CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

----- X

November 26, 2018 Start: 3:17 p.m. Recess: 6:07 p.m.

HELD AT: City Council Chambers

B E F O R E: KAREN KOSLOWITZ

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Adrienne E. Adams

Robert Cornegy, Jr.
Margaret S. Chin
Vanessa L. Gibson
Corey D. Johnson
Ritchie J. Torres

Kalman Yeger Mark Gjonaj Brad Lander

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Margaret Garnett Towaki Komatsu

Kelly Grace Price

Tatiana Guden

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE CLERK: Sound check for the

Committee on Rules, Privileges, Elections, etc.

November 26, 2018, being record by Israel Martinez,

taking place approximately 3:00 p.m., City Council

Chambers. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Good afternoon and thank you for attending this hearing. My name is Karen Koslowitz and I am honored to chair this City Council Committee on Rules, Privileges, and Elections. Before we begin, I would like to introduce the Rules Committee council members, and other council members who have joined us today. I will start by introducing the Rules Committee members. First, we are very pleased to be joined by our speaker, Corey Johnson, as a member of the Rules Committee, as well as Council Member Adrienne Adams from Queens, Council Member Ritchie Torres of the Bronx, Council Member Vanessa Gibson from the Bronx, and we're joined also today by Council Members Kalman Yeger and Council Member Gjonaj. And also we're joined by Margaret Chin, and I saw Brad Lander here, Brad Lander. There are also, well, I introduce them, the council members who are not on the committee.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS would also like to acknowledge Rules Committee Counsel Elizabeth Guzman and the investigative staff members of the committee, Chuck Davis, chief compliance officer, Alisha Vaso, and Andre Johnson Brown, investigators as well as Rob Newman and Kelly Taylor. Today's hearing will address an appointment to the New York City Department of Investigation, known as DOI. Mayor Bill de Blasio has nominated Margaret M. Garnett to serve as Commissioner of the Department of Investigation. The mayor has submitted her name to the council for its advice and consent, as required by the New York City Charter. approved, Ms. Garnett, a Brooklyn resident, will serve as DOI Commissioner for an indefinite term. To get us started, I would like to call on Speaker Johnson for his opening statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good afternoon. My name is Corey Johnson and I am Speaker of the New York City Council. I want to thank you, Chair Koslowitz, for your leadership on this committee and on the important issue that we will be discussing at today's hearing, as well as all of my colleagues who are in attendance, and I see we've also been joined by Council Member Robert

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 Cornegy as well. Today the Rules Committee will 3 consider the mayor's nomination of Margaret Garnett to be the commissioner of the Department of 4 Investigation. Before I begin with my comments about 5 6 today's hearing, I want to say a few things about why 7 we are here today. Earlier this year the former head of the Department of Investigation, Mark Peters, 8 fired Anastasia Coleman shortly after he had 9 appointed her as Special Commissioner of 10 Investigation for the Department of Education. 11 12 Coleman repeatedly raised concerns that under Mr. 13 Peters DOI was unlawfully asserting control over her 14 Instead of working with Ms. Coleman to try office. to address these issue, Mr. Peters fired her. 15 16 Believing this was done in retaliation, Ms. Coleman 17 claims protection under the city's whistleblower law. 18 Our whistleblower law is vital to the functioning of city government. No one knows better the inner 19 20 workings of city government than city employees. Protecting those who raise issues of corruption or 21 2.2 other illegal activity is of paramount and obvious 23 importance. One of DOI commissioner's most important responsibilities is investigating whistleblower 24

claims and determining whether a whistleblower is

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS entitled to protection. Because our whistleblower law doesn't offer an alternate process for DOI whistleblowers, Mr. Peters appointed James McGovern as an acting deputy commissioner Investigation to independently examine Ms. Coleman's claim. October 10 Mr. McGovern issued a report, substantiating Ms. Coleman's whistleblower claims, and I read the entire 150-page McGovern report, and I have to say that I found that report very, very troubling. There is no reason to go into the details. Today's hearing is not about the report. At bottom, though, Mr. McGovern found that Mr. Peters, the man charged with protecting whistleblowers, was found to have fired a whistleblower for blowing the whistle on him. Peters publicly accepted the recommendations in the report and stated that he regretted his behavior. Shortly thereafter the mayor fired Mr. Peters. As I have said, as DOI commissioner, Mark Peters led investigations that exposed serious issue at the Administration for Children's Services and the Department of Corrections, among others. uncovered mismanagement that threatened the health and safety of New Yorkers, and corruption that might

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 have compromised the public trust. But the behavior outlined in the McGovern report could not help but 3 4 undermine confidence in his work and I understand why the mayor fired him. The council's role is now to 5 ensure that the next DOI commissioner is qualified, 6 7 competent, and willing and able to assert independence from City Hall that this role requires. 8 It goes without saying that DOI plays a critical and 9 unique role in how the city functions. Along with 10 other oversights, like the City Council, the Conflict 11 12 of Interest Board, and the district attorneys, it stands a bulwark against gross waste, abuse, fraud, 13 14 and corruption in city government. Accordingly, our 15 city charter gives the Department of Investigation 16 broad authority to conduct investigations that are in the best interests of the city. To do its job it is 17 18 imperative that DOI remain independent from the entities it is obligated to monitor, including and 19 20 especially the mayor. The DOI commissioner must not be beholden to any political figure, and she must be 21 2.2 capable of withstanding political pressure that would 23 affect the integrity of DOI's work. Ms. Garnett's public service is impressive. She currently serves 24

as executive deputy attorney general for Criminal

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 2 Justice. Before this, Ms. Garnett served as 3 assistant US attorney for the criminal division of the Southern District of New York. In this role, Ms. Garnett focused on violent and organized crime, and I 5 look forward to hearing how, if appointed, this 6 7 background would inform her work as DOI commissioner. After he was fired, Mr. Peters made some troubling 8 allegations, that the mayor's office attempted to 9 influence the release of DOI reports, and he stated 10 that there are currently several ongoing 11 12 investigations involving the mayor. I have no idea if this is true. I look forward to discussing with 13 Ms. Garnett how she would deal with pressure from 14 15 City Hall to influence investigations and how she 16 would approach ongoing matters involving the mayor. 17 It goes without saying that her responses to these and other questions will be critical in this advice 18 and consent process. In closing, I want to say that 19 20 DOI's crucial role in city government demands that the council carefully scrutinize this nomination 21 2.2 before approving it. That said, my colleagues and I 23 are committed to a strong and independent Department of Investigation. I want to thank Ms. Garnett for 24

appearing before us today. I would also like to

1

4

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 9
thank everyone in attendance for joining us and for
your forthcoming contributions to this critical
conversation. Thank you, Madam Chair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you. want to recognize Council Member Robert Cornegy, Council Member Rafael Espinal, and Council Member Keith Powers. The commissioner of DOI serves as DOI head. Pursuant to the charter chapter 2 and 31, the mayor appoints the commissioner upon the advice and consent of the council. Before taking a vote the council holds a public hearing. The DOI commissioner is authorized and empowered to conduct any study or investigation which in the judgment of the consumer are in the best interests of the city. include, but are not limited to, investigations concerning the affairs, functions, accounts, methods, personnel, and effectiveness of the city agencies over which DOI has jurisdiction. The DOI commissioner also has a duty to conduct investigations demanded by the mayor or the council. The Conflicts of Interest board also has the power to direct DOI to conduct investigations concerning matters relating to the COIB's responsibility under chapter 68 of the charter. Upon require, the DOI

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS commissioner must investigate any such council and COIB investigation demand within a reasonable time. DOI has jurisdiction over any agency, officer, or employee of the city, any person or entity doing business with the city and any person or entity paid or receiving month emanating from city coffers. also has a complaint bureau which receives complaints from the public. The DOI commissioner is also responsible for approving the appointments of all New York City agency inspector generals and conveys the associated standards of conduct for all appointed inspector generals in order to ensure uniformity of their activities. The DOI commissioner monitors and evaluates the activities of the IGs. The IGs report directly to the DOI commissioner. The commissioner is required to be a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of New York and must have at least five years of law enforcement experience. Currently, the annual salary for the DOI commissioner is \$220,845. I want to welcome Ms. Garnett. Would you please raise your right hand and be sworn in.

MARGARET GARNETT: Good afternoon.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm to 3 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, I do.

in the testimony you are about to give?

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Would you like to make a statement?

MARGARET GARNETT: Sure. Thank you, Speaker Johnson, Chair Koslowitz, and Chair Torres. My name is Margaret Garnett and I am honored to be here before you today as the mayor's nominee for Commissioner of the Department of Investigation. would also like to thank the members of the Rules Committee, the Oversight and Investigations Committee, and other members of the council for allowing me here to discuss this vital role and my qualifications for it. I grew up in a family deeply committed to the idea of public service and for the past thirteen years I have tried to honor that legacy as a federal and state prosecutor. I am proud of my reputation for independence, integrity, fairness, and professional excellence, and if I am confirmed by this council I look forward to bringing those qualities to my work as commissioner of DOI. As a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS prosecutor, I am honored to be considered to be the next commissioner of DOI, and as a New Yorker I am thrilled to have the chance to serve the city I love in a new way. I have lived in New York City nearly all of my adult life and I am raising my family here. The Department of Investigation plays such a important role in the city and can be a force for tremendous positive change for all New Yorkers. its role as criminal investigator DOI helps to ensure that New Yorkers have the honest government they deserve, by rooting out wrong-doers who abuse the public's trust and unfairly stain the work of the vast majority of dedicated and honest city employees. In its oversight role DOI can play a vital part in improving the work of every part of city government, by shining a light on needed systemic changes, identifying waste and mismanagement, and giving this council the administration and the public the information required to push for reforms. Finally, it is vitally important to the integrity of DOI that it be independent from the rest of city government, and also be perceived by the public as independent. I believe that my career up to this point has

prepared me well to meet all of these challenges.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

feel lucky to have been trained as a prosecutor at the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York under the leadership of several outstanding United States attorneys. I am confident that the values I learned there will serve me well as DOI commissioner, to be guided only by what is in the public interest with total fidelity to the facts and the law, to do the right thing in the right way for the right reasons every day. In my work as an assistant United States attorney I investigated prosecuted a wide variety of cases, including massive tax fraud, embezzlement, major narcotics cases, home invasion robbery crews, and murders. I became the chief of the Violent and Organized Crime Unit, which I supervised for four years. During that time, the unit charged hundreds of violent criminals endangering the lives of New Yorkers and solved dozens of murders, including cold case murders where victims' families had been waiting for years or even decades for answers. I also become involved in several exhortation efforts in which information learned from our investigations allowed us to identify and clear a number of people wrongly

convicted of murder in other jurisdictions. I

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS subsequently was named a deputy chief of Criminal Appeals and then chief of Appeals for the criminal division. In that role I supervised the entire criminal appellate docket of the office before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, as well as serving as a legal advisor to the office as a whole, including unit chiefs and executive staff. I was involved in advising on every category of case the office prosecutes, including public corruption, securities fraud, violent crime, organized crime, major fraud schemes, money laundering, and terrorism. For the last year I have served as the executive deputy attorney general for Criminal Justice at the New York State Attorney General's office. In that role I run the criminal division of the AG's office and supervise approximately 150 prosecutors, 130 police investigators, and 150 other staff, including forensic auditors, analysts, data scientists, and clerical staff. The Criminal Division investigates and prosecutes a variety of criminal cases statewide, including public corruption, organized crime, narcotics and firearms trafficking, Medicaid fraud, patient abuse and neglect, and a wide range of financial crimes, including securities fraud, real

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS estate fraud schemes, and insurance fraud. supervise the special investigations and prosecutions unit, which was created by the governor three years ago to investigate any incident in New York State where unarmed civilians are killed by police officers or die in police custody. Finally, I advise the attorney general and the civil divisions of the office on issues that relate to the criminal justice system or law enforcement. I have benefitted enormously over the last year from the mentorship and support of Attorney General Barbara Underwood and in particular the way she has led the office these last six months. In a time of upheaval and uncertainty she has kept the focus of the entire office on the incredibly important work we are doing on behalf of all New Yorkers and inspired us all with her brilliance, kindness, and enthusiastic dedication to the public interest. For me personally she has been a tremendous example of principled and steady leadership. I believe that I have the professional experience and personal qualities to lead DOI effectively in its vital and important work in the city. I have both conducted and supervised many

complex criminal investigations, exercising

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 independent judgment and reaching fair and just results under sometimes intense public scrutiny and 3 criticism. I know how to produce superb written work 4 5 that is both analytically sound and accessible to a 6 variety of readers. I have built strong professional 7 relationships with key law enforcement partners, including the five city district attorneys' offices, 8 the two US attorneys' offices, as well as the NYPD 9 and other federal and state agencies. 10 If I am confirmed, I will work to build those same quality 11 12 professional relationships with the heads of city agencies and with this council in service of DOI's 13 14 oversight mission. Finally, I am an experienced 15 manager of people with a proven ability to create and 16 model a culture of collaboration, team work, integrity, and the highest professional standards. 17 18 hope to earn your confidence and support today, and then go on to earn the confidence and support of the 19 20 professional staff at DOI and the confidence and support of the public as we continue to work on their 21 2.2 behalf. I'm happy to answer any questions the 23 council has for me today.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: I would like to call on the speaker.

