of immigrants. Immigrants who came here for the American dreams and, until now, I have been living it. Have been working hard to provide for this families and to send their children to school. They worked hard to provide for their families and continue to work hard to provide for

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING New York prides itself on being a sanctuary city for immigrants and immigrant families. What you are doing is threatening to rip away these Americans and these immigrants of their livelihood. The skills my family has are not transferable to other industries, despite what other people have said and it's disheartening that city Council members consider the jobs of these hard-working Americans as a small percentage of the city, implying that they don't matter, as the speaker showed in the video in the beginning. The poll stated, and previous testimonies were collected online. I had to show off for a year I work with how to use it over. So his voice was not heard in those online polls. He is also-- A lot of immigrants and a lot of people in the fur industry are green card holders, also peoples who voices are not heard to fight for their livelihoods. And it's disappointing as a New York City resident that only two Council members are still sitting here when we started with seven. My family matters. Our jobs matter and this is disrespectful and disheartening. Is there an-- Also, is there a human way-- a humane way to tell my 57-year-old coworker who just finished chemo treatment that he's out of a job and has to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing 278 start training in a new field? And then one last question. Is all red meat sold in New York City from cows who skin is then repurposed for leather? Is that a bill in New York City? A mandate in New York City, that all-- right? So I just see that as very and extremely hypocritical.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

Council members, thank PETER REVASIS: you for allowing me to speak today. My name is Peter Revasis and I'm a proud member of the-- and I am a proud member of the New York City fur industry. My father my grandfather brought me into the fur industry on Seventh Avenue and 30th Street 40 years ago and one of the ideals my father instilled in me is that all people are entitled to their beliefs and their opinions. I know that New Yorkers believe in free will, freethinking, and freedom of markets. fur coat is just as much an expression of one's free will and individuality and taste as a diamond ring, a crocodile bag, or a cashmere coat. Why is the City Council considering forcing a ban on fur when I can say that no one here in this room is forcing anyone in this room to buy or wear fur coats. The free market will determine what products are bought and

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing sold. The market will become less free if the city Council bands the sale of fur. I asked the city Council to redirect their energies towards issues like poverty, education, crime, and transportation. I heard plenty of that beforehand today listening to you guys and some major stuff that you guys are working on and that's the way we feel you should direct your energies. I asked the city Council to please keep the market free and do not force a ban on fur in New York City. Thank you for listening.

2.2

members, my name is Peter Liacos and I have been part of this industry for 48 years. My family history and the business date back hundreds of years in Greece. They came to America in the early 19 hundreds and continued the fur business in New York City. They became US citizens through hard work and long hours and supported their families and contributed to the community. In 2003, my eldest son Thomas finished his third year in medical rotation that graduate Hospital in Philadelphia shortly thereafter, he started feeling stomach cramps. My wife and I went down to the hospital to see what was going on. When the doctor came out to talk to us, we read his face.

```
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
He told us he had stage IV stomach cancer through the
tears and crying and asking the Lord for help,
Thomas, what he had, he knew he would die in six
months. Despite what my family was going through, I
could not stay with my son as much as I wanted to.
We had to make sacrifices because of the job. My
wife and to stay with him and I had to get back to
work and I had to continue the production in order to
pay for the bills that, at that time, the cost of
50,000 dollars a month of rent and salaries to keep
going. My brother, who was a doctor got my son into
slow New York City. Sloan treated my son Thomas with
experimental drugs tested from animals and
chemotherapy six months. He started feeling better,
so he took his wife to be on a 10 day trip to Greece.
But on June 12th, 2005, my son, Thomas Michael Liacos
passed away 13 days before his 20 ninth birthday.
Today, all I have is my family, all the workers who
depend on me. They're all I have to keep going.
I've been working full-time since 1971 and I'll
proudly continue my family business--
           CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:
                                 Thank you, sir.
                             The fur ban will cause
           PETER LIACOS:
```

my six workers to lose their jobs and shut down the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	business. Without the fur market, these people would
3	not be able to get jobs elsewhere. Fur is a unique
4	factor in trained skills are nontransferable.
5	Please.
6	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, sir.
7	PETER LIACOS: Think about the workers
8	and think about families that would just be - drive
9	and thank you for listening to me and God bless.
10	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
11	VICTORIA SUPORAS: That work is about
12	family. Think about our families. It's a
13	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] We
14	heard it. Thank you.
15	VICTORIA SUPORAS: [inaudible 05:23:56]
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We heard his story.
17	VICTORIA SUPORAS: About the people.
18	About
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
20	VICTORIA SUPORAS: About animals, too.
21	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I
22	VICTORIA SUPORAS: [inaudible 05:24:00]
23	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [inaudible 05:24:01] You
24	got a chance to speak. Sit down.
25	

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

GARY ZELTSER: Okay. I'll go. Good afternoon. My name is Gary Zeltser. I live in Brooklyn and Council member Chaim Deutsch is the Council men of my district. And I urged him to support the bill. I wanted to address them directly, but he is not here. He made a statement stating that his concern was this bill would increase the level of anti-Semitism against Jews that wear Shtreimels which is the customary fur hat that certain married Jewish men wear in the city. I wanted to be honest about that and say, if you are anti-Semitic, you are going to attack a Jew whether he has a hat on, baseball cap on, or no hat on. So, I don't think there should be any type of concern as far as anti-Semitism goes in regards to this band. The other statement I wanted to make was, if you ever googled men's vegan jackets, there is a lot of ugly jackets out there. There is a massive demand for, you know, cruelty free clothing out there outside, somebody asked me, what do you quys wear? Plastic? The answer is yes. The company is called Matt and Nat. They are highly successful and they make very fashionable clothing. So there is demand and, if people into this business, you know, with the vegan mindset in mind, I think you're going

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing 202 to make a lot of money, as well. Because they are, again, a lot of us vegans, you know, we have good jobs. Right? We are ready to spend money on good products. The problem is, there really isn't that many products out there. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

MARK GOODMAN: Hi. My name Mark--Ms. Mark Goodman and I just wanted to bring a little perspective here. It's a fact that, of all animals killed for human consumption, less than two percent are for furs. Less than two percent. All the rest are killed for food. That's right. 98 percent of the animals that are used by mankind are to eat. don't believe banning of the sale of meat would be something that this Council would consider. So if Council can be a little self-reflective here, I think you might agree that this initiative is discriminatory and a little hypocritical. Or maybe a lot hypocritical. And will inflict severe hardships on a lot of hard-working families that have multigenerational businesses. The scope put them out of business and it has real, real consequences, as you can see. These are people who are, I don't know. I--- I asked that you don't bow to the pressure of

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING well-financed lobby groups that have used aggressive tactics that, frankly, use a lot of misrepresentation and fake information. The amount of paying this would cause to hard-working families cannot be understated and, personally, I find it cruel and outrageous. Our country was founded on the fur trade and to ban it would be as un-American as giving up their freedom of choice or the pursuit of happiness. This is a pursuit of happiness issue. I think it's government overreach and it's a really bad idea and, lastly, I really got upset when Corey was conflating conservatism with this bill. There is nothing--There is no conservatism. It does not protect animals. It's not a good bill. Thank you. No fur ban please. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I**'**11 Kirk Miller, Christina Detmer, Eva Didya, Maureen Medina. Kirk Miller, Christina Detmer, Eva Didya, Maureen Medina. [Background comments] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Christina Lou or Christina Vu. Heather Greenhouse. You may begin. KIRK MILLER: My name is Kirk Miller

and I live in central Harlem. My Council member is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Bill Perkins and I urge him to support the band to ban the sale of fur in New York City, Intro 1476. 400 squirrels, 249 Erman, 200 chinchillas, 120 muskrats, 80 Sable, 65 Mink, 50 Martins, 30 raccoons, 22 Bobcats, 12 Lynx, or 5 Wolves. This is what it takes to make a single fur coat. What is a life The trim on your coat? Nothing? everything? Millions of mostly wild animals are killed every year for their fur. Most are raised in tiny cages with deplorable conditions where disease, self-mutilation, and infanticide, and other psychotic behaviors are commonplace. Others are trapped in the wild in painful traps only to be electrocuted and skinned alive to preserve there for. Those who insist that the animals are not suffering are spreading boldfaced lies in the interest of making a profit. Today, there are no real laws protecting these animals. This is not a religious issue. is not an ethnic issue. This is not even a partisan issue. As has been pointed out, the Mason Dixon poll shows that the 75 percent of New Yorkers who agree with this bill are evenly split between conservatives and liberals. This is an animal abuse issue. This is an environmental issue. Fur farms are huge

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing polluters, dumping raw feces into lakes and rivers along with formaldehyde, chromium, and cyanide based finishes. Thankfully, today way of quality and affordable alternatives to wearing for that involve little, if no suffering, and far less pollution. If we can prevent or diminish suffering and waste, then why not? If we can transition to more compassionate fashion, why wouldn't we? The bottom line is there is no excuse. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHRISTINA DETMER: My name is Christina Detmer and I live on the Upper East Side in Council member Keith Powers' district and I urge him to support this bill. I have friends on both sides of the political aisle, including far left and far right. We have spirited, heated debates about a variety of controversial issues. This is not one of them because this is this one issue and I think one of the few issues I can say this about, we have complete unanimous blanket agreement that killing animals for fashion is wrong. Legislatures across the country are increasingly prioritizing the wellbeing of animals such as hot car laws, which we have all heard of, divorce and custody laws protecting the animal's best interest, and laws including animals in

