CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

April 10, 2018 Start: 1:24 p.m. Recess: 3:40 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: ROBERT CORNEGY, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Fernando Cabrera

Margaret S. Chin

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Gjonaj Brad Lander Bill Perkins Carlina Rivera Helen K. Rosenthal Ritchie Torres

Jumaane D. Williams Speaker Corey Johnson

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Leila Bozorg
Deputy Commissioner for Neighborhood
Strategies
New York City Department of Housing,
Preservation and Development

Matthew Murphy
Deputy Commissioner of Policy and Strategy
New York City Department of Housing,
Preservation and Development

Molly Park, Deputy Commissioner for Development New York City Department of Housing, Preservation and Development

Tahica Fredericks
Board Member and Resident Leader
Banana Kelly Community Improvement
Association

Harry DeRienzo
President and CEO
Banana Kelly Community Improvement
Association

Wanda Swinney
Board Member and Council Leader
Banana Kelly Community Improvement
Association

Gregory Jost Director of Organizing Banana Kelly Community Improvement Association

Berica Williams
Community Development Program of the Urban
Justice Center in the Equitable
Neighborhoods Practice

Adrien Weibgen, Attorney Community Development Program of the Urban Justice Center Equitable Neighborhoods Practice

Veronica Cook, Staff Attorney Civil Rights Justice Initiative Legal Services and Member of the LSSA 2320

Marica Diaz, Director Tenants' Rights Coalition Legal Services and Member of the LSSA 2320

Chinera Pierce Policy Coordinator New York Fair Housing Justice Center

Jawke Quomas [phonetic]

Albert Scott
Chairman and CEO
Homeowners' Association in East New York
Affiliated with the Coalition for
Community Advancement for Cypress Hills
East New York

Brother Paul Mohammed, Chair Public Safety Community Board 5 Land Use Committee JOHN BEONDO: This is a microphone check.

3 Today's date is April 10, 2018. Committee Hearing on

4 Housing and Buildings being recorded by John

5 Beondo[phonetic].

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: [gavel] I'm Council Member Robert Cornegy, Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings and I'm joined today by Council Member Cabrera and Council Member Perkins. Council Member Gjonaj was just here as well as Council Member Espinal. On April 11, 1968, seven days after the assassination of Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., President Lyndon Johnson signed into law Title 8 of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, commonly known as the Fair Housing Act. The legislation was cosponsored by then Senators Edward Brook and Walter Mondale and advanced an ambitious and progressive vision to eliminate housing discrimination and residential segregation in this country. envisioned, the Fair Housing Act is an important tool for achieving both justice and equity. In signing the bill, President Johnson proclaimed that long last fair housing for all is now a part of the American way of life. We've come sort of the way, but not near all of it. Today marks the 50th anniversary of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 6
2	expiring affordable housing units to the Council.
3	Briefly and in a few moments, Speaker Corey Johnson
4	will speak on the intro of these bills. I'd like to
5	remind everyone who'd like to testify today to please
6	fill out a card with the sergeant and we'll be
7	sticking to a three minute clock for all public
8	testimony. I will now have the oath administered.
9	COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the
10	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in
11	your testimony before this committee and to respond
12	honestly to Council Member questions?
13	LEILA BOZORG: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Before you can
15	begin your testimony, if you could just identify
16	yourselves for the record.
17	LEILA BOZORD: Leila Bozorg, Deputy
18	Commissioner of Neighborhood Strategies at HPD.
19	MATT MURPHY: Matthew Murphy, Deputy
20	Commissioner of Policy and Strategy at HPD.
21	MOLLY PARK: Molly Park, Deputy
22	Commissioner for Development at HPD.
23	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: You can begin,
24	thank you.

2 MATT MURPHY: Thank you Chair. Good 3 afternoon Chair Cornegy, Speaker Johnson and members of the Housing and Buildings Committee. My name is 4 Matt Murphy and I'm the Deputy Commissioner of Policy 5 and Strategy for the New York City Department of 6 7 Housing, Preservation and Development which I'll refer to as HPD. I'm joined today by Leila Bozorg, 8 Deputy Commissioner for Neighborhood Strategies and 9 Molly Park, Deputy Commissioner for Development. 10 Thank you for the invitation to testify on the topic 11 12 of fair housing and on the three bills presented 13 Introduction 601 which would require the today. submission and reporting on an affordable housing 14 15 plan to the Council, Introduction 607 which would require that the City's affordable housing plan must 16 17 affirmatively further fair housing and Introduction 18 722 which would require HPD to annually report on expiring affordable housing units. As Mayor de 19 20 Blasio shared at his State of the City address earlier this year, we are working to make New York 21 2.2 City the fairest big city in America. Fair housing 23 is critical to this vision. We know that New York City is a City of opportunity but this opportunity is 24 not shared equally by all New Yorkers due to 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 9
2	protected classes like source of income, age, sexual
3	orientation and military status. Every five years
4	the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban
5	Development, HUD, required municipalities in regions
6	under this obligation to conduct and publish an
7	analysis of impediments to access the remaining
8	impediments to fair housing choice. In 2015,
9	President Obama's administration updated guidance or
10	obligations to affirmatively further fair housing
11	known as the AFFH Final Rule. The AFFH Rule
12	addresses a historic absence of regulatory guidance
13	on fair housing by clarifying and strengthening the
14	obligation to affirmatively further fair housing.
15	According to this rule, AFFH means taking meaningful
16	actions in addition to combating discrimination that
17	overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusiv
18	communities free from barriers that restrict access
19	to opportunity based on protective class
20	characteristics. In addition, these new guidelines
21	required jurisdictions receiving federal funds to
22	conduct an expanded evaluation known as the
23	assessment of fair housing or AFH in order to
24	continue to receive federal funding. The 2015 AFFH
25	rule outlines a balanced approach to clarify how

jurisdictions can take meaningful actions to promote fair housing. A balanced approach means that cities pursue what are called mobility and play space strategies. Mobility strategies include increasing the availability of affordable housing including mixed income housing in areas of opportunity such as through targeted siting, new construction, and the removal of existing regulatory barriers. Play space strategies include building rehabilitation as a part of a concerted community revitalization effort, new construction of mixed income housing and coordinated investments in housing, schools, transit, health care and other amenities to increase access to opportunity. On the ground, this balanced approach means creating and preserving affordable housing in areas with good schools, public transportation and access to other community assets and ensuring that neighborhoods long neglected by the private market, such as Brownsville or Far Rockaway, get the public investments and opportunities they need to thrive. Under Housing New York, the City is committed to pursuing both of these strategies to expand housing choice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 LEILA BOZORG: So, earlier this year HUD, 3 under the current President Trump, delayed implementation of the required assessment of fair 4 housing. The due date was pushed back five years for 5 most jurisdictions meaning that New York City's 6 assessment of fair housing which was previously due 7 in 2019 would now not be required until 2024 and our 8 2019 analysis would revert back to the suggestions 9 HUD made guidance in 1996. That's the analysis of 10 impediments. We believe that delaying the 11 12 implementation of AFFH undermines an important tool 13 to keep cities accountable to addressing decades of 14 discrimination so regardless of delays at the 15 national level, the City of New York remains 16 committed to data driven, collaborative, fair housing planning process and we've formalized this process 17 18 into initiative we're calling Where We Live NYC which will address these same issues and content of the 19 20 assessment of fair housing and culminate in a final public report. Through this process we will also 21 2.2 depend our analysis to focus on fair housing 23 challenges relevant to New York City as a high cost city. As the City of New York, we take seriously our 24 obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

investments. We see this as a unique opportunity for us to zoom out from discussing individual development projects or land use actions with the ultimate purpose of promoting fair housing and equitable access to opportunity for all New Yorkers so HPD will be leading a robust and inclusive engagement process to collect meaningful input from stakeholders including community organizations and neighborhood residents to inform this work. We want to better understand how fair housing issues play out in the lives of New Yorkers with a focus on seeking out populations protected by fair housing law as well as populations, communities and neighborhoods that historically have been left out of government decision making. We've divided our engagement process into three key phases. We'll start with what we call the learned phase which is gonna set the ground work for the Where We Live NYC planning process. It's an opportunity for members of our stakeholder group representing a broad spectrum of experts including community based organizations, research organizations and community development professionals to respond to our initial data, discuss existing conditions and identify and prioritize

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

factors that contribute to fair housing issues in the City today. The learned phase will be taking place this spring and summer. Next, we'll enter into what we're calling the create phase. This is an opportunity for stakeholders to share ideas for policy solutions based on the information we'll be collecting and the contributing factors that were prioritized during the learn phase. The create phase is going to be taking place this fall. In 2019, we'll transition to what we're calling the finalized phase which will be our chance to share how the public input and stakeholder input was used to set policy goals and strategies. It's also an opportunity for the stakeholder group and the public to let us know if we've got it right and to make final suggestions before we submit the report later in 2019.

MATT MURPHY: So, to be clear, the City does not have a predetermined outcome for this process. We'll be working with our partners to examine and understand priority issues and policies and develop goals and strategies to implement moving forward. All of us at HPD look forward to having meaningful and candid conversations with our

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

partners, including all of you throughout this
process and working together to make our City

4 stronger, fairer and more equitable.

Now I'll turn to the legislation beginning with Introduction 607 and I want to thank Council Member Richards, the primary sponsor of this legislation. HPD supports Intro 607. As I have testified to, HPD is obligated to affirmatively further fair housing and this will help hold us to this commitment. The changes under the current presidential administration show that the federal government is likely working to dismantle key provisions of the Fair Housing Act. Therefore, it is critical that cities such as ours uphold our goals and realize our vision and the vision of the civil rights leaders of the 1960's. I want to thank the City Council for stepping up and showing the federal government that New York City will always be a place where housing discrimination is taken seriously and where attempts to combat it and to further fair housing are significant and meaningful.

I will now speak to Introduction 601 which would require the submission of and reporting on an affordable housing plan to the Council. I want

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to thank Speaker Johnson, the primary sponsor of this legislation. HPD supports transparency around our affordable plan Housing New York and we support the intent of this bill to do just that. We are tremendously proud of the work we have done over the past four years to build and preserve more homes with deeper levels of affordability. Every quarter, HPD reports information about our production, both preservation and new construction, to ensure that the public has access to information about the work that We include in this data set information about location, income level, planned tax incentives and number of units among other data points. HPD also puts yearly city wide targets for project starts and completions in the Mayor's management report which reflect trends that we anticipate when it comes to housing production. We look forward to working with the Council on language to ensure that any reporting we do is meaningful, feasible and protects the confidentiality of the vulnerable populations in our support of housing.

