1 CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK -----Х TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES Of the SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS ---- Х MARCH 6, 2019 Start: 1:15 P.M. Recess: 2:51 P.M. HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE RM, 16TH FL B E F O R E: BEN KALLOS, CHAIRPERSON COUNCIL MEMBERS: CHAIM M. DEUTSCH RUBEN DIAZ, SR. VANESSA L. GIBSON ANDY L. KING CARLINA RIVERA ANTONIO REYNOSO World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road - Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

LACEY TAUBER, (HPD) Department of Housing Preservation and Development

DREW VANDERBURG, RiseBoro Community Partnership

DAVID POWELL, Cooper Square

DARI ASKELL

GENEVIEVE MICHAEL

NINA SANCHEK

1

MICHAEL LEONARDO: Today is March 6th.
This is a prerecorded test. Today is March 6th.
This is a prerecorded test for the Subcommittee on
Planning. This is being recorded by Michael
Leonardo.

7 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Good afternoon 8 and welcome to the Subcommittee on Planning, 9 Dispositions and Concessions. I am Council Member, 10 Ben Kallos, the chair of this subcommittee. You can 11 tweet me at Ben Kallos and find me there on Gram and 12 Facebook, even Get Hub. Today we are joined by 13 Council Member Ruben Diaz Sr., Council Member Chaim 14 Deutsch and a guest at our Committee because it is 15 the best Committee, Council Member Carlina Rivera. Today we will be holding a hearing on four projects, 16 17 Land Use Items 357 for 332 Eldert Street, Land Use 18 Item for 358 for 63 Stockholm Street and Land Use 19 Item 347, Cooper Square MHA Phase 1 and Preconsidered Land Use Item 187 for West 133rd Street. If you are 20 21 here to testify please fill out a white witness 22 speaker slip with the Sargeant at Arms and indicate 23 the project name of the item you wish to testify on, 24 on that slip. Before we begin our hearings, we will 25 be voting to approve three projects we heard in

3

2 February, Land Use Item 330, 67-69 St. Nicholas Avenue, Land Use 342, 32-34 Putnam Avenue Cluster and 3 Land Use Items 343, 344, and 345 East Village Homes 4 Phase 1 and 2 and East Village Homes NCP. For Land 5 Use Item 330, 67-69 St. Nicholas Avenue in Council 6 7 Member Perkins District in Manhattan, HPD is seeking a 40-year article of Tax Exemption Pursuant to 8 section 577 of the Private Housing Finance Law and 9 the termination of the prior exemption for this site. 10 The building of a 27-unit low income was carved out 11 of the most recent round of 3rd Party Transfer 12 13 Program. (Yay!). The 40-year Tax Exemption will be retroactive for 10 years and forward for 30 years. 14 15 The current HDFC will retain ownership of the 16 building, that is great news. Council Member Perkins 17 is supportive of this project as am I. For 18 Preconsidered Land Use 32-34 Putnam Avenue Cluster which consists of a group of buildings in the 19 20 District of Majority Leader Cumbo and Council Member Cornegy in Brooklyn. The project includes six 21 2.2 partially occupied city-owned buildings that will 23 provide 51 affordable cooperative dwelling units, 5 affordable rental dwelling unit and four store-front 24 commercial spaces which are restricted on the rent 25

2 for affordable commercial space. HPD is seeking pursuant to article 16 of the General Municipal Law 3 and section 577 of Article 11 the Private Housing 4 5 Finance Law, approval of an Urban Development Action Project and Real Property Tax Exemption for these 6 7 properties located at 32 Putnam Avenue, 34 Putnam Avenue, 550 DeKalb Avenue, 55 Carlton Avenue, 374-76 8 Prospect's Place and 1216 Pacific Street, Majority 9 Leader Cumbo and Council Member Cornegy are both 10 supportive of this project and saving the best 11 12 perhaps for last Land Use Items 343, 344, 345 East 13 Village Home Phase I and II and East Village Homes 14 MCP will facilitate the new construction of two mixed 15 use mixed income residential rental buildings with 54 units in total that will be built on two 16 17 noncontiguous vacant city lots. Phase I of the project is located at 302 East 2nd Street, Phase II 18 is located at 276 East 3rd Street in Manhattan. 19 HPD 20 is seeking an Article 11 Tax Exemptions from the two sites and Amendments to previously approved UDAPT 21 2.2 project. The original UDAPT Project was approved by 23 New York City Council on April 29, 2010. Ooh... that's because it took nine years to get done. Resolution 24 #214 in July 19, 2006 under Resolution #450, Council 25

1

2 Member Rivera is supportive of this project and is 3 here to say some words on it.

CARLINA RIVERA: Great, thank you, 2010 4 was a good year. So, Chair Kallos and Committee 5 6 Members thank you for the time to, to comment on the 7 application today. I want to briefly state my support for, of course, Cooper Square Mutual Housing 8 and the project that you will be discussing today. 9 I cannot stay for it but they know that they have my 10 full support and I look forward to working with HPD 11 12 on this project. Thank you for the opportunity to once again speak in support of an affordable housing 13 development in my District. East Village Homes is 14 sited at two vacant city-owned lots on East 2nd and 15 East 3rd Streets. At the previous attempts of 16 17 developing these parcels some years ago, I believe 18 that the Department of Housing Preservation and Development have negotiated a develop plan that will 19 20 finally yield results. The development team is led by Asian Americans for Equality (AAFE), a local non-21 2.2 profit with a successful track record and not for 23 profit housing provision. Over the last two years, they have diligently worked on attaining the public 24 25 financing and City Capital to bring this project to

2 fruition. I was happy to commit Capital from my own allotment to make truly affordable units a reality in 3 4 my District. The actions before you will result in 53 units with affordability for 40 years and will 5 include commercial and community facility space. 6 The unit mix is targeted to both individuals and families 7 and units will run as low as 27% of AMI. This is a 8 sorely needed housing asset in a neighborhood that 9 10 continues to see immense rental pressure. I thank HPD for working quickly on this latest phase of a 11 12 disposition as well as the development team and AAFE 13 for their continued efforts at expanding affordable 14 housing opportunities in the lower East side. I ask 15 this committee to support this project and vote to 16 approve these actions today. Thank you so much. 17 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I now call for a 18 vote to approve Land Use Items 330, 342, 343, 344, Counsel please call the roll. 19 and 345. 20 COUNSEL: Chair Kallos? CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: 21 Aye. 2.2 COUNSEL: Council Member Deutch? 23 CHAIM DEUTSCH: Aye. COUNSEL: Council Member Diaz? 24

25 RUBEN DIAZ: Aye.

2 COUNSEL: Uhm the Land Use Items are 3 approved by a vote of three in the affirmative, no 4 negative, no abstentions and will be referred to a 5 Land Use Committee for a vote and we will leave this 6 vote open. (long pause).

7 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm we've been joined by Council Member Antonio Reynoso whose item 8 is, he was perfectly on time for the item that is 9 upcoming. Our first hearing will be on two projects 10 which we will hear together of Land Use Item 357, 332 11 12 Eldert Street in Council Member Espinal's District 13 and Land Use Item 358, 63 Stockholm Street in Council 14 Member Reynoso's District, both in Brooklyn. A 15 third-party privately-owned site at 272 Jefferson 16 Street is also part of the project but is not seeking 17 any City Council approval. Land Use Items 357, 332 18 Eldert Street will facilitate the development of a new building with four units of affordable housing. 19 20 Land Use Item 358, 63 Stockholm Street will facilitate the development of a new development with 21 2.2 20 units of affordable housing. Both projects will 23 be developed in vacant city-owned lots, will serve a range of incomes from 30% to 80% of AMI and include a 24 10% set aside for formerly homeless. I just want to 25

2 note that these are very low AMIs and I want to complement the local Council Member uhm Antonio 3 Reynoso on really achieving such deep levels of 4 5 affordability. HPD, this project will receive as of 6 right 420 C Tax Exemption. HPD seeks approval for an 7 Urban Development Action Area of Designation, Land Use 358 and for Land Use 357. Seeks project and 8 disposition approval for block 3419 lot 24 and block 9 3243 lot 65. I now open the public hearing on Land 10 Use Items 357, 358, for 332 Eldert Street and 63 11 12 Stockholm Street and before we hear HPD's testimony I 13 would like to invite Council Member Antonio Reynoso, 14 uhm former co-Chair of the Progressive Caucus, my 15 friend and colleague to provide uhm some remarks.

16 ANTONIO REYNOSO: Thank you Chair Kallos. 17 I appreciate the time uhm I just want to actually let 18 the HPD know and the Committee know of how supportive I am of this project. The affordable housing issues 19 20 that we are having in our District are something that we don't feel that we can get a grasp on actually 21 2.2 considering the amount of the gentrification and 23 displacement that is happening throughout the city 24 but mostly when it is brining Bushwick in my 25 District. So, this is going to be a welcome, a

2 welcome asset to the community. These 20 units are all affordable housing. The Affordability Action, I 3 don't want to take credit for any of it. It falls in 4 line with the Mission Statement of RiseBoro and St. 5 Nick's Alliance to build affordable housing for the 6 7 needy and for the demographic that suits our community so, uhm I didn't do any pushing for them to 8 actually ask for this. They've actually done it on 9 10 their own uhm so there is no surprise to me that the affordability that was extremely, extremely low. So, 11 12 I'm looking forward to seeing more details on the project but happy that HPD has allowed for this lot 13 14 to be developed by affordable housing providers in 15 the District without the need of private developers 16 and I think we are finally going to uhm, use this as a model and example and do all of the housing 17 18 available in our District through city-owned land that it can all be developed in the near future. 19 20 Since Stockholm has been in the District for a longtime and HPD has yet to move on it and so the fact 21 2.2 that we are moving on it is a good thing but it has 23 taken a long time. We feel like there are other 24 properties in our District that are right for development that have been sitting while the City is 25

1

2 going through and Affordability Crisis so it just is 3 beyond me why we can't get this all done in an 4 expedited timeline. But again, I want to thank HPD 5 for being here and the Chair for allowing me the 6 opportunity to speak.

7 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: We will probably 8 hear in the testimony but do you recall how long that 9 piece of land has been sitting there, vacant?

ANTONIO REYNOSIS: Yeah, uhm, I don't 10 know exactly the timeline but I'm, I'm pretty sure 11 12 that the lot is probably the part of an UDAP which is probably 1980s. So, worse case scenario, this 13 14 property has been sitting vacant for more than 40 15 years in this community, a community that is 16 suffering from the need of affordable housing and 17 gentrification.

