1	CC	DMMITTEE ON FINANCE	1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK		
3			
4	TRANSCRIPT OF TH		
5	Of the		
6	COMMITTEE ON FIN		
7		November 14, 2018	
8		Start: 10:36 a.m. Recess: 10:57 a.m.	
9			
10	HELD AT:	Committee Room - City Hall	
11	BEFORE:	DANIEL DROMM Chairperson	
12	COUNCIL MEMBERS	-	
13		ADRIENNE E. ADAMS ANDREW COHEN	
14		ROBERT E. CORNEGY, JR. LAURIE A. CUMBO	
15		VANESSA L. GIBSON BARRY S. GRODENCHIK	
16		RORY L. LANCMAN STEVEN MATTEO	
17		FRANCISCO P. MOYA KEITH POWERS	
18		HELEN K. ROSENTHAL JAMES G. VAN BRAMER	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 2
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Michael Blaise Backer
4	Deputy Commissioner for Neighborhood Development At the Department of Small Business Services, SBS
5	Roxanne Earley Director of the BID Program at the New York City
6	Department of Business Services, SBS
7	James Caras Manhattan Borough Presidents Office
8	namaccan Berough Frebruches Office
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, good morning
and [clears throat] excuse me and welcome to
today's Finance Committee meeting. My name is Daniel
Dromm and I'm the Chair of the Committee. We've been
joined today by Council Member Keith Powers, Council
Member Andy Cohen, Council Member and Majority Leader
Laurie Cumbo, Council Member Vanessa Gibson, who's
also the Chair of the Council's Subcommittee on
Capital for Finance, Council Member Margaret Chin,
Council Member Adrienne Adams, Council Member Steve
Matteo and Council Member Barry Grodenchik. Today the
Committee has six items on the agenda; three
Preconsidered Resolutions to amend and restate the
property tax rates for fiscal 2019, two bid related
Preconsidered Resolutions and Intro 1144, which would
authorize 14 bids to increase their assessments.
We've been joined by Council Member Helen Rosenthal.
Let's start with the three Preconsidered Resolutions
that would amend and restate the property tax rates
for fiscal 2019. Every year as part of budget
adoption the Charter requires the Council to adopt
property tax rates for the coming fiscal year. The
rates that we adopt are largely prodetermined by a

formula set forth in the state law which involves
determining the share of the overall tax levee that
each class is required to pay. State law imposes a
five percent cap on the amount that each class's
share is allowed to grow year over year. In past
years the Council has asked the state to lower that
cap as a way of moderating large increases in tax
rates that result from this required formula. This
year we saw that without any action the tax rate for
class one homeowners, homeowners would have gone up
by [clears throat] excuse me by 7.2 percent as
compared to last year's rates. Therefore, to limit
that increase the Council asked the state to pass
legislation to lower the class share cap to one half
of one percent. The state agreed but the legislation
was enacted after the Council adopted the fiscal 2019
budget and set the tax rates. Therefore, what we are
doing today is amending and restating the tax rates
that we set in June to reflect the recount
recalculation done in accordance with the new zero-
point five percent cap. As a result of the Council's
efforts a typical class one homeowner will see an
approximate 250-dollar savings and class two
homeowners will see a tax rate decrease of nearly one

2	percent as compared to the fiscal 2018 rate. Next, we
3	have the bid resolutions, the first is a
4	Preconsidered Resolution that sets forth November
5	$28^{\rm th}$, 2018 at ten a.m. in this room at the date, time
6	and place to hold the public hearing considering the
7	Local Law that would establish the Throggs Neck bid
8	in Council Member Gjonaj's district in the Bronx.
9	Council Member Gjonaj is supportive of the bid's
10	establishment. The second bid item is also
11	Preconsidered Resolution that sets forth November
12	$28^{\rm th}$, 2018 at ten a.m. in this room as the date, time
13	and place to hold a public hearing considering the
14	Local Law that would extend the Hudson Square bid in
15	the Speaker's district and would authorize the bid to
16	increase its annual assessment. The Speaker is
17	supportive of these actions. More information
18	regarding the proposed Throggs Neck bid, bid and the
19	proposed changes to the Hudson Square bid can be
20	found at the Committee can be found in the Committee
21	Reports prepared by the Finance, Finance Division as
22	well as the bids district plans which have been
23	posted to the Council's website. Last, the Committee
24	will be holding a public hearing on Intro Number
25	11// which would authorize 1/ existing hide

