CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

----- X

DECEMBER 3, 2018 Start: 10:09 A.M. Recess: 12:50 P.M.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: RORY I. LANCMAN, CHAIRMAN COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM

COUNCIL MEMBERS: ANDREW COHEN

ALAN N. MAISEL DEBORAH L. ROSE ERIC A. ULRICH

KEITH POWERS, CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

ROBERT HOLDEN

ALICKA AMPRY-SAMUEL

CARLINA RIVERA

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

ANN PELNACK (SP?), Deputy Director of Crime Strategies for the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

HAZEL JENNINGS, Chief of New York City Department of Corrections

WILLIAM BARNES

ELIZABETH VENDOR, Attorney with Legal Aid Society Decarceration Project

ALAINA WEISMAN, Bronx Freedom Fund Director

YUONA ZEITZ (SP?), Bronx Freedom Fund Product Associate

SARAH RAHIMI, Bronx Freedom Fund Administrative Assistant

ASH STEVENS, Co-Manager of Bail Operations at the Brooklyn Community Bail Fund

SCOTT LEAVY, Special Counsel to Criminal Defense Practice of Bronx Defenders

SERGIO DE LAPAVA (SP?), New York County Defender Services

KATHERINE GONZALEZ, Brooklyn Defender Services Attorney

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

JOANNA WEISS, Co-Director of Fines and Fees Justice Center

LYNETTE HOWARD, Close Riker's Island Campaign

HARVEY MURPHY, Free New York Campaign and Close Riker's Island Campaign, Just Leadership Community Organizer

WILLIAM EVANS, Neighborhood Benches President

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 SARGEANT AT ARMS: Test, test, test.

Today's date is... this is a test. Committee on

Criminal Justice joint with Justice System. Today's

date is December 3rd, 2018. This is recorded by

Sakeem Brown (SP?).

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Good morning. you everybody for being here today. I hope everybody had a nice weekend. I am Council Member Keith Powers, Chair of the Criminal, Chair of the Committee on Criminal Justice. I am joined here by Council Member Rory Lancman, Chair of the Committee on Justice System for today's joint oversight hearing on the Department of Corrections Compliance with the Council's Bail Easement Laws. We are joined as well by Council Member Holden from Queens and will be joined by many other members later as they come in. Uhm thank you for Department of Corrections for being here. Back in June of 2017 the City Council passed a series of local laws aimed at easing the Bail Payment process. These Laws were adopted and respond to difficulties, justice involved individuals and their family's faced with paying bail. Folks had to jump through too many hoops to make bail payments. For example, there were certain blackout periods lasting

2	up to 24 hours in which the Department of Corrections
3	did not accept Bail Payment because the individual
4	was in transit to a DOC facility or in the middle of
5	the intake process. While the Department of
6	Corrections still has to be complied with the Bail
7	Easement Laws it has been out belief consistently
8	falling short. The Bronx Freedom Fund founded the
9	Department of Corrections and a recent report found
10	the Department of Corrections does not accept cash
11	bail payment at courthouses in the Bronx which the
12	local law requires and that the DOC has also stated
13	that as well. The Department struggles to comply
14	with local law requiring it to release incarcerated
15	individuals within three hours of bail payment.
16	According to that same report, about 62% of Bronx
17	Freedom Fund clients were released over five hours
18	after bail had been posted and they have shared
19	stories and we have heard many more of those who have
20	waited hours to be released after bail was paid. One
21	of the clients, Jay, was detained for 32 hours after
22	his bond was posted and that's 32, because the
23	Department of Corrections believed that he had a
24	parole warrant which he did not. What is really
25	disheartening about this story is that his wife had

2 saved up money for his bond even though she was able, was not able to work while he was in jail because she 3 4 is the primary caretaker for the child. She ended up losing her job because he was not released in time to 5 watch the child the very next day. This is to 6 7 unacceptable. It is stories that we continue to hear and ones that we would like to address today. No one 8 who posts bail should be held in custody longer than 9 they need to be. The collateral consequences of pre-10 dialed, pre-trialed attention in our opinion are too 11 12 severe if not damaging as this story illustrates. Folks run the risk of losing employment, public 13 14 benefit, housing, and education and much more while 15 waiting to try, while detailed awaiting trial and the 16 lives of their loved ones who are affected by the loss of income and emotional support. It is bad 17 18 enough that we make it hard for these folks and their family to post bail. Department in our view must 19 take immediate corrective to comply with local laws. 20 I know there was an announcement today that we will 21 2.2 hear more about. I look forward to hearing the 23 Department's Action Plan for today's analysis and moving forward and ways in which the City Council can 24 25 help facilitate the compliance with these local laws

-		
_	_	

and ways that we can do better overall. Today we
will hear, we will also a Bill Intro 1199 that is my
Bill which will eliminate fees associated with credit
card bail payments and allow online bail payment to
be made by direct and electronic checks. Today I
believe it was 7.9% if you pay a fee, if you pay it
in person and it is 2% if you are paying online. The
Bill will ensure that families of incarcerated people
are not burdened with unnecessary fees to make a bail
payment. Additionally, we will also be hearing Intro
944 introduced by Council Member Lancman which
required DOC to notify incarcerated individuals,
defense attorneys and court personnel when an inmate
is detained solely on the bail of \$1. With that
said, I want to thank my staff and the staff here at
the City Council for helping put together this
hearing. I want to thank the Department of
Corrections for being here and the staff from the
Mayor's office and the DOC for their assistance with
today's hearing as well and everybody who is here to
tell their stories and share their antidotes as well
uhm and I want to thank all the Council Members here
in attendance and to those who will be here soon.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

So, with that I am going to hand it over to Council Member Lancman, Chair Lancman I should say.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Thank you, good

Uhm Councilman Rory Lancman, Chair of the morning. Committee on the Justice System. I want to thank Council Members uhm Council Member Keith Powers for leading this important hearing on the difficulties of posting bail and the countless obstructions, hurdles and delays that stand between accused individuals and their freedom. We talk constantly about how there are people sitting on Riker's Island because they can't afford their bail. But it is even more maddening that many who can afford to post bail or essentially held captive by an absurd tangle of bureaucracy and the fault lies not in the stars but in ourselves. Well over there. New York City actively makes the process of paying bail owners and it is frankly both confounding and disgraceful. package of five Bills that this Council passed in July 2017 was designed to focus attention on a series of specific choke points. Where at all time, a little information or a little assistance could mean the difference between going home and going to Riker's Island. But here we are, more than 16 months

25

2 later, without any indication that these Laws have been meaningfully implemented. We hear practically 3 every day for defenders, service providers and 4 advocates about individuals who spend extra hours, 5 6 days or weeks in jail for no good reason. Loved ones 7 who cannot show up to demonstrate community ties or pay bail because a Defendant can't remember their 8 phone number and was never offered the chance to get 9 it off of the cellphone taken from them at arrest. 10 Men and women transported to Riker's because the bus 11 12 is leaving. Never mind that a family member is rushing to the Courthouse to pay their bail. 13 14 Defendants put through the time and resource 15 intensive process of intake only to be bailed out 16 hours later. Confusion about where and how to post bail, bail facilitators, nowhere to be found and even 17 18 once the Jacobian system is navigated and bail is paid it takes an entire work day for someone to be 19 20 released. The City has failed each and every one of these individuals. Today we demand to know why. 21 2.2 am also pleased that today we will also consider my 23 Bill, Intro 944, to require a \$1 bail notification \$1 bail can be set on a case to allow a 24

Defendant to receive credit for the time they spend

1	WITH COMMI
2	incarcerat
3	the whole
4	is resolve

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ed on a previous open case well because of of the jurisdiction. If the earlier case d, Defendants and their families may be unaware that \$1 is all that is preventing them from going home, that is unacceptable. My Bill will require the Department of Corrections to inform Defendants, their Defense Attorneys and the Court when someone is being detailed solely on a bail amount of \$1. So, I look forward to hearing today from the Department of Corrections, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, Public Defenders and Advocates about how the City can do its job and keep people off Riker's Island who shouldn't be there. Let me uhm just note that we have been joined by Council Member Debbie Rose from Staten Island who is a Member of the Committee on the Justice System. Also joined by Council Member Bob Holden and Council Member Alicka Samuel, uhm both of whom are Members of the Committee on Criminal Justice.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you. have to take that that first.

COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth. Oh, if everyone could raise their right hand, thank you. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond

4 honestly to Council Member questions?

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you. You may begin.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Good morning uhm Chair Lancman, Chair Powers and Members of the Justice System Committee and the Criminal Justice Committee. My name is Ann Pelnack (SP?) I am the Deputy Director of Crime Strategies for the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. MOCJ advises the Mayor on Public Safety strategy and together with partners inside and out, outside Government develop and implement policies that promote public safety and fairness and reduce unnecessary incarceration. Since the beginning of the de Blasio Administration the jail population has declined by 27% with the steepest four-year decline since 1998. The City now has the lowest incarceration rate of any big US City. Meanwhile the major crime has fallen by 76% in the last 30 years and by 9% in the last four. 2017 was the safest year in COM stat history. This success is shared by partners in the Criminal Justice System,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the Government and all New Yorkers. The number of people in City jail has fallen across almost every category during this Administration. For example, the number of people held on bail of under 2,000 has fallen by around 60%. The number of people serving City sentence has fallen by 17% and the number of 16 and 17 years old in detention has fallen by more than 60%. Reduction in our jail population is not just due to decrease in arrest. It also a result of intention diversion activities with the primary driver being supervised release, a diversion program supported by this Council. Supervised Release recently reached a milestone of diverting over 10,000 people from the jail today. This pioneering program was developed in close partnership with the Courts, the five District Attorney's Offices and the Defense Bar with the District Attorney of Manhattan providing the initial funding to help get this program running. Since the program launched, 87% of Defendants under supervised release have attended all of their Court dates. More work needs to be done to reduce a jail population reforming New York's Bail Stature to eliminate money bail would go a long way to attaining the goal of a smaller and fairer Criminal Justice

25

2 System. Sure. The impact of this antiquated system is felt primarily by men and women of color or lack 3 4 adequate funding to post cash bail. This is a reform 5 that this Administration has supported and we hope that the New York City Legislature will help move New 6 7 York forward by-passing Bail Reform next session. intend over the next year to work to accomplish 8 shared goals of Comprehensive Criminal Justice 9 Passing measures such as Bail Reform and 10 other Criminal Justice Reforms will allow us to 11 12 safely reduce our population on Riker's Island. The amount of money in one's pocket or bank account 13 should not determine whether or not a person is 14 15 incarcerated. Until we see the necessary reforms 16 enacted in Albany New York City is committed to doing all we can to make the system as efficient and fair 17 18 as possible. Indeed, our office works every day with stakeholders to make investments and reforms. 19 20 to improve the experience and reduce our reliance on incarceration. While the City strongly supports bail 21 2.2 reforms it is also important to understand the vital 23 roles played by multiple parties in the Criminal 24 Justice System. A system that has no one boss.

setting of bail is a good example of this.

25

2 person is arrested and then arraigned, the prosecutor may request bail, the Defense attorney may argue on 3 behalf of his or her client for release or some other 4 conditions and then the Judge makes the final 5 6 decision on setting bail. In the event that a Judge 7 sets bail, the individual is held in the custody of the Department of Correction until at least one of 8 the forms of bail that has been set by the Judge has 9 been provided, either by the individual or by another 10 person on the individual's behalf. The various 11 12 processes for paying bail involve certain challenges, including reaching someone who can, who can make the 13 14 payment quickly. The Mayor's office has worked 15 closely with the courts, the Department of Correction 16 and providers in various ways to address these difficult system programs. Speeding up the bail 17 18 payment process can have significant impact. last year, approximately 17,000 individuals made bail 19 20 after they were booked into jail with 77% making bail within one week of being detained. This suggested 21 2.2 that these Defendants may be able to afford bail but 23 that the time that it takes to gather the funds as well as inefficiencies in the bail payment process 24

could be leading to delays that result in unnecessary

WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 2 time behind bars. To address these inefficiencies, the City rolled out several programs to make it 3 4 easier to post bail more quickly and has supported the Department of Corrections efforts to improve the 5 6 bail process. These programs and initiatives include 7 creating an online bail system, accessible by internet phone and kiosk that became operational this 8 spring, creating an alert to notify Defense attorney 9 and court staff when the Defendant has the potential 10 to be detained solely on \$1 bail which is an 11 12 Administrative hold used by the court system in order to ensure that these Defendants aren't released 13 14 promptly, eliminating the 3% fee taken from the 15 individual's bail after plea or found guilty and 16 installing ATMs in every courthouse to ensure that people have access to cash to post bail. The launch 17 18 of on-line bail system has made payments easier and provides an additional avenue for family and friends 19 20 regardless of location to provide financial assistance, to make bail payments. The on-line bail 21 2.2 payment system is the first of its kind and the 23 flexibility to allow the use of multiple credit cards 24 can help individuals pull resources.

availability around night bail is individuals posting

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bail is no longer needs to make payments in person at the courthouse or at DOC facility. For many of New Yorkers, of New York's most vulnerable population, this means that they no longer need to take a day off of work or find alternatives for childcare which create additional cost for families. Currently the Council is considering Intro 1199-2018 sponsored by Chairman Powers which would remove the fees associated with credit card bail payments. Additionally, the Bill would allow on-line payments to be made by direct deposit and electronic check. In that regard, we note first that neither DOC nor the Office of Court Administration accepts payment with direct deposit, electronic check or personnel checks of any kind. Due to security reasons, checks do not clear timely and may take up to three to five business days. In order to ensure that bail is paid, cashier's checks and credit cards protect against fraud and provide increased security. We are open to exploring additional payment options such as cashier's check to be paid on-line. Second, the City does not collect any fees associated with on-line bail payments, DOC has a contract with a vendor that is often one of the lowest fees in the country.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today and for your continued support and partnership in improving the bail system and creating smaller, safer, and fairer justice system that works for all New Yorkers.

