CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

March 20, 2019

Start: 2:00 p.m. Recess: 4:00 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Carlos Menchaca,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel Dromm Mathieu Eugene

Ark Gjonaj

Robert F. Holden I.Daneek Miller Kalman Yeger

APPEARANCES

Bitta Mostofi Mayor's Offices of Immigrant Affairs

Heber Campa La Colmena

Gonzalo Mercado Executive Director of La Colmena and Translator for Heber Campa

Prospero Martinez Workers' Justice Project

Sylvia Flores Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights

Oswaldo Mendoza New York Immigrant Community Empowerment

Esmeralda Flores WCBDI Brightly Cleaning Coop

Christina Fox NYCNoWC

Ralph Palladino $2^{\rm nd}$ Vice President Clerical Administrative Employees, Local 1549

Jesse Laymon NYCETC

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Lena Cohen
United Neighborhood Houses of New York

Ira Yankwitt New York City Coalition for Adult Literacy

Caroline Iosso
Opportunities for a Better Tomorrow

Guillermo Rodriguez
Opportunities for a Better Tomorrow

Venessa Dell Make the Road New York

Zoe Levine
The Bronx Defenders

Ellen Pachnanda Brooklyn Defender Services

Hasan Shafiqullah Legal Aid

Mario Russell Catholic Charities

Howard Shih Asian American Federation

Maya Gurung Adhikaar

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Tsering Lama Adhikaar

Mae Lee The Chinese Progressive Association

Dr. Venessa Salcedo
The Union Community Health Center Coalition
Against Smoking in Immigrant Communities

Naumi Feldman Charles B. Wang Community Health Center and Coalition Against Smoking in Immigrant Communities

Laura Redman
New York Lawyers for Public Interest

Rich Leimsider Safe Passage Project

Jodi Ziesemer NYLAG

Heather Axford Central American Legal Assistance

Gregory Copeland NSC Community Legal Defense

Sarah Gillman
NSC Community Legal Defense

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Carlyn Cowen
Chinese American Planning Council

Kelly Sabatino
Coalition Against Smoking in Immigrant
Communities
Yoojin Kim
Korean Community Services

Danny Salim Arab-American Family Support Center

Tasfia Rahman Coalition for Asian American Children and Families

Chisato Horikawa JASSI - Japanese American Social Services

Jessica Franco We are United

Greg Waltman G1Quantum

Zach Mallick on behalf of Kerry Brodie Emma's Torch

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [Gavel] Thank you for being here today. We have a full house and we are really excited to start this conversation in this Preliminary Budget hearing and the work that we do everyday in service to our immigrants should be spoken to. It should be talked about, but this conversation is a budget conversation and so, we are really trying to understand in this budget from the Administration what are we doing? How are we doing it? How much is it going to cost? And how we are going to make that happen in this next years budget. And so, thank you so much for being here today.

Joining us here from the immigration committee is my Brooklyn colleague Council Member Kalman Yeger, and today we will focus on the Administration's funding for services and programs that serve immigrant New Yorkers. We will access the adequacy, the effectiveness and the transparency in serving our immigrant communities.

The work that we are going to be seeing done by you all as advocates, we will hear from the pubic testimony component. We are not going to be hearing any public testimony today, in this portion, but at 4:00 we will hear from the public.

We will hear from so many of you including Day
Laborers who are going to kick us off. So, I am
really excited that you are hear today in full force.
Thank you for being here.

So, every year I stress that immigrants make up a fabric of our city. The recently published annual report from the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs really lays out a lot of that work that is connected to the immigration populations and so, we are really excited that they have published that report and we are reviewing it. It just got released this week. Yet the Trump Administrations Anti-Immigrant Agenda continues, even with that good work. Continues to wreak havoc on our immigrants and their families, including immigrants in our very own city, in our boroughs and our neighborhoods.

In October of 2018, the Trump Administration proposed its rule to expand public charge threatening to directly harm nearly half a million immigrant New Yorkers and inspiring fear and confusion in countless others. There are over 150,000 dreamers in New York City, yet Washington still has not made a decision regarding the deferred action for childhood arrivals, DACA. Immigrants with temporary protected status

TPS, of which there are about 15,000 in New York City continue to face uncertainty every single day of their life.

Additionally, for immigrants who are detained, the video conferencing of their hearings effectively diminishes their access to legal counsel, that's due process. That is something that is guaranteed in the Constitution and their ability to have a full and fair hearing. These are just a few of the threats that are part of a larger set of policies that are designed to terrorize our immigrant communities.

And I will say this, the Trump Administration has not been effective at moving legislation. They have not been a productive Administration, but where they have been productive is in the terrorizing of our immigrant communities through their ability to control ICE and those operations impacting our immigrant communities. This systematic assault on our immigrant communities is why it is all the more important that the Administration, our New York City Administration and the New York City Council continue to lead the way in making sure that our most vulnerable residents and their needs are met, and that they are met holistically. And as a testimony

that you will hear in the public panels tell you that
these immigrant communities and the needs and the
workforce development that they need in the fair and
safe working conditions and the housing and the adult
literacy and the health care and the education, all
of that in addition to legal service, are all part of

7 of that in addition to legal service, are all part of

the things that we can do as a city to address those

9 needs.

2.2

So, I am proud to that the New York Immigrant
Family Unity Project NYIFUP, a council funded
initiative, providing universal legal representation
for immigrants facing deportation is an example of
this commitment. So, this project now serves as a
model for other cities across the nation who have
also committed funds to protect due process. The
work that they are doing right now is impacting other
cities so that can built their NYIFUP proposals.

As we move forward, we must continue to use the budget to serve our immigrant New Yorkers as they face ongoing challenges.

In 2018, the Council held hearings on how the city supports immigrant parents of children ages zero to five. The impacts of the Administrations family separation policy on New York City abolishing ICE,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Trump.

LGBTQ immigrant youth in New York City, language access implementation plans. The impact of the proposed public charge rule on New York City, and the other public hearing we held was on the need for legal representation and immigration courts under

We covered many topics, but we do not see these issues addressed in the Fiscal 2020 Preliminary However, the Preliminary Budget does include \$25 million for the NYC Care Program, which proposes to increase access to the city's public health insurance option, MetroPlus and ensuring that anyone ineligible for insurance has direct access to services at H and H locations. While this is a step in the right direction, we still do not see baseline funding for adult literacy and the immigrant health initiative. Items that the Council has been fighting to add into the Adopted Budget every single year. also need additional funding to support Census 2020 work including dedicated funding for the communitybased organizations who will be on the ground driving this grassroots effort and they are the ones that are going to know the hard to reach New Yorkers, our CBO's. They know our communities best and they are

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the ones that are holding the trust in our City of New York.

Additionally, the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs recently released its second annual report, that I just mentioned, and while this report indicates that there are increased needs facing our immigrant communities, there are no new asks that the There are no new asks in this Mayor has made. Budget. And so, how is this possible? Robust funding is essential for tacking inequality and building stability for our immigrant families. are to ensure that New York City is a true sanctuary city, and I know that that struggle is on a daily basis something that we do. We struggle towards a sanctuary city and this commitment must be reflected in the budget. We can not expect our communities to come out without getting funding and I look forward to hearing from the Administration represented by the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs on the plan on how we get there through the questions that we're going to be asking.

And so, before I move forward, I just want to also let you know that our Bronx colleague Mark Gjonaj. Council Member Mar Gjonaj is here today as

2.1

2.2

well and I want to say thank you to our financial analyst Jin Lee, she is part of the Finance Unit and she will be leaving us, this is her last day, so we are really thankful. She has done a lot with her team to prepare for today and so, I want to say thank you for your service. A lot of what you are going to see today has been prepared by her and we couldn't be the strong committee that we are, especially in this budget hearing without her, thank you Jin.

Unit Head Crilhien Francisco, our Committee

Counsel Harbonnie Owsha[SP?], Policy Analyst

Elizabeth Krunk[SP?], Community Liaison Stella Chin,

and my Chief of Staff Sociata Ming and my

Communications Director Tony Toretto.

We are fueled by an incredible team here at the City Council for this Committee. So, with that, I want to ask the Commissioner for the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, Ms. Bitta Mostofi to come up and her team, whoever will be with her team.

I had a quick conversation before the hearing started and I understand you have written testimony and so, I just want to let you know we have the testimony, super thankful for that. We are going to be working diligently to comb through it. But

2.1

2.2

presenting to the Council and we have received the annual report, I'm going to ask that we go right into questions with an opportunity for you to have a quick statement to introduce yourself and to the world that's listening and then we have some questions.

But I also understand you have to leave a little early, so I want to make sure that we get you out on time. Thank you for your cooperation and we look forward to talking.

or Persian New Year, today marks the day. So, happy to join with all of you in celebrating and thank you to Chair Menchaca and Members of the Committee on Immigration. As the Chair noted, I have submitted written testimony, we've also published this really incredible annual report that speaks to immigrant New York and the work of the office over the past year. We have extra copies for folks who are interested that we can share, and it is also available on line.

I think you rightly now that this has been a difficult time for our communities with countless and endless attacks from everything from public charge proposal to the end and termination of TPS and DACA.

2.2

Both the city as well as providers throughout our
city have taken leadership roles and really stepping
up and being responsive to this context. Before we
go into questions, the additional note I would say,
is we have done this in parallel to ensuring that we
have simultaneously been moving towards the full
realization of a vision of a city where all
immigrants can thrive regardless of their status or
English proficiency. So, we're happy to talk
specifically about some of that work in the Q&A but
we'll turn it over to you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much Commissioner and we want to swear you in as we enter the Q&A process. Thank you.

CLERK: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

BITTA MOSTOFI: I do.

CLERK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. So, I'm going to start with some opening questions and I guess the real conundrum here for us is the report really highlighted a lot of the work that has happened in

2.2

the city and what I saw most telling across the charts were the connection to status and the need that connects to status for our undocumented neighbors and the need was highest always with our undocumented brothers and sisters that live in the city. And so, where there any new needs that you saw as Commissioner that you presented to the Office of Management and Budget OMB, but did not receive as an affirmation if you will, in the Preliminary Budget that led us to no new needs as the final Mayor's presentation to us a month or so ago?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure, so for point of clarification, I would say a couple of things that may have gone missed. So, we did throughout the last year as the Council knows and you held a hearing on this, do incredible amounts of work in the implementation of Local Law 30 and increasing and broadening the language access work of the city. That's so critical particularly for undocumented populations who have greater rates of limited English proficiency and in accessing sort of the ability to increase services around interpretation and translation, working with our agency partners additional support was allocated to provide increased

2.

Z 4

services and coordination in Local Law 30

Implementation. Mainly in the shape of additional staff to support it.

Additionally, we are happy to say we've in partnership with the Office of Civil Justice have been supporting our domestic violence work in providing immigration legal services to folks who come in through the Family Justice Center at about \$500,000. That money got baselined this year, so there is an additional \$500,000 that we will be moving towards allocating towards immigration legal support.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And these are all shifts within the budget right, so you're moving money from one place to another, this is new money.

BITTA MOSTOFI: New money.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, I get where it landed for the work for Local Law 30, where did that money come from? So, what I heard you say was it's part of the kind of work to comply with Local Law 30, especially for limited English proficiency New Yorkers that you announced new money for?

BITTA MOSTOFI: But there was additional staff to help coordinate service of increased translation and

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

to implement those where our contracts are.

-	

2

3

4

_

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

⊥ 4

15

1617

18

19

2021

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And what date was that? When did that happen?

BITTA MOSTOFI: When the budget was introduced.

interpretation working with agency partners at DCAS

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. Thank you for clarifying. I heard that this has already kind of been implemented. You're saying as part of the Preliminary Budget; this already included this work that you just —

BITTA MOSTOFI: This is a new allocation.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: This is a new allocation,

a request?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it, thank you.

BITTA MOSTOFI: And obviously highlighting need for increased immigration legal services. One of the ways that that was addressed was looking at baselining \$500,000 that we previously allocated as I said, through our family justice centers for support for immigrants who receive legal services.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that's a baseline?

BITTA MOSTOFI: And that's new baselined money.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, got it. Thank you, those are two notes to have and the Mayor made it very clear from the first minute of his presentation on the budget that there was going to be PEG's. Can you describe a little bit how you understand PEG's to be from your perspective and you being within the Mayor's Office, your budget as we've learned over the years is connected to other agencies and so, how are you thinking about those cuts as Commissioner within the Mayor's Office and your relationship to the other agencies. How does that work? How do you negotiate that?

BITTA MOSTOFI: I think as you know, agencies were given are allocated portions of the PEG's and agencies are in the process of working with OMB to identify where they will happen. So, we will stay in close contact with Agencies and OMB as that process is undertaken, but nothing was specifically allocated to us.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, nothing has been allocated from MOIA the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, okay, and then we're talking about an additional savings of about \$750 million that he is asking agencies and across the board to cut. This is

2.2

pretty big and so, more than the intention that I just heard, what work have you done so far to understand those cuts? How are you protecting the work that we've been highlighting together in the work that we've done? So, give us a little bit more sense on that because we don't know yet across the board. This is the kind of notes that are coming back from all of the budget hearings, that anybody has a sense about any cuts whatsoever and we're already at the end of our Preliminary Budget process, before the Executive. That's pretty scary.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, I mean, I think I'll have to reiterate what I noted, which is that we're in close conversations with agencies as they're going through this decision making.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And what are those conversation. Tell us a little bit about what those conversations are.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Obviously, we want to ensure that the level of service that we're providing, we're able to continue to provide. That we're also able to continue to look at the needs and be flexible in addressing those needs as we see them. That's been the message we've communicated with OMB as well

3

4

5

6

7

8

- across programs that we help oversee as well as key initiatives. So, we will continue to do that work, but there hasn't been I should say, final decision making on this front, so it's difficult to give you more without hypothesizing.
- CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What agencies are you in communication with right now?
- 9 BITTA MOSTOFI: Primarily with DSS.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: DSS?
- 11 BITTA MOSTOFI: Okay.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, so that's really
 13 probably the most funding is held under DSS.
- 14 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Which I understand is HRA
 16 but it's DSS.
- 17 BITTA MOSTOFI: It's both.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Oh okay, HRA was under the
- 19 | umbrella of DSS?
- 20 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, remember the chart.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, I'm trying to
- 22 visualize it now. I'm trying to share my learning
- 23 here.
- Okay, I'm going to move on there because it
- 25 sounds like this is just the typical stuff that's

2.2

communities.

happening right now. Everyone is still in
negotiations and we don't know that yet either what
the cuts are going to be, and I really do hope that
you fight for every dollar that goes out to immigrant

Okay, so, what are your goals then and priorities for the immigrant communities and for Fiscal Year 2020? And anything that you see as really at the top of the priority list?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure, I mean, I think as we previously noted for us it's really important to continue to monitor what we're seeing in terms of the federal shifts and how they are impacting our communities. One of the obvious key areas and you see this in a discrepancy in the report on service delivery is in the area of health and increased concerns. So, a big focus of ours in the coming year we're very happy to say, is working closely with H&H in the implementation of NYC Care. We've already begun that partnership. MOIA is helping to advise on the programs, as well as to lead on the outreach pieces of it. And so, that will continue to be a huge area of focus for us in making sure that we're chipping away at those disparities on health access

2.2

for immigrant New Yorkers. Similarly, we will
continue to monitor as I noted, what's happening in
the landscape around legal representation. We were
very happy to now have this additional half a million
allocation. We're continuing to look at what other
needs are. How that can help flood and address some
of the gaps but we're in ongoing conversations with
providers and community service organizations to make
sure that we're not missing anything.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, these are kind of topical areas of focus for you as you move forward and —

BITTA MOSTOFI: Sorry, I would add one more thing before we go on.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, please.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Which is the huge piece of what we've been doing and building on and partnership with you all and others is just increasing the level of education and information for communities. This is a confusing period. It results in people being caught up in increased fraudulent activity and one of the things also that you see in our report, is the disparity in economic income and stability for New Yorkers. And so, we want to make sure that we're

2.2

helping through pervasive engagement, education, campaigns, getting information out, we're preventing people from losing important money through some of the fraudulent activity that is undertaken and the predatory and vulnerable kind of populations falling victim to that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for outlining that. I think that we're going to want to stay close on those items as we move forward. Thank you.

We have sort time with the Commissioner, so I want to give opportunities for the Council Members. We're going to put up a clock, if I can get the Sergeant of Arms to do a clock for the questions. Let's do as many rounds as we need to but let's keep our questions focused.

We are also joined by Council Member, Finance
Chair Dromm and Council Member from Queens, Holden.
Okay, first question up is Council Member Gjonaj.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you Chair. It's not enough time to ask all the questions that need to be asked but in particular, I need to hear from you about the PEG cuts. You have not been approached as to what dollar amount should be cut from your budget, I'd like to hear more. Have you had conversations?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 If you have, with whom and when? It's a little 3 disturbing to me that a budget for this particular cause which is less than one percent of the overall 4 budget is being cut. I believe it's one percent of 5 the overall budget that we're referring to here and 6 7 at a time when we're increasing our budget by over \$3 billion why there is any cuts going to immigrants is 8 beyond comprehension. 9

More disturbing is the \$4.3 million that's being allocated for Census 2020. That amounts to about \$0.50 per New York City resident. Aside from being able to send out one piece of mail, how are we going to reach our most vulnerable communities, non-English speaking, what's the outreach plan knowing that we have so much to lose that if we don't account for every single resident. And in this report, it doesn't mention or there is not reference to the federal government announcing the question of whether or not you are a citizen or not. I don't see a response as to what this Administration is going to do about the Census question as we move forward. This is truly a difficult and challenging time for all New Yorkers, but it's never been more challenging then for our immigrant population.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, thank you.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Save my time for later.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Stop the clock.

you, Council Member for the questions. So again, on the PEG, we have not received a particular cut; however, many of the programs that we help oversee and the initiatives of the city that serve immigrant New Yorkers lives at other agencies that have received overarching cuts. So, we are in close conversation with those agencies to help ensure that we don't see impacts on services that were providing immigrant New Yorkers. That we are ensuring that we are able to continue to do the work that we're doing and that we're not taking unnecessary risks in ensuring that the delivery of those services are accomplished. So, that's ongoing conversations that

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: By the way, the only place I see an increase here is in MOIA, which is disturbing because that just means same headcount,

agencies are having about those cuts with OMB.

have reiterated my own concerns across both agencies

and with OMB on this and will remain in contact and

I'm sure update the Council as decisions are made

about where cuts will take place.

2.2

but people are getting raises and were cut in vital programs.

BITTA MOSTOFI: I'm not sure what that's in reference to but I'm happy to connect and make sure I understand what you are seeing and be responsive to that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: There's a \$49,000 increase in MOIA's budget line item, which is only one of several that have been cut and the detail reveals the same headcount but yet there is an increase in PS?

BITTA MOSTOFI: So, I don't know what that's referring to. It might be the increase language access coordination work but without seeing it, I can't specifically be responsive to it but as I noted to the Council Member, we did discuss the need for increased language services to make sure that we're effectively implementing Local Law 30, and that was granted.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that's maybe where — BITTA MOSTOFI: That might be it, but I can't speak specifically.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, right, okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council Member GJonaJ: And the point I'm making is that we're cutting across the board but yet, the only place I see an increase is in salaries due to the Mayor's headcount and where it gets to a point and we've grown our headcount to a high of 330,000 people employed by New York City. While we cut services and to whom the most vulnerable, immigrants.

I would just say that really the BITTA MOSTOFI: goal is to increase services and access with the support. So, the way that interpretation and translation services work is that you are able to effectively leverage move that work by having somebody that's receiving the requests and able to process and move them. So, we do see this as an increase in access and service for New Yorkers by increasing interpretation and translation services across programs and outreach. On your question around Census, I would note a couple of things. One, the city has been directly involved with the litigation to ensure that the inclusion of a citizenship question does not happen. committed to continuing to do that fight. There is oral argument at the Supreme Court in April, and we look forward to what we all hope is a just

affirmation of what the lower court noted, which is
that the inclusion of that question should not
continue. And as to sort of increase need for
outreach and engagement, I think it's very important
as we're doing to continue to speak to community
members and others to ensure that we are responsive
to the needs. As the Council Member knows, I'm happy
to have joined the States Complete Count Commission.
Part of my role on that Commission is to inform the
state budget process and make recommendations as to
budget needs to ensure that there is a complete
count. There should be a heavy responsibility on the
state in ensuring that there is money allocated
towards community-based organizations and others to
be able to do this outreach. So, we look forward to
advocating in that process as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: The proposed budget shows only \$4.3 million allocated to the Census, which breaks down to \$0.50 per resident. I couldn't run a campaign on that dollar amount let alone how do I reach the 8.6 million residents to make sure that they register, that they understand the importance of the Census. Let alone, the difficulties of reaching out to the immigrant population, those that are

2.2

undocumented and explaining to them that they should not be fearful of the Census and this is actually going to help them more than hurt them. This dollar amount couldn't get out more than a mailer let alone allow for any real follow through or follow up.

