CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

----- X

November 20, 2018 Start: 10:15 a.m. Recess: 3:45 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: COREY JOHNSON

Speaker, New York Council

FERNANDO CABRERA

Chairperson

RITCHIE J. TORRES

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Ben Kallos

Alan N. Maisel Bill Perkins Keith Powers

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Kalman Yeger Rory I. Lancman

Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Michael Ryan, Executive Director, New York City Board of Elections

Dawn Sandow, Deputy Executive Director, New York City Board of Elections

Douglas Kellner, Co-Chair, New York State Board of Elections

Judd Ryan, Election Systems and Software

Ayirini Fonseca-Sabune, NYC Chief Democracy Officer Democracy NYC Initiative, Office of the Mayor

Susan Lerner, Executive Director, Common Cause New York

Alex Camarda, Senior Policy Advisor, Reinvent Albany

Diana Finch, Temporary Poll Worker

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

[sound check] [gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I want to welcome to today's hear. My name is Corey Johnson and I am the Speaker of the New York City Council. I want to thank the Chairs of the Committees on Governmental Operations, and Oversight and Investigations, Council Member Fernando Cabrera and Ritchie Torres for their resolve on this issue that we will be discussing today. We are, of course, discussing what happened on Election Day earlier this month. A top-to-bottom review is not a small task, and I want to thank the chairs for setting aside time to devote to today's hearing, and to the staff whose hours of work guaranteed our ability to give this mess the attention that it deserves. We already have some of the most archaic and restrictive election laws in the country, and we can talk about those. We will be raising these issues with our state colleagues to hopefully enact and implement early voting, No-Excuse Absentee Ballot voting, automatic voter registration, electronic poll books and same-day voter registration. It's my hope and no later than the 2020 Presidential Election. I understand that consolidating our congressional and state and local

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

primary dates would also greatly help our Elections Administration overall. It is beyond urgent that we update our laws to catch up with other states across the country. However, today, we're here to discuss November 6, 2019, the General Election. On November 6, 2018, the City Council had 40 staff assigned to approximately 200 poll sites in all five boroughs to oversee day-of operations. By 8:30 in the morning, we were receiving messages that—we were receiving messages that scanners were failing and poll site coordinators were initiating emergency protocol at select sites. That morning, I experience the very issues-those very issues at my own poll site that many other New Yorkers experienced when they tried to vote, or the issues that we saw on new reports. By 11 o'clock in the morning at my poll site, the LGBT Center in the Greenwich Village, we were down to one functioning scanner causing a line to back up to out the door, and into the pouring rain. Over the course of the day, the voting crises escalated in four out of five boroughs, voters waiting in two to three hours of lines to vote, scanners jamming or out of commission entirely, and wide-ranging emergency protocols initiated at different poll sites. To say

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that I was angry about what I witnessed and bewildered at the day of operations would an understatement. To the more than two million New Yorkers who turned out and cast a ballot in this year's general election, I want to say thank you. You exceeded our expectations, and to those New Yorker who tried to cast a ballot in this year's general election and for reasons beyond your control, found the process arduous, discouraging and unsafe, I want you to know that the Council is committee to getting to the bottom of this. I would hate for your experience on Tuesday, November 6 to end your civic engagement. We need your voice, we need you to ensure that the laws considered by these committee members, myself along with the rest of the Council take you into account to ensure that our budget funds programs in your neighborhood that take you into account, and we need you and every New Yorker who's eligible to cast their ballot. To the representatives of the City Board of Elections, I want to thank you for your willingness to testify to the committees this morning on the 2018 General Election, but I also want to say that regardless of

the weather, the turnout or other circumstances, it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

is your mandated responsibility to administer our elections in an equitable and organized fashion. can't begin to describe my disappointment at what I consider to be the egregious failure to effectuate this mandate, and our most recent general election. There should not be riot conditions at poll sites across our city. Elderly and disabled New Yorkers should not be made to wait in line for more than 30 minutes as State Law says, let alone two to three hours, and most devastating, voters should not leave poll sites with any doubt of whether or not their ballot has been cast and that their vote has been recorded. This is not democracy. I look forward to an in-depth review that the committees will conduct today, and most importantly, I expect to hear a plan forthcoming from the city and state to rectify the issues of this past election, and to improve ten fold, if not more, that the election operations for the next election and for the one after that, and for the one after that, and I want to now hand it over to the Co-Chairs who are co-chairing this hearing today, the Chair of our Governmental Operations Committee, Chair Fernando Cabrera.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you so much, CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Mr. Speaker. Good morning and welcome to this joint oversight hearing of the Committee on Governmental Operations and the Committee on Oversight and Investigation on the 2018 General Election. I am Council Member Fernando Cabrera, Chair of the Committee on Governmental Operations, and I want to thank Speaker Corey Johnson and my Co-Chair Council Member Ritchie Torres for their unwavering support of our city's voters. This committee has held oversight hearings on elections before, and it had held hearings with the New York City Board of Elections before, but I cannot remember ever being some immediately obviously that a hearing will be needed as it was with this past election. The long lines. Let me say that again, the long lines and voting machine failures were so widespread that many of us not only heard about it in the news story, but also experienced it when we tried to vote ourselves. Our social media overflow with members of the public reaching out to us for help. We can see on the screen some of the comments from frustrated voters that I received on my personal page all of whom just wanted to participate in our democracy. We spend so

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

much time in in the Council in improving voting registration efforts, and voting rights education, but it's all for nothing if people try to vote and fail. What does it say when our election process is a bigger deterrent to voters than the pouring rain. We simply must do better. In Nassau County they use the same voting machines we use, operated under the same election laws that we operated under, and because clouds do not stop at the Queens border, the voter in the same wet weather we did, yet we experienced long lines, and they did not. Clearly, our Board of Election is doing or failing to do something different here. I truly hope that today's discussion will be a productive one. It is not enough to say that the problems were unexpected. Now that they have happened, they are no longer unexpected. Therefore, I want to hear how we prevent this from happening again. If our machines are failing, then I want to hear how we will fix them. If our planning was poor, then I want to hear how we will plan better. The voters of New York City deserve to hear that. They don't want to hear excuses. They want to hear solutions. I want to

thank the staff of both committees-of both

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Torres.

committees, Brad Reed, Alyssa Vicon (sp?), Emily
Forgione, Zach Harris, Steve Pinkney, Jennifer Smith,
Pearl Moore, Cordero Perez, Lucy Trender, Mark Chan,
as well as my own Legislative Director, Clair
McLavain for their tremendous amount of work they put
into hearing in such a short amount of time. I look
forward to our discussion. With that, I pass it to
my Co-Chair Ritchie Torres, Council Member Ritchie

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Good morning I'm City Council Member Ritchie Torres and everyone. I chair the Committee on Oversight and investigations. I'm honored to join the City Council Speaker Corey Johnson and the Chair of the Committee on Government Operations Fernando Cabrera in chairing the heating on the Central institution New York City Democracy, our elections. On Tuesday, November 6th, millions of voters were thrown into a preventable state of mass chaos and confusion caused by a lack of planning, preparation, and professionalism from the New York City Board of Elections. There were countless poll sites like P.S. 96 in the Bronx where every machine or nearly every machine had broken down. Even though New York City is the greatest city

25

in the world, the manner in which we conduct

elections is unworthy of our city's greatness.

4 Indeed it is nothing short of a national

5 embarrassment that a city so great runs its elections

6 so poorly. Ever since the 2016 election, we have all

7 been keenly aware of the brave new world we live in,

8 and a world where the threat of cyber warfare lurks

9 in the background of every election. But here in New

10 York City it seems as if we have become our own worst

11 enemy. It seems that we have as much to fear from

12 our own incompetent administration of elections as we

13 do from political interference at the hands of a

14 | foreign enemy. Now, Michael Ryan, the Executive

15 Director of the Board of Elections is right to point

16 out that the long lines of eager voters are a tribute

17 | to "a healthy, robust democracy." But he is only

18 | partly right. The never ending delays that most of

19 \parallel us have painfully felt first hand is not merely a

20 | sign of health in New York City's democracy, it is

21 | also a sign of sickness in the New York City Board of

22 | Elections, which cannot manage to administer an

23 | election without experiencing a systemic breakdown.

24 If it's not a voter purge in Brooklyn, then it's a

citywide collapse of voting machines producing long

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

lines of frustrated New Yorkers. Now, Mr. Ryan has said that the Board of Elections cannot be faulted for "lacking a crystal ball" but the problem is not that Board of Elections did not know or could not have known the challenges of a two-page perforated ballot. Those challenges were well known as evidence by BOE's own records. The problem it seems is that the Board of Elections couldn't be bothered to properly plan and prepare for those challenges as a professional agency would and therein lies the sickness in our system. The most vital institution in our democracy our electoral system is in the hands of a broken bureaucracy. The city cannot afford to have a voting process so cumbersome, so dysfunctional that it inhibits everyday New Yorkers from exercising their fundamental right to vote. Our shared mission should be to produce more voters not less, to make voting more accessible not less. If we as a city and as a state are failing at that critical mission, and the people we represent are paying the price. On the morning after his election in 2012, President Obama said, "I want to thank every American who participated in this election whether you voted for the very first time or waited in line for a very long

on Governmental Operations, and Oversight and

25

2 Investigation. Thank you for providing the

3 opportunity to appear before you on behalf of the

4 Board of Elections. My name is Michael Ryan, and I

5 am the Executive Director of the Board. Seated to my

6 | right is Don Sandal, and we are also accompanied by

7 additional staff as set forth in my written

8 | testimony. I will provide an overview regarding the

9 September 13th Primary Election and the November 6,

10 | 2018 General Election. After providing formal

11 remarks, I am prepared to answer questions from the

12 committee members. Given the proximity of the

13 | election, and today's hearing as well as whatever

 $14 \parallel \text{time constraints there may be, I endeavor to keep my}$

15 remarks as brief as I could, but yet be informative

16 | to allow as much time for questions as I'm sure this

17 panel will have many. The New York City Board of

18 | Elections is mandated by State Law to conduct fair

19 | and honest elections and enfranchise all eligible New

20 Yorkers to practice those rights. That

21 \parallel responsibility is taken very seriously. To be clear,

22 | the negative voting experience for many New Yorkers

23 | during the General Election conducted on November 6,

24 2018 was unacceptable. A forensic evaluation of the

25 | of the voting equipment to provide more detailed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

information for precise causes cannot be conducted and completed until after certification of the election results. The Board is currently immersed in the process of certifying the election results. York State Election Law provides for one of the most comprehensive post-election canvass process in the nation. This process is designed to ensure that every vote is counted. Nevertheless, the Board has conducted an initial analysis of the general election to provide information here today. After each election, the Board undertakes a comprehensive review of all aspects of the election to identify any issues or problems that have occurred to determine any elements of the implementation that should be replicated and expanded and to determine any elements of the implementation that require remediation. comprehensive review is completed by, at a minimum, conferring with all levels of Board staff, poll site coordinated debriefings and by conducting a postelection analysis working jointing with the election system vendor, election systems and software. Conducting an election in a city as large as and diverse as New York City is a complex undertaking.

As such, a thorough analysis requires the expenditure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of time to assess all relevant information. the Board's certification responsibility, this effort is typically undertaken upon the completion of the certification of the election results. The Board is cognizant that the circumstances that arose during the November 6, 2018 general election caused alarm, concern and inordinate delay, and an immediate desire for answers on the part of the elected officials and the public at large. The Board understands that the purpose of this hearing today is to commence the process of providing answers that are-to questions that are rightfully posed. The Board is ever mindful of the Council's authority and its responsibility to seek such answers on behalf of the citizens of the city of New York, and to work diligently to improve the voting experience of all voters. The Board shares the mutual desire of all concerned that voting is a sacred right that should be exercised conveniently and without impediment to all those who wish to cast a ballot. It is in that spirit that the Board appears here today. The Board will endeavor to provide all of the information requested by the City Council today, and if unable to do so with respect to some inquiries, the Board will work diligently to

1 2 provide such information as expeditiously upon the completion of certification as possible, a process 3 that has been instituted by Chair Cabrera under other 4 circumstances and seems to have worked well. 5 6 was a remarkable increase in voter participation 7 during the November 6, 2018 General Election. 8 Approximately two million voters voted at poll sites. This is a 100% increase in participation from the 9 The process of the building 10 2014 General Election. election day ballots differs from ordinary document 11 12 construction. The Board utilizes the system compatible with the DS200 Scanners, and each ballot 13 14 must be made to ensure that the marking ovals for 15 candidates are placed in a location that is readable 16 by the scanner. To complete this process, all aspects of candidate selection must be completed 17 18 including primary elections and/or judicial nominating conventions. The names of candidates for 19 20 various officers must be known as the ballot construction is bound by preset system tolerance and 21 2.2 names vary in length. For the November 6, 2018 23 General Election, there were Charter Commission 24 propositions to be considered by the voters, and

those appeared on the reverse side of the ballot.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The state certified operating system is not designed to permit candidates and questions to appear in the same section. As such, once it was determined that there would be Charter revisions questions, no portion of the reverse side of the ballot would be available for the placement of candidates' names. Upon the completion of the September 13th Primary, staff commenced ballot and construction for all five boroughs and ballot options were circulated for Commissioner review. On October the 2nd, the Board of Commissioners approved the form of ballot in all five boroughs. The Commissioners were presented with two difficult choices: We have included samples of those choices for the committee members' review and records. One choice was to direct staff to produce a single page 2-sided ballot utilizing an almost unreadable 6 point font for ballots in all boroughs, or secondly, increase the font size to 12 points, and increase the size to a 2-page ballot in four of the five boroughs. The only borough not to have a 2-page ballot was Staten Island, and we did not see the issues that arose in the other counties during the Staten Island conducting of the election. Commissioners recognize that utilizing a 6 point font

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

was not a realistic option. As such, the born-the Board began the process of creating the 2-page Election Day ballot for the first time used in the city of New York. The Board has been advised that no other jurisdiction in the United States utilizes a 2-page perforated ballot. While other jurisdictions utilize multiple page ballots, the pages are not perforated as the Board has been advised that perforated edge of the paper leads to an increase in ballot jams. Voter participation in the Primary Election conducted on September 13, 2018 was approximately triple that of voter participation in the same election in 2014. The Board prepared for the General Election including an anticipated increase in voter participation as follows: Commissioners authorized the ordering of ballots based on a ratio of 110% of eligible voters with an increase of 30% from the 2014 General Election to ensure that ballots would be available for all This authorization was made following the State Board Certification of the ballot on October 9, 2018. It is worth noting that at the last minute, one of the board's three ballot vendors advised without prior warning that they were not able to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

complete the printing of a 2-page center page perforated ballot because the equipment that they had ordered to do so had not yet arrived, and the Board was forced on short notice to split a high volume of work between two vendors as opposed to three. would like to say that the two vendors that completed their work really stepped up to the plate and constructed a ballot of us that had never been done anywhere else on very, very short notice, or quite literally, we were in danger of not having election. So, I would like to applaud the efforts of Fort Orange Press and of Phoenix Graphics for their efforts in working as a-a good partner to make sure that we had an election. I won't mention the name of the other vendor, but we'll be exercising our rights under the contract with respect to their services. The Board recruited, trained and testes over 34,000 poll workers across the five boroughs for this election, and approximately 25% increase of poll workers from the 2014 election, and I keep going back to 2014. For everyone that's the last gubernatorial, and we tried to compare election events to the election events. The Board held and additional training for coordinator and AD Field Monitors to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

prepare for the 2-page ballot. Given the time constraints, it was not possible to retrain and retest over 34,000 poll workers. Additional training and reference materials were prepared and distributed to all poll site coordinators, and in the supply carts for use at poll sites on Election Day. We have also provided those materials to this committee for information and review. An additional voter instruction page including-regarding the 2-page ballot was prepared and distributed to all voters along with their ballots in privacy sleeves. A How to Separate the Ballot graphic was placed in the center panel of all privacy boots, the lid of each scanner, and was added to the instruction posters placed at each poll site. The Board did extensive media appearances on major networks in an effort to educate voters with respect to the 2-page ballot, and we spent approximately \$400,000 in paper advertising including full-page ads in two major publications as well as neighborhood newspapers. A video explaining how to vote using the 2-page ballot was created and placed on the board's website and social media platforms. A robocall was sent to all assigned poll workers directing them to view the video as time did

25

2 not permit retraining over 34,000 poll workers less than four weeks before election day. In addition to 3 advising the poll worker pay increase, which was less 4 5 than we asked for, but more than we expected. So, 6 we're-we're happy about the increase in pay to poll 7 workers. The Board used 100% more field tech-support technicians for the November 2018 compared to that 8 deployed in 2014. We implemented a plan to secure 9 Election Day ballots and/or scanner replacements for 10 poll sites that experienced ballot bins that reached 11 12 capacity. Borough staff processed over 100,000 absentee ballot requests the majority of which were 13 mailed out within one week of the state 14 15 certification. This included two separate mailing-16 absentee ballot mailings to military and overseas voters necessitated by the June and September 17 18 primaries, and Mr. Speaker, this is one moment where the consolidated primary would certainly help that 19 20 we're not distributing ballot materials to overseas voters on two different occasions causing further 21 2.2 voter confusion. As stated, approximately 2 million New Yorkers voted at poll sites during the November 23 6, 2018 General Election. The 2-page ballot was 24

utilized in the four largest counties.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

represents approximately 4 million ballot pages scanned on Election Day. For the sake of perspective, less than one million ballot pages were scanned in the 2014 General Election, and approximately 2.5 million ballot pages were scanned during the 2016 Presidential Election. Upon certification, total voter participation is expected to top 2 million voters with the inclusion of all scanner results, absentee, military and affidavit ballots in the certified results. Even with the challenges posed by the 2-page ballot and those experienced during Election Day, the Board was able to report the unofficial results on the poll sites as follows: 70% by 10:00 p.m., 85% by 11:00 p.m. and 90% by midnight. That is somewhat behind what we would normally report. However, because of the increased instances of emergency ballots and I want to clarify that an emergency ballot is no more, no less than an Election Day ballot that for some reason in the moment is not able to be scanned into the machine. The procedure was followed at the end of the night, and the majority of those ballots were scanned into the DS200 Scanners on Election Night and included in the unofficial results. The balance of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

those are returned to the various offices, and included in the election results during the canvass process. As stated above, the Board has not completed a comprehensive analysis. However, upon conferring with ES&S, the Board has been advised that an initial analysis points to the perforated ballot requirement as a major cause of the increase of ballot jams. Such a ballot configuration has not been attempted in any jurisdiction in the United States with a-with use with a poll site scanner. perforated 2-page ballot presented a series of problems never before experienced by the Board or anywhere in the country. The increase in ballot jams created a ripple effect in poll sites causing longer wait times resulting in crowded sites, long lines, and taxed technical support resources. Further, the ballot jams continued to occur multiple times at the same poll site at a rate not experienced in any election since the use of the DS200 Scanners began in 2010. The Board commits to sharing its completed analysis as expeditiously as possible. The Board further commits to making necessary adjustments within its statutory—within its authority and existing Election Law to minimize poll site issues.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

In addition, the Board looks forward to working collaboratively with all interested parties to harmonize the Election Law with the current voting system ensuring that the Election Law and technology will work together rather than at cross purposes at times, and to implementing any additional legislative mandates to improve the voter experience. Given the proximity of this hearing to the election date, and given the limits of my authority, I have not been able to nor has the remainder of executive management been able to confer with the Commissioners in detail to set new policies moving forward, but I am certainly happy to discuss what we saw, which I'm sure is going to square 100% with what everyone else saw, and to work closely with this committee and with the Board of Commissioners to implement the policy. Given the limits of my authority, I do not think it was appropriate for me to "jump out ahead of the Commissioners." They set the policy. I am certain we will offer many suggestions and alternatives, and will come up with a plan moving forward working with all of you. That all having been said, I am ready for your questions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Ryan and thank you Ms. Sandow for be here. Mr. Ryan, do you apologize to the public for what happened on Election Day?

26

MICHAEL RYAN: Certainly and I also apologize for-it's difficult for me to assess how my remarks were construed because I actually didn't see them after Election Day, but I want to make it clear to-to this committee and-and most especially to you, Mr. Speaker, when I was addressing the weather, I was at a poll site P.S. 22 in Brooklyn. I had just come in out of a heavy rain, and was immediately met by a poll workers who said she was no longer going to work as poll worker because she was using her scarf to dry of the table. It was the height of the rain storm, and there was numerous press outlets there, and the technicians had just left, got all four scanners back up and running, and no sooner did they leave, two more scanners went down. So, when I was discussing the weather, I was discussing that particular poll site at that moment in time based on observations. In no way, shape or form was that meant to be construed as being insensitive to the plight of the voters throughout the city or a shrug of shoulders saying

2.2

well, it's raining. There's nothing that we can do.

We were in the middle of a crisis the likes of which

we have not seen in the five years that I've been the

Executive Director, and I was attempting to remedy

that crisis as best I could. What we did at that

poll site was we made sure that we got technicians

back there, and they stayed there until that entire

crowd was disbursed. So, it that led to, you know, a

perceived insensitivity or lack of seriousness of—of

what was going on, that was certainly regrettable

and intended in any way, shape or form.

I understand that you are referring to the remarks that were broadcast by the media on Election Day, but I was I was—when I asked if you apologized, I was speaking more broadly about the conditions that were laid out by Chair Cabrera, Chair Torres and myself on what was experienced on Election Day by thousands and tens of thousands of voters across the city, and what they had to experience going to the polls was something that we find to be unacceptable, and that's what I was really trying to get at if you apologized to the voters of New York City for experience.

2.2

controls.

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes, we do. We want what you all want, and what the voters want and ease of experience. We want to serve our customers, if you will, the voters of the city of New York as best we can. This particular election event presented us with numerous challenges that we will work hand—hopefully hand—in—glove. We've had a good working relationship with the City Council, and—and city government in general as well as the State

Legislature to make additional changes beyond those elements of the elections process that the board

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, let's get to some of this. I want to start at the beginning so we understand how we got here because again, this wasn't a special election called with just a few weeks to spare. There was no unforeseen disaster you had to grapple with the day or the week of. It was general election where it rained, and a lot of people voted. I don't see either of those two things as remarkable or unforeseen occurrences, but somehow in our estimation, in our opinion they led to what we consider to be an epic disaster on Election Day experienced across the city. So, let's go through

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this piece by piece. Number one, the ballot you mentioned. We've heard a lot about problems with the 2-page ballot, and what that supposedly caused. You discuss that in your testimony. I know that the 2page ballot is new for us, but it is not putting the man on Mars. This should be doable. You just told the State Assembly in a hearing that they held that you had been expecting a 2-page ballot since 2016. That's what you said at that hearing. So, you've known that this was coming for a while. You knew that the Mayor was doing a Charter Revision Commission in February when he announced in his State of the City that he would be appointing a Charter Revision Commission. So you knew that there would be ballot questions. You didn't know how many. was decided in September just after Labor Day, but you knew those things. So, what did you do to prepare for a 2-page ballot, and when did you start the preparation on a 2-page ballot if that was one of the root causes of the issues that we saw on Election Day?

MICHAEL RYAN: So, we started the preparation for the 2-page ballot basically in 2016. There was a possibility—it turned out not to be the

25

2 case—that there would be a 2-page ballot prior to the presidential election. In advance of that election, 3 4 we worked closely with the vendor, and I learned 5 something that there's apparently a 20-week lead time 6 in ordering additional ballot bins, which we did for 7 the 2016 election and we put that plan in place, and elements of that plan included having additional 8 scanners to be positioned in the field in the event 9 that we were filled to capacity, and coming up with a 10 game plan to deal with ballot bins that were filled 11 12 to capacity. So, a good portion of the plan was completed in 2016. In the lead-up to this election, 13 and the reason that I went into a little bit of a 14 15 technical explanation of how ballots are built was 16 even though there were going to be questions on the back of the ballot, we remained hopeful because of 17 18 all of the advice that we were given regarding the difficulties associated not only with the 2-page 19 20 ballot, but with a ballot that ends up having one sheet that has perforations on both side. Now, I'm 21 2.2 not a machines operation expert. I'm sure that 23 you're going to hear testimony from the vendor, but they tell me that they are confident that after the 24

analysis of this election that the center perforation

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Did you test the machines with a 2-page ballot?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MICHAEL}}$$ RYAN: Yes, we tested the machines with a 2-page ballot.