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING domestic violence protection orders. Society is changing its view of animals and New York City should be at the forefront. We are blessed to live in one of the most free countries in the world, the United States. But even in the US, our freedom is not unlimited. The notion that we have unlimited rights to do whatever we want is absurd. Laws govern our behavior all the time. I can't drive 80 miles an hour on first Avenue or go to [inaudible 05:32:07] around the corner to buy opiates or DDT. Plus, the fear of going too far isn't a reason for not banning something that we agree is wrong. So we shouldn't band anything cruel just because of the fear of overstepping? Also, this bill does not prohibit the wearing of fur. You can wear fur every day of the year. You can wear fur to come and visit City Hall and I'm going to venture to guess that nobody is going to go into your closet to confiscate your fur items. But this bill is taking the stance that New York City will no longer be a party to this industry's exploitation of animals and no one even disputes that the fur industry exploits animals. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MAUREEN MEDINA: My name is Maureen Medina and I live in Brooklyn. My Council member is Carlos Menchaca. I am asking you to please support intro 1476. I work in social services and help veterans find housing and employment. I say this to demonstrate that, yes, we do care about people, but also to say that people, with the right resources and opportunities, can adapt. They can improve their situation and quality of life in spite of the barriers and trauma they may have faced in life. Animals are not that fortunate. Those opposing Intro 1476 of our concerned about their profit and self-There fashion statement and status and expression. their personal choice. But they are forgetting someone. Rather, they are forgetting over 100 million victims that are abused and killed for their fur every year. Their execution is planned the day that they are born. It's simple. The only ones with the right to for are the animals themselves. All of us here at this point have learned about the horrors that occur in the fur industry. When you consider what is humane, please ask yourself, would you want this done to you? Your loved ones? Or your pets at home? What I have to say is only a reiteration of

what supporters of Intro 1476 have already expressed.

Animals are not commodities. They are not products.

Their bodies are their own and the fact that we use traps to capture them is indicative of how we force our personal choice on them. Traps and cages are inherently meant to hold someone against their will.

Those opposing this ban are directly supporting and promoting violence and oppression. Freedom is never voluntarily given by the oppressor. It must be demanded by the oppressed and since the animals cannot speak for themselves, since their screams are drowned out by humane washing, we will speak up for them.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

MAUREEN MEDINA: Please have compassion and support intro 1476. Thank you.

and I live in Brooklyn. I am a constituent of

Council member Brandon. As an animal lover, and

someone who also works in social services, I am here

today to ask the city Council to support intro 1476.

Like the many people who stand before you in support

of the band, we can all agree that the fur industry

is an outdated, cruel, blending, and murderous

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING industry that profits off the bodies of sentiment animals. No animal should be forcibly bred and have their life taken away from them all for the sake of a fashion statement. The reality of fur farms is that these animals live in deplorable conditions from the beginning of their life to the very last moment they are killed. There is nothing humane, ethical, or sustainable about using fur. There is nothing fashionable about an animal being scanned alive, anally electrocuted, drowned, or caught in snare As you know, the fur industry is changing. traps. So many high-end designers like Burberry, Galliano, Versace, have turned their backs against the fur industry because they realize is the cruelty that goes into making for. Many of these designers have realized that fashion today should be socially and environmentally responsible and have chosen affects over cruelty. They are staring towards the future in an industry that does not include the unnecessary killing of an animal. We need to stop the cruelty. We need to show empathy towards the suffering of these animals in the industry. There is no reason in 2019, that as progressive New Yorkers, we are taking a step backwards by wearing cruelty where cities like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING LA and San Francisco have taken the advanced steps to ban the sale of fur. Those that oppose the ban are only concerned about money. They are only concerned that they will not be able to make a profit in an industry that kills and murders innocent animals. They are stuck in their old ways and refuse to acknowledge that new technology in fashion is creating others sustainable and alternative means to fur. My question for those who oppose the ban, could you explain to your children what happens to these animals that are used for fur? Would you show them the graphic videos of animals being killed? How many of your children would be appalled by what happens to these animals? As a society, people of lost their connection with most animals and can modify them. Animals are treated as mere objects and considered products. For those who have pets at home, do you consider--CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. CHRISTINA LU: your dog or cat as mere objects? Would you subject them to the conditions that the animals face on these farms?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

25 you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2 HEATHER GREENHOUSE: Hi. My name is Heather Greenhouse and I'm on the board of voters for 3 animal rights. I am going to address a couple of the 4 5 outright lies the fur industry relies on to defend their brutal business. One such lie is that for is 6 7 sustainable. That is honestly laughable. It was ruled as false advertising by several European 8 countries and they are prohibited from making that 9 claim in many places. The truth is is that for is 10 toxic and unnatural. To prevent the skin from 11 12 rotting, they use toxic chemicals which are among the world's top five forest for toxic metal pollution. 13 14 They pollute the air with greenhouse gases, water 15 with ammonia and phosphorus, and rely almost entirely 16 on fossil fuels. There is also nothing natural about forcibly breeding wild animals, confining them to 17 18 bear in cages, and denying them every single natural and instinctual behavior before gruesomely murdering 19 20 them through a note or badge and all execution. Another popular mistruth they are spreading here in 21 2.2 New York City is that this bill would cause severe 23 job loss. This animal killing machine represents only 0.5 percent of fashion jobs in New York City and 24 their skills are transferable to ethical materials. 25

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Regardless, for is a bloodied, barbaric business that future generations will look back in horror and shame. All industries continuously evolve for ethical, environmental, and economic reasons and this is no different. They have a choice to move on with the times or remain in the dark ages. The grandiose claims of job loss are ridiculous when the real issues are ethics, morality, progress, and innovation. Animals are not objects, commodities, and their body parts are not products. The fur industry is on the wrong side of history and they know it. Only greed and profit strive them, but we have the truth and we are fighting for justice. passing of Intro 1476 would represent a huge step in the right direction for New York City to show that we are as ethical and progressive as we claim to be. There is no right way to do the wrong thing. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank you all for testifying. We have Ryan Holt, Lester Wasserman, Nathan Semel, Meredith Shrevor, Rockwell Schwartz.

LEGAL COUNSEL:

There's [inaudible

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

05:40:121

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Father John Vlahos.

3 Riaz Bachs. Okay. You may begin. You may begin.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RYAN HOLT: Hi. My name is Ryan Holt. represent the producers in North America of fur products through the Canadian and American producers. I think some of the things that need to be addressed are the fact that, in the United State itself, it's had a certification program in place since 1988. Canadians have also had a similar process in place since 1990. These things have not been brought to any kind of a fruition in this process. The Fur Mark that they talk about is actually a conglomeration of these animal issues. Animal welfare issues that are being put together under an umbrella. That's why there seems to be some confusion about the why this layout has happened. The American system, North-the United States system itself has been adjusted 7 times over the last 30 years that I've been involved with it to reflect both advances in animal science, as well as being able to adjust concerns brought on by public scrutiny. It is a very vibrant industry. It's a very well cared for industry, depending on your stance, it's either the second or third oldest occupation known to man. If you are a farmer, we

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING have a proud tradition of taking care of what we raise. Our animals that we raise. I have had several conversations with many people that have the same standards as I do that are actually in this room that are supporting this bill. The only difference is, when we get to the use of the product, that is where we separate our views. Our farming community as great respect for the animal. We are penalized for a need to factor any dirt or anything that happens to these animals. It makes absolutely no sense at all to take any shortcut. We have been certified by veterinarians up until the last few years until we have moved into this third-party objective inspection system. We have the utmost respect for this body and being able to have control over itself, but to be able to, I guess, compare all farmers to a few bad players would be similar to committing -- to comparing all government employees to what happens in Washington DC. I don't think any of us in here want to do that. But we just need to achieve balance. We need to understand that farmers do love their animals. Farmers do respect their animals and have nothing but the utmost care and it really boils down to a position of choice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 ROCKWELL SCHWARTZ: Hi.

RYAN HOLT: Push that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROCKWELL SCHWARTZ: Thank you. name is Rockwell Schwartz. And I'm here today with my dog biscuit and we live in your district, Chairman Espinal, we are here today to represent the millions of animals who cannot be here to testify on their own behalf. We are a city of animal lovers. There are over 1.1 million pets in New York City and over half as households have one or more pets. This is why, when people hear that biscuit was abandoned in a grocery store with no lower job and a broken front like, most people are horrified and this is why, for most New Yorkers, the thought of someone intentionally breaking biscuits like is condemnable. We understand his pain and fear. Yet, intentionally breaking animal's legs is standard practice in the fur industry. Likewise, the thought of electrocuting biscuit or guessing biscuit to death or in any way intentionally inflicting harm on him is a heinous thought. Yet these are all standard practices in the fur industry. Likewise, if you were to ask most New Yorkers if they would choose to kill biscuit in any way, even the most humane way, just so that they

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING could wear his fur, you would be hard-pressed to find a single person who isn't horrified by the thought. Yet, this is the foundation of the fur industry. only difference, first violence is inflicted on animals who were out of sight and out of mind. Docs, just like biscuit, a broad, coyotes, foxes, minks, or rabbits domestically, each one feels just like biscuit does. H1 values his life just like biscuit values his. If we wouldn't sell biscuits for because he was born a dog, there is no justification to sell another animals for because they were born the wrong species. I will in today with two statistics. One, takes most mammals approximately three years to decompose after death. We prevent fur from decomposing by applying toxins that induce allergies, cancers, and hormonal imbalances in humans. there are 51 members of the New York City Council. It takes approximately 50 dead animals to create just one fur coat. If those animals could testify before you and, again, this is a room full of humans, but if those animals could testify before you, we know what they would say. Please, we don't have to die.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MEREDITH SHRIVER: My name is Meredith Shriver and I am a New York City resident in District 7. Fashion has come a long way in recent years. And a growing number of designers realize the negative impact the industry has had on animals and the environment and are taking steps to repair that damage. Luxurious eco-friendly and sustainable for like fabric not only exists, but are nearly indistinguishable from the skins of innocent animals. That ability to ethically evolve, improve our practices, and be environmentally considerate, while causing the least amount of harm is the bedrock of a civilized society and something for which we must always strive. How could anyone justifiably condone a practice that profits off the torture and death of screaming animals, especially when cruelty free alternatives readily exist? The clothing we wear can make a statement without causing harm. Just ask Versace, Gucci, Michael Kors, Armani, Tom Ford, Stella McCartney, and the growing list of other designers who have committed to for free fashion. All these designers and the 75 percent of New Yorkers who support this band know that for, whether a coat or an accessory, comes from the bodies of innocent

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 200 animals who were barbarically killed. Killing a living being who does not want to be killed is, by definition, inhumane. Period. Many against this bill diver to the same argument. That no one has the right to push personal opinions on to anyone else. Where that logic falls apart, however, is when there are violent consequences like producing for. proudly joined Speaker Johnson, my councilperson, Mark Levine, and the other city Council members who support this bill. I stand with millions of animals callously murdered each year for a fashion statement who, aside from human greed and selfishness, could otherwise live full lives. For those opposed or undecided, I implore you to delve on line and see the atrocities of the fur trade. View the horrors for yourself. New York City should never stand for or condone such violence. They vote against this band is a vote for animal abuse and cruelty in New York is better than that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

NATHAN SEMEL: My name is Nathan Semel.

