	CUD COMMITTEE ON DIAMNING DISPOSITATIONS AND
1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK
3	
4	X
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES
6	Of the
7	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
8	X
9	March 27, 2018 Start: 2:34 P.M.
10	Recess: 5:43 P.M.
11	unto la companya de l
12	HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room 16 th Floor
13	BEFORE:
14	COUNCIL MEMBERG, Don Kalles Chain
15	COUNCIL MEMBERS: Ben Kallos, Chair Chaim M. Deutsch
16	Ruben Diaz, Sr. Vanessa L. Gibson
17	Andy L. King Inez D. Barron
18	Diana Ayala Mark Levine
19	Rafael Salamanca, Land Use Chair
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
25	
	Artie Pearson, Director of Land Use

	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND
1	CONCESSIONS 2
2	Malaala Manaa HDDG Manaaa Haaa'aa
3	Malcolm Morse, HDFC Manager Housing Cooperative Asset
4	Ted Weinstein, (HPD) Division of Housing
5	Preservation and Development
6	Lacey Tauber, Government Affairs at
7	(HPD) Division of Housing Preservation and Development
8	Desiree Andrepont, Community Builders
9	
10	Susan McCann, Community Builders
11	Eileen Torres, Executive Director of BronxWorks
12	Bronxworks
13	Betty Ann Tamaisar, Department Director for Workforce Development at BronxWorks
14	Pastor David Serrano, Jr., pastor local
15	church
16	Pastor David Serrano, Sr., pastor local
17	church
18	Mohan Montabeak (SP?) 32BP SEIU (Service
19	Employees International Union)
20	Eva Hermida Ramos (SP?), public speaker on building
21	
22	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
23	Kevin Parris
24	Paul Freitag, Executive Director
25	(WSFSSH) West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 3
2	Ross Karp, (HPD) Division of Housing
3	Preservation and Development, Project Manager Special Needs Housing
4	DAMCONE (SP?)
5	Difficond (of .)
6	Allen Adantu (SP?) Friends of Anabil Aviles Playground
7	Ellen Finney, DOROT, Community Outreach
8	Social Worker
9	Reverend Alistair Drummond, West End
10	Presbyterian Church Pastor
11	Lynn Wisher (SP?), 5 th Avenue
12	Presbyterian Church, Member of Board of Directors of the West Side Federation
13	for Senior and Supportive Housing
14	Pannos Katrice (SP?) 32B Union
15	Karen Jorgensen, Director at Valley
16	Lodge
17	Elissa Waldman, Director of Community Programs at Institute for Family Health
18	rrograms at institute for raming mearth
19	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
20	
21	Bud Kourtney (SP?) Catholic Worker, Valley Lodge Choir Director
22	Mark Greenberg, Executive Director
23	Interfaith Assembly on Homelessness and
24	Housing Coalition
25	Eustasia Smith (SP?)

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 4
2	Sandy Roach, Board Chair for
3	Bloomingdale Family Programs
4	Stephanie Louise, Live on New York
5	Rebecca Sower, Director of Policy and
6	Planning of the Supportive Housing Network of New York City
7	Nathan Gebbert (SP?).
8	
9	Nikki Navarro
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

2 (Test, test, this is Subcommittee on 3 Planning, Disposition and Concessions. Today's date is March 27, 2018. This recording is being recorded 4

5

by Juan Delunte (SP?).

6

7 welcome to the Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Good afternoon and

8

Chair of this Subcommittee. Uhm today we are joined

and Concessions, I'm Council Member Ben Kallos, the

9 10

by Land Use Chair Salamanca, Council Member Inez

11

Barron as well as Council Member Diana Ayala both of

12

whom have items on the agenda today from their

13

districts. I want to apologize to those in

14 15 attendance. The City Council has multiple hearings as we speak and we have the challenge of trying to be

16

in more than one place at a time. Uhm for my part I

17

was coming from the Parks Committee Hearing where we

18

were talking about funding for parks which are

19

literally falling into the river and other parks

20

which are being privatized at \$180 an hour. Yes, in

21 2.2 New York City you have to pay \$180 if you want to use

23

four public hearings and we will be voting on two

a park on the east side. Today, we will be holding

24

applications. The applications we will be voting on

25

were the subject of prior hearings. We will now move

2 on, we will now start with our public hearing on Land Use Items 52-59 and will begin with Land Use Item 59, 3 the 721 Van Siclen HDFC Tax Exemption Application for 4 property located in Council Member Barron's district 5 in Brooklyn, pursuant to article 11 of the Private 6 7 Housing Finance Law. This 40 year Tax Exemption would not only facilitate the renovation of the 8 building but also remove the property from a list of 9 buildings slated for 3rd party transfer around 10 10 foreclosure auctions, so the plain language on this 11 12 one is we've got some property. This property ended up as a cooperative and the cooperative has some 13 14 outstanding uhm money that it owes the City in the 15 form of back taxes and uhm paying for water because 16 yes even though you don't see it on your rental bill all the time, land... tenants, landlords have to pay 17 18 for their water bill and so when a building hasn't paid its rent or... sorry, hasn't paid it taxes or 19 20 hasn't paid its water bills, uhm they will sometimes be put into foreclosure where they are then auctioned 21 2.2 off to a third party, whether it is a nonprofit or a 23 for profit to take over management of the building. 24 In this case, the City has stepped in to work with 25 the tenants and creating HDFC and using a retroactive

CONCESSIONS 7 1 2 tax abatement to keep the property affordable and uhm use that money to help take care of the outstanding 3 debt as well as working to pay off the amounts owed 4 to the water for water and uhm to make sure that the 5 building gets into a state of good repair. Okay, so 6 7 I'm hoping that that was the most accurate well, very uhm broad description of what is going on here and so 8 from HPD Housing Preservation and Development we have 9 Artie Pearson, Director of Land Use and we also have 10 their testimony submitted so I will now ask our 11 12 Counsel to swear you in sir. 13 COUNSEL: Please state your name? 14 ARTIE PEARSON: Artie Pearson. 15 MALCOLM MORSE: Malcolm Morse. 16 COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the 17

truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in response to all Council Member questions?

ARTIE PEARSON: Yes.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MALCOLM MORSE: Yes.

ARTIE PEARSON: Land Use Number 59

consists of an exemption area containing one

privately owned building located at 721 Van Siclen

Avenue, that's block 4329 Lot 1 in Brooklyn Council

2 District 42. This property is a candidate for foreclosure under the 3rd Party Transfer Program in 3 realm Action Number 53 Round 10 for which HPD is 4 seeking Article 11 Tax Benefits. 721 Van Siclen 5 Avenue was taken into city ownership in 1982 and sub, 6 7 sub, subsequently entered into the Tenant Land Use, the Tenant Interim Lease Program on March 31, 1998. 8 HPD conveyed the property to the existing occupants 9 as low income cooperatives with household incomes 10 capped at 120% of AMI. The buildings is a mixed use, 11 12 four-story walk up with 38 residential units and 4 commercial spaces of which one is used as an office 13 by the Board. It is fully occupied and comprises 33 14 15 one bedrooms, 3 two bedrooms, 1 one bedroom and one 16 superintendants unit. Of the 38 units, 21 are home 17 ownership and 15 are rentals. The commercial spaces 18 are mainly mo, mom and pop shops and currently have long-term leases. Four Aces Restaurant recently 19 20 vacated the premises as a result of a court action taken again it by the HDFC for uncollected rent so 21 2.2 one commercial unit is currently vacant. In view of 23 the fact that the required maintenance fees have not been raised for many years before the 5% increase and 24 the collection rates are low, sufficient funds have 25

2 not been available to meet operating expenses and other obligations, therefore, the property became 3 eligible for TPT. Realizing they were in danger of 4 5 foreclosure, the shareholders worked out a plan to help save their building. The shareholders have 6 7 selected a new board, they have been working toward paying back taxes and recently entered in a payment 8 agreement with DEP for the arrears. 9 The HDFC will also enter into a voluntary repair agreement to 10 address the outstanding hous, housing code violations 11 12 in need of repairs. No subsidies is being provided and no preservation loan is needed at this time as 13 14 there are reserves in the building and along with 15 timely collection of maintenance fees the fund will 16 continue to grow. In an effort to help maintain 17 continued affordability and stability in the 18 building, HBD is for the Council seeking Tax Benefits retroactive to 2011 for a term of 40 years that will 19 20 coincide with their regulatory agreement and also mandate annual maintenance increases among other 21 requirements such as hiring a 3rd party manager. 2.2 23 Approval of Tax Exemption will facilitate removal of 721 Van Siclen Avenue HDFC from consideration as a 24 candidate of Round 10 of the Third Party Transfer 25

2 Program allowing for long-term home ownership by the 3 shareholders. We can answer any questions that you

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

might have?

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: I'd like to turn to

Council Member Inez Barron for her statement and any
questions?

INEZ BARRON: Thank you Mr. Chair. Uhm, thank you to the panel for coming and just to briefly share information about this building. It is a building in my District, not far in fact from where I live. It's a substantial building and you have heard the description of what the apartments are that are So the management company that they have was there. perhaps not as effective as it should have been during the time that they have been there and as you have indicated, they did not have the collections coming in because they were not having the required increases in the maintenance so they fell into the state where they owed the City quite a bit of money and they were placed, they are has been said in HDFC and they were placed in the TPT Program. Previously, my predecessor, my husband, Charles Barron worked with HPD about 12 years ago to assist another Housing Development that was in a similar state to work on

2 getting themselves out of that position so that when they came to a position of demonstrating their 3 4 ability to manage themselves they were able to become the shareholders themselves. In this instance, we did not think that it was fair that people who have 6 7 been paying regularly and are in fact presently shareholders should lose all of the money that they 8 have invested so the Board was reconstituted, they 9 10 came together, they organized themselves. allowed people to forego some of the more recent 11 12 transactions that they were negligent on but they did come to an agreement and they have now established 13 14 the opportunity for the present residents to 15 reestablish themselves and maintain their 16 shareholder, maintain the ability to own their apartments and we feel that is very important. 17 18 know that this is an area, is across the City being targeted by those who want to gentrify and displace 19 20 people who have lived through the hard times and we felt that that was not a just situation and I am very 21 2.2 pleased to say that HPD was very, very, responsive. 23 They sent people to the meetings that the 24 shareholders had, they gave us a lot of direction, a lot of information and there was also a legal aid 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

lawyer who worked tirelessly at no cost to help the tenants understand all that they had to do. an extensive list that they had to gather of all kinds of documents in order to qualify for consideration and they have gathered all of that and done all of that and so that is why we are here now at this juncture so that they could be approved for Article 11. I whole-heartedly support them. continue to impress upon them, listen we are here now, we are not going to come back here again, we've got to be able to maintain what we say we are going to do or we will be in a worse situation going forward so I whole-heartedly support the program and I do thank Manues (SP?), HPD, and the lawyer and those residents that are there understanding the opportunity that they have and fighting to maintain their ability to have ownership.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: I want to thank

Council Member Barron for her hard work and uhm based on her statement also your predecessor, uhm it sounds like you have pretty activist members here fighting for you. I am going to ask just some uhm questions that I try to ask on all about every single project.

So, currently there are 21 who have home ownership,

Ŭ

uhm 15 that are rentals. Uhm will those 15 rentals remain rentals or will they be converted into cooperative ownerships?

MALCOLM MORSE: We are not entirely sure at this time, we can't say that every one of them will be but the building is interested in uhm once the units become available to push towards home ownership.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: What is the AMI cap
for people who want to be, what will the maintenance
be tied to? Is there a situation where somebody
might be required to pay more maintenance than they
can afford to pay? And uhm, if somebody sells, sells
their cooperative unit are there any limitations on
who they can sell to?

ARTIE PEARSON: Right, so the program allows for uhm an income cap up to 120% of AMI that will be written into the regulatory agreement once it's finalized.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And uhm how much will maintenance be?

MALCOLM MORSE: Alright so maintenance is, so the way that this building operates, they meet as a Board and they decide when maintenance

2.2

increases. Currently the maintenance for a one bedroom recently went up from \$440 to \$462, it is \$556.50 for a two bedroom and \$651 for the one three

5 bedroom.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And uhm so how much can they sell a unit for to somebody who is at 120% o the AMI or below?

agreement that they have agreed to sign on to in exchange for the Article 11 Tax Abatement, for this year, the one bedroom, the max would be \$358, roughly \$358,000, \$424,000 for the two bedroom and \$491,000 for the three bedroom but I want to stress that in the meeting that I had with the shareholders back in December, they raised concern that that was too much and we explained to them at the meeting that that is just the cap, if a building decides that they want to further restrict the income caps they can vote on it as a Board.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And uhm if a tenant were to sell and gain \$391,000 or \$400,000 or more dollars, do they get all of it or would the building take some or would HPD take some to recup taxes or, or how does that work?

2.2

MALCOLM MORSE: So buildings, whm once again that was something that the shareholders raised, they were concerned about, their abilities to impose a Flip Tax and so the regulatory agreement gives them the power to impose a, impose a Flip Tax to what they would want it to be, whm if somebody says it is 70/30, if they want to make it, if they want to make it where it is 80/20 they can but it is up to the Board, they can decide what they want their Flip Tax to be but nothing comes to HPD, it is all just between the person selling and the HDSC.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Sure so it is just full disclosure, I am attorney and attorneys tend not to ask questions we don't know the answers to. So in other HDFC arrangements and in fact every other one there is part of the regulatory agreement, maintenance is tied to a specific AMI as well as having specific provisions for sale of requiring 95% of coops flipped within the first five years or something that that fee goes back to the cooperative to help maintain it. So, is this dif, how is this different than the other projects that we have seen at this committee?

ARTIE PEARSON: So the other project, projects that we brought before the Committee were modeled more along the ANCP Program. These are Till Buildings that remained Till Building, slightly different, yeah.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Okay uhm, that, that is helpful and so I guess is there a reason why we couldn't bring some of the ANCP provisions over to this building and so this is a first hearing and I will turn, I imagine that there will be ongoing conversations between the Board and HPD and, and Council Member Barron to ensure that the tenants who are staying here are incentivized to stay there, that folks aren't saying they are being confronted with the option of \$300 or \$400,000 of windfall and whether it is creating a 30 or 40 or 50 or 60 or 70% Flip Tax voluntarily by the coop Board voting on it now or what have you and if we can just work with the HPD to make sure that some of the ANCP Incentives remain a part of these conversions too?

 $$\operatorname{ARTIE}$$ PEARSON: We will certainly take it back to the Agency and discuss it.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Okay, are there any members of the public, uhm who would like to testify

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

on this matter. Uhm, seeing none we will now close the hearing on Land Use Item 59. Our next hearing is on Land Use uhm Item, Land Use Items 55-57 the Park Haven, Park Haven Rezoning. HPD seeks approval for an Urban Development Action Area Project, AUDAA, designation project approval and disposition of city owned property and also seeks a Zoning Map Amendment to rezone to the project area from R6 to R7D with a C1-4 Commercial Overweigh and a Zoning Text Amendment to designate the project area as a mandatory inclusionary housing area utilizing N line H option 2. These actions will facility the development of an 11 story mixed use building with 169 units of affordable housing, fresh food supermarket and a community facility space in the District of Council Member Ayala. Under the existing R6 Zoning there is no specific height limit. The height is governed by sky-exposure, planes unless a Quality Housing Program is elected. The floor to air ratio could range for Height Factor Buildings for only 7.78 to 2.43. Under the proposed R7D Rezoning a Residential Floor Area Ratio of 5.6 would be permitted and MIH Development Center C1-4 a Floor Area Ratio 2.0 would be allowed for commercial uses. The height limit under R7D with

25

2 a qualifying ground floor and MIH would be 115 feet. We will now open the public hearing on Land Use Items 3 4 55-57 and just the plain language version of his, uhm 5 the City has a bunch of uhm vacant lots that have 6 served as pretty much parking uhm for at least I 7 think at least I think the past decade or more. community has wanted to do something uhm with this 8 property which is incidentally right adjacent to 9 10 People's Park and so the City is proposing to turn the property over to a developer at little to no 11 12 cost, most likely \$1 and in addition, we would like to increase how much you can build there by more than 13 half. So we are more than doubling uhm what you can 14 15 build there. We are also putting a cap, saying you 16 can't really go higher than 115 feet. Uhm we are also making sure that this developer uses a fresh 17 18 incentive to build a food supermarket and uhm that looks like the, oh and the last but not least is we 19 20 are going to require that when all of this expires and some of it will eventually expire, Mandatory 21 2.2 Inclusionary Housing will require that a certain 23 percentage of these units remain affordable forever 24 so I think those are the key items and uhm, hold on,

I will now ask the, I will now call the first panel.

