CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

----- X

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

April 17, 2019 Start: 1:10 p.m. Recess: 3:58 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16th Fl.

B E F O R E: FERNANDO CABRERA

Chairperson

PAUL A. VALLONE Chairperson

YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Ben Kallos

Alan N. Maisel
Bill Perkins
Keith Powers
Kalman Yeger
Inez D. Barron

Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Mark Gjonaj
Peter A. Koo
Brad S. Lander
Carlos Menchaca
Carlina Rivera
Ruben Diaz, Sr.
Rafael Espinal, Jr.

Stephen T. Levin
Mark Levine
Carlos Menchaca
I. Daneek Miller
Antonio Reynoso
Donovan J. Richards
Deborah L. Rose

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

James Katz, Chief of Staff, New York City Economic Development Corporation

James Wong, Director of NYC Ferry

Mike Morella, Director of Waterfront and Open Space, New York City Department of City Planning

Rebecca Zack, Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental and Community Affairs Department of Transportation

Monty Dean, Chief of Staff for Chief Operation Officer, Department of Transportation

Edward J. Kelly, Executive Director, Maritime Association Port of New York & New Jersey

Christa Remein, Project Coordinator, Riverkeeper

Roland Lewis, President, Waterfront Alliance

Carlina Salguero, Portside, New York in Red Hook

Joe Hardigan, Ferry Advocate, Rockaway, Queens

Kara Meyer, Friends of +Pool

Chavon Williams, Billion Oyster Project, Urban Assembly New York Harbor School

Sean Campion, Senior Research Associate, Citizens Budget Commission

Molly Hollister, Chair of Manhattan Community Board 6

Frank Agosta, Vice President, Local 1814, International Longshoreman's Association, AFLCIO

Captain John Nappo, Jr., Director of the Maritime Technology Program, Kings Borough Community College

Robert Balder, Executive, College of Architecture, Art and Planning NYC Program with Cornell University

Amy Bernstein, Vice President of External Relations SUNY Maritime College

[sound check] [pause] [background comments/pause]

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Please quiet down.
[qavel]

6 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Good afternoon.

I'm the Chair of the Committee on Governmental Operations, Council Member Fernando Cabrera. Today, we are meeting jointly with the Committee on Economic Development and the Committee on Transportation Chaired by my colleagues Council Member Paul Vallone and Ydanis Rodriquez respectively. Our first hearing on legislation by Council Member Rose, Introduction 982 of 2018 in relation to establishing an Office the Waterfront and legislation by Chair Vallone, Introduction 1512 in relations to the establishment of a director of ferry operations. New York City has approximately 520 miles of waterfront, a geographic asset that has helped make it a major commercial and industrial center. Many agencies from the city, state and federal governments have a hand in regulating the industrial, commercial, residential and recreational uses of waterfront prop-waterfront property, waterfront infrastructure, as well as making our waterfront more resilient to strong storms

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and flooding. Introduction 982 by Council Member Rose will create a single city agency and Office of the Waterfront to track and manage the work of these various agencies. Under the bill, this office will coordinate the implementation of the New York City Comprehensive Waterfront Plan and Waterfront Action Agenda. The office will serve as the public's primary point of contact for all the matters related to the waterfront. It will coordinate and collaborate with city agencies responsible for issuing permits and distributing information related to the waterfront, and will be a liaison to state and federal agencies involved in waterfront permittees. Finally, the office will also assist the Waterfront Management Advisory Board as it advises on matters relating to waterfront use, and will annually make recommendations for addressing issues affecting use or the waterfronts. I would like to thank Committee staff whose work made this hearing possible, Alex Paulenoff, Emily Forgione, Daniel Cohens, Elizabeth Kronk, James DeGiovanni, Elliot Lynn, Drake Arvello, Emily Rooney as well as my own Legislative Director Claire Mcleveighn, and with that let me now turn it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

over to Chair VALLONE to make some opening remarks, and to speak on his legislation.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Good afternoon I'm Council Member Vallone, chair of the everyone. Economic Development Committee. Happy to be joining my colleagues Fernando Cabrera, Ydanis Rodriguez in co-chairing today's hearing. As Chair Cabrera mentioned, today's hearing will focus on two pieces of legislation regarding the city's waterfront areas. I'm join by both of my colleagues in signing onto Council Member Rose's Introduction 982, which would also crate a Office of the Waterfront. I think we can all agree that the establishment of such an office is long overdue, and the bill would give the city's waterfront areas the attention they finally deserve. This bill would designate an office to act as a clearing house for waterfront issues such as permitting, environmental protection and recreation. We will turn over the floor to Council Member Rose in a moment to discuss her bill. We're also here to discuss Intro 1512, a bill I've introduced to establish a Director or Ferry Services who would be responsible for the administration and management of all of the city's ferries other than Staten Island

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Ferry. This responsibility would also include the evaluation of existing ferry sites as well as development of new sites with the expansion of ferry service. The Director of Ferry Services would be appointed by the Commissioner of Transportation, and would be delegated several of the Commissioner's Charter responsibilities regarding ferries. Director would have authority of the control of ferry boats, terminals, wards as well as the collection of fares. These responsibilities would apply to nearly all of the city's ferries including those currently in contract with the city's Economic Development Corporation. At the moment, however, EDC is in contract with Hornblower Cruises to operate its ferries. As we understand it, the EDC is currently in a six-year options contract that expires in 2023. As part of that contract, the city can purchase the ferries from Hornblower at any time prior to the end of the contract. In order to comply with this legislation before the committee today, we would advise EDC to exercise a component of their options contract. By owning and operating ferries, the city can reshape New York City ferry into a more effective and streamlined system that reduces the burdens on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

EDC and allows for an appropriate amount of community engagement and for the site selection and pricing of ferry services. In the future, ferry boats themselves would be selected by sealed competitive bid procurements through the DOT, and they would be subject to the same contract oversight as any other city agency. This would reduce the costs of ferry operations while ensuring the appropriate agency can focus on seamlessly connecting New York City ferry system to existing transit options. We can agree the ultimate goal is to establish a self-sustaining citywide ferry system that connects all five boroughs using the city's abundant waterways. We believe that that can be achieved by granting ferry authority to an agency entity in the form of a Director of Ferry Operations. EDC has done its part in launching the New York City Ferry System, and now it is time for the city to shoulder their responsibility. I believe these bills when considered together can transform our waterways and waterfront areas for the best. We look forward to hearing feedback on the bills from waterfront advocates as well as EDC, the Department of Transportation, and the Department of City Planning. Before I turn the floor over to my Co-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chair Ydanis Rodriguez, I'd just like to take a minute to acknowledge the staff of all three committees, Legislative Counsels Alex Paulenoff, Daniel Collins, James DeGiovanni, and Elliott Lynn, Policy Analyst Emily Forgione, Elizabeth Kronk, Emily Rooney, Rick Avello and Finance Analyst Alia Ali, Zachary Harris and John Bastille. Thank you, all of you for putting hard work to make this hearing together. Joint hearings are never, ever easy. that, Chair Rodriguez, the floor is yours. [pause] CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Chair Cabrera and Chair Vallone. Good afternoon, everyone and welcome to today's hearing. I'm Ydanis Rodriguez, Chair of the Chair of the Committee on Transportation. First, let me be clear: Ferry is not a luxury mode of transportation. It adds to a bus, train, bike transportation, and they are very important, and as we are today discussing, and I have the honor to be a co-prime together with Council Member Vallone to transfer the control of the ferry transportation to DOT. My approach, our approach is the continuous expanding of ferry service in the city of New York. I do believe that as we will celebrate Earth Day on the 22nd, we have to continue working

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

with one goal: To reduce the numbers of New Yorkers that own cars. Today, 1.4 million New Yorkers own vehicles. We can reduce that number to 1 million by 2010. This is something that I've been working with different stakeholders in the city agency, and I will continue working with colleagues. Again, we will address different questions and different issues when it comes to our ferries, but we would like to continue adding the ferry services in order to reduce them to expanding and to look at the transportation desert that we have, and see how they can-how the can play an important role. As you have heard, today the Committees on Transportation, Economic Development and Governmental Operations. We'll hear two bills related to the city's waterfronts. First, Council Member Rose's Intro 92 would establish an Office of the Waterfront. I'm proud to be among the many cosponsors of this important piece of legislation, and I look forward to hearing administration's testimony on this matter, but as the Chair of the Transportation Committee, I will focus on Introduction No. 1512, which I have the honor to be a co-prime together with Council Member Vallone. bill will establish a Director of Ferry Services

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

within the Department of Transportation, which will give DOT control of all city-city's ferries. New York City ferry has been a welcome addition to the New York City Transit infrastructure, but it has not been without criticism, and as New Yorkers we also have to be ready to take criticism. According to the recent report by the Citizens Budget Commission, ferry trips are subsidized by the city as over \$10 per trip-trip, which is more than double the per trip public subsidy for the city's buses and ten times more than the subsidy for the subway trip. Expansion are welcome by many communities. I am one of those who would like to see a ferry going from Battery Plaza all the way to 72nd and 125th under the George Washington Bridge, Inwood and even Riverdale, and this is something that my colleague has signed letters in the past asking for the city to explore that possibility. New York City ferry serves many high income areas that are arguably already well served by the-by the-by public transit, and we know little about any demographics data that EDC may have on ridership, and this is a fair question that we will have, and we hope that we will work with thewith the EDC and later on with DOT to get more

2.2

information. We want a more transparent system so that we know how city funds are being spent and what populations we are serving. Intro 1512 propose a simple question: Could the city operate the ferry better so with greater efficiency and transparency than EDC and a private contractor. I believe that this is question we need to think carefully about, and I am looking forward to hearing testimony from the Administration and the stakeholders on this topic. Thank you Chair Cabrera and Chair Vallone.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: thank you so much, and now I will ask Council Member Rose to speak on her legislation.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you so much.

Good afternoon, and thank you Chairs Cabrera,

Rodriguez and Vallone in alphabetical order not

important.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [off mic] So they demand. (sic)

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [laughter] I want to speak briefly about my bill, Intro 982. I want you to imagine fielding a baseball team without a manager because one thing I learned in the City Council being a City Council Member is everybody

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

likes sports metaphors. [laughter] So, there's a couple. Fielding a-imagine fielding a baseball team without a manager, running a high school without a principal or a supervising a restaurant kitchen without out chef. At best, you'd be confused, and there would be waste. At worst, chaos. Management coordination and leadership are crucial ingredients for success no matter what you're trying to accomplish, but in New York City no one is overseeing the waterways, which is why I introduced this bill last summer to establish and Office of the Waterfront. New York City's 520 lineal miles of waterfront once--520 miles of linear once primarily used for ports and commerce now serve so many other functions: Parks and Recreation, jobs, and economic opportunity, commercial, transportation and recreational uses. Our 21st Century harbor is large, complex, increasingly busy, and fundamental to our everyday lives, and suffering from a lack of oversight. Our harbor is now home to New York's newest transportation network NYC Ferry with millions of passengers annually. We also have a growing community of paddling and rowing clubs. This traffic needs to be managed. While fish and marine and bird

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

populations are re-emerging, approximately 20 billion gallons of sewage continues to be dumped into the waters each year making water quality an urgent concern. As the largest port on the eastern seaboard our ports supports 400,000 jobs larger than more prominent sectors such as broadcasting and entertainment. Good paying jobs can be found at the city's ports, but the industry needs our advocacy. Our harbor is the first line of defense against the threat posed by climate change. Washington will not be a reliable ally in this fight making local action even more crucial. These days what passes for harbor governance is a complex web of city, state and federal agencies that impose often confusing regulations creating obstacles to efficient management. My hope is that an Office of the Waterfront will straighten out this tangle and lead, manage and coordinate various waterfront projects and Through City Council legislation, we have already reconvened the Waterfront Management Advisory Board. As former Chair of the Waterfronts Committee, I'm a proud member of that board. Now it's time that we formally acknowledge the role that the waterfront plays in the economic fiber of New York City. By

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JAMES KATZ: Thank you. Good afternoon Chairs Cabrera, Rodriguez and Vallone, again in alphabetical order, and members of the Committees on Governmental Operations, Transportation and Economic Development. My name is James Katz, and I serve as Chief of Staff of the New York City Economic Development Corporation also known as EDC. I'm joined by my colleague James Long who Directs NYC Ferry, and our colleagues Mike Morello from the Department of City Planning, and Rebecca Zach of the city's Department of Transportation. I'm here today to testify about Intro 1512, the New York city Ferry and how it is improving connectivity for New Yorkers living in the city's waterfront neighborhoods. is a self-sustaining non-profit organization that works to drive and shape the city's economic growth. Our purview includes managing over 66 million square feet of real estate, building critical neighborhood infrastructure and investing in industries and initiatives that have the potential to pay good paying jobs. We are also behind the expansion of the East River Ferry into NYC Ferry, one of the largest commuter networks in the country. NYC Ferry is a direct outgrowth of EDC's legacy of chief steward of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York City's Maritime assets. That role was codified in 1991 when the City Charter delegated most duty-duties of the City's former Department of Ports and Terminals to EDC. Since that time, EDC has overoverseen ports and terminal investment, cruise terminal management, maritime operations support, and recreational and commercial building issues on behalf of the city. Or work has included investing over \$200 million to modernize the Manhattan Cruise Terminal, now one of the largest cruise ports in the country. This investment resulted in a significant increase in ship calls, and our ports now contribute an estimated \$228 million to the city's economy annually, and together with Port Authority, EDC led the effort to reactivate Staten Island's Global Container Terminal by modernizing its shipping and rail operations. Today, roughly 350,000 containers are shipped annually between terminals on the New York side of the harbor. Moreover, over 500,000 tons of cargo are shipped each year through the city's maritime facilities. A significant part of EDC's Maritime legacy is our work on private ferry operations. Since 1995, EDC has been responsible for maintaining and developing some city-owned piers used

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

by various private ferries. Starting in 2011, EDC was charged with launching and overseeing the East River Ferry Pilot. Our pilot successfully connected a handful of growing East River Waterfront neighborhoods like Dumbo, Greenpoint, Williamsburg and Hunters Point South in Long Island City to job centers in East Midtown and in Lower Manhattan. Seeing the potential of our waterways to be come vital neighborhood connectors, Mayor de Blasio committed to expanding East River Ferry to serve additional neighborhoods. In February 2015, he announced the creation of NYC Ferry, the first major increase of ferry service in our city in more than a century. Its goa was and remains to provide an equitable transportation option for New Yorkers living in areas that have been underserved by public transportation. In less that 27 months from the Mayor's announcement, EDC brought the system to life. This process included planning six interconnected routes, constructing and upgrading 20 ferry landings, procuring a strong operating partner, and securing a fleet of 16 brand new purpose built vessels. While we believed then that NYC Ferry would be popular, customer demand has exceeded even our wildest

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expectations. We originally projected that the system would serve 4.6 million riders per year upon full build, but since its mid-2017 launch, it has carried over 8-1/2 million people. In going forward we project that the system will serve 11 million riders annually by 2023 after expanding the Throggs Next, the Westside, Coney Island and Staten Island's north shore. It seems that our customers over 80% of whom are New Yorkers have voted with their feet, and that response has been gratifying. Now much has been made recently over issues involving NYC ferry costs. We welcome that conversation, and I'm sure we will have the chance to address it further today. For the moment, I will just make two points on this topic. First, operating NYC Ferry is costly because the Administration prioritized equity and accessibility when designing the system. These policy choices were made with clear intention and with resolve. In a departure from his predecessor, Mayor de Blasio directed we pay to put the ferry system's fare to the MTA's. By keeping costs of ridership to the now familiar \$2.75 we ensure that New Yorkers from many walks of life could enjoy this new mode of transportation. Further, we chose to serve far flung

