1	COMMITTE	EE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORK	V	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES		
5	Of the		
6	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND		
7	INVESTIGATIONS		
8		Х	
9		March 26, 2018 Start: 10:09 p.m.	
10		End: 12:51 p.m.	
11	HELD AT:	<u>-</u>	:h
12		Floor	
13 14	BEFORE:	Ritchie J. Torres	
15		Chair of the Committee on Oversight and Investigations	
16	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
17		Ritchie J. Torres Ben Kallos	
18		Keith Powers Rafael Salamanca, Jr.	
19		Mark Treyger Kalman Yeger	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
2	
3	
4	
5	APPEARANCES
6	
7	Fiona O'Grady Director of Government Relations for Samaritan
8	Suicide Prevention Center
9	Sambal [SP?] Augustine
10	Samaritans of New York Suicide Prevention
11	Mr. Komatsu [SP?]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Good afternoon, everyone. I'm City Council Member Ritchie Torres and I'm the Chair of the Committee on Oversight and Investigations and I am proud to be joined by my colleague Ben Kallos. We are holding a hearing on the Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2019 but before we proceed to the testimony of DOI, I'm going to make an opening statement regarding the independence of DOI. The mission of Oversight and Investigations has taken on greater import in a political age that has seen an unprecedented assault on the independence of the very investigative institutions that have kept government accountable and transparent. The role of the Oversight and Investigations Committee is not only to investigate and oversee City Government, but also defend the integrity and independence of those who do. though the administration of President Donald Trump is without equal in the contempt it has shown for good government law enforcement and investigative journalism. Here in New York City we have seen a less sensational but nevertheless insidious assault on the independence of New York City's oldest law

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
enforcement agency, The Department of Investigations,
as well as an assault on local investigative
journalism. I have been troubled by both public and
private attempts at discrediting the DOI
Commissioner, as well as investigative journalist who
have drawn the ire and therefore the political
disfavor of City Hall. Expressing distain for good
government law enforcement and investigative
journalism as the President has done nationally, and
as the Mayor has done locally represents a profound
disservice to the public interest. The leading
casualty of the quiet assault on DOI's independence
has been the Office of the NYCHA Inspector General.
City Hall refuses to fairly and fully fund the Office
of the NYCHA IG, even though the IG has been
instrumental in protecting NYCHA from millions of
dollars in fraud. DOI investigators of NYCHA are
woefully underpaid compared to investigators in
comparable institutions whose operations are no more
complex than, and in some cases less complex than
those of the Housing Authority. As shown in the
chart before you, the disparities are egregious
enough to speak for themselves. An entry level DOI
investigator on average earns somewhere between \$55,

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 000 and \$57,000 annually. By contrast, an entry level investigator in NYCHA, earns only \$42,000 annually. An experienced DOI Investigator on average earns \$85,000 annually by contrast and experienced DOI investigator in NYCHA earns only \$72,000. hardly a coincidence that City Hall's insistence in underfunding the Office of the NYCHA IG comes in mid DOI's investigations into the multiple management failures at the New York City Housing Authority. threat to investigative independence is measured not only in dollars but also in words. As President Barack Obama once said, words matter. In public appearances the Mayor has been dismissive, even disdainful in the words he has spoken about DOI and investigative journalism. In January of 2008, during an interview on Fox 5's Good Day New York, the Mayor attacked Greg Smith, an accomplished investigative journalist as "one reporter who has an ax to grind". In that very same interview, when asked about the false testimony of his NYCHA Chairperson, the Mayor spoke dismissively of DOI, even though DOI's core findings on the chairperson's testimony have never been credibly challenged by anyone at City Hall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

March of 2018, when the Daily News reported that the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS new DOE chancellor was named in a lawsuit for "engaging in inappropriate flirtatious conduct with a female employee. The Mayor in an interview with Brian Layer[SP?] accused the Daily News of "having and ax to grind". Fortunately for the public, he independence of the media is guaranteed by the first amendment, but what guarantees the independence of DOI? A few months ago, the New York Post had an article on the independence of DOI with a sensational headline, De Blasio Wants to Axe Investigation Chief for Exposing Foul Ups. Leave aside for a moment the sensationalism. The article itself exposes a loop hole in the structure of city government. One too There are no clear checks and glaring to overlook. balances that would prevent a Mayor from unilaterally removing a DOI commissioner. The charter contains no structural protection for the independence of DOI from political retaliations. Investigations to be effective have to be undertaken without fear or favor. The fear of political reprisal apart from the act itself can be debilitating to the moral of an investigative agency. The only way to remove the fear of retaliation is to remove the ability to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

retaliate. The new charter revision commission set

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS to be convened by the City Council through local law should reaffirm and reinforce the independence of DOI. The charter should be amended to prevent the Mayor from removing the DOI commissioner without the approval of the City Council. A role for the City Council in both the appointment and the removal of a DOI commissioner would represent the strongest, structural, safeguard against political retribution. Just as important as the process of appointment and removal is budgeting. DOI depends for funding on the very mural administration it oversees. The financial dependency DOI has on the Mayor is a threat to the independence it needs from the Mayor. The charter should therefore be amended to impower DOI with an independent budget. The operational needs of DOI, especially the need for improved recruitment and retention of investigators at the Office of the NYCHA IG should no longer be at the mercy of City Hall officials who to borrow a phrase from the Mayor, "might have an ax to grind". The charter revision should adopt, what I would call the [inaudible 7:00]. The investigators should be independent of the investigated and should be insulated from the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

politics of retribution. Commissioner Peters and his

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 dedicated squads and investigators have been 3 unfailingly vigilant in preserving the integrity of public life. Those of us on the Oversight and 4 Investigations Committee must in turn be equally vigilant in quarding the guardians of good 6 7 government. That will be our charge over the next four years. With that said, Commissioner Peters. 8 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Thank you. Good morning 9 Chair Torres and members of the Committee on 10 11 Oversight and Investigations. 12 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: [Interposing] Actually 13 Commissioner, I'm going to swear you in. Can you 14 raise your right hand? 15 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Oh sure. 16 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Do you swear to tell the 17 truth and the whole truth and nothing but the truth 18 in your testimony before today's committee and in your response to Council Members questions? 19 20 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I do. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay, thank you. 2.2 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Good morning. Chair Torres 23 and members of the committee on Oversight and Investigations. I'm Mark Peters, Commissioner of the 24

25

Department of Investigation and I'm joined by Deputy

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS Commissioner and Chief of Investigations Susan Lambiase and Deputy Commissioner Chief of Operations Ganesh Ramratan. I want to thank you both for your words of support for the independence of DOI which is a central requirement for our work and also for the opportunity to address the committee today concerning DOI's Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2019. also welcome this opportunity to update the committee on DOI's recent work and our vision for the coming budget year. DOI's Preliminary Expense Budget for Fiscal Year 2019 is \$41.2 million consisting of \$30.8 million that supports approximately 395 full time staff positions and \$1.4 million for other than personal services, such as supplies, equipment, and space. Included in the \$30.8 million for personal services is \$4.7 million intracity funding such as the funding for memoranda of understanding with 13 city agencies that support 76 of the approximately 395 positions. In addition to the staff comprised in the agencies budget there are additional 306 head count staff members who work for us through various arrangements with other city agencies. Including staff working for the inspector general for the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Department of Education also known as the special

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
commissioner for Investigation for Schools. The
Inspector General for the New York City Housing
Authority and others. This brings the total staff
head count who report through DOI's chain of command
to slightly more than 700. In 2017, DOI
investigations exposed and stopped the theft of
public funds, strengthened fairness and integrity in
city operations, arrested city employees for
exploiting their insider access and protected the
safety of all New Yorkers. Additionally, our
oversight work goes beyond city agencies and includes
nonprofits who are the beneficiaries of city
contracts and employees of private companies doing
business with the city. Specifically, in 2017 DOI
investigations led to arrests and issuance of policy
and procedure recommendations including the
following: The arrests of five individuals for
defrauding disaster relief associated with build it
back program and an associated report documenting the
findings of an interim investigation examining
contractor invoices and field audits that so far have
saved approximately \$40 million of tax payer funds.
Also, DOI uncovered a \$3 million fraud scheme in
partnership with the United States Attorney's office

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS for the Eastern District involving the submission of reimbursement claims for school meals that were never served. Also, DOI exposed an illegal gas meter installation scheme that led to the arrests of national grade employees and others on charges of enterprise corruption. For engaging in dangerous practices similar to those in the 2015 lower east side gas explosion that killed two people. Further, DOI arrested one dozen city Department of Correction staff and installed multiple jail sentencings of others. All the result of ongoing investigations into contraband, smuggling, and inmate assault by doc staff. Further in partnership with the Brooklyn District Attorney's office, DOI's investigation into the death of a worker at a construction site resulted in the indictment of a construction company owner on manslaughter and other charges. Additionally, DOI conducted multiple investigations into safety issues at NYCHA including a report that exposed NYCHA's failure to conduct mandatory led paint safety inspections and NYCHA's related falsification of documents submitted to federal regulators. Other NYCHA investigations revealed in excess of \$8 million

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in contractor and tenant fraud. And finally, DOI

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS worked with multiple agencies on the arrest of thirteen individuals including medical professionals who trafficked opiates through their pain management clinics and a former state legislature who owned a medical testing laboratory affiliated with those clinics. For a large-scale insurance fraud scheme that resulted in payments of over \$13 million for Metro Plus. New York City's health and hospitals corporation insurance company. In addition, DOI issued sixteen reports in 2017, and issued 969 policy and procedure reform recommendations. A 42% increase from 2016. Our reports for example, shined a light on needed operational improvements within the New York City Police Department including the way in which officer's handle situations involving people in mental health crisis. Training for interactions with members of the LGBT community, and the need to better assist undocumented immigrants, who are the victims of serious crimes and who have been helpful with NYPD investigations with obtaining federal immigration relief. Other reports detailed the misuse of city resources such as city owned cars by high level managers at doc. Including that agencies then

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

commissioner. We also presented our findings in

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS prevailing wage investigations that included the recovery and reimbursement of wages to workers on school constructions sites of more than \$1.2 million. Ultimately, our reports hold agencies accountable by giving the public a greater understanding of city operations and empowering city leadership. Including this council with facts and actionable recommendations necessary for lasting reforms. terms of numbers and metrics overall, I can report that in calendar year 2017, DOI had 726 arrests stemming from approximately 2700 investigations and over 883 referrals for criminal prosecution. In addition, I'd like to specifically address the needs of the Background Investigation Unit. The Background Investigation Unit is responsible for conducting mayoral investigations of mayoral and mayoral employees working in decision making or sensitive city positions. Our work helps determine whether candidates are suited to serve the public trust. 2017 the unit closed 2782 background investigations. This represents over 185 cases per investigator of current staffing levels. Over 21% of background investigations closed in 2017 had potentially adverse

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

information that may have impacted higher retention.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS	
Due to the ever-increasing number of background	
requests received, and the static staffing level	ls.
The unit the year with a back log of 6,050 backs	ground
investigations. To maintain the accuracy,	
thoroughness, and fairness, which characterized	DOI
background investigations. The only way to redu	ıce
this back log is to increase staffing in the un:	it.
DOI has asked for funding for new lines in this	area
for the past several budget cycles. I would lil	ke to
emphasize that our background unit provides a da	irect
essential service to the entire city. Furthermo	ore,
the vulnerability to the city inherent in not	
completing background investigations in a timely	Y
matter is acute. As always, DOI's goal is to	
leverage our expertise across the agencies, elev	<i>j</i> en
investigative squads to develop highly complex of	cases
in line with our strategy of attacking corruption	on
comprehensively through systemic investigations	that
lead to high impact arrests, preventive internal	L
controls, and operational reforms. With that in	ח
mind, I note that we have recently made changes	to
our organizational structure with a view toward	both
consistency of investigations and maximizing DO	I's

ability to see across agencies to city functions as a

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS whole. Previously, certain investigative squads including those overseeing the NYPD and the Department of Education operated separately from DOI's main organizational structure. Four years of experience has demonstrated to me that this does not allow DOI to maximize the impact of this work, or to take full advantage of DOI's institutional knowledge and strength. As such, we've taken steps to fully integrate this work within our reporting structure. A change that will result an even greater impact and ability to tackle issues going forward. Under this structure, we now have a full compliment of inspectors general overseeing all city agencies including inspectors general overseeing DOC, the NYPD, the Department of Education, the School Construction Authority, NYCHA, and NYC Health and Hospitals. All eleven of our Oversight units each led by one or more inspectors general will work with their respective unit and across units, to maximize the effectiveness of our operations. Finally, in addition to arrests and issuance of reports, we plan to turn additional focus to monitoring agency adoption of previous recommendations. Long after our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

initial investigations have come to a close.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS follow up is essential in part of the virtue of having a permanent and independent IG function within New York City. Through our public reports, we impower the general public and governing bodies such as this council in City Hall by enhancing agency transparency and prompting reforms that strengthen public policy and our high impact arrests and emphasis on complex investigations means that we can shut down the most costly and damaging fraud schemes by attacking corruption vulnerabilities at their Through this strategy we continue to see roots. success in Enforcement areas across the board. I thank the committee and the City Council for its support in our independent roll and I welcome any questions you may have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Thank you Commissioner.

We've been joined by Council Member Keith Powers. I have a few questions about the DOI and then I'll proceed to the Preliminary Budget. So, I put two proposals on the table for consideration by a charter commission, revision commission. One is to have the City Council play a role in the removal of a DOI commissioner, and the second is to empower DOI with

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS an independent budget. What are your thoughts on those proposals?

