CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY
----- X

JANUARY 17, 2019 Star: 1:17 P.M. Recess: 3:24 P.M.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: FERNANDO CABRERA

Chairperson

PETER A. KOO Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Ben Kallos

Alan N. Maisel Bill Perkins Keith Powers

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Kalman Yeger Robert F. Holden Brad A. Lander Eric A. Ulrich

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joe Morrisroe, Executive Director, New York City 311

Samir Saini, Commissioner, Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications, DOITT

Dominic Berg, Acting Deputy Commissioner for Business-Business Solutions Delivery, Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications, DOITT

Rajan Mamoot, Director Health Services, Salvation Council for Social Services, SACSS

Louie Sawi, Policy Coordinator Coalition for Asian-American Children and Families, CACF

Jujan (sp?) Kim, Project Coordinator, Korean Community Services

[sound check]

3 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Good afternoon. I'm Corey Johnson Speaker of the New York City Council 4 5 and acting Public Advocate for the City of New York. 6 I want to welcome you all to this first of two 7 oversight hearings on 311 the city's non-emergency 8 hotline and notification service. I want to thank Governmental Operations Chair Fernando Cabrera and 10 Technology Committee Chair Peter Koo for their 11 leadership today in calling this joint hearing and 12 their commitment to ensuring that the people of New 13 York City have easy and ready to access to their 14 government through 311 and we are also joined today 15 by Council Member Kalman Yeger from Brooklyn and Council Member Eric Ulrich from Queens. As laid out 16 17 in the city's charter, the Public Advocate is the 18 ombudsman for the city of New York, and has the 19 responsibility to "monitor the operation, service 20 complaint programs of city agencies and make 21 proposals to improve such programs." This role of 22 the Public Advocate is complementary in many ways to 23 the oversight authority—authority of the City 24 Council. So, I'm here today in both of my roles for 25 the discussion about how 311 system is functioning

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY and how it can get even better. In a couple of weeks, we will have a second hearing agency response to 311 service complaints, what agencies do once they get a 311 request. So, I would ask that we focus on how the 311 system is functioning today, and wait to engage on agency response times at the next hearing that we're going to have. After it launched in 2003, our 311 system quickly became the largest nonemergency call center in the nation. We consolidated more than 40 different city hotlines down to two 911 for emergency services and 311 for all numbers: other service requests. Since the 2003 Northeast Blackout, 311 has been a constant companion to New Yorkers in times of borough wide and citywide crisis. It is through service requests that the city is able to quickly analyze data on problem areas in the city and we hope respond in a timely and appropriate manner and I guess that's going to be the big question for the next hearing. Our 311 system fielded over 42 million contacts in 2018. That is the most contacts of any city in the United States by far. Other big cities who have 311 systems don't even come close to that volume. The city of Chicago,

the city of Los Angeles, the city of Washington D.C.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY and the city of San Francisco none of them came close to reaching 4 million calls in each of those cities in 2018. So we are almost 10 times that in the volume that we received. It is an impressive volume of contacts that our system handles, but what is less impressive is how outdated our system is. solutions and technology of 2003 are serving New Yorkers in 2019 and that I believe is unacceptable. Other cities are moving past us and showing us the areas where we can and must improve. For example Chicago, Los Angeles, Washington, D.C. and San Francisco al the cities I mentioned before all allow you to set up a 311 account online where you can track all of your service requests in one place. Only our 311 mobile app lets you do that, and then it only allows you to do requests you submitted through the mobile app, not through telephone or not through the website. I understand that 311 is trying to upgrade our technology to support his right now, but we need to move faster to make that happen. mobile app is also severely limited compare to the other cities that I mentioned. It doesn't offer all of the complaint options that are available online or on the phone so it doesn't match in anyway what

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY people see when they go to the website or they call in 311. For example, if I have a problem with mold, or bed bugs in my building, there is no option to submit that complaint on the mobile app. I can only submit it online or on the phone. It doesn't make any sense, but it's not acceptable in 2019. Perhaps not surprisingly given its limitations our mobile app has very low usage compared to phone calls and online The first way to address this problem I believe is to allow all complaints to be made through the mobile app. It's also very important that we take fully advantage of the Smart Phone platform by allowing photos and videos to be attached to more complaints such as for rodent conditions, blocked driveways, or noise complaints. We need to improve and publicize this app so New Yorkers will actually want to use it. Washington, D.C. lets you live chat with a 311 agent online. So, if you have any questions while submitting your complaint they can be answered on the spot. Our system doesn't allow that, but it should. Finally, it is that we ensure that language is not a barrier for any New Yorker trying to access services or make a complaint. Both the 311 website and the mobile app only seem to accept

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY complaints in English. On the telephone the automated system provides a few options for other languages, but then it reverts back to English after giving you options for other languages and no matter what language you suggest-no matter what language you select, so if I selected Russian or if selected Haitian Creole, or I selected another language that's available for an interpreter, that choice is not shared with the operator. So, you get on the phone with the operator and you chose Russian, and if you're someone who speaks Russian, and you start speaking in Russian, they start saying to you: What language are you speaking even though you just indicated on the dial tone that you are looking for a Russian interpreter or translator. The caller is then forced to ask for a translator again while the operator is guessing what language they are speaking. We've done a few trial runs on this, and sometimes it's been okay. Other times it's-it's not been okay. Last week we had a staff member here at the Council who's Armenian, call up and request Armenian and they were on the phone for 17 minutes just speaking in Armenian over and over and over again and the operator who got them just kept saying:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2 language? What language? What language? That's not the way to run a system like this in the most diverse 3 city in the world, and I don't think I need to 4 5 explain to anyone why that is completely unacceptable 6 for Language Access in our city. We are the most 7 diverse city in the United States, and we are proud to be the home of millions of immigrants. The fact 8 that we're not operating at the top of our game when 9 it comes to language services 311, it's frankly a 10 serious problem. This is New York. End of story. We 11 12 have to do better. We need a cutting edge system for 311 that's responsive, adaptable and able to mobilize 13 14 city resources to resolve an issue quickly. We need 15 to move fast to make the improvements of 311 that our 16 city needs. I look forward to hearing from 311 17 Director Joe Morrisroe and Department of Information 18 Technology and Telecommunications Commissioner Samir Saini--Commissioner, thank you for being here-about 19 20 the ways in which the 311 platform is being improved to meet the changing needs of New Yorkers so we can 21 2.2 remain a national leader in city responsiveness. So, 23 I look forward to hearing your testimony today. 24 Before we go to your testimony I, of course want to

turn it over to my great co-chairs and I also want to

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

10

recognize that we have Deputy Commissioner from DOITT

with us, a friend of mine. Someone I've known a long

time a great guy Dominic Berg. So, thank you for—for

5 being here Dominic. It's too to see you. So, at

6 this point I want to turn it over to our Governmental

7 | Operations Chair, Chair Cabrera.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, Speaker. Good afternoon. I am the Chair of the Mr. Committee on Governmental Operations, Council Member Fernando Cabrera. We are pleased to be joined today by the speaker and acting Public Advocate Corey Johnson and the Committee of Technology Chair and my colleague Council Member Peter Koo. Today, we will be conducting oversight on the customer experience and operations of 311 itself. Although many of us have questions on agency responsiveness to request 311-to request 311 receives, we are asking that those questions be held for the follow-up hearing soon to be scheduled. We are also hearing two pieces of legislation today, one in each committee. The first being heard by the Committee on Governmental Operations is Introduction 188 of 2018 sponsored by Council Member Matteo in relations to procedures to be adopted by the 311 Call Center for responding to

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 11 certain repeat anonymous complaints against the same property. This bill will require a 311 to identify private properties that are subject to repeated unsupported anonymous complaints, which might represent a pattern of harassment and to hold off on referring additional complaints of similar type to agencies for a period of time thereafter. The second is being heard by the Committee of Technology in relation to 311 and Language Access, and will be discussed in further detail by my co-chair and the sponsor. However, as the Committee on Governmental Operations heard at our recent hearing on City Language Access Law 3-Access Law, 311 is vital for making the-the law succeed. If a New Yorker wants to make a complaint about the lack of Language Access services at an agency, they are told to call 311. Ιf when they call 311, they cannot express their complaints to 311 because the process for reaching and interpret—interpreter is too difficult, then our ability to identify Language Access gaps in agency services will disappear as well. I look forward to our-our discussions today on these and other topics of importance related to 311 operations. like to thank the Speaker and Acting Public Advocate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

12

2 Corey Johnson for joining us today, my co-chair,

3 | Council Member Koo for the importance he is placing

4 on getting 311's technologies right, and the sponsor

5 of the legislation being heard today Council Member

6 Matteo and Menchaca. I would also like to thank our

staff who work-whose work made this hearing possible,

8 | Brad Reed, Elizabeth Cronk, Emily Forgione, Zach

9 Harris, Irene Vikofsky (sp?), Patrick Mulvihill and

10 | Sebastian Baki (sp?) as well as my own Legislative

11 Director Clare McLeveighn. I will now turn the

12 microphone over to my Co-Chair to make an opening

13 statement.

1

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you, Chair

Cabrera. Yeah. Good afternoon. I am Council Member

Peter Koo, and I am the chair of the Committee on

Technology. I want to welcome you all to our

hearing. We are pleased to be joined today by the

Speaker and Acting Public Advocate Corey Johnson, and

the Committee on Government Operations Chaired by

Council Member Fernando Cabrera. As mentioned by the

Speaker and Council Member Cabrera, the hearing will

focus on 311 operations and customer service, and how

the system could be improved to better serve New

311 works as an important link between city

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 13 government and the public. New York City consists of immigrants fro mall over the world, which speak many different languages. Ensuring that 311 provides Language Access for all New Yorkers wishing to use these serve should be a priority. The current 311 system is based on old technology and needs to get updated. In 2014, the contract was signed with IBM to replace the old system with a new customer oriented system. As part of the contract, IBM will create a system that will include a story-design for telephone, and mobile applications. Today, we will also discuss how the implementation of the new system will improve customer satisfaction and maintain New York City leadership on 311 customer service technology. The committees will also hear the introduction 1328 of 2019 in relation to the identification of languages spoken by callers to the 311 Customer Service Center sponsored by Council Member Menchaca. This bill will require 311 to implement a protocol for identifying the languages spoken by a telephone call to 311. As we learned in the private hearing on Language Access, the process for connecting callers to interpreters is to too long, too inaccurate and too relying on the called

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 14
2	knowing at least some English. I look forward to
3	hearing from the panels today, and would like to
4	thank the Technology Committee staff Patrick
5	Sebastian, and Ivy for putting together this hearing
6	I would also like to recognize the Technology
7	Committee members, Council Member Ulrich, Yeger and
8	also members of the Committee on Government
9	Operations, Council Member Powers. Thank you and I
10	look forward to hearing testimony on 311 and the
11	bills today.
12	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, Chair Koo.
13	Before we go to your testimony, we're going to have
14	the Committee Counsel swear the three of you in
15	today.
16	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right
17	hand. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the
18	whole truth and nothing but the truth in your
19	testimony before these committees, and to respond
20	honestly to Council Member questions?
21	JOE MORRISROE: I do.
22	LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Great. You may begin
24	in whatever order you'd like. You just identify

yourself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOE MORRISROE: Good afternoon, Speaker Johnson, Chairman Cabrera, Chairman Koo and members of the City Council Committees of Governmental Operations and Technology. My name is Joe Morrisroe. I am the Executive Director of New York City 311. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on 311 customer experience and operation. With me today are Samir Saini, Commissioner of the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications known as DOITT and Dominic Berg, DOITT's Acting Deputy Commissioner for Business-Business Solutions Delivery. I'm honored to serve as the Executive Director of 311 since 2008 and to represent the women and men of the 311 team. Since 2010, 311 reports directly to the Mayor's Office of Operations, an alignment that underscores the importance of the operation and service to the city. Prior to that, 311 reported to DOITT. DOITT continues to provide technology services and general services administration and support for the 311 organization, and works collaboratively-collaboratively with 311 and the Mayor's Office on the continual evolution and enhancements to the service delivery and customer experience of 311. As Executive Director I oversee