Finally, I will turn to Introduction 722 also sponsored by Speaker Johnson, which would require HPD to annually report on affordable housing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

units subject to regulatory agreements or other affordability agreements that are expiring within two and a half years after the audit date. HPD supports the intent of this bill with regards to tracking regulatory agreements with particular attention to those agreements that are nearing the end of their terms of affordability. Throughout HPD's existence there has not been a centralized database to track specific data from regulatory agreements and other documents with affordability requirements such as the year of expiration. All such documents are housed on ACRIS, the Department of Finances database of recorded documents but that portal is limited in terms of search capabilities, sorting and other technological functions. Further, the complex and varied nature of the regulatory agreements reached throughout the past few decades makes standardization an extremely difficult task. For example, you may see a new construction project financed with a 15 year home written agreement, low income housing tax credits which have a 30 year compliance period, two 40 year mortgages, one with HPD and one with the Housing Development Corporation, a 75 year ground lease with the New York City Housing Authority and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

20% of the units as permanently affordable. financing tools rely on different lengths of time by rule on purpose. Given the complexity, the amount of time and staff needed to conduct a review of this type required in the legislation is extensive. For the past few years, HPD has engaged in planning to upgrade many of our data tracking systems including plans to equip our systems with the kind of capabilities envisioned by Introduction 722. particular plan has three prongs, standardization, modernization and recapture. First, I will discuss standardization. HPD has historically used and continues to use a variety of regulatory documents for affordable housing, each of which may take different forms and may overlap in a single project. This makes tracking data points like expiration dates very difficult. While we need a certain degree of flexibility for drafting regulatory documents for each project, HPD is currently reviewing the various documents we use to identify ways to make common data points more standardized. Next, I will discuss modernization, regulatory agreements tough several divisions with HPD including development, legal, construction monitoring, marketing and asset

conduct active outreach to older projects, work with
those in need of financial assistance and engage in
other aspects of strategic preservation that help us
keep as much housing affordable as possible. HPD

portfolio to preserve their affordability for the

long term. Beyond initial terms of affordability, we

would like to work with the Council to ensure that we

19

20

2.2

are preserving affordable housing in a manner that does not encourage speculation. As you can see, HPD has invested significant time and resources over the past couple of years to address the historic problems with tracking regulatory information. We are committed to standardizing and modernizing our system for the future while ensuring that we capture the information that may have been overlooked in the past. We look forward to working with you towards expanding on our plan to take HPD's regulatory tracking system into the 21st century. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify on these bills. I look forward to answering any questions with my colleagues you have at this time.

testimony. We've been joined by Council Members
Chin, Rivera, Lander and Council Member Williams.

I'm going to begin by framing dialogue that we've had
with your office around attempting to compile a
robust look at all the affordable housing units in
the City of New York. I'm attempting to do that in
my district and I think most districts around would
love to have that data as an assessment tool so can
you provide the Council a breakdown on how many new

7 way HPD intends to implement programs and work
8 collaboratively with the City Council, we're in for a

9 pleasant time.

2.2

MOLLY PARK: Thank you Council Member.

We have to date financed 87,557 units under Housing

New York. We consider Housing New York

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'm sorry, can you just say the number again.

MOLLY PARK: Sure, 87,557 units. Of those units, 28,492 are new construction and 59,065 are preservation. That is Housing New York in its total. 2.0 reflects some updated programs but we considered it to be all one plan.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you so in that particular portfolio, can you cite examples of affordable housing built in the last five years that are affordable to extremely low. I don't know if you have this breakdown but, extremely low, very low or low income households built in transit rich

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

neighborhoods and I think we all know what that's

called for, transit rich opportunities, transit rich

neighborhoods of opportunity.

MOLLY Park: Absolutely, one project that I'd like to call out in particular is a new construction project that we financed last year on the grounds of the NICHA Fulton Houses. It's about 160 units. Twenty percent of those will be for very low income households, another 30% of those will be for low income households. It's a building, it's a block in change from the high line. It's a fantastic location. Another project that I would point out is The Gilbert. It's on 1st Avenue just a couple of blocks from the start of the 2nd Avenue subway. It's got 16 extremely low income units, 38 very low income units and 49 low income units. We have many other projects that we have started the leverage inclusionary housing and 421A to be able to do low income units in very high income neighborhoods, 625 W. 57th Street for example, has a 142 low income units. The Essex Crossings sites that we have financed over the last few years have low income and very low income units as well. I could keep going but I think you get the idea.

2.2

forward.

portfolio.

have that kind of framework in context, I know in my district and in a lot of districts across the City, there is a call for this wide range of affordability which actually includes Pathways to Home Ownership and we've had this conversation ongoing with your office. If you could cite for me as well one or two of the programs centered around Pathways to Home Ownership through HPD and if you could cite the

amount of units that are earmarked for purchase or

for affordable home ownership that you have in your

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I do and since we

MOLLY PARK: Sure, 10% of the Housing New York plan is targeted to homeowners. I will double check on the exact number that we have started thus far. To date, the majority of the home ownership units have been in the preservation programs but I am extremely excited to announce that we just last month launched the open door program which is new construction for, of co-op and condos. We closed the first project last month. We have a robust pipeline going forward and I think we will be expanding the universe of home ownership opportunities going

Member Lander had a question.

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you 3 Mr. Chair. Thank you for convening this hearing and taking up this really important issue. Obviously, 4 you know, here we are one day before the 50th 5 anniversary of the Fair Housing Act which was passed 6 7 just seven days after Dr. King was killed as a living part of his legacy and I appreciate the attention 8 you're bringing this and I appreciate the attention 9 that the HPD team is bringing and this is a great 10 team so I really am enthusiastic about all three of 11 12 your work and about Commissioner Torres Springer. 13 quess I do want to start with a, with a more 14 troubling note which is, you know, like all of our 15 responsibility and not the folks in this room that we don't approach the 50th anniversary in a good shape 16 17 on segregation and integration in New York City. You 18 know, the more common measure, this dissimilarity index says that 82% of New Yorkers would have to move 19 20 to have an integrated City where most cities have actually from 1980 to 2010 made meaningful progress 21 2.2 and the average American dissimilarity index fell 23 from 73.1% to 59.4% from 1980 to 2010. Ours has stayed stuck where it is so I think we need to like, 24

you know, step into this conversation understanding

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

though we are one of the most diverse big cities in America, we are also one of the most segregated big cities in America and the consequences of that are not trivial or residual or historic. They are as you know and as people in this room know and many people better than me, you know, the consequence of segregation in our neighborhoods and in our schools is blocked upward social mobility and neighborhoods like mine, like Park Slope, that are whiter and wealthier, have great public schools, have great transit, have the lovely parks, have good services and unfortunately in so many neighborhoods of color and low income neighborhoods, those things aren't there and we reproduce in each generation the consequences of inequality and like, it's not easy for white New Yorkers to accept that segregation is a form of hording privilege but that is the reality in the City so I'm glad that we're here today talking about the need to have a, that we're a united Council and HPD and the administration on the fact that we have to carry forward in this planning process but I don't want to pat ourselves on the back too much. We are starting from a place that is really like a fundamental violation of Dr. King's core dream and we

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

2 keep reproducing it all the time and that's not narrowly on the people at this table or, we all are 3 doing it in our individual choices and now it's doing 4 this for myself in where I live and where my kids go 5 to school and you know, in our public policies. 6 7 There's this great book by Richard Rothstein and I urge people to read it, The Color of Law, which just 8 demonstrates our, we made public policy choices in 9 housing, in education, in transportation, in 10 infrastructure that produced a segregated country and 11 12 a segregated city and if we want to go the other 13 direction, we're going to have to make hard public 14 policy choices to go the other ways so this process 15 is an opportunity and I'm wholeheartedly supporting 16 each of these bills. I've got today a report that some of you are partners on, including you, 17 18 Mr. Chair, that outlines 12 steps that if we could get past denial might help us move toward a 19 desegregated City and I guess I just want to, I know 20 the planning process. You want to have a real 21 2.2 planning process so you don't start with ideas but I 23 will just mention a couple of the things that we recommend and step 1 is having this process so it's a 24 good start but I guess I just offer three things. 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

You know, we offer the idea of making sure that as we're doing inclusionary neighborhood rezonings, we're not only rezoning low income neighborhoods like East New York or East Harlem or the South Bronx but whiter and wealthier neighborhoods. If inclusionary is gonna be a tool for integration, it has to be in higher income neighborhoods. We recommend fighting discrimination in the co-op marketplace. This really addresses the issue of home ownership and wealth building where we aren't currently able to do testing and we need some new legislation and the third broad idea is just to make sure that it's not only about housing policy. You mention in your testimony the connections to education and health and transportation, but there are so many things we need to do in education and health and transportation policy and I hope this will be an opportunity that the plan, a) it won't just be a plan, it will really be an agenda of action, and b) that it will connect to all those other systems where we're also gonna need to make change if we can move forward.

