1	SUBCOMMIT	TTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1
2	CITY COUNCIL	
3	CITY OF NEW YOR	K
		X
4	TRANSCRIPT OF T	HE MINUTES
5	Of th	ne
6	SIIBCOMMITTEE ON	ZONING AND FRANCHISES
7		X
8		September 17, 2018
9		Start: 10:06 a.m. Recess: 2:42 p.m.
10		
11	HELD AT:	250 Broadway-Committee Rm, 16 th Fl
12	BEFORE:	FRANCISCO P. MOYA Chairperson
13	COUNCIL MEMBEF	
14		COSTA G. CONSTANTINIDES BARRY S. GRODENCHIK
15		RORY LANCOUNCIL MEMBERAN
16		STEPHEN T. LEVIN ANTONIO REYNOSO
		DONOVAN J. RICHARD LOBELS CARLINA RIVERA
17		RITCHIE J. TORRES
18		JAMES G. VAN BRAMER
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Rachel Scall
4	Associate at Greenberg Traurig on Behalf of Red Apple Real Estate
5	Ralph Zirinsky
6	Development Manager at Red Apple Real Estate
7	Jeremiah Candreva Zoning and Land Use Attorney, Partner at
8	Troutman Sanders LLP
9	Michael Kramer Owner of Michael B. Kramer and Associates
10	Gary Spindler
11	President of Kalodop II Park Corporation
12	Brad Hoylman New York State Senator, Representing the 27 th
13	Senate District
14 15	Tara Duvivier AICP, Urban Planner for Community Board's One, Three and Six
16	Margaret Gardiner Executive Director of the Merchant's House Museum
17	Justin Spivey
18	Senior Associate at Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates, WJE
19	Kurt Hirschberg
20	Architectural Designer with Jan Hird Pokorny Associates
21	
22	Michael Hiller Founding Member of Hiller PC Law Firm
23	Howard Weiss Senior Member of Davidoff Hutcher and Citron, LLP
24	Davidori indiciner and creton, har

Communications Professional of New York City Area

Robert Thibault

١	_		
		۲	

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Zella Jones President of NoHo Bowery Stakeholders
4	-
5	John Krawchuk Executive Director of the Historic House of Trust Of New York City
6	-
7	Jan Cermak Geotechnical Engineer, Associate Partner at Mueser Rutledge Consulting Engineers
8	
9	Anita Brandt Co-Chair of Community Board Two Land Use
10	Birgitte Philippides-Dulaney Resident of the Village
11	Roberta Belulovich
12	Visitor Services Coordinator and Weekend Site Manager at the Merchant's House Museum
13	Joseph Reiver
14	Executive Director of Elizabeth Street Gardens
15	Christabel Gough Society for the Architecture of the City
16	Michelle Barshay
17	Docent at the Merchant's House Museum
18	Simeon Bankoff
19	Executive Director of the Historic Districts Council
20	Monica Rittersporn Lifelong New York City Resident
21	<u> </u>
22	Cordelia Persen Executive Director of the NoHo Business
23	Improvement District
24	Jane Nevins Volunteer at the Merchant's House Museum,
	Resident of District Two

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
_	
3	Audrey Horwitz Volunteer at the Merchant's House Museum
4	volunceel at the Helchant 5 house Huseum
5	Giorgio Bovenzi Resident of 25 East Fourth Street
C	
6	Nick Nicholson Senior President of Decorative Arts at Freeman's
7	Auction in Philadelphia, Chair of the Board of Merchant's House Museum
8	Merchant's House Museum
	Peter Feld
9	Editor of the New York Observers Parenting Magazine, Scooter
10	
	David Mulkins
11	Co-founder of Bowery Alliance of Neighbors and
12	East Fifth Street Block Association
12	Glen Umberger
13	Speaking on Behalf of the New York Landmarks
	Conservancy
14	
	Andrew Berman
15	Executive Director of the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation
16	
	Grace Markman
17	Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, Docent at Merchant's House Museum
18	1102 0110110 0 110 000 1100 00111
	Carol Putrachez
19	Member of the East Fifth Street Association
20	Emily Hill-Wright
	Communications and Programs Manager at the
21	Merchant's House Museum
22	Ann Hijaad
	Volunteer Docent and Researcher at the Merchant's
23	House Museum
24	Kirsten Theodos

Resident of District Two

	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 5
1	
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Vincent Plescia Independent Consultant for Fine and Decorative
4	Arts
5	Danine Romero In Favor of the Sidewalk Café at 3599 East
6	Tremont Avenue in the Bronx
7	Richard Lobel Land Use and Zoning Attorney at Sheldon Lobel, PC
8	
9	Genevieve Michel Executive Director of Government Affairs for the Department of Housing Preservations and
10	Development, HPD
11	Albert Shirian President and Co-Founder of Lions Club NYC
12	
13	Jay Segal Land Use Attorney with Greenberg Traurig LLP
14	Damien Peslini
15	Hal Fetner President of Fetner Properties
16	_
17	Edward Wallace Partner at Greenberg Traurig LLP
18	Sheila Lewandowski Second Vice Chair of Community Board Two
19	
20	Pedro Gomez President of the Court Square Civic Association
21	Peter Johnson Homeowner, Tax Payer, Resident of Long Island
22	City, Member of Hunters Point Community Coalition
23	Frank Wu Resident of Court Square, Long Island City
24	1.551a6.16 of 55a16 Square, Bong Iblana City

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 6
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Unidentified Male Doorman of Court Square, Long Island City
4	
5	Mark LaVia President of Long Island City
6	Patrick Smith
7	Native New Yorker, 20 Year Resident of Queens, Homeowner on Jackson Avenue
8	Brad Swanson Representing the Queens Chamber of Commerce and
9	Executive Director Tom Grech
10	Brent O'Leary President of the Hunter's Point Civic Association
11	
12	Maim Bradley Resident of Long Island City, Member of the Long Island City Coalition
13	
14	Thomas Pano Officer with the Hunter's Point Community Coalition
15	David Rothenberg
16	President of Tishman's Realty Corporation
17	Wesley O'Brien Associate of Fried Frank
18	Bill Higgins
19	Principal of Higgins Quasebarth and Partners, LLC
20	Morris Adjmi Architect at Morris Adjmi Architects
21	_
22	Stephen Lefkowitz Real Estate of Counsel Resident in Fried Frank
23	Mike Bradley 32 BJ Member, Reading for Hannah
24	
25	Reggie Thomas Senior Vice President for Governmental Affairs at

The Real Estate Board

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Justin Pascone
4	Director of Policy at the New York Building Congress
5	Jeff Brault Director of External Affairs at the Manhattan
6	Chamber of Commerce
7	Jack Davies Policy and Campaigns Manager at Transportation
8	Alternatives
9	Monica Munn Director of Economic Development at the Union
10	Square Partnership
11	Victoria Crane President of the Lee Strasberg Theatre and Film
12	Institute
13	Teresa Uthurralt Native New Yorker, Representing East Fourth
14	Street Block Association
15	Dan Unger Chief Development Officer for Tishman Realty
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[gavel]

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Good morning and welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises, I'm City Council Member Ritchie Torres and I will be filling in today until Chair Moya arrives. We are joined by Council Members Donovan Richards and Carlina Rivera. Today we will be holding public hearings on a number of items, if you are here to testify on any item on the calendar please fill out a white speaker slip with the Sergeant At Arms and indicate the name of the application you wish to testify on, on that slip. LU 206, the Victory Boulevard rezoning will be laid over. LU's 192, 193 and 194, the 80 Flatbush application will also be laid over. We will not be voting on these applications today. We have many hearings on today so other than the applications... applicant panel each speaker will be granted two minutes to testify. Our first hearing will be on LU 216, the 180 Myrtle Avenue text amendment application submitted by Red Apple Real Estate regarding ground floor use regulations within the special downtown Brooklyn district. The proposed zoning text amendment would allow non-residential uses permitted by the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 underlying zoning district within the required
3 special ground floor uses for buildings fronting on
4 Myrtle Avenue between Ashland Place and Fleet Place

5 in Majority Leader Cumbo's district in Brooklyn. I

6 now open up the public hearing on this application,

7 | counsel please swear in the panel.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Before responding please hit your mic and state your name. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer all questions truthfully?

RACHEL SCALL: Yes.

RALPH ZIRINSKY: Ralph Zirinsky, yes.

RACHEL SCALL: Rachel Scall, yes.

[off mic dialogue]

RACHEL SCALL: Sorry, before... are we supposed to pull up the PowerPoint or... sorry.

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Please begin.

RACHEL SCALL: Good morning, my name is
Rachel Scall, I'm here from Greenberg Traurig, we're
here on behalf of Red Apple Real Estate, the
applicant for the 180 Myrtle Avenue text amendment
application and I'm joined by Ralph Zirinsky of Red

Apple. This application affects the South side of
Myrtle Avenue in Brooklyn in Brooklyn between Fleet
Place and Ashland Place, that block is improved with
three buildings owned by Red Apple. Going from left
to right we have 218 Myrtle Avenue which was
completed in 2010, 180 Myrtle Avenue completed in
2016 and 81 Fleet Place completed in 2015. The
buildings contain about 530 residential units and
about 34,000 square feet of ground floor space in
here. they are on a site plan blocks one or lots
110, lot one and lot 101 on block 2061. This is a
request for a text amendment affecting the downtown
Brooklyn special zoning regulations. We are looking
at Article X, appendix EMAP II and section 101-11 of
the zoning resolution which currently require the
ground floor retail within 50 feet of designated
streets in downtown Brooklyn. This application would
lift those restrictions on this block to allow all
uses, all non-residential uses permitted by the
underlying zoning which would essentially permit
community facilities in the spaces in these three
buildings; uses such as medical facilities or day
care facilities and I will turn it over to Ralph to

give you a little bit more information about the buildings themselves.

2.2

RALPH ZIRINSKY: So, as Rachel said there's about 30,000 square feet of retail space across these three properties. The first building went online in 2010, the other two in 2015 and 2016. So, in that time period only about 50 percent of the retail has... is currently occupied, we've seen limited interest from traditional retailers where we have seen interest from there are medical uses and day care centers which would be a benefit in two ways just to liven the block and bring more activity to this area while providing services to the surrounding community that's why we're seeking the tax amendment from traditional retail to allow some additional types of uses on these ground floors.

RACHEL SCALL: Happy to answer any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: How much retail space is presently in each building?

RALPH ZIRINSKY: The two buildings on the right have 10,000 square feet each give or take and the building on the left has 20,000 square feet, 10,000 square feet above grade which is occupied by a

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 CVS and 10,000 square feet below grade which is 3 currently vacant. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So, so, what's the full extent of the vacancy, it's 10,000? 5 RALPH ZIRINSKY: The full extent of the 6 7 vacancy presently is 10... about 23,000. COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: 23,000 and is the 8 high asking price playing a role in causing the 9 vacancy... [cross-talk] 10 11 RALPH ZIRINSKY: No, our rents are 12 actually significantly lower, I can ... the ... we've done 13 deals in the high 50's and low 60's for square foot, we remain negotiable and flexible, with full free 14 15 rent concession packages, it's just... it's not 16 necessarily a lack of, of... or a high asking price 17 we've just seen a lack of demand and even offers on 18 the space. COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And, and what's 19 20 the level of traffic in that corridor? RALPH ZIRINSKY: The foot traffic? There 21 2.2 is foot traffic but it... to say... to go a block away 23 into metro tech it's like night and day, there's just far less foot traffic there so we're looking at uses 24

that, that's more destination focused retail to drive

people to the block versus kind of pop in retail
where you need that foot traffic to be successful.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: That's the extent of my questions, any of my colleagues have questions?

Okay, thank you so much for your testimony... [cross-talk]

RALPH ZIRINSKY: Thank you.

RACHEL SCALL: Thank you.

[off mic dialogue]

any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none I will now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. Our next hearing will be on LU's 203, 204, and 2015, the 27th East Fourth Street application for property in Council Member Rivera's district in Manhattan. The applicant, Kalodop Park Corporation seeks a zoning text amendment to special permit 74-712 and seeks two special permits under that section to allow a transient hotel and retail use at the project site and to modify bulk regulations to allow the proposed building to reach a height of 90 feet without setback.

[off mic dialogue]

2.2

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council Member Torres: On September 5th we heard from the applicants when we held our hearing on the zoning text amendment. First, we will hear briefly from the applicants regarding the special permits and then from panels of additional speakers. I now open up the public hearing on this, Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you Mr. Chair Torres and thank you to my colleagues as we continue to hear testimony in the matter of the applications 427 East Fourth Street in Manhattan. I will briefly restate the reason why these items are so significant to residents of district two and based on emails I've received too many people from other parts of the city and even points beyond. This development before us would be taking place directly next to the Merchant's House Museum, a row house that is now almost 200 years old. It is unique in that it is both an exterior and interior landmark and any construction occurring on adjoining properties is delicate. Since the museum currently has little to no physical support on either side. There is a vacant lot to the East and removal of the one-story garage to its West on 27 East Fourth Street presents

2	potential increase of instability. By virtue of it
3	having been constructed as a row house, the museum's
4	lack of full adjacent support by other buildings
5	makes it very fragile. There is precedence to my
6	community's concern about the structure being
7	adversely affected by construction next door since
8	demolition on the now vacant neighboring lot in the
9	late 1980's resulted in damage to the house.
10	Additionally, the interior original plaster work if
11	damaged irreparably reduces the historical value of
12	one of the best-preserved historical homes in our
13	city if not the nation. Since the first part of the
14	Zoning Committee hearing on these issues I have met
15	with the museum stakeholders and the development team
16	to discuss alternatives and updates. For example,
17	whether engineers from both sides were able to
18	establish a path forward. Unfortunately, engineers
19	have not been able to meet yet and therefore we are
20	left with the same differing evidence we had prior.
21	I'm looking forward to hearing additional information
22	from all parties today including members of the NoHo
23	community as we consider this contentious
24	application. Thank you.

2		COUNCIL	MEMBER	TORRES:	Counsel	swear	in
3	the panel.						
4		COMMITTE	EE CLERI	K: Before	respond	dina	

2.2

yes.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Before responding please hit your mic and state your name. Do you each swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you'll answer all questions truthfully?

MICHAEL KRAMER: Michael Kramer, yes.

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Jeremiah Candreva,

GARY SPINDLER: Gary Spindler, yes.
CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may proceed.

15 JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Thank you Mr.

Chairman, members of the Land Use Committee. My name is Jeremiah Candreva, I'm Land Use Council to the applicant Kaladop II Park Corporation. We're here before you with a proposal to construct a new 8 story contextual street wall building at 27 East Fourth Street. The development would be utilized for either office or hotel use above the level of the second story. The proposed uses to be located below the level of the second story include ground floor restaurant, hotel lobby or office lobby as well as

accessory back of the house hotel or office use and
restaurant use on the cellar level. As Council Member
Rivera mentioned the, the development site is
adjacent to the Merchant's House Museum and interior
and exterior individual landmark and because the site
is located in the NoHo historic district extension
the demolition of the existing building and the
construction of the proposed building require
Landmarks Commission approval which we received on
April the 8 th , 2014 when LPC voted to approve the
demolition of the existing structure and the
construction of the proposed building. Further, on
April the 6 th , 2018 Landmarks issued a design only
certificate of appropriateness for our proposed
building. Before the Committee today are three zoning
actions, a zoning text amendment as well as two
special permits. We presented those items to the
Subcommittee on Land Use at the last hearing, I
believe on September the 5 th . The text amendment
involves a an amendment to the provisions of 74-712
and the special permits involve a special permit
pursuant to 74-712(a) and 74-712(b) to permit a
height and setback waiver above the level of the
sixth story or 85 feet and essentially that is a. a

waiver for the 7^{th} and 8^{th} floor in the initial
setback distance and the sky exposure plain as well
as to permit on the ground floor commercial use,
hotel lobby as well as the restaurant. I'd like to
just briefly state the history of the amendments of
74-712 that have occurred prior; in 1997 the City
Planning Commission amended 74-712 to permit
modification of bulk modifications, accept FAR by
special permit on vacant lots within historic
districts. In its report 970654ZRY the commission
stated that it believes that the new tool would help
promote development of buildings that are more
contextual to historic districts and buildings that
might be developed on an as of right basis. This is
exactly what the applicant is proposing to do,
develop a landmark permission landmark preservation
commission approved contextual street wall building
with massing that is more consistent than that which
is available on an as of right basis. The provisions
of 74-712 have been amended for over the last 20
years to include use waivers and expand the list of
sites that are eligible for use and bulk by special
permit if those sites were in the historic district
located in the M1-5A and or M1-5B zoning districts.

Examples of those zoning amendments occurred in 2003
and 2006 under 030489ZRY, 060201ZRY to allow use and
bulk regulations on land with minor improvements or
on sites where not more than 20 percent were occupied
by an existing building. In the 2003 compendium
report by the City Planning Commission with respect
to the application for 465 Broadway, the Commission
noted that it does not believe that the replacement
of any of these buildings with new structures
approved by landmarks would be averse to the historic
district of contrary to public policy. Recent
approvals of new structures in historic districts by
the Landmarks Commission demonstrate how these can be
compatible with the historic character of the
district. The Commission further noted in 2006 in the
compendium report and special permit application for
311 West Broadway that it believes that the
modifications of bulk would be compatible with the
scale and characters of the surrounding SoHo
neighborhood, the Commission note noted that the
design of the building resulted from changes that
were made at the request of Landmarks and which led
to the subject request for bulk modifications and
that they respond to the scale and character of the

surrounding community. Again, this is exactly what
the applicant is proposing to do, develop a landmarks
preservation commission approved contextual street
wall building with massing that resulting in changes
that were made during the LPC review and approval
process. I also note of significance that the
commission, the Landmarks Preservation Commission
degree disagreed with the community board to
maintain existing street wall requirements and noted
that requiring buildings to be built pursuant to the
proposed text to comply with underlying M1-5 height
and setback would conflict with the original intent
of this section. Lastly, other examples of text
amendments to 74-712 occurred in 2013 and 2016 in
which the Commission stated in it's consideration of
it's application for 300 Lafayette Street that it
believed that vacant lots and underutilized sites in
these areas detract from the fabric of SoHo cast iron
and NoHo historic districts and that allowing both
modifications for the use and bulk regulations by
special permit would facilitate development on vacant
and underutilized sites and help strengthen the
historic character district's built character. And
lastly and the most recent application in 2016

2.2

amendment to 74-712 with respect to the property located at 150 Brewster Street the Commission noted that the expanded applicability of the zoning text would provide enhanced opportunities to fill in gaps along SoHo's mid blocks and avenues, three- and fourfoot scales, street wall continuity and predominate built out character. Again, this is exactly what the applicant is proposing to do, fill in gaps that exist from the existing one-story mid-block building and replace it with a new Landmarks Preservation

Commission approved contextual street wall building. I'd like to turn the mic over to my colleague Michael Kramer.

MICHAEL KRAMER: Okay, thank you Jed. I guess we should start by stating for the record that we all like the Merchant's House and nobody is trying to do any damage to the Merchant's House and that we will be a better neighbor to the Merchant's House when the new building is built, the new building being the building that you see to the... exactly to the West of the Merchant's House an eight story building. It was originally designed as a ten-story building, it's gone down to nine and now eight stories. We know that the community is very upset

about this and we want to completely address the
issues that you're upset about. We went to the
Merchant's House website, call to arms and we learned
that the Merchant's House would suffer catastrophic
structural damage and likely collapse during
construction. We think there's a lot of
misinformation that's out there and we think we can
trace the misinformation to a 2012 report that was
done by the geotechnical engineers that stated that
they had concerns about construction next to the
Merchant's House when the applicant was proposing a
ten-story building. This building has been under
design and under review since 2011, it's gone through
every city agency that it had to go through and when
it went through all those different city agencies the
plan was improved. The plan was improved so and we
and this is something that we, we described at the
last hearing on September the $5^{\rm th}$, we won't bore you
with the details of the extraordinary methods of
construction that we will undertake in order to make
sure that we don't have a problem with the Merchant's
House, it's not in our best interest to have a
problem with the Merchant's House. The problem we
have is that the Merchant's House has been crying

wolf, if, if that's the right word, since that 2012
report and we've been trying to get the engineers to
talk to each other because their engineering staff
needs to hear from our engineering staff to
understand the extraordinary methods that we will
undertake to do the construction. We finally heard
from their engineering staff at two minutes of five
o'clock last Friday, September the $14^{\rm th}$ and they
asked us for the new data to update a report that was
done in 2012, another words all of this hyperbola is
about a report that no longer exists on a project
that never happened so, we want to prepare you to
realize that the proposal before you today is for a
smaller and lighter commercial building. Our
construction plan has been vetted by the Department
of Buildings, Parks, Landmarks and three outside
firms. There are plenty of examples, there are lots
of historic preservations in this audience, there are
plenty of examples of new buildings that have been
carefully constructed adjacent to landmark sites. The
second concern that Merchant's House has, has
publicized is that the zoning text amendment is
illegal spot zoning benefiting the developers alone,
Jed just described all these other examples when

their applications have been approved, let's remember
that the City Planning Commission last month
unanimously approved this project, they would not
unanimously approve an illegal spot zoning and
Community Board two, many months ago, was presented
by us of many of the information about many
successful applications for zoning text amendments
and they're very they're very experienced in this
particular zoning text amendment. The third call to
arms was that an eight-story hotel is undesirable and
unnecessary at this location, that the community
neither wants nor needs another hotel. Now let's
remind everybody that we are proposing an eight-story
commercial building, we haven't finalized what it's
going to be, and it replaces a one-story non-
contributing eyesore. This nobody is going to make
the argument that this contributes to the NoHo
historic district extension and is, is consistent
with such, it's a gapped tooth and it's really a
building that is out of date. Our design has been
approved by LPC as being consistent with the
aesthetics and the public benefit is that we're going
to create many more jobs here which will
significantly increase the value of this site for

real estate tax purposes and when you have an
economic engine you're generating income for the city
treasury which can be used for public benefits. The
next concern was that the developer could shift the
hotel project around the corner to 403 Lafayette
removing all risk to the Merchant's House. Now back
in 2004 which is when we started this process, we've
owned this building for over 20 years, we've been a
good neighbor to the Merchant's House for over 20
years, we know the people very well. In 2004, we went
to Landmarks and we were discouraged about shifting
all the available air rights to 403 Lafayette Street,
if we had not been discouraged back then and told too
that we should focus our attention on 27 East Fourth
Street none of us would be in this room today. Let's
remember that the parking lot at 403 Lafayette Street
is very successful and provides a neighborhood
benefit to neighborhood people, the garage at 27 East
Fourth Street houses hot dog vendor carts which
frankly provides no public benefits. The building is
more than 80 years old, it's functionally obsolete,
it was originally a gas station with automotive
repairs, I don't think you want us to go back to
that, there's data about whether the two lots are

contiguous which is a, a zoning technique to transfer
the air rights between two different buildings and if
we were to enlist the help of our neighbors at 25
East Fourth Street it's, it's doubtful because
they've already told us in, in no… in very clear
words that they oppose our project and they're
protecting their own self-interest, it was a joint
living, working building, loft tenants, artists and
you have lot line windows there so there is always
the possibility that you're not going to have the
appropriate light and air for the bedrooms that you
might have built in that in that building. So, it's
just not reasonable for us to expect that we're going
to get the cooperation from the next-door neighbor.
With all that said, it's not unusual for Merchant's
House to have work done both inside and outside of
the building. Back in 2010 there was a 598,000 dollar
grant from the Parks Department and, and I'm sure it
was from Rosie, the city Council Member which allowed
them to do major repairs to the building; there have
been emergency maintenance, there has been ongoing
maintenance in 2011, 2015, broken windows, temporary
tarps, vibration monitoring work, boiler repairs,
appraisal for pre-construction documentation. Back in

2015, we thought that we were at the point where we
were going to reach an agreement, a licensing
agreement that defined how we were going to do the
construction with the Merchant's House and how we
could help mitigate any inconvenience that would
cause and their lawyer wrote up the, the licensing
agreement and then they fired their lawyer and we
haven't gotten anywhere since. So, we're, we're
frustrated here, we have the Parks Department who
have told us that as long as we do a comprehensive
pre-construction condition survey that we can move
ahead, we haven't been granted access for a pre-
construction condition survey. We have been told that
the Landmarks routinely approves new construction
adjacent to historic buildings as long as it occurs
safely and appropriately, we would love for our
engineers to be talking to their engineers so that we
could do so. We filed all the necessary protection
plans, we've heard from the Landmarks that the
excavation will has to be supervised by professional
licensed engineers. The licensee the licensed
engineering firm that we work with is recommended by
the New York Landmarks Conservancy under their find a
professional engineer category. We're doing

everything we're being asked to do, Buildings says
that as a condition of approval we have a registered
design professional engineer acceptable to Parks and
DOB. To summarize, we've been trying to get this
project moved forward from 2011, we've worked with
all of the city agencies, all of the elected
officials and you can't convince me otherwise that
this building is such a contributing, beautiful
building that it should stay there, it's an eyesore.
Before we start construction activities we expect to
enter into an industry standard commercially
reasonable construction protection agreement with our
neighbors, that's both on the… on the, the
residential loft side, building side as well as
Merchant's House. On August the 13 th we met with the
Council Member Rivera and she asked that we
facilitate a meeting between our engineers and the
Merchant's House… the Merchant's House engineers and
that still hasn't happened. We've offered to engage
and fund a geotechnical engineer who prepared, I'm
sorry, the report for Merchant's, Merchant's House in
2012, that needs to be updated as it does not reflect
the proposal that you see before you today that
everybody has gotten upset about. We got our response

2.2

from Merchant's House at 4:48 p.m. on Friday, we responded at 7:53 in the morning on Saturday. We're local residents, we understand the importance of making a commercial building a good neighbor, we've gone to extraordinary lengths to come up with a construction plan that has been vetted by three separate firms. The project is now literally shovel ready, it needs final approvals, there's a lot of misinformation that has been fed to you that has brought you here today and we wish to clarify the record. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you for your testimony, we'll proceed to questions, Council Member Rivera.

your presentation. I have a, a lot of questions and I know that you went through somewhat of a presentation the last time at the last hearings, so I want to thank you for being here again and going over everything. So, let's talk a little bit about what you would do during construction since we are hearing this application today. So, would you agree that any additional above the... any additional bulk above the as or right would potentially cause more soil to

2.2

shift than the as of right scenario and can you tell
me about the procedures that you'd follow when the
vibration or survey reached the trigger values and
how would you go about making sure that, again you're
a good neighbor during construction given the
instability?