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

places that are not well served by transit like the Rockaways, Soundview, South Brooklyn, and coming soon Coney Island. That all comes with a price tag, but it is in pursuit of our policy goal that I believe we all share. Second, the decision that the administration and EDC made in 2016 to own ferry vessels, rather than least them was unequivocally the most responsible fiscal choice for the city and its taxpayers. At the time we were planning the system's launch, there simply were not enough vessels available either in New York Harbor or anywhere else in the country. Trust me. We looked. The cost to construct an entirely new fleet of vessels was and remains significant, but our choice at the time was simple. We could pay others for the right to rent those new boats for the term of the operator contract and at the end the city would be left with nothing. Alternatively, we could buy the boats ourselves and do so for nearly the exact same amount we would have paid to rent. At the end of the current contract, the city would have a tangible asset in the form of an entirely new fleet of ferry boats. I would be able to leverage that asset to secure even better terms in the procurement for a new ferry operator.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The choice was clear and it is one we stand by. need not wait to the end of the operating agreement to see the fruits of these decisions. Our commitment of public funds are already proving to be worthwhile. In just under three years, NYC Ferry has boosted transit capacity in traditionally neglected communities like Red Hook by 54%, Soundview by nearly 30% and Western-and Western Astoria by nearly 54%, and we know more mobility for residents translates to greater opportunity. We also know that NYC Ferry has helped New Yorkers reduce their commutes by an average of 30 minutes or more for neighborhoods where subways are few, and travel to work is onerous. Astoria House Resident and Tenant Association leader Claudia Coger has lived this experience. In a recent Daily News op-ed she wrote: It would be impossible to quantify how NYC Ferry has changed my life, and the lives of my neighbors. With the system in our back yard, we can rest assured there's a reliable mode of transportation to use, and are no longer beholden to buses and subway. We could not agree more, and we hope you also agree that the ferry system has been one of the unsung success stories of these last few years. Decades from now when history

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 23 1 2 reflects on our collective legacy as policymakers, I believe this investment in our waterfront 3 neighborhoods will be seen as a great catalyst for 4 5 inclusive growth, and an important step towards 6 transit equity. Thank you for your attention. My 7 colleagues and I will be happy to take your 8 questions. 9 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, 10 and-oh, you got to testify. I'm sorry. Go for it, 11 yeah. 12 REBECCA ZACK: I was just-I could read my testimony and stuff, okay? 13 14 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: No, go for it, go 15 for it. I think you were. 16 REBECCA ZACK: Good morning, Chairs Cabrera, Rodriguez and Vallone, and members of the 17 18 Committees on Governmental Operations, Transportation and Economic Development. My name is Rebecca Zack, 19 20 Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental and Community Affairs that the Department-at the New York 21 22 City Department of Transportation, and I am joined 23 Monty Dean, Chief of Staff to our Chief Operator-24 Operations officer. We are glad to be here today on 25 behalf of Commissioner Trottenberg to testify on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Intro 1512. In my written testimony, I will briefly discuss the Staten Island Ferry as well as the steps we have taken to support the development of EDC's successful New York City Ferry Program. As you may be aware, we, the City of New York have continuously operated the Staten Island Ferry since 1905, and with nearly 25 million passengers a year, our Ferry Division operates the largest passenger only municipal ferry in the western hemisphere. We currently sail eight vessels in the fleet, which includes Kennedy, Barbari, Austin, and Molinari Class Boats with carrying capacities ranging from 1,100 to 5,200 passengers, and we have plans to add three new 5,400 passenger capacity, Ollis class vessels and retire some of our older boats, and we make over 40,000 trips a year. We have an on-time performance in excess of 90%. Our vessels are U.S. Coast Guard certified and classed with American Bureau of Shipping. To sail these vessels, our captains have U.S. Coastguard licenses for unlimited tonnage and pilotage required for the route. They operate pursuant to a certified safety management system modeled on the International Safety Manage-Safety Management Code, and certified via the American

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Bureau of Shipping on behalf of the U.S. Coast Guard. We have been recognized—we have been recognized by the National Transportation Safety Board, the U.S. Coast Guard and the Passenger Vessel Association as an industry leader in maritime safety. When it comes to the city's ambitious and groundbreakgroundbreaking goal of quickly planning and rolling out an interconnected citywide municipal ferry network, DOT has assisted EDC partners in several ways. First, we are providing our maritime expertise. Second, ferry personnel assisted with the RFP design, and sat on the Selection Committee to review bids. Third, we worked together to create a interagency MOU to address operating permits and use of city owned landing sites, and fourth, as the city's street management agency, we developed Upland Pedestrian and Bike-Bike network connections for each ferry landing where appropriate, and installed way finding and signage. Finally, we account for the landings in DOT's Capital Project work, and we get data and community input that EDC has received through their outreach process for our bike and other transportation planners to use. In conclusion, we at DOT are glade to see New York City meet a key goal of

2.2

expanding equitable mobility through the implementation of New York City Ferry whether adding new transit options in underserved often low-income parts of the outer boroughs or creating more transit on the hard to access East Side of Manhattan or the Brooklyn-Queens Waterfront. This historic achievement has probably only been possible in the short and medium term through the unique capabilities of EDC, and we are glad to have been, and continue to be assisting our partners in this exciting endeavor. Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and I would be happy to answer any questions.

MICHAEL MORELLA: Good afternoon and thank you to the Chairs of the Committees and all of the members. I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you today about Intro 982, the bill to create the Office of the Waterfront. I am Michael Morella, the Director of Waterfront Planning at the Department of City Planning. I've worked at the department for over 14 years. I have been Director for roughly 8 of those years. I appreciate the Council's commitment to addressing the very real and pressing issues facing our waterfront. In our five boroughs we have roughly 520 miles of waterfront, a length greater

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

than the waterfront of Portland, Oregon, L.A. and California, and Miami, Florida, combined. Our waterfront is one of our greatest assets. It's why we grew as a city, and one of the important contributors to the quality of life the city offers today. Intro 982 sponsored by Council Member Rose would establish an office responsible for coordcoordinating among the various agencies that handle matters related to waterfront use. I want to take a moment to thank Council Member Rose for he continued advocacy on behalf of waterfront issues. We support the intent of this legislation to ensure that the city is doing all that it can to protect and enhance our waterfront and look forward to working with the Council toward that end. Allow me to briefly describe the ways in which this work is currently done in my office and with other agencies within the city government. The Waterfront Division of the Department of City Planning plays a vital role in the permitting process for many large projects along our waterfront and waterways. Pursuant to the rules of the city of New York, the Department of City Planning serves as the administrators of the Waterfront Revitalization Programs, the city's principal coastal

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

management tool. The guiding principle of the program is to maximize the benefits derived from a variety of uses along the waterfronts, and coordinate the review activities of decisions affecting the coastal zone particularly when they're overlapping jurisdictions where multiple agencies are responsible for elements of the project. The program requires that when a proposed local state of federal project or discretionary actions located within the coastal zone a determination of the activities consistency with our coastal policies must be made before can move-the action or the project can move forward. As part of our work in administering the Waterfront Revitalization Program my office is in frequent dialogue with our colleagues at state and federal permitting agencies namely the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. These two agencies are responsible for reviewing and issuing permits for structures built at the waterfront. As administrator of the Waterfront Revitalization Program, it is my responsibility to liaise with state and federal agencies involved in the Waterfront permitting process. Within the past few years, the city has

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

established a website that provides applicants with information on federal and state permitting requirements. My colleagues at the Economic Development Corporation working with my office, and numerous other city and state and federal agencies established the Waterfront Permit Navigator a website that provides applicants with information on state and federal permitting requirements. As stated on the very front page of the website, the Navigator is the official permitting guide for projects on or near the city's waterfronts and wetlands. Here you'll find resources to understanding government agencies involved in the waterfront permitting including their permits, programs and requirements and get help in navigating the process of-of obtaining permits from start to finish. This website has been a tremendous resource to anyone seeking to file waterfront permits from a community boathouse looking to repair the piers to tugboats operators seeking to replace their bulkheads. Also included in the powers and duties of the proposed Office the Waterfront would be to "Manage and implement the New York City Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Section 205." I would note that Section 205 states that the Department of City

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Planning shall prepare the Comprehensive Waterfront Plan. My office has already started the planning and public outreach for public engagement process for the next comprehensive waterfront plan due at the end of Calendar Year 2020, roughly 20 months from now. legislation also provides that the office would "assist the Waterfront Management Advisory Board established pursuant to Section 1303 in the implementation of duties and responsibilities of such advisory boards. I would note that I currently chair those meetings, and have engaged the board in identifying and discussing the issues to be included in the next comprehensive waterfront plan. Coordinating permitting is a-is a critical function as well-of the water-of the waterfront as so many-so much of our collective vision for the waterfront includes project that require permits to build. permitting process has improved in recent years thanks to the Waterfront Permit Navigator that I mentioned and the collection efforts of many of my colleagues at city, state and federal agencies. While permitting remains a challenge, we want to work with the Council to ensure that the Legislation was aligned to help applicants navigate bureaucracy

2.2

rather then add additional layers. I thank you for the opportunity to testify today. Intro 982 provides for an important means of amplifying the-the work that my office and many of my colleagues and other agencies do. We look forward to the continued dialogue with the Council and I, too, am happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much for—let me just give a couple of housekeeping points here to my colleagues. I'm only going to get one question so we could get to you quickly, but we have two other chairs here as well plus the sponsor of the bill, and they will do three-minute rounds, and then we'll do a second round. First, second round, as many as it takes so we could have some momentum taking place. At this moment, or here's my question. At this moment we're—the ridership is 275. The Mayor recently stated that it costs—that we have to subsidize that. If I remember right, it was \$12.00 per rider.

MICHAEL MORELLA: first year when we had a number of start-up costs it was north of \$10.00. We see it going down over time, and can speak to that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And so, yeah, I want to—for you to address at what point do you see that it will pay for itself, or if that unattainable goal is just sustainable and we're—and what do you see the future? Are we going to enlarge the fleet, and by enlarging it, then you have a larger ridership and, therefore, the economy of scales will make sense.

MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure. Thank you for that question, Mr. Chair. When the Mayor charged us with the expansion of the East River Ferry to become NYC Ferry, he set forth two priorities and two goals that were his, Twin North Stars that I think differentiated him from his predecessor. One was the fare structure. The previous East River Ferry contract, the fare was \$4.00 on weekdays and went up to \$6.00 on the weekends. He felt strongly that for the system to be accessible to people from all walks of life and all backgrounds he needed to peg it to the \$2.74 fare familiar with MetroCard. He also recognized that the most valuable routes and the highest ridership routes were sort of already embodied in the East River Ferry Pilot serving the waterfront and changed us to go further to places

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

like the Rockaways, the Sound View and now to Coney Island and beyond. All of that comes with a-a dramatic cost, but all in the goal of pursuing transit equity, and access for New Yorkers from all backgrounds. That is a cost that we anticipate continuing through the life of the contract in some form or another to be able to make the system work for New Yorkers. On the question of boats, we are presently working to acquire additional boats. We today have 16 in the harbor. We are working to get 22 more. That will allow us to do two things: It will allow us to serve the already higher ridership than we ever anticipated at the inception of the system, and it will allow for the expansion into the new routes that we announced several months ago that will roll out in 2020 and 21. We project that the aggregate effect of those new routes and the boats and the boats that are able to serve them will be a ridership of up 11 million people one all of the routes are fully launched.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And at that point do you think that it will pay for itself?

MICHAEL MORELLA: I do not think that it will pay for itself. We are interested in finding

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 34

2.2

ways to make it more financially viable, and we also welcome a conversation broadly on the viability of the system, which Chair Vallone has so helpfully prompted today in the form of Intro 1512.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And so how—how or what—what's the lowest—what's—at what point are we going to bring it down to the \$5.00 that it would cost per ridership or \$4.00 or—

MICHAEL MORELLA: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --what would be the

lowest?

MICHAEL MORELLA: Right. So, over the remainder of the term of the existing Operating Agreement, and we brought a visual aid up on the slide as ridership expands from its current level to up to the 11 million we project, and also as the new routes come online, and come in service with the operating costs associated therewith, we see the subsidy per ride dropping annually through the remainder of term and settling in at somewhere between \$7.00 to \$8.00 per trip.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Have you considered other ways to increase revenues whether it's to have—

I don't know. Are you selling things or you have vendors?

MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure. We have thought about and looked at advertising and sponsorship revenue. There are some of those that work that are some—some of them that may not. There's a lot of conversation as you now, right now about other forms of advertising on New York City's waterways that could have implications here as well, but we have looked at that closely. It's also worth noting that while we are committed at a policy level to locking our fare in step with the MTA's to the extent that the MTA raises its fare, that is always obviously a public conversation, but if it were to happen, \$3.00 or whatever it might be, that upside this public upside, not private upside in the subsidy of the system.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much and let me turn it over to my colleagues Chair Vallone.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you. Good afternoon everyone. We've been joined—joined by Council Members Yeger, Menchaca, Koo, Maisel, Espinal, Miller, Reynoso, Lander and Deutsch and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Barron, and Madam Barron, how are you. Yeah. what I-I want to just back up a little bit and James, Rebecca and everyone thank you for the testimony. I-I think we wouldn't be here today if we didn't have this successful program in place that gives this alternate option to New Yorkers. Today is about talking about the future or it, expanding of it, where the vision should take us, and how best that should happen, but this is a conversation we've been having now since I've been chair, and certainly as Speaker Johnson has been purporting. We want to grow the program, and we've been talking about this forfor a couple of years since it started. So, we're continuing that conversation today. It's not-not anything new, that we want to see this grow. I think the numbers that you report like going up from 4 million to doubling the amount of service that it's reaching and maybe tripling in a couple of years. Also, it explains the need for it, and the growth for it. These bills today are a natural evolution of that staff. It is where is the future best held for ferries? So, what I'd like to ask is for the talent that's there-I mean in a-in a perfect world, I think then we should EDC, DOT and Planning, and having the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

staff that's been working on it and create a brand new ferry agency similar to what Council Member Rose is talking about to take the—the piggybacking of that work in going forward. But the first step in my eyes was creating a director of that and working with you. What I—what I'd like ask is a simple generic question at this point. Where do you see the best future and vision for ferry service after today?