24

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Ms. Garnett, for your testimony and for being here today. I know this all happened pretty quickly. Can you actually just talk a little about when you got the phone call and how you got the outreach related to being considered for this appointment, and if you had any pre-existing relationship with the mayor, any of the deputy mayors, the Corporation Counselor, anyone within the administration, before you got that phone call.

about the possibility that I might be appointed as
DOI commissioner two weeks ago, exactly two weeks
from today, the Monday of the Veterans' Day holiday
weekend. I was told that afternoon by a colleague of
mine that he had given my phone number to Joanie
Clutter, who is the mayor's appointments counsel, and
that he thought she would call me and thought it
might be about DOI commissioner. I spoke to Ms.
Clutter that night on the telephone for about 30 to
45 minutes and then over the course of that week I
had an interview with Ms. Clutter and with First
Deputy Mayor Dean Fuleihan. I first spoke to the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 week for a brief conversation. That was the first 3 time I had ever spoken to the mayor in my life, and I met him in person on the Thursday of that week at 4 Gracie Mansion. SPEAKER JOHNSON: And had you had any 6 7 previous relationship with the mayor or any officials in the administration? 8 9 MARGARET GARNETT: No. The only person I know in the administration is Liz Glazer, who runs 10 the mayor's Office of Criminal Justice Policy. I 11 12 know her professionally. We used to have the same 13 job. 14 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Ms. Garnett, did you 15 read the McGovern report? 16 MARGARET GARNETT: Yes. 17 SPEAKER JOHNSON: And what did you think of it? 18 MARGARET GARNETT: I found it pretty 19 20 shocking. 21 SPEAKER JOHNSON: What was shocking about 2.2 it. MARGARET GARNETT: Well, I think the 23 24 findings and conclusions in the McGovern report were

very troubling, particularly even more so in a law

enforcement agency. I think when you run an agency that's charged with investigating other people, with taking whistleblower complaints, with holding other parts of city government to a high standard of integrity that the findings there relating to abuse of the commissioner's authority, in particular disregarding or dismissing concerns raised by professional staff that actions might not be lawful, being abusive or threatening or intimidating to your own staff, those things are very troubling.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And did you agree with Mr. McGovern's conclusion in that report, recommendations that he made as part of that report?

MARGARET GARNETT: The recommendations seemed to me to be sound and grow out of the findings of the report.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: The report did not call for Mr. Peters to be fired. Do you think that the mayor's decision to fire him was an appropriate decision?

MARGARET GARNETT: I think the conclusions of the report provide a basis for termination. If I engaged in that conduct I would

2.1

2.2

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 20 expect to be terminated. I think beyond that that's a personnel decision by the mayor.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: When do you think a DOI commissioner should be fired? What are the appropriate grounds for dismissal of a DOI commissioner?

MARGARET GARNETT: I would hesitate to generate an exhaustive list. I think certainly if a commissioner were found to have engaged in any unlawful conduct, embezzlement, misuse of city resources city, unethical conduct that caused, could cause someone to question their moral authority to lead the agency and have it function in its designed role in the city. So I think there's a range of potential conduct from criminal to just gross mismanagement that could provide cause for termination.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And what would you do if a DOI employee raised concerns about the legality of your actions as commissioner? If that happened what steps would you take?

MARGARET GARNETT: Well, I think the first step would be to hear that person out and make sure that they felt heard, that there was a culture

in which staff felt free to challenge me, to raise concerns, that actions we were considering might not be lawful or appropriate. So some of that is a culture issue. And then I think if those concerns are raised then there's a number of possible next steps, including involving other staff, the general counsel, potentially seeking outside advice from Corporation Counselor or the Conflicts of Interest Board, depending on what the nature of the concern

2.2

was.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: How would you handle a whistleblower claim from a DOI employee generally if it was brought forward?

MARGARET GARNETT: Well, I think the first step would be to consider in consultation with other executive staff whether it was appropriate for me or other staff to be involved in adjudicating that. I could imagine whistleblower complaints in which I would have, that came from inside the agency but didn't involve me personally, in which I would be able to handle that the same as we would handle a whistleblower complaint from another agency.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: What if it did involve you personally?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: If it did involvement me personally I would have to be recused from handling it and I think the steps after that would depend on the circumstances, whether there was someone else, a senior-enough staff person within DOI who could handle it, whether we should involve the Corporation Counsel or, as was the case here, hire independent outside counsel to respond.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: The McGovern report also raised a broader question, which is who watches the watchman. Do you think that there are any structural changes that are needed so that we can have confidence that any abuses of power by DOI would not go unchecked?

MARGARET GARNETT: I don't think I have any particular structural changes in mind. I think the question of how prosecutors and investigators are held in check is a complex one. It's very important, I think as we've seen in the news, that prosecutors and law enforcement investigators be independent from political influence or political control, partisan concerns, and in order for that independence to be effective that often means limited oversight by elected officials and other bodies. I think that

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 23

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

having a strong culture of integrity, a strong leadership from the top of fidelity to the law and the facts is the minimum foundation. That's the absolute bedrock of ensuring that people charged with investigating others are policing themselves as well.

So there are several

SPEAKER JOHNSON:

highly charged, supposedly, ongoing DOI investigations associated with the current administration. Mr. Peters said that. He has suggested that his firing was because of his contentious relationship with City Hall and pursued investigations that could be embarrassing to the administration. What is your plan for these potential investigations and other investigations that are currently under way? Before you answer that question about the investigations that he disclosed, is it appropriate for him to publicly disclose those investigations? I mean, typically investigations are not disclosed until there is some type of conclusion, or that the end of the investigation, it's found that they have merit and that it's towards the end of the investigation. Should it have been disclosed that there are current investigations, and regardless of that answer what is your plan if there are such

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 2

2 investigations underway on how to handle those
3 investigations should you be DOI commissioner?

2.2

with the premise that investigations should not be disclosed to the public. They shouldn't be leaked to the press. They shouldn't be disclosed to the public until the professional investigators charged with carrying that out are ready to make final determinations. There are many reasons for that, which I can elaborate on if you would like me to.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes, please.

MARGARET GARNETT: Some of it is to protect the integrity of the investigation. Often investigations involve informants or whistleblowers. You might have undercover operations underway and jeopardizing the integrity of the information you're gathering and potentially the safety or position of people who are helping you could be put at risk. The, I think it's also important that sometimes matters are investigated and a conclusion is reached either that no wrongdoing occurred or that the wrongdoing that occurred should be dealt with in some way short of criminal prosecution. When you're a criminal investigator you have tremendous power over

questions, and then I, of course, want to hand it

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 over to the chair of our Oversight Investigations Committee, Chair Torres. Has anyone at City Hall or 3 during this process asked whether were you appointed 4 commissioner and confirmed you would provide them 5 with information about ongoing investigations? 6 7 MARGARET GARNETT: No, and if they had I would have withdrawn my name from the [inaudible] 8 SPEAKER JOHNSON: You would have 9 withdrawn your name if you were asked that question? 10 11 MARGARET GARNETT: Yes. 12 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Were you asked to 13 provide any assurances in what investigations you 14 would continue or start? 15 MARGARET GARNETT: No. 16 SPEAKER JOHNSON: What actions would you 17 take if you were ordered by someone in the 18 administration to cease an investigation or attempted to influence its trajectory or outcome? 19 MARGARET GARNETT: Well, the first thing 20 I would do is hang up the phone. Um, I don't mean to 21 2.2 be flip, I'm sorry. Look, I think that it is 23 important when you are doing important work that affects the city that you listen to stakeholders and 24

people who have a valuable perspective on how city

government works. That could include members of the administration the heads of those agencies, members of this council and their staff, but what's vitally important for DOI's work and its ability to do that work is that the ultimate decision be driven by the independent professional judgment of the DOI commissioner with the advice of the career staff at DOI. And if I'm confirmed as DOI commissioner that will be the sole basis on which I make decisions about the outcome of investigations.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: What if you were asked to withhold a report or to change conclusions and recommendations that either you or the professional staff at DOI wanted to include in a report that was handled by the agency. What would you do?

MARGARET GARNETT: I would reject that request.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Well, your mandate is to uncover misconduct in city government. You'll work closely with the very agencies and personnel you are tasked with overseeing. That's part of the tension involved with being Commissioner of the Department of Investigation. How would you balance working closely with agency personnel while staying

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: So I think that is an important balance. I think that, I don't think that there is a necessary conflict between having professional relationships with the heads of city agencies or with this council or with the administration and also being able to evaluate their actions independently. I think, um, and some of the nature of those communications and relationships I would expect to vary considerably between a criminal investigation and what I would broadly call an oversight investigation. In a criminal investigation it may well be that there's no consultation or conversation with anyone outside DOI that's appropriate at any time until charges are filed. the oversight role, I think the goal of that ultimately, of that work, is to improve functioning of city government. Sometimes accomplishing that goal means calling people to access for gross mismanagement or waste. But I think that can also, even in those circumstances where a report might be extremely critical that the ultimate goal is to have the agency adopt the recommendations to change, to

improve the way that it functions, and the way that it serves New Yorkers. And I think that given that that's the goal, that having professional relationships that are built on mutual respect is an important part of that. But I think that I could only earn that respect by demonstrating my independence and my commitment to what my role would be as DOI commissioner.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do you have any preliminary observations on the performance and effectiveness of DOI?

observations as a citizen that they've done really important work. I think, um, you highlighted some of those issues in your opening statement, but I think the work that's been done to expose the problem with, related to lead testing in NYCHA, the report on ACS, the Special Victims Division report, I think there's a number of reports that have been really important and I think in addition to those very high-profile things I think there's sort of less high-profile day-to-day work that DOI does and my experience has been very good.

2.2

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Whistleblowers, as I said in my opening statement, play a crucial role in moving toward I believe a more ethical government and fear of retaliation prevents potential whistleblowers from disclosing unethical or illegal conduct. Do you think that our whistleblower protections are sufficient or could they be strengthened further?

MARGARET GARNETT: I'll confess that I'm not familiar with the details of the whistleblower protection statute. I obviously will become more familiar with that if I'm confirmed as DOI commissioner. I agree that it's extremely important that whistleblowers be protected from demotion or firing, or other even less serious retaliation. And I think that part of why the independence and perception of DOI is so important is precisely so that whistleblowers will feel free to come forward with confidence that their complaints will be investigated fairly and professionally.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: How would a subordinate describe your management skills and leadership style?

MARGARET GARNETT: I think and hope they would describe my leadership style as both collaborative and decisive. I think that my goal and

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 31 focus is to empower the people actually doing the work so that they feel supported, protected. I think the, one of my own guides a supervisor or leader is that successes are for the people doing the work and mistakes or failures are my responsibility, and I think that you communicate that to your people by how you run the organization that you're the leader of

and it frees them to do their best work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I have just one more question and then I want to hand it off to Chair Torres. Council Member Lander and Council Member Williams were instrumental before I was elected to the City Council in passing some very significant police reform and accountability measures. One of those measures created an IG, an inspector general, for the NYPD, which falls under the purview of the Department of Investigation. Not all of the IGs in the city that report to the commissioner were created by the City Council, but this position was and it was a fight during the time. I wasn't here for it. could describe it, as could other members, more accurately. But what I read, and again I don't know if this is true, I've read that the current inspector general, his name was removed off of reports and

2.2

Commissioner Peters' name was put on those reports to make it sort of seem like it was all Commissioner

Peters and less about some of the work that the IG

was doing, and I want to understand the level of

independence that inspector generals would have, both

ones that were created by the City Council and ones

that were not created by the City Council. What

level of independence would they have in doing the

work that the charter and that local law gives them

authority to do, and how would you interface with

those different inspector generals that would fall

under your leadership?

MARGARET GARNETT: So I think that as a general leadership matter that if you were the commissioner of DOI that you are ultimately responsible for the quality and integrity of the work that is produced by anyone who reports to you. I think that's a very different matter than seeking personal recognition for the work that other people are doing. The NYPD IG in particular, if I understand correctly, was created with the concept, with the idea that it would have some measure of independence from DOI and would function differently than the IGs that form the historical part of DOI,

and I think that given the unique role that NYPD IG was designed to fill that that's appropriate. I also don't know all the details. I know some of the things that you alluded to and from what I read in the papers, as well as some disputes over budget and staffing and who people report to. My sense as a leader is that decisions about staffing and budget should be driven by the mission of the particular unit. So if the NYPD IG has a mission and a workload and work requirements that are different from some of the other IGs at DOI and that might require different kinds of staffing, different resources. So to me the measure is what is required to fulfill the mission,

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do you think it's appropriate to remove IGs' names off of reports that they were deeply involved in, or that they ran the investigation on?

MARGARET GARNETT: No.

not what is best for Margaret Garnett.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: OK. I have more questions later, but I want to turn to Chair Torres, who has some questions. Thank you very much, Madam chair. Thank you, Ms. Garnett. I look forward to asking you some further questions after additional

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 colleagues have time to ask important questions. Thank you. 3 4 MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you. 5 CHAIR TORRES: How are you, Ms. Garnett? 6 MARGARET GARNETT: Ηi. 7 CHAIR TORRES: I'm sure the rain is by no 8 means an omen of things to come. MARGARET GARNETT: I hope not [laughs]. 9 10 CHAIR TORRES: So I had the opportunity to meet you. I will say just a quick review of your 11 12 resume suggests you're exceptionally qualified for to be DOI commissioner. My interaction suggests that 13 14 you're impressively well-tempered, likeable, so no 15 one can possibly question the professionalism of the 16 choice that the mayor has made. 17 MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you. 18 CHAIR TORRES: I want to preface my questioning with some opening remarks and then I'll 19 get right into the questioning. You're being 20 considered for one of the most important positions in 21 2.2 city government. There's no oversight institution in 23 city government that possesses the investigative

reach and resources of DOI, right. You're the only

commissioner who has oversight over every city agency

24

public officials, including the mayor. Did anyone in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 the mayor's team play a role in preparing you for 3 today's hearing? 4 MARGARET GARNETT: Yes. 5 CHAIR TORRES: Who in the mayor's team 6 prepared you? 7 MARGARET GARNETT: I hope they'll forgive me for not remembering their names, but Jeff Lynch, 8 who is the mayor's city legislative. 9 10 CHAIR TORRES: Never heard of him. MARGARET GARNETT: [laughs] Um, so Ms. 11 12 Clutter and some people from her team at Appointments, and Mr. Lynch and some people from his 13 team at City Legislative Affairs, and someone from 14 15 the press office. 16 CHAIR TORRES: And what has been the 17 extent of your interactions with the mayor? 18 MARGARET GARNETT: I spoke to the mayor for about 30 minutes during the week prior to my 19 nomination being announced on the phone. I met with 20 him in person at Gracie Mansion for, it was a lengthy 21 2.2 interview, about two hours, that week, and then I 23 actually ran into him on the street on Saturday

outside the Y. I was picking up my daughter from her

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 37 swimming lesson and the mayor was coming out and we chatted for about ten minorities on the sidewalk.

