CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

February 15, 2018 Start: 11:00 a.m. Recess: 12:08 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: CARLOS MENCHACA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Daniel Dromm

Mathieu Eugene Mark Gjonaj

Robert F. Holden I. Daneek Miller

Kalman Yeger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 [sound check, pause] [pause]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, good morning everyone. Buenos Dias. My name is Carlos Menchaca and I'm the Chair of the New York City Council's Committee on Immigration. Before going any further, I want to introduce the other members of this incredible new committee. This is the first-the first time we assembled as a committee, and we're looking forward to working with everyone of you. This is the beginning of a new session, but this is also an opportunity for us to kind of not only work together, but make sure that we're having good conversations as we moved forward, and really kind of think about-about your needs at the district level, but also for the city at large. From-from Queens we have here Council Member Danny Dromm. From Brooklyn we have Council Member Yeger, and from Bronx we have Council Member Gjonaj, and from Queens we have Council Member Holden, and we'll introduce other members as they come later. Today, the Immigration Committee will hear and vote on Resolution 182, which calls upon Congress to pass legislation introduced by the U.S. Representative Nydia Valazquez, which would permit Ravi Ragbir to pursue immigration relief so

that he may stay in the country lawfully. Ravi is 2 3 one of New York's most passionate immigrant rights 4 leaders not just here in the city, but nationally. Despite his own immigration status being in jeopardy, he has always found way to stand up for others, and 6 7 now we are proud to stand up with him. As-as ICE 8 engages in its unbridled immigration raids, a new and troubling trend has emerged. It seems as though ICE is targeting Immigrant rights activists for 10 11 deportation. That has not just happened here in the 12 city, but across the country. This behavior is not only unacceptable, it's un-American. It is un-13 American because it is intended to intimidate those 14 15 who are right now bravely speaking out against-16 against-against injustice and we should all be 17 concerned about the any attack on our Constitutional 18 protections. Despite the uncertainty surrounding 19 immigration policies nationwide, one thing is 20 certain, New York City will not be shaken. We will tirelessly defend our immigrant communities to the 21 full extent of the law supported by the Constitution. 2.2 2.3 So, we will stand up to hate, and we will prevail every single time. So now, I want to offer any 24 opportunities for -- [background comment]. Yeah, so 25

2.2

2.3

before we go, do we have the resolution? [background comments, pause] I also want to read the-the Resolution for anyone at home that's listening. So, this is Resolution 182. The text here shows Resolution calling upon the United States Congress to pass and the president to sign H.R. 4937, which would provide immigration relief for Ravi Ragbir and denouncing the unlawful targeting of immigrant rights activists for deportation by the U.S. Customs, the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, ICE, and first up to speak is Council Member Danny Dromm from Queens.

much Chair Menchaca for this opportunity to address this really important resolution, which the Council is going to pass today. I have know Ravi Ragbir for about eight years since I first got elected to the City Council, and I can attest to what a wonderful good human being Ravi is, and to the work that he has done. I worked with him very early on in my tenure on a forum on immigration at the Ethical Humanist Society where I attended that forum along with the former Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito before she was the Speaker, but I've also worked with him on a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

number of immigration issues going back to when I was chair of this very committee. He is dedicated and committed to the immigrant community. Ravi did have some difficulties in his life early on, but he has certainly reformed his life and turned his life around, and has led and exemplary life ever since like over 20 years ago. You know, I myself have three arrests. I was arrested three times, and was able to turn my life around. I'm 27 years clean and sober, had I not been given that opportunity by my constituents to serve despite the fact that I had that in my past, I would not be sitting here today. So, I'm a deep believer in people getting a second chance, and a fair chance, even a fourth chance. Whatever it takes, but when people like Ravi stand up and support the rights of those who have rights like our immigrant communities, I feel it's really important that we step up to the plate and support him and, of course, this is a bigger issue than just Ravi. This is an issue about immigrant leaders being targeted by an administration, which attempts to silence those immigrant leaders, and-and their positions on—on what's happening with the Trump Administration in Washington, D.C. So, I'm very,

resolution addresses. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

very glad that we're hearing this resolution. I'd like to ask that my name also be added to it, and I was also, you know, really trying to make sure that this happened in time. Unfortunately, Ravi got an extension of his deportation orders over the weekend, and so I look forward to continuing to support Ravi, and to continue to work on issues that this

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Dromm. Are there any other speakers on the docket? Okay, let's go with Queens. Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And—and I respect Council—Councilwoman Valazquez a lot, and the only thing I'm a little concerned here that I don't believe Ravi has been targeted. First, he committed a serious crime, a felony while he was guest of the United States to come here and work. Now, yes, he may have turned his life around. He may be a good—a good person right now, however, there's—there's victims here that need—and I—and I agree with Errol Louis, by the way, and it—it kind of opened my eyes because I didn't know much about this case until I started reading the dockets. He had I think two