2.2

important than an independent nonpolitical law enforcement force in a civil democracy and there is nothing more important to me than the independence of DOI. It is something that I have worked very hard to preserve over the last four years and so, I clearly welcome both the support and any steps that will strengthen, that will further strengthen the independence and the nonpolitical nature of DOI.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Did my opening statement accurately characterize the resource constraints facing the NYCHA Inspector General?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes, it did. Uhm, the

NYCHA Inspector General does have lower fun— as you

noted, the funding for parallel positions at NYCHA

versus parallel positions in what is sometimes called

main DOI, but I am trying not to use that phrase

anymore because all of our inspector generals are

part of DOI, but there is a gap in funding. I

believe it comes to about \$147,000 over the 47

positions. If I'm off by a thousand dollars or so,

somebody sitting here will correct me, but its about

\$147,000. We have in fact had some staff leave the NYCHA IG for other parts of DOI. Stability at the NYCHA IG is deeply important. We have also had conversations that have not yet been resolved with NYCHA about amending the MOU to give us a fixed percentage of money, so that we are not in a position of needing to go back to NYCHA each time a line opens.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Now, have you brought— how long has these paid disparities stay back. How long has it persisted?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: We have certainly been having conversations with NYCHA about the paid disparities for about two years, but I am certain that the disparities date farther back then that but our first conversations about two years ago is when we started having the conversations, but the disparities themselves assuredly date back further than that.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And what are NYCHA's reasons for rejecting your funding request?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: The reason is that we have gotten essentially are that NYCHA cannot afford any more money for Oversight.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Now there is no institution that's more financially distressed then the Health and Hospitals corporation. How well funded is the inspector general for the Health and Hospitals

Corporation compared to that for the NYCHA or the New York City Housing Authority?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure, the New York City
Health and Hospital's IG is very well funded. We
entered into an MOU with the Health and Hospitals
Corporation, excuse me, New York City Health and
Hospitals now that's its been rebranded. We entered
into a MOU about a year and a half ago, maybe two
years ago with H&H that has significantly more
funding and also significantly more autonomy then
does the MOU with NYCHA. We have requested that
NYCHA enter into a new MOU with us that is updated.
The one that we have now is about twenty years old.
We have requested that they enter into an updated MOU
with us that would essentially parallel the one with
H&H. To date that hasn't happened.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What is NYCHA's response to the request for a new MOU?

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER PETERS: To date, we've received a series of inquiries about details but no response either accepting or rejecting.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And regarding the funding request and the new MOU, have you brought you concerns to City Hall?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Certainly, our concerns are known at City Hall as well as at NYCHA about our funding concerns and I've certainly met with Deputy Mayor Alicia Glen about it.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And what has been the response from the Deputy Mayor?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: The Deputy Mayor's response was that NYCHA didn't have the money.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay, did you let her know that Health and Hospitals is also financially distressed?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, I do — I don't want to speak to the exact details of the conversation, but I can assure you that we have made quite clear to NYCHA and to City Hall — it seems to me likely that they are aware of the financial condition of Health and Hospitals.

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. A number of questions about the Preliminary Budget. I'm going to make an observation about DOI and please let me know if you disagree. But it seems to me under your leadership DOI has undergone both a quantitative and a qualitative transformation. When it comes to the former, there has been a dramatic expansion of head count and when it comes to the later, there seems to have been an equally dramatic expansion of mission that DOI is no longer strictly limiting itself to fighting corruption. It seems to have taken a much broader role of overseeing the operations of city Is that a fair characterization that DOI government. has emerged more as an oversight institution, not to the exclusion of its anticorruption role but an expansion of its mission. Is that a fair characterization?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, I think it is true that we have begun to look more systemically at problems. Whether — the only thing I'm hesitating about is the — is whether I'm comfortable saying that some of these things that we find are not corruption. If you define corruption narrowly as people take in bribes, then yes, I absolutely agree. But if you

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS view corruption more as the failure of government to follow the rules and to do what it is supposed to do and deliver the services it is supposed to deliver, under that more broad definition - I just want to be careful about the word corruption. Having said that, there is no doubt that one of the things we have done in the last four years is to take a look at whether there are broader systemic problems that result in failure to follow what we all agree are the rules to make sure that services are delivered. And I believe that that is an important role. I believe that although nobody was arrested as a result - nobody so to date has been arrested as a result of our report on lead paint inspections. I believe that it is an important role for DOI to play to point out to the public and to this council that lead paint inspections were not going on. That there was a public health hazard that false forms were filed. believe that the work we did at the beginning of 2017 on ACS to point out that at the time ACS did not have a functioning 27/7 ability to deal with child abuse, although again, there were no arrests made. I

24

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

believe that that is incredibly valuable work that

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS will protect children and is an essential part of DOI's mission and aught to be.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Now DOI's budget has two program areas. Agency operations and IG, Inspector general. When it comes to agency operations, DOI's budgeted head count has gone from 155 positions in FY 2013 to 320 positions in FY 2019. A 106% increase. When it comes to Inspector General's IG, DOI's budgeted head count has gone from 62 positions in FY 2013 to 75 positions in FY 2019. What accounts for the massive growth in agency operations but the modest growth in IG?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I think that— yeah, I think a big chunk of that is accounting rather than reality issue. Remember that the titles that OMB ascribes to people, do not often reflect what they are doing, so that for example, there are people doing investigative work who are not listed by OMB as investigators and similarly there are large numbers of people doing investigative work who are paid for by other entities. So, for example, there are 47 staff at the inspector general's office for NYCHA.

None of those show up in OMB documents. So, there has been a significant growth in the size of DOI and

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS while some of that growth assuredly has been in terms of central staff, because that is - frankly, we have a more robust IT staff now then we did before both because we need to protect against the dangers of hacking and also be cost increasingly our work requires sophisticated computer forensics. When we recently had Health and Hospitals arrested somebody on child pornography charges, we needed computer forensics to get around some of the walls this person had set up to hide the pornography that he was downloading. So that in fact a person who's doing computer forensics for us may not be listed as an inspector general but their doing forensics work. So, yes there has been a large increase. that in fact is people who are out in the field doing investigations.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Are most of your investigators within the program area of agency operations or within the program area of inspector general?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, it's a mixture of both. But again, these are — I think its important not to read too much into OMB classifications of positions. Data analyst for example may not be

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
listed as being part of an agency IG, but obviously
data analysts are full time reviewing bank records
and other bits of data to see where is — you know
where there are cases.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Why are the — you know NYCHA pays for all of its investigators in the office of the NYCHA IG. Why is the IG program area not fully funded by MOU's with other agencies? Why do you take a portion of the cost?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I'm not sure I fully —

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, the office of the NYCHA

IG based on what you conveyed to me pays for all of

NYCHA's investigate — all of the investigators out of

NYCHA's budget.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Is that a pattern that holds true across every agency?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: No, no. So, there are some — it's a little bit complicated and if I get too wonky and if I get too budget wonky, please stop me. So, there are some agencies that are technically not mayoral agencies. The New York City Housing Authority, The School Construction Authority, Health and Hospitals Corporation. Because those are not

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS technically mayoral agencies, we have with each of them an MOU, in which they agree to be bound by all the rules that cover mayoral agencies. For example, mayoral agencies, we don't subpoena them. We simply send them something called an EO 16 letter and they give us documents that we need. Non-mayoral agencies sign an MOU with us, in which they agree to bound by all of this and they agree to pay for X-number of Then additionally, DOI gets an allocation from the city of - that comes to about a little over 300 lines and then there are about 70 more lines that are technically DOI employees, but money is transferred to our budget from certain agencies. example, HRA we have an MOU with them under which they agree to essentially supplement the funding that we have already have allocated to that. The result comes to a staff count of about 700. In a better world, DOI would simply get 700 lines to use as appropriate. And the reason I say that is that priorities change and needs change. So, for example, right now the number of people working at the NYCHA IG's office is fixed by MOU. The number of people working at H&H IG's office is fixed by MOU. Some of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the people working at HRA, are fixed by MOU. As it

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

happens, these are all agencies that require the

staffing, but if a year from now we were to determine

that every bit — there was less of a need at one of

those places and a greater need at say, the

Administration for Children Services, we do not have

the ability to move lines around. Those lines are

sort of frozen in a historical pattern and so it does

restrict our ability to move resources around.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, if I understand correctly, when it comes to non-mayoral governing entities, whether it be public benefit corporations or public authorities, those entities fully fund to the extent that those entities have an MOU with DOI, fully fund their inspector generals. Is that —

COMMISSIONER PETERS: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But with city agencies, some of the investigators might be on DOI's payroll and some of them might be on the agency payroll. Is it a mix of the two with city agencies?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: It is a mix. With city agencies it is primarily, though not exclusively DOI. Payroll although in some instances money is transferred by that agency to DOI's budget to pay the cost, but there are in fact some city agencies for

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 example, the Department of Correction, there are DOC, 3 people who are technically DOC employees who work for DOI pursuant to a variety. There are a variety of 4 different MOU's. Honestly, a lot of it is historical. Something goes wrong at an agency, 6 7 everybody agrees for additional oversight beyond what we have is necessary, the agency and DOI enter into 8 an MOU in which the agency agrees to give us X head 9 count. Sometimes that's done by simply having the 10 agency give us the money and we hire. Sometimes 11 12 technically they remain that agencies employees. 13 all instances however, they report through our chain 14 of command. 15 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: When it comes to 16 investigators beyond the payroll of DOI, how dramatic has your head count expansion been? 17 18 COMMISSIONER PETERS: The head count expansion beyond investigators has not been huge. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Investigators on DOI's payroll. 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER PETERS: On DOI's payroll. 23 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Yes.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, the expansion - the

biggest expansion would be the H&H - you know two

24

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 years ago H&H was an independent IG and it had 3 nothing to do with DOI. We now have - we're budgeted for 75, so that's probably the largest expansion of 4 non-DOI numbers. We also as a result, as you may remember back in May of last year it developed that 6 7 part of the Department of Corrections own Internal Affairs Group was listening in on DOI phone calls. 8 The result of this was that part of DOC internal affairs was taken away from DOC and moved over to 10 11 DOI. So that's I believe it was 20 head count that 12 was removed from DOC and brought over to DOI. So, I 13 would say those are probably the two biggest 14 expansions of non-DOI head count are in those two 15 places.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Do you have a total number, or do you want to get back to me on -

COMMISSIONER PETERS: A total number of the head count now -

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: The head count expansion beyond the investigators on your payroll.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, it is - I can tell you that it is now 306 and if you want we will get back to you with the - you know, what it was three

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS years ago or four years ago etc. We will get you a year by year break down.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So DOI enters into MOU's with Public [inaudible 37:05] corporations, public authorities for the purpose of treating them as city agencies for the purpose of investigations.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Correct.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Why enter into MOU's with city agencies when DOI has inherent authority over them?

agencies have nothing to do with the authority. We already have it. They have solely to do with funding. So, for example, there is an MOU with HRA. It doesn't give us any authority over HRA.

Basically, it's an agreement with HRA. It is we believe 30 but we will get you the exact number. It doesn't have anything to do with our authority, it just says we are entitled to higher up to 30 additional staff to investigate benefits, fraud, at HRA and HRA will pick up the cost of those lines. So, the reason for that — I'm being informed by people who are a lot smarter than I am and know a lot more than I do. Uhm, the only way to get OTDA the state

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

agency to pay for this is to have it done through

this mechanism. Another words, in order to get OTDA

to reimburse part of the cost of these

2.2

investigations, it has to be done through this route.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Understood. Uhm, one more question about head count. DOI has a budgeted head count of 415 positions, but an actual head count of 363 positions. Uhm, from FY 2013 to FY 2017, DOI on average has had a budgeted head count of 287 positions but an actual head count of only 269 positions. There seems to be a persisting gap between the budgeted head count and the actual head count. Why is that?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. So, I believe that if you look at any city agency or for that matter, any large corp of any sort, you will see a gap between budget and actual because people leave and need to be replaced and there is a gap between them. For DOI, in many instances given the sensitive work we're doing, it can actually take longer than otherwise to find investigators. In fact, I will tell you when we initially took over the twenty positions from DOC although we were taking DOC people, the DOC people had to pass our background

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS screening which is more rigorous then DOC's and it has taken a long time. That is not fully staffed yet, or its almost fully staffed because a number of people who we would have taken, could not pass our background screening process. Leaving positions open. I believe that our vacancy rate is about 10%, and I'm told that the city-wide average is about 12. So, if anything, I think our vacancy rate is a little bit lower than the city's but that is really the function or the fact that when somebody that in an agency with roughly 700 people, some number of people leave at any given time. Also, many of those - of the vacancies of the whole 700, there are 93 vacancies but really 20 of those will be filled momentarily. In other words, we have candidates, you know, they are going through the background screening.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What if we were to just aggregate it? Are there squads or offices of inspector general where you've had particular challenges with recruitment and retention and vacancies?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. Uhm, as you eluded to in your testimony the fact that we pay on average,

there is — the fact that on average, there is a lower pay at NYCHA has certainly made it more difficult to recruit and we have in fact had some people leave the NYCHA IG for other parts of DOI. Squad one, that is the Rikers Island the jails, has been particularly troubling to get good people. Uhm, we are still H&H actually we have more vacancies there bluntly then I would like. Part of that is that a big chunk of what we need to hire there are forensic accountants and auditors and I can honestly say that hiring good forensic accountants and auditors is arguably the hardest type of investigative slot to fill.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Overtime. DOI's overtime expenditures have risen from \$212,000 in FY 2013 to \$1 million in FY 2019. What is driving the astronomical growth in overtime?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, so the growth of overtime and that is a growth over a number of years. It was in Fiscal Year 2017 it was \$926,000. In Fiscal Year 2018, we are on track for \$1.1 million. It has grown. Part of that is because all of DOI's work has grown. We are doing more work with more staff and lots of the work that we do in NYCHA, in DOC, require — if your doing cases involving large

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

scale drug operations, whether it's the Sheepshead

Nostrand case where we arrested 16 people for running

a large-scale drug operation out of that NYCHA

complex, or the Rikers work that we've done. That

stuff doesn't happen nine to five and so it requires

the more you do this kind of work and I think its

very valuable work. If we're going to keep places

safe requires more overtime. Although, to keep it in

perspective.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: We'll can I ask is this a transitional serge in overtime, because what I worry about is a trajectory that might be unsustainable right now. Is overtime expenditures gonna quadruple over the next four years again? Or do you believe this is a transitional serge?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I believe its transitional. I do not believe they are going to quadruple again. Uhm and for whatever its worth, just a matter of perspective, our overtime now is about 1.9% of our budget. I believe the NYPD's so it's a little under 2%. I believe the NYPD is about 13%. So, we are spending less on overtime then the NYPD.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I might be a low bar

commissioner, but I think the NYPD has a special

status in city government.

