CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

January 28, 2019
Start: 1:14 p.m.
Recess: 3:35 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City all
B E F O R E: CARLOS MENCHACA
Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Daniel Dromm

Mathieu Eugene Mark Gjonaj

Robert F. Holden I. Daneek Miller Kalman Yeger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Bitta Mostofi, Commissioner, New York City Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, MOIA

Yleana Roman, Staff Attorney, Health Justice & Immigration, New York Lawyers for the Public Interest, NYLPI

Amaha Kassa, Executive Director, African Communities Together and Immigration Attorney

Sammi, Immigration advocate, Arab-American Association of New York

Jodi Ziesemer, Director, Immigrant Protection Unit, New York Legal Assistance Group

Melissa Chua, Assistant Director, Immigrant Protection Unit, New York Legal Assistance Group

Traci Lawson, Attorney in charge of the Raising (sic) Communities Project, Immigration Practice, Brooklyn Defender Services

Margaret Garrett, Staff Attorney, Legal Aid Society of New York

Narbada Chherti, Director of Organizing & Programs, Adhikaar

Prathana Gurung, Campaigns & Communications Manager

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 [sound check] [pause] [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Buenos tardes, everyone. My name is Carlos Menchaca. I'm the Chair of the New York City Council's Committee on Immigration. Before beginning, I'd like to acknowledge the members of the committee. They're not here yet, but I will make sure that I give shoutouts to them. We are joined by my folks in the audience right now who are advocates, who are people impacted by the conversation that we're going to be having today. We will be examining the city's support, and services for recipients of Temporary Protected Status or TPS. This hearing comes at a very important and critical time not just for the city, but for our nation. Between the fall of 2017 and the summer of 2018, President Trump directed the Department of Homeland Security to end TPS designations for six countries. While this dedesignation is part of the federal's-federal administration's attack on immigrant communities, its specific action is unprecedented. It threatens to deport nearly 500,000 recipients to face potentially dangerous conditions from which they were initially granted the protection. Temporary Protected Status,

2	TPS exists for one reason: As a temporary immigration
3	status that is granted to eligible nations of TPS
4	designated countries residing in the United States.
5	It is based on an-on an in-country conditions that
6	the Department of Homeland Security has deemed to be
7	unlivable, conditions like environment disasters,
8	ongoing armed conflict and other extraordinary and
9	temporary conditions that prevent safe return. The
10	U.S. currently provides TPS to approximately 437,000
11	foreign nationals from 10 different countries, El
12	Salvador, Haiti, Honduras, Nepal, Nicaragua, Somalia,
13	Sudan, South Sudan, Syria, Yemen, but President Trump
14	is committed expelling TPS recipients, and has set
15	for the following termination—termination dates for
16	six of the ten countries: Sudan on November 2-
17	November 2 nd , 2018; Haiti on January 5, 2020;
18	Nicaragua on January 5, 2019; El Salvador on
19	September 9, 2019; Nepal on June 24, 2019; and
20	Honduras on July 22, 2019. This De-designation will
21	impact 428-258,000 TPS recipients or approximately
22	98% of the current TPS beneficiaries. Thankfully, we
23	have advocates across the nation including here in
24	New York City who are doing everything within their
25	power to challenge the federal government's arbitrary

2 terminations of TPS. Various lawsuits have been filed, the basis of which range from racial 3 discrimination violations of the Immigration and 4 Nationality Act and Administrative Procedures Act, 5 and infringement on the Constitutional rights of TPS 6 beneficiaries. On October 3, 2018, a U.S. District 7 Judge in the Northern District of California issued a 8 preliminary injunction halting the end of TPS 9 designation for Sudan, Haiti, El Salvador and 10 Nicaragua until a final ruling on the merits is 11 12 issued. As a result, TPS recipients are currently in limbo. While they are safe pending this final 13 14 ruling, they face the terrifying uncertainty that 15 their lives will be uprooted, fearing a tomorrow 16 where some will have to return to potentially 17 dangerous passport countries leaving their families 18 and homes behind. If you've seen the news lately, circumstances haven't suddenly become livable in 19 20 Syria or Yemen or Sudan or South Sudan and Honduras, El Salvador or Haiti. It would not only be only be 21 2.2 harmful to TPS recipients to deport them to dangerous 23 conditions in their passport countries, but it would also hurt communities across the United States 24 25 including here in our own city of New York.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

recipients are deeply integrated into our communities providing emotional and financial support to their children and loved ones, and playing vital roles in our communities, our schools and our businesses. recipients also play an important role in our nation's economy with the labor for its participation rate of 88.5% and then TPS would have significant and far reaching impacts on a larger community socially, emotionally and financially. Here in New York City there are 15,000 TPS recipients, 15,000 New Yorkers. Many of our TPS recipients have lived in the city an average of 15 years. These aren't strangers. are our colleagues, our neighbors, our parents of children. There are—and there are 8,000 U.S. born children in the-in the city living in families with at least one TPS recipient. I'm going to read that again. There are 8,000 U.S. born children, U.S. born children in the city living in families with at least one TPS recipient. Terminating TPS could mean negatively impacting the economic stability of these families by removing the breadwinner and the breadwinner's work authorization ultimately separating the parents from the children. Yet, once again we're separating children from their families.

The New York City Council is committee to ensuring we
protect our immigrant communities and families, and I
will not stand idly by as the federal administration
targets our families. Today, we will hear from
members of the public, advocates and the mayoral
administration. We hope to hear what is being done
to ensure that all TPS recipients are being connected
and supported by legal screenings so that they are
prepared for the worst even as we hope for the best.
Thank you to the staff who prepared for this hearing
today. The whole staff of the Committee on
Immigration, my Committee Counsel Harbani Ahuja,
Committee Policy Analyst Elizabeth Cronk; Finance
Analyst Jim Lee and my staff-my Chief of Staff
Sicheatta Meng, and Communications Director Tony
Charito. Thank you for Queens Member Bob Holden
who's here with us today, and with that, I want to
call the Administration up, our Commissioner Bitta
Mostofi, anyone else on-on your team? Okay. We're
going to swear you in. Thank you so much for being
here.

LEGAL COUNSEL: [coughs] Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 testimony before this committee, and to respond
3 honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

Thank you. [pause] So bear with me. I have a bad-I have a cold, as you know. I will do my [coughs] Okay. Thank you to Chair Menchaca and members of the Committee on Immigration. My name is Bitta Mostofi. I'm the Commissioner of the New York City Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. you very much for calling a hearing on this critical issue. We really appreciate the opportunity to discuss this crisis affecting thousands of New Yorkers. Our agency works to remain consistently upto-date and rapidly-in the rapidly shifting landscape of Temporary Protected Status designations, and how it affects our communities. We are committed to doing everything we can as a city to protect our communities, to inform the public and ensure that if TPS recipients lose their status, they can access services and benefits to the greatest extent possible. Thus, our work take and approach of providing legal services, community outreach and education, rapid response and research and analysis

2 of as well as advocacy at the city, state and federal levels. While this issue has received less national 3 attention than many other crises caused by the 4 federal administration, the termination of TPS designations for six countries is particularly cruel. 6 TPS recipients who will be affected by these 7 decisions are mostly long-term residents of the 8 United States, have U.S. citizen family members, and 9 have no serious criminal convictions. 10 terminations will leave thousands of individuals 11 12 undocumented placing them at risk of deportation to countries that even federal officials have admitted 13 14 remain unstable and unsafe for return. Moreover, the 15 circumstances and rhetoric surrounding these terminations decisions have revealed these TPS 16 17 determinations were motivated by anti-Black and anti-18 Latinix racism. In today's testimony, I will provide the committee with an overview of TPS, the current 19 20 legal and political landscape surrounding recent TPS terminations, an overview of how these terminations 21 2.2 directly impact New Yorkers and a detailed overview 23 of our city's efforts to continue to serve and empower our communities. In 1990, Congress created 24 with bipartisan support the Temporary Protected 25

2 Status program. The TPS programs provides work authorization and relief from deportation for 3 4 immigrants in the United States who cannot return to 5 their home countries due to ongoing turmoil such as armed conflict, natural disasters or other 6 7 extraordinary circumstances. To obtain TPS, individuals must meet certain eligibility 8 requirements including a lack of serious criminal 9 10 convictions. TPS does not provide a pathway to citizenship, although some recipients may address 11 12 their status through U.S. citizen family members or Its quote/unquote temporary nature means 13 avenues. that the Department of Homeland Security reviews TPS 14 15 designations every 6 to 18 months based upon a review 16 of country conditions. Recipients must re-register 17 for TPS each time DHS extends their country's 18 designations. They must maintain their eligibility and pay up to \$495.00 in application fees. In a break 19 from the last 20 years of previous practice, the 20 Trump Administration has decided to terminate TPS for 21 2.2 6 of the 9 countries it had the opportunity to 23 review. Past federal administrations of both 24 political parties have always taken into account current country conditions when evaluating whether 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and extension of TPS is warranted. However the Trump Administration has broken with longstanding policies and instead only considered the original condition or event that determines that initial designation. Litigation has challenged these terminations and remains ongoing. These cases have produced evidence that these terminations were made without the weight or evidence and against the recommendations of career federal officials. Moreover, the decisions accord with the President's racist and xenophobic rhetoric. It is not a coincidence that the majority of the individuals affected by these terminations are black and brown people. Indeed, the President has made his motivations clear in referring to predominantly black TPS designated countries in vulgar and demeaning terms that I will not repeat. These terminations are particularly cruel in that most of the people with TPS are long-term residents many of whom have U.S. Citizen family members. The decision to cast away members of our community is rooted in the anti-black and anti-Latinix racism, which has been evident by as I said the, president's own words. Administration's actions to terminate TPS

designations created economic harm as recipients lose

2 their work authorization and many of whom are the primary bread winners of their families, and they 3 4 have also already had a negative public health impact 5 with TPS recipients experiencing toxic levels of stress and anxiety. Five federal lawsuits have been 6 7 filed in response to these terminations. In October of last year the Federal District Court of the north-8 of Northern California issued a nationwide 9 preliminary injunction stopping DHS from terminating-10 terminating TPS for Haiti, Sudan, Nicaragua and El 11 12 Salvador. Notably, Honduran and Nepali TPS recipients were not a part of this litigation or the 13 14 decision because the case was filed before TPS for 15 those countries had been terminated. Major decisions 16 in the other four cases remain pending. Central to all of these cases that's challenging these 17 18 terminations is the issue of racial animus. uncertainty persists due to ongoing litigation, TPS 19 20 recipients from six countries stand to lose their legal status by 2020. Those six countries are Sudan, 21 2.2 Nicaragua, Nepal, Haiti, El Salvador and Honduras. 23 Nationally, this totals to nearly 400,000 people. Last year more MOIA released a fact sheet on TPS 24 25 recipients in New York City to provide local

2 stakeholders and advocates with information about this population. We estimate that approximately 3 15,000 New Yorkers are TPS recipients, and over 8,000 4 U.S. born children live in households with a TPS 5 recipient. Additionally, TPS recipients tend to be 6 7 long-term U.S. residents. They have lived here an average of 15 years. The vast majority of TPS 8 holders in New York City are from Haiti, El Salvador 9 and Honduras. The Trump Administration has 10 terminated TPS for all of these countries. We also 11 12 found that TPS recipients are important contributors to our city economy. They account for approximately 13 \$260 million in income in New York City each year. In 14 15 2017, TPS recipients generated an estimated \$591.1 16 million in gross city products. Additionally, TPS recipients have a higher labor force participation 17 18 rate than the general population, and many work in the education and health service industries. 19 20 addition, to TPS recipients from the six countries the Trump Administration has declined to extend, a 21 2.2 number of Liberians in New York City protected by a 23 similar program will also lose legal status. On March 23rd of this year, all Liberians who have-who 24 have benefitted from deferred and forced departure 25