18 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Thank you uhm, we will now ask the panel to state your names for the 19 20 record. Is somebody is planning to do a presentation they should go sit at that table as well. Uhm if you 21 2.2 can please state your name for the record? 23 LACEY TAUBER: Lacey Tauber, HPD. 24 DREW VANDERBURG: Drew Vanderburg, RiseBoro Community Partnership. 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 12 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And I will ask 3 the Committee Counsel to administer the oath or an affirmation? 4 5 COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in 6 7 your testimony before the subcommittee and in 8 response to all Council Member questions? 9 LACEY TAUBER: Yes. DREW VANDERBURG: Yes. 10 COUNSEL: Thank you. 11 12 LACEY TAUBER: Okay, so uhm I have two 13 testimonies one for each site. They are a little bit 14 repetitive so I'm sorry about that but there are two 15 different actions so we need to read them both into the record. Uhm Land Use Item Number 357 consists of 16 17 the proposed disposition of one city-owned vacant lot of 332 Eldert Street in the Bushwick Section of 18 Brooklyn, Council District 37 and Bushwick Alliance 19 20 332 Eldert Street. The sponsors for the project was selected through a competitive process and proposed 21 2.2 to develop the site under HPD. 23 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Sorry, sorry to interrupt your testimony. Give me one moment. I 24 25 would like to acknowledge that we have been joined by

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 13 1 2 Council Member Vanessa Gibson. I just want to reopen the vote. Uhm Committee Counsel? 3 COUNSEL: Uhm vote on Land Use 330, 342, 4 343, 344, and 345. Council Member Gibson? 5 6 VANESSA GIBSON: I vote aye. 7 COUNSEL: The Land Use Items are approved by a vote of four in the affirmative, no negative, no 8 abstentions and will be referred to full Land Use 9 Committee for a vote. 10 11 VANESSA GIBSON: Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Please continue, 13 thank you. 14 LACEY TAUBER: Okay, where was I? The 15 sponsor for the project was selected through a 16 competitive process and proposed to develop the site 17 under HPDs Neighborhood Construction Program or NCP. 18 The NCP funds the new construction of in fill rental housing on small but developable site. The Eldert 19 Street site is a component of a larger project known 20 as Bushwick Alliance NCP. Upon completion, the 21 2.2 building will contribute four units of rental housing 23 that will be affordable to low-income individuals and households of income up to 80% of AMI. The unit mix 24 comprises one one-bedroom and three two-bedroom 25

2 apartments with rents targeted at tiers of 37%, 47% and 77% of AMI. Additionally, under a separate Land 3 4 Use Action the Bushwick Alliance Project will include a second city-owned site and a private site that will 5 provide 24 additional units for a total of 28 housing 6 7 The estimated total development cost for the units. Bushwick Alliance Project is \$14,594,898 which is 8 subject to change. In order to facilitate 9 10 construction of this project, HPD is before the Council seeking approval of Land Use Item number 357. 11 12 Okay and then Land Use Item number 358 consists of a ULURP action seeking UDAP area designation and 13 14 project disposition and approval for one vacant city-15 owned lot at 63 Stockholm Street in the Bushwick 16 section of Brooklyn, Council District 34, known as 17 Bushwick Alliance 63 Stockholm Street. The sponsor 18 for the project was selected through a competitive process and proposed us to develop the site under 19 20 HPDs Neighborhood Construction Program. I will skip some things I already said, a component of the larger 21 2.2 project known as Bushwick Alliance NCP. The proposed 23 development at 63 Stockholm Street will result in new construction of a 4-story building with 20 units of 24 rental housing that will be affordable to low-income 25

2 individuals and households with incomes up to 80% of AMI. The unit mix here comprises of five studios, 3 4 eight one-bedroom and seven two-bedroom apartments with rents targeted at tiers of 27%, 37%, 47% and 77% 5 6 of AMI. Amenities for tenants will include laundry 7 facilities, storage spaces for general use and bicycles and a planted recreational yard. 8 The building will be built to enterprise green community 9 10 standards to conserve energy and reduce environment impacts. And then I think the rest of it is what you 11 12 have already heard about the project as a whole so I will skip that part and submit this if that's okay, 13 14 for the record. I just want to add a comment about 15 uhm and I want to say thank you for your support of 16 this project Council Member and I think the thing about your comment on these vacant lots. The NPC and 17 18 NYHOP program that is designed to address that exact concern is still fairly new and we are starting to 19 see a lot of projects in that pipeline now where we 20 are able to use those programs to develop affordable 21 2.2 housing on some of these smaller and harder to 23 develop lots that have been sitting for a long time 24 and so that's exactly you know what this program is meant to address. 25

2 ANTONIO REYNOSO: Can I, also given that 3 it is a new program, I think one of the parts that we actually are happy about is the fact that most of 4 5 these projects are going to, exclusively to 6 community-based organizations. Uhm, there was a time 7 before the Bloomberg Administration where a building by not-for-profits was the norm and overnight it just 8 became that they can't develop anymore. 9 They don't 10 know how to develop or they don't have the capacity to develop. Prior to that, it was almost exclusively 11 12 done by public by not for profits. Maybe this is the beginning of restarting that conversation but even 13 14 know we see HPD seeing that or believing that they 15 have to have joint public private partnerships or 16 exclusively private partnerships above affordable housing in the City and I disagree with that. 17 Ι 18 would change anyone to, to speak to RiseBoro or St. Nick's ability to build affordable housing even at 19 20 large scale without any private partnership. So, if this is the beginning of reopening that conversation 21 2.2 and a, and a movement by HPD into building again, 23 allowing for the right people to build this 24 affordable housing then I am extremely excited for 25 And I am excited to see this program continue to it.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 17 1 2 do its work. You are right, I am very happy that we are finally figuring this out and there is some 3 4 initiative done here by HPD to build these smaller lots that have been gone vacant for guite some time. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Lets go to the 7 presentation from RiseBoro. DREW VANDERBURG: Hello, thank you for 8 having me here today. I'm just going to speak 9 10 briefly on the project, happy to answer any questions, let you know the details. Uhm, so this 11 12 project is one ULURP and also one UDAP as was 13 discussed earlier, two items and this is also two 14 non-profit developers based on the community who will 15 both be developing and owning these buildings and I 16 just want to point out to Scott at St. Cluster, 17 obviously two vacant owned sites and one private site 18 and that is three new buildings that will be property managed by RiseBoro. We have buildings all over his 19 20 neighborhood so we are excited to add these three to our scattered site portfolio uhm and it is a total of 21 2.2 28 new affordable apartments uhm in Bushwick. So, 23 here is the technical language about what we are requesting today, Urban Development Action Area 24 25 Project Designation and Project Approval.

1

2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: You can skip
3 that and go to the next one. Everyone else has done
4 it.

5 DREW VANDERBURG: Alright, you got it. 6 Uhm this is just a bird's eye view of the site, 7 bounded by Evergreen Avenue, Central Avenue, DeKalb Avenue and Stockholm Street and then here we have the 8 details about the building. So, this is, get to the 9 good stuff, 20-units, 4-stories, 27% AMI to 80% AMI 10 uhm and this building will be design to passive house 11 12 standards which RiseBoro and St. Nick's are really excited about, a type of architecture and 13 14 construction methodology that I will get in to at a 15 later slide. There will be solar panels. There will 16 be a laundry room. There will be bicycle storage, a back yard. It is going to be about 19,000 square 17 18 feet of new housing and something that was recently introduced to the plan from HPDs initiative is a 10% 19 20 formerly homeless set aside so we've added those at 27% AMI for formerly homeless folks. Alright, then 21 2.2 we've got the site plan so I think the building is 23 contextual with the neighborhood. You can see we've got slight setback from the street wall so that there 24 25 can be some planted areas and a little bit a soft

2 landing for the residents, there will be street There is a back yard which is accessible to 3 trees. all the residents in the building at 63 Stockholm and 4 it is touch and go neighboring lots right there. 5 So, this is just for the 63 Stockholm site, I mean I 6 7 wanted to specify this building as the ULURP and out of the 20 units you can see the unit sizes and the 8 rents, we've been over this and then the next slide 9 shows you the other two buildings in the site. 10 272 Jefferson Street and 332 Eldert Street which will 11 12 both be 4-unit buildings adding 37% AMI to 80% AMI units to the building or to the project rather. 13 And uhm while 63 Stockholm will be designed to the 14 15 passive house standard, these buildings are a little 16 too small to technically be passive house buildings but they will still be using the same energy 17 efficient methodologies in their construction style. 18 So, this is what the whole cluster looks like then 19 20 when you add all 28 units together, most 2-bedrooms because we are responding to community need for 21 2.2 larger unit sizes for families. Uhm but we've also 23 got some studio units and one-bedrooms and then you've got the whole affordability distribution. 24 Uhm in prior innovations of this project which we spoke 25

2 to your office about, we were not utilizing the income averaging but this is now an early income-3 4 average project with HPD which allowed us to add some deeper affordability uhm in exchange for also adding 5 a few more 77% AMI units so that you can see an 6 7 approximation of what the monthly rents would be for residents and that doesn't even account for the 8 formerly homeless units. I just want to say a little 9 more about Passive House Design because this is an 10 exciting thing that we are trying to emphasize that 11 12 should become the norm for the building code in the 13 City ideally and also for all architects working in 14 the City to have these skills in their shops. 15 Essentially we have thicker walls there and insulin 16 which provides air tightness to the building so that you can have a lot more temperature control, creates 17 18 better air quality for the residents, you also utilize efficient equipment in the building, low 19 20 voltage equipment and low flow water appliances and then uhm we also have HVAC systems that use heat 21 2.2 recapture and air source heat pumps getting into the 23 technical stuff but essentially a way to recycle the 24 energy load and the heat usage in the building and then finally we harness the sun with photo vortex on 25

2 the top and we are hoping to work with NYSERDA to add a little additional financing and energy incentives 3 4 to fund the solar grid on the ceiling of 63 5 Stockholm, I mean the roof. And the solar shading is 6 a really cool thing. This is a building in 7 Knickerbocker, it's called Knickerbocker Commons, it's a RiseBoro Building where you can see the angles 8 of the exterior kind of blocks the light, sometimes 9 of year and allows it in at other times of year. 10 Т don't think our building has exactly that design but 11 12 it is part of the methodology of Passive House. Also wanted to emphasize another initiative that St. Nick 13 14 and RiseBoro will be collaborating on for this 15 project which is to employ local folks and MWBE folks 16 in the construction and the operations of this building. So, you can see right there, essentially, 17 18 we will be meeting all of the local hiring requirements throughout the city focusing on the 19 20 Bushwick area and section III. Also, we will be meeting MWBE contractors and vendors to work on this 21 2.2 project to ensure that the general contractor who we 23 do soon eventually will bring aboard will be seeking 24 those opportunities and will meet all of the HPD requirements for that. In fact, our architect is 25

-	
2	already helping us meet that as an MWBE organization.
3	Uhm this project will be listed in HPDs higher NYC
4	Portal and RiseBoro and St. Nicks both have robust
5	workforce development programs and we are even
6	opening a new training center in Bushwick right
7	nearby the 332 Eldert Building. So, I am looking
8	forward to the opportunity to provide those services,
9	not just for the residents but for potential hires
10	who will be working on the constructions and
11	operations of this building. And that's it for now.
12	Any questions, I'd be happy to speak further.
13	ANTONIO REYNOSO: I just have one
14	question, we are trying to do more when it comes to
15	supportive housing in the City Council, some of us
16	are trying to do more when it comes to supportive
17	housing in the City Council.
18	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I support
19	Antonio fully.
20	ANTONIO REYNOSO: We've been talking
21	about increasing the homeless set asides from 10% to
22	15% in some cases. Is there an opportunity here to
23	revisit that conversation about expanding or adding
24	maybe an apartment or two have for 15% of it be
25	

22

25

1

2 homeless set aside? Just wanted to know if we still have time to have a conversation about that? 3 DREW VANDERBURG: I think we do have time 4 5 and I'm definitely willing to have the conversation. 6 RiseBoro is open to that and St. Nicks is and of 7 course it is just a matter of the budget for the project but we just recently added the 10% at HPDs 8 behest so I see no reason why we can't look at it 9 10 again.