2.2

COMMISSIONER PETERS: As do we obviously. So, just to keep that into perspective, I don't believe that we are going to see a quadrupling again of it, but my other concern is that a chunk of our overtime is not reflected in the budget because it is paid for by forfeiture funds. The problem is forfeiture funds are not infinite. DOI did a case a number of years ago that brought in a huge amount of forfeiture funds more than we normally do. That money will run out and that money for overtime will run out. So, we are going to be increasingly dependent on the city's budget for overtime. But I think we're going to be reasonably stable over the next couple of years.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, DOI has a just a widely very complex function in city government. DOI conducts [inaudible 45:01] checks, background checks, you service the investigative arm for [inaudible 45:04], you investigate corruption. You oversee operations, so I'm going to have various questions about jurisdiction because not only do you have DOI as the centralized investigative force in city

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
government, but each agency might have its own
investigative unit and knowing the jurisdictional
differences between the two can be complicated. One
is what is the difference in jurisdiction between the
commission to combat police corruption and the NYPD
Inspector General?

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, the commission COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. to combat police corruption was created by an executive order a number of years ago and it essentially serves an advisory role with regard to the NYPD. They review a certain number of IB cases each year and then advise the police commissioner and an occasion— and I believe they issue an annual report on whether or not certain IAB functions. Meaning NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau has handled its work correctly. The Department of Investigations Inspector General for the NYPD, is the independent Inspector General for the NYPD charged with reviewing not merely IAB functions, although we do have jurisdiction over that, but over the entire NYPD to look at whether the NYPD A. Has engaged in illegal activity. B. Has engaged in activity that is in violation of its own regulations. C. Has engaged in "waste, fraud, or abuse," meaning the obviously

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS improper use of resources and D. Whether the NYPD has taken actions that negatively effect the civil rights of New Yorker's. It's a very broad — we have a very broad mandate.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Why have two distinct entities? Why not centralize them?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right now, there are I suppose four different entities that look at the There is IAB which is the Departments Internal Affairs Bureau and most agencies have some form of Internal Affairs Bureau. I think it is important for agencies to have Internal Affairs Bureau, I would note that A. This council, when it passed local law 70 obligated Internal Affairs to report to DOI on certain trends or other issues. And B. Under Executive Order 16, which has been in place for at least I think, thirty years, every city's Internal Affairs group has an obligation to stand down if DOI sends them written notice saying that we are investigating something. As a general rule, we tend not to send a lot of stand down requests because we think that additional investigations are important, but there have been times in other agencies where we have in fact said to an Internal Affairs group,

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

please stand down. We are going to do this, and we
don't want anybody else looking at it until we've had
a chance.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: You have the authority to review police misconduct, police operation.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Police operation. What is the difference or overlap, between CCRB and DOI?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: That's a great guestion. Uhm, and it is one that we have devoted considerable thought to over the last four years and one that to some extent experiences teaching us - has allowed us to evolve our thinking in. My thinking is different now then it was four years ago. CCRB has a large staff that investigates individual instances of police misconduct and they have a large staff. staff is actually larger than the DOI's IG staff. They do individual instances, misconduct, both smaller instances of misconduct and very serious instances of misconduct. It would be impossible for DOI to replicate that work absent essentially taking on the entire staff and mechanism of the CCRB. DOI does is we are empowered to look at both individual instances of misconduct, and systemic

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS problems. What we've tried to do, and we tried to do this with all of the agencies but especially so in the case of the police because there is ACCRB. Ιs rather than simply viewing individual cases in isolation, we have tried where there has been police misconduct, we have tried to look at it as a systemic In other words, to go beyond did officer X matter. you know, engage in misconduct on this date but is there a broader problem that goes beyond what officer X did. And I think that what you've seen in a lot of the reports that we've issued and what you will see in some future work that will be coming out of that Inspector General's office during the course of this year is an ability to look more broadly. So, for example, not just did the NYPD improperly surveil a particular political organization on a particular date, but a detailed review of whether the NYPD was improperly surveilling political and religious groups, and did they have the infrastructure in place to make sure that they didn't do so in the future. And what we found was that in fact, there was improper surveillance going on and improper checks on surveillance. And that was the kind of thing that

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

could only be done by DOI, because it has to be done

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

by an entity that is independent from the police

department, but that is also a law enforcement agency

and therefore can have access to highly confidential

documents.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: You and I had — I just want to challenge. I do think action in individual cases could effect systemic change. Right, if individual officers are held accountable for misconduct, and what role can DOI play in holding officers accountable for misconduct? And later on, I'm going to ask you about some of the exposes that we've seen in the New York Times.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. So, I agree with you that individual cases can be a vehicle for dealing with systemic conduct.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Especially in matters of policing.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I agree with you and I agree with you in especially matters of policing and I want to be very careful here, because as you know we do not ever speak about ongoing investigations or even acknowledge the existence of ongoing investigations and so with that very clear caveat, I agree with you completely about the importance of

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 doing individual cases including individual - I agree 3 with you as a general matter on the importance of doing individual cases including individual criminal 4 cases as a way of dealing with systemic problems. 5 6 agree with you on that as principal. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What about practice? COMMISSIONER PETERS: And I am committed to - I 8 9 believe we have put that into practice at many agencies. We are committed to that principal. 10 Putting that principal into practice at the NYPD as 11 12 well but beyond that I'm not going to discuss it. 13 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I'm going to pressure on 14 this commissioner. The Inspector General has been in 15 place for how many years? Two or three? 16 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Four. 17 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Four years. Have you 18 brought any cases against individual officers for misconduct or malfeasance? 19 20 COMMISSIONER PETERS: We have not brought cases - that Inspector General's office has not brought 21 individual cases to date. 2.2 23 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And why is that? 24 COMMISSIONER PETERS: To date, the

25

investigations that we have done have been looking at

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

broader systemic issues that have not presented

themselves for individual prosecutions. Uhm, I

believe well, I believed that there were other

vehicles for effectively handling this. We are

reconsidering whether there are alternative effective

vehicles for handling individual prosecutions and as

a result of that reconsideration, we are rethinking

how we are handling certain investigations. That is

a rethinking process that I and senior staff are

going through. If your questions is, why did it take

us four years to rethink it, because none of us are

perfect.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Fair enough. I appreciate the admission of — okay, so your shifting toward a focus on individual cases, it sounds?

and an influence on individual cases. We've arrested 726 people last year including you know to give you a fairly — two fairly recent examples, we arrested 17 asbestos inspectors for falsifying asbestos safety reports expressly as a way to demonstrate the need for wholesale change in the way we do asbestos inspections in New York City. We've arrested multiple general contractors for violating DOB

regulations that resulted in people getting killed and brought manslaughter charges expressly as a way of demonstrating there needs to be a change in the way safety is done. We are rethinking — we are always rethinking how we do all of our investigations, but certainly, we are rethinking how police investigations need to be done.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I want to press on this because DOI will often tell the number of arrests, the number of investigations and you show no trepidation about arresting the Loveland actors and other agencies. Why the trepidation with the NYPD?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I would quibble with the phrase trepidation. Uhm, $-\$

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But you do treat the NYPD differently then you do other agencies when it comes to the anti-corruption in the law enforcement function of DOI's. Is that a fair observation? It seems like your role in relation to the NYPD is oversight, but is that —

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I-I don't know - as I said, I don't know that I would agree with the word trepidation. I think if you look at some of the reports we've issued via the NYPD and the response

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

that those reports have engendered from the NYPD, I

don't believe they would feel as though we have

treated them with kid gloves or with trepidation.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But those are oversight reports. So, earlier in our conversation, you said you had an expansive conception of corruption. Is excessive force, is police brutality, do those fall within the meaning of corruption as you understand it.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What about Test a Lying?

This phenomenon that the New York times has

chronicled. Does that fall within the meaning of —

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, why not investigate individual cases of test of lying, excessive force, police brutality?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: So, in 2015 we issued a repot which among other things found we reviewed I believe it was 107, if I'm off by one or two, forgive me. Uhm, instances of excessive force that were presented — I want to make sure I'm getting these numbers exactly right. If I get the numbers off by even a little bit, somebody will correct me. In

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS which excessive force was substantiated by the CCRB and in roughly a third of the - we found that in 36% of instances where we independently verified that the CCRB was correct in terms of excessive force and presented evidence of excessive force to the police commissioner. The police commissioner nonetheless, declined to discipline the officer. We wrote that report in 2015 and we wrote it as the beginning of a review of excessive force and that is an attempt to say this is an issue that needs to be taking more seriously. Since then as you know, we issued a follow up report on the recording of excessive force which concluded that to this day, the NYPD is under reporting the use of force. I don't which to go into present investigations except to say, that we take, I take extremely seriously excessive force. I take extremely seriously false statement. I will also say that turning such cases into criminal cases as opposed to civil CCRB matters is remarkably difficult in a lot of different ways and requires a huge amount of work both from us and from the relevant DA's, but it is absolutely an issue. It is an issue for which we have jurisdiction and its one that we're concerned

45

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

about.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I know, and I know its incredibly complex. My only concern is that there have been zero cases and I think we all recognize that there is a small subset of officers who drive a disproportionate a share of CCRB complaints, law suits, police brutality, but its one thing to have a report on those subsets of officers. It is something else to actually hold them accountable and I want the city to be in the business of actually holding the worst actors in the NYPD accountable for driving a disproportion or share of excessive force or test of lying or whatever problems have been identified.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I agree with you. I agree with you although I would also point out that part of the reason that we write these reports and there will be more of them in the coming year. Part of the reason for writing the reports is so that the public, so that the council, so that the Mayor, so the Police Commissioner, so that everybody is aware of the this. The first thing that needs to happen is if the NYPD, and as I said, these numbers are now several years out of date. If the NYPD fails to discipline some large percentage of officers where they are given

2.1

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS incontrovertible proof of excessive force. That is a real problem and it is one that requires examination.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But it seems to me you have more.

I do not have the power to discipline officers. I actually don't tackle with the power to indict officers. The DA has to do that. I do have the power to arrest police officers. Uhm, although I would not — to be honest I would not arrest an officer without knowing that a DA was going to prosecute them. That would strike me as an abuse of my powers. In order to do that, you need to work with the DA, but I also would suggest that some of these are questions that ought to be posed of he city and of NYPD and part of the power of DOI is to point out where the disciplinary process is broken down.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But there is often denial.

I mean its often the case that the NYPD will reject the recommendations of both CCRB and the NYPD

Inspector General. The difference between DOI and CCRB is that DOI can actually take action against individual officers.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMISSIONER PETERS: That is true, and it is something that we are keenly aware of and I acknowledge that to date the work we have done, [inaudible 1:01:12], the NYPD and the problems we have seen have not to date resulted in arrests.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And a few more jurisdictional questions.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What is the difference in jurisdiction between the Special Commissioner of Investigation and the Office of Special Investigations at the DOE?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Oh, so the Office of

Special Investigations is DOE's internal — it is the
equivalent of IAB for the NYPD and ID for DOC.

That's their internal folks. Generally, when they
get — and when they generally get complaints, they
send them to us. Some small number we will deal with
because they are serious enough and most of them we
will send back to them to handle because they are
clearly just disciplinary matters. The Special
[inaudible 1:01:56] for Investigation, also known as
the Inspector General for the Department of Education
is the Inspector General reporting to me part of DOI.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

Its called Squad 11 internally. That is the DOI

Inspector General who does investigations, recommends

discipline, etc. It's the difference between IAB and

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Oh, straight forward. Yeah.

There was a New York times article recently about a portrayed to dispute you and the DOE regarding the special commissioner of investigations.

I was not clear on the nature of that dispute. Can you -

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Well neither was I. Uhm to be honest, neither was I. So, very honestly 1. The most important thing to note is the mission of he Inspector General's office hasn't changed. The Inspector General has always reported to DOI and continues to and most importantly will continue to be independent of the Department of Education. I will tell you that we have made some managerial as I eluded to you in my testimony, we've made some managerial and structural changes to better integrate — for a variety of reasons we have made managerial and structural changes to both the NYPD IG and the Department of Education IG to bring them within fully integrated within DOI so that they can and will be

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS doing the same kinds of work that all of DOI does. Which also goes back to your question about, have we been treating the NYPD differently. We are now fully integrating that function within DOI. something we've done fairly recently. I will tell you that at no time while the New York Times reported that there was a conflict. At no time has anyone from the Department of Education contacted me or any one on my staff to object to anything we're doing. So, I'm not quite sure where the controversy is either. DOE certainly hasn't objected to us and what we are doing is simply making sure that those two squads, the police and DOE, are fully integrated within DOI and handle cases in the same consistent way as the rest of DOI. I think that that is important, and I think that although both of those squads have done enormous good work, and I think you will see in the fairly near future, a further display of that. This will allow them to do even more good work including as it relates to some of the things we've discussed previously.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, I want to see if I understand the changes that are at work. You're

25

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS renaming the DOE Special Commissioner Investigation, the Inspector General for the DOE?