That's the point that I'm making.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Gjonaj and I want to be cognizant of time, because I know we don't have you here for a long time Commissioner but that is a grave situation were in right now and I stand by those words that were just said. Next questions are coming from Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Commissioner for being here. I have a couple of questions. I know we're on the clock, so I will have to do it quickly.

I recently met with ISSA director and they were throwing out numbers than you are doing. They said that roughly 90 percent of ICE deportations were for undocumented aliens who were either charged with a crime or had prior convictions. Now, out of nearly \$50 million going into legal services, how much of

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 this money is appropriated for saving criminals from 3 deportation?

BITTA MOSTOFI: So, I'll say a few things here. The first is to say that as our recently released fact sheet indicates and we're happy to share those with others, our team to do an analysis of what ICE arrests and removals have been and look like. I think it's important to note here that ICE has dramatically increased arrests across the city and the Metropolitan area that it covers, over 80 percent since the end of the Obama Administration. I want to note that of that increase, there's been an over 400 percent increase in individuals who actually don't have a criminal conviction. So, I think its really important to ensure that the message that ICE is sharing is actually further explained with their own data and we underscore the fact that there are so many families across the city who have not yet fully gone through their process who are very vulnerable at this moment and time and whom with an over rod enforcement operation ICE Is conducting our risk of deportation.

We have as a city, proudly struck a balance that we believe allows us to ensure that we're advancing

2.2

public safety while maintaining the trust and
confidence of our community members and we live in a
moment and time where we have the most immigrants
we've ever had in a century in our city and we're the
safest big city in America. So, we're clearly doing
something right on that path. I would say in terms
of the allocation of resources, the city does not ask
for a break down of somebodies history. The Mayor
has noted that where somebody has been convicted of
one of the 170 offences on our detainer list, city
allocated full representation funds would not go to
that representation.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, is if somebody commits multiple felonies or they commit let's say a felony, you'll actually represent them in the courts?

BITTA MOSTOFI: So, I'll repeat that the

Administration and the City Council have determined

that there are a number of violent and serious

felonies in which we believe somebody might be a

public safety risk. It is in those instances that

the Mayor's policy indicates that city allocated full

representation for cases should not be afforded.

However, we don't otherwise ask providers to indicate

to us the histories of their clients.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Alright, because there

2	
3	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

have been some cases where for instance, Charles Cooper, he is a Liberian war criminal. He was recently and again, they were convicted, at least charged for war crimes and Cooper was part of a regime that killed women and children in vicious ways. He was arrested in 2017 and the NYPD and sanctuary city's policy ended with ICE detainer being disregarded. Luckily, ICE did get him, and he is in for a deportation, but again, I'm getting ISSAS part I don't know have you met with ICE to really go over this because they disputed everything that you're putting out. So, I think there is another side that maybe we can get ICE here. They haven't been invited but I think we might want to hear another side because we're protecting the public obviously from - there is criminal involved here and I'm not really sure that we shouldn't be cooperating to an extend when the criminal are involved. And in fact, I believe that we should cooperate, especially for individuals like Charles Cooper who get out.

the individual that was arrested multiple times for

the murder on the number seven line and shooting the

victim five times as part of a gang member. So, gangs that we're getting into.

By the way, Nassau County police and Suffolk police are cooperating with ICE and they see the dramatic decrease in gang related activities and murders. While New York City is starting to see an increase. So, I'm just concerned that the felons, the real Korea criminals, that's being addressed by this Administration.

BITTA MOSTOFI: I would simple note a few things. One is to say that public safety is clearly a primary concern and interest of the Administration and everything that we've done has been to ensure that we are effectively doing what advances that interest. That includes ensuring that our immigrant populations feel confident and trusted reporting crimes to us that they feel confident serving as witnesses to crimes that they feel that they are able to advocate on behalf of themselves and seek out their rights. You have a very complicated immigration system and I think the city has struck the right balance as evidenced by our decline in criminal activity in the city and as I noted, the robust and incredible immigration community that we have.

2.

2.2

I am happy to talk further with you. We do maintain a line of communication with ICE. That is a certainly appropriate and necessary thing to ensure that there is an open line of communication. I can't speak to the specifics of the cases that you have noted; however, I would point back to the fact that we feel confident in our policies because they are actually working in what we're seeing transpire in our city.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you Commissioner and thank you Council Member Holden for your questions.

We will continue with questions. Next on the line is Council Member Dromm and we are also joined by Council Member Mathieu Eugene.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you. Just a little bit in regard to what Council Member Holden was asking you as well. I believe that under the Obama Administration, there was some discretionary enforcement of it meant to have committed a crime and whether or not it was a real threat or danger to the community. Do you know if there has been any uptick in just overall. I mean are these 90 percent that they're saying have a criminal record, are those

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

criminal records for misdemeanors for jumping the turn style or are they serious felonies?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, thank you for the question. So, that's accurate to say that there was previously a memorandum that laid out discretion that the Administration was abiding by and that discretion was really to hone in on where public safety risks exist and to ensure that what you weren't seeing were individuals who have low level offenses or otherwise may have just simply been undocumented who have family ties and other interests in the United States. You weren't seeing a short of breakage of that family for long term individuals that really don't pose a serious public safety risk. I think as I noted, the overbroad and sort of kind complete, they've completely put that prosecutorial discretion aside. They now have a very overbroad agenda. They do not look at people who have had convictions actually. I noted an over 400 percent increase in people who have not actually been yet convicted of a crime at all or an offense at all. And in terms of the range of convictions in which they are seeking to potentially deport people, as you noted, it's not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

2122

23

24

25

just people who have committed violent or serious offenses who pose real public safety risks.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: I just wonder if they're batching them all in there together. You know, I also did have the opportunity when I was Chair of the Immigration Committee to meet with ICE particularly around the issue of getting ICE trailers off of Rikers Island and I remember they basically laughed at us and they would not come to a public hearing but they did meet with the Speaker at the time to discuss that issue and they told us that we could not remove ICE trailers and the issue of jurisdiction I quess, was one. Obviously, they were wrong, and we were right, and the ICE trailers have been removed from Rikers. So, I find that often times even when we have discussions with ICE that they're not 100 truthful in their answers. That's just in my observation with them.

BITTA MOSTOFI: I would affirm that by noting of course that recent federal court in other cases have a firm that municipalities actually don't have the authority to hold people pass their release dates and in fact, where a certain cooperation resulted in that happening. That now including on Long Island,

2.2

2	district areas are prohibited from holding people
3	past their release dates and times under the
1	Constitution. So, I think you're accurate to say
5	that and in fact the courts have affirmed that. New
5	York State court decision, I'm being told to affirm.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well, I was going to ask

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well, I was going to ask some things about the Action New York City Plan. Do you currently partner with CBO's and health and hospitals in locations in schools and places like that? Do you have plans to expand this to other sites around other than those locations?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, hopefully. We are in the process of looking at potential expansion to libraries. We currently operate our New York Citizenship program and libraries. We have a lot of learnings and continued learnings to undertake to understand the need of immigrant New Yorkers as they are coming through the libraries, but this is something that we are looking at and interested in doing.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Are you working with TPS recipients also in light of some of the recent decisions that have happened around that issue?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, so part of what we've undertaken through our outreach and community engagement work is just ensuring that TPS recipients know how to access legal support through Action NYC. Council Member Eugene has been a great partner in the administration of clinics. Specifically, in your area, thank you for your work on this issue. We have adjusted if you will and kind of finer tuned our hotline. So that as people call, the hotline is able to see sort of the urgency of a case and make sure if you need a doc or renewal or your TPS might be ending that you are prioritized and can see somebody immediately.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Also, in light of a recent court decision regarding special immigrant juvenile status. The first piece of legislation that I passed in the Council was in regard to that and the relationship that ACS has with juveniles in their custody. Have you had an opportunity to discuss with them the implications or the ramifications for the court decision, although it was in our favor. Where they holding off? What were they doing or what is your view on what's going there now?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, as you know, this is a very recent decision just this week but one that needs great celebration and gratitude towards the legal service providers that brought it. Unjustly, of course the Trump Administration was trying to limit special immigrant juvenile status for older children who are here. I would say that we as you know, in this past year we allocated over \$4 million towards the representation of unaccompanied children. increasing the ability to represent these very kids and we are in conversations to ensure that as I believe the court has indicated it is asked to sort of look at cases that had been denied and to revisit or re-adjudicate those. So, we'll maintain conversations with providers to make sure that they have what they need to be able to take these cases. COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: My last question, just on Action New York City, I know had said in the last report that you were going to do more outreach to

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, thank you for the question. So, we've just in this last year increased provider services across a number of Asian serving CBO's and as a result of that, we've actually seen an increase

Asian speaking immigrants, how is that going?

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

BITTA MOSTOFI: That's correct.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. And thank you for that.

of about 67 percent in the number of cases of individuals across these communities.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you Chair Dromm and our next in line is Council Member Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon Commissioner. My colleague to Mainland, I told him I was going to ask this, but he had to run to another event, another meeting. Asked about the \$49,000 increase to the MOIA budget and his questions were around whether or not we think we're doing enough and obviously we can always do more, but I just wanted to be clear and to have you discuss this on the record. The MOIA budget is not the full breath of the services that are provided to the immigrant populations of New York, is that correct?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, because an enormous amount of funding flows to other agencies and it's from those agencies that the services are provided, whereas your office functions as the coordinating body if you will, of those services. Is that a clear statement?

2.2

correct?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, the \$49,000 that is being increased while not that great and obviously every penny is important, is not necessarily a deprivation if you will, of the services and the commitment that this Administration has to the immigrant populations in New York City, is that

BITTA MOSTOFI: That is correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, can we talk for a moment about the translating services that your office has fought very hard in a valiant battle to bring to bring to the poles and the voters of New York City and recognizing of course that you had elections thrown upon you that were not anticipated and that I would love to have not had but not withstanding we had them. Can you discuss that a little bit?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Of course.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: You coordinated this effort to bring translators above and beyond what was being provided by the Board of Elections, is that correct?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, in partnership with other agencies.

2.

_

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: In partnership, yes, but you spent money on that because a. it was not being provide by the Board of Elections and b. obviously the city and the Administration has a commitment to try to increase the number of people who have fair access to our poles.

BITTA MOSTOFI: That's right.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, how much do you know, if you know, how much additional was spent on the translating services above and beyond what had been anticipated?

BITTA MOSTOFI: So, I'm not sure I fully grasp the question, but I can say that we allocated about \$640,000 this Fiscal Year towards this initiative. We have not over spent on that yet, so we are within that budget and you are correct to note that there are unanticipated elections and we're currently in the process of accessing how best to ensure that we're continuing to do this work and what might be needed to do so.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It's like whack-a-mole, every time I try to stop a special election another one pops up, but not withstanding. Your office's commitment is to continue that program and to grow it

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

because obviously we did a couple of sites, its not as many as we would love to do but you know our limitations are what they are but you intend to continue growing that to try to bring translating services to wherever they are needed across the city, is that correct?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, and to be clear, this is what the voters have asked for as well. As many of you might know in the general election in the charter revision questions, the second question actually specifically noted that the new Civic Engagement Commission would actually incorporate this work as a part of its mandatory tasks and initiatives and the voters overwhelming supported that decision. that would take effect in 2020 and of course when that happens, you'll see the continued commitment that the Administration has in ensuring that we're acting on the voters wishes and continuing to do that work and until then, my office and other city agencies will continue to increase language services at elections.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And Mr. Chairman nodded to me that I get one more quick question. And you read my mind because I wanted to ask you about how your

2.

office falls into the new Civic Engagement Commission and I know that hasn't actually been created yet, although the voters created but it is a time period by when it's supposed to be created. I know the Mayor is out there looking for people to appoint to the Commissioner, I know that's part of it. Do you anticipate offloading some of your work into this Civic Engagement Commission or to folding MOIA into it or how would that work?

BITTA MOSTOFI: So, what we had proposed and again the voters affirmed would be that as we do across the Administration and as I think you rightly articulated serving as a coordinated kind of expert on services to immigrant communities, we anticipate continuing to do on this initiative, the Commission is required to consult with our office on looking at what languages and communities we should be serving and how best to do that. So, we will continue to maintain that role and ensure that we're smartly, effectively looking at the immigrant communities. How they are changing, what the needs are and that we're using that data and information to make decisions about where we're providing these services.

2.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you very much for your work. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you Council Member
Yeger and we have been joined by Council Member
Miller as well and Council Member Eugene has a
question.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. Just a very quick question, only one. I've been looking through the draft, this one, and I see that they say the TPS recipient in New York City and I see 15,000, is that correct? Because only for the Asian people we have about 60,000 Asians on TPS?

BITTA MOSTOFI: So, I'm not sure what you're looking at, but my office did produce a fact sheet on TPS recipients in the city. We tried to isolate — so, you might be looking at also statewide numbers. We tried to isolate the number of individuals within the city specifically and while the state has more TPS recipients, the city, the five boroughs, we estimate to have about 15,000. The largest number of those recipients are Asians.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Alright, thank you very much, I appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ŭ

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Now, it's three o'clock. I'm going to ask a few more questions but I'm going to let you tell us when you have to leave. So, you tell me.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: My next question is really about the adult literacy initiative that we've been fighting and championing for awhile now. I think everyone probably knows about the adult literacy love that we have here and the partnership that hold with you and everyone else. Of the \$12 million that we allocated as a team last year, do you need additional funding in 2020 from the adult literacy funding source? If so, how much and did you submit these new needs for FY 2020 to OMB yet? And I think you know what I'm talking about here. I'll leave it at that.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So, I think that you're aware but we have been lucky to be working with our partners at the Mayor's Office for Workforce

Development who are sharing in the leadership on this issue with us, really hoping I think in response to requests from you and from this committee and from advocates to ensure that the city is sort of systematically looking at this work broadly. Making

2.2

sure that we have somebody that's committed to really
identifying the needs and thinking about how best to
address them. We have been in conversation with them
about the specific needs around literacy and ensuring
that we're addressing some of the gaps there and
we've included in those conversations, the We Speak
Program and making sure that we see that as a
supplement to classes and that we are continuing to
infuse in the fields. The ability to rely on more
curriculum, professional development and other
resources that the program seeks to offer.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And how much are you going to be requesting to pull out of the adult literacy funding to do this?

BITTA MOSTOFI: We have not requested to pull anything out of the funding to do that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it, okay, thank you for sharing that. I think that's kind of a broader context of the work we're doing on adult literacy and workforce development. Super helpful and now I guess this is the moment where I kind of reiterate my yearly commitment to adult literacy classes and making sure that we have classes and then every year a portion of that goes to other things that are not

2.2

classes and part of that is MOIA work. And so, I don't want to be surprised again from this because this is an opportunity for you to talk to us about that and if there's going to be anything that we should expect as we move through those negotiations, so it's not a surprise later. And what I want to say now is that we're going to fight for that \$12 million again or the baseline and higher rates for contracts in teachers and paying our teachers what they deserve. You can comment on that if you'd like to but every year a portion of that goes to other non-classroom instruction for adult literacy work and some of that is to MOIA.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So, yeah, I'd like to comment on that if that's okay. So, I guess a couple of things. One, I think as you are also aware, we last year allocated funding to Q and E to do an evaluation for us around adult literacy broadly and to make sure that we're understanding sort of what the needs are and where they exist and how best to look at addressing them. As you are aware the DYCD holds the contracts and some of the challenges have been not because there wasn't an interest in doing it but because there was a challenge in increasing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

amounts outside of the RFP process and ensuring that we could do so effectively. Our hope is to get this evaluation to best understand it. DYCD will be going, as I understand it into an RFP process this coming year and so, hopefully some of I think the things that you've expressed get addressed because we are at the rate kind of conjured to be able to do so.

In terms of the kind of use or the kind of other ways that we've tried to address the needs in this moment and time in this juncture, I would just kind of put an asterisk on the fact that a lot of what we sought to develop has been looking at how to ensure the field actually has more resources. So, even if they are not going directly to the classroom setting for reasons like this, we've worked closely with Q&E experts who are the leaders really on adult literacy in developing ESLL curricula using the second season of We Speak. So that those materials are available to the field, to put those on line. So, I would just want to ensure that there is awareness. resources are going to the field and we have a shared interest in ensuring that there is also increased classroom opportunities.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that. We're going to hear from the advocates on this too, so I think we're going to get a fresh perspective and I think you are right. Everything is moving towards fixing the system that we continue to rely on and don't give it the resources that we need to ensure that the adult literacy education work that we're doing in our neighborhoods get fully funded and so, let's work together on that.

Let's talk about IDNYC and we've had some hearings in the past few months about IDNYC and how you are preparing for the next version and I think what's important here as we focus on the budget is where do we see in the budget the added resources necessary for the vision that we all kind of all been learning about in terms of IDNYC 2.0? I don't think that's official but I'm going to call it IDNYC 2.0 and again, this is part of this conundrum of no new need and yet we're being visionary about the concept of IDNYC 2.0.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So, I think a couple of things to say there. As you are aware, we are in an exploratory process. We are trying to understand what is possible both in terms of the increase in

technology that would allow us to integrate with city
agencies and services and access that New Yorkers
have with IDNYC as well as the possibility of
connecting that technology with a thinking partner.
We are still in that exploratory process; we are
continuing those conversations. As you know, we are
hearing from advocates from you and others and
engaging with a number of experts to make sure we
have done our due diligence before making a
determination on this. So, while is undertaken, we
don't have a budget request for it until we make the
decision and then of course we were greenlit to do
the exploration, so there is an understanding that
there might be budget costs, but we also don't know
that until we actually see kind of where we land.
CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, does this mean that we

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, does this mean that we lose the opportunity to do anything for IDNYC 2.0 if we don't land it in this budget, we will have to wait until the next Fiscal Year to outlay whatever final decision is made?

BITTA MOSTOFI: I think we'll have to make that determination when we have a recommendation. So, as folks are aware, we haven't rushed the process. We definitely have an interest in making sure that when

2.2

we begin our renewal period, we are making sure that

New Yorkers have access to new ways in using their

card, but we won't rush that decision in a way that

jeopardizes the process in the due diligence. So, I

think again, it's ensuring that we're on the right

timeline but at the same time, making sure we are

being smart about the decisions and when we are

making them.

I don't think that that means we would have to wait a whole other year for the budget process, I would hope not but that because we were given the ability to do the exploration there is an understanding that a recommendation might come sooner.

Want to say here is this is almost like how not to do a budget, right. I think part of what we are seeing in some other pockets when we think about, because I want to move over to legal representation next. I am thinking about adult literacy and how we've done the adult literacy. It has felt uncomfortable at times because we essentially put the money in and then we spend it later within the Fiscal Year and that's been tricky. That's been uncomfortable when making

decisions and some of those are delayed and, in this
case, we have situation where we don't know the
budget. You are doing the due diligence about
understanding and if we don't put the money in, we're
going to have to find it somewhere and so then we
lose the power of a transparent process which is what
this is. So, then it just happens and that makes a
lot of New Yorkers uncomfortable and that's what I
don't want to do. And so, in some ways the best way
to budget is in a transparent way so we can talk
about it and understand it. Once there is a proposal
and you have a good sense; that's the work that we
have to do openly and that doesn't seem like that is
something that I am hearing from you right now. So,
if you can help just clarify that and understand our
intentions here, so that we can work together to land
whatever that might be. Especially if we're moving
into an Executive Budget, we have no idea what is
going to happen there and we run again into the
throws of negotiations after the Executive Budget
hearings; which I'm hoping we have another one of
because I think there is a lot of questions we are
leaving on the table here that we really examine that

2.2

and have an open transparent process about all of
these pieces, especially IDNYC.

BITTA MOSTOFI: So, I would just say as with all the things with the program, we have been very transparent about what we are doing and our intention, we will continue to do that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, and then again, what I'm saying is if we have to find the money after the Fiscal Budget has been presented and adopted and we have to summon the dollars to pay for the vision that we all agree on, we escape the transparent process of the budget hearings. And that's a different process and that makes me feel uncomfortable, that's all I'm saying.

BITTA MOSTOFI: I understand. I think there's a you know, trying to not put a square peg in a round hole situation, right? Cart before horse king of thing. Which is unfortunate but where we're at.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. Let's go onto legal representation. Varick Street and the work that we're doing around Varick Street to understand what's happening there. Varick Street is opening two new court rooms and the press has been really good at really announcing the work that's happening there.

