COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 1 2 CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK 3 ----- X 4 TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES 5 Of the 6 COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 7 November 15, 2018 8 Start: 10:16 a.m. Recess: 12:57 p.m. 9 HELD AT: 250 Broadway-Committee Rm, 14<sup>th</sup> Fl. 10 B E F O R E: JUSTIN L. BRANNAN 11 Chairperson 12 COUNCIL MEMBERS: 13 INEZ D. BARRON BILL PERKINS 14 HELEN K. ROSENTHAL KALMAN YEGER 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 2
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Michelle Jackson
4	Deputy Executive Director for the Human Services Council
5	Dan Symon Acting Director of the Mayor's Office of Contract
6	Services and City Chief Procurement Officer
7	Jennifer Geiling Deputy Director for Policy and Partnership at the
8	Mayor's Office of Contract Services
9	Victor Olds General Counsel at the Mayor's Office of Contract
10	Services
11	Aaron Cyperstein Associate General Counsel for Metropolitan
12	Council on Jewish Poverty
13	Andrea Cianfrani Director of Public Policy at Live On New York
14	Rebecca Sauer
15	Director of Policy and Planning at the Supportive Housing Network of New York
16	Kevin Douglas
17	Co-Director of Policy and Advocacy with United Neighborhood Houses of New York
18	John MacIntosh
19	Manager of Sea Change Capital Partners
20	Margarita Guzman Executive Director of the Violence Intervention
21	Program, Inc.
22	Louisa Chafee Senior Vice President for Public Policy and
23	External Relations at the UJA Federation of New York
24	Maria Lizardo
25	Executive Director of Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation, NMIC

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Molly Krakowski Director of Legislative Affairs at JASA
4	
5	Annie Minguez Director of Government and Community Relations For Good Shepherd Services
6	Penni Bunyaviroch
7	Director of Contracts Management for Catholic Charities Community Service Archdiocese of New
8	York
9	Carlyn Cowen Chief Policy and Public Affairs Officer at the
10	Chinese American Planning Council, CPC
11	Mark Hurwitz Chief Operating Officer at Urban Pathways
12	
13	Jesse Layman Director of Policy at the Employment and Training Coalition
14	Anthony Edwards
15	Chief Financial Officer for Sheltering Arms, Children and Family Services
16	Felice Farber
17	Senior Director of Policy and External Affairs at The General Contractors Association of New York
18	Beth Goldman
19	President and Attorney in Charge of the New York Legal Assistance Group, NYLAG
20	
21	Caroline Iosso Director of Community and Government Affairs at Opportunities for a Better Tomorrow, OBT
22	Donald Ranshte
23	Vice President of the Building Trades Employers' Association, BTEA
24	Kristina Reintamm
25	Acting Director of External Relations and Advancement at Brooklyn Community Services

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS	4
2	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)	
3	Peter Rescigno	
4	Assistant Executive Secretary for the New York Electrical Contractors Association, NYECA	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 5
2	[gavel]
3	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, good morning
4	procurement fans, it's a hot ticket today. Welcome to
5	the Contracts Committee of the New York City Council.
6	My name is Justin Brannan and I have the privilege of
7	chairing this Committee and I am joined today by
8	nobody yet. They must be all on the R train. So, I'd
9	like to thank the members of this committee for
10	coming together today to hold today's hearing. I'd
11	also like to thank Rory Lancman my Co-sponsor on this
12	bill for his leadership and sponsoring the
13	legislation before the Committee today. Today's
14	hearing provides this Committee with an opportunity
15	to hear a critical piece of legislation that aims to
16	improve the city's contracting process. One of the
17	regular complaints we receive from vendors is how
18	long it takes for them to receive payments from city
19	agencies. Payments to vendors are regularly late,
20	reliably late and in most cases with no explanation.
21	While some of our colleagues in the private sector
22	may be able to chalk up late payments as the cost of
23	doing business with the city, many small businesses,
24	nonprofits, MWBEs and the human services sector are
25	disproportionately impacted when their payments do

1

2 not arrive on time. We've heard horror stories from 3 vendors who have been forced to reduce their staff and others who have had no choice but to liquidate 4 all together due to the uncertainty surrounding late 5 payments from city agencies. In a hearing by this 6 7 very Committee back in June we heard from Phipps Neighborhood, a human service contractor that 8 provides essential social services to over 11,000 New 9 Yorkers. Phipps contracts with several city agencies 10 and at the time they were owed nearly 3.3 million 11 12 dollars for services already provided. Jonathan Yedin 13 owes me 50 dollars and I never let him go a day 14 without forgetting that. At the same hearing we heard 15 testimony from the Fortune Society, another human 16 service provider who complained at how they also 17 needed to float over three million dollars for 18 services provided while awaiting payment from the city. When the city is your primary source of income 19 20 you rely on consistent payments in order to make ends meet, if those payments do not arrive on time and 21 2.2 you're unable to pay your staff, unable to pay your 23 creditors and you're often unable to continue operating all together. For all the work we do as a 24 city to support our small businesses and promote our 25

1

2 MWBE contractors it's hypocritical and quite frankly embarrassing if we can't at the very least make sure 3 that those same small businesses get paid on time. 4 The bill before the Committee today, Introduction 5 Number 1067 would require the procurement policy 6 7 board to create a citywide process for city agencies to inform vendors of the reason for any late 8 payments. Since the PPB, the procurement policy board 9 is the agency tasked with establishing procurement 10 rules for all Mayoral agencies I'd like to convey to 11 12 them my genuine surprise that this is not already 13 required in all agency contracts. Its one of those 14 ideas for a bill that we thought it already existed 15 and we found out it didn't we were ... we were shocked. 16 If we are serious about protecting small businesses 17 in New York City, it's the least agencies could do to 18 inform their vendors that a payment would be late and the reason why. This type of communication amounts to 19 20 simple decency and ignoring it would not be tolerated anywhere in the private sector. I think it's shameful 21 2.2 that city agencies have been able to get away with 23 this for so long. In addition to requiring the PPB to create rules for communication regarding late 24 payments, Introduction 1067 also requires agencies to 25

1

2 perform... to provide reports to MOCS on any such late 3 payments. All of that information would then be 4 synthesized into biannual report... a biannual report 5 to the Mayor and to the City Council. As Chair of 6 this Committee I implore you and the administration 7 not to fight us on this simple yet obvious piece of legislation that will offer some certainty to vendors 8 who hope to do business with the city. Before we 9 begin, I would like to thank my committee staff; 10 Committee Counsel Alex Paulenoff; Policy Analyst 11 12 Casie Addison; Finance, Financial Analyst Andrew Wilber and Finance Unit Head John Russell as well as 13 14 my Senior Advisor John Yedin for all their hard work 15 in putting this hearing together. On a slightly 16 related note, I feel I'd be remiss if I didn't 17 comment on the recent report about the announce ... 18 Amazon deal allowed in the city. I believe that democracy dies in darkness, small D democracy, I 19 20 think it's troubling that the Mayor and the Governor put together a back room deal with one of the richest 21 2.2 companies in the world without allowing for any 23 meaningful community input, you know I have people asking me what is so bad about this deal, what is so 24 25 bad about ... you know bringing jobs to, to New York

2	City and the answer is nothing is, is bad about that
3	but, you know reading in the New York Times that this
4	deal was done in a matter to, to… you know I'm
5	quoting, deliberately circumvent the City Council to
6	prevent future road blocks is, is, is really
7	troubling. How can we do our jobs as the stewards of
8	the city resources and remain accountable to the
9	people of the city on a project of this magnitude
10	when we are deliberately excluded from the process. I
11	plan on scrutinizing this agreement along with my
12	staff, the Speaker's team and my colleagues on the
13	Economic Development Committee over the next few
14	weeks and I urge the Mayor and the Governor to
15	reconsider the implications of their actions with
16	regard to the Amazon agreement and at least clue us
17	in on what's going on. If the communities, this
18	project impacts do not have a say in its details it
19	will fail plain and simple. Amazon is one of the
20	richest companies on the planet valued at one
21	trillion dollars, the idea that they will receive
22	well in excess of a billion dollars in tax credits at
23	a time when our subways and infrastructure are
24	crumbling and so many New Yorkers are living paycheck
25	to paycheck it's just plain wrong. Of course, I want

2	to create as many new jobs as possible, of course I
3	want New York City to win at everything but for a
4	company as colossal as Amazon tax breaks are not what
5	makes or breaks their decisions to come to one city
6	or over another. Amazon wasn't going to set up shop
7	in the middle of nowhere, access to things like a
8	reservoir of world class talent and proximity to
9	urban centers, adequate infrastructure,
10	transportation options, options and access matter way
11	more than tax breaks for a company like Amazon. Yes,
12	we should always be looking to create more jobs, of
13	course but at what cost. Now that my blood pressure
14	is up, I will turn the floor over to Michelle Jackson
15	from the Human, Human Services Council and if you
16	could raise your right hand so I can have Counsel
17	swear you in.
18	MICHELLE JACKSON: I never get to do
19	this.
20	COMMITTEE CLERK: Do you swear or affirm
21	to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
22	the truth in your testimony today and to respond
23	honestly to Council Member questions?
24	MICHELLE JACKSON: I do.
25	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you.

1

2 MICHELLE JACKSON: Well now that your 3 blood pressure is up, great. So, I'm Michelle Jackson and I'm the Deputy Executive Director for the Human 4 Services Council. We're a membership organization of 5 about 170 human services organizations in New York 6 7 City and we focus our city and state advocacy on how to ensure that nonprofits can deliver quality 8 services. So, we deal with all of the boring issues 9 like procurement, late contracting, too many pieces 10 of paper, all of that exciting stuff. I really want 11 12 to thank you for providing me this opportunity to 13 testify today and also set the scene of, of what this 14 looks like on the ground for nonprofits and for 15 shining a light on the important issues of delays in 16 registration and payment and we support your 17 legislation, we think that having transparency in, in 18 the contracting process is really important and we appreciate you taking up this issue. The Mayor's 19 20 indicator report in previous administration also used to do this in some ways and so having that 21 2.2 information was previously very helpful in terms of 23 finding out kind of where there were delays and where there weren't and so having that transparency I think 24 would be very helpful both for us and for our city 25

1

2 agency partners who do want to correct these issues and really need to be able to get into the brass 3 4 tacks of where ... you know where are the issues and 5 where are things getting stuck. So, we really 6 appreciate that. This is not a new issue, over the 7 years I've testified in front of many committees on contracts about legislation ... late registration and 8 have obviously been in front of you the last couple 9 of times we've had hearings. I think previously as 10 late as 2012, I was testifying that the issue was 11 12 getting better and that really it was discretionary issues and that we had a lot of faith that the HHS 13 14 Accelerator would correct this issue. So, I looked at 15 some of my old testimonies and that's what I used to 16 say, and I can't say that anymore. The issue has 17 really gotten worse and according to our providers 18 its really at a breaking point for them. I think the Sea Change Capital Partners Report as well as the 19 20 report from the state ... or from the city Comptroller really show, show how problematic this issue is and 21 2.2 whole slightly different than what the Mayor's 23 Indicator Report used to show, I think we can say both based on our anecdotal experience with our 24 providers and what that data shows that the problem 25

1

2 is really getting worse and needs to be dealt with. 3 While its not a new issue, in 2016 when the Nonprofit Resiliency Committee came together this was 4 definitely one of the clearest issues that nonprofits 5 6 conveyed and we have great partners at the city in 7 the Mayor's Office of Contract Services who are working on these issues and the Nonprofit Resiliency 8 Committee has been able to kind of attack it from the 9 edges but hasn't been able to ... I mean it's a big 10 system to overhaul and so while there's a lot of 11 12 things that they've been able to do, and we've been 13 able to do in partnership with them we haven't been 14 able to tackle head on this issue and I will talk 15 about kind of passport and HHS Accelerator a little 16 bit later. HSC also works in partnership with the 17 Human Services Advancement Strategy Group which is 11 18 other human services coalitions so together we represent about 2,000 organizations and we've 19 20 highlighted this problem to the administration so 21 both in the Nonprofit Resiliency Committee and then to the administration in a series of communications 2.2 23 that something really needs to be done and it needs to be done quickly like we can't wait for a long term 24 solution there needs to be pressure now to get these 25

1

2 contracts registered. It's not just an administrative headache, I think as you illuminated it really has a 3 real impact on providers who you'll hear from today 4 who can tell you in much more stark detail than I 5 would be able to what this looks like. It means lines 6 7 of credit, we have organizations who pay over 100,000 a year in credit based on the lateness of their city 8 contracts and that's money that comes out of 9 10 programs, there's no other way to pay for that. They can't spend money so if their contracts are 11 12 registered six or eight months late, they can't make 13 the budget decisions they need to make even if 14 they've started the program without a registered 15 contract and so at the end of the year, they're 16 leaving money on the table which means the community 17 isn't getting those services. And there's legal 18 implications, right, for running a program without a signed and registered contract, providers do it 19 anyway. If you have a summer youth employment program 20 you can't wait until October to start it, you have to 21 2.2 start it in July or earlier. If you run a domestic violence shelter you can't wait six months while you 23 24 renew a contract and just tell the people in your 25 shelter to go home, we don't have a contract, other

1

2 vendors might be able to do that. You cannot start construction until you have a registered contract but 3 that's not an option for nonprofits so they take this 4 5 risk and they're starting to see and I think you illuminated to some of the numbers, we have 6 7 organizations who are owed tens of millions of dollars from, from the city at any given point and so 8 not only are they basically acting as alone for, for 9 the city but... if they have a line of credit that 10 means that they're also paying the city for the 11 12 courtesy of being ... of having their contract 13 registered late and so it's an administrative 14 headache, it costs money, there's legal implications 15 and providers are starting to turn down competing for 16 RFPs, they're also turning back contracts either at 17 renewal or once the program is over because when they 18 look at their balance sheets they see that this is really untenable situation for them. So, something 19 20 needs to be done and it needs to be done quickly, we can't wait a couple of years for, for new kind of 21 long-term fixes for there to be a real commitment to 2.2 23 this. We really need the administration to make this a priority, priority and for providers to know who 24 the central point of communication is who's tackling 25

1

2 this, its, it's a continual issue, there's always 3 renewals, there's always amendments, there's always new contracts and so who's applying the pressure and 4 making sure that this is a real priority I think at, 5 at the nonprofit sector we'd like to know who, who 6 7 that is and, and, and that they're really committed to moving these things along because we realize 8 there's emergencies in, in agencies, people call out 9 sick like there's different things that happen, it's 10 the human resources but is there kind of a, a macro 11 12 level looking at this to make sure that it's 13 happening. I also want to ... there's not really an 14 accountability for lateness either so we also would 15 recommend interest being paid to providers, there is 16 mechanisms for that but it has to be approved by OMB who unsurprisingly don't want to pay interest and so 17 18 nonprofits do not get interest and we would like to see that as something else as a remedy that 19 20 nonprofits are able to recoup their interest when they are late, the payments and registration. And 21 2.2 then finally I want to talk about passport and HHS 23 Accelerator, we were helpful in the accelerator 24 process, I totally committed to that system I think it's made incredible improvements in the procurement 25

2	process. The people who created accelerator are still
3	at the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, I have
4	the utmost faith in them to design an amazing system
5	that really looks at nonprofits as the vendor like
6	they did with accelerator, they're really great and
7	so we really look forward to passport being in place
8	so that we, nonprofits can see where their contracts
9	are in the process and we think that will have a lot
10	of accountability and transparency. Unfortunately its
11	18 months away and we still have providers who are
12	owed money from FY '17 so they don't want to wait
13	till FY '20 and '21 for the process to go into place
14	and then additionally passport will show us where the
15	flaws are or where contracts are getting stuck but
16	who's the person who's going to kick that, you know
17	people still have to put in that information at the
18	city agency side and what are the mechanisms to be
19	its great that we can see it but who's going to make
20	sure that things move along and I'm I, I imagine
21	that's probably part of this process but its not
22	clear to us yet, so we need immediate remedy now and
23	then we also need long term solutions so something
24	like Intro 1067 will be helpful in terms of making
25	sure that there's reporting on the lateness of

2	contracts. So, I will stop there, I'm happy to answer
3	any questions that you have and I really we want to
4	just say we really support this bill, we'd like to
5	see interest added as something down the road and
6	appreciate your attention to this issue. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. I've
8	been joined by my colleague Kalman Yeger, Councilman
9	Kalman Yeger. I, I mean you touched on it but, but
10	just, you know for the record what, what are… what
11	are some of the consequences [cross-talk]
12	MICHELLE JACKSON: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
13	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:that, that these
14	groups have… are dealing with, with… you know thanks
15	to the lag in payment?
16	MICHELLE JACKSON: Yeah, so I think the
17	first, the clearest is they pay interest on loans,
18	lines of credit and we have providers who have
19	reported 100,000 dollars, 130,000 dollars they pay a
20	year on city… late and then to add to that of course
21	they have state contracts and other contracts that
22	are late so that's definitely one as the clearest
23	example. I think if you look there's different places
24	where the contract can be late so if it's a new
25	contract if the contract isn't registered for July

1

2 one they have to decide are we going to lease space and hire staff, is the budget that we have going to 3 4 be approved, can we can we rely on that to, to, you know enter into all of these legal agreements and 5 6 start spending money. If it's a renewal contract they 7 have to do it, they can't ... they're not going to stop services and start. So, when they wait for payment to 8 come late a lot of nonprofits have less than three ... 9 30 percent or more of nonprofits in New York City 10 have less than one month of cash flow so that means 11 12 that if you have a contract that's six months delayed and it three million dollars or ten million dollars 13 14 they really are looking at not paying vendors, how do 15 they pay their staff, making those ends meet and when 16 you have your executive team worried about those 17 issues it means that they're not making sure the programs are running or doing strategic... [cross-talk] 18 Right... [cross-talk] 19 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: 20 MICHELLE JACKSON: ...planning and things like that so those are some of like the second tier 21 2.2 consequences but they have a real impact on, on 23 nonprofits but there's absolutely a cash flow issue 24 and then I also think one thing to really note is that a lot of small, smaller nonprofits don't have 25