JAMES KATZ: Thank you for the question, Mr. Chair. Finding a sustainable future for New York City Ferry and one in which it can grow we think is a-an important conversation and one we think for starting in the form of-of this bill. At EDC, we're very proud of the work that we have done to launch NYC Ferry from the time of the Mayor's announcement to 27 months later and having a working and viable system that—that New Yorkers love, but we also are not proprietary or territorial about it in the way that public instrumentalities sometimes are. We are open to conversations about its finding a new home and a permanent home in whatever place policymakers be they mayors or the City Council that see fit. Our one note on it is an operational one, and it is a present day one. This is still a brand new system.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It is only two years old, and we are expanding that system over the next two years to 2020 and 2021. And so to mix metaphors probably badly, it's a little bit like trying to jump on a horse that is in motion to try to find it a new home at the present time. There is crew of over 300 people who are working for Hornblower today whose futures need to be thoroughly sorted out. There is an Operating Agreement that is currently with EDC that might need to find a new home. There are private agreements with owners of peers and wharves all over the city that again today are with EDC, and there are about \$300 million in construction contracts that are outstanding for piers, wharves and boats that need to hold. And so to ensure that that there is an orderly transition both for the system and for riders, that is a thing that we think takes careful approach, takes a little bit of time and is probably best addressed after we expand.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So, the interagency cooperation is already existing now?

 $$\operatorname{JAMES}$$ KATZ: The interagency cooperation is great. We so-

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 39
2	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So, how do you see
3	the percentage of that lying with the three agencies
4	that are present today?
5	JAMES KATZ: I'm sorry. What was the
6	question?
7	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: How do you see the
8	percentage breakdown of who's handling what based on
9	the
10	JAMES KATZ: Sure.
11	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:the three
12	agencies that are here today?
13	JAMES KATZ: Sure. As concerns the New
14	York City ferry system?
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Yes.
16	JAMES KATZ: Okay.
17	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's just what
18	we're talking about.
19	JAMES KATZ: Right, the NYC Ferry
20	specifically. So, we had a very close partnership
21	with DOT as my colleague indicated in my course of
22	procurement, the Deputy Commissioner who oversees the
23	Staten Island Ferry was on our selection Committee
24	and was and remains a key advisor to the system and
25	its waterways. DOT has been a key partner in

2.2

planning for upland access from many or the piers be that street connections, CitiBike stations and other matters germane to the street grid and DOT is also the regulator under the City Charter, and had to permit the system at the time of launch, and so we view it as a very close partnership not just now, but through expansion in the same way that it has been, and to the extent that we are looking towards ideas like what is proposed in intro 1512, we think it's absolutely crucial and even more crucial that DOT be a close partner in the next operator procurement.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So, then Rebecca, I guess in the DOT's eyes if we worked to create the Director of Ferry Operations under DOT is that something we could handle at current or is that something for a future project?

REBECCA ZACK: I think—I think that would be something for a future project not something for right now considering the—the services that we're providing. This is Staten Island. I think we would, yeah, future. I think I would work on that. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Well, with my-and I think based on the-the I guess the years of hearing that we've been gong through, it's-it's clear the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

success has not ever been a question. In fact, when we had our Queens Delegation together and all the Queens Council Members that are here, and you led that conversation at the borough presidents, there was one civic group and one community group after another who said, Please bring it to our community. Please bring it to our community. So, it was never something calling saying don't put it in our community. So, it's-it's a matter of realizing that call for New Yorkers and-and ramping this project up is the best way possible. I know President Patrick has spoken before through EDC of the overall burdens that are already on EDC. You have to-they are already contracted through so many-so many different system areas. To me, something independent in the creation for the growth of this I think it's critical. It's based on-on the projects we didn't get to already.

REBECCA ZACK: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Some of the projects that were not handled, and were not give contracts to. So, before we turn it over to Chair Rodriguez, where can we—can we look at future options for some assistance in privatization at some of these

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

future locations? There are certainly areas, and obviously we-we speak with Queens at heart, where I don't' even-we don't have some place. So, for us having a ferry option is critical today if we're talking about everyone saying let's get vehicles of a Manhattan street. Well, you've got to give us an option to do that. This is one of those options that might actually do that. It's nice to say don't drive, but when I don't have a train, I've got to find some other way to get into Manhattan. So, having a ferry service maybe at city marina or somewhere in Northeast Queens would do that. Is there-is there a look at maybe privatizing to bring down subsidation, private (sic) subsidizing of the-f the ferries?

JAMES KATZ: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. We couldn't agree more. I think two things are true in response to your question about expanding ferry service to additional places. One, we are committed to looking at that, and looking at that actively after the launch of the next route. So, it is I think incumbent upon us to look at what we are currently doing, understand that people are riding it, in what ways, when and who to a point that was

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

made earlier and also looking at potential sites for expansion as the city grows and changes in the dynamic way that it always does, and that relates to the-the second point that I was going to make, which is specific to ferry service. One of the things that is very helpful about it for waterfront communities that on cost basis as a-as a start-up operation, it is very different than other modes of transit. We all remember the Second Avenue Subway, which on a per-mile basis I believe cost \$2.5 billion to build. New ferry routes costs \$2.5 million to build in the start-up phase, and so we're talking about one-tenth of one percent of the cost of-of a ferry, and it also compares favorably. I'm sorry, the subway, and it also compares favorably to Boston. So, we are interested in that while continuing to look at ridership, the viability of landings, safety and all of the other questions that go into siting, but-but we are eager to do that and to do that with numbers like yourself.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So, the ownership of the boats themselves, are they all within EDC or is there still a portion that are privately owned?

public ownership of all of the boats right now. We are keen to the determination very early on in the citywide ferry procurement and ensconced it in the contract that it made sense for the city to—to own those—own those boats from both a fiscal perspective and a future leverage perspective and operator conversations. That—that is the city's plan. It is budgeted for in the Capital Plan. We have our budget codes from OMB, and we are presently waiting on the city Comptroller to approve that registration.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And then the future of those contracts when would this—when would the next RFP be offered to go beyond where we are today?

JAMES KATZ: We are—we are planning to issue the RFP for the next operator, which as you noted would come online in 2023 in 2021.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Is there any room for expansion under the current contract to add additional ferry service whether through budget or capacity.

JAMES KATZ: Uh-hm. The current contract allows for expansion much in the same way that we announced the recent expansion. Our plan is—is to

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 45
2	look at that question in earnest in 2021 after we get
3	through the current expansion.
4	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And with the sites
5	that weren't chosen, and for possible future
6	expansion, would those be able to be equipped with a
7	current operator or would we need to seek additional
8	operators and additional boats?
9	JAMES KATZ: They could be served with
10	the current operator for the remainder of the term of
11	the current operating agreement, and with a successor
12	operator during the courts of that agreement.
13	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Was there ever a
14	possibility that New York State or New York City
15	purchase their own boats or do we have to continue to
16	look beyond New York State?
17	JAMES KATZ: Well, we are purchasing the
18	boats on behalf
19	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [interposing]
20	Actually made and manufactured here in a way so that
21	we can we can keep the-keep the jobs here?
22	JAMES KATZ: Got it. So, the—the scale
23	of the-the vessel need to create the New York City
24	Ferry System at inception was not sort of servable by
25	any hoat ward in the harbor. We did look at it but

is that we need to add additional mode of transportation in our city especially when we look at transportation deserts in the city of New York. In listening to the testimony of—of all the expectations on—on that DOT has shown that you provide, what I see is that you guys are ready to come with the stream (sic) that is ready to run the ferry services in our city

REBECCA ZACK: I'm sorry. Did you say that you're ready to--

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] Are you ready? Is DOT ready if we create a Director of Ferry Operations to come with the stream that is necessary, and they charge--

REBECCA ZACK: [interposing] And that these are—these are two—these are two—these are two different systems. We have been running one route for over a hundred years. I would think that we would need to have further discussions. I think James did an excellent job in laying out a lot of the complexities there in terms of contracting that would—that would need to be figured out. I think it would be an in an—and a very complicated switch, but I—I think that—I mean we're here to have that

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 48

2.2

conversation and to also commit to continuing to have that conversation in the future. I think it's—it's also something that the Mayor said as well recently that he didn't think that now in—in the midst of expansion was the time to do that. It was definitely something that could be looked forward to in the future.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Well, for me the future means months. So, the future for me doesn't mean the new administration, and we have this administration that those of us serving from the Mayor and others who will be serving out to '21. So, I hope that that in-in the next few months working with our colleagues and the leadership with the Speaker, and you guys we can really get engaged in this conversation and move forward toward creating the Director of Ferry Operations. Also, I think that it EDC provides the expertise in their own field. I from my own way I see you guys are the private arms of our government. I think that you come with a lot of expertise or, you know, sitting with Cornell Tech and—and—and dealing with the primary—

REBECCA ZACK: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:but I think
that when it comes to the expertise to make operators
accountable to the everyday operations, I don't see
the city as the one the agency that should be
responsible for that. However, I see DOT as the one
that has a lot to do with the everyday responsibility
to look at, you know, in our city of New York
transportation, the agencies that should, you know,
and—and I say I hope that this is not something that
we're looking for the new administration. Neither am
I saying that this is something that should not be in
the next few weeks. I know that thins-a transition
like this will take time, but I believe that it—it is
time for us to look at our ferry not from a luxury
transportation, but for additional mode of
transportation that together with our trains, our
buses, our bike is also adding to New Yorkers. So,
in that direction has the—the city start any
conversation with the MTA of any possibility to
updating the Fair payment system so that the city has
any discuss-and-and-and to establish any fare
integration payments?

REBECCA ZACK: Uh-hm.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JAMES KATZ: We-we have. That is a conversation that is of great interest to us in figuring out if and how it would be possible to ensure seamless integration from the New York City Ferry System onto subways and buses, and we have been having that conversation with the MTA on and off for a couple years including I think as Tuesday. Where the MTA is heading on this issue is towards the adoption of the new fare medium and moving away from the Metro Card. As you probably know, something we call One Metro New York that is a-a new system for paying. You may have seen the little screens on some turnstiles in subway stations, and they have said that they plan to roll that out by 2023, and begin talking to other transit agencies that want to integrate with it in 2021. Obviously, we want to have that conversation sooner, and we are pushing for it, but that is their current timeline and—and that is what we've been given. I think it-it does bear mentioning as we talk about fare integration, and particularly as we talk about the ferry system in a-a prism or a frame of costs, which we've already started to do today. It is our presumption as with many things involving the MTA and the city that that

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 51

2.2

integration would not be a—a free lunch for us that it would cost the city of New York something to be able to achieve that, and the question is whether that is something that will be worth it in the final calculus for the benefit of riders, which we agree is real.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And—and again for many years we've been having conversation with—conversation with the—with the MTA with the city and—and many other stakeholders who really put that vision in place. It's like when think about free tuition at CUNY, we should aim innovation where an issue will only be one payment system, or one day we can say the city of New York, basically a New Yorker who pay—who pay for one ride, that ride should be allowed to transfer between someone who rents—who use Citi Bike—

REBECCA ZACK: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: --who use the ferry, who use the train, who use the bus. Think that would make a difference, and I think that we will be-it will add, you know, the-the-the way of how we provide New Yorkers and visitors the opportunity

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 52

2.2

to use all mode of transportation only with one payment.

JAMES KATZ: As usual, Council Member, we couldn't agree with you more. When we launched NYC Ferry, we set up a fare medium system with the specific goal of making sure that it was flexible enough and open enough to be interoperable with anything that the MTA or anyone else might roll out, and so that is our—our plan and our intent and our hope is that we have a reasonable and willing partner.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: With—with Citi
Bike, we saw how it was started with, you know, the
previous administration. It went through some
challenges. DOT and the city, they were stay (sic)
with the new one, and now lift with a pair with Citi
Bike, but also we have seen how with the new
technology, we have seen an expansion of CitiBike off
of Share Bike. Do you see also that there's going to
be a moment or there have been any opportunity to
bring others besides Hornblower, or other entities in
the private sector that will come and say we also
would like to add additional ferry service?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JAMES KATZ: Yes, and that's why when we look at the capital investment that we have made in the city's wharfs, piers and ferry landings we view it not just as an asset for the NYC Ferry System, but an asset for New Yorkers. It creates the possibility that private ferry operators might want to run a route from New Jersey to the west side of Manhattan or to Staten Island or-or wherever it might be if they so choose, and so our-our hope is to see that happen. We believe New York City, as the Chair said, is a-is a city of water and that is an exciting opportunity that we're re-exploring and we hope it will happen. As concerns competition, which I think is part of what you're asking, too, we believe that the NYC Ferry System itself is best operated as an integrated system, one fare system, one management structure, one group of people making sure that boats connect at docks when they're supposed to, and areare actively and dynamically managing it. But we also thought about the contract term in a particular way, right. The reason it is a 6-year contract and not a 30-year contract is for that very reason, the opportunity to create real competition and the continued operation of the system.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: The last question in this round. If you can share with us one area where the Horn-Hornblower be running the ferry. Which area do you think that provides room to improve?

JAMES KATZ: Well, we think of Hornblower as a—as a partner, and so we think of this as a joint enterprise between EDC on behalf of the administration and Hornblower. If I had to rethink one aspect of the ferry system, I think obviously we underestimated how popular it would be when we planned it, right. We planned for a certain level of ridership. We're looking at a certain order of magnitude beyond that, and so if we had the chance to do it over again ourselves in this partnership, we would have I think bought more and larger boats earlier than we did.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Council Member Debi

Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you, Chair
Cabrera. Hi, Michael. Good to see you. In Intro
982, it is about establishing a separate office of
the Waterfront. The New York Waterfront is 520 miles

2.2

of linear waterfront, and there's not one—just one office that has oversight. Between industrial, commercial and residential and recreation uses, on our waterfronts, what's the total number of local, state and federal agencies that have some regulation or oversight over our waterfronts?

MICHAEL MORELLA: There can be upwards of a dozen different agencies that have various levels of responsibility on the waterfront, and that is a-a factor that certainly goes into how we're thinking about our management and our planning for the waterfront going forward. So, you raise an excellent point.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So, with multiple city agencies having overlapping jurisdiction over the waterfront, and the different requirements, how do city agencies coordinate today how you coordinate to avoid permitting, you know, waterfront uses or conflicting with each other?

MICHAEL MORELLA: So, I think there are a few different issues that are—that are embedded with that—with that question. One is regarding the jurisdiction of the individual properties, and so it is not uncommon that there will be a DOT street—

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

street with-along the waterfront with a park just outboard of that street, and with Department of Environmental Protection pipes running under those. That's a-that's a relatively frequent occurrence, and the way that that has been managed over-over the time is that these agencies have recognized the challengethat there is the need to work together. I think that's probably the simplest way in regards to the physical jurisdiction. The question of regulations is a-is a little different in so far as the regulations are not necessarily that of the varying city agencies, but are much more frequently a factor of state and federal regulations when it becomes the in-water permitting for-for construction or reconstruction of things like docks, wharfs, bulkheads, et cetera., and those are the permits that are required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So, as an—as an individual citizen walk me through the process how do I know—how do I—how do I know where to go to get my question or my concern regarding the waterfront address whether it's recreational or a business.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[bell] and how does DCP-DCEP do intake and triage these, you know, these communications?

MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure. So, I think there are a few different-again, there are-there are a few different levels at which this operates. So, for issues of rec-of recreation, I think most folks would likely contact the Parks Department if it's a question of what can be-the use of-of waterfront park. I would say that I think that is how most New Yorkers would-would seek to have their questions answered, but if it's a question about where let's say you're a waterfront business owner, and you're seeking to get waterfront permits for the construction or reconstruction of a dock or a-or a pier, that is something that-that we have been working with the maritime community to provide information through that-that website that I mentioned, The Waterfront Permit Navigator, which walks applicants through the permitting requirements as well as the lists of the various agencies that would-would be involved in a project-in a project's permits.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So with the Navigator, the Navigator is basically only

informational, and if I'm a person who sort of really is a affiliated with the waterfront, I'd probably know the basic information that he Navigator provides for us. So, what's in place to help a person, you know, beyond that, someone who has real issues. They know, you know, what the issue is, and the Navigator is not, you know, wouldn't help facilitate.