2 will lose their protection from deportation. pop-the size of this population is not large 3 nationwide. As of 2017 at least 745 Liberians are 4 covered, but we know that in Staten Island it is 5 among the top areas of residence for Liberians in the 6 7 United States. Among the most important ways which we've responded to the Trump Administration attacks 8 on TPS has been outreach in public education. 9 Beginning in 2017, MOIA has led a robust effort to 10 provide information to residents including regular 11 12 conversations with community based organizations, 13 labor unions, faith leaders and elected officials. 14 We've conducted multiple briefing calls with elected 15 officials and consulates and our fact sheet, and 16 we've organized 15 TPS focused outreach days of 17 action along with many TPS specific know your rights 18 forums with the help of 144 volunteers. Through our outreach efforts we've reached and estimated 50,000 19 20 constituents across the city. Additionally, we keep the public apprised of the latest news and 21 2.2 developments on TPS with the specific page on our 23 website designated for this subject at nyc.gov/tps. 24 The city has also ensured that our unprecedented investments in immigration legal services can provide 25

25

2 a sense (sic) with TPS renewals. In response to federal actions, Action NYC providers pivoted to 3 4 provide urgent support to their communities affected 5 by shifting immigration policies. For example, Action NYC sites continue to prioritize TPS re-6 7 registrations. Our Action NYC providers also provide assistance in exploring alternative avenues of 8 immigration relief for TPS recipient at risk of 9 losing their status. Further, NYIFUP and other city 10 immigration legal services programs provide defense 11 12 against deportation, which can help those TPS recipients who need assistance in removal 13 14 proceedings. The city and state have made sure that 15 TPS recipients in New York are eligible for a range o 16 public benefits. Benefits for which TPS recipients 17 are eligible include Medicaid, the Affordable Care 18 Act, Health Insurance subsidies through the New York State of Health Marketplace and Safety Net Cash 19 20 Assistance. TPS recipients also have access to the full spectrum of services for which immigration 21 2.2 status is not required. Like IDNYC, public 23 education, public health clinics and more. However, because of federal eligibility-eligibility 24

limitations, there are other benefits that have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

eligibility requirements that exclude TPS recipients. These include SNAP, Supplemental Security Income, public housing and Section 8 for example. recipients who-who lost their legal status due to these terminations will be rendered ineligible for a number of public benefits. Of particular concern is the loss of Medicaid coverage for low-income TPS recipients. This same concern arose in 2017 when the Trump Administration attempted to rescind DACA. decision precipitated that concern that DACA recipients would become ineligible to remain insured through Medicaid. We were very gratified at that time that the State Department of Health promulgated guidance in January of 2018 to address the concern following engagement by local advocacy organizations, testimony I presented in December of 2017 before a joint hearing of the Assembly Committee on Health, Puerto Rican, Hispanic Task Force and the Task Force on New Americans. In that guidance, the state clarified that DACA recipients whose DACA status is terminated will continue to be treated as eligible for Medicaid. We look forward to working with our state partners on a similar solution here to ensure that low-income TPS recipients can continue their

2 health insurance coverage if they were to lose status. Even though the Trump Administration's 3 termination of TPS designations for Sudan, Nicaragua, 4 Haiti and El Salvador have been enjoined for now, the 5 attempts to terminate have still posed challenges for 6 7 TPS recipients. Rather than issuing ne work authorization cards, the federal government announced 8 that work authorization cards for Sudanese and 9 Nicaraguan TPS recipients would be valid through 10 April 2nd. If the injunction continues beyond April 11 2nd, the government will issue another notice. Thus, 12 those TPS recipients only have with them expired work 13 14 authorization cards. This has caused challenges in 15 the past for immigrants seeking to renew driver's 16 licenses or verifying their work authorizations with employers. We look forward to continuing to work 17 18 along side our state partners to find additional ways to mitigate TPS terminations such as supporting the 19 20 passage of New York State Driver's Licenses For All Bill, which could help former TPS recipients maintain 21 2.2 their driver's licenses. Our federal advocacy on TPS 23 has been long underway. MOIA leads Cities for Action, a coalition of over 175 cities and counties 24 across the country that together advocate for pro-25

2 immigrant policy and legislation. Our advocacy for the 116th Congress will focus on TPS. We will be 3 helping to raise the profile of this issue on the-in 4 the crisis looming on the horizon. In particular we 5 will conduct extensive outreach to congressional 6 7 offices providing them with general information about the program and why legislation is so desperately 8 needed to provide a pathway to citizenship for those 9 who have lost TPS. And we will be highlighting why 10 this issue is so important for cities in particular 11 12 across this country. TPS recipients are vital members of our communities, contributors to our 13 economies and pillars of our families. We will work 14 15 to ensure that Congress knows just how much it is at 16 stake. Through Cities for Action Mayor de Blasio and mayors across the country have sent letters to the 17 18 Trump Administration urging renewal for countries whose TPS extension decisions were coming up. And in 19 20 November of 2017, the New York City Children's Cabinet sent a letter to DHS Acting Secretary Elaine 21 2.2 Duke noting that 8,000 families in New York City have 23 a U.S. citizen child living with a TPS recipient. The city also contributed to a multi-city and Amicus 24 Brief in the case that has resulted in the 25

preliminary injunction against the termination of
most of the TPS designations. We will continue to
use every tool available to advocate for relief for
TPS recipients and whether in Congress, in the
Federal Executive branch or in the courts. The city
has been working to mitigate the damage of these
terminations. We've sought to keep the public
informed in real time if the legal landscape has
rapidly shifted. We've gone into communities to help
ensure immigrant New Yorkers and their families can
continue to feel supported by the city. We've made
tremendous investments in legal services to help
protect our communities from over broad enforcement
and helped communities navigate an increasingly
complex system. I want to thank Chair Menchaca for
calling this hearing, and for your leadership. I
want to thank our agency partners, our legal service
providers, community organizations and most
importantly immigrant communities themselves for
their resiliency in the face of these countless
attacks that motivate our work each day. Thank you
so much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you,

Commissioner and thank you and your team for-for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

being here and really I think showing how-how we're committed as a city on this issue. Before I ask questions, I also want to acknowledge that we've joined by Council Members Yeger and Gjonaj from the Bronx and Brooklyn, and—and this morning we—we started the day, you and I at 26 Federal Plaza with Robbie and Amy, and we were in the midst of a system. We were-while we were there for say for one person, we saw families go in and out of their check-ins, and-and it just reminded me of the-the nature of-of this incredible beast and how arbitrary and how much power the federal government has and-and what-what we were able to do was summon our responsibility as people were stewards of our neighbors, and-and so it's just really important to get to the core of theand by the way, Robbie now has six months before his next check-in, and the Congresswoman was there and Congresswoman Clark now an appointed member of the oversight for DHS. The Department of Homeland Security is going to be launching an investigation and really this is New York City. It is a blessing to be here, and to be fighting alongside the advocate community, and New Yorkers in general. This TPS piece just falls under so much shadow in so many

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

ways, and I'm glad you acknowledged that, too,
nationally. This is a community that—that we never
thought in this unprecedented way that the
government, the federal government would be taking
away these protections. That falls in the face of
what they are there to do in the first place. So,
we're dealing with some really dark, dark times right
now. I want to offer the opportunity for Council
Member Council Member Holden to ask a question or
two. Are you ready for a question? A few minutes.
Great. So, I'm going in for-for a few questions.
You mentioned a kind of specific TPS oriented focused
outreach days of action, and I want to kind of go
back to that, and kind of give us a sense a little
bit about what those services were, when they
happened, how many people were-were in attendance?
Were these in communities, partners? I'd like to get
a good sense about—about how that worked
COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing]
Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --mostly I think because we're going to want to partner on some future and gain a sense about how that actually happened.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --that will be 3 helpful for us to know.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So, when the decisions are on TPS re-designations started to come down, we along with many others thought it more pertinent to be coordinated in response. We had I think our first meeting here at City Hall in the call with a larger number of community based organizations, members from elected-offices of elected officials, faith leadership and others, unions essentially working together in a conversation to determine what would be appropriate in our step in getting good information out to communities and to advocate cohesively. From that, we began engaging in-with groups to deploy information to communities. We-we created our web page so that we could have timely update for people. We created community fact sheets that we continue to update regularly and share all the listed information on each country, and go updated so people would have this information. had these translated into the various languages and disseminated to community partners and elected official offices. We conducted a series of Know Your Rights forums, many within faith institutions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

following days of service. We did town halls. or action were largely focused around community neighborhoods, bus stops and other locations where the intended population that we were trying to reach would be congregated, and largely with the support of volunteers, as I mentioned, disseminating the flyers that had the up-to-date information for each country on it, and how to follow up with services if people were to need it. We additionally did a PSA announcement through the city's phone line, held a number of press conferences jointly with the Coalition and advocacy partners, New York Immigration Coalition 1199, 32BJ and others. Held debriefing calls and we continue to do monthly coalition meetings with the chairs of stakeholders. I think as we've seen with almost every issue, any which way you can kink of penetrate information is useful. So, I wouldn't say that one particularly-one method in particular was the most relevant. I'd say we had a lot of success in working with the Liberian Community on Staten Island to make sure that we were engaging effectively with that community as well, and that they were a part of these conversations, as I know I'd do it to DD-DED. I'm must making sure that we

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

were being responsive to the needs as they were coming to us. So, I think those—those efforts, those kinds of efforts are important. I think supporting things like the National Caravan that was organized by TPS holders themselves as they made their way to Washington and welcoming them here in New York City are important in helping to ensure that we're continuing to elevate the voices of TPS holders themselves, and welcome additional thoughts and ideas and ways to partner.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: How—how effective do you think that it was, and I'm asking with the perspective of—of kind of general immigration outreach—

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing]
Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --to communities, and this is a very particular thing, a particular kind of benefit, one that has incredible unlocking potential for a family for work and driver's licenses, et cetera. How—how effective to you feel like MOIA was in outreach?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: You know,
TPS holders are a unique population not too

4

2 dissimilar from DACA recipients in that they've been here for so long. They're so well established. 3 They've engaged with immigration so frequently in 5 their own renewal period, but a lot of the 6 individuals who benefit from these types of programs 7 are, you know, again, I guess very well established and have their own ways of sort of going about those 8 efforts. So, in terms of measuring success, I'm not 9 sure there was a-there was like a specific way to 10 measure that something was successful in our mind. I 11 12 think we're continuing to sort of monitor renewals and things like that and the-the most important 13 14 message for us is people knowing for instance that 15 there is an extension of their work authorization at 16 his time that they can get, that they can continue to work and that they can get the legal advice if they 17 18 need it. But other than sort of deeply penetrating information and working with community based groups 19 20 and faith leadership, which I do think was successful and people were responsive, and a lot of what we 21 2.2 heard in terms of feedback from community groups was 23 oh, I'm not affected but my neighbor is, and I'm going to share this information with them was really 24 25 part of the goal as well. So, I think it's hard to

2.2

measure effectively in terms of saying we wondered, you know, why that happened and X made it happen, but I think that's largely due to the fact that these are communities who have been here for a very long period of time, have their own established ways of going through renewals, their own trusted providers or people that they've gone to or processes that they've gone about are stronger in the English language and so forth. And so, I think hopefully the—the broad based dissemination of information and the city's leadership in demonstrating that we were here to do what we could that was at our disposal gave people the tools and the comfort that they needed through this time.

what's important here is—is clearly we're—we're—we're getting to a critical point soon. Hopefully, the—the courts will favor our side of this question, but in preparation for this, I think we want to get a better sense about what needs to happen, and what we've learned in the past about this population, a unique population that has a lot to lose, and where you have a demographic that most—many of these families have American born children to mixed status families.