HAZEL JENNINGS: So good morning Chair Powers, Chair Lancman and Members of the Criminal Justice and Members of the Committee of the Justice I am Hazel Jennings, the Chief of the New York City Department of Corrections. My colleagues and I are here today to discuss the City's Bail Processes Reforms that we have implemented over the last few years, and improvements that we are enacting now. As the Council is aware, the City has implemented several important Bail Reform Initiatives over the last few years. DOC has been a partner in several of these initiatives and have implemented a number of improvements to bail process. include several changes that were guided by local law. To begin, I will briefly walk you through the bail process and to discuss how DOC processes bails. From there, I will discuss our recent reforms and our class of future improvements and then the comment on Intro 944 which is the second Bill being considered today. If a Defendant receives bail and is not able

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to pay it immediately in the Court, he or she is then turned over to DOC custody. The DOC offices in the Courthouse accept custody of the individual and book him or her into the system. From there, the detainee is transferred to a housing facility where new admission processing is completed and the individual is housed. As soon as the person is entered into DOC system, DOC may accept bail for the individual. a bail is paid, there are several steps required to accept the bail and release the detainee. When the surety requests to pay bail, the facility where the individual is housed must confirm his or her bail and case number to ensure that the correct bail is being paid. At this point, staff also check to see whether there are any warrants in the system and determine whether or not the person could be released upon bail payment. With that information, the cashier can accept the surety's bail payment. Once the bail was collected and the surety is given a bail receipt the housing facility is notified and the discharge process begins. To release someone, facility staff must check the system and the court paperwork to reconfirm all of the case details and confirm whether any warrants or holds have been received for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

individual. The required paperwork review confirms that the bailed-out individual can be released. next step is to confirm that the correct individual is released so staff must interview the individual and take his or her fingerprints to confirm identification. These processes are repeated by supervisors who must sign off on the discharge. Once the discharge has been approved, the individual is provided with a MetroCard and his or her valuable property. This kind of year, the person is also given a coat. The discharge process is similar for everyone regardless of what is triggering the discharge bail payment or warrant lifted and sentence completed. As mentioned, we have implemented Reforms within this system over the past few years and we continue to identify ways and areas to improve. Several of these are guided by local laws. With CJA we have expanded the bail expedition or BEX program so that more people can be held in the court facilities for longer periods after arraignment given loved one times to pay bail before the Defendant is transferred to a jail facility. In compliance with the Law, we now hold individuals for at least fourhours when a hold is requested. CJA which operates

25

2 the BEX program has expanded eligibility in all boroughs so that everyone whose bail is less than 3 4 5,000 in all adolescents now qualify. We eliminated 5 the blackout period that used to prevent bail from 6 being paid while the Detainee was being transported 7 from the courts to a jail. Now loved ones can pay a bail while the Defendant is on the bus so that he or 8 she can be processed for release immediately upon 9 arriving to the facility. We have also reduced the 10 length of time that is permissible to release someone 11 12 after bail is paid. Outside of specific circumstances, everyone must be released within three 13 14 hours of the bail payment process being completed. 15 In addition to complying with these Local Laws, DOC 16 has been working on our own with MOCJ to implement significant reforms. Earlier this year, DOC 17 18 implemented a formal system to notify individuals when they are in custody on just \$1 bail. Our IT 19 20 Department generates daily reports of all individuals who are on custody for just \$1 bail with no other 21 2.2 holds or cases. This list is sent to the Bureau 23 Chief of Facility Operations and to each facility that has someone in custody for just \$1 bail. Staff 24

are required to notify the individual of the bails,

1 2 ask them whether they want to pay their \$1 from commissary accounts and if they do not have a \$1 3 4 within their account, they are able to call sureties 5 to pay the bail. So far, this policy has been 6 effective to ensure that no one remains in custody 7 just because of \$1 bail. Perhaps more notably in April of this year, we launched an on-line bail 8 payment system. This on-line system allows sureties 9 10 to pay eligible bails from any computer, tablet or smart phone so that the surety does not have to 11 12 travel to a DOC facility and wait for checks to be 13 conducted in person. Instead, the surety simply 14 requests to pay bail and then receives e-mail 15 notifications informing his or her whether the Detainee will be released. This does not make, this 16 does not just make bail paying easier for local 17 18 sureties, the system allows bail to be paid by people who live far away and allow payments to be split 19 20 among several individuals. We continue to look for ways to improve the bail process, starting last month 21 2.2 I have begun having weekly meetings with key staff to 23 oversee the implementation of several improvements.

These initiatives include improvements on existing

policies and developments of new policies. We have

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

re-imaged the bail facilitative role which was created by local law last year. At the time the Bill was implemented we decided that the best way to fulfill the bail facilitator's obligation was to incorporate them into several positions that were already doing similar work. This plan was discussed in the hearing about the Bill and over the last several weeks we reassessed this and determined that compliance will be better achieved by designated specific posts on bail facilitators in both the courts and the jails. We have increased the number of ways bail information is shared with detainees. Previously information had been provided in the Inmate Handbook. Now, all new Detainees will receive a bail information pamphlet, receive the printout of the bail amounts and court case information and are shown an informational video which is available in both English and Spanish. We have created this bail information video and installed televisions to show the video in all new admission court areas and facility intakes. Posters about bails and bonds have been created to hand in new admission areas, housing areas, visit areas and congregate service areas to provide information to family and friends, not only

1 2 those just in custody. The Bail Facilitator in the courts ensures that everyone receives all of these 3 4 things and all newly admitted individuals are 5 afforded access to phone to contact sureties. 6 have updated out intake processing paperwork to 7 require that the officer will confirm in writing that the required information has been provided to the 8 Detainee. Our next step is to go live with on-line 9 bail kiosk in the new admission court areas. 10 those who qualify for on-line bail payment can pay 11 12 their own bail at the courthouse and never have to be transported to a jail. The Kiosks have been 13 14 installed already and we hope to have them up and 15 running prior to the end of the year. We have 16 already shared several of these policy 17 implementations with Council but today we have two 18 new innovations to announce. Money orders and checks for bail payments made out to the New York City 19 20 Department of Corrections. They no longer have to be made out to an individual facility where the bail is 21 2.2 being paid. We hope that this makes the process 23 easier for sureties because the previous requirement

had caused some confusion on how to make out the

checks. Bond payment cut slips may be delivered to

24

25

4

24

25

2 any DOC facility regardless of to where the Detainee is being housed. Previously, a cut slip for someone 3 on Riker's Island had to be brought to Riker's Island 5 in the Housing Facility. Now that clip may be 6 delivered to any of the borough facilities. Again, 7 we hope this makes the process easier for sureties who do not have to choose between traveling to 8 Riker's or paying a Bails Bondsman to do so. Each of 9 these new policies has come out of our Bail Working 10 Group and DOC is constantly looking for ways to make 11 12 the bail payment process simpler. We are not waiting for state bail reforms, we identify and implementing 13 14 what changes can be had. DOC certainly supports the 15 spirit of Intro 944 as mentioned earlier. We are 16 already in compliance with a large portion of the Bill, of individuals notifying them of their \$1 17 18 status; however, we notify incarcerated individuals of the bail amounts and we will certainly continue to 19 20 do so. We would like to request that the attorney and the court notification requirements be removed 21 2.2 from the Bill. The success of our existing program 23 indicates that notifications to people other than the

detainee are not necessary to ensure people are

bailed out efficiently. We are happy to continue to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

discuss this with the Council moving forward to ensure that the best policy is enacted and thank you again for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, you, uhm thank you for Assistant Chief for testifying, you are here for questions too?

HAZEL JENNINGS: Yes, we will be testifying.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, thank you and we have also been joined by Council Member Rivera as well from the great borough of Manhattan. thank you for the testimony and I think that we all share the same sentiment that waiting on the State is not the preferred route here and that the City should be doing all it can. Uhm the, I wanted to just note one of the sentences in the testimony from MOCJ uhm that I wanted to ask a followup question on. It says last year, approximately 17,000 individuals made bail after they were booked into jail with 77% making bail within one week of being detained, suggesting that Defendants may be able to afford bail. By the time that it takes to gather the funds as well as inefficiencies and the bail payment process can be leading to the result of unnecessary time behind bars

2.2

which I would note as a kind of a thesis here. So, can you just tell us, even with today's announcement what inefficiencies you think still remain in the bail payment process that could remain in the bail payment process that could lead to folks to being, remaining to be detained even beyond the point where they have the funds to pay bail?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): I think that, those are the Operation questions. I think that is best answered by the Department of Corrections.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Sure. Yeah. Okay.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): I think discussing the discharge process. Uhm but I think that we want to note that the City is committed to making the process as efficient as we, as we can while we are waiting for the State Legislation to make the Bail Reform.

HAZEL JENNINGS: So, for the purpose of the working group that we week, we are looking at operational things that could be improved and our overall goal of these measures to ensure that anyone who had, can pay bail does not remain in our custody due to lack of confusion or information or help and that we want people who are eligible to return to

2.2

their lives with as little interruption as possible.

So, we are open to any new ideas, we are looking at

every aspect of the bail payment system to make sure

that we close that gap and that we are making it as

streamless and effortlessly as possible.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Can you maybe share with us any other operational things that you guys are considering or ways that the Council can be supportive to improve the operational uhm, part of this process?

HAZEL JENNINGS: So.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Even before State.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Yeah, so we are looking at the audit process. We currently do not have a tech solution for that as of yet and we are working with that. And MOCJ and also with the uhm payment centers in both Staten Island and the Bronx.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay and if you can let us know if the Council can be supportive to operational improvements that the Department is making, we would appreciate being part of that conversation and also assisting to fix issues that we perceived and were here today. Can you tell us uhm

2.2

2 the average length of time before an individual is 3 released after bail was paid?

HAZEL JENNINGS: So, currently the average length of time is about 4.5 hours and it is like even on the ideal circumstances it takes a few hours to process an inmate to be discharged for various reasons that is critical to confirm the correct person is being discharged and every inmate that is known to mental health that is in the BRAD-H program has to be seen by a medical condition to receive medication and their discharge planning. And any inmate with mental or medical needs have to be seen by medical, that includes within that time person.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: So, today the average is 4.5 hours and so I mean on admission at least I'm not here to, I'm here to help fix a problem but the Department is out of compliance with the three hours?

HAZEL JENNINGS: So, I would say that we are in compliance, there are components or circum, or certain circumstances in which an inmate has to be seen that uhm extends the amount of time in which he is released; however, we are also working on

1	COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 29	
2	technical solutions because the clock starts when the	
3	bail is paid and right now there is no time frame or	
4	clock that starts when the, when the funds are	
5	actually uhm received.	
6	CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, so you are	
7	saying, it's, it's, when paid not received is when	
8	the clock starts.	
9	HAZEL JENNINGS: It's when it's paid and	
10	the, and the bail is actually posted there is a	
11	three-hour start.	
12	CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay uhm can you	
13	tell us what percentage of people with bail paid are	
14	released after three hours?	
15	HAZEL JENNINGS: So, I think it is uhm.	
16	Say, can you repeat that?	
17	CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Yeah, what	
18	percentage of people with bail paid are released, I'm	
19	sorry within the three-hour window?	
20	HAZEL JENNINGS. About 19%	

20

21 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: 19%?

22 HAZEL JENNINGS: Yes.

25

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: So, 19%, about 1/5 23

24 of individuals are getting the mandated.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Three hours.

2.2

2 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And 80% are, are not 3 meeting that?

HAZEL JENNINGS: Because they fall within the other categories where they meet discharge planning, they have warrants or other jurisdiction holds, they are currently not in our custody when the bail is paid, or they are being transported or medical or mental health services are being applied.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, and can you do you have data broken down by where an individual is held, and are there, are there facilities that are per... Can you go with it? Let's just start with that, do you have data broken down by where, where an individual is held?

HAZEL JENNINGS: Not at this time.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Not at this time.

But do you do you have it and is it something that we can take a look at. And I know this because you know some of the data that we discuss I think is borough specific.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Correct.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And reports. So, we would be interested in seeing.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 HAZEL JENNINGS: So, we have no problem 3 with work or Council to provide that information.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, thank you and uhm the, well I'll go back to it. And so, uhm you know, following up that point, I think that, I think that according to the DOC there was an audit in the few weeks of October revealing an average release to be 3 hours and 40 minutes and then we've heard different data from the Bronx Freedom Fund about average release time for their clients that was 13 hours and 3 minutes, the median release time being 6 hours and 53 minutes. Can you describe those discrepancies? I think you just said 4.5 hours. have a three hours and 40 minutes and then we have at least from a certain group of people six hours and 53 minutes, so maybe explain the audit to us, how many people did it include? Where did it take place? And any issues particular to the Bronx that might be causing delay?

WILLIAM BARNES: So, uhm Council, my name is William Barnes. I just want to point that approximately just going back, there was 19% of people booked out in less than two hours which is

WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 32 1 2 under the three-hour mark and uhm I'm sorry. What was the other question? 3 4 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Sorry, say that 5 again. 6 WILLIAM BARNES: So, when the Chief spoke 7 before it was 19% of individuals are booked out in less than two hours. 8 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Less than two hours. 9 WILLIAM BARNES: Correct. 10 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And what's the, 11 12 three hours? WILLIAM BARNES: I'll have to get back to 13 14 you on that specific, that specific data but that's 15 a, that's an increase in getting them out guicker. 16 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, the local law 17 is three hours correct? 18 WILLIAM BARNES: Yes. CHAIR KEITH POWERS: So, can you check, 19 20 you don't have data about your compliance level with the three hours, the three-hour law? Or maybe a 21 2.2 followup question, why is 2%, I'm sorry why is two 23 hours the number that you.

HAZEL JENNINGS: So, we don't have a twohour time limit, we have three hours in which a

24

25

2.2

longer.

person has to be uhm discharged from custody but again, uhm the 19% of people who were booked out of less than 3 hours but we have a three-hour window frame. That is the benchmark and that is the goal.

HAZEL JENNINGS: That is the goal to red...

to discharge every inmate within three hours' time

frame but like I explained, we do have certain

circumstances that brought us over the four hours, up

to the four hour and about 42 minutes which gave us

those six instances of where the inmate was held

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And that is what?

HAZEL JENNINGS: Yes, so when the inmate receives discharge planning prior to discharge the warrants, the holds, being transported at the time that the bail is paid, uhm departmental custody where they are not in departmental custody at the time of the bail or bond and they require immediate medical or mental health treatment.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Six instances?

WILLIAM BARNES: Some of the discrepancies are also is when does the clock start? So sometimes people are in the bail line waiting to pay a bail and there are two or three people in front

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 of them and they are counting that as hey I've been you know waiting, the bail process for the Department 3 of Corrections on our end, the clock starts once we 4 5 receive, we take the money from the surety and the 6 bail is considered paid at that point. We then start 7 the clock, so I think some of the ambiguity could be that there is no one codified out there when this 8 clock starts, as I have spoken to people who said I 9 waited in line for 30 minutes, I can't control how 10 many people are head of that person when they are 11 12 paying the bail, so that could be, I would ask them why is there a discrepancy I don't speculate that 13 14 different people are starting their own clocks at 15 different times.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, so can we just talk about the audit that was done in the first few weeks of October. That, I think that was a department audit, revealed an average release time to be 3 hours and 40 minutes. I think you said 4.5 today. But I think that.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Only, only because of the other avenues in which an inmate can be.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, let's just, can you just give us some more information on that

1	
_	

- 2 audit? How many individuals did it include? Where
- 3 did it take place? And then can you tell us any
- 4 particular issues related to the, to the Bronx that
- 5 are causing delay?
- 6 HAZEL JENNINGS: So, for time on Riker's
- 7 Island, the average time to the visit house was
- 8 actually 4 hours and 42 minutes.
- 9 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: 4 hours and 42
- 10 minutes for Riker's okay.
- 11 HAZEL JENNINGS: For Rikers, that was the
- 12 median.
- 13 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay but did, how
- 14 | many individuals were, did an audit? So how many
- 15 | individuals did that include?
- 16 HAZEL JENNINGS: I think it was 43
- 17 people.
- 18 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: 43 and it took place
- 19 | at Riker's or where did, where did it take place?
- 20 HAZEL JENNINGS: At Rikers.
- 21 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay and can you and
- 22 then I want to just relate, separate talk about the
- 23 | Bronx, just particularly because of some data that we
- 24 | have received about some data in the Bronx. Can you

•

tell us any particular issues related to the Bronx that have been causing maybe further delays?

HAZEL JENNINGS: In the Bronx? Do I don't have, I don't have any information on that.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, so you don't know of any issues in the Bronx related to paying out bail?

HAZEL JENNINGS: No, only the fact that we don't have the bail window there.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, uhm okay and then the, the I just want to note that in a similar letter that we received in October, in relation to our, that I send with the speaker about compliance with these laws, uhm, uhm, the response of the Department was the system to eliminate black out windows was not, was not used consistently in the Bronx, so can you tell us some information why not in the Bronx?

the blackout process, the process is that for every inmate who is eligible for bail that their paperwork is scanned into a drive so that anyone could access that paperwork at the time in which the inmate was being arraigned and if any policies that we have

2.2

implemented are not followed, there is disciplinary actions associated with that and each case is investigated.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, but that, that wasn't the answer to the question about whether you are in compliance with eliminating the blackout windows in the Bronx?

