CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

March 8, 2024

Start: 10:12 A.M. Recess: 4:49 P.M.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Sandy Nurse,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Public Advocate Jumaane Williams

Shaun Abreu
Diana I. Ayala
Gale A. Brewer
Tiffany L. Cabàn
Shahana K. Hanif
Christopher Marte
Mercedes Narcisse
Lincoln Restler
Althea V. Stevens

Lynelle Maginley-Liddie
Department of Correction Commissioner

Juanita Holmes
Commissioner, Department of Probation

Jasmine Georges-Yilla Executive Director of the New York City Board of Correction

Sharun Goodwin
Deputy Commissioner, Department of Probation

Matthew Granoff
Deputy Commissioner, Department of Probation

Bridget Hamblin
Department of Probation

Robert Maldonado
Department of Probation

Andrea McGill Department of Probation

Razwan Mirza
Department of Probation

Melissa Cintron Hernandez Board of Corrections

Danielle Ortega Board of Corrections

Katrina Blackman Board of Corrections

Hannah May-Powers Office of Brooklyn Borough President

Amanda Berman
Center for Justice Innovation

Sebastian Soloman Vera Institute of Justice

Victor Herrer Close Rikers Freedom Agenda

Prime Freedmen Citizens of New York and the American Freedmen

Christopher Jeffries Bronx Connect

Francis Torres
Department of Correction

James Saunders NYC Department of Correction

Ronald Day Fortune Society

Nicole Farrelly Osborne Association

Kelly Grace Price Close Rosies

Daniele Gerard Children's Rights

Tanesha Grant
Parents Supporting Parents NY

Eileen Maher VOCAL-NY

Amanda Granger CASES

Jennifer J Parish Urban Justice Center

LuAnne Blaauboer Good Shepherd Services

Peleya Patterson Good Shepherd Services

Lauren Stephens-Davidowitz Legal Aid Society

Sebastian Solomon Vera Institute of Justice

Darren Mack Freedom Agenda

Ashley Santiago Freedom Agenda

Batman Gee Youth Represent

Nancy Savasta
Department of Correction

Sherrie Rembert Department of Correction

Mala Srinivasan
Department of Correction

Patrick Benn
Department of Correction

Jeremiah Johnson
Department of Correction

Alexandria Maldonado Department of Correction

Matthew Passarelli Department of Correction

Sheldon Preston Johnson Queens Defenders/Freedom Agenda

Joanne Delapaz Freedom Agenda

David Long Liberty Fund

Tiffany Sloan P2PH

Amanda Berman Center for Justice Innovation

Jay Edidin
Women's Community Justice Association

Dalvanie K Powell United Probation Officers Association

Barbara Hamilton Legal Aid Society

Barbara Valceral Freedom Agenda

Yonah Zeitz Katal Center for Equity, Health, and Justice

Megan Carr New York Lawyers for the Public Interest

Mollie Beebe The New York Foundling - Families Rising Program

Jason Alleyne Exalt

King Downing American Friends

Jennifer J Parish Urban Justice Center

Megan French-Marcelin Legal Action Center

Akiana Smith Beyond Rosies under Women's Community Justice Association

Alexander Stein Self

SERGEANT AT ARMS: This is a microphone check for
the Committee on Criminal Justice. Today's date is
March 8, 2024. We're located in the City Hall
Chambers and the recording is done by Rocco

6 Mesiti.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning everybody and welcome to the Committee on Criminal Justice. At this time, we ask if you could please place phones on vibrate or silent mode. Thank you Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: [GAVEL] Good morning everyone. Welcome to the Fiscal 2025 Preliminary Budget hearing for the Committee on Criminal Justice. Thank you to Criminal Justice Committee Members for being here. I know online we have Council Member Tiffany Cabàn and Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala.

Congratulations to Commissioner Lynelle Maginley-Liddie. Welcome to your first Criminal Justice

Committee hearing as Commissioner. I'm also excited to be chairing this committee for the first time.

Great to see you Commissioner Juanita Holmes, Jasmine Georges-Yilla and additional leadership who have joined us today.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Today, I'm looking to gain insight into how the 2 3 Mayor's Budget proposal for these three agencies align with the goals of creating stability on the 4 5 island, getting people the support they need, ensuring people have a plan to successfully reenter 6 7 our communities and working towards the legal obligation to close Rikers. In the Fiscal 2025 8 Preliminary Plan, these three agencies have a combined total budget of \$1.15 billion, which 10 11 supports just under 10,000 budgeted positions. The plan includes minimal changes but today I would like 12 to dig into a few areas of discussion and concern. 13 14

First, given the daily risk of harm, injury or death at Rikers, we should be doubling down on programs the divert people from the island.

Expanding alternatives to incarceration is a commitment in the plan to close Rikers, however, this budget decreases funding to these programs.

In the last fiscal year, the Mayor proposed a 13 million cut to the Supervised Release Program even though the Administration testified last year that its caseload was growing exponentially. The Admin is proposing to cut \$8 million to reentry programs despite all evidence that they reduce recidivism. In

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

talented staff.

2 addition to these proposed cuts, I think we can all 3 acknowledge that the decision to abruptly cut \$17 4 million in funding to trusted credible organizations 5 that provided ATI and reentry services was a mistake. These programs are proven models that set people up 6 7 for healing and transformation. This disruption was costly in terms of time lost for individuals on 8 Rikers who were making progress and then were abandoned but also to the organizations who lost 10

The DOC's decision to reverse this cut rather quickly affirms that this was a poor choice. We welcome the course correction of restoring \$14 million of these funds for programs and the forthcoming RFP for organizations to apply, however, this does not fully restore the PEG.

The failure to expand ATI programs leads to my other concern, which is the projected jail population increase to 7,000 people by 2027. Not only is the Administration failing to fully utilize the programs for diverting people from and getting people off Rikers, but it has used this projected increase to justify increasing the number of beds in the boroughbased jail plan. The Admin was able to add beds by

_ -

reducing the number of therapeutic housing units even though 53 percent of the jail population is diagnosed with mental illness and 40 percent with addiction struggles. More concerning is the increase in beds for the Planned women's facility. We know many SIS women, self-identifying women and gender expansive individuals often end up in jail for surviving intimate partner violence and gender-based violence.

The city is falling short of its commitments to alternative supportive housing where women can safely heal and get the support they need. I also want to dig into DOC staff operations and deployment.

Reports from the Federal Monitor and the Board of Correction show the ongoing disregard for protocols when deploying staff. We see protocol not being followed when deescalating and using chemical agents, reports state that routine tours occur less frequently than protocol prescribes.

While visiting Rikers, I spoke with many detainees who were not getting to their medical and court appointments on time. Transportation to court is not the only challenge. Once people are at court, there are extensive delays bringing people from the

_

_ 1

pen to before a judge with individuals staying in the pens until 7:00-8:00 at night.

While we certainly have heard the arguments that DOC vacancies are increasing through attrition and recruitment challenges, Rikers has the highest ratio of officers to detainees in the country. Uniform headcount reductions should be consistent with reducing the jail population, which we are required to do to close Rikers. So, I'm hoping to get an update on how many of the officers reported as chronically absent in 2019 have returned to work or been terminated.

Reports also describe that not enough officers are deployed to jail posts. We have many people working at Rikers but not where the highest need is to ensure the safety of other staff and detainees. Failure to properly deploy staff to critical posts and ensure consistent tours has directly resulted in fatalities, such as the case with Erick Tavira and Gilberto Garcia. So, I'd like an update on how DOC incentivizes and trains officers to work in jail housing units.

There has been recent reporting that DOC is failing to meet minimum standards of care, such that

_

Э

detainees are reportedly washing their clothes in toilets or showering with their clothes on to clean them. I personally have seen unsanitary conditions in housing units, such as piles of trash and other issues such as lack of air conditioning or heat or issues with the commissary.

With such conditions in the jails, the Board of Corrections must have the resources required to provide oversight. The budget proposal reduces their staff positions and cuts DOCs budget by nearly 18 percent. While Commissioner Jiha testified that this cut is because of vacant positions, it is important to note that these posts are particularly hard to fill and the opportunity to recruit and retain staff for the Board must remain available given the humanitarian crisis on the island.

Another concern is that the Administration has failed to comply with the Renewable Rikers Act and transfer land over from DOC to DCAS. And I know that DOC cannot fully speak to this but JATC has been closed for 20 years. AMKC is now closed except for the industrial kitchen. From my tour last summer, vacant land is abundant. There seems to be no rational for why the Admin chooses to be noncompliant

with the law. We would love to hear about any conversations DOC has participated in regarding this.

Lastly, I will not spend much time on this but I would like at some point an update on the litany of taskforce, working groups and commissions that exist by law or executive order. It would be great to get updated rosters, get a list of which ones are incomplete. A breakdown on which of these met and what outcomes there were.

I don't normally go this long into remarks but I just outlined all of these concerns because as I step into Chairing this Committee, it really feels like I'm stepping into a big mess and it's really not clear if the Mayor is committed to getting us out of it. And this budget proposal certainly does not reflect a commitment to that goal.

At the end of the day, as our Speaker often says, no one should ever come out of city care worse off than when they arrived. We have a legal and moral obligation to close Rikers and that obligation requires this Administration to be laser focused on keeping and getting people off that island and then demolishing those jails.

With that said, I want to thank the Commissioner for joining today. I also want to thank you both for making time last week where we were able to introduce ourselves. I really enjoyed learning more about the two of you, how you got to this work, your approach and what drives you, and I hope that as we engage this year, we can have productive and forthright conversations. I want to work with you to identify roadblocks and challenges and the resources needed to get people off the island and safely back home.

I want to thank Speaker Adams for appointing me and trusting me to Chair this Committee. I know that Rikers is a personal issue to her and that closing those jails for good is a top priority. I also want to thank our Committee Staff whom I have had the pleasure of working with for the first time for their hard work. Financial Analyst Casey Lajszky, Counsel Jeremy Whiteman, Legislative Policy Analyst Natalie Meltzer. I am truly humbled by your knowledge and expertise and thank you to my Chief of Staff Samori Tourè and Legislative Director Annel Hernandez. I'd like to also recognize my colleagues who are joining us today Council Member Mercedes Narcisse. I also want to recognize our Public Advocate Jumaane

1

3

J

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

Williams and now, I'm going to pass it back to - I'm going to pass it over to the Public Advocate if you want to say any remarks.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. Good morning. My name is Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate of the City of New York. I want to thank Chair Nurse and the members of the Committee on Criminal Justice for holding this hearing. First I just wanted to make mention that when we had conversations about the budget, it didn't seem to include funding or a plan to actually close the jail and I heard comments about issues around particularly capital funding, which makes some sense, my concern has been combined with things that I've heard the Administration say before. It just appears that there is no real want or belief that we should close Rikers Island by 2027 and I think we have to at least get on the same page and have a plan to how to do it. Omitting it altogether is not a good move and it wouldn't be the first time that the administration just skips over a law that the Council has passed, so I'm hoping that would be reconsidered as these conversations move forward.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The existence of Rikers right now does not make anyone, the people incarcerated there, the people who work there, correction officers and residents of New York safer so I have always been very concerned about the violence inside our city jails, both against incarcerated people and corrections officers and staff, however, New York City is not on track to close Rikers by 2027 as I mentioned, and that's something we should at least agree upon. It's one thing to try to execute a plan that doesn't work out. It's another thing to say we're not going to do the plan or present another one. Recidivism is a challenge for correction systems across the country. We should all acknowledge with New York City's high cost of living and competitive job market; it is especially difficult for those who have been justice involved to stay out of jail.

Still, Mayor Adams, last year eliminated programs that would help those who were incarcerated get jobs, find housing, receive mental health and substance use treatment and reconnect with their families after their release to save \$17 million. Subsequently, despite a promise from DOC, that program would not be lessened in either quality or frequency after being

2.2

2.3

moved in house during the first four months of Fiscal Year '24. The number of group based programs and offers drop by 29 percent and one on one sessions drop by over 30 percent when compared to the first months of Fiscal Year '23. While I am very heartened to see that Mayor Adams just announced \$14 million in funding for DOC to increase programming initiatives for people in custody, including trauma informed programming, transition planning and transportation supplemental education services, I still have some concerns about DOC's ability to deliver that programming themselves.

Still this is a step in the right direction. I look forward to working with the Administration and the City Council to ensure that the city delivers high quality programming to people in custody and complies with all city laws.

The city should also be investing in pretrial non-cost for services and also post-trial services and alternatives to incarceration so fewer people enter Rikers Island in the first place and people have a better ability to assimilate into the siting when they leave. Court backlogs and slow processing of cases also contributes to the rising population.

Detainees spent an average of 115 days in the jails

last year, that is four times the national average.

I'm hoping we all work on getting some speedy trail

and getting folks out of there. Across the city

jails, 86.6 percent of people are just waiting for

their cases to conclude and their sentences

8 ultimately may require less jail time than what they

9 served waiting for those cases to resolve. We must

10 ensure that cases and trials are being processed in a

11 | timely manner. There is data that also shows the

12 longer someone is on Rikers, the more likely they may

13 be involved in something violent.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In 2021, it cost \$1 million for every two people incarcerated at Rikers Island. One of the most expensive jail systems in the country. Yet the conditions in jails remain abysmal. Being incarcerated takes a significant toll on a person's physical and mental health and many people on Rikers Island have complex health needs that require specialized care, particularly mental health.

There is a significant shortage of health staff, often with one healthcare professional making rounds in multiple units. Rikers Island is the largest mental health services provider in New York City,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

probably one of the largest in North America. week, Mayor Adams announced that the city construct outposted therapeutic housing units at NYC Health and Hospitals at Woodhall and North Central Bronx and that has started construction on a 104-bed unit at NYC Health and Hospitals Bellevue. So, that incarcerated people in need of care do not have to travel back and forth for treatment. These units are for people with medical, mental health and substance use needs and I applaud these investments in care for some of our most vulnerable New Yorkers. My hope is this is a direction that we could begin to go in. Make sure people have the care they need. Getting people, less people in Rikers, getting people what they need in Rikers and having more ability to get them out on the right path. Hopefully we can all work on that together. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Public Advocate. I also want to recognize we've been joined by Council Member Marte and Stevens. And I'm going to turn it over to Committee Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Madam Chair. I will swear in our first panel of the Administration

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

witnesses with us today from the Department of
Probation. We have Commissioner Juanita Holmes,
Sharun Goodwin, Matthew Granoff, Bridget Hamblin,
Robert Maldonado, Andrea McGill, and Razwan Mirza.

If you could all please raise your right hands.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and respond honestly to Council Member questions? Noting for the record, all witnesses answered affirmatively. You may begin your testimony.

JUANITA HOLMES: Can you hear me? Okay, good morning. Good morning Chair Nurse and members of the Council, Public Advocate. I'm Juanita N. Holmes, Commissioner of the New York City Department of Probation. I'm joined here today by the following executive cabinet members: Deputy Commissioner Sharun Goodwin, Matthew Granoff, Bridget Hamblin, Robert Maldonado and Andrea McGill, as well as our Chief Information Officer Razwan Mirza. I would like to thank you for the opportunity to discuss the important work being done by the Department of Probation and our preliminary 2025 fiscal year budget.

This is not right, sorry.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Probation is an alternative to incarceration emerging from the desire to reform rather than

punish. The probation system offers a rehabilitation

path to advance the goal of addressing the underlying

causes of criminal behavior and equipping clients and

their families with the skills and resources

necessary for law abiding life. Our mission at the

Department of Probation operationalized through

various programs and interventions aimed at

addressing substance abuse, unemployment, and

education or vocational deficits as well as creating

a stronger connection between clients and their

communities. Probation is unique in that it is

comprised of law enforcement officers who can manage

risk while providing the essential support and

supervision to assist people on their path toward

change.

As one of the largest alternatives to

incarceration in the nation, I am proud of DOPs

critical role in safely supervising individuals on

probation citywide. In Fiscal Year 2023, the

Department of Probation provided intake,

investigation and supervision services for over

30,000 cases, which is a 6 percent increase from

1 29,000 in fiscal year 2022. More specifically, our 2 3 intake has increased 25 percent from 8,800 to 11,000 individuals from fiscal year 2022 to fiscal year 2023 4 5 respectively. Furthermore, the Department of Probation provided supervision intake to an 6 7 additional 4,514 individuals, which is nearly a 15 percent increase from the 3,946 in fiscal year 2022. 8 Leading the total number of individuals supervised in Fiscal Year 2023 to 15,101. It is safe to say that 10 11 the number of individuals the Department of Probation 12 is touching, is growing. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Alternatively, for Fiscal Year 2025, the Department of Probation has a Preliminary Budget of \$105.6 million as compared to our Fiscal Year 2024 Adopted Budget of \$119 million. This is a 13 percent less inclusive of the \$9.1 million PEG reduction. the Preliminary Budget, \$71.9 million is for Personal Services and \$33.7 million is for Other Than Personal Services. \$84.7 million are city tax levy funds, \$14.6 million are state funds and \$6.3 million are intra-city funds.

Despite a rise in the cost of services and the decrease in our budget, Department of Probation is committed to ensuring that the best services are

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

provided to justice involved individuals, in the most efficient and effective ways. We are also committed to building stronger and safer communities while working to curb gun-violence and reduce recidivism.

Our hardworking probation officers are at the heart of DOP's mission to help people change their behavior, remain safely in their community and lead a better life for themselves, their families and our city. DOP's current headcount of Probation Officers and Probation Officer title is 423. This is down 271 Probation Officers from our peak Probation Officer headcount of 694 in 2019. To ensure DOP remains staffed to operate safely and successfully, we have been approved to hire another class of Probation Officers off a current active list and we are on schedule to onboard this class within the upcoming months. Additionally, an Administrative Probation Officer exam, which has not been administered in over a decade, is tentatively scheduled for May. We also promoted 26 officers to Supervising Probation Officers last year. Like the 75 percent of union contracts that have already been signed, we anticipate that Probation Officers will follow suit,

2

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

giving our officers a pay increase with the hopes of mitigating attrition.

