CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

January 10, 2019
Start: 1:21 p.m.
Recess: 2:35 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: FRANCISCO P. MOYA
Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Costa G. Constantinides
Barry S. Grodenchik
Rory I. Lancman
Stephen T. Levin
Antonio Reynoso
Donovan J. Richards
Carlina Rivera
Ritchie J. Torres

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Heather Kirk Representative of Helpern and Levy, LLP for my client Paul Longo and Simon Robinson of Elder Greene

Simon Robinson, Owner & Operator of Elder Greene

Eric Palatnik Representing Application for rezoning at Bedford and Willoughby

Brian Newman, Architect for Application for at Bedford and Willoughby

Andrew Villari, Stonefield Engineering

Richard Lobel, Sheldon Lobel, PC

Emanuel D'Amore, Aufgang Architects

Ron Schulman, Best Development Group

Jonathan Seplowitz, East 241st Rezoning

Panos Catrice, Doorman at 995 Fifth Avenue Member of 32BJ

Jason Friedman, Tribeca East Historic District

Sally Gerbin, Resident, 55 Watt Street, Tribeca East Historic District

Shannon Kay, Resident of Penthouse, 55 White Street Tribeca East Historic District

David Gray, Resident of Penthouse, 55 White Street Tribeca East Historic District

Frederika Segal, Co-Chair, Land use Committee Community Board 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 [sound check] [pause] [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good afternoon and welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council Member Francisco Moya, the Chairperson of the Subcommittee, and today we are joined by Council Members Reynoso, Levine-Levin. I'm sorry and the-alright counsel is on his way. Today we will be holding hearings on a number of applications. If you are here to testify, please fill out one of the white slips with the sergeant-atarms and indicate the name and the LU number of the application you wish to testify on that slip. Our first hearing is on LU 312 an application By Carrie's Hospitality, LLC, Elder Greene for a new revocable consent for an unenclosed sidewalk café located at 160 Franklin Street in Brooklyn in Council Member Levin's district. I now open the public hearing on this application, and we will be calling Simon Robinson and Heather Kirk. [pause] I did say it right? Yeah. [pause] And just make sure you push the button to turn on you microphone, and if Counsel can swear in the panel.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Before responding, please state your name. Do you each swear or affirm that

café be as follows: 11:00 p.m. Sunday through

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thursday, 12:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday. During these meeting my client received both support from residents as well as some residents that expressed concerns about noise due to the late hours as this is a residential street. Since being schedule for this meeting today, we have been actively working with both Councilman Levin's Office as well as the neighbors on Kent Street to find solutions to any and all concerns that they may have. We have been open to finding-we are open to finding a solution that works for both the residents and also allows this business to be a thriving and positive part of this community. I would like to again thank you for your time and consideration. I will now turn this over to Simon Robinson.

everybody. My name is Simon Robinson. I'm the owner and operator of Elder Greene, 160 Franklin, and I just want to keep it short as in we're a good addition I believe to Greenpoint. We've been there eight months. I've met a lot of good people in the neighborhood, and I believe that this will be a good addition to the neighborhood having a sidewalk café and utilizing our space correctly. Thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you and now I'm
3	going to turn it over to the Council Member for a
4	couple of questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.

So, I just wanted to talk a little bit about the history of the—the site itself or the establishment. The prior to—she had been open for eight months.

Prior to your taking over that space, there was another restaurant that was there. They have a sidewalk cafe permit. Can you speak to that a little bit?

HEATHER KIRK: Correct. Yes, they had a sidewalk cafe permit as well that also was located on Kent Street. It was first 16 seats 8 tables.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: 16 seats and 8 tables on Kent Street. There was also a part of it that was on Franklin Street as well?

HEATHER KIRK: Correct, but that was only for a short period of time, and then it was removed. There was a bike rack installed.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, and they had their—there—the—that was open for the, you known for their—their entire time of business?

2.2

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 8
2	HEATHER KIRK: Correct and evening hours
3	as well.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Evening hours as
5	well. Okay, and they were up like a full service
6	restaurant so?
7	HEATHER KIRK: Correct, restaurant and
8	bar.
9	SIMON ROBINSON: Yes restaurant and bar.
10	But they had a 4:00 a.m. license, but they would have
11	around 1:00 a.m.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, and so right
13	now you are proposing to have the sidewalk cafe
14	closed at what times again?
15	HEATHER KIRK: 11:00 p.m. Sunday through
16	Thursday and 12:00 Friday and Saturday.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay 11:00 and
18	12:00. Okay,
19	HEATHER KIRK: Correct.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And you have a
21	liquor license that is—
22	SIMON ROBINSON: [interposing] To 4:00
23	a.m.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: To 4:00 a.m.