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 16 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY all aspects of the 311 from the operation of the most familiar component the Call Center to the creation and implementation of multiple customer facing channels performance results and quality control measures, interactions with city agencies, compliance with regulatory requirements, data collection and most importantly serving our customs, the millions of residents, thousands of businesses and numerous visitors and commuters to New York City. process relies on systems supported by DOITT and partnerships with city agencies to ensure our customer has access to information assistance and services through a variety of channels including the Call Center 311 online, text, mobile and social media. To understand 311 operation and customer experience, it is helpful to understand the flow of 311 service delivery form customer inquiries and requests to the answers provided and the actions taken as well as the confirmation provided. With few exceptions, public interactions with 311 result in one of the following outcomes: One is a service request, a situation where the city needs to do something. Second is an information request. For example, when is my recycling pickup day? And the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 17 third may be a referral to an outside entity such as the MTA or New York State. Since 311 launched in March 2003, it has received over 275 million calls, an additional 89 million customer contacts in our digital channels. Originally launched as a call center, New York City 311 has evolved into the most comprehensive municipal government service platform in the nation. Available 24/7 in 180 languages and multiple channels, 311 received 44 million customer contacts in 2018. On an average day 311 interacts with over 120,000 customers and for an average month, 311 receive 1.7 million calls and 1.7 million online visits to the companion 311 online website, 172,000 mobile app touches, 19,000 text messages, 23,000 online chats and serves 1,800 customers on social media in addition to publishing city programs, information and services to over 500,000 of our social media followers. For further context on the annual-sorry, for further the context on an annual basis New York City 311 receives more calls than all other U.S. city 311s combined. The 311 mission is aligned with the Administration's goals and vision on equity and most notably focuses on providing the public with equitable service deliver through quick

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 18 and easy access to all New York City government services and information while maintaining the highest possible level of customer service. The 311 team is focused on meeting our customers where they are by providing an array of channel options to contact the city ranging from robust self-service solutions to outstanding customer service delivered by professional, polite and well trained representatives. Over the last eight years an annual customer satisfaction survey is conducted by the CFI 311 ranked equal to or better in delivering customer service than the best contact centers in the private sector, and also far surpasses the best in the government sector. In 2018, 311's aggregate Net Promoter Score, NPS, a leading metric for gauging customer satisfaction across all industries in the U.S. exceeded the scores of Apple and Jet Blue. outstanding performance reflects the dedication and commitment of the women and men who work at 311 and proudly serve their fellow New Yorkers. It is for these reasons that New York City 311 is the recognized model for service delivery and performance reporting for governments across the nation and

around the world who studied the New York City 311

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 19
2	model when considering launching their customer
3	service platforms. Customer Experience. The success
4	of New York City's 311 customer service platform over
5	the years is tide to the ability to evolve and expand
6	the-to meet ever changing needs. To accomplish this,
7	the 311 organization collaborates with numerous
8	groups to constantly evaluate the current state,
9	receive and respond to feedback that drives
10	improvement and to partner and to-to partner to
11	design and create new initiatives that better serve
12	the city and our customers. There are many partners
13	involved with the ongoing tuning and enhancing of the
14	311 platform. A notable list includes the following:
15	The Mayor's Office of Operations for
16	Strategic Direction and Policy.
17	DOITT on technology initiatives and
18	production support.
19	City Agencies for programmatic and
20	procedural information.
21	Elected officials and community boards
22	for feedback and insight.
23	Open government and open data advocates

who provide fresh ideas and perspective.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We also gain insights from the frontline team at 311. These are the people who understand interactions between New York City and its constituents very well because they do it on a daily basis. Above all, we listen to the feedback of customers who contact us every day. The caring that goes into providing both the customer service agents and the public with the right information is the same level of attention and detail to make sure the data intake and collection throughout the 311 process is accurate and complete. A few examples of how we have enhanced customer experience based on this critical feedback: Adding a bike lane as a new category of illegal parking. Enhancing our content to include the NYPD's D Unit, and adding taxi complaint to the mobile app. The new 311 System Platform. As I'm sure the Council is aware, DOITT is leading and effort to deliver a new Customer Relationship Management, CRM platform to replace 311's 16-year-old system. The main purpose of this project is to completely replace the back-end technology. Although many of these changes will not be visible to the public, a new offering will be Customer Account Management functionality. A customer will be able to

2.1

build and maintain their own account in the CRM so
they can effective manage their relationship with the

4 city as they choose.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Data and Performance. Another important aspect of 311 is providing data and performance results that focus on ensuring transparency in city government by making information that might be helpful and relevant to the public accessible and understandable where permitted by law. This effort includes organizing the 311 resources and organization structure to ensure proper collective and cat-sorry. Proper collection and cataloging of information received as well as the data input to the system and DOITT's work in building and maintaining the technology and tools that make the data available. Whether and interaction is performed with the assistance of 311 representative or the customer self-serves by a 311 online or the 311 mobile app, the same data elements are captured and fed to the business intelligence platform. This is a critical and deliberate consideration that ensure consistency in data fields and lists of values and standardization in structure and formatting necessary for users to access and utilize these data sets and

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2.2 reports. 311 works with city agencies to ensure the most up-to-date information about city services and resources is available and disseminated across the various custom channels. The agency information presented to the customer and the representative follows a plain language standard to promote understanding and clarity. The information captured by the system and fed to the agencies and the centralized business intelligence tool is formatted in standards that allow cataloging, compilation and publication. The 311 content management team structures the information for every city service over 5,000 unique pieces of information in a way that makes it unique and accessible to use it in the Call Center or with the mobile app and by the Business Intelligent System. The careful design and regular curating of the content ensures that the information provided to the public is correct and the resultant data is useful, accessible and understandable for our customers. The 311 Quality Assurance Department further ensures the accuracy and credibility of data by inspecting and measuring the intake process with customers and the data entry process performed by

representatives or customers. The quality control

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 23 strep is-is vital to the subsequent use of performance results and data. Consumers of 311 data include members of the public such as residents, business owners and visitors as well as city agencies and elected officials. 311 data is available in a variety of options. These include da suite of offerings known as Citywide Performance Reporting, which is managed by DOITT and available and summarized on the Mayor's Office of Operations website on NYC.gov. A sampling of these offerings shows the scope of data sharing and multiple uses of the source data, and include NYC Open Data, the NYC Open Data Tool managed by DOITT increases the accessibility of public data generated by 311 and various Yellow Cabs agencies as part of an initiative to improve accessibility, accountability and transparency in city government. The catalog supply is access to a repository of government produced machine readable data sets. The data sets do not include personally identifying information. Over the past 365 days there have been 2.8 million page views. The 311 Service request map is a visual representation of the location, frequency and concentration of service requests filed through the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2.4 311 system at street or intersection level as well as by zip code, Community Board and City Council District. Regarding Intro 188 Anonymous Complaints, while 311 understand the intent of the proposed bill we believe that what this bill proposes would have two unintended negative consequences. First, property owners could potentially gain the system and skirt city code and law requirements by calling in false complaints and then receive immunity for three months. Second, it would impact a-it would impact a constituent's right to freely report a quality of life issue without fear of retaliation or harm. Customers at such risk by a change to anonymous reporting criteria are many, but two tangible examples are immigrants and tenants. Additionally, Council recently passed new-new local privacy protection laws that endorse a minimum necessary standard of collection and disclosure of personally identifying information by city agencies, and which underscore the importance of anonymizing such information where appropriate as would certainly be the case for circumstances involving risk of personal harm. Regarding Intro 1328, Language Access, 311 wholeheartedly agrees with the spirit of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2.5 legislation and share the same passion for providing access to city information and services to those whowho English is not their primary language In fact, 311 services over one million calls in 2018 in languages other than English. For context on an annual basis New York City 311 receives more calls in languages other than English than the city of Los Angeles 311 receives total calls for all languages. The proposed law would require 311 to implement a process for identifying non-English speaking customers. 311 has a process in place with our interpretation services vendor to provide an initial interpreter who will identify the spoken language or dialect of a customer. This is a requirement of the Citywide Language Services Contract. The proposed bill would also require that 311 examine every disconnected call and determine the cause of the disconnection. 311 has a robust quality assurance program that reviews calls of all types to ensure the best customer experience for every customer contact. I have spoken to industry leaders in voice recognition and interpretation services, and the consensus was that the technology to support the

bill's requirement is not yet available and not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

2.6

2 expected to be for many years. Finally, on behalf of

3 my colleagues, I thank the Speaker, Chairman Cabrera,

4 Chairman Kook and Committee members for your time and

5 | the opportunity to testify. Before I turn it over

6 | for questions, I would like to personally extend an

7 invitation to all members of the committee to visit

8 311 to see the operations and meet the dedicated city

9 employees on the front lines of Customer Service. I'm

10 | happy to take any questions.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much,

Mr. Morrisroe. Before we go to question from

committee members I want to acknowledge that we've

been joined by Council Member Powers, Council Member

Maisel, Council Member Perkins, Council Member

Holden. Council Member Rodriguez was here, and I

want to turn it over to Council Member Menchaca who

is, as you mentioned sponsoring a bill that's being

heard today, the author of a bill, if he wanted to

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you

Speaker and to the Chairs thank you for your

testimony. Looking forward to discussing that. I

wanted to start about or I want to start with the

just the sheer fact that we're so—all so proud of the

make some opening remarks on the bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

work that we do here in New York City to really ensure that we take care of and protect the rights of our immigrants. Everyone has the dignity to be heard and every-every day we're trying to figure out how that can happen in their preferred language and we know so many of them are here alive and well in our city. In the interest of making that true for other New Yorkers' interaction with the city agencies we-we passed Local Law 30 in 2017 to guarantee Language Access for all New Yorkers making it a requirement for city agencies to provide their services in ten of the city's most commonly spoken languages. However, back in October I held a joint hearing with my colleague Council Member Cabrera, the chair of the Government Ops Committee on this Language Access requirement to review whether the city agencies were really living up to it. I know your testimony kind of started pointed to some of that, but what we discovered was that many service providers on the ground reported clients were not attended to by city agencies with proper Language Access. Yet, the city claimed they had never received any complaints on this issue. And so, since the complaints for the

lack of language of Language Access are supposed to

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2.8 be lodged with 311, we received the city's 311 data to see if--we reviewed it to see if a large number of Language Access complaints were actually filed. However, the data appears to reflect that very few, if any complaints for Language Access had been filed. Investigating further, we discovered that the 311 phone system is set up in such a way that it hinders the access to language assistance in the following (1) When first call 311, an automated system asks you to select between six languages not ten required by Local Law 30 of 2017. If you select one of the six languages, after hearing about alternate side parking in your chosen language, you are connected to an English speaking operator with no idea that you've selected and option other than English. It can be incredibly frustrating and it requires minimum-some minimum English to attempt to communicate that you need and interpreter -- that you mention in your testimony-with a 311 operator. you select 'other' from the menu of languages, and I'm happy that you guys are listening to this because I just want you to see how important what so many New Yorkers are going through right now that once you selected 'other' you're again connected to an English

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 29 speaking operator with no idea that you've selection an option other than English. If the caller remains on the line long enough to speak with a representative Language Line, and I-I'm already frustrated reading this, the live interpretation service it can another five minutes to determine which interpreter matches the language spoken by the caller, if the caller cannot speak in English. Now, you mentioned that you talked to some of the leaders in industry, and I get that there's a challenge here. This is what it looks like to a New Yorker. became clear attempting several language different languages with 311 that perhaps the lack of data on Language Access complaints is not to the perfect language offered by the agencies, but a faulty 311 protocol that is a barrier to language. Hence the In this Intro 1328 would change that by requiring 311 to create a protocol for ensuring that callers easier access to at least the top 10 language if not 20, then requiring 311 to track how many times a call is dropped in the process of determining an individual's preferred language. We have the means to hold the house-the city accountable, and the last thing I want to say before I hand it back to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 30

Speaker is the Mayor and your agencies do not create policy. You are not the policymakers. We are the policymakers. We represent the people. You execute. And do the fact that his design exists out there right now has nothing to do with the fact that we can pass this bill and really force you all in a room to

8 figure this out with the industry and make it happen.