21

22

23

24

2.2

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] So, what 3 did you learn from testing the machines with a 2-page

4 ballot, and how did you adjust from what you learned?

MICHAEL RYAN: Given the timing of the Election Day ballot finalizing by the State Board of Elections, we had just enough time to test the DS200 Scanners for functionality. We did not have any time to do any kind of random testing to try to replicate the voter experience. All of the machines have to be tested for tabulation.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: But what did you learn from the testing?

MICHAEL RYAN: We don't learn much from the testing because the people--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Then why do you do it?

MICHAEL RYAN: We have to do it by law.

So, in order for each one of those machines to be able to read a ballot, we have to do pre-election testing. When we do that pre-election testing keep in mind it's done by individuals, our staff who are intimately familiar with the workings of the machines. What you have on Election Day introduces a variable that we cannot replicate in a laboratory

setting, which is the actions of-of the voters on

33

2

3

Election Day.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, you said in your testimony no other jurisdictions have used 2-page ballots with scanning machines.

MICHAEL RYAN: With a perforated page.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: With a perforation?

MICHAEL RYAN: Correct, and I'm advised, and I don't know this independently, but I'm advised that in the jurisdictions that have clean machine edges on all of the sides, that these types of ballot jams that we experienced in this particular election are not present. I don't know that independently, but that's what I've been told.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, let's turn and talk about two things you've repeatedly blamed as you talked about earlier when I asked the first question about apologizing, the turnout and the weather. know Chair Torres spoke about this in his opening as well. It seems like the Board of Elections was surprised and unprepared for both the turnout and the weather, and I know there's not a 10-day forecast of likely voters, but I find it hard to believe that any one following the lead-up to this election would not

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

have expected anything other than huge turnout. We saw it in the Primary Election significantly increased turnout. So, we should have expected it in the General Election. How do you estimate voter

6 turnout?

MICHAEL RYAN: Before I-before I get to that, I-I want to-I want to clarify. When I offer an explanation based on what we're learned, I do not want that to be in anyway interpreted as an excuse or-or a running away from responsibilities. explanation is just that, what we observe. So, what we did for this particular election, and it turn out that the basic modeling that we did was correct. tried to implement the plan based on 50% turnout. didn't see 50% turnout across the board, but we certainly saw over 50% turnout in some pockets throughout the city. So, one of the things that we did, which is our first line of defense is our poll site coordinators, we-when we brought them back in for the refresher training, we made sure to tell them to have the voters rotate on the scanners A, then B, then C, then D.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Did you--?

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] That should keep a level enough number of ballots in each scanner.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Did you follow reports of increased turnout in states with early voting across the country?

MICHAEL RYAN: No, and I don't necessarily know that those are a predictor, but what I do know is we planned for a 50% turnout.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] When did you realize voter turnout would be higher than expected?

MICHAEL RYAN: When we realized it, I think when everybody else realized it in New York, which was after the September Primary Election. The—the next highest attended Primary Election after this past September was in 2006 where 400,000 voters voted in that Primary. In this Primary Election, we had over 945,000 people vote. That caught us all by surprise on election night as we were watching the results, and from that point forward we know we were going to have a myriad of challenges for this General Election.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, rain during an election is an unprecedented, and it rained this year. It's rained before. When the Board of Elections knows rain or humidity is expected, what do you do?

MICHAEL RYAN: With respect--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] What extra precautions and procedures do you implement?

MICHAEL RYAN: We didn't have any extra precautions or procedures with respect to the rain. It—it has not presented itself as an issue to us prior to this election . With respect to the humidity, I have been told because I did raise that specter with our vendor, and I have been told that the humidity reports that have been out in the-in the media are involving this long-term storage of the machines at the facilities, not necessarily their operation on Election Day because I asked. I said, well, how come I'm just hearing about this humidity for the first time? And I was told because you don't have a problem with the way that you store your machines. Your machines are stored in climate controlled environments, and they don't have those issues.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, I'm going to try to
rifle through some questions quickly to get to the
chairs and the other committee members. Voters have
problems on Election Day with interpreters, with
accessibility, and with communication at the poll
sites. Unfortunately, this-from our experience and
from what we hear has become pretty standard in New
York City elections, but what made this election
different, what made this a disaster even by greater
standards was the scanner failure that you talked
about. We've heard countless stories of poll sites
left with one scanner or no scanners after paper
jams, sites where poll workers waited hours for
technicians. How many scanners were out of service
for any length of time on Election Day?
MICHAEL RYAN: I don't have that specific

MICHAEL RYAN: I don't have that specific report--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Do you have an initial number?

MICHAEL RYAN: --just yet. I know that we received between ballot marking devices and regular scanners, we received over 3,000 phone calls with respect to that on Election Day.

2.2

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: How—how do you collect

3 data on this?

MICHAEL RYAN: Through out call center, I-I--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] No data on-well, the question you weren't able to answer at this point.

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Oh, this-this-

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] The number of scanners down on Election Day for any period of time, how do you collect that data?

MICHAEL RYAN: Each—each one of the scanner machines has log data in it.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, they're error logs.

MICHAEL RYAN: They are error logs, but they were designed—those logs were designed basically, you know, just to be logs. They were not designed to tell you anything if you're not a technician. We work with our vendor, and they are able to reverse engineer the information that's on those logs and provide us with a report post election, but that cannot be done until after certification is completed and that that they have

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: I—I understand and I can assure you that it was attempted to be done.

However, it was not completed, and for that I apologize but we can get—this is an example of that information that we can get to you relatively quickly at the conclusion of this meeting.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: It should have been done by today, but I look forward to getting that.

So, I assume you're not going to be able to answer these other questions as well. What percentage of poll sites made a call for a technician at least once on Election Day? Do you have that information?

MICHAEL RYAN: No.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: What was the average wait time for a technician after a call was made? Do you have that information?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes. Now, the average—the average time to repair varied by borough. Manhattan was less than a half hour. The Bronx was a little over an hour. Brooklyn was about an hour and 15 minutes. That was the most difficult borough that we—we had. Queens was slightly less than an hour, 57 minutes and Staten Island was also less than a half hour. So, the average wait time for this election

picked up by any one at the Board of Elections.

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: Right. So, there issues on Election Day when call volumes spikes. We have encouraged and trained the poll workers and the poll site coordinators to use their Tablet devices as an alternate means of communication. That advice and request and suggestion is heeded by some, and not by others, but we make every effort to get these issues resolved.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I have many more questions on scanners, on the sequencing. I'm not going to ask those right now. I may come back for an additional round unless other members ask for it.

What were you doing on Election Day? Where were you?

MICHAEL RYAN: I was in all five
boroughs, and typically what I do on Election Day is
I remain out in the field, and in the core of
executive management remains back at 42 Broadway, and
we communicate throughout the day. One of the
challenges in—in high turnout election events as well
as ones with coverage is there are times where media
goes to specific poll sites to follow particular
candidates and—

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] How long-how long did it take you to vote?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MICHAEL RYAN: I voted at I.S. 24 in Staten Island, my personal poll site and I voted under 10 minutes.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, I'm just going to

end with this, and then hand it over to the Chairs. I get that you have to deal with arcane laws, state laws and resource constraints, but I don't get just throwing our hands up in the face of those constraints, and in the face of what the State Law says. It doesn't seem like failure of laws or a problem that we could spend our way out of. It seems like a failure to even aim for the bare minimum in our estimation. I don't have any confidence in at this point given that basic information you are not able to provide today and the analysis hasn't been done in a full sum way, and the BOE's ability to ensure that this doesn't happen again in the future, let alone anticipate new problem. We are going to have a special election in February for the Office Public Advocate, and I am concerned of voters being able to have confidence that we will run that. embarrassed as a New Yorker by what happened on Election Day. I'm embarrassed by earlier news from years past about purges that took place of-of voters

2 that should not have purged from the voter rolls. am embarrassed by poll sites being changed at the 3 4 last minute. I'm embarrassed that voter guides that 5 don't always properly reflect accurate information. 6 I feel like this happens over and over and over 7 again. I can't remember an election where people 8 said, you know, what, it was done in a thoughtful, 9 calm, professional, easy manner. New Yorkers deserve that and I don't-and I don't have that confidence 10 that that is going to happen, and so we are going to 11 12 continue to use our oversight authority. going to push for changes like early voting, and No-13 14 Excuse Absentee Voting, which hopefully would lesson 15 the crush of voters on Election Day, but I would hope 16 that even if we are able to secure those things, that the Board of Elections would be able to implement 17 18 those good changes in an effective and fair way. I want to hand it over to My Chairs. I will come 19 20 back for additional questions. I am grateful that 21 you're today, but I am disappointed by what happened. 2.2 I am disappointed by not having all the answers, and 23 am I going to Chair Cabrera first Chair Torres, and

MICHAEL RYAN: Mr. Speaker, if I may.

I'm going to hand it over to Chair Cabrera.

24

2.2

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes.

MICHAEL RYAN: I would like to take this opportunity to renew the Board's request to enter into a partnership with New York City to develop a robust Municipal Workers as Poll Workers Program. I have provided materials to this committee regarding a program of a similar nature in Los Angeles County, and if Los Angeles County can do it, New York City can do it.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I'm open to that, but Ryan--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Right, so-

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing]—the
Mayor—the Mayor offered \$20 million that
the Board turned down. Why did the Board turn that
money down for reforms?

MICHAEL RYAN: The—the \$20 million that the—the Mayor offered, \$7 million of that was to double the amount of poll worker training, which was advice that ran counter to what we received from our outside consultant that we had already engaged the services of. So, that was \$7 million that was right out of the \$20 million that we were already on a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

completely different path number one. Number two, an additional \$4.5 million of the \$20 million was to resend all of the voters their registration information. That task was given by the New York City Council to the Campaign Finance Board. So, right off the top that's over \$11 million that was not going to ultimately come the way of the Board of Elections. In addition, there was \$2.3 million in a poll worker pay increase for \$50, which we just got now. The Board has been asking for a poll worker pay increase of \$100 a day since 2005, and there are two different ways you can get it. One is from the State Legislature. The other one is through executive order, and there are other things that-other money that is in here that was earmarked to outside consultants. In addition, there was a million dollars for a voter outreach portal, which we arehave developed in-house, and it's in the process of being beta tested, and when we're able to launch our new website after all of these cyber security concerns have been addressed, that will be already done within existing funds.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Mr. Ryan, if you were a vote who was sitting at home or sees coverage of this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hearing, who is watching your testimony and the questions that are being asked of you, and who is not an expert in scanners, who is not an expert in perforated ballots, who is not an expert in the rules related to the how elections are administered, but is someone that experienced a 2-hour, 3-hour delay as someone who showed up and their name wasn't in the book because they were purged and removed in a way they shouldn't they shouldn't have been, someone that time after time has experienced unfortunate issues at their voting location. You-if you were watching that, I don't think you would want to hear excuse after excuse, after excuse about vendors, about the size of ballots, about perforations. What you would want to hear is we are going to fix this so this doesn't happen any more in the future. They don'tvoters don't care about the technicalities and the administration that happens by you and your staff, and by the Commissioners. What they care about is being able to go up--show up on Election Day and vote in an expedited manner. That's what they care about, and when if you listen to the testimony so far today, and the answers to your questions, what you hear is what I consider to be important information that we

direct fixes that we can do is have steady staff at

the poll sites who are there simply to clear what I'm

24

2.2

going to refer to as the top side ballot change. So, if the ballot does jam, it can be cleared quickly as opposed to relying on a team of field technicians to have to be dispatched from on location to another. If suspect that that will be an element of the fix moving forward subject to Commissioner approval, and then if we have that staff at the poll sites and these rather simple jams occur, they can be cleared relatively quickly, and keep the lines moving and avoid the large backups that we had at this—in this particular election. That is a direct fix that If think would address one of the issues.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I just don't feel the—
I—I don't personally feel confidence that this is
going to get fixed, and I—and I hope I'm wrong
because I want this to be fixed. I want to turn it
over to the chairs. A lot of people have questions.
Chair Cabrera.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right, and Mr. Speaker, we have to work to re-earn your trust, and I appreciate that.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, Mr. Speaker. Mike, I have to tell you on Election

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Day first of all, I appreciate your apology. going to be my first question as well, but I have to tell you I was fuming. Let me tell you why. Never since I have been voting in this wonderful city of New York City, never have I see so many people. were all waiting for this day to happen. have parents take their children-that's the part that's really getting to me, and to have such an awful experience, I tell you in the Bronx we had people waiting two hours. My-my Facebook was blowing I put a simple post. People were just livid, up. just the negative reaction that we're getting in the bad taste, the brackish experience, I have to tell you that inevitably my fear is it's going to have a negative outcome in the next election. People are going to say, you know what, I'm not going to go through this especially the young people or those who voted for the first time in a long time. They went there to vote their conviction, and their beliefs, and that's the part that frustrates me the most. I-I want to get into the machines because as I see your testimony, at the very root of the problem that you are attesting to that this problem is—is the machines. I want to get into the testing. Can you

give me more detail as to the quality control that took place, and here's the specific question I'm asking: Was there ever a test that replicated the exact same experience and process that the—the machines and a person were to go through a entire day meaning did they start early? Did they put them to run early in the morning and make them run as many hours as we have for Election Day?

MICHAEL RYAN: It's—it's just not possible to replicate the poll site in the—in the warehouse environment and—and the purpose of the testing once the ballot is finalized is different from how does it work at the poll site. The purpose of the testimony and is required by the state law is we have to run three ballots per stile through each scanner machine to make sure that the eye that is in the scanner is able to read the ovals and appropriately tabulate the election results. So, the purpose of the pre-election testing is not the type of replication that you are suggesting.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I—I hear you, Mike, but—but I'm talking about leadership here. It's being able to anticipate what the problem—that's what leaders that's what we do. We anticipate the

2.2

problem. I'm not asking what do at the bare minimum that was required by law, did you order for a testing, and you have within your power to do so, right, correct? The power to say let's replicate this. It wouldn't take a whole lot of staff, and—and in a similar scenario for that many hours with that many ballots. You see, that's what I'm looking for. I'm not looking for what the law, you know, the—the law standard that we many have. I'm not saying that the bar is not another one, but what I'm saying is you knew, we all knew that this was going to be—we're going to have an ultimate (sic) amount of people to come and vote. Did—did you guys, did you—did you order for such a testing to take place?

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: No, and—and the thing that I really need to point is the ballot for this General Election for this the citywide election was not finalized until October the 9th and then we first were able to order ballots from our ballot vendors. One of our ballot vendors told us they can't do it. We had to redistribute that work between two. Didn't first receive ballots for testing to test the scanners until October 15th.

_

ĺ

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. So, let me stop you there. Charter Revision Commission Sure. I'm not trying to be rude.

MICHAEL RYAN: No, no, I know that.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It's just I'm trying to be parsimonious with our time. So, it's October 15 to test it. You had it. You still the state mandated test, right?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You still have that test. On that same day couldn't you have run other machines to run it for that many hours because you knew, like you knew there were going to be problems?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes, yes we did.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. So, and I appreciate for—for your—your level of honesty here. You knew there were going to be problems, and in light of that, why not do a test and say here, I'm anticipating problems here to take place. Why not go ahead and test it all day, all in—all the way into 9:00 at night to emulate a similar experience?

MICHAEL RYAN: In retrospect I am certain there are things that we could have done differently, and perhaps the suggestion that you make is—is one of

2.

,

them, but in the moment, I'm trying to convey to everyone a sense of appreciation that given how late in the game this ballot was finalized, we were in real danger of not meeting our mandate of putting the election on at all.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, in light.

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] So, and—and asking print vendors to do something that they have never done before three weeks before an election, and start that process, that lays off to the side that the Staten Island ballot wasn't finalized until October 22nd.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And I commend the printing companies that came through. We salute them. You did that. I'll do that myself, but what I'm addressing is, and I think you have acknowledged the enhanced side, that could have been something that would be done. Here—here is another thing that I had in mind here is another option because that was not the only thing we could have done, which was why not have and hire more technicians because we knew we were going to have problems, and have one for every two sites or every three sites? There is not price that we could put when it comes to our most

fundamental right, civic right that we have when it comes to voting. Was there any discussions regarding

4 that?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes, and what we did was we, which we thought was good plan prospectively—retrospectively not so much, was we staffed the field technicians to the level that we do in a presidential election. Previously, we were staffing to those deeper issues inside the machine where the ballot gets jammed internally, and you need a real technician to unclear that jam. In this election we experienced these—these jams really at the top of the scanner in a way that we hadn't done—hadn't experienced that before, and really what happened was there was an avalanche early in the morning, and we just could never catch up.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, why not hire more people right form the beginning, right. That's the part that I don't get.

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, I—I think you're right and one of the things that I expect will happen moving forward is that we will have individuals at—rather than relying on field teams solely, which can get stuck in traffic, their vehicle could get a flat

tire. There's a whole bunch of things that could go wrong. One of the things that I expect that we will do is have people at the poll sites, at all poll sites. We had 1,200 and I think 31 poll sites for this election. Had people at the poll sites whose sole function it is to deal with the basic ballot change. Not to—not to engage in scanner repair, but to clear these ballot jams at the stop. So, if it does go down, it can be cleared in a matter of, you know, seconds or a minute as opposed to waiting for a field team to come even from another location.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But were these machines cleaned, all of them prior to—just for a point of clarification. I'm assuming that they were-

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --but I just want

19 to hear it.

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes, and one of the issues associated with the perforation as I understand it is that fibrous material becomes loose, and the machines can get sensitive in that regard. So, as a result, our technicians, all of our technicians were equipped with air cans, as simple as that may sound, that's

2.2

the way that you fix the fibrous paper. You know,
alcohol wipes and air cans. So, all of our
technicians had those on Election Day, and we made

5 sure we had an extra supply of that to deal with

6 those issues.

actually in this election poll workers were not allowed—and you testified to this in the Assembly hearing, they were not permitted to clear the scanner jams themselves. However, according to the 2017-2018 poll workers basic manual under the Scanner Troubleshooting for jams it says, and I quote: "A bipartisan team with a police officer present can break the seal of the ballot box door, open the door and check for a jam making the lid/flaps are open.

I'm just curious to as why was this taking—

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] So that——

Manual.

MICHAEL RYAN: That is a different problem and that typically deals with the setup on Election Day. The blue ballot bins have four lids that—that open up. They are supposed to be placed in the open position prior to deployment. There are

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: -- of the 2018-2019

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You know, you clean it, and then you close it, and then you have the seal placed back--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --obviously under the supervision of a police officer, and—and the two coordinators that are there. I mean why not use that process?

MICHAEL RYAN: You make a straightforward and reasonable suggest. The reluctance going back to 2010 was that we were losing poll workers because of their fear of-of the new machines. So, a decision was made in that moment, which carried through to this election: Have the poll workers do less with the machines, not more. This election in a very hard way taught us a difficult lesson. The difficult lesson is we need-whether it's the poll worker or some other individual or individuals at the poll sites, we need to have individuals interspersed at all the-over 1,200 poll sites that we use who are fully versed and trained in clearing the ballot jams so should a circumstance arise, the voter will experience minimal inconvenience. That is a lesson learned. I cannot say to you exactly what form that

2.2

will take today. Ultimately, we'll put a plan together. We'll present it to the Commissioners. We have the plan already. We're going to present it to the Commissioners. We have-we have it right here. It's one of the things that we've been working on, and I suspect that the—with good confidence that the Commissioners will authorize us to move forward to do that so that if a circumstance occurs beyond our control like a jam that we'll be able to deal with it quickly as opposed to relying on field teams solely.

Opening statement that I'm looking for solutions rather than excuses, and I—I sure hope that we will have the level of technician way above what we have right now because I'm anticipating that it's very possible, and I'm hopeful that people will not stay home after what we just went through, that we'll be ready for the next time because we cannot afford to have a part 2 to this movie. I wanted to ask you how many people were receiving the phone calls from the coordinators about—or the public regarding jams?

MICHAEL RYAN: It—it depends. It's a borough by borough breakdown--

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Go
3 head. We're listening.

MICHAEL RYAN: I can certainly get you those—those numbers. We have our call center there was I believe over—is it—is it 100 call takers we had at the center. [background comments] It's over 100 call takers at the—between the central office and the Staten Island Call Center that we centralized that we do in each borough then has their own staff. So we can—we can get you a number of the actual dedicated staff. I don't want to mislead. So, we'll get you that number after the hearing concludes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, what is it? And so those 100 were taking also calls regarding jams or they were referring to somebody else?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MICHAEL}}$$ RYAN: Those are all the calls that come into our 800 number.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Because if I'm calling, and I'm saying I'm a member of the public, right, and I'm saying there are jams taking place in this machine, do I get referred to somebody else?

MICHAEL RYAN: No, it gets taken into the system. We have a call center system. I—I suppose it's similar to 311--

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Okay.

MICHAEL RYAN: --and then it has dropdown list and it—and it can tell you what the problem is, and then that gets dispatched and then ultimately relatively quickly gets dispatched electronically to the—to the—to the technicians on their tablets out in the field.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Now you—you have some raw numbers that you said you never—I want to hear the raw numbers because at the end of the day, they're all complaints.

them broken down county by county. So, I'll give you—I'll give you the—the raw data. We have a total of 2,284 in Manhattan alone, calls. Of those, 1,200 were scanner and 649 were ballot change. So, I would take those two as one category in—in some respects because often people don't know precisely the reason why they're calling. They know there's a problem with the scanner. Bronx County, 1,798 total calls, 1,132 with respect to scanners, and 513 ballot jams. Kings County, 3,362, 2,058 scanners, 851—851 ballot jams.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, most of the complaints that were coming in were regrading this particular issue that we're--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Correct.

Scanners and the ballot jams. Now, the scans—the ballot jam number is a smaller number but that doesn't mean that those two things can't be read together. Somebody might say there's a problem with the scanner. Another call may come in and say there's a ballot jam. Those we drop into DC's category. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] How does this compare to the previous election?

MICHAEL RYAN: It's-it's certainly

higher, and I can get—I can do a comparative analysis.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Do you have those numbers with you?

MICHAEL RYAN: I don't have them off the top of my head, but we have them, and—and I—believe me, I—I do apologize. We have made our best efforts to be as prepared for this hearing as we—we possibly could, and I've tried to anticipate the types of

2.2

2 increase that we—we would be getting, but I can get 3 you then from the—the prior elections.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Please get us those numbers because you were going to say?

MICHAEL RYAN: Right, so the one thing I can—I can tell you, which kind of really puts this in some form of perspective. In 2010—no, let me go to 2014. In 2014, we had 253,620 voters vote in—in the—in Manhattan in 2010. We only had 14 emergency ballots in 2014.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Uh-hm.

MICHAEL RYAN: So, when we were planning for this election, we looked back to the last—most recent event. Even if you take that and double it because of turnout, you're still talking about less than 30 emergency ballots. We have significantly higher numbers of emergency ballots in Manhattan for this election. So, I just used that as—as one example. So, when we're having a conversation about what did you anticipate and how did you anticipate it, our plan up to this point is always to look back to them most recent election event of similar type, and—and we saw numbers far out of—out of the ordinary for this election.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, I hope we could take a round, and I'm getting ready to pass it to my Co-Chair and I have some questions for later on, but I hope that at the next election and all of them thereafter we will have enough technicians or slash poll workers if we're going to use certain workers, to do certain-you know, to deal with the top level jam with the right supervision to make sure, which Ito be honest with you, I'm-I'm not as amicable to the second option. I'm always afraid of people breaking through that seal. Those-there were posting of machines that didn't have the seal on. I'm paranoid and my own experience, you know, I share this with you, and this is the other issue that I was hearing that people's names were not showing up. You know when I ran that my name was not there and three pages were not there, and I had to wait two hours and the day of election to finally for the pages to come in. People are-I'll be honest with you, including myself, I don't trust those paper ballots. I'm sorry for my paranoia, but I just don't trust them. I don't know what people are going to do with those. I-I-I trust the machines because there's an objectivity level for me in my mind, and I'll tell you the vast majority of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

New Yorkers because otherwise, it would have not been an issue. Otherwise you would have not gotten this many calls because people just don't trust paper ballots. We're not there yet.