I understand the fear and uncertainty of the fur

merchants of this room. I do. But to the Council, I
say these three things. One, they had been on notice

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING for years. Animal rights legislation has Just look at the circus bill passed by proliferated. this very body two years ago. We have seen fur bans in LA, San Francisco, during London's fashion week, and others. Top designers in their business have been outspoken about the cruelty of fur declaring they will never use it again. So to be unprepared for this or, worse, unwilling to change, they really have nobody but themselves to blame. Two, stopping animal abuse is a moral obligation and, unlike in the past, high-quality alternatives exist so that image and ethics are not mutually exclusive. Three, before the fur ban, I never heard a single opponent dispute or utter a peep about the very impetus for this bill, animal cruelty. All I've heard is me me. thankful to my Council member Mark Levine and the 75 percent of New Yorkers who support this bill because it is right. It is about compassion. It's not about money, politics, or tradition, which should never be a reason to continue to do something unethical. Councilmembers have a choice. Protect the mink with an electric rod jammed into her rectum or the mink merchant who has refused to even try cruelty free alternatives. Protect the mother coyote trapped for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

days in a steel like clamp. Or the Canada Goose

Corporation trying to convince a generation that
social status and projecting wealth is what's most
important. Protect the baby Fox who has every inch
of her skin peeled from her still living body or the
shop lying to its customers about it being ethically
sourced. Protect to the rabbits jammed in the cages
for their entire miserable lives or the souvenir
shops selling them as pom-poms on a hat or a keychain
accessory. Protecting the profiteers over the abused
would be contrary to the compassionate and
progressive trend that is the hallmark of this body.

2.2

Adelman and I am the global Vice President of Dennis
Basso and J. Mendel stores which employ over 40
people on the Upper East Side of Manhattan. I come
before you to ask a question which is bigger than the
fur issue. It's the issue of freedom of choice. As
one key man to another, Mr. Johnson, how would you
feel if we were here today voting to ban gay rights?
Living in America gives us the choice to choose
whether or not to wear for. It is the essential,
fundamental American right to choose. For someone
who has spent the last 40 years in the for business,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING which is provided my partner and I are wonderful life, I find it absurd that I am standing here today fighting for the right to ask the board not to band for sales in New York City. I believe we should not be wasting taxpayer money and time on an issue that most New Yorkers would find baffling to take away their civil liberty, their right to choose. As a man who lives in the greater city of New York my whole adult life. I see the hardships of my fellow New Yorkers are faced with every day. We should be voting to help the homeless. Helping the veterans who fight for this country and are able to steady income. Our education system is and have Eric. of the younger generation is fighting to have a decent education. The lack of funding for public service is devastating, which is why I do not understand why for banning is a current top priority. Mr. Johnson, to me, my friends, clients and colleagues, we all believe that this seems like a way for you to make a name for yourself. Please make time to help improve New York City, which you have been elected to do so. Stop taking away people's rights to make their own choices whether to wear for her or not. Respectfully yours, Mitchell Adelman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank 3 you for testifying. It's 7:05 p.m. Just for some 4 housekeeping, how many of you are planning on testifying? Can you please raise your hand? Okay. 5 All right. I'm going to take a five-minute break and 6 7 will come back. Again, we're going to take a five minute break. If you have testimony and you rather 8 just drop it off, it will be treated just the same as 9 if you are reading it. You can also email it to the 10 Speaker's office and you have until Monday to do 11 12 that. We're going to take a five minute break. 13 Thanks. 14 [Gavel] [Background comments] 15 [Gavel] 16 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. We're 17 reconvening. I want to call up the next panel. We 18 have Lester Wasserman, Florence Anthony, Richard Tax, Ryan Holt, Eric Dingman. 19 20 [Background comments] 21 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Lester Wasserman, 2.2 Florence Anthony, Richard Tax, Ryan Holt. 23 LEGAL COUNSEL: Oh. I think we called 24 already. I'm sorry.

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Erica Dingman. 3 haven't. We haven't. 4 LEGAL COUNSEL: We haven't? Okay. All right. And then you can call a few of these. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Ramon 7 Contreras. Stamatis Lilicacus. Allan Tax. Laura Taylor. Okay. Let's being. 8 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet. Quiet. 9 10 STEPHEN LACACIS: Hi. Good evening. Thank you for your patience today and this evening. 11 12 My name is Stephen Lacacis. I work at American Fur Center here in New York City. If the second 13 14 generation for company. And I've got to tell you 15 there's a few things-- I had a speech prepared for 16 today, but I'm going to just go off speech 17 altogether. The hypocrisy that is in this room

today, it's unbelievable. We are being called cruel by an organization that actually kills puppies and kittens and throws their dead bodies into dumpsters. We are being called immoral by an organization that was in this room today touting how they shut down the circus industry when three weeks ago they lost a court case for staging videos. What's amazing to me is how this organization infiltrates the circuits,

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING abuses and animal, and pins that on another industry and it is perfectly legal. Then we have another guy that comes into this chamber with a steel like trap, which is actually illegal in most states. hypocrisy is amazing. And then you hear about designers who are out of fur and, yeah, all lot of them pulled out. They pulled down of a certain type They didn't pull out of the fur industry. of fur. When you pull up the meaning of fur, it's a furbearing animal. It doesn't matter -- And I agree with you guys on some stuff. It's leather. It's Wade. It's anything that has hair on it. And if you are going to make a ban in New York City, you should ban everything. Not just a particular type of fur because they are all furbearing. Now, if you want to ban fur, ban meat. Ban dairy. Ban poultry. Ban eggs. This is the end result of what they are looking for. So if you are going to cave in to a small minority group that is going to come into this chamber and try to impose their will on us, then go all the way. And just one more thing that I want to say.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 STEPHEN LACACIS: Okay. Thank you very

3 much.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED: This hearing wants to make me cry. Not because of the animal extremists. Corey Johnson comes in here to push his agenda, grandstanding for two hours, gets up and leaves after he is done talking. What about listening to his constitutes? I am not proud to be a long-term New York Democrat. Speaker Johnson mentions this week leather will not be banned as the animal is not eaten. You mention in this hearing it's okay to kill animals to eat. Shit. Where did my other piece of paper go? Okay. I'm just going to have to wing it, then. I'm here to talk that many fur animals are eaten. That hasn't been discussed here yet. Rabbits are eaten. Afghan lamb is eaten from Afghanistan. Chinese raccoons are eaten. Chinese mink are eat-in. American raccoons are eaten. All of these animals are eat-in that are fur animals. This is not been talked about. Okay. And they are on the ban. There are many other leather animals that are not on the ban that PETA states-- I lost my quote. That they are killed only for their skins. Including ostrich, snakes, alligators, etc. and they are not eaten on

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 307
PETA's ban. So why are our animals that are eaten on the ban and their animals that are not eaten, they are allowed.

2.2

discussed.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Additionally, UGGS, who is trying to get out of this and get an exception because they say they are leather, it's not actually true. There is a quote from UGGS in their own website that says the animal is primarily not used—is eaten, but it's not all.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: This needs to be

RAMON CONTRERAS: I would start with good afternoon, but it is evening now, so good evening and thank you to the committee for the opportunity to speak before you regarding the proposed ban on the sale of fur apparel in New York City, Intro 1476. I am here to ask you not to waste our time and resources on fur, but instead focus on what really matters, keeping our communities safe. My name is Ramon Contreras and I am the cofounder of Youth Over Guns. Youth Over Guns was formed in the aftermath of the Parkland shooting amid the national outcry for

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING gun violence prevention solutions. We demand that leaders and other stakeholders invest resources into local grassroots gun violence prevention organizations that work towards reducing gun violence in communities of color. Our founding members include high school and college students from across the city who want to be safe from gun violence at school and in their communities. In November 2018, we partnered up with New Yorkers against gun violence and, in June 2018, Youth Over Guns marched across the Brooklyn Bridge. As 10,000 people, we carried a casket to symbolize the deaths in our community. marched to demand evidence-based safety measures in our schools and in our communities that are more respected than policing, prosecution, and incarceration. We also march because deaths and injuries in communities of color are barely given a second of any mainstream media outlet. Today, the city Council is examining whether to permit the sale of fur apparel in New York City, yet, in downtown Manhattan, it is still legal to purchase guns and ammunition and, while the New York City task force-and, all the while, the New York City Council task force to combat qun violence is listed as an active