2	these kind of they can't float this at all so they
3	don't compete for these contracts and when we want
4	to… when we talk about, you know increasing those…
5	who's in the pool of competitors and who has, has
6	these contracts you're knocking out a large
7	percentage of people because they, they know that
8	they can't float a three million dollar contract for
9	eight months.
10	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Do you think that
11	passport is the panacea that MOCS thinks it is?
12	MICHELLE JACKSON: So, we haven't seen
13	the system obviously but like I said, I think… I
14	trust Dan Symon a lot to build a system that's great
15	and I know he'll do everything that he can, I don't
16	think there's an online there's any system that's
17	going to fix everything but I think based on our
18	experience with working HHS Accelerator they really
19	do take the nonprofits into consideration, they
20	really partnered with us, they saw us as the vendor
21	of that product and they built a really quality
22	product that did what they said it was going to do.
23	So, I think the system itself will be designed really
24	well but it's just who are the people behind it
25	[cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
1 2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Uh-huh [cross-
2 3	talk]
4	MICHELLE JACKSON:who are moving things
5	from one to the next is I think what we're worried
6	about and also how long will it take.
7	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah, Kalman do you
8	have any?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Not yet.
10	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I going to have to
12	wait for MOCS to come up here
13	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Alright, cool,
14	thank you very much.
15	MICHELLE JACKSON: Thank you so much.
16	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Okay,
17	now we've got MOCS, we've got Dan Symon; Jennifer
18	Geiling and Victor Olds all from the Mayor's Office
19	of Contract Services. I ask that you remember the ire
20	of my opening statement.
21	DAN SYMON: Sure.
22	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And I want to give
23	too… [cross-talk]
24	DAN SYMON: About Amazon you mean?
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah, yeah, right
3	and Alex will swear you in.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Please raise your right
5	hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the
6	whole truth and nothing but the truth in your
7	testimony today and to respond honestly to Council
8	Member questions?
9	DAN SYMON: Yes.
10	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you, okay.
11	DAN SYMON: Good morning Chair Brannan
12	and members of the Council. My name is Dan Symon and
13	I'm the Acting Director of the Mayor's Office of
14	Contract Services and City Chief Procurement Officer.
15	Thank you for inviting me to discuss our approach to
16	transforming procurement operations and improving the
17	experience of vendors who do business with the city
18	of New York. We support efforts to enable greater
19	transparency as they align with our overarching
20	approach to procurement transformation, specifically
21	standardizing processes using a common platform for
22	vendors and agencies and enabling robust analytical
23	capabilities to drive performance management. As an
24	oversight and service agency, MOCS helps stakeholders
25	navigate procurement rules, build tech build, builds

1

2 technology tools to increase efficiency and collaboration and implements processes and supports 3 to ensure a fair and responsible experience for all. 4 5 Where tools enable process and performance data to be captured, information is made available to end users 6 7 and managers on screen or through reporting modules. Statuses are also readily displayed, noting which 8 workflow steps have been completed. For example, in 9 our HHS Accelerator System, which was designed with 10 and for the Human Services sector, providers can 11 12 easily see if their proposals have been accepted for 13 review and can similarly determine when invoices are 14 approved for payment, nearly four billion dollars in 15 contract budgets are currently managed in HHS 16 Accelerator. We continue to work with agencies to 17 onboard remaining contracts and this will help to 18 realize the full potential of the platform. A significant addition, anticipated for next fiscal 19 20 year, will be integration of contracts managed by the Department for the Aging and the Department for 21 2.2 Health... of Health and Mental Hygiene. Our approach to 23 making workflow steps and statuses transparent between agencies and vendors is now being utilized 24 25 for all industries through passport, the Procurement

1

2 and Sourcing Solutions Portal. By 2020, passport will 3 enable all phases of the procurement and contract management process, including invoice review. Today 4 over 10,000 vendors use passport to keep disclosure 5 6 filings current and agencies have used that 7 information to complete over 5,700 background checks thus far. Those agency transactions involved multiple 8 agencies and individuals who were able to track 9 statuses and improve coordination. The overall 10 processing time for responsibility determinations has 11 12 been reduced from 45 days prior to passport to a 13 median of 20... 21 days in Fiscal Year '18. The full 14 benefits of these kinds of systems will be realized 15 when we incorporate all procurement and contracting 16 steps including invoice and payment for all mayoral 17 agencies. In the current state, without a common 18 platform for vendors and city staff to work together, comprehensive status tracking relies heavily on 19 20 people exchanging information which may exist in 21 various formats. In addition, payments are directly 2.2 related to lengthy timeframes between the ... between 23 the initiation of a solicitation or contract amendment and the registration of the resulting 24 contracts. Although some, some vendors can access 25

1

2 interest free loans, they can neither receive advances nor be reimbursed for services until after 3 registration. Disconnected processes and a lack of 4 5 comprehensive technology play a significant role in slowing down processing but the necessary drive for 6 7 timely launch of projects and programs often contributes to lags between service delivery, 8 contract registration and payment. With the role of 9 pass... with the roll out of passport, we aim to solve 10 these deficiencies for all contracts. For contracts 11 12 currently managed in HHS Accelerator the median time 13 for invoice submission to payment approval is six days, with payment occurring at a median time of six 14 15 days, reinforcing the benefits of digitization where 16 a shared platform allows for prompt remedying of 17 invoice issues and transparent tracking of reviewer 18 comments and provider responses. Standardization of budget and invoice templates, templates have also 19 20 resulted in fewer returns, with 81 percent of invoices approved without having it ... having to return 21 2.2 it to the provider for corrections. This prompt 23 review and payment time was attained without clocks, reporting requirements or mandates. Still, we know we 24 can always do more, and technology needs to be 25

1

2 coupled with ongoing policy reforms and nuanced long, 3 long term change management. This is why the administration continues to invest time and dedicated 4 5 resources in convening partners and identifying projects to improve various areas of contracted 6 7 administration. Through the Nonprofit Resiliency Committee, the administration collaborates with 8 nearly 100 providers. Since its... since its September 9 2016 launch, the NRC has been integral to supporting 10 11 the administration's reform agenda. Most relevant are 12 policy sift ... shifts which enhance cashflow for 13 providers. Starting in Fiscal Year 2018, registered 14 contracts are eligible for at least a 25 percent 15 advance putting cash in the hands of providers 16 earlier in the program year and those advanced 17 payments are recouped later in the budget cycle. In 18 this Fiscal Year 2019, we also implemented a streamlined budget modification process that offers 19 20 providers greater budget flexibility and no longer 21 holds up the invoice payment process for routine 2.2 minimal modifications. We will continue to jointly 23 convene agencies and vendors to generate new ideas, advance productive projects and deepen the impact of 24 existing initiatives. This model for collaboration 25

1

2 will also be expanded to other industries, especially 3 where there is a great need for new solutions and creative thinking. We are currently bringing agencies 4 together with construction industry leaders and MWBEs 5 to address common issues and partner on the design 6 7 and deployment of passport. The administration ... the administration is committed to a fair and transparent 8 procurement process. However, Intro 1067 is 9 duplicative of existing processes at agencies and may 10 present overly onerous reporting requirements, which 11 12 we would like to discuss with the Council today. It 13 is important to note that PPB Rule 4-06 already goes 14 beyond reporting on late payments to requiring 15 interest on late payments. This prompt payment 16 interest is generated and paid through the City's 17 Financial Management System and data are publicly 18 available through Checkbook NYC, the Comptroller's payment reporting platform. Looking ahead, we 19 20 anticipate considerable, considerable gains as we collaboratively design and deploy solutions through 21 2.2 passport. We also look forward to developing 23 sustainable, near term improvements and will nurture spaces for agencies, elected officials, community 24 leaders and vendors to jointly problem solve. Thank 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	you again for the opportunity to testify. I am joined
3	by Victor Olds, our General Counsel and Jennifer
4	Geiling, our Deputy Director for Policy and
5	Partnership. We're happy to take any questions you
6	have.
7	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Right on, thank
8	you. Okay, so you don't agree that this is the best
9	bill in the world like I do but what if you're
10	saying its duplicative then how does the
11	administration currently handle late payments to
12	vendors?
13	DAN SYMON: So, I, I think it's important
14	to draw a distinction here, the proposed bill
15	outline… for PPB Rule 4-06, 06 deals with payments of
16	invoices on registered contracts, right, I think what
17	Michelle talked about earlier is about late
18	registration, right, whereas the, the bill that you
19	introduced a change to really deals with payments on
20	registered contracts already, contracts that are
21	already registered so… [cross-talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Uh-huh [cross-
23	talk]
24	DAN SYMON:we don't see the value there
25	for, for that report on things that we're actually

2	frankly doing well on which is paying on registered
3	contracts. I know that there's you know especially
4	in the Human Services, right, so we have four billion
5	dollars in HHS Accelerator today with a six day
6	median cycle time on invoice to payment approval,
7	right, so that process is really fairly quick but
8	those again are on registered contracts and I think
9	the, the, the pain you're hearing from the Human
10	Services sector and probably, probably other sectors
11	is really around getting contracts registered on time
12	which we completely acknowledge, we've talked about
13	in other hearings where that is that is a huge
14	problem that we've working on as well.
15	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, I, I mean I
16	would disagree with, with that because that's not
17	what I'm hearing, I'm hearing that these are these
18	are organizations that have been in contract with the
19	city over and over and over again and you're talking
20	about guys who are owed millions of dollars for years
21	so obviously the contracts were registered, right, if
22	they're waiting to get paid.
23	DAN SYMON: Sure so, that's why, I
24	think I think what we're talking about is we have a
25	registered contract and we're talking about
ļ	

1

2 amendments and change orders that are lagging behind in being registered themselves and so you have the 3 Fortune Society or Phipps or other nonprofits that I 4 5 see in the audience here, right, that have registered contracts, there's an addition being made to the 6 7 scope of work in the budget that they have so they have a registered contract, we can pay them on that 8 work for that registered amount but when we have and ... 9 10 a change order or an amendment to the scope of that contract that is what's lagging behind, not, not to 11 12 say that register ... you know the base contract is 13 getting registered at lightning speed either, we, we 14 acknowledge that that's an issue as well but I would ... 15 I would venture to guess that what you're hearing 16 from Phipps and Fortune Society and others is around the, the change order that is not yet registered and 17 18 so we understand from a, you know quote, unquote late payment outside of the PPB Rule that you're talking 19 about I can understand there, they're not getting the 20 cash for the services they provided. I, I totally 21 2.2 acknowledge that that is an issue that we're 23 addressing in passport but that is not the substance of what's written in the Intro I quess is what I was 24 25 saying.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, how, how are
3	these nonprofits what's the communication like, are
4	these… are the… they getting a call from someone
5	saying hey f-y-i this is why your money is late?
6	DAN SYMON: So, are we talking about
7	change order amendments or are we talking about
8	invoices?
9	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Invoices.
10	DAN SYMON: Invoices, so in invoices
11	again we have a couple of agencies DFTA and DOHMH
12	that are coming in but by and large the Human
13	Services contracts are managed in HHS Accelerator,
14	there's an invoicing portal and so there's a standard
15	budget, vendors have registered dollars in those
16	budgets, they can invoice in the system and that
17	communication is going back and forth in a in an
18	online platform and so like I said 80 percent of
19	invoice submissions are, are approved without having
20	to go back to the vendor but if you have to go back
21	to the vendor that communication is done within the
22	system maybe there's… you know a vendor submits an
23	invoice in the system, the city staff is saying I
24	don't like this thing, I need this justification for
25	this cost, there might be a little bit of back and

2	forth but that is that is iterated in the system,
3	there, there's a log type feature in the system where
4	they communicate back and forth so there's no mystery
5	about why the invoice isn't approved yet but then
6	ultimately it, it then gets approved and then paid
7	through FMS. So, they the I'm sorry, the
8	communication [cross-talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah [cross-talk]
10	DAN SYMON:channel is there in
11	Accelerator and again that's what we expect to do for
12	the rest of the industries not just Human Services
13	with the onset of passport in release three.
14	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, a couple of
15	things, what, what causes contracts delays after a
16	contract is registered by the Comptroller?
17	DAN SYMON: So, the, the, the contract
18	being registered by the Comptroller is the
19	registration process so that is… [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Right but after
21	[cross-talk]
22	DAN SYMON:we're done there [cross-
23	talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:a contract is
25	registered

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON: After a contract is
3	registered then we're in invoice and payment
4	processing.
5	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, let me… do
6	you have okay, do you do you have stats on agency
7	performance of timely payments?
8	DAN SYMON: For invoicing and payment on
9	registered contracts, certainly and in Accelerator we
10	have a six-day median cycle time.
11	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. So, what are
12	there steps that MOCS or, or the PBB PPB have taken
13	against agencies that don't pay vendors on time?
14	DAN SYMON: Yes, so the 406 outlines a
15	way in which vendors are paid interest when payments
16	are late and so roughly speaking payments are late
17	when you have an acceptable invoice and the payment
18	not occurring within 30 days and so interest is
19	charged after that if, if that payment happens 30
20	days after that point.
21	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, are there
22	consequences for agencies?
23	DAN SYMON: Well the interest payment to
24	the vendor.
25	

2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I'm kind of
3	feeling like I'm being told these aren't the droids
4	you're looking for. I'm, I'm, I'm just… I'm just
5	being real. Alright… okay, so, so… is passport sort
6	of the, the main solution that, that we're offering
7	here to streamline, streamline this whole thing?
8	DAN SYMON: Sorry, could you repeat that,
9	I'm sorry?
10	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Is I guess what
11	solutions are we… have been offered to streamline the
12	contract payment process?
13	DAN SYMON: So, Accelerator Financials is
14	what we call it is certainly one solution, right, it
15	is the solution for the Human Services sector, right
16	and there's four billion dollars' worth of money
17	flowing through that system and we anticipate in
18	passport so right now passport is being as you know
19	built in phases; one, two and three, phase one is
20	built and we're capturing enterprise data for all
21	city vendors there and that's and that's working and
22	we've sort of built that foundation. Release two is
23	focused on city requirements contracts and so its an
24	online shopping type experience for city agencies, I
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	was going to say an Amazon type of experience, but I
3	will say an… [cross-talk]
4	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Watch, watch it
5	[cross-talk]
6	DAN SYMON:online shopping [cross-
7	talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:buddy watch it
9	[cross-talk]
10	DAN SYMON:experience [cross-talk]
11	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:watch it watch
12	it…
13	DAN SYMON: Sorry but so that, that also
14	includes invoicing, right, so I realize that too… so,
15	so… you know what we're talking about today that's
16	not a huge impact because its 600 vendors or so that
17	will have this invoicing platform with release two
18	but it is establishing an invoicing matching process
19	for us similar to what we have in Accelerator for
20	that sector and release three where we are going from
21	source to pay, the full suite of the procurement
22	process, we will have an invoicing module there as
23	well and so the experience that a registered contract
24	has in Accelerator we expect in less than 18 months
25	to be in passport.
I	

1

2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, the Comptroller
3	released a report back in June that detailed a whole
4	bunch of delays in Human Services contracts across a
5	whole bunch of city agencies, what efforts have, have
6	you guys taken since that report to improve the, the
7	process?

8 DAN SYMON: So, that, that report a couple of things about the report, first the data for 9 the report wasn't shared with us even though we asked 10 11 but our best guess at the way in which they calculated that included half of the contracts they 12 included in that calculation, close to half, were 13 14 city council discretionary contracts and those are by 15 definition late, right, those get allocated at 16 adoption and then council finance works with MOCS on ... 17 and provides us with a, you know five, six, seven 18 thousand line spreadsheet on the allocations that the council wants to make and then that is ... you know 19 20 there's some vetting of that and ... but then ultimately 21 its handed over to the agencies and, you know go, go 2.2 create contracts out of this list but that's, you 23 know July, August timeframe with a July one start date so because they're not ... if that process is not 24 started until adoption, right they're ... again by 25

2 definition late so it's very difficult to hold agencies accountable for lateness of City Council 3 discretionary contracts, I think that's pretty 4 5 unfair. Can those go faster, of course, we're ... and we're always looking for ways for, for that to go 6 7 faster but then the rest of the contracts there, they're ... you're absolutely right, we have a ... we have 8 a retroactivity problem, right, we have new 9 contracts, brand new contracts that we need to hit a 10 target date on to get registered so that payment 11 12 starts immediately, our solution is that we need to ... you know we have to get to the ... you know to the core 13 14 of the problem, the core of the problem for us is 15 that there is no... there is no common place where the 16 work is being done, there's no... it's a complete mysterious, you know system without a technology that 17 18 can be shared by both vendors and city agencies, we just don't have that right now and that is ... that is 19 20 the intent and that is the goal with passport. 21 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Are there... are 2.2 there remedies that are available for nonprofits to 23 recoup money that they've lost because the city didn't register on time? 24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON: I'm, I'm not I'm not sure
3	what you mean.
4	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, you know when
5	contracts are registered late nonprofits have to take
6	out a line of credit… [cross-talk]
7	DAN SYMON: I see, yeah, okay… [cross-
8	talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:and if you know
10	or if they're not able to spend all the money, you
11	know the contracted dollars because the contract
12	starts late so are there… are there remedies, are
13	there… are there things available to nonprofits when
14	they're suffering because the city yeah
15	DAN SYMON: So, so the, the, the remedy
16	we have is the returnable grant fund loan [cross-
17	talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay [cross-talk]
19	DAN SYMON:program that we've discussed
20	previously which is the zero-interest loan that
21	they're able to get to bridge the gap through
22	registration that's our [cross-talk]
23	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Alright [cross-
24	talk]
25	DAN SYMON:that's our solution there.

