CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM

March 19, 2019 Start: 10:10 a.m. Recess: 5:57 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Donovan J. Richards

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Justin L. Brannan Fernando Cabrera Andrew Cohen Chaim M. Deutsch Vanessa L. Gibson Rory I. Lancman Carlos Menchaca I. Daneek Miller

Keith Powers

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Paul A. Vallone

Jumaane D. Williams Helen K. Rosenthal

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

James O'Neill NYPD Commissioner

Vincent Grippo
Deputy Commissioner of Management & Budget NYPD

William Morris
Chief of Personnel at NYPD

Theresa Shortell Chief of Training at NYPD

Edward Delatorre
Chief of Transit at NYPD

John K. Donahue Chief of Strategic Initiatives at NYPD

Rodney Harrison Chief of Patrol at NYPD

Dermott Shea Chief of Detectives at NYPD

Matthew Pontillo Assistant Chief of First Deputy Commissioner at NYPD

Thomas Chan
Chief of Transportation at NYPD

John Miller Commissioner of Intelligence & Counterterrorism at NYPD

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ann Prunty
Assistant Commissioner DCLM

Reverend Frederick Davie Civilian Complaint Review Board Chair

Jonathan Darche Civilian Complaint Review Board Executive Director

Jeanine Marie Deputy Executive Director for Administration at Civilian Complaint Review Board

Ralph Palladino Local 1549 DC 37

Shane Correia Center for Court Innovation

Audacia Ray NYC Anti-Violence Project

Kelly Price

MJ Williams

Towaki Komatsu

	4

2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, I'm going
3	to ask everybody to take their seats. We're going to
4	get ready to begin. Alright, good morning and
5	welcome to the Public Safety Committee's Fiscal 2020
6	Preliminary Budget Hearing. Today we will hear
7	testimony from the Commissioner, from Commissioner
8	O'Neill and his staff followed by the Civilian
9	Complaint Review Board at 12:30 p.m., and lastly, we
10	will hear public testimony. Later in the afternoon
11	Public Safety will also hold a joint hearing with the
12	Committee on the Justice System, and we'll hear from
13	the District Attorney's, Mayor's Office of Criminal
14	Justice, HRA's Office of Civil Justice and Legal Aid
15	Society. The Police Department's Fiscal 2020
16	preliminary Budget totals 5.6 billion dollars, an
17	increase of six million from the Fiscal Year 2019
18	Adopted Budget. This represents a minimal change to
19	the Department. More than 90 percent of its budget
20	supports personnel services while less than 10
21	percent supports other than personnel services. The
22	Department's budget supports a budget headcount of
23	approximately 52,000 personnel which includes roughly
24	36,000 uniform personnel and 16,000 civilians. The
25	budget reflects new and enhanced initiatives such as

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ongoing initiatives such as the Neighborhood Coordination Officer Program, the Department's internal disciplinary process, and the organization of the Special Victim's Division and Domestic violence Unit. As Chair of the Public Safety Committee, I'm looking forward to working with the Department on numerous issues over the next year. Today, we look to working together with the Department to improve budget transparency and oversight. Today, I hope to learn more about the Department's new initiatives, its capital programming, and the budget priorities for Fiscal Year 2020. I would like to thank the Committee staff for their hard work, Nevin Sing [sp?], our Financial Analyst, Aisha Wright [sp?], our Finance Unit Head, Casey Addison [sp?], our Senior Policy Analyst, and Daniel Attis [sp?], our Senior Counsel. As you can see, we have a lot to discuss today and a lot to consider, so let's begin. I'd like to welcome Commissioner O'Neill and his staff. Thank you for being here today. And let me just acknowledge my

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

colleagues we're also joined by, Council Members
Cohen, Brannan and Lancman. Once again, thank you

4 for being here and you may begin when you're ready.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this committee and answer all questions to the best of your ability?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Good morning Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the Mayor's Preliminary Budget for the 2020 Fiscal It's a pleasure to be here and to testify before the City Council's Committee on Public Safety about the outstanding work, the members of New York City Police Department have been doing and continue to do around the clock each day and night. bottom line is we need the public to know that each of us has a stake in keeping us all safe. Before highlighting some key budget issues, I will update you on our core mission and several significant public safety initiatives. I'll be as brief as I can so our team can field as many of your questions as possible in the time we have available this morning. First, I'd like to thank New Yorkers for the outpouring of support they showed the NYPD following

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the February death of Detective Brian Simonsen of the 102 Detective Squad. Like all NYPD members who have made the ultimate sacrifice on behalf of the people we serve, Detective Simonsen was killed while doing what we asked of him, and that was fighting crime and keeping people safe. There is no more selfless act than that. What Detective Simonsen did for this great city and what his family, and all line-of-duty families now must endure will never be forgotten by any one of us. In 2019, with every New Yorker entitled to safety, I believe the NYPD is at a turning point, a moment of opportunity never before seen in this city. We stand on the threshold of taking our nation's safest big city, and making it safe on every block, on every street, in every neighborhood, a city in which every neighborhood is as important as every other, where every child can grow up free of the threat of crime. We can now do this because Neighborhood Policing has been institutionalized in every precinct, and every public housing command and, as of last month, three-quarters of all transit districts with the rest coming in the spring. The NYPD also launched Neighborhood Policing in New York City schools in the Bronx East sector at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the start of the school year. We can now do this because the NYPD-- we can do this now, because the NYPD is ready to partner with every organization, every agency, and every person in the city of New In 2018, as you know, New York City experienced another remarkable year in reducing violence and property crime. Overall index crime is at its lowest level here since 1957, more than 60 years ago. Robberies, burglaries, and auto thefts have all continued their downward trends. 2018 was the second year in a row we had fewer than 300 murders, again, less than any year in New York since 1951, when there were half-a-million fewer people in our city. Our current murder rate of 3.4 per 100,000 residents is among the lowest in the nation. Also in 2018, we recorded the lowest number of shootings in New York City's modern history for the third year in a row. On five separate occasions, the city went five or more days without a recorded murder, including for nine consecutive days, spanning November 25th to December 3rd. And for the first time ever, the NYPD recorded three straight months, October, November, December, below 20 murders. did see a substantial increase in reported rapes over

4

2 the last year. We know that part of this is attributable to the NYPD's substantially increased 3 outreach efforts to help survivors report what happened. We now have advocates inside every police 5 station house, specifically for domestic-violence 6 victims and victims of other crimes. Last year, we saw an increase of more than 300 walk-in reports at 8 precincts for sexual assault complaints. We also 9 know that -- and this is a belief shared by survivors' 10 advocates with whom we regularly meet -- that rape has 11 12 been, and continues to be, our number one underreported crime. In fact, about a quarter of the 13 rapes reported in 2018 took place prior to 2018. 14 15 me, and to the entire NYPD leadership, that means we 16 are successfully building trust with survivors. And 17 it is crucial that we continue on that path; this 18 historic underreporting is beginning to be addressed in a substantial, and vitally-important way. As you 19 20 know, last year we conducted a complete overhaul of our entire Special Victims Division, now led by 21 2.2 Deputy Chief Judith Harrison. We are renovating and 23 upgrading facilities, adding more highly-trained personnel, and fine-tuning our response to survivors 24 of these horrific crimes to make sure we provide 25

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

every service and every comfort they need. Special Victims detectives are working to fully investigate both past and current-year sex assaults with a thoroughness and sensitivity that provides all survivors with empathy, closure, and justice. NYPD will never rest in our determination to drive down the crime of rape, one of the most heinous of all violent offenses. And we, therefore, will never stop looking for ways to innovate and improve our practices in this area. Our cops now regularly work the same shifts in the same sectors. They are getting to know their neighborhoods, their community residents, their local problems, and their local criminals. They are getting the time and latitude to work at solving local crime and quality-of-life concerns. And the result is a more-flexible, moreresponsive, more-measured, and more-effective police presence. Investigations are also more focused, with patrol cops playing an expanded role in gathering evidence and information, and precinct detective squads working in closer coordination with specialty squads like Gang and Narcotics to bring in more and even stronger cases against violent criminals. because we involve our six local district attorneys,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

or the U.S. Attorneys for the Southern or Eastern Districts, from the outset, we are able to pre-indict many offenders before they are arrested, charge them appropriately, and see their cases through to meaningful prison sentences. We also support our new approach with major improvements in training and technology, all implemented in the past five years. Perhaps most importantly, we decentralized and democratized technology and data-access in the department, equipping all officers with smartphones that connect them to databases, to the public, and to each other. We have gone from cops who lacked email addresses or any other way than a police radio to communicate in the field, to officers who now have instant access to a wide range of information and functionalities, and who regularly share their cell phone numbers and email addresses with local residents and businesses. On the enforcement side during the past five years, street-stops by our officers are down more than 90 percent citywide, even as we improve monitoring and supervision to make sure that all stops are being reported by the officers who find them necessary to make. Overall arrests are down 37.3 percent, and summonses are down nearly 79

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

percent. Marijuana misdemeanor and violation arrests are down 71 percent. As we believed we could in 2014, we have shown that we can drive crime down significantly with a far less-intrusive enforcement profile. While arrests and summonses for quality-oflife violations and minor crimes are way down, felony arrests for rape, assault, grand larceny and burglary are all up. And while many misdemeanor arrest categories have fallen steeply, Detective Bureau arrests are up nearly 20 percent in the last five Detective arrests are based on exhaustive investigations that specifically direct our enforcement efforts with laser-like focus on the serious crimes and the serious offenders, who are a relatively small percentage of the population. can also be said that 2018 was a milestone in the NYPD's historic 25-year crime-fighting period. The murder rate is a tenth of what it once was; total crime has been cut by 78 percent. We say that we are the safest large city in America, and we certainly are when our citywide crime rate is compared to the other biggest cities in the country. However, there are still stubborn pockets of crime and especially violent crime in New York. In fact, in 2018, there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

were six precincts with violent crime rates more than twice as high as the rest of the city. The 40 Precinct in the Bronx had the highest overall rate, including the second-highest robbery rate and the third-highest assault rate. The 73 Precinct in Brooklyn had the third-highest rate, including the second-highest murder rate and the highest shooting rate. Other precincts, the 41, the 42 in the Bronx; the 75 in Brooklyn; and the 25 in Manhattan together lead the city in violence. So, let me be clear: Even these six precincts have seen huge drops in violent crime since the early 1990s, but we will never be satisfied with that. We can always do better, and we must do better. The NYPD and our city have a moral obligation to these precincts, because everyone who lives and works in New York City deserves to live in safety, free of fear. Our achievements do give us reason to make the following declaration: We vow not to rest until every block, in every neighborhood, enjoys the same level of safety and well-being as the rest of the city. Our city will always face challenges, challenges that test our crime-fighting strategies at the most local of levels, and challenges that test our intelligence-gathering and

25

2 preparedness at a citywide and even a global scale. And that important work continues around the clock, 3 4 every day of the year, with our analysts, our cops, 5 and our many partners on the FBI-NYPD Joint Terrorism Task Force. It was the first JTTF in the nation, 6 formed in December 1980, and now it is comprised of 300 investigators from 56 agencies, 113 of whom are 8 NYPD cops. Additionally, the NYPD's Critical 9 Response Command works 24/7 protecting sites and 10 infrastructure around the city, and cops in our 11 12 Strategic Response Group are at the ready to rapidly respond to any emerging threat, be it an active-13 14 shooter situation or other terror incident. Along 15 with our elite ESU, Emergency Service Unit, they are 16 all informed by our first-rate Intelligence Bureau, 17 which continues to be the industry-leader in 18 detecting, deciphering, and responding to an alwaysfluid threat stream. It was a new-- this is a new 19 20 era, in so many ways. We know, for example, that the legalization of marijuana is coming, and we need to 21 2.2 determine how and when laws about use and possession 23 are enforced. I have concerns about homecultivation, for instance, and driving while 24 impaired, because there is currently no instant test

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for marijuana levels in the human body. I also have great concerns about people under 21 years of age smoking marijuana. We are also facing pushback from some quarters about the definition of who constitutes a threat to public safety when it comes to fare evasion in our subway. One thing is clear to me, however, this city and its police must always control access to the transit system. To abandon our efforts there would be both irresponsible and highly dangerous. Marijuana and fare evasion are just two examples of the changing playing field, but our future also presents an entirely new possibility. Ιt is now possible to think about how we can equip and enable our cops to help kids avoid a first act of criminal behavior. And we will prove that when-- and we will prove that when the public and the police work together, we can make positive, lasting change in our society. That change begins when people are safe, and it is sustained when they feel safe, too. Our aim is to keep raising the bar for fair and effective policing in this country year after year, again and again. And we are doing it with the help of New Yorkers in every neighborhood. And I ask each of you, and the people you represent, to continue to

2 think of ways that together we can make every single part of this city as safe as our safest streets are 3 4 today. Turning to budgetary issues, the NYPD plans to again apply for, and obtain, federal assistance to 5 protect members of the public and critical 6 infrastructure, including the Financial District, the transit system, bridges, tunnels, and ports. 8 Although we have already started planning for the 9 Federal Fiscal Year 2019 preparedness grant-funding 10 process, the applications guidelines for the Homeland 11 12 Security preparedness grants have not yet been released. This is because the recent federal 13 government shutdown, including the Department of 14 15 Homeland Security and the Federal Emergency 16 Management Agency, delayed the approval of the FY--17 Fiscal Year 19 appropriations. The NYPD relies on 18 these funds to help protect all New Yorkers and visitors to our city against terrorist attacks, and 19 to strengthen our homeland-security preparedness. As 20 our nation's top terror target, New York City has 21 2.2 been the target of about 30 terror plots since the 23 devastating 9/11 attacks. These plots have included a suicide-bomber in a subway passageway beneath Times 24 Square, the fatal truck attack on pedestrians and 25

4

2 bicyclists along the West Side Highway, plans to place bombs among the festive crowds watching the 3 July 4th fireworks over the East River, and an ISIS plot to capture on video the beheading of a woman in 5 Manhattan. The federal Homeland Security funds buy 6 us a lot, including our Bomb Squad's Total Containment Vessel, the rolling vault that allowed 8 the NYPD to remove the live pressure-cooker bomb 9 planted on a street in Chelsea, and some of the 16 10 pipe bombs mailed to CNN in Columbus Circle and other 11 12 recipients throughout New York and the country. 13 money also funds our Vapor Wake dogs that patrol 14 large-scale events searching for hidden explosives, 15 and our active-shooter training that hones the 16 tactical skills of thousands of officers who might 17 one day have to face a machine-gun-wielding attacker 18 in a crowded concert venue or a school. funds have also allowed the NYPD to develop and 19 20 sustain our sensor and information technology centerpiece known as the Domain Awareness System, or 21 2.2 DAS, which supports the department's counterterrorism 23 mission; hire Intelligence Research Specialists, 24 deploy officers to the transit system and other strategic locations citywide based on intelligence; 25

2 and train officers to respond to chemical, ordnance, biological and radiological threats or incidents, as 3 well as active-shooter scenarios. The NYPD also uses 4 5 federal funds to purchase personal protective equipment for uniformed members of the service, and 6 7 to purchase other critical equipment that enhances our ability to protect New Yorkers and vital 8 transportation and port infrastructure. Regarding the 9 Preliminary Budget and its impact on the NYPD: The 10 NYPD's Fiscal Year 2020 City Tax Levy Expense Budget 11 12 is \$5.3 billion. The vast majority of this, 92 percent, is allocated for personnel costs. 13 14 Highlights in the Preliminary Budget include: 15 Additional civilian staffing for the Body-Worn Camera 16 program; this includes attorneys, media technicians, 17 and IT personnel for a total of \$6.3 million 18 annually. Cabling upgrades & facility work totaling \$12.5 million, most of which is to allow precincts to 19 20 better upload body-worn camera footage to the NYPD's network. The balance of funds are for improvements to 2.1 2.2 137 Centre Street, the facility for our Manhattan 23 Special Victims Squad. Crisis Intervention Training, CIT Training: \$5.3 million annually to continue 24 training our uniformed members, and to improve 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

services provided to people with behavioral-health challenges as they relate to the criminal justice This includes scenario-based training in crisis intervention techniques. The Police Department's 10-Year Capital Commitment Plan contains \$1.99 billion for Fiscal Years 2019 through 2029. The September Capital included additional funding for 100 Old Slip, totaling \$13.3 million. This funding will allow for a comprehensive renovation of 100 Old Slip, a historic landmark building located in the heart of Lower Manhattan's Financial District. The NYPD will incorporate a public-use space in addition to running a law-enforcement operations facility. Across the NYPD, we will continue to leverage every tool available to us to keep New York City safe, including the use of new and innovative technology. We are keenly focused on technological advances, and how they can be applied to fighting crime, creating safer and more-efficient ways for police officers to do their jobs, and contributing to the important work of building trust. Building trust with the people we serve; fighting traditional crime; combating international terrorism, none of this is easy, but cops do not take these jobs because they are easy.

2 People join the police department to make a difference, to do good, and NYPD members accomplish 3 that every single day, and they do it in newer and 4 better ways every day, too. In closing, I can tell 5 6 you our city is in much better shape today than it 7 was when I became a cop in 1983. Those of you who lived here and worked here decades ago know it, too. 8 This is not the same city it was in the 1980s and 9 10 1990s, and each year we make even greater head-way. Together, we are proving that New York City is the 11 12 place that others across our nation want to emulate, 13 and we are setting that tone through our brand of New 14 York policing. Throughout the tremendous changes we 15 continue to undertake at the NYPD, we have had the 16 Mayor's full support, and we have benefitted greatly 17 from the City Council sport as well. Thank you for 18 your ongoing partnership and assistance and for everything you do to help us build a more effective 19 and more efficient NYPD always with officer safety in 20 mind. I continue to be very optimistic about the 21 2.2 future of the NYPD and the direction in which we 23 In my experience there's a direct correlation between the level of community support for the police 24 and success in fighting crime and terror, and so we 25

2.2

will continue to work tirelessly to earn the trust and confidence of all New Yorkers and to ensure that there are even better days ahead. Ii look forward to working with each of you. I thank you again for the opportunity to testify this morning. At this point, I'm happy to take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Mr.