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Yes, well yeah, again we, we... last time, you know we, we were here we brought our engineer team with us and today we figured we'd keep the presentation short so we did not bring the team with us so I will answer as best I can but I would assume that when the trigger monitors go off all construction stops, the site safety super on site will conduct a, a visual analysis, engineers would be called in. I don't know... myself I don't know what the protocol is in getting the DOB involved but I believe the monitoring system... the monitoring system we proposed will also notify the DOB at the same time we get notification, it's a... it's an advanced monitoring situation that will send out emails to the appropriate parties.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, it, it sounds... and from... based again on the presentation that we had last time that a lot of people weren't

2 here for, your engineer clearly has experience with 3 preservation and historic houses?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Yes, GMS is on the list of, of Landmark's preferred engineer teams.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And so, you're aware of the damage that occurred during 1988 in that demolition, how would this be any different?

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: That demolition was done by a cowboy, it was done partially unauthorized, very quickly and they did not stop when they were notified of potential damage ongoing to the Merchant's House Museum. Our... again, we had a 2012 design building that when we started to work with the Parks Department and DOB completely changed; the foundation changed from a full foundation underpinning the Merchant's House Museum, taking down our east wall to our old building, the complete design has changed, we now have a step back foundation, we're, we're leaving our old wall in place to increase the stability of the Merchant's House Museum, we are no longer underpinning the Merchant's House because of that step back foundation, we're actually... our foundation is going to be about seven feet away of the Merchant's House

foundation keeping it out of the angle of influence,
minimizing any effects to the Merchant's House
Museum. We are also building we're also going to
excavate using hand tools, we are going to keep that
one story structure in place during that excavation
and foundation that will minimize any damage, if you
most of us walk by construction sites on a regular
basis and when it rains you see all the puddles that
form underneath, those puddles ultimately will cause
settlement or damage to the adjoining buildings by
keeping the rainwater out of our exposed pit will
definitely minimize any effects to the Merchant's
House Museum and so we're you know we're confident
that if the engineers met like they were supposed to
do about a month ago if they met and traded comments
or looked at our new building and accepted our
analysis they would, would agree we've gone above and
beyond and that the plan has changed immensely since
2012.

MICHAEL KRAMER: Let me just add that between 2011 and 2013 when the Parks Department renovation took place at Merchant's House they stayed open and let me also add, at least to the best of my

2 memory that they were open even though there was 3 significant damage done by DEP and, and the cowboys.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I, I want to clarify your statement from the last hearing date, I asked if you would commit to using the same construction methods and mitigation as you were currently proposing should your application be disapproved by the council today, well not today, the vote is not today everyone, will you commit to following all the guidelines you have proposed in an as of right construction scenario?

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: You know after I made that statement I went back and discussed it with my, my partners and my team and they said we would have to take consideration to minimize any, any damage to the Merchant's House but we'd have to take also a look at the, the funds and, and what kind of building is ultimately approved at the site for an as of right condition, either way we'd still have to go back through Landmarks so they're going to have some control over that type of construction and foundation.

2.2

1

2

3

4

site?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And if your application is disapproved what could you build on

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: If the application... if we go back to Landmarks and the applications is approved we can build a six story building up to 85 feet before we get a setback, if Landmarks approves the setback we set the building back at six stories or 85 feet whichever comes first and then we can build up from there, you know we can build a 12 or 14 story building, you know not that Landmarks would probably approve it but with... you know up to six stories or 85 feet is an as of right building and we're here, we're, we're… we, we… the proposed building which is approved by Landmarks is eight stories, 90 feet so we're just asking for a little bit of relief at this point.

MICHAEL KRAMER: Sure, and let me also add that building an as of right building or building the building that is before you today requires the same foundation.

> JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, as you know the Borough President, community groups,

preservationists they've expressed support for some
type of development at your other property at 403
Lafayette Street and I know that you briefly
mentioned about the lots and how difficult that could
be, so you had expressed doubts because you didn't
believe LPC would approve such a development and you
didn't think that you can move this type of building
over to Lafayette which I think most people here
would agree is a little bit more appropriate for, for
a building of this height. So, I want to ask you
know we received a lot of letters from groups like
Lower East Side Preservation Initiative, from the
Salmagundi Club, from the NoHo Bid, the Bowery
Alliance of Neighbors, the Co-chair of CB2's Land Use
Committee, East Fourth Street Block Association,
historic districts council all supporting this idea
for the move of 403 to 403 Lafayette Street so why
would you not consider such an option when these
groups, some of the strongest advocates on
preservation issues have indicated that they would
work with you and they would support you throughout
the agency approval process?

GARY SPINDLER: May I just jump in and just a slight correction, we're talking about an

2.2

eight-story building existing at... or to be built at 27 East, East Fourth, it's not going to be a small building, it'll be, you know very much consistent with the streetscape of East Fourth Street. The building next to us is a nine-story building, the building next to that is an eight-story building, eight stories is consistent with the streetscape is all I'm trying to say, it's not a small building.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And on Lafayette there's a ten-story building so...

GARY SPINDLER: Yes, thank you.

at the urging and support doesn't bring guarantees and until somebody says there's a guarantee at Landmarks, we're going to work with you, we're going to get you a building that, that ultimately, not just a building just to get a building but that will work for the future, that the building will be able to be a, a feasible building to maintain and to, you know lease up but I haven't heard any, any guarantees yet and here we have a project that the building we bought before was landmarked, we get Landmarks so now we took it through Landmarks, got approved with the help of DOB and the Parks Department, City Planning

says the text amendment that we're seeking is not out		
of character, they voted to give us the text		
amendment so here we are we're at like the goal line		
and so just like Michael said the, the project is		
shovel ready and we're prepared to begin the project.		
I also want to state that besides the continuity		
issues, 403 Lafayette is an ongoing business, there's		
a business there, it services the community residents		
and business owners, we have several hundred vehicles		
that park there, we have a rent-a-car that helps		
benefit the community, there is no short term plan at		
the moment for that building so that's why we're		
focusing on 27 East Fourth Street. I've mentioned in		
the past that I'm willing and I'm open to the idea of		
transferring the air rights to 403 Lafayette, but we		
need to have something approved here today and then		
we can continue those discussions once there's other		
guarantees in place.		

MICHAEL KRAMER: And if I might also add that Council Member Rivera I believe the Council... the Committee's Council that's sitting at the dance with you has advised you that the transfer of air rights to 403 is not possible given the lack of continuity between our parcel and 403 in that any potential

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 transfer of air rights from 27 East Fourth Street to 3 403 Lafayette would require an additional parcel of property that would make a contiguous area of at 4 least ten linear feet with 403 Lafayette Street and until such time as the continuity issue is resolved 6 7 it is not possible under our stead, we would have to have an agreement with 25 East Fourth Street to 8 provide us as a pass through lot to establish 9 continuity and that is I think something that is the 10 only pathway forward if continuity is to be 11 12 established but it, it does not exist today within 13 our own parcels. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Do you co-own the 15 lot behind the building, do you pay... [cross-talk] 16 MICHAEL KRAMER: Excuse... [cross-talk] 17 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: ...taxes on ... 18 [cross-talk] MICHAEL KRAMER: ...me... [cross-talk] 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: ...the lot, the alley way? 21 2.2 MICHAEL KRAMER: That I don't know, you 23 know we, we get the tax bill for 403 and we get a tax bill for 27 East Fourth, we do not get any additional 24

tax bill for any type of easement or whatever, you

know the alley way, I mean it's... there's a... I think it's called Stable Court and Shinbone Alley, I'm not sure what... if we pay any taxes on those.

2.2

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: I'm not quite sure of the relevance of the question Council Member, I can tell you... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Let me just...
[cross-talk]

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: ...that... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: ...hold on... hold on one second... [cross-talk]

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Yeah...

got to give a whole thing just earlier and, and tell me what my council told me, so let me also remind you what I told you in our meeting on Friday, which is as impossible as the transfer is to 403, I, I disagree with that statement, I think that... I told you that I would support you, I agree nothing is guaranteed but with some patience and political will and the support of the groups that are here today who all want to see the project move to 403 I think that we could eventually get there. I realize that that would be some time and maybe you're not interested but what I

want to get on record is that I told you that based
on all of the letters that I've received and all of
the groups that are involved who want to see this
project move to 403 there is a pathway so it's not
impossible. I realize that you want a show of good
faith and, and a yes on this application but that's
not how this would work so I just want to be clear
about that and I, I appreciate you answering
questions at the last hearing and on this hearing, I
really do appreciate your time in trying to go into
some of the technicalities of it and what it would
take so I, I just want to say thank you, thank you
for all the answers to the questions, I know that
you're looking at East Fourth Street, you're going to
hear and I encourage you to stay for all of the
testimony, you're going to hear from people today on
how much the Merchant's House Museum means to them
and why they would support a move to 403 so I just,
again I want to thank you for, for answering all of
the questions and, and at this time I have no further
questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Council Member... and we've been joined by Council Member Grodenchik

2.2

who has questions but also the Land Use Chair,
Council Member Rafael Salamanca.

I'm sorry I was a little late this morning. I, I just... as Parks Chair I'm very concerned that, you know we have a, a structure that's closing in on 200 years old, it looks to me like a masonry structure and it... I'm just concerned about given the proximity which couldn't be any closer to the... your proposed building, the protections for that and how you're going to guarantee to the people of the city of New York who own this building that, you know we're not going to be damaging this structure.

DEREMIAH CANDREVA: Once again we didn't bring out our professional engineers with us today, there is... have been ongoing meetings with the Parks, Parks Department, it's... I believe it's in the package, wrote a letter outlining the steps to be taken to, to move forward and once again we will follow all the guidelines recommended by both DOB, Parks Department and any third-party engineers to minimize the effects on Merchant's House Museum.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Well, well...

25 [cross-talk]

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: And just as, as we stated earlier we're not... we're not inventing the wheel here, there have been new buildings constructed next to landmarked structures.

understand it's an old city and it happens every day,

I am concerned that if there was major damage done to
this property it's not replaceable obviously and I
don't know what your liability would be but you can't
really replace a building like this because you just
don't know if there was a major accident god forbid
or something like that...

MICHAEL KRAMER: Sure, we have the same concern, which is why our plan was vetted by three other outside firms and why each city agency put us through the wringer before they told us that they would allow us to do this, this project. So, you know we, we... there's misinformation out here, I'm sorry that you came a little late... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I, I, I haven't heard the misinformation if it is misinformation because, you know I got 400 things to do every day as I'm sure my colleagues do as well I'm

just expressing my opinion as the Chair of the Parks
Committee because... [cross-talk]

2.2

MICHAEL KRAMER: Right... [cross-talk]

job is to protect... I work very closely with

Commissioner Silver and his staff, but I also have an independent point of view as does the council, so I just wanted to put that out there. I appreciate your concern for the building, but I thought it was good to put on the record this morning and I thank you Mr. Chairman for indulging me. Thank you, gentleman.

MICHAEL KRAMER: I'd, I'd just like to add one more thing, as you walk through the Merchant's House Museum we all know that the house has been negotiated with over the years; repairs made, electrical equipment added so should... again, should something happen to the house a repair would be made just like any other construction site we will have liability insurance in place that they can tap into. Like, like Michael said we will enter into a licensing agreement with them that will outline the type of insurance that we all agree on so once again I want to remind you that all across the globe things happen to preserved buildings and repairs are made

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 and it's an ongoing process and nobody is saying that 3 if no building is build there that tomorrow some of 4 the plaster might not fall down. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: No, listen anything is possible... [cross-talk] 6 7 MICHAEL KRAMER: Exactly. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: We, we all lived... [cross-talk] 9 10 JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Anything is possible... 11 [cross-talk] 12 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: ...through 13 Sandy we get that, and I know we can take acts of God 14 because we can't repel them but I just... acts of 15 mankind are different but I appreciate that, and I 16 appreciate your understanding of my concerns, thank 17 you very much. MICHAEL KRAMER: Right and, and I would 18 just add that you know we, we've not had the 19 20 opportunity to do a pre-construction survey of the 21 interior of, of Merchant's House, we would certainly 2.2 encourage the Parks Department to do so as well, we 23 would... we'd share that information with them because

if nothing else this project has brought... has been

brought to the attention of Parks Department, I know

24

2 Parks has... I don't it's 150 historic buildings under
3 their... under their... [cross-talk]

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I don't think it's that many but it's a lot.

MICHAEL KRAMER: It's a lot... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And, and each one of them is different.

MICHAEL KRAMER: And, and this is... and this is, you know a building that has not been funded by Parks in any significant manner since 2010 so I'm sure that, you know the, the, the opportunity to bring the problems of Merchant's House to light are opportunities the government is hearing as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Well Parks

Department funding is a whole... that's the next

hearing later today, actually it's not about that but

it is a concern of mine obviously, there is a lot of

money in the... in the pipeline, over four billion

dollars over the next ten years but it is an ongoing

concern of mine, certainly my colleagues and I thank

you all for your concern as well. Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I have... I have a quick question, so your engineers have found that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there would be no impact on the structural integrity then the Merchant's House engineers have come to the opposite conclusion, has there been a finding from the engineers of either the Landmarks Preservation Commission or the Parks Department that your construction would have no bearing on the structural integrity of the adjacent property?

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Once, once again, you know we met at Council Rivera's office I believe around August 13th, she urged the engineers to focus on some outside or open comments, we at that time we learned that they were basing a lot of decisions off a 2012 plan with settlement that they thought might cause damage to the ... to the Merchant's House Museum since then we've redesigned the building as I explained before and the foundation and we were urged to share that information with the Merchant's House Museum. On August 15th I reached out and I said I would... I would be... I would engage their third-party consultant to do this review to move the project forward or to move the discussion forward so that all engineers would meet, none... on August 20th, I racked from Council Member Rivera's office also urged them to keep the process moving not until September $14^{\rm th}$

2 at a... just before five o'clock did we hear anything...
3 [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yeah, I'm, I'm asking a separate question... [cross-talk]

JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Yes... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: ...so, I'm asking, have the city's engineers independent of both parties found that your construction would have no bearing on the structural integrity of Merchant's House?

MICHAEL KRAMER: We have a... we have approved plans from the Department of Buildings, the Senior Chief Engineer, Timothy Lynch was the gentleman who issued the letter to us and made some recommendations as a condition for that approval, that approval has been made and the Merchant's House engineering firm that reached out to us at two minutes of five, well on Friday said it would facilitate their update of the settlement analysis if we could have the most recent design loads imposed on this soil at the bottom of the map foundation which we're using the settlement announcement by GIS or you... your geotechnical consultant could you share those with us last minute.

2.2

1	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But, but it
3	sounds to me like there was never a finding either
4	from LPC or the Parks Department that your
5	construction would have no adverse impact on
6	Merchant's… [cross-talk]
7	JEREMIAH CANDREVA: They approved our
8	plans.
9	MICHAEL KRAMER: Well they we don't
10	have… [cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Does [cross-
12	talk]
13	MICHAEL KRAMER:final approved plans
14	but… [cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES:does [cross-
16	talk]
17	MICHAEL KRAMER:they, they [cross-
18	talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Does the was the
20	approval based on a finding, was there an actual
21	finding that your construction would have no impact
22	[cross-talk]
23	MICHAEL KRAMER: The senior engineers
24	went through our plans and worked with us as were as

well as Landmarks.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCITSES
2	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yeah but
3	Landmarks was commenting wasn't commenting on the
4	structural integrity of Merchant's [cross-talk]
5	MICHAEL KRAMER: No, that [cross-talk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES:or the façade.
7	JEREMIAH CANDREVA: The Buildings
8	Department has that responsibility and Tim Lynch was
9	working with us on it.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Uh-huh. Any
11	further questions? Okay
12	[off mic dialogue]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay, this panel
14	is dismissed, thank you very much, we're going to
15	pause for a moment to take a vote. We will vote to
16	approve LU's 199 and 200, the 57 Caton Place rezoning
17	application in Council Member Lander's district in
18	Brooklyn. Applicant, 57 Caton Partners LLC seeks a
19	rezoning from C8-2 to R7A/C2-4 to facilitate the
20	development of a nine-story mixed use building with
21	approximately 107 apartments and ground floor retail

the special Ocean Parkway district tax which would result in approximately 27 affordable units. We will also vote to approve LU's 201 and 202, the 1881-1883

MIH option one is also proposed with an amendment to

McDonald Avenue rezoning application for property
located in Council Member Yeger's district in
Brooklyn. Applicant Quintin Plaza LLC seeks to rezone
property from R5 to R7A/C2-4 and to apply MIH option
one and two to the rezoning area to facilitate a new
eight story building with approximately 35 apartments
and ground floor commercial space with approximately
11 affordable units under MIH option two. We will
vote to approve LU 207, the O'Neil's rezoning for
property located in Council Member Holden's district
in Queens. While the property in the rezoning area is
currently rezoned R4, the rezone to R5D, R5D/C2-2 and
R4/C2-2 would bring existing buildings into zoning
compliance and as to the project site located on the
corner of $53^{\rm rd}$ Drive and $65^{\rm th}$ Place would allow the
enlargement of O'Neil's restaurant with a second-
floor catering. I now call for a vote to approve LU's
199, 200, 201, 202, and 207; counsel please call the
roll.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Reynoso?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Richards?

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARD LOBELS: Aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Rivera?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Aye.

2.2

COMMITTEE CLERK: Torres?

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Grodenchik?

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Lancman?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCOUNCIL MEMBERAN: Aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: The Land Use Items are approved by a vote of six in the affirmative, no negatives and no abstentions and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: We are going to resume the hearing on LU's 203, 204 and 205, the 27 East Fourth Street application. I will call up the next panel; State Senator Brad Hoylman and Tara Duvivier on behalf of Gale Brewer, Borough President Gale Brewer. Senator thank you for joining us.

BRAD HOYLMAN: Thank you, thank you, it's good to be here. I'm, I'm State Senator Brad Hoylman, I represent the 27th Senate District and I'm a colleague of Council Member Rivera and very proud to serve with her and to be here today. Ordinarily it's, it's tough for all the legislators to get down to City Hall but I feel like this is such an important

issue to my constituents and I felt very, very moved
by the fact that our history is potentially slipping
out from under us. As you know there is a lot history
with the Merchant's House, the fact that it was the
first designated New York City residential landmark
in, in and of itself I think deserves particular
attention and, and appreciation by the by the city
of New York. It really is a, a miraculous survivor of
the past, it's 186-year-old time capsule, I, I don't
know how many of your colleagues Council Member
Rivera have actually toured it, but it is a
remarkable, remarkable survivors and we should all be
proud as New York City residents that we protected it
up to now. I want to say that I was alarmed by some
of the testimony that we heard just a few minutes
ago, in particular the comment that should something
happen to the house repairs will be made. Well that's
exactly what we have to safeguard against and this is
not a case of things happening as the developer said,
this is a case of us making sure that things don't
happen and the reason I oppose this application in
addition to the fact that I believe there hasn't been
a full examination of the dangers to the Merchant's
House, the Merchant's House as you've heard has been

attempting to meet with design professionals under
the guidance of Council Member Rivera and the
developer but hasn't made any progress so why on
earth would we be approving this application if the
two sides have not come to some agreement as to how
to safeguard the property. Secondly, as you know this
is a one-of-a-kind property, there really is no other
example like it in New York City and it's not just
the exterior that's so phenomenal but the interiors
which are one of kind. During the tour that I took
the staff of Merchant's House showed us the cracks in
the walls that existed since that 1988 debacle and
there is concern that those cracks are expanding even
further so we have to make sure that that does not
happen. Third, the community board as I know you know
has unanimously rejected the developer's proposed
text amendment and I have to say for a community
board to unanimously agree on anything is a rarity
but, in this case, they feel so strongly like I do
about the Merchant's House that they are united in
opposition. Fourth, you have here testimony from the
Borough President who has been a champion on historic
preservation issues and I think alone merits
rejection to this application. And fifth as was

2.1

2.2

discussed, there's another location, 403 Lafayette would be workable, it's owned by the same developer and it would actually provide a larger hotel that wouldn't require a text amendment and wouldn't imperial this city, state and national treasure. So, for all those reasons I urge rejection of this application and there is time for us to reconsider it once the parties get together and determine how to proceed forward but now is not that time, you know I'm the father of a one year old and a seven year old and to me this kind of history is one of the reasons why I'm in public office which is to ensure that they get to enjoy these kinds of treasures like the rest of us have. Thank you very much.

[applause]

SERGEANT AT ARMS: No applause please.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yeah...