While community safety can be achieved in a number of ways, public safety remains the paramount concern in the Administration of Probation. includes the safety of all our officers. result, active shooter training has been implemented at DOP, starting with the boroughs of the Bronx and Manhattan. This is also the reason why wearing uniforms have been reinforced, so our officers are clearly identifiable if met with such circumstances while working with clients or when out in the field. I would like to take the opportunity to clarify that this is not the first-time probation officers have been required to carry firearms on their persons while performing duties. However, a policy has been implemented to ensure that our officers are complying with the requirements that have been in place since 2003. DOP wants to remain on the offensive and not the defensive when it comes to the safety and security of our officers who continue to work and support at risk clients.

In order for our Probation Officers to provide the best support possible to clients, our officers

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

need to grow the knowledge base, improve the job

skills and become more efficient in the workplace.

This is why DOP is looking to build out in service

5 training for our officers beginning with our

6 supervising probation officers who will soon be

sharpening the hard and soft managements — their hard

8 and soft management skills that are essential to

9 performing their duties, such as effective case

10 management, communication, problem-solving and

11 decision making.

In addition to the great work done by the women and men of the Department of Probation, we have also established a new Programs and Evaluation team. This team has been created to obtain data beyond program attendance, to ensure the programs being offered to clients are effective and will also lead to successful outcomes. Since I last testified before Council, my team and I have met with our service providers to explain our program expectations and also to assist in capturing the outcomes of their programs. We have begun collecting data from 51 contracts including 26 service providers, covering 13 different programs. We will be using the data obtained over the next year to assess key performance

indicators relating to recidivism, employment opportunities, and educational attainment and will be happy to share our findings with the Council.

Another way in which DOP is further developing performance and productivity is through technology.

The case management program currently being used by DOP is over ten years old. Post evaluation, it was found that it no longer meets the needs of the agency or the city. As a result, DOP has hired a new Chief Information Officer who is working with the department to update all of DOPs technology systems. Not only will this safeguard the department but it will make sure that our officers are able to efficiently track their cases and afford DOP the ability to run accurate data sets relating to our cases with the push of a button, making DOP more efficient and effective.

DOP is also focused on building and expanding our collaborative efforts with our sister agencies including with the New York City Public Schools and New York City Police Department to better deliver timely services and resources to at risk individuals. DOP has collaborated with New York City Public Schools to identify students within the school system

_

who are currently on probation and under credited. A list of 461 students have been compiled and our agency will work together to ensure that each of these students is in the right school setting to meet their needs. Probation Officers will conduct follow-ups with schools to ensure each of these students is attending classes and receiving the proper education services.

This initiatives is in the beginning stages.

There is more work to be done, though we look forward to witnessing its achievements. Department of Probation has also partnered with the NYPD to include justice involved youth in the Girl Talk and Blue Chips mentorship programs. These programs are designed for young women and men who are brought together in a judgment free zone to talk through problems, learn skills and walk away with role models and a strong support system. This collaboration allowed DOP to leverage existing opportunities and make them available to our clients.

One of our primary goals, one of my primary goals as Commissioner is to curb gun violence and reduce recidivism. Using a combination of prevention and intervention, our probation officers work to restore

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

an individual's connection with their community and peers and help them change their behavior, creating a pathway out of the criminal justice system. accordance with these goals, we are focusing our resources on the people and communities where they need it the most. This philosophy is in compass through the Neighborhood Opportunity Network NeON , a unique and trusted place-based approach that provides a range of services to high concentrations of people on probation and other community members in the seven New York City neighborhoods historically impacted by the justice system, the South Bronx, Harlem, Jamaica, Bed-Stuy, Brownsville, East New York and Northern Staten Island. Over 30 percent of our clients come from these seven neighborhoods alone. Since its inception, the NeON model has had a tremendous success in terms of impactful engagement and providing services to local community.

In partnership with the New York City Gun
Violence Prevention Taskforce, and the New York City
Human Resource Administration, Department of
Probation is launching NeON expansion and initiative
to increase access to governmental benefits and
provide more effective support. In addition, NeON

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

expansion will focus on creating employment opportunities as well.

Our juvenile alternative to placement programs, ECHOES and AIM actively monitor youth through regular home visits and wellness checks with parents and guardians. They diligently work one on one with youth to underscore the detrimental effects of gun violence on persons, families and communities. is in addition to the many other programs that hold violence prevention groups and discussions for our city's youth.

Internally, the DOP has also initiated a specialized gun branch with the Department of Probation Adult Operations to combat gun violence. This branch is made up of 58 probation officers and 10 supervising probation officers who specifically supervise individuals sentenced to probation with a gun charge. This process will allow our officers to create strong engagement through dialogue and trust and identify the needs of these particular individuals which in turn will reduce further gun violence and help shift their path toward a lawabiding future.

2.3

We are also relying heavily on the work of our intelligence division to get guns off our streets and out of our communities. Over the past year, Intel conducted 10,918 field visits and 2,089 enforcement actions. This has been a 30 percent increase from the 7,955 field visits and 1,463 enforcement actions in calendar year 2022. These enforcement actions including gang related investigations, failure to report home visits and bench warrant enforcement actions and transporting prisoners to and from other jurisdictions.

Our Intelligence Division does great work and we discovered over the last year that over 20,000 individuals have an active warrant for a violation of probation. In effort to locate these individuals, our Intelligence division partnered with the NYPD and their ability to use their Domain Awareness Computer System to conduct warrant enforcement and to return these individuals to court. Moreover, enforcement actions often result in the recovery of numerous firearms, drugs and other contraband.

In Calendar Year 2023, Intel recovered 24 firearms while conducting field visits, also an

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2 increase from Calendar Year 2022, where they recovered 20 firearms.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Some of the most common reasons for recidivism include a lack of employment or economic opportunity. This is why DOP is offering new programs for individuals to attain their commercial driver's license, within our NeON locations. This program offers an opportunity for clients in their community to obtain a license in order to seek permanent employment. We are also looking into introducing entrepreneurial programs such as beautician and barber certifications in the near future. These programs will benefit all of our clients, especially our undocumented clients who are unable to participate in some of the current employment programs. In an effort to assist clients in finding employment, we have also partnered with the Department of Transportation to hire justice involved individuals to work at DOT sites to assist in managing vehicular bicycle or pedestrian traffic. While appreciative of the assistance third parties offer to engage our clients in employment, DOP is becoming more proactive in establishing economic opportunities for individuals before the completion

2.2

of their probation sentence. In the same spirit, DOP will be requiring clients to participate in an exit interview a few months prior to leaving probation supervision to ensure each individual has established their basic fundamental needs, such as employment, housing, education, proof of identification, the ability to obtain food, access to formal banking and access to proper clothing and furniture needs.

Lastly, I would like to touch on one of the most vulnerable populations within our city, individuals who are homeless. Our Probation Officers are dedicated to working with individuals to overcome barriers and seek permanent housing. However, we recognize a gap in services and have engaged in constructive conversations with organizations and agencies such as DHS, Covenant House and DYCD to explore options available to individuals who are homeless or living in shelters. We look forward to sharing more information about additional programs and benefits for our unhoused population with Council once they are more established.

The work that this Department engages in is not only impactful, it is also lifesaving. This is attributed to the unwavering commitment of our

2	

2.2

2.3

Probation Officers to meet the needs of our clients and their families. Our work, however, is far from done and there is more that each member of this agency can do to assist some of our fellow New Yorkers and improve the quality of the services provided. We look forward to working with Council on our path towards increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of probation and in turn improving public safety and reducing recidivism. Thank you for the opportunity to testify this morning and I will be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Commissioner. I also want to recognize Council Member Shahana Hanif and Shaun Abreu have joined us. Thank you.

Okay, so I want to start questions with the Arches program and the re-estimation. This plan reflects a savings of \$600,000 in FY24 and FY25 due to the re-estimation of the Arches program. The DOP stated that these cuts were made due to underutilization of some of these services. Can you please state which services were underutilized and how many participants attended the Arches program in the last year?

2	

JUANITA HOLMES: So, I'll speak about Arches overall. You know when I took office and came in, Arches was a program that originally was five days a week. I was briefed about the Arches program being scaled down to three days a week when I went to do some visits at the NeON center and that was a result of not necessarily referrals but lack of participation, which led me to say, okay what is being offered there? We need to take a look at it or who is delivering the particular mentorship. Let's take a look at it.

So, I will defer to the Deputy Commissioner of Adult Operations in order to get the number of individuals that are in attendance or I'm sorry, Deputy Commissioner Robert Maldonado.

ROBERT MALDONADO: Hi, thank you for the question.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: You got to turn it on.

ROBERT MALDONADO: Thank you for the question.

In calendar year 2023, we had a total of 381 participants. New enrollees meaning that we're in the actual calendar year, not brought over from 2022 was 275.

_

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And what was some of the reasons you found when you started looking into the program that there was an underutilization from your point of view?

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, so nationally we have credible messengers, not necessarily credible messengers that were previously incarcerated but are key stakeholders in the community. So, as a result of such, Deputy Commissioner Sharun uhm, good win for probation. She oversees our adult operations which the NeON falls under, have been conducting interviews to make sure that the message is standardized, that they are engaging. We are currently down seven credible messengers, so those positions have been posted. Naturally we were at a point where we were met with a hiring freeze. Neither here nor there. We still had individuals to provide the services that were needed when individuals were attending.

What I did see though is a lack of compliance, which naturally I spoke about earlier by our clients in attending these particular programs. So, as a result of such, we had met with a vendor that I like called Street Smarts, it's used in the New York City Police Department, it's a program called Options. We

know young people love virtual reality. So, we're in
the process of purchasing three of these mechanisms
that would be more engaging. It is customizable, so
we can create scenarios and that's how we reach our
young people. So, we really had to step up our game
with what would entice them to come in and want to
participate in the program. Long gone are the days
to sit here and just listen to what I have to say you
know and sometimes they look at it like we're parents
just talking. So, I think this program, putting this
in place, I think it's going to be very intriguing
and not just young people but even adults are going
to love to participate in this and especially the
mere fact that it's customizable because we can give
them options and options is simply that we create a
scenario they might have been involved in and the
outcome of two options. And so, now we say what made
you make this decision? It's very engaging, it's
thought provoking. So, I think that's going to be
phenomenal. We're currently meeting Deputy
Commissioner Maldonado is currently meeting with them
and we're in the process and we do have the funds to
purchase a 3D system.

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And when do you expect that

3 to come online?

2.2

2.3

JUANITA HOLMES: I'm hoping in the next month.

ROBERT MALDONADO: We're actually meeting with them on March 18th. We're trying to figure out next steps as far as procurement. It may be within the next 30 to 60 days.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. So, the credible messengers that you were down a certain number, I think it's at 7 that you were down. Is that going to continue forward or you're completely transitioning to this other —

JUANITA HOLMES: No, no, no because the credible messengers are going to be trained in the usage to do this.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay.

JUANITA HOLMES: Yes, they're going to be a big part of it as well.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay and my understand is these programs are often mandated as a condition of probation, so I'm a little bit unclear about how it's possible to have low utilization if they're mandated.

JUANITA HOLMES: Right. So, that was my question when I came in as well and naturally we don't want to

2.2

2.3

hit young people with technical violations for not attending. But you know that's why folks are also on the educational component. You still have to be going to school and you still have to make your

Yes, it is mandated. That was my perplexity but also I know it has to be something that they're interested in. So, moving forward, hopefully this will reduce some of that noncompliance.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you.

visits with your Probation Officer.

JUANITA HOLMES: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Regarding the impact program cancelation, can you breakdown a little bit of the reasoning behind canceling the program and which specific service types will be effected?

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, so coming in, the program that was counseled when we tabled it, it was never used. As a matter of fact, Deputy Commissioner of Adult Operations wasn't aware of it. The Deputy Commissioner of Juvenile Operations wasn't aware of it. That was supposed to be designed and built out. I think the Touch the Youth part, they didn't have any clients. They did have staffing that they put in place but they were, it never got off the ground up

Т	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 39
2	and running. So, therefore that particular vendor, I
3	think we're in the process of paying them for
4	administrative fees, \$300,000 because they did kind
5	of put some staffing in place, but however, they
6	didn't have clients for the program, so it never got
7	up and running.

So, that's what was brought to my attention but in addition, we, the same vendor has an impact program currently that we use both for young people and adult, and Mat Granoff you want to speak to that?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: So, you're saying the program had staffing but who, can you just kind of name who was delaying it? Was the Administration delaying it or was it the provider?

JUANITA HOLMES: I think, I really don't know because when I came in, it wasn't up and running. Was it DOP? The provider, oh the provider, the provider.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: So, you're saying the provider was delaying -

JUANITA HOLMES: Yeah, but they're still part of us because we have the mobile; what is it called?

MATTHEW GRANOFF: Adolescent Therapy.

2.2

2.3

2	
3	

JUANITA HOLMES: Adolescent Therapy. 5

4

expansion of what they proposed expansion of what was

addresses juveniles and adult. This was some sort of

5

in place. And we can revisit it because I don't have

6

a problem revisiting it but at the time, they said

7

that the provider was delaying it. So, I didn't

8

understand why it was that it was just -

9

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: We've heard the opposite.

10

So, how many people does MAT serve versus what the

11

impact program was scheduled to serve?

12

JUANITA HOLMES: Does what serve?

13

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: The MAT.

14

JUANITA HOLMES: The Mobile uh?

15

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: The mobile adolescent, I'm

16

sorry, I don't know all the acronyms. Mobile

17

Adolescent Portable Therapy Program. How many

18

individuals does that program serve versus what

19

impact was scheduled to serve?

20

MATTHEW GRANOFF: I don't know about the impact number.

21

2.2

JUANITA HOLMES: So, there was - I don't think, I

23

don't know if that program had a number scheduled to

24

serve the impact. I didn't see one.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUANITA HOLMES:

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: So, I believe in prior testimony, impact was scheduled to serve 175 youth and the MAT program is scheduling -is serving 36 youth is what was testified before.

JUANITA HOLMES: Alright so 175 but I know that the Mobile Adolescent serve several adult population and young people. So, wherever the calls is provided with the service but that particular program that I was briefed on when I came in, the impact, I was told that the provider was delaying it and that it never got up and running. That's what I was told.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Well, I guess in the follow up, it would be great to get the numbers and more clarity on what exactly were the reasons for the delays if you know, because we were under the impression that this was your decision to delay the program.

JUANITA HOLMES: No.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: So, if you're saying it's not, it would be great to hear what exactly transpired because it seems like they were scheduled to really serve quite more people than the MAT program.

Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Uhm, and then one last question on impact. What kinds of analysis did the department conduct to determine whether programs with overlapping services are redundant and is there a cost benefit analysis involved?

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, so no cost benefit

analysis involved. I know originally when we looked at a program Next Steps, that I had canceled, looking at that program, the reason it was cancelled, it wasn't funding. It was the fact that there were no real key performance indicators. Even though it was scheduled to be cancelled and it was cancelled, I extended it. I met with all the vendors. I spoke with them. I asked for the evaluations, the support. Even some of them personally stated that they didn't put anyone in place that should have been place. That they, you know to evaluate the program. Moving forward, the majority of those vendors with the exception of one is still part of Department of Probation programs. So, what I did was, I made sure that with the changes that were put in, we met with all the providers. We spoke about what key performance indicators looked like, successful outcomes, not just having 16 people attend, 20 people

determine if your program is successful. So, I would

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

love to see those RFPs and if those providers in fact

3 provided like their metrics of success because I

4 can't imagine that folks who are uhm, you know have

5 organizations to work with justice impacted

individuals aren't you know, assessing their programs 6

and aren't being able to articulate. This is why our

program works. This is why we should continue.

JUANITA HOLMES: And I agree. I agree wholeheartedly. Even when I met with them, they said, you know we're keeping people out of jail. know in my previous profession; I kept a lot of kids out of jail. But my point is with that particular program when we looked at our RFP's because I'm going to dig through my due diligence. I want to see your RFP. What does it state? It really had attendance. And so, naturally on our receiving end and this is Deputy Commissioner Maldonado. New position created for programs and evaluation. On our receiving end, I believe it was inputted into DOP Connect. That's what you would see and then you see us, the previous administration saying, get your numbers up. Maybe I got a different lens. I'm not saying anything is done wrong. I want to see young people successful.

I don't want to see them again. I want to see them

I agree.

2 employed, the under credited individuals, should have

been identified to go to school, get them up to

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, I understand.

4 grade, remedial courses, tutoring.

I know you know we've had the conversation before this hearing, I know what you're intentions are but I think it would be, I personally would love in the follow up if we could look at those contracts and really make a determination whether or not in fact

11 there were additional metrics of success beyond just

attendance. I personally find that very hard to

13 believe.

1

3

6

7

8

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to move on, I just have a few more questions and then I'm going to turn it over to the Committee. I want to recognize Council Member Restler had joined us. Uhm, so one of the things stepping into this role, when we talk to a lot of providers, there was a real concern about probation officer firearm possession.

JUANITA HOLMES: Right.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And we've heard reports that all — in your testimony that DOP employees in the probation officers, civil service, title series, are now required to carry firearms. What improvements

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

have you seen in the Department specifically since implementing this policy?

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, so I didn't implement the In 2003, the former Commissioner mandated policy. firearms. It is part of the notice of examination for the probation officers position. There is a five-day training, firearm course that's part of their training in order to qualify. To get the job, you have to pass the firearm qualification. I didn't implement that. What I saw was probation officers doing visits, home visits in adult services operations, not having their firearms on them when it was mandated. So, I reinforced that, especially when arrests are being made sometimes off these visits for firearms. So, it was really out of safety, being proactive, being on the offense that this policy was put in place 20 something years before I took office, so I don't know how it was presented as though I mandated firearms.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I understand. Can you share any number of injuries or fatalities that have happened during these kinds of inspections over the last few years? I mean, you said this was mandated 20 years ago. It really hasn't been enforced, so it

2.2

2.3

would be great to hear some data to back up the need to re- kind of reenforce that mandate.