Commissioner. I almost don't know what to do with myself, you're testimony was so short today. That means we're making progress. Well, good morning and welcome. Before we discuss questions about the budget, were there any new items that the Department requested from OMB but did not receive funding for in the Preliminary Budget?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Ultimately, we're still working with OMB, as you know, through the Executive Budget. Our priorities, our budget priorities, for the most part were funded, but the effort right now is to deal with the 52.6 million dollars PEG [sic] or budget reduction exercise that we've been asked to do. so we're working on that, and there are some— the only real financial concerns we have on the new needs side revolve around things that we are currently operating but are unfunded in

2.1

2.2

the out-years, and we're incorporating that in the conversations around the PEG to ensure we get the funding we need to maintain systems. It's largely IT funding and facil-- and I'm sorry, and vehicle funding needed for life cycle replacement for some of the vehicle that we attain through forfeiture funding to support Neighborhood Policing.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. And I'm going [inaudible] if you can go to slide number one, because you spoke about the PEG. So, in the actual uniform headcount this year— okay, there we go. The headcount is 800 over what is budgeted along with your next Academy class, a surplus somewhere around 1,200 uniformed officers. So although I understand, you know, you're working on the PEG, I'm trying to get an understanding of how you're handling the PEG if your over headcount.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: So we're not actually over headcount. We saw the Council's report and we've looked at it. The issue here becomes the Council is taking the actual headcount at the end of last year, and now they're looking at the current headcount. Because we have attrition throughout the year, the number fluctuates. So we actually maintain

what we call an average headcount, and we use an
analysis that's based around the peak headcount which
helps us maintain that average headcount throughout
the year, which stabilizes our PS budget. so we are
doing the same thing year we've done in prior years
where we each time we have a class, and that's four
times throughout the year, we hire up to our peak
headcount. We have attrition. New people come into
the Academy. We go back to the peak headcount, and
we, again, maintain an average headcount. We can
share that analysis with you. Certainly the
headcount numbers that you see for this Fiscal Year
are on-budget. They're what we are budgeted for, and
OMB holds us accountable for that every time we
establish a class size.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And which-- can you just speak to the number, your peak number?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: So, the peak headcount is 36,967 for fiscal 19, and the average headcount is 36,728 for Fiscal Year 19.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And just go through your attrition rate for uniform and civilian officers?

officers.

2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Well, that
3	varies. So, I mean, I have the hold on let me just
4	see. It really does vary from year to year. So I
5	mean, I have the attrition that's projected for this
6	year, which ends up being about 1,800 officers over
7	the course of the year, but it varies, and this is
8	largely based off of the class sizes that we had 20
9	years prior. So, as people become eligible for
10	retirement, it drives an attrition rate, and that's
11	how we assess projections for the class. But again,
12	before we get authorization for a class, we're no
13	longer looking at projections, we're looking at real
14	attrition, and that's how we base the class sizes.
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, I don't
16	want to debate this, but your actual number is
17	36,995. Are our numbers wrong?
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: I'm not
19	we'll have to look at it, but this is you have
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] And
21	then with your April class, how many people in your
22	April class if you can just speak to that?
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Right now
24	our April class would be projected to be 330

## <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Mr. Chair, Bill 3 Morris, Chief of Personnel.

CHIEF MORRIS: Morning, Chair. Just to give an example of what Commissioner Grippo is speaking about, as of this morning our actual number uniform number is 36,789. So, that's about 200 off the number on the slide right there. So that's an example of how that number fluctuates.

 $\label{lem:charperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And that's not} \\ \mbox{counting attrition.}$ 

CHIEF MORRIS: That's our actual number.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Actual headcount.

CHIEF MORRIS: Right now.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, got it.

Alrighty, let's go into-- so in fiscal 19 savings

were accrued for delaying the hiring of 300 TEAs,

Traffic Enforcement Agents. Will these TEAs be also
hired in 2020?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: So, those conversations are embedded in the conversations we're having around that PEG. As of now, we are planning to hire 250 TEAs in June, which would reflect us not reducing the number of TEAs in the Fiscal Year 20 budget. So what that means in real English is yes,

2.2

we would 200 additional TEAs budgeted next year than we had in Fiscal 19 because we had done a one-year, one-time savings of 200 TEAs where we didn't hire them this year.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So you don't seen a scenario where that's delayed again this year?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Again, contingent on the PEG conversations, and we're looking at the lot of different things in those conversations, and so right now the plan is still to move forward with 250 hiring class, which would bring us back up to the authorized headcount for Fiscal 20.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We're also joined by Council Member Menchaca and Vallone. Last year, the Council called for an overall of the city's expense budget structure to create new units of appropriation that corresponds to actual program areas, and it's part of the budget response called for, we called for you to match the Department's 18 different program areas in the budget function analysis. So, for example, NYPD's budget has 3.5 billion dollars or 62 percent under the units of appropriation operations. By expanding the eight UAs to the 18 program areas, it would allow for more

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

transparency in the NYPD's budget. I know we've had
some subsequent conversations on this. Will you work
with the Council to expand the number of units of

5 appropriation this year?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: So, the issue with this, it's actually-- its 21 budget functions that are right now combined PS OTPS. we were to make units of app-- individual units of appropriation of all 21 budget function areas, we would have 42 units of appropriation. And right now we have 14 U of As. So the issue here really comes down to the work that would be involved and the difficulty in actually operationalizing this, because on the PS side you have to understand that we are constantly transferring employees or essentially authorizing overtime in different areas based off of need throughout the year. So what would happen is every single time we have a class coming out of the Academy or every single time we are moving cops or promoting cops and then shifting their responsibilities, we would have to process budget This would be an incredibly challenging work effort that would create a serious resource need for both us and OMB, and that's why we produce these

reports, these budget function reports which give the
Council transparency at the end of each year for
where our resource land. It's the issue of doing it
during the year that would create a burden. So, I
mean, we're happy to look at a combination of things:
one, to see if there's a place in the middle that's
less than 42 units of appropriation, which would be a
huge challenges, and secondly, looking at the reports
that you currently get. Albeit they are separate
reports with information, but the budget function
report ultimately shows you where our money was spent
by bureau, and then we have very detailed overtime
reports that we've created as part of our compliance
with local law or as part of our work with City
Council finance which breaks out how we're spending
our overtime. We think you have transparency on the
data. The issue becomes, you know, we're able to
move the money throughout the year which is on an
add-needed basis, and it's critical to the way that
we operate. So that's the challenge.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, I will disagree a little bit there. I think that there's always better that we can do in this specific area. So if it's a resource question, perhaps we should

and they're held Monday through Thursday. This is in

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

conjunction with the Center for Urban Community Services through DOHMH. The officers and the recruits, they'll be trained in de-escalation and attend lectures on scenario-based trainings to recognize the signs and symptoms of mental health illness, better response to these mental health distress, and improve communication with the public and reduce injuries to officers. Currently, we arethe targeted population that we'd like to see trained is by the end of 2021. We prioritize based on the likelihood of our officers that are going to hid [sic] into people -- intercede with people with persons of crisis. We are also concentrating on where the diversion centers are opening up, one in the 25, one in the 47. We presently have 77 percent of all the officers trained in the 25. We presently have 54 percent of all the officers trained in the 47 precinct.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I'm assuming we're trying to get to all of the-- all patrol officers, anybody who does-- can you just speak specifically who you're training and how many people we have to go to train?

2.2

We're going— we have it in priority order. We have all members assigned to precinct patrol squads, all members assigned to transit patrol, our homeless outreach units— we don't include administrative personnel— all members assigned to patrol the 25 and 47, which I stated, all Transit Bureau NCOs, and all members assigned to the Housing Patrol Bureau. Our ultimate goal is to incorporate 16,000 police officers, sergeants and lieutenants by the end of 2021. Right now we're at 11,970. So, do the math, it's another 4,000 to go.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, and just go through what is the training provided to DHS Peace Officers and School Safety Agents? Do School Safety Agents receive CIT training at all?

CHIEF SHORTELL: Right. Since the beginning of the Academy for the Department of Homeless Outreach and Security Shelter Division Peace Officers, we have 178 newly hired Peace Officers who have been given 40 hours of CIT, and this has been tailored to the shelter environment. As far as School Safety Agents, we do a lot of de-escalation training at the Police Academy, but we presently

I'll look to explore.

2.2

don't have CIT training per-say for them. But like I said, everything with CIT a lot of it is deescalation, and the School Safety Officers receive

that through a lot of their curriculum.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, we have concerns about that. We think School Safety Agents should receive CIT training, especially in the midst of— I think we held a hearing a few months ago on crisis that are happening every day in our school system, and a lot of young people being diverted into the 911 system and sent into ambulances, and we want to ensure that School Safety Agents who are dealing with students on a day—to—day basis are also trained here. So, can we get a commitment that this is something we will explore as we move forward?

CHIEF SHORTELL: You have my commitment.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: We'll do that.

Yeah, we'll make that commitment, but again, it can't be unfunded. Training costs money.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Let's go into Neighborhood Coordinating Officers quickly. So, last year, Commissioner, you expressed concern regarding crimes in the subway system. Can you

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- provide an update on your policing efforts in the
  subway?
- 4 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Sure. Chief
  5 Delatorre will do that.
- 6 CHIEF DELATORRE: Good morning.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Morning.
  - CHIEF DELATORRE: So, currently we have nine districts rolled out in neighborhood policing. I'm going to just give you a quick list of them. started with District 12 and District 30 back in April of 2018. District 12 covers the Mott Haven, Morrisania, Parkchester, Soundview, Eastchester, Baychester, Wakefield, Pelham Bay, Pelham Parkway, and Longwood areas. District 30 covers the Greenpoint, Lafayette, Prospect, Park Slope, Downtown Brooklyn, Brooklyn Heights, Carroll Gardens, and the Dumbo area, down under Manhattan bridge overpass. July we rolled out four more districts. It was July of 2018. We started with District Three, Upper Westside, Morningside Heights, Hamilton Heights, Washington Heights, Inwood, Marble Hill, Manhattan Valley, Manhattanville, Sugar Hill, Central Harlem

North, and Central Harlem South. And we rolled out

District Four, East Village, NoHo, SoHo, Lower

2	Eastside, Upper Eastside, Murray Hill, Grammercy
3	Park, East Harlem, Midtown East, Chinatown. We
4	rolled out District 20 out in Queens, Woodhaven,
5	Richmond Hill, Jamaica, Briarwood, Cue Gardens,
6	Forest Hill, Jackson Heights, Long Island City,
7	Queensbridge, Roosevelt Island, Willis Point, Corona,
8	Elmhurst, Woodside, Sunnyside, and Astoria. And we
9	rolled out District 32 in Brooklyn, East Flatbush,
10	Park Slope, Crown Heights, Prospect Park, East New
11	York, Brownsville. Then in January of this year we
12	rolled out District Two in Manhattan. That covers
13	Lower Manhattan, Battery Park, Tribeca, SoHo, NoHo,
14	West and Central Village, Grammercy Park, Murray
15	Hill, Chelsea, Midtown West, Chinatown. In addition
16	to that, we rolled out District One which is also
17	Manhattan, but on the Upper Westside, Upper Eastside,
18	Lincoln Square, Lenox Hill, Columbus Circle, Midtown,
19	Hell's Kitchen, Clinton, Times Square, and Diamond
20	District. We also rolled out District 33 in
21	Brooklyn, Richwood, Middle Village, Bushwick, East
22	New York, Bedford-Stuyvesant, Williams Bridge,
23	Brownsville,

neighborhoods again. But we have District 11 in the

Bronx, District 23 in Queens, and District 34. We

24

25

2 should be rolling them out by the end of April.

3 That's our target.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Wow.

CHIEF DELATORRE: In the spring, but by the end of April.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty, great. Can you just give me an example of what these NCOs are doing at train stations? So, obviously, there was a report on serial offenders in the subway stations as well. Can you just give me a clear -- I mean, I'm a New Yorker. I'm a very busy quy. I have my headphones on most mornings. I do recognize some cops, NCOs down I think over downtown here somewhere who often we say good morning. But for the everyday New Yorker I see passing these NCO officers there's very little interaction. So, can you speak to what does the interaction between NCOs and busy New Yorkers look like, and how is this program -- if you're going to utilize resources in the trains, and I'm not saying it's a bad thing. You know, what does that interaction look like for everyday New Yorkers or we just calling them Neighborhood Coordinating Officers in a train station and they're standing around?

2	CHIEF DELATORRE: Okay, no, so
3	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] What
4	metrics are there to measure what exact
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So
6	here's I can give you just off the top of my head.
7	On March 15 <sup>th</sup> , I'll give you an example. We had a
8	station where we had chronic complaints about
9	graffiti. The NCOs were working. The complaints
10	were coming from the MTA workers and from the public,
11	and the it was a hashtag "Donald Trump" somebody
12	kept putting up on a wall there. So
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Did
14	they clean that up?
15	CHIEF DELATORRE: It was cleaned up
16	repeatedly.
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, great.
18	CHIEF DELATORRE: It was cleaned up
19	repeatedly, but the idea was not just to keep
20	cleaning up, the idea was to capture the person that
21	did it.
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.
23	CHIEF DELATORRE: So, the NCOs and the
24	steady sectors working with Transit actually created

a dummy wall at the location. And our steady

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

sectors, now understanding the neighborhood policing philosophy, our steady sectors work in support of the So, our steady sectors actually were hiding behind the dummy wall that was created and finally captured the perpetrator who was putting the graffiti on the way in that station. So that's just one recent example. I have numerous different success stories, but the idea is pretty, I would call it common sense. I mean, I, just like you, most of our riders have their faces in a cell phone when on a So to reach our ridership we're not going to train. have Build-a-Block meetings to get them. We have to reach them through that cell phone. So, if you go through any station in the city at this point, where neighborhood policing is rolled out, you're either going to see a hard sign on the wall that has to the two NCOs that are responsible for that station along with the group station manager. So, the group station manager in transit is the person who is responsible for anywhere from 15 to 25 stations. The transit model is very similar to the NYPD model now that we have in place. The transit group station manager is almost like an NCO. They have-- they're responsible for everything that goes on in the

2.2

stations they're assigned. Our stations don't align perfectly, because most of our NCOs have anywhere from 10 to 15 stations and the lines in between that connect them. But the group station managers have regular meetings with our NCOs. They exchange intelligence. Our NCOs give us—give their cell phones out, not just to the group station managers, but to station agents and to many of the workers that are in the different stations.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. I don't want to cut you off because I know we have limited time with the Commissioner. My colleagues have questions as well. Commissioner, so we held a hearing on the NCO program outside of just transit this year, and I'm interested in knowing where are we at in measuring success in this program? How do we measure success? What are the metrics being utilized to ensure that NCOs are responsive in getting back the communities? Right now, there doesn't seem to be any clear metrics that we can utilize to measure the success of this program. So, I know crime is going down, and that's good, but how are we measuring if NCOs are actually engaging with communities?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yeah, there's a number of different methods that we use, and Chief Donahue from Strategic Initiatives will talk about that. Jack?

CHIEF DONAHUE: Good morning, Council Member. Jack Donahue, Chief of Strategic Initiatives. So, what we've taken is an overlay of not just crime but the questions of how we've built up trust with the community. That's measured by the types of interactions that we have and the number of interactions that we have with them and the quality of those which is feedback that we receive through our NCOs and the NCO Sergeants. So, when you look at both crime reduction and the interaction internally that we have with our NCOs and our detectives and the willingness of people to interact with us and share more information, it demonstrates that there's an increase in trust. What we are refining are hardcore objective outcome measures that can help support that, and we've engaged with Rand [sic] Corporation to be able to help us fine-tune those hard outcome figures, but that's generally how we are attempting to do that now.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, and what are they actually studying? So can you just go throw what—so, the Rand Corporation, what are the specific things they're looking at?

CHIEF DONAHUE: So, Rand is looking at the establishment of building trust within the community and defining those metrics to better determine how trust has been built. Secondly, defining more precise metrics for collaboration which we can leverage technology for. They're also determining how neighborhood policing influences or impacts the reduction in crime.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: What's the attrition rate and average length of tour for an NCO Officer? So, how are we doing there? Because we've heard stories of NCOs coming in a few months and then leaving out. So, what does that attrition rate look for them?

CHIEF HARRISON: Mr. Chair, good morning. Chief Rodney Harrison, Chief of Patrol. Alright, so just currently staff, I'll just give you a couple numbers. Approximately we have 790 PO Detectives throughout the 77 precincts. We have 77 Sergeants. The attrition rate is approximately 25 percent for

2.2

the POs and Detectives, and for the Sergeants 35 percent. And some of the reasons are usually good. One of the things is this is something where we want the Neighborhood Coordination Officers to further their career. So, you know, 14 of them have moved on. For 2018, 14 of them have went on to promotions. Twelve of them went into transfer into investigative units. Another 21 to 25 went to external command. So, this is a career path for police officers who become Neighborhood Coordination Officers, take this challenge on and then further their career to help benefit the Police Department, especially going into the investigative units.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Obviously, we're looking for most of them to stay as NCOs and we're making sure that we're providing an incentive to do that. We have promoted five percent of the NCOs to detective specialists. There are going to be periodic transfers that are going to be people that get promoted to sergeant. So we kind of built that into the program. And quite frankly, there were some people that want to do the job that might not have the skills necessary to become a NCO that— the type of NCO that we need out there.

