CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN

----- X

April 9, 2018

Start: 10:12 a.m. Recess: 2:53 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chamber - City Hall

B E F O R E: DONOVAN J. RICHARDS

Chairperson

HELEN K. ROSENTHAL

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Justin L. Brannan

Fernando Cabrera

Andrew Cohen

Chaim M. Deutsch

Vanessa L. Gibson

Rory I. Lancman

Carlos Menchaca

I. Daneek Miller

Keith Powers

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Jumaane D. Williams

Diana Ayala

Laurie A. Cumbo

Ben Kallos

Brad S. Lander

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Terence Monahan, Chief of Department New York City Police Department, NYPD

Chief Robert Boyce, Chief of Detectives New York City Police Department, NYPD

Susan Herman, Deputy Commissioner for Collaborative Policing, New York City Police Department, NYPD

Oleg Chernyavsky, Director for Legislative Affairs New York City Police Department, NYPD

Deputy Chief Osgood, Special Victims Division New York City Police Department, NYPD

Lawrence Byrne, Deputy Commissioner, Legal Matters New York City Police Department, NYPD

Mary Haviland, Executive Director New York City Alliance Against Sexual Assault

Jane Manning, Director of Advocacy
Women's Justice Now & Form Sex Crimes Prosecutor

Desdemona Meck, Sexual Assault Survivor

Michael Bach, Retired from NYC Police Department

Amy Gonglu, Intern, National Organization for Women New York City

Angela Fernandez, Assistant Director Mount Sinai Sexual Assault and Violence Intervention Program a/k/a SAVI Kylynn Grier, Girls for Gender Equity Appearing for Leah Jean Francois

Christopher Bromson, Executive Director Crime Victims Treatment Center

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[sound check] [background comments]
[pause] [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Good morning. Council Member Donovan Richards of the 31st District in Queens, and I am proud to chair the Committee on Public Safety. Thank you for joining us today. want to thank Council Member Rosenthal for cochairing this important hearing. I also want to thank the members of both committees who are here, and we'll start to rattle them off. Council Members Lander, Ayala, Gibson, Rose, Deutsch, Lancman, Vallone, Cohen, Rivera. Is that everybody? Okay, I think that what. With high profile accusations like those against Harvey Weinstein and the Me, Too Movement encouraging victims to come forward-forward, we are in the midst of a moment of reckoning for perpetrators of sexual assault. We have an opportunity to address the destructive ideas and practices that have far too long contributed to the culture that encourages covering up these incidents. We know that unlike other crimes, survivors of sexual assault-assault suffer psychological, emotional and physical trauma and acts of sexual assault overwhelmingly go unreported, which allows

2 perpetrators to reoffend. I commend the Police Department for their recent efforts to further 3 4 encourage victims to come forward and report 5 incidents to the Police Department. Unfortunately, that's where my commending of NYPD leadership stops 6 7 ono this issue. According to a recent report by the Department of Investigation, the Police Department 8 has been knowingly neglecting victims of sexual 9 assault since 2010 and undoubtedly before that. 10 years, internal requests for staffing and other 11 12 resources that are necessary to adequately address these high intensive investigations have gone 13 14 ignored. Stranger rapes have been prioritized over 15 acquaintance rapes, but nearly 90% of sexual assaults 16 in New York City are committed by an acquaintance. 17 Investigators are not being properly trained, 18 facilities are not suitable, and wait times are extensive. It is no wonder that victims don't report 19 more often, and it seems that the NYPD leadership is 20 just fine with victims of sex crimes being ignored. I 21 2.2 want to acknowledge that it does seem as though there 23 are those within the NYPD that are working to get it right. But again, NYPD leadership has been 24 25 unresponsive to the needs that are lacking in order

2 to properly serve justice to these victims and prevent future offenses. To that end the Public 3 4 Safety Committee will be hearing four bills today, Intro 444 sponsored by Council Member Cumbo would 5 require all NYPD officers to receive sensitivity 6 7 training to assist them in responding to victims of gender based street harassment and sexual assault. 8 preconsidered introduction sponsored by Council 9 Member Rivera would require the NYPD to utilize a 10 modern case management system. A preconsidered 11 12 introduction sponsored by Council Member Rose would require NYPD to use evidence based staffing to for 13 14 the Department's Special Victims Division, and a 15 preconsidered introduction sponsored by Council 16 Member Rosenthal would require NYPD to provide 17 training on investigating sexual crimes. Thank you 18 to all of our bill sponsors for promoting these I want to thank the investigators at the 19 issues. 20 Department of Investigations for their great work, and in particular I would-I want to thank Philip Eure 21 2.2 the Inspector General for the NYPD, and Commission 23 Mark Peters for his leadership. The work you do 24 provides an invaluable insight into our city's operations. It is imperative that this work remain 25

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 7 1 2 independent and not be politicized or tainted by suspicions of undue influence. Before we begin, I'd 3 like to thank our Public Safety Committee staff Beth 4 Golub, Casey Addison and Steve Wrestra and my 5 Legislative Director Jordan Gibbons for all of the 6 7 work they have done leading up to this hearing. We have a lot to get to today. So, I will now turn it 8 over to my co-chair Council Member Rosenthal. 9 you Council Member Rosenthal. [off mic] Go ahead. 10 [on mic] Go ahead. You can go ahead. Go ahead. 11 12 Now, within your City Council role. (sic) CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I don't think I'm 13 a little bit there. (sic) I'm so sorry. Thank you 14 15 so much, Council Member Richards, and I just need to 16 get this off my chest before we start the hearing 17 Council Member. Happy birthday. 18 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. [background comments] Thank you. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: 29? CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yes, that is-that 21 2.2 is 25 actually. 23 CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: 25. Alright, and

Rosenthal. I chair the committee on Women. I want

you're looking great. Good morning. I'm Helen

24

25

to thank Chair Richards of the Committee on Public 2 Safety for holding this hearing with us today. On 3 4 Friday the Police Department launched a new campaign to encourage victims of sexual assault to report to 5 6 the police. The call is yours, the campaign says as 7 it promises resources, services and ultimately justice to those victims who come forward. 8 under-reporting of sexual assault is a crisis, but in 9 light of the Department of Investigation's Report no 10 the NYPD's handling of sexual assault investigations, 11 I'm afraid that unless we address the root causes of 12 that under-reporting a campaign like this simply 13 won't matter to survivors. It won't matter if 14 15 investigators continue to be overworked and 16 undertrained. It won't matter if facilities continue to be unwelcoming and substandard. It won't matter 17 if investigators' case management system can't 18 guarantee victims privacy or the integrity of 19 20 information collected. It won't matter unless victims can be certain that coming to the police 21 2.2 won't lead to re-traumatization. In short, it won't 23 matter unless the NYPD finally makes investigating 24 sexual assault a priority not just in principle but 25 in practice. Because as the Department of

2 Investigations Report documents and as survivors and advocates have confirmed, in its allocation of 3 4 resources, the NYPD has not made investigating sexual assault a priority. The Special Victims Division is 5 6 in desperate need of resources. Most fundamentally 7 it's severely understaffed. In March 2018, the DOI report shows the Division's Adult Sex Crime Units had 8 just 67 detectives to handle what in 2017 was a 9 caseload of 5,661 crimes. This level of staffing is 10 far below national standards. The Prummell model is 11 12 one that such standard-is one such standard used to calculate the staffing level needed to investigate 13 14 sex crimes used in Austin, Texas and imposed as part 15 of consent decrees in Cleveland and New Orleans. 16 calculated-calculated by DOI, the Prummell model 17 suggests that in order to devote adequate time to 18 each case in 2017, SVD would have needed an additional 73 detectives effectively double the 19 20 number they actually had. Even by NYPD's old--own standards, this deficiency should be obvious. 21 2.2 2010, the department convened a Sex Crimes Working 23 Group to review its handling of sex crimes 24 investigations. It recommended significant increases 25 in staffing. In the year since the leadership of SVD

25

2 has consistently made the case for more detectives, and yet these resources have not come. The NYPD did 3 not meet the 2010 Working Group staffing 4 recommendations, and since then the number of 5 detectives has not significantly changed in spite of 6 7 a 65% increase in SVD's caseload. This understaffing matters. At its core, it has meant that detectives 8 are stretched even thinner with less time available 9 to develop victims' trust and see cases through. It 10 has meant long wait times for victims at hospitals 11 12 including incidences in which no investigator showed up at all, and the victim left without giving a 13 14 report. It has meant that in spite of public 15 assurances, not every sexual assault case is treated 16 as the same priority nor are all cases even handled by the SVD. The DOI report includes claims that the 17 18 NYPD's leadership pushes SVD to devote more resources to "stranger rapes and cases with higher media 19 20 profiles leaving less available for others." The department's Internal Investigation-Investigative and 21 2.2 Enhancement Rubric distinguishes between rape 23 committed by a stranger and rape committed by an 24 acquaint-acquaintance or domestic partner. With the

latter cases enhanced only at the precinct level. As

2 with staffing levels, other aspects of SVD's operations have been critically hamstrung by a lack 3 of resources. Trainings have not been instituted to 4 the level called for the division's leadership. 5 6 While it takes passing a rigorous six to eight-week 7 school to become a mounted police officer, for SVD it's 10 days of training with no test to pass. 8 facilities in which adult sex crime squads operate 9 10 are inappropriate and poorly maintained lacking appropriate waiting rooms, or even enough space to 11 12 conduct private interviews. The promotion structure 13 within SVD makes it very difficult to award and to retain talent. As a result, fewer than 20% of SVD's 14 15 detectives are of the first or second grade compared 16 to 80% in the Homicide Unit. This is not to disparage 17 the work of the men and women of the Special Victims 18 Division. On the contrary, SVD is full of dedicated detectives who have made helping survivors find 19 justice their mission in life. Under the leadership 20 of Deputy Chief Michael Osgood, extraordinary 21 2.2 progress has been made toward the creation of a 23 victim centered approach to investigating sex crimes. To take one example, SVD's embrace of both Forensic 24 25 Experiential Trauma Interview represents a real

25

2 breakthrough. FETI comprehensively trains investigators on the impact that trauma can have on a 3 victim's mental state giving them the tools to act 4 5 with empathy and patience when interacting with victims whose memories and emotional state have been 6 7 affected. In doing so, investigators are able to avoid the pitfalls of traditional methods that 8 treated sexual assault as just another crime, far to 9 10 often derailing investigations and re-traumatizing victims. The use of FETI is emblematic of the 11 12 dramatic changes seen in SVD under the leadership of Deputy Chief Osgood. His emphasis on the importance 13 of procedural justice and collaboration with the You 14 15 Have Options program is another. We will hear from 16 survivors' advocates today about the partnership that Deputy Chief Osgood has forged with them. But no 17 18 leadership style can overcome the type of resource constraints that SVD has been faced with. For even 19 20 the most experienced detective there are only so more-so many hours in a day. For the last decade at 21 2.2 SVD there have not been enough of them. Having read 23 the DOI report, having spoken with advocates, it is clear to me that the problem is not SVD but the 24

environment in which SVD is forced to operate without

25

2 proper resources, training and tools. That's-that this state of affairs has been allowed to continue 3 4 for so long is incomprehensible to me. Given all that we know about the effects sexual assault has on 5 6 its victims, given that perpetrators tend to operate 7 serially making each investigation that much more important to public safety. Given that reporting of 8 rape and sex crimes have continue to rise even as 9 overall crime has fallen, given the calls for 10 increased resources that the SVD's Commanding Officer 11 12 submitted in his reports time and time again, I cannot understand why those calls were ignored, and 13 14 so, we must make up for lost time now. To this end 15 there is progress in light of the DOI Report and 16 advance—and in advance of this hearing, Commission 17 O'Neill called last week for a full review of SVD and 18 committed new resources to the division including 20 new investigators for the Adult Sex Crimes Squad. 19 20 This commitment and the new resources are a welcome start and just as importantly an admission that the 21 2.2 status quo is unacceptable. But let me state 23 clearly, the changes announced last week are not nearly enough. The 20 additional investigators for 24

incidents-for instance, just fill a fraction of the

2 staffing deficit identified by the DOI Report, and the vast majority of them are rooky officers. 3 legislation under consideration today is aimed at 4 ensuring that a more comprehensive shift in 5 priorities is implemented. Council Member Richards 6 7 described these pieces of legislation and enactment of these bills would mean concrete steps toward 8 treating sexual assault as the priority that it is. 9 In addition to the physical resources, the department 10 must condense-must consider additional means of 11 12 prioritizing the investigation of sex crimes. includes making SVD a graded division, and changing 13 14 the way that it's overseen through CompStat. 15 must continue to collaborate with advocates and work 16 more closely with the communities that are 17 disproportionately affected by this crime especially 18 the LGBT community, and especially trans women of color. I look forward to hearing the NYPD's-to 19 20 hearing about the NYPD's plans to take steps like these and their full commitment of resources to the 21 2.2 Special Victims Division. The new call-the Call is 23 Yours Campaign reflects a topline understanding that sexual assault need to be made a priority, but as of 24 today, it's a hollow promise to survivors. It's not 25

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 enough to ask victims to come forward to tell them that they have the city's support. We must have the 3 resources available to mean it. I, too, want to 4 thank the entire team that put this hearing together 5 including the Committee on Women's staff, committee 6 7 counsel Brenda McKinney; Senior Policy Analyst, Joan Polvony; Policy Analyst Chloe Rivera; Financial 8 Analyst Daniel Kroop; and Legal Fellow Rabia Kazan. 9 I also would like to thank Brian Crow and Beth Golub, 10 and Casey Addison from the Committee on Public 11 12 Safety. Finally, thank you to my Legislative Director, Sean Fitzpatrick, and with that, are we 13 14 turning it over? I'd like to turn it back to Council 15 Member Richards. 16 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Chair 17 Rosenthal. I'm going to give an opportunity for our

Rosenthal. I'm going to give an opportunity for our bill sponsors to speak on their particular bills.

Council Member Cumbo followed by Rivera-Rivera and rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you. Good morning Chair Richards. Good morning Chair Rosenthal. Thank you so much for organizing today's hearing. It's very timely, very important and your opening remarks really shed light on a critical issue

2 and I applaud your efforts to bring real support and change that is required in order for victims to come 3 4 forward. The month April marks our annual nationwide 5 campaign on sexual assault awareness, a time when 6 survivors and advocacy groups work to raise awareness 7 surrounding the pervasive issue of sexual violence and to educate the public about ways to prevent it. 8 I want to applaud Speaker Corey Johnson and the 9 Women's Chair Helen Rosenthal for tackling sexual 10 harassment on the heels of the millions of survivors 11 12 who dare to speak up and speak out sharing their stories of sexual harassment and sexual assault. 13 14 Their voices resonated through #Me Too and #Times Up 15 Movements, which struck a cord in all of us. 16 the Sexual Harassment in New York City Act marks only 17 the beginning of our efforts to strengthen anti-18 sexual harassment training and expand sexual harassment protections for all employees. The Stop 19 20 Sexual Harassment in New York City Act is an opportunity to refine and introduce new practices 21 that will foster a safer work environment for all New 2.2 23 Yorkers in order to protect the workforce by 24 enforcing Human Rights laws. As an advocate for 25 women's right and gender equality, I am proud to have

2 worked closely on two of the seven bills within the Stop Sexual Harassment in New York City Act, which we 3 4 are voting on today. The package of legislation will help transform the culture and work places across the 5 city of New York. My bill, Proposed Intro No. 632-A 6 7 and joined by Public Advocate Letitia James and the incredible Council Members, this law would mandate 8 that all private employers with 15 or more employees 9 conduct annual anti-sexual harassment training for 10 all employees including supervisors and managerial 11 12 employees of such employer. The New York City 13 Commission on Human Rights in order to help employers 14 meet this mandate will be responsible for creating an 15 online interactive training module to be posted on 16 their website for access by employers. I proudly cosigned-co-primed Intro 630-A alongside Council Member 17 18 Cornegy. This law would require the New York City Commission on Human Rights to design an anti-sexual 19 20 harassment rights and responsibilities poster. All employers in New York City would be required to 21 2.2 display such poster in a conspicuous location where 23 employees gather. The poster would be made available online for employer reproduction. The bill would 24 also require an information sheet on sexual 25

2	harassment to be distributed to employees at time of
3	hire. No longer will people be able to say they
4	simply did not know. Stats: About 1 in 5 Americans
5	have experienced sexual harassment in the workplace.
6	About half of American women are sexually harassed a
7	least once after joining the workforce. One in three
8	women ages 18 to 34 have been sexually harassed at
9	work. Seventy-one percent of those women said they
10	did not report it, and 8% of rapes occurred while the
11	victim is at work. If you can imagine the work
12	environment that so many individuals are working
13	under and the conditions that they are working under
14	we know that today's hearing is not only timely, but
15	also long overdue. I'm not going to turn it back
16	over to my colleagues for the continuation of the
17	hearing. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank vou. We're

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. We're going to go to Council Member Rivera and following by Rivera, Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you. Good morning. Thank you to Chairs Rosenthal and Richards. Of course, the Committee staff and Speaker Johnson, and for the opportunity to provide brief remarks on Preconsidered Intro 2018-1709. Thank you to the NYPD

and all of its detectives on the force for your 2 efforts to protect New Yorkers. I know as a city we 3 4 try to be the best, but I am sure we can do better for victims' families and survivors. I introduced 5 6 this legislation to require that the New York City 7 Police Department's Special Victims Division, SVD, upgrade to a modern case management system so that 8 our city may increase its capacity to investigate 9 sexual assault while better-while better protecting 10 the privacy of victims through more secure software. 11 12 The New York City Department of Investigation, DOI, recently published a report detailing the findings of 13 14 its year long investigation into how SVD investigates 15 cases involving sexual assaults. This report, as my 16 colleagues mentioned, appropriately prompted some of the legislative proposals before you today. It found 17 18 that the Division is understaffed and under-resourced despite recommendations from a 2010 working group, 19 20 and warnings from SVD staff and the years since. DOI investigation based on internal NYPD documents 21 2.2 interviews with current and form SVD staff, and 23 publicly available data clearly shows that sexual assault cases are not being properly investigated due 24 to the shortfalls in personnel, department facilities 25

2 and software. The current case management system used by the division does not meet contemporary 3 standards of efficiency and privacy protections. 4 This legislation would provide the NYPD with an 5 important tool that could increase capacity and 6 7 improve the specialized service level to the victims of these crimes. A modern system would all SVD to 8 better monitor case and provide appropriate follow 9 10 up. It could also help create more accurate reports providing the data needed for NYPD to gauge 11 12 performance more quickly, and so that its partners in 13 government can ensure New York City is adequately 14 working to protect those that we serve. Furthermore, 15 I disagree with the point that this system would 16 place a clock that dictates the timeliness of its 17 investigations, and I am confident that it would not 18 affect the partnerships we worked so hard to cultivate. After a record leaving the Sex Crimes 19 20 Department as an afterthought compared to others, this system would create a state-of-the-art addition 21 2.2 to your work. This is a resource issue and we know 23 it can prove to be a benefit. I, therefore, urge my colleagues to support this legislation along with the 24 other bills before you that would advance the NYPD's 25

2 ability to so

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ability to solve and help curb cases of sexual assault. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Council
Member. We'll now go to Council Member Rose. Before
we hear from her, we've been joined by Council
Members Cabrera, Menchaca, Powers and Adams.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. morning and thank you, Chairs Rosenthal and Richards for holding this important hearing on the handling of NYPD Sex Crimes investigations. In the advent of Me Too, women and me are coming forward to share stories of past incidents of sexual abuse. They often encounter questions of their veracity, and find themselves being questioned as to why it took so long to report these crimes against their person. There are many reasons why this happens, one of which is that once reported, they often see no results. Cases languish without resolution because Special Victims Divisions are grossly understaffed, as verified by the recently released report of the Department of Investigations, DOI, on adult sex crimes. The March 27th report contains a good deal of concerning statistics not least of which is that while most crimes in New York City are reported to the police,

2 over 70 of the time sexual assault are reported 5% to 3 20% and it believed that the true rate of reporting is significantly lower, and why? Because of 4 intimate—intimate nature of these crimes, 6 investigating them can be particularly time 7 intensive. Recognizing this, in 2010, the NYPD convened a task force to study and make 8 recommendations on how NYPD handles the 9 investigations of sex crimes. The task force 10 recommended amongst other things that the size of 11 12 Special Victims Divisions or SVDS, SVDs be significantly enhanced with experienced officers. 13 14 Unfortunately, these recommendations of a task force 15 it convened itself were not implemented by NYPD. 16 eight years later, the NYPD is still understaffing its SVDs, and further, it is not using current and 17 18 respective models to determine appropriate staffing levels for complicated cases such as sex crimes. 19 20 This is particularly concerning given that the NYPD has launched a media campaign: The Call is Yours, 21 2.2 urging people to call the NYPD to report sexual 23 assaults. With the anticipated increase in reporting will there be enough personnel to handle these cases? 24 Some of which can date many years, 20 or 30 years. 25

2 It is imperative that they have enough staff to investigate these cases, to avoid the re-3 4 victimization of the people who stepped forward. an examination of best practices, the DOI Report 5 6 examines the most advanced model, which was developed 7 by Sheriff William Prummell of Charlotte County Sheriff-Sheriff's Office in Florida. The Prummell 8 model relies on the average required time to 9 investigate certain categories of cases and the 10 portion of work shifts available to be spent on 11 12 investigative casework. Combined with the shift schedule, leave usage and administrative duties that 13 govern staff availability, using this calculation DOI 14 15 estimates that from 2009 through 2017 the NYPD's SVDs 16 were consistently understaff sometimes by almost 30 detectives. Understaffing combined with 17 18 inexperienced personnel and SVDs creates a lack of faith in the public, and the ability of NYPD to bring 19 20 justice to victims. Hence, the low reporting rate of these crimes. My preconsidered being heard today 21 2.2 will require beginning in January 2019 that the NYPD 23 used evidence base staffing for its SVDs and report SVD staffing levels to the Council and the Mayor with 24 the number of cases officers and investigative hours 25

24 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 disaggregated by borough and unit and where applicable disaggregated by the charged office and 3 4 the severity of offense and rank of officers. It is 5 my hope that implementing an evidence based model of 6 staffing such as the Prummell model will help address 7 the critical staffing deficit of our city's SVDs, which will engender more confidence in the units, and 8 more importantly keep the women of our city safe from 9 intimate violence. I look forward to hearing your 10 testimony regarding this and other bills in this 11 12 package of legislation today. Thank you. 13 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty. 14 you to all the bill sponsors, and with that being 15 said, I'll ask our Committee Counsel to administer 16 the oath to the NYPD. 17 LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right 18 hand. Anyone who is going to be testifying. [laughter] Do you swear to tell the truth, the 19 20 whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today and to respond honestly to Council 21 2.2 Member questions?

25 LEGAL COUNSEL: You may begin.

CHIEF MONAHAN:

CHIEF BOYCE: I do.

I do.