HAZEL JENNINGS: Uhm what we will do is continue to monitor issues and determine whether staff needs additional training but we have a policy that has been implemented and it is being used.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: So, you can pay uhm bail continuously in the Bronx right now?

HAZEL JENNINGS: Correct.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay is that a new policy starting from your announcement today?

HAZEL JENNINGS: We started that with the BEX program back in April.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay uhm, I'm going to stop there. I'm going to hand it over to Chair Lancman I have some followup questions. Thank you. I should note that we have also been joined by Council Member Alan Maisel.

2

morning. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Thank you, good

HAZEL JENNINGS: Good morning.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: It is always very frustrating when we have two different realities that are presented to us. One from the Administration and others, and another or sometimes it is multiple realities from those who are around on the ground. Uhm the Council passed five Bills in July of 2017, relating to making it easier for people to pay bail that they can afford. And the compliance and implementation of those Bills up to this point, I think, even the Administration would agree is seriously lacking. Uhm in MOCJ's testimony I don't see where you reference any of the Bills or the status of their being implemented and I view MOCJ as the entity that is responsible overall for coordinating the Administration's Criminal Justice Policies and ensuring that the bills and, and laws that we put into effect are actually implemented. Let's just lay out these two different realities. Alright? Local law 123, again these were passed in July of 2017, the implementation or effective date was January of 2018 so that was 11 months ago, maybe

1 2 10 months ago. Requires the Department of Corrections to accept cash bail payments immediately 3 4 and continuously, DOC must release anyone who has had 5 bail paid within three hours. The Bronx, the Bronx Freedom Fund found that from April to September, the 6 mean release time for clients was 13 hours and 3 7 minutes and the median release time was 6 hours and 8 53 minutes. During that period, 65 clients were 9 released over 10 hours after bail had been paid and 10 21 of those individuals were released after over 20 11 12 hours. Only 24% of the Freedom Funds clients were released within four hours and that was as of October 13 14 2018. The next day that went into effect, the Bill 15 that we passed, local law 124, the expansion of BEX, 16 it is a very effective program. The Bronx Freedom 17 Fund founded that there was not been a single client 18 held for more than 2 hours and as of June 2018 there were 10 incidences were the Department of Correction 19 20 did not honor requests to hold incarcerated individuals for even two hours. Local law 125, the 21 2.2 Department of Corrections must give written notice of 23 the amount of bail or bond set to ensure that bail

facilitators meet with inmates within 48 hours of

admission into DOC custody. The Bronx Freedom Fund

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

found that 80% of its clients have not received the required written notice and they noted a particular issue where the Department of Corrections failed to notify incarcerated individuals of their ability to pay bail in the amount of \$1 which we are going to get to. My Bill, my Law, local law 126, requires the police to offer arrestees the opportunity to get contact information from their personal property, like phone numbers off of their phone. That's not the Department of Corrections issues, that is the police departments issue. The Bronx Freedom Fund found that 70% of its clients had no access to that contact information made available to them and just to complete the list, local law 127 requiring MOCJ to work with OCA to conspicuously display and flow on, on how and where to post bail in courtrooms. Nowhere to be found. So, let me ask MOCJ what, what role and responsibility to MOCJ have to ensure that the various Criminal Justice Reform Bills that we enact into law are actually implemented? Cause I don't see any discussion of it in your testimony?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): We work very closely with the Department of Corrections in implementing these different initiatives and I think a lot of the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bail initiatives that were announced by the
Department of Correction today will address a lot of

the issues that you have brought up. Uhm.

HAZEL JENNINGS: So, as I stated before on a weekly bases, we are having those meetings and MOCJ are included. As of last week, Thursday, we went live with issuing the inmates copies of the QCC screen which gives all of the information, the booking case, court, next court date, what part and the bail amount and that spe... that started last week, Thursday. We are also working with MOCJ we have received pamphlets that we will be issuing out to the inmates that we are currently printing as well as uhm poster that will be displayed in the courts and in the housing facilities. We have reassessed the bail facilitators. We provided scripts and duties and responsibility. We did additional training for them and we will be going live with the uhm instructional video in both English and Spanish probably by the end of today and we will be handing out those pamphlets as well as giving them additional assistance with paying bail.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Okay, well, let's talk about uhm local law 126 which requires the

2.2

police to offer arrestees the opportunity to get contact information off of their phone, in which the Bronx Freedom Fund found 70% of its clients were given no such opportunity and we have heard anecdotally from other public defenders that their clients are not seeing this law enforced and they are not getting the opportunity to communicate with someone who could potentially bail them out or show up in court and to attest to their, to their community ties. What has the city done to ensure that this local law is being implemented?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, we understand that NYPD directly negotiates this Bill with the Council and our understanding is that they are generally in compliance with the requirements of the Bill.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Do you have any independent knowledge whatsoever to say whether they are in compliance as the NYPD claims or completely out of compliance as the Bronx Freedom Fund report claims?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): What we do understand now is that the Department instituted department-wide procedures for officers to comply uhm and have

2.2

alerted all of the officers of the enactment of the Bill and the new procedure. And have been in compliance.

Say that they have uhm have established a policy or implemented a policy, I don't remember your, your terms. I assume you are referring to the, to the patrol guide where officers are told that they must "inform a prison that phone numbers and associated addresses stored on a cellular phone that is not being invoiced as arrest or investigatory evidence may be accessed and recorded for the benefit of the prison in order to assist during pre-arraignment processing? Is that what you are referring to?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Yes, and I think anything more than that, uhm Councilman Lancman I think the NYPD is in the best position to answer those question.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: I agree with you but here the NYPD is ... where, where are they? They are not here and unfortunately the Administration sent you to represent what is happening with the NYPD and now you are in the unfortunate situation of having to answer questions for me that respectfully you are

2.2

clearly not prepared to answer because you don't have that information. Why would you? You are not the NYPD but you, you are here to represent these views. So, or to answer these questions. So, can you tell us what training has been done for, so that officers are aware of this uhm provision in the patrol guide and are able to implement it.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): We will be happy to followup with the NYPD and followup with the Council with that information.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Can you tell us, in how many instances have the uhm arrestee been denied access to his or her phone because it would have been exempt as the phone having been confiscated as investigatory evidence?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): What I do know is that NYPD does not current track that data, uhm but would be happy to followup with NYPD and followup with the Council on that information?

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Uh-huh, are you, uhm do you have reason or any knowledge that would indicate that the Bronx Freedom Funds reports and, and numbers on its clients being given access to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

their phones so they could contact someone to help make bail are incorrect?

ANN PELANCK (SP?): We have not been notified directly of any of those incidents. If Bronx Freedom Fund wants to reach out and share those specific incidents, I would be happy to followup with those incidents.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Well, I think when the Council passes a law which almost always, we negotiate with the Administration. In my time in the Council there hasn't been a single law that has gone into effect as a result of the Council overriding a veto but even if that were the case, the Administration has an obligation to make sure that the law is implemented and I, I am glad to hear from the Department of Corrections that today, the day of the hearing there are plans to put into effect and implement all of these Bills that actually went into effect last January. Uhm but really it is completely unsatisfactory that there are people sitting on Riker's Island who shouldn't be there and the folks from the Department of Corrections you don't need me to tell you what a horrible place Riker's Island is and I don't think that I need to for MOCJ but what I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

see is just an utter lack of seriousness and preparedness to effectuate laws that are on the books to keep people out of Riker's Island and I'm sorry that you are the person who has to bear the brunt of this but it is completely unacceptable that nobody is here from the police department or from MOCJ who is prepared and able to testify about the implementation of this law which quite literally and specifically would keep people off of Riker's Island and that is a disappointment so we are going to followup on the questions that we have asked you today and hopefully we will get answers to those and hopefully we will not see or hear going forward that there are people who are not being given access to their phones so that they can call someone to pay their bail and they end up getting shipped off to Riker's Island. you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you and I just want to act on the Chairs comments such that you know we are, we are all here to kind of fix a problem and I don't, I don't pretend there are not complications in that and I recognize that we can clarify...

definitions and things like that but it feels like we are in a game at times on this issue and others where

1 2 we passed a law, a series or laws, there was broad noncompliance with them it seems and we are admitting 3 4 today that we are not in compliance with that, 5 recognizing that there are issues and then we had to send a letter to find it. I, I actually thought your 6 7 response to the letter was fairly, was fairly detailed and fairly good and admitted where there 8 were gaps that needed to be fixed and was a, was kind 9 of a sober, like I thought it was like a sober 10 analysis of where you are and then we had a hearing 11 12 to followup the day of the hearing at 7:15 a.m. or something like that we get notification of some 13 14 improvements. Like we want to fix the issue, I'm not 15 interested in moments, I'm not interesting I making 16 this about a game like it's a py.... We want to fix the issues that we think are really actually affecting 17 18 people's lives and redo stories and anecdotes but uhm 19 to the degree that we are going to pass more laws, 20 we, we certainly are asking. Willing to go... I will, you will get a fair negotiation at least from me but 21 2.2 we will then expect them to be implemented and to be 23 followed because the public and many who are here are

believing one thing is working and then one thing is

working a certain way. They are pay... for instance,

24

25

2.2

they are paying bail and believing that within three hours or some time period there that the clock is started and we find out that it is not started. So, we are happy to clarify those definitions. We are happy to work with you to fix it and fixing it is actually the intention not anything else but I think it's, its, you know we want to get out of this sort of us finding out what, having to ask to find out who is in compliance and who is not and, and then have, find announcements out on the day of the hearing that are supposed to, I think cover some of the ground that, that's to make up some of the ground. So, I hope you share that analysis as well and that we can, we can partner to fix whatever other inefficiencies may still exist.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, sir, I would like to just say that we have done a tremendous amount of work and we recognize that there is still more work to be done. Uhm again, we have been meeting weekly to address some of the issues and last week I met with uhm, Chief Jones from the NYPD to incorporate her in those weekly meetings so that we can address the differences for us, inmates have always been allowed to self-pay bail. We are allowing the

2.2

inmates to retrieve any items that are in their property that they may need to pay bails to get numbers to sureties once they are on Riker's Island to release that information and we will continue to work and we are even putting kiosks in the facilities that are currently not more admission facilities so if an inmate has his credit card in his wallet that he could access it to still pay bail so where there is a lot of work that we have done, we are looking and we are acknowledged that there is still work to be done and we look forward to working uhm with the Committee on ways in which we could possibly improvise and improve these processes. Because again, we want to have people return back to normalcy.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Alright thank you for that and I noted we were joined by Council Member Andy Cohen as well and I think Chair Lancman had a followup question?

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Just really quick

uhm in the press release that was issued this

morning, which really should have been a letter at

least to the Chairs and to the speaker you know,

Friday but in the press release that was announced

2 this morning, uhm, the new measures come out of the

earlier this year to improve the bail process. Who was in the, the bail working group?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, that's the DOC

Department of Corrections Bail working group formed

along with MOCJ and we will be jo... we will be joined soon by NYPD Assistant Chief Jones who is overseeing CJB.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Good, well it seems

to me that a bail working group would benefit from the inclusion of participation of the public defender organizations or funded by the City uhm and the bail funds, because of their knowledge and, and expertise so could you take that back to the powers that be, that, that.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): I'm not opposed to it sir.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Yeah, good, would someone could followup with us, with us and just confirm or give us a reason why those entities shouldn't be part of the, the working group because I think that would make things more productive.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Not a problem.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Okay thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you uhm, we 3 have questions from Council Member Rivera.

CARLINA RIVERA: Good morning. Thank you everyone for being here. Thank you for your testimony.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Good morning.

CARLINA RIVERA: And thank you for bringing up the Supervised Released Program which I think is incredibly importantly and I think is a very good program that the City has implemented so I want to thank you for that. You know, you gave us a lot of statistics of when people get out and, and how long and, and the Chairs, Chair Lancman and Chair Powers they have done an excellent job in asking you about that. I read a statistic maybe back in July that 12% of people who have bail set can pay immediately and the other 88% more or less are transported to Riker's. Whether or not those statistics are still true and again I read this in I think July. Uhm that is clearly a sign of an inequitable system which you mention on how communities of color are adversely impacted. And I saw all of that to ask how are conversations going to end cash bail entirely. Uhm I know that in this

2.2

article, uhm Governor Cuomo proposed something, it was blocked by the GOP controlled Senate but I ask that now that things might be a little different next year, are you working very closely with the state to lobby to end cash bail and move toward a risk based system that if of course supported by evident based assessment tools but allowing judges to really make this decision?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): The Administration definitely committed to eliminating money bail, and we are planning on working with the Legislation going forward and we hope that the, the State is able to pass the Compressive Bail Reform that would address those issues.

carlina Rivera: So, you are hoping the end the, uhm and you are completely committed and you are working with a lot of the advocates, a lot of whom are in this room to make sure we do this the right way?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): We are committed to eliminating money bail and we are committed to working with relevant stake holders as well as the State Legislation to make sure that the comprehensive bail reform is passed.

2.2

saying that and the only reason why I am continuing to kind of highlight and underline it is because you know the bail bond industry does a lot of intense lobbying at every single level of government and I know that you are here and I want to thank you both for the work that you do in terms of how you serve the City uhm it really, really is important and I know that there have been other cities have tried this, so are you looking to those models, I think it was like D.C. and even as far as Alaska have tried uhm a different system and in your testimony you mention reform but these are conversations you are actively having? Correct, to end money bail?

CARLINA RIVERA: I, I, I thank you for

ANN PELNACK (SP?): New York City is doing everything in its power to ensure a more efficient and faster system uhm and we are committed to eliminating money bail and working with the State Legislation to making that a reality.

CARLINA RIVERA: Okay thank you so much, thank you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay I just have a couple of followup questions, number, the first one was on I, I saw the comment around Council Member

1	
_	

2.2

2	Chairman	Lancman'	s	Bill	around	the	\$1	notification
---	----------	----------	---	------	--------	-----	-----	--------------

Can you tell right now what the, like today you have a system in place. Just what that looks like? How

5 do you receive notification if you have a \$1 ba...?

hazel Jennings: So, it's an automated bail drop that IT Department. We currently have only one inmate on that list for today and it comes out weekdays and once the list comes out, the Facility, the Chief of Facility Operations and the Facilities are notified, the inmate is brought down and interviewed and asks if they want to pay the \$1, do they have the funds available, can the contact a surety or if the Administration Chaplains have to

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Gotcha and if they have money in their Commissary, that can be used?

HAZEL JENNINGS: They can self-, they can self-bail pay.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Got it. And uhm how many individuals are on the \$1 bail today?

HAZEL JENNINGS: Only one.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Only one, and they

24 have been notified?

then produce the \$1 bail?

1 2 HAZEL JENNINGS: And they should have 3 been notified. 4 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And how many this 5 year? HAZEL JENNINGS: Uhm I don't have that 6 7 total information. CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay but you do get, 8 you do get a daily report that says that? 9 10 HAZEL JENNINGS: We get a daily drop. CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And who is, it is an 11 12 automatic. It's automated? HAZEL JENNINGS: It is automatic done. 13 14 It's the fee is taken out through our IT department 15 uhm in conjunction with the IAS system. 16 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, thank you and what's the average amount of people that are on \$1 at 17 18 any given day? HAZEL JENNINGS: Uhm I've seen as many as 19 20 5, I've seen one, I've seen maybe six. 21 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, thank you and 2.2 can we talk about barriers? Uhm obviously it's not 23 just that DOC is office of core Administration that

barriers both in terms of having to, share 25

24

is involved in this as well. Can you tell us

2.2

jurisdictions and also having just barriers that you see with the OCA in terms of helping to facilitate paying bail or getting a timely release and other factors that are barriers in terms of having to work

with the OCA?