2.2

troubling part about that is that I think the attrition rates for your NCO program are much higher than regular POs. So, I'm trying to understand if these officers are supposed to be cemented in the fabric of communities and building that partnership and relationship. Should they move on so quickly when the program just began? If they're there for us to get back to that, the essence of the beat cop, would you—

driving at. Rodney said 75 percent of them are being retained as NCOs which is a pretty high number. Just think about what Rodney said about where they're moving onto. Most of them are moving on to better careers in investigative units or becoming supervisors, but the bulk of them are being retained as NCOs. And again, this is not something that happens just over night. These are skills that are built up over time, and quite frankly we've seen some people that wanted to be NCOs and maybe they're better off doing something different. So this is—this is a program that in May it's going to be, if I'm not mistaken, it's going to be four years. We

2.2

built it out not slowly but we wanted to make sure we had the logistics right and we want to make sure that we have the right police officers, want to make sure that we have the right Commanding Officers in these precincts, districts and PSAs. So this is a process over time and that number will-- as we move forward, I think it will get a little bit higher.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But there's no minimum standard amount of time--

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] No, if you become an NCO--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: for people to--

know, we're not asking you to sign a contract that you stay there for two years. It's not how we operate in the NYPD. What if we have a person there that's not the person that we want, or if the person that has taken a sergeant's test and maybe is not going to get promoted for three or four years and he wants to spend that— he or she wants to spend their

Right, and I don't disagree with people moving up the ladder, but I find it troubling that communities can

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]

time as an NCO. We want to let them do that. So--

Otherwise--

25

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] There
3 has to be flexibility. If I take a sergeant's test
4 and I'm on the list, and my list number comes up in a
5 year and a half, I have-- you know, I'm not going to

6 defer becoming a sergeant.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So maybe-- right, so maybe you wouldn't apply to be an NCO if that's the case. There has to be some standard put in place, and I think we're just looking for a little bit more consistency. The program is working well. I want to compliment you. I was just at two Build-a-Block meetings last week, and my community certainly has built out trust with the 105<sup>th</sup> NCOs, but if they left in five months, you know, I think that would be a problem. Let me move on, because I know my--

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] And I agree with you. Five months is not what we're looking for.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right. Let's move on to slide two, and then I just have one more slide and then I'm going to get to my colleagues and I'll come back for a second round. I want to talk about sex crimes for a minute. So the City has seen a decrease in crime statistics in many other areas.

2.2

However, sex crimes are on the rise. Rape, felony sex crimes, and misdemeanor sex crimes all went up in 2018, and year-to-date many categories of sex crimes are even higher in 2019 than in 2018. How is the Department resources-wise handling the increase in sex crimes? And can you speak to-- I know DOI recommended assigning more detectives to SVD. How many detectives are in SVD now, and do you recommend increasing the staffing being that this is an area that we continuously see increases in?

Chief of Detectives. So, beginning last year, roughly February of last year around the time of the IG report, before and after that there was a series of personnel additions to the Special Victims Division. That has essentially continued through as recently as within the last month. There were 35 police officers transferred into the Special Victims Division. To the question of the current staffing, the most recent staffing within the Special Victims Division, detectives and police officers, is standing at 258 investigators, and that makes up detectives and police officers. Again, there's been a conscious assessment over the last I would say 12 months which

nas resulted in increased personnel to Special
Victims and caseloads decreasing which is a trend
that we wanted to see, and we stated last year that
we were committed. Over the last 12 months we've
maintained close relationships with the advocate
communities. Council Member Rosenthal, several
meetings. These are not meetings that are on a
calendar. This is just an ongoing process. We've
had people come out to our future sites to view and
work with them, taking their insight into these, and
this is overall, there remains work to be done, but
I'm quite proud of the work of the men and women of
Special Victims over the last 12 months and before
and the relationships forged with sex crime survivors
as well as the advocate community.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And what are the average time, caseloads, time to close cases and training requirements? You can go through that.

CHIEF SHEA: Well, there is no— the first part, there is no average case closure, and there's a very important reason for that, because we have set a standard and I think people nationally are looking at that we advocate and we believe that these cases will progress at the speed that the survivors

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the sex crimes want to proceed. So we have cases that are initiated, people step out of that process, and we give them the opportunity to step out of that process at any time, and then come back into the process if they want to proceed. So, it is truly a unique crime in that respect, and then therefore measuring the length of time of these investigations would really be very difficult in that respect.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But I'm finding it So you don't track specific timeline of cases closed or cases opened? And I ask that question because how do you know you have enough resources if you're not tracking? And I'm not saying-- I definitely get the sensitivity around each case is certainly different, and I'm not telling you to put a time stamp on each individual case, but how do you know you have the resources necessary, and if we're seeing this steep increase continuously and we're not adding the necessary resources to ensure -- and I'm not-- and I commend you for adding some, but we're not where we need to be if we can't keep up with the current caseloads. So my worry is that you have detectives who are being overworked who can't specifically deal intimately with cases because for

2.2

every case they move, perhaps they're seeing 20 more
cases added to their caseloads. So if we're saying
we're taking this issue seriously, how could we not
measure metrics?

CHIEF SHEA: No, we are certainly—— that was probably my mistake, and not being clear enough. We're certainly measuring all of the above metrics. We can come out with lengths of time a case is open. What I meant to impress upon the council is that we don't simply use that length of time as a measurement of success or not.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Agreed.

CHIEF SHEA: Yeah, so--

Agreed you shouldn't, but so you are keeping metrics.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]

CHIEF SHEA: Yeah, and the caseload of the rape complaints, of the sex crime complaints when you look at what the subject of that hearing last year, are all going in the right direction.

Caseloads per investigator are being reduced and continue to be reduced, even with the increase in sex crime complaints. Currently were sitting at about a 6.5 percent increase in rape complaints this year.

25

1	<pre><insert meeting="" of="" title=""> 52</insert></pre>
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right. And I'm
3	trying to get to and this is the question I think
4	we're skipping around. How much average time is
5	spent on a specific case? So there's you're not
6	measuring that?
7	CHIEF SHEA: We can try to get back to
8	you with an average time per case. Again, but there
9	is no two sex crime cases alike due to the victims,
10	due to the survivor's statements, how they want to go
11	forward etcetera. We can try to get you a length of
12	time from inception of case created 'til it's closed
13	but again, even how they're closed is fairly unique
14	in what we see in other crimes that we investigate.
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, I get that.
16	You get
17	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] Mr.
18	Chair
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: where I'm going on
20	that.
21	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Mr. Chair, I get
22	what you're driving at, too. I mean, this is why we
23	have sergeants and lieutenants and supervisors and

Special Victims Squad. They carefully look at

caseload open and closed and what time it takes to

that each and every day.

2.2

open and close certain cases. And if cases are open
too long, then the supervisors interject themselves
in there to see why-- and as Dermott explained, there
are many reasons why some cases continue on longer
than others, but as far as case load, we're on top of

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. We want to see numbers on that. And let's go through facilities quick. I did see that you budgeted capital funding this year for new SVD facilities. Can you just go through what— where we're at, which facilities, new facilities?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Sure. So, the money you see reflected in the budget is for a new Manhattan Special Victims facility. We ultimately are taking for now one floor in 137 Centre Street. We are remodeling that. Again, looking at the DOI report recommendations there, and we plan to open that facility in June, the end of June of this year. In addition to the work that you see funded in Manhattan, we are— we have done a renovation in Brooklyn in the current location at Brooklyn. We've done a renovation in the current location at the Bronx. We ultimately will have both of those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

facilities fully remodeled over the next two to three There are improvements that you will see in Brooklyn and the Bronx, I think fairly significant improvements that deal with some of the DOI recommendations. What we can't accomplish in the current locations in those two boroughs, as well as the borough of Oueens would be co-location with the DA's and the advocates. So at the same time as we have been working on those renovations we're working with DCAS in the hopes of obtaining new facilities for those three boroughs. In Staten Island we were in the best shape of all the boroughs to start with. We are taking the facility that we currently have and we're acquiring some additional space in that facility. We're going to begin construction in April and wrap up that construction before the end of the year in 2019, and once we do that we'll be in full compliance in Staten Island with all of the recommendations in the DOI report as well as have achieved co-location with the DAs and the advocates, because that was already in existence in that building. So, we're looking to do the same in the other four boroughs. In Manhattan there's an opportunity to gain more space at 137 Centre. We're

2.2

working with DCAS on that, but again, in the other

three boroughs we would ultimately need new

facilities, and so DCAS is doing a site search to try

to find a location that would work for both our

6 offices as well as the DA's.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And let me just-last question on SVD stuff, and I know Helen will
have more questions on it. So when Chief Harrison
took over there was a unit reviewing old cases, and
that was discontinued when she took the helm. Where
are we at with those old cases? Who's reviewing
those specific cases now?

CHIEF SHEA: So, there was a number of moves and an assessment done both before and after Chief Harrison took place. We had historically a unit in place that worked primarily off DNA-related older cases. That was necessitated when some years back—and I'm going back a number of years. There was a historical backlog of rape kits in New York City that were tested. That team that works off those old cases recently cleared that entire backlog. So we were able to repurpose that team for the other team that was ready to start. So essentially the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 work is being done. It's be repurposed from an existing team. 3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And can you give me what that backlog was? So, justice was served in all of these cases, or did we just check? So I'm trying to get a--

CHIEF SHEA: [interposing] So, essentially, this was--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] better understanding.

CHIEF SHEA: I'm going back, Councilman, a number of years, excess I believe of five years, when this significant rape kit backlog was tested in New York City. Many of these cases were working off old historical kits, no electronic files. That backlog has been cleared and the detectives and sergeant that worked on that case can now be freed up to work on any other additional older DNA-related cases that come up.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: SO, I know those numbers was somewhere in the area of 5,000, if my memory serves me correct, of backlog cases. So are we saying -- when you say "clear" can you just define what these cases where clear--

Τ	<insert meeting="" of="" title=""> 5/</insert>
2	CHIEF SHEA: [interposing] I do not have
3	that number. I'm not sure if we're speaking of the
4	same thing, but cleared, worked through and
5	ascertained. This team was in existence for years.
6	DNA hits coming back in and of itself does not mean
7	that that person was the perpetrator. It involved
8	numerous trips, interviews of witnesses
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So we
10	interviewed all 5,000? I believe my number is
11	somewhere in that area.
12	CHIEF SHEA: I'm not
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So,
14	did we go back and interview all 5,000 of those
15	individuals who had backlog cases?
16	CHIEF SHEA: The test kits that were done
17	were satisfactorily cleared. I do not know, again,
18	if it was a 5,000 number.
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, I'm going
20	to I know Helen will have more questions on that. I
21	just want to move to the last subject, and then I
22	will come back for a round, and it's one of my
23	favorite subjects, Commissioner, as you know,

marijuana, and not because I smoke it but because I

think we need justice in this area. So I want to

24

25

commend you on the decrease in arrests and summonses
around marijuana, and okay, but you've got the
slide. It's great. Feeling like Wheel of Fortune up
here today. But when you look at the numbers in the
fourth quarter of 2018, we sort of are still in this
space where the disparity is still rampant in both
arrest and summonses around marijuana. So I'll just
read through, based on race, the account for American
Indian, too, Asian-Pacific Island 17, black 56
percent of all arrests, 291, Hispanics 182 which is
35 percent, unknown I guess that's a Martian, I
don't know what unknown is, what does that mean, but
three arrests there, and that's one percent. And
white people 25 arrests which is five percent of the
total out of the 520. So, I guess I have to be
blunt. Where there only 25 people in New York City
who were white smoking marijuana in the fourth
quarter last year of 2018? So, I'm still trying to
get what is the strategy around addressing, truly
addressing, these disparities and what do you
perceive to be the reason that these disparities
still exist in

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] So we

25

2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: communities of
3	color?
4	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So, if you look at
5	the first quarter of 2019, we've reduced our overall
6	misdemeanor marijuana arrest from 3,563 to 304.
7	That's a decrease of 91.5 percent, 3,259. So, our
8	strategy is to continue to decrease marijuana arrest
9	and use summonses when necessary. We are still
10	responding to community complaints and we're still
11	responding to 911 complaints and 311 complaints. I
12	know that's been a source of controversy in the past
13	because it's been spread all over the city, but our
14	strategy is to continue to reduce enforcement as far
15	as misdemeanor marijuana arrests.
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, this is
17	feeling like de ja vu again on the 911 and 311.
18	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: You also have to
19	acknowledge it's a 92 percent decrease in arrests.
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I do acknowledge
21	that.
22	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Which is
23	significant.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But if you--

there's a Daily News article today, actually, a

2.2

question and answer portion in it that speaks of a person who's being-- who technically can be deported over two marijuana convictions. So that's why we're so passionate, specifically about this issue. But I'm still not hearing how we're going to address these disparities overall in the city, and I agree that we've lowered the arrests, but the numbers are still showing that disproportionately most of these arrests and summonses are happening in communities of color.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So, if we just do some loose math, and I'd have to check this after we're done, but last year if we use these same rates, it'd be about 1,800 black New Yorkers that were locked up for marijuana. This year it was 180. So, we are doing our best to make sure that the disparity continues to decrease and our major focus of our strategy is to reduce these arrests, and we've done so much. Now, burning in public is a summons.

Possession in public is a summons. So, and we'll continue to work together to identify why these disparities exist and we'll keep you apprised of where we are as far as—

2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] And I
3	know we'll have that data based, you know, based on
4	the bills that we pass in the council, but it still
5	seems to be that, you know, our communities are still
6	disproportionately being targeted in this specific
7	area. So we look forward to continued work in this
8	area, but it's just hard to justify. And what is
9	your position? Does the NYPD have a position on
10	legalizing marijuana? Do you think
11	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] In my
12	opening statement I said what my concerns were.
13	We're not taking a position. I'm just telling you
14	what my concerns are, and that's in the opening
15	statement.
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And your concerns
17	are that 21-year-old people under 21
18	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing]
19	People under 21, driving under the influence, there's
20	no instant test, and home cultivation, which could be
21	an issue
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] And
23	can you just go through your concerns on home
24	cultivation?

2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: No, no, in the
3	you said you're concerned about people smoking and
4	driving.
5	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yeah, we have to
6	we are taking a look at it, but right now the method
7	is to use drug recognition experts, and it takes a
8	while to get them up to speed.
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And what is your
10	preparation? So, let's anticipate that this is
11	legalized in Albany this year, I mean, how far along
12	are you in specifically
13	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] In
14	preparing for a drug recognition expert
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Yeah.
16	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: within the
17	Department? I'd have to get that number from Tom
18	Chan from Transportation. Tom, do you have that? I
19	you don't, we'll get back to the Chair. We'll get
20	back to the Chair.
21	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But time is of the
22	essence, so and then who are the experts you're

looking?

2.2

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Right now they're in highway, but we'd have to make sure that they're in all precincts.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, alright, I'm going to go to my colleagues and then I'll come back. We're going to go to Council Member Lancman and we're going to put three minutes on the clock because we have a lot of questions, and then we'll try to get back to second rounds.

want to ask you about an article that was written in something called "The Appeal" in December of 2018, and it was titled, "Is the NYPD's Special Victim's Division prematurely closing sexual assault cases?" Which is particularly relevant in light of the slide that showed a staggering and across-the-board increase in reported sex crimes in the City. And what the article found was, again, an extraordinary high number of sexual assault and rape complaints that were deemed to be either uncooperative complainant or unfounded, meaning a very high number of almost all women who had come to the NYPD alleging that they had been raped, had had their cases determined to be unfounded, and the numbers were

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

quite startling. In 2014, and this goes back a few years, something like 12.5 percent of all cases assigned to the Special Victims Division were marked uncooperative complainant. In I think 2015 nearly 19 percent of the total reported rapes were considered to be unfounded, which is a separate category. Queens, in particular, saw an extraordinary number of rapes in 2015 classified as being unfounded, 27 The consensus among researchers and law percent. enforcement professionals is that the average number of rapes that might be reported which are untrue are in the low single digits. And by way of example, in Los Angeles for the period 2014 to 2016, their reporting of unfounded rape or sexual assault allegations were less than three percent. Can you tell us what is the percentage of rape or sexual assaults that the NYPD deems to be unfounded or closes because the witness is uncooperative; do you keep those statistics?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yeah, we do.

Dermott will answer the balance of the question, but

I will state that we are absolutely committed to

providing justice for the victims of-- for the

survivors of sexual assault. And we do this in a

those numbers?

2.2

number of ways. We do this in making sure we have enough personnel in Special Victims. We put new leadership in there, and we also meet with the advocates every three months to make sure that we are doing that in conjunction with the advocates and the survivors. So, Dermott, I don't know if you have

CHIEF SHEA: Yeah--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] If you could give me the current statistics and you could tell us what might account for such an extraordinary high number of unfounded designations coming out of Queens?

number of years and statistics there. I will get back to you before the end of today with our current statistics. But I can reiterate what Police

Commissioner O'Neill said, under Commissioner—
excuse me, under Chief Harrison we are continuing to work with the advocate community. We've made a number of additions to the unit as a commitment.

We've driven the case load down. We've taken the recommendations at times. We've had— set up processes where rape survivors with advocates review

going to tell you that unfounded, it will be a very

24

small number.