23

24

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: That's a sign 3 we're going to tell the truth today. Alrighty. 4 CHIEF MONAHAN: Always, always, always. 5 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Chief Boyce you 6 may begin. 7 CHIEF MONAHAN: I got it. I got it, 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chief Monahan. Good Morning Chair Rosenthal, Chair Richards. Happy Birthday also from us, and members of the Council. I am Chief Terrence Monahan, the Chief of Department for the New York City Police Department. I am joined here today with my NYPD colleagues, Chief Robert Boyce, the Chief of Detectives, Susan Herman our Deputy Commissioner for Collaborative Policing and Oleg Chernyavsky, our Director for Legislative Affairs. On behalf of Police Commissioner James O'Neill, I am pleased to testify before your committees today to discuss the NYPD's response to sex crimes. I have served in the NYPD for over 36 years. I have seen many changes to the city and the department over that time. When I began my career in January or 1982, the city was engaged in what many considered an unwinnable battle against crime and disorder. Gun fire erupted on our streets with unfortunate regularity. Homicides and

2 other index crimes were all-time highs, and patrol officers were relegated to their radios running as 3 4 fast as possible to an unending litany of 911 jobs. It was a much different city at that time. 5 6 looking at where the city is today, crime levels at 7 their lowest since the 1950s and the implementation of a comprehensive neighborhood policing philosophy 8 it is truly remarkable. What I have also learned 9 10 during my career is that out of the many different roles our police officers and investigators are asked 11 12 to fulfill each day, the most important is to be that of an advocate for victims of crime. This is 13 14 especially rue for survivors of sexual assault. 15 complexities of such crimes warrant the full 16 attention and empathy of law enforcement and the Criminal Justice System. If there is one message 17 18 that I want to convey at today's hearing, it is this: The NYPD stands ready each and every day to support 19 20 the survivors of these crimes to hold offenders accountable and prevent future acts of violence. 21 2.2 Every case we receive is not a number. It is a 23 person, a victim, a survivor. I want to assure every New Yorkers that the NYPD takes rape and sexual 24 25 assault seriously, and the department investigates

25

2 every report thoroughly. It is hard enough for victims of heinous crimes to come forward to report 3 these traumatic incidents. I want them to know that 4 5 the NYPD is here to support them in every way 6 possible. I want to spend the bulk of my testimony 7 on today discussing the hard work of the men and women who serve in the NYPD Special Victims Division, 8 SVD, but I believe it is important to acknowledge the 9 10 backdrop of this hearing and the amount of public attention this topic has received as a result of a 11 12 recent Office of the NYPD Inspector General, OIG Report. While the NYPD will issue a formal response 13 14 to this report over the next 90 days, the time 15 allotted by Local Law, I want to address some of the 16 criticisms that have been raised, and also know that we are internally evaluating OIG's recommendations. 17 18 At the beginning of my testimony I mentioned that I have seen many changes in policing over my career. 19 20 believe this is certainly the case when it comes to the investigation of sex crimes by the NYPD. Much of 21 2.2 the report focuses on events, policies and decisions 23 that were made prior to 2014. I want to talk about the NY-I want to talk about what the NYPD has done 24

under the de Blasio Administration and the leadership

of Police Commission Bratton and Police Commissioner 2 3 O'Neill. Over the last several years, the NYPD has 4 streamlined its policies to make reporting easier to train our officers in victim sensitive response, 5 6 promote transparency about the work of our 7 investigators and provide precinct based victim assistance. The NYPD's Special Victims Division's 8 responsibilities include the investigation of sex 9 crimes. Penal Law Article 130 Crimes and cases of 10 alleged child abuse. The division works in 11 12 partnership with victim advocates and other city 13 agencies to carry out its message-mission. 14 Commission Herman will speak next about the depth and 15 scope of our collaborative work. SVD comprises 18 16 specialized subunits. Each of the city's five 17 boroughs have a separate Special Victim Squad devoted 18 solely to the investigation of sex crimes against adult victims. In addition, the division has 19 20 separate investigative units to address DNA cold cases, transit system cases, child abuse, monitoring 21 2.2 of registered sex offenders and stranger cold cases 23 among others. No other large municipal police department's sex crime unit compares to that of the 24 NYPD's in terms of size, investigative scope and most 25

25

2 importantly, expertise across most multiple specialized fields. Since 2010, the department has 3 increased the uniformed headcount of the Special 4 Victims Division by approximately 47% from 149 to 5 218. Notably three-quarters of that increase 6 7 occurred over the last four years. Furthermore, as of this morning an additional 20 uniformed members 8 have been transferred into the division brining its 9 overall headcount to 238. Like each one of their 10 counterparts, these newly assigned investigators will 11 12 undergo comprehensive specialized SVD training in the 13 coming weeks -- which I will discuss in a moment-before 14 being individually assigned any cases. We have also 15 been careful to recruit the right individuals for 16 this highly complex and sensitive work. We accept only 20% of those applying to SVD. We are looking 17 18 for detail-oriented proactive individuals that have the temperament to communicate with and empower 19 20 survivors. Recognizing that there are always individuals getting promoted, transferred or 21 2.2 retiring, SVD has done a reputable job retaining 23 their talent, which is demonstrated by the fact in 2017 twice as many uniformed members were transferred 24

into the division than those leaving. Furthermore,

25

2 supervisors and investigators assigned to SVD Adult Sex Crime squads have an average 8.1 and 6.6 years of 3 4 investigative experience respectively. The Special Victims Division investigates both misdemeanor and 5 6 felony sex crimes. The Division has adopted a 7 survivor centered model of investigation of adult sex crimes. In this regard investigators work to honor 8 decisions and preferences of sexual assault survivors 9 at each stage of an investigation allowing survivors 10 to choose how far to pursue a case, electing to 11 12 report information only, to have the investigators conduct a partial investigation or to pursue a 13 14 complete investigation of the crime. Investigators 15 provide clear explanations of the reporting process 16 and investigative procedures and encourage survivors to consult a sexual assault advocate who counsels the 17 survivor, and can accompany the survivor during the 18 investigative process. Investigations are conducted 19 20 at a pace set by the victim not the investigating officer. These and other methods are designed to 21 2.2 treat survivors with dignity and sensitivity while 23 providing them with a sense of control that having been sexually assaulted has compromised. Much of the 24

OIG's attention is fixated on the focused work of the

investigators in the division's Special Victims 2 In 2017, these squads handled a caseload of 3 4 approximately 5,650 cases. During this period, investigators assigned to these squads managed 5 6 approximately 8 to 10 active cases per month. 7 Comparatively, this case load is nearly half of that of a typical detective assigned to a local precinct 8 detective squad. Moreover, it is a lower monthly 9 caseload than that of sex crime detectives who work 10 in much smaller population cities. The majority of 11 12 sex crimes that the NYPD Special Victims Division receives are misdemeanor cases. Approximately one-13 14 third are felony cases. The NYPD remains committed 15 to ensuring that these investigators are able to 16 comprehensively perform these complex investigations. In 2018, and additional eight investigators began 17 18 regularly being assigned new cases. And as I mentioned before, another invest-another 24 19 20 investigators will be supplementing these ranks as of today. The addition of these investigators will 21 2.2 bring the number of active cases being handled by any 23 one investigator at any given time to approximately five to 6 cases. There are also an average of 14 24 supervisors who are intimately involved in the 25

25

2 investigators' cases. The supervisors in the division play a vital role by ensuring accurate, 3 4 thorough and comprehensive work by the investigators 5 they supervise. For example, in taking a rap 6 complaint, the complaint cannot be designated as 7 unfounded without the approval of a special victims captain. I also want to highlight the vital role of 8 SVD's Sex Crime Complaint Review Unit, which reviews 9 10 every sex crime report received citywide within 24 hours of receipt to ensure proper classification. 11 Ιt 12 is also important to mention that particularly 13 complex, long-term investigations can be assigned to 14 the Division's DNA Cold Case Squad, which possesses 15 additional investigators. SVD's Cold Case Unit created in the fall of 2015 has reviewed and closed 16 approximately 1,400 cases since its-since its 17 18 inception. Additionally, Commissioner O'Neill has overseen the creation of the Stranger Rape Cold Case 19 20 Unit earlier this year to review unsolved sex crimes dating back decades in some cases. We know that 21 2.2 responding properly to sexual assault requires 23 special skills. Simply put, special victims investigators are the best trained sex crimes 24

investigators in the country. Beginning in January

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2017, every member of the division who handles adult sex crimes has received Forensic Experiential Trauma Interview, FETI training. While Deputy Commission Herman will further elaborate on this training in testimony, FETI imparts ground breaking interviewing techniques to law enforcement officers based on the neuro biology of trauma and how it affects survivor's recollection of the crime. In addition, all members of the Special Victims Division complete a two-week criminal investigation course, which provides investigators with comprehensive high quality instruction in investigative fundamentals, techniques and current detection trends. The Division also undertakes a five-day special victims investigative course and provides selected detectives with additional training on topics such as the science of DNA, drug facilitated sexual assault and the investigation of complex cases. Other SVD training includes peer based investigative process scenario training, cross-investigative process training, cohort based learning, neurobiology of sexual assault, instant response tracking process training, experiential learning, abusive head trauma training, zone capping training, district attorney based

25

2 training and the New York State Police sex crimes and child abuse course. Five local hospitals have also 3 partnered with the Special Victims Division to offer 4 their rap crisis counsel training to our 5 investigators as well as the victim advocates. 6 7 Furthermore, SVD investigators cycle through the various SVD sub units enable them to learn new 8 techniques and apply them to their specialized field. 9 This is not to say that an SVD investigator's 10 training will end here. The department not only 11 12 provides refresher training as needed, but is also 13 constantly keeping up to date on new investigative 14 tools, techniques and disciplines and evaluating 15 their benefit to the work we are doing. If we 16 identify methods that will improve our ability to interact with survivors or solve these despicable 17 18 crimes as well as-as was the case with FETI, we will provide additional training to our officers. It is 19 important for me to stress the training that patrol 20 personnel receive regarding sex crimes. 21 recruits and in-service uniformed members receive 2.2 23 significant training on responding to sex crimes and interacting with sex crime survivors. At the Police 24

Academy, recruits are trained on complaint and report

25

2 taking for sex crimes with instructions given on the effect of trauma, how to conduct an interview with 3 the victim, collect evidence and provide information 4 on reliable-on available resources and services. 5 6 Moreover, recruits also receive training on crisis 7 management and interaction concerning victims of sex 8 crimes. Through promotional classes, patrol supervisors are also trained by investigators from 9 10 the Special Victims Division on how to properly interact with survivors of sex crimes. I would be 11 12 remiss if I did not touch upon how we leverage technology to help the Detective Bureau including the 13 14 Special Victims Division more efficiently track and 15 mange their cases. Over the last four years, the 16 department has expanded the use of its Enterprise 17 Case Management System, ECMS. The system permits 18 investigators to document investigative steps and organize electronic evidence and communications. 19 20 have seen first hand the benefits of collaboration between law enforcement agencies, and the detriment 21 2.2 of working in silos. Having an integrated case 23 management system and providing the highest levels of 24 security for information within that system are not mutually exclusive concepts. For example, anyone who

2	accesses a case in the ECMS system leaves a foot
3	print. In documenting sex crime cases, the system
4	will automatically restrict and will limit access to
5	such files. As Police Commissioner O'Neill recently
6	stated, In most areas of policing the NYPD is very
7	good. In some areas we are the best, but in all
8	areas we can get better. We have been working and
9	will continue to work with sexual assault victims'
10	advocates and national experts to improve out
11	practices to enable us to better respond to victims
12	of sexual assault, make every victim feel safe to
13	come forward, and reduce the overall instances of
14	these assaults over time. There is always more to
15	do, and the department remains committed to
16	constantly improving how we respond to sex crimes.
17	Over the next 90 days the department will continue to
18	examine the findings and recommendations of OIG that
19	may help improve the sexualSpecial Victims
20	Division. I will now turn it over to Deputy
21	Commission Susan Herman who will discuss the
22	department's outreach to the victim advocacy
23	community as well as improvements that have been made

with respect to the way we interact with survivors.

25

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Good morning 3 everyone. We know from national research that only a 4 fraction of rape and sexual assault victims report to the police. In fact, according to the most recent 5 U.S. Department of Justice's National Crime 6 7 Victimization Survey, only 23% of rapes and sexual assaults were reported to the police. This figure 8 pales in comparison to the reporting rates of other 9 violent crimes such as robbery and aggravated assault 10 and is, in fact, a decrease in reporting of nearly 11 12 10% from the previous survey. I'd like to note for the record that the Department of Justice cautions 13 14 against using comparisons to years prior to 2016 15 because the methodology has changed. The research 16 tells us that we all have more work to do in brining survivors of sexual assault forward, and to encourage 17 18 reporting. Part of the mission of the Office of Collaborative Policing is to enhance the department's 19 20 repose to all victims of crime including victims of sexual assault. During the last four years, the NYPD 21 2.2 has made a focused and concerted effort to increase 23 the reporting of sexual assaults, promote transparency about our work, build stronger cases and 24

improve the experience of survivors when they engage

25

2 with our personnel. While I will explain these efforts in more detail, I will note that some of our 3 4 work is already yielding results. For example, in 5 the first quarter of this year, out of the 382 rape complaints, the department received, 130 of the 6 7 complaints are about incidents that occurred before 2018. In fact, approximately 35 of those complaints 8 are of rapes that occurred more than five years ago. 9 This is a significantly higher proportion of older 10 cases being reported than we've seen in the fast. 11 12 Based on the increased number of these types of 13 complaints, and the greater complexity in 14 investigating and solving them, Police Commissioner 15 O'Neill has decided to increase the staffing levels of the Special Victims Division, and Chief Monahan 16 just mentioned. Over the last four years the 17 18 Department has gone to great lengths to encourage survivors to come forward. We have collaborated with 19 20 victim advocates, and prosecutors in all five boroughs to create new outreach material, which 21 2.2 explains what sexual assault it, how to report it and 23 where to get assistance. The department has distributed 36,000 copies of this material in eight 24

languages to hospitals, family justice centers,

2 borough presidents' offices and to colleges throughout the city. We have we have also leafleted 3 6,000 subway riders with subway specific information 4 explaining how they can report any level of sexual 5 misconduct. Additionally auxiliary officers 6 7 distributed another flyer at 20 major subway hubs around the city emphasizing resources for victims of 8 crime. A total of 76,000 of these flyers went out to 9 10 the public in English and Spanish. Moreover, in 2017, the department for the first time launched a 11 12 Victims of Crime Section on the department website. It helps the public become more familiar with 13 14 reporting a crime, the criminal justice process 15 information about various types of courts, resources 16 and services available to victims, the U Visa Certification process, frequently asked questions and 17 18 a glossary of terms. This way it was developed in conjunction with the victim advocacy community. 19 20 reach college students, the department first conducted focus groups with students to understand 21 2.2 their perspectives on the issue. It was clear that 23 students wanted to hear from other students. No 24 surprise. So, for three years we have partnered with the New York City Police Foundation to sponsor annual 25

25

2 poster contests for students to encourage their peers to report sexual assaults to the NYPD. Each of the 3 4 winning posters have been distributed to over a 100 5 colleges and universities in the city. Perhaps most 6 importantly in this regard, we have also created new 7 agreements with local colleges to reinforce their legal obligation to report a campus rape to the 8 police within 24 hours unless the victim does not 9 want to report to the police, and to make it less 10 burdensome to report, school officials are encouraged 11 12 to call our Rape Hotline so that victims of rape or sexual assault can speak directly to the Special 13 14 Victims Division. To improve interactions between 15 our investigators and victims, the department now 16 provides the Forensic Experiential Trauma Interview 17 training. This 7-day comprehensive training 18 specifically tailored to the NYPD's needs is taught by nationally recognized experts. 19 It covers a range 20 of topics including the neurobiology of trauma, common misperceptions about sexual assault and 21 2.2 survivor behaviors, key principles of memory and 23 coding, storage and retrieval and how to more 24 effectively communicate with sexual assault

survivors. Essentially, we are training our

25

2 investigators to recognize the limitations of traditional who, what, when and where, just the facts 3 4 questions, and instead ask more open-ended questions about what victims experienced. It emphasizes 5 survivor control of the interview, and give 6 7 investigators skills to help victims retrieve more details about the assault. In addition to training 8 every member of our Special Victims Division, the 9 10 department has also trained 14 prosecutors and 21 Sexual Assault Response Team Examiners, and victim 11 12 advocates. According to FETI training experts, the NYPD is the only police department that has trained 13 14 its entire Special Victims Division. We believe it 15 is a critical addition to training SVD detectives. 16 Patrol officers are trained in how to study behaviors and patterns of offenders and are taught to emphasize 17 18 patience and respect when inter-interacting with crime victims. We have partnered with Holovac to 19 20 train transit officers twice a year on sexual harassment in the subway system. Additionally, the 21 NYPD Transit Bureau has created a curriculum to train 2.2 23 officers in identifying and reducing the incidents of sex crimes in the subway. In order to improve 24

outcomes, and hold offenders accountable, the Transit

25

2 Bureau in conjunction with the Manhattan District Attorney's Office developed a supporting deposition 3 form for victims of sex crimes committed in the 4 5 subways. It captures an accurate description of 6 events as well as the contemporaneous victim 7 statement. Victims can now report the exact details of the crime in their own words and native language. 8 Previously, victims were directed to report to the 9 station house for a further interview, which could 10 take place days or week later-weeks later, and 11 12 frequently led to victims declining to participate. This form can be completed by a victim at the scene 13 14 of the incident providing a more convenient way for 15 victims to quickly and accurately report crimes and 16 proceed to their intended destination. Because so many people riding the subway are commuting or 17 18 visiting from other states or countries, this form allows victims to participate even if they will be 19 20 unavailable for further involvement in the Criminal Justice System. In addition, we have created a new 21 2.2 level of transparency regarding incidents or sexual 23 assault in New York City. In 2016, we launched 24 CompStat 2.0. For the first time, the public can conveniently access information about when major

2 crimes including rape are reported and then nearest intersection to where it occurred, all while 3 4 protecting the identity of the victims. department is also committed to transparency 5 regarding our investigation of sexual assaults. 6 7 2017, the department instituted a Semi-Annual Sex Crimes Case Review in which victim service agencies 8 review closed SVD cases. The goal of this review is 9 To receive constructive feedback in order 10 twofold: to improve the department's handling of sexual 11 12 assault cases and to provide participation organizations with a greater understanding of about 13 how the NYPD conducts sexual assault investigations. 14 15 We believe we are the second department in the 16 country to undertake such a review. At the core of the department's neighborhood policing strategy is 17 18 the principle that the NYPD will do everything it can to help victims of crime rebuild their lives. 19 20 2016, the department launched the Crime Victim Assistance Program or CVAP. Working with Safe 21 2.2 Horizon, the department has placed two advocates in 23 our precincts to assist crime victims. The advocates 24 help to mitigate trauma in the aftermath of crime, provide information to victims about the criminal 25

25

2 justice process, and help victims develop safety plans to prevent repeat victimization. They are also 3 advocates for needed services such as safe affordable 4 5 housing, emergency NYCHA transfers, victim compensation, medical care or public benefits, and 6 7 link victims to other local service providers for additional and more specialized assistance. CVAP is 8 currently operating in over two-thirds of our 9 10 precincts, and will be in every precinct and PSA by the end of this summer. Given the national research 11 12 about persistent under-reporting, the department has also launched now a multi-media campaign entitled The 13 14 Call is Yours, emphasizing that reporting is the 15 victim's choice to make and that doing so can stop a 16 perpetrator, and connect the victim to important resources and services. A week ago, we launched the 17 18 social media portion of this campaign, and soon you will see posters on subways, buses, and today—as of 19 20 today a motion graphic in our taxis. This is a time of great challenge when it comes to investigating sex 21 2.2 crimes, but it is also one of great opportunity. 23 goal is simple: Support victims, hold offenders 24 accountable, and prevent further crime.

initiatives I have discussed are only a few of the

considered today.

many changes we have made over the last four years to benefit all victims of crime including sexual assault victims. The department welcomes an open dialogue with the Council on how to further improve. External assessments of the department's work such as the OIG report or hearings such as this present opportunities for improvement. Any such external assessment, however, must be done in a comprehensive, accurate and responsible manner. The worst consequence of not doing so is that victim con—confidence in the police, which has steadily increased over recent years, could be eroded. I will now turn it over to Director Oleg Chernyavsky who will discuss the legislation being

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Good morning Council Members. Good morning. I'd also like to echo your colleagues and Chief Monahan in wishing you a happy birthday to Chair Richards. I'd like to-

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN:

[interposing] Did I forget to say happy birthday?

Happy Birthday.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: What better way to spend your birthday than getting justice.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: I agree. No better way.

> CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: I'd like to first note that that pursuant to Local Law 70 of 2013, which created the Office of the NYPD Inspector General, the Police Department was provided with 90 days within which to review and formally respond to allegations, findings and recommendations contained in a report issued by the OIG. We were disheartened that the OIG omitted necessary information in exploring the topic of sex crime investigations by our department before coming to their conclusion and recommendations. example, had OIG spoken with our Deputy Commissioner for Information Technology, they may have gained a better understanding of the capabilities of our case management system. Had the OIG contacted our Chief of Strategic Initiatives, they may have received greater clarity with respect to department staffing decisions. If the Chief of Detectives was approached by OIG, he-he would have informed them about relevant investigative methods, or had the Deputy Commissioner of Collaborative Policing been interviewed, she would have spoken about the great work this department has

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 done to improve our response to the victims of crime. Unfortunately, these and other necessary 3 4 investigative steps as well as the myriad positive 5 steps undertaken by this department in this area were absent from the report. We are likewise concerned 6 7 that the Council chose to pre-introduce some of OIG's recommendations as legislative proposals on 48 hours 8 after the report was published without allowing the 9 department to avail itself of the statutory 90-day 10 review period enacted by this body. We intend on 11 12 using this review period to study the data and-and data analysis and methodology employed by OIG. For 13 reasons we intend to more thorough-thoroughly set out 14 15 in our formal response due at the end of May, we 16 respectfully oppose the legislation being heard today for the following reasons: 17

Preconsidered Intro 28—T2018-1709

requires a case management system be created with specific criteria as well as policy that limits access. Such policies are required to be published on our website. The bill requires that the system be able to search and track investigations of the Special Victims Division, and be able to conduct automated analysis of the performance of SVD as a

_	
2	whole as well as the performance of personnel
3	assigned to SVD and the timeliness of investigations.
4	The department's current case management system
5	called the Enterprise Case Management System or ECMS
6	provides assigned case investigators with the ability
7	to conduct such searches and track cases.
8	Furthermore, access to the most sensitive cases
9	including those being investigated by SVD and
10	homicide squads are restricted and can only be viewed
11	by a limited number of personnel. The department
12	places a great deal of emphasis on the ensuring a
13	high level of performance in its ranks. Over the
14	last four years, we've revamped our performance
15	evaluations by removing quantitative standards and
16	replaced them with qualitative standards. These
17	quality—this quality driven approach has enabled us
18	to reduce crime to levels not seen in 70 years
19	bucking national trends while at the same time
20	reducing the number of arrests and summonses.
21	Although personnel evaluations occur on a daily
22	basis, legislative integration of this responsibility
23	into an investigative case management system is
24	inappropriate. Furthermore, placing the clock that
	1

dictates the timeliness of investigations is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

antithetical to this quality driven model, and more importantly, ignores the great work we have done with our advocate partners for the benefit of survivors of sex crimes. Our investigations follow a survivor centered approach where a survivor controls the pace of our investigation through their level of participation without pressure and on their timeline not that of a mandated countdown in a computer.

Preconsidered Intro T2018-1710 presumably requires SVD investigators to complete at least 10 weeks of specialized training such as FETI before interacting with victims. In fact, the bill prohibits any engagement with victims prior to completion of this 10-week course. As Deputy Commission Herman has indicated in her testimony, not only does the department employ the FETI training method and has trained all SVD investigators and supervisors in this discipline, there is also significant demands of general investigative training and special victim specific training that takes places. We believe in FETI, but FETI builds on many weeks of relevant training that all of our officers receive, and the enhanced level of training that our special victims investigators undergo. It is unclear

1

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

why the bill chooses to dilute the Police Commissioner's authority by dictating a particular method and duration of training. This type of dayto-day oversight must remain in the discretion of the agency head so that he or she can ensure the most current and accepted methods are employed especially in the most sensitive of cases. Likewise, although the department should, in fact, continue to have every special victims investigator trained in the most advanced disciplines, it is inconceivable that a survivor -- [coughs] that a survivor should languish because the only investigators available at the moment are ones that have not been trained pursuant to a Local Law.

Preconsidered Intro T1018-1711 requires the department use and evidence based staffing model to determine staffing in SVD and specifies factors that must go into this model. The bill further requires that the department prepare an annual report that requires among other things disclosures of staffing and caseload broken by-broken down by hours spent on a case. The investigative time spent tracking models employed in this bill and T-2018-1709 are troubling give the survivor centered approach

both we and advocates have worked so hard to embed
within department policy and practices. The
department appreciates our partnership with the
Council thus far in ensuring adequate funding for our
growing staffing needs. The requirements of this
bill, however, erode and encroach upon the most basic
responsibilities of the Police Commissioner to manage
this agency and its personnel. SVD cases are complex,
and each have unique variables that cannot be easily
quantified. Therefore, the determination of proper
staffing levels must be based on an ongoing
assessment of such unique and case specific factors,
not models employed in less than a handful of smaller
jurisdictions with significantly fewer supplemental
resources that can be called upon. As the head of
the largest police department in the nation tasked
with protecting the millions that live, work and
visit the city, it is the Police Commissioner's duty
to determine the proper staffing levels of the
various regular and specialized investigative units
that compromise this agency. This—this
responsibility cannot and should not be legislated
and any agency head cannot be so constrained.

COMMITTEE ON W
department to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Finally, Intro 444 requires the department to provide new recruits with sensitivity training when responding to survivors of gender-based street harassment and sexual assault. Additionally, the legislation calls for the provision of biannual training for all police officers on responding to survivors of gender-based street harassment and sexual assault. Chief Monahan and Deputy Commissioner Herman have both provided detailed descriptions of the type of sex crimes training of uniformed members of this department receive. I would also like to supplement that by adding the-by adding that our recruit curriculum at the Police Academy includes a lecture and scenario-based instruction on LGBTQ issues, which ensure participants recognize and appreciate the diversity and concerns of this community and how to provide culture-culturally competent service with courtesy, professionalism and respect. Fair and impartial policing is also covered and recruits are educated on the efforts of bias and prejudice-on the effects of bias and prejudice in the police profession. Moreover, other relevant sections in the Academy

cover investigative report writing, sex offenses, and

25

2 other crimes against persons. These sections teach recruits interview techniques and report preparation 3 including for sex crimes, the elements of penal law 4 sex crimes and harassment as well as the proper 5 response to crime victims with a significant-with a 6 7 significant focus on responding to sex crimes. for our existing officers, in 2017, our Training 8 Bureau created a training module that addresses 9 survivors of sex assault. This video based lesson 10 presented by the Police Commissioner was developed in 11 12 conjunction with victim advocates emphasizing the 13 importance of building strong cases, but more importantly, treating sexual assault victims with 14 15 compassion and respect. It is-it also emphasizes in 16 no uncertain terms that rape is rape whether it is 17 committed by a stranger or non-stranger. Given the 18 sheer importance of this topic the Police Commissioner directed that the viewing of this video 19 20 is mandatory for all uniformed officers. We are ready to engage with the Council as we have done in 21 2.2 the past, and as we have already done with advocates 23 to elicit input and recommendations with respect to the content and frequency of our existing training. 24

We do, however, have concerns about the mandates in

2	this legislation. The law also seems to redefine the
3	definition of what is a state law charge, and
4	requires training on how to detect such behavior. We
5	recognize the importance of gender based harassment
6	wherever it occurs, and currently accept complaints
7	from victims and conduct investigations in regard to
8	allegations of this illegal behavior. Although we do
9	not agree with the approach take in the four bills
LO	being heard today, we look forward to continued
L1	dialogue with members and advocates in regard to this
L2	vitally important topic. There remains much work to
L3	be done, and we are seeking the Council's partnership
L 4	on ideas for more programming to further encourage
L5	survivors to report and on making our facilities more
L 6	survivor centered. I'd also like to invite members
L7	of the Council to meet with us after this hearing is
L8	concluded to learn more about the type of systems.
L9	For example, our Case Management System that were not
20	covered in the DOI report so we can further this
21	discussion. Thank you and we look forward to
22	answering any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Oleg, and we are joined by Council Member Brannan now. So, let me start off by one, thanking you all and

23

24

1 2 certainly thanking the SVD detectives for the work that they're doing day in and day out, and I want to 3 recognize-I know Deputy Chief Osgood is here who's 4 5 done a lot of good work in this unit, and I'm staring to take just a little bit of-I'm a little concerned 6 7 about your testimony, and-and I-you know, I-we've looked at your [off mic] own internal memo. [on mic] 8 So, is it safe to say DOI has internal memos that 9 10 were produced by Osgood and others requesting more staff perhaps and more resources in their unit? So, 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Correct. Council Members, as-as I started and I think as-as the-the Chief and the Commissioner mentioned in their testimony that statutorily we have 90 days to respond to DOI's testimony. Clearly, they've attached exhibits to their report, which certainly paint a partial picture of the department and its operations, but there were serious omissions that took place as well, and we believe--

are they pulling staffing issues out of the air if

your own internal memos reflect the need for more

staffing? These are all your memos.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] But, I-I hear that, but victims don't have 90 days, and is

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 56
2	it safe to say—so, you haven't reviewed any of your
3	own internal memos on this issue as it
4	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] But
5	Council Member, we're currently reviewing the report
6	and the recommendations and accusations and
7	allegations made in the report. As to victims and
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
9	Allegations
10	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] As to
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:but memos coming
12	from your internal department?
13	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Correct and that
14	paints certainly a partial picture, but these
15	decisions
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] I'm-
17	I'm confused. So, it
18	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] If I can
19	finish.
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.
21	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: What these-what the
22	memos show is certainly a request. What it doesn't
23	show is the balancing of incoming request and needs
24	of the entire department.