1

2 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I want to 3 acknowledge Councilwoman Barron who's joined us and one more thing and I'm going to turn it over to my 4 5 colleagues. In, I think it was May, the Mayor ... the Mayor said he's quote, not happy with nonprofit 6 7 contract delays and he won't accept it, you know I'll, I'll read his quote, "I'm not happy with it, I 8 don't accept it for nonprofits especially, they have 9 real cash flow challenges and I've said this to 10 members of my team, I'm not take ... I'm not talking at 11 12 a school here, I'm not satisfied with the state of 13 affairs". Obviously, I agree with the Mayor there. 14 Who from the administration is, is responsible for 15 addressing these delays and who's reporting back to 16 the Mayor on progress? 17 DAN SYMON: Well each agency owns their

18 own contract portfolio and it's their responsibility to get these contracts registered on time and MOCS 19 20 plays a facilitative role, helps them wherever and however we can but the main focus for MOCS is again 21 2.2 solving this problem at its core even, even... the, 23 the... all ... the entire administration is committed to doing a better job here, right, we, we are all ... we 24 didn't even need the Mayor's call to action, right, 25

2	everyone was feeling the pain of the vendors, it's
3	not like we're, you know sitting on our hands hoping
4	this goes away, we all acknowledge that there's an
5	issue here and that we're working on it and MOCS'
6	focus is on building a system that will facilitate
7	this from end to end that is, I think the, the most
8	crucial thing that we need to do, those things are
9	not a snap of the finger. I also don't think it's a
10	panacea, you know technology is not a, a cure all
11	here, you're right that there needs to be management
12	behind that and we, we spoke about that in our
13	testimony but the, the, the focus here is to build a
14	system that demystifies the process for city agencies
15	and, and, and vendors alike, you know city agency
16	staff as fast as they want to go are also dealing
17	with a disparate system without effective tools to
18	get their job done and so that's what we're trying to
19	provide not just for the vendors but for city
20	agencies as well.
21	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I've been
22	asked just to make… bring the mic a little closer to
23	your mouth and speak.
24	DAN SYMON: Oh, sorry [cross-talk]
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I want to hand it
3	over to Councilman Yeger.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr.
5	Chairman, I apologize for being tardy but… and
6	missing the ire of your opening statement but I think
7	the energy was felt outside the building. You… good
8	morning, thank you for being here. First of all our,
9	our apologies for the onerous reporting requirements
10	that we're asking of you, I don't think they're any
11	more onerous than some of the requirements that city
12	agencies require of the vendors with whom they
13	contract and I don't believe that we'd be asking for
14	such onerous reporting requirements if, if what we
15	were seeing wouldn't necessitate reporting
16	requirements, I mean what we're seeing is contracts
17	not being registered three, four, five months later
18	and I'll give you a real live example, how many UPK
19	contracts does the city have with, with vendors, with
20	private organizations and nonprofits?
21	DAN SYMON: I don't have the exact
22	[cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay [cross-talk]
24	DAN SYMON:number.
25	

2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I don't know
3	either, I don't know everything but I don't know that
4	question that answer, I could tell you that I found
5	out in, in the last two weeks that a good number of
6	UPK contracts for organizations in my district are
7	do not have their contracts registered yet and not
8	only don't they have their contracts registered but
9	in, in one particular case DOE has said that the
10	Comptroller's Office has the contract to register,
11	the Comptroller's Office has told us that they've
12	sent it back to DOE with issues and DOE is still
13	hunting for it, that's one. I'm assuming there's more
14	than one in this city and you mentioned that there's
15	a 25 percent advance for registered contracts that
16	they can get if they if, if the on the invoicing
17	but that obviously does not include vendors for whom
18	contracts have not been registered. So, they don't
19	get an advance. The… I want to just talk about the
20	interest for a second, how much is the interest on
21	late payments?
22	DAN SYMON: Its, its aligned with the,
23	the, the treasury interest rate but its [cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: If I don't pay my
25	National Grid bill of about 50 bucks, I get hit with

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	a 1.5 percent late fee, I have 30 days to make that
3	payment [cross-talk]
4	DAN SYMON: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:that's about 75
6	cents, they put it on the bill no matter what, 75
7	cents. The city has a policy that it doesn't pay
8	interest if the total interest is under 25 dollars,
9	do you think that the city should pay interest on a
10	late payment more than 30 days late no matter how
11	much the interest is?
12	DAN SYMON: If, if that's the if that's
13	part of the bill then we're happy to look at that
14	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It's not a yes,
15	not a no, okay. Do you think it's fair that… does
16	the… does the administration think it's fair that
17	agencies are laying out money for services that the
18	city of New York should be providing and isn't and is
19	instead contracting out to nonprofit vendors and not
20	receiving those funds in order to make the payments
21	and then simply waiting and in some cases as the
22	Chair indicted incurring interest expense which they
23	can't by the way bill against the contract as I
24	understand it, right, they have to eat the interest
25	out of overhead or other things. Do you think that's
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	a reasonable way for our government to do business
3	with nonprofit organizations?
4	DAN SYMON: Again I, I think those of us
5	at MOCS and the administration acknowledge that there
6	is a problem here and we are addressing it, we're
7	addressing… [cross-talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Got it [cross-
9	talk]
10	DAN SYMON:it with [cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. Its now
12	November 15 <sup>th</sup> , the school year started early
13	September, we have UPK programs that have not gotten
14	paid for September, they've not gotten paid for
15	October, they've not gotten paid for November, we're
16	heading into December very shortly and they don't
17	have contracts registered, do you think that's a
18	thing that, that shouldn't be addressed like
19	tomorrow, that every single contract that's out there
20	should be located and registered immediately? I know
21	you don't register the contracts, I know that but I
22	also assume that they're not all sitting in a stack
23	on Comptroller Stringer desk like this waiting for
24	him to take a look at them, somewhere between the
25	city of New York and the, the administration, DOE and

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	the Comptroller's Office is I assume a mail bag that
3	hasn't made it over.
4	DAN SYMON: So, I know DOE is working
5	tirelessly to get… [cross-talk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Don't say don't,
7	don't, don't say that, say, say that you know DOE is
8	working don't say tirelessly, nobody believes… nobody
9	believes that, I don't think you believe that.
10	DAN SYMON: Okay, so the folks that I
11	work with at DOE are working tirelessly to get…
12	[cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay… [cross-talk]
14	DAN SYMON:the contracts registered as
15	quickly as they possibly can and I we know that it
16	this week we've been processing and have been over
17	the past few months loans because Pre-K providers are
18	eligible for the returnable grant fund loan program
19	and we've been working on issuing them loans to
20	bridge the gap to registration, those are… those are
21	the solutions we have.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, let's talk
23	about council discretionary funding and I understand
24	that its all our fault, we recognize that from your
25	testimony, council discretionary funding I don't

2	think you had described the full story, it's true we
3	adopt the budget in June and its true that those
4	contracts are effective July 1 <sup>st</sup> which gives you a
5	very small amount of period of time between adoption
6	and the effective date of the contract but is it also
7	not true that MOCS prior to the adoption receives a
8	list from the City Council's Finance Division of
9	agencies with which, which, which we intend to
10	contract with prior to the adoption, do they not
11	receive the list prior to… [cross-talk]
12	DAN SYMON: Sure, we… [cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:adoption [cross-
14	talk]
15	DAN SYMON:of course [cross-talk]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And they do pre-
17	vetting?
18	DAN SYMON: We do… we do some preliminary
19	vetting… [cross-talk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Because they tell
21	us… [cross-talk]
22	DAN SYMON:of the list [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:because they tell
24	us no, you can't… but long before the budget is
25	adopted, they say hey, Brannan you want to give this

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	money to this fine senior center but by the way MOCS
3	says no, this happens in like April so you're doing
4	some work in advance.
5	DAN SYMON: So, what we do and Jennifer
6	you can jump in if you like, we get the preliminary
7	list from Council Finance and we scan it for
8	compliance checks really to for Council's benefit so
9	that you are not… [cross-talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you [cross-
11	talk]
12	DAN SYMON:providing an award to, you
13	know a, a vendor that may have integrity issues that
14	would be prohibitive
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: We're grateful.
16	JENNIFER GEILING: The, the majority of
17	our review is whether or not the providers are
18	registered or pre-qualified in Accelerator and have
19	taken a capacity building training. So, internally
20	Council Finance and their team is vetting the
21	providers.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right, so we,
23	we're doing the vet here and then you're doing the
24	vet there to make sure A they're, they're not crooks
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	and B that they're registered in your system and have
3	the ability to participate
4	JENNIFER GEILING: We're, we're just,
5	just, just to be really clear we are vetting to make
6	sure that they're pre-qualified in Accelerator
7	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right
8	JENNIFER GEILING:and that they've
9	taken a capacity building training course that
10	Council requires and that we manage for Council but
11	we're not doing the integrity vetting that Council
12	Finance is doing… [cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'm sorry, that
14	[cross-talk]
15	JENNIFER GEILING:they do it and we
16	check to make sure… [cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: What he said, he,
18	he said that I… [cross-talk]
19	DAN SYMON: Yeah, it's a it's a quick
20	integrity check, right, so we, we have you know we
21	have databases of data, we have a history with the
22	list that you provide each year and if we see
23	something that is a red flag, we will raise it to
24	you.
25	
·	

2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, now in many
3	respects the contracts that are awarded via council
4	discretionary funding are very simply renewal now
5	it's not on you because you have no way of knowing
6	what we're going to do until we adopt the budget but
7	I think it's fair to say that the vast, vast, vast
8	majority of possibly… I mean I don't… I don't know
9	the percentages, but I would say probably 90, 95
10	percent of the awards that Council Members make
11	during the course of the budget are simply renewals
12	of, of contracts that not true, you're shaking your
13	head?
14	JENNIFER GEILING: Yeah no, I I'm just
15	saying they're not treated as renewals they're…
16	[cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: No, no I, I
18	understand that but my point… [cross-talk]
19	JENNIFER GEILING:new contracts, yeah
20	[cross-talk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:my point is my
22	point is that they're already in the system for the
23	most part, they're, they're, they're been checked
24	the year before, I know you know in those 12 months
25	they may been stealing and you got to check them

2	again but there, they're in there, they've take they
3	may or may not have taken the course but you've done
4	that check prior to the adoption of the budget and
5	I'm not saying that, you know the two weeks in
6	between when we adopt the budget and, and the Mayor
7	is okay with it and you know July 1 <sup>st</sup> then you should
8	have contracts ready by July 2 <sup>nd</sup> , I recognize that
9	that's not real but July, August, September, October,
10	November, December, I mean at what point is it the
11	point where somebody failed?
12	DAN SYMON: So, so first I just want to
13	say that we are not blaming this problem on the City
14	Council's discretionary [cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you [cross-
16	talk]
17	DAN SYMON: …process, it's just… you know
18	it was really in reaction to the reliance on the
19	Comptroller's report or other reports that have cited
20	retroactivity as a problem. We acknowledge that
21	retroactivity is a problem but throwing Council
22	discretionary contracts into the mix of how that
23	metric is established is unfair is all I'm saying.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I, I agree with
25	that… [cross-talk]
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON: Yeah [cross-talk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:that, that is
4	not that, that is not on you that the retroactivity
5	of council contracts is, is should be thrown into
6	the gigantic metrics of how we determine how many
7	contracts are backdated.
8	DAN SYMON: Right and, and so [cross-
9	talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: That's fair
11	[cross-talk]
12	DAN SYMON: Right and, and [cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: But, but [cross-
14	talk]
15	DAN SYMON:can we can we can we find
16	ways to go faster with how we do register those City
17	Council discretionary contracts, absolutely and I
18	would say that those things… again I, I… we keep
19	coming back to passport and I don't want to establish
20	this as a panacea cure all but having a place where
21	you can very simply provide for a vendor these are
22	all the documents vendor that I need you to submit to
23	the city and for the city staff these are all the
24	steps you need to take to get this contract
25	registered will help a tremendous amount. I would

2	also point out and again I don't want this to be
3	about City Council discretionary contracts but there
4	are also, you know what are the… schedule C, the,
5	the… you know that there are changes made throughout
6	the year where the allocation that we get in the
7	beginning of the year are then amended and so it's
8	tough to keep up with those changes… [cross-talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: No, I, I don't
10	[cross-talk]
11	DAN SYMON: If they if they but all I'm
12	saying is… [cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:I'm not putting
14	the blame [cross-talk]
15	DAN SYMON:that there are [cross-talk]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:on your I'm just
17	[cross-talk]
18	DAN SYMON: Okay [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:I'm, I'm my
20	point is that, that you know throwing that in there,
21	you know when you're sitting in this room and saying
22	that's what… I… if you're using that just simply to
23	illustrate that, that the… [cross-talk]
24	DAN SYMON: That's it [cross-talk]
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:total number and
3	the percentage of [cross-talk]
4	DAN SYMON: That's all [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:of untimely
6	register… okay, good, fine. So, then we're on the
7	same page, the council's good, okay. The… so, so
8	let's, let's just talk about the nonprofits, you know
9	small versus large as the, the previous witness
10	discussed, you were hear for… right, you were here
11	for that, okay. You know I'm I, I'm not going to put
12	my thumb on the scale on as to whether or not I
13	think larger nonprofits are better for the city to do
14	business with and smaller nonprofits I think they
15	both serve different purposes, the larger nonprofits
16	are clearly more institutionalized than almost in
17	essence another branch of the administration because
18	they're really their permanent ties in, in our
19	government, in our structure because we rely on them,
20	I mean if, if you know if I don't remember the
21	names of the organizations that, that the Chair
22	mentioned but if that one was to disappear tomorrow
23	you'd have multimillions of dollars in contracts for
24	real services that you need to find a home for, okay,
25	but there is also a real concern that there are

2 smaller nonprofits who, you know take themselves out of the RFP mix realize, realize solely on localized 3 fund raising and what they get from members of the 4 Council and members of, of the State Legislature 5 because they can't bear to participate in the RFP 6 7 because even if they... if they're awarded it they... you know and, and there's a start date involved and they 8 get the contract and ... but they also know this is a ... 9 this is an award that they're going to have to lay 10 out a substantial amount of money, you know not 11 12 knowing in advance that they're going to get it or 13 not and then when they do get it then there's all this time and it's, it's... I... you know a lot of the 14 15 previous conversation was focused on the timely 16 payments with regard to invoices submitted but I, I 17 can't just ... I can't get my arms around the delays 18 between when an agency knows that a contract is going to be awarded to a particular vendor and the time 19 20 that it is finally registered at the comptrollers office and honestly if you came here and you said, 21 2.2 you know look we're responsible for six weeks of the 23 delay and you know the guys at the municipal building they're responsible for 11 weeks of the delay so call 24 them in and yell at them and, and that's fair and 25

1

2 then you know that's between us and the Comptroller's 3 Office and okay but I just ... I don't even know, I mean on the UPK which is what's on my mind the last two 4 weeks they're not ... these, these organizations are not 5 6 even getting an answer from DOE where their stuff is, 7 have, have our budgets been approved, the half day programs for the UPK, the full day program, no, well 8 we don't know, we think so, your half day has been, 9 your full day hasn't, I mean some budgets haven't 10 even been approved, its November; September, October, 11 12 November, I've started to tell UPKs in my community 13 maybe you really have to consider shutting down, send 14 the kids home, fire the teachers because you can't 15 rely that you're going to get paid, you don't have a 16 yes. The city's not even telling them we're going to 17 do this, we're ... your contract will be approved, 18 registered and you will receive payments its just going to take us till January, I... if, if an 19 20 organization told me that I'd be upset but I'd say well, you know you can take it to the bank, you just 21 2.2 need to figure out how to ... how to deal with those 23 five months in between, they're ... they don't know so not it's the middle of November, they're on the hook 24 for all of this payroll, they have to either borrow 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	or they've… or simply not paying which is also not
3	good and at what point is it, it responsible of us if
4	we don't tell organizations in our district shut your
5	doors?
6	DAN SYMON: So, you're making the case
7	for passport, right, the, the… [cross-talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I don't… I don't
9	know… [cross-talk]
10	DAN SYMON:reason [cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:am I, I'm making
12	a case… [cross-talk]
13	DAN SYMON: Yeah, you absolutely… [cross-
14	talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:for a [cross-
16	talk]
17	DAN SYMON:you absolutely are [cross-
18	talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'm making the
20	case for… honest… can I just say I'm making the case…
21	[cross-talk]
22	DAN SYMON: Sure… [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:for a guy at a
24	desk with a contract in front of him who isn't moving
25	it to the next person's desk and then having the

2 responsibility that the contract has been assigned to 3 that particular individual so that an organization knows, you know what I got to call John Smith because 4 5 even though he no longer has it on his desk but he's 6 my case manager and he's going to shepherd my 7 contract from start to finish, I called the people who, who run the UPKs in my district in, in Midwood 8 9 and they don't even answer ... they, they wont return my call. 10

DAN SYMON: Right and what we're focused 11 12 on is bringing the procurement process into this 13 century, right, we're not... we're not focused on 14 figuring out how to help John Smith work with the 15 pile of paper on his desk, right, that is ... that is 16 what we are currently in, you're right, there is not a whole lot of transparency because there's not an 17 18 easy way to share that information, there's not an easy way to get to John Smith and find out where the 19 20 contract is, what the status is and how long it's going to take to move to move to the next step. In a 21 2.2 technology system that lays that process out, 23 provides transparency and accountability on both the vendor's side and the city's side that is what we're 24

25

1	
2	focused on, we're, we're focused on fixing the
3	problem at its core and that's what [cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay… [cross-talk]
5	DAN SYMON:we're that's what we're
6	doing.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, so this year's
8	broken, we know that this year's broken and that's
9	you know Fiscal Year '19, we're about to… really I
10	mean the budget the budget doesn't start and end for
11	us here in the Council and we're really starting
12	already the conversations for the next year budget,
13	which I find fascinating but this is my first year
14	here so, I guess I shouldn't be but the, the… are we
15	able to say that next year we're not going to have
16	this problem, I mean I'm… you know like I, I just… I…
17	and forgive me I'm, I'm I can't get my arms around
18	the, the length of the delay and the lack of
19	communication, its together, it's not about the
20	length of delay by itself and it's not about the lack
21	of communication by itself because if you're not
22	hearing from the city but it takes 30 days, okay, you
23	know that's the government, we're a big organization

25 is sending an email or something saying this just...

and if its taking six months but somebody somewhere

1

2 its not ... we're not going to get to you until January, 3 it's just it is what it is then I could almost accept 4 that too because we're a big organization but none of 5 that is happening and the notion that you're, you are trying to fix it I get it but tell us when, what ... 6 7 when, what ... will it ... is it for next fiscal year, is it for the next school year beginning September that 8 I can go back to the all UPKs and Council Member 9 Barron who is here has UPKs, Council Member Rosenthal 10 has UPKs, the Chair has UPKs, we all have this thing 11 12 where we actually have kids who, who's parents are 13 getting ready right now to walk into doors for next 14 year planning for the UPKs and I want to be able to tell them shut your doors or don't shut your doors,  ${\tt I}$ 15 16 just ... I want to know what the answer is, are they 17 going to be in a position to pay their bills, are 18 they going to be able to, to reasonably say to parents we believe we will be in a position to be 19 20 open. DAN SYMON: So, look I, I... you know 21 2.2 every, every agency is accountable for their work, I 23 don't know a city agency that doesn't open up communication channels to its vendors, can we do a 24

25 better job, we can always do a better job of

### COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 2 communicating where something is and where it's going next and the time frame it'll take to get where it 3 4 needs to whether that be contract registration or 5 anything else. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Let me ask it a 7 different way or a different... [cross-talk] DAN SYMON: Okay... [cross-talk] 8 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: ...question and, and 9 10 I apologize it ... I'm not trying to be aggressive or, or combative with you I'm just ... I'm really ... it's, 11 12 it's a level of frustration and maybe if I was a more seasoned council member here in... for seven years and ... 13 you know I would ... okay, well this is just the way it 14 15 is come here, you know and sit here and smile and ... 16 you know and just move on. The ... what is the optimal 17 period of time, let's just use, use UPK because 18 that's my thing today, what's the optimal period of time that you believe is your target that by next 19 20 school year we're going to be able to say, the school year starts in September, this is when we believe the 21 2.2 contract will be finally registered at the Office of 23 the Comptroller and payments can begun... be, begin to be issued? 24