- CONCESSIONS 19 1 2 We have Ted Weinstein from HPD, Lacey Tauber, 3 formerly of the Council, now of HPD, Desiree 4 Andrepont of the Community Builders, Susan M. of the Community Builders and Eileen Torres of BronxWorks 5 6 and Betty Ann Tamaisan of Bronxworks and now they Counsel will swear you in. 7 COUNSEL: Please state your names? 8 LACEY TAUBER: You have to talk into the 9 mic, yeah. Uhm Lacey Tauber, Government Affairs at 10 11 HPD. 12 DESIREE ANDREPONT: Desiree Andrepont of 13 Community Builders. 14 EILEEN TORRES: Is it on? Eileen Torres, 15 Bronxworks. 16 COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the 17 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in 18 your testimony before this Subcommittee and in response to all Council Member questions? 19 20 LACEY TAUBER: Yes. 21 DESIREE ANDREPONT: Yes. 2.2 EILEEN TORRES: Yes.
- 24 LACEY TAUBER: Okay, can you hear me

Alright uhm Land Use uhm Number 55, 56 and 57 25

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: You may begin.

SUBCOM
CONCES
are re
sestable
area,
approx
Avenue
Counci
Haven.
general
includ
finclud
parcel
currer

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are related unit of action seeking Zoning Amendments, establishment of a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area, UDAP Designation, Project and Disposition approval for 9 city owned sites located 345 St. Ann's Avenue in the Mott Haven section of the Bronx, Council District 8, the project is known as Park Haven. Two privately owned lots adjacent to the development site at block 2268 lots 48 and 50 are included in the Rezoning Area creating an assemblage of 11 lots forming the Project Area. The city owned parcels are all vacant lots, some of which are currently used as parking for an adjacent church. Of the two privately owned parcels, Lot 48 contains an active church and lot 50 contains a vacant five-story building. Park Haven will be developed through HPDs extremely low and low-income ELLA Program. Under the ELLA program sponsors develop multi-family buildings in order to create low-income rental housing for families with a range of income to 30% to 60% of the Area Median Income or AMI. Projects may include a tier of units with rents targeted to households earning up to 100% of AMI. Subject to project underwriting up to 30% of the units may be rented to formerly homeless families and individuals referred

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

by the Department of Homeless Housing or other Public Agencies. The Park Haven Project will have incomes capped at 80% of AMI. LU Number 55 will facilitate the construction of an 11-story mixed use building, containing 169 units plus a superintendant's unit. There will be a mixture of unit types, including 43 studios, 55 one bedrooms, 45 two bedrooms and 26 three bedrooms of varying income tiers distributed throughout the building. Rents will be established with tiers affordable to families earning from 30% to 60% of the Area Median Income (AMI) with up to 20% of the units affordable to families with incomes up to 80% of AMI. The rents are anticipated to range from \$462 for a studio to \$1,888 for a three bedroom apartment. That's 40% and 80% AMI respectively. Formerly homeless households referred by DHS and other city agencies will pay up to 30% of their income as rent. The building will be energy efficient and the amenities will include a gym, indoor recreational area, outdoor recreation area, a tenant community garden on the 10th and 11th terrace, rooftop activity space, laundry adjacent to the outdoor terrace, a grocery store selling fresh fruits and vegetables, active design principals and

1 2 workforce development services offered by Bronxworks. Additionally, the ground floor will contain 3 4 approximately 14,297 square feet of commercial space, designed to be a fresh supermarket which is traveling 5 6 as a separate Euler (SP?). Additionally, the project 7 will have approximately 7,300 square feet of community facility space for use by the residents and 8 members of the community and approximately 8,820 9 10 square feet of open space to be utilized as recreation space and a Tenant Community Garden. LU 11 12 Number 56 seeks an Amendment to the Zoning Map to Rezone the Project Area from an R6 District to an R7D 13 District with a C1-4 overlay District. Under the R6 14 15 existing zoning the maximum FAR for residential use 16 is 2.43 and 4.8 maximum for community facility. proposed R7D C1-4 will allow an increase in the FAR 17 18 for residential use to 4.2 and 4.0 for community facility. It will also allow a maximum FAR of 2.0 19 20 for commercial use. LU number 57 seeks a Zoning Text Amendment to designate the project area of the 21 2.2 Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Area utilizing option 23 1, a number of permanently affordable units under MIH will be 39 along with the MIH Designated Units HPD 24

will require an additional 15% of permanent

25

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 affordable units bringing the anticipated total

3 | number of permanently affordable units to

4 approximately 62. In order to facilitate

5 construction of the Park Haven Project, HPD is before

6 the Planning Subcommittee requesting approval of Land

7 Use Numbers 55, 56 and 57 and uhm I'm going to turn

it over to uhm the project team to give the more in

9 depth description of the project.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: Hi, my name is

Desiree Andrepont, I'm with the Community Builders.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Sorry to interrupt, do we have testimony from uhm, the Community Builders and Bronxworks. Written testimony?

DESIREE ANDREPONT: I have written. Hand out the one page. Here's that.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And just as a reminder to HPD as with other Committees we would prefer that written test, that testimony from applicants be written. And for members of the public you can go to council.nyc.gov uhm to get copies of the testimony that has been submitted and also see transcripts from the hearing as well as appearance cards. You may continue.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DESIREE ANDREPONT: Uhm, HPD issued a request for proposals in 2015 for development of seven contiquous city and vacant lots in the corner of 142nd Street and St. Ann's Avenue in Mott Haven. TCB provided a response and was designed as developer in 2016. For this project, Community Builders as partnered with BronxWorks, so local non-profit organization dedicated to helping individual and families improve their economic and social well-being and has been deeply involved in the south Bronx community since 1972. BronxWorks for this project will provide onsite support services and workforce development and training, onsite support services will be inside the residential portion of the building and workforce development training program that they currently operate will relocate to this site, uhm and be available from the street. proposed development will be a newly constructed 11story, 181,605 square foot, mixed income, mixed use building with 170 unit and two ground floor leased spaces. The ground floor programming is expected to include a fresh foods grocer under the City's Fresh Program and a workforce development program operated by BronxWorks that I previously described. The

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

building mix has studios, one bedrooms, two bedrooms and three bedrooms and will also include a supers unit so there are 169 residential rented unit and one supers unit. 80% of the units will be low income housing, Tax Credit Eligible Units that are at or below 60% of AMI and 20% of the units will be affordable to middle income residents earning 80% to 100% of the AMI. Of the total residential units, 50 units will be designated at permanently supportive housing for chronically homeless families with children under the age of 18 during the time of intake and BronxWorks will provide onsite support services to these families through a New York State ESHE (SP?) Grant so that grant has been awarded. newly constructed building will be highly energy efficient and is expected to meet passed house standards. The building will also meet Enterprise Green Community Standards as required by HPD, other building features include solar panels, rooftop activity track, tenant community garden, a gym onsite, above grade laundry, indoor recreation areas and outdoor landscaped recreations areas to encourage active and healthy living for the residents. ground floor commercial and communities, this will be 1 CONCESSIONS

2.2

centered around an open residential lobby and central staircase as well as an open air corridor adjacent to the residential entry.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Perfect, uhm over to Council Member Ayala for any questions or statements?

the Executive Director of Bronxworks we did not prepare a written testimony I mean, we so do you want us to testify without having that, we are happy to do so. We don't have a copy of what we will be testifying.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Did you show up intending to testify?

EILEEN TORRES: Uhm, yes.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Please do.

Torres, I'm the Executive Director of Bronxworks and Bronxworks as already mentioned is a multi-service organization that has been based in the southern part of the Bronx since 1972. We've been operating shelters for homeless families since 1992. Uhm and providing benefit assistance since the inception of the organization, since the founding. Uhm, so for this particular project, for Park Haven, uhm we would

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 be providing supportive services to 50 supportive units for formerly chronically homeless families, 3 4 includes intensive use management, after school services for the children, uhm also provide, we will 5 also provide financial literacy services for the 6 7 residents, we will serve as their representative payee for the families, thereby ensuring that we pay 8 the rent and that they won't become homeless again 9 10 and making sure that they are stably housed. Uhm, we will also relocate all our Workforce Development 11 12 Programs that are currently at a different location and my colleague, Betty Ann Tamaisar can give a full 13

description of the Workforce Development Programs

that will be in the facility.

BETTY ANN TAMAISAR: So thank you Eileen.

Good afternoon, my name is Betty Ann Tamaisar and I

am the Department Director for Workforce Development

at Bronxworks. I would like to take this opportunity

to thank the City Council Subcommittee of Planning,

Dispositions and Concessions for allowing me to

testify on the Park Haven Project. We have

Bronxworks, we are a cross-development department,

are very excited about relocating the four programs

currently housed in the Department to 146 2nd Street

25

2 and St. Ann's Avenue. The four programs are the Jobs Plus Program which serves NYCHA public housing 3 residents in Betances, Courtlandt, Moore and Melrose 4 5 Houses. The strong, Stronger Families Program that 6 services custodial and noncustodial fathers, the 7 Young Adults Internship Program that services youth 16 to 24 and the Family Self Sufficiency Program that 8 services HPD Section 8 voucher holders. 9 10 Collectively, these programs provide job readiness, occupational training services, job placements, 11 12 parenting and healthy relationship classes and access to benefits and financial counseling. In 2007, we 13 served 1,271 unique individuals. Of that number, we 14 15 assisted 908 of those individuals with job readiness 16 services, 595 individuals with job search, 490 individuals with occupational skills training and 17 18 OSHA General Industry, OSHA Construction, scaffolding, flagger, 8-hour, 16-hour and Fire Guard 19 20 Certifications. We also assisted 419 individuals with financial counseling services, inclusive of 21 2.2 helping them to decrease debt and increase services, 23 credit scores, etc. Least but definitely not last we 24 assisted 385 individuals to gain employment in

various sectors with companies the agency has ongoing

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 relationships with. To demonstrate the agency's

3 commitment to those that they serve, Bronxworks is

4 one if not the largest employer of WorkForce

5 Development participants. Thank you for allowing me

6 | to speak this afternoon.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Council Member Ayala.

DIANA AYALA: Good afternoon, thank you for submitting testimony today. This project is a little bit, was actually a project that was initiated prior to my becoming a Council Member for this district but I know that my predecessor worked really hard to negotiate it. I have a lot of concerns about this project. I am always excited to see housing coming to uhm my District, especially the south Bronx where I know that we desperately need affordable housing when families are cons... you know being pushed out, day in and day out because they are overburdened with rent. Uhm, I know that we are kind of missing an opportunity in this site because it is a city owned lot I think that that gives us a lot of flexibility in terms of the, the level of affordability that we are providing to this community and so I have some concerns and I am hoping to kind of work through them today and maybe follow up with

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Financing is?

some conversation later, but I do have some
questions, uhm could you tell me what the uhm, the
length of the regulatory agreement for the HPD

LACEY TAUBER: Yeah, 60 years.

DIANA AYALA: Okay 60 years. Okay now this project proposes to include a 30% satisfied for formerly homeless families, can you explain HRE and HPDs procedures for matching homeless applicants with available units in this project and can you confirm that HRE does not consider Community Preference or last known address. Uhm, I believe our operating funding source ISHI uhm funded through the state of TDA and we have had several conversations internally about how we are going to draw families for this particular site and so we have asked this question internally. One of the questions that we are hoping to do and, and we're really hoping to address the issue of children in schools, uhm in a school district and living in shelter outside of the District so what we are hoping to is target families, chronically homeless families in shelter that have children in this communities school district which I believe is School District 7.

2.2

LACEY TAUBER: Uh-huh.

DIANA AYALA: Uhm, we've, that's our goal. We don't know exactly what the rules are going to be and how those, what those funds require in terms of how the residents are drawn, is that, is that correct?

LACEY TAUBER: That's correct. I'm sorry, that's correct uhm and we have been trying to obtain feedback from HRE and DHS uhm concerning this. Uhm I just want to sort of give you the fuller name for each because I think, its the Empire State Supportive Housing Initiative Grant that we have been able to secure already for this facility. And those are operating funds to provide the onsite services?

DIANA AYALA: Uhm this is, so HPB what is your policy around housing families that are coming from homeless shelters? Is there a policy? I know this is fairly new where we are now starting to set aside units for formerly homeless individuals but is there a pol... an internal policy that you use?

LACEY TAUBER: Yeah, yeah the referrals come to us through the Department, as I was describing in the testimony through the Department of Homeless Housing and uhm I believe there is a borough

2.2

preference expressed by folks in that system but its through HRA so I'm not really prepared to speak to

4 that when it comes to HRA.

DIANA AYALA: Okay and you can confirm that HRA does not consider community preference?

TED WEINSTEIN: Not on the district level.

DIANA AYALA: Okay. Uhm HPBs ELLA Term

Sheet has two standard options and this project is

currently slated for ELLA Option 2. Have you looked

at the viability of ELLA option 1?

think when we originally submitted the proposal, we wanted to try to provide a critical mass of families that could be served onsite through a service program so we did, all of our focus has been on the option 2 with the 30% formerly homeless, so uhm I think in our original proposal we had 32 units, we have been able to because we have been able to up-zone and include the MIH and the FRESH. We have been able to now include 50 units and so there is some critical mass, of, of families that we would need in order to support onsite services. So, that was our rationale for the 30% homeless.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DIANA AYALA: I just, so my frustration with this project is that, uhm you know, listen, I am in favor of set aside for formerly homeless families, I, that's not in question but I think that in this community where we desperately need affordable housing that this project does not do enough to afford the people that actually live there that are being priced out an opportunity to apply for units that we can afford. This is the poorest congressional district and we are setting aside 5% of the units at 40% of the AMI and 5% of the units at 50% of the AMI because we are saying well we are offering 30% to homeless families but these homeless families in honestly are homeless families that may or may not reside in the South Bronx but at the same time we have families that do live there that are being, that are going into shelter because they have been priced out so I, it just doesn't make any sense to me and uhm and I think that I would strongly you know encourage that you go back and, and, and have a conversation because it think that there needs to be a more equitable distribution of the units that actually, you know meets the needs in this community so that we are servicing families that really need it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

but we are also taking into account that there are families that live there that need it as well. don't think that these numbers do that for me and I don't think that they do that for the families of the south Bronx and so I would again strongly urge you to kind of, to go back and I am happy to have further discussion about that but that's really where I am right now. I have a lot of concerns about this, uhm this is a city owned you know lot so it should offer us a lot more flexibility to do this, to go deeper and to make more units uhm permanently affordable and so you know, that is my position in regards to that. But I, I wanted to also ask uhm how much of the, of the projected, how much of this project is slated to receive, how much of the project slated to receive in city subsidies and what percent of the total project cost is this?

DESIREE ANDRPONT: Got it.

DIANA AYALA: Okay thank you.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: The subsidies from the city uhm HPD specifically is \$23.8 million and that's approximately 30% of the development cost.

DIANA AYALA: Okay.

2.2

DESIREE ANDREPONT: And then HDC provides a loan of \$11,050,000 and that's, that's approximately 14%.

DIANA AYALA: Okay.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: Of the funding.

LACEY TAUBER: Let me just add that these are estimates and sometimes the financials will change before the project closes.

DIANA AYALA: Okay so given that this project is going to receive a significant amount of tax payer subsidy is there a plan to ensure that the permanent jobs created are good jobs that pay prevailing wage?

DESIREE ANDREPONT: Uhm I actually did

look at the uhm the impact of prevailing wage on the,
on the piriformis so what happens is the uhm the
increase in the Operating Cost by paying those, those
higher wages reduces the amount of supportable debt
on the project. So for this particular project I
just briefly plugged in what the underwriting
standards are for the City's Housing and Finance
Agency (HDC) and that change came to about \$650,000
gap. It reduced our supportable first mortgage by
\$650,000 so uhm, I know, my understanding is that

2.2

these ELLA type projects is very low income projects
because they have such a, a very low cash flow, they
often can't support prevailing wage operating jobs,

uhm that said it is something that we can look at but
it does have a real impact on the financials of the

DIANA AYALA: I would appreciate it if you could, look, look into that a little bit further. Uhm if you could tell us what are the fees, what fees will the developer earn on this project?

project and the financial feasibility of the project.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: The estimated developer fee is 12.8%, it comes to \$10 million. We are sharing our fee with our partner Bronxworks and I just wanted to also mention I don't think I said this earlier but the Community Builders is a nonprofit affordable housing developer and Bronxworks our partner is also a nonprofit so these fees go back into the communities where we work uhm and I, I just wanted to make sure that that was something that everybody understood.

DIANA AYALA: I appreciate that. What percentage of the 12.8 is going to be split with Bronxworks?

2.2

DESIREE ANDREPONT: We have an agreement right now to split 10% with Bronxworks.

to emphasize that this is the first agreement that we have with any developer who has been willing to share any fees with us without us guaranteeing any part of the project or taking on any risks, uhm and so all of those fees would then once collected would go right back into all the services that we provide which in turn then goes back to the community.