2.1

2.2

Well, what I think both of us would agree on are mal intentions for the community that we're trying to support. Two new court rooms to hear detained cases, but NYIFUP providers caseloads will increase by two-thirds as a result and so the provider currently do not have the staff to keep up with their increases or anticipating a lot more need and so, how are you following that? Are you working with the Civil Justice Office securing emergency funding for this work? How are taking this on? This is a City Council funded imitative but essentially this is still a city project and so, I know that you hold a lot of these cases because they come to you, they come to me and so how are you thinking about this in how to solve this moment?

and I would reiterate a few things about the challenges with the courts. There are myriad, they run across the gamut, not just in the detained docket but in the non-detained docket as well as in folks who are coming in for ICE supervision check-ins as well as people who are going to Administrative interviews. As well as people who are being picked up in raids. So, part of the challenge that we face

2	of course broadly and has been the goal that we've
3	had as a city in looking at our immigration legal
4	services funding is funding a spectrum of need.
5	Trying to look and understand where gaps are, trying
6	to make sure that we are addressing them effectively
7	and hearing from legal service providers and others
8	in the process to make sure that that's informing the
9	decision because they are the experts on the ground
10	in seeing whats happening. We've had regular
11	conversations with the Office of Civil Justice as
12	well as Administrator Bonia[SP?]. Legal service
13	providers across NYIFUP but across community-based
14	organizations, the new sanctuary coalition, legal or
15	lawyers rather who are taking some of the habeas
16	cases and so forth to really try and wrap our minds
17	around where the needs really are. How are they best
18	addressed? How can we create some efficiencies
19	across these needs and then making some of the hard
20	decisions about what we can do to be supportive in
21	this space. As I noted one of the things of course
22	we will be looking at is the \$500,000 allocation and
23	what we are doing with that and we will continue to
24	have these conversations and make sure that we're
25	taking that into consideration.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And the \$500,000, that's 3 the Local Law 30 work, is that right? That's

4 different, okay.

BITTA MOSTOFI: As I noted, this was money that had been allocated to the domestic violence task force for immigration legal support.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's the one, okay.

BITTA MOSTOFI: They have now happily had that money baselined. So, there is an additional \$500,000 to go towards immigration legal services.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. Thank you for that. Looking forward to talking through that with you. I think the ultimate question comes before us as we look at the intentions of NYIFUP and our partnership with IOI and the administration taking on a baselined approach to bringing resources to lawyers to defend our New Yorkers as they are engaging in the courts. Detained NYIFUP, non-detained IOI, and now with this new court situation and the flood of more cases that are going to get heard we are going to need more lawyers, right and I hear that. Our goal for universal representation is real. Every New Yorker that is getting picked up and you said this in many ways to the questions that were being asked,

it's not a situation where only people who have		
violent crimes are getting picked up. Everyone is		
getting picked up and Baba Sella[SP?], who we were		
all watching last week and all of us united around		
him and his family presents that conundrum of both		
the ICE focus and that deportation mission that's		
real. The judges that are now ready to take everyone		
and push them out as quickly as possible and so now		
is the time, are we going to commit to universal		
representation model and what is the role of MOIA in		
pushing this internally with your conversations at		
OMB with DSS, banks, the Mayor, and so, are you ready		
to give us a sense about where you are on that?		
Because that is going to be fierce council		
prerogative.		

BITTA MOSTOFI: I think you know, as we've said before, we believe as an Administration that there has to be some broad range of services that's provided in this space. It is challenging to try and prioritize where resources are going. So, beyond removal defense, we believe that there should be representation for docker recipients. We believe there should be representation for people who are seeking to apply for relative petitions, adjustment

Ū

of status or naturalization. So, as we do
constantly, we are looking at where those gaps are
and how to best address them and recognize the
urgency particularly for folks who are in removal.
So, that will be a focus of ours in terms of looking
at how best to sort of access and meet those needs.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, then here's a more pointed question. Where in your priority list does removal of detained and non-detained fit on your stack? Where does it fit?

BITTA MOSTOFI: For immigration legal services?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: For immigration legal services.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Very high.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: At the top, okay. So, we're going to start there because there are needs that are legal in relation across the entire system, but removal is the name of the game for this person in the White House and deportation machine is real and that's I think, the thing that's separated in our families in our city. So, that I think needs to be said here at this budget hearing and for the world to know that that's something that we're talking about

2.2

2 in a very transparent way and we will be making the 3 case.

Moving on to contracting and the Mayor's Office of Contracts, a lot of the CBO's face challenges with the city's contracting process and this is one of those things that just keeps coming up and we're getting it from everywhere. So, how does MOIA help CBO's face those challenges with the city contracting process? What role can you play and what role can you tell the world and especially the non-profits that are here today who are trying to do their work and get tripped up along the way with the city process that gets them off the focus of helping our immigrant communities.

We have sort of are providing direct support and advice particularly around immigration legal services contracting, we work very closely with our partners to try and move these as fast as possible. We recognize the challenges for our CBO's, and you know, are committed to continuing to do the advocacy to ensure that they are moving as fast as possible and that there is recognition of why that's so important. One additional thing that I would note is tangential

2.2

but semi-related is we have been working with the immigration coalition to develop a training and webinar around city procurement processes to ensure that you know, sometimes the challenges that community-based organizations have is their ability to be competitive to understand what that entails or what it means. We want to make sure that folks have good training, good information so that they can compete, they can understand what it looks like and we're hoping to be able to role something out in the coming months with their partnership.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And just give me a sense, a texture of like what the advocacy is. I hear the intention that you're helping. What does that look like? Are you talking about like you picking up the phone, talking to the Commissioner and saying, yo, what's up? This organization, their cash flow. You understand the issue. Is that what we're talking about or is there like a staff?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Also working on moving scopes of work faster. You know, defining the scope of work more quickly particularly with our Action NYC providers. Hoping that that helps it move faster.

So, taking a more hands on approach where we can but

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

also if we're hearing of issues, picking up the phone, saying what's happening.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, because I think we're going to want to make sure that you hear as much as possible from them about how important that is and just so I can get a sense that you don't have a dedicated staff of - I don't like the connotation with another agency of ours, expeditors to help expedite these contracts, so that they can get their funding. The cash flow issues reveal with the small amount of profits and the reason I'm asking all these questions is we're going to get to a situation where we're going to be asking for \$40 million for the Mayor to fund Census to CBO's and the CBO's that are speaking today at the public panel are - and this is the work that we've been doing just to get a sense about how healthy are they to be able to take on this \$40 million injection. And if we have a problem with the contracting, this whole thing falls apart and that is real and that is of the mechanics and that is our responsibility, yours and mine. And so, how do we do that? This is telling me how you're doing it right now. Do you have a staff, do you have a team? Do you have a team of expeditors? Can you build

2.2

that? Can you get that ready? Because Census we can't get wrong. All this money that we're talking about is in jeopardy.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, I hear you. We have folks that we work with on the Action NYC side where we have more kind of direct programmatic management over. So, yes, they are focused on expediting those contracts. I think otherwise it's escalating, which is a little more my bailiwick.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, we're going to do our part to, but I think unless we come up with a system that makes it better, it's going to be a distraction and that's not what we need right now, for a lot of the things that we've been talking about.

BITTA MOSTOFI: One thing that I would note that I left out was in the training that I described in thinking about how best to make that robust and also provide the right question and answer framework for folks. The Mayor's Office of Contracts is also supporting.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it, and that's the procurement Stuff that you are doing? So, they are a part of it?

2.2

2 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, they are coming in to support that?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, that's great. I think that's the kind of partnership you want with the expertise that's coming in.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Let's go over to NYC Care, because that did show up in the budget and that's a more holistic uninsured and that's a positive thing that we saw. So, is the adman using any of the lessons learned from Action Health NYC pilot, and if so, can you share what program details are a result of those lessons that were learned from Action Health NYC?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, for sure. Yes, we are. A lot of what we learned is the role that MOIA is helping to play and looking at and advising on NYC Care as a whole. So, what are some of the areas of specialty care that kind of registered at the top including mental health services to making sure that those are specialty care that are incorporated in the boarder plan that people are accessing. What are

2.2

ways in which we are insuring king of robust privacy protections for individuals who are going to engage in the program and how are we reaching folks. So, how are we doing outreach to individuals? How are we making sure that we're equipping not just sort of our teams but working with community-based organizations to do that work effectively?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, so let's continue that conversation as we move forward because I think that's a big chunk of change but also, I think rolling out a program like this really requires partnerships on the ground.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, we agree as does H&H.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, okay great. We're going to wrap up now. I think that really when I think about all the projects before us, it falls on two pillars of trust and funding which is why this budget hearing is so important and the inspiration that I've been hearing from organizations as we've been preparing for this budget hearing has really been centered around the work that they're doing everyday to save — so many of these cases about saving families from being separated. This is the ICE response, the deportation machine. At the same

time, the regal case that s connected, the regal
representation that's connected to this case of a
family trying to stay together comes with housing
issues. It comes with an eviction situation. It
comes with health. It comes with education. A
family has mixed status family, a child that needs to
be registered at UPK, but the parent is focused on
their legal case and so, how do we bring a holistic
approach and it's the organizations on the ground
that are doing this work, that need the funding to be
able to pay. Because asking them to do anything for
free or volunteer is immoral and that's not going to
happen in our city because we have the power to do
that. We have to go to the federal government and
ask for a budget bill that gets passed to this
congress or we don't even have to go the state and
ask the Governor. We get to do that here in our city
and that's the message that I want you leave with and
I understand you're going to be meeting with the
Mayor very soon today, I hope you can take that
message. That there is no way in hell that we're
going to let our CBO's go unfunded at a time where we
have a \$3 billion surplus this year. That I know he
has plans for because the people who are being

2.2

separated and impacted the most are immigrant communities, the backbone. The annual report says it well. This is what makes New York City, New York City and we can't do that — well, that's not an option. We're going to do it.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And we're going to make that happen.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, thank you so much for being here today, to you and your team and I hope they can stay to listen to what I'm talking about here because it's not coming from me, it's coming from them.

BITTA MOSTOFI: For sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I can't wait to see the first panel come up and it's going to be a bunch of Day Laborers. I don't know if you have any final thoughts you want to share with me now.

BITTA MOSTOFI: I do, I have one more. I know I didn't get to read my testimony, which is fine because people will really be excited reading it later today, but I did want to share one example of a success story that really speaks about kind of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BITTA MOSTOFI:

Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

partnerships and why that's so important to good coordination and kind of show what New York City leadership looks like in this moment and time.

We've been seeing an increase in the need and

requests that come through our constituent services line, which I'm sure many Council Members are as well. I want to speak to one particular request that kind of highlights what I noted. So, we provided crucial support to a U.S. Citizen father, a long time New York City resident. He had filed an immigrant municipal petition for his daughter to come to the U.S. to live with him and her sister. Her sister suffered from a serious health condition and she was a perfect bone marrow match. After she was initially denied a VISA by the Council it erroneously on a public charge ground, MOIA was contact and worked with NYLAG's legal health team and others to bring the case to Senator Gillibrand's Office and I'm happy to report that the decision was reversed. She was able to secure her immigrant Visa, travel to the United States to join her family and her sister is now preparing to receive a life saving transplant.

J

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's the story of New York City right there. Thank you so much for your work.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: To be continued.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We're moving onto our public panels and as we bring on the public panels, I want to remind everyone that if you have not yet filled out a form, please fill it out. We want to hear from you, all of you. And the first panel we're going to call up are a group of Day Laborers and the first one from La Colmena is Herber Campa. Come on up. The Workers' Justice Project, Prospero Martinez. From Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights, Sylvia Flores. Oswaldo Mendoza from New York Immigrant Community Empowerment.

Just the four of you? Oh, we are going to get translation, that's right. There is another seat on this side.

GONZALO MERCADO: Thank you, Councilman. My name is Gonzalo Mercado. I am the Executive Director of La Colmena and I am here to translate for Heber Campa

2.2

who is one of our workers that participates in the program.

HEBER CAMPA-GONZALO MERCADO (TRANSLATOR): Good afternoon, my name is Heber Campa and I have been a member of La Colmena for a year just after the opening of the Day Laborers' Center. Thank you Chairperson Menchaca for the opportunity to testify at this important hearing.

I arrived into the United States ten years ago in search for better opportunities and security for myself and my family. I first worked in the restaurant industry, but I left it because of the long hours of work and lack of adequate transportation. With the advice of friends, I left the restaurant and shaped up for the first time at a day laborer corner where I received my first job in construction.

In that first job, I suffered my first workplace accident because the employer did not give us gloves or the necessary protective equipment for the job and after a cut on my finger that got infected, I had to be two weeks out of work, and I had to pay for the doctor.

A year ago, I met La Colmena after being the victim of a wage theft in which a boss didn't pay me for a week's worth of work and I was able to access legal assistance to recover my stolen salary. I also learned about my rights as a worker and after becoming a member I was able to receive my first job through La Colmena doing sheetrock and compound that are my specialty in construction.

Today, I am here to give testimony of the importance of the Day Laborer Workforce Initiative. Day Laborer Centers like La Colmena that help the day laborer community that is on the streets of Staten Island and ask you to continue supporting our community that every day goes out to look for a dignified job. Day laborer centers are a sanctuary for us, especially in these times of so much attack against our migrant community and a place to socialize, especially workers who are here without other family and we can help each other in difficult seasons like, lack of work in the winter or festivities like the holiday season, birthdays, etc.

Thank you for the support already given and on behalf of the Staten Island day laborers, we ask that you continue to support us with the necessary funds

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

so that our centers continue to grow and support our community and those who hire us. Thank you.

CHAIRPESON MENCHACA: Thank you.

SYLVIA FLORES: Hello. Good afternoon. My name is Sylvia Flores and I am a member of the Worker Center at the Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights. An organization that for over 35 years has been providing high quality, trustworthy immigration-related legal services to the immigrant community. The Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights is a non-profit organization founded in 1982 to educate, defend and protect the rights of immigrants, through direct services, civic engagement, community organizing and advocacy. Worker Center grew out of the community service and we have created a safe space for workers looking for better job opportunities and a trustworthy place to receive critical OSHA and Know Your Rights trainings.

I migrated to New York City from Ecuador approximately 20 years ago. Like most immigrants, I am not afraid of work or hard work, and I'm not afraid of different kinds of work. As a result, I have worked as a waitress and as a maintenance office cleaner. When I worked in the restaurant industry, I

2.2

had my wages stolen from me from my boss. I endured sexual harassment from clients and mangers, and I had to withstand verbal abuse from managers. I remember one manager telling all of us, "Because you are illegals you are less intelligent and don't try going to a government agency to get help because you have no status, you have no rights. No one will listen to you." I had another manager yell, "You are an idiot. You are worth nothing." It was like living in a toxic hell.

About a year ago, I learned about the Northern
Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights Worker
Center though one of their Facebook postings. At
that time, I was working as an independent contractor
and their Facebook posting invited community members
to attend a Know Your Rights training. Even though I
felt that I knew about workers' rights, this
particular Know Your Rights meeting really opened my
eyes to additional rights that we, as workers, have
in New York City. It was also in this meeting that I
heard for the first time the term OSHA. I wanted to
learn more about what OSHA was and I decided to sign
up for the OSHA 10 training that Northern Manhattan
was holding the following weekend.

The OSHA training was another eye-opening

2.

experience for me. For example, during that training I learned about how to handle chemicals safely. This is very important to understand, because it provides us with the knowledge, we need to stay safe while cleaning houses or clearing newly constructed buildings.

But not only were we learning important technical information, we were creating a community thanks to the compassionate and respectful environment that the Northern Manhattan Coalition of the Immigrant Rights Workers' Center promotes. It was this that motivated me to return on a weekly basis to participate in advocacy meetings, where our experiences and perspective led me to the decision-making process when strategizing and executing an action.

After a number of months, I asked the Worker

Center Director if I could volunteer in the Legal

Department of the NMCIR and that is when he invited

me to apply and interview the position of Workers

Center Assistant and Job Dispatcher, a position made

possible through the City Council funding. From this

new position I work side-by-side with out Worker

Center members helping them seek dignified

2.2

employment, linking them to the Northern Manhattan

Coalition for Immigrants Rights vital legal and

4 educational services and facilitating Know Your

5 Rights workshops.

We are part of the coalition that makes up the
Day Laborer Workforce Initiative. The initiative
partners include Worker's Justice Project, the New
Immigrant Community Empowerment, Staten Island
Community Job Center, and Catholic Charities. Each
of which has a long history of engaging immigrant
communities and working with Day Laborers in all five
boroughs.

The Day Laborer Workforce Development Initiative came together to address the needs of this underserved population, services that are even more essential now than ever before. As members of the city's informal workforce, day laborers experience rampant wage theft, pervasive construction accidents, workforce hazards, lack of access to workforce development training and lack of infrastructure. The Initiative goal is to address these issues by linking the day laborers to vital services, providing trainings on workforce safety and legal rights, addressing wage theft, providing access to jobs, and,

2.

most importantly, creating safe and dignified spaces for day laborers to congregate as they search for gainful work. New York City has the potential to lead the nation in the fight for day laborer rights.

We are thankful for the support that City Council provided the Initiative for Fiscal Year 2019 and we urge the Council to invest #3.6 million in the Day Laborer Workforce Initiative for the next fiscal year.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can I stop you there.

SYLVIA FLORES: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I think that's a good place to stop, the \$3.6 million and I think that that's more than we allocated before, so we're want to talk about that to. So, you can talk a little bit about the initiative. I'm going to ask the next person because I have your testimony and we're going to go through a lot of testimony today, so I want to see if I can keep you shorter as well.

PROSPERO MARTINEZ (TRANSLATOR) MARIA VALDEZ: My name is Maria Valdez; I am here to translate for Prospero.

Thank you, very much and good afternoon, to everyone. My name is Prospero Martinez and I'm a

2.2

member of Workers Justice Project. I deeply appreciate the opportunity and space that you have provided us on the New York Council Committee on Immigration to make possible for our voices to be heard so that our interests in general from the immigrant community and the workers are taken into account.

By the end of 2018, I had to leave Oaxaca, Mexico of United States, running away from the violence, crime and persecution. In New York I found the opportunity to leave without fear and to be able to work decently to provide a better life for my family. When I came to New York, I began working in construction without fear, but with a lot of necessity to be able to cover the basic expenses of survival in this city so expensive for working people like, rent, food, public transportation, and other basic necessities.

On January 2019, a contractor hired me to do a demolition on a building in the Bronx. On this job the contractor made me demolish at heights without using any protection against falls. Before I began the job, I asked the contractor to provide me with a harness, but he denied to do so. Making fun of my

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

necessity, he told me that "the job was not for a whole year, it was for a day. He told me that if I didn't like it, I could leave." In that moment I thought of leaving, but I decided to finish my day and I did not come back the following day. While I was working at height, I step on a wooden board that was unstable, and fell off with the board to the first floor. In that moment I felt a lot of pain in my arm, back and legs. The contractor didn't care about my pain he took me out from the worksite and offered to take me to a clinic. Without being able to get up and with an endless pain, I asked him to call an ambulance, but he denied. He insisted not to call anyone, that he could take me to a clinic. Without being able to get up and with a lot of pain, I called the ambulance while the employer went out to hide in his car.

This is the daily reality of hundreds of workers in this city. The immigrant worker not only faces a struggle for daily life, but also with the burden of the past and with an uncertain future, that has established a life where it develops as human being through work, family, and social obligations, constantly fighting to improve conditions of life,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 but nevertheless, the reality goes beyond when we face a diversity of problems for being an immigrant.

It is limited in many areas, coupled with little or 4

no basic education received. It reaches to the point 5

6 to ignore our human rights that this big city offers.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, I'm going to stop you there, because we have the English version but I'm glad that we were able to hear his story in English. Oh, and actually, we have one more. Okay, lets hear from NICE as well.

OSWALDO MENDOZA: (Testimony in Spanish)

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I just wanted to say that Oswaldo Mendoza talked a little bit about the work that NICE is doing to really confront the labor abuses that are happening within NICE as an organization but this is a coalition effort to really confront irresponsible employers who are connected to wage thefts safety and we heard from all of our Day Laborers about that issue. I think what I want to just bring to light here is what we had just heard from the Administration about how we bring more funding, the funding that they're asking for actually, this is a question I want you to answer because I think it's better coming from you.

2.2

funds this initiative, this Day Laborer Workforce
Initiative? Who funds it?