9 That's our job. Thank you. I'm looking forward

10 contacting with you.

2.2

Member Menchaca. So, I'm going to get into the questions right away. I'm going to try to breeze through them so there's plenty of time for the Council Members and committee members that are here today. Number 1, does 311—does the Customer Service Center, Mr. Morrisroe, that you mentioned have enough personnel to handle the volume of phone calls and online inquiries? Do you need more headcount? Do you have enough headcount? Is the exact headcount you have right now sufficient to be responsive in a timely and efficient manner to the over 40 million contacts that come in?

JOE MORRISROE: Yeah, thank you for the question and yes it is.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 31
2	SPEAKER JOHNSON: You have enough?
3	JOE MORRISROE: We-we work with-through
4	the city process to make sure we have the appropriate
5	staff. So, yes.
6	SPEAKER JOHNSON: You don't need any more
7	staff?
8	JOE MORRISROE: I have a request in for
9	in the—in the plan for—in the January Plan for some
10	new needs that's being considered, and that would be
11	helpful, but we handle-we meet all of our service
12	level agreements now with the staff that we have.
13	SPEAKER JOHNSON: In that request you
14	made is it for additional staff?
15	JOE MORRISROE: It is for additional
16	staff. It is headcount specific to the emerging
17	social media channel.
18	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, you do need more
19	staff?
20	JOE MORRISROE: To handle social media
21	yes, Uh-hm.
22	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay. According to the
23	Mayor's Management Report, 311 conducts Customer
24	Satisfaction Surveys. How did you conduct your 2018

2 survey, and how often is this survey—a survey of this

3 type conducted.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOE MORRISROE: Okay, if I can break it into two components. There are two main surveys that are done. The first one is through a contract the vendor CFI Group, Incorporated. They are the industry leader in gauging customer satisfaction. We call it the Customer Satisfaction Index. We do an annual survey with them, which they created. consists of 25 questions and we contact over 750 customers who have called New York City 311 within the prior 48 hours, and they sent and then their satisfaction is then rated through that survey. CFI then produces the report. In my opening statement I mentioned 311 is rated equal to or better than the best in the private sector, and those are the results that come out of that particular report. In addition to that, we have a small group within the organization that is proficient and-and familiar with surveys and we conduct multiple surveys over the course of the year. We group them into quarters and we do a survey either by our phone call, a robo call an online survey, a mobile app survey or a text survey to customers across all of those channels at

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

3.3

least four times a year, and go through a process, which is know a customer satisfaction survey--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Uh-hm.

JOE MORRISROE: It can consist of, you know, generally how you-how would you rate something on a scale of, you know, agree, strongly agree, that type of a survey scale, a Likert Scale as it's called, and then we also add a question at the end, which is know as the Net Promoter Score Survey question, which is essentially how-how satisfied are you with the service? Would you recommend the service to a family member or a friend? That one question at the end that Net Promoter Score Survey is something the industry uses for customer satisfaction whether it be, you know, commercial or private government, non-government and it's very effective at being able to ask a single question and gauging someone's result and satisfaction. That's the one that I mentioned earlier that our results in 2018 in the Net Promoter-Net Promoter Survey score actually passed Apple and Jet Blue who are some of the industry leaders in customer satisfaction. In total, those surveys over the course of 2018 we contacted-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

factor and accuracy rate. So, by sampling over 500,

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

35

2 | in this case 796 I believe the number was they can

3 use that sample basis-I'm sorry. They can us that

4 number as a sample to represent with a degree of

5 confidence that I believe is plus or minus 3

6 percentage points, and a degree of confidence that it

7 is over 95%. So, while the number may be small, we

8 do believe it reflects the customer experience with

9 | calling New York City 311.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Well, I'll just tell you you'll be happy to hear this. Last week or earlier this week we were preparing for this hearing, and we were taking about some of the Language Access issues, which I detailed in my opening statement andand Councilman Menchaca just spoke eloquently about those. Putting those to the side, which are very important, and we'll get to that, when we did get the individual, the operator on the phone with the translator, a translator that was speaking Russian, and translating from the individual here who was asking questions in Russian, the-the person who was speaking English was incredibly thorough. more thorough than I could possibly believe. person speaking Russian on our end kept saying: Okay, thank you for the information, you know, I got

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 36 it, and the-the 311 operator kept coming back and saying actually I have more information. You can go to Best Buy to drop your television off, but it's \$50 or you can go-and he kept coming back and back and back with additional information, which I was annoyed, but impressed by his persistence. So, I though you would like to hear. I don't know what the gentleman's name was, but he did a very good job, and was very, very thorough in communicating that information through the Russian translator and interpreter back to the staff member here who was basically doing the-the call just to check on translation services. I want to just jump to some other questions so I can get to my colleagues. want to again just go back to Language Access. Council Member Menchaca said it, I said it in my opening when a non-English speaker calls 311 and needs an interpreter to make a request, 311 has an automated recording in six languages, as we've said, where a caller can select that language if they want. Yet, if they reach through an operator, the operator's answers are in English, and they don't seem to know what language the caller is speaking in, if it's a non-English language caller. If the caller

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 37 does not know the English word for the language or struggles to communicate it, then the 311 operator has to guess that language. They have a letter here. Oh, Brad, if you can give me that letter. So, we have a letter here that was submitted for the record from Indian Home, which is a wonderful non-profit here in the city. It does fantastic work, and they wrote in their letter they said: Our community members have reported unsatisfactory experiences when attempting to access services in 311 and forgive me if I-if I mispronounce any of these language dialects In Canada Telugu, Gira Hadi (sp?), Sinhala, and Marati just to name a few languages. As such, stating access that's available in 175 to 200 languages is incorrect. In other instances, getting a translator takes too much time, and the community member feels helpless and frustrated. Also getting the proper language translator is another problem. As an example: One of our clients who speaks Telugu was seeking assistance for housing, but did not receive service in his language. Rather he received a translator of Hindi. Unfortunately, he does not speak Hindi, and he could not understand, and the translator would not understand his needs to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

38

2 service provider. Finally, the call was

disconnected. Our client did not receive the service,
and we provide multiple examples that eve South Asian

adults the dialect difficulties they're facing when

6 accessing 311 telephone-telephonic interpretation

7 services. So what would you say to that? I

8 mentioned that in my opening. Councilman Menchaca

9 mentioned it. What would you say on what we need to

10 do to fix that?

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOE MORRISROE: Well, first I would say I can empathize with and appreciate the frustration any customer would have in reaching 311 in any language for any-any subject. I frequently listen to calls. So, I can make sure I am familiar with the process and understand that. We've been working Language Access for a long time to try to make it as effect as we can be, and it can be predating the-the Local Access Law that was previously mentioned, and we work very closely with the two resources that are-a-re most accessible to us, our own staff who listen, evaluate and monitor call on an annual-on a regular basis and also with our vendor-vendor Language Line. Language Line is the largest translation and interpretation services vendor in the country. Ι

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 39 believe they have over 10,000 clients. This is their core business. We really work with them to understand how do we handle the situations that have been described here where a customer may not be able to speak even the name of their language in English,

how do we get them from that phone call to an

interpreter that can help. The process does work.

We have received calls in languages—in many different languages over the course of the year and years. The process that's set up is by design, designed to allow the customer to get through to an agent who in turn can go—who can conference on Language Line. Local Law is required to provide what is known as triage operator, and then an operator on their end who speaks up to five languages, and can understand or

SPEAKER JOHNSON: But I just want to just quickly. I don't want to get too far in this. When we called in and we hit the button for Russian, when the operator picked up, they didn't know that we hit the button for Russian. That is very basic. Why is that the case?

attempt to understand the language the customer is

2.2

speaking.

JOE MORRISROE: In that case, I'm not
familiar-

2.2

rudimentary. It happens every time. You can do it today. You can call 311, you can hit the language that you want, and when the English operator gets on the phone they ask you the language and they don't know that you just hit the button the for the language that you're requesting. It doesn't make any sense.

JOE MORRISROE: I—I don't disagree with you there. It is a limitation of our telephony to our Customer Relationship System how the data is passed. What we do have is when Russian is identified, when we do contact Language Line, there is an automated process where they can select Russian as the language so then it goes right to the Language Line interpreter for Russian.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I—I don't want to paper over this. It—it is sort of unacceptable that today in the most diverse city in the world with hundreds of languages spoken, just on the languages that you accept to push the button on that the operator doesn't know you pushed the button on that language.

I mean that's so basic, it should—there's no way to fix that immediately?

JOE MORRISROE: I will definitely go back and take a look and see if anything we've tried is—if there's anything else beyond what we've looked at and if there is any other possibility on that.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay. Will the new system upgrade allow the 311 operator to know if a caller has selected a non-English language?

JOE MORRISROE: The new system will focus on the back end of 311, the—the application itself. When we have the new system and then subsequently when we upgrade a Telephony system, that merger—that's—that's a connection, if you will, will allow us to do that.

I'm surer is going to ask about the ten designated languages. So, I'm not going to get to that. He mentioned it in his opening. I just want to end with the mobile app. Why does the mobile app have a small universe of possible complaints compared to—that you can submit on compared to online?

JOE MORRISROE: Um, the--

2.2

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have that capability?

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Why does 3 that match?

JOE MORRISROE: The mobile app offers just about two dozen complaints. So, it is less than what' available online and certainly what's less than available in the call center. Some of that limitation is due to the current system, the 16-yearold system in order to be able to build out how to do the intake for some complaints while matching it to a mobile app while meeting the standards that the mobile app has required for Apple and Google are very limited. So, that's one portion of it. The other is it's-it's-it's just a matter of resource on the actual app itself, right. So, right now we have a process the app lists in order with some groupings of the different types of complaint types such as quality of life versus infrastructure, but at some point it would continue to scroll and scroll and scroll if we were allowed to have all of them, and the app doesn't have that current capability. Sorry, we don't have that current capability to put that on the app and then be able to receive that through.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: It's not possible to

4.3

2.2

JOE MORRISROE: It's something we've not pursued because it would allow you to go down and would just list and list and list the number of complaints that are out there, and it would not give the order so customers would be able to scroll through. They would just continue to scroll through X number of—

SPEAKER JOHNSON: interpreter There's no way? There's not any system that handles this?

JOE MORRISROE: Well, I think it's a question of designing the system. I think we looked at it from what's the highest demand and being able to provide that out to customers in the mobile app.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do—but is it your belief that the mobile app should match what's available on a web browser, on a computer, on—on the telephone line?

JOE MORRISROE: In most cases I would say yes, but not in all cases. There can be different things that you can do online that maybe not necessarily something you would do on a mobile app.