DIANA AYALA: Actually I had a question or you Eileen. So you mentioned that Bronxworks would become the designated payee for.

EILEEN TORRES: That is correct.

DIANA AYALA: For families coming in, what does that mean?

EILEEN TORRES: So for families who receive social security, you know SSI payments what we usually do uhm we have an unsupportive housing facility that we operate, uhm its a voluntary arrangement with them, the family, the head of household would then agree to have us serve as a representative payee so there's an account that we have set up that comes in so it is earmarked for that

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 family member and then what we do is we make sure

3 | that we pay their rent first and then they

4 reallocate. We have a spending plan with them that

5 | we allocate for the month and so then we give them

6 the money throughout that month just to make sure

7 | that we are paying the rent on time uhm and we are

paying any expenses that they may have that they need

9 so we can make sure that they stay housed.

DIANA AYALA: I think I was a little bit confused. I didn't understand in your testimony that it was voluntary so that raised a little bit of a red flag for me. Uhm, I mean, I'm excite again for the possibility of affordable housing, I just need to make sure that is affordable housing that is in the best interest of all of the parties involved and I think that there needs to be further conversation.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: We can definitely look into that I think that there are some uhm ways that we can approach it and maybe come to something that can uhm keep our, the critical mass of the formerly homeless units but also meet some of the lower income requirements, we will go back and do some work and see what we can do.

DIANA AYALA: Okay thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

reemphasize a little bit of what Desiree was saying which is the way we are be, we are able to have such intensive case management on site is by having a basic minimum of 50 units within that facility. Uhm if we don't have those 50 units it's not financially feasible to run a program so I just want I just want to sit up and make sure that I made that point.

DIANA AYALA: I understand that but we are running in a city, we are running out of city owned lots to building on, right, so we are building higher and higher, here we have a unique opportunity to do that and to do that well and while I appreciate the efforts we made as a formerly homeless person myself uhm to house formerly homeless families, I also have to take into consideration that I have families that are existing families that live in this community that are being priced out and becoming homeless every single day and that we are not doing enough to build affordable options for them to apply for and this project has 9 units that could possibly maybe right, that we are in the poorest congressional district so I will count the 9 that are 40% and only have of that, half of those units are have a

1

community preference attached to it so I, it just doesn't work for me. So thank you. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Thank you Council Member Ayala. So it is, I, I think these are some of the concerns that I know we, I also shared when we were in discussions and if I can just add on to it just a little bit. So I guess the, I'm going to take it in a slightly different direction which is just how many lots are being merged as part of this?

11

10

TED WEINSTEIN: Nine.

12 13

additional lots that are unimproved and do not have

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Are there any other

TED WEINSTEIN: There were two adjacent

14

any structures on them?

15

16

lots on the 142nd Street side that are not developed

17

that appear to be used for storage, they are

18

privately owned lots. Nine city lots those two lots

are not city owned. 19

20

storage was on those lots, is it like very clean and

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And what kind of

2.2

21

it just has a storage bin on it or does it appear

23

that there are random parts of auto parts and boat

24

parts and other items just strewn around lots?

the neighborhood.

_

_ 1

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Okay so qui... now so this whole row of empty lots, some of the

TED WEINSTEIN: It's not a high life for

you've got this whole row of empty lots, some of them are owned by the city so bad on us, there are these two empty lots that are owned by somebody else, bad on them, what, what happened to those lots?

TED WEINSTEIN: They've been privately owned and there is no indication. After uhm the Community Builders were selected through a very competitive process, uhm they did try to reach out to the owners of those lots but were unsuccessful.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So they, unsuccessful means? So who reached out to whom and what, what happened?

TED WEINSTEIN: Community Builders tried to track down.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: They are right here.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: Yes, we tried to track down the owners, we were not successful in reaching them or, or hav, or coming to any discussions about acquiring the sites.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Okay so who are the owners or do you know or?

2.1

2.2

DESIREE ANDREPONT: I don't have that information.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Are they individuals? Are they LLCs?

DESIREE ANDREPONT: They were LLCs.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Okay. Uhm and so how long have we been trying to do a project here as a city?

TED WEINSTEIN: Many years.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So I believe in some of our conversations we talked about like this goes back to 1998 possibly.

TED WEINSTEIN: Yes.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Uhm how long would it take for the city to use eminent domain to save this location as a light, we are literally doing urban renewal, the purpose for which eminent domain should be used, not for stadiums, not for making money but to actually just dealing with urban light, what is? How does it take for that?

LACEY TAUBER: I mean I think it takes longer than we have to talk about it in the context of the approval of this project and I think, you know, we, we care what you are saying about wanting a

2.2

priority for us to look at this you know moving forward but it's not something that I think makes sense for us to address right now. I mean, it's a, it's a very complicated process and buy in all levels of the administration, you know, we, we are in an affordable housing crisis and we want to move forward with the project as quickly as we can.

TED WEINSTEIN: For much of that time period, especially the more recent years, the City policy was not to acquire. The policy was dispose of properties and so well large amounts of properties were acquired through Urban Renewal Plans. I mean that is one of the differences that, you know the south Bronx really had numerous Urban Renewal Plans that were adopted which covered large amounts of land, large territories not just two small lots.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And nine lots, two lots would have been a 20% increase uhm so I guess I would like HPD to come back to us and I don't want to see another project coming before us where there is literally vacant lots that are being used for auto storage which I am almost sure is a violation of the law. I don't think you can just use a residential lot and use it for industrial storage, so I am

2.2

curious why DEP has not issued violations and why HPD possibly has not issued violations but I would prefer not to see that again and I would also know from Community Builders is there a way to build this in such a way that if HPD were to commit to moving forward with emanate domain perhaps the owner might be interested in working with you such that either we could get it done as part of this deal or that the project could be built in such a way so as those two lots became available you could extend properly and seamlessly.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: We can certainly look at that for sure.

LACEY TAUBER: I say we hear you on projects moving forward, from here, uhm Ted said it is a very different context now than it was in the 90s when we first started looking at this lot, so.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Similarly there is a People's Park which will be available that is currently used by the community, anytime I see a developer coming in, profit, for profit or non-profit I always wonder about their commitment to the community and whether or not we can get a conservancy working with our Council Member Ayala or others to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

_ .

21

22

23

24

25

uhm make sure that theirs folks not only caring for your own outdoor pace but for the adjacent space that your tenants will hopefully use.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: We uhm are definitely

going to be providing fencing around the space that is currently there, Parks Approved fencing and our goal is very much to make the back of the building attractive to the park uhm so when you are looking at the back of the building you can actually see the back of the building from the corner on the next So we, again there, again going back to the block. uhm concerns about cash flow in these types of projects. There is a tremendous amount of cash flow in these low income projects so adding on uhm adding on any kind of maintenance or any kind of program for maintaining the park would significantly increase the cost of the project and change the financials. thing that we are doing, we have heard a lot of concerns from the community about safety in People's Park, especially in that back corner and we are working uhm, uhm, Bronxworks has former law enforcement on staff that man, that manages their security uhm across their properties and, and places where they operate so one of the things that we have

talked about with them is how do we position our security cameras and the security procedures that we have on site to make sure that we are maybe hopefully making that area uhm of the park a little safer. And having eyes on the park too, uhm just have a physical presence there, uhm I think will actually help the safety and improve that park significantly.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: In conversations with Council Member Ayala we discussed the absence of supermarkets throughout different parts of the neighborhood. The fresh grocery on the ground floor, 7500 square feet at grade 6700 below grade but that won't be available to customers, it will be available for storage which makes for a very small supermarket. Is there any opportunity to make additional space available for the grocery and any commitment to making sure that the prices are affordable.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: We are definitely exploring uhm how we can provide a little more space to the grocer, they have asked for us to try to find more space so we have been looking at how we can accommodate more space in, on that ground floor.

That is something that we are looking at. Uhm, I will tell you that the rent, the, the fresh program

2 a

J

actually provides incentives not just to Developer but also to Grocery Operators so the grocer will receive Real Estate Tax benefits that will flow through the property to them as, as tenants and I believes there are some sales tax incentives so the, so the operator actually has incentives to that are helping him keep prices affordable but if you would like I can talk with him and make sure that that it is affordable.

the uhm local member and you and the the supermarket just about making sure that folks can actually use it as a supermarket I guess. You may have caught my remarks on the way in about being slightly miffed about a park. In my District you can look in and but if you want to step foot in, it's \$180 an hour, so I guess one question is, will your public space be available and open to your neighbors to other cultural or religious institutions in the neighborhood or to folks who are on the other side of that fence who say that, that looks like a pretty garden, I'd love to participate or have a role versus just being stuck at those bars staring in?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DESIREE ANDREPONT: We have discussed uhm how we can make that open space between the park and the, and the building entrance, uhm how we might be able to use that open space in a way that invites the community in for certain programing so we had talked about I know there's Eileen, you guys have a fresh food market.

EILEEN TORRES: That's uhm so I did want to I've been trying to jump in a little bit, so sorry about that. So just going back to the park, uhm one of the things we have a very, very strong present in Mott Haven and one of the things that we are actually the lead partner for is Our Healthy Livable Mott Haven and in that, for that project we have actually done a tremendous job in activating St. Mary's Park which is right across the street uhm from this, from where this facility will be. We are hoping that we would be able to do something very, very similar to People's Park uhm and so that is something that we have on our, you on our list of items of wishful funding that we would like to be able to get so we can expand what we currently do in St. Mary's Park and have that in People's Park. Uhm we also have, we also have opened a Farm Stand in front of Belvis

2.2

2 (SP?) which is right near this, where this facility

3 | will be as well that offers fresh fruits and

4 vegetables into the community and we would like to be

5 able to expand that too.

TED WEINSTEIN: Belvis is a health

7 center.

EILEEN TORRES: Right.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: I would like to note that we have been joined by Council Member Chaim Deutsch and just in terms of the developers, what is the make up in terms of minority and women as executives, in terms for development, will you be using MWBE certified contractors, architects, other service providers and in terms of those who are doing the work, will those people receive a wage that is commensurate with the area normal, will those people be getting the health benefits so that if they get injured, they can go to, it sounds like there is a health provider across the street and have that covered and in the event that that injury means that they can't keep working, will they have disability insurance.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: And so.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIR BEN KALLOS: For both doing the 3 work to build it and then operate it.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: Okay so I'll start with the onsite, uhm there will be approximately 70 full time and part time jobs at this property after it is built. That includes Workforce Development, folks that are already currently employed in another location. We will have grocery, new employees for the grocery. They will have approximately 30 employees full and part time. The support services that BronxWorks will provide, will have, there will be 9, 9 jobs there and then we expect to have 5, at least 5 full time folks on board. We are not going to manage this property but internally as an organization we have a minimum wage of \$15 an hour, that is our minimum across all of our properties and we will, we expect that the property manager who will be working with us on this project will follow those quidelines as well. Also as an organization we have uhm hiring goals that we internally implement. are not things that are required by uhm municipality or uhm or any kind of funding. These are our own internal requirements so we are, our goals are to have 30% MBE, 10% WBE, 5% DBE, another 5% for small

1	CONCESSIONS 51
2	businesses, 3% for DVE and we are required section 3
3	which is not a requirement here but targeting 10% uhm
4	we are have already had conversations with our
5	contractor who is Managnok (SP?) about meeting these
6	requirements. They have provided us with an outline
7	of how they uhm approach this, uhm and I have, I
8	beli I have that if you would like it but I
9	believe that he has provided that, uhm Greg Balso
LO	(SP?) in testimony earlier in the month or last month
L1	but I can, I can provide that to you for how
L2	they are going to achieve these.
L3	CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Testimony at City
L 4	Planning Commission or?
L5	DESIREE ANDREPONT: No I.
L 6	CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Was it the first
L7	hearing at the council?
L8	DESIREE ANDREPONT: I believe it was at
L 9	the Council but I can find out on another project but
20	I can find out and I can get you the information as
21	well.
22	CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So they may have to
23	testify at another project?

DESIREE ANDREPONT: Yeah.

24

23

EILEEN TORRES: I just want to say in
terms of BronxWorks uhm you know we have
approximately 850 employees uhm and we are committed
to hiring those who are from the Bronx and reflect
the diversity of those residents that we serve. I
myself was born in the South Bronx so it starts in
the very top to everyone you know below and so at
least count when we did our survey which admittedly
was probably at this point about 3 years ago, 70% of
our employees were born and raised in the Bronx and
currently still live in the Bronx so just to give you
an idea of what our commitment. Also we have
Workforce Development in the department itself, we
have hired in a number of our own participants who
are a part of those programs to serve in various
capacities throughout the entire organization.
CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Uhm there are still
outstanding questions in terms of.

DIANA AYALA: Yes.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: In terms of...

DIANA AYALA: Leadership.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Yes but I would like to if you can give that to us in writing and...

CONCESSIONS 53 1 2 DESIREE ANDREPONT: Okay that would be 3 great. CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So Council Member 4 Salamanca. 5 RAFAEL SALAMANCA: Thank you Chair 6 7 Kallos. Uhm good afternoon. First I want to acknowledge I have a relationship with BronxWorks you 8 do great work in my community and it's, it's good to 9 see that you are part of this project. Uhm Community 10 11 Builders, uhm where are you based out of? 12 DEISREE ANDREPONT: We have offices here 13 in New York City and Chicago, D.C., uhm we operate in 14 states from the Mississippi River on east. The 14 15 headquarters are in Boston. The company was founded 16 in Boston. 17 RAFAEL SALAMANCA: Okay how many, uhm how 18 many uhm developments have you developed in the, in the Bronx. 19 DESIREE ANDREPONT: This will be our 20 21 fist. 2.2 RAFAEL SALAMANCA: This will be your 23 first, okay. Uhm, so now, and the reason I bring

that up is you would understand my colleagues 24 frustration, she's my neighbor and colleague. 25 We

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 both have the same, uhm we represent the poorest

3 Congressional District in the, in the nation and when

4 I saw that HPD was going to action 2 I was really

5 disappointed in seeing that. You know the needs of

6 this community HPD. You know that option 2 is

7 unacceptable for our community but yet you would want

8 to give this community option 2 and not provide any

9 | 30% AMI units to members of the community and I would

10 like you to please explain that to me.

TED WEINSTEIN: This was, this was as you know this was the result of an RBC competitive process.

RAFAEL SALAMANCA: And this is city-owned land and that's what is most frustrating about this project.

submission in response to the RBC, was very competitive, it was a very high number. They obviously then they varied a lot in terms of what they were proposing. This was a project that in many ways was a very attractive project uhm but one of the things that it proposed was uhm doings its part to try to meet not just the affordable housing crisis but also the homeless crisis and so there is a newer

2.2

program, it is, it is right there on our term sheet. There were all of these two options of how to use the LIP, the low income program and this was a project proposal that was looking to try to meet both of our needs. Uhm sometimes that is difficult uhm, because there are only so many units in a building uhm the point here was that they were able to get state funding through the SIH program which again was unusual uhm and so their wil, their interest and their willingness to try to address that need was something that we thought was worthwhile at this location.

RAFAEL SALAMANCA: Not every.

TED WEINSTEIN: Let me, not every project has that. Not every project would have that but one of the things that we do try to do is through the variety of sites that get developed through our programs, each different proj, different locations serve different needs, some serve some type of needs, some serve other types of needs, some serve old needs. This project, this was considered the opportunity to try to serve the need of addressing the homeless crisis.

1 2 RAFAEL SALAMANCA: So again, my 3 frustration with lines to address those needs always in poor communities. So you are telling me that you 4 think it is appropriate for 169 units that only 18 5 units are 40% and 50% AMI? I'm sorry. So you have 9 6 7 units which is 5% of the project at 40% AMI and another 9 units which is another 5% of the project at 8 50% AMI. So you are telling me that in the poorest 9 Congressional District in the state or nation, HPD 10 thinks its appropriate to only provide 10% of 169 11 units which is 18 units to 50% and 40% AMI and 12 13 totally excluding the 30% AMI bracket? 14 TED WEINSTEIN: Uhm again this, this is 15 a. 16 RAFAEL SALAMANCA: It's wrong, it's wrong, I. 17 18 TED WEINSTEIN: I understand what you are 19 saying. 20 RAFAEL SALAMANCA: It's wrong and 40% of your units which is 67 units at 60% AMI and then 20% 21

2.2 of your units at 80% AMI. I really encourage when 23 you sit down, another question, Community Builders, how many times have you met with my colleague on this 24 25 project?

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DESIREE ANDREPONT: We've met uhm with
Council Member Ayala once since.

RAFAEL SALAMANCA: Okay.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: She has been in

6 office.