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 57
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
3	Right.
4	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: And that's what the
5	executive staff is tasked with doing is collecting
6	the needs and the requests from the various units and
7	bureaus that make up the department and addressing
8	those requests and need in—in a balanced approach,
9	but that is—I do want to highlight a couple of
10	points. One-one main point is that the training that
11	the department has done and I think all three has
12	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] I
13	don't want to get into the training because it's
14	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] Well, I
15	think that's-that's
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:but speaks to
17	the staffing is where I'll be concerned.
18	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Well, I understand. I
19	understand. So,
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] The
21	training as well, but staffing.
22	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] The
23	depart-what the department is doing on a regular

depart—what the department is doing on a regular basis is evaluating its staffing, its training and—and all of the needs of every bureau. So, when you

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 talk about staffing, there's a quarterly assessment that's done with respect to staffing. 3 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] And 4 5 it's done by OMAP? 6 OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Well, no. 7 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: No? 8 OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: That's-it's done by the entire hierarchy of the department--9 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: --to include the Chief of Detectives, OMAP, the Police Commissioner as well and the entire executive staff, and what those quarterly assessments have led us to do is increase the staffing at least under this administration by roughly 47-49% including today's influx of attorneyof-of investigators of the-of SVD. What the-what the Quarterly Assessment enabled us to do is view in the first quarter of this year, view the cases and the workload of SVD and determine that a Cold Case Unit needed to be created and staffing needed to be allocated for that Cold Case Unit. What our second quarterly assessment showed us was that one-third of cases-of rape cases being reported there is an increase in reporting, but the important piece here

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 59
2	is one-third of that increase is out of period
3	complaints. A third of those complaints are more
4	than five years old. These are particularly
5	challenging
6	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
7	Okay, okay.
8	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY:complaints to
9	investigate
LO	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
L1	Okay.
L2	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY:and the Commissioner
L3	again increased staffing by another 20 investigators
L4	that are being allocated as
L5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So,
L 6	the working group in 2010 obviously came up with some
L7	recommendations, and—and one of those recommendations
L8	was increasing staff. So, speak to your staff
L9	levels. I don't know if you can go back to 2010 to
20	now. In the SVD Unit, I want to know about
21	retention. I want to know about how many white
22	shields were in that department. How long did
23	individuals stay? So, can you speak to the number of

people, and is it safe to say that there was 65%

2.2

increase in caseload while staff numbers stayed
stagnant?

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [coughs] What I can give you is the number of investigators that we had.

Back in 2010, there was 149 investigators assigned to Special Victims Division. By 2000 and-

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Can you break down, and okay, so we hear 149--

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] Well again, this is something that we're still working on as we look at the report. So, we can get that for you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, we can't have—so, you came unprepared to this hearing when you know we were going to raise these questions?

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Well, Council Member respectfully--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So,

I want to—so what I'm looking for is—

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] - respectfully, I mean that--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Hold on one second. What I'm looking for are not just numbers of 149 people. We want to know what are

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN those-what levels of rank these officers or detectives were at that were in this particular unit, and-and you were given advance notice that we were going to raise this question. So, this--OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: [interposing] Understood and what-what the over-oversight topic here is-is the makeup of SVD, and what we're doing. Going back to 2010, which is certainly the-what the OIG Report does and does a comprehensive analysis, and that is something we have 90 days to respond to going back to 2010. What we're prepared to talk about is what SVD is doing today, what the staffing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

levels are today. We have 2017 staffing levels as well, but if you want a comprehensive 8-year analysis that is fed by the OIG reports, that is something that we're going to be taking 90 days to respond to.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, once again, you came unprepared with the numbers.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: No, we did not.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I-and it's fair, we're going to go through 2017 numbers because conveniently just on Friday there was some new announcements on staffing, but can you break down how many were in the Adults Unit, Adult Victims Unit?

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 62
2	Can you break down how many were in the Hate Crimes
3	Unit? So, can you give us a breakdown of where these
4	numbers come from?
5	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Alright I can give you
6	the-the Adult-Adult Crime Unit. Last year an
7	aggregate number for 2017 we had 72 detectives
8	assignedinvest-white shield and gold shield total.
9	In the beginning
10	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
11	That's including who?
12	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: That's white shield
13	and gold shield.
14	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: How many were
15	white shield?
16	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: The exact breakdown
17	because it changes on a monthly basis. We'll have to
18	work on that to get it to you.
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Is there any way
20	we can get that
21	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: That's part of what
22	we're doing on a 90-day basis.
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:while we're
24	sitting here?

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Excuse me.

-
-
_

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Is there any way
3 to get that information? I mean it's only 72
4 officers in this unit. So, is it—can someone get

5

that information while we're here.

6

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: How many white shield

CHIEF MONAHAN: What is he asking for?

8

7

and gold shields we have.

9

CHIEF MONAHAN: Oh, we could pull that

10

out by the end of the meeting today, sir.

11

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, thank you.

12

You may continue.

13

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Alright. In the

14

15

the investigations, and as of today, it goes up to

beginning of 2018, that number went up to 80 doing

16

100 in the adult squads.

17

18

factual basis for your-your staffing levels? So, how

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And what's the

19

do you determine-so I heard you say, you know,

20

obviously the department there—there are a lot of

21

request that—that come in. It still seems to me that

22

little bit over 5,600 complaints is—is—is not enough

72 investigators, investigating close to 50-over-a

24

staffing. What would you say to that?

25

2 CHIEF BOYCE: Well, I-let me start by 3 saying that a lot of this is done-if you look at the 4 Detective Bureau, we've changed radically in the last 5 four years, during my tenure there as Chief of Detectives. So we added a lot of units, but there's 6 7 nothing more important than a crime against a woman, the sex crimes that we see. So, we've added 8 specialty squads as well to the Special Victims 9 Division, and I didn't see that come out in this 10 report. We added the Transit Squad, the DNA Squad, 11 12 the Cold Case Squad, and we did changes, dramatic 13 changes to how we close cases as well. We also 14 brought in FETI, and we also started the Crime 15 Victims Assistance Program with our partners in the 16 same. So, a lot has been done. Now, we've purposely always made at least half the catching cases of a 17 18 precinct numeric detective squad because we believe the cases are so advanced, and it's important to do 19 20 that. We looked back and we saw this big increase the last two quarters of the year mostly built on 21 2.2 cases out of that year. So, right now I think it's 23 35% is cases out of the catching year when they have 24 it. So, the message is getting out. People are

coming forward. So, we have to add more people to

2	that, and that's what we're doing now. Would I like
3	more detectives? I sure would, but I also know that
4	when we have a request for additional personnel, we
5	have other issues in the city as well, and that has
6	to be weighed with that. It's only fair to do that.
7	Am I comfortable with what my detectives are doing
8	right now in Special Victims? I am. I think they're
9	doing amazing work. Can I get them more? We are.
10	We're here to tell you that, but that's been going or
11	for quite some time now in this past year when we see
12	this change that's happening. Throughout the country
13	not just in New York. So, we also do opioids. We
14	also do gangs. We do a lot of things in the
15	Detective Bureau, but this is particularly important,
16	and I think it—the information I see especially
17	training. These are the most highly trained
18	detectives I have in my Detective Bureau by far and
19	no one is even close.
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, white shields
21	you would consider?
22	CHIEF BOYCE:
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:
24	CHIEF BOYCE:

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:

2.2

CHIEF BOYCE: White shields get the same training, sir. White shields get CIC. Let me explain it to you. They get CIC as soon as they come in, Criminal and the Investigator's Course, which everybody gets. They then go onto get FETI training. They also get sex crimes training. It's Special Victims training specifically towards that that not everybody gets. They also get intact training that goes along biannually throughout the year.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Can you explain what white shield officers are? So, these are officers who are--

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Correct.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: --beginning?

CHIEF BOYCE: Some of these officers it came out that they're rookies. They're not rookies at all. Alright, Chief Monahan spoke that the process to get into is harder than any other process we have. So, two out of ten, 20% only make it who are acceptable to be brought in. Normally speaking, it's about 50% of the rest of the squads. Chief Osgood and make myself, and I make Chief Osgood interview and all my chiefs. Have 18 chiefs working for me.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 67
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And-and you
3	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Everybody
4	that comes into the squad.
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And can you go
6	through other units that take white shields.
7	CHIEF BOYCE: Sure, I-I can give Osgood as
8	a major
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So,
10	does a major case take while-white shields then?
11	CHIEF BOYCE: No, they do not, and I'll
12	explain that as well.
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Does
14	the Bank Robbery task force take
15	CHIEF BOYCE: Let me explain, sir. You
16	have to frame that because that's not fair.
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.
18	CHIEF BOYCE: Alright. You have to frame
19	that properly. Those are specialty squads. What
20	we're talking about here today is those—those are
21	catching squads. There is a big difference. There
22	is specialty squads within the Special Victims
23	Division such as the DNA Squad and the Cold Case

Squad. That's a career path towards those units.

would like to--

So, you can't compare a specialty unit and a-and a catching unit.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Does Homicide take white shields?

CHIEF BOYCE: No, it does not.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, we are putting

perhaps inexperience, I'll give it to you they get some training, but you're putting inexperienced officers who are dealing with the most delicate situation when—when it comes to sex assault, violence. We find and I—I believe that this is one of the recommendations from the working group going back to 2010 with their concern about unexperienced officers and particular starting to do work in this particular crew. So, I'm just a little taken aback that we would put white shields into a position (1) where this is delicate. You know, we are dealing with people who are in their most vulnerable state, people who have been taken advantage of, and it seems to me that we're victimizing victims all over again.

CHIEF BOYCE: I would disagree with that.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, why are—so I

/

2.2

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] I disagree with you on that.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I don't believe they're okay in this particular squad and not okay in homicide—on the homicide side and other particular task force that the NYPD has.

CHIEF BOYCE: Again, you're going with catching squads that have the same detectives who go into specialized numeric units throughout the city who learn the same.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, but you're saying that--

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Let me—let me just frame this a little more broadly. It—at one time Special Victims squads were assigned to borough detective commands. We found that that was a problem many years ago, and changed it and made it a freestanding division by itself under one Chief so we can work—bring back more of an experienced and more of a technical ability and develop our own people there. So that's what we wanted to do. When we found out that the old model when it was not centrally located, it was in the borough commands that they were given people that they shouldn't have

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 70
2	been given because of the sensitivity of the
3	assignment. So, we want to train our own people, and
4	I will say I think Chief Monahan said it, our
5	supervisors have over 8 years experience and most of
6	our detectives the average is over 6 years. 6.6. So
7	there is a lot of experience there to teach young
8	officers or young detectives or supervisors-
9	investigators I should say coming in and that's not-
10	and the level of 20% acceptance is the highest in the
11	Detective Bureau.
12	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right.
13	CHIEF BOYCE: That's why it is
14	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] But

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] But there's still an issue with that. You're putting new officers in and I understand you're doing the 40 hours of training--

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] The new investigators—the new investigators. Not all of them.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: The new investigators. Okay, we'll call them new investigators, but why should they be in a unit where you are dealing with people who have experienced trauma at different levels. Obviously, very high

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 71 1 2 levels in some case. Why would we be put-be putting-3 it's similar to the—to the argument of impact where you're putting rookie officer in some of the most 4 dangerous neighborhoods--5 CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] I would 6 7 disagree with that. 8 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: --without that 9 experience 10 CHIEF BOYCE: I disagree with that. I reject that, sir. It's not impact at all. There-11 12 there are mixed group. 13 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] It's 14 very similar, though. 15 CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] I don't think 16 so. 17 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: If you're putting 18 new officers--CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Stop with the 19 20 script. 21 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: --where people 2.2 have experienced high levels of trauma without the

have experienced high levels of trauma without the experience of knowing how to raise a question, and—and we're not pulling this out of air. We've heard from advocates. We've heard from individuals who

23

24

2.2

2	have had to go through particular interviews with
3	this unit where there have been inappropriate
4	comments made. So, it seems to me that if you're
5	going to put people in SVD, they need to be the most
6	experienced who are capable of dealing with people a
7	a high level, and I don't think that's too much to
8	ask for.

CHIEF BOYCE: I would disagree with you.

This impact of killing. That wouldn't go, sir. That
has nothing to do with impact. We are selecting--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] I'm not-I'm just making--

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Well, you said it and I'm going to reject it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yeah.

CHIEF BOYCE: It's as simple as that. So, if you look at the people we put in there with quality people, we are building this unit we believe with the best people we have. So, we don't want people from other units. We want to—specifically selected people to grow on, and we've done that, and we've given them a career path to go before that. It is not all white shields who come in. We have detectives coming from other units as well, and we—

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN /3
2	and we mixed them in it as well. So, we believe this
3	is the best path we have right now. It is to bring
4	units in and have their careers spent in the Special
5	Victims Division.
6	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, let's-let's
7	stay on the subject for a second. So, the homicide
8	is a great division, correct?
9	CHIEF BOYCE: It's a specialty squad,
10	sir. It's not a catching division.
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Sorry, I didn't
12	hear you.
13	CHIEF BOYCE: It's a specialty squad.
14	It's smaller than Special Victims.
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. So, why
16	isn't SVD a graded unit? So, it seems to me
17	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] And when you
18	say graded, what does that mean?
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Different ranks.
20	So, people having the opportunity to move up in the
21	ranks, right. So
22	CHIEF BOYCE: They do in Special Victims.
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: They do at SVD?

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 74 1 2 CHIEF BOYCE: Yes. They can-they-they go-3 can go to the DNA Squad or the Cold Case Squad. Those are two career paths for them. 4 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: That's not the 5 information that we have. 6 CHIEF BOYCE: I'm telling you. This is 7 my-this is my bureau, and this is-they can go to as 8 far as it is a grade. That's where they're taken 9 from. They're usually not taken from anywhere else 10 11 but that. 12 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, but there's 13 still an issue here. In other units you are able to move up to a graded-you're able to be graded. 14 15 CHIEF BOYCE: The Homicide squads or a 16 specialty squad. 17 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right. 18 CHIEF BOYCE: --pulled from-in-in each borough. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Well, why these be given that same priority? 21 2.2 CHIEF BOYCE: I think they are. I think 23 they're give the opportunity to-to go to a graded squad. To-I'm sorry, to a-to a promotional spot and 24

that's where they are in those units.

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN /5
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So,
3	can you name some of the promotional spots that some
4	of the individuals who went through SVD have moved
5	to?
6	CHIEF BOYCE: Sure, I-I mean I won't-I
7	won't embarrass the detectives by giving you their
8	names.
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And if you can
10	give a breakdown of it.
11	CHIEF BOYCE: But I do. It's-it's
12	easily for anybody else, but I'll give it to you
13	again here. It's we had four detectives last year g
14	into second grade. That's two-two-one lieutenant,
15	and I believe two went two who went to first grade,
16	but I-I'll have to look. I know four went to second
17	grade.
18	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] And
19	can you use percentages based on
20	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Okay.
21	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:those who have
22	gone from homicide, those, you know, who have moved
23	up there compared to those in the SVD Unit as well?

you on that because I don't have percentages on-

CHIEF BOYCE: I would have to get back to

24

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 76
2	across the city, but again that's a-those are-they
3	aspire to specialty squads. They usually get a grade
4	once they get there.
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, you said there
6	were four. So, four-
7	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Four last
8	year in 2017
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Four
10	last year.
11	CHIEF BOYCE:that made the second
12	grade.
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: That made the
14	second grade and out of how many?
15	CHIEF BOYCE: I'd have to look at the
16	number. Out of the whole unit.
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, four out of
18	the whole unit.
19	CHIEF BOYCE: Right.
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, it doesn't
21	seem like we've shown or they're showing that there's
22	a real path for that.
23	CHIEF BOYCE: Four to second grade

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right.

2.2

CHIEF BOYCE: --and one-one lieutenant.

So, you have to have go across the whole city for that, and I-I have 10 -I have 8 detective boroughs in 10 divisions. So, we have only a finite number of promotions. I-I think it's fair to be honest with you. I wish it was more to be honest. I would-
CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right.

CHIEF BOYCE: --but, and it right now.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] But it may be hard for you, and if we looked at retention numbers it may be hard for you to retain--

CHIEF BOYCE: Retain? What do you mean by retain

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: --people with the SVD Unit. So, so can you speak to how long particular people in this unit have served for or we can ask Deputy Chief Osgood to come up. I have no--

CHIEF BOYCE: Sure he can come up, but I just told you before we have an average 6.6 years of experience right now and catching adults squads. The sergeants have 8.1 years experience in those squads.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: So, I can add just with the adult squads. You have seven second grade

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 78
2	detectives assigned just to the Adult Squad. Six
3	first graders at the
4	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
5	Wait, slow down, slow down. Say that again.
6	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Seven second grade
7	detective assigned to the Adult squads, six first
8	grade detectives assigned to the Adult Squads. The
9	current breakdown of the detectives to-to white
LO	shields this is going back to the end of 2017 with 63
L1	detectives, 13 white shields in the adult squads.
L2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And that's a
L3	total—so 63 last year.
L 4	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: That was—that was a
L5	total of 76 at the point at the end, right at the end
L 6	of 2017.
L7	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, 63 detectives,
L8	13 white shields. How many second grade?
L 9	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Six—seven second
20	grades, six first grades. So, 13 out of the—out of
21	the 76 at grade 11.
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, let's

speak through caseloads a little bit. So, obviously

there's been a lot of concern around the staffing

23

24 from the precinct detective squads. They're told 25 shields.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: And five-five came

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 80
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: so, we're moving
3	back. So, now, what's the total of white shields
4	here? So, we're once again throwing in the least
5	experienced into this particular department.
6	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Well, I mean
7	Council Member, let me correct that narrative. You
8	keep saying that they're the least experienced and I
9	understand that—
10	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Yes,
11	when you're dealing with people who have gone through
12	sexual trauma
13	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: [interposing] And I
14	understand that—I I'd like to say that
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:we need
16	individuals
17	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: [interposing] Right
18	and I'd like to-I'd like to again repeat that we
19	understand that the DOI Report is now feeding the
20	entire line of questioning, and that we were not
21	called upon
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] It's
23	not DOI. This hearing was
24	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: [interposing] But—

5 | but--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:

[interposing] this

1

2

3

4

report.

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

hearing was being schedule way before DOI did their DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: [interposing] Well,

I understand, but I mean I think-I think it's true to say that given-given the opening statements and the questions we've heard so far they're primarily based on-on the report that-that omitted vital information from it, right? So, to call these—these investigators as inexperienced is very misleading. Both chiefs have said that the average experience of an investigator coming into SVD is 6.6 years, 20% of which are only approved, right out of the total-out of the total applicants only 20% of the applicants are accepted. The applicants that are in there areare-have an average of 6.6 years. The supervisors have an average of eight 8.1 years, right. On top of this experience that they've gained throughout the department, they also undergo some of the most intensive training nationally, right, to include FETI training. So, to keep repeating that these individuals are somehow ineffective or deficient I believe is wrong.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We can disagree to disagree, but we believe that--

CHIEF BOYCE: Just-just-just-if I can

just add onto that. [laughter] You can't necessarily say a great robbery detective investigator or burglary is going to be a great Special Victim crime investigator. You can't make that assumption because it's entirely up to the supervisors in the Special Victims Division to-to identify persons who are going to be sympathetic and most ability to get information from people, and understand the business itself. So, to automatically say just because they're experienced in one area, they're going to do great, if they're a narcotics investigator they're going to do very well in Special Victims, I don't think that that's an accurate statement.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And according to the DOI Report, the department believes that a caseload of 93 cases per year is appropriate. Do you agree?

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: [off mic] Well, we added more investigators.

1 2 CHIEF BOYCE: Well, we added more 3 investigators. We saw there was an uptick and we 4 countered that uptick with more people coming in. 5 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Can 6 you speak a little higher. 7 CHIEF BOYCE: Higher? Oh, speak a little louder. 8 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Louder, louder. 9 Sorry. [laughs] Higher, louder into the mic. 10 CHIEF BOYCE: So, I can tell you that 93 11 12 is not an optimal number --13 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, that has been 14 a flash back to that marijuana hearing, but go ahead. 15 [laughs] We love it. 16 CHIEF BOYCE: So, I will tell you now the 17 projection right now is 58 cases a year, and it 18 doesn't mean they all carry 58 at once. So, 91 they didn't carry ones. They averaged 8 to 10 cases a 19 20 year at any given time. So, '91 was the course of the year. So, most detectives this is just a 21 2.2 projection or anticipated that they carry 8 to 10 23 cases at any given time. With the new influx or

influx of investigators, that will come down between

5 and 6 a month. So, we will see less and less.

24

84 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So on average? 3 CHIEF BOYCE: More time to work with 4 them. 5 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: From that 93 6 number you'll see five or six? 7 CHIEF BOYCE: We think it's going to be 58, the average now, our projections. 8 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, and let's-9 let's just go back through—so let's look at obviously 10 domestic rapes and acquaintance rapes for a second. 11 12 Do these take the same priority within your division? CHIEF BOYCE: Most certainly, and here's 13 14 the ting with that. When you have a rape is a rape, 15 and it's a violent sexual assault on someone. 16 it's a different investigation. The starting points are different. With a domestic rape, just about 17 18 99.9% they're identified perpetrators. investigation is accelerated at that point. 19 20 you're starting from a different starting point, and that has to be under-understood that now we're 21 2.2 building-we're working the case to solidify the 23 prosecution against this individual. So, you start at a different area in the investigation, and I could 24

give you a whole-we have 12 investigative step that

COMMITTEE OF

2.2

we—that we require. So, that brings you to a different starting point. It's not that we take them any less seriously. Of course we do. It's taken quite seriously and at the same level. A stranger puts us—put us at a different part of the investigation. The starting point is quite different.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And how many precinct level adult sex crime investigations occurred in local precincts rather than in the SVD?

make that very clear. So, when a patrol makes a summary arrest, arrests someone at the scene of the crime where it's directly thereafter, that is the only that a precinct detective squad will enhance that arrest. There are guy who really do the same thing that the Special Victims Division does. They will interview the victim. They will interview the perpetrator. They will get corroborating evidence as they can. They will go out there and assist patrol in getting evidence, any forensics they might have. And a litany of other things as well. So, that is—and they do that everyday any time patrol makes an arrest on a felony arrest it's enhanced by the

86 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 Detective Squad. So that's something they do. So, any time it's-unless it's a stranger rape. If it's a 3 4 stranger rape, Special Victims comes and goes and 5 does the investigation immediately, they're immediately on the job. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But you can see what our concern is with that. 8 CHIEF BOYCE: I do and I want to explain 9 10 it to you and I see the concern--CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. 11 12 CHIEF BOYCE: -- and I think it's a fine 13 point. 14 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So, 15 we're getting back to like the white shield patrol 16 officers sort of conversation again --17 CHIEF BOYCE: I disagree. 18 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: --as opposed to SVD taking on these particular cases. So, is this a 19 20

question of staffing levels again? Does this go back to we need more staff?

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF BOYCE: Again, this is summary arrest only where we make an arrest at the scene. Everything else is handled by the Special Victims Division. So, it has to be a point that you-you take

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 87
2	your finite resources and use them mostly-most
3	efficiently and most intelligently, and that's what's
4	worked for us. So, the sector squads are very good
5	at doing-at doing exactly that. FETI training if
6	your question goes there
7	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Yes.
8	CHIEF BOYCE:can only begin after the
9	first sleep cycle of the victim. So, it's really
10	very-it's not relevant initially
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right.
12	CHIEF BOYCE:at the time of the thing.
13	So that's-that's have to be there. So, FETI training
14	they don't have to be FETI trained when you-
15	initially. Someone else will get that. We'll
16	develop the case from there.
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But a patrol
18	officer would not have received that particular
19	training?
20	CHIEF BOYCE: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, and what
22	can lead-what issues can arise from SVD not dealing

with these issues opposed to having a patrol officer

deal with these particular issues? 24

88 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 CHIEF BOYCE: I don't understand your 3 question? 4 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, a patrol officer responding, somebody is going through 5 6 intensive trauma and SVD not responding, but the 7 local precinct responding. 8 CHIEF BOYCE: Right. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Have your heard of 9 10 any issues that have arisen? CHIEF BOYCE: So, we would as the nurses 11 12 in there to call Special Victims direct and they do. At the time I can't tell you how many time they 13 didn't, but we asked them to do that to get us 14 15 involved almost immediately in the case. So, we 16 don't-we prefer our best person there at the scene 17 for any-for any incident or sexual assault. Uniformed officers are trained for sensitivity and 18 respect, but I need some detective there to 19 20 understand the Rules of Evidence, and so we can see immediately because evidence is sometimes late (sic). 21 2.2 So, I need a detective there who understands that who 23 can get those statements from them. So, we have-

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] And-

and I--

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CHIEF BOYCE: --and I'll respond to the hospital as best-as best can. We have a Late Tour Unit which we never had before. We added that as well.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But what I'm going to get back at again is once again complaints from victims on individuals who are dealing with them that are not SVD who have complaints or certainly heard inappropriate things from local precincts when they're taken in. So, this gets hack to the conversation if this is a priority to the NYPD, we need to ensure that those who have the highest levels of training and standards are dealing with sexual assault victims and those who have endured sexual violence and throughout your testimony, I have not heard a real acknowledgement of that I've just heard we're okay with using white shields. I have not heard that that you are serious and yes we added 20 Friday, but once again, we're going back to the white shield conversation, and it doesn't say that this particular department is taking this issue seriously.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Again, obviously patrol can always get better. We always as an agency can get better in the way we treat these survivors.