ANN PELNECK (SP?): Sure, as you know OCA and DOC systems do not speak to each other and a lot of these bail conditions are being recorded in writing by the court officers within OCA and they are then communicated to DOC. So, there are incidences where there are clerical errors are happening by the court system, then the department has to respond in a timely way to rectify and then the discharge process can be implemented. Uhm so I think this larger systemic issue is something that I think is a daily reality for both agencies and kind of rectify those mistakes as they happen as those are human errors that happen.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, can you tell us ongoing efforts, past efforts or efforts that need to be in place to help resolve the issue related to having two different systems that don't work, that don't work together?

2.2

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Sure, so MOCJ has facilitated working with DOC and OCA and redesigning the security orders that are issued from OCA to DOC to make those uhm record on that form, much easier and clearer to record and to understand. So, those are one of the past initiatives that we will work together to try and rectify these issues.

effort underway right now to try and make it so that there is one system? I think OCA is technically State, DOC is City but is there an effort and I guess it would have to be a State partnership here to rectify so that there is, I mean could there just be one system that helps everybody uhm talk to each other more efficiently?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): There are various conversations where, we, we're trying to have that, trying to implement that kind of system speaking to each other; however, as you can imagine there are a lot of more logistical hurdles being that one is a State effort and one is a City effort.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Yeah, so what are those logistical hurdles?

2.2

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Well there are two different system, right and there is not, there is no way for them to communicate to each other. Uhm we are really relying on a, working on different various partners without the two different agencies where we can identify partners who can kind of troubleshoot certain issues as they come up. The security mortar is a one prime example where there are certain parties within that agency that record and there are certain parts of the department that there needs to receive and then to record. So, in working with those two types of setups of people within the two Departments we have come up with a way that they can communicate better.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay and then when, when there is an error made at the OCA side, like they don't release paperwork to you in time or they, put something incorrect on paperwork can you tell us the efforts or the response system of DOC and how you work with them to try to rectify an issue that comes in which would then uhm impede somebody's release?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Well I would say lifetime uhm MOCJ is actually notifying DOC and we are also speaking with OCA so that now we are picking

2.2

up the phone to call each other to say, hey this is happening, we need to get this rectified and we are doing it 24-hours because there is never a time of the day that we are not receiving those phone calls to address those issues.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay uhm and is there, is there an attempt to try to reduce having multiple systems and have one computer system that has everybody working you know in the same place?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That hasn't been, that has not been put together as of yet but we will do any new initiatives that we can come up with.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, a couple of other just last questions here, uhm first of all, at, uhm, can you share with us at some point thought the pamphlets, the videos, the posters, things of that material that you are, that you are using that would, that are both new and old that help uhm make people aware of their, of their rights and ability.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): We can share, we can share both the videos and we can also uhm welcome the Committee to go out and talk.

2.2

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Alright and also am

I right saying that online uhm bail payment only
allows up to \$2500?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's per transaction so it can be. If the bail is \$10,000 it's only \$25.00 each credit card transition but you can still pay up to \$10,000.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And I'm pretty sure that's why, that's the...

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's more for fraud prevention than anything else because there is no cap on what the bail amount that can be paid in a total but it is just to ensure that every credit card transition is legitimate payment that is going through. There is a \$2500 cap per transaction but you can repeat it. There is no limit on how many times you can repeat it to get the full bail amount.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Or how many people can pay right, a bail.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay so 10 can pay \$1000. And that is rather.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Absolutely. We had an incident where we had an online bill payment where there were five different sureties paid one bail

2.2

2 payment so I think that really, that really kind of

demonstrates the flexibility and additional option

4 that the online bill payment system has offered to

5 families to friends.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Got it and is that a City restriction or who limits it at \$2500? I'm just curious?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's through the vendor.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Through the vendor, got it. Okay, just saying.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: And you noted that on the Bill around credit card fees that the city doesn't pay those fees. You have a, you have a contract with the vendor which we know, we assume that it is a credit card vendor that is processing and those are the fees but can you actually share with me I think if you pay on person it is 7.9 I think it is 9 but it is 7 point something percent, why is there just a discrepancy between paying online versus paying in person with a credit card. Is the said true per se, I believe I stand corrected, but 2% if you are paying online 7.9 something percent if you are going through, paying through credit card in

2.2

person, can you share with us why there is such a discrepancy?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, right now there is two different vendors that we are paying to, so we have Pay Amentias and we also have Secures.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: So, the vendors are ch...

ANN PELNACK (SP?): The, the fees that are charged are the vendors fee.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay is is 7... whatever percent sounds incredibly high for a credit card vendor. Is there, can you share with us as why it is so high if you are paying in person I mean and why not use the other vendor that is doing 2%.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's a fee that is set by the vendor; however, we have reduced the amount of, uhm of funds that it should be. We have brought it down to 2% and the Department is actually uhm funding the additional fee.

CHAIR KEITH POWER: You are funding the additional? The, the spread between what it is and the 2%?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's correct.

2.2

2 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And how much does 3 that cost the City every year?

 $\label{eq:ann_pelhack} \text{ANN PELNACK (SP?):} \quad \text{We can get back to} \\ \text{you with that.}$

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay I was just was asking because I was just curious. Uhm and the, and you also had noted the 3% being now returned being now returned, the 3% fee being returned to folks after they pay bail, can you tell us more about that? I think it was in your testimony around, uhm if I can find it.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That was enacted as of January $1^{\rm st}$, that has been in effect since January $1^{\rm st}$ of this year.

CHAIR KEITH POWER: And that is a, that is part of a, is that a local law, is that a City DOC policy to. It says eliminating the 3% fee taken from individuals bail after plea or found guilty?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That, I'm not sure what the source of that is. But it is in effect where there used to be a 3% fee that was taken out of the bail refund check. That is no longer.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: So, when you got
3 your bail returned to you. The City, the City kept
4 3% of it and now that's returned?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Yep.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And is that, and that is happening for everybody?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That is should be happening for everybody and that has been in effect since January 1.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: That is as of last January?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): January 1st of 2018.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: 18 or 19?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): 2018.

16 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, okay sorry.

17 Thanks. Uhm okay and the and then just on the Bill.

18 The last day on the credit card Bill, I didn't hear

19 an actual position on it. Do you, do you have an

20 initial position on the Bill to remove credit card

21 fees. I heard amendments but is there a feeling of

22 | supporting or opposing the Bill?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): We are exploring

24 what, what can be operationally and physically

2.2

feasible at this time. Uhm that is not something that we are looking in to.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Because you have to work with the vendor as well?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's right because the City is currently subsiding a part of the fee, a large part of the fee, uhm so to go further than that we would have to explore what is physical sound for the City.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay thank you and can you please share with us after this as well what the cost considerations would be as we head into the City Budget in the conversation around budge as well. Uhm uhm okay thank you. I would say that uhm. Okay Chairman Lancman has additional questions.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: So, thanks, uhm let me ask you about the kiosk? How are people supposed to be able to pay out detainees, how are detainees supposed to be able to pay through the kiosk? Are they going to be able to have access to their credit card or, or debit card? What is the plan for that?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's right, so right now uhm we have bail facilitators. We have always had self-bail pay. We are expanding the kiosk

2.2

- to be placed in all facilities so that any inmate who has a credit card that is currently incarcerated will have the ability to get the credit card information from his or her property to then utilize the kiosk to pay their bails and the intakes.
- CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: And does that, just to clarify. That needs to be coordinated with the NYPD or at that point?
- ANN PELNACK (SP?): This is on the correction side. We are looking to work with NYPD uhm again Chief Jones from CJB to make sure that we streamline it all and that they are in compliance as well as us.
- CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Okay so when will the kiosks be up and running?
- ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, currently all kiosks have been installed in all of the court commands. We are currently we are installing them in all of the commands, the facilities, Riker's and off. So, prior to the end of the year, all of it will be up and running.
- CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: So, you can say that by January $1^{\rm st}$, 2019, this coming January $1^{\rm st}$ the kiosks will be up and running?

2.2

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That is correct.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Okay. Then let me ask you also about the uhm the bail facilitators in the courthouses and, and DOC facilities. When, when will we be able to see that they are up and doing their thing?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, we have the last part of the additional training in which they will be doing to be able to accept bail because there is a part on in the kiosk after the inmate pays bail, a supervisor, two supervisors have to accept bails. We are doing that additional training, so by the end of this year, everything will be up and running.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Got it uh and then let me ask you about the issue of the \$1, of the bail. Uhm I think your testimony is that the \$1 bail notification system only notifies the Defendant himself or herself?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That's correct, but then maybe this is for MOCJ. The City's Bail Lab website says "the City has created a \$1 bail alert to notify both Court personnel and the Defense attorney when a Defendant may be held solely due to \$1 bail. Is that, is that accurate at both the court personnel

I will

COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 2 and and Defense Counsel get notified when someone is 3 held on \$1 bail? 4 ANN PELNACK (SP?): That is true. 5 get exactly what it is and will followup with the 6 Council. 7 CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: Okay great, thank you. There is some buzz at the end of the table so I 8 9 just want to. Okay good, that's what I have thank 10 you. CHAIR KEITH POWERS: I'm sorry, two more 11 12 questions from me and one is can you tell me how much money the City makes off of credit card fees every 13 14 year? 15 ANN PELNACK (SP?): We don't. 16 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: You make no money? 17 ANN PELNACK (SP?): We make no revenue. 18 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: So, no money goes to the General Fund of New York City based on credit 19 20 card fees. Is that one, and how is that? The money goes right directly to the vendor but you don't have 21 2.2 a profit-sharing agreement or anything like that? 23 ANN PELNACK (SP?): No.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay thank you. And the second one was I was going to ask two. There are

24

25

2.2

two, two in terms of local law 23 around the release of three hours. There are two, uhm you some of the, some of the anecdotes that were some of the stories that were raised and that would probably be the last month. And the last two months for about at least a number of individuals, I get two stories in particularly where people were held 24 hours past the time when there was, maybe it's, maybe it's less than that if it is when the money is received. Can you give us any idea why an individual might be held for 24 hours even with the reasons you know and designation things like that, why it would get to 24 hours or worse, in some cases 32 hours?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, what I will share with you now and I can give you specific information offline but, in the case, uhm at the VCVC there was an issue where the actual warrant not being or the lift not being send to DOC which had to be addressed and we had to reach out to try to contact the agency and then to have that inmate released.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay but there seems, that is one example, there seems to be others too. I am not asking for specifics here but there do

2.2

seem to be some issues I think it sounds like some are related to other agencies as well.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): If there are very specific incidents the Bronx Freedom Fund wants to share with the City and the Department, we will be happy to follow up into that.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay I am sure that they well. And lastly are there instances where, there, I mean a lot of it sounds like a lot of response seems to be issues that come up, both mother agencies but also then other circumstances. Are there issues where DOC loses the paperwork. Are there instances where you are aware of where just simply just something happens where we lose this paperwork or and somebody is sitting there while we attempt to retrieve it or find it?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): No, no instances have been brought to our attention at all and all of the inmate's legal paperwork is kept in one folder in one place.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay and we are doing this by paper still?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Yes.

2.2

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Is there any attempt to try and bring the agency and its paperwork and fax machines into the $20^{\rm th}$ Century?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Yes, there is thought uhm and there are definitely IT solutions that we have to work with and we are looking forward to doing so.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And when do you think the Agency is in a place where they are using IT solutions not paperwork and fax machines and things like that. When, when, what, what would be a reasonable timeline?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): I can get back to you with that answer, uhm but again I am open to all suggestions made by the Council.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Has the DOC ever done an RFB or an RFI around technology solutions to help solve this problem?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, we currently have an RFB, Inmate Wristband Solution but that only tracks the inmate internally and when they go to court and when they are being booked out but it does not uhm capture the other portion of it, uhm to like replace the fax machine at this time.

2.2

2 CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And could the wrist 3 band be a solution if other agencies cooperated?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): That is correct.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Correct and have you tried asking them to be part of that?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): So, we are currently working on an MOU with OCA, uhm via MOCJ so we are looking forward to allowing them to get the feed uhm which will give them access to know when the inmates are possibly going in and being booked in for court purposes.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay and, and is an MOU being drafted or worked on today that will help do that?

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Yes.

HAZEL JENNINGS: Yes.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Yes. Okay, thank
you and uhm we I saw it was I say this really
earnestly if the Council can be helpful to an effort,
I, I do think that there is some sort of easy
solutions to some, to some of the problems here.
That just include basic you know technology that is
readily available, it can be procured and if that
requires budget and other considerations Council can

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

be sportive other, please, please let us know, because I mean some of the stuff seems fixable just by, just by updating systems that are being put in place, understanding it is a large system. Any other questions? Okay, thank you for your testimony. just wanted to say what I said earlier which is like these are not moments to be trying to catch anybody. We got to com... I mean we want everybody to be in compliance. We want to part of. I know I for sure want to be help in solving the problems that we identify and we are deeply appreciative of those who bring them forward and when they raise an anecdote about a 24 hours or a median time that seems longer than what the City has put in place in terms of her local laws and our expectations, I think it is our duty to respond to those and to ask those questions and I think, as I noted the responses that you put forward in writing to us, I think are acceptable in terms of responding to the information and but I will say we, we want to be cooperative, we don't want to, we don't want to be here in a year having a followup hearing and then have new announcements be taken place to help fix the problems that are identified and any local laws we put in place today. By the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

time they are effective, we want to work to make sure they get implemented but certainly as part of those conversations we want you to be earnest with us to say we need, we need more time to make it happen. need this, we need the money, we need to change systems because I certainly will lend my, my ability to try to help fix those, those processes which we feel like aren't broken. I know Chairman Lancman and the members of this Committee as well but I think it is, it is helpful if we can be, and we have to do our part too, be in a, in a kind of a dialog, because even as Council Member, Chairman Lancman said, like the bail working group, I think there are some reasonable improvements to that that would help identify these issues so we don't have to be sitting here to do them and you are aware of them and, and fixing them ahead of time.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: In that spirit, you know, we have wanted the Administration to testify after the Bronx Freedom Fund and some of the advocates so that you could hear, what they were, uhm had to say and what they were seeing. I think it would be very courteous and productive if you were to stay and at least listen and hear what they are going

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

o testify to so that we are all on the same page so to speak, at least in terms of what people are seeing out there in the world. So, I can't compel you to do that but I put that out there.

ANN PELNACK (SP?): Thank you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, thank you so much. Okay and, and in very good timing. We are going to have a panel come up. We have, uhm I may not do well with names here but we have Elizabeth Vendor from Legal Aid Society, Alaina Weisman from the Bronx Freedom Fund, Yuona Zeitz (SP?) from the Bronx Freedom Fund and Sarah Rahimi from the Bronx Freedom Fund. If you could please come up, thank you. Thank you, and thank you for being here. Uhm so you could start. We are going to have you on the clock. I know that the Bronx Freedom Fund, I know you have a few folks here so, I don't know if you are all testifying or you want to split some time up here, but we will start uhm I quess left to right, my left to right. So, thank you for being here.