throughout the city to increase the amounts they
expend annually. The Council previously approved
Resolution 564 on October 31 st setting today as the
date for a public hearing on this legislation. The
budget increases have been requested by the property
owners within the boundaries of each bid and would be
used to enhance the services provided. The 14 bids
that are seeking budget increases are one; the
Steinway Street bid in Council Member Constantinides
and Council Member Van Bramer's district; two, the
Graham Avenue bid in Council Member Levin and Council
Member Reynoso's districts; three, the Lower East
Side bid in Council Member Chin's district; four, the
Fashion Center bid in the Speaker's district; five,
the Grand Street bid in Council Member Reynoso's
district; six, the 125 th Street bid in Council Member
Perkins's district; seven, the Lincoln Square bid in
the Speaker and Council Member Rosenthal's district;
eight, the Madison 23 rd Flatiron Chelsea bid in the
Speaker and Council Member Powers and Council Member
Rivera's districts; nine, the Queens Plaza Court
Square bid sometimes referred to as the Long Island
City bid in Council Member Van Bramer's district;
ten, the Bay… the Bay Ridge 5 th Avenue bid in Council

Member Brennen's district; II, the Court Livingston-
Schermerhorn bid in Council Member Levin's district;
12, the Park Slope 5 th Avenue bid in Council Member
Lander and Council Member Menchaca's district; 13,
the, the Chinatown bid in Council Member Chin's
district and 14, the Westchester Square bid in
Council Member Gjonaj's district. Each of these
Council Members have submitted a letter in support of
the requested budget increases. More information
regarding the specific amounts of the assessment
increases and the reasons for their request can be
found in the Committee Report prepared by the Finance
Division. Representatives from the Department of
Small Business Services are here to testify on this
bid item and to answer any questions we may have. Now
before we proceed to a vote, I'm going to ask Council
Member Chin to make a statement and then we have some
testimony as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Chair, good morning. As the representative of a district that includes two lower Manhattan bids requesting reasonable assessment increases; the Chinatown bid and the Lower East Side bid, I believe this adjustment, adjustment serves as a way to allow these

organizacions to continue operating as a vitar
resource for our neighborhood. An adjusted assessment
would explore a pathway for the city to address
important needs including expanded programming,
rising office rents and a better opportunity for bids
to comply with the 15 dollar minimum wage while
continuing to provide the necessary funds to ensure
fair wages for all employees and it will create a
chance for these organizations to continue delivering
the services and programs that local property owners,
small businesses and residents alike have all come to
expect without being subjected to crippling financial
risk. I really urge my colleagues to support these
requests by our bid, they do tremendous work in our
community especially the two bids in my district and
I really respect all their hard work to keep our
community prosperous and strong so I'm here to show
my support. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much and now I'd like to call three folks who want to give testimony; Michael Blaise Backer from the Department of Small Business Services, Deputy Commissioner for Neighborhood Development Division; Roxanne Earley, Small Business Services, Director of Business

2.2

2	Improvement District Programs; and James Caras from
3	the Manhattan Borough President's Office. And I'm
4	going to ask Counsel to swear you all in.

COMMITTEE CLERK: You affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

MICHAEL BLAISE BACKER: I do.

JAMES CARAS: Yes.

ROXANNE EARLEY: I do.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, let's start over here.