There's no stipulation that the community board drew

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 9 2 up just to have that be a shorter time than that or 3 is it you couldn't serve out after then (sic)? 4 SIMON ROBINSON: No, none at all. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And your sidewalk cafe can only be during the time that your kitchen is 6 7 open, right? 8 SIMON ROBINSON: That's correct. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And so your 9 kitchen will close at-at--10 11 SIMON ROBINSON: At 12:00. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: 12:00. 13 SIMON ROBINSON: And then obviously, 14 Monday through Wednesday or Sunday through Wednesday, 15 it will be in at 11:00. Then we'll end outside 16 service at 11:00, and the tables will be brought in. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So, there has been 18 a petition that's gone around and gotten over 100 signatures in opposition to this sidewalk café 19 20 permit? Can you speak a little bit to what their concerns are and ways in which you're looking to 21 2.2 address those concerns if you would be able to 23 characterize them? 24 HEATHER KIRK: So concerns of the

neighbors have been a couple of things just mainly in

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 10
2	regards to noise at late hours of night. One thing
3	that they've been-that they've brought up a couple of
4	times is the windows and doors of the space and
5	closing at a certain time. They've asked that we-
6	that the business continues to close down at 10:00
7	p.m
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-
9	hm.
10	HEATHER KIRK:every night of the week.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And you're okay
12	with that?
13	HEATHER KIRK: Which was-
14	SIMON ROBINSON: [interposing] Yep.
15	HEATHER KIRK: Yes.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Have you had any
17	noise complaints as asince you've opened in the
18	last eight months?
19	CHAIRPERSON ROSE: We had actually in
20	the-within the first month we had one-one or two from
21	the neighbors board like obviously growing pains and
22	then we resolved them in-while handing our own
23	telephone numbers and speaking directly to us and

then obviously we removed one or two speakers that

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 11
2	went to-that obviously were not working in the
3	position that they were in
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uh-hm.
5	SIMON ROBINSON:and then yeah, and
6	then we reached out to neighbors. I've reached out
7	to the block association. I've done pretty much what
8	I need to do and obviously I'll keep going forward,
9	you know—
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uh-hm.
11	SIMON ROBINSON:listening to, I mean
12	HEATHER KIRK: [interposing] And
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Did neighbors
14	raise concerns about like obstruction of the sidewalk
15	or are they just concerned about noise?
16	HEATHER KIRK: Just noise. Noise is the
17	main concern for them.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. So, I don't
19	think we're voting today. I think that we're voting
20	next week. So, can I ask that you work with my office
21	over the next week, talk to the neighbors, see if
22	there's an opportunity to reach a compromise and see
23	we can-and-and work, you know, work together over the
24	next few days to see if we can reach some compromise?
25	SIMON ROBINSON: Okay.

hearing is on LUs 319 and 320 the 895 Bedford Avenue

to say that we've been working for the past three

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

years very close with the community board and with Council Member Levin to request the rezoning for an M1-2 zoning district to a R7A Zoning district with a C2-4 overlay. I see that staff is passing out all the attachments. So, you'll have them in front of you. When you go through the attachment what you'll see is we're proposing a 7-story building that will have approximately 38,000 square foot of floor area. I will have an FAR of 4.59, which is pretty comparable to the 4.6 maximum that's allowed within the district. It will have ground floor retail of about 4,500 square foot. So, basically, it will be a 7-story building with ground floor retail. There is no required parking so none is being provided. will comply with MIH at Option 2 where we will have approximately 30% of the dwelling unit, which equals 11-thank you very much-which equals 11 of the 36 apartments that are in the building and as I'm speaking I'm glad to see I'm not the only one that'sthat's going behind my schedule. Thank you for making me look good. You see on the board-on the TV behind you is a picture of the building, a rendering of the building. The design of the building is one of the things that the community board put a lot of

building, and we've also been working with our local

Bridge Street Development Corporation to comply with

the not-for-profit administrator of the affordable

4

23

24

- 2 | housing components should you approve the
- 3 application. We've met with the Borough President's
- 4 | office who also supported the application, I'm proud-
- 5 proud to say, who also had the same conditions with
- 6 the Minority and Women Owned Businesses as well as
- 7 | trying to source labor local. So, we complied with
- 8 | their request as well. I'd be happy to answer any
- 9 | further questions you may have, and go through the
- 10 | application in greater detail if you'd like or
- 11 address anything you would like.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm going to turn it
- 13 | over to Council Member Levin.
- 14 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.
- 15 Thank you very much for the presentation. I just
- 16 want to talk about a couple, a couple of issues here.
- 17 | First off, this is currently an active gas station,
- 18 | which there are fewer and fewer of in the city. Is
- 19 | this-it this going? I mean this-there are certainly
- 20 community members. This is in a community that
- 21 happens to have a lot of drivers, a lot of cars. Is
- 22 there a plan for, you know, do you-do you anticipate
- 23 where this business would be gong?
- 24 ERIC PALATNIK: There are other stations
- 25 | around. I don't have the exact addresses for you

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

right now, but we've been asked this question before, and the-there are other large stations around that accommodate the demand. The owner, as I said before, is the gas—is a gas station operator. That's their sole business. This station is—is not in a high demand capacity. They're not pumping the amount of volume there that you would think will be going on in a normal gas station. The reason why is because it's one of these older-you're familiar with the site. It's an older, smaller-smaller site. It's an antiquated gas station that's there right now. new gas stations that are developed and the-the things—the ones that are doing business and are serving the community are the ones that you see that have the convenience stores in the front. They also have large pumping islands. I'll bring you an application later that has that exact model with the new gas station not in this district unfortunately, but that's why this site is not suitable for an automotive service station use. The demand simply is not there. There is demand in Brooklyn, and there is a demand but it's not at this location, but there are other locations that I could supplement to my testimony today, if you'd like, with a map that shows