RAFAEL SALAMANCA: Well, you know, I'm the new chair of the Land Use Committee and I am getting involved in every project that happens in the City of New York and I will be working with my colleague and I will support my colleague every way possible and I have encouraged my colleague to really look into this, in terms of changing the MIH to option 1 and also changing the composition of the project to getting a mix and match which gives us, which gives her a better opportunity to negotiate what is appropriate for that community. We all know that 10% homeless set aside is what is mandatory in city projects and what is frustrating is that HPD decided to use MIH option 2 at that and then go with a 30% homeless set aside which gives my colleague 0 units at 30% AMI and that is wrong. Thank you Mr. Chair.

LACEY TAUBER: Uhm, I just want to reiterate on behalf of HPD that you know, we are

2.2

looking, we have made a commitment already to go back and look at this, uhm what we really want to do is ensure though that we can keep uhm enough of the wholeness set aside to support the work of BronxWorks in this project. Uhm I think that, you know, they've, they've let us know that they need a certain amount in order to do this work and bring that benefit to the community and that is something that we want to also prioritize here but we absolutely hear you about the units for the residents in yoru community and that is something that we are going to look at and see if we can figure something out that works for both.

DESIREE ANDREPONT: As the developer we are equally committed to making sure that we have something that works for the folks in the community itself. So it is something, I think there are some things that we could look at, what is underwritten here and follows the term sheet guidelines but we can go back and look at how we can address some of the affordability issues that you have.

DIANA AYALA: Something yeah we can discuss that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So I believe there are a lot of outstanding questions from the Council Member from the Land Use Chair and also from me, uhm in the time that we have I am hoping that HPD will use its emanate domain powers to reach out to the additional property owners to see if we can free those additional spaces up. They may be the space that we need for a larger fresh site, it may be the space we need to get to lower levels of affordability. I am going to excuse this panel. am going to recess this hearing briefly so that we can take a vote on two items that have previously been heard by this committee and then we will resume on this very application. The first project that we will vote on is a Special Project Loan for 165 West 80th Street Application, Land Use Item 41 in Council Member Rosenthal's District in Manhattan. HPD seeks an Urban Development Action Area Project UDAAP Approval presumed to section 6094 of the General Municipal Law and article 11 40-year full tax exemption approval to section 57z7 of the private housing finance law. These actions will facility the transfer and rehabilitation of 165 West 80th Street through the sponsor. She will purchase and redevelop

25

2 the building under Special Project Loan Program. The existing building currently contains 39 studio 3 apartments, 15 of which are occupied. The sponsor 4 will rehabilitate the building so that upon 5 completion the project will provide approximately 29 6 7 affordable cooperative dwelling units, 20 studios, 9 one bedrooms and one superintendant unit. 8 occupied units will be sold to the existing tenants 9 to average at 65% to the AMI for \$250 per dwelling 10 unit and vacant units will be sold for a price 11 12 affordable to family earning no more than 120% of 13 AMI. There is a letter of support from Council Member Rosenthal. We will also be voting to approve 14 Land Use Items 42 and 43, the CUCS West 127th Street 15 16 Supportive Housing Application in Council Member 17 Perkins District in Manhattan. HPD seeks approval of 18 the Urban Action Development Area Project UADAP, Designation of project approval, Disposition of city 19 20 owned property and special permit to allow community facility floor area ratio to be applied to 21 2.2 development to create supportive and affordable 23 housing. These actions will facilitate the development in a 12-story community facility 24

building, 34 units reserved for homeless adults and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: We will leave the vote open until the conclusion of full hearing. I want to thank our uhm Capital Budget Chair, Vanessa Gibson for making her way over and for the chance to go early during the Parks Hearing so I could get here. Excuse you to your next Capital Hearing. So thank Uhm, turning back to uhm the Land Use Items Numbers 55, 56 and 57 I would like to now call the next panel. Uhm it appears that we only have uhm 3 members of the public testifying this matter. And we have a very large number of people who will be testifying on the WSHFSH also colloquial referred to WISHFISH, so for this one will be asking folks to limit testimony to 5 minutes on the following, public testimony we will ask for about 2 minutes, so we have Pastor David Serrano. Sorry, Pastor Serrano, Jr. From the adjacent church. We have David Serrano, I imagine Sr. from the adjacent church and we have Mohan Montabeak (SP?) from 32 BJ. Uhm each of you will have five minutes. I imagine that the 32 BJ testimony might be shorter and might not take up the full five minutes so we will start with them. uhm then we will continue on for the remainder of the panel, yes please.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MOHAN MONTABEAK (SP?): Good afternoon, my name is Mohan Montabeak. I am a Building Service Worker and a member of 32 BJ SEIU. I am here today testifying on behalf of my union. This project is going to create badly needed affordable housing in the Bronx. My union believes that new affordable housing can also create new good jobs. Jobs that pay wages that allow people to afford to put a roof over their head, save for retirement and access health benefits. This should be the city-wide standard. That is why we are urging the subcommittee to urge HPD and the Community Builders to commit to paying the Industry Standard Program Wage to building service workers in the Bronx. My union and I understand how important more affordable housing is for this neighborhood. A good jobs commitment is an important step towards ensuring that this development truly benefits the Bronx. Thank you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Does anyone have any questions for the 32 BJ person. Uhm just so do you know whether or not the Building Service Workers who will be working on this project will be entitled to any uhm any health benefits or any uhm retirement or is that not known at this time?

2.2

2 MOHAN MONTABEAK: It is not known yet at 3 this time.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Okay I, I support your cause as I think you have heard some of my questions and I will leave it to you to work with the local member and the developer on this. I would like to excuse you from the panel if that is okay?

MOHAN MONTABEAK: You got it.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Whoever would like to go first, please identify yourself, feel free to scootch (SP?) over there, there's two microphones.

DAVID SERRANO SR.: Uhm My name is David
Serrano I am the pastor of the Thessalonica Christian
Church for the last 28 years. Uhm but the church is
serving that community for 79 years, uhm we have a
lot of programs for the community but our building is
too small. That's why, in 1992 when I heard that the
uhm Park Department was going to extend People's Park
to the lot that we would mention uhm we did a
proposal to the Land Use Board to let us use that
land uhm to build a church and use our building as a
community center. In 1994, we received the answer
after meeting with the Commissioner with the State
and he agreed with us to make what we call a swap,

21

2.2

23

24

25

uhm, David Rosala (SP?) was an Assembly Member at that time so he got a 9 Foot Park and Recreation that is located at Brown Place and 137th uhm they still a park there and they agreed to make a swap. What it was going to do, they were going to reuse the property to HPD an uhm and HPD started dealing with us to acquiring the property and we stared doing that. We started working at that but sorry, there was located at Longwood Avenue in the Bronx, they moved to 100 Gold Street but we didn't know anything about it before the moved. They never said to us that they were going to move, eventhough we were in the process to get the property. So when we find out that they move and we contact them, uhm but they said Mr. Weinstein told us that they misplaced our records. Uhm, so you know they are leaders, I believe that. I ask them, what have we got to do. You have to start the process all over again. we started the process all over again but until today I didn't know that they found our records, I don't know if they got them back because I think that is a responsible Department from the City of New York. They moment that they get those files back, they were supposed to call me or send me a letter or a fax and

let me know we found your records, let's continue
with the process. They never let. So we have to
start all over again so by that time, uhm it was
2005, we got a company called OPM, and we start doing
uhm, you know the project and we finish with it. We
present it to HPD and we don't know what happened
because they never called back. They spoke to our,
Planning Board Representative and he told me, told me
our member going through the process that we rejected
the plan. In the meantime, when we had the meeting
with them, they liked the plan, they gave us a price
for the lot, they said that within a week we were
supposed to receive the number to continue the
process until today they never did. So you know we
keep, we, that was my problem, I keep believing what
they were saying, so we went, I called Mr. Weinstein,
I told him, listen we want to do the right thing here
what are we supposed to do now? Do you have some
better person you can let us know who they are that
work with you because we don't want to make the same
mistake. Okay he gave us three names, we went, we
contacted them and we choose Full Spectrum. Full
Spectrum by that time was working on a green

technology so they were, they were highly recommended, when I.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So I think you have another person who can testify for the remainder of five minutes and if you want to, they can continue with the same testimony or make the, make the case.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Thank you for everything you do in the community.

DAVID SERRANO JR: Uhm David Serrano Jr., the son of this man here. I just wanted to uhm I started working heavily with my father in the community when I was about 21. And I am 45, I will be 45 now and so I've been in the South Bronx working in the community for quite a few years and uhm we have helped people get over their addictions, we have helped families get back together. We've been, we've had boots on the ground seeing what the community needs and seeing who the community is and honestly here I'm just here to, to voice or to reiterate some of the concerns that have already been expressed. I have trouble believing that somebody that isn't from here, or from the South Bronx, right, who doesn't live there and see the people there and hug the

21

2.2

23

24

25

people there and cry with the people there, how is it that they are qualified to know what our people need? How does that make sense to anybody unless all we are considering is money? If that is all that we are considering then I am going to be disappointed in the decisions that our city is making. If we are really concerned about the people then we've got to look a little bit beyond that. I understand that dollars and cents at the end of the day they are relevant in the matter but you know I agree, we have homeless people, we have homeless vets, we have single moms, we have kids that are struggling, that are, that are involved in serious gangs that are not good for them. What are we going to do about that? Right, a supermarket is not going to help any of those situations at all. Uhm and so my concern and my plea is please let us just not look at just what is financially practical, let's look at what our people need, the members of our community, the citizens of our city, what they need, not what is going to look good at the end of the day. Uhm you know on someone's resume or let's look at what our people need, what our citizens need and let's try to address those concerns. Uhm, I really believe that the City

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is full of people of honor and integrity that at the end of the day just want to help the people of New York City and I think that has to begin by making sure that the people that are going to develop and build are from New York City. If someone has never walked down St. Anne's Avenue, if you've never purchased milk from the corner store, how are you going to tell me what it is that our people need there. And sorry I'm a preacher so I get a little emotional but the reality is I agree, I agree with our Council Members, right, how are we going to build something that is in essence ignoring the real needs of the people that live there? It seems to me that there is another agenda that doesn't have it at its core the individuals that live in our city and on our streets right now. Thank you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Do you want to send the remaining two minutes back to your father?

DAVID SERRANO JR.: Sure.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Yes, thank you I just want you to know that I have been working in that community for 28 years like I said before and I have been a liaison in the Ricker's Island, I have been a liaison in the service of the 40 Precinct. I have

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 been part of the Community Council, I've been part of

3 the Community Board. I've been working with Habitat

4 of Humanity so I've been working in that community

for 28 years. Uhm, I'm here to say that I believe

6 that the process that HPD used it wasn't fair to us

to the church, to our community, it wasn't fair.

8 | Like I told you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: How was, did you bid on this as well?

DAVID SERRANO SR: Yes we did.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So in terms of the, uhm what your, your son had said in terms of what the community needs, how, what was your proposal versus the proposal that ended up getting selected?

DAVID SERRANO SR: Do you want to answer that?

DAVID SERRANO JR: We just, we had a lot of more community, we had a lot more community oriented programming. Uhm afterschool programs for the kids, watching, what's the word I'm looking for, daycare for our single parents, that are in the, in the neighborhood, housing for vets which is a problem, uhm you know, helping to reform individuals that have been incarcerated and now are struggling to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 find work. That is a problem. Right? And so but again I'm not sure that we, that you, we can be aware 3 4 of these problems unless we are walking the streets.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And so I, how many units of affordable housing were included in your proposal or, you don't have to.

DAVID SERRANO SR: In the beginning it was only 80 because of the zoning. But with the change of the zoning we could do more than 150.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So your proposal may have been a little bit more modest because you didn't include a zoning change?

DAVID SERRANO SR: Yes. But that was in 2005 because after that and that's the one that we submitted and they, never answered, at least the church, the community and me, we never know why they rejected.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And the, and so you bid in 2005 but not on the current RFD?

DAVID SERRANO SR: Yes we did.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Okay.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Because after that, what we did is when we went to them they gave us three, like I told you three developers so we

gathered, we spoke to Full Spectrum, we decided with Full Spectrum. When we, we was about the do the presentation to HPD, HPD told our member, that we was unable to use Full Spectrum because they have a financial problem, a financial situation.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So your frustration, is the folks, the developer that you were introduced to by HPD or one of them wasn't qualified and that ended up being the one that you ended up selecting.

Okay.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Not only yeah because after that we chose another developer Evan Hart

Developer Corporation and when we was about to do the presentation, HPD had a situation with the building and they called Evan Hart to fix the situation. Evan Hart was unable to fix the situation so they told a member of the church, told the pastor he cannot use Evan Hart because he has a problem with us.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So they said no, so they said no to two out of the three that they recommended?

DAVID SERRANO SR: Yes.

CONCESSIONS 73 1 2 CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Would you, would you 3 forward to this committee the various proposals that 4 you submitted? 5 DAVID SERRANO SR: Uhm sure. 6 CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Perfect. 7 DAVID SERRANO SR: Definitely and 8 although. CHAIR BEN KALLOS: You can send it to 9 10 bkallos@benkallos.com, I'll give you a card. DIANA AYALA: I have questions. 11 12 CHAIR BEN KALLOS: I would like to turn 13 it to Council Member Ayala for questions? 14 DIANA AYALA: (SPEAKING SPANISH). 15 so, I have, I mean I'm trying to get to a place where 16 I kind of, where I have a better understanding of how 17 you, you feel that we can be helpful. Uhm this is a 18 complicated uhm issue that dates back to 24 years and so it becomes a little bit difficult for me to kind 19 20 of you know come in 24 years later into the conversation. Uhm, I'm, I'm just trying to figure 21 2.2 out how it, how it is that you feel that we can be

DAVID SERRANO SR: I can give you all the papers, the letter, everything concerning the swap

23

24

25

helpful?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

2.2

that we did with Park and Recreation, everything concerning the letters that we sent to HPD, asking them to answer what we, the proposal. We have all the letters, we have all the information that you need. I can give you the package, you can review the package and then we can sit down and talk about it but whatever you want to ask me you can do it now.

DIANA AYALA: So we did submit, you submitted, you asked, you sent us a series of questions that you wanted HPD to respond to. We did email those to you this afternoon, I don't know if you had an opportunity to review them.

TED WEINSTEIN: No.

DIANA AYALA: But a lot of them had to do with the swap or the conversation of there ever being some sort of an agreement to swap lots. There doesn't seem to be on HPDs part you know any evidence, and uhm physical evidence that there was a deal so if you have any information.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Yes we do.

DIANA AYALA: In writing that would attest to that you know I am happy to review it. I think that I just want to be very clear you know that this project before I became Council Member and you

CONCESSIONS 1 2 know it is pretty uhm it's advanced at this point. And even if we, even if assuming that everything you 3 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

know that could go wrong went wrong tomorrow, uhm we cannot quarantee that the church would be awarded site control and so I want to make that very clear. Uhm I am happy to have the discussion with you but I think that, there has been an opportunity to have this discussion along the way, ULAR processes are on a time clock and so you know you went to the Community Board. I know that you have had conversations with the borough President. I have had conversations with our staff as well regarding this project and you know all parties seem to agree that there was enough time for the church to actually develop this site and that every deal kind of fell through because the church did not, could not, did not have a developer that I guess was suitable or that was eligible I'm not sure because this is 24 years of activity that I was not privy to.

> DAVID SERRANO SR: Can I just answer you? DIANA AYALA: Yes.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Why if that was the case, let's say that we did the presentation and they didn't like the developer, uhm why didn't call us and

2.2

sit down with us and let us know that was a problem because they never talked. We never know until today, HPD never said why they rejected the pro, the project until today. So why didn't call us and set up a meeting and let us know, listen, the developer, the developer that you are going to use is no good, get another one, you know how many developers are crazy to do a project like that there.

DAVID SERRANO JR: If I could add, I mean really what is the worst case developer that we get somebody outside New York City that has never developed here.

DIANA AYALA: I guess in HPDs perspective that you got a developer that doesn't have the financial means to really support this, this type of project, that's what I mean and I think that that is what they saw and and again I, we can have a further conversation but I want to be very clear about expectation here and that you know this is a project that has accelerated to the point where we are almost finalizing the ULAR process and that is on a clock and that is very difficult to stop it once it already begun unless there is a real, you know, a real reason to do that but even if I did that, even I had the

authority to do that I could not guarantee that this
site is going to be turned over to the church and so
I would like to add that as part of this process the
church would benefit from additional air space. I
believe the Land Use, the Zoning Law would also
impact your lot and so that it would afford the
church an opportunity to build on their lot and you
can build the affordable housing that you are looking
for, you can build yourself a brand new church as
part of this agreement but I cannot guarantee, so
that's an added plus to this because it you know it
wasn't, I don't know if that was originally part of
the plan but that's exactly what will happen.