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING on the city Council's website. It both shocks and saddens me that we are refusing to take action on the most serious challenge facing our city: gun violence. If our leaders want to strive to make New York City a true city upon the hill, and if we're focused on setting an example across the country as a truly progressive city, than why not ban guns and tackle the 30 percent increase in homicides across our city? It disgusts me to see what we are hiding from. we are hiding from the issues of serious importance. A fur ban is historically discriminatory and a tactic to distract us from the real issues at hand. Let's prioritize what matters to our communities and make sure true progressive values are at the forefront of the party. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Taylor. I'm visiting from Akron, New York which is a rural community outside of Buffalo. I am a Suni fashion business instructor and a PhD student at Iowa State University studying fashion sustainability. My research focus is on pre and post-consumer textile waste. I hope the committee finds my testimony helpful in deciding on this multi-faceted issue. In 2015, the textile industry generated 16.03 million

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING tons of waste. Out of that waste, only 15.3 percent was recycled and 10.53 million tons landed in landfills. That's EPA 2018 report. The fashion industry generates tremendous pre-consumer and postconsumer fabric waste each year which negatively impacts the environment. At the pre-consumer level, fur manufacturers use cutting and sewing practices These practices developed over that minimize waste. time as a result of the high value of fur and the economic benefits of using the entire fur. The value of faux fur is significantly less as synthetic acrylic is inexpensive to produce. Less emphasis is given to zero waste cutting and manufacturing techniques in the production of faux fur. produces higher waste at the pre-consumer level. the post-consumer level, real fur, there-- the life of the garment is extended because it is typically passed down where faux fur is typically thrown away. At the disposal level, synthetic textile waste is hard to be safely burned due to it's chemical composition and it's difficult to be buried in landfills because of it's slow decomposition rate. Faux fur in landfills increase synthetic fiber

particles in our soil and water. In contrast,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 natural furs decompose. Today we've hear that enforcing this ban could hurt humans and not 3 enforcing this ban could hurt animals and I'm 4 5 suggesting that, because of the lack of decomposition 6 of synthetic particles that having a ban on fur that 7 does not also address the economic, environmental, and societal aspects of synthetic fur--8 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: 9 Thank you. is bad for both. 10 LAURA TAYLOR: CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Got it. 11 12 RICHARD TAX: Hello. My name is Richard Tax and I am here with my son, Christopher. I'm a 13 fourth-generation furrier. Chris is hoping to follow 14 15 me in the family business. We employ people, pay 16 rent, and pay our city taxes. This is actually a very simple matter. This is a matter of choice. 17 18 you don't like fur, don't buy it. Protest it. That is your right. That is the right given by America. 19 20 They should not be a governmental issue at all. Make no mistake. These people are coming to take away 21 2.2 your fur, leather, down, fish, meat, milk, eggs. 23 Yes. Your New York pizza. Someone mentioned that 24 today. Your right to fish and hunt. And, yes.

Animal medical research that has saved tens of

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING millions of people. They admit this. They had been applauding it all day long. Okay. The argument that other cities are banning furs and that some designers are using it is not a valid argument. This is just like saying to your mother, well, my friend did it, so so can I. Okay. This is called personal liberty and freedom of choice which is the basis of our great nation and the talk of workers transitioning to other jobs and the city taking care of them is a farce. They will be completely forgotten by the city and the designers if this ban passes. To think otherwise is completely naïve. Okay. I certain do not believe that 75 percent of new Yorkers support this ban. would like to see the questions asked and the conditions that the poll was conducted. I assume it was funded by the anti-fur people. The animal extremists have equated furs to slavery many times Those are the type of people pushing this today. ban. Choice. Choice. While I still have a choice, I am taking my son out for a nice bloody steak now which-- we'll get a thumbs down from everyone else here, but until the government decides to legislate against that, I am able to. Council member, I would gladly like you ready my shirt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You have to read
3	You have to sit down and you can't approach the desk.
4	And speak to the microphone.
5	[Background comments]
6	RICHARD TAX: Can you read it?
7	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah. I've got 20-
8	20 vision. I can see.
9	RICHARD TAX: This is a quote by Thomas
10	Jefferson.
11	[Background comments]
12	RICHARD TAX: Can you read it?
13	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah. I got
14	it.
15	[Background comments]
16	RICHARD TAX: Can you read it for the
17	record?
18	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I think your time
19	is up. But that
20	[Laughter]
21	RICHARD TAX: Can you please read it for
22	the record?
23	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You can read it on
24	the microphone.
	_

RICHARD TAX: Okay.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I'm not here to
3	read your shirt, man.
4	RICHARD TAX: A government big enough
5	[Laughter]
6	RICHARD TAX: A government big enough to
7	take away everything you want is strong enough to
8	take everything that you have. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank
10	you.
11	LEGAL COUNSEL: I don't know which side
12	is scarier.
13	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [inaudible 06:10:48] we
14	kick you out now.
15	[Background comments]
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. Up next
17	we have Praticshia Patel.
18	LEGAL COUNSEL: Patel.
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Patel?
20	LEGAL COUNSEL: Yeah.
21	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Patel. Praticshia
22	Patel. Sylvia Hyzal. Farrah Salmon. Meredith
23	Shriver. Nathan Semel.
24	[Background comments]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	LEGAL COUNSEL: Thanks. Let me see
3	that.
4	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Irene Gaudy.
5	Rockwell Schwartz.
6	[Background comments]
7	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: John de Leonard.
8	[Background comments]
9	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Stephen Walsh.
10	Chris Tapp. Leah Amanatitis. Corey Bea. Mac
11	Schmidt. Nicole Damon. Maryanne Presad. Christen
12	Berger. Kaitlyn Saffaryte. Rachel Eisment. Sherrie
13	Ramsey.
14	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet. Shhh
15	[Background comments]
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Theresa Russo.
17	Andrea Katz. Blair Marshall.
18	BLAIR MARSHALL: Here.
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You can begin.
20	NICOLE DAMON: Okay. So I cut mine
21	pretty short, but I submitted it to you guys
22	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah.
23	NICOLE DAMON: [inaudible 06:12:36]. I
24	just want to say my name is Nicole Damon and a 10
25	year resident of Williamsburg, Brooklyn. So city

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Council member Antonio Reynoso is my district Council member. Basically, when I was a kid, my aunt said to me that animals were a test of our character. said that we must treat them with kindness and not because they have rights, but really because they don't because they are completely and totally at our mercy. So, some people argue that wearing fur is a personal choice, but this is not considerate of the animals whose life had value to them. In the name of fashion, we have condemned them to a life of confinement, monetized their bodies, and then labeled then do no dry-clean. No one-- I don't think anyone would like this to happen to this cat or dog, so just because we have always done something doesn't make it right and, certainly, no tradition where-- excuse me. I'm very nervous. Where cruelty is inherent is one worth keeping. That's all I'd like to say. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

PRATEKSHA PATEL: Good evening. My name is Prateksha Patel. I live in Brooklyn. I am a small business owner, veterinarian, and copresident of the PT of PS 32, my children's school. My councilman is Carlos Menchaca. Thank you for the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING opportunity to let me speak in support of the fur ban, Intro 1476. I have included animals in my circle of care and compassion from a very young age. As a graduate of Perdue University veterinary school in 2005, I wholeheartedly accepted a professional of to protect and do no harm. In 2010, I joined the National Disaster Medical system, serving the United States as a veterinary medical officer to widen my circle of care and compassion to include strays and farm animals caught in disasters. My role also includes caring for canine working dogs. I am here today to broaden my circle once again. I consult on cruelty investigations, interpreting body posture, eye and ear positioning, and other cues to educate my audience on the stress and inhumanity of confined living conditions. I have reviewed the Fur Commission USA site and is seen the cages and seemingly endless rows across many buildings. #it, in the US alone, 3 million Mink were caged in 2017. Though the site claims that these animals are domesticated in use to living in cages, living in a cage itself is a form of torture. Nothing thrives in a cage. I understand some of you may think about this issue through its economic impact. I to stop

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and think about my neighbors and others in this industry. I think about their livelihoods and have care and compassion for them. But I know that, given all of my experiences so far, that banning the sale of for in New York City is the right thing to do for the animals and for the people who kill, cage, and skin then. Constant exposure to violence can be traumatizing. It's an injustice to the laborer who earns their living by locking cages and sharpening knives for their fellow humans. There is no glamour in this industry.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

BLAIR MARSHALL: Good evening. My name is Blair Marshall. I live in Queens and my councilman is Peter Koo. Historically in this country, the most vulnerable of been overlooked and made use of, but we have seen the truth and amended our laws. Animal rights is one of the next frontiers. Animals are uniquely unprotected. They have no voice in the legislative process. We are there voice and we must be their voice. It is so important to remember defenseless animals are utterly dependent on us humans to be ethical and to not exploit them. To quote from the beloved children's

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 210 book, black beauty, we call them dumb animals and so they are for they cannot tell us how they feel, but they do not suffer less because they have no words. We must listen to their pain. We must pass this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bill. Thank you.