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 19
2	you the location of other gas stations that are
3	nearby, that can accommodate whatever customer base
4	those utilizes.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, that would
6	be helpful.
7	ERIC PALATNIK: I'd be happy to provide
8	that to you.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I wanted to ask
10	about the-the development itself or the proposed
11	development. So, we're looking at 7 stories, 38,427
12	square foot, 33,000 of which will be residential, but
13	then with a-and I'm sorry MIH option, which option?
14	ERIC PALATNIK: Option 2.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Option 2.
16	ERIC PALATNIK: 30% at 80%.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's 80% AMI at
18	30-30 units 80%30% of the units at
19	ERIC PALATNIK: [interposing] Correct.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:80% AMI. And
21	do-what's the unit size breakdown that's proposed?
22	ERIC PALATNIK: This-that question I'm
23	going to defer to my architect who's sitting next to
24	me, Brian Newman who hopefully is pulling up his unit

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

size information that's had tabulated and ready to speak to you about.

BRIAN NEWMAN: While Eric keeps talking

I'll be looking that up. I'll get right back to you on that.

ERIC PALATNIK: Because you know the question, right and you can get them.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] And 18-18 1-bedroom, 18 2-bedrooms. Is that right?

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes, that's was correct-

BRIAN NEWMAN: [interposing] That's correct.

ERIC PALATNIK: --as far as the unit distribution goes yes. The sizes, he's going to look up for you right now.

BRIAN NEWMAN: So, I can speak to the sizes. They're ranging from 650, 700 even 750 square foot for a 1-bedroom unit. So, it—depending on where they are in the floor plan because we actually during the process with the community board, they were very concerned that the rendering we're showing them was going to actually represent what the building was. So we took the time to lay out the apartments, not just for the demising roles but where the demising walls,

2 but where the bedrooms and living rooms were going to

3 be so we could place the windows in there and then

4 | coordinate elevations, floor plans and then produce

5 | this particular rendering. So, as I said before,

6 ranging anywhere from 650 to upwards of 770, 780

7 square feet for a 1-bedroom just depending on where

8 | it lays out in the floor plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Is there any consideration that's been given to doing additional family size units, units that are 3-bedrooms or above as part of this development.

There is. This is, as you know, when we go through this process the plans that are prepared for you are theoretical in nature. Yes, of course, there's different planning and approving if you should or acting upon a rezoning application. The owner Bill Wolf Petroleum has been speaking with the marketing company right now as we progress further, and they are reviewing what you are reviewing, which is that we may want to put into the mix—the building is not that big—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

ERIC PALATNIK: There's not—there's only
25 market rate units and, of course, 11 are
affordable units. So, it's looking like now maybe we
might be able to add—the last conversation is add
maybe two to four 3-bedroom units into the mix and
that's still being talked about and that's something
that came up with the community. There's a lot of
back and forth at the community level with that
because there's a lot of conversation over whether
they wanted to try to achieve it for families or
achieve it for young families that are just starting
off. So, that conversation came up there as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. In terms of just a commitment on—on—for good jobs on site, is there-is there a commitment to paying prevailing wage for building service workers?

BRIAN NEWMAN: There—there is a commitment to paying prevailing wages on the development of the project. As far as building service workers go, we haven't had any formal discussions with anybody about that, but we are committed to using locally sourced labor and to doing the best that we can to try to get all of the supplies and material that we need to develop the building from local businesses.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And this is mostly a commercial block, and I know that this is not the only site that's-that's due to be rezoned as part of this rezoning application.

ERIC PALATNIK: Right.

several additional sites, four additional properties on the block of Willoughby between Bedford and Spencer, small 4-story commercial building to legally non-forming 2-story homes and a newly constructed 6-story office community facility building.

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes and I just pulled it up behind you, too, while you're talking so that, you know, others could see what you're talking about on the block.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So, do you have a sense of what the impact might be on local businesses. There's a coffee shop and a laundromat I think nearby.

that everything is—is—is going to be very positive for local businesses primarily for a couple of different reasons. The—the buildings that are around us on our block have supported the application, all

of them, everybody that—that's near the bias on the four buildings you just called out including the 6-story commercial building—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uh-hm.

ERIC PALATNIK: --who all support the application. But we're going to be adding residents to the building, of course, that really going to support the local retail, and as we all know, the local retail is in dire need of customers, and we think that by adding units here we'll be supporting all of the businesses, types of local retail businesses that you just mentioned. We also did a study of the-of the manufacturing uses in the area during the application process and this particular block although located within the manufacturing district is not improved upon with active manufacturing uses. So, that was another thing that we-we feel justifies the requests. If you're few blocks over to the right of the imagery up there, Spencer--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.

ERIC PALATNIK: --you start to see things become more heavily manufacturing over there because

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

of-of items that-that they have.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2 ERIC PALATNIK: Yeah, there was locally

3 sourced labor. It was—it was a number of—

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: interposing] There were a number--

6 ERIC PALATNIK: -Minority and Women Owned 7 Businesses.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: --more units, et cetera, et cetera.