[applause]

MATT MURPHY: Thank you Councilman and thank you for putting together that report. I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

it, it lays out the issues quite well and I encourage everyone to take a look and the suggestions that are laid out are also things that we kind of expect to be surfaced through the process. Not many people realize that the rules changed recently and the 2015 rule change I referred to under President Obama was significant and progressive and so the concern is that the promises of fair housing or the expectations of fair housing don't actually get realized because it's one step forward, one step back and we don't want to be in that position. What we want to do is take advantage of this moment, take advantage of the 2015 rule change, build on it and the process that Commissioner Bozorg already laid out in terms of where we live, we feel like is a very good opportunity to take that step so the suggestions in your report are exactly the kinds of things we expect to come out and I'll make clear as well that what we, as Councilman Lander pointed out, that one of the values of the rule change was that there's more concrete goals and strategies than there were, than HUD asked of before and I think that's of tremendous value because it actually shows that while we have a complicated starting place that over the short,

2 medium, and long term, we're understanding the issue,
3 understanding what's contributing to the issue and

4 building that into the process and then working to

chip away at it by taking meaningful actions. Thank

6 you.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Mister, I have a follow-up question but I'm happy to wait until the end of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you I was just gonna suggest that you leave it to the second round and the next person up is my predecessor,

Council Member Williams. I'm sorry, so he's deferred to Council Member Chin.

thank you to the panel. In my district of Lower

Manhattan, especially in areas of Battery Park City

and even some in the financial district, there were a

small amount of affordable housing that was built but

I'm not sure the City is tracking it because

constituents now are coming to my office and said now

they're getting notices from their landlord that they

have to leave within a year, that the apartment is no

longer under a certain program. We had a whole

series of those, what was 421G and that a lot of people did not know that they were moving into an apartment that had that type of protection until it was too late so right now what we are dealing with it some of these programs might have been 80/20 with 20% were affordable. This was in Battery Park City and now the 20 years are up and then we also have landlords who are trying to keep the affordability telling the resident but then now they're gonna charge, they're gonna do preferential rent so what we have the situation is that residents who helped build up the neighborhood in the early days are now being forced to leave and some of these are not low income housing, right. They're actually either middle or moderate income housing and so how do the City, what can HPD do to make sure that resident who help build up these neighborhood who actually lived through 911 but remain there and fight and want to stay and now some of them are seniors and they're forced to leave so in terms of preserving the affordable housing and making sure that the neighborhood keep that diversity so what is, what is HPD doing in terms of some of that type of housing?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2 MOLLY PARK: Sure, let me start by saying 3 we are deeply committed to affordable housing preservation. I mentioned earlier that about 59,000 4 5 of our Housing New York starts to date our preservation and of that two-thirds or about 40,000 6 7 units are units and buildings that had previously existing HPD or other regulatory agreements so 8 maintaining the stock of affordable housing that we 9 already have is critically important to the success 10 of Housing New York and to protecting the tenants 11 12 that you are talking about. I want to pause for a 13 minute on 421G. That was a very specific and very 14 narrow program as written by the State government so 15 I think maybe we can circle back offline on that one. 16 More generally, we do do a lot of outreach to owners. I think the fact that property tax exemptions by 17 18 definition expire is actually a very valuable tool It is challenging for owners to continue 19 20 operating when they do have to pay full property taxes so that is a critical leverage point that we 21 2.2 use to engage with owners. We do proactive outreach 23 based on when exemptions are going to be expiring. We do proactive outreach based on geography. We, but 24 I also want to mention, you mentioned that people 25

2.2

were getting notices saying that they had to leave, everything that is going through HPD financing programs, and again I'm going to set 421G aside just because I'm not familiar enough with the specifics of that particular program to talk on the record today but everything that we do through our normal financing programs is rent regulated which provides an added layer of assistance. I think the track record that I mentioned indicates that we do a very

housing programs but even if a building does exit, the existing tenants should be protected so it does sound like there is some potential tenant harassment

issues that we could follow up with you on.

good job of keeping programs in official affordable

that. We, against, we have reached out to HPD but we want to follow up making sure that a lot of these residents will be able to continue to stay in the neighborhood that they helped to build and we don't want the property owner, the landlord to use it as a harassment tool to try to get rid of these long-term tenants and to be able to charge market rent and the whole issue of preferential rent. I think our Chair has a, is very, a lot of interest on that that we

families can continue to stay there.

2.2

have to figure out how do we protect tenants and not allow, you know, because the preferential rent is set up is something that we need the State to help change that but we definitely could discuss more off-line because I want to make sure that the affordable units in neighborhoods where there are high income and mixed income, we want to make sure that the working

MOLLY PARK: Agreed, we'll follow up with you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you Council Member, Council Member Williams.

Mr. Chair. Thank you for your testimony. Obviously, it was a very disgusted connection between Dr. King and the past legislation. Very often we talk about the flowery language that Dr. King used and I just wanted to read some additional cause of people that I've known that were after his depression and close to when he lost his life. There's a great article about it in last weeks' Time Magazine. He said, "we must see that the struggle today is much more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

difficult. It's more difficult today because we're struggling now for genuine equality. Negroes generally live in worse slums today than 20 or 25 years ago. In the North, schools are more segregated today than they were in 1954. The unemployment rate among whites at one time was about the same as the unemployment rate among Negroes but today the unemployment rate among Negroes is twice that of whites and the average income of the Negro today is 50% less than whites." And some of those things we don't know if we'd be reading in the 60's or in 2018. Also he talked about "Negroes having preceded from a premise that equality means what it says and they have taken white Americans at their word when they talked of it as an objective but most whites in America proceed from a premise that equality is a loose expression for improvement. White America is not even psychologically organized to close the gap. Essentially, it seeks only to make it less painful and less obvious but in most respects to retain it." I think those are powerful words because it describes the difficulties that we have in all of these conversations. It's easy to talk about it in theory but we need to get down to the heart and make the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

changes. I'm not even sure if it's just white I think people in general are, are, I don't America. know if psychologically have grasped what that means in the difficulty at hand of these tasks. With that said, we have the responsibility to move the ball forward and so I just want to say I'm excited that these pieces of legislation are before us and I just want to thank the Chair and the sponsors for that and thank you for testifying. They're all important. did want to focus a little bit on Intro #722. I didn't read the testimony so I apologize. That one was important to me as a tenant organizer way back before anybody knew what it was, before our President Obama and my mother was very worried I would never be able to pay the bills. We had, we ran from building to building as we found out that the buildings were up with their Section 8 Mitchell-Lama and that was a very haphazard way of doing it and so I really want to drill in to figure out what the issues you have in the bill because I think that information would be very useful to organizers who want to have a concrete way of addressing the buildings before it's too late. We're never gonna build our way out of the problem so we have to do whatever we can to restore what we have

2 and I also want to see, the second question, if

3 you've been tracking, I'm sure you have, the

4 disbursement of affordable units in the housing plan

5 and if you've seen them at all concentrated in

6 particular neighborhoods?

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MOLLY PARK: Sure, let me start with Intro 722 which as my colleague mentioned in the testimony, we absolutely support the intent of Intro 722. Operationally, there's some, we do have some concerns and we would very much welcome the opportunity to work more closely with the Council. Just to elaborate a little bit on that, our regulatory agreements tend to be very complicated and layered. You might have a mortgage period that goes for 30 or 40 years. You have a low income housing tax credit that runs for another. You have a project based rental subsidy contract that has yet another period on it, maybe there's a ground lease, maybe there's some permanently affordable units so to say the expiration date is X is actually a particularly, it quite challenging and that's on a new construction project. When you then start layering it on preservation where you have some existing requirements and then you're adding on top of that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

new requirements, it is not a straightforward thing to do so I think again operationally we support the intention of the bill but I think actually translating it into operational reality is very challenging. I also think there's some concerns for tenants as well when we talk about reporting because as written right now, the bill would require that we report each of those various milestones even as actually the layered combination of them means that there is no risk for the tenant at that particular point in time so I think there's some risk that would actually cause significant anxiety for somebody who's living in a building that appears to have an expiration date coming up if they don't then understand that there's something else underlying it that puts much longer affordability period on top of that but as I say, we would very much welcome the opportunity to work with the Council on that moving forward.

MATT MURPHY: Go ahead, I'll let you add.

On the note of the concentration of developments

spatially, we do report our housing data production

and what you see is, you see a lot of affordable

housing across the City but what you also see is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there, and what we expect this to be surfaced through the Where We Live process is there are some barriers to affordable housing development and these will be what we kind of drawn out through the process and identify as contributing factors, they'll be referred I want to note that a majority of our work is preservation so one of the things that, for example, the 2015 update. While it helped, it also, it also didn't talk too much or give very specific guidance on displacement and how that is a fair housing issue and we really want to work together to clarify to the federal government, that is an issue for high cost cities and we've been in contact with other ones so in the context of, you know, is our housing investment spatially distributed or evenly distributed or are they geographic specific, you will see preservation investments in areas where there were affordable housing investments 20 or 30 years ago because, you know, a lot of HPD's work was about building up those communities so that is kind of the, when you see that as well, when you see those clusters, you also have to keep in mind that we're not, we're looking to keep people in those neighborhoods because as those neighborhoods grow,

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

40

2 it's an opportunity for integration in the moment as
3 well.

MOLLY PARK: If I could just chime in as well, there's a map on our website of all of our Housing New York starts so that is a great way to see the spatial distribution.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr. Chair, if I could close by saying, you know, obviously at a time where someone like Dr. Ben Carson who I always say is not just in the sunken place. He helped develop it and he's trying to take away even the need to have to have fair housing plan, it's really up to us, think about fair housing and segregation, desegregation, it's up to us to really move the ball and I would like to at some point have the Committee follow up on this. I understand what you're saying about preservation. I was specifically asking about the units being built. As you know, particularly MIH which I hope this body reviews but I am happy that the administration is now trying to make up for I think time lost but in some of those units that are being built, they are clustered and not helping desegregate the City so I'm hoping we

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

could follow up with some of those questions. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you Council Member. We are on our second round of questions starting with Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, so this builds on the answer that you gave Council Member Williams so I think goes to some of the same questions. One of the challenges we're gonna face in the Where We Live process and the FFH process is that the traditional HUD enforcement tools around fair housing were, from my point of view, sort of developed in a different time when what we were facing was abandonment and there was a concern, understandable and important to pay attention to, that the investment of certain kinds of federal resources would further segregation through affordable housing investments and look, let's call it what it is. We did some of that in New York City and not for bad reasons. Neighborhoods were abandoned. We wanted to bring them back. wasn't a housing market. We invested to build affordable housing in those neighborhood. It was a strategy I'm proud to have taken part in and it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

augmented segregation. That is a consequence of that strategy that we took for good reasons and not for bad ones but now we're in this quite different situation where displacement is a real fear and anxiety as you mentioned where the challenge of getting more affordable housing units in high cost neighborhoods is extremely challenging so we need a new set of tools because and there are, you know, so some of the old tools it seems to me are, are, are not the right ones so, you know, HUD's trying to end our community preference program doesn't help us keep people in neighborhoods and generate new affordable housing, small area FMR's we all had to fight because we didn't it to be impossible for people in the Bronx to be able to use their Section 8 vouchers so, yes, we'd like to be able to pay more in high cost neighborhoods but not if that means you can only pay less in people who had their vouchers in the Bronx can't find a place at all and focusing on the low income tax credit portfolio and saying you can't use that in neighborhoods where you want to build the most deeply affordable units as Council Member Williams talked about. Like those are the traditional HUD enforcement strategies and we need

2.2

new tools if we're gonna enable people to stay in their neighborhoods as their neighborhoods grow and change so that they can benefit from that and even stronger new tools to find ways to make inclusionary work at scale in high cost neighborhoods so I just wonder like we can't just do a planning process that kind of takes existing fair housing tools. We got to think about and look at those but I guess I'm just wondering what thought you've given to do you agree with me that there's some mismatch and if so, even at the beginning of the process, what can we do to make sure the process we come out with achieves the goals of fair housing and integration in a very different New York City?