2.2

They're integrated into our communities with work, our economic—their economic engine, and so I think that's what we're trying to get a better sense of-of how we do that, and I know advocates are going to—are going to testify and think about that, and hopefully and I'll ask them be ready on how—what ideas they have so that we can meet them where they are and bring the resources. Is MOIA ye prepared to think about—because we're in the middle of the big, or I should say we're in the beginning of the next budget season, a plan with resources for specific outreach and not necessarily just general outreach, but specific outreach to this community?

thing I would just note is I would say that the labor unions are—are very effective partners in this work with TPS recipients, and hugely critical to be in the—in the conversations many of whom, of course, met their members, are in the sectors where you see large numbers of TPS recipients and they were highly critical, and driven and—and getting good information out to their members, and working in partnership to elevate the—the critical nature and need of—of this work. In terms of ongoing need, you know, we—as I

said, have been continuing to prioritize appointments
as needed for TPS holders. We've not seen an issue
there in terms of large numbers of people needing
those appointments who haven't been able to get them.
As I noted again, this is a population that's been
going through this renewal process for so long that
many people already have sort of their-their way of
doing that, and the trusted people that they go to.
In terms of outreach and education, we've started
this work over a year ago now in terms of being in
communities and sharing information. We've sustained
it since that time as needs have come up, as we've
been asked to do a Know Your Rights forum and to
provide information and, you know, we'll continue to
do that. I think our focus at the moment is really
advocacy at the federal level. We think now is the
time to ensure that Congress is taking seriously the
need for a legislative solution for recipients, and
have learned through the work that we do, and
advocates-through advocates and others that we've
spoken to, but there's just a lot of need for
education at the federal level for people to
understand the importance of TPS recipients having a

2.2

permanent solution. So, we're very focused at the moment in ensuring that we're doing that effectively.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can you—can you talk a little bit more about exactly the federal—the role that you're playing at the federal level to—to the advocacy?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So, in working through Cities for Action, the Coalition of Mayors across the country, we are doing regular engagement in terms of, you know, checking the pulse on—on where—where there's appetite and where there is need for education around issues that are critical to our communities, our cities. TPS—

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] [off mic] We're telling—[on mic] We're telling the story of the city New York to the—to the federal community—

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing] Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --community, the federal legislative body.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Big, by the way, and not just the city of New York, and I think that's where the power of—of the city is coming

- 2 together is, right. The city is nationally experiencing the same ramifications or impacts 3 locally based on these policies and TPS being one 4 5 that's been a harder one to penetrate in terms of the 6 narrative and in terms of people really understanding 7 that the human impact will be, but cities deeply understand that, and know that in a way that we're 8 able to speak to it in a different way than 9 applicants and others have. So, we're hoping to be 10 able to play more of that role on this issue in the 11 12 coming months including a trip to DC with city
 - CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome. I want to offer the opportunity to work the City Council in all of this and we'll come back to that in a little bit. Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thanks,

Commissioner for your testimony. I just have a few questions. Some of the—some of the questions were answer already, but so the Temporary Protected Status is evaluated every 6 to 18 months for these countries that you—you're talking about?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

partners.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And each time that the—the person has to pay for \$495 is good, and based on—on—on just—so if they do it every six months, you have to pay \$495 every six months. Is that ever done?

might want to pause, and get back to you on the number that you have to pay at every renewal. I know it's different if you're applying for the first time versus—versus a renewal of your work authorization card. So, I want to get back to you on the exact number for just the renewal every 18 months.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And—and you said the Trump Administration has evaluated six or nine countries six to nine countries. How many countries have TPS in the United States?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So, let me get you—let me get to my chart so I don't give you the wrong information on this. [pause] So, currently including Liberia, there are 11 countries, and the Trump Administration as we noted, evaluated 9 and chose not to re-designate 6.

2.2

	COI	UNCIL	MEMBER	HOLDE:	N: So,	there	are	11
countries	you	said.	Which	ones	weren't	: evalu	ıated	1?
T'm sorry								

commissioner bitta Mostofi: So, if it's okay, I'll just run down the list to make it a little bit easier. So Sudan, they chose not to redesignate. Niger—Nicaragua they chose not to redesignate. Liberia they chose not to redesignate. South Sudan they extended. Haiti they chose not to redesignate. Nepal they chose not to redesignate. El Salvador they chose not to redesignate. Syria they did extend. Honduras they chose not to redesignate. Yemen they extended and Somalia they extended.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: And since it is TPS, it's temporary, and in previous administrations—I know you said that the Trump Administration is targeting certain countries, and can—can—historically has—since it is TPS, I mean it is lifted in previous Administration hasn't it? I mean obviously because it wouldn't be called TPS.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Uh-hm.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-hm.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, could you go back historically and say how man times it's been lifted in other Administrations for certain countries?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So—so
I'll say a couple of things, and I'll—I'll start with
what has been the most troubling or problematic about
the approach of the Trump Administration compared to
previous administrations.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Uh-hm.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: The most problematic thing has been the way that they've gone about making the determination. So, as I noted in my testimony and has been—has been a part of the practice since the '90s re-designations are based on current country conditions. So, the ability for the individual to return safely to—to their country of origin and establish themselves safely. The Trump Administration again against what their own Country Condition Report said against what—own guidance that they—they were given ignored current country conditions in the country of origin for individuals. So, in terms of sort of process and, you know, some of the bases for the legal challenge, it's that they

didn't procedurally operate as they ought to in
considering TPS designation and that's the first and
major thing I would say. The second is I think
comprehensively and nobody would dispute this,
there's a broader question around the need for
comprehensive immigration reform, and things that
work about our system and things that don't work in
our system and I think one of the-the important
things to consider is, of course, what happens when
people have been established for over 15 years in a
country. They have worked there. They have city
jobs. They have established themselves. They pose no
threat. They have mixed status households. There
should be consideration for those factors, and there
should be a system that acknowledges that. So, I
think certainly in any conversation about the need
for immigration reform, this would be a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Do-do you keep track of how many-actually, how many people go back to their original country voluntarily just because conditions have improved in that country? Do you keep status like that?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I don't.

No. I'm not sure we would be able to get something

2.2

2 like that, but we can certainly try that—try to and 3 get back to you if we can.

of—of the argument though is that you believe the conditions haven't improved in—in those countries at all? In any of the countries and that there should be some mechanism to apply for citizenship or at least permanent status based on the fact that they've been here a long time, 15 years let's say or so, and have good jobs let's say?

saying is a couple of things. One that I think the kind—this kind of program is an important kind of program. We're not, you know, we're not entering into a situation where you aren't—aren't going to see crises arise globally. In fact, we're seeing an increase in crises and will see an increase in crisis around migration due to climate change, and so I think the importance of programs that acknowledge that somebody should not be forced to go to an unstable, unsafe condition is a part of what we should value and who we are as a country and a society I think the reality of what plays out in countries and how long it takes to rebuild and so

2.2

forth is something that I would hope would be
considered in a conversation in—around comprehensive
immigration reform, and part of what what's happening
to the countries that we're talking about and the
individuals here in New York City is so critical to
the conversation. We want to just make sure that
legislators are educated about who these populations
are, why it matters that they've been here for over
15 years and have children and other primary
breadwinners of their family and have established
themselves every year paying taxes not committing
crimes and so forth.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you Council

Member Holden. We've also been joined by Council

Member Miller. Council Member Miller, do you have

questions? Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you Chair

Menchaca for your leadership once again, and

Commissioner, thank you as well for you and your team

that has demonstrated the support to these folks that

have been afforded temporary protections in our

communities throughout the city of New York and that

let me just say that we appreciate the resources, and

25 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: It four--

lawsuit it's—it's four.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Oh, in the

23

2.2

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes

the—the injunction and so forth. So, there—there are a lot of folks that are on pins and needles. Let me ask you. Is—is those and those individuals that—that have come here by virtue of catastrophes or other world events that—that they've experienced, and those who received a Temporary Protected Status, what other mechanisms, avenues, resources does the government provide—assistance do we provide for individuals from these countries that find themselves in these type of predicaments?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: In terms of public assistance?

Temporary Protected Status. I know that there are many such qualifying catastrophic events that happen. Unfortunately, pretty regularly. As I take a look at this list, there's a list of—of-of folks in countries that are all black and brown, right? What opportunities are afforded to the rest of the world that have equal catastrophic events? Are they finding themselves in simply Temporary Protected Status or is there something that else that grants

2.2

them the opportunities to—that are being afforded to TPS and beyond? Is there something else that you are missing, and why aren't there folks that—why they non and black and brown countries and folks from those countries on TPS? Is there something aside from TPS that assists these folks?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: It's something that we're missing here?

I know Council Member you came a little bit late, but I did as—in my testimony speak to how we believe very much the decision to terminate these countries is motivated by anti-black and anti-Latinix racism at the federal level, and that that has been clear through the rhetoric, and the lack of following procedure in making these determinations. I think in terms of what—why do you see a host of countries listed that are all from the country—from countries that have predominately people of color, and now I mean we could probably have a whole history lesson about foreign policy, and nobody did it work—that speaks to that, quite honestly, and speaks to the

2.1

2.2

2 resources in—in some countries and—and the way that 3 governments work in other—in other countries—

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing]

Could-could--

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: --that actually results in--

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing]

Could you very specifically say, and—and—and I can't grasp at a country, a European country or a non—country of color, but when they find themselves in these positions, are there only options available to them, Temporary Protected Statuses or are the government, federal government affording them opportunities that are not being afforded to these—to folks from El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Yemena and Somalia and other—the other West African countries?

think without a specific example, it's a difficult thing for me to answer that question. I would say that in terms of reliefs that are available for individuals who are in the United States at the time when there might be some sort of civil or other natural disaster in their country that makes them unable to go home, as far as I'm aware, it would be

2.2

similar. But there isn't a different kind of program that would be made available to one country versus another. I think it's more of a question of what are the—what are the sort of number of opportunities that might be made available to individuals, in particular countries for different reasons. Be it more freedom to travel to other places, to relocate if you're in the European Union, et cetera.

I was looking for. I was looking for something a little more definitive, but I can appreciate your answer, and thank you again, Mr. Chair. I'm just going to kind of listen to the rest of the—the hearing and kind ascertain—and maybe I'll hear what we're trying to get to, and the same. But I think that the folks that are being—it was just a simple question. Is there outside of TPS anything else that are being afforded to folks that find themselves in the position that these folks aren't able to take advantage of a program outside TPS that will allow them to remain here with certain provisions and protections?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Not that I'm aware of, but we can get back to you. Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Miller and we've been also joined by Council Member Mathieu Eugene from Brooklyn. I- want to ask a question, but I want to also say that maybe in relationship to your question Council Member Miller, when you look at the list of countries with the highest amount of TPS recipients, where 98 and some percent are coming from those countries where this president has decided to not renew, these are also countries for the most part related to incredibly horrible foreign policy in Latin America where we have destabilized countries and climate change is one piece, but we have also been a force of nature ourselves destailizing so many countries that we're seeing people continue to come to the United States. So, there is—there is a relationship there that I think we can-we can talk about for a long time, andand so really what I want to figure out here is-and also to Council Member Miller's question about what other immigration status benefits are available for TPS, and have you done that study within MOIA for families that are residing here with at least one TPS recipient? And wait hold on. Yeah, any benefits

2.2

that are available to TPS recipients and what-where

is Action NYC in that outreach to be able to bring

that benefit to those families? Further options?

- 5 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. You
- 7 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Immigration

mean immigration benefits, yes?

- 8 benefits.
 - COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So, it's a difficult question to answer for a number of reasons.