DAVID SERRANO SR: So what you are saying is that even though we find out that it was no fair, it wasn't fair that what they did even though we prove you that we get everything ready for them to do it with us uhm you can stop this?

DIANA AYALA: Well you, you haven't proven that yet. You can submit to us, you can submit to, to our chair, whatever documentation you have and we will seriously review that.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Okay I left the book.

DIANA AYALA: Who has the book?

2.2

2 DAVID SERRANO SR: I don't know who has

3 the book.

DIANA AYALA: Our Land Use Division has the book we will review it and we will have a conversation with you.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Okay please of course, you know what I am saying is if we have, if the process was unfair.

DIANA AYALA: Then we will catch that.

DAVID SERRANO SR: Good.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: I want to thank you for being a quite long hearing that started late. I wanted to thank Council Member Ayala for her leadership on this. She has the full support of the Land Use Chair, myself and the Land Use Staff and we look forward to supporting you on this. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify on this matter? Seeing none I will close the public hearing on this application. I will actually turn this over briefly to Council Member Levine who will lead us on Land Use Item 58 prior to getting to a matter in his District.

MARK LEVINE: Okay. This is Mark here to reek havoc on this Subcommittee. Free housing for

1	CONCESSIONS
2	everybody. Uhm, I wish I had that power. Uhm,
3	forgive me while I read the more boring official
4	text. Our next hearing is on Land Use Item 58, which
5	is 500 West 174 th Street and HDFC Tax Exemption
6	Application for property located in Council Member
7	Rodriguez's District in Manhattan pursuant to article
8	11 of the Private Housing Finance Law. This 40-year
9	tax exemption would not only facilitate the
10	renovation of the building but also remove the
11	property from the list of buildings slated for 3 rd
12	Party Transfer Round 10 Foreclosure Actions. So I
13	will now open up the public hearing on Land Use Item
14	58. We have Artie Pearson, who has asked to testify
15	well not asked to. Is testifying from HPD. Please.
16	ARTIE PEARSON: Afternoon, I'm Artie
17	Pearson.
18	COUNSEL: Let me just do the affirmation.
19	Please state your names.
20	ARTIE PEARSON: Artie Pearson.

COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and response to all Council Member questions?

MALCOLM MORSE: Malcolm Morse.

ART

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ARTIE PEARSON: Yes.

3 MALCOLM MORSE: Yes.

ARTIE PEARSON: Land Use Number 58 consists of an exemption area containing one privately owned building located at 500 West 174th Street that is block 2130 lot 44 in Manhattan Council District 10. This property is the candidate for the End Foreclosure Action 3rd Party Transfer Round 10 and Realm Action 51 for which HPD is seeking article 11 Tax Benefits. 500 West 174th Street was taken into city ownership in 1978 and subsequently entered in to the Tenant Interim Lease Program. On October 27, 1992, HPD conveyed the property to the existing occupants as a low income cooperative with no household earning more than 120% of AMI. building is a mixed use 6-story walk up with 27 residential units and 3 commercial spaces. It is fully occupied and comprises 51 buildings, one bedrooms, 17 two bedrooms, and 5 three bedroom apartments and shareholders have either passed away or moved the HDFC had fewer resources to draw from in order to meet tax obligations and other operating expenses. Therefore the property became a candidate for foreclosure. Currently the shareholders have

1
1
_

worked out a plan to help save their buildings which
includes entering into an installment with the
Department of Environmental Protection in order to
address arrears. The building has been working with
a new property manager and within the last 12 months
worked toward resolving outstanding repair issues as
well as vacant units to bring in more revenue. It
recently receives a DAMP Partial Abatement and no
preservation loan is needed, I'm sorry they currently
have a DAMP CAP Partial Tax Abatement and no
Preservation Loan is needed. With the required 2%
increase of maintenance fees under program guidelines
and the timely collection of such fees the HFC will
have sufficient reserve funds for future needs. An
effort to help maintain affordability and stability
in the building, HPDs for the Council, is before the
Council seeking an article 11 Tax Exemption for a
term of 40-years that will coincide with their
Regulatory Agreement. Approval of the Tax Benefits
will facilitate removal of 500 West $174^{\rm th}$ Street HDFC
from consideration as a candidate for Round 10 Aiding
and Long-Term Home Ownership by the shareholders.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 MARK LEVINE: Thank you Director Pearson,
3 thank you both and we are going to move on to our
4 next panel.

ARTIE PEARSON: Okay thank you.

MARK LEVINE: We appreciate you very much and that will be Eva Hermida Ramos (SP?) here to testify on this same Land Use Item if she is still here. Okay.

EVA HERMIDA RAMOS (SP?) Okay I am Eva Hermida Ramos. (SPEAKING SPANISH). Thank you. Okay. I am Eva Hermida Ramos I live in 500 West 174 for a long time ago. Before the building became a corporation. I think so more than 32 years old to live in there. Uhm, my building is, my building is 27 units, 3 business. Uhm my reason that I coming over here because I didn't know about the meeting somebody told me last night at 8:30 a... uhm p.m. that building or the that place has a big meeting to discuss or to talk about something or a solution that HPD have so for that, 11, extension 11 but I was called to Mr. Moses from HPD and then he told me it's true the meeting will be coming over here to present my building problem or the building problem. My issue that I coming over here is because I am very

1 2 frustrated for a long time ago, always I will go to HPD to try to get the best solution for my 3 4 corporation but I never receive any help. Many 5 people in HPD gave it to me or to show me, many 6 solutions but when I went over there to try to 7 resolve every single problem that we have in the 8 corporation, economy problem because the building is okay. Nobody help me. Nobody didn't tell me the 9 problems that the big problems and big solution that 10 HPD have for building like my building. And then I 11 12 went, I went to different places, I went to 13 different, I went to different, different political 14 people to try to get the best solution for us and 15 never nobody didn't care. I think is because that 16 people to live in there is the Spanish people. 17 Nobody didn't help us. Nobody didn't. 18 MARK LEVINE: (SPEAKING SPANISH). 19 (SPEAKING SPANISH). Some of the issues that you are 20 raising are beyond the scope of this hearing. (SPEAKING SPANISH). 21 2.2 EVA HERMIDA RAMOS: Uh-huh.

25 MARK LEVINE: (SPEAKING SPANISH).

EVA HERMIDA RAMOS: Uh-huh.

(SPEAKING SPANISH).

MARK LEVINE:

23

24

2.2

EVA HERMIDA RAMOS: (SPEAKING SPANISH).

MARK LEVINE: Okay.

EVA HERMIDA RAMOS: (SPEAKING SPANISH).

MARK LEVINE: Okay, let me just pause and explain, we do unfortunately have to move on. I'm very sorry you weren't informed of the hearing. In order for this matter to have come so far in the Land Use process your board would have a did agree to a Regulatory Agreement one that by, by all appearances will be a great financial benefit to the building uhm which will provide I believe 40-years of Tax Relief to the building so I'm sorry to hear about challenges that you have had accessing HPD services and local elected officials. Uhm, I'm not your Council Member, I'm your member to the south and I'm happy to help uhm support you in that role in any way that I can. (SPEAKING SPANISH). We do have to move on.

EVA HERMIDA RAMOS: Okay but can you give it to me, your office, your place that I have to go to next because I think, everything, my building to have to decide, where do I start to go to find somebody to help us.

2

3

4

5

6

_

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARK LEVINE: I will give you my card, again I'm not your Council Member but happy to support you, I truly believe in HDFCs. (SPEAKING SPANISH).

EVA HERMIDA RAMOS: Thank you.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you very much. Okay we are going to move on to our. Forgive me. Are there any more members of the public who would like to testify on this matter, this Land Use Item. no, thank you for coming. Seeing none I'm now going to close the hearing on this application and we are going to move on now uhm to the main event. We've been through the undercards, now the prize fight which is uhm Land Use Item 52 through 54. Three Land Use Items we are going to be considering uhm which is our West 108th Street an Application by the Westside Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing aka WSFSSH and its in my own District, Council Member Levine's District, the applicant HPD seeks a Zoning Map Change, a Zoning Text Amendment to MAP the area as a Mandatory Inclusion Area Housing area utilizing option 2 and an Urban Development Action Area Project Approval including the authority to sell city owned property for this project. If approved, a community

facility containing approximately 280 supported and
affordable residential units would be developed as a
new transitional shelter with approximately 110 beds
and replacement ambulance parking. In a later phase
of the project approximately 80 senior housing units
would be developed. Under the current zoning RAB, a
community facility has a floor area ratio of 4.1 and
is limited to 75 feet in height. Under the proposed,
RAA zoning a community facility would have a floor
area ratio of 6.5 and a height of 145 feet or 14
stories with a qualifying ground floor. The
residential floor area ratio would increase under the
proposed zoning from 4.0 to 7.2. I am now going to
open up the public hearing on Land Use Items 52
through 54 and we have here I believe our, staller
representative from HPD, Kevin Parris, Paul Freitag
from WSFSSH, Ross Karp from HPD and our own Lacey
Tauber from HPD and I am going to ask the Committee
Counsel to administer the affirmation.

COUNSEL: Please state your names.

LACEY TAUBER: Lacey Tauber Government

23 Affairs and HPD.

ROSS KARP: Ross Karp, HPD.

KEVIN PARRIS: Kevin Parris, HPD

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

PAUL FREITAG: Paul Freitag, WSFSSH.

COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in response to all Council Member questions?

LACEY TAUBER: Yes.

ROSS KARP: Yes.

KEVIN PARRIS: Yes.

PAUL FREITAG: Yes.

COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin.

MARK LEVINE: Alright you may begin.

LACEY TAUBER: Land Use numbers 52, 53,

and 54 are related unit of actions seeking a zoning

map amendment, establishment of a Mandatory Inclusion

16 | Housing Area, UDAP Designation and Project

17 Disposition Approval for three city owned sites

18 \parallel located at 103-107, 137-143 and 151-159 West 108th

19 | Street in Manhattan. Council District 7, the project

20 | is known as WSFSSH at West 108th Street to be

21 ∥ developed under the Supportive Housing Loan Program.

22 The Supportive Housing Loan Program provides funds

23 for the rehabilitation of existing buildings or for

24 | new construction buildings that provide supportive

25 | housing for the homeless, people with special needs

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and other persons of low income. HPD works with the Department of Homeless Services as well as other public agencies to ensure that the completed projects meet programmatic guidelines. The sponsor of the project West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing WSFSSH was selected through a competitive process and proposes to combine the city owned lots with a privately owned lot located at 183 lot 10 forming the project area. The city owned lots contain active public parking garages all of which operate on a month to month leave between the city and garage operators. The private lot, lot 10 is the current location of the Valley Lodge Shelter and provides transitional housing for homeless seniors with a capacity of 92 shelter beds. Under LU54, the sponsor plans to construct two 11 story buildings with affordable and supportive housing units as well as community facility spaces on the disposition area and adjacent private lot. The project will be build in two phases, an eastern building and a western building. In total, there will be approximately 279 units for occupancy by homeless and low income persons plus 2 units for superintendants as well as a new transitional housing facility containing

2.2

23

24

25

approximately 110 shelter beds. Formerly homeless tenants referred by DHS and other city agencies will pay up to 30% of their income as rent. Other tenants will pay rent set up to 30% to 60% of the Area Median Income (AMI) on an annual basis. The, I think that was suppose to be 32 not 30F. The western buildings will be the first phase and includes 119 supportive units all of which will be studios and 79 affordable units that breakdown as follows, 53 one bedroom, 18 two bedroom and 8 three bedroom apartments. Rents will vary from \$191 for a supportive studio at the 30% AMI tier to \$1321 for a three bedroom in the 60% AMI tier. The building will be energy efficient and amenities will include 24 hour security, community room, administrative offices, social service offices and will also contain space for the Central Park Medical Unit (CPMU) which is a volunteer ambulance service offering free emergency medical care throughout Central Park. The space will be for an office and enclosed parking for approximately 3 CPMU ambulances when not in use. The building would also include a new public restroom and storage area for the adjacent Anibal Aviles Playground as a federally qualified health center that is available to the

25

2 residents and the public in partnership with the Institute for Family Health. On site social services 3 will be available to all residents. During the 4 construction period, residents of the Valley Lodge 5 Shelter will be relocated to a facility in community 6 7 District 7. Post construction, the shelter will be returned to the West 108th Street location and will 8 contain 110 beds. It should be noted that the 9 proposed shelter enlargement for Valley Lodge exceeds 10 25% of its current floor area meeting the requirement 11 12 for a Fair Share Analysis which was conducted by the Department of Homeless Services in conjunction with 13 this application. The Fair Share Analysis determined 14 15 that the use of the site is appropriate and 16 consistent with the criteria for the location of city facilities. The second phase of the project includes 17 18 the construction of the eastern building that will provide 81 units of Senior Supportive Housing and one 19 20 superintendants unit. The building will also contain community event spaces and an office suite for 21 2.2 WSFSSH's support service. LU number 52 seeks a 23 Zoning Map Amendment to change an R8B Zoning District to an R8A District that will provide sufficient floor 24

area for the intended design and use of the

2 development.

1

25

development. LU number 53 seeks a Zoning Text

3 Amendment to MAP an MIH area utilizing option 2.

4 Because the proposed project would be community

5 facility use group 3 which is for profit or nonprofit

6 institutions with sleeping accommodations it would

7 | not required MIH compliance. However, if a site were

8 to be developed for residential use in the future,

9 compliance with option 2 would be required. In order

10 to facility construction of the WSFSSH at West 108th

11 Street Project HPD is before the Planning

12 | Subcommittee requesting approval of LU numbers, 52,

13 | 53, and 54 and now I am going to turn it over to

14 | WSFSSH to talk through more details of the project.

15 PAUL FREITAG: Okay. Good afternoon, my

16 | name is Paul Freitag I am the executive director of

17 | the West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive

18 | Housing otherwise known as WSFSSH. Last year WSFSSH

19 celebrated our 40th Anniversary of providing housing

20 and services to older New Yorkers on the upper West

21 | Side. We have a very, very long term commitment to

22 | this community. Uhm beginning with our very first

23 | building the Marseilles Apartment located at Broadway

24 \parallel and 103rd. WSFSSH has now grown to include 26

buildings located on the West Side in Harlem and also

25

2 in the South Bronx with over 2000 apartments. develop, we own, we manage and we provide services in 3 all of our buildings and I believe that the 4 5 comprehensive nature of our mission is the key to our 6 I would like to say that during our 40 year 7 history we have seen a reduction in the need for affordable housing but unfortunately this is not the 8 case. Today there are over 200,000 older New Yorkers 9 on waiting lists for affordable housing apartments 10 and WSFSSH has actually had to close almost all of 11 12 our waiting lists because the time that you would be on a waiting list often exceeds the expected life 13 14 span of the resident on the waiting list. 15 community District 7 and 9 it is estimated that there 16 are 44,000 seniors currently on waiting lists that would have them waiting more than 10 years. So first 17 18 to talk about the project. This was already introduced but just to go through it uhm quickly. 19 20 are proposing that, I would like to first talk about the program for this project. So this is a 100% 21 2.2 affordable development which includes 275 permanently 23 affordable apartments uhm in a mix of studios, one and two bedroom apartments, one two and three bedroom 24

apartments. Additionally, the development will allow

25

2 us to expand Valley Lodge our transitional shelter for older New Yorkers which is operated at this 3 location on West 108th Street for almost 30 years. 4 During its 30 year history, Valley Lodge has helped 5 literally thousands of older New Yorkers transition 6 7 from homelessness to permanent housing with services. Uhm, this chart shows the distribution of the units 8 and the proposed rents. Uhm the two phase, this is a 9 two phase development with 198 units being built in 10 the first phase and 81 in the second. The Senior 11 12 Supportive Units will be targeted for older New Yorkers earning 30% of AMI which is affordable to 13 14 Seniors who are living on a fixed Social Security 15 income. The majority of the family apartments will 16 be for families earning at or below 60% of Area Median Income with a percentage also for individuals 17 18 at 30% of AMI. For household consisting of a single individual, 60% of AMI is an income is about \$40,000 19 20 It just as a point of reference and the family units will have a 50% preference for Community 21 2.2 Board 7. One of the aspects of this project that I 23 am most excited about is a plan to not only provide high quality affordable housing services but also to 24

bring program elements that will benefit the entire

1 2 community not just our residents. Our commitment to serve the greater community has been a focus of our 3 conversation for various community groups during the 4 last two years and I am so pleased to be able to 5 6 incorporate their feedback into our plans. WSFSSH at 7 West 108 will include the following community services 1) We will be working with the Institute 8 for Family Health, a partner of WSFSSH for almost 30 9 years who will provide a 5,000 square foot community 10 health center where all residents of the upper West 11 12 Side can receive primary care medical services for 13 themselves and their families. This healthcare will be affordable to all who need it. 2) We heard from 14 15 the local community about the needs for restrooms in 16 the Anibal Aviles Playground immediately to the west 17 of the phase 1 site and we are incorporating these 18 restrooms into our design. We are happy to learn from our conversations with the Parks Department that 19 20 with the addition of the restrooms to the playground will allow Parks Department to staff the playground 21 2.2 associate who can then provide supervision and 23 programming. 3) In our conversation with 24 Bloomingdale Family Services we learned having a