23

_	CINODICI IIIDD OI INDDIINO/
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good. You'll
3	break it down by borough? Because there seems to be
4	a discrepancy or a variance based on borough.
5	CHIEF SHEA: Sure.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, great.
7	CHIEF SHEA: But again, some of those
8	numbers you were quoting, if I heard correctly
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: True. Some were
10	2014, 2015. If we're in a different place now,
11	that's great.
12	CHIEF SHEA: And that's the good news.
13	The work that has been done over the last years, some
14	of it started by the former chief, continued
15	certainly, and with the current chief to work with
16	the advocates, to work with the sex crime survivors,
17	to hear their concerns, and I think that that's why
18	what puts me in a very comfortable place today to say
19	that I am confident and quite proud of the work of
20	our Special Victims Division.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Alright, great.
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I have a

marijuana question I'll get to in round two.

24

Cohen, Brannan, then Vallone.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I'm going to-3 yeah, we're going to get back. I'm going to go to

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair Richards. Good morning, Commissioner. I know I button-holed you before the hearing started, but I just want to go on record briefly on two issues of local concern that I'm very concerned about. One are the staffing levels at the 50 precinct. I am pleased that you've-- that we're going to get five officers out of the April class, but I am really concerned, though. I still think that the staffing levels there are very low between maternity leave, vacation, sick, I just don't think that the staffing levels are adequate for the-- you know, they work very hard, and I know it's not-- you know, that people aren't-- you know, that murder is not the number one problem in the 50, but there really are significant number of quality of crimes, larceny, grand larceny. think that we do -- that the staffing levels are low there. I'd appreciate it if you'd look at them again. And two, the physical condition of the 52 precinct. I know you're familiar with it, that it's a very old precinct. You know, again, the men and

2.2

2	women that work in that precinct work very, very
3	hard, and the conditions there I just don't think are
4	conducive to a professional Police Department in New
5	York City in 2019. So, and I know you I think
6	facilities is actually coming up to look at the
7	precinct with me. So, and that's thanks also to the
8	hard work of Oleg. Oleg and I have been
9	communicating well together. So I want you to know
10	that.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So, Vinnie will probably take the second part of that question about the 52, but just looking at the 50 and I'm looking at my smartphone here. We have nine sectors out there right now, and that's due to neighborhood policing. That's much—you'll see that's much more than prior to neighborhood policing. But maybe at some point you can sit down with Jack Donahue our Chief of Strategic initiatives and we'll walk through how we determine the number of offices at each precinct. So we'll do that and we'll take a look at the July class for you, too.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I appreciate that very much. You know, I was struck in your testimony about of the expense budget that 92 percent is PS

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	[sic]. Do have any sense of how that relates,
3	compares to other big cities? Is all and 92
4	percent of the money you get goes to salary?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Yeah, I think there's a couple of things here. So, I have not-- I can't say we've looked at other cities. mean, obviously, the size of the police force here that is a huge driver in that cost. When we look at OTPS, though, what you have to look at is there is the city tax levy budget, and I mean, we would not--I mean, frankly, we would not be able to survive if those were the only funds we had to support the cost of the Department. We are-- we're significantly relying on federal Homeland Security grants as a stream of money that supports our counter-terrorism efforts. So those funds supplement our OTPS budget. You know, ultimately, every year on the OTPS side is a challenge because of the size of the organization that we're managing, but again, those Homeland Security grant funds are a significant piece of what helps us maintain the operations on the OTPS side.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: And you talked about fare evasion in your testimony. I don't know what our strategy is around fare evasion now. I

2.2

2	have you know, I have two MTA board members who are
3	constituents who talk to me about the issue, but I'm
4	not really sure what our strategy is. Could you talk
5	about that a little hit for a second?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yeah, Ed

Delatorre, and then I'll add to his comments.

again. Alright, so the fare evasion situation in Transit is somewhat complex, and I'm going to go through it step-by-step. One of our strategies is with the roll out of neighborhood policing. I'll talk faster. With the roll out of neighborhood policing. Is that a little faster?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER O'NEILL:} \quad \mbox{You can go over} \\ \mbox{the neighborhoods again.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Your steps could be-- we could be here a few hours.

an example of what we're seeing, because we're engaged in several pilots with transit. I'll give you the pilots real quick. One pilot is, if you've noticed, all 472 stations now have fare evasion warning signs with a stop sign planted right next to the gate so people who are herding through the gates

2 get that last minute to reconsider what they're doing. We also have a pilot we're rolling out now in 3 4 10 stations where we're putting very large signage in 5 front of the turnstiles and the gates on the ground 6 so that once again people get a chance to stop, look, 7 and get a second -- and think twice about it. We also have an additional pilot we're working on right now 8 where we've turned on the alarms again. They were 9 turned off a couple of years back. In 10 stations 10 we've turned on the alarms. We're working with the 11 12 Marron Institute and NYU who are going to assess these pilots at the end. So what we're looking at is 13 14 compliance, not money, and I'll tell you why. We've 15 also got the NCOs working with the group station 16 managers like I told you. So when we get complaints, and when I told you about that handheld device you 17 18 use on the train, we get complaints through the portal, the MTA portal. We get the direct emails to 19 20 us, to the NCOs, about problematic stations. instance, Flushing/Main Street we did an operation at 21 2.2 the end of last year. We then did another operation. 23 When I say "operation" I send out a larger amount of 24 officers and we try to go through the high evasion 25 time of day, and we'll just grab as many people as we

can going through the gate and bring them to the
side. These operations we've done in several
stations: 125 <sup>th</sup> and Saint Nicks, Flushing, Main
Street, and a few others to support the NCOs. I'm
going to give you the number now. Five hundred and
72 people in these operations were apprehended going
through the gate. Of the 572 we wrote 147 tab
summonses; we wrote three Criminal Court summonses;
we arrested one person. Four hundred and 21 were
warned and instructed, but the interesting part is
the 421 who were warned and instructed, 309 were
students and 112 were elderly who otherwise have a
half-price metro card anyway. So, we warned them
because there is a compliance issue. There's a
behavioral issue here, and it's not always the theft
of service itself. These students have metro cards,
they're not using it. So, all these pilots we're
undertaking right now are pilots to see if we can
change behavior at the turnstile and get support
through technology to help us correct this behavior.

22 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Chair, we didn't

24 even touch up on buses--

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2				CHAIF	RPERS	ON	RICHARDS	:	[interposing]	We
2	ao+	+ 0	T.TY2N	1110	Cot	+ ^	<b>~</b>			

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: in the next round. So, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I'll come back. We're going to go to Brannan and Vallone, then Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Thank you, Chair. Thank you, Commissioner. The NCO program is working very, very well in the districts I cover, so I thank you for that. I have to bring up something that I actually saw on Twitter. I know the State Troopers have increased their presence in the City, in many cases they're working side-by-side with the NYPD. There's a tweet here that NYPD News retweeted February 7<sup>th</sup>, and it says-- it's a picture of a State Trooper car and a cop car right by the Brooklyn Bridge. It says, "One mission, one team, partners in public safety." Would you agree that while they might have the same mission, that New York City cops are taking on way more responsibilities in the City than their state counterparts, the Troopers?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I don't think I'd read too much into that statement. They are deployed

2.2

at bridges, tunnels, and some other areas of the City. I think they're in Grand Central and Penn Station, too. They're— that one mission is to keep New Yorkers safe. That's what that tweet is. I think— we've been in partnership with the State Troopers for a long time. I know they've increased their presence a lot over the years, but that's not something I'm going to resist. I think to have— if you look at highway deaths last year, they're down considerably, and that's with the assistance of New York State Troopers.

council Member Brannan: Is there— it is on your radar, is it a priority for you to get city cops a fair market rate of pay that's competitive with Trooper pay? Because I think what I'm hearing from guys on the job is when we're standing shoulder to shoulder with the Troopers, when they're getting paid much more than City cops, it's a partnership, but one guy's getting paid much more than the other guy.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So, you know, I've been in this business for a long time, and I think you know that, and I'm always advocating to make sure that we're able to retain our highly trained,

because--

2.2

effective Police Department, and we do that to make

sure that they're being paid correctly. And I know

they're in the contract negotiation process. I don't

want to say anything to interfere with that, but this

is-- just take a look at how this city's been

transformed over the last 25-29 years, and it's not

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: [interposing]
Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: it was magic. A lot of hard work, a lot of sacrifice, a lot of police officers injured and killed in the line of duty. So anything that I can do and say to help this process move forward and make sure our police officers are compensated properly, I'll assist in that matter.

appreciate that. I want to offer the council as a partner to the Police Department in trying to get that done. I mean, the City is certainly—since I've been a kid, I mean, it's night and day. I'm just trying to get these guys to get paid what I think they deserve to get paid. So,—I offer you the council as a partner in that.

## <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yeah, the men and
3 women of the NYPD need to be paid at a competitive
4 rate, I agree.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Going to Council Member Vallone, then Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Chair. Good morning, Commissioner. First and foremost, thank you on behalf of every man and woman that puts on uniform. As a husband and a father we always start with that precedent. The City is where it is today because the hard work of the men and women of the NYPD. So I thank you for that. Continuing Council Member Brennan's wishes that we stand with you, just to highlight that over 35 Council Members have signed that letter in support. So, we will fight for you and each member to get that pay raise and fair equity. Today we stood on the steps of City Hall to make sure that you saw that unity and that the Administration saw that also. I believe in a 91-billion-dollar budget. We can do that for the members of the NYPD. That is something we're proud to do. I know I will always do. So, you have our support with that. And one of the things that I would like to work with you on going forward

is one of the hearings that we held in the past here
was a package of almost a dozen pieces of legislation
focused on school safety. To me, our children are
always the future, and protecting them is first and
foremost and paramount. What I would like to do is
move forward with that legislation, but we're still
waiting for the Memorandum of Understanding to be
released, updated, and given by the NYPD. What I
would like to ask of you today is to see if we can
get an update on that status so we can move forward
on that legislation so we can put the advocates at
rest who are telling us that school safety should not
be something that's a priority. In my eyes, I'm glad
we debate or take on anyone who tells me that school
safety should not be a top priority. So I'd like to
see if we have any update on that release,
COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing]
Yeah, Chief Hoffman, Nilda Hoffman, from Community

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: [interposing]

22 Thank you.

Affairs--

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: will give you an update.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Good morning,
3 Chief.

2.2

CHIEF HOFFMAN: Hi, good morning. Just—
I just want to affirm first of all that currently
there is an MOU that's been in place for over 20
years, and the NYPD is committed to the safety of
every day of our schools. The MOU, the current
status is we've done with the MOU and the NYPD. So
it's currently in the possession of DOE.

of our fights also, obviously with DOE. I'd ask this simple question: would you feel that a school that has a camera is more safe than a school that doesn't have a camera?

CHIEF HOFFMAN: Yes, I do.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: And that has been my premise from day one, and I will gladly take that debate with anyone. I'm trying to bring parody and equality to every school, so that they do have a camera and they do have the basic means for protection. I'm not really caring about disciplinary rules in schools. That's something separate, and that's what the taskforce is forward on now. So I want to support you and the NYPD to get those tools

2.2

for these schools, because every time we have a public hearing on shooter preparation and school safety it is attended by every principal, every teacher who is saying, "Thank you for that. Please help us have the resources." So I want you to know I have your back and I want to fight for that. So if we can get that memorandum and work with DOE, we will fight for that. Thank you very much, Chair. 

CHIEF HOFFMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

Council Member Menchaca, followed by Menchaca we'll

go to Deutsch and then Powers. Menchaca is gone,

okay. So we're going to go to Deutsch, then Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you. Good morning. Good morning, Commissioner. So first I just want to start with a quick question. We just had a rally earlier today to support market pay for New York City police officers to be up to par with other states. Do you support fair market pay for NYPD officers?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Are you asking me if I support whether or not men and women of the New York City Police Department should— their pay should be competitive? Yeah, I don't want to lose people to

coffee speed. I'd have to have another--

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I want to put you 3 on a timer, alright.

[laughter]

CHIEF DELATORRE: So, yeah, this conversation has been going on. I was involved in a conversation with the MTA about 15-16 years ago on this matter. It has been going on for some time. Walking through the process, we know that we have these sexual predators. They are misdemeanors for the most part, except for unlawful surveillance. One thing I think where the City Council might be able to help us is to enact some legislation that says after the second, third, fourth, you know, whatever level you want to go to, that this misdemeanor becomes a felony, just like drunk driving. We do -- in our normal course of doing business in transit, we make sure our officers know the recidivist offenders well. Uniform -- typically a uniformed officer will spot a recidivist offender, radio over for plain clothes They'll respond out and try to intercept the offender and follow the offender. I think the really sad part of all of this is that we know what they're there to do, and most of the time when we're following them, they do commit the crime again.

have to watch and wait 'til they find their victim.
We have to wait until they victimize their victim
before we can go in and arrest them again. We take
other steps. We work with we have a Special
Victims Squad dedicated to transit in the Special
Victims Unit. They come out and enhance the arrest.
We have a victim impact statement that's made out by
the victim so that when the case goes forward, you
know, the DA has not only you know what we observe,
but the feelings of the victim as well. We take a
lot of other steps to try and ensure that these
people do get prosecuted. But again, we're talking
about a misdemeanor, and not every judge treats it
the same. So we do what we can to equip the District
Attorneys with everything they need to really make a
strong case and keep these people out of the system
for a while.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So if someone commits-- it says in one of Roger Reads [sic] he includes 20 sex crime busts. So if each one is a misdemeanor, so the person according to you is still permitted to go back into the subway even though there's 20 misdemeanors for forcibly touching or rubbing against--

2	CHIEF DELATORRE: [interposing] Yes, yes,
3	they molest women, they molest women, they molest
4	children, and they're allowed back into the system.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Okay, so I just-
6	- I want to have a conversation maybe offline and to
7	see how we could push this to do a total lifetime
8	ban. Does the Police Department support that?
9	CHIEF DELATORRE: I do.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [laughter]
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [laughter]
12	CHIEF DELATORRE: I would say they should
13	be banned and they should be given the opportunity to
14	have the ban lifted provided they get the appropriate
15	help.
16	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Can the Police
17	Commissioner speak now, Ed?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yes, I support the
20	ban.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: You support it,
22	okay. So I'd love to have offline conversation with
23	the Police Commissioner, and if you want to come into
24	the conversation, Chief, you're welcome. That's up
25	to the Commissioner, but I think that every single

day that passes that someone is traumatized, and
especially young children, and I'm reading as young
as nine years old. You know, many of us I have
five children, two grandchildren of my own, and I
would not want, you know, someone thinking of not
only my own child but any child or any individual
should be molested on a train going or coming from
work. That's totally unacceptable. So I would like
to have a conversation with the Police Commissioner
and to see how we can do it to further pursue this,
and if we need to get the state legislators involved
and District Attorneys. I think this should be like
a priority, because we know that the trains are a
target for these sexual offenders, just like
playgrounds may be a target for pedophiles or schools
is a target to pedophiles. So I'd love to work with
you on this, Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Look forward to
it.

21 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

Alright, we're going to go to Powers, then Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. Thank you for the testimony. Thank you all for being here

25

2 today. I just want to first comment by saying that I have an unbelievable precincts and Cos and cops in my 3 district, the 13<sup>th</sup>, the 17<sup>th</sup>, the 19<sup>th</sup>, Midtown South, 4 Midtown North. So I want to thank the entire 5 Department for their work and just point out how 6 7 great and lucky I am about folks that work in my district. I have family members and friends who are 8 cops, members of the Department or retired, and so I 9 share -- I want to just echo the sentiment here about 10 pay and obviously about benefits as well to those who 11 12 put their lives on the line for us for serve our city every day, and I-- this is not a new issue, 13 14 obviously. It goes way back. I have friends who 15 started on the force when they were making \$25,000 a 16 year or I think even lower. At that point I remember there was a debate between Commissioner Kelly and 17 18 Mayor Bloomberg at the time, particularly as they were having trouble recruiting people into the 19 20 Academy, which is two blocks from where I live, around pattern bargaining and whether it was working 21 2.2 or not in terms of the Police Department, and there 23 was a disagreement. I wanted to see if you had any sort of-- do you share the sentiment from 24

Commissioner Kelly around pattern bargaining and

2.2

whether it's working or not with regard to the pay
for the cops--

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] So, I do hold the other uniformed services in very high regard, but I think the work that the NYPD does is different. So, I don't fully understand pattern bargaining. I've been a commissioner for two and a half years now. I think that our job is different and it has to be looked at differently.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it.

Appreciate that. And the-- the follow up I say is I think we do ask a lot more these terms of all those who serve so forth. So, that, it is not unreasonable to ask them to also be paid, you know, as we ask them to do more for the job. Second, I wanted to ask, we're in the middle of a conversation around the City Charter and about-- we're going to hear from the CCRB and others about ideas they have in terms of oversight and budgeting. I was wondering if the Department had any recommendations in terms of the City Charter-- around improvements to the City Charter around policing.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: We did look at the changes, the proposed changes to the City Charter,

factors unique to the NYPD.