ANTONIO REYNOSO: Alright so I would love 11 12 to have that conversation and be mindful that I don't necessarily want to increase the amount of 77% to 80% 13 14 units to offset that. I just want more money that 15 was. But I would say that it is more affordable to house homeless families in these units than it is to 16 you know manage them through the homeless shelter 17 18 system. So, I think comprehensively the City would be saving money by having these units available. 19 So, 20 I really want to just have that conversation. I think because of the amount of units that we are 21 2.2 talking about here it is probably like one more 23 apartment but please let's have a conversation about it. I don't to go to the Chair of the Land Use who 24 25 has made a commitment to pushing for 50% set aside

-	
2	and not have that, and that I don't follow through
3	with that commitment outside of Legislation so if we
4	could just have that commitment it would be helpful.
5	LACEY TAUBER: We can take that back to
6	the finance team and see if we can make the numbers
7	work.
8	ANTONIO REYNOSO: Alright and if you need
9	me give me a call and I would be more than happy to
10	help to make that happen. And outside of that the
11	project looks, looks great and I am excited to see
12	the development happen as soon as possible. Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Thank you. What
14 15	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Thank you. What portion of those who are going to be winning the
15	portion of those who are going to be winning the
15 16	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the
15 16 17	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the affordable housing, how many of the folks are on the
15 16 17 18	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the affordable housing, how many of the folks are on the younger side? How many of the folks are on the older
15 16 17 18 19	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the affordable housing, how many of the folks are on the younger side? How many of the folks are on the older side? How many folks who are disabled apply and get
15 16 17 18 19 20	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the affordable housing, how many of the folks are on the younger side? How many of the folks are on the older side? How many folks who are disabled apply and get offers and how many of your units will be fully
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the affordable housing, how many of the folks are on the younger side? How many of the folks are on the older side? How many folks who are disabled apply and get offers and how many of your units will be fully accessible for tenants as well as if a tenant should
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the affordable housing, how many of the folks are on the younger side? How many of the folks are on the older side? How many folks who are disabled apply and get offers and how many of your units will be fully accessible for tenants as well as if a tenant should happen to have somebody who has a mobility disability
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	portion of those who are going to be winning the lotteries or in your experience or that move into the affordable housing, how many of the folks are on the younger side? How many of the folks are on the older side? How many folks who are disabled apply and get offers and how many of your units will be fully accessible for tenants as well as if a tenant should happen to have somebody who has a mobility disability who would love them to be able to visit them or stay

24

1

2 DREW VANDERBURG: Right so uhm when we 3 open projects like this to the Housing Lottery, we get sometimes 50,000 applications. I think it might 4 have been something like upwards of 60,000 on our 5 last building in Bushwick and averaging more like 6 7 20,000, 30,000, so it is a wide variety of folks. Ι actually don't work on the marketing of these 8 buildings as I'm in the development team at RiseBoro 9 but I know that we get a wide variety of folks, a lot 10 of younger folks, a lot of seniors because RiseBoro 11 12 has a reputation for seniors and we also get a lot of families which would be then you know children and 13 14 parents. 15 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And children 16 need elevators if you want to get that stroller. 17 It's not easy to get strollers up four flights of 18 steps. 19 DREW VANDERBRUG: Right. 20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Is there an elevator in the larger 20-unit building? 21 2.2 DREW VANDERBURG: There is no elevator 23 currently planned. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm is there an 24 25 opportunity to provide an elevator so that seniors,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 26 1 2 families, people who become temporary disabled, people who get pregnant, things like that can still 3 have access to their fourth floor? 4 DREW VANDERBURG: Well that would 5 definitely change the design of the building 6 7 substantially. We do emphasize with those needs and we have a lot of buildings in our portfolio that meet 8 those needs and so what we have here in this project 9 right now is there is one mobility fully adaptable 10 and accessible. UFAS section 503 unit on the ground 11 12 floor in the 63 Stockholm building. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: One out of 20? 13 14 DREW VANDERBURG: One out of 20. Which 15 meets the 5% requirement and then there is another 16 one in the Eldert building. 17 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay so you are 18 exceeding the requirements? DREW VANDERBURG: If you do it by cluster 19 20 technically it would be requiring 1.4 so we round it up to 2, yes, so we are exceeding the requirements 21 2.2 technically. But we also with the entire ground 23 floor is going to be accessible and adaptable although there is only building that is UFAS in the 24 63 Stockholm Building. 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 27 1 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What is, what is 2 3 limiting you? Is it zoning? Is it DOB? Is it not 4 enough funding from HPD? DREW VANDERBURG: Well our goal is always 5 to create the most affordable housing as possible so 6 7 we designed the building without the elevator and sticking to the requirements of the HPD design 8 quidelines and the accessibility handbook so that we 9 10 can get the most units. 11 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Would you be 12 willing to share with me what section in the HPD design guidelines tilted the scale towards not 13 14 putting an elevator versus in favor because so if the 15 HPD changed its guidelines would you be able to add 16 the elevator? 17 DREW VANDERBURG: Maybe so. I, I would 18 love to share that with you but I don't know off the top of my head. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay but you can, you can email it to me it's 21 2.2 policy@benkallos.com. 23 DREW VANDERBURG: Okay. 24 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Would you send 25 it to me before we vote on it.

1

25

buildings in the area.

2 DREW VANDERBURG: Sure, we have the 3 architect also in the room and other folks who are 4 working on the project. 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Are you 6 comfortable having the architect answer questions? 7 DREW VANDERBURG: Maybe you can add some 8 gloss to this. I do want to get to the bottom of it. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay. 9 LACEY TAUBER: I mean I think from HPDs 10 perspective you know they are following or even 11 12 technically exceeding you know our requirements. Uhm we share the goal of trying to maximize the number of 13 14 units that we can within a build, we have done that 15 in this project and I think you know, we were talking 16 before something that your team was saying was not 17 because you have such a large portfolio, if the needs 18 should arise for a resident who say becomes disabled while they are living in the building there could be 19 20 an opportunity to you know move them to a different unit within the portfolio as needed. 21 2.2 DREW VANDERBURG: Absolutely. 23 LACEY TAUBER: Uhm, you know we are talking about two organizations that own a lot of 24

DREW VANDERBURG: Right it is important to note that we have senior buildings and supportive housing buildings in the neighborhood so if we did have a resident who became disabled, needed an elevator in their building, we would try to find accommodations for them nearby if possible. I hope that helps?

CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I thank you, I 9 10 guess another question is I guess one of my colleagues who may be sitting next to me frequently 11 12 asks about affordable housing so this is a question for HPD so it seems that my colleague, Council Member 13 14 Reynoso represents a, a community that has a high 15 concentration of low income communities of color. I, 16 on the other hand do not. I don't believe HPD has come to this committee with any projects for the side 17 18 uhm how can we work together so that the east side is building its share of housing at 30% of AMI, at very 19 20 low items to bring economic diversity to my District and the city. I will let, since I said his name, I 21 2.2 will let Council Member Reynoso jump in if he wants 23 to add anything?

ANTONIO REYNOSO: I will, so part of, one of my foundational conversations that I have in this

29

2 Council and with the City, the problem that I have with the administration is that they build affordable 3 housing, the supportive housing, exclusively in 4 5 neighborhoods that are poor and of color which adds 6 to the lack of diversity, to the segregation that we 7 have in our city and I think HPD is unintentionally perpetuating segregation which is a big problem for 8 So, when I talk to Ben Kallos who lives in a 9 me. District that is a lot of affluent and white than 10 mine, I'm going ot ask him why and when are you going 11 12 to do your part when it comes to addressing the affordability housing crisis and he states to me that 13 HPD doesn't allow for that to happen. The HPD hasn't 14 15 given him any opportunities. That HPD is not 16 presenting him with opportunities. So, I would like 17 to know why it is that my District seems to be at the 18 top of the list of places where building affordable housing in someone like his District where I imagine 19 20 the AMIs look a lot different than what my AMIs look like. He sees almost no affordable housing and one 21 2.2 thing that I want to note is to no fault of Council 23 Member Kallos who would actually want some affordable housing in his District, so I just want to know where 24 25 that comes in and whether or not we can have a

1

2 rationale as to why he hasn't built any affordable 3 housing since he has been a Council Member?

4 LACEY TAUBER: (laughing) I mean I think one of the things that limits where we can do some of 5 6 these projects like the one that you are seeing right 7 now where they are sitting on land that we can access for affordable housing and you know the situation is 8 there is more city-owned land available in some 9 places than others. That is part of it. Uhm I will 10 say you know there are also affordable housing 11 12 preservation opportunities in the Council Member's 13 District. We have an article 11 application we have been working with your office on for quite some time 14 15 now. Some other things like that that we would like 16 to get moving. Uhm but then I would also say that 17 you know we are working on this city-wide process called Where We Live NYC where we are really are 18 trying to tackle some of these questions and have you 19 20 known a real city-wide conversation about uhm why people live where they live, there the opportunities 21 2.2 area? How we can help folks access some of these 23 high opportunity neighborhoods as they are called in the business and so uhm you know I would encourage 24 people to check out that initiative, to participate 25

in those conversations and you know we are going to have a, sorry, we are doing a lot of note passing here. We have a couple of updates, I think. Uhm you know again we are having a city-wide conversation about this very issue and we are expecting to make some policy related recommendations I think as soon as this fall.

