1	COMMITTEE ON F	INANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YOR	.K
3		X
4	TRANSCRIPT OF T	HE MINUTES
5	Of the	
6 7	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE	
8		X
9		May 11, 2018 Start: 10:08 a.m.
LO		End: 11:34 a.m.
.1	HELD AT:	Council Chambers - City Hall
.3	BEFORE:	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM
_4	COUNCIL MEMBERS	
. 5 . 6		Daniel Dromm Adrienne E. Adams Andrew Cohen
- 0 - 7		Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Laurie A. Cumbo
. 8		Vanessa L Gibson Barry S. Grodenchik
. 9		Rory I. Lancman Steven Matteo
20		Francisco P. Moya Keith Powers
21		Helen Rosenthal James G. Van Bramer
22		
23		
24		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: [Gavel] Okay good morning and welcome to the City Council's fourth day of hearings on the Mayor's Executive Budget for Fiscal 2019. My name is Daniel Dromm and I Chair the Finance Committee. We are joined by the Committee on Criminal Justice Chair by my colleague Council Member Keith Powers. We have been joined today by Council Member Bob Holden, Council Member Alicka Samuel and Council Barry Grodenchik as well and today we will hear the Department of Correction. Before we begin, I'd like to thank the Finance Division staff for putting this hearing together including the Director Latonia McKinney, Committee Council Rebecca Chasen, Deputy Directors Regina Poreda Ryan, and Nathan Toth. Unit Head Isha Wright. Finance Analyst Jen Lee and the Finance Division Administrative Support Unit Nicole Anderson, Maria Pagan, Roberta Catarono[SP?] who pull everything together. Thank you all for your efforts. I'd also like to remind everyone that the public will be invited to testify on the last day of budget hearings on May 24th beginning at approximately 4:00 p.m. in this room. For members of the public who wish to testify but cannot attend the hearing, you can email your testimony to the finance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

division at financetestimoney@council.nyc.gov and the staff will make it part of the official record. Department of Corrections Fiscal 2019 Executive Budget total \$1.4 Billion which includes \$17.5 Million in new needs since the Preliminary Budget. Today, I'd like to focus on a number of items that DOC has said would accomplish or which the state has said it must accomplish but which the Council feels the Department does not have a well-defined strategy to complete. First, is one of the major initiatives in the city that is impacting DOC's budget, which is Raise the Age. The plan to Raise the Age of Criminal responsibility to 18-years-of-age and to provide 16 and 17-year-old justice involved youth with age appropriate housing and programing. The executive plan adds \$9.8 Million in Fiscal 2019, \$13.1 Million in Fiscal 2020, and \$3.3 Million in the Fiscal 2021 for Raise the Age as well as 159 uniform positions. Given that youth need to be off Rikers Island by October 1st, the Council is extremely interested in learning more specific details about how the department intends to meet that deadline. As from out perspective, the plan is not yet clear or solidified. Second, the budget shows a \$55.7 Million

and 698 head count decrease due to the plan summer 2018 closure of the GMDC facility on Rikers. As with Raise the Age, we have an impending deadline without a clear plan for caring out the directive. Council is concerned that DOC will not be able to close GMDC by the end of the summer, but we hope to hear testimony today about the steps DOC is taking to achieve this aggressive timeline that might allay those fears. Last, is the issue of hiring delays, DOC has accounted for \$27.7 Million savings in the Fiscal 2019 as a result of hiring accruals for vacant civilian positions. Year after year the department is unable to fill its budget and civilian head count, but the Council has yet to see a serious plan from the agency to address this long-standing issue. Before we begin, I'd like to remind my colleagues that the first round of questions for the agency will be limited to minutes per Council Member and if Council Member's have additional questions, we will have a second round of questions at two minutes per Council Member. I now turn the mic over to my Co-Chair Council Member Powers and then we will hear testimony from the Commissioner of the Department of

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Correction Cynthia Brann after she is sworn in by Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you and good morning everybody and thank you for being here and I have to give a very big shout out to the Chair of the Finance Committee Danny Dromm who has been here and been sitting through every single hearing including this one and many more ahead, so thank you for those comments. My name is Keith Powers, I'm the Chair of the Committee on Criminal Justice. I am pleased to join my colleagues and Chair of the Finance Committee Danny Dromm for or todays Fiscal 2019 Executive Budget hearing to review the Department of Corrections Budget. Since we had our hearing in March, a lot has happened. The Fiscal 2018-2019 State Budget included a design bill legislation to expedite the construction of new jails to replace Rikers Island. On April 5th the Independent Commission on New York City Criminal Justice and Incarceration Reform released their follow up report and commonly known as the Lippman Commission. A more just New York City one year forward that states that Rikers Island jails could be shut and replaced with borough facilities by 2024, three years sooner than

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the original projection. We also released all our Fiscal 2019 Preliminary Budget response with recommendations to create additional units of appropriations for the department and because it was unclear how much of the states \$100 Million to implement Raise the Age would be for New York City, we called on administration to add the appropriate funding to implement Raise the Age. The Department also announced the unveiling of its first ever housing area exclusively for military veterans and the city also announced that the DOC will house inmates consistent with their gender identity. Additionally, the city announced a launch of a new online bail payment system to make it easier for New Yorkers to pay bail and the Department also launched free express visitor buss services to Rikers Island and most recently on April 23rd this committee held an oversight hearing on safety and security in DOC facilities and I should also mention, I think just two weeks ago or last week, the department also opened a new library at the Manhattan Detention Facility and I'm sure there's many more that I missed and you can make note of. These are all positive steps in reforming and modernizing the criminal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

justice system. I and I think others are certainly excited by these changes but also recognize that there is tremendous work ahead of us. Departments Fiscal 2019 Executive Budget totals \$1.4 Billion. A decrease of approximately \$42.6 Million last year. The departments head count totals 12,499 with 10,226 uniform positions and 2,273 civilian positions for Fiscal 2019. As mentioned a decrease is largely driven by the closing of GMDC. expense budget adds in new funding for a compliance and safety center and investigations division and the expansion of the emergency services unit. The DOC's budget also includes \$9.8 Million in Fiscal 2019. \$13 Million in Fiscal 2020, and \$3.3 Million in Fiscal 2021 to implement Raise the Age which we will talk about. The funding for this is coming from city tax but the city also needs the states contribution in order to safely and adequately implement the legislation. The departments capital plan does not have any new additions to the plan but does separate out the \$1.1 Billion for new jails over the two Fiscal Years. Something that the Council has asked for and although we did call for this in our budget response, it does not have additional units of

appropriation that the Council has asked for for the department and other agencies to give us a clear understanding of how money is being spent for different capital items, so hopefully as we get to the adopted budget and moving forward we'll continue to see more units of appropriation to give us a clear understanding of how money is being spent. With all of that, we're looking forward to hearing more from the department. We thank you for being here. I just wanted to thank quickly the folks from the Finance Committee Jen Lee, Isha Wright, Josh Kingsley, Will Honyac[SP?] and of course my staff Abigail Bessler and Emily Walsh who have helped to put this hearing together and helped us work through the budget process to date. I would also like to welcome and thank Commissioner Brann her staff and all the folks who are working at the department for the work that they do every day. I've said this in the past, but I think we all know how challenging the job is every single day and certainly we know that your role is critical in both the closing of Rikers Island but also all the work that we have to do to make sure that people are safe and secure every single day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

So, thank you for being here and with that I'll hand it back over to the Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you very much and I'm going to ask Council to swear in the panel. I'm sorry, I forgot to mention that we've also been joined by Council Member Cohen.