think, we absolutely see and understand how some of the existing tools have had some unintended consequences even though we all are proud of the housing work that we've done in the City especially relative to nationally when you look at how much affordable housing municipalities have been able to build. New York's been able to do quite a bit. I think for us, it's really in this process looking about how we're going to be able to enable this

MOLLY PARK: And just to chime in on a couple of the strategies that we do have now, I don't want to presuppose what will come out of the planning process but, on the voluntary inclusionary front which we spent a lot of time here talking about MIH,

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

but voluntary inclusionary is really important as In 2017, the 18 tallest buildings that completed construction so 18 buildings had close to 13,000 of affordable housing in them, right, and those are really by definition in the highest market neighborhoods so, you know, that is a piece of the puzzle. It is a tool that we have to work with and we continue to look at DIH to make sure that it is effective. On kind of the opposite end of the housing spectrum, I am really thrilled about the neighborhood pillars program that we are launching right now. This is designed to bring the existing rent stabilized but not kind of officially affordable housing stock under regulatory agreement. We issued an RFQ last week for preservation purchasers, for non-profits and other mission based developers to participate in that program. We'll be issuing a term sheet soon and I think it's a really exciting antidisplacement strategy so we do continue to look at our tools.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much so you said just to go back, neighborhood pillars in

directly in your testimony so you said you are

identifying ways to make common data points more

standardized and you said you are working to secure

23

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 funding to integrate the department's respective data

3 systems so what is that process like? What is the

4 | timeline because I know that your hesitation in

5 fulfilling Introduction 722 is to quote "a difficult

6 task" so what are you doing to get to as close as

7 what that Intro would require?

MATT MURPHY: Thank you, it is a difficult task and because of the complexity of the information and also just the scale of the work. we mentioned in testimony, we did start this and I'd be remiss not to point out as well. There have been some times over the last 10 years that I know of that where this has been attempted, the private market and Furman Center because I was a research assistant there at the time, was working with HUD and HPD and HCR through a McArthur grant to try to document this information and despite a lot of resources there and despite a private grant, the, there, when it came to the let's look at every regulatory agreement and document the information, it became such a difficult task that it was somewhat limited. It limited the scope of their ability to get that information out so what we really want to be doing here is not to do a We want to be understanding how all of our patch.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

data systems work together and we have a really fantastic data team that is thinking this through, building on the work that our asset manager and group has started because for us it's not about saying okay, let's just get through the next year and get all that information documented and out. It's about actually building on this so the next generation can also use this. We here at the table are kind of standing on shoulders and the people that did the work in the 80's and 90's were working really hard to put things in the regulatory agreement that, you know, are kind of hooks and tools today so as Commissioner Park pointed out, you know, that in some sense requires people to come back but it also is for us, you know, requires a digitization of information from the 80's and 90's and 2000's which is just a manual task that requires data entry and requires the process to be solved going forward so for us, it's about looking at how all of our data systems we use, you know, I like to think of it on levels. You know, we use household level information, there's tenant information which includes multiple family members, unit information which includes things like rent and bedroom size and square footage, building information

like number of stories, and then the development
information. Sometimes there are multiple buildings
in the development and then on top of that you have
tax slots and sometimes there are multiple
developments on tax slots and so, it's all, it's all
to say like we absolutely support that this
information makes us more competitive. It makes us
more, find more preservation opportunities. It's a
matter of doing this right and taking the time in
order to do so, so a long winded way of answering
your question to say, we're in the midst of
developing. What exactly that plan looks like right
now, it will require skilled labor. It will require
people that know how to read, you know, these
regulatory agreements and look for specific
information and deeds and zoning requirements and
things like that so we're in the midst of that and
we'll, you know, report back when we have exact, a
more clarified plan about, and what resources exactly
are required.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, and my second

question is, in terms of the significant resources

that you mentioned, obviously just keep us in mind

because we want you to be able to do your job that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

enables us to be better to our constituents. mentioned it is hard to track and it would be difficult to almost create this transparent system because you have real fears and speculation and I totally understand that because we're from, you know, Council Member Chin and I are from areas of Manhattan that are incredibly desirable and have been for decades and so on terms of tracking and not really being able to give us a full number, do you have any idea in terms of how many have expired? example, a breakdown of units that have been lost due to expiring subsidies and I know that everything is different and you gave us a very good breakdown of bullet points as to how nuance the property can be, but do you know how many we have lost just straight out of the affordable housing market and I guess that would also include Mitchell-Lama units in terms of how they've privatize and we've lost those.

MOLLY PARK: We can, I don't have the Mitchell-Lama number with me right now. We can certainly get back to you on that. The short answer is because of the way that regulatory agreements have been tracked or not tracked in the past, I can't give you a straight answer on that but I do want to point

2.2

to 100%.

again to our track record on preservation. Within the 59,000 units that we have preserved under Housing New York, two-thirds of those had its previous regulatory agreements. We do a lot of outreach. We really structure the deal so that they do come back. We do work in a public/private partnership. At the end of the day, we have to make it appealing for people to come back in either that the incentives of not doing, the consequences of not doing so are so negative and we do set-ups of the stick approach or that there is positive approach, positive reasons for doing it. We set that up as well but it is fundamentally a market driven system and we can't get

know, just in my district there are many HDFCs and we're also going through a regulatory agreement battle and I know those would count towards the administration's preservation goals so I'm looking forward to working with you on that as well. Thank you, thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So before we go to Council Member Chin, I just had a question. So in my district, we've lost, on the lines of preservation,

we've lost some units based on subsidies expiring and I've been caught kind of going in after it's already expired to try to renegotiate with the landlord which is laughable obviously at this point. Can you provide the Council a breakdown on the amount of units that have been lost to expiring subsidies in the three categories that I mentioned earlier which is extremely low income, low income and moderate income units? I know that's a lot but it's important that we begin to look at it. Like I told you, I'm from, from experience I'm speaking where as an advocate for my community I tried to go in two years after something at sunset.

MOLLY PARK: Understood, unfortunately given the systems that we have in place right now, I cannot give you exactly that answer. We have a very strong preservation track record. We know we have gotten to a very number, been able to preserve a very large number of units. We remain committed to that and we remain committed to structuring our deals such that preservation happens as frequently as possible but I can't give you the breakdown that you're asking for, sorry.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, that concerns me. I really need to know that a methodology is in place to catch these before they're sun setting and, if it's not happening now, what can we do as a Council to support an effort to make sure that that particular incidence doesn't occur again?

MOLLY PARK: So let me talk a little bit about the tools that we have to create strong incentives for buildings to come back in because we absolutely start thinking about preservation from day one before we ever even close a deal. When we put a regulatory agreement on a project, that regulatory agreement is a recorded document meaning that whenever there's a financial transaction, a sale, a refinance or anything like that that the owner, that the regulatory agreement comes up in the title search and the owner and the financial institution have to deal with HPD. We structure all of our agreements with consent to transfer, consent to refinance and even if the owner is looking to do something nefarious, the financial institution knows that they need to come deal with us because their collateral is impacted if they don't do that so that is something that we do again from day one. We also structure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

most of our loans, virtually all of our loans, as balloon mortgages meaning that the, rather than amortize over the life of the mortgage that they all accrue and come due payable at the end so at the end of a regulatory period, at the end of the mortgage period, that owner typically owes something like close to twice what they borrowed. The reason we do that is that that significant financial liability becomes a strong hook to come back in and deal with HPD. There's those are sort of two very key structural aspects of our deals that we put in place. I mentioned earlier that property tax exemptions expire. That's actually a useful preservation tool because that is a very immediate financial reality that will very often bring owners back into dealing with HPD so we structure projects such that there are both carets and sticks for reasons to come and deal with us, reasons that owners should want to but also financial consequences for not doing that but at the end of the day, it is fundamentally a voluntary system and if somebody opts not to do that, the coops were mentioned earlier and there are certainly situations where markets have changed such that homeowners decide that they want to take advantage of

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the wealth building aspect of it as opposed to the ongoing affordability, that is the system that we

4 live in and we cannot preserve 100%.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So I really appreciate that answer but it's actually a answer to another question. What I'm trying to get to is a mechanism in place that alerts us and I'm gonna say us cause I'm counting that, you know, we're in this together, that alerts prior to. What you've mentioned are systems in place when you're at the end of the sunset so for me we do a tax lien sale abatement in my district and we have a 30/60/90 day way of addressing people who may be on the list. like to see prior to the sunset, a negotiation process with developers and/or landlords and incentivizing, you know, five years out, three years out, two years out cause what I'm finding is once you get to the end, they've already made all the necessary calculations whether it's a financial loss, whether it's a penalty in taxes and built that in until the sale or transfer of that property. I'd like to have a mechanism in place that alerts us, you know, five years, three years, two years prior to it sun setting so we can begin a process in negotiation

2 for preservation as opposed to waiting to the end.

3 If you, I've seen this a thousand times already. If

4 you wait until the end, they've already done the

5 necessary calculations and an escape methodology that

6 puts at risk those affordable units. We should have

7 that process, you know, at least three times prior to

when we're negotiating with landlords and/or

9 developers.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MOLLY PARK: Understood, we do it quite a bit now on a fairly retail level and we'd be certainly happy to collaborate with you on buildings that are in your district in that building by building system. As we've talked about, we definitely support the intent of the Intro to do something on a more comprehensive basis, I think, and we need to work through the operational concerns. The other thing that I want to add is that I think the multilayered and noncontiquous regulatory periods that we've talked about a bit while cumbersome and sometimes challenging to work with also have an advantage because they do provide that multi, that those trigger points throughout the lifespan of a project so, for example, year fifteen is a critical point in time for a low income housing tax project

- 2 because the tax writer and investor is gonna exit.
- 3 That is a moment where we always engage with that
- 4 property but the affordability actually goes through
- 5 | year 30, right, so we have that interim system built
- 6 in. I know we have done some low income housing tax
- 7 credit preservation projects in your district and
- 8 | that's something that is very important to us so, you
- 9 know, there are tools that we have now. They are not
- 10 as comprehensive as they potentially could be but
- 11 | we're happy to work with you on the buildings that we
- 12 flag through the more retail process.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So I'm looking
- 14 forward to working with you on a process.
- MOLLY PARK: Okay.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: That helps preserve
- 17 | affordability in any way that we possibly can and my
- 18 | questions are not an indictment of what you're doing
- 19 now, but just an effort for us to collaborate on a
- 20 stronger way to hold this affordability not in
- 21 perpetuity but when we can stretch it out. Obviously
- 22 it's important to do that.
- 23 MOLLY PARK: Agreed that it's critical.
- 24 | Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Council Member