place for them to store equipment near the playground

25

25

2 would help them provide a richer experience for the children in their daycare and headstart programs that 3 4 use the playground on a daily basis. We are happy to 5 report that the storage space into our design. During our conver... during our public conversations 6 7 we learned at the Central Park Medical Unit, a not for profit ambulance corp that provides services in 8 Central Park was seeking a location to store their 9 10 ambulances when they are not in use. We are happy to provide this for the Central Park Medical Unit and 11 12 have even had additional conversations with them about how they could use our new building in order to 13 provide first aid classes and other safety focused 14 15 trainings to uhm to their members and to the 16 community at large. Finally, we have heard from many 17 organizations about the need for public meeting 18 spaces. The proposed building will include a number of meeting spaces of different sizes and we are 19 20 designing them in such a way so that they can be directly accessed from the sidewalk for use by the 21 2.2 greater community for a range of functions and 23 meetings. WSFSSH currently provides community 24 meeting space in several of our existing buildings

and we are happy to also provide this community

25

2 service in this new development. So these two plans show the ground floor level and the lower level, in 3 4 blue are those spaces that will be dedicated to 5 community serving services and in the orange are 6 those shared WSFSSH and community meeting spaces. So 7 now I would like to move on from the program to the site itself. Uhm this slide shows the existing 8 conditions on West 108th Street between Amsterdam and 9 Columbus. On this is a very a unusual block 10 currently in comparison with the mostly residential 11 12 East, West blocks on the upper West Side. The north 13 side of the block consists of the three city owned parking garages and WSFSSH's Valley Lodge 14 15 Transitional Housing and the Anabil Aviles 16 Playground. The southern side of the block is 17 occupied almost entirely by MS 54 and its associated 18 ball fields. Between the playground and the ball fields, a very large percentage of the block consists 19 of open space and both the playgrounds and the ball 20 fields are mapped as parks and will remain as open 21 2.2 space into the future. Also of note, is that the 23 existing garages are noncompliant with the current required rear setbacks and extend all the way to the 24

rear lot leading only to the rear of the lot line

CONCESSIONS 1 2 leaving only a very narrow slot between the rear of the garages and the buildings on West 109th Street. 3 This slide shows the proposed site plan, so to the 4 5 west of Anabil Aviles Playground we have the phase 6 one development and to the east we have the phase two 7 development. Uhm the proposed uhm the proposed phase one building heights, the proposed phase one building 8 heights along the street will range from six to nine 9 stories and after a 15 foot setback will rise to 11 10 stories. Of note is that the proposed building will 11 12 conform with the required rear setbacks and provides 13 between 30 and 40 feet of breathing room between the rear of the building and the back lot line. 14 15 year yard will be landscaped. Go to the beginning. Uhm so this shows the street elevation of the 16 17 building looking south. Given the length of the 18 facade, of the building, the facade has been organized in a manner to reduce the overall masking 19 20 along the street. If we look at the far west, the building at the far end, this is actually an existing 21 2.2 building. Our building has taken its que from the 23 existing height, stepped up one story to seven stories then sets up to nine stories in the middle 24

and then steps back down to six stories immediately

25

CONCESSIONS 1 2 adjacent to the playground. Of note, is that the six 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

story portion of the building next to the playground is only marginally taller than the existing garage in that location and therefore will no additional impact in terms of shadows on the playground. Uhm you can see that after a 15 foot setback the building does rise to 11 stories. Let's see. Uhm you can also see in this rendering in the back, the 22-story cathedral tower building which is on the block between 109th and 110th street and not shown in this view is a 17story residential building down at the corner of Amsterdam and 108 so our proposed building is significantly less high than other buildings in the vicinity. Our goal here was to create a building which would match the scale and context of the existing block but also allow us to maximize the affordable housing apartments and also provide for a very rich blend of community services. In order to do this, we are requesting a Zoning Change from R8B to R8A. However, although R8A could have an allow FAR 7.02, the proposed building will use only an FAR 5.3. This lower FAR would be enforced through a land disposition agreement with the city. Uhm this shows the how the facade of the building will appear at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

sidewalk level. The ground floor has been designed with a great deal of transparency to animate the sidewalk and allow views in and out of the spaces. The building has been designed with numerous entrances into the residents, into the community health center with specific entrances that can be used to access the community meeting spaces. Overall, this development will unlading a block that currently is dominated by blank facades and by multiple curb cuts to provide access to the garages. The building that we are presenting here today is the result of a two year process of reaching out to community organizations to present our plans and get their feedback and ideas. Specifically this input has lead to our program of community services that we are proud to have incorporated into this project. am very grateful for the time that organizations have taken to meet with us and as of today we have 33 organizations who have signed on to support our efforts to create affordable housing with community services on West 108th Street. Thank you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Thank you Council Member Levine you want to ask any questions.

2.2

while I had it. Alas, back to being a regular

Council Member. I do have several chair of the

Health Committee, there is that. I do have several

questions uhm for you after a very thorough

presentation, uhm could it would perhaps be an HPD

question, could someone explain the status of

Avilla's Playground, which I understand uhm is not

entirely clear the extent of which is mapped as city

parkland or not and what implications that might have

for future development in the vicinity.

ROSS KARP: Sure, I will speak to that.

You are current it is not currently mapped as a park
but it is, uhm it is property that is within the
jurisdiction of the Department of Parks so it is
treated as a park by the Department of Parks and
Recreation. So it has, it carries with it all of the
designation that a particular park would have it is
just not currently mapped as a park.

MARK LEVINE: Is it, is there DPA, there Department of Parks and Recreation documentation to confirm that?

2.2

ROSS KARP: I can, I can send you some clarification documentation about that, in our conversations with parks.

MARK LEVINE: And would this then generate, floor, even though it is not mapped as park land would it generate floor area for future adjacent development?

ROSS KARP: It would not because its, because it's in the jurisdiction.

MARK LEVINE: We lost, we lost audio.

Roger. We lost audio. We are back. Alright.

ROSS KARP: So just to finish my response so because this is a property that is under the jurisdiction and management of parks it doesn't carry any zoning designation.

MARK LEVINE: Is it what is known as a JOP, a Jointly Operated Park.

ROSS KARP: Uhm I'm not clear if it's under that designation, I can find out for you though.

MARK LEVINE: Okay, we are just concerned that if it generates floor area and uhm god forbid WSFSSH would change its plan for the eastern building

2 that then we could wind up with a very, very, very

tall structure there.

this site.

ROSS KARP: Understood. I can get back to the Council with or conversations with Parks about

MARK LEVINE: Okay and can you explain which phases of this project trigger MIH and to which

you could explain one would or would not.

ROSS KARP: So because this property is falling under Use Group 3 which is community so with sleeping accommodations, it's not considered, it's not considered residential and you need to be, you need to be, your project needs to be a residential project that is using residential FAR to trigger MIH.

MARK LEVINE: But for the eastern building, phase 2, we would trigger MIH because it's.

ROSS KARP: That's correct.

MARK LEVINE: Even though it would be presumed 100% affordable, I'm not sure what the program there is so.

LACEY TAUBER: Right so, oh, sorry, uhm.

I don't know what to do here. So yes so we are using the SARA Term Sheet which actually provides deeper affordability levels. This is something about the

2

way I talk yes, okay, oh, but you know what here, let

3 me move my phone, maybe that's the problem. Nope,

4 okay, uhm, so it's really more about what would

5 happen after the Regulatory Agreement would expire if

6 that, if there were something different that would

7 happen. You know, I mean we assume we are going to

8 | be working with WSFSSH for as long as we can, you can

9 plan for but I think the idea is for both phases that

10 we would be looking at what would happen after the

11 Regulatory Agreement. I'm going to stop talking.

12 MARK LEVINE: Sorry about the microphone.

13 Uhm, just, just to understand so we are concerned

14 | about the question of why the phase two site would

15 | trigger MIH, only in the eventuality that this 40-

16 | year Regulatory Agreement is expired and then and

17 then a future owner could develop it but that even if

18 | WSFSSH they had a radical change of mission,

19 | hypothetically in the next couple of years, that uhm,

20 | this that would still be held to restrictions on the

21 | site. Is that correct?

25

22 ROSS KARP: Yes so, I am Ross Karp, I am

23 | the HPD project manager, Special Needs Housing so the

 $24 \parallel \text{first building is being financed with a Supportive}$

Housing Loan Program which because 60% of the

2 building is being set aside for formerly homeless tenants it's classified by DOBS a community facility. 3 The second pha... and so that this building will have 4 5 a 60-year Regulatory Agreement so whatever happens for the first 60 years Mandatory Inclusionary 6 7 Housings won't necessary be irrelevant just because the building will be restricted to that use as we 8 build it when we close, the first 60 years. 9 10 second phase, the financing has not been fully developed, uhm, we are expecting it to be 100% senior 11 12 building and so those are often financed under a

classified as a community facility and if that is the case then it is classified as a residential building then it will be subject to Mandatory Inclusionary Housing.

different loan program where it wouldn't be

MARK LEVINE: Got it. But to the extent now that we are debating about over which open if MIH we choose, it would have no impact on the program for this building as currently envisioned. Again it is only for the eventuality of this as the agreement expires.

ROSS KARP: Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2	MARK LEVINE: So for phase two the plan
3	is that I think that project begins or construction
4	would begin in 2023, do I have that right? Or maybe
5	demolition begins in 2023? So that's a five year
6	period in which presumably the garage is still going
7	to operate but the site will be owned by WSFSSH is
8	that right?
9	CHAIR BEN KALLOS: No because we are not

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: No because we are not doing a disposition today on that. Someone can explain that?

ROSS KARP: Correct, so the intent is for the first phase we would be doing the disposition for the first garages and then subsequently disposing of the third garage at the time that that project is ready to close?

MARK LEVINE: So this ULAP would authorize you to dispose but you wouldn't do that?

ROSS KARP: Correct.

MARK LEVINE: So it would be status quo on that lot until, until presumably 2022 or whenever?

MARK LEVINE: Okay understood. Uhm, how many building service jobs will this project create?

ROSS KARP: Correct.

2.2

ROSS KARP: So our estimate is that there will be approximately 17 uhm positions created, building service positions created by the two building. The one building being the shelter and the other being the Permanent Affordable Housing.

MARK LEVINE: And have you, estimated phase two?

ROSS KARP: We have not estimated phase two.

MARK LEVINE: Okay so 17.

ROSS KARP: Phase one.

MARK LEVINE: On phase one from the two building. Uhm will prevailing wage apply?

ROSS KARP: No we will not use prevailing wage in the, for the building service employees, uhm we actually. This is a project that has a great deal of community benefits, we are providing a lot of our community space free of rent and as because it is 100% affordable project, obviously we are constrained, we cannot raise the rents in order to generate additional revenue and so for that reason the project has actually been planned in a way that it would not provide prevailing wage for the maintenance workers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK LEVINE: WSFSSH is not a deep pocketed developer. Your providers in Support of Housing and Homeless shelters so I understand that you are under financial constraints. The City however has a stated mission of creating and supporting good paying jobs with good benefits and this is an opportunity to do that. Building service work has been that kind of employment sector for the city, providing the kind of jobs that people can build a career off of and provide for their families and, and prepare for retirement, etc. There are other projects other ULURPs that have been approved in the last term where we were able to find the funding to provide Prevailing Wage for the Building Service Workers, why can't the City not asking WSFSSH, why can't the City put in the extra funding to make that a reality in this site?

ROSS KARP: So uhm, the Supportive

Housing Loan Program is already putting in a little

bit over our Term Sheet for this project because of

the all the community benefits and to be able to

provide extra units and 30% AMI which is not

something we usually see in our projects. We can of

course continue to look into this but I think it

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 would be very hard for HPD to put in more funds in 3 this project.

LACEY TAUBER: I think some examples of that can happen when there is an opportunity to add more units at higher AMIs which there is just not an opportunity to do in this project because of the way that this loan program works and also doing Senior Housing on the, on the second phase, uhm and then there is actually also an issue with the, the fact that there is a shelter there and it's not super clear uhm what kind of workers in the project would be covered under an agreement and think there are some concerns about, uhm you know from DHS about hiring agreements that could interfere with their ability to enforce their own requirements for building staff, I don't want to speak for DHS but that was something that was brought to our attention and uhm I mean it is something that definitely something that we have looked at but we just don't, we don't really have the opportunity to budge here like we do in some other, some other Term sheets, some other types of financing.

MARK LEVINE: Alright this is a City with an \$88 billion Budget, we will see what we approve in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We are talking about uhm probably sub six figures here is the differential in providing the kind of good paying jobs that we, that we know you believe in and the administration believes in, I believe in and the Council believes in so this, this is doable from a funding perspective if we take the big picture on HPDs Budget and the City's Broader Budget and I would employ you to continue to explore creative ways to make that happen. There is, there is something important at stake here that are values are on the line and I think we need to push the envelope to make that happen. Uhm, there is a school across the street from this site, uhm, Booker T. Washington MS 54, has an artificial turf athletic field which is very, very degraded and uhm that's really in need of replacement and I have been strongly advocating that as part of this project to bring back this block to life and to do right by the school which is going to suffer through a difficult construction period that there be a replacement for that turf uhm and I do appreciate one of the very positive signals that I am receiving from the administration on that. Can you say anything about the timing of when such a turf would be installed?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the turf is secured uhm and we researched on the timing and they told us that the installation is estimated to begin in 2020, uhm which reflects their Capital Process which includes about a year for design which is set to begin this spring and six to nine months for procurement uhm which includes the bidding period. So.

MARK LEVINE: Okay that lead time is very frustrating. I just came from the Parks Capital Budget Hearing, I'm unfortunately quite fluent in the long time it takes to do a Parks Capital Budget but boy this is, this is about as cut and dry as I would think a design project could be. You are, it is a little bit more than unrolling some green carpet but you, you are not talking about a lot of complicated elements here. Uhm, there is no building. There is no comfort station, there is not a ton of horticulture involved. I don't think there is a lot of like regrading on the site needed if any, you are essentially, I am over simplifying but you are replacing a worn out carpet. Uhm I know there will be drainage issues and more as long as we are doing the project but I just don't understand why you would

_

2.2

O 1

need two years lead time to start work, right, I
think that's what you told me, two years lead time to
start work, not, not even to complete it. Is that
right?

LACEY TAUBER: Yeah I mean this is, we hear you and this is something that we can take back to Parks and see if there is any opportunity to speed it up.

MARK LEVINE: Alright, we are going to continue to push on that. Uhm, so the, the proposed R8 Zoning which would allow 6.5 FAR, uhm sorry the R8A Zoning that we are proposing would allow a 6.5 community facility FAR; uhm, however it appears that you are not intending the use the full FAR, you are intending to go up to 5.3, uhm that must not be a common thing in these kinds of processes but uhm, uhm, again looking to the long-term here, how do we ensure that there is no future development that would exceed the 5.3 FAR?

ROSS KARP: So as it relates to the WSFSSH Project uhm and our disposition documents and our Land Disposition Agreement Documents we, we are going to, uhm mention or it's going to be in those documents that this project is going to be utilizing

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 only the 5.3 FAR and so we are going, we are going to memorialize it in those documents. And as it relates 3 to future projects, correct me if I am wrong, I 4

believe that even in the future project even though 5

we will be disposing to WSFSSH there may be some HPD

7 oversight as far as future developers on this site.

MARK LEVINE: Great. Uhm, we have raised real concerns uhm acknowledging the priority of affordable housing amongst the city's needs uhm of what the loss of the parking would be mean for the surrounding community and I have advocated for DOT to identify on street parking that could be added in the surrounding vicinity to help ease the transition and I am wondering if you can update us. It looks like Lacey you have something to say on that?

LACEY TAUBER: Yes, I think the mic is working again, that's nice. Uhm so DOT is going to be adding angled parking on 104th Street. There will be a gain of 33 parking spaces, uhm I mean we know that's a fraction of the total that uhm our in the garages now but also WSFSSH has done a really thorough job of assessing parking opportunities within a one mile radius of the site so that if folks who are parking in there now reach out, you know,

your office or the VPs office of whomever can assist them with finding alternatives.

MARK LEVINE: Okay so what can you say about the timing of creation of new on street parking?

LACEY TAUBER: Uhm as far as I understand they are ready to go and uhm you know, we can make that happen whenever you feel the timing is right.