ELIZABETH VENDOR: Yeah sure, I am an attorney with the Legal Aid Society De-carceration Project, thank you for having us, thank you for having this hearing. Uhm I have in my papers here

2	that I want to acknowledge that I am preaching to the
3	choir and now that I see the City has not headed
4	Chairman Lancman's suggestion that they stay, that's
5	even more true. Uhm but I know that, I know that we
6	are preaching to the choir when we say that our bail
7	system is inefficient, nonsensical and inhumane. Uhm
8	but if we can indulge the metaphor for a moment,
9	choirs do more than just listen, they use their
10	voices to spread a message and make change and that's
11	what I'm asking this body to do today. Uhm our
12	clients need more than just laws on paper, that's
13	clear. They need accountability, they need an
14	investigation and they need a commitment to changing
15	the culture within the Department of Corrections that
16	allows for these inhumane delays to occur. This
17	morning I woke up to an email with the Daily News
18	article that was published at 6 in the morning with
19	the Administration announcing that they were adopting
20	Bail Facilitators. So, just to put that into
21	context, to celebrate 10 months of not being
22	compliant with the law that requires them to have
23	bail facilitators, the Administration announced that
24	they still don't have bail facilitators. That's
25	where we are as to the latest of these laws went into

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

compliance. Uhm excuse me, went into effect. some of you saw the article in the Appeal last week, detailing the experience of one of our Clients. We call him DR in the article. If you missed it, I attached it to my written testimony and I do hope that you will read it and share it. It describes his experiencing at the Vernon C Bain Center, a jail that we call the boat because it is in fact a floating jail barge. He was there for 27 hours after his mother posted bail and even if you factor in the type of delays that the department wanted to describe to you today, when I spoke to staff at the boat, they told me the morning after his mom posted bail that they had his paperwork and that he would be released that morning. He did not see daylight for another six hours. Three of those hours he was in a cell being processed for released and the staff that was manning that area, told him that they were merely waiting for a Captain to sign his paperwork. they get up here and they testify about all of the reasons that they can delay people, it is, it is an endemic culture of just not doing things quickly uhm and that's what I am asking you to commit to change and specifically I am asking that the Committee on

2.2

Oversight Investigation become involved because what is clear to me is that the Department cannot police itself when it comes into coming into compliance with these laws. Thank you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, thank you, I want to note that there are staff from DOC here but I share, I mean a minute after asked to stay they walked right out the door and I.

ELIZABETH VENDOR: I appreciate those who stayed.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: But there is staff
here and I will note that as well and second, we did
ask for the Bronx Freedom Fund to and this panel to
be first as Chairman Lancman noted as well to give an
opportunity to tell some of these stories in advance
of it but I, I, understand your frustration, thank.

ALAINA WEISMAN: Thank you all and so like Elizabeth said we are recounting some of what you already shared but what I wanted to talk about today and hope my colleagues will share is to go kind of into greater detail about some of the most egregious things that we have seen and the report that you all have. Uhm so I'm Alaina Weisman, I'm the Director of the Bronx Freedom Fund, uhm we pay

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bail for over 1,000 New Yorkers each year and every day we see the injustices of the bail system play out and routine are aware of the human cost that they effect. In May 2017, we testified to the Council about the need for procedural changes to the payment system. We are excited for the Easement Package to pass uhm but however, as my colleague Sarah will get in to implementation is not even nominal. Since our testimony last summer, we have excitedly tracked their passage, culminating in several reports which we have submitted to both Committees, to the Board of Correction and we have also posted publically on our website. We have asked helpful personnel from various agencies, City Council and those working in bail payment facilities about implementation for months on end. We have taken to Twitter, to Facebook, Radio and print journalism and now we are calling on the Administration to follow the laws and to treat this crisis with the urgency that it demands. It is shocking to be here a year and a half later, asking why is it still so hard to pay bail in New York City. Individuals at CJA, at MOCJ and so many people in the room have been helpful resources in navigating what Council Member Lancman rightly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

called a tangled bureaucracy. But it is time for the Department of Correction personnel on the ground to start making this a system that is fair and humane. Because we know and we see every day that culture eats policy. Since the law's passage, we have also run Community Education Events teaching members of the public about the bail system including these laws. When we spoke to our clients about their experiences, we didn't just ask them a question, we educated them about the laws and their rights. So, we are here today representing the collective frustration not just of our staff but those who have thwarted from paying bail through similar means as us and the thousands of incarcerated New Yorkers who are being categorically denied their rights. My colleagues will further expand on their findings and I briefly want to applaud both Council Member Lancman and Powers on the introduction of 1199 and 944 related to \$1 bail and credit card payments used. Just briefly, we receive referrals almost every single day to pay \$1 bail for people after their cases are resolved and you already know how \$1 bail worked and I want to highlight their release is often mired by delays. Just last week, we posted \$1 bail

ı	
ı	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for someone whose release took 39 hours. By creating an effective notification system, and facilitating communication the Bill could help circumvent one of the most exasperating manifestations of our money bail system. Our written testimony offers a couple of procedural adjustments to ensure even greater effectiveness. In regarding credit card fees, this would also be an urgent modification because an added nonrefundable fee of even a few hundred dollars for bail could mean the difference between incarceration and freedom when only 12% of New Yorkers can afford their bail at all. Our work as the bail fund is a temporary stop guides measure. We focus on harm reduction and on de-carceration until we reach meaningful reform. These proposed laws and then forced compliance of existing laws would seriously mitigate the harms of a system that allow wealthbased attention at all and we are excited to continue focusing our collective long-term energies on citing for systemic change. Thanks.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you.

SARAH RAHIMI: Thank you Council. My name is Sarah Rahimi and I am the Administrative Associate at the Bronx Freedom Fund. Since January

1 2 of this year, we have gathered data on compliance with local law's 123 through 127 based on public 3 information as well as conversations with our 4 clients. Overall, DOC compliance hovers around 20%. 5 We urge you to consider our written testimony as well 6 7 as previously submitted reports; however, with my brief time today I would like to focus on some of the 8 egregious stats that we have found. Local Law 123 9 10 requires DOC to accept cash bail payments immediately and continuously to release clients who have been 11 12 bailed out within the required time period and to accept or facilitate bail payment at or within half a 13 mile radius of a courthouse. Currently, all three 14 15 elements are being violated. There is no DOC payment 16 area near the Bronx courthouse, so sureties must travel about an hour to the boat, the Vernon C Bain 17 18 Center, floating jail on the East River and endure hours of waiting. If their loved ones have just been 19 20 arraigned, this can be up to 24 hours. That is neither immediate nor continuous. In Queens, DOC 21 2.2 began accepting payment adjacent to courthouse even 23 immediately following arraignment since September of

2018, almost a full year after the law took effect

and we have had mixed success posting at this window

24

25

2 during blackout periods. Regarding payments and the current time frame of the law where DOC must release 3 people within three hours of bail payment, only 7% of 4 our clients have been released in accordance with the 5 law. And of those released beyond the three-hour 6 7 limit, 28 were released in 12 hours or more. January 2018, surprising overall the average mean 8 release time of our clients is 9 hours and 29 minutes 9 with a median average of 6 hours 27 minutes. Only 10 21% of our clients have been released within the 11 12 various required timeframes and release times for those in on the \$1 are even longer. Next, local law 13 14 124 permits the delay of recently arraigned 15 defendants in DOC custody for from four to 12 hours, 16 excuse me. Nevertheless, we have seen our holds violated over a dozen times after just one or two 17 18 hours, especially around the time the scheduled bus departs to jail facilities and have not seen a single 19 20 client held for more than 2 hours. We are always within a 10-minute walk from the courthouse and ready 21 2.2 to pay but we are still thwarted for paying and our 23 clients are jailed for another 24 hours while they are considered an intake. Local Law 125 calls for 24 DOC to provide bail facilitators to all incarcerated 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

individuals within one to two days of entering custody. In testimony presented to the Board of Correction in November, DOC staff confirmed that they have only recently begun identifying the individuals who will serve as these bail facilitators. Local Law 126 requires NYPD to aid arrestees in accessing their loved one's contact information for arraignment. Only 29% of our clients reported that they were provided access to contact information and an NYPD reported to the appeal that he was surprised that number wasn't even lower. Lastly, we still have seen no evidence of Local Law 127's implementation, although it is the simplest in the package. requires the Administration to display signs about how to pay bail in courthouses, preventing widespread confusion about how to navigate an extremely stressful situation. I understand that these are still in print production. My colleagues and I are more than happy to share information as needed and are eager to serve as a resource to the Council as we continue to push for compliance.

YUONA ZEITZ (SP?): Thank you again for considering our testimony. My name is Yuona Zeitz and I am a Product Associate at the Bronx Freedom

25

2 This testimony explains how Local Laws 123 to 127 all coalesce with one another and it describes 3 4 the unjust impact of noncompliance on New Yorkers who 5 enter the pre-trial legal system and speaks on the 6 larger issue surrounding why is it so hard to pay 7 The laws in the bail easement package were bail. created to strengthen and rely on one another and we 8 were enthusiastic about the enactment of a multi-9 prong procedural justice package that could help New 10 Yorkers avoid the typical three to four days in jail 11 12 that most people spend before being released on bail. If the Bail Easement Package were followed, this 13 would be the case. Individuals could have access to 14 15 contact information before they are even arraigned, 16 identify a surety who will have ample time to secure their freedom and post their own bail if they had no 17 18 outside contacts and financial resources and be back in their shelters or their jobs within a few hours if 19 20 they were taken to jail at all. Instead, as our data indicates, the vast majority of people who are lucky 21 2.2 enough to make it, to make bail are subject to legal 23 hurdles and delays that turn the process of accessing their freedom into punishment in itself. This puts 24

thousands of low-income New Yorkers and marginalized

2 New Yorkers at risk of losing their housing, their livelihood and even custody of their children along 3 with emotional and psychological trauma that an 4 individual ensures when incarcerated even for a day 5 6 or two. It is important to understand that a 7 violation of one local law compounds the violation of another and needs to countless hours and even days of 8 excessive over-incarceration. At the Freedom Fund 9 our typical client cannot afford their bail or post 10 it themselves if they can. They cannot recall a 11 12 number of a potential surety, remain at a court while a surety makes their way to post bail or expect a 13 14 reasonable release to ensure that their job or 15 shelter bed remains available. Just two weeks ago, 16 we posted bail for a client on Saturday at 1:45 p.m. 17 and our client was not released from the Vernon C 18 Bain Center until the following Sunday at 11:35 a.m., about 22 hours after we posted his bail. 19 Uhm our 20 client spent an extra day in desperate limbo since he knew we already posted his bail but was unsure what 21 2.2 was keeping him in and he was worrying about whether 23 or not he would make it to his next job shift. 24 individual was already unjustly incarcerated on unaffordable cash bail and this additional 22 hours 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are in clear violation of a further local mandate. And it is clear to us that noncompliance of these laws is directly affecting the 2,100 people in jail on bail this morning with no holds and no warrants. The City should be doing everything in its power to avoid these stays altogether and to protect the individuals, their families and our broader communities from the collateral harm of incarceration. Lastly, this conversation surrounding why is it so hard to pay bail would be remiss without an acknowledgment that 8 in 10 New Yorkers cannot afford their bail. That is the simple reason why 2,000 New Yorkers are incarcerated every day. Bail System is one of the major fuels for mass incarceration in New York City and for our nation and we know that to truly end the conization of race and poverty we must abolish the money bail system and replace it with one that restores the presumption of innocence for all. Thank you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, thank you for your testimony. Uhm I wanted to start with a few questions but I wanted, let me start with a few questions. The uhm, we had, in uhm, we had heard from the, from the Agency around their interpretation

2.2

of the Law around, the Law around a local, Local Law
123 that it was about I guess when it was when the
money was processed or received for, versus, not
accepted but by when it was sort of transferred. Is
that, is that your interpretation of the Law as well?

ALAINA WEISMAN: So, we have actually been going by the bail payment time, that DOC lists on the bail receipt itself as the start to the bail process for.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: I'm sorry, can you say that again? When?

ALAINA WEISMAN: So, we the start time for release uhm is the DOC listed payment time on a bail receipt.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, got it. So, it's when it's paid versus when they believe that the money has been, I guess received for processed?

That's your interpretation of the, of the Law?

ALAINA WEISMAN: Correct.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, and when uhm, when the last Saturday well somebody raised up the question about last Saturday when a client was in for over 22 hours. Was there a reason given for why that was, we heard a number of circumstances where they

2.2

made, the mentioned that there could be reasons why

there would be a delay? Was there a particular given

for that particular person?

YOUNA ZEITZ (SP?): Uhm we don't have a reason for that particular person but some things, some issues that come up are like the fax machine being broken or the DOC changing shifts and they are waiting for the next shift to take over before actually processing someone out.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay and staffing they say that they have 24-hour staff dealing with bail issues, have you ever been able to reach

Department of Correction Staff to pay bail or on a bail issue at 2:00 a.m. or 3:00 a.m. or somewhere in the middle of the night?

SARAH RAHIMI: We've paid bail uhm at court and at jail facilities at all, various hours. We have not, when we are trying to trouble shoot bail issues a lot of times, we will turn to MOCJ, we will turn to a public defender who in turn will go to the uhm usually the DOC Council, I think. Uhm I think, just to add to that, I think a lot of time something that you had brought up before was the miscommunication or lack of communication between OCA

2.2

and DOCE and in my experience that has been the cause of a lot of the delays is that there is a phantom warrant or a phantom hold or something that should have been removed from a person's account like 45 years earlier uhm and it is keeping them in for an extra day or so.

asked some questions around, the particularly around the Bronx, had issues around that might cause delay or issues with paying bail in the Bronx. Can you share with us your, I think it is in your testimony but I don't know if you had an opportunity to get to it? Can you discuss some of the issues particular to the Bronx around obviously your, your report focuses on this? Around delays, and or issues in paying bail and then delays related to once, once bail is paid to getting somebody released?

SARAH RAHIMI: Yes, so I think there are two things to note and first of all, just to clarify the clients who are detailed in our report are not all Bronx clients, because we also work with Queens and we've paid bail at other facilities too. So, I mean I think there are the two key issues in the Bronx. One, that there is no DOC payment area at the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

courthouse, near the courthouse and so we have to wait to get to the boat. If it is late at night or there is some other reason like we like can't get out there then sometimes we will just have to wait. That's pretty rare. It's more so that when we get there, this is reason number two is that at the boat of any of the facilities I do think that there is the most intense culture of indifference. Uhm at other facilities we can give them a heads up that we are coming, that we are going to pay bail, they will call us when it is ready and it is usually within an hour. At the boat, we will be waiting there for an hour or two hours until anyone comes to the window at all and some. There is no bell or anything to alert anyone that we are there. Sometimes they will cover the window with a sheet so they can't even see that there are people waiting and once we do submit the bail to them, we can, there are people back there eating lunch. There is no way to communicate about what is going on and we will see them just. It will go right through the next shift if they want to be able to get out of there on time. So, it thinks those, it's indifference and it is the lack of the facility near the courthouse.

2.2

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And so that example that you brought up is showing up to post bail and somebody not being there for an hour or more?

SARAH RAHIMI: Exactly, yes.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Is there ever a reason given why, that's the case?

SARAH RAHIMI: Well, there are people there, we can see them walking around so no, no one is ever coming to us to say I see that you are here, I will get to you soon. It's more, if they do come to talk to us, they will say stop staring at me, you are making my nervous go sit down.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Uhm I have no comment. I, the and then the, do you experience that at other facilities as well?

SARAH RAHIMI: That's where, when we go to a jail facility that is usually where we are paying. At the new Queens window, I believe is run by newly trained civilians as well as at the Brooklyn House window which has the same situation. They are very kind uhm and the delays that we do experience there are due to the issues with fax machines with them getting paperwork from other facilities.

2

3

Ĭ

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Do you believe that we should be using fax machines in 2018?

SARAH RAHIMI: No (laughing).

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: The uhm, okay uhm let me get it here. Can you talk to us more about uhm we, we saw some announcements that were made today which I believe is in response to this hearing? Uhm we also I think were made aware of them through the Daily News and can you, can you originally from the news can you share with us your thoughts on those improvements and then additional improvements that you feel like. Recognizing that just basic compliance with the laws that we have today is, is a starting point but can you let us know what your feeling is on those announcements today and then second, other further improvements that the City and the DOC can be making to help facilitate the payment and the release?