2	But to just kind of make some distinctions. What
3	you're talking about, the only time Special Victims
4	does not come out is if the arrest is made right
5	away. We respond to the scene, and the perpetrator
6	is pointed out directly. That case is enhanced by
7	the precinct detective squad to get the information
8	like they do with every other arrest that walks
9	through the doors of a precinct. If the survivor goes
10	to the hospital, and it's an ongoing case, Special
11	Victims is notified. Patrol will not be called to
12	the hospital. This is the only incident that patrol
13	does not come and take the initial report. So, for a
14	sex crime victim at a hospital notification is made
15	to sex crimes to take the report. If sex crimes is
16	unavailable due to another investigation that they
17	may be on, then a detective from the local squad
18	and/or night watch will respond. So, we'll have an
19	experienced investigator takin that initial
20	statement. We will not have a patrol car going to
21	that hospital to take the initial statement.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But this goes back to the question of staffing again and SVD should really be dealing with all of this, and we don't believe here at the Council that and this is why

we're entertaining these bills that you perhaps oppose, but we don't oppose ensure that victims with who are dealing with sexual assault and sexual violence are receiving the services that they so deserver and anything less of that is a failure on the department. So, SVD and we want to hear from Deputy Chief Osqood today because his memos certainly reflect the need and the seriousness of this situation, and unfortunately I'm still hearing today

2.2

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] I disagree with that.

that it's not a priority. That we are taking minimal

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I won't say it's not a priority, but we're taking minimal steps to fill gaps with, I'm sorry, from my opinion inexperienced individuals who should not really be dealing with people who have experienced this level of trauma. So, I'm going to pass the mic over Council Member Rosenthal and come back for a second round because we have colleagues that want to hear questions—that have questions, but once again, I'm not hearing how we're certainly making this a priority and how we're really prioritizing victims in a way that they just so—so deserve.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

CHIEF BOYCE: I have to completely object to that—that line or state. I think as an NYPD we have done more than any other agency in this country in how we deal, how we've trained our investigators, how we've dealt with FETI training, the advocacy work that we're doing with those survivors, how we work with the victims advocates each and every day. How the Commissioner just met on March 5th with all the advocates to see what we can do better. There is a ton of work being done. I take umbrage to the-to the fact that you believe that we don't take this serious as it is. The NYPD we can always get better in everything that we do, but we are at the top level in everything and in dealing with survivors this is extremely important to us. That's why we've made changes, that's why we've gone from a Special Victims Unit from 149 in 2010 to 238 today. This is something we take extremely serious, and we will continue to.

appreciate those comments, Chief, but if we were really taking this serious, the six recommendations that the working group came up with in 2010, we wouldn't be talking about doing things in 2018. So,

	COINTITIES ON WORLD
2	I'm just a little confused at how we now in 2018
3	having a conversation about 2010 recommendations,
4	which were-which if were implemented we wouldn't be
5	here today, and once again, we can say we don't want
6	to look back to 20-before 2016 or 2017, but your own
7	internal memos show that there's a serious gap and a
8	serious need not only for new training or enhanced
9	training, but also more staffing, and you're
10	facilities need to be upgraded because unfortunately
11	victims are sitting in locations that if I were them
12	are not suitable or comfortable enough for them to—to
13	really be interviewed in the right way when they're
14	already facing some levels of-traumatization. So,
15	I'm not making these things up. Your own internal
16	memo say that
17	CHIEF MONAHAN: I will speak to the
18	Facilities
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:we have not
20	taken this seriously.
21	CHIEF MONAHAN: This is the first time
22	that I've heard this. (sic)
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And it's good that
24	we've arrived on last Friday before the hearing to

25 start to really address these things, but, you know,

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 94
2	I-you know, I just want to say as the Chair here, I
3	read through the report. I read through the internal
4	memos, and it's very disappointing that victims are
5	out there and—and while you spoke of more people
6	coming forward and reporting, that's not certainly
7	something you're internal memos show. It showed only
8	five percent at least according to Deputy Chief
9	Osgood that only 5% of individuals in this city are
10	really coming forward to report—
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN:
12	[interposing] So, can I comment on that?
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:these particular
14	issues. Listen, I-I don't want to go back and forth
15	on this.
16	CHIEF BOYCE: Just on certain a memo.
17	MALE SPEAKER: Okay, bye.
18	CHIEF BOYCE: What can't she answer that.
19	(sic)
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Because I already
21	knew what she's going to give me. She's going to
22	give that Oleg lawyer answer.
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: No, what I'm

going to give you is about the five percent is that we can all make a gut estimate about how many people

Τ	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 93
2	report. We can all say it's based on my experience,
3	it's my gut, it's 5. I'd rather go by the Justice
4	Department that has methodically surveyed victims
5	every year for decades and go by their percentage,
6	and I gave you that percentage. It's 23% last year
7	and before that, it was 30%.
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I understand that,
9	but your own internal memos show that—
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN:
11	[interposing] The one memo.
12	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:their belief
13	system that there's only five. So, we can go by the
14	Department of Justice or we can go by-
15	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Council Member—Council
16	Member I'm going to-
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:what you say
18	when no one is in public.
19	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY:this is the fourth
20	time already that you—you are waving around a report
21	that has not been fully investigated.
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: This is not the
23	report. These are your internal memos, right here.

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 96
2	CHIEF MONAHAN: Yes, right. I understand,
3	but it's entirely—it doesn't—it's part of the report.
4	It doesn't give full context to the information.
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.
6	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: You're nullifying our
7	statutory period to-to respond in this hearing.
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN:
9	[interposing] Created by you.
10	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: You're telling-you're
11	telling us during the hearing I don't want to hear
12	your answer to baseless allegations, right. That is
13	what's happening here.
14	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I don't think
15	they're baseless when you wrote them. [laughs]
16	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: No, they are baseless
17	because they're not put into context because they're
18	relative to the-
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So
20	do you believe Chief Osgood's memos?
21	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Because most of them
22	are in-
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] So,

can you say-so, can you respond on the record are

through each one, but let me-let me introduce one on

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 98 1 2 training. You said something about facilities and we actually agree with you on the facilities. Alright, 3 4 they are below standards. 5 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Progress. 6 CHIEF MONAHAN: So--? 7 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We agree on one 8 thing. CHIEF MONAHAN: I think so, but here's 9 10 the thing-11 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright. 12 CHIEF MONAHAN: --we've been looking to change those facilities for the last six-six months 13 14 to a year. So, we agree in some part. We disagree 15 vehemently on others. 16 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. We're going

to go Chair Rosenthal.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Thank you, everyone. Chair Richards, I actually am going to follow up on the points that you were just making and just word it a little differently. You know, in my mind's eye, there is no question that SVD is doing amazing work, and quite possibly better work than any other city in the country. I think that is true, but that's not the question today. The question today is

2	we're in New York City and we should have and we know
3	what the component parts are, but we should have a
4	world class system. We should have a world class
5	Special Victims Division. We shouldn't have better
6	than anyone else that—that doesn't mean a lot today,
7	and we're better than that. So, what disturbs me
8	and—and you're right, I am getting in front of what
9	your response will be but I need to say that if your
10	response is going to be with all the staffing needs
11	and all the complicated issues in New York City SVD
12	just couldn't get these resources that the Division
13	Chief is asking for, that will not be satisfactory,
14	and it will fall far short from what this Council has
15	done adding 1,200 new officers at the beginning of
16	last term. So, if you're telling me that in 2014,
17	when you created a brand new Grand Larceny Unit with
18	300 officers, and you're telling me that's more
19	important than the SVD, I'm going to have a lot of
20	problems with that. And excuse me if I get
21	emotional, but I'll tell you when I'm sitting here
22	listening today, I'm wondering whether or not you
23	have recently any of you investigated a rape case.
24	So, let's start with you, Chief Boyce. Have you

ever-

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN
2	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Respectfully-
3	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:done it
4	recently? In other words, within the last 10 years,
5	within the last 5 years, last year? Have you ever
6	investigated-have you investigated a rape case since
7	the 2010 Report, and Chief Monahan I'm asking you the
8	same question.
9	CHIEF BOYCE: The answer is yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: In the last
11	20 years?
12	CHIEF BOYCE: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Last year?
14	CHIEF BOYCE: I worked on cases. I go
15	out to scenes. I work cases personally. I
16	supervise
17	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:
18	[interposing] How many have you worked
19	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Well, I take
20	what I can get.
21	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:in the
22	last-since 2010?
23	CHIEF BOYCE: I don't like to use-I don't
2.4	like to use a person's name, but he has personally

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 101
2	worked several days on the case in the 106 th Precinct
3	where Jarga (sic) was put.
4	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Several
5	day.
6	CHIEF BOYCE: Several days.
7	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Case that
8	require weeks of investigation, you worked several
9	days. Okay.
10	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] I was there
11	for
12	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay.
13	CHIEF BOYCE: And can I-can I finish?
14	Alright. Will you stop interrupting me? Alright. I
15	worked there not only at the scene, but also in my
16	office as well, alright, and as well as every other
17	case that happens in this city, I make phone calls to
18	each person. So, the answer is yes. I can't give
19	you a definite number right now
20	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Hmm.
21	CHIEF BOYCE:but I can tell that I am
22	intimately involved with every case. Major rape cases
23	that comes into the city is reported to me each

morning. So, the answer is yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN
2	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Have you
3	ever investigated a domestic partner rape case?
4	CHIEF BOYCE: Again, each day I'm told
5	these things. I personally make recommendations and
6	call the squad commander or the same
7	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Sure.
8	CHIEF BOYCE:and do the same thing. So,
9	yes. So, the answer is yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:
11	[interposing] No, that's your job, but I asked you
12	whether or not you dig into your job and you
13	personally have ever met with a victim of domestic
14	rape.
15	CHIEF BOYCE: I can't tell you right now
16	exactly who I've meet with them, but I work in the
17	investigative steps with my detectives. Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Got you.
19	Chief Monahan.
20	CHIEF MONAHAN: No, it's not my role.
21	It's Chief of Detectives and it's investigative. My
22	role as Chief of the Department is overseeing and I
23	will review cases, but no, I don't personally meet-
2.4	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:

[interposing] Okay. So, yet, I just want to clarify

[interposing] Sir.

that per--

) /

role making decisions about priorities for NYPD and how staffing is? That's a question.

CHIEF MONAHAN: Yes, I-I-I believe that

for the record you feel confident in your yes a peer

my detectives what you just said with the Special
Victims, the squad are the best in the country. Now,
you—you went onto say other—can we get better? Of
course, we can. We're not saying we're the—we're
invaluable and there is nothing that we did wrong,
but I believe they're doing a great job every day out
there, and we support them. The question now is case
load. So, when you make your—the comparison with the
Grand Larceny agent, that's supporting detectives to
bring their caseload to the right amount to have
there. There's a totally different issue there.
Alright. This is something very important, but
they're about the same size with less caseload. So,
yes, it's important to us.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:

CHIEF MONAHAN: We look at it—we made

2.2

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:

3 [interposing] I mean if you were ranking, which is
4 more of a ruination--

5 CHIEF MONAHAN: [interposing] I didn't 6 say— I didn't important to that—

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: --of somebody's life.

CHIEF MONAHAN: I didn't say important. I said we'd talk about caseload. You're right, a special victim is much more important. There's no question to that, but right now we have to take finite resources and import them to where we need them the most.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:

[interposing] Your finite resources. This is the largest you—the NYPD has been staffed, and the City Council added 1,200 and I'm going to move off this point. Don't worry.

CHIEF MONAHAN: But I'm not worried.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: But the largest that you've been at and you're telling me that you have constrained resources, and the number of cases here has gone up by 65%, and there's really been no change in the number of officers here, and

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 105
2	you're telling me you have constrained? Your \$10
3	billion-you're a \$10 billion agency. Am I wrong?
4	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] I think
5	that's
6	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:
7	[interposing] You don't have resources?
8	CHIEF BOYCE: I can jump on this. First
9	off, we're not at the largest level we've ever been.
10	We've been larger years back in the '90s over 40,000.
11	It's 36,000 headcount right now, and we take a look.
12	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:
13	[interposing] Then why is it that it's
14	CHIEF BOYCE: Could I finish please?
15	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:
16	[interposing] And I have congratulated you-
17	CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] Councilwoman.
18	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:repeatedly
19	for that. Crime is at its lowest.
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: It's just
21	good to correct the facts, Council Member. We are
22	not at the largest level we've been at-
23	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:
24	[interposing] Oh, sorry.

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: We have 3 never built up--4 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay. 5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: That's just correcting the record. We are not that far-6 7 CHIEF MONAHAN: And we looked up--CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: 8 [interposing] Do you feel that you haven't correctly 9 built up this unit? 10 11 CHIEF MONAHAN: We looked up and yes 12 since January of last year we've increased it by 62 investigator, and we are going to constantly monitor 13 14 its progress. If they need more help we will grab 15 more. The original mandated number we are looking at 16 the number. 17 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: 18 [interposing] If they need more help according to your belief? 19 20 CHIEF MONAHAN: And we just put in 62. We looked at the increases over the last two quarters 21 2.2 and the number of cases coming in, the number or rape 23 cases coming in, the number of rape cases coming in. We've increase 62 investigators since last year. We 24

will constantly monitor it. We'll have a new Chief

8

2

of detectives coming in next week. He's going to do

a complete overview of the assignments within Special 3

4 Victims, the assignments within the Bureau, and yes,

part of the 90-day scrub that we're doing over the 5

6 DOI Report is going to make sure that we are dealing

7 properly with survivor so sexual crime.

> CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

Again, I don't think the problem is in the scrub of 9

the unit. I think, you know, I haven't heard nor 10

from you nor from DOI that the unit is a problem. 11

12 What we're hearing is that it's the staffing, the

training, the facilities, known things for the last 13

14 eight years and certainly--

15 CHIEF MONAHAN: [interposing] Well, I

16 think we'd be seeing then what explained with my

testimony. 17

18

25

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: --within

this administration, which I respect greatly. 19

20 CHIEF MONAHAN: What I said in my

testimony the way we sophisticated the unit as well. 21

2.2 Alright, that we came up with all these other units

23 within the Special Victims Division because we think

it's necessary. We think it's-you do need to be 24

specialized investigator to forward in intense-labor

1

7

8

9 10

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

intensive cases. I-I testified that earlier all the units we added to this as well, and the consistent upgrade. Now, what we're concerned about going forward is the amount of cases coming in right now, which are quite a few. We have a large-large increase and we see it's from outside the years, which we think purposely we're getting our message out. We're doing the best job possibly under terrible circumstance of sexual assault no doubt, but that word has gotten out and we've seen the increases time and time again, and we've reported more-more resources, more detectives to that to answer your question today.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay, can we go back to-I'm going to go back to my prepared questions. Can we go back to what the analytic basis is for the caseload limits of SVD? You know, when I hear from you that it's lower than some other precinct quad, that just doesn't sound to me like a like a sound basis when there are national standards.

CHIEF BOYCE: Okay. I could tell you that detective normally, we have a number of squads catch between 175 to 200 cases. Something-let me

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 find my place, ma'am. Alright, before—and so we 3 wanted to half that if not better. 4 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Why half? What not a quarter? Why not two-thirds? Why not 5 6 10%? Why not 90%? Wouldn't you want to look at a 7 national standard? CHIEF BOYCE: We do look at a national 8 standard and we believe we're in line with national 9 standards. So, last year--10 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: But wait, 11 12 wait, wait, wait. 13 CHIEF BOYCE: But let me finish my 14 thought. Let me finish my-now--15 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: You're in 16 line with national standards today, a year ago? 17 CHIEF BOYCE: Well, let us finish our 18 sentence. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: Let me 19 20 address this at this point because I've sat-this is Lawrence Byrne, Deputy Commissioner for Legal 21 2.2 Matters. I've sat through four years of hearings 23 with this Council, and we've worked with you on a

25 personally. I have never sat through a hearing where

number of issues, and I've worked with you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

so many questions have been answered and asked and the witnesses are interrupted and not allowed to give an answers. So, I'm going to object at this point and say that after you or anyone else states your question I want the witness to give a complete answer without interruption. That's called common courtesy.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: I appreciate that. Continue.

CHIEF MONAHAN: So when we looked at the influx in the last quarter of Calendar 2017, we saw the first quarter of this year. We looked to deployment quarterly. We see a tremendous increase. So, we wanted bring-bring that back down. We believe the mode that we've just done over these last several months are brining these new detectives in. We'll bring that to 58 cases about that a year. That's our projection. We believe that's an acceptable amount of casework for each detective. So, that's between five and six cases a month. I will tell you that normally it's about 20% of those cases are felony, and 80% are misdemeanor. So, we believe that's an acceptable amount, and that's how we are going forward with this new influx that we helped people to come forward I believe. We put the word out to come

1 COMMITTEE ON W

2.2

forward and—and we'll investigate your case, and we've done that for several months now, if not over a year. So, we're—we believe we're now—we're wired to handle the new amount of cases coming in.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: I agree with you. I think that 58 is huge progress from 93. I do.

CHIEF MONAHAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: I think—okay. I want to move on. Let's see. I'd like to actually focus on my bill for a second and clear up any—the mischaracterization of my bill. I heard you say that it dilutes the Commissioner authority or it specifies the given method to implement. I—what we intended and we're certainly happy to work with you on the language, but what we intend is that it explicit—that the bill put the determination into the Commissioner's hands. Yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: The determination is in the Commissioner's hands.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: He has 36 year of experience working in a number of different parts of the department, and I think what the last

1 2 four year shows is he knows what he's doing in terms of resource allocation. All you have to do is look 3 4 at the record drop in crime across the board to historic lows. It's the Police Commissioner's job to run the Police Department on a day-to-day basis in 6 7 consultation with the Council, the Mayor, the advocates groups, but ultimately it's his 8 responsibility to run the day-to-day operations of 9 the department to allocate resources as conditions 10 change, and in this city conditions change every 11 12 single day. We're a city larger than we've ever been before, 8.6 residents here, over 62 million tourists 13 last year, another record, and that's the job of the 14 15 Police Commissioner. We oppose your bill. We think 16 it's well intentioned, but counterproductive. 17 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Wow, okay. 18 Well, we're happy to work with you on it-with you. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: We're happy 19 20 to have a dialogue with you about it. 21 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: I heard you 2.2 say that it is inconceivable that a survivor should 23 languish because there is no one who has been

trained, right. In my mind's eye, it's also

inconceivable that a survivor should be re-

24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFEII SUINILI WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 113				
2	traumatized because of an untrained investigator, and				
3	given these two inconceivable notions, isn't the				
4	answer to ensure that there are always enough trained				
5	investigators available?				
6	CHIEF BOYCE: Absolutely.				
7	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay.				
8	CHIEF BOYCE: We-we believe that and				
9	that's where we're moving forward. So, that's why we				
10	added—added the people and that's why we have our—our				
11	extensive training programs in the Special Victims				
12	Division that no one else in the Detective Bureau				
13	gets.				
14	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Sure.				
15	CHIEF BOYCE: So, yes.				
16	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: It's				
17	unique.				
18	CHIEF BOYCE: So, that's where—that's				
19	where we're doing this and where we would be going,				
20	ma'am.				
21	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: You				
22	described the two-week training course that members				

the member—I mean correct me. You're the experts of the Motorcycle and the Mounted Police Divisions get

of the SVD get. Why do-it's my understanding that

2 six weeks of sr

2.2

six weeks of specialized training. So, I understand they have to learn to take care of the horses or the motorcycle, but there seems like too big of a discrepancy between two weeks and six weeks.

[background comments]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: So, I'd like to be clear that there is training that every member of the Police Department gets that is relevant to sexual assault as well as all victims of crime. That amounts to weeks of training in the Academy. There is two weeks of CIC training for SVD detectives plus seven days of FETI training plus training in specialized areas that they take plus 40 hours. They're now going through training with victim advocates. The training adds up—

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: [interposing] Uh-hm.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: --and it's cumulative. It's not quite accurate to say they get two weeks of training. That's one part of a multi-layered training experience that they get. Going into 40 hours of training with victim advocates around the city shouldn't be overlooked. That's not something that most police departments do. Having

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

every single member of the SVD trained in FETI for seven days shouldn't be overlooked. That's in

4 addition to the two weeks of training.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Uh-hm.

CHIEF BOYCE: I just want to add on a couple things if I might. Once these are department trainings, CICs, what we talked about the two weeks when they first get in, but they're also-the division-within the division they're given extensive training, and I'll read them to you right now so they go on the record: Peer Based Investigative Process Scenario Training; Cross-Investigative Process Training; Cohort Based Learning; Detective Bureau Guide Training; Forensic, which you said FETI. We'll just call it that; Neurobiology of Sexual Assault; Instant Response Tracking Process Training; Science of DNA Training; Complex Investigative Training; Experiential Training just for supervisors only; Drug Facilitated Sex Assault Training; Abusive Head Trauma Training; Zone Captain Training for our Executive Core; and District Attorney Based training. We have DAs come in and speak to our-our officers as well. That goes above and beyond special victims, homicide

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 116 1 2 courses that just about everybody has been to from 3 the specialized units. 4 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Uh-hm. 5 CHIEF BOYCE: So, to say what the DOI 6 Report said, I reject wholly based on the-the 7 investment, the training program that we put forward for members of the Special Victims Division. 8 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Does every 9 investigator receive the FETI training in SVD? 10 11 CHIEF BOYCE: Everyone in the Adult 12 squads have received, each and every detective and DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: [off mic] 13 14 Except for the 20 investigators. 15 CHIEF BOYCE: Now, the 20 are just 16 getting it. They haven't gotten it, of course. 17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: They'll get 18 it by the end of the month. CHIEF BOYCE: We'll have them in at the 19 20 first opportunity. 21 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: What? 2.2 CHIEF BOYCE: We'll have them in by the 23 end of the month. The first opportunity for the next

training is at the end of the month. So, we'll hold

a special class for them.

24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 117
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Everybody
3	has it except for the 20 that started today
4	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL:
5	[interposing] Yeah.
6	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN:and
7	they'll get it by the end of the month.
8	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: And how?
9	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: They wont go out
10	and to do survivor by themselves. They'll be with
11	someone prior to receiving that training. So, by the
12	end of the month they'll have it.
13	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: How is the
14	mastery of the FETI technique measured? Is there a
15	test at the end? [pause]
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: The FETI
17	trainers provide a certificate of whether they think
18	someone has mastered it sufficiently.
19	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: And all the
20	people who are there now have received certificates?
21	CHIEF BOYCE: That's correct.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Yes.
23	CHIEF BOYCE: That's correct or they
24	wouldn't be-we would have moved them out of there. I

haven't seen a failure yet, but again, the proof is

1	COMMITTEE ON POBLIC SAFETY JOINILY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 118				
2	in the pudding going out and getting that				
3	information. Because not only do you have the				
4	certificate but putting it to good use, and I think				
5	it's going on now.				
6	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: How many				
7	people have applied to the—applied to the SVD last				
8	year from the precincts?				
9	CHIEF BOYCE: As I said before, we take				
10	20% of let's say 100 people come in, only 20 make the				
11	grade.				
12	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Uh-hm.				
13	CHIEF BOYCE: And that's a much lower				
14	number than other detective squads as well.				
15	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: So, how				
16	many applied?				
17	CHIEF BOYCE: I have to tell you I don't				
18	have that number that how many applied. We do have a				
19	lot-we're saying 20% make it, but I have to get you				
20	an accurate number. I don't know.				
21	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay, off				
22	the top of your head is it 100?				
23	CHIEF BOYCE: I don't know. It's-I'm				

saying on 100 about 20 make it. I'm just giving you

25 that as-as we-

_

)

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: We can get that number for you after the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Yeah. Okay. Thank you very much.

CHIEF BOYCE: Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Let's see.

In your rattling off of the trainings, I just want to make sure. Physical evidence plays a large role in the early handling and prosecuting of sex crime cases. What training do the SVD officers receive on evidence procedures, and do you think there are any gaps?

CHIEF BOYCE: I don't believe—every—

first of all, every detective understands the Rules

of Evidence and getting evidence and understand the

importance of quickly attaining it. Special Victims

officers are even more so trained of this is

forensics. They go into and speak with nurses at the

hospital and doctors attending, understand the sole

(sic) record that we have, the sex evidence kit that

we use, the collection kit that we use now. He sees

it as—two kits. This is much more advanced. So,

each one is trained in that, in understanding and

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 120
2	telling us what the victim tells us and what we have
3	to do to go get to that investigation.
4	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: They've all
5	had that training?
6	CHIEF BOYCE: They all do. For instance,
7	if we have a video of a sexual assault my-my special
8	victims detective will sit with the crime scene to
9	see if the perpetrator put something else, a hand
10	print or whatever the case may be. He'll-he'll do
11	that or she'll do that to-to make sure that that the
12	crime scene collects that evidence. So, they're well
13	trained in that, and they're very highly skilled.
14	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Could you
15	describe where or how many of the SVD trainings
16	specifically relate to LGBT, the LGBTQ population.
17	CHIEF BOYCE: I-I would have to- Chief
18	Osgood, do you have any evidence here?
19	DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: [off mic] Let me
20	look.
21	CHIEF BOYCE: It's an inclusive in our
22	training from what I understand. So I-
23	CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: And trans

25 CHIEF BOYCE: Correct.

24

women?

2.2

pro bono?

it's my understanding that you've begun collaborating with an advocacy group called Hollaback, which is a great group and I'm wondering how many trainings do you've done with them, and whether or not you have a contract to pay them for their work or if they do it

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: We've been working with Hollaback for the last several years.

We have a contract. We pay them, and they train—have been training our transit officers for the last several years.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay, any thought of using them to train other officers?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: We haven't talked about it. We can.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: We use outside agencies frequently in the Academy, and regularly in in-service training. They're not the only ones. I mentioned them for their training of transit officers, but we work with outside groups regularly. I—I think it's important to note that with the contract with Safe Horizon and the CVAP

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 122 1 2 program, we have victim advocates training at roll call regularly once a month. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Contract with--5 6 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: They're 7 training on a variety of different topics. CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: And that's 8 a contract where they're paid? 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Safe 10 Horizon? Yes, that's a contract where they're paid. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay, andokay. I'd like to talk a little bit about the 13 support for victims. In 2015, the CO of SVD called 14 15 for the creation of a Special Victims Division, 16 Victim Restoration Assistance Group, which would have 17 involved hiring social workers to offer initial 18 counseling and guidance and follow-up and later time intervals to assist in helping victims restore 19 themselves as close to a normal state as possible. 20 What came of this request? 21 2.2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: So, we have

worked with the Council and with the Mayor's office to create the Crime Victim Assistance program, which is the largest victim assistance program of any

23

24

police department in the country. If you look at all of the victim advocates who were working in that program, that our precinct and PSA based, they're probably the second largest victim agency by themselves in the country. So, we have placed and are continuing to place, and by the end of the summer we'll be in every precinct and PSA with two victim advocates from Safe Horizon who also counsel victims of sexual assault.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: So, could you say that. I'm sorry. Could you say that one more time going forward or we have been doing this?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: We are in two-thirds of the precincts in the city. By the end of the summer we'll be in every precinct and PSA in the city--

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: --with two victim advocates. One that specialize in domestic violence, one who handles all other categories of crime. They're both cross-trained and they have helped and will continue to help victims of sexual assault. So, I think we took that recommendation, which was already in progress, and went beyond it to

help all victims of crime including victims of sexual assault. Those victim advocates are well trained, well supervised, and they're employees of Safe Horizon intentionally.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Got it.

I'd like to move onto acquaintance versus stranger rape. When then Captain now Deputy Inspector Peter Rose publicly downplayed acquaintance rapes, the Commissioner was very quick to dispel the notion that the NYPD as a whole views these crimes differently. That was an exceptional op-ed. What am I to make then of the fact that the investigative and enhancement rubric differentiates between stranger rapes and raped committed by an acquaintance or domestic partner? Why does patrol make sense to enhance acquaintance rape but not stranger rapes?

earlier, ma'am, that exactly what that question was.