2.2

and one of them was granting subpoena powers to the
CCRB's highest ranking staff. We don't have an
objective to subpoena signatory authority being
expanded to include the executive director of the
CCRB connection with cases where there's an active
CCRB investigation. I think that would help us move
these cases forward rather quickly. As far as their
budget being tied to or agency, and I mean it's
separate agency and it's unique to CCRB, and that
should be the basis for their budget. They're not

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, thank you. I'll seed my time back to the Chair for the--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Thank you for all 14 seconds. That's leadership. We're going to go to Rosenthal then Gibson.

much. Good afternoon. I want to circle back to the cold cases issue with SVD. It's a little disconcerting to hear that they had all be closed. So I'd like you to clarify that a little bit. It's my understanding that there were 8,000 open cases in January of this year, 150 had DNA hit. So, I want you to please clarify have those 150 been closed and have

- 2 they been closed with the resolution that you found
- 3 the perpetrator, and what happened with the other
- 4 7,850 cases? And let me explain why it's so
- 5 | important for us not to be flip about this. These
- 6 are people who are waiting to her whether or not they
- 7 | get justice. And you know, Commissioner, you were so
- 8 | wonderful around solving the Prospect Park Place.
- 9 That was a cold place that some of your investigators
- 10 dogged for years and successfully closed. So, are
- 11 you saying that those numbers you gave, that all your
- 12 | cold cases are closed?
- 13 CHIEF SHEA: Okay, so to answer the
- 14 | question I'm going to take a step back so everyone is
- 15 on the same page. As I said earlier, a number of
- 16 | years ago there was a move to test rape kits that had
- 17 | never--
- 18 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
- 19 Yeah, that's not what I'm talking about.
- 20 CHIEF SHEA: But it'll help clarity for
- 21 | everyone in the room.
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm on the
- 23 clock for three minutes. I'm talking about January
- $24 \parallel 2019$ , 8,000 cold cases. Yes or no, are they closed
- 25 or not?

2.2

2	CHIEF SHEA:	I don't	have	a	number of- I
3	heard earlier 5,000 and	8,000.	What	Ι	can tell you
4	is there was a significa	ant			

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Helen is right.

CHIEF SHEA: Significant backlog of cases that was worked through--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Okay, let's go on to the next thing, because I
really-- I have a limited amount of time. I'm not
talking about five years ago or 10 years ago. I'm
talking about this year.

CHIEF SHEA: Okay, but I'm trying to answer the question.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And it sounds like you don't have the answer and you can get back to me. It's really okay. I think it was just a misstatement.

CHIEF SHEA: okay.

according to the data that you put on your website because of the new law that you need to report on the number of detectives in SVD I see a total of 226 and I'm wondering one of the things which was a 105 in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

adult in squad and 62 in child abuse. Recently we sat down and you give a number that I'm forgetting right now, it's roughly the same or a little bit higher which is great. But 80 in the-- in the numbers that you gave us-- this will be my last question, Chair. In the numbers that you gave in the report for the end of 2018, there were 48 white shields [sic], and the number we heard this past week or so was 80 white shields. So I'm just wondering what's going on there with the white shields, and also wondering, you know, our big concern is that we're taking from the child squad where the numbers dip down in the number of detectives in order them over to the adult squad, because the focus last year ws really on the adult squad, and the goal was to get that number up to 120, and my concern is that we sort of robbed Peter to pay Paul -- nothing personal. And you know, I'm seeing here that for 2018 the adult squad is 100 which means that you dropped down from 120 down to 100, and that was your last big announcement was that it was 120. My point is these numbers are going all around the place. I'm really concerned that we're not getting to the staffing

levels that the DOI called for.

2.2

whether it's staffing or the cold cases, we've met a number of times, Councilwoman, including with the advocates, without the advocates. I'd be happy to sit down and iron out any numbers again. The 8,000 number, you said it came from the advocates. We do not have that number. So that's-- it's not a question of not answering, I just-- that number, to me, in front of me does not exist, but I'd be happy to talk about.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Cold cases, but not for the number of detectives or white shields?

CHIEF SHEA: And in terms of the white shields and detectives, I'm looking at the-- this is as of December 31<sup>st</sup>, what you were quoting before, I think we've consistently-- I agree with you, the last thing we want to do is rob Peter to pay Paul, not that there has never been somebody that has gone from one squad to the other child, to adult or back and forth.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I think the number was around 14, that right after the DOI, the

here. But I wanted to just echo the sentiments of

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all of my colleagues that talked about supporting the Department and raising the salary so that it could be comparable to other law enforcement. We have a great amount of respect for all of the men and women of the Department, and certainly whatever this City Council can do to help support those efforts, we certainly will do. So I want to thank you for that. And you know, I always have a ton of questions, so I'm just going to push them all out, and then I'll allow you guys to answer. But there is a slide that I wanted to put up as it related to overtime. A few years ago we put in an overtime cost control plan of 50 million dollars, and I believe that we've been working on that each and every year, and I wanted to understand what future projections are. I know our Chair talked about the PEG, which is 53-million-dollar cost savings the Department has to achieve. So I wanted to understand the current FY19 budget for civilian overtime is about 83 million, and we are already at 86 million in civilian overtime, and the uniform FY19 budget is about 546 million, and we're at 383 million which is about 70 percent through February. So if we keep pace with these numbers we're going to exceed what our projected amounts are in both civilian as

2 well as uniformed overtime. So I wanted to understand what the plans are for that. I wanted to 3 4 ask if there is an update on the Crisis Intervention training which I know we accelerated, 5.3 million 5 dollars. So I wanted to find out where we are with 6 7 that. We have seen a number of emotionally disturbed persons, EDP calls, increased, and I wanted to ask 8 about my favorite part of the Department, the 911 9 call-takers and where we are with our budgeted 10 headcount. Do we have any vacancies, and how are we 11 12 doing with our 911 call-takers. I also wanted to get 13 an update on Rodman's Neck in the Bronx, 155 million 14 dollars. I wanted to get an update on the 40 15 precinct, where we are with that. Also, a huge fan, 16 I've talked so much and we've done a lot of work 17 around school crossing guards. And I wanted to find 18 out how we are doing with the hiring and the retention and if we've identified any vacancies, and 19 20 what we're doing to address that. There was a time when Susan Herman was with the Department. We talked 2.1 2.2 about Mental Health Diversion Centers, so I wanted to 23 see if we had an update on that. And finally, I wanted to ask about the School Safety NCO program and 24 how we're doing with that roll out. 25

_	CINODICI IIIDD OI IIDDIINO)
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, so you're
3	not going to answer all those questions, because I
4	know the Commissioner has to go, but let's get to the
5	overtime,
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] As
7	much as you can.
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: and then we can do
9	a briefing on the rest.
10	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yeah, we did we
11	did CIT already
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
13	Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: We'll brief you
15	afterwards about CIT.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, that's fine.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: So, the
18	overtime budget, one of the problems we looked at
19	the numbers in the City Council report, and when you
20	look at the that's a total overtime, civilian and
21	uniform, but one of the things that we saw in the
22	City Council report, understand that our uniformed
23	overtime budget, which is what you've heard publicly-
24	- we talked about a uniformed overtime cap. When you

look at our city-funded overtime, that does not

25

2 include grant funds which we use for our counterterrorism as well as revenues and 3 reimbursables [sic]. So, just looking at Fiscal 18, 4 so we're clear, the total spend on the uniform side was 589.3 million. We have 84.7 million that comes 6 7 in as grants or reimbursables. So that increases the city-funded budget of 506 million for Fiscal 18, and 8 because we had expenditures that were essentially 1.6 9 million less. So in the grand scheme of things, 600 10 million in total overtime, we came in 1.6 million 11 12 under the uniformed overtime, that overtime cap. 13 Looking at Fiscal 19, you're correct in seeing that 14 we have more expenditures in Fiscal 19 than we had in 15 Fiscal 18. There are a couple of drivers on the 16 uniformed side. One of them is body-worn camera 17 training. So as we accelerated the body-worn camera 18 program, we are forced to train officers on overtime, because ultimately if we didn't do that we'd be 19 20 pulling too many officers off of patrol and we'd have an impact on our patrol strength. So we have an 21 2.2 expenditure of approximately six million for body-23 worn camera overtime. We have six million dollars 24 that during the course of the year have gone 25 specifically to dealing with crime fighting, and this

2 is something that we call our Crime Violence Reduction Overtime. That has been funded in years 3 past. It's not funded this year, so all in all that's 4 another six million. And when you look at our risk 6 here in terms of our current spend is in the ballpark 7 of about 15 million. But we've done a number things. We've implemented a number of programs to attempt to 8 reduce nonessential overtime that we hope will bring 9 us closer to that uniformed overtime budget. 10 that's where we stand on the uniform side. The 11 12 civilian side, just again to make sure we're comparing apples to apples, one of the challenges 13 14 over there is when you look at our city-funded budget 15 at the beginning of the year, school safety, the 16 overtime we use to fund school safety is not fully-17 funded in that budget, but at the end of the year, 18 the DOE reimburses us. So there's about 15 million in expenditures on the school safety side, that if 19 20 you look at our budget versus our spend, it doesn't come into the budget until the end of the year. 21 2.2 then there's about six to eight million dollars on 23 the traffic enforcement side where we're doing overtime for different construction projects and we 24 ultimately get that money reimbursed. So we do have 25

just give you a sense. So if you're talking about--

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we can start with the support for Revision 250A which as you know has been an ongoing conversation. been asked at previous council hearing and other ways about our position there. We've made that position very clear with respect to our interest in amending 50A, and the reason for the amendment is to preserve the protections that the original legislation was targeted to provide for our officers, and also to achieve transparency you also know that we have been trying to provide information through our website and get as close as we can to the line of 50A protections, but provide information that the public would be interested in knowing. So we are, as it relates to that, we are moving in the right direction we hope, in our supporting amendments to 50A legislation. We also want to do that in the context of not supporting an expansion of 50A. I mean, we don't want to blow it out and have it be more restrictive or less restrictive. We also, with regard to enhancing public reporting, we are probably in April will be posting this data we can provide, it's aggregate data, not specific categories of data, but aggregate data for the last-- for 16, Calendar Year 16, Calendar Year 17, Calendar Year 18.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we'll make that information available. Of course, one of the other issues that were raised by the panel and that we were interested in doing is publishing the trial calendar, trial room calendar, in advance. So that is not up and running and operating on the website providing the date of the trials and so The hope that was that we would be able to report some of the summary data from the trials, the so-called squibs [sic] that we intended to make available to the public as well, but we've now been enjoined from doing that permanently by a recent decision in the courts. And then with respect to DV, as you know as we did with driving while intoxicated, we were-- in that case we increased penalties to deal with members of the service who are engaged in driving while intoxicated. And we put a system in place increasing those penalties in a variety of ways, but with a schedule. We are doing the same We hope to move in that direction and are moving in that direction with respect to penalties regarding domestic violence cases, and the goal is to again enhance those penalties. We will include, as we have done with DWI, aggravated factors that will increase the penalties depending on the conduct of

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the individual officers, and of course, developing a structural matrix that would show you what those penalties look like. We are moving in that direction as well. So, still a work in progress but I think we're very close to making that a reality within the next month or so. and we will also, I think, which is easier than two important aspects of what we hope to accomplish there, and that is by adding the dismissal probation as a viable option as well as mandatory counseling. We are looking at a ways to make sure that even after the case is resolved, whatever -- and whatever the penalties are that we attach another requirement that's mandatory, which is counseling for the individuals, and we're still trying to work through what that will look like, scoping that out.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And Commissioner, can you just speak on these things because I know he came-- he was at the disciplinary hearing, which was not an easy hearing, and we had issues obviously around domestic violence and individuals in the service with DUI's and DWI's. We're all for second chances, and I think you know we've-- none of us have walked on water our entire lives, but we did find

major issues with individuals serving in the
Department who had more than one substantiated case
around DV, some cases I think three, or four or five
cases, but still serving, you know, as police
officers. So, as we look to improve police community
relations and we talk about building trust, between
the Department the NCO program is a great step in the
right direction, but accountability has to also be a
part of the conversation. And, you know, we found it
alarming that, you know, there was some officers that
got a slap on the wrist for DV, opposed to people
with what you would consider less serious offenses
who ended up with deeper penalties. So can you
explain the disparities around that and how we're
fixing that and how we're going to ensure that
there's one rule of law for everyone, and some other
jobs you would be fired on the stop for a
substantiated DV case. So, just wanted to get you or
the record

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing]

Firing on the spot does become difficult unless

someone is convicted of certain charges. But DV is

something that I asked Ben to take a look at a number

of months ago, actually prior to the Blue Panel

2	Report that as I see these cases coming to me. We
3	have to have maybe not zero tolerance, but close
4	enough to zero tolerance, and I do believe in second
5	chances, but in DV cases I look very harshly on them,
6	and that's why we're looking for an amendment to 50A,
7	not a repeal. We're looking to release the officer's
8	name, command disciplinary charges, trial transcripts
9	and trial decisions and final discipline imposed. I
10	think that's the only way we're going to continue to
11	build trust with people in New York City to make sure
12	that they can see what our discipline system consists
13	of and how at times how severe it can be.
14	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, and then
15	body cameras have body cameras have been rolled out
16	to everyone
17	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] Yeah.
18	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: on patrol?
19	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Not yet on patrol.
20	There are still about
21	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: On patrol.
22	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I think it's 4,000
23	in specialized units that are looking to put them out
24	towards the end of the year.

1	<insert meeting="" of="" title=""> 106</insert>
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Towards the end of
3	the year. So we anticipate all 4,000 will have by
4	the end of the year.
5	CHIEF HARRISON: So just so you have that
6	number. So there's currently 20,000 members of the
7	service
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
9	Right, who have
10	CHIEF HARRISON: that have body-worn
11	cameras.
12	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right. And then
13	can you just speak to and I know we're beginning to
14	wrap up. I think Miller has a question and then
15	we're going to wrap up with Lancman with one more
16	question. I want to thank you for being patient with
17	us today and having shorter testimony, certainly, and
18	we acknowledge that. How long so can you just speak
19	to who oversees the body-worn camera program and how
20	long does it take for you to get footage to the DA's,
21	the CCRB, etcetera if requested as well, and is there
22	a particular
23	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] Yeah,
24	that's the First [sic]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: unit?

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And what's the

total cost? That have been spent. That could be

4 thrown in as well.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ASSISTANT CHIEF PONTILLO: Good morning, Assistant Chief Mathew Pontillo from First Deputy Commissioner's Office. So, we have a number of compliance measures in place for monitoring the use of body-worn cameras and a number of follow-up measures to make sure that people are using the cameras as required and then we have systems in place to ensure that. So, to begin, our Risk Management Bureau analyzes body camera usage, and to-date we have over 3.5 million videos recorded, 20,000 members of the NYPD with cameras. We're averaging about 85,000 videos per week. So it's a huge undertaking to review all of that video. So we put a number of compliance measures in place. So, specifically we analyze body camera video and usage and we prepare a weekly report that analyzes usage broken down by command. We also track anybody who has no body camera usage in a given period of time, and then require a follow-up investigation as to why that person doesn't have any videos recorded. We also do a compliance report where we compare other known

2 datasets, things like arrests and summonses and then compare that to body camera video to make sure that 3 4 members are complying with the policy and recording 5 all of the events they're supposed to be recording. 6 When we see a deficiency we then conduct an 7 investigation to determine why that person did not record. We also do samplings of body camera video to 8 look for compliance with policy in terms of the 9 quality of police service, how the member of the 10 service handled the job, but also whether or not the 11 12 body camera video -- body camera was activated at the 13 appropriate time and recorded the event as required. 14 In addition to that we require sergeants in every 15 precinct that has body cameras to review a certain 16 number of body camera videos every month. And we look at that -- we assign them the body camera videos 17 18 we want them to review so it truly is a random selection. They have to review them not only to 19 20 review the video to assess whether or not the police officer complied with the body camera policy, but 21 2.2 also to assess the quality of the police service. 23 Did they handle the job the right way? Were they professional? We also require other supervisors in 24 the command like the Integrity Control Officer and 25

other investigations.

2.2

the Precinct Training Sergeant to review video

periodically and make assessments on the video. We

follow up with all of these through the reporting and

analysis that we do on a weekly to monthly basis. We

also incorporate review of body-camera video and

compliance issues into CompStat and other

programmatic reviews that we do like force review and

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, and there were 97 civilian staff hired, so how do they fit into this? If anybody could answer this as well for the program as well.

ASSISTANT CHIEF PONTILLO: That's actually— I think we're below the 97. Commissioner Grippo will talk about where we currently are, but those civilians who are hired are mostly media service technicians and they've been— they currently staff the Risk Management Bureau, the Legal Bureau, and there are also some people hired for the Information Technology Bureau to support. So those are the people who are doing a lot of these video reviews—

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 ASSISTANT CHIEF PONTILLO: looking at video, checking for compliance.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So those 97?

ASSISTANT CHIEF PONTILLO: Comparing video to other datasets, putting together a review package, you know, for example, for CompStat for retweak, depending upon which borough is coming in. We'll look at their body camera use for compliance for the period. So that's the group or the groups that are doing that analysis. Commissioner Prunty can talk about legal and more detail, but those are the folks who are generally reviewing video and processing subpoena requests and FOIL request. As far as sharing with the DA, so it's not just body cameras, it's an entire body camera and video management system that we use. So, the body cameras capture the video. The police officers dock their cameras at the end of tour. The video automatically uploads into the data storage solution. There is software or dashboard on our network where police officers can then access their video. So, when an arrest is made or a DA needs video, the arresting officer will dock their camera, upload their video, log into the system, and then right within the system within minutes of upload.