ERIC PALATNIK: We've—we've agreed with every condition with in the Borough President's request. We've written back to them saying so. So, we make—we seek to comply.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. --

ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. \\$

BRIAN NEWMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And are there any other members of the public who wish to testify?

Seeing no none, I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. Our neat—
Oh, I'm sorry. Let me just acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Members Cohen, Constantinides, and Grodenchik. Our next hearing is on LUs 317 and

EMANUEL D'AMORE: Yes, I do.

2 RON SCHULMAN: Ron Schulman. Yes, I do.

3 JONATHAN SEPLOWITZ: Jonathan Seplowitz.

(sic) Yes, I do.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Chair Moya, esteemed members of the Council. Good afternoon. name is Richard Lobel, of Sheldon Lobel, PC and I'm happy today to be representing Enclave Equities. and Jonathan Seplowitz in the East 241st Rezoning. I will present the background of the project as well as the actions being requested. Then Emanuel will discuss some of the architectural aspects and Juan will address the affordability issues. So the applicant here is Enclave Equities. Enclave is a development firm with a lot of experience in the Bronx and the greater area. If you want to go forward. We basically included some illustrative renderings and pictures of applications and buildings that they build in the area. So, South Fifth Street as an example is one where they've worked on affordable housing issues before in Mount Vernon close to the Bronx, and we've also-they've also worked on the Van Cortlandt Library project on buildings in Riverdale, and those are included in the project materials. So the proposal before the

Rezoning Map, and as you can look at the parcel on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the right, is it now an R70 C2-4. So, what really does this mean? It means several things. The first would be that in the-East Chester-I'm sorry. The Wakefield East Chester rezoning in 2007 much of this area specifically around White Plains Road was rezoned to R6 to allow for in accordance with that rezoning increased residential and mixed-use density. And so, at the time this parcel was included within that area, the parcel was eventually removed for environmental reasons. The city chose not to address some of the more tangible environmental concerns on some parcels. So that has since been addressed by the developer, which is why we are now approaching the Council with this rezoning today. So despite the fact that much of White Plains Road in this area specifically roughly 14 blocks or parts of 14 blocks were rezoned to R6. The development that would accrue from the R6 up-zoning did not really occur, and so you had this rezoning, which allowed for what amounts to, you know, fairly dense residential developments along White Plains Road. Unfortunately, though, that really did not come into play. So, with the Rezoning with which we now approach the Council we've had a really tremendous amount of support given

to Emanuel who is going to discuss some of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

9-story--

specifics, which is with regards to the resulting building, a 9-story mixed-use development.

EMANUEL D'AMORE: Good afternoon.

Emanuel D'amore from Augang Architects. So, the propose rezone will facilitate the—the element of a

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [interposing] Can you speak a little bit more into the microphone?

EMANUEL D'AMORE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

residential and commercial 9-story building. It's approximately 25,000 square foot ground floor commercial and 137,000 square feet residential, and the—and 186 dwelling units. We have also have some examples of other rezones in the area that they were very successful. They're built at the moment. On the next slide we could see the existing—you know, the picture of the surrounding area, you know, showing the utilization of this lot and the proximity to the train. The next slide also we have the location of the map, and on the bottom right we see the transit zone that is not mapped within a transit zone because it's a M—M-11 District that we're also

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

seeking for a change on the transit app. So, as you could see on the plot plan due to the street-street fronting, we have a U-shaped building with outdoor recreation area on the roof of the first floor, and on the next slide we could see our, you know, effort to appease the-the majority of the community board that they want a very, you know, a good commercial space on the ground floor. So the intention is we relocate all the residential entrance and the parking on Ferman (sic) on purpose so it creates an open concept and attracts many retails in the area. On the next slide we also see the height of the first floor being 16 feet and then we reduce to 94 the floor to floor height so we have a more context building. And then in the next slide we have the second floor of the-the proposed second floor of the residential, which has in-door recreational space, laundry, outdoor recreation areas, and-and then on the next slide we could see how we have the distribution of the-of the units, you know, on a-on a family oriented environment. We are achieving enterprising communities so we're providing Energy Star Appliances. We have the extra insulation for the walls, and-and their roof. We also are incorporating

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 active design living principles to in-incorporate

3 healthier area to the building tenants. And as you

4 could see on the next-on the last slide, it's 189

5 dwelling units. So, we have 26 studios, 84 1-

6 bedrooms, 58 2-bedrooms and 18 3-bedrooms providing

7 | 41% between 2 and 3 bedroom units and I give it to

Ron I think for the Financial Analysis. Thank you.

