CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

----- X

DECEMBER 18, 2018 Start: 10:17 A.M. Recess: 11:27 A.M.

HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE RM, 14<sup>TH</sup> FL

B E F O R E: ANTONIO REYNOSO, CHAIR

COUNCIL MEMBERS: FERNANDO CABRERA

CHAIM M. DEUTSCH

RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

PAUL A. VALLONE

LETICIA JAMES, PUBLIC ADVOCATE

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

D. KATHRYN GARCIA, Commissioner of Sanitation

BRIDGET ANDERSON, Deputy Commissioner of Recycling and Sustainability

ADRIANA ESPINOSA, Director of New York City Program at New York League of Conservation Voters

JUSTIN WOOD, Director of Organizing a strategic research at New York Lawyers for the Public Interest

GREG TODD, Founder of Community Carting and Composting

ERIC GOLDSTEIN, New York City
Environmental Director with (NRDC)
National Resources Defense Council

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SARGEANT AT ARMS: This is as microphone check. Today's date is December 18, 2018. Committee on Sanitation being recorded by John Biando.

Committee Room 16<sup>th</sup> Floor. Testing one, two, three, audio test, one, two.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Good morning. am Council Member Antonio Reynoso, the Chair of Committee on Sanitation and Solid Waste Management. Thank you for attending this oversight hearing. Today we will be discussing materials on our waste stream, in our waste stream that can be diverted from landfill but are not collected for curbside recycling by the Department of Sanitation. We will also hear proposal Intro 1075A sponsored by the public advocate Leticia James uhm in relation to an Organic Waste Curbside Collection Pilot Program. DSNY provides curbside collection service for refuse, metal, glass, plastic and paper recyclable. DSNY also collects food waste for organics recycling in certain community districts and we expect that this program will be expanded citywide in 2019. Uhm proposed Intro 1075A would create a pilot program for organics recycling in buildings including City Agencies. Bill also mandates education and outreach in those

buildings that will participate in the progr	am. I
hope that DSNY will be able to see what work	s and use
that information to educate the public about	
residential organics recycling as the progra	m expands
to more community districts. According to t	he 2017
Waste Characterization Study there is a sign	ificant
portion of our Waste Stream that is picked u	p as
refuse but could potentially be diverted fro	m
landfill. These materials include electroni	c waste,
textiles, harmful household products and pla	stic
shopping bags. If we are going to meet our	goal of
attributing zero waste to landfill by 2030,	we need
to understand the waste stream and create ac	tionable
plans for each of the materials. Today, I h	ope to
get an update on how the City is working to	create
accessible programs to promote recycling, re	use,
donation or proper safe disposal for these i	tems. We
need to do more than just create the program	s to
divert these materials though. The City nee	ds to
reach out to communities and teach people ab	out the
programs available to them and the best way	to keep
these materials out of the refuse stream. W	ithout
proper education and outreach, participation	in these
programs and our progress toward zero waste	will

2.2

likely be disappointing. I look forward to hearing testimony from DSNY, environmental advocates and other interested groups about their experience with the current initiatives to divert materials from the waste stream so far uhm so far and any advice that they have for how the City could be doing to engage of communities. I will now turn it over to the public advocate who would like to speak about her Bill. Public Advocate Leticia James from Brooklyn.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Uhm good morning and thank you uhm Chairman Reynoso and Members of the Committee for convening this hearing today. Uhm my name is Leticia James and I am the Public Advocate for about 13 more days. Uhm it is very fitting that my final City Council Hearing as Public Advocate is a Sanitation Committee, a Committee that I once chaired and is dear to my heart and I want to thank the Commissioner, I want to thank the staff, uhm for educating me about all of the issues and thank you for working with me and I look forward to working with you in my other capacity. I am also proud of all of the work that we have been able to do together including the advanced initiatives that increased recycling and raise

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

awareness of the important of smart waste practices. I thank you for working with residents of public housing and I just uhm thank you again for introducing me to the world of uhm sanitation. will now like to turn to the Legislation as the subject of this hearing. Uhm as the Public Advocate, I serve as the voice for the most vulnerable and today I am speaking on behalf of the City itself, which is at risk of the devastating consequences of the climate change and could find itself submerged under water in the decades to come. Climate change is one of the most critical issues that we face and as I travel the State, I am beginning to see the effects of climate change and uhm it is very, very real and it is unfortunate that our Country has now turned its back on the devastating consequences of climate changes and refuses to accept the realities A recent report from the UN found that we of it. have only 12 years, 12 years to limit the true catastrophic effects of global warming. This should be a sign to all of us that we have no time to waste and that time is of the essence and that we must act now. New York City has set ambitious goals to combat climate change including sending zero waste to the

landfills by 2030 and launching the largest compost 
program in the Country and given that our organics
program is at present voluntary, our public servants
should serve as a model to propel the cultural shift
on organics recycling throughout the City. Education
and outreach will be critical to this program's
success. In our policy brief, we highlighted best
practices from San Francisco, which has had mandatory
organics recycling since 2009. The City of San
Francisco uses zero waste coordinators in each Agency
and Office to support education and compliance
efforts and these Zero Waste Coordinators are City
Employees who volunteer to serve in the role and
receive additional training and support from San
Francisco's Department of the Environment to ensure
that employees understand and follow the Organics
Recycling Law. This is a model, that we should
consider using for education, outreach and compliance
as part of the pilot program. I recognize that it
will take resources but I think given the, given the
realities that we face it is certainly worth it and
we cannot afford to stall the City's Organics
Collection Program in light of the impending Climate
Crisis. I urge my colleagues to support this Bill.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I look forward to the discussion today and I thank you for this opportunity to say a few words. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Happy Birthday to your little baby boy.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: It was, two days Thank you for that. Uhm it has been a great experience being a dad, uhm everyone says that it changes you and that's the truth, it's absolutely the truth so thank you for that. Our Public Advocate for 13 more days who is going to go on to bigger and better things, uhm protecting us at the State level. We are going to miss you dearly here in the City Council presiding over us. I know your work that you did as a Sanitation Committee Member who was one of the first Sanitation Committee Members from Brooklyn or Committee Chairs from Brooklyn uhm before no wanted to seat, it was all Staten Islanders that took all, that did all the work but once they shut down Fresh Kill it got hot again so thank you again and I am looking forward to moving on this Bill as soon as possible. Uhm again thank you for your advocacy. Now I will allow for Commissioner of Sanitation, D. Kathryn Garcia. And I need to swear you just though just saying.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 KATHRYN GARCIA: Okay you can swear me 3 in.

COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today and to answer Council Member questions honestly?

KATHRYN GARCIA: I do.