STEPHEN WALSH: Hello. My name is Stephen Walsh and I live in nearby Long Island and I strongly support Bill 1476 A two banned the sale of for in New York because fur production is cruel to animals, unnecessary, and environmentally unsustainable. Each year, the fur industry kills millions of animals while they're still alive, subjecting them to electrocution, poisoning, guessing, or neck breaking. Over the years, many fashion designers have stopped using real fur after realizing the intents cruelty and suffering animals have to endure. It is 2019 and people are now in favor of fake fur and other cruelty free alternatives. Those who argue against the fur ban are selfish. Money centric capitalists who do not care about the animals. Freedom of choice should not apply as long as there are victims within these evil industries. The majority of New Yorkers, from what I

have read, upwards of 75 percent support of ban on

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 300 for. As a progressive and compassionate state, we should align our actions with our beliefs and vote yes to ban fur. I urge New York to support this bill. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Good evening. SHERRIE RAMSEY: My name is Sherrie Ramsey and I am testifying of the Policy Advisor on legislation on animal cruelty for the Voters for Animal Rights. I'm a New York City resident and I live in Helen Rosenthal's district. I'm also a licensed attorney in New York, New Jersey, and Virginia and have also served as an adjunct professor teaching animal law here in New York at New York Law School and CUNY Law School. I support bill Intro 1476. When I teach animal law to the students in my class, I spend a lot of time talking to them about animal cruelty. I take time to go over all the laws and I am very proud that New York is the first state to have enacted animal cruelty laws around the country and many states model the laws. And I talked to them about some of the cases that I have personally either prosecuted or helped prosecute of animal cruelty and explained to them how our laws are enacted to protect animals. But, inevitably, when we get to the part of the text where we talk about for

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
and I show them the videos, undoubtedly, the students
start to raise their hands and ask a how this
horrible treatment of animals could be legal under
our laws. The students get very upset when I show
them videos like we saw today. And also some are
even left to tears. They cannot understand how this
conduct could still be legal in our country. They
remind me that I just told them that, in most states
in this country gassing animals is illegal. Why?
Because it's considered horribly cruel. It's a
terrible way to die. And so, they can't understand
why, if it's illegal in both states to gas animals in
shelters, why is it legal to do it to animals here?
How could we possibly conscious anally electrocuting
animals? And I explained to them that's because the
pelt is the only thing that matters. And they can't
understand that. So sadly I'm sort of unable to
answer their inevitable questions on how this
nameless cruelty can still be legal. So I urge you
to support this bill so that I can tell my next class
that this cruelty is in fact illegal.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

25 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

SHERRIE RAMSEY: At least in New York.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

[Background comments]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RACHEL EDGEMONT: Hi. Good evening everyone. I'd like to start off by saying my name is Rachel Edgemont and I reside in Bellerose, Queens New York and my district council member is Barry Grodenchik. I'm here today like everybody else on our team here to ask you to please support Intro 1476 to ban fur in New York City. I believe it's high time for elected officials to discuss the issue of current interest. Namely, the very urgent problem of animal abuse. Every day we hear about organizations and industries moving away from conducting and using animals for scientific research. And/or for profit. It is not surprising that mass media helps with the spread of alternative normative policies that prove how important animal protection is. But your animal cruelty laws are not enough for the protection of these animals. Therefore, many feel they can get away with the infringement of such laws and continue their path of abuse against the innocent segment of society. One who are and will always be dependent on our protection. I lived a life of privilege. As such, for most of my adult life I have worn furs and skins of animals. On one day I realized that this

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
2	privileged life I was leaving felt incongruous and
3	incompatible with the culture of humanity and
4	morality that I thought was inherent to civilized
5	life. Treating animals should orient towards only
6	not only animal laws, but all our own moral norms.
7	Animal protection should be a priority for every
8	self-respecting human being. Finally, I need to
9	express to you that there is no need to be cruel to
10	animals to stay warm or to look glamorous. Or to
11	even combat malnutrition. If you choose to set aside
12	this matter, you will have only shifted the animal
13	cruelty discourse central to the US national story.
14	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
15	RACHEL EDGEMONT: And you will responsible
16	putting
17	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
18	RACHEL EDGEMONT: humanitarians against
19	nonhumanitarians and when these policies are being
20	debated in your legislators, which side will you be
21	on?
22	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
23	RACHEL EDGEMONT: Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Next panel we have
25	Jeffrey Peters, Jeffrey Getters, Charles Nucleus or

- COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
- 2 Neoclos. Kim Salvo or Kim Salvio. Stacie Lippan.
- 3 | Samantha Ortiz. I'm not sure what this is.
- 4 LEGAL COUNSEL: Maybe just go for--
- 5 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah.
- 6 LEGAL COUNSEL: If there's a--
- 7 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Terry Gravy from
- 8 | Fur NYC. Terry Granny.
- 9 LEGAL COUNSEL: Okay. This is the rest
- 10 of those.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Demetri
- 12 Philipides. Thomas Laks. Aleena Goikman. Ritalin
- 13 | Foreman. Eddie-- Eddry Wan.
- 14 LEGAL COUNSEL: Okay. Here's the rest.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You can begin.
- 16 UNIDENTIFIED: I want to think the
- 17 counsel for the opportunity to set before them and
- 18 discuss the matter. I would also like to thank
- 19 | everybody who is here today for sharing their views.
- 20 Whether-- no matter which side you are on. Both
- 21 | sides needed to be heard. I happen to be a vegan.
- 22 | Not a vegan. I happen to be a person who has a vegan
- 23 diet. I've been in the fur business for 35 years and
- 24 one I am seeing today is I'm hearing to valid sides.
- 25 New York has always been a leader in the world in

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
policy and leading the way. I think that what all of
us need to do is look at ourselves in the mirror.
Think about both science and our councilperson, Corey
Johnson, said something earlier. He said if we could
find a way to work this out, we should. I think that
that's what's needs to be thought about. I would
like the council to consider that. I know furriers
for a long, long time and I don't know any furrier
who wouldn't be or who would have an objection to
working things out. So I would like to the council
to consider that. But again, no furrier is, that I
know of, and I've been in the business for a long
period of time and we talked behind the scenes. No
furrier that I know of has ever thought about being
cruel to animals and that is not their agenda. And
lastly I'd like to say is we all need to look
ourselves in the mirror again. When you point the
finger at somebody else, you're pointing three back
at yourself. I've seen a lot of anger on both sides
today, again, this is not the United States that I
know of. This is not the country that I know of and
we need to consider getting together and working this
out. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ALEENA GOIKMAN: Hello. My name is Aleena Goikman and I wanted to say thank you for the opportunity to testify that day. I will be testifying on behalf of Ivel (sp?) international, a family-owned business that was started by immigrants. My father has been working in this industry his entire life and continue to do so when he immigrated here as a refugee. He has dedicated his entire life by investing in this industry with his hard work. Six days a week from 5 AM to 5 PM. This band will cause him to lose his company, Ivel International and he will no longer be able to support our family. employees, who are over 50 years old, would lose their jobs and would not be able to find the engines. And, in effect, not be able to support their families. His business, as well as hundreds of others, will immediately go bankrupt and cause financial loss to so many people. Please don't take our freedom away. After all, this government is for the fiscal and, therefore, should respect people's choices in their lives. This is an emotional, personal, and economical decision that will impact many lives. Many family-owned businesses in the fur industries will cease to exist in New York City.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 277

Families will suffer immensely because of the financial loss that would directly affect them. The critical concern of all the people who testified today is to support their families. As a child of an immigrant family who came to this country because of all the freedom and opportunity it offered, the decision of the fur ban would suppress our freedom of choice and, in effect, a financial burden on my future as I study in college. As the first for an American, I value and cherish my country and hope that the decision will not limit my opportunity to succeed. Thank you very much.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

EDDIE WAN: My name is Eddie Wan. I am living in Queens and I am a 30 years old-- mean, 30 years in for business between Hong Kong and USA and I am an American Chinese in a family of four. And I would lose my job in many American and Asian related person making a living in the fur industry between USA, Canada, and Hong Kong would lose their jobs. And now I don't understand because how animals support the human living, we worry about animals but not furrier child? I came to America because it's a country and freedom of choice, not to tell me not and

we can wear. Humans eat beef and chicken, then where [inaudible 06:29:20] get their meat and food come from? Many American Asian and especially love to wear for. I have emails of my friends and family and business acquaintances and sign the petition, for pages to 80 to [inaudible 06:29:38] the legislation director against fur ban. Please stop the fur ban. And more humans to put resource in gun ban. Not fur ban. Stop the fur ban. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