2.2

CHAIR TORRES: And during those interactions did the mayor convey to his expectations of you as DOI commissioner?

MARGARET GARNETT: Um, yes, I mean, we talked about my background, we talked about, he said that he expected that DOI would continue to be independent, that he also expected me to have, it was important to have professional relationships with this council, with city agencies, with other law enforcement partners, and we mostly talked about my background and my professional experience.

CHAIR TORRES: I'm curious to hear your thoughts on the proper role of DOI, right, I think DOI could serve as a largely reactive institution responding to isolated complaints and cases of fraud, corruption, or abuse, or it can take on a broader oversight role, right, proactively investigating mismanagement, operational failures in city agencies. Which role do you envision for DOI?

MARGARET GARNETT: I think both of those roles are important and really one relates to the other. I think DOI's role as criminal investigator,

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS even though of course all of those investigations won't ultimately result in criminal charges, is very important and much of that work, certainly not all, but much of it will be reactive to whistleblower complaints, complaints from citizens, tips or requests from the council or from other parts of city government, including the administration, and that work is very important. I think a number of people have touched on the fact that DOI is very powerful and I think it is important for the dignity of public service and for the confidence that citizens of New York have in their government that DOI remain active in that role of rooting out wrongdoing. But I think, uniquely in my experience among criminal investigative agencies, DOI also has, is very, to me very attractive ability to not just think about cases but think more broadly about problems in the city and to use their vantage point, largely drawn from investigations of specific instances of waste or fraud or abuse to see the bigger picture, to identify instances where systemic change is needed or where there's been gross mismanagement, waste, or fraud, or abuse of the public's trust. So I don't see that a choice between those two is required. Rather, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 39 think both roles are important and they're complementary of each other.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR TORRES: I agree with you. me if I'm wrong. It seems to me, even though you have a wealth of experience as a prosecutor, there seems to be something uniquely daunting about the role of a DOI commissioner in this sense, that your role is essentially to investigate the administration whose head appointed you, whose head could fire you. As far as I know, I know that you obviously, the attorney general, you know, could obviously investigate the president under special circumstances, but does not investigate executive agencies with regularity, right. The attorney general of New York does not investigate gubernatorial agencies with regularity. Whereas DOI investigates mural agencies with regularity. That's a uniquely, have you ever been in that kind of situation before where you have to investigate the administration whose head has the power to fire you?

MARGARET GARNETT: Um, I'm sorry, I'm hesitating only because I want to make sure that I don't say anything about any investigations that I can speak about publicly. Um, I think it is

certainly true that the US Attorney's Office has the ability to conduct criminal investigations that could touch on members of the federal government and in my time in office that certainly occurred. Um, same thing at the attorney general's office, that we have the ability to investigate other members of state government and have done so, including people like gubernatorial agencies or connected to the governor. So as a general matter, yes, I think I have been in situations where that's possible. I agree that structurally DOI is different in that that is its primary mission.

2.2

CHAIR TORRES: Are you confident that, I guess, how do you, this is a tough question to answer in the abstract. You never know until you're actually in the situation. But how do you not allow yourself to be deterred by the risk or the threat of political retaliation that constantly hangs over an agency like DOI?

MARGARET GARNETT: So I certainly have experience withstanding pressure and criticism and keeping my focus on doing the right thing based on the facts and the law. I could given dozens of examples of that through my career as a prosecutor.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 I think in this specific instance, um, I don't have a great concern. I'm not a political person. 3 I have no political ambitions. I think far more important 4 to me is my reputation as a prosecutor for integrity, 5 fairness, professionalism, um, I think I would, I 6 7 would much sooner risk being fired than risk damaging my professional reputation. So I, um, I have not 8 been in the situation where someone threatened to 9 fire me if I did X, Y, or Z. But I can tell you that 10 I have no hesitation that if I was faced with a 11 12 choice of doing what I thought was right or being fired I know which one I would choose. 13 14 CHAIR TORRES: And you're familiar with 15 the tenure of Commissioner Mark Peters, I suspect. 16 You're familiar with the kind of investigations he's undertaken, the kind of reports he's written, is that 17 18 fair to say? In a general way, yes. 19 MARGARET GARNETT: 20 CHAIR TORRES: So based on your own knowledge of how DOI operated under his leadership, 21 2.2 was Commissioner Peters too aggressive, in your 23 opinion? 24 MARGARET GARNETT: Um, too aggressive in

the substance? [inaudible]

CHAIR TORRES: At investigating operational failures, mismanagement in mayoral agencies.

MARGARET GARNETT: No.

CHAIR TORRES: No, OK, good. When it comes to investigating those kind of cases, how will your approach differ from your predecessors?

MARGARET GARNETT: That's hard for me to say because I don't know what his approach was in directing the investigations. What I can say is that under my leadership that DOI will follow the facts wherever they lead, that in any situation in which we have credible information about corruption or wrongdoing that that would merit, that would be a proper subject of DOI investigation and that the path of that investigation would be governed by the facts

2.2

CHAIR TORRES: Now the investigations you conduct, the oversight investigations, often results in recommendations and reforms regarding the operations of an agency, and many of those reforms are agreed upon...

MARGARET GARNETT: Right.

and the evidence and no other consideration.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR TORRES: ... between DOI and the applicable agency. Are you willing to commit to tracking whether agencies are complying with those agreed-upon recommendations and the extent to which those agencies are complying with those recommendations?

I quess it depends MARGARET GARNETT: what you mean by tracking. I think that it is difficult, certainly some reports might result in the appointment of a monitor for a particular agency, of various kinds, and in that case, those cases, there would be a monitor in place and that person would report to DOI. I think that DOI is not itself a monitor, that's not its expertise. So I do think that it's important, and I confess I haven't given a lot of thought to the mechanism for doing it, that where DOI has made recommendations and there have been commitments from the agencies to carry those out that there would be an ongoing oversight function that would assess whether those things are being implemented.

CHAIR TORRES: So you would perform that function of monitoring whether there has been implementation of agreed-upon recommendations?

not, um, grand jury information or technically barred

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 45 from disclosure that there would be legitimate law enforcement or investigative reasons why at a given point in time it wasn't appropriate to disclose that information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR TORRES: If it derailed the investigation or undercut the investigation?

MARGARET GARNETT: Right, right, if it undercut the investigation.

CHAIR TORRES: OK, that's a fair point. If you are confirmed, as you likely will be, and I'm revisiting questions that were posed earlier, but I think there's some question about what your confirmation will mean for the investigations undertaken by your predecessor. My understanding is that in DOI there is something known as the executive dashboard, which is essentially an internal list of approximately the twenty-most consequential investigations and these are said to be investigations that are likely to result in a report, about 95% of them do. If you decide for whatever reason to discontinue an investigation on the executive dashboard or decline to publish the findings from one of those investigations on the dashboard will you let the City Council know?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: I can't commit to doing that. I think it will depend greatly on what the reasons were for a decision not to issue a report or to close an investigation. What I can say is that no, um, the only consideration in making that decision will be the facts and the evidence and the viability of, um, the charges if it's a criminal investigation, or the evidence and facts that we have to issue a report that's on the oversight side.

know, because it would seem to me you have, the council has a right to know, right, we have an oversight function over city agencies and I see it as the role of DOI to ensure that we have enough information to effectively oversee agencies. And the public has a right to know. So like what is, setting aside criminal law enforcement, which has its own requirements for confidentiality, there's no one here who's interested in knowing what criminal investigations DOI is conducting. But when it comes to the oversight function, what is the public's right to know, what's the City Council's right to know, what are the limits of those rights as you see them?

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 Setting aside criminal law enforcement, we all understand that's an exception. 3 4 MARGARET GARNETT: OK. So setting that aside... 5 6 CHAIR TORRES: Yes. 7 MARGARET GARNETT: ... That's, I think, my 8 largest reservation, I think that... CHAIR TORRES: The reason I say this is 9 because there is an article in Buzzfeed today that 10 suggested that DOI shelved a report about misconduct 11 12 on the part of officers in NYPD, and that's the 13 greatest fear I have, is that there are 14 investigations that have been undertaken but that 15 neither the public nor the City Council will ever 16 find out about the results of those investigations, 17 and so what is our right to know and what are the limits? 18 MARGARET GARNETT: Um, I would imagine, I 19 20 don't know this for sure, but I would imagine that there are many, DOI is undertaking investigations 21 2.2 based on complaints from the citizens, tips from City 23 Council, from agency heads, from the administration, from a wide variety of sources, as I think is true in 24

many law enforcement agencies. I assume that many of

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 those inquires do not pan out, or that it is, a decision is made that, um, essentially there's 3 nothing to see here, there's no, it doesn't rise to 4 5 the level of issuing a report, no criminal charges 6 should be brought, a look was taken and a decision is 7 made based on what the evidence is that no further action is needed. Given the breadth of DOI's 8 responsibilities, I would imagine that happens 9 hundreds of times a year. I don't think that it 10 serves the public interest or this council's 11 12 oversight function to have an endless stream of 13 disclosure, that we received a tip about X and we 14 looked and decided there was nothing there. 15 CHAIR TORRES: But I'm referring to the, 16 I agree, there's some investigations that go nowhere, 17 and what about the investigations that bear fruit? 18 Can you imagine a circumstance under which there's an investigation that bears fruit but you would 19 20 nevertheless decline to either notify the City Council or publish the investigative findings? 21 2.2 MARGARET GARNETT: 23 CHAIR TORRES: OK. So if an

investigation bore fruit you have a reporting obligation to the public and to the council?

24

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes.

CHAIR TORRES: OK. You are unique among commissioners in the sense that you are nominated by the mayor, but you are confirmed to the City Council. So there's a sense in which you have obligations to both the executive and the legislature, reporting obligations. Do you see those obligations as equivalent or do you think you have a greater reporting obligations?

MARGARET GARNETT: I see those as
equivalent. I don't think that there's, I think the
mayor and City Council are co-equal branches of city
government. Obviously, in New York we have a strong
mayoral system with a lot of control over city
agencies, but the council plays a very important role
in city government, so as far as DOI's obligations
and the goal, which is improving city government and
city services, I don't see a difference between the
council and the mayor on that front, no.

CHAIR TORRES: And I see the investigative function of DOI as complementary to the oversight function of the City Council. We both have a shared mission of investigating overseeing city agencies, public benefit corporations. As

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 50 commissioner, would you see the council as an institutional partner in reforming the operations of city agencies?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, I think particularly on the oversight side there's a lot of potential for collaboration.

CHAIR TORRES: I have a question, I'm going to share with you an experience I had with the Housing Authority. The former chair of the Housing Authority submitted erroneous testimony to the City Council about NYCHA's lead safety program and that's something we would have never found out but for a letter from DOI informing us. So if you come to discover that a government official submitted to the City Council testimony that is untrue, inaccurate, or incomplete in any way, do you feel you have an obligation to inform the council?

MARGARET GARNETT: Certainly if information is untrue. I would consider that a very serous breach of the public trust, and I could imagine situations where that could support a criminal investigation which might delay any...

CHAIR TORRES: Setting aside the criminal, yes.

2.2

on...

MARGARET GARNETT: But, yeah, I think if
DOI has information that a city official gave
untruthful testimony to the council that the council
should be notified by DOI. Again, assuming there's
no need for confidentiality for other reasons. I
think that it starts to get more fact-dependent and
more complicated once you move down the spectrum to
incomplete or not fully forthcoming. I think it's
more of a judgment call. But certainly I can imagine
situations on that spectrum where I would feel an
obligation to notify the council that we had
information that suggested that...

CHAIR TORRES: As a general proposition?

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes.

CHAIR TORRES: OK. I have more questions, but I want to give my colleagues an opportunity, so, thank you.

MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you.

[clears throat] Excuse me. I had three questions,

but they were already answered. So I want to

acknowledge Council Member Mark Treyger and Council

Member Jumaane Williams. And now I'd like to call

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 53 seated, and hopefully will be concerned, ah, confirmed, um, is very heartening for me personally, so I just wanted to say that. My colleague, Council Member Torres, as well as the speaker, spoke about some items that are of concern to me as well regarding your predecessor. It's been reported that former Commissioner Peters restricted the authority and tied the hands of the inspector general, the NYPD Inspector General, regarding policemen misconduct and instances of being untruthful in office statements. My concern regarding the inspector general, as is, I believe, my colleagues here on the panel today, is the role of the NYPD Inspector General and how critical that role is, there is already a perception in the public that there no consequences for bad behavior by police officers, and it's not just New York, it's across the country. It's a national So when we find out that a leader, um, that problem. has been put into place by City Council, that that leadership is somehow being usurped by another in charge is extremely, number one, it's baffling, and number two, it's extremely disturbing. How are you going to protect that office of NYPD Inspector

General? How are you going to ensure confidence in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 54

that individual and the role that is so needed with regard to police behavior with public perception and with the overall responsibility of the NYPD inspector?