So, council member, we only use it in on particular thing where a summary arrest is made by patrol. He is then taken to the Numeric Precinct Squad and enhanced by them, and that is the only time.

Domestic violence and non-stranger, alright, they are

excellent detectives. They are able to enhance that

CHIEF BOYCE: I think I explained

Ŭ

_

case properly. However, if it is a stranger rape, then we believe there's a possibility of a pattern or some other case. So, we bring detectives who have a broader idea of what's going on as far as special victims—as far as sexual assault around the city. That is the reason we do it with using our—our resources as appropriately as we can.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Am I to understand that the answer to the last question about taking care of victims in those cases is that two-thirds of the precincts now have sexual assault victim advocates?

asking if we've developed a capacity to help victims of crime, and let me just say historically officers are very good at referring victims to victim advocacy and victim service organizations. What we've done over the last couple of years is create a system that expands what we have tried to do over many, many years and provide precinct based services for victims including victims of sexual assault. So, yes we have developed an in-house capacity, precinct based capacity.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay.

e possible that acquaintance rape is par

Could it be possible that acquaintance rape is part of a pattern, someone's pattern?

CHIEF BOYCE: Of course, and that's looked at—looked at by an analytical team with Special Victims Division. Just because they don't respond on it that—that day doesn't mean they don't look at the crime. They do, and they do an assessment of that person's prior history.

Want to shift to CompStat for a second. CompStat as

I understand it is a tool used to measure the

different index crimes and, of course, the goal—and
you been so successful in this goal—has been to look
at the numbers and bring them down, and you've done
that successfully. Why not pull sexual assault cases
out of CompStat and use a more complex nuanced system
to measure the success of your work in that area?

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Again, CompStat isn't about numbers. It's not just about driving down-driving down the numbers. It's to look at all crimes that take place, and how we're investigating them, what—what steps we could do to be better in the course of an investigation to see what patterns may—

2.2

may arise, what recidivists are out there that the everyone needs to know about. So, when we do talk about. So, when we do talk about a sex crime at CompStat, we're looking at how well the investigation was done, what—what personnel—what—what actual resources may have to go in to help—help the detective squad solve it, help special victims solve the case if it's unsolved. So and it's part of the process that we do with every single case just to see that we're doing the best we possibly can to investigate and have the right resources into any particular case.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Okay. I'm going to-

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: Let me just add to that because there's an incorrect assumption in your question, which I want to correct now. There is no more sophisticated measure of looking at crime, crime patterns and crime prevention that the current version of CompStat used in the NYPD today, and that is recognized by every law enforcement professional in this country, and every other industry that's tried to adapt CompStat to their industry including the Comptroller who annually now publishes and he

128 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 credits us with publishing his Annual ClaimStat Report. So, with CompStat 2, and CompStat was 3 4 created in this department 24 years ago, that is the most sophisticated analysis of crime patterns, of how 5 to address crime patterns, of recidivist issues, of 6 7 emerging issues, and so for you to say that it's not a nuanced complex analysis of-of crime shows a 8 complete lack of understanding of what CompStat has 9 been and is today, and it's something everyone else 10 in the country recognizes. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Well, I'm happy to be educated on this. My point was 13 14 misconstrued. 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: [interposing] 16 And we're happy to educate you. 17 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Sorry? 18 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: We're happy to educate you. It's clear that you need a lot of 19 20 education on this topic. 21

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Excuse me, excuse me, sir. We're not going to allow your disrespect in our house. Okay, you're here to answer questions.

We respect the work that the NYPD does, but we're not going to allow you to disrespect members. You're in

2.2

23

24

2 our house. W

2.2

our house. We come to your house, you have a right to do that, but not here.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: It wasn't intended as any disrespect.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: It was very disrespectful. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: So, I think my question was misunderstood. I don't have any doubts about CompStat. So, let's set that aside. My question is if we look at the nature of sex crimes that are so very, very different than any other crime, would it make sense, and perhaps let's not pull it out of CompStat. Perhaps you keep it in there. The question is would it make sense in looking at how you're doing in sexual assault crimes to use a different nuanced tool?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] I think the point she's making is about the---

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: [interposing] And—and can I—I got it—I—I understand your question completely. Alright, what CompStat does we're going to look at the overview. So, you're looking at if there's a—a sexual assault case, we are going to look at it in the CompStat view. We may identify

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 different issues. We're not taking that deeperdeeper dive, then that goes to Bob and the Chief of 3 Detectives Office. Now, you could bring in your 4 investigators, who are working a case and sit down 5 6 and head-to-head with the investigators, which he 7 does on a regular basis to take the deep dive into the case. CompStat is going to give the overview. 8 We may identify an issue at which point it goes back 9 to the Bureau Chief to bring down those personnel 10 involved in that issue to see them work it out. So, 11 12 yes, 100% agree with you we need a deeper dive. That's not the CompStat model we identify, and then 13 the Bureau Chief takes it over from there. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: 16 appreciate--17 CHIEF MONAHAN: One thing with CompStat, 18 ma'am, is that it's awareness that everybody knows about this crime in that room in that borough. That's 19 20 what-that's why you can never be taking out of CompStat. Everybody--21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: That's-I--23 CHIEF MONAHAN: So, that's-so, therefore, 24 the argument that is should be out of separate

CompStat is-is not-is not relevant as I-that I can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

2.2

23

24

25

see. Everybody in that room has to understand there are sexual assaults going on in certain precincts in the city within that borough.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: So, again, what I-what was misunderstood is that the focus is not on CompStat. The focus is—is there another analytic tool to measure success that we should be considering--

CHIEF MONAHAN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: -- and what I'd like is not to go back and forth today, but rather to see what you're thoughts are in the reply to the DOI Report because it strikes me that it would be part of the response to say that we need a tracking tool that makes sense for sexual assault crimes.

CHIEF MONAHAN: We do. We do that in my office as well. We look at all cases. We develop patterns and the Special Victims Division has been enormously successful in ending patterns with us before they get too big time and time throughout the city. It's done in my office daily and weekly. go through each case to see if there's anything we should get, which we missed, as well as finding

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 persons who are wanted fugitives before they do it again. So, it is analyzed greatly, and it's what 3 we're wired to do to ensure that we don't miss 4 5 anything like that, and that's the best way I can explain it to you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: [interposing] Okay, so that's--8 CHIEF MONAHAN: [interposing] but it's 9 after CompStat as well. CompStat usually begets a 10 whole bunch of other readings. It has been since 11 12 the—the whole 20 plus years I've been doing CompStat 13 in my 35-year career. 14 CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: [off mic] 15 When I saw a nod yes and then I heard-[on mic] And I 16 saw a nod yes, and then I heard a no from you, and I 17 just want to--18 CHIEF MONAHAN: A no for what, ma'am? CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: For 19 20 contemplating a unique tool. DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: I got it. 21

Listen, obviously we said from the beginning we're going to go through the 143-page DOI Report, all their recommendations. We have 90 days by law set by the Council to review it, and we're going to take a

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good look at their recommendations, and we will have a response added at that time.

CHAIRPERSON HELEN ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I just want to say I think one of the things she's getting at is, you know, CompStat obviously does some great work in showing where our successes are, but we know that the vast majority of rapes and other sexual crimes aren't reported. So, if we're looking at it as a measuring tool, the numbers are going down, it may not show a true variation or reflection of what is happening across the city. So, that's—that's we could talk about his offline, but-but just wanted to put that out there. We've been joined by Council Members Rodriguez, Williams, Kallos and Levin, and we'll go to Council Member Deutsch for questions. They've been so patient, Cohen, followed by Cohen, Kallos and then Levin. Council Member Deutsch.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you. I was hoping I'd get called before your birthday ends.

Thank you. [laughter] So, anyway, I want to welcome everyone here this afternoon. So, first of all, I just want to say, I've been in the Public Safety

25

2 Committee. This is my fifth year in a row being in the Public Safety Committee, and I'm not going to go 3 Commissioner Kelly. Sometimes it was his way or no 4 5 way, but with Commissioner O'Neill, I have known that 6 since has been appointed as the New York-as the 7 Police Commissioner, any time we had issues, we had discussions at hearings, he took seriously, and-and 8 just to point out that just the hearing we-I 9 mentioned about the auxiliary officers not having 10 bulletproof vests, expired vests, and over the last 11 12 few weeks all the auxiliary coordinators already are in training to size and fit and size all auxiliary-13 4,500 auxiliary officers throughout the city. So, I 14 15 commend him for listening to us and taking things 16 seriously, and that's just one example of many. So, being here and I'm-I'm looking at my pen here, and it 17 18 just happens to say "Working together to make a difference" and this hearing is important because 19 20 we're all venting. We all, you know, have-this is like a live discussion, and I think it's important 21 2.2 because that the Police Commissioner will take a look 23 at the numbers and take a look to revamp some of 24 these departments, which is important because they're

all there for public safety. You're jobs as

2	uniformed officers and chiefs and detectives your job				
3	is there and the reason why you took the job is to				
4	protect us, to protect the public safety of the city,				
5	the resident of the city. So, one issue that I have				
6	is—one question that I have is back in 2001, we had				
7	7,100 detectives. Currently, the headcount for the				
8	Detective squad throughout the city is 5,600. So,				
9	that is like really those numbers are like really				
10	different from 2001 to-from 7,100 to 5,600 that we				
11	have now. So, I know that people are retiring.				
12	People are retiring, leaving the department. That's				
13	why one of the things I'm going to be pushing is for				
14	a detective grade pension enhancement home rule,				
15	which I-I'm going to ask my colleagues for their				
16	support. So this way we get those detectives, those				
17	people with experience, those people with the				
18	knowledge, and that we need here in the city. So,				
19	I'm going to ask my colleagues to support this so				
20	that this way we know that these detectives will stay				
21	here for a lot longer than the 20 years and out. So,				
22	my question is firstly is that why—why is the				
23	headcount so different from 2001?				

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: That's back when we had a uniform headcount of 41,000. We have 36,000

24

2.2

now. So, we are down in—in headcount from where we were back then.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, if the

Police Commissioner you are listening to this please
take a look at the detective headcount and see how we
could make the headcounts—if—if you could bring that
headcount back up, and this way we could properly
take a look at the SVD and the Sex Crimes Unit, and—
and to over—to—to do an over—overall on all these
departments.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Obviously, we—we look at the headcount throughout the department.

Neighborhood policing obviously pushed out throughout the entire city. You know, we—we filled up our precinct commands, tried to get a lot more cops out there in the neighborhoods. Get to know the neighborhoods. It's part of our philosophy, but obviously we evaluate on a regular basis. So, again, we look forward to working with the Council on this issue, and really getting to a resolution.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Appreciate it Chief because I know that you did—you did mention that some of the people that the squads, some of the detective squad personnel you do take from—from the 77

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

precincts throughout the city for SVD. So, you know,
we need to make sure that the detective squads have

4 that headcount, the proper headcount especially with

5 all the cyber crimes and identity thefts and

6 detectives are busy so many other things that usually

7 | end up with zero arrests.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: And that's—that's why we have neighborhood policing.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yeah.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: Now NCOs that the cops working hand-in-hand with our detectives as a force multiplier for them.

very much, and I just want to say keep up the great work you're doing and once again I'm got to say this again to Chief Boyce, I hope you do come back in plain clothes because you have been really very responsive over the years that I've known, and I think it's been probably like two decades, and it's been a long time and—and you really have been a great asset to the New York City Police Department. So congratulations.

CHIEF BOYCE: I appreciate that very much. Thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 138			
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yeah.			
3	CHIEF BOYCE: Thank you.			
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you very			
5	much.			
6	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Council Member			
7	Cohen.			
8	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair			
9	Richards. Thank you for your testimony this morning.			
10	I guess the thing that I'm most interested in is, you			
11	know, we have 5,600 reported sex crimes last year.			
12	One, do you know, how many of those complaints			
13	ultimately resulted in a conviction?			
14	CHIEF BOYCE: I will tell you last year			
15	we had 43% closing with arrests, right, that's either			
16	on par or better than the national average right now.			
17	So, that's the best way I can—I could tell you. I			
18	have to look into this to find that number for you.			
19	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: And I'm just			
20	wondering			
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: [interposing]			
22	We don't have at the department always their			
23	conviction numbers. That's within the purview of the			
24	district attorneys. So when Chief Boyce says there's			

a 43% closing rate in arrests, those are arrests

2 we've made. T

2.2

we've made. Then it's up to the DAs to prosecute those cases.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: So, of the 5,600 arrests were made and 43% and then we ultimately don't know how many of those cases get convictions or pleas?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: That would be reporting we'd need from the five district attorneys.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: And—and you don't—
you don't get that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: We don't get that currently.

know, because that really I think is a—I wonder, you know as I've been listening to all the testimony and the questions, I think ultimately what we want to do is create an environment where victims feel comfortable coming forward, and they're not coming forward—they're coming forward because they ultimately want to see the perpetrator convicted of whatever crime they—they committed, and it's—I guess there's that, you know, you're a part of the equation but the other side of the equation is what's going on at the DA's office in terms of supporting these

Τ	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 140			
2	victims and-and getting them to a place where there's			
3	a conviction and I would imagine that if we're only-			
4	if we're arresting 43%, you know, I recall in my own			
5	experience in—in the court particularly like in			
6	domestic violence cases where victims ultimately			
7	decided not to cooperate. I would imagine we have			
8	very poor conviction rate in these crimes and I get			
9	that may very well lead to why victims don't forward			
10	in the first place. I—I think that that's really			
11	sort of at the heart of this if we can come up with			
12	an environment that would— You know, I don't know if			
13	it's procedure, expediting procedure, if there's			
14	something we can do to try to move these cases along			
15	from-from complaints to ultimate conclusion and			
16	again, it's you're only part of the equation. So, I			
17	don't think you know how long these cases stay open,			
18	how long it takes to get to the finish line in these			
19	cases.			
20	CHIEF BOYCE: It's difficult to say. I			
21	have some arrest data if you want to hear it.			
22	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I'd appreciate			

CHIEF BOYCE: Okay. So, citywide sex crimes excluding rape, and I'll get to rape next,

that.

23

24

the total. There's were--

COMMITTEE	ON	Ţ
	-	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 3,500-3,502, the number of arrest felonies were 606 and total number of misdemeanors were 2,896. Patrol made 2,400 of that 3,500, 245 felonies and 2,165 in misdemeanors. The Special Victims Division made 710 arrests last year, 309 were felonies and 401 were misdemeanor arrests by Special Victims. Arrests for rape in 2017, acquaintance rape was just 56% if total. It was 794; family and DV rapes were 229. That's 15%; stranger rapes were 237 arrests, 16% of
 - COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Sixteen percent of the total?

CHIEF BOYCE: These are stranger rapes, strangers only. So, this-it goes 54, 15, 16 and then 13 are unclassified the arrests we made. We don't have proper data to tell you what they were. The total reporting rape complaints, rapes reported in 2018 presently at this writing is 251. Years prior as I gave before it's 35% outside the year, a dramatic change, and that's why we instituted these changes, these increase in-in staffing.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Yeah, Commissioner, I don't know if you know if there's variation among-you probably talked about the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Department of Justice 23% reporting, but I don't know if it varies by category of crime. Do you have any feeling?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: It does vary by category of crime, and that's the lowest reporting rate of any violent crime. Sexual assault has always been the lowest, but one thing that's really interesting to note is that when the Department of Justice does this survey every year, and they ask people have you been-have you experienced this crime and have you reported this crime? So, we know what the reporting rate is versus the victimization rate. They also ask victims why they haven't reported. What's the reason, and the reason—one of the options is because I didn't think the police would take it seriously. That category has gone steadily down over the last several years, down, down, down. So, if victims don't report this is a societal problem. This is much more than a police department or a prosecutor or a court problem. It has to do with the stigma, the fear. It has to do with people wanting or not wanting their family and friends to know about it. So, I'd be careful even though, of course, we want to look at our clearance rate, and how many

2.2

arrests we make. I'd be careful about using that as a the only indication of success because we are stressing to survivors of sexual assault that we want you to report even if you don't want an investigation to take place. We want you to report even if it's for information only, and at any point if you decide you want to not participate any more that's fine or not participate at this time, right. So, we are the first police department in the country to change that language from victims not cooperating to victims deciding not to participate at this time. Just to make that distinction. So, these are—these are important issues, and yes our clearance rate is on par with others, but let's be really careful not to

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I appreciate that, but I mean I guess from—you know, from a public safety perspective obviously if they could prosecute perpetrators that's a--

use that as the sole barometer or success.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: That's true. It's also true that knowing about incidents helps us deploy resources and helps us identify patterns and that also contributes to public safety.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Do you know or does anyone know how NYPD compares or how New Yorkers I guess compare in terms of reporting rates versus the national average?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Well, if we had a local crime victimization survey we would know that, but we don't. We are able to look at aggregate data every five years to look at New York City, but it's very difficult. I would, you know, strongly encourage a local victimization survey.

OUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Okay. I just have one more question, Chair about—about the training end. You know, there's been a litany of alphabet soup of—of training protocols. How do we know which trainings are effective, which are not effective, which are more effective. I think I've tried to get to this at other hearings, but, you know—I wonder how we evaluate the efficacy of the training—of these trainings that we—that we were subjecting the—the force to.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: One—one way for instance let's just talk about FETI. FETI is a relatively new model. It hasn't been significantly researched yet scientifically or rigorously

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 145
2	researched. So, we're looking at anecdotal evidence
3	We're looking at feedback from victim advocates who
4	have told us that things are going better since FETI
5	was instituted, but we're also looking at the
6	experience of detectives who are seeing before their
7	eyes that when they ask questions a certain way
8	versus another way, they're getting a whole different
9	kind of interview, more helpful, more accurate
10	details. They victim is more likely to participate
11	in the investigation as it moves forward. These
12	interviews take usually, not always, but usually take
13	much longer than a standard interview, and are more
14	effective.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Are you currently
16	evaluating the effectiveness of FETI as an example?
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: We haven't-
18	we haven't launched a-an evaluation of it more than
19	just seeing how we are interacting with victims and
20	asking victim advocates to talk to us about the
21	experience of their victims.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: [interposing] But

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: I think a

lot of the stories--25

that's-

23

24

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: --in that ad hoc on a anecdotal basis, you're saying? 3 4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: I'm sorry? 5 COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Is that—that's done in an ad hoc basis you're saying? 6 7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Well, it's done on an ad hoc basis. You can-I-I would say we 8 get very regular input from victim advocates at every 9 level. I meet with advocates, Deputy Chief Osgood 10 meets with advocates separately, the Police 11 12 Commissioner has a regular meeting with advocates. 13 We hear from advocates on a regular basis not only 14 meetings that we convene, but we go out to their 15 meetings. We participate in sexual assault task 16 forces in every borough in the city, and they meet regularly. So, we're-we're in constant 17 18 communication. I think a lot of the stories that you're hearing are old stories. Some of them are not 19 20 and we can-as we've been saying we can always get better, but a lot of these stories are old stories. 21 2.2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: But I think

it's important to note this is an ongoing dialogue. I t's not a static conversation. So, as Commissioner Herman said, Commission O'Neill most recently met

23

24

25

2.2

with a large group of sex crime survivor advocates on March 5th. Out of that meeting we had a bunch of feedback and agreed to meet in the near future. The feedback we've gotten from that advocate community so far, although it's not empirical evidence has been generally positive about FETI. One of the things we've started to do in the department in the last four years, and I'm not saying we've done it with FETI, but with training generally before we roll out a largescale training program we do it in small focus groups, and we get feedback first from the officers. Did they understand the training? Did the find it useful? Did they find it helpful? How could it be improved? And that's an ongoing process in the department as well. It's something relatively new.

CHIEF MONAHAN: Just to add onto that, we critique each part of training pieces in the bureau, and we—we're—we're driven by that. Is it effective and we ask the questions: Does this help you? Is this something you learned new today? And we get that feedback and we use it. The Training Bureau and the Detective Bureau has increased exponentially over

Not something the NYPD has done historically.

1	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 148		
2	the last four years. I believe in it, and I think		
3	it's working.		
4	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Well, I would—I		
5	mean just as a-as an aside, encourage maybe, you		
6	know, empirical testing on this training as it—it		
7	seems, you know, the subject matter is vitally		
8	important and we want to make sure we're doing the		
9	best job we can, and that the training is		
LO	appropriate, and achieving the goals we want it to		
L1	achieve. I appreciate that. That's my last		
L2	question. Thank you, Chair.		
L3	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Just some-just		
L 4	some follow up on it. Are you familiar with the		
L5	Prummell model?		
L 6	CHIEF MONAHAN: [off mic] I am.		
L7	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So-so do you have		
L8	any thoughts on that particular model utilized by		
L 9	SVD?		
20	CHIEF MONAHAN: [background comments] You		
21	know 90 days—		
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]		
23	These-these will be out, you know, and I think the		

other, and sorry to interrupt you. How many hours on

2 average are your particular detectives spending on 3 it?

2.2

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] So, here, sir, I had never heard of the Prummell model prior-prior to that—to—to the report. In my 35 years never heard of it.

7 heard of i

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

With it just, but I—I don't want to—I haven't fully studied it, and I know we have the 90 days to respond, but when you see something when we make an immediate arrest and then it takes you seven months to solve a crime. I had a homicide that took me seven months of bombing of a fellow out in Southeast Queens. It took me seven months to solve the case.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm. It's in my district.

CHIEF MONAHAN: Yeah I know. I make—I make those arrests, you know, we make those—my detectives make those arrests, make homicide arrests the next day sometimes. So, I don't see how you can do it, but I'm not going to say anything about something I don't really—didn't know much about it.

150 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, you're open to 3 at least looking at it? CHIEF MONAHAN: Of course, of course. 4 5 mean it's getting better if we look at some like 6 that. 7 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, because I think it's about, you know, not necessarily. It's-8 it's as I think Susan alluded to, it's about the 9 10 quality of the investigation as well. CHIEF MONAHAN: Right. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, we want to make sure that we're spending an adequate enough with 13 14 victims, you know, to build that trust and to ensure 15 that they're comfortable so that we can get higher 16 conviction rates as we move forward. Otherwise we lose trust, and—and one of the things I think you 17 18 spoke of as is building that trust, word of mouth, right. So, more people feel--19 20 CHIEF MONAHAN: Right. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: --comfortable 21 2.2 coming forward to-to report when incidents occur. 23 So, we still have a lot of work to build that trust--

Yeah, let me just add to that another-

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: [interposing]

4

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25 be part of that process.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: -- and others, too, we can look at.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: Let me add to that, Chairperson Richards, because I think Chief Monahan said this, but I want to stress this because we know it's the view of the Police Commissioner. While we've made some preliminary responses publicly and today to the DOI report, we are studying it carefully. We intend to examine everyone of their recommendations as well as all of their observations thoroughly, and we're going to respond in writing thoroughly. It may be at the end of the day that we agree with some of their observations. We disagree with some. Some of them might have been appropriate in 2014 or 2016, and may not be applicable today, but like we do with all information and feedback we get whether it's from the Council from the Inspector General, from the advocates groups we're going to study all of that carefully, and as Chief Monahan said, see what we can do to take a good system and vastly improve it.

> CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: And this will

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I think, you
know, just getting back because I know that it's-
we've a hit a sore spot with the DOI Report and other
things, but it shouldn't really be about political
favor. It should really be about the focus being on
victims, and I think if we can all work together
whether you agree with DOI, whether you agree with us
or not, I think if we keep our focus on these victims
we'll come up with a successful solution. I'm go to
Council Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you, Chair Richards for leading this joint hearing of Public Safety and Women's Issues. I must apologize for missing the 9:30 meeting of the Women's Issues

Committee. I have not missed a doctor's appointment with my daughter since before she was born--

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]
Congratulations.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: --and I wasn't going to start now. I want to follow a lot of Council Member Cohen's questions. In terms of the misdemeanor and felonies that you've recorded, how many of the individuals involved had prior 911 calls,

prior complaints or prior arrests or prior convictions on similar or related crimes.

DEPUTY CHIEF OSGOOD: That's something we don't have here right now. We'd have to get back to you on it.

CHIEF MONAHAN: If I could just—on the transit related recidivism, it's quite high. The crimes we see committed in transit, mostly misdemeanors are still repugnant nonetheless, and they're forcible touching is the main body of it. We see a high level of recidivism there. So, without having that—that database, sir, the best way I can answer this because I do know that, that that's a pretty good illustration of some of the recidivism within transit sex crimes.

less at recidivism as in precursor crimes on whether or not when you see sex crimes that are specifically relating to rapes whether or not it's pre—whether precursors of harassment, assault, other violence or domestic violence that [background comments] often happens before there's ultimately a different complaint about it.

2.2

about CompStat and that's what CompStat does when we take a deep dive into an individual case, we will look to what precursors may or may not have been missed. So, it's something that we do on a review on a case-by-case basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: In terms of the sex crimes, how many of them are just—they only get charged, they're only guilty or found to have violated the sex crime. How often is it also accompanied by assault or harassment, stalking?

CHIEF MONAHAN: Again, these are—these are stuff that we would have to get our Strategic Initiative Unit to get you numbers on. It takes a little deep dive to get that deep number. So, we can work on it if you need it.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And where are the sex crimes happening? So, some are happening on the transit. Are other locations where they tend to happen more than not? Are you keeping track of the origin locations?

CHIEF MONAHAN: We do, but I don't have that if you ask me about parks or on the street or

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 things of that nature, and I'd have to get that for you. I just don't have it with me today. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So, I think-I 4 think Transit. I think we've got in the home in 5 6 terms of when you're deal with in the family 7 situation. Are there other places, hotels, places operating liquor licenses? I mean I'm must curious? 8 CHIEF MONAHAN: Well, it would be about-9 10 obviously, we have instances in parks. We have instances coming out of clubs. It's-it runs the 11 12 whole gamut. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I-I would be 14 interested in learning whether or not they're-in 15 order to help with the under-reporting that is 16 acknowledged whether or not creating mandatory 17 reporting by places where these crimes are happening 18 might be helpful. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: A tremendous 19 20 amount of sexual assault happens in the home. COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Uh-hm. 21 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Just-that 2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So, if you can tell-take me through some-some of what happens. So,

23

24

25

would complicate that.

somebody is a victim of a sexual crime, and they—is that—is that—what happens next if they're trying to do something about it? Is it a 911 call? Is it an intake at a hospital? Are they going to a precinct?

CHIEF BOYCE: Again, it's all the above.