2	DAN SYMON: Well in Human Services and
3	will lump in Pre-K with that, its not the amount of
4	time necessarily its really hitting the target date,
5	right, its you want the contract registration and
6	the contract start date to line up so that payments
7	can start immediately upon services being delivered.
8	To give you an ideal time frame of when this problem
9	will go away I don't know how to address that other
10	than to say that in early 2020 we will be going live
11	with a an end to end solution that DOE will be a
12	part of for contract management so that there isn't a
13	mystery about again when [cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, that means
15	that this year is lost, next year is lost, I mean I
16	want you to know I, I, I come to this from the point
17	of view that I truly, truly believe the Mayor, I mean
18	the Mayor… the Mayor was a Council Member and he's
19	been involved in the community for a long time, I
20	believe that he has a feel like probably no other
21	Mayor before him for the local nonprofits because he,
22	he lived his life dealing with them as, as a
23	neighborhood person before he was the Mayor so when
24	he says, you know he doesn't accept it I, I really
25	believe he means that, I do and this none of this is

### COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 2 to doubt that at all and I'm just trying to figure out, you know where the disconnect is and you know 3 maybe ... you know I'm, I'm ... I, I don't know the answer, 4 I mean 2020 is two years from now in real time budget 5 time, I mean if you're going to get it online in 6 7 early 2020 that means its not ... we're not talking about contracts and... for the ... for anything before the 8 fiscal year beginning... of 2021. 9 10 DAN SYMON: Yeah, so my response is ... [cross-talk] 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It's like 100 13 years away from now... [cross-talk] 14 DAN SYMON: ...this is... this is a decades 15 old problem, right, this procurement process would ... 16 you know the problems didn't arise in the past couple 17 of years, right, this is something we've been dealing 18 with for decades and our solution we think is one that will have some permanence for the very first 19 20 time. It's not something that we've ever had before where you have an end to end procurement solution 21 2.2 that both the vendors and the city agencies ... [cross-23 talk] 24 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yeah, but why does 25 it take... [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON:share together [cross-talk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:two, two more
4	why does it take two more years.
5	DAN SYMON: Well it I welcome you to a
6	design session for passport that we have, its 12, 15
7	hours a week, you… I've shown Council Member Brannan
8	the workflow for one RFP what it looks like in the
9	city, I'm happy to go through that with you and give
10	you a better understanding of why this process is as
11	convoluted and complex as it is. There's many
12	different… [cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, I [cross-
14	talk]
15	DAN SYMON:reasons [cross-talk]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:will I will
17	leave you with your peace and I appreciate you
18	humoring me, thank you very much Mr. Chairman.
19	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I want to
20	acknowledge we've been joined by Councilwoman Helen
21	Rosenthal. Before I turn it over to her for some
22	questions, I mean I know you're not trying to paint
23	passport as the panacea, but it definitely sounds
24	like it, so it better be the panacea.
25	DAN SYMON: You got it.
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And like my
3	colleague said, I mean 18 months is 18 months, you
4	know I mean what, what are we saying its 18 months
5	away it's going to be ready?
6	DAN SYMON: Early 2020, I mean with
7	technology projects that of this size, you know its
8	very hard right now to pinpoint a date for when
9	[cross-talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah [cross-talk]
11	DAN SYMON:it will go live and there
12	are a variety of factors that can impact a go live
13	but our target is early 2020, its been early 2020 for
14	quite some time and so we're feeling good about the
15	track we're on, we went live with release one, we've
16	got 10,000 vendors in that in, in passport already,
17	already fully you know with their disclosures filed,
18	5,700 responsibility determinations so the system is
19	running. Again, the base is built and now we're
20	building those two phases on top of it. There's a lot
21	of work, work that's required there and, and in terms
22	of, you know do we think its going to be a panacea,
23	the reason we feel confidence is because we built
24	the folks at MOCS have are the ones that were the,
25	the, the builders of Accelerator, right and the

2	success we've seen with the components of Accelerator
3	that we have are successful in what we've been
4	talking about. We've achieved the six-day median
5	cycle timeline invoicing because of the things that
6	we say passport will do. If we hadn't yet done this
7	before I'd feel a lot less confident about what we
8	could deliver with passport but I feel confident
9	because we've done it already for a huge part of the
10	city sector and again we're not just doing passport
11	for Human Services, Accelerator is built just for
12	Human Services, passport will tackle the rest of the
13	city.
14	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah and I think
14 15	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah and I think… look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm… I
15	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm… I
15 16 17	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm… I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think
15 16 17	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think it's a similar thing, like its refreshing to have
15 16 17 18	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think it's a similar thing, like its refreshing to have someone at an agency who's willing to admit that
15 16 17 18 19	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think it's a similar thing, like its refreshing to have someone at an agency who's willing to admit that there's lots of problems and that there's, there's
15 16 17 18 19 20	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think it's a similar thing, like its refreshing to have someone at an agency who's willing to admit that there's lots of problems and that there's, there's been failures and you know we're not used to that,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think it's a similar thing, like its refreshing to have someone at an agency who's willing to admit that there's lots of problems and that there's, there's been failures and you know we're not used to that, right, but that doesn't mean that just because we're
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think it's a similar thing, like its refreshing to have someone at an agency who's willing to admit that there's lots of problems and that there's, there's been failures and you know we're not used to that, right, but that doesn't mean that just because we're admitting that this is a mess that we're just going
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	look I, I think you're in a tough spot, I mean I'm I had a meeting yesterday with Annie Buford and I think it's a similar thing, like its refreshing to have someone at an agency who's willing to admit that there's lots of problems and that there's, there's been failures and you know we're not used to that, right, but that doesn't mean that just because we're admitting that this is a mess that we're just going to, you know ignore the mess while we clean it up,

2	it's hiring staff or, you know signing leases, I mean
3	this is very real stuff and I just… my concern is
4	that what I hear from nonprofits I, I feel like some
5	of the optimism that, that, that you're speaking of
6	there's a disconnect there because the nonprofits are
7	not they're not feeling it and, and there's a, a
8	perception in reality gap here between what may
9	improve with passport or whatever it may be and what
10	folks are dealing with now, what they've dealt with
11	in the past, you know… you know providers who, who
12	are saying they've, they've spent 100,000 dollars or
13	more every year on, on lines of credit to, to manage
14	payment delays, you know that's real, that's real
15	stuff, you know. So, I, I guess I'm just having
16	trouble sort of putting that all together, you know,
17	and I want to believe that passport is going to be
18	what we all think its going to be, you know but I'm,
19	I'm worried and I'm worry I don't anything about
20	this stuff, I'm worried because I'm hearing it from
21	the providers, you know but… yeah, alright. I'm going
22	to hand it over to Councilwoman Rosenthal for a
23	couple of questions.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you
25	Chair Brannan and, and welcome to the world of

1

2 contracts. Bless you for sitting through the meeting, learning about, you know all the different steps of 3 passport, it's fascinating work and it's important so 4 5 I really appreciate all your hard work and your jumping into all of this. I wanted to ask a couple of 6 7 questions Dan and its so nice to see my old friends from... my young friends at the Mayor's Office of 8 Contracts, thank you for your hard work. I wanted to 9 ask... I, I wanted to give an example of a problem I've 10 heard recently where a nonprofit has contracts with 11 12 two separate agencies and are being asked to upload 13 their basic information into passport two separate 14 times, is that possible that that's true or are they 15 misreading the guide or is it possible that, I don't 16 know aging and youth both want them to upload the 17 information?

DAN SYMON: I'd have to hear more about 18 the specific example you're talking about, the goal 19 20 of Accelerator to ... is to avoid double entry of something that we already have but you know contracts 21 2.2 and budgets are managed separately and so I, I don't 23 know I'd, I'd have to hear about what part of Accelerator we're talking about, I mean it's, its, 24 it's really... [cross-talk] 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Basic
3	information… [cross-talk]
4	DAN SYMON:nuanced [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:who's on the
6	board, do you have insurance, what's your mission
7	statement?
8	DAN SYMON: Okay, so yeah all of those
9	would have a different answer, right, so your board
10	and your mission statement, no that, that should not
11	be asked of again because that's part of the
12	prequalification [cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right [cross-
14	talk]
15	DAN SYMON:process in Accelerator
16	[cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And that's the
18	problem [cross-talk]
19	DAN SYMON:but what but [cross-talk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That's where
21	the problem is.
22	DAN SYMON: Okay, but you're… and, and so
23	we can talk offline and, and address that [cross-
24	talk]
25	
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great [cross-
3	talk]
4	DAN SYMON:directly but insurance as an
5	example, insurance is usually is tied to the
6	contract itself and so while so, if you're asking
7	for an insurance certificate it would actually be by
8	contract as an example.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, let's say
10	I'm going to give an exact example, in my district I
11	have Goddard Riverside, Lincoln Square Neighborhood
12	Center, they provide senior services and youth
13	services so each one would require uploading the
14	insurance… [cross-talk]
15	DAN SYMON: The, the they're yeah
16	[cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:certificate
18	[cross-talk]
19	DAN SYMON: Their record yeah, their
20	insurance certificate if, if, if that was required
21	then yes, that would be it would be a separate
22	certificate per… [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Why [cross-
24	talk]
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON:contract. It's just the way
3	the, the city's insurance requirements are laid out,
4	I'm not the city's… [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Who changes
6	[cross-talk]
7	DAN SYMON:insurance expert [cross-
8	talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:that, PPB?
10	DAN SYMON: I don't know that that's a
11	PPB issue but can certainly look into how to… [cross-
12	talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I don't
14	think… [cross-talk]
15	DAN SYMON:streamline that if [cross-
16	talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:because I
18	[cross-talk]
19	DAN SYMON:you'd like?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I don't
21	it'd be interesting to report back to the Chair and
22	the Committee how many things like that are there
23	where agencies are being asked to upload the exact
24	same information for two separate contracts
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON: Yeah, I, I would just clarify
3	that it's not necessarily the exact same information
4	because the insurance certificate would be indicating
5	the exact contract that its covering. All I, I get
6	that there's an overlap of a lot of [cross-talk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I understand
8	what you're… [cross-talk]
9	DAN SYMON:information I'm just
10	[cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:saying yeah,
12	I understand… [cross-talk]
13	DAN SYMON:trying to [cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:what you're
15	saying that you have to have a different insurance
16	contract with those words on them and that's really
17	state insurance you're saying that requires that and
18	it'd be interesting to take back to the lawyers
19	[cross-talk]
20	DAN SYMON: Sure, we could take it back.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Secondly, it
22	phase one of passport is done, right?
23	DAN SYMON: That's right.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Phase two is
25	expected

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 2 DAN SYMON: In... [cross-talk] 3 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Spring? DAN SYMON: ...the... [cross-talk] 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: December, 6 January? 7 DAN SYMON: In the first quarter of 2019 so somewhere... February, March is what we're looking 8 9 at right now. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And you're 11 sticking to it? 12 DAN SYMON: Yes, as of today I'm sticking 13 to it. No, it... [cross-talk] 14 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Alright ... [cross-talk] 15 16 DAN SYMON: We're, we're, we're deep into 17 testing right now and so, you know it's a very head 18 down phase for us... [cross-talk] COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay ... [cross-19 20 talk] DAN SYMON: ...and DCAS but we ... [cross-21 22 talk] 23 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, really god bless... [cross-talk] 24 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON:we're looking ahead for
3	that.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:you for what
5	you're doing, I'm really not even joking, I know
6	[cross-talk]
7	DAN SYMON: I appreciate that… [cross-
8	talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:the work and
10	I know how hard it is. Does your tracking… once
11	somebody is prequalified does someone's prequalified
12	and they're applying for three different contracts
13	with different agencies and let's say they get
14	awarded the contract, the preliminary awarding of the
15	contract do you can passport track from that point
16	on all the different steps and how much time each of
17	those steps take?
18	DAN SYMON: Not currently but that is
19	that is… you're talking about release three of
20	passport.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay and
22	that's 2020?
23	DAN SYMON: That is early 2020.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Because I
25	think that's where the whole problem lies
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON: For sure.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. Okay,
4	why does it why can't we be doing phase three at the
5	same time we're doing phase two, do you need more
6	staff?
7	DAN SYMON: No, I think its the, the
8	phases build on each other as well if there… [cross-
9	talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: There's no way
11	to… [cross-talk]
12	DAN SYMON:there [cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:overlap some
14	of three as [cross-talk]
15	DAN SYMON: So… [cross-talk]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:you're doing
17	it… [cross-talk]
18	DAN SYMON:we're [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:now?
20	DAN SYMON: So, we are it's, it's not
21	like we haven't started on release three, we've
22	started we started months ago on the design of
23	release three… [cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I think
25	[cross-talk]
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON:and we've met [cross-talk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:that's the
4	piece that I would want to hear more about, that's
5	the piece that I would love to or, or if the Council
6	Member would enjoy sitting through that because
7	[cross-talk]
8	DAN SYMON: Absolutely [cross-talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:that's the
10	piece that has to get done, nothing else really
11	matters, I mean I understand it has to happen but
12	that's where the problems are.
13	DAN SYMON: For sure and we… yeah, we
14	are… so, we're in testing of release two but release
15	three right now is in the design phase so… and that
16	started months ago so it's not like we… [cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Are, are the
18	members… [cross-talk]
19	DAN SYMON:were waiting [cross-talk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:of this
21	audience part of that design?
22	DAN SYMON: Absolutely, yes, we've met
23	with construction [cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: We're hearing
25	[cross-talk]

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 2 DAN SYMON: ...vendors and non... [cross-3 talk] COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: ...nods... [cross-4 5 talk] 6 DAN SYMON: ...profit ... I can't say that 7 every person here has... [cross-talk] 8 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Well I'm looking at the... [cross-talk] 9 10 DAN SYMON: ...has been to the design ... [cross-talk] 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: ...main people ... 13 [cross-talk] 14 DAN SYMON: ...session but... [cross-talk] 15 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: ...here, yes? 16 [off mic dialogue] 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, I just 18 want to ... I, I really think that that is exactly where the problem is, and I would be concerned given what 19 20 I've learned about technology that it not be a top down development of phase three but instead very much 21 2.2 a bottom up from the perspective of the ACCOs. 23 DAN SYMON: For sure... [cross-talk] 24 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And, and the 25 program officers and the, the users.

2	DAN SYMON: I totally agree, that's, that
3	was those were our grounding principles with
4	Accelerator and we have the same ones for passport,
5	we are designing with the stakeholders not for them.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And is it
7	still the case that interest is not paid on for
8	nonprofits who begin work until January 1 <sup>st</sup> but don't
9	get registered until October $1^{st}$ , do they get
10	interest paid between January… July 1 <sup>st</sup> , am I saying
11	the right words out loud? July 1 <sup>st</sup> don't get don't
12	get registered till October do they get paid interest
13	for the credit they have to draw down on between July
14	and October?
15	DAN SYMON: So, we know that you its
16	been raised before that to, to have interest on
17	loans that nonprofits take out to be reimbursable
18	that's you know that's something we can discuss with
19	our colleagues but that's not something I'm prepared
20	to talk about today.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Is that in the
22	charter that does not that you're not allowed to do
23	that, is that a charter thing that it has to be
24	registered first, I mean it that is what it says in
25	the charter but is that changeable?

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON: We, we can go back and look
3	at it but as of right now that's not a reimbursable
4	expense.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And what if
6	you're renewing a contract, what if you've got a
7	nonprofit that has a three-year contract that's
8	renewable up to four times, are the renewable
9	contracts if they're slowed down which I hear they
10	often are do, do… are they allowed to get… [cross-
11	talk]
12	DAN SYMON: So, our focus our focus with
13	renewals and Jen you should talk more about this is
14	to start the process earlier so that that… again that
15	target date is met.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Has that been
17	successful because we talked about that during my
18	four years… [cross-talk]
19	JENNIFER GEILING: Yeah, so… [cross-talk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:as Chair
21	[cross-talk]
22	JENNIFER GEILING:actually we're
23	working on it through the Nonprofit Resiliency
24	Committee with HAS and a number of the providers here
25	in the room and we have actually streamlined, we're,

2	we're getting ready to pilot the new approach where
3	we've streamlined, the documentation that's required
4	we've created a consistency across the city agencies
5	for that documentation and developed a timeline as
6	Dan referenced on when we're going to start
7	requesting the documents keeping in mind that some
8	might expire so how that falls into place and the
9	idea here is that agencies will have the full packets
10	that they need in time for when FMS opens and then
11	its data entry into FMS and then hopefully the, the,
12	the timing, the registration time with the start
13	dates are aligned and we're going on time.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, have you
15	submitted that information to the committee, the
16	timeline?
17	JENNIFER GEILING: Oh, it's just under
18	development, I'm looking at some of the providers who
19	are on our non… [cross-talk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Only because
21	[cross-talk]
22	JENNIFER GEILING:profit resiliency
23	[cross-talk]
24	
25	

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:we've been
discussing this for four years; do you have a draft
that you can submit to the Committee?
JENNIFER GEILING: We can talk about it,
we're in the… sure, we're in the latest… the final
stages of developing the timeline, yeah, it'll be
publicly available, it will be providers have
weighed in on it, the agencies have been involved in
the development of it, literally looked at it
yesterday.
COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It really
sounds to me like you guys need more resources, I

mean I'll be honest with you, you know this was my first question to the Mayor when I started and he made it very clear to me that while he might not be able to do anything about how much money is put into these contracts, you know fixing procurement should be a no brainer. Five years later, right and you have ... the administration has put some more money in and I think that's very much appreciated, very much appreciated so he went beyond what he said at that 2.2 first meeting and, and that's appreciated but fixing procurement is not a no brainer and it strikes me that if you had more help, more bodies who were as 

2	smart as you were you would get the work done faster
3	and, and the communities too hungry to not pursue
4	that so I encourage you as difficult as it is but I
5	encourage you to ask OMB for those resources and I
6	know when, you know we'll be talking during budget
7	season would love to hear from OMB that, you know
8	you've asked for those resources because five years
9	is too long but I appreciate you. Thank you.
10	DAN SYMON: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you
12	Councilwoman. A couple a couple last things, when
13	passport is complete will it require agencies to
14	inform vendors why payments are late?
15	DAN SYMON: So, like I talked about with
16	Accelerator you'll have a platform where the vendor
17	and the city staff are communicating constantly and
18	so if a payment would be late again by the definition
19	of PPB Rule 4-06, there would really be no mystery
20	about why something was taking a long time because
21	that communication would be going back and forth
22	with, with the vendor.
23	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Do you would you
24	dare say what you expect how long you think contract
25	registration will take once passport
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	DAN SYMON: I get asked that question a
3	lot… [cross-talk]
4	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:the platinum
5	version… [cross-talk]
6	DAN SYMON:and [cross-talk]
7	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: is ready?
8	DAN SYMON: And the way I answer that is
9	especially with human services where you're trying to
10	hit a target date, its not about how long it will
11	take because imagine a scenario where this coming
12	July $1^{st}$ I've got contract… a contract that needs to
13	be registered if I started the RFP two years ago,
14	right and I hit the target date then that is a
15	successful procurement but that would have been a 24
16	month process and we wouldn't say that's
17	unsuccessful, right but… [cross-talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Uh-huh [cross-
19	talk]
20	DAN SYMON:it took 24 months whereas
21	you could do the same thing potentially in nine
22	months but that doesn't make it a better process and
23	so the… its, it's just a… how long will registration
24	take is a difficult question to answer particularly
25	with human services because it's really about timely

# COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 2 work not necessarily how long it takes. I'm not trying to dodge the question, but we expect to have 3 more timely registration of contracts because we'll 4 5 be able to plan, strategize and, and work more 6 efficiently ... and more efficiently. 7 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I mean I agree I, I think for our... for our benefit I mean defining timely 8 is going to be important and I'd love to ... I, I think 9 I asked before, I mean I'd love to know if you... if ... 10 or I'd love to see statistics on agency performance 11 12 of timely payments as they exist now. 13 DAN SYMON: Sure, that's ... 14 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah, I think I'm 15 good, yeah. Thank you, guys, very much. 16 DAN SYMON: You're welcome. 17 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Could I ask the 18 Sergeant at Arms to add two seats to the ... thank you. Okay, I want to call up Aaron Cyperstein; Andrea 19 20 Cianfrani; Rebecca Sauer; Kevin Douglas and John MacIntosh. Okay, whoever, you want to go right to 21 2.2 left, left to right, whatever you want to do. 23 [off mic dialogue] 24 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: There are no rules 25 here.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	[off mic dialogue]
3	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Hello, hello. Put
4	it a little closer to your mouth.
5	[off mic dialogue]
6	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: No.
7	AARON CYPERSTEIN: Hello?
8	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Nope. Well maybe
9	use the other there you go. Yeah, you're good to go.
10	We are live.
11	AARON CYPERSTEIN: Good morning, it's
12	been a pleasure, you know I'm from Met Council on
13	Jewish Poverty, we're the… one of the largest Jewish
14	social service not for profit organizations and we
15	have both discretionary awards and regular contracts
16	and we just got paid for our FY '17 around 1.6
17	million dollars, FY '17 we just got paid. I'm not
18	going to reiterate the issues that we have but I come
19	also as a different pact. I was a Deputy ACCO in 2000
20	at DCAS so I know the issues with MOCS, I know the
21	issues with the administration
22	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: My condolences.
23	AARON CYPERSTEIN: I know, it was a it
24	was not an easy job I agree to that but there's
25	definitely things that can be fixed so but I'm here
I	

1

2 today is the approval of this Intro is so improvement, anything that puts MOCS or the 3 administration their feet to the fire that will make 4 them start looking at these contracts and moving them 5 6 faster is a plus even if it fixes ... we save a month or 7 two months we are hurting, we are not getting paid, we are doing the services that really the city should 8 be doing and we are not getting paid for it and we're 9 10 at that point where we are not going to be able to take contracts and we're not going to be able to 11 12 service Holocaust survivors, domestic survivors, 13 family survive ... family violence survivors, affordable housing, metro repair, handyman services for 60 years 14 15 or older, we can't continue doing this if we're not 16 getting paid. So, I really want to thank you the 17 Council Members that are putting this Intro forward, 18 thank you. Before you go tell ... 19 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: what ... I mean what's your ... what's your biggest ... your 20 biggest beef with, with this process? 21 2.2 AARON CYPERSTEIN: The biggest beef is 23 noncommunication, not getting back to us what we really need to do to get it done. We'll have emails ... 24 I got an email a year later from somebody, I'm not 25

## COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 2 joking... I'm not joking, in a year later on catching up on my emails, let's, let's... come on, let's, let's, 3 let's fix that. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, yes, who's next? 6 7 ANDREA CIANFRANI: Good morning. Hi, I'm Andrea Cianfrani, the Director of Public Policy at 8 Live On New York. Live On New York is a membership 9 organization, about 100 community-based organizations 10 that serve older adults through senior centers. Elder 11 12 abuse prevention services, affordable senior housing, 13 NORCs, case management and senior services in the 14 community. Thank you very much for holding this 15 hearing. I'm going to ... I'll be quick. Live On New 16 York is a member of the Human Services Advancement 17 Strategy Group so echoing Michelle's earlier 18 testimony and kind of recommendations and where we're looking and why this, this is so important to the 19 20 nonprofits that serve older adults here in every district throughout the city. One of the things I 21 2.2 wanted to raise today is just to bring to you some of 23 kind of the specifics that our members are telling us about the actual day to day effects on what is 24 25 happening due to these late payments and we, we talk

1

2 to our members and I'm just going to rattle off come ... some of the, the common issues that they face 3 literally day to day, you know first and foremost 4 concern among their leadership and Board of Directors 5 because of their financial state because of these 6 7 late payments and the stress it causes on their budgets. Effects on relationships with outside 8 vendors because of late payments, sometimes that 9 effects who they're able then to contract with for 10 services that they need to do because they have a 11 12 history of not paying on time. They're forced to pay 13 interest on past due accounts. We've talked a lot 14 about interest today and that is something that is 15 really important to nonprofits. Challenges making 16 payroll, stress among staff, all levels of staff, 17 staff. The lack of ability to innovate and plan for 18 new programs which is something, you know if you're using all of your time shifting budget lines around 19 and trying to figure out how you're going to make 20 payroll for the next day you've not figuring out how 21 2.2 to best serve older adults here in the city. Negative 23 impacts on their Vendex score, inability to submit invoices due to contracts not being registered 24 obviously, cuts or changes in level of services to 25

1

2 clients, lost or unspent funds and loss of qualified employees and the inability to innovate. So, I just 3 4 want to echo again the importance of the communication. I appreciate your comments. One of our 5 members once explained it to us saying, you know if 6 I'm sitting in, in an ER for five hours and no one is 7 telling me why I'm sitting there or how long I'm 8 going to be sitting there I'm really upset but if 9 10 somebody comes over to me and says you're going to be here for five hours, we have a lot of people who need 11 12 more help before you then I can figure out what to 13 do, I can make arrangements, I can get done what I 14 need to do and I know that other people are being 15 served that need it and it's, it's how they view kind 16 of the information about paying the contracts on time 17 and if it's not going to be on time at least, you 18 know we know how to, to deal with it and, and figure out what we need to do. So, again echo we, we know 19 20 that that this will be a continuing conversation, we look forward to, to working with the city as well as 21 2.2 you all on, on working on this. Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. REBECCA SAUER: Good morning. Thank you, 24 Chair Brannan and members of the Committee. My name 25

1

is Rebecca Sauer, I'm the Director of Policy and 2 Planning at the Supportive Housing Network of New 3 York. We are a membership organization, we represent 4 about 200 nonprofits who develop, own and operate 5 supportive housing statewide. So, here we are in 6 7 November of 2018, the city is putting unprecedented resources into homeless services and permanent 8 housing for people experiencing homelessness 9 including supportive housing and we know that 10 nonprofits are at the heart of this response. The 11 12 network is really grateful to the city for the NYC 15/15 initiative which will create 15,000 units of 13 14 supportive housing in 15 years as well as the 100 million dollars in capital that was approved in the 15 16 last city budget to accelerate the construction of ... 17 from the original pace of 500 per year to 700. What I 18 want to talk about today a lot of my colleagues have mentioned the fiscal impacts of the contracting 19 20 issues. I want to draw the connection between that and the ability of nonprofits to develop new 21 2.2 permanent housing. So, many of our members operate 23 supportive housing and homeless services and they have a patchwork of city and state contracts with an 24 emphasis on those from DHS and DOHMH. About 100 25

1

2 percent of DHS contracts are registered late and 3 about 84 percent of DOHMH contracts. So, this makes nonprofit organizations appear to be a risky 4 investment partner or borrower which hinders their 5 ability to access the financing that's required to 6 7 develop permanent supportive housing and as Andrea mentioned it... their boards are dissuaded from 8 approving new real estate development activities 9 because their financials are so at risk. I'd like to 10 11 just give one example also of the human impact of the 12 contracting issues. One of our nonprofit members 13 partnered with a developer to create 95 supportive 14 apartments for individuals and families within a 15 larger affordable development and while the building 16 is one month from construction completion the 17 supportive services contract is still not registered and there's no information about its status. This 18 nonprofit has been unable to hire staff to prepare 19 20 for the program while homeless families wait in shelters. So, we support efforts to create more 21 2.2 transparency and efficiency in the contracting 23 process and we look forward to continuing to work with the council and the city on making that a 24 reality. Thank you. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.
3	KEVIN DOUGLAS: So, good afternoon or
4	good morning I suppose. my name is Kevin Douglas, I'm
5	the Co-Director of Policy and Advocacy with United
6	Neighborhood Houses of New York. We're a network of
7	40 organizations here in New York City, which serve
8	about three quarters of a million New Yorkers each
9	year in a range of services; early childhood, UPK,
10	youth development, homeless services, etcetera. So,
11	we're most known to the Council for our advocacy with
12	Human Services programs. We run helped run the
13	campaign for children, folks in the early childhood
14	and after school, the campaign for the summer jobs,
15	the coalition for adult literacy and so we showed up
16	for a long time to really think about how to make
17	sure their investments that support the community and
18	its really been in recent years our members have sort
19	of said to us let's keep doing that but we actually
20	need to look at the quality of funding and not just
21	the quantity of funding so we made a really
22	deliberate decision to shift some of our emphasis to
23	nonprofit contracting really please the partner with
24	the human services advancement strategy group to do
25	that. And so, what we're hearing from our members is

2 about the whole universe of issues around contracting 3 not just sort of the date between invoice of a 4 voucher and payment but sort of this... the whole 5 underfunding of the system. So, I think for a long 6 time the city has operated with the understanding 7 that the nonprofit sector is committed to their missions and so look at these really terrible 8 contracts and sort of shrug their shoulders and say 9 we'll make it work and what we're increasingly seeing 10 is the board saying no we won't, we can't float that, 11 12 we can't take that risk, we can't pay our workforce a quality salary and the really ... there's a shift 13 14 occurring in who is going after contracts and who's 15 being excluded and so I think that's an important 16 context for this conversation. I think it's also fair 17 and important to recognize the city has done work 18 from the Mayor saying, you know he's going to pay 15 dollars an hour by the end of this year to all 19 20 employees but the nonprofit resiliency committee to investments in cost of living adjustments, indirect 21 2.2 rates, etcetera but all of those issues have come 23 with sort of asterisk where there are challenges, you know COLAs come on top of years of underfunding so 24 it's not really making up new ground, its capturing 25

2	lost ground, you know the model budgets didn't have
3	enough money in them, there wasn't a collaborative
4	process, indirect rates weren't evenly applied so
5	there's this whole sort of universe of challenges
6	that providers are looking at before they even get to
7	contract registration and so if they decide to take
8	that plunge and take that financial risk then they're
9	sort of slapped in the face a little bit with late
10	registered contracts and payments. So, we really
11	think it's necessary to insert more transparency into
12	the process, appreciate this Council focusing on that
13	and really support this legislation and thank you for
14	your attention.
15	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.
15 16	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John
16	JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John
16 17	JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John MacIntosh and, and Chairman Brannan and Members of
16 17 18	JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John MacIntosh and, and Chairman Brannan and Members of the Council it's a pleasure to be here. I run a non
16 17 18 19	JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John MacIntosh and, and Chairman Brannan and Members of the Council it's a pleasure to be here. I run a non for profit called Sea Change Capital Partners and
16 17 18 19 20	JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John MacIntosh and, and Chairman Brannan and Members of the Council it's a pleasure to be here. I run a non for profit called Sea Change Capital Partners and although we don't city money, we often connect with
16 17 18 19 20 21	JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John MacIntosh and, and Chairman Brannan and Members of the Council it's a pleasure to be here. I run a non for profit called Sea Change Capital Partners and although we don't city money, we often connect with non for profits that do, and we do that in two ways.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JOHN MACINTOSH: Hi, my name is John MacIntosh and, and Chairman Brannan and Members of the Council it's a pleasure to be here. I run a non for profit called Sea Change Capital Partners and although we don't city money, we often connect with non for profits that do, and we do that in two ways. We make loans to non for profits where they have

1

2 call and we've been involved in a number of, of 3 distressed restructuring situations and again often there's city funding somewhere in the mix. So, we've 4 seen firsthand over the years how delays and contract 5 registration and payment impose a real burden even in 6 7 some cases what I would call like an existential threat to the not for profits in the city. Until 8 earlier this year though that was all anecdote, you 9 know contracts were late, how late, I don't know 10 pretty late, later than other people, I don't know, 11 12 how late are theirs but, but earlier this year with data that covered all 2,500 social service contracts 13 14 registered in 2017 about six billion dollars has been 15 provided by the Comptroller. We were able to write 16 this wonderful report, New York City Contract Delays, 17 the Facts, which will serve as my testimony and I... 18 and I think it's, its ... you know it's, its pretty staggering what's really going on in terms of the, 19 20 the registration delays and so just a few highlights, you know 91 percent of the contracts were late and 21 2.2 they were late an average of 175 days, about ten 23 percent of that time like 20 days is the Comptroller, 90 percent is the agencies and I completely ... I 24 25 completely accept what Dan has said that that

2	certainly is influenced by the smaller Council Member
3	items but even if the 35 percent of the contracts
4	that were Council Member items were 100 percent late
5	the remainder would still be close to 85 percent. So,
6	its it wouldn't be as bad but, but bad is still bad.
7	Number two, 20 percent of the contracts were
8	unregistered at a year and if you've if you've ever
9	run a not for profit and, and I'm not going to
10	embarrass anybody here so just answer in your head,
11	you may not know how many three payroll months there
12	are in a year, everybody who runs a not for profit
13	knows so… you got it, wow. So, so it, it's not just
14	on average, if you knew that on average you got paid
15	170 days late, but you always got paid at 170 days
16	you could manage your cash, you could manage your
17	board. The issue is also what's the sort of
18	variations and so 20 percent of the contracts were
19	still unregistered at a year, okay and if you wanted
20	to be really sure and let's call really sure, I don't
21	know, 95 percent, really sure that your contract had
22	been registered you had to wait 511 days so more than
23	a year and a half, okay, so that's I don't know
24	that's kind of bad. Now if we were talking about the
25	new group in town, what is it… Amazon this would…

2	this would just be a, a cost of money negotiation but
3	you need to remember sort of two things. Many of the
4	city's partners go in with less than two months of
5	cash and for many of them they simply can't borrow
6	against unregistered contracts so its an interesting
7	mathematical calculation which we've done about what
8	the interest would be if they borrowed the money but
9	many of them can't borrow the money to begin with so
10	it's really it's a cash it's a cash issue. Two more
11	things and then a few suggestions. Eighty-four
12	percent of the organizations had all of their
13	contracts late, every single one, okay [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: They had at least
15	you mean at least one late contract?
16	JOHN MACINTOSH: But all of the
17	contracts they had, so if they had two, three, four
18	all were… [cross-talk]
19	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: They're all late
20	[cross-talk]
21	JOHN MACINTOSH: All were late.
22	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Fantastic
23	JOHN MACINTOSH: 119 contracts-imposed
24	burdens of over a million dollars on the assumption
25	that the group started service on the start date,
I	l

1

2 which most of them did and, and in 11 situations 3 groups were out of pocket more than ten million bucks, which for most organizations really is like an 4 5 existential threat. So, its really pretty bad. We calculate the cost imposed on the social service 6 7 sector, the cash cost at just under 700 million dollars of which very little like 15 is interest the 8 rest is just the cash that you've expended if you 9 started to do the work on the start date. A little 10 more optimistic, I know personally a lot of the 11 12 people who are involved in this, I think everybody is 13 trying to do their best. I can say this as a Canadian 14 who wasn't here in the 70's, I don't know if this is 15 all the, the ghost of John Doddi that its everybody 16 is on lockdown because we're so worried that people 17 are stealing our money, I mean I think you, you've, 18 you've imposed some rules on yourself that you're bread winning banking and insurance regulation 19 20 wouldn't accept, you've said we're ... we can't ... we can't have a process that differentiates based on 21 2.2 size or risk so to your point a renewal of a ten 23 thousand dollar Council Member item is viewed ... is, is treated the same as a 15 million dollar DHS contract 24 to a new vendor. I don't know if that's smart or not 25

1

2 but that's what we're doing so all the stuff that 3 MOCS is trying to do which is wonderful is to use technology to, to improve the workflow of the system 4 5 that's still got some weird aspects to it as far as I'm concerned. Two other things. Almost every 6 7 contract that's awarded is actually registered so there's an open question and that ... I don't know 8 that's kind of a head scratcher to me and the second 9 thing is my best interpretation of the data, the only 10 way to explain some of the data is that absolutely 11 12 nothing is being done on some contracts for a while. 13 If you look at the patter, the ... I think as a ... as a 14 pure mathematical matter the best explanation is 15 until about six months after the start date there's 16 just a lot of contracts that are sitting at the 17 bottom of the pile and im not blaming anybody I'm 18 just making an observation. So, in terms of, of thoughts in addition to the bill three things; if 19 20 passport is going to be transparent I would urge transparency to include the public not just the 21 2.2 vendors and I would urge people to have the ability 23 to get out of passport aggregate data to do the kind of analysis that we did if you really want the heat 24 to be on, if you really want it to be transparent 25

1

2 allowing anybody to see what's going on and allowing 3 them to get at that data in bulk so they can do analysis I think is pretty helpful. Two other things; 4 for the small contracts I don't think you're ever 5 going to get at them they're always going to come 6 7 last so I would think hard about, about finding a way to lend nonprofits the money who are willing to do 8 the work and I think that as I understand it the MTA 9 and Carver Bank have come together with some of their 10 MBWE programs to, to create that kind of facility so 11 12 I think some sort of city sponsored facility to lend 13 money against the small contracts is probably the 14 pragmatic way out and on the larger contracts see if 15 you can make it easier for people like me to lend 16 money by agreeing to pay them directly with the 17 exception of the fund for the city of New York the 18 city refuses to assign its payments to third parties and that makes it much, much harder for lenders to 19 20 put the money up because there's an extra risk. The ... you pay the, the non for profit and then I have to 21 2.2 wait for the non for profit to pay me, if you agreed 23 to pay me directly would make it easier for, for people, you know like Sea Change, socially motivated 24 lenders I think to front ... to front the money. Anyway, 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	thank you very much for listening and I certainly
3	support the bill.
4	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you guys very
5	much, thank you.
6	KEVIN DOUGLAS: Your honor I just wanted
7	to… [cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yes… [cross-talk]
9	KEVIN DOUGLAS:just make one quick
10	comment, I, I missed earlier, MOCS was very gracious
11	in recognizing that the largest challenges with the
12	delay in contract registration and cited a figure of
13	six days between the receipt of an in invoice
14	approved and actual payment, what he sort of missed
15	in that number is how long it takes for the invoice
16	is submitted to actually be accepted as sort of
17	payable so sure once they've set that invoice as
18	payable there might be a smaller time before they
19	actually pay it but there's this whole back and forth
20	between the agencies, MOCS and the vendor to actually
21	say, okay this invoice is good because of
22	administrative errors and other issues so I would
23	encourage if that's MOCS sort of perspective as to
24	the scope of the problem for Council to dig a little
25	bit deeper with MOCS about when invoices are
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	submitted, how often how long does it take for them
3	to actually get to a place where they're sort of
4	marked okay we can pay this because that's obscures a
5	little bit of the, the delay.
6	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, that's I
7	want to just if you want to hang out one second
8	Councilman Yeger has a question
9	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'll be quick,
10	thanks Chair. I think you mentioned that 100 percent
11	of the contracts of DHS are registered late and I
12	think everybody here talked about registration late
13	and as you can see from earlier this morning it's a
14	little bit of my bone but I, I wanted to just if you
15	can differentiate when we say late we are talking
16	about late at relative to the start date of the
17	contract but if you took that out of the equation and
18	we determined just for the purposes of this
19	conversation that between that, that the start date
20	of the contract is the date that the agency and the
21	and the city agency have come to agreement and signed
22	a contract from that point until registration what
23	are we talking about? I mean yes, no, tell me
24	percentage wise or actual days or… give me days, I, I
25	

COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS 1 2 would love to know because you said 511 days ... [cross-3 talk] JOHN MACINTOSH: Yeah, I, I... [cross-talk] 4 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: ...but... it's because 5 we do back date a lot of contracts for obvious ... 6 7 [cross-talk] JOHN MACINTOSH: ...I don't... [cross-talk] 8 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: ...reasons... [cross-9 10 talk] 11 JOHN MACINTOSH: Yeah, I don't know I'd 12 have to look, I don't know if ... does checkbook have 13 that? 14 [off mic dialogue] 15 JOHN MACINTOSH: But does, does it have the date that, that it was awarded, it doesn't? 16 17 [off mic dialogue] 18 JOHN MACINTOSH: I don't think that data ... its either not in the public domain or we haven't 19 20 seen it... [cross-talk] COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: No, I think it's ... 21 2.2 it, it wouldn't be in the public domain honestly 23 because we wouldn't have access to it and you'd have 24 to ask each person at each agency whoever deals with the contract to be honest and tell us but I think you 25

-	
2	folks would know, you know this is the date that we
3	agreed to the with the agency that we got all the
4	paperwork in that we sent everything over and at that
5	point we were done and we didn't hear back generally
6	speaking for, you know… okay.
7	JOHN MACINTOSH: I, I can get you that
8	information… [cross-talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I would love to
10	know well my Council is pretty big and I would love
11	to know that, you know that's for me that's the way
12	I'm going to look at it because I, I do recognize
13	that for, for purposes of this 90 billion dollar
14	organization that we are we, we do have to backdate
15	contracts, I'm deliberately using back date not
16	retroactive, I'm saying backdate because that's what
17	we're doing, we're backdating the contracts, we're
18	making the contracts go backwards, we're asking
19	people to do 12 months' worth of work over 12 months
20	but a lot of them are not able to do it over 12
21	months so we're basically say you know we're
22	acknowledging but we're not saying it out loud, I
23	will that you know for four months out of the year
24	the services that we're paying for under the contract
25	are just not going to happen, they're just not

1

2 because, because some agencies are not going to start 3 the work until they actually have a contract or at least they have the comfort level to know that 4 5 they're going to get paid and that's very, very bothersome to me, I find it wasteful frankly because 6 7 you start up a program even those that are continuing 8 programs you got to know, do I keep the people on, it's June 28<sup>th</sup>, do I tell them don't come back to 9 work in three days and then you know they are coming 10 back to work, do I have them working on this, do I 11 12 move them to something else and it becomes very 13 complicated. Somebody testified that 84 percent of 14 organizations had of their contracts late, that was 15 you, I, I would love to know if you used my start 16 point what percentage you would come back to us with. 17 That's a... that's I think very indicative to me, give 18 me one second ... okay, then the ... if you could just ... you know this is for everybody, if you could just tell me 19 20 is the bigger problem once the contract is, is in, its registered and you know you submit your invoice 21 2.2 and you're just not getting paid or is it the bigger ... 23 the bigger problem just simply, you know starting that car, getting that contract registered? 24 25 JOHN MACINTOSH: The last one.

2 KEVIN DOUGLAS: It's the... it's the 3 registration...

4 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Do we believe ... you know you don't have to use data or analytics or, you 5 know swear to this but do we believe that the problem 6 7 is on the administrations side in the agency, is it at MOCS or is at the Comptroller's Office, if you 8 don't want to say it you can call me later, you can 9 send me an email you don't have to say it on the 10 microphone that's okay you'll call me later, you'll 11 12 also let me but seriously email me... [cross-talk] 13 KEVIN DOUGLAS: Without, without 14 assigning specific blame what I would say to you is 15 there is an aspirational vision from the field about 16 how we can streamline the contracting process and 17 that's dealing with the fact that of all the agencies 18 in city government that have a hand in processing out the contract only the Comptroller's Office is bound 19 20 to a specific timeframe and that's 30 days no other entity; the Department of Investigation, MOCS, 21 2.2 etcetera... [cross-talk] 23 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yep, I agree ... 24 [cross-talk]

25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	KEVIN DOUGLAS:has a timeline [cross-
3	talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:big, big problem
5	[cross-talk]
6	KEVIN DOUGLAS:and, and we've endorsed
7	the human services council recommendation that that
8	entire time frame be 60 days and the city internally
9	figure out how to make that happen.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: My belief for
11	what it's worth my belief is that from the time that
12	the agency sends the paperwork, etcetera over to the
13	Comptroller's Office the Comptroller's Office is
14	doing what it needs to do within the timeframe, I
15	believe that and I think and you know anybody who
16	wants to correct me if I'm wrong and I don't know if
17	MOCS is still here but I believe that it is and I
18	actually don't believe that the delay is at MOCS I
19	believe each of these agencies has their own, you
20	know I just don't feel like working today problem
21	going on there and yeah, I, I, I don't care, I mean I
22	think so and they just they're sitting on the stuff
23	and they're not moving it along and by the time it
24	gets to MOCS, MOCS is doing its job, by the time it

gets to the Comptroller's Office I am absolutely

2	convinced that the Comptroller's Office is doing what
3	is necessary to get those contracts registered. We
4	created the registration process in the city well
5	over a century ago for the deliberate reason that we
6	want contracts registered before you come up to the
7	Comptroller with your bill and say give me my money,
8	we want to make sure its in a book somewhere,
9	Comptroller's Office is doing the registration, it's
10	getting that contract to his desk that is the problem
11	I believe and so anybody who wants to tell the…
12	[cross-talk]
13	JOHN MACINTOSH: Yeah, I mean look
14	[cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:Chairman [cross-
16	talk]
17	JOHN MACINTOSH:math, mathematically
18	it's true.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.
20	JOHN MACINTOSH: But, but the, the ten
21	percent of the delay is the Comptroller which is
22	within the 30 days in the vast majority of the cases
23	and 90 percent is pre. Maybe 90 maybe 90 percent of
24	the work is pre-as well so I'm I would be careful
25	[cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It is [cross-
3	talk]
4	JOHN MACINTOSH:I'm not saying its
5	blame… [cross-talk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It is [cross-
7	talk]
8	JOHN MACINTOSH:I'm just saying it
9	[cross-talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It is there's no
11	doubt but, but I, I, I anybody who wants to email
12	over to the Chairman afterwards and let, let us let
13	us know the answers to those things because I think
14	that's… I think that's very important for us to have
15	that, that information so that when the
16	administration comes here and says that our, our
17	heinous, onerous process that we wish to introduce so
18	that they can simply tell us what's going on, you
19	know maybe we can answer them back with some actual
20	information and tell us what tell them why we need
21	it. Anyway, thank you very much, thank you Mr.
22	Chairman.
23	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very
24	much.
25	JOHN MACINTOSH: Thank you.
	·

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: The next panel Margarita Guzman; Maria Lizardo; Louisa Chafee; Molly Krakowski and Annie Minguez. Thank you, guys, whoever wants to start.

MARGARITA GUZMAN: Good morning, can you 6 7 hear me, am I speaking into the mic well enough? Alright, how's that? Great, thank you. Good morning, 8 my name is Margarita Guzman, I'm the Executive 9 Director at the Violence Intervention Program, which 10 is an organization that works with Latinx survivors 11 12 of intimate partner and sexual violence. We're kind 13 of one of the tiny but mighty organizations that's 14 speaking to you today, we have a budget under 4.8 15 million. We have a staff of about 48, we see about 16 2,000 survivors a year but what we don't do in volume 17 we really do it in intensity. Because we're a 18 culturally specific survivor ... culturally specific organization we're deep within community, we partner 19 20 within community, our staff reflects our community by about 95 percent and we're 100 percent bilingual in 21 2.2 English and Spanish. So, we serve a very critical 23 need especially in these really hostile 24 sociopolitical times. We reach people who are survivors of trauma who might not otherwise reach out 25

1

2 to any of the main stream organizations or institutions. So, as I mentioned our budget is under 3 4.8 million dollars and about two million of that is 4 located... is allocated for rental expenses for our 5 6 scattered site shelter program and operation of our 7 transitional housing program. And all of those services and all of the survivors that we serve are 8 in constant jeopardy and not just from their abusive 9 partners. The survivors along with all of their 10 children are in danger of going unseen, unheard and 11 12 unserved and its not because of a lack of dedicated 13 workers or expertise, its not even a lack of funding because we have funding for all of the work that we 14 15 provide, its because we're not getting payment on 16 time and unlike any other sector or business practice 17 our funding is delayed, our payments are delayed by 18 about a fiscal year sometimes and because of those delays we find ourselves struggling and negotiating 19 and begging with our vendors, right, I can't pay my 20 landlords with an info session on a technical glitch 21 2.2 in HHS Accelerator and I can't pay my staff with 23 promises and we can't support our survivors with commitments and failed follow through. So, we're 24 currently fronting the city about 425,000 dollars in 25

1

2	City Council funding for this fiscal year and that's
3	a combination of DOVE funding, money for the sexual
4	assault's initiative and grant through the speaker's
5	initiative… [cross-talk]

6 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Sorry, sorry about 7 that.

8 MARGARITA GUZMAN: Alright, so we already 9 know the issues there, we don't expect payments on those until June of 2018 at the very earliest and 10 11 don't apologize we need that money, right, like we're really excited that we have that prospect coming but 12 13 like I said we're one of the smaller organizations so 14 we're not able to float it that well. In addition to 15 that funding, we're waiting for payment for domestic 16 violence shelter services through our HRA Residential contract for not one, not two but five months right 17 18 now, we haven't gotten paid for services that we rendered in June and its mid-November and we're 19 20 trying to figure out how we're going to support the people in our shelter and again we're 51 beds, we're 21 2.2 not going to wow you with the amount of money but for 23 us that's half a million dollars. For a budget of 4.8 million that's a lot to front from reserves and from 24 our line of credit. Our line of credit is 150,000 25

1

2 dollars, that's one payroll and we don't have any reserves, we're not able to really save up enough 3 because of this constant sort of backlog that we have 4 on payments. So, this is not about a payment schedule 5 that would be ideal, it's not just about what we 6 7 think would be a really great schedule, its about a payment schedule that wouldn't bring us to the brink 8 of closing down every other payroll and solely 9 10 because we're hoping and praying that the payments for services rendered will come through. So, if 11 12 you're following my math you've caught that it's 13 about a million dollars that we're owed right now for 14 this fiscal year, again 4.8 million and we don't have 15 the reserves to really carry us through that. And in 16 addition to the late payments we also have a 17 challenge with the processes that are supposed to be 18 improving this. So, here's where I'm going to talk about HHS Accelerator and I won't be too long, I'm 19 20 trying to make this guick. So, we received an HRA 21 Non-Residential Services grant and renewed it ... that was renewed and detailed the contract in the amount 2.2 23 of 837,000 dollars effective from April 1<sup>st</sup> of 2018 until March of 2019. So, in August of 2018, so four 24 months after the contract began, HRA entered the 25

1

2 contract into Accelerator, the online billing platform. Because of an error in Accelerator, we 3 weren't able to put in our annual budget and we got 4 notice in October that it was... that it was available 5 6 but all that was available was the one quarter from 7 April to June we couldn't put in the money for the rest of the contract year, we didn't know that we 8 wouldn't be able to budget for the whole year at once 9 so we ended up leaving 33,000 dollars on the table, 10 33,000 dollars for an organization like us is pretty 11 12 devastating, it's almost a salary and we think of all 13 the people that that could have served, its really, 14 really a devastating loss. So, I thank you so much 15 for taking the time to hear our stories, to give them 16 the credibility that they deserve and for taking 17 action to try to find out how it is that we fix this 18 system, we're really happy to collaborate and I'll have all my information in the testimony that's 19 20 submitted in writing. 21 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. 2.2 MARGARITA GUZMAN: Thank you. 23 LOUISA CHAFEE: Good afternoon. I'm Louisa Chafee and I'm the Senior Vice President for 24 Public Policy and External Relations at UJA 25

1

2 Federation and if you are tiny and mighty, we are enormous and mighty. So, I'm actually here because 3 4 I'm proud to work in the nonprofit sector but I also used to work in the Mayor's Office and I used to ... or 5 I was part of the team that started HHS Accelerator 6 7 which sounds like Al Gore but in this... in that circumstances are. So, I'm very pleased today to 8 testify on behalf of our partners and our nonprofits 9 that we serve and their clients. First of all I want 10 to stand with the Human Services Advancement Strategy 11 12 Group and just echo the multiple organ failure that 13 you guys have been listening to of late registrations 14 and late payments and contracts that don't cover cost 15 and lack of occupancy costs and the lack of food 16 costs and inaccurate indirect rates and the 17 challenges of things like complying with good rules 18 like MWBE and the lack of COLAs and when COLAs are implemented the lack of ability to administer them 19 20 and to pay parody and fair standards, labor act an important... this is just... I'm just whipping through 21 2.2 the testimony and last but not least, the timely 23 processing of city council capital money. The only access to capital that nonprofits have, thank you but 24 25 it takes forever to get it. So, we are very, very

1

2 grateful to Intro 1067 as a really good first step to 3 shine light and bring transparency to the issue of late payment. And I also want to say I think it's 4 really important to recognize that the Mayor's Office 5 is pushing various actions forward, they created the 6 7 Resiliency Committee which works with 70 nonprofits and is attempting to move critical issues and they 8 are creating an important information technology 9 system in passport which, well two years from now 10 does have a goal live date but the challenge is the 11 12 following and I say this as someone who was 13 instrumental in creating HHS Accelerator; information 14 technology systems are only as good as the people 15 that operate them and what we're seeing in this 16 massive slowdown in the contracting is not ill will 17 by MOCS, its excellent work by the Comptroller's 18 Office, its, you know commitment from many people, it's just an operational slow down and so the reason 19 20 your proposed legislation is so important is that its pushing people to give transparency because 21 2.2 transparency makes people hurry up. Right now, 23 there's very little consequence, there's no interest paid, there's no issue to the city agency if they 24 25 decide to say not respond to an email for a year, if

1

2 they sit on a contract for ten months, if they mismanage a budget input into Accelerator an entire 3 staff line is lost. So, the challenge that we look to 4 you for is that the City Council keep going with the 5 great work you're doing, mandate that all agencies 6 7 participate in HHS Accelerator. Right now, DFTA and DYC don't so agencies that cross those lines are half 8 blind and half slow. How about charging interest, 9 once passport and nirvana arrives no one will have to 10 pay it but, in the meantime, it would cover the debt 11 12 that our agency is taking on. You could codify the 13 model budget which was a great idea the city had two years ago to bring up services, ACS did a great job, 14 15 put that into legislation and make the rest of the 16 programs go through it to fix the funding issues and 17 of course you can dramatically shift the procurement 18 rules and governance through the charter revision commission currently underway. Thank you. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. MARIA LIZARDO: Good afternoon. Thank you 21 2.2 so much for taking the lead and highlighting the 23 issues with contracts. I am Maria lizard, I am the Executive Director of a settlement house called 24 25 Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation, NMIC. We

1

2 serve about 14,000 residents in upper Manhattan and the Bronx and all I can say is ditto to everything 3 that has been said this morning, but I do want to 4 5 highlight some specifics when it comes to NMIC and 6 the impact that it has had on us directly as a result 7 of late contract, contract registration. For fiscal year '17 we have one contract that has not been 8 registered and the city owes us 85,996 on that one. 9 For fiscal 2018, three contracts have not been 10 registered and the city owes us 109,051 dollars. For 11 12 fiscal year '19, NMIC has 11 direct contracts, out of 13 those 11 two are registered but the budget has not 14 been approved so we cannot get paid and nine have not 15 been registered. For fiscal year '19, we are a 16 subcontractor on four contracts and none of those 17 has... have been registered. As of October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2018, 18 the city owes NMIC 2,287,905, we are behind on our rent three months and we owe real estate taxes on our 19 20 main site. In fact, in 2016 we were behind six months on our rent and our landlord served us with court 21 2.2 papers, how embarrassing to be a leader in preventing 23 evictions and we were on the verge of eviction. We 24 are threatened every week by our landlord that he 25 will serve us with court papers. It has taken a lot

1

2	of maneuvering, robbing Peter to pay Paul in order
3	for us to meet payroll. It is thanks to the fund
4	balance that we have accrued throughout the years by
5	being fiscally sound that we have been managing to
6	keep, keep meet our payroll but I can tell you this
7	much, we have been down to the wire at times where I
8	have prepared an email to send to staff saying hey,
9	sorry the city doesn't pay us so we can't pay you and
10	in fact then we can't serve our clients. This needs
11	to be fixed, it needs to be fixed now and although
12	passport is very promising, we… it… we can't wait 18
13	months, we are going to be out of business as a
14	sector. Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.
16	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Hi, my name is Molly
17	Krakowski, I'm Director of Legislative Affairs at
18	JASA. JASA serves over 40,000 older adults in New
19	York City in Manhattan, Brooklyn, Queens and the
20	Bronx everything from adult protective services and
21	community guardian to community senior centers, NORC
22	programs, home delivered meals and the like. JASA has
23	a budget in excess of 117 million dollars and
24	approximately 2,000 staff members, about 81 percent
25	of, of our budget is direct government funding coming
I	

1

2 from the city with an additional ten percent that's coming from service fees that are a result of the 3 government services. Echoing obviously what everybody 4 has said, we have found ourselves in, in situations 5 6 in multiple contracts either being delayed or having 7 amendments being delayed. I just wanted to highlight some of the experiences we've had. We experienced 8 extensive delays in approval of supplemental budgets 9 for adult protective services and community guardian 10 programs in FY '18. The budget beginning July 2017 11 12 allocated protective services supplement that would 13 bring 557,000 dollars to JASA primarily for frontline 14 case management salary increases. This, this is part 15 of the model budget process that was supposed to take 16 place, we just received those, those funds last week, 17 that's 16 months later. While we waited for these 18 funds JASA took out a loan to cover staff salary increases. This combined with delays in contract 19 20 advances for FY '19 created a cash flow crisis for the organization. I'm going to jump ahead to another ... 21 2.2 just this past week we got signed contracts for New 23 York Connects even though that contract period began seven months ago. New York Connects is a state funded 24 25 program administered by DFTA with a goal of promoting