MICHAEL BLAISE BACKER: Right. Good
morning Chair Dromm and members of the Finance
Committee. I am Michael Blaise Backer, Deputy
Commissioner for Neighborhood Development at the
Department of Small Business Services. I'm joined by
Roxanne Earley from the Director of BID Program. I
wish to express our support for the law providing an
increase in the amount to be expended in 14 business
improvement districts. The city's 75 bids invest over
145 million into local economies in the form of
supplemental services and programs that serve more
than 93,000 businesses across the city. Bids have
been valuable and proven partners in ongoing

2	initiatives in neighborhood revitalization and
3	economic development across the five boroughs making
4	New York City neighborhoods cleaner, safer and more
5	vibrant. Bids are also advocating for small
6	businesses in their districts helping them to
7	navigate government, facilitating network among
8	business owners, providing business retention support
9	and attracting shoppers to the area. In partnership
10	with city government, bids help to improve the
11	quality of life for New Yorkers and visitors enhance
12	the city's tax space, spur job creation and
13	strengthen local economies. Bids will typically
14	request to increase the assessment on property owners
15	in their district every three to ten years.
16	Assessment increases allow bids to expand existing
17	services, add new programs, add staff capacity or
18	sustain current levels of programs and services
19	impacted by rising contract costs often connected to
20	increases in minimum wage. Bid boards of directors
21	will determine the new maximum cap for bid
22	assessments as well as whether to phase it in over
23	multiple fiscal years based on the projected cost of
24	programs and services. To propose an assessment
25	increase, bids must complete a multistep review

process overseen by SBS. The bid board of directors
which includes local property owners, merchants and
residents as well as representatives from the city
comptroller, borough president, city council member
and SBS must review and approve the proposed
assessment increase. Additionally, SBS requires all
bids to submit a four-part justification outlining,
outlining how the increase will be allocated minutes
from the board meeting when the increase was approved
and letters of support by all city council, council
members represented in the bid boundaries. SBS
reviews these submitted materials and determines
whether they are sufficient to bring the proposed
increases to city council. Additionally, as required
by law each of the 14 bids publish a notice to the
public hearing at least once in a local newspaper
having general circulation in the districts
specifying the time and place of today's hearing and
stating the proposed amount to be expended annually.
Each district has also certified that they have
mailed a letter to property owners informing them
about the proposed assessment increase and the time
and place of this public hearing. It is the priority
of SBS that the assessment increase proposals focus

on enhancing programs and services provided to the
commercial district. The 14 bids proposing assessment
increases are doing so to address the needs and
changing conditions of their districts. These
increases will further expand, reinforce and
strengthen existing core services currently provided
in the business districts and include funds for
capital improvements, public clause activation and
added staff capacity. Additionally, portions of these
increases will be used to sustain current levels of
sanitation and public safety programs impacted by
rising contract costs related to the increase in the
minimum wage. The proposed increases for FY '19 range
from 900 nine 97,900 to 4.2 million varying
according to budget size, district size and proposed
changes in programs and services. The proposed
increases are as follows and I'm going to read these
so bear with me. 125^{th} Street from 1,005,793 to
1,240,462 dollars; Bay Ridge 5 th Avenue from 427,000
to 534,000 dollars; Chinatown from 1,300,000 dollars
to 1,800,000 dollars; Court Livingston Schermerhorn
from 907,000 dollars to 1,400,000 dollars; Madison
23 rd Flatiron Chelsea from 2,200,000 dollars to
3,250,000 dollars; Fashion Center from 8,800,000

dollars to 13,000,000 dollars; Graham Avenue from
137,638 dollars to 250,000 dollars; Grand Street from
226,460 dollars to 324,360 dollars, Lincoln Square
from 2,500,000 dollars to 3,200,000 dollars; Queens
Plaza Court Square from 800,000 dollars to 1,000,000
dollars; Lower East Side from 974,600 dollars to
1,300,000 dollars; Park Slope 5 th Avenue from 300,000
dollars to 500,000 dollars; Steinway Street from
400,000 dollars to 520,000 dollars; and Westchester
Square from 320,000 dollars to 425,920 dollars.
Representatives from each bid requesting assessment
increases are present to answer any questions
pertaining to their specific request however I'm
happy to answer any general questions you may have.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you very much, Mr. Caras.