SARAH RAHIMI: Yeah just quickly on the announcement that came out today. I haven't gone so much in depth on what is reported there, but I have a hard time imagining that a video that is only in two languages and a couple of trained people in every facility are going to be able to have individualized

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meetings with every single person within 24 hours of them coming. Uhm especially when there is just a kiosk that you need to kind of figure out yourself about how to get your property, property window is probably closed. It closes at 8 p.m. Uhm I don't know that it really reaches that level of kind of an individualized trouble shooting assessment. Uhm and I, does anyone else want to talk about other improvements?

ELIZABETH VENDOR: I think later on in the Department's testimony this morning they talked about what I view as the more important piece of that law which is actually providing people with an opportunity to access their wallets, their credit cards, their cash whatever the case may be because you can know everything in the world about your bail conditions but if you can pay with a credit card but you can't access it, what is it worth? Uhm so I was glad to hear that they said something about that component of it. I think that is super important and again it is something that I, I am acting this Council to start pro-actively investigating. I mean one of the things that they said is that these kiosks where folks can pay their own bail will be up and

25

running by January 1st both in facilities and the 2 jails. Let's get some investigators in those 3 locations on January 1st and see if that is actually 4 true. Because again, I sat at the Board of 5 Correction meeting on what November 3rd or sorry, 6 November 13th maybe it was and I heard a lot of the 7 same stuff. It is, it is sort of vaque. Uhm some 8 level of acknowledgment that there is noncompliance 9 but much more broad general statements about we will 10 be in compliance but when you look at the details, 11 12 when you impact the Freedom Funds data it is incredibly hard for me to believe that by mid-13 December their projected compliance date that they 14 15 gave at the Board Meeting is at all achievable. And 16 so, I think we need, we need to get some outside eyes and ears uhm to see if that is actually true. Uhm 17 18 and they I'm just looking at my notes on the things that they said they might be ready to implement. 19 20 mean the \$1 bail issue, and I know we are talking about a Bill on that issue today. I, uhm, too many 21 2.2 people are held on \$1 bail. I think the Department 23 agrees, the City agrees but they talked at the Board Meeting and today about Chaplains or I think they 24

normally refer to them as Chaplains as being a

25

2 resource to post it. That is not my information, there are not Chaplains in every facility uhm and 3 those that are there I think at most what I am 4 5 hearing is that they pay... they are helping people 6 execute their own self-bail paperwork. So, it's not 7 this sort of panacy cure all. I don't doubt that they are helpful, but but that is not a policy. 8 That's not an overall reliable policy and I think 9 10 that speaks to our general trouble shooting experience. When Alaina says that she will contact 11 12 public defenders and MOCJ uhm you know what we do is speak to helpful people in MOCJ and DOC and they do 13 14 exist. Let's be very clear, there are uhm a group of 15 Captains that we know that we can reliably email or 16 call and even if they are not working, they will 17 So, it's not like the Department is devoid assist. 18 of folks that want to help. I believe that sincerely, uhm the, the liberty of our client should 19 not depend on the availability of a certain number of 20 helpful people uhm and that's why I'm ask... I'm really 21 2.2 asking that we turn our attention to the culture 23 change that I think needs to happen. If you look at OCA and the fact that they are communicating by fax 24

or courier. I mean, that, there is no excuse for

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that, to your question earlier and so let's get

people at the table who want to modernize things and

actually make it happen.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Yeah, I appreciate that and I think that in addition to. I think it is a lot of system, system issues including technology and, and processes and ways that we are doing this that seem very updated to, to me. We heard some of their number, I think at their letter they issued to us they said average time was, uhm close to compliance 3 hours and 40 minutes is the average time. I think today they said 4.5. Uhm I think we had seen other data from the Bronx Freedom Fund understanding that maybe the populations are difference in terms of who you... can you share with us your thoughts on their number, their data and why you think there might be differences between the numbers in your report and the number they are reporting today?

ALAINA WEISMAN: Uhm yes, so I think part of it is definitely to what they brought up as what is the start time. From where do you start the clock of release and we understand it as when they have accepted payment and listed the payment time. Uhm of

1	WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 98
2	bail. I have that they have an average release of
3	4.5 hours, uhm unclear if that is a mean or a median
4	For our numbers, we have been tracking since January
5	of this year. We've done every installment of the
6	Bill as far as the timeframe requirement as well as
7	overall and so overall our average mean is 9 hours t
8	their 4.5. Uhm, as far as their, the current
9	installment of 3 hours, they listed that 19% of
10	people are released from a jail facility within the
11	current three hours or less, we have that as 7%.
12	Uhm.
13	CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And guys a followup
14	question, you are doing Queens and Bronx?
15	ALAINA WEISMAN: Correct.
16	CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And how many people?
17	How many cases we are studying?
18	ALAINA WEISMAN: Roughly 25 cases a
19	month.
20	CHAIR KEITH POWERS: A month? And for
21	how long?
22	ALAINA WEISMAN: Since January.
23	CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, so it is

possible that they are. What I'm asking, what I'm really asking if you have different data than they do

in terms of average times, mean and median,
differences between times, it is possible there are

4 just some facilities that are much worse than others

and they are, they have some that are actually better

6 than some of the ones that you are looking at.

7 Which, which raises a question of when you talk about

8 civilians or, other, other things happening, acc...

9 access being at the courthouse versus being, being on

10 the barge that there are some examples to be taken

11 | immediately from other facilities that could be

12 | shared with the ones that you are studying.

studying trends as to what factors might.

ALAINA WEISMAN: Right, no certainly sorry. Just really quickly I was going to say that most of our clients are housed at either at facilities within Riker's Island or at the Vernon C Bain Center, the boat but we post bail from multiple facilities across the City. Uhm and I have been

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Right okay.

ALAINA WEISMAN: Affect one's release.

SARAH RAHIMI: And it sounded it like I would imagine people who are in their 43% bail audit were all at Riker's and had their bail paid at

Riker's so that they could get rid of the lag time

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that might result within communication between
facilities. Uhm but you know we all know that is the
most inaccessible place to pay bail. That is not
where most people are going to get their loved ones
out and if anything, we have also tracked their
release time for the online bail system which is
supposed to circumvent this entirely, allow people to
pay at any time, get people out more quickly, prevent
needing to rely on fax machines and communication
between facilities but those times seem longer, much
longer especially recently than paying in person at a
facility and so I don't know that it is only an issue
of where you go and what, what their kind of systemic
issues are but probably one of like Liz was saying
about culture of you know lack of urgency.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And what is the most, oh I had two questions. One is when the differences between when you, your expectation about when this clock starts and their expectation? How long is that window between those two normally? Meaning when they, what you, when one believes the clock starts, when you get the receipt with the timestamp on it versus when the Department? Like

2.2

what is an expectation about what the difference is in terms of time?

YOUNA ZEITZ (SP?): I don't think we are actually at a clear difference in when the time starts. I think that they are assuming that when we were tracking our data that maybe we were counting the time that we were waiting.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Oh okay.

YOUNA ZEITZ (SP?): What my colleague mentioned is that she actually tracks it from when we get the bail receipt, so when the bail has actually been paid. So, I don't think there is actually a disagreement on when the clock starts.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay.

ALAINA WEISMAN: But if they do, if they do mean that they are starting to count when the paperwork makes it way from the window to some person inside.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Yeah, that's what I thought, that's what I thought they were saying.

ALAINA WEISMAN: I would imagine that that depends on the time of day as does so much else when we are there. If there is a tour change, if it is nighttime, it is going to last until the morning

2.2

until the Deputy Warden can come and sign off or something like that.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay, and then when, when, when you have a delay of 22 hours or even when the ones that are not compliant do you uhm what is the, what is the most uhm what is the, what are the highest reasons they are given or the most reasons? Are they, what is the predominant reason given to you for why there is a delay? They named, they aligned a number of things that might be considerations why somebody would be there longer, I'm wondering if you have uhm any information on what is the most likely cause for a delay?

ALAINA WEISMAN: Well I've never heard about any of the kind of medical needs or other discharge planning that has to happen. When we are given a reason it's usually punted over to the OCA. I don't know, do you have.

SARAH RAHIMI: Yeah, no I was going to say the same thing of like general miscommunication between OCA and DOC when it comes to like holds being lifted on the defendants account despite the fact their attorney has confirmed that the hold has been vacated or dismissed.

ALAINA WEISMAN: We will also frequently
or from time to time anyway hear that the court staff
has told us that they have either delivered the
paperwork to DOC staff in person in the courthouse or
faxed it to the number that was available to them and
then when we speak to the these, these helpful staff
to troubleshoot the issue they will say well fax it
to this different number and it is a number that the
court staff didn't have. So those, those types of
miscommunications and delays lead to a lot of these
issues too. And to me, if you are going to use the
fax machine like okay, let's, let's assume that we
have to live in that world. Get everyone a single
people of paper and it says where to send it. It is
inexcusable to me that we would have a secondary you
know number that nobody knows about.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Alright, got it. I appreciate that. Uhm I am going to stop my questions there in the respect to time but I think Council Member Holden had a question?

ROBER HOLDEN: Yeah, I'm just, it's not so much of a question about it. Just stunned, that a property window can't be left open or at least somebody doesn't have access to it to get the

2.2

belongings when the person that is released or that a coat can't be provided. We heard the Administration say that a coat is provided. Yet, we, people are being released without coats, without their property, uhm that is not an Administration that is serious about bail reform or serious about fixing this, this broken system. And I am sure that you have thousands of stories, nightmares, what happens to these complaints after you obviously protest, do you get any kind of other than bureaucratic answers over the property window, we don't have a person to open it or we can't gain access, what happens to some of the complaints? Do you have any, any examples? Do they keep happening?

ALAINA WEISMAN: I think this is the prime example of what happens. Uhm we've, I've never got any kind of constructive idea from the Department of Correction personnel when we've brought this to their attention. Granted you know we are talking to people who are at the bail windows or we call on the phone to the GO's office. Uhm we don't have really high level communication with DOC personnel but you know here we are, we have been talking about it for over a year uhm with anyone who will listen and

2.2

ultimately I think that as much as Council Member

Powers as I agree with you that we are not trying to bully, pulpit each other, call each other out that perhaps it takes uhm a megaphone being blasted into someone's ear for something to happen.

ROBERT HOLDEN: But just that some people are held for 16 hours without food, is that true?

That's happening?

ALAINA WEISMAN: Where, usually when people are stuck in the discharge phase for a long time, they are put back into intake especially if we are pa... we are usually paying bail for people who have been arraigned within a day or so and so they haven't been assigned a bed yet. They have been subjected to this blackout period and they've been in intake for multiple days at a time without a bed and yes, usually, and or sometimes without food. It depends on again the time of day and who is there, and how, how they are feeling.

ROBERT HOLDEN: That should not be happening. Maybe we need some uhm Legislation about leaving the property window open 24/7 I mean that's, that's possible right. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, uhm, just final question here is with the announcement today and the Bills that we have, that we are hearing today are there, I think I asked it but I want to ask one more time, are there additional recommendations? We have some of them and I know, I know Chairman Lancman also recommended having some more participants in the working group, other recommendations in terms of easing the process for paying and then also improving the process related to making sure that folks of released in a reasonable amount of time. Are we in com... being compliant with the Law?

ALAINA WEISMAN: Uhm yes, something that we talk about a lot is what Youna brought up, that the real reason why people are in is that they can't afford their bail and nobody can afford their bail.

Uhm and so you know, we are exacted to the see the bureau pilot take off with inability to pay assessment. If there are any if there is any way for the Council to expand that or to make inability to pay assessment part of the uhm arraignment process.

I would imagine that would be helpful as well.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Great, thank you, and I want to say thank you for the report and the

work that you are doing. I don't disagree that the
megaphone matters to but what I mean is that I am
really am intent to trying to fix the problems that
are existing there, not just to catch the Agency when
they are out of compliance and say and then walk away
and say, we got our, and I think we all here want to
actually fix some of these real structural problems
and I do think the Department shares that but, but
has been slow to respond and we don't want to have to
hold. We will hold hearings as we need, we shouldn't
have to hold hearings to get them to comply with a
Law that we passed that they sat at a table with us
to negotiate. So, we, we look forward to continuing
to work uhm to, help fix this process. We thank you
for your testimony and the reports that you have done
to help put this conversation forward and I will say
it is, often the public defenders that we hear from
that help elevate the stories that are about how long
and different difficulties and obviously in 2018 it
is easier than ever to find those stories whether it
is in the press or on social media or things like
that. So, we thank all of those who, who do raise
those issues for us to be able to understand this,

2.2

2 this process better. So, thank you, thank you for 3 your testimony and your work.

ALAINA WEISMAN: Thank you.

SARAH RAHIMI: Thank you.

YOUNA ZEITZ (SP?): Thank you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Okay next panel, we have uh four folks coming up, we have Ash Stevens from the Brooklyn Community Bail Fund, Scott Leavy, from the Bronx Defenders, Sergio de Lapava (SP?) from New York County Defender Services and Katherine Gonzalez from Brooklyn Defender Services. We are waiting for one more. Alright thanks, thank for being here. We can start over here and then go ahead. Thanks.

SCOTT LEAVY: Uhm thank you my name is

Scott Leavy. I am Special Counsel to the Criminal

Defense Practice of Bronx Defenders. I want to thank

you Chair Powers and Chair Lancman for the

opportunity to testify today. I also want to say a

special thank you to my colleagues at Legal Aid and

at the Bronx Freedom Fund for really pushing this,

this issue and keeping, holding folks accountable.

They really have been leading the charge on this.

Uhm in 2017, the Bronx Defenders welcomed the

2	enactment of Legislation intended to address some of
3	the obstacles facing our clients and their families
4	when attempting to pay bail. These obstacles
5	needlessly keep people in jail and cause additional
6	pain and frustration. While we recognize that there
7	have been some tangible improvement since their
8	passage, the City, the Department of Corrections and
9	the NYPD in particular has failed to fully implement
10	and adhere to these laws. The unfortunate reality is
11	that many of our clients and their families continue
12	to front he same obstacles today that they, that
13	existed last year and as the Freedom Fund reports
14	shows there is still a long way to go. Many of the
15	Bills mandates remained unfulfilled and a significant
16	challenge remain. Uhm I will rely mostly on my
17	written testimony; however, I do want to raise, focus
18	on two specific issues. The first we have heard some
19	about already is \$1 bail. The obstacles facing our
20	clients, uhm and delays facing our clients and their
21	families are particularly frustrating when they are
22	only being held in on a \$1 bail. The practice of
23	setting of a \$1 bail and relying on a system of \$1
24	bail in lieu or an Administrative hold is
25	anachronistic and leads to absurd and devastating

2	consequences and I want to share one particular story
3	that I think lays this off. Uhm the experience of
4	James, a 16-year-old client shows how the practice of
5	setting a \$1 bail interacts with the rest of the bail
6	system to destabilize our client's lives. This past
7	spring James was detained on significant bail in the
8	Bronx and \$1 bail in Manhattan. Unable to afford the
9	bail he spends many months on Riker's before
10	ultimately pleading guilty and agreeing to
11	participate in a residential program for adolescents.
12	Representatives of that program were in court when he
13	took the plea to escort James directly to the program
14	and the judge released James with the understanding
15	that he would go directly from court to the program.
16	However, the \$1 bail in Manhattan held him in because
17	there is no bail paying facility, DOC Bail Payment
18	Facility near the Bronx courthouse, no one could pay
19	\$1 bail. He ended up being taken to Riker's, missing
20	his admission into the Residential Program and was
21	released after midnight that night. Uhm, the
22	notification system that is being proposed today is
23	absolutely crucial and it is also crucial that not
24	just our clients be notified but defense counsel as
25	well. As you have heard, as often defense counsel

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that is trouble shooting, tracking down, information and making sure that our clients are released. Currently we rely in part on the network of volunteers across the City known as the \$1 bail brigade to pay \$1 bail for our clients. We are extremely grateful for their service and inspired by their work but it is outrageous that their public service is even required and even later the obvious absurdity of the current practices. The other thing that I just want to notice and I will try to make this very quick is we have heard and we welcome the advances in the online bail payment system and we also applaud the Council's proposal to expand the methods of payment for online bail and the implementation delay it may be, though it may be of kiosk for self-payment but I want to talk about who is excluded from online bail payment very quickly and I, I will wrap up. First, the uhm ability, the limitation on the credit card only bail excludes a lot of our clients who just don't have access to credit. Many of our clients don't have credit and so expanding to other methods of payment such as uhm online checks uhm and debit payments will make a big difference in a lot of our client's lives.