RHEA LYNN FOREMAN: My name is Rhea Lynn Foreman and I am here today to speak on behalf of the Humane Education Committee of the United Federation of Teachers. We worked with hundreds of teachers throughout the city to turn key humane education into their classrooms and teach our youth to demonstrate empathy, compassion, and kindness towards people, animals, and the environment we Today, we have an opportunity to come share. together as global citizens and ban the unimaginably cruel act of the industrial scale killing of animals for their skin. Animals suffer immense pain and torture for humans to wear them. These innocent animals endure horrors that we would not wish on her worst enemies simply because people like the way

```
COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING
their coat looks and feels. Luckily, technological
and other nations have already provided us with so
many alternatives to further that are cruelty free.
I'm skipping a lot because a lot of it has been said
and time is so limited. Humane education--
educators work hard to teach our youth to be caring
and considerate of all sentient beings. How could we
do this effectively when our laws support industries
that ask you to dampen their empathy and the
consumers of harmful products like those offered by
the fur industry? Our laws must model the values
that we want our youth to emulate. Help us follow
the state mandate of humane education laws and
support the end of cruel practices by supporting
Intro bill 1476 and a just, sustainable, equitable
future for all animals. Humans included.
           CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
          Thanks for testifying. We have Jill Lori.
you all.
Sherit Shmalevitz. Frederica Miller or Mueller.
Catherine Casey. Margaret Lee. Joyce Friedman.
           [Background comments]
           CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yuki Endo.
Mitchell Adelman.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 LEGAL COUNSEL: All right. 3 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Dolores Ferraro. 4 Dolores Ferraro. She left. 5 UNIDENTIFIED: 6 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Yeah. 7 may begin. 8 JILL LAURIE: Hello. My name is Jill Laurie. I am a licensed clinical social worker. 9 Today I am here as the voice of the animals. Thank 10 11 you councilmembers for holding this public forum where the cries of the animals can be heard. 12 13 of us who have been fortunate enough to have 14 relationships with animals know that they experience 15 pain just as we do. We also understand their 16 capacity to love and offer us unconditional love. 17 With that comes a moral responsibility on our part to 18 protect and illuminate practices that cause them pain and suffering. In the case of fur used in clothing, 19 20 this cruelty is perpetrated for reasons of fear, greed, vanity, and ignorance. The brutality that we 21 2.2 as a society inflict on animals has repercussions 23 beyond the pain of the animals. We are all interconnected. Human and nonhuman animals. Just as 24

when you tossed a pebble into a body of water it is

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING ripple effects throughout, so does our cruelty towards animals boomerang back to us. desensitization to the suffering of other living beings perpetrates violence and compromises the fabric of our society. We know in our hearts and souls that no good can come from it. Rather than a choice between humans and animals, this is the choice between love and fear. So, Council members, I ask that as you review today's testimonies, you be compassionate enough to listen and hear the pain and suffering of the animals, wise enough to see the big picture of what condoning barbaric practices towards animals creates for all of us, humble enough to admit that we have been wrong for tolerating the abuse of animals, and courageous enough to act to remedy this injustice and pass Intro 1476 A into law. Thank you. CATHERINE CASEY: Hello. My name is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Catherine Casey. I'm a midtown East resident in

Keith Powers District 4. Support intro 1476 and I

urge the committee member powers to do the same.

Unfortunately, the fur industry is, by definition, a

violent and abusive one. That's the bottom line and
that's why it needs to go. We see storms of public

outrage at news reports about dogs thrown from cars

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING and lions killed as trophies, yet that I'm worse is being done every day behind closed doors to millions of animals and we call it an industry. Let's also call it institutionalized cruelty for profit. time for it to go. I would just like to say for the record others have focused on some of the more grotesque aspects of the abuse of the fur industry. But had to those the idea of being a wild animal and normalized for the full of your blighted life. With no hope of reprieve. That's no small thing. Is real, relentless torment that is an undeniable tool of the trade. Some of claimed the ban on fur would somehow violate our freedoms. This suggestion is. Freedom doesn't or should we mean freedom to indulge our vanity at the cost of the creature's skins. Haven't we learned by now the brutality is seldom an earmark of freedom? Does anyone really believe we have the right to inflict a lifetime of desolation, fear, and pain on a sentient creature just because we want to? Or that one's desire to play another being for a status hairband should be protected or honored in the name of freedom? Common decency screens that we have no such right. Every inclination to mercy screams now. And, by the way, someone had mentioned

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on consumer affairs and Business Licensing earlier that nobody is representing the viewpoint of the consumer here. We're all representing the viewpoint of the consumer because we are all consumers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

[Laughter]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you, Chair Espinal JOYCE FRIEDMAN: and members of the committee on consumer affairs. Μv name is Joyce Friedman and I'm a constituent of counsel member Karen Koslowitz. I'm on the board of directors for Voters for Animal Rights and I am a formal social worker. I thank you very much for the opportunity to speak in support of 1476. I encourage the members of the committee and the full Council too, for one moment, focus on the one basic fact of this issue and to ignore all of these attempts to obfuscate the fundamental fact. Right now at this very moment, there are tens of millions of animals suffering, becoming psychotic in cages, screaming in pain and traps. Right now, send me aquatic animals such as believers and their families are struggling in underwater traps they find themselves caught in in which they slowly and painfully drowned. animals are why we are here today in support of this

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING So, please, when considering your vote, think of these individual animals and please way the pain and suffering of them against the claims made by the fur industry and its supporters. For example, a veterinarian who gets paid by furriers says that animal welfare is high in Denmark on fur farms. is it in any way humane to keep the wild animal in a cage and then kill them? It is quite simple. third trade is animal abuse. Personal choice? Not when century and feeling animals are being tortured. We all know and you all know has lawmakers, that laws exist to restrict many of our choices. We can name thousands of laws that restrict our choices for good reason. And for the business owners who made a living from this barbaric industry, they can sell clothes and prosper by using other materials. Experts have said skills are transferable. But the main point is businesses evolve and we evolve. People with support must adapt. For the millions of wild animals suffering and barbarically killed, this means everything. This bill means everything. councilmembers, you have this incredible opportunity to take a stand against animal abuse and cruelty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 225 trust in your humanity and wisdom. Please lead us to a more humane world. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

REBECCA MILVICH: Thanks for giving us the opportunity to going to speak to you again for staying so late. My name is Rebecca Milvich. I live in East Village, Carlina Rivera's district. I am an accessories designer and I work in the fashion industry in Manhattan. All laws, trades, and societal decisions must be reverified all over again by every generation and every individual. For the sake of human rights standards, community health and enrichment, and to respect the ecological balance, which includes the sentient beings that depend on our intellect and compassion to have a right for livelihood. As every generation carries certain misconceptions, excuse me, delusions, prejudices, and inherent practices and industries, only through constant rediscovery of, unbiased research can education and mankind and our society truly advance. For an skin is not fabric. These furriers don't actually know what this proposed bill is all about, as the majority of them and never been to a fur farm themselves. They turned that she can use words like strict regulation to hide behind greed. Wild animal

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING welfare is not a concern. Only the quality of the skins and pelts are important. No real that would recommend that a wild animal the bread in a cage. Period. It is an industry that is regulating torture, not welfare regulation. There is nothing unethical about using skin or for, even if the scan is a byproduct. I hope the designers here today and the Council will recognize this as a fact. furriers that are distraught have it easy compared to the thousands, hundreds of thousands, millions of animals that have been tortured for them. For their families, to make money off of vanity. I don't feel sorry for their business owners or their employees. They have had a lot of time to prepare. We have a problem in the society and it's called violence. I'll leave it in there. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. [inaudible 06:42:30] UNIDENTIFIED: nonprofit organization working [inaudible 06:42:38] New York City for adoption. I support speaker Corey Johnson's Intro bill 1476 A. [inaudible 06:42:52] in New York City. Is [inaudible 06:43:03]. Fur industry [inaudible 06:43:27]. Fur free fashion designer.

[inaudible 06:43:51] department store. [inaudible

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 06:43:53]. In support of for and in New York City because [inaudible 06:44:14] speaker Corey Johnson [inaudible 06:44:22] if possible. [inaudible 06:44:30]. African white dog and coyote [inaudible 06:44:47].

 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I'm
 - CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I'm going to call the next panel. Tina Utze. Angela Anastasio. Galasia-- Galatia Aspro. Eric Ruskas. Legya Silva. Pablo Navarrete. Pablo Navarrete.

[Background comments]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay.

LEHE SILVA: Hi. Good day. My name is

Lehe Silva. I came today because I want to say to
you people, I want to protect my job. I work for-in this market in 17 years and I have three little
girls I want to support. So if I lost my job, what
can I do? I'm asking those people for fur ban,
they're coming to me to and give me money for pay the
rent? Or pay the future for my daughters? How I go
to my daughters and say, honey, I'm sorry. You can't
go to the [inaudible 06:46:03] college because mom
lost the job? It's not east in this time to find
job. So, please. I don't want to [inaudible
06:46:13] that I have more people inside my place.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING								
2	Same thing like my life. I want to say those people								
3	can have to the other ones. When the [inaudible								
4	06:26:28] not close the business, close jobs for								
5	people. Why not help poor people that come into the								
6	jobs? Why no help to the people who live in the								
7	street? We prefer animals or we prefer humans.								
8	God, when creates the world, give to animals to what?								
9	For some reasons. I don't want to say something								
10	else, but please, I really appreciate you giving me								
11	the opportunity to talk about this, but I want to								
12	give future to my daughters. Thank you so much.								
13	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. Any								
10	CHAIRFERSON ESFINAL. ALL LIGHT. Ally								
14	[Applause]								
	_								
14	[Applause]								
14 15	[Applause] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Any furriers that								
14 15 16	[Applause] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Any furriers that submitted a card and didn't get called? Okay. All								
14 15 16 17	[Applause] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Any furriers that submitted a card and didn't get called? Okay. All right. Great. Thank you. So everyone who I'm going								
14 15 16 17	[Applause] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Any furriers that submitted a card and didn't get called? Okay. All right. Great. Thank you. So everyone who I'm going to call up next are all in favor of this bill, being								
14 15 16 17 18	[Applause] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Any furriers that submitted a card and didn't get called? Okay. All right. Great. Thank you. So everyone who I'm going to call up next are all in favor of this bill, being that it's all that's left here.								