JAMES CARAS: Good morning Chair Dromm and members of the Finance Committee. I'm Jim Caras here on behalf of Manhattan Borough President Gail Brewer. We support this action in the case of the Garment District Alliance formerly the Fashion Center bid because it will make available funds that are part of a comprehensive program to ensure Manhattan's

2	garment manufacturing businesses can remain in the
3	city's historic garment center. The Council's
4	Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises will hold a
5	hearing tomorrow to contemplate lifting the
6	manufacturing preservation requirements embodied in
7	the special garment districts zoning. Manhattan's
8	garment center is about to undergo serious changes
9	and this assessment will help ensure that
10	displacement of the garment industry is not one of
11	those changes. When the city first proposed to lift
12	the garment center's zoning preservation requirements
13	in 2017 it sought to assist in the relocation of
14	garment manufacturing businesses from the garment
15	center to Brooklyn, Sunset Park neighborhood. The
16	city and garment center bid even committed funds for
17	this purpose, but our office began speaking to the
18	manufactures and employees in the garment center and
19	it became clear that Manhattan's garment center with
20	its ecosystem of garment related businesses needed to
21	remain the core of the fashion industry. Without that
22	core, the New York fashion industry is at risk. The
23	borough president came to emphatically believe that
24	any funds raised by the bid needed to be spent
25	helping businesses thrive in the garment center not

2	relocate out of the district. We knew that if
3	preservation requirements were to be lifted, we would
4	need extensive programs and funding to support and
5	retain the garment manufacturing industry. Early in
6	2017, we began our outreach to industry
7	representatives and in May 2017 Council Speaker
8	Johnson and the Borough President's Office formed the
9	garment center's Steering Committee which was
10	comprised of elected officials, community boards four
11	and five, the New York City EDC, and representatives
12	of manufacturers, designers, unions and real estate
13	including the Garment District Alliance. Their
14	recommendations provided valuable guidance for
15	addressing the needs of the garment industry. Through
16	their collective efforts we have come a long way from
17	the original proposal to lift the zoning restrictions
18	and not provide any accompanying assistance for the
19	garment industry in Manhattan. Among the
20	recommendations of the steering committee were: one;
21	to establish a tax incentive program to retain long
22	term garment productions facing the garment center,
23	this is being done now through an EDC, I an IDA
24	program; two work to effectuate a public/private
25	building acquisition, EDC is also taking the lead on

this issuing an RFEI and committing 20 million
dollars for the acquisition of a building; three
support and develop new and existing talent pipelines
for the garment related workforce in Manhattan; four
support business planning and marketing among garment
manufacturers and five develop communications
platforms to elevate garment manufacturers. We want
to thank the garment district alliance for working so
hard as part of the steering committee and taking its
recommendations so seriously. The majority of the
increase being requested by the bid is to assist in
its commitment to support businesses and especially
garment manufacturers and their workers within the
bid's boundaries. Originally as we said this money
was to be used for relocation expenses of
manufacturing businesses that left the district but
in September under the bid directors' leadership the
bid voted to redirect this money for programs
available to assist businesses within the bid's
boundaries. The garment district Alliance will
support garment manufacturing in the area by
providing 2.5 million dollars each year to fund
efforts that will assist businesses including garment
manufacturers with workforce development, trainings

and facilitating communications among businesses in
the district. This support will be crucial as the
zoning protections in the special garment district
are lifted we therefore urge you to approve the
proposal before you today but the garment district
Alliance must also commit to work with our office,
the Speaker's Office and other stakeholders to design
programs that will be part of a long term effort to
foster the continued health of the garment industry.
While we understand that no one can guarantee the
efficacy and demand for programs ten years from now,
we need assurances that as long as the need to assist
the manufacturing and garment related business in the
district continues over the course of the next decade
the bid will work with us to ensure that effective
programs are available and funded. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And thank you very much. I want to say we've been joined by Council Member Francisco Moya, Council Member Robert Cornegy, Council Member Brad Lander and Council Member Lander has a statement to make.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much Chair Dromm, thanks to this esteemed panel. I'll