The first thing I said was, how many probation officers have been injured? But the second thing I said, that's a good thing because I don't think there's ever been a line or duty with a probation officer and me reenforcing the carrying of firearms coming into office. I believe that Haun had it in place for 20 some odd years. In addition to that, it might have been loosely, maybe not enforced with the previous Commissioner but no, I don't — Probation Officers, we do have some injuries, not life threatening I should say.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, it will be great in the follow up specific, you know like an injury report.

What has been sustained injuries during these inspections over the last let's say three to five years.

JUANITA HOLMES: Five years.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, five years would be great to get an understanding. Uhm, and then are they required to carry firearms on all of their duties?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUANITA HOLMES: They're required to carry firearms. The decision -

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Like, are there times where it's not required? I understand that they have inspections but if they're sitting with someone in a community center, checking in, how are things going, how are things going at home?

JUANITA HOLMES: They can cover their firearm. They have lockboxes to secure their firearm. If thev want to make a more comfortable setting, they have the liberty to do that. If that's the question.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, I know that uhm you know providers are in organizations within the NeON network.

JUANITA HOLMES: Right.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Have asked that officers not you know wear uniform or carry firearms. And wondering if you all are considering that ask?

JUANITA HOLMES: Well, the ask is well, they can actually cover their firearm. A young person or an adult wouldn't even know that they have a firearm on. The uniform shirts are in place, always have been in place. I think it's important especially when we think about the number of individuals we're

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 49
2	supervising with gun charges. The guns that are
3	being removed on home visits. But more importantly
4	they are the authority. It's not even for the reason
5	to scare a kid. You're the authority. An individual
6	should know who the authority is and that should be
7	easily discerning and God forbid, if it is something
8	where an active shooter or something goes awry,
9	people responding should know whose who. I think it
10	makes it a lot easier and it's not a full uniform.
11	They're wearing shirts, soft shirts.

Okay. Yeah, it's not like, I CHAIRPERSON NURSE: mean I think a teenager would understand who's in charge there, with or without the polo shirt with the Signiant but I understand what you're saying. I can understand what you're saying.

JUANITA HOLMES: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I have a question. hasn't NeON launched? It's our understanding that it had funding. Has an RFP been issued or will one be issued?

JUANITA HOLMES: You're talking about the expansion?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yes. NeON Plus.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

securely housed? How many are homeless?

25

2.2

2.3

2 services does the Department offer to get these folks
3 properly housed?

JUANITA HOLMES: So, we have about — what's that number? 300?

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: How many?

JUANITA HOLMES: 300 that are currently homeless or living in a shelter. 55 that have been identified as being homeless. Currently, we have been working with DHS and some other third-party facilities that offer housing as well. One in particular is Doe Fund. We've had several meetings with them. I like them because they do have housing and they also when you're part of their housing, you can be part of their programs and they have some real what I like, significant programs such as welding that they have some cohorts going on with that.

So, the 55 individuals we're actually looking at, where are they now and even if they're in a shelter, we can transfer them to Doe Fund. Or if they show up at the address, if they show up at 33B for DHS, Doe Fund will actually come down, meet them and have them transition. And they do have the availability in what's called Porter House I believe in Harlem they stated. But more importantly, DHS is right in the

2 building downstairs. We're working with them. So,

3 those 55 names we have and we're meeting with the

4 Deputy Commissioner of DHS regarding those

5 individuals as well.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Okay, thank you. Uhm, Governor Hochul has proposed closing five state correctional facilities in the next fiscal year. A plan that she says would save the state \$77 million. Do you see any potential impact that the closing of this facility will have on the Department of the population at Rikers or the population at Rikers? Has the DOC been coordinating with the Department of Correction? — Oh no, that's not yours sorry.

So, this one I want you to answer. Do you see any potential impact?

JUANITA HOLMES: Yes, so I do and even with the commission that's being formed down and I think hopefully we'll have the confirmation of the last two individuals to that's going to be part of the Conditional Release Commission. So, even that we're planning for, so I'm going to need more people. So, I stated this. I actually put in for PARs to hire bigger classes because yes, I feel as a result of that, more people may be under community supervision.

2.2

2.3

So, we're forecasting for that. In addition to that, we're looking at real programs such as I spoke about the CDL, overwhelming attendance with that particular program. Naturally, they need a license. There's some fees. So, we're trying to mitigate and work around some of those circumstances. Welding is another vendor that we're looking at that I think it would be huge. You don't need a high school diploma; you only need to know how to operate the machine. So, hiring of more people will be needed for the Department of Probation but we are definitely forecasting in the right direction that we're going to have some, a lot more people under community supervision.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: According to the preliminary budget, the average compensation for a nonsupervisory probation officer is approximately \$50,000. Do you believe that the salary makes it difficult to retain and hire probation officers?

Why? And why not?

JUANITA HOLMES: So, I think the salary plays a big part on keeping them, maintaining our staffing numbers. The hiring, we do see people taking the exam and they are eager. We just brought another

2.2

2.3

class in and they are currently being vetted for the
position and they were equally excited because the
onboarding process has been made smaller, a lot
smoother but keeping them, the salary definitely has
an effect on it. But I do believe and I know this
was stated, quoted by the Mayor's communication
person, that like the other 75 percent of unions that

have contracts have been signed, that probation

officers will see an increase in pay.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I hope so. What is the Department of Probations rule in addressing the city's rise in gun violence? How is your department working with other agencies to address gun violence? Did the preliminary plan include any additional resources for addressing gun violence?

JUANITA HOLMES: So, what we did in Department of Probation, Department of Probation Adult Services, they're broken down by what they call branches.

Intense engagement, and various other different components. There was a total of six. What we did was parce out anyone that's involved in gun violence. They had their own branch. They need a different type of supervision. We want to reduce their gang attendance naturally. Even with raise the age, we

2	have an increase in our population. We have, what
3	was it 2,000 I think was the number for the gun, the
4	gun cases? Yes, 2,000. I believe approximately
5	2,100 of the 9,000 individuals under supervision are
6	on for a gun charge. So, as a result of such we took
7	58 probation officers, 10 supervising officers, and
8	we want to focus on the basic fundamental needs. If
9	they need a high school diploma. If they have
10	family. If they have children. You know you want
11	some type of leverage you want to speak with them
12	about and with the hopes of keeping them on a right
13	path but more importantly, 84 percent of the adult
14	population are over the age of 24 and are men. And I
15	always say this, I know women need money. We need
16	money too but men need money. It defines, it
17	character defines who they are. They need
18	employment.

So as a result of some of them that are under credited or don't have a high school diploma, We want to work with them to get them if they have no interest, and people they don't have an interest in education but you need to read a 7th grade level or be able to do math to even have a bank account. So, we are definitely working with them, with these

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Narcisse. Public Advocate.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member

remedial courses, but more importantly, plugging them into these vocational programs. So, they can have some real significant employment.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: As a former nurse working with people on program, a reentry program, one of the things I heard my colleagues just mention is how people are not objective to the decision. When it comes to schools, they don't give them flexibility. I used to have people complain all the time. They cannot go to school because by the time their curfew, they get in. I know it become individual things but I would like for you to look into it because when folks, young folks trying to do the best, we don't want people to use their authority to abuse their authority over people that are looking for opportunities.

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, absolutely yeah, not here. That's not the case. And we even have a high school equivalency that we plugged into one of our NeONs as well as tutoring services. So, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you. Thank you Chair.

2	
3	an
4	On
5	th
6	ex
7	th
8	fi
9	wi
10	th
11	са

2.2

2.3

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Madam Chair and thank you Commissioner. I had a few questions.

One, I wanted to go back to the guns conversation and there was and I hope you agree with me, there was an exception I wanted to push back to the framing. I think you said we have to know who the authority figure is and so I was concerned about assigning that with a gun because I think that's a different message than what we're trying to tell our young people and can be misconstrued. Whoever has the bigger gun is what shows who the authority figure is.

JUANITA HOLMES: No, that was regarding the uniform shirt, the soft shirt. Not the gun.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Oh.

JUANITA HOLMES: Yeah, that's just the uniform, not the firearm. Absolutely not, not the firearm.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Okay uhm, but in that and so, this is I don't want to get into the conversation of who should have a firearm and who shouldn't. But I think something unique happened here and so, this was put in 2003 and for whatever reason, it wasn't enforced. So, we have two decades of data of what it's like to carry out this job without the firearm. And so, have we looked at that

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

data to see if we're able to do without a firearm
before we make a decision to reenforce it?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, so I want to clarify, everyone had a firearm. I didn't give them one. They - it's part of their job. So, what was happening was, exactly like I said, if they're in a NeON and they feel they want to secure their firearm, it was my job to make sure they had a space to secure their firearms while dealing with their clients. They have that space. It's been provided. I put in cameras over the space. There's an access card to get in, so even clients coming into the facility won't be able to compromise it. It's their discretion to when they're intact and when they're compliant. When they're going out and responding to someone's home, that's a whole different story and I don't think something should have to happen before we say, "oh well, maybe if they were carrying." You know so -

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I think that is a fair point. I'm just saying that we had a unique opportunity to have a discussion about something that we otherwise might not have. I think the assumption would be that we need to take a firearm on some of

these visits but we have two decades of there that might not have been happening. So, that might have

4 been an interesting way to look at whether it is

5 necessary on all of these visits. And so, my

6 question is did we digest any conversation of data to

7 see if that was something that we needed to

8 reenforce.

1

2

3

24

25

JUANITA HOLMES: So, yes, that data was my life.

10 | I did look at data. I don't want it to be where

11 people think for two decades they weren't carrying

12 | firearms. Several members were and some weren't.

13 | That's what it was but yes, the data -

14 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: So, that's even

15 better, we had a control.

16 JUANITA HOLMES: Well, I don't think it was a

17 control because God forbid something happened and I'm

18 using you as a shield because you got the firearm.

19 So, but my thing is, what happens is that when we

20 took a look at the data, no line of duty does. I

21 don't know if that was attributed to not caring or

22 caring or just them, just God is good. But with that

23 being said, I know that probation officers have

suffered some injuries but nothing you know that I've

seen when we looked at the data and I have members

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: So, there was already

24

25

a gun present?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ANDREA MCGILL: Yeah, one but somebody else didn't have one and that became an issue for the offices.

JUANITA HOLMES: So, the clarity is, if they're inside and they're dealing with NeONs, it's optional. When they go out and they're conducting field visits, the supervision operations, they all should be carrying a firearm. Does that make sense?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: No, but my time is on, so I just want to say I think we're trying to find a world where we can communicate without guns. had asked me in 2003, whether they should or should not, I probably would say they might need it and what I'm saying is now, for whatever reason, we have a lot. Two decades of where it wasn't mandated and it seemed to have been, whatever was put in place, whether it was supervisors who had it, not everybody, it seemed to be working. I'd rather, I don't want to belabor here, so maybe there's a conversation to have I'm just saying if it was working, why at this moment would we have to change it? Perhaps having less of a firearm, calm the situation to make it go a certain way. I don't know the answer but I

just want to know if there was you know conversations that have occurred before we made that decision.

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, so it was conversations but I think it's confusion. If the majority of people in operations had their firearms, some members may have had their fire removed for a domestic incident, you know personal violations or whatever that, you know against the agencies policy. Those are the individuals that are in operations going out. I think it's about 33 people now. So, those were the individuals that we wanted to make sure had their firearms along with the rest of the supervision.

Because that supervision was always mandated and always had firearms for the last 21 years. That's what she's saying.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Yes and some people didn't. My time is up so I look forward to having those conversations. I was going to ask a question and we can ask later, so maybe I'll just make it a point. I think young people don't like adults and they don't like law enforcements and we're usually the one's making the program, so I was just wondering if you are using other people to help design the programs and implement it and Commissioner Maginley I

won't be here for my questions because I have to head

out but I'm looking forward to have a conversation

with you about Rikers. So, thank you for being here

5 as well. Thank you everybody.

2.2

2.3

JUANITA HOLMES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Public Advocate and as I mentioned, I think you know just having an understanding of our people being injured and do the type of scenarios that they might be injured merit a firearm? And even with a response with a firearm be necessary? I don't want to belabor it because we spent enough time on it but I have Council Member Stevens followed by Hanif followed by Restler followed by Brewer.

just have a couple of questions and I guess it's interesting because just to just piggyback where Public Advocate left off. I do want to hear more about how you are incorporating young people into the program model. Because I know you mentioned that you're having a new virtual program and those types of things and I'm a program person and too often adults are sitting in a room saying like, this is going to be a great idea and we know nothing. And

_

Э

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I hear you. We're on the same page. I'm a program person and honestly,

So, yes, so that's -

so, I want to know what that process looks like?
What young people you spoke to? Do you have an
advisory board? How are you getting young peoples
input and feedback on the program that you're
providing? Because I know you were very excited
about the virtual program but how do we know kids are
going to like it?

JUANITA HOLMES: Because that's what we do. We get surveys, right and we have $-\$

JUANITA HOLMES: And we surveys that we created and we've had these conversations even for adults, not just young people. It's their decision. Like we do good at saying even when I looked at the programs we had in place, who made the decision to have these programs in place? Some of those programs were not offsetting recidivism. So, you are absolutely right. So, that's what we do, not just with the young, but even adults. What type of employment are you interested in? What do you think would help you?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: How many surveys did you get filled out?

JUANITA HOLMES: Uhm, I don't know how many surveys she has. I'll get the numbers for you. We don't have them but I'll get it.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yes, please.

JUANITA HOLMES: But also what we're doing now is we have what's being built out, that's why I have a

2	new Chief Information Officer, right? We know
3	technology is the way to communicate with people.
4	So, he's building out something called Mobile DOP,
5	where not only just where they can conduct surveys,
6	like how are we doing? I want something to say, how
7	are we doing? Because you're absolutely right, we
8	think we're doing the right thing for them. So, for
9	adults and for children. But in addition to that,
LO	reminding them, this is your court date. Reminding
L1	them, you know you're due to come in and see you know
12	your counselor, you know your probation officer or
L3	either. We're coming to conduct a home visit today.
L 4	Don't forget curfew is this time. We're trying to
L5	offset a lot of these nonsense violations but more so
L 6	arrests, right? Because we're seeing the recidivism
L7	and it's, I'm not going to say it's an increase it's
L8	just always been here. It's just been not really
L 9	looked at in that particular lens. And you want to
20	reduce recidivism. You want them to have a
21	productive life. So, how do we keep them occupied,
22	right? But we also need their buy in as to what they
23	particularly like.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: No, I agree. Like I said, I've been in the program. I was in the program

24

25

and I'm just - I am the person who's always like,

2

1

for 12 years and I often coming into this role,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24 25

well, where are the young people? JUANITA HOLMES: That's right. COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: And so, I would love to get that information on like who was surveyed? What

there's always a room full of adults making decisions

important and making sure that that is happening not just here but across the board. I'm always asking

that data looks like because I think those things are

these questions and so I'm very -

JUANITA HOLMES: Yes, and we also you know like I said we partner with NYPD. Two mentorship programs that I created from way back when and girl talk and we just had a big event at police headquarters with young ladies from all over. DOE you know Department of Education was a part of it. Probations was a part of it but what I like about that is that they say kids don't like law enforcement. That program there, they feel so empowered just being in the room. They've gotten awards.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Well, I want to say that, because I think you're saying, you said that kids don't want law enforcement and I think that's a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

misconception because it's not that they don't like 2 3 It's often that they have been terrorized and 4 we have not done the work to improve those relationships in those communities. And so, I think you know we shouldn't say that they don't like them. 6 If I've only seen abuse in my communities and I have 8 not seen you come in and actually try to help me, then I'm going to be standoff-ish about it. And so I do think that we need to fix the work and often we're 10 11 not doing that. We'd rather play basketball than take pictures and everything looks nice but that's 12

And I had another question, even around the steps program, I know you said that there was like no evaluations and like the RFP. That sounds strange to me because like I said, I was in the program for years. I've never seen an RFP and like has had any indicators of success. Like there's no way that RFP was put out. That's just not even how RFPs work.

you know that a whole other thing.

JUANITA HOLMES: I'm going to tell you something. I said the same thing. I've been in city government for 30 something years, doing programs myself right. You want successful outcomes. I walked into this seat and I'm telling you what I saw was metrics of

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

attendance. And I have a program person, my guidance over the contracts, they are here. Everybody at this table looked at the programs. He did a deep dive in it. I have no reason to tell you -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: No, I'm not saying that but even a metric of attendance is a metric.

So, to say that there

JUANITA HOLMES: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS:

isn't any, that is a metric and especially for that program specifically. I think what happens in programming right, we often say like you have to have all these things and we have all these things. Sometimes you do need programs that have lower hanging fruits to engage these young people. And so, attendance is the prime purpose right. And so, that program was a mentorship program that was in developments that were engaging young people who typically aren't engaged and it was really hard. And so, getting them there was a challenge and so, to give someone who is really hard, that doesn't come to any other programs is probably not coming to the community, that's probably not going to school, and is engaged in other illegal activity, it's really hard to get them there.

So, that is why that bar was so low because

2 | 3 | e 4 | h 5 | d 6 | t 7 | m 8 | k 9 | b 10 | s 11 | i 12 | e 13 | t 14 | a

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

engaging them took so much work. And so, I think we have to also look at the differences. There's different programs for different things and saying that attendance isn't enough for that program, it made sense because it was so difficult to get those kids there. So, maybe you want it a little bit more but maybe it's like we could have layered it and said, okay, attendance is the first step. Something is the second step and instead of saying let's eliminate it, I think sometimes we don't take the time to say lets stop and evaluate a program and then address it and change it because it doesn't make It was working and lets say even if it was only 200 kids in the program. We just lost those 200 kids because now we have reengage them. Even if it's a new program, it's still reengaging them in a different way. So, I'll leave it there and if there's a second round, we'll ask some more questions.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member

Stevens. I wanted to kind of touch on it, on a piece
while Council Member Hanif is out. There was some
reporting where it was reported that you had directed

2.2

2.3

staff to issue probation violations to people who aren't attending school or working and this is for youth ages 12 to 17 which drove data up 44 percent for technical violations. But earlier you had mentioned you're really not trying to do that and based on what Council Member Stevens is saying is like, we're cutting these programs where okay, we lost 200 youth or 35 youth. We still lost them. It seems like we're not focused on keeping them engaged and looking at the root cause of why they're not showing up to school or why they're not showing up to work.