1

2 seamless access to long term care services, this is 3 where people are directed when they need assistance and guidance for older adults and for people with 4 disabilities. Because of the changes in some of the 5 New York City contracts, council grant contract 6 7 numbers, new contracts needed to be prepared and executed. And the change in the contract numbers came 8 after JASA submitted reimbursements for FY '18 9 expenses. So, as a result JASA is going to need to 10 spend time and administrative resources on avoidable 11 12 rework to resubmit the claims once the new contracts 13 are registered. I can go on, you'll have it all in 14 writing in front of you but basically like those who 15 come before us and after we're asking that government 16 pay for what government asked us to provide in a 17 timely manner. We're really at a crossroads which has 18 been mentioned, we're no longer able to and no longer willing to go after contracts that are not going to 19 20 be realistically paid in a timely fashion and we welcome Intro 1067 which hopefully will resolve some 21 2.2 of the issues in terms of transparency but it 23 certainly isn't going to resolve all of these issues and I just ... not in the ... not in writing but I did want 24 to mention, you know the idea that the Council Maddox 25

2	monies, you know obviously they're coming in July,
3	right, we get June really, we find out get schedule
4	C, the Resolutions we sometimes find out some of
5	those resolutions in the end of September and, and
6	then those don't get registered or, or moved forward
7	for months so we're getting contracts, they're
8	getting word of, of money and in, in March with
9	money that needs to be spent by the end of June which
10	is just not realistic, 20,000 dollars for a senior
11	center in two months for all sorts of programming
12	that isn't going to take place in those two months or
13	three month period and maybe there can be some sort
14	of like superhighway, you know like the TSA for those
15	of us that already have contracts and this money is
16	going on top of a contract that already exists to,
17	to, to streamline this, to not make this an
18	additional contracting situation but that's just my
19	own thought.
20	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: One quick question,
21	you mentioned [cross-talk]
22	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Yeah [cross-talk]
23	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:that you wouldn't
24	that you wouldn't go for contracts that you didn't
25	feel would be reliably paid [cross-talk]
I	

1

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
3	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:what, what is
4	what does that look like, like which ones do you
5	think… well this one I'll get paid in a somewhat
6	reasonable time versus which ones I know I won't?
7	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Huh, that's a good
8	question, I don't know that I can fully answer that,
9	I know that we've taken a much closer look in the
10	last couple of years at RFPs and what's worth the
11	challenge and potential headache and what's not and
12	I, I don't know all of the factors that go into it
13	but I know… [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: But I assume its
15	[cross-talk]
16	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI:that there's [cross-
17	talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:based on
19	historical… [cross-talk]
20	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Historical and I think
21	some agencies that are maybe easier to work with than
22	others.
23	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay.
24	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: You know I also would
25	just as an aside off record we were asked at one
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	point to ask for more funding for some of the agency
3	staff you know staffing at some of the agencies to
4	deal with all the amendments and things that come
5	through but they're not asking for that money from
6	the agencies and if the agencies need more staff to
7	be able to process all of this paper then, then they
8	should have that staff.
9	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah
10	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: If that's what it is
11	SO
12	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.
13	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Yeah.
14	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry,
15	sorry, Councilman Yeger.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I, I just wanted
17	to… this really is for Miss Krakowski at the
18	beginning of these… you, you testified and you have
19	in your testimony that because of changes in some New
20	York City Council grant contract numbers, new
21	contracts needed and that is what caused the delay,
22	is that, that was it, it was just the change in the
23	number?
24	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: From what I understand
25	but I'm not I unfortunately [cross-talk]
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay… [cross-talk]
3	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI:our CFO [cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Alright, I won't
5	say… [cross-talk]
6	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI:couldn't be here but
7	[cross-talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:I won't hold you
9	to it I'm just… I want to make sure… [cross-talk]
10	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: No, from what I
11	understand amendments were submitted but then some of
12	the contracting numbers were changed and as a result
13	of that everything went back around to resubmit with
14	the new contract numbers as opposed to… [cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: But did anything
16	else change in the contract like… [cross-talk]
17	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: No… [cross-talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:you not the
19	amount… [cross-talk]
20	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI:not that I'm aware of.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, can you tell
22	us which agency if you know?
23	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: I'll double check, but
24	it would be either DFTA I imagine its DFTA.
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, DFTA is
3	broken, we know that, which agency… which other
4	agency?
5	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: The only the only
6	other agency would have been HRA, but I believe…
7	[cross-talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: HRA is broken
9	[cross-talk]
10	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI:it was DFTA [cross-
11	talk]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:we know that,
13	okay.
14	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Yeah.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Correct, got it
16	[cross-talk]
17	MARIA LIZARDO: And so is HPD.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: HPD is long broken
19	but we, we didn't break that here today. I the
20	federation I'm glad you're here because the… you know
21	this is a little bit of what I said at the beginning
22	when we were talking about the very, very large ones
23	you don't actually get city contracts, you, you help
24	other organizations and agencies that do get city
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	contracts but hearing from an agency that is having
3	trouble paying its rent… [cross-talk]
4	LOUISA CHAFEE: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:is, is killing me
6	because… I mean I was… I was here earlier talking
7	about my UPK programs that I'm worried about schools
8	that literally cannot pay its teachers [cross-talk]
9	MARIA LIZARDO: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:and has to make a
11	decision do we do we tell these parents do not bring
12	your kids here we have to close our doors because I
13	don't we don't know when, if, etcetera, what, what
14	can you tell us where you are… well first of all
15	which agency are we talking you're at HPD that's
16	what you said, right?
17	MARIA LIZARDO: Yes… [cross-talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay… [cross-talk]
19	MARIA LIZARDO:FY '17 and '18 those
20	contracts are HPD and the vast majority of the rest
21	are in HRA.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so HPD and
23	HRA, okay.
24	MARIA LIZARDO: Uh-huh
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And you, you have
3	outstanding contracts from the FY '17?
4	MARIA LIZARDO: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Year?
6	MARIA LIZARDO: Yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: That have that
8	are registered that… [cross-talk]
9	MARIA LIZARDO: No… [cross-talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:everything
11	they're not registered [cross-talk]
12	MARIA LIZARDO: Not, not registered for
13	fiscal year '17, that's why we haven't been able to
14	[cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: They're not
16	registered… [cross-talk]
17	MARIA LIZARDO:get not registered
18	[cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:for FY '17?
20	MARIA LIZARDO:FY '17 and FY '18.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay and are
22	they're, they're not in the Comptroller's Office
23	sitting on the desk?
24	MARIA LIZARDO: Nope, they're at HPD.
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And what have you
3	been… are they talking to you?
4	MARIA LIZARDO: It took a while for them
5	to finally come together and talk to us, ANHD brought
6	in a… brought us all together, member organizations,
7	so it's been through that coalition that we finally
8	had a meeting with HPD and they were explaining, you
9	know the blah, blah, blah that they have and no
10	[cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yeah, I [cross-
12	talk]
13	MARIA LIZARDO:needless to say they're
14	not… [cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:honestly, I
16	think… [cross-talk]
17	MARIA LIZARDO:registered [cross-talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:and I'll say this
19	here I, I think Federation, you I don't remember
20	your name, I'm sorry… [cross-talk]
21	LOUISA CHAFEE: Louisa.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, may have you
23	know hit it on the head although you didn't say it
24	this way, but I will, you know there isn't
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	accountability at the agency and I think that's the
3	[cross-talk]
4	MARIA LIZARDO: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:problem and
6	[cross-talk]
7	MARIA LIZARDO: That's right [cross-
8	talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:I think that the
10	reason or a way to deal with that is that to say that
11	if somebody at an agency can't, can't feel the
12	compulsion to reply to an email within say [cross-
13	talk]
14	MARIA LIZARDO: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:ten days they
16	should lose their job, there are people at these city
17	agencies who do not belong working for the tax payer
18	of the city of New York. I'm confident in saying that
19	because I know that I have a tiny staff about five
20	or six people and I my staff doesn't let emails go
21	unanswered, they not because they're scared of me
22	I'm a really nice guy but it's because it's their job
23	they want to do it and they want to they want to
24	respond and we get pummeled you know I, I say
25	something random to a reporter one day and I get in

1

2 the newspaper and the next thing I know is 100 New 3 Yorkers email my office and my staff is going through them and we're trying to respond but they are, they, 4 they respond to people even if we don't have to. The 5 idea that, that, that the contracting agencies are 6 7 not responding to the agencies and contracting government entities are not responding to the ... to the 8 nonprofit agencies that they're asking to do the work 9 is fascinating, feel free to send me a list of the 10 people who are not responding to your emails and I 11 12 will forward the list to the ... to the Commissioner and 13 I will say it seems to me that these people are not doing their jobs and maybe you ought to fire them and 14 15 I'll take out your names from the top, you don't have 16 to tell them, I won't say who told me but I'll give 17 them a list, here are... here are the 50 people in your 18 agency Commissioner that just don't feel like they need to answer emails anymore. I had that two weeks 19 20 ago, people ... two people from DOE left their messages, you know I said who I was, I mean I gave them my 21 2.2 name, I... happens to be that one of those ... that at the 23 agency... I live near the agency like around the corner and I felt like I wanted to go over there and kind of 24 knock on the door and say hi, Councilman here can you 25

1

2	maybe respond to an email but don't feel bad, they're
3	not just not responding to you they're not responding
4	to me. I'm not any more special so please feel free
5	anybody, you know ask Kalman at council dot NYC dot
6	gov, ask JB at council dot NYC dot gov send a… send
7	something over, I'll do it, you know I'm happy to do
8	it, I have no problem but I think that people who are
9	not doing their jobs in this government need to be
10	called out for it and their Commissioners need to
11	know that.
12	MOLLY KRAKOWSKI: Thank you.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you very
14	much for taking the time to be here.
15	[off mic dialogue]
16	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Alright, next panel
17	we have Jesse Layman; Carlin… Kate Ford, Kate Ford's
18	not here; Penny from Catholic Charities; Anthony
19	Edwards and Mark Hurwitz.
20	[off mic dialogue]
21	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Do you want do you
22	want to start since you were
23	ANNIE MINGUEZ: I can
24	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah, go for it… go
25	for it… go for it, yeah.

2	ANNIE MINGUEZ: So, I'm Annie Minguez,
3	the Director of Government and Community Relations
4	for Good Shepherd Services. We're a multi service
5	nonprofit agency, we have about 80 programs
6	throughout the Bronx, Brooklyn and Manhattan serving
7	over 30,000 youth and families. I'm submitting my
8	testimony for the record, but I wanted to just kind
9	of like highlight a couple of things that some of my
10	other colleagues have said. So, we have four key
11	contracting challenges that we've talked about in
12	front of this committee before; current funding is
13	inadequate to cover basic programming and
14	administrative costs; contract delays cause
15	significant cash flow problems and you've heard that
16	a lot today; audits and unfunded mandates put an
17	additional burden on our agencies and efficiencies
18	meant to streamline the contract process are not
19	being fully implemented. So, I wanted to kind of go
20	through very quickly we have as of October of this
21	year over 40 contracts that have not been registered,
22	this includes two contracts from fiscal year 2017 and
23	three from fiscal year 2018 and I'm happy to submit a
24	full list. We are also a member of the Nonprofit
25	Resiliency Committee, so I urge the Council to

2	continue to ask us questions about how things are
3	going there but also to seek, seek out the Department
4	of Education and to see how they can be brought to
5	the table because they're not as of yet. Around our,
6	our line of credit and credit that we've borrowed
7	we've paid over 64,000 dollars that we will not be
8	able to, to recuperate in the last two years that was
9	about 15,000 as soon as like July of this year on a
10	three million dollar loan that we had to make and
11	then about 48,000 that we had to make on our credit
12	line and again we thank the council for the support
13	that you all have lent and thank you for the
14	opportunity to testify.
15	[off mic dialogue]
16	PENNI BUNYAVIROCH: Good afternoon
17	Chairperson Brannan and good morning… good afternoon
18	to the members of the New York City Council Committee
19	on Contracts. I'm Penni Bunyaviroch, I'm the Director
20	of Contracts Management for Catholic Charities
21	Community Services Archdiocese of New York. I'm
22	pleased to speak about the work of Catholic Charities
23	as a contracting agency, provider of social services
24	and the current challenges we face with respect to
25	the delays in contracting with city agencies. The

1

1

Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of New York 2 3 seeks to uphold the dignity of each person that's made in the image of god serving the basic needs of 4 5 the poor, troubled, frail and oppressed of all 6 religions. We collaborate with parishes as well as 7 non-Catholic and catholic partners to build a compassionate and just society. Though a network of 8 administered, sponsored and affiliated agencies, 9 Catholic Charities delivers, coordinates and 10 advocates for quality human services and programs 11 12 touching almost every human need. The Catholic 13 Charities Federation of 90 organizations from large, 14 over 100 million, to small, under one million, 15 administers about 1,000 city human services contracts 16 with all the major city agencies. These contracts are 17 valued at just under 200 million. The services touch 18 more than 150 New Yorkers in need annually. Important progress has been made in recent years under both the 19 20 Bloomberg and the De Blasio administrations to better the contracting process and the funding of human 21 2.2 service contracts. This morning I wish ... this 23 afternoon I wish to recognize and express appreciation for this progress and to encourage the 24 positive trajectory and direction, direction of the 25

1

2 past decade and also to recognize that the road still 3 to be traveled is significant with more work needed. According to the New York City Comptroller, Scott 4 5 Stringer analysis of New York City agency contracts, in fiscal year 2017 a staggering 90 percent of human 6 7 services contracts arrived at the Comptroller's Office after the contracts start date. This is 8 significant because providers can only be paid after 9 10 the contracts are registered. This creates a risky situation where providers are forced to start the 11 12 work and offer services without a registered contract 13 and without payment or delay the start of the 14 contract which effects the communities dependent on 15 our services. Many of the delayed contracts represent 16 renewals so it would not be realistic to suspend 17 programs and services while waiting for a contract to 18 be registered. The end result is that delays in contract registration incur costs to human services 19 20 providers. On behalf of Catholic Charities, I thank Chairperson Brannan and Council Member Lancman for 21 2.2 proposing this bill which will require cities to 23 notify providers with reason ... of the reason for late agency payments and to submit reports on these late 24 payments to the Mayor, Mayor's Office for Contract 25

1

2 Services. While this is only one step in resolving the issue, issue of delayed registration and its 3 impact on providers, it is an important mechanism to 4 5 highlight how many contracts are registered late. 6 Although Accelerator in its first phase has helped to 7 reduce the paperwork burden and consequent delays in the procurement process, more needs to be done to fix 8 the systemic issues in the increase in late 9 10 registrations. Delays in contract registration or in processing contract amendments may mean that 11 12 providers are unable to spend down all of the funding 13 awarded on a contract. For example, if we were to 14 delay in starting a contract until it is registered 15 the program would start late so we would not be able 16 to spend a full years' worth of program funds. Or if 17 a contract amendment is processed late, we would not 18 be able to modify our budget to spend funds where needed. These delays incur costs to providers and 19 20 also negatively impact the communities we serve. Additionally, as we've mentioned earlier not all 21 2.2 contracts flow through Accelerator such as 23 discretionary contracts. The specific challenges that discretionary grants face cannot be overlooked. We 24 encourage the Committee to consider ways to reduce 25

1

2 delays in the contract... discretionary contracting 3 process to improve prompt payment to contractors. For one of our agencies lags in contract registration are 4 of serious concern. Currently Catholic Charities 5 Community Services is awaiting registration of 22 6 7 contracts with 1.06 million in outstanding claims. During the last six months this agency has already 8 filed over 200 reports to the New York City agencies 9 and is waiting for an additional 94 reports to be 10 submitted once, once the contracts are registered. We 11 12 still have a way to go, we encourage greater 13 uniformity and transparency in the contract 14 procurement process and increased capacity by city 15 agencies to track contracts as they move through the 16 registration process. We appreciate the City 17 Council's support of the work that human services 18 providers do and this demonstration of support through the proposed bill to ensure prompt payment. 19 20 At the same time, we believe the city should tackle the issues in contract delays because it impacts not 21 2.2 only the stability of the human services sector but 23 also the assurance that providers can continue to deliver vital services for the benefit of all New 24 Yorkers. We look forward to working with the city to 25

1

2 improve the procurement process. Thank you again for 3 providing me with this opportunity to testify and for 4 your partnership on all issues impacting our 5 community.