2	want to note that the online bail system currently
3	requires a social security number uhm in order to
4	pay. This obviously excludes uhm a number of
5	communities from being able to access the online bail
6	system and we think that if New York City does not
7	require a social security number to get a New York
8	City Identification Card the online bail payment
9	system should do the same. Uhm and I just want to
10	end by saying that online bail system, the online
11	bail system, again while welcomed should not be the
12	only focus of the City's efforts. A 2015 report by
13	the Urban Institute found that 21.8% of the
14	households in the Bronx do not have a bank account
15	compared to only 12.7% in Brooklyn, 8.8% in
16	Manhattan, 8% in Queens and 7% in Staten Island.
17	Many of our clients and their families are just
18	simply excluded from the financial system across the
19	board and so the, the lack of a bail payment facility
20	in and around the courthouse is inexcusable
21	particularly in the Bronx where people need the
22	physical place to actually pay bail. Uhm and we want
23	to make sure that the focus on online bail payment is
24	not to the exclusion of improving if the, the other

2 services such as the fax machines and accessibility 3 issues.

4

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank, thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGIO DE LAPAVA (SP?): Good afternoon, I'm Sergio de Lapava (SP?) of New York County Defender Services. Thank you for the opportunity to be heard in support of these two proposals. Bail Reform must be at the heart of any attempt at meaningful criminal justice reform. We testify today to applaud attempts such as these at easing the burden on those striving for the release of a pretrial detainee. But also, to advocate on behalf of an even more radical rethinking of this City's Procedures and Practices in the area of bail. Pretrail detention, that is the widespread incarceration of mostly indigent people of color who have not been found guilty of any wrongdoing and are presumed innocent under the law is inherently unjust. goal we all should share there is a pronounced reduction in this City's population of pre-trial detainees. The best way to drive such a reduction is to move entirely away from a cash-based system. Until then it is critical that we remove barriers

such as the two today that complicate the release of

2 someone incarcerated due to poverty. For example, the setting of bail in the amount of \$1 is a 3 strategic tool designed to ensure that our clients 5 who are eventually sentenced are property credited 6 for every terrible day they spend in custody. It is 7 never in any instance intended to be even a partial reason that someone is incarcerated. Every major 8 player in our criminal justice system understands 9 10 this. Not just defense attorneys but prosecutors, judges the NYPD and most critically the Department of 11 12 Correction are perfectly versed in this practice. The critical factor is that DOC is in the best 13 14 position to recognize whenever this principal is 15 being violated. Creating a statutory obligation on 16 their part to inform the other interested parties who are in a position to take remedial action is highly 17 18 laudable. Similarly reducing the toxic transfer of wealth away from our most vulnerable communities 19 20 which is our current bail system is a morale mandate. There is no good reason for the harmful fees that are 21 2.2 essentially extorted from people already reduced to 23 relying on the exploitative credit card industry out of the desperate need to free a loved one. 24 Council's proposal would at least strike a blow 25

2.2

against this harm. The elements of profit taking do not belong in our criminal justice system. A person's liberty and Constitutional Rights are not a proper venue for commercial exploitation and fees like the one at issue run counter to this principal. Pre-trialed attention due to poverty harm is not only those directly detained but also our criminal justice system as a whole. It fosters a general derogation of respect for our system and for the fundamental principal that the rich and poor alike are entitled to equal justice under the law. The instant proposals are a step in the right direction and we support them.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Great, thank you SERGIO DE LEPAVA (SP?): Thanks.

MY name is Katherine Gonzalez. I am an attorney with the Brooklyn Defender Services. I do want to apologize for my voice, I'm getting over a cold (laughing). Uhm thank you for this opportunity to testify in the obstacles to release for people that we represent who are actually able to afford to pay bail. It is important to note that the vast majority of people for whom bail is set cannot afford the

2 amount and form that is sought by the prosecution and eventually set by judges. However, those with 3 4 resources to pay often can't do so because of inexcusable bureaucratic obstacles and a lot of those 5 have been discussed this morning already in 6 7 testimony. We highlight some of those additional ones, we also provide accounting stories of our 8 client's situations that we have confronted uhm with 9 regards to these issues. Uhm before I talk about 10 some of those issues with you today I do just want to 11 12 note that the actual process of posting bail which I have experienced myself personally is a nightmare and 13 is something that I think uh our communities will 14 15 benefit to see the Council investigating and one of 16 the things that we would like to propose is perhaps uhm as part of an investigation the City Council can 17 18 send secret like shopper investigators to look into this process and what it is actually like and some of 19 20 reforms that can be implemented to address those issues, specifically. With regard to a credit card 21 2.2 bail, one of the things that we want to talk about is 23 none of the Supreme Courts in our City uhm allow people to post credit card bails at the courthouse 24 because they don't have credit card machines and 25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 while the Legislature implements a credit card as a form of bail in 1986, in 2018 no one, none of the 3 4 five Supreme Courts in our five boroughs are you allowed to pay credit card bail because they do not 5 6 have the machines required to do so. Uhm with regard 7 also to the \$1 bail, no one in our ever has ever received an alert from the City when a client is held 8 on a \$1 bail and it is something that we could easily 9 help to resolve and avoid unnecessary legal detention 10 of our clients. Again, thank you for this 11 12 opportunity to testify.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Great, thank you, save your voice.

KATHERINE GONZALEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Next.

ASH STEVENS: Uhm good morning, uhm thank you to the Committee on Criminal Justice for the invitation to testify today. My name is Ash Stevens, I am co-manager of Bail Operations at the Brooklyn Community Bail Fund. We are the largest of their charitable bail funds here in New York City and the largest in the country. Although we started out three year ago serving only people arraigned in Brooklyn, we also operate in Manhattan and Staten

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Island. We pay bail for more than 100 of our fellow New Yorkers every month who can't afford it and nearly 4,000 individuals to date. Unable to afford a few hundred dollars, they would either remain in jail or plead guilty just to go home. I appreciate the Committee for calling this hearing to ensure that people aren't held in jail on \$1 bail, that additional fees aren't levied against vulnerable individuals who when paying bail and that the bail easement laws previously passed by City Council are effectively implemented. I also want to thank City Council for their efforts to better regulate the predatory commercial bail industry, the bail bond industry. The Council's efforts stem from the understanding that money bail is a pernicious evil that makes a mockery of our notions, of our notions of justice, imprisons New Yorkers for their poverty and is one of the primary mechanisms that perpetuates a racist criminal legal system. The local laws passed in June 2017 to make bail easement, the bail easement process easier on the bail easement laws, were introduced because we know that individuals are subjected to unspeakable harm even when they or a loved one can't afford to pay bail. As we testified

2	last year, this is just one reason that a true
3	solution must be uhm the abolishment of bail and
4	wealth-based attention. Last year we detailed how
5	people are often unable to reach loved ones for help
6	with bail because they do not have phone numbers
7	memorized. We described how incarcerated people and
8	their families need easily accessible information in
9	order to navigate the confusing bail paying process.
10	We emphasized the importance of giving families
11	enough time to get to the courthouse to pay bail and
12	of requiring that the Department of Corrections
13	accept bail payments immediately and continuously
14	after bail has been set. Finally, we pointed out
15	that our clients are often held 6 to 12 hours or
16	sometimes days after their bail has been posted and
17	called for a more rapid release process. Uhm we
18	supported the passage of the bail easement laws
19	because we saw people struggle every day to get their
20	loved ones out when the system was clearly designed
21	to keep them in. We regret to report that we are not
22	finding the relief that the bail easement laws
23	require. I would direct you all as has been spoken
24	about to look at the Bronx Freedom Funds report on
25	the implementation of the City Council's Bail

2 Easement Laws which documents the failure of the Department of Corrections and other Agencies to 3 4 comply with the laws that were set to have been 5 implemented throughout this past year. We have also found blatant disregard for the laws in our work this 6 7 year and would like to share relevant information based on our experience posting bail in Brooklyn and 8 Manhattan. Like the Bronx Freedom Fund, we have 9 found that DOC will not accept bail payment 10 immediately and continuously after bail has been set 11 12 as required by local law 123. At the Brooklyn 13 Detention Center, staff repeatedly tell us and family members that DOCs own rules do not allow them to 14 15 accept bail payments for someone when they are in 16 jail's custody. This means that DOC, DOC staff 17 repeatedly refuse bail payment when someone is in 18 transit, both from arraignment to a detention center and from a detention center back to court. When we 19 20 have pointed out that this is not in compliance with City law, we have been told that DOC does not have 21 2.2 the capacity to accept bail payment because of their 23 reliance on faxing physical paperwork which cannot be done in transit on a bus. In addition to being 24 25 unable to pay bail when someone is in transit, we

2	routinely experienced a number of difficulties paying
3	bail when the courts and DOC do not communicate
4	effectively or efficiently. Just this past Friday,
5	we tried to post bail for someone held uhm in the
6	Manhattan Detention Center who had a court date
7	scheduled on that day. Since this client was never
8	brought to court and was still at the jail in DOC
9	custody we tried to pay. This is why we tried to pay
10	at Manhattan Detention Center; however, since he was
11	not taken to and from court with his paperwork the
12	jail did not have the paperwork telling them when his
13	next court date was going to be. Because of this, we
14	were told that we would not be able to pay bail until
15	Monday. This person was going to be held in jail
16	from Friday until Monday because DOC didn't know when
17	his next court date was. Since we are accustomed to
18	navigating the system, the asked the client's
19	attorney to ask the court clerk to send the necessary
20	paperwork to DOC staff at MDC and we were able to
21	post last Friday evening. If this has been a family
22	member unable to facilitate this type of information
23	transfer the person would have been held in an extra
24	two days. We have also found a refusal to release
25	clients within a timeframe mandated by local law 123

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in the majority of our encounters with clients after their release from jail, we learned that they were released in the early hours of the morning even though we consistently pay in the afternoon and in the evening the day before. In some cases, people are held 24 hours after we have paid their bail. Just this past October, we paid for two clients who were held for so long that they missed their subsequent court appearance. In both cases, we paid bail around 4 p.m. on a Monday and by 4 p.m. the next afternoon they still had not been released from MBC. We also would like to draw attention to the uneven implementation of local law 124 which is designed to hold people at the court houses long enough for family members to arrive and pay their bail. Though we have seen increased hold times on occasion we still routinely see family members forced to pay bail at DOC facilities because they were turned away at court. We continue to see court staff refuse to accept bail payments when someone is in DOC custody even if the detained individual is in the court building just because court staff do not have proper paperwork. And finally, family members are often not able to make it to the courthouse to pay bail because

2.2

they have not been notified the bail has been set, a result of failed compliance with local law 126 and the vast majority of cases.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: I just got to ask you to wrap up, sorry. Thanks.

ASH STEVENS: Uhm I'll just end with, uhm, uhm the Council has an incredible opportunity and obligation to end the caging of so many New Yorkers in City jails, I applaud the City Council's efforts so far to get more people out of jail by simply allowing them to pay their bail. As I have pointed out the City has to do much more though to ensure that the current Legislation is implemented and to make sure that bail is never set on so many New Yorkers in the first place. I am grateful for the opportunity to testify to this, to testify to this imperative. Thank you for the invitation and for your efforts.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you and just I know we have to vacate this room so sorry to cut you off but. Just a quick question, the issue around transit comes up a lot, so, and custody and transit uh what we ways that we improve that part of it, which is seems to be an impediment often where uhm

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DOC says the person is not here and how, how do we fix that. One seems to be stuck in systems and technology and getting rid of paperwork. Are there other suggestions on how we fix that issue?

ASH STEVENS: Uhm yeah, I think the one about technology uh that folks have already spoken about I think is an interesting one. I think also uhm sometimes the people that I am speaking with are still in the same building and the communication between individuals isn't happening or for whatever reason as folks from Bronx Freedom Fund said, uhm the, the just willingness to also want to facilitate the communication doesn't happen as far as we have seen. So, I think there is something there in that point and to the anecdote about the person being on the bus and not being able to receive paperwork on a bus uhm for the person to be allowed to be uh let Again, some sort of technological advancement that allows that to be disseminated on a bus via I'm not sure what that looks like, I'm not super tech savvy but I think there is room for all us.

MATHERINE GONZALEZ: I will suggest just moving to another track system where this information is kept and stored. One of the issues that we

2.2

discussed in our written testimony is that in the actual paperwork that is processed because it is handed from person to person and it input into an electronic system, we have issues where the bails that the judge set is not the bail that DOC is seeing that this person is being held on and family is not able to post bail because there is a discrepancy in the amount. If the system is electronic from the beginning, then I think it easier to monitor and uhm address more of these very like constant issues and frequent issues that, that are happening.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: That would be like an, like an error made about which amount is?

KATHERINE GONZALEZ: Yeah, we provide specific examples in our written testimony but for example, we had a client who was the bond that was set was \$500 and when the family attempted to pay his bail DOC told us that the amount of \$500,000. It was a thousand times more than what the amount was and it is because their database reflected this amount that isn't actually what was set, so we needed to do a lot of advocacy around getting proof. We had to scrambling around the court to get proof to DOC to show them that the information that they have is

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

13

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wrong and I think it is mostly due to the fact that there is a piece of paper that needs to travel. I don't know how far for them to then take that piece of paper and put into a computer anyway and they are relying on that computer and not the piece of paper.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And I, and this is

my last question and we have another panel but when you do or when you are hitting these issues in the process, do you feel like either on the OCA side, the DOCE side, DOC side or any other part of this equation that you both know the appropriate ways to resolve the issues that you are facing such as appropriate personnel and training to help you facilitate that and can you, can you tell us what our improvements in that part of it as you are, as you are, you see something like it's 5,000 for 500,000 or another issue that arises where there is a question about what is going on or who is, you know there is an error made how you, how that process works in terms of trying to resolve it. It seems like a little bit is just know how in terms of how... experience navigating the process but are there improvements that can be made or, or other parts of this said that need to be fixed so that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SCOTT LEAVY: You mentioned training and I think the larger issue is that you are fighting against an ingrained culture, where for decades the Department of Correction has been allowed to operate with near perfect impunity and I have been a public defender for 22 years and this is just instantiations of what they do. They think that, you know how they release somebody, when they will take bail, when they will release someone is entirely up to them and is not the business of the Judge, Prosecutor, NYPD or anybody else and so it is a question of education and training the that this is a different mindset, that this is a different world that they are operating under and until you do that you are always going to come across little uhm instances of people saying not my business or not, not the OCA, NYPD, not a fax machine. You are just always going to come across that until there is a strong commitment to retraining and educating them as to the new landscape.