I understand that some of this has to do with like well, maybe the type of programming isn't what they wanted and you're looking to bring in different types of programs from what they've said that they would be interested to but it seems like these things aren't necessarily in alignment.

JUANITA HOLMES: I can assure you, listen the paper is going to do what they want. I came from PD, so they want to try and say, oh, she's law enforcement. They have no idea what I did in PD for young people and mentorship. So, my thing is, that's not the case. Even if you look at our violations,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

the highest violation numbers are arrests. That's it
and if there's an increase than there's an increase
in arrests and if there's an increase in arrests that
tells me, we got to do something different as far as
programming when it comes to our young people. The
next highest thing for violations and we took a look
at and had the data, failure to appear, which will
result in if we don't see you for a year or six
months, that can result in a warrant. So, I took a
look at their data. There is no technical files.
I'd rather put a solution in place for school. If
you're not attending school then there's remedial
programs. Then there's tutoring. Maybe we can get
you up to 7^{th} grade, reading and math level and you
pass the GED. I'm never going to violate a child but
papers or sensationalizing -

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: You didn't direct people.

JUANITA HOLMES: Absolutely not.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay.

JUANITA HOLMES: Absolutely not.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great, thank you for being here today. Commissioner and DOP team would just like to remind you that you're under oath and

the integrity of what is said at these hearings is of upmost importance to the members of this Committee and to the public at large. I've been concerned about some of the inconsistencies in the things that I've heard today.

I'd like to just start with the reality that the number of kids in jail has doubled under Mayor Adams tenure. Doubled and DOP has a central role to play in sending these kids to jail, so after a young person is arrested, they go to DOP for an interview and then the decision is made to refer the case to the Law Department for prosecution in Family Court. DOP is not required to send every case to the Law Department for prosecution. Uhm, why have so many cases — how many cases have been referred to the Law Department so far in FY24?

JUANITA HOLMES: Do you have your number Matt?

MATTHEW GRANOFF: So, I don't have that number but it sounds like your indicating every case is being referred.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: No, of course not.

23 MATTHEW GRANOFF: That's clearly, that's clearly

24 | not the case right?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But a lot more are.

2.2

2.3

MATTHEW GRANOFF: A lot more are. We're also seeing a lot more violent felony arrests than felonies.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: There's a choice on that. I mean there's a 44 percent increase in felony arrests that was celebrated in your all's PMMR but only an 8 percent in gun arrests for those same kids. So, you're choosing the Department of Probation, the Law Department, is choosing to send more kids to jail. That is why these numbers have skyrocketed.

MATTHEW GRANOFF: So, two responses right? The first one is there's eligibility and suit ability.

Some cases are not eligible. But the second thing is, the fact that we refer a case to the presented agency doesn't mean they're going to jail. They're going to juvenile detention.

Seeing more and more kids get sent to jail to juvenile detention, to secure detention. So, since you've come into office and brought in a new leadership team at the Department of Probation and I just have to say, I had a great working relationship with multiple commissioners over multiple administrations and the senior leadership team at DOP

was reform oriented and thoughtful. I'm very concerned about the change and trajectory that we're seeing under your leadership at the Department of Probation. We've seen cuts of \$700,000 to the Impact Program. \$2.6 million to Next Steps. \$1.6 million to Arches. Nearly \$5 million in cuts to Alternative to Incarceration programs under your tenure. Is that correct?

JUANITA HOLMES: It sounds about right.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Do you know how much we're spending on increased incarceration of kids in jail in our youth detention, secure detention facilities?

JUANITA HOLMES: No.

million. A 50 percent increase from FY19 to the present. So, over these years, we've seen spending increase by 50 percent in our jails by \$20 million while we've cut by \$5 million, the programs that serve to keep people out of jail that are effective alternative to incarceration programs. You said there's no data for the Next Steps program.

JUANITA HOLMES: Not next steps. Next steps was

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: You said there was no data, is that right?

JUANITA HOLMES: Next steps, Next Steps program was community oriented. Impact program was never off the ground and running and it wasn't for youth.

You're mentioning juveniles, right? The increase?

That program was not for young people.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: It would have served 175 young people.

JUANITA HOLMES: That wasn't for young people.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: The Impact program would have served 175 young people. Are you saying that's not accurate?

JUANITA HOLMES: Was it for young people?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yes, of course it's for young people. It's for young people. Please, could somebody clarify. It's for young people. We all know this. Come on, sorry, I get too upset.

JUANITA HOLMES: Well, you're saying, with all due respect Council, you're saying 175 young people. The program was not running and what was stated to me right coming in the door, I meant what your throwing at me right? What was stated to me by, I believe, the former Deputy Commissioner of Administration was

program cut? A program that was when youth

incarceration rates are skyrocketing. Why would we

2.3

2 eliminate one of the most effective diversion
3 programs in the City of New York?

JUANITA HOLMES: The program was cut because we were informed that they already had an existing impact program and that one didn't get up and running. And that existing —

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: There is no alternative to the Impact program. There is nothing like Impact that operates in the City of New York.

JUANITA HOLMES: I disagree.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Well, you said there's an alternative, so let's hear from your Deputy

Commissioners, was there an alternative? What other services are being provided to these young people?

Because all you are doing is sending them to jail.

JUANITA HOLMES: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: That is the only policy that we are seeing. That is the outcome we are seeing. The services are being cut and you're sending more kids to jail. That is what — tell me. Give me the alternatives that are being provided.

JUANITA HOLMES: I beg to differ Council. I beg to differ.

2.2

2.3

2	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: You said that the
3	alternative to Next Steps was Arches. You got \$2.6
4	million and you testified to that an hour ago. That
5	you cut —

JUANITA HOLMES: Are you listening?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I'm listening and I'm listening to your testimony -

JUANITA HOLMES: Here's what I testified.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Please let her speak.

JUANITA HOLMES: Here's what I testified to.

When I walked in the door, I was told Arches was five

days scaled down to three days. I said, why?

14 | Because young people weren't compliant with

15 attendance. I said, why? I have to come up with

16 something that's going to bring them in the door. Do

17 | you think I'm intentionally cutting programs while

18 still creating programs? While still being

19 | concerned? I walked in the door knowing if I had a

20 meeting with DOE about kids coming to us under

21 | credited, no education. Not going to school. Not

22 | listening to their parents. I put that in place.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I'd like to focus on the

24 questions.

2.3

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE JUANITA HOLMES: A program is important. School is ten times more important but we are definitely putting programs, more programs, the right programs in place. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. JUANITA HOLMES: That's what we want for young people. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: You testified that you were cutting Next Steps because it didn't have data. Former DOP staff reached out to my office -JUANITA HOLMES: I testified that they didn't have successful outcomes. There was no successful outcomes other than metrics. Other than 16 people attending. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, if it would be helpful, former DOP staff have sent us -JUANITA HOLMES: And those 16 people weren't the 16 people. So, when I say 16 people attending, that means 16 names touched it. The names might have changed. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I have witnessed this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

program up close. I have met with these providers. This is a highly effective program serving at risk NYCHA youth. Former DOP staff have sent us metrics

about your policies and the driving up of mass

incarceration of our young people in New York City

and I am deeply concerned by your testimony today.

2.3

24

will be asking the Office of General Counsel to
review its accuracy. Thank you.

JUANITA HOLMES: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Alright you all, do the hand thing. You know the deal. Thank you Council Member Restler. Just for clarifications, Next Steps was terminated?

JUANITA HOLMES: Next steps was, yes. They weren't renewed, the contract was renewed.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And impact is fully cancelled, never having launched?

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, hmm, hmm.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And what programs are replacing this?

JUANITA HOLMES: So, what we're looking at, a real — programs to replace that. So, currently we do have cases that are still part of the impact that's serving, it says 35 people. You know I guess that's bear minimum, these RFPs with the numbers but they serve way more than that and they serve adult as well. So, there is an alternative to impact and it's the same vendor cases.

2.3

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 83
2	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Well, I understand it was
3	that impact was going to offer more service than what
4	the MVP was.
5	JUANITA HOLMES: No, we, well, they didn't, my
6	staff members here at the executive cabinet, they
7	didn't even know about impact when we brought it up.
8	It's only because I was doing a deep dive into the
9	contracts of Department of Probation that that serve
10	to me -
11	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: To me, that would seem like
12	there's an issue with the transition plan and some
13	other -
14	JUANITA HOLMES: No, no, these are -
15	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: You should be able to find
16	where you've had contracts before.
17	JUANITA HOLMES: They've been here for 30 some
18	odd years.
19	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: But in 30 years you didn't
20	know that the program was there?
21	JUANITA HOLMES: You want to speak to -
22	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I'm sorry, in the last few
23	years, you did not know about the program?

What we're saying is, what I've learned since the 25

ANDREA MCGILL: I did not know about impact.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

20

2.2

2.3

24

25

Commissioner came onboard is that we had this program impact. My understanding and my learning was that it never really launched, so I think they got the people in place but it never went to the next level and I think the time it ended, right before knowing should we renew the contract or not?

JUANITA HOLMES: What happened was, it never started.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I understand.

JUANITA HOLMES: The members, the cabinet members that proceeded me for decades didn't even know that this was in place. It only surfaced because I hired him to do a deep dive into all the existing programs. As a result of such, we brought up our contract person who's here and they said, "oh by the way, this is a contract also."

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I understand and because we're now coming back again, I think the take away from this exchange is that this program had a lot of potential. There were people in place. There was money ready to go. It would have served more people and had more expansive services than some of what was already in place and this might be an option, an opportunity to reconsider -

JUANITA HOLMES: Right but it's technically we

still have funding. I have intra-city funding that

24

enable people not to end up in your system or anybody

else's system. So, my question is, what is the

2.3

percentage if you know of your caseload that is a resident of NYCHA. Do you have that number?

JUANITA HOLMES: I thought you had that, NYCHA residents? I'll get it. I'll make sure I get it back to you because we have looked at that because I wanted to look at it. I know a lot of the map locations have some programs that are going on and I believe it used to be —

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know map very well.

JUANITA HOLMES: Right, so it used to be about 16 maps. What is it 30 something now I think.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Map has its challenges, however. Okay, I mean I know map very well. The issue for me is NYCHA needs support. They need their community centers to be open more often. They need, if it's not map, something else etc.. But I guess my question is, I don't know what your percentage is. I was just wondering what it is for NYCHA because if it's fairly high, which I assume it is. I don't know that then why are we not doing more at NYCHA, not so they don't end up in your system. That's my question. Do you work with NYCHA to say NYCHA; I scream and yell about this all the time.

$^{\circ}$	

2.2

2.3

JUANITA HOLMES: A lot of our NeON centers are right there in those locations. So, we have the 7 NeON locations open to the community. That's why when Next Steps was cancelled, we plug most of the children right into the Arches, which was not being you know, it didn't have enough clients attending it. So, there was plenty of space in Arches which is right there but also about seven NeON centers are in those specific areas.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, so I guess maybe not now but I'd like to get a breakdown.

ROBERT MALDONADO: We do have a program right now where our clients are run through a service provider that then provides workforce —

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: At NYCHA.

ROBERT MALDONADO: At NYCHA.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, but that's one. We got about 400,000 residents or 600,000, depending whose counting. What I'm saying is, are you working with NYCHA to see if there are other places where you can provide programs, they can programs? I can't get the city administration to say more support for NYCHA.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUANITA HOLMES: We will, I will definitely Council Member, absolutely look into it and get back to you and if we're not, we will but absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm sure you're not. That's what I'm sure of.

JUANITA HOLMES: We'll make sure of it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay and finally, uhm, new needs. What are your new needs?

JUANITA HOLMES: People.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No, I mean, OMB never wants to hear anybody's new needs.

JUANITA HOLMES: So, my new needs, so my new needs. If you look at staffing, and naturally I think we're at 423 probation officers. Our peak year was 694 officers for total and that was in 2019. we're down to 423. 175 SBO's. We do currently have a class going in the next couple of months. I just submitted to OMB two PARs. One I believe is for 65 hirings and another for 50 and I'll be submitting more. We need more people. The caseload has increased. You're managing anywhere from 40 plus cases per probation officer. I don't want it to become where it's check the box for referral. Check the box. We want real management. You need people,

system?

JUANITA HOLMES: We do.

in addition to officers.

so I definitely need people in order to be effective in that and more programs. We're looking, we have a lot of programs that we kind of farm out.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: What would you like to get the recidivism down to? Obviously zero.

JUANITA HOLMES: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know but what -

on the PMMR so we could have some real goals to reduce recidivism. You know, like you said, I would love to have it at zero. I just want to be realistic. Hopefully we can do a 50 percent recidivism but you know naturally that's identifying the client needs. There's a lot of restructuring going on like I said with the surveys. They get real data and Councilwoman Stevens; I'll get back to you but these are things we just implemented. We had to have a different think tank and come to the table. How are we going to keep people out of the criminal

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Well, we all want that

but we need more support for in terms of programming

into. So, we're doing -

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: But what does that look like?

JUANITA HOLMES: That just looks like seeing what they have that fits our clients needs. So, naturally by surveying the clients, what is it that you're -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: How many young people that you have in your programs that are also in some of the DYCD programs? Do you have the overlapping of the programs?

JUANITA HOLMES: We just, right, but we just, we just implemented this. So, as a result of such, I spoke with Keith Howard. Keith Howards team did a whole presentation on what programs they have available. So, as a result of such, we're looking into these programs to see that what we can segway into instead of trying to recreate the wheel.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: But that's not what I'm asking you. I'm asking, so let me finish the question so you can give me the answer that I'm looking for. I'm asking you, so how many young people that are on probation that are in some of those programs? How many young people who are also ACS, in ACS custody and have different those cases

2 that are also on probation? Do you have those

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 numbers?

JUANITA HOLMES: Do you have those numbers Matt Granoff?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Like, I'm just trying to see what's the overlap here because so often a lot of these kids are in all of these programs and figuring out how are we communicating all these different things and having holistic plans opposed to it. Having separate plans. So, that's why I'm asking these questions. Not just saying, how are you plugging the kids in the programs because I see these. So, if you don't have that, I would love to see how we can start working on that because I did ask this question before. This isn't a new question, I asked this question when you first got here when we had a joint hearing with Criminal Justice and ACS and DYCD and I'm just asking this because this is a follow up question from almost a year ago, when I asked this before. And so, I'm asking, do we now have the overlapping number of young people that's being served in all these different programs?

remember the question from a year ago and my

JUANITA HOLMES: So, I apologize because I don't

2.2

2.3

apologies that I didn't because I try and remember
what's said here.

JUANITA HOLMES: With that being said, that's going to be part of what he's doing now while he's looking into it. Also, assessing whose already in some of those programs. We do have the summer youth employment that we work with them with. How many young people did we have last year? Summer Youth employees? 600? About 600 and now currently they've registered, how many do we have registered now?

About 500, so they're still registering. So that we did through DYCD to make sure they have employment but that's part of his assessment that he's doing now. They just did probably about a month and a half

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Well, that's disappointing because and I say it's disappointing in the fact that I've been here for three years now and this is the same thing I've been saying for the last three years. It is unacceptable that we have all of these different programs, serving the same young people and we're not keeping track of how they are going into all these programs in the city. And this

ago, the breakdown of all their programs for us.

is not just on you, this is all the programs that

3 serve our young people, including DOE, DYCD and ACS

4 and I know it's not happening because I talk to

5 everybody.

wholeheartedly.

2.2

2.3

JUANITA HOLMES: But I've been saying the same thing and that what they know and that what is —.

That's why we started making an assessment. I walked in the door saying the same thing. Why are we trying to create, find new nonprofits when we have everything we need right here? So, that's what it's been. I agree with you wholeheartedly,

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Listen again, I've been here three years and it still hasn't happened and I've offered up my services to be supportive of making these things happen and saying how do we work together but it clearly is not resonating because three years later, we still don't know and that's really disappointing.

JUANITA HOLMES: But I can assure you, I'm here a year, I think in a couple of days. The next time we're back here, we're going to have to — before we're back here.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah you could definitely reach out to me before.

JUANITA HOLMES: I'll reach out to you and we'll have it in place. I can assure you that.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member Stevens. I mean I think uhm, I think just the big thing here is that we're relying on these programs. We're relying on the Department of Probation to tell us what is needed to scale up these programs. providers know what's needed. They are ready, willing and able. They want to scale up. They want to bring in more staff. They want to offer more services. They want to be able to recruit and retain staff at the right salary rates. So, you know folks don't have burnout because we're taking on hard work. And so, we really need the budget to reflect that growth and work that we need from these programs in order to reduce the population at Rikers and prevent people from going there in the first place.

Like this is the biggest role. This is the key strategy and the key pillar, so you know I'm hoping that when we're back here in April, we can see something different.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUANITA HOLMES: And mental health. So, mental health is key. That's what we're looking at. was in place with probation before, they weren't doing the work. They were doing referrals. was some clause where they were hired to do the work and couldn't do the work. Whatever it is, that's what I'm looking for right now.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I'm not sure what you mean by that, so it would be helpful if to say that, to have some more detail provided because those are big statements. And so, from my understanding, as you just mentioned, we know who the people are who do this work. We know who the providers are. They're going to be here all day testifying. So, it's not like we can't have these conversations and they are like I said, wanting to do the work. I can't imagine that they're not delivering. I can't imagine that they don't have metrics of success that are beyond just attendance. I think some of this stuff is beyond what you can put in numbers. You know, you're trying to change people's lives and set them up for success and put them into transformational opportunities and that's not always something you can capture in a number.