TARA DUVIVIER: Alright, my name is Tara

Duvivier, I'm here to give testimony on behalf of

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer. Good morning

Chair Torres and members of the Subcommittee, thank

you for the opportunity to testify on the proposed

project at 27 East Fourth Street. In order to satisfy

the requirement of the special permit, the applicant

must demonstrate to our satisfaction that the
proposed development would have minimal adverse
effect, effects on conforming uses in the surrounding
areas. The location of this proposed development in a
historic district and adjacent to a beloved and
fragile landmark makes this very challenging. The
proposed project is adjacent to the Merchant's House
Museum which is a historic landmark that is nearly
200 years old. The age of the building, the
structural condition and concern over the impact of
the construction and the of the proposed development
could have on the exterior and interior of the museum
must be considered carefully. While the applicant has
been working with the museum since 2014 to mitigate
any potential damage if its project were to proceed,
there's still no agreement on whether or not these
efforts would be effective or sufficient given the
condition of the museum building. At the present
moment the museum building's owner, the Parks
Department has not taken on any capital needs
assessment of the building and acknowledges that such
an assessment is needed, the Borough President
believes that therefore before the applicant the
current application is approved an independent

assessment conducted by an expert in historic
properties must be conducted under the auspices of
Parks and the application should not move forward
unless a construction plan is developed that has an
extremely high probability of success without damage
to the museum. However, before those steps are taken
the Borough President strongly believes another route
may be may prove advantageous. During review of this
application it was discovered that the applicant also
owns another property within the same zoning lot
which is 403 Lafayette Street, it is presently a
three-story parking garage and preliminary
conversations between this office and the New York
City Department of City Planning indicates that a
transfer of development rights is possible depending
on what the applicant were to propose to construct.
Landmarks Preservation Commission would also need to
evaluate whether or not a building at this new site
with the transfer of development rights would be
appropriate. The office believes this, this location
of this alternate site is more appropriate for hotel
use by the applicant given the size of the lot and
the location on a major street. While this office
sympathizes with the applicant at the thought of

starting over with a new site, this alternative could
also benefit the applicant as they would be able to
build a bigger project therefore the Manhattan
Borough President recommends disapproval until the
applicant makes all reasonable efforts to develop the
project at 403 Lafayette Street. If the applicant is
unable to develop on the alternate site after
exhausting all efforts then the city of New York
through the Department of Parks and Recreation should
obtain an independent expert assessment that should
result in a plan to ensure the structural stability
of the museum building throughout construction of the
project prior to excuse me, final approval of the
application. There should be a restrictive
declaration as a condition of the special permit and
that declaration should require that the development
the developer should adhere to conditions and
safeguards to minimize adverse effects on the
adjacent Merchant's House. The Department of Parks
and Recreation should also be responsible for
overseeing implementation of the conditions and
safeguards to ensure the stability of the museum is a
treasured landmark for generations to come.

1	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you for
3	your testimony, any questions Council Member Rivera?
4	Yeah
5	BRAD HOYLMAN: Thank you.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you so
7	much.
8	BRAD HOYLMAN: Thank you very much.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: The next panel
10	will consist of Margaret Gardiner, who's the
11	Executive Director of the Merchant's House; Arthur
12	Goldstein, representing the Merchant's House; Michael
13	Hiller, representing the Merchant's House; Justin
14	Spivey, an engineer. Forgive my mispronunciation,
15	Curt Hirschberg, Preservation Architect.
16	[off mic dialogue]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And Howard Weiss,
18	representing the Merchant's House. Okay. Absolutely,
19	you may proceed.
20	MARGARET GARDINER: Good morning, my name
21	is Margaret Gardiner known as Pi and I've been
22	Director of the Merchant's House Museum for almost 30
23	years which means that I know it very, very well. I

am starting off and I probably don't need to remind

you, but I will of exactly what the city has at stake

24

2	here today. The Merchant's House is a unique and
3	irreplaceable New York City landmark and cultural
4	institution, it's significance in the history of the
5	city is undisputed, it is also much beloved and
6	extremely fragile, a museum open to the public since
7	1936, it is the city's only family home preserved
8	intact from the 19 th century complete with the
9	family's furnishings and personal possessions even
10	their clothes. As such, it offers visitors a view of
11	domestic life in New York's in 19 th century New York
12	that is unparalleled in its authenticity. Of no
13	surprise the late federal and Greek revival
14	Merchant's House has every landmark designation under
15	the sun; federal, state and city. In New York City it
16	was among the first 20 landmarks designated and
17	Manhattan's first in 1965. It is one of only 120
18	interior landmarks in the city and one of only six
19	residences. It's ornamental plaster work is
20	considered the finest surviving from the period and
21	when those monitors go off the damage is done, it's
22	irreplaceable. Now let me outline the many, many
23	reasons to vote no. First, if approved construction
24	of the eight-story hotel next door would in fact
25	cause irreversible damage to and the possible

collapse of the Merchant's House Museum of the
museum's extremely fragile 186-year-old building
which already has a decades long history of damage
caused by work at adjoining properties. To the west
in 1946, the four story 1826 building was demolished,
and the one-story garage there today erected, in
exposing our west wall our west party wall that
demolition, demolition ensured decades of future
restoration work. Currently, the wall needs 350,000
dollars in critical repairs before a shovel could go
in the ground next door. As a city capital project,
the timeline is a long one, work isn't likely to
begin for close to four years. The buildings to the
east were demolished in 1988 causing major structural
damage, a cost to us of one million dollars. At that
time every city agency just like today was involved
and the developers promised, and I quote, "to take
the building next door down brick by brick, hand
tools", they didn't do that, it caused a major
structural damage to us and at a cost of a million
dollars. Second, even if all risks could be removed
and it cannot, as a museum in the public trust we
would still have to close for two years in order to
ensure protection i.e. removal and archival storage

of our collection of 3,000 items and to undertake the
stabilization of the landmark interior. Third, the
proposed zoning text amendment is illegal spot zoning
and finally, and I think this is the good news as
we've been hearing today, we believe there is a
viable solution. The developer could build a larger
thus more profitable hotel on his lot around the
corner at 403 Lafayette Street. This option has
strong support from the community as Council Member
Rivera said. Let me reiterate, Council community
board number two, Greenwich Village Society of
Historic Preservation, historic districts council,
Bowery Alliance of Neighbors, East Fourth Street
Block Association, NoHo bid, Lower East Side
Preservation Initiative and the Elizabeth Street
Garden to start and obviously shifting the location
to Lafayette Street would of course remove all risks
to the Merchant's House. Thank you.

JUSTIN SPIVEY: Good morning. My name is

Justin Spivey, I'm with WJE Engineers and Architects,

consultants to the Merchant's House Museum over the

past six years and I've provided a letter for your

review today that's the fifth in a series of letters

over the past six years documenting our efforts to

reach agreement with the developer's consultants over
reasonable protective measures for the Merchant's
House Museum during construction and I think you can
see from the number of open issues in that letter
that we've met with less than complete success to
reach agreement. A phrase that I use a lot in the
letter is to limit the risk and it's important to
understand that while we cannot completely eliminate
risks during construction we can limit them, the
question whether they can be limited to an acceptable
level and it's my understanding from hearing some of
the other testimony here in the previous hearings
that any loss of irreplaceable historic fabric at the
Merchant's House Museum would be unacceptable. So, as
we've continued to work with the developer's
consultants to evaluate their plans on paper we've
addressed issues from vibration, ground movement,
water infiltration, everything down to dropped tools
still not completely satisfied the proposed measures
are acceptable. Furthermore it's, it's there's a
distinction between plans that are made on paper and
agreed to before construction starts, it's another
thing entirely the success of implementing the agreed
upon measures once construction starts and what is

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

done if things do not go as predicted or planned. So, in conclusion we've been unable to reach agreement about the measures required to limit risks to an acceptable level and we'll continue those efforts but I just, you know am not confident based on six years of history, five review letters written that we will get to such a point. Thank you.

KURT HIRSCHBERG: Good morning, Kurt Hirschberg with Jan Hird Pokorny Associates, we've been the preservation architects for the Merchant's House Museum since 1989 and I personally have been working on the building for over 21 years at this point. Protection during construction aside, one thing we're very concerned with is vibration and settlement. All of you have a copy of information that came out of a report that was done by a very prestigious structural engineering firm that analyzed the settlement of the development next door, the proposed loading of the soil and the proposed impact on the structure and I must stress in spite of what was said earlier, this documentation has been updated, it has been updated at least twice based on revisions to the proposed building next door. One thing you will see in here basically doing a finite

analysis of the building, it looks at the loading of
the soil, how that changing of the loading of the
soil will cause additional settlement and how that
settlement will act on the building and based on that
you can get a computer generated probability of
movement and settlement within the building and we're
able to with some degree of accuracy identify
locations where cracking will occur. The predominate
areas of the building to receive damage are the
ground floor, the first floor and the second floor
which are the three most significant historically
interpreted spaces within the building. You will see
especially on the ground floor and the first-floor
significant damage to plaster finishes will occur. It
was also noted earlier that the building has received
repair, yes, any building of that age has received
repair. The location specifically that are being
called out as likely candidates for receiving damage
as a result of the building once it's complete are
areas that in most instances are untouched and have
not been repaired, they are original materials and in
most of these locations these materials are in good
condition right now, they have been well maintained
and well preserved. We've looked at it independently

both the exterior walls and how the masonry will
react as a result to settlement, it's also been
looked at the interior walls and how wood frame walls
of plaster will react and no matter how you look at
it there will be damage. And lastly, you know it was
also, also mentioned that work done on the building
before the building was able to remain open to the
public, that is true, we've done several restoration
projects there where it was an integral part of the
interpretation of the building to be able to show the
process of doing repairs and restoration. Given the
nature of stabilization that has to be performed to
ensure as much protection of the plaster that can be
done is done, it entails installation of scaffolding
in almost every space within that building. So,
there's no physical way to put an adequate level, the
bare minimum required of protection we need to do and
still have this building open to the public and have
the contents in the building. Thank you very much.

MICHAEL HILLER: Michael Hiller of Hiller PC. Good morning and thank you for giving us the opportunity to address the panel... the, the Subcommittee today. My firm is a firm that is dedicated to the preservation of New York's

neighborhoods and New York's environment and it's
designated landmarks and historic districts although
at one point just ten percent of our practice four
years ago today, land use, zoning and preservation
work on behalf of neighborhoods now represent 60
percent of our work but of all the work that we're
doing on behalf of neighborhoods nothing concerns me
more than this particular project and in particular
not only that the stakes are high and the risks great
and that the legality is dubious but also that
there's a something of a disinformation campaign
going on, on behalf of the developer and that's
unfortunately where I need to begin. Today you heard
a presentation from the developer's team that they
and also you heard last week that conveyed the
sentiment that the developer loves and respects the
Merchant's House, the developer has been working
tirelessly with the Merchant's House but has been
getting stonewalled and that despite the Merchant's
House alleged failure to respond to the overtures of
the developer, they have supposedly addressed all
concerns that have been raised but that's just a
story unfortunately and you've already heard from Mr.
Spivey who has sent no less than five reports and

letters to the, the developer's team specifically
identifying a series of problems and concerns that he
has about the these potential structural compromise
posed by the work that's planned and in each instance
they come back each time they say we've resolved your
issues lets move forward with a licensing agreement
when in fact they don't resolve any of the issues and
that is a continuing problem. They say one thing and
they do another. Today you heard from the developer
that they responded immediately to try to work with
the with the with the engineer and that they were
trying to work with us on this new information that
was requested. This is what they actually wrote back;
it says here, this request feels disingenuous that we
are being asked to share our reports at this time, we
have been transparent the whole time and will
continue to be if it holds for both sides. Where does
your client stand on working with us to create a path
so that we can develop this property and the next
email they sent talked all about a licensing
agreement and what is troubling to me is that each of
those answers presupposes that they are going to move
forward with this project irrespective of the answers
they get from the engineers. The answer they should

have given is I think, let's see if we can do this
project safely rather than, you know you know you
know get to us with a licensing agreement let's move
forward with the project. In addition, I remember the
first community board I meeting I attended with the
developer's team, they spoke and then I spoke and
then afterwards I went to the developer's council,
handed my business card to them, he refused to take
my business card and wouldn't meet with me. The next
time we met with them at the… at the Merchant's House
which I urged them to do they came into the meeting
and unfortunately the principal question they asked
us was how much money the Merchant's House was
willing to contribute to paying for the costs of
preserving it while their construction was going on,
that is the level… that's the cooperation for getting
here. The developer and, and the developer's
engineering team is focused on, on building a hotel
that's what they want to do, the, the engineers at
the Merchant's House are look… are focused on
preserving the Merchant's House and that's what needs
to be done. I just want to talk about two more
issues; one is the notion and I think you can hear
this from the geotechnical engineer who's going to be

speaking on the next panel but the question is even
if they try to do everything right, is the Merchant's
House going to be safe and of course it's a big if,
if they're going to try to do everything right but I
just want to point out there's a, a, a development
site in Park Slope, Brooklyn that was vetted by the
Department of Buildings and approved and after that
happens, a substantial excavation nine buildings
sustained catastrophic damage, one had to be
evacuated in the middle of the night by the Red Cross
and, and had to be partially demolished. The reason 1
mention that is the same geotechnical engineer on
that project is the geotechnical engineer that they
referred to earlier today. So, I'm just saying that
they can they can say they're going to do their best
but even when you do your best and I have no doubt
that he tried to do his best in Park Slope, there is
real risk here and if there is risk we have to
consider what those consequences would be in this
case a one of a kind building. The last thing I want
to mention is 403 Lafayette Street, you know our
zoning analysis confirms that they can build 59,000
square feet as of right at that location which is
three times the size of what they would build in this

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

location, there would be no text amendment necessary, they could build a better hotel and if they want to preserve parking I'm sure there's a way for them to do that too because they're concerned about losing the parking at that location, well let them build a hotel there, put parking underneath it and they can move forward with their project and be successful, that would be a win, win because we could preserve the Merchant's House and they could get their project and, and ultimately that's what Merchant's House wants to come out of this, they don't want to hurt the developer but they also want to make sure that one of New York's most precious landmarks, a one-ofa-kind building that will exist nowhere else is preserved forever. Thank you.

Weiss, Davidoff Hutcher and Citron. I chair our firm's land use practice, in the 33 years that I've been practicing land use at the firm this is the first time I've appeared before the council in opposition to an application and that's because the plight of the Merchant's House cries out for that kind of representation. I will tell you prior to my tenure at the firm I served as a senior attorney at

the Law Department where I represented the city's
Land Use agencies, before that I served in the office
of the Deputy Mayor for Policy and Planning and
before that I served in the office as a chair of the
City Planning Commission and I'm here this morning to
tell you emphatically and unequivocally as a matter
of law that what you have before you by way of the
text amendment is spot zoning. I know it's been
suggested that spot zoning, that doctrine only
applies in the instance of rezoning's, I've provided
the committee and the council this morning with a
memorandum and I, I have additional copies with me
that shows without doubt, without question by
decisions from the Court of Appeals, from the
Appellate Division of the third and fourth
departments, by lower court decisions that zoning
text amendments, things of the nature that you have
before you now are subject to review and in fact are
subject to potential determination of a legality on
the basis of spot zoning and the concept is very
simple, if the evidence before you reveals as it does
in this instance that the zoning action was not
enacted to benefit the community as a whole and it
was enacted without regard to the community and

without regard to the comprehensive zoning plan and
it's for the benefit of a single property owner or
small group of property owners then it is illegal
spot zoning and you only need to look at the report
of the City Planning Commission and with that I'm
going to close, which said the proposed text
amendment would result in only one potentially
eligible site that to avail itself of a special
permit for waivers pursuant to the amended ZR section
74-721. Aside from this site that is the subject of
this application. This additional site is located at
53 Great Jones Street, all excess development rights
belonging to 53 Great Jones were previously conveyed
to the property located at 48 Bond therefore this
amendment would not be applicable to that site and it
only leaves 27 East Fourth Street as the beneficiary
and I, I just want to say one other thing if I may
because I do practice frequently before the Landmarks
Preservation Commission and I also I lecture on, on
the subject in continuing legal education on, on
zoning and, and Landmarks Preservation, it's
interesting sometimes the, the direct conflict
between our landmarks law and the zoning law and it's
very difficult to I will wrap up, it's very

difficult to provide for harmony but we're in fact, the Landmarks Commission may want to go one direction if, if zoning prohibits it then it has to fail and that's what should have happened here. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you for your testimony unless there's any... are there any questions?

COMMITTEE CLERK: This is the continued vote on the Land Use Items on today's calendar, 188, 200, 201, 202 and 207, Moya?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Constantinides?

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: The Land Use Items are approved by a vote of eight in the affirmative, no negatives and no abstentions and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank... I just want to thank my colleague, Council Member Ritchie Torres for holding down the fort before I got here and thank you for your patience as well. I want to take this opportunity to turn it over to Council Member Rivera for a couple of questions.

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you for all of your testimony today. So, the developer says that other new developments have successfully been built next to landmarks, how is this project different?

MICHAEL HILLER: I'm just going to ask the structural engineer to speak to that issue.

Well the, the developers JUSTIN SPIVEY: often referred to the building code requirements and building department requirements for construction adjacent to landmark buildings and a lot of the underlying research and documents that are the basis for those regulations are for structures of ordinary historic importance in typical condition and it's our opinion that the Merchant's House is... fits in neither of those categories that it is a structure of exceptional historic importance in a particularly sensitive condition that results from being the, the last remaining number of a row of row houses that were intended to stand as a unit and it's now standing alone. So, on, on the basis of its significance and it's condition it differs from the typical historic structures that the regulations are intended to protect therefore... [cross-talk]

2 HOWARD WEISS: Council Member I'd just 3 like to... oh, I'm sorry...

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JUSTIN SPIVEY: ...therefore it, it merits some special treatment that is beyond the minimum that's established in regulatory requirements.

KURT HIRSCHBERG: I'd like to add one other point, we had a client a number of years ago on 18th Street who had a private residence, nine story apartment building was constructed next door, followed the letter of the law, they had Landmarks approval, they had Building Department approval, everything was fully reviewed and vetted. The construction that they were building resulted in change of underground water flow which severely compromised the structure of what was an 1840's townhouse resulting in what best could be described as a fissure that started in the sidewalk, went through the building, went through the rear garden and the rear garden house and that resulted in at that time it was about 750,000 dollars' worth of damage to that building.

HOWARD WEISS: I just wanted to add like humans, the elderly are frail... sometimes more frail than some of us who are younger, and it's been my

2.2

experience, I've had applications before Landmarks to proceed in historic districts adjacent to old buildings but they could be 40 years old, 30 years old, 70 years old but this is a particularly frail building and in fact so it raises issues and a general statement that construction can proceed be... adjacent to landmarked buildings is really meaningless.

me the... I guess this question is more for Pi, can you give me the total of kind of the non-physical impacts so for example you'll need to close, moving the collection?

MARGARET GARDINER: Well... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And what is your,

your assessment thus far of, of what it would cost

you in terms of finances?

MARGARET GARDINER: Well moving the collection, we got an estimate from our handlers Gander and White and just for the front parlor it would cost 61,000 dollars to pack up and store the furniture and furnishing in that room and it would cost 4,500 dollars per month in order to... for the duration of the construction so two years, I mean

that alone is I think something 500,000 dollars. We
have eight period rooms, it's a four-story hotel a
hotel, not… it's a four story… four story… I feel
like I live there, four story museum, eight period
rooms, we have the office floor, cellar, attic and
the estimate could be as much as a million seven just
to store the collection. Then there's also preparing
the interior landmark, the historic fabric, the
plaster, I believe that's a million dollars. We had
done a conditions assessment in 2015, work that
needed to be done before any construction could begin
what was termed and Kurt can speak to that, of
critical and hazardous and that's about a million
four, we updated those numbers yesterday or over
last week and so it all comes out to something like
4.9 million dollars that we would need to spend in
order to properly care for and protect the, the
collection and the interior historic fabric and, and
the museum will be closed for two years which is, you
know and we're in the public trust and we wouldn't
be able to have visitors and that would cost us
something like 800,000 dollars for two years and we
got to rent space for museum offices

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Reaper

Administrative...

2.2

MARGARET GARDINER: Yes.

my last question is, even with more time do you think you would ever have been able to come to an agreement with the developer, I guess it's for the engineers and the architects, even with more time, with more discussion, with a meeting of the engineers actually happening, with... do you think you ever would have been able to come to an agreement with the developer?

fundamental disagreement is over the acceptable level at risk and the developer's team seems to be of the opinion that once the risk is limited to a certain level any damage that does occur can be repaired and whereas my client their team and the advocates beyond them are of the opinion that any loss of historic fabric is unacceptable and that the risks of some loss are too great and cannot be eliminated for a project of this size of these constraints on the adjacent site. So, I, I think the, the disagreement here is we, we can talk and even reach agreement on some reasonable measures to limit risk but the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 opinion is that some remaining level... the developer's 3 opinion is that some remaining level of risk is appropriate, it's alright, any damage can be repaired 4 after the fact and you can replace historic finishes 5 with new material, you cannot put back 170 year old 6 7 stuff that's no longer there or damage beyond repair. COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: 8 Thank you. JUSTIN SPIVEY: Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. The next panel I'd like to call up Robert Thibault, did I say 11 12 that right, t h I g... oh b a u l t; Zella Jones, come 13 on up; John Krawchuk and do we have Robert? 14 ROBERT THIBAULT: Right here. 15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Oh, Robert, okay so... Zella? Zella Jones, no Zella Jones? Yep. 16 17 [off mic dialogue] 18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You have to push that button just state your name and just a reminder we 19 20 have two minutes... 21 ROBERT THIBAULT: Two minutes, perfect... 2.2 [cross-talk] 23 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...each, thank you. 24 ROBERT THIBAULT: My name is Robert

Thibault, New York City, Not One More Block. There is

an ethnic and cultural cleansing taking place in New
York City, it is called rezoning, rezoning's first
gentrification a form of systemic violence based on
white supremacy that continues the racist legacy of
urban renewal. In 1857, the city of New York used
imminent domain to displace what included the largest
community of free African American property owners in
New York from an area of Central Park. Now the Mayor,
the City Council that includes all of you, the
Department of City Planning and the Department of
Buildings is conspiring its large real estate firms
and the real estate Board of New York are utilizing
zone… rezoning to displace not only communities of
color but history itself. The Merchant's House Museum
is now faced with being permanently displaced by
these co-conspirators in the same evil system of
play. Clearly Marisa Lago and the City Planning
Commission are compromised, the CPC approved this
spot rezoning after both community board two and the
Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer recommended
to deny this rezoning, why did they choose to ignore
all the evidence presented at CB2 that showed that
the 186 year old Merchant's House Museum will be
destroved by the construction of a hotel that would

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	rise 110 feet high? Were they paid off by the dark
3	money that drives New York real estate, maybe a visit
4	from bribe New York changed their minds, it's not
5	clear. What is clear is that the city charter states
6	that every community board must have a planner, no
7	community board has yet to receive funds to hire a
8	planner. Furthermore New York City is the only major
9	U.S. city that has never approved a comprehensive
10	master plan, rezoning is not comprehensive community
11	planning. Vote no on the spot rezoning being asked
12	for by developer Kalodop II Park to build a hotel
13	that would rise 110 feet high on a lot to the west
14	[cross-talk]
15	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you [cross-
16	talk]
17	ROBERT THIBAULT:of a 186-year-old
18	[cross-talk]
19	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank [cross-talk]
20	ROBERT THIBAULT:Merchant's [cross-
21	talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you [cross-
23	talk]
24	ROBERT THIBAULT:House Museum, thank

25 you.

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you 3 for your testimony.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ZELLA JONES: Is this still on? Yes. My name is Zella Jones, I'm President of NoHo Bowery Stakeholders. NoHo Bowery Stakeholders is from the east side of Bowery to Mercer Street and from Astor Place to Houston Street. We have 500 paid members. We object to the granting of two special permits for the development of 27 East Fourth Street and the resulting spot zoning it represents. We are appalled that the Department of City Planning even considered it given the precedence of steps for every heavily defended zoning envelop in the city. At this location these special permits not only threaten the established zoning but the integrity of landmarked preservations and contextuality. The Merchant's House is a great value to our neighborhood and to this city, we feel that the city should further mandate a stringent construction protocol where now only quidelines exist. We are ... we ask the City Council to red flag this application for frequent DOB inspections prior to, during and after construction. We would ask that the City Council mandate that all of the precautions of Merchant's House engineers

prescribed be executed, all expenses in storage and
protections be covered and that damages if any be
covered by the applicant. Further the applicant
should be responsible for lost revenue from ordinary
tours advanced during the course of construction
because the process will mandate the museums closure.
As a treasured landmark this sets a precedent for all
New York City landmarks to be treated carefully and
with the same respect, a stance that the City Council
as a whole can surely endorse. I wish to add one more
comment, the proposal that this, this should be
tabled for reconsideration at 403 Lafayette Street I
think is ill advised, it damages and it effects a
great deal more of NoHo and the and the swap is not
an even swap, we're looking at three times or more
development in NoHo moving from the side of the
Merchant's House to Lafayette Street, I have no
disrespect for the Merchant's House but that that
would be a totally nimby move on their part, thank
you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

JOHN KRAWCHUK: Good morning...