COUNSEL: Thank you.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Good morning Chair Reynoso and Members of the Committee on Sanitation and Solid Waste Management and Public Advocate Leticia James for the next few days. I am Kathryn Garcia, Commissioner of the Department of Sanitation and I am joined by Bridget Anderson, Deputy Commissioner for Recycling and Sustainability for the Department. The New York City Department of Sanitation collects more than 3.5 million tons of waste and recyclables each year. New Yorkers are accustomed to separating traditional recyclables and placing them at the curb for department pickup. includes metal, glass, cartons and plastic and paper and corrugated cardboard. As we continue to improve our curbside recycling rate compared to a decade ago, we also recognize the changing nature of our waste

stream and the economic trends that influence how we
must plan and identify opportunities to divert other
materials from disposal and develop sustainable
programs to manage New York City's discards beyond
the traditional curbside collection. Earlier this
year, we released our 2017 Waste Characterization
Study, a comprehensive analysis of the composition of
our curbside refuse and recycling collections. This
study which the Department presented to this
Committee in May found that 34% of the waste the
department collects is traditional curbside
recyclables, mixed paper and cardboard and metal,
glass, plastic and cartons. Since the 1980s we've
worked to develop one of the earliest and now the
largest mandatory curbside recycling program of any
municipality in the Country. We now collect more
than 600,000 tons of these products per year and
capture more than 50% of these designated recyclables
in our curbside recycling program. Another 34% of
the waste we collect is organics, food scraps, food
soiled paper and yard waste. We have developed the
Nation's Largest Curbside Organics Collection Program
serving 3.5 million New Yorkers. Last year we
collected 43,000 tons of organics through the

curbside collection program and food scrap drop off
sites, a number that we hope to continue to grow.
However, even if we were able to divert 100% of all
traditional recyclables and organics into the
appropriate curbside collection programs, we would
only divert 68% of the waste we collect. That is far
from our goal of sending 0 waste to landfills. To
achieve this goal, we must develop policies and
programs to address the other 1/3 of our waste stream
that does not have a home in the curbside diversion
programs. These policies and programs can also help
to promote waste reduction and reuse to decrease the
overall amount of waste we collect and contribute to
our zero waste goals. In the last several years, we
have implemented new programs and expansions to the
services we offer New Yorkers for non-curbside
collected materials and the infrastructure to handle
it. We take this role as stewards as the City's Zero
Waste Goals very seriously and we will continue
aggressively on this path in order to grow these
programs. Today, we are incredibly proud of the work
that we have done so far. In today's testimony I
will highlight several of these programs in place
today and some challenges we aim to overcome in the

2	future. New York City has a robust reuse sector
3	comprised of non-profit and commercial enterprises
4	that collects and redistributes unwanted goods.
5	Reuse is considered to be a higher and better use
6	than recycling as products can continue to be used
7	for their original intended purpose. These efforts
8	reflect a changing focus rather than focusing on just
9	diverting waste for recycling via curbside
10	collection, we are treating discarded materials as an
11	opportunity for us to build industries and develop a
12	local economy around materials that can be recovered.
13	The Department's efforts to track and measure the
14	flow of reused and donated materials in New York City
15	are precedent setting nationwide. In 2016, we
16	launched our DonateNYC website and mobile app to make
17	it easier for New Yorkers to give good, find goods
18	and do good. These tools provide an easy way to find
19	local opportunities to reuse unwanted goods and to
20	directly exchange unwanted goods with organizations
21	that need them. We also provide support to the local
22	nonprofit community to expand their capacities and
23	reach more New Yorkers. In Fiscal Year 2018,
24	DonateNYC partner organizations together reused
25	52,000 tons of used or surplus materials. These

include more than 31,000 tons of rescued food, 11,000
tons on textiles, 8,000 tons of used goods and nearly
1,000 tons of electronics. Last year, the Department
released the New York City Reuse Sector Report, a
comprehensive survey of the City's reuse associated
business and organizations that includes places that
sell, repair or rent used items. That report found
that more than 2,200 businesses with more than 3,600
locations citywide engage in reuse, resell, repair
and rental services reducing the amount of waste New
Yorkers throw away. The Department also continues to
promote efforts to reduce food waste by encouraging
the donation of surplus edible food. Pursuant to
Local Law 176 of 2017, the Department has finalized
the development of a food donation portal that we
expect to launch next spring. This application will
be a new component of our web-based and mobile
DonateNYC platform and will increase food donations
and recovery from businesses with surplus edible food
by matching donor food establishments with food
rescue organizations. Clothing and textiles make up
6% of residential waste and we continue to work with
our partners to expand opportunities to donate, reuse
and recycle these items. In 2011, the City launched

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ReFashionNYC in partnership with the nonprofit housing works to make clothing donation easy through a convenient in-building collection service. Apartment buildings with 10 or more units can apply to receive a donation bin placed in a common area of the building. Residents put their unwanted textiles in the bin, when it is full, the material is collected and put to reuse. All proceeds from donations support the charitable mission of housing works. Today nearly 1,700 residential buildings with 170,000 households and more than 360,000 residents have refashion bins. In Fiscal Year 2018, New Yorkers donated 1,900 tons of textiles through Refashion NYC. We continue to grow the program and have recently worked with housing works to add more partners, to expand capacity for textile donations and we are conducting outreach to dry cleaners, laundromats, fitness centers and other clothing related businesses to encourage them to enroll as well. Also support other efforts to donate used clothing, we partner with GrowNYC to offer weekly clothing collections at green markets and to host community scale clothing donation and stop and swap events citywide. Earlier this month, we worked with

Goodwill to collect discarded clothing at the New
York City Marathon. Although electronic waste
comprises less than 1% of the waste stream,
electronics often contain toxic materials like
mercury, cadmium, lead and other heavy metals that
can be toxic to humans and the environment. The
Department created E-cycleNYC in 2013 in partnership
with ERI an industry leader in safe and secure
electronics recycling. Similar to RefashionNYC the
E-CycleNYC apartment building program is provided at
no cost to the City and is available in any building
with 10 or more units. It has since grown to be the
most expansive and convenient E-Waste collection
program in the country serving nearly 14,000
apartment buildings with more than 850,000 households
and 1.8 million residents. Additionally, since 2016,
the Department is also phasing in appointment based
electronic waste collection service for residents who
do not live in large apartment buildings eligible for
the E-CycleNYC program. Today residents of Staten
Island, Brooklyn and Western Queens can schedule a
collection appointment on-line or by calling 3-1-1.
This program will expand to the rest of Queens and
Bronx next fall. We also continue to accept