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Well, by law they are technically — will always be technically called the Special Commissioner for Investigation. They are also called the Inspector General for DOE. That strikes me as a bit of nomenclature. I tend to refer to it as the IG because it is important that we have consistent work across the line. As a matter of law, they still have a separate additional title.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And instead of the NYPD IG, and the DOE IG reporting directly to you, to whom will those — ultimately, they will report to you but who is the immediate supervisor?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right, everybody ultimately reports to me. Each of those — the way that the Department of Investigations is structured. Every Inspector General reports to an associate commissioner. The associate commissioners are people with tremendous experience in law, with 20, 30 years of law enforcement in many cases. There are three associate commissioners. All of the IG's report to one of those associate commissioners. The associate commissioners in turn report to Susan Lambiase who is

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

my Deputy Commissioner for Investigations whose also
had an extremely long career in law enforcement

starting out at the Brooklyn DA's office. They report
to my first deputy who is in charge of running the
office on a day to day basis who reports to me. Uhm,
and his will in fact allow me to be more involved in
both of these IG's offices because rather then having
to deal — carve out time for day to day work, it
allows me through the staff and we have I believe, I
and my first deputy and my deputy commissioner and my
associate commissioners have developed what I believe
has been an extraordinarily effective model for
handling cases, and this will allow us to leverage
all of that experience.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I have many more questions commissioner, but I'm going to actually allow my colleague Keith Powers to ask a few questions.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Thank you.

Good to see you. Thank you for that testimony and its never easy to be on the microphone with Ritchie Torres. So, I commend you on that. I know the conversation at the beginning started about ways to ensure that DOI is more independent and I commend Council Member Torres for some ideas about how to

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 ensure the independence whether its going through and independent budget or through consent of the City 3 Council. The other thought that one might have is -4 5 well, let me take a step back. The process as it 6 currently stands for your appointment if I recall was 7 to be nominated by the Mayor and then be with the consent of the City Council, is that correct? 8 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes. I was nominated by 9 the Mayor and then confirmed by the Council. 10 11 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Great and you 12 currently serve until further notice without any 13 fixed term, or there is no year cap on your job. Is 14 that correct? 15 COMMISSIONER PETERS: You're stuck with me for a 16 good long while. 17 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Well, I think 18 you're doing a good job, so I'm okay with being stuck with you. 19 20 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Well thank you. CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: So, but 2.1 2.2 another idea would be on terms of independence is to 23 create a fixed term that lasts beyond any particular administration or city council member or otherwise. 24

Any thoughts on something like that?

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I'm not sure. T mean obviously there are instances. You know, the most notable instance is the FBI, you know the FBI directors term is ten years for several reasons. One is by definition extends beyond anyone in administrations. Second of all very bluntly, I think it probably takes roughly that much time to do a good I'd like to believe I've done a good job in my first four years here. I believe I have but I am acutely aware even if I'm not going to list right now all of the things that I have not yet done and all the changes that have not been made. Not because we're not - everybody on my staff working practically 24 hours a day but because change takes time. Investigations take time. I mean I understand that the big investigations that people talk about coming out of DOI are usually sixteen to eighteen month long investigations from the time they start and in many instances where there's a troubled agency, it can be two years after we decide that there is real trouble at an agency before we're turning out the kind of work that can do that.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: And presumably you inherited some case work that a predecessor had,

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS and you will at some point and time handle office work too because of the multiyear process.

2.1

2.2

I should say, I inherited, I'm very, very, lucky. I inherited from my predecessor a remarkable staff. I inherited, although we've added a lot to that staff, I inherited a remarkably talented staff and a remarkable legacy of work which has made everything that we've done in the last four years possible. We didn't have to start from scratch in a lot of places and that's made it possible. So, I owe a huge debt of gratitude to my predecessor and I feel therefore an obligation that whatever time it is that I'm done with this to hand over an agency in even better shape to my successor but as I said, I think you're stuck with me for awhile and I'm hopefully not going anywhere.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Yeah and my point being that in particularly with the Department of Investigations more than any other agency I can think of having I think sharing council with Torres's statement about independent budget or other ways to ensure that you're not subject to the political moment, whether its at the council or the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS administration. It's important to me not only as a council member but also as tax payer to ensure that we have an independent oversight body in the city. Uhm, I want to move to DOC and the Department of Corrections and your annuity with the Department of NYPD that the DOI's investing - I know you guys were just discussing it, investing the larger systemic issues rather than the individual employees or individual cases. Is that the same with the DOC? COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, its been different with DOC which has followed a bit more of a traditional DOI model to date. Uhm, and as I spoke to you before, we are now bringing everything within one entity. So, we have arrested since we started our sort of large scale look at DOC, we've arrested I think about 80 people including 23 correction officers for contraband, smuggling, for sexual assault, for violence. In addition to all of those arrests, we've issued a number of reports dealing with the failure to properly staff and hire, and screen DOC employees. The failure to properly set up check points to prevent contraband smuggling. All of

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

that work - and all of that work is continuing.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: And on the contraband issue, you had a report just a few weeks ago really about continued failures at two complexes. The Manhattan Detention, Brooklyn Detention Complex. Presumably, have you looked at the other ones as Have you done pass actions on it? It seems like its both an individual failure and a systemic failure to continue to keep people secure particularly, we're talking about women employees. In this case, we're able to bring in contraband at that two facilities. Any status on - it was only a few weeks ago, but any update on the status of the recomm - the DOC agreed to your recommendations. you have any updates on status, timeline, and if not yet implemented when we might - and there was four recommendations when those would be [inaudible 1:12:40].

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. That's a great question. Uhm and it grows to a broader point which is we issue reports and make recommendations and frequently there not always — they get accepted. But the bigger issue is not, does somebody accept the recommendations, but do they actually implement them? And one of the things that we plan to do over the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS course of this year is a much harder look at not merely whether recommendations are accepted, but whether they are implemented, and our plan is that by the end of this year we will be able to post publicly for every city agency. All of the recommendations, and not only whether they were accepted but whether they were actually implemented. So, that citizens, New Yorkers, and frankly this council, will be able to actually go and see not only did they say they would do it, but have they done it. And one of the real issues that we've had at DOC is that while they've agreed to many of our recommendations, they agreed to many of the recommendations that we made two years ago about contraband smuggling and yet, what this report demonstrated was that even though they'd agreed to these recommendations, they weren't actually implemented because if they had been, we wouldn't of been able to smuggle in scalpel blade and marijuana and suboxone into all of these facilities. It was clear if you you'd followed our recommendation from two years ago, we couldn't have smuggled the stuff in. We smuggled the stuff in. It was clear they're not following them. So, a lot of what our

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

recommendations from the most recent report, we're

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS really just saying look, the stuff we told you about two, now three years ago, we meant it. important. Do it. Uhm, they have now committed to doing it. We will go back again, uhm I imagine it will take a number of months for them to implement this, but we will go back again and if they've implemented it, then the next time we try to smuggle scalpels and suboxone into the facilities, our guys will get stopped. And if the they haven't implemented it, I will be back to this council and to this committee to say, despite all their statements, nothings happened. Uhm, similarly, we made a huge number of recommendations to DOC about how they have to change their hiring practices. We are now in the process of examining whether or not they have accepted those recommendations and when we conclude that investigation, we will issue a report and I will be back to this committee if you're not tired of me by then. Uhm, to comment on whether or not they actually followed up.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: And are there penalties for in a case of the recent report, it seems like it was failure both to adopt your recommendations, but then behave — I mean is there

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

systematic problems with security and then there is
individual behavior where people don't uh, a metal
detector goes off and somebody then ignores it. Are
there penalties for the folks in any report, or any
investigation that fail to actually meet their job
requirements? And I'm not calling for that, I'm just
asking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right. No, no, it's a great question. So, obviously where people are engaged in illegal conduct for example, the report went along with to go back to Chair Torres's observation, which I completely agree with. is often times necessary to do individual arrests to highlight a problem. That report accompanied the arrest of several officers who had in fact - you know several officers were part of a network of contraband smuggling and the report followed with the arrest because the arrest was a vivid demonstration of the broader problem. The report then demonstrated the broader problem. Where people simply are not following the rules in the sense of waving people through who shouldn't be, that's not criminal conduct. In some of those instances, we will make referrals to the agency recommending discipline.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

Sometimes we will and sometimes we won't and that's a judgement call based on a wide variety of factors, but certainly when we do these investiga— when we do investigations and find people not doing their jobs in this way, we often make a disciplinary referral

7 separate and apart from any criminal referral.

2.1

2.2

Something that's more internal than in the criminal in terms of how to be punitive. And there was a recent report from Department of Corrections about sexual abuse. We noted a large increase in both allegations and I think findings, and a huge back log in terms of investigations. It would almost strike you as an almost a crisis of behavior. And I'm not pointing the finger at any particular person or entity responsible to it, its allegations come from — based on a lot of reasons but what are your recent findings or at least in terms of sexual abuse within our Director of Department of Corrections facilities?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right. So, this is a huge — it is in fact a real problem. Sixteen staff have now been modified as a result of DOI investigations.

Meaning they are no longer allowed contact with inmates as a result of our investigations stemming

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS into sexual assault at Rikers and at other facilities. I think its important to say Rikers and other facilities because sometimes people lose track of the fact that there's Brooklyn House and there's Manhattan, and all of the problems that exist. me be very clear about this. All of the problems that exist on Rikers, exist equally if not more so at the localized borough facilities. I think that's an important fact not to lose track of especially in the debate about closing Rikers. So, we have done and are doing a number of investigations in sexual assault at the city jails. We have arrested - we have already made some arrests in this regard. have arranged for sixteen staff to be modified. These are remarkably hard cases to do criminally for a variety of reasons. Nonetheless, we have made some arrests. We have arranged for an even larger number; sixteen modifications and I think that that work is going to be continuing for some time. It is a genuine problem. Uhm, bluntly we would do more investigations if we had more staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Got it and you did mention that you had difficulty staffing for the DOC and Rikers and other and as you know, a very

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS important point, there is more than Rikers Island in terms of what's under the jurisdiction of DOC. Can you give us more reasons why or information on terms of your challenges and difficulties staffing that? COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. I mean there have several. One is as I said, last year what it developed that DOC's and Internal Affairs division had been essentially ease dropping on - improperly ease dropping on DOI phone calls a part of DOC's internal affairs group. One of the parts that listens to - that monitors phone calls which is an enormously time - monitoring phone calls is an enormously time-consuming process that bluntly for a variety of reasons that I'd rather not go into in a public setting, cannot be made more efficient with computers. It is a huge time-consuming process. About twenty people were supposed to be sent over. Uhm, those people had to pass because although they would be technically DOC employees, they would be working for DOI. They would have access to DOI records. They would be in DOI facilities. They had

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to pass our background screening process and the

number of people who got through the interview

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 process and then couldn't get through the background screening process, was significant. 3 4 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: It was twenty 5 that were supposed to come over? 6 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Twenty were supposed to 7 come over. Not all of them were supposed to be DOC I think it was twelve DOC staff, four DOC 8 captains, and four civilian staff. Somebody is going 9 to check the exact numbers. 10 11 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: And they were 12 current employees that were supposed to be sent over 13 and become DOI -14 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Correct. The four 15 civilians were gonna just be hired by us. The other 16 sixteen - did I just do the math right? Yes, I did. 17 The other sixteen uhm, and somebody is checking 18 those. I'm sorry, there's two captains, not four captains. Twelve staff, two captains, four civilian 19 20 analysts, there is two more, somebody will find out what those other two positions were. 2.1 2.2 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: And so, they 23 were DOC employees that were then going to be

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Correct.

transferred over -

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

investigation?

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: So, are you concerned — I'll share, I might be but are you concerned that there are employees at DOC who are doing work that could not pass your background

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I am uhm as I've said, and I don't mean to sound like a broken record on this. We obviously do not discuss ongoing investigations, but I will tell you that we are in the process of finish— of our review of whether — we issued a report two years ago about DOC staffing. In other words, what we found was that in something like a third of all hires from one class, there were red flags in the hiring. Meaning the people who'd been hired either had known gang affiliations, they had prior felonies on their records, or some other you know, indicator that they clearly shouldn't be a DOC employee but got hired anyway. Uhm, we are - we will be issuing a report this year, probably the first half of this year as to whether or not DOC made the changes we recommended and whether there still remaining, these kinds of red flags in the hiring. As I said, we do not discuss the contents of our investigations until they're completed but at the point at which that

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
report is completed, I'd be happy if this committee
wants to come back and answer more detailed questions
on that subject.

2.1

2.2

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Yeah, I'm concerned I mean I think if not I'm the Criminal Justice Chair, we can have you as well but you know, I think we would all share some concern that there are employees who can't pass and I'm sure you have a high standard but again, can't pass a background.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I share your concern.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Thank you.