GONZALO MERCADO: So, right now the initiative is being funded by the City Council for the past three years.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Do you get any funding from the Mayor's Office to do Day Laborer Workforce Initiative?

GONZALO MERCADO: No, not us.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And would you like for it to come from the Mayor's Office in a three-year contract, which is called baselining?

GONZALO MERCADO: At some point yes, because for us as an organization it is very difficult to plan ahead when we don't know if we are going to be either refunding or at what levels every year. So, obviously that is a cost to the workers because we don't know if we're going to be able to operate. Are we are going to be able to open the Centers; we're not going to be able to pay the staff and as you also mentioned earlier with the contracting process also makes it even more of a headache for us.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right, because the Council funding is one year funding and a baseline project

2.2

like some of the adult literacy, not all of it but some of it is a three year contract, which means that you can plan ahead as an organization and grow and so I will note that you are asking for more money then the Council has given in the past year. Can you talk a little bit about what that extra funding will go to for this initiative?

GONZALO MERCADO: So, right now, with this imitative, we've been able to open Day Laborer Centers in each borough but not in the Bronx yet. So, right now from having no centers funded by the City in three years we now can say we have five centers including the center for Women Day Laborers in Brooklyn. That they can talk more about. So, that is the increase, so also with this increase we are going to be able to fund a Day Labor Center in the Bronx making it a truly citywide initiative where in each borough there is a designated city funded Day Laborer Center for all the workers in that borough.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And my last question is about Census and how important that is. So, can anyone tell me a little bit about how important the Census is?

OSWALDO MENDOZA: SPEAKING IN SPANISH

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, so it's really important for workers from every industry, not just for the workers but for the families that are part of the workers.

OSWALDO MENDOZA: SPEAKING IN SPANISH

Thank you and I think what Oswaldo is talking about is in my response to what are the workers going to do to help the Census conversation is that, and the key thing he said here was, they already do a lot of workshops. You heard from all the workers about OSHA and we just created a law last year that a lot of you were really at the table helping us construct that's bringing construction safety standards at 50 hours across the entire worker workforce.

You are already talking to people. There is already a relationship and trust and you can use those spaces to bring Census messages to your workers, your brothers and sisters who are on the ground and this is the message that we need to send to the Administration and how important it is to fund CBO's like you to do the Census work because if everything you just talked about, getting safety standards up across the board and fighting for contracts with developers to get good salary and

2.2

fight your salaries because they are getting stolen and on top of all of that to do Census, is not — that's the immoral question here if we don't answer it with yes, funding to you all. So, that's just the point that I wanted to make here and if there is any final thoughts, I'm going to move to the next panel. Any other final thoughts.

OSWALDO MENDOZA: SPEAKING IN SPANISH

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Let me just translate really quick. Oswaldo is saying how important it is that the reason immigrants don't want to get involved in the Census is because of the fear and really through the workshops that you can do is to change that mindset. People don't understand this is a benefit to the city if people get counted in the Census and again, that message can't come just from us as government, that has to come from our partners on the ground.

PROSPERO MARTINEZ: SPEAKING IN SPANISH

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I think the only

nugget that I want to pull out from what Prospero

said is they know their corners, the day laborer

corners and yeah, everybody has the sense of distress

of everything. Like, I think we sometimes start with

They know

their corners and they can talk to the workers and

the workers have families and can get everybody

counted. So, thank you, thank you. Last word

distress, but they know their workers.

2

3

4

5

6

Gonzalo.

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

much.

share of resources because of the Census.

the workers. You know, first of all the day laborer communities are one of the hardest to reach New

the controversary around the Census and the question

Yorker community deemed like that. Also, with all of

GONZALO MERCADO: No, I just wanted to agree with

about citizenship and now the immigrant community

across the country being fearful of having any type

of information given to the government is going to

take a real effort to make sure that everybody is

counted this time around. And as the workers said,

you know, we know our workers, we know the corners,

we're able to talk to them. We're able to make sure

that they are trusting you know, to make sure that

this information is not going to go anywhere else.

Just to make sure that New York City gets the fair

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank you so

25

2.2

MARIA VALDEZ: So, basically I guess our
organizations we do work, we're more close working
with the community, so I guess they feel more
connected to us and basically they can come to us and
as an organization we can work together to educate
our community better and inform them, so they lose
that kind of like fear that they have about the
Census.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Exactly. Thank you, thank you for your time. I'm going to call the next panel and, on that note, what I want to say is the — well, I'll keep this in my final thought, actually I'll hold my thought. Esmeralda Flores from WCBDI Brightly Cleaning Coop. Christina Fox from NYCNoWC. Oh, NYCNoWC yes, of course come on over. Ralph Palladino, Jesse Laymon.

Okay, thank you. Lets get started. Who wants to start? Push the red light.

CHRISTINA FOX: Is it on? Can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes.

CHRISTINA FOX: Great thank you. So yes, thank you so much and good afternoon Chairperson Menchaca and the rest of the City Council Committee on Immigration. Thank you for hearing our testimony

2.2

today. My name is Christina, I am here on behalf of NYCNoWC, the New York City Network of Worker

Cooperatives and also on behalf of WCBDI. The Worker

Cooperative Business Development Initiative. So, you have our testimony in front of you. I won't take too much time to boast about our accomplishments.

You know in five short years being able to organize hundreds of jobs. 130 cooperatives amongst the 14 organizations that make up the initiative. I could go on and on and you can see in the testimony the real benefits, intangible benefits and impact on the worker owners themselves who are primarily a large majority immigrants and women of color. But what I'm actually going to take the time here today is to really share with you all why co-ops are beyond being good business. Actually, because their valuebased businesses based on justice, equity and opportunity, really actually are what can push your policy priorities forward and help connect the dots in ways that none of us could ever imagine.

So, a good example of this is you know, you mentioned in the last testimony and with the day laborers Intro 1447 in Local Law for increased OSHA training in the city. So, in that same year 2017,

2.2

the Brooklyn Bangladeshi community mourned the loss
of Sirajul Hoque, who passed away on Father Day after
falling off of scaffold in Brooklyn. At that same
time is when Intro 1447 and Local Law passed to help
increase that training, really to protect workers

like him and like this community.

Today, now, this same community is really struggling to get access to these trainings by a trainer who is authorized in the language that they speak and with materials in Bangla. That doesn't just mean lack of access to training. At this point with this new law, it puts their jobs at risk and their ability to continue to work at risk. So, who fills this gap? You might wonder and I'll tell you who. It's the immigrant led OSHA Coop Action OSH. And so, this is a really good example of really how this embeddedness and it's connectedness in community can come together. So, Action OSH is partnered in the last year or so with DRUM, Desis Rising Up and Moving in working with their members in Kensington.

We are really proud to say that we're going to be offering in partnership with them the first ever 30-hour Bangla language OSHA training. We've translated 180-page participant manual that is for the first

2.2

time — you know, this is not information available by OSHA or anybody else in this language. We were able to translate it by the help of CEANYC, the Cooperative Alliance of New York City with a microgrant and so, you can see how all of these things connect to really push things forward. This is important for Action OSH because of our own histories with organizing and access for OSHA in Spanish language, so it's really important and exciting for us to be able to extend this branch.

So, we ask you — you know, it wasn't OSHA, it wasn't Department of Buildings, it wasn't anybody other than the connectedness of the coop ecosystem and the coop community that can not only make wonderful opportunities for business ownership and sustainable jobs like Esmeralda will share with you but also really deeply impact in ways that we can't do on our own. So, we do want to ask the City Council to please enhance the WCBDI imitative. We are looking for an enhancement to \$4.8 billion for Fiscal Year 2020. This is going to help us respond to the growing interests from worker centers across the city as well as different CBO's, unions, and academic institutions who are interested in

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 89
2	developing cooperatives in their communities and to
3	make this lasting impact.
4	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, remind us, where is
5	the funding coming from?
6	CHRISTINA FOX: This funding is coming from the
7	City Council on a yearly basis.
8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. I'm just going
9	to be asking that question over and over again.
10	CHRISTINA FOX: Absolutely, I'm glad to answer
11	it.
12	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.
13	ESMERALDA FLORES: SPEAKING IN SPANISH
14	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: SPEAKING IN SPANISH
15	ESMERALDA FLORES: SPEAKING IN SPANISH
16	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: SPEAKING IN SPANISH
17	Is there an English testimony that is getting
18	submitted, do we know? Do I have that. Okay, got
19	it, thank you.
20	RALPH PALLADINO: Good day, my name is Ralph
21	Palladino, 2 nd Vice President Local 1549 Clerical
22	Administrative Employees representing 14,000 city

workers in the City of New York providing services in

911, 311, Medicaid and Snap eligibility and public

hospitals. 25

23

24

2.2

We are asking you to support NYC Cares. The health initiative by the Mayor which is excellent, which is going to mainly target immigrants or service them that will service many others and we also ask you to reach out to the state in the budget process to support New York City health and hospitals.

Medicaid funding at the state level is not meeting the cost of care for an NYC H&H.

Disproportionate shared DSRIP funding is not fairly distributed and is ending soon. So, we ask you to reach out to the state and support these programs now. H&H has to survive. Immigrant people need health care. We don't want a pandemic, epidemic because of short sidedness and people being denied their health care rights.

The second thing, the need for improvement in language services for immigrants. The influx of immigrants from all over the world using city services is great. This requires that communication efforts be enhanced. In some cases, this can mean life and death. This is especially true in health care settings. I am a health care worker by the way.

Currently, the city contracts out to private vendors interpreter and language services. It is

2.

2.2

done by phone. This is providing inadequate service to those who need this service. Obviously, we could see that today. It's much better to have someone here then someone on the phone.

The New York Immigration Coalition has documented that the most efficient way to provide language interpretation is face to face, especially with the Asian communities. This service should be performed by city employees not private phone line employees. We hear stories from our members working in hospitals SNAP and Medicaid about wrongful advice and interpretations being performed at times. The best way to have total quality control and to deliver the services by using the Civil Service Interpreter Title throughout the city. In New York City H&H, this can be done by Client Navigators.

Interpreters can do face to face interpretation.

They also can interpret documents and assist those who are applying for benefits and providing application filing information.

I refer you to New York State Report on Social Services chaired by the then Senator Rivera that summarize the importance and need for interpreters.

2.

So, finally, I just want to say because it's upsetting that there are 3 million immigrants and 750,000 undocumented in New York City. Like the Italian, Irish and other immigrants, my four fathers, in the 17, 18, and 1900's who came to this country legal and illegally they worked to provide services, goods, and help build our city. They are tax payers contributed to the economy and social life of our city. They have a human right to services that their taxes help provide. This includes services such as health care and language interpretation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you Mr. Palladino for your words and I just want to say that I endured listening to all the pieces, what I'm going to lift really quick is the interpretation piece that you spoke about and how important that is to have a face to face conversation and to have people and interpreters within the confines of spaces where democracy is happening. There is this really great idea that I hope you can get behind of an interpreter bank, where essentially we can bring another cooperative style model to hire people to do this work in our communities and our community boards here, everywhere, where we can kind of go and get —

3

4

5

6

7

one thing.

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

O 1

24

25

so, know that a lot of people are thinking about this with you and that you are joining a chorus of New Yorkers that are asking for this kind of thing.

RALPH PALLADINO: That is a good idea except for

So, anyway that's an idea that we've heard so far and

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Oh, tell me.

RALPH PALLADINO: Well, to consider, the problem that we're hearing in Medicaid eligibility and SNAP eligibility is that you're on the phone line with somebody not from the agency, does not know the rules and regulations dealing with Medicaid eligibility or in SNAP eligibility and sometimes that person on the phone and someone has to be denied or needs other documents and stuff, it's like a miscommunication goes on and so, it's much better to have someone who's familiar with some of the legal requirements of some of these services. We don't want to get into a situation where this present administration in Washington, God help us, the wall building and everything else and denying people rights, comes down and says you know, because of mistakes that happen that fraud is going on. Do you understand?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I do

2.2

2 RALPH PALLADINO: In these kind of programs and
3 not give an excuse to those wrongful people who want

4 to destroy these programs.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We're thinking of that to.

RALPH PALLADINO: I'm just cautioning on that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, that's a good point.

We're thinking about that to.

RALPH PALLADINO: Because we represent the city interpreted title.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: It's about training as well. Thank you. Is MOIA in the house? If you're from MOIA, thank you, one person? Thank you so much for being here. Okay, Jesse.

JESSE LAYMON: Thank you Council Member Menchaca for holding this hearing on the City's budget and how it effects immigrant communities and thank you in fact for the existence of this Committee that can raise these issues and hear from these voices and address how the city interacts with immigrant communities. It's really a critical Committee for us to have.

My name is Jesse Laymon, I am the Director of Policy at the Employment and Training Coalition. We are an association that represents over 150

2	community-based organizations, educational
3	institutions and labor unions that provide job
4	training and employment service to more than half a
5	million New Yorkers a year and so, I'll be focusing
6	my testimony on how this years city budget can
7	address income equality and chronic poverty among New
8	York's immigrants and I have three in my written
9	remarks here, three recommendations that I'll briefly
10	summarize for you. But before I do that, I want to
11	actually draw attention that's not in our written
12	remarks because these are things that we've been
13	going to multiple committee's about but in this
14	committee, I wanted to particularly also raise that
15	several of our member organizations have worked with
16	or sponsored worker co-ops and that we think from our
17	experience and in my opinion that the growth and rise
18	of worker co-ops in New York over the last few years
19	is an inspiring and really critical development for
20	workers empowerment and rights in general and for
21	economic opportunities, but especially for workers in
22	sectors that are traditionally disenfranchised and
23	don't have a lot of power relative to their employees
24	whether that be janitorial services, health care
25	services and especially day laborers.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so, I want to thank you for your support of co-ops and for the day laborer centers and the Council's support and I urge the Administration to get with the program and baseline the funding that is necessary to make programs like that live on.

So now briefly, my three recommendations around this years budget number one that we have is that it is time for the City to finally fully invest in Bridge Programming for marginalized communities. number one thing that we hear from workforce development providers is that to many of the clients that come in their doors, have foundational skills deficits. Literacy, numeracy, English language skills. The Administration knows this. promised \$60 million for Bridge programs that would address these issues a few years back. 2020 was the year that they promised to get to \$60 million in annual funding, so this is the year that we want them to keep that promise and we want to urge the City Council to put that in the budget response and fight for \$60 million for Bridge programs. In particular DYCD has a new Bridge program called Advance and Earn, that they are proposing. That's a step in the right direction, but it needs to be funded a lot more

and serve a for more people. My second
recommendation, we are members of the New York City
coalition for adult literacy and proud to be for many
years and we fully support their call once again this
year for restoration of the full funding for Adult
Basic education and baselining of the \$12 million
that aught to have been baselined year ago and my
third recommendation, we were just here a couple days
ago with the economic development and oversight
hearings and we just want to highlight that any money
that the city spends on economic development and job
creation in New York needs to be primarily focused on
creating jobs and employment pathways for the people
that need it most. And that means immigrants for
sure who represent nearly half of New York City's
workforce but especially it means people who have
educational or other foundational skills deficits.
Those should be our top priority when we're spending
public dollars.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you and I think that rounds out this panel. The reason we started with two economic engines, is for that reason. That we could be spending money in the city to do good things but if we're not focused on the equality question

2.2

that I think this Mayor was talking about a few years ago when he first ran, we're not focused in public for the public good and I think you just ratted out perfect that that's where we need to be focusing our attention, our funding and I couldn't agree with you more. And so, that's the case we have to make across the board. So, thank you. That was a fun hearing.

JESSE LAYMON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And a lot of new legislative ideas came out of that for me. Thank you. Okay, thank you so much. Our next panel is Lena Cohen from United Neighborhood Houses of New York, Ira Yankwitt, Caroline Iosso, Guillermo Rodriguez, and Venessa Dell. You can come on up please. I'm feeling we're going to be talking about adult literacy.

And if you could raise your hands if you are still waiting to testify. I just want to see who is still here, great, stay patient. Thank you so much, I hope you are enjoying the conversation. Let's go, who wants to start?

IRA YANKWITT: So, I just want to begin by thanking you Chair Menchaca for the opportunity to testify. My name is Ira Yankwitt. I am the

2.2

Executive Director of the Literacy Assistance Center
and today I will be testifying on behalf of the New
York City Coalition for Adult Literacy or NYCCAL. A
you already are well aware, today in New York City,
there are approximately 2.2 million adults who lack
English language proficiency, a high school diploma,
or both. And over 75 percent of these are
immigrants. Yet public funding for adult literacy
education is so limited that fewer than 4 percent of
these 2.2 million adults are able to access basic
education, high school equivalency, or English
language classes in any given year.

Member Menchaca for being a champion for these 2.2 million adults and for your steadfast leadership on this issue, really from day one of the time you entered the Council and we wish to express our deep appreciation to the Council and the Mayor for the \$12 million expansion of adult literacy funding and services over these past three years. Unfortunately, one again, Mayor de Blasio has failed to include this \$12 million in his Preliminary Budget, imperiling the education of the 8,500 adult students in New York City whose programs rely on it. Moreover, as a

2.2

Innovation and Opportunity Act which puts an increased emphasis on employment outcomes and eliminates funding for standalone English language Civics classes, an estimated 8,000 immigrant students who attended WIOA-funded civics classes in New York City prior to this past July, were displaced or deemed inappropriate for WIOA-funded classes as of July 1st. It's time for the Council and the Administration to stand up for the thousands of New Yorkers in need and baseline the \$12 million in addition to the \$3.5 million currently baselined to support DYCH funded adult literacy programs.

When it comes to funding for adult literacy, there are really three issues. The first is the paucity of the funding itself, which shuts the door to over 95 percent of those in need. The second is the unreliable nature of the current funding streams, which poses a continuous threat to program stability, staff continuity, and the ability to fully achieve program and policy goals. And the third is the inadequacy of the funding formulas and rates, which undermine programs ability to provide the full array and depth of services that students need and deserve.

2.2

23

24

25

2 My organization recently release a report 3 entitled Investing in Quality A Blueprint for Adult Literacy Programs and Funders. Funded by DYCD, the 4 report details 14 building blocks of a comprehensive, 5 community-based adult literacy program, identifies 6 7 the resources needed to fully implement the building blocks, and includes a first of its kind cost model. 8 And based on our cost model, we found that community-9 based adult literacy programs would need to have 10 their current funding rates increased by at least 11 12 four times in order to fully implement the components 13 and services outlined in the report. While this 14 might sound like a big leap, we know that at the 15 current funding rates, man of the critical program 16 components that we identify, such as full-time 17 teachers, counseling students, support services, 18 workforce transition services, professional development and planning for staff, and integrated 19 20 technology are often compromised.

NYCCAL is calling on the City Council and the Mayor to take two crucial steps toward creating a city that truly provides quality education opportunity for all. First, restore and baseline the \$12 million for DYCD-funded adult literacy services

and combine these funds with the existing \$3.5 million in baseline DYCD-funding.

As we enter the final year under the current DYCD contracts, programs can use some of the additional baseline funds on critical short-term resources. For example, in FY 2020 programs could hire a Census 2020 Coordinator to develop curriculum, educate, and do outreach to students and their families to better insure that the most marginalized and vulnerable New Yorkers get counted; or programs could purchase additional technology needed, expand case management capacity, receive an enhancement that incentivizes hiring full time teachers or pay for additional professional development.

Second, as DYCD begins to draft it's next RFP for multi-year funding scheduled to be released in the coming year, commit to funding programs at a rate that will better enable them to provide high quality, comprehensive services that adult students deserve.

Currently, DYCD programs provide less than \$1,000 per student. NYCCAL is calling on DYCD to establish a rate of n less than double that amount or \$2,000 for every student. While this rate falls short of the level of funding called for in the Investing in

2.2

Quality Report, NYCCAL is sensitive to the need to balance quantity with quality. With baselined funding of \$15.5 million and a rate of \$2,000 per student, DYCD programs would be able to serve over 7,500 students a year, maintaining capacity and increasing the quality of services.

Finally, should the \$12 million be baselined

NYCCAL urges the Council to work with the

Administration to ensure that all programs that

received funding for adult literacy in 2019,

including those funded with discretionary dollars,

are able to secure the funding they need to continue

to provide their programing.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you IRA for that and I know that the Administration said a couple things about Adult Literacy and actually, you know, I'll come back and ask the panel to see how we can openly discuss this in a way that sets us up for a baseline. Thank you.

VENESSA DELL: Hi, good afternoon. My name is

Venessa Dell and I am actually an immigration

attorney at Make the Road New York although I will be

talking about adult literacy as well. So, thank you

2.2

for the opportunity to testify today on behalf of
Make the Road and our 23,000 members. We would like
to thank the City Council for supporting an increase
in funding for immigrant legal services especially
over the past two year of unprecedented federal
attacks on the immigrant community. This funding has
greatly increased representation, but the need
continues to grow with more cases becoming
complicated and hard one.