2	electronic waste at our safe disposal events and at
3	community scale electronic waste recycling events
4	hosted in partnership with local elected officials
5	and community organizations. Together our electronic
6	waste recycling programs collected and properly
7	recycled nearly 4,000 tons of electronic waste in
8	Fiscal 18. The comparison between the participation
9	and E-CycleNYC and RefashionNYC draws an important
10	distinction. In 2015, a New York State Law banned
11	the curbside collection of electronic waste as refuse
12	and the City enacted regulated to enforce this State
13	Law. This Disposal Ban has helped dramatically grow
14	the participation in each of the Departments
15	Electronic Waste Recycling Programs. The 2017 Waste
16	Characterization Study showed that the amount of E-
17	waste in our curbside waste stream had declined by
18	60%. For textiles, a similar disposal band does not
19	exist and participation in RefashionNYC program is
20	entirely voluntary. As a result, participation in
21	the program has grown at slower rates and fewer
22	buildings have enrolled overall. Like electronic
23	waste, harmful household products make up a very
24	small share of the overall waste stream just 0.4%.
25	But these products can pose a danger to sanitation

2	employees on collection and in the transfer station
3	and they can present a risk to humans and the
4	environment when disposed improperly. The Department
5	hosts 10 annual safe disposal events short for
6	solvents, automotive, flammable and electronics. At
7	these events, two in each borough annually, New
8	Yorkers can drop off these harmful household
9	products. These products include paint, household
10	cleansers, and chemicals, automotive fluid,
11	electronic waste, unwanted medicines and other
12	potentially dangerous products from around the home.
13	In the last two years the Department has also begun
14	offering smaller popup safe events in partnership
15	with local elected officials and community groups.
16	The Department also operates five household special
17	waste sites, one in each borough. These sites are
18	open every Saturday and the last Friday of each
19	month. These sites which are related by the New York
20	State Department of Environmental Conservation
21	accepts latex paint, fluorescent light bulbs, mercury
22	containing devices, car batteries and other common
23	special waste products. Together these programs
24	collect more than 600 tons of household hazardous
25	waste in Fiscal Year 2018. New York City Waste

Stream and our non-curbside recycling programs are
influenced heavily by State Law. The Bottle Bill,
more formally known at the New York State Returnable
Containing Law is an effective program that diverts a
significant quantity of bottles and cans. Bottle
Bill Diversion represents the diligent sore
separation of containers by New York residents that
are captured not in our curbside collections but
rather through redemption centers. However, because
this material is not collected on our recycling
trucks, we are not able to count this in our mandated
curbside diversion rate. This means that the overall
amount of material recycled by New Yorkers and our
Recycling Diversion Rate are both effectively much
larger than our statistics show. Since the Bottle
Bill was amended in 2012, the deposit initiators are
no longer required to report on the quantity of
redeemed beverage containers by County in New York
State to the New York State DC, which had until then
published them. Each year we work to get voluntary
reporting of Bottle Bill Diversion from redeemers
operating in New York City and report this in our
non-curbside statistics but we do not have a full
nicture Our partial reporting from Figgal 18

documented more than 50,000 tons of bottles and cans
redeemed and we think that the total number likely is
more than double this amount. Several other State
Laws mandate non-curbside collection programs for
various products. The Electronic Equipment,
Recycling and Reuse Act established the disposal ban
that was let, that has led to this success of our
city-run electronic waste recycling programs.
However, that Law which pre-empted a similar City Law
did not set sufficiently clear or aggressive
requirements for electronic manufactures to fund
takeback and recycling programs. While New York City
has benefited substantially from the extended
producer responsibility components of this Law,
especially in comparison to many upstate County,
Cities and Towns we have been forced to shoulder
additional costs associated with our appointment
based electronic waste collection program. In
addition, the State has enacted Laws requiring
plastic bag recycling and rechargeable battery
recycling in certain establishments. However, both
of these Laws create challenges from both the
measurement and enforcement perspective. The
Department continues to advocate for better reporting

requirements and local enforcement authority for
these and other State-mandated non-curbside recycling
programs. Looking forward, the Department will
continue to seek New and Innovative ways to reduce,
reuse and recycle waste through non-curbside methods.
There are several categories of ways for which few or
no recycling options current exist. We are excited
to finally implement the ban on expanded polystyrene
food service products and we look forward to working
with the State and City Governments to enact
meaningful reform for single use carry out bags. We
will continue to evaluate options to promote reusable
or recyclable products and we will explore options
for additional non-curbside recycling programs. In
addition, we have increasingly looked upstream in the
product cycle to influence the choices that
manufacturers, retailers and consumers make as they
create, design, sell and purchase products. The
Department has worked with several consumer goods
manufacturers, waste management enterprises and other
State and Municipalities to work toward a circular
economy where products and resources can be
continuously reused, refurnished, and regenerated for
ongoing use as new products. I now turn to Intro

1075, which authorized the creation of a two-year
pilot program in no fewer than three boroughs to
collect organic material from City offices that
receive Department collection service. The
Department currently provides organics collection
service in certain city buildings on request and
where are the collection services available including
City Hall. We also offer curbside organic collection
service at nearly 800 public schools. The Department
supports the goal of aligning our City Government
more closely with our Zero Waste Goals and we look
forward to embarking on this pilot to help us study
participation rates and tonnage diversion in order to
achieve our goal. I would also like to thank the
public advocate for sponsorship of this Bill and for
her ongoing dedication to sustainable waste
management both in her current role and in her tenure
as Chair of the Sanitation Committee. I am pleased
to assure good luck as she has to Albany though I
think it is very cold there, to assume the office of
Attorney General and snowier. It's actually snowier.
Together as, I hope you come back to the City right,
you got to be back in Brooklyn? Oh, okay. There you
go. Together as we as New Yorkers have an incredible

2.2

opportunity to achieve our goal of sending zero waste to landfills by 2030 through a combination of both curbside and non-curbside collection programs. The initiatives that I have outlined today place us on a path to achieve this goal and I thank the Administration and Council for their past, present and future support as the Department leads the City on this journey. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today and we are not happy to answer your questions.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Thank you

Commissioner, I want to allow for the Public Advocate

to ask questions and I know she is feeling a little

under the weather too so I just want to make sure

that if you need to get out early you can.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: I just want to acknowledge Council Member Cabrera, Espinal, Deutsch and Deutsch from the Committee.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Thank you so much, Mr. Chair. Uhm there is a bug going around and I think I caught it. Uhm I want to thank you for your testimony, thank you for your kind remarks and I

2.2

look forward to working with you to identify those buildings. Uhm, do you have any idea what buildings, we, where this pilot program would best work.

Would need to work on, on what would make the most sense. I would actually like to see a variety of different building types so that we can really study what, what works in terms of the outreach, in terms of their set ups uhm so we are thinking that it would be primarily in office buildings.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Okay.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Uhm that are picked up

by the Department but that we are open to other

locations as well, like for example, uhm based on a

Sanitation Worker we actually recruited a food bank

not far from our offices in Manhattan. I think

because he thought it would help with rats. So, and

I think that it has gotten a little bit better there.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Good.