Our current limitation there with the mobile app is tied to our current existing system since it serves as the back end.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 44
2	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Are you building a new
3	system for your mobile app?
4	JOE MORRISROE: The new system that I
5	mentioned, and that being able-probably likely talk a
6	little bit more about new CRM system is going to give
7	us more flexibility to then redesign the mobile app.
8	So, we fix-we upgrade the CRM, then we can revisit
9	the mobile app.
10	SPEAKER JOHNSON: When-when will that
11	happen?
12	JOE MORRISROE: Uh-hm.
13	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] When can
14	people expect a new system, a new mobile app that is
15	more flexible?
16	JOE MORRISROE: Uh-hm. I would say that
17	the sequence is the new CRM system first, then the
18	mobile app, and I would defer to my colleague on
19	that.
20	SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] And
21	what's the timeline on that?
22	JOE MORRISROE: I'll defer to my
23	colleague Commissioner Saini for the timeline on the
24	CRM.

2.2

the year.

afternoon. The—from a timeline standpoint we're looking at rolling out the—the new modern platform, the CRM platform and all the components with it by the middle of this year. So, we're 80% complete with the solution as of today. What that means is we've completed the design phase, which is the—the initial phase along with our software Development lifecycle. We've completed the build phase, which is it means all the development and the coding and configuration of—of the solution, and we are now in the middle of the testing phase. So, we're 80% there. We've got 20% left. We're going to go live in the middle of

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Great. Okay, I think that's all the question I have. I would hope Commissioner and Director that as you all start to finish with that 20% given this hearing today, given the feedback you're going to receive from the public, and from folks that have had issues with 311, given feedback that Council Members will have, given the experience we hear from our constituents, and the experience our staff that has used one (sic) that there will be a meaningful way for you to include and

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 46 2 be open to some of the ideas and feedback that we have as you begin migrate over and upgrade into a new 3 4 system beyond the 2003 system. So, can we look 5 forward to that type of partnership in working 6 together? 7 COMMISSIONER SAINI: Yes, we certainly 8 can. I think so. (sic) SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 9 want to turn it over to Chair Cabrera. 10 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, 11 12 Mr. Speaker. I want to follow up with something that the Speaker mentioned in his opening remarks. 13 14 Regarding Washington, D.C. they have video 15 capability. Will the new system have that option? 16 JOE MORRISROE: Video capability? To-17 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] To 18 be able to see yeah. To be able to see the operator, and there's a-there's a reason why I'm asking this 19 20 question. JOE MORRISROE: I'm not sure I can answer 21 2.2 all of that, but I can definitely address the second 23 part you just mentioned that it will not have the ability—the new system will not give us the ability 24

for a customer to use their Smart Phone and see or

2 interact visually with the-with the customer service 3 agent. That's not capability that's being built in

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Is there a particular reason why that was not implemented?

JOE MORRISROE: I don't know if there was a particular reason why it was not. No.

you the reason why I think it makes sense. We all have been discussing here so far dealing with the language access the amount that we have, and—and it's not an easy—it's not an easy situation, but if I go to most of our immigrants they go to a non-profit and they know they're given a card to be able to say hey this is the language that I speak. It will easily—it will make it easier for your operator to quickly be able to direct the caller to an interpreter. Do you see that as an added value?

JOE MORRISROE: I would certainly see
that as an added value from both a customer's
perspective as well as customer service delivery.
Professional have advantage—that would be a benefit
for sure.

2.2

the new CRM.

2.2

COMMISSIONER SAINI: And I just want to comment on that as well.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER SAINI: From a—from a technology perspective knowing what plat—what modern platform we're moving to, I can tell that's a capability that we-we would have. We would have that ability to deploy that feature if we—if we wanted to we'll have that ability. In the current—current platform it will be impossible, but in the new platform yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And the new one how—is—is it—what would it take to go to the next level? Is it funding, and if it is, how much more?

COMMISSIONER SAINI: So—so the—I think

Joe already mentioned that the priority right now is

to—is to migrate off of this legacy 16-year-old

highly customized Siebel on Prem (sic) application,

right to the new—the new platform, which again will

be deployed in the middle of the year. Once that's

done, there's already a que of enhancements, right

and features that we've already received from

numerous sources that we want to quickly roll up our

sleeves and start deploying on an iterative—in an

49

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | iterative way and the routine and routine cycles into

3 production. I think the-the question and the thing

4 that--that Joe and myself and others are working on

5 | right now is how do prioritize the list, which will

6 be an ever-growing list of enhancements that New

7 Yorkers—the Council—the City Council, 311 and others

8 seek, right, to deploy in a way that makes everyone

9 | happy, but also in a way where we can deploy these

10 | things quickly, and, you know, on a continuous basis.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You know, I would think not only for 311 and I don't know if you have relationships with the people at PSAC and dealing with 9/11. Imagine an 9/11 call you're able to see that person, and their condition. Alright, they're able to speak but you see their condition and you're able to more quickly assess the situation, and I would think that this will be a priority for 311 and appreciate that what you just mentioned right now was a priority. For us in the Council this is a priority for people to be able to have access to the language. I have to tell you I just called about a situation. I won't mention what the situation was because I'm going to leave it for the next hearing, but it was a

bit frustrating from my experience. I have not

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 50 called 311 in a long time, and it—first it says, you know, it takes 40 something seconds before I talked to an operator. I said alright, and it just, you know and then going through all those languages, you know, I just—it—it was—it was a bit frustrating and that at least—so let me just close this loop of questioning. So, can—can we expect after this summer when we have the getting out the new system to make the video option a priority?

JOE MORRISROE: I think as the

2.2

Commissioner said, we have a number of items that would be after we go live after this summer. They would all have to be assessed and understood and—and kind of a combination of prioritization of need, but also ability. Some—some could be done faster than others perhaps.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What would be one point of this? I'm just curious. What would be some of the other items like you have in the pipeline?

JOE MORRISROE: Off the top of my head,

I-I-I don't know. I don't have a list of those items

nor would I be able to do a comparison in real time,

but I can appreciate the request and it's something

that we would consider.

in Council, and I was pretty impressed with DOITT's

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 52
2	ability to handle multiple projects at the same time
3	So, if you're dealing-you know where I'm going with
4	this-if you-can you-don't you have the ability to be
5	able to handle the app plus the video at the same
6	time and/or be able to license, you know, whoever—the
7	vendor that is providing Washing, DC one that—instead
8	of recreating, you know—
9	COMMISSIONER SAINI: [interposing] Sure
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:this one, why not
11	just incorporate that?
12	COMMISSIONER SAINI: Sure, so-so I'll say
13	this, it's absolutely noted to two-way video chat,
14	right, for a 311 session, right will be added to the
15	list, right of enhancements that we have. We'll do
16	our best, right to do what DOITT does best, which is
17	everything at once
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER SAINI:for multiple
20	agencies, and from there, we'll-we'll see where it
21	goes.
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER SAINI: Great.
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Just-just do it.
25	No, I'm just kidding. So, let me go to like a couple

2.2

holding it up?

of quick questions, and then I'm going to pass it onto my co-chair. Council Member Menchaca mentioned that and as anybody who will go on 311 and go through a whole list, right now we have six languages. The law requires ten. Is there a particular reason why we're not up to ten? Is it the system? What—what's

JOE MORRISROE: Well, I think we are covering the ten, the access to 180 languages is designed to be able to reach others like six and more than ten. The six that have been mentioned are what we call the automated system. The upfront it's known as a IVR. It stands for Integrated Voice Response Unit. That was designed based on demand not on adhering to a number of six or ten, et cetera. It's also limited by the number of times, number of prompts you can actually press, but the—the satisfying the ten languages is done by offering up to 180 languages.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: My last question and that is as the Speaker was asking, you go down you're listening to the—to a different language to the options, the automated one. You press that button, and then it goes to an English speaker, but

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 54 2 I'm a little confused, right. If somebody closed my office and pressed 2 and, you know, if you Speak 3 4 Spanish for example and it takes you to a different 5 phone number right? Why not just assign the interpreter who speaks Russian right? Doesn't it go 6 7 directly there? I'm just didn't catch why some-like that's a simple, right, thing to do. 8 JOE MORRISROE: I-I-I agree it sounds like 9 a simple thing to do. Alas, it is it not in our 10 current system. Our Telephony system and our CRM 11 12 system are both aged, as we mentioned a few times. There's a technology interface between the two. 13 14 It's-I don't want to get too deep, but-15 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] 16 Alright. No, I appreciate that. 17 JOE MORRISROE: --CTI. We need upgrades 18 on both ends in order for that data stream, that data information to be able to be passed along with the 19 20 call. That data doesn't get passed today. CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But you will have 21 2.2 data in your new technology right? 23 JOE MORRISROE: The-the new CRM 24 technology gives us one piece of that. We still will

leave it on the toe (sic) for the site.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 55
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What-what is that?
3	The-the?
4	JOE MORRISROE: The new CRM will give us
5	one piece of that technology. There's another piece
6	which is called the telephone system, for lack of a
7	better word.
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.
9	JOE MORRISROE: We need to add it to that
10	as well. We need to add the capability on that.
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And that will come
12	online this summer, too, to be able to have that?
13	JOE MORRISROE: I don't know. That's a
14	different project, and a different timeline.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: That's a different
16	test so that's another one on your list of
17	priorities.
18	JOE MORRISROE: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER SAINI: That will be a
20	priority as well.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Thank you so
22	much. Very informative. With that, I'm going to
23	pass it onto Council Member and my colleague and Co-

Chair Peter Koo.

2 CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you Chair 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you for all coming, and I know Cabrera. Yeah. it's a long day. Yeah. So, one of the goals of the new replacement system for the old system is to have more customer-oriented cost-effective system that offers communications between city staff and customers who are at different channels of communications. So, how does the current contract with IBM address these issues? [background comments]

JOE MORRISROE: I'll-I'll start and then I'll ask my-my colleague and commissioner to-to weigh in. We agree with your-your statement and that it will provide more customer access-

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing] Yeah.

JOE MORRISROE: It will continue. should say provide more-will continue to provide access to the customers which is-is the main part of our mission and our goal. We do have the current system. We've been able to build out channels such as online mobile text, et cetera. They're not all integrated, which makes it challenging. One of the things the new system will do is allow us to have better integration, but I'll defer to my colleague to discuss the IBM contract.

2.2

COMMISSIONER SAINI: That's right. So—so in short the IBM contract is primarily the—leveraging their expertise as a system integrator to transition as from Legal Siebel platform, right, to new Microsoft Dynamics platform. It doesn't include scope of work around new channels or new—new features that we have in the queue that we want to deploy. We'd have to actually—we will be figuring out our resource plan for post—IBM internally how we are going to manage deploying these new features and new channels by ourselves. So, again IBM contract is just to get onto the new platform. All new features. We're going to be handling internally by ourselves or with a new system integrator that can help us so we can move faster.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, with the data from the old system, they transfer it into the new system?

COMMISSIONER SAINI: Absolutely. There will be no data loss. It will be seamless transition from the old to the new.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, we are-we are-we want to acknowledge that we have-we have Council Member Lander and Kallos. Yeah, so thank you. So-so

58

what are the new features that 311 will offer customers.

JOE MORRISROE: The main new feature again we've-we've talked a lot about enhancing the back end and being, you know, an upgrade there, but the main new feature will be the introduction of Customer Account Management. So, today and I think the Speaker mentioned this in his opening as well. You can't manage your relationship with the city by building your own account. This is something that is obviously beneficial to customers. It would also be beneficial to the city to allow customers to do that. So, the new technology gives us that capability. Customers will be able to opt in, be able to build how they want. Do they want to get track of this service? Do they want to keep track of that service? Do they want to keep track of their service request. They can do all of that themselves and as they define it. So, that's a major change for 311, and that's what the new-one of the things the new system will provide.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Well, that's only for online, though, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 59 2 JOE MORRISROE: It would be done online 3 yes. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: But when you call it, they don't-how can you get a service-that 5 information? 6 JOE MORRISROE: So, if you call we have 7 the ability to look up your previous request and your 8 previous complaints, if you will, and get status. 9 So, we always have that capability, but to build your 10 own account, and to keep in mind privacy obviously, 11 12 we are looking at that as something that will be available to the customer online. 13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, would the 15 customers be able to upload pictures or videos to 16 support their complaints? 17 JOE MORRISROE: Yes, in some cases 18 customers can upload pictures and videos today to support their complaints, and we would continue where 19 20 that exists. COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: On the new system, 21 22 right? 23 JOE MORRISROE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: But would there be limitations there if the file is too big and you can upload or what?