2	be very brief. I wholeheartedly support what is
3	listed in Blaise's testimony as item 12, the increase
4	for the Park Slope 5 th Avenue from 300,000 to
5	500,000, it is truly a really a model business
6	improvement district. I confess that I have some
7	anxieties about the public/private approach we take
8	to achieving sanitation and security in our city but
9	this is one of the examples that truly engages
10	community that brings in all stakeholders and that
11	achieves those goals while really building community
12	not just for the businesses, not just for the
13	property owners but for the residents and the entire
14	community, we're lucky to have them and I urge people
15	to join in voting yes to support them to be able to
16	do that work even better. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, we've been
18	joined by Council Member Keith Powers. Are there any
19	questions? Yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: You already
21	recognized me, but I'll take it twice, thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, alright
23	[cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I just want to thank... I just want to say thank you to the... to the,

24

the Borough President for the work done here and also
I want to welcome those from the garment district
alliance who are here and for their work, I, I agree
with what Council Member Lander said but certainly a
lot of the quality of life issues even around the
that area they've been good advocates for the
business around there to make sure that it's, it's a
vibrant neighborhood so I also encourage folks to be
supportive. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, are there any further questions? Alright, before I ask the clerk to call the role as a reminder the Finance Committee will be meeting next Tuesday, November 20th at ten a.m. in the 16th floor Committee Room at 250 Broadway to consider Intro 1143, a bill that would authorize the Department of Finance to create three different types of hardship payment plans for low income home, homeowners throughout the city who are struggling to pay their property taxes. We expect to hear testimony from the Commissioner of Finance and I hope that all of my colleagues will be able to join the hearing. And with that I will ask Billy Martin, Committee Clerk to call the roll.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Chair Dromm?

2.2

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 1 2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I vote aye. 3 COMMITTEE CLERK: Gibson? COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [off mic] I vote 4 5 aye. COMMITTEE CLERK: Cohen? 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Aye. COMMITTEE CLERK: Cornegy? 8 9 COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Aye. 10 COMMITTEE CLERK: Cumbo? 11 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Aye. 12 COMMITTEE CLERK: Rosenthal? 13 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Permission to 14 explain my vote? 15 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you, 17 with gratitude to all the work of the Lincoln Square 18 bid and their leadership I, I proudly vote aye and encourage them to continue their great efforts, thank 19 20 you. COMMITTEE CLERK: Grodenchik? 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [off mic] 23 Aye. 24 COMMITTEE CLERK: Adams?

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: [off mic] Aye.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Moya?
3	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Aye.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Powers?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Aye.
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: Matteo?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: I'm voting no on
8	the tax rate reso 612, 613, 614 while I appreciate
9	the Council reducing the rates since June it is still
10	an increase… its an increase that still seems to get
11	higher and higher so with that I'm voting no on those
12	three Resolutions and aye on the rest.
13	COMMITTEE CLERK: Resolution 615 and 616
14	are adopted by the committee; 11 in the affirmative,
15	zero in the negative and no abstentions and
16	Resolutions 612, 613, and 614 are adopted by a vote
17	of 10 in the affirmative, one in the negative and no
18	abstentions and Introduction 1144 is adopted by the
19	committee; 11 in the affirmative, zero in the
20	negative and no abstentions.
21	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you very

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you very much and with that this meeting is adjourned at 10:57 in the morning, thank you.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

November 15, 2018