Based on the experiences of our staff and communities, we are making the following recommendations for the Fiscal Year 2020 Budget.

First, Make the Road and our partners requesting urgent City Council funding for a Raids Rapid Response Imitative to address increased ICE enforcement impacting the communities we serve. This funding would provide emergency legal support to detained communities members at eminent risk of deportation who did not qualify for the NYIFUP program.

Second, we urge the City Council to increase NYIFUF funding from \$10 million to \$16.6 million Fiscal Year 2020 and immediately increase current funding by \$1.5 million in light of additional

2.1

2.2

detained dockets at Varick Street Immigration Court beginning this week. At current funding levels,

NYIFUP providers will only staff three out of the five dockets at Varick leaving many who qualify for the program without legal representation.

Third, we ask that the City Council allocate \$5 million to renew and increase the critical funding awarded last year under the Low Wage Worker

Initiative. Without renewal, vital services will need to be phased out across the city.

Fourth, we request that the City Council increase its allocation for the Access Health Initiative to \$2.5 million and maintain its \$1.5 million allocation for the Immigrant Health Initiative. Both programs allow Make the Road and other community-based organizations to reach immigrant families about their rights and available resources and address health care disparities.

Fifth and finally, we ask that the City Council restore and baseline \$12 million in adult literacy funding so that thousands of immigrants can continue to learn English and access economic opportunity.

Without restoration of this funding 8,500 students will lose their classes this year.

2.2

Thank you again for the time today and your ongoing leadership. Make the Road New York appreciates our partnership with everyone on this Committee and your partnership to ensure the respect and dignity of immigrant families in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that comprehensive review of all the programs and requests for the baselining and where it is. Thank you.

LENA COHEN: Good afternoon, my name is Lena

Cohen. I am here on behalf of United Neighborhood

Houses, the federation of 42 settlement houses across

New York State. Thank you Chair Menchaca for having

us here today to talk about specifically adult

literacy and how it impacts our immigrant communities

across the city.

With your leadership we have been able to make a lot of progress throughout the past few fiscal years and each year as you know, the Mayor eliminates the \$12 million for community adult literacy programs and of course the Council has been essential in helping us get that back and maintaining it. So, as my colleagues have said before, we're hoping the Council can help us baseline that funding as well as address the reimbursement rates issues that prevent providers

2.2

from really being able to provide as high quality of the service with the funds provided through DYCD.

So, there's more information on those issues in the testimony and I actually want to shift to focus on how adult literacy funding can be used for more than just improving workforce development outcomes.

Really, adult literacy is geared toward improving a individuals ability to integrate into all aspects of their society whether it be through civic means, social means, or economic means.

Further, adult literacy really does provide a window for talking about civic engagement effectively. When you have a classroom full of students that are looking for ways to not only improve their literacy skills to support their families, their children, talk to government officials, health administrators, they are also looking for ways to build community power and speak up and be able to hold their elected officials accountable in a very transparent way.

So, that's where we see adult literacy programs coming in as a key player in this goal that we all share to get every single New Yorker counted. As you said at the beginning of the hearing, there is so

much at stake over \$800 billion worth of federal
funding's over the next ten years. New York cannot
stand to lose any of that and that's why United
Neighborhood Houses really sees the role that
settlement houses and other CBO's play in promoting
the 2020 Census as a key way to reaching the hardest
account communities. We believe a great place to
start is through adult literacy. If we're able to
secure baseline funds and really make sure that that
entire \$12 million goes directly to the DYCD funded
adult literacy contracts, then our programs will be
able to plan to have a Census coordinator and hold
community forums that express the importance of the
Census through culturally fluent means.

So, you know, we're prepared to work with our members. We've already started a pretty comprehensive outreach campaign to use what resources they have right now to address the 2020 Census and all of our members see the emerging of adult literacy programs as they relate to supporting their communities and connecting with elected officials as a way to lift up the importance of every single voice in their community.

Thank you. The only think

So, we're excited to work with you on that and

please let us know how we can partner with you moving

I want to lift up on what you said is the connection

2.

3

4

forward.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA:

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the Census piece and I'm asking everybody that question because that needs to stay at the front of

glad to hear that adult literacy programs are already

thinking about Census and integrating it, but that

the work as we bring the funding in. And so, I'm

work as fragile as it is right now without the rate

can't happen without funding to really support that

increases. What we don't want to do is break the

system and so, thank you for really laying that out

for us and I'm seeing nods across the table and we're

not alone in that and that's part of the argument

that we have to make to not just the Mayor's Office

of Immigrant Affairs, but the Mayor himself and his

OMB people. Great, thank you.

LENA COHEN: Great thank you.

CAROLINE IOSSO: I'm going to have our student testify first.

GUILLERMO RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon everyone,
Chair Menchaca and everyone. I'll try my testimony.

2.2

My name is Guillermo Rodriguez. I started coming to OBT in 2017. Since then, I attend English, Basic Computer, and financial literacy classes. My experience at OBT has always been great. I have learned to speak and read English, develop my relationship skills, Microsoft Office, how to save money, and setting goals. I am grateful for the OBT school because they offer us the opportunity to study and develop our skills for a good and better future.

Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, thank you so much for your testimony today and representing all the students that our initiative is funding right now in the city. Thank you.

GUILLERMO RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And do you have your testimony as well, will you be giving it?

CAROLINE IOSSO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, great.

CAROLINE IOSSO: Well, thank you so much

Guillermo and thank you very much Chair Menchaca for having this hearing and for allowing me the time to speak. My name is Caroline Iosso and I am the

25 Director of Community and Government Affairs at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Opportunities for a Better Tomorrow. We are a proud member of the New York City Coalition for Adult Literacy and here to also advocate for that \$12 million to be restored and baselined.

OBT is one of New York City's largest providers of workforce development and education services for opportunity youth and adults. We also provide immigration services for those seeking naturalization and we serve over 4,000 youth and adults annually across six sites in Brooklyn and Queens. Each year we serve over 600 immigrants through our adult literacy programs. Participants come to class everyday after long multiple or overnight work shifts to build the skills that will allow them to access living wage jobs. Our city is home to 3 million foreign-born residents, approximately 49 percent of which have limited English proficiency and the City's immigrant population comprises 45 percent of the city's workforce and yet despite working at the same or greater rates as native-born New Yorkers, immigrants median earnings are \$15,000 lower than native born residents. And we know that education has always served as a pathway to economic success in

our countries and for immigrants. It is an absolutely vital vehicle to a middle skill job.

As a member of NYCCAL, we join them in calling for the City Council and Mayor to take two steps towards providing educational opportunity for all.

That's restoring and baselining the \$12 million. As a provider we see the consequences of the lack of baselining every day and for each cohort. Not having secure funding means that we cannot promise that our teachers will have a job next cohort and then we have to spend time and resources hiring and training new teachers and we may lose that continuity of education that is so valuable for our students.

And then secondly, the city must commit to funding programs at a rate that really represents the true cost of doing these programs. We receive \$928 per participant and according to my colleagues research, the actual cost is over \$7,000 per seat.

So, thank you so much for this opportunity to testify. We are hopeful and grateful for your support in this budget negotiation. And I also just want to highlight what Lena said about the importance of adult literacy as more than just a workforce development, part of a workforce development goal. I

think it's so much about being able to participate

fully in your community and that's part of what we

really value at OBT and with our students. Thank

5 you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome, thank you for that and really the only questions I have before we move to the next panel is really the integration of the Mayors Office and their work around Census. Have you all met with any of the Census folks at the Mayor's Office? Yeah, so is there a line that's open?

LENA COHEN: Yeah, so UNH along with being a member of the New York Coalition for Adult Literacy, we're also part of New York Counts 2020. And we've had several conversations with Deputy Mayor Phil Thompson and we've also been in touch with the recently appointed Census person, Julie Menin and you know, with their leadership we have been able to secure that \$4.3 million which is excellent; however, like you said at the very beginning of this hearing, we don't know how that money is going to reach community-based organizations.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, and it's really not. \$4.3 is for the staffing of the office and so, that's

2.2

the conundrum, that's the problem that we're in right now. And the \$40 million that we're going to be asking the Mayor is going to be the CBO dollars and then the \$40 million from the state that's in the assembly in the Senate right now, hopefully it comes out in the Governor, part of that will come to the city as well hopefully for CBO injection. So, yeah, I'm glad you're talking on that. That was my ultimate question about that integration because I think that's going to make the case for baseline stronger and I think we need to really organize that conversation as we move through the budget process.

LENA COHEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, thank you. Go
NYCCAL. Next, we have from the Bronx Defenders, we
have a NYIFUP panel here. Zoe Levine, Ellen
Pachnanda from the Brooklyn Defender Services, and
Hasan Shafiqullah. You're going to have to give me
your name again, you're going to have to say your
last name again Hasan from Legal Aid and then Mario
Russell from the Catholic Charities. If you can come
up.

The dream team. Alright, let's hear it. Who wants to start?

2.2

ELLEN PACHNANDA: Good afternoon. My name is

Ellen Pachnanda and I am a Supervising Attorney in

the Immigration Practice at the Brooklyn Defender

Services. First of all, I want to thank the City

Council Committee on Immigration and Chair Menchaca

for this opportunity to testify about the impact of

the last year of aggressive immigration enforcement,

attacks on due process in immigration court and the

need for increased funding in order to meet the needs

of the communities we serve. And I also appreciate

having listened to prior testimony and comments from

the Council on your ongoing recognition of NYIFUP

which is the practice I'm in and our role in fighting

for due process for all and thank you for your

continued support.

We are here today to ask for increased funding. Specifically, we submitted a joint request for \$16.6 million dollars split evenly across the three providers to fully fund NYIFUP and fulfill this city's commitment that no family is torn apart by deportation just because they could not afford a lawyer.

In my office, Brooklyn Defender Services, we have an immigration practice that has grown and grown in

large part thanks to the city's support. We now have
27 attorneys, we have social workers, we have
paralegals, we are working consistently at all ends
of immigration speaking about our youth and
communities team which represents Brooklyn residents
in their applications for lawful immigration status
and in defending against deportation in the non-
detained removal proceedings. Additionally, we have
Padilla team that works to work with our criminal
defense attorneys and advise our clients of
immigration consequences and also NYIFUP, which we
work with in representing in the first in the nation
public defender program that exists representing
detained immigrants in removal proceedings starting
at Varick Court. We will speak fully about whats
been happening at Varick Street, but I'd like to
highlight now what has been as this Council has
recognized increased ICE enforcement across this city
and I would like to know where this is beginning and
where we've seen the largest growth is a 1,700
percent increase in ICE enforcement in courthouse
arrests. And what this does mean as was discussed
earlier today, is that immigrants in our city are

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 being picked up when they have open criminal cases.

3 Often times these are low-level offenses.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, define open, because this is really important. An open criminal case.

What does that mean to someone that doesn't know anything about courts?

ELLEN PACHNANDA: Yes, thank you Chair. means is that there is no conviction. It means that that individual has not had the opportunity to defend their case. Has not had the opportunity with the due process affords in a criminal court, and how it lends over into immigration court is then that individual it's the catch 22 if you will Chair, is that individual is then forced to try to exercise the due process rights in criminal court and remain detained because ICE is not using any of their discretion and they are picking up individuals that just briefly, if I could highlight. They are picking up individuals such as one of our clients who was severely cognitively impaired suffering from seizures, picked up on the way to an open criminal case, and despite all the efforts, to say come on just release this individual, we had to go into federal court just to get an immigration judge to give this individual a

2.2

bond hearing. He suffered prolonged detention

because of the decision to pick someone up in the

middle of a criminal case and then the decision to

detain that individual and the decision to detain

someone who was that severely ill. And this is

continuing, and the numbers alone suggest that it's

only going to grow, and it is only with the continued

support of this Council that we can continue to

represent immigrants who really are suffering.

Just yesterday, Chair, we had a client who is a young mother, a mother of a five-year-old child, who walking out of criminal court in Brooklyn, in daylight, was taken, ceased by ICE officers and if it weren't for the presence of having unfortunately this team that could respond and advocate for her, she would be sitting in jail not able to pick up her child from school. That's how important this is.

These are low-level offenses, these are immigrants across this city and if we are going to stand up for due process for all, we have to increase the funding here, so that we can increase the representation and so that no one is missed simple because we refuse to provide this funding.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, thank you for that and I think this is part of the story we need to tell as we go through the budget process and the one question I have and I'll have some questions as we go through the panel is the new light of the new Judges coming through Varick and essentially we can build This is a Council funded initiative, we NYIFUP. built it with that kind of original flow and a number of people that could possibly get processed and so, now with video conferencing and some other situations like new judges that are appearing this year, this week, that's going to increase. And so, I want to hear that throughout, if you can point to that but that's what's making the need for funding greater. So, that we have more lawyers because there is going to be more people in front of judges. Our New Yorkers are getting picked up on the streets like you said, the way that they are in broad daylight, with open cases, not convictions and they're getting funneled through this deportation machine.

And so, just thank you for laying that out.

ELLEN PACHNANDA: Yes.

ZOE LEVINE: Good afternoon Chair and to the Committee. Thank you very much for convening this

2.2

hearing today and for the opportunity to speak on behalf of the Bronx Defenders. My name is Zoe

Levine, I am the Legal Director of the Immigration

Practice at the Bronx Defenders and I am proud to represent my colleagues and my clients who are fighting the deportation machine every day and as we speak.

I just want to follow up on some of the things that Ellen has talked about with respect to the NYIFUP program and that you Chair have raised about our work we've seen at the Varick Court. As you've said, we've seen dramatic changes in the way that the immigration court at Varick is functioning and have had serious consequences for our program and which requires substantial commitment of resources from the city to address.

There is three sort of main areas that I'll address very briefly. We've seen an expansion in the detained court, we've seen the change to video technology for the respondents to appear and we've seen sudden and dramatic shifts in the way that initial appearances are occurring. So, starting with the most sort of pressing and immediate concern is

the expansion of the immigration of court which is

2

starting this week.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

2425

up to five judges who are hearing detained cases at Varick Street. This change was ruled out in a

sitting at Varick Street Court and we have now gone

As you mentioned, we for years had three judges

providers. We've had a long-standing model of

chaotic fashion without transparency to legal

staffing three intake shifts per week and we've had a

universal representation model where we able to

represent all of the respondence, all of the clients

that are coming through on those three intake shifts.

But now with the increase to five we are not able to

manage those additional intake shifts and as we speak $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

starting today there are people who are slipping

through the cracks. Someone mentioned earlier in one

of the panels that the constituent service line,

you'll start to hear it ringing because there

families are desperately concerned about their

detained relatives and they want to know, is the City

truly providing universal representation? Are they

going to get an attorney through NYIFUP? And right

now, we don't have an answer for all of those

families.

2.

We've also seen as a result of this expansion, that the court is rapidly changing court dates set and established trial dates moving them up from expected trial dates that are months away to ones that are merely days or week away, which severely impacts our ability to robustly defend these clients.

The second point was related to the change to video conferencing. In June of last year, ICE had suddenly and without warning changed its policy of bringing clients to court and change to a video technology system where our clients watch their proceedings unfold from a jail cell by video. This means that we cannot speak to our clients confidentially before, during, or after their hearings. We have confronted constant technical problems due to limited phone lines, difficulty with translation and failing technological systems as extending proceedings my months in some cases.

Both of these changes have dramatically effected our ability to maintain our intake model and manage the huge influx in enforcement in cases that we see at NYIFUP.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you and I think what's important here is I just looked at my opening

2.2

statement, and the way that I introduced the New
York, our incredible NYIFUP program, I called it a
Universal Representation Program. I can't call it
that anymore after Monday. It would be a lie for us
to say we're providing universal representation as of
Monday with all these new cases that you're
presenting to us. So, I think that's the point here
right now, and I'm taking that incredibly serious and
I'm going to take this back to our colleagues, the
Committee, the Speaker, the Finance Committee, the
BNT, that's the new line. We don't have a universal
representation model anymore and that's the funding
question and that's why we're having a budget
hearing. So, thank you so much for that analysis.
Hasan?

HASAN SHAFQULLAH: So, good afternoon. My name is Hasan Shafqullah. I am the Attorney in Charge of the Immigration Law Unit at the Legal Aid Society.

I'll be speaking about NYIFUP but a couple of other projects as well. So, I'm not going to reiterate what my colleagues have said, but I'll talk about the federal work that we're doing, all three providers are doing in NYIFUP where our clients who are subject to mandatory detention or language and detention and

2.2

even though the statute says that they are not entitled to bond under due process, we've all had successes in getting people out. Even all three providers have had U.S. citizens who had no business even being in detention in the first place and if it wasn't for NYIFUP and if it wasn't for going into federal court in some instances to prove it, they would have been deported.

Just last week, we had an incompetent client who wasn't mentally competent to stand trial, who was in detention. We managed to get him out only by going into federal court and so, we're not only in immigration court but also going into the federal judiciary to seek relief for our clients.

So, the NYIFUP federal work is important but there is also and I'm speaking here for Legal Aid, we are doing a lot of work outside of NYIFUP and federal courts. We just one a class action regarding on special immigrant juvenile status on last week

Friday. We're preparing ICE in the courts litigation challenging the abduction of our clients from the court houses. We're preparing on public charge

litigation. Just various things that we're doing and we're also going into federal court on individual

cases doing petitions for review outside of the NYIFUP context and all of that we're seeking support for as well and that's in the written testimony.

In the ICARE context, the providers are asking for an increase up to \$3.9 million total as a collaborative. For Legal Aid we're asking to be fully funded going up to \$822,000 to fully fund our current ICARE staff and then the last in my time is about IOI which is not a Council Initiative but just a flag for the Council that with the expansion of IOI, the city has really increased its investment in immigration legal services, which is great and they did that without an RFP process and part of that was through subcontracting. Legal Aid currently subcontracts with 23 non-profits.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And let's just walk through that because that's really important.

Essentially, they took IOI and then they expanded IOI without the RFP. And what makes that important is that they didn't go through a public process to change the goals of the initiative, the goals of the representation and so, that's really important for us to hear about the step they took and what they can do to kind of remediate that step.

2.2

things. So, the goal with IOI is to provide non-detained immigration legal services. And so, the city is doing that through this program, but the process was not entirely transparent, in some groups were able to come in and other not. Legal Aid right now is — so, we were exposed to a lot of liability for our subcontractors about \$3.5 million and so, that's a really uncomfortable position for us to be in, just institutionally, but in turn fiscally and in terms of performance, so I'm just flagging that.

I don't have an ask around that but just making sure that that's out there.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You mentioned ICARE as well and essentially, we just keep doing what we are doing on ICARE. IS there any need that has increased?

HASAN SHAFQULLAH: Yeah, so right now from the Council we're getting about \$2 million as a coalition and we're asking for an increase of \$3.9 million.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: From the \$2 to \$3.9 or \$3.9 on top of \$2?

HASAN SHAFQULLAH: \$2 to \$3.9 million, that's increasing to that amount.

2.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: He has testimony, if we can get that. I think you mentioned that, right.

HASAN SHAFQULLAH: Yeah, so that's in there.

Part of that is to make the various whole and part of it is to actually increase capacity for unaccompanied minor children.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that.

MARIO RUSSELL: Good afternoon Chair Menchaca.

Thank you very much for having me here today. My
name is Mario Russell. I am the Director of
Immigrant and Refugee Services for Catholic
Charities. Work we have been doing for over four
decades. We have of course spoken so much and so
often with you and with this Council about our work
for which we're grateful for your support of course.

I just want to speak briefly today about a few specific area of need that Catholic Charities has been working on recently and is really looking to this Council for some guidance and support. We have seen and we have heard immigrants and refugees lives so deeply changed and continuing to change be the long time residents, undocumented families seeking a way forward, bread winners who are being arrested and detained and deported, mixed status families who are

2.2

in crisis, refugees and asylees in need of support and care, men and women and children unaccompanied or accompanied who are seeking to take the first steps towards a safe integration. Individuals in court proceedings who have no representation, or simply persons who find themselves in need of appellate and federal representation. We try now to marshal the support and response that they need and again, thanking you in advance for your support going forward and, in the past, as well. The need is great, and we need a response that has your support.

I want for this reason just to highlight very briefly four areas that complement the conversation we have been having so far and, in some cases, amplify it a little bit.