MARGARET GARNETT: So I don't know all the facts of the situations you're referring to, but I certainly share the conceptual concerns about the NYPD Inspector General to fulfill the role that the council envisioned for that position. So I don't know Mr. Eure, of course, I haven't had an opportunity yet to meet with any of the senior staff, but I think the first place to begin is for me to sit down and meet with him and hear what his concerns are and hear from him whether there are ways he feels he's been constrained and start to understand more of what may or may not have gone on in the past. think for me that will mean relevant standards for the issuance of DOI reports is are they truthful and do they meet the highest professional standards. don't think other considerations are relevant to that decision. So I think that if that has gone on in the past that would be very troubling and I would want to get an understanding of what has happened and whether

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 55 there are things that ought to be done now to correct that.

2.2

much. Also, just to I guess maybe reiterate something that the speaker referenced, with regard to whistleblowers and the protection of whistleblowers, one thing that we don't want is to tamper whistleblowers coming forward. We want to continue to produce confidence by the job that we are doing and by the job that our agencies are doing so that we can encourage people to come up and speak out often and always about misconduct that is going on around them. How do you see your role as it pertains to whistleblowers and the provision of information coming from whistleblowers?

MARGARET GARNETT: So I think first that maintaining the independence and integrity and reputation for independence and integrity of DOI is really important to that. Whistleblowers will only come forward if they have confidence that the information they're bringing to DOI's attention is going to be investigated professionally, driven only by what the facts are, and that they will be protected from retaliation, so I think that any

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 56 1 2 commissioner of DOI should want to encourage city 3 employees or people who work for entities that 4 receive city money to come forward with knowledge 5 they have about wrongdoing or mismanagement or fraud. 6 And so you have to give people in everything you do, 7 even unrelated investigations, act in a way that gives people confidence that their identity will be 8 protected for as long as possible, that they will be 9 protected from retaliation and that their complaints 10 will be investigated professionally and fairly. So I 11 12 think that globally is the most important thing. I said in response to Speaker Johnson's question, I 13 don't know all the ins and outs of the city's 14 15 whistleblower statute. I certainly think that if the 16 staff at DOI or myself as commissioner felt that that statute needed to be changed or improved or 17 18 strengthened to protect whistleblowers that will be appropriate to bring those concerns to the council. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you very I yield to my colleagues. 21 much. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member 23 Chin. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Chair.

Ms. Garnett, good afternoon. I'm really proud to see

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 57 a highly qualified woman being nominated for this position. Thank the mayor for that. And thank you for your testimony and your insight into this role for the commissioner of Department of Investigation. I just wanted to follow up in terms of some of the issues that we might be able to get the Department of Investigation to investigate, to pay attention to, and especially like in my district, for example. public really have lost confidence in government because of some of these rampant issues that's been going on, for example, like placard parking. overrun by it and the city's not enforcing it enough, and people are violating the law and every day I hear from my constituents that they've lost trust in government, that we have not done something to really stop this issue. Another one in my district and something that we have no control over is this whole proliferation of social adult daycare in the city. There are more of them than senior centers that are funded by the city, and meanwhile they are taking government Medicaid dollars and they're not really doing what they're supposed to do, and the Department for the Aging don't have the official oversight.

we know for a fact that a lot of them are violating

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the law and taking advantage of elderly New Yorkers.

And the third one, which is also in my district,

Speaker, proliferation of counterfeit goods, people buying and selling on the street, especially during

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS

58

there, and there's got to be some way of stopping
this. They're not paying taxes. They're violating

the weekend and holidays, I mean, they're just out

9 the law. They get arrested, they come back out, and

10 we cannot get the district attorney's office to

11 really work with us to find some creative ideas to

12 sort of stop these kind of illegal activity that's

13 taking over our streets, and New Yorkers are losing

14 confidence in government. So I wanted to hear from

15 you how we can work together to take care of some of

16 these issues so that we can build back the confidence

17 | from our citizens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: So I think that part of the design of DOI is that among the places where the DOI can get direction from investigations or requires for investigation is from the City Council, and I think that it's, DOI is a very powerful agency and has access to many sources of information, but one area where it is by far inferior to the council is how much access you have to constituent concerns

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 59 and to how citizens of New York experience city government and city government services, so I think that information is unique to the council and is a potentially very valuable source of information for DOI and its oversight function. So I look forward to collaborating with the council on those issue. can't really speak to the specific issues that you've raised because I just don't have the information, but it is interesting on counterfeit goods the penalties, as I'm sure you've learned, the criminal penalties are very low. At the US Attorney's Office we did a number of these kinds of cases. The penalties are very low and probably not much of a deterrent, as you've said. I think one advantage DOI has, as I mentioned earlier, is that it's not limited to just thinking about individual criminal cases, but also can take a broader view from a collection of potential criminal investigations to work with other agencies on systemic reform. So I don't have the answers sitting her today to those things, but I think that they're important issues for how city residents experience their government and I look forward to working with you or other council members

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

on those issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN:

Treyger.

look forward to working with you on them, too. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member

Thank you, and I

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you very much, Chair, and congratulations on your nomination.

MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: A couple of questions here, and forgive me if this might have been asked earlier, or if you answered this earlier, just to kind of refresh my memory. So how did you become aware of this opportunity to become Commissioner of DOI?

MARGARET GARNETT: Um, so, exactly two weeks ago from today a colleague of mine told me that he had been asked for my cell phone by Joanie Clutter, who is the mayor's appointments counsel, and that he had given it to her and I should expect her to call me and that he thought it was about DOI, and I spoke to her later that evening. And that's the first that I heard about that I was being considered to possibly to be nominated as Commissioner of DOI.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So just a few 3 weeks ago some... 4 MARGARET GARNETT: Two weeks ago from 5 today, it's been a whirlwind, yes. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: 7 Thanksgiving [laughs]. 8 MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you. [laughter] COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Who did you 9 consult with about this position before taking the 10 job? 11 12 MARGARET GARNETT: I talked to my 13 I spoke to the judge that I clerked for, who is now a federal judge on the Second Circuit. 14 15 He's been an incredible mentor for me and source of 16 advice, so I really value his perspective. I spoke 17 to the attorney general and to some of the other senior staff at the attorney general's office. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: 19 Thank you very 20 I'm going to get now to the council. I know this might sound like a very easy question, but this 21 2.2 is an important question to this council, 23 particularly to what's happened in recent years. important is it for city officials to be truthful to 24

the City Council during our committee hearings?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: Vitally important. I mean, I think the council has an important oversight role that depends on truthful testimony, and I think maybe more importantly even than that, that government officials who are not truthful are, that's a violation of the public's trust, even more so than making this council's oversight role more difficult.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I appreciate that answer, because there have been some really unfortunate moments in recent years. My colleagues mentioned before the issue about NYCHA lead testing and I recall an exchange I had with an administration official about children being tested for lead in NYCHA, and I was repeatedly told by the administration that the city is a national leader on the issue and if it was not for the wonderful reporting of the press, because the press did an outstanding job, they uncovered through FOIA requests and through other means that there were in fact numerous children poisoned with lead living in public housing. But, again, this council was told that we're a national leader. And so I could tell you that there has been significant breaches of trust between the administration and the council that has

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 63

to be healed and repaired in order for us to conduct
effective oversight, in order for us to effectively
serve the people that we are sworn to serve. We
can't solve problems if we're not honest about them
and that's what we're here for. Are you familiar
with any systemic investigations, and the key word is
systemic, investigations of the New York City
Department of Education in recent years?

MARGARET GARNETT: No.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Neither am I, neither am I. I am the chair of the Education

Committee in the New York City Council. And I am not familiar with any city-led systemic investigation.

There has been an investigation of personnel matters, which are important, but I can't point to systemic investigations, the ones that we have seen with NYCHA and lead testing. The DOE is the largest city department. When you combine pension costs, it's over 30 billion dollars, it's over a third of our budget. And I've been in the council, now this is my fifth year, I can't point to one. But the federal government is not waiting for us, because I'm reading in the press that there's a federal investigation of the Office of Pupil Transportation, OPT, over the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS school bus issue. I held a hearing on that issue, and a week or so later I read a report that the federal government is now investigating. I am reading in the papers as well that there's a backand-forth between the city and state over the issue of the yeshiva inquiry, that it took over three years for the city to conclude that they couldn't enter some schools, and some schools are doing OK, and some schools have to do better. And I'm reading again in the press that there was an active inquiry within DOI about this issue. So I believe we have a lot of work to do, critical work to do. I do believe restoring faith and trust in governments is critical. believe that we must have an honest, open, transparent process here, and I do wish you much success in your office. I appreciate your answers about the importance of independence because we have to be here for the right reasons. We're here for the right reasons, and so I congratulate you once again on your nomination and I look forward to working together, and I thank the chair for her time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. Ms. Garnett, welcome. I agree

Thank you.

MARGARET GARNETT:

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS with the speaker and my colleagues that both your resume and your performance today suggest the temperament and experience that we are looking forward. I was pleased to learn you are a constituent. We have not met before, and I haven't run into you in the front of the Y yet [laughter], but I'm glad you're here. I do want to focus a little more, as a couple of my colleagues had, on the office of the NYPD Inspector General. Obviously, there are many other agencies that are critical in the function of DOI, but in 2013 this council passed Local Law 70, sponsored by Council Member Jumaane Williams and me, establishing that office at DOI. Before that, there was no NYPD Inspector General. And we chose to locate it at DOI for a number of reasons, because of the dual reporting to the council as well as the mayor, because the independent subpoena power, because of the tradition of integrity and independence, all things we wanted. But it has a somewhat different function from many of the other inspectors general. Because NYPD has IAB for cases of individual wrongdoing we were really looking for something that would focus more on pattern practice

issues, on civil rights issues, on systemic problems

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 66 and discrimination, and that means a stronger public facing role, less reliant potentially on whistleblowers, more policy analysis, in addition to the ability to do the thorough investigations, and I say for the most part that office got stood up in 2014 and I'll give credit here to the mayor and to former Commissioner Peters and especially to Inspector General Phil Eure, they stood that office up for the most part with meeting the goals and vision that this council had for it and some really hard-hitting reports have been accomplished on use of force, on the Special Victims Division that have achieved significant oversight and change at the At the same time, especially in recent days, there are these issues of concern that have come up. The speaker referred to the issue around the website, staffing, budget, Council Member Adams spoke to concerns and Council Member Torres as well, highlighted in these Buzzfeed article today about whether a couple of reports were shelved, both one about officer lying and one that Council Member Williams and I have requested looking at the gang database. And I do think it's important to lift up

here some allegations of concern raised in Mark

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Peters' letter related to the question of cooperation from the NYPD and situations where he may have sought it and either did not receive the materials or cooperation necessary and City Hall may not have backed him up there. And then, in some ways a very troubling one, these issues surrounding Deputy Chief Osgood, who played a role in giving information to DOI for the Special Victims Division report and concerns that his transfer, or I quess, it read as a demotion out of that unit, appear like they are potentially retaliation for whistleblowing, obviously something that would be very troubling for all the reasons that you've talked about. So I guess I just wonder if, you know, first can you say a little more about how you see the police oversight function and what you would look to do as DOI commissioner in relationship to the NYPD Inspector General's office, and then I guess I just want to ask about a couple of those specifics as well.

MARGARET GARNETT: OK, so I think, as you said, a big part of why the NYPD IG is so, um, different from other parts of DOI is because unlike really any other agency that I'm familiar with in city government the NYPD IAB takes on a large portion

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS of the work that DOI would do for many other city agencies in terms of individual instances of wrongdoing, whether they result in criminal charges or internal discipline, and so, as I understand it, the NYPD IG is, as you said, a different role, a much more externally facing role in trying to be an intermediary between the communities that are policed in the city and the way that the police department functions in terms of policies, practices, training, I think in the last year with my involvement in our special investigations and prosecutions unit there's quite a bit of overlap, I think, between some of the work that CIP does and the work of NYPD IG in that part of the mandate for CIP is not only to investigate instances of deaths in police custody or at the hands of the police statewide, but also very importantly to produce public reports that are transparent as to what the evidence was in the investigation, the legal analysis driving any decision about what charges are possible, if any, are warranted, and then most importantly any recommendations for systemic changes, whether for that particular department or for law enforcement in

the state more generally. So I think there's a lot

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 69 2 of overlap between what I've learned in the past year 3 in the work of CIP and what the NYPD IG does and I think, look, we're very lucky as New Yorkers to have 5 a really outstanding, professional, sophisticated 6 police department that I think is the envy of many 7 other cities in the country, but there's room for improvement in every agency, but in policing in 8 particular given how important it is and how much 9 10 power and influence they can have over the lives of New Yorkers. So I think we should justifiably be 11 12 proud of the police department. But there's no question that there's room for improvement and that 13 14 it is valuable to have an independent person and 15 staff that is outside of the police department and 16 engaged with a broader debate around policing in this country, to be involved in making those 17 18 recommendations and pressing for necessary reform. So I think it's a very important role and I look 19 20 forward to meeting Mr. Eure and talking to him about the operation of his office. 21

1

4

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you. think that reflects the balance we feel as well. of course, appreciate the role that the NYPD plays and creating the inspector general where it didn't COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 70 exist was not a vote of the opposite, of a vote of no I think you say it just right, we want confidence. it made better, made as good as it can possibly be. Three specific questions, one on this issue of cooperation. Every agency resists investigation, like all human beings I think would resist, so, but if there are instances, as I'm sure there will be, where you need cooperation in getting documents, materials, individuals to speak, and there is resistance, I guess from any agency, but since it's been raised specifically around the PD how will you handle it, that balance between wanting cooperation and relationship-building, but when necessary being willing to use your subpoena power, and if there are times when you don't get an adequate level of cooperation can we have your commitment that you will let us know that and that things won't just wind up buried for lack of cooperation without any ability for us to have a sense of what's taking place? MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, I think, look, I think all agencies, including NYPD, have an obligation to cooperate with DOI's investigations. And a failure to do so or act of obstruction or

resistance is really a dereliction of the public's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS trust and confidence in those leaders. So I think that any response to resistance or lack of cooperation has to be calibrated to the circumstances. So there's a range of possible responses, starting with a direct conversation between the commissioner and the relevant agency head, potentially involving other parts of city government, whether that be City Hall or this council, the appropriate committee or council members who oversight over that part of city government, subpoena power, of course, exposing a lack of cooperation in a public report, and under very egregious circumstances potentially, you know, recommending criminal charges for obstruction. hope that would be a rare and very extreme measure, but...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Of course.