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Just push the button.

2	JOHN KRAWCHUK: Very sorry. Good morning
3	Chair Moya and members of the Subcommittee on Zoning
4	and Franchises. My name is John Krawchuk, I'm the
5	Executive Director of the Historic House Trust of New
6	York City. We are a non-profit 501C3 organization
7	that works in partnership with the New York City
8	Parks Department and we advocate for, promote and
9	provide expertise to preserve 23 publicly owned
10	historic houses throughout New York City. Full
11	disclosure, I am actually also a Parks Department
12	employee and so I am very closely aligned and
13	affiliated with New York City Parks now for about 22
14	years, I know these houses quite well. In this role
15	we provide… my role at the Historic House Trust we
16	provide expertise to our member sites in the form of
17	technical assistance and best practice solutions for
18	these remarkable historic house museums guiding
19	maintenance, preservation, initiatives and
20	collections. I'm here today to testify in this
21	capacity in support of preserving and protecting one
22	of our members, the Merchant's House, a fragile and
23	significant landmark and to comment on the
24	application before you for 27 East Fourth Street. As
25	expert advisors we are intimately familiar with the

Merchant's House and we raise our voices along with
the supporters here today to implore the Subcommittee
and the council to take action to protect the House.
This could be achieved in a variety of ways that
could be conditioned on the applicant. One, perform a
detailed condition study of the house which was
referenced a little earlier in this hearing, using
preservation experts who can assess conditions that
are concealed behind the finished plaster work and
the floors. Two, perform remedial work to strengthen
the house, 185-year-old plus historic features that
are susceptible to damage from construction
activities, monitoring alone in our opinion will not
suffice and should be supplemented with the detailed
conditions study by preservation experts who can
assess conditions that are concealed behind the
finished plaster work. We encourage the council to
carefully consider the impacts to the house; the
proposed construction, the history of past damage and
to closely work with the applicant to explore the
best option to reduce risk to the Merchant's House.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you all for your testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: But we're here

like... in terms of the timeline nothing has been done 25

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I want to ask about the environmental assessment that you are a parks employee and you're a part of a smaller entity that is specifically kind of charged with taking care of these historic houses, so what is your involvement and the Parks involvement with the Merchant's House in terms of environmental assessments or working with Pi and, and others, we're just trying to get a better idea of, of where... how you can assist or support in at least giving more information or what you can do to, to help with the application?

JOHN KRAWCHUK: So, the Historic House Trust we work in partnership with all of our historic houses and we essentially help to facilitate work between our licensees who are the Merchant's House Association in this case and the Parks Department and so with regard to environmental review there is a planning division within Parks that reviews all applications that effect parkland and so they would be the ones really primarily looking at any of the detailed EI... EAS's, EIS's what effect the Parks Department properties.

by Parks yet about what this building could potentially create, whether a shadow or... there has been no environmental assessment done by the Parks Department regarding this site and we're on a timeline right now that's pretty urgent.

typically relies on the applicants to provide the information that are initially reviewed by the Planning... the Planning Department and then the Planning Department works in close relationship with the Parks Department to review anything of consideration. So, so we had commented earlier at the Planning Commission as to concerns that we had the Historic House Trust reviewing the application and brought forward a few things that we thought were, were of concern and I believe the Planning Commission felt per their vote that those things had been adequately addressed.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you very much for your testimony today. I'd like to bring up the next panel. Jan Cermak; Anita Brandt; Birgitte Philippides Delaney and Roberta Beluvolvich.

[off mic dialogue]

2.2

2	JAN CERMAK: Hello, my name is Jan
3	Cermak, I'm with Mueser Rutledge Consulting
4	Engineers. We are a foundation engineering firm
5	that's more than 100 years old so it's not really as,
6	as old as the Merchant's House. Generally speaking
7	excavations and foundation construction adjacent to
8	buildings that are supported on shallow foundations
9	like the Merchant's House cause some settlement of
10	those foundations. The goal of the design is to
11	minimize those settlements and construction means and
12	measures are then selected to further reduce any
13	potential risks of ground settlement. Because of the
14	many, many uncertainties in this type of work and not
15	only in the design, the estimates but also in the
16	actual construction a detailed instrumentation
17	monitoring plan is typically implemented which is
18	also proposed for, for this construction. I'm
19	actually the, the engineer that wrote the 2012
20	document and since then the, the design was changed
21	to try to reduce the potential impact of on, on the
22	Merchant's House but in our opinion that risk still
23	remains. From a technical point of view, the real
24	issue with the museum is that the building is very
25	sensitive, it's in a very sensitive condition not

2.2

only to vibrations but also to any ground settlement that may occur during the adjacent construction and in my opinion considering the sensitivity and the fact that the predictions of ground settlements are not really precise and the many uncertainties in this type of work there is a true concern that there won't be enough warning and enough time to arrest any settlements that may occur and cause damage to the building. Thank you.

ANITA BRANDT: Good morning, my name is
Anita Brandt and I'm Co-chair of CB2 Land Use. In May
CB2 voted unanimously to recommend a denial of this
application. Our resolution is detailed and to, today
I'd like to highlight just one of the deficiencies
and inaccuracies specifically in the environmental
assessment statement. The application asserts that
there is no effect on a public resource, obviously
this is not true. This museum and its garden is in
fact publicly owned and the construction would
require the museum to close and thereby not function
as a public museum. The proposed museum would cast
shadows in the garden so checking the box no effect
in the EAS report is also not correct, a shadow study
is called for. These and other inaccuracies effect

2.2

special permission is granted on the modifications of use in bulkhead I think we should insist that they be investigated and corrected. With the little bit of time I have left I did want to speak as an architect and the importance of the house for students. In the late 1970's as a college student I was fortunate to meet and work with Joseph Roberto who dedicated his... decades of his life to preserve this building. I went on to write my undergraduate thesis and my concern is that we preserve and protect this important historic cultural New York landmark for the future students and preserve its uniqueness and inspire others to understand our past. Oh, you're next, sorry.

name is Birgitte Philippides-Dulaney and I'm not an architect, I'm not an engineer, I'm only a resident of the village for about 25 years and I'm here... I wasn't planning on speaking, I have nothing prepared except I'm going to speak from the heart that my husband and I recently went to the Merchant's House Museum and it was one of the most extraordinary museums we've ever been to in New York. It was also a story, a powerful immigrant story of the Irish

2.2

immigrants that were there and you do not have to be
an expert to realize when you go and have a tour of
this museum that building an eight story hotel will
absolutely destroy it, you don't need an expert to
tell you that all you have to do is look at the
plaster, look at the walls and that's what I think
the crux of it is that you don't have to be an expert
to realize this is obvious and it was very disturbing
to hear the developers use the term we have liability
insurance. Now that can only mean one thing, that
another words you have liability insurance so okay,
if worse comes to worse it falls down or big destroy
we have insurance, it's, it's an irreplaceable
building, I think that's obvious. Thank you.

ROBERTA BELULOVICH: That's going to be a hard act to follow, thank you. My name is Roberta Belulovich, I am the Visitor Services Coordinator and Weekend Site Manager at the Merchant's House Museum. I'm a native New Yorker and when I grew up we used to run around as kids saying unique New York and we would do this tongue twister until we were like blah, blah, blah, well New York is not so much unique anymore and I think we all know this, I don't know anybody who would argue with it but there are still

remnants of unique New York and we the Merchant's
House Museum are one of them maybe the premier one.
We inform our present and we lay foundations for the
future by holding what New York has been and where we
came from in our hands and we express that for the
public. We are an invaluable community resource, we
give tours of the house and the neighborhood, we have
exhibitions, lectures, living history programs,
concerts and performances in the historic museum. We
actually bring revenue into the city with 16,000
visitors annually, these are tourists not only from
New York but from all over the country and all over
the world, we have scholars and students who come
just to find out information that they can get
nowhere else and by and large when tourists come and
I see thousands of them every year when I am working
as site manager, they say to me they're building
something next door on your wall, this could not
happen in my country and fill in the blank; England,
Australia, Denmark, France just… Germany, any,
anywhere, every single person says this to me, they
are astounded that this could even be considered in a
city like New York. Anyway, we are number 65 of all
the trip advisors' top things to do in New York City

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 out of 1,124, I think that's a pretty good estimate. 3 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you 4 for your testimony. The next panel; Simon... Simeon 5 Bankoff, Jeffrey, Jeffrey Weinstein [sp?], Joseph 6 7 Reiver, Christabel Gough, okay. It was Jeffrey and I can't make out... from Quantum Forth, Jeffrey and I 8 just can make out W e I and then I can't make out the 9 rest, is there a Jeffrey? Yeah, once I can... Yeah, 10 Simeon and... Christabel? 11 12 CHRISTABEL GOUGH: I'm here. 13 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, Joseph from 14 Elizabeth Street Gardens, Joseph? And Jeffrey from 15 Quantum Forth. Jeffrey, going once, going twice, 16 okay. We have Joann... Joan, sorry, Wilet, Welit, 17 Welts, Wailey; Joan, is there a Joan, Joan, no. 18 Michelle Barsley, Bars... Barsley, Michelle Barsley from 33 Greenwich Ave, no. Michelle is here? Hi, 19 20 Michelle, thank you. We'll start with you and make our way... [cross-talk] 21 2.2 JOSEPH REIVER: With me... [cross-talk] 23 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...down, yeah. JOSEPH REIVER: Okay, yeah. So, my name 24

is Joseph Reiver, I'm the Executive Director of

Elizabeth Street Garden and I'm in I'm here in
support of the Merchant's House. It was mentioned in
the first testimony that the lots and the vacant
lots and the gaps are they detract from the, the
NoHo area but in fact I think it's more important to
put emphasis on the that the Merchant's House
defines the spirit and the voice of the community and
it's places like the Merchant's House that should be
held to highest degree of priority because of that
definition, because of the definition of the voice of
the community and because of the definition of the
spirit. It's so unique and we've heard so many
different testimonies about how unique it is and all
of the details and its history in its preservation
and any sort of development that would jeopardize
this should be reconsidered just point blank. We
many groups in the community are working together to
set up a, a community walk where we tour different
areas in the community that represent this unique
quality and the spirit, the Merchant's House is the
first stop on that tour so please consider a vote in
favor of the Merchant's House. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

2	CHRISTABEL GOUGH: Me? Hello, I'm
3	Christabel Gough from the Society for the
4	Architecture of the City. We've been monitoring the
5	Landmarks Preservation Commission since the early
6	1980's and we watched this item move through the long
7	process that brought it here. The agency approved the
8	construction of the hotel simply as a new building in
9	an historic district while taking the position that
10	the huge excavation required if carefully done could
11	be executed without harming the old Merchant's
12	Museum, that was not the universal opinion of
13	qualified restoration architects and engineers as you
14	have heard this morning. They saw the project as
15	risky at best and potentially catastrophic. Yes, the
16	LPC approved this project after years of hesitation
17	and much internal controversy ending in a split vote
18	but the reasoning behind the approval was clearly
19	based on procedural technicalities about what is
20	appropriate for a new façade in the historic district
21	which did nothing to enforce the agency's primary
22	mission as described in the Landmarks Law that is to
23	prevent the irreplaceable loss of exceptional old
24	buildings that are our heritage. In our opinion a
25	narrow view of the importance of reaching compromises

2.2

with investors has led the De Blasio administration to find that some risk to the museum is just fine, it was a regrettable decision. It... concerning Pennsylvania Station, the Art Times architecture critic, Ida Louise Huxtable once wrote, we will probably be judged not by the monuments we build but by those we have destroyed. The special permits and amendments this project require should be denied. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

Bankoff, Executive Director of the Historic Districts
Council. Since this proposal was originally approved
by the holdover of Bloomberg appointee administration
LPC in 2014 which at the time one of the
commissioners said I'm only voting for this because
I'm frankly exhausted by it. It has been a profound
threat to the irreparable public asset of the museum
next door. While the Historic Districts Council could
not... would not say that development isn't possible
next to the museum, any development that might happen
here would need to be infinitely sensitive to the
very fragile adjacent building and the scale of the
proposed hotel is such that the necessary care and

sensitivity seems nigh impossible. It is imperative
to keep in mind that as has been discussed the
Merchant's House is a publicly owned landmark inside
and out and an entirely unique historic house in New
York City in the integrity and fidelity of its
historic interior, there's literally nothing else
like it in New York. It's inconceivable to us that
the City Planning Commission did not exercise its
full powers of environmental review and ensure that
any development that could affect the landmark was
held by the highest standards of environmental
sensitivity but that's the position we find ourselves
in now. Keeping in mind the developers have permits
in hand that would allow them to move forward with
this catastrophic scheme, HDC urges the City Council
to do everything in its power to convince them to
reconsider this plan. The notion of redeveloping the
garage building at 403 Lafayette Street is an
intriguing idea and one who's advantages would most
probably outweigh any possible detriments. Similar
developments have been successfully done in Greenwich
Village and Ladies' Mile historic districts where a
number of historic garage buildings have been added
to and incorporated into new housing. Such a such a

2.2

solution if allowed would benefit the community by developing new housing while also preserving the public asset of the Merchant's House. While HDC cannot commit to a plan without reviewing the specific proposal we would support this plan in concept and would be very happy to provide any help possible to make sure that such a proposal would be successful. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

MICHELLE BARSHAY: Hello, my name is

Michelle Barshay, I'm talking from a different point

of view because I'm a docent at the... [cross-talk]

14 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Talk into the mic...
15 [cross-talk]

16 MICHELLE BARSHAY: Oh, sorry... [cross-17 talk]

18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

MICHELLE BARSHAY: I'm talking from a different point of view because I'm a docent at the museum and it's given me enormous pleasure and joy to be there and volunteer and give it my time. When all the guests come into the museum and I give these tours it's amazing of the happiness that it brings to the people that come into the house, I mean I talk

about the history of the city from all the way down
from the sea port times from when it has changed up
until the 1850's, I talk about the family, I talk
about the culture, we go outside, I talk about the
street, the neighborhood and it's so important to
preserve the history, the culture and where New York
City came from as a commerce area up until the time
of the house and it is, is just the joy that it
brings people coming through the house on to see the
tours, to see the house and to see that, that the
items in the house are unique and it belonged to the
family, it's not a reproduction so when you come in
and you come into these wonderful rooms and you see
the furniture and you see all the details that are
still there in the house and the collections that
this family saved, it's so important to preserve the
history and to keep it going forward for future
generations. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you to the panel. I'll be calling up the next panel;

Daniel Ross, Monica Rittersporn, Beth Sapka, is that right, Sap, Sapka, Beth Sapka, Cordelia Persen, Pat,

Pat... Persen, Pat... it's Cordelia P e d... I

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 believe it says e r s e n. Do we have Daniel Ross? 3 I'm sorry can you just state your name, 4 CORDELIA PERSEN: Cordelia. 5 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Cordelia, okay. I'm 6 sorry ma'am, your name? 7 MONICA RITTERSPORN: I'm Monica 8 Rittersporn. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, so no Beth and 9 no Daniel, Jane Nevins, Giorgio Bulvins, Bovenzi, 10 11 Jane, okay. Thank you. So, yep. 12 [off mic dialogue] 13 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We're going to start 14 with you. 15 MONICA RITTERSPORN: Hi. Hello, I'm 16 Monica Rittersporn, a lifelong New York City resident 17 and I'm here to support the preservation of the 18 Merchant's House Museum, a museum of the city of New York which is an extraordinary treasure as we have 19 20 heard. I cannot understand how the city of New York, 21 our city agencies would even consider doing anything 2.2 that would damage this wonderful institution and how

it could consider incurring expenses of over five

million dollars in closing this museum, it's... it is

23

just unbelievable that we are here, and I implore you
not to let this happen. Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

CORDELIA PERSEN: Hello, I'm Cordelia Persen, I am the Executive Director of the NoHo Business Improvement District and I'm here to hope that you're going to prevent the spot zoning of that site on East 27th Street and... I mean, I'm sorry, 27 East Fourth Street and consider working out a good proposal for the Lafayette location. Clearly I speak for one part of NoHo but NoHo and our history is really important to who we are as a neighborhood and we believe this is a crucial site telling a history that, you know we were the first neighborhood outside of downtown to come and this is a very important story to who we are and who we're becoming and the change that's happening on Lafayette is happening and I think this other site on 403 Lafayette really fits more into the neighborhood than more development on East Fourth Street.

JANE NEVINS: Hi, my name is Jane Nevins and I live in district two. I'm a voter and I'm a volunteer at the Merchant's House Museum. I work in tech and I'm originally from San Francisco, I moved

2.2

here about a year ago and could basically live
anywhere in New York City and I moved to this
neighborhood, you know NoHo, the East Village because
of the historic character that the village provides
and as this neighborhood looks to the future I think
it's really important that we preserve it's history
and it's character and that's really important to
building... not just preserving the past but to
building a bright future for that neighborhood. I
think we have a responsibility to preserve the
meaningful aspects of the past and so I oppose this
spot rezoning.

GIORGIO BOVENZI: Good afternoon, thanks for the opportunity to speak here. My name is Giorgio Bovenzi, I'm a resident of 25 East Fourth Street and urge you to reject the ULURP application with respect to 27 East Fourth Street. There are many reasons to so; this is a spot zoning, it allows uses to, to the site which are undesirable and necessary but more importantly the proposed development puts the neighboring Merchant's House Museum at risk. The importance of the Merchant's House not only to New York City but also to the public and to the public interest at large is unquestionable and you have

abundantly heard about it today. What is also
unquestionable is that any harm to the Merchant's
House is going to be irreplaceable and there's no
monitoring system that can prevent cracks or worse to
this precious historical site from happening if the
proposed development is approved and once the damage
starts happening it's too late, the damage is done.
The developer admitted today once again that they
believe that it can minimize the amount of damage but
even assuming that they're capable of minimizing
damage which given what we've heard is questionable,
that means they know they cannot possibly avoid
damage. The zoning is also unnecessary because to the
extent the development can shift, shift it to 403
Lafayette that will resolve the problem. The
developer stated today that they support that
support from 25 East Fourth is unlikely to come,
that's factually wrong, I'm sitting here today as a
member of 25 East Fourth Street and my building has
officially approved the option provided by the air
transfer of right for air right transfer. Of course,
the devil is in the detail, we all know that, but I
respectfully submit that the air right transfer would
be a considerably preferable option for all involved

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 constituencies. On a personal note I was born and 3 raised in Naples, Italy and for those who don't know 4 Naples is a city of incredible history but also incredible crime. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. 7 GIORGIO BOVENZI: Can I finish just ... 8 [cross-talk] 9 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We... [cross-talk] 10 GIORGIO BOVENZI: Three seconds? 11 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. 12 GIORGIO BOVENZI: Okay, it's also the 13 city of despicable disorganization and corruption, I 14 can testify here that even in Naples a development like this would not happen, even the camorra, the 15 16 mafia and the corrupted... [cross-talk] 17 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Alright, thank you, 18 thank... [cross-talk] GIORGIO BOVENZI: ...would not ... [cross-19 20 talk] 21 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...you... [cross-talk] GIORGIO BOVENZI: ...accept it... [cross-2.2 23 talkl 24 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

GIORGIO BOVENZI: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

_ -

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. The next... the next panel Peter Feld, Julie Blackburn, Dorothy Taylor and Nicholson Nicholas, excuse me one second.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Dorothy Tyler, Julie Blackburn, Audrey Horwitz, do we have Dorothy or Julie? David Mulkins, one person may begin.

AUDREY HORWITZ: Hello, I'm Audrey and I'm a volunteer at the Merchant's House. Earlier this summer I hosted an open mic night in the back garden of the Merchant's House, I set up for weddings there and after work... I sometimes go on Thursdays to help close up the house because they're open late on Thursdays. What I love about the Merchant's House is the community, I live on East Fourth Street, a few blocks East and when I think about my neighborhood and what there is to do what comes to mind is bars, restaurants, ice cream shops and waxing salons, I go to a lot of these places and I like a lot of them but I can think of nothing else like the Merchant's House. The Merchant's House is a community of mostly all volunteers and a few dedicated full timers who are all spending their time educating people about the history of the family who lived in this house and also the history of New York. When making your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

decision I ask that you consider not only the historical significance of the Merchant's House but also the thousands of museum guests and museum volunteers like myself who's community you'd be risking if you allow this hotel to be built. Thank you.

Good afternoon, my name NICK NICHOLSON: is Nick Nicholson and I am Senior Vice President of Decorative Arts at Freeman's Auction in Philadelphia, I started my career here in New York at Christie's, I've been a specialist in decorative arts for over 25 years. For the last 15 years I've also been privileged to serve as the Chair of the Board of the Merchant's House Museum. A lot of the topics that I wanted to speak on have already been covered by other speakers today so what I'm going to do is focus and make a plea for the things that are in the Metropolitan... the ... in the Metropolitan Museum too why not, lets cover all our bases, in the Merchant's House Museum, you can see how important it is to me. The decorative arts for people who are not aware of them are anything that isn't sculpture or a painting, that means the objects movable in the building. The Parks Department is responsible for the building but

the Board of the Merchant's House cares for the
collections and the collections are the objects
contained within the building that tell the story
both of New York and of the Treadwell family. At my
auction house we have eight sales a season, each of
those sales moves between two to three hundred
objects, I have eight specialists with master's
degrees in art history on staff, I have a full staff
of registrars and insurance specialists to handle the
move that happens for all of those objects, every one
of those objects is measured, photographed,
catalogued, displayed, it's insured and we're
responsible for what happens to it. That means we
move about 1,500 objects a season, the Merchant's
House has 3,000 objects, none of which have been
properly completely catalogued, none of which have
been entirely photographed, none of which have ever
had viable condition reports done for them to
estimate either their value or the possible
replacement value. There are insurance estimates done
at the museum from time to time, but these are vague
and general and the specifics of what would happen to
these objects if the museum were forced to close is
of enormous concern to the Board of the Merchant's

2.2

House. Every single one of those objects would have to be dealt with and whereas I have a big staff out of Merchant's... at the... at Freeman's and whereas Christie's and Sotheby's has a big staff to do that, the two women who handle the day to day business of the Merchant's House are in this room, they are ill equipped and ill prepared to move and to store all of those objects. My final thing, I just have to return to what Pi Gardiner said, the cost of crating and storing one room is 61,000 dollars, eight period rooms, five floors, a full basement, a full attic it's a half a million dollars to start and it gets worse as time goes by. Thank you.