So, the four areas that I'd like to bring to your attention. The first, is what we call the Immigration Court Helpdesk. The Immigration Court Helpdesk is a program that's relatively new and is an important one for New York. It is a non-detained deportation counterpart on a modest scale to the screening process that exits with NYIFUP and needs in our opinion expanded support and coverage. It was created to assist immigrants in removal proceedings

2.1

2.2

in order for them to understand their rights and teaching them to navigate the immigration system a little bit more effectively. At the New York Immigration Court today, Catholic Charities provide the immigrants who are facing removal with a few things; information about the immigration court process, how to access and utilize available resources, and of course, referrals to competent representation.

The principle purpose of the Immigration Court
Helpdesk is to give immigrants support, information
and guidance. Since 2016, August when it was begun,
over 2,500 immigrants who are facing removal have
received this kind of assistance. Many also
importantly, we have given pro se assistance and
filing over 600 cases that would in this case, allow
them to meet a statutory deadline.

We currently offer ten days of this presence at the immigration court and we think it's important to be able to double that presence.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can I ask you a question about that?

MARIO RUSSELL: Sure.

2	

O

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You're asking for about \$200,000 from the Council or from somebody. It's probably going to have to be the Council. It's just the way things are going, and the current funding to the Immigration Court Helpdesk, where is that coming from?

MARIO RUSSELL: That's the Department of Justice.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Department of Justice.

MARIO RUSSELL: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it, so this would be an addition to the Department of Justice funding to amplify from your current ten days across the board.

MARIO RUSSELL: That is correct. From ten to about sixteen or twenty days.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Sixteen to twenty days, got it. I see it here, okay, and then you're working in conjunction with NYIFUP and you're integrated in that?

MARIO RUSSELL: I mean it's connected; they are slightly different population because this is a non-detained.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Oh, this is non-detained, right, okay.

_ 1

MARIO RUSSELL: But what's important about this is that the judges like this program and therefore still receive the support of the DOJ because it helps them on an efficiency side.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right, but that's what they say about NYIFUP to, which is great. Though, I'm not sure if they say it now, I don't know. We should ask them when we see them next.

MARIO RUSSELL: It changes with the month, but I think we're still in the zone for the moment, but I think it's a really important program particularly because a lot of immigrants are referred there by the judges. If that did not happen, they might also miss the opportunity to enter the IOI support of the MOIA, whatever other structure exist in the city networked, including pro bono of course.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And so, walk me through the other pieces really quick.

MARIO RUSSELL: Sure, I'll be very brief. What we're also proposing is an Appellate and Federal Litigation Representation Program. Really again, the bottom line here is and again my colleagues here all do it probably at hawk, right as needed but there is needs to be systematic attention given to the need

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for representation at the BIA levels and then what happens after that? If is a reality we're facing with 103,000 or more cases pending in the immigration courts, those and many cases will end up before the BIA. And I think presenting well structured, well thought out, well-reasoned, dignified cases is going to make the difference, perhaps in a short-term strategy and more hopefully with a long-term strategy where changes come. Especially given that the laws or at least to the extent that the Attorney General has attempted to write restrict interpretation of domestic violence, eligibility or gang violence eligibility or other areas where we really need to maintain what those standards are under statutory law and if not international law. And I think this is where we bring that forwards.

A third area and in a sense it's a reiteration also here is what we would call our emergency action and enforcement response. I'll be blunt, about seven or eight months ago, I got a call from Sister Elizabeth in the Bronx, who said that the next day, Natalio P. was going to check in on his own and he wanted to do it because he didn't want ICE coming to his house. He has seven U.S. citizen children under

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the age of 15. One with Down Syndrome and another
with other medical disabilities and undocumented
wife. Classics case, what do we do? Of course, we
went within the next morning, but in a sense, it was
a losing battle. Right, there is a zero-tolerance
policy in effect with ICE. He was brought to New

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, he was taken during his check-in?

Jersey and we've been honestly -

MARIO RUSSELL: That's exactly what happened. The nest morning at eight o'clock, you know, in Bergen County, but again, the value of Sister Elizabeth's call at least allowed us to enter the scene. Now, ideally, what we propose is can we catch so to speak, people who have ICE check-ins well So, you can marshal arguments, you can before. prepare, you can design a strategy. But even this alone, yesterday, he was released. Right, why, we well, we a did a habeas corpus there, we tried in the southern district, bond hearing. So, one thing led to the next, again, significant resources expended. We're all to some extent having to learn this on the fly but I would submit this is an incredibly deep and important area and I would say that when we talk

about family separation and we all navigated the summers family separation crisis. This is a hidden family separation crisis of a much larger magnitude.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, it's well known to us in New York and I think why we need to keep hammering the conversation around. Family separation isn't just happening on the boarder, it's happening here in our own streets.

MARIO RUSSELL: And the strategy is Council
Member I would say, it's clear, detain the bread
winner and see if you can engage in a war of
attrition with the family. That's what it is.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, that's the battle.

That's the battle strategy of this Administration.

MARIO RUSSELL: So, I know my time is up. I will respectfully end here but of course the last item I would draw your attention to is really the need for support in case management, job development assistance of asylum seekers. It's an area we talked about before. We have a plan that we can share and bring forward, but the notion of bringing that integrative support to people who are in this pending status now for five, six years at this point, I think is really critical to the health of those

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PANEL:

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Next, we have Howard Shih Asian American Federation, Maya Gurung Adhikaar,

individuals, their families, and to some extent of course our own society.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that and I

Thank you very much.

think that the ultimate question here is if we want universal representation on the detained in a detained docket, detained population, and nondetained but really worth looking at removals as a whole for these two populations, the question I have for all and really the whole room, is what is that going to cost? What are we talking about here and so, I'm hoping you can work with us to really develop that as a whole. Because these are different components of the larger strategy as we build our machine against the deportation machine. Our machine based out of love and compassion and family unity. And so, I think that's the kind of thing you take away right now is this is the real, it's happening now. It's been happening for months already but now its our turn as a city in this budget process to name it and then fund it. Okay, thank you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	Tsering Lama from Adhikaar, The Chinese Progressive
3	Association, Mae Lee, The Union Community Health
4	Center Coalition Against Smoking in Immigrant
5	Communities, Dr. Venessa Salcedo, and Naumi Feldman
6	the Charles B. Wang Community Health Center and
7	Coalition Against Smoking in Immigrant Communities.

I think we have another chair coming, there we go. Everyone should have their chair. Okay, who would like to start?

HOWARD SHIH: So, I would like to thank Chair Menchaca and the Committee for Immigration for the support of the immigrants across the city. We also want to thank you for your support for our work. Because of your support, we've been able to do a lot of advocacy for immigrants. So, we've been able to advocate for food delivery workers around the E-bike issue with the Deliver Justice coalition. We've been able to push through the language access, expand the number of languages that uncovered a couple years ago, and you know, we've been discussion about how we can look for innovative ways to fully implement that promise. And also, we were able to hold media advisories and media briefings with - I thank media about the public charge as well.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, all of your support is really important in making sure Asian Americans are part of the conversation around immigration.

What I'd like to highlight and that's in our written testimony is the fact that you know, there is a lot of work that needs to be done. One of the things I want to highlight is that one of the challenges in the Asian community is connecting our immigrant communities with the investments that the city and state has made in immigrant legal services. A lot of times there is a gap between where the services are and where our communities are living and so, through LDP we've been able to show how connecting and funding community-based organizations, they've been able to connect those people to the resources that they need to the immigrant legal services. So, we're able to through that program meet and exceed all of the program deliverable goals and it just demonstrates the tremendous demand for those services and the need to be able to provide those services through our member agencies and through the CBO's.

So, one of the challenges is that the LDP is not a baselined fund from the state and so, we're facing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

challenges in sort of maintaining the capacity and the gains that we've made in the community and so, we're looking for opportunities for either the Council or we are also pushing with the state to continue funding our groups to do the work that they've shown that they can do.

Secondly, I wanted to talk about Asian business They are really drivers of both economic growth in the city. A report we did a couple of years ago showed that have of net new jobs created and have of net new economic activity were due to Asian owned businesses in the city. Obviously, it ties to all the workers in our community. They are looking for jobs that they can have a foot hold in working and emerging into our workplace. And so, Asian owned businesses are providing that but what we're seeing through our work in Flushing through an EDC contract is that there is a gap again within the services that the city offers and invests in small businesses and the ability for the small business owners in the Asian community to access those. example, there is a tremendous number of businesses in Flushing, but the closest small business assistance centers are in Jackson Heights and Astoria

2.2

and you know, given transit, it's a big challenge.

And so, we want to be able to create programs where

we can bring those services to the community modeled

on our experiences in Flushing and we're looking to

talk with you about expanding those to other

And finally, you know Census 2020 goes without saying. I think there has been some work done at the national level that show Asian Americans compared to other groups were less likely to be trustful with a Census largely because of the Census question on immigration debate and we're really encouraged by the requests that you're making for the city to provide money for CBO's and I think it's really important that trusted voices are sending out the same message.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: \$40 million.

HOWARD SHIH: Yeah, so.

neighborhoods as well.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: If we need more, let me know but I think that's the number.

HOWARD SHIH: Yeah, it's a great start and we'd love to be part of that conversation about how we build the coalitions and get the agent CBO's and fall to that as well.

So, thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And the one thing I'm going to say to that point because the New York Immigration Coalition is doing a good job of pulling it all together, but the message is pretty clear. Build your plan for your capacity and how much you can do, and I think that's where we start. We have to start with you. We can't force this on you. Really build a plan and then we can plug in that way and that's going to change from organization to organization as far as the capacity.

HOWARD SHIH: Right, I think a lot of Asian organizations are part of that New York Counts 2020 and we've been contributing to that process where FPI did that study and they requested information from member agencies and so, it's kind of already in there.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, and I'm hearing great things about it to and our job is to bring the money and we're going to do everything to make that happen.

MAE LEE: My name is Mae Lee. I am the Executive

Director of the Chinese Progressive Association and I

wanted to thank you Chair Menchaca and the rest of

the Committee for always playing such a leadership

2.2

role in trying to get our communities more funding
but more importantly advocate on important issues.
The last time I was here I think I was at the meeting
about public charge. So, I'm from Chinatown in the
lower east side and it's a rapidly gentrifying
community but there is still a significant
undocumented population and a significant low-income
population. For us, our families live a little over
the poverty level, so for example, a family of three
might make \$25 to \$27,000 and I think that's 150
percent of federal poverty level. And also, the
other situation is that there are a lot of families
in doubled up housing and it could be in the regular
private housing like the tenements or the public
housing.

So, I know there is a lot talked about in terms of legal services and we're part of the Asian American Federation's Liberty Defense Fund Project and there is also a need for legal services that are located directly in the community and the CBO's and even in a place like Chinatown. We recently have had the experience of trying to — you know, we provide some simple legal services but sometimes we have to bring them to the non-profit providers and even

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

though the non-profit provider might be downtown as well and maybe just in City Hall somewhere or down in the financial district, it's not that far from Chinatown but it was an ordeal to get them there. I mean, it was a real ordeal and we're talking about undocumented immigrants who are not that connected to everything.

For us, you know some of our immigrants they work in other places because they can't find jobs here, so you know, they take the bus to the casinos or the restaurants in Albany and they work, and they travel back and forth like that. You know, so it's sort of being like migrant worker but you work in the restaurant or the casinos. So, that's the type of population I'm talking about, the difficult to reach population that we still take care of. So, the other thing is about the Census. I wanted to let you know that we also think the Census is really important and it's important to our community that's rapidly gentrifying because you know, the rising cost of housing we have a wealthier population that's moving in and it skews the numbers for our community.

So, we still have our low-income families in the doubled-up housing who are less likely to answer

2.2

Census and then we have this higher income

population. So, then even if your drill down to the

community district, it looks good when you average it

out but actually the community district that

Chinatown is in is the one with the second highest

6 | Chinatown is in is the one with the second highest 7 | income disparity in the whole city.

So, I'd like to say, our organization has in some way participated in the last three Census's, so we have some experience and we have a plan but in order to do the outreach that we need to do this time around, especially with the citizenship question and the first time where the internet is the primary way to answer the Census, we need also some resources and funding. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that.

NAUMI FELDMAN: Thank you Chairman Menchaca for this opportunity to present testimony today in front of the Committee on Immigration. My name is Naumi Feldman, I am the Director of Research and Evaluation at the Charles B. Wang Community Health Center. We are a federally qualified health center with sites located in Manhattan and Queens and last year we served over 60,000 unique patients for more than 300,000 patient encounters. 83 percent of our

patients are at or below 200 percent of the federal poverty level and 82 percent are actually best served in a language other than English.

So, I first wanted to take this opportunity to thank you and the Committee for your ongoing support in funding of our health centers health education and immigration related outreach activities with communities located in Flushing Queens. We've had great success with this program, and we hope to expand our outreach in the future. This is through the Immigrant Health Initiative.

But with all that said, I'm actually here today to testify on behalf of the Coalition Against Smoking in Immigrant Communities. This is a coalition that comprised of community-based health and social service organizations seeking discretionary funds to support a citywide expansion of the Tobacco Use Navigator Model Program.

So, we've all joined together in an effort to reduce smoking disparities in foreign-born, Limited English Proficient populations.

This model provides LEP community-based health workers the skills to educate and connect smokers to culturally competent smoking cessation resources.

2.2

Recent data on immigrant smoking thus far highlights tobacco use among certain subgroups and while we've seen smoking rates go down for New York City as a whole over the past 15 plus years, we've seen for example, smoking among Asian American men has actually increased from 19.6 percent to 23.5 percent between 2002 and 2016. So, what we're actually seeing is rates going in the opposite direction.

Furthermore, 28 percent of foreign-born non-citizens in New York City do not have health insurance to increasing their likelihood to be connected to preventative care and education. So, as an organization, we're requesting \$100,000 for our tobacco use navigators to identify and connect approximately 200 smokers to smoking cessation treatment that will provide them with free long term counseling and free nicotine replacement therapy and you can sort of just appose that to the cost of actually treating someone who might be diagnosed let's say with lung cancer as a result of smoking.

A study done almost 15 years ago showed that it cost \$45,000 for initial treatment for lung cancer and over \$120,000 if that first level of treatment actually fails. So, from a cost-effective basis,

2.2

2	something like providing community-based smoking
3	cessation, navigation resources can be really, really
1	effective and I'm joined here by a bunch of our
5	colleagues today who will speak more to this issue.

6 So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I have one question on the DOHMH relationship. Do you get any funding for this initiative from DOHMH?

NAUMI FELDMAN: We don't receive any funding for this initiative.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: This is a new initiative because there's Hepatitis work, there's a lot of other things that we're doing. This is just that I'm hearing it correctly, a new initiative built out of this coalition.

NAUMI FELDMAN: We have one member in our coalition who received funding through the Immigrant Health Imitative that's supported by your committee last year, that's Korean Community Services and they will speak a little bit more about their work doing that. For all of the other partners in the coalition, this is unfunded work for us. So, some of us are already trying to do this work but are hoping for support from the city and others have sort of the

ground work or the foundation to be able to do it, but in order to really ramp this up they would need support.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

VENESSA SALCEDO: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Venessa Salcedo and I am a Pediatrician and Director of Health Promotion at Union Community Health Center which is a Federally Qualified Health Center in the Bronx and just to give you a little background.

UNION comprises of six sites and we have a mobile medical unit that serves all of the Bronx. Last year we served 40,000 unique patients generating over 180,000 patient visits and UNION is excited to join the coalition of Against Smoking in Immigrant Communities like Naumi was saying and this is the first time that we're part of this coalition but as she was mentioning that we have the ground work, we have navigators but not specifically targeted at tobacco use and smoking. So, UNION is asking for discretionary funding to implement this tobacco use navigator model that is effective. That is effective in this Community Health Center and we're specifically targeted foreign-born Spanish speaking patients an we will train the navigator to educate

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and provide these evidence based smoking sensation resources.

As you may know Latino's are the second largest immigrant group that smoke in New York City and what's interesting is that Latino smokers are likely to attempt to quit but less likely to receive counseling and medication. So, that's why this is very important work that we would like to do.

In addition to as a pediatrician, it really important to also address the public health crisis of E-cigarettes among teenagers particularly among our immigrant youth. As you might know about this, this crisis going on with vaping, adolescence in high schools have increased by 78 percent and high schoolers say that they have vaped in the last year and there has been increase of 48 percent in middle schoolers over the last year. So, this is alarming rates and we're not doing anything about it. want to work with the schools that we have partnerships in educating the students because it does effect their brain development and they think it's safe and cool. So, these are the things that we would like to do, and we're excited to be part of the coalition. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Dr. Salcedo. A young person came to our office and said he wanted to organized his young people in his school about vaping. He seen everybody caring these sticks that can be USB charged and it's just like this cool factor and anyway, we're trying to do something, so I'm excited that there is a lot of conversation and it's being led by young people. They are saying to themselves; this is not okay. We know this is not good but its infiltrated culture in our middle schools because — well, anyway, you just said everything, so thank you.

VANESSA SALCEDO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Adhikaar.

MAYA GURUNG: Good afternoon. Thank you to Chair Menchaca and the Committee on Immigration for convening this hearing. My name is Maya Gurung.

TSERING LAMA: My name is Tsering.

MAYA GURUNG: And we are from Adhikaar. Adhikaar is the only women-led worker and community center serving and organizing the Nepali speaking community on workers' rights, immigrant rights, access to health care and language justice issues. The majority of members are low wage workers.

In 2018, with limited resources for our service work, we managed over 100 cases for members on immigration and workers rights. We also organize 13 TPS re-registration clinics in New York alone. Legal screening for adjustment of status as well as four Know Your Rights training for nearly 2,000 Nepali TPS holders and immigrants in the city in partnership with legal service providers such as Legal Aid Society Urban Justice Center and City Bar Justice Center.

One challenge we face is that we do not have an immigration attorney housed within the organization to assist members with specific immigration related inquiries and consultation. To give you an idea of the need, we get anywhere between eight to ten calls or walk-ins with immigration questions or needs a day. Without an in-house attorney, we must depend on other legal service providers for any kind of immigration related support. We refer our members out to city agencies such as ActionNYC; however, our members have not been able to access the services due to the initial language barrier and members get discouraged to go to the agency and sometimes never return to our office.

2.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can I ask, is that something that you've documented before to ActionNYC providers, the language barrier? Is that something that's documented in a letter or in some kind of formal way?

MAYA GURUNG: Not a letter.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, lets work on doing that, because I think that's really important and I can help us shift, not just resources but attention to this. This is really alarming but not surprising. And so, we need to just put it all on paper. That's how we do what we got to do. Put it on paper, and then I want to help support that with my own letter in saying, please respond ActionNYC, MOIA, to this issue, fix it, on paper. Sorry, keep going, well, tell me more about what else is part of your work here that's associated with funding and requests for funding.

MAYA GURUNG: So, the next thing is around like lift classes, the adult literacy piece. We do five English classes and a citizenship class every week. We don't get any funding for it and we have around like 200 plus members who attend the classes right now. And I think the main point is like for a lot of

2.2

the work that we have to do, it is done based on the needs of the community and it's not direct funding that we get. So, what we are asking for is to expand the capacity to kind of fund community organizations like directly and not by funding like small 5,000 to a 10,000 like discretionary funds that are available but to fund like more by giving us like about like \$100,000 a year. Grants that are committed to about three years so that that can expand our capacity. So, yeah, that's what we're asking for.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you and I guess the one question I have to you about the lawyer. You don't have a lawyer and so you're really relying on referrals.

MAYA GURUNG: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I know you are trying to get a lawyer, but how are the referrals working? Do they work? Is that something that you felt like the other — tell me how a referral system works because this is really important in connecting you to the day laborers worker initiative and those organizations, they're doing that to and sometimes some of them, some of them don't but they're referring and so, the power of referrals is that you're sometimes seeing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

people who the first time that they reach out to anyone is you for services of some kind and then you don't have the lawyer but then you refer them. And does that work? Is that working for you?

MAYA GURUNG: Yeah, so the referral system works but because, so the legal services with them to, they are limited capacity as well. So, a lot of times, they wont be able to take a lot of cases as well. So, that's another issue. But even within the referral system, we always have to be there as interpreters as support for able to even navigate the referral system. So, that's why there is as a staff, there's just a lot of capacity wise, we're like really stretched. I mean, it does work. We work with Legal Aid; we work with Urban Justice Center. We work with City Bar, so these are all the organizations we work with but with two staff, like we've done 100 last year, so there is not much we can do in terms of referral sometimes.