32

ANTONIO REYNOSO: Can we get so. 9 It is 10 good to hear it the way you've presented it but can we get that actual information. I would love to see 11 12 the lack of opportunities in Ben Kallos' District. Almost exclusively, specifically how many city-owned 13 sites are in his District? I would love to see that, 14 15 under-utilized school land in backyards. NYCHA 16 Develops and in and around NYCHA develops and opportunities to develop. If I can get that 17 18 information, then I can, I can feel more comfortable about the fact that I wouldn't even consider him, his 19 20 District a high-opportunity area. There are no opportunities I that District and I would walk away 21 2.2 from the argument that these mostly white affluent 23 Districts are almost, are, are not playing their part when it comes to building affordable housing. 24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I don't think I 3 would let you because I think we are incumbent to 4 find the affordability wherever it is and take on what ever challenges so if we don't have vacant lots 5 6 and I will, I will share my dataset that I have 7 provided with HPD. I have also sent a letter to HPD with every single closed church site for affordable 8 housing and for school sites. The, the arch diesis 9 10 happen to be selling off land in my District to raise money for certain reasons. 11

DREW VANDERBURG: They aren't raising money for good reasons.

14 LACEY TAUBER: I would just say to that, 15 that we are looking in to uhm, we have done a couple 16 of big like faith-based development events in 17 Manhattan. It has been a little while since we've 18 done one. We are looking into doing that again soon. Working with the Manhattan Borough President's Office 19 20 on that so we really do want to be connecting some of these church properties that have available land to 21 2.2 make sure that they know about opportunities to work 23 with us before they sell off. You know ...

CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And actually, wewill have the opportunity. I already asked

2 Commissioner Banks for a couple of, several million dollars to build shelters in my District but if HPD 3 is able to help and just for the record we've got 4 three affordable housing sites in my District since 5 6 I've been Council Member where we are hopefully 7 opening 17 supportive housing beds with WIN but that is far less than we are seeing in your District just 8 on this one item and you've been in this Committee a 9 10 number of times. So, I, please if you can give some of the answers to Council Member Reynoso and I and we 11 12 are interested and uhm but we won't take no for an answer. We need to figure out a way to build 30% AMI 13 14 in this, in the east side, even though there is 15 higher land acquisition cost because equity is equity 16 and as the Mayor frequently says about a garbage dump, he is opening in my District this month. 17 18 Equity sometimes costs more. So, I am not mad at the garbage dump but, I, I would challenge even the 19 20 Council Member in noting that Winesburg has one of the highest land values in all of the City and in 21 2.2 Bushwick and in Winesburg. I would see what those 23 prices look like. They are actually comparable so 24 that shouldn't be a deterrent to being able to build 25 affordable housing. I agree that you know Winesburg

2 and Bushwick and other places, land value tends to be a conversation as to why we can't build as much of 3 4 affordable housing that we want so I don't want that 5 to be the excuse by HPD but I would like for myself 6 to see that uhm just what his District is going 7 through so I can be able to advocate through facts as 8 opposed to just anecdotes and like personal experiences. 9 LACEY TAUBER: Yeah, I think we have a 10

11 lot of that already through where we live. I will 12 take a look at what we can share.

13 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I want to just 14 try to continue impressing my colleague here. One of 15 the questions I often have started asking as of this 16 year when I had too much time on my hands is whether 17 the not AMIs, the actually rents if you can pull up 18 the slides of the actual rents that show that it is from \$366 to \$1738. Whether or not that will have a 19 20 gentrifying effect. I prefer to focus on the census District, census tract and under the DCP Census Tract 21 2.2 it shows that about most of the, I would say, sorry, 23 90, 84% of the housing is actually under \$199,000. Ι will show Council Member Reynoso, so about 20% is 24 \$1500 to \$1999, uhm 23% is \$1000 to \$1499 and 23% is 25

1

2 from \$500 to \$1000 and only 12% is less than \$500. So, I guess the question is that HPD analysis in 3 RiseBoro is that these units are going to present 4 5 additional affordability in the community or just 6 preserve the status quo of the affordability 7 currently seen in the community? LACEY TAUBER: I mean so, this is a 8 scattered site project. There is actually three 9 buildings, so, you know if we look at it in the 10 neighborhood level, about 64% of people in Bushwick 11 12 make 80% AMI or less which comports with the range 13 that you see here so I think people in the, in the 14 neighborhood will be able to qualify for these units. 15 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: This is one of 16 the, I like ... when I see 80% and believe, you are very 17 lucky. So, I am going to go through some of the 18 other questions I tend to ask. DREW VANDERBURG: Not to be a labor but 19 20 do you want me to give you the info that I just received from the architect related to the first. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Yes. In hopes 23 of moving on to the vote without needing to. Yes,

36

25

24

yes, yes, I am very happy.

T	DISTOSTIONS AND CONCESSIONS 57
2	DREW VANDERBURG: Uhm she just wanted to
3	clarify that there are actually 25% of the cluster is
4	accessible because while I was referring to the
5	sectio 503 UFAS units of which there are two, there
6	is actually 5, all five units on the ground floor of
7	this Stockholm building are accessible and adaptable.
8	So, that is a lot better and also one in Eldert and
9	one in the Jefferson building.
10	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And then does
11	the architect did they happen to write down what
12	section of the HPD design guidelines is running the
13	elevator?
14	DREW VANDERBURG: No but.
15	LACEY TAUBER: They did not.
16	DREW VANDERBUG: They did mention in
17	
	another source here that it is because of the
18	another source here that it is because of the building code, uhm a building that is four stories or
18 19	
	building code, uhm a building that is four stories or
19	building code, uhm a building that is four stories or less is not required to have an elevator.
19 20	building code, uhm a building that is four stories or less is not required to have an elevator. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I but do we know
19 20 21	building code, uhm a building that is four stories or less is not required to have an elevator. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I but do we know if the building code prevents and elevator and if the
19 20 21 22	<pre>building code, uhm a building that is four stories or less is not required to have an elevator. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I but do we know if the building code prevents and elevator and if the zoning code penalizes making something accessible</pre>

1

2 DREW VANDERBURG: I don't think that is 3 quite accurate but essentially, we would have to lower the number of units in the building and it 4 would also construction costs and energy costs to. 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: 6 Sure. 7 Construction, energy where I think the City should be able to cover in terms of the problem, we are trying 8 to solve is that elevators don't take up floor area 9 ratio so I am, I am. Okay so I am hearing from the 10 audience that they do, which is. Anyway, I would the 11 12 architects be willing to give some pro bono advice on what changes would need to happen in order to get 13 this elevator in without costing units? 14 15 LACEY TAUBER: My team thinks no but we 16 will, I mean we will, it's. 17 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay we will 18 continue to have this conversation as a reasonable item that comes before this committee. Uhm who is 19 20 the contractor on this project? 21 LACEY TAUBER: So, okay, we are at 2.2 maximum building envelope is what I am being told so 23 there is not really a way to add an elevator without 24 losing units.

38

2	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay. So, I had
3	a conversation with the Department of City Planning,
4	what they are looking for from me is specifically
5	instances such as this where if you can give me the
6	design constraints and where are the envelopes so I
7	am guessing this is a quality housing building?
8	DREW VANDERBURG: Uhm I believe so.
9	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Quality Housing
10	is one of the only ones with the envelope
11	restriction, so, I just need to know what we need to
12	do so that when it's 100% affordable like this we can
13	just relax the envelope and then whether it is
14	through BSA, Special Permit or DCP creating a new
15	change citywide I would really like to get to a place
16	where we are doing, when it is 100% affordable
17	housing that we are relaxing certain standards to get
18	100% accessibility. Uhm who is the contractor?
19	DREW VANDERBURG: Uhm not selected yet.
20	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm when will
21	the project start?
22	DREW VANDERBURG: We are hoping for
23	construction closing in Spring of 2020. Uhm. Or
24	potentially as early as December or January 2020.
25	

39

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 40 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: When is my 3 colleague going to be standing there with his shovel? DREW VANDERBURG: I'm hoping spring 2020. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Any, any reason 6 why it will take a year? 7 DREW VANDERBURG: Well, this ULURP process is currently the critical path for the 8 project so once we, pass ULURP. 9 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: So, we will vote 10 on it in a week, it's 2019, how do we get shovels in 11 12 the ground now versus next year? 13 DREW VANDERBURG: Because we are also 14 going to be applying for the 9% Tax Credit 15 Application, the HPD Tax Credit Applications which 16 will be going in maybe August, September and then 17 will be awarded and then that's when we can close. 18 So then after that it would be a question of getting the finances in order, working up the paperwork and 19 20 closing. 21 LACEY TAUBER: And having them approve of 22 a place before they do the Tax Cut Application puts 23 them in a much better potential place to get those Tax Credits. 24 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 41 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What is the 3 construction hard costs on? The total project cost 4 according to testimony on the Stockholm site are \$14.6 million for the hard cost? 5 DREW VANDERBURG: I think it's about \$12. 6 7 LACEY TAUBER: Sorry, that was for the 8 whole project and not a site-specific number. DREW VANDERBURG: Right, yeah, I mean 9 that's \$12 million hard cost for all three buildings. 10 I don't have the Budget exactly in front of me but. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What are your 13 soft costs? 14 DREW VANDERBURG: About \$2 million. 15 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What are you 16 developer fees? 17 DREW VANDERBURG: I don't know off the 18 top of my head but it is within the soft cost number, no that's not accurate, it's not, uhm I don't know 19 20 off of the top of my head. 21 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Are you going to 2.2 defer developer fees? 23 DREW VANDERBURG: I believe yes. Uhm 24 that does apply to the finance plan. 25

1

2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: There was 3 another developer at the last hearing said I should 4 start asking what you are going to do with the 5 developer's fees, is it going to go into somebody's 6 pocket or is it going to go into your community? 7 DREW VANDERBURG: Good question and nonprofits love answering that question. 8 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: It's true. 9 DREW VANDERBURG: Because they can talk 10 about all the different programs that we run and all 11 12 of the staff that we hire from the community and we will be re-investing those profits 50/50 between St. 13 Nicks and RiseBoro in our services, in our staff and 14 15 keeping our organizations strong. 16 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm Council 17 Member Reynoso for a followup? 18 ANTONIO REYNOSO: So just, having a general conversation with HPD, I think uhm for some 19 20 reason when we talk at these hearings, we are on the same page but the policy just doesn't fall in line 21 2.2 with the. The developer fees are a perfect example 23 of funding that is necessary for folks like RiseBoro and St. Nicks to do the work that you want when it 24 comes to the preservation of units but almost never 25

2 get an opportunity to have in sites that are exclusively given to private developers. Uhm, so, it 3 4 is just another example of a cycle by which in the 5 past these not for profit organizations should be 6 able to protect people through the money that they 7 make from developer costs of buildings that they were building. Once he stopped the, giving him the 8 opportunities to build affordable housing or to build 9 10 housing in general you cut a life line in regard to the amount of funding that they get to do this work. 11 12 So, they've had to come through other places like City Council, the state and federal funding to, to, 13 14 for the expense budget, for expense budget request so 15 they can continue to do their work. So, there was a 16 cycle that worked. It was cut during the Bloomberg 17 Administration. It existed all the way through until 18 now and into the de Blasio Administration, the same practices where you cut off an important lifeline. 19 20 This is a perfect example right here of a building that is going to give them developer fees, that is 21 2.2 going to allow for them again to fight against 23 evictions which is something that you want to ensure that other buildings are taken care of and they can 24 25 staff not only through lawyers but through organizing