MARGARET GARNETT: ... but certainly is possible. So I think there's a range of tools and responses that would be, would have been calibrated to what the factual circumstances were.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you. On the two investigations around officers who may have lied under oath and around the gang database, can we

2.2

have your commitment to look into those two issues which have been raised and figure out what the appropriate next steps are without knowing what they are today? Those seem like two things that we, you know, I hope you'll look into and would like your commitment that you'll look into them and take the

actions you deem appropriate.

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, I assume, again, from what I read in the, I only know what I read in the papers, um, I do still read a paper newspaper, I may be one of the last few. But certainly my first, one of the first orders of business will be to meet with the heads of each part of DOI, including Mr. Eure and get a sense of what his concerns are and what's in the hopper, and anything that he is looking at I would like to get up to speed on and make sure that we're taking appropriate steps to move it forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: We'd like to hear, you know, to the extent that there isn't something that requires confidentiality, a report back from on that, on the gang database. We've requested that investigation and we're eager to see it move forward, and obviously if there is something

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 73 there on the issue of lying that's important, and then finally on this question of Chief Osgood, who sat here in this room when the council had a very thorough hearing chaired by Council Member Richards, who chairs our Public Safety Committee and Council Member Torres, it seems pretty straightforward that he played a role in cooperating with DOI in a way that provided critical, shined a light on critical issues and led to significant change that needed to and now he has been transferred out of that unit. I don't know what happened internally, but one has to, it certainly raises questions about whistleblowing, cooperation with DOI, and whatever the individual circumstances it's important from a public point of view for people to have the confidence to cooperate. So I guess there, as well, I'm asking the same, can we have your commitment to look into what happened there and as you then deem appropriate developing a plan for what the action is that's appropriate coming out of your look into that situation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: I certainly share your concern, that if any city employee of whatever rank is retaliated against because of cooperation with

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 74

DOI that would be very troubling and unacceptable to

me. So I don't know, I mean, I certainly, I, again,

read the news reports about Chief Osgood being

transferred to a different command. So I don't know

the facts, but I think to the extent there's any

7 credible information that some, a city employee was

8 retaliated against for cooperating with DOI that that

9 would absolutely merit us looking into it.

your commitment on this one to look into it, whether he comes forward and alleges that he's a whistleblower or not, given the elevation in the public, I think, I guess I would like your commitment that you're going to look into what happened in that situation. That may not mean opening a full investigation unless there's information that merits it, but more than waiting for him to come forward and identify himself as a potential whistleblower.

MARGARET GARNETT: Oh, and yeah, I don't think that looking into it would require waiting for him to come forward, and I'd certainly think that that's something that I would raise with Mr. Eure and get more information about, yes.

2.2

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: OK. Thank you very much. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Mr. Speaker.

questions and then I want to get to the other colleagues. I just want to reiterate something that Council Member Lander just said. I think, it's Council Member Lander who said it, I have very serious concerns as well about the gang database, about predominantly young men of color being caught up in a database that they shouldn't be in, and we have requested that investigation from DOI into this and, again, I would just like, given the importance for members of this body, to understand your commitment to looking into that for us and reporting back on it.

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Great. Similarly, I agree with the Council Member Lander just said on Chief Osgood and the great work and cooperation involved in shining a light on the Special Victims Division. So thank you for that. There was a question for prehearing questions and it's Question 2-B, and the question was how would you respond to a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 request by City Hall to pull or stop an investigation. Now we asked about this earlier, and 3 4 your answer was I would listen to the input of any 5 appropriate stakeholder, including the administration, members of the council, or leadership 6 7 of the relevant agency. But the sole factor in deciding the outcome of a DOI will be my independent 8 professional judgment in consultation with career 9 staff at DOI. I just want to be very clear. 10 means that what you were saying in that answer is if 11 12 a member of the city council, someone in the 13 administration, a commissioner, a deputy 14 commissioner, sought to interfere or stop an 15 investigation you would not allow that to happen. 16 You may listen to what that individual has to say, but you are not in any way playing along with people 17 18 stopping an investigation. I just want to be very clear on this. 19 20 MARGARET GARNETT: Yes. That's right. SPEAKER JOHNSON: OK. And then Council 21 2.2 Member Adams asked about, and I asked about, 23 strengthening whistleblower protections. I actually think there's more we can do to strengthen 24

whistleblower protections via legislation in the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 77

council and I would love your commitment to be able

to work with you and the folks at DOI, the

professional staff who deal with whistleblower

complaints on working together to strengthen

whistleblower protections through a legislative

process to provide greater protection for

whistleblowers and I would love you to be open to

having that conversation.

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, and I'm sure that the staff at DOI will have some ideas of their own about issues they've seen in past investigations and I think we'd be happy to work with the council on any needed improvements or strengthening of that law.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And I should have asked this earlier. The Special Commissioner of Investigations as part of the Department of Education as the chair of our Education Committee, Chair Treyger was talking about earlier, what is your understanding, given everything that transpired and how we got to this moment today, what is your understanding of the independence of that office, the reporting structure from SCI to DOI, given everything that transpired what is your understanding of where we stand?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: So the Special Commissioner of Investigations reports to the commissioner of DOI, but, again, as with some other things we talked about today the design of SCI, as I understand it, was intended and is protected by certain executive orders and other statutory protections to function to some degree independent of sort of core DOI and this word, org chart, of DOI that flows down from the commissioner. It has its own budget, its own obligations. As Council Member Treyger said, the Department of Education is the largest city agency. My own children attend public schools, so I have a vested interest in that, as all New Yorkers should. They have their own budget. It's very important that I think they be empowered to do real oversight over the Department of Education, which has a huge staff, a huge budget, serves, I think, the number I saw recently, 3 million children in the city, and so I don't know Ms. Coleman, I've never met her. I think among my first orders of business, if I am confirmed as DOI commissioner, will be to meet with her and sit down with her individually, basically to take her temperature and

how she's feeling about all that's transpired over

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 79

the last few months and hear from her what she thinks
is needed to have the recommended reset from the

McGovern report between the commissioner's office and

2.2

SCI and its staff.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Would you be open if, I have not consulted the legislative counsel and my counsel here about this today, but if there was a way to actually codify not just through previous executive orders that were issued by previous mayors and the subsequent executive order that the mayor issued when this action took place earlier this year, do you think that the independence, as you just described, of the Special Commissioner's Office of Investigation as part of the Department of Education, should that be codified as we understand it right now so that there is total clarity around that level of independence?

MARGARET GARNETT: Truthfully, I don't know enough about the details to have a view on that. I think, if I'm confirmed, once I get to DOI and learn more about what is required and the operation of SCI I'd be happy to work collaboratively with the council on any changes. Right now I just don't know enough to have an informed view.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much,
Ms. Garnett. I'm going to have further questions,
but I want to send it back to the chair to call on
additional colleagues.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member Gjonaj.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you, Chair. Congratulations, Ms. Garnett, on your nomination. The first question that came to mind is what was the conversation like around Thanksgiving dinner?

MARGARET GARNETT: [laughs]

that, please [laughs]. We obviously [coughing]
[inaudible] the importance of having commissioners
and agencies testify truthfully and honestly. In the
lead paint testimonies and the false testifying that
was done before this council, how would you have
handled that investigation, or how would you have
handled such false, misleading, willful testify?

MARGARET GARNETT: I think there's no question that this council should not tolerate, and frankly no part of city government should tolerate false testimony before this council. I think, I've prosecuted perjury cases before as a prosecutor, so I

3 I think there's also, there's quite a spectrum and

4 range of sort of intentionally false and perjurious

5 testimony down to mistakes or failures of memory, and

know how difficult they are to prove criminally, and

6 all of those things along that spectrum shouldn't be

7 | treated the same. Look, I do think that part of DOI

8 has a criminal investigative function in holding city

9 | officials to high standards and I think to the extent

10 | there's testimony that is at the potentially criminal

11 | end of the spectrum, that that's worthy of

12 | investigation.

1

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: You gave an explanation, two weeks ago was the first time you were approached. When did you accept the nomination and who did you meet with to accept the nomination and consideration for this position?

MARGARET GARNETT: So at the end of my conversation with the mayor on Thursday at Gracie

Mansion he said I'd like to offer you this job and

I'm going to nominate you to be Commissioner of DOI,

if you accept. And I accepted.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: You didn't give it any thought, you didn't say I'll get back to you?

MARGARET GARNETT: Oh, I'd been thinking about it pretty much nonstop for the preceding three days.

council MEMBER GJONAJ: Is it concerning to you that the person that is nominating you for this position, requiring the confirmation by the council, can terminate you without the cooperation of the counterpart that confirms your nomination, being this body?

MARGARET GARNETT: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: In a November letter to the council, former Commissioner Peters confirmed that the DOI has an ongoing probe into NYCHA, the NYPD, and alleged City Hall interference in the Department of Education's review of yeshivas. Assuming that these investigations are happening, once your appointment is final will you pledge to not make any changes in the scope of the investigations or make any changes to any lead personnel in charge of these investigations?

MARGARET GARNETT: I think the only changes I would make would be driven by what I learn about what the facts and evidence are. The subject

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 83 matter or the potential targets of the investigation play no role in that decision.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So you would not, you would keep the existing investigation under the leadership ongoing until you're brought up to speed, I would imagine?

MARGARET GARNETT: Right, and I don't, I don't know, I don't know at all who the personnel are that are working on these reported investigations, what their nature is, what their status is, so I would need to get there and learn about who, what are the investigations, what stage are they at, who are the personnel, are those the right resources, the right personnel, the right strategy. But any decision that I make on that will be driven only by my own independent judgment as a prosecutor and not anything related to who the targets are.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Happy to hear that. In a perfect world of keeping this very important position independent, would you rather see this position be an elected position versus an appointed position?

MARGARET GARNETT: I don't have a view on that one way or the other. I've worked in offices

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 where the principal is appointed and where the 3 principal is elected, and I think it is possible to have an agency that operates with integrity and with 4 independence under either structure. I have no 5 interest in running for office myself, so I guess I'm 6 7 happy for myself that it's an appointed position. I don't think that there's a right answer to that one 8 way or the other. I think it is possible, and I've 9 experienced it myself, to have independent 10 professional organizations that operate with 11 12 integrity and fairness under both models. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you for 14 your time. 15 MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member 17 Torres. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: A few more questions. My understanding is under the charter 19 there are three triggers for a DOI investigation, 20 right, you have a referral from the commissioner, a 21 2.2 referral from the mayor, and a referral from the City 23 Council. And it's the third one that's unclear, 24 right, because what exactly qualifies as a referral

from the City Council? Is it a local law, is it a

resolution, is it a referral from the speaker, is it a referral from the investigations chair, is it a referral from the relevant subject base committee.

So I guess how would you interpret what qualifies as

a referral from the City Council?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: So I haven't done a study of that provision of the charter, but I think there's a difference between sort of required triggers, right? Like there are the charter provisions are the DOI is required to open an investigation upon one of those triggers being activated. But what I would expect and exists, and would expect to continue under my leadership, is that DOI doesn't limit itself to only those triggers and in fact opens many investigations based on information from civilian complaints, from whistleblowers, from individual council members and other parts of city government, and I would expect that to continue and I don't think, I think the question that you ask of what is the scope of that charter provision would only arise in a situation in which we at DOI didn't want to do something or look into something that an individual council member had sent our way, and you could imagine a dispute

arising, like is this required or not required. But I guess I don't expect that situation to arise because I think that a properly functioning investigative agency should take seriously any credible information that it receives regardless of the source, and I would expect that to continue.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So here's a I had a great working relationship with your likely predecessor. But I will confess to you, it was frustrating what I took to be the excessive secrecy of DOI, even beyond what I thought was necessary for maintaining an investigation. So the speaker brought up earlier that we made a request for an investigation into gang database and we could send the request to DOI, but the moment we would inquire about it the response tends to be the same, we cannot comment on an ongoing investigation. So, and I understand that, but like how am I supposed to hold you accountable for following up on the referrals from the council as an institution, not simply an individual member, but this is a priority for the speaker, when the only response DOI gives us is we cannot comment on an investigation. Like there has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

the FBI will provide confidential briefings to

```
COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS
 1
 2
    Congress. So why can't DOI do the same to the City
 3
     Council under agreed-upon circumstances of
    confidentiality? We often find out about your
 4
    investigative findings, DOI's investigative findings,
 5
    the day the report becomes public. How do we change
 6
 7
    that?
                MARGARET GARNETT: I don't know, but I
 8
    think, this is very...
 9
10
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I'm going to be a
    thorn on your side. This is my...
11
12
                MARGARET GARNETT: Sure.
13
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: ... greatest
14
    frustration with DOI.
15
                MARGARET GARNETT: I look forward to it.
16
    As I said, I think, I'm, I don't think, I'm happy to
17
    have those conversations about how we can improve
18
    that relationship. I don't want to commit to any
    particular mechanism to do that today. I just don't
19
20
    know enough about how it could or should work. But I
    think that conversation, that push-pull, is an
21
2.2
     important one and one that should happen, and I
23
    expect you to be a thorn in my side.
24
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And to the extent
```

that I did, was aware of some details, it was often

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS based on a personal relationship, right, and I don't think that's the right, it shouldn't be ad hoc. There should be a formal reporting structure between the City Council and DOI that outlasts your tenure, my tenure, the speaker's tenure. Is that something that you're willing to work with us to build? MARGARET GARNETT: I think it's certainly

a conversation I'm willing to have. I just don't know enough today about how it should work or could work, but I think that I'm certainly open to hearing the council's concerns and talking about ways we can work together that would be more satisfactory to the council while still protecting DOI's mission.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And I just want to briefly, Council Member Treyger asked questions about SCI.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I asked some questions about SCI and the level of some dependence involved.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Great. I do want to, because it seems the report, the McGovern report is clear that the commissioner, I think makes a persuasive case that he exceeded his authority, that's a finding that I accept. But I guess here's

where I do think there seems to be a legitimate concern, that we have not seen the kind of systemic investigations from SCI that we've seen in NYCHA.