Every incident—every incident is individual. So, we respond wherever it is. If they come in, we'll have them go up into detectives, that Sex Crimes Division. If it's a hospital, we have a detective respond, hopefully a sex crimes detective. Sometimes we go—come on the scene of a house and we make a summary arrest. So, it's—it can run the full gamut there, too.

add to that. I think you may have missed the beginning testimony where we talked about a larger percentage than usual of cases happening at a period not just—not this year, but a large portion of them happening three, four and five over five years ago. So, we're usually not talking about a 911. In those cases, we're not talking about a 911 call. There's an urgent need of someone who's thought about it, and decided to report, and then they'll talk to an SVD detective.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And so, is—and then there's the person is arrested at that—after that they get arraigned. Is there an order or protection issued? What is—what measures are taken to keep the victim safe?

CHIEF BOYCE: Again, if it's an acquaintance or known person, the courts can issue an order of protection. That will be done through the DA's Office.

after that, often time what we see especially relating to sex crimes, sex harassment is retaliation. What—where is the PDA when the retaliation starts?

With our complainants or victims. If there's any kind of a call to them or in any fashion, we—we will immediately respond. Generally speaking, a domestic cases if there's order of protection, we—we can arrest them immediately. Every domestic violence case that's a felony, which that would fall into—is a mandatory arrest by the NYPD we make them.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So, there's retaliation and then what—what happens—what is the

crime that a person is charged with on retaliation and—and how likely are we as a city, as an NYPD to keep those people safe after the report?

CHIEF MONAHAN: So, you would—we would immediately arrest that person, and tell the judge they violated the order or protection after being told not to. We make a bail application to the—I don't want to speak for a DA, but that's what they would do. So, we go through everything, but we relocate that person, if we have to, to a different residence to a different location. That's happened. That's commonplace in this city when you have an instance of that—of that nature.

also would encourage the survivor of sexual assault as well as any other crime to talk to a victim advocate and engage in safety planning. So that if another—a different stipulations in the order of protection are appropriate that that happens. If they want to relocate that we can advocate—advocate for emergency transfer of NYCHA housing or if they want to relocate out of New York City or to another borough, that can be facilitated.

2.2

missing from this hearing.

A lot of these questions I'm going to turn it. I tend not to ask questions that I don't already know the answer to, but part of this process is just getting things out into the public, and for those watching at home and the audience just so that they can become familiar. So, in response to a lot of the questions from Council Member Cohen and some of my questions, you see to be talking about your partnership with the district attorney. Will they be involved in responding to the Inspector General's Report since it seems that half—you're on the arrest side, but in terms of on the trial side and—and whatnot that that side of the puzzle seems to be

Inspector General is specific to the NYPD. So, the obligation to respond is to us. One of the claims the IG Report makes is that they've spoken with unnamed district attorney's offices personnel. We would welcome any input from the district attorneys both on the report and on the larger issue of how we all work together to do a better job of treating sex crimes survivors respectfully and handling these

cases with the highest degree of success, but the DAs have not obligation or formal role under the law that the Council passed in responding to the IG Report.

the questions that Council Member Cohen and I would like to echo about conviction and settlement rates and cases that are dropped, would it be possible for NYPD to start doing what I have been able to work with the Law Department doing, which is vertical case handling, which is keeping track of it from initial complaint all the way to resolution and work with the DA's office to stay on top of what ends up happening because ultimately, it has a bearing on public safety and people's likelihood to report.

CHIEF MONAHAN: That's something we can work on. That's what our Crime Control Strategists works on with the DAs on a regular basis. So, we can get that.

of the concerns is so either it settles or it gets dismissed or God forbid, it ends up in trial, and in trial you have a witness, often the victim, and in that situation the way that attorneys, defense attorneys deal with that is they undermine the

credibility of the victim. That's where we see some of the slut shaming and other situations out there saying that because a person has engaged in prior sexual acts, whether with that person or another person, that somehow their credibility can be impugned, which is horribly inappropriate, and may lead victims to not want to go forward. What can we do around that situation?

CHIEF MONAHAN: Well, that's the—the original investigation that we do. We want to gather as much evidence of we can beyond just the victim's statement. That's why we do such an intensive investigation in these cases.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Is there a way to get these convictions without doing the victim's statements so you can just do it on the evidence itself?

CHIEF MONAHAN: Yes, it. If you—
sometimes people cannot make an identification. We
have a forensic case. We make the arrest. Of course
it is, but it's an evidence-based investigation at
that point. So, we have to collect what forensics we
have and other eyewitness testimony to help with
that.

2.2

would indulge me in my last question. So, a person has made the complaint. They've successfully taken it through or settlement. The person has been convicted. What is—what is the outcome? Are the people facing jail time? Are they getting orders of protection that are long term? What is the maximum length of one of those protections, and what happens to—hopefully the—the victim has become a survivor, but what happens to a survivor when they have this person who has harmed them before, and how long will—can they—will they be protected for that person? Is it for the rest of their life?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Again, we work with victims post-complaint, post-arrest, post-conviction. In terms of conviction data and sentencing data, that's not data we get on a regular basis from the district attorneys either on individual cases or in a summary format. It's data that certainly would be useful to us and useful to the Council, but I don't know that it's currently collected or disseminated in any kind of organized fashion.

2.2

Say that as a victim of harassment that's currently going through this process, it is actually worse going through the process than—than dealing with some of their harassment, and I'm not sure it's all in the NYPD, but we as a city, whether it's the Mayor, the DAs, the NYPD and also the Council we need to get together so that victims know that they are safe starting from the outset through conclusion because what we're looking at in many of these cases is six month or two-year order of protection, and then good luck.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: I agree with you, and, you know, in my prior life I spent seven years as a federal criminal prosecutor. I made sure in every case that I prosecuted that both the victims, the witnesses, the case agents and the case detectives, and I did quite a number of cases with the NYPD, knew the outcome in terms of conviction and sentence. That doesn't happen by every DA in every case, unfortunately, but it's a goal that I think we should all work towards.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Council Member Kallos. Just a few more questions before we

-	
7	
_	ш

2.2

or new transfers?

close out. So, one, I'm just going to-well, questions and requests. So, I'm going to request officially if we can information on the names, ranks and transfer dates and length of service and adults in the Sex Crimes Squad Unit that would be helpful following this hearing. So, we want to see the lengths of service, transfer dates. Also, I know we announced some new detectives and—and—and patrol, white shields into the SVD Unit Friday. One of the questions I have is there were some outstanding transfer requests at least according to DOI that were never honored. Are we—are these part of those

CHIEF MONAHAN: These are transfers on Chief Osgood's list of people that's he interviewed and found appropriate to go into SVD.

transfer requests, or are they new-totally new hires

CHIEF MONAHAN: So, these—these were approved. These were the approval going back to—

CHIEF MONAHAN: [interposing] These were the lists when we told—when we told Chief Osgood that he could pick up additions, and this is the list that he produced.

1 2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Alrighty on 3 that, and hen I know you-you mentioned meeting with 4 the advocates, and I think one of the things that was 5 spoken of is there's a request in for more funding 6 for FETI training through OMB. If so, if this is 7 true, where are we at with that funding? Has it been 8 approved or --? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: FETI-FETI 9 10 has a line item that's in the budget that's secure, that's always been there. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And how much? 13 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: \$250,000. 14 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: \$250,000 and 15 that's-and what will that \$250 go towards, and how 16 many--17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: 18 [interposing] It will go towards I believe two trainings a year in FETI, and that number was never 19 20 in jeopardy. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: That number was 21 2.2 never in jeopardy? 23 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HERMAN: Nope. 24 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Alrighty, I

just want to thank you all for coming. I know, and

25

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 before I pass it back over I'll let you close out Council Member Rosenthal. A lot of mansplaining 3 4 (sic) going on this meeting. Women need to leave, but I want to thank you for-for certainly coming in, 5 6 and I want to thank you for the work that you do. 7 don't want to take away from the work that people in the SVD Unit do every day. In particular, I want to 8 recognize the work that Deputy Chief Osgood does day 9 10 in and say out. Happy that his requests are finally being heard. I also want to mention there is stills 11 12 some outstanding things that the working group has spoken of. So, hoping we can follow up on a lot of 13 14 these things. I will once again disagree on the use 15 of white shields going into this unit. So, I'm 16 hoping we can have more conversation moving forward 17 on that. Certainly the facilities that victims are 18 going to we need to continue our conversation on, ensuring that this unit is a graded division, and I 19 20 know there's debates on that. We can continue that conversation. Safe Horizons that two-we're at two-21 2.2 thirds of the particular counselors at precincts now.

We hope to really see that finished by the-by the end

of the summer because I think we're a little past due

25 where we need to be at on that. And I will say

23

24

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

thank you today for finally admitting that we can do a better job. Forgive our passion, but I think the victims deserve better. We are going to push to ensure in any areas that we believe you can do better it's a-we should all be open to being critiqued. don't mind personally being critiqued. So, I'm hoping that the department as we move forward and especially as the new chair I'm not sure what you were used to before, but we are certainly going to critique you in areas that we think you can do You've done a lot of great work to drive better. crime down. I just did an interview in here speaking very highly of the work you've done in community policing although I do think there are things we can do better there. So, we will continuously speak out about the things that you are doing correct, and-andand certainly I would hope that the department will continue to work with us to make sure that in areas that more resources and—and policy changes should be made that we can do that in a constructive manner without getting into, you know, yelling matches or disrespectfulness. So, I apologize if I certainly cut people off today, but forgive my passion. want to ensure that the victims are getting the best

_
1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

quality and quantity because guess what? It does jeopardize public safety when you're dealing with victims who have been victimized. There are children in the homes. That 3-year-old who was murdered in the Rockaways I am a friend of the grandmother. know the family very well. Forgive my passions today, but we want to ensure that our communities and women and men alike in the LGBTQ community are getting the services that they deserve. So, forgive our passions for today. I'm hoping that we can move forward in a constructive manner and really look at these recommendations this time seriously. I do have a lot of faith in Commission O'Neill and I'm hopeful that we will continue on this path to ensuring that we can correct the past wrongs that we've seen in this DOI Report, and we don't have to agree on all of the thins. Maybe there's good and bad in-

CHIEF MONAHAN: [interposing] We're always looking to do good. We thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: --but correct thethe bad and it's certainly. So, thank you for coming
today. I'm going to allow Council Member Rosenthal
to-

[interposing]

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: 2 Can I just add, Chairperson Richards on your follow-3 4 up in the spirit of working together. I want to 5 reiterate what Oleg said at the beginning to you and 6 to Chairperson Rosenthal for that matter any member 7 of the Council to invite you to come over and look at

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the capabilities of our current ECMS system, which 8 we've talked about. So, that you can see for 9 10 yourselves rather through a description in a report

exactly how it works, how the detectives use it, and

12 how it fits into the overall strategy and resources here to fight these terrible crimes. 13

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We will take you up on that offer.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much. Can we-can that invitation--I'll certainly take up any offer. Thank you.-be extended to the workings, the current workings of the SVD?

> DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you. just want to wrap up by, of course, thanking you as well for coming today. I would-there's no question that the investments that were announced are terrific. That's great. I encourage—I'm hopeful

2.2

that there will be more as—as you pull together your response to the DOI Report, and I would also like to say at this juncture with—whit this group of people that I look forward to hearing next from the advocates who work with these victims day in and day out. I think their testimony will be—will shine a

8 light on what's needed, and I hope that people will

9 stay that NYPD will stay to hear from them today on

10 | this topic now. Thank you very much.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BYRNE: Chairperson
Rosenthal, I think you had stepped out of the room
with Commissioner Herman and I addressed it. We are
going to leave people behind to hear the advocates,
but we have a regular ongoing, it's not a static,
it's a continuing dialogue with the advocates.

Commissioner Herman does that, Chief Osgood does
that, Chief Boyce does that. Commissioner O'Neill has
regular meetings with the advocates. Most recently,
we met with a group of the advocates on March 5th and
we plan to continue to meet with them and, of course,
what they have to say today will be important to us
as well.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I'm going to take the high road here, and not take the bait.

1 COMMITTEE ON W

2.2

2 CHIEF MONAHAN: Thank you. Thank you 3 very much. [laughs]

CHIEF MONAHAN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you for coming.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. We're going to call—I will ask someone to stay behind to hear from the advocates as well. I will let you make that decision. Alright, we're going to call the next panel. Michael Bach, a retired NYPD from SVD; Mary Haviland, New York City Alliance Against Sexual Assault; Jane Manning, Women's Justice now and also D Neck (sp?). Hopefully, I've said that correct. [background comments] Jane Manning, Woman's Justice Now; Mary Haviland, New York City Alliance Against Sexual Assault; Michael Bach, retired NYPD SVD; and Desdemona Meck.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I would just like to announce that I just saw 14 members of the NYPD leave the room. Could anyone who works for the NYPD raise their hand. One, two. Wait a minute. I want to see again. Are you guys staying or leaving because you're standing up? [background comments]

2 Leaving. Who

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Leaving. Who is staying in this room? I see one, two hands. Okay, thank you very much. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You may begin.

MARY HAVILAND: My name is Mary Haviland.

I'm the Executive Director of the New York City Alliance Against Sexual Assault. I'm going to do my best to read this as quickly and as succinctly as I can. I want to thank the Chairs of these committees for being here and the Council Member who remains for staying to listen to this testimony. We have an excellent well researched report by the Inspector General's Office exposing a serious lack of staffing resources for the Special Victims Division at the New York City Police Department. This is a serious issue for survivors of sexual violence in New York City. Before I start, I do want to acknowledge that we have a dedicated leader in SVD, Deputy Chief Osgood, who's trying very hard to improve the performance of his division. I also want to recognize Deputy Commission Susan Herman of Collaborative precinct-Policing whose a serious reformer and who is making significant advances in the treatment of crime victims. are positive steps forward in the transformation of the culture surround sexual assault and its

25

2 investigation by the NYPD. You've heard some of their initiatives this morning. I did want to 3 4 comment on a couple of things maybe at the end of my 5 testimony about things that I think were not quite 6 accurate about this morning's presentations, but 7 first, I want to just launch into my recommendations. First, I recommend the doubling of the SVD detectives 8 in-in Special Victims. There are currently 67 9 detectives handling adult sexual assault cases for 10 5,661 cases. Sexual assault crime reports have 11 12 increased by over 65% since 2009. Yet, there have been no comparable increases in staff. I want to 13 14 unpack this a bit. There are some major events that 15 have taken place over the last eight years that 16 greatly increase the caseload [coughs] at SVD. First, a working group created by Commission Kelly in part 17 18 as a response from pressure--[coughs] Excuse me. I'm sorry-from this advocacy organization and others 19 20 imposed the following additional tasks on SVD: Creation of a data analysis group that scrubs all 21 2.2 income complaints to check for proper charges on 23 In 2016, this group found 63 rape crease—rape cases that were not charged as such. A transfer of 24

all misdemeanor sex offenses from the precinct

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 174 1 2 detective squads to SVD representing 2,000 cases a year; and the creation of an SVD night watch team 3 4 that responds to calls from hospital emergency departments when they're conducting a forensic exam. 5 6 In 2016, there were 500 hospital responses. 7 are all very positive initiatives that improve the investigations of sex crimes. However, previous NYPD 8 commissioners and top NYPD leaders did not make 9 commensurate staff increases as it—as it has very 10 carefully demonstrated by the DOI report. 11 12 addition, there have been four other major events 13 that have led to increase in case load. In 2012—in 14 2012, the New York State Legislature passed the DNA 15 Recovery Law that requires samples from most of those 16 convicted of misdemeanor and felony crimes. This has 17 increased DNA hits that necessitate investigation. 18 In 2014, transit sex crimes were transferred to SVD, and an almost fourfold increase in sexual assault 19 20 offenders monitored by the Offender Unit since 1997. Finally, with the passage of Campus Sexual Assault 21 2.2 Law in 2015, more students are reporting sexual 23 assault. Incredibly, after all of this, the

Inspector General's Report states that there were

24

25

more adult SVD detectives in 1979 than there were as

25

2 of March 2018. In the-in a recent meeting with the NYPD, which was alluded to here earlier, which was 3 requested by the advocates, not by the Commissioner-4 the Police Commissioner, leadership at that meeting 5 6 indicated that there was an average of 93 cases per 7 year per SVD detective. This caseload is nearly double that of other police departments according to 8 research conducted in this country. SVD detective 9 10 caseloads are 31 times higher than that of homicide detectives. Granted, the public has a strong-granted 11 12 the public has a strong interest in quality homicide investigations, but this difference is unacceptable. 13 14 Sexual assault investigations involve time consuming 15 [bell] interviewing, remain in contact with victims, 16 pulling video camera footage, text and social media communications, photos, forensic evidence, and I'm 17 18 going to move forward to say that the feedback [bell] that we've received from survivors collaborates-19 corroborates the conclusions of the Inspector 20 General's Report. Our most common complaint is that 21 2.2 the Survivor has not heard from and SVD detective in 23 weeks, and that repeated attempts to contact them are not successful. We also hear of evidence that's not 24

pursued, cases that are dropped without explanation,

25

2 and inexperienced detectives asking insensitive questions. So, I'm going to just summarize my 3 recommendations. You can read the rest of my 4 testimony. My second recommendation is to transform 5 the Special Victims Division into a first class 6 7 trained investigative body. I think this takes an increase in the number of seasoned trained 8 investigative detectives in SVD. I think it requires 9 significant increase of training in the unit. 10 third recommendation is to improve the physical plant 11 12 of the borough SVD commands and finally, I think we need more collaboration with rape crisis center 13 14 providers and more transparency on the part of NYPD 15 leadership around resources that are going into SVD, 16 and on that note, I would recommend that the Commissioner create a separate budget for SVD that he 17 18 oversees, and that he reports periodically back to the City Council on that budget. In New York City 19 20 the city owes the following to survivors of sexual violence: To be believed when they report a crime of 21 2.2 sexual violence; for the investigation to be carried 23 out in a way that understands the biological effects of trauma; for investigations to be completed 24

thoroughly, and competently showing probably cause in

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 every case past the DA's office and detective skills that keep survivors updated, engaged and willing to 3 4 cooperate in the investigation of their case. Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Thank 7 you for your testimony. You may begin. 8 JANE MANNING: [off mic] Thank you, Council Member Richards. 9

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Just press your mic in the front. There you go.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JANE MANNING: [on mic] Thank you, Council Member Richards. My name is Jane Manning, and I'm the Director of Advocacy for Women's Justice Now. My day to day work is that I provide direct services to survivors of sexual assault in cooperation with our partner organization The National organ-National Organization for Women. I, myself am also a former sex crimes prosecutor. Council Member Rosenthal, I want to thank you. It is clear that you have done your research into the challenges and also the strength of the current Special Victims Division. Council Member Donovan Richards, I want to thank you for your questions about the impact of inexperience on investigations. I want to speak to that a little

1 2 bit because it's something that I witness on a day to day basis. The investigators spent-sent to special 3 4 victims are often not experienced investigators. 5 one of my cases, the investigators failed to show a 6 photoray to three key eyewitness who saw the suspect 7 shortly before the victim was attacked, and could probably have led investigators to him. In another 8 case-that photoray was never shown and the suspect 9 was never identified. That opportunity was lost. 10 That cases was not prosecuted. In another case 11 12 crucial video footage was not secured that could have corroborated the victim's testimony about lack of 13 consent. In another case, the detective failed to 14 15 spot classic signs of a drug facilitated sexual 16 assault, which is a grown scourge in our city, and greed the victim in that case with these words: 17 18 this a case of rape, or was this a case of buyer's In all of those cases, the investigators 19 remorse. 20 were sent to Special Victims without adequate experience and training. Council Member Richards, 21 2.2 you asked about the impact of having acquaintance 23 rape cases not enhanced by Special Victims detectives after arrest. I can give you a classic example of 24

the harmful effects of that process. It was the case

25

25

2 of Bijan Williams, which was publicly reported in New York papers. This was a prominent choreographer who 3 was arrested for taking a minor, a young dancer who 4 5 was only 17 years old to a bar by copious amounts of alcohol for her, and according to the victim's 6 7 account raping her while she passed in and out of consciousness. That case-in that case, Patrol did 8 what they should have done. They summarily arrested 9 10 the suspect, but the case was not enhanced by Special Victims. The outcome of that case is that the victim 11 12 lost heart, her participation. She did not continue her participation with the case. The charges were 13 eventually reduced to misdemeanors and that defendant 14 15 ultimately was not prosecuted on the charges for which he was arrested. Could it have made a 16 17 difference is Special Victims detectives had 18 interviewed the victim themselves and enhanced the case themselves? We'll never know. My guess is that 19 20 it would depend on whether it was one of the inexperienced Special Victims detectives who too 21 2.2 often are assigned there or one of the consummately 23 trained and experienced Special Victims detectives, but I have also-I'm also happy to say I've dealt with 24

on my occasions. These problems are fixable, and the

25

2 good news is the makings of that solution are already there. The Department of Investigation Report makes 3 this crucial point that the sources interviewed by 4 5 the Department of Investigation "Spoke highly of the 6 personal dedication and work ethic of Special Victims 7 Division officials, detectives, and investigators." The sources also added that "Special Victims Division 8 leadership is doing it's utmost in the face of 9 unrealistic demands." Innovations like FETI, the DNA 10 Cold Case Unit, the Complaint Classification Review 11 12 Process and many others demonstrate true commitment to sexual assault victims from the leadership of the 13 14 Special Victims Division. The community outreach 15 described by Deputy Commissioner Herman demonstrates 16 commitment to partnering with advocates. I will tell you that in one of my cases the survivor had had a 17 18 bruising experience with an inexperienced Special Victims detective, who asked very inappropriate 19 20 questions of her, but when we brought that case to the attention of Special Victims Division leadership, 21 2.2 it was promptly reassigned to a 12-year veteran 23 detective who has done outstanding work on the case, who interviewed the victim using FETI training [bell] 24

and after that experience, the victim said to me, No

-	

2	matter how my case turns out, I wish every victim
3	could have the experience that I just had with this
4	detective. So, this good news about the Special
5	Victims Division leadership, which was correctly
6	described by Council Member Rosenthal, and which will
7	be verified by any advocate in New York City who has
8	had contact with Special Victims Division leadership
9	means that the potential for vast improvement is
10	already there right in the division if the division
11	gets the influx of support and resources it needs,
12	more detectives, more experienced detectives, more
13	training and internal grade promotion opportunities
14	that enhance the prestige of the bureau. I do want
15	to thank Council Members Rosenthal and Richards, and
16	Cumbo as well as the NYPD officials who testified
17	here today for these four words: We can do better.
18	Those are the words that the community needs to hear.
19	If the NYPD is prepared to put resources where its
20	words are, we the advocate community stand ready to
21	work together to build a special victims division
22	that can become a model for the world. Thank you.

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much

24 for your testimony.

DESDEMONA MECK: Good afternoon.

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Good afternoon.

2 3 DESDEMONA MECK: My name is Desdemona I'm a survivor and—of sexual assault and an 4 advocate for victims. The following is an account of 5 my experience with the NYPD in the handling of my 6 7 case of sexual assault: In the summer of 2010 three months after moving to New York City, two men 8 attempted to rape me in the Bronx. At the time, I 9 was 22 years old. A few hours after the sexual-after 10 the assault, I received a rape kit and went to the 11 12 Harlem Precinct to report the crime. The detective at the precinct asked me a number of routine 13 14 questions and wrote down my statement. She told me that I'd have to learn to be smarter in New York City 15 16 that I should toughen up, and in the future not be so 17 nice to strangers. She went onto ask me if I was 18 positive I hadn't somehow made it seem as though the sex was something I had wanted. From the beginning, 19 20 the detective's reaction made me feel shameful. treated this violent crime as though it was simply a 2.1 2.2 consequence of a young woman living in a dangerous 23 city. Her view of the case also affected the 24 perception of my close friends-also affect the

perception my close friends had on the incident. They

2 began to believe the violence I endured must have somehow been of my own creation. A few days after my 3 initial statement, she called me into her office. 4 There I identified both assaulters from a number of 5 6 mu shots. Over speaker phone while the detective 7 listened in on a control call, I talked to the men who had attempted to rape me. After hearing the two 8 phone conversations, the detective deterred me from 9 10 bringing them into court. She explained to me that without hard evidence from cameras or otherwise and 11 12 no clear confession, it would be a challenging case. 13 She seemed confident in her belief that spending more time on the case would be a waste. At the time-at 14 15 the time the detective told me this, I was in no 16 position to fight for myself. I was young, 17 traumatized and exhausted. I was tired of talking 18 about what had happened to me knowing there was no one standing on my side. The detective's response to 19 20 my case left me feeling alone and without a sense that there was anyone who would help bring justice to 21 2.2 this violence. Shortly after my experience with the 23 NYPD, I left New York, and tried to forget everything that had happened. For years I never talked to 24 anyone about the assault. I kept silent holding onto 25

25

2 the shame the detective had instilled in me from the beginning. As time went by, I felt more and more 3 curious about the case. I began wondering why the 4 detective had seen my case this way. I wanted to 5 know if something more could have been done. In 6 7 April of 2016, I returned to New York and visited the NYPD, the-the office and requested my records. 8 denied me access and told me I would need a lawyer. 9 About a month later, I found a lawyer who agreed to 10 work with me. He sent the NYPD office a letter 11 12 requesting the records. Their response stated that 13 under Section 50-b of the New York Civil Rights Law, 14 there would be no third-parties given access to these 15 documents. I went on to write my own letter to the 16 NYPD, which received-received the same response. July of 2017, I submitted a FOIL application. 17 18 response to this application stated that I would receive my files within 90 days. However, I still 19 20 have seen nothing. I would like clarity around my case. I would like to know if the detective was 21 2.2 correct in her assumptions, and if not, I'm curious 23 what else could have been done. Until I can access my own case file, I will never know. I will never 24

understand why she made the decision she did and if

2.2

she was justified in her actions. In 2010 and over the past two years, my interactions with the NYPD have been triggering and re-traumatizing. The lack of training sensitivity and the underlying assumption that these cases are in some way the fault of the victim results in devastating consequences for survivors. It shouldn't be the role of traumatized victims to ask the NYPD to do their job correctly, but here I am telling my story again. I hope my statements today will save others from the emotional trauma I experienced while working with the NYPD.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Ms. Meck, thank you for sharing your story. Your doing so is going to change the lives of so many people, and just wanted to express my deep empathy with you and appreciation of you and want you to know we've heard you.

DESDEMONA MECK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you and we do have NYPD here. So, I would hope that someone would speak to you after your testimony, after you finish your testimony. Thank you. After we finish this panel. Thank you. Thank you for your bravery.