1 2 JUANITA HOLMES: And we still have those vendors 3 working with us with the exception of one. Thev're 4 in all the other programs and when I tell you, the evaluations that we have received and you could speak to it if you want are tremendous now. We've met with 6 7 all of them. They agree with us. We see certifications. We're seeing jobs. It really is a 8 complete turn around on what we're seeing with them. So, those next step providers with the exception of 10 11 one, are still in DOP programs and you do see a 12 tremendous difference. 13 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I think we would just like to 14 see an increase to their support. Okay, I think 15 we're going to take a break. Thank you so much Commissioner for being here. 16 17 JUANITA HOLMES: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thanks everyone else for 19 being here. We're going to take a break. 20 JUANITA HOLMES: Thank you. [01:40:57] - [01:57:41]. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Alright. Welcome back. 2.3 Panel Two. Just wanted to say Commissioner,

congratulations. I said this earlier but it was just

a pleasure to make some time earlier this week to

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

talk with you, introduce ourselves and hear about you know who you are and where you're coming from and how you come to this work and I'm really looking forward to having a productive working relationship as we engage. So, thank you for being here and congratulations on being Commissioner for this first hearing.

I'm going to turn it over next to our Speaker Adrienne Adams who will make some remarks.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Thank you very much Madam Chair and welcome to all of you. I thank our Chair for leading today's preliminary budget hearing for the Committee on Criminal Justice today and as the Chair just said, I would also like to congratulate you. You are our new Department of Correction Commissioner Lynelle Maginley-Liddie on your new role and welcome you to Council Chambers today.

I hope that under your leadership, the Department will chart a course towards a safer environment on Rikers for both staff and detainees alike and that your team will be collaborative with stakeholders and oversight entities. I look forward to hearing about your vision to change the current culture and

city jails. We're going to examine the Department of Corrections \$105 billion fiscal year 2025 preliminary	2	practices to address the humanitarian crisis in our
Corrections \$105 billion fiscal year 2025 preliminary budget which is \$120.7 million less than the adopted fiscal year 2024 budget and \$165.7 million less than the current fiscal 2024 budget. The Department of Correction has a critical responsibility to ensure the care and safety of the people in its care. As the vast majority await trail and provide a path to successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	_	
budget which is \$120.7 million less than the adopted fiscal year 2024 budget and \$165.7 million less than the current fiscal 2024 budget. The Department of Correction has a critical responsibility to ensure the care and safety of the people in its care. As the vast majority await trail and provide a path to successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	3	city jails. We're going to examine the Department of
fiscal year 2024 budget and \$165.7 million less than the current fiscal 2024 budget. The Department of Correction has a critical responsibility to ensure the care and safety of the people in its care. As the vast majority await trail and provide a path to successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	4	Corrections \$105 billion fiscal year 2025 preliminary
the current fiscal 2024 budget. The Department of Correction has a critical responsibility to ensure the care and safety of the people in its care. As the vast majority await trail and provide a path to successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	5	budget which is \$120.7 million less than the adopted
8 Correction has a critical responsibility to ensure 9 the care and safety of the people in its care. As 10 the vast majority await trail and provide a path to 11 successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	6	fiscal year 2024 budget and \$165.7 million less than
the care and safety of the people in its care. As the vast majority await trail and provide a path to successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	7	the current fiscal 2024 budget. The Department of
the vast majority await trail and provide a path to successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	8	Correction has a critical responsibility to ensure
successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports	9	the care and safety of the people in its care. As
	10	the vast majority await trail and provide a path to
show that the DOC has been falling short of its core	11	successful reentry into communities. Yet, reports
	12	show that the DOC has been falling short of its core

mission with high rates of violence against

When conditions like this exist, jail staff are less safe and too many people in custody are released in a worse condition by the time they return, leave and return to their communities. This cycle of harm does not serve our city or its residents and undermines public safety. Last fall, I called for the reappointment of the Independent Rikers Commission to help get the plan to close Rikers on track and improve public safety in our city.

detainees, staff, unsanitary settings, inappropriate

uses of force, staff management issues and more.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2.3

O 1

The goal to make this a reality is mandated by law remains as urgent and critical as ever and the mission this Council is still committed to. It requires active steps by the Administration to address mental health diversion and treatment.

Pretrial and reentry services and case processing delays with stakeholders across the criminal legal system, while fulfilling the dozens of obligations that are part of the points of agreement to close Rikers.

The delays and lack of attention to these necessary steps must be resolved and I look forward to hearing about the steps that the DOC is taking to remedy this. Thank you very much for being here once again and I turn it back over to our Chair.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Madam Speaker. I also just want to publicly thank you for appointing me and trusting me to this Committee. I know this is a very, very personal and top priority issue for you.

Okay, I'm going to turn it over to Committee Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Madam Chair. I'll swear in this next panel of Administration witnesses with us today. From the Department of Correction, we

_ 0

have Commissioner Maginley-Liddie, Nancy Savasta,
Francis Torres, Sherrie Rembert, Mala Srinivasan,
James Saunders, Patrick Benn, Ronald Edwards,
Jeremiah Johnson, Alexandria Maldonado and Matthew
Passarelli.

If you can all each raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and respond honestly to Council Member questions? Noting for the record that all witness answered in the affirmative. You may begin your testimony.

Good afternoon Chair Nurse, Speaker, and members of the Committee on Criminal Justice. I am Lynelle Maginley-Liddie, Commissioner of the New York City Department of Correction. My colleagues and I are here to discuss the Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2025 and my vision for the future for this agency.

Today, I am joining you as the Department's newest Commissioner and I am proud to deliver my testimony alongside our First Deputy Commissioner Francis Torres, Acting General Counsel, Nancy Savasta, Deputy Commissioner of Budget and Finance Mala Srinivasan, Assistant Chief Sherrie Rembert,

2.2

2.3

Assistant Commissioner Alex Maldonado, and other
members of my team. Although I am new to this role,
I'm not new to the Department. I have served DOC for
over eight years. During this time, I've become
familiar with our policies, our legal matters, and

8 commitment to this agency has only deepened and I

our people. As Commissioner, my unwavering

consider it a privilege to lead the men and women of

10 the Department of Correction.

Corrections is a law enforcement job, but it also involves human services. That is how I have always seen my career and I encourage our staff to think about their roles. As many of you know, I joined this agency in 2015 with the goal of giving back to a city that has given so much to me. I am proud to have been granted positions of progressive responsibility, including Deputy General Counsel, Acting Senior Deputy Commissioner and Chief Diversity Officer, and First Deputy Commissioner. But I am more proud of the opportunity to keep serving the DOC community and continuing to advocate for better treatment for everyone working and living in our jails.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I want to be clear with everyone here today that people who work at DOC are committed to criminal justice reform. They want to see better, safer jails for themselves, their colleagues, and the people in our care. However, gaining their buy-in on needed reforms require that we treat them with the same empathy and respect we expect to be treated with. With our support behind them, they will in turn provide the same level of empathy and respect to those in our care. Every day, I am motivated by the dedication of our workforce and their willingness to engage in the difficult work of change. I see the stories that go untold of the positive work they do in the jail each and every day, and I am confident that their passion for their work will continue to be the vessel for enduring change.

Today, I will provide you with insight on how my team and I are working to cultivate that passion and how we are providing a greater voice and additional support to people in our care. I will also give you an overview of the Department's Fiscal Year 2025 Preliminary Budget.

I am well aware that in order to effectuate change in our jails, we must first be honest about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the state of our jails. Our facilities are old and outdated. These structures have inadequate lines of sight, and the crumbling infrastructure is too easily dismantled by people with ill intent. Complicating matters, our population, which fell to approximately 4,000 at the height of the pandemic, has risen to an average of approximately 6,135 per day. Of those individuals, over 500 have been detained for longer than two years and over 20 percent are being held on a violent felony charge. These challenges are further compounded by high levels of workforce attrition. Over the past four years, the Department has attritted nearly 3,500 uniformed staff. This decline in staff plays into our difficulty in managing a highly concentrated violent population and has contributed to a demoralization among our workforce. This, in turn, contributes to a greater sense of frustration among those in our care.

These challenges are not new, but to resolve them, we owe it ourselves to take a different approach. Under my direction, we will face these challenges by treating the source and not the symptom. We are focused on assessing and meeting our populations basic needs and actively listening to

2.

them to resolve conflicts. We are taking the same approach with our staff by providing enhanced training and greater on the ground support.

As Commissioner, I firmly believe that to lead the jails, you need to tour the jails. To that end, I've instituted a mandatory touring schedule for all uniformed and non-uniformed leadership. On these tours, my team is expected to speak with staff and people in custody, address any issues they see in real time and report back to me on their positive observations as well as areas of concern. I hold myself to the same standard and continue to tour our facilities as well.

Regular touring is critical for our success. If we are to uphold our commitment to creating a safer, more humane environment, then we must personally know the conditions of our jails. As leaders, we must listen, and we must develop solutions to problems informed by those on the ground. Moreover, we know that among the best paths to violence reduction is ensuring that the people in our care have what they are entitled to and what they deserve. Regular touring by leadership ensures that an extra pair of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

hands and eyes are present to get people what they need.

I have also instituted focus groups with uniformed and non-uniformed staff as well as people in custody. The goal of these focus groups is to provide a space for everyone to voice their honest feedback. We've collected input on everything from safety and jail conditions to wellness opportunities and the need for more programming. So far, there have been over 20 focus groups scheduled across multiple facilities with more sessions to be scheduled. The feedback offered in these sessions will be compiled, shared with all members of leadership, and used to inform decision making in the coming year. I am committed to returning to these focus groups to hear their thoughts on our efforts to mitigate the issues they raised.

As much as I believe in the work we are doing to change the culture within our jails and enhance safety for everyone, I must emphasize that to truly change this department, we cannot do it alone. I applaud the work that our Division of Programs and Community Partnerships has done to provide one on one sessions and group-based facilitation programs in the

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

wake of a \$17 million budget gut to external programming. I am also thankful to the Fortune Society and Osborne Association, who have continued to provide discharge planning services to the population without any additional city funding. I am equally grateful to the existing providers under the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justices contract for their work. However, an abundance of meaningful programs and services is critical to reducing idleness, and in turn, violence. I now this based on my years at the Department and it has been echoed in the focus group sessions we have held. For that reason, I am thrilled to welcome external program providers back into our facilities and I'm extremely thankful that the Mayor has been able to restore \$14 million in funding to support enhanced programming initiatives for people in custody. These funds will target new needs and will include robust transitional planning, substance misuse programming, supplemental educational programming, trauma informed programming and transportation for those being reintegrated into our communities.

I also want to highlight that the Department recently received a grant for \$180,000 from the New

2	York	State	Office	of	Mental	Health	Suicide	Prevention

3 Center to expand wellness program for staff. Our

4 CARE unit will spearhead the grant, and I anticipate

5 that it will support our staff in managing the

6 difficulties of this work. This initiative is

7 personal for me because I know the trauma our staff

8 experience on a daily basis. I also know that

9 supporting our staff's mental health will help them

10 | show up stronger for the people in our care.

Now, I will turn to the Fiscal Year 2025

Preliminary Budget. The Department's Fiscal Year

Budget is \$1.05 billion, which is \$165.7 million

decrease from the previous fiscal year. The vast

majority of our budget, 84 percent, is allocated for

Personal Services, and 16 percent for Other than

Personal Services. This budget decrease was achieved

through: Savings due to operating below the

authorized headcount. \$30.9 million was saved in

Fiscal Year '24. We are projected to save \$30.4

million in Fiscal Year '25. \$49.4 million in Fiscal

year '26 and \$49.9 million in Fiscal Year '27 by

continuing to remain below our budgeted headcount for

both civilian and uniformed staff.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

--

2.3

Two, a reduction in overtime. The Department saved \$58.1 million in Fiscal Year '24 through uniformed and civilian overtime reduction efforts.

And three, several collective bargaining adjustments, which resulted in an additional \$7.5 million in Fiscal Year '24, \$11.6 million in Fiscal Year '25, \$16.2 million in Fiscal Year '26, \$20.8 million in Fiscal Year '27 and \$22.8 million in Fiscal Year '28.

The Fiscal Year Preliminary Capital Budget and Commitment Plan totals \$10.8 billion, which covers Fiscal Years 2024 through 2033. As of the Fiscal Year Preliminary Budget, the majority of capital funding is tied to the borough-based jail programs, which totals \$8.7 billion over the ten-year plan and is allocated as follows: Brooklyn Facility \$2.8 billion, Manhattan Facility \$2 billion, Bronx Facility \$1.9 billion, Queens Facility \$1.9 billion and the Queens Parking Garage \$10.7 million.

We continue to work diligently to attract and retain civilian and uniformed staff. The Departments authorized headcount remains effectively unchanged from the Adopted Budget totaling 8,788. 7,060 uniformed positions and 1,728 civilian positions.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

Our actual in staffing levels continue to remain well

3 below our authorized headcount and the Department

4 intends to remain aggressive with advertising and

marketing strategies to promote recruitment. 5

In closing, I have assumed the role of Commissioner with a deep commitment to the men and women of DOC, and to the people we serve. recognize that a positive working relationship with our oversight bodies including the Federal Monitor, the Board of Correction and the City Council, as well as with our partners and stakeholders is foundational to this work.

In the three months that I've been Commissioner, we have enacted the changes needed to clear a contempt motion and restore the Monitors faith in the Departments willingness to be a collaborative partner. I promise you this is just the beginning. I look forward to a partnership with the Council that focuses on thoughtful, meaningful, expeditious reforms. Achieving a safe, secure, and a humane environment for those who work and live in DOC facilities is my ultimate priority. Moreover, I understand that this is a job of service and that

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2 accepting our present challenges with humility is 3 what will lead us to create lasting solutions.

2.2

2.3

The Departments issues are complex. Nothing will be easy but I will not entertain failure. If I didn't think this city could make the necessary reforms, if I didn't think we could create a safe and humane environment for both staff and everyone in our care, I would not have committed myself to this work.

I look forward to working with the Council toward our shared priorities and reforms. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My team and I are available to answer any of your questions.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you so much

Commissioner. We're going to now turn it to our

Speaker for any opening questions.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Thank you Madam Chair.

Once again, welcome Commissioner. Dare I say that it feels that the DOC finally got something right in appointing you to this position.

This is your first preliminary budget. We welcome you again. The Council was heartened to read in a February 26 status update that the monitoring team observed an immediate change in approach and dynamic under your leadership and that the department

has made important strides in returning to a more

1

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 collaborative, we love that word, and transparent, we

4 love that word even more, relationship with the

5 Monitoring Team. However, the Council continues to

6 share the grave concern about conditions in the jail.

7 As Commissioner, you're responsible for marshaling

8 | the departments significant appropriation of taxpayer

9 dollars. Can you describe your vision for

10 prioritizing the departments budgetary resources to

11 | address the issues of staff management, violence and

12 | safety, and transitioning the department to borough-

13 based jails in the coming fiscal years?

question. So, like I said initially, the work is we understand that there are challenges that exist but we are working collaboratively with the monitor to ensure that we are addressing those challenges. With respect to the staffing, I will have Chief Rembert go into a little more detail on the staffing. But what I can tell you in the last four years, we have attritted approximately 3,400 staff members, uniformed staff members and we're working to actively deploy our staff to ensure that they are deployed on posts that are needed within the jails. We've

_

_ 0

2.2

created a new unit called the smart unit, which manages deployment throughout the facilities to ensuring that we are not — people aren't working triple shifts. We're minimizing that, in addition to making sure people are efficiently deployed to posts.

With respect to staffing, I'm just going to turn it over to Chief Rembert just to give a little bit more context on the staffing and all that we're doing right now with respect to staffing.

SHERRIE REMBERT: Good morning City Council
Board. My name is Sherrie Rembert, Assistant Chief
of the New York City Department of Corrections. Our
agency has a multipronged approach to reducing
overtime expenditures. The first is embracing
technology. Through the use of electronic scheduling
system, we embarked on deploying available staff to
our facility in real time so we can operate
efficiently on a daily basis.

The scheduling management and the redeployment team, known as the smart team unit, actively manages the scheduling of our staff, and a redeployment of our officers to balance our facilities. The department has been redeploying available staff on a consistent basis to further enhance our reduction of

2 over time

2.2

2.3

over time. Once every three weeks as well as

3 deploying our DTY staff, twice a week.

Office of Administration is analyzing staffing daily to ensure squads are balanced within the facilities. Balancing squads help minimize overtime by ensuring the workloads are equally distributed and better resources utilization and cost savings for our agency. We will continue to train our facility management team on the intricate ways of utilizing the electronic scheduling system as currently designed and we also have taken a proactive approach and educating our management team to identify posts in real time that can be reallocated while supporting our security permanents to reduce overtime.

The second problem is addressing absenteeism. We have been diligently working to reduce the number of staff out sick so that more staff are available to work in the facilities. Since January 2022, we have reduced staff absenteeism by 80 percent due to sick leave. Additionally, we have been addressing the personal emergency leave as well as the FMLA process that at times have created undue hardship to our agency. The last promised recruitment as of — our recruitment because we attritted around 1,900 staff

2	members since calendar year '22. We have also lost						
3	staff members prior to that. At that rate of						
4	attrition that we have lost, it's not in cadence with						
5	our ability to recruit or hire or retain as staff						
6	members are eligible for retirement daily. The						
7	reality is that recruiting, hiring, retaining are						
8	competing factors, as this is not unique to the New						
9	York City. In fact Corrections and Law Enforcement						
10	Agencies globally experience the impact of retention.						
11	As such we will continue to coordinate with city						
12	leadership and partnering agencies through Rikers						
13	Island Taskforce to increase the reach of recruiting						
14	efforts and problem-solving barriers through hiring.						
15	So far in calendar year of 2024, 74 officers have						
16	graduated from the academy and successfully joined						
17	the ranks of Correction Officers. On Thursday, March						
18	$7^{ ext{th}}$, we had a class of 82 starting the academy						
19	training. Lastly, it's important to recognize that						
20	the department has completely neglected the						
21	organization health for decades. We cannot slip our						
22	way out of this. We must also think about how to						
23	build and sustain a workforce that is trained in						
24	Correction best practices that has ample professional						

development opportunities that is professional and

2.2

accountable and that receives the supported needed to do their jobs and remain in the Department of Corrections.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Thank you Chief. With respect to violence reduction, we know that's an issue. So, one of the initiatives that I started uhm, was touring. Having everyone, all of the uniform and non-uniform leadership, they are supposed to be touring the jails every other week and there's a set schedule where people are touring and doing meaningful tours. With more eyes and more ears and more hands onboard, you are able to see what's happening in real time. If there are any issues happening in real time, you're addressing it in real time.