3 Chin.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, first of all I do want to thank HPD for working with me and we did quite a large number of preservation especially in a couple of the project based Section 8 and one even exited the program, we were able to get back half the building but I wanted to really look at to see if HPD could work with us on a more proactive approach with private homeowners, private landlords because a lot of the program in terms of, you know, providing the subsidy and regulatory agreement has been used with buildings that are run by non-profits but right now what we're exploring in certain part of my district like in Chinatown, we're looking at a possibility of doing a community land trust because the private property owner are like desperate in a way because they're complaining about the high property tax and they want some relief and so we see this as an opportunity to see if we can offer some property tax relief in exchange for permanent or affordable units for a certain period of time so that's something that I think it will be good for us to sort of explore with private property owner

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

because some of the property owner, they don't want to sell but they tell me like every day they getting calls, you know, from realtor wanting to buy their building but they don't want to sell but then the property tax keeps going up and they cannot afford to maintain their building and then often time another problem that happen is that the small businesses that rents the storefront space, often time ends up with picking up the property tax increase and that makes, you know, it's very hard for small business to be able to stay so we're looking at possibility of some relief whether it's property tax exemption or property tax deferral if an owner is willing to come in and say in exchange for affordable unit and we also have properties in our community where is owned by an organization, a family association. never gonna sell the building but their property tax keeps going up and they want some tax relief so we see that as an opportunity to maybe do some kind of a community land trust, kind of bring them all together or, you know, work with them individually.

MOLLY PARK: Right, we absolutely agree with you. We do property tax benefits in exchange for affordability on a regular basis. We typically

advantage of the vacant lots New York City has? Why
aren't we developing those properties that are owned

4 by New York City?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LELIA BOZORG: I'd like to note that we actually have a very robust pipeline of City owned sites that we are working to develop. We've already put out requests for proposals for close to 60 projects on publicly owned sites which is a significant increase from past administrations. also developed a new program at the beginning of the administration to try to develop really small, difficult to develop sites. We call that they New and Full Home Ownership Program and the New Construction Program which is designed to develop some of those smaller sites that traditionally have been harder to develop so there aren't a lot of large good to develop sites left in our inventory. There are some very small sites that are challenging to develop that are left in our inventory. We also partner with other agencies to look at things that are in their portfolio and build those into our pipeline as well. Finally, I'll note that the pace at which we're able to develop public sites also depends on the availability of financing and our

2.2

housing plan depends on both public and private sites and we really look to leverage private resources and private land as well to be meeting our housing goals so we have a very robust pipeline of public sites.

We plan to continue that. We also, I should note, some of the public sites that are in our inventory are in resiliency areas that we're still evaluating whether it's possible to build there or whether it's just too risky or too vulnerable environmentally to do so, so for the most part we've been either developing or in the process of developing the sites that are in our pipeline.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I applaud you for having 60 projects in the pipeline but affordable housing is a real crisis and years later if we're still evaluating what can be done with property that has been vacant for decades, I think we're not doing all that we can do. While we discuss preservation of affordable housing, what are your thoughts on programs that assure us housing remains affordable such as SCRIE and DRIE?

MOLLY PARK: Sure, I think those are really critical pieces of the housing toolbox. I think they and they're particularly useful for

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Right, but a useful program to preserving these affordable housing for so many New Yorkers.

think, I'm very glad we have them in the toolbox but

I think they are a piece of the answer and not the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

answer as a whole.

MOLLY PARK: Without a doubt.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Would you be supportive of expanding those programs?

MATT MURPHY: So, we're supportive of finding all tools that we can use to keep people in their homes and keep people in their homes or give them the choice to stay in their homes without having to face rapid rent increases. SCRIE and DRIE operate within the rent stabilized stock and we've talked a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

little bit about rent stabilization but mostly been focusing on the HPD regulatory agreement part. and DRIE do come at a cost to the City. They, the City expends tax revenue or it's a tax expenditure in order to subsidize these special populations and give them the choice as senior citizens and people with disabilities so SCRIE and DRIE are targeted programs to these special populations which also does touch on the fair housing conversation we've been having which is around looking at people with protected class status and doing more to help them stay in their homes so yeah, we support all the tools but, you know, we have to understand that they also operate within larger systems like the rent stabilization system which helps keep New York City diverse

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: But I agree with you, doing all that we can is pertinent here and the expansion that I'm referring to, not only protecting New Yorkers, our most vulnerable, our seniors and those that are disabled but an expansion through the SCRIE program using the same criteria, families earning under \$50,000 a year and making sure that they will not be subject to future rent increases is a way to make certain our affordable housing stock

2 remains affordable to those families and the tax

3 burden, as you put it, on the City would be at a

4 minimum compared to the investment that we're making

5 on preserving. These are units that already exist.

6 These programs would further help and assist those

7 families, the most vulnerable that we have, and that

8 | is families that earn less than \$50,000 a year so I

9 | would hope that we can talk a little bit more about

10 | this and understand the importance of expanding such

11 programs.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

MATT MURPHY: I believe we have a meeting scheduled with you on April 23 to discuss it and, you know, I think when we testified here last month on the rent regulation renewal, it, you know, this particular proposal had come up and so we're following up to discuss. Just to reiterate that, you know, rent regulation as a whole is obviously critical to our entire City's diversity and we view it as giving the choice for people to stay in their neighborhood and there's not really a substitute for that so, you know, we look forward to the conversation. We expect a lot of proposals to be discussed around rent regulation but it's important

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

that we're balancing the fiscal concerns with the
policy goals.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you.

MATT MURPHY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you Council
Member Gjonaj. On behalf of City Council Speaker
Corey Johnson who is unable to be here right now,
there are a couple of questions that were germane to
him and I'm gonna ask those on his behalf so how does
the City define an affordable housing unit that was
created in an affordable housing unit that was
preserved in Housing New York plan?

MOLLY PARK: Sure, so preserved means very bluntly that the building and the unit already exists and we are extending the affordability or adding affordability. In some cases there are no requirements at all. It can be, preservation unit can be anything from, as we talked about, putting a tax exemption on in exchange for affordability all the way through gut rehab so it doesn't necessarily involve construction but it does involve adding affordability, that is the majority involve construction but certainly not all. New construction

2.2

2 is exactly that. It is creating a building that 3 didn't previously exist before.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And is this method consistent with previous housing plans that were released by other mayoral administrations?

MOLLY PARK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, the Housing New York plan will now run to 2026 instead of 2024. That means that the housing plan will end four years after Mayor de Blasio leaves office. What's the reasoning to extend the period of the housing plan?

MOLLY PARK: It is, producing the affordable housing is, there's a lot to be said for the momentum behind it. We are a big industry. We are the people at HPD and HDC but we are also the developers for profit, non-profit. We are the lenders, the tax credit investors and creating that momentum and investing the money in the budget, the money is in the budget and that, it is, once the machine is moving it is harder to slow it down so by, by setting the standard, by putting out that we will do 25,000 units of affordable housing every year and

2.2

2.2

2 ramping that machine up to produce that, it has a goverful momentum behind it.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So obviously for some of us that creates a little bit of a concern because pushing things out into the years that another administration is due to take over, we're not certain that they'll be consistent with the plans of the former administration so how does the administration plan to ensure that the goals of Housing New York will continue under a future administration?

MOLLY PARK: Well, I think, first of all as I mentioned, putting money in the budget. I'm borrowing a line from the Mayor here, but it is much harder to take money back once it's been put into the budget and certainly for something that is as critical in need as affordable housing and it has as much support behind it so I think simply budgeting and planning for it is in and of itself a powerful statement. We, at the end of the day there is opportunity to change goals. That happens, sometimes goals change even within an administration if, for example, there's a major change in the economy, we would expect the plan to react to that but I think

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

fall.

the momentum really does matter. I will also say
that we aren't back loading this. This is a plan,
the expansion, the new programs, everything else
starts immediately so we are growing Housing New York
starting from the day that it was announced in the

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, some of my colleagues believe that in a very strong housing market that we should be demanding more from development in terms of affordability and we can get that because the market is so strong. What's the difference between being very aggressive in a strong market in terms of affordable units and demanding that and in a weaker market and how are we differentiating between the two markets for affordable housing?

MOLLY PARK: Sure, so I think we are absolutely drawing on market driven strategies right now. Mandatory inclusionary housing, voluntary inclusionary housing, 421A, these are all places where we are able to get affordable housing without putting in direct capital subsidy because we do have a strong market because there is value in being able to go higher or to be able to get some temporary tax,

is providing down payment assistance and technical

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 71 2 assistance to non-profits to acquire these buildings, I think could also work very well in a down turn if 3 what you had is instead of properties at risk of 4 5 speculators, properties at risk of disinvestment. 6 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So the last 7 question on behalf of the Speaker, I believe you may have answered it but I think I asked the question 8 more broadly and he's way more concise. Can you walk 9 us through the steps that need to occur before the 10 expiration of regulatory agreement at a development? 11 12 MOLLY PARK: Sure, so if a building is 13 coming, if one of the various regulatory pieces of 14 the financing package or the regulatory agreement in 15 a building is expiring and I saw one of because there 16 are all of these multiple, overlapping, 17 noncontiguous, we will do outreach, owners will come 18 to us. You know, there is very often, we work very closely with our community, non-profit partners. 19 20 They will often identify a building. We flag a building as having this particular date in time, 21 2.2 right so for a long income housing tax credit

project, for example, that is coming up to year 15,

we will reach out to them, we will facilitate the

exit of the investor so there is a legal

23

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

repositioning that happens then. We will also
encourage the owner to do a physical needs assessment
to figure out whether or not there actually is work
required. We will take a look at whether or not the

building has sufficient reserves to do that work.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Wait, wait before you finish answering the question, so you said that you'll encourage. There's no mandate though to do that assessment? You can only encourage?