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, we share your concerns in that regard. That's the reason we put the seals on the machine in the first place is to prevent those kinds of things, and that was up to this point one of the major concerns about not allowing the poll workers to do too much with the machines on election day. This crisis caused—it's caused us re-evaluate that. The other thing, emergency ballots happen in every election, not to this volume. A poll site could lose power on Election Day. It would be, you know, hourly authority of the-the ability of the board to prevent that. Voters don't like the emergency ballot process. They don't like to put their ballot in a slot, and have it sit there for scanners, you know for poll workers to scan later in the evening at the close of polls. So, anything that we can do that reduces the number of emergency ballots that are necessary, and-and reduces the amount of over-

2.2

the afternoon, which was a little bit late in the game to make a decision on the fly, but that is precisely, that offer is precisely why I have provided the municipal workers as poll workers materials from LA because if we can replicate something like that, and have a sufficient number of technicians available to deal with these issues on election day, from a pool of workers that we have access to, you know, year-round so that we could train them and give them familiarity, and we can all rest with a level of comfort that the issues that you've raised don't get replicated that would be something absolutely worthy of exploration.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But you're hearing what I'm saying?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What I'm saying here is anticipation. Part of leadership is anticipating problems and when a problem occurs, I need to fix this problem right away, what do I do? And so, there were things that we could have done prior to Election Day regarding the quality control that I mentioned, the testing that should have been done while you were doing the other test. At 6

public housing, but it seems quite a bit is going

impact on the ballots because it dampens and

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: The remaining scanners were scanners that are either spare scanners as we refer to them, and here we have it. So, we had deployed 4,054 on Election Day, and we had—
[background comments, pause] a combination of scanners, spares and training machines at 333 in Manhattan in specific. So—

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] It sounds like you had about a thousand scanners in reserve.

MICHAEL RYAN: A little bit less by 50.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay and how—how many scanners were—were swapped out for our new scanners on Election Day?

MICHAEL RYAN: Only 56. So, most of those were--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] So, I guess my question is why did you only deploy 56 out of a thousand reserve scanners? That's less than 6-6% of your total reserves.

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, we only—we only swapped out the ones that required replacing. Most of them were because their ballot bins failed, not because the machines failed. That was the 56 number,

2.2

but in any event, New York State Election Law requires that we deploy one scanner for every 4,000 voters. The New York City Board of Elections the Commissioners have set a ratio of one scanner for every 1,400 voters, and that's the foundation on which we assess poll sites. So, we have to prepare under a federal court mandate a survey for each poll site. Every piece of equipment that's in the poll site takes up real estate, and in gubernatorial election it's a little bit more of a challenge because we have to deploy one privacy booth for every 250 voters as opposed to privacy booth for every 350 voters, which we do in other elections.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And forgive my ignorance. Of the thousand in reserve, can all of them be easily deployed for any election district throughout the city?

MICHAEL RYAN: No.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay.

MICHAEL RYAN: Because—like I'll give you for example I know off the top of my head, there were 924 ballot styles in the Borough of Manhattan for this past election given all of the context. It takes roughly an hour to complete the testing for

each ballot style on one scanner. If we were to deploy--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] You said there were 924 in Manhattan alone.

MICHAEL RYAN: Correct. So, if we were to

deploy a machine with all over the ballot houses (sic) we don't know where the breakdown is going to occur. It would make—it would take 924 hours of work per machine to get all of those ballots loaded into those machines. So, what we've done in the past is have some at the warehouse, some out in the field, and then they have to be programmed more or less on the fly, and tested quickly to get them deployed to a poll site, which is really why we try not to replace ballot scanners on Election Day. The machines are

resolve the issue.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Now, it sounds like the—it sounds like the process of programming these reserve machines is quite cumbersome. Out of the thousand reserve machines, how many then were programmed for all election districts?

not that limber in order to us-for us to timely

4

5

8

9

MICHAEL RYAN: We clear them out and

75

3 since we don't know where the program—where the

issues are going to arise, we then unprogram them--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] No, but

in theory you could program a machine for every election district, right? It would take an

, closelen albellee, light le nealt an

inordinate amount of time, but you could do it?

MICHAEL RYAN: Theoretically yes, but it--

10 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] And it

11 sounds like out of a thousand not a single machine

12 was programmed for every Election District in the

13 | city?

14

15

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

MICHAEL RYAN: Prior to this election, we—we have never done that. We didn't do it for this

16 | election either. We have discussed--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Was there any machine that was programmed for all the

19 | Election Districts within a county?

MICHAEL RYAN: No, and—and I think what you have to remember, Mr. Chairman, is that we didn't get the ballot finalized until October the $9^{\rm th}$. So, our ability to test is a finite period of time from October the $9^{\rm th}$.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But it sounds like you did not program any of the machines or the course of a month.

MICHAEL RYAN: As—as I said, it was three weeks and it would take 924 hours for one machine in Manhattan—

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] But there has to be a middle ground between all or nothing, and it sounds like you opted for nothing even though you had a month to program these machines.

what was—had worked. In the past, we had a plan in place for full ballot bins. As—with respect to actual machine failures, our plan worked, but only with respect to that because there was only 56 machine failures citywide. What we experienced here was quite a number of machines that had their ballot bins filled because of the turnout, and because of the fact that we were putting double the amount of—CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Where

do you store these scanners, the reserve scanners?

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: They are in their borough facilities, and then we do put a certain number out on field—in the field on the spots (sic)

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Can you—do you have a breakdown of the numbers? How many are centrally located? How many are in borough based facilities?

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, I can give you the—
the breakdown of the numbers by borough, and then we
can give you a report after the—the election with
respect to where precisely they were on Election Day?

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Do you—do—do you feel like the scanners are sufficiently disbursed throughout the city? Because it seems like you could disburse these reserve scanners throughout the city, and have different scanners—

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] But I think they--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: --preprogrammed for different election districts.

MICHAEL RYAN: I think they were prior to this election. I think the experience of this election teaches us something different, and maybe as you suggest, we could find that middle ground where we could load a number of assembly districts onto

2.2

2 some scanners, and have them strategically placed
3 throughout the city so that we're not doing that 924-

4 hour number for Manhattan alone that we discussed.

of the ground that was explored by Speaker Johnson and—and Council Member Cabrera. Can you describe in greater detail the process of testing your machines because the—the criticism that I have of the Board of Elections is that it seems like you neither tested your machines for a 2-page perforated ballot nor did you train your employees on how to feed a 2-page ballot into those machines, and I think whether it's elections or any field wherever there's no training and no testing, you're likely to have a systemic failure. But can you explain in greater detail the process of testing your machines?

MICHAEL RYAN: Sure. After the ballot is set, and we get the test ballots back from the print vendor, because state law requires that we test ballots from the print run of the—of the ultimate Election Day ballots so that if there's any inconsistency in the printing, that will be picked up during the testing process.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And did you test,
and I know you point out that you received a ballot
late from the Charter Revision Commission, but
there's nothing that prevents you from testing your
machines for a generic 2-page perforated ballot. So-

 $\label{eq:michael RYAN: [interposing] We can test} \\$ that.

admitted to the Speaker that since 2016 you've been keenly aware of the possibility of a 2-page ballot. So, for about two years you've had an opportunity to test your equipment for a 2-page perforated ballot, and it sounds like over the course of those 2 years you failed to do so.

MICHAEL RYAN: What you're talking about is not the type of testing that we engage in before an election, and the generic ballot yes I-I suppose we could have--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] But why—why couldn't you undertake the kind of—I mean forget about what is actually legally required? I'm talking about what makes for good practice. What's going to prepare you for every contingency that

arise. What prevents you from extensively testing either all your machines or even a sample of your

machines for a 2-page perforated ballot?

MICHAEL RYAN: We tested. We time tested on a limited basis the amount of time that it would take a voter to scan a 1-page ballot versus a 2-page ballot. I think the challenge for us is the people that would conduct the testing, our people are intimately familiar with the machines and the way they work. What we experience on Election Day is a variable that we can't replicate in a laboratory setting, and that variable is the voters and their lack of—of familiarity.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] I'm not clear why that's the case. Maybe I'm not following, but why could you not have scenarios in which you certainly—where you're a voter, and you try to feed a 2-page ballot into the scanner, and you could test how often it breaks down. It's—it's not rocket science. It seems like common sense.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right, I—I can tell you that the rate of actual breakage is very, very small. The rate of actual—

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Well, it sounds like—there's something wrong with it, because if the rate of failure in your testing is small, but the rate of failure in real life is quite high.

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Well--CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, there's a

disconnect between--

what I'm trying to get to is it—it—it is a differential—there's a differential between scanners that were completely out of service and scanners that that had momentary problems, and some of them lasted longer, much longer than they should have. Those are two different problems for us to attack. I can tell you what we saw in this election with respect to these basic jams that were occurring on the top side of the machine that did not put the machine out of service for the day was at a rate we had never seen before.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But those were precisely the kind of jams that your previous man-manual will have a bipartisan team of poll workers--

MICHAEL RYAN: No.

2.2

3 | won't--

what—the jams that we're talking about in the manual are really jams that occur based on the setup of the machines. Mostly that will be prior to the start of Election Day and be noticed some point later in the day when depending on volume how quickly the distance between the top of the—of the blue ballot bin now closed, and the—and the bottom of the—of the scanner itself is.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Do we have the language from the manual? [background comments, pause] Yeah, the 2017 to 2018. What I—and I'll come back to the manual. I want more about—want to know more about the—let me read it. This is from the 2017, 2018 Manual. It indicates a bipartisan team with a police officer present can break the seal on the ballot box door, open the door, check the jam for making sure the lid flaps are open. It speaks about jams generally.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: It's not specific.

MICHAEL RYAN: What I'm saying is--

2.2

3 that--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] -that is a--

5 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] The

new manual makes no mention of a bipartisan team of workers resolving the jam. Instead, those workers have to inform the coordinator and the coordinator has to wait for a technician, which has the effect of

10 exacerbating delays.

MICHAEL RYAN: That is certainly a lesson that we learned in this past election, which I believe is—was the thrust of my testimony with Chair Cabrera, which is we need to have individuals whomever they turn out to be whether they're poll workers, whether they're municipal workers, whether they're our workers, we need to have people at the poll sites throughout Election Day that have the ability to clear the basic jams. That will keep the line moving. Up to this point, we've been concentrating on our efforts on the more serious jams the one that could either cause the machine to completely fail or require—

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] You could actually do both. If—if you have—

doesn't specify any instances.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL RYAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: It speaks generally of a scanner jam.

Right. What I'm-I'm MICHAEL RYAN: trying to tell you from experience that type of jam would occur as a problem with the setup of the machines at the start of Election Day. It is possible that those flaps could drop down during Election Day as well. They typically don't. Theythey will drop down either because they left the warehouse in a closed position, which they should not have been or they could drop down during transportation, and—and if we don't have the poll workers do those types of clearances, the poll site will-won't get up and running, or if there's a high volume, the distance between the top of the closed ballot bin and the bottom of the scanner will fill up quickly and then the machine will be out of service. So the first line of defense is to have them check that, and make sure that the-the ballot bin flaps are open-in the open position ready to accept ballots.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I want to know more about the actual scanners. So, what's the age of the underlying software?

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What is the useful life of your scanners?

> MICHAEL RYAN: I have been told that--CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] You've

been told?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

felt that these machines were on the verge of-of

thing is--

they're functioning properly. If they need to be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

swapped out, we can do that on a machine-by-machine basis relatively easily. The point that I'm trying to make to you, Mr. Chair is that there are new systems out there, and we have to then make it balancing act judgment collectively not just the Board of Elections, do we spend the money to replace the machines that we have that are based on 10 to 13year-old technology or do we transition as a state to a new system that would-would take the voting into the future. All of that is not an either/or proposition. I suspect that it lies somewhere in the middle that we'll have to replace some of these DS200 machines over the course of time that they determine themselves to be unreliable, but if the replacement of the system is in the offing, which I understand would be impossible, we'll have to make a judgment.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] So, can—can I—just make a point of statement? I—I just can't quite wrap my head around the fact, around the lack of testing because you acknowledge the 2-page ballot is not only unusual, but to your knowledge it's nationally unprecedented. Is that—did I Correctly understand your testimony?

near the end of their useful life. So, given what

MICHAEL RYAN:

Right.

2.2

I'll end here, but it seems like there was—there was no—you—you failed to test your machines for the 2—page ballot, you failed to train your employees, you changed your manual in a way that led to insufficient responses to correcting paper jams, and your reserve system strikes me as broken, right. None of your machines were programmed for any—for all of the election districts. So, it seems like the combination of policy choices that the Board made, made the voting experience far more painful than it had to be.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MICHAEL}}$$ RYAN: I am certain that we could have done better. There's no question.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Well, you certainly could have done better. There's no question.

 $\mbox{\sc MICHAEL}$ RYAN: There's no question about that.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Yes.

MICHAEL RYAN: What I'm—what I'm trying to avoid is making a commitment to changing something in a specific way when I'm a lawyer by trade not anot a technology—technician by trade. So, we're going to sit down as we always do with our vendor

2 post-election, and come up with things that we can

3 reasonably do within the timeframe of each election

4 to improve things going forward, and assuming that we

5 can't stop those top jams from occurring if we're

6 using a 2-page ballot, we'll have appropriate

7 personnel at the ready however we comprise that to

8 make sure that they're in each poll site to remove

9 those ballot jams quickly so that we're not waiting

10 | for field technicians upwards of an hour to get

11 there.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Cabrera, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I just want to be clear I'm just deeply ashamed of how we manage elections in New York City. As I said in my testimony, it's unworthy of our greatness as a city. It's an embarrassment. There's nothing resembling the 21st Century and how we administer our elections, and I'm-I just remain-I continue to have no confidence in the ability of the Board of Elections to correct some of the problems that were brought to light by this committee hearing. I-I cold ask a million questions, but I want to give my colleagues an opportunity to cross-examine. So Council Member

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much to my co-chair for your line of questions. Just a 20-second question. You gave me all the raw data for all the boroughs when it came to the complaints of ballot jams, but I did not hear Queens. Can you give me Queens real quick?

MICHAEL RYAN: Certainly, if I can get myself back to that.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Either one (sic). I have great people in my colleagues from Queens who feel neglected.

MICHAEL RYAN: I'm sorry. We're shuffling a lot of paper around here, Mr. Chairman. I had that moments ago.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Well, maybe one of your staff--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] So, yes. I got it now.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay.

MICHAEL RYAN: It was just a question. I put my hands on it. Queens, 1,914, 1,230 were scanner type and 785 were ballot jams.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay, thank you for that number, and with that, I'm going to call on my

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

colleagues. We're going to put a 5-minute clock, but we'll do it differently a second round to make sure we get all your questions in, and with that, we'll start with Council Member Powers.

96

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you , and thank you, Chair for having this hearing, and I like others experienced being outside the polling places this year and having people actually walk off, walk out and say to the, you know, the-the scanners are down, the lines are-are-are jammed up, and I have to walk home. I have to go home, and I'm not going to vote, which is disheartening, you know disheartening. I know you share that-that-that as well. I think when we talk about it right now in the city, some of the agencies and some of the systems that have the biggest challenges of public confidence it's the MTA, public housing and I would put elections up there as I think the difference that I note and others have noted this to be on Election Day is the day-the challenges of like MTA for instance are really managing a tremendously large system day-to-day 365 days a year, 24/7 in New York City. The difference here is that we get three or four times a year, maybe tops where we have to run these elections.

2 expectation to me should be higher that these are well executed if that's a-I think that's a fair 3 comment that the ability to prepare, anticipate and 4 be ready for what is a-a moment in time three or four 5 6 times a year should give us a higher confidence that 7 we are ready for that because of so much time to prepare. I also will note I actually went to the 8 Board of Elections before the Primary to see some of 9 10 the ballot count, the ballot preparation, and I will say there's definitely a lot of work that goes behind 11 12 the scenes that we are not aware of, and I do encourage everybody to go and have these discussions, 13 but I-and I will also note that I think that-the-the 14 15 crisis of confidence is beyond just a 2-page thing. 16 I was at polling place in my district that didn't have pens, an elevator that was broken. So, people 17 18 who were ADA accessible—who needed ADA accessibility couldn't vote and went home. The other things I 19 20 mentioned. What I note in the testimony and I know it's kind of moving-kind of in these sort of regular 21 2.2 hearings that are had is really kind of a failure to 23 put a plan forward or like a series of 24 recommendations today. Like the CFB does a report at 25 the end of every election cycle where they issue

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

their next year's recommendations, and many of us look at that. I know I look at them. We see the MTA has a fast forward plan. What I think is it is missing is a plan that actually outlines the priorities. Municipal poll workers I heard that today. So I understand that, but what are the things that the city needs to do in a sort of comprehensive format and plan? So, I was wondering if maybe you could share that with us. It is to move forward special election coming forward 2020 Presidential Election, primaries in general. What are the things both for elections, the operations and to improving the entire voter experience like the top five maybe that the Council, the Mayor's Office and the Board of Elections need to put into place to make sure that voters can walk in and have a reasonable time to vote, have few to none issues with voting? And that that series of things I noted where you get-you vote three times a years maybe twice and once that that is a-a close to flawless experience.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right. So, I—I hope that what is expressed here today by me on behalf of the Board of Elections is a deep and abiding respect for the process, and what this Council Committee is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

doing. But I also respect the process within the So before I have an opportunity to make Board. recommendations along with staff to the Commissioners and have them pass on it, as they are the decision makers with respect to policy, that's my reticence. Not in reaching solutions, but I want to make sure that I respect our internal process as well. some of the things that I think that we can certainly do moving forward is as I said, have qualified staff at the poll sites ready to clear ballot jams. municipal workers as poll workers is a big deal and it's doable. The other thing that we would like to be able to do if we can is to encourage young people to become more involved in elections, and do a nice a robust students as poll workers, a program, which would help us get younger because we are implementing more and more technology in the poll sites including tablets, which we worked collectively with the City Council and the Mayor's Office to secure the funding for that. As we implement more technology, into the poll sites that's going to require more individuals at those poll sites with technical expertise. does to into then poll-rate of poll worker pay. are the folks that we're going to be utilizing to do

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, look but I—I would still invite you. I know the political realities here and things like that, but I do think it is important that agencies administer things like the Board of Elections obviously have plans that are public and recommendations that are public. It's

2.2

23

24

2.2

both for the public confidence, but also so we can do our jobs, and we talk about Municipal Workers

Program. Like I would invite you to come and meet with all—all of us to talk about how to implement that, and how to—how to execute that because I know—I am familiar with it, but I'm not sure that we all know how that works and what we need to do to do it.

The—I assume other things like early voting are things that the Board supports.

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, those—those are more political in nature, but I will say this: That generically any amendment to the Election Law that can maintain or increase voter participation while at the same time destressing the volume on—of—of voters at the poll site on election day will be helpful.

appreciate that. I have another question. Is thereis it—is it not possible for you to do—I mean one of
the problems that a lot of people have with voting is
they all show up at the same time after work or
sometimes before work. Mid-day is dead, and they all
go to vote because they're required—they have to go
vote at their local polling place at school or
wherever nearby. Is there not an allowance to—to

but it-it wasn't helpful to those people that were

24

25

looking to vote.

MICHAEL RYAN: No, I'm anticipating that

we'll be able to fit all of the contest on the front

24

2.2

hours. Prior to that suggestion being made, we had engaged the services of Election Center, which collectively has 150 years of experience conducting elections, and what we were told was that the reason we were having difficulty retraining poll workers was because the 6-hour training was too long, and the whole training program was revamped. We came up with a color coded manual system, and the training thrust was then to train the poll workers to be able to refer to the reference manual to be able to deal with problems on Election Day as opposed to trying to cram the entire election law into the—into the poll workers' heads at a 6-hour training.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it. I've heard the—I've actually heard the same complaint about doing training, but there's a balance here. So, let's—

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] So, this became a philosophical difference. It wasn't a question of do we want the resources. You know, we-we would certainly be able to use that for other things, but just not—it was earmarked for a specific purpose.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And the last question. For the New York City Charter Revision Commission that is out there today, do you have recommendations that you are making to them about ways to improve Charter around elections in voting?

MICHAEL RYAN: We haven't been invited into this current process yet. We—we did have some conversations with the—with the previous go-around although limited. We were not invited to testify, but if there are specific issues that the Charter Revision Commission wants us to testify about, and puts it out there to us, I will seek the permission of the Commissioners to participate in that testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I don't know the process internally, but I will say like I-I vote-I participate. I was invited.

MICHAEL RYAN: But--

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I mean my point is like this just seems to be just to be frank like this just seems to be what the attitude is. It's like we will—we will show up when we are asked to show up rather than this is an important thing. I think the Campaign Finance Board testified, though.

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 I think is an important thing that we care about.

3 This an opportunity to improve it, and we will be

4 | there and make we're there when the opportunity

5 arises for us. It—it just seems like that you don't

6 have to be invited to testify before the Charter--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] I would say
that I wasn't aware of the protocol. What I'm simply
saying is if I'm going to make any statements
publicly in that regard, I have to take my marching
orders from the Board of Commissioners.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.

14 We're going to be hearing now from Council member

15 Deutsch followed by Yeger, Lander and Treyger and

16 just-

much, and I think most—a lot of—a loot of the questions were answered and I want thank Chair Cabrera and Chair Torres, and the Speaker for asking the many good questions on today's subject. My—my first question is: How many technicians do you have per borough if you look at all five boroughs?

2.

_

what?

_ .

MICHAEL RYAN: It varies from election to election and I have--in-in this particular election we had 36 or AD Monitoring teams in Manhattan.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I'm sorry. 36

MICHAEL RYAN: AD monitoring Teams in

Manhattan I understand, but the AD monitoring teams,
the general office teams, a combination of our AD

monitoring teams, our general office teams are ES and
S technicians and our borough technicians can all
deal with the types of ballots jams that we
experienced. If you want me to confine my response
to just technicians, we had 14 teams of ES&S techs in
Manhattan, 24 Board techs, 11 teams ES&S techs in the
Bronx, 25 Board Techs, 19 ES&S teams in Brooklyn, 33
BOE techs, 16 ES&S techs in Queens and 19 BOE techs
in Queens, but we did have the entire city blanketed
with respect to individuals who could clear basic
ballot jams.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So in Staten Island we have 19?

MICHAEL RYAN: I don't -I don't have thethe Staten Island information. I don't know where
that portion of this report went as I was shuffling

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 109
2	my papers, but we could certainly get that to you as
3	well.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, in which
5	borough did you have more complaints on Election Day?
6	MICHAEL RYAN: The most complaints that
7	we had pursuant to telephone data that we submitted
8	earlier was Brooklyn.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: It is Brooklyn.
10	So, if you take a look, do you know the population of
11	Brooklyn?
12	MICHAEL RYAN: The overall voting
13	population is 1.4 million .
14	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: 1.4 and in-do
15	you know per borough?
16	MICHAEL RYAN: The—the per-borough
17	breakdown of voters?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yes.
19	MICHAEL RYAN: Yes. We have
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing]
21	Which one has like the least amount of voters?
22	MICHAEL RYAN: Staten Island.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Staten Island,
24	and you have-that's why I'm checking because in
25	Brooklyn you have the highest population, right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Then comes Queens. Then you have Manhattan, then you have Bronx and then you have Staten Island, and I'm looking at the numbers here in State Island and you had probably almost as much as in Brooklyn and Staten Island is a population to itself, and the voters is

7 480,000.