14 15 16 17 18 19	[Applause] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Any furriers that submitted a card and didn't get called? Okay. All right. Great. Thank you. So everyone who I'm going to call up next are all in favor of this bill, being that it's all that's left here. LEGAL COUNSEL: Or that's what's								
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[Applause] CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Any furriers that submitted a card and didn't get called? Okay. All right. Great. Thank you. So everyone who I'm going to call up next are all in favor of this bill, being that it's all that's left here. LEGAL COUNSEL: Or that's what's indicated on the slips.								

25 [Laughter]

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I think we've all I'm sure everyone wants to say it, as heard it all. well. But you can leave testimony at the table or you can email it. With that said, we have Jeffrey Munch, Maria Camila Burstica, John Kristolfer, Rebecca Milvich, Jabari Brisport, Christina Gavino, Lola Columbus, Michael Dalin, Donnie Mass, Luis We have a few here. I'm just-- all Hazel. Yeah. right. So let me just call these names out. table is full, but let me just call names to get the idea who is here. We have Cindy Kaplan. Is she here? Okay. Ms. Denise Walsh. Greg McGonagall. Marilyn Zucker. Okay. All right. You'll be the next and last panel. Did you submit?

[Background comments]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Yeah.

JABARI BRISPORT: Cool. All right. My name is Jabari Brisport. I live in district 35,
Laurie Cumbo's district. I want to thank you for your time here. I'm a public school teacher and a board member of Voters for Animal rights. I want to thank you again for listening. I'm speaking in favor of Intro 1476. Let the record state that I am a black man. I've been black my whole life. I promise. I

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING And I want to say that no one in my big black family that I know of wears real fur. My mother has this fake fur coat that she wears once in a blue I have a winter coat lined with fake fur. It's actually made-- it's a very soft material name satifur made from hemp fibers and recycled bottles and you didn't need to murder anybody and rip their skin off to get it. So it's weird to me to hear that the fur industry is saying that banning fur is racist. It's weird that people who look like me were bribed with a 250 dollar amex card to coming to City Hall last week and holding up signs for a photo op. And it's sad that people who probably really needed that money were used and tokenized last week. And, you know, it's weird, but I actually find it not surprising are-- Our country has a long history of using black bodies to further agendas. However, I rest assured knowing that any black person with a knowledge of American History can understand that sometimes an industry needs to die because sometimes that industry is being propped up by predominantly white people. Predominantly white men whose main argument is that they'll lose their job and they don't car that that job requires making someone

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING scream or that the job requires making someone bleed or that the job requires making someone die. New York City is a progressive leader in so many respects, but we're not in the 21st Century when it comes to fur. So I sincerely hope the city Council votes to bring New York City into modern times as opposed to sustaining an industry started by cavemen. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DONNIE MOSS: My name is Donnie Moss. live in council district 3. I support the bill and I'd actually like to point out, Council member Espinal, that the vast majority, if not all of the people who remain in this room, are-- support this bill, but we have nothing to gain or lose personally is this bill is passed. Yet the fur industry, which profits off of this trade, they're gone. So I'd like for you to convey to your fellow Council members on this committee that the people who stayed until the end to testify were supporters of this bill who had nothing to gain if it passes or doesn't pass. I'd also like to dedicate just five seconds of my time to-- for a moment of silence for the 100 million victims of the fur trade who will die this year. The veterinarian from Denmark, she said she was a fur--

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING she was a fur industry representative said-- she complained that there was a lot of misinformation being spread. I don't know if you remember that testimony. And in her next breath, she said that animals in the fur industry are euthanized. Euthanasia is an act of kindness reserved for sick and dying individuals. The fur industry doesn't euthanize individuals. They murder them. And it's this kind of humane washing that leads unsuspecting consumers in New York City to buy real fur thinking that they're doing something that's okay. This industry is built on lies which is why it's hidden. I don't-- Council member Espinal, I think that one of the fur industry reps said that you were invited into the showroom to see how they work, but have the-- have you been invited onto a fur factory farm where these animals are intensively confined and spin in circles because they've gone insane? A mink who spends-- Who lives on 200-- 2500 acres, an aquatic animal, is confined in a cage for life? That was just the beginning.

[laughter]

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LULA COLUMBUS: Hello. Thank you for this opportunity. My name is Lula Columbus and I am

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING a New York City consumer and I just wanted to add my two cents. Fur is completely unnecessary in today's society. We are not walking around naked like our ancestors once did. Today, a fur coat is considered a status symbol and/or fashion statement. And let's start calling it what it really is: it is a skin coat because the fur rests upon the skin of the animal of which it is torn. Most of the time, this happens while the animal is still alive and conscious and completely aware of what has just happened. Its helpless, bleeding body is then thrown into a pile with other skinned animals, some still conscious while they lay there in excruciating pain until they eventually expire. This is the material of horror It should not exist in a civilized society. movies. Many top designers and retailers have already realized this and gone fur-free and technologies exist to produce eco-friendly faux fur. We stand before you today asking you to finally put an end to this brutal, outdated atrocity by passing Intro 1476. Send it into the past where it belongs. Thank you. MICHAEL DAWLING: My name is Michael Dawling. I'm a Park Slope resident and I fully

support Intro 1476. I am on the board of directors

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING of Tamarline (sp?) Sanctuary and Preserve in New Jersey whose founders are current residents of Tribeca and fully support this ban, as well. Our mission at Tamarline is to rescue and protect animals who are abused and neglected and, as a preserve, we are dedicated to the conservation and protection of wildlife. On our 336 acre sanctuary, we are home to coyotes, rabbits, and fox. The same animals that the fur industry would love to turn into a fur coat, a pom pom on top of a hat, a pointless trim on a coat, a keychain, earmuffs, or a cell case. We are lucky enough to live side-by-side with these beautiful animals and we are honored to protect them. I have seen what leg hole traps can do to a mother who was out looking for food for her children. I have seen coyotes missing feet and limbs, covered in blood, guaranteed to die a slow, painful death because they were so desperate to escape a trap. I have seen how the fur industry tears families apart. I have seen how the greed of the fur industry destroys lives and I have seen how compassionate people cry when they see footage of what the fur industry does to these animals. At our sanctuary, we give tours to the public. Many of who travel to us from New York City

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING and on these tours, we educate people about the mistreatment of animals by human hands. What we see from our outreach is a generation who is turning away from animal cruelty. We see a generation turning towards compassion and justice and we see a generation that is turning away from fur. We at Tamarline urge the city Council to follow in the footsteps of other great cities and ban the barbaric fur industry from our own. When Trump was elected and people feared for their freedom, the leaders of New York City declared that we would be a sanctuary city for all who needed protection. From one sanctuary to another, please protect these animals who cannot speak for themselves and support Intro 1476.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN: Hello. My name is John. Oh, thank you. My name is John and I'm from the Bronx and I want to thank you guys personally for giving us this animal shelter that we needed for so long. For so many years. State of the art animal shelter I understand, too. I mean, we're moving up. You know, not just any run-of-the-mill shelter. You know, we're going to be a shining example to others of what we got and what they can have. And with this bill,

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING we can also be that same shining example to others of what we've done and what we recognize and what we can become down the road. Not just us, but the little Little kids who are growing up now, you know, who need an influence on them to make them better people than we are. You know, make this world a better place. And what better way to influence them rather-- You know, we-- Just by showing them that it's not just about us. Okay? It's not just about It's not just about people. Okay. It's about, you know, it's about the little hamsters. It's about the little mice. It's about the animals we are killing in Africa that they may never see in real life because we still consider animals to be dumb animals. They're stupid. They don't know anything. They're not like us. You know, they're idiots. know, we throw them in a cage and we use them. I mean, that's pretty barbaric thinking and anybody who's actually had an animal look into your eyes and look into you, not through you or past you or at you, but into you, knows that they're just like us. You know, and let's go. 1476. Okay. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you guys.

Cindy Kaplan. Denise Walsh. Greg McGonagall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 247
Marilyn Zucker. And yes. What's your name, ma'am?
Felicia Greenfield? Please, you-- You may begin.

4 You may begin.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CINDY KAPLAN: Good evening. My name is Cindy Kaplan. I am a native New Yorker and my council representative is Alan Miesel. I am here as a representative of the voiceless innocents, the animals of planet earth, in support of Intro 1476. It is well known and said that animals not only have intelligence, they have emotional lives and experience physical pain as we humans do. question is not can they reason nor can they talk, but can they suffer? Why should the law refuse its protection to any sensitive being? Jeremy Bentham, 1789, an introduction to the principals of morals and legislation. The bottom line is there is no rationalizing the torture of living, sentient beings. It is unconscionable. No ifs, ands, or buts. As for displaced workers, my own heart goes out to them. Let's discuss how we can help them, too, to transition, Segway, sustain themselves during the transition. But as a civilized progressive, ever evolving compassionate culture, society, and great city, banning the torture of animals must trump

economics issues just as economic benefits never justified slavery. Many of the anti-ban arguments made here today such as how it's economically vital to a certain segment of the populace and how it is an important cultural aspect for the African American community could have been made in support of slavery in the American south once upon a time in this country. Wrong is wrong and the time is here for the abolition of fur. This is a historic, monumental, defining moment in New York City history. Please vote your conscience and be on the right side of history and thank you so much for giving us all this chance to speak and for listening to us. Thank you so much.

2.2

GREG MCGONAGALL: All right. Hello. My name is Greg McGonagall. As a former resident of Bensoners, Brooklyn, I'm very happy the Council member Justin Brannon is supporting Intro 1476 and I encourage his colleagues to do the same. When I look at the other side, what I notice most is the mention of jobs and tradition. They feel if the sale of fur is banned through the five boroughs, they will be the victim of something unjust. In reality, that couldn't be further from the truth. In grand scheme

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING of things, the actual victims are the ones without a choice or a chance. The ones who are bread on fur farms with virtually no space to move throughout their abbreviated lives. The ones who are caught in their natural habitat, left for days in traps without food or water, only to eventually be shot in the The ones who are skinned alive or anally head. electrocuted so they can be draped on a human's body. Even though there are countless alternatives that are not only more affordable, but extremely more ethical. The other side is worried about their livelihood. They want their fellow citizens to believe that, if fur is banned, they will not have the ability to work in any other field that is less cruel. If anyone in their room believes that, they must give these men and women more credit. It may be easier for them to do what they have always done, but as we have learned time and time again, throughout the history of nation and the world, tradition doesn't justify something that is flat out immoral. There are countless opportunities for these individuals to work in fields, including apparel, where people would be happy to spend their money in a more conscious manner, including everyone in this room. However, as