COUNCIL CLERK: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: I do.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, please begin.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Good morning Chair Powers,
Chair Dromm, members of the Committee on Criminal
Justice and members of the Committee on Finance. I'm
Cynthia Brann the Commissioner of the Department of
Correction. My colleagues and I are here today to
discuss the Executive Budget for Fiscal Year 2019.
The budget reflects the departments priorities as we
move forward with our reform agender. Our goal is to
make our department a national leader in corrections
and establish procedures for long term success. As
we discussed at the March hearing on the Preliminary
Budget and the hearing on Safety in the jails last
month, the department has achieved success in key

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

areas including all categories on inmate violence. We continue to take a holistic approach to reducing incidents. Since we have had the most success in the areas where we focus the most attention and resources, we use those lessons learned to build on and expand our effective reforms to broader populations. Since Fiscal Year 2014, we have seen promising improvements in the incident levels particularly those involving some of the most vulnerable or problematic populations. In the first three quarters of Fiscal 2018, inmate's rights have decreased by 6.4%. Serious injuries to inmates resulting from fights or assaults have decreased by 14% and stabbings and slashings have decreased by In specialized units such as Caps and Pace, ESH enhance supervision housing in the secure unit and our restarted general population units we have seen reduced violence and increased program participation. The last Federal Monitors Report praised us for being complaint with 78% of the 313 individual stipulations of the consent judgement. This is a testament to the hard work we have accomplished since the end of 2015. While this is encouraging, our goal is to achieve and sustain 100% compliance with the entire order and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have the court release us from further obligation. have the upmost confidence that we will achieve that goal. Also noted in the report, we continue to be challenged by the number of uses of force. We work closely with the monitoring team to implement important changes including providing comprehensive training to all staff that includes defensive tactics understanding the revised use of force policy, conflict resolution, and de-escalation techniques. This curriculum goes well above and beyond the requirement of the consent judgement and we believe that once staff have completed the training, it will yield a change in our overall need to use force and then see significant reductions and assault on staff, inmate fights, and other violence indicators. response to the monitors report and our own concerns, we implemented a use of force improvement action This plan includes deploying de-escalation plan. teams enhancing the collection of gang intelligence to prevent the occurrence of violence which may require the use of force. Increasing real time video monitoring and analysis including the opening of the compliance and safety center. Redesigning the agencies rapid review process so that we can quickly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

identify any unusual or problematic incidents and assigning mentoring captains to the facilities to provide staff with support and onsite training. We launched this plan a few weeks ago and have already seen successes and are optimistic that it will lead to improvements in both the quantity and quality of our use of force. Reducing violence and keeping our staff safe requires a holistic approach to management. Every service and program we expand creates better environment which helps reduce violence in custody and prepare people to be more successful when they return to our communities. Under this administration we have expanded programing to five hours per day, restructured our custody management system, increased the number of hard and soft scales vocational training and incorporated programing that is responsive to specific populations such as those with mental health challenges, young people, women, and the persistently violent. We are not done. Since our last Budget hearing in March, we have opened a dedicated New York Public Library location at NDC which is the second in the department. We've launched visitor shuttles from Manhattan and Brooklyn making it easier for those in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

custody to maintain meaningful relationships with their families and friends, something that is critical to success. We've held a family visit at the Children's Museum in Manhattan and started an online bail payment system, one of the first in the country to make it easier for people to pay bail. Culture change takes time. Particularly in an agency as vast and complicated as corrections. While we have experienced successes in many areas, there is still work to be done. We are confident that our achievements over the last few years prepares us for continued success in Fiscal Year 2019 and beyond. Success is never achieved in a vacuum. I thank the Mayor and the members of the City Council for your continued support as we carry out our mission. Your support of our staff and the very difficult they do every day is clearly reflected in the executive budgets of this administration and it is very much appreciated. Some highlights of the Fiscal Year 2019 Executive Budget include funding for 71 additional civilian positions for our investigations division, Raise the Age Implementation, new and improved cell doors and areas of the use of force improvement action plans such as the facility-based emergency

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

services unit teams and the Compliance and Safety The departments Fiscal Year 2009 Expense Center. Budget is \$1.4 Billion. The vast majority of this 88% is allocated for personal services and 12% for other than personal services. Fiscal Year 2019 Budget is \$20 Million less than this years' budget of \$1.4 Billion. This decrease in funding is mainly from uniform head count reduction due to the closure of the George Motchan Detention Center on Rikers Island which takes a full effect in Fiscal Year of Included in the budget, are decreases of \$28 Million in Fiscal Year 2018 and \$2.6 Million in Fiscal Year 2019 and increases of \$24 Million in Fiscal Year 2020, \$14 Million in Fiscal year 2021, and \$10.7 Million in Fiscal year 2022 and the out years. The following is an overview of the major changes that were included in the departments budget. Personal services accrual reduction to DOC's fulltime salary budget of \$28.8 Million in Fiscal Year 2018, \$27.7 Million in Fiscal Year 2019 due to hiring less corrections officers than anticipated in May 2017 and November 2017 classes. City wide savings initiatives developed by OMB resulted in a reduction of \$192 in Fiscal Year 2018, \$1.3 Million in 2019,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

\$1.5 Million in 2020 and \$1.7 Million beginning annually in Fiscal Year 2020. Reforms that will result in budgetary efficiencies are anticipated to be developed through reviews of citywide phone plans by DoITT, stricter adherence to civilian overtime policies and procedures transitioned to battery powered electric vehicles and automated enhancement to the cities procurement processes. To further enable the department to satisfy requirements within the Nunez Consent Decree specific to the use of force, a total of \$3.4 Million in FY19 and \$4.9 Million beginning annually in FY20 was funded to support an additional 71 investigator positions. enhance staff and inmates' safety and security at RNDC, \$5.6 Million in FY19 was provided for the replacement of all sliding cell doors with hinged tamper proof cell doors. To ensure compliance with the New York State Commissioner of Correction in New York State Office of Children and Family Services, standards during the transition of 16 and 17-year-old inmates from DOC to ACS custody \$9.9 Million in FY19 assumes nine months. \$13.1 Million in FY20 assumes a full year value and \$3.3 Million in FY21 assumes only three months for 159 uniform positions to staff the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Horizon Facility for two full calendar years. provide additional emergency response patrol units during our most active and violent prone shifts at our high-risk facilities, \$3.6 Million beginning annually in FY19 for 45 uniform positions who is also funded. On January 31st DOC opened the Compliance and Safety Center, housing the departments compliance and video monitoring units in the new emergency operations center. The task will serve as both an integrated command post to aid in the Department of Corrections rapid response effort to keep personal and inmates safe in emergency situations and to strengthen compliance with correctional standards and protocols. An increase of \$1.2 Million in FY18 an \$4.9 Million beginning annually in FY19 for 55 uniform positions has been provided to support the operations of the center. With regard to capital funding Fiscal Year 2019 Capital Budget and Commitment Plan, no additional funding was provided. The plan total is \$2.1 Billion which covers Fiscal years 2018-2022. The department continues to hire corrections officers at historic levels. Most recently, 856 corrections officer recruits were hired in January 2018 and are presently undergoing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