Just and I'll let my colleagues, you have a back log in terms of background checks?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yeap.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Six thousand something — I don't know what the number is but over six thousand. So, on a similar note, does that mean that we have folks who are working [inaudible 1:23:34] and who have accepted jobs or working that have not yet been received a background investigation?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: And its maybe not six thousand, but its in the thousands I assume.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMISSIONER PETERS: It is. I mean just, and I just want to be careful that we don't set off — it is a concern, but I don't want us all to panic.

Obviously, there are certain jobs that are particularly sensitive or particularly senior where we will get a call from an agency saying we plan to hire this person for this very sensitive position.

Can you please make sure it gets done before they start? And those will be kicked to the top of the pile. In deed one of the reason that you'll find that some number ten to linger is applications periodically for the most sensitive things jump the line. Which is appropriate. I'm not — I'm not criticizing on that.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right and I don't criticize agencies for periodically calling and saying this is a particularly sensitive position. Could you kick this to the top of the line, but yes there are a reasonable number of people who are working whose backgrounds have not been completed. That is true and every now and again something bad happens and we're reminded of it and it is something that concerns me.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Does that
include teachers?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Teachers go through a different background and process that's handled by the Board of Educations. So, we do not — we do not do teacher backgrounding.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Got it and is there any sort of sense of time line by which — you're going to be getting more obviously because we hire people all the time, but if you had no new hires, what's the expected timeline that you think that you would actually achieve getting through 65,000?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Oh, if we do not have new hires that number will go up because obviously at some point we'll get through those 6,000 but more are coming in -

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: When would your expected timeline be to get through the existing back log?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Oh, in other words if the city never hired another person and I never had to do another background other than the ones we presently

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 have, which we understand is not - hang on, if you give me one sec and I'll tell you exactly how many. 3 4 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: I mean you had 2,700 last year, that you closed. 5 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Okay, so if we closed 2,700 6 7 a year so three well, two and half years. Two to three years if we at current staffing levels, it 8 would be two plus years. 9 10 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Right. COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right, whatever 2,700 11 12 divided by 6000, more math then I can do in my head, 13 but if you want I'll -14 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Its close to 15 three years. 16 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Close to three years. Ιt 17 would take that long to get everything done. 18 Obviously, one is as I said, a certain number of things will - obviously new things are coming in a. 19 20 some of those will jump the line as they should but b. the number will in fact go up because more are 2.1 2.2 coming in then are getting done. It tends to become 23 more acute every four years and especially every eight years where there's a new administration 24

because there tends to be an even greater inflex at

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

the senior levels and those sometimes take longer to

do.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER KEITH POWERS: Got it thank you. Thank you and I'll hand if off from there.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Thanks.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I'm going to ask a few questions before turning it over to Council Member Yeger who has joined us. I notice you said that the localized borough-based jails.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Hmm hmm.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Are as dangerous if not more so then Rikers Island.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I want to tread carefully because I know you're in the business of evaluating compliance and policy rather than making policy judgements, but I took that to mean some skepticism about the plan to close Rikers Island and replace them borough-based jails. Is there concern that those borough-based jails can be just miniaturization of Rikers Island or?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right. So, let me be very, very, clear. Unlike all of you, I'm not an elected official and so not in the business of setting city

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS policy and priorities. The Mayor has declared that Rikers should be closed. This Council has declared that Rikers should be closed and the Department of Investigation will do everything necessary to make that process work as well as possible and so I am wanting to be very clear that I'm not taking any position on the closure of Rikers. Having said that, it is clear that the localized borough facilities that exist now which are the ones that people are talking about using, have all of the same problems as Riker's. Have all of the same issues of violence of contraband smuggling and in fact we documented this to some extent in the most report we issued. that if Rikers is closed, we're closing Rikers and moving the population of Rikers to localized facilities. In that of itself will not eliminate the violence, or the contraband smuggling or the other issues that we are talking about at Rikers. whether closing Rikers has other virtues is a question for the Mayor and for the Council and not for me. Whether closing Rikers could in some way help reduce violence, is a questions for jails, professionals and not me but what I can say as a matter of fact, is that the simple closing of Rikers

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS and moving to localized facilities in it of itself what we now know is that does not have any impact on violence, contraband smuggling and the related problems.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: So, the notion that boroughbased facilities are inherently safer than Rikers is not one out by the facts as you understand them.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: That is absolutely correct, ves.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: A few more questions about it. DOI has the authority to investigate city employees or those who do business with the city, contract with the city. Those who receive benefits from the city.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: What about those who lease land from the city would that fall within -?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes, absolutely. People who lease land — several things. People who lease land from the city are absolutely within our jurisdiction and as you know, we have done investigations about city leases and things like that. Additionally, people in the real estate industry who are regulated by DOB in terms of

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

construction safety are very much within our

jurisdiction as you know in the last two years we

brought three manslaughter cases against general

contractors who failed to follow DOB regulations and

got workers killed. And that is part of a larger

work that we are doing with all five DA's to try to

use criminal penalties to basically clean up and make

safe for the construction industry.

2.1

2.2

understand one of the entities leasing land from New York City is the MTA. As I understand we technically own — even though the MTA is a — New York City [inaudible 1:30:55] authority is a creature of state law, apparently New York City technically owns the infrastructure on which it operates or at least the subways. Could the city's ownership interest in the infrastructure of the MTA? Sort of the basis for establishing an Inspector General?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I want to be really careful how I answer this for several reasons. Uhm, as a technical matter, probably. However, to caveats here both of which are extremely important. 1. As you know by state law there is an inspector general of the MTA. State law mandates and there is an

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS independent Inspector General's office at the MTA. By state law the MTA Inspector General is appointed by the Governor. In order for DOI to do that work, it would be - to do it in something other than for show which I don't believe engaging in this work for You know in order to do that we would a. be replicating the work of the state created entity. don't know what the staffing is there, but I would quess, and we can get back to you on it but would guess they got about a 100 staff. For us to do this work either wholesale on our own or you know, as has been done before through an MOU in which the MTA agreed that their IG would then report through DOI, would require the hiring of 100 people, it would require a massive commitment of time from the senior central staff at DOI. Uhm, if this council or the Mayor were to direct us to do so, we cer - you know, you folks are elected, and we are not, and we would do it, but I think it would be a massive undertaking that would require an influx of resources vastly

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Now the state wide — the existing IG for he MTA has a state-wide focus and

25

24

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

greater than anyone would ever see.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS reports to presumably the state legislature, the Governor.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: There's a debate about whether the city should invest resources in the MTA. Alright, we're debating whether we should invest in the MTA action plan.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Uhm I imagine that if the city does decide to invest resources there is going to be a call for greater accountability on how city dollars are spent and there is no IG that reports to the council or the Mayor, or the city at large. So, that's why I'm putting the idea — that's the context of which I'm asking the question.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I am absolutely sympathetic to that point. I mean I'm obviously not going to get — I have no opinion on how the city should fund the MTA or whether the city should fund the MTA, that is so far beyond my swim lane that I can barely see that part of the pool.

23 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And that's not my question.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right but the answer is I am entirely sympathetic to the idea that the city has

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS no effective oversight of the MTA the way it does with every other thing the city funds. What I would cautions and if the council and the Mayor wanted that oversight, DOI would obviously be the place to provide it because we have the infrastructure, but I would want to caution that before we walk down that road, in order to do it in a meaningful, I mean, I could assign one person to think about it, but in order to do it in a meaningful way in which I could come before this council once a year and say, we are doing our job, would essentially require taking the part of the present MTA IG, or a big chunk of it and moving it over to the city. Or a massive influx of resources and honestly a massive use of time at the top of DOI, meaning I mean you can see my deputy commissioner for investigations turning slightly green at the thought of this. It would be a massive,

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: It could be a prohibitive undertaking for all I know.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: And I would certainly want considerable time to think about it and talk with my staff about it before I spoke about it beyond that.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

massive -

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: These are purely academic questions but one more academic question. Uhm, given 3 the city's ownership interest in the subway system, 4 do you think that DOI has the authority to oversee the MTA in the absence of an MOU, or would it require 6 an MOU as a legal matter? COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, DOI I believe, and I 8 would really like the opportunity to sit with my 9 general council before. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Sure. 12 COMMISSIONER PETERS: And I'm happy to have - I 13 believe the answer is yes, that we have that 14 authority, but I would actually like the ability to 15 sit with my general council and write you a follow up letter if that's okay? 16 17 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: On your terms, absolutely. 18 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes. I am happy to get back to you about that. I'd like a chance to 19 20 actually discuss that with general council staff, meaning the lawyers at DOI, so that I don't say 21 2.2 something that demonstrates why I stopped being a 23 lawyer a couple years ago.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Fair enough commissioner.

Council Member Yeger.

24

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thanks Chairmen. 3 Good morning commissioner. 4 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Good morning. CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I stopped being a 5 lawyer on December 31st. Well, I guess once a 6 7 lawyer, always a lawyer right. COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right. No, no, no, you'd 8 be surprised. Do you feel better? 9 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I call myself a 10 recovering lawyer. Uhm, I apologize for my 11 12 tardiness, I was at a hearing across the street, so 13 if I ask you something that was previously covered, just say previously covered and go watch the tape and 14 15 I will be happy with that. I won't be insulted. 16 Uhm, in your testimony you indicated that a 17 background check unit closed 2,782 investigations in 18 2017. Your performance indicators indicate that there is a 300-day average time to complete a 19 20 background investigation. That's what your target is, that's what you're hoping for. You want more 21 2.2 staff to close that number. If an employee or a 23 punitive a perspective employee is required to

24

undergo a background check as a condition of

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS employment. Do they actually start the job prior to having the employment check?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes.

2.2

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so they could be on the job prior to then what happens you know, 300 days later you come back and say this guy should not be hired.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, well by the way just to be clear. We never say to an agency, you should or should not hire the person.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right, just string the indicators.

agency, we have done the background check and we have either developed no adverse information or we've developed the following adverse information and also understand that adverse information is a really broad, I mean, we will literally say this person has X number of unpaid parking tickets. Often commissioners will decide — will basically say to the employee go pay the parking tickets, I still want the person, and I'm just going to tell them to pay the parking tickets. So, we don't say hire, don't hire, we say, here's the adverse information. If somebody

is working there and we send the commissioner a letter after they've started that says, here's the adverse information, the commissioner then has to decide, given the adverse information, given what I now know about this person's performance, do I want to fire them, or do I want to allow them to continue. In much the same way that if we send adverse information before somebody is hired, the commissioner or relevant hiring person then needs to decide uhm, do I still want to hire them.

the with the very specific mandate that you have, you as I've heard your testimony before. You tend to shy away from the broad policy statements because you've said that's not really your thing and you give the facts and you know, you let everybody else do the policy stuff. Uhm, but would you feel comfortable with a process or even a statute or a regulation within the city of New York that if a particular job is subject to background investigation, by your agency that that position can't be filled until the investigation is complete?

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I think that that would present real logistical problems for this reason. Uhm, there is a huge backlog in doing background investigations. You can only do so many ba- an investigator can only do so many background investigations per year. I mean, their time and space being finite. There is a huge backlog, I think that it would cripple the ability of many agencies to do hiring. Now, what I will tell you is that generally where an agency is hiring somebody in a particularly sensitive or important or high-profile position, they will often call us and say, we want to hire so and so, it's a particularly sensitive position, can you kick this to the top of the pile because we really want it done before we hire them. And as a general rule, we will accommodate that and part of the reason for the backlog and for somethings taking as long as they do is the number of things that jump the line. Ultimately, as I said, I don't opine on policy and that would be up to this council and the Mayor. I think that you would find that it could have a crippling effect on the city's ability to hire a workforce.

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, do you do 3 background checks on employees of the council. member staff, but central staff? 4 COMMISSIONER PETERS: No, we do not. 6 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Not at all? 7 COMMISSIONER PETERS: No, we only do it for Mayoral agencies. 8 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Alright, uhm God 9 10 bless us, this council is about to hire 125 people. 11 Not with standing my no vote on the council's budget 12 last week to the tune of approximately \$15 million. 13 Good people like that. Uhm, would you support a law 14 in the city that would require that this council's 15 employees with the same definitions as those of 16 Mayoral agencies that require DOI background checks 17 also be subject to background checks? 18 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Respectfully I would leave to the city council to decide the requirements for 19 their own hiring. I don't believe its my place to 20 2.1 opine on that. 2.2 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: More mine, I think. 23 You spoke a little bit about Rikers and the closing and the outer borough facilities which I think as you 24

indicated, and very rightfully, there often

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS unmentioned in the discussion about Rikers, because its close Rikers, close Rikers, close Rikers and then what? Let's build these borough facilities and then And the then what, is where you come in and say, folks listen, the same problems you have at Rikers, except for the part about being on an Island, you have at every borough facility. Uhm, do you believe that the city is ready to simply build these borough facilities right now with the management of DOC the way it is with the indicators that you're finding. With the repeated problems that you know, you're addressing. You're pointing out the issues not being addressed. Do you believe that the city is ready to just start building these out of borough facilities?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Well, as I said, whether or not Rikers should be closed is the decision to be made by the Mayor and by this Council and not for me. Uhm, and we at DOI and let me be very clear, we will be absolutely supportive of whatever decision is made and whatever timeline is made, and we will do everything we need to do to help make that a success. I think it is clear from the most recent report we issued, that the problems that exist at Rikers, also

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS exist equally at the localized borough facilities and so that the mere act of building a series of facilities and moving the present population both of inmates and of correction officers to those facilities, will result in seeing all of the problems on Rikers spread out throughout these other facilities. So that if Rikers is going to be closed and if that closer is going to in fact solve the problems we're seeing, something beyond merely the construction of facilities and the disbursement of people off the island will need to take place. CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. So, and again with the understanding obviously you don't do the policy stuff, you do the facts and you just present Should not DOI be called on to go back out and take another look at Rikers and say, clean and green. Give a checkmark, give a greenlight before the Rikers closing and the building of these borough facilities and DOI is able to say, everything we've pointed out and report a. and then report b. because you've indicated that they didn't pay attention to report a necessarily. Uhm, that yes, we give them a clean

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Well -

bill of health. Ready to go.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2.1

2.2

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And I'm not even saying that this is something that you have to decide on your own to do. I'm just asking uhm you know, between us, with nobody else listening, is that something that it just makes sense to do?

to right reports about what's going on both at Rikers and at localized facilities and we in fact, this most recent report dealt exclusively with localized facilities and I can assure you that over the course of the next year you will be seeing additional reports from us about issues at Rikers. I don't know that there is ever a situation which we give an agency a "clean bill of health".