2.1

2.2

will share that video to the DA. So they-- you don't have to, you know, download anything or burn copies onto a disk. They can do it right within the system with a couple of clicks of the mouse, and then the DA has instant access to it. The DA's will have access to the system. They've all been trained and they each have their own method for then downloading and processing the data on their end, but we're able to get it to them electronically within the system

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Okay,
last questions— you get where I'm going on
discipline. We'll continue to have more
conversation. I'm just going to go to Council Member
Miller and then Lancman. I'm going to ask you guys
to be very concise and brief on your questions
because the Commissioner does have to leave.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Good afternoon, Commissioner, to you

Commissioner and the team. It's a pleasure to be

here. I'd be remiss if I didn't start with putting

on my labor hat and echoing the sentiments of my

colleagues in saying that I too support pay parody

and equity with the officers and the agencies

23

24

25

Accurate, too.

2 throughout the New York region, and certainly our men and women are deserving of that. Also, I'd like to 3 thank the Commissioner for coming out to JMC this 4 5 past Friday for the street renaming and all the 6 additional support that we've gotten in the marches 7 [sic] throughout New York City. It is greatly appreciated, and we have a security meeting that is 8 going on tonight, hopefully that we will be-- the 9 Department will be well represented there as well. 10 So, I do want to talk a little bit off just on the 11 12 transportation side. I'd like to talk about the bus lane enforcement since the Mayor's announcement in 13 14 the State of the City this past January. Where have 15 we gone? Have we seen any increase in summonses and enforcement around the bus lane enforcement? 16 17 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So, Chief Chan 18 will give you a very short and concise and accurate answer. 19 20 CHIEF CHAN: Very short and very concise. In terms of--21 2.2 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing]

CHIEF CHAN: bus lane moving violations, in 2018 we issued 8,037 compared to 2017 was 2,020.

2.2

Bus lane parking violations, last year we issued 38,000 summonses, 38,419 compared to 23,647. But stop parking violations, last year we issued 312,752 compared to 305,712. Again, we've done a lot of enforcement in that area. We meet with our MTA partners. The feedback that we've gotten is that we've seen some improvement in terms of the movement of our buses. They've identified 12 specific lines that have been problematic. We've been targeting those areas for enforcement. So we do see improvement on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Do you think that the, I believe it is 2.3-2.7 million dollars that is in this Preliminary Budget for bus lane enforcement will be sufficient, particularly compared to the nearly 100 million dollars for secured bike lanes that we see throughout the city?

CHIEF CHAN: I think that the enforcement that the NYPD has done and our response has been overwhelmingly positive that we've seen from our MTA partners and also from -- the feedback that we've gotten from DOT.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay. We haven't seen-- I think buses are still traveling at about

five, six miles per hour. We have some work to be
done, and we look forward to working with you on
that. I don't want to stay on that. But I do want
to stay in the area of transportation. I know that
the Transportation Committee requires certain
information data around complaints in the subways and
buses, and I know that felonies are up about 11.5
percent. Major felonies, what are we doing there?
And the overall complaints on assaults on MTA workers
as well, buses and trains. I have not seen it. It
should be part of the reporting. Do you have that
data now, and if not, when can we expect it?
CHIEF DELATORRE. Okay I can speak as to

the trains. Assaults on MTA workers are down this year, four versus seven, and I believe we ended the year down last year as well. In the subway system only. I'm only speaking to the subway system, and overall crime in the subway system is down this year as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Is that data not collected for bus operators and others on the surface side.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Well, Council
3 Member, we're going to have to get those numbers for
4 you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okav. Let me just jump back around here. Let's talk about-- you know, I would, along with the Chair and a few other members of this committee here, the privilege of being briefed by the counterterrorism group here, and I don't believe that any of us walked out of there with feeling really good about the information that we received, particularly as it pertained to surveillance around and proactively being engaged and being able to prevent activities around white nationalists. Could you, as much as possible, considering what we've seen in recent times, and in between the time that we met, I think that was about some time in the fall, and now is-- what are we doing about it in that area?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Get Commissioner Miller to speak about that. John?

COMMISSIONER MILLER: We continue to monitor online forums, propaganda. We continue to investigate groups that fall with into the investigative guidelines that we operate under, and

violations of laws.

2.2

we use the same team that we use for all other terrorist or potentially violent activities or

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I think the point was that we did not feel that they were being investigated with the same vigor as some other groups, particularly quite frankly even the group like Black Lives Matter, which we know aren't a terrorist organization, but have been known to be surveilled.

groups based on the Handschu guidelines, based on the possibility of the violation of law and we treat all groups the same. I think it's an important point to make that when we are sorting through potential subjects for investigation, it is based on the activities of individuals, whether those activities are in violation of the law, whether there are groups of people that engage in activities that may possibly violate the law. We have very strict guidelines. We like these rules because they give us structure.

What we have seen, which is of great interest is, if you look at the attack in New Zealand, if you look at some of the propaganda that they're adopting each

subjected to the Handschu guidelines as John said.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay, so let's just jump and finally talk about marijuana disparities. Obviously, that's been a big deal over the past few years, particularly for us in southeast Queens having a precinct that has had 13 percent of all the marijuana arrests and summonses throughout the City. Is that still the case, and also are these arrests and summonses occurring in the vicinity of NYCHA housing? 

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: As far as the location, I'm going to have to get back to you with more specifics there, but as far as the 105 precinct is concerned, and that's what we're talking about, the enforcement has decreased dramatically. Natise Gilbert [sp?] is now the CO there. She's the Deputy Inspector. We spoke before about disparity and overall enforcement of marijuana enforcement, and I did state that our marijuana arrests, misdemeanor arrests are down 91.5 percent. It's a considerable number, 3,259, and disparity still exists, and the Chair and I agreed that we would continue to figure out why this is continuing to happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay, and the locations, we'll get that later on--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you so very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Last question, and then I'm going to ask Chief Shea to stay behind. I know he doesn't want to. And Helen has some closing questions, and I know you have to go, so I want to respect your time. Council Member Lancman, last question.

So, again, on the subject of marijuana and our concern that the Department seems to continue to arrest people for THC oil, possession and I'll say smoking, vaping. As you know, last year in February we had a hearing on the disparities in marijuana enforcement, both in policing and prosecution. When the data came out and ended up being analyzed, the New York Times came out with a front page story in May of that year headlined: "The surest way to face marijuana charges in New York, be black or Hispanic." And the Mayor almost within days announced that the city was going to revisit its policy, and we've been talking about that policy. Today, my colleagues have

talked about the racial disparity issue. It's come
to our attention though that the Department and
police officers are still arresting people and
prosecutors are still charging them under who are
found in possession or vaping this THC oil which as
you know is the, I guess the active ingredient in
marijuana. And I wanted to know the Chair and I
sent a letter to you, Commissioner, in November, and
we have not heard back. It's nearly four months is
it, which I have mentioned to you privately, but I'll
say it publicly, that lack of response is really
unacceptable, and I think disrespectful. Let's get
to the issue, though, although if you want to comment
on the lack of response I won't' prevent you from
doing so.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay. So, why is the Department still arresting people for THC possession? They're getting charged with an A misdemeanor, criminal possession of a controlled substance in the seventh degree which is also the charge for someone who has a small amount of heroin in their possession. Why isn't THC oil covered by the marijuana— the new marijuana policy?

2.2

CC	MMISSIONER	O'NEILL:	There	are som	me
issues, and A	nn Prunty,	Assistant	Commiss	sioner	from
DCLM will spe	ak about ti	hat.			

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY: Just to be clear, just be clear, my understanding is that the Director of our Legislative Affairs Unit has been in touch with your Chief of Staff in response to that letter over the course of the past few months. But be that as it may--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] No, I'm sorry, he hasn't. With all due respect to Oleg, he's a great guy and we talk all the time. We haven't gotten a response.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY: No, I understand you haven't gotten a response, but I just wanted to let you know that we have been in touch with you about the letter.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: And, just going to interrupt you. Should have given you a written response and apologize for that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY: So, with respect to the THC oil, during the time that you sent your letter up until now, we have looked at that carefully, and we did a survey of the different DAs

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY:

23

24

controlled substance.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you.

Alright, and so what you're saying is unless the THC

oil is mixed or contaminated with something else,--

5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY: [interposing]

6 K2.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: if it's just the THC, then those individuals will be treated the same as you treat people who are burning or possessing actual marijuana leaves in public.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good? I'm happy to hear that. I just have a question, though. My understanding is there's no field test for THC, so how is that distinction going to be made?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY: Well, we're going to be defaulting to the 221.05 offense, then it will be tested by the lab. If there's a testing by the lab that turns out to be the chemicals of K2 and the case is still in the system, the prosecutors will have the option of amending that complaint and charging the 220.03.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And last thing, on the issue of disparities, it hasn't really been touched on yet, but are you willing to reconsider the

exceptions to the current marijuana policy, almost
all of which are driven by someone's prior or current
criminal justice system involvement, and are you do
you acknowledge, do you agree that when you exempt
people from the more liberal marijuana possession and
burning policy based on their prior or current
criminal justice system involvement, that you are
fishing in a pool that is more concentrated of people
of color, and that is why you are seeing the actual
increase in racial disparities under the new policy,
even while you are arresting fewer people?

have further discussions, and I certainly would want
if all the prior criminal history is 221 offenses,

I would agree with you, but the strategy of reducing

homicides from 2,245 down to less than 300 last year,

I would-- it's well thought out and it's evolved over

time, so I think it would require more discussion

with you to get where maybe some sort of compromise.

I'm not sure we can get there. There's a lot to lose

here, but we will continue discussions if you'd like.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you,

24 | Council--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]

Just one last thing. Just the THC policy, sorry, is

that going to be added to the Patrol Guide? Like

when will see that this is in print and it's

clarified.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PRUNTY: We're working on it and we'll get back to you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: The first thing you'll see is a response to your letter.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Thank you so much, and I'm going to ask Chief Shea to stay behind just for one question from Helen, but I want to thank you Commissioner. I want to thank you for your time today. Just some follow-ups from this specific hearing, a few items, the average headcount, data, and methodology. We're looking for, second, the average length of rape cases being opened or worked on. Three, our data on percentage of unfounded and uncooperative victims by borough and their uncooperative complaint victims by borough. Four, our data on January 2019 cold cases from Special Victims Unit. Five, follow-ups from Council Member

Gibson's questions. I'm looking to hear more on 50A
and the recommendation by the disciplinary panel.
The Hate Crimes Unit, you know, there has been an
increase. I spoke on discipline. And then also
Council Member Miller's question on public housing
and summonses and arrests happening around
specifically public housing. And then lastly, the
116 <sup>th</sup> precinct was budgeted. The budget went up to
16 million which I'm 16 million more, which I'm not
complaining out. We just want to hear a little bit
more specifics about why and why that increase is
needed, and all the other questions we didn't get a
chance to address here today. There also was a
proposal that I believe PD rolled out on not
responding to car accidents anymore. So when we come
back for Exec, we look forward to hearing a little
bit more, but in between time
COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] Yeah,

that's a very limited pilot, but we'll discuss with you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yeah. Yeah. With that being said, thank you so much for coming today.

Thank you for your time. We look forward to our continued strong partnership with you. Want to thank

is doing to build trust. For example, you know,

2.2

2	bringing the advocates now into the interview rooms,
3	allowing them to go in with the victim of sexual
4	assault and I just wanted to pass along that message
5	of deep appreciation. And I wanted to confirm on the
6	record that you'll continue to invite the advocates
7	to the reading to read the cold cases, the Timini
8	[sic] Review?

CHIEF SHEA: Yeah, I think that that is-been very beneficial on both sides. It's-- I've
heard nothing but good news, so we look forward to
that partnership.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great, thank you. Quick question about moving detectives around again. Did any of the 84 detectives moved over to drug investigations as part of HealingNYC come from the Special Victims Division?

CHIEF SHEA: Are you talking about Opiate Investigations?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yes.

CHIEF SHEA: Which, I mean, you're going back probably a year or two. I'd have to check. I don't have that answer.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, I think with ThriveNYC they recently announced that 84

- detectives had been moved over. But you'll get back
  to see whether or not they had been moved out of the
  SVD.
  - CHIEF SHEA: I'm-- you'd have to give me a little more information on what 84. What-- perhaps it's me, but I'm not following with what unit you're referring to that they were moved to.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sure, yes.

    This is on the opioid abuse. It's part of the

    ThriveNYC issue.
  - CHIEF SHEA: Okay, I'm not aware of any recent transfers of anyone to that. I think you're going back over a year, if I'm correct.
  - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: So, just to be clear, the 84 was an increase to our headcount. It was done. The way it ended up being funded was an offset where we brought down some civilian positions and we received 84 additional uniformed heads, and we ultimately then assigned 84 detectives. That goes back-- I'm-- I would say it's about 18 months--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Okay.

2.2

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: from when we assigned those detectives, but it would have been an increase overall--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: to the

Detective Bureau staffing. It would not have been a
situation where we were just taking people from one
area and moving them and not backfilling those
positions, because these were newly created Detective
Bureau positions.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it. If you could just confirm the-- anyone that would have come from SVD was indeed backfilled? Great. Thank you.

CHIEF SHEA: Yeah.

know the status of FETI training for the detectives, sort of what the total numbers of detectives is and how many trained, and my last question because I've run out of time has to do with cautioning you again about reviewing the sexual assault cases as if they were the usual CompStat cases. These are not cases where we want to push detectives to close them

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

being--

faster. These are cases where, you know, it's prob-this is a trauma victim and more likely than not it
would take much longer to close a case. So, I just
wanting to make sure that the SVD cases are not

CHIEF SHEA: [interposing] Yeah, I-COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: lumped in.

CHIEF SHEA: I think that was my exact point earlier that each case is unique. We move at the speed of the survivor. With that being said, you know, we still do have an accountability measure, CompStat. It's not unique to Special Victims. It's something that we look at the work of our investigators and police officers across the agency to make sure they're doing everything that they should be doing. So, it's a mixed response there. will continue to look at sex crimes cases during the CompStat process, but it is certainly not to say that we're pushing cases or the measure that we're looking at is that their cases aren't being closed in a fast enough time. For example, we want to make sure that the work that should be done, whether its witness canvases, video canvases, DNA testing is done.

There's a lot of different metrics that we use, and

getting additional contracts to get FETI training.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And so you

3 only have the 36-37 officers remaining to get FETI.

4 Everyone else--

1

8

CHIEF SHEA: [interposing] Yeah, if 5

there's one or two sprinkled somewhere, Councilwoman, 6

7 that's possible, but I don't believe that's the case.

The vast majority of Special Victims has already been

9 FETI trained.

10 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great, if you

could come back to Exec with that exact number to let 11

12 us know.

13 CHIEF SHEA: Yeah, I'll have Chief

14 Harrison follow up. And I do have that number

15 because there was some confusion earlier regarding

16 5,000/8,000. In 2015--

17 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

18 Sorry?

CHIEF SHEA: In 2015, I'm sorry to go back 19

20 again, there was 1,100+ cases that were tested that

came into the Department--21

2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

23 DNA cases?

24 CHIEF SHEA: Yes, that's when a team was

25 stood up to test those cases.

CHIEF SHEA: We will follow up.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, it's 150
3	DNA cold cases, and then some in the multiple
4	thousands number of stranger rapes that have not been
5	solved, cold case.
6	CHIEF SHEA: Okay, so I'm in constant
7	contact with our lab. We have a very robust lab. We
8	work hand-in-hand with the office of the Chief
9	Medical Examiner.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yep.
11	CHIEF SHEA: I am with confidence told
12	that we have no backlog on sexual assault cases. So
13	I will, as soon as I leave here, confirm that.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, you
15	know, let's talk offline. We may have a
16	nomenclature
17	CHIEF SHEA: [interposing] Yeah, that's
18	what I think it is.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: problem.
20	CHIEF SHEA: And to your earlier point
21	just thanking Special Victims for the work with the
22	advocate, that is greatly appreciated.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yep.
24	CHIEF SHEA: Thank you.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Absolutely.

3 Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Chief
Shea for being so gracious. Thank you. Alright,
we're now going to have the Civilian Complaint Review
Board. Alrighty, going to have Chair Fred Davie.
Reverend? Chair Reverend Frederick Davie. You are
ordained, we better acknowledge it. Alrighty, Chair
Reverend Frederick Davie. We're going to have you
sworn in and then Jon Darche and Jeanine Marie, and
you may begin after you're sworn in.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth to this committee and answer all questions to the best of your ability?

CHAIRPERSON DAVIE: I do.

UNIDENTIFIED: Ready?