 One, it's a very individualized analysis. So--
- 12 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Are
 13 there any trends?

noted in my testimony, the primary way would be through a family member. You know, marriage to a U.S. Citizen spouse by way of example would be one way in which you could potentially, though not always, see a path towards immigration status for you. There is a number of litigations that have in two circuits across the country that have made it easier to adjust your status to permanent residents based on a marriage to a U.S. citizens, and there's pending litigation in this—in our—in the Eastern District in New York on this question. So, it is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

very complex and individualized question. It is more difficult for individuals who have TPS and are placed in deportation proceedings to—to obtain relief that might be available to somebody else who's been here for a long period of time and established themselves. So, it's not something that can easily be answered. It's a very—very specific and very limited options and must be looked at per individual.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, without immigration reform, we're not going to be able to bring that kind of relief across the board, and we're-we're trying to find ways and-and that's all going to take lawyers. It's going to take legal representation. So, back to this concept of cost, and have you costed this out? Have you pulled together a budget for-if we were able to engage every single TPS recipient in the city of New York, how much would that cost to bring them a lawyer. also touches upon due process and ensuring that every New Yorker gets access to a lawyer of some kind to take their individualized need and unique case and bring it forth and-and offer opportunity for justice especially in this mix, well all-for everybody. For everybody what's the cost?

2	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So, we've
3	not costed out specifically for this population. I'd
4	say what we have done for this population, and this
5	is similar to what we had done with—when we saw the
6	end for DACA was wanting to ensure that we were doing
7	what we could to maximize outreach and engagements
8	that we were establishing different entry points for
9	people to get legal advice, and that we were
10	monitoring and making sure that people who needed it
11	were getting it who were trying to come to us. I
12	think what we saw and-and I know Council Member
13	Eugene has joined. Thank you to him and his staff
14	who were extremely great partners around Haitian TPS
15	renewals and we worked together to do both outreach
16	as well as the Legal Clinic through your office. So,
17	thank you so much for your work with communities in
18	this space. I think what we have seen in this regard
19	is so far we've been able to meet the—the demand of
20	people who are coming to us. Again, I think
21	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] With
22	the current budget?
23	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-hm. I
24	think with the-with these populations as I noted,

you're talking about people who have kind of year in

and year out gone through the renewal process and
nothing has necessarily changed in their lives that
many necessitate them needing to get advice, and so,
you know, a little bit of-of the-the desire that we
have had to make sure that everybody is getting that
legal advice to make sure that yeah, you might not
know if you have another pathway, or you might not
know where all the best options are for you. So, we
want you to get that screening. This is why we've
also kind of doubled down on the community engagement
and the more broader public outreach, but we've not,
you know, have the need at this time to make a
determination or assessment that there's-there's a
broader need for the immigration legal services on
this front.
CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that. I'm going to hand it over to Council Member Mathieu Eugene for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, and thank you very much also, Commissioner.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: And we all know

that TPS is a very important immigration relief and

2	humanitarian relief to people who have been living in
3	the United States because I've heard their countries
4	have been through a very difficult situation. It
5	could be natural disasters, and police culture in
6	them all and all the tragedies, cut the reality is
7	those people have been living in the United States.
8	They are part. As you know, we all know that part of
9	the fabric of the United States and especially as-
10	because we are New York City part of the fabric of
11	New York City, and those people the are hard working
12	people also. As you know, that they cone to my
13	office. They go to the offices of the City Council
14	Members to renew their work permit. That says
15	something. They want to work. They want to make
16	sure the ca, contribute, you know, to the greatness
17	of the city of the United States. They want to make
18	sure that they can maintain their families, pay their
19	bills, and also they are part of the economy also,
20	and but the problem is—one of the problems that we
21	have been seeing all the time when we have to renew
22	their TPS? There's a cap, you know. When they have
23	to renew to extend the TPS? Some of the time they
24	don't receive their permit on time

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE:the work permit
on time, and their employers don't want to keep them.
So, that means many of them unfortunately usually
lost their job. We have been doing another thing to
contact their employers. Some of the time, you know,
we succeed in doing that. Other times certain
employers say no. They want to see the physical, you
know, extended permit. Are you aware of this as the,
you know the Mayor's Office of Immigration, you at
the Mayor's Office of Immigration are you aware of
that? And what have been done to try to help those
people? They are qualified. They are waiting. They
were waiting for their working permit, but because of
a, you know, a-a process, the process has been
delayed, administrative issues, they didn't receive
their work permit on time. Are you aware of that
situation? What have been done to help those people,
you know, stay in their job?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. Thank you for the question. So, I'm aware of it maybe kind of a couple cases here and there, but not as a—a much broader wide spread issue that we have worked on though it's something we're interested in learning more about and helping to work on as needed in terms

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of advocacy. I'd say that this is a challenge more broadly with USDIS and that there aren't many delays in issuance of decisions on a wide range of cases not just TPS. So, that is an issue that we have talked about with the local office, and are interested in continuing to advocate around. So, as you're hearing cases, please do share information with us so that we can more effectively ascertain, you know, how prevalent this is, and what the challenges are. noted before in the current situation where people are receiving simply the announcement that their status is extended and no new card to-to verify that, we have heard that this is a challenge, and that's something that we're-we're interested in just making sure we understand and can be responsive and advocate around.

before, and all of us we know that because we have been advocating for people for immigrants. The people who benefit from TPS, as I said, they want to work and many of them they want to learn something to be able to—to get a better income situation. Go to do nurses there. They'll get the trade, but because, you know, the benefit for them are very limited. If

2	they don't have money, they cannot go to a school to
3	learn something. But can the Mayor's Office of
4	Immigration create some type of grant or funding or
5	create a system or try to work together with the
6	school? I know there are people who have at least
7	the opportunity to go to school to get a certificate,
8	a diploma for something. I'm not talking about the
9	medicine, or go to law school, but some trade that
10	will enable them to better contribute to society to
11	New York City because the better prepared they are
12	the better is going to be for New York City also.
13	It's going to be a win-win situation.
14	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Is there anything
16	that, you know, you from the, you know, the Mayor's
17	Office is talking about? Is there anything that you
18	believe that should be done?
19	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Um
20	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: [interposing]
21	Because they're already here.
22	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: They're already
24	horo

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: That's right.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: --and they are legally here. You understand what I'm saying? So, if we can help them to empower themselves, by doing that we are empowering also the city of New York.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Is there anything that can be done in that, you know, area?

thank you for your questions. I think that's definitely something that we would like to look at and potentially work on with you. [coughs] Excuse me. If you have particular ideas or thoughts on the kinds of either licenses, skills or training that would be beneficial to TPS populations that you're working with or others, we'd definitely love to explore that.

is this one: We all know that, you know, there's a big issue a the wall, a big issue and one of the conditions, [coughing] you know, for this president to get—to open the government event though the government is open now, but the package that he presented it was okay, I will give that car, you know a tip yes, if you give me this, you give me the money

2	for the wall. What I'm thinking about is there any
3	advocacy anything that the City of New York can do
4	because now that means TPS, DACA they are part of the
5	conversation for good or for bad, but for us,
6	advocates for immigrant, is there anything that we
7	can do or the city of New York can do to make sure we
8	are part of the conversation and put some weight
9	into-to-to-to present TPS or DACA, you know, as a
10	very important topic, a very important subject, a
11	very important issue, and then to make sure that, you
12	know, regardless of which way the decision will go,
13	TPS and DACA can be considered and those people are
14	waiting for-those benefits can, you know, see a good
15	day, can-benefit can be granted, the TPS or DACA?
16	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, so
17	they

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: [interposing]

Any, you know advocacy, any force any thing that we can put on that?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. So think you for that question. I spoke a little bit about this. One of the things that we've done already is we've joined the litigation nationally through Amicus Brief with multi-city Amicus Brief.

2.2

We also through our coalition Cities for Action have jointly shared the city's perspective on each of the TPS considerations, and we have in the coming months on agenda to help educate Congressional representative around TPS including a trip to DC a multi-city trip to DC around this to elevate the importance if this issue for cities, what our communities look like, what the impact would be and why it's so important to ensure that there is a

permanent solution for our TPS residents.

much. Before I conclude, let me take the opportunity to thank you also, to thank the Mayor's Office of Immigration, MOIA, because I've—I have seen the effort that you have been doing to reach out to people with TPS and special immigration community by organizing, Know Your Rights and many of the forums to inform them about their rights, and also to give them the necessary information that they need not only to keep the TPS, to renew the TPS. Thank you very much for that.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: And, Mr. Chair,
thank you so very much. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much
Council Member Eugene and thank you for your
advocacy. It just goes to show how important we are
as partners in the City Council for our communities,
and your connection and leadership in he Haitian
communities is incredibly important to the larger
citywide platform of outreach, and so we're going to
keep working together. No doubt. So, I have a few
more questions before we bring the advocates on
board, and I really want to nail understanding of
Action NYC. What's the role of Action NYC for TPS
[siren] and/or specifically what does Action NYC
doing for the TPS community?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. [bangs]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right there.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So, you know, Action—Action NYC is the city's sort of entry point for immigration legal services as we like to call it in that you can—if you call 311 or the hot line, you know, you don't have to go to a particular location to get an appointment, but you can call wherever you are in the city, and you can get an appointment—

Τ	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 56
2	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] 311-
3	311 is essentially the face of-of the response? Is
4	that?
5	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: 311 you can
8	just-if you don't know the hotline number, you can
9	just call 311 and they'll connect you to the hotline
10	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it.
11	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Great.
12	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: The Action NYC
13	Hotline Number.
14	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, yeah.
15	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Regardless
17	of your language, we have interpretation available,
18	et cetera, and you get an appointment made and what
19	we did with that was we just made sure that we were
20	prioritizing re-registration appointments for TPS
21	holders. So, so you didn't have to wait to come in
22	because you could miss your deadline, right. So,

that was what we were—we were doing with TPS

recipients to just ensure that they had the ability

to come and quickly get an appointment and $\operatorname{didn'} t$

23

24

go there right now. What are the most common

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

questions when someone calls about TPS? What—what

are the—what's the quality of the question? What—

what—what are they asking for?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So, if you're calling because you-what our-what the hotline should be doing is when you call they'rethey're just assessing do you have TPS? Right? you have TPS, what country is it from and is your deadline looming. Right? Do you need to get in sooner than later? And let's make sure we can get If you—if that's what it is, then that's sort of the process that they're going through to make sure. If you just have a broader question about the program or what's happening with it, then we have partnered with the Office of New Americans Hotline so that our-our-hotline can transfer to get a kind of more in-depth responsiveness there. We've also recently hired onboard a counselor who can go a little bit more in-depth for-with callers just to make sure we're not missing anything and can address things quicker, and right off bat, if people are calling us for broader information and not just the need for the Immigration Legal Service appointment. When you get connected to the provider, all of the

Action NYC's providers can take—can do those TPS
renewals. So they can-they can do the full scope of
it. Probably the largest Action NYC provider that
sees the most TPS cases is probably CAMBA in
Brooklyn, and I know they were, you know, working
over the weekends during the Haitian Renewal period
to make sure that they were seeing the—the population
and they were able to meet all of the need. In terms
of if you have a more complex case, if you have the
possibility of needing services to reopen a
deportation case or what have you, then they will
help make the referral to IOI provider to be able to
take those cases.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome. Thank you and that reminds me about thinking about other city agencies and partnering with them to do outreach.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So many of them—so many of those agencies interact with—with possible populations—

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing]

24 Yes.

Sure. So,

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --kids, the Department of Education, ACS, DYCD, HRA, SBS in terms of the workforce. Can you give us a-a sense? Maybe kind of go one-by-one on each of those agencies and how-how MOIA is working with them to do that outreach

if there's any?

kind of top lines in this is what we've put into place on all sort of major federal policy shift. immediately do a multi-agency briefing call where we invite agencies to join to make sure that they have the immediate sort of topline information. We share out information like our outreach flyer, and talking points for staff to make sure that they're sharing good information, and can immediately connect people to services not just, of course, people that they're interacting with, but city workers who might have questions, and might be impacted, and we-we have worked closely with General Counsel offices as well for that purpose. So that's a part of what we do. We also do individual support or technical assistance for agencies as cases arise.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI:

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, I guess what

I'm also trying to understand, too, is for the lack

of a better word-
COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-hm.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-hm.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --outreach where- and I struggle with that, too in the district office-

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing]
Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --where we're like going out, and we're just kind of blanketing the neighborhood, and everybody is got the information, but really trying to understand how we know when information has been received--

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing]
Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --and-and we're able to kind of get a good feedback going with communities over time. TPS is—is one kind very kind of unique community, but that will probably change over time again, and if we win the court battle and

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Uh-hm, Uh-hm.

don't know because --

24

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: --because 3 they're not supposed to--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes. Thank you.

is for us to a lot more about just making sure we can disseminate good information in a timely way, and ensure that they can be responsive effectively, and share information proactively knowing that they might have, you know, TPS recipients coming into their service center or to their school and that they can be—be responsive in that way. I'd say the work that we do in partnership with the advocacy community if the labor unions with that faith leadership is a lot more intentional and targeted.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Uh-hm.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Right.