PETER FELD: Thank you. Thank you for your time and thank you for listening to the council, to my Council Member Rivera. My name is Peter Feld, I live on East Ninth Street, I was the editor of the New York Observers Parenting Magazine, Scooter and I've written about the history of Greenwich Village. Two issues are at stake I think in the Council's decision whether to approve this hotel; the first is whether as people have been testifying, New York City is able to preserve our price, priceless heritage for the benefit of all New Yorkers or see it turned into

dust for the sake of private profit. The second is
whether we whether or not we the public have any
meaningful input into the decisions that affect our
neighborhoods and our city. We've been told that the
way to protect the Merchant's House was to
participate in a process and we have showing up in
large numbers to community board, committee meetings,
the full board, enlisting support from our borough
president, writing our Council Member but as with
other recent efforts to protect our community we've
run into a brick wall from the defiantly
unaccountable City Planning Commission. We also have
a similarly unaccountable Deputy Mayor for Housing
and Economic Development who rejects community input
and who's office bullies this council. Those players
as well as the now take off your preservation hat,
Landmarks Preservation Commission are the
responsibility of a Mayor who travels this country
posing as a progressive leader while here at home he
wrecks our neighborhoods on behalf of his real estate
donors, but we see him. Now the fate of this
irreplaceable treasure that can transport any New
York City boy or girl back in time is up to you. We
saw it in last week's primaries and earlier this

2.2

summer across the city voters are demanding accountability from our local representatives and we are thrilled to vote to make sure that we get it. So, it's very fitting that a historic lower Manhattan home dating back to the early days of our democracy is now a test not only of whether we in New York can preserve that history but also what is the current state of that democracy here at the local level and I urge the council to listen to the community and disapprove this application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

DAVID MULKINS: Hi, David Mulkins, Bowery
Alliance of Neighbors and the East Fifth Street Block
Association. The proposed plan to build a nine-story
hotel tower next to the four-story Merchant's House
Museum is a reckless plan that would have a
catastrophic impact on the structural survival of
this storied but fragile irreplaceable structure.
Built in 1832 with late federal style exterior and a
Greek revival interior, it is New York City's only
family owned preserved in... only family home preserved
intact both inside and out from the 19th century. On
a personal note as a 25-year public high school
history teacher I ask you to recognize that unlike

2	mere facades which we observe much like a painting or
3	a wall, the Merchant's House Museum is for students
4	of history a profoundly meaningful 3D experience
5	allowing us to walk in the shoes of the people who
6	lived, worked, laughed, loved and died there. It's a
7	piece of the city's commercial history as well as a
8	narrative of middle-class life and the lives of the
9	women and the lives of Irish immigrant servants. Open
10	to the public since 1936, this 186-year-old building
11	is a vital irreplaceable New York City cultural
12	institution that deserves to be protected. Please
13	vote to reject the developer's application for 27
14	East Fourth and instead please support the alternate
15	construction site at 403 Lafayette Street which is
16	around the corner and also owned by the developer.
17	I'd also like to say that there were throngs of
18	people that showed up today but were turned away
19	downstairs because both rooms were filled up so
20	there's lots of other people. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. The next panel Glen Umberger, David Eisenbach, Grace Markman, Andrean Berman, Andrew, I'm sorry, Andrew. I see
Andrew, Grace? Yeah, I... wait I'm just checking who we

2 have. Do we have Glen, yep, David Eisenbach, no? 3 Carol Putra... thank you. You may begin.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

GLEN UMBERGER: Good afternoon Chair Moya, Council Members. I'm Glen Umberger speaking on behalf of the New York Landmarks Conservancy. The conservancy opposes the proposed new building at 27 East Fourth Street adjacent to the Merchant's House Museum. The Merchant's House is a truly special place in its significance cannot be overstated, the New York Landmarks Preservation Commission recognized this when they designated this house as an individual landmark on its first day of existence in 1965. The Commission later designated the interior as a landmark in the... in the designation report that the old Merchant's House is a unique document of its period which shows with unrivaled authenticity how a prosperous New York merchant and his family lived in the mid-19th century. The house has also been listed on the national register of historic places and is a member of the city's history house trust. The building with its irreplaceable historic exterior and interior spaces should not be placed at undue risk. The proposed new building next door accordingly poses a real physical threat, adjacent construction of a

large building could result in significant and
irreversible damage such as settling of the museum's
rubble masonry foundation walls which in turn would
cause damage to the interior's delicate plaster work
and other notable architectural features. In 2012 at
the time of the Landmarks Preservation Commission's
hearing on this proposed project, preservation
engineer Robert Silman who represented the Merchant's
House Museum wrote that this construction has the
potential to cause severe damage to the landmarked
house. This concern is not theoretical, in 1988 the
building was immediate the building immediately to
the East of the Merchant's House was demolished
resulting in damage that required one million dollars
of structural repairs and interior restoration work
at the museum. Even if the most sophisticated
monitoring system is employed for this construction
project it will only track the damage, nothing can
truly ensure that the landmark will remain unscathed
through the excavation and construction phases. We
are aware that there have been some discussions for
the project to move forward on an alternative
development site nearby and we would strongly
encourage such a compromise to protect this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

vulnerable building while allowing the developer to move forward with their plans. We urge the council to protect the Merchant's House Museum, one of the city's most irreplaceable architectural assets. Thank you.

ANDREW BERMAN: Thanks. My name is Andrew Berman, Executive Director of the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation, large... we're the largest membership organization in Greenwich Village, the East Village and NoHo. I urge you to reject the proposed zoning text amendment and special permits for 27 East Fourth Street. The reasons to do so are many; first this is in essence a spot zoning in spite of what the applicants say. Secondly, approval of the application would allow uses which are undesirable and unnecessary at this location. Third and most importantly, approval of this application would facilitate a development which appears to present a grave danger to the neighboring Merchant's House Museum. The museum is one of New York's first designated landmarks, a rare interior and exterior landmark also located within a historic district, a federal and state landmark and New York City's only family home preserved intact inside and out from the

19 th century. Since 1936 it has also been an
invaluable historic cultural and educational resource
open to the public. Given that the city of New York
has invested millions of dollars over the years to
buttress, restore and repair the Merchant's House,
any decision to potentially endanger it in this way
would be particularly confounding. It is also
unnecessary; the developer controls the lot which
extends all the way to Lafayette Street. An
appropriately designed and scaled development could
be shifted to that part of the site without
endangering the Merchant's House or any other
building. This would be a vastly preferable outcome
not only for the Merchant's House but for this
neighborhood and for New York City. It's not worth
risking the catastrophic and irreversible damage
which the Merchant's House Museum could suffer if
this development moves ahead. I urge you to consider
the much bigger picture here, reject the application
before you and help ensure the survival of one of New
York City's great historic and cultural gems. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Push the button in.

GRACE MARKMAN: Hi, I'm Grace Markman and
I've worked for 30 years in district 39 with Brad
Lander currently, I work with the Mayor's Office of
Immigrant Affairs and I most importantly have worked
11 years as a docent at Merchant's House Museum. I
was totally squashed on the subway today, of course
subway delays galore and I'm saying to myself as I'm
looking at this crowded subway, hanging on who serves
who in this city and I thought well Merchant's House
Museum serves all of us in this city. First of all,
it's owned by the city, invested heavily by the city
with public funds and we all it serves everyone
here; New Yorkers, students, students from colleges,
elementary school, seniors both nationally and
internationally, we get lots of international guests
as you have heard and it reflects the city's growth,
it's energy and it's unique history. I really
encourage you to think clearly about this issue and
it looks like 403 Lafayette, the, the other lot the
developer owns could be with good will by all serve
this developer's needs and then I think well who's
needs do the developers serve, the developers serve
themselves, this museum serves all of us here in the
city nationally and internationally. Thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON MOYA:	You have to push the
3	[cross-talk]	

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CAROL PUTRACHEZ: Oh, I did... I didn't...
[cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, there you go... [cross-talk]

CAROL PUTRACHEZ: Okay, sorry. My name is Carol Putrachez, I'm a member of the East Fifth Street Block Association and a 30-year resident of District two. The Merchant's House Museum is a precious historic treasure, if this were Paris or Rome we wouldn't be here today even discussing this subject but as New York City and REBNY and building owners and developers rule the roost, landmark issues be dammed. Although the structural engineers hired by the developers are assuring us that they can shore up the Merchant's House and reinforce it to minimize structural damage during construction, let's look at the facts. The Merchant's House was built in 1832, it's 186 years old, do you really think the 186 year old mortar in between the bricks of this building or the hand hewn joists of the 1800's or the intricately carved interior plaster moldings can withstand the blasting necessary to build a foundation for the

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony today and the next panel that I'll be calling up is David...

[off mic dialogue]

2	CHAIRPERSON	MOYA: Mulk David Mulkins;
3	Emily Hill-Wright; Ann	Hijaad [sp?], yeah, okay;
4	Dennis McAvena…	

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

yep.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: He had to leave. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: He had to leave, okay. Is this Talisa, Teresa on... okay, got you Teresa; Kirsten Theodos, Kirsten, yeah. You can sit right there if you want, yeah.

EMILY HILL-WRIGHT: Sorry. Alright. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We'll start with you,

EMILY HILL-WRIGHT: Good afternoon, my name is Emily Hill-Wright, I'm the Communications and Programs Manager at the Merchant's House. I am 50 percent of the full-time staff at the museum. I'd like to speak very briefly about the scope of public opposition to this project. As you've heard today many, many neighborhood and preservation organizations have expressed opposition. Additionally, as you've heard government agencies and elected officials too have spoken in opposition, over 6,100 individuals have sent letters of opposition to the city, individuals from all five boroughs from over 40 states and dozens of different countries.

Over 6,000 people from all over the city and all over
the world have signed an online petition proposing
the project. Additionally, over the last six years
there have been many public hearings about this
project and all the many, many hours of public
testimony there has never been one person other than
the developers themselves who has spoken in favor of
the proposed development. Consistently the number one
reason given for opposing the development is the
severe risk to the Merchant's House. Whenever museum
visitors or neighbors hear that there is an
alternative that the development could be built
around the corner at 403 Lafayette the words I hear
most often is that that would be a win, win.
Obviously, I can't speak for all the thousands of
citizens who join me in my serious concerns about
this project but if the primary reason to oppose the
project at 27 East Fourth Street is risk to the
Merchant's House I would expect widespread support
for a development at 403 Lafayette. The developer
today has asked for a guarantee, I'm only one person,
I'm just a private citizen but I can guarantee that I
will be here at all future public hearings in support

of a project at 403 Lafayette Street and I'm sure I'm not alone in that. Thank you very much.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANN HIJAAD: Good afternoon, my name is Ann Hijaad and I am a volunteer docent and researcher at the Merchant's House Museum and the Merchant's House Museum and the history that it represents is my passion and that is what... that is the aspect that I would like to address today. You know I have often noted that upon completion of their visit to the treasured and beloved Merchant's House Museum and before stepping back onto bustling East Fourth Street visitors will often pause in jewel boxed vestibule and comment, you know that was just like stepping into the past but what past was it exactly. The Merchant's House Museum is arguably the last surviving historic home that is a living link to the antebellum era in New York City, a period which despite its abundance of history making events is vastly underrepresented today in our city. The Treadwell family who occupied the Merchant's House witnessed and endured the rapid change that was going on in the world around them, from industrial and financial growth to the budding suffrage and social reform movements, from cultural milestones and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

evolution of fashion, to abolition and immigration and from epidemics and medical breakthroughs to civil war strife the Treadwell's saw it all and lived it all. The lives they lived is certainly reflected in the stunning Greek revival home itself but also in the archives, photographs, clothing and ephemera that make up their personal belongings. Though our tours, exhibitions, blog, public programming and our costume conservation visitors are granted entry into that world and are privileged to witness the unique cultural history of the Merchant's House Museum. Closing the museum would by necessity deny researchers and visitors access to these invaluable artifacts and I urge you to reject that proposal. Thank you.

TERESA UTHURRALT: Hi, I'm Teresa

Uthurralt, I'm a native New Yorker and I've lived on

East Fourth Street for ten years and I'm speaking on

behalf of the residents of East Fourth Street and the

East Fourth Street Block Association. I strongly urge

you to vote against this amendment, the proposed

zoning text amendment is an outrageous ask to serve

the narrow financial interest of one wealthy

individual at the expense of the people of New York

who are the rightful owners of the Merchant's House
Museum. You if you just go to East Fourth Street
you'll know that it's a very, very narrow street,
even if the Merchant's House wasn't there, it makes
absolutely no sense to put a hotel on a one way
street that the fire department needs for access but
more importantly you've heard from many people far
more qualified than me today on the engineering
risks. I've read all the reports and I can tell you
it's not fantastical, if you do a simple google
search you will learn that it adjacent construction
damage is incredibly frequent in New York, it happens
a lot even under the best of intentions and even in,
in projects that are far more ambitious that have
blue chip engineering firms and blue chip architects,
there's been damage to adjacent structures and ones
that are not as vulnerable as the Merchant's House
and it's the sort of thing you can't really model the
risk and I think other people have said this and to
me the simplest way to think of it as a parent, its
highly unlikely when I get in a car that I'm going to
crash and my child is going to die but I still put
in still put them in, inside their, their own car
seat every day. 403 Lafayette is the car seat here,

2.2

right, we, we can't model the risk here, we can't say well we're 80 percent certain the construction going to be safe. Finally, there is a petition online that others have referenced, six... over 6,000 people have signed it, I printed it out here today, so you can see their signatures, so... the people of... I've, I've gone to just about every single hearing, I too have never heard a single person speak in favor of this and I think in terms of the 403 Lafayette position I think it's really important to understand that development there is inevitable, right, so let's all be really smart and just make sure the car seats there. Thank you.

Theodos, I live in district two, ten blocks away from the Merchant's House Museum and I strongly urge my Council Member Carlina Rivera of the City Council to redress... reject this egregiously offensive spot zoning application. One doesn't need to be a structural engineer to know a 19th century building is extremely fragile. Fortunately, the Merchant's House Museum has already been designated a landmark, unfortunately there's an application for a spot zoning next door for a large hotel. Spot zoning is

city land use jargon for a developer who wants to
circumvent the rules. We must protect our already
designated properties otherwise what is the point.
There has been discussion of an alternative site the
developer can build on the hotel on. For me I don't
consider New York City developers to be a very
vulnerable group so contemplating alternative ways
the developer can be profitable is just not a
priority for me. What is a priority is that the city
protects our historic assets and heritage especially
ones already designated by the LPC and recognized on
both the state and federal levels so future
generations can learn and enjoy. My community was
recently disappointed by the outcome of the $14^{\rm th}$
Street Tech Hub and its my hope we don't see the same
with the Merchant's House Museum.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Okay, are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Yep, well hold on.

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, come on up. Is there anybody else? No, okay.

VINCENT PLESCIA: Hello, again my name is Vincent Plescia, I am an independent consultant for

fine and decorative arts and my clients range from
Eskimo in Alaska who have issues with their cultural
heritage and a 2,000-year-old artifact that they're
digging up, it's the only legal antiquities trade in
the world to clients like the Merchant's House. I
know the Merchant's House as if it was my own, I've
studied holes in the floor, tack tracking tack marks
for carpeting to try to unlock through forensics all
that the Merchant's House is still holding enclosed
in, in the years of history that have happened there
and I wanted to quickly speak to a point that
Councilwoman Carlina Rivera made about the integrity
and the risk of the Merchant's House and it's
collections. If you look at the Merchant's House like
an object, like a painting or like a piece of
furniture, a masterpiece that you would find in the
Metropolitan Museum of Art, when Steve Wynn put his
elbow through the 160 million dollar Picasso he
bought at Sotheby's and it needed to be repaired, yes
we can fix anything but that hole and that repair
still happened and that would be a similar case with
the Merchant's House and any development but it's
also a case of civil pride and awareness and I don't
know if you all are aware but it was in 1965 when the

2.2

Merchant's House was a poster child for the

Landmarks... New York City Landmarks Law and it changed

the city council's mind about that law and it was

passed and in fact Mayor Wagner at that time looked...

years later looked back at his time as Mayor and said

that it was the lasting contribution that his

administration did so if you look it up you will hear

and read about the city council's involvement in

1965, history will be written again and today we are

at that cross roads.

any other members of the public? Seeing none I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. Thank you. We will now proceed to hear LU 217, the HK Kitchen Corp application for a revocable consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café at 3599 East Tremont Avenue in Council Member Gjonaj's district in the Bronx. I now open up the public hearing on this application and I will call up Danine Romero. You just push the button, state your name and you can begin. Push... you've got to push the button to turn on the mic.

DANINE ROMERO: Danine Romero for HK Kitchen. Oh, I'm just here for the sidewalk café.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are you here to give 3 testimony? DANINE ROMERO: I'm for... [cross-talk] 4 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Did... [cross-talk] 5 DANINE ROMERO: ...and I guess the 6 7 application for the sidewalk café so... 8 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You're in favor of the sidewalk café? 9 10 DANINE ROMERO: Yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, are you... are you 12 the owner... [cross-talk] DANINE ROMERO: I'm the owner... [cross-13 14 talk] 15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...or operator? DANINE ROMERO: I'm the owner. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, have you reached 18 an agreement with the community on this? 19 DANINE ROMERO: Yes, I have and they all 20 agreed, and I did work with them with the amount of tables and chairs which was reduced to 15 tables and 21 30 chairs. 2.2 23 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And the ... with the 24 community board, with the community board approve... [cross-talk] 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 DANINE ROMERO: Yes... [cross-talk] 3 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...approval... [crosstalkl 4 DANINE ROMERO: ...they did... [cross-talk] 5 6 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. 7 DANINE ROMERO: They approved, right. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: The local Council 8 9 Member? 10 DANINE ROMERO: Yeah which was Matty Gjonaj 11 12 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Gjonaj. 13 DANINE ROMERO: Gjonaj. 14 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah. Okay, thank you 15 very much for your testimony today. 16 DANINE ROMERO: Okay. 17 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other 18 members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Seeing none we will now close the public 19 20 hearing on this application and it will be laid over. 21 Our next hearing will be on LU 208, the Lefferts Boulevard rezoning, an application for a zoning map 2.2 23 amendment to facilitate a new commercial development in Council Members Adam's district in Queens. A C2-3 24 commercial layover... overlay district could be 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 established within an existing R4-1 district, this 3 rezoning would extend to the current C2-3 overlay district along Lefferts Boulevard for a depth of 100 4 feet from Liberty Avenue to a line 500 feet north from 107th Avenue. I now open the public hearing on 6 7 this application and I will call up Richard Lobel and Fiona... 8 RICHARD LOBEL: Fiona actually had to 9 leave for another meeting... 10 11 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. 12 RICHARD LOBEL: Chair Moya thank you for 13 hearing us today for this extremely straightforward 14 and... [cross-talk] 15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Wait, I'm, I'm... 16 [cross-talk] 17 RICHARD LOBEL: ...brief... [cross-talk] 18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...sorry, I'm, I'm... [cross-talk] 19 20 RICHARD LOBEL: Oh, sure... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...sorry, my apologies... 21 2.2 RICHARD LOBEL: Please. 23 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Council please swear in the panelist. 24

COMMITTEE CLERK: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer all questions truthfully?

RICHARD LOBEL: I do.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, you may ... [cross-talk]

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you again... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...begin.

RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel for Sheldon Lobel and thank you again for having us today for a very brief discussion regarding the Lefferts

Boulevard rezoning. As was stated the rezoning seeks to extend the C2-3 commercial lay... overlay to cover both the subject property lot ten as well as the adjacent property, lot 11 and as you can see from the zoning change map the third operative page in the presentation, this rezoning will in essence allow the frontage on Lefferts to have a commercial overlay which will be coterminous with the overlay on the backside of Lefferts Boulevard on 118th Street. So, there's already commercial uses behind the property, there's already one commercial use, an existing

commercial use to the south of the property which
will be included as part of the rezoning and there's
commercial uses across the street. The entire area
was rezoned as part of the Ozone Park rezoning in
2013 which resulted in somewhat of an uneven zoning
district boundary and as with many other actions
before the Queens office that seeks to, to establish
the zoning map so that it complies with what's
currently on the ground. Again, the tax map the tax
map demonstrates at the extent of the rezoning area
would only be an additional 40 feet and would allow
commercial use that's consistent with the other
commercial uses along this block as they travel south
of Liberty Avenue. So, in addition to the maps and
photos that are included there's a, a plan
demonstrating what's proposed at the back which,
which would merely be for a one-story commercial
store. I would add that the community board and the
City Planning Commission as well as the Queensboro
President's Office were all unanimously in favor of
this. The one issue which did come up although the
community board and the Queensboro President was that
the adjacent lot, lot 11 maintained a restrictive
easement, driveway easement along its southern border

2.2

that is written into its deed and is part... already part of the record. So, in essence we've satisfied all the conditions that were required at every level of hearing and hope that the Subcommittee and eventually the full council will approve the rezoning in its totality.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Just one quick question for you, so you... can you confirm that no development will be allowed on the driveway located on lot 11?

RICHARD LOBEL: That's correct, and we did submit highlighted deeds to land use to demonstrate that fact, as a... as a legal matter the driveway easement remains operative and would be unable to be built upon.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Seeing none I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Our next hearing will be on LU's 209 through 213, the 26-32 Jackson Avenue

and the 2701 Jackson Avenue application for property
in Council Member Van Bramer's district in Queens.
HPD and DCAS and seeking approval of the disposition
of a negative easement on a city owned parcel block
267, lot 25 for the benefit of the two development
sites in connection with the sale of development
rights from the city owned parcel and a disposition
of a permanent easement on block 267, lot 25 for
purposes of light and air for proposed developments.
Now these actions would facilitate the development of
two mixed use buildings, one would have approximately
361 residential units of which approximately 112
residential units would be permanently affordable,
the other building would have approximately 120
apartments of which approximately 40 will be
permanently affordable. For each development site
there is a related private application from 2701
Jackson LLC and 2632 Jackson Avenue LLC for a special
permit to modify the street wall location provision
of ZR117531 and set back provisions of ZR117532.
There is also one application for a special permit to
allow a 91-space public parking garage. I now open
the public hearing on this application and I let me
call up our first panel; Jay Segal; Albert Shirian,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 Shirian, Shirian; Hal Fetner; Damien... okay and 3 Genevieve Michel. 4 [off mic dialogue] CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, council can you 5 6 please swear in the panel. 7 COMMITTEE CLERK: Before speaking please state your name into the mic after pushing the 8 button. Do you each swear or affirm that the 9 testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the 10 11 whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer 12 all questions truthfully? 13 GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Genevieve Michel, I 14 do. 15 ALBERT SHIRIAN: Albert Shirian, I do. 16 JAY SEGAL: Jay Segal, I do. 17 HAL FETNER: Hal Fetner, I do. DAMIEN PESLINI: Damien Peslini, I do. 18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Before, before we 19 20 begin I just want to turn it over to Council Member Van Bramer for his remarks. 2.1 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank you 23 very much Chair Moya for giving me the opportunity to speak and... on this important issue in my district. 24

This project of course does not exist in a vacuum, it

is but one part of the overall plan for Long Island
City and there are rightfully so significant concerns
in the community about the overall approach of this
administration when it comes to Long Island City. I
support and believe that those concerns are valid.
This project is one where people particularly in the
Court square area are concerned when it comes to
adding another 500 units of housing and what that
would mean for an area that is already underserved
as when it comes to infrastructure and we know we
need green space and we know we need more schools but
we also need more of a plan from this administration
on, on how we can make Long Island City and Hunters
Point and Court Square sustainable for the future. As
I said in an article last week, the administration
often talks about Long Island City as a
transportation rich community but I always tell them
not to say that because if you can't get on the seven
or you can't get on the eight it doesn't feel so
transportation rich to you and there are legitimate
concerns about not just this project but what I have
said will continue to say to the administration is
that the individual private application to individual
application to individual private application is

deeply concerning and, and not what I believe this
neighborhood deserves and needs, there's got to be
more of a comprehensive plan about how we deliver the
infrastructure and resources necessary to keep this
neighborhood so livable and so sustainable. So, I'm
anxious to hear not just the presentation but
obviously all the members of my community who have
traveled all the way here to City Hall and 250
Broadway to talk about this, but I want to thank them
in particular for taking what has now been a large
chunk of your days to be here and to speak to these
very serious issues. Again if all we were faced with
were 480 or so units that would be one discussion but
we all know that behind this is 44^{th} Drive and
Plaxall and so many others and my job as the council
member is to take a look at all of that not just one
and I urge the administration again to not go this
private application route to private application
route to private application route but instead to
listen more broadly to this community and it's
concerns. So, with that I thank the Chair for giving
me the opportunity to say a few words.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council Member, we now can begin the testimony.