RON SCHULMAN: Ron Schulman, Best Development Group. We're the advisors to Enclave Equities at 241st. So this project will be financed under the Mix and Match Program, which basically means 50% of the units will be for tax credit, 60% of AMI or at the low including a set-aside for homelessfor formerly homeless people and then the rents will go all the way up to at least 90 or 100% of AMI. So, in plain English, that would mean rents would be anywhere between a low of \$511 to a high of \$2,300 and stepped up everywhere in between. So, we actually think this is a great mix for the neighborhood where people would afford apartments based on household size and income, and would attract people not only from Wakefield by nearby communities of Wakefield. The project would be financed by HPD and HDC and it

would have a regulatory agreement including MIH

to conclude briefly to say that the affordability numbers as well as the entirety of the project remain consistent throughout the application the community board is familiar with, and—and—and incorporated this into their approval. I would say that after the Bronx Borough President's Hearing, we did slightly increase the size of the—of the 3-bedroom units—

RICHARD LOBEL: -at the request of the borough president to accommodate their request. So, again, we're happy to answer any questions.

EMANUEL D'AMORE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Can you just go back to what was that percentage for the formerly homeless households?

2.2

2.2

RON SCHULMAN: Right. SO, the request was to increase to 15% of the units in the building to be for formerly homeless people.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.

RON SCHULMAN: Which will be permanent housing. This is not transitional housing. People move in, they have a lease, they stay based on a very low rent requirement.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Alight, and is there a commitment for good jobs on this project?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, I know if you want to speak to it, but there's been discussions with—with 32BJ. We've—we've basically come to an agreement with—with the Union, and in general one of the things that I think was most interesting to the community board is that the retail here is something here, which is really sorely sought in this area, and that they're looking forward to local businesses being able like to locate here to—to local individuals being able to really thoroughly utilize the retail. So, we're looking forward to our relationship with them as well as to good jobs in the future.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you and with that, I turn it over to Council Member Cohen.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair. 3 I really—I just thought it was important that I was here today because and I-I don't think I'm going to 4 5 be able to hide it how pleased I am about this 6 project. I mean they spoke specifically about-about 7 the project, but White Plains Road is sort of the spine of-of my portion of the-of the Wakefield 8 community, and while the homeowner community there is 9 very strong and stable, White Plains Road could use a 10 little love, and I am very, very pleased that someone 11 12 has got faith in this community and is willing to 13 invest significant money. This project-this-this is 14 the very end of the subway line at White Plains Road. 15 This lot has been not used at all in my memory. As 16 they mentioned, that there were environmental issues 17 there that have been cleaned up. So, it really I 18 hope will be the start of a-of a transformation on-on White Plains Road and-and it-it does seem to be the 19 20 case that I think as time goes on other developers have shown interest in-in in converting what really 21 2.2 is-what-what has the potential to be, as they pointed 23 out, a great place to live. I think it has real commercial potential. It's sort of continuing the 24 White Plains Corridor. So, I'm-I'm very excited 25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 about the impact of this project on the community and

3 I-I will give the developer the kudos. They have

4 been very responsive to the community board. They

5 went to the community board multiple times, briefed

6 them on the project, you know, long before ULURP.

7 They were responsive to the borough president's

8 concerns, they worked closely with labor. So, I

9 really feel that this this going to be a real boost

10 in the arm for-for the Wakefield community, and I'm-I

11 know we're not voting today, but ultimately I will be

12 excited to encourage my colleagues to vote aye for

13 this project. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you,

Council Member Cohen, and thank all of you for your

testimony here today. [background comments/pause]

Next we want to call up Panos Catrice. Did I say it

right? Thank you.

PANOS CATRICE: That's my name. Good afternoon. Good afternoon Chair Moya and members of Subcommittee. My name is Panos Catrice. I'm a doorman at 995 Fifth Avenue, I've been—and have been a member of 32BJ for two years. As you know, 32BJ represents more than 80,000 property service workers in New York City. We clean and maintain buildings

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

like the one proposed. We believe that developers who commit to providing group building service jobs in order to build a more credible economy in New York City. The developer's affiliates of Enclave Equities seeking the rezoning, have made this commitment and we are pleased to testify in support of this project. The developer has stated that a goal of their proposal for the 241st Street rezoning is to help New York City's neighborhood thrive and be well served. We know this can be done through their promised to build 186 permanently affordable units, and their commitment to providing good jobs that pay area standards. We strongly support efforts to build affordable housing especially when there are good jobs attached. We know that affordable housing and good jobs work together to upgrade working families. We believe this project will bring many benefits to the community, and will help working New Yorkers live with security and dignity. For these reasons, we respectfully urge you to approve this project. you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for our testimony today. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify on this item?

Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application, and it will be laid over. Next-our next hearing is on LUs 322, the 51-53 White Street Special Permit Application for property in Council Member Chin's district in Manhattan. The applicant is seeking a special permit pursuant to Section 74-711 of the Zoning Resolution to modify height and setback regulations, inner court regulations and minimum distance between windows and a lot line regulations. Approval of this special permit would facilitate the enlargement of an existing 5-story building within the Tribeca East Historic District. As part of the special permit, the applicant will provide restorative-restorative work to the façade and enter in-into a continuing maintenance program for the building. I now open the public hearing on this application, and would like to call up Jason Friedman, and if the Counsel can please swear in the panel.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Before responding, please make sure the red light on you mic is on and state your name. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you

3 | will answer all questions truthfully?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JASON FRIEDMAN: I do. Jason Friedman.