MARK LEVINE: Right, I would certainly want to open the Community Board before there was any action on the ground there, but as I mentioned given the loss of parking in the garages, some mitigation seems warranted.

LACEY TAUBER: Yea, absolutely we can take that back to DOT and make sure that they arrange to talk to the Board.

MARK LEVINE: I will also mention that as another mitigation step or another way to give people alternatives, I've worked with DOT to secure expansion of car sharing in the neighborhood which will allow people who might only need a car on the weekends or a trip or two a week uhm to to uhm avoid the burden of having to pay for a car all week when you can just use the car share if it is local and

2.2

convenient. That doesn't work for everybody but we have heard from constituents who want that so we are

4 adding that to the menu of options.

LACEY TAUBER: I would just add I think there is an opportunity to add a few more spots if the city bike stations get moved around but may, I think that is something they can discuss with the Community Board.

MARK LEVINE: Okay yes, I, I had actually asked DOT to look at that, there are probably as you said probably a chance to move docks from the street to sidewalk and with concentration of the Community Board we should pursue that as well. Uhm the Chair raised a good question which is given concerns about the, the long term fate of the property and we are thinking here very far ahead uhm would it not be appropriate to put a Deed Restriction to Supportive Housing and/or other such uses?

ROSS KARP: I don't think any of us probably will be the. Well I think we can get back to you on that as far as the agency's perspective on doing a Deed Restriction at this site to that affect.

MARK LEVINE: Okay but we would appreciate you getting back to us on that. Uhm, I, I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have worked to advocate for the broader community in this process and Paul mentioned a few little things that I think will matter to people who live nearby or, or go to school nearby or have the kids school nearby, I just want to reemphasize them because they are things that we have fought for and we are very excited that WSFSSH has now been able to include and/or HPD including bathroom for Aviles' Playground just sorely needed which would trigger we hope provision of a playground associate by the Parks Department, a staff person who not only cares for the bathroom, that is an important function but runs programming for young people play groups and summer learning activities and it is an extra pair of eyes on the playground so it is just wonderful to have that kind of staff person there on site. I believe Paul also mentioned that they were going to be able to secure storage space for a great early childhood education center, Bloomingdale Family Services that does often bring their kids to the park to play and to have a little bit of storage for their materials and their playgrounds would be very nice for them and we are, we are certainly excited about that. Uhm there is a great need for more meeting space in the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

community and the fact that you are designing kludes (SP?) of meeting space which has a separate street entrance so it really could feel like something the community could be at home at uhm I think will be welcomed and the Central Park Ambulance Corp is just one of these great, great, great little groups that doesn't get the credit it deserves but these are all volunteers who are providing emergency medical care through the park and sometimes in adjacent lots and to have a permanent home for them, a modernized home, uhm is really a great benefit to park users in the Broader Neighborhood and we are very happy that that is going to be part, it is currently part of the design and the uhm the uhm the establishment of a new community clinic, federally qualified health facility is going to provide very much needed low cost medical care services that are going to be available to residents regardless of income and ability to pay and regardless of immigration status and so we are, uhm very excited about that benefit for the community as well. Uhm again I think it is important to consider the broader impact on the community and the needs of the community and they can make an ultimate determination of the project. That is all the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

questions I have, uhm, I'm going to pass it back to
the chair, uhm to perhaps move on to the next panel,
I am anxious to hear from the public and/or proceed

5 with his own questions.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: So I just want to reiterate Council Members Levine's great questions, and I fully support them and they need to be addressed if it is going to move out of this committee and I do appreciate the idea of throwing a Deed Restriction on there to say that it has to be a nonprofit, that it has to be supportive housing so that if anyone does come as a successor agency and does decide that they want to use the additional FAR that you are being gifted but not using then it will continue with With that being said I do want to just the land. echo Council Member Levine. It seems that what you need is an R7D not an R8A, I am not sure if we received from you the list of changes, the list of waivers that you would need in order to build an R8A it also seems that there appears to be a problem with MIH as designed that should be brought to City Planning and that Supportive Housing being built in an MIH zoned location

2.2

doesn't get the MIH bonus which seems strange so

this may be an opportunity to fix that so that if

you build 100% Supportive Housing that that

should satisfy MIH requirements. Feel free to

6 correct me if I am wrong.

ROSS KARP: I did want to speak, you were referring to the R8D I just want to clarify the distinction that for the zoning purposes this project needs to utilize the community facility FAR that's uhm part of the zoning designation so with the R7D understanding is that the community facility FAR is only a 4.2 and this project needs to utilize 5.3 FAR to, to realize its.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And an R7D what is the Inclusionary Housing Bonus.

ROSS KARP: Uhm I believe it brings you up to a 5.6 probably.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: And this whole project is in the, in the first building that you are putting up which is use group 3 by nature of being supportive housing any market rate. Do you have any market rate in any of this development?

ROSS KARP: No.

2.2

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Uhm so the question would be that I am asking HPD to bring back to City Planning is why if we build a shelter or if we are building supportive housing why that doesn't satisfy inclusionary housing especially if all the beds are close to each other and there are no separate doors for different people.

ROSS KARP: MIH was designed for residential, so but you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: But the folks are going to be sleeping there, right, they are going to be part of the community, they are going to live there.

ROSS KARP: Understood.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: But for the fact that article 2 section Chapter 2 says specifically that nonprofit sleeping accommodations in non R8, R9, and R10 Districts are a different use group than a would be residential. So okay.

LACEY TAUBER: And if it was residential, to be clear the MIH would apply.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Right but the MIH only requires a certain percentage be affordable so yeah, just tack on the Deed Restriction it still has to be

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

maintain, let's restrict it to 100% affordable 2 supportive housing or shelter and/or all. Okay I 3 would like to excuse this uhm panel and we have our 4 next panels. As mentioned before there are a lot of 5 folks testifying. It appears uhm okay, we have many, 6 7 many people testifying in favor so we are going to ask those people if they don't mind doing two 8 minutes. We have the DAMCONE(SP?), Friends of Anabil 9 Aviles Playground, Ellen Finney from DOROT, Reverend 10 Alistair Drummond from the West End Presbyterian 11 Church and Lynn Wishert (SP?) from the 5th Avenue 12 13 Presbyterian Church, slightly out of that 14 neighborhood but welcome and please begin.

ALLEN ADANTU (SP?) FRIENDS OF ANABIL

AVILES PLAYGROUND: Greeting Chairman Kallos, Council

Member Levine, uhm I am here as President of Friends

of Anabil Aviles Playground in support of the West

108th Street Development proposed by WSFSSH. I was a

member of Community Board 7 when the garages were

previously up for consideration some 15, 20 years ago

and at the time I voted in favor of keeping them as

garages rather than allowing them to be sold off to

private developers. That was the right decision then

but the circumstances have changed now. Now we have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a community besieged by Market Rate Development, a record loss of affordable units every year and a proposal from which will restore the nonprofit to build 100% affordable project. The time has come for the garages to go. The playground is going to be the beneficiary of the project with a new bathroom which will enable to Parks Department to staff our playground, provide storage space for Parks Maintenance and provide daily oversight, programming and activities for our children during warmer months. But even without the restrooms FOAAP would still support this project. The park is on a recent upswing. A safety fence, repainting and replanting and increased use of the park have breathed life into the playground. I might also by the generous support of the Council Men as well but it is not too long ago that drug paraphernalia was routinely found on the playground surrounded on two sides by parking garages and the third side by the shrouded rear yards of West 109th Street tenants. It has regularly attracted drug dealers and users who could operate at night in relative darkness and largely undisturbed. development will put people with east facing windows overlooking the park, discouraging illegal activity

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 and increasing security and safety in the playground.

3 It will also improve 108th Street overall currently

4 with two parks, a playground and little housing by

5 | augmenting the number of pedestrians putting eyes on

6 the street and further enhancing the block. I park

7 my car nearby at 110th Street in the Avalon Building

8 where I live with my family. No doubt my parking

9 rates will increase with the reduction of the spaces

10 | in this garage but the increased safety and security,

11 | new affordable units and a new bathroom for the

12 | playground, the choice is clear. Thank you.

Finney, Community Outreach Social Worker at DOROT representing Mark Meridy, Executive Director of DOROT and Resident of Community Board 7. DOROT would like to reaffirm our steadfast support of WSFSSH and the development of the West 108th Street Housing Project. DOROT has been serving older adults on the upper West Side and Manhattan Valley for over 40 years, our mission is to alleviate social isolation and provide services and support and to enable older adults to live independently as valued members of the community. We are familiar with the challenges face

by older people in this community, particularly with

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

those who struggle to maintain housing. For over 35 years, DOROT has partnered with WSFSSH to operate our Homeless Prevention Program. The program has saved hundreds of neighborhood seniors from homelessness by offering them transitional houses and a range of services. WSFSSH has been an outstanding partner in our shared mission of supporting seniors and preventing homelessness and the seniors who come to us are ordinary New Yorkers. In recent years we have struggled to find them housing in this neighborhood or in any neighborhood in the City. At this time over 200,000 New York City Seniors are on the waiting list for affordable housing. Waits are typically five years or longer, far too long for a Senior Citizen to wait. The West Side Federation Project at West 108th Street will create a much needed affordable housing for seniors and families and invaluable community assets such as space for healthcare, social services and recreation that are vital to our community. In our experience, WSFSSH is the most qualified and responsible organization to fulfill this need, thank you.

REVEREND ALISTAIR DRUMMOND: Good afternoon I'm Reverend Alistair Drummond. I am the

pastor of the West End Presbyterian Church which is 3 2 blocks south of Valley Lodge on 105th Street. 3 been pastor there for 24 years and as a neighbor of 4 Valley Lodge and a Board Member of WSFSSH for 12 of 5 those years and as being involved with WSFSSH I know 6 7 the way being a gooder responsive member of the community for all of these years. With 40 years of 8 experience WSFSSH can clearly manage big complex 9 construction projects in residential neighborhoods 10 including the Red Oak Building on 106th Street and 11 Tres Puentes in the Bronx and WSFSSH is part of, 12 always part of the community operating, very 13 responsive to residents disputes that may arise. 14 15 Valley Lodge has operated on West 108th Street 16 successfully for more than 30 years. WSFSSH on West 17 108th is a very necessary resource for our 18 neighborhood. New York City continues to wrestle with housing affordability especially in Manhattan. 19 20 As Paul said earlier, 200,000 seniors are on wait lists for affordable housing units alone. The need 21 2.2 is very real. WSFSSH is the kind of top tier 23 responsible provider that can help protect our neighborhood from the effects of rapid gentrification 24 and help to preserve the income diversity that makes 25

2 Manhattan Valley very special while continuing to

3 provide resources for low income seniors to live with

4 security and with dignity. So I support WSFSSH at

5 West 108th and the services, all the services that it

6 | will provide for all of Manhattan Valley. In January

7 2017, the annual interface march for peace which

8 marches through the, oops my time is gone. Took the

9 theme of.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: If you don't mind giving it one sentence.

REVERNED ALISTAIR DRUMMOND: Yes one sentence, it took the theme of supporting this project and stopped in all the faith communities on the west side because of the commitment of the whole community to this particular project, thank you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: Thank you I will bring up the next panel.

LYNN WISHERT (SP?): Good afternoon, my name is Lynn Wishert (SP?) I am a member of the Board of Directors of the West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing. As a director, I am aware of the Federation's successful housing developments that have been concentrating in several communities throughout upper Manhattan and the south Bronx over

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the last four decades. I am also a member of the $5^{\rm th}$ Avenue Presbyterian Church and as the Council Member noted it is located out of the upper West Side at 7 West 55th Street. The church has supported ministries with people experiencing homelessness and cooperated with many not for profit organizations serving a variety of needs of older adults since at least mid 1980s. As a congregation we understand that our faith based institutions, our individual efforts as citizens of this City and our governing authority share the responsibility to nurture vibrant communities in part by addressing housing and security and homelessness in our neighborhoods. Today I speak in support of the West 108th Street Development from my perspective as a member of this church by describing one of its efforts with WSFSSH Valley Lodge to shelter and foster community for homeless older adults. With support from the Department of Homeless Services, the 5th Avenue Presbyterian Church and Valley Lodge partnered first with the Church of the Ascension on West 107th Street and then in successive years with West End Presbyterian Church on West 105th Street in sheltering 10 men at a time for four months during

2.2

six consecutive winters. During these intermittent incredibly brief periods, because of the quality and services and care provided by staff of Valley Lodge, 94 individuals many of whom having experienced decades of homelessness and unwillingness to accept assistance through options offered by DHS moved from living as unsheltered individuals on Manhattan's West Side into transitional and permanent housing. Valley Lodge has been a good neighbor on West 108th Street for 30 years. The proposed development increases the capacity of the Valley Lodge Shelter, addresses a critical need for supportive housing for older adults and adds to the permanently affordable housing options for families in Manhattan Valley.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: I just, very, very briefly, uhm thank you Lynn and and Reverend Drummond and all and Allen Adantu (SP?) who is not here anymore for coming and speaking out and for thinking about the needs of the community beyond if it doesn't apply to WSFSSH it is your bread and butter but it great to see the faith based institution, a senior based nonprofit thinking about the broader needs of the community, I wish we saw more of that and uhm I

3

4

5

J

67

8

10

11

12

14

13

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do appreciate you engaging and waiting and getting your voices on the record today. Thank you.

REVEREND ALISTAIR DRUMMOND: Thank you.

LYNN WISHERT: Thank you.

CHAIR BEN KALLOS: I am going to turn this back over to Council Member Levine and our next panelist is Pannos Katrice (SP?) from 32 BJ.

PANNOS KATRICE (SP?): (SPEAKING SPANISH). Good afternoon, my name is Pannos Katrice (SP?) I am a building service worker and a member of 32 BJ SEIU. I am here to call on the City to fund good building service jobs on West 108th Street. Our Union has a historic relationship with the West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing. also believe that good jobs and affordable housing should go hand in hand. As long as there are working people earning too little to afford rising housing costs the affordable housing crisis will continue. That is why we are calling on this same committee to urge the City to ensure that they are creating good building service jobs at this development. Providing good jobs is important part of responsible development. Good jobs can help New Yorkers out of poverty and allow workers at the site to support

CONCESSIONS 1 their families and continue to call New York, New 2 York home. Thank you. Very supportive. 3 MARK LEVINE: Could you, could you remind 4 us where is that you are currently working? 5 PANNOS KATRICE (SP?): My building. 6 7 MARK LEVINE: Yes. PANNOS KATRICE: I'm on the upper east 8 9 side. MARK LEVINE: Got it. But you are, you 10 are in a resident, you are doing residential building 11 12 service work currently? 13 PANNOS KATRICE: Yes I am a doorman. 14 MARK LEVINE: Got it. Work similar to 15 what will be done here. PANNOS KATRICE: It is a building service 16 work, I am a building service worker. 17 18 MARK LEVINE: Okay, well we, we, we thank you first of all for the work that you are doing, 19 keeping New York City liveable. 20 21 PANNOS KATRICE: Thank you.

MARK LEVINE: Building Service Workers are, are often underappreciated but are making it possible for hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers to remain in their homes and we thank you for that. We

2.2

23

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 thank you for speaking out on behalf of good jobs and 3 this project. I am not sure if you were here during

my questions during the Administration but uhm I

5 expressed my belief that that our values of a City

6 should steer us to create good jobs with good wages

7 and benefits, so. Again thank you for speaking out

and for, and for your work and for being here today.

PANNOS KATRICE: Thank you very much.

(SPEAKING SPANISH).

MARK LEVINE: (SPEAKING SPANISH).

Alright next up we have uhm Karen forgive me if I mispronounce the last, last name, Jorgensen I think?

Uhm Elisa Waldman, Bud Kourtney and Mark Greenberg.

KAREN JORGENSEN: Okay hi my name is

Karen Jorgensen, I've been the Director of the Valley
Lodge Shelter on West 108th Street since we opened in
1988 and we are a proud program of WSFSSH serving 92
homeless men and women 50 years of age and over and
with me today are residents, alumni and staff of
Valley Lodge. At Valley Lodge I get calls daily from
people already evicted as well as those facing
eviction because their income is no longer enough to
pay the rent and eat. These calls come from all over
the City including from some of the City Council

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

District Offices Mr. Levine because we do have two of your people currently in Valley Lodge referred by your District Office and we are working very hard to get them housed in affordable housing. Uhm as I said there are many people at Valley Lodge who worked all of their lives and were responsible tenants but were forced into the shelter system. The Social Security, Retirement is usually no more than \$1000 a month not enough to afford any apartment on the open market and as people are evicted more and more apartments go out of stabilization into fair market rental and in the Manhattan Valley Neighborhood where Valley Lodge is located they are advertising apartments renting for \$3,000, \$4,000 and \$5,000 a month. Years ago the Board of Directors of WSFSSH made the decision to have only one shelter Valley Lodge and to devote all of our future efforts to the development and preservation of affordable housing. The City has indicated its commitment to affordable housing and we do not want to lose this opportunity as daily affordable apartments are lost in the Manhattan Valley Neighborhood as well as in the rest of the City so we urge the Subcommittee to support and approve this project. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK LEVINE: Thank you.