intensive training to prepare for graduation next month. This new academy along with the 5,700 hired since May 2014 have enabled us to enact the reforms necessary to provide a safer and better environment for our staff and inmates. With the graduation of the current academy class in combination with the closure of GMDC, Fiscal Year 2019 will be the first year we will be fully staffed in our jails since our reform agenda began in 2015. This will also yield a further decrease in overtime costs and allow for more efficient use of our resources. To date we have been able to reduce our uniformed overtime spending from \$240.4 Million in Fiscal Year 2017, to a projected \$196.6 Million in Fiscal Year 2018. This anticipated 18% reduction in uniform overtime represents the departments commitment to bringing our overtime costs down. As poster filled with new full-time hires, the department will be able to reduce overtime reliant to achieve the \$154 Million uniform overtime budget in Fiscal Year 2019. The following is the summary of the changes to the department civilian and uniform authorized staffing levels included in the executive plan. The civilian authorized full-time head count is 2,195 in Fiscal Year 2018 and 2,273 beginning

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

annually in Fiscal Year 2019. The authorized head count increases from 2018 to 2019 is to newly funded initiatives that will not begin until FY19. The uniform authorized head count is 10,427 in 2018, 10,226 in Fiscal Year 2019, 10,242 in Fiscal year 2020 and 2021 and 10,083 beginning annually in Fiscal Year 2022. The authorized uniform head count decreases from Fiscal Year 2018-2019 due to the closure of GMDC which takes full effect in FY2019. However, that decrease is offset by uniform head count increases included in the Fiscal Year 2019 Executive Budget for the staffing of the Horizon Detention Facility, Compliance and Safety Center and the Emergency Services Unit. The average uniform head count is estimated to be 10,695 in Fiscal Year 2018 which represents an increase of 807 compared to an average of 9,888 in Fiscal Year 2017. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify and for your continued support. We are happy to answer any questions you may have.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you Commissioner and let me just start off with just something I noticed in your testimony. On page 4 regarding head count, you mentioned that your going to have a graduation

next month and I'm wondering if you've ever had

Council Members come and speak at those graduations?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We have had Council Members attend but have not requested to speak but we are more than willing to fit anyone into the program who wishes to do so.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, so we look forward to getting that invitation and hopefully, we'll be able to make it and have an opportunity to address the correction officers as they come out of the academy. I've done that with the NYPD, so I think it would be a great addition to do it with correction as well.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We welcome you. Thank you, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, thank you. Alright, now Units of Appropriation. The Councils Preliminary Budget response call for a number of agencies to create new units of appropriations. The UFC's Budget is comprised of seven program areas but only has four U of A's. What is your assessment of how creating new U of A's to match program areas would improve DOC's overall budget structure?

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Good morning. Thank you for the question. The department is committed to working with the Council and OMB to create greater transparency in the departments budget, so we're happy to work with you both during the adopted budget process or further to create units of appropriation that properly and clearly reflect our spending.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, you're having discussions with OMB now?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, good because that is a priority for the Council. The department has budgeted civilian head count of 2,273 in the Fiscal 2019 Executive Budget, but historical actual head count tells us the department is consistently under budgeted civilian head count. For example, in Fiscal 2017, the department budgeted 2,182 civilian positions but the actual head count was 1,729. That is 453 below the budgeted civilian head count. What is the department strategy for hiring up to the budgeted head count going forward?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Thank you for the question. As you accurately reflect our headcount and civilian head count, we have a number of

vacancies that is due to several reasons. The first is we have several hard to fill titles which we actively recruit and try to fill at the agency but historically we have had a hard time filling.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And what are those? COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Investigators, information technology, construction, trades titles. We also have a high turn over due to the location of Rikers Island and gaining access, so what we typically experience is that individuals that we hire, once they get familiar with the commute to Rikers and having to work inside of a jail environment, we have a high turnover. Also, linked to that high turn over is the same commute and access to Rikers Island as it relates to the salary. So, the salary is the same for the inconvenience of having to work in a jail setting or coming to Rikers Island but as I mentioned before, we are actively recruiting and trying to unfill those hard to fill titles.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So that seems to be more of a problem with retention than recruiting from what you're describing.

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Well the recruiting is impacted up front with the salary and having to come to Rikers Island.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, can you tell me how you do recruitment for these positions? How do you go out and try to find people?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We use the posting, citywide postings. Career fairs and our recruitment unit both and we pull from the established list hiring poles.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Do you ever go to community fairs or events like that within the community to advertise the positions?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We do through our recruitment unit, yes and our human resources department.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: One of the things that I found with the school crossing guards, with the NYPD is that they were only recruiting like in police precincts, so I hope that with these efforts that you're making into the community that you're doing a wider expansion than that because how many people run into police precincts you know. So, same question I

2.2

think you know would apply here in terms of making sure that you do that outreach extensively.

COUNCIL MEMBER female: Yes, Council Member Dromm, in 2017 our recruitment division attended 508 recruiting events and -

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: How many?

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: 508 and those are both in community centers, college, or educational settings. They are career affairs and other-directed locations at malls and other events. We also do a targeted attempt to get diversity, and so up to 15% of those 500 events were diversity driven as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay good, so what type of an impact does you know, being under the budgeted civilian head count have on the departments budget?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The under-head count has resulted in a greater reliance on overtime expenditures but as we move forward to fill civilian positions, we hope to reduce the reliance on overtime as a result. We've also had some major initiatives that had warranted additional increased overtime spending, so for the trades title example, camera installations throughout our facilities to know that project is concluded, we would see those expenditures

2.2

no more in our budget because that project has been completed.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, lets talk a little bit about Raise the Age. The Executive Budget includes funding to implement Raise the Age over the course of two calendar years. Is the department on track to transfer adolescents into ACS custody?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes, we are, and we are committed to meeting that deadline and we're working closely with ACS to make a concrete plan to make that happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And how many adolescents are currently on Rikers?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: As of today, adolescent males a total 98 and females are 3.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, Raise the legislation was enacted in 2017 and there has been planning underway since then. Adolescents are currently in DOC custody, but we still see adolescent custody transition into ACS custody. The law mandates this but why us DOC providing temporary security at juvenile facilities for approximately two years. So, the heart of my question is really the idea with moving them off of Rikers was to get them away from a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

jail environment. If your still having corrections officers at the other facilities, its still creating a jail environment, so why is that in the budget for two years moving forward?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Thank you for the question. I think as you indicated in your question, the law specifically provides that ACS in conjunction with the Department of Correction will jointly manage those 16 and 17-year-olds who are currently in the Department of Corrections custody located in Rikers Island and who will move off Rikers Island as of October 1st and so by law we been directed to jointly manage that population for that period of time. think Chief Kanti[SP?] can discuss the Departments anticipated role and certainly the detention center will be a secured detention center and not a jail located on Rikers Island, but the departments custodial responsibilities remain as ACS already has custodial responsibilities, our functions will be very similar.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, the corrections officers will always be in the adolescent facilities.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: No, the expectation is that correction officers will have a role