And you suspended, I guess the expansion of Curbside

Organics Collection uhm was, can you tell it why and

it is going to get back on track for further

expansion?

2.2

KATHRYN GARCIA: Uhm, so yes, we took a
pause at the beginning of May of this year and our
intention is to get back on track. We have been
making adjustments in terms of how we collect it.
And so, in some Districts we were collecting both
days with uhm a split body truck. Which you probably
would have seen. I don't have to explain what a
split body truck is and we were having issue with
bulk so we had to change, sort of and create a hybrid
where at least one of those days we are still
collecting with a regular size, rear loader, which is
required redoing routes so that is what we have spent
our time, sort of mapping out again, but our
intention is to get back on track.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: And is there a report card on how each District is doing with respect to organics collections?

KATHRYN GARCIA: So, we don't have a report card per se but we certainly have data on who is, who is giving us more material. Uhm, who is participating more. Uhm and who is not. We are happy to provide like the actual raw numbers of. We don't grade it, we don't say well this is an A, this is a B but we know which have higher percentages.

1	SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 25
2	PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: And is
3	Manhattan leading again?
4	KATHRYN GARCIA: No, because they don't
5	have a lot of curbside. Uhm no.
6	PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Brooklyn?
7	KATHRYN GARCIA: Brooklyn would be
8	better, yes.
9	PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Okay.
10	And my last question, is separate and apart from the
11	Legislation is medicine?
12	KATHRYN GARCIA: Yes.
13	PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA GARCIA: Can we
14	focus a little bit on that and what efforts are we
15	doing to collect outdated medicine in cabinets to
16	address obviously the whole, I mean I guess, be a
17	part of the effort to combat opioid.
18	KATHRYN GARCIA: So, there are two
19	things, one is that the, the State does have take
20	back programs with pharmacies but we also will
21	collect it at all of our safe disposal events.
22	PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Okay.
23	KATHRYN GARCIA: Uhm, yeah, its tricky

because the chain of custody is pretty rigid in terms of the handling so that is where we take back drugs

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

of any kind but then you can usually return them to pharmacies as well.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Thank you Public Advocate. And Commissioner I just want to thank you for your thorough testimony uhm I'm going to.

KATHRYN GARCIA: There will be a quiz.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Well, I have questions here and I'm going through the testimony to reference the questions and I don't want you to repeat yourself so I'm going to be limited, so I'm just going to ask about a couple of things that I think are important. I want to get my colleagues to, to ask questions. The plastics bags issue. Uhm so can we just talk about that for, what we are doing to reduce the plastic bag use? Uhm and after the feat was delayed what work alternatively did the Department of Sanitation to do to try to deal with this issue and the reusable bags, are they still being handed out? Is it something, those are very popular so I just want to know if we can get just an update on the plastic bag ...?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Certainly.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you.

2 CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Situation, thank

KATHRYN GARCIA: So, obviously you are aware that after the great work that they Council did, you were pre-empted and you know I think that we were hopeful that the report that came out would lead to a path forward, instead it was really just was a series of options of which uhm the Legislature at the State Level have not taken any action. Uhm so we have been diligently providing many of our reusable We are over 400,000 bags have been given out in the City of New York and we continue to do that to spread the work and you actually start to see them now all over the place, which you know is heartening uhm because everytime someone remembers to bring it, it is a bunch of plastic that we therefore do not have in our waste stream but it is challenging politically at the moment uhm so you know we are hopeful that with the change in the State Senate that we might begin to see movement again on this issue.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: And I do want ot say that we had some issues in the State Assembly as well, believe it or not even from some of our more progressive members, so it is going to be a tough

2.2

one, long term, I just wanted to know that we are still working to try our best to try and educate folks on the dangers and the challenges that plastic bags pose so we can start having a reaction to it, I guess or an action to it.

absolutely but I mean this is, this is what we know from other Cities that if you do a fee of any kind you get more participation. Uhm so we are doing what we can with it being a voluntary action, bring your reusable bag but we are certainly making every effort to provide people with access to reusable bags.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: I just want to I guess, not quote but uhm Council Member Laurie Cumbo was convinced to support the plastic bag fee, when she saw how effective the fee for the Metro Card was and we practically stick with the same Metro Card now and before they were being thrown away.

 $\label{eq:KATHRYN GARCIA: They were all over the floor. \\$ 

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Everywhere and now everyone keeps a, it's not an issue, no one had a problem with it and it hasn't affected any large

2.2

population, whether you are poor or rich you just stick with your card.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Uh-huh.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: So just throwing that fees can change behavior, more so than anything else and not cause, and not cause a burden on to nicer people. Uhm can we identify what cannot be diverted from landfills. There is nothing that we can do about it, it is going to go to landfill.

KATHRYN GARCIA: I'm not sure that there is nothing. We haven't come up with a plan like for example, diapers and hygienic products are a relatively large portion of the waste and they are about 4.2% of the waste stream and at this point we don't have a plan for them. Uhm there are non-designated plastics such as styrofoam which make up 5.5% of the waste stream but we are obviously going after styrofoam as of January 1. Carpeting and upholstery are a chunk of that and we are hopeful that there is some extended producer responsibility Bills that have been floating around that we are hoping to work towards having enacted.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Can you just, clarify, producer responsibility?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KATHRYN GARCIA: Certainly.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Is that a State

4 or a Federal, like who? Who? Who?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Well, anyone, any, any, it tends to mainly happen at the State level and what it is similar to the Bottle Bill where the manufacturers are responsible for ensuring that at the end of the life of their product, their product has somewhere to go. And in its used much, much, much more extensively in Europe than it is here. So, it actually forces the manufacturers of the products or the packager to think about you know as you put it together how are you going to take it apart. And so, they have been very effective at both reducing overall waste and making things easier to recycle. So, that is one of the, we know has been floating around at the State level for a while and so has Paint Stewardship Bills. So. But that's, those are some of the products, also construction and demolition material so obviously if a contractor does work on your they are responsible for it but if you do it yourself then we will collect it. So, that is another chunk of material. So there, are, there are some that are still challenging but you know I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that we want to continue to create creatively. Some of those are easier than others.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: So, in your testimony you went through DonateNYC, RefashionNYC, the Electronic Waste, Harmful Household Products. And while I see that we have an initiative to take on those challenges. I, I'm, I can't sit here feeling comfortable that what we are doing is going to be enough to significantly get us to a place where we are closer to zero waste by 2030. Uhm and I believe a big part of that is education and information not getting out to the public. I just want to uhm, I know that during the Budget season I'm going to be requesting that you get a significant increase in your marketing budget so that we can start really putting, putting the City on the notice as to the work that we want to do to get to zero waste. So, can you, can you just let us know, we had initiatives before like the Save as You Throw Program which we haven't seen moving forward. Can we just talk about what it is that we are thinking about what we are doing that can get us there and whether or not we are on track?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Certainly, so obviously
we do agree with you that having a marketing budget
would be useful and we are starting to put together
the bones of what an RFP might look like for that
since obviously procurement is a very long process in
the City of New York. Uhm because we do think that
we need to continually get the word out about waste
and opportunities and programs that we do have for
people to make use of. Uhm you know there, there are
tools in the toolbox and obviously ones that we have,
that we have been implementing are primarily on the
voluntary side. I think if we are going to actually
meet our goals it will be a question of whether or
not we want to take on some things that are more
challenging and really going to be able to drive that
which politically might not be the most comfortable
thing to do.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: So, in short politics is holding you back?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Isn't it always.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: I hope my politics are not. So uhm, now I appreciate that answer. I think people need to hear you know the challenges that we are going through in trying to