51 White Street, LLC is seeking a certifcertification for a special permit at 51-53 White Street to enlarge two stories on the roof, and a new floor between the existing first and second floors at the rear of the building. The site is located in a C6-2A zoning district one-half block east of Broadway and less than 100 feet away from the corner of Franklin Place. The surrounding area is characterized by mixed-used buildings with a majority of building heights at 5 to 7 stories and the area is well served by public transportation. As you can see on these photographs, the building has been vacant since 2016. Previously, it was occupied by Max Delivery, a retail store on the first floor cellar and sub cellar, and residential apartments at market rate to 2 to 5 floors. The building had a total or 12 of these apartments for rent prior to beginning construction. The building was constructed in 1858 and the fire escape and storefronts you see in the 1990's Landmark Commission designation photographs on

this slide are not original to the building and they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are being removed. The site is located in the Tribeca East Historic district, and the Civic Center Synagogue to the right, which borders the set to the west is a 2-story non-contributing building with an irregular street wall. The individual landmark building to the east 55 White Street is a 7-story primarily residential building that was converted from wholesale fabric building to a mix-used building similar to a majority of the remaining 19th Century buildings in the surrounding area. We proposed a 2story vertical enlargement that you can see in this Axon view. The new floors design use a series of setbacks in bulk from 12 to 38 feet pushing the bulk back from the street, and from the west preserve the integrity of historical views of the existing White Street 5-story building. In addition, the two-the two additional floors there is a new proposed flor between the existing first and second floors in the rear of the building. In addition to the bulk being proposed the applicant will be undertaking major restorative work including the removal of the fire escape, replacement of all windows front and rear with wood windows, complete White Street façade and marble restoration, and uncovering of the-and

distance from windows to the lot line. We are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

upcoming, and we are ready to be great neighbors and

just wanted to work with any neighbors that have a

44

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 45 2 stake in this to complete the project safely and in harmony with the rest of the buildings on the block. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just a 5 couple of questions. Sticking to the topic of outreach, did you submit evidence of outreach to the 6 7 CPC as requests by the Borough President's Office? JASON FRIEDMAN: Yes. So, originally we 8 had already reached out to the synagogue, and then 9 after hearing from the Community Board that they 10 would like to have seen more outreach, we posted 11 12 flyers around the blocks in the surrounding area, and 13 we reached out to the neighbors in the letter, and we've had some correspondence with our neighbors who 14 15 we'd love to just work with on anything, and we also 16 I think I just want to make sure. So, the synagogue-17 18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [interposing] Yeah, yeah, I know. You said that before. I'm saying did 19 20 you submit that--JASON FRIEDMAN: The evidence? 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yes. 23 JASON FRIEDMAN: Yes we did and yes.

24

1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

0.4

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. Where will the AC Units on the roof be located and will they—will they be visible from the street?

JASON FRIEDMAN: Okay, so nothing, in fact, will be visible from the street from any point of public way on top of the building especially over the primary façade, even over the-the westerly façade we-we will not be seeing any of the penthouse including the mechanical equipment and, you know, as we get up to that point, if any mechanical equipment required adjustment to keep it both satisfactory to our neighbors at 55 White Street where you could see our building will creep up, that's the building closer to-to us on the screen and if-as long as they won't be visible from the street, we would be happy to adjust our units, and we have no plans for any generators or large water towers, just your standard split system HVAC units that you kind of see scattered around those roofs.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And being that this is a significant renovation to a very old building, there are concerns about contaminants in—in the air particularly that comes from the removal of paint that may have asbestos. How do you plan to address

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 this concern and mitigate this impact during
3 construction?

JASON FRIEDMAN: So, we have received certificates that the building is free of asbestos, and as part of the Department of Buildings process, our permits will reflect that. We currently have scaffolding in front of the building with tarp around it, and we have actually nearly completed the process of installing all of the new windows. So, the building is slowly getting air-tight, and we're doing that under a as-of-right renovation of the-of the 5story building at Department of Buildings and Landmarks, and as we get—hopefully get this approval and go-go through the existing roof of the building, we will take every single precaution for keeping the debris on our site and air safe and follow all rules and Department of Buildings rules, DEP rules, whatever needs to be followed in terms of keeping our neighbors safe and our site safe.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you very much. Thank you for your testimony today, and are there any other members of who—I'm sorry. No, you're—you're good. Thank you. My fault. We have David Gray, Shannon Kay and Shelly Gerbin. Please

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

just state your name and push the button to make sure
that the microphone is on.

SHANNON KAY: Shannon Kay.

DAVID GRAY: David Gray.

SALLY GERBIN: Sally Gerbin.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may begin.

SALLY GERBIN: Okay. My name is Sally I'm a resident of 55 Watt Street. I have owned an apartment there since 2002. We're the building with the roof garden on the top adjacent to the building that's being questioned-discussed today for a permit. I must say we probably mixed issues in dealing with our-with our Cauley (sp?) our neighbors who are reconstructing that building. Some has been very positive. Some has not be as positive, but what we are concerned about at this point in our-in what we've just recently learned is about the 2-story addition on the top of the building. We didn't know about it before. The residents-none of the residents that I've spoke to knew about this before. We haven't seen any signs posted other than the permits that are posted on the outside of the construction site. If there was communication to the building to anybody in the building, our building management

was discussed earlier an issues with outreach with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

anything further than what we have will be a problem,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

and in addition to what she said, there is plenty of room where the synagogue is to put the HVAC units on that side. So to have them matched up to the 11 to 12 HVAC units that we have will create noise, dust and additional material that could possibly go onto

7 our rooftop with the children there that should also

8 be taken into consideration.