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 MICHAEL BACH: Good afternoon. My name 3 is Michael Bach. I retired from the New York City 4 Police Department last year on July 31, 2017. I had 25 years in with the New York City Police Department. 5 I'm going to shorten the document I needed. 6 7 10 years I was sergeant in Special Victims. I worked in Manhattan Special Victims from 2008 until 2015 8 when I was assigned to DNA Cold Case Squad. Nothing-9 10 nothing that I've done in my prior career prepared me for what I was going to experience investigating 11 12 sexual assaults. So, I was just thinking Special Victims was going to be like any other investigation. 13 14 I quickly realized I was wrong. My thinking and 15 perception of sexual assault investigations was not 16 much different than how members assigned to the NYPD 17 think today. It's part of a culture that believes 18 the assault is either very serious or nonsense. Part of the reason for this belief can come from the 19 20 disbelief of a survivor's accounts of what occurred. To a logical person, most of the actions or inactions 21 2.2 may not seem like reasonable reactions to the 23 situation. Thus, forging the culture of thinking. Additionally, the training for the entire department 24

lacks explaining why this defies logic. Accusatory

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 questions, insensitive words used while taking preliminary reports is not because the officer is 3 intentionally being disrespectful, but just part of 4 how officers logically think and extract facts during 5 taking crime reports quickly and efficiently. 6 7 case that an officer is taking a report and cannot see tangible evidence that a crime has occurred, is 8 treated with some skepticism regardless of the crime. 9 Most sexual assaults do not involve a weapon, no 10 visible injuries or witnesses. Therefore, uniformed 11 12 training is so essential. I do not know how much training is enough to get them started, but it needs 13 to be a continuing process to refresh and reinforce 14 15 them and keep them grounded. While assigned to 16 Manhattan's Special Victims squads, my duties at times included citywide supervisory coverage for all 17 18 five boroughs, which means I have physically worked in each office. During my tenure, I have supervised 19 20 in excess well over 15,000 cases most of which have been Adult Sex Crimes Squad cases. By the mere fact 21 2.2 that I was assigned to Manhattan's Special Victims, I 23 also had the privilege of supervising some of the

Make no mistake about it, all investigations are 25

24

most sensitive high profile cases in Special Victims.

2	important, and involved highly dedicated, motivated
3	detectives and supervisors. Cases are resolved as
4	part of a team effort with an investigative process.
5	The dedication of the members assigned to Special
6	Victims in my opinion is unmatched through the NYPD.
7	The example has been set with Chief Osgood, and had
8	been followed by his personnel. I personally
9	witnessed the dedication in various forms. Examples
10	are members continue investigating even while off the
11	clock. Many times I would have investigators at
12	their-on their own time sitting at their desks still
13	working on their cases. I also have witnessed
14	investigators typing reports while off duty in their
15	homes so as not to fall behind in their
16	investigations. Any time I needed to speak with
17	anybody, a supervisor or a detective regarding cases
18	they've always answered their phones regardless of
19	where they were and what they were doing? All
20	investigators and supervisors have two to three days
21	worth of clothing and personnel necessities in the
22	event they catch a case that requires absolute
23	dedication and time. I recall times where I've had
24	to force people to go home, get rest, see their

families and recharge their batteries. All of the

personnel assigned to Special Victims understands the
dedication level needed to investigate these cases.
The New York City Police Department attempt-attempts
to make strides in improving on a consistent basis.
In fact, I applaud some of the recent comments that's
encouraging victim reporting, and the acknowledgement
sexual assault are vastly under-reported. However, I
do feel the NYPD can do tremendously better in this
work of sexual assaults. I have included for you,
the three of you a copy of consent decrees against
Baltimore Police Department, University of Montana
Public Safety, with changes that have been mandated
by the Department of Justice, the policy with victim
sent management (sic) considerations. Also, included
are some reforms that have recently comet to light in
Chicago regarding sexual assaults. The progressive
approach to investigating sexual assaults is not a
new phenomenon, but it's been thrusted into the
spotlight by recent hard profile cases and advocacy
groups. I had worked under the commanding officer
Chief—Chief Michael Osgood for seven years, and I
personally observed his positive, progressive
thinking on change [bell] towards management

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] Keep 3 going.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL BACH: --approach and stressing a discipline of investigative process. Numerous recommendations have been requested during his tenure to improve the overall investigative process, and the survivor participation. Chief Osgood has done what he can do with the tools he has control over. However, a large portion of his requested improvements are beyond his control. Some of the improvements were implement-some of the improvements were implemented by Chief Osgood are noted in the DOI report. Also, noted are numerous requests for additional improvements that were not met, which were beyond his control to obtain. One of the current ongoing improvements is FETI training. I along with Chief Osgood attended FETI training originally, and evaluated its validity. We both agreed that this training was necessary to enhance interviews and the overall experience of sexual assault survivors. Until this training, there was no other training given for interviewing victims of traumatic crimes. This technique can also be used for other traumatic victims or witnesses related to other crimes, and

25

I'll give you an example. We had a shooting in Bronx 2 Lebanon Hospital that involved a doctor. 3 4 know if you all can recall that incident. After the incident, I had a homicide detective who attended our 5 6 course approach me, and explain during a witness 7 statement he was unable to get an account of what had happened, and he realized the person experienced a 8 high level of trauma, and he successfully was able to 9 extract detailed information using FETI. Under the 10 direction of Chief Osgood, he has required working 11 12 relationships between the squads, district attorneys, advocacy groups, safe examiners regarding 13 14 cooperatively working together to solve cases. 15 of the parties have a vested interest in Special 16 Victims cases, and they are part of the multidiscipline approach to case correctness and 17 18 proactively seeking the best possible outcome. All of the groups do not always agree with certain aspects 19 20 of an investigation, [bell] but need to cooperatively work together for it to be successful. Chief Osgood 21 2.2 has always reinforced this. It should be noted that 23 the DOI Report was not critical of the Internal Special Victims Management Team. They were critical 24

of the countless requests from Chief Osgood to

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 192 1 2 correct external problems beyond his control. However, one of the other vested interest areas we-we 3 4 lack greatly in is the survivors' interest. We can 5 improve the survivors' experience by implementing all of the recommendations. I can sit here for hours and 6 7 talk to you about the operational aspect of what goes on inside Special Victims, but unless you do this 8 work, you will never fully understand it. 9 I think the DOI Report has a very good understanding of the 10 issues after a one-year investigation. Our cases are 11 12 gray and complex. They are not black and white in comparison to other crimes such as robberies and 13 14 homicides. A complete investigation is often needed 15 to determine even if it fits the definition of penal 16 crime. Sadly, a portion of our investigations either do not or are hard pressed to reach probable cause. 17 18 I have fully read the DOI Report, and the recommendations-and the Recommendations requested. 19 20 believe the recommendations are very good, and will vastly improve the operational aspects of survivors 21 2.2 experience and case correctness. The survivor 23 experience should be a victim sentiment management

25 rate in special victims cases while also meeting case

model. This should improve the victim participation

25

2 correctness meaning: Investigations are thoroughly and properly conducted without shortcuts. Although 3 the work gets done, it can be done much better if the 4 recommendations are implemented. There will always 5 be cases that are not viable for an arrest or 6 7 prosecution, but implementing the changes will help survivors come forward and report with higher 8 continued participation rates. I had a case many 9 years ago that involved a woman. She was bipolar and 10 schizophrenic. She believed she was raped and 11 12 described the encounter in detail as she sobbed. 13 Video of the residence was able to prove it did not occur, but it did not lessen the traumatic 14 15 experience. After rationalizing with her, 16 experience-her experience, and grounding her, she was 17 able to understand since she was off her medicine 18 that the event didn't occur. But it was just as real as if it happened to her. The woman felt as if the 19 20 investigators still invested the time and that she mattered. This can be said for other cases that 2.1 2.2 cannot go forward if these recommendations are 23 implemented. All the recommendations relate to one another. That means implementing one without the 24

other will not give you the full benefit of that

25

2 recommendation. I don't understand how any one could argue wit these recommendations. None will be 3 4 detrimental to investigations. What are afraid of? 5 The Police Department has a chance and an obligation 6 as the largest Police Department in the country to be 7 an example. A choice to lead or play catch up is in the city's hands. Although I'm no longer employed by 8 the NYPD, I do miss the work. I think sexual 9 10 assaults are the most important and challenging investigations the NYPD handles. My only interest 11 12 now is to ensure the NYPD is the leader and the model for all other agencies in sexual assault 13 14 investigations. Here's something to think about. 15 money is the issue to correct-correct special 16 victims, maybe consider a five cent tax on all 17 alcoholic beverages sold inside New York City, and 18 there's a reason for this. A large proportion of cases are alcohol related involved. So, that means 19 tax a bodega for selling a beer. Tax a bar for 20 selling a beer. Tax a restaurant for a glass of 21 2.2 wine. How many millions and millions of glasses of 23 alcohol do we sell in the city. I see far too many cases that are alcohol related. We tax cigarettes 24

because there's a consequence to the medical

1 2 expenses. Why not tax alcohol with five cents. will pay for everything that's in the recommendations 3 4 and then some, and then we can use the money to also 5 help survivors and get them some help. Then we could 6 have programs. This should be implemented in a 7 three-year business plan. If you-if you decide to do this all at once, you're going to run into a log jam, 8 and I can tell you now hearing that they're sending 9 10 20 more people, there's no room for them in the facilities. There's none. Making facilities and 11 12 adding qualified investigators is a top priority in 13 order to accommodate and successfully implement all 14 of these recommendations. One thing regarding rapes, 15 the Police Department seems to struggle when 16 describing stranger rapes versus acquaintance rapes, and I'm going to tell you everybody in Special 17 18 Victims both are serious, both are highly traumatic, both are extremely complicated, both are equally 19 20 important to the members that work there. importance of solving both types of cases are the 21 2.2 same to the unit and the investigator. I'm not going 23 to read what I have on the additional pages, but you'll see there's recommendations. I have some 24

notes under it. The one thing I-I would like to read

1 2 if-if you allow me the time is about the facilities. I think that's kind of an important one, and you'll 3 4 understand maybe from going in there the-the type of facilities that our survivors are going into. 5 I want 6 you to think about this from a survivor's 7 perspective. You were just sexually assaulted, experienced a high level of trauma. First, you many 8 end up in a precinct. None of those are welcoming. 9 10 More-more stress is already added to the survivor. Now, you are brought to an adult sex crimes squad, 11 12 and I'm going to give you an example of Manhattan because I spent many years there, and it was never a 13 14 vast improvement whatsoever. You're brought up a 15 cold, dark stairwell at PSA5, which is a housing 16 precinct, if anybody doesn't know. The waiting area 17 is on the second floor in a dark narrow hallway just 18 outside the men's room. Typically, it's not—it's not a pleasant smell coming out of the men's room. 19 20 not written in here, but it's noted in-in the DOI Report. You're in a waiting area on the second 21 2.2 It's dark, it's narrow, just outside the 23 The chairs consist of hard plastic. men's room. 24 They're extremely uncomfortable. Every time an

officer passes you in the hallway, you must pull your

25

2 feet back to allow them to pass. On occasion, a prisoner or a suspect is walked past your into-into 3 the Special Victims office. The survivor thinks that 4 5 every passing person knows I was sexually assault. 6 More stress. Then you're greeted by your 7 investigator that brings you to your interview room. On the way up to the room you pass through a very 8 busy open squad room that you can see all the 9 10 investigators in the room, you hear various conversations. The phones are ringing, the work is 11 12 being conducted. It's chaotic. It's dirty, it's cramped. You see mouse traps in the corner of the 13 14 room and under the investigators' desks. 15 survivor glances across the squad room and observes a 16 holding cell. Sometimes it has prisoner. Often the 17 video interrogation room doubles as an interview room 18 because Manhattan only has one interview room, and it's not much better than the-the interrogation room. 19 20 In the summer the room are not air conditioned and are sweltering. Sometimes all the rooms are in use 21 2.2 for interviews and controlled calls. The lunch room 23 is then utilized. This room is just as bad as the 24 others. Investigators rarely eat in the room, and

usually eat at their desks while typing. There have

25

2 also been times when we needed to utilize the supervisor's office as an interview room as well to 3 4 do the interview. The survivor may need to go online and view photos, and that's done at the 5 investigators' desks. You think maybe the survivors' 6 7 stress level is a 10? All of you should visit Adult Squad accompanied by survivors, and see for yourself 8 what these facilities look like. None of the other 9 adult squads are any better. So, if you want to 10 visit the Bronx, Brooklyn, Queens, you'll get a full 11 12 understanding. Some key points about the facilities, 13 they need to be off Police Department property. 14 NYPD is in the business of crime not building 15 maintenance, and it's evident. [laughter] No matter 16 how new the facility is, it's never maintained 17 properly. Child CAC Centers are a good staring 18 blueprint. You need to have facilities with welcoming waiting areas that are not accessible to 19 20 the general public. You need a minimum of five interview rooms with another room equipped with a 21 2.2 computer station. It should have a full-time staff. 23 NYPD should consider hiring advocates just for this specific role with their staff full time in the 24

facility. They should have a medical facility with a

23

24

25

2 doctor assigned to the building. They should have an on-call ADA assigned to the building. They should 3 4 have a conference room for major case pattern 5 investigations, and most importantly, they should 6 listen to the investigator when constructing the 7 facility. Let me add to that. They should also listen to the survivor. That should be in there. 8 They know what is best not someone at headquarters. 9 10 I'll be glad to help to try to answer some of your questions. I won't go into detail with the other 11 12 stuff. You'll-you'll see I have notes on it. I don't want to occupy all of your time. I allow you-13 14 for allowing me to-to vent, and say my piece, but I 15 truly believe what I've said to you needs to happen. 16 All of the recommendations need to be implemented. 17 Somehow, some way they've got to get implemented. 18 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Michael for coming today. A few questions. 19 I had questions 20 around white shields. Can you speak to-is there a concern with having white shields staffing up? 21 2.2 MICHAEL BACH: The reason—the reason why

we get a large pool of white shield applicants is—is complicated. Special Victims is the division. It's a stand-alone on it's own. Even though we fall under

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Detective Bureau, Manhattan Special Victims does not answer to the Detective Borough Commander that's in the Detective Bureau. So, there's a chief assigned to Manhattan that does all the precinct detective squads.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: uniforms

MICHAEL BACH: We're a stand-alone unit. We report to Chief Osgood. Chief Osgood reports

direct to Chief Boyce. So, recruitment is hard.

What happens is if you're a detective borough

commander, you're not looking to lose your greatest

They have no vested interest in what-what they do.

people to my unit, and there's a reason for that.

If I say we, pleas forgive me. I'm still in shock

that I retired. [laughter] They—they have no vested

interest in—in what goes on there. It doesn't affect

them in any form. Part of the other problem is the

grade issue. That—that is tremendous, and—and I

commend you for identifying from the report. You

know, I'm listening and I'm hearing today they're

comparing it to a catching squad because we catch

live cases. Homicide catches live cases. So, he's

not telling me they're a catching squad. The

disparity for the grade is tremendous. I-I-I know

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 201	
2	that there's much more work goes into our cases than	
3	a robbery, a larceny and even most homicides. A lot	
4	of time we don't know what we have.	
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And you have to	
6	love what you're doing to be where you're at to stay	
7	there for such a long period of time.	
8	MICHAEL BACH: And—and I'll be honest	
9	with you. I think a lot of people remain there	
10	because of Chief Osgood.	
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Say that again.	
12	Sorry.	
13	MICHAEL BACH: I think a lot of people, a	
14	lot of the investigators remain there because of	
15	Chief Osgood.	
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.	
17	MICHAEL BACH: They believe in his	
18	philosophy.	
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: In Chief Osgood.	
20	Uh-hm.	
21	MICHAEL BACH: You know, he-but he's done	
22	all he can do	
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm.	

into a different spotlight, and the ball is in 25

MICHAEL BACH: --and now it's thrusted

_

_ _

somebody else's court, but when it comes to the grade, homicide doesn't have a problem with applicants, and the same way that we picked up 20 out 100 applicants, I bet they only pick up 2 out of 100 or 3 out of 100. They're not—they—what the odds on

you being transferred there and getting grade are

extremely high.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm.

MICHAEL BACH: I think detectives through the city when you're promoted the order are come down on the computer system, and every single detective looks at on a daily basis to see is there any changes? Who got promoted? You know, who went where? They know that there's—there's not a lot of grade opportunities inside Special Victims, and it's sad. It really is sad because I think it would attract the best and the brightest. Maybe they don't want to do homicides.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm.

MICHAEL BACH: When you come across a homicide scene, typically it's not a question of whether or not a crime has been committed. Sexual assaults, there's a lot that goes into it, but each case still needs to be investigated completely and

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 concisely, but I think that you can probably have a better recruiting effort if there's more grade and it 3 4 will definitely help with retention. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm. 5 6 MICHAEL BACH: But that's beyond me, and 7 hopefully you guys can get some progress in that 8 area. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm, and 9 10 anything out of the scope of recommendations that were made that you would suggest or-or are you keened 11 12 in on exactly what the recommendations were and-and they're fine. Is there anything that we missed that 13 14 perhaps we--? 15 MICHAEL BACH: I tell you I really 16 believe that that the DOI Report nailed it. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yes. 17 18 MICHAEL BACH: They nailed it. CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm. 19 20 MICHAEL BACH: And, you know, part of the reason why I'm here todays was to hear the initial 21 2.2 denial about it, and it's a little upsetting and, you 23 know, what, those people that—that work in Special

lot of transfers-they're not transferring up to 25

24

Victims, are extremely dedicated. You don't have a

2 Homicide. The

Homicide. They're not transferring up to Intel.

3 They love the work they do. Could you imagine how

4 much better it would be if you gave them these 12

5 tools.

1

6

7

13

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm.

MICHAEL BACH: It would be-it would be

8 earth shattering. You know, I've traveled the

9 country when I did Cold Case DNA and even in

10 | Manhattan. I've had the occasion to go to a lot of

11 different Special Victims. Now, I'm not an expert on

12 | that, the whole Prummell theory.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Uh-hm.

14 MICHAEL BACH: That's not what I do. What

15 | I do-what I did do, though, was manage cases, and for

16 | a long time the running joke in the office was can I

17 have another cinder block as I'm swimming in the pool

18 | because that's what it feels like to some of these

19 | investigators, and, you know, I don't think have 20

20 pen cases on your screen is a good thing. You know,

21 | help-help has to be on the way for these guys, but

22 | they're never discouraged. They may take their

23 | shortcuts unfortunately and—and hopefully by

24 | implementing more personnel, you know, we can

eliminate those shortcuts, but it was just a matter f

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 survival. They do the job. They get it done.

3 They're soldiers. Wherever you put them they're

4 going to work, but, you know, help is needed. Help

5 is needed.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. I'm going to go to Council Member Rosenthal for questions, Chair Rosenthal.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much, Chair Richards. I want to thank all of you, of course, for coming here and—and helping to frankly validate the questions that we were asking, and help us. You know, you've helped to prepare us and-and your work I mean you guys are doing God's work everyday. So, thank you very much for that. guess, and really this is a question for all of you. In thinking about next steps, and I think our job is to hold the Administration's feet to the fire for implementing these recommendations and what I would hope as a next step to-to actually get from all is if you think it's a three-year time line, which you mentioned that, you know, it's likely to take three years, for them to implement this properly, what does that timeline look like? What-what is the sequencing of work and-and how much time should each of that-

2.2

each of those steps take for implementation because if we could set out a roadmap together that we're confident makes sense, that would I think allow us to meaningfully hold the Administration's feet to the fire, and not be caught up in their obfuscation of the facts in my mind that the Chief couldn't answer Council Member Richards' questions about the percentage of, you know, ranked detectives in SVD, and couldn't answer the question or, of course didn't want to answer the question about why SVD couldn't be set up with the same ranking-grading system as homicide. I don't—I would love to be very confident about our roadmap. Have it be explicit and there be no room for obfuscation. Is that possible?

is reasonable with the Police Department. You just need to prioritize what we feel is the most important and start implementing, but if you're going to start loading it with personnel, you're going to need a facility. Just putting them there, is only going to make the facilities more deplorable. I'm sure they could use the 20 that hey have right now. I wouldn't say send them back. They're going to appreciate the help, but facilities has to be number one. It has to

1 2 be number one. The training is ongoing. The FETI training is actually very good, and I heard you ask 3 4 the question earlier, which I'll answer for you, how 5 do they evaluate the FETI training? Is there a 6 score? Is there a course? What happens is the 7 course—the director of the course along with her personnel go around and actually physically listen. 8 Do you participate in a practical exercise of the 9 That's-that is how I knew this was good. 10 FETI? Chief Osgood and I went out, we went our to 11 12 California we went to Portland, Oregon and Boise, Idaho. There's a 2-segment portion in the training, 13 14 and when I participated in the practical part of it, 15 it was like a light bulb went off. I got it. 16 understood what all of this training was now about. They actually paired us up, which was nice, with 17 18 people that you'll probably never see again because we're from outside New York State, and you-you 19 20 participated by actually telling them about a traumatic experience that you had, and during this, 21 2.2 there were things that I never thought of before that 23 happened to me during this experience that I found 24 myself telling this person that I didn't even realize

that it happened. So, I realized there was light

2.2

bulb that went off that I see how the brain starts to map things off in different islands, and by allowing them to talk they go to one island, but it may relate them to another island. I strongly suggest if you have an opportunity to attend the FETI training, I highly recommend that you do it, and you'll see the—the value that's in it, but definitely you need to prioritize the list to the order of facilities and personnel and then training and I could take a better look at it and get back to you on what I think the order should be. But I'll tell who would know the order if you need it, it's Chief Osgood. He's been there for eight years, and he's been asking for this stuff for eight years. I think he'd probably be the person that's suited best to give you the roadmap.

MARY HAVILAND: [off mic] Could I just add to that as part of the-- [on mic] Could I just add to that I would love to see a timeline worked out. I have a lot of respect for the sergeant over here who knows the insides of what's going on. I would want even on the physical improvement of the physical plant, I would want some sort of collaborative working group set up so that advocates could have serious input into what that facility

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

would look like. We see a new emergency department at Healthplex at the Northwell Healthplex that totally took into consideration survivors' needs in revamping the emergency department and set up a separate entrance for survivors, a waiting room, a shower, a special interview room. I-I think that with collaborative effort we could come up with something that would really improve the circumstances for-for survivors. But I also think the staffing-the staffing is just super important because we're just hearing too many-the-the facts that Chief Boyce could sit with the-with-without a smile on his face and say that the SVD was able to follow up with victims is just not the reality. They are not able to follow up with a lot of victims that are coming in, and victims often don't get explanations for dropped cases or what's happening in their case, or what the results of the-of the kit-the sexual assault forensic kit was or any of those kinds of things. They just do not have the time to follow up. So, I think the-the staff is important, too.

JANE MANNING: And this issue of inadequate staff and inadequate experience is something that the advocates have been bringing to

_

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

2 the attention of the NYPD for years. So, at the

3 March 5th Advocates Meeting that the Deputy

4 Commissioner referred to, I was one of the advocates

at that meeting, and it's true that we had positive

6 | feedback on FETI, but it was also said at that

7 meeting that to a person the advocates were pleading

8 for more resources, better training and more

9 experienced detectives, and we have been pleading for

10 those things for years.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. I'm sorry, may I-just a little bit more. Do you think that—what—for all of you or actually I guess for Mr. Bach, do—is there any—? What do you think the reason is on your—with your professional experience that they haven't moved toward the grade system for SVD, which I believe was one of the recommendations from 2010, and why they think they're—they would need more re—why they clearly under—prioritized this division, and if you feel you're not in a position to answer those questions that's fine, but I'm curious to know your take on it. And actually, a third question before I forget. The chief said that the average experience of any one in SVD was 6-1/2 years. How can be accurate?

2

3

4

Ī

5

6

O

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MICHAEL BACH: I think he was—he might have been speaking about 6-1/2 years of experience within the NYPD.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Uh-hm.

MICHAEL BACH: Were you thinking that it was 6-1/2 years experience inside Special Victims itself? I don't-I don't know. I can't-unfortunately I'm not there any more. I can't attest to the accuracy or inaccuracy of that answer, but I think that's a little high. Even if and the number that you-you had received I believe he said that the majority were detectives and there was white shields if I'm correct that are currently in there prior to the move. Is that right? Is that what I had right or how many of those now detectives are recently promoted? You know, what I mean? Dive in a little deeper like they talk about CompStat. We're going to dive in a little deeper. Well, I think you guys did a pretty good job diving in a little deeper. Yes, and, you know, getting back to the-to the beginning of why I think and everybody else feels that there probably should be detectives, experienced detectives because white shields don't have the initial skillset of intuition, of just common investigative knowledge.

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 You know, even going to do a video canvass and downloading a video is something that's taught. You 3 just don't know it. You know that sequences of how 4 5 to go and conduct that procedure. So, even by 6 getting, you know, somebody that's been in the squad 7 for a little while, I think what you're doing is you're getting at least somebody that's a little bit 8 more rounded. When you're getting the white shields 9 coming in, they don't have that-for the most part 10 don't have any investigative experience at all. Some 11 12 are coming from domestic violence units. So, they have a little bit of experience in that area, but it 13 14 still doesn't qualify them to go out and do 15 investigations. So, the theory has been three to 16 five years is the mature rate for a white shield to become a seasoned investigator, and I say three to 17 18 five because it depend on the skill level that you had initially when you came in. Some people 19 20 accelerate at different levels than others. So, people are a little bit slower at learning, but I 21 2.2 would say probably four-four to five years is when 23 you become somebody that should be experienced enough

to conduct these investigations without so much

oversight, but it's hard because even if you have a

24

-	ı	
	ı	

- 2 seasoned investigator that's in there, they do-they

work with these young people coming in, but how much can you do when you have your own cases to handle.

You know, the supervisor has cases to review. So, and he's trying to get some oversight in there, but you hope that the younger white shields that are coming in pick up on the good experience and investigators

while they're there, and they just latch on to them.

It's extremely important.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay, and any reason not to have a graded system in SVD?

MICHAEL BACH: It should be a graded system, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay, the last question for all of you. Do you think that white victims are treated differently than victims of color?

MICHAEL BACH: In my experience in

Manhattan, I have had no issue with that. Manhattan
is a very diverse borough. We get cases from north
to south. I have not had an issue with that that I'm
aware of in Manhattan during my tenure from 2008 to
2015.