We're working using our own data on where communities live, and doing our own analyses, but also in working with those communities who are trusted voices in leadership and making sure that information get disseminated effectively, and in all—all of the right ways. You know, visiting many mosques and making sure that we're speaking to the Haitian clergy, and making sure that we're—we're working with the

2.2

Island. All of that had been probably the most fundamental in effectiveness in reaching harder to reach populations, but I have your questions as well in terms of how do you best—best measure those outputs, and it's difficult.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, thank you for that, and—and the last two areas of questions are about different sectors who our hope plays to lose work authorization and whether—whether MOIA has done research around what—what sectors are we talking about in terms of TPS through trends, and—and what—what are we doing to understand that sector when they lose a prominent TPS workforce, and then also kind of thinking about outreach to employers and—and whether that's already done or whether you're going to—that's a strategy that MOIA is employing later?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So, in terms of workforce, our analyses has shown us specifically for the Haitian TPS population as we put in our fact sheet that 42% of TPS holders from Haiti are actually in the education and health service industries. We ended up as a result of that doing a lot of work with health service workers, nurses-nurse associations and

2.1

2.2

others in the outreach and engagement that we were doing around this work. I think that will continue.

That kind of information will continue to guide the way that we go about doing that—that information education work, but also just about understanding how best to serve the needs of the populations. And as I noted earlier, I'd sat the labor unions were hugely important in this fight in this battle, and certainly from the restaurant industry to the health industry a

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Let's-let's end with healthcare and mental healthcare.

lot of their members are TPS recipients.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You talked about what I think everybody understands even anecdotally, but there's data about toxic stress, and how we're working with our communities to ensure that they get access to good mental health care. So what can I hear from you what MOIA is doing with what I believe is one of the large—one of the larger new programs and initiatives through Thrive NYC. So, I want to kind of hear a little bit about any targeted TPS programs for mental health, and then also the work that you're doing at the state level to ensure that

where TPS expires.

and get--

1

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- like DACA, we are going to have a positive response

 from the state in making sure that we can continue

 the healthcare access for TPS if we get to that point
- COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. So,

 as—as a I noted in a lot of the outreach and

 community engagement we've provided information.

 Information was not limited to legal services. It

 was also—it also included how to connect to Thrive
 - CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing]

 Could—can we get a copy of—that copy? Is that—-? I

 don't' think we have that, right. We don't have that

 here? Oh, just give it to the sergeant—at—arms,

 please. Thank you, Sam.

SAM: Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Sorry, continue.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Of course.

- 20 It also included how to connect to-to Thrive NY-and
- 21 NYC Well. In terms of broader engagement and
- 22 outreach, that was also a centerpiece of what we were
- 23 | hoping and trying to ensure that people could
- 24 | immediately access as they needed it. We work
- 25 | closely with Thrive at looking at how they're

reaching the immigrant community more broadly and
specifically those that we've [coughs] we understand
are in crises moments, which unfortunately still
happen to be in their (sic) community at this time to
[coughs] to better their outreach and engagement and
also their service in competency and reaching these
populations, and that's work that we will continue to
undertake, and work with the newly appointed Director
of Thrive Susan Herman. So, we'll continue to do
that work. I'd also note that as you've heard the
Mayor announce earlier with the State of the City
with NYC Care. A component of NYC Care is looking at
expanding the mental health services that are
available to New Yorkers regardless of status, and
helping to increase access through the Mental Health
Service Corps, which we have founds to be really
critical in reaching these populations.

anything that's specific to TPS populations that is being developed because what I'm hearing—what I'm hearing, and correct me if I'm wrong, but essentially you're—you're doing outreach. This is a MOIA flyer. So MOIA is saying hey, hey really great review on the back of all the—all the countries—

figure out what—what the gaps are--

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes

and not just for MOIA for you to do your work and continue that work, but also from the agencies themselves to be able to do that work. And the last thing we want is for them not to have that resource to be able to do that work on their own especially if you're building competency at the agency level, they should be able to kind of generate their own thing--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: -- and not wait for you to do that. That's my opinion.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Understood.

think that's it for us unless you have any other final comments. I will have one final comment just as we talk and Council Member Miller made me think about this in terms of how we got here, and—and the fact that these countries are countries that are connected to this concept that we understand as—as people of color. We're—we're being led. This president has incredible connections to the values around white supremacy. The reason why we're having the border issue, the reason we're having this issue

2.2

is because he's trying to whiten America. He's
trying to deport people who do not look like him,
and—and the white race. And so that—that for me is
very true, and I will say it any time I have the
opportunity to say it, and that's what we're—that's
what we're dealing with Temporary Protected Status-
Status as you laid out, as we laid out has origins
around protecting people who are in crisis. The
crisis is still alive. The crisis was generated by
us as the U.S. and our foreign policy, and I just
needed to say that, and thank you for your work, and
then we're looking forward to working with you

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --as we move forward. Thank you, Commissioner. Okay, with that said, we're going to go into our first public panel, and New York—NYLPI, Elana Roman, please. Amal Don, the African Communities Together; Amaha Kassa, African Communities Together as well and then Sammy Aliamini (sp?) the Arab-American Association of New York, and if anybody else wants to testify—has—does anybody want to testify that has not filled out a form see the sergeant—at—arms and fill it out.

[pause] Okay, who wants to begin? Please just

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

introduce yourself and we can-can move forward. I
want to put a clock-I want to put a clock for three
minutes and then we could-we could go to Q&A. Thank
you.

Good afternoon. YLEANA ROMAN: My name is Yleana Roman and I am the Health Justice and Immigration Staff Attorney at the New York Lawyers for the Public Interest. Thank you to Chairperson Menchaca and the committee members for having this oversight hearing. NYLPI urges the Council to support healthcare coverage for at-risk TPS holders who may lose their immigration status. For the population of immigrants, we serve those with serious health conditions, losing healthcare coverage would have devastating consequences. It would be clients who currently have stated funded Medicaid, who could be unable to obtain the life saving surgeries or transplants they need because they would not-they would be unable to obtain the life saving surgeries or transplants they need because they would not have the necessary health insurance to cover their procedures. The city and state need to protect these New Yorkers and quarantee healthcare coverage for them in the future. We have clients in our

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	UnDocucare program who have applied for Temporary
3	Protected Status, and are currently TPS holders. As
4	you know, the Trump Administration aims to eliminate
5	TPS for 6 out of 9 countries. These folks have lived
6	in the United States for several years, and some for
7	decades and being their TPS status not only uproots
8	them from their families, homes, jobs and
9	communities, it would also mean potentially ending
. 0	their healthcare coverage. For our clients, this
.1	would meant the difference between treating end-stage
.2	renal disease with dialysis or with a kidney
.3	transplant. Dialysis is covered by emergency
. 4	Medicaid and means that the clients must spend
.5	several hours over multiple days connected to a
. 6	machine for survival. That dialysis will not cure
.7	their disease and they will have to go for dialysis
. 8	permanently in order to live. Whereas a kidney
. 9	transplant, which is covered by state funded
20	Medicaid, would fix the disease, and allow our
21	clients to have healthy productive lives. NYLPI is a
22	part of Coverage for all, a campaign of Healthcare
23	for All New York. Coverage for All demands state
24	action to create an essential plan for all New Yorker
25	up to 200% of the Federal Poverty Level regardless of

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

immigration status with particular urgency for immigrants who will be-who will be losing their Temporary Protected Status. So, New York City should affirmatively step forward in supporting the coverage for All Campaign and should urge the state to act on behalf of all New Yorker and to protect TPS holders. Furthermore, should the state not choose to continue coverage for former TPS recipients, we encourage the city to step in and provide comparable coverage that will maintain the specialist care these individuals currently receive and require. If both state and city fail to provide this needed coverage, many TPS holders could be at risk. Ultimately, it's up to the city and state to step in and advocate for TPS applicants since the current federal administration is actively aiming to harm these individuals. Thank you for your consideration today. We look forward to continuing to work with the Council and to improve immigrant New Yorkers' access to healthcare. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much.

I have some questions for you after the panel.

YLEANA ROMAN: Okay.

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	AMAHA KASSA: Good afternoon, Chair
3	Menchaca. Thank you for convening this hearing, and
4	thank you for your attention to this issue. As
5	you've said, Temporary Protected Status is a critical
6	issue in our political landscape, and part of not
7	only a broader attack on legal mechanisms to
8	emigrated to the United States, things like the
9	Diversity Visa Program, Refugee Resettlement and
10	Asylum, but as you've said, has been clearly informed
11	by Animus, which is the basis of the Ramos-Ramos $v.$
12	Nielson lawsuit, which my organization African
13	Communities Together is one of the plaintiffs on that
14	and our Sudanese members. My name is Amaha Kassa.
15	I'm the Executive Director of African Communities
16	together. I'm also an immigration attorney. I'm her
17	with our member Amaldo Daud, who is a TPS holder from
18	Sudan, and my colleagues Assafat Naconan (sp?) and
19	Keddi Mian (sp?) to both add a little bit of context
20	about what's going on for TPS holders and to build on
21	the ideas about how the city can support some of the
22	current issues that they are facing. My organization
23	has worked on Temporary Protected Status
24	reauthorization and directly with TPS holders for the
25	six African countries that have had—that have or have

held the status or deferred enforced departure, which
is the parallel program to TPS that's inactive under
Presidential authority. Those countries are-include
Sudan, South Sudan, Somalia, Guinea, Liberia and
Sierra Leon. Liberia is under both the Temporary
Protected—has been under both the Temporary Protected
Status and Deferred Enforced Departure Programs. I
want to make sure we don't forget about Guinea,
Liberia and Sierra Leon, which were terminated at the
tail end of the Obama Administration. We're very
grateful to Congresswoman Valazquez and to
Congresswoman Clark for including relief for those
West African TPS holders who were granted TPS under
the—as a result of the Ebola epidemic in their bills
in the previous, and we want to continue to work with
those members of Congress, with Congressman Jeffries
who is in a key leaders position and the city to
ensure that they're also included in advocacy going
forward as well as Liberian DEB. Because it doesn't
have the same acronym, it's sometimes forgotten. I
think two things to highlight for potential resources
and support. One is the need for social work. As
people said, there's a variety of programs
eligibility that-that people no longer qualify for.

