CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

----- X

April 30, 2018 Start: 9:47 a.m. Recess: 2:08 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers- City Hall

B E F O R E: Ruben Diaz, Sr.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Rory Lancman

Ydanis A. Rodriguez Paul A. Vallone Stephen T. Levin Brad S. Lander Joseph C. Borelli Francisco P. Moya Deborah L. Rose

Andrew Cohen

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Meera Joshi, Commissioner, NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Bill Heinzen, Deputy Commissioner, NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Mr. Lipski

Gloria Guerra, Medallion Owner

Carolyn Pratz, Medallion Owner

David Pollack, Representative, Credit Unions

Edith Prentice, Chair, Taxis for All Campaign

Jean Ryan, Vice President, Public Affairs of Disabled in Action

Joe Rappaport, Executive Director,
Brooklyn Center for Independence of the Disabled

Valerie Joseph, Advocate, Access-A-Ride

James Comigliano Jr., President, Independent Drivers Guild

Ryan Price, Executive Director, Independent Drivers Guild

Bhairavi Desai, Executive Director, New York
Taxi Workers Alliance

John Marero, base owner

Zubin Soleimani, taxicab driver

Abraham Loeb, driver, member NY Taxi Workers Alliance

Sibu, driver, member NY Taxi Workers Alliance

Mari Rosario, member SEIU 32-BJ

Wsim, FHV driver

Peter Mazur, General Counsel, Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade

Francois Jean-Paul, driver

Bernardo Sellerino, medallion owner

Michael Simon, medallion owner

Cliff Adler, former medallion owner

Cassandra Perez-Desir, on behalf of David Beyer

Nina Godashi, driver

Jose Altamirano, President, Livery Base Owners

Eric Gogas, FHV driver

Marlene Pinedo, driver

Deborah Monte, FHV driver

Michelle Dotin, FHV driver

Inder Parmar, driver

Lucia Rojas, driver

Carlos Perez-Pena

Jose Rodriguez, President of the Taxi Drivers in Defense of the Injustice

Tina Sills

Tina Raveno, driver

Johan, driver, IDG member

Priscilla Montero, FHV driver

Sohal Rana, driver, IDG member

2 MOHAMED ARSHAD: Test, test, test. This a
3 committee hearing on For Hire Vehicles. Today's date
4 is April 30, 2018. Being recorded by Mohamed Arshad

(sp?)

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. Welcome to the New York City Council For-Hire Vehicle Committee public hearing. My name is Council Member Ruben Diaz, Sr. I have the honor of serving as the chair of this committee. I would like to recognize all of you and thank you for being here today. And I hope that we could have a very decent and very coordinated meeting today. Today we will solicit your views on a number of bills which have been introduced by the Council. And let me tell you that this committee, we started on January 1st, and this committee has done such a good job. They are member of our committee that have bills for three years, three years that will support drivers and the industry and they could never make the bill to this floor. Because of this committee, because of the work that we have been doing, today, today they are bringing those bills to the floor. Councilman Ydanis Rodriguez is bringing three that he had for three years. Council member Landers is bringing one.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Council member Levin is brining one and Council member Cabrera, yesterday I believe brought two. So this committee is now easing the burden so this committee is responsible now for bringing to the floor, to date, 7 pieces of legislation to the floor that benefit the industry. And I'm going to repeat again, part of those bills, for three years they've had them here. But today this committee has been able to bring them to the floor. We are starting early and we continue until we are done, so everyone that would like to say something, I will be here to listen to what you have to say. Let me say briefly, the two bills I am introducing today are Intro 634, which will waive all tier C licensing fees for any for-hire vehicle or taxi or other should make their care wheel chair accessible. Meaning if they are imposing you to have wheelchair so I'm putting a bill today that will mandate a tier C not to charge any fee and to release you from paying any fee for making your car wheel chair accessible. My second bill Intro 838 is comprehensive and seeks to achieve what Speaker Jones spoke of recently to make the app-based for hire vehicles in the City as regulated as the Yellow and as the Green. This seeks accountability, fairness and

hear all kinds of different bills and different
proposals to benefit the drivers, to benefit you.
This committee will work alongside with the Speaker
to consider and incorporate today's proposals, draft
and final bill. This is not a final bill. Today we
are introducing the bill, after today we will start
negotiating. One of the things that we are focusing
and that I could assure you will be changed at the
end is a \$2,000.00 per driver. That at the end we
will change that. So today, don't applause, do not
applause do not applause, just listen and you will
have a change to vent. For now, let me vent. So, at
the end we will work to change, but we are
introducing this legislation, this is not final, this
is not a vote. This is just introduced to start
negotiating and at the end we will have a bill that
will benefit everyone. Let me say something in
Spanish [Speaking Spanish 00:08:26-00:12:26] Okay,
Spanish [Speaking Spanish 00:08:26-00:12:26] Okay, before we introduce the Commissioner, Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez used to be the Chair before, around
Ydanis Rodriguez used to be the Chair before, around
this committee now is the Chair of Transportation and
today he has three bills that he used to have for
three years. Today he is bringing them to the floor

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 so he is here with us today and I would like have him 3 say a few words.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Chair Diaz. And thank you everyone. I have said before, New York City has failed to our taxi industry, especially to the men and women that work so hard, the build a dream (sic) that once if they could build, create a livery taxi base. That if they could create a tradition black car (sic), that they will be able to buy a medallion. That they will be able to work with dignity ad a part of the working class, and having a dream to join the middle class community. The three bills that I have today, have been heard before. Today is not the first time that we have this discussion. We discussed it before and as we were ready, you remember that day, we were supposed to pass a packet of bills, but Uber and Lyft invested millions of dollars and they went around building a coalition to stop the Council to level the playing field. So, here we are today, a few years after calling everyone to understand that New York City is a place of opportunity. A place where everyone is supposed to be able to do fine. That last year we had more than 55 million tourist that came

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

here. Now here we have 8.5 million New Yorkers, the market is there. There is opportunity for anyone in this industry to do fine. However, we cannot destroy the tradition livery taxi industry, the Yellow Taxi industry in order for the new one to do well. And we know what it is we need to do, we've been working hard to do it and we want to call the attention by everyone to being open and transparent when it comes to this conversation. The TLC Commissioner has been trying to do the best job in a difficult moment. We are in the center of the earthquake going at this moment right now. And it's difficult, because when those of us who want save the industry, try to do the best we can when we have been ready to pass a packet (sic) of bills. They have been going out to have meetings and be able to build support from others to stop those bills to move on. And here we are today expecting that we can be able to speak in one voice loud and clear, we need to level the playing field. That is our responsibility. I am Council Member Rodriguez, Chair on the Council Committee on Transportation. Today we will be hearing Introduction 854, 855, 856, which aim to level the playing field for Yellow Taxicabs and for-hire vehicles. Today is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

not the first time when we discuss this bill. We had discussed it a few years ago. They intended to hold for-hire vehicles to some of the same rules as the Yellow Taxis. One, create a cap or 1,000 license forhire vehicles that maybe affiliated with each base in the City. Two, require half of the all black cars license by the Taxi and Limousine Commission including those using app-based companies to be accessible to persons with disabilities by 2025. And three, require the Taxi and Limousine Commission to consider among other things, the possible adverse effects on the quality of life, traffic congestion, sidewalk congestion, parking availability, noise, and the environment whenever a new or relocating black car base or luxury limousine base applies for a license to operate. Over the next few years, FHV companies are predicted to put 10s of thousands of vehicles on the road. The current situation where FHVs can grow without limitations, environmental impact studies or accessibility requirements makes no sense. The recent strategies of medallion owners committing suicide out of the sense of total despair have served as a shocking wake up call for the dire state of the medallion. My bills that we will hear

today are not about saving an industry, they are
about doing justice by the many medallion owners who
put their trust into the market. We create and now
they are left to fend for themselves. These
medallions represent college tuitions, buying homes
and securing their retirement. For many, the
medallion represents the American dream and we have
failed today. But today I also raise my voice in
support of our livery taxi drivers and all the small
livery base owners that have been hurt and need
tremendous support from all of us too. I'm open to
discuss my bills with any stake holders of the
industry. I will take their feedback very seriously.
It has long been my position that there can be a
place for everyone in our City and for-hire vehicle
industry. New York is a City of opportunity and
innovation, yet they current situation of unfair
(sic) rules is unfair. I look forward to todays'
discussion hearing from the TLC and from the public
who are testifying. [Speaking Spanish 00:19:18-
00:19:46] yes we can.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [Speaking Spanish 00:19:50-00:20:05] Now, ladies and gentleman I would

2.2

2 like to recognize Council Member Lancman that join us, would you like to...

UNIDENTIFIED: Good morning. Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council member questions?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I do.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEINZEN: I do.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Good morning, Chair
Diaz. Chair of the for-hire Vehicle Committee and
Chair Rodriguez, chair of the Transportation
Committee and Council Member Lancman. I am Meera
Joshi, Chair of the New York City Taxi and Limousine
Commission and with me today is Bill Heinzen our
Deputy Commissioner for policy. Thank you very much
for the opportunity to share the TLCs views today on
Intros 144, 634, 838, 854,855, 856 and pre-considered
Intro T-2018-1808. At their core, each of today's
proposals represents Councils dedication to improving
the current regulatory system that governs for-hire
vehicles ranging from your local car service to high
volume app-based dispatch services. These are the

2 laws that govern many of the standard for entry and they control the size of the market. As you know, the 3 TLC encountered considerable resistance, should I 4 wait until the Chair is able to join us? Are you 5 sure? Okay. Okay, Chair Rodriguez of the 6 7 Transportation Committee and Council Member Lancman, thank you for continued attention. As you know, the 8 TLC encountered considerable resistance when app-9 based companies first came to the City including 10 fierce lobbying, traditional and social media 11 12 campaigns and even litigation, but we ultimately 13 prevailed and the City has licensed and regulated 14 these companies since their appearance in 2011. 15 Something that sets New York apart from every other 16 city in the country. By holding these companies to 17 the standards applied to other for-hire vehicles and 18 drivers, the City was able to ensure that these new business operated through a base system making them 19 20 accountable for following TLC regulations concerning consumer protections, driver protection and street 21 2.2 safety. So unlike anywhere else in the country, all 23 app-based drivers in New York City must undergo finger printing, a background check and must complete 24 driver education. And the vehicles must undergo a 200 25

2 point TLC safety and admission inspection. Since then, the TLC has continuously fought for and 3 achieved important regulatory reforms in the for-hire 4 sector and passengers, drivers and the public have 5 benefited. Strong results include, for the first time 6 7 in history of the for-hire regulation the agency mandated trip by trip accountability for over 600,000 8 trips completed every day. These trip records are 9 10 vital well beyond the agency. For example, they are the foundation of recent congestion studies that 11 12 illuminate the source of declining traffic speeds. 13 Without them, we would be in the dark and unable to 14 understand what's happening on our streets. Likewise 15 the TLC is leading the way in accessibility in the 16 for-hire sector. Last year we passed the first of its 17 kind mandate which will mean that passengers who use 18 wheelchairs will in the not too distant future, be able to consistently get for-hire service. Something 19 20 they have been deprived of for decades. We are not in the midst of a regulatory initiative to protect for-21 2.2 hire driver income in the same way the TLC of the 90s 23 enact regulation to protect taxi driver income. These changes could not, and cannot happen without agency 24 resilience to overcome considerable pushback and 25

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

sophisticated data analysis which results in fact based solutions. So we know firsthand the challenges that lay before you. Those challenges all require you to address the enormous and unchecked growth in the number of driver and vehicles in the for-hire sector. There are multitude of ways to do this and only on immutable truth, only this City Council and this Committee have the jurisdiction and the authority to make this change. Since 2011 the number of licensed drivers has grown from about 90,000 to 180,000 and we know that drivers who receive trips from app-based dispatches account for the overwhelming majority of the growth. Similarly the number of TLC license vehicles has grown from around 50,000 to 130,000. Each month for the last four years, we've licensed and continue to license about 3,000 new drivers and 2,000 new vehicles who join the 10s of thousands already on our crowded streets. Under local law, we must give a license to everyone who meets the standards without limits from this Council, we have every reason to believe this steady growth will continue and the challenges associated with this growth will likewise get larger and more consequential. All of the bills I will testify about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

today recognized the need for drastic change in local law that governs the for-hire sector for the good of drivers, passengers, and our City as a whole. I applaud the Councils tenacity in taking up this difficult, complex, and controversial topic. Intro 838, proposes a frame work that would reshape existing industry conditions by establishing a new regulatory category for the app-based for-hire industry. As part of that framework Intro 838 would create new license category of app-based for-hire services, bases and vehicles. Creating new licensing requirements for app-based for-hire services and it would impose an annual licensing fee of \$20,000.00 for each separate service. Licenses would expire annually and every license renewal would require the TLC to determine that a business need exists for the service and conduct and environmental review. The applicant would also be required to provide a detail description of all commissions and fees it would charge drivers and an estimate of drivers hourly earnings. TLC would review and approve these as well. Intro 838 would also establish a \$2,000.00 annual license fee on vehicles affiliated with the app-based services such vehicles would only be allowed to

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

affiliated with one app-based service at a time which would not be allowed to dispatch trips to other appbased services. Again, drivers would be limited to only accepting trips from one services and bases not falling under this category would be unable to dispatch trips to those drivers. Generally we agree that there needs to be a separate framework that would allow for different regulations of app-based services that operate in New York City today providing over 600,000 trips daily. Like the Yellow Cab industry, the ability to transport so many people comes with additional responsibility and Intro 838 recognizes this. There are however, a multitude of significant operational considerations with this level of overhaul that cannot be overlooked. For example, annual renewals are an extreme administrative burden and the definition of the class as written may unintentionally include very small bases and some green car operators. One substantive concern that I do want to address is much more than an operation matter. There are two provisions in the proposed bill that would be very detrimental to drivers. First, as drafted, the bill proposes that each driver who wants to work with one of the apps

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and who owns a vehicle will have to pay \$2,000.00 annually for a vehicle license. This would place another financial burden on drivers who are already shouldering almost each and every cost of the car service business. The car, the maintenance, commercial insurance, gas, car washes and more. And many of those who cannot afford the upfront costs are entering into onerous agreements to pay these expenses off over time including vehicle lease and loan payments often at subprime rates. The requirement that vehicles only be affiliated with one service, and that services may only dispatch to affiliated vehicles could also cause economic harm to drivers in smaller bases by limiting the driver's flexibility that the current overcrowded market has rendered necessary. For example, today over 50 percent of for-hire drivers receive trips from more than one base. Similarly, many smaller bases, especially in Northern Manhattan and The Bronx, pool resources and dispatch each other's cars to better serve their community. So we oppose these restrictions in Into 838 as companies and drivers should not be allowed to follow market incentives as to whether to work for one app or several, rather

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

than be subject to strict law, taking flexibility away. Additionally, it is unclear whether app-base for-hire drivers would continue to enjoy the protections of the workers compensation funds in the black car and livery sectors. In sum, we generally support the spirit of 838 to revise the current regulatory system that governs the large app-based market that currently operates in the City. And we commend the Council for reviewing the current local law to evaluate how to better address the needs of our City, but we ask you to consider the negative impacts that a \$2,000.00 annual fee and a limitation on sources of work will have on drivers. Turning now to intro 634 which would waive licensing fees for accessible taxicabs and for-hire vehicles. Over the last five years the City has made great strides in bringing accessible service to the people who need it. Five years ago there were about 200 accessible taxis and today there are over 2,000. We also have City wide system to connect passengers with accessible taxi service, but significant work remains in the FHV sector which for decades has failed to meet its mandate of providing equivalent service. The disparity has become more apparent as app-based

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

services have increased in popularity and the number of black cars has increased by 10s of thousands with no commensurate increase in wheelchair accessible service. For this reason, the TLC recently passed rules that will require for-hire vehicles to dispatch an increasing percentage of trips to wheelchair accessible vehicles. The FHV accessibility mandate takes effect July 1st and despite litigation, initiated by Uber, Lyft, Via and joined by many of the livery and black car bases and trade organizations and that litigation seeks to annul the accessibility mandate and further delay and effectively deny service for people with disabilities. Once the TLC mandate is implemented it will greatly increase the number of wheelchair accessible vehicles in circulation so that all New Yorkers can have a safe and reliable transportation with an equitable time frame. Intro 634 would waive the current vehicle license fee for any for-hire vehicle that shall be used with wheelchair accessible vehicle or a taxicab license used with an accessible vehicle. TLC of course supports this measure which would help vehicle owners reduce costs that might stand in the way of providing accessible service to

2 passengers in wheelchairs. Additionally, we assume the amount saved here, \$550.00 and \$275.00 are 3 4 intended to help individual owners reduce expenses. 5 However, the largest group to benefit from this 6 legislation may not be individuals but rather large 7 corporations as the waiver could have the unintended effect of a tax payer funded vehicle subsidy for the 8 largest app-based market participants. We do also 9 feel compelled to note from experience that while 10 waiving licensing fees may provide immediate relief 11 12 it is not a long term solution. Instead with think that continuing to work to improve income 13 14 opportunities for drivers and to develop new ones. 15 Such as increasing the partnership with MTAs Access-16 A-Ride are the best approaches to improving accessible for-hire vehicle transportation in the 17 18 City and protecting driver income. Turning to the other five proposals which were not added to this 19 20 hearing agenda until Thursday afternoon allowing minimal time for review, again, I want to reiterate 21 2.2 our support for the Council taking up the challenge 23 of evaluating what changes to local law are necessary 24 for the betterment of our City. I know the Council 25 started this work several years ago, but the industry

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and our City streets have only gotten more crowded. So it is time to finish it. The TLC stands ready to assist. Three of the bills, Intros 144, 854 and 856 create much needed growth control mechanisms through different formulations and as such are at time conflicting. Since the intention of Council is unified we suggest working to establish one uniform approach. Another bill, 855 would increase accessibility requirements for the black car sector by 2025. We of course do not object to increased access, but we would like to discuss mechanics further with the Council as the accessibility requirement, the cap requirements and the creation of a new category, as written, cannot coexist. Preconsidered Intro 2018-1808-T demonstrates Councils Support for initiative as I mentioned earlier, we are already undertaking. Establishing income protection for drivers, we fully support the spirit and mission of this proposal as well. Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to speak this morning and we are willing to further discuss these bills with Council following additional review. We are at a very important juncture, the City can now make needed change most of which can only be made at the City

2 | Council level and there is a historic level of unit

3 among drivers from all sectors in support of real

4 action. And they cannot, and must not be ignored.