2 to do underground work but again you seem to value but don't seem to support when it comes to allowing 3 for them to take on projects of development. So, I 4 just want to make sure that I have that conversation 5 when I hear developer fees deferred. You know, I 6 want to have the conversation in context. It is not 7 deferred, like they are not going to take it. 8 Thev are going to put it back into the building. 9 Sometimes the deferment means that no one is 10 pocketing it and they are putting it back into their 11 12 organization to do their work. So, I just want to 13 have clarification of that and just this, what I 14 think hypocritical request of HPD in the city for 15 organizing and eviction assistant but no support on 16 the construction side which used to allow for funding 17 to go into those services. That was a statement so. 18 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I would love to see private developers defer as much of their fees as 19 20 I see from nonprofits. Uhm will there be an onsite super? 21 2.2 DREW VANDERBURG: That is currently being

23 discussed internally, uhm related to our property 24 management needs. Uhm the proposal before you today 25 do not include a supervision unit but we will, but

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 45 1 2 that person will be in a building right down the street that RiseBoro operates. 3 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Will there be 4 5 any commercial units? 6 DREW VANDERBURG: No. 7 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What is the value of the city land being disposed? 8 DREW VANDERBURG: I don't know. 9 LACEY TAUBER: Uhm the market appraisal 10 value of the city-owned lots is \$3,140,000 for 63 11 12 Stockholm, and \$790,000 for 332 Eldert. 13 DREW VANDERBURG: I also want to correct 14 the record on a prior question I knew I totally 15 pulled out of my head. The construction costs which 16 is still totally subject to change is actually more 17 around \$10 million, not \$12. 18 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay, so then it is \$4 million soft costs? Actually \$4.5? 19 20 DREW VANDERBURG: No, it's a little less. LACEY TAUBER: And then there is some 21 2.2 other things in there like contingency and. 23 DREW VANDERBURG: Yeah, yeah, it's like, it's more like. 24 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: So, what are the 3 soft costs? This will make Antonio happy. DREW VANDERBURG: The way that it is 4 5

adding here is strange but it is about \$1.4 in soft 6 cost proper for the pre-development phase and then we 7 have financing fees and carrying costs on top that brings it up to a little more than \$2 million. I, I 8 the project is very much still in the pre-financing 9 10 and.

46

11 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: That's good 12 2% set aside. And perhaps even for this news. elevator I am hoping to get in every building. What 13 is the value of the Tax Abatement from the 420C? 14 15 LACEY TAUBER: We don't do that calculation. For the 420C. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I will continue 18 to ask because I think it is valid. It is a 40-year Tax Abatement as of right. 19 20 LACEY TAUBER: Uhm it's a 30-year regulatory period. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And it is a full 23 Tax Abatement? LACEY TAUBER: Yes. 24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 47 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: On a, what is 3 the assessed value for the property once it will be built? I can back into the tax. I can figure out 4 what the statement would be under 420 C if you won't 5 6 give it to us. Okay, we will look into so we can 7 just know exactly how much we are doing. Is there an additional HPD subsidy? 8 DREW VANDERBURG: No, just the, uhm no 9 10 there is just not. 11 LACEY TAUBER: It's about, the City 12 Subsidy is about 19% of TDC. 13 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Thank you. Oh, 14 under which program? 15 LACEY TAUBER: Uhm NCP. 16 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Alright is there 17 any HDC Financing? First mortgage? 18 DREW VANDERBURG: No. LACEY TAUBER: No. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Any federal money, state money city capital? 21 2.2 DREW VANDERBURG: NYSERTA, the Energy 23 Research Organization, technically that is State 24 money although that financing is also still in flux. 25

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 48
2	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: How much are you
3	expecting that financing to account for?
4	DREW VANDERBURG: Uhm it would depend on
5	the energy modeling of the building. If we can
6	actually get the performance that we anticipate then
7	we could have I think the Budget currently has
8	\$125,000 for NYSERTA incentives.
9	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Right.
10	DREW VANDERBURG: Based on the solar
11	panels.
12	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: There is no up
13	zoning, here right? It's just the zoning is staying
14	the same? Uhm, okay here's, the, here's the other
15	quick question, uhm the folks who will be building
16	these buildings and those who are operating it will
17	they get paid enough money so that they won't qualify
18	for the affordable housing? Or will they be paying,
19	getting paid so little that they will actually need
20	the affordable housing that they are building which
21	means it will be making the crisis worse? The Mayor
22	is very fond of saying the best way we can get out of
23	the Affordable Housing crisis is by paying people
24	more. So, what does the pay look like? Will the
25	people have health insurance if they get hurt on the

1

2 job? Or do they just have to rely on worker's comp?
3 Will they one day be able to retire because they have
4 access to retirement? This is for the construction
5 workers and the people who operate?

DREW VANDERBURG: So, RiseBoro and St. 6 7 Nicks are committed to working with general contractors who have these protections for their 8 workers as well but since we have not selected the 9 general contractor, I can't speak to the exact 10 conditions that their workers will enjoy but of 11 12 course, they will follow all of the local hiring, etc. however, this is not a prevailing wage project. 13 14 As of the uhm, employees of RiseBoro who will be 15 operating the building and also developing the 16 building, our staff I believe is well taken care of, we all have health insurance at RiseBoro and our 17 18 property management staff is 32BJ unionized so those folks will have all of those benefits and union pay 19 20 rates.

21 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm you 22 mentioned a lot in your presentation which I really 23 appreciate. If somebody is watching right now and 24 they are a constituent of Council Member Antonio 25 Reynoso and they would like a job building or

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 50 1 2 maintaining one of these three properties uhm who should they call? How do they get that job? 3 4 DREW VANDERBURG: I think you can 5 reliably contact the project managers which is myself 6 and Phillip and those are the main lines for the 7 housing offices at RiseBoro and St. Nicks. 8 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: If you can read them into the record. For folks watching on TV or on 9 the live stream. 10 DREW VANDERBURG: Yes so. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: This is the 13 Committee to watch if you want jobs. 14 DREW VANDERBURG: Right and I'll qualify 15 this after I read these contact information but my 16 name is Drew Vanderburg, Dvanderburg@RiseBoro.org 718-366-3800 is the mainline for our housing office 17 18 and the St. Nicks Alliance, Philip I am going to share you contact info with the whole city 19 20 Phoffman@stnicksalliance.org , 718-388-5454. So, you can start with the project managers but they will 21 2.2 refer you within the organization to probably the 23 work force development training center, the staff there at the time that the housing is available or 24 25 the jobs are being offered. RiseBoro has a level up

1

9

2 program, you can find that at RiseBoro.org and you 3 can also just visit Stnicksalliance.org and apply 4 through those websites.

5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: This is one of 6 my favorite parts of the hearing. Thank you. Uhm 7 Council Member Reynoso, what languages are spoken in 8 this part of your District most predominantly?

ANTONIO REYNOSO: English and Spanish.

CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm. My, my 10 question to uhm RiseBoro and St. Nicks is this was 11 12 also suggested by another developer, uhm what languages will marketing occur in? What languages 13 14 will lease up occur in? How do you intend to make 15 this accessible to the broader New York City 16 Community which incidentally speaks over 800 17 different languages?

18 DREW VANDERBURG: Right, so uhm in the marketing uhm process, we have the HPD Standard Forms 19 20 and paperwork which has many languages on there. Uhm and I believe there is also a whole list of protocols 21 2.2 that HPD requires for language accessibility in the 23 marketing. Meanwhile, our Community is largely 24 Spanish speaking. We also have a large Mandarin, 25 Cantonese population in some of our senior buildings,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 52 1 2 so we have staff at RiseBoro who can offer at least those two languages if you walk-in in person and want 3 4 to fill out an application. We can help you do that. 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And will you 6 engage in proactive outreach to. Speaking and 7 Mandarin and other Chinese dialects speaking communities? 8 DREW VANDERBURG: Certainly. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: That is great. Uhm this is the toughest question I have for you. 11 Ιf 12 there is a question that I have missed that you think I should ask you as well as other developers, there 13 14 are two more today? 15 DREW VANDERBURG: Uhm I, I would think 16 you should ask everyone about the energy performance 17 of their buildings and the sustainability features on 18 their buildings because that is something that we love to emphasize. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Can I, can I mention your name because I feel like folks are going 21 2.2 to get grumpy with me for that one but? 23 DREW VANDERBURG: Sure, I mean say, 24 actually that's up to you but, you can, you can say 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 53 1 2 we know some really cool nonprofits in Bushwick that are doing this stuff, so. (laughing). 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: They will think I am a hipster but that is fine. Alright Council 5 6 Member Reynoso do you have any uhm final questions? 7 ANTONIO REYNOSO: No, I just want to thank uhm, both adjust their performance from 8 RiseBoro is a perfect example of like how in-depth 9 10 and how much they care about what is happening in the community. We take this very seriously even though 11 12 it might just be 20, 28 units that might not seem significant to us it is very important. I just 13 14 really appreciate uhm how you answer all the 15 questions uhm so thank you so much for being here and 16 thank you to HPD, looking forward to getting that one extra unit for supportive housing. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Thank you to Council Reynoso for his partnership over the past 19 20 five years, his friendship, for caring about the same

things, for having excellent staffers over the past

decisions at certain points but thank you and thank

you for staying for the whole hearing and then also

being cool with what I've been told might be the

four years, even if we may question some of their

21

2.2

23

24

2 third or fourth degree. Uhm are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Seeing 3 4 none, I will close the public hearing on Land Use 5 Items 357, and 358 and they will be laid over. Our second hearing is Land Use 347, Cooper Square MHA 6 7 Phase I in the District of Council Member Chin and Council Member Rivera in Manhattan, where Square 8 Mutual Housing Associations Portfolio includes 21 9 limited equity coop buildings, it is only 327 units, 10 22 commercial spaces, would sit on community land, 11 12 Cooper Square is renovating its portfolio of buildings in a multi-phase projects through HPDs 13 14 Green Housing Preservation Program. The renovations 15 will include Capital Improvements as well as Energy 16 Efficiency, Water Conservation Upgrades, they are lucky because they are about to get this new question 17 18 on it. HPD is seeking the termination of the prior exemption of the site and a new 40-year article 11 19 20 Tax Exemption pursuant to Article 577 the Private Housing Finance Law to facilitate this work. I would 21 2.2 like to do a public hearing on this application. Ι 23 would like to invite HPD to testify. If the Counsel would please administer the oath. 24