JOE MORRISROE: I believe there might be a file limitation size. I'm not exactly—I'm not proficient on that so I don't know if my colleague could assist with them providing some context on that?

COMMISSIONER SAINI: Yeah, I mean I—I

don't believe there would be a technical limit—a

significant technical limitations, right for adding

multi-media features, right into the new platform. I

think the real question will be from a business

perspective and from an agency perspective whether

those agencies are seeking to allow and support

multimedia right, to be uploaded along with the

service request. There won't be a technology issue.

It's really just a business decision.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay. So I call with a request for assistance on integration service. The city plans to use the new system to offer these services through text, chat and social media. So, how does the current contract with IBM address the

implementation of such channels? Can we do a text now, though, right?

JOE MORRISROE: I can answer some of that. So, the contract is to build out the current system. Our ability to then integrate say the text channel already exists to an extent. Our 311 operators receive a text. They access the current system, and they get answers and they text the customer back. That will continue in the new system. They'll still be able access it and provide answers. Further down the line—I don't have details—but further down the line we can even further integrate that through the Telephony system and the CRM system, but what exists today at a minimum will continue tomorrow or continue in the future state.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Alright, so—so-so if customers are going to Dayville (sic) to settle accounts on online to set up accounts online, and what personal information will be required to set up the account?

JOE MORRISROE: Whatever is necessary to set up the account will be in strict compliance with privacy laws, whatever privacy laws exist.

2.1

2.2

Translation or what?

Google-Google Translate is the primary translation

committee on Governmental operations jointly with committee on technology 64 engine that we use online. It's used in the private sector. It's used in the public sector. It's considered one of the best out. To answer your question about how this would get better, the good news about Google Translate is the underlining technology behind it, which leverages artificial intelligence and machine learning and natural language processing. It means—all that means that it

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Yeah.

gets better over time exponentially.

JOE MORRISROE: So, for any deficiencies we see in translations today, I have a high confidence that those things will only get better over time because of the—the—the kind of technology Google is using for their translation engine.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, with the mobile app that has an audible language option allowing the customer to submit complaints in different languages? Right now there's only English, right.

JOE MORRISROE: Yeah, right now it is only in English, and again we're—we're faced with some of the same challenges that we are looking at what tools out there could exist to do translation.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 65 2 So it's something that we recognize the need for, and we have to address as we go forward. 3 CHAIRPERSON KOO: Are you going to do the 4 5 other mobile app in other optimal languages? 6 JOE MORRISROE: I-I think what we would 7 look at is what can we do--8 CHAIRPERSON KOO: [interposing] Yeah. JOE MORRISROE: --in that area once-once 9 10 we-once we're at that stage. CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, my last question 11 12 here before I go to Council Members, according to New York City 311 IBM task an order for post-production 13 14 period. It's only 12 months. What would happen after 15 this period-this period ends? Would the city need to 16 re-enter into a new contract with IBM for additional 17 support? 18 COMMISSIONER SAINI: Yeah, I can—I can answer that question. So, DOITT will be taking over 19 20 production support right for the new platform after IBM finishes, right their work as outlined in the 21 2.2 contract. So, we do not see an extension right of 23 IBM or-or seeking IBM to write production support on 24 an ongoing basis. That will all be done in-house by

DOITT resources. Part of that involves retraining

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 66 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2 our current resources that support this—the current Siebel Platform on the new technologies that we're-3 we're-we're going to be deploying very soon. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, you don't need like 6 any of the contractors' support post-production? COMMISSIONER SAINI: After the-after the-7 after the 12 months--8 CHAIRPERSON KOO: [interposing] Yeah. 9 COMMISSIONER SAINI: --we'll at that 10 point-we'll be in a position to handle support on an 11 12 ongoing basis internally with DOITT resources. CHAIRPERSON KOO: Okay, Council Member 13 14 Kallos, do you want to ask questions 15 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I want to thank 16 our chairs Cabrera and Koo and in particular Council Member Carlos Menchaca and his trust for me. I have 17 18 one question that I have been following up with 311. Jim Morrisroe, I'm-I'm a big fan of yours and what 19 20 your operators do. If I click Homeless Outreach Assistance, it's my most frequent request in my 21 2.2 district, and the person doesn't have an address 23 because they're homeless, it creates a GPS address,

but I can't drag and drop to where they are. Can you

please fix it so that everyone make a 311 request can
just drag and drop onto the map?

answer that. So, the—the feature to drag your finger and drop a pin, right to determine your location verse putting in and address, will be a priority feature that will be deployed in the initial rollout of the new app, which will be after we redeploy the new—the new platform. I totally recognize the—the advantage, right—of that feature.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Okay, thank you.

Council Member Menchaca.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: I want to thank
Council Member Ben Kallos for keeping his word, and
our chairs. It's important. It's really important.
So, I want to say thank you again for—for engaging us
and clearly there's—there's a lot of excitement here
to make this better, and that's really where this is
coming from. The Speaker spoke to some of the pieces
that I was going to ask. So, I'm going to ask a
little bit of a deeper question regarding the ten
designated languages related to our law our access
law, and really thinking about languages that are

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 68 2 supposed to be covered yet out telephone automated recording only offers six languages as options, and I 3 don't know if you answered it before, but I want to 4 5 get you on the record to ask this. Will you be expanding it to cover all ten languages? 6 7 JOE MORRISROE: So, I would ask a 8 clarifying question. You're expanding the automated messaging? I that-9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Yes, as part of our Local Law-Local Access Law. 11 12 JOE MORRISROE: Okay, so as part of the Local Law, the availability of the ten languages is 13 covered under the umbrella of the 1809 languages of 14 15 Language Line. Whether we do or don't have the six 16 languages in the IVR system, every language all—the 17 ten languages and more are available to meet the 18 language access needs. The languages in the IVR system were—are built in sequence based on volume, 19 20 they're designed to be able to help the system move through efficiently. 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Well, and just 23 to get—to get a sense. So, essentially you're saying that it's a six because the volume is at six? 24

25

that—is that what I'm hearing?

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 69
2	JOE MORRISROE: It's at six because
3	initially there were six languages that turned out
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing]
5	Before the law, and now there's ten.
6	JOE MORRISROE: Correct, and then the
7	volume, though, aligns with the six languages.
8	There's significant drop-offs between language
9	number-non-English speaking Language No. 1 and No. 2
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing]
11	Yeah.
12	JOE MORRISROE:and then a significant
13	drop-off between number 4 and number 5
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Right.
15	JOE MORRISROE:and then further no
16	down. The other limitation is actually a practical
17	matter because there's only a certain number of
18	prompts and you can't hit one zero as prompt. There
19	are limits right there.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Got it, got it.
21	Okay. Well, but it doesn't mean that it's not
22	possible, and so what I'm hearing from you just so w
23	can-I want to get to a couple other questions.
24	You're saying there are some—there are some limits t

the technology and how we can kind of get to ten. We

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 70 2 have six. There's a drop-off. I think we've-we've been clear that the drop-off is not necessarily 3 because there is not people speaking X language 4 5 because people are frustrated and never going to call 6 That relationship we just talked about is very 7 important and we loos them almost immediately from their first initial experience. That's how customers 8 They go somewhere else. They're not going to 9 10 come back to 311. So I really do hope that—that—we can work with you on ten, and I'm just not-I'm not 11 12 hearing a no. I just want to get a clarity. Can we get to ten? Is there a plan we can work together? 13 14 JOE MORRISROE: We-I can commit that we 15 can work together. We can look at understanding 16 what-what we can do. I-I will say it may not be capable until-it won't be capable until we've 17 18 upgraded both the CRM system and then look at our 19 Telephony system as well. I mentioned they're 20 separate. COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: 21 2.2 JOE MORRISROE: So, the example of not 23 carrying the fact that someone put in this number.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA:

Yeah, right and that's-that was-that was my next

[interposing]

24

71

2 question, because I want to see if you can explain 3

1

24

that a little bit in-in depth when the non-English

speaker selects a language and hears a message in 4

5 their own language, they are then transferred to

6 English and the Natural Language Interactive Voice

7 the IVR System you just mentioned before they can

reach an operator, but if they have already indicated 8

that they do not speak English, why are they then 9

being sent to that English only system? 10 So, can you-

can you explain that-that piece and that send-off? 11

12 JOE MORRISROE: Yeah, I think it's-the

manner—the way it's currently setup in the sequence 13

14 anyone who either goes through the language option or

15 doesn't choose to go through a language option and

16 just waits, has to get routed back to that same point

before it's passed off to the agent. So, it's a-it's 17

18 an end point whether you speak English or not or a

language other than English, but you land there and 19

20 you get asked the question again. Then you get

transferred or get connected I should say to the 21

2.2 agent who will then be able to service you or bring

23 on Language Line to service you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Got it and-and

so we clearly see that as a problem, and is there—is 25

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 72 there a commitment to kind of look at that flow and 2 just find another flow that prevents that additional 3 4 step? 5 JOE MORRISROE: Yes. I can—I can say that it's something we've looked at over quite a few 6 7 years. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] Okay. So this is not a new problem for you? 9 JOE MORRISROE: Right, it's something 10 we've been trying in the-in the full scope of 11 12 language access and the sequence of how customers go through combined with how much the system, the 13 telephone-I call it Telephony, the telephone system 14 15 can actually process. 311 receives 55,000 calls on 16 an average day basis. If it snows, we can have 250,000 calls. There's a processing element to those 17 18 calls that could for lack of a better description, you know, kind of clog thing. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] Yeah. 21 2.2 JOE MORRISROE: So, a lot of the design 23 is looking at just that. That said, when it comes to language access, I fully appreciate and can empathize 24

with the customer who, you know, doesn't understand

7.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the language that they're hearing, and needs to reach someone. We've talked a lot with Language Line, who I've mentioned a few times and take a lot of what they give us as counsel. Their direction has beenwe've been able to take their direction and their suggestions into this flow that you currently experience. So, while I don't-I recognize it's not satisfactory to some customers, it has been enhanced and it's one of the things that Language Line advises is, you know, have it there. A customer will stay online if you can say please hold or ask for the-ask for the language. It allows a communication to happen even if it's in a language you don't understand while we're trying to get to that triage operator. So, we've been looking at the technical flow of his as well as the process flow of this for several years, and it's a challenge. You know, we have our commitment to try to work and-and improve it wherever we can.

council Member Menchaca: Got it. Okay, well, that's something, and we're going to follow up on that, and I want—I want to spend some time thinking about that with you, but I will remind you of what I said earlier that we—we set the policy for

2.2

your execution, and so this law is really in that—in that vein, a—a—an opportunity for us to set that goal post, and right now the goal post is based out of I don't know what it is, but it's not based out of law, and so the law gets us there, and that's why we're—we're here talking about this. So, can you just please describe the training 311 operators receive in handling calls where the caller needs an interpreter. Give us a sense about that training and are you aware of any existing software that would automatically detect a caller's language? Have you explored adopting any of the software?