There's no equivalent of the lead investigation in SCI. There's no equivalent of the safety investigations that we've seen in ACS and SCI. I guess, how do you feel about, what would you make of the performance of SCI when it comes to investigating broader operational failures at the DOE? One example is the delays in the busing. No one knows what role

MARGARET GARNETT: Nor do I.

SCI is playing. I have no idea what role SCI is

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And that's been on the daily news front page for weeks, months ago.

MARGARET GARNETT: Look, it certainly is very surprising that there haven't been systemic reports that relate to DOE given its size, I think some of the incidents that we all know about from the press and from being citizens in the city. So I have to imagine that certainly in the last six months or so that it's maybe been difficult for SCI to focus on its work. That's just a guess from the outside.

2.2

playing.

2.2

the last six months, I've seen no, in my five years on the City Council I'm not aware of a single report from SCI that exposed a systemic failure, and DOE is not one agency among many, it's a third of the city budget. Yet it seems like the office is far less effective than inspector generals in much smaller agencies.

MARGARET GARNETT: Yeah, I think that that's a concern. So I just...

 $\label{eq:council_member_torres} \mbox{COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I appreciate} \\ \mbox{that.}$

MARGARET GARNETT: Right, I just don't know enough today to have a recommendation or an answer, but I certainly share the concerns that you've raised and that Council Member Treyger has raised.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And I guess this is going to be a tough question to answer, but the SCI emerges from the McGovern report more autonomous than ever and so what is the relationship between the DOI commissioner and the SCI commissioner? What's...

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: I think that the SCI still reports to the DOI commissioner. I think there are, there are other ways of encouraging, inspiring, directing investigations that fall short of, I think, trying to take control of every aspect of SCI's operations, as was the subject of the McGovern report. So I don't think it's one or the other. I don't think it's either completely hands off with no leadership and no involvement, or the degree of control that was criticized in the report. So I don't have the answers today, but as I said I certainly share your concerns about the effectiveness of that agency and would like to learn more.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Earlier in the year I had expressed when Commissioner Peters was accused of violating the whistleblower rights of Anastasia Coleman before the appointment of a special counsel there were media reports indicating that Corporation Counsel was contemplating investigating those allegations against the commissioner. Which raised the question in my mind, does Corporation Counsel have the authority to investigate the DOI commissioner? What would be your thoughts on that question?

3

4

5

you.

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: I don't know the answer to that question.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: All right, thank

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member Cornegy.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Thank you, Madam Thank you, Ms. Garnett, for being here today. Fortunately or unfortunately for you, this is apropos for you being here today on a day when myself as the chair of the Housing and Buildings Committee and my borough president are calling for a thorough investigation of the city's third-party transfer program, which is administered through HPD, which is reported to be disproportionately impacting the transfer of wealth of properties of African American and Latino and minority constituents in the borough. We saw today two cases in particular where homes were transferred with the reported value each of 1.5 million, no mortgage, one for a thousand dollars in water was transferred to a third party, a nonprofit, and the other for thirty thousand dollars in outstanding value, in outstanding violations, and when we've attempted to get from HPD the criteria

about which, at least these types, of properties,
were transferred we have been unable to do it at this
point. I just want to know, this is like a clear-cut
example of DOI intervention potentially yielding some
information that could protect a particular
demographic in the city. I'm curious as to what your
willingness would be to do a thorough investigation
from your vantage point on an agency like HPD.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm certainly happy to MARGARET GARNETT: investigate any agency in the city that there's credible allegations of misconduct or corruption. So I don't have a view of one agency versus another and I'll confess, I don't know much about the city's third-party transfer program. Certainly at the attorney general's office we have a real estate enforcement unit within the criminal division that has done really terrific work, I think, on deed theft and other circumstances where bad actors have taken advantage of elderly or otherwise absentee property owners in the city. So I know a little bit about sort of deed theft and how it's possible to do that. From what I understand, you referenced a third-party transfer is not necessarily criminal misconduct in that same way. So I don't know much about the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Well, I appreciate your answer. The reason I didn't actually bring up deed theft, deed fraud, and/or lien sales, which is the Trifecta that's displacing the most home owners and communities of color across the city is because it was mentioned before that the city's, New York City's purview over particular things, I wanted to be specific on HPD, our purview over HPD in particular. But it is that Trifecta that I'd like to overall look for, we're not clear whether or not, I wasn't clear whether or not DOI, the city's DOI, had the purview to look at. The judicial system, which we believe is culpable in some of these transfers, especially through lien sale, so it's an over-arching idea and certainly I'd love to be able to collaborate in the future trying to find a way to stem the tide of this transfer of wealth, in particular communities that are gentrifying throughout the city.

MARGARET GARNETT: And I would be happy to continue that conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Thank you.

MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member

3 Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Madam Congratulations on your nomination, and I look forward to continuing conversations for the next three years as a member of Mr. Chair Torres' committee. You'll be from time to time appearing before us, I'll be the one smiling, Ritchie will be the one doing what he does. But I wanted to just go into a little bit of what Mr. Speaker spoke with you about earlier today, and he inquired if you had read the McGovern report and you indicated that you did, and two words I wrote down from your response were that you found it shocking and troubling. Is that reflection limited to the conduct of the former commissioner or do you find that in your reading of the report that there may have been other people at DOI that should you be recommended by this committee to the floor and the council confirms you, you will ultimately have to look at other conduct from other people? Or, if you're not comfortable saying so you can choose that as well.

MARGARET GARNETT: Yeah, I think I agree that there's other people talked about in the report

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 with potentially troubling conduct. I don't feel comfortable discussing personnel matters here... 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Fine. MARGARET GARNETT: ... but I think that's 5 one of the first orders of business is to look into 6 7 that, yes. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Do you ever believe it's appropriate for a law enforcement agency 9 to talk about an investigation that is ongoing with 10 11 details of the ongoing investigation? 12 MARGARET GARNETT: Of a criminal 13 investigation? No. 14

investigatory investigation. DOI is kind of hybrid, because not every investigation is necessarily criminal in nature, although at times it can become criminal, but at its essence it's there to find out, to discover, to produce a report and sometimes a recommendation or referral to an appropriate prosecutor. DOI itself, as you know, is not a prosecutor. You are a prosecutor right now, but in my view, and there's a reason that the charter requires one of the job requirements of the commissioner is to be admitted to practice law in

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on Rules, Privileges, and Elections 98

this state, and I think there's a higher level of appropriate conduct that can be expected of an officer of the court when it comes to leading an investigatory agency that's not necessarily a prosecutorial agency but has somewhat hybrid powers.

So with that framework, is it ever appropriate for an investigatory agency, such as DOI, to publicly state an investigation is occurring in the manner which happened last week?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: I think my baseline answer would be no. I think there are always circumstances that will be exceptions, so I hesitate to say that I could never imagine any circumstance in which it would be appropriate, but I think as a general matter statements to the public or at least to the press, while investigations are ongoing, it is difficult to imagine a circumstance where I think that would be appropriate.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So to frame what happened last week, it was a correspondence that was a public correspondence, it wasn't an internal conversation with a superior or an in camera conversation with a legislative body, for example, or with a member of the judiciary overseeing a case, but

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 99 it was a public letter, a defense of a record, if you will, and prosecutors have certain guidelines, tenants that they have to abide by. Obviously DOI commissioner not being a sworn prosecutor per se, it's a little different, but that's why I referenced the point about being an officer of the court and having certain obligations to speak truthfully, to be, to be, I guess, I guess circumspect with the information that one states, needing only to state that which is necessary to be stated, so as to, as you say, as you said at the beginning, DOI has the ability to destroy people's careers, lives, make things at least uncomfortable for people, and here what we had is correspondence that its sole purpose was to, you know, fire parting shots on the way out the door. That's my characterization. It doesn't have to be yours, ma'am. But my point, again, is putting aside the broader question of whether or not coming before the council and speaking about, or having an in camera conversation with the speaker or the chair of a relevant committee, as Mr. Chair Torres spoke about earlier. The broad document that was released to the public that set forth that there

were several investigations that had not been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 completed and detailed information about those 3 investigations. Do you believe under those set of circumstances is it appropriate to do that? 4 5 MARGARET GARNETT: No. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: OK. You read the 7 letter, I assume? 8 MARGARET GARNETT: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: OK. Did you find 9 10 it credible? 11 MARGARET GARNETT: Um, I don't have any 12 basis to judge whether or not it's credible. I do 13 know that as far as the portion of the letter that 14 might relate to me, the concern that any future DOI 15 commissioner will be chilled, I can assure you that I 16 will not be chilled and to the extent that anyone, 17 Mr. Peters or in the administration or otherwise 18 thinks that I will be intimidated or chilled I think they will be sorely disappointed. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Good for them, for being sorely disappointed, because I believe you and 21 2.2 I take you at your word should this council confirm 23 you that when you take the oath it has the words faithfully executing the duties of the office and 24

you'll swear to do that and I believe you will and

you're an officer of the court and you've already taken an oath and you've take your oath as deputy attorney general, and I believe you will be trusted to do your job in accordance with the oath. There was an allegation in the letter, I'm not going to drag you through the entirety of the letter, but there was an allegation in the letter that a member of the NYPD had brandished his firearm, have you read that?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: I saw the characterization that there was an NYPD officer...

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Displayed his, displayed his sidearm in an effort to...

MARGARET GARNETT: ... had a visibly displayed firearm at the meeting, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: If you were commissioner, if you were anybody, if you worked, in your current job, at any job you've ever held, in a position to have a conversation with, during the course of your official duties with a member of law enforcement who displayed a firearm in a manner described in the letter, would the first time anybody have ever heard about that been in a letter issued many months later?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: No...

3 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Is it fair to say
4 you would have gone to the supervisor, you would have
5 reported it to a superior officer of some kind,
6 notified your own security personnel, perhaps?

MARGARET GARNETT: If someone had brandished a firearm, yes, that's a very...

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Displayed, I'm using the word brandished, I think the word is displayed or somehow indicated that the sidearm was carried.

MARGARET GARNETT: Right. It's not clear to me from the letter what occurred. I will say that I have interacted with police officers and federal agents and my own investigators pretty much every single day of the last thirteen years and in almost all of those meetings when they're in my office with their jacket off they have a visibly displayed firearm, so I find it a little bit unusual that someone in law enforcement would find a visibly displayed firearm to be meaningful outside of the ordinary course...

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Same here.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 103

2 MARGARET GARNETT: I don't know the 3 facts.

2.2

inquire very briefly on a topic that Chair Torres asked you about. I do agree that when you have the sensitive position of heading an investigatory agency with law enforcement powers and the specifics as laid out in the charter, it is a sensitive job. But at the same time, as the chair indicated, the council does have oversight over that agency. And as the head of the agency that would be you. [coughing] Bless you.

MARGARET GARNETT: Excuse me.

appearing from time to time before the council and that's in public. Do you believe that a process can be fairly and ethically created in which you are able to from time to time, without a broad rule about it, but from time to time, as the case may be, on sensitive issues where the council is looking into something or the chair is looking into something, or you're aware that it may, you know, the two may somehow meet, for you to have an in camera conversation with the chairman or the speaker?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: Yeah, I certainly
think that it is possible to design a process in
which both sides could have confidence that we had a
shared understanding of the need for confidentiality,
that there might be some frustrations on both sides
at times, I think that's inevitable, but I certainly
think it's possible to design a process that could
give both sides some comfort and a greater ability to
share information.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And as a prosecutor, from time to time you've had, ah, and also as a clerk for a judge from time to time, I assume, you've had the ability to participate in in camera conversations, the purpose of which is designed to not be made public, but where it's important for the appropriate authorities to have shared information, so that everybody is sort of on the same page and that there's no surprises caught, but more importantly because there are shared responsibilities and if two separate agencies, yourself and for example the council, the legislative body, are going down two different paths, not being aware of, for example, the council can actually be doing something that interferes with your

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 investigation, you may need to have a conversation with the speaker or with the chairman to make sure 3 that that doesn't occur. So you're comfortable doing 4 that from time to time and to work with the council 5 6 to create a process, not with me, not with the other 7 members, but with the speaker and with the chairman, so that you can have that comfort that you're able to 8 share information confidentially with the council. 9 MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, as you've said, 10 I've certainly been part of those types of 11

I've certainly been part of those types of conversations in the past and I think that it is possible to design a process that would make that possible under appropriate circumstances.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you very much and Madam Chair, thank you for giving me the opportunity not as a member of the committee for indulging me. Good luck. Godspeed.

MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. I thought it was strange, there was the letter that Council Member Yeger just referenced that was addressed to myself and Chair Torres from the previous commissioner, which did not go into detail, but

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 alluded to specifically investigations, again, I don't know if these investigations are real, I have 3 no information, but the letter said, what the letter 4 5 said and you indicated, Ms. Garnett, that you read 6 that letter, so the previous commissioner disclosed, 7 if it is true, that there are potential 8 investigations. In Buzzfeed he was, I quess, reached on the phone and he was asked about an investigation 9 related to Phil Eure and the NYPD on members of the 10 NYPD lying and he said that he didn't comment on 11 12 investigations. So I found that to be strange, that we got a letter that was disclosed to the press. 13 14 actually got, the press got that letter before I got 15 that letter. I was given that letter by a member of 16 the press before I received it, which detailed investigations, but then when asked about an issue 17 18 that is of importance to the council, again, I don't know if there's anything there there, he said he 19 doesn't comment on investigations. How do you square 20 that from the conversation that we've had today? 21 MARGARET GARNETT: I don't think it can 2.2 23 be squared.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: You don't believe it

25 can be squared?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: I don't know what was in Mr. Peters' mind and I certainly think that the proper response is not to comment publicly on ongoing investigations. I agree with you that there seems to be a conflict between the letter, the discussion that is in the letter that was released in response to his termination and the stated position that no comment can be made on ongoing investigations.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I agree with you. found it to be strange and I couldn't square it, Which is why I'm glad we're having a either. conversation today and it's been, I think, a pretty detailed conversation with no, um, I think inappropriate or over-the-line commitment from you on disclosing things that you would deem to endanger an ongoing investigation, but as Chair Torres and Chair Treyger and as our colleague, Council Member Yeger just pointed out in his line of questioning, figuring out the right balance, not publicly, but how the council's charter-mandated oversight of city agencies is complemented by DOI's investigations and the symbiotic productive relationship that can occur between this oversight body, which has limited ability, we have some ability, but we don't have the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS same tools in the arsenal that DOI has in getting certain information, and so I'm happy to hear a level of commitment and once you, if you become DOI commissioner, understanding and exploring that appropriate balance of what is the right balance of meeting with us, having conversations with us, working with us in a productive fashion on issues that are important to this body and issues that are important to DOI. I think Chair Treyger has really pointed out the size and breadth of the Department of Investigation and the fact that as chair of that committee he has no idea if the Special Commissioner of Investigations or DOI generally has been looking into anything related to that, and us not wanting to do it to try to sort of peek under the curtain, but to just understand if there are issues that we should be looking at through the budget process, if there are issues that we should be looking at through our oversight process when we have the chancellors or commissioners come in here, and so I think it's very helpful to hear this conversation today, and to be honest I'm not sure I ever felt comfortable having this conversation before related to DOI. And partly I think it's because of the strength of DOI and, you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 109 know, and how I felt previous leadership comported themselves and not really feeling totally comfortable in being able to have a level of conversation like So I'm grateful we're having this conversation today. I just have one question, and then we have another round of questions. I also want to praise Commissioner Peters. Again, as I said in my opening statement the work he did at ACS, the work he did at NYCHA, the work he did at MOCS, the work he did at the Department of Corrections, the work he did at many agencies which I think resulted in good rapport with good recommendations, those are all good things and I want to see that work continue. Are there any particular things that you feel passionate about? Are there any particular areas that given your experience in the attorney general's office and in the Southern District in the US Attorney's Office that you think DOI has already been working on which is of interest to you, or you haven't seen DOI work on, but is of interest just to explore, you know, Council Member Chin raised a real important issue around social adult daycare centers and Medicaid fraud involved. Are there any other areas, generally, not

specifically, because I don't want you to tip the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 110 hand if you are going to pursue something when you get in there, but generally issue area, are there issue areas that are important to you that you feel passionate about that you look forward to looking at if you become DOI commissioner?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: There's no one particular subject matter. I mean, certainly as a prosecutor, in my experience as a prosecutor there are aspects of city government that I know a lot more about and have a lot more insight into their operations, including NYPD and Corrections, and in my time at the AG's office I think that understanding has expanded. Some of the discussion that we had about the housing and real estate issues I've learned a lot more about in the past year. It wasn't something I knew much about at the US Attorney's Office. But I think I'm approaching this with, I hope, some humility and recognition that DOI's purview is so wide and there are huge aspects of city government that sitting here today I know almost nothing about, and I think that you make the best decisions with the best information, so I do expect to develop over time priorities for things DOI should focus on. I think that from my perspective it would

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 111

be disrespectful to the career staff at DOI to make

those commitments now. I'd like to get there, meet

with the people who have been doing the work, who are

closest to the agencies that they oversee, hear from

them what's going on, what they've seen, what they'd

like to work on and filter up from those

conversations what should be their priorities agency
wide.

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: And lastly, Council

Member Yeger just spoke to this, and I'm not asking

this in a specific way but in a general way since you

were just asked about it. You said at the beginning

of the questioning today at the beginning of this

hearing that you read the entire McGovern report.

That's correct, right?

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, I may have skimmed some pages, but I read the entire thing, yes.

Yeger just asked you a question about the personnel at the Department of Investigation. I'm not asking for any specific changes. I know you would have to, if you're confirmed, get in there, understand where things stand, talk to the top-level staff, but I would assume that any leader, any council member, any

committee on Rules, Privileges, and Elections 112 speaker, any commissioner, would probably seek to have people that they know and trust, that they've worked with in the past in previous professional capacities, and would you be looking to complement the existing executive staff with your own people, people that you have had a working relationship with in the past?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, I'd like to have some of my own people come with me, yes.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. You know, I want to say, Ms. Garnett, I'm very impressed, I'm done. I know we have other questions. I'm going to leave, but I'll leave you in good hands, and I want to say I'm very impressed with your temperament, your knowledge, your professionalism, your career, the interactions that we had privately, which was only once, last week before the Thanksgiving holiday, a meeting we had with Chair Koslowitz and Chair Torres, where we asked extensive questions, not all the same as the questions today, but they were serious, hard questions, and I thought they were answered in a very thoughtful, professional manner, which gave me a lot of confidence even before this hearing today. written responses gave me similar confidence. Your

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS opening statement gave me confidence, and the way you've comported yourself and conducted yourself today, sometimes not answering the way maybe council members would want you to answer, but answering it in a thoughtful, measured, fair way, and for me I think the commissioner of this agency, it's a very tricky job, it's not an easy job, and it requires someone that of course has fidelity to the law, but also fidelity to fairness and how investigations are conducted, how they are disclosed, and how you work with other levels of government. And so I feel confident in your ability to lead this agency. don't speak for the entire council and we have not had a conversation about this yet, but I look forward to supporting your nomination. Today's hearing has given me confidence in your ability to lead this agency and I do that with the hope that we can have a productive working relationship together to benefit New Yorkers across the board, especially the most vulnerable, and having a City Council and a Department of Investigation that is able to uncover corruption, waste, fraud, and abuse, and come up with specific policy recommendations to benefit the lives of New Yorkers who rely upon government to get things

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

right and do things better. So I look forward to supporting your nomination and I look forward to working with you should the other members of this body agree with the support of your nomination for the betterment of our city, and I'm really grateful for your testimony today, how you've answered our questions, and your temperament. Thank you very much, Ms. Garnett, and I'm going to turn it back to Chair Koslowitz.

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you, I appreciate that very much.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you,

Chair. I just have a couple of follow-up questions.

The speaker mentioned and my colleague, Council

Member Torres mentioned, and I mentioned earlier

about the fact that I am not familiar with any

systemic investigations of the DOE within the past

five years. The inquiries that I did mention before,

namely with school buses, were not housed with SCI.

These inquiries are housed with a federal body or

others. So I am seeking further clarity on of the

structure, because a lot has been reported in the

press, but we really haven't heard folks here under oath about this structure. The fact that for all these years there's been no systemic investigations of the largest department in our city, but the fact that federal agents are investigating, I think that warrants an inquiry. Is there anything in the law, based on your understanding, that prohibits DOI from initiating its own investigation of the DOE, even with this structure that has been talked about quite a bit?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: I'm not sure.

Honestly, I'm still getting up to speed as a legal matter about the divisions between SCI and DOI and how that works, you know, it's clear that SCI is a part of DOI in one sense in that the special commissioner reports to the commissioner of DOI. It has its own independent budget and historically under Mr. Condon operated with a great deal of independence. But I think that the special commissioner is still answerable to the commissioner of DOI and the kinds of questions that you're raising about how they are allocating resources, what investigations are underway, I certainly think that those are conversations that if I am confirmed I

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 116

would expect to have with Ms. Coleman in order just
at the beginning to learn myself about what kinds of
investigations they are pursuing, how their resources
are being used, to get Ms. Coleman's perspective on
what they should be doing and have hopefully a
productive conversation about that. I just know so
little sitting here right now, but I share your
concerns and I look forward hopefully to having that
conversation.

now we rely whether on a press report, we rely on news from the federal government, we rely on a comptroller's audit or report where sometimes the DOE is overspending, bloated contracts, problematic contracts. Meanwhile we have a dedicated investigatory body that is supposed to do this work. And we really haven't heard anything other than just, you know, personnel matters. And I would just like to know and get further clarity from you and you might not have all the answers here today, under what circumstances would you inform SCI that this is an issue that has come to your attention, we should investigate this, even in the face of an action on

2.2

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 117
the part of SCI? Can you speak about this type of
scenario?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARGARET GARNETT: Again, I want to be careful because I don't, I don't know sitting here today all the details about the nature of that relationship, but it's clear that SCI is answerable to the commissioner of DOI and so I think that it's as a general matter entirely appropriate for the commissioner to be talking with the Special Commissioner of Investigation about what investigations are ongoing to be up to speed on those, to be given direction and advice about the conduct of those investigations, and it's not my understanding sitting here today that the independence of SCI means that they have no oversight whatsoever, the commissioner of DOI has no role in directing those investigations. So I think, as I've said, I share your concerns. I'm eager to learn more about what they have underway and talk to Ms. Coleman about what her vision is for the operation of that part of DOI.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Because I'm just eager to know whether DOI could itself initiate an investigation even in the face of the structure that

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member

24

25

Lander.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thanks very much, Madam Chair and Ms. Garnett, thank you very much for sticking around for what's become now a long hearing. So I actually just want to kind of correct the record on my first round of testimony and ask you sort of a, the questions around a couple of the investigations and in particular the ones around potential issues of non-consequence for lying of NYPD officers, to me it's not a question of sort of internal power struggle at DOI and NYPD, it's a question that really matters for the integrity of the NYPD and the DOI itself. I'll start by saying, you know, there's no, you know, that reports looks at a pretty small percentage of off the, excuse me, the Buzzfeed investigation in place of any report from the NYPD, IG, or DOI that we saw, looked at a couple hundred officers and had several dozen serious allegations, that's out of, obviously, over the years that looked at fifty-thousand plus officers, so I think we're talking about 99% of officers plus, but for the small percentage of officers that are identified in the work that Buzzfeed did, some very serious and troubling allegations about lying about use of force, lying on the record, lying in court, lying in

2.2

internal investigations, and so I just want to make sure that you understand, you know, in addition to the issues you have to deal with internally as you set up the structure, I just want to make sure that you know from us and hear from you also that that's an issue that just like you spoke to Council Member Treyger about people who would come in here and lie to us and how serious that would be, especially in a state which is one of just a handful in the country where officer disciplinary records are shielded from public record that that's a really core and important role of DOI and the NYPD IG, and it's something that you would take seriously and want to look at and make sure it is was given the attention it merited.

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, I think it's a serious issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I think you said before that it was something you would take a look at, obviously without knowing, did you get a chance, had you read, not today's Buzzfeed article, but the earlier report that dug into these dismissal probation cases?

MARGARET GARNETT: No, I don't think I have seen that.

2.2

ask that you, after today, read that earlier article which looks at cases of dismissal probation and a series of files that Buzzfeed was able to get that raise a series set of issues in which we have not had a report from the IG on, I don't know whether they looked and concluded that there wasn't something there from their point of view, or what happened at all, but will you agree to take a look at the article? It's not a long, not a long read, in addition to your dialogue with Inspector General Eure as you figure out what's a pro going forward there.

MARGARET GARNETT: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: OK. Thank you very much. Madam Chair, thank you again for the indulgence in the long hearing.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Council Member Torres.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I want to follow up on both Council Member Lander and Council Member Treyger, and this will be my final round of questioning. There is a sense in which DOI is even more empowered to investigate individual misconduct on the part of an officer than even CCRP, where you

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 122

have subpoena power, you're a law enforcement agency,
you could arrest. I think one of my criticisms of

DOI, and I've said it publicly to Commissioner

Peters, is I'm aware of no instance in which DOI has
held an individual officer accountable for

misconduct. Is that a dynamic you're willing to

2.2

change?

MARGARET GARNETT: Um, yeah, I have been part at the US Attorney's Office of prosecuting police officers for misconduct, and federal agents also. So I have some experience doing that and I don't, obviously there are, as Council Member Lander alluded to, there are some rules and structures that are different for police officers, but in terms of DOI's obligation to hold all city employees to access for their behavior, within that broad purview I don't see why a police officer should be treated differently than other city employees.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I'm actually happy with that response. Historically, SCI has been insulated from City Council oversight. Our oversight over SCI has been through DOI. But now that SCI has been established as fundamentally independent of DOI in spite of whatever reporting obligation it might

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 123

have to the DOI commissioner, should SCI come before
the City Council on its own or should you be
testifying before the City Council on behalf of SCI

5 when it comes to budgetary matters?