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 15 stands right now, these men and women are contributing to something which is a blemish on our great city. We have an excellent opportunity to do right by everyone and I sincerely hope that justice is served for all involved, including the true victims who have no say in this room tonight. Thank you. Sorry.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

DENISE WALSH: That's okay. Thank you members of the committee for allowing me to speak in favor of the proposed bill to prohibit the sale of fur apparel in New York City Intro 1476. I also greatly appreciate the Council Speaker Corey Johnson and respective members sponsored this important and compassionate legislation. My name is Denise Walsh and I'm from Bayside Queens, District 19. York City Council member is Paul Vallone. The time has come to stop selling fur, which is a product of a horrific killing of animals such as foxes, coyotes, minks, rabbits and, yes, even millions of dogs and cats in China. It is a fact and it is the truth that each such animal will suffer an unspeakable and barbaric death. It's awful. And prior to, the animals suffer tremendously by being kept in miserable wire cages on so-called fur farms. Wild

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING animals love to roam for miles every day, but in these facilities, they are denied their natural existence and, instead, are perversely confined to small cages. How terrible. And those trapped in the They will suffer alone until wild fair no better. the trapper arrives to bludgeon them to death. Terrible. Further, in the US and other countries such as China, there are simply no animal welfare laws and regulations to protect these vulnerable furbearing animals being held captive. Think about it. The persons on the fur farms or trappers can do whatever they want and indeed they do. They go on to kill these animals in the most excruciatingly painful manner and do so with complete impunity. persons have decided not to wear fur because it inflicts a horrific and painful death on the animals. Countless designers have dropped fur, as well. cities of San Francisco, Los Angeles, West Hollywood, and Berkeley have enacted bans on the sale of fur apparel. A recent poll showed that 75 percent of New York City respondents support this city-wide law to prohibit the sale of fur. And I ask the city to please always remember that. In concluding, as a society, we owe it to ourselves and these innocent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

helpless animals to finally say no to the atrocity of torturing animals to death for their fur. As the fashion capital of the world, New York City can lead the way in making this happen. I respectfully request that you cosponsor and support Intro 1476, the ban on the sale of fur apparel in New York City.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

DENISE WALSH: Thank you for your consideration.

MARILYN ZUCKER: My name is Marilyn Zucker. I'm a teacher and a member of many animal organizations including Anonymous to the Voiceless in which we expose the horrific fur industry as well as other animal industries. I'm going to go a little off my speech here, so let's see how it goes. Imagine you live near a long building. One day you enter and discover that your neighbor has been hording dogs. Row after row, cage after cage, hundreds of dogs. Filthy, wired cages with no room to move. No bedding. No diversion. Filled with terrified dogs. They wait in cages until the day your neighbor drags them out with a choke pole clamped -- snout shut.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARILYN ZUCKER: Trust electrodes up their vaginas or into their anuses and electrocutes them to death. These dogs are foxes. Or imagine your neighbor prefers to torture cats. He breeds They spend their lives to small, filthy wire cages until the day he gases them. And then he skins them and suppose the cats survive the gassing. skins those cats alive. These cats are mink. be horrified. You would call the police and the abuse would make the news. We love our dogs and cats and we know that they feel and suffer as we do, but we have somehow allowed the fur industry, an industry that exists through nothing but green to convince us that compassion should end with our pets. This industry is nothing but an industry of lies. preparation for today, I went on many of the fur industry's own website and I found that not one of them had true transparency. None of them showed the actual gassing, clubbing, drowning, trapping of the animals. They only showed happy animals in rows of They didn't show the babies taken away from the mothers before they were fully weaned. didn't show any of the true torture that goes on behind the scenes in the industry. And -- Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 FELICIA GREENFIELD: Hi. Thank you again for your time. My name is Felicia Greenfield. 3 4 I'm an Upper East Side mom. A Keith Powers constituent. And I'm here to speak for those that 5 don't have a voice. In the 80's, my mother actually 6 7 worked in the fur industry. It seemed wrong as a kid, but if my mom was doing it, I figured it 8 couldn't be that bad. And then I grew up. It's 9 incumbent upon every person, especially those with 10 your power, to directly affect so many lives. 11 12 question everything. With the advent of the internet, we can no longer say we didn't know it was 13 wrong. The excessive physical and emotional cruelty 14 15 inflicted on hundreds of thousands of sentient lives 16 for the sole purpose of making a profit is disgusting and beneath the dignity of any decent human being. 17 18 The greatest city in the world can no longer allow I spent time over the last week on social 19 this. 20 media reading posts and getting trolled by the fur

The end of a family dynasty. My family's electronics business started by my grandfather after

industry. With complete honesty, I can say I didn't

find one argument against this ban without a

reasonable solution.

World War II shuttered recently because we didn't keep up with the times. We had to move on. Job loss. These textile skills are transferable and

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2.2

5 we're in one of the fashion capitals of the world, so

do what you do with any material that does not bleed.

about the animal's choice. B, their choice is devastating to our environment. C, laws by definition, regulate the actions of a community's members. See smoking ban, plastic bag ban, loud music ban. D, if your choice requires the murder of innocent lives, it needs to be taken from you.

Let me finally point out that every single person that was here from the fur industry were representing only what was best for themselves. Those of us still here to help the helpless have nothing to personally gain. We've given our entire day and evening just to implore you to make the only right, moral, and just decisions for the greater good. So [speaking Spanish].

ELIZABETH ARDUBAY: Hello. Thank you,

Council Speaker Corey Johnson for sponsoring the bill

to prohibit the sale of fur apparel in New York City

and to all who have supported it. My name is

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING Elizabeth Ardubay. I am a native New Yorker and I also have immigrant parents and I represent Total Liberation New York, an organization who is committed to shining a light on the exploitation and oppression of animals, giving them a voice and coming to their defense. Millions upon millions of animals are murdered needlessly by cruel and barbaric means each year only to end up little unrecognizable bits of her till later be stitched together and sold for profit as for trim, hats, coats, novelty items, or other useless trinkets. While there were counter arguments to try to introduce culture, fashion, or tradition as a valid excuse to this conversation, these arguments like actual reason. Let us pause and consider where we would be today if we stood by silently and did nothing in the name of progress based on these reasons alone. There appears to be a disconnect and unwillingness to accept any sort of change or to experiment in new technology, sustainable fabrics. Perhaps it's having no vision for a cruelty free future. It is not really want? This is a completely unacceptable model for New York City especially when New Yorkers are calling out for a compassionate and humane city. West Hollywood, San Francisco, LA, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 357

Berkeley are only the beginning of a growing trend of forward thinking cities in the US to ban the sale of fur. New York must be next. We must be next because these society cannot continue business as usual in the name of vanity, profit, and brutality. We must be next because the world looks at us as a fashion leader and we must respond without hesitation that New York City is banning the sale of her. I think the city Council for their time and consideration for our city, our citizens, in the animals. Thank you.

[Applause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. The evening is not over yet. We have one more person. Shelby.

SHELBY HARVEY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

SHELBY HARVEY: Good evening. My name is Sylvie Harvey. I live on the upper East side is a constituent of Ben Kallos and I'm speaking on behalf of myself as well as an eight year volunteer speaking on behalf of the voice was wild animals that I hope to rehabilitate as a wildlife rescue Center volunteer on Long Island for a great part of my youth and teenage years. I'm going to go ahead and skip a lot

committee on consumer affairs and business licensing of the information that is already been touched on tonight by other members, as I submitted my testimony as well and that can be read. But I do just want to implore my councilmember, Ben Kallos, to take a stand on this matter. I encourage him to support 1476 and move forward with the rest of those that have already done so to this point and I hope that New York City can remain as one of the forward thinking progressive cities stood up to be in times previously and join Los Angeles and San Francisco as one of the forward thinking and progressive fashion industry leaders today. Thank you.

2.2

DESIREE MATOS: Good evening and thank you for staying behind to listen to us. My name is Desiree Matos. I'm a social worker and I am also the president of a nonprofit organization called Keeping Warm Dog Houses that gives free shelters to dogs that are being neglected in their owner's back yards and are not allowed to live indoors with their families. My Council member is Barry Grodenchik and I'm in favor of Intro 1476. The fur industry has been in business for hundreds of years. No one really knew how these furs were made or even gave it a second though. Today, with the accessibility of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING internet and google searches, we know all too well about the torture and slaughter and beautiful innocent animals for their fur. We know about the fur farms where animals are kept in filthy cramped cages where they are denied everything that is natural to them. We know about the excruciatingly painful and horrific torture and slaughter for socalled fashion and status. There is nothing glamorous about this blood trade. What has been done behind closed doors far away from the fancy fur shops and away from public view can now be seen with a simple google search and the click of a mouse. Now The secret is out. No more business as we know. usual, turning away or ignoring the truth. Those who buy fur, those who manufacture and sell it have blood on their hands. They didn't give these animals life and they should not be allowed to take it from them. A great majority of humans possess the ability to feel compassion, empathy, sorrow, and regret, especially for those who are defenseless and voiceless. A new day is dawning and we are evolving and realizing that just because an industry has been around for hundreds of years doesn't mean it should continue. It's wrong, inhuman, cruel, and barbaric.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING								
2	We don't need to wear fur. There are many								
3	alternatives that does involve skins of animals that								
4	are just as warm and fashionable. In the words of								
5	Dr. Maya Angelou, do the best you can until you know								
6	better. Then when know better, do better. We know								
7	We can do better for the animals. Today is the day.								
8	New York City is the place. Fur-free NYC.								
9	[Applause]								
10	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. Taking								
11	advantage of the last few seconds.								
12	[Laughter]								
13	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: All right. With								
14	that said, I'm going to get home to my cat, Betty,								
15	who has been alone								
16	DESIREE MATOS: Yay.								
17	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: for over 12 hours								
18	now. She's probably going crazy. So this meeting is								
19	adjourned.								
20	DESIREE MATOS: Thank you.								
21	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And the process								
22	is This is the Speaker's bill. I'm sure he's								
23	going to go through all the testimony with his staff								
24	and then we'll have updates.								

DESIREE MATOS: Thank you.

1	COMMITTEE	ON	CONSUMER	AFFAI) BUSIN	NESS	LICENSING
2		С	HAIRPERSO			From	the	staff.
3		[.	Applause]	[Gav	rel]	[Backg	roun	d
4	comments]							
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 27, 2019