One of the other things that's been really a challenges for us is the infrastructure, right? We have old jails and we need to make repairs to those jails but we are also in a place where we can't utilize capital funding to do that. So, we're constantly making changes and shifts to ensure that we are meeting the standards and in terms of making repairs in our jails but one of the things that's really critical for this department and something

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

that I've noted upon assuming this role is programming is essential right? Programming is essential to ensuring that people aren't idle and that we are providing them with a tool that's critical for their success. And that's critical for them once they leave our care.

I have DC Torres: DC Torres can talk a little bit more about programming initiative that we have underway as a result of the new funding and all the programs that we're going to be rolling out to the individuals in our care.

FRANCIS TORRES: Good afternoon Madam Chair. name is Francis Torres. I am the First Deputy Commissioner for the New York City Department of Correction. The Commissioner has already shared with you what it is that is needed as part of our violence reduction plan. Last year, I found myself in these chambers because we needed to go and explain to the Council Members how the Department was to do insourcing as a result of the loss of the \$17 million that were used for jail based targeted approach. During that conversation, we laid out for the Council what it was that our counseling staff primarily

1

assigned to the division of programs and community 3 partnerships, we're going to do.

4

mapped out a plan of action to absorb the insourcing. 5

Know that the Division of Programs actually

6

We began by having conversations with Local 371.

7

also had large meetings with our Associate

8

Correctional Counselors Level 1 and 2, as well as our

program counselors. Those civil service staff

10

members were the ones primarily becoming responsible

11

for insourcing. Insourcing being defined as the

12

provision of one on ones and rule facilitations with

13

a robust evidence-based curricula, as well as best

14

practices. Once we did that as a division, we mapped

15

out a training component, meaning they had to be done

16

in different sessions combined of refresher trainings

17

with new trainings.

18

members assigned to the counseling unit had done one

Let me just share with you the following: Staff

19

and one and group facilitation prior to jail based.

20 21

In fact, they had done so in 2015. So, we needed to

2.2

bring them back to what they used to do. Once we did

2.3

the training sessions and we divided training in two

24

different sets. Training sessions that will go from

2.2

2.3

July of last year through December of last year and additional sessions that would be done this year.

In doing so, we laid out a complete schedule on how counselors would be assigned and in fact, we deployed the counselors based on the housing areas needs. I got to tell you that the Division of Programs has done a phenomenal job. And what is it that he has done for us as a department? In having our staff members provide and facilitate one on ones and groups, they are on the ground and they're able to listen to the needs of staff as well as the population.

And so, the reality is that we're in constant evolution and we're always listening and trying to determine what is further needed by our population.

Those who we are assigned their care for. Let me pause for a minute and share the following with you.

Insourcing and the job being done by our counseling division is only one part of the programming that is done and afforded to those persons under our care.

There are other providers that are still on the ground.

Contracted providers by MOCJ, we have at least 15 contracted providers that are for enrichment

programming and I'm glad to share with you that the division of programs has onboarded three additional contracted providers. As part of workforce development, we have a memorandum of understanding with the Department of Education. That memorandum of understanding allows our department to afford certificates and certifications in different job market opportunities.

But let's go to a question that I'm sure will rise and I would like to be proactive and share the following. There has been an announcement made of \$14 million coming to our department, thanks to the support of the administration.

In receiving those \$14 million, insourcing will remain with the members of the division of programs and community partnerships. This new funding that is coming to us will allow us to focus on very specific programming needs that we have identified. We will be able to create robust transitional planning. We will be able to incorporate substance misuse programming. We will develop supplemental educational programming. We will also infuse our curriculum by having trauma informed programming and lastly, offer transportation that will guarantee the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2 transition of those being discharged into our 3 communities directly to community-based organizations 4 and other services needed within the community. 5

Thank you.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Thank you. All of that sounds very promising, very promising to me. taking a look at some of the programming that you just mentioned and the work that's been done, the sessions that you've had, and even some of the programs to come and establishing those programs. Can you give us some examples of some of the biggest needs that you recognized within these sessions from your population?

FRANCIS TORRES: Well, first of all with 7- thank you so much Madam Speaker. With 70 percent of the population assigned to our care, leaving our system to return to the communities is really critical that we afford robust transitional planning. To do so, we need to expand the footprint that we currently have.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Are these the results that you're projecting or because my question was specially, what came from the population?

FRANCIS TORRES: Well, part of the population has asked for transitional planning. Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

The population also has asked for additional enrichment activities and services and I'm glad to share with you a few examples. Prepandemic we used to transport our persons in custody to other facilities to conduct basketball tournaments.

Believe it or not, after a number of years, last year we have been able to do so. We currently have approximately five basketball teams that on a frequency determined by our Division of Programs, as well as security where able to do so.

We have increased our frequency on workforce development courses. The population has brought to our attention that they want to have a larger frequency on how we offer our training. They want a higher frequency on how we offer OSHA certification, CPR and believe it or not, they want more barbershop training. And so, as we listen to their needs and their wants, we are quickly to turn around and address it. We have onboarded more of additional providers to target their needs. Thank you.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Thank you. I'm going to get back on track with the Commissioner. I appreciated what you said very much. Thank you. In looking at supervision and staff Commissioner. Uhm,

)

the Federal Monitor has indicated that a lack of supervision and accountability between supervisory ranks and frontline uniform officers can be linked to many of the issues within the city's jails. The preliminary plan includes 703 budgeted captain positions yet only 546 were active as of January 2024. How can the department address issues with frontline staff with so many vacancies in the captain rank?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, that is, thank you for that question. So, that is something that we are continuing to evaluate in terms of promotions for officers to the captains rank but one of the other things that we are doing and we are going to be rolling a robust captains training to ensure that our captains understand the role of a supervisor.

That training has just — we had just — we collaborated with the monitor on that training and we've just received the training back from the monitoring. That's something that we're going to be rolling out very quickly. But what I can tell you that staffing is a challenge. We are continuing to ensure that people are touring as required. We are looking at various things to ensure that we're all on

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

the same page. We have our Deputy Wardens and Wardens. They're on the Island providing 24/7 coverage. That is something that we've developed at a result of the Action Plan to ensure that there's direct supervision for all of our staff. So no more of the days where it's Monday through Friday. It's all throughout 24/7 coverage and we'll continue to work to address those gaps and ensure that we are complying with our — the consent decree.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Do you know the current ratio of captains to officers right now?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I don't have that information right now but I can get it for you. I can get it to you.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Do you know what you hope to have as far as that ratio?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I don't have that information right now.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Okay, alright. Let's take a look at for a minute one of the subjects of the hour in that solitary confinement. Let's take a look at that. Uhm, as of late, the Council has been blamed for violence in the jail. We are blamed for managing the jail which is something that we have no

control over managing the jail. We've even been blamed for solitary confinement, edicts that haven't even been put in place yet. So, I just wanted to get that on the record. This Council does not have anything to do with anything that has come before any laws in place. Intro. 549 A to ban the use of solitary confinement was passed by this body, just passed by this body in December and won't be taking effect until June.

Has the department made any alterations or plan changes in policy to accommodate the new law?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: The department is currently reviewing along with the Law Department, the most recent guidance that we received from the Federal Monitor. That is where we are at this point and time and we will keep the Council apprised as to next steps.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Okay, great. In taking a look at the borough-based jails. You referenced that in your opening statement as well. The current capital commitment plan shows very minimal changes between fiscal year 2024 and fiscal year 2028.

Typically, this means little to no delays or cost increases for capital projects, so can you explain

2.2

why there are virtually no changes in the capital commitment plan? When we know that the borough-based jails projects are delayed by over two years and the Administration has estimated substantial increases in costs due to the increased cost of labor and steel?

ALEXANDRIA MALDONADO: Good afternoon everyone.

My name is Alex Maldonado and I am the Assistant

Commissioner for Strategic Initiatives and I oversee
the borough-based jail program on behalf of the
department. What I can tell you about the funding
for the borough-based jail program, the Department of
Design and Construction controls the budget as well
as the schedule for the borough-based jail program.
You would not see that — you would have to ask them
more clearly where the funding and how we schedule it
progress.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Would that question also go to DDC as far as any updated commitments pertaining to the executive plan?

ALEXANDRIA MALDONADO: Yes, that's right Ma'am.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Okay, alright, uhm do we know how the Administration is leveraging every tool available to ensure that the borough-based jails

the Brooklyn Jail, we are at a comfortable place to

25

information to you.

25

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Okay, alright. Uhm, I just wanted to mention something also. In your opening statement, you referenced violence in the population numerous times.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Right.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: I heard violent population, percentage of violent population, violence in the population but I didn't hear you really, really reference the mental illness percentages in your opening statement, even though we know that half of the population suffers from mental illness. So, can you dig a little bit more into that? What you foresee as far as the population is concerned? Mental illness? What your needs are? What you're currently doing to address mental illness in the jail?

IYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, what I can tell you is that upon admission into our facilities, everyone goes through intake, and one of the things that they're — one of the things that happens is that they're met with a clinician to discuss any mental health issues. Based on that conversation with that clinician, it's determined what housing is appropriate for the individual. We work closely with

2.2

2.3

our partners at CHS to ensure people are getting the care that they need and we are constantly discussing different cases with them, joint action reviews and in those type of settings to talk about how we can provide the best possible care for people in our custody. That work is ongoing. I have the Deputy Commissioner of Health Affairs; he can give you a little bit more information as to — because he has day to day contact with CHS. DC Sanders.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: And along with that, you can add into that what I'm thinking about as well, the relationship between the violent population and the population with mental health issues.

JAMES SAUNDERS: Thank you. Can you hear me?
LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yes.

JAMES SANDERS: I'm James Saunders, Deputy

Commissioner for Health Affairs Compliance and

Quality. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss
these important matters today.

With respect to mental health services, I can tell you that over the past several years we've seen a significant increase in the percentage of the New York City jail population that struggles with mental illness.

Today, anywhere from 52 to 54 percent of the jail population are known to mental health and have received some form of mental health treatment while in custody. According to our CHS colleagues, approximately 20 percent of this population has been diagnosed with a serious mental illness. Many of these individuals are entering the jails with preexisting conditions such as mental illness, substance abuse, etc., and also then have to contend with the psychological impact and stress of incarceration.

We're proud to partner with Correctional Health services, the provider of physical and mental health across our facilities and to support these individuals while they are in our custodial care. In addition to the healthcare services provided by CHS, I think you heard earlier from DC Torres, the Departments program staff provides supportive programming that enhances behavioral coping skills, supports anger management, addresses substance abuse and encourages productive and positive social behavior.

Our objective is to address the needs of the whole person while they're in our custody, which

3

4

5

6

/

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

includes providing appropriate levels of mental health care and programmatic support that meets the unique needs of the individual. For individuals in need of enhanced support, the department runs several specialized housing units with CHS. And we continue to discuss the evolving need of safe and effective mental health housing options that address a wide spectrum of behavioral health concerns.

Our agencies will continue to work together to ensure we develop a responsive strategy for persons in custody with significant mental health needs. going to talk about mental observation unit. although all individuals have access to mental health providers when the need arises, certain persons in custody may require a more structured environment and more frequent custodial and clinical oversight and observation. For that particular population, we operate mental observation units. MO units operate under the guidance of a multidisciplinary team of unit based mental health providers who conduct daily rounds, provide group programming and individual psychotherapy and oversee medication treatment. MO units are not punitive and afford the same out of cell time as general population units.

mental health need.

Turning to folks with more serious mental illness. The Department works in conjunction with CHS to operate the Program for Accelerating Clinical Effectiveness, also known as PACE. PACE focuses on enhancing coping skills, improving communication abilities, promoting insight and competency into managing ones mental illness, emotions and behavior. CHS advises the Department on which individuals are suited for PACE placement based on their acuity of

The department also recognizes that individuals with serious mental illness do not belong in any form of restrictive housing. However, persons with guilty adjudications for serious infractions may be a sign to CAPs. And that's a unit that's based on clinical determination. The assignment is based on clinical determination made by CHS. That unit provides intensive mental health treatments for individuals with serious mental illness who have been adjudicated again for a serious infraction but do not need to be hospitalized. So, like PACE, CAPs unit are staffed by both DOC and CHS personnel who support residents by helping them enhance their coping skills, improve their communication skills and develop insight and

competency into managing their mental illness as well as their emotions and behavior.

I think we should address self-harm and suicide prevention as well since we're on the top of mental health. Is that okay?

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Briefly please. I'm going to move onto my colleagues.

JAMES SAUNDERS: Briefly, alright, so in addition to providing appropriate therapeutic housing, the Department also recognizes that maintaining comprehensive policies and procedures for the prevention of suicide and self-harm is critically important to supporting those entrusted into our care. Our policies and training in this area were recently reviewed by Dr. Tim Belovich, a nationally recognized expert in the area of suicide prevention.

We recognize that during the pandemic the rates of suicide increased, especially in folks who are incarcerated. In our population, if you look at the demographic about 57 percent of the jail populations comprise of individuals between the ages of 19 to 34 with an overall being a majority are people of African American descent and Latino men. In addition, I'll strike that.

2.2

So, we do focus on training and education as well in these areas. All members of service receive training in mental health, mental health first aid, suicide prevention, and CPR Certification at the academy. We also offer crisis intervention training to staff assigned to mental health housing units. Crisis intervention and de-escalation is now offered through an online class and all officers are trained under the Department Suicide Prevention Policies, which are reenforced through roll call refreshers. In addition, we have posters placed throughout the facilities and to other means. So, I'll stop there for any questions.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Just to follow up and thank you. Just to follow up, any idea percentagewise on once again the numbers I will say of violent "offenders" associated with mental health?

JAMES SAUNDERS: I don't have those stats at my

fingertips. I'll have to look to other colleagues,

or we don't have that -

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We'll get that for you.

SPEAKER ADRIENNE ADAMS: Okay, thank you very much. I will turn it back over into the capable hands of our Chair.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Speaker Adams.

Okay, so I'm going to start with some just basic changes in the preliminary plan. The budget remains relatively flat from year to year but can you explain why there is such stable growth across the plan period considering DOCs operational challenges in the past few years?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I'm going to turn that over to our Deputy Commissioner of Finance.

MALA SRINIVASAN: Good afternoon. My name is

Mala Srinivasan. I'm the Deputy Commissioner of

Budget and Finance. In terms of operational — in

terms of the budget, our budget for Fiscal Year '24

it's \$1.2 billion compared to a budget of '25, \$1.05

billion. We, as Commissioner mentioned earlier in

the testimony, we plan to achieve this through

savings through due to operating below our authorized

headcount and also overtime reduction.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you for that. In the Fiscal 2024 Adopted Budget, additional units of appropriation for transportation, personal services and OTPS funding were included in DOCs budget to increase transparency. When will the budget reflect

2.2

2.3

the full realignment of new units of appropriation that were added at adoption?

MALA SRINIVASAN: Thank you for that question.

The budget currently reflects the way Council had requested and it does reflect everything by

particularly your fee and budget codes.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you. I'm going to move to staff allocations. Uhm, we see there are about 307 staff allocated to VCBC in Fiscal Year 2025 in the preliminary budget even though the facility is closed. Does the Department plan to utilize that facility at all? Why would there be staffing allocations for a closed facility?

ALEXANDRIA MALDONADO: Good afternoon. Thank you for that question. I'll take it.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Can you come a little closer to the mic? I'm sorry.

ALEXANDRIA MALDONADO: No, I'm sorry. Uhm, right now VCBC is decommissioned as a jail. We do not have individuals in custody in that facility and the SCOC has sort of taken it off of line. With that being said, we still maintain some number of staff members there to make sure that you know there's fire watch to the building essentially does not become a blight.

for nonuniformed and 7,060 for uniformed. But the

24

25

2 total headcount of 8,788. But I'm not sure if you're
3 referring to the actual headcount.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I'm just showing what's reflected in the plan. So, maybe you could give us a headcount. If there are any staff allocated for AMKC, it would be great to get that number and a rationale for why they would be allocated to a building that is now closed or what they would be doing there.

ALEXANDRIA MALDONADO: We can get you more details by building as a follow-up.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. So, there are 91 officers assigned to the Bronx Court pens in the Fiscal 2025 Preliminary Plan. There are only 23 for Brooklyn, 13 for Manhattan, even though Brooklyn and Manhattan handle many more cases than the Bronx. Could you give an explanation for this headcount?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, I'll have to take a look at that but I, you know we'd have to make sure that those people are actually assigned to the Bronx courts. We will follow up with you after the hearing.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, it would be great to get a headcount of the actual assigned to — the different pens.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, given the relatively small size of recent academy classes, why does the budget allocate 122 training academy staff including 110 officers at a cost of \$13 million?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, understanding that yes, there are small classes but we continue to train year over year. There's the academy staff, they're training, they're providing in-service training to all of our 6,000 uniformed staff members and it's not just limited to recruit training. We have our Acting Deputy Commissioner Jeremiah Johnson. He is here with us and he can actually walk you through some of the work that they've been doing at the academy.

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Good afternoon.

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: Thank you for your question.

At the Training and Development Division, we do have a staff that's reflective of the numbers that you provided. Our staff are actively providing both initial recruit training, which commenced yesterday

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

at the NYPD Academy, and we are also conducting ongoing in-service trainings across DOC for both uniform and nonuniform staff. This includes trainings that involve ongoing certifications for compliance purposes but there also is a professional development aspect to many of our offerings at the academy to ensure that our members of service are equipped the leadership skills necessary to produce the outcomes that we want to see at the Department of Corrections.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, understood. So you have somewhere over 200 people including the academy staff and officers to do ongoing training for workforce development and new classes what I'm understanding?