2.2

achieve zero waste by 2030. We have a lot of locks
that talk about climate change and the need to
protect the earth and the environment but when it
comes to doing the aggressive things that are
necessary to get us there, uhm like this, it goes
over their head, right. This is not the fancy green
new deal so maybe are not as encouraged or as
motivated to be helpful. But uhm what it thinks
again with education, we can get more people involved
and motivated so I will do my part uhm as well to
push that. I want to allow for my colleagues to ask
a few questions uhm Council Member Espinal first is
going to be followed by Council Member Deutsch.

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Woah, woah, I had no idea I was first, okay. Good morning Commissioner. It is a pleasure seeing you. I have I guess two questions. One uhm something that I saw recently and maybe I was hallucinating when I saw this but it was early 6 a.m. a Sanitation truck was in front of my house picking up the trash and recyclables. I thought what I was the, the sanitation worker dumping the plastic, my plastic recyclables into the same trash as the overall trash. Are there any instances

1		
ш	Ш	

where that might happen or maybe I was seeing things?

Or?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Or maybe they were just doing the wrong thing?

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Maybe it was in the wrong thing? Right?

KATHRYN GARCIA: No, there are certainly instances where sanitation workers do the wrong thing. Ho... thankfully based on the tonnage numbers it is rare that that would happen but if you see it again, please let me know.

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Okay, great, I was just wondering. Uhm it was good to know.

KATHRYN GARCIA: You can actually tell me today if you remember the day and I can...

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Yeah, I don't remember

it was actually like a month or two ago. Uhm and then second question, I did pass that Bill that would create that Food Waste Portal that you mentioned in

your testimony, last year. Can you give me more of

work? And what the design is like? And how

businesses and nonprofits can play a role in using

an update and tell me more of how it is going to

2.2

really, it's, it's a matching and I will let Deputy

Commissioner Anderson speak more to it but it is

similar in structure to our current Donate Site uhm

but we are excited about it and so it is also sort of

how to make it sort of streamlined and people

understand what is allowed and what is not allowed.

And so, I'm excited to have perhaps an event come

March if it is not snowing.

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Right, I'm looking forward to that.

excited about it and we have been seeing the updates on that, we are beta testing it right now with some potential donors and potential recipients and one of the things that we didn't want to do is uhm add bureaucracy to things that already work well. So, City Harvest Food Bank already have very effective portals for a lot of their food. So, the question was how do we tack all of the food that is not getting captured already and one of the primary points is to do hyperlocal connections of foods. So, we have somebody who is curating donors who have food to donate, where they are located and the recipients

2.2

in where they are located to see how close, how closely located are they and could there be informal arrangements made within a neighborhood even to connected donated food and recipient foods. So, we have a front-end portal for donors and recipients to sign up. Different types of food categories they can say they are interested in. We are very much supportive of eating and eating in this process. And then we have an Administrative portal where we are actually physically creating matches. And one of the focuses is how do we do this in a hyperlocal way, less traffic uhm and create those personal and neighborhood connections.

RAFAEL ESPINAL: So, what type of businesses would be able to participate in this. I have a lot of catering halls and all these other folks who find this to be an attractive option.

BRIDGET ANDERSON: Absolutely, so any, and this actually helps too, if you are required to separate your food scraps for composting you can also remove some of those food scraps or leftover food through donations as well. So, any business that has leftover food, can sign up, we hope they will register for the portal, when you have excess food

avaılable,	you put it on the portal, we try to do
matches as	quickly as possible so if there is
perishable	food and in the connection there is a
discussion	that happens about who can deliver, who is
picking up	you know how that works and what the
capacities	are of the recipient and of the donor.

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Okay, great, uhm I just,

Commissioner I just want to thank you. I know that

the compost program was put on pause for a while, uhm

but you are accommodating enough to get us a trash

bin in East New York so we are very grateful,

thankful. Organic.

KATHRYN GARCIA: It's an Organics Bin it's not a trash bin.

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Sorry.

KATHRYN GARCIA: The food scrap drops off stuff.

RAFAEL ESPINAL: Yes, it's amazing, thank you.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: I'm glad to hear that as well. Council Member Chaim Deutsch, also from Brooklyn. Brooklyn is well represented here today and the Bronx.

2.2

CHAIM DEUTSCH: Thank you, thank you
Chair. I just want to say Commissioner, uhm in
regards to Senior Citizens and people with
disabilities, so, how can we better accommodate these
individuals when uhm many are receiving tickets from
Sanitation Department like I have a constituent for
example, who has a prosthetic leg and his aid leaves
before uhm let's say 2:00 in the afternoon and then
the trash needs to be put out like let's say after
4:00 or 5:00.

KATHRYN GARCIA: After 4:00.

CHAIM DEUTSCH: Yeah and so when they put it out sooner, then.

KATHRYN GARCIA: It's a violation.

and this has become an issue in my District. And how could we uhm tackle this? Maybe through Legislation or maybe through uhm working with Sanitation, letting them know certain addresses that people are having difficulties to putting out the trash at the, at the given time. It's been happening quite often to several individuals in my District who are disabled.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Right, no I mean I think that this challenging because we, they, it is

2.2

2 actually a specifically a rule that it has to be 3 after 4:00. There is no discretion.

CHAIM DEUTSCH: More challenging for.

KATHRYN GARCIA: But there is not discretion for the enforcement agent to not write the ticket if they see it. Uhm, we can talk about how we can be helpful in terms of that in different ways but the one thing I would not want to see is the reason that we want it out after 4:00 is because we don't want it to sit out on the curb for an excessive period of time, so, the longer that it is out there it's, it's a problem for attracting vermin. But we can sit down and certainly talk through specifically how many people are having issues with this and look at what we can do going forward.