MICHAEL MORELLA: So, am I to assume that in this instance that means the applicant is having a hard time getting their permits from a state of federal agency? Is that what you asked?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Yeah, they're—they need some—some help to actually navigate through the process not, you know, informational like how do you get it?

MICHAEL MORELLA: [interposing] Right.

So, so, so just in this—in this circumstance, the applicant has submitted their application, has gotten the information that they need through the Waterfront Permit Navigator website and have submitted their application to the State Department of Environmental Conservation or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and are—are stuck at that point. I just want to be—I just want to inquire if that is what you're asking.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Yes.

MICHAEL MORELLA: Okay, so then—then the applicant would have to work with the state and federal agencies. It would be difficult if not impossible to conceive of a way in which the Department of City Planning, another regulatory agency would have the ability to advocate on behalf of a private applicant to a state or a federal regulatory agency. I would say, however, that we do coordinate closely with our state and federal agencies. So, in my role as the Administrator of or Waterfront Revitalization Program, that is precisely the role that I-that I have is to coordinate amongst these agencies to make certain that rather than having the multiple rounds of review where the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers makes their comments to the applicant, and the applicant changes their application to meet those comments, and then it is reviewed by DEC and those projects. Then they make comments and so on and so forth, and it becomes a baby change.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Uh-hm. Yeah, it become quite circuitous and—and--

2.2

that's exactly the type of sort of structural issues that my office tries to resolve by working directly with the U.S. Army Core of Engineers, and with DEC.

We have monthly meetings with DEC about projects. We have a—a list of projects that we go through every month with an agenda to discuss in detail the status of those projects and to understand what issues are remaining. I would say that's not the same as advocacy on behalf of private applicant. That's a—that's a distinction.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: With 12 different agencies that impact our waterfront and decisions made about the waterfront, how does a person, you know, figure out [bell] which one and does EDC have a public facing office that relates solely to waterfronts and issues of the waterfronts?

MICHAEL MORELLA: So, I would say that my office is quite publicly facing. If you were to call 311 and—and folks from the public often do, they get to—they get routed to my office depending on the nature of the question. If it's a question again about a Parks Department site, that question would be

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 61

routed to the Parks Department, but for many general inquiries, it is routed to my office.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And just one more question. What mayoral agency would you suggest the Office of Waterfront be housed of be affiliated with since the mission is very broad based. It's not a function just of land use, and really looking for a place where there would be oversight advocacy as well a coordination and management.

MICHAEL MORELLA: As—as descried in the bill, I would say the vast majority if not all but one of the responsibilities listed out in the bill are responsibilities that my Office at the Department of City Planning, already have a significant role in if not directly oversee. So, I would suggest the Department of City Planning is the appropriate entity.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I—I would like to see it not buried in as sort of an addendum to something that you're already doing and, you know, extra staff or a change in title. I'm talking about a free-standing office that would handle a broad base.

2.2

MICHAEL MORELLA: Happy to continue that conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And we're join with Council Member Rose that we tried lightly on creating and we want to make this a better system not a more cumbersome system. So, even the Director of Ferry Operations is something that could work with Council Member Rose and with your office, but it is clear that the growth of this requires probably some agency. Until we get to that point, we need to—to continue this conversation immediately to get to the next phase. So, we've been joined by Council Member Carlina Rivera, and the next Council Member for questions—we have five panels. Just so as a public notice, we have five panels. So, we're going to keep to three minutes. So, the next Council Member is Carlos Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you,

Chair, and thank you all for presenting today. The

waterfront is incredibly important to—to me and the

district that I represent, Sunset Park and Red Hook

and—and really the question I have is for both DCP

and EDC, one to tell us a little bit about how—how

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you currently work together. I'm thinking about one of the first things that got me excited about the waterfront in terms of my role, and four letters S-B-N-T. James and I were in a room. A passionate conversations on that, and Lydia was there as well. I'll never forget that because that—that really reminded me about how-how important our role was at the City Council level. We had a very specific role because SBS was involved, too. SBS holds many of the leases and EDC wanted the master lease, and we said well, wait up. Let's talk about this, and-and we made something beautiful. Now, we have Red Hook Terminal coming in. My point is—is that there's there's a great move here to that we're trying to understand to create a space for advocacy. Where does EDC and how does EDC think of itself as an advocate, and reconcile that with DCP who is the first time I've even got to-I don't even know you. don't-I've been working on the waterfront stuff for a long time, and—and you're holding so many of these responsibilities. So, there's-there's clearly a disconnect here, and the only time that I feel like we're doing something well is when we work together, and I think that's what we're trying to do is bring

2.2

more light, more transparency, more responsibility, more accountability because the reason that we even got to SBMT was that it was a failed mission from the EDC, and I'm not saying anybody that was involved here—or was involved there, but previous versions of the EDC just got that wrong, and we almost lost that property. So, we can't do that. It's very important to us, the waterfront. Help us understand. How are you advocates? How do you work together, and—and that really from both of your perspectives.

JAMES KATZ: Sure. Mike, do you mind if I start and then I'll hand it off. At EDC we have historically seen ourselves both as advocates for the waterfronts and as stewards for many of the city's waterfront assets and under our maritime contract with the city of New York dating back to the dissolution of the Department of Ports and Terminals and beyond, we have been entrusted on behalf of the city and through SBS with repair and maintenance of those facilities and—and to a degree with—with creating visions for their future, and I agree with you fully Council Member that that is a process that works better when it is collaborative with communities and the Council, and that is a—I think a—

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 65

2.2

a thing that has come to be learned, and that is a successful outcome of several processes including the—the SBMT process. We also consider ourselves advocates for the city's waterfront access. For us we focus on ferries, we focus on ridership, we focus on site selection for waterfront properties and we [bell] involve ourselves in real estate dispositions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: You don't have a timer bell. You can keep talking.

JAMES KATZ: Right, whereas City Planning focuses on zoning, the development of waterfront guidelines and the like, but I think you're point is a good one that that waterfront is a complicated space that is increasingly of interest to many different kinds of people whether they be industrial like SBMT or recreational boaters or crews or development or development or whatever—whatever it might be, and so, we like our colleagues at City Planning are equally excited by Council Member Rose's bill because the notion of a coordinating function across those diverse interests, across those city agencies and instrumentalities, we think is an important one whose time has come.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MICHAEL MORELLA: So, I would just add that-that a few of the different ways in which we collaborate with EDC on waterfront projects and broadly on waterfront coordination is that the role that Waterfront Management Advisory Board plays. So that's broad made up of members of the public appointed by both the Speaker and the Mayor, and we are now meeting regularly, the meetings that I chair, but at the very first of these meetings that we reconvened just last year, EDC is representing on these on the board, and President Patchett wasattended the very first meeting. Since that time we've had staff level attend-senior staff level attendance because we're really getting into the-the meat of these issues, but it's-but it is exactly that type of relationship that is incredibly important toto continue. The other important aspect of this is the role of city's Comprehensive Waterfront Plan and the Interagency team that is working with my office to begin the planning and the public outreach process for that. We recognize that the plan comes out in about 20 months from now, and it's good to meet you, sir.

2.2

MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: We tend to be in waterfront areas and waterfront areas in the city of New York tend to be where more affluent people live--

MICHAEL MORELLA: Yep.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: --and what I want to do is make sure that we're talking about how we're spending money. A significant subsidy and who is receiving that.

MICHAEL MORELLA: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Does ridership within the MTA and in the Citi Bike system is a lot more of what I consider equitable and speaks to a large range of—of I guess incomes. I want to know that information for the ferry. Do you have that information?

information on who precisely is riding the boats today, but I can say that we made our siting decisions very specifically with an equity lens in mind particularly when we talk about expanding places like to Saint George to the Rockaways to Corlears Hook on the Lower East Side, and beyond where we see median family incomes in the range of \$30,000 to

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 69 1 2 \$35,000 a year. That was purposeful and that was intentional and-and with the goal of expanding the 3 New York City ferry system beyond the Michael 4 5 Bloomberg model with just that corridor, the East 6 River Corridor that I think James (sic) was referring 7 to. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes, and—and right, and most of your ridership is going towards 9 the-what would consider the essential businesses or 10 the Financial District, right? 11 12 MICHAEL MORELLA: Most of our ridership, much of our ridership particularly even commute hours 13 14 overwhelmingly as people commuting to work in-in the 15 Manhattan Core alone. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Right and those folks that are probably community to Financial 17 18 District probably had decent-decent pay I guess. MICHAEL MORELLA: I-I mean I don't know 19 20 that we know that to be true. I think that's a point about--21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: [interposing] So 23 that's pretty much the point. Can we get that information so that we can have a serious discussion 24 25 about where we're prioritizing the subsidies that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

city is given related to transportation? million is a significant amount of money that could be targeted in locations or our transportation options that are more equitable. Citi Bike right now doesn't receive any subsidy from the city outside of the space that it uses to put their docking stations and they cover millions of more people than the ferry service, and they're again not receiving any subsidy and the subsidy that we're giving to the MTA system per customer this, you know, pales in comparison to what we're giving to ferry system. So, even though I do think it's valuable and we want to build it out more robustly, we need to have a conversation about where our money is going, and I don't think you have the information to give me right now. One, I think that's problem, but two, is why I get concerned if we move things [bell] from DOT to EDC. DOT is a city agency, and the amount of oversight we have over them is significant. With EDC being a public-a public/private agency, I guess it could mean that you guys give us hard time about getting us information like that. So, I just want to make that more of statement than a question, but getting demographic

information would be extremely valuable for—for my advocacy, for expanded ferry service. Thank you.

Member. We are going to go to Council Member Miller. Before I do that, you know, we agreed to disagree there are many communities that are not biking to New York City. So, we have to provide alternative options for everyone in the city. So, until we get to that day where everyone can equally get where they need to go, we have to provide as many options as possible. So, I will continue to advocate for ferry service for everyone and throughout the city to make sure that we can continue that option especially for communities like mine that are not biking and have no trains. So, with that, I'd like to go to Council Member Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILER: Thank you, Chair

Vallone, and I want to thank my colleagues for

actually introducing that line of questioning around

the area of equity—not only around the area of equity

but whether or not we as a body are living up to our—

our fiduciary responsibility to make sure that we are

delivering services in the most equitable way. My

question from my transportation planning background

would be have we done a comprehensive study to make

2.2

sure that we're able to deliver services to move people to and from in a more efficient way than the investment in ferry, and I do want to say that we-we-and-and I want you to jump right in, but we seem to dismiss the amount of-of subsidy that is going into this to now it's 50 cents to \$12.00. It greatly exceeds anything that we see now in terms of even the commuter rails happen and-and they also service far less New Yorkers. So, we want to make sure that we're in it equitably or not this could have been done with Select Bus Service considering that we are servicing that-that waterfront corridor, and-and certainly that could have been done, too. So, my primary question number 1 is have we explored other methods of delivering these services?

MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure. I'll-

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: DOT.

MICHAEL MORELLA: Okay.

20 REBECCA ZACK: I mean we're-I have it.

Thank you very much, and that's a great question. I just want to make sure I'm understanding it. Are you saying have we explored how to duplicate what—what

24 | EDC is doing?

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 73

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: No. Have you explored how you're going to move these people into the business district, alternatives outside of ferry?

REBECCA ZACK: We're-we are-we're constantly working with the MTA in terms of expanding dedicated bus services throughout the city, and we just announced this past winter an expansion of-of Citi Bike.

at bus-Select Bus Service on this particular route here? Because 62 and 69 it does almost essentially the same thing and—and certainly the cost would be more cost-effective than this thing, and then I further want to say for EDC, the—the two—one of the two is—is whether or not at what point would this program pay for itself? I would ask the reverse and ask at what point would this become cost prohibitive if, in fact, all the narratives that was introduced today then play themselves out, and for the record, I do dismiss also that—that we are serving under-served communities that there's certainly the Bayside,

College Points as the borough as well that don't have transportations alternatives, but is this the most

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 efficient transportation alternative that we see and 3 the best use of [bell] our city dollars?

JAMES KATZ: Uh-hm. Well, I mean I think stepping back it is fair to acknowledge and I think most members would agree that we do have a transportation crisis in New York City today. People need to get to work and to home efficiently and as quickly as possible, and the subway and the bus systems have not served as well as they used to or as people might like. I have that issue on my commute this morning and I think it's incumbent upon the city to use all of the tools at its disposal to serve different places with different modes of transportation as appropriate. In waterfront communities many of them have historically been left behind, and left out of transit access, and some of them have been cut off by structures that urban planners of the days of yore have saw to erect. cut them off of traditional means of transit, and so ferries become not only a logical way, but in some cases the only way to serve those communities reliably. In terms of the opportunity agenda, which was an issue raised in your comment, we have looked to the greatest extent possible to extend to those

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

waterfront communities with real need be that the

Sunset Park, be that in the Rockaway, be that in

South Williamsburg or Coney Island or the North Shore

of Staten Island. So, our priority remains a broad

based service that serves—

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So, I'm-I'm sorry because I know time is running out, and I don't want to cut you off, but I-I again, I dismissed that the Rockaways, you're looking at not Far Rockaway to those-those lower-incomes and others and-and quite frankly also its been an afterthought, and when we looked at where it was actually rolled out whether it was rolled in the more affluent emerging waterfront communities, which already had transportation options. But my primary question was did we do a comprehensive study as to whether or not we could deliver these services, move these folks in a more efficient, effective fashion. And then, finally, we're talking about collaborations with the MTA. we doing this because it is a mode of transportation that the MTA-that the New York City can't control without going through the MTA or the government?

JAMES KATZ: Why don't you talk about the Alternatives Analysis? We have now done three

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 76

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

citywide ferry studies all of which looked at the alternatives to ferry service with respect to the routes that they serve.

JAMES WONG: Sure. So. [coughs]

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: If you could

introduce yourself for the record.

JAMES WONG: Pardon me. My name is James Wong. I'm the Director of Ferries for NYC Ferry easy(sic) and in the past our Comprehensive-our Comprehensive Citywide studies have looked at all the sites around the city where we are looking to provide transit alternatives and it takes a lens of understanding where people live, where they work, what their existing transit options are and whether or not ferries would in those circumstances provide a tangible transit time savings to allow people more time to spend with families at home outside of theoutside of their commute. We didn't do an exact 1 to 1 to understand whether or not a subway would the same job, but I do just want to point back briefly to what we were talking about earlier on some of the capital costs that are the real differentiators between some of the major-major catalysts for.

	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE
1	ON TRANSPORTATION 77
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [off mic] I'm not
3	talking about subway tunnel. That's Select Bus.
4	JAMES KATZ: Sure and even with Select
5	Bus projects there are high price tags allocated with
6	them with having so many changes to the streets, and
7	having to do things like that. It still comes out
8	in—in many cases that ferry systems because there is
9	only infrastructure at the landings, that those are
10	some of the areas where we're able to really make
11	those investments.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [off mic] There
13	were also investments made as well, right?
14	JAMES KATZ: To which? I'm sorry.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [off mic] To
16	ferry service in Northville Landing and others as
17	well.
18	JAMES KATZ: Yes, absolutely
19	MICHAEL MORELLA: And what you're showing
20	here is an all-in cost or infrastructure on those,
21	right?
22	JAMES KATZ: Yes.
23	MICHAEL MORELLA: So, the one that you
24	did

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [off mic] [interposing] Compared to Select Bus Service.

MICHAEL MORELLA: --compared to Select
Bus Service line.