54

2	COUNSEL: So uhm Lacey just a reminder
3	you are still under the oath and for Mr. Powell I
4	believe can you please state your name for the record
5	and do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth
6	and nothing but the truth in your testimony before
7	this subcommittee and in response to all Council
8	Member questions?
9	DAVID POWELL: David Powell and yes, I
10	do.
11	COUNSEL: You may begin.
12	LACEY TAUBER: Uhm Land Use Item Number
13	347 consists of an exemption area containing 21 no
14	equity cooperative buildings with 327 units and 22
15	occupied commercial spaces which sit on a Community
16	Land Trust. The buildings are located in Manhattan
17	Council Districts 1 and 2 and are known as Cooper
18	Square Mutual Housing Association or MHA. The
19	buildings are planned for rehabilitation through HPDs
20	Green Housing Preservation Program or GHPP. The
21	properties in the MHA portfolio were former public
22	sites that were taken into city ownership through
23	either condemnation or End Rim Tax Foreclosure
24	between 1975 and 1989. In 1991, the city entered
25	into a Mutual Housing Association Program Lease with

2 the MHA and CLT whereupon the city agreed to renovate the buildings and convey the to the MHA. 3 Between 1994 and 2003, the city conveyed the buildings for \$1 4 each to the current owner through HPDs mutual housing 5 program and the Tenant Lease II program with the 6 7 intention of converting all of the units from rental to a no equity cooperative model. In July 2012, the 8 conversion was completed upon approval of an Article 9 11 Tax Exemption. Recently the shareholders entered 10 into the HPDs Green Housing Preservation Program or 11 12 GHPP which provides low or no interest loans to finance energy and water conversation improvements as 13 well as lead mediation and moderate rehabilitation 14 15 work. The goal of the program is to assist small and 16 midsized building owners to lower operating senses, reduce energy consumption and to ensure the long-term 17 18 physical financial houses or buildings as well as preserve safe and affordable housing for low and 19 20 moderate-income New Yorkers. While subject to change, the current plan is to rehabilitate all of 21 2.2 the buildings in the portfolio and four-phases over 23 the course of three years. The rehabilitation will 24 consist of upgrades to the envelope of the buildings and installation of low flow fixtures, installation 25

2 of solar panels on the roof and upgrades to the heating and hot water systems. The first phase 3 4 consists of five-buildings and phases two through 5 four are planned to cover the remaining 16 buildings. For all phases, all work will be done with tenants in 6 7 place. The estimated total development cost for phase I is \$1,950,442. The cost estimates for future 8 phases are not yet determined. Incomes for future 9 purchases where be capped at 80% of AMI which is 10 approximately \$83,440 for a family of 4 and 11 12 maintenance charges range from \$431 for a studio to 13 \$875 for a three-bedroom apartment. In an effort to help facilitate continued affordability for these 14 15 homeownership units, HPD is before the Council 16 seeking article 11 Tax Benefits that will replace the 17 current Tax Exemption. The 40-year exemption will 18 run co-terminates with the new HPD loan, as well as but not contingent upon any subsequent loans that HPD 19 will make to the remaining 16 buildings in the 20 portfolio. The estimated cumulative value of the Tax 21 2.2 Exemption is \$34,286,327 with a new present value of 23 \$9,578,614.

24 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: We have uhm, are 25 we no longer using the screen?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 58 1 2 LACEY TAUBER: Yeah, he has testimony but 3 not a presentation. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Let's just close 4 5 the laptop. Good, and you may begin. DAVID POWELL: So, uhm thank you Chair 6 7 Kallos for this opportunity to testify. First of all, just apologizing that my testimony actually says 8 that this is before the Land Use Committee not the 9 Planning Committee but getting. 10 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I will take the 11 12 promotion. DAVID POWELL: Okay, uhm so I just wanted 13 to, since Lacey talked a little bit about the project 14 15 itself. I just want to talk a little bit about us 16 because our organization and our model is somewhat unique. Although it is expanding and growing in the 17 18 present moment which we are very excited about. Uhm as Lacey mentioned we are a scattered site, low-19 20 income housing coop and I think one distinction to make between us and other HDFC based scattered site 21 2.2 coops or coops generally is that from our inception 23 we have had a dedication to permanent affordability which is baked into our model and that is primarily 24 due to the fact that the land underneath our 25

2 buildings is owned by a Land Trust. We have a 99year lease with this distinct entity, the Cooper 3 4 Square Community Land Trust which is renewable and up 5 until two years ago we were the only uhm organization 6 and coop with this structure. Uhm recently HPD and 7 the Enterprise Foundation have infused \$1.65 million to other purchasing community Land Trusts including 8 Interborough CLT, El Barrio CLT, Mott Haven-Port 9 Morris CLT to name a few and we are very excited 10 about that development and look forward to working 11 12 with the Council on this Subcommittee and supporting permanently affordable Land Trust based housing. 13 In 14 our case again, again this is a home ownership model. 15 We are a Coop and without subsidy our, our Coop, 16 currently the maintenance fees are currently 17 affordable to households making 25% to 40% of AMI 18 which as has been discussed today is a demographic and income group that is frequently left out of 19 20 almost all affordable housing programs here especially home ownership ones. So, we are very 21 2.2 pleased that the GHPP will allow us to address some 23 of our Capital needs while at the same time 24 maintaining that depths of affordability. Just to be 25 clear, uhm I am just anticipating some of your

2 questions Councilman based on the previous project, so this is a fully occupied preservation program so 3 4 there is no initial rent up. I can talk to you very 5 lovingly and at length about our existing community 6 but uhm you know we are, we are a multi-generational 7 coop and just to give you an idea our last three board chairs were second and third generation Cooper 8 Square Residents so we are holding down an inter-9 generational community in a very, very gentrified 10 part of the lower East side. We are an island of 11 12 affordability and most of the movement in our units 13 when they become vacant go to frankly to 14 accommodating seniors who are on upper floors who 15 need the accommodation to an accessible apartment or 16 to families that have expanded, let's say a secondgeneration now has a family of their own and they are 17 18 living in a one-bedroom apartment with their parents to accommodate our people who can't afford to live 19 20 anywhere else in the neighborhood, so I just want to sort of make that distinction between the previous 21 2.2 project that was talked about and ours in addition to 23 it being a Green Housing Preservation Program Project specifically. It is a preservation uhm and I think I 24 will leave it there and entertain questions along 25

2 with Lacey. I guess the other thing that we wanted to say is uhm you know a big part of this project is 3 energy efficiency and specifically getting solar 4 5 panels on our buildings is going to be a first step 6 for us in that direction. We have participated in 7 weatherization and other energy efficiency programs before but it is the first time that we are embracing 8 solar and energy independence and as a Sandy impacted 9 10 community, we are very excited about that and uhm you know looking forward to taking that next step with 11 12 uhm, with HPD. Uhm I also want to just give some praise to the HPD staff. It took us a little while 13 14 to get to this point. It was a process of 15 negotiation and collaboration but one thing that we 16 are also very excited about is that we are accessing the funds for this program without going into debt 17 18 and that has been historically a big part of what's made our organization financially successful and 19 20 allowed us to hit such low levels or deep levels of affordability rather without additional subsidy and 21 2.2 so we are very happy that we are going to be able to 23 maintain not just uhm the Fiscal integrity of the 24 buildings or five of our 21 buildings in this case in

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 62 1 2 phase 1 but also the fact that the arrangement is financial sustainable for us as well. 3 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Do any of you, 4 5 so most of these are several, I think this is over a dozen buildings? 6 7 DAVID POWELL: This is uhm our coop is a 21-building scattered site coop but this particular 8 project touches 5 buildings and 87 units. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Alright, do any of the have, are any of the accessible or are they 11 12 all walkups? 13 DAVID POWELL: So, these are all, so 14 these were just to give you a little bit of back 15 story. These were all gut-renovated in the 1990s at 16 a time where the city was for better and for worse less, less aware of it's responsibility to, maybe for 17 18 worse to house people who were in need of that kind of accessibility but also sort of acknowledging some 19 20 of the issues that came up with the previous project. These are you know old lots, buildings that are end 21 2.2 to end so an elevator removes units and so what 23 happened in the 1990s is that these were renovated as 24 walk up and they continue to be so.

1

2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: I often say that I do not have a magic wand which is not quite true 3 4 because I do have a replica of Harry Potter, but in 5 the one place that I am very interested in is what laws is it Zone Law, is it Building Code, is it a 6 7 little bit of both is in the way of going back in and putting in some sort of elevator that can provide 8 some sort of accessibility to the higher floors and 9 10 some sort of visiting ability for people who have accessibility challenges and uhm at what cost so the 11 12 tenants, uhm because I spent way too much time looking at this have the, uhm, we call it air shafts, 13 14 they call it court yards but that little space 15 between the building is actually called a court yard 16 and so the question is, where the court yard is adjacent to the stairwell in most cases it actually 17 18 usually is, uhm whether or not there is space to do it there and whether you or your association would 19 have any interest in digging a little bit deeper on 20 if we could wave the magic wand for you and your 200 21 2.2 or 300 units as well as all the other folks living in 23 tenements throughout our City and all of a sudden have elevators available for you to add especially if 24 it is 100% affordable portfolio or 100% rent 25

1

2 stabilized that we would do it. Do you have any 3 ideas?

4 DAVID POWELL: Uhm I would just say that 5 the magic wand just to a large degree is money, right 6 so if there are programmatically funds are made 7 available to study and implement elevators into all of our buildings without affecting affordability of 8 our units, we would be 100% behind that. Uhm and in 9 10 fact you know recognize that that is, that that is a need. I think the other piece of this is because we 11 12 are a fully occupied coop. You know when we are talking about one or two households or even a half 13 14 dozen households check or boarding people to vacant 15 units is possible when we are talking about an entire 16 building getting essentially a gut renovation then that becomes a where do you put people issue uhm and 17 18 we want to be. You know as a fully occupied coop uhm we certainly don't want to displace anybody and we 19 20 would also have to figure out how do we manage that disruption and literally you know where do people go 21 2.2 in a 20-unit building for example.

CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: In terms of the work that you are doing, will any tenants need to be relocated?

1

2

DAVID POWELL: No.