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Fair enough.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Not because there aren't many agencies that are incredibly well run. There are I should say, in the city of New York, a large number of agencies that are incredibly well run, but our function is not sort of like a general practicing physician, to give somebody a clean bill of health. Our function is to be constantly looking, because even something that is well run today, can have a problem tomorrow. We will regardless of whether the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

city's inmate population is housed on Rikers Island —
is housed I guess about 70% of the inmate population
is housed on Rikers, I may have that number wrong.

If I do, I apologize, but regardless of whether the
bulk of the population is housed on Rikers or in
localized facilities, we will continue the kind of
work we've done that have resulted in as I said,
about 80 arrests, including 23 correction officers.

We will continue the work we've done that have
resulted in 16 staff modified for sexual assault. We
will continue that work regardless of where the
inmates are housed.

2.1

2.2

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And I don't doubt that commissioner and your work in the city in the last four years is forgive this description is certainly legendary in many respects but what I would urge, and I would never tell the commissioner my thoughts on how to run the agency. Its not my job, its yours, but I would say that you have sort of a road map. Things that you've identified in Rikers that need broad — from the top, policy changes that filter in and make those changes and I would say that at the very least, before we can proceed to the next step, we would need DOI. I would need DOI and I'm

just one person here, but I would need to see that you said maybe not a clean bill of health, but these are the 20 things we pointed out and on these 20 things we've seen the movement into the direction that we're not confident. We are now, not not. We are now confident that DOC is at the place where they've addressed our concerns because you are the watchdog. You are the one — DOC is not identifying it. Its not us at the council. Its not the Mayor. It's you, you're watching them.

2.1

2.2

said, and one of the things I said is one of the things we will do, and this will be done by the end of the year. Is we will list for every city agency where we have issued policy and procedure recommendations, called PPR's. We will be able to list by the end of the year, not only all of the PPR's and not only whether they were excepted meaning the agency said yes, we'll do it, but whether on our estimation, they've been implemented and so clearly one of those agencies will be DOC and so you and this council and the public at large will have the ability by the end of the year to essentially look at a list of all of the things that we have said need to be

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
fixed at DOC, and whether in our estimation that's
happened. I think that will provide you with a very
nice check list.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I think so as well. Thank you very much commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Thank you.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Thank you. One question before I — and then I'll hand it over to — yes.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Mr. Chair — Can I? I've just been told that I gave one piece of inaccurate information on my last set of answers. Can I clarify something?

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I'm told that we do vetting on city council staffers and we do is when city council staffers, we do vetting, and we will tell the city council whether there are any substantiated DOI investigations about the staffer, but we don't do the fuller background review. So, we do that piece of vetting, but not a full background review and I apologize for getting that wrong. My apologies.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: No, I appreciate that and one of the things that they teach us in law school right even if we make the error, we have to correct it right away as soon as we get new information. I appreciate that. So, let me just do a quick follow up and then I'll give it back to the Chairman. Thank you Chair. So, like I indicated at the beginning of my questioning. God bless us, we're going to hire 125 here. I don't know where we're going to put them, but we're going to hire them. Uhm, and you indicated that you sometimes somethings go to the top of the pile. Fast track, I don't know what term you used, but for some kind of positions if there more important and you have to do a background check you put them at the top. Am I phrasing that wrong or?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes. No in other words, we will be told that there are certain positions that are particularly important, and they will go to the top of the line.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Who tells you that?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Oh, in other words an agency will call — will occasionally call and say, you know, sometimes its self-evident. If the Mayor's

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

office is appointing a new commissioner, its selfevident that something needs to be done quickly, but
occasionally agencies will call and say you know,
we're hiring this and this. Can this get done
quicker.

2.2

CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: There sending you 125 people possibly.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Well, although as I said so let me be clear. We do not do a full and I want to get it right the second time since I got it wrong the first time and I'm offering apologies. We do not do a full background check on city council staffers. We do the sort of limited review. Mr. Chair, could I correct two other little things.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I have an extremely efficient staff who have passed me two notes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I wish those practices replicated elsewhere -

COMMISSIONER PETERS: You know what getting it right, it's the coin that we live with. I said that there were in talking about the 2005 use of force report, I said that we reviewed 107 IB files. We reviewed 104 and NYPD imposed no discipline — okay

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 there is a full public report on this. We reviewed a 3 179. Of 104, where we believed discipline was required by our own independent review, 37 did not 4 get disciplined. Did you follow that. 5 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Yeah, understood. 6 7 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Good. Did I get that right? Hang on, I'm being told by my Deputy 8 Commissioner I still got that wrong and I really 9 10 apologize. 11 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But I do admire the 12 commitment to truth telling. 13 COMMISSIONER PETERS: May I send you a copy of 14 this report? 15 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Absolutely. 16 COMMISSIONER PETERS: And you may read page 40. 17 I commend you to page 47 of the report which I assure 18 you gets the numbers exactly right. Uhm and then on the DOC, the staff the 20. 12 Corrections officers, 19 20 two civilian analysts, 2 captains, 2 assistant 2.1 inspectors general, and 2 deputy inspectors general. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Council Member Yeger. 23 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yeah, just going back to the limited vetting. Could you describe the 24

25

difference between what you would do if you were

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 background checking a commissioner versus limited vetting on an employee of this body? 3 4 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. 5 CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Without giving any 6 trade secrets that you may not -7 COMMISSIONER PETERS: No, no there's no trade There is a very detailed questionnaire that 8 a commissioner has to fill out. Its actually, I 9 believe online some place, isn't it? Yes. 10 actually online on our website. It is an incredibly 11 12 lengthy process that takes days and days just to fill 13 out. We then finger print people. We then go and 14 make sure they've paid their taxes. We run a bunch 15 of checks on them. Uhm, we do interviews. For the 16 city council, what I'm told, somebody will stop me if 17 I gotten this wrong. Is that we simply check to see, 18 is there an open or a previously substantiated DOI investigation about that person, which is a very 19 20 limited subset of the things we can check for. CITY COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Gotcha, okay. 21 2.2 you very much Mr. Chairman. 23 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I got that right this time. I have a quick question 24 CHAIRPERSON TORRES:

25

about since we're on the subject of Rikers Island and

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS a quick question about ACS and DOC's and the implementation of the Raise the Age Law. There is a policy dimension, but my question will be focused on the oversight dimension. Uhm, so under the Raise the Age law, New York City must transfer all 16 and 17year old's from Rikers Island to what are known as specialized secure detention facilities. The city is planning to staff youth detention facilities with adult correction officers who in my opinion - not in my opinion, I think have been shown to be ill equipped to handle younger offenders and correction mistreatment of youth detainees has been the subject as you know, of a federal investigation and a court settlement. A number of advocates and elected officials have concerns that we run the risk of transferring the Rikers Island Correctional cultural and violence to these new facilities and in doing so, in my opinion, defeating the very purpose of Raise Is there a role for DOI and overseeing the the Age. matter in which the city will implement the Raise the Age law? Obviously, you cannot prevent the city from staffing SSD's with correction officers, but do you have a role to play in insuring that those officers are properly trained to handle 16 and 17-year old's?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMISIONER PETERS: Uhm, we certainly do. We do
in this regard. We have jurisdiction over right now,
the city already has two facilities for juvenile
offenders. Cross Roads and Horizon, we have
jurisdiction over those facilities. We have done
investigations into those facilities. We have issued
policy and procedure recommendations to ACS about
those facilities. Uhm, as you know, we've actually
made some arrests related to some of the non-secured
detention facilities in the past. So, we have
jurisdiction in the same way that we do over the
jails over this and we've done investigations. Uhm,
and as the population increases, we will attempt to
shift resources to continue looking at that although
as I said, one of the issues we confront is that a
substantial chunk of our investigators are locked in
my agency because of various MOU's and indeed we have
in past budgets asked for more staffing for DOC,
which would be helpful in looking at this. So, there
is absolutely — there is a role. We will be looking
at this. We will be investigating this. As to the
broader policy of whether it's a wise idea to have -

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I'm certainly not - I'm expressing my own opinion, but I just want you to

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS know it's a priority for me. Certainly, if it were up to me, these facilities would be staffed with ACS workers right. The city is going to staff them with correction officers in the short-term and then there is going to be a two-year transition to ACS workers. What I would expect from DOI is to ensure that to the extent that there are correction officers in these That they are properly trained. facilities. they receive even more specialized training then ACS workers receive and what efforts or progress is the city making toward completing the two-year transition? And can it be done much sooner? I don't know what feasible, but I certainly hope that it can be done much sooner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: So, you should know that we will absolutely be looking at this issue. We will be looking at the training issue. We will be looking at what's going on. I do think we need to be careful, as I said, we have done investigations in these facilities and it is not — they are not as staffed by ACS workers now they have not been problem free.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Of course.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: So, the mere changing over from DOC to - in some ways, just like the mere moving

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 people from one facility to another isn't going to 3 solve the problems. The mere changing over from DOC employees to ACS employees in and of itself, I don't 4 know is necessarily going to solve all of your concerns, but we will absolutely be reviewing this. 6 It is a concern of ours as well. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Although maybe my facts are 8 wrong. I suspect cases of brutality are not as 9 prevalent among ACS workers as they are among 10 11 correction officers. 12 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Issues of brutality are 13 not. Issues of relationships and undo familiarity however, can be. We have not seen issues of 14 15 brutality, that is true, but we have seen other 16 issues. 17 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Which was the subject of the 18 federal law suit dating back to 2015 or 14? COMMISSIONER PETERS: 15, I believe. Yes, issues 19 20 of brutality are not things that we've seen at the facilities. 2.1 2.2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you Mr. Chair.

Commissioner its good to see you. Uhm, two quick

23

24

25

Lander.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

questions because we're late in this hearing and uhm,
so one, following up on the NYPD issue of sort of
where discipline did not match either what was
recommended by CCRB or what you guys thought. First,
the numbers you are referring to are from the use of
force report that you guys put out in October of
2015?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes.

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Which was primarily cases that were before 2014 or so right?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Most of those cases dated to the prior administration. Uhm, we are as we do with everything, we are very much following up on that and hope to have other things to say about it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, I guess that's my question, which you've answered, but I'm going to ask it anyway and of course that was in the context specifically of the use of force. You know, and I think a lot of progress has been made at the NYPD and this administration. I have a lot of respect for commissioner O'Neil. If there is one area where I really think we are still not where we need to be especially it is in accountability, where there are incidents of misconduct and obviously, that was in

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS the news very much just a month or two ago on an independent investigation. Which I think was more focused on things coming out of IAB. Then the CCRB, so I guess my question is light, both of the need to kind of come back to this issue in light of the fact that most of those were from the prior administration and this one in the light of the fact that there is some reason to be concerned more broadly about you know, whether and again, this is in the context of a small percentage of officers giving a bad name to a much larger percentage of officers and to me, when that happens, the good work of the vast majority of officers is undermined. Not only by the conduct of the very small percentage of officers who engage in misconduct but by the fact that there is not accountability when they do. So, it sounds like you implied that this is something you're looking at, but I guess I wanted to just ask it publicly. This seems to me to be an area that is really important for you guys to be focusing on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I agree with you. It is absolutely an area that its important for us to be focused on. We wrote the report in 2015. We then wrote a follow up report that was issued I guess

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS maybe two months ago looking at - one of the reforms that came out of the 2015 report was the idea that the NYPD would now for the first time require every time force was used. Not excessive force, force. A threat resistance investigation form, called a TRI form would be filled out and so it seemed to us the next most important thing to do is to see after giving the NYPD some time to get this thing unveiled, were they doing so? What we found was that in a number of ways they were not consistently getting the forms filled out and they were not consistently reporting force. The force is still being underreported. That was a report that we issued roughly two months ago. But the next step is to look at now that we know that force is still not being fully reported and we need to make changes there, what is happening in the disciplinary process? is something that absolutely needs to be looked at. It is something I will be very honest with you, will take some time for a variety of reasons. Uhm, these things take time. Some of which, as I testified to this committee under the prior chair. Uhm, there have been issues with the NYPD's production of

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

documents and information. They have slowed

investigations, but they have not prevented them.

Uhm, I assured this committee and I will assure you again, that at such point that I believe that the failure to produce information cannot be resolved internally and is having a sufficiently negative effect on our ability to do investigations that requires coming back to the council and correcting the testimony that I gave. I will do so, but my testimony now stands. It has slowed investigations.

It has not prevented them, and we are still trying to work through some of those issues.

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I'm sorry. I appreciate that, and I know this chair will want you to follow up with him. Uhm, it makes me nervous that its going to be awhile. I have to be honest. I feel like this is a question that a lot of New Yorkers rightly have. Uhm, it could be resolved by one PP obviously without needing your Oversight and Investigation. The way the administration has handled 50A makes it much harder. So, I will leave it there. I feel like this area is where your reports have been good. A lot of changes at the NYPD have been good but the fact that when there is documented use of force, in too many cases the consequences minimal is less than the CCRB

recommends. It just corrodes confidence, so we don't need to go back and forth about it further, I'm glad you guys are looking at it. I want you to know its something that I at least and I think other members

of this body and the chair are eager for you to be

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

7 looking at.