2.2

initially at the ACS facility and as ACS ramps up their hiring the role of New York City correction officers will diminish.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, and then what type of training are they getting for this transition?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We are currently

working with Olar[SP?] and the collective bargaining units to access that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And will that include some child psychologist or child psychology type training?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: All those plans the transition and what that training will require, is under consideration and we're working with the union and the Office of Labor Relations to work those issues out.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, because I certainly hope so. That is part of the reason I think why we're moving off of Rikers is to treat them in a better way. So, the full-time line for the transition is how long, two years?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, the funding and the positions we have in the executive budget spans two calendar years. That's why they're proportionally in Fiscal Year 2019 for a full year

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 CRIMINAL JUSTICE value in 2020 and then a three-month value in 2021, 2 3 so we anticipate it will take those two years for our correction officers to be within the facility while 4 ACS hires up. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, so the DOC is 6 7 anticipating a minimum staffing level of one officer 8 for every six adolescents. Whats the current correction officer to adolescent ratio? 9 10 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The current staffing 11 is one to fifteen. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: One to fifteen. 13 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes, sir. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, that is a major 15 improvement of going down to one to six and that will 16 be implemented within the two years or will that be 17 implemented immediately. 18 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: That is immediately, sir. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Immediate? COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes. 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you. Are the 159 23 correction officers scheduled to be assigned at

Horizon Juvenile Center undergoing specialized

training? I think I asked that and you're in negotiations about who will provide that training?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We are in negotiations as to what will be in compass in that training yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. Of the total number of adolescents in DOC custody, how many have been identified as LGBTQ?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I don't believe we have that number, but we can get that to you by the end of the day.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Do you collect that data?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We collect the data

if they self-identify. We don't require them to

disclose so if they self-identify, we would have

that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: That's good. Okay, the

East River Academy serves students between the ages
of 16 and 21 in multiple locations on Rikers Island.

I think you know I was a New York City public school
teacher for 25 years. So, education is important to
me. With Raise the Age implementation, is DOC in
conversation with the DOE and ACS regarding how best

2.2

to move forward with the education for this adolescent population?

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes, that is a part of the transition from Rikers to Horizon's.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And I know on Rikers and subsequently I've met with the Director I guess and the Principal from the Academy as well. Will those teachers then be assigned to Horizon? How would that work?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Those details haven't been agreed on yet but we're working on a plan that will make the transition easy and have the youth be successful in their education.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: No detaining on Rikers

Island. In the school, it's a question I've always

been meaning to ask, in the school are teachers able

to access internet to use like a white board or to

pull up a video or something?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I'm told the answer to all your questions is yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Yes. Are those classrooms eligible for capital funds from the class from the council? In other words, sometimes we give capital funding to schools in our districts and they provide

2.2

things you know as such as those but there not called white boards, their called smart boards and I'm wondering if that is something that might be in need in the school?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We already have smart boards sir but we're willing to take some more if you'd like to give us some.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: We going to need more capital funding but I'm trying to be a little generous here. Alright, the Executive Capital Commitment plan modifies the \$1.1 Billion for new jails by spreading the funding across two Fiscal years with \$300 Million in Fiscal 2019 and \$765.6 Million in Fiscal 2020. We understand that we'll see an improved allocation of funds once the CPSD study is done but had the DOC arrived at this plan in spreading the funding across two final years.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, we took the feedback from the council and prelim hearing very seriously and we had discussions with OMB regarding taking the funding, the \$1.1 Billion out of Fiscal Year 2018 and reallocating it. So, the thought was to allocate resources in Fiscal Year 2019 that we would hope could be applied to a design contract by

2.2

the end of the Fiscal Year along you know, the CPSC study concludes that the Ulurp concludes, that was the kind of the timeline we were moving on and then for Fiscal Year 2020, the remainder of those available funds available for construction.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, let me talk a little bit about closing Rikers. The city has set out a ten-year goal according to the road map to closing Rikers Island which indicates major milestones that the city plans to reach in order to close Rikers Island. We saw a couple of new needs in the Preliminary Financial plan as a result of adding new initiatives from the road map. Are there additional new needs that we'll see going forward?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: At this time, we feel all the needs and priorities that we had to achieve swiftly have been funded and we also look to when we can internally fund as much as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Is there anything on DOC's budget that could be repurposed to meet the needs of the road maps goals instead of adding new funding?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We continually evaluate that as the plan moves forward, so I couldn't give you a definitive answer right now, but

that is always a part of the analysis we do is how much can we take care of internally.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, one of the goals from the road map is to complete renovations of the existing facilities on and off Rikers. We see Fiscal 2019 Executive Budget Funding to replace cell doors at RNDC. How many facilities other than RNDC are due for renovations and what is the estimated cost for renovations to facilities on Rikers Island?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: There are several facilities on Rikers Island that are in need of state of good repair. Work such as roof replacements, HVAC upgrades, cell doors, I don't have quantified in front of me by facility that information, but we can certainly follow up with you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, on one of my visits to Rikers, I forget which building it was but actually on numerous visits, I would walk down the hall way and every ten feet or so there were buckets placed to absorb the water, to hold the water dripping. What buildings are you going to do the roof repairs in?

I'm assuming that was the issue was that it was rain water or leakage from the roof coming in.

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Umh again, I apologize, I don't have the specified list, but we can certainly follow up with you on that as to where the roof replacements are, the HVAC, all those itemized.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Do you know if that roof repair work that you're going to do would cover all of the buildings that have this problem?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: That would be the goal.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: That would be the goal?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: But you don't know if that's included in the budget?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The current facilities were all at the time, [inaudible 40:38] so going forward, obviously maintaining the building in

19 a state of good repair is an ongoing effort so —
20 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Actually, what I saw was

pre-sandy, so these conditions have there for a very long period of time. I think I visited there in 2010 when I first saw it, so this has been a long time coming, so I really would like to get those answers.

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We'll get them to you, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, thank you.

Transgender housing unit, I'm going to turn it over to my Co-Chair. The Mayor in the Department recently announced that DOC will house inmates consistent with their gender identity and that DOC is working with the Commission on Human Rights to maintain the transgender housing unit as an additional safe housing option for transgender inmates. How many corrections officers are currently assigned to the transgender housing unit and what is the plan for staffing going forward?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Currently, the transgender unit is in operation and will remain so. The department is working with the City Human Rights to develop a plan on how we will accomplish this. We have currently a full staffing plan for the transgender unit. They don't have a separate staffing plan in any other housing unit and we will maintain a safe staffing plan as we move forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay and is the CPSD's study for the new jails looking to the needs of LGBT detainees?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Uhm yes, and we can include that in our discussions with all as we move forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, that also as you know is an extremely important issue to me as well.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Absolutely.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, thank you. Alright Chair Powers?