MOLLY PARK: At the end of the day, we are working in a public/private partnership market driven system. At the point of year 15 where we still have a lot of hooks for the property, the line between encourage and require is a fairly thin one so we're gonna get the physical needs assessment done on that property but at the end of the day, it is the owner of the property who is hiring the contractor to do the physical needs assessment. They're hiring somebody off of a HPD prequalified list but they are the ones actually doing that. Based on an assessment of the physical condition and the financial condition of the building, we may steer it in a variety of different routes. If the building is actually physically distressed, we're gonna try and get it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

into an HPD loan program where we are investing new capital dollars into the physical condition of the building. I do want to stress that, you know, physical distress may or may not be a reflection of the quality of the management. If the building had been, had had a lighter rehab scope 15 years ago, right, if it was a City owned building that had had a fairly light rehab scope 15 years ago, it may need more work now than if it was a new construction building that was coming to year 15 so you need a variety of physical needs. If it, so if there's real need there, we will get it into a rehab program. Ιf there isn't significant physical need, we will reposition the tax credit investor, restructure it. We will add, typically look to add some affordability there by extending the tax benefits by, you know, providing other kinds of financial incentives so that we are pushing out the end of that regulatory agreement. As I say, it is a fairly retail process where we are going building by building and dealing with the individual projects, physical and financial circumstances but we have a very strong track record of success. I've said it several times, but I will say it again that we've done 40,000 units within

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 74 2 Housing New York that are preservation of previously existing regulatory agreement. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. 5 there are no more questions from my colleagues, thank you for your testimony. Look forward to working with 6 7 you. MATT MURPHY: Thank you, thank you for 8 9 your leadership. 10 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: We are going to call the next panel. Thank you to my colleagues who 11 12 are able to stay as well. Wanda Swinney, Tahica 13 Fredericks, Harry DeRienzo and Gregory Jost. 14 [pause] 15 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So I just ask that 16 you indulge me in the idea that chivalry still exists 17 and we let the testimonies begin by the ladies first. 18 GREGORY JOST: They actually, they're our bosses and they decided on the order last night at a 19 20 meeting so they're still in charge. 21 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay, as long as 22 you've worked that out already. 23 [Laughter]

24

25

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: But if you can just 3 for the record identify yourself before giving your 4 testimony.

TAHICA FREDERICKS: My name is Tahica Fredericks and I'm a board member and resident leader in the Bronx.

HARRY DERIENZO: Harry DeRienzo, president and CEO of Banana Kelly Community Improvement Association.

WANDA SWINNEY: Wanda Swinney, board member and Council Leader of Banana Kelly.

I'm the director of organizing at Banana Kelly and I'm gonna start us off and thank you very much for having us here esteemed members of the New York City Council and Committee on Housing and Buildings and Banana Kelly Community Improvement Association is a 40 year old community based organization working on community controlled neighborhood solutions and improvement in the south Bronx and we're all here today just to speak about Intro 607 which we appreciate the spirit of and we just have some concerns about some of the actual specific language in so in addition to my work at Banana Kelly I'm also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a community researcher and scholar who works on the history of redlining and how it's defined the Bronx and many other cities around the country and thinking about how it impacts segregation and how we understand these as issues and so you may be very familiar with this but when the federal government first go intensely involved in the housing market during the new deal, surveyors assigned levels of risk to neighborhoods based primarily on the race and ethnicity of the people who lived there using terms such as "detrimental influences, Negro and Puerto Rican infiltration". Redlining transformed the explicitly racist language of the Jim Crowe era into relentless and pervasive structural racism collapsing race in place in a way that would create the hypersegregated neighborhood that we are still dealing with today. Yet as historian Craig Steven Wilder writes about segregated Brooklyn, "That isolation was only the lubricant for oppression. Racial concentration set the foundation for broader social" domination, excuse me, "Racial concentration set the foundation for a broader social agenda that put the black population at the mercy of their white cocitizens."

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Mr. Jost, what was 3 that author again?

GREGORY JOST: Craig Steven Wilder.

He's, the book is called A Covenant with Color, Race and Social Power in Brooklyn. He's, he also wrote a book that came out last year on Ebony and Ivy, about the history of the ivy-league colleges and how slavery built them.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'm sorry, I see that as footnoted here in your notes.

[Laughter]

GREGORY JOST: Thanks, the little footnotes. So this white domination manifested not only in unequal policing and education but also through serial displacement on unprecedented levels as seen in programs such as Slum Clearance and Urban Renewal in the 50's and 60's followed by benign neglect and planned shrinkage during the 70's and 80's and it was against this back drop of displacement, exploitation, and devastation that historically redlined people, primarily black and Puerto Rican, came together to save their buildings, blocks and neighborhoods through community control, collective ownership, and sweat equity. Residents on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Kelly Street in the Bronx and in neighborhoods just like that all across the City and country have been battling overwhelming forces for decades, building community, restoring social fabric and fighting both disinvestment and displacement. Today in a climate of speculation and gentrification across the City, we fear that the vagueness of the language in Intro 607 specifically requiring that any affordable housing plan developed by the City includes certain types of actions that "address significant disparities and housing needs and an access to opportunity replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity". While we understand this language comes from HUD, we believe that left as is, this bill can readily be interpreted to allow or even encourage integration through gentrification and assumes that communities of color will only become places of opportunity by a significant increase in the presence of white people. We reject this premise as well as the understanding of segregation as the root cause of the disparities you are seeking, or we are all seeking to address.

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So I'm just gonna
3 ask, while I respect and appreciate the preparedness
4 of this panel, I want to make sure everyone gets an
5 opportunity to be heard so if you can be as concise

so thank you.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

as you possible can and I promise to follow up

because this is some great information going forward

GREGORY JOST: Great.

HARRY DERIENZO: Okay, my name is Harry DeRienzo again and I also applaud the council members for taking this issue up but I also urge the council members to take a step back and make sure that whatever is done legislatively is well thought out, inclusive, participatory, comprehensive and not capable of doing more harm that good. Upon passage, the Fair Housing Act was a long overdue and critically important piece of civil rights legislation and in most America and closer to home in the suburban areas surrounding New York City, the legislation is as important today and as relevant today as in 1968 but in certain area, particularly urban, gentrifying areas of the City, the Fair Housing Act has worked and has the potential to continue to work against the very people it was

neighborhoods. This hurts the very people that the

Act was designed to help and promotes intentionally

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2.2

or inadvertently in the disenfranchisement of our most vulnerable citizens, many of whom have worked for the last few decades rebuilding these very same neighborhoods, preserving and rebuilding. At Banana Kelly we have fought for decades to gain community control over both process and resources. Any fair housing plan should include language that ensures that these values of inclusion, choice, particularly the choice of opportunity to retain home and community is maintained. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

TAHICA FREDERICKS: I'm next. Again, my name is Tahica Fredericks. I'm a Board member of Banana Kelly as well as a resident leader in the Bronx. I am originally from Brooklyn, Bedford-Stuyvesant, and my last stop before leaving Brooklyn was in Ft. Green where I raised my children with my husband and what concerns me about this particular legislation for Intro 607 is that the patterns are the same that I experienced in Brooklyn. The instability is just something that I just cannot tolerate. What I'm very, most concerned about is that it leaves working families like my own seeking housing elsewhere once these patterns have started.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Let me just find my place here and once we can't find housing in our neighborhoods, we are left to look elsewhere and if we can't find a place that's affordable, what's left for us is the shelters which is where my family and I ended up and nothing has changed. We continue to work and pay our taxes and we sent our children to college but we had to do it while we were in the shelter. After two years of being in the shelter, we actually found housing with the help of Banana Kelly and one year into our housing I'm beginning to see the same patterns in the Bronx that I saw in Brooklyn so this language in this particular legislation is concerning me so it just looks like there's just being a pretty hat put on gentrification so it's kinda scary so there's the red flags there and whether you to believe it or not, it is impossible to create and build personal wealth without stability. We have to have roots and it's instability that produces and maintains the poverty so it's not integrating the neighborhoods because once it's integrated, then people like myself we can no longer afford our apartments and then we had to leave so without those roots, we can't build our own wealth so this is something that really needs to be

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 considered so there is no way that opportunity can be

3 created by integrating our neighborhoods so let's

4 call this what it is, it's a pretty hat on

5 gentrification and that's all I have to say.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

WANDA SWINNEY: Okay, thank you. is Wanda Swinney and I'm a Board member and resident council leader of Banana Kelly. We have been working for decades to collectively own our own, own and control not only the buildings but the land also. have fought too long and hard for our neighborhoods to not be at the table when their future is being decided. Please look to the work we do as, well, I would like you to invest in our work actually to be honest. Mutual housing association and community land trusts as a model for creating opportunity, invest in us and the opportunities we can create for ourselves. I'm tired, I'm tired of what gets pushed upon us black communities, the people with racially demoralizing propagandas trying to subdue us. are no more robotic mindsets here. Stop trying to mislead us to believe. We've become our own worst enemies and therefore we need, we need whites to govern our lives, which is not true.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

psychological welfare designed to make black communities compliant with white, you'll have to excuse me. Just whites has taken over our properties and I have six children. I'm a mother of six I have 16 grandchildren. I have a great children. grand and I mean we deserve our own and just as well as anybody else. I experienced going to the shelter twice in my life, once by me going with my children and then by my daughter going because she has to try to find a way of her own. We have a right to our own property and land also. Okay, I'm not trying to get that far with it but you all have good intentions, we all have good intentions with this bill but it is clear, it isn't clear to us what you are trying to accomplish with it. We ask you to be both explicit and specific in what you want to do and make sure you figure out what, figure out, figure out with us, figure it out with us. Okay, and I just wanted to say one more thing if it's okay. I just want to say, so building on what we already do, we ask that you would invest in us as we continue to move forward with clarity for all.

 $\mbox{CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So first of all, I} \\ \mbox{want to thank you all for your testimony.} \mbox{ A lot of} \\$

2 myself and my colleagues' decisions and legislation

3 is informed by having these robust conversations and

4 I want to personally thank Banana Kelly for work that

5 you do in minority communities to empower. Thank you

6 for your testimony.

TAHICA FREDERICKS: If I may, please, one

8 more thing.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'm only allowing this because you are originally from Bedford-Stuyvesant.

[Laughter]

TAHICA FREDERICKS: Thank you, if I may, when the subject of certain affordability housing programs are allowed in our community, the pacification of just a few of these apartments that are in the new developing buildings in our neighborhoods is not enough. We refer to these, these crumbs as poor doors because we know the people who, you know, get lucky enough to move into these buildings and they end up in the poor doors which is just maybe a certain line of apartments that they would get but they are denied certain services and amenities so this is, you know, it is, we're not, you know, objecting, you know, new development or

2.2

anything like that in our communities but this cannot be considered fair just because it's affordable and they're throwing a few crumbs from their table so it needs to be a little bit more than this. If they're gonna have these buildings in our communities, if you can't do 50/50, do 70/30, 60/40 or whatever but poor doors and columns and then denying us amenities that's not gonna work so I just wanted to put that out there.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Council Member Lander, question?