2	People need navigation assistance not just
3	immigration legal assistance. Second is the need for
4	assistance with fees. We can no longer recommend to
5	people that they apply for a fee waiver because of
6	how lengthy the process has-has become, and so are
7	there ways that the city can step in and help provide
8	for people who qualify for fee—who would qualify for
9	fee waivers, fees in lieu of what the federal
10	government [bell] is providing. And the very last
11	thing with your indulgence. The practice of refusing
12	to honor work authorizations issued by the government
13	because they are not based on a-on a physical
14	identification card is widespread. Amal is going to
15	speak to it as well. It is actually illegal. It's a
16	form of discrimination based on national origin. We
17	are referring those cases primarily to the Department
18	of Justice's Division of Immigrant and Employee
19	Rights, which does do investigation and enforcement.
20	We'd love to partner more with the city on that. I'm
21	looking at agencies like the Human-the Human
22	Resources Commission because that is an illegal form
23	of discrimination based on national origin. Thank
24	you very much.

big family, I have to do something.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you and I
3 want to ask you some questions right after we're done
4 with all the testimony.

Hi. My name is Sammi and I am an immigration advocate at the Arab-American Association of New York. I also work on their Action NYC. Our organization serves all immigrants of NYC who walk through our doors. The people who come to us for TPS do so because their countries are experiencing crisis like national disaster or ongoing violence. TPS allows our clients to support their families. pay taxes, get married, and start new families and then invest in our country. A perfect example of this is our client Sarah. She's a 90-year-old widow from Syria who came to the states in the '90s. she first heard of TPS in 2012, she immediately applied for the benefit. Sarah was so happy that she could finally work legally in the United States. Sarah had been a chef for 20 years, and she enjoys cooking for people. Back in Syria she has nine children who she still supports. With the money that she sends back home here family was able to build a house where they can all live together. It's so important that we keep fighting for TPS because so

many people are still at risk in their home
countries. For example, the 7.8 or 8.1 magnitude
earthquake of April 2015 in Nepal not only displaced
people but led to rising expenses, accumulation debt,
and homelessness. Most of our clients at Triple A NY
are fleeting war-torn countries or natural disasters,
and we have real life experience in dealing with
clients who flee to the U.S. for safety. Another
example is my client Mr. A who fled the war from
Yemen. Mr. A did not attend college because he was
afraid of being killed or kidnapped. He came to
America because Arab countries aren't accepting
refugees. All he wanted was to go to school and
become a police officer. Mr. A came to America,
applied to colleges and realized his dreams that he
could not realize in his country. One of our clients
that left a huge impression on me of strength and
will was Mr. M, an elder man for Yemen who is
unfortunately diagnosed with cancer. [pause]
CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Take your time.

SAMMI: [crying] He currently has TPS.

[pause] I'm sorry, but this is important to me

because he reminds me of my father [sniffing] who

passed away from cancer and it's so hard that he has

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 to worry about TPS instead of focusing on his cancer
3 treatments. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you and thank you for the work that you're doing everyday with the association. You are incredibly supported by the City Council, and you're absolutely right. This is not something that should be happening. This is incredibly cruel and is not at all humane and this is why we're here. And so, thank you for lifting that story up. This is-this is-this is the work ahead of us, and I'm hoping that your panel really helps us understand how we can do better on the ground and support these families that should be focused on their health. Should be focused on their relationships that they've built with their family here in the United States in New York, in Brooklyn and-and with us their neighbors, and so thank you for-for all of you for-for being here to-to ensure that we stay focused. I want to start and think about healthcare and really thinking about how the strat-and what is the strategy at the end of the day? Because even if we lost TPS, Mr. M should be able to have access to healthcare, and so what's the strategy? I-I asked MOIA this-this question and-and

have it handy right now, but it's a portion of the

budget that the state could-could afford to pay if

24

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 82
2	they treated it as a priority, something along the
3	lines of \$500 million, but I-I could give you the
4	exact number afterwards. Yeah.
5	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.
6	YLEANA ROMAN: Uh-hm.
7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And-and then do we
8	know if-because to be honest, I will confess I did
9	not listen to the Governor's State of the
10	YLEANA ROMAN: [interposing] Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA:State.
12	YLEANA ROMAN: [laughter] A crisis.
13	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Sorry.
14	YLEANA ROMAN: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Did he mention it
16	in his State of the State?
17	YLEANA ROMAN: He did not.
18	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: He did not?
19	YLEANA ROMAN: No, exactly.
20	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.
21	YLEANA ROMAN: So
22	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: This is very
23	important.
24	YLEANA ROMAN: It is very important.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, and—and it clues us into the priorities. Okay.

YLEANA ROMAN: Yes.

Work to do on—on that front and—and on the legislative side for the state and—and as the Chair of the Committee on Immigration, I'm going to ensure that this gets onto our state agenda as we move forward for budget negotiations in April that are upon us, and so good—good, thank you. Thank you for that.

YLEANA ROMAN: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. I want to ask in general for the panel how—how are you partnering with MOIA or other city agencies on this particular question, on TPS? Renewals, support, healthcare, et cetera. Are—is there a link, a direct link between you and the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs?

AMAHA KASSA: So, African Communities

Together as a—as contractor is part of the—both the

Action NYC and IOI programs through a collaborative

called CILEC, Citywide Immigrant Legal Empowerment

Collect—Collaborative that is providing direct

2 immigration legal services. So, we partners with legal service providers including-including Catholic 3 Charities, Catholic Migration Services, and Community 4 Development Project of the Urban Justice Center, and 5 Make the Road, and then our community based 6 7 organizations, which include Adhikaar (sic) who is also here and a number of other immigrant community 8 based organizations. We refer clients to legal 9 service providers. So, we organize clinics in our 10 own offices. Lawyers come to us. We provide the 11 12 language access. You know, we're, you know, we're providing services in, you know, a range of languages 13 14 including Ma-you know, Madigo, Fulani, and a number 15 of others, and-and through that, people are accessing 16 immigration legal services. We also are doing Know 17 Your Rights outreach and, you know, to speak to some 18 of the earlier questions, we've done everything from national conference calls with the Liberian community 19 20 or with other communities facing termination of TPS to events in churches, mosques and associations. 21 2.2 When-as the, you know-when-when a termination is 23 happening or is about to happen, we hear sort of the tidal wave. You know, we-they are the folks who are-24 who are calling us and—and trying to find out what's 25

2.2

going on, and so through city support we've done
that. I do think that there, you know, we can
continue to deepen that partnership, you know, in

other ways that we've talked about.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, and specifically I want to follow up on your concept of social workers rather than only focus on legal—legal services. What's—what's that model, and have you presented that model in full with a budget and structure that's in preference to your—your liking?

AMAHA KASSA: Yeah. So, I'll—I think we've had—I've had informal conversations with the Commissioner about this, and—and—but we haven't developed—introduced the proposal. What I would say is that the—one of the—one of the biggest initial challenges we face is trust, you know, that people are less trusting than ever of not only government but even not—for—profit providers, you know, that people are afraid of providing their information, afraid of being honest with their own attorneys and advocates about their situation. And so that's an issue for everyone, but I think that we are able to get past that by, you know, hiring culturally competent people, people who speak the same language

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

working with then trusted institutions like churches, mosques and associations. So, when people come to us for these kind of immigration legal services, I want to see if I qualify for any, you know, form of immigration relief or I need help with my-with my, you know, adjustment of status. They don't come with one issue, right. People come with issues: to apply for a DV, you know a Visa based on DV, but they also need help getting a divorce. They also need help finding housing. In the case of TPS holders, often people are having these kinds of issues with workplace enforcement or with the Department of Motor Vehicles, and often we're-you know, I-I think the city has done a phenomenal job at directing resources towards direct legal services, and to Know Your Rights and outreach, but I think outside a handful of the largest legal services providers, the community based organizations like ourselves, like ADVICARD (sic). You know, I don't-I'm not as familiar Arab-American, but most of us don't have for example a licensed social worker on staff who could help navigate for people and help direct, you know do case management with people. And often times when we try to refer them to one stops or

schools of social work like Siberman and-and, you

2.2

know, all—some of the private schools of social work

as well at Columbia and NYU including licensed social

workers in immigrant community based organizations to

help with navigation on the front line I think could

have a huge impact.

think what we should do is actually set up a meeting with your team, and the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs and our team and just look at it together. I think that we're going to hear from legal service providers, but they're asking for almost the same kind of thing. They're—well, I don't have to tell this room. I mean this—this is the—this the question. It's almost like the—the mover—the lubricant of the—of the system so that—so people can move through it smoothly and with purpose, and not to be lost, and otherwise it—it just jams up and we lose a person, and once you break trust with a New Yorker, they will not come back to it.

AMAHA KASSA: Yep, that's true.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Either a 311 when they lose—when we 311, which is what we're trying to fix right now, when they call or-or at an organization. So, this is great. Let's—let's table

2.2

that and say let's come up with something because I think it should be part of our budget negotiations, and we should be working all as a team. I don't know if MOIA will agree with that that invitation or take that invitation, but it will be offered. Thank you for that, and then and then I think the last thing I want to get a better sense about is the ID situation, and the Worker Grow Permit. What—what happens in a situation where you don't have your—your ID? How—how is it—I don't know if I can ask this question or if we should talk offline, but in—in—in general what—what happens when a TPS holder does not have work authorization, people work? How is that—what causes that, and—-?

AMAHA KASSA: Yeah.

 $\label{eq:chain_person_menchaca:} \mbox{Tell me a little}$ bit more about what that problem is.

AMAHA KASSA: So there are, you know, there—there are sort of several buckets of—of people in different statuses right now. There are people who have outright had their—their status terminated Liberia, Sierra Leone. They have been terminated since 2017. There are also people who've had their status extended. Somalia and South Sudan and so

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there they run-they run into the issue of it's taking a really long time to get new work authorizations, and in that interim period, what they're supposed to do is, you know, go to their employer with a printout from the CI-USCIS website and say here's my expired work card. Here's my-here's my-here's the notice from the website. You should honor this as proof of my continuing work authorization. As, you know, Councilman Eugene and others have mentioned, some employers just don't. You know, they just say, you know, that's not good enough. Get me the card and as the delays grow longer and longer, and as more and more people face termination, that becomes a more and more common situation. I think there's a special situation for the four countries: Haiti, El Salvador, Nicaragua and Sudan that have-that are part of the Ramos v. Nielson lawsuit. I think they're facing even more issues because the guidance on the federal website has not been as clear, and so they're essentially as—as Amal described what happens is they-people present this work authorization and it's rejected. And as I said, that's illegal. form of, you know, it's a form of national origin discrimination, but the enforcement gets difficult,

2.2

_	
2	and then the DMV issue also happens where people come
3	in with these same forms of authorization, and if the
4	front line worker doesn't know, they just say what is
5	this? You know, it should be a-a card, and so we've
6	seen people turned away, and especially for people
7	who driver Uber or Lyft or—or a Yellow cabs those—
8	that is also a loss of livelihood. What was your
9	experience, Amal? Do you want to share?
10	AMAL DAUD: [off mic] Which experience?
11	AMAHA KASSA: When you-when you gave your
12	card to your employer.

AMAL DAUD: Yes, they need the ID. They say because like it's expired. They don't accept it, but they want like from the job.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: When you had every right to be accepted with what you were presenting?

AMAL DAUD: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay and okay so I'd like to learn a little bit more about this, and what we—so what—what can we do at the City Council?