5 Thank you.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you,

Commissioner. You know, reading and listening to your statement gave me satisfaction to see that you're changing your position. And the TLC, talking about the \$2,000.00 per driver that I said at the beginning, we would change that. But you're saying this will place another financial burden on drivers who are already shouldering almost each and every cost of car service business. The car, maintenance, commercial insurance, gas, car wash, I'm glad to hear this. And I'm glad that my bill is changing you because for years the TLC and you as a Commissioner has been the one killing the drivers. Has been the one putting so much pressure and putting all of these inspectors in The Bronx and they are giving summons and killing them with summons, but now today you say that the drivers are shouldering almost each and every cost of the car services business. The car, maintenance, commercial insurance, gas, car washes,

et cetera, et cetera. So I am glad to see,

2.2

Commissioner Joshi that you see the burden that the drivers are going through. And maybe from now on you will stop sending so many inspectors to The Bronx and to kill them, and send them to other areas. And by the way, I will submit a bill now that you that I see that you are seeing the problems that the drivers are facing. I am submitting a bill to repeal the summons that are given to the drivers since 1911. So, in 1911 the City Council and the Chairman or whatever, they pass a bill to increase, they doubled the fines. So I'm presenting a bill to repeal those and bring it back. Seeing your feeling now, will you support that bill now?

saying there has been no change in my position. When your bill, before it was a bill, was a draft memo that you circulated too many in the industry and gave me a copy. As well as to the press, my first public statement on that bill was exactly what my statement here is. That I support the intention of it, but I think the \$2,000.00 licensing fee for vehicle owners will be a financial burden and may end up putting them in a situation where they're financing the costs, and it costs them much more than \$2,000.00.

2.2

Additionally, the TLC has consistently supported freedom for drivers to work for multiple bases since 2014 going forward. So I'd hardly call that changing position. In fact, I'd say that's an example of pure consistency. On the second matter, there are many people in this audience today that are license FHV and taxi drivers and owners. For them, unlicensed activity is unfair competition and we surely will continue to enforce against that in The Bronx, in Manhattan, and in every other borough because the licensing standards that each and every person who works in this industry meets, need to be upheld and should not be undermined by illegal activity.

about illegal activities. I'm talking about your statement. The statement says, which I know, which all of them know, which we know for years that the burden, that the drivers are shouldering almost each and every cost of the car service business. The car, the maintenance, commercial insurance, gas, car washes, et cetera, tires. So they have pressure there (sic). And but the summonses are heavy. So because now you are seeing all of the pressure that they're going through. I am submitting a bill to repeal those

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2.2

summonses, to bring (sic) it back to them so because
all of these things that you know they are going
through, will you support that bill? That's my

5 question. I'm not talking about illegal...

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposes] I will wait to see what it is...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes]
Commissioner, Commissioner, excuse me.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposes] Words are different than words on paper.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Excuse me. I'm not talking about illegal activities, I'm talking about repealing those high fines that in 2011 this City Council gave to the drivers. So I'm trying to repeal those high summonses. I'm asking you, knowing now that you know that they are the burden of maintenance, commercial insurance, gas, car wash, they're killing them. Will you support something like that?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I'm going to continue to disagree with your characterization of, now that you see. I wish that you paid more attention to what I said as the years go by, but I have been immensely consistent. If you want to send us information about

- 2 which provisions of the ad code you'd like to repeal,
- 3 I'm happy to review it. many of the ad code fines
- 4 come from unlicensed and illegal activity and for
- 5 | those people that are licensed and operate legally in
- 6 this City, I fully support enforcement of those laws.
- 7 And in fact, as Council has repeatedly over years
- 8 | increased those fines. So, I'd be happy to review
- 9 whatever you're suggestions are, but to say generally
- 10 what you're going to repeal, I have very little
- 11 | information from which to form an opinion.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you,
- 13 | Commissioner. I have joined with us Council Member
- 14 | Lander and Council Member Levin. They both have bills
- 15 today to be introduced and I am glad that they are
- 16 here today joining us and now Councilman Lander will
- 17 you please say something about your bill and
- 18 (inaudible...)
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very
- 20 | much Chair Diaz for convening this important hearing.
- 21 | It's wonderful to see so many people out making sure
- 22 that we attend to this set of issues in a thoughtful
- 23 | way. Thank you to Chair Joshi for your leadership as
- 24 | well. We were here a term ago also in a packed room,
- 25 | and at that time I was pleased to support Council

2 Member Levin's bill to establish a cap or some limitations on FHV growth. I wish we had done it then 3 and I continue to support it now. Obviously the level 4 5 of growth that we are seeing has both caused severe congestion and made it just impossible for driver to 6 7 earn a living. So, I'm glad we're back here looking not only at that, but the broader set of issues for 8 how we will thoughtfully regulate FHVs. I am 9 particularly proud to be introducing today a pre-10 considered Intro 1808 which would authorize and 11 12 direct the Taxi and Limousine Commission to move forward with a set of regulations ensuring that 13 14 drivers can earn a living wage. It's as simple as that. People ought to be able to make sure that after 15 16 all the expenses are deducted, that they are earning at least the minimum wage of \$15.00 an hour and 17 18 preferable more. And that there is parity between what taxi drivers can earn and what FHV drivers can 19 20 earn and that the TLC moves forward to establish by rule, a set of rules that will make sure that 21 2.2 happens. And the bill says that you would have the 23 authority to regulate fares as necessary, as well as driver pay. What is paid to drivers to make sure that 24 25 what people are taking home enables them to earn a

2.2

living, and feed their families just like everybody
else in New York wants. I appreciate the testimony
that you offered about the research project that you
have underway to figure out how to do that. And in my
opportunity to ask questions I will ask some
additional questions about that work. But I am really
enthusiastic to be able to introduce the bill. I'm
not aware of any other city in the country where
people are working hard to establish laws and
regulations that make sure that for-hire drivers can
earn a living wage. And I'm proud that we're doing
that here in this City. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Do
you want me to keep going?

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: No. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, Council

Member Lander. You see, this is a Committee that is

bringing seven bills to the floor today favoring the

drivers and the industry. Never before. This is what

this Committee is doing and all of these Council

Members, all of these beautiful bills and beautiful

support for the drivers. We are now joining together

what the drivers deserve and what the industry

deserves. Council Member Levin. I'm also being joined

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 by Council Member Vallone and Council Member Cabrera.

3 Council Member Levin has a bill too, today and I

4 would like him to tell us about his bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Chair Diaz for the opportunity. I want to thank you very much for hearing these important set of bills today. And thank you Commissioner for being here as well. New York has long regulated the forhire vehicle industry dating back to 1937 when the Haas Act capped the taxicab industry at 13,595 vehicles. While regulations have evolved over the last 90 years we've failed to keep up with times and now we're facing a crisis. App-based for-hire vehicles are minimally regulated with an average of 2,000 new vehicles added to the streets every month. There is clear congestion concerns around the rapid increase of for hire vehicles. Not only are there more cars on the road, but also more than 70 percent of for-hire vehicles pickups are in congested Manhattan areas. It's no coincidence that the rate of traffic has slowed to an average vehicle speed in Manhattans Midtown core a mere 5.21 miles an hour. This keeps New Yorkers from getting to work or spending time with their families, and it's a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

question of public safety. Broader than the issue of congestion, however, is the urgent need as Council Member Lander just spoke about for greater employee equity. Driving a cab has long been a means of getting into the middle class in our City, but the dramatic increases in the number of drivers and vehicles is making that unrealistic. The number of TLC licensed drivers has ballooned from about 130,000 drivers and 74,000 vehicles in 2014. To a current total of about 180,000 drivers and 130,000 vehicles. That's a 38 percent driver increase in the past few years alone. Being forced to compete with hundreds of thousands of other drivers has heightened employee stress and anxiety and is having a serious impact on our economy and immigrant communities who have long seen this career as a way to better their lives and care for their loved ones. The fact is, it's becoming much harder to make a living driving a cab. I first introduced this bill and I worked with Commissioner Joshi back then in 2015 and since that time the need for this legislation has only become more apparent. We've seen tragic deaths of four New York City cab drivers take place within the last four months after facing mounting debts and struggling to make ends

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

meet. Medallion dollars have plummeted, selling at recent auction for around \$130,000.00 when they were placed at over \$1 million in 2013. We, as the City's elected officials have a responsibility and elected and appointed officials, commissioners, to do more when it comes to regulating this industry. The creation of the newly established committee on forhire vehicles is an important step and I want to thank Chair Diaz for all for the work that he's been doing. And it's a clear recognition that the issue has reached a boiling point. We've ignored the changing landscape for the taxi industry for too long and it's time to act. Intro 144 is a common sense legislation that would set reasonable requirements on the number of for-hire vehicle licenses TLC can issue and until the Commissioner completes a study on growth in the taxicab and for-hire vehicle industries on or until August 31st, 2019 whichever comes first. And I urge my colleagues to support this legislation. I'm also more than willing to work with my colleagues and the Administration on working through this legislation because it might not be the best configuration at this point. It was originally drafted in 2015 when the landscape was different, but

- 2 I'm certainly eager to update it where necessary,
 3 amend it where necessary to make it the most
 4 effective legislation it can be. Thank you very much,
- 5 Mr. Chair.

2.2

Member Levin. Before I continue with a question I would like to say that sometimes I was feeling lonely because I was the only one wearing cowboy hats. So I see a lot of people wearing cowboy hats today, thank you. Before I dismiss the Commissioner I don't know if anyone of the Council Members have questions for the Commissioner. Council Member Rodriguez?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Yes, Chairman.

Commissioner, I know that, again as I said before,
you've been working very hard to level the playing
field on this challenging industry. Can we be open
and this is a suggestion that I have made before, to
give amnesty forgiveness to drivers that have a
ticket right now. At least those tickets that are
accumulating for the last five years. If those
tickets are not related to safety?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: We have actually in the last probably eight or so months reassessed how we approach drivers who owe money and offering

2.1

2.2

payment plans on much more lenient and longer terms than we have in the past. But for drivers who want to get back into the business of driving, especially those that were operating illegally, we want to try to make that a possibility. So, we have a unit that you can speak to, the Driver Protection Unit and I'll make sure we leave outreach cards here for people that are interested and you can contact them to work on a payment plan and figure out the easiest path to get you back on the road.

again, I'm just reinforcing what I have suggested before. I am suggesting the City to sit down and try to look at providing an amnesty to driver that they have summons pending in the TLC for the last five years. We can talk about the category, nothing that is related to illegal, nothing that is related to safety should be part of (sic) but I know that sometimes driving is being stopped because he or she did a violation, but then they also add up all the tickets. So can we be open to look at the possibility? Because I think that where we are today is about drivers that they owe thousands and thousands of tickets. I get the legal piece one or

2.2

many...

something that really is related to safety, but if we can look at the whole universe. I would just like to

4 know if you are open to looking at that.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yes, we are open to looking at it and we've also started a program that I think starts even before the ticket is issued. A robust warning program. So for new initiatives coming out like our fatigued driving initiative. We'll do a series of warnings before we get to summonsing so people are aware of the rules. And for, as you mentioned, that category that are not safety related, not consumer protection related, but things like minor equipment violations we issue just a notice. It's not a summons and give people the opportunity to fix it before any summons is generated. So I'd be happy to further discuss that with you as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay. How

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Do you want me to,

Council Member, how many drivers and the people here
to listen and to see how things are doing now.

Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez is asking for pardon,
for leniency, for dismissal. This is a committee, it
works now, listen carefully what's going on today.

And listen, and see all the support never before,
never before this committee working, Council Member

4 Rodriguez used to be the Chairman here. He's asking

5 the Commissioner now for leniency. So listen

6 carefully ladies and gentlemen. Council Member, go

7 ahead.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish 00:54:09-00:54:14] One thing is to be inside, other things should be outside. No husband (sic) no one at this Council has been more pro our livery taxi, yellow taxi, medallion owners than myself. When we increase the penalty to 10,000 for drivers who come and pick up in the Midtown area, in the airport and the sports (sic) institution, we were discussing about the possibility that a fine would be increased citywide. And in order to be helpful to those who work in the outer borough we compromise. [Speaking Spanish 00:55:00-00:55:11] What I'm bringing right now, Chairman, it's not new. When we're been discussing leveling the playing field is not new. Remember that day when we were ready to vote and pass legislation and Uber and Lyft made a new office and they build a support. And they were able to stop for us to vote that package of bills. [Speaking Spanish

2 00:55:40-00:55:44] You know, this crisis didn't happen overnight. This crisis happened because again, 3 Uber and Lyft input millions of dollars going after 4 the Mayor and elected officials who wanted to level 5 6 the playing field. And they went out to build support 7 including new offices and other. And that's why we are here today. We hope again that we will continue 8 this conversation. That we will be able to level the 9 playing field. We care as a former driver (sic) that 10 I want thing (sic) one to (inaudible...) car service. 11 12 No one has been advocating more than me for that 13 particular group. And I hope again, as I have asked 14 before in the past in my role as chairman that we can 15 continue looking at that possibility. And also can we 16 also look to expand the number of areas where we were giving tickets to the drivers that I know that you 17 18 revised. And you were able to take out 25 or 30 of those that were used to give tickets to the drivers 19 20 and be able to look at all this area that TLC officers they use to give tickets. If those are not 21 2.2 related to illegal or safety, is there still any room 23 to expand areas where we should take out from the booklist? Excuses or reasons that we've been using to 24 25 give tickets to the drivers?

2.2

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So, we did a review a few years ago, probably 2014 where we took persistent violator points off if many violations when they weren't related to safety. And then we did another review a few years later where we reduced penalties and the bar that we use to determine what the reduction should be was looking at what drivers make in a day, in a week. So that the penalties could be right sized. But reviews are limited to one point in time so we're always open to constantly taking a look and reassessing at whether the penalties make sense. So we're happy to, especially if you have specific violations you're concerned about, please bring them to my attention and we can take a look at them.

question is how can we, as we are, and again I'm anti-Uber, I'm not anti-Lyft. We are open to work with everyone. All sector, we live in an open market society, we should be able to do fine. It's about leveling the playing field. How can we, as we are discussing ways of how to level the playing field, think and look or necessary next step without hurting the small livery bases. And also hurting the traditional black car industry?

2.2

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: One thing that occurs
to me as I read through the bills is many of the
bills are variations on existing frameworks in the ad
code that rely on bases as the definition for how to
determine what laws to apply to who. We are in a very
different world than we were in when the ad code was
drafted. And we also have the benefit of trip
accountability because we now require all bases to
give us those trip records. And we know trip volume.
And one suggestion might be to take a look at trip
volume as a way to determine whether different
regulations need to apply to one group or another.
And I think that might address the concern that you
just raised about small livery bases.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, Council Member Rodriguez. Now it's Council Member Lancman.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you Mr.

Chair. Good morning. So I'm not a member of this

committee and I only kind of find myself drawn into

these issues when we periodically try to address some

fundamental problem. So, forgive me if I'm just going

to ask you some basic questions because I do want to

understand where the administration is on some of the

big pictures issues that matter to me in this debate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And there are a whole bunch of bills here and some of them are very good. I understand we're going to go through a process and have back and forth, and hopefully all of the stakeholders will have an opportunity to have their voices heard. That's why we're having the hearing today. But let me just understand where we're starting from. And then there was the kind of zig and zag of last cycle where we thought we were on the precipice of doing something significant and then for whatever reason it didn't happen. Did the Administration understand that very serious negative aspects of the explosion of the app industry, the Ubers and the Lyft's, et cetera. I get that sense that was where you were at a few years ago. And particularly in three areas I want to know the extent to which these aspects are important to you. I'll do them one at a time so you don't need to make a list. From my perspective it's a very, very serious problem that workers in the taxi industry, who previously could eke out a living and maybe even a middle class living now find it increasingly difficult to do so because you have all of these kind of part time I want to say almost Uber hobbyists. Is that a problem that the TLC and the Administration

thinks is worth, and important to address in how we figure out to reshape this industry? Or is your

4 attitude or posture, well the market will take care

5 of itself?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I think we are living through a time where we can see where the market is not taking care of many people. There are more drivers than there are trips and every individual driver, both anecdotally and what we know from trip records, has less work this year probably than they had last year. So, their work is being diluted. We are in the midst of looking at doing research on how to put together a regulatory framework that not only protects driver income, but it addresses the utilization aspect. Which is unless you get a certain amount of trips every hour it's difficult to justify that hour as work, but even if you're not taking a trip every minute of that hour you're still working because you're on duty waiting for a trip. So it's not as though you're at you're leisure, but you're not getting paid very much for that. So, it absolutely is a function of oversaturation. It's a big concern of ours and as Council Member Lander referred to, we are deep in the process of looking at

2.1

2.2

what's going with the market now. How many drivers there are, how many trips they do, what kind of income possibilities there are. And figuring out how we come up with a structure like we did back it the 90s for taxi drivers when we capped the amount that any garage owner could charge them for a car. To protect some portion of their trip income. And it's almost the reverse that you have to do in the FHV market which is almost like guaranteeing a minimum trip payment.