MICHAEL RYAN: We had 12 BOE techs in Staten Island and two ES&S techs. Each borough presents its own set of challenges. Staten Island while small in population is large with respect to land mass and infrastructure is not available. So, we can't rely on anything really than automobile transportation in-in Staten Island, but we think that based on the types of scanner failures that we experienced we had sufficient techs to deal with the absolute failures or scanners. What we didn't have sufficient techs to deal with these jams that occurred on the top side of the scanner the likes of which we had not experienced before, and that's going to be part of our plan moving forward to ensure that we can deal with those quickly and get those scanners that haven't been disabled back up and running as fast as we can.

before?

I can tell is if you look back and you look at the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

Discrepancy Reports in the elections when we used lever machines, I will guarantee you that those numbers were higher than they are with the electronic machines. These—sure these—these machines as a tabulator are very accurate.

113

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Okay, finally I just want to ask one last question. So, [bell] you answered many of the questions in the hearing. So, you know, we all-all the members here we have an office across the street in 250 Broadway, and when the machines get jammed, I'm sure many of us or all of us have that beer can and alcohol pads. If you need some we could-we could give you some, but when you have these issues in any office in the city and a machine gets jammed, right, people have their own responsibilities, but they tend to fix those jams by just taking that spray can or the alcohol pads to fix that, and you mentioned that you-you're going toyou're going to reform that by bringing extra manpower, which is great, and I think that's moving in the right direction. But what disturbed me was is that you mentioned that if these machines are not feasible for 2-page ballots, you mentioned you'll have technicians there. So, if it's not going to be

2.2

feasible, if you anticipate that you will have a problem with a 2-page ballot, that you will have to put technicians there, voting is form 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. If I have to take a 15-hour trip with a battery that is half charged that's faulty, I'm not going to take that 15-hour trip with a battery changer in my trunk. I'm going to go maybe rent a car or take mass transit. If you anticipate that it's not feasible for 2 pages, the machines are going to jam up, but you're going to have to put technicians there, then I think that's an issue.

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So—so, I think a technician there is not the answer. The answer is having machines that are operable.

MICHAEL RYAN: So, the point that I was trying to make is as I have been told, other jurisdictions use multiple page ballots. Other jurisdictions, however, don't have the perforated stub or the center perforation and the—and the—and the experts tell me that it is the perfor—the perforation that's the problem. There could be a change in New York State Election Law 7-106 (1) to do away with the center perforation requirement and then

2.2

you would have a 2-page ballot, but all of edges would be machine cut, and you would lessen the amount of fibrous material that becomes a present as well as the manner in which the machine feeds it. I understand that there's to be real experts in that—in that are that are going to be testifying later, but that is a simple legislative fix that other jurisdictions manage ballot accountability without having a stub, and without have a center perforation, and it seems to me in that regard fears maybe were right at the time, but the legislative action resulted in a pound of security for an ounce of cure,

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing] Is this—so is this being discussed now in the State, you're saying?

right. So, there-there was--

MICHAEL RYAN: I'm hopeful. I know that there's going t to be legislative changes. Now that we have the day of reckoning has come, and the center perforated 2-page ballot was a reality, and we saw how it performed, which was not well, that I'm hopeful that the appropriate legislative changes will be made to give boards of election throughout the state the flexibility that they need to be able to

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] If you woke up tomorrow morning and said that the next election is going to use lever machines, you'd be violating federal law.

MICHAEL RYAN: For federal elections, correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, thanks. I just wanted to make sure that that's—that's stated.

Okay, how much does a scanner cost, the current ones that you're using?

MICHAEL RYAN: Slightly under \$7,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: \$7,000. Does it make sense to have an election inspector fiddling around with the machinery that costs substantially more than the \$300 printer that I have in my office?

MICHAEL RYAN: That has been our reluctance, quite frankly.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: All right. The—the scanners that we use now, which we'll put into play say 8 or 9 years ago, is there, and I know Chair Torres has delved into this. I wanted to just get a little deeper on it. Are there—is there a scanner on the market, and you may have addressed a little bit, but is there a scanner on the market that has the

2.2

ability to simply receive the data of all the different ballot types around the city, which are probably upwards of a couple of thousand and have that information in it without putting aside the requirement that we test each ballot because that is a state requirement. To receive that data and then have that information in it so that they could be deployed as needed?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes, I'm aware of two separate products similar with two vendors that would accomplish that.

answer now, but if you can look into the cost of those and—and let the Council know what that would be because we're about to do the November plan, and then we're going to start talking about the budget coming up, which is really around the corner. But if the Mayor and the Council would know what that is because it's not like you have a checkbook in your desk, I assume that you can just rip it out and start writing checks to buy a new machine.

MICHAEL RYAN: I do, but not enough to cover the cost of the machine.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, alright.

2.2

2 MICHAEL RYAN: But—but, Councilman, just 3 to be clear.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yes, sir.

MICHAEL RYAN: My understanding is that one system that I'm aware of is on the path to certification through the State Board of Elections, and may very well be certified during the summer of 2019.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right.

MICHAEL RYAN: Until that certification process is complete, it's as if it doesn't exist to us.

the—the State Board I believe is here I was told and will be testifying later. So, maybe hey can help shed some light on where they're up to, and I agree with—with what you said earlier is that if they were one statewide system it would really not make sense for the city of New York to put its own thing into the world and then have, you know, six months the State of New York say we're doing something different. Then all of our million dollars of equipment go into the garbage.

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: Right, we—we try to be responsible—

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

MICHAEL RYAN: --and if something new is coming, we don't want to engage in wholesale replacement of scanners that we have presently.

explain—you indicated that there were 924 ballot styles in Manhattan, which seems like a lot and recognizing there are different jurisdictional districts, which overlap in some ways, and don't overlap in other ways. Is 924 the bare minimum number of ballot styles that you were able to do? Would you be able to get away with doing less ballot styles in Manhattan?

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, certainly I think we could have—we could explore the opportunities to have some number of ballot styles loaded onto the machines, and have them placed strategically throughout the borough.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

MICHAEL RYAN: We have not done that to this point. I was simply trying to connote what it would undertake if we were trying to make a generic

machine for the whole borough that would have all

_

that stuff.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] I got that, and I'm on the clock so I'm going to try t speed up a little bit.

MICHAEL RYAN: Yep.

having a conversation with Chairman Torres earlier, you indicated there were a thousand reserved scanners and then something that—you know, I wrote a note and then you actually said it a couple of minutes later of exploring the—the ability. We have about 70 to 80 assembly districts in the area and in the city of New York and that's really how we separate out our election systems, and if we you were able to say have 10 machines in each AD, which had the data for all the potential ballots of that AD, and then strategically located, you'd be able to quickly deploy machines with an AD, which makes more sense I suppose.

MICHAEL RYAN: Correct but the—the spare machines in this particular election were integral part of our plan in dealing with overflowing ballots, which is—which was the primary focus in the lead up

2 to Election Day. We really didn't know (A) what turnout would be. We knew it was going to be greater, 3 and we have no control over when the voters show up. 4 What we saw in this election as well was a crush of 5 6 voters coming early in the morning. Now, I suspect 7 they were trying to beat the rain, and--and get out quickly. We had a certain number or reserved 8 scanners on the side so if these ballot bins filled 9 up [bell] that we'd be able to move them out and 10 still secure the ballot material since that's part of 11 12 our responsibility as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Mr. Chairman, if I can just go on with one or two quick questions, and I'll— $\label{eq:council}$

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes, and few questions. (sic)

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: --and I'll wait for the second round. Thank you. The—the scanner that—
I'm sorry. Withdraw. The—when you were in Crown
Heights and you discussed the wet ballot what time of day did you say that was?

MICHAEL RYAN: That had to be between 11:15 and 11:30.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

there's a process right now for when—when the machine hits five—when a scanner hits 500 ballots scanned in somebody from the site has to notify the Board of Elections. You're aware that that's the rule?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, does that data result in somebody maybe going out there and checking the scanner without having any knowledge that there's a problem, but just because we've gotten a report that a scanner has hit the 500. What happens with that data is my question.

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, what we were trying to convey to the poll workers is the ballot bin capacity is about 1,400 pieces of paper. So, by putting that 500 notification requirement in was our own plan to try to stay ahead if the—what we anticipated to be overflowing ballots at the end of—

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, basically by the time they hit the third call that bin is full and they have to do the envelope, the sealed bag and the envelope--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

Z 4

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: --in the middle of Brooklyn or whether it's private building.

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] On average, we could say as a rough guestimate 4,000 voters per site.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, is there-is there any, you know, one of the things I-I thought about in the last couple of weeks, really since primary day, but more so in the last 10 days or so. Is that, you know, we have places in America where there are 300 people registered to vote at the local Firehouse and the election goes quite smoothly, and, you know, they roll in, they roll out and life goes on and all is great, and we don't have that luxury here in the city to have a poll site with, you know 2 or 300 voters at their local firehouse. And I'm wondering what, if any, resources, efforts you need either to do yourself or to ask the Administration and the Council for help in creating new poll sites to-to divide up the very large sites that we see have problems. Because it's not just in my estimation, and by the way, I voted in under five minutes at-in Brooklyn on Election Day. So, you know, I don't want to be the example, but I know that not everybody had

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to wait online for an hour I believe. What—what would you need to do to split up those super large sites that—that have been the result of problems in this election or the primary and just historical problems since the insertion or the creation of the scanner machines?

MICHAEL RYAN: We need access to buildings that are accessible to all New Yorkers. One of the things that we're doing to offset the deficiencies at the poll sites that we-we have to use is we-now-now think about this. We have 1,231 poll sites in-in the city of New York. We had to install temporary-temporary ramping equipment at 503 sites. We used 17 vendors at 387 sites, and we do 116 on our own between our efforts and the efforts of the board of-of the Department of Education. Going back to 2014, we had no vendors doing this. We were moving poll sites. We were getting beat up from moving poll People don't like their poll sites moved. I sites. get it. So, we've now-we spend quite bit of expense money resources to make poll sites temporarily accessible when capital expenditure to fix the problems could really be the answer.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. I will tell you Mr. Director that in my experience something that I've seen is that I think the Board-I'm not really sure what standard the board uses for handicap accessible. I mean I know in my district they-if the Board has a problem with a particular poll site that's a senior center and saying that it's not handicapped accessible, and I know that there are, you know, 100-year Holocaust survivors that make it up the ramp that's there, and the board's position is that's not good enough, and in my district there's a local Community Board, which is a ground floor storefront, and they literally tell me it's true. They came and they installed some kind of matt or ramp, and I've been in that office a hundred times and it never seemed to me. So, I'm wondering if there's maybe, your-your-the board uses too heavy of a definition of what is accessible and what the need for accessible, and I appreciate it, Mr. Chairman and I'll leave it at that and let him answer.

MICHAEL RYAN: That accessibility standard that we go by is as a result of a federal

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you.

you so much because I know we-we have a second round.

lawsuit, and we are operating under a rederar mandate
to utilize the services of Evan Terry Association-
Associates to survey all of the poll sites that we
use for accessibility, and we work with Evan Terry to
make sure that we implement their recommendations,
and we meet regularly with the Disability Rights
advocate who are counsel for the accessibility
community to implement these challenges, and some of
them-I mean we've-we've had ramps in-in Upper
Manhattan in the Heights for example that are over
100 feet long. We have level landing systems that
look like they're on flat ground, but because there's
a standard we have to place it over-over what appears
to be flat ground. So, we follow what the Federal
Court is telling us to do by utilizing the services
of this outside vendor, and we have taken the
position of trying like heck to not move poll sites
if we can avoid it, and that's why we have the
extensive temporary ramping that we're doing.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. I—I want to get back to this later but, Mr. Chairman wants to allow the colleagues to have questions. So thank you very much Mr. Director.

MICHAEL RYAN: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, and thank you for the patience of all of my colleagues. Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez followed by Council Members Lander and Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Chair. First of all, thank you for the work that you

do in assisting that definite need to be

restructured. One of my concerns is about the

immigrant voters. As one of the probably few elected

born and raised in another country that doesn't

belong to the United States I have seen how the city

had to do much better to be more friendly to

immigrants. What steps are taking place in that

election to be sure that most workers who were placed

in communities where most voters were not English

speaking wouldn't be able to create the supports that

those voters needed.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right. So, we follow the standards of Section 203 of the Voter Rights Act with respect to population density. We are required to provide additional services to voters that speak Chinese, Korean, Bengali and Spanish, and in addition by state law we are required to provide additional materials on our website in the Russian language. We

2.2

did extensive outreach with respect to interpreters. We typically have a good number or Spanish speaking interpreters and we don't usually fall short on Election Day. One of the more challenging languages that we have for recruitment, and we haven't been able to put our finger on the button exactly why is—is Korean. But we—work on those languages to make sure that we have a sufficient number of interpreters in and around election day as well as the languages—those languages in certain areas not throughout the city but in certain areas are required to be on the ballot.

that is still today in that election and most likely if we don't take the necessary steps in the new election, the next election come, and most likely will be in February as we will be hoping to have a special election for the Public Advocate. What will the Board of Elections put in place to learn from what happened in the past election on improving? I'm not saying that you guys are not doing the job, but—

MICHAEL RYAN:

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: --no one can deny that much more has to be done in that particular

[interposing] Right.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

area to be sure that immigrants who doesn't speak the language are going to polling sites knowing that

4 there's a friendly place where people there speak the

5 languages. What will you-what did you learn from

6 that previous election that in the next one coming in

February or whatever, you will be ready to respond to

8 | those needs?

Right. So, I think in MICHAEL RYAN: terms of recruitment in our-our media buy, we did well in terms of raw numbers in recruitment. What I cannot answer for you right this minute is we have to review the coordinator logs, the interpreter journals to see if there were any particular problems other than the ones that we normally might experience that require tweaking or-or overhauling moving forward, and we just-from this past election we just don't have that information presently. But I can tell you that we function under a very comprehensive language assistance program again through Federal Court Order, and we-and that has been extended, and through the end of 2019. I expect it will be extended again after that because of the federal interest in making sure that these things are taken care of properly.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: And how—how do you plan the ratio of translate—translation—
translators per the number of voters that you expect will be going to the polling site and how these past elections respond? Like how many? What is the average or how do you plan?

MICHAEL RYAN: I—I can have a longer conversation with you and walk you through the Language Assistance Program. It is not just simply one thing. It's population density through the census. There are a list of surnames that we must review and determine to be, whether they or not, requiring of language assistances. Now, I—I have some questions myself about the overall effectiveness of the Language Assistance Program and whether the targeting of what was in the past an ethnically specific surname, whether that still makes sense to do that moving forward, but under the present rules that we are—we're following through the Federal Court, we have to do it.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I—I hear you and that's my— I'll end it with this: With that recommendation that again as someone whose English is not the first language, as someone that is one of the

in those polling places.

recent immigrants that make up 38% of the New York
City population. [Speaking Spanish] I feel that as
the Board of Elections will look in an area on how we
can do better. I think that we still have area to
improve when it come to increasing the numbers of
workers that is there to translate to the ratio of
voters. New York City today is not the 1900s when in
this case Latinos were in our country or the AfricanAmerican population was only 2%. Today, population
is 38% of New Yorkers born and raised in another
country, and many were living with green cards
undocumented 30 years ago, but today that populations
are voting, and I have seen that they have been going
to places that they need more numbers of translators

MICHAEL RYAN: So, just and I-I know you have a specific interest in—in Spanish. We took ads in El Diario.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: And Hispanics and everyone, Korean.

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] But—but I understand, but I'm just—I can't read the whole list. So, you know, the Spanish happens to be at the top of Diario, La Voz Hispana, Impacto. We—we took ads in

2.2

those—in those publications to be able to do that form of basic outreach, but we can always come to events, and I tried to say this to all community groups. You know, if there's specific events where you know that there's going to be numbers of people there, invite us. Let us know about them. Send us an email, and we'll make every effort to get our outreach teams there to—to try to get poll workers to come in to try to get people to register and also for translators.

council Member Rodriguez: I—I mean in my experience, the workers of Community Boards, you guys are accessible. I know that you respond to the phone. You respond to the email. So, I'm not questioning that piece. I just believe regardless of what, we will rely on the Community Board or the other borough election.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: For the next election coming now most likely in February for the next one coming I '21. I just hope that again as in any other election that you look in an area to improve. [Speaking Spanish]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Muchas Gracias,

Council Member and with that I'll pass it onto

Council member Lander and then Council Member

Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] Thank you, Mr. Chair, and in a minute I'm going to follow up on Council Member Rodriguez's question. But I-I want to start by telling you about my Election Day experience and asking a question or two about it. It's certainly my sense that an awful lot of the places where all the scanners failed throughout the entire day were highly concentrated in-in my district. We were on the phone with your team a lot, and certainly they were sending technicians out, but it was a bit like a game of Whac-A-Mole because the scanner would go down. Well, we could fix it. Well, the next site to fix it, and one would break again. At-at my site to the Kingsborough Temple on-on Seventh Street and Park Slope, you know, I waited outside in the rain with an umbrella for awhile. The line was up the block. When I got inside, I took some pictures from the door. was a total mosh pit. Luckily, someone had rigged up an upside down broom stick with "End-of-Line Here."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, you could find the end of the line because otherwise you were advised of two things: (1) If you want you can just vote while you kind of wait in this snaky line. You don't need to use the privacy booth, which was great because why go to the privacy booth if you're going to have an hour waiting in the snaky line. And (2) we were told three of the four scanners are down. So, you have two choices. can wait in the snaky line for an hour and use the last scanner or you can just put your ballot right now into the emergency ballot box. Most of us decided, and I will say the spirits were good. was a happy Election Day despite the madness of the Administration. Most of us decided to wait in the line, and so I waited for about 50 minutes to snake through the-the-the mosh pit and then, of course, when I was about five people from the last scanner, that scanner broke. So, I was not able to-having waited for that hour have my ballot scanned, and instead just as I was approaching they were like okay, stuff you ballot in the Emergency Ballot Box. I had never noticed that the container, the cabinet under the scanner says: Emergency Ballot Box on it, but I got none of my questions asked about under what

circumstances will those ballots be removed from the
ballot-Emergency Ballot Box? When will they be
scanned? What confidence do I have that they'll be
scanned? Who will be watching when they are scanned?
So, I didn't see that happen, and hopefully my ballot
got counted, but what can— I guess for starters,
can you tell me I guess what is the protocol for how
the ballots are taken out of the Emergency Ballot Box
and scanned? What ballot security is there? Do you
have any count of how many ballots were put in and
out and, you know, what kind of report can we have on
that, and honestly, if that's secure, why not just do
that with everything? Like then I wouldn't have had
to wait in line for an hour. All the ballots could
have gone in the secure ballot box and then been
scanned. Like it seemed to me what we had is
absolutely the worst of both worlds. I both waited
and had no confidence in ballot security. If you
convince me, I should have confidence in ballot
security. Can't we run the election a lot more
efficiently? And if not, why should I believe my
1

ballot was counted?

scanned into the scanner at the moment. We also-

to have it scanned myself.

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: --my-my point is if you are left with the impression that you were getting a different ballot, that would not have been the right impression.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] No, no, no. I was clear what my choices were. I hoped that I would be able to scan it myself--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --because I would have had more confidence it was counted than like stuffing it in the-like throwing it in the basket.

(sic)

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] So—so we saw an inordinate number of emergency ballots this go—round, but I want to give you a number that I gave earlier. Why this never bubbled to the surface is being an issue for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] Can you first—I just want to understand maybe if you did this before, it's fine. I just want to first understand the protocol—

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Sure, okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --of how it came

25 out of the cabinet--

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

3 90--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --and we saw it and what confidence I can have that there was ballot security and the counting of my ballot.

MICHAEL RYAN: Page 91 of the Poll Worker Manual details what they do with-with emergency ballots, and at the end of the night before the machines are shut down, all of the emergency ballots are taken out of their respective locations by a bipartisan team, and in-and with--under the [bell] supervision of NYPD and individually scanned into the DS200 Scanners. So, the vast majority of emergency ballots are tabulated on election night. If for some reason one of those was unscannable, then that goes into the emergency ballot envelope for processing later during the-it's counted and-and accounted for in the ballot accountability process, and processed later by borough staff after the NYPD drops all of the ballot material back to our respective borough offices.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And did I-I heard somewhere that in some cases there were—the—the

2.2

2 emergency ballot cabinets filled up entirely. What
3 happened then?

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, that—that was true. What happened we saw as well with these topside scanner jams that we were talking about some of the ballots accordioned, and they don't lay flat, and that ballot, the emergency ballot bin slot is—is not big, but in years past we get double digit numbers of—of—of emergency ballots. This was a high volume of emergency ballots, but the process is to count your vote on election night before the close of polls.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] So what did people do? What did the poll workers do when they no longer had room in the emergency ballot cabinets, and still didn't have working scanners?

MICHAEL RYAN: They utilized the emergency ballot envelopes that we had at the poll sites, and in some instances we had provided seal bags in the case that the ballot bin overflowed and they used those as well, but--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] DO you understand why I and thousands of other voters find it hard to just have confidence that because our

vote and someone sticks it in a plastic bag, and

25

2 later some people will count it, is really damaging. It's hard to believe we have an election system that 3 provides secret ballots. I mean I'm snaking through 4 5 the thing. I'm voting in front of all my neighbors 6 with not private-I mean I was offered a privacy 7 booth, but almost no one took the privacy booth. know, voting in public, snaking through like we're in 8 an amusement park and putting our ballots in plastic 9 bags being told someone will later scan and count 10 I did not put mine in a plastic bag, but it 11 12 sounds like some people did. It just makes it very difficult to believe we have an election system that 13 14 provides secret ballot and counts. People had good 15 will to try to achieve it, but it really is 16 undermining of confidence in the system, and I just want to ask one last question following up on Ydanis' 17 18 issues around language access because a thing we did vote for on the back of the ballot together Item #2, 19 Proposition #2, New Yorkers overwhelmingly voted in 20 favor of expanding poll site access, language access 21 2.2 at poll sites, and I think it's pretty reasonable to believe what likely will come out of that thing that 23 the vast majority of New Yorkers voted for is the 24

city putting some resources in translators. When we

2.2

did that last election without the vast majority of New Yorkers asking for it, but just the Council and the Mayor asking for it, the Board of Elections would not let those translators come within 100 feet of the poll site. What are we doing now that the majority of New Yorkers have voted to expand language access to make sure that the resources that get provided through the Civic Engagement Commission are used together with the Board of Elections, and we don't wind up with that kind of standoff that we had last year where the people want more language access, but the translators are forced to be 100 feet outside the polls.

MICHAEL RYAN: I think something did change in this election. The people of the city of New York spoke. We have to certify the election, and after the election is certified, I am certain that this topic will be a topic that is addressed by the Board of Commissioners. I don't make policy for the agency, and I'm not going to step out ahead of the Commissioner's authority on that, but I certainly would expect that it—that it will be addressed and—and moving forward irrespective, I would hope that the communication between the city and the Board

MICHAEL RYAN:

Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much 4 and Council Member Treyger, thank you so much for 5 waiting.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you, Chair Cabrera and Chair Torres. Good to see you again Director Ryan.