2	GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Again, my name is
3	Genevieve Michel from HPD. Land Use Numbers 209 to
4	213 are related to a joint ULURP application
5	submission by HPD and DCAS regarding the proposed
6	disposition of air rights from city owned properties
7	located at block 432, lots 18 and 29; block 267, lot
8	25 as well as ULURP applications from the development
9	team for the granting of special permits in Queens
. 0	council district 26 for the development of a project
.1	currently known as Long Island City Ramps. Land Use
.2	Number 210 C180384ZSQ and Land Use Number 212
.3	C180382ZSQ both relate to special permits to modify
. 4	the setback requirements allowing for the
.5	construction of the project. Land Use Number 213
. 6	C180383ZSQ relates to a special permit to allow for
.7	the creation of an of an attended parking garage
. 8	with spaces for 91 cars within the building and both
. 9	Land Use Number 209 C180386PPQ and Number 211
20	C180385PPQ pertain, pertain to the air rights to be
21	conveyed. These land use actions will facilitate the
22	development of a mixed income, mixed use project
23	containing a total of 481 residential units of which
24	approximately 152 units will affordable to households
25	at or below 130 percent of AMI with rents averaging

2	115 percent AMI. The project consists of two
3	buildings on two different lots; a 27-story building
4	and a 48-story building. The proposed project is a
5	result of an RFP issued by EDC in November of 2014
6	seeking proposals that would utilize excess
7	development rights related to three city owned
8	properties located under the Long Island City side of
9	the Ed Koch Queensboro Bridge approach ramps. Aspects
10	of the RFP envisions the use of approximately 494,000
11	as of right square feet of development rights
12	associated with the sites. DCP is only permitting a
13	transfer of 362,683 square feet of the air rights
14	therefore HPD and DCAS propose to sell six 66,368
15	square feet of development rights from lots under the
16	approach ramps to the adjacent north site block 432,
17	lots 18 and 19 a.k.a 27-01 Jackson Avenue and sell
18	296,315 square feet of development rights from under
19	the lots I'm from lots under the approach ramps to
20	the adjacent south site block 267, lot 25, a.k.a 26-
21	32 Jackson Avenue. The development team includes the
22	owner of property adjacent to the city owned sites,
23	compensation for the rights will be the provision of
24	permanently affordable housing units and a cash
25	payment based on the average price per square foot of

residential unit sales. The permanently affordable
housing units will mirror the city's mandatory
inclusionary housing program and use MIH option four,
work force forth work force housing or 30 percent
of the residential floor area at an average of, at,
or below 150 percent AMI. This translates to 151,000
square feet of residential floor area or an
anticipated 150 units, of the 150 units 22 will be
set at 60 percent AMI rents; 18 at 90 percent AMI
rents; 14 at 110 percent AMI rents and 96 at 130
percent AMI rents. MIH is not required as part of
these land use actions as no new floor area is being
created and it is instead being provided as a
consideration for the development rights. This
project presents a creative opportunity for HPD to
build much needed housing for moderate- and middle-
income households and is an opportunity to provide
affordability in the neighborhood without having to
provide any subsidies beyond the development rights
themselves. This is not a typical disposition for HPI
where we have actual land that is a free and clear
parcel, in this case we only have development rights
and propose to convey to a private property owner
that is an existing as of right development parcel,

so we thought creatively to determine the right level
of affordability to achieve the maximum public
benefit. To give a bit of history on that
negotiation, there were multiple appraisals issued
for the development rights that were analyzed by HPD
that ultimately determined a market value of 100
dollars per square foot. In 2016, HPD valued the cost
of providing a permanently affordable housing unit in
this neighborhood at roughly… at roughly 500,000
dollars taking into consideration, proving affordable
house, housing and perpetuity. Based on that average
cost per unit HPD determined an affordable unit
count, an affordability level commensurate with the
market value of the reduced air rights, at 100
dollars per square foot only 72 permanently
affordable units at 60 percent AMI would be created.
We didn't think that was good enough, so we went back
to the drawing board to work with the developer to
achieve a project with maximum public benefit,
initially we considered MIH option one which would
have resulted in 134 affordable housing units instead
of 72 but the project would require tax credits and
bonds for the affordable component. HPD additionally
asked to share in the upside of condo sales, the

developer agreed. However as of spring 2017 neither
the city HDC nor HSA have a policy to revise to low
income housing tax credits and bonds for projects
providing 30 percent or less affordability making MIF
options one and two which would have required that
subsidy no longer feasible, feasible. Without
subsidies the developer proposed the use of MIH
option one, the work force option with 30 percent of
residential floor area set aside for permanent
affordable housing averaging 115 percent AMI.
Recognizing the change in the lending environment,
the need for the project to generate additional cash
flow and the increase in the number of total
permanently affordable units HPD agreed to accept
this change. In addition, the cash payment together
with the affordable housing is over and above the
value of the air rights being sold and ensures the
city shares in the upside of the revenue from condo
development to support future affordable housing in
Queens community district two. HPD believes this deal
allows us to receive greater affordability that can
be purchased outright through subsidy and includes a
cash payment that can be used to buy more affordable
housing on other projects therefore in order to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

facilitate development of the Long Island City Ramps project we are before the council seeking approval Land Use Actions Numbers 209 to 213. And I will now turn it over to the development team to talk through some of the specifics of the proposal.

ALBERT SHIRIAN: Good afternoon Chairman Moya, Council Member Van Bramer. My name is Albert Shirian, I'm a founder of Lions Group which has built six multi-families in this vibrant city since 2004. This is my first experience with the ULURP process. This matter is simply a transfer of the development's rights, it's not an up-zoning because we won't ... will not add a single person above the as of right density allowed. As you will see the plan does not... does add more affordable housing than would likely ever be built with the city's air rights and we have agreed to pay full market value in the form of 150 permanently affordable units with full price protection. We must build them and maintain them no matter the cost. We have partnered with the respected Fetner Group, Harold Fetner, an experienced affordable housing company. We want the city council to know especially our district Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer that we are here to be a responsible

2.2

community developer. We have listened to the community and it's elected officials and we are prepared to do our part to respond to the concerns of the community. I'm here to answer your questions and I hope to engage in a process of negotiation which leads to the sale of air rights. I will now let the professionals review the project for you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may... you may begin.

Member Moya, Council Member Van Bramer. My name is
Jay Segal, I'm a Land Use Attorney with Greenberg
Traurig representing the developers. As Albert said
this is not a rezoning, this is an application to do
what a private developer would be allowed to do as of
right or any private, private property owner could do
as of right which is merge its property with an
adjacent neighbor and transfer floor area. Of course,
we wouldn't be here if this were an as of right
situation because the city is held to higher standard
than private property owners and this is an action to
allow the city to dispose of its development rights
to an adjacent parcel. As you've heard we're talking
about disposing of 66,368 square feet to the northern

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

property and 296,213 feet to the southern property. The amount of square feet that's being disposed of to the south was... it's an odd number and it came up because City Planning worked with the developer for many months about what height they thought would be appropriate in the area and this amount of square feet together with the develop is 80,000 square feet, came up with a 49 story building and that's how the 296,000 and change square feet was derived. In addition to the disposition application there are easements so the buildings that face over the ramps could have legal light and air from their windows starting at a height that DOT will find appropriate. The special permits that were referred to by HPD are primarily, so the city doesn't have to build on their property, the street wall requirements in the special district say that all of the properties on the zoning lot have to have a building on it and the city doesn't want to build underneath the ramps and alongside the ramps so we need a waiver. DOT asked us to step back from their ramp by eight feet, so they could repair it, we're... we... we're certainly willing to do that but we need a special permit to do it because otherwise we'd have to build right up to our

property line and the other special permit is to not
set back at 150 feet from the ramp. The last special
permit, I bet you heard about a parking garage is
because on Jackson Avenue you cannot have a curb cut
so our large building on the south can't have a
garage and, and, and so we're asking that the curb
cut that's allowed on 43 rd Avenue that's adjacent to
the smaller 27 story building can lead into a public
parking garage. We expect that most of the people who
will park in the public parking garage will be people
in the smaller building and in the larger building,
so it would relieve the area from the cars to the
people who would be living in the building. Those are
the actions that would be necessary for us to be able
to build the buildings that you're seeing in the
handouts, the 27 story and the 49 story buildings.
So, just a recap what we've heard from Genevieve, in
terms of the affordability there will be
approximately 150 affordable homes, the average AMI
will be approximately 115 with 20 of which being at
60 percent; 18 of which being at 90 percent; 14 of
which at 110 percent and 96 of which at 130 percent.
The other note that may not be obvious right away is
there is a pretty good of all the affordable 50 we

2.2

have 56 two bedrooms and 18 three bedrooms. We were focused on... in working with HPD in identifying some larger homes that would be available as part of the... part of the package, part of the program. In terms of the... this is just a quick summary of the, the project itself. There's 153,000 square feet of affordable area that... of the 362 that is being transferred it represents 42 percent so of all the air rights being transferred 42 percent are affordable, I think that's a, a very important number to, to focus on. We've gone through the mix itself.

Member Van Bramer. My name is Hal Fetner and I am the President of Fetner Properties, I am a third-generation builder and developer and I'm exceptionally proud of the many affordable housing projects that our company has created over the last few years. Fetner Properties would like to thank this committee for helping to make many of those projects a reality as some of them went through a ULURP process and applaud this council for their responsiveness for the need for affordable housing. I'd also like to mention how excited and proud we are to be partnering with the Shirian family. The

Shirian's have established themselves as the premier
developers and have always tried to be responsible
members within their communities. It is this very
sense of community that brought the two families
together. A guiding principle of Fetner Properties is
that we believe each of our buildings are thriving
communities for all of our residents with no
distinction between our market rate or affordable
units and I'm excited that the Shirian family has
is shares our visions for our projects. This project
is a creative use of a city asset, if the city or a
private developer attempted to build 100 percent
affordable housing on this city owned site it would
not be economically practical as a result of the cost
to build over the ramp. The amount of city subsidies
needed to make the affordable housing work would be
excessive and wasteful in light of the efficiency of
adding the air rights to the adjacent site therefore
transferring those development rights to parcels that
could have already been built as of right and thereby
creating 150 affordable homes is a creative smart use
of these air rights. Letting the two sites before you
today be built without the city's rights would pretty
much destroy the value of the city's asset for

affordable housing. It would be a shame not to take
advantage of these air rights and not create more
needed housing. I make it a point to know my
residents in all of our properties especially my
affordable residents, I have seen how the affordable
housing programs have worked and have actually
changed lives. I have many residents in my building
who but for the grace of god did not get the right
opportunity however once they moved into my buildings
and suddenly had access to safe, clean housing as
well as better schools for their children. Some of
these families now have children who have graduated
college, and some are now enrolled in medical schools
as well as graduate programs, this would never have
been possible had they not moved into one of our
affordable units. We're gratified to have helped make
this happen, this council has been a powerful partner
on these projects and we can all be proud of what we
have done for these families. Finally, I'd like to
respectfully point out that our request today in no
way precludes converting the land to public open
space under the bridge. I'd like to thank the
Chairman and Council Member Van Bramer for the

2 opportunity to speak today and I look forward to any 3 questions. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Now I want to turn it over to the Council Member for questions.

very much Mr. Chari and thank you for the, the presentation, the entire team. So, a few things and I want to say that this is obviously not personal, right, obviously there are issues at stake with the administration and there are conversations that we're having with the administration and, and, and I think that is a big part of the problem quite frankly so with that said let me just ask a few questions.

Obviously one of the biggest and most pressing needs in Court Square are, are school seats and, and I know we've had some conversations with you all but maybe you can speak to the issue, why, why can't we get a school as part of this project?

JAY SEGAL: Well we've... the, the SCA wants a footprint of many times the size of our properties, our properties are 10,000 square feet and 9,200 square feet and the SCA wants 20 to 30,000 square feet and I know the... they're looking at other properties that other clients might have and it's the

2 kind of minimum dimension they want and this was not 3 a site that they were interested in.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Well that's obviously a problem for the neighborhood as you could well imagine, right, where we have ... we have so little in terms of school seats in the Court Square area and adding another 481 families would just increase the pressure for school seats in the area so the administration needs to come to us with a plan for additional school seats in this neighborhood now, now and that is a huge issue that is not going away, that is not getting better any time soon and the administration needs to step up and make sure that this community knows that it's going to be able to send their children to a school in their own neighborhood and, and that is a challenge for this project and every other project that the administration is looking at in Long Island City. The, the ramps and the potential park that the community so desperately needs and that obviously I support that is something that you said is not precluded by this going through but maybe you can speak to how you would... you would advance that project or support that project because it is... it is

impossible to envision additional families, thousands of additional people without the infrastructure that would actually allow those communities to live in a neighborhood that, that is livable.

JAY SEGAL: Understand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

EDWARD WALLACE: First state my name?

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Yes.

EDWARD WALLACE: Yes, my name is Edward Wallace, I'm a partner of Jay Segal's at Greenberg Traurig representing the development team and I am signed up as a... as just kind of a witness, a question answerer. So, first Council Member I hope you understand, and the community understands how aligned in principle we are with the idea that open space is a very important thing beyond that I think we would say that we can be relied upon to use whatever good offices we have if it's useful from your point of view. We have studied the ramps, we know what uses are there so factually I think we can bring resources to it and I think we have some potential to be useful in giving you and the community some benefit of our development expertise in terms of what is feasible but beyond that I would say this is not the time and the place to say much more about that other than we

2.2

really do want to work with your office, with you, with the council and with the administration to solve any of the problems that have been addressed, we listened to the community very, very carefully at the community board hearing, learned from it and I think responded both to the borough president and are now here today to say we're here to be responsive and cooperative and do our... do our share.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: So, obviously the community is here, and the community board is represented by Sheila Lewandowski on the next panel and I want to hear from all of them. I do want to ask this questions, I know... maybe this is an HPD question, so at this point you're proposing that 40 percent of the affordable units... or 40 percent of the units that we gain from the air rights transfer would be affordable, correct and if we're exchanging air rights for publicly owned space why aren't we getting 100 percent affordable, for example as part of that particular piece of this deal?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: So, I think when we went back and forth with the development team to try and figure out what the right structure was, you know I think what we had found was the… based on the, the

2.2

value of the air rights versus the cost of building affordable housing, we thought we could finance at 60 percent AMI, 72 affordable housing units, I think unfortunately we have... you know I think... because we're using air rights and not actually... it's not actually a piece of property that we own our leverage is a little bit limited as far as what can subsidize here and what we can ask of the developer and so I think after, you know quite a bit of back and forth between our team and their team this is, you know where we landed and where we thought we could generate the best deal.

I, I think this is part of our, our problem, right, obviously once again this does not exist in a vacuum and as we, we have some scoping meetings going on in the community today and I think a lot of people are offended even as am I that, that the city is looking at developing city owned land and, and having 25 percent of those units be affordable, right, that's, that's disgraceful actually and, and I think this particular piece of this particular deal I think will feel to some, you know in a similar way, right, that, that we're talking about publicly and what we do with

2	public land and how we, we dispose of public land.
3	So, I want to hear from obviously my community and I
4	know they've waited a really long time to be able to
5	say what they want to say but I'll just say this and
6	again this is not personal but this is you know
7	we're not going to negotiate here but the
8	administration, the Deputy Mayor and EDC and HPD and
9	DOT and all of the teams have got to understand that
10	the people in this community are demanding better, I
11	am demanding better and there has to be a
12	comprehensive plan for this community that actually
13	addresses the infrastructure needs of this community
14	today, it is really unfair the way the city is, is
15	treating Long Island City and, and wanting to, to add
16	and add and add without substantially addressing the
17	infrastructure needs that exist today. So, with that
18	said I will pass it back to the Chair and thank once
19	again everyone for coming from the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you and thank
you to the panel for being here to testify and I now
am going to call the next panel; Pedro, Pedro Gomez,
Peter Johnson, Sheila Lewandowski and Vincent
Plescia. Yeah, Plescia, Vincent, no Vincent. Frank

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Wu, I have four, okay, thank you. So, we'll start with you Sheila. No, you go first.

SHEILA LEWANDOWSKI: Good afternoon Chairman Moya and Council Member Van Bramer. Thank you very much for this opportunity to testify. My name is Sheila Lewandowski and I'm the second Vice Chair of Community Board Two and I'm reading the letter we sent to the administration in regard to this proposal. I will say in addition I am a resident and a homeowner, I'm very active and outside of my position on the community if you have any questions for me I will respond in that... in that... as that, that position. So, we sent this to Director Lago. On June 7th community board two held a public hearing concerning this ULURP application that we call the HPD LSE ramps project. At that meeting with a quorum present a motion was made and seconded to oppose the application, the motion... the motion passed overwhelmingly with 33 board members in favor of the motion to oppose and only one opposed and none, none abstaining. Community board two expressed the following concerns which formed the basis for our unanimous decision. Incentivizing development in Court Square an already overheated market will have a

negative some impact by nearly tripling the density
of the project that would otherwise be permissible a
of right. The Court Square community is already
overburdened by development as Council Member Van
Bramer very, very accurately pointed out. The city's
transfer of public assets in the form of development
right, air rights will only serve to enrich the
developer and increase the number of transient marke
rate rental units by nearly 60 percent. The board
feels very strongly that this the public benefit of
this air rights transfer would be much greater if
among other things the city and the developer a one
to one equation of additional FAR to affordable unit
and by both using affordable housing tools other tha
MIH and significantly increasing the depth of
affordability and ratio of affordable to market rate
units in this project. As presented in this
application the level of public benefit when compare
to the FAR windfall that would be realized by the
developer is woefully insufficient. The Court Square
neighborhood lacks adequate infrastructure, I would
say that's even a light word for how little
infrastructure they have, there's can I just finish
or would you rather sorry, schools, community open

2.2

space, affordable artist studios, medical facilities, transportation and this air rights transfer of public land could be better used to enrich the community such as to incentivize development of a community center which is direly needed. The board also feels very strongly the city should prioritize the immediate use of the open public space under the ramp for park space and open space including opportunities for the arts rather than the current development Department of Transportation storage. I don't need to finish you, you have the rest of the testimony but thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

PEDRO GOMEZ: Hi there, my name is Pedro Gomez and I have the honor of serving as President of the Court Square Civic Association in Long Island City, thank you so much for allowing me to speak. I'm here today because this air rights transfer falls within the boundaries of my neighborhood and I have heard from so many of my members and neighbors about this particular project. We are not another NIMBY group, we are a group of residents who are concerned about the level of hyper development in Long Island City without the requisite investment in our fragile

infrastructure by this administration. The past few
years have brought thousands of apartments and
residents to our neighborhood with no investment in
our fragile infrastructure, schools for our children
and public spaces for us to create community. We have
been calling on this administration to address our
community's needs but have instead been met with a
string of spot rezoning that further strain our
community like the one before you today. I know many
in this administration can't stop sharing their
excitement about the changes that have swept over our
neighborhood over the past few years but the reality
on the ground is much different. As an example, in
LIC there are point four acres of green space for
every 1,000 residents, the city's goal is 2.5 acres
per 1,000 residents. Our school's crisis reached a
tipping point this year when the damand for seats
reached so high that we now have an elementary school
being incubated in a pre-K center a block away from
the sites that are looking to be rezoned in this
conversation, there are no plans for a new elementary
school in our neighborhood. The proposed air rights
transfer is a gross giveaway of public resources
shrouded under the guise of affordable housing. This

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

administration is in a rush to meet short term affordable housing quotas in a spreadsheet without thinking about the livability of the neighborhood they're putting these units in; what parks will these families play in, what school will these children, children go to. It is unconscionable to use our public, public air rights to increase the mass of these two buildings by threefold and I take... I take really... I take issue with the fact that this is being called not an up zoning, we can't get lost in the technicality around this. These people would not be coming to our neighborhood if it wasn't for this. Infrastructure needs to be in place before additional development happens in Court Square, this project does nothing to support the neighborhood's infrastructural and public needs. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

PETER JOHNSON: Hi, I'm Peter Johnson; a homeowner, tax payer, resident of LIC, member of the Hunters Point Community Coalition. Community board two overwhelmingly rejected this sale of publicly owned air rights for private development as did Borough President Katz because it provides little or no benefit to LIC and Queens. More than half the

Queen's resident's annual income and earnings are
well below the AMI for this project. The sale of
public property in the form of air right transfers to
increase private development construction is an
egregious abuse of the fundamental principles guiding
wise urban planning. If the city council approves
this sale few if any council district will be immune
from the sale of public space air rights whether they
be parks, under highways or public schools, indeed
why bother with urban planning, let's hear a cheer
for corporate welfare and crony capitalism while
ignoring the intolerable living conditions created by
these developers among sure realities as inadequate
public schools, virtually no open space, insufficient
sewer capacity resulting in CSOs with every bit of
rain and one live public tree for every 2,200
residents. An immediate moratorium on all non-as of
right efforts to rezone or modify land use in LIC
must be put into place and remain until a
comprehensive analysis of all proposed actions in DOE
approved projects is conducted. To ignore the health
and welfare of LIC residents by members of city
council sends a citywide signal that spot zoning,
private gain using public property and the utter

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

disregard of the horrendous deficiencies of top down planning are acceptable and furthermore suggest that our elected representatives voting for this truly hold us in contempt. May I remind them that these residents are voters and the decision made today will be remembered at the coming elections as well as future ones. Thank you.

FRANK WU: Hi guys, my name is Frank Wu. I just want to say something, Jimmy Van Bramer earlier said that this is not personal, I think it should be important to note for the lawyers on retainer, for the developers, for elected officials all of you guys are today on salary but all of us are not, some don't have jobs, this is very, very personal for us. My name is Frank Wu and this is my first time testifying at a public hearing, I work in technology and moved to Court Square a year ago with my wife and two year old. since moving to the neighborhood I've discovered some significant issues regarding schools and transportation. The, the, the neighborhood study that Pedro cited was from 2015 and it includes Sunnyside and Woodside, it did not account for the fact we're having tens of thousands of new units coming in so that port... point, point

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

four acres is actually a lot less than that. I differ in that I strongly support additional housing inventory, but I think zoning and development in itself is not an even, it needs to be taken holistically and looked at. There is an opportunity here in exchange for public air rights to convert three acres of publicly owned land that is used for storing vehicles and DOT equipment, this is also supported by the CB2 as well as the Queensboro President. In her strategic plan for Western Point Queens she quotes, "western Queens lacks public gathering spaces and walkable retail corridors", this is supported by local businesses, so it's supported by local individuals. Who would support the storage of a dirty truck vehicle with bird feces, broken glass and... over a common green space for all local residents to enjoy and tax payers. I appreciate the city council's consideration in looking at this land use hearing as not a public forum for transfer of air rights but as a significant quality of life issue for a growing number of local residents from continued underinvestment by the city. Thank you for your time and consideration for voting no on this 100 percent, 100 percent of all the DOT lots are converted to at

2 least public space as a minimum requirement. Thank
3 you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Before, before you go the Council Member has a few questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank you.

So, Frank I was going to ask you what you had said in your very last piece of what, what, what you said there which is that you believe that if 100 percent of that land is, is acquired for public use that you would then support the project?

FRANK WU: I, I mean I think as a... as a minimum requirement certainly, I mean it's not... you know it's about the implementation details, the zoning and development in itself is not an inherent Evo, it's as you said earlier it's about the holistic plan, right, so if I eat more kale I'm not going to be more healthy, I think if they were to support 100 percent of it, I mean I, I, I would probably strongly lean yes because they own their land... development on their own so...

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Okay and I just want to say I understand very much how personal this is for everyone but what I was saying there is that the administration, the Mayor, Deputy Mayor

Glen, all the agencies their approach to Long Island
City, how they are dealing with this community very
much impacts these folk's plan so that's what I'm
saying there is their plan, they feel is a, a good
plan obviously a lot of people don't feel that way
but what I'm saying to them is whether you think it's
good or not how I vote on the project and Peter no
one has voted nor is anyone on the council as far as
I know indicated they are voting for the project so I
appreciate your, your passion but no one here has
said they're voting for the project but, but it is
very much about how the Mayor and Deputy Mayor Glen
and EDC and everyone is treating this community and
that is deeply, deeply troubling to me and very
concerning to me and I'm certainly conveying that to
them in the strongest possible terms in both what I'm
saying here today and what I said in the Commercial
Observer and what I'll continue to say so but I
fully understand how personal it is for all of you as
it is for me because I represent you so with that I
just want to say thank you to everyone.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm going to call up the next panel; Aaron Shirian...