Administration cares about congestion. You understand, right, that something has got to be done to limit the number of Ubers out there and I know it's just Uber, but that's become short hand. You add 50, 60, 70,000 new for-hire vehicles out on the streets, at some point if those numbers are not even too conservative, it has to have a significant impact on congestion. I see it driving in Manhattan all of the time.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Not to belabor the consistency point, but I was almost tempted to bring my testimony from 2015, change a few numbers and read it verbatim because it's as applicable then as it is

2.2

a living.

today if not more. Yes, congestion is a problem that effects all of us. Traffic speeds have gotten worse since then, its bus transportation, its emergency vehicle transportation. It's just getting around the City, but for drivers it's also particularly difficult because it makes it harder for them to earn

Administration think that it has an obligation to craft reforms that preserve, protect the value of the medallions that were sold with the expectation of the industry being a certain way. I know we couldn't debate whether the Yellow Taxi industry itself adjusted quickly enough to the marketplace, but do you consider that an objective of the Administration? I want to say prop up, but that sounds like we're doing something artificial, but to protect the investment that the medallion owners have made. I'm talking really about the guy, man or woman who owns one or a couple, is that a core administration priority or that is also... or that is in the category of the market will dictate.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: The Administration has a goal and is very supportive of making sure that

there is publicly accessible, hail able service,
because unlike other services anybody can stick their
hand out and pick up a taxi. They don't need to have
a smart phone, they don't need to have a credit card
they have cash. And right now today, if you use a
wheelchair you're not going to get service unless
you're using the Yellow Taxi system or the Green Taxi
system. And that's an important asset for the City.
It's an important mode of transportation, so we fully
support making sure that that remains available and
continues to remain available. Value follows income
and as that system is available, and it's used,
that's how value is determined. But for us, it's
really making sure that the service is out there and
available for the public. And it is a concern of ours
if it looks like it's not.

council Member Lancman: And my last question is I always thought it odd that the Ubers were lumped in with the black cars. Do I understand correctly that the plan now, the goal, is to create a separate category for the app services and not lump, and impact the black cars in particular or the other services that might currently be part of the Uber

2.2

category. Is that what we're trying to do? Is that
one of the things we're trying to do?

understanding of the bill. And I think one way to look at where we are now is the taxi industry has historical transported hundreds of thousands of people every day. That makes them akin to a public transportation system in other cities. Likewise the app-based services today, transport hundreds of thousands of people a day which also makes them like a public transportation system in other cities and with that volume comes more responsibility. And so I think the goal of the bills today is to address how to make sure that the appropriate regulation is on that group that's providing transportation for so many.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposes] And my, my angle on that and I fully support that is I just don't want other services, other categories lumped in.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And I want us to avoid that. And I just want to know if you have that same understanding or awareness?

2	COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yeah, I do have that
3	same understanding and I think it's one of the
4	reasons why I suggest looking at it maybe not from
5	the base perspective, but the trip volume perspective
6	because that market share represents a larger group
7	of passengers, a larger group of drivers. And some
8	attendant responsibility comes with that. And with
9	the benefit of the trip records that we mandated
10	collection of and have been collecting since 2015, we
11	now that kind of granular insight that we can make
12	these kinds of splits that will give use exactitude
13	on which entities are being effected and which ones
14	aren't.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good. Well, thank

you very much.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you, Mr.

Chair.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, Council Member Lancman. We have been joined by Council Member Borelli and Council Member Moya. Now my friend and Council Member Lander has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and thank you again for convening

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this hearing on a good set of bills. And one that I think are really worth diving deeply into and figuring out together. Toward that end you mentioned in your testimony, Commissioner, the fact, there's a lot of reasons why it might have been great if we have been able to place some regulations in place four years ago. Or you know, last term when we were looking at this. One thing we didn't have then was really good data. So at least we are now moving forward to do this with better data than we had before. And I wonder if you could just, so that we understand what's there as we are working hard to make these policies. If you could just remind us what data you're now collecting that weren't before and how we can use that to make sure we're getting this

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Sure. So,
historically the way we've understood how the forhire industry is working, has been sort of crude. We
knew how many bases existed and we knew how many cars
were affiliated with each base. But in terms of how
many trips each was doing, it was more of a
guestimate. Since 2014-2015, when we first passed
rules mandating that all for-hire bases provide us

regulation right as we move forward.

with trip records and we amplified that in 2017. We
now know the pickup and drop off, date time and
location of every trip that happens in the for-hire
sector. And that's information the City has never had
before. And it's integral to understanding things
like todays proposals, how do you differentiate
between different market participants. It's integral
to things like understanding congestion in the City.
The Fix New York Panel looked at it, state and City
DOTs look at it. And it's the only way we really
understand what traffic speeds are in Midtown. But
its' really fundamental for every policy that we do
including limiting driver hours to deter fatigued
driving. Understanding driver income issues, and
we're fortunate in this City to have that kind of
insight and I think it's' beneficial for all of us
policy makers to make use of it so that the policies
we come up with are informed and fact based.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you for that. And thank you for issuing that rule to begin with which we wouldn't have if you hadn't done that. And I was pleased to testify on behalf of that rule and appreciate on accessibility and on driver fatigue. The leadership that you've taken. So you

2.2

mentioned in your testimony also that you have already begun doing research toward establishing income protections for drivers and thinking about how we make sure folks earn a living wage. If you could just talk a little bit about that research. What's going into it, when you think you'll have it. I appreciate your broad support obviously since you've already started doing the research of the concept of establishing these regulations. But if you could just give us a little more flavor of that research that would be great.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Sure. So, generally that involves understanding how many trips each driver makes every day, what kind of vehicle utilization is happening every day. The money that people are making on each trip, and also, and I think this is the much over looked, maybe not so much anymore part, the actual expenses that go into driving for-hire. The dispatching service provides the trip, but the driver is the one who pays for the car, the commercial insurance, the maintenance, and these are things that I have reiterated year after year. And those are expenses borne by the driver and so it's important when you establish what kinds of,

what the minimum income requirement is, that you take
into account these expenses which often may appear
hidden to drivers. So, we're looking at how the
earnings and the income balance out to provide a
floor for what drivers must make. Certainly not a
ceiling, it will be a floor and as well as a separate
floor for those that are going to be driving
accessible vehicles in recognition of the fact that
driving an accessible vehicles costs more on
maintenance and gas, and original vehicle purchase.

I wanted to make sure, and I appreciate you looking at those expenses issues because obviously we have to know what it is after expenses and make sure people are still earning what they need to live. You mentioned this but I just want to draw it out a little more. Another challenge here is the number of trips people get. Obviously you can regulate as you do in the Yellow industry, what the fare is, you can regulate what percentage or minimum level of that comes to the driver, but we have so many more cars on the street that if people can't get enough trips knowing that...

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: They're going to get a minimum amount per ride doesn't necessarily take them in the direction of an income that supports a family. So, are you thinking about how to deal with that challenge as well?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yes. I think there has to be a vehicle utilization requirement that accompanies minimum pay requirement so that companies that are dispatching are required to utilize vehicles efficiently so drivers get enough trips every hour. And those that fail to will have to have higher costs for doing business.

very important and I'm glad to hear, that's obviously both critical to drivers earning a livable income not just making a certain amount per fare. But is also really speaks to the congestion issues. I know Bruce Schaller's report, and I thought this was maybe the most important part and it did not get much attention in the broader congestion pricing debate if we're not looking at how much time car are out there without a fare and obviously we've had such big growth then we

protect driver income?

2.2

really can't evaluate either of those things so I appreciate that you are looking at that. One proposal I know we're going to hear later today from New York Taxi Workers Alliance is about the idea of in some way pegging fare and income percentage between FHVs and Yellows, is that something that you are taking a look at in the course of this research as you consider what the best form of regulation would be to

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: We are certainly looking at that proposal as well as what taxi fares are and what taxi driver earnings have been historically. We have another proposal from the Independent Driver Guild and we're looking at the suggestions of how to get to an appropriate driver income regulation that are presented there. So, between our own research and the two proposals we've gotten, as well as some general industry information about labor regulation, all of those are going to be part of our consideration.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's great. And I'll just, I drafted the legislation to authorize and direct you to do this work, but did not think it was the best use of the law to try to figure out what

precisely the regulation would be. I'm glad you're
looking at both the Taxi Workers Alliance and the IDG
proposals as well as the independent economic
research and I just ask that you would stay in touch
with the Council as you are engaged in the research
and the rule making. So we can find a way through
this together. Obviously the issues in this hearing
all intersect with each other because if we don't
limit growth of the vehicles then it's harder to hit
a moving target. So, my last question shifts from
driver income to this issue of accessibility and its
relationship to number of vehicle because I'll
confess here, I feel torn. On the one hand I support
Council Member Levin's cap bill. I feel like there is
already too many vehicles out there from a congestion
point of view and a driver income point of view. On
the other hand I really want to see accessibly FHVs
out there and I support the regulation that you
established to require 25 percent of trips in FHVs be
provided in accessible vehicles. But now I feel
somewhat conflicted. So, how are you thinking about
on the one hand, getting more accessible FHVs on the
road while being mindful of the fact which, I mean

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you haven't said this but I'm going to say it, that

we already have too many FHVs on the road.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yeah, so it's a difficult situation, but I don't think it's impossible to align the two. One is vehicles go out of service all of the time. There is only so long you can keep a vehicle in for-hire service, so people who have a for-hire vehicle license may over the life of that license have several different vehicles on it. So there is some work and we'd be happy to discuss this with Council around something that's similar to what we do in the taxi industry which is as your vehicle retires, the next vehicle you put on is accessible. And also certain number of vehicles that come in must be accessible because of the existing rules. So, it's not within aim towards turning over more vehicles rather than adding, but the bills as written now, I think separately address different problems. We have to figure out how to bring them together to resolve the growing number of vehicles and ensuring that the vehicles that are on the road, a significant percentage of them are accessible.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. And I know I

said that was my last question, Mr. Chair, but if I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

could just ask one last, last question. I want to ask to what extent you're also looking at the impact of the new congestion pricing surcharge here. I supported a broader congestion pricing proposal that would also have covered individual vehicles which obviously outweigh taxis and FHVs substantially. And while I don't oppose, of course we want the money for the subways and buses, we need it. And I don't oppose it, I'm just nervous that adding that, like asking the FHV industry to bear the cost of fixing the subways while also wanting to make sure we don't have more than we need out there, that we have accessible vehicles, and that drivers can earn a living wage. That's a lot to make sure that we're doing. So, how are you, I assume that's going into your calculus and that you're factoring that in as well. But I wonder how you're looking at that.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yeah, I think the congestion fee, obviously everyone who lives in New York wants any measure that can decrease congestion and make movement around the City easier. And it is a little difficult if only one industry is the one providing that. I think it's particularly difficult for taxis that will end up with a \$3.00 fee and all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of their work is primarily in Manhattan because of the nature of the hail business. You are not likely to pick up hails in less dense areas of the City. The hail works in New York City, in Manhattan because there are so many people on the street. When you go to other cities, taxis generally are commonly dual because they don't have the passenger density. So, taxis are only going get hail customers in Manhattan and they're now going to have to add \$3.00 onto every trip. Well, starting January with no ability to sort of offset that. Whereas the FHV sector can subsidize or find other ways so that the passenger doesn't pay or doesn't feel the payment of the extra money. So, I think it is a source of revenue, surely, and surely one that the MTA needs. I am not sure that it will effect congestion or make a change in the passenger decisions to use or not use a for-hire vehicle and I think that going forward it would be really beneficial if we could also take a look at what times of day, what days of the week there are particular problems and have surcharges that respond to those highs and lows.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And I would just,

I'll end by asking my colleagues to keep this in mind

2.2

as well. I don't want, it would be easy for us to just pretend that's a different conversation, but if we want all drivers, for-hire drivers, taxi and FHV to earn a living wage. And we want accessible service, and we want less congestion then we can't pretend like what the governor did is just some separate issue. It is going to make it more difficult for us to achieve this set of goals if we don't see them in the same context. Thank you, Mr. Chair for indulging my questions and for convening this hearing today.

Member Lander. I have been joined by Council Member Constantinides. We have a few other Council Members that have questions. I just want to remind them we have about 100 drivers and members of the industry that would like to ask questions and be heard today. And please let's see if we can cut short so I can hear them and their questions. Council Member Levin has a question for the Commissioner.

much, Mr. Chair. Commissioner, hi. Thank you very much for being here. I wanted to ask, kind of in general terms. Since we've had this discussion in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2015, generally speaking how have you seen the industry, the broader aspects of the industry change since that time? And was it consistent with our conversations that we were having and you said that you were ready to deliver your 2015 testimony again today with some minor modifications. Have things changed fundamentally or are they kind of gone in that similar direction but only further?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I think the numbers have all gotten larger. So the number of vehicles has grown, the number of drivers have grown. What's gone down is driver income and what we've also seen is, I think there was some thought years ago that there would be this sort of leveling off, sort of an organic end to the increase of drivers and vehicles. We, at the frontlines, licensing didn't see that because we just saw consistently the numbers increasing, but never dipping. But still bringing on 2,000-3,000 every month is an incredible volume. I think now, people may feel less confident that there will be this organic leveling off. That maybe people in 2015 felt there would be and that without any kind of governmental, City Council intervention, I see the problems that are attendant to the unchecked growth

2.2

2 only becoming more challenging. And that is

3 congestion, poor driver income, and a lot more people

4 | buying cars that maybe wouldn't have been buying

5 those cars in the beginning. And they're doing it to

6 get into the for-hire services.

COUNCNIL MEMBER LEVIN: Have you been able to determine or as you're studying it now, what an appropriate level would be when it comes to the number of cars, the number of licenses in the system. And if that number is lower than the current number, how would we, through regulation get down to a more appropriate number.

question. And to be honest, we have thought in general about this. The bill that we got that came in on Thursday was the first sort of concrete example of an indication that Council was interested in particular in this subject. So we're happy to use the information we have to take a closer look at it. And share information about trip volumes and vehicles and driver with the Council so that you can also get the benefit of that information, a determination on what,

2.2

2 if limitations are going to be put in place, what the 3 best form of those should be.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: The study that you're working on now, that is examining the economics of driver situations as they exist today?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yes.

council Member Levin: Is there any kind of general findings that you're able to share with us right now? Are you seeing, and I realize it's a very diverse industry in terms of how many hours people are working, but say for somebody that's putting in a full time number of hours. So, 40 hours a week, are you able to figure out what their income, how their income is tracked over time?

certainly from not (sic) our study, our hearings as well as surveys that we've put out to thousands of drivers. It is the majority that are reporting they make less now than they did last year. And that they work either the same amount of hours or they work more hours. So the sort of in general finding is that income has come down.

back to 2015?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Is it coming down 3 precipitously and are you able to track that even

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So that level of detail, we're happy to provide in the near future I just don't, I'm not at a point today where I can provide it to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. I mean obviously I'm disappointed, I remain disappointed that we were not able to act in 2015 to head off some of these concerns that we're talking today. And there is the issue of congestion, that's an issue that really effects every New Yorker. That's a very important aspect of this to keep in mind. I'm increasingly concerned about what is happening to the workers in this industry. Whether they're Yellow drivers or livery drivers, or for-hire vehicle drivers or all of the above. I think some of things that we were talking about in 2015 have now come to pass and obviously these recent instances of driver taking their own lives is tragic and very distressing and I think warrants us taking it very seriously what's happening here. Because people are losing their livelihoods and its perhaps easy for us to say

2 oh well people shouldn't despair, but when your entire life savings has evaporated or it's becoming 3 4 increasingly difficult to pay your mortgage or pay 5 your debts, or pay the loans for student loans or 6 your children's education. Those are very real, very real concerns that drivers are facing every day and as I said in my opening statement, we have a 8 collective responsibility. This is regulated 9 industry. If this was an unregulated industry we 10 could perhaps make a claim that we bear no 11 12 responsibility, but the fact is that this is a 13 regulated industry and has been a regulated industry 14 for the entire time that it's been an industry. And 15 so I think we have a responsibility, as you said, as 16 a City, so legislative body and TLC as rule making governing body, to ensure that those that are working 17 18 in this industry are able to, as Council Member Lander said, make a living wage. Be able to send 19 20 their children to school, be able to afford groceries, be able to pay a mortgage. To be able to 21 2.2 live in this City and support a family. And that's 23 just a reflection of the dreams and aspirations of every day new Yorkers and is really part of the 24 American dream and I think that we have to 25

acknowledge that we have a responsibility as a City
government to regulate this industry in a way that
ensures the viability of these jobs and these

5 careers. Thank you.

2.2

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you Council
Member. Again, I would like Council Members to bear
with me with the public. We have many, many people
with the public that would like to testify and to be
heard. Council Member Vallone.

Thank you Commissioner for your updates. Just quickly, one of the intros today and following up on a meeting that we had on trying to create some parity and some reduced fees and waivers that the Yellow Cabs are often hit with. Chair Diaz, Intro 634 has a bill to waive the current \$255.00 license fee for the wheelchair accessible for-hire vehicles of any taxicab license. Does the Administration have a position on that?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: We support making the financial burdens for brining accessible taxis and FHVs on the road easier. So we support that. I only

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

just want to add that the licensing fees are a small part of the expenses associated with accessible service. The two key parts, well the one key part I think is income. So once on the road there needs to be consistent streams of income and that's an area where any attention focused there is very productive. So we run a dispatch program that allows people to call a service and an accessible Yellow Taxi is brought to them and the driver is compensated anywhere between \$10 and \$20 per trip. We worked with the MTA so that green and yellow taxis, and accessible green and yellow taxis can provide Access-A-Ride service. And now that's happening over 3,000 times a day. And we also are using money that we collect through a passenger surcharge to help taxis owners, green taxi owners, and yellow taxis owners defray the costs of owning and operating a yellow taxi as well as giving the drivers a per trip payment so that they earn a few hundred dollars extra ever week or so. So the licensing fee is certainly an expense, but it is small compared to the other challenges. And the other challenges are making sure that once on the road that there is income. And part of it comes from the fact that drivers, if given a

2.2

Camry rather than an accessible vehicle. But the more that the accessible vehicles are part of the fleet, the more that becomes the norm. And we all get used to traveling in accessible vehicles whether we need them or not because they're just part of how our City wants to represent transportation. As well as the more there's more public/private partnerships on how to use the accessible taxis and FHVs that are out there to provide transportation beyond for-hire are all good and productive ways to encourage the service and protect income.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, it's always good when we hear that they approved...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] let me,

Council member, let me tell you that the fees to

convert the vehicle into handicap accessible, for

Yellow, is about \$1,000.00 the whole fee. For livery

it's about \$600.00 and for Uber it's nothing. So

there is disparity here. And I'm glad to hear the

Commissioner saying that she supports my bill...

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposes] I thought that'd make you happy so that's why we asked that question.