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Yes, thank you and I want to note that we've been joined by Council Member Lancman, Council Member Cumbo, Council Member Van Bramer, Council Member Moya, and Council Member Gibson was here and had to leave, so thank you all for joining us. I had a couple follow up questions from Chair Dromm. The first one being on the cell doors. Are there other facilities that need beyond RNDC that need cell door upgrades? If so, are we planning for them, is there a cost to those?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: There are other facilities on Rikers Island that have the rail and rack sliding doors. The replacement doors that we're putting in RNDC are swing doors, tamper proof swing doors and so it's a different type of technology. The rail and rack sliding doors, I know existed AMKC and EMTC, but as we move to close Rikers and move

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

into community-based facilities we are considering where the needs for that kind of funding to replace those doors at that expense is necessary. RNDC is as you're aware a facility that has the East River Academy, the largest school space plus the additional recreation and programming space that we've been using for the 16 and 17-year-olds and as we close GMBC, a significant number of our young adult population, we intend to move into RNDC because of the availability of those programs-based schools and services. That way RNDC, the investment and the doors there right now for us makes sense. With respect to the consideration of the other facilities that may have those doors, it will depend on as we move forward and close facilities, which facilities have a need to remain open the longest.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, just to clarify the answer to that. Yes, some do.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes, yes some do.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Is there a reason we're not replacing those cell doors — I think your part is about the closing of the long-term plans — you know longer term plan to close Rikers Island but what are the other facilities that would need it?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, it's not that they would need it. Its that AMKC and EMTC I know are two facilities that have those types of doors, but GMDC has those types of doors as well but we're closing GMDC this summer. So, the doors themselves as the Nunez independent monitor issue to report this spring, the doors themselves are standard in many correctional settings and there not inherently The department has systems and processes and unsafe. procedures in place to monitor the functioning of those doors and ensure that the doors when they are not operable, or not functioning properly aren't in use and then to make necessary repairs but they are old doors. Our facilities are old which is part of why newer facilities would be better -

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Just a follow up question. What would be the timeline to replace the doors at RNDC once the money is into the budget?

Like how long will it take to replace them? And second, I think the answer is no, but is any — would those cells that were found to be had malfunctioning cell doors, those are taken off line in terms of holding people in them?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Correct, correct. We have an automated tracking system for maintenance requests and the doors, when there identified, there taken off line. Individuals aren't housed in that cell and the door is either repaired and then put back on line or remains off line. In terms of the timeline, I think for the replacement of the doors, so the funding's available in Fiscal 2019, so we anticipate the project to be completed. Although, we're taking steps now to begin ordering and working with the vender to get everything ready for delivery, so we can begin the work July 1st.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, when you say completed, that money goes in July 1st, whats the anticipated completion date?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The completion date — that I don't have on me right now, but I can get back to you, but it will be done next Fiscal Year.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: This Fiscal Year.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: 2019 yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, moving to Raise the Age. I know Council Member Dromm covered some of this. I want to just ask some follow up questions on it. With the 159 correction officers that are going

2.2

to be placed at Horizon, I don't know and I'm sorry if its repetitive, but I don't know if Council Member Dromm — or that you guys had answered. Is the policeman — is 159 officers new officers or are they existing at other facilities that will be transferred there? And if so, how is that determination made about who, what facility they get placed at and who goes to Horizon?

requirement that those that go to work at the

Horizons facility with the adolescence coming from

Rikers Island have at least two years of experience

working with this 16 to 21-year-old age population

and so that will drive in some part who could be

eligible, but they will come from existing staff and

I'll defer to the first update Commissioner with

respect to how the staff will be identified.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, the identification of the staff to be assigned at Horizon. I'm sorry, I didn't catch that part of the question.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: The question is how are you going to choose the 159 correction officers that are going to work at Horizon? And I think part of

2.2

the answer is there is a minimum requirement of two years history working with that population. So, that means that would be existing officer and it sounds like for two years history. The question is beyond that, what are the other considerations or how is the selection going to be made about who leaves one facility and moves to Horizon?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Right, so the main consideration is uhm, officers who have already worked with that population at RNDC. So, we anticipate that of the staff that have either worked with the adolescent population or have the required two years of working with the population will be able to staff Horizon.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: How many officers at RNDC right now?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Approximately 760.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, so you have 760, so how do you get from — my question really is how do you those 760 get to the 159, so some population perhaps doesn't have the two-year experience requirement, maybe most, I don't know but the question is what are the extra considerations? Is it interest level? Is it experience level? Is it you

2.2

know, how is that determination made about who moves over?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, as a result of the Nunez Consent Decree, we have been recruiting over the last four years. So, all of the great majority of staff who work at RNDC either have prior experience or have expressed an interest in working with this population. So, the staff that is currently at RNDC fits the criteria for Horizon.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I think that's a different answer then I was asking. What I was really — the question is essentially you have 760 officers, so what is the criteria behind choosing the 159 that will end up at Horizon. We know what the minimum standard would be, and I appreciate that but a second question, which is what are the additional considerations that are given? And the point is that the purpose of the law with Raise the Age is to move them out of the correction facilities and put them in the custody of ACS and I understand the transition need of having 159 officers. The question is how are you going to choose that population noting that there has been a special consideration given to this age population?

2.2

commissioner staff member: So not all of the 700 officers work with the adolescent population. There is an adult population in the facility as well. So, the number is much less. So, there is the experience requirement. There is also seniority that we have to take into account and when we're transferring new folks to new post. So, we're not only working within the agency but we're also working with OLR and the Unions to decide who that staff will be that goes over.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, seniority can determine where you -

COMMISSIONER BRANN: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And what about disciplinary records, use of force, how are those factors into -

COMMISSIONER BRANN: All of those are taken into consideration because we look at that because of the Nunez Consent Decree.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And does that — is seniority placed before that in terms of a consideration? Meaning does that — how is that used? Like how does your use of — or your disciplinary records let's say, factor into a determination of

whether your placed with the 16 and 17-year-old population?

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We do a holistic review of the candidates who have — you know if there is an eligibility criterion for a particular post assignment. First meeting the eligibility criteria and second would be looking at the persons employment history with us and so, that's how the assignments have been made within the department and that's how the assignments will be made here as well and as the Commissioner mentioned, there is obviously use of force history and outcome investigation outcome determination criteria that play a part in assign it to specialized populations and units. So, that will be followed as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, thank you for that and what happens after two years when it sounded — I think the answer was that if we're budgeting and we're expecting two years for the 159 officers to be at Horizon. Is there a population that stays after that or do they come back to existing facilities or do they get absorbed by ACS or what happens?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Our officers would return to Rikers.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Return to Rikers? COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes. 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, got it. So, moving to new jails, I know that we covered some of this. 5 There is a kind of current capital commitment plan is 6 7 \$1.1 Billion for new jail facilities. What does that \$1.1 cover? What is that amount? Why \$1.1 Billion 8 of the total cost which we know will be more than 9 that? 10 11 COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, the \$1.1 Billion was 12 just internally within our existing ten-year plan. The reallocation of funding predominantly for the 13 what was the new 1,500 bed facility to be on Rikers 14 15 Island that takes up a large majority of that amount 16 and the remainder was associated with the capital projects that were slated for the borough facilities. 17 18 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, it money that was committed for other projects in the past, so for the 19 20 new facility, a new facility on Rikers Island, or 21 capital upgrades at existing facilities. 2.2 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Right. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: That was rolled in. So, its essentially repurposed money for the -24

25

COMMISSIONER BRANN:

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And, got it. And I know there is the ongoing to study from Perkins Eastman as they were hired as the official consultant for the project. Are there any preliminary findings from them so far from their study? And then whats the expected timeline when they release it?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: The expected timeline for a lease would be the end of this calendar year.