2.2

enough to have an informed view on that. I think, I expect to, if I'm confirmed, to sometimes be back here to testify in my capacity as DOI commissioner. I think, I would imagine there would be many other instances where other staff or IGs would be the more appropriate witness. So I just don't know enough about the relationship between the council and SCI and DOI commissioner to have an informed view that is specific to SCI.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Do you feel DOI has the authority to investigate DOE or matter relating to DOE independently of SCI? Like, if SCI said, you know, we're not interested in looking at this, could DOI investigate in the absence of SCI?

MARGARET GARNETT: I'm not sure. I'd have to talk to, you know, counsel at DOI. I would want to make sure we were acting within the lawful authority of DOI. But I think if that authority were available to DOI separate from SCI and if I ever felt

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 that SCI was not looking at something that merited review, if we had the authority to do so I would be 3 willing to do that. I just don't know sitting here 4 today if that's, if we have the lawful authority to 5 do that. Now it's been said that DOI can not only 6 7 investigate city agencies, but you can investigate those who do business with the city, receive 8 subsidies from the city, some kind of benefit from 9 10 the city. It's been pointed out that the City of New York owns the subway. In your opinion, does DOI have 11 12 the authority to investigate the MTA? 13 MARGARET GARNETT: My understanding, 14 sitting here today, is no. I think that's 15 unfortunate in some ways as a citizen... 16 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yeah, it is

MARGARET GARNETT: Yeah.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

unfortunate.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Actually, a question about law enforcement. You've had interactions with DOI in your current, I guess your previous capacity as the head of the criminal division in the Attorney General's office. What were those interactions with DOI and how has DOI perceived within the broader law enforcement community?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: So I have had a number of cases at the Attorney General's office in which DOI is the investigative agency, as well as, plus personal involvement, but I'm certainly aware of a number of cases at the US Attorney's office in which DOI was the investigating agency, including some very significant cases, like the CityTime fraud case. So I think that the career staff at DOI has an outstanding reputation. They have terrific investigators and lawyers working there and I'm looking forward to meeting some of them.

I know there were a series of questions about the notion of commenting on investigations. And I'm wondering, to play devil's advocate for a moment, is there a difference between acknowledging the existence of an investigation and disclosing the details of an investigation, so I think we could all agree that the latter is utterly unacceptable. But is there something wrong with merely acknowledging an investigation? So if there's an issue and we have our own oversight and investigations division, one issue about which there has been public outrage has been the series of fatalities in the carting industry and

members of the public want to know what is the council doing about this, what is the mayor doing about this, what is DOI doing about this. Would it be wrong to say we're looking into this, we're acknowledging that we're looking into this, but we're not going to disclose exactly what we're looking into? Is that wrong?

MARGARET GARNETT: I don't think that's always wrong. I think that particularly in the oversight function I could imagine situations where it would be, could be appropriate for DOI to say that on a broad subject area we have received a referral from the City Council or the mayor's office and we are looking at...

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So there's nothing intrinsically wrong with commenting on an investigation as long as you're not disclosing details, is that, would that be a fair statement?

MARGARET GARNETT: Yeah, I think you have to be very careful and there should be a bias towards less disclosure rather than more because of the concerns that I've identified. So I think it's, I could imagine situations where it would be

2.2

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 127

appropriate, um, but I think because of the concerns
the bias should be towards not.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And I have a question about whistleblowing. Much has been said about the McGovern report, which is quite persuasive and compelling and I think no one here would ever want to be the target of a McGovern report.

MARGARET GARNETT: I know Jim a little bit personally, he's very impressive.

an impressive document. But I do have questions about his, and I'm not a lawyer, but what I take to be the breadth of his interpretation of whistleblower laws. So we often hear the three words corruption, fraud, and abuse, and corruption and fraud seem straightforward to me. Abuse seems more of a gray area and I'm wondering where is the line between insubordination and conscientious objection, or insubordination and whistleblowing? I could present you with a quick hypothetical. Suppose I'm the speaker of the City Council and I have a wonderful lawyer named Mark Treyger. And I ask Mark, I need you to draft a bill regulating commercial rents in New York City. And Mark says, you know, I have

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 128

concerns about whether the City Council has the

authority to do that, and he and I have this back and

forth over the course of several weeks, and he just

refuses to draft the bill, and at some point as the

speaker I say I'm going to have fire you, right,

because ultimately I'm the speaker, I'm in charge, I

make the determination about what laws we draft here.

Is he an insubordinate employee or is he a

whistleblower?

2.2

MARGARET GARNETT: I think it's so
dependent on the facts and circumstances. I think
that when an informed employee raises concerns that
they are being directed to undertake actions that
they think would violate the law that merits the most
serious, thoughtful consideration, particularly in a
law enforcement agency. So sometimes these are
complex, difficult questions, but I think at a
minimum it's clear to me that is very troubling and
could give some rise to whistleblower protection. So
it is very difficult to talk about hypotheticals
without knowing all the facts. I agree it can be a
complex issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And you could also, you could ascribe nefarious motivations or you

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 1 2 could assume that there's a good faith disagreement about what the law actually says, right? 3 4 MARGARET GARNETT: Yes, and that's why 5 these questions are very complicated. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: OK. I want to 7 thank you for your testimony and, like the speaker, I have been thoroughly impressed with you. I have 8 every intention of voting for you and I look forward 9 10 to working with you. 11 MARGARET GARNETT: Thank you. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you so 13 much. 14 CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you. 15 will now open up the floor to the public for 16 comments. Comments are limited to only three minorities. Also, if you have a written statement 17 18 please provide a copy of that statement to the sergeant-at-arms for distribution. We have three 19 20 people that want to testify, Towaki Komatsu. [pause] OK, will you begin, please? 21 2.2 TOWAKI KOMATSU: Sure. The reason why I 23 am here today is to oppose the proposed nomination of the candidate for this position only because the 24

mayor's actually technically without legal authority

to nominate. I testified previously to Mr. Torres on, let's see, March 26 at a City Council meeting.

During that testimony, that testimony was recorded on video, I'm looking at a video of that testimony, so I think for today's testify I'll just play back that video for your benefit as well as the audience. Just give me one sec. [plays recording -Thank you [inaudible] we've met one time previously. On January 8 I tried testifying in opposition to]...

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: What is that?

2.2

What is that?

testified before Ritchie Torres on March 26 at his oversight committee meeting. During that testimony I told him very explicitly that the mayor's NYPD security detail illegally excluded me from public meetings while he was running for reelection. During that testimony, I pointed out very explicitly that that particular act constitutes voter fraud and voter suppression. I testified at other public hearings. I've made it aware to members of the journalism field who have opted to censor that. While I sit before you today I have two lawsuits. I have one against the City of New York in federal court. I talked to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 131 1 2 Mr. Yeger over there previously during a City Council meeting. He advised me to send him an email. 3 4 that. There was no response. I also have a New York 5 State Supreme Court lawsuit against HRA. The reason 6 why this meeting is being held today is for 7 oversight. You're trying to make a decision as to 8 whether to, um, essentially replace Mark Peters. I met with DOI in their offices as a whistleblower. 9 I gave them information. They didn't act. 10 information was against the NYPD in regards to being 11 12 excluded from public hearings such as this. Also, with regards to HRA where there is no oversight. So 13 14 let me just, I guess, close with this, um, like I 15 said at the outset. The mayor had absolutely no 16 legal authority whatsoever to have nominated Ms. Garnett today for this position, um, and the truth of 17 18 the matter is there hasn't been any, ah, performance by DOA, DOI, in the past such that I've had to resort 19 20 to legal action against the city and it's going to encompass the deficiencies within DOI and HRA when I 21 2.2 file papers in the next two days. Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you 24 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Madam Chair, may I

inquire?

excluded from those meetings, that is voter fraud and

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 133 voter suppression. Therefore, his reelection was achieved through voter fraud and voter suppression.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: OK, gotcha. Just wanted to make sure I understood the legal basis for your point that the mayor couldn't make this nomination. Got it. Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Kelly Grace.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: Good afternoon. My name is Kelly Grace Price. I'm one of the cofounders of Close Rosies, the organizing project to close the Rose M. Singer Center, the all-female jail on Riker's Island. I would just like to take a moment to comment on the previous testimony. I know this gentleman. This is a gentleman who is always at public hearings. He's a war veteran, and I understand his anger and his rage at having his voice blocked and constantly muted from public discourse, and this gentleman shows up at every meeting, and just asks for his voice to be heard, and I just wanted to thank this committee for taking him seriously and for giving him a question. Even though his comments might be ad hoc, I do feel that there needs to be a broader place for public input, however we work that out. My specific issue has always been

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 134 around working on sexual violence. As an innocent person, I was thrown into the Rose M. Singer Center. I won't take this opportunity to lambast Cy Vance, but I really am one of the first people that was screaming about the very poor policies and process that Mr. Vance uses in his office under the guise of acting to help victims and survivors. He knew I was a survivor, and yet he threw me into Riker's Island. I went to Mount Holyoke. I had no idea what was going on Riker's Island. The only time I had ever been arrested was in Boulder, Colorado when I had been pushing my Vespa home drunk one night. I had no idea how to deal with the criminal justice system. And I was a very savvy person. I had been running photojournalists in and out of war zones for a very long time. But I want to talk specifically about how in all of these hearings no one ever talks about how we're going to reform the way that sexual assault and sex, rape investigations against city agents and agencies are performed. This is a role that the DOI is the fulcrum. In regarding the Department of Corrections, the DOI has the aegis to take investigations of rape and sexual assault, as you

know, which is a hot-button issue. We just forced a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 135 tri-committee hearing on the issue back in September. The DOI has the aegis to take these investigations away from the internal DOCS DOI and investigate them. But we have no transparency on when or where these investigations are lobbied back and forth, when they end, when they don't. As you know, you've probably heard from your colleagues on the Women's Issues Committee, on the Criminal Justice Committee, and on the Judiciary Committee that rape and sexual assault on Riker's Island is the issue that has been hidden and swept aside and swept under the rug. But this is ubiquitous in all of our city agencies. Let's look at the mess just in city government, when your own staffers need to elevate a complaint of rape and sexual assault. That's a mess. The same thing with the Department of Education. We need a department investigation that takes Me Too investigations very seriously, and I would really emphasize that going forward in exploring this candidate's qualifications that this is a line of questioning that you really take up with her, because our voices are being muted. We have absolutely no one to turn to, and no one doing investigations. As women, as the majority of

the population in the city, the most sacrosanct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 136 1 2 promise you can offer us is protection and now you have a moment to help us and I would appreciate it if 3 you would seize that moment. Thank you for listening 4 5 to me. 6 CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: And the last one, 7 Tatiana Guden [phonetic], I hope I said your name 8 right. UNIDENTIFIED: How ya doing. 9 10 TATIANA GUDEN: Hi. UNIDENTIFIED: Do you have any written 11 12 documentation of your statement? TATIANA GUDEN: No. 13 14 UNIDENTIFIED: Take a seat. Make sure you 15 identify yourself for the record. 16 TATIANA GUDEN: OK. Hi, my name is 17 Tatiana Guden. I have a specific concern and 18 specifically what was written by Mr. Peters in his November 19th letter, specifically on page 8 and 7 19 20 regarding other investigations not yet made public but known to the administration and involving senior 21 2.2 agency officials and, um, senior agency officials, 23 the mayor, and public safety, and that these 24 investigations are currently in place and have not

been yet made public. Um, I have, I think I have a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 137 good idea of what they are. I had actually emailed Mr. Johnson and Mr. Torres and Mr. Poniak [phonetic] evidence of, um, what exactly that is. I did that recently and more of that can be found in the lawsuit that I filed, Guden versus City of New York. biggest concern is this. From listening to Ms. Garnett and especially the fact that she has had no connection to the mayor prior than two weeks ago when she first became a nominee, that makes me feel very, um, confident. My only concern is it seems like, I know that DOI has unfettered access, you know, by the powers that's given to it. Unfettered access over every single person, every city employee from, you know, a janitor at NYCHA to the mayor to the district attorneys, to the police commissioner. They have unfettered access to the emails, to every single document, and so on. I know that previously there was, you know, connection between Mr. Peters and the mayor that maybe prevented him from or, um, he chose not to do certain things that he should have. just seems that DOI just as in general when it comes to investigating higher-ranking, you know, the agency has and especially at the NYPD, I mean, Mr. Peters,

like I said, he says one, he'll say one sentence in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that letter, that sentence means a lot. It seems like DOI is, even though it has power to just walk in and surreptitiously pull emails and to, you know, they don't need to subpoena documents, they can just walk in and grab them off the shelf, and it just seems they're not doing it out of politics, out of trying to be polite, or out of, you know, that's just, you know, that's just, it was never done that way before. What can be, and, again, I'm fully confident in...

UNIDENTIFIED: You have to wrap it up.

TATIANA GUDEN: OK, in Ms. Garnett's, um, independence, but how can City Council, especially the Oversight Committee at City Council, how can they kind of, um, encourage the DOI exercise that power more than it has, so, um, what?

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: [inaudible] no longer with us and I feel confident that Ms. Garnett will do a very good job. I mean, she testified today for almost three hours and every question was asked of her, that was asked of her, she answered without hesitation. So I feel very confident in her nomination.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 139 TATIANA GUDEN: Yeah, so do I. Like I said, it's more of DOI exercising the powers that it has, you know. CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you very much. I thank our candidate, Ms. Garnett. I also thank our speaker and all council members, particularly the members of this committee. This Rules Committee Advice and Consent Hearing now stands in recess, to be continued on the morning of November 28 for committee vote. This meeting is recessed. [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 30, 2018