CHAIRPERSON DAVIE: Chairperson Richards and members of the Public Safety Committee, thank you for the opportunity to appear here today. As you've said, I am Reverend Frederick Davie, Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board. I am joined by Agency staff members Jonathan Darche, our Executive Director, and Jeanine Marie, our Deputy Executive

2 Director for Administration. I have submitted a full testimony to the committee staff, and now will 3 present a short oral presentation. Over the past 4 5 year, the Agency has re-dedicated itself to better serving its complainants, many coming from the most 6 7 vulnerable communities and diverse communities in New York, including young people, the homeless, LGBTQ 8 individuals, those with mental illnesses, people 9 living with disabilities, and people of low income. 10 In February 2018, the Board unanimously voted to 11 12 adopt a resolution directing Agency staff to begin 13 investigating and prosecuting certain allegations of 14 sexual misconduct that had previously been referred 15 to the NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau and to develop 16 a plan to investigate and prosecute of sexual crim--17 of criminal sexual misconduct. Now, more than one 18 year later, the Agency has received 83 complaints containing 126 allegations of sexual harassment, 19 20 sexual or romantic propositions, sexual humiliation, and sexually motivated strip searches, and has 21 2.2 created an internal working group to determine how 23 best to incorporate investigations and prosecutions of sexual assault into Agency operations. We're 24 25 currently working with OMB to obtain funding to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

develop a victim advocacy and support program, and commenced a number of training initiatives aimed at better supporting victims of sexual violence. The CCRB is committed to protecting the mental health and wellbeing of our complainants. In 2018, the agency adopted new policies and procedures aimed at providing civilians with information about access to mental health services. In the past year, the CCRB Investigations Division also received comprehensive training related to mental health issues, including Forensic Experiential Trauma Interview, or FETI training, and mental health first-aid certification training. We consider educating the public to be an important part of our mandate, and work hard to deliver information to civilians. The CCRB staff endeavors to reach all New York communities, delivering over 1,000 presentations in 2018, the largest number in agency history, to audiences including high school students, immigrant populations, probationary groups, homeless service organizations, formerly incarcerated individuals, NYCHA residents and LGBTQ groups. The agency was able to make those efforts because it was fully staffed at the time with an outreach team of six

2 people who were-- that we were granted by the City Council. In anticipation of the Right to Know Act 3 becoming effective in October 2018, the CCRB 4 constructed a full public education campaign in 5 partnership with members of the City Council that 6 involve creation of educational materials and distribution of these materials via street team 8 efforts, participation in press and social media 9 efforts and working with elected officials to help 10 provide information to constituents. Further 11 12 evidence of our commitment to public education is our 13 February Youth Summit at New York University, 14 organized by the first-ever CCRB Youth Advisory 15 Council and our Police Symposium at John Jay College 16 of Criminal Justice which Mr. Chairman, you spoke, 17 and we really appreciate it. In 2018, the Board also 18 made a number of procedural changes to ensure disciplinary consistency. In January of last year, 19 the Board piloted the use of a disciplinary 20 framework, a non-binding matrix designed to guide 21 2.2 board panel discussions on disciplinary 23 recommendations for substantiated cases and at 24 achieving consistent and fair discipline recommendations for both civilians and members of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

service. Now a year later we are evaluating ways to expand that structure to non-charges as well. have also been challenges over the past year. In 2018, the CCRB received 4,745 complaints within its jurisdiction, and increase of nearly 11 percent from just two years prior. Further, 2018 saw over 200 more fourth-quarter complaints received in the CCRB's jurisdiction than 2017, and the highest-- this is the highest number since 2013. Though it's too early to tell for sure, some of these additional complaints may be related, or may have been related to the Right to Know Act. Since it went into effect, the agency has received 137 complaints containing 229 allegations of a failure to provide a business card under that act. One type of evidence that is becoming increasingly prevalent in our investigations is NYPD body-worn camera footage. To date, the Agency has requested such footage in more than 2,000 of its investigations. While video evidence has played a role in the CCRB's investigations over time, the amount of footage in CCRB's electronic evidence repository has exponentially increased, in part, due to the continued expansion of the BWC program. date, video footage occupies more than three and a

2 half terabytes of space with 300 gigabytes of that added in January 2019 alone. While it is still too 3 4 early to tell the true effect of BWCs on investigations of misconduct, video evidence in 5 6 general plays a major role in the outcomes of 7 investigations increasing the likelihood that a case will be closed on the merits. Unfortunately, this 8 additional evidence has -- with this additional 9 evidence has come an increase in the number of days 10 it takes to close cases. In 2018, the Agency trained 11 12 all of its investigators in forensic video analysis 13 techniques, which are now employed in all 14 investigations. These techniques involve 15 transcription notations and multiple viewings of 16 videos, increasing the length of time it takes to 17 close all investigations, not just those with video. 18 Investigators must identify each of the individuals in video footage to identify witnesses, and take 19 20 detailed notes as to the statements made and events that occur, often requiring frame-by-frame review. 21 2.2 We're working with the OMB to monitor and address 23 these challenges head-on. For the current Fiscal Year FY2019, the CCRB has a modified budget of 24 \$17,173,879; \$13,102,052 for personnel services, and 25

\$4,071,827 for other than personnel services, a
category which includes funding for, among other
things, training initiatives and video footage
storage. The Agency is determined to continue to
improve its service to the people of the City of New
York and due to the support of this Administration
and the Council, the Agency is stronger than ever and
better able to provide strong, effective, and
independent civilian oversight of the New York City
Police Department, but there is far more for us to
do, far more to be done. I am confident with your
help CCRB will continue to flourish and improve and
lead the way in civilian oversight nationally. Thank
you for your time and continued support, and we'd be
happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much,
Chair Davie, Reverend. So, let me start with what
new needs if any have you requested from the
Administration and OMB?

been working closely with OMB through the ADP process to request new needs. We received funding for the Blake Fellow [sic] in the last year. We've been working with them on the victim assistance process.

demands of the upcoming year.

#### <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. I think you spoke of Implicit Bias Training. Can you just go through is everybody trained in CCRB?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, there may be new staff that has not been trained. The-- I thought the Implicit Bias Training was very helpful, not just for staff but for the board as well, and I think-- I think when we originally scheduled the Implicit Bias Training, we did not realize how much we needed it. It was originally viewed as something we needed to understand how the department functions, but frankly we needed it to understand dour own process and our own behaviors and attitudes, and it's something that, you know, we're going to be working with the Office of Management and Budget in the City Council to make sure we can do again in the future.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Is that going to cost-- any further funding needed with training?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: I believe it would. I'd have to get back to you with the exact number.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And who's doing the training?

1	<tnsert< th=""><th>TTTT.F</th><th><math>\cap</math>F</th><th>MEETING&gt;</th></tnsert<>	TTTT.F	$\cap$ F	MEETING>

Union Seminary Uptown.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DAVIE: It was Perception Institute, and the only reason I know that, Mr. 3 Chair, is one, I took the training, and two, they 4 were so good I'm not using them at my institution at 5

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Perception training you said?

CHAIRPERSON DAVIE: Perception Institute.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Perception Institute, okay. Can you just go through -- I know that you had some Charter Revision Request. I just wanted to get you on the record on what some of those requests were.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, the first request was for a small technical change to the Charter that would allow executive staff to sign subpoenas, as opposed to just having the Executive Director sign subpoenas.

CHAIRPERSON DAVIE: The Chair.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: My apologies, the Chair. The second would be to codify the APU. The third was to enhance the language that's currently in the Charter with regard to the duty to cooperate of the Police Department with the CCRB.

2.2

And the last would be to set the CCRB budget at one percent of the NYPD's budget.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, good. I just wanted to get that on the record. Let's just talk about caseload time for a second. So, the PMMR shows that the time to complete investigations increased in FY18 as compared to FY17 from 153 to 190 days. Can you speak a little bit more? I know you went into body cameras, but I don't--

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: [interposing]
We believe it's a large degree covered by body-worn camera footage. When we get body-worn camera footage, it's not just you watch a five-minute video and move on with your day, with your investigation.
You have to watch it numerous times. You put it through software that lets you analyze the footage and make determinations about what happened. And sometimes for one incident there may be multiple officers on the scene with body-worn camera footage.
There may be multiple videos that you have to view.
Not every video is eventually going to be determined in a case, but you still have to watch all that body-worn camera footage, and it puts a real strain on our investigators and our processes.

# <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And let me go
3 through-- so how long does it take for NYPD to get
4 back to you on footage?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: Right now, the--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] What is the quality of the footage and the resolution and distance, and how often is camera footage unavailable even though the officer had a camera? What has been your experience so far?

where the Department is able to correctly respond on our first request, the average response time is eight days. There are sometimes where we have to make a second or a third request, and that will take up to 28 days to get a response. The Department has recently added a new camera to its repertoire when Axon [sic] purchase Vievu. I'm much more familiar with the Vievu cameras, and I think they're excellent. I can't give you an opinion of the quality of the Axon cameras, to be honest with you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You said 28 days after your second or third request, that 28 business days?

 $\mbox{ \begin{tabular}{lll} EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: \\ But we could \\ \mbox{ \end{tabular} get back to you on it. } \\ \mbox{ \end{tabular}$ 

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. And I know we-- they said that they had someone specifically assigned to deal with different agencies. Have you found that to be true?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So the--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Is there a specific person you deal with when you put a request in? Can you just go through that process?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: The way our process currently works is our investigators send requests to Internal Affairs. Internal Affairs then sends those requests to NYPD legal. NYPD legal has a team that conducts the searches, responds back to Internal Affairs, and then Internal Affairs responds to us.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And you said eight days. Has that been your overall experience in getting on average eight days or do you find it to be

2.2

"Oh, no, I had my camera" or "Oh, no, I didn't have a

2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: [interposing]
3	A hundred percent, we'll get it for you.
4	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: often people deny
5	they have a camera. The budget added a one-time
6	addition of 50,000 hours for camera footage storage.
7	How much data will this funding store, and how long
8	will the storage last before it is full?
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: Mr. Chair,
10	I'm not 100 percent certain on like the number of
11	terabytes, but that should keep us covered for a year
12	and a half. This is a situation that we've been
13	working closely with the Office of Management and
14	Budget, DoITT and other city agencies to try and deal
15	with this issue, and I think everyone viewed the
16	50,000 hours as a temporary measure until we can come
17	up with a more global solution.
18	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And then earlier
19	the NYPD acknowledged that the DAs have instant
20	access. Do you have instant access?
21	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: No, we do
22	not.
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Do you think you
24	should have instant access?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: Yes, we do.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Alrighty,

let's go through sexual misconduct again. So, CCRB

has added sexual misconduct to its portfolio of

cases. Phase one which includes sexual harassment

allegations has already begun. Phase two which

includes sexual assaults has not begun, correct?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: That is

correct.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright. Do you have a timeline for the beginning of phase two?

a timeline yet. We've established three different areas that we need to be working on before we-before I can go to the Chair and the Board and tell
them we're ready to move to phase two. The first is
we need to train a cadre of investigators that's
sufficient to handle the load of sexual misconduct
allegations that we're getting, the sexual assault
allegations that we're getting. We've been working
with experts in the field and advocate groups such as
the New York City Alliance Against Sexual Assault,
and we brought in as Councilperson Rosenthal was
addressing earlier with NYPD, we've done FETI
training for a small group of our investigators. It

2.2

was really eye-opening for the agency, and it's something that we think we need to adopt throughout our agency, not just for dealing with victims of sexual misconduct, but for all civilians who come to our agency. If you think about it, if you're walking down the street and you're stopped on the street while you're with your child, that could be just as traumatic for the person who is stopped or the child of the person who is stopped as any other incident that we investigate. And so I think coming up with resources for this agency to make sure that we are not re-traumatizing people in the process of our investigations is extremely important.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, I know

Council Member Rosen-- Councilwoman Rosenthal will

have more to say on that, so I'm going to move on

from that. Investigators, can you comment on

turnover and attrition rates, which are hovering

somewhere around nine percent and just identify a few

strategies you're utilizing to reduce attrition

within CCRB?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, we have--we have created a path to promotion which is-- we're
hopeful that when people see that they can have

are tied to the-- to a citywide contract for-- that's

to establish some kind of unit that will provide

#### <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

2 support to victims and witnesses of sexual

3 misconduct, whether it's sexual harassment or sexual
4 assault.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, to have a dedicated unit.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: Yes.

we've actually started the RFP process for the line staff of that unit which we think would be-- you know, we think would be approximately four people that we would need as the line and then on our actual staff, one supervisor or director. And then the third area is just our internal procedures to make sure that we're properly handling these cases when they come in to make sure that if you're contacting our intake unit that you're speaking to someone who has gone through the training and has-- is capable of not re-traumatizing you when you're making your complaint to make sure that any evidence that we collect is preserved the right way--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Yep.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: to make sure that if there is going to be a prosecution that we

2.2

don't that we make sure that the DA's Office knows
that we've had the complaint and is aware of it and
can take any steps prior to us doing something that
might hurt notential investigation

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great. Thank you. You mention in your testimony that year-to-date, a year after deciding to look into sexual harassment-- I just want to distinguish. You're looking at sexual harassment or sexual--

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: [interposing]
So, the Board has authorized the Agency to
investigate all complaints of sexual misconduct.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Misconduct, okay.

felt it was able to-- the staff could handle the phase one, the sexual harassment complaints, because they were more akin to complaints that we are currently handling now, but that the sexual assault cases were something that we felt we needed to wait and make sure that we had kind of hit all those three benchmarks before we could begin investigating those.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: [interposing]

24

25

I--

1	<insert meeting="" of="" title=""> 162</insert>
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: you have to get
3	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: [interposing]
4	We share your desire
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Okay.
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: to move as
7	fast as possible, but we don't want our haste to
8	damage
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
10	Re-traumatize.
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: and to damage
12	a case against an officer, number one, but number
13	two, re-traumatize people. And it's very important
14	that we have the skills and capabilities before we
15	tell people that we're able to do.
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Agreed. Agreed,
17	but just-
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And your I
19	think Council Member Richards asked has OMB giving
20	you funding for those additional staff for this unit
21	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: SO, we're
22	working closely with OMB on this and we're submitting
23	an RFP for an organization to provide the staff that
24	could be then supervised by a master of social work

who was employed directly by CCRB.

#### 1 < INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

_	VINODICI TITIL OF INDITINO?	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What's the	
3	difference between just hiring someone and an RFP to	
4	hire someone? Sorry.	
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So this is	
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]	
7	Don't you just ask OMB, and OMB says yes or no?	
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: And O you	
9	know, you've been working with OMB to find a way to	
10	provide this very necessary service to the public,	
11	and this is the way that OMB has identified that they	
12	thing will be an effective way going forward, and so	
13	that's why we're and so I think if it is not, then	
14	we'll go back to OMB, put our heads together and come	
15	up with another solution. But right now, they thing	
16	this is a better way to do it.	
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, and they	
18	put funding in the budget for that.	
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, I think	
20	right now they've given us permission to submit an	
21	RFP and then	

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Keep us posted.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: I'm-- a hundred percent.

# <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I would be
3	happy to advocate to OMB to make sure you get the
4	funding necessary to do this work.
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: I apprec
_	

CHAIRPERSON DAVIE: [interposing] I'll speak on behalf of the Board and say we would strongly encourage that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, okay, we can start pushing for that now. And just want to confirm, the 83 complaints, can that be any—— either an NYPD officer harassing an NYPD officer and also an NYPD officer harassing a member of the public?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, it could only be a member of the service whose on-duty harassing either a civilian or a member of service whose off-duty.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it, right, because if it's on-duty it would go to IAB.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: If it's-- if there's any misconduct between two on-duty members of the service, that is not within the CCRB's jurisdiction.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it. Okay. Those are my questions. I'm just thrilled that

# <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

you're starting to look at it, and agree with the

Chair if we could get a sense of timing for phase

two, you know, maybe you could have timing assuming

OMB authorizes the lines. Would it be maybe six

months? I mean, FETI training can be 10 weeks. So

you have to get authorization to move forward and

 $\label{eq:executive_def} \mbox{EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So we've} \\ \mbox{already done some FETI training.}$ 

authorization to do FETI, hypothetically?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

cadre of people that we could move to phase two with, it's just, you know, we still have other things to do before we're ready, and so we're working to do it as fast as possible, and I can tell you the Board has been very— and the Chair especially— has been very on top of it in making sure that we're moving forward as fast as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Really appreciate that. Thank you very much. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Final questions. So, according to your website, complaints were the highest in 2018 since 2014. Allegations

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 also increase from 14,670 in 2017 to 16,872

3 allegations in 2018. Can you speak to the increase,

4 and do you expect an increase in 2019, and do you see

5 more problematic precincts than others? For

6 instance, I'm looking at the 34<sup>th</sup> precinct which had

7 | 16 complaints substantiated in it, which I think is

8 | the highest in the City. So, I just wanted for you

9 to speak a little bit more on that.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: The-- so, we think-- we don't-- we are still looking closely at the number. We think the reason for the increase in complaints is tied very closely to the Right to Know Act, and so traditionally complaint numbers will increase in the summer and decrease as the colder weather comes in, and then this year we saw a reverse of that trend when in October when the Right to Know Act was implemented and this agency along with some of the City Council Members that had worked so hard on the Right to Know Act really did a lot of public education work, going to people and making sure they were aware of their rights under the Right to Know Act, and we think that that -- we don't have proof of that yet, but we believe that that caused the Whether or not that continues into the new

# <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

year, that's something that we're looking at closely
with OMB to make sure if we need more resources, more
investigators, but also other resources that will
have them.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And are you tracking-- so based on cards being handed out, you know, how many of the cases are substantiated?

tracking when-- if someone comes to us and they make a complaint that they weren't given a card, or if in the process of an interview we are able to determine they should have been given a card, we will ask if they were given a card.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Now, speak a little more about that. How many cases are you seeing where individuals come in who were warrant—where it was warranted for them to receive a card and they did not receive it?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, right now, we have 137 complaints containing 229 allegations that an officer failed to provide a Right to Know Act card, and of those 229 allegations, 174 are still pending, six were-- went to mediation, and 49 we closed without a full investigation.

because we have not completed the investigations.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: Thanks.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, we're going to take a five-minute recess and then we're going to come back and hear from the public.

[break]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, alright, we're going to begin again. I'm going to ask Ralph Palladino, Local 1549 DC 37, Shane Correia, Center for Court Innovation, Audacia Ray, New York City Anti-Violence Project, Audacia Ray? Alrighty, alrighty. I'm going to put four minutes on the clock. Longer than Council Members today, and the Chief. I forgot his name. Alright, we're going to go to you first, Mr. Palladino.