CHAIM DEUTSCH: Okay, is there anything that you can think of now?

KATHRYN GARCIA: No, there is not, I mean like, because it is, it is pretty rigid. There is no enforcement discretion on this particular piece. It says like we actually did a very specific rule, it used to be a policy. Uhm and then that changed to now it's a rule in the City of New York.

2.2

CHAIM DEUTSCH: Do you believe it could be an issue for, uhm for seniors?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Well I mean, I, I, I my mother is 78 years old she doesn't have a problem getting, making sure that she is complying with what the rules are for the City of New York.

CHAIM DEUTSCH: I'm talking about someone who has a home care attendant or has an aid. So, if that home care attendant leaves at a certain hour where the person cannot pull out the trash, that's, I'm talking about in those circumstances.

KATHRYN GARCIA: I feel like those are a very unique set of circumstances and we should really, we can really, we can talk about that.

CHAIM DEUTSCH: We have, uhm tens of thousands or hundreds of thousands of people with disability in our City and we have uhm a lot of seniors, so from, there is a percentage that cannot pull out their own trash, so they will have maybe their aids or a neighbor who would pull it out for them but it doesn't always go out after 4:00 so you have that, you have that percentage. I'm not talking about someone who could do it on their or and/or they

2.2

could do it on their own, I'm talking about those who
can't.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Alright, we can certainly discuss it in the future.

CHAIM DEUTSCH: And thank you, and I want to just give a shout out to Henry who has been really amazing and very responsive 24, 24 hours a day.

KATHRYN GARCIA: I know.

CHAIM DEUTSCH: As you, thank you.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Thank you.

wanted to just, it seems like we are doing everything. I don't know if we are doing everything to the level that we need to do it. How are we tying it into the zero-waste conversation? How, how are making sure that everything we do speaks to how we are getting to zero waste? Do you have an internal document that shows us how we are going to achieve zero waste and that everything we are doing is contributing to get there? Or are you, kind of or is everyone in the Department of Sanitation right depending on what initiative, working on what's like inside, just trying to get their side road down to zero. I'm just wondering how?

2.2

obviously we have people who are assigned to specific programs. Uhm but also internally there is the overall metrics which we really look at as how much waste are, we sending still to landfills and so that is our, our benchmark. That is what we are driving against and so that's the big number at the end of the day, is that coming down. Are the tons of recyclables going up and we are very careful to try and document what we know and so they all internally understand and perhaps this is one of the things that we need to do in terms of getting the message out, how their pieces fit into the overall pie of driving down to zero waste.

Public Advocate's Bill. Uhm in City Hall we heard has a terrible, does a terrible job, a terrible job of putting the organics in the bin and doing what they are supposed to do. They have a very low return, either they eat all of their organics, all of their lunch is eaten and they are extremely efficient or we are just not doing a good job in City Hall.

So, I just, I guess, I guess the level of achievement that we can get with this program and piloting it.

2.2

Uhm and making, which building will you pilot? Which ones are you looking to, to take on? So that eventually we can get every City, City Building doing their part?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Right no certainly I think this is still something where uhm perhaps we have to do some education and City Hall. Uhm to deepen our engagement there.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: I think what is specifically wrote on the Bill, wrote on the Bill City Hall has to be one of the buildings.

KATHRYN GARCIA: We will, we will continue to work with both your side and other side of the building. Uhm to make sure that we are uhm getting. Uhm you know it is possible that everyone is eating everything. It's unlikely but it is possible uhm that we are getting the diversion that we would expect in that building. But I think we want to look at a variety of sizes like if you are in an office building uhm you know that is large, like how would you do it there? Like what would be your approach? Uhm there are, there are very different scopes of buildings that uhm I think we can look at across all five boroughs, so, we have not picked

2.2

specifically any buildings but we want to see, you know, does, when you go in and you are talking to the Department of Health is that different than when you are talking to the City Council? And how do we change our messaging? Or do they have a cafeteria or do they not have a cafeteria? Are most people bringing in their food? Are most people going out to lunch? I think that those play a role in what you would expect uhm coming out of this?

unusual that we haven't had those conversations already or that we haven't put forth those models that would be ideal in City Sites so we can get there and once we do get that done in these City Buildings that we can use that as a model for private buildings and so forth and expand on that. You know, I wish it wouldn't have taken Legislation to kind of get there. So, can you just like, can we talk about that?

KATHRYN GARCIA: Oh sure, I mean, uhm obviously we obviously appreciate the advocacy of the Public Advocate on this. We do have agencies that are required to do recycling plans so we do engage with them that way. Uhm I think that our focus has been more on the, in the commercial sector more on

2.2

where there is food preparation and food activities occurring just because of the volume and then in the private sec... in the residential sector you know that people are either cooking or like there are more food prep activities and this is also why we were doing schools, though they don't seem to cook that much anymore but that's why we were doing schools as a big push. But we certainly should have been, come to you earlier to have this conversation because I do think it is important that City Agencies show that they can walk the walk and talk the talk.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: That would be go... we should set the example, I agree 100%. Public Advocate, Leticia James.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: So, in schools where, you know I was part of the uhm effort to expand Universal School Lunch. So, with school lunch, with breakfast and I think there is a snack. You are saying that there is less and less cooking? It is all prepackaged and?

KATHRYN GARCIA: It is a lot of prepackaged, uhm it's a lot of prepackaged in the schools these days. You know breakfast in your classroom has been challenging because it is a little

2.2

bit easier when they go to the cafeteria in terms of set up and those things but you know we continue to work closely with school food and with the custodians to make sure that we are getting it right and you know they did make a big push and got rid of styrofoam several years ago which was huge. Uhm and that has made a big difference.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: You said something interesting which caught my attention, you mentioned that as part of our waste stream which has been challenging is the disposal of feminine products and pampers. There are no biodegradable products on the market?

 $\label{eq:KATHRYN GARCIA:} \mbox{Not that people are using.}$ 

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: So ...

KATHRYN GARCIA: I mean that is there is a lot of plastic in diapers and usually there is quite a bit of nonrecyclable material in feminine care products as well. There are some very, very expensive biodegradable products that aren't, that don't perform very well. So, the challenge I think is, is one between you know product performance and you know during the use of the product versus sort of

2.2

what products are out there, uhm the pros and cons of the different ones but right now and right you know people can use cloth diapers but again there is an expense to having those things cleaned, etc. so, so I think the challenge is we don't have the right solution yet. I mean certainly there are companies working on it.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: And is there, does the City have a relationship with some of these major corporations. One to talk about this issue and two to talk about packaging.