MICHAEL MORELLA: Yes. Sure and so the comparative costs for all—for the bus lanes for all the associated upgrades that came through, the project for the Woodhaven SBS compared to NYC Ferry, which, the costs are the landings. Sorry, just to clarify, this is separate from rolling stock or vessels that—the—all the landings divided our miles that we are serving that these are the relative costs that we're looking at less than 10% of the SBS costs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [on mic] The projected Woodhaven SBS because what was actually done was they painted the line. They didn't do the actual infrastructure. So, what we're looking at are the projection what could have been as opposed to what actually was done on Woodhaven Boulevard.

MICHAEL MORELLA: I—I will get back to you to make sure that it's the--

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing]

Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 MICHAEL MORELLA: --right number we're 3 talking about.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: We appreciate that. Thank you, thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.

Alright, Council Member Deutsch followed by Council

Member Barron and Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you. So, my first question is what is the cost of manufacturing a ferry and at what price does the city purchase the ferry? That's number one. Number two is that you mentioned about public ownership in the future. So, how would you transfer that to the public ownership, and at what cost? So that's number two. Number three is that you have week days I see in Southern Brooklyn. The hours of operation are from 10:00 a.m. -10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. and the weekend is 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 p.m. What would happen if a private charter attempts to rent the dock for loading and unloading purposes? Would you rent it or are you going to-do you have a plan in the future to rent those docks? Because as you know, where there's people who rent them, you know, in certain areas in the district, you know there was a lot of controversy

about certain areas especially in my district, but we have like—like nine boats docking with thousands of people. So, I just want to know that these areas if you are—you're planning renting those docks to private ownerships, and—and that's it.

JAMES KATZ: Okay. Let me see if I can get to all three in the allotted time.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: You have yes. I only three minutes.

JAMES KATZ: Oh, okay. I'll still try to do it quickly. So, the cost to build ferry vessels I think was your first question. This is a number that comes with a certain range because of a variety of factors including how busy shipyards are with other business, weather conditions like hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico that may diminish supply at shipyards for various reasons. The cost of things like steel and aluminum, which is a global issue and subject to tariffs and federal policy and the like, but the pricing that we have secured on our 150-passenger boats ranges from \$4 to \$6 million per vessel, and our 350-passenger boats tends to range form \$7 to \$9 million per vessel. The cost for the city to buy those boats from our private operator is effectively

	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 81
1	
2	that same amount less any depreciation in the asset,
3	which is very small because they only are about two
4	years old in the case of some of the vessels since
5	they have been purchased by the-
6	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing]
7	And what is the manufacturing costs?
8	JAMES KATZ: That is the cost of that.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: You said-you
10	said for 150-passengers \$4 to \$6 million.
11	JAMES KATZ: Uh-hm.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Is that the
13	purchase price?
14	JAMES KATZ: That is the purchase price.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, where-where
16	do you buy from? You buy from a manufacturer or
17	from—is there a middle person?
18	JAMES KATZ: Sure. Go ahead.
19	MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure. The prices that
20	we have, are negotiated with our operator who goes
21	through an extensive procurement process to make sure
22	that they are getting the best pricing at any given
23	time for—as James had mentioned, the shipyard
24	availability and things like that. The key
25	components are some of it shipyard price. [bell]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Some of it is equipment provided by the operator. So, an owner furnished equipment and then, of course, a program or management by the operators. So, those are the three key components. I don't have the exact breakdown in front of me, but those are the three principal parts of vessel pricing. I also just want to mention that as part of our agreement with the operator, even-these aren't very complex projects. These vessels and to build as many of them as we did were extremely-it was a very complex project, and one of the things we did was ensure that the operator takes on the risk, the price risk for these by making sure that we had set what those outside prices were. So, we think that that's an important way that we are shedding risk and making sure that the city is paying the right price.

JAMES KATZ: There's a third question on docking permits. Do you want to speak about DOCKNYC?

MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure. Through—well

DOCKNYC does go through an exercise in certainly

trying to activate the waterfront. We are certainly

very sensitive and aware of different issues that

have come up related to different kinds of vessels

that are berthing throughout the city. As it relates

to the NYC Ferry Landings, we are welcoming of other commuter ferries or passenger ferries that are taking people to work or having—serving important functions there, and so when people have reached out to us to ask whether or not they can do things like providing service to IKEA or providing service for NYC Langone, which is a connection between Sunset Park and Midtown East that we are willing to allow those.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Is this during hours of operation or off hours?

MICHAEL MORELLA: They supposedly operate during the weekdays, during regular--

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Regular hours.

So, how does—how does that—that wouldn't interfere with the service because you have the schedule, right? So, how would—how would that not interfere with the schedule of the ferries?

MICHAEL MORELLA: We coordinate closely with all of the operators in the harbor both in our terminals and at these landings that—where we might have an additional operator to make sure that the timing be landing slots or a time that these landings are not permitted.

	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 84
1	ON TRANSPORTATION 64
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: What is the-the
3	minutes? How many minutes apart does the ferry come
4	in-during the-the weekend? The weekdays?
5	MICHAEL MORELLA: It varies by landing
6	and
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing]
8	Approximately.
9	MICHAEL MORELLA:and frequency. On
10	weekends it might be anywhere from 30 to 45 minutes
11	for-for an
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: On weekdays?
13	On-on weekdays?
14	MICHAEL MORELLA: On weekdays our highest
15	frequency is 20 minutes on the East River per
16	direction.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So 20 minutes in
18	between. So, that-those 20 minutes will be enough
19	for someone to come in and to unload
20	MICHAEL MORELLA: [interposing] Yes.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH:equipment or
22	something?
23	MICHAEL MORELLA: Yes, we go through an
24	extensive process before we offer anyone a landing
25	slot license to make sure that whatever schedules are

	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 85
1	ON TRANSPORTATION 05
2	presented are not going to be conflicting and make
3	sure certainly from a safety perspective we've
4	provided ample time in between different—different
5	arrivals.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Is—are those
7	like take like Southern Brooklyn and docks that come
8	and be like being rented out now or do you anyone
9	renting it out now like a private place.
10	MICHAEL MORELLA: Do you mean like the
11	landing at Brooklyn Army Terminal and stuff,
12	Brooklyn?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yes.
14	MICHAEL MORELLA: Yes, there are other
15	users beyond NYC Ferry that use that landing as James
16	mentioned, NYU Langone.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Is that public-
18	is that public renting?
19	MICHAEL MORELLA: Is that-yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So we could see
21	it online? If someone rents out the
22	MICHAEL MORELLA: [interposing] It's a
23	live chart online, but if you were—if you wanted to
24	know who uses that landing, through DOCK NYC we could
25	easily provide that information.
	l

about being the agency that would house that. So, is

25

2.2

that—is that accurate? You don't want to do it? You don't think you have the capacity? You don't think you can develop the capacity ty such time as it might become operational?

REBECCA ZACK: I—I think it just deserves a much larger conversation than right now when we're absolutely willing to keep doing that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, you do think that you could grow to perhaps be the body that might be able to house that person?

REBECCA ZACK: I think we're an incredible agency, and that we're able to accomplish a lot. I think we need to have more conversations internally to see how we could do that, but I think, you know, as you all see we've been able to accomplish a lot together the past five years, and—and we'd be open to having that conversation moving forward.

also very much embellishing or supplementing the concerns of my colleagues about equity and where these ferries are going and where they're landing, and how it benefits any of the groups that are here in—in Brooklyn, and in the five boroughs, Manhattan,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MICHAEL MORELLA: [interposing] But—but
thus far it's been very preliminary just to—a—

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

essentially a heads up from the-from the state about this project, but we haven't seen any drawings or anything of that nature as of yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And-and finally, are you familiar with Betts Creek, which is [bell] parallel to where the Fountain Avenue Landfill is? Betts Creek was parkland that was unlawfully taken, and which the courts have determined must be returned to Parks Department. So, I don't know if you're familiar with that as well, but here again, the state is going to have its engineers come back, and determine how, in fact, that property will be returned to Parks, and how it will be able to be accessed and what actually will be an opportunity for access to waterfront as well. So, I would invite you to-to look into that as well so that you can see how we can maximize the opportunity for persons who live there to be able to access the water, and in terms of-I did say one question, but one more question. There is a pier--I'm forgetting the name--that was utilized in the 1960s. It's in the Canarsie Section of Brooklyn, and there were opportunities for boating and-and other water access at that time. How can we determine the feasibility of having that as a ferry

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 90

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

location? What's the process to do an examination to see if, in fact, that area can be established as a ferry point?

MICHAEL MORELLA: I'll just speak to it generally, and then you can speak to whether we have looked at it specifically. I think the-the questions start with safety. Can a boat be brought to a particular place safely and efficiently and through navigable channels? And then questions of liability on whether a landing can be constructed. In some places including the pier at Canarsie, there are owned by people who are not the city of New York. this case, I think it's the federal government within the Gateway Preserve, and so there would need to be federal interest or will in taking a commuter vessel there, and then finally, we look at the transit benefits of starting a system. Is it faster and more efficient than other modes of transit, and you get to the place where most people are going whether that's Lower Manhattan or Midtown for jobs or the Brooklyn Navy Yard or Army Terminal for manufacturing jobs in a manner that is faster than traditional transit. you want to add anything to that?

	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 91
1	ON INAMSFORTATION 91
2	MICHAEL MORELLA: No, just to say that I
3	do know that the Canarsie Landing
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Uh-hm.
5	MICHAEL MORELLA:pier that is existing
6	today
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
8	Right.
9	MICHAEL MORELLA:was studied as part
10	of the 2018-19 Ferry Expansion Feasibility Study but,
11	of course, as James had mentioned following the
12	launch of the routes in 2020 and 2021. I think we'd
13	be more than happy to take a look again, at different
14	locations throughout the city to evaluate whether or
15	not ferries are feasible there.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. There's
17	very—it's a transit desert at that end of Canarsie.
18	It's not my district, but it's a neighboring
19	district. So, I'm very concerned. People could have
20	accessibility to get to the Canarsie Pier if, in
21	fact, that was considered. Thank you to the Chairs.
22	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you and
23	Council Member Rivera for questions.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Hi. How's it
25	going? Thanks for being here. So, yeah, a lot of my

2.2

colleagues have brought up equity in transportation, and I think a lot of us like to call transportation the great equalizer. So, we—we brought up all the waterfront. It's typically associated with very affluent communities, and I agree that's absolutely correct especially with what's going on in the city and development in neighborhoods like my own and Long Island City, but I do have 10,000 families that live in public housing along the East River who I thought could stand to benefit from something like the Corlears Hook Ferry. So, the last survey you did was in 2017, and from what we heard you didn't quite capture where people lived, correct? So, you don't know if ferry users live in public housing? Is that correct?

MICHAEL MORELLA: We know that ferry users (1) are predominantly from New York, and (2) we know that there are 50,000 NYC residents within a half mile of the landing including in places like Corlears Hook, but we still need to look more deeply onto who actually riding the boats.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, sometimes when—when something new comes in that you're unfamiliar with, you know, people aren't necessarily

2.2

gravitating towards it, and so in my community I'm a very able bodied person and it takes me 15 minutes to walk to the closest train station. So a ferry could be nice, but from what I heard, from—from someone at your agency, Corlears Hook wasn't performing as well as they had expected. Do you know if that's true?

Do you measure ridership and performance of each of the routes and if you do or do not, how often do go back and revisit whether it was worth it?

JAMES KATZ: So, we will do it with our analysis of the current NYC Ferry system with and eye towards expansion in 2021. We have committed to that publicly. It is I think correct to say that Corlears Hook is not the best performing landing in the system. We are curious to find out why that is, what the obstacles are to people using the ferry system and determining if there are ways to eliminate those obstacles and welcome your partnership on that.

MICHAEL MORELLA: Yeah, and in addition,

I do just want to note or at least I did want to

towards the end of the time, the warm season last

year, and so, we do know that as part of this summer

we continued to engage with community groups. We

have a great partner with our operator who is doing a

2.2

good job getting—trying to get the word out, and as Kate mentioned, working in partnership wherever possible, but it is important to us certainly as we start to approach the summer season that we are able to re-engage with people and make sure that people know because that's just typically when—when we want to get there to let people know that the system is available to them.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, when will you go back and kind of measure whether the line is performing well, whether you have to do outreach, whether there is some sort of awareness campaign that has to be implemented. Do you—do you have any—a policy that you implement? Like every six months we look at numbers every year? [bell]

numbers almost everyday in terms of the ridership.

So, we are aware that if—as you had mentioned has a lower ridership. I don't want to say that underperforming. I will say it has lower ridership than some of the other—other landings, but it is something we are aware of and as—as I—as we had mentioned, I think we're more than happy to engage to find out what the next best step is to do that engagement.

2.2

JAMES KATZ: But just to—just to answer that question specifically as I can, our intent is to look at the current system in 2021 and see what's working and what needs to change but that doesn't mean that we are precluded from looking at whether people know enough about the landing, how to use it, how to access the service, and any barriers to their doing that. We can do that right now and would be interested in doing it with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you. Just a quick question. Do you have any plans to come to the west side of the Bronx?

JAMES KATZ: Do you want to speak to that analysis from the Pier 21 thing?

MICHAEL MORELLA: [interposing] Sure.

Through the—through the different city wide pier studies that we've done, one of the more challenging areas that we've found on the—on the key things that I mentioned earlier in terms of where people live and work, and what their existing transit alternatives are. It is often very difficult for ferries to compete with existing modes of transit when you get

2.2

to places that are right next to or you have to go past train stations or subway stations, and so a lot of the challenges that we have found previously exist there. However. Again that has no—that is not to preclude any sites from study in the future.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But do you have any plans?

JAMES KATZ: We don't presently have any plans regarding the land site. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You don't have any plans, and the reason that I'm hearing is because of competition, right, with other modes of transportation. I just want to chime on that though, which is you know, the west side of the Bronx we have—we have the very concentrated area of population with 50% minimum of the people who live there do not own a car, a vehicle. I—I—I will see, you know, if within the context that I see in my district obviously the people would prefer taking the ferry. Maybe—have you conducted any surveys in the areas where you see a challenge because we're assuming, right? We're making assumptions as to what the riders would do. Have you done any surveys in that side of the Bronx to see if this is something that

2.2

they will be, you know, interested or they'll have an appetite?

JAMES KATZ: We have not surveyed. I mean when we looked at the most recent round of expansion as Chair Vallone indicated, we went out communities and had all manner of folks suggest sites that might have interest in seeing ferry landings. We got over 3,500 suggestions and then analyzed those for feasibility. I think we would have to go back to see how many of the Bronx recommendations were with respect to the Western Bronx. I know we had some suggestions around City Island and certainly around Throggs Neck where we're ultimately going. We'll have to take another look at the western Bronx and we can do that,

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You know, the interesting thing about the worst—west part of the Bronx is that my—my district connects with Council Member Rodriguez's district. So, it's not just people from the Bronx. Literally, you could just walk the bridge right there off of Fordham—

JAMES KATZ: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --and, you know, whether it's in his side or my side, I don't think it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

makes a difference that and as a matter of fact, there used to be right next to the rezoning area we just had it rezoned, I see that there used to be some kind of a landing area for boats that both of those communities both the Bronx and so I would think that you would have a critical mass there that would be very interested in having the ferry. By the way, everything we mentioned in here is a good thing. I mean we want more. It's because there has been a little success here that we're interested in and I agree with you the great thing about water is that you don't have to build anything upon it. You don't have to maintain it. You don't have to replace anything other than obviously, and I don't know what's the lifespan of these boats that we're buying. What are they 20, 30 years?