RALPH PALLADINO: Okay, good day. My name is Ralph Palladino, Second Vice President, the Clerical Administrative Local 1549, DC37. represent members of the NYPD that are principle--I'm sorry, Police Administrative Aides and also the PCT, Police Communication Technicians and Supervisors for both titles, and along with clerical associates. The PCTs work in the 911 system. The Police Commissioner had said, "Across the NYPD we will continue to leverage every tool available to us to keep New York City safe." We beg to differ that

2 that's actually taking place. The issue of civilianization, which is the uniformed police 3 officers, traffic enforcement agents and school aides 4 have been sitting in and doing clerical work of 5 police administrative aides for well over two 6 7 decades. We won three arbitrations on this about 10 years ago, a little more. To this date, the City has 8 not civilianized those titles. In contradiction to 9 the arbitrator's awards, and this has cost the City 10 30 million dollars a year, roughly. You do the math 11 12 if you go by 10 years. Those police officers and traffic agents, etcetera should be out policing. 13 They're able-bodied people we're talking about. 14 15 should be out policing, and the City should stop 16 wasting tax payers' dollars by this. So we are 17 asking that the -- there needs to be an audit. 18 have asked for audits, and we believe an audit is going to be done, and that will bring light to this 19 20 issue as well. So, we're requesting that the City Council leadership and membership engage the NYPD and 21 2.2 city administration to complete the requirements of 23 the three arbitration case decisions and finish civilianization for the police administrative aide 24 25 positions so as to stop wasting City tax dollars and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

enhance public safety. We also request any financial assistance be given to the NYPD if necessary to complete these legal requirements. So, the issue about finances goes directly to also the staffing at We're requesting 500 additional hirees [sic] in 911. There are now two PSAC [sic] centers that both 911. of them now have empty cubicles not being used that could be used to enhance safety of the public. Overtime has ticked up in the last three years three million dollars, one million dollar a year from six million to about nine million, over nine million last year, and that indicates people are being forced to work overtime because there's understaffing. Texting has not begun yet. Imaging, if it happens, has not begun yet. We have requested a separate-- New York being as kind of a special place in terms of population and diversity, we have requested a separate unit for texting and imaging. We don't know if that's going to happen, but regardless, the present work load will be increased, because texting takes somewhat longer to deal with. Also, the City is not getting the fair share of the surcharge tax dollars from Albany. They-- if you look at the budget, it does say in the budget that there's 911

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

tax dollars coming in, surcharge, but the City collects that. We don't even know if the City is properly paying the NYPD that, and we don't know if the NYPD is actually spending that money on 911. don't know that, although it says they're getting that. According to the articles that are in the newspapers which I have given to you, the FCC has found-- this is a quote-- "The FCC-- this is in January-- has found New York to be diverter of 911 fees every year since 2009." They haven't reported on it, and they need to spend that money in the state for 911 and we're asking the support of the City Council on that. Thank you for your letter. And we need to get clarity into how much the City is actually getting, if anything, because apparently about 50 percent of it is not coming to the city, at least, if not all of it.

> CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

SHANE CORREIA: Good afternoon, Chairman Richards and Council Member Landers. My name is--Lancman, pardon. My name is Shane Correia. representative of the Center for Court Innovation. I'm here to request that the Council continue to support the Center for Court Innovation as it seeks

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to renew and strengthen the work we do with over 75,000 New Yorkers in early diversion youth and adult alternatives to incarceration. Researchers have documented that our operating programs throughout the five boroughs have decreased violence, aided victims and reduced the use of jail. In fact, I also personally believe in these programs as a participant from over 16 years ago where I was high-risk. continue to accomplish the work that we're doing, we seek continuation funding of our core citywide ask: our youth-focused supervised release programming and our pre-court Project Reset diversion programming. With Project Reset it's been shown specifically and evaluated to resolve cases significantly more quickly than the traditional court process, and participants are also evaluated to have had a lower likelihood and frequency for new arrests. Building off of this program's success, we'd like to continue to expand this throughout the City with the mid-year Fiscal Year 19 support that Council provided to bring it to Brooklyn fully. We also ask for Council to support innovative public safety models in neighborhoods where New Yorkers have access issues to quality programming. Specifically to that end, we'd like to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

request the support from Council for the creation of the Far Rockaway Justice Center. As you know, it can take members within that community up to two hours to get to court-based programming, as well as for compliance issues. With Council support we would be able to start a center that would bring quality programming to that neighborhood, as well as provide an additional outpost in Queens where Project Reset could be launched when appropriate. Next, we would like to seek the City Council support in bringing the driver accountability program citywide. This would also complement a bill to hold reckless drivers accountable throughout the City. Since 2015, the program that we have been operating in Brooklyn has been evaluated to show a 40 percent reduction in recidivism for the charges that are served, and we hope to bring this to all New Yorkers within the coming years. Finally, we also ask Council to support expanding two mental health initiatives that have been identified by our court-based operating programs as in specific need for giving mental health services to diverted populations when they're in community as opposed to Rikers. As you know, mental health is a significant issue that's often

unaddressed within the criminal justice system, and
we've made pinpoint requests to bring better
programming for vulnerable populations and court-
involved youth. In Brooklyn, this would be as simple
as bringing a bilingual therapist who can serve the
needs of youth who currently don't have access to
someone who speaks their language directly, which
would eliminate the need for translators and
providing something as sensitive and nuanced as
mental health treatment. Additionally, also for
those who have psychiatric needs and prescriptions,
we would seek a psychiatrist who can act who can
review what they have for their prescriptions and
continue to give them oversight while they're
completing mental health mandated programming. We've
also this year been able to receive support from two
District Attorneys' offices in Bronx and Brooklyn,
with whom we've included letters of support from them
and application summaries for a mental health
expansion asks are also included. Thank you for your
time to speak today.

AUDACIA RAY: Good afternoon, Chair

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

25 Richards and Council Member Lancman. My name is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Audacia Ray. I'm the Director of Community Organizing and Public Advocacy at the New York City Anti-Violence Project, and for almost 40 years AVP has served New York's LGBTQ and HIV-affected survivors of violence. We do direct services and advocacy. run a 24/7 bilingual English/Spanish hotline, and the hotline and organization generally are the only certified rape crisis counseling center for LGBTQ people in the entire state of New York. So we receive hotline calls from survivors every three hours, and then we connect them with free counseling, economic empowerment and legal services so survivors can make safety plans, get order of protection, and make decisions about how they want to utilize law enforcement in their personal situations. We work towards public safety by providing services for individuals who have survived violence by centering their needs, and we collaborate with community members across the City to build safety and community among many different identities. When we think about public safety, we think about how we build safety together, and for AVP and the LGBTQ people we serve, public safety is about having access to affordable housing, livable wages, and workplaces that don't

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

discriminate and being able to walk down the street without fear of harassment. So, in 2017 we know that 325 New Yorkers reported hate crimes to NYPD and these were across many different identities. About half of those were Jewish New Yorkers. And for AVP in that same time period we received 282 phone calls to our hotline of folks reporting hate violence incidents. So, you know, there's a gap between hate violence incidents and hate crimes. Folks-- you know, the hate crime statute has a high bar for what a hate crime is defined as, but people in our communities experience lots of different kinds of bias incidents and hate violence. So it's really important for us to be able to support folks and to meet their needs that are not necessarily met by the NYPD, and we also know that there are lots of other organizations throughout the City that do this kind of work in their own specific communities, some of whom we've bene working with on the idea of a hate violence prevention initiative that we're seeking support for this year. Some of those other groups include the Arab-American Association of New York, Jews for Racial and Economic Justice, Audra Lorde [sp?] Project, and the Brooklyn Movement Center. So we are

not a surprise that I--

law is dedicated to 911, and apparently according to

2	newspaper articles, not just the Post but an article
3	in update New York that's included in that, it's not
4	going there. And we're yet, we have an issue in
5	Albany now, can guess the pricing. And the money
6	people are talking about is dedicated to the MTA,
7	it's supposed to be. Oaky, so if you're not doing it
8	in one place, then what's happening what could
9	happen with the other place? What's the guarantee
10	anything? This money should be coming to the 911
11	systems statewide, all of it, not a percentage, not
12	50 percent, all of it. So I just wanted to get that
13	in as well. Sorry
14	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] And
15	we want to make sure it's not going into what we know
16	is a general fund. You know what the general fund
17	is?
18	RALPH PALLADINO: Yes, the general.
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Generally, we
20	spend it any way we wish. So, we look forward to
21	following up.
22	RALPH PALLADINO: Well, that's why

25 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yea.

23

24 shortage.

there's a short. It could be when there's a

Τ	<insert meeting="" of="" title=""></insert>
2	RALPH PALLADINO: But the City might be
3	spending what it's getting. I' mot saying the city
4	isn't if they generate a certain amount, from what
5	the state come down as the lions share, though.
6	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right.
7	RALPH PALLADINO: The big amount.
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much
9	all. Thank you all for your testimony. Thank you.
10	RALPH PALLADINO: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, last
12	panel here. We're going to go to Towaki Komatsu
13	[sp?], Kelly oh, you had a qu oh, sorry. Kelly
14	Gina Price, Close Rosie's, and MJ Williams.
15	KELLY PRICE: Hi, good afternoon, Chair
16	oh, I'm sorry, should I wait for you?
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Sure, you may
18	begin, and once again, he is well-behaved.
19	KELLY PRICE: Frank Sinatra, thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Frank Sinatra is
21	well-behaved.
22	KELLY PRICE: Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty.
24	KELLY PRICE: I have cookies in my

pocket. So, thank you for holding this hearing. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

can't believe how timely it is. I've never been in a hearing where the NYPD is actually running on time. Kudos to you. I had prepared my testimony as I always do, but after hearing what the NYPD and the CCRB had to say about their Special Victims Division, I hope you don't mind, I'm going to rework it and resubmit it probably by eight o'clock tonight if you don't mind, to your staff. I want to go over four quick points. I appreciate the extra minute. One doesn't really have a lot to do with the special victims, and I want to center my testimony around that. But as you know, I heard Commissioner O'Neill make reference to the DAS, the Domain Alert System that the NYPD has partnered with Microsoft to create, I've mentioned this in the past that the NYPD receives a royalty share of that particular system whenever it is sold to other jurisdictions around the world or around the country. So the NYPD has a completely separate revenue flow that is not required to be funneled into the general fund or into any fund that has New York City Council oversight, and it's the exact same sita-- is the NYPD who's returned? It's a shame because we really need them to listen to us, and I always appreciate when you make them leave

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

someone behind. I wish they had this time and the CCRB as well. But the money that is flowing into the NYPD coffers from Palentir [sp?] and from Microsoft is not accountable. There's no sign of it in their budget, and it's potentially hundreds of millions of dollars that you're allowing the NYPD to use to create these systems, these McCarthyistic [sic] systems that label us as worthy of police services or not with absolutely no oversight. Something has to be done about this royalty money. You're giving them far too much slack. As you know, I have a lawsuit against the City because of the way that I have been demarcated as a fabricator of claims because I have an ex-intimate partner who was a confidential informant for the NYPD and he used to be the [off mic] out of me, and the 28th precinct would just laugh at me and tell me that the only thing they could do to help me is move me to Nevada, because at the time he was trafficking me, and they were saying, "Oh, you're just a filthy ho, Ms. Price. The only thing we'll do to help you is move you to Nevada." I've testified about these things in the past, but there's a reason that we're labeled this way as survivors of sexual violence, because there's no

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

oversight into these systems, and the number one thing you can do to help survivors of sexual violence is take away the NYPD's ability to operate inside of a star chamber. Also, the NYPD is using city law Department resources to fight Palentir [sp?]. They're in a massive legal battle right now that you may or may not be aware of. So why are they taking the money from the royalty share with these companies and then spending the city's money that doesn't come out of those royalty streams to fight with those same companies. Someone really needs to dig into this, because these systems are being sold to Saudi Arabia, to Israel, to Burma, to Myanmar, and all this money is flowing not into any place that you're able to have oversight. I've spent all of my time talking about this, but I really want to talk about the Special Victims Division. Why was the new Chief of SVD not here today? Why did Chief Shea get to be the mouthpiece of the SVU? It's astounding to me that they made a really big deal -- they say that they work with advocates in the community. I'm part of the Downstate Coalition, and they don't work with us. Ι heard Chief Shea say that they work with the advocates to do an audit every year, well that's

nothing new. They've been doing that for the last
seven years, but the cases that are chosen to be
audited are chosen by the NYPD. I have a lot of
problems with the CCRB. The number one thing that I
have problem and I wanted to relate a personal
anecdote about making a complaint about sexual
harassment within the last year to the CCRB that was
founded. It went to administrative trial, and then
that particular officer was exonerated, and the only
thing that I got was a letter when the complaint was
originally founded, and then a letter a year later
when the officer was exonerated, and as a survivor,
this particular workflow does not give us justice.
It does not show us the pathway to justice, and
there's so much more work to be done. I actually
don't recommend that the CCRB do anything regarding
sexual assault and rape as far as investigations, and
I've testified that we need a new city agency for
this extensively, and I'd like to push this. I'll
proper [sic] this in my written testimony. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. And I just want to correct you on the units of appropriation. That is something that we discussed

2.2

today, and we certainly are pushing them to certainly be more transparent in their budget. We have a long way to go, and I just wanted to acknowledge that the Council has been pushing, and God willing this year we'll make more progress there. And I want to thank you for your words on SVD. We share the common goal and vision of improving that division, continuing to do that. Ma'am? Press your button. It's going to light up red.

thank you, Council Member Richards and your colleagues, for giving us all time here to speak with you. Like Ms. Price, I'm here to ask for accountability— excuse me, for oversight not for money, which might make you a big relieved. And in particular about having gone through this 50 some—odd page report that was— supported the discussions earlier today, looking at the PMMR performance measures for NYPD that learned today stands for Preliminary Mayor's Management Report which is mandated of an assessment of agencies like the NYPD by the City Charter, and within the six indicia for NYPD there is a glaring omission, and that's on the validity and outcome of NYPD arrests. According to

2 data from the Division of Criminal Justice Services, NYPD's felony arrests have an 80 percent failure 3 rate. That means 80 percent of them end in 4 dismissals, dropped, those felony charges are 6 dropped, or they end in acquittal. I think you all 7 probably know that when you're looking at the 20 percent that end in convictions, the majority of 8 those are by pleas, and a fair number of those pleas 9 are then also coerced. An 80 percent failure rate is 10 I think without question a damming and shameful 11 12 record for any agency when it comes to this agency, NYPD. It's not only embarrassing, but it's dangerous 13 and harmful. With each arrest NYPD can kill, injure, 14 15 and also at a minimum cease liberty to our 16 constituents and other New Yorkers and other people in New York City. also that failure rate, it 17 18 deserves more study, but I believe that you would agree that it's fueled by flimsy probable cause 19 20 requirements at arraignment, false reports, and what is very commonly and anecdotally called testa-lying, 21 2.2 by NYPD, and overall lack of meaningful oversight or 23 discipline within NYPD and then through the absence of this or something like it being an indicia of 24 NYPD's performance, lack of oversight also from City 25

of failure rate.

2.2

Council, and the Executive, the Mayor. So what I'm asking for is that the Council must address NYPD based on the outcome of its arrests and its other interactions with the public. And then specifically with regards to the budget hearing today, understanding that NYPD is seeking an increase in pay above what has been offered through the Preliminary Budget is to not reward this agency, that agency, NYPD, with pay increases given just this one indicia

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

I've testified to you previously. I'm going to conduct myself entirely lawful today. As I stated previously, my testimony today is for Federal Judge Lorna Schoefield [sp?], who was assigned to my federal lawsuit against the City. Yesterday there was a public hearing at 4:30 p.m. in the Blue Rom in City Hall that the mayor conducted. I was illegally kicked out of that public hearing while I was testifying. Here's a video that confirms what I just stated. Ms. Schoefield or Lorna? [playing video] This is the aftermath. [playing video] Let me cut to the chase. So, that was a public hearing about labor

## <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

rights. I testify to Mr. Lancman-- He's paying

absolutely no attention to me right now-- about a

company called Entity Data and wage theft that I

continue to be--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing]
That's not true. I'm multi-tasking.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: No, your line of sight isn't on my testimony. That's irrespective of that. So, bottom line is I'm going to file papers on my federal lawsuit tomorrow essentially saying I walked into this room to testify and Mr. Lancman was paying me absolutely no attention whatsoever. So, therefore I can't rely on the City Council for appropriate redress. Also, there's another video about body camera footage. I was illegally stopped and frisked, assaulted, injured. [playing video] I'm still waiting to get the full video, the full body-camera video, for something more than a year ago. [playing video] No attention and no due process. So, here's the kicker, while I was in NYPD custody, they illegally lost possession of my wallet. They didn't search through my wallet thereafter, so in terms of identity theft-- if the roles were reversed, if you were illegally stopped, if you were illegally arrested,

1	<insert meeting="" of="" title=""> 191</insert>
2	the NYPD lost possession of your wallet, your
3	personal identifying information, you would think
4	that they have a legal responsibility to look after
5	your property, to safeguard it. So if I'm testifying
6	before you, if you're the Chairman of this Public
7	Safety Committee, people like me would think you have
8	some authority to actually take appropriate
9	corrective action such that people like me don't have
10	to keep walking into this room to waste our time, to
11	testify to people like you, when at the end of the
12	day when nothing becomes of that. Thank you for your
13	time.
14	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much
15	for your testimony. Okay. Seeing any others from
16	the public who wish to testify. Seeing none, this
17	hearing is now over. Bye Frank Sinatra.
18	[gavel]
19	
20	
21	
22	PLEASE COMBINE WITH PART 2/2
23	

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 15, 2019