DAVID GRAY: Hi. This is David Gray. I don't have a lot to add. I think they covered everything, but one thing I'll—I'll note I—I recently attended the Landmark Committee meeting, and I was very surprised to hear about this 2-story addition because at that meeting there was a development at 131 or 135 Dwayne that was—that was turned down because of the height issue. So, I was just surprised to see that, you know, this was able to get approved and, you know, we just have—we have issues and the concerns about the—the height that they are trying to put on this build—this building.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you very much for your testimony today. Thank you for coming.

DAVID GRAY: Thank you.

SHANNON KAY: Thank you.

24

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other
3 members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing
4 none, I now close the public hearing on this
5 application, and it will be laid over. [background

Our next or was it?

7 LEGAL COUNSEL: Yep.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

commentsl

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yep. Our next public hearing is on LU 323, the 59 Greenwich Avenue Special Permit Application and for property in Speaker Johnson's district in Manhattan. The applicant is seeking a special permit pursuant to Section 74-711 of the Zoning Resolution to modify use regulations in order to provide Use Group 6 uses on the second floor for the existing building and to modify the bulk regulations to reduce the minimum distance between legally required windows and lot lines to reflect the as-built condition. Approval of this Special Permit would facilitate the reconstruction and enlargement of this building, which is within the Greenwich Village Historic District. As part of the Special Permit, the applicant will renovate and restore the building in line with its original appearance and enter into a continuing maintenance program for the building. I now open the public hearing on this

2.2

state your names into the microphone making sure the red light is on. Do you each swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer all questions truthfully?

JANE GALLANT: I do. Jane Gallant.

BRENDA LEVIN: I do. Brenda Levin.

TIM CAMPBELL: I do. Tim Campbell. [

BRENDA LEVIN: [pause/testimony no recorded] a retail, oh, thank you. A retail boutique on the ground floor for his products and he has applied for this Special Permit that is the subject of this Special Permit Application to allow a three-chair small hair salon on the second floor. There would be residential units on the third and fourth floor, on each on the third and fourth floor that he would use with his staff when he is in the city. It would be the old fashioned living above the salon. The second story salon requires a use modification

because in the C2-6 zoning district in a building

2 that is occupied by residential uses, there can be

3 only one story of commercial use. The project also

4 requires the bulk modification to allow six

5 residential windows in the rear wall of the building

6 to remain in the position [bell] they have been in

7 for the last 172 years. Community Board 2 voted

8 unanimously in favor. [pause]

1

9

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You can continue.

BRENDA LEVIN: Oh, you're telling me to

11 | keep going, not to stop. Okay. [laughs] Community

12 | Board 2 voted unanimously in favor of the Special

13 Permit on condition that there be no eating and

14 drinking establishments on the second floor. Our

15 | client had no objection to that and, in fact, offered

16 | it when the subject came up. Manhattan Borough

17 | President echoed the Community Board's recommendation

18 | unanimously in favor of it on condition that there be

19 | no eating and drinking on the second floor. The City

20 | Planning Commission unanimously approved the Special

21 Permit, but with no conditions of the use of the

22 | second floor, and I understand that that's why we're

23 | here today. I would just like to note that if the

74-711 Special Permit is granted, the building would

be restored to the highest in preservation standards,

the button and state your name, and you can begin.

2 FREDERIKA SEGAL: Frederika Segal. My name is Frederika Segal and I represent Community 3 Board 2. I'm the Co-Chair of our Land Use Committee. 4 On October 10th, the Applicants for 59 Greenwich 5 Avenue came before us for a Special Permit to allow a 6 7 Use Group 6 on the second floor of an existing mixeduse building. As they said, as part of their 8 presentation the applicants volunteered to exclude 9 eating and drinking uses from the second floor of the 10 premises in perpetuity. Their attorney announced-11 12 introduced as a precedence that this restriction an application at 19 East 72nd Street that City Planning 13 approved in 2017 for another 74711 Use Modification. 14 15 In this case, the applicant's attorneys explained to 16 us the restriction against eating and drinking on the second floor was stated in the CPC Report and was 17 18 also incorporated into the restrictive declaration. With this offer and precedent in hand, CBT voted 42 19 20 to nothing at its October full board meeting to recommend approval of this application provided that 21 2.2 an exclusion for eating and drinking be handled in 23 the same way. Recently the applicants offered to write a letter confirming that there will be no 24 eating and drinking on the second floor, but as you 25