CARLYN COWEN: Good afternoon everyone, 6 7 thank you very much Chair Brannan, Council Member Yeger and the Committee Members for your leadership 8 on these procurement issues. My name is Carlyn Cowen, 9 pronouns she and they and I am the Chief Policy and 10 11 Public Affairs Officer at the Chinese American 12 Planning Council. CPC is a settlement house that runs 13 a variety of social services serving Asian American, 14 low income, and immigrant community members over 15 60,000 people each year throughout all five boroughs. 16 We appreciate the opportunity to testify on this 17 issue as it is something that impacts our 18 organization and therefore our community members greatly. So, if we think about all of the chronic 19 20 underfunding in the human services sector everything 21 from salaries, program rates, indirect and OTPS as some kind of horrible ice cream sundae then late 2.2 23 payments are the cherry on top of that and what I mean by that is that late payments are on top of late 24 registrations of contracts doubling down on the idea 25

1

2 of lateness. So, as CPC we are waiting on almost all 3 of our FY '19 contracts to be registered and or paid including a number of our FY '18 contracts and one FY 4 5 '17 contract. Programs like SYEP, our UPK programs and others cannot wait to be started which means that 6 7 we are delivering services, paying staff, serving community members without knowing when, hopefully if 8 we're going to get paid for them. This means that for 9 an organization like CPC that is over 50 percent 10 funded by city contracts and discretionary dollars we 11 12 are currently floating the city over two million dollars and can be doing it more and that's happening 13 14 at pretty much any given time. Floating the city on 15 late payments is essentially like being a nonprofit 16 lender to the city in order to do work for the city. 17 This means that we are without knowing when payments 18 are going to arrive, delivering services, paying staff and often waiting on those payments to be able 19 20 to do those things and then scrambling at the end of the year to spend down discretionary dollars. For 21 2.2 example, one of our program sites recently was 23 without heat, plumbing and hot water for over three weeks and cash flow was the primary reason we had to 24 25 wait that long to make those repairs. This also means

1

2 that staff are spending a lot of time running around trying to chase down payments and registrations. MOCS 3 talked earlier about six days between invoice and 4 payment but that hides the amount of time that 5 multiple staff had to spend kicking that invoice back 6 7 and forth to get it to the point that it is finally accepted. We also end up having to take out a line of 8 credit in order to float a lot of these payments and 9 while the city doesn't have to necessarily pay us 10 interest, we certainly have to pay our banks 11 12 interest. Last year we spent over 100,000 dollars 13 that we will not recoup paying interest on late 14 payments on our contracts which for context could 15 have been adult literacy programs for 111 adults, 16 could have been a full year of after school programs 17 for 33 young people or it could have been over 18 83,000... 8,300 culturally appropriate meals for our homebound seniors. So, this bill is a great start to 19 20 a much broader issue and we're grateful for your leadership on making it happen. 21 2.2 MARK HURWITZ: Good afternoon, I'm Mark 23 Hurwitz, I'm the Chief Operating Officer at Urban Pathways. Its getting cold outside, there are 24 25 homeless people on the streets, what we do is we help

1

2 those homeless people get off the streets through 3 street outreach. We provide an immediate place for them to go that's safe, called a safe haven and we 4 operate three of those under contract with the city 5 6 and then we operate over 600 beds of supportive 7 housing, so people can have homes and they can achieve their goals and their dreams and we're very 8 successful at doing that. I cane here intending to 9 support the bill, I'm afraid I have to say I'm not 10 sure if the bill solves the problem so I'm here to 11 12 support an even better bill. As far as whether the 13 problem is late payments or late contracts, I believe 14 for us the problem is late contracts, late payments 15 are not really that bad, they're bad but they're not 16 bad enough for this level of, of anger and, and 17 frustration in the nonprofit community for us. It 18 takes about ten days for an invoice to get approved and about seven to get paid, we would love for that 19 20 to be faster but by far, by far the biggest problem is late contracts. When I read the bill, I thought 21 2.2 that it actually covered late contracts, but I heard 23 the city say it doesn't so I think the bill should be very clear by either being redrafted or making it 24 25 clear in the interpretation of the, the bill that

1

2 late payments means late contracts. I think the second issue is what would solve the problem of late 3 4 contracts and I think shining a light on late 5 payments... sorry, late contracts is part of it but honestly, I think that's already been done so I'm 6 7 actually in favor of a stronger bill. We get in some of our contracts there are liquidated damages, you 8 know if we don't do what we agreed to do, I think by 9 10 causing financial pain to the agencies that are responsible that will get the attention they need. I 11 12 want to be clear and there's some, you know bad 13 stories in my testimony about how long it took, I 14 don't think there's a lot of bad people out there who 15 need to be fired from their jobs, I think there's a 16 poor organization, I think passport would help, I 17 think the, the solution lies higher up in the agency 18 in terms of management and systems. The last point I want to make has to do with not only the financial 19 20 pain that it causes us but the administrative pain. So, my job is really to focus on helping those 21 2.2 homeless people out on the streets, I have a very 23 abled Executive Project Manager who has both the social work degree and the administration degree, I 24 tasked her with tracking down these contracts, we 25

2 know ... we're, we're learning about the agencies that 3 have four different layers that have a program layer, a contract layer separate from ACCO, they have an 4 ACCO and they have a finance layer, we're working up 5 the chain of command in there. I have to get 6 7 personally involved in mediating disputes between different departments in agencies because they're not 8 sure who's desk its on and, and ultimately again its 9 not about bad people but it's about poor processes 10 11 and I think it's a drain on nonprofit executives who 12 should be focused on the service to be spending time 13 figuring out the, the source of the problem and I 14 think that a, a strong bill that shines not only a 15 light on it but that forces a solution faster than 16 the passport system would be very welcome. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: We have another 18 hearing coming in here so I'm going to have to keep everyone to two... [cross-talk] 19 20 JESSE LAYMAN: Alright... [cross-talk] 21 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: ...minutes, yeah. 2.2 JESSE LAYMAN: I'll be quite... I'll be 23 quite ... no problem. I'm Jesse Layman, I'm the Director of Policy at the Employment and Training Coalition. 24 Thank you Council Member Brannan and Council Member 25

1

2 Yeger and the Council Members that were here before for, for pulling together this hearing and for you, 3 your legislation which we support. I, I'll try not to 4 restate too much of what has been stated before 5 including by many of the member organizations of the 6 7 Employment and Training Coalition we have 150 members across the city that provide a range of services to 8 help New Yorkers build skills and get jobs and move 9 along in their careers including a few of the member 10 organizations here at the table with us, I think 11 12 maybe not Urban Pathways but I think certainly CPC 13 and Catholic Charities and Good Shepherd. I want to 14 make two points just ... that may not have been covered 15 as much. So, one is about the ultimate cost to the 16 city which is that particularly, I mean certainly 17 when we think about employment and training programs 18 underfunding them and then late paying those contracts ultimately causes the city to have to pay 19 20 more. These are investments in the... in the people of New York and the residents of our city, helping them 21 2.2 get on track in their careers can help them avoid 23 unstable housing, can help them avoid needing nutrition assistance, can help them avoid all sorts 24 of public assistance that they might otherwise need 25

1

2 in the future or currently if they're already in need 3 of those services. Not funding these contracts enough and then paying them late only causes ultimately the 4 city to have more of a burden of how to meet the 5 needs of our... of our residents and, and that we need 6 to deal with that and it's certainly not effective to 7 do it the they're doing. The other thing is that I, I 8 want to point out the opportunity cost to the 9 nonprofit community which is that one of the 10 advantages of the structure of having the city 11 12 contract out this work is that ideally if the city 13 were fully funding it and paying on time then 14 nonprofits could go to the philanthropic community 15 for dollars to innovate to come up with new programs 16 and new ways of serving people and serving people 17 beyond what the public dollars could provide that's 18 really the idea here but because so many of our organizations are having to fight for the money that 19 20 they are owed by the city they are losing out on time and opportunity to raise those philanthropic dollars 21 2.2 and so there's an opportunity cost missed there as 23 well. And I... and I just want to be super clear about how deep this problem cuts, I'll finally... just... I 24 want to echo what Kevin Douglas from United 25

2	Neighborhood Houses said which is that umbrella
3	organizations like ours would rather be working with
4	the city leaders to figure out what is the absolute
5	need and what needs to be done to meet that need and
6	not having to advocate for paying us the money that
7	we are owed and making sure that contracts are paid
8	on time but that's what we hear from our members that
9	we need to talk about too. So, it's a it's a real
10	frustration and, and thank you for trying to work on
11	this.
12	[off mic dialogue]
13	ANTHONY EDWARDS: In the interest of time
14	I will make it very quickly. Good afternoon Chairman.
15	My name is Anthony Edwards, I'm the Chief Financial
16	Officer for Sheltering Arms, Children and Family
17	Services. We provide services to over 20,000 children
18	and families every year. We're located in Brooklyn,
19	Bronx, Manhattan and Queens. I'm going to say every
20	think that my colleagues stated is a true fact, I
21	want to touch on a couple of things. One, MOCS is
22	correct there is a bridge loan however, the bridge
23	loan only covers basic necessities for the program,
24	which is salaries, rent and utilities, it does not
25	cover overhead or any costs that you may use for a

1

2 consultant to do that program. So, that is ... those 3 dollars have to be funded by the agency providing that program. In FY '18, we had approximately ten 4 contracts that were registered late and these were 5 6 from DYCD programs. To cover those late contracts we 7 do a line of credit, which cost us approximately 20,000 dollars, those dollars again and being the 8 Chief Financial Officer I'm drawing down where I 9 could be using those dollars elsewhere In 2018, ACS 10 gave us enhancement funds, those contractors 11 12 registered with just three months before the end of 13 the fiscal year, which meant we could not spend all 14 of those dollars, we left approximately 800,000 on 15 the table that could have been spent for services. In 16 2019, our Early Learn contract for 18 million was registered two months late that means that I'm 17 18 upfronting those dollars and again I can't get a... the fund for the city loan until its registered but I 19 20 have to make payroll, November is a month of three payroll periods and I have to figure out how and 21 where I can stretch a dollar to make sure that I can 2.2 23 cover salaries for the 1,200 staff that we have. I want to thank you for giving me this opportunity to 24 express how this hurts our nonprofits. Thank you. 25

2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you guys.
3	Okay, our, our final panel Beth Goldman; Donald
4	Ranshte; Caroline Iosso; Kristina Reintamm… or
5	Kristina Reintamm; Peter Rescigno and Felice Farber.
6	Okay, whenever you're ready, do you want to go right
7	to left, left to right, whatever you want.
8	FELICE FARBER: Sure, thank you. I'm
9	Felice Farber, I thank you for the opportunity to
10	comment today, I'm the Senior Director of Policy and
11	External Affairs at the General Contractors
12	Association of New York. We represent the heavy civil
13	construction industry in New York City. Our members
14	build the roads, bridges, transit and water systems,
15	parks, schools, building foundations. We support 1067
16	and we applaud the Council's efforts to bring
17	daylight and transparency to the payment process. We
18	think MOCS is doing a great job in trying to work
19	with passport and we're looking forward to the future
20	phases of it but the critical aspect to track and I
21	think some what's come out in a lot of the comments
22	today, is its not once for us, its payments, its not
23	once the payment has been approved for processing
24	mostly that happens within 30 days and we do get
25	interest after 30 days, it's the 60 to 110 days that
l	

1

2	it takes to approve that invoice as it goes back and
3	forth, it sits on someone's desk, it has to be
4	manually data entered, you and it's that delay
5	that's not tracked and its really critical to be
6	tracked to see where the… where the issues are that
7	hold up payment and what can be done to improve the
8	process and that's the critical issue and I think
9	that came up earlier in talking about HHS and the six
10	days, it's the time period to get that invoice
11	approved that really needs some daylight so we can
12	figure out where the delays are and what you can do
13	as a process improvement to change it and having this
14	bill until, until passport is fully online will help
15	bring some transparency to what's going on. Thank
16	you.
17	BETH GOLDMAN: Good, good afternoon
18	actually. My name is Beth Goldman, I'm the President
19	of the New York Legal Assistance Group, we provide
20	free civil, legal services to New Yorkers in need and
21	serve more than 80,000 people a year. You've heard
22	from some very eloquent people this morning who I, I
23	agree with probably 98 percent of what you've heard
24	so I really don't want to reiterate all of that. We
25	have in our written testimony some of our own

1

2 statistics about what's happened with our contracts 3 over the years. I think it's really important what was said earlier that the problem is getting worse 4 not better and the ... and though again agree with 5 everybody that what MOCS is doing is going to help 6 7 the situation. It is getting worse and that I think goes to the issue of accountability which has been 8 talked about. There was a time when there was more 9 accountability on these things and if nobody is 10 watching, if nobody is reporting in then it can take 11 12 as long as it takes and I think part of the problem 13 is at individual agencies but its also the fact that there are multiple agencies looking at this and if 14 15 nobody, nobody can tell us where it is, what ... where 16 it is within the agency or what other agency is 17 looking at this so our proposed solution, which 18 others have mention, is a 60 day deadline for all of it to happen so that it gets to the Comptroller 19 20 within a reasonable period of time and as we see when there's a deadline the Comptroller gets done what the 21 2.2 Comptroller needs to do within the time frame 95 23 percent of the time. We strongly believe that if there was a deadline imposed on the process, you've 24 got 60 days to do everything that needs to happen 25

1

that will... that will make a huge difference and then 2 there's a penalty and its interest, its payment of 3 some kind. The other thing I want to mention and I, I 4 think that its, its worth at least starting to think 5 about whether there are ways to change the charter to 6 7 allow advances at least on renewals, it's something the state does, pre... they don't have a registration 8 process but they have an execution process and if 9 it's a renewal and its not fully executed there is an 10 opportunity to be paid in advance and then it can be 11 12 recouped down the road but putting us in the situation where the 88 billion dollar city we have to 13 14 front money to the city from our budgets really 15 doesn't make sense and the last thing I'd say in 16 terms of opportunity cost for us is going out and 17 fundraising which we all have to do and it's a lot of 18 work, very hard to fundraise to say pay our interest payments on our line of credit, nobody is interested 19 in that. Thank you. 20 Hi, good afternoon. 21 CAROLINE IOSSO: 2.2 Thank you, Chairperson Brannan, and to the rest of

23 the Committee for holding this hearing and for the 24 opportunity to testify. My name is Caroline Iosso and 25 I'm the Director of Community and Government Affairs

1

2 at Opportunities for a Better Tomorrow or OBT. We are 3 one of New York City's largest providers of workforce development and education services for opportunity 4 youth ages 17 to 24 and adults who are disconnected 5 from education and employment. We serve over 4,000 6 7 youth and adults every year out of our six sites in Brooklyn and Queens and 69 percent of our funding is 8 from government contracts. I think my colleagues this 9 morning and afternoon have done an excellent job 10 laying out all these issues, so I won't go through 11 12 all of them, they're all in our written testimony 13 that we've submitted but I did want to offer up some 14 information from OBT's experience. We rely on 15 city 15 contracts every year and as of today we are still 16 waiting on three to four of our fiscal year '19 17 contracts to be approved and registered and typically 18 our waiting time is between three and 12 months from the start date of our contracts until they're 19 20 registered and complete. In fiscal year '18 this 21 costed us 5,000 dollars in interest which is truly 2.2 not a drop in the bucket for us, that's ... you know 23 critical funding that we use for our programming and we've also been forced to pay late fees on certain 24 bills and our reputation has suffered as a... as an 25

2	organization that pays their bills on time and that
3	can be trusted that has suffered as well. And there
4	have been times that we've not been able to hire
5	staff in a timely fashion and get programs going,
6	we've not been able to buy program related supplies
7	because the contracts haven't come through and that
8	has really affected our ability to serve out of
9	school and out of work young people. Thank you so
10	much for this opportunity to testify, we
11	wholeheartedly support Intro 1067 and thank you to
12	Council Members Brannan and Lancman for introducing
13	this and thank you to the Committee.
14	DONALD RANSHTE: Good afternoon Mr.
15	Chair. Donald Ranshte, Senior Vice President of
16	Building Trades Employers' Association. We represent
	Building frades Emproyers Association. We represent
17	26 trade associations in the construction industry,
17 18	
	26 trade associations in the construction industry,
18	26 trade associations in the construction industry, 1,200 unionized construction contractors. We do about
18 19	26 trade associations in the construction industry, 1,200 unionized construction contractors. We do about 12 billion dollars, billion with a B, in capital work
18 19 20	26 trade associations in the construction industry, 1,200 unionized construction contractors. We do about 12 billion dollars, billion with a B, in capital work in the city right now and what we find is and, and we
18 19 20 21	26 trade associations in the construction industry, 1,200 unionized construction contractors. We do about 12 billion dollars, billion with a B, in capital work in the city right now and what we find is and, and we very wholeheartedly support the bill is because the
18 19 20 21 22	26 trade associations in the construction industry, 1,200 unionized construction contractors. We do about 12 billion dollars, billion with a B, in capital work in the city right now and what we find is and, and we very wholeheartedly support the bill is because the transparency is really the key. People have talked
18 19 20 21 22 23	26 trade associations in the construction industry, 1,200 unionized construction contractors. We do about 12 billion dollars, billion with a B, in capital work in the city right now and what we find is and, and we very wholeheartedly support the bill is because the transparency is really the key. People have talked very, very passionately this morning about what slow

1

2 although the problem is the same. We sit on a DDC 3 policy board that was very proud to announce that they had gotten their payments processing down to 4 5 about 303 days which was a ... in their ... and, and in their defense it was about a ten percent improvement 6 7 over the 340 days that it had taken prior to the, the last reporting but with the transparency comes the 8 decision for a profitable contractor to make a 9 business decision as to whether or not they would 10 deal with an agency that wants to take 300 days to 11 12 make a payment and they make that decision going ... 13 knowing that they... that's what they're going to have 14 to do. More ... the transparency will bring more 15 contractors into the bidding pool; more competition 16 lowers prices generally so the city not only will 17 have more quality contractors coming in they'll have 18 more informed business community, but they'll also be the beneficiary of lower prices in the long run 19 20 should more qualified contractors come into that bidding pool. One other thing that is tangential to 21 this a lot of the work or most of the work that's 2.2 23 being done in the city right now is being done under project labor agreement and small local businesses so 24 not giant contractors that are, are nationally ... or 25

2	it's nationally owned but small New York City
3	businesses and MWBEs come into that project labor
4	agreement and they sign to, to be on a capital
5	project that's funded by the government because they
6	know they will get paid but they don't understand
7	that sometimes it how long it will take and all of
8	the consequences that come along with that and like
9	everyone has mentioned sometimes faced with the
10	decision between finishing the job and going
11	bankrupt. Thank you for your time.
12	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.
13	KRISTINA REINTAMM: Hi, thank you for
14	your leadership on this. My name is Kristina
15	Reintamm, I'm with Brooklyn Community Services. We're
16	a large social services provider in Brooklyn, we
17	serve 20,000 people and for us this issue is like top
18	of mind and we are a pretty big player, so I can only
19	imagine for somebody like a small UPK provider. This
20	is the type of thing that really causes often
21	grassroots organizations to go under which is… its
22	really, really upsetting truly. I know we're almost
23	out of time, so I'll just give one quick example. The
24	CFO tells me that last year we paid 160,000 dollars
25	in interest due to delays in city contracts, that
I	

2	could have been a whole new after school program for
3	us. So, needless to see we, we, we support the bill
4	and actually the 60-day deadline sounds super
5	reasonable. As I, I recently got a new role, I was so
6	excited only to like to find out that I'm now the
7	apologizer and chief, that's what I do like I
8	apologize to vendors for a big portion of my day and
9	that's, that's also really sad. So, thank you… thank
10	you my hope is that it'll go through.
11	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.
12	PETER RESCIGNO: Last but not least.
13	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: No pressure.
14	PETER RESCIGNO: Thank you very much for
15	your leadership on this issue as well. My name is
16	Peter Rescigno, I'm with the New York Electrical
17	Contractors Association, I represent 250 unionized
18	electrical contractors who did more than 24 million-
19	
	man hours last year in the city of New York. I would
20	man hours last year in the city of New York. I would say that the number one issue we fight for at the
20 21	
	say that the number one issue we fight for at the
21	say that the number one issue we fight for at the city and at the state level is prompt payments for
21 22	say that the number one issue we fight for at the city and at the state level is prompt payments for work completed. This bill excuse me, this bill moves
21 22 23	say that the number one issue we fight for at the city and at the state level is prompt payments for work completed. This bill excuse me, this bill moves our you know moves us closer to achieving that and

1	COMMITTEE ON CONTRACTS
2	this bill brings is important to the process
3	communication. This bill would enabled would be
4	great for our contractors and we do support it. So, I
5	appreciate your effort on this.
6	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I know, thank you
7	so much. Thank you, guys.
8	KRISTINA REINTAMM: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay and with that
10	we are adjourned.
11	[gavel]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



December 5, 2018

Date