KATHERINE GONZALEZ: And if I could, I think you for the answer as well, warrant the first is there isn't a, like even as an attorney there isn't like I don't like take the same steps every time there is an issue it is literally frantically

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

running around to the last person who managed the situation to figure out what is missing and what can be addressed. Sometimes it is running back to the court to say I know you don't have a copy of the order because you physically gave it to a DOC officer can you draft another one because you have in your court records that this is the bail that you set so that we can fax it to this other person that I have on the phone who is willing to help me, so there isn't a streamline system to address these issues or one particular person that you know that you can address necessary to fix. I think the other thing is maybe we need to be thinking about ways to hold DOC accountable for failing to comply uhm because uhm you know us talking to, because that doesn't seem to be working.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: And what would that be?

KATHERINE GONZALEZ: Maybe we need to impose some sort of sanctions statutorily, I mean there are so many issues that logistical and it is like either it is misinformation or there are not appropriate systems in place because this has never

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

been a priority. Releasing our clients is not a priority.

SCOTT LEAVY: If I can just very briefly, I think the technological piece of this is actually crucial to all of it, right because for any particular issue that comes up you spend a lot of time diagnosing the problem and then you spend a lot of time fixing the problem and everybody has this own wort of rolodex of people that they know who to call and you have to figure out who, who the right person for this one is. If you have information sharing across the system, there would not only be able to diagnose and fix but there would also be sort of accountability within that transparency. If OCA knows that DOC knows then they can hold each other accountable and if we know what they know then we can hold them accountable. So, the, the technological piece and getting rid of fax machines really would go a long way to actually creating internal accountability.

ASH STEVENS: Just, okay I was just going to quickly add that in certain instances we at the bail fund, at the Brooklyn Bail Fund do try to call people within the systems to help sort of

especially important in this piece too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

troubleshoot. Also, and probably more importantly to us though is like people with families and all of those people don't have access to those numbers, they don't know who to call when these things come up and so even if we have accountability across our systems uhm that being related to the real people who are really impacted every day, that's not happening. Uhm I'm not sure how to remedy that but that is,

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you I appreciate those insights and testimony. Thank you for being here. Uhm we are going to have uhm one last panel, it is uhm let's see we have Joanna Weiss from the Fines and Fees Justice Center, Lynette Howard from Close Riker's Island Campaign, uhm Close Riker's Island Campaign uhm I think its Harvey Murphy from Just Leadership I think and William Evans from Neighborhood Bunches. I don't know if I got that wrong. Apologies. Okay and I think we are waiting for one more. Alright thank you, thanks for being here. Thank you for your patience as well. Uhm I think we are starting from this way going, I think you are up first so you can you can begin and we will

2

have you on the clock for two minutes. Thanks so much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HARVEY MURPHY: Hey how is everybody doing my name is Harvey Murphy. I'm from Just Leadership I'm a Community Organizer here at Just Leadership. Uhm we stand on a Free New York Campaign and Close Riker's Island Campaign. Uhm I just want to keep it real, real brief. I am a formerly incarcerated. I'm standing with the fight. I appreciate you guys up there for actually like standing with us in the fight and the more we are seeing you guys, I feel like I am knowing you guys. I just want to start off by saying one of the things that, I paid bail, it was, it was a decision that I had to make either pay bail or be homeless. And one of the things I did, I paid the bail and I was homeless and honestly it was like a revolving door for me because I felt like society owed me something. I felt like how would they put a price on a man's freedom. I sit back and I think about it now, and I see why I am mad now that's why I said it is an honor and it is a pleasure to be with you guys, formerly incarcerated up north twice so it definitely is a pleasure to be here standing on this fight. Paying

2 bail and being homeless and making that decision in your household as a man to say you know what I don't 3 4 want to be in this nasty cage no more so you know 5 what I'm going to do I'm going to bail out and the 6 next thing you know I found myself and my and my 7 family in a raggedy situation. I am 35. I had to live with that. That was in 2002, I am still living 8 with that but I'm here, I'm here standing strong, 9 standing on the fight, standing on the Free New York 10 Campaign and Close Riker's Island Campaign. Uhm it 11 12 became a revolving door for me because I felt like society owed me something. I felt like why would 13 they, I was innocent it was a dirt bike. A friend of 14 15 mind reported his dirt bike stolen, I wound up 16 getting the dirt bike from the person who stole the 17 dirt bike. I am riding the dirt bike, I wind up 18 getting caught, \$1500 bail. I'm innocent though. The guy is sitting in court, he is telling the judge 19 20 and everybody listen this man ain't stealing my dirt bike. You know, but then again, I had to pay bail. 21 2.2 I just want to say again man thank you man, uhm the 23 whole bail system all you have to. I want to get this on the record just to say this, how would you, 24 25 excuse me man, how would you post, how would you put

2.2

cash on somebody's freedom? How would you put cash on somebody's freedom? And I'm here to testify against that, that the system is built not for me and not for the black and brown people so I'm here today to testify on this behalf on these issues because the issue is a situation, it is definitely a situation. I appreciate you guys, I feel like I know you guys up their man. I just want to take up all of the time, I'm a little emotional, my mom's fighting cancer so I just want to.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: No thank you, thank you for being here and sharing that and it sharing tough family situation and thank you for that.

WILLIAM EVANS: How are you doing? I want to uhm thank you as well, Council Members for having us up here. My name is William Evans. I am the President of Neighborhood Benches. Uhm we have, we have a small organization out in the Bronx where we actually go out and talk to community members about issues that it impacting them. And one of the issues that come up regularly is incarceration about incarceration and violence and uhm with cash bail being one of the major issues that a lot of individuals in the south Bronx are being impacted by,

2 uhm I think it is very important that we have this type of conversation. Uhm one of our initiatives or 3 4 focus on NYCHA Developments. And NYCHA is something 5 like 4, 4% of New York City population, right and 6 within that population you have individuals that is 7 impacted with incarceration a regular basis and to have this type of conversation with them about 8 incarceration it is not something new to them. 9 10 are bringing up these issues every day, uhm these are individuals that are being, that is being arrested 11 12 for minor charges still as we speak uhm and when we talk about the process, uhm these are also 13 14 individuals that are being impacted at multiple 15 levels. For example, when they are arrested and they 16 are going into the precinct. The thought of bail is always on their mind but they are only allowed to 17 18 take \$100 them through the system while the rest is being vouchered. That same money that is being 19 20 vouchered whether it is for rent or whether it is for anything is also being taxed. And it's and it's a 21 2.2 longer process for them to even get that money back 23 to even talk about bail. Uhm and in and on top of that when I heard, when I heard some of the 24 25 correction officers up here and they are talking

2 about the process there, have, if you ever go on to Riker's Island or the boat and you look at the actual 3 4 process you will see that a lot of the officers are 5 relaxed, right, they are not as, they are not as 6 eager to get paperwork done as they are to run to a 7 situation. Right, they will sit back and they will watch you wait and this is uhm a regular attitude for 8 a lot of the correction officers. So when you have a 9 family member that is actually going to pay bail and 10 they are faced with this type of, this type of uhm 11 12 for lack of a better term disrespect, they lose interest in paying bail uhm and then when you have, 13 14 when you have situations like this and uhm and this 15 type of conversations take place in your own 16 community where you are already impacted by multiple things, you lose interest in believing in a system 17 18 that is supposed to be there to help you. You know uhm so, a lot of us are against the cash bail 19 20 entirely because of these issues uhm and I do not think personally that this should be something that 21 2.2 is in the hands of the Department of Corrections uhm 23 because they are so lenient and they are laid back without interest uhm about, about individuals 24 25 returning home to their families, a lot of people are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

sitting on Riker's Island uhm and this could be an ongoing conversation because uhm I could just speak about 100 people when there are thousands and millions of people out there that is being impacted by it. So, I appreciate your time for having us as well.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, thank you for your time and for your patience as well. Thanks.

LYNETTE HOWARD: Good afternoon, my Lynette. Good afternoon my name is Lynette Howard. But never mind what my name is, I'm just another one of the 5 million people that as detained on Riker's Island with an \$80,000 bail. Whether I committed the crime or not the judge stated pay cash or stay incarcerated. So, my question is, what if I had \$80,000? Did it matter that I was accused of assaulting three individuals? What about the fact that I was a young troubled teen struggling trying my best, trying my best to help my mother as a single parent provide for me and my sisters? But if I had \$80,000, I would get out and have a get out of jail free card. A significant payment for my freedom until I was convicted. So never mind what my name is all that mattered was my booking case number and if I

was able to pay the courts to let me go. The
excessive bail clause of the 8 th Amendment of the US
Constitution forbids excessive bail pre-trial but fo
who? Putting up a house, a car, a life fortune was
not enough, cash was the value. So, what's next? A
called up the bails bond. The bails bond industry
brings in \$2 million in profit. In New York between
\$14 to \$20 million so from Riker's Island I called m
wife who called the bails bond on three-way and as he
said hello. I said my name is, he cut me off and
said forget about what your name is, how much is the
bail? Better yet, what's the booking case so I can
look it up and immediately broke down the numbers?
\$80,000 bail about \$5000 up front not including
collateral, not including being innocent. Having to
get home, having to feed my family, the price to live
outside bars surpasses the value of everyday things
we use to live. How bad I wish I had a silver spoon
in my mouth. I stayed in jail and I fought my case
from inside, fighting for my sanity as well. Thank
you.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, thank you for sharing that with us.

2	JOANN WEISS: Thank you, good morning, I
3	want to thank Chairs Powers and Lancman and their
4	Committees uhm for introducing Intro 1199 to
5	eliminate fees associated with credit, credit card
6	bail payments and for inviting me to testify.
7	Following Bill 741, Into 1199 would be another step
8	toward ending the disproportionate harms of fines and
9	fees that are exacted on poor communities, and
10	particularly communities of color in New York City.
11	My name is Joanna Weiss. I am the co-Director of the
12	Fines and Fees Justice Center. We are a national
13	organization that seeks to eliminate fees in the
14	justice system and ensure that any fines are
15	equitably imposed and enforced. Fines and fees hurt
16	New Yorkers and New York City. They make our
17	communities less safe, they perpetuate and exacerbate
18	poverty and they extract millions of dollars from our
19	most vulnerable communities, particularly from
20	communities of color. And while the State is
21	responsible for reforming our equitable cash bail
22	system the City shouldn't make it harder for people
23	to secure their freedom by adding additional fees on
24	top of bail payments. There are currently two fees
25	associated with paying bail by credit card.

2 Depending on when in the process you pay and who is involved with administering and collecting those 3 fees. One is a 2% fee that is assessed by one vendor 4 that was hired by the City. The second and for a 5 higher credit card fee up to 7.9% of the entire bail 6 7 payment. The reason that fee is fair higher is because a private company called JPAY a subsidiary 8 for Secures the company that currently charges 9 unconscionably for jail phone calls in New York City 10 and around the country has been allowed to profiteer 11 12 off of people trying to pay bail. The Fines and Fees Justice Center strongly endorses Intro 1199 but this 13 reform is not enough. We ask the City Council to 14 15 eliminate all fees in New York City. That includes 16 fees imposed by the City itself such as the DWI Probation Fee and Fee for Diversion that are assessed 17 18 by the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. We also ask the Council to ensure that the City ends the use 19 20 of fees that are assessed by private entities including most diversion fees and an array of fees 21 2.2 that are charged to people who are incarcerated in 23 city jails. Though Intro 1199 is without question a step in the right direction it will not prevent JPAY, 24 Secures and other company from continuing to extract 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

millions of dollars in fees from people who are incarcerated in city jails as well as from their loved ones. For example, when family and friends put money into their loved one's commissary accounts to support their basic needs, JPAY pays a fee of at least 20, JPAY keeps a fee of at least 20% of the deposited funds. This profiteering off of our most valuable communities must end and we are asking for the Council's help. The Council should also require that the Department of Correction make public all of its contracts with private entities that provide services to people who are incarcerated in public jails. Contracted private entities must explicitly prohibit profiteering through fees, markups, interests or other costs imposed on people who are incarcerated in their communities. Finally, the Council should ensure that anyone who is eligible for a diversion program be able to participate in that program regardless of their financial circumstances. When the City or a third party provides uhm providers charge fees for diversion programs it can prevent people who can't afford those fees from participating. Diversion programs are good for all of us, they allow people who do not need to be

2.2

incarcerated to remain productive members of their communities, keep their jobs and support their families. Diversion programs improve public safety in New York City because they address underlying problems like drug addiction and mental illness that can result in criminal conduct and finally Diversion Programs can also result in huge savings for tax payers since incarcerating someone at Riker's can cost nearly \$200,000 a year. No one should be denied access to diversion simply because they are poor. Thank you, we look forward to continuing to work with the Council to abolish these fees in the justice system and to ensure that the Criminal Justice System in New York City is funded equitably.

thank all of you for your testimony and sharing your personal experiences and uhm I think on the final point around fees, and I the Council took and made an effort to eliminate fees on telephone calls earlier this year that the Speaker had a Bill on which we thought was a good step and this is, would be a continuation of that but I think I know I and many others feel like private companies shouldn't be profiting off of others pain and that we have a

responsibility to eliminate and at the minimum reduce
that and that sort of added ending or intended cost
that arise even as we try to do things at the State
level around bail and the bail system entirely that
we have a responsibility to uhm to make sure that
people are not, you know unduly burdened but also
just fees that the City or a private company collects
so we hope that this Bill will uhm will be another
step toward, toward that process and as I noted
earlier I think 8% on a credit card fee is, should
not be period but it certainly seems higher than what
the market gets on in other places. So, we
appreciate all of the testimony. Uhm just thank you,
thank you for the testimony. Okay, so I just want to
just, I'm going to hand it over to Chairman Lancman
but I wanted to thank everybody for being here and as
I, as I noted earlier that I think the stories that
are put forward, had continue to reflect to us that
the City has a lot more work to do to make the system
uhm work better and work and be easier for people who
have to interact with it. We have a lot of uhm a lot
of work to do at the State level and probably the
Federal level as well to, to make the bail system,
just it is significantly better if not erase parts of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

it that have no place here and, and really cause harm to people and communities and families but what we want to do at the city is to make sure that those who are should be free should be at home, should be with their family, should be at work, should be doing, continuing their lives, are not being held in one case we heard almost a weekend when they should have been, when they are actually meeting the demands that the City is uhm unfairly puts before them. So, I am thankful for the Bronx Freedom Fund and for those who put forward information and reports recently to help continue to highlight this, for the public defenders, for the people that are affected by it, that come and tell their stories because like I said earlier, I think our technology in the jails is, is, is, is far, is far behind but the technology that we have these days and the ability to access information and people makes it easier to tell those stories for us. think it, I think it is working in that way. with that being said, I want to hand it over to Chairman Lancman. I just want to thank the staff here at the Council and the Committee. Thank you, my staff and all the members that were here today for, being part of this and all of the people that sat

2.2

through, uhm waiting to speak and testify. And as we noted, there are staff from the DOC here but we did ask and I think Chairman Lancman was right and correct to ask them to stay to hear the information that was being presented because uhm often it is that information that is really some of the most important that we get during these hearings. So, thank you.

CHAIR RORY LANCMAN: I just wanted to thank the advocates, the public defenders, the Freedom Fund for the work that you do. It is your information and your experience that informs us and, and makes it possible for us to hold, the, Administration's feet to the fire. It is good to see that there was some progress that we were able to push them towards today and we will keep pushing. Stay tuned for a package of Bills on the issue of fines. I think people will like the work that we are going to be doing on, on that and I would also like to thank my Chief of Staff and the Committee Council for, for their help in making this hearing successful and my co-Chair.

CHAIR KEITH POWERS: Thank you, and we are adjourned. Thank you (gavel pounding).

1	COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date ____JANUARY 3, 2019