MICHAEL RYAN: Nice to see you.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So, I'm—I'm a former public school teacher. When it was exam day I had to prepare for over 170 students, make sure enough paper, making sure enough pens and pencils. did not prepare for 50% participation. I did not prepare for a quarter participation. I had to prepare for full participation. We in government and the message that we give in our-in our society is to encourage people to vote, to be full participants in our democracy. It is alarming that we don't have the capacity to accommodate full participation. We don't have the capacity to accommodate I think a quarter participation. This is a wake up call not just to city officials, but to the state and federal government as well. So, I just want to begin by-by saying that. In addition to paper jams, the weather,

2.2

2-page ballots, my colleague touched upon this. You know, I led the charge in this—in this body to get resources from the City Council from the city of New York to hire language access interpreters. Director Ryan, I am outraged to learn that once again these hardworking individuals who are only seeking to assist voters to find if they're in the right place, to make sure that they knew what an ED was, were placed outside in the cold weather in the rain a hundred feet away because someone in the Board of Elections interprets language access as electioneering. So, my question to you is who from the Board of Elections had interpreted this—interpreted language access as electioneering? Whose decision was it to order people to stay 100 feet away

MICHAEL RYAN: That was a—a decision that was made by the Board of Commissioners last year when we were approached, as—as you're aware, very late in the game as we approached Election Day. I can tell you that I participated in one conference call with representatives from the Administration, and said to us not that we offered to them, we understand we have to remain outside the 100 feet. We—the—the Board of

from the poll sites again in the cold freezing rain?

2.2

2 Commissioners was not asked in any way, shape or 3 form--

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: [interposing]

Director Ryan, just to be clear, you're telling me

that the New York City Board of—Board of

Commissioners ten individuals for the city of New

York interpret language access as electioneering? Is

that what you're telling this committee?

MICHAEL RYAN: What I'm telling you is that it was a decision that was made last year, and I'm also telling you that we were not asked to revisit that. We were told that the—we were told that the interpreters were going to be set up, and that the interpreters were going to be bringing their own tape measures, and that they were going to set up outside—outside the sign.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Commissioner, they only—first of all, again as you pointed out, the Federal Voting Rights Act mandates I think Chinese. I think you mentioned Korean. The state—you—the BOE added Bengali for— Noting in the law—nothing in the law prohibits the BOE from adding more languages. I keep hearing the goal post moving. We in Southern Brooklyn have a very large Russian Speaking

150 1 2 community. Many Holocaust survivors, World War II veterans coming in not sure if they're in the right 3 place because also the BOE moves poll sites around. 4 5 So, where-where they used to vote they go and 6 they're—and they're told this is not where—where you 7 vote and they simply ask the question: Where do I go? Some might ask what is and ED? Which booth? That's 8 all they want to know. So, we in the city put 9 hundreds of thousands of dollars because the BOE 10 wouldn't do it. They said they didn't have money. 11 12 The state was not acting. We put in that money simply to hire people, and I thank the Mayor and-and 13 14 his administration on this issue, simply to hire 15 people to tell them if you're in the right place or 16 not. They have street finders. They're not telling people who to vote for. So, I find it shameful 17 18 [bell] that the Board of Commissioners interprets helping people to vote as electioneering. 19 20 failed that vocabulary test, Commissioner, and this is a major issue in the City of New York. We have-in 21 2.2 our population many people speak different languages. 23 It's not just Chinese, Korean or Spanish and we, of course, celebrate those languages, but there are 24

people who speak Russian, Arabic, Urdu. There's

up a hotline could set up their own hotline.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] Okay.

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: We—we—we respond to every single—every single one of those calls that's picked up gets logged into the system, and we have our own version of 311. You know we'll call a 311 for elections on Election Day. That is a specially designed program that has all of the dropdowns necessary to deal with election related problems.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And do you keep track of—of all the issues that are brought to your attention and then the percentage or the number of those issues that were resolved?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes, and—and we can certainly post-election that we're post-election.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] So, let's have that. Do you have that data with you at the moment?

MICHAEL RYAN: I don't have it for this current election, but I—we can provide you for past elections as far back as the system goes, if you'd like that, and then once we've completed our analysis for this election, we can give you the updated information.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Now the—and if I'm misstating the law, please correct me. The State

anecdotally. We did have our vendor a few years back

challenges that we face, and I said this earlier, and

this is not by way of excuse, but by way of explanation. We are trying to shoehorn an electronic machine universe into rules designed to govern poll sites that had lever machines. The—the machines that we use now though smaller, with all of the attendant equipment that is required that wasn't required previously, create more space challenges.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] I guess my question is so let's stipulate that the rule is 30 minutes. Do you have a sufficient amount of space and a sufficient number of scanners and paper bins and all the rest to ensure that voters on average are voting within 30 minutes?

MICHAEL RYAN: In all locations no.

There are spots in New York City that present to us very specific challenges. I vote I-24 in Staten

Island, and -and I never have a problem voting, and they don't treat me extra special when I walk in. I just get in line like everybody else and go and vote.

Other sites throughout the city and particularly in Manhattan, which gets a lot of attention, there is a shortage of usable poll sites. One of the things that we're doing to address that challenge--hopefully it will help-we've contracted with Esri, which is a

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] In the

24

25

latest election.

MICHAEL RYAN:this election,
anecdotally there were widespread problems. You've
heard testimony not only from myself, but from a
couple of your colleagues that there areas where
areas where there weren't problems, but I can tell
you this: Where there were problems, the problems
were significant, and the likes of which we hadn't
seen before and that's where we're going to
concentrate our efforts moving forward to ensure. We
can't stop a ballot from jamming necessarily, but we
can change the way we deal with it once it happens,
and I think what happens at poll sites it's like wher
a highway has a car accident. A ballot jam is the
equivalent of a car accident. One the traffic backs
up, it takes time for it to dissipate.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And the—you provide tablets to poll coordinators correct?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes, we do.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And can poll coordinators submit complaints to those tablets? [background comments]

MICHAEL RYAN: They receive our information only our field

cyber security?

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But why is that, and
I'm not taking lightly the concerns about cyber
security. Even the machines themselves are
susceptible to cyber warfare and cyber attacks, but
why would it be--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] The reason that becomes--

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: --a concern for me to send and email or some kind of complaint through a Tablet saying this scanner—three of our scanners are down. Can you come and fix it?

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] It's—it's just—

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Like why is that a threat to cyber security on that?

MICHAEL RYAN: It's presently a simple answer. We use those same tablets to upload the results at the end of the night, and one of our major concerns with any election is avoiding dedicated denial of service attack as we're processing the election results at the end of the night. So, we

challenge.

can during Election Day.

need to keep those tablets as pristine and pure as we

O 4

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But if—if you're putting sensitive information in the Tablets as is, that information is already susceptible to cyber attacks.

MICHAEL RYAN: There—there is a difference between pushing information out and allowing an open line of communication back and forth between the tablets. Now, if we—if we go to a different process where we have a separate Tablet for complaints, and we can segregate that from—from the election results reporting piece of it, then maybe we'll have—

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [interposing] I'm really not following. I just don't know why if you and I are communicating via Tablet about problems at a poll site, that's not sensitive communication.

That's what?

MICHAEL RYAN: No, what—what I'm talking about is there is a difference as the tech people tell me in pushing information out versus allowing an open Internet communication network essentially throughout the city, and we have an absolute

getting in touch with certain call centers. So, of

MICHAEL RYAN:

No.

new need, and we would have to procure them. I'm not-

make a determination how far along they are in the

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing]

3 Okay, so---

MICHAEL RYAN: --as we made preparations.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so it—it—I believe and you've said this a number of times that the perforate ballot is the first time in this jurisdiction meaning not just the city of New York but all of the—the counties throughout the state.

This is 62 counties. We represent five of them so I guess 57 of them, and they've never used perforated ballot in New York. So this is really the first time that that's been done. It hasn't been done in other jurisdictions, but we're required by law. So, if you have to do a 2-page ballot because of the challenge new with this 6-1/2 point font ballot, which would have been the alternative, you can only do a perforated ballot. Is that correct?

MICHAEL RYAN: That's my understanding of the Election Law, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. The—if—just if you can expand a little bit, is there an agency in the city of New York that is not the Board of Elections that is responsible for notifying and

information up on their website, and only having the

Member Treyger earlier today was discussing the

various languages, and I fully supported the Mayor's

24

2.2

efforts through MOIA to deploy translators with the understanding that there are technical hurdles and they needed to say beyond the 100 feet radius. I don't necessarily agree with that, but I understand the—the concern of these workers. They didn't necessarily take the boards oath, and I understand those issues, but the Campaign Finance Board's literature does not utilize the languages that the Board—that the City's Office of Immigrant Affairs was utilizing for translation purposes. Is that correct to your knowledge?

MICHAEL RYAN: I'm not fully familiar with what MOIA has disseminated versus Campaign Finance Board. I know that Campaign Finance Board has made voter registration forms in additional languages beyond those serviced by the Board of Elections and—and that they're up there and people can download for their use if they so choose.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, two more quick things and I want to get back to the federal lawsuit that—regarding the accessibility issues, and the hurdles that you have to overcome, but I just wanted to clarify something. My understanding, and correct me if I'm wrong, is that in the past when we

2.2

had the lever machines, an ED had a lever machine deployed 800 voters. So, if an ED had 1,600 voters, it would have two lever machines, 3,200 voters. It would. Okay, today it's 1,600--and correct me if I'm wrong--but per 1,600 voters in a site is where you deploy and additional scanner. So, you're starting point is two scanners per site no matter how many voters there are because in case one breaks and then you increase it per 1,600. [bell] Are you-are you at the point based on this election where you may be concerned that either deploying those additional thousand scanners or simply just biting the bullet so to speak and getting more scanners and reducing that 1,600 number?

MICHAEL RYAN: It—it is—it is 1,400, but the point is still taken. Yes, we're going to evaluate all of our poll sites, but we also have a finite number of poll sites, and each one of those poll sites has a finite amount of square footage that we have accessible to us, and in gubernatorial election, we have to deploy one privacy booth for every 250 voters. Whereas, in other elections we can do that for every 350 voters. So—

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing]

177

It brings me to my next point, which is Excuse me. the federal lawsuit accessibility because one of the conundrums as I understand in your inability to find more locations where you can conduct elections is that you're operating with this very strict realm of requirements of what constitutes handicap accessibility, and as I've told you earlier, in my earlier line of questioning, I found I believe some of the requirements that—and you've described this as board requirements that you're obligated to do under the federal lawsuit. I-I find them to be owners beyond-beyond reason. For example, I was told thatthat a hospital in Brooklyn, SUNY Hospital, needed to have your technicians come out and make it handicap accessible. It doesn't make sense, of course, that the hospital wouldn't be handicapped accessible. told you about a community board, I told you about a senior center in Borough Park, which obviously has 90, 100-year-old people going into it, but you-your staff needed to come and make it better, and I'm wondering if there's a chance or an opportunity for us to revisit that federal lawsuit and if you ask perhaps if Corporation Counsel can assist you in

2.2

going back to court and saying some of these requirements don't make sense particularly in instances where the poll site is located in a place that is already handicap accessible. For example, the lobby of an apartment building may not fit within the realm of what you're required to do under the federal lawsuit, but so clearly it is handicap accessible. The lobby of a hospital may not fit within the reality of what you're required to do under the federal lawsuit, but so clearly it's handicap accessible.

MICHAEL RYAN: So revisiting the—the purpose of the lawsuit is not likely. It was decided by the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in February—in May of 2014. However, we do work closely with overseer, and the Disability Rights Advocates. So, when we find those instances where the cure is worse than the underlying problem, we have made compromises, and earlier I discussed all of the 503 sites that we have placed temporary ramping. So, we're doing everything we can to keep the poll sites that we have in the places where we have them. Another thing that we've done we've taken the Help America Vote Act money and we're working

2.2

with the Department of Education. You guys are familiar with the zipper drains (sic) and they have—the cup because they cheap grading. We have worked with a designer and a vendor to make cast iron grading that we are providing to the Department of Education to help America Vote Act Money to put that grading down once and for all so that we don't have the cupping. We're doing some basic stuff. It doesn't sound too sexy, but it's like grinding concrete where there's a lip. Well, we can't just walk onto the school property to do that, but we're working closely with them to use those resources where can, and to be a good and fair partner, you know, with the—the schools primarily because we know that they're facing challenges, too.

that references sits that you're currently already using, but what I indicated in my earlier line of questioning was whether or not we can expend the sites of, you know, for example in my district that senior center that I referenced the Board really wants to not have the election there and they're—they're hunting for another place in my neighborhood, but there is no other place, and I know the challenge

you have. There are a lot—there are place you simply can't get into even if you have right to, but simply because it just doesn't work, and I'm wondering if you're able to look beyond what—what—what simply works as an accessible site, and say well this is not at 100% but it's 80% and with a little work we can—we could make this work as a site, and then divide some of these extremely large election sites into, you know, two or three or four or five sites to get to that little town place that I talked about earlier—

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: --with 300 people registered to votes a firehouse.

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Right.

MICHAEL RYAN: So, we will analyze any site that is known to us that's a potential for poll site use. What we have done in other circumstances, and I extend this offer to you as well, Councilman, if there's a particular site that's vexing (sic) in a—in a district, you are the eyes and ears of your community, and you have been elected to represent them. So, to that extent you speak for the voters in your district. If there's a spot that requires particular attention, we've done site visits. I've brought the—the vendor up from Alabama to come in

2.2

specifically Jim Terry himself and assess sites that have particular issues because he has a specific expertise in this area, and we can walk the whole site, and make a determination whether there is something that has been heretofore overlooked to make sure that we preserve that site for the use of that community. And I commit to you that we've done it in the past, and we're happy to do it moving forward. Some sites require, you know, more comprehensive analysis than others, and while our staffers have good basic skills with respect to poll site

assessment, they're not architects.

in the instance where the board reached out to meI'm only in office for 10-1/2 months--and told me
that they needed to get out of this senior center,
and we were we on hunt, and I was looking with your
staff to try to help them identify another place, and
I could not find a place in my neighborhood that met
the requirements that you are forced-not by your own
doing-but that you're forced to undergo. I couldn't
find it. I couldn't help, and they were coming to me
with some names places, and I said, you know, go

2.2

check it out. You're not going to be able to get in there. You'll see yourself.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right.

I think the conundrum that a lot of—a lot of this is based around, which is that you have these very large sites, and then you have this kind of thing around your neck where you can't get in—you can't do it some place else that looks like it would work perfectly because it just doesn't meet your requirements, and you have to go and do an enormous amount of work to make it work, and I'm wondering why you can't— We'll you've kind of answered it, but why you can't out from under this albatross of—of—of a requirement that may actually make no sense particularly when you're going and retrofitting a hospital in order to run an election there.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right. So—so we have a lot of poll sites. What we do as I—as I said, on a case—by—case basis we go through the final surveys that—that we come—that come through Evan Terry Associates and our staff reviews them, and then we sit down with the disability rights advocates who are the counsel—the opposing counsel in the lawsuit and we try to

2.2

come to a reasonable determination, and as I said to you, there are have been limited instances, but instances none the less where the fix was worse than the underlying problem, and in those circumstances where the fix has been worse than the underlying problem, we've reached a compromise in most of those instances, and this is one of those spots that we're very happy to take a look at that again to bring it up to Evan Terry Associates and as well as DRA, and see if there's not some common ground that meets the needs of all the voters and that's our challenge, and we never want to be in a position where the accessibility community feels like they're being pitted against the other voters in a district.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Alright, thank you very much, Mr. Director. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you and Council Member Jumaane Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Ryan of BOE for being here.

I'm pretty sure that everybody has expressed their-the irate nature that they felt. So, I'm just going to add a bit just so I can speak up for my constituents particularly P.S. 208, P.S. 269, Saint

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Augustine's. It was pretty atrocious. I have never witnessed anything like it. It seems to me that it's getting worse and worse. I think it fall in the line of suppression of voters. Unlike Georgia or other places where it was done intentional, this-I don't think it was intentional, but it has the desired effect as people leave because they're frustrated or in some cases have their names take off the rolls. There are a whole bunch of issues that fall into that, and it does get quite frustrating. I do believe it is a-a broader thing that has to be done. I absolutely believe on the state level there's a bunch of reforms that can help the system that has to be the number one thing that we do, but that can't provide an excuse for dereliction of the Board of Elections. And so, the first I have to do, do we have any data on voter attrition or voters who weren't able to vote or had to leave the polling site before they were scanning? Do we have any information like data, any anecdotal information at all?

MICHAEL RYAN: Only anecdotal and—and you're right. Denial of a right to voter whether—whether by mistake or error or—or systemic, is still

2.2

denial of a right to vote, but I do appreciate your recognizing that you do not believe it was intentional. But anecdotally speaking, I am certain that there were voters based on the wait times who decided to leave, and—and did not vote, but I have no way of quantifying that, and I apologize.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: In my—in one of my voting sites P.S. 269, for the first time ever I saw an impressive police presence. Not one or two, but a lot, and they actually shut down the street, which I had never seen before because of the frustrations. Did that happen across the city? How many time have we heard that? Was—what was the interaction with the NYPD?

know, on mass across the city, but we have a very good working relationship with the Special Events
Unit from the Police Department that assists us with—with elections and they are an effective partner under the leadership of Inspector Wally, and when we have issues like that on election day, the NYPD is absolutely as responsive as it can be while they're trying to balance a lot of issues as well not to mention your regular policing and the anti-terrorism

threats that they face. So, we thank them for their work in that regard.

at the beginning of the day, BOE actually responded fairly quickly. There is generally speaking on my end when I Tweet something, I do get a response.

However, by the end of the day, I just think it was an epic fail, right, and so the BOE failed. It's not the first time. So, I'm trying to lead up to the day. Is there anticipation of this kind of failing and if there is, why are there no alarm bells sounded before the day of?

MICHAEL RYAN: So, as I have testified, you know, throughout the course of the proceedings today, the—this 2-page center perforated ballot presented us challenges that unfortunately some of the things we can only learn through the experience of having gone through it. Now, we've come out the other end. As I said, we cannot prevent a ballot jam from happening in the first place necessarily. However, we can respond better to those circumstance when they arise, and one of the things that I'm certain we will discussing with the Commissioners, and I can't imagine a scenario where some form of it

2.2

does not happen. Getting additional staff to be constantly present at the poll sites. So, if there is a relatively easily fixed ballot jam that we get that done quickly and it doesn't have effect of shutting down a machine or machines for an extended period of time.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So, and we've been here before. We've had problems on Election

Day, and so at some point we have to learn the lessons because we went through them, and we've seen that that's not happening. So, I just want to walk through a couple of things. We did anticipate that we would have a higher than normal turnout. Yes?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: We did anticipate because we had perforations we would have double the amount of papers going through with increased turnout. Yes?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: We didn't anticipate that that might cause some trouble because of the perforation and the changes?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

1516

1718

19

20

21

22

24

Z 4

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: But we did nothing to try to address that beforehand?

MICHAEL RYAN:

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay.

No.

MICHAEL RYAN: We had plans. Honestly, our focus was-we didn't anticipate the types of repetitive ballot jams that we would get. clearly was not something that was on our radar screen. What we were focusing our efforts on in the lead up to Election Day was the ballot bins filling, and what do we do with the now voted ballot material that we have legal responsibility to keep and maintain, and how do we secure them in poll sites throughout the city that are not created equally? have some very small poll sites. We have some very large poll sites, and we tried to work collaboratively not only with our staff and do what we needed to do, but also to work with the NYPD to make sure that we kept the machines and the ballot material secure. And that's why I keep going back Councilman to the point of we need to have staff at the poll sites to clear the ballot jams so if they happen we can keep the lines moving.

2.2

rung, but so let me just close, but I do want to say it sounded like a dereliction in terms of the questions I asked that you said yes to that no one would figure or consider what would happen if there were ballot jams, and that's the—that's the—that's that problem I'm having. So, my hope is that there's conversation before hand about what could happen—

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: --or else everyone is going to assume that we're okay when we're not, and that's just a problem. We've been through this. Well, this is about the general now. We've had problems--

MICHAEL RYAN: Right.

we have to learn to go through it, but it turns out intuitively we might have perceived that some things could occur, and then I think even if there were backup plans that didn't work, the community would feel a little better because at least we had planned it out.

MICHAEL RYAN: Right.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: It looks like 3 we didn't. So--

4 MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] So

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: --but-but let

6 me say these things--

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Sure.

know my—my bell rung. One, we are trying to get an additional site at Flatbush Gardens on New York. The owners made some changes. I think the wheelchair entrance they said the ramp or something was at a wrong angle. It seemed weird when I saw it, but that would be what would shut down that space. It's a much bigger space than places. So, I'm asking you to please look into that. Lastly, just for my colleague's first comment on the Public Advocate's Office, my belief is that if we think something is not powerful enough, we may want to increase the power. It's the first time I've ever heard of taking it away, but thank you very much.

MICHAEL RYAN: So, on—on the planning, what we did was we—we put out teams of technicians equivalent to what we do in a—in a presidential election, and in the postscript to this election was

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 that additional increase in staffing was inadequate, and we have to modify that moving forward. 3 certainly, and I-and I know you weren't here, but I-I 5 did apologize and I-and I hope that we can work 6 collaboratively to regain the trust of this body.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, and thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much Council Member. One last quick question before we go to the next panelist. So you anticipate any problems at the next election that we have not spoken about?

MICHAEL RYAN: The next election is going to be a Special Election that will be declared sometime three days after the—the New Year and then be conducted within 45 days. Special Elections by definition are usually lightly attended election events. There will be one office on the-on the It will be the smallest ballot that we use. ballot. So, if we can look to Staten Island as what happens in a busy election when there's not a 2-page ballot and you had relatively few problems, I would anticipate that we would have relatively few problems in this election coming up. So, in terms that we take each election event in their own little silo and this

is—this election event coming up will have no basis of comparison to the 2016—208 General Election.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, I was just thinking it's going to be in February, right?

MICHAEL RYAN: It's going to be in February.

 $\label{eq:Chairperson Cabrera:} \mbox{ So the coldest}$ month of the year.

MICHAEL RYAN: The Mayor has a little bit of flexibility but not a lot. He's got a-he's-he's-the Mayor has to issue a proclamation within three days of the vacancy and then conduct the election within 45 days of the proclamation give or take a few days depending on how the calendar lays out.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Alright, just think about, you know, with your Think Tank Team what are the other potential problems? Things that perhaps we're not looking at. It's going to be in February the coldest month. What will happen if we have a super snowstorm, if we have what we just had a couple of days ago.

MICHAEL RYAN: Are you now wishing things on us? [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, Mr. Ryan, thank you so much for all your information that you have provided. I'm looking for solutions. From what I hear, you will be coming up with a plan that you will be presenting and executing to making sure we don't have a part 2 of this nightmare.

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: The voting nightmare we just went through. Thank you so much.

MICHAEL RYAN: And I also want to thank
you and the working relationship that we have
cultivated with you and your staff, and Mr. Reed and
I think that the lines of communication are open, and
that we should be able to work collaboratively to
solve some of these very important issues.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And we will continued to do that. Thank you so much.

MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Thank you, very much.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: With that, I will invite now Mr. Douglas Kellner from the New York State Board of Elections. Thank you for waiting patiently.

_

DOUGLAS KELLNER: He's running up that vay. [laughs] [grunts] [background comments, pause]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I know you're anxious to start. So, and thank you for waiting. I know it's—all right. So, we had a lot of important questions.

DOUGLAS KELLNER: Of course you did, and I found it very interesting to listen to it. Some of it was illuminating and some of it was very disappointing that we're repeating the same old problems. Let me—I'm not going to read my testimony. That's there—

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Thank you.

DOUGLAS KELLNER: --for the record, but I do want to remind the Council that there are going to be major changes in Election Law in Albany this years because of the election of the Democratic Senate. I have a list of bullets of changes that we anticipate. The most significant for the City Board of Elections, which we really didn't discuss, is the implementation early voting and I would expect, although it hasn't really been decided yet that the early voting will go into effect for the General Election in November of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2019, and the idea is that's an off year, but it would be good to at least get the pilot up and running to see if we can work out the kinks in advance of the presidential year, but that's a big project, a big new project for the City Board to be undertaking, and we want to, you know, I want to highlight that so that you realize that their budget request should take that into account, and that they have adequate personnel to do realistic planning for it. Now, there's been a lot of discussion about the 30-Minute Rule. I was the original proponent of adding the 30-Minute Rule to the State Board Regulations in 2007. Unfortunately the city has never complied with it for a presidential election. This last election is another example of how the city still is not close to complying and unfortunately now, the new excuse we're going to hear is, Oh, early voting is going to take the pressure off overcrowded poll sites, and that will be the next excuse for the city not complying in November 2020. There are three key things that the city is just ignoring that are really not acceptable from my point of view that would solve the problem. First is you've heard

Director Ryan over and over again say space is an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

election.

issue that they don't have enough space. Well, they're not doing what they need to do to get additional space. The schools are closed on Election Day. They can use the entire school building and their problem is that they're in this mindset of one-size-fits-all. They want one site plan for every

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Hm.