NATHRYN GARCIA: So, we don't have necessarily direct relationships with them but we have been working in contour with things like the Closed Loop Fund to meet with like the Unilevers of the World and put a little bit of pressure on them because they will say you know we are doing 20% post-consumer and I am like that's not enough. Uhm you need to help create the market for the materials that you use.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Right.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Uhm we don't have a tremendous amount of leverage beyond the bully pulpit

2.2

but we are trying to make sure that we are having the connection so where we can have an impact we will.

Uhm and using the power of municipalities together to have that conversation. Uhm there is clearly a lot of interest particularly coming out of Europe where there is a lot of pressure on the big multi-nationals to think through their product design and end of life. Uhm they are just not the same Legislation here as there are in other places.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: I do know that we passed a Bill, I believe last year, basically requiring that all I think shelters, I think shelters that we would providing residents I think free diapers, or Pampers and feminine products.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Uh-huh.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: Uhm have we, are we, as part of the RSP was the issue with respect to recycling part of the uhm?

KATHRYN GARCIA: I can't answer that but I mean I would say that probably the issue was the performance of the product.

BRIDGET ANDERSON: Uhm and cost.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Well, and cost and I mean the, the, as Deputy Commissioner Anderson

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

mentioned, you could use cloth diapers, I have to say, it was many, many moons ago, I found them very ineffective. So, it was my intention to try and do that but it didn't actually work out very well.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE LETICIA JAMES: What worked for my grandmother and my mother can work for the nation.

KATHRYN GARCIA: Okay.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Thank you, thank you Public Advocate and Commissioner. Thank you for your time. Uhm I appreciate you coming in and helping us out I just I would like to say that I feel like we are kind of running through the motions here when it comes to a lot of this stuff. I am just hoping we could hit a you know a breakthrough a wall here and really starts seeing these numbers drop in a significant way. But I do thank you for your time and your great work so thank you and Bridget thank you so much for being here as well. We have one panel, Adriana Espinosa from the New York League of Conservation Voters, Justin Wood from NOPI, Greg Todd Community Carting and Composting and Eric Goldstein from NRDC the National Research Defense Council. Eric I am going to have you start.

2	ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Hello? Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman, my name is Eric Goldstein, New York City
4	Environment Director with the National Resources
5	Defense Council. Thank you for your continuing
6	leadership and for calling this hearing. Managing
7	organic, the organics waste stream, food waste and
8	yard waste is the most important, the most important
9	residential trash initiative launched by the de
10	Blasio Administration. Simply stated, it is going to
11	be impossible for New York City to hold itself out as
12	a National Leader and Sustainability if it can't
13	successfully manage its food waste and yard waste
14	properly. Organics represents the single largest
15	portion of the residential waste stream, more than
16	30% according to the City's most recent waste
17	characterization study. Most of the organics now
18	going to landfills or incinerators where they are
19	buried and generate methane, a very potent global
20	warming gas as you know. So, unless the
21	Administration is able to implement the better
22	solution it will never, ever be able to achieve its
23	zero waste goals or its equally ambitious climate
24	reduction, climate warming reduction goals and under
25	the current approach it will waste valuable

resources. On the commercial side, as you know,
there remains excess food that could and should be
repurposed and diverted to food banks, etc. and for
both commercial and residential organics uhm these
materials can be converted into valuable compose and
bio gas. Over time, directing organics from away
from landfills and incinerators can also save tax
payer dollars as the experience in City's that have
implemented mandatory programs like San Francisco
have demonstrated. Uhm, Sanitation Commissioner
Garcia has recognized these facts. On her very first
day in office she pledged to make the Department a
National leaders in sustainability and specifically
highlighted the need for separated collection of
compostable for City residents. And to her credit
and the Department's credit the Organics Collection
Program launched in the Bloomberg Administration has
been greatly expanded under her leadership. The DSNY
Program now is already available to over 1 million
City households. But earlier this year, the
continued expansion of the Organics Collection
Program to new City neighborhoods was halted.
Apparently due to City Hall Budget cutbacks. This
decision is most short sided and must be reversed.

Expansion of this program along with comprehensive
education and outreach efforts are essential if the
City's basic sustainability goals are to be achieved.
Public Advocate Leticia James has also recognized the
importance of better handling of the organics waste
stream. Last year, she proposed Legislation that
would require all City Agency buildings to
participate in the City's Organics Waste Recycling
Program, this makes perfect sense and that
Legislation was strongly supported by NRDC, as Public
Advocate James wrote "our public servants should be
the leaders on this issue and help our City towards
greater sustainability and responsibility." Training
over 300,000 municipals employees to separate food
waste by composting is needed she wrote to propel a
cultural shift throughout the City and improve the
efficiency of the Organics Program. Unfortunately,
Public Advocate James sensible original proposal was
apparently too much for some short-sided City Hall
officials. The amended version of this Legislation
Intro 1075A would establish a pilot program mandating
that at least 15 building occupied by City Agencies
and at least boroughs participate in Organics Pilot
Demonstration Program beginning in July 2019. We

don't need any Demonstration Programs. We know that
this strategy works. Nevertheless, NRDC supports
Intro 1075A, even a small step forward is significant
when public policy is turning in the wrong direction
but much, much more is needed. The City Council has
led New York on solid waste issues in general in
composing in particularly in recent years. Both the
residential and commercial programs now underway owe
much to the City Council Legislation passed in 2013
and your leadership has advanced not only this issue
but many others on the Solid Waste scene. But now is
the time once again for a comprehensive City Council
action on this issue. We urge the Council to advance
Legislation in 2019 that would direct DSNY to
implement the City-wide mandatory Organics Collection
Program by December 2020. The Commissioner and the
Department could implement such a program, the public
would support such a program and we challenge the
Administration to tell us how it will ever meet its
Zero Waste Goals without such a program serving every
City neighborhood. Thanks for your listening and for
your continued leadership.

ADRIANA ESPINOSA: Good morning, my name
is Adriana Espinosa I am the Director of the New York
City Program at the New York League of Conservation
Voters. Thank you to Chair Reynoso for the
opportunity to testify here today. At the New York
League of Conservation Voters supports the passage of
Intro 1075A sponsored by Public Advocate James.
Diverting waste from landfills, organic waste from
landfills is a critical component of the City's Zero
Waste Goal. Organics represents the largest category
of waste at 34% of the overall waste stream. The
waste emits large quantities of methane, a potent
greenhouse gas that is harmful to our environment but
can otherwise be recycled to become compost or even
cream energy. Reaching the 0 by 30 goal established
in OneNYC will require work from all New Yorkers,
cooperation from City Officials and private industry
and by and from the general public and as is the case
for all of our ambitious sustainability goals the
City should be leading the way going farther, faster
than they are expecting of every day New Yorkers. As
of Fiscal Year, 2017, curbside recycling rigs were
approximately 17.5% while this is an increase of 2005
levels, it is moving far to slowly to reach the 2030

benchmark. More aggressive actions are necessary to
get us on track to 0 by 30 and the City can and must
lead by example to achieve these goals. While we
wish the Bill went further, a pilot program to expand
the organics program to City Agencies and Special Use
Buildings it is still a positive step forward. If
this Bill is adopted, we strongly encourage the
Department to make the pilot available to as many
City Agencies as practicably with an eye toward
expanding to all City Agencies and Institutional
Special Use Buildings served by DSNY. Further, NYLCV
hopes that the outreach and education conducted
pursuant to Intro 1075A will be used to inform the
Department of best practices to expand into a
Citywide Outreach Program on recycling. For these
reasons, the New York League of Conservation Voters
supports the passage of Intro 1075A and we urge the
City Council to pass this important Legislation.
Thank you.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Thank you, Adriana.