2.2

well know, such a letter is not even binding on the current applicant let alone on future owners. The applicant offered no eating and drinking on the second floor. The community board accepted the offer, and the Borough President has endorsed that position. Based on the unanimity of these three parties, and the proximity to—of the windows to the lot line, and the precedent at 19 East 72nd Street, we ask you to allow the stipulation to be written into the restrictive declaration. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony today. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. We will now go to our last—our last hearing, which is on LU 321, the 100-103rd North Conduit Avenue Rezoning for property in Council Member Ulrich's district in Queens. The proposed rezoning to establish a C2-2 within an existing R3X district would facilitate the development of a new use—new—of a new use group 16 automotive service station subject to future BSA approval on the southern portion of the development site. The site would also include a one—story 3,990

ago about gas stations in New York City and we're-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we're bringing a new gas station on a site that is a good site. It's a 35,000 square foot site, which is what I was alluding to before, which is what modern day gas stations are all about. We've all driven up and down on all of the different roadways all around the state, in the city as you summed it up and outside the city especially the trend in gas stations these days is within the automotive with a convenience store in the front as opposed to service space and that-that's what-exactly what this site is. We're located here in Council Member Ulrich's district in Ozone Park. As you know, the North Conduit, which is really better known as the service road to the Belt Parkway. We are right next to Aqueduct and the Casino, and we're immediately adjacent to the A-Train. We are located within an R3X zoning district, and we're asking you permission to add a C2-2 overlay to the R3X zoning district. By approving the C2-2 overlay, you will allow for the development of the automotive service station, which is still not as-of-right, but requires a companion application under a special permit pursuant to Section 73-211 of the Zoning Resolution for an automotive service station. So, this will facilitate

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that application. It's-it's interesting to note that this site has had a gas station on it since the 1950s, and if you drove pas the site today, you can't see any aerial. You'll see really the ruins of that 1950s gas station still there. So, the site has an automotive service station history. The Councilman-Councilman Ulrich has been actively involved in this application since the beginning along with Community Board 10. We've been meeting with them and the Land Use Committee since we first started the-came up with the idea, and we've been working very closely with That's how we resulted I believe in a unanimous him. approval from community-from the Community Board, and resounding support from the Councilman. So, this application will take this site, as I mentioned a moment ago, which is a former automotive service station, and bring it back to current glory. I'm flipping through right now on the TV screen just to get to a site plan for it so we show you what it will look like, and you should have a sight plan in your package there, but we could see the location there, the pumps in front of the station as well as the curb cut on the far right, and curb cut to the left. Thethe subway station is to the right of the site.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

part of discussions with the Community Board, it was agreed to that the site could be made available to people who are picking people up at the subway station, but right now people are waiting in cars on the Belt Parkway service road. The Belt Parkway service road right there is heavily congested, very, very heavily trafficked especially during the rush hour, and people waiting for people at the subway station to get off the A-Train in cars, which is causing a backup on the roadway. So, one of the ideas we came up with the Community Board is to use this site to allow for people to also park on our site while they are waiting pickup. Another issue that came up at the Community Board level, as you should be aware of, of course you are more familiar than anybody in the city, which is the proliferation of hotels, and-and not everybody would like to see a hotel within their community although some people would, but in this particular community they don't are not being fans, they're not fans of hotels. C-2 would allow for a hotel to be developed. have voluntarily agreed to enter into a restrictive declaration with Community Board 10 as an interested party-[sneezing] God bless you-with them named as an

because gas stations sometimes are not well

2.2

maintained, and sometimes they could be considered to

be a blight on the community, and that was also part

of the reason Community Board 10 is willing to

support this application because of who the operator

is. So, that's essentially our application in

essence. I'd be happy to answer any questions and

Andrew is at Stonefield Engineering and they did the traffic report and all the traffic consulting on this and they'd be happy to speak also.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, just a couple of quick questions. So will there be a turn lane added to North Conduit to control the traffic.

lane on—on North Conduit at all. It's a—there's no room for a turn lane, but the idea and what I was trying to call out before is that the—the side of the North Conduit where it's closest to our property, you know the south side of the North Conduit is to—has been right now if you drive by it during rush hour, you'll see family members and friends picking people up at the subway and stopping on the roadway. So, we're hoping by providing a nice spot for them to pull off where they get a cup of coffee, it wouldn't be bad for the operator either, that we can serve two

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

goals and reduce traffic all at the same time
providing service.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And will all construction materials be staged on site?

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, and I don't know if you mentioned this before, but have you met with the -the BSA to review the proposal?

mention that. We have met with the BSA on multiple occasions. There's actually a pending application that's in front of them right now that's scheduled for a public hearing on February 12th. I believe it's February 12th. I may be wrong with my date and I don't mean to misspeak on this. I took an oath. I'm going off memory, but it is in the middle of February and that application was sitting on the sidelines at the BSA until such time that this application was certified, and once this applicant has certified the BSA allow for that application to move ahead with the understanding that it—it cannot move for any potential decision until you act first.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 66
2	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you very much.
3	Thank you for your testimony today.
4	ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you for your time.
5	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other
6	members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing
7	none, I now close the public hearing on this
8	application and it will be laid over. This concludes
9	today meeting, and I would like to thank the members
10	of the public, my colleagues and, of course, always
11	the great counsel and Land Use staff for their great
12	work, and with-this meeting is now adjourned. [gavel]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 29, 2019