JEREMIAH JOHNSON: So, likely those numbers also include nonuniform staff that are involved in supporting the operations of the Training and Development Division and not just the instructors.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. I'm going to turn to programming. I think that's like the biggest thing that we'd love to dive into. We talked about the cut last year \$17 million. An abrupt disruptive cut to many of these service providers, including to you

2 k

)

know Greenhope Services for Women, SCO Family
Services, Fortune Society, Horticulture Society of
New York, Osborne Association, uhm, you know the
Council was very vocal about the concern that DOC
would not be able to meet the level of programming
and engagement and participation rates that these
providers who have credible messengers who are rooted
in community and are able to provide a continuity of
care and engagement between you know at the Island
and back in community and then the 2024 PMMR showed
that participation rates of individuals in custody
participating in this is 30 percent lower. And that
participation in group programming is down 29 percent
and participation and one on one sessions is down by
30 percent.

So, I understand that you are now bringing back \$14 million, although that's not the full restoration but it's unclear to me what is going to be outsourced and what is going to be insourced based on what you wrote down earlier. So, I'll start there just to get like some clarity on that piece.

FRANCIS TORRES: Thank you Madam Chair. I am glad you posed that question to us. When we were here last and as we're transitioning to insourcing,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

we had the similar or equal amount of staffing to actually inherit insourcing, and in fact, we were doing very well up until approximately September of 2023. There were certain things that happened within the division of Programs and Community Partnerships, specifically the fact that due to multiple reasons, we lost 15 members. Those 15 members are assigned to counseling. Some of the reasons for those losses were long-term absences. We also had some staff members within the counseling unit that transitioned into new roles within the division or other divisions within the department. The onboarding process of new staff members, was also becoming a challenge for us. Not to mentioned the continued increase of the population. That is what justifies that 29 percent as well as the 30 percent.

However, since January of 2024, we've been able to start onboarding new staff members, specifically for our counseling services unit which will continue to do the one on one and the growth facilitation.

When we look at the next or the new \$14 million, we're looking at community-based organizations and/or institutions of higher learning. And I say that

2.2

because there is one of the new needs that targets educational programming.

And so, I also want to establish that there has been continuing probation of services, not just by the DOC staff counseling unit but also by other DOC staff members assigned to the division of programs.

If I can give you just a few examples.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Briefly please.

FRANCIS TORRES: Alright, here we go. Workforce development through different partnerships, our fine and performing arts, our enhanced recreation staff, the MOCJ providers that continue to do transition at very specific facilities, not to mention volunteers and the other body of subcontracted providers that target enrichment programming.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. So, I'm just trying to kind of wrap my head around this because we made the cut and there was some work that was being done already by DOC staff. There were some other MOCJ providers there. Uhm, now you've got to onboard people. You also mentioned earlier that now you have to train people. You mentioned uhm, there's a series of trainings that would need to happen over a period of time. I think you said, July through December

there were going to be trainings. So, I'm just
curious of the cost. I mean if you had folks who
were already in the door, they built the
relationships, they already know what they're doing.
Now they get abruptly taken out of — off of the
island and the relationships are severed to some
degree. Now you got to spend money training people
that hadn't done the work before or new people. It's
just like what's the cost analysis here or the cost
benefit and then to abruptly come back and have you
know a new RFP, set of RFPs go out for \$14 million.
I understand you're saying there's a new need with
Institution of higher learning but what would be the
difference in the programs that would be coming into
the RFPs and what existed in the past?

FRANCIS TORRES: Thank you for the question.

Madam Chair, let me just provide some clarity on what

I had responded to Speaker Adams. The training that
has been done and the training that will continue is
for the staff members assigned to the division of
programs and community partnerships who are presently
doing the insourcing.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Were they already doing the insourcing? Is this new training?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FRANCIS TORRES: No, it's continued training.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: It's ongoing?

FRANCIS TORRES: It's ongoing training. not reinvesting in the training; we're just ensuring that they continue to be refreshed on an ongoing basis. Those are the staff members that will continue to do anger management. That will continue to do conflict resolution. That will continue to do interactive journaling and other evidence-based curriculum. When it comes to the new programs that these \$14 will target, these are new programs and I'll share with you one specifically. The supplemental programming on education is supplemental because we currently have our partners at the Department of Education who target the 18- to 21year-olds. However, there are additional needs not just for the 18 to 21 but also for the adult population.

It is a need that has been expressed to us by those assigned to our care. So, this particular programming component was never afforded under the jail based targeted approach. It is completely new. It will afford us the opportunity to increase the number of GED instructors. It would allow us for the

,

)

2.3

first time in many years to bring back English as a second language instruction. Would allow us to do tutoring and significantly will allow us to expand our post-secondary.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: May I ask, did you ever engage in those conversations about new needs with the folks that had already been in contract with you? Yes or no, has there been conversations with them saying we need English as a second language? We need more GED?

FRANCIS TORRES: No, actually no. We never engaged in any conversations.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you. Uhm, okay, when will this — excuse me if it is already out there. Is it out there? If not, when will it be issued and when do you hope to contract and have folks on the ground?

FRANCIS TORRES: We are excited about the \$14 million and what we will be able to do with it. You know too well that we just received the funding that oh, actually the notification that we're awarded. We are currently in the process of conversations with our finance division as well as OMB. We are drafting

2 language to determine how best to go about it. We're

3 drafting language for an RFP -

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yes, it's coming.

FRANCIS TORRES: Yes, it's about 10 months to 15 months.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: 10 months, wow okay. Uhm,

I'm just curious about the service gaps there. You

know you're saying you're doing the insourcing but

the report of the city is showing that the

programming participation has declined. You're not

engaging at the level they were. I understand the

reasons you identified very clearly but now; an

additional 10 months is going to you know go and

there are people still there idle with nothing to do

looking for things. I understand you have some

programming but I'm very concerned about that service

gap. What kind of outreach is being done by the

department to encourage participation in this

program, in the programs that you have right now in

sourced?

22 FRANCIS TORRES: What we do right now?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Just how you're getting, how are you working to get more people in your program.

2.2

2.3

FRANCIS TORRES: Oh participation. Well, we actually advertise. We have staff members on the ground. Something that we did last year was that we redefined how programs operate within the facilities. We actually assigned executive directors of facility programs who work directly where our uniformed members assigned. So, these executive directors are now leading the teams assigned to programs within each of the facilities. Allowing for better communication as well as stronger advertisement every time new programming is brought into the facilities.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. One of the — sorry, at the time that the contracts were cancelled, the providers were offering programs in six facilities on Rikers and how many facilities are programs currently being provided by DOC program staff?

FRANCIS TORRES: In all facilities.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. Okay, thank you. Oh sorry, uhm if possible it would be great to have a list, I don't know if you'll be able to do that now of what specific programs are being done by DOC staff and what are the ones that are specifically being done by contracted providers.

1

FRANCIS TORRES: Not to take much of your time.

3

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I know. You can send us a

4

list.

5

FRANCIS TORRES: I will tell you that we will

prepare a chart and share it with you. 6

7

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Okay, we're going

8

to move into vacancy rates and then I'm going to open

up some time for the members here.

10

So, as of this plan, the DOC has 204 vacant

11

civilian positions yet there were no vacancy

12

reductions included in the program to eliminate the

13

gap. Can you please explain which positions are

14

currently vacant and how this may effect day-to-day

15

operations?

16

MALA SRINIVASAN: Thank you for the question.

17

Are you referring to the vacancy numbers for this

18

19

fiscal year or?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: As of this plan.

20

MALA SRINIVASAN: As of this plan, yes.

21

do have 220 vacancies for civilians and are

2.2

nonuniformed and we have 932 vacancies for uniform

2.3

with a total of 1,152 vacancies. Yes, are you -

24

sorry if you were looking for -

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 152
2	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: That's fine for the number.
3	I'm just curious, I believe it was in 2019 or maybe
4	2020, there were about 1,029 staff who were reported
5	as chronically absent and over the last year we've
6	kind of had a hard time getting a number, a concrete
7	number of how many of those folks have returned to
8	work consistently or have been terminated. Do you
9	have an update on how many folks are right now
10	considered chronically absent?
11	MALA SRINIVASAN: We can share the details of how
12	many are currently absent or in terms of
13	terminations, we can share the details.
14	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: You don't have that now?
15	MALA SRINIVASAN: Uhm, just bear with me a
16	minute.
17	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I feel like that would be a
18	number that could be pulled up.
19	MALA SRINIVASAN: Sorry, I apologize.
20	SHERRIE REMBERT: Hello and thank you for the
21	question again. Uhm, you're requesting the chronic
22	absence?
23	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yes, the number of staff that

are right now currently reported as chronically absent. Out sick, whatever the terminology you use.

25

2.2

2.3

SHERRIE REMBERT: Okay so the total out sick currently today is 362, as of March 7, excuse me, yesterday is 362 and the total for indefinite sake, which is our chronic is 200. The breakdown is 174 for COs, 17 for Captains, 3 for ADWs, 6 for civilians.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And what are your strategies right now for reducing, you know getting people either back to work or getting them off the payroll if they don't want to come back to work?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, I just want to point out like at the beginning of January 2022, our sick numbers, we had approximately about 2,600 people out sick and shortly in the spring, I took over managing the health management division and we were able to decrease the number of people out sick by 80 percent. What we are doing is we're looking at individuals and determining whether or not they are medically incompetent. And then the department moves forward with charges for those individuals, right? There's a path in terms of settling those cases and there's a discussion if people are going to come back to work or they're going to be separated from the department. We continue to communicate with staff

In addition, we have a lot of staff who 2 who are out. 3 are medically monitored for injuries that they've 4 actually attained while on the job. And so, we have 5 a process to manage our medically monitored individuals as well. Some of those individuals may 6 7 not necessarily have - they may not be facing 8 individuals in custody as they go through their treatment and they're ready to return to their full status. But that's something that's ongoing. 10 11 health management division is looking at that very 12 closely to ensure that people are showing up for 13 work. 14 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. 15 LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: And we've made 16 significant strides. 17 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: With the exception of folks 18 who might be on a medical monitoring program, what is 19 the average timeline between identifying someone as 20 chronically absent to taking some course of action 21 that either brings them back, makes the final

1

2.2

2.3

24

25

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, typically if the — the policy is if somebody's out for 40-days or more but part of that analysis is to determine whether or

decision that you're back or you're not?

LYNELLE MAGNILEY-LIDDIE: It's 45 percent, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

24

25

2.2

2.3

LYNELLE MAGNILEY-LIDDIE: Close, you're close,

3 yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: My question then would be childcare because I know, we all know that if you're child is sick, you stay home. So, my question is, is there some way of having childcare, a different kind than perhaps we would think of in our communities because you have different shifts. So, are you thinking about — this would be a new need? I know nobody likes to talk about new needs but they are out there. New need for some kind of childcare facility in the Department, not necessarily at Rikers obviously but is that something that's being considered because I think that would help your 45 percent.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yes, thank you for that question. That is something that is being considered. We actually conducted a survey amongst our staff with respect to the same issue with childcare and that's something we are exploring right now so we can provide to our staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: When can you update us on what your survey shows and funding possibilities etc., because I think that would -

update in the coming weeks on what we got from the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

2324

decent inclu

survey and continue to have conversations on this topic.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And then maybe we could advocate for funding.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We can provide you an

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: That would be great.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It's a very big need.

Number two, on transportation to the courts. I think

we all want the transportation to work because you

know we want to the percentage of those individuals

at Rikers to go down for every reason. So, I know

it's a preliminary MMR stated 98 percent compliance.

I was a little surprised of the investigations unit

Oversight and investigations and that division, thank

you to the department has been keeping track of this

and has been an actual tour with the bus. So, people

for whatever reason sometimes decide they don't want

to go to court for whatever reason, the experience

that day, getting up so early, etc..

So, I'm a little surprised at the 98 percent.

How do you calculate that? In other words, maybe it

doesn't include the people who don't want to go at

all. How does that 98 percent get calculated and do

you think this is an issue transportation to court?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, the number 98

percent also includes the individuals who have

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

on time.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

information.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE:

Okay.

refused. I think that the transportation division has worked incredibly hard in this initiative. They actually work closely with OCA. They collaborated and built a dashboard to sort of track people as they come to court in real time for their scheduled appointments. This work is ongoing but I've seen, very, the Transportation Division has worked very

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, and so what are the reasons for those do you think not wanting to go?

hard to ensure people are being transported to court

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I think it varies. Sometimes people may not want to go to court for the case to move along. I think there are various reasons for refusing.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, I think we have a

letter that we have sent about this topic, so maybe

you could find it and respond with some of this

)

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We sent it awhile ago.

The issue of food is something of interest to me.

Obviously, I think one of the reasons people don't

want to go — it's not your fault but they may not get

fed all day long at the court, so that's one big

concern that we hear about a lot but on the island in

general, what's your budget for food? What's your

you know does it work? Does it not work? Do you

need more? Do you need less? What's the status

because it's a nutrition issue. There's lots of

issues that I think are really important about food.

MALA SRINIVASAN: Yeah, thank you for that question Council Member. The budget for food is right now \$18 million, \$19 million. We anticipate because of the rise in food prices and the rise in the population. We anticipate that we would be spending close to \$23 million this year and we are working with our oversight to add funding for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And how much, is that contracted out? Is it no scratch whatsoever despite having a kitchen, which I've been in. So, why is it all contracted out? Why can't you do some scratch food? Maybe that would even save money, meaning cooking.

_

MALA SRINIVASAN: So, uh if you're asking about the price per meal, it's basically has gone up to \$8.93 compared to earlier fiscal years.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, that's per person?

Per day? Per meal?

MALA SRINIVASAN: Yes, per meal.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, breakfast, lunch and dinner, that's the average, right? Okay, so my question is do you do any scratch cooking? Do you do anything that's cooked there at Rikers or is it all brought in? That's my question.

JAMES SAUNDERS: Thank you for that question.

James Saunders. So, I want to address your first question, which is about food and the courts and so, the Nutritional Services Division gets a count on a daily basis of the number of individuals who need to present to court and they supply the courts with meals, carry meals, sandwiches, fruits, etc.. With respect to scratch cooking, I can tell you that we are currently working very closely with the Mayor's Office of Food Policy to address your concern about scratch-based cooking. I don't know if you know but the city was recently awarded a grant about \$100,000 to retrain the cooks who are employed by DOC. That

training is going to focus on scratch-based cooking.

Using more fresh fruits and vegetables and daily

meals and preparing, teaching them how to prepare a

meatless meal.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I like meat but okay.

JAMES SAUNDERS: So, we're trying to wrap. We want to make sure that we combine nutritional services along with health services because you really can't separate those two. You know you are what you eat so we want to make sure that we treat the entire person while they are in our custody.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I just hope the meatless taste good. That's what I worry about.

JAMES SAUNDERS: Well, we will invite you out for a taste testing after that training.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Oh, that's okay. That's alright. No, I've had food at Rikers. It's alright, it's not great. That's the problem is how do you do what people want to eat. So, you're working it. When will that program start do you think, the one you just described?

JAMES SAUNDERS: So, the training is I believe going to start this April. I can confirm that and send you the schedule of training and the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

implementation. We're working with a community-based organization called Hot Grid Kitchen.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know Hot Grid very well. Okay, one last question. On the mental health, I was there in August. It does, you know that was when the \$17 million, \$14 million was not in existence and there wasn't a lot of activity and program going on, I have to tell you but in terms of mental health issues, we all hope that there will alternative beds at different public hospitals. That's what we're all hoping for but while we're waiting for those beds to be available, tell me again between Correctional Health and you, what kind of costs because this is a budget hearing is allocated to mental health staff. Now, is that just under Correctional Health? In which case I know you're not in charge of that but do you have a budget for mental health or is it just correctional health?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: It is just Correctional Health Services.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, how do you monitor that? How do you make sure because you do have a very large number of people who have that challenge.

2.3

24

25

JAMES SAUNDERS: I think that it is working. think that they have qualified professional mental health professionals. They have, for example, they have uhm, art therapists. They have dance

1

therapists. They have psychologists, psychiatrists.

3

They have nurse practitioners whose focus is in

4

psychiatry. So, I think they have the correct staff

and I don't want to speak for them but I think it

6

does work. And if you think about it, uh what is you

know we are, our society is in a mental health crisis

8

and you know with the closing of state facilities, we

have seen a higher number of folks with mental health

10

issues coming into our jails. That is placing stress

11

on all of these various systems and I think CHS is

12

coping with it very well and we support them by

13

providing our staff with the requisite training that

14

they need to de-escalate crisis, intervene.

15

think it is, I think it's working.

16

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

17

people back into the community, programs is focused

JAMES SAUNDERS: You know when we reintroduce

18 19

They have a full set of counselors who on that.

20

provide that level of support, who help reintroduce

21

the incarcerated back into programming into the

2.2

community. And we also have folks who are Brad H

2.3

designated, meaning that when we release them, they

can only be released during certain hours and they

24

25

have to at some point contact an adult back into the

2.2

2.3

community. So, I think the safety net that's there
is working.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, I mean it doesn't seem that when you are there but I'm not there for an extended period of time. You see the individuals in the unit where they're being observed to see their status and it does seem a little chaotic but I hear what you're saying. Just finally, so uhm the decree, the great decree, it seems like Commissioner, that's on the way of being addressed in terms of your future.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: The Nunez Consent Decree?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yes, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: What's the timing on that do you think?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: The timing of terminating the Consent Decree. I think we have some work to do and so we are committed to doing that work. Earlier, a few months ago, we were held in contempt for opening a unit within consulting with the Monitor and the judge provided us with three provisions to purge that contempt. I'm happy to say

1
Τ.

today that we successfully purged that content. We continue to collaborate with the Monitor and we are committed to ensuring that people are in jails that are safe and humane right? Because it's also impacting our staff and people in custody.