JOE MORRISROE: Great. I can address all of that. I'll talk to training both broadly as well as then specifically with—with language. So for a new hire employee, which is through a Civil Service list process, the training consists of initially four weeks of classroom training. We call it experiential training where they're actually working on the system and doing role play in customer service skills rather than using a manual or a binder or something to that effect. It's very immersive over the four—four—week period, and it's really the focus of the agent to be able to handle any call whether it be an English

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 75 call, whether it be a non-English language call. Whether it be something that is transferred to 911 because it's an emergency or whether it's a service request or an information request. So, the training is comprehensive. For language, for English-I'm sorry. For languages other than English there is a little extra training that goes into it. I mentioned before we work with Language Line quite a bit to get some of their best practices. Again, they have 10,000 clients around the world. They-this is their business. They can share a lot of that with us. we focus in on what tips they may have given us, and in turn do that with the agents. So, that's-that's the starting point for training, but my trainers would appreciate me saying this: Training doesn't end after four weeks. It continues. So, we have-we have a Quality Assurance Program and a Customer Experience program, which provides ongoing coaching and developing of all ages. One of the things we can do if a problem occurs either with a new program that maybe we don't have-we're not communicating correctly of if an agent is struggling on a particular type of call or a particular type of condition, we have staff. We have a professional staff that can coach

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

that agent through a variety of means. We have ability to monitor those calls. We also have a customer experience team that will actually document what we call the Customer Journey Map so they can see where the pain points may be in trying to (1) reach 311, but (2) also even understand the information that we're providing. So, we really surround the agent with as many tools and as much support as we can both structured, but also some that aren't structured in order to be able to answer those, to be able to be well trained and be able to serve the public.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: What about the software automatically detecting a caller's language?

JOE MORRISROE: So, we've done a lot of work with a vendor known as Nuance. They're the industry leader in what's known as natural language understanding. We think of it as interactive speech. We have two natural language understanding applications already in our system, one for English speakers, one for Spanish speakers. We asked them the question about language identification software. The-the consensus is that there may be items where you can submit text, and it can be deciphered as to

what language that is. So, when I say text, an image

77

of the text, you know, a card or a picture as—as

Chairman Cabrera had mentioned earlier, but that's

4 Chairman Cabrera had mentioned earlier, but that's

5 not available in real time as far as identifying the

6 language. As far as real time understanding of

7 deciphering what a customer is saying and figuring

8 out what language that is, both nuanced and the

9 aforementioned Language Line have told us that is not

10 something that they currently have or is offering and

11 didn't have a foreseeable future timeframe for it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Got it. Okay,

13 so that's going to be exciting for us to kind of

14 | think about and explore with you and learn and help

15 us understand that, too, and I want to follow up with

16 you on that. The—the bill itself 1328 would require

17 to keep records of calls that get disconnected due a

18 language barrier issue. Do you already keep any

19 records of that kind?

1

2

3

12

25

JOE MORRISROE: Once—if a record is

21 dropped or disconnected it exits our system and

22 | there's no tracking, no processing or tracking of it

23 at that point. At level of performance measurements,

24 performance metrics we know what percent of calls

fall into that category, and we made--

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 78 2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] 3 Into the language issue? 4 JOE MORRISROE: We know it for English 5 speaking customers who use the English speaking 6 option, and for customers who use the previously 7 mentioned Language IVR. We know it for that as well. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Got it. it's kind of-it sound a little blunt. It's like a 9 blunt. It's not giving you kind of texture data. It's 10 just saying okay. So someone who went on this route 11 12 dropped, and we don't know why, but-but that's the data that you have right now? 13 14 JOE MORRISROE: That's the data that we 15 have now. Yes. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay, cool. 17 The bill I think is a little bit different, and-and 18 so that's what the bill would-would-would require. How much does a 311 caller make-a caller-operator 19 20 make? JOE MORRISROE: I don't know the answer 21 2.2 off the top of my head. There is an entry level. 23 It's a Civil Service position. It's known as the--24 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing]

Yes. Can you get that? I'm just kind of curious.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 79 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 2 JOE MORRISROE: Oh, sure. We could—we can-I-I-I can get that. 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. 5 JOE MORRISROE: I wouldn't want to quote it without knowing. I will say the-the 311 agent is 6 7 known as a-is a civil service title. It's call Center Representative. So--8 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] 9 10 Okay, JOE MORRISROE: --but I can get you the-11 12 the salary part. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you so 14 much, Chair for the generous time. [pause] 15 CHAIRPERSON KOO: Chair Cabrera, do you 16 have any questions? No. Okay. Councilman Yeger. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr. 18 Chairman and Mr. Chairman. I'm privileged to serve on both committees with you. I apologize if you 19 20 asked this while I stepped out of the Chamber for a few seconds. Does the caller ID function populate 21 22 any fields for record keeping when somebody calls 23 into 311?

JOE MORRISROE: For the most part, no. W are--

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

dropped?

OUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] So 911 when you call they get your number, they get your name that the number matches to, if it's available, the address, et cetera. A map, you know, and obviously they have more important things to do than the calls that come to 311, but the technology is there, isn't it?

JOE MORRISROE: I understand what you're saying with respect to describing 911. I don't know it personally. For us it's a matter or the amount of data that we process if a call—currently if a call

2.2

drops, while there would be a caller ID associate
with that, we don't have reports that go through and

poll those individual calls.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I wonder just you have—what would be so difficult about—I'm not saying turn it on tomorrow. I know it's not a switch, but how complicated can it possibly be to—a call comes in, a number pops up on the screen. If the call drops, something populates a record and says "call dropped" and move on. Everybody goes on about their day.

JOE MORRISROE: Right. I will—I don't know and I will go back and take a look.

as Council Member Kallos, and he has a lot of technological things he's probably shown you and, you know, you should get him to go over and help you guys because he's good on the tech stuff. But my point is that some of these things that—and I think—I think the Speaker made this point, and I think Council Member Menchaca made this point. Some of the things we're talking about seem to perhaps to novices as kind of easy, and then we say well, you know, our systems can't do that and—and we're sit here and

2.2

to the bank.

listen to okay they can't do that. We don't—we think you're truthful, we know you're truthful. We don't think you're misleading us, but why can they? I mean if I were to call my credit card company and they—they matched my number, they asked me to punch in the last four digits of my Social Security number and by the time I get to a representative without me ever having to put in my account number, they already know who I am, and I've verified myself to them. That's—that's available technology. It doesn't only belong

JOE MORRISROE: No. I agree and that large part of the reason that doesn't exist here is because we don't capture customer information. We don't build customer account information as the example you just used.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right. Maybe we should.

JOE MORRISROE: Well, in the future CRM system that we talked about, the customer will have the ability to build their own account if they so choose to have that known to us when they call as opposed to just going online, we could start to do that.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Why do we want it

3 to be up to the customer and not up to the city of

4 New York?

JOE MORRISROE: In large part just focus on customer privacy and being able to have the customer be able to--

aren't we more concerned with it's a government telephone. It belongs to the government. It belongs to the people. Do we believe that—I mean 911 isn't anonymous. They record the telephone number. Why do we think that, you know, if somebody calls my office and doesn't give their name and number, there's nothing I could for them. Why would we think that we don't want that information to be maintained? What privacy concern are we—I'm not saying take the number and put it in the newspaper, but what privacy concern do we have?

JOE MORRISROE: From my perspective, the privacy concern is being able to allow customers to feel comfortable if they call New York City 311 and not have that information be taken from them or—or to have to provide that information. The idea of being able to remain anonymous has been something from the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 84 start of 311 and if you could 311 and the city well, that people feel comfortable that they don't-that

4 they're not being tracked, if you will.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Well there's a level leniency (sic) that comes with simply not, you know, you—you can—you can tell the operator I want this to be an anonymous call, but not keeping the information that is actually being brought in. I'm not say delve deep and say can you give me your address? Can you give me your date of birth? But I'm saying keeping the information that you're already getting doesn't violate any sort of privacy right in my view of being deliberately set up as an anonymous system unless somebody chooses to opt to not being anonymous I don't think is necessary. It's the government, right?

JOE MORRISROE: Right.

must need (sic) it really bad. I'm just making my point. I have a question about your opposition to Introduction 188, and that property owners could potentially gain the system. It skirts city codes and law requirements by calling in false complaints and then receiving immunity for three months. I think

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 85
2	the point to that sentence is that the property owner
3	would all in complaints on themselves, and then,
4	therefore, kind of have this three-month period that
5	nobody can come and look at them.
6	JOE MORRISROE: That—that is a scenario
7	that could happen, yes.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, I'm going to
9	ask you a question. Have you had any conversations
10	with anybody in the Council about this bill prior to
11	today?
12	JOE MORRISROE: No, I have not.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, the sponsor
14	of the bill? No-no-none of the Council here?
15	JOE MORRISROE: I didn't. No, I know
16	who-
17	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] The
18	bill was introduced here in the Council on-I want to
19	get this date right because I like to speak-Joe, do
20	you have something to tell me?
21	JOE MORRISROE: Yeah, I'm sorry, I-can I
22	mend that
23	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing]
24	Sure, please.

2.2

JOE MORRISROE: I have not had a conversation with anyone. My understanding is the representatives of the city have had conversations with Council Member Matte on this particular subject.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And—and the bill remains the same. The bill was introduced on January $31^{\rm st}$ of 2018, about a year ago. So, you've had conversations with—with Leader Matteo and the bill hasn't changed. So, you're here with your objections today—

JOE MORRISROE: Yeah.

council Member Yeger: --and the objection is in essence that the-well it's what we discussed: Freely report a quality of life issue without fear of retaliation or other harm. I mean I-I don't think, you know, even anonymous complaints are-would classify as—as a harassment case. So, there's no retaliation issue if the complaint is anonymous and even if you were to record the information of who's calling, it's not necessarily available to—to anybody else and, in fact, if you were FOIL'd for that, it would be unwarranted—in my view, if I was the recipient of FOIL request and I worked for you, I would respond and say that that would be an

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 87 unwarranted invasion of person privacy and not subject to the FOIL Law. So, I don't think that your objection in Paragraph 2 is necessarily noteworthy for the sponsor to amend the bill accordingly, and with respect to the first paragraph where you indicated the gaming of the system is a concern of yours, I would just say I'd like to roll the dice here, and see if that really happens. Our concern, as you know, is we just came off a several month debate here in the Council and we just passed the bill last week with regard to the signs, right? we all now what happened there. Some-some fine people decided to make complaints we believe about the signs, and then the Department of Buildings sent out their troopers, and their troopers came pounding through the neighborhood and wrote everybody up. Now, was that smart of the Department of Buildings? No, it was dumb. They should have used their discretion to decide whether or not something was necessary for a violation. But the first fail, of course, was the 311 system not recognizing that wow all of a sudden in Bay Ridge these signs are just like all over. People just hung up signs all over

the place, and you guys don't know that because

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 88 you're not tracking it. I think the notion of some kind of harassment prevention tool-I actually don't think this bill goes far enough. I'd like it to be crime. If somebody calls in a false complaint to 311, I'd like it to be a crime. They should be found. They should be prosecuted because they're abusing the tools that we as taxpayers have created. I'm only in office for a year so I'd like to consider all the taxes I paid prior to last year as having built 311. When Mayor Bloomberg created this. It was a very smart investment in the future of our city, and we created this not so that it can be used to harass people. So when Leader Matteo proposed this bill back in January right at the beginning of this session of the Council, it's not something that he, you know had epiphany he dreamt of. This is a problem that's been going on in neighborhoods all across the city, people using you to harass. They're using your office. They're using our tax dollars. We should be in a position where we're tracking that, where we're preventing it, and where we're doing it in a proactive way to make sure that people's lives aren't being made miserable by the government that they paid for. So, I would encourage you to revisit