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appeals in the second and third courts, the Appellate and lost both, and—and completely— Well, 21 he—21 different times he tried to get fraudulent mortgages or actually succeeded. Now, if we're going to reward that and say, we want to keep people like this in the United States without restitution, I don't-the courts have demanded restitution. Does somebody know if there is anything else like he was supposed to pay money back? Did he do that? I don't know that. this committee can tell me he did, I might have a different opinion. However, if we're going to start using Ravi as our-our poster child for immigration reform, I think that's a mistake, and I agree with Errol Louis. I never met the man. I'd-I'd like to. I asked the Chair and said if he can arrange that, but I think it's little premature for me to act and to be in favor or a resolution, and I think it's not well thought out yet. I think we have to vet this, and I think we have to-the committee should do some research, and there's-- Listen, teaching in the-in the school system for 40 years in the college, and working with undocumented immigrants it—it was an experience, and I feel for their plight, and-and I helped a lot of immigrants. I-I worked with students

2.2

who were very, very good students who had to only go
home and sleep. They couldn't do work at home, and
they had the deck stacked against them, and they-they
are the ones that we should be protecting. If
somebody has committed a serious felony, \$1.5 million
worth of mortgages that he knew, Ravi knew quite well
that this was wrong multiple times. Yes, he turns
his life around, but I don't think he's unfairly
targeted. This has been going on since the early
2000s. He had case after case, and I think to call
committee meetings at the spur of the moment to work
with legal teams, I-I think we need a little bit more
vetting and a little bit more discussion. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Holden. Any others? Both. Okay, Council Member Gjonaj from the Bronx.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you,

Chairman. I have a statement that I want to read.

As a son of immigrants and representative of the most diverse neighborhoods in New York City, I am sympathetic to the plight of those who come to this great country seeking a better life. I will fight for those facing wrongful deportation, and work to ensure that New York City remains a fair city and

2.2

2.3

Supports the rights of DREAMers to stay in the

Country that they call home. Chairman, for our

members, the reality—the reality is that Ravi Ragbir
is not a DREEMer. He is a criminal that defrauded
innocent hardworking New Yorkers. For those that

will challenge me about paying their dues and second
chances, for Ravi Ragbir coming to this country was
his second chance. This is not a vote against
undocumented immigrants. This is a vote for the

victims of Ragbir's crimes. We need to do everything
we can to ensure that New York remains the world's
safest big city.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Gjonaj. Council Member Yeger from Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, and congratulations on our first committee.

In addition to sharing this seat at this table with

you, we share a large border of the district that we

represent together and I'm looking forward to doing

great things with you, and I think we will. I—I—you

know, this is—this is an interesting place that I

find myself because like many in the Council and like

many in New York City I'm the son of an immigrant.

My father came here on a boat with his parents and

2 his older brother with the permission of our 3 government, a nation that welcomed my family with 4 open arms. My mother's father came to this country after escaping Europe during World War II with 5 members of his school where they went to China, and 6 lived for several years in Shanghai until they were 8 able to come to the United States. Again, our nation opening its arms to welcome an immigrant family that was willing to come here, promised to work hard, do 10 11 right, and be a part of the American fabric. My 12 father, the immigrant, has four children. 13 us are attorneys. He is an attorney. His older 14 brother has a son who's an attorney. We've committed 15 ourselves and our lives to supporting the 16 Constitution of the State of New York. It's an oath I took long before I was a member of this Council and 17 18 took an oath to support the Constitution. I took an 19 oath to support the Constitution as an officer of the 20 court, as an officer of the State of New York. 21 today we're here to talk about an individual. 2.2 not here to talk about our DACA DREAMers. 2.3 here to talk about the wrongful-in my view-policies of the Trump Administration on DACA. I believe 24 firmly, and I've said this publicly that this is not 25

2 a country that throws preservers to DREAMers only to 3 yank it back as they're about to reach it. Our 4 nation created a policy, whether we agree how it was 5 created or not, to support the DERAMers, and created DACA, and the President has tried to cut that down. 6 7 I do not agree with that. I agree that those who 8 came to this country as children brought here by their parents who have lived their lives lawfully, and have supported our country and in many cases have 10 11 even served in our military should receive the 12 welcoming arms of the United States Government and 13 all of its people, and should be welcomed to the 14 fabric of the United States. But today we're here to 15 talk about one individual, Mr. Ragbir. Mr. Ragbir is 16 not a DREAMer. Mr. Ragbir perhaps would like to be a 17 DREAMer, but that's not what DREAMers are. DREAMers 18 come here and they do not break laws. 19 concept and that's the definition of a DREAMer. 20 DREAMer is somebody who lived his life lawfully in 21 the Untied States, did not break laws, abided by our rules and regulations under the laws of our nation, 2.2 2.3 and now submits him or herself to our nation and says, I'm here. Can you help me stay and be a part 24 25 of America? Mr. Ragbir is not that. Mr. Ragbir is