MARY HAVILAND: [off mic] I don't-I

3 | don't-

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: It's okay. You

5 can-

MARY HAVILAND: I'm not sure that I comment on that. I'd have to and actually we—we do have a direct service program at the Alliance, and our supervisor of that program would have a better idea than I would. My instinct would be that we see young people, younger people having a harder time on their cases, and ones that involve alcohol, ones that involve online dating. There seems to be a lot of skepticism about those cases. That would be my off-the—cuff kind of remark, but—but I could ask about it and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

JANE MANNING: My understanding is that victims from communities of color face additional reporting—additional obstacles to reporting, and certainly are also at higher risk for victimization. It is my experience as well that LGBT victims also face additional barriers to reporting and participation, and I do believe that for people in the most marginalized communities who face the

-	
- 1	
- 1	

2 highest risk of victimization, I do believe that

3 affirmative outreach and these community police

4 partnerships become more important than ever. I-I

5 | will say this that the—that the most—that the common

6 theme in so many of the cases that I handle is—is

7 gender. It can't be—it can't be emphasized enough

8 | that I have seen survivors of every race and class

9 | encounter intolerable bad experiences in their

10 dealings with law enforcement. It has also been the

11 case that survivors or every race and class if they

12 get matched with the right investigator, who is

13 experienced, trained and compassionate, and

14 committed, I have also seen survivors of every race

15 and class have very good experiences. That's the

16 standard that we should be aspiring to for every

17 survivor.

CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you all

19 very much.

20 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you all for

21 | your testimony. Thank you for much. Thank you for

22 your story.

23 JANE MANNING: Thank you so much, Council

Members.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, sergeant. Alright, our next panel, Angela Fernandez from Mount Sanai Sexual Assault and Violence Intervention program; Amy Gonglu (sp?). I think I'm saying this right, Advocate for Survivor, and she's representing now New York City. Hopefully, I'm going to say this right Kylynn, Kylynn Grier, Girls for Gender Equity; Christopher Bromson, Executive Director, Crime Victims Treatment Center. And it's Christopher Bromson, Executive Director, Crime Victims Treatment Center; Kylynn Grier, Girls For Gender Equity; Amy Gonglu, Now NYC; Angela Fernandez, Mount Sinai Sexual Assault and Violence Intervention Program. Thank you all for being here. I'll let you begin.

AMY GONGLU: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is my Gonglu and I've been interning with the National Organization for Women New York City since Mayo of 2017. I'm here today to represent a woman named Rachel who is a survivor of sexual assault who Now NYC and their partner organization Women's Justice Now has partner with on our advocacy efforts to push for reform in the New York City Criminal Justice System, and even thought Rachel wanted to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

maintain her confidentiality today, she felt very, very strongly about sharing her story with everybody here, and this is her story. In 2014, I was sexually assaulted by a man I was casually seeing. The night I broke things off, he became extremely violent. For eight hours I was held captive in my own room surrounded by shards of broken glass. For five of those hours I was repeatedly beaten and sexually assaulted. By the time he relented, I had sprained hip, a broken rib, and severe concussion. When I met the detective at the SVU he was immediately skeptical even after I extracted a full confession from my rapist. That should have been enough to make an arrest, but I wasn't. Instead, the police scoffed and told me he's not going to jail for this. I assured them that if he hurt me, there's nothing stopping him from hurting somebody else later. They put out a warrant, but never arrested him. until one month later when he attacks another woman. Throughout that month, the police told me that my rapist was a hard guy to catch, but when he attacked another woman, a stranger, they were miraculously able to identify, locate and apprehend him the very next day. In the following months I learned that my

1 2 detective was being investigated for and had admitted to molesting another rape victim. The NYPD was aware 3 of this and still this sexual deviant to work on 4 5 sexual assault cases. After requesting my case 6 files, I saw that despite telling me he had made 7 several arrest attempts, the detective had only made one, and this attempted wouldn't have happened had I 8 not called the supervisor asking why rapist was still 9 10 freely roaming the streets three weeks after my assault. Also, in my case files the detective left 11 12 our crucial information from my statement. Because of this, the sentencing followed a similar lack of 13 14 urgency. By the time I faced my rapist in court, my 15 trauma had been watered down to the same legal 16 classification an unwanted groping. This matters because my rapist isn't serving additional time in 17 18 prison for what he did to me, and he left that courtroom believing that what he did to me was not 19 20 wrong. The justice system proved him right. When I voiced my concerns to the NYPD they told me this is 21 2.2 just how things work. In other words, this was 23 normal to them. That's not okay. The point here isn't to shame the NYPD. We are all on the same 24

Our point to provide purposeful feedback so

2 that we can e

2.2

that we can effect meaningful reform. After meeting with Commissioner O'Neill last January, I'm confident that he does want to bring positive change to the force, but as seen in the recent DOI Report and from the accounts of countless victims whose cases have been botched, there is still so much to be done.

Together, we can make sure that no other survivor has to go through this mess. This is the only outcome that we can accept. Thank you for your time, Council Members.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Rachel, for such a powerful testimony. Thank you for being here today.

ANGELA FERNANDEZ: So, far, right. Good afternoon. My name is Angela Fernandez, and I am the Assistant Director of Mount Sinai Sexual Assault and Violence intervention program, also known as SAVI and SAVI has been providing support to survivors of sexual assault, intimate partner violence, and trafficking in New York City for more than 30 years, and we do this by providing free and confidential trauma informed therapy, emergency room advocacy, and community outreach and training throughout the city. I want to first thank you both the Chairs [coughs]

COMMITTEE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chairs Rosenthal and Richardson as well Excuse me. as committee members for-for providing today's opportunity to share our thoughts regarding the recent OIG Reports and those being raised today specifically around criminal justice response for sexual assault victims in the city. So, I first want to just kind of reflect on the issue that keeps coming up as far as under-reporting and, you know, I'm going to read a little bit about this, but, you know, I think that at the foundation and I really appreciated what you said earlier Council Member Rosenthal about really getting to the root causes, right, and really trying to figure out what it is that keeps people from reporting, and I think it comes down to trust, and that was really want kept coming to my mind when I was trying to write, you know, what-what-what do I want to focus on here? I think that, you know, those of us who have been in the-in the advocacy work for a long time we understand how the complexities of trauma can impact a person over a life span, and that is really why very often a person does not necessarily seek out services, file a police report or present in an emergency department right after an assault, right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And when you also consider the infinite number of other life circumstances that influence the decisions any of us make on a daily basis or really get to make on a daily basis, and I think that also speaks to the issue of underserved communities and why some of us are able to even be here and speak on this issue today, and who is not represented in the room today. [coughs] It also becomes even more complex and confusing of an issue not just for survivors, but for all of use who are trying to improve upon the systems that directly impact survivor's experiences and outcomes. So, even with all of the variables that make each person's experience unique, we know that trust is a key component when helping someone on the path to healing. That's trust with oneself that a survivor really needs to rebuild trust with others, trust in those aforementioned systems. Under the Special Victims Division leadership, I also just want to kind of echo what everyone else has been saying that we really have also seen vast improvements under the leadership of Chief Michael Osgood, and I think that the big piece of that is that he has been able to really foster trust among the advocacy groups and law enforcement in his tenure, and he has really done

222 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 that by helping to bridge the historical gaps that have existed between advocacy programs and law 3 enforcement, and really helping to build 4 5 collaborative relationships among the systems that 6 normally have worked in silos. A few examples, but 7 not an exhaustive list of those improvements has been the implementation of the FETI training, and before I 8 was the Assistant Director I spent many years as the 9 10 outreach and training person. I am a professional trainer by trade, and training and I-and I want to 11 12 just speak to why FETI is so effective, and it is because it is built upon adult learning theory 13 14 principles as well, right? So, you're not just 15 watching a video. You're not just sitting there 16 listening to a lecture, but you are putting into practice the techniques that you are there to learn. 17 18 So, you are working, you know, you're doing role plays and you're also practicing the FETI techniques. 19 20 So, the trainers in the room get to see you model those-those techniques, and it's over a long period 21 2.2 of time. It's many, many hours of training. Chief 23 Osgood has also helped to invite various advocacy 24 group members to participate in a closed case sex

crimes review basically opening up, you know, those-

1 2 the-the secret doors of NYPD that we really had never been able to see before, a review process to ensure 3 4 correct complaint classification, embedded protocols 5 to ensure all unfounded cases are accurately 6 classified, and then allowing SVD detectives to 7 participate in many our programs [bell] 40-hour Department of Health Certified Volunteer Advocate 8 training programs. In less formal ways, Chief Osgood 9 has changed the culture in which detectives have 10 interacted with members of outside organizations in 11 12 the past by being able to support-by being available. I'm sorry to survivor support organizations 24/7 with 13 14 any concerns, questions or issues that arise. 15 has been an example from which other SVD detectives 16 have taken. So, there's now an ongoing communication across the various disciplines serving survivors that 17 18 transpires organically benefitting all involved. are very grateful to the many dedicated men and women 19 20 in the Special Victims Division who work tirelessly sometimes for days on end for an issue they deeply 21 2.2 believe in. But with all of this said, we are here 23 today because there is much more work to be done for survivors of sexual assault in New York City. 24

so, SAVI respectfully makes the following

224 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 recommendations to improve upon the Criminal Justice response that correctly-currently exists, and, you 3 4 know, we are also not here to just criticize NYPD 5 leadership, but we want to also help to shed light on the reality of what most survivors' experiences are, 6 7 and often times in a very large organization the leadership is a little disconnected from what's 8 happening in the day-to-day operations for survivors. 9 10 So, we fully support the recommendation made in the OIG Report regarding the need for an increase in 11 12 staffing in all SVD adult squads, and want to emphasize the necessity that cases receive the 13 14 investigatory experience, expertise and trauma-15 informed approach that SVD detectives provide as 16 opposed to precinct officers. And going back to that idea of trust, I will say that as an advocate who has 17 18 responded to cases and as a person who has worked very closely with many-not just detectives, but I've 19 20 done the rollcall trainings in many precincts over the years, and the difference between working with 21 2.2 someone who has experience and who has been doing the 23 SVD cases for a long time in that division versus 24 somebody who is not specialized is exactly this.

most common question that I've been asked by somebody

25

2 who is not and SVD detective is when I say I work for a sexual assault and violence intervention program, 3 the most common question is: Out of 100 cases that 4 you see, how many of them end up not being true, and 5 I think that is just the difference of the-the 6 7 viewpoint, and that is really because of not understanding what trauma actually is. Not 8 understanding that when somebody has a traumatic 9 event that they might not remember certain aspects of 10 the experience or the way that they tell their story 11 12 doesn't always come to them in the same order, or that there is a reason why someone may omit a 13 14 certain, you know, detail, but it doesn't mean it 15 didn't happen, and I will say that that just happened 16 on Saturday. I was at the Police Academy for actually part of the FETI training and observing. 17 18 Everyone in the FETI training was doing a wonderful job. I actually got to see them practice the 19 20 techniques they're there for, but I was talking to very police officers who were at the front, you know, 21 2.2 the front desk for security, and it's not SVD 23 detectives, not a part of FETI training, waiting for my Uber to pick me up, and that's what they asked me, 24

and so we had a very interesting discussion.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 think that they meant any harm, but that is what you

3 get if you're a survivor and you're not getting an

4 SVD detective. Yeah. So, you have my documentation.

5 I've taken up a lot of time, but I do just want to

6 say that, you know, thank you for this opportunity,

7 and I do just want to reiterate that I do believe

8 | that the leadership of SVD is the right leadership,

9 and I really do hope that we get the resources needed

10 | to, you know, to move forward. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \begin{subarray}{$

KYLYNN GRIER: Good afternoon. My name is Kylynn Grier. I'm here to testify on behalf of the young person at Girls for Gender Equity. Before I do that, I just want to ground really quickly. When we talk about adult sex crimes, we're talking about young people including young people 13 years or older. So, good afternoon Committee Chair Rosenthal and Richards, and members of the Committee on Women and Public Safety. My name is Leah Jean Francois. I am 18 years old and a first year at Barnard College—Barnard College of Columbia University. Today, I represent the Young Woman's Advisory Council at Girls for Gender Equity. Girls for Gender Equity is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Brooklyn Based Coalition building and youth development organization that acts as a catalyst for change to improve gender and race relations and socio-economic conditions for our most vulnerable youth in communities of color. The challenging structural forces that work to obstruct the freedom for expression and right of cis and trans women of color and gender non-conforming youth of color we are committed to ensuring the rights of sexual assault and gender-based violence survivors while also ensuring police accountability. Women of color experience higher rates of sexual violence than their white counterparts, and it is well documented that trans and cis black women grows and gender nonconforming people are disproportionately criminalized for self-defense. After experiencing sexual abuse for multiple years, I decided to the NYPD when I was I walked up to an officer on the sidewalk in Midtown and asked where should I go to report this? I was told by one officer that I did not share any details with him, everything that happened there's no point in going to the NYPD. He did not help me. Then, later during the school year when I was at Curtis High School, which is a highly policed

1 2 intercity public school, I gained enough confidence to reach out to one of the NYPD officers that was in 3 4 the school. I was told to figure something out or 5 talk to my parents and the officer told me to go to 6 I moved to expand the number of sexual-7 special victims—Special Victims Division detectives must be couched in a broader commitment from the New 8 York Police Department to prioritize sexual and 9 gender based violence. There is also a bill (sic) to 10 transparency or accountability for NYPD Officers who 11 12 commit sex crimes. Who are survivors supposed to go to when the person who has hurt them is an NYPD 13 14 Officer? The rapid responsiveness from patrol 15 officers and the downgrading of cases or refusal to 16 send cases to the Special Victims Division is an opportunity to call for greater NYPD transparency and 17 18 accountability for officers who harm people in communities. Funding for proposed training should 19 20 come from reallocating funds already from the New York Police Department not by securing more funds for 21 2.2 the agency. Increasing resourcing to an agency with 23 a budget of \$5.57 billion has not demonstrated evidence or increased safety for women and people of 24

agency experience and/or communities of color.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

report from the Office of the Inspector General demonstrates that there is a lack of leadership and commitment to taking sexual assault seriously in the New York Police Department, and we ask you to please help change that so we can up safe in our communities.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much for reading on behalf of her. Thank you.

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: Good afternoon. name is Christopher Bromson. I'm the Executive Director of the Crime Victims Treatment Center, and I just want to thank the two of you, and both of your committees for hosting this committee meeting, but also doing one heck of a job in asking the right questions and having all the right information. My colleagues have done a rally fantastic job of highlighting most of our priorities and what we hope for. So, I won't reiterate too much of it. I echo everyone's demands for more. At the Crime Victims Treatment Center we serve about 1,000 survivors of sexual assault every year, and we do a lot of indepth trauma focused therapy, and so with all of the survivors that choose to make a report during their course of treatment with us, we get a very in-depth

25

2 look at what that experience is like. You know, and the number 93 cases has been thrown around, the 3 4 number 58 cases has been thrown around, the number 20 5 new detectives has been thrown around, and hopefully, 6 they're going to be well trained seasoned detectives, 7 but as I think to our own work we limit our therapist's caseloads to 25. They do 25 sessions a 8 week for 45 minutes incredibly in-depth trauma 9 focused therapy, but we limit those caseloads because 10 we know that to effectively do this work to fully be 11 12 present to do each step of the healing that needs to happen, 25 is the right number for our clinicians, 13 and so it's hard for me to imagine that 58 or 93 is 14 15 the right number for people who are round the clock 16 doing very in-depth investigations. The other thing I just want to talk a little bit about is the idea of 17 18 collaboration. The New York City Police Department has a very long way to go in making sure that every 19 20 survivor of sexual assault has a consistent and supportive experience when they make a report, and we 21 2.2 still face challenges every day. We hear horrible 23 stories from survivors, and we also hear really good ones, and over the past eight years I think thanks 24

very much in part to Deputy Chief Michael Osgood's

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

leadership, we hear less and less of those, which is great. Chief Osgood has fostered a very strong and mutual respect between advocates and the Police Department, and I think that that should be fairly obvious to you today based on the number of advocate who are kind of mentioning this idea. He's instituted regular meetings with advocates. Deputy Commissioner Susan Herman has done the same, and they've really worked to improve our working relationship. Over the past three years CVTC has hosted 12 Special Victims detectives at our Annual Rape Crisis and Domestic Violence Advocate Training. So, it's been four detectives a year for the past three years. So, three years ago when Chief Osgood called asking if his detectives would be allowed to attend, this was a really unprecedented gesture of a leader in the Special Victims Division. It was a very big deal. So, we agreed. We said that, of course, they could participate as long as they made a commitment to participate fully with all the training advocates, which they did, and it's hard for me to overstate the power of that move. Having four detectives in with 40 trainees was an amazing experience. It gave the trainees a look into what

25

2 the work is for a Special Victims detective. helped them understand why certain questions are 3 4 asked and why certain processes are adhered to, and it gave those detectives a very deep understanding of 5 6 the importance of a rape crisis advocate. 7 importance that that person is there, and that they work together. It was a really simple idea, but it 8 had really profound effects, and it really shows that 9 survivors of sexual assault benefit from 10 collaboration. The benefit when the systems that 11 12 they have no choice but to interact with-know how to interact with each other, and when that happens, the 13 14 journey from the hospital to the precinct to the Rape 15 Crisis Program to the district attorney's office. 16 can become one that's focused on allowing a person to heal when the systems know how to work together. 17 18 so, going forward the Special Victims Division needs the full support of NYPD leadership. They need the 19 20 support of the Commissioner and everybody who has decision making power, and I really hope to see all 21 It's time. 2.2 of those recommendations implemented. 23 didn't participate in the 2010 working group, but I know a lot of people who did, and it would be really 24

wonderful if this time the recommendations that are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

on the table get put forward. So, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, it's safe to say all of you support the recommendations at DOI?

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Wholeheartedly?

Is there anything outside of the report that you believe should be included?

ANGELA FERNANDEZ: You know, why not? I'm here right? One thing I just want to mention also, and I don't know if this is, you know, we're talking a lot about, you know, we're talking a lotobviously we're talking about survivors and—and the need, you know, for the right people to sort of-to support survivors and-and the collaboration and really a seamless process, right from beginning to end and warm handoffs, and the importance of all of that. I also just want to say that not just for the need for more people as far as staffing because we have that many people coming forward, right, and we know that as we get better at our jobs, we are going to have even more disclosures, right? It's a good thing that more people are coming forward, and as we have more campaigns and we create more initiatives,

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 the outcome is that we will have more people who need the services of more detectives. So, we need to 3 build that infrastructure, but in addition to that, 4 5 we-we need more staffing so that we can get Special Victims Division out of crisis mode. 6 7 happening is that SVD is operating exactly in the way that we say we want to help our survivors out of, 8 right? And so, if we do not give more staffing, more 9 10 support, more resources, the time, what's happening is we are burning out the detectives that we do have. 11 12 They are—they are also taking on the trauma of these cases, okay, and-and it's not even women in the 13 14 culture I know. Dare I say in NYPD to even talk 15 about the vicarious turnover, which is also why FETI 16 training is amazing because they do talk about, and they do allow a safe space for detectives to talk 17 18 about that aspect of it. But if we want to keep great detectives, if we want a detective to be able 19 20 to be the best that they can be for our survivors, then we also need to create the space that, you know, 21 2.2 that they have enough staffing that they don't have 23 to be working four and five days. It's not healthy, 24 right, and so I am concerned not just for survivors.

I am concerned for our---for our-our detectives, and-

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 and that is what we try to-that's what we try to 3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

incorporate in our-in our advocacy programs. Not always the best, right, but we do try to do that, and we, you know, we talk about best practices and we try-talk about self-care, but we have not talked about that, and that is something that's in the DOI Report.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Thank you and I agree.

KYLYNN GRIER: I think something that's important to me is that is the Special Victims Division doesn't operate in isolation to the rest of the NYPD and that the systemic undervaluing of the division itself has a lot to do with broader culture of transparency of the N-in the NYPD and the way lives are valued. So, I will say that that's not in the report, and there's also not anything about survivors of sexual violence with the-the harm doers are actually officers themselves.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Stay tuned on that. Alrighty, thank you all for your testimony.

> CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: Thank you

ANGELA FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much for

25 today.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, we're going 3 to go to our last panel now. Joyce Short. She's the author of Cornell Abuse by Deceit and Combatting 4 5 Romance Scams Why Lying to Get Laid is a Crime. Lynn 6 Hecht Schafran, Legal Momentum; and National-I can't 7 make out your-I think Judicial. Your handwriting look like mine and Samantha Johnson a Million Hoodies 8 Movement for Justice. If there's any one else who 9 10 wishes to testify you need to fill out a slip and see the sergeant-at-arms. Alrighty, so I'm going to 11 12 back. Lynn Hecht Schafran, Legal Momentum and National Judicial; Samantha Johnson and Joyce Short. 13 14 Okay. Sure. We want you to be able to see what you 15 want to read. [pause] Now, we have to take two 16 minutes off your clock. I'm seeing what you're-okay, you may begin. [pause] You press the button, the 17 18 gray-gray button. JOYCE SHORT: Is it on? 19

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: The light. There you go.

JOYCE SHORT: There we go. One second.

So, thank you Committee Chairs Richards and Rosenthal and the members of the committee for all of your advocacy. I'm Joyce Short, and in addition to being

COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 the author of two books, I also maintain website called Consent Awareness.net. As a sexual assault 3 survivor, I'm aware of confusion over consent, and 4 this confusion contributes to rape mentality. There 5 6 are several types of agreement, but only consent has 7 the weight to make sexual conduct legal. Assent is an agreement under duress. Think. Larry Nassar who 8 pretended to medically treat victims as he molested 9 their private parts for his sexual pleasure. 10 Acquiescence is agreement under duress. Harvey 11 12 Weinstein-I'm sorry. Assent is agreement on the face of it, and acquiescence is agreement under duress. 13 14 Harvey Weinstein used the power of his position in 15 order to coerce sexual favors. Consent, on the other 16 hand, is freely given knowledgeable and informed agreement. Donald Ward, a Purdue student tricked a 17 18 sleeping woman—a sleeping young woman alone in her boyfriend's dorm room-into sex. She thought he was 19 20 her boyfriend. Ward was arrested for rape and he was acquitted because rape by fraud or impersonation is 21 2.2 not a crime in Indiana. If the same thing happened 23 at Cornell, Columbia, or NYU, he would not have been

arrested. As an advocate for a woman who had

experienced similar conduct, I accompanied her to

24

	COMMITTIES ON WOMEN
2	precinct to file her report, in New York-in New York,
3	the nature of her crime would have been—the crime
4	that happened to hear would have been sexual
5	misconduct in our Penal Code. The police scoffed and
6	said: Did he pin you down? Did he force you?
7	Neither Larry Nassar, Harvey Weinstein or Bill Cosby
8	pinned their victims down, and one of the man's
9	victims had committed suicide. Along with today's
10	proposals, we need to enact the first line of the
11	It's On Us Pledge into law. Non-consensual sex is
12	sexual assault and we need to combine it with the
13	actual definition of consent. Consent is freely
14	given knowledgeable and informed agreement #FGKIA.
15	Model Penal Code states: Consent is ineffective if
16	induced by force, duress, or deception, but
17	ineffective tells us what consent is not. It really
18	doesn't tell us what consent is. Freely given,
19	knowledgeable and informed agreement tells society,
20	NYPD, prosecutors, judges, and juries exactly what
21	consent is. Me, Too and Time's Up, have raised
22	awareness and we a solution. New laws transform
23	morality. I've written a poem that I'd like read to
24	you in order to make my point, I hope and it goes

like this: By body is not your-not a token, not your

1 2 prize. Don't deceive with your deception. sorry. Don't defile me with coercion, forcer or 3 4 lies. I'm sorry. I'm try to-I'm trying to find it. 5 Let me, let me go back. Okay, here we go. My body's 6 not a token, not a prize. Don't defile me by 7 coercion, force or lies. My body is no yours to take. It's mine to give. My body is not 8 entitlement. It's where I live. Consent is not an 9 10 option. It's a must no matter how intensely you feel lust, FGKIA, Keep your rape mentality away. FGKIA. 11 12 Sign it into law today. Freely given, knowledgeable and informed agreement. The police made it clear 13 14 today that they take pride in handling violent rape 15 case. We need them to understand [bell] that violent 16 rape is the most heinous form of sexual assault, but violent rape is not the only form of sexual assault, 17 18 and as indicated in Model Penal Code: Whether by force, duress or deception, all sexual assault 19

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much for coming out today and thank you for that beautiful poem. Thank you. Alrighty. So, this-we're going to conclude our hearing today. I first want to thank the staff who worked so hard on this. First, I'll

victims deserve justice. Thank you very much.

20

21

2.2

23

24

240 COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 1 2 start with the Committee On Public Safety staff. Beth Golub, Casey Addison, Steve Westra, and the 3 Committee on Women Issues, Brenda McKinney, Joanne 4 5 Povolny, Chloe Rivera, Daniel Kroop, Rabia Kazan. also would like to thank all the advocacy groups who 6 7 came out today. I'd like to also thank once again the Department of Investigations and also the 8 Inspector General. I also want to thank the NYPD for 9 10 the work that they do day in and day out on this. will just conclude by saying we have-we've made some-11 12 take some minor steps to improve SVD over the last couple of days. We look forward to continuing to 13 14 work with the NYPD to strengthen their plan. We do 15 hope that they take a serious look at all 12 steps or 16 recommendations that the DOI did put out, and which this Council supports and obviously we have our four 17 18 pieces of legislation and which we intend to work with the NYPD on, but we intend to pass to make sure 19 20 that we are strengthening SVD and ensuring that we are creating an atmosphere for victims in which they 21 2.2 will feel safe and proud to work with the NYPD moving 23 forward as well. So, this is about addressing a much

larger systematic issue. I want to applaud Chief

Osgood because I think-Deputy Chief Osgood because I

24

Τ	COMMITTEE ON WOMEN 241
2	think from every advocate, which is very aware that
3	we get advocacy groups that all agree that a person
4	is doing such a great, but I want to commend him for
5	the work that he's done without the resources for the
6	past eight years, and we want to continue to support
7	his efforts in beefing up that particular unit in
8	terms of training, in terms of more staffing and
9	other resources. So, I want to thank everyone for
10	coming out today. We look forward to continuing this
11	conversation. It is not done and we will now close
12	out this hear. I want to also thank Chair Rosenthal
13	who had to run across the street I believe to vote
14	and all of these sponsors of the bills and members of
15	the committee as well. So, thank you for coming out
16	today. This hearing is now closed. [gavel]
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	COMMITTEE COMMITTEE		SAFETY	JOINTLY	WITH	242	2
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							

1	COMMITTEE COMMITTEE		SAFETY	JOINTLY	WITH	243
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

1	COMMITTEE COMMITTEE			SAFETY	JOINTLY	WITH	244
2		011	WOLLEL				211
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							

1	COMMITTEE COMMITTEE		SAFETY	JOINTLY	WITH	245
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 2, 2018