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 89 your objections to Intro 188. I think it's a valid bill, and that's why I joined my colleagues in supporting it and I have one other note here, but I don't remember why I wrote it. So, excuse for a second. No, I asked it. Okay, very good. prepare that much in advance with written stuff. So, I just come here and do it on the fly, but I really appreciate your time. I-I recognize, by the way, and I want to say this publicly and with gratitude for the Chairs for giving me time and indulging me. I-I don't want my words to come off as a criticism of 311 to the system it is today. When Mayor Bloomberg created this it was visionary, it was revolutionary. Mayor de Blasio has expanded it, and again in a visionary and revolutionary way. I know Los Angeles he mentioned has it. I didn't know that, but it didn't then. We were the first if I know-if I remember, and we do it the best, and yes we get more calls than Los Angeles, but we're better than them, and so we can handle it, but 311 is-today, it is such an important tool. I was on a community board for 18 years. So, I was there prior to 311 and through its creation and to the point today where our community boards know that it's almost just as easy to go to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

311 with a complaint that the they receive from a neighborhood resident than to go through internal channels, because it's where we get the tracking number, and we can kind of watch it through the system and then we can, you know, cray about it when some city agency, not you, doesn't do its job, which is what always happens. So, again, I-I do want to thank you very much for the efforts, but I would encourage you to-to-to take our desires to improve 311 to heart and to use us to help you, and not to be reflectively in opposition to wise ideas that come from this body like so many other sister agencies do. Not everything that comes out of this Council is dumb. Some things make sense and I would encourage you to join with us, and try to make it a little better for the people. And with that, I'm grateful again to the chairs. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you, yeah. Chair Cabrera.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much to the Chair and thank you so much Council Member Yeger. You always ask informing questions in this committee. I just had two more questions and one is regarding your app. We can't seem to find the option

```
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH
 1
    COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY
                                                       91
 2
    for any other language. I that because there is not
    or, you're not looking?
 3
                JOE MORRISROE: That it--it is only
 4
    available in English at this point.
 5
 6
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you have plans
 7
    to provide it in other languages?
                JOE MORRISROE: I think one of the things
 8
    if I can reference what the Commissioner mentioned
 9
    earlier. It's kind of a sequence again, right. We
10
    need the new CRM system. We need to then upgrade the
11
12
    Mobile App itself, and then we need to look at what
    options exist to be able to do enhancements including
13
14
    potential for language.
15
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Are you going to
16
    have to hire more developers?
17
                JOE MORRISROE: I don't know.
18
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [laughs] Is that
    what we need or what we know to--
19
20
                JOE MORRISROE: [interposing] Probably
21
    not.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --work in this
23
           I mean this is not—this is just translating
24
    and it—and—and we have one option a drop option
25
    right. Is that--?
```

2.2

COMMISSIONER SAINI: So-so without getting into the—the—the technical aspect of how to enable multi-language on at—on native amp, I can tell you the—the capability to do so will be a priority and—and as we talked about earlier—

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Okay.

COMMISSIONER SAINI: When we deployed that—that app into—that new 311 app into production. Recognizing multi-languages is our priority for—for this—for the same.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I appreciate that, and my last question. As a matter of fact, let me read this statement first in the most recent MMR. 311 responded—reported that the average wait time during Fiscal 2018 was 28 seconds, an increase of 10 seconds from Fiscal 2017, although this number is below the 30-second wait time mark, it is the highest increase in wait time since Fiscal 2014. Can you give us an explanation for the increase, the new variables that came into being?

JOE MORRISROE: Yeah, sure. I'm happy to.

Appreciate you noting it is within the standard, the objective of—of being under 30 seconds. The companion measurements to that is the service level.

93

2 It's also listed in the MMR, which is 80% of calls

1

24

25

3 being answered within 30 seconds. So, it's a key

4 metric for us on how we managed the call center to

5 manage customer access. The noted increase is in

6 part due to the time period last January. If you may

7 recall it was quite different than this January in

8 terms of temperature. The first part of the season

9 was incredibly cold and a significant increase in

10 | heat and hot water complaints over the course of that

11 | time that has then caused more people to call during

12 | that particular period. The other thing is that

13 during the course of last year, we started to take on

14 | appointment requests for both item collection.

15 | Previously, you could put your bulk item out. Now

16 you go through a process that you make a request for

17 | that. You make a-an appointment. So that added

18 | some, you know demand onto our-onto our capacity.

19 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, did-were you

20 able-did you have to hire more people to compensate I

21 | mean in light of the fact-I mean we're getting ready

22 \parallel to go through our vortex. What do they call those?

23 | Are called vortex, cold weather vortex for next

month. So, it seems to me that we're going to be

having this-I mean what do you do during this time?

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 94 2 So, I mean do you have temporary help or how does 3 that work? 4 JOE MORRISROE: Yeah, our-our main 5 approach in that we do know it happens. To some 6 extent it's extreme, as I mentioned last year, but we 7 have a seasonal staffing model. So, we're able to use the existing resources we have, and sometimes we 8 pivot them. In the winter months we tend to have more 9 staff on earlier in the day. In the summer months we 10 have thunderstorms in the afternoon and resulting 11 12 issues we have more staff in the afternoon. we're able to modulate in order to meet those 13 14 objectives that are in the MMR. 15 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What's the waiting 16 time after 12:00 p.m.? 17 JOE MORRISROE: After 12:00 p.m.? 18 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes. JOE MORRISROE: The waiting time is on 19 20 average always under 30 seconds. CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But I mean like 21 2.2 what's the average? Do you have that number? If you 23 don't-if you could sent it to us. 24 JOE MORRISROE: [interposing] I-yeah,

we-we-we do-we could look at data by what we call

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 95 2 arrival pattern, but-but in general whether you call in the morning, the evening or overnight, that—the 3 4 objective is the same. It's under 30 seconds. 5 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Who came up with the 30-second mark? I mean what makes 30 seconds 6 7 like it's set to go wrong versus 29 seconds, 20 seconds 40 seconds? 8 JOE MORRISROE: People probably cringe 9 10 when I start to answer this because I could spend hours telling you about the history of the Call 11 12 Center industry that I was proudly a part of. 13 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. 14 JOE MORRISROE: But it's an industry 15 wide measurement that looks at both either service or 16 sales. Service-Sales is a little bit more aggressive, and service is considered—but it's really 17 18 said what's the right tolerance level for a customer to be comfortable and not even realize that they're 19 20 waiting. CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, you guys have 21 2.2 got it pretty easy compared to Council Members. 23 There's-I won't mention the newspaper, but it's a 24 newspaper that part of the way they-they judge us is

if we don't pick up the call within two rings, then

```
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH
 1
    COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY
                                                       96
    we get a demerit [laughs] and like that criteria
 2
             So, you know, 30 seconds. So this is
 3
    nationwide. It doesn't matter. This is business
 4
 5
    related, business world, private--
 6
                JOE MORRISROE: [interposing] Yeah, the-
 7
    the--
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] -
 8
    companies? So, that's the standard.
 9
                JOE MORRISROE: The standard for the
10
    private sector is generally what we call 80/30, 80%
11
12
    of calls answered in 30 seconds with the average wait
    time of 30 seconds or less. For government, my
13
14
     colleagues and other 311s there's no one with a more
15
    aggressive wait time or with more aggressive
16
    measurements than we do, and most actually have a lot
     longer time. They-they manage to a longer wait time.
17
18
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you know what it
    is in L.A.?
19
20
                JOE MORRISROE: L.A. is 90-I'm sorry, 80
     seconds and 80% of the calls in 60 seconds.
21
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And that's why I
23
    moved from L.A. to Yellow Cabs, come on. [laughter]
    I used to live out there. So, I'm going to turn it
24
```

back to the chair, but I want to thank you all. The

2.2

2 information you provided is very useful. I'm looking

3 forward to seeing the unveiling of your new system

4 coming, and even more the creating of the system was

5 dear to my heart. Here is the video component, and

6 | if your head of 911, I would love to see this in 911,

7 and also our call centers, and that's more directed

towards. You had-you worked with the PSAC.

JOE MORRISROE: I do.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And so that way we could literally save lives. Sometimes people could dial. Instead of call 911, they're calling 311. I'm sure you had these and emergencies, but it even, you know, the PSAC II that that could implement, and I really appreciate that, you know, this is going to be part of your discussion, and—and see what's priority and also when it comes to the app for language access, and so with that, I'll turn it back over to my Co-Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: [coughs] Thank you, yeah. So, I have one more question before we go to the public participation. So when you—when you said when customers call you answer in less than 30 seconds and you—is the operator pick up in less 30 seconds. Does she?

2.2

JOE MORRISROE: Yes, that—that is the measure from the time the customer calls and—and there are a series of announcements that some—that every customer hears. Some customers are satisfied with that and they hang up. So, from the end of the announcement to the time the agent picks up the phone, 30 seconds.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Because I thought their—their advertisements it was more than 30 seconds, and there's alternate parking and whatever the city is doing they do a lot of announcements?

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON KOO: A couple of minutes} \\$ they're there.

JOE MORRISROE: Yes.

JOE MORRISROE: Yes, going through the alternate side parking piece is 37 seconds.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Yeah.

JOE MORRISROE: A couple of years ago we had many prompts. We've eliminated that now with the natural language application, but yes, you would hear that first. There's necessary announcements. You identify as 311, hang up and call 911 if it's an emergency. Obviously that's a critical item. So, those announcements that you do here. The

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 99 2 measurements that we use that I mentioned is from the time those announcement end to the time the agent 3 4 picks up. CHAIRPERSON KOO: But the problem is like 5 when people don't speak English, right? So, if they 6 speak Chinese or Korean, it probably would take more 7 than 30 seconds. 8 JOE MORRISROE: It could but the same 9 10 metric is the same and even with Language Line when we bring Language Line on, we have a contract with 11 12 them that holds them accountable for being able to respond in a-in a-in-in 30 seconds in a performance 13 14 metric time. 15 CHAIRPERSON KOO: Because we have-in a 16 complaint from one of the constituents when the 17 called in Chinese, and they get transferred all the 18 time, and finally they gave up, you know. JOE MORRISROE: Oh, God. I would know 19 20 anything about that. CHAIRPERSON KOO: And they get 21 2.2 transferred and then they put them on hold and 23 transferred again and they put them on hold again. JOE MORRISROE: Right, I-I-if you had 24

that circumstance we'd—we'd be more than happy to

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 100 2 take a look at examples. Through that type of feedback we were able to look at is there an issue 3 with, you any-any aspect of the process of the 4 5 technology. 6 CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, you mentioned 7 before that, and you have been a customer in the Discretion Survey, and you very, very high. Have you 8 done surveys other than English, in Chinese, in 9 Korean? 10 JOE MORRISROE: We have not yet--11 12 CHAIRPERSON KOO: [interposing] Yeah. 13 JOE MORRISROE: --this year. 14 CHAIRPERSON KOO: Yeah, because I-I think 15 in English you probably do very good, but in other 16 languages it's probably not that good. So, I think you should do a survey with how-when you do in like 17 18 the most-top five languages and like is used in the 19 city. 20 JOE MORRISROE: [interposing] Right. CHAIRPERSON KOO: You can see how they 21 2.2 feel. Is there one page you have to do it to allow 23 improvement. 24 JOE MORRISROE: I appreciate that

feedback and it is something we look at is how can we

```
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH
 1
    COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY
                                                        101
 2
     expand our survey capability to languages other than
    English.
 3
 4
                CHAIRPERSON KOO: Okay.
 5
                JOE MORRISROE: So thank you.
 6
                CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, Commissioner and
 7
    Director and Director, right? Thank you for your
    patience and time, and now we go a public
 8
    participation. Thank you
 9
10
                COMMISSIONER SAINI: Thank you.
                JOE MORRISROE: Thank you.
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON KOO: We have a second panel
     coming, yeah. [background comments/pause] Okay.
13
14
     the second panel will be Mr. Mamoot. (sp?) Sorry if I
    mispronounced your name, and Mr. Sawi and Ms. Kim.
15
16
     [background comments/pause] [gavel] Okay, so Mr.
17
    Mamoot is from the South Asian Community Center; and
    Louie is from APA.
18
                MR. LOUIE SAWI: CACF
19
20
                CHAIRPERSON KOO: CACF, and Ms. King is
     from APA--KCS, right?
21
2.2
                MS. KIM: KCS.
23
                CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you. So just
24
     identify yourself and since that maybe Mr. Mamoot you
25
     want to start.
```