2 somebody who committed crimes by his own admission. 3 Not somebody who but for the role of the dice was 4 convicted by a jury, but agreed that he committed a series of crimes, and I wasn't there in the 5 courtroom. All I know is what everybody else knows, 6 what we've read, but the-you know, as Mr. Loui and 8 Mr. Louis is getting an enormous amount of press here, and—and mention at the Council the last day or two. But Error Lewis who I think we all look as the 10 11 voice of moral reason and moral conscience in the 12 fabric of our city, stated this as eloquently as 13 He's not the guy, Mr. Ragbir, that we possible: 14 should be putting our efforts into helping beat 15 unlawful and improper Constitution—immigration 16 policies in the United States. He's not that guy, 17 and as my colleague from Queens, Mr. Holden said, 18 he's not the poster child. He just isn't. Are there 19 better poster children? Yes, but what I think that 20 this does, this shines a spotlight on somebody who is 21 a criminal. Now, he may be a reformed criminal. 2.2 may no longer have-be committing felonies on a 2.3 regular basis, and I surely believe that he has reformed his life, but what we're doing here is we're 24 playing into the hands of President Trump who has 25

made it very clear in his view anybody who comes to
the United States is a criminal. That's not my view
at all. I believe almost everybody who comes to the
United States wishes to serve our country lawfully
and properly, but Mr. Ragbir did not. And so, I'm
not comfortable doing a one-man resolution, a one-
person benefit to ask the Congress to itself do a
one-person benefit to support a person who in my view
is not deserving of the assistance of this Council.
Is not deserving of the assistance of the Congress as
the court has said, and he's been litigating this
since I believe 2005 or 6 almost 12, 13, 14 years.
He has exhausted his legal options, and a court very
recently said that he has some sort of Constitutional
right to say good-bye. It's not my reading of the
Constitution, but I support and honor the members of
the judiciary who find that interesting reading of
the First Amendment, and now he has the right to say
good-bye and he should that, and he should support
those who will come after him to carry on his mantle,
but not him, not me. I will not vote for this
resolution. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much

to the members of the committee, and I want to open

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it up for a vote so we can move-move through the next piece or the next part of his hearing. I will say a couple of things that's important. One is I welcome all of these ideas. So, I-I want to make sure to say thank you for-for bringing not just the discussion to this committee, but the context of which you're presenting these ideas, and so I welcome that, and we need to do more of that, and we're going to I think see a lot more of this as we move forward. issues on immigration are not only incredibly nuanced, there's a lot of information that we have to kind of look at together. And so, I rally hope and know that we're going to-we're going to commit to that conversation as we move forward on other issues. I do want to say thank you. Every one of you brought your value of protecting immigrants in your districts, and I want to say thank you for that. That's-that's something that no only is I think American but it's a New York value, and we want to make sure that we continue to do that not just as a committee but as a Council. We have a lot of power here, but we don't have federal power to make some of these changes at the federal level, but we do have the opportunities to make sure that we get resource

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to our neighborhoods. And so, I want to continue toto-to focus on that. On the topic of-of Ravi himself, and-and this resolution, again I-I understand your-your opposition and discomfort and and-and the arguments. There is something to be said about Ravi's case who I've also like Council Member Dromm have followed over the last few years not just in the work in our neighborhoods to create an incredible fabric of sanctuary within our-within our faith leaders and from multiple faiths to create a real sanctuary in churches for people to walk into to get services. Ravi has been leading that. Yes, he has committed crimes, and so that's not something that is being shied away from or we're not removing that from the conversation. This is all part of the conversation and why we are here, why he's-why he's in deportation proceedings possibly. The due process is where I'm going to just make sure to focus on. There-there are courts here that-that handle and that will continue to handle this case. Ravi has gone to all his check-ins. He's checked in with ICE every time. He has gone-going through his court mechanisms to make sure he clears his name because he has that Constitutional right, and we're going to let him do

2	that. And part of what this opportunity is going to
3	be is for him to be able to do that before
4	deportation. And so that I think is why I'm
5	supporting this resolution to ensure that the legal
6	courts allow them to do their work under-under the-
7	the promise of the Constitution and due process. So,
8	with that, I want to—I want to ask the Clerk to do
9	the roll call for a vote, and—and I want to say thank
10	you gain for your conversation and discussion today,
11	and I'm going to keep the vote open for two members

CLERK: William Martin, Committee Clerk, roll call vote Committee on Immigration, Resolution 118, Chair Menchaca.

that are on their way. I just want to let everybody

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I vote aye.