65

3 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay, in terms 4 of the money wand I do have a small budget of \$92 billion. So, it is up for a raise of \$9 billion so 5 6 that magic wand is one piece. I think the other 7 piece is just any regulatory hurdles. If all you, if all you are saying is we actually just need \$250,000 8 and x number of dollars to handle relocation then I 9 would just need to know that so I can go back to HPD 10 which will be having its hearing soon on the budget 11 12 very soon and say hey I need x number of million 13 dollars to do this. I have this site and many sites 14 like it. Uhm in terms of the contractor, have you 15 selected one yet? 16 DAVID POWELL: Uhm yes, an RFP was put 17 out by HPD and the contractor selected is a nonprofit 18 organization Habitat for Humanity New York. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Will Jimmy 19 20 Carter show up to do this work. Wait did he seriously just say yes. Uhm and I think that was a 21 2.2 joke and uhm nobody hold up this project unless Jimmy 23 Carter calls me or agrees to show up. DAVID POWELL: We will do our best. 24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 66 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Everytime I hear that I was just like I need to meet Jimmy Carter. 3 Uhm the, the project is a project cost are \$1,095,442 4 5 according to the testimony. Uhm how much of that is hard cost? How much of that is soft cost? 6 7 DAVID POWELL: So, I have. You are 8 going. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: We are going to 9 10 need so either repeat what you are saying or hop up there and say your name and get sworn in. 11 12 LACEY TAUBER: Come up (laughing). DARI ASKELL: Okay I'm Dari Askell. 13 14 COUNSEL: Let me just uhm swear you in. 15 Can you say your name for the record and do you 16 affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the 17 18 Subcommittee and in response to all Council Member questions? 19 20 DARI ASKELL: Hi my name is Dari Askell and yes, I do. So, I think so the soft costs are 21 2.2 very, very minimal here, probably around like \$30,000 23 and most of it is hard costs. CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: So, \$30,000 for 24 administrative costs? 25

1

25

2 DARI ASKELL: Yes, uh-huh. 3 DAVID POWELL: So, so we have soft costs. We broke it up into two pieces just to explain to our 4 board. Uhm we've spent already about \$17,500 for an 5 engineering report and asbestos testing because roof 6 7 replacement on two of these buildings is part of the scope and we anticipate about another \$38,500 for TA 8 training, legal fees, benchmarking, title report 9 insurance, etc. so, it's a little less than \$60,000. 10 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Any developer 11 12 fee whatsoever? 13 DAVID POWELL: No. 14 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Please make sure 15 to fill out a witness slip. Uhm. Your project has 16 commercial units, are those commercial units uhm being made available at market rate which is in your 17 18 part of the City is quite high in order to cross subsidize the affordable units or are the commercial 19 units they themselves affordable for local 20 businesses? 21 2.2 DAVID POWELL: Uhm four out of the five 23 buildings do have commercial units and I would say that it's a little, it's a little bit of both so most 24

definitely the rents of the uhm commercial spaces

2 cross-subsidize our housing, about 27% of our annual budget uhm comes from rents that we collect from 3 4 commercial spaces. We do not in the two subject buildings but we do have one or two nonprofits that 5 6 are, in our, in our commercial spaces and we do 7 actually have, actually one of the, one of the, one of the subject buildings we actually have a resident 8 entrepreneur who opened their own restaurant on the 9 ground floor and so that's at a submarket rent. 10

11 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Is there 12 anything that stops you from kicking all of those 13 tenants out and renting it to yet another bank or yet 14 another Starbucks and making it account for 100% and 15 just letting the people stay there for free?

16 DAVID POWELL: Legally no, but we are an 17 organization that comes out of anti-displacement 18 movement. Those buildings were literally secured by people who lived in them and fought in succession, 19 20 Robert Moses, drug dealers and arsonists, gentrifies and speculators and then the city itself in order to 21 2.2 get self-ownership of the housing so displacing 23 buildings is not something that we, even though we are cross subsiding our housing and housing is the 24 primary mission of the organization uhm displacing 25

2 businesses, uhm particularly long-term uhm small mom and pop businesses is not something that we aim to do 3 and we you know we definitely work with businesses to 4 5 keep them in the neighborhood and I will point out 6 also that you know these are very small uhm 7 commercial store fronts you know 200 to 300 square feet, so you are not generally going to get a 8 Starbucks or a bank fitting in a space that size but 9 10 we endeavor to keep our local merchants where they 11 are.

69

12 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: You would be surprised. You would be surprised where banks are 13 14 squeezing in on the site. At this point it is kind 15 of scary. The last thing that we need in the site is 16 another bank. The units that will become vacant during your 40-year period are currently I am very 17 18 grateful to hear so that HPD has a cap of 120% on the regulatory agreement but you are volunteering it to 19 20 go down to 80%. Does that 80%, is that, higher or lower or maintaining the status quo for the current 21 2.2 AMIs that you are seeing in the neighborhood. You 23 mentioned it being an island, what is the surrounding 24 AMI?

1

2 DAVID POWELL: Yeah I mean I, couldn't even tell you except to just say in saying for our 3 4 community you know, the pace of gentrification has 5 been massive and uhm we've really been more focused on making sure that our housing is affordable to our 6 7 people rather than sort of tracking the incomes of the affluent around us. I will say that so our 8 current regulatory agreement is pegged at 80% of AMIs 9 you mentioned and we are currently affordable to 25% 10 to 40% of AMI. A great deal of our units were tenant 11 12 occupied when we became a coop and so the vast majority of our residents were at incomes that are 13 14 much less than 80% of AMI so we always treat that as 15 kind of a, you know, as sort of a uhm distant feeling 16 and limitation and in fact most of our people are well below 50% of AMI and uhm we are aiming to keep 17 18 our, our maintenance fees at that level and so far we've been successful. Again, without subsidy. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Thank you, give me one second, I'm just looking up the AMI for the 21

22 census track at East 4th Street and it looks like the 23 mean family income is \$71,438 which would take you 24 closer to 90% of the AMI. So that is good news. 25 Uhm. Do you have existing debt on the project?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 71 1 2 DAVID POWELL: Uhm we have a small loan I 3 think of \$84,000 that I think is historical and has 4 not and HPD has not made requests on that so it is a little bit in the state of limbo. 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Will HPD forgive 6 7 the note as part of this in order to gain our 8 approval? LACEY TAUBER: No, no but we will. I 9 don't think we would forgive the note but we are 10 going to do a loft note affidavit. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: We are, we are 13 literally giving how many, \$9.6 million in present 14 Tax Abatement but we are going to be sticklers over 15 the \$80,000? 16 LACEY TAUBER: I think so. 17 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay uhm in 18 terms of the work that is being done and how the buildings are maintained, do people earn enough 19 20 income that they don't need affordable housing at 80% of AMI? Do they have health insurance? Uhm do you 21 2.2 have access to retirement vehicles such as 401k? Or 23 better yet a defined benefit for both the people who 24 will be doing the work that has been? I don't even 25

1

25

simple IRA.

2 know what Habitat for Humanity's requirements are but 3 for both the additions and the maintenance?

DAVID POWELL: So, I can't speak to uhm 4 5 the, Habitat for Humanities you know wage scale as 6 you eluded to. Uhm in terms of our own staff, uhm it 7 really depends on the position. Right? So you are talking about an organization that has office staff 8 and maintenance staff which include people with 9 pretty serious hard skills like boiler mechanics who 10 are making you know \$35 and hour an upwards and then 11 12 you have you know porters, who are cleaning our 13 buildings and some of them actually are, some of our 14 staff. We do have a handful of staff that are 15 residents as well as two super units. But the, the 16 porter salaries are going to be you know much lower 17 than the boiler mechanics salary. A starting, a 18 starting porter salary would be about \$16 an hour. 19 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: So, so above 20 minimum wage? 21 DAVID POWELL: Yes. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And do they have 23 health insurance? DAVID POWELL: Yes, full benefits and a 24

That is, that is sadly better than most

-	
2	of the developers that we see here so that is, great
3	news. Give me just. Uhm, I don't know Habitat for
4	Humanities. I don't think they've been here. I
5	think the Habitat that we did have here was like a
6	local branch, uhm do we know wh… can we make sure
7	that if you award the contractor that they are here
8	to answer do you know about the MWBE and whether they
9	are going to be satisfied with the MWBE requirements.
10	You hired an engineer, are they an MWBE?
11	DARI ASKELL: Yeah, so this project
12	doesn't actually trigger the MWBE requirement because
13	it's under \$2 million.
14	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: But we would
15	agree that even if it is not triggered, we still want
16	to hit those goals?
17	DARI ASKELL: For sure, but they are just
18	not required to by, by Law.
19	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Okay is, is
20	Cooper Square HMA committed to trying to work with
21	uhm MWBEs on this project?
22	DAVID POWELL: We are uhm, uhm our
23	construction consultant is a woman owned and women
24	staffed firm. And that is Susan Trainer Associates.
25	

2 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: If somebody is interested in working in one of your buildings and 3 you already said that you have some of your tenants 4 5 doing so uhm and I imagine that this doesn't get triggered on this project either but is interested in 6 7 doing the solar work and developing some of those hard skills to put solar on other buildings. Uhm are 8 you participating in local hire, will I get one of my 9 favorite moments during every hearing now or not so 10 11 much?

12 DAVID POWELL: We are working with contractors and subcontractors and the job is not, 13 14 you know, uhm it's not ground up construction right 15 so we are working with boiler companies and solar 16 installers. Uhm the again we have already mentioned that Habitat for Human ... by the way it is Habitat for 17 18 Humanity New York so it is the local affiliate of the national organization just to be. 19

20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: There are even 21 sub-affiliates within each borough that we have had. 22 DAVID POWELL: Okay is that right? 23 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm up here. 24 Uhm.

25

1 2 DAVID POWELL: Well it's the one who has 3 their offices here, downtown, that's who we typically deal with. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And so, I quess is there an opportunity for local hire or is that too 6 7 much to ask given the size of this project? DAVID POWELL: Probably given the size of 8 this project that's not realistic. I will say that 9 the. I mean yeah it doesn't, it doesn't necessarily 10 trigger the requirement but I think in terms of, I 11 12 think it is more by virtue of hiring local firms that are existent in New York City as opposed to. I think 13 14 what you are speaking of Council Member is you know 15 if somebody is uhm, is trying to get in to trades you 16 know could this be a gateway and I think the answer 17 is no. But if they get to Dari's point the side here 18 is that we are working with local contractors and

20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Thank you for being Community Land Trust. I know that there are 21 2.2 folks that are sitting in this room who are 23 incredibly enthusiastic about Community Land Trust. I believe Public Advocate now Attorney General James 24

local firms, so. Yeah.

25

1

2 had Legislation on it which is now being carried by a
3 former progressive caucus co-chair Donovan Richards.
4 DAVID POWELL: Sounds right.
5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And so, it is

76

6 something that we are interested in. I would love to 7 sit down at another point to, to learn more and see if we can use that model elsewhere as perhaps as an 8 alternative to Neighborhood Restore and some other 9 10 folks who are just trying to really build out these Land Trusts so you don't have to be in a situation 11 12 where as we have with almost every one else here it 13 is a situation like Oh My God in 10 years or 5 years they are going to have a regulatory agreement expire. 14 15 In this case it's like we own the land.