AMAHA KASSA: I think convening a conversation maybe with some of the advocates, you know, that are in the room, MOIA and then possibly with the Human Rights Commission, and-and, you know,

2.2

thinking about does this fall within the scope of the city's Human Right ordinance or what is the enforcement mechanism? I think the Department of Justice has been somewhat successful in just calling employers and telling them actually people have a legal right to do this. The—they're overwhelmed. There's—I think there are two staff attorneys for this entire division at DOJ, and so, you know, if we can—if we can have people saying listen I'm sure you're not meaning intentionally to discriminate by national origin, but you actually are required by law to accept valid work authorization.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. So let's—let's come up with a meeting and just talk even if it's on a phone quickly just so we can get a better sense. I think and all this is to say we—we have to build a budget that's going to be responsive, and that this is a better resource question, and you want to know that sooner rather than later, but everything from social workers to advocacy, thinking about the state. So that we can get Mr. M's story in front of folks that are in the middle of their legislative victories right now with the state where we have progressive new leadership, where we have two

2.2

Democrat, well three technically Democrats leading
the state. That we can try to get some of this stuff
done, and I think that's important. Than you all for
your testimony and your time today. Our next panel
we have NYLAG, Jodi Ziesemer-Ziesemer; Margaret
Garret from the Legal Aid Society; and Tracy Lawson
from Brooklyn Defender Services. Are there any other
legal services in the room that wanted to testify?
Okay, this is it. This is our legal services panel.
You to it. Alright, who wants to begin?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.

I can begin.

Menchaca and Council Members and staff. My name is
Jodi Ziesemer. I'm here for the New York Legal
Assistance Group. I'm the new Director of their
Immigrant Protection Unit. I'm here with Melissa
Chua who is our Assistant Director of that Unit.
NYLAG uses the power of law to help New Yorkers to
combat social and economic injustice. We address
emerging and urgent legal needs with comprehensive
free civil legal services impact with the litigation
policy advocacy, and community education. We help a
variety of different communities including

2 immigrants, veterans, seniors, families facing foreclosure, children in need of special education, 3 domestic violence victims, LGBTQ communities among 4 5 others. NYLAG represents over 2,000 New York City 6 resident TPS recipients. We've partnered with 7 Councilman Eugene's office to run clinics in the wake of the-the earthquake in Haiti and the designation of 8 TPS for that country. We have also done clinics and 9 for other TPS communities throughout the years and we 10 have helped as many people as possible move off of 11 12 TPS into a more permanent status. So, in one particular case we helped an individual who was an 13 14 HIV-positive woman from Haiti. Was married to a U.S. 15 citizen, but also had an outstanding removal order. 16 We helped get her case reopened, helped her move 17 through many of the legal challenges to actually 18 obtain her Green Card so she can continue to receive health services and remain with her family. As you 19 20 can imagine, and we have discussed here today, there is a variety of services that are needed sort of 21 2.2 during this uncertain time period. For many of these 23 communities figuring out whether TPS will be extended either by a court order or by the this 24 administration, if it will be terminated immediately 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

upon the designation, or if it will go through some sort of temporary period, which is what has been happening in the past where there's usually a sixmonth period of re-designation or as somebody had mentioned, it was called Deferred Enforced Departure. So, that recipients can then be eased off of the status and perhaps find a different status if they're eligible. So, because of all the ongoing litigation and because of all the uncertainty, nuanced and timely legal immigration advice is really essential, and I actually think that there are sort of four different buckets for legal services that are-are very critical in this time. I think a lot of these have come up in the discussions that you've heard so far. The first is, of course, Immigration Legal Services providing nuanced and specific complications for every TPS recipients about their unique situation to see if they have other options and then providing full representation for them to pursue those options, and that is going to encompass not only, you know, if people want to invest (sic) in such in such a variety of different circumstances given their [bell] longtime in the United States and their history here so that will involve affirmative applications, but also

a lot of removal defense. So, funding those services
adequately either through existing initiatives or
creating new ones. The second bucket is employment
discrimination support and other employment related
several legal services as we

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Like the ones that we just heard?

JODI ZIESEMER: Yes, the ones we just heard I would also say that there's counseling needed for can people receive unemployment benefits if they are—if their employment is terminated—

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] The answer to that question?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{JODI}}$ ZIESEMER: The answer is dependent on their situation.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.

JODI ZIESEMER: Also whether people can draw down Social Security benefits that they've paid into for very many years. So, I think there is going to be counseling needed on a variety of different employment related matters.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that's al legal expertise?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JODI ZIESEMER:[interposing] I think so,
yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Not like just—they can go to like the Chamber or Commerce and get a specialist on—this is a lawyer that needs to—

JODI ZIESEMER: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: -kind of walk through with that.

JODI ZIESEMER: And this is going to be also the intersection of federal, state and Local Law to see what people who, you know, again, if they are eligible for continuing employment. If there's a gap and then also this discrimination that we had talked about for really advocating for people who may not have a physical card, which often is delayed and maybe there's some uncertainty, but they have a legal right to work, and really making sure that they are able to take advantage of that. So, NYLAG does provide some of the services, and can do anything from class action lawsuits if they widespread discrimination to individuals like just letters or information to employers to really advocate for those-for those rights, and we've done some partnerships looking specifically at immigrants and

2 their intersection with employment. So, partnering with employment agencies, parting with--partnering 3 with other advocacy groups and unions. The third 4 bucket, which we have touched on as well is legal 5 health support. Again, advocating for individuals. 6 7 This has always been an issue with all immigrant populations and making sure that they can take 8 advantage of eligibility for Medicaid and navigating 9 some of the legal difficulties with the intersection 10 between eligibility for health insurance and 11 12 immigration status. And I think that that's going to 13 be even more critical for this population in particular as we go through the legal limbo and 14 15 trying to figure out what their status is, if they're 16 changing status, renewing status or possibly losing 17 So making sure, and this is one thing that 18 Action NYC does fund is lawyers and hospitals to do individual consultations and do that advocacy and I 19 would encourage the Council to support and maybe fund 20 additional services in that realm. And then finally 21 2.2 as some of our-some of the CBOs had mentioned just 23 wraparound civil services. So this is a populations that is in need of a lot of legal services, and often 24 many of the other legal needs contribute directly to 25

JODI ZIESEMER: I believe so, yes.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA:

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JODI ZIESEMER: Thank you.

TRACI LAWSON: Good afternoon. My name is Traci Lawson. I'm the attorney in charge of the Asian (sic) Communities Project in the Immigration Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services. Thank you for the opportunity to come and speak about Temporary Protected Status. Since 2009 BDS has represented over 10,000 clients broadly in our Immigration Practice, and Raising Communities team has represented thousands of Brooklyn residents in their application for lawful status, and-and in nondetainable defense. We specialize in the most complex cases, clients and immigrants who are-have a long history of criminal justice involvement, ACS involvement and through the support and funding of the City Council, we provide legal service to lowincome New York immigrants and to maintain their status and move towards citizenship. established ourselves as a well know TPS provider, which is really with the help of Council Member Mathieu Eugene we've done some clinics, and just in the last couple of years we've done nearly hundreds of-of applications for TPS. I'd like to just highlight a story similar to what my counterpart

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

said, the importance of being able to provide a full analysis of someone's immigration situation and then to continue to provide full representation in other applications where the person can gain permanent status. So, one example of that is one of the-a young man who came to the TPS clinic was a beneficiary-or his--his mother was a lawful permanent resident, and was petitioning for him, and meanwhile his TPS status lapsed. Unfortunately, his mother passed away during that time. So, we were able to help him regain his TPS status, and now we're able to help him continue with his application for permanent residency. So, one concern that we have is that there's been widespread anxiety and misinformation around the end of TPS due to the Trump Administration's decision. We've had clients tell us that they've heard rumors that literally that TPS and ICE is going to go into the communities and do massive roundups and deportations. We've had clients talk to us about their considerations of fleeing to other countries because they can't return to their home country, but they-those options are no longer available and, then, of course, there's just the-a struggle looking forward to preserve their family

TRACI LAWSON:

Correct.

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --filing, you're 3 saying-got it. Now I understand.

TRACI LAWSON: For TPS recipients who are eligible for other kinds of relief, a lot of times the barrier to them accessing those--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] So a filing fee.

TRACI LAWSON: --those-that relief is ais an exorbitant filing fees. They might be in the
150 to 350% of the Federal Poverty Guideline. So,
they don't deny eligible for a fee waivers but they
don't have disposable income--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Yes.

TRACI LAWSON: --especially in the amount of those filing fees.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right and—and on datasets, do you have a sense of data around how many—how many applications and at the filing fee and people don't decide to go forward or can't because they can't access funds? And—and—and I think in general, I'm hoping that we can get those pieces of data for us to understand what the barriers are and then build programs to alleviate that pressure where dollars are really the only thing that is needed.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

- 2 You have the mechanism, you have the relationships. All we have to do is kind of insert dollars into it 3 to make it work and happen especially if there are 4 other non-TPS. For example, percentages of-of TPS 5 6 clients that are on a process for non-TPS benefits, 7 and the city couldn't answer that question earlier, and it makes sense maybe. You-you could-I'm assuming 8 that just your population and who you're connected 9 10 with. Anyway--TRACI LAWSON: Yeah, I don't-I don't have 11
 - TRACI LAWSON: Yeah, I don't-I don't have numbers-those numbers handy, but I'm sure we can get them together.
 - CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] But that-that exists I'm assuming even in just trends—

 TRACI LAWSON: Yeah.
 - CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --to build programs and initiatives on our side so we can get you what you need.
 - TRACI LAWSON: Absolutely.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, great. Thank 22 you.
 - MARGARET GARRETT: Good afternoon. My name is Margaret Garrett and I'm a staff attorney at the Legal Aid Society of New York. Thank you for

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 105
2	this opportunity to present. I'm not going to go too
3	much into the history of the Legal Aid Society, and
4	our response to immigrants is in our hand-out and
5	we've been around for a while.
6	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing]
7	Well, actually let's get the mic near you.
8	MARGARET GARRETT: Oh, yeah, but I do
9	want to talk about what our recommendations would be.
10	As many of the partners have mentioned, we-we worry
11	about how a loss of TPS would affect TPS holders'
12	access to benefits including healthcare. One thing
13	would be we would encourage the city to—encourage the
14	state to pass legislation. There a legislation right
15	now that I'm not sure exactly what point. It's our
16	S1809, which would amend the New York State Social
17	Services Law to ensure that TPS beneficiaries
18	continue to receive Medicaid benefits if their TPS is
19	lapsed or been terminated.
20	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, that's-that's
21	already been introduced in the State Legislative
22	MARGARET GARRETT: [interposing] Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA:body.

MARGARET GARRETT: Yes.

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --together and that's all I'll say. MOIA is still in the house, right? So, I'll see them walk out. Cool. Thank you. I think it's been noted. Anyway.

MARGARET GARRETT: We also worry that TPS holders are at risk of immigration scams especially at this moment where there are so many unknown things It makes them even more, you susceptible to notarials and other kinds of immigration fraud, which as you know, run rampant in our city. We would urge the city to invest in public service announcements, encouraging TPS registrants to seek legal assistance through Action NYC, to try and combat some of the-the people who prey on-on this kind of population. also would ask the city to do public service announcements advising of the possibility of seeking advanced parole, which is something that many TPS holders are eligible for, and once they come back with a stamp and inspection many of them would then be a eligible to adjust through a family members. So, that's one way to-for TPS holders to get to a permanent status.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Just so I can get a better sense of this, essentially you're saying

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[bell] someone that has TPS today, travels out of the country and then back with a-with a stamped passport can then begin the process for another benefit

5 through a family member who is an American citizen.

MARGARET GARRETT: Yes. Without getting too much into the weeds--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Yes.