Mr. Chair and I really appreciate you guys, this panel and your coming in and I guess I just want to ask the question just so I'm sure I understand kind of what the, what to take away. You know, I think one challenge is it's easy for us in the context of thinking about integration to imagine, you know, Ruby Bridges, like one, you know, young African American woman bravely, you know, integrating a white institution. New York City is two thirds people of color so the vision of an integrated New York City is not that. It's got to be something pretty different if we would be real about it, right, so I think this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

issue like the idea of integration in a city, the City we have today is not like you talked about having, well, I guess it's that simple. Like, it would, it would, if it were integrated it would be majority people of color in every neighborhood. that's what integration would look like so I, I just want to understand, like I could imagine, I want to make sure I understand between two things. One of which is be careful, like pay attention to this legislation and make sure that if we're talking about affirmatively furthering fair housing, we're investing in people so they can stay in their neighborhoods, we're strengthen tenant protections, we're creating new opportunities for wealth building to make sure that folks can have a real stake and in that context if we had that confidence, if we saw those policies, yes, we would want not to have such a segregated City. That would be Option 1. Option 2 would be like leaved us alone, we don't want to have this process. You know, we want to kind of be about our business and we're nervous that this is gonna do more harm than good so we'd rather not see it and it's okay if you feel some mix of both but I just want to make sure I understand so as we're trying to

2 move forward here, we can do it mindful of what you think.

meetings for years with our resident leaders and our resident leaders are not against investment. They're not against the diversity of retail. They're not against having economic opportunities that we're there before. They're against these things coming into their neighborhoods at the expense of their being able to stay there and that's the bottom line and if we can't have development without displacement then yes, you're right. It's number 2, we don't want the development.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: We're clear, thank
you. Gonna call the next panel at this time
beginning with Berica [phonetic] Williams, Adrien
Weibgen, Marica Diaz and Veronica Cook and again, I
just ask, before you give testimony if you would just
state your name for the record. We can begin
wherever you'd like to begin. I don't know, I always
feel like Verica, you should be the closer but you
can do whatever you want.

[Laughter]

2.2

2 BERICA [phonetic] WILLIAMS: Hi everyone. 3 Thank you for having me, Chair and for the Committee so I provided my written testimony but also partly 4 5 inspired by that wonderful panel by Banana Kelly I sort of want to focus in on a couple of things so 6 7 first off, we applaud the Council for taking on this issue and really moving forward a conversation around 8 fair housing and likewise applaud the administration 9 for making the decision to go ahead and invest and 10 put resources and time and effort into working on 11 12 fair housing despite what the federal government That being said, I just want to highlight a 13 14 couple of things that both speak to the bills but 15 also the broader issue. One of which is the City's 16 obligation around fair housing extends beyond the 17 Affordable Housing Plan and any affordable housing 18 programs or policies. It covers the entire market, all housing actors, all industries and all policies, 19 20 right, and I think it's important in how we talk about this to not limit any of the legislation or the 21 2.2 way that we think about fair housing strictly to an 23 affordable housing plan. Second, I want to echo some of what the Banana Kelly group said and also push us 24 to think about having a conversation of whether the 25

Adrien Weibgen. I'm an attorney at the Community

25

Development Program of the Urban Justice Center in the Equitable Neighborhoods Practice.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'm sorry, Adrien.

Can you pronounce your last name for me again? I have a feeling that I'm gonna be calling it often.

ADRIEN WEIBGEN: It's pronounced Weibgen or if you want to be fancy, Vivcan. It's German.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: All right, thank

10 you.

2.2

ADRIEN WEIBGEN: So thank you so much for the opportunity to testify and for introducing this important legislation to address the issues that the Fair Housing Act raises. This process in New York is going to require us facing a lot of ugly truths because too many communities, as you know, have weathered and continue to weather significant disparities caused both by private action and by public forms of discrimination and investment and many other ways that communities were created, both good and bad so as James Baldwin teaches us, nothing can be changed until it's faced and CDP and its partners are grateful that both the counsel and the de Blasio administration are facing these difficult issues despite the federal government's disinterest

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in doing so. That being said, we're a little concerned that the scope of the bills is too narrow to address what the Fair Housing Act requires both as Berica said because the housing issues alone require a wide array of strategies and because the Fair Housing Act looks not only at housing but also as HPD testified many other areas that relate to neighborhood inequality and segregation including but not limited poverty in these areas, investment in schools, transportation and job access so these are all things that CDP and its partners are very excited to address as part of the Where We Live NYC process and we hope that after that process concludes, it will be possible for the Council to introduce legislation that full addresses the array of strategies that that process will have produced. It's one that we hope that many of the communities that are most impacted by fair housing issues will have a real opportunity to participate in and there will be a lot of need to address the indicators so I'm gonna run over my time just a little bit to shout out Banana Kelly for raising the particularly difficult issue that gentrification causes within the fair housing context which is one that Norland and

2 other cities had already undertaken. Their

3 | affirmatively fair housing assessments have

4 addressed, gentrification doesn't create a stable

form of integration or benefit the people whose needs

6 the Fair Housing Act was designed to address. That

7 | is why the rule calls for a balanced approach of

8 strategies that both address investment in place and

9 mobility of people and that is something that is

10 going to be extremely for the City to address within

11 | its own fair housing process and one that we hope a

12 revised version of this legislation will track

13 | indicators related to. Thank you.

14

24

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

15 VERONICA COOK: Hi, I'm Veronica Cook and

16 I'm a staff attorney in the Civil Rights Justice

17 | Initiative at Legal Services. I'm here with my

18 colleague Marica Diaz who's the director of our

19 | tenants' rights coalition and I'm also here today as

20 | a member of the LSSA 2320 which is our union that

21 encompasses our staff members, our receptionists, our

22 | paralegals, our attorneys, all of our non-management

23 staff at Legal Services. Thank you for the

opportunity to speak today. We're really grateful

 $25 \parallel$ and really thrilled that you all are introducing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

these bills. We've had the opportunity as well to meet with HPD on a couple of occasions and we're really glad that they're continuing in their plan to conduct a assessment of fair housing despite the absence of an immediate federal mandate to do so and we think that the codification of the affirmatively furthering faith housing rule and the requirement that the City perform or develop a affordable housing plan are excellent first steps in ensuring and fighting for fair and equitable housing opportunity in New York City but they are just first steps. want to echo and build upon comments that you all have made that that my previous, my colleagues here, that Banana Kelly made about acknowledging that affirmatively furthering fair housing is not just about integration and is not just about looking at areas that are predominantly white or higher income as areas of economic opportunity and excluding or not considering the values that are already present in neighborhoods that are lower income or predominantly made up of people of color. There is particular language in the HUD rule that we think could be incorporated into Intro 607 in particular and so just to finish very quickly. There's language in the HUD

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 rule about engaging in activities to remove barriers

3 to the development of affordable housing and areas of

4 high opportunity, targeted investment and

5 neighborhood revitalization and stabilization,

6 promoting greater housing choice and greater access

7 and improving community assets and we think some of

that language could be incorporated into 607 as well.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

MARICA DIAZ: Good afternoon. Marica Diaz from Legal Services, NYC, hi. I direct City wide anti-harassment tenant protection program at Legal Services and we're focused on neighborhoods that are facing rezoning and doing anti-displacement work in those neighborhoods so, you know, through that work we're really seeing firsthand the impacts. Frankly in many neighborhoods, the mere announcement of the creation of affordable housing through up zoning and so we really do welcome these bills. Right now is an opportunity to advance fair housing in our City particularly at a time when as a national level we're seeing deterioration in that regard and so we definitely want to commend the council members for addressing these gaps. Having said that, you know obviously I reiterate the testimony of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Banana Kelly panel and my co-panelists here where I wanted to sort of add on is simply to talk about the extent to which the bills require reporting from the City administration and we feel like a lot more could be done to give us a fuller picture of what's going on when we're talking about creating affordable housing and so, you know, one of the things about affordable housing creation through up zoning which has really been the center piece of the affordable housing plan that we're seeing at the moment. One is that it has the potential for gentrification displacement resegregation potentially because of the affordability levels being not what's required by the existing community members and so really a greater level of reporting could kind of get at that and allow us as a City to course correct when our affordable housing plans are actually just triggering displacement or actually not creating affordable housing and so when I say that, I'm talking about things, you know, that are detailed in our testimony in writing but things like requiring the administration to actually evaluate and report on the displacement that was triggered by rezoning actions and up zoning and the creation of affordable housing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are rooted in policies and practices that had structural racisms and xenophobia in them. one thing also that we mentioned in our testimony and that's come up is that the data and analysis that is proposed in the bills and that we currently have also track things around housing units but do not track how people are being, the actions that are happening to individuals, right, and I think that is also an important piece to think about in this fair housing legislation that the Council is considering. I might be able to pull up either now or in the future, a bunch of information on ELI, VLI, various units. That does not mean that I will have the information on whether or not we are acting differently on the black residents, Puerto Rican residents, Chinese residents and that is part of, and disabled, seniors, veterans. That is core to our fair housing act and core to how New York City has approached fair housing that also we don't have and that isn't reflected in this.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So actually I look forward to working with you further to see how we could to a better place with the legislation, especially through the data and subsets of data that

2.2

would, would kinda equal the playing field because that's obviously the intent and whatever we have to do to get there, it's the right time to be attempting to do that. Questions? Thank you so much for your testimony and for your work on behalf of communities around the City. Okay, we're gonna call the last panel for the day. Chinera [phonetic] Pierce, Jawke [phonetic] Quomas, Brother Paul Mohammed, and Albert Scott. I would just like to note that I'm very grateful that HPD has stayed around to hear the testimony of the last three panels. We truly appreciate that. So I have three gentlemen and a lady so I'm going to let protocol apply and chivalry to take place and just please state your name.