16 DAVID POWELL: That's right. That's
17 right. Yeah.

18 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm is there 19 anyone from the public who is here to testify on this 20 item? Uhm seeing none, I will now uhm close the 21 public hearing on Land Use Item on 347 and oh sorry. 22 Before I close it, is there a question I didn't ask 23 you that I should have that I should ask everyone 24 else?

2 DAVID POWELL: Well uhm I just uhm I think asking people about the permanent affordability 3 is really important and you know it would be remiss 4 of you. I think you hit the nail right on the head 5 as the Land Trust Model and Permanent Affordability 6 Visave that vehicle, takes you further into the 7 future than the City can anticipate or is willing to 8 commit to at this time and uhm so I think that is 9 good to push people on and I just want to also thank 10 you for raising the issue of possibly retrofitting 11 12 all buildings with elevators and I look forward to following up with you on that conversation. I need 13 14 the expertise because literally City Planning 15 believes that there is no impediment and I just need 16 to be able to show them in a concrete example. We are rezoning the city right now as we speak to get 17 18 rid of voids. So, just to get really cynical and off topic we are putting up buildings that are supposed 19 20 to be 23-stories tall but a 23-story tall building at 249 East 62nd Street is going to be 500 feet tall 21 2.2 because after 12 stories they are going to put 150 23 feet of nothing and then they are going to have 11stories so that they can build apartments for 24 billionaires and charge them a lot. 25

1

2 ANTONIO REYNOSO: The mechanical zone. 3 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm it's a mechanical void and so once we are able to show 4 5 examples to DCP so for DCPs purposes they need to see like here are our buildings where we would be able to 6 7 add elevators. It would cost x much, this much money for HPD and this much, this would be the change in 8 the bulk and use and we did that in zoning for 9 quality and affordability. That was supposed to be 10 what it was supposed to help us do. We added 11 12 additional height and bulk in different places so 13 I'm, that is in place and I am very interested and I 14 know that Council Member Reynoso and other people who 15 have worked with him are also interested. I will 16 also say that I agree with you on the Permanent 17 Affordability. At least yours is 99 years. I, I 18 would love to get to like Deed Restrictions. (laughing). 19 20 DAVID POWELL: It yes there is that and I want to point out that it is a renewable lease so you 21 2.2 know we won't. 23 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Do you have a 24 Deed Restriction on you? 25 LACEY TAUBER: Oh yeah.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 79 1 2 DAVID POWELL: Uh-huh. 3 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: That's awesome. I will go back. We asked about Deed Restrictions 4 before. 5 DAVID POWELL: We have Enforcement 6 7 Mortgages, Deed Restrictions, Land Leases and Regulatory Agreements. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: So. DAVID POWELL: And a history of community 10 organizing so, we're, we're, we're locked down for 11 life. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: My pl... my 14 pleasure to meet you. My luck to meet you. I will 15 now finally close the public hearing on Land Use Item 347 and it will be laid over. I will ask each to 16 17 continue to great nonprofit organizations like they 18 have been building. Our third and final hearing will be on Preconsidered Land Use Item 167 West 133rd 19 20 Street in Council Member Perkins District in Manhattan. HPD is seeking the termination of the 21 2.2 prior exemption for this site and a new 40-year 23 article 11 Tax Exemption Pursuant to section 577 of the Private Housing Finance Law. The building is a 24 15-unit low-income coop that was carved out of the 25

2 most recent third-party transfer program (yay). Uhm the reason for these yays is there was quite a bit of 3 controversy over home ownership opportunities being 4 lost up due to a 3rd party transfer program but at 5 6 least in my belief was they are to take properties back from bad landlords not bad owners where they 7 owned their own units. The 40-year Tax Exemption 8 will be retroactive of 8 years. Yay. This will help 9 get rid of some of the tax burdens that would 10 otherwise have forced these homeowners out of their 11 12 homes. The current will retain ownership for this building. Yay. I now open the public hearing on 13 14 this application. I would like to invite HPD to 15 present its testimony. Before doing so I would 16 remind one person in particular that they are still 17 under oath. 18 I haven't been sworn in yet? CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: She wasn't sworn 19

21 LACEY TAUBER: She hasn't been sworn in 22 yet?

I'm not under oath.

20

23

in?

CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Oh wow, I waswrong. You are not still under oath I've just been.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 81 1 2 You have been communicating throughout the hearing from the audience so let that reflect. So, I will 3 ask the Counsel to please swear in both people. 4 5 COUNSEL: She communicated through notes. 6 Can you please state your name before answering? Uhm 7 do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the 8 Subcommittee and in response to all Council Member 9 Ouestions? 10 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: Genevieve Michael, I 11 12 do. 13 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Try again. 14 NINA SANCHEK: Nina Sanchek I do. 15 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: This preconsidered 16 item consists of an exemption area containing one privately owned partially occupied building located 17 at 167 West 133rd Street, block 19, 18, lot 7 in 18 Manhattan Council District 9 seeking article 11 Tax 19 Benefits. 167 West 133rd Street Housing Development 20 Fund Corporation (HDFC) is a 15-unit low-income coop 21 2.2 which was taken into city ownership in 1978. In 23 December of 2000, the property was conveyed to 24 existing tenants as part of the TILT Program. The 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 82 1 2 building was pulled from round 10 TPT through Local 3 Law #1197. HPD is seeking. 4 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Yav. 5 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: HPD is seeking a full 6 article 11 Tax Exemption with a 40-year regulatory 7 agreement retroactively applied beginning in 2011 to preserve the building as affordable home ownership. 8 To help stabilize the building, the HDFC used 9 proceeds from the sale of a vacant apartment in 2018 10 to pay the DEP arears in full on 10/19/2018. Other 11 12 components of the plan including maintenance 13 increases, addressing matters regarding shareholders 14 and arears and the sale of four of the five vacant 15 units. The fifth will be a rental. Additionally, 16 the HDFC will modify their original mortgage and execute a new regulatory agreement. Under the 17 18 proposed terms of the new agreement, the sale of shares for any unit that becomes available will be 19 20 restricted to households with income at or below 120% AMI. The property contains a mixture of unit types 21 2.2 which includes one studio, nine one bedrooms, four 23 two bedrooms and one four-bedroom apartment. The 24 AMIs for the existing shareholders range from up to 30% of AMI to 60% of AMI and maintenance charges 25

2 include \$535 for the studio, \$750 for a one-bedroom unit, \$963 for a two-bedroom unit and \$1498 for the 3 4 four-bedroom unit. The building has minimal housing code violations and will be addressed through regular 5 6 maintenance, therefore the HDFC is not planning to 7 apply for any rehabilitation loan funding. In effort, in an effort to maintain continued 8 affordability and stability in the building, HPD is 9 before the Council seeking Article 11 Tax Benefits 10 dating back to 2011. This exemption will be for a 11 12 term of 4-years that will coincide with the regulatory agreement. The current estimated cost of 13 14 the Tax Benefit is \$3,121,311 with a net present 15 value of \$1,271,545. 16 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What is the uhm, 17 what is the retroactive Tax Burden that is being 18 forgiven? NINA SANCHECK: I will answer that, it is 19 20 \$320,516.

83

21 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What are the 22 AMIs for the current tenants and shareholders of this 23 building or the anticipated AMI targets for future 24 shareholders of this building?

1

2 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: Uhm so as I said they 3 range from 30 to 60% of AMI for the current tenants. 4 Uhm I think new uhm purchases will be restricted to income at or below 120 AMI. 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: What is the AMI 6 7 for that census tract and the surrounding communities? 8 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: I think as we 9 discussed. I think HPD doesn't actually feel like 10 Census Track is the most reliable piece of data uhm 11 12 you know given varying sizes throughout the City as well as a very large margin of area. But 64% of 13 14 residents in the Community District or roughly in the 15 Community District make below 80% of AMI. 16 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: So, just to be 17 clear that means that these future vacancies will 18 actually be 50% more for people making 50% more than the people in the Community? 19 20 NINA SANCHEK: Yeah I mean I think you know you are certainly familiar with the TPT Program 21 2.2 and I think the goal here is to stabilize the 23 building and ensure the future financial stability 24 and health for the existing tenants so we are not

84

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 85
2	another you know situation where you have arears or
3	various problems.
4	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: The concern I
5	want to raise is just the current tenants are at 60%
6	of AMI. Is that correct? That's the, the ceiling?
7	GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: Yeah, it's between 30
8	and 60, yeah.
9	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: So people if it
10	is a single individual they are making \$21,000 to
11	\$43,860 and the new tenants who are going to come in
12	are going to be making if it is just a single person
13	\$87,720 and if it is a family of 8 you've updated the
14	sheet on your website, it would be \$165,240. Uhm and
15	so uhm I liked it when it was 6 but that's fine. I
16	didn't realize these things went up to a quarter of a
17	million dollars a year. I need uhm, wow, sorry, the
18	quarter of a million 165 percent to AMI a family
19	could make \$227,205 which again does not feel
20	affordable. So, I guess, I will bring it to the
21	local Council Member. My concern is that the
22	regulatory agreement should preserve at least this,
23	preserve, at the minimum preserve the status quo not
24	have a gentrifying impact on the community.
25	

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 86 1 2 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: I hear the concern 3 but again I think that HPDs focus here is providing stability to the building and this is the best way 4 that we think to do that. 5 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm what is the 6 7 anticipated sales price for vacant units? 8 NINA SANCHEK: Uhm it is \$100,000 for the one-bedroom and \$180,000 for the two-bedrooms, those 9 three two-bedrooms. 10 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: And how many 11 12 vacant units? 13 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: Uhm there are five in 14 total, uhm four are going to go up on the market for 15 sale and one of them the coop is hoping to rent out 16 before they start the regulatory agreements. 17 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Are there any commercial units? 18 GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: No. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: If somebody would like one of these three home ownership 21 2.2 opportunities how do they apply for one of these 23 three home ownership opportunities for people who are watching at home that would mean that you earning 24 25

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 87
2	\$87,720 a year if you are a single individual. If
3	you are a family of 8 you could earn \$165,240.
4	GENEVIEVE MICHAEL: Uhm like with uhm the
5	prior projects that you saw today as well as all of
6	HPDs affordable units, they will be availing on
7	Housing Connect.
8	CHAIRPERSON BEN KALLOS: Uhm thank you.
9	I think that resolves my questions on this. I am
10	generally in favor of preserving our HDFC Housing
11	stock. Are there any questions, sorry, is there
12	anyone here from the public who would like to
13	testify? Seeing none I will now close the public
14	hearing on this application and it will be laid over.
15	This concludes today's hearing. I would like to
16	thank the Council and Land Use Staff for preparing
17	today's hearing and the members of the public and my
18	colleagues for attending. This meeting is hereby
19	adjourned (gavel pounding).
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 17, 2019