1 2 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: I'm just curious. You said for the taxis, the cost is \$1,000.00, for the 3 liveries it's \$600.00 and the Ubers it's nothing. 4 5 Would mind just giving me the site for that, because that's not my understanding of the law. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: All of the fees, at the end that's what it comes to. 8 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Okay. So we can 9 10 follow up with you on where... Thank you. CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] no, I'm 11 12 glad to hear you say that you support that because that's key. That's key. 13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Chair Diaz, just 15 a quick follow up one. So, Commissioner it sounded 16 like maybe you were addressing maybe a package for relief for accessibility vehicles because I know that 17 18 was one, and you keep saying it's a minor charge so is there... 19 20 COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposes] yeah, so I think it's... 21

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposes] other relief down the road coming?

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSINER JOSHI: Yeah, what I outlined to you is some programs that we run now, and I think

2.2

expanding those programs, and I think Council I know has been a strong supporter of especially the MTA partnership on Access-A-Ride, but the more people that are taking advantage of the accessible taxis that are on the road, to use in services like Access-A-Ride, the more streams of income there are for those drivers. So we'd be happy to talk to you more about those programs and maybe the possibility of how council can help us in expanding them.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Bringing relief to the drivers is what this Committee is about, so thank you. And I feel just as someone from the outer boroughs and North East Queens that drives on a daily basis, I will always fight for my drivers and be opposed to the commuter tax on drivers since that was mentioned here today. And I think we should bring back the commuter tax on our non-city residents that was taken away from us from Albany over 15 years ago. And that would save the City from having to keep bailing out Albany, but thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Chair Diaz.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, Council Member Vallone. Council member Moya.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you, Chair
Diaz for bringing this important issue to the floor
today. And thank you, Commissioner for your time and
your testimony. I just have two quick questions. One
I'll follow up on Council Member Vallone's question
on the disability issue, but since we're not
regulating the lease programs that companies like
Uber have with car dealerships, is there any concern
for the essentially subprime purchases that are
happening? While medallions are losing value and
we're seeing app drivers enter into programs where
they end up paying more in the long run. Are we
concerned about this race to the bottom for drivers
across the board?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: Yes. You're right, we don't actually license the entities that lease those vehicles to for-hire drivers. Occasionally we do because they'll purchase and then end up having to become a vehicle owner as well, but there is no uniform licensing of them as we have in the taxi industry. So our ability to regulate is somewhat more limited. But what we can do and what we've done in the taxi industry which I think is instructive is, one, make sure that drivers and vehicle owners know

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

exactly what the costs are going to be. So there has to be a level of transparency. In the taxi industry that's a lease agreement that tells them exactly what every payment is, what the totals are and they have an understanding of what the costs of the vehicle are over time which are important to know upfront. And so I think that's an important part of our driver income regulation that we're working on. And then the second part is something that we look into, but I think this issue of us not being able to license the correct parties may interfere with it. But understanding if there should be a way to cap or limit the effect of the amount of the lease payment on the driver. One way to get at it is what we've done in taxi industry which is cap the amount that a driver has to pay for a long term lease. And the other way is to make sure that what a driver is paid covers the expenses so that even if there is no cap, they are compensated in a way that their pay would adequately cover the cost of the vehicle.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Great. And are there requirements for off street parking? And the reason why I ask this is because in communities like mine where there is limited street parking we're facing an

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2.1

2.2

influx where residents are struggling to find

parking. And with bases, they must have off street,

but for the apps we don't know the impact that that

has on our community. And I'm just wondering if there

is any regulation there that you guys have been

thinking about.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: So, I am aware of the problem in your community with the off street parking. And we've done enforcement there to help keep those cars moving. It's a perennial problem so I'm sure we'll consistently be there to make sure that people know we're there. The off street parking requirement attaches to livery bases and the black car bases don't have the off street parking requirement. So if any of the app companies, and I believe Uber has one livery base, they will have an off street parking requirement just for that one livery base. But everybody who is licensed as a black car base will not have an off street parking under the ad code as written today.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay. Thank you very much Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: You're welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you Chairman.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, Council 3 Member Moya. And Council Member Constantinides.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, Chair Diaz. And I definitely appreciate you bringing this important issue before us today. I remember in 2015 talking with the drivers on the steps of City Hall and them telling me that the app-based companies were turning their full time job, into a part time job. And seeing how things have deteriorated from that time, I know we have to take action on behalf of the drivers and behalf of all of those that are involved in this industry. As Francisco Moya, my colleague, Council Member called it, a race to the bottom. So I have some really deep concerns and glad that we're addressing these important issues today. I can just tell you from my own experiences in Western Queens, representing a community where the 59th Street Bridge, folks get off the Grand Central Parkway and then want to take the free fare into Manhattan so they line up on 21st Street. We have over 2,000 cars an hour on 21st Street, in Astoria during rush hour. At two o'clock in the morning, when it's not rush hour, we still have over 1,000 cars on the road, every single day. So that is congestion,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that's an environmental impact. We see asthma rates in Western Queens in 1101, 1102, 106, higher than the borough average. The closure you get to the water which happens to be right near 21st Street as well.

So, when it comes to congestion, and to environmental impact, the work that we're doing today, how will that help us?

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: The congestion is due to vehicles on the road. So any measure that requires vehicles that are on the road to be highly utilized and reduces the overall number of vehicles on the road I think will go a long way towards congestion. When you have underutilized vehicles you have things like idling which is another environmental hazard. Taking up parking space, taking up lane space, so I think we have to be very judicious about how we use cars on our City streets. Make sure when they are used that they are utilized, that they're not one driver and nobody else. And all of that is a larger problem the FHV industry, and the taxi industry. But especially in certain parts of the City, for-hire vehicles are basically all you see. So any measures you take that require them to be utilized more efficiently and reduce the number that are on the

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 road, will certainly be an aid in reducing
3 congestion.

COUNCIL MEMBER COSTANTINIDES: When you look at we're put 70,000 new for-hire vehicles on the road since the intake of these new app-based...

COMMISSIONER JOSHI: [interposes] 80,000

COUNCIL MEMEBR CONSTANTINIDES: 80,000. So 80,000 new cars on the road without any checks, without any balances, without any way of making sure that, one, this is good for our community. I know when there's Yellow Car base or a livery base put in our community there is input, they talk to the Council Member. They have to sort of demonstrate there's a need. This doesn't seem to be the case for the app-based companies. And also for the drivers, this used to be something that as a driver you could have a future, you could have put your kids through college. You could retire, you would know there is a basis, and it was a career. It wasn't something where you did it part time and you made way less than you needed. It was a living wage as my colleagues talked about. So, from an environmental standpoint, from a social justice standpoint I look forward to working with you and the Commissioner to get things done, to

UNIDENTIFIED: Riccio.

Riccio, is he here?

24

1 2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [01:47:17-01:49:37] 3 Riccio, is he here? One, two, three. No, no you are 4 not Lucio. No, I wanted Lucio. Okay, Lucio, Davie 5 Pollack, one, two, three, are you Davie Pollack. Joe 6 Rappaport, all together the five of you, okay you're 7 next then. Okay. In this part... Okay, Lipski (sp?), you've got two minutes, let's go. Okay, let's go. 8 MR LIPSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. A two 9 minute egg here, I'll be done. I have a statement 10 11 from former Commissioner Riccio to read into the 12 record. He is teaching his class to he was unable to 13 attend, but I will read it for you. Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to testify in support 14 15 of this critically important bill. This is arguable 16 the most important transportation related bill to 17 come before the council in years. The very survival 18 of our essential transportation institutions is at stake, and this bill addresses that concern with 19 20 diligence and wisdom. First, let me congratulate Council Member Diaz for sponsoring this bill and for 21 2.2 former DOT Commissioner and TLC Commissioner Chris 23 Lynn who helped repair it. I support this bill

because New York City's essential transportation

systems are facing an existential threat. Which

24

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

unless controlled, could significantly weaken our position as the premier city in this world. I do not exaggerate. We need smart transportation policy allowing the infusion of 100,000 FHVs to clog our streets, to take jobs away, and to steal ridership from the subways and buses without paying a penny for that ride is not smart policy. It is bad policy. New York City has been invaded by an army of occupation which has created the greatest congestion in our history, and weakened our vital bus, subway and cab systems. The brilliance of this bill is in its recognition that the unlimited invasion of the FHVs, without paying for the privilege, does not help our transportation advantages, it threatens them. Subways, busses and cans enable the City of great density to be great. These vehicles make it harder to get around town, weakening our economic possibilities. There is nothing special about them. They are a car and a driver. If the City needed or wanted more cars, the City should have sold more medallions and used the money to fund more mass transit. That would have been good policy. I'll shift to the end here. Every one of New York's great growth periods can be linked to advances in its

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2	transportation systems. From having the worlds
3	greatest protect harbor, to the building of the Erie
4	Canal which made New York the gateway to the worlds
5	markets. To the building of the great bridges and
6	highways, in the creation of the subways below and
7	the elevators above, transportation has provided the
8	leverage to grow. Neglecting our transportation
9	future jeopardizes our potential and limits our
10	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Mr.
1 1	

Lipski, you have to summarize.

MR LIPSKI: I heartily endorse this bill.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you very much.

MR LIPSKI: [interposes] Commissioner

15 Riccio...

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Thank you very much, Mr. Lipski. Okay. Next?

GLORIA GUERRA: Hello. And thank you for letting me speak. I am one of medallion owners.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Your name?

GLORIA GUERRA: Gloria Guerra. As I sit here listening to everything that's going on, I can't feel more betrayed by the City of New York on the base of feeling sorry for \$2,000.00 for drivers to pay while me, as a medallion owner, not only did I

2	have	to	buy	that	medallion	and	pay	for	it	with	mу
---	------	----	-----	------	-----------	-----	-----	-----	----	------	----

- 3 hard working earnings. But I also have to pay fees.
- 4 Who feels sorry for me that I have to give the TLC
- $5 \parallel \$1,700.00$ after paying a million dollars for a
- 6 medallion. No one feels nothing for me. Meanwhile, I
- 7 have to pay the fees, if I don't pay those thousand
- 8 dollar city stamp (sic) guess what, the TLC is
- 9 sending me a letter and they want to summons me just
- 10 to run my wheels on the City of New York. Why is Uber
- 11 going to be above the law? We pay money. So if they
- 12 | want, they could come drive for Yellow is that's what
- 13 | they want to do. If they want to be a driver.
- 14 | Meanwhile, if you're not willing to pay to run your
- 15 | wheels on the City of New York, then you're not
- 16 | allowed to be in it. And that's all I have to say.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. I hear you
- 18 | loud and clear.
- 19 | CAROLYN PRATZ: Good morning, Chairman
- 20 Diaz, Mr. Lynn, Council Members. My name is Carolyn
- 21 | Pratz (sp?) I'm an individual medallion owner. And I
- 22 strongly support these bills being presented today.
- 23 Sometimes it is said that it takes a village to
- 24 address a problem, but sometimes one man in a cowboy

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 hat with his able Council sidekick, can address that 3 head on.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Are you talk about me?

Are you talking about me?

CAROLYN PRATZ: There used to only be one man in a cowboy hat in this room. Now there is a lot of us. For three years I've been going to meetings, I've been going to hearings, I've been interviewed on radio and television. I've been to the court. And basically, this problem has not been addressed. Its been nibbled around the edges, but nobody has wanted to take it full on as you are. And I thank you from the bottom of my heart. I'm almost agnostic as to what the solution is, but we know we have to get many of these 130,000 cars off of the road because that only benefits a giant, multinational, multibillion dollar corporation who is running its money through the Netherland, allegedly. It doesn't help the drivers. Let's face it. And something has to be done. If we go back to before 2012, everybody was making a living. Livery drivers, black car drivers, Yellow Cab drivers, there was no problem. The problem is the increase from 40,000 vehicles to 120,000 vehicles. There has been no such increase in demand. We can't

deny the laws of supply and demand, the pie is just
being cut in more pieces. And the decline in driver
earning was inevitable. There are additional
consequences, take your pick. All bad. Congestion,
620 percent increase in crashes within the black car
segment. Nobody likes to talk about that, but it's
right there on the TLC website. Comparing 2014
monthly numbers to 2018 monthly numbers, 620 percent.
The losses to New York City taxpayers, discouragement
of the use of public transportation. I would just
like to point out a couple of things that Meera Joshi
was referencing about the bases. This legislation
would have to work through the bases. There's 850
bases by my count, only 600 report trips. So I think
they're missing a lot of information or they're not
revealing it on the website. Or I'm just missing it.
So, that's something that should be looked into
because your accessibility requirement is
CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] thank you.

CAROLYN PRATZ: to go according to bases.

So I'd just like to say we're at a point that like in 1937, action by City government is absolutely called upon.

2.2

2 CAROLYN PRATZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: And I can assure you that nobody know what the end is going to be, but we're going to fight.

CAROLYN PRATZ: We thank you.

away. I'm here for four years I'm going to be here unless the Speaker takes away the Committee from me. The Speaker has been very nice. He's been supporting me, he's been supporting the Committee and as long as I have the Committee, I'm going to be here for four years, so those that know me know that when I believe in something, when I believe in doing something I don't go back. I don't bend under pressure. I do what I think is right and at the end everybody is going to be happy. Thank you very much.

CAROLYN PRATZ: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Chairman Diaz, honored

Council Members, thank you so much for letting me

speak up here and speak my mind. Two minutes, okay so

I'm just going to do my best. More than three years

ago, we felt abandoned, betrayed by this institution

along with Taxi Limousine Commission. We weren't

ready back then because we trusted you. It never

crossed our minds that you willingly or unwittingly
would allow those unscrupulous predators using their
app corporation to destroy our industry. I support
this bill because I see a new beginning, a step into
the right direction. That at least one day we will
see the light at the end of the tunnel. In fact, let
me say something about New York City. New York City
has a moral duty to bring fairness to the taxi
industry. And at the same time you have stop those
app corporations treating our disabled as second
class citizens. We know that many Council Members
were deceived back then. Now you have the opportunity
to vindicate yourself by supporting the Diaz bill.
Under our new and great leadership justice will
prevail, be part of it. We all have a great
opportunity here to bring fairness. Yes, fairness to
the taxi industry. Thank you so much, God bless you
all.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Sir?

DAVID POLLACK: Good morning, Chairman

Diaz and Council Members. My name is David Pollack

representing credit unions and we have all but been

forgotten in these trying times and believe the

proposed legislation shows a strong positive message.

2 The New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission has done their job, but they take direction from the City 3 Council. On behalf of taxi credit unions we thank 4 you, Council Member Diaz and Mr. Lynn for demonstrating the leadership needed to reinforce 6 7 confidence in the New York City medallion market. The financial partnership between thousands of immigrant 8 medallion owners and New York City has been 9 disregarded by a lack of effective legislation by 10 City government until today. Taxi credit unions 11 12 believe in New York City and have always supported 13 each medallion auction which allowed an immigrant 14 driver to become a medallion owner and attain part of 15 the American dream. That said, the Council let a new 16 industry run amok without legislation to protect 17 credit union members who are also New York City's 18 financial partners, the medallion owners. Today, that all ends as the government finally has positive 19 20 direction for the Yellow Taxi industry. Today taxis drivers are earning less, today we have clogged 21 2.2 streets. Today poisons fill the air, all stemming 23 from the thousands of uncapped for-hire vehicles being registered monthly in New York City. When an 24 25 app company reduces fares below the regulated Yellow

of you. Thank you very much.

Taxi of fare, as they probably will when the new
congestion pricing surcharge is applied, drivers in
all segments will earn less. Therefore, the TSA, the
Taxicab Service Association supports setting a
minimum rate of fare that cannot be reduced when the
new surcharge begins. The TSA also supports your
mandate for only legitimate fares from bases for each
vehicle. We applaud the waiver of fees for accessible
taxis and FHV vehicles, and most certainly support a
moratorium on issuing new FHV licenses. Finally, we
support all of the proposed legislation before you
today because each intro is for the people, by the
people and written in the same light as credit
unions. Credit unions started by the people and are
for the people. Council Members and Council staff, we
urge you to do the right thing and support the
proposed legislation for the people who have
partnered with New York City government, the small
business medallion owners, the drivers, the taxi
credit unions, all of whom are your partners.
CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you to the five

2.2

MR LIPSKI: Chair, may I speak in my own voice for two minutes? Just quickly. Very quickly. Very quickly, I'll be brief

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Reach a... no, I understand.

MR LIPSKI: [interposes] Watching the chair of the TLC was such a disappointment to me and to all of my friends here. What you have to be careful about, Council Members, is that this bill is finely tuned that's going to come out of here, but it's going to be pursuant to regulations that are made up by the Taxi and Limousine Commission. The question you're going to have to face is this commissioner and this Commissioner, are they able, and willing, and up to the task of doing this. Her testimony today seems to indicate...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Mr.

Lipski, Mr. Lipski, yeah, I understand what you're saying any my commitment to the drivers, to the industry that everybody is going to have the same way. No one is going to be above others. The livery, the livery pays to the City, the Yellow has to pay the same thing, the black, and everybody is going to pay the same thing. No one will pay different than

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	the others. They're all going to be, because
3	injustice is injustice. And justice for all, is
J	injustice is injustice. And justice for air, is
4	justice for all and that's what I'm trying to be. I
5	want to go to bed at night with my mind clear that I
6	am not supporting any group above the others that I'm
7	going to balance, I'm going to try to fix the
8	industry. The industry has many things to be fixed.
9	The Yellow and the livery have been abused, the
10	Yellow have been losing money. Uber is taking control
11	of everything. We are going to do our best. That's my
12	commitment. And in that process, ladies and
13	gentleman, somebody is going to be happy, somebody is
14	going to get angry. No one could every make everyone
15	happy. But we're going to do our best. So thank you.

MR LIPSKI: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Jean Ryan and his group. All of them, bring all of them. [02:04:43-] Okay, two minutes each and say the names please.