DOUGLAS KELLNER: No, they need a different site plan for the Presidential Election, the General Election, the Mid-Term, the Gubernatorial General Election and the Mayoral General Election, which are top three most crowded events in the cycle. They can use much smaller space for the primaries. They can use many fewer poll workers for the primaries, but they don't-they're-they're in this one-size-fit-all mindset at least as far as it comes with space. I'm not aware of any efforts to take up my challenge that they expand the poll site for the presidential election year, and that will give them additional space to have additional book tables, and by the way, it's still very frustrating that after years of experts telling them, they still don't divide the books in the middle of the alphabet.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that if you have a long line for the people in the first half of the alphabet, and a very short line for the people in the second book because the-the-the alphabetization of names does not split right at A-M. So, that's a simple thing that the city could do now that they tell me that they told the vendor to do it that way, but I haven't seen any follow up because the vendor didn't do it that way. And then with the assignment of poll workers, again as I've said, one size doesn't fit all. There shouldn't be the same number of poll workers working on the special election in February as you would have for a general election, and then as Director Ryan referred to I agree with him that the way we assign poll workers is an anachronistic. The city has taken a very strict hard line interpretation of the election law that many of other counties do not do to avoid flexibility in assigning poll workers. It's our position that there only needs to be two Democrats and two Republicans in charge of a poll site that they can be assigned as the official inspectors for each election district at the poll site, and that all of the other workers can be more flexibly assigned, and if they use the flexible assignment, then you can have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

training for different poll workers at the site, and my suggestion is that you start out with just giving a poll worker training on how to do the book or how to open the polls, or how to unjam the machine, and increase their salaries as they qualify for additional training and work their way up to a position where they can be in charge of the poll site. So, that's my summary of the key things that I think the city should be doing for the City Council. I've repeated in my remarks the section that they should be paying attention ending the runoff for 2021 that that's costly and unnecessary. I personally support instant runoff voting, but it would be just as well if you, or it would—it would still be better if you eliminated the runoff to avoid that extra expense of the city.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.

Actually I was, Mr. Chair, I was very happy to hear that there's movement for early voting. I think that's going to help some of our problems. It baffles (sic) me than in other states. We have, you know, they had it for years, and we still are fumbling in the state with this particular opportunity that we could have, and I think early voting is actually

2.2

going to bring forth more people to come out to vote not just because like the convenience of voting earlier, but it creates a buzz about the election.

It creates momentum that begins to take place and an excitement. So, I'm very happy about. I'm going to ask you this next question because I really don't know the answer to this question. You mentioned that you have spoken to New York City Board of Elections.

What's your level of enforcement that you have over the city,—and I could that you are shaking your head—is I'm assuming nothing right?

DOUGLAS KELLNER: Well, it's not nothing, but it's only moral suasion realistically because I have to get my Republican colleagues to agree to do anything more significant. So, so we try to push them, but when they ignore us there's not a whole lot we can do, and on these issues of additional poll sites of dividing the books in half, of the way they staff their poll workers they ignore us.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah, it would seem like common sense, but definitely my staff will take a look at your recommendations, and we will have the discussion that Director Ryan invited us to have, and we've been having discussions to be able to get to a

behind it?

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

DOUGLAS KELLNER: Well, I think that politically after the Help America Vote Act was enacted, it became clear that New York State was going to be a ballot scanning state, although there were vendors that submitted direct recording electronic machines for certification. None of them passed certification because of security and verification issues. So, these are the systems. would say that in 2010, the systems were the stateof-the art, and we always say that New York may have been the last state to come into compliance with the Help America Vote Act, but we were the first to get right in terms of ballot security and public confidence in the equipment. But there have been developments in the last decade that as Director Ryan indicated, particularly as we shift from-to early voting and the concept of vote centers. Ideally, every vote-every citizen of New York should be able to walk into any vote center anywhere in the state, obtain the ballot for that voter's locality and cast a vote and we have that technology now. complicated. It might be a little bit expensive, and the cost will come down in coming years, but we need

2.2

to completely rethink the voting process so that to make it easier for the voter.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Alright and I'll close with this. I'm curious to hear your opinion on online voting especially for soldiers who are serving fart and away. We do have people. We have companies that have federal certification, and the people who do the Oscars and the Grammys. I know there's a fear, of course, but we're not the only—you know, there's other countries in which they have utilized this with no—with no signs that there have ever been a break—in into the system, but we do have at least one company that I know them and I met with them that nobody has been able to break in—into their system. I'm just curious as to you opinion, and I'll be talking.

DOUGLAS KELLNER: No, Councilman, I'm a very strong advocate on this issue. I would take issue with some of your remarks that I don't, you know, I would challenge some of the facts that you've represented. The many experts including the Department of Defense have worked on ways to make a secure ballot that can be transmitted electronically and nobody has been able to do that yet, and claims

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that vendors make are usually bunk. The most recent example is this claim of using black chain voting in West Virginia. Well, first of all they only used it for 65 ballots. So, it's not all that significant, but the experts have shown how it has very substantial security vulnerabilities. In New York State we provide the ballots online. So, that military and oversees voters can download the ballots online, but they have to return them by mail, and we believe that that's the only secure system. Now, I'll say that there's one exception I'm willing to make. For the very, very small number of military voters or others who—for whom it is absolutely impossible to mail back a ballot. For example an astronaut in the space station-in the space station I would allow them to vote electronically because there really is no other alternative, and the number is small it's not going to be a source of fraud to affect the election. But I-I don't hear people talking that way. They want to get the camel's nose under the tent, and then have everybody voting.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, I mean I'm remind you we just had a congressman that just won the election by one vote. I remember Council Member

2.2

White when he was here. He won by four votes. So, one vote it can make a difference in—in some races, but, you know, looking to the future with are all the bright minds that we have in the Unites I'm sure that one of these days that we could get to that place and make it a lot easier. Let me turn it over to Council Member Yeger for—for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Mr. Chairman, thank you for joining us

today. The, just preliminarily and I apologize if

you addressed this earlier. Is your testimony our

opinion or is it the opinion of the board?

DOUGLAS KELLNER: No, it's very much only my opinion.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

DOUGLAS KELLNER: There's four

Commissioners and one Commissioner talking is like

one hand clapping.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Well, and—and just to be clear, I don't move that question with disrespect. I—your—your history in New York State elections and your longevity on the board is to be honored. I just wanted to make sure that it's clear that it's not the Board of Elections that's actually

2.2

here because I did say that earlier today, and I didn't want misrepresent. You addressed the 30-Minute Rule, and a number of my colleagues, I believe it was—actually, it was Mr. Chairman Torres who addressed the 30-Minute Rule and the notion that the Board here doesn't necessarily comply with that, and you state this requires more space, which requires advanced planning that needs to take place now, and I—I engaged in a lengthy dialogue in both rounds of questioning with City Board about trying to find more space and their—their limitations in that regard, and do you have anything to add to—

DOUGLAS KELLNER: [interposing] Well, I—I want to emphasize the one thing is the schools are closed, and the City board insists on having a single poll site plan for all election events, not a separate poll site plan for the events that require more space.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Do you not think that's wise that—that it makes more sense that every—that on Election Day and Primary Day and Special Election Day and Run-off Day and every election day in between, that the voter kind of knows this is where I go, and this is where I've always gone, and—

2.2

DOUGLAS KELLNER: Not if there's not enough space that the voter has to wait two hours in order to vote.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So my suggestion to the City Board, Mr. Chair, was that they inquire and—and explore whether or not there's an ability to get out from the Albatross of the—of the requirements of this federal lawsuit that requires it to retrofit a hospital for example to make it accessible

DOUGLAS KELLNER: I agree with you. I could tell lots of anecdotal stories, but I think that Mr. Ryan also agrees with you in principle of the problems, and I think that in terms of their path of negotiating with the other side I don't fault them on how they're doing that. I—I think that they're trying. What I fault them on is their lack of commitment to expand the poll sites where they know that they are not in compliance—

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I-I can tell that--

DOUGLAS KELLNER: --and-and they --

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: --not as a-not as a defense of them, but I can tell you that in thatlike I said earlier, I've only been in office for 101/2 months this question came up earlier this year

2.2

shortly after I took office about this particular senior center, which has been a polling site since I was a little kid, and they said well we have to get out of there. It doesn't comply. Can you help us find another place? We can try to force over here, we can try to get into there, and we explored it and we could not find a location—

DOUGLAS KELLNER: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: --and it's very, very difficult.

pouglas Kellner: I agree with you, but you have a public school. The public school is closed on General Election day. They can expand into additional space in the public school as needed.

During Hurricane Sandy, most of the poll sites in Rockaway got shut down, and all of those poll sites got relocated to just four places including the East Rockaway High School. And I was so impressed with how they handled the emergency at the high school simply because what they did is they assigned a classroom for each election district and then had the personnel to escort the voters to go to the classroom for that election district. They had plenty of space even though they moved something like 20 or 30

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: In both cases unanticipated I think is fair to say and everybody including the election professionals who sat at that table and people like me who have worked on campaigns for 30 years, I didn't see this kind of turnout coming. I don't—I don't know who anticipated it. I could tell you Joe Crowley didn't anticipate it—

DOUGLAS KELLNER: Yeah. [laughs]

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: -- and there are a lot of people who did not anticipate that kind of turnout, and I was shocked to see some of the turnout in places. I mean I-as I indicated earlier, I voted in under five minutes but the turnout was tremendous in June and then again in September and then surely in November. You know, the Governor got twice as many votes in the city. It's just in September as he-as his opponent did work the other way around. I think his opponent got twice as many votes as his opponent did last time and then he still exceeded the votes by twice as much. [bell] It was an incredible and I believe unanticipated turnout. I want to just really quickly, one more thing, Mr. Chairman if I may. You talked about the increased number of staffing and the staffing at the polls needs to be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

more efficient, and the Director of the Board talked about the Poll Worker Program, and referenced that other cities and jurisdictions have a Poll Worker Program where we use municipal employees, and I engaged in lengthy dialogue with him earlier this year at this committee about that, and he simply has not been able to get it off the ground, not due to the fault of the board, but I believe due to the fault of the government to actually participate. you have any recommendations for how to mandate that in essence perhaps in state law by saying, you know, requiring I don't know, a day off or an extra pay or something if you were a municipal employee, and I think as one of our chairs asked earlier, wouldn't that have been great if all of our DOITT technicianswe have this huge agency here the Department of Information Technology, would it have been able to have been deployed around the city of New York to fix these scanners, which are essentially just kind of high operational printers. We have people across the street who could fix scanners fairly quickly. you have any advice that you could offer not to us, and not the city Board, but really to the government

Council Members. I appreciate the opportunity to

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

1415

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

address the Council today. I have some remarks from my testimony to read, but I think a lot of my testimony has been covered here today so I'll stick to the highlights.

212

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you.

JUDD RYAN: My name is Judd Ryan. Senior Vice President for Election Systems and Software. I've been with the company for 24 years, and I've had responsibility and relationship in the New York City Board of Elections since the implementation of the ballot marking devices in 2008. The city of New York utilizes the DS200 Scanner. That's our most recent technology. It's digital scanner. It actually images the front and back of a ballot as it's scanned. During the November Election over 37,000 DS200s were deployed and utilized in jurisdictions across the country including five other jurisdictions in New York State besides New York City including jurisdictions like Nassau County and Erie County. As we all know, the long lines and frustrations many voters experience on November 6th in New York City was extremely unfortunate and unacceptable. I'm here today to offer my perspective on the causes of some of the problems, and to provide

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ideas on how to improve the voter experience going forward. As we've heard today, I think the major culprit here was the first time use of a 2-part perforated ballot. This is something that hasn't been done in the city of New York the state of New York or anywhere else in the country. So, this is a first I think for everybody. Obviously, in Brooklyn and in Queens, the ballot was two 19-inch ballots perforated together so the total of 38-inches of paper and then Manhattan and the Bronx it was 34 inches or two 17-inch ballots. In both cases obviously we're talking about a sheet of paper that is three feet long. I don't know of anything in life today where somebody is asked to handle a 3-foot sheet of paper, and obviously that caused a great deal of issue on Election Day. Number one, the-the piece of paper was long and cumbersome. The privacy booth that the voters is provide has a shelf or space for marking that is basically 20 inches long. So, when you're talking about a 38-inch sheet of paper, over a foot is hanging off the front of the privacy booth, and where the voter is forced to fold the ballot underneath itself at the perf (sic). I think both of those facts kind of do away with the intent

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the law as it's prescribed and what it's supposed to do is provide the voter the visual ability to view the entire ballot in one shot. When you have to fold the ballot underneath itself where half of the ballot is hanging over the edge of the privacy booth, obviously the voter is not getting the benefit of seeing the entire ballot. So, I think essentially what we're talking about here is legislation and a technology in this case paper-based voting are not aligned. The DS200 was utilized, you know, just in some cases a few miles always from the city of New York in Nassau County. The exact same type of scanner, the same age of equipment and same weather conditions, and they did not experience the problems that New York City experienced, and the difference is with the 2-part ballot you do not have-on one of the pieces you do not have a single clean edge to feed through the scanner. Both edges are perforate, which can be rough. They have a tendency to-to catch, andand can attribute to jams. The other thing that was exhibited or observed on Election Day were voters, and I'm certainly not blaming the voters. They've never been exposed to this. We're trying to feed the entire 38-inch ballot through the scanner without

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bursting it. Some were folding the ballot over and trying to feed two pieces when the scanner is only designed obviously and needs to take it. It can't have it folded over because it's too thick, and then also if it were to accept it that way, you-you wouldn't be reading the panel. So, the scanner automatically rejects it. There is a sensor that will kick the ballot back, and make sure that it does not accept two ballots at one time. The other thing obviously is the voter is instructed to separate the ballot after they make their marks. So, you're asking a voter to separate a ballot while they're at the scanner, and also they have to tear it basically in mid-air instead of having a desk or something like when you're tearing a piece of paper out of a legal pad or your check book, you can apply pressure and then tear. The voters weren't afforded that luxury. You know, they're tearing the ballot at the scanner, which led to a lot of imperfect tears. essentially now you have one ballot that's too long, and another ballot that's too short both of which are issues that will cause the scanner to return the ballot back to the voter. Okay, so obviously a lot of issues with the perforated ballot. There's been

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

some discussion about humidity and the weather and so forth. So, I'd like to set the record straight on that. Humidity is not an issue. These scanners operate in South Florida, Alabama, the Carolinas, Mississippi very humid environments. We don't see jams or issues based on humidity. We did get reports of because of the length of the ballot that it was difficult for voters to hold the hold the ballot in a way that really made sense, and so ballots were touching wet clothing because of the length of the ballot and things of that nature. Wet paper is an issue for scanners, printers, copiers. You know, paper-wet paper will not travel well through machinery. That was an issue, and then lastly, I think the volume of paper was filling up the tow pins (sp?) inside the metal boxes, which led to a backup into the ballot path, which was another cause for some of the jamming. You know, as I've sat here today, I've heard a lot of the Council Members ask for suggestions going forward, and potentially improving the process. When it comes to the ballot, because I think, you know, the full face requirement to see the ballot in one shot is not really being

honored because it's-it's laying over or being folded

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

217

under. You know, hopefully the New York State Legislature would consider doing away with having the ballot in a single sheet for several reasons. We do have multiple page ballots quite a bit in other jurisdictions, Miami-Dade, Florida, Hillsborough County, Florida, they had multiple page ballots. They both utilize DS200s. In Miami-Dade for example, they had five 19-inch ballots per voter, and they did not experience any of the issues as far as jamming goes that were experienced here on Election Day. So, you know, to do away with the perforated ballot, and maybe the new need for the numbered stub, numbered and stubs, you know, are typically asked for here in New York for ballot accountability. There are other methods of providing ballot accountability without numbering and stubs, which actually by removal of that requirement the jurisdictions would actually money on ballot production with compromising the integrity of the election or the ballot counts. There are other methods for that. So, that would be another method, and then as I've listened today, you know, there's been a lot of talk about polling places and space. I think a redesign of the polling

location. You know, the scanners are already set up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to tabulate by polling place, but because of I think carryover from lever machine days, we're still checking in by ED/AD. You could check in by polling place, and establish a much more orderly flow to the polling locations as well as free up space by eliminating ED supply carts and things that will allow for more privacy booths and scanners on Election Day. So, those are some of the elements. The other thing there's been a lot of talk about emergency ballots. Again, I think this is a carryover from the lever machine day. When a lever machine went down, you switched to emergency ballots. States that are used to voting on paper, they don't have such a classification. A ballot is a ballot, and I think that's relevant from the standpoint that if a scanner is inoperable for some reason, ballots are pushed into the emergency bin. Once the scanner is restored, replaced or functioning again, typically the procedure is to have one poll worker of each party and a police officer in the case of the state of New York come over and remove those ballots from the emergency bin and feed them through the scanner. That way-excuse me. To some of the questions that

have been asked here today, was my ballot tabulated?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

There are procedures in place to make sure that it is It's counted during voting hours, and then at the end of the day, the Election Day workers after a very long day don't have additional tasks to perform. So, those are some of my observations. Lastly, I would just like to say on behalf of Election Systems and Software, I want to emphasize that you have our commitment to work with legislators, New York State Board of Elections and the New York City Board of Elections to work toward solutions that ensure both the election laws and the technology work together for the benefit of the voter experience. We empathize with the voters who experienced unacceptable lines and frustrations, and we are eager to do our part to improve the process, procedures and operations to enhance the voting experience and maintain voter confidence. appreciate you time here today, and I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you. Thank you so much for that testimony. It's very helpful to put everything into context. I have a few questions. The—all the suggestions that you had given, were

2.2

those suggestions given to the Board of Elections prior to the Election Day?

JUDD RYAN: We've had discussions with the Board about some of these ideas. You know I think until a problem presents itself a lot of times legislator have, you know, more pressing issues on their plate. I think, you know, after a problem presents itself that obviously I think people are more willing to listen.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But did your company anticipate—did your company knew that there was going to be a problem because of the perforation, that we were going to have more than usual jams?

JUDD RYAN: We did. I had a conversation with Mr. Ryan about this. We said, you know, we're worried, we're concerned about the perforation.

We're concerned about what voters will do with is and, you know, Mr. Ryan recognized, you know, the things that I raised and, you know, he had had those thoughts already as well. You know, I think where the New York City Board was stuck was between trying to follow the law and fit everything on a single sheet of paper and then balance a readable font.

Obviously, to stay within the confines of the 19-inch

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ballot, you know, the five languages, it's, you know,

I don't know if it's a 6 point or a 5 point font, but

4 I've seen the ballot and it's very hard to read.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But I-actually, I appreciate that you warned the Board of Election, which should have taken place within the context that they were given is to assign, and this is what I've been saying from the very beginning of-to this hearing to assign a tech person, to hire more people or to call out to DOITT here in the city to help provide more techs because as you so clearly pointed out you anticipated these problems were going to happen. No fault of the way the machine was designed originally. You were given this task for I understand the last minute, right? Hopefully, it was last minute because if it's from a long, long time ago, then I wonder why there was no remedy, you know, earlier remedy to this, but the most immediate, easiest way to have dealt with this was to have more techs in the poll sites to fix the jams, or to allow the poll workers to deal with the easiest type, which was the upper jam, as-as I understand it.

JUDD RYAN: That's correct. Thetypically when the-the scanner jams, it can be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cleared by opening the lock in front, sliding the scanner forward and removing the ballot. It's a rather quick process. Where it becomes cumbersome is when you factor in the breaking of the seal, the recording of the seal, the additional requirements that are in place then it's—it begins to take more time.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But that's small compared to—a smaller problem compared to the 2-hour lines that we were having, and that at the end of the day was-is the reason why we're here because technically we should have anticipated, the Board of Elections should have anticipated this was going to happen. You guys warned the, and this is the first time and, therefore, it would have made a lot of sense to have the techs there. Let me move onto a couple of quick questions. I know my colleague does have a-a question or two, or however many questions he needs to ask, but the DS200 machines have a useful life of 10 years. Can you explain what useful life means, and can these machines still be used after the useful life? Can you talked about-talk about the software because you mentioned these are the latest machines. Though the machines are nine years old, I

2.2

would imagine what keeps changing is the software not the—the actual machine or perhaps the machine has changed. I don't know, but if you could address the issue of the 10-year useful life.

JUDD RYAN: Sure. I'd be happy to. I'm not sure where the 10-year useful life statement or issue came from.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] We go over the life.

you know, they can stay on a technology as long as they want to use it. We'll keep that—we'll keep that product going for them. We've demonstrated that over the years. We had a product two generations ago called the AVTECH Eagle. That product came out in 1990. We just saw people go away from that product in the last two years. So, we had people using that product for almost 30 years. Our predecessor to the DS200 was called the Model 100. That product came out in 1997. WE still have people using that product today. So, obviously, they're on it for 20 years and counting now. I think the same holds true for the DS200. You can keep that product for 10 years, 15 years or longer if you wish. I think, you know, some

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of it will depend on legislation, and the-the law they have today. I mean with this ballot here, you are about two inches away from potentially having a third panel to this ballot. As we've heard today, you know, there are additional contests and maybe requests for additional languages to be applied to the ballot. If you add one or two more languages, this ballot would have been either a 51 or a 56-inch ballot. That's-that's giving-with the current law, that's a very long piece of paper. I mean that's-for some people as tall as they are. I mean the ballot as tall as the person voting on it, which obviously would be even more cumbersome and more problematic, and that's not unrealistic. I mean literally probably two inches away from being in a scenario in this particular election.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, look, I—part of my life I was in high school years, and the first time I voted was in L.A., and, you know, over there they have propositions for just about everything or anything. So, I'm—I'm shocked as well that we still have, we're requiring the state to have perforation. I think we should stay away from that, but I'm glad that you mentioned that the numbers, the years that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

we were told really came from the Board of Elections. They are the only ones who have told us that they have lifespan of only 10 years, and so I thank you for clarifying that point, which leads me to the Maintenance Contract. What kind of a-can you describe what the maintenance contract or agreement

you hold with the city Board of Elections?

JUDD RYAN: Sure. So, when they first acquired the equipment, the equipment came with a 5year warranty, which included both all parts and labor associated with repair of the units, preventative maintenance as well as software and firmware maintenance on the products. Right now our arrangement with the city is we have at the request of the New York City Board of Elections we train their technicians to do preventative maintenance. Ιf a machine breaks or parts go bad, ES&S does the repair. So the Board does the maintenance, we do the actual repairs and then they also have a contract with us to keep the software and the firmware on the products up to date and in line with current technology.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Thank you so much. With that, let me turn it over to Council Member Yeger?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Thank you, sir, for joining us today, and using your experience to help us understand what has happened, and what could be made better. You mentioned Miami-Dade County as jurisdiction, which uses similar technology and software and paper and scanning and whatnot. How are their lines on Election Day?

jurisdiction with a concentrated population will experience lines from t time to time. I can tell you that hey weren't having lines based on backup at the scanner. You know, often lines are generated by check-in process, but I did not hear of reports of long lines in this particular election in Miami.

Now, Florida also offers early voting. So that does take some of the pressure off of Election Day by handling a portion of our electorate prior to the big day.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Florida—Florida also has historic trouble counting ballots as I've learned.

JUDD RYAN: Well, I-I'm sure you're referring to the 2000 Presidential and the—the hanging chads.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: In the last—in the last 10 days.