CHAIRPERON MENCHACA: Thank you and that's an important part about building capacity within our organizations and if this funding for — not if, when this funding comes out from the Census, like, we're going to need you to be a part of this and you need

2.2

to be ready and the part of that is a capacity component as well. So, that you can expand your services and have all the stuff that you need on the ground. So, thanks for sharing that with us. We're going to have to figure out how to do that and help you build that capacity even with a lawyer on board, there is going to be a lot more stuff that you're going to need and thank you for that. Thank you to the panel. Thanks for coming today.

Next panel, I think we have three more panels to go. From New York Lawyers for Public Interest, Laura Redman, Safe Passage Project, Rich Leimsider, Jodi Ziesemer from NYLAG, Heather Axford, Central American Legal Assistance, Gregory Copeland, NSC Community Legal Defense and Sarah Gillman from The NSC Community Legal Defense.

It's a big panel but it's a big conversation.

Can we start here to my left. Can you start? Thank you, make sure that the button is read and it's close to you.

JODI ZIESEMER: Yes, hi. Oh, it's better. My name is Jodi Ziesemer. I am the Director of the Immigrant protection unit at the New York Legal Assistance Group or NYLAG. I want to talk to you

2.2

today about flexible funding for immigrant legal services and advocacy to respond to changes in policies and practice. So, over the past year, we've seen quickly changing policies in increasing enforcement and confusion as law suits holt or temporarily role back some of the harshest policies and unlawful interpretations of the statute. For example, and as you've heard today, we've witnessed the enactment of the Zero Tolerance policy which resulted in forced separation of parents and children at the border, a 1,700 percent increase in ICE apprehensions at New York Courts and a temporary extension of both DACA and TPS stemming from lawsuits filed against the Administrations attempt to end both programs.

In addition, changes to the governments interpretation of public charge, both intended and executed have given rise to fears and questions in the immigrant communities when it comes to the receipt of public benefits and require the need for education and counsel. Just this past week, federal courts clarify that children over 18 are eligible to apply for special immigrant juvenile status requiring NYLAG and our partners to reach back out to

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

communities to ensure that children particularly those who are close to 21 are aware of this change

4 and can apply for these benefits and we're also going

5 to be doing a lot of education in these both for the

6 communities and for providers regarding this really

7 | favorable decision. There will be additional

unpredictable and urgent issues that will require

9 flexible emergency response.

NYLAG requests that the city expand its current programs that provide flexible funding and provide additional funding that is not tied to deliverables. There certainly is a need for response and representation for people in removal proceedings but there is also a large number of people that already have removal orders or are in some sort of limbo that need a rapid response that doesn't necessarily fall into the city's deliverables in terms of representation and we would request flexible spending in order to meet that need and to anticipate a lot of the other changes that are likely to come in the next year or two years. In addition to things like Know Your Rights presentations and education and cooperating with City Council Members and other elected officials to really outreach into the

communities and make sure that they are aware of their rights and that they are educated about the changes in the law.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that and I guess as we move through the panel, somebody had provided us written testimony and we're going to go through all of it and kind of comb through it. What I want to hear is what I think has been the theme here around prioritization of limited funding and what I'm convinced more and more that removals are where we need to be placing a lot of thought because removals are connected to the separation of our families on the ground. We heard from the economic development, sorry, the economic panels, the economic justice panels. Our immigrants are the backbone of our city and so, we need to make the case and so, I'm hoping you can help us make the case for us.

JODI ZIESEMER: I would just encourage you not to lose sight of the people that already have removal orders. There is literally thousands, hundreds of thousands, probably of people in New York City that have removal orders already and when those people are picked up there is such an urgency both in the community and for that particular individual to have

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a rapid legal response and that is currently not funded through any program.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's what I'm saying. So, I guess you said what I was trying to say. Which is, that's the scope that is not our current scope. We don't have a universal representation; I'm just realizing how we don't have universal representation anymore because of the courts and how they are shifting. And so, how do we move the scope to the true cause and need of the crisis that we're in right So, what you're talking about is what I'm learning I think right now, post order removals and so, that's not part of our NYIFUP program because those are people who are in detention. When you're talking about our folks that are enjoying their life right now, working and maybe showing up to an ICE so, help us make that case. You're in the mix of it and that's what we're going to need to be able to talk to our Council Members, the Mayor, the Speaker, everyone else. Thank you.

JODI ZIESEMER: Yes.

LAURA REDMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Laura Redman. I am the Director of the Health Justice Program at the New York Lawyers for the Public

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Interest and I'm here in support of the Immigrant Health Initiative. As you said, you have our written testimony, so I guess I'll just kind of summarize very quickly the work that we do that is related to what you're talking about here in terms of the NYIFUP program, in terms of people in detention and such. We have a medical legal community partnership where we provide advocacy, connection to medical providers, litigation, lots of things in relation to focusing on health care access and immigration. Getting people better care, getting people out and helping in underlying immigration cases and why I think it's just an important thing to flag in the question that you've asked is that health is really a catalyst and a great way of demonstrating the horrors of our immigration detention machine, as you called it appropriately. The lack of health care, the healthy people that go in and unhealthy people that go out and we really hope that health can be both by using the power of doctors, the powers of lawyers and the powers of community together can really show how heath can be a catalyst to really on upend the system but in order to do that we need the lawyers. We work very closely with the NYIFUP program and with other

2.

attorneys doing this work and we all rely on each other to do this advocacy. So, everybody here has stories to tell you of people that we've helped get released, people who get better care in the community then they ever do in detention.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And you're talking about detention, so the stories that we'll see are people who went into detention healthy, left unhealthy, and for a long-extended period of time?

LAURA REDMAN: Yes, the majority of people we see are there from six months to a year and that's increasing. But I do want to just also flag the other piece of work that we do that's funded under the immigrant health initiative which is focused on connecting undocumented and uninsured immigrants with serious health conditions to state funded Medicaid. So, we do outreach education, immigration representation, health care advocacy for people with serious life-threatening illnesses to connect them to state funded Medicaid and lifesaving care and although we are encouraged and happy about the city and the Mayor's new program, we still have questions about whether it covers the extreme specialist care that our clients need, and that Medicaid provides.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, thank you. That's caring for the whole person and thank you.

RICH LEIMSIDER: Thank you Chairperson Menchaca. I'm going to read a selection of our submitted testimony and we can talk about lots more. So, thank you for convening this hearing, for inviting the public to speak. My name is Rich Leimsider, I am the Executive Director of Safe Passage Project. A nonprofit legal services organization that provides free lawyers as of this afternoon, to 873 child refugees who are currently being deported. We serve children who live in the five boroughs of New York City and in the two counties of Long Island.

Safe Passage receives vital support from the City Council through the Unaccompanied Minor Initiative as a part of the ICARE Coalition and this year we are also requesting \$65,000 in Speaker Discretionary Funds to address legal needs that arose particularly from the recent government shutdown.

No immigrant, not even a child, is appointed a lawyer in immigration court, this is what we all know. If a child cannot afford to hire an attorney, they are forced to defend themselves alone, against a trained government prosecutor and as we also have

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

spoken about children without a lawyer win their cases, only 17 percent of the time but with a lawyer, they win 85 percent of the time. And that's part of 15-year ongoing study from TRACK.

The recent 35-day government shutdown created new challenges for our work. Cases will now take even longer to resolve. For example, cases that were set for a final asylum trial at immigration court during the shutdown will be rescheduled perhaps as late as 2022, we are not quite sure yet. Documents that were required to be filed during the shutdown are now in a black hole. In total, Safe Passage Project had over a dozen hearings that were postponed in asylum trial that didn't go forward. Delays are dangerous for our clients, work intensive for our staff. While we wait, we have to maintain contact with the client, file work permit renewals, help them enroll in health insurance, file paperwork every time they move. list goes on. To say nothing of the emotional trauma that this period of waiting causes for a young child who should already be on the path to citizenship. And if the delay puts their case at risk and it forces deportation, then their life maybe in danger.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Safe Passage is also a proud member of ICARE, which as you know was created hand and hand with the Council as part of a public/private partnership and we are proud to be a part of the group including The Door, Legal Aid, CALA, Catholic Charities, KIND, many of the incredible folks that are sitting at this table with me. And the City Council as a national leader in access to Council work for immigrants and you have been, and we appreciate that. Committed to funding our coalition with matching funding from the Robin Hood Foundation and I do want to say that Robin Hood has already committed a million dollars of its own private funding toward our overall ICARE budget for FY 2020. And so, to date, ICARE has represented almost 2,000 children and we are, as you've heard before, requesting \$3.9 million to fully fund the coalition, not only the cases that we have already taken and are supporting but to add 400 new ICARE clients over the course of FY 2020.

One additional challenge I want to mention, is that the present structure of some city funding doesn't match the reality of these childrens cases and to echo what Jodi and others have said, so current contracts limit both the amount of legal work

2.2

\$3.9 million?

that we are allowed to get reimbursed for any particular child as well as the number of kids that we can re-enroll in any subsequent Fiscal Year and we would love to work together with the Council to help our partners at HRA and the Office of Civil Justice to make sure those contracts are flexible to allow for that work.

We are very concerned about the effects of these challenges especially on children, but I am deeply encouraged by the City Council's continue support and I look forward to the day when we can all live up to our shared vision that no child should face the immigration process alone. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, I want to help Rich. The work with the RHA and the Office of Civil

Justice, let's figure out how we can. It just sounds like the right people need to sit in a room and figure it out. So, you have our support, let's follow up immediately on that. And the only other thing on just the budget, the budget requests. The \$65,000 for discretionary funds that arose from the shutdown, that you are kind of calculating on a separate track, separate from the increase to the

2.2

2 RICH LEIMSIDER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, and then Robin Hood has pledged again for another million?

RICH LEIMSIDER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can we get them up a little bit more to match our \$3.9?

RICH LEIMSIDER: Not only can they not go further but they have indicated that this may be their last year. That they see things as pilot programs. They are very excited of the role they played in Housing Right to Council and they are ready to think about other priorities and they are hoping that this would be baselined sooner than later.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I agree, I agree. Okay, thank you for that.

HEATHER AXFORD: Hi, Heather Axford from Central American Legal Assistance. We've been representing asylum seekers in removal proceeding since 1986.

Last year, we were in immigration court over 500 times. We represent folks from the trial level up to federal court when necessary. Over the past few years, we have found managing our caseload and deploying staff to become really difficult. On one hand, cases have become much more labor intensive.

2.1

2.2

There is a lot of publicity around the Attorney General's decision getting asylum protections for victims of domestic violence. Less publicized but equally insidious was his decision to end immigration courts authority to administratively close cases. Basically, prosecutorial discretion doesn't exist in immigration court anymore and we have to fully litigate every single case on our docket.

In November we had a man who was detained at his home days after his hearing in which the immigration judge had indicated her intention to grant asylum. He was detained for no reason, we had to go into federal court to get him out. He was granted asylum last week, they continued to hold his employment authorization document. Every case is just a battle.

On the other hand, docket scheduling has become really chaotic. On one hand we have cases where the immigration judge retired or was transferred in 2017 and they still haven't been reassigned, but they won't tell us until the week of the hearing that the hearing is not going forward.

So, we're fully preparing hearings only to have them not go forward which is a waste of resources for us and it's traumatizing for our clients.

2 On the other hand, we are getting cases advanced 3 with no notice to us, maybe a weeks' notice to month notice, and you know, in the fall, EOIR prioritize 4 what they called Family Unity Cases, basically 5 expediting cases of families who had been caught at 6 7 the southern border and the instructed immigration judges that they had to adjudicate these cases within 8 a year of arrival but CALA going into 2019, we 9 already had 185 asylum trials scheduled. Cases that 10 had been scheduled since 2016, so our capacity to 11 12 take on these new merits hearings in 2019 is really 13 limited and the judges are just not given the 14 flexibility that they need to work with us. So, this 15 has all been really difficult for us. We continue to 16 show up for our clients for due process. Since the 17 court reopened at that end of January, we've had 25 18 asylum trials go forward. We've won permanent protection for 34 people. Our youngest client is 19 20 three years old. We actually co-counseled with our ICARE partners Safe Passage. She was four months 21 2.2 when she fled with her mother. Her mom was a 23 cooperating witness against members of the Mara Salvatrucha that had murdered her uncle. She has two 24 older brother eight and ten who are now going to be 25

2.2

coming up on a plane with VISA's because their mom won asylum and a right to unify. So, we're really grateful to you guys. We get support through ICARE, which provides the targeted funding for these families.

Also, IOI funding which is more general and allows us to for instance, we've seen a huge uptick in Venezuela asylum seekers, which are usually single adults. IOI funding has helped us pivot to assist this new population. We are really grateful to you guys in kind of helping us to help them because they deserve it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: The only think I want to point to here is, you are describing the machine, the deportation machine and how savvy it's become to destabilize the support that we've been focused on as a city through partnerships with all of you and the funding from tax payer funding and so, this is whats at stake here. So, thank you for just giving us more context and texture about whats happening in real time. So, thank you. Gregory?

GREGORY COPELAND: Thank you to the Committee and thank you Chairman Menchaca for allowing us to be here today. Sarah Gillman and I are part of a new

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

organization, NSC Community Legal Defense. We were previously at the Legal Aid Society and we started this new organization in partnership with the New Sanctuary Coalition seeking to meet a gap that we thought we saw within the universal representation model. You know, as you have identified, the changes that we've seen this week in the immigration courts sort of decimate the ability to call the model a universal representation model, but even before that, the number of people with post orders or that are ineligible for NYIFUP services whether it's because they desperately paid a private attorney that they can no longer afford to pay to fully litigate their case or for whatever reason, there's an enormous population of New Yorkers that are not served by the legal service scheme that's in place.

Our model is primarily a rapid response model that we developed at the Legal Aid Society, somewhat successfully to get into federal court, prevent deportations that were imminent. We filed a case on February 25th at 5pm for somebody that was to be deported at 8:20 and argued it at 7:30 and got a stay at 7:50. So, these are very emergent situations that given the experience that we've now developed over

3

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

the course of about 18 months trying these cases. We are in a position to stop that deportation and then actually partner with other organizations and build capacity and fight these cases.

You know, the first of our rapid response cases was brought by Sarah in March of 2018 and yesterday we were in immigration court and the judge made a point of having the DHS Attorney recognize that this person was a U.S. Citizen. She was about to be put on a plane with incredibly complexed medical issues and whether or not she would survive being sent to her home country, you know, was an enormous concern for us. So, we're unfunded at point. We are trying to build partnerships with pro bono law firms, law schools, you know to meet these needs that we're seeing increasingly just based off of the referrals we're getting. We are getting referrals from the Mayor's Office, we are getting referrals from Legal Aid Society, we have worked with Catholic Charities to do an emergency case where instead of having a child detained for six months, we found out that they were going to be detained on their 18th birthday, the case was assigned to a fairly favorable judge and the child was released that day.

So, these efforts of getting into federal court and getting into federal court in a timely manner is primarily what we're focused on but then also we're seeking with our community partners to not have to respond to these emergencies. So, developing practices for going into check-ins because we're seeing an enormous number of people that are being detained. We know when they are going in for checkins because they are on a final order and have an order of supervision.

So, that's the model that we're trying to build, and you know, to make this sustainable, we obviously need to get funded.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you and this is the question mark, the multi-million-dollar question at the end of the day. Sarah do you want to say -

SARAH GILLMAN: Yeah, thank you again for having us here today and thank you to all of our community partners for all of the great work that everybody is doing. I don't have much to add to what Gregory has already spoken about. I will say that you know, we are a new project and I think the City Council has been quite visionary in recognizing the need for funding new projects that can meet the needs of the

2.2

community and I think that our organization and the work that we have done since we began our organization and that we did previously while we were at the Legal Aid Society is a project that can really meet the needs of the community and it's very encouraging and exciting to hear all of our community partners talking about how the community needs to be represented and as Gregory said, we look forward to working with all of our community partners.

Our particular project is necessary in order to be able to effectively use the federal courts in order to holt the unlawful practices of this current Administration.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's the key word there.

Unlawful, and they are, and we just got to use the courts and the justice system to call them out and we will. And that's our history in the city and that's where we're going to keep committed to.

Thank you all for your service and work today.

Okay, last two panels. This next panel, the
Chinese American Planning Council Carlyn Cowen, Kelly
Sabatino Coalition Against Smoking in Immigrant
Communities, Yoojin Kim, Korean Community Services,
Danny Salim, Arab-American Family Support Center,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Tasfia Rahman from the Coalition for Asian American

Children and Families and then, Chisato Horikawa,

JASSI, the Japanese American Social Services.

here. Who wants to start? Okay, thank you.

Okay, this is a full panel. Yeah, this is great.

Okay, thank you so much for your patience. Every

voice will be heard and I'm thankful that you're

CHISATO HORIKAWA: Hi, thank you for your time today. My name is Chisato Horikawa, I am the Director at the JASSI, Japanese American Social Services INC. So, I want to briefly talk about the health among our Japanese clients. So, a lot of our clients don't have English proficiency and have low or moderate income and they came from Japan where they have access to national health care. So, when they came here, it's really confusing for them to figure out how to access their health insurance or health care system here and we do our best to navigate them through a health insurance system or a hospital system here, but we want to ask for more support for us to better serve the community. Especially now, with the current Administration trying to expand the public charge definition a lot of community members are offered or have access to

2.2

nealth care or health insurance. We actually had a
few clients who came back to us after enrolling in
health insurance saying that they want to disenroll
from health insurance because they heard that they
cannot apply for a green card. Soo, there are a lot
of misinformation around that issue and we want to
make sure that our community members have accurate
information so that they can access to the necessary
care. I believe that no one should chose the right
to stay in this country or health care needs.

So, I would like to ask for your support for the funding for health care related issues such as access health or immigrant health. So, that community-based organizations like us can provide better services to the community members.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Do you get funding from the City Council or the Mayor's Office for any of their initiatives on the health side?

CHISATO HORIKAWA: Yes. So, for this year, we have received the Access Health Initiative.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. Thank you, that's going to be helpful for us. Okay, thank you and I think it just underscores the need for community-based organizations that have started in communities

2.2

your work.

with the question, what do we need as a community and you build infrastructure around that and that's where we plug in. That's how we can support our immigrant communities is to support you. So, thank you for

CHISATO HORIKAWA: Thank you.

YOOJIN KIM: Hi, my name is Yoojin Kim from the Korean Community Services. Thank you so much today for your time Chair Menchaca and the Members of the Committee. So, as you know KCS has been around for almost more than 40 years and we serve primarily the Korean American population in New York City, but we also serve other immigrant populations.

Today, I wanted to speak to you and ask for your support in reducing tobacco use rates in New York City and in New York City's immigrant populations. I had two of my colleague, Dr. Feldman and Dr. Salcedo speak about the coalition and KCS was funded last year in Fiscal Year 2019 for this initiative. So, I wanted to speak to you about that and our experience. So, we were funded \$30,000, our initial ask was \$85,000; however, with \$30,000 we were able to conduct community outreach and education to raise awareness about the dangers of smoking in our

2.2

community as well as produce materials and distribute		
them so that they know where to come or where to go		
for help for example for us, so that we can link them		
to care. For us, because there is for example, in		
New York State, smokers quit line does not offer		
Korean services in their tobacco cessation services		
be linked to ASQ, the Asian Smokers Quit Line, which		
provides cessation services over the phone in four		
Asian languages, that's Cantonese, Mandarin,		
Vietnamese and Korean.		

So, to give you a little bit of a background about tobacco use in the Korean community. So, we're very heavily immigrant, right. 70 percent of Korean population in New York City is foreign-born. Half of Korean's living in New York City have limited English proficiency and we have one of the lowest insurance rates. So, one in four Koreans —

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You have one of the lowest what?

YOOJIN KIM: Insurance rates.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Insurance rates.

YOOJIN KIM: Yes, so only one in four Koreans living in New York City do not have health insurance. So, that exacerbates the problem for us.

J

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Do you have a sense of the eligibility of that population as well?

YOOJIN KIM: What kind of eligibility?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, any kind of eligibility for that population that you studied with the four percent.

YOOJIN KIM: The health insurance?

and the reason I reason I ask is that's part of what we're trying to figure out to, is how we can support you to build the connection to legal services to take eligible members of the community and give them access. Do you have a sense of the eligibility of the Korean population here in the City? How many of them who are uninsured, eligible for some kind of insurance, but they don't know about it, they don't have a lawyer to help them navigate it?