2.2

COMMISSIONER PETERS: And please understand it is a very serious priority for us. It is the reason we wrote that report in 2015, so that we could say to the public, to this council, to everybody who needs to know. There has been a lot of talk about this failure to discipline in the last couple of months and there have been a number of articles written about it. I'm not going to comment on any of the articles or what we are doing vis a vis those specific situations, but this is something that in our defense, we've pointed out in October of 2015.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And to be clear the thing that I'm upset about is not you have not done more oversight and investigation of the reductions and discipline. You know, so I agree with you that you provided some evidence. There have been other investigations that provide some evidence. I'd like to see the problem get fixed more than more reports

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS about it, but the tool we have here is to do oversight so. Let me just ask one other question and its possible that you've gone over this since you've been here. This is about the restructuring, not on the NYPD IG side, but on the SCI and Department of Education side, because you know I've been reading the Newspapers and heard from some folks in SCI as well but there is one thing I just really want to make sure of and ask you on the record. Because as I understood it and was looking at it, even under as things have been until now, the number of investigators, of staff at the SCI relative to the total within DOI is a much lower percentage then the percent that the Department of Education is of the New York City budget. Which is to say if anything more resources need to go just as a matter of proportion into focusing on the Department of Education. So, I want to make sure obviously at a minimum since DOE funds that work that there's not any diminution of resources. But really what I think is merited at least as I do the math, is an increase in resources to look at DOE because again, the head count has just been much smaller than the percent

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that DOE is of the budget. And I know you know,

committee on oversight and investigations obviously a concern people have raised is that as you have positions that go across a number of different of the squads, some resources could get essentially diverted from DOE to being more broadly supporting the DOI. What I just want to know from you is that at a minimum there is no diminution of resources to looking at DOE and that if I'm right, that the proportion if anything should be increase, that you'll look to do that over time.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: So, there has been no diminution - let me go back to first principles. inspector general for the school system, whether we title it Special Commissioner for Investigation of the Inspector General. Technically it is titled Special Commissioner for Investigation. I tend to refer to it as the Inspector General because it is important to me that we have consistency of investigations. That we handle investigations involving the school systems and the NYPD in the same way that we do everywhere else and so that's the reason for the internal nomenclature. That office has always reported to DOI. It always will. independent and always will be of the Department of Education. There's been no diminution in resources.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS The newspaper article noted there is a position that happens to be vacant there that we are using for an overall DOI function. That does happen from time to time because all of these IG's are dependent on uhm DOI's overall functioning. I'm actually hopeful that that's temporary and we've even said to OMB that we're doing this in a temporary way and we'd like the line back. Uhm, this is a very important area. certainly would not say no to additional staff. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Am I right as a matter of math? That the head count as a percentage of total DOI head count is substantially lower than the percentage that the DOE budget represents of the city's budget? COMMISSIONER PETERS: That is, I believe that's true. Somebody is going to sit here with a calculator and do the math for both of us, but I'm reasonably certain -COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: What is the head count by the way? What is the number? COMMISSIONER PETERS: This one I'll say it publicly. 67 budgeted, 57 actual. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And the total DOI head

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

count?

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMISSIONER PETERS: 708 did you just say? Oh, okay, 67 is the budgeted head count for SCI. Overall DOI has a little over 700 people, so its about 10%. I strongly suspect that the NYPD, I'm sorry, that the DOE is more than 10% of the city's.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Yeah, its over 20.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Percent of the head count?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Yeah.

2.2

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I do want to caution that there are lots of factors that go into decisions about how to allocate resources of which size is only one. There are agencies that are small but require more intensive review then agencies that are larger that require less, but there is no doubt that size is a factor. Uhm, there is no doubt that with more staff we could do more. I am hopeful in the next six months it is extremely time consuming to add staff. Especially forensic accountants and auditors. If you want a life tip — what you could study in college to guarantee that you'll have a job when you get out of college, forensic accounting and auditing.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: My sons a freshman and I'm going to call him right now and say, I got a good job for ya.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMISSIONER PETERS: All jokes aside. I have

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Nursing and also forensic accounting.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I have had conversations with Deans at various schools in New York and including John Jay and said we will basically hire as many qualified forensic auditors and accountants as you can graduate. You know, we'll hire them as fast as you can graduate them. Uhm, the problem is my Deputy Commissioner pointed out, we don't pay as much as the private sector or even a lot of other places.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Or hire as quickly.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: And therefore, can't hire as quickly, but I would like to add to the schools Inspector General more accountants and auditors because they spend a huge amount of money on contracting and I would like DOI to be able to take a closer look at that contracting and where that money is going. And it is on my list of things to do over the next four to six years. That is on my four to six - increasing that function is on my four to six-year plan.

2.2

said.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's good to hear.

Thank you for that. I think we share the belief that that needs to — you know is a critical area of oversight. Where lots of stuff is happening that can't possibly get the level of oversight and attention it needs so. Thank you. Thank you, Mr.

Chair.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: A few more questions and I will hand it over to Council Member Salamanca. Uhm, you spoke of the NYPD slowing down investigations.

I'm not a lawyer, but that sounds like obstruction.

matter is a very specific, precise thing and if there was anything meeting the legal precise definition of obstruction, we would take appropriate action. Uhm, and let me be clear, I'm not suggesting that anything akin to the legal definition of obstruction is going on. I want to be very clear about that. Uhm, we have had issues as I've testified before, with the pace at which the NYPD produces material and, in some instances, have had disagreements with them about the production of certain materials. While that has slowed some investigations including one that I expect will have a lot more to say about in the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

coming days. It has not prevented any
investigations, nor have we yet hit the point where I
have felt that our attempts to resolve this by
working with the NYPD have it a wall.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I'll phrase it less
provocatively then. Uhm, are these slowed down
investigations in good faith or bad faith? Likes is
there you know there's disagreement, bureaucratic
inertia, or is there an intent to impede your ability
to do your job?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I can't answer that question, because I cannot read minds.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: But you can infer from behavior. If you feel like you're in no position to answer to answer that question I'm -

COMMISSIONER PETERS: We are still — let me put it to you this way. We are still discussing these issues, both with the NYPD and with City Hall. We have not yet hit the point at which I believe it is necessary to come to this council and say, the issues are unresolvable. Uhm, if we hit the point at which I determine that the issues are unresolvable, I will be back to this council, but we are not there at this moment.

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Duly noted. Is there any 3 other agency that slows the production of documents? 4 COMMISSIONER PETERS: The way NYPD does? 5 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Yes. COMMISSIONER PETERS: Not now. There has in the 6 7 past but not now. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. I want to ask a few -8 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I'm sorry, I just wanted to 9 ask somebody who does this everyday whether I 10 11 overstated. She thinks I haven't. 12 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I want to ask just a few 13 quick questions about construction safety. 14 COMMISSIONER PETERS: 15 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And then I want to hand the 16 mic to Council Member Salamanca. As you know, we 17 have crisis of construction workers fatalities in New 18 York City. Uhm, how many investigations has DOI conducted regarding construction safety? 19 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, part of that and I 20 don't want to do one of these what is, is mean. Part 2.1 2.2 of that depends on how you define an investigation 23 and here why. Every time there is a serious accident 24 on a construction site, whether somebody dies or is

seriously injured. At the same time that the NYPD

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS and fire department are alerted to this, DOI is also alerted to this and staff from our Construction squad go out there. So, literally once or twice a week we will get a notif- yeah, I think maybe once or twice a week but certainly many, many times a month we will get one of these alerts and we will send people out If that constitutes an investigation, there's there. a huge number of investigations. In the overwhelming number of those incidents, our folks come back from you know the onsite investigation and inform me or inform the associate [inaudible 2:13:51] and the associate commissioner will inform me as appropriate that there is nothing for us. Meaning there is no evidence that the injuries were the result of somebody violating DOB regulations and at which case there is nothing for us to do. We are not the NYPD, we are not the fire department. In some small subset of those cases, they will say, it maybe that there are violations of DOB regulations and we will do a more comprehensive investigation. In most of those cases, the conclusion is that there is no criminal activity. Somebody violated DOB regulations, but not the way that you could demonstrate was sufficiently

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

linked to the injury to prosecute somebody and in a

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS small number of cases, our folks will come back and say we think there is and at which point we will sit with a district attorney and we will suggest to them that this person should be prosecuted. In most, not all of those instances the DA will then agree to do In some instances, the DA will say we just don't think the evidence is enough to convince a jury and that is - let me be clear, that is absolutely their right. Uhm, I believe in the last 18 months to 2 years we've done 3 manslaughter cases. Which is more then have been done in a long time. There are although I don't discuss ongoing investigations, I will tell you there are several other investigations like that that are going on that I believe by the end of the year will result in additional prosecutions. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Now in addition to overseeing DOB's enforcement of the building codes, is a sense in which you play an enforcement role in relation to contract. You have the authority to arrest them. If you find evidence of criminal behavior, do we have stats on the arrests of

25

24

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

fatalities on construction sites.

contractors or responsible either injuries or

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I can get you - I don't other than the three manslaughter cases that I'm 3 4 aware of, we can get you some more information on that. 5 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. How large is the squad 6 7 dedicated to construction safety? COMMISSIONER PETERS: That's not a number that we 8 generally put out. It is large, certainly with more 9 There are other things that we could do to go 10 staff. back to my constant complaint about auditors and 11 12 analysts. Additional auditors and analysts would 13 allow us to do some larger proactive reviews both of where the contractors are routinely violating DOB 14 15 rules. Also, bluntly whether real estate owners are 16 in violation to various DOB rules as a relate to 17 tenant harassment. 18 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: My question are companies there? 19 20 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Without commenting on specifically on that case, because as you know we 21 2.2 never comment on things that are ongoing. 23 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Fair enough. 24 COMMISSIONER PETERS: We have as you know, I

25

believe the year before last, we actually did arrest

a landlord for essentially filing false paperwork and creating hazardous conditions for tenants and we arrested them for all of that. We certainly have

jurisdiction to do that. I'm obviously not going to

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

6 comment on any specific investigations.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: The City Council enacted a landmark construction safety legislation, a local law 196. Which requires extensive safety training and a site safety training card for every worker. Do you have the resources necessary for the enforcement of that law?

commissioner peters: We do some of that enforcement and as you know we've done both arrests and reports related to site safety cards and there is no doubt that the forged site safety cards are a real problem. There is no way that DOI with our present staff or anything like it, could fully enforce that. A chunk of that enforcement would have to reside with DOB. Uhm, we simply at 700 staff overall, I don't think it's reasonable to assume that we could do the full enforcement of that.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Are you seeking an expansion in that area?

2.1

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Uhm, somebody is checking on the exact new needs — it would be in our new needs request, which I believe you have but if they give me an answer in the next minute, I will. If not, I will send you a letter with an answer.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sorry not to have every detail.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: No, I think and since we're - I'm going to ask one more - I don't have it with me right here, but I will ask - I'm going to make a statement about Kushner companies. I know you cannot but obviously, we found more than 80 falsified filings for building permits across 34 properties in the span of 4 years. There are these forms, these PW1 forms. I will ask simple yes or no questions. Do you have occupied units that will remain occupied during construction? Does your building have rent regulated units and when it came to the question in particular, we have reason to believe that Kushner companies lied repeatedly, so my question is, have you investigated the practice of falsifying PW1 forms? Have you made arrests in relation to the

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS falsification of PW1 forms? What has been DOI's work in this area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. About a year and a half ago we arrested a landlord who essentially falsified a series of forms. I do not know off the top of my head whether it was a PW1 form or something else, but we will check on that and I will get back to you on a written follow up. But certainly, it was about false filings that allowed the landlord to create uninhabitable situations for tenants and didn't attempt to get the tenants out. So, we've done these cases, we have the jurisdiction. We did arrest that landlord. We certainly, where there is a wholesale failure to accurately report on these forms have the right to do that. I want to caution that criminal cases are tricky in this regard, because you need to demonstrate not only that the form is false, but that the person who signed the form new it was false when he or she signed it and one of the issues that comes up in a lot of these cases. Not just this, but a number of the others. Is that you have, unless the form exquisitely says, I personally have knowledge of everything. I personally - this is why were able to do the asbestos cases. We arrested 17

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS asbestos inspectors for falsifying forms saying there was no asbestos on this construction site. Go ahead feel free to knock down the walls. When in fact, either there was asbestos, or they'd never checked. Because that form as written, requires you to say, I personally was there and did this stuff. When the form doesn't have that, I don't believe the PWI form does, but again, we'll check and get back to you. It's a much trickier to do criminally because you need to prove that the person who signed it also knew - in other words if one person knows you know, if one person in the company knows that there are rent control tenants and another person in the company fills out the form and the two of them didn't talk, you may have a regulatory matter, but you probably don't have a criminal matter. That's probably more detailed then you wanted but.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And one more problem with those forms, is that it states that falsifying a PW1 is a misdemeanor, but my understanding is under state law, falsifying a legal instrument could be a felony.

23 COMMISSIONER PETERS: It can be. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: And it seems to me it should say that on the form, but that's my policy opinion.