JUDD RYAN: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. How many voters—I'm sorry. How many vote—yes, excuse me. How many voters per scanner are planned for—planned for in the Florida jurisdiction just to frame it? In New York we used to have a system where one lever machine would be assigned per 800 voters in the particular ED. So, if there was an ED with 1,600 voters that ED would have two scanners—to lever machines because we no longer segregate voters by ED. We seg—we combine them within a single poll site. We use or the board uses 1,400. I thought it was 1,600 as the number. So, if there are 4,800, then they use, you know, 48 or 3—3 scanners, 4 scanners based on each time it goes up to an additional 1,400. What is the number of voters that Florida uses?

2.2

JUDD RYAN: I don't know their exact ratio, Council Member.

just in my perspective it's important to, you know, make sure that we're comparing, you know, apples and apples, not apples and Volkswagens. You know, if we're talking about what happened in Florida, let's just make sure, you know, your scanners may work just fine, and I'm not saying they don't, and I'm saying that there's a problem with you system or there isn't but I want to make sure that if we're looking to another jurisdiction for a solution, and saying well they're at least a gold standard or somewhere close to a gold standard than New York City is, let's just make sure that we're actually looking at the same thing.

JUDD RYAN: Understood.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

JUDD RYAN: And I was not trying to imply that they were the gold standard. What I was saying, though--

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] Well, I don't think you are, and I don't think anybody would.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

JUDD RYAN: Just that the—the nonperforated aspect of their ballot is an experience
that doesn't create jams like a perforated ballot
does.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, fair enough and-and I agree with that. I think that the perforation requirement that I said this earlier today, the perforation requirement of State Law is something that was instituted before anybody in New York voted on paper other than absentee voters and emergency voters, and there was this idea I guess that, you know, ballot security making sure that the voter received an intact ballot, and that there was no, you know, funny business at the inspector's table. We make sure that the-if a ballot has to be on more than one page, it's a-it's a ballot with a perforation that the voter himself or herself has to tear. I asked earlier of the Board if it was aware, and I would ask you the same thing, is there a system or is there a scanner on the market that can receive a single upload of all of our various-variations of ballot style that we have in New York City, which are

JUDD RYAN: Yes, sir,

25

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And it doesn't require individual upload of each ballot? It could just receive that information in one programming?

JUDD RYAN: The ballot styles are put

onto a USB drive. Okay, so each ballot style is placed on the USB drive for a given—we classify them as polling locations. [bell] So, in the case of states or counties that have vote centers, early voting, in-person, absentee, however, you want to classify it, typically those DS200s are loaded with every single style in the jurisdiction.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: How long does it take to load all the ballot styles if you were going to use the maximum that the machine could take,

9,999? [bell] How many—how—how long does it take to load that onto a machine?

JUDD RYAN: Just a matter of minutes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay and so it's the testing that's an hour per ballot?

JUDD RYAN: So, the testing, you know,

New York State prescribes a certain configuration of

ballots that need to go through a given device. So,

what you could do with some, you know, leniency in

the rules and regulations is you could take your

2.2

Monster Test Deck, which would be a monstrous test deck, and feed that through the high speed reader, and then essentially after you've proven that the election definition is correct and accurate, then copy it to the precinct scanner so you're not having to hand feed thousands of thousands and thousands of ballots.

Scanner can do that testing with that or do that verification that the ballot could be read properly without an individualized testing of each ballot per scanner? And I believe that the Board right now basically votes a ballot into the machine to make sure that it's aligning the ovals into the proper place that, you know, Andrew Cuomo gets his votes and not Molinaro's votes.

JUDD RYAN: Yeah. So, there's really—
there's two purposes to the testing that's conducted.
Is one, is to make sure that he election definition
that is loaded onto the USB Drive is accurate that
when you feed the ballot through that the election
definition is what you suspect it to be, and that
it's counting accurately.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, I don't want to

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so, I-I don't-my time is up. It's been up for a while.

JUDD RYAN: [interposing] Okay.

keep you on this, but the—but the basic takeaway that I'm getting is that these machines can take up 10,000 ballots. They don't—assuming the law and the rules of the Board were to permit it, which they don't right now, and the City Board has got his hands tied—hands tied by Albany, it would be able to do this without necessarily having to test each ballot in to each machine and each machine requiring an hour. So, for example as the Director stated earlier today, 924 ballot style in Manhattan would have taken 924 hours. That would not be necessary with our equipment?

JUDD RYAN: Based on changes--

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing]

That's yes or no?

JUDD RYAN: --to the rules and—and regulations, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Technological speaking, you would not--forget about the rules and regs and the statutes.

JACK RYAN: The answer is yes.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Technologically

3 speaking-- Yes. Okay, got it. Thank you very much,
4 Mr. Chairman.

5 JACK RYAN: If I can just-

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Sure.

JACK RYAN: If the intent is to vote anywhere and—and have the flexibility that you're talking about where if you live in Brooklyn, you can vote in Manhattan. If you're voting on paper, it would also require the introduction of—

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] That is not. I'm sorry.

JACK RYAN: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: That's not—what

I'm saying is that we have—we have 6,000, 5,000

ballot machines in New York City. They are not—they—
they are deployed purely to locations, and then there
are a thousand back—up ballots. The thousand back—up
scanners. The thousand scanners are not—are not
programmed with particular ballots given the
complexities of loading up thousands and thousands of
variations of ballots. So, a machine that's sitting
dormant in Manhattan not being utilized won't
necessarily be deployed elsewhere anyway because it

doesn't have any information on it. If it's
available to be deployed in case of emergency, what
I'm suggesting is can the city of New York-can the
City Board of New York take all 5,000 machines, put
all the different variations of the ballots on all
the machines, and then have them available to be
deployed wherever they are. So, for example, if, you
know, they find out that as the Director was at P.S.
22 in Crown Heights on Election Day, if he sees a
machine broken, he can pick up the phone and call up
the guy who has another machine a couple of blocks
away, and hey, let's get that machine over and plug
it in, and it will be good to go. That's a yes or
no.

JACK RYAN: That's a yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

 $\label{eq:JACK RYAN: I-I-there may be a better} % \begin{center} \begin{center}$

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I just want to make sure that techno-that the technology allows it on your equipment before we deal with the-the-the issues of whether or not the law allows it, and--and

JACK RYAN: [interposing] Yeah.

2.2

Chairman.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: --and the State

Board, which governs the city board allows it, and

whether it's-it's even logistically possible. I just

want to make sure that the technology that we're

talking about these ES200s--DS200s, can actually

accommodate what I'm suggesting.

JACK RYAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, cool. Thank you very much.

JACK RYAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I just have one question. It's related to—you mentioned the humidity, that is not a factor because you had them in Florida and other states where humidity is definitely much higher. Does your manual—I heard you say that—that your manual—in your manual it says that it needs to—they should be operated in environments where humidity levels are under 50%. Is that correct or did I get this—-?

JACK RYAN: No, sir, that's—that's not a correct figure.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, okay, great.

Well, I want to thank you. You clarified some things for me, some very important things. Thank you so much for the information, and with that we go to our next panelist, and thank you.

JACK RYAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you. Very informative. So, we'll be calling for the Chief Democracy Officer NYC Ayirini Fonseca-Sabune.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Would you please raise your right hand? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before these committees, and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You may begin.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Good afternoon
Chair Cabrera and thank you for having this hearing.
To you and Chair Torres and the Speaker today. My
name is Ayirini Fonseca-Sabune. I'm the Chief
Democracy Officer for the City of New York. I work
with the Democracy NYC Initiative in the Mayor's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Office. I'd like to thank you again for holding this hearing and for the opportunity to testify before you on such an important topic that affects more than 4.6 million New Yorkers. First, I'm going to tell you about the Democracy NYC Initiative, some of the work that we've done and what we think can ameliorate some of the problems that happened on Election Day two weeks ago today. The Democracy NYC Initiative was born out of the voter purge that happened in 2016 where 200,000 voters in New York City were improperly purged from the poles. Combined with low voter turnout during that 200-2016 General Election, the Administration identified a need to increase voter participation and civic engagement. The Democracy NYC Initiative is responsive to those needs. goals of the initiative are to increase voter registration, participation and civic engagement. The initiative was announced in the Mayor's State of the City Address this past February, which detailed a robust 10-point plan for the Initiative. Earlier this year, Phillip Thompson was appointed as the Deputy Mayor for Strategic Policy Initiatives and charged with overseeing the Initiative, and I began in this role last month. I am an educator and a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

civil rights and human rights attorney. I have devoted my professional career to giving voice and building power in vulnerable communities locally and internationally. Most recently I worked as a tenant's rights attorney representing tenant associations and affirmative ligation against landlords, and working closely with community organizing groups throughout the city. It has been a privilege to support tenants building power in their communities and using their voices to make change. I've also worked as a teacher, at high schools in Bushwick and Uganda and as a Community Health Program Coordinator in rural Rwanda. In each of these roles I have strived to give voice to communities who may not otherwise have had a voice. In this way, my work as the First Chief Democracy Officer for the City of New York is a natural extension of my work. Since I began this position last month, only a month before the election, I have been speaking with New Yorkers all over the city in all of the boroughs, in high schools, in senior centers and community centers, in house of worship. I have relished the opportunity to hear from all of these New Yorkers, and all of them

have said the same thing: It is too hard to vote in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the city. This past election only exemplified these problems. We had people waiting on line for two or three hours leaving with knowing whether their vote had been counted, leaving without the opportunity to vote, polling sites with no operable scanners or only one scanner operable, people who did not have privacy to fill out their ballots as legally required. 2018, it is past time to modernize and professionalize our elections in New York City. will start talk-I will start by talking about some of the city led initiatives that we have done and then move onto state reforms that are needed. Voting rights are civil rights. The city recognizes its role in making voting accessible to all who are eligible including and particularly communities who are historically disenfranchised or who have participated less in voting. To that end, the city has spearheaded a number of initiatives engaging young voters, voters with limited English proficiency, and those who are or have been involved with the justice system. The city has also offered the Board of Elections \$20 million to support and reform that institution, which is responsible for

administering elections in this city. Starting with

25

2 engaging youth voters this past spring for the first time the Mayor's Office works with the Department of 3 4 Education to initiate Student Voter Registration Day 5 on a citywide basis. In over 300 high schools the 6 Democracy NYC Team worked with the Department of 7 Education to register over 10,000 young people on one 8 day. We heard from students how empowering it was to work, to have the opportunity to register in school. 9 10 One student in particular who registered as a senior at the High School for Health Profession and Human 11 12 Services said: I don't think I would have been motivated to go out and figure out how to register to 13 14 vote by myself. I would not have. I would have put 15 it off, and there would not have been a point when it 16 would have been too late. This person is now a Freshman in college and voted absentee for the first 17 18 time. We know that when people vote young and vote early they can become lifelong voters. We have also 19 20 worked with the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs to support the voting of New Yorkers with limited 21 2.2 English proficiency, which has been discussed today. 23 This year-this-this past election two weeks ago MOIA provided interpretation services at 101 polling sites 24

around the city offering Russian, Haitian, Creole,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Yiddish, Italian, Arabic of Polish interpreters going beyond what is required by the Voting Rights Act, which current requires at certain polling sites interpretation in Spanish, Mandarin, Cantonese, Korean and Bangala. Sites and languages for MOIA's interpretation services were selected using census data. MOIA is currently evaluating the success of this project to inform the citywide expansion passed by the voters. This past election is part of the Charter Revision Commission expansion. MOIA has also provided translation of state voter registration forms into 11 languages beyond those required by the Voting Rights Act. All of these initiatives aimed at making it easier for New Yorkers with limited English proficiency to fully participate in voting. We also have been working on voter participation for Justice involved people. Starting this past summer we worked with the Campaign Finance Board, and the Legal Aid Society in order to register detainees and visitors on Rikers Island. First, we worked with DOC to increase outreach efforts on Rikers Island, posting over 1,000 voter outreach posters containing voter registration information in English and Spanish at high traffic areas throughout the facilities. Also,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

working with staff on Rikers Island, Law Library coordinators, program counselors in order to make sure they were getting the word out to folks who were detained on Rikers Island that they could register to vote, that they could vote by absentee ballot. Perhaps most importantly we worked with the Department of Corrections to implement a secondary manned channel, which both sped up the receipts and sending of voter mail, and increase the security and the privacy of requests for absentee ballots and voter registration. Through this initiative, over 900-almost 900 individuals were registered to vote including both detainees and visitors at Rikers Island. Despite the success of these initiatives, and our commitment to continue them, New York needs change at the state level and systemic reform. First and foremost we need Board of Elections reform in this city. New York City deserves a professionalized and modernized Board of Elections, which will ensure that what happened two weeks ago never happens again. We also need early voting in this state to alleviate the massive crush when 4.6 million registered voters are eligible to vote during a 15-hour period on one

Thirty-seven states and the District of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Columbia have early voting. We need early voting in New York. We also need No-Excuse Absentee Voting so that people can send in their ballot without a preapproved reason or excuse. We need to modernize voter registration in this state through automatic voter registration, pre-registration of 16 and 17year-olds, and same day voter registration. Finally, we need Electronic Pollbook in order to make the voting process more nimble, more efficient and more accurate on Election Day. Voting in New York City has been far too long for far too long-far too hard for far too long. We look forward to partnering with the Council to bring much needed reform to the voting in our city. I appreciate the Council's focus on this issue, and I look forward to your questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you. Thank
you so much for your testimony. I have a few
questions, but before I get to questions, I concur
with your four points that you brought forth, and
hopefully at the state level we will get so much
needed help in legislation. I wanted to ask you
regarding the Board of Elections, the New York City
Board of Elections suggestion of using municipal

2.2

2 employees as supplemental poll workers. What's the
3 Administration's position?

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Today is the first I've heard of that proposal, and I look forward to hearing more about it, and exploring it. We are very interested in making it easier to vote in the city. The Administration offered \$20 million to the Board of Elections two years ago in order to address many of the issues that appeared time and time again in New York City's elections. So, I will look forward to exploring that with the Board of Elections.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah, I would for the Administration to have a dialogue with the New York City Board of Elections because what they were sharing earlier, as you heard is that much of the funding that is being offered and what is being targeted for in so many words they have—there's no need for that. They would rather see a target on this particular issue that will make it a lot easier, but I thank you wanting to have that discussion and I'm looking forward to being part of that.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Absolutely, and, you know, if I could just say the reason that there

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

\sim	- 1	-
	71	6

were attached-you know, conditions attached to the funding is because we didn't want to see the exact same thing happening with that funding, and respectfully to the consultants that the Commission has been working with whatever those recommendations are they clearly have not been working, and so, this funding the requirements attached were to have a blue ribbon commission that would identify the failures. Would have outside consultants who could think about poll worker training. Perhaps we would have known in advance that we should have trained poll workers to address some of these issues in advance. So, day-of logistics that was another thing. Every election day we hear about these logistical problems. It is not a surprise that we have millions of people voting in New York City. So, that is the reason there were conditions attached to that funding.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: We sent you a letter back on October 18--

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --with 12 questions, and I'm-I'm going to say we-me and Council Member Ritchie Torres, my Co-Chair and myself, when can we expect to have the answers?

2.2

questions very soon.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Very soon.

Things have been quite busy in our office, and
leading up to and following Election Day, but we're
looking forward to responding to each of your

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, very soon means? It's a relative term.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: A week, two weeks, three months?

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: You know, I, you know, we have a holiday coming this week. I would say that within the next couple of weeks would be my goal and—and I really think we can get that done.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much. Let me turn it over to Council Member Yeger and then I'll have two final questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. The \$20 million that the Administration

was going to give to the Board of Elections, the

Board of Elections broke it down for us. I didn't

really take notes on that, but if I remember \$11.5

million they identified as things they didn't need,

and then I don't remember what they said about the

2.2

other stuff, but what I do remember is they said they spoke with the consultant that they have and \$7.5 million I think of that was something that the consultant that they had said was not necessary, and now for the first time as I understand it, you're representing that you were—you were suggesting thy use the money. You—I mean the Administration was suggesting they use the money for other consultants. So, the Board of Elections' consultants decided not necessary, they're wrong. You guys wanted to use it for consultants that you thought made sense. You are right. Which consultants are right, and which consultants are wrong?

is that what is happening in New York City on
Election Day year after year is not working, and so
the funding was attached to a few conditions
including a Blue Ribbon Commission that would examine
the failures. This came directly out of the purge of
200,000 voters in 2016. Why did that happen? What
needed to be changed to make sure that that did not
happen again. So, there was the Blue Ribbon
Commission. There was an independent consultant to
recommend changes, increased poll worker training,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

day-of logistical support to make sure that the issues that we see coming up again and again do not continue to happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Well nobody loves a good Blue Ribbon Commission more than I do, but as identified your-your suggestions on pages 5 and 6 of what you provided to the Council, every single thing that you identified here is something that the State Legislature needs to enact. There's nothing we can do here, and nothing you can do over on the other side, nothing that the Board of Elections can do. Is that correct? I mean is that an accurate wrap-up of what you've suggested—BOE reform, and you professionalize the modernized Board of Elections. The State Legislature to put that in early voting. We can't do that. No-Excuse Absentee Voting, we can't do that. Voter registration changed automatic voter registration, pre-registration of 16 and 17year-olds. I don't know why not 14-year-olds, but sure. Same-day voter regs. All good. Electronic Pollbook. Sure. That's great. None of that can happen here in this building.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: My hope is that we can partner with you and the other members of the

2.2

Albany. I think in terms of things that can happen here, the \$20 million offer was not specifically outlined in my testimony, but I would be happy to go with--

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing]

Okay, one of the things I spoke about—I don't know how long you've been in the room today, but one of the things I spoke with—

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: [interposing]

I've been here all day.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, super.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Yes.

been-[interposing] I-I got paid to be here, and, you know, I appreciate that you're here. The—the Board both the City Board and the Co-Chair of the State Elections Board, we talked about expanding the number of poll sites and, you know, I'll go back to the example that I've used, the 300 people registered to vote at the local firehouse. It's not really something we can get here in New York City, but surely I think we all agree that the number of people who are voting in most of our poll sites is simply a

1	
2	v∈
3	CC
4	ha
5	th
6	th
7	th
8	Cā
9	ου
LO	pc
L1	to
L2	ri
L3	ar
L 4	re
L5	p∈
L6	as
L7	p∈
L8	рā
L9	gc
20	đι

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

ery large number. And-and my question has been onstantly what can we do to make that smaller, and ave more poll sites, and the Board seems to indicate hat its hands are tied and with respect to expanding he number of poll sites, they are doing the things hey can and that they are working diligently. And I an tell you that in my district they have reached ut to me to try to help them identify additional oll sites. But they have consistently pointed back o some-they haven't termed it this way, but I willidiculous hand-tying that they are facing because of n order from Federal Court that requires them to etrofit a hospital to make sure that it can handle eople who need access to get in, which I would ssume that a hospital seems to be okay handling eople who can get in But it's good enough for the atients and it's good enough for their families, not ood enough for the Board under this order. So, my uestion is what is the city doing to help the board get out from the Albatross of this seemingly ridiculous order?

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: I can't speak to the details of that court order. We can follow up with your office about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Don't even-you
don't even have to follow up. Just help them get out
from under it because is seems to me that it's
ridiculous that we have places in New York City which
are clearly accessible and for every purpose other
than for voting, and it just doesn't make sense that
the Board can't identify—that the Board's hands are
tied in identifying additional locations that a
senior center is good enough to receive DFTA funding
and is good enough to serve as a senior center, but
is not good enough to support those very same seniors
to go vote on Election Day.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: After looking into that, I--

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] No, no, I'm not saying that you would have the answer and I-I don't--

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: [interposing]

But-but I will say that the—the—the crush of voting

on Election Day could be ameliorated by early voting

and by No-Excuse Absentee so—

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, great. We'll adopt that today then.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] But

my point is, but my point is-my point is-my point is

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that you come into the Council and saying that we can fix the Board of Elections by only getting early voting is not necessarily--and by the way I want to amend my statement in a second-but it is necessarily the fix to the-to-to the problems that are at the board. Early voting will help a little bit, but I think everybody who testified the, experts who load their machines will testify that early voting will take a little bit of a burden away from the Board, but you're still going to have the early morning I could tell you in my neighborhood, the—the number of people who vote between 6:00 and 9:00 far exceeds the number of people who vote between 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. by vast, vast, vast numbers, and I have been. The last two elections-I don't remember the weather in every election day, but I could tell you that last November and this November a very, very bad rain. I was at the same poll site. The number of people who come at the end of the day are tremendous. Early voting doesn't necessarily get that, but before I go any further I just want to fix one thing. It's not that I'm against early voting. I'm-my point is that I'm-I don't want to point the finger at early voting and at No-Excuse Absentee

2.2

Voting and at all the different fixes and saying, well, if only we had same day voter registration then we wouldn't have lines at the---at the polling places. It doesn't even make sense that these are things we're pointing to, to say that's what we can fix. All of your points are right. Your advocacy is incredible. You're 100% right on you points, but the idea that that's what's going to fix our elections, instead of simply just having—instead of 1,200 or thereabouts polling sites, 2,400 or 5,000, and reduce the number of sites that are in New York City so that the bipartisan team of election inspectors that do make sense to have can do their work, get people in and our. I know that was a lot. I'm sorry about that.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: No, I—I mean I agree with you that we need comprehensive election reform for New York City. It will not—there is no one fix. We are so far behind so many other states so—

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] I just gave you one fix. I gave you one fix.

 $\label{eq:ayirini} \mbox{AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Well, I can say} \\ \mbox{to that one I'm going to need to look at that issue.}$

2.2

It's not something I have examined. So, after I look
at it, I would be happy to weigh in.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: If a poll site didn't have to accommodate more than 500 voters, elections would be smoother. That's not—that's not a guess. I don't need a study to tell you that. It's just, you know, anybody can vote—

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: [interposing] With respect to the Federal Court Order, I can't speak to that.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It's not a Federal Court Order. I'm just telling you if we can figure out a way to-to-I'm not-I'm not suggesting that that's the only problem. I'm suggesting that the city of New York should make sure that it-that it helps the Board of Elections get into more places whether they need to retrofit these locations in order to make them compliant, or whether the Corp Counsel can help the Board get out from under this Albatross of an order, but either way, we need more poll sites, and we need the Municipal Poll Worker Program, absolutely do, and I engaged in a conversation with the-with the Executive Director of the Board, Mr. Chairman, some time I think during

budget time we talked about at our committee, and-and
Board was telling us that they had been talking to
the Administration. I know it was before you got
there. So, this is, you know, something you would
have to look at, but the Board was telling us that
they had been talking to the Administration about
trying to kick something off like that. And I-I just
think it's not only a good program for—for our
election systems, but it's good for our municipal
employees. It will help them. It'll-it'll use their
talents in a way to participate and help the city. It
will give them a benefit because there are ways that
we can pay them with an extra day off, comp time, et
cetera, and it's just good all around. There's no
reason not to do it, and I would love for the
Administration to be able to help us get that done.
AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: I would love to

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: I would love to hear more about that, and I have been briefed extensively on the discussions that the Administration has had with the Board of Elections.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] I'm going to give you my book about Little Los Angeles we have witnessed.(sic)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Thank-thank you, and I-I think that what we need is comprehensive reform at every level, and I-I think this is the time to get it done in New York.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much-COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing]
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --Council Member and I have to say it's very logical that if you have more sites, less lines of people. It will move quickly, less aggravation. So, Chief, please help us that so we could have more sites. I only have one more question, and this is going back to August. Democracy NYC announced an increase in poll worker pay. this is August and it was through Democracy NYC. Several of us supporting the adding, even supplysupplying quotes for your press release. When the Primary Election came the next month, there was no pay increase and poll workers were left confused and disappointed and so the question, the logical next question will be why you did not increase the poll worker's pay into the General Election.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: I am familiar with the increase in poll worker pay. It was also

2.2

one of the things in the package of reforms that were offered—it was offered two years ago with the \$20 million poll worker increases as one of those. I think it's very important. When the offer was made in August, you know, I wasn't here. I'm not sure when the offer was made, what the implementation timeline was. I know that it was implemented the General Election two week ago, and I can look into that specific, you know, timing question about implementation and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, let me help you out. It was—it was Democracy NYC. You could find it online. We actually mentioned it to one of your staff at the last hearing or the hearing before that and as a matter of fact, he's here. He's a tremendous staff that you have, very capable and so and it was, you know, we literally—literally read it from it online, and it was in August. So, the implication is if you're making a statement in August, and the election is in September, that the messaging that people receive, and I don't mean you because you weren't here, but the messaging is that they're going to get the increase on the next election. I mean to me that will be the next logical

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There was nothing said that it was going to happen in November. So, it was easily-it was very easy for everyone to assume that it was for September. I would also encourage you to not only look at it, but it's not too late to go back and to give this increase of pay. The money is there. funding is there, and-and it's going to make a difference for a lot of other people who you know it's not easy work, right. I always salute everyone who does this kind of work because they're there, you know, at 5:00 or actually 4:30 in the morning. get up very early. They-they come out very late at night. It's a very long day. So, this extra pay at the very least is meaningful and symbolic, but at the end of the day, you know, it's to compensate in light of the fact that we pay them very little. So, please, if you could go back, and see if we could go retroactively on this, and make this move. I think it would also send a loud message to all of our poll workers that they matter.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Thank you and I will look into that.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, thank you so much.