1

2 RAJAN MAMOOT: Sure. My Name is Rajan 3 Mamoot and I'm the Director Health Services at SACSS Salvation Council for Social Services. SACSS focuses 4 5 on three key areas: Healthcare access and benefits enrollment, senior services and food security SACSS 6 7 also provides youth leadership programs, civic engagement, free ESO and computer classes. We thank 8 the City Council for giving us this opportunity to 9 testify at this extremely important hearing. 10 the key help line, which has been linking residents 11 12 of New York City to vital services and provides 13 important information. Though we have seen 14 tremendous improvement on how 311 is being operated, 15 still there are issues which are clients have 16 identified while calling 311. These are not finding an individuals who speaks the language, longer wait 17 18 time, unprofessional individuals, and rude behavior especially with seniors. Most annoying for our 19 20 clients is hanging up the call as the caller fails to speak English. Here I would like to share a few 21 2.2 experiences. One of our clients who only spoke Hindi 23 called 311 to complain about her building's elevator which was out of order for many days and the landlord 24 did not repair it. The client got confused as she 25

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 103 heard the parking rules. She hung up thinking that she had called NYPD. After a while she called again and waited for the parking rules announcement to end. She got connected to a 311 representative—a representative and started talking in Hindi. The person at the other end did not understand her language, and hung up. The client came to our office. She was assisted by one of our case managers to directly file a complaint with the New York City Department of Buildings. Another client who spoke Telugu, which is another South Asian language, and understood very little English, found it hard to communicate with the 311 operator. The way he was translating the whole situation of the client being unable to pay his rent was totally different from what the client was trying to say. He only wanted someone to help him if he can get housing assistance from an organization who spoke his language. crucial the 311 be able to help those vulnerable clients who do not speak English and so come across forbidding challenges in access services. Thank you. LOUIE SAWI: Sure. Do Good afternoon. My name is Louie Sawi and I am a Policy Coordinator

of CACF, the Coalition for Asian-American Children

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 104 I would like to thank the Governmental and Families. Operations and Technology Committee in holding this important introduction of amending an Administrative Code of the City of New York, which would develop a protocol for identifying languages spoken by callers to 311. CACF builds a community too powerful to ignore. It is the nation's only Pan-Asian children and families advocacy organization and leads the fight for improved and equitable policy, assistance, funding and services to support those in need. work with almost 50 Asian-Pacific-American--also known as APA-member organizations across the city to identify and speak out on many common challenges our community faces including language access. There are over 100 Asian languages and dialects spoken in the United States and at least 40 Asian languages and dialects spoken in New York City. The diversity of languages and dialects spoken by APA families makes access to government services and information challenging and without language accessibility these communities will continue to be isolated. Our APA community is growing and we're growing quickly. According to the U.S. Census in 2014, 35% of APAs in NYC were linguistically isolated, which means that no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 105 2 one in the household above the age of 14 speaks English well. Most recently, the percentage of APAs 3 who are limited English proficient in New York City 4 5 rose to almost 45%. That is nearly half of the APA community. This means that in our families children 6 7 often have no choice but to serve as interpreters causing additional stress and anxiety for them and 8 their family. Last week, I myself attempted to call 9 311 speaking Kensadalug (sp?) the native tongue of my 10 parents. The automated service didn't recognize the 11 12 language and failed to respond to me accurately. Immigrants must have access to quality translation 13 and interpretation services in order to be able to 14 15 ask questions or raise concerns about their housing 16 situation, locate the nearest health clinic if they 17 become ill, report when their garbage is not 18 collected by the Department of Sanitation or understand the services and resources they are 19 20 eligible for in this city CACF fully supports bill-Intro 1328, which puts in place an automated system o 21 2.2 more accurately identify languages requested of 311 23 and also creates a system of reporting incidences of call disconnects due to the failure of 311 providing 24

appropriate language support. Such a software must

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 106 2 be able to distinguish multiple languages more than what is currently identified including Asian 3 4 languages. Data collected would be very useful in 5 determining language needs of callers including Asian languages many of which are currently unknown. Data 6 7 collected would also help inform the system ad to beneficial changes that can be made to fully serve 8 all limited English proficient New Yorkers. New York 9 10 City has been a leader on language access, and we hope the 311 Customer Service Center will continue to 11 12 honor this commitment by ensuring accessibility of quality information and resources to limited English 13 14 proficient speakers. We welcome the opportunity to 15 speak with further about these issues. Thank you for 16 considering our concerns, and considerations. 17 CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you. Ms. King. 18 JUJAN KIM: Uh-hm. Good afternoon. name is Jujan (sp?) Kim and I'm a Project Coordinator 19 20 at the Korean Community Services. I'd like to first thank Chair Cabrera and Chair Koo as well as the 21 2.2 members of the Committee Technology and member of

25 Korean Community Services of Metropolitan New York

Governmental Operations for holding this public

hearing today. So for over 40 years, KCS or the

23

107

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

has been operating under the mission of empowering immigrants so that they become independent and thriving members of the community. KCS primarily services the Korean-American community through its diverse programming in the areas of aging, education, immigration, workforce development, public health and mental health. For Koreans, language access is one of the countless barriers that they face. As of last year, almost 70% of Koreans in New York were foreign born, and more than half of Korean new worker, New Yorkers were limited English proficient. So, this language and cultural barriers that they face are compounded by the fact that they are often unfamiliar with the city agencies or the city Social Service systems and processes. This demonstrates the vital nature of language services provided by Yellow Cabs particularly through 311 Language Line. provision of language access services by New York City is commendable, and it illustrates how committed the city is to serving its diverse population. order to help improve the customer service experience an the quality of services provided through the Language Line I would like to share a few anecdotes with you. As the Project Coordinator that works

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

108

directly with the Korean community, I have come across and heard of many unfortunate customer experiences with the 311 Language Line. Many of my clients explained that the have a very difficult time navigating the all. Also, they tend to avoid it altogether because it's so frustrating. So, one of the reasons is when they call, it takes some time to get to the Korean language option, and once they have the patience and they know to wait and press the option, which is number 7, the alternate side parking rule are announced in Korean, but it is very muffled and it has a very thick dialect. I have called as well as well as my other coworkers who say that it's not standardized Korean. They recognize the thick accent and sometimes they don't even understand whatwhat she's saying. So, the quality of the voice recorded messaging is very poor to the point where people feel very awkward. They feel like it's very awkward. Moreover, when the wait time until they are connected, our call is connected to an interpreter can be very, very expensive, which leads to a caller just dropping the call thinking they were ignored or they just-they don't have the time. It's very, very

hard for especially seniors to wait on the line when

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 109 they're not-they don't know that they are being connected, and they're confused once again when it's an English speaking, you know, counselor on the phone asking what they-what language you're speaking because they expect it to be in Korean. Anyway, so, callers with limited English proficiency this is a very trying and confusing experience, and they tend to avoid these services that they critically need. So, it's not only the provision of language services, but the quality of language services that is important for our community especially for New Yorkers with language, limited language-English proficiency to access services and stay informed. So it is imperative that New York City expands its language services and develops a better software to So, in the spring we'd like to suggest accommodate. the following: Consult community based organizations like KCS and others here to ensure the quality of voice automated messaging, voice recording of any non-English languages before they are put into use. Also, we support the bill that introduces the implementation and introduction of a language identification software. Lastly, expand language

access to more languages and New York City has always

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

110

2 been a leading example in providing language access

3 services to its immigrant populations and we hope

4 | that New York City continues to honor this commitment

5 through considering these adjustments that we

1

8

9

25

6 outlined. We welcome the opportunity to discuss this

7 further with you and than you for this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Chairman Cabrera.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah, absolutely.

10 | Thank you. I want to take a moment to thank you all

11 and all the advocates because part of the reason

12 | we're having this hearing today was as a result of

13 previous hearings that we had had, and it was the

14 advocates who brought the attention of the problems

15 we were facing-our people are facing when it comes to

16 | language access, and as a result of that it led to

17 | other things that our-our awesome staff was able to-

18 | the Council staff were able to discover. So, I salute

19 \parallel you all. I want to thank you for your advocacy and

20 ∥ your leadership. I did want to ask you, you—I know

21 you've been waiting very patiently here, but you

22 heard rom the Director of 311 the Commission from

23 DOITT. Is there anything in particular any red flags

24 | that came mind when you heard the testimony, anything

that you said, hey, we cold do better in this area?

Any suggestions, anything that fell through the cracks that we did not address today?

2.2

Chair Koo brought up about them using the Google

Translate. I—I understand that it will exponentially
get better with deep learning, but as of now it is so
inaccurate sometimes. So, I don't know if that's the
best way to rely on Google Translate when I don't
even do it to translate what I'm translating because
it's always, there's context that's missing, and the
gross inaccuracies like that can lead to a lot of,
you know, somebody losing their services.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Indeed. Anybody else?

RAJAN MAMOOT: Yeah, so what we think is crucial for 311 is to have staff who are bilingual who speak multiple languages because the language line that they use the integrator all the people there, they're not trained by the city. They—maybe they're—they're in some other state or some other country. So, it's better to have staff members on—on—on their—on their staff who speak different languages. There are a lot of people in New York
City who are born and raised in New York. They

112

2 speak multiple languages. I myself speak three

1

24

25

3 languages other than English. So, if 311 directly

4 | trains them they know how to handle the calls. They

5 will be able to speak in different languages to the

6 times. That would be easier for everyone to

7 understand, and especially our clients because

8 sometimes the dialects that the individuals use is

9 | very different to the dialects that the client is-is

10 | talking in. So, I think it would be very like

11 | important and would benefit—benefit our clients

12 | that—if 311 has staff on land that are bilingual.

13 LOUIE SAWI: And just to add onto what

14 Rajan was saying, it's also important for the

15 | interpreters that speak these languages to be

16 culturally competent because you're talking to

17 | immigrants some of them who just-literally just

18 | migrated to the United States, and haven't figured

19 out the cultural norms of interacting within the

20 American context. So, it's important that it have

21 | interpreters that can accommodate those that

22 | literally just came into the United States and work

23 with them within their culture.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I know this is

anecdotal but [coughs] have you found that a lot of

	COMMITTHEE ON COMEDIMENTAL ODEDINETONO TOTAINI WITHIN
1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 113
2	the interpreters they just learned the language, but
3	they don't know the culture? Is this an anomaly?
4	What are we talking about? Is this a pattern or
5	LOUIE SAWI: This is just an anomaly.
6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
7	LOUIE SAWI: So,
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, alright.
9	Thank you so much to my Co-Chair. I'll give it back
10	to my Co-Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON KOO: [coughs] Thank you for
12	your time and patience, you know. This—this will be
13	the last panel unless there is any more public
14	participation? No, Thank you very much.
15	LOUIE SAWI: Thank you very much.
16	CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, we can conclude the
17	public testimony. Yeah, the meeting is adjourned.
18	Yeah. [gavel] [background comments] [gavel]
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 24, 2019