EDITH PRENTICE: Okay. We're off to the races. My name is Edith Prentice and I am testifying on behalf of the Taxis for All campaign before the for-hire vehicle, et cetera. I am chair of the taxis for all campaign, thank you for the opportunity to

2 testify today. We are representing the Taxis for All campaign, a coalition of the City's leading 3 disability groups with the explicit goal of getting 4 5 all taxi vehicles, Yellow, car service, black cars, accessible to all New Yorkers whether or not they use 6 7 wheelchairs. We welcome the Councils new interest in accessibility. For two decades car services, black 8 cars and most recently services like Uber and Lyft 9 have done everything in their power to avoid 10 including people like me. Testifying against 11 12 standards at hearings like this, sued (sic) as Uber, Lyft and Via are doing right now and Carmel and other 13 14 companies were doing until a week or two ago. 15 Lobbying and bullying elected officials to prevent us 16 from getting rides. They've done everything but actually get people like me to where we want to go. 17 18 Perhaps, like the president said last week about Paralympian athletes, we make them uncomfortable. I 19 20 myself would benefit greatly from additional access to for-hire vehicles. I live in Council Member 21 2.2 Rodriguez's district, Washington Heights is in its 23 own way, a transportation desert. The only 24/7transit option are inaccessible subways, inaccessible 24 25 car services, or just plain wheeling. The only East-

2.2

West transportation is wheeling up and down the hills until you get to The Bronx, and then you cross a river. When the buses are heading (sic), over the years I have wheeled a mile plus from my apartment to the hospital way too often in the early morning medical emergencies when neither the M-100 nor the BX7 buses are running. So we welcome the proposals put forward by the Council. Our view is that all that is every single for-hire vehicle should be accessible to the standards required by the Americans with Disabilities Act. These proposals, if polished, would get us to that point.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

JEAN RYAN: Hi. I'm Jean Ryan, I'm vice president for Public Affairs of Disabled in Action.

(coughs) excuse me. We are core member of the Taxis for All Campaign. I live in Bayridge Brooklyn which is car service heaven (sic), but I cannot get a ride. My guests and children can call or use an app for prompt vehicle, but I can't get a wheelchair accessible ride and that's what I need for family emergencies, unplanned errands, fun or going anywhere on short notice. I've had to wheel two miles back and forth each way to get to the hospital to visit my

2.2

husband. Or go myself to the emergency room. Before I started using a wheelchair in 2006 and before that when I used a scooter, I had an account at a car service company. I used it often and I would today if I could. It makes no business sense that an industry would shun passengers, and then complain about how bad business is. I'm hardly the only one who benefit from more accessibility. We have a member who wasn't able to get to the hospital to see her dying mother. Members who couldn't get home when their wheelchairs broke, and even a member who couldn't get to her husband's funeral. All for the lack of accessible for-hire vehicles. It's wrong, and it's time the Council changes it. Thank you.

JOE RAPPAPORT: I am Joe Rappaport. I'm the Executive Director of the Brooklyn Center for Independence of the Disabled which is a core member of the Taxis for All Campaign. And we are the lead plaintiff actually in a suit against Uber for its lack of accessibility. We support the call, first of all I want to say we support the call by the Taxi Workers Alliance and many others for a living wage. That has been discussed here and other improvement to protect the livelihoods of drivers and others in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

industry. The Taxi Workers alliance particularly has supported the right of all New Yorkers to get a ride when they need one. And we support their right for the rights of all of the workers in the industry to survive and thrive. We have several specific points and questions about the legislation. The current draft of the bill requires 50 percent of all black cars to be accessible by 2025. We support that concept, we believe that all FHV categories must provide accessible service so as is already done in the more limited TLC rules. An earlier Council draft covered all FHV vehicles so the question is, whether it's the Councils intention to let some carriers evade accessibility responsibilities or will all FHV categories be covered. We also support other ways of measuring accessibility. You do it by the percentage of vehicles, the TLC has a different formula about the number of rides in accessible vehicles and we're wondering if you've consulted with the TLC about how best to make Intro 855 work. We are concerned about the timeframe, will the Council amend Intro 855 to reflect the TLCs rule and require at least 25 percent accessibility in FHVs by 2022. So those are questions

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you can respond to, I had, and we have comments in our notes about a couple of other bills.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

JOE RAPPAPORT: And then Valerie will speak now or if you want to...

CHAIPERSON DIAZ: No, no go ahead.

VALERIE JOSEPH: My name is Valeri Joseph. I'm an Access-A-Ride advocate at Brooklyn Center for the Independence of the Disabled. I wanted to mention another reason why Intro 855 must be revised. Right now the legislation does not call for an immediate increase in the number of accessible FHV vehicles, or even one within a few years. But accessible vehicles in my neighborhood, which is Queens Village, and around the City are desperately needed. One reason is New York City's transit Access-A-Ride on demand pilot program which allows me and other Access-A-Ride users to call for a ride and get one right away instead of calling in a day advance. This has the potential to truly change my life and other lives of Access-A-Ride users who now must deal with horrendous service. On demand service also could provide a regular stream of revenue for FHV drivers since there are 6.4 million Access-A-Ride rides annually, but right now we have

2.2

little chance of getting an accessible ride. More accessible FHVs on the road are essential in this program to succeed. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: I just want to say that we really appreciate that the Council, and you, and your colleagues are focusing on accessibility. We've wanted the Council to do this for many years. It has been very tough so we really appreciate the chance, that legislation has been introduced. The Speaker had actually introduced good legislation previously so hope to work with you to make sure that the best possible legislation moves forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: That is exactly my purpose. To work with every group and to be sure that every single group is heard, and trying to be fair to all of the groups. So thank you for your participation. Put the mic.

UNIDENTIFIED: Sorry. For over 20 years, so we're not a flash in the pan. We're not three years. This has been a long driver fight. We go back...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] well now you have a Committee with seven members that are

2.2

willing to work, and to help you and to be sure. Okay don't worry about it.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. We have now Council Member Rose here and Council Member Cohen.

And we have James Comiglianno (sp?) President of the Independent Drivers Guild. We have Sigfield (sic)

Independent Drivers Union. We have (inaudible...)

independent Drivers Guild. Ryan Price, Independent Drivers guild, Joe Morega, driver, LBO (sic).

JAMES COMIGLIANO: Good morning, Chairman
Diaz and members of the Committee on for-hire
vehicles. My name is James Comigliano, Jr. I am the
President of the Independent Drivers Guild. I'm also
joined here by Ryan Price, the IDGs Executive
Director and Mohamed Barless (sp?), a driver and IDG
board member. The Independent Drivers Guild is a nonprofit affiliate of the Machinist union that
represent app-based workers driving throughout the
provider vehicle industry. While we obviously cannot
address every bill in the allotted time, we have
submitted written testimony detailing our positions.
In the interest of time, I am going to focus the
majority of my testimony on Intro 838 and we look

2	forward to working with Council Members on their very
3	important proposals. I shouldn't have to state once
4	again that 100,000 drivers are struggling to make a
5	living in this City. All drivers, from all sectors.
6	They are driving longer hours for less pay that was
7	promised to them, dealing with rising expenses and
8	being forced deeper and deeper into poverty.
9	Therefore, the top priority for this Committee and
10	every one of us should be how do we make the lives of
11	working drivers in this City better. How do we
12	provide a living wage for workers in this industry?
13	The answer that was proposed in Intro 838 is to
14	charge drivers \$2,000.00 a year. Let me summarize. We
15	are not going to make the lives better for working
16	families across the City by making them forfeit a
17	months' worth of income. A common thread that keeps
18	coming up is that we have to level the playing field.
19	We cannot fix the broken system by pitting drivers
20	against each other. If there is a fee or expense that
21	exists with another sector, then get rid of the fee.
22	The premise that we have to make one group worse off
23	than the other group is a failing proposition and is
24	deeply flawed.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] you're talking about \$2,000.00, don't, please don't. I already said no.

JAMES COMIGLIANO: I was curious as to whether it was done out of the bill...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] don't.

JAMES COMIGLIANO: Or done hearing it.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

JAMES COMIGLIANO: Okay. All drivers across the industry are hurting. We should be working together on fair proposals not seeking parity, but that help drivers, all immigrants, and all working people. Another issue involves requiring drivers to choose one app to work for. As many of you know, drivers utilize multiple apps in order to earn enough wages in the industry. This measure obviously restricts driver's earnings, but equally important, we fear that this in a demand industry, if drivers are forced to make a choice they will chose the platform with the most rides unintentionally creating a monopoly for Uber in New York City. Which none of us want. We look forward to working with the Committee.

2 RYAN PRICE: I just wanted to comment on 3 the three other bills real quick. So, on payout (sic) 4 per diem protections, oh, sorry, I'm Ryan Price the Executive Director of the Independent Drivers Guild. 5 I'm sorry my voice is a little raspy, I've been 6 7 yelling all day. Payout per diem protections, we look forward to working with Council Member Lander on his 8 legislation and appreciate his efforts on this front. 9 On March 30th, 2018 with the support of almost 16,000 10 workers we signed a petition, the Independent Driver 11 12 Guild submitted a formal rule making petition to the Taxi and Limousine Commission to regulate driver pay 13 in an effort to enable our members to make a living 14 15 wage in an 8 hour day. The proposed rules would 16 reduce time on the road and ensure that government taxes and company fees would not be allowed to be 17 18 taken from the drivers pay. Increased pay for workers who choose to operate a wheelchair accessible vehicle 19 20 does (sic) incentivize price gouging and give workers more basic workplace right. We feel that before any 21 2.2 other regulations, the basic protection of minimum 23 pay is essential. The TLC has until May 21, 2018 to respond. On the vehicle cap, we support a cap on 24 drivers licenses and not vehicles. The most 25

2.2

overwhelmingly supported action by our members that the City Council has the authority to accomplish it to limit the number of workers entering the industry as opposed to placing a cap on vehicles. A cap on vehicles provides more power to owners and companies while limiting the workforce provides power and value to drivers. We support a limit to the number of newly issued universal drivers licenses based in the total and expected number of for-hire and taxi trips.

Limiting the labor pool will require all companies to compete to keep drivers working for them. Meaning the competition shifts away from a race to the bottom of driver pay and shift the providing benefits. I have five seconds, I'll just stop there.

MOHAMED BARLESS: My name is Mohamed
Barless. I'm a driver and also IDG organizer and
board member. I just want to talk about the same as
Ryan said that why we are giving more priority to
vehicles than to the people. The people are going to
drive the vehicles, so we need to give a priority to
vehicles if there are less vehicles, more drivers
that will give opportunity to those people who cannot
raise the lease and everything. So we have to limit
the number of licensees entering in this industry

2 rather than limiting the vehicles. I just want to say that.

JOHN MARERO: I would like to introduce

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

myself, my name John Marero. I come from Bushwick Brooklyn at one time considered the poorest part of New York City. No problem, we're related if you didn't know. But anyway, like I said I come from one of the poorest neighborhoods at one time. Now that gentrification of our borough, it's exploding. But I'm here to talk about my drivers. My drivers are barely making any money and by putting stress on this bill, this bill is going to hurt us even more. I don't have the time right now. Our drivers right now barely make it, Brooklyn is the borough that has most of the smallest bases and we've been doing it for more than 40 years some of these bases. And right now your bill is going to hurt our bases. The small, little bases that are still community bases that were doing this for 40 years when nobody dared go into Brooklyn. So we still need our bases because we're still surviving, and yes, our drivers that most are Latino's, send their kids to college working as drivers, but now they can't barely, and by putting more stress on them it's going to hurt them. And you

stress on them. So we've got to go back to the table

- 2 | and we'll work with you, Don Ruben Diaz. I would also
- 3 | like to say, somebody is sending you hello, Luis Diaz
- 4 one of your cousins from years ago, el gato, from
- 5 | Puerto Rico, he sends you hello.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Tell him I
- 7 | said hello too. Sir?

- 8 UNIDENTIFIED: is this on, it's on I heard
- 9 | it. Okay, I'm not going to mention the \$2,000.00 but
- 10 | I will mention the bill, but not the \$2,000.00. Okay,
- 11 | let's see, men and women driving six days a week,
- 12 | nine to 12 hours a day. Some drivers start their day
- 13 | at 4 a.m. most of the vehicles are renting from
- 14 \$375.00 to \$475.00 weekly plus insurance depending on
- 15 | size or type of vehicle. Gasoline is on the rise
- 16 every week and we're, excuse me? Okay, so I'm sure
- 17 | you heard what I had to say so far. Okay, spending
- 18 anywhere from \$35 to \$65.00 per day on gas depending
- 19 on the type of vehicle that you have. Abuse from the
- 20 | TLC and entrapment (sic) fines that range from
- 21 $\|$ \$1,000.00 to \$10,000.00 and license suspensions.
- 22 \$460.00 for car registration yearly, \$255.00 for TLC
- 23 | license. \$35.00 four times a year for safety
- 24 inspection, expensive maintenance, oil change \$45.00
- 25 to \$100.00. Tires, car washes, weekly from \$15 to

2	\$25.00 and some vehicles more. Lets not leave out the
3	potholes in the streets that contributes to extensive
4	damage and expensive repairs. Sometimes the vehicles
5	are beyond repair and we must make loans for another
6	vehicle to continue supporting our families and allow
7	me to mention the taxes we pay. Councilman Diaz, you
8	made a statement, you said let them get another job.
9	Many drivers can't get another job because of the
10	language barrier. Some because of lack of education
11	like myself. Many of the drivers, men and women, have
12	families, children and they are the only ones putting
13	food on the tables. This is their only source of
14	income which is not sufficient due to high commissior
15	companies take at very low rates. I just oh I'm
16	sorry, okay so stop the clock. Go ahead.
17	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: No you're already done.
18	I just wanted to ask you a question. You said that
19	Council Member Diaz said, let them find another job?
20	UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: When did I say that?
22	It's fake news.
23	UNIDENTIFIED: No, well if its fake news I
24	have

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: When did I say that?

\$2,000.00 is out, if they can't speak...

24

Chairman Diaz and members of the City Council. My

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

name is Bhairavi Desai I'm the Executive Director of the New York Taxi workers alliance. We have been organizing drivers since 1996 and we independently formed our organization in 1998. Since over the past five years, as drivers have gone from sector to sector, our organization of over 20,000 registered members has drivers who drive every single vehicle in this industry. Yellow, green, black, livery. Three years ago when the state was looking at creating the green cab sector, I'd like to say for the record that while the medallion industry was against that creation in that sector, the Taxi Workers Alliance stood alone in defense of the drivers. They are the only people that we answer to unlike others in this room who are paid by Uber and other companies directly. We are here today because four men have killed themselves. There is a crushing poverty across this industry. It is a vicious race to the bottom. We proudly stood with Councilman Levin two and half years ago calling for a cap on the number of vehicles. We were the only workers organization in this City that took that position and behind us were drivers who drove yellow, green, as well as Uber because every driver knows that the race to the

bottom effects all of us. We hope, Councilman, when
you say that the \$2,000.00 is off, we hope what that
means is that it's the companies that would be paying
that fee because no driver can afford to pay anything
else or anything more. Do not just lower that amount,
put it on the culprits who are responsible for
flooding our streets. Put it on the culprits that are
responsible for the impoverishment that is sadly
crushing a workforce of 100,000 people. And it's not
enough to remove that fee, it's not enough to remove
that fee. We need real change. We need a cap on the
vehicles, do not replace diamonds when they are
replaced, when they expire part timers. We need the
taxi meter as the minimum so no company can go lower
and all drivers can benefit from a raise

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Okay.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Do not leave that up to the TLC...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: We need to cap the financing that FHV drivers pay on the leases. We need to ensure that FHV drivers get 80 percent of the higher fare so they are the ones that earn from their labor and it's not going up to Uber and Lyft...

1	COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES 107
2	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.
3	BHAIRAVI DESAI: We need you to cap the
4	TLC fines, we need you to do this for the drivers.
5	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.
6	BHAIRAVI DESAI: For the drivers.
7	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: What is your name
8	again?
9	BHAIRAVI DESAI: I want you to remember my
10	organizations name, the Taxi Workers Alliance bceuase
11	that's what
12	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] no, no, no
13	your name, your name.
14	BHAIRAVI DESAI: Bhairavi Desai, Taxi
15	Workers Alliance.
16	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Okay. Listen to me
17	carefully.
18	BHAIRAVI DESAI: Yes sir.
19	CHAIRPESON DIAZ: I like to work with you.
20	I want to hear more from you.
21	BHAIRAVI DESAI: Okay.
22	CHAIRPESON DIAZ: And I need you, and I
23	want you. I need you, I want you to contact my
24	attorney and to make an appointment and we're going

company removed the sales tax and the black car fund

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

(sic) from driver pay instead of adding it to the fare like everybody who has worked in this industry before, in black car sectors know was never taken from the drivers pay. And had to be added to the drivers pay by state law. Now, drivers are up to 25 percent and if that weren't enough, overnight Uber started taking more than 25 percent by charging more to the passenger and telling the drivers we're going to pay you the same peanuts on every trip. So we need regulation over all parts that go into what could be driver income now. That means a cap on the number of vehicles you're competing with for the far that means regulation over the fare that passengers are going to pay. And regulation over how drivers are paid. No 10 percent on one trip, 60 percent on the other trip. Flat across the board. And I want to speak to this distraction of a proposal that it's not the number of cars out there, but the number of drivers. That is a proposal that would still allow Uber nearly unlimited expansion in the years to come. We heard testimony here earlier today that we've had 80,000 new black car vehicles in the last 4 or 5 years. We have also heard testimony that there are 180,000 licensed drivers out there. So they are now working under a

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 universal license. So with 80,000 cars and 100,000 more drivers who aren't currently in the cars you are 3 4 leaving room, with these universal licensees for 5 100,000 more driver to enter the app-based FHV sector 6 and I don't think any Uber, Lyft driver in this room 7 really wants to support a proposal that leaves room for 100,000 more drivers to be competing with them. 8 In addition to that we need to ensure that any 9 10 regulation over economic fees creates a meaningful, built in enforcement mechanism. When taxi garages and 11 12 taxi fleets steal from their drivers, the TLC can get that money back and they've gotten millions back over 13 14 the previous years. Now the sales tax that I 15 mentioned, our members have been litigating this with 16 Uber, but only 2 percent of Uber drivers right now have the right to actually take them to court. The

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Great, thank you.

regulations over financial regulations...

ZUBIN SOLEIMANI: [interposes] need to include parity with TLC enforcement so that anytime the TLC finds evidence of five or more drivers have been cheated, that there is class wide restitution pursued by the TLC.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Next.