JUSTIN WOOD: Good morning, uhm thank you
Chair Reynoso for holding this hearing and thank you
to Public Advocate James for sponsoring this

Legislation. My name is Justin Wood I am the
Director of Organizing a strategic research at New
York Lawyers for the Public Interest and I am going
to start by largely echoing the comments of my
colleagues in the environment movement. Uhm with
every international and federal report finding that
we have entered a period of accelerating climate
change and climate related social crisis. It is
imperative that our local government do everything in
its power to reduce greenhouse emissions, including
emissions from landfilling of organic waste. So,
while we thank the Public Advocate for introducing
this Bill, uhm we are really disappointed that this
is such a limited pilot and, and it's surprising that
we are not moving forward with a, a much more
aggressive Bill to ensure that all City buildings uhm
participate in Organics Recycling. Uhm we urge DSNY
to go beyond the bear minimum uhm in this Bill and
rapidly ramp up to Organics Recycling Service to a
larger number of municipal buildings as soon as
possible. However, as a pilot program, this Bill is
a small step in the right direction that can set the
stage for a much bolder expansion of both Municipal
and Commercial Organics Recycling across the City for

three reasons. First, by encouraging our huge City
workforce to participate in waste diversion at work
we also promote Organics Recycling at home and in our
communities. Thousands of municipal employees and
New Yorkers visiting these municipal buildings can
become ambassadors for Organics Recycling. Second,
by increasing the volume of source separated Organic
Waste along DSNY Collection Routes, this measure may
enable some of these routes to become more efficient
and cost effective. Both the collection and
processing of Organic waste via composing and
anaerobic digestion creates significant more jobs
than exporting waste to landfills or incineration and
should be viewed as a source or green job development
for the NYC region and part of our Green New Deal
that hopefully we are going to be working toward on
all fronts. Third, Organic Waste Collection from
municipal buildings can also serve as a model and
testing ground for similar types of buildings in NYCs
huge commercial sector which lags far behind other
cities in recycling and Organics Recycling. As you
know, DSNY and the City Council, uhm are preparing to
implement a Zoned Waste Collection System which will
be a historic reform of the private waste system and

require private haulers to meet disposal reduction
targets, consistent with the City's Zero Waste Goal
and to provide accurate and transparent data about
quantities collected and recycled. And I want to
pause and also thank the Public Advocate for her
support of this historic policy and her staff's
participation in that process as well. Because the
Zoned Commercial Waste System will enable DSNY to
have far more oversight and involvement in commercial
recycling programs, comparisons between municipal
buildings and commercial buildings could enable to
Agency to test employee education program,
contamination levels and diversion rates to find best
practices as both recycling programs expand. I will
just close by noting that the City's OneNYC Plan
calls for a 90% reduction of disposed waste from both
the DSNY Managed Waste Stream and the Commercial
Sector by 2030 which necessitates major growth in
Organics Recycling participation in both sectors over
the next 10 years. When the face the stark reality
of the climate crisis, cities like New York will need
to do a lot more to achieve major greenhouse gas
emissions reductions across every sector including
waste management as quickly as possible. In

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

conclusion, we hope the pilot program and Intro 1075A is viewed as a small but important precursor to a much larger transformation of our city's waste systems. Thank you.

CHAIR ANTONIO REYNOSO: Thank you.

GREG TODD: Uhm Council Member Reynoso for this opportunity to testify and to Leticia James for sponsoring this uhm innovative Legislation. My name is Greg Todd. I am the co-founding of Community Carting and Composting and we are a community based micro-carter in Crown Heights Brooklyn. As revealed in the 2017 Waste Characterization Study conducted by the Sanitation Department, about 1/3 of the waste generated by New York City residents is organics. Given that the City generates about 11,000 tons per day of commercial waste, that means that it sends into landfills approximately 3,300 tons of organics per day from offices and businesses. Plan OneNYC states that the City's goal is to have zero recyclables in the landfill by 2030. If the City has any hope of achieving this lofty goal in just 12 years it needs to begin at home with its own offices. As sanitation increases the requirements on businesses to divert organics and in fact is issuing

fines for those that don't, it will indeed cause
considerable consternation among businesses to be
fined for not composting organics when they know the
City isn't doing its fair share in its own offices.
We at Community Carting feel the best place for the
City to compost organics is locally. At locally
owned and operated community resource recovery
facilities. These facilities such as BK Rot, Big
Reuse, Earth Matter and Red Hook Composing process
organics locally. Not at distant facilities
reachable only by long truck trips. By operating
locally in our communities, we keep the jobs and
products of composting such as soil amendments right
here in New York City. Further, because officers are
not large generators of food waste, the amounts
generated could be taken to the local processing
facility by micro-carters such as Community Carting
and Composting. The net result would be green jobs
in our communities and fewer truck trips. We at
Community Carting and Compost stand ready to serve
the needs of the City's offices. Let us know how we
can help. I would like to further add that I think
the consensus of many is that a part of the problem
and our low recycling rates is the lack of education

2.2

and one of the efforts, I am also the Chair of the Organics Committee at the Brooklyn Solid Waste Advisory Board and we are now collaborating with the Manhattan and the Queens Solid Waste Advisory Board to have an Organics Earth Day town hall meeting and we are have been actively working on that and will probably be held at the Brooklyn borough Hall and we are going to look at uhm thinking outside the box and educating people in the community about some of the other things that they could do to get involved in organics composting. So more on that soon. Thank you.

that. Please let me know. I would love to partner and be helpful in that effort and you know I hear the entire panel in unison talking about this not being enough. I will engage with the Commissioner to make sure that the pilot program is just that and that we have a plan to more aggressively go after all of the City Buildings which should be a goal that they should have anyway. I don't think that would be too much of a challenge uhm but I really appreciate your testimony and time today and thank you for all of the

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT work that you do. So, thank you very much. Uhm we are adjourned. Thank you so much (gavel pounding). 

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date JANUARY 19, 2019