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I can't well if we - we do 3 not even confirm whether investigations are ongoing, so I'm not going to - but if we were to do an 4 investigation and were to find that the way the form is constructed is an impediment to doing criminal 6 7 cases, then we would absolutely issue a report saying that. 8 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Absolutely. 9 Thank you, commissioner. Council Member Salamanca. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you Mr. Chair. 11 12 Good afternoon commissioner. Excuse my voice, I have 13 a cold. 14 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Good afternoon. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Uhm, commissioner I 16 wanted to just ask you a quick question about 17 oversight and investigations on the Department of 18 Design and Construction. Has your agency done any investigations or audits in terms of there projects 19 the last four years? 20 2.1 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I would like to I mean 2.2 certainly, they are within one of our squads and 23 certainly we have done - I don't believe there is a

city agency that we haven't done some oversight of.

I am not aware of any major investigations that have

24

been completed in the last year involving DDC but I'm speaking really slowly in the hopes that if I'm saying something really stupid, somebody in my staff

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

5 is going to stop me. Uhm, but if I could, I would

6 | like to get back to you on that one.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Alright, I just you know, I just wanted to express my frustration with the Department of Design and Construction. I will give you an example. I have a project in my district. It's called [inaudible 2:23:14] uhm, it's one of the largest DC public space projects with a budget of about \$13 million. They started construction in May of 2014 and today is March of 2018 and the plaza has not been completed. There has been issues with the contractor filing for bankruptcy. Work not being done and you know, I've had housing developments built faster than a plaza. You know in one of my busiest hubs in my district and I would really love to see your agency, you know, pay more close attention to some of these projects. That I agree some of my colleagues are raising frustration with DDC as well in terms of the delays of their projects.

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 COMMISSIONER PETERS: So, with your permission 3 Council Member, what I'd like to do is have somebody 4 from the squad that deals with DDC. Reach out to 5 your staff to get the details of this particular 6 incident and we will take a look at it and get back 7 to you. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Sounds great thank Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 you. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Commissioner you've been. 10 I'm just going to run through a few issues and then -11 12 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I'm at your disposal. 13 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Some issues that have been 14 obviously in the papers and - franchise agreements. 15 As you know, as you might know, Charter 16 Communications, otherwise know as Spectrum has been 17 found by do it to be out of compliance with this 18 franchise agreement. In addition to failing to comply with the franchise agreement, Charter is under 19 20 investigation from the Attorney General for allegedly defrauding New Yorkers over internet speeds and 21 2.2 performance. Does DOI have oversight over franchise 23 agreements? COMMISSIONER PETERS: I believe that we would to 24

the extent that we are giving something of value to

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

an agency, but I'd like permission to respond to that
to you in writing after I can talk with my council

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Fair enough because I find

DO Its enforcement of franchise agreements

lackadaisical and it seems to me you have the most

institutional memory on investigations and there

should be a role for DOI in enforcing these franchise

agreements. Uhm, so as far as you know, there is no

Inspector General? Which squad covers DO It?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Do it is in squad 4. So, we do have an inspector. Obviously, there is an inspector general. Squad 4 has Do it. Alright, its 5. I thought it was 5. She told me 4, but no squad 5.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Squad 5, okay.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: It is in squad 5 and in fact as you we issued about two and half years ago a very, very, detailed report on the 911 completion project that was done by them. Uhm, on the franchise agreement issue, let me talk with both squad 5 and council, my council and give you a more forceful answer.

2.2

staff.

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Understood. Second issue, 3 according to a New York Post article dating back to 4 February 27, 2018. Two of New York's biggest 5 insurance providers, Empire, Blue Cross Blue Shield and Emblem Health have been accused of defrauding tax 6 7 payers in the tune of \$1 billion. Are you aware of this matter? 8 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I am aware of the matter, 9 and I cannot comment at this time beyond telling you 10 11 that I'm aware of the matter. 12 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Does DOI have jurisdiction 13 over the matter? 14 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. Any updates? 16 to respect the confidentiality of investigations, so I want to see how I can ask this question. 17 18 Obviously, there's ongoing continuing interest in the lead safety. Are you in a position to confirm 19 20 whether NYCHA is properly conducting lead safety inspections. Properly conducting remediation and 21 2.2 abatement whether NYCHA is in compliance with 23 federal, state, and local laws governing lead safety. 24 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I honestly cannot confirm

that at this time.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. Another issue is administrative subpoenas. According to a New York Post article dating back to January 8, 2018, the NYPD issued an administrative subpoena to Google for the purpose of obtaining the "entire digital history of a 17-year-old high school student". Has DOI looked into the practice of improperly using administrative subpoenas in the place of what should be a court order or a judicial subpoena?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yeah, I can't other than to tell you that I'm aware of that issue, I can't comment.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: You are aware of that issue?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I'm aware of the issue and

I can't comment further. We are aware, DOI with

large is aware of the issue.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: This one obviously has been widely covered. Harvey Weinstein, the former film producer, obviously sexually harassed Ambra Gutierrez here in New York City in 2015. The Governor has recently ordered the Attorney General to investigate the Manhattan District Attorney's handling of the case. The account of the NYPD's handling of he case, all come from within the NYPD. Has there been an

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS independent examination of the NYPD's handling of the Harvey Weinstein case.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: The issue of the NYPD's handling of sexual assault cases is one we are very much aware of issues relating to the NYPD's handling of sexual assault matters and beyond that I cannot today comment further.

Specifically about Harvey Weinstein is you know there is a perception that powerful people are above the law. That law enforcement institutions are much more aggressive in holding account everyday people then powerful film makers and that's obviously a cretic that's been leveled against the DE's office but as far as I know there's been no independent examination of the NYPD's handling of the Harvey Weinstein case. I understand there's confidentiality, but I just wanted to raise it as a cause —

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Right, I at this moment and time, I think it is a fair — questions about the NYPD's handling of sexual assault cases are fair questions but I can't go beyond saying anything about that just yet.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I mean you have the authority to investigate the NYPD's handling particularly of Harvey Weinstein's case.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER PETERS: We do. I want to be careful about one thing here. We do. As a general rule, I don't believe it is a good idea for the Department of Investigation to reinvestigate a specific case handled by the NYPD, for a variety of reasons. One is a matter of resources and two is absence some genuinely and improper conduct but having said that, the broader way in which these kinds of cases are handled is something that we have an absolute obligation to look at and will have more to say about it in the future.

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Although there is a difference between investigating the Harvey Weinstein case versus investigating the NYPD handling of the case, or the DA's handling of the case. Those are — so I'm not requesting, I'm not talking about reinvestigation. Its overseeing best practice —

COMMISSIONER PETERS: I understand you and then there are a number of issues about best practices and I don't believe that they should be limited solely to the way that one particular case was handled.

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Okay. I understand that 3 obviously the disinclination to look into individual cases right, but could an exception be made, and now I'm speaking hypothetically. Could an exception be 5 made when there is concern that a public powerful 6 7 figure might be - that there is a standard for the powerful and then there is a standard for everyone 8 else. Could an exception be made for high profile 9 cases where there's concern that there might be 10 preferential treatment from law enforcement? 11 12 COMMISSIONER PETERS: It could be, but I think 13 that is the kind of decision that needs to be 14 exercised remarkably judiciously. 15 CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I think that's fair to say. 16 Uhm, that is the extent of my questioning to you. 17 Commissioner, you have been generous with your time 18 and I'm an admirer of your work and I'm an admirer of really the transformation of DOI and to a much more 19 robust oversight agency and you can count on my 20 committee to be as supportive as we can be. 2.1 2.2 COMMISSIONER PETERS: Thank you very much and I 23 really appreciate your time.

4

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Absolutely, thank you.

that said, this hearing - Now we are proceeding to

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

public testimony, so we have Mr. Komatsu. Ms.

O'Grady from Samaritan Suicide Prevention Center

and Ms. Augustine from Samaritans of New York

Suicide Prevention. And can we have a two-minute

timer.

FIONA O'GRADY: Good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Good Afternoon.

FIONA O'GRADY: Good afternoon. Yes, it was a long and fabulous hearing and new topics from a suicide prevention center. Good Afternoon. My name is Fiona O'Grady, I'm Director of Government Relations for Samaritan Suicide Prevention Center. Thank you, Chairman Torres and the committee, for the chance to speak today. As we all too often these days violent and self-harming behavior are on the rise impacting our families, friends, and communities where we work and where we live. This problem touches people of every age, race, sexual identity, and culture especially those living in poverty. mentally ill, veteran, immigrants, and LGBT and Q adolescents. So, it makes sense that Mayor de Blasio would make preventing suicide a priority. What does not make sense is that in this battle to help those most at risk, the Mayor would eliminate funding for

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS Samaritans. The only community-based agency in New York whose soul mission is to prevent suicide. Samaritans created New York City's first suicide prevention hotline 35 years ago answering 1.3 million calls from those in distress and when the Mayor determined New York needed to expand its suicide prevention network, one of the first things he did was to cut funding for Samaritans hotline. Samaritans started the city's first suicide prevention education program 30 years ago providing 40,000 to New York City DOE and community agency health care staff with needed crisis response training and when the Mayor's office issued contracts to provide that training to New York City schools he again rejected Samaritans who last year provided uhm we taught close to 800 psychologists, social workers, etc. from nearly 600 schools city wide. The same with volunteerism. The Mayor promotes it, but cuts funding to a hotline that staffed entirely by nearly 100 community volunteers who donate over \$750,000 in free labor. Especially when the Mayor state in thrive that we'll work with our partners to create new programs and make them work, we would like the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

opportunity to work with you to look into this and on

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
that note, I'd like to pass on the next comments to
my colleague Sambal[SP?] Augustine, a member of
Samaritans Executive Leadership Team. Sambal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SAMBAL AUGUSTINE: Good afternoon. I want to thank the committee for this time. My name is Sambal Augustine and I first came to the Samaritans 15 years ago as a hotline volunteer. At the time, I was studying to go to medical school, but my experience at Samaritan changed my life. People talk about wanting to make a difference in the world we live in. Samaritans volunteers actually do something about it. Uhm, the first lesson we learn on the hotline is to shut up, because you can't be listening if your doing all the talking. We learn about how judgmental we can be, how often we make assumptions, await topic that make us uncomfortable. Mostly we learn to respect the fact that people are unique and complex and there are no easy answers. It humbling work because it forces you to realize when you are trying to help someone and its not about you. An important realization if you are going to be effective talking to someone who's depressed and feeling like they're standing on the edge of a cliff. Samaritans has over 100

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS volunteers that reflect the city's [inaudible 2:38:21] city. They are caring, devoted, when they complete the intensive emotional boot camp training, they work one shift a week, once a month and overnight from 11 pm to 8 am in the morning. Samaritans volunteers do what it takes to make a difference. They make the city more responsive to people when they are most vulnerable. Samaritans provide a necessary alternative to other services and should be embraced by the Mayor and the Department of Health. Why they do not, is certainly a question. At the same time Samaritans most thank this council for without your ongoing support, our hotline would have closed years ago and on behalf of Samaritans Volunteers, I want to thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Thank you.

MR. KOMATSU: HI. I'm [Inaudible 2:39:13]

Komatsu, we've met previously. Uhm, on January 8th

I tried testifying in opposition to your Right to

Know Act Bill in the blue room of City Hall.

Members of NYPD actually tried to prevent me from entering City Hall for that purpose. So, there was some discussion earlier today in this meeting about

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS NYPD issues oversight also with regards to HRA. Uhm, I gave you some information to look at in your spare time. Currently defending a frivolous criminal prosecution on me in the Bronx, but I think 12 days after I testified on December 12th or December 14th in City Hall I was illegally stopped, ceased, arrested, in retaliation for just walking to a drug store in a public area. So, if your having the meeting today to I guess make an inquiry whether there is sufficient oversight of the NYPD, I talked to the commissioner on February 23rd at the New York Law School about this federal law suit against the Mayor's head of security. He told me he is not going to answer my questions and he claimed I filed a law suit against him. I haven't, so if I'm having these face to face conversations with the appropriate people, I'm not getting appropriate [inaudible 2:40:21]. When I tried going to your October 4th Town Hall meeting by Law School, the NYPD kept me out of it. So, if I'm a whistle blower, if I have a first amendment right to walk through the doors, conduct myself lawfully, and I brought it to your colleagues attention that this has been a repeated practice where by, when the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 2 Mayor was up for reelection, using these public meetings as campaign events and I can't walk 3 through three of the doors, that's actually voter 4 fraud and voter suppression if you think about it. 5 So, I guess at the end of the day, I don't mean to 6 7 waste your time. The reason why I'm here is I live in Housing for Veterans by Katona Park. 8 landlord did a bade and switch. They're using tax 9 payer money. Uhm, they are going to have a fund 10 raiser in May and they're not [inaudible 2:41:02]. 11 12 They don't have the building registered with HPD or 13 HRA and HPD. They are not doing a darn thing, so 14 can you? 15 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I'll have my staff get 16 your information and then we can follow up with HPD 17 absolutely. 18 MR. KOMATSU: Thank you. No, he is the person who assaulted me on July 2^{nd} . 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Is it your testimony 21

that you were stopped by the police from going to testify at a hearing at the blue room, then several days later at a CVS in the Bronx, you were stopped by different police and those two things are connected?

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS MR. KOMATSU: Ah, there not connected, its just coincidental but in total its happened to me more than 20 times at public meetings. Uhm, there is collaborating witnesses, its on video, I submitted formal requests to the NYPD. I had their own video confirming it. COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Okay, alright. MR. KOMATSU: If you want a copy of the video -COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: No, no, no, I'm good. Thank you very much. MR. KOMATSU: Thanks. CHAIRPERSON TORRES: Thank you for your testimony. Are we entering any testimony for the record? Okay, great. So, with that said, this meeting is adjourned. [Gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018