[off mic dialogue]

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Oh, sorry. Okay,
3 Alexandra Castilla...

2.2

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Leave... Eric Miniem [sp?], Patrick Smith, Mark LaVia, Judith Rosenfeld, okay. Bret Swanson and Ann Jodis... Ann Jodis Crutrus [sp?]. Yeah.

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Okay, you may begin, yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Good afternoon

Council Member Van Bramer and Chair Moya. My name is

Spano Gotis Cotis [sp?], I'm a doorman at 995 Fifth

Avenue and I have been a member of 32 BJ two year...

for two years. I'm here today testifying on behalf of

my union, SEIU 32 BJ and the approximately 1,500 32

BJ members who live and work in Long Island City and

Astoria. 32 BJ is the largest property service

workers union in the country, many of us... of us work

in residential buildings like the proposed

development on 2632 Jackson Avenue and 2701 Jackson

Avenue. We are confident that the Jackson Avenue

project will create high quality building service

jobs and we want to see it go forward. It is our

estimation that when the building opens Jackson East
and West will be staffed with about approximately 25
building service workers. I can't stress enough how
important having a good job is especially for New
Yorkers. Fetner Properties one of the developers from
this project has had a long-standing relationship
with 32 BJ, they have been proactive in providing the
kind of good family sustaining jobs that uphold the
standards building service workers have fought for
citywide. These jobs allow our members to remain in
New York and live with dignity, mobility and
security. The developers have made a commitment to
provide good jobs at the Jackson East and West
project. We believe they will be an asset to the
community and we strongly support this their
project. Thank you.

MARK LAVIA: Good morning, my name is

Mark LaVia, I lived in Long Island City for over ten

years and my family has owned property and lived in

Long Island City for generations, maybe 80 or 90

years. I can remember when I first moved to Hunters

Point South... well let me start by saying I know... I, I

moved into a building that was built by the

Shirian's, by the Lions Group and they were for a new

owner and tenant they were a fantastic developer,
they were hands on, any issues they came right to the
building and they worked with us even, even to the
point where they didn't have to, commercial spaces
were being rented, the building wanted certain
things, they could have put anyone in there, they
polled us, they took us our into consideration and
they ultimately, you know did what we wanted there.
So, you know I, I remember when Hunters Point was
just starting to sort of turn over and my family, you
know was initially very oh, against, you know
there's not enough infrastructure here and I can
remember thinking, you this is this is probably
going to be a good thing and it turned out to be a
fantastic thing for them, for the neighborhood and I
think you're seeing kind of the same thing in Court
Square where I think, you know of course you have to
work with the community, you have to make sure
there's infrastructure in place but I think that, you
know you know stopping development is not really the
way to do it, I think trying to make negotiate and
make a deal or make something that's good for
everybody, that's good for the… for the city, that's
good for ten neighborhood, I think there's a lot of

2.2

opportunity here and... especially in light of the affordable component, you know I think any time you can add affordable units to a neighborhood like this, you know you take a neighborhood that, that could just be full of like very wealthy families and, and try to hedge it so that you can have some teachers and some police officers and some other, you know middle income families living there which gives like... really makes the neighborhood but a neighborhood that's just all wealthy families is, is not the best thing so... thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You have to push the button to turn on your microphone.

PATRICK SMITH: My name is Patrick Smith,

I'm a native New Yorker, a 20 year resident of Queens

and a homeowner on Jackson Avenue, my mom is an

immigrant, my dad is one of the longest serving union

members in the city, I'm a graduate of New York

University and Queens College, I grew up in an

affordable apartment and my experience as a former

board president of a Lions Group Develop Condominium

Complex I can attest that Lions Group creates high

quality developments and they stand behind their

work. The subject lots are in somewhat of an

undesirable area near the 59^{th} Street bridge exit
ramp and there are very tall buildings throughout
this district, so the proposed buildings would not be
out of context and they would actually improve street
life on the block. To understand the proposed
development, it is helpful to compare it to recent
developments in LIC. Five points; despite haphazardly
destroying a cultural icon received approval to
develop two towers containing 1,115 units with just
20 percent of the units set aside for affordable
apartments, by comparison the subject properties
would create just 481 total housing units of which 31
percent would be dedicated to permanent affordable
housing, a concentration of affordable housing that
is more than 50 percent greater than five points.
There have recently been groups formed to speak out
against development in Long Island City and I do
appreciate many of their efforts, as a child who
benefited from an affordable apartment I think I
bring a unique perspective. I am lucky to be a
homeowner in LIC and many members of the group
opposing this project also own real estate in Long
Island City including highly valued town houses and
market rate co-ops and market rate condominiums just

like me. The census tracks that comprise Hunters
Point in Court Square have one of the highest median
income households in New York City, we cannot and
should not prevent desperately needed construction of
affordable and market housing because we prefer not
to share LIC with newcomers or because of decades
long issues related to the MTA or because we are
upset that we had to wait for the next seven train. I
had one, one last comment. So, to conclude I'm going
to read a, a I'll, I'll just read it, it's from
someone's article on this issue or on issues similar
to this. We are unlikely to make progress towards
providing affordable homes in thriving, safe and high
quality neighborhoods if we do not hear, respect and
seriously intend to resolve the concerns that may
lead to opposition to new development in the nation's
growing cities that is not to say that we should
prevent necessary change, entrench privilege or
protect property values over human needs, it is
instead a call for careful attention to which fears
and concerns can and should be addressed and which
must yield to the greater social need to keep our
cities affordable and open to all.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

2 PATRICK SMITH: Thank you.

3 BRAD HOYLMAN: Good afternoon Chair Lago 4 and Council Member Van Bramer, Council Member Moya. My name is Brad Swanson representing the Queens 5 Chamber of Commerce and Executive Director Tom Grech. 6 7 We write this letter today on behalf of the Queens Chamber in support of the above referenced land use 8 applications. The Queens Chamber of Commerce is the 9 oldest and largest business association in Queens, 10 we've achieved a membership level of near 1,000 11 12 business organizations representing over 90,000 13 Queens based employees and we are testifying in favor 14 of the application which will create hundreds of good 15 jobs and generate economic activity for the 16 businesses in the Long Island City area. The plan 17 before you allow the city to transfer the air rights 18 to create much needed affordable housing by literally creating it out of thin air. The buildings that are 19 20 being created are tall but in scale are much smaller than other buildings in the area. The developer... the 21 2.2 developer, American Lion includes the Shirian family 23 which has been responsible... has been a responsible Long Island City developer for a long time and Fetner 24 Properties, a leading developer of affordable 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

housing. We know they've expressed interest in working closely with the residential and business community to address the issues of infrastructure and open space in the area. Finally, we support the workforce affordable rents that will enable the community to continue to be home to a wide array of working New Yorkers including uniformed service personnel, school teachers and medical service employees. Given the cost to build it is the right economic mix and a good use of the city's otherwise unusable floor area underneath the ramps. For these reasons the Queens Chamber of Commerce wholeheartedly endorses the project and for these foregoing reasons we support the proposed application and request the City Planning Commission approve the land use application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

DAMIEN PESLINI: Hello again, Damen

Peslini but I'm speaking on behalf of Breaking

Ground. Breaking Ground is the largest developer and

manager of permanent supportive housing in New York

State. Breaking Ground currently operates over 3,700

units of supportive and affordable housing for low

income individuals and families with over 1,100 new

apartments in development. As a result, we have
nearly three decades of experience in marketing and
tenant selection for affordable projects. We
regularly manage the lottery and lease out process
for, for other not for profit and for profit
companies and would be administering agent for this
project. Breaking Ground's experience and recent city
data supports the need for new housing stock with
varying levels of affordability. There's a.m. currently
a smaller share of rental units citywide affordable
to both low- and moderate-income households as
compared to ten years ago. Secondly the share of low,
middle- and moderate-income New Yorker households
considered to be rent burdened translated as to be
paying more than 50 percent of their income on rent
has risen. Under the city's affordable income
guidelines at 130 percent AMI a family of four could
make up to 135,000 for a two-bedroom apartment and
their rent would be less than 2,800 per month. At a
market rate these same families would be expected to
pay four to five thousand per month. These households
are typically your school teachers, fire fighters and
other similar working-class individuals who are being
priced out of housing despite being deemed middle

2.2

income. HPD's mandatory inclusionary housing workforce option will enable the city to provide the necessary affordable housing to these households. On behalf of Breaking Ground thank you for the opportunity to testify, your commitment to providing affordable housing to New Yorkers is greatly appreciated.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you all for your testimony today. The next panel Brent O'Leary, Mary Bradley, Thomas Pano. Thank you, we're going to start with you Brent. You got to push the button to turn on the microphone.

BRENT O'LEARY: Oh, hello. Thank you, really appreciate the City Council Members being here for us and letting us speak. My name is Brent O'Leary, I'm the President of the Hunter's Point Civic Association and on behalf of our members and the neighborhood we sincerely ask you to oppose this application. As mentioned our community board is all... had... already had hearings on this and said that it is not appropriate for the neighborhood. Our Borough President, Melinda Katz has also opposed this and the overdevelopment in our area is getting so bad that our Assembly Woman Cathy Nolan is... now calls for a

moratorium on new construction until a comprehensive
plan for the infrastructure can be put in place for
this neighborhood. We are in sincere crisis as
mentioned by our, our Council Member, we don't have
the number of school seats we need, we don't have
community centers, we don't have a senior center, we
our sewer system is at capacity and we're coming into
crisis mode. We to add a 49-story building and a 27-
story building is just unacceptable and it will is
an insult to, to the neighborhood. As our Councilman
know, knows we don't have the infrastructure to do
this, we understand that what's being offered is some
affordable housing but as mentioned before if the
majority of people in Queens can't even afford to
apply for this how is that really affordable. I'm
sorry, the air rights as we see is a public asset and
for that we should be getting something in benefit,
we're not getting any infrastructure and what we
think the only thing we're getting is phony
affordable housing and a huge strain which is going
to go to our neighborhood again, so we sincerely
implore you to please reject this proposal. Thank
you.

2 MAIM BRADLEY: Thank you for... [cross-3 talk]

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Sure, just speak into the microphone.

Thank you for letting us MAIM BRADLEY: testify today. My name is Maim Bradley, I'm a resident of Long Island City and a member of the Long Island City Coalition. I want to talk about one aspect of the infrastructure which is already failing, it's the sewer system. Our sewer system has ... the combined sewer overflows that dot the East River shoreline along Long Island City regularly wash untreated sewage, human fecal matter into our waterways when it rains even one quarter of an inch. DEP which has signed off on this project also asked residents of Queens and Brooklyn via the website to not flush our toilets or shower or do laundry when it's raining because of the overflows and residential backups that are occurring. Yes, DEP may have the capacity to process the additional waste from this Jackson Avenue project at Bowery Bay, but it does not have the capacity in the system to transport it to the treatment plant. Just last week the East River overflowed into Gantry Park, this is a common

occurrence, a distinct debris line about six feet
into the grassy area in front of the library marked
the perimeter of the waters edge, this water
containing both sewage and toxic storm water runoff
from the streets and released from the
euphemistically named wet weather discharge point has
repeatedly soaked into the lawn where in dry weather
families bring their young children to play, to
picnic and enjoy the waterfront. By transferring air
rights to this project and substantially expanding it
the city will add 481 new units and at least 722 new
toilets to the overburdened sewers. Just last week a,
a repaved section of 44 th Drive had to be dug up
because the 1940's era sewer main below ground had
simply collapsed and was releasing sewage into the
ground. For those upstream from the collapse the
sewage backed up into cellars and garages and the
developers of the apartment buildings on Jackson
Boulevard and around Queensboro Plaza didn't pay for
that those repairs, city tax payers did, and I just
want to [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank... [cross-talk]

MAIM BRADLEY: ...quickly... one last...

25 [cross-talk]

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Please quickly...
3 [cross-talk]

2.1

2.2

4 MAIM BRADLEY: ...thing... [cross-talk]
5 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...we have... please...
6 [cross-talk]

MAIM BRADLEY: ...this is how the cholera epidemics of 1832 and 1839 happened, we're at risk for major public health crisis in Long Island City.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

MAIM BRADLEY: Thank you.

THOMAS PANO: Hello, my name is Thomas

Pano and I'm an officer with the Hunter's Point

Community Coalition and this is a decades old not for profit that we are currently engaged in developing, perhaps the first comprehensive plan for Hunter's

Point which by the way is the fastest growing neighborhood in the nation so it's long overdue for our comprehensive plan. Thank you for being able to be here, I, I was intrigued to have the opportunity to hear the HPD talk about how the deal was made, the intricacies of that deal and so it kind of sounds good but there was one thing missing, the people who own the property weren't part of the deal. So, of course we're the ones who, who, who will get screwed

in this. So, I'm asking the council not to support
any of the applications associated with the proposed
action and to go back to the lower height and density
of the as of right project. There are no… there's
very little public benefit and there's been a lot of
discussion about what public benefit is but even
though this area is a rapid transformation from an
industrial zone to a residential community has caused
severe deficits in open space, school seats,
greenery, transportation, assembly space, and as
you've just heard sewage, athletic facilities and
this just name a few. The only public benefit claimed
by the applicant is affordable housing however based
on AMI the formula counts much wealthier counties so
that leaves these units beyond the reach of most
Queens residents where median household income is
59,000 a year, do you see that number anywhere on the
chart? So, it's not a public benefit is in fact the
opposite will happen, it will cause accelerated
gentrification and secondary displacement which will
rippled through the area including Queens Bridge
Houses. So the basis of the deal well, okay.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You can ...

1 2

THOMAS PANO: Alright just, just to finish that, okay. So, the transfer allows the developer to increase market rate units by 60 percent as of right, the affordable housing is 30 percent and... but that receives a tax exemption of 35 years which was not mentioned, the resulting exorbitant in profits remain in the developer's pockets rather than coming to the community which is under a hyper development. When air rights from the highline were transferred to private developers in... it heightened the effect of gentrification but at least the local community got public open space, this deal there's is no parallel situation in this deal. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, before you go I want to turn it over to Council Member Van Bramer.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: First I want to thank the Chair for allowing for us to have this discussion and I want to thank my colleague, Council Member Rivera for being so generous with us. I just want to say thank you to everyone who came out here today from Long Island City and, and from our, our district and I want to thank everyone for sharing their concerns and, and their hopes for the

2.2

neighborhood. Nothing is done, we are still in a very important phase which is indeed as Tom said listening to you and, and Maim I just want to say thank you because I've known you for a very long time and I know how genuinely and passionately you care about this issue and the community and, and I'm grateful that, that you're come and shared your concerns and obviously I take them very seriously and we all want what is truly best in the long run for this community so I, I think our phase of this hearing is perhaps done and so I want to thank everyone for coming out today on this particular project in our district.

MAIM BRADLEY: Do you want copies of our testimony?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: If, if you didn't give it to the Sergeant At Arms we'll take it, yes. Thank you, are there any other members of the public who wish to testify on this issue? Seeing none I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. Our next hearing will be on LU's 214 and 215, the 110 East 16th Street special permit application for property... for property in Council Member Rivera's district in Manhattan. The applicant seeks two zoning special permits to develop a new 21

story mixed use development on property that is part of a larger zoning lot including one tax lot which is occupied by an individual landmark. The first special permit pursuant to section 75-711 would modify height and set back requirements to modify the street wall requirements to... and, and into... and to increase the maximum building heights from 120 to 283, to waive side yard open area requirements and to decrease the minimum distance between buildings The second special permit is to allow for the automatic parking facility with a maximum capacity of 23 parking spaces and I now open the public hearing on this application and we'll ask... we have Wesley O'Brien, okay; Dan Unger, okay; Bill Higgins; Dave... David Rothenberg, yeah; Morris, okay and Stephen Lefkowitz, is Steven here? Okay. I ask... I now ask the council to please swear in the panel.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Before speaking please hit the button on your mic and state your name. do you each swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer all questions truthfully?

MORRIS ADJMI: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 DAVID ROTHENBERG: Yes. 3 WESLEY O'BRIEN: Yes. 4 COMMITTEE CLERK: May you please state 5 your name and state yes. 6 MORRIS ADJMI: Morris Adjmi, yes. 7 DAVID ROTHENBERG: David Rothenberg, yes. WESLEY O'BRIEN: Wesley O'Brien, yes. 8 STEPHEN: Stephen Lefkowitz, yes. 9 WILLIAM: William Higgins, yes. 10 DAN UNGER: Dan Unger, yes. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may ... you may 13 begin. 14 MORRIS ADJMI: Great. 15 [off mic dialogue] 16 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm 17 going to turn it over to Council Member Rivera who 18 has a few remarks before we begin. COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you Chair 19 20 Moya for permitting me to speak on these other land 21 use items in my district today. The site in question is 110 East 16th Street which is located just East of 2.2 23 Union Square, the area is known for a diverse mix of

buildings of varying size and use some of which date

back to the 19^{th} century. In fact, the Land Use

24

Actions involve one individual landmark built in 1896
as a theater. The application also involves a special
permit for additional parking spaces. Generally
speaking the development team seeks to increase bulk
and height in significant ways. The street wall
height would almost triple, the maximum building
height would more than double, and allowable distance
between buildings would be reduced 40 percent.
Community Board five recommends denial of the
application in part because it's size would adversely
impact the block additionally CB5 questioned the need
for additional parking spaces and those permitted
whether the number of new families would impact
overcrowded schools in the neighborhood and most
notably whether this development should have
triggered mandatory inclusionary housing
requirements. For its part, the developer has
designed an elegant building with potentially up to
55 residential condo units. It would include a retail
space above the small automated parking garage
proposed. Additionally, the developer has made
commitments to restoring the neighboring buildings, a
commitment already reviewed by the Landmarks
Preservation Commission. Still we are talking about

2.2

significant changes in allowable size for what amounts to an ultra-luxury housing development with a convenient parking amenity. I hope we can learn more as to why my community should welcome these modifications. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, you may begin your testimony.

MORRIS ADJMI: You guys have the presentation.

DAVID ROTHENBERG: Good afternoon Council Members, I am David Rothenberg, President of Tishman, we are the project developer and the owners of the subject site on East 16th Street. I'm here to quickly introduce our team and the project; Wesley O'Brien of Fried Frank who will discuss the land use actions that we are proposing followed by Bill Higgins of Higgins Quasebarth to discuss our restoration program and finally Morris Adjmi who will discuss the proposed building in more detail. We also have a few of our team members present to answer any questions including Dan Unger, Chief Development Officer for Tishman and Stephen Lefkowitz from Fried Frank.

Tishman has been active in New York City real estate for over 100 years, when we develop projects we work

with our neighbors and surrounding communities to
make sure we achieve a successful outcome for
everyone. In the 90's we built the first large scale
retail and hotel complex in Times Square, the 870
room west of New York and the 200,000 square foot
retail development known as E-Walk. We have been one
of the cornerstones of the revitalization of that
neighborhood and an active member of the community
ever since. We also developed a second large hotel or
$44^{\rm th}$ and $8^{\rm th}$, the Intercontinental New York which
opened in 2010, as part of that project we worked
with community groups to develop neighborhood based
affordable housing. And we are also known for Tishman
Construction, we built the original World Trade
Center in the late 60's and most recently the
rebuilding of the Trade Center and the new buildings
you see there today. Turning now to the project, this
is a street level view of an existing parking garage
on the south side of 16 th Street that we recently
purchased and plan to redevelop, it's an eyesore and
an environmental nuisance, it's unsafe. As you can
see in this photo there is an extensive curb cut
which allows the sidewalk to be used for staging and
backing out cars all while pedestrians try to

negotiate the sidewalk. A 200-space public parking
garage does not need to exist here, it contributes to
traffic and congestion on 16 th Street. Furthermore,
there are approximately 18 other parking garages
within a five-minute radius. Here you can see what we
are trying to achieve at street level, the building
would contain up to 55 residential units and up to
3,000 square feet of active ground floor retail and
community facility space. You can see here how much
more appropriate the residential building is at this
location than a garage. And accordingly and
according to the EIS the elimination of the garage
will reduce traffic on the block by 15 percent. Here
in the center is an elevation of the proposed
building shown in context, this is the view along
$16^{\rm th}$ Street looking south. As we will discuss further
in the presentation with this application we are
seeking to one, build housing near transit while
improving the environment and eliminating the large
public parking garage. Two, contribute to the city's
goal for affordable housing by partnering with a
community-based organization. Three, preserve the low
height and pedestrian friendly character on East $15^{\rm th}$
Street by shifting buildable floor area to our site

2.2

on 16th Street. Four, improve the streetscape on 16th Street while also making it safer. Five, restore a landmarked building. Six, design a building in a way that minimizes the shadows on Union Square Park. On most days' shadows from the building effect less than five percent of the park and are gone by 9:45 a.m. And seven, ensure the financial wellbeing of one of the area's most important cultural institution, the Lee Strasberg Theatre and Film Institute. And now Wesley O'Brien will discuss the site and land use actions in more detail.

WESLEY O'BRIEN: Thank you. Good afternoon. In this plan you can see the three sites that we are working with to make this project possible; the garage that we are redeveloping is locating on the North side of the block along East 16th Street. The development site is part of a larger zoning lot that includes two additional buildings along East 15th Street, the former Century Association building, a New York City designated landmark shown on the left and the Lee Strasberg Theatre and Film Institute on the right, these are both commercial buildings neither of which contain, contain residential units. We are requesting a

parking special permit to allow up to 23 accessory
spaces and a landmarks special permit to modify the
permitted envelope at the garage site in order to
facilitate the purchase the purchase and transfer of
development rights from the landmarked Century
Association building and the Lee Strasberg School on
$15^{\rm th}$ Street which has lower street walls and transfer
it to our site on East $16^{\rm th}$ Street. As a condition to
the special permit Tishman will be required to
restore the landmark to a to first class condition
and a restrictive declaration will be recorded
requiring periodic inspections and ensuring its
ongoing maintenance and preservation. It is worth
emphasizing that the landmarks special permit does
not increase the amount of floor area permit,
permitted on the zoning lot rather it allows
modifications to other bulk restrictions such as
height and set back requirements. Here we have a
building section with East $16^{\rm th}$ Street shown at the
left and 15^{th} Street shown at the right, the areas of
the proposed building effected by the waivers are
indicated in hatching. As part of this special permit
we are seeking four, four waivers specifically, the
maximum building height in the district is 120 feet

before bulk head or 123 feet including the bulk head.
We would increase that feet in, increase that height
by 123 feet and the proposed building would go up to
100 268 feet before the bulk head or 283, 283 feet
with the bulk head. We are also seeking waivers to
the bulk the maximum base height and setbacks and a
couple of very technical waivers relating to side
yards and the minimum required distance between
buildings. Here, here is the building massing looking
west from Irving Place, a result to the special
permit would be to shift the permitted bulk away from
the landmark and Lee Strasberg buildings preserving
the lower intimate street wall and more historic feel
along 15 th Street. Outlined at the left you can see
the bulk permitted adjacent to the landmark site on
15 th Street, as indicated by the arrow with the
special permit we will be shifting the permitted bulk
away from the landmark building to 16^{th} Street. And
this is a view of 15 th Street looking Northwest from
Irving Place, the Lee Strasberg building is shown
with the blue banner and the light landmark site is
to its left, what you will notice is the consistent
lower street wall that these buildings share with
other buildings on the block. As I mentioned,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

mentioned as a condition to the special permit the Century Association building will have to be restored. So, now Bill Higgins, Higgins will discuss the restoration program as well as the areas of historic context.