MARGARET GARRETT: --if a person who has TPS but doesn't have a legal entry so they entered without inspection even if they're married to a U.S. citizen they are not eligible to adjust, but there is a possibility for them to get advanced parole for certain circumstances, which gives them permission to leave the country. Then they have the stamp and now they can adjust to their U.S. spouse. So, that's something that we screen for and I have represented plenty of clients in that exact position. And-and finally, if the injunction is lifted, we would ask the city to consider shifting legal service providers, deliverables under the Immigration Opportunities Initiatives grants from Tier 2 removal cases to more brief service in Tier 1 cases, which will give providers time to focus on the particular needs of-of TPS holders and in terms of also just

2.2

the—the screening of TPS. You know, clients, I—I can speak personally. I have been to TPS clinics and have seen young people who were eligible for example for special immigrant juvenile status, and then they missed the boat because no one had caught it, which is always really hard to see because it's a missed opportunity and, you know, with more funding for those kind of services. For legal services we're able to provide those types of services as well.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, we're trying to understand exactly what that means, and I'm not a lawyer. I want to be, but so essentially what we're—what you're saying is that the way that the contracts are constructed with the city it doesn't allow you to capture more people in the screenings, and so the screenings can—can be open to more people—

MARGARET GARRETT: [interposing] Well,
no. If, for example I screened somebody and thought
that they were eligible for special immigrant
juvenile status, we don't necessarily have the
capacity to take that case.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that capacity is based on capacity or capacity based on definition

representation and we're not at Sanctuary City and so

25

2 this is just another hint at some of the work that I

3 think we can do to track ourselves for the budget

4 hearings and really think about that access point and

5 that happens there, and that's a contract issue.

6 That's not—that—it's not Trump saying you can't do

7 that.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

MARGARET GARRETT: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: It's us saying we can't do that, and that's unacceptable. Note, and that's-that's important. So, thank you for that, and I want to come back and kind of get a sense, a better sense about what needs to happen. Clearly, actually no, not clearly. No, I don't want to restrict this. Everyone should get a lawyer, and especially if we can-we can build out the system with social workers, with interpreters, with—and have a robust system. can-we can make sure that everybody maintains trust with us because we're going to be able to deliver at a point where we might get TPS and other things removed from possibility including healthcare as well, which we'll come back to later offline. you for that. Any other-any other points or things that kind of came up on the legal side? Do you have any more?

2.1

2.2

MARGARET GARRETT: Well, maybe to that point just flexibility in what we do because of the changing landscape in general with what—so, it's hard for us to know. Do we need more—to shift more towards removal defense or more affirmative applications and just having that flexibility to kind of provide the needs where they're at especially since contracts are for a year or two years or three years at a time and things are changing so quickly right now in this field.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, and that's going to—that's going to require a better sense of defining what that flexibility is. I think the administration is always—well the Office of Management and Budget is always going to be uncomfortable with saying ho, we're going to—we're going to—we're going to—we're going to give all these legal service providers anything that they want so they can do whenever and whatever they want, and—and really build it out of values. And I think that due process for all, universal representation I think is the value that we need to get to because right now we're—we're kind of just inching towards these pieces and —and I think it's changing. I think the flexibility is

there, but the-if we're not universal-if we're not
agreeing on universal representation, we're going to
be-we're going to be chipping away for a long time,
and what we want is full access to everything and
start at the end. So, flexibility I hear you. big
time, but we need you to kind of do a leap frog into
universal representation, and that's something I
think the state needs to consider now that we have
new leadership, and—and then prepare for the federal
government to be forced to do that at that level as
well, and make that a constitutional right fulfilled
Okay, thank you.

MARGARET GARRETT: Thank you.

TRACI LAWSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. So, our final panel I believe is Adhikaar (sp?) both members from Adhikaar, Parthana Garoom and Narbada Chhertri Did I say that right?

Close.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Close. Okay.

Please introduce yourselves and you'll be closing up our—our public hearing on TPS today. Thank you.

NARBADA CHHETRI: Good afternoon. Thank you for having us and taking council for TPS. So, my

25

2 name is Narbada Chherti. I'm from Adhikaar. Adhikaar is the only women led worker and community 3 center solving and organizing the Nepali speaking 4 5 community on worker rights, immigration rights and 6 access to healthcare. As the only organization 7 working to protect and advocate for Nepali TPS holders. We are here for the nearly 50-15,0008 Nepalis TPS holders in the country. A large number 9 of them in New York State, 53,000 TPS holders from 10 all countries are in New York alone, and in the city. 11 12 As one of the 13 countries with TPS and/or DED our 13 members with Temporary Protected Status are in a very 14 low situation as their status will run on June 24 of 15 this year. Not even six months away. If TPS runs out for Nepalis on June 24th without any legislative or 16 17 legislative solution, we anticipate major blows to 18 our community. Their status is tied to things like a work permit, health insurance, business, home, car 19 20 ownership and basic protections that undocumented members of our society are unable to access. Because 21 2.2 they have a work permit, TPS workers have been able 23 to work legally. However, because it is based on a temporary status. There are at risk as the deadline 24

comes closer. Members have reported that they have

2 been discriminated against not ever to find good jobs or even have been taken by employers, that they will 3 lose their job. Moreover, they are unable to take 4 advantage of the city's Workforce Development 5 6 programs. These programs are built for long-term 7 candidates, and are looking for some one to join as a permanent hire. Therefore, individuals with TPS are 8 least likely to be hired. We ask the-we ask that 9 10 that city provide a type of city given specific work permit to ensure that even if they lose their 11 12 federally given work permits they may still be able 13 to contribute to this economy and support their 14 families by continuing to work. Employers must also 15 be held accountable. There should be advocate (sic) 16 and done for employers especially those in industries 17 with many TPS holders so that they understand what 18 their responsibilities are. Often times the burden is on workers who assert their rights. [bell] 19 20 However, if employers do not know-are-are not accurately trained on how to engage their workers, 21 the discrimination and unfair treatment will 2.2 23 continue. Our domestic worker members are even more vulnerable working in unique conditions in private 24 homes of employers that are not traditionally without 25

2.2

an employee's engagement. The city should also build stronger enforcement on workforce that has been due to immigration status, and allow for more integration for that reason especially among advances working with workers to work with employers and business as with members like Gita who have worked for nearly 20 years in an nail salon are now facing difficulties in figuring out how to manage their work conditions without status.

PRATHANA GURUNG: So, Prathana also of Adhikaar. I just want to say at the same time we urge the city to look into ways to support small businesses especially women and minority lead businesses. Tat this time several members have reached out to us who are TPS holders, and who were able to start small businesses because of TPS, but now are in difficult situations where they're forced to decide whether or not they have their business or are also susceptible to types of business fraud, and they're in just very desperate situations looking to find some way out while still maintaining their livelihood. We also recognize that our members while still with some type of status are feel for—fearful for what will happen once they lost it. New York

2 City claims to be a sanctuary city, and we know that our communities continue to be at risk by detained by 3 ICE and local police continue to collaborate with 4 immigration enforcement. Our communities deserve an accessible 24/7 support network that is an 6 alternative to calling 9/11, one that's financed and supported by the city in partnership with community 8 groups. Our work with legal organizations will 9 continue, but as casework increases even as groups 10 like Legal Aid and Unban Justice Center are also 11 12 reaching an impasse and are unable to take cases. 13 While we utilize city resources like Action NYC, 14 there's little to no feedback or follow-up with 15 individuals who call on Action NYC, and their 16 automatic hotline is very difficult to access for our 17 members many of whom English is a second language, 18 and some of whom are limited in literacy. So, they don't even know to read or write in their own 19 20 language. If resources like Action NYC could have legal service contacts or point people or a team just 21 2.2 for TPS that would greatly support our legal needs. 23 In addition to all of these needs, we stand by and urge you to support the existing campaigns that 24 groups like New York Immigration Coalition they're 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

working on the state solutions I know are outside the scope of the city, but as New York City there are opportunities to continue pushing for things like the Green Light Campaign to provide state IDs for all and coverage for all, and expanding healthcare access for all, and we also urge the city to support community legislative solutions to increase outreach, and potential support and financial resources. The last thing, I know this is again outside of the city capacity, but we urge city to do what they can pressure U.S. Congressional representatives across the city to sign onto a legislative solution or a bill to provide permanent residency for DREAMERs and also TPS holders. This is again just a short list of needs that are arising, a lot of organizations that have been here and have testified and spoken to a lot of the pieces that we also wanted to bring up, and just want to reiterate all of that. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And thank you forfor staying and making your voices heard. Your
organization is an incredible organization. We
partnered with all of you all the time to ensure that
your-your organizing needs are—are kind of work here

haven't written on it.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

at the City Council for policy making, laws and
budget as well, and you had some really good ideas
about how to create infrastructure to connect
communities with language competency, and—and so I
like some of your ideas. In fact, is it possible we
can get your copy? I already wrote on this one copy
that we have. I'm looking at your clean copy if you

PRATHANA GURUNG: We've written on it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [laughter] Okay.

PRATHANA GURUNG: But we can send you--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing]

Okay, please. Make sure that you-you send it overback to us.

PRATHANA GURUNG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And you really kind of know a lot of the work that's happened—happening already at the State level, and that's where we just need to organize at the city level to ensure that our voices as your representatives are at the negotiating tables for the State as well. And so it is great that we're here hearing the same story, the same strategy over and over again. The one thing I wanted to just address is the question around the

city providing a type of city given specific work
permit. I don't believe we have—I'm almost sure tha
we don't power to do that at the city level because
this is a federal-this is a federal issue. Is there
any other—is there guidance or kind of legal
framework around this or is this—is this—is this an
idea about how to really you get-if any—if someone
loses TPS they're going to be using their livelihood
So we need to find a solution

PRATHANA GURUNG: Uh-hm. Yeah, no, I-CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: or a work permit?

PRATHANA GURUNG: Yeah, that's a good
question. I mean I think one of the things, you
know, if there is a workaround I don't know, but I
think one of the examples that we've seen that we
have seen some type of workforce support regardless
of documentation had been on a state level with a
nail salon on Workers Bill of Rights where nail salon
workers were given access to be able to get a
license, which is required to work regardless of a
Social Security number.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right.

2.2

2 PRATHANA GURUNG: I think they can access
3 a license, which gives them some type of thing that
4 you can show an employer.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right.

PRATHANA GURUNG: You know, we know that whether or not that they have a work permit they're sill going to work. Employers will still hire them. They'll pay cash and whatnot, but at least some type of workforce license gave them the ability to be able to negotiate for themselves and—and not be discriminated against, and so that was I mean just kind of off the top of my heard. That's the only example that we've seen. On a state level they've been able to kind of create a wrap like a workaround in some ways.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that and—and I think one thing I'll—I'll offer is that has been a growing opportunity for immigrants of multiple communities and access or statuses is—are workers cooperatives and business—businesses that have been able to—to bring in people. I'll just leave it at that, and just say let's work together to figure out how you can plug in, and maybe you're already plugged into worker cooperatives—cooperatives and that—that

2 growing phenomenon and we're putting a lot of funding. I'm a proud kind of champion for that 3 4 inside the City Council and it's the district that I represent in-in Sunset Park is one of those place where it's kind of embedded into the culture of our 6 7 communities for-for mothers, women who have kind of taken on that-that leadership for themselves, and-and 8 owning businesses, and so let's-let's-you're right. 9 Let's talk about worker not rights, but economic 10 empowerment for our immigrant communities and make 11 12 sure that everyone has as many opportunities as 13 possible with different models so that they can-they 14 can make-can make money so they can be empowered 15 economically, and that's-that's what we want. Okay, 16 thank you so much for that, and I want to thank 17 everyone for being here today, and for really 18 allowing us to ask the questions to city's-to the Administration, and preparing ourselves for the 19 20 budget hearings which are on their way, and I think we-we wanted to really ask this question because we 21 2.2 know that there are gaps. We know that we're 23 following peak cases, court cases that could change 24 everything, but we want to be prepared, and couldn't 25 thank you enough for being here today, and think MOIA

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 123
2	is here as well so thank you for staying to the end,
3	and this meeting is now adjourned. Thank you.
4	[gavel]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 22, 2019