Workshops and studies are ongoing. I believe community engagement is anticipated to begin soon and last month the task order was released for the environmental impact study to begin as part of the Ulurp process.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, the EIS will start?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Will start it.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Any other findings to date in terms of preliminary?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: That's all that we can report at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: That's all you can report, okay. And for the new jail facilities there's obviously populations that, like female populations right now has its own facility. Is the expectation that there will be a female unit in every

single woman unit — women's unit in every single new jail facility or will it be — I'm obviously trying to bring people closer to home. What is the expectations about the specialized units or in this case an entire jail facility that is dedicated to certain populations?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, keeping with our concern to keep folks in their communities we would have female units in every single one of the new jails.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Everyone, okay. Thank you and then the barge uhm, has been the topic of discussion recently around what to do with the barge and the Bronx. Is there any — what does the future hold for the barge?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: There have been no decisions made about the barge as of yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So right now, it stays. The plan is to keep it.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: There hasn't been any decision because the study hasn't been done yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, so study will lead to a decision about — will inform a decision about what to do with the barge?

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER BRANN: It should inform a decision yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and that's in November?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: By the end of the calendar year.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: BY the end of the calendar year, okay. And then as part of the state budget designed bill was included for a number of projects. Something the City Council had advocated for and the Mayor supported. We have the belief that it would have results of cost savings and some time line improvements in terms of the jail facilities. Have you made a decision or determination to how much time and money it would safe in terms of construction for the new facilities?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Not yet at this time. We're going to be working closely with DDC throughout that process and again, the outcome of the CPSD study will help inform us as to where we can go with cost savings related to designed build.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, so I know that the limit commission I think had put the current timeline at 6.5 years with design build included. Does that

sound in the ballpark or is that no information, no guess on what the improved timeline might be?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: I wouldn't want to guess at that at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Alright, I won't make you guess.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Thank you.

2.2

questions. So, we have new spending in here which is for investigations division, ESU Emergency Services, Compliance and Safety Center, and then the cell door replacement I think are four of the big items. The investigations division is 71 new investigators which I think is a result of the federal monitors report.

Do you expect other additional spending either out of the most recent report or other — like what will be the new — I mean you can't predict the new needs because — is that the only new need that came out of the fifth report?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes, other than their continued interest in the city building a new training academy but there has been funding for that and we're moving forward but the investigators, the head count for the investigations division presently

stands at about 170 and at the time of the Nunez settlement in 2015, the investigations division was only about 100 investigators. So, we significantly increased the head count, so we believe with this next tranche of head count increase in Fiscal 2019 Executive Budget that we will be able to reduce the case loads sufficiently and see efficiencies due to the merging of the supervision of the investigations and the trials divisions under one deputy commissioner.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I know the topic of the training academy. I will ask the following question which is, is there any updates and is the \$100 Million — I think I asked this last time, I'm not sure if we got it cleared. Is the \$100 Million the expected total amount to complete an academy?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: That's the amount that's been allocated yes, based on the space and largely the space needs requirements that the department would have for an academy for —

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: That is the estimate of the cost of it and there are obviously other contingencies in there but —

2.2

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 2 COMMISSIONER BRANN: I think its hard to say 3 without a defined site and location if that will be sufficient. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Right and timeline update 5 on with the process? 6 7 COMMISSIONER BRANN: The city is actively identifying and considering potential properties that 8 would meet as initial criteria of some of the 9 location you know near public transportation, the 10 size requirements and then from there we'll move 11 12 forward with you know, further review and the 13 procurement process. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And whats the - how many 15 staff are at the academy today? COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The class size is I 16 17 believe 156 were at last count 820 or so. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: 820 staff? COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Oh, you're talking 19 20 staff. Roughly 100.

another — will you need with the new academy an increase in your staff budget as well?

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BRANN: It's possible that we will need new staff assigned there as we expand the

2.2

academy into including a leadership development track into our curriculum.

Questions and then I'll hand if off back to the Chair. We had a hearing last month on safety and security. We also had a bill from the speaker that related to telephone fees and this has been the topic that's come up in the past as well. Can you just update us on I think its about \$5 Million that's projected in terms of telephone fees for phone calls? Can you and just for some of the here as well who weren't at that hearing. Can you give us an update on A. is \$5 Million a right number for projected telephone fees?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and how does one make that projection? Can you explain to us the fees the telephone calls and so, how much are they being charged? I think there's some free phone calls and they get charged at a certain point, can you explain that process to us?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, the determination of the \$5 Million was negotiated with — it's a negotiated contract with a vendor which was registered in 2014.

So, I can't necessarily speak to how that number was determined. Sorry, I need a minute to find the information to find the information on the phones.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: While she looks for the information related to the contract. I can answer some questions about the operations and the phone calls.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, all detainees receive free calls during the new admission process and then further indigent detainees receive free calls three a week as a detainee, two a week as a sentenced inmate. Inmates and detainees can make additional calls in a variety of ways, either collect calls where the call is paid for by the receiving party. They can make calls with funds available in their commissary accounts. Certain numbers such as 311, the Department of Investigation, the PREA hotline calls are free. Attorney calls are not free, but those calls are often not paid for either, they're paid for by the receiving party and the attorney. So, that's generally kind of the scope of phone calls. Those in our custody make approximately 26,000 phone calls every day.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: 26,000 every day? 3 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes, almost 10 4 Million a year. COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And whats the cost per 5 calls to the caller? 6 7 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, its \$0.50 for the first minute and then \$0.05 for each additional 8 9 minute. COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Whats the cost if like 10 I'm making a phone call from home, whats the average 11 12 cost? 13 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Oh, I have no idea. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: \$0.50 sounds high doesn't 15 it? 16 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Uhm, as an initial 17 first minute, I think considering other correction 18 agencies and departments nationally, I think it's on the higher side for the first minute but our per cent 19 20 \$0.05 per minute cost is lower and so the average cost of a phone call is moderate compared to national 21 2.2 averages. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, in terms of revenue made - so you have a contract with - you have a \$5 24

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 Million contract with an outside third party. Who is 3 the third party? Who is the contract with? COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Securus Technologies. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and that's a \$5 5 6 million annual contract the department pays - is it 7 \$5 Million? COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: It's a \$5 Million 8 Revenue contract with Securus Technologies. 9 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, they are basically 10 making the money that off the calls that are made, is 11 12 that right? COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Well there's \$5 13 Million in revenues in the city's budget. So, 14 15 there's \$5 Million allocated in the cities revenue 16 plan as miscellaneous revenue, that goes back to the 17 general fund. We do have to pay the vendor for their 18 services as well. COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And how much do we pay 19 20 the vendor? 21 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Roughly, I think it's 2.2 about \$3 Million per Fiscal Year. I'd have to double 23 check that number. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, we're paying \$3