BILL HIGGINS: Thank you. This is... this part of the testimony is in the context of reminding you that the Landmarks Preservation Commission has approved this project both for the restoration to the individual landmark and for the compatibility of, of the new building design with the landmarks. So, quickly this is the landmark building, the Century Association building from the late 1860's, I just have to say it's notable, it's architect H.H. Richardson is one of the tiny handful of the greatest architects in American history and this is the only H.H. Richardson building in New York so it's a wonderful building and one deserving and needing restoration. So, as you see on the left all of the areas that are yellow are receiving significant repair work and all of the areas that are pink are areas where exist... original features are missing and will be put back to match the original. In the case of the base the entry and flanking windows it will

match they'll match the original as closely as, as
is allowed by codes for access and ADA. On the right
is what we see the building as looking at after the
restoration with its historic character, very much
brought back and burnished. Next, so with respect to
the design of the new building, historic photographs
of the area make it clear that it's always been
characterized by a, a juxta position of scale where
you have taller buildings and shorter buildings in
this kind of dynamic relationship, that's what gives
the, the area it's character and a lot of it's, it's
liveliness. Next. And these are current photographs
showing that same condition continuing to exist with
historic buildings and being reinforced by new
buildings that have been built and this is precisely
the kind of the scale relationship that will be
continued with the proposed building. Next. The
characteristics of the historic buildings in this
district which have inspired the design as Morris
will show you, most of the buildings of some height
have three parts; they have a base, they have a, a
vertical sort of shaft in the middle and they have a
kind of celebratory top which gives a, a, a shape
against the skyline and a sort of crown to the to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

the building. These are the characteristics we had in mind when designing a, a contemporary building that would fit in with, with that character. Next. And there's the building in context and the place where Morris will take over.

MORRIS ADJMI: Thank you bill. Thank you and good afternoon. I'm Morris Adjmi the architect of the project. I'd like to describe the building and some of the improvements to the streetscape. As you can see, and Bill mentioned, the project is designed with a clear base, middle and top much as the historic buildings and many of the buildings in the district. The building has a limestone base, brick center section with metal in fragments and a crown in zinc which I'll, I'll show you a little bit more in detail as we get into the ... as I describe the project in more detail. And you can see how this fits into the neighborhood with a, a variety of building scales. In the next image you can see improvements to the ... to the street and the base which is limestone and the reduction of the curb cut which I'll show you later is being reduced from about just under 50 feet to 11 feet and the next slide shows that in more detail. On the left are the ... on the left side are the

existing conditions showing the 49 feet plus curb cut
and, on the right, on the far right you can see the
entrance which has been reduced to 11 feet using
automated parking. The main entrance which is a
double height entry piece is at the center and the
retail and community facility entrance on the left.
Here we see the building in the streetscape and we
believe that the top of the building is harmonious
with the neighborhood and the historic districts
surrounding sorry, historic buildings surrounding
Union Square. Another image the, the next one. Yeah,
here's another image showing the, the site in the
context. This image is a, a section showing or
elevation showing the buildings along Union Square
and you can see that our building fits within the
height of the buildings surrounding Union Square; the
ConEd and Zeckendorf on the right and the historic
buildings on the left; the American Loan building and
the Guardian Life building both indicated on the
left. And finally, we have a view looking from $14^{\rm th}$
Street looking Northeast at the building showing how
it fits into the skyline. David.

DAVID ROTHENBERG: Before we take questions I just wanted to emphasize that although

the project is not subject to mandatory inclusionary
housing program, Tishman is committed to supporting
the city's affordable housing goals by making a
contribution to affordable housing. In this regard we
have had extensive conversations with Council Member
Rivera and her staff and will be improving upon the
precedent set by earlier projects. We can report that
we have been meeting with possible partner
organizations and are working to identify an
affordable housing project in the district where our
contribution will ensure specific and concrete
affordable housing benefits. Although the details
have yet to be finalized we look forward to reporting
back to you in advance of the subcommittee's vote.
Again, I would like to thank you for having us today,
we are happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'll turn it over to Councilwoman Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you Chair Moya. How much is the restoration going to cost at 111 East 15th Street approximately, you have the scope completed, do you have an estimate... [crosstalk]

2						Approximately	yeah
3	between	two	to	three	milli	on.	

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay. So, clearly there's a financial incentive for you to do so but do you find it appropriate to construct a building that is more than double the maximum height of the current zoning and designing a building without the established setback requirements at 85 feet?

WESLEY O'BRIEN: Sure, so, so, so just to clarify on, on the maximum height, so in this district you can go to 120 feet with an additional 40 feet of bulk heads that would bring you to 160 feet as of right...

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And you're asking for 283.

WESLEY O'BRIEN: 283 and we are at the southern end of this district where, where you can see the transition to the taller buildings and the higher density districts to the south so the best example of this is course is, is the Zeckendorf Tower in the block directly to the south. So, we think it makes an appropriate transition.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I, I, I realize Zechendorf is tall, that was a different deal at a

different time, but I realize why you're mentioning it. In, in terms of the… how tall the building is you mentioned most days it would create shadow on less than five percent of the park, what does that mean most days?

DAVID ROTHENBERG: It... so, as part of the environmental review we looked at three... at four analysis periods, in four analysis periods it was less than five percent of the park, the worst-case scenario it would... it would have an incremental shadow of ten percent during one season and that was at about 6:30 in the morning. In, in those instances no single part of the park, it's fast moving shadows early in the morning so no single part of the park would be in shadows for more than approximately 30 minutes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, you're demolishing an existing 200 spot parking garage and I'm sure somebody is here to speak on that later, as part of the application you're requesting the special permit that's to add 23 spaces, so how many spaces would you have as of right without the special permit?

2.2

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

WESLEY O'BRIEN: It would be ... it's tied to the number of dwelling units but assuming the max that we... that we're shooting for which is 55, we could have 11 spaces as of right and we're seeking an increase to 23.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Are they just for residents or are they going to be made... any... going to be made available to the public?

WESLEY O'BRIEN: It's anticipated to be just for residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, no car sharing or anything like that? And if you weren't given the special permit what are your plans for this space, are you going to do storage?

> WESLEY O'BRIEN: The, the… [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Or readjust?

WESLEY O'BRIEN: ...system... the system is an automated system so it does allow for both parking cars as well as for storing facility so effectively what... it's a platform with a... with a pallet that could put a car on it or you could put a pod say that could be used for storage so you have the ability to do... to do both.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, you're next to one of the city's... the city's busiest transit hubs, lots of trains, lots of buses, why the need for additional spaces?

WESLEY O'BRIEN: Well I think in, in the context of the number of units I think it's, it's just to have a, a sum amount for some of the residents but going from a parking garage that currently is around 200 plus spaces down to, to 23 we feel is a dramatic improvement to the amount of traffic that currently exists because of the existing parking garage, that will all be reduced.

DAVID ROTHENBERG: And, and also if I can add, looking to the area wide study that we had to conduct as, as part of the special permit, so City Planning sets us a guideline one... approximately in a substance there would be up to one space per five additional units, by our analysis here when we, we intend to construct in 2021 there will be an increase of approximately 1,400 units in the district... or in the study area and a decrease in approximately 369 spaces so it's, it's actually... the number of spaces are moving in the opposite direction from what City

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 Planning anticipates and this is just really quite a modest increase we're seeking. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, what are 4 5 your plans for the retail space? DAVID ROTHENBERG: At, at this point we, 6 7 we don't have any specific plans, we have around 3,000 square feet and that could be a combination of 8 community use as well as commercial use. I think in 9 this location there's a good possibility that there 10 will be a, a medical use given the proximity of some 11 12 large medical institutions in, in the area so... but, 13 but that has not been determined at this point. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, you are 15 exploring community, community use spaces... [cross-16 talk] 17 DAVID ROTHENBERG: Definitely... [cross-18 talk] 19 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: ...on the ground 20 floor... [cross-talk] 21 DAVID ROTHENBERG: Community, community facilities. 2.2 23 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Yes. Okay, it could be medical, it could be education related, 24

you're still exploring?

DAVID ROTHENBERG: Yes.

been a number of concerns pointed out by Community
Board Five and I'm sure you're very, very aware one
of them is the afterhours construction in the
neighborhood particularly the ongoing years long
Washington Irving High School and are you going to be
seeking after hours variances for the project?

WESLEY O'BRIEN: Sorry, no, I mean at this time we wouldn't anticipate any afterhours construction and I would just add that, you know Tishman has been building in the city for over 100 years and we value the communities that we build in and, and look to participate in keeping the lines of communication open as we develop the logistics plans for this project.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And so the community board considers the zoning that exists there appropriate and they have for some time so, you know the, the comment that you're building is going to be at 283 feet is extremely tall, it alters the block significantly, do you disagree with that statement, what was your answer to the community

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

board when they commented on how tall this building
would be just, just don't say Zeckendorf?

DAVID ROTHENBERG: Well as, as Wesley and, and Morris pointed out in the context of the area, the, the building does fit in, I think... I think what's important for the community is, is kind of the streetscape, you know this, this is a block that many students use to go to school, there's several schools down the street and, and right now what you have is a parking garage that creates a lot of unsafe conditions, a lot of congestion and that will be gone. So, as respect to the community I think that will be a dramatic improvement. The element with respect to height really has an impact to shadows and what we've found is for the largest public area in this neighborhood which is Union Square the shadows are almost, almost nonexistent by 9:45 in the morning in, in the worst case the shadows are gone so, in the context of the community and what it would be to have this building in the area, it'll be dramatically improved, you will not have that parking garage, you will not have the unsafe conditions, you will not have the congestion, 15 percent of the cars... of the traffic will be reduced and then the up... the benefit ...

2.2

the, the impact to the... to the park will be inevitable so in total we feel it's a dramatic improvement to the community versus what's there now.

WESLEY O'BRIEN: And, and, and without mentioning the building I mentioned earlier, this, this slide here shows a couple of other buildings in... and this is looking East from Union Square, there are a number of taller buildings in the blocks to the North of East 17th Street, you can see the Guardian Life building itself an individual landmark at 310 feet and then if you look to the South you have the ConEd Tower at 470 feet so we, we think the... that the proposed building fits comfortably within the heights of the area.

is that... is the housing piece and they brought this up in, in terms of that MIH should have been triggered in this case and so why doesn't MIH apply to this application?

STEPHEN LEFKOWITZ: Stephen Lefkowitz.

The city, my understanding is, has determined that unless there is an up-zoning or rezoning that increases the floor area on a site MIH is not available, that's a determination which I believe the

city made before we submitted our application but we
were aware of it obviously and instead we wish to
support the city's policy on affordable housing, we
wish to support the city's policy on the creation of
new affordable housing and preservation of existing
housing as well as making sure that the units are
affordable by the low income people, I think I heard
that in the prior, prior hearing and those are all
those are policies of the city that we support. The
city's determination as to why MIH does not apply is
not something frankly that I'm privy to, I don't know
why they made that determination other than that they
decided as a policy matter that it had to be an
unzoning with increased floor area in order to
trigger that program and that is my understanding and
so we decided not withstanding that to do what we
could as a contribution to furthering the city's
policies.

appreciate you I guess underlining your commitment to affordable housing, I think one of my concerns is that, you know there is as, as I guess as small as a percentage as it could be there's always an opportunity to think creatively about affordable

housing and it doesn't always have to be rentals,
there aren't many home ownership opportunities for
middle income and moderate income families and I jus
want to go on record that I wish that this building
had explored that option in, in a way that was
presented to me during our negotiations and during
our conversations and I realize we still have more
time to work together which I'm looking forward to
but I think it's really, really important for us to
while we think of middle class and pathways to that
is that we consider home ownership as a viable
option. And so Chair Moya with that I, I don't have
any further questions and I just want to thank you
for the time that you've given me.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council

Member and thank you to the panel. I am now going to

call up the next panel; Jeff Brault, John Napiza,

John Napiza, Hannah Rimbalm, Rimbalm, Justin Pascone,

Reggie Thomas and, and your name?

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, so just... you're going to read for Hannah, correct? Okay, just state your name once again and then you may begin.

2		MIKE	BRADLEY:	Sure,	my	name	is	Mike
3	Bradley							

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And you're reading testimony on behalf of?

MIKE BRADLEY: For 32 BJ.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Hannah.

MIKE BRADLEY: Hannah, Hannah, yes, okay.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Got it.

MIKE BRADLEY: Hannah, okay. Good afternoon Council Member Rivera, Chair Moya and members of the Subcommittee. My name is Mike Bradley and I'm a doorman at 408 East 79th Street and I've been a 32 B... 32 BJ... 32 BJ member for 12 years. As you know 32 BJ is the largest property service union representing 80,000 service workers across New York City and approximately 20,000 members who work and live in the midtown area. My union supports responsible developers that facilitate economic justice for our members. 32 BJ has a long-standing relationship with Tishman Realty Partners at many buildings across their portfolio in New York and New Jersey. East 16th Street owner, LLC an affiliate of Tishman Realty has committed to creating good building service jobs that pay family sustaining

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

wages and benefits. These jobs will allow for working families to continue to call New York City home and live with dignity and security for these reasons I'm here today to offer support for Tishman's proposal at $110 \text{ East } 16^{\text{th}} \text{ Street}$. Thank you.

REGGIE THOMAS: Good morning Council Member Moya, Council Member Rivera. My name is Reggie Thomas, Senior Vice President for Government Affairs at the Real Estate Board. As you know REBNY is a broadly-based trade association representing owners, the developers, brokers, managers, real estate professionals active throughout New York City. We support Tishman's plan to develop the site at 110 East 16th Street and believe their application merits approval from the council. The transfer of air rights from 111 East 15th Street will allow for the restoration of an individual landmark, the Century Association building and a special... the special permit will require it's continued maintenance and perpetuity. The financial wellbeing of the Lee Strasberg Theatre and Film Institute will also be ensured throughout the purchase of development rights. Tishman is working with Morris Adjmi, an architect renowned for his ability to create

historically contextual buildings. This design for
this building will honor the period, style emblematic
of the Union Square area and be a respectful addition
to the charm of the very textures and styles of the
neighborhood. The building topology surrounding Union
Square Park is one of mixed heights uses and styles.
At a height of 283 feet the proposed residential
building is well within the context of the
surrounding neighborhood. One block South of the site
is the Zeckendorf Towers which was mentioned earlier,
to the East of Zeckendorf is the landmark Con Edison
building with a height of 470 feet, along the North
of Union Square Park the individual landmark Guardian
Life and Everett buildings reach heights of 285 and
250 feet respectively. Finally, the location of the
residential building on this site makes sense given
its proximity to the well served Union Square transit
station. The development is, is estimated to reduce
traffic on the street by ten to 15 percent and will
improve the streetscape by activating the space as
currently a parking garage, reducing the existing
curb cut and providing trees and the sidewalk. The
plans for this site have been deemed contextual and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 appropriate by LPC and we ask that the city council
3 support this application. Thank you.

JUSTIN SPIVEY: Good afternoon, my name is Justin Pascone, I'm the Director of Policy at the New York Building Congress and I'm pleased today to express our support for Tishman Realty and their project on 110 East 16th Street. The Building Congress has for almost 100 years advocated for investment in infrastructure, pursue job creation, and promoted outstanding projects like this one here in the New York City area. Our organization is made up of over 5,500 construction design and real estate firms that comprised, comprise more than a quarter of a million professionals. This project and the site is an ideal location for high density development given the proximity to public transit, premier parks and retail. As our city continues to experience significant growth we need to be mindful of where and how we accommodate the influx of residents and businesses. This project promotes walkability, significant, significantly reduces our reliance on automobiles and therefore should be a model for development that exists across the city near transit hubs like Union Square. Further Tishman's worked

diligently with Morris Adjmi to design an attractive
and contextually appropriate building for the
neighborhood. Not only do we think it will blend with
the historic features nearby, but it will preserve
the integrity of Union Square by responding to the
heights and the context that you saw in the
presentation. Tishman's put an enormous amount of
thought into this project to ensure it's truly an
added benefit to the entire community and actively
engaged with community stakeholders throughout that
process. This opinion was echoed in January by the
LPC as well. And finally, this project brings a long-
term commitment to maintaining the health of the Lee
Strasberg Theatre on 15 th Street which we think it
helps preserve New York's rich history. So, I ask you
today on behalf of the New York Building Congress to
support this project. Thank you.

JEFF BRAULT: Excuse me. My name is Jeff
Brault representing the Manhattan Chamber of Commerce
speaking on behalf of our president and CEO Jessica
Walker. The Chamber is excited to support the
development, the beautiful new building at 110 East
16th Street which will add to the city's iconic
skyline while keeping with the historic context of

the neighborhood and surrounding buildings. Benefits
to the area immense; restoration of an historic
building at 111 East 15 th Street which will
contribute to the quality of the neighborhood,
updating the building for unobstructed access and I'm
sure ensuring that TBN, our neighborhood institution
can remain in place for the long term. The project
includes small scale retail that will add a mid-block
amenity for residents of the neighborhood and the
project will improve the streetscape reducing the
length of the existing curb cut and providing trees
on the sidewalk. The proposed project at 110 East
16 th Street is a model for the type of development
that should exist throughout New York City. On behalf
of the Manhattan Chamber and our members I implore
the committee to support this vital project. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony. Sure... one, one second. Council Member your... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: My bad...

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...microphone, thank

you.

1	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And I know Hannah
3	is also here still. Okay, I have a question for 32
4	BJ, have you entered into a good job's agreement with
5	Tishman on this project, how many jobs are going to
6	be created?
7	HANNAH: Our estimate is six but I would
8	defer to the developer… [cross-talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay [cross-
10	talk]
11	HANNAH:who would [cross-talk]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA:thank you
13	[cross-talk]
14	HANNAH:know best.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you. Thank
16	you, Chair Moya.
17	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you
18	to the panel. The next panel is going to be Jack
19	Davies, Monica Munn, Michael Bradley, Michael
20	Bradley, Victoria Crane, no, gone… is he gone, he's
21	gone? Okay, thank yeah, no worries, thank you. Okay,
22	if you can just state your name and then we'll begin
23	here.
	1

JACK DAVIES: Jack Davies, Policy and Campaigns Manager at Transportation Alternatives.

24

2	Good afternoon, thank you for convening this hearing
3	and for the chance to testify. On behalf of
4	Transportation Alternatives, we've advocated on
5	behalf of New Yorkers for safer and more livable
6	streets with more than 150,000 people in our network
7	and over 1,000 activists throughout all five
8	boroughs, we promote biking, walking, public
9	transportation as alternatives to the car. I'm here
10	today, today to support the request for special
11	permits at 110 East 16 th Street, New York needs
12	growth that encourages public transit use; walking
13	and bicycling instead of driving and we feel strongly
14	that the requested permits in this case with the
15	responsible approach to development in Manhattan. 110
16	East 16 th Street will improve the streetscape and
17	reduce the reduce the length of the existing curb
18	cut. The development is estimated to reduce traffic
19	on this street by ten to 15 percent on a block that
20	is often populated by children given it's proximity
21	to nearby schools, it is situated near Union Square
22	one of the largest transit hubs and most transit rich
23	sites in the city and with the L train shut down
24	rapidly approaching and the congestion along 14 th
25	Street in the area immediately surrounding 14 th

Street expected to skyrocket, high density
development in this neighborhood continue
contributes to walkability and significantly reduces
reliance on automobiles. 110 East 16 th Street will
also eliminate a 196-car parking garage, research has
excessively shown that when the supply of parking is
high the demand to drive also increases even when
that driving isn't critical this in turn leads to
increased traffic congestion, slower bus speeds,
increase to air pollution and compromised pedestrian
and bicycle safety all across the city. As traffic
congestion and safety implosion reach crisis levels
in New York we should not be encouraging development
that needlessly keeps more cars on the road, granting
the requested permits would set an important
precedent not only in development best practices but
in prioritizing people over motor vehicles. Thank
you.

MONICA MUNN: Good afternoon Council

Member Moya and Council Member Rivera. Thank you for
the opportunity to testify today. My name is Monica

Munn and I serve as the Director of Economic

Development at the Union Square Partnership, a
community based, nonprofit organization that works to

support the residential and business communities of
the 14 th Street and Union Square district. I'm here
today on behalf of our Executive Director, Jennifer
Falk to express our organization's support for the
proposed re-development of 110 East 16 th Street into
a new mixed-use building. As you all know quite well,
Union Square is a vibrant 24/7 residential and
commercial neighborhood. We strongly believe that
Tishman has presented a thoughtful, high density
design for this project, one that is in context with
the existing and planned built environment. The
proposed development site will be surrounded by
several existing large residential and commercial
buildings including and I and I won't mention
Zeckendorf but others such as the W New York Union
Square hotel, the Con Edison building as well as a
number of buildings on the South side of 14 th Street
including the, the forthcoming Union Square tech
training center. The change in use for this site from
a multistory parking garage to a primarily
residential mixed-use building will contribute to
walkability and likely have traffic calming benefits
for this residential stretch of our district. The
project site is adjacent to multiple public transit

lines reducing the vehicular needs of future
residents. Analysis conducted by Tishman as have been
shared before estimate that their project will reduce
traffic on the street by ten to 15 percent which
contributes to the overall safety and quality of life
along a block that sees robust pedestrian foot
traffic. The project will also bring new public
amenities to our eastern side of our district
including square footage for a ground floor retail
tenant and enhancements to our streetscapes. We've
been encouraged by Tishman's community commitment to
our district's historic landmarks and as was
discussed the restoration of TBN's landmark building.
Thank you again to the members of the city council
for your careful consideration of this application
and we encourage you to approve it.

VICTORIA CRANE: Good afternoon and I just want to say that I'm in awe of you sitting for five hours, I don't know how you do it but whatever.

My name is... [cross-talk]

22 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: This is... [cross-talk]

VICTORIA CRANE: Victoria... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: ...nothing... [cross-talk]

2	VICTORIA CRANE:Crane, I'm the
3	President of the Lee Strasberg Theatre and Film
4	Institute located at 115 East 15 th Street. I've been
5	with the institute for 36 years; Anna Strasberg the
6	Co-founder and artistic director unfortunately
7	couldn't be here today but sends regards in strong
8	support of the project proposed by Tishman at 110
9	East 16 th Street. The Lee Strasberg Theatre and Film
10	Institute has a long history on East 15 th Street and
11	is a part of the fabric of the… this community. In
12	this school year, 2018-2019 we're celebrating our
13	50 th anniversary at this location. In two 2001 the
14	centennial of Lee's birth our block was named Lee
15	Strasberg Way. Lee loved the city and was a New York
16	success story, he grew up not far from the location
17	of our school and educated himself by reading books
18	from the public libraries and book stores including
19	the strand. He discovered his love of the theatre
20	while acting in plays at Chrystie Street Settlement.
21	From this humble start he went on to become one of
22	the major influences on the development of the
23	techniques of modern acting and directing. Over the
24	years to follow Lee's mission we have offered many
25	scholarships to talented young people in our

2.2

community to give them an opportunity to develop their talents and pursue their dreams in the arts. For example, we have a... have had a partnership the New York Housing Authority for the past 30 years offering residents scholarships to both our youth programs. The sale of our air rights would enable us to make much needed improvements in our building, classrooms and theatres and to update our facilities, flighting and technical equipment so that we continue to offer the highest level of training in actor... acting for the theatre, film, television and digital media and meet the needs of students for years to come. It would also... I'm sorry, may I just continue, I... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yep.

VICTORIA CRANE: It would also allow us to expand our scholarship program to offer opportunities to more talented and deserving young people in the community. We homesteaded this neighborhood, we'd like to know that future generations of actors, directors and scholars will continue to come to this institute that Lee and Anna Strasberg established 50 years ago and that it will continue to thrive. The sale of our air rights will

provide invaluable resources to help us with those goals. In conclusion I believe the project by Tishman, a premier builder of high-quality construction will only serve to enhance and stabilize our neighborhood. Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. This concludes today's hearing and I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, counsel and land use staff for attending and all the hard work that they did to make this meeting happen. This meeting is hereby adjourned.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

September 26, 2018