CLERK: Dromm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Permission to explain my vote?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes, and you'll have—can we put two minutes on the clock for that. I want to make sure that—that we— Okay, go ahead then.

know that, too.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay. So, thank
3	you very much, Chair. Just to reiterate my position.
4	I do believe that Ravi should be given a second
5	chance. I do believe that he has proven himself over
6	the years. I do believe that any attempts to deport
7	Ravi also will divide his family. He's married to an
8	American woman, and his deportation would have
9	serious detrimental effects to that family. So, with
10	that, I vote aye in favor of the resolution.
11	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council

CLERK: Gjonaj.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: To explain my vote a little further, Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes.

ethnicity and the immigrant family that legally emigrated to this country through Catholic Charities and spent nearly a year in Italy before arriving here. I'm very fortunate to have been born in this great country, and I take none of that for granted, and the responsibilities that I have to this country, which has given so much back to me and my family.

2.2

Member Dromm.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

With that being said, I have to vote no on this resolution.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

CLERK: Holden.

CLERK: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: My wife is a Japanese-American immigrant. Came to this country post-World War II. Not a day didn't go by that she didn't' experience prejudice in-in our neighborhoods. She lived in Japan, lived in Germany. Only when she came to the United States and Queens did she experience the prejudice, overt prejudice almost on a daily basis. Many of my family members are immigrants. So, it's not-it's not against immigration. It's not against an individual. is a clear-cut case where we need to look at the person. Did Ravi-he might have done great work and I want to hear more of it. I don't know enough about him, and like I said before, I never met him. if I did, I'd change my mind, but at this juncture, knowing what I know, what I read, our court system he was given ample opportunities, and he exhausted them.

2.2

2.3

Now, he—he wants the City Council or the—the

Congressman wants the City Council to vote and we

have to give him special treatment? I think if he

made restitution, if he paid back the money, if he

attempted to or he did great work in the community,

7 which he might have done. I don't see it yet. For

that reason I'm voting no.

CLERK: Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, may I have permission to explain my vote, sir?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes.

the reasons I explained earlier during my remarks, and as the son of an immigrant, as the grandson of an immigrant, and as somebody whose had—whose been given the privilege of walking these halls and every day it's an incredible privilege. For those who have only been here for the 50 or so days and for those who have been here several years before, not a day goes by that I don't walk into this building and feel inspired, in awe of the opportunity that I've been given. I've been given the opportunity take an oath and raise my right hand and affirm my allegiance to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the Constitution of the United States as an officer of the court, as an officer of the state, and earlier this year as an officer of this city. As a member of this body, and that's an incredible privilege and it's an incredible honor, and in honor of that privilege and in honor of every single person who is present in this great country who asks our government for permission to stay here and permission—and for permission to be here and receives that permission, and in honor of all those who didn't have the opportunity to ask for that permission, but are here now and are asking the government to set the record straight and to let them remain here because they came here as children and have abided their lives accordingly as good people as good potential citizens of the United States. In honor of them, I cast my vote in opposition, and I do that with great respect for those who have different opinions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much,

Council Member Yeger, and we're going to leave the

vote open for the next 15 minutes for-- [pause]

We're going to leave the vote open until the end of

the hour at noon, and thank you so much. [pause,

25 | background comments, pause]

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

CLERK: Continuation roll call, the
Committee on Immigration, Resolution 182. Council
Member Eugene.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: I vote aye.
6 [pause, background comments, pause]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay.

CLERK: Continuation roll call the Committee on Immigration, Resolution 182. Council Member Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I vote aye.

CLERK: By a vote of 4 in the affirmative, 3 in the negative and no abstentions, the resolution has been adopted by the committee.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much, and Council Member, I don't know if you wanted to say any words in support, but we are—the next step is for this resolution to appear at the Stated Meeting, and I'm just really thankful again for all the members of the Committee who have come out today in support of this resolution, and for those that were not in support, that they voiced not only their commitment to immigrants in their district, but immigration reform in general and are looking to work with me as the Chair to continue to champion for our New York

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION	23
2	immigrants. Really excited. Thank you so much,	and
3	we will now adjourn the meeting. [gavel]	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 17, 2018