Million, we're making \$5 Million and whats happening

2.2

to that other \$2 Million? Its going into the general fund or -

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Well, there's other fees. I don't want to misspeak so I can get further details on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: When does that contract end?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: That contract will be expiring in March of 2020. Although, we're going to proceed conversations with the vendor as a result of the legislation that's out to see how we can amend.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I mean I think you know where I'm coming from which is that I think there is a lot of concern amongst colleagues about the charges and I think speakers bill speaks to that concern certainly from the speaker and some others, so we would like to continue to have a conversation about that. I understand that you are in a contract you know, but it feels like it's a conversation that should require some urgency related to it and while I know, the sort of constraints on an existing contract certainly there is some way to revisit that and certainly as you get to the end of it in March 2020, which seems like a far time away, we would like to

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 talk more about that. I want to move to other fees 3 and services. Commissary has also received some attention. How much revenue are we receiving from 4 commissary on an annual? 5 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Approximately \$13 6 7 Million. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: This year? 9 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And that's revenue. 10 11 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Same - its 12 miscellaneous revenue into the city's general fund, 13 yes. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And that's also a 15 contract with an outside -16 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, there is multiple 17 contracts that although, the department holds, there 18 precured by [inaudible 1:05:55] as many goods contracts are. So, there's multiple contracts maybe 19 20 ten roughly ten or more for various goods that we 21 have available for sale in the commissary. 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I should have asked, does 23 the agency have an opinion on the bill from the speaker related to the telephone fees? A position on 24

it?

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Well, with respect to the commissary bill as I understand it.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: The first question was, and I will ask that too, but the first question is the telephone bill that -

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Oh, well with the phone bill when we were here last, our position remains the same. The city and the Department of Correction are interested in making the phone calls as low cost as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Oh, but the low cost but also, I think the other consideration would be to have not just reducing the amount but to you know, eliminate any revenue generating.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Oh, so yeah so both the cost to the detainee as low cost as possible and then on the contract side as associated commissioner lines, stated the department is actively engaged with the city and now with our vendor Securus Technologies to determine how we can either modify the existing contract or have a new procurement with different terms going forward, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, when do you think — is there an expected timeline of when you have a —

somewhat of a final answer on the status of the contract?

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, you know we're having conversations now with the vendor and negotiation is a process, but we're going to move it as quickly as we can, given the importance of the bill and an answer that you know, we need to provide.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I know a number of city contracts have automatic renewals. Is 2020 them exercising you know one-year renewal, two-year renewal, top it and does the contract have renewals after that?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The contract does have renewal options, but we have the right to exercise them, the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And then the commissary,

I'll ask the same question which is, you know Council

Members Richards and Adams put out a bill just

Wednesday and I think a response to a concern about

commissary funds being left over. Any opinion on

that bill?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yeah, as we understand it, the important — the intension of the bill is to ensure that those who are in our custody

2.2

had funds in their commissary account receive those funds upon their release from our custody and we're in a complete accord with that. The department has processes in place where presently increasing the matters in which we communicate that information to the detainees in our custody posting — we're going to be posting signs identifying the same. When persons are released from our custody, the balance of their account up to \$100 is provided in cash. Anything over \$100 is remitted by check. The individual just provides the department with the address to which they want that check distributed — mailed to and the check is mailed. In addition, anyone can return to any of our department facilities and court locations and request at the window the return of their funds.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Is there a time period by which you have to go and retrieve those funds before they are swept back into the general fund or DFC budget?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The requirement is 120 days presently and then although, as long as the funds still remain within DOC, again the detainee can return and provide there booking case number and their information and receive their funds.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Do you have any understanding why the common reasons why somebody doesn't retrieve the funds? Just \$3.5 Million is there — is the amount? Is it the mechanism? —

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: To quantify the \$3.5 Million represents about 180,000 persons, so the relative dollar amount obviously could vary but I don't know that we've done any survey to determine why those who have left their funds haven't collected them but certainly we're aware that our obligation and our interest is to make persons in our custody, the detainees absolutely aware of the process and the procedure, so that as many people who are in our custody reclaim their funds.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Ahh, okay and one executive plan is a decrease of \$82,000 for a citywide phone plan reform. Can you elaborate on what that represents?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: That was one of several citywide savings initiatives implemented by OMB, so as I understand it DoITT who manages the phone plans citywide is going to be reevaluating those agreements, but I can't comment further then that.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, the DoITT initiative around citywide agency around phone -

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Phone plans.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. I'm going to leave it there. I have some follow up questions, but I'll hand it back over to Chair Dromm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Lets go to Council Member Holden and we've been joined by Council Member Steven Matteo.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Good morning commissioners. Thanks for visiting my district office last week with your wonderful staff. I learned a lot. Thank you again. Just a couple questions on the Perkins Eastman study. That should be completed by the end of the year you said. It's close to a \$8 Million project.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: That is correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, can you talk about what their doing in that? Are they doing environmental impact study? Are they doing any drawings? Are they doing plans? I mean could you talk to that?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes. So, the CPSD study will cover the sights for MDC, QDC, in Brooklyn

2.2

what can be done. It will look at conceptual design. It will look at environmental impact. There will be community engagement to name a few of the — and also internally —

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Right, so they do an EIS, not an EAS. There not doing an assessment study.

There doing an impact study.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, good that's more detail. So, what was the mandate? Where they given a number of detainees to house? Was it 5,000? Was it just say, what we have today, 9,000 are they looking at that or are they — you just gave them put four of borough-based jails?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: So, I believe that the number that we're looking at is 5,000 but with enough swing space to accommodate a shifting population.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Can you speak to that a bit? You say enough swing space, but is that 7,000, 8,000?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I'm sorry, I'm being corrected. The number we're looking at is the population of 6,000 beds with swing space capability.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Alright, so what if there are more though? What if we don't get down to that 6, what happens? Do they stay on Rikers? Or we build more jails? Is there anything in that study to say what if we need more than four?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I'm not sure they are going to include that information, but we have had conversations with them about design and the ability to separate different populations and accommodate swings in population over time.

mandated to actually replicate the programs at Rikers in all the borough-based jails so, that's going to take up more space. Obviously, athletic facilities and health facilities, laundry, you know, kitchens and their mandated to do that. So, there not doing an architectural rendering, right? There just doing feasibility study.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, alright and you're expected to get that at November or December?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: By the end of the calendar year.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: By the end of the calendar year, okay. Just one other thing on the follow up on the training facility. Who can we get to kind of put that on the fast track? Who's responsible to try — is it DDC? Who is responsible for moving that along? Is it your agency? Because we seem to be kind of stuck.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Its coordination between DOC and DDC, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Coordination between DOC and DDC.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: DOC and DDC, okay. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you. Just to follow up on some of the phone call questions as well. So, for indigent folks who are trying to make a phone call, how long is their phone call, six minutes?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Correct, six minutes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Three times a week?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: How long is the phone call for those who can pay?