Pierce. I'm the policy coordinator from the Fair
Housing Justice Center here in New York City. The
Fair Housing Justice Center, a regional civil rights
organization based in New York City, strongly
supports passage of local law Intro 607 and Intro 601
with some modifications. In our view, the passage
and implementation of these laws, with some minor
changes, could over time enable New York City to
gradually reduce residential racial segregation,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

existing affordable units and publicly housing

subsidized units in the area, including public

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

email that I received from HRA's Paul Romaine from
the Contracts Division at 2:30 p.m. today. "We were

I've testified at your meetings previously. I'll try

to be concise. The following remarks appeared in an

- 2 | unable to get a clearance for you at the 4 World
- 3 Trade, 150 Green Street. Accordingly today's 4 p.m.
- 4 meeting has to be rescheduled for another date and
- 5 time. We'll let you know as soon as find another
- 6 location to view the draft contract." That was about
- 7 a confirmed appointment for me to go to 4 World Trade
- 8 at 4 p.m. today to view a contract, a proposed
- 9 contract to be issued to Urban Pathways that I
- 10 | discussed with you that has embezzled taxpayer cash.
- 11 The proposed contract is for \$10 million so
- 12 essentially my equal protection rights were violated
- 13 | today and I'm going to take that up in court so let
- 14 me move on to the next
- 15 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So wait,
- 16 Mr. Quomas. I want to just briefly, you've testified
- 17 | at several of my hearings.
- 18 MR. QUOMAS: Yes, sir.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And I, and I have
- 20 asked that you would meet directly with my staff
- 21 | because I realize that you are having some issues
- 22 | around housing that can only be addressed not in
- 23 | hearing but to meet individually with my staff. I
- 24 want to encourage you to do that.

2 MR. QUOMAS: I have contacted them. They 3 haven't followed up.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay, so I want to encourage you to do that today. My chief-of-staff is right over there.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ QUOMAS: But let me move on to the rest of the testimony.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Is it, is it pertinent to what the fair housing act?

MR. QUOMAS: It is

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay, thank you.

MR. QUOMAS: So today's hearing is about fair housing, civil rights, affordable housing so on March 27 I asked the Mayor if he could get legal representation for a woman who is in housing court today to try to prevail against a slumlord I previously beat in housing court. She was actually in housing court against the Judge who illegally evicted me from my apartment in Queens for which I asked for legal representation from HRA to try to have me restored to possession of my former apartment. That hasn't happened. Instead HRA has partnered with the same Judge who illegally evicted

2.2

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 me from my own apartment so can you do something about that?

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yes, as soon as you're done with your testimony, my chief-of-staff is right, is still here so I'd like for you to step to the side and just speak with her privately.

MR. QUOMAS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Because we need to resolve some of your issues going forward and I think we can only do that with a one on one so she's here. Thank you.

ALBERT SCOTT: Good afternoon. My name is Albert Scott. I'm chairman and CEO of the Homeowners' Association in East New York and also affiliated with the Coalition for Community Advancement for Cypress Hills East New York. First let me, I would like to do, we would like to applaud the Council for commemorating the 50 year anniversary of the Fair Housing Act by introducing a series of bills intended to ensure that New York City affirmatively furthers their fair housing on that end. We would also like to state that the City's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing covers all housing actors, funding, and policies that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

impact a protective class, individual, community and neighborhood. Our obligation to ensure fair housing is not limited to affordable housing development. We ask that the City Council also introduce legislation that furthers fair housing in all aspects and types of housing for all actors. We ask that the City Council introduce and/or expand the legislation to include the fair housing impact of additional protective classes including religion, age and source of income, etc. We ask that the City Council require an assessment of how the City's overarching housing market and housing plan are disproportionately impacting our protected class. For example, what is the impact of luxury housing units on senior and racial ethnic groups and family size, etc. but most importantly, we ask the City Council require an assessment of how the current housing market including all market rate, affordable, and land use base housing plans, policies and programs impact historically disenfranchised people and those who historically and currently face explicit and implicit discrimination which will just lead me to an example out in East New York which was recently on a rezone. Just a quick second, the, on the corner of Liberty

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and Ashford within the rezoned area, in the plan it stated that HPD would for example, will track whether development within this specific rezoned area would actually whether they will be able to opt in or opt out of the MIH program meaning that monies will be disbursed. They could either pay out or they would go along with the program. On this specific property on Liberty and Ashford within the heart of the rezoned area, we still don't know 1) how much money, what's the formula of what that particular project has to contribute to the fun, and then 2) what is a formula whether it's from, if they intend to build 30 units, 10 units, or 50 units. Is it the same lump sum money which is contributed, and then what is the process and how is it reported back to the City Council on how those monies are then distributed back within the local district of East New York on that end so I applaud these strategies as far as the reporting efforts but also look at the mechanisms on how the task agencies will be reporting back that information to you and especially how the moneys will be disseminated throughout the rezoned district.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So that's a very clear and concise question and the members of HPD

6

7

8

9

10

11

have stayed. If you could answer that, not publicly but if you could, when you leave, just pass by and follow up with them and if you're not satisfied both

follow up with them and if you're not satisfied both
myself and your Council Member will follow up.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Espinal

ALBERT SCOTT: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

My name is

Brother Paul Mohammed. I'm on the Community Board 5,
Land Use Committee. I'm the Chair of Public Safety

BROTHER PAUL MOHAMMED:

12 in Community Board 5. I'm also on the Board of other

13 institutions in Community Board 5, the health and

14 hospitals [Inaudible] in Pennsylvania and I'm on the

15 Coalition of Community Advancement. We found and

16 | we've testified here before the actual rezoning

[Inaudible] so we're more here and I'm with the

18 points that my comrade here but I want to go to two

19 of the specific points in this report that we've put

20 | before you. Dealing with the fact of the assessment,

21 of the impact, let me read this here. I've got to

get these glasses on. We ask that the City Council

23 require assessment of how the City's overreach,

24 overworking housing market and housing plan are

25 disproportionately impacting and be protected

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

classes. Right there, we brought up in 2015, pre-Trump, pre the vote and this City Council that we talked, we actually said that it would be a great injustice to folks here, cause I want to give you the picture. We're here talking about Martin Luther King and the 1968 Fair Housing Act and really we've done a disservice to his memory. We bring him up every year. We resurrect what he did but we don't stand on what he did for the last 50 years. He was not a drum major for freedom. He was a drum major for justice. We have lost the fight for the fight for justice. think I see and Mr. Cornegy, the Chair, Mr. Cornegy, I know in your neighborhood what's happening Bed-Sty. I was just with 50 churches last week and we're talking about reclaiming the prophetic voice at Bethany Baptist Church and we found that the fact over 400 churches have moved from downtown Brooklyn and outside of Bed-Sty because they're being ran out. If the churches are gone, the constituents are gone. The constituents can't stay, the church can't live so we're really, it's really a question of our moral commitment to the fact that would we believe in justice or are we really talking about an economic, social engineering policies that have affected our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I want to say to you in East New York that I'm, my family, I'm a 50 year example of what happened. When my family moved in 1960 to East New York, we bought into the Fair Housing Act. They were burning buildings there and moving out. Blacks move in, it was white flight. We bought the houses. built the neighborhood. We endured heroin, crack cocaine and crime and we were disenfranchised. weren't invested in it but we stayed. Now what I've found out, it's more like we've had deceit, deception, now we're facing displacement so what we had we bought and we bought homes. We went over there. This law was not protecting us. shouldn't have been bought. They shouldn't have been a lawsuit bought on behalf of the residents of East New York cause our own Scott Stringer said 50,000 of the present residents of East New York will be displaced if that rezoning went through. They were saying that, I said on the meeting, they said 67,000 new residents were coming. Not 67,000 new residents, 67,000 new residents. See, we, we have to study the language. Gentrification has nothing to do with the fact that what's really going on. You're replacing one ethnic group with another and that's ethnic

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

cleansing. I see more people coming there that don't look like. I see the people that look like me. stayed in my family's own property for 50 years and I bought another property. I have children that bought into the concept of living in housing. I have to tell them now to get in the lotto for a house. said we wanted density? Who said we wanted 14 story buildings in our community? We are a colony. being dictated what we want in our community by somebody else. That's against the whole principal that Martin Luther King stood on so as we come here today to talk about the Fair Housing Act and so get back to the spirit of what it was built on. Blood went into these streets behind the Fair Housing Act. People died to fight for the right to have self-determination. We have our communities. We fought to stay there. These policies are being put into place do not go to the core of the racist institutional policy that pervade this City and the two wheel jerk that addressed that issue, building in our community, you're gonna move us out, displace us. I'm a homeowner and I'll finish on this. I talked about Section 8 right now is now being subject to fair market rates. I house people. Nobody's helped

2 HPD did not come to me with a plan to preserve I have a three family house and I have a 3 my housing. 4 two family house. I provide affordable rents for the 5 folks there but I can't now, aggressive water lien 6 sales, foreclosure sales, my property taxes going on. 7 The average of a homeowner in East New York is 58 years old, black and Latino. That's the demographics 8 so far of this date, 98%. So if you're going to tell 9 me a violation of fair housing, there it is. 10 cause an adverse, disproportionate impact on any race 11 12 and that's what it caused and Scott Springer said that in 2015 but this City Council voted yes on it 44 13 14 to 1. Tell me who was talking about fair housing 15 then. All I heard, you got to watch the devil with 16 the narrative. The narrative then was affordable 17 housing. Nobody was talking about fair housing and 18 the skewed AMI of this City. The average people of East New York and Brownsville make \$31,000 a year. 19 20 The AMI of New York City is \$86,000 a year. You're not building in Westchester. We've got this thing 21 2.2 all backwards. Why you including Westchester in the 23 AMI of New York City but you're not building there but you're building in Brownsville and East New York. 24 25 Somebody's doing a lie here so we got to tell the

2.2

truth. This is a hand behind this and it's economic racism. See you, you could see it easy back in the 60's. George Romney, Mitt Romney's father, he said that the suburbs, when he was talking when he was the HUD chair under Nixon, he said the suburbs are white new surrounded by urban America. See, we've got this thing all backwards and it's another book I'll leave you. You need to take a look at would help founded the whole policies behind this civil right act and that was an act. Gunnar Myrdal, I think he was a Swedish noble lord. He wrote a book in 1944 that is the foundation and it's called An America

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Hold on, let's be clear. Karnegie not Cornegy.

BROTHER PAUL MOHAMMED: Karnegie, sorry.

Okay, sorry, yes sir. Karnegie but the book is called An American Dilemna: The Negro Problem in Modern Living. Now we are, we were seen as a problem and that book did 100,000 copies and reprint in the 60's. We don't understand what we're looking at here is an economic attack on the people of our City who owned very valuable land but are poor people. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. You said you have that report. I don't have it for my record. BROTHER PAUL MOHAMMED: We'll send the report to you. Be sure you get it. CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: All right, thank you. BROTHER PAUL MOHAMMED: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you all for your testimony. Mr. Quomas can you please just check in with my staff so we can schedule a date to sit down and for the record, New York City Community Land Initiative has submitted testimony and we are going to close this hearing at this time. Thank you so much. [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 3, 2018