ABRAHAM LOEB: My name is Mr. Abraham Loeb. I work with the New York Taxi Alliance. This lady, she has been fighting for years for driver's safety and opportunity. Councilman Diaz, we thank you for this bill and also Councilman Levin, Councilman Robert Cornegy is also working for another bill. There is one thing here in this room, every driver is feeling the pain. The major pain is that you have this app-based license and financing company that are taking money from drivers to lease cars with the option to buy. We're talking about predatory lending and the Commissioner said that that sector is not regulated. So that means that anybody could come to the market and give anybody a car, put a license plate and charge them \$1,000.00 and kick them out of the vehicles and take back the car. Right now I'm a victim of a company called American Lease. I'm also a driver, an owner and I'm also a broken (sic) ambassador. We are here to tell the Council we need to put a stop in predatory lending so that companies like America Lease, that take money from drivers after GES (sic). I worked hard day and night, I still haven't got the title of the car that I paid

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

\$78,153.06. They told me to go to court because there is no regulations. Zubin has been helping me as an attorney and Sister (sic), she has been helping me, until today we have no result. So, Councilman Diaz, I

6 have to pray for you because you are our champion for

7 the drivers. And God bless you, Council Members.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, sir. Thank you. We will be talking to you too.

SIBU: Hi. My name is Sibu. I will say about today, I didn't want to go to The Bronx where they send me there to live, but I'm proud to be from The Bronx because of the Councilor. I'm really proud today, yes. And the same way I'm proud of Taxi Worker Alliance for more than 10 years. I've been driving since 2002 and the way they have been fighting, today with all of the Councilman, with the proposal we heard it before and since 2007 only Taxi Worker Alliance was there to fight for drivers. We don't know how we would go, other times I was driving Yellow car and we know how arduous 2012 we get money because of Taxi Worker Alliance. All drivers were happy here, we were remaking money. And then Uber came. We start with 10 percent, we were all making money. Then they raise up, today they have 25 percent

and sometimes when you have fare for uper we charge
the driver 200, you, they charge the customer 200.
Uber driver sometimes you don't even get \$60.00. So
because you don't reveal it up front, the upfront
price they somehow (sic) have the right to do but
that's why we need a change. We are asking the City
Councilman to keep the fight. It's not easy. Uber is
going to go after them. We know, but when the law, we
have the people, we need to stay there and fight for
us. We are drivers, we don't have nothing. We don't
have power. Only our power since now was Taxi Worker
alliance. We are counting on them to join us to fight
for all drivers can have the same better living, they
can be with their family. Working 16 hours you don't
see your kids. It happens to all drivers here. I
don't know any driver that doesn't work 16 hours. We
have Lyft, we have Uber, so many apps because we
can't make money working with only one app. So, thank
you again. We are counting on you to help us.

MARI ROSARIO: Good morning. I mean good afternoon. My name is Mari Rosario and I am a member of the SEIU 32-BJ and I would like to the Committee Chair Diaz, members of the Committee, the Speaker and the other members of the Council who are taking

4

2 action to address the economic distress faced by my brothers and sisters who are working as drivers. 32-3 BJ urges you to pass legislation that will ensure that all drivers, whether they are driving a 5 tradition taxi or picking up rides through apps, have 6 7 access to full time work and are able to make a livable income. As a union we are 163,000 strong. 8 Here in New York City we present 85,000 building 9 services workers; security guards, airport workers 10 that keep our City's residential buildings clean and 11 12 safe. We proudly stand up for the rights of all of our members, many of whom are immigrants and people 13 of color. To live a safe and healthy life with 14 15 dignity and respect. We are proud to stand with 16 drivers as they fight for good jobs. As app-based 17 companies like Uber, Lyft, Juno and Via have entered 18 the scene, regulation has not kept up with the industries changes. As the recent driver suicides has 19 20 made clear, this has made it harder and harder for drivers to make a living. There is no regulation in 21 2.2 place to protect old drivers. With some changes, this 23 bill could improve the lives of thousands of drivers. Here is what needs to happen, the app-based sector 24 should be regulation, but regulation should not cost 25

- 2 drivers more than \$2,000.00 annual fee. To drivers,
- 3 it's unacceptable. And drivers should not be bound to
- 4 work for only one app especially since they can't
- 5 | earn enough from one company along. All fares in all
- 6 sectors should have the same minimum fare so there is
- 7 | a real wage floor and companies can't keep lowering
- 8 | rates. And all drivers in all sectors should get a
- 9 raise.

- 10 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Before you get up.
- 11 | First I want to say, to me especially to come here to
- 12 | this public hearing and hear unions, a respected
- 13 (sic) union 32-BJ, endorsing our plan is something I
- 14 was not expecting, but thank you very much. [Speaking
- 15 | Spanish 02:43:34-02:44:05] I want to sit down with
- 16 you guys. Okay?
- 17 MARI ROSARIO: Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Council Member Levin
- 19 has a question for you guys.
- 20 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, very
- 21 | much, Mr. Chair. I want to thank this panel for your
- 22 participation. I also want to thank the previous
- 23 panel for your testimony as well. Can you just share
- 24 with us a little bit about what has happened to
- 25 driver's economic conditions since we last considered

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 the bill three years ago? Because I imagine things
3 have changed.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: It's a nightmare. And it's a nightmare across the sectors. Five years ago many drivers left Yellow and maybe livery and green, went to Uber and Lyft thinking maybe this is going to dawn a new day. And what they're not finding is they can't sustain themselves in that sector either. So it's a revolving door made of utter desperation, not made of opportunity. Most people are working longer and longer hours, after 10-12 hour days, some shifts you're not, and I'm not just talking about Yellow, I'm talking about across the board. Some shifts you're not even going home with \$50-60 in your pocket to provide for your families. And you're getting more and more tired, we're also seeing many, as in the Yellow cab industry, as owners, as the medallions, its' been more difficult to get them leased out. We're seeing older and older drivers, men and women in their late 60s, early 70s that are coming out of retirement to go back into working because their retirement which was the medallion has now collapsed. There is a massive human crisis here. And let's not forget a really important thing here that the

2 destruction of full time work is an intended causality of the gig economy. Uber and Lyft, and 3 these Wall Street finance companies, they don't lie, 4 they tell you they are the gig economy. They're not 5 promising you full time work. MIT has found across 6 7 the country, more than half of Uber drivers earn below the minimum wage. Across the country, Uber 8 itself has acknowledged that 50 percent of the 9 drivers turn over after a year. Nobody is able to 10 make ends meet and that's why the unity, what we 11 12 presented to you is a unity platform. That's why we 13 need the cap at the same time that we need the taxi 14 meter fare to be established as the minimum fare 15 across the board. So then when one sector of drivers 16 get a raise, all sectors of drivers get a raise. 17 That's why we want a cap on the vehicle financing. We 18 want all of these things, 80 percent of the fare guaranteed to the driver. At this point in time, if 19 20 two and a half years ago we followed through with the cap, I don't think we would have seen four suicides. 21 2.2 We were at those funerals. We met those families. 23 I've been organizing for 22 years in this industry, next year it will be literally half my life. These 24 are men and women I love deeply from my heart. I have 25

2.2

never seen them in a deeper crisis and more crushing poverty than today.

a shift for 10 hours. So if you were to do that five days a week, that's 50 hours a work week, that comes to \$1,200.00 a week times 12, \$14,000.00. Sorry, times 4, times 12, that's \$14,400.00 annually. If you're making \$60.00 a day working full time, \$14,400.00 annually. Federal poverty for a family of four is \$25,100.00 a year. So that's \$11,000.00 almost less than federal policy.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Of a workforce of 100,000 people, families.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So even if you were making \$100.00 a day, that's about federal poverty.

BHAIRAVI DESAI: Exactly. It's not enough in 2018. Meanwhile, Uber, Lyft are together evaluated at over \$100 billion. The Uber CEO compared to an Uber driver, if they are lucky enough to average \$35,000.00 a year, right, and I'm talking about the high end. That driver is still making \$5,700.00 times less than the Uber CEO. There is massive income inequality in this industry and it is spreading.

25

1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: so, even if you were to make \$100.00 a day, five days a week. That's 3 50 hours a work week, more than a lot of us work, 4 5 \$24,000.00 a year, still less than the federal 6 poverty line. 7 BHAIVARI DESAI: Yes. In New York City. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: In New York City. 8 BHAIVARI DESAI: Well in a global capital 9 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Before taxes. 10 BHAIVARI DESAI: Before taxes, high cost 11 12 of living, while the companies, they are the wealthiest companies in today's global economy. It's 13 14 unconscionable. It's unconscionable. And it's 15 unsustainable that's why people have begun to kill 16 themselves. And it would be absolutely morally 17 bankrupt of the City Council and the Mayor to not 18 intervene immediately and stop this crisis. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, thank you. BHAIRAVI DESAI: Thank you. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Nicole Epstein, Nicole 23 Epstein from New Yorker for Equal Transportation Access. Valerie Joseph, BCID. Okay. Wassim (sp?)

Nasir (sp?), Peter Mazur from Metropolitan Taxicab

2 Board of Trade. Mr. Gene Poll Queens Medallion, Long

3 Island. Bernardo Sellerino (sp?), independent

4 medallion owner. Nicole is not here. Mohamed Shahim,

5 give me one more. Hasiful Islam medallion owner,

6 Michael Simon. Sir?

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

WASSIM: I just wanted to thank you for allowing me to testify today. My name is Wassim. I am a for hire vehicle driver. As you may have heard, recently several drivers committed suicide due to the stresses of financial hard ships that they incur (sic). Ride share companies such as Uber, Lyft have been gradually reducing prices to such an extent that the TLC has to implement a ruling limiting drivers on how many hours they could drive per shift. Today more and more drivers are working longer hours to make a living. Drivers such as myself are the ones who provide the service, yet Uber and Lyft take 100 percent of the profit without providing any service. I believe if anyone should be charged for fees, it should be these ride share companies. These companies have to loyalties to anyone and have flooded the taxi industry, which has caused all of the congestion and chaos. Like the medallions which were limited in numbers, there should be a bill limiting for-hire

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

vehicle drivers. In the amended bill I would like to see a universally recognized standard fare rate that would be fair for both drivers as well as passengers. This standard would allow drivers to earn a living and have times to spend with their families. This modern form of slavery needs to stop. I would like to take this opportunity to say that drivers should not be compelled to work for only app. This is because, as I stated before, these ride share companies have no loyalties to their drivers and passengers. Passengers are being charged in excess of what they should be charged. Ending the bill would be in the interest of these ride share companies. The ruling the TLC made with regard to limiting the hours drivers could work per shift, although a good legislation, only emphasizes legislation targeted and effecting drivers. No legislation was made to Uber/Lyft. These companies continue to accumulate millions of dollars stealing from drivers and passengers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

PETER MAZUR: Good afternoon, Chairman

Diaz and members of the Committee. My name is Peter

Mazur and I'm general counsel to the Metropolitan

2 Taxicab Board of Trade. An association representing the owners of about 5,500 medallion taxicabs. We also 3 operate a driver center that has providing licensing 4 assistance to 2,000 drivers, helped 5,000 drivers 5 with hearings and provided more than \$750,000.00 in 6 7 free legal services to our drivers. I've submitted written comments that is supplementing my testimony 8 today and it will discuss the individual bills in my 9 written testimony. But today I would like to cover 10 some general matters. First and foremost, I want to 11 12 thank this Committee and the Chair and Members for 13 considering this important issue. During the last five years we've seen an explosion of app-based 14 15 transportation businesses on the street causing 16 congestion and adversely affecting the quality of 17 life in all areas. Every other element of the 18 industry is regulated, taxicabs, street hail liveries, bases are eliminated (sic), but there is no 19 20 cap or limit on the number of app-based cars. There are now more than 110,000 for-hire vehicles in the 21 2.2 City, supplementing 14,000 and 5,000 green cars. The 23 largest app-base is Uber and LLC has 5,456 affiliated vehicles. By way of comparison, the largest non-app-24 based car service has 594 cars. During the last week 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of February, Uber Zen (sic) reported to the TLC that the base did 191,000 trips. That's an average of five trips, per vehicle, per day. Taxicabs to 26, green cars about 10. The owners of the 5,456 cars affiliated with Uber and each obtain TLC licenses pay thousands of dollars in insurance on their vehicles, all to do five trips a day. And if the base wanted to add another 5,000 or another 50,000 cars there is nothing to stop them. No need to demonstrate that there is a need for public service, no accountability to drivers to make sure of sufficient business. No considerations to whether these additional cars will clog the streets. We'd like to see, one if I can just summarize. We'd like to see one consolidated bill incorporating many of the ideas of these various bills, most of which we support. Four important things, we must recognize that app-based services are not taxicabs or greens, or liveries, or black cars and they need their own set of regulations. Second, bigger is not always better and a business should not be too big to become accountable. Limitations on base size will enable the TLC to do its regulation. Third, we must have expanded transportation services coupled with the idea that there is a demonstrated need for a

2.2

service and that expanded service will not adversely affect the riding public. This is already done in other industries. And finally we must make sure that everything assure passenger safety and most importantly, that there is adequate protection for our drivers to ensure that they benefit from any regulatory system. We cannot have a system, going forward, where our drivers are not protected. And we

thank you for the opportunity to speak.

FRANCOIS JEANPAUL: Is it on. My name is

Mr. Francois Jean-Paul and I am a taxi driver. I

operate with Queens medallion in Long Island City. My

concern here is the fact that from the time in 2011

when they had increased the Yellow Taxi medallion by

an extra 2000 and then they had brought in an extra

18,000 green cars. I thought it was a very bad thing

because I started not to be getting the fares that I

used to be getting. But by the time for us to recover

from that, we had all of the Uber taxis coming into

the City, over 100,000 of them. So the drivers, some

of them don't have experience, they just get the

license and they just to go Uber. Sometimes I fear

very bad for my life because you can see them coming

at such speed at you when they have to go pick up

their fares. They're making U-turns, they do
everything sometimes too when they're relaxing
because they have no operating cost. So that issue,
blocking the traffic and then you're behind them with
a passenger who cannot get anywhere. Okay. It has
become very outrageous. So the way that I look at it
is that for those people to operate properly and to
do business inside of the City of New York, okay,
they need to have some spending cost and my
suggestion to the City Council which Mr. Diaz is to
be thankful of because he is persistent in getting
this bill, is to just make them pay for a permit that
costs as much as a New York City Yellow Taxi
medallion. Otherwise if they don't want to operate
inside of the City then take them to the outer
boroughs and still make them pay and operate to the
cost of a green car. Simple as that, I'm finished.

BERNARDO SELLERINO: My name is Bernardo
Sellerino. I started as a Yellow Cab driver in March
of 1988, 30 years ago. In November of 1999, 1989 I
paid a market price for my medallion of \$134,000.00
through a total legal process approved by the Taxi
and Limousine Commission, the City of New York and
the State of New York furthermore, my loan was

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

obtained through credit unions approved by the federal government. I'm here today to support 100 percent of Council Diaz' bill, long time overdue. Uber and other apps are more than welcome in New York City, but they have to pay for their righto pick up in the City of New York as my colleague says here and as I do. Taxi and Limousine Commission, the City of New York and the State of New York, all of them together made the terrible job in regulating appbased companies from 2013 until today. For example, Taxi and Limousine Commission totally failed to comply with Rule 52-04A4 in order to protect the financial interest of the Yellow cab industry. The City of New York raised \$1 million per medallion in the 2013 auctions in exchange for allowing taxi drivers to pick up street hails. But that day, neither the state of New York or the City of New York, let alone the Taxi and Limousine Commission, told their people that they will allow competitors to pick up e-hails for free. App-based drivers, I understand they don't want to pay \$2,000.00 a year, but I have to pay more than \$5,000.00 a year in interest rates to Merrill's (sic) credit union and nobody is helping me but myself. Basically i also

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

want to say when you pay a loan to a credit union
that your principle goes nowhere. Thank you.

MICHAEL SIMON: Hello. My name is Michael Simon I'm a medallion owner and one of the things that made me really sad about this meeting, and I'm just going off what I'm writing is that nobody is talking about our exclusive. Taxi owners bought an exclusive to do something. We paid money for it and yet it's like being given away to anybody who wants it. And that really hurts me. That really hurts because it's just like I've had a medallion most of my life, since I was 23 and it's just like everybody is doing what it is I had to pay for and frankly it breaks my heart. And so let me read what I wrote. Taking an exclusive away from a business based on that exclusive, destroys that business. The street hail is basis of exclusive. If I owned a piece of land and the government permitted anybody to be on that land, would that land still be considered mine? The government didn't take it away, just let others on it, but isn't that the same thing as being taken away? The monthly transfer report by the TLC tells a story. There are numerous foreclosures every month and that's just the tip of the iceberg. Taxis are

4

2 falling right now, we cannot wait anymore. Our cabs are falling off a cliff as we speak. If you do not 3 act, more and more will tumble. Something must be 5 done right now without delay and it must be 6 substantial to stop the carnage. The term 7 prearrangement always meant, as long as I've been in business, that you wanted something different than 8 the standard taxi and that you were willing to wait a 9 few minutes for it by making a prearrangement. That's 10 the big different between a taxi and any other kind 11 12 of FHV vehicle. A wait time for a unique criteria. 13 The e-hail used by black car apps are street hails. 14 Passengers look at their phone, press a button and 15 along comes a car. That's a street hail. Any other 16 way of thinking about it is just to allow the use of our exclusive by others. Uber flooded the market with 17 18 cars. Why wouldn't they pay what taxis have had to pay? They are basically doing the same thing. If they 19 didn't pay then don't give them anything. If you make 20 them buy medallions they won't, they know that their 21 2.2 e-hail technology won't give them any edge. That's 23 why this is not about technology, but about the separation of the different sectors with different 24 25 rules preserving each. Why...

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Thank you.
3 Thank you. Cliff Adler (sp?), Nicolai Hent (sp?),
4 Davie Bear (sp?), Tamada Vishnakova, Maggie Edricy,
5 Nina Golashi. Sir?