JAMES KATZ: 20 or 30 years, but they can be upgraded and retrofitted to get even more useful life. I think there are ferries trolling in the harbor right now that are probably 70 years old or more.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, but please consider the place out of the Bronx. We have this idea in the Bronx that we're usually the last ones to

	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE
1	ON TRANSPORTATION 100
2	interested to looking at-at other discount structures
3	as well.
4	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Have you had any
5	conversations with the Administration to also include
6	Fair Fares as part of the payment for using the
7	ferry?
8	JAMES KATZ: The Fair Fares conversation
9	has not yet come to the ferries. I know it has been
10	a live one, and—and one that has been successfully
11	pursued between the Council and the Administration
12	elsewhere, and we are—are open to having that
13	conversation as well.
14	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: How many years
15	have the-the Hornblower running the NYC Ferry?
16	JAMES KATZ: For-we launched in 2016.
17	I'm sorry, we—and I should say we launched in 2017 sc
18	we are just coming up on the two-year mark.
19	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And any-what is
20	the profits that they've been able to make in the
21	yearly—the last year?
22	JAMES KATZ: Do you want to speak to
23	this.
24	MICHAEL MORELLA: Sure. So, just broadly
25	speaking I do want to mention that one of the things

2.2

be exposed to a lot of upside risk in this project, and making sure that we fix our payments, and so a lot of—a portion of our lawyers (sic) or of the operators' payments to cover their costs are, in fact, the two things that are risky like ridership revenue and advertising or concessions or things like that, and these are things that they are entitled to above what we get in order to cover their costs. I don't have an exact number on profit for them.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:} \quad \mbox{Will they share} \\ \mbox{that information with you?}$

MICHAEL MORELLA: We get financial information from them annually, and I believe on a quarterly basis as well.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So, maybe it hear how they can bring (sic) because at least we can show that hear you backing other those that the DOT provide the franchise in other cities. So, we don't have that information with you here that you can share with us?

JAMES KATZ: We don't have it here. We do have some and—and are happy to come back to you I think just to elucidate a point my colleague made.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The way the Operator Agreement is structured and similarly the way the vessel purchases are structured is that Hornblower gets to keep some amount of up side if the system performs really well, but if we don't we meet our ridership projections and our less \$4 to \$5 million we had originally anticipated, they continue having to operate the system at a loss. Similarly with the vessel construction, which they have taken on our behalf and capacity, it's sort of like a construction manager or a GC. We have a quaranteed fixed price on the boats. If they exceed that price because commodities like steel or aluminum rise or the shipyards see a spike in costs, we still get our price, and so there is both incentive and risk for both parties running in both directions.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You're right. I-I just feel that, you know, and as I say, I 100% support how the city, you know, made a decision in invest on expanding ferry and also to see how the entity who is running, you know, they've been playing the role. Of course, many questions about safety and all the things that happened in the past, but I feel that they also acted properly to correct any issue related to safety. I think that in, you know, making

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

these things a little bit local, as you know, we part of the rezoning, we've been able to get \$50 million, \$70 million to build and to expand it in the-in the-a new deck in the marina area, the Inwood area, and I feel that I hope again that and that we looking asnot as a ferry being a luxury mode of transportation, but adding to what we have so are. We have to look at not only to come out with those analyses on justifying certain areas that are priority for us, and I'm thinking about the need that the community had. So, I think that when we've been building and even in our own community looking at, you know, can Inwood and other areas have been expanded as one of those pilot projects that also it is including the-in the-whatever negotiation you guys made with the-with the Hornblower that they also-they don't have to limit what they have right now. They can also expand in-in other areas. I think that places such as Inwood also I hope that should definitely be included. We cannot just planning on adding thousands of new apartments in the next ten years for that area, and only rely on the A Train and the 1 Train and the bus. I think that again I hope that I continue working with you guys. We will look at the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

possibilities to see how the expansion of the ferry is also happening, you know, in the top of Manhattan. I feel also that ferry provide the opportunity to also bring tourists to go to other areas beside the Midtown. But we had 65 million tourists that came here last year. You know, many of those come from cities where they have more of those experience and also some ferries. So, I think that we also have to look at these. As you know, we are also discussing the possibility to transfer Hog Island from Correctional to Parks, and we would like to see also the possibility to put a ferry also stopping at Hog Island so that that million and the buried-bodies that have been buried in those-in that island, they have family, they have relatives. They have loved ones that they would like to stop and see what's going on there.

JAMES KATZ: Uh-hm. Your point about the tourism economy is a—is a very sharp one, and a tremendous one and Chair Vallone and his committee held a very thoughtful hearing on it at the top of the World Trade Center that I think speaks to this well. You've also been loud and clear as a bell when it comes to ferry service at Dyckman Pier. We hear

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 105

you. We are interested in it, and we will take a look at it when we look at expanding the system again. That is an exciting prospect, and we agree that as the neighborhood continues to grow and as we continue our work together on Inwood NYC we will have to look at other modes of transit to serve growing populations.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: James just gave up our exciting locations for our hearings. [laughter] Everybody is going to be going all over the place. [background comment] We've got to get there. We're going to have to raise the bar now on all the future hearings. [laughter] We are going to turn it over to Council Member Rose for the last comment for this panel. I just want to first say thank you for the information, and the ongoing dialogue to being this to the next phase of the 21st Century and beyond. As you can see, there is—there's extreme excited to grow it and make it the get that it can possibly be, get it to the areas that we can, and have the best way to do that, and with that Council Rose to end this panel.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. I just wanted to ask EDC where—where are you in the process of the free transfer from the ferry to the buses of subway and is there some impediment to the implementation of that?

JAMES KATZ: The-the transfer and free transfer from the NYC ferry system to the MTA's network of buses and subways is a thing that we are very excited about and interested in, and that we have been talking to the MTA about consistently since we launched the NYC Ferry system, including I think this week on Monday or Tuesday. They are moving to a new fair medium. They're replacing the Metro Card with a thing they call One Metro New York. You may have seen it on the turnstiles at the subway. We're interested in being interoperable about it. They are not launching that new medium we understand until 2023, and are taking other transit systems into consideration starting in 2021. We would love to have that conversation with the MTA, but that's the timeline we've been given.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Well, I certainly hope it happens before 2021. You know, if we have to do something in the interim until the new system

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

New York and New Jersey. Pleas accept my comments as comments given on behalf of the over 550 paid corporate and individual members of the Maritime Association of the Port of New York and New Jersey. Since 1873 we have been a primary advocate for industrial interests and the maritime industry in the port area. Since its founding, the waterways and waterfronts of New York City have driven the economic success of the city making it a preeminent center for trade, finance, jobs and real estate development for our city ranging a nation. We believe that when compared to other world class port cities that NYC waterways and waterfront is grossly underutilized and neglected. I am glad that we're having this hearing today. It's unfortunate that we were not able to have it in the Waterfront Committee, which the City Council would dissolve sometime back. We're thrilled to see that there's a re-emergence of interest and support for waterfront activities, and we have to understand that there is both jurisdictional issues in and on the water as well as on the land itself. We believe a safe, secure and shared waterway front is possible, and we look forward to continued discussions aimed at making the New York City

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

waterfront the best that it can be. I will circulate my written testimony giving statistics regarding it, but we do want to point out as has been mentioned, our commercial maritime industry generates over 400,000 indirect full-time job equivalents, and due to the growth and massive infrastructure expenditures including the 50-foot Channel Project, Raising the Bayonne Bridge, Port Authority investment and expanded rail and access, deployment of ultra large container vessels. All of these foregoing statistics are experiencing rapid and significant growth. A key point here that as New York City make plans for a future, the projected increased population [bell] they must be planning for the move into goods, people, freight and services throughout the city. Waterborne transportation must be the cornerstone upon which future planning is based for the a series of reasons including currently under under-used capacity, environmentally friendly transport mode, it eases roadway congestion and takes trucks off the road, minimizes wear and tear on existing bridges, tunnels and roadways at very low cost to expand water transport infrastructure, minimizes impacts on environmentally sensitive communities, and it is the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

most fuel efficient transport mode. Regarding 982, our specific comments [bell] are that if established, the Office of the Waterfront should not replicate the work or jurisdictions of neither EDC or DCP, but rather serve as an overriding or coordinating function to develop, implement and monitor broad policies and effect cross-jurisdictional planning and objectives. The Office of the Waterfront should use the existing resources of DEC and DCP to create and implement plan for New York City waterways and waterfront that is diverse, safe, secure, environmentally sustainable, resilient and economically viable. Since oversight of the Comprehensive Waterfront Plan and the Waterfront Management Advisory Board as well as the Revitalization Plan, is already under the auspices of [bell] DCP, it would seem natural that the Office of the Waterfront would be housed within that group and that EDC would continue to incept and initiate creative enterprises to make optimal usage of waterway and waterfront assets to further the economic activities of the city. The Office of the Waterfront should be focused on all--

and virtually impossible to continue any other way.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you.

EDWARD KELLY: Thank you.

CHRISTA REMEIN: Thanks Ed. Hi. I'm Christa Remein. I'm Riverkeeper's Project Coordinator, and I genuinely thank you for the opportunity to testify here today. For those of you who don't know, Riverkeeper is a member supported watchdog organization dedicated to defending the Hudson River and its tributaries and protecting the drinking water supply of nine million New York City and Hudson Valley residents. As part of our mission we sample water quality throughout New York City, monitor city shorelines for evidence of pollution and fight to ensure shorefront development perceived in a sustainable manner. As we've heard today, the train of New York's 520 mile coastline varies greatly from nature preserves, beaches and boat launches to residential and heavy industrial use, from fertile wetlands to barren bulkheads. The greatest issue plaguing our shores today, however, no matter the use, stems directly from frequent sewage discharges during rainfall, and the resulting poor water quality. In the near future sea level rise will also impact nearly every single waterfront property.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

complexities of these varied use-uses and the challenges they face warrant coordinated oversight from the new Office of Waterfronts. Therefore, Riverkeeper supports Introduction 982, but we believe there are important changes necessary to make the legislation successful. First, it must be noted that a tremendous portion of the city's land would affected. Activities occurring up to 800 feet landward on each roughly 520 miles of coastline would be governed by this legislation amounting to more that 78 per mile, which is a lot and, therefore, the Council must provide it with the resources and funding necessary to hire an eligible professional. The office must also coordinate closely with existing Department of City Planning efforts. Unique challenges of waterfront resource planning already drove the city to create the Office of Waterfront and Open Space Planning and the Department of City Planning, which is just now beginning the process of updating its comprehensive waterfront plan. Previous iterations of this plan have sparked important policy changes including the waterfront zoning that requires public access [bell] in front of new developments, which is huge as well as sea level rise, resiliency

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

measures—and resiliency measures. It is our hope that new Office of Waterfront will elevate these issues of waterfront planning and help build upon Department of City Planning successes, and just a little bit more here. Crucially, our waterfronts are only attractive when water quality allows. Office of Waterfront should include water quality protection as part of its mandate. All waterfront uses from recreation and aesthetic enjoyment to the ability to develop and operate businesses on contaminated waterfronts are directly affected by water quality of the waterway. And I just want tojust one more little piece. Our sewage containment issues-contamination problems as bad as they are now, was more than 20 billion gallons of raw sewage discharged into our waterways each year likely will grow worse with climate change. 20 billion gallons about 72 Empire State Buildings. So the Office of the Waterfront should include among its duties the reduction of water pollution, safeguarding of water quality and coordination of the city's water quality protection and resiliency efforts. Finally, the definition of body of water should be expanded to specifically include canals as well as tributaries to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all the explicitly identified waters. No waterfront regardless of size should go without the benefit of thoughtful oversight. Again, Riverkeeper thanks you all.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you.

ROLAND LEWIS: Good afternoon.

[background comments/pause] My name is Roland Lewis. I am the President of the Waterfront Alliance, an alliance over 1,000 businesses and civic organizations including the three wonderful colleagues to my left and right here. We applaud Council Member Rose's initiative and the 44 cosponsor that have stepped forward to promote this legislation, and I do-do note that I took a little walk before and there was about two dozen people in the overflow room. This is an issue of great concerns and importance, and the five panels that we will hear from. So, very briefly, because I know that the time is very limited. This-we need a coordinating office. I-I-I'll up your 12 agencies. I believe there are 14 agencies in the city of New York alone and then there's-there's state and federal that all have a say in the waterfront. Other cities do this. They-they have a coordinating office where

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there are all these issues, which is a working waterfront, water quality, historic-historic boats all come together, and—and vent our issues. I'll give you an example where we could have used it. This recent Mayor's Office to—the—the initiative on the Infill Project for the Financial District came forward. That has profound effect for transportation, for historic boats, for parkland. All these issues could have been vetted. So, having a Mayor's Office that could bring together the agencies in the city, regional players that-because we share the water with New Jersey and-and-and also this federal jurisdiction and with these wonderful experts that surround us and are going to be testifying before you is critical. You know, even within EDC itself. If you look at Hallett's cove and Astoria. They place the ferry dock next to a kayak launch, and they were not compatible together. So, it'scoordination is so critical. It's a product of today's city limits, which talks about the-also it covers resiliency saying they don't even know where all the water-where the billions of dollars are going. So, there's so much--[bell] Give me-give me another 30 seconds. There's so much to be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

coordinated. This office-this office should have I think independence. It should be in the Mayor's Office. Think of the Mayor's Office of Disability. With all this great respect for my friend Michael and the incredible work they do there. There's so many different avenues that-that affect public policy with the waterfront. Having a Mayor's office well similar to the Disability independent to champion these issues and coordinate I think is the way to go, and then finally I-I've actually given a couple of examples where EDC is maybe falling a little short, but I do want to talk about the-the transportation bill before you, too. The-and just throw some-some praise. In two years time a whole new system has been-it's mine-I-I know one of the most chief critics. He's written a lot about it recently, and he'll remain nameless right now, but he-he said I've got to take my hat off to these guys when it first rolled out to build that number of boats, to get this thing rolling. We have no really horse in the race about where this thing will lie over the long term. Whether it's a city agency or a different operator at the time, but Hornblower and EDC have done a minor miracle to get this things going as quickly and as

2.2

fast and efficiently as it can. Let's give it time to grow. Let's fine revenue sources that can bring down the costs, but let's do it the way the mayor asked us to do, and find underserved neighborhoods that need transportation by water, and make it at an affordable prices. [bell] Alright, ding and I'm done.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Before you testify,

I just want to recognize we've been joined by Council

Member Levin and Kallos.