2.2

2 AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: We appreciate your input and looking forward to reviewing your

testimony, and see what we can implement.

AYIRINI FONSECA-SABUNE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, and with that to the very patient, you all deserve a trophy. The last panel from Common Cause, of course, Susan Lerner. [background comments, pause] Reinvent Albany; Alex Camarda; Diane Finch. She's a poll worker, and from Citizens Union Ethan Derringer-Smith.(sp?) [background comments, pause] You could begin--

SUSAN LERNER: [interposing] Okay.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --as soon as you're situated.

SUSAN LERNER: Okay, I apologize. I don't have written testimony today, but I will provide a written testimony in the future. The reason I'd like to talk specifically about what we at Common Cause believe there was such a mess on Election Day, and we think that there are really two or three reasons. First, bad law at the state level, and I'm not going to belabor that. We've—I think that's been covered extensively. The second is bad

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

policy choices by the New York City Board, and frankly the third is political theater instead of effective city action. So, I'd like to talk about the bad policies and then I would like to talk about effective city action could be, what the Council could actually be doing --

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Yes.

SUSAN LERNER: --certainly what the Mayor could be doing. First, the bad policy choices that the Board made. The Board insists that it has to place all five languages on every ballot. That is not a legal requirement at all, but it makes it much harder to design a reasonable ballot, and it resulted in the absurd ballot that voters faced on November 6th. So, aside from the question of changing the state law, the Board makes choices that-that make the ballot unreadable, unworkable, and they don't need to do that. They have been advised repeatedly by language access authorities, by the advocacy community and they stubbornly decide they know better, and there we have a problem I think that encapsulates every problem. The Board does not listen. The Board does not take consultation. Board decides on its own. The poll workers are not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

trained on clearing the scanners, and we have heard that that was a deliberate choice on the part of the Board. Advocacy groups, poll workers, coordinators have been begging the Board for years to have more hands-on training for the poll workers so that they understood how the scanners worked. The Board in its infinite wisdom decided it knew better and the voters suffer. Generally, there is inadequate poll worker training, and I would have to contest the assertion that well, our consultant, our education consultant tells us one thing. For years the advocacy community has been asking the board to share the recommendations of the consultant, and magically that has never happened. So, we don't actually know what the consultant has recommended, and we don't know what the Board has accepted or not accepted from the consultant that the taxpayers pay for because the Board refuses to make the public. That's a bad choice, and I suspect that there are recommendations that the Education Consultant made that the Board just decided to ignore. So, using the consultant as a shield is frankly offensive because we don't know what the consultant said and, you know, so-Oh, and the Board was advised when it became clear that there

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

was going to this super long ballot and that voters were going to be confused. We heard that the Board had taken certain actions and was bringing the coordinators back in, and that they had produced a video to explain to voters how to handle the ballot and the video was on their website. We suggested to the Board that they email the link to the video to every single poll worker in advance of elections. Oh, well, you know, we can't really do that. So, every step along the way the Board makes bad choices and they are never held accountable for it, which gets me to my second-my third point. We see thesethis same hearing over and over again. It's really déjà vu, and the punching bag is always the staff. It's always the Executive Director, and occasionally some of the other staff members, but as Executive Director Ryan made very clear, the choices are made by the Commissioners. I would suggest that you should have the Commissioners come and answer questions, if they refuse your invitation, this might be an appropriate place to use your subpoena power. The-so requiring the Commissioners to appear and answer questions. The Council has passed some very good laws that would improve aspects of our city

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

elections. For instance it is now a matter or of city law that the Board is supposed to post a notice of change at a close polling place telling voters where they can now go to vote. They Board does not do that. We've heard discussion today about the amount of money and the effort put into providing translators in other languages. The Board insists that the translators cannot be at the desk inside with other translators. The Board insists that the translators have to sit out more than a hundred feet away from the front door of the polling place, and in the last two years in the pouring rain. So, the voters actually don't know that their services are available. The city knew this last November and there was no negotiation or limited negotiation between the Mayor's Office and the Board to solve this problem. So, now we-the taxpayers have paid for double the number of translators to sit outside where nobody saw them in the pouring rain for an entire

So, you know, we see this over and over again,

day. That's inexcusable and that's something that

posturing, and actually trying to help the voters.

can be fixed if somebody is actually doing more than

25 decisions that are made for show. If there is a

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 1 2 problem, the Council has passed a law that the Board of Elections is not following, and the Board of 3 4 Elections refuses to follow it after negotiations and 5 various requests frankly, the city is going to have 6 to sue because otherwise the law is useless, and 7 strongly recommend that the-that the Council should 8 be looking at ways to use the budget process to require the Board to take actions that the Council 9 10 feels is necessary. It is possible to put restrictions on the use of the money rather than a 11 12 large pot of general allocations, and we really haven't seen that being done. I would also like to 13 14 point to things that are done in some other 15 jurisdictions, which could be helpful. There was-16 there were bills pending in the Council in the four or five-year ago time period to require city workers 17 18 to be available as poll workers. Common Cause and other good government organizations worked on those 19 20 I personally had discussions with various unions, found that there were questions that the 21 2.2 unions had. The bills basically went nowhere. We agree with the Board that it's a really good idea to 23

have the city workers available and to be urged to

have them as poll workers. We had suggested that poll

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

workers recruitment be placed in all of the pay checks for poll workers so that the poll-the city employees knew about this opportunity. There are double dipping questions, there's time off questions that would have to be negotiated with the unions, but this is a very solvable problem. As pointed out, Los Angeles County has solved it. Los Angeles County is also doing something very interesting that some other jurisdictions have done, which I seriously recommend that the Committee think about, and that is to design its own software, to own the software and not to be subject to endless maintenance contracts on the part of private vendors so that the software could be changed. It could be open source. It can be proprietary to New York City or to New York State. It could be much more nimble and adaptable and the public would pay for it once and maintain it with city employees rather than having to spend a huge amount of money on endless maintenance contracts. You're probably familiar with the marking adage that it's not the disposable razor holder that you make you money with. It's the disposable razors. So, if you're doing business with the private vendors, it's the maintenance contracts where they really can hold

3

4

5

6

O

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

you hostage and there is an alternative. So, I think that these are very solvable problems, but it is going to require some change in conduct in the political actors to hold the Board responsible and to take more effective action. [pause]

268

ALEX CAMARDA: Good afternoon, Chair Cabrera and members of the Committee. My name is Alex Camarda. I'm the Senior Policy Advisor for Reinvent Albany. Thank you for holding this hearing today. As you know from the-the previous testimony there are many issues with our elections, and many different solutions that are put into place at different levels of government. Some of them the City Board can do administratively. Others can be done by the city itself, and others require the changes in state law and even the state constitution. So, today we're focused on solutions that the city or the City Board of Election-of Elections can do. did provide testimony to the Assembly last week, and that is on our website. We provided 10 pages of testimony related to bills and actions that the State can take, and I'm happy to answer any questions about those. But regarding actions the city and the City Board of Elections can take, we tried to organize our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

testimony into a problem and solution construct. So that it's very clear since there are many different issues involved in administering a successful election. So, let me start with I think what has been identified as-as the root problem and the major problem on Election Day on November 6th and that was the breakdowns of the scanners. We heard a lot of testimony about this previously. Before the Assembly last week, Executive Director Michael Ryan provided some preliminary numbers, and he indicated that there were 2,631 incidents with the scanners of which 4,064 were actually deployed. That's a very large number, and obviously indicative of a very systemic problem for which you heard a number of reasons today. Election Day as Executive Director Ryan indicated, he talked mostly about humidity and the wetness of the ballots as causing some of the scanner jams. During his testimony today and also last week, he spoke more about the perforated edge of the ballot and the tearing of the ballot not being done cleanly. Last week before the Assembly, which he did not mention today, he talked about the feeding in of the ballot into the scanners done too quickly by voters, which also created some of the jams. I don't think we've

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 1 2 really precisely identified for each of these factors which ones were the most, were the primary or 3 4 secondary causes of the scanners malfunctioning. were very please to see that the Council brought ES&S 5 and that they came forth and testified regarding the 6 7 scanners. I will say we think they're understating the role of humidity and wetness. In their 8 Operational Manual from 2013, I'm reading 9 10 specifically from page 4 it says that operational humidity during operations should be between 10 and 11 12 So, clearly it seems from that manual that humidity is impactful during operations and not just 13 14 on storage as they indicated. The second issue that 15 we've also heard about is-is ballot design, and we 16 heard about the unwieldy 2-page, 4-sided, 17-inch ballot in Manhattan, and also a 19-inch ballot in 17 18 Brooklyn. All this is avoidable by the City Board if they were only to reduce the number of languages on 19 20 the ballot, and this is an issue that has come up in the past, and I think the Board has been reluctant to 21 2.2 do it because it requires that they create many more 23 ballot styles. They now have to get all those

different ballot styles out to poll sties, and it's

more complexity for the poll workers, and the issue

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 1 2 with the poll workers is the board faces a real challenge in recruiting over 35,000 poll workers for 3 what is effectively a temporary job, and I think all 4 5 of us have a very high expectation of the 6 Administration on Election Day, but at the same time, 7 the Board is relying a temporary workforce. 8 that's why as Common Cause indicated, and we support our Municipal Poll Worker Program and the Board of 9 Elections does, I think all the Good Government 10 groups have for years. So, we really think that 11 12 that's something that the Council and the Administration could work on, and work out some of 13 the issues that have been mentioned, and try to come 14 15 up with the solution because I really think, you 16 know, this year it was malfunctioning scanners. another election it will be another issue, and if we 17 18 want to have a performing workforce, we need to bring the city's workforce into the fold rather than rely 19 20 on temporary workers. I just don't thing you can get 35,000 very high performing temporary workers. 21 2.2 [pause] One of the other secondary kind of cascading issues that the malfunctioning scanners created was 23

the-was overcrowded poll sites, and then also the

ballot security being compromised, and the emergency

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

procedures being put into place that Council Member talked in-depth about. What we'd like to see is to reduce the lines and the overcrowding is to employ these emergency ballot procedures sooner in some instance. For example, if one scanner is down for a period of time, it would be better to employ the Emergency Ballot Procedures, educate the public about them so they have more confidence that their vote will be counted rather than waiting for every scanner to go down, and it causing people to wait in long lines and some them walk away not even having voted. We also think that the Board should take up the Mayors proposal of bringing in an operational consultant at the Board obviously has some consultants, but we think they would benefit from a consultant who would look at pool site design, layout issues, how to handle these snaky lines that Council Member Lander spoke. All of that would be helpful. I know the DMV did something similar, and their operations I think got better as a result of that. So, there should be experts that they could bring in for that purpose. And then lastly I would just say that beyond the poll worker issue, clearly the Board of Elections has staffing and operational issues that

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 1 2 are internal to the Board and kind of unique to their agency. You know, for years and Council Member 3 4 Kallos has raised this, they haven't really employed 5 professional hiring practices. They have not advertised positions online. I don't know. 6 7 Internally it doesn't seem like they do a rigorous hiring process. They should professionalize their 8 workforce not withstanding the bipartisan 9 requirements in the Constitution for the Board, and 10 we don't think that those filter down to every 11 12 position within the City Board anyway. For example, you don't really need to have a republican and a 13 democrat voter technician. You just need a voter 14 15 technician that can go out into the field and 16 actually fix the machine in a timely way. We also think that the Board should utilize far more 17 18 digitization and automation that modern companies use. It's a very paper-based environment there, and 19 20 the staff could be reduced by employing digitization and automation, and they-and the counsel and the 21 2.2 budget for the Board could be increased. You know, 23 many of the permanent staff at the Board are actually

not well paid relative to other agencies and we'd

like to see a workforce that emphasizes quality over

24

Τ	
2	quantity rather tan having many workers who are paid
3	\$30,000 a year, which is the case for-for many of
4	them even while some of these positions are patronage
5	positions. Lastly, I just wanted to comment on
6	communications to inactive voters, which the Chief
7	Democracy Officer was seeking to help in sending a
8	mailer earlier this year or I should say a couple of
9	months ago. You know, while we appreciate her
10	efforts, the reality is that the Board of Elections
11	sends a communication in August regarding the
12	upcoming election, lets voters know, registered
13	voters where their poll site is, and additional
14	information about the election. In state law there
15	is only a requirement that communication go to active
16	voters. There's no requirement that goes to inactive
17	voters. I don't believe the Board sends it to
18	inactive voters. I think if the Administration
19	wanted to help, they should duplicate that mailing,
20	send it to inactive voters, say nothing about
21	changing your status, just send the information so

people can go vote at their appropriate poll site,

become active voters. That would be most helpful we

and then when they go and vote, and do so, they

22

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe to inactive voters. Thank you and I welcome
any questions you may have.

DIANA FINCH: [off mic] Thank you. My name is Diana Finch.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you. Thank you, Diana.

DIANA FINCH: [on mic] Thank you. Μv name is Diana Finch. I've been a high performing, temporary poll workers in the Bronx for over 10 years, and I've never seen an election so marred by shortages and poor planning. The only things we had enough of on November 6th were ballots and voters. We did not have enough training. The only notification we had about the 2-page ballot was a robocall from Executive Director Ryan the Saturday beforehand advising us to view a vide on the website, which when I saw it, it was simple animation. news media had better demo videos of actual ballots. We did not have enough workers. In the October 30th Commissioner's meeting, Executive Director Ryan quickly reports numbers for November 6th, 1,231 poll sites, 35,556 workers just under 29 workers per site enough for ours, but on the day we only had 21 or 22 people show up, and we had just one coordinator when

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for big elections are site is supposed to have two. A major flaw is that while the 35,000 who passed training were sent a notice to work by mail, there's no requirement that we respond to say if we're coming to work or not. So the Board of Elections doesn't really know how many workers they'll have on any election day. We've heard about not enough working scanners, not enough scanner repair people, there were also not enough privacy booths. Executive Director Ryan announced approximately 13 per site. Ours were filled completely at many times, which created more long lines. Executive Director Ryan cited 1,302 ballot marking devices meaning fewer than 100 sites had more than one. Ours was in steady use by voters who can't see well or don't have great reading skills for such a long, detailed ballot, but it broke down by mid-day and was never repaired. Some voters than had to have two poll workers from our skeleton staff read the entire ballot to them. There were not enough privacy sleeves, the folders for voters to keep ballots in partly because there were so many in use held by voters standing on line

to fill out their ballots and standing on line to

scan them. We didn't even have enough pens, and at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

277

least a third of the privacy booths lacked pens. So, right away we had to give out some of our too few pens. Why do folders and pens matter? They high number of jammed scanners were blamed on damp ballots, the heavily re-used folder meant to keep the ballots dry as well as private to wet from coats and umbrellas, but we couldn't set them aside because we didn't have enough in the first place. Not enough pens meant people digging into their wet pockets and bags for their own getting everything wetter. even ran out of I Voted stickers greatly disappointing many, and finally, there were not even enough voter registration forms. Our entire site ran out of this essential. The New York City Board of Elections did anticipate the turnout as evidenced by the Commissioner's discussions about how many ballots to print, enough for 110 to 120% of the total registered voters. So, why does the Board of Elections not ask for and plan for adequate staffing and supplies for New York City voters? That is the question we and the Commissioners need to ask, and why are none of the Commissioners here today? you.

question.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And that is the

DIANA FINCH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I—I really appreciate your testimony and I cannot let you go without asking a few questions. You mentioned Susan and I'm curious with Alex. Have you—when was the last time you had an opportunity to sit down with the Executive Director of the New York City Board of Elections?

SUSAN LERNER: Well, I have found that that the—the staff is pretty accessible. They—but there's no regular process. Other jurisdictions actually have advisory councils made up of voters and advocacy organizations who meet on a regular basis—and that was my experience in Los Angeles County—to discuss what's going right, what's going wrong, what are the good ideas, what is the board thinking of and to get feedback from the community. This Board lives in isolation.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Have you suggested, and actually you have, but I—and what kind of feedback did you get back regarding?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SUSAN LERNER: That the Commissioners would have to talk about it, and the Commissioners are not interested in any input from anybody.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

ALEX CAMARDA: So, I mean in my experience I've-I've-certainly Executive Director Ryan is responsive to phone calls, and then just going to the meetings. I mean the Board has meetings every Tuesday at 1:00 and actually, you know, very open because they have to deliberate as a Board on all the major policy decisions they make. So, in-in a lot of ways the Board is more transparent than say agency-led--agencies led by a Commissioner that don't have to have that open meetings requirement. So, I mean it is a very deliberative process, and you do get to see how they make decision if you go to board meetings routinely. So, I, you know, I've found them personally to be accessible. You know, I-I think the issues that occur are—are somewhat the odd construct of having a bipartisan board and then also just further down the lines, the junior staff, you know, not being paid well, not employing modern hiring techniques. The digitization and automation we spoke about I think is an issue, and then just the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

challenges of having a temporary workforce that's very large to implement on Election Day.

SUSAN LERNER: But what I have seen unfortunately on various occasions are recommendations from the staff that make a lot of sense, and the commission doesn't even entertain them, or just-just basically says no. Too radical, too different. We never did that before. there's a real problem at a Commissioner level that's really the root of the-of I think a lot of the inefficiencies that we because we have paid the staff to be come election administrators. None of the staff were election administrators before we hired them. The management staff now has been there for awhile. So, we paid them for the on-the-job training. They're—they're giving the commission better ideas, but the Commission doesn't care. we've got to hold Commissioners responsible.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Wow. I meant to ask you, and then I have a question for you, Diana.

I'll leave the last question for you, the best question. I wanted to ask you on page 4 that you mentioned it says between 10 to 50%, but the next

2.2

page it says 80%. Did you notice that piece? Have
you-

ALEX CAMARDA: So I think the 80% was referring to storage whereas the 10 to 50 was operational. I think the point is that clearly humidity impacts operations, and it seemed to me that both Executive Director Ryan, and then ESMS were not acknowledging that as fully as they should.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But we had—we had the same level of humidity in other municipalities—SUSAN LERNER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --and from the testimony that we have heard in our investigation it seems that they didn't have the problems that we had. It looks like it was perforation problem. The biggest problem for me was that-that the company that supply the machines warned the Board of Elections that they were going to have a problem, and there were steps, there was no proactive steps taken to fix the problem. That's the-that for me is the biggest problem that I have here because if I look at all the variables, I'm always looking what's constant, the one piece that looked different than any other municipality was perforation.

ALEX CAMARDA: Yeah, I think that's
certainly part. It could be the primary reason for
the -the ballot scanners. I mean as I was saying in
my testimony, there were a number of factors cited at
different times on different days before, you know,
even before different bodies, and I don't believe we
precisely know the primary cause. It could be the
perforation ballot. I will say on the-on the
humidity piece yes and that has had issues with-with
similar but other machines in other jurisdictions.
They did in North Carolina on Election Day. So, I
think that it—that it could be more of a factor than
they're-than they're indicating, and to Council
Member Lander's point who is calling his poll sites a
mosh pit, nobody knows the relative humidity in that
particular poll site, or other poll sites where you
had a lot of people in-coming out-coming in from wet
weather, and the humidity I would imagine was pretty
high, and I don't it was measured. So, we just don't
know.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I think they should have. If they knew that that was a problem, too, as well, there should have been instruction to give

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 instruction to the people who were coming to vote.

3 You know, make sure your hands are dry--

ALEX CAMARDA: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: -et cetera.

SUSAN LERNER: Right and I think. I mean we've got first hand testimony that I found very compelling of the lack of the-

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.

SUSAN LERNER: --shortfall in the privacy sleeves contributing to the fact that the ballots were actually getting wet. Forget humidity. If they're wet, they're definitely going to be a problem. We heard complaints throughout the day where people were freaking out because the boxes in the scanners were filling out-were filling up, and look, you have a double ballot. So, you're going to have double the number of pieces of paper in these boxes, and there was great confusion about what you do when they fill up, and voters were calling Common Cause and the Election Protection Hotline, and asking what's going to happen? Where are the ballots going to go? Well, we heard from Council Member Lander sometimes they ended up in paper-in plastic bags. So, you know, it's a complete lack of planning, and just

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:

Okay.

2.2

SUSAN LERNER: So, I was at a number of polling places in Brooklyn and I do feel that at least in that borough the board had deployed more technicians who were responding pretty quickly, but what we were seeing was often all of the machines would go do down. They would get a technician pretty well that the machines would be fixed, and once they went down once, it was a much higher probability that they were going to go down again. .

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Definitely.

SUSAN LERNER: So, we would see four machines were out. They were all fixed within two hours. One of them had gone down. That one got fixed. Another went down. So, you know, the problems were intermittent throughout the day and voters were tearing their hair out.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And so, I'll close with this last question: What you would say if the Director was sitting right here to where I am, what would you like to tell him?

DIANA FINCH: I would like to tell him that he has to completely open up the whole operation and take very hard look at the number. I don't think any one is really looking at the number, and doing a

2 professional study of what's needed, and what we need 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

to do. He ran through all the numbers that I cited the week before the election. He presented them to the Commissioners and the Commissioners asked no questions, and they didn't even figure out so, that means you have only one ballot marking device for most of the poll sites across the city. What happens if it breaks, and he didn't even ask any of those questions. And the meeting after the election, which I tried to make, but I thought I couldn't and then I thought well many other people will come and testify. It's not just me. No one came to testify, and the Commissioners discussed the election for seven minutes. That's how long that meeting was and only one of the commissioners from Brooklyn said anything about what had happened on Election Day.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And think--and I'm going to conclude today's hearing-I think that that is really at the root of the problem. We need a leadership. We need a leadership to anticipate.

SUSAN LERNER: For sure.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: We need a leadership to hear-to hear the advice of those who have been this and have been working, the non-

2.2

profits, the community, the—the poll workers being at for many, many years, and we are going to get to the bottom of this. I know this is my first year, Susan. Have a little faith with me. [laughter] I—I'm into results. I'm not into the fanfare. I want to see results. I want to see changes. We're going to demand changes. It's going to happen. You'll see some, and I'm looking forward to being able to organize maybe a roundtable that we could down with the director and your community has been working tirelessly on in this effort. Diana, thank you for being on Facebook as well.

DIANA FINCH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I know you were out there--

DIANA FINCH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --because that's where people are listening, and the message truly went out. I want to thank my co-chair, Ritchie Torres, the Speaker, all of the staff that have been here literally all day with me. They did a fantastic job from both committees. They are truly five star staff, and with

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 289
2	that, we conclude today's hearing. [gavel]
3	[background comments, pause]
4	DIANA FINCH: You know I looked up the
5	job opening at
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 26, 2018