CLIFF ADLER: Good afternoon, Commissioner. Thank you for letting me speak today. My name is Cliff Adler. Until last summer I was a taxi medallion owner. Since Ed Koch was Mayor, they had to go through some stringent tests, environmental tests to be allowed to put on 400 extra taxi medallions so they could sell them in the 70s. Nothing was done until Giuliani came, they got that permission, nothing was done until Giuliani became Mayor and then they started the auctions. So the medallion price gradually went up and up from \$40-50,000.00 to its height about four years ago, \$1.3 million. I would like to know, where did the environmental tests go for the extra 4,000 medallions that they've put on the road? None of that has happened. Apart from the yellow cabs, you have from the get go, from the last six years something like over 50,000 Uber cars total. You have 100,000 car services extra on the road. Has there been any

environmental test? Forget the traffic congestion,

done on these cars. As far as I'm concerned,
absolutely nothing. And for the price congestion,
instead of playing around itsy bitsy here and there
about where we should put a little bit of a charge
for the price congestion, yellow taxis to me, we have
from the 1930s the sole right that is the sole right
to put the taxis on the road anywhere for a street
hail. That should be their prerogative and there
should be no reduction whatsoever, no charges to have
to pay like the car services. There shouldn't be a
congestion charge for yellow cabs. And as far as
these people that committed suicide last summer I
almost became one of them. And now I'm driving for a
fleet, and I'm doing 11 hours a day. I'm 69 years
old Thank you

NICOLAI HENT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman

Diaz. My name is Nicolai Hent. I'm an immigrant from

Romania, which I came in this country on August 8th,

1985. I'm sorry to say the last guy which committed

suicide he was a best friend of mine. Not only my

best friend, but family friend. I couldn't believe

that, I'm not going to do that, I don't need a doctor

to help me because I'm not doing that. His financial

problem was less than mine. In 1990 everything I had,

I paid for the medallion. With a wife and two
infants, but I made it. Now it looks like I'm going
to retire homeless. Everybody is talking here about
the cap, why not a moratorium if TLC stopped tomorrow
morning, no more issuing of license TLC plates.
Governor Cuomo, the biggest supporter of app cars, he
just gave an executive order for the (inaudible)
to vote. Why cannot give an executive order to stop
the bleeding. Other problems with what we face here,
not only no regulation but a lot of cars on the
streets with the plates, cover the TLC plates and
says sole pilot, <u>(inaudible)</u> what kind of cars are
that? What is the sign for Uber, Lyft or what about
theirs? We cannot go on like this, I hope, I hope and
I pray maybe you can do something and stop this giant
corporation robbing not only the taxi medallion
owners, but the drivers. It has to be four points
(sic) very important. Stop the bleeding, protect the
yellow taxi medallions, protect the drivers and the
first should be public safety. Customers and
passengers, pedestrians have to be protected.
1

My name is Cassandra Perez-Desir. I am testifying on behalf of David Beyer. David Beyer is the president

CASSANDRA PEREZDESIR: Hi Chairman Diaz.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the Committee for Taxi Safety. That's a trade organization that represents licensed agents managing more than 2,500 taxi medallions and vehicles. We thank you Chairman Diaz and the for-hire Committee for holding this hearing and for recognizing and attempting to deal with the consequences of this City's prior inaction to have all parts of the forhire industry operate by the same regulations. We are at a natural inflection point to have real working rule that will help the public drivers as well as the City to have control of its streets, rides, and a fair economic shot to compete. The current circumstance where there is now extra congestion from a limitless growth of apps, otherwise known as TNCs was foreseen. There was also a foreseen consequence where wheelchairs users were not going to be served by TNCs. It was also foreseen that the disruption being characterized as competing, was not competition when one segment of the industry had an accessibility was requirement and was restricted to one type of vehicle. We now see the circumstances changing with the passage of the Clean Air Taxi bill which will allow for more environmentally friendly choices than currently available. Now, the City has an opportunity

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to act. With the hindsight of the last three and a half years to actually regulate all of the foreseen problems the last time the city considered these regulations. In regards to pending legislation, Intro 144 as well as other introductions need to be the avoidance of unintended consequences for regulation that can be used to hamper fair competition with neighborhood based transportation business. Many of the neighborhood bases would needlessly be caught up in regulation of app services potentially by an unfair reading for some the language in the current bill. We would respectfully suggest eliminating the word continuous from Intro 838, as well as adding a small neighborhood exception to allow for entrepreneurs economic opportunities, as well as for the community and drivers. I have just one more to go.

UNIDENTIFIED: Good afternoon everybody.

Good afternoon Mr. Diaz. My husband was taxi driver for more than 20 years. At this time he was full time driver. At this time I had full time job, we had two daughters who were going to college, but we made this very important decision in our life. We invested in the business, we trusted New York. We trusted the

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the poor taxi owners.

2 old, well established with exclusive rights well known, well run, well-regulated Yellow Taxi business. 3 And now, at this time, we feel the City, the 4 government betrayed us. Look what happened to our 5 6 business. They let those wild, not regulated app companies to come to the City and to take away from us our business. They are doing exactly the same what 8 we are doing, but no regulations, no rules for them. 9 And we paid hundreds, millions of dollars for our 10 business. Hundreds of thousands of dollars, we paid 11 12 for it and we were happy and proud to have this business. So, now our business is down, our dreams 13 are ruined. So it's about time to do something. Thank 14 15 you, Mr. Diaz for helping and doing something to help

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you.

UNIDENTITIED: Thank you. All of our family votes for your bill with two hands. Thank you.

NINA GODASHI: Hi, Mr. Diaz. My name is

Nina Godashi. I'm a yellow cab driver, I'm working

more than 10 years driving a yellow cab which is very

hard job. Today you see in this room, it's some

people on this side, some people on the other side.

But everybody, we are slaves of the Uber. Everybody

2 in this place. The Uber is not going to support us. They're going to step on us and get everything from 3 us so that is why I support your bill. They should 4 5 go, with your bill, they're talking about the \$2,000.00, they don't want to pay the fee. The yellow 6 7 cabs, we're paying a lot of fees so nobody is talking about our fees and our money we pay. All right? So 8 one more thing, they don't see, they focus on 9 \$2,000.00, but they don't focus in the money they're 10 losing every day from Uber because they're losing 11 12 every single day from Uber. They're lowering the 13 fares, and lower and lower. So they think about the 14 2,000 but they don't see they're losing 10 and 15 \$20,000.00 a year. So, I support your bill and 16 everybody should go on the same fees like the Yellow 17 cabs. It has not to be excuse about nobody, no 18 yellow, green, black or gray, or red. Everybody has to go under the fees. It doesn't matter if it's 19 20 2,000, and if you want to make playing field for everybody, you have to bail out the yellow cabs. Give 21 2.2 this money, the people back, give your medallions and 23 let us go to do something else. I'm very young lady, I can do something else. But I'm like prisoner 24 because I got stuck with this medallion and I cannot 25

2.2

Thank you.

- do nothing else because if I give to them the

 medallion back I'm going to lose my house. My kids is

 going to be on the street. So that's why I got stuck

 with this medallion. And the city of New York has to

 do something about this. Get us medallions back, give

 us the money and let us to go to do something else.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Very 10 emotional, very exciting, very...
- 11 NINA GODASHI: Yes. Its very hard job.
 - CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: All right. What is your name again? Nina. You won the room. You won (sic).

 Jose Altamirano, Alicia Pagero (sp?), Marlena Pinedo,

 Eric G. from IDG. David Amonte, Michelle Dotin (sp?).

 Sir, go ahead.

Dear Committee Chair Diaz Sr. and the members of City Council. Good morning. My name is Jose Altamirano. I'm the President of Livery Base Owners which represents over 150 livery bases in New York City. Our member base serves approximately 150,000 New Yorkers every day in every borough except for Staten Island. Our members are striving, working class immigrants for whom English is a second language. Our bases empower approximately 12,000

2 drivers throughout the City who are hardworking immigrants themselves. Many of our base owners are 3 also drivers who have scrimped and saved to invest 4 with friends and family to open a small business and 5 6 serve their community. We serve a population that does not have other reliable transportation options. We help abuelas get to their doctors' appointments, 8 security guard get home safe from his late night 9 shift and transport countless families. We are an 10 essential component of many New York City 11 12 neighborhood especially outside the commercial 13 quarters of Manhattan. We are here to express our concerns with Intro 838 which promulgates a 14 15 regulatory scheme for app-based vehicles services and 16 drivers. The LBO recognizes that this City's latest attempt to grapple with the proliferation of vehicles 17 18 by tech companies who operate national ride share or international ride sharing platforms, many of whom 19 20 are based in California. For the past several years our bases and affiliated drivers have been working 2.1 2.2 tirelessly to sustain themselves in the face of these 23 deep pocketed services. However, Intro 838 in it's current form is not the answer. While Intro 838 24 attempts to regulate the nation ride sharing platform 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to operate in the City, the bill also captures our bases as well. The bill defines an app-base for-hire service as an entity that offers transportation forhire to passengers by prearrangement and using software. While it's true that our bases began with radio dispatch service who interacted with over drivers over two way radios, we have evolved over the years. Now we are proud to day that our bases are partnered with a software provider, Limosys, to offer app-based communication for our customers. Therefore, under the bill, our small bases are lumped in with the ride sharing platforms as an app-based for-hire service. This is unacceptable. The bill attempts to create a grandfather clause, however, due to the work continuously in operation due to overregulation by the TLC, a number of our member bases have been suspended or revoked at some point. Although their status has been restored, they are in full compliance, these bases cannot claim to have been in continuous existence in the year 2000. Approximately 58 percent of our bases fall in this category. Additionally, throughout the City, approximately 165 community car service with 3,700 affiliate bases have been licensed since the year 2000. These bases cannot

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

afford a \$20,000.00 per year registration fee as they

are small community bases. And these affiliated

4 vehicles cannot afford the annual \$2,000.00

5 registration fee. We thank you for your time.

ERIC GOGAS: Hi. My name is Eric Gogas (sp?) I'm an FHV driver. I want to start by saying that Uber is not above the law just like every other business and company they have to follow certain rules and regulations in order to operate legally and they did just that. So, by going to the congestion area, FHV drivers don't congest the area. This world is getting over populated as well, by default it's going to be congested. If you want to situate (sic) the congestion start with the traffic laws and reevaluate that. On Madison Avenue with four lanes, two being the bus lane and two being where every other can drive, but apparently the construction is blocking half our view and then we have stupidity at its fullest where we have people face timing on their red light, which is our green light coming in and interfering with traffic. So, congestion right there, right now, has to be altered with the traffic laws that being held right now. That has to be reevaluated. Another thing that I wanted to say, and

2 I'm saying this from the heart, as a medallion, well not owner, but hearing from the medallions it's like 3 4 having a house, a 30 year mortgage. Everything goes 5 up but the paycheck unfortunately. Property taxes, 6 utilities, everything goes up. You don't see house 7 owners coming to City Hall for emergency meetings to take all the landlords of building that rent out to 8 renters and say jack up the rent and whatever is left 9 over, give it to the home owners for the balance of 10 their property taxes to be lowered. So, you went into 11 12 that, you knew what you were going to, not everything goes according to plan. So unfortunately we have to 13 work a little bit harder. I still don't agree with 14 15 the one app-base, the \$2,000.00 that you still 16 haven't mentioned if it's taken off the bill or if we're going to prolong that situation as well because 17 18 you still haven't mentioned anything about that. And at the end of it, I definitely do agree that 19 20 everybody has to have a fair base where everybody can make a living. At the end of the day we all want to 21 2.2 eat, we all want to go home, we all want to be happy. 23 We're not here just to pay bills and die. We're here to live life before our times expires just like 24 25 everything else. And with artificial intelligence

2	kicking in within five to seven years, all of these
3	people won't have jobs autonomous driving will take
4	that over. So then we're going to have another bill,
5	and another situation going on. So if you want to fix
6	the congestion, start with traffic laws. FHV hires
7	hilling the environment, half of the cars are hybrid.
8	It runs on battery when it's at the stop light or
9	idling so I don't know what environment you guys are
10	talking about that's being hazard. If anything it's
11	the chemtrails on top that's giving everybody an
12	issue. So if you want to start adjusting, traffic
13	laws is your best yet and then afterwards we can
14	continue.
15	MARLENA PINEDO: [Speaking Spanish
16	03:25:27-03:26:14]
17	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] [Speaking
_ ′	ciminal bing. [interposes] [spec

INTERPRETER: Oh okay, thank you. Sorry.

I'm referring to the 2000 fee, we work many hours a day and we don't have the capacity to face these fees.

23 MARLENA PINEDO: [Speaking Spanish 24 03:26:37-03:26:46]

Spanish 03:26:14-03:26:17]

but the TLC kill us now. Other bill \$2,000.00 is

JOSE ALTAMIRANO: To the base.

significantly more money than the \$3,400.00.

JOSE ALTAMIRANO: [interposes] no I know...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] it's \$320.00 a month...

JOSE ALTAMIRANO: [interposes] I heard your math reverend (sic) the problem is that there are very few bases that have 500 vehicles...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] If you multiply that by 12 months it's \$3,840.00. if a base...

JOSE ALTAMIRANO: [interposes] the majority of bases are between...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] if a base has 500 cars, they make about two millions dollars.

JOSE ALTAMIF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOSE ALTAMIRANO: [interposes] I

understand but there is not a lot of bases that have 500 cars. They range between 80 vehicles to 200 vehicles.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. Next please.

DEBORAH MONTE: Hi. Good morning. My name is Deborah Monte. I'm an FHV driver, I'm an IDG member and I was born in New York, I reside here. I've worked here all of my life. New York is a tough an very expensive place to live in. I retired from the City, I was a paramedic and at the time I was driving ambulances. I've driven through this City and I decided to become an independent driver two years ago. I started working for Uber and for Juno, two different apps. I need to do two different apps in order to make ends meet. You can't just drive for one app. If you are limited to one app you just will not make enough money. I went through the application process, the background checks, the mandatory TLC training, the exams, I paid all of the required fees. I do so on a regular basis. The cost of owning a car, purchasing a car, \$31,000.00, of having it registered with TLC plates as mandated. Repairs, tires, car washing, all of the expenses and the ever rising cost

of gas, at least \$12,000.00 a year, at least
\$7,000.00 in commercial car insurance. I am not the
enemy of the Yellow Taxis. Today, Uber and Juno, and
all of those app-base are here, they're not going
away. They have been accepted, and I'm sorry about
the people who have spent a significant amount of
money on their medallions and because of the bubble
that rose just like the housing market. Andi t's very
sad, but we need to get together. We're all drivers,
we are pulled together in this. But charging
\$2,000.00 and I'm going to mention it again because
it's not taken off of the table. Charging \$2,000.00
exclusively to the FHV drivers is not fair. We
already pay enough and you do too Yellow taxi
drivers, but we need to get together. And we need to
come with a strategy that is going to not cost us
more because we make pittance, and its' sad. We all
have to work very hard just to make ends meet. So we
need to get these app-based companies to take on the
burden and make less money, and give us more. And
hopefully you guys will also not have to suffer
CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Drivers

are going to make a lot more money, don't about the end. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2.2

DEBORAH MONTE: So we need to change this and we need solidarity...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] don't worry about, drivers are going to make, drivers are going to be treated (inaudible...) and with respect.

DEBORAH MONTE: [interposes] I just want to say one more thing.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: No, no, no you're finished.

DEBORAH MONTE: No, but sir, other people went over.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: I'm sorry, the next...

DEBORAH MONTE: [interposes] We pay \$84.00 a year, okay, every driver. Theres 180,000 drivers and \$84.00 a year for every driver that's \$15,000,120.00 million.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Thank you very much.

DEBORAH MONTE: [interposes] what is TLC and the City doing with that money.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: No it's not TLC, it's the bases. Thank you.

MICHELLE DOTIN: Hi. My name is Michelle Dotin. I am a driver, I've been so for about two

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

years and four months. I oppose portions of your bill. The reason I oppose portions of your bill is because I speak with drivers on a whole. I look at everybody here who is trying to earn a living. We all are working for the same cause, to protect, to feed our families and earn a decent honest living. And if we do so, and we work together, listen, it's the Yellows, or the medallion owner of the livery. It's the City of New York that charges all of these, different entities, these high fees. Who cause them to have this bubble. And in that, doing so they caused the crash of the yellows, medallions and greens, and livery bases. But the solution is not to pin one against the other, the solution is to make sure the companies that are making the money, pay the money. Not the backs of the drivers. Drivers now are working too many hours for unsafe commission, unsafe environments. We only get paid per mile, per minute. So all of you who think that because we drive for the app-based companies we are making a tremendous amount of money that is not true. We pay our fair share and we would like to be one unit. Commissioner, you took this job to represent all FHV drivers. We all share the same license. We want you to treat us the same.

- Not singled out, but treat us like you would treat
 the yellow, the green, the livery. Please consider
 the fact that we cannot spend another dollar on
- 5 another fee. Thank you.

2.2

- CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Louis Rojas, Inder (sp?) shahazad (sp?) malik (sp?). Hector Herman (sp?). Carlos Perez. Let's go, sir.
 - INDER PARMAR: Hi, sir. My name is Inder
 Parmar (sp?) I've been driving with Uber since 2013.
 In 2013 I used to work 60-70 hours. I used to put
 good food in my family. Now I work almost 80 to 90
 hours. My income has been reduced by 50 percent. I
 cannot put enough food on my family. And even working
 80-90 hours, Uber treats me like I'm a part time
 driver. And we need your help in this. Please help
 Uber drivers and help everybody else. And I gave you
 the speech, I would like you to read that. Thank you,
 sir.

UNIDENTIFIED: Hi. Good afternoon, sir. I have concern about the fees as introduced are going to be made to pay the FHV drivers. Which is going to, effect the drivers going to be paid. I believe the Uber or the Lyft, the Juno, they should pay this fee because there is no checks and balances. They are

2.2

stealing money from the driver. It's on the record that the charge the different amount to the rider and the driver is being paid the different amount. So the driver should not be paying this extra fee which is introducing in this bill. Besides that we are having other issues, the TLC stuff, like even let's say I will say I'm driving and my headlight bulb got turned off and I'm being pulled over by the TLC and I'm being summoned. This is unfair, we are being as legal slave being a driver. So there should be done something to protect us, all our families, to make them living respectfully. That's all I want to say.

LUCIA ROJAS: Good afternoon. My name is

Lucia Rojas. I have been driving for app company

almost five years now. And sadly when I started in

this business it was a little bit more fair and I get

on the industry because I was feeling enslaved, I

wasn't making enough money to survive and pay my

bills like everyone else just doing legal work. And

sadly because of that reason I even went to welfare.