CARLINA SALGUERO: Hi. I'm Carlina
Salguero from Portside, New York. We're an award
winning maritime non-profit founded in 2005 in Red
Hook. Thanks for covering this. We are all about the
waterfront. That's entirely our mission. So thrilled
that you've picked this topic, but I have to say that
this really merits at least two hearing, and more
than two minutes. I mean each of these topics alone
is enormous. So, and also I would suggest in the
future some more background information before it
comes in. This is incredibly short notice. My
apologies for in our written testimony is terribly
long, but it was like a scramble to try and figure
out what's going on. So, in terms of the Office of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the Waterfront, what I want to say is that New York City is really notorious on the Eastern Seaboard for its being a very difficult place to be a boat of every type. The way Portside puts is that we've lost the fluency in the maritime language here. So, we think it's a great idea to have an Office of the Waterfront. Like the Ed Kelly Maritime Association here it's been an oversight, but we-what we don't need is another layer. We don't need more red tape. We have too much red tape, and we have some questions about this. So, whether it should be a mayoral office, you know, that doesn't-it's not always a recipe for responsiveness to transparency. It makes it very much, you know, attached to who the mayor is and their proclivities. The other thing is how is that office going to interact with some of the site managers? We have a situation. It's not just policy like DCP is making policy or rules or zoning or things like that. Our waterfront is actually chopped up in different management entities, huge numbers of them, and some of these are separate authorities and they themselves are not very responsive. So the Hudson River Park Trust it's a huge chunk of waterfront. Brooklyn Bridge Park, a huge chunk.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Governor's Island another park. Then the EDC, the EDC themselves are landlords and they're either owning and managing DOCK NYC or not even mentioning the ferry things. So will this office have some persuasion over them? Otherwise, what is this actually doing? And I feel like all of the questions that I heard pertain—it sounded more like construction permits. I'm talking now about, you know, being a boat. It is almost impossible to be a boat in the city. We are still having troubles getting a home here and I have to say that's I think in large part about how the waterfront is run. founded the organization to make the city's revitalization more maritime focused, an that meant maritime in every kind of way. We are very pro the working waterfront. We want to be on it. We work closely with it, but trying to get a space is really kind of impossible. In terms of ferry operations, I concur and echo what Roland Lewis said. It is astounding what has happened. It's great. fast start, and whatever else. In terms of who manages it going forward, that we work closely with EDC on some things. I'm very grateful that James Patchett himself allowed the elementary school

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

students to come and present their transportation study. That was a powerful experience for the underprivileged kids from the elementary school. In the main, you know, EDC is not responsive to input from us from anyone else or to living up to promises that they make in many communities including ours, and I'm sorry to put it to you. I'm a former, you know, award winning journalist, I'm going to be straight in documenting voice: Unresponsive, untransparent and frankly some things are just simple and they're like basic suggestions like the Red Hook Stop. It's called Red Hook Atlantic Basin. There's not a single sign around Atlantic Basin saying Atlantic Basin. That is not a known term to people living in Red Hook. It's a mariners charm, historic mariner's charm. People don't know where it is. There's a sign on the dock. For two years as the EDC the map does not include us. We are parallel to the ferry. The distance is like 30 yards. The map is almost blank. That's a DOT product by the way. The EDC consistently refuses to have-we will pay for the sign and let then design it, and we're an attraction and the ferry considers this an attraction. They promote all of our events. They promote our digital

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

guide to Red Hook past and present, and we can't get DDC to move on that. Similarly, the cruise terminal and I mention this because EDC runs the cruise terminal in the facility where the NYC Ferry dock is. It was built and was going to promote and run a business. There's not a single piece of information about Red Hook in the cruise terminal, on the dock. There is no notification of special events. I was shocked this morning to see thousands of students entering the cruise terminal. I have the brochure. got it from someone on the ferry dock as I came here. There was a free conference for students to understand business plans, and as far as I know, there was absolutely no notification in Red Hook or in Brooklyn anyway that they could go to this. Kids could have just walked to this thing and we have said this context over and over and over in the spirit of helpfulness and partnering, and these answers and these proposals, excuse me, are generally just rebuffed with no or were silenced, and the EDC does a lot of great work. And, I'm asking you all now to really talk to them to make them more responsive because they will perform better, but economic development includes indirect economic benefits. Ιt

2.2

were looking for in terms of coordination so—and oversight. So, I just wanted to say thank you really for all the work that you've done, and I hear you and we're going to—we're going talk about it.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: That's great. So, she just volunteered. [laughter] Thank you for your leadership. [laughter] Thank you for your leadership, and also Council Member and Co-Chair Vallone who—who receives EDC. So that—I will encourage you to continue that communication with them. I only have one question and that was in regards to the environment. Are we having problems? Why don't you help the environment? I mean what kind of an impact do you foresee having more of these large maritime vessels going through our rivers and yes.

vessels are operating with the newest and cleanest engines, the fuel consumption. There is more cargo being moved per cumulative fuel consumed. So, the big vessels are a very good thing. As far as that goes to handle the amount of freight. Otherwise, there would be multiple vessels all requiring multiple tugs and additional support vessels that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

would be putting more into the air. The good news is internationally the IMO, and again, there are international regulations. Impacting our waterfront and the operators that work on it. The IMO MARPOL 6 requires that there's drastic reductions in emissions globally for deep sea vessels. We already once we enter the EDCA, the Economic Control Areas of the United States, we have to reduce to low sulfur fuel. Most of our marine terminals have now been electrified. So, we avoid the diesel engines. We've worked with through the Port Authority to reduce and mandate that older trucks are no longer allowed in on marine terminals. Unlike the public facilities, warehouses or streets, we will not allow old pollutant trucks into the marine terminals any more and we've worked with the Truck Replacement Program. So, the maritime industry is very actively and aggressively moving to reduce. Now, on the other hand, domestically and locally, the uses of tugs, barges, ATVs, articulated tug barges, et cetera, in lieu of trucks NYC did a study a few years ago just with the at the time the existing tug and barge business eliminated over 1.3 million truck trips in the city every year. That takes a lot of pollution

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

off the streets. The other benefit is societal. There is less wear and tear on bridges, tunnels, et cetera that the taxpayers have to improve. Can anybody raise their hand and tell me they've seen a pothole in the water? [laughter] And that's we heard with the ferry landings, et cetera. There's a lot of available land, and very cheap infrastructure development costs for waterborne capabilities both for people and freight. NYC is initiating the \$100 million NYC Freight Program that's going to help to bring more freight and people onto the water. New York City is growing. There are more people projected to be here. There have to be environmentally friendly and physically capable ways of moving people and freight, and it's a lot cheaper to do it on the water than it is to try to build a new subway, and the very people that are talking about environmental impact want to put more buses on the road instead of ferries. It doesn't make sense to me. It's either yes or no. We're either cleaning the environment and having society needs taken into consideration or we're not. I mean the subsidy for the ferries is because it reduces congestion. It reduces environmental pollution. It makes it capable for

2.2

people to move. These are societal issues, and that's what government takes care of.

ROLAND LEWIS: I'll just add real briefly, it's indicative of the cross cutting issues that affect the waterfront and why they're off the waterfront. It's so necessary. You know, wake issues that the boats create wear and tear on the water, on waterfronts and waterfront businesses, water quality. If you want to build parks and have recreation and have kids do programming down there, you've got to be able to see that issue and increase water quality. So, these are all-there—there is just a web of issues, and that's why this office could be so instrumental to address—address them, and I agree with everything you said about—about the ferries and—and getting back to them. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You know, the reason I was asking is because I saw a study once regarding jet skis in lakes, and the effect they have on marine life especially aquatic plant life a very negative effect. So, I'm asking because I really don't know what kind of effect this would have.

CHRISTA REMEIN: Sure yeah, and just to add a little bit there, I would say, you know, as

2.2

I've mentioned, ferries and waterfronts

transportation can on a large scale reduce GHT

emissions and even reduce pollution on a local level

and Riverkeeper usually works by starting with the

water and moving out, but as we find with a lot of

our communities that we work with particularly in

places like Newtown Creek, Flushing Waterways, these

industrial areas and corridors are really important

and important to New York City. However, that

doesn't mean that our waterways are highways and we
that, you know, we can use freely. We still have to

very carefully consider the impacts of the increased

ferry transportation that will likely come on those

waterways, but that again is a conversation to

continue.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, and you were going to say before we moved to the next one?

CARLINA SALGUERA: Yes, I want to say that last night I was at the Port Authority at a meeting about their—the Port Master Plan and so if you haven't met with them, I would encourage you to get that presentation because they're talking and Ed Kelly said some other additional things. In terms of larger vessels, I also want to add cruise ships. So,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this circles back to another EDC issue. They promised shore power, which was actually installed years ago at the Brooklyn Cruise Terminal, and is generally still not working or is irregularly working, and we can't get answers out of the EDC, and so that should be one fix, and there should be answers because also they're planning to expand that to have larger ships coming in and that's a concern. I also think they're not about large ships, but the city has announced Freight NYC as a way to start moving things more by water, which Portside has advocated for since we were founded in 2005, and then also there's an RFP out for Hunts Point to be moving things out of the Hunts Point Market in The Bronx by water, which we also support, and so there's a possibility for, you know, using the waterways more. I also want to throw in the idea with the growth of the last mile warehouse, the kind of Amazon effect, you know, is and we've raised this with the Port Authority last night is there a way to start moving those kind of packages for example into Red Hook for at least four if not five properties now that have been purchased to put in last mile warehouses. Can that stuff come into our neighborhood by water partly because there's a port

2.2

there, but just in general? Otherwise you're trucking in and trucking out into a place like that. In the case of Red Hook, right before the BQE Cantilever is going to be taken down, they're buying this property to inject more trucks.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, thank you so much. Very informative. You took me to school.

Thank you. Gracias. [background comments] Let's move with the next panel. Katie Rosher from Billion

Oyster Project in New York Harbor School; Karen Myer from Plus Pool; Sean Campion from Citizens Budget

Commission; Joe Hardigan from Rockaway Civic.

[background comments/pause] You can begin as soon as you're ready, and again, don't feel obligated to read if you have a large testimony. If—if you just want to go, you know, go and just get to the main points within this first audit. (sic) Okay, thank you.

JOE HARDIGAN: Joe Hardigan from

Rockaway, Queens, a ferry advocate for 24 years. I

attended all of the city's EDC meetings on a previous

contract for the ferry, and just some concerns. I

filed a complaint with the Inspector General and a

few other things. You can read all that testimony

there. I've heard a lot of misinformation given here

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

on cost of the train track-trains and the ferry. of the things that I recommend-let's get back to EDC. We've all had problems with EDC. We're all frustrated with EDC. Since James Prichard has come into being as the-there's been less frustration. would have to say that the new people that work at EDC with the ferry are doing a pretty good job. anyway, you sit here and you talk about ferry service. Shouldn't you just do this: At Seastreak a ferry operator for 20 years in New York Harbor-excuse me-No subsidy and is building brand new boats who do 50 miles an hour. He's going to probably bid on Glen Cove. If he bids on Glen Cove, then he could stop in the Bronx. He could stop in Bayside. What is the cost? You come here. I've heard the same thing in Transportation meetings, you quote the same material over and over again. You have to come in here and have somebody that can verify what the costs are. EDC said a 150-passenger ferry boat cost \$5 million. Well, there at the shipyard can build a 320-passenger ferry boat built in New York State for \$5 million and do 32 knots. So, I vote-did anybody on the City Council read the Ferry Contract? It's 186 pages. Ιf you didn't or nobody on your staff did, how can you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

sit here ask them questions? So, anyway the other City Council Member said about the ferry service into the Rockaway, the average income where that ferry boat comes in is \$48,000. He gave out this information. One mile each way of the ferry dock it is only \$48,000, and where the ferry dock is in Rockaway it's in the middle of the island. There are things that can be done to reduce ferry costs. Rockaway Ferry [bell] for example if it went into Kennedy Airport we'd got to a voucher system, but mymy last thing is I was at Seastreak. We go on their boat, take all the City Council members. So now that you know what it would cost to go from the Bronx, to go from Bayside, that's my suggestion to you. you very much.

KARA MEYER: My name is [coughs]. Sorry. My name is Kara Meyer. I'm from Friends of +Pool. We are—if you haven't heard of +Pool we are building a water filtering floating swimming pool in the New York Harbor of which I'm the Chair and we have been working. We're a grassroots environmental community driven effort. We 11,000 signature petitioners over one month. We had 5,000 supporters on Case Starter. We launched the project and we—a couple of years ago

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

founded a non-profit. We now have over 100,000 people across the city through water quality program, education and STEM as we're working to provide free and safe access to the river though +Pool. +Pool we for the past four years we've been working with the Mayor's Office to both and permit or understand the permitting regulatory structure for +Pool, which has been crazy. We have about 12 agencies. Yes, many, many agencies involved, and-but more recently in the past three years we've been working with EDC and Small Business Services, also some very confusable non-profits (sic) and services control for our waterat our waterfront permitting on a water quality project and we've gone through the permitting and regulatory process with the Army Corps and DEC and all that. And so, we're just here to testify that, you know, we-we truly believe that an Office of the Waterfront would be very useful given all the expenses we have as a young organization coming through grassroots community efforts, and not knowing anything about anything, the, you know, the online navigation system for sure it's information, but it's a-it's a very, very detailed involved process, and for projects that are unique like ours and super

2.2

innovative, there's no existing regulatory structures. So, we're kind of creating new regulations and that's only going to happen more and more as we continue to go back to the waterfront. You know, one of the main issues we've had in many ways is that [bell] who owns the water and who controls it, and it's different agencies across different areas. So, we believe that the citizens can own the water. You know, it's only for us, and that's why we want to provide clean and safe access to them. So, that's why we support the—a Waterfront Office that can ensure that that continues to be true. It's all of the various projects we've been talking about here today.

CHAVON WILLIAMS: Hi. My name is Chavon Williams. I'm reading on behalf of Billion Oyster Project and the Urban Assembly New York Harbor School. There is someone who was here who had to leave early. So, I'm just reading on their behalf. The Billion Oyster Project is non-profit working to restore oyster reefs to New York Harbor through public education initiatives. We work towards a future in which New York Harbor is the center of a rich, diverse, and abundant estuary and the community

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

surrounding this complex ecosystem has helped construct and benefit from these endless opportunities for work, education and recreation. Through our work with schools, restaurants and community members, we work hard to activate the workthe waterfront in all five boroughs to provide experiences and learning opportunities both at the water's edge and in the harbor itself through oyster restoration and education programs. The Urban Assembly New York Harbor School is a public career and technical education school located on Governor's Island. Harbor School provides college and career preparatory education build on New York City's maritime experience that instills in students the ethics of environmental stewardship and the skills associated with-with careers on the water. Billion Oyster Project and Harbor School both support the establishment of an Office of a Waterfront. coordinating body in the Mayor's Office to create and manage an overall vision for our 520 miles of waterfront is a critical step in building the harbor and cities of the next generation of New Yorkers. Together, Billion Oyster Project and Harbor School work throughout the harbor training students and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

restoring oysters. It is through this collaboration that students from all five boroughs learn to scuba dive, operate and maintain vessels, grow oysters and conduct research all in one of the busies ports in the nation. Through this work we work with a number of city agencies, landowner and regulators with interest in the harbor. While we enjoy robust relationships with each, we found that the patchwork quilt of overlapping jurisdictions is inefficient. A coordinating body that creates and manages a vision for the waterfront as a whole is necessary for bringing these separate efforts-efforts together. Specifically we would like to highlight the work of the Economic Development Corporation in playing some of this role with us over the last decade. tremendous growth and popularity of the new ferryferry service is a testament to EDC's work and has brought of a million New Yorkers to interact with the harbor in new ways. EDC has hosted paid internship for a number of Harbor School students providing valuable work-based learning experiences that have included waterfront projects from an urban maritime planning to EDC's bright-Freight Transportation Initiatives in Brooklyn. EDC's Ports and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Transportation Department has organized highly successful and much needed maritime career aware fares for New York City's CPE schools. This event has been hosted at Red Hook Cruise Terminal, and connects our students with these much sought after career paths. Over 300 harbor school students have participated in this event even taking a New York City ferry to their fair crews by Harbor School Alumni Casket (sic) and Deck Care. What better inspiration for out students to pursue these unique career paths. EDC has participate in the citywide Career and Technical Education Advisory Board for Automotive and Transportation Connecting DOE, industry professionals, and educators to address industry demands for a future workforce. Finally, EDC has always facilitated a great working partnership with DOCK NYC for the Harbor School's training vessel. The support and collaboration with EDC has been instrumental for both Billion Oyster Project at Harbor School. Establishing an Office of the Waterfront would build on this and other partnerships, and allow us to continue preparing students for careers on the water and restoring New

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

York Harbor to the great natural resource and public safe it should be.