YOOJIN KIM: We do have funding, we do have access health and KCS has you know, ASA navigation program. So, we do help, and we do outreach to let people know that they can sign up for insurance on the market place. So, we are working on that as well.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, to finish, I want to talk to you about one of the clients that I speak to and have helped. He has told me and this a recurring theme, but immigration trauma is something that a lot of immigrant communities deal with. But tobacco use is a symptom and an illness of the immigrant experience. Particularly for Koreans because they come here, they experience immigration trauma and they don't know how to deal with stress and there is also taboo around mental health, so a lot of them rely on tobacco use as an outlet or as a way to relieve stress and it's a vicious cycle. They also are not getting the important information about tobacco cessation or tobacco use from the city. So, there's a lot of different barriers that we're able to identify and it's really important not the Korean community but all immigrant communities in New York City are given that information, the services, so that we work towards health equity and would reduce tobacco use

So, we thank you for your time.

disparity in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and that's an important thing to say to is essentially we are saying that there is essentially toxic stress in our

2.2

2 immigrant communities that causing our connection to
3 increase in smoking.

YOOJIN KIM: Yes, it is both the cause and it also manifests as a symptom.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that's an important thing to say and understand as well. Thank you.

YOOJIN KIM: Thank you.

KELLY SABATINO: Good afternoon. Thank you

Chairperson Menchaca and the Members of the Committee

for this opportunity to speak today. My name is

Kelly Sabatino, I am the Public Policy Manager at

Community Healthcare Network. We are a network of 14

federally-qualified health centers, including two

school-based health centers, and a fleet of medical

mobile vans. We provide affordable, integrated

primary care, behavioral health, dental, and

supportive services to 85,000 New Yorkers annually

throughout Manhattan, Queens, Brooklyn, and the

Bronx.

CHN is also a member of the Coalition Against

Smoking in Immigrant Communities and this year we are expand our tobacco and e-cigarette cessation services to address growing rates of tobacco use throughout the city, particularly among immigrant and limited

2.2

English proficient populations. Currently, we do screen all of our patients for tobacco use and refer them to smoking cessation resources but for a host of reasons that have been sited by our colleagues actually connecting to and maintaining with these resources is difficult. So, with City support, hopefully in the coming year we plan to hire a tobacco use navigator to educate patients about the dangers of tobacco use and link individuals to linguistically and culturally appropriate cessation resources. The navigator will also conduct outreach among tobacco users and their family members during community events and workshops to connect patients to those appropriate resources.

The Tobacco Use Navigator Model aims to bridge
the gap in access to and awareness of smoking
cessation resources throughout the city. In 2016 our
colleagues at Charles B. Wang Community Health Center
and NYU Langone conducted an intercept study
surveying 250 self-identified Asian American New York
City residents. The study found that only one in
four smokers were aware of either the New York State
Smokers Quitline, or Asian Smokers' Quitline as
smoking cessation resources. However, those who did

2.2

know about these resources were more likely to have attempted quitting smoking than those who did not.

63 percent of smokers who were aware of the quit lines had attempted to quit tobacco in the last year, compared with 42 percent of smokers who did not know about those services. These data support the need for greater promotion of existing resources as well as increased funding for programs that empower peers to help patients navigate culturally and linguistically competent community-based support.

We thank the Chairman and the Committee on Immigration for their time today and look forward to continuing our work alongside the City Council to decrease tobacco rates among immigrant populations throughout New York City. We also look forward to collaborating with the City Council to develop a comprehensive platform for bring better integrated health care to uninsured and undocumented New Yorkers. As discussed in our testimony to the Committee on Health earlier this week, we believe the heightened coordination between New York City Health and Hospitals and community health centers such as QHC's would make a material improvement to the city's care for underserved New Yorkers. Thank you.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you and this is your

3 | map?

KELLY SABATINO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome. So, you're

6 really in every borough.

KELLY SABATINO: Minus Staten Island.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Except for Staten Island.

KELLY SABATINO: Hopefully extending there soon.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, we got to get you to Staten Island.

KELLY SABATINO: Yeah.

CHAIRPERON MENCHACA: It's the suburb of Brooklyn, and so, we care about Staten Island for hat reason. There is no Staten Islanders here on this side, oh, yes, that's right. I'm sorry. Okay, I forget that sometimes. This is great, thank you for sharing the map and just kind of providing the sense of access in communities. Thank you.

DANNY SALIM: My name is Danny Salim and a Senior Director of the Anti-Violence Program at the Arab-American Family Support Center. Thank you, Chairman, and thank you Committee for the opportunity to meet with you today and to present the Arab-American

2.2

Family Support Center and the Immigrant and Refugee

communities in New York City.

So, the Arab-American Family Support Center founded in 1994 is there to serve the outer most limits as Asian communities and all immigrant communities that come to us. We promote wellbeing, prevent violence, prepare families to learn, work, and succeed and amplify the voices of the marginalized populations.

Our center has grown over the past three years from four offices into eight offices citywide, so we are in Staten Island as well. So, we are in all five boroughs. Our staff speaks also grown from 50 staff to 76 staff and they speak over 18 languages and over 30 dialects and the growing number for the immigrant communities and their needs. According to the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, New York City is home to over \$3.1 million immigrants, the largest number in the city's history and the Asian community are growing approximately 49 percent of the immigrant speak English less than very well and Arabic and Bengali are among the top 10 languages spoken by foreign-born, New York City Residents who do not use English at home. The New York City State Education

2.2

Department lists Arabic as the third most common language after Spanish and Chinese among English language learners in public schools, with a steady increase in this demographic since 2011.

Current estimate of New York City Arab population range, there was an increase upward of 100,00, mainly over 40,000 in Brooklyn and 20,000 in Queens and the U.S. Census Department continues to reject the requests of the Arab community to be identified as middle eastern or north African and they have always been identified as White, which is inaccurate in the presentation of the community and that would deprive them for a lot of resources and be identified as what they want to be identified as.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can I ask you to jump over to the requests because these are interesting, and I want to kind of ask you some questions about the requests for the city and the budget.

DANNY SALIM: Yeah, definitely. So, as part of the request, we are requesting the expanding of funding of the adult literacy program to \$6 million for the culturally specific programs. And also, the restoring the \$6.375 million to Cultural Immigrant Initiative that support the Arab, Mille Eastern,

2.2

Muslim, and South Asian community and also, we consider all organizations, despite their size, for

4 Communities of Color Nonprofit Stabilization Fund.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Do you receive that fund, the funding for the stabilization grant?

DANNY SALIM: Do, I don't think we do currently.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But you are asking us to

re -

DANNY SALIM: To consider all of in including our organization, and also most importantly to really partner an advocate for funding for Census because, Census came up in this issue today a lot. There is a lot of fear in the community. There is going to be a lot of effort and resources to really engage the community and encourage them to participate in the Census and that required a lot effort and time and energy and resources.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank You for that and really across the board, all the work, there it be access to health care, anti-smoking campaigns. The message of Census becomes an opportunity for coupling all these things that we're doing, and I say that in the same breath of, we have to fund you Census component to. It's not, your already talking to them

2.2

about cigarettes, let's talk about what are those things called? The vapes, jewel, right? Is that a brand, I shouldn't say the brand right, okay, the vapes. That's something that's imbedded into the larger expansion of funding as we think about next year. And so, thank you for mentioning Census and everyone's thinking about it and everyone is talking about it which is exactly what we're supposed to be doing now, especially as we get to April 1st which is the one year lead up to next year.

Thank you.

TASFIA RAHMAN: Good evening. I had good afternoon on here but now its evening. My name is Tasfia Rahman and I am a Policy Coordinator at the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families. Thank you, Chair Menchaca and the members of the Committee on Immigration and Committee Council for holding this important hearing.

CACF is the nation's only pan-Asian children and family advocacy organization and leads the fight for improved and equitable policies, systems, funding and services to support those in need.

We also lead the 15 percent in Growing Campaign, a group of over 45 Asian led and serving

2.1

2.2

York City's budget protects the most vulnerable Asian Pacific American New Yorkers. Campaign members employ thousands of New Yorkers and serve hundreds of thousands of APA immigrants and our organizations are in the best place to provide the most quality language accessible and culturally competent services. So, investment in our organizations are in the long-term cost effective. So, I have a number of asks but for the sake of time, I will add into what Chisato and Danny had added about access health and NSF. Access health is really important to make sure that communities that are the margins of our society are reaching the education and outreach they need to get access to health insurance and health care.

NSF has been integral to the development and the growth of our organizations that often have a hard time surviving in itself to provide services to our communities. That goes to providing basic capacity building resources and also even financial services as well.

So, the other item I'd like to highlight is we ask to increase funding and provide oversight on the \$60 million in annual bridge program funding promised

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

by Mayor de Blasio in his 2014 Career Pathways plan.
We've been.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's the one that Jesse was talking about?

TASFIA RAHMAN: Yes, we had connected with NYCETC to talk more about and so, well current city investment and bridge program focuses on skills building and career development. It doesn't consider the population base in these particular OVP immigrants and our community is heavily immigrant with 78 percent of them being foreign-born. Immigrants also comprise 47 percent of the workforce in New York City and an estimated \$1.7 million New Yorkers are limited English proficient. Job seekers with limited or no English proficiency who do not meet the requirements for intermediate or advanced proficiency are often included from current bridge programming. Therefore, we urge that a significant portion of the \$60 million be used to fund and innovative pilot immigrant workforce development initiative with a focus on integrating preliteracy and basic ESOL classes with vocational ESOL, digital literacy, skills training and student support services.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

CARLYN COWEN: Good evening. My name is Carlyn Cowen and I am the Chief Policy and Public Affairs Officer of the Chines-American Planning Council. My apologizes for my lateness, I was testifying at the Education Committee hearing as well. Thank you for still taking me.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Every voice will be heard. CARLYN COWEN: CPC is the nation's largest social services agency for Asian Americans serving over 60,000 Asian American immigrant and low-income New Yorkers each year. I would like to support all of the asks of Tasfia and CACF which CPC is a proud member of and then I would like to discuss a couple more things which I will start with a story of one of our community members. You may or may not know that Chinese Americans have the highest rate of asylum applications in New York City and one of our community members was trying to apply for asylum and came across a broker similar to [Inaudible 5:37:15] that offered a speedy asylum process and then took her information, took her story, took her photos and copied it over to many, many other asylum

applications and even though hers was real and true
and was excepted, the fact that it was then falsified
on other applications meant that her case was called
into question and we are fighting to keep her in the
country now. This is a story that is just one of
many, but it highlights the need for many different
services impacting immigrants that have been talked
about already today, adult literacy, funding for the
Census, and legal services which is the one that ${\tt I'm}$
going to talk a little bit more about in my remaining
time. If you saw the Comptrollers recent report on
immigration court cases, you'll know that Asian
Americans were the largest group represented in all
active immigration court cases and the Chinese
Americans represented a full 20 percent of them. Yet
despite the fact of this and despite the fact that
CPC serves community members from 40 different
countries speaking over 25 different languages, there
is not a legal services provider for Asian Americans
in New York City or specifically dedicated to them.

This is a huge gap and community-based organizations such as CPC and many others you have seen today are struggling to connect our community members to these services and provide wrap around

2.2

supports. This is an urgent issue that becomes more urgent by the day and we hope that this is something that the city will put effort and attention and funding into addressing, so that stories like the one

that I shared do not continue to be as common place

7 as they are. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that and as you present the issue, the gap of service and really thinking about a legal service provider that's dedicated to the API community or any other community — I'm going to look at you all to help develop that solution, so that we can take it to the city process to fund it, because I think we're not good at rightly so developing solutions without you at the table. Or at least that's my point of view and so, that rang is a very important to stop and acknowledge. And so, how do we think about building the apparatus for the Asian community in the city. And so, lets work on that together.

And the last thing I want to say about Census is we call our last panel, is a better Census response gets us more funding for all the things we're talking about and that's what's at stake here which is why I'm going to say it at every panel and hope that you

2.2

can commit to that work as we advocate for the \$40 million but also once the \$40 million is out there that we do it and we do it well so, we can get out there. Immigration question or not. Cool, thank you.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPRESON MENCHACA: Okay, our last panel. I don't see the number of people here, so we'll see but I think I see Jessica, come on over. Chelsea Goldinger, Greg Waltman, Kerry Brodie, and Shirley Solomon. Thank you so much for being part of our panel, our most special panel and to close it out.

You want to testify as well? Do you want to read you read your testimony, yeah, I won't ask any questions of you but lets get you to fill out a form. The Sergeant of Arms will get that to you. Okay, and lets start with Jessica.

JESSICA FRANCO: So, good afternoon everyone. My name is Jessica Franco and I am here representing the Mexican community more so, an organization of Mexican professionals under the name of We are United and we're coming here with two concerns. One is we are asking that the immigration committee looks into allowing immigrants who are eligible to work,

2.2

immigrants who have a work permit to join the civil workforce such as the NYPD, the FDNY, and Corrections. New York City takes pride in being a sanctuary city but it's not really that way. We don't really support the immigrant community when it comes to the workforce, when it comes to education and housing. There are other cities in the U.S. who are actually really leading the way such as Chicago, Los Angeles, Hawaii, Vermont, Nashville, and so on and so forth.

So, we're asking the New York City Council to really look into this matter. You know, I know that with DNYPD there is the question of the firearm, but maybe we can start with the Fire Departments, right, they don't carry a firearm. It really impacts the immigrant community especially the Mexican community which is the third largest nationality growing in New York City. Unfortunately we have the highest high school drop out rates and one of the reasons is because the Mexican youth don't really connect to they don't see any role models that they can identify themselves with or connect to right, and clearly when we look at the civil workforce and the representation of the Mexican community, NYNDP is made up of 35,000

2.2

members but less than 60 are Mexican. In DFDNY, we only have one Mexican fire fighter. So, clearly, it's almost like you know, a lost way for the Mexican community, for the immigrant community. So, we're asking immigration committee to look into it. As well as with the workforce and education, the summer youth program is not open for students who don't have legal status. So, currently middle school and high school students who don't have a social security number or work permit are not able to participate in the summer youth program.

We are asking again, the Immigration Committee to please run an investigation and really come up with a plan to make the summer youth program accessible to all New York City students regardless of their immigration status. It is very difficult and it's unfortunate to put this burden on a young kid who doesn't have any control over their legal status.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for all of those recommendations and I think some of these pieces can get addressed through more funding to do the kind of outreach and connection and many of these are structural issues that we need to figure out and how to change policies that we remove barriers,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

especially for our young people and when we thing about our services and I want to say thank you for being here and representing the Mexican community but also the Service Community of NYPD and FDNY and Corrections. And so, I hear you, thank you.

GREG WALTMAN: Thank you Council Menchaca for your time. Last but not least, my name is Greg Waltman G1 Quantum. We specialize in different types of proprietary innovation. I am also one of a lesser known candidate for Governor of New York, still pending litigation but I'm not here to talk about that. But I wanted to try to use types of innovation to try to unpack some of these issues and before I begin, I'd like to say what my last MOIA friend here asked us [speaking in Spanish]. So, we are all kind of the same people and as I switch back to English because I don't know that much of Spanish, but there is a little-known solution to a majority of these If you put solar panels on the border wall issues. of 2,000 miles of 10 feet on the southern side, you can create some 242 trillion kilowatt hours of energy which is some \$291 billion of energy per year and if you are able to export energy to Latin America for cheaper, where on average Latin American citizens

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

spend on average 20 or 25 percent more in energy prices, you are able to reduce the barrier to entry to participate in the global economy and thus resolve chain migratory issues and the build up that homeland security Christian Neilson has taken quite a bit of hit for because the scope of her latest hearing is limited to the value based protectionism of the available solutions.

So, what I mean by that is that these solutions exist, solar walls solutions, quantum tracks, other types of solutions but do the media merger type of Cuomo contortionism, they are not being readily addressed in the type of way that can create the type of [inaudible 5:50:23] with the City Councils office where you're articulating that you have \$3 billion surplus this year, but you still have \$20 billion in value based legacy state debt in New York. So, when you're looking to tax more people on different types of MTA, other types of things, you know, these solutions do exist and reallocating resources, revenues that are generated from the solar wall application back to New York is of little or no - you know, it wouldn't be very difficult to do and I'm just here today just to expand the value based kind

2.2

because you know, people are getting hurt and people resort to types of primitive types of ways when they are contracting immigrants and other types of things and when you have the resources available, you know is there a need for that type of animosity? No. So, I'm just here to try to expand the conversation. Let you know that there is more that meets the eye and understand and breakdown some of these complex issues

with NDC as it relates to these solutions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that and for just bringing a context of a national conversation about things that are happening right now. The last thing I heard, I don't think that there has been solar panels being proposed on Trump's wall but I think what it makes me think about is what we're doing here in renewable energy infrastructure and thinking about how we bring wind farms to the coasts of New York and that's happening in my district and those are job opportunities that we are going to connect and part of what we have been talking about today is how we make sure that immigrant communities who are not connected to those kind of job markets traditionally and because of barriers like language,

2.2

all those things get removed and as a City Council we look at city as a way. But thank you for expanding the view here and thinking about other things at the same time. So, thank you.

GREG WALTMAN: Thank you for you time.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Absolutely. Finish us off here with your testimony.

ZACH MALLICK: Thank You. Good afternoon Chair Menchaca and Members Staff of the Committee on Immigration. Thank you for all of the important work you've been doing this afternoon and your time in office and all of your work.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

ZACH MALLICK: And for allowing me to share a bit more about our work this afternoon. My name is Zach Mallick but I'm testifying on behalf of Kerry Brodie. So, if the language is a little off, this is her testimony.

I am the founder of Emma's Torch. Emma's Torch is a non-profit social enterprise that provides culinary training and job placement services to refugees, asylees, and survivors of human trafficking. We aim to reimagine how refugees are integrated into society. Through our hands-on

2.1

_ -

culinary apprenticeship, tailored mentorship, and job placement services, we prepare our students for sustainable employment in an industry where their culinary heritages can be celebrated.

On behalf of the refugees, asylees, and survivors of human trafficking in our program, as well as our community partners, and the employers who we work with, I urge you to consider supporting our continuing effort to ensure that the newest members of our community are empowered to begin new careers with upward mobility.

Our program offers 600 hours of paid on the job culinary training, job readiness classes, and English as a new language, to our students. They learn on the job skills at our restaurants. We currently have a restaurant in Carroll Gardens Brooklyn, and we operate the café at the Brooklyn Public Library Central location. We work with over 35 non-profits, refugee resettlement agencies, and homeless shelters who refer clients into our program. Upon graduating from Emma's Torch, we help our students find jobs in a wide range of restaurants.

We see our work as empowering our students to provide a vital service to New Yorkers. At our

2.1

2.2

restaurant we offer delicious, nutritious food to our community. But more than that, we help to ensure that there is a strong and diverse workforce to support the culinary sector. Restaurant owners of varying sizes struggle to fill their kitchen with dedicated and talented staff. We assist them with this. Our students are therefore not employed through a sense of charity, but through a real appreciation of the value that they bring to the table. Often when refugees come to the United States they struggle to survive. With you help, we can ensure that they thrive.

I started this organization two years ago. In that time, we have scaled up significantly.

Currently, we are set to enroll 70 students in our program this year. To date 96 percent of our job seeking graduates have began career in the culinary industry upon graduation. With your consideration of our funding request, we could further invest in our students, and help to ensure their success.

Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for the final testimony and I just want to say thank you to the staff, I think MOIA is here to as well. Thank you so

2 much for being here and taking back the notes, the 3 strong will of the people's voices were heard today. I want to thank the staff here who have been 4 incredible in helping prepare for this hearing. 5 not easy to do this because of the incredible need 6 7 and how we want to think about how we make decisions. I think that's all I want to say about that, because 8 we have to make some decisions soon and you have 9 really helped us expand the view but also commit to 10 the things that we're trying to commit to, to support 11 12 some of the more vulnerable people that live in the 13 city who are truly the backbone of our neighborhoods 14 and whether communities that are just coming to New 15 York as immigrants or long standing immigrant 16 communities that have been here for a long time and all of them deserve and opportunity but what had 17 18 struck me the most about todays hearing was really thinking about, we have to make decision about where 19 20 we put funding and it is our prerogative as a government that's for the people to support the most 21 2.2 vulnerable people and I think that's how we have to 23 make decisions and that's what I want to be taking back to the Council Members and the Speaker, and the 24 That that's how we make decisions because we 25 Mavor.

don't have an infinite amount of money of funding,
but we do have integrity to that goal and if we all
believe that then we can make some decision and part
of what we discussed today is that. Some of the most
vulnerable communities experiencing some of the most
high-risk family separation and everything that comes
with it.

And the final thing I want to say is Jin Lee here is leaving us to move on to bigger and better things and so, we want to make sure that we say thank you.

We are going to miss you. You prepared an incredible hearing and with that you can gavel us out and do our final gavel. Oh, wait and we're going to take a picture of this. This is a special moment. We're going to lose a very important person here. Okay, and the meeting is now adjourned. [GAVEL]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018