And because of the app company I make myself out of

welfare. I don't want to go back. And if this bill

passes that's going to happen because putting

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

\$2,000.00 more on people like me that work over 12 hour shifts daily, and I works seven days a week now and days because I can't pay my bills just by doing six days or eight hours which I used to be able to do it. Because Uber, Lyft, Juno, all these companies, I have to work for four companies because one is not enough and when come summer forget it. Not even four are enough. I can't make enough money just by working with one. And if you harming (sic) forcing me to choose one, I will choose the one that steals from my pocket every day, but sadly is the one that has more passengers on the street. So you are helping them to make us become slaves of this system. When on the other hand, yellow taxi keeps complaining that we are taking the business from their hands, which is not true because there was a market out there that was unsatisfied by their service. Because they weren't providing the service that was asking, they was providing quality, they was having shitty cars. They was driving crazy on the street, you could see them every day crossing four lanes even without putting anything sign. And I have been victim of accidents caused by yellow taxi that crossed lines without putting any signs. So, with that in mind why they

2.2

should be privileges with this bill and we be penalized when even we are more careful than them on the street. Because we don't kill each other to cross lines to help someone from the opposite corner where they are standing. We follow a GPS all the time and we try to (inaudible...), but they have to be fair with us too. Now just think in a yellow taxi you also have to stop an Uber or Lyft, Juno, Via, Get, all those app companies that are making us slaves by making a ton of money from us.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. She's going to translate.

UNIDENTIFIED: [Speaking Spanish 03:42:42-03:48:48]

INTERPRETER: Good afternoon, Reverend
Ruben Diaz. Good afternoon all of the members and the
Committee. The new Committee that's here to defend
this corp. I remember one day and a rainy night on
grand concourse. We the drivers of the City of New
York, especially the livery, we ask the Reverend
Ruben Diaz as the father of the taxi drivers. Today
we are still holding onto that expectation of help in
all the scenarios. Ruben Diaz promised us that as
soon as he got to the Council, we did all our

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

efforts. So our father could get to this point. And be our voice of the drivers. Today, April 30, we are discussing one of the projects of legislation that we have understood very aggressive to the economy (sic) and the life of each and every one of us. We have heard that the \$2,000.00 in reference to the fine (sic) we are happy for this. But still within the project, we have something that would help us to work and have different options for us to be able to take our fays off to the home. Today more than 90 percent of bases has to be recognized as the apps. And even that way it's difficult. That's why we are soliciting the (inaudible...) Ruben Diaz, to take this under consideration so that that part will be without effect. Another thing very important, that the community liveries, that today the industry livery, we do not have passengers, we barely receive five to six calls a day. And that's become very difficult to survive. Like we have said prior in a public hearing in the last months, the City of New York and the world (sic) will witness of the suicide of the last four drivers in which the drivers (inaudible) left us a letter where it was said that he hoped that his suicide was not in vain. Reverend Ruben Diaz, we

03:49:05-03:51:56]

INTERPRETER: I'm going to speak about the
problems that exist amongst us, the cab drivers of
the City of New York because we all are very clear
and all of those that are here <u>(inaudible)</u> all the
cab drivers in the community, all the Councilmen and
the politicians they know all the problems of the
taxi drivers and now I'm not going to speak about
that problem. I am going to say to you, the directors
and the councilmen, and the politicians including the
president, what is going on with humanity. Where is
the <u>(inaudible)</u> want to leave to the family and
the community. What is the policy that's existing now
going on towards taking the empowerment of the money.
All the love of money is the root of all evil and
it's a sin against God. I ask you the Councilman, all
the ones that are an official to please come and do
what you were brought here to do in this world. Be
human, have love, apply the love, we are still going
to die regardless and we are going to leave
everything behind (inaudible) powerful people,
rich people with so much love to the money and they
still die <u>(inaudible)</u> they weren't able to take
that money. They couldn't take the power of whatever

2.2

2 their function was. Please, I ask for mercy in gods
3 name...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] [Speaking Spanish 03:51:54-03:51:58] Tina Sills (sp?), Lee Shepard, Mark Lopez, Ansar (sp?) Ali, Tina Ravinu (sp?), Asiz (sp?) Bah (sp?), Laura Niemen, David Walker, Mario Stoi (sp?), Alex Tocasio (sp?), Alex Jacobi, William Robis (sp?), Priscilla Montero. Sir? JOSE RODRIGUEZ: [Speaking Spanish

03:54:21-03:58:31

INTERPRETER: Good afternoon, Chairman

Diaz. My name is Jose Rodriguez. I am the President

of the Taxi Drivers in Defense of the Injustice and

Abuse of the Power. My motive to be present this

afternoon is as follows. To approve the project that

you are presenting today, by the regulations for the

following companies, Uber, Via and Lyft, in which it

was a petition that I had made February 12th. Also,

on that day February 12th I made a petition for you

to make us stop of the abuse and the entrapment they

are committing against the drivers. After that public

hearing, they moved to Manhattan and The Bronx seven

days out of the week. You have noticed because day

after day you have received a lot of drivers in which

2.2

we are telling them to go to your office so you could see that we are not talking a lie. That organization should tell your officials to go so they can stop entrapping our drivers. And another order, we had made another petition, to put a cap on the new license plates and licenses. For nobody it's a secret that on February 12th, there was 61,000 drivers affiliated and in two months and 18 days it has incremented to 75,000. The increase of the increment...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] [Speaking Spanish 03:57:20-05:57:28]

INTERPRETER: Their increase day by day effects our drivers, because they stop taking the daily need of our families to their homes. In this moment the industry is just for us to pay bills. Just like the streets are also deteriorating, I can give an example, I put a set of brand new tires and before three months I had to change the two front tires because there is too many pot holes on the streets. Department of Transportation doesn't do nothing regarding that. We would like to ask you to please put your hand and help us resolve this issue so this industry will not disappear. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [Speaking Spanish

3 03:58:31-03:58:37]

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TINA SILLS: Good afternoon ladies and gentleman. My name is Tina Sills and I'm going to make this swift because I would like to address you. I'm grateful for the opportunity to be in council with you today. The last time I was here I was invited by the Latino and Black Caucus to the inauguration of Mr. Barack Obama and I was certainly grateful to be here. Since that time I was evicted from 58th East 190th Street, so I do understand the disparity of not having a living wage. And so I am here today to say that I do support a living wage, or a working wage for the taxi drivers. I am for anything that brings penalty and unjust fines and fees as we all would be. Every system has a process, for example if I were to testify today under oath, I would have needed to be subpoenaed. Just like if I were call here to be an employee, I would expect to get some kind of conditional notice that says Miss Tina Sills will you report to Congress, will you report to City Hall. But I'm here today on behalf of the great people of New York City. And I would love to work with the great people of New York City. I

2 believe that they are worth every dime that they invest into their public officials, or, I hope I'm 3 saying this right I've never been here before, but we 4 have some issues, we have integrity issues. We have 5 issues of identity theft of course, common sense says 6 7 if you go work for the White House you're going to be finger printed, you're going to have an optic scan. I 8 worked at 165 Broadway under the great Joe Berkery 9 (sic) John Shay, Berkery Noyes, and I logged in with 10 my retina, and I logged in with my fingerprints and 11 12 Mary Joe Zandie (sp?) sat behind me and she was able 13 to see what I was able to do in the computer system. I said all that to say today, I am for regulation. I 14 15 am for the law, I'm pro-big-government. My 16 affiliation is not important, but I am here to say we need justice. Just like when you go to court you get 17 18 a subpoena. If someone is doing something wrong, can I continue sir? I'm very respectful, you need to 19 20 advise individuals on how to regulate. If you would like to incarcerate someone for a lack of service or 21 2.2 not upholding the constitution you have a civil, 23 legal or criminal responsibility, a burden to, you have an obligation to notify the individual and say 24 25 this is, because what you find is a mens rea. There

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 2 has to be a present knowledge of the system in order to violate the system. I've seen it. I am proof that 3 it exists because ignorance is not bliss when you're 4 spending three years at stadium, or four years from 5 March '14 to March '17 for not upholding the 6 7 constitution. And you've been damaged and there is no punitive, monetary amount and you're a beggar and 8 you've been homeless for six years and you're trying 9 to recover your life. 10
 - CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: I think that's enough.

 That's good enough.
 - TINA SILLS: So I support fair and honest legislation. Thank you so much for having me, sir, thanks.
 - CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, thank you very much.
 - TINA RAVENO: Good afternoon. My name is Tina Raveno. I am an IDG member and I have been driving professionally for Uber...
 - CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] I can't hear you.
 - TINA RAVENO: For Uber and Lyft for over a year. I work 10 hours a day, 7 days a week. I chose this job because of its flexibility and a promise to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 make a better living which is not the case now. I 3 struggle to make ends meet, Mr. Diaz.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Oh boy.

TINA RAVENO: Your bill will make my life harder. I support my son with no co-parenting. I'm crushed by monthly insurance rates, gas rates, maintenance, and a weekly payment on my car which is \$426.00 a week. It's getting harder and harder, I just filed my taxes and after the expenses for the last year, minus my expenses, I took home \$24,000.00 that's almost less than the minimum wage. I can't afford your new tax regulations and the inability to work one app, I work for two apps and I'm struggling. One app, I will not make it. If you take this option away from me my family, my fellow colleagues, you will send us into poverty, the shelter system and the welfare system. We as drivers, we need a raise, we need benefits, an ability to provide for our family just like anyone else in this great City. Mr. Diaz, you need to save us because we are drowning. Thank you.

JOHAN: Good afternoon, Council. Thank you for giving me the opportunity...

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] your name?

2.2

JOHAN: My name is Johan and I am with IDG. Thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak. I cannot speak Spanish and my English is very thick so please bear with me. I started driving a yellow cab in 1991. And I first I rent my cab, I rent the medallion, six months later I buy my car, I rent the medallion. Then a year later I buy my medallion. Today, with app-based company I lost everything.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Can you please a little bit louder?

JOHAN: Yes. After 10 years driving the yellow cab I was not able to drive no more because my back gave away. I try the limousine industry and even there I was shackled because I couldn't grow no matter how good I was. And in corporate America if you're good, and you're doing great work you're going to be rewarded. But I couldn't get rewarded because I was shackled by the rules and regulations of the Taxi and Limousine Commission. The Taxi and Limousine

Commission, they have more rule and regulations than the old and the New Testament together. And all these regulations, Mr. Diaz, they come with serious penalties. I don't know where they find these zeros, but we need your help to help us get through this as

2	Well. The NYPD, the DOT, none of these industries are
3	fair to us. They're proposing regulations like
4	official zero (sic) and they have never helped
5	pedestrian to cross the street. But if you look at
6	their record, all the violations are from FHV
7	drivers. Mr. Diaz, I'm asking you, I have seen, and
8	now I'm with Uber and Lyft, the work that I have
9	done, the yellow cab, my own limo company, I'm now
10	with Uber and Lyft. And I'm not there because I want
11	to. I'm here because if I'm on the outside I cannot
12	help. Only when I'm on the inside and understand what
13	they are doing to us. Please don't let them set you
14	up among yellow cab drivers, again we're all drivers.
15	Mr. Diaz, it's important that you take on the app-
16	based company because they are the ones that are
17	hurting us the most. The last, I drove a yellow cab
18	and if I drove for yellow can I could make \$59.00 to
19	go to JFK. And I'm driving a luxury car and I'm
20	making a \$35.00 to go to JFK.
21	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] Okay. All
22	right.

JOHAN: So, I'm asking you, Mr. Diaz, not to fight, we don't need to fight among each other. We

COMMITTEE ON FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

2 need your help to fight these app-based companies.

3 Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PRISCILLA MONTERO: Good afternoon, Council. My name is Priscilla Montero. I am FHV driver, 9/11 survivor and I represent district 27, Daneek Miller of Jamaica Queens. I'm here today to speak on behalf of the drivers and the people of New York. I get feedback from my passengers, and I've been driving for three years. It is a hard time living in New York alone, however, Mr. Diaz, you have a responsibility to know that we risk our lives on the road to get the people of New York to work. We are also the people of New York paying New York City rent. I am not on welfare, I am a single mother of two and I own two businesses. My adjusted gross income is 75 parent less than what I actually make. My combined is over \$90,000.00 I bring home \$25,000.00 and I cannot write off nearly as many DMV parking violations and so on and so forth. The taxation that you want to impose is not effective for the drivers, Mr. Diaz. It is something that the companies should pay to play. If you want to play in a big city, you need to pay as these New York City real estate developers pay. So I would ask that you

2.2

you.

reconsider these fees that you want to charge us. We are drivers, we are in this together. I take yellow cab drivers as passengers, and I get the feedback.

Our pain is the same. We have all struggled, but we have a responsibility to feed our families the right way. This opportunity for ride share apps, it's a great opportunity for everyone globally. However, the fees should not be passed along to the less fortunate. The companies should pay to play. Thank

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you. And thank you by the way. I'm going to thank you for the respect to this table. You've been wonderful, and everybody, respect. Mutual respect. If the room says you're finished, you're finished out of respect. You also let me tell you about what we're trying to do. Once you regulate something and you put a law, you tell, the law says the bases cannot do this and cannot do that, they cannot do that. If the law says that the base has to charge a certain amount, no matter what they have to pay, if the law says that's what you've got to pay they cannot put that into the drivers. They have to submit to the law. They have been regulated and that's what we're trying to do,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

regulate all of it, even Uber. To regulate, this is what you're going to do, and you cannot do this and this is what you're going to... but people seem like there are people that never want the problem to be solved. There are people, here and there, they never want it to be, and they're always finding something to defy and to contradict. At the end, I promise, at the end I promise you, you are going to be happy and people are going to be happy. And the drivers of the industry are going to be happy. But there are people that would like to do this, I will continue doing what I plan to do and promise you I'm going to work for the drivers. And not only for the drivers, for the industry. The bases have to do what they've got to do, the Uber has to do what they've got to do, and everybody have to do what they got to do. Regulation, we're going to regulate them, and that's the purpose of this (inaudible). So thank you very much. And again thank you for your respect for this table. Thank you.

SOHAL RANA: My name is Sohal (sp?) Rana.

I am an Independent Drivers Guild proud member and a steward. My question to you is, look at in the room, it's the same people. People who are yellow cab

2	drivers, or FHV drivers, I'm sorry. I'm talking about
3	the bill five years ago or seven years ago, the
4	people sitting there. They passed a bill and they let
5	the yellow cab drivers down and now you are sitting
6	there, you're going to do the FHV drivers down. You
7	were appointed to protect the FHV drivers, not
8	charging them \$2,000.00 every year. If you want to
9	find a solution, that's cool, I mean we will work
10	with you, but not on the back of the FHV drivers or
11	the yellow cab drivers. I always think why you don't
12	go chase the companies. Why are you charging us
13	\$2,000.00
14	CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] stop. We

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: [interposes] stop. We already (inaudible...) to back to the \$2,000.00 we already said, you've been here listening. So don't go back to \$2,000.00 I told you we're going to deal with that at the beginning.

SOHAL RANA: [interposes] yeah, Mr. Ruben, yeah \$2,000.00 for me is a lot of money.

about that. I keep tell you <u>(inaudible...)</u> \$2,000.00

SOHAL RANA: [interposes] that's my point
is, I mean people sitting there, they let destroy the

yellow cab industry and now you're sitting there and

work for one app-company, because you are the guys.

are minorities. Drivers first, yeah.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

You let these companies grow that big. They're eating
up all of these drivers. Look at all these drivers,
they're just all like me. They are immigrants, they

UNIDENTIFIED: Good afternoon, Chairman Diaz and the other dignitaries. My concern with this bill is whose not here. And I know that we're going to have discussions, but I feel that the people who are actually the most affected by this, the ones who are trying to make ends meet are the ones that are going to ultimately get stiffed unless the regulation will include making the owners of these companies pay their fair share. I believe that that burden should be on them because they're the ones who profit the most. I don't believe that pitting the yellows and the Uber drivers is going to, and it works to their advantage, but the thing is, is that this is not something that's going to be taken care of right away. I also am shocked that the Mayor of New York City didn't address this when he knew it was a problem. So we can't blame you for that, and I can't blame you, Mr. Chair because you just got into the City Council. You were not in the City Council so it would be unfair to say that. What I would ask is that

2.2

if there would be more discussions going forward with this committee so that we can include everyone from the outer boroughs. As anybody knows, the yellow cab industry rarely will pick up hails in the outer boroughs. So we have to look at everything from all sides. But my biggest concern is the profiting off the backs of people who are very hard working New Yorkers, probably needs to be exposed more. And I would like to see this Council step up and do that because we are a progressive City. So we'd like to see that in action. But, Mr. Chair, you're not the only one that makes those decisions. It's a council and everybody talks so thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DIAZ: Thank you, ladies and gentleman. We started at 9:30, we have listened to everyone. We have written statements and positions from different groups. These, today we have introduced seven different bills. Never before, this committee has been working very diligently and we have done a great job in bringing to the floor bills that for three years have been somewhere here, some other Council Members have written them, but as of today, we brought them today. We are opening the door for bills. So this is the first time that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

industry got seven bills on this day, plus two more from Council Member Cabrera. That will be 11 bills that are being introduced and dealing with the taxi industry. At the end the taxi industry is going to look so good. So I thank all of you, especially those of you that have been here since the morning and we will continue dealing. Today we are just introducing, this is not the final product. This is just being introduced now the negotiations come. Some of the people who have testified today have impressed me. All of you, but some of them have been invited to meet with me and discuss some issues to see how we could work together. And I'm going to be working together. I am very honored that union 32-BJ took upon themselves to send people here today and to testify in favor of my bill. And when a union that fights and protects works comes here and supports my bill, believe me, they know what they're doing and they are doing for the better of the drivers and everybody that works in the industry. So, thank you to 32-BJ for supporting this bill. And thank you for all of you, the ones that support the bill. And the ones that don't support the bill, I will at the end, the bill has to come to a vote, but it's not ready

1	COMMI	ITTEE ON	FOR-HIRE	VEHICLES			173
2				negotiating	and	(cut	
3		9:45)		5			
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date	June 1,	2018	