SEAN CAMPION: Thank you for holding this hearing. My name is Sean Campion. I'm a Senior Research Associate at the Citizens Budget Commission. CBC is a non-profit, non-partisan think tank whose mission is to achieve constructive change in appliances and services in New York City and New York City governance. In the interest of time I'll sort of do an abridged version of my testimony. Our Report on Swimming (sic) Subsidies identifies a number of the reasons why the cost of NYC ferry has bee so high to date, a number that was mentioned earlier in this hearing. The city has made choices designing long routes to their cost to operate the charter vessels to meet seasonal and weekend ridership in the end, and the charge of a ferry is equal to a subway fare rather than to-to premium transit options like express bus routes and other ferry systems, which are entire fares that are commensurate with their higher operating costs, and as a result the NYC Ferry system has recouped just 22% of its operating costs through fare remedy to date. The bill before us today would call for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transfer and control of New York City ferry from EDC to a newly created Director of Ferry Operations within DOT. Our report notes two of the drawbacks of the decision to operate New York City ferry through an EDC route and through DOT. First is transparency. As EDC is planning for EDC's budget process, which means there's little transparent to their appliances or operations. DOT's expense funding for the Staten Island Ferry by contrast includes, of course funding for personnel, contractual services, supplies, fuels and other expenses. The Mayor's Management Report ties back to those charges, and EDC has not reported a similar level to date. Second, it creates redundancies within city government, particularly EDC's role in NYC Ferry expands beyond merely overseeing infrastructure and managing the relationship with this private operating partner. Rebalancing the responsibilities between EDC and DOT could address some of these concerns. However, the Council could require transparency to NYC Ferry's appliances and operations without a shift in responsibility. Furthermore, consolidating responsibilities for municipal ferry operations would reduce redundancy, but it doesn't guarantee improved

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

operation efficiency or cost savings, and evaluation of the relative efficiency and cost of EDC's and DOT's [bell] ferry operations would help identify the costs and impacts of these consolidation, and finally an d most importantly, consolidation would not address the policy choices that the city has made that contributed to high subsidies. As we mentioned in our report, NYC Ferry comprises a series of both of fares, routes and service levels. Transparency would help New Yorkers understand the cost and benefits of these choices, but on the its own it's not going to make the system more effective, efficient or cost-effective. And we urge that the Council should continue to use its oversight powers to consider whether the city should maintain the same operating strategy of fare circuits (sic) going forward particularly as NYC Ferry works to expand by adding routes and procuring additional buses. you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much and we will—our staff would literally is going to comb through all of your written comments that you have for ideas. Thank you. We're going to move to the next panel. Molly Hollister from Manhattan CD6;

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Frank Augusta from Local 1814; Roberta Weis-Weisbrod, Worldwide Ferry Safety Association; and Captain Eric Johnson, Tour Boat and Harbor Vessel Association.

MOLLY HOLLISTER: Hello. Good afternoon, Chairs Cabrera and Rodriguez, and I was going to say Council Members, but I think yeah. My name is Molly Hollister. I'm the Chair of Manhattan Community Board 6, and I will edit my-my remarks down a little bit. You have the full-full testimony. I'm hear to speak in support of Intro 982 and Manhattan Community Board 6 stretches from 14th Street to 59th Street in Manhattan along the East River. Our waterfront has been-would have-it's been a priority for us for years. We've been focusing on it with the 197-A plan and other-other community based plans as a focus. It's been plagued by numerous problems. To mention a few, it's been impossible to walk the length of our waterfront because of our waterfront esplanade has significant gaps. It's adjacent to the FDR drive, which, of course is a big obstacle in a lot of ways. A stroll or bike ride along the waterfront is not salubrious, but rather noisy and unpleasant. Further still, multiple segments of the precious waterfront, little waterfront that we have we have access to-are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

occupied by uses that are deleterious-deleterious to our quality of life like parking lots and heliports. A lot of 99-year leases by EDC is what-what we have along our waterfront. So, it's other businesses. The 34th Street Ferry Terminal is fantastic, and that's something that we-we love, and that brings a lot of folks out that they need bathrooms. They need to be improved and it needs to be made safer along that whole-whole area of the waterfront. If established, the Office of the Waterfront will serve a useful administrative purpose, but it will also serve as an advocate for the waterfront within city government. Through its work, that is what Manhattan Community Board 6 does. We would welcome the Office of the Waterfront as an ally. Thank you very much.

on behalf of Frank, I just said who couldn't stay.

My name is Frank Agosta. I am the Vice President of
Local 1814, the International Longshoreman's
Association, AFLCIO, which represents longshoremen
working in the Brooklyn sector of the Port of New
York as well as container maintenance and repair
workers at both Brooklyn and Staten Island Waterfront
facilities. I appreciate the opportunity to testify

2 before this joint hearing of the New York City Council's Committees on Economic Development, 3 Government Operations and Transportation. 4 5 Maritime industry in New York represents approximately \$3.6 billion in personal income for New 6 7 Yorkers while its inland waterways contribute about \$300 million annually to the city's economic output. 8 The Port of New York supports tens of thousands of 9 jobs in New York City some of which are performed by 10 the longshore and waterfront workers represented by 11 12 Local 1814, and it is important to understand the pivotal role that the New York City Economic 13 Development Corporation, EDC has played and continues 14 to play in the resurgence of waterfront commerce in 15 16 the New York City sector of the port. The impetus of that resurgence has been the ability of EDC to garner 17 18 and coordinate the support of many stakeholders in maritime commerce including local community 19 organizations, industry groups, employers, labor 20 organizations and other governmental agencies not an 21 2.2 easy task. It is EDC's sensitivity to the interest of those stakeholders that allows for the advancement 23 of common proposals to increase the city's share of 24 25 port commerce. EDc's formulation 2088 of the Free

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NYC Plan demonstrated its ability serve as an incubator for innovative approaches to modernizing city-the city's aging freight distribution systems and increasing development of its maritime and rail assets. The objective of the plan is to increase maritime capacity, expand rail freight services, develop freight hubs and utilize clean trucks all while creating nearly 5,000 good paying jobs and generating incalculable environmental dividends in the process. The use of container on barge or COB services is an essential to the efforts [bell] to increase waterfront commerce in New York City side of the port as a means for offsetting the use of trucks while substantially increasing the number of jobs involved in waterfront cargo. In a recent example of EDC's facility for coordinating maritime commerce efforts with other government agencies was September 2018 announcement by EEC and the Port Authority to create the North Atlantic Marine Highway Alliance, which seeks to capitalize on the use of former container barges. In that context, EDC was also able to garner support for the support of DOT's Maritime Administration. So, I won't continue because I'm

overtime, but EDC has a longstanding maritime background. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, thank you so much for your testimony. We value it and will definitely reading above whatever you stated here today.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, and for the last panel we have captor—Captain John Nappo, Senior from Maritime Technology by Kings College; Robert Boulder from Cornell University, and someone didn't put their name from SUNY Maritime College. With that issue we—we need your name. [background comments/pause] Okay, if you could sit.

MALE SPEAKER: Yes. Good afternoon and thank you. I'll be reading on behalf of Captain John Nappo, Jr. who is the Director of the Maritime Technology Program at Kings Borough Community College. The Maritime Department at KCC has been positively impacted by maritime projects and programs designed and administered by NYCDC. The NYC Ferry Program has had a tremendous impact on King Borough Students and the program in general. For our students, many have had their first real job

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

experience working on the ferries. Working as deck hands, they can pursue their education earning a wage for daily living and education expenses, the responsibility from lessons learned on NYC ferries gave students an advantage for possible future employment opportunities in the maritime industry. We have -- also have many alumni who have made NYC Ferry their place of permanent employment most working as captains and technicians in the engine rooms of the ferries. These are jobs that pay a rea wage with benefits. For many of our students, it's a life changing experience, a chance for financial independence, becoming part of the Fraternity of Maritime professionals and from Kings Borough Community College's perspective, great ambassadors of our unique maritime program. The NYC Ferry Program has become the foundation for a strong relationship with EDC. The relationship has raised Kings Borough's Maritime Program's profile in the New York Harbor to levels not previously experienced by the Maritime Department. EDC also sponsors a unique job fair for the last several years, the Maritime Career Awareness Fair. This event places NYC high school students and EDC Maritime partners together to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

provide a career and educational pathways for students. Having two and four-year colleges attending the event, students have easy access to invaluable information for making an informed decision about their education and future. Having maritime business partners there allow our students to possibly gain employment in an industry while exploring the chance to go to college simultaneously. The Maritime Program at KDCC has come to rely on this event as a marketing tool our program never had before. Being able to reach potential students from all communities and NYC at one time is beneficial for KDCC in every possible way. [bell] Raising the Maritime Program's visibility in a crowded educational market, meeting employers who could employ our students, connecting with shareholders about unique maritime programs previously unknown to staff at the college. The City University of New York has the hybrid education vessel the CUNY 1. The vessel is operated by the KBCC Maritime Program, the Science and Resilience Institute at Jamaica Bay hosted by NYC EDC, City Council members, state senators, state assembly members [bell] and shareholders in the Jamaica Bay community. On the CUNY 1, the trip's mission was to

2.2

find suitable new stops for increase ferry service to underserved communities in Brooklyn and Queens, and I'll just wrap up really quick. None of these positive impacts on student at the high school and college level would not have been possible without EDC's leadership on the Ferry Program and Maritime programs throughout the harbor. We look forward to our continued relationship with EDC and its many collaborative partners for many years to come. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you. Is
Paula-Paula Segal here? Paula Segal? No. Okay. I
just--

MALE SPEAKER: Good afternoon. I'll be reading a prepared statement, a letter of support from Mr. Robert Balder, Executive at the College of Architecture, Art and Planning NYC Program with Cornell University. Dear Council Members Vallone and Rodriguez. On behalf of Cornell University's College of Architecture located at 26 Broadway, I'm writing in support of two current bills that are under consideration today for the establishment of the Office of the Waterfront and Direct of Ferry Operations. It is my understanding that these two

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

initiatives will continue to support and enhance the ongoing administration, operations and expansion of the NYC Ferry system. Cornell University has directly benefitted from the establishment of ferry service beginning on August 2017 to and from Roosevelt Island for our Cornell Tech Campus. The NYC ferry has also provided a critical linked view of the Astoria route where the College of Architecture is located at 26 Broadway. In addition to academic collaborations between these two campuses, a portion of our AAP students live at university sponsored housing at Cornell Tech. The ability to commute quickly from Lower Manhattan to Roosevelt has been of great value to these students and faculty. The expanding ferry network has also allowed our graduate and undergraduate students to explore the city more fully including urban planning workshops and architectural designs to those most recently in the Red Hook neighborhood in Brooklyn. Other areas of-of importance to our courses of study in resilience include Queens West-Queens West, Hunters Point South, Gowanus Canal, the Bronx River Corridor and the Rockaways and finally, cultural destinations like the Socrates Cultural Park and other gardens and museums

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not very accessible by the subway or buses. In addition to the HASMIS (sp?) NYC Ferry System and the associated benefits of all of the alternative mode of transportation, the Office of Waterfront would play a leading role in advancing and planning and implementation of the city's resilience strategy is outlined in multiple NYC reports and policy statements recently. Given the importance of these two initiatives, it is vital that the Mayor's office have a dedicated group of professionals, leading this effort in a sustained and targeted manner. And finally, the Cornell and NYC program has consistently collaborated with NYC EDC for almost a decade on a variety of urban planning studies including significant portions of the city's waterfront and maritime sector. EDC has also been a strong partner in our active internship program. It has also hired graduates from our college. Respectfully, Robert Balder. Thank you.

MALE SPEAKER: Good afternoon. I guess

I'm the last one, right. So, I'll be reading today on
behalf of Amy Bernstein, Vice President for External
Relations at SUNY Maritime College. For close to 150
years SUNY Maritime College---well, sorry. SUNY

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Maritime College applauds NYC EDC for close 150 years SUNY Maritime college has been at the forefront of educating and training mariners and providing a large percentage of maritime workforce to the New York City region. Over the past several years, the college, its alumni and students in-and New York's maritime industry at large had benefitted greatly from the ambitious maritime workforce initiatives set forth by In the past two years, NYC EDC has co-NYC EDC. sponsored two important symposiums at SUNY Maritime. The first L&G Conference to study the growing need of in the-sorry-to study the growing need in the liquid natural gas industry and how it would show impact of the maritime industry. The second focused on Maritime Highway to address the need to reduce increasingly congested roads and the pollutions they produce, and marine highways and marine highways are developing enabled short sea shipping where freight and-and carried over water-excuse me. I messed up. Carried over shore distances on shipping operations with strong support from collab-and collaboration-with partners as they NYC EDC the college is able to address and respond to significant challenges-changes in the maritime industry. NYC EDC is investing \$100

2.2

million in infrastructure and to promote and establish waterborne operations and alternatives around the region. Andrew Ben. Senior—sorry, Senior Vice President of Enforcement and Transportation at NYC EDC and his team have demon—have demonstrated a keen understanding of the understanding for NYC's waterfront recreation as well as ensuring that NYC's waterways continue to support transportation and economic development. NYC EDC has championed that Initiative and has successfully improved that no one else—sorry—that does not negate the other—Sorry. I can continue with the letter, but I can submit it for the record. I just want to make sure that you all have it.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Since you're the last one, go ahead. You need 30 more seconds.

[laughter]

MALE SPEAKER: I will take it. Thank

you. So, the benefits to all New Yorkers of

waterfronts and of waterborne transit is substantial.

NYC EDC has been instrumental in expanding the ferry

service throughout the city. Since the Staten Island

Ferry connects Staten Island and Manhattan, there

existed an obvious growing need to expand the ferry

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

service on New York's waterways. Fortunately, that has been meet by NYC EDC-by NYC Ferry, which connects residential and business communities along the East River, Brooklyn, Rockaways, Astoria, Soundview and communities in Midtown and the Financial District. There are additional locations scheduled to open in 2020 and 2021. In an era of increasingly congested roadways maximizing New York's waterways to a new highway makes sense. In addition to streamliningstreamlining commuter traffic and the ferry, it is essential in times of emergency when points of transportation may not be available. In recent-the recent establishment of the ferry landing at Soundview in the Bronx proposed a landing in Ferry Point Park and the proposed landing at Ferry Point Park provides some of the maritime college students, faculty, staff and neighborhood residents a quick inexpensive transit alternative between the Bronx and New York City's other boroughs. SUNY Maritime is proud to have trained nearly 25% of the crews who work on West Side (sic) ferries, and I'll-

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Well, thank you so much, and thank you for your testimony, and in conclusion, I'd like to express my gratitude

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION to Zach Harris who today it's his last day in the Council. He's our Financial Analyst. He always served with such dignity, integrity and just a hardest service. He's just enjoyable-really an enjoyable experience working with you, and with that, we'll let you close today's meeting. MALE SPEAKER: [off mic] Thank you, Council Member. [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 21, 2019