ELISA WALDMAN: My name is Elisa Waldman and I am the Director of Community Programs at the Institute for Family Health, a federally qualified health center network that has been providing comprehensive primary care in medically underserved communities in New York City for more than 30 years and in upstate New York for more than 10 years. also operate three residency training programs for Family Medicine and a number of community health and health promotion programs. In New York City the Institute offers primary care, behavioral healthcare, dental care, at nine full time health centers, five school based health centers, six sites that care for people who are homeless. Services are available for people of all ages regardless of insurance status or ability to pay. The Institute has been working with the West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing since 1990 when we began providing services at Valley Lodge, a transitional shelter for vulnerable homeless adults, an Institute family physician provides primary care two days a week at Valley Lodge, working closely with the Valley Lodge staff to coordinate services. On average, we provide

2	1000 primary care visits per year to the residents of
3	Valley Lodge. I personally have worked very closely
4	for the past 27 years with the staff of Valley Lodge
5	coordinating the Institutes healthcare services. The
6	Institute is thrilled to be part of the WSFSSH
7	Project at 108 th Street. The Institute will occupy
8	roughly 5,000 square feet of community space for
9	healthcare serving both the residents of the new
10	building and the community at large. The institute
11	has a history of developing health center in
12	collaboration with community partners and looks
13	forward to working with the upper west side Manhattan
14	Valley Community to help meets its primary care
15	health needs. As a long time community partner,
16	WSFSSH will help make this block of 108 th Street more
17	vibrant, pedestrian friendly that will promote health
18	and well being of the entire neighborhood. WSFSSH
19	has a strong track record of designing, building and
20	managing complex developments in residential
21	neighborhoods and the Institute for Family Health is
22	happy to support WSFSSH and to be part of the
23	services that will provide on the upper west side of
24	Manhattan Valley. Thank you.

2 BUD KOURTNEY: I would like to thank 3 Council Member Levine for your, your edict on the 4 eradication of homelessness. I thank you for that. 5 It is nice to know that there is many dreamers at this time which is certainly what we need. My name 6 7 is Bud Kourtney I live and work at the Catholic worker on the lower east side, I apologize the way I 8 am dressed. I rushed here from our Zipline thinking 9 that I wouldn't have to sit here for a while but I've 10 been the choir director at Valley Lodge for the last 11 12 I lead a choir of alumni and folks in five years. transitional housing who live at Valley Lodge. 13 have seen the work that the that the folks at Valley 14 15 Lodge do and at WSFSSH and for me it's a family. 16 They, its not, it's not a shelter. I live and work 17 with people every day at the Catholic Worker, people 18 in off the street. I am spending. This is the Catholic season went in one night a week I am going 19 20 out and spending the night on the streets to try to understand a little bit of homelessness and for 21 2.2 myself one night a week is hell so I can't imagine 23 how folks day after day, year after year live on the street so the need to have a home to take people in 24 25 is so necessary and important. Not only at Valley

2.2

Lodge do they do that but they have programmed such as the choir and the drawing department where people keep coming back. The alumni return because it is something to do. I am a recovering alcoholic, 26 years sober, I know how important it is to have something to get you off the streets away from the temptation and to have folks come in and sing with us week after week, this past June we sang at Carnegie Hall, the Valley Lodge Choir, we performed at Carnegie Hall with the Dallas Street Choir. The only homeless choir ever to be invited to Carnegie Hall and I know for myself and I speak for probably every member of the choir it is one of the highlights of our life so please vote yes on this. Thank you.

MARK LEVINE: Well thank you Bud for the incredible service you are doing to give back. You said, so you work at Mary House, Catholic Work, oh got it so you are doing work in multiple locations helping people in need and we are very grateful for that and appreciate you taking time out to come put your voice on the record here.

BUD KOURTNEY: Thank you.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you very much. Okay go ahead Mark.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARK GREENBERG: Good afternoon, thank you Council Members for holding this hearing and.

MARK GREENBERG: Good afternoon I'm Mark

 $$\operatorname{Mark}$$ LEVINE: Mark, check that your mic is on, there we go now.

Greenberg, I want to first thank the Council and just the Committee for their great questions and the challenges that you are offering to WSFSSH to make this project even greater than it, uhm might otherwise be. My name is Mark Greenberg I am the Executive Director of the Interfaith Assembly on Homelessness and Housing Coalition of over 60 faith institutions established in the upper West side in 1985 and I am here to strongly support this project. Since its inception of the Assembly we have looked at the West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing as a crucial and dependable ally in our work of helping those who are homeless to rebuild their lives and helping build a more compassionate New York City. I am pleased to speak in strong support of the current efforts of WSFSSH to expand it's Valley Lodge Facility to serve more New Yorkers in need of affordable housing through this West 108th Street project. The need for permanent affordable housing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in New York City has never been greater than it is right now and the pressures on existing affordable units and those who live in them are increasing every day with over 60,000 New Yorkers living in shelters, many thousands more on the streets and tens of thousands doubled to tripled up with family or This innovative project in Manhattan Valley friends. that will include 194 permanent affordable housing and a new Valley Lodge Shelter serving 110 older adults, 18 more than today is exactly what the people of our city and our community need. When completed, these housing resources coupled with healthcare, childcare, community meeting spaces, public bathrooms will be an invaluable resource and will help preserve the rich diversity that makes this community one of the greatest places on the globe to live and work. Clearly any new project of this magnitude has its challenges but it is over 40 years of service, WSFSSH has proven time and time again that it is up the challenge and on behalf of the members, the assembly and those who we seek to serve and proud to stand in support of this project. Look forward to working with members of the upper West Side Community, ensure that the needs and concerns of the community are

considered every juncture in the development of this
very impressive, worthy and desperately needed

2.2

endeavor.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you Mark for first working and leading the Coalition. It is incredibly important and for uhm everything that you do on behalf of this cause. Uhm, Elisa just before let you guys go, if you could briefly say a work or two on the staffing and the services of the facility. How many doctors, nurses and the scope of if you, if you are not prepared to you can get back to me.

 $\label{eq:elisabeta} \mbox{ELISA WALDMAN: I will have to get back}$ to you.

MARK LEVINE: Fair enough. Okay thank
you panel. I am very pleased that we have been
joined by our colleague on the Subcommitte who is
busy chairing his own committee hearing today is why
he couldn't be with us and he came and we are going
to pause this hearing to resume a vote so that
Council Member King can register his voice and I am
going to pass it over to the Committee Counsel to
administer that.

COUNSEL: Continue vote to approve Land Use Items 41, 42, and 43. Council Member King?

2.2

2 ANDY KING: I vote aye.

COUNSEL: The Land Use items are approved by a vote of 4 in the affirmative, 0 negative and 0 extensions and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you Council Member

King, now we are going to resume our hearing on Land

Use Items 52, 53 and 54 and I will call up the next

panel which will consist of. Okay we have I think it

is Eustasia Smith (SP?), okay Sandy Roach is here,

Stephanie Louise and Rebecca Sower. And I know for

some of you who have been waiting this was a long

time, uhm but it really is valuable to have voices

from the public who are entered on the record this

way and everything that you are saying here, you

probably know this, is recorded, is transcribed and

it is being streamed live and it it really does

matter when the public speaks up so thank you all for

waiting and Sandy we will pass it off to you first.

SANDY ROACH: Okay my name is Sandy

Roach, Sandra Roach and I have lived in the Manhattan

Valley Community for 55 years. I am very active in

community life, I currently serve at the Board Chair

of the Bloomingdale Family Program a very highly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

regarded headstart and childcare program in Manhattan Valley and they are very happy that we will be able to store our trikes and our outdoor play equipment and not have to slap it over to the playground every time we, every time we use it. I have seen enormous changes, good and bad in Manhattan Valley in the past 50 something years but the most dramatic change in the recent years has been the explosive gentrification that is changing who we are as a community. This is a great place to live and have a family, I raised my three children here and grandchildren too. Uhm, and its a great place to live because it has such a vibrant mix of families in this community but today it is increasingly hard for low income families and low income seniors to live here even though many have deep and long standing ties or roots to this community. Affordable housing is disappearing in favor of luxury rentals and condos to stay in this community many of the low income families we serve are doubling up and tripling up in apartments, a whole family living in one room. or three families sharing a kitchen. It is a terrible way to live. It is inadequate and unsafe. The WSFSSH Project on West 108th Street offers an

opportunity to provide these families with a decent and affordable place to live and with services that strengthen the entire community. I share the respect of the Manhattan Valley Community for the high quality of services provided by WSFSSH. This new facility will be a much needed and much valued asset to our entire community.

MARK LEVINE: Impeccable timing Sandy. I wish some of my colleagues could learn from your example, and, and Bloomingdale is just an amazing organization and to strengthen your connection to that park through this small accommodation would be, would be a great thing.

SANDY ROACH: Very nice.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you for all your service. Please.

my name is Katelyn Hoosie (SP?) I am standing in for her. I am happy to fill out a card afterwards. I am a Public Policy Associate for Live On New York. Live on New York strongly supports WSFSSH 108, at West 108. This project would support the entire community and aligns with our mission to make New York a better place to age. WSFSSH is a long standing member of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Live On New York as well as an active member of our Affordable Senior Housing Coalition. New York City currently faces an unprecedented affordable housing crisis which has been outlined earlier today. one that affects every community throughout New York. As found in our 2016 study an estimated 200,000 low income seniors are on waiting lists for affordable housing throughout New York City. This is simply on one type of affordable housing through the HUD-202 Program. This housing crisis has intensified as the cost of living continues to rise which is particularly detrimental to seniors who often live on fixed incomes. We released an update to our 2016 study which found an estimated 19,700 seniors in waiting lists specifically in community District 7 and 9 of which this project is located within. overall response rate of 44% of the HUD-202 buildings it is estimated that 44,000 are actually on waiting lists in this area. With a total of 45 units on average turning over each year the odds of getting an affordable housing unit are increasingly bleak. is for these reasons in addition to all the community facilities and the true mission based nature of WSFSSH West 108 that we are happy to endorse this

2

3

4

you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

16

15

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

project and we are happy to support the community driven effort that is going on here today.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you we love Live On. Thank you for speaking.

KATELYN HOOSIE (SP?): Thank you.

REBECCA SOWER: Hi, good afternoon

Council Member Levine or good evening. My name is Rebecca Sower I am the Director of Policy and Planning of the Supportive Housing Network of New York. We are a membership organization representing about 200 nonprofits across the state that develop and operate supportive housing so WSFSSH is one of our members. Supportive housing as you know is permanent affordable housing with support services and it ends homelessness amongst the most vulnerable by providing both a respectful affordable place to live and person centered support to help tenants stay housed and healthy. I would like to speak in support of the proposal for West 108th Street. So WSFSSH is actually one of the founders of the supportive housing model, having come to the mission of creating affordable housing with embedded services in order to serve the people who were in the late 70s, just then

CONCESSIONS 1 2 appearing on the upper west side with all their 3 belongings in shopping carts. WSFSSH's founder, Reverend Lara Jervis (SP?) decided to do something 4 about the problem learning the complexity of the 5 6 housing development along the way. Today as we have 7 heard, the organization has created 1800 apartments, mostly on the upper west side of Manhattan but in 8 other neighborhoods as well. In the first 10 years 9 10 WSFSSH took over dilapidated single room occupancy hotels, got it, and turned them into small efficiency 11 12 apartments. Many of the projects that they worked on 13 received very staunch opposition from the community 14 but in fact none of the fears that the Community 15 Members had came to pass. U Could Haul is one 16 example, WSFSSH promised the renovation would not 17 lead to increased crime and that has been the case. 18 They promised the renovation would improve the neighborhood and anyone who shopped at the 19 20 neighboring Victoria Secret or enjoyed a smoothie at Juice Generation can attest to how true that has 2.1 2.2 been. WSFSSH has been a good neighbor, has always

fulfilled their promises and for the past more than

neighborhood, you can see that here today because

30 years has had the staunch support of their

23

24

2.2

they have earned it. I can also speak to the need for the West 108th Street project with great certainty. I just want to say that we did a couple of years ago in preparation for the NYC 1515 program, did looking into the demographics of these permanent form of services and we found actually that of the chronically homeless single adult population just under 1/3 were over 55 so WSFSSH is the only provider that is exclusively dedicated to serving this population which is why it is so important that a project like West 108th Street come to partition.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you Rebecca and has a reminder the plan then for this project is 50 years and older for the, for the single adults, is that right? And then for the family portion its, it's, there's no age restriction, understood. Okay. Uhm thank you Rebecca for your very strong statement and thank you for this panel and we have a sole act for the final panel which is Nathan Gebbert, sorry if I am mispronouncing that name. And while Nathan is getting settled are there any other members of the public who would like to testify. Okay. If you, if you can just fill out a speaker slip. You already.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We would welcome you. You could actually join this panel if you are all. Okay. Nathan take it away.

NATHAN GEBBERT: My name is Nathan Gebbert and I am representing myself and for 23 years I have lived on the top floor of 138 West 109th Street directly behind Valley Lodge in the proposed development. I will lose some light and air and experience inconvenience should the project go ahead. I also park my car on the street and the demolition of the garages might make that more difficult. speak nonetheless to express my unambiguous support. We must do everything we can to create more affordable housing in the city. If I have to give up something to see that that is done I am happy to do so. As New Yorkers this is what we do for each other and I am excited to welcome new people to this neighborhood that I love, by sharing we only make it better.

NIKKI NAVARRO: Hi.

MARK LEVINE: What a, what a lovely statement. Thank you very much for coming here and sharing that with us. It's not not the statement I was expecting to hear. Okay go ahead Nikki. If you can identify yourself. I know you well.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NIKKI NAVARRO: My name is Nikki Navarro uhm I'm here today in support of affordable housing in a neighborhood that I love, a neighborhood where I grew up, where I have a lot of memories. I had given some slot up because I have spoke in many areas in the City about how I feel about affordable housing and WSFSSH but I realize that everyone did, I am a product of that community and I have been in that community for almost 60 years. I came to that community with my grandparents and my parents and five siblings in 1948, just forget that figure. I have seen drastic changes and the saddest part of the changes are affordable housing because it meant that a lot of members of my family had to leave the neighborhood and a lot of the people I grew up had to leave the neighborhood. It meant that a lot of Latinos that I have embrace and the ones that we created memories in that neighborhood and the ones that stayed during the hard times are gone, many are gone and many of them will continue to leave if we don't allow WSFSSH to continue to build affordable housing. WSFSSH is the only one in that neighborhood that I know that is building affordable housing for young families. And seniors. 194 units, its just

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 1 2 like a drop in the bucket for what we need in that neighborhood and so uhm to have people oppose that, 3 4 uhm for for, for cars has been really awful to me, 5 this whole process, I mean two and a half years we have been living with this and we finally come to the 6 7 end of it I hope. I hope that you uhm come to my center lots of times you know who we are. You know 8 the services we give to the community. You know that 9 we are the only ones doing 30% of their income. 10 I've, we've had, I've had a client, I'm a social 11 12 worker I had a client that only paid \$1 one year because that is all should, she could afford 13 14 according to her income because of her expenses. 15 look at the expenses, that year she had hearing aids 16 that she had to purchase, she had glasses that she 17 had to purchase and because of those purchase we 18 could bring down, down the rent that she paid for that year. I don't know anybody else who is doing 19 20 that. I could work someplace else, I am committed to the mission WSFSSH has. I am committed to that 21

community. I still work there. I still have family

there and I'm not going anywhere I'm going to fight

every, everyone who thinks that they can push it out

and this is my way. I appreciate WSFSSH giving me

2.2

23

24

the opportunity to come to you and fight for the

is still a wonderful place, we are just trying to

make keep it, we are trying to keep it. Thank you

one of the best senior centers in New York City.

NIKKI NAVARRO: No I've got two.

record. Two of the best senior centers I have ever

seen. Alright so okay I am, now going to close the

public hearing and we are also going to lay off Land

Use items 52 to 59. I want to thank the Council and

Land Use staff for preparing today's hearing and the

members of the public and my colleagues for attending

and this hearing is hereby adjourned.

MARK LEVINE: Thank you Nikki. You run

MARK LEVINE: To stand corrected for the

neighborhood that I love, that I grew up in and that

1

2

3

4

5

6

Mark.

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE CONCESSIONS	ON	PLANNING,	DISPOSITIONS	AND	150
2	CONCESSIONS					130
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 1, 2018