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The maximum length of a phone call is 15 minutes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: 15 minutes, so that's double and they can call everyday or whenever they want?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: They could call multiple times a day?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Uhm, the phone usage — the maximum phone usage is I believe its 21 minutes of access phone usage every three hours.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Every three hours?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yeap.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay and to pay for those phone calls, you have to pay with a credit card or put money down? How does that work?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: For the detainee, it would be funds if they have funds in their commissary account. It would be the funds in their commissary account. Uhm, for the other side, which would be the collect calls from the loved ones, it can be prepaid via on line telephone or you can go to a facility.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, for the prepaid, if you pay, whats the minimum payment for a prepaid?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: \$20.00.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, is there a refund available if the detainee leaves and is the person who prepaid eligible to get money back?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I actually don't have that answer available. I'd have to look into it.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Do you have an idea of whats the average payment on those prepaid calls?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: No, I do not.

be significant. I'd like to know that also. Okay, there's a lot of questions that we're going to have to follow up with you on and I just have a couple more here. Crisis intervention training, in 2015 DOC and health and hospitals — since 2015 have been implementing a crisis intervention team program.

Crisis intervention team training is given to both officers and mental health staff. It entails 40 hours of intensive training. How much does it cost the department to do the 40-hour training and is this sufficient for adequate crisis intervention training?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I don't have that information. There is a — when staff is into training, there is a backfill involved for those

persons that are no longer on their post for the span of training, so there maybe an overtime cost, but for the actual cost of the training itself, I don't have that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, so we're going to ask you for that as well and follow up. How does the department measure whether the crisis intervention training is effective or not?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Uhm, that's a very good question. We assess how the officers are responding in the housing units. When we have lower incident rates, when we have less use of force, when we have less violence indicators in those housing areas. We believe that there has been a transfer of learning.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, is there a formal evaluation process of that program?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Maybe we should do that as well and see how effective we are. Is the goal to eventually have all DOC staff trained in the crisis intervention team?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. What about implicit bias training? Are you doing implicit bias training?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We have done implicit bias training at the executive and management level.

We have not gone down to the captains in the officers yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Is there a plan to do that?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We will be incorporating that into the leadership development track.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: How did you at one time I know you had trained officers at the Museum of Tolerance. Actually, I saw the program its pretty good, but I don't think that museum exists any longer. Are you doing anything around racial sensitivity implicit bias now with the officers?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: That may not have been us. It may have been NYPD but $-\$

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Or [inaudible 1:18:51].

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: It was Coba[SP?].

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: It was Coba that was doing

that.

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: But I can tell you that everyone receives training from our EEO office, both in the academy and those who are already in service.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And how long is that training?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I believe that's an all-day training, eight hours.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, one day, okay.

Alright, let me go to mental health first aid. In your response to the follow up letter that was sent to you after preliminary, it says that the department began providing the mental health first aid training to uniform staff in September 2014 and a total of over 5,000 DOC uniform staff members have been trained through March 2018. The MHFA is an eighthour course, it gives the people the skills to help someone who is developing a mental health problem or experiencing mental health crisis. Is that eighthour course enough to deal with that or is more training necessary?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I think with respect to that course, that one day course is sufficient.

The department has several other courses that address

crisis intervention, conflict resolution, deescalation, in particular we have a three-day
training program that more than 3,000 of our recent
recruit graduates have through and we began rolling
out in March with all of our in-service staff. So,
yes to the content of the mental health first aid,
the eight-hour training and the content it covers,
but we have similar overlapping and extending content
in other trainings as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. Alright, I think that's it for now. Chair Powers has some follow ups.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Just questions that I realize I didn't get to. When you refund the commissary for the over \$100 - well let me ask the question, what percentage of folks are normally below the \$100 that receive the cash and the amount that's above the \$100 that received a check?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We'd have to get that information. I don't have that information. I don't want to guess.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And the — what happens if somebody receives a check and doesn't have a checking account?

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I'm sorry, say that again.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: What happens to the folks that don't have a checking account or adolescents who are — I don't know who may not have a checking account?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Check cashing establishments where you can cash a check without having an account.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Whats the normal fee on a check cashing.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I'm not aware.

and I think not great actors. I mean I think there's a — I'm asking the questions because it struck me that we are giving some folks back a check and maybe they don't have a checking account and they have to rely on something like a check cashing service that has high fees or can have high fees and can take a large amount of that money back from them. I want to go back to the fees again. So, I just wanted to clarify, the city has \$5 Million in expected revenue from the phone calls. Is paying \$3 Million annually for the service. There's a \$2 Million profit off the

contract, is that shared between the operator and the department or does that go directly to the department, that \$2 Million?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: The only thing we intake is the \$5 Million.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: You take the \$5 Million and you pay out the \$3 Million?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: The \$5 Million is the fees that got collected. The Million is what you pay out. So, you make a \$2 Million. We're making a \$2 Million on a spread on the phone calls in you know, today. This year we're expected to make a \$2 Million on profit essentially off of the phone calls.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Again, we're not making the profit. We only intake the \$5 Million, so I'd want to research this further, so I can give a more informed answer as to what that difference is and why it exits.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I might be wrong, but what am I wrong about? We're paying \$3 Million, making \$5 Million?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Again, I recognize your identifying this \$2 Million, but I don't want to

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 2 misspeak and guess as to what that might be, so I want to be able to research and give an informed 3 answer that's accurate. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and then last 5 question and vending machines. Whats the expected 6 7 revenue this year for vending? 8 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I don't have that 9 available. COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay and so could you get 10 us that? Is it okay with you? And we have a 11 12 contract with somebody for vending? Do we know how much that contract is annually? 13 14 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: We'll get that 15 information to you. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: That would be great, I 17 appreciate it and I assume all these contracts are 18 like registered with the city controller or everything, anyway right? 19 20 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Yes. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Are those actually 2.2 available for us to have copies of the contracts as 23 well? 24 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Uhm, sure. We can -

information is always available. The other

2.1

controller's checkbook but we could also obtain that information for you if you want to see the details of the contract.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and then the I'm sure you saw today on the City Controllers report that raise some concerns. It was admitted before we all walked in the door but any initial feedback on the Controllers Report this morning?

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I have not seen that report yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I'm sure its on your desk when you get back.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: I'm sure it will be.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I think it raises concerns about the drop-in population but the continued increases in spending particularly around personal and overtime and head count. I won't ask you to respond to it because you haven't had a chance to look at it, but something will be asked in the future.

22 COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And at some point in the future also, I would like to talk with you about the increase, seems to me, in the requests for variances

on segregated housing and have a discussion with you around that but that's not what today is about so, what we're going to do right now I think is that we're going to close out and thank you for coming in and we look forward to continuing to work with you on these issues.

COMMISSIONER STAFF MEMBER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. Thanks for being here. Thank you everybody for attending.

hearing for today. This finance committee will resume Executive Budget hearing for Fiscal 2019 on Monday May 14, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. in this room. On Monday, the Finance Committee will hear from the New York Police Department, the District Attorneys and the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, Department of Housing and Preservation and Development and the Department of Buildings. Thank you and this hearing is now adjourned. [Gavel].

2.2

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018