So, we are working towards addressing the provisions in the consent decree, ensuring that we're in compliance and we are looking forward to telling you when it will be terminated.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright, thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member

Brewer. Council Member Stevens.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Good afternoon. I just have a couple of questions. And so, uhm,

Commissioner, in your time since you started or your overall tenure in the agency, have you experienced a time the department did not have enough resources or staff on duty to properly conduct programming or provide escorts to medical appointments?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, yes I've been at the Department for the last eight years. So, there are times when we are not able to provide escorting to medical appointments. In terms of programming, I

does a uniformed Warden oversee?

24

2 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah, how many

3 | facilities do they oversee?

2.2

2.3

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, I think we, so we've — due to the action plan, we've hired outside personnel and so, there are some people who are — they are actual in the rank of assistant commissioner.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: All of our facilities are overseen by an Assistant Commissioner of Operations or a Warden.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Thank you. According to the Federal Monitor that noted that reducing the overall population is necessary to improve conditions in jails and maximize exposure of dangerous conditions at Rikers but a portion of the jail population over the DOC has the most control. A number of people serving city sentences is up by 300 percent since January 2022. Can you explain the process and critique for reviewing and selecting people where possible early release under 6A?

FRANCIS TORRES: Since I oversee that process as well Council Member, I'll be straight and to the point.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: T

: Thank you.

FRANCIS TORRES: The 6A program allows the Commissioner, in this case, also the department, to look at the sentenced population and take into account the past criminal justice involvement, the institutional conduct, as well as previous charges and there needs. Once they are released, all of those factors are taken into account and assessed in order for them to qualify for 6A.

To date, since 2022, we have been able to release or transition 75 of them. Five done recently under Commissioner Maginley-Liddie. In order for them to transition, we do certain things but what is important is that we ensure there is a linkage of that person in custody transitioning to the community with one of our partners. That the partners create transition plans, ensure that all of the needs that are needed are waiting for the person up in release and then we ensure that we adhere, we present to the person being considered for it. We meet with them to ensure what our expectations are in the community. Whether it is a weekly check-in with a case manager assigned. Whether it is a biweekly or two days per

meet, so congratulations on the appointment. I also

25

first two years in office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

just want to commend you. I was pleased to hear that upon your appointment, the monitoring team found there was an immediate shift in the Departments approach and a willingness to work together and engage constructively. I hope that this is a harbinger of a shift in tone and approach more broadly as I've been deeply concerned about the approach that the Mayor and his Administration has taken at the Department of Corrections over your

I just want to firstly start on a positive note on the therapeutic outposted units. I was thrilled by the announcement earlier this week that the 360 units are moving forward at all three sites, including North Central Bronx and Woodhall and that they are slated to open in mid-2027. Is the Department of Correction supportive committed to this investment and timeline?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great. Uhm, next, so percentage of people who have a serious health designation - uh serious mental health issue at Rikers. Could you give me the current percentage? Just a number please?

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 172
2	JAMES SAUNDERS: Hi, James Saunders, Deputy
3	Commissioner. So, approximately anywhere from 52 to
4	54 percent-
5	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: We saw over -
6	JAMES SAUNDERS: Of the population are known to
7	mental health.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yes, just I'm on the
9	clock so I appreciate it. I'm just looking for
10	direct answers.
11	JAMES SAUNDERS: 20 percent of those have been
12	diagnosed with a serious mental illness.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I appreciate it sir.
14	I'm going to keep going. So, over 54 percent is the
15	current number as far as I understand. That is a
16	record high as far as DOC has tracked this number.
17	Is that correct, yes or no?
18	JAMES SAUNDERS: That's an approximate, no.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: No, not a record high.
20	JAMES SAUNDERS: No, it fluctuates based on -
21	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: So, 54 point something
22	percent is not a record high? We've sort of hacked
23	those numbers in the past?

JAMES SAUNDERS: That I don't know.

2.3

I can't speak —

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Oh, so you're not saying no, you're saying I don't know? I just want to make sure.

JAMES SAUNDERS: I don't know if it's a record high.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Does anyone else on the panel able to confirm that this is a record high in terms of the percent of people with a serious mental health designation?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We don't have that information for you but we can get it.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I can tell you based on the analysis we've done; this is a record in terms of the percentage of people at Rikers with a Brad H mental health designation. So, I am deeply concerned that Rikers is an ever growing, the ever-growing largest psychiatric facility in the state of New York but notably in the PMMR we found that health visits were down by 30 percent year over year. Is that correct? Yes or no please. In the PMMR, this is the data point. I assume you have it at your fingertips.

JAMES SAUNDERS: Uhm, I don't have that data, so

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, well it's accurate. So, what are you doing to fix it? We've got ever growing number of people who have serious mental health designations at Rikers and we're seeing health visits plumet this current fiscal year. What is being done to address this distinctively please.

JAMES SAUNDERS: So, the Department is committed to ensuring that everyone in our care and custody has access to quality mental healthcare.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, I hear you. I don't need a long speech. I just am looking for a direct answer.

JAMES SAUNDERS: So, we produce reports in accordance with Local Law 132 of 2019. I think you have received those reports. I think it's in our public facing website. We'd be happy to send those.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Could I ask, I just love when my questions are answered directly.

JAMES SAUNDERS: So, if you look at the data, you'd realize that in calendar year 2023, there were over 600,110,000 scales of appointments. Looking at that, we have approximately, we were able to produce people to a majority of their appointments and with respect to nonproduction, approximately three percent

of nonproduction is due to no escorts. And so, production refusal reasons tracked by DOC can range from I don't want the service. I do not want to go to the service or I'm not coming now and will come later.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay.

2.2

2.3

JAMES SAUNDERS: And some of the data points that we do track.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I understand that but could you please stick, I'm on the clock and I'm asking once again to stick to answering my questions. We've gone from 41 percent of people with a Brad H designation in 2022 to 54, 55 percent today yet health visits are down 30 percent. So, some things are not right.

Commissioner, because I don't think I'm getting any answers here from the Deputy Commissioner, could you help me? What is being done to reverse this trend and to try and address this?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, we are working internally at the department to ensuring people are getting access to minimum standards. The team is actually developing an audit protocol to making sure people are getting what they need. That is ongoing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and we expect to have something in the coming weeks on that. But that is what we're doing. We understand that we have challenges. We know that there are issues but first, the first thing is to admit that and to address that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I appreciate that. Thank you.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: And that is what we are doing.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you. We would be very interested in understanding what is being done to further address this. Chair, if it would be okay for me to just do two more topics? Uhm, thank you. The - it's a seriously concerning trend for the health and wellbeing of the people that are in your care. We've seen two dozen plus fatalities on Rikers Island since the Mayor came into office. So, making sure people have access to the healthcare they need is life and death and so, that's -I raise these issues with the most alarm and concern that I can I'd like to just circle back on one item that the Chair raised which was, I believe Commissioner you testified that when somebody is out for nonvacation reasons for 40 days, that's when you

_

take a look at whether you need to assess whether they can continue to say on the DOC workforce or further, you know what the — you look at their individual case further when an officer is out for more than 40 days. Is that right? Is that what I heard you say?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yes, there's a new policy but there are several things with respect to that policy but yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Because the thing that was really disconcerting to me in the PMMR is that this year, DOC is on average that every officer is slated to be on average 29.3 days. So, missing a full month of work for sick or other issues, that's a lot of time that we're losing that officers should be showing up to their job but they're not. So, the fact that you're saying the 40 days, it's an alarm that you start to do a further analysis if action needs to be taken and the 29.3 days is the average for entire workforce. It strikes me that we continue to have a very serious issue of chronic absenteeism, and I know this has been a priority of your predecessor. I hope it continues to be a priority for you. We have to get this under control.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I actually managed the - I actually oversaw the management of the Health Management Division beginning spring of 2022 and it is a concern of mine. Also, to make sure that staff are well right? It's a difficult job. They work extremely hard.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Absolutely.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: And so, we are ensuring that our staff get the assistance and the care and wellness that they need to do their jobs and do it effectively,

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I appreciate that and I share very much that sentiment. Last question for me and it's just something that Council Member Stevens raised. The hard numbers, if I have them right on the city sentence population at Rikers, and I appreciate Deputy Commissioner Torres testimony or comments on this as well, are that we've gone from 142 at the beginning of the Mayor's tenure, city sentenced individuals to 485 or so today.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I believe the number yesterday was 420.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: 420, okay. We're still at uh, that's still 142 to 420 is still a three-fold

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

increase since the Mayor came into office. these two years, we've seen the city sentence population triple. As has been noted, this is the one thing that you can directly control in terms of the population at Rikers. We've seen a 30 percent increase in the total population but a tripling of the city sentence population since the Mayor came into office. Can we get any more firm commitment from you that you're going to aggressively use your 6A powers to reduce unnecessary incarceration and shorten jail terms?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I'm going to be reviewing and assessing everyone. The FDC and I meet very regularly on this process and that is what I will do.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I just, I hope, hope, hope that you'll take advantage of the authority that's in your power. The last comment I'll make is just that the Council offered to pay for the reentry programming this year. Last year, Deputy Commissioner Torres testified that it was uniquely only a budgetary decision that you all chose to eliminate this reentry programing. We offered to pay The Mayor and your team said no. You didn't

want the eyes and ears in Rikers. As a result, from
June 2023 — from June 2022 to July 2022, from that
when the fiscal year shifted, we saw half as many
people access reentry programming and services like
this (FINGERS SNAPPED) as soon as you eliminated that
programming. While we appreciate it coming back, the
10-to-15-month timeline that your First Deputy
Commissioner testified to today means that we're
going to have about a two-year period, two-year total
period that people don't have access to the reentry
services that they need. That is an absolute
disaster. It is a failure to the people who are on
Rikers Island. Who are going to be coming back into
our communities who don't get the support that they
need to do better when they're home and I really just
am, we should not — you've done the right thing by
putting the money in now. It should never have ever
happened and that is a travesty.

So, I just have to say that on the record. Thank you Chair Nurse, I appreciate the time.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you Council Member
Restler. I just want to build off the jail
population reduction and numbers. How long on

a average are people staying at Rikers after sentencing
is complete before going upstate?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Chair, I don't believe
I have that number with me right now but that is
something we can follow up with you after.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I think from my understanding, it's about two and a half years. We would love that follow up. We would love that follow up. How long on average are people staying at Rikers after being found not competent to stand trial before going to a state mental hospital for treatment?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: That is another data point that we'll have to follow up with you on.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, just circling back on staffing and overtime and I know that something, this was touched on a little bit in your testimony but does the department have up to date facility operating plans or other staffing analysis that lay out the number of posts and staff needed per shift to properly run each open facility and ancillary services like transportation.

SHERRIE REMBERT: One moment please.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Do you not have — do you guys
3 have facility operating plans that let you know you
4 have staff? Like what your staffing needs are?
5 LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yeah, we have an
6 operating table of organizations.

SHERRIE REMBERT: The operating table of organizations yes, we do use it. To give you the number of staff as acquired is a magical number based on the number of persons in custody as well as the infrastructure of the facilities.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, I just would love to have that analysis provided to the Council.

SHERRIE REMBERT: Yes ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: You mentioned, can you say more about the SMART unit that you said you were launching?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: It's actually, it's already been instituted and the Chief can actually talk a little bit more about the smart unit because that unit is primarily responsible for deploying staff throughout the jails. And so Chief.

SHERRIE REMBERT: Thank you. Thank you for the question. The SMART team is a scheduled Management and Redeployment Team and some of their functions are

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 to monitor, to generate schedules on a weekly basis

3 for the facility, balance squads, analyze staffing to

4 ensure that we get a balance of staffing into the

facility, challenge the facility as well as all the

6 | facilities.

Also, the SMART unit reviews the overtime reduction to make sure that we eliminate overtime in facilities where needed without hampering our security perimeters. SMART team looks at — makes sure that we do not go into any type of unstaffed posts, triple tours. So, the squad team takes care of all that.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And how long has this been in use?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: It's fairly recent.

SHERRIE REMBERT: Yes ma'am, yes it's fairly recent. It's only about I would say no more than a year.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. DOC has a history of underbudgeting uniform overtime spending while using accruals to cover the shortages. In this plan, your agency has a new need of \$161.1 million for additional personnel services, primarily driven by overtime for fiscal year 2024. Can you please

25

2.2

2.3

clarify why the department also included a PEG in
this plan that reduces overtime funding in FY24 by

statement also included a PEG in
this plan that reduces overtime funding in FY24 by

statement also included a PEG in

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Thank you for that question. I'm going to turn that question over to DC Srinivasan.

MALA SRINIVASAN: Yes, uhm, yeah, so did take accrual savings as a result of uh, a lower headcount and an authorized headcount and also one of the initiatives were overtime reduction and we plan to achieve this overtime savings through the reassignment to posts, reducing the sick time as well as civilianization.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, is there a correlation between the new need for an increase in PS spending, the PEG that reduces PS spending by \$30.9 million and the overtime reduction?

MALA SRINIVASAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Do you have any plans to incentivize staff to work in housing areas to ensure there are enough officers and civilians to deliver essential services for incarcerated people and meet minimum standards? For instance, have you considered allowing officers in housing areas to work 12-hour

2 shifts, fewer days a week or some other shift 3 incentive?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: That is something that's ongoing and we're in further discussions with the Federal Monitor on staffing.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And when will we have some idea of a plan for that?

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, great. I want to turn to the conditions the facilities. There have been reports of people in custody handwashing their clothing in small sinks, as they often do not have access to laundry as often as necessary. Are all the washers and dryers fully functioning in every unit?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: In the coming weeks.

that we're looking into. We had this discussion at a Board of Correction Hearing with respect to laundry. I can tell you right after that hearing, I went to that facility where a lot of those complaints rose out of. Uhm, we are looking into making sure that people provided with laundered services and we're exploring if even an outside contractor but that is also something that we're going to be monitoring with

2.2

2.3

this audit process to ensure that people are getting the minimum standards that they need.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, yeah, I think you know some of the reports were that people were washing their clothes in the toilets or wearing their clothes in the showers to clean them. And I know when I visited, I had personally watched people trying to clean their clothes. I mean it was pretty gross and so, I think that would be an urgent need. Do you have alignment for what the Fiscal 2025 budget for laundry services in the city jails is? And if not, can you follow up with that?

MALA SRINIVASAN: We can provide you details.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. Give me one second.

So, I want to talk a little bit about death in custody. Nine people died in 2023 while in DOC

custody and according to reports produced by the

Board of Correction, these individuals were often

left unsupervised in the hours before their death and

they were often not taken to scheduled medical

appointments by DOC officers. How have the

recommendations from the Board of Correction been

received by the Department and what actions are being

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

taken to prevent these types of incidents in the future?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, every death in custody is a tragedy and we take it very seriously. I can tell you that the department has done a lot in terms of training officers with respect to utilizing Narcan. As of March 5th, our training numbers for officers 91 percent. We're also utilizing, making sure people are trained with CPR training and also we are constantly having a conversation also with our partners at CHS. Following every death in custody, there's a joint review, joint action review where we look at the incident and we determine if there are any short of gaps or inefficiencies or things that we need to address so that it doesn't happen going forward.

In addition, we've implemented a suicide prevention committed and DC Saunders can talk a little bit more about that on all the work that we've been doing because it's something that we also have provided to the Federal Monitor and we just got, recently got a report from one of our - one of the experts who have been working with us through this

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

process and it was pretty - he acknowledged that we have made a lot of strides in this process.

JAMES SAUNDERS: Thank you for the question. James Saunders, Deputy Commissioner. So, with respect to deaths in custody and working closely with our colleagues in CHS as the Commissioner stated, after every in-custody death there is a joint assessment review two days following the death, seven days following the death and thirty days following that death. And so, the purpose of that is to determine whether or not there's any immediate, medium range or long-range measures that can be taken to remediate any of the causes surrounding the deaths. Last year we experienced eight deaths, two of those were deemed by the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner to be suicide. We were very concerned with that obviously and so we've implemented a suicide prevention task force. taskforce is charged with looking at every suicide that is committed. We look at the data. We look at the number of individuals who are placed on suicide watch and on that Committee, we have senior leadership on the mental health side from CHS as well as senior leadership on the operation side. So,

_

J

2.3

there's a lot more collaboration surrounding controls that can be put in place to prevent suicides.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you for that. I mean I think, I'd be curious to see what those recommendations, how those recommendations are different from what we've been seeing from reports. It seems from the Federal Monitor, it seems that consistently when they look at the deaths and the suicides, it was you know a lack of people being on their post or doing their tour consistently or not you know, getting the next up in the chain to come down or not providing first aid and CPR. So, what are you doing to ensure that you have adequate staffing in the housing units, in the jails and to keep them at their post and making sure that they're doing their tours on time?

JAMES SAUNDERS: So, after every death, we conduct a very, very thorough investigations that's conducted by the forensic unit and our operations, right? The folks on the operations side and I can tell you with respect to deaths where there were findings, where the officers were not conducting meaningful tours, they were appropriately disciplined. And so, between the training and the

discipline, you know we are seeing improvements. We also have an observation aid program in place whereby people in custody have an opportunity to work as a suicide prevention aid. It's one of the highest paid work assignments in Department of Corrections. And so, that provides us with an extra set of eyes on all three tours. Their job is to again, serve as that extra set of eyes. Not to intervene but to alert the officers who themselves have to conduct meaningful tours. And so, we're —

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: It seems that you know one of the things that we saw in some of the reports were that the accountability measures were you know two weeks someone off, you know an officer off. Someone dies and then there's two weeks of a period where they're just off.

It doesn't really feel like a strong accountability measure given the preventable loss of life. An intervention could have been made had someone been at their job doing the work that they're supposed to be doing in the right place and the right time while providing first aid. So, I'd love to if you could, in the follow up give us more information

2.2

2.3

2 about the uhm, unit you just mentioned, the watch,
3 the Suicide Watch.

JAMES SAUNDERS: So, the observation aids.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Observation aides, yeah.

JAMES SAUNDERS: Policy and the staffing levels currently.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. Council Member Brewer had another question.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I appreciate it. I'll be very quick. So, I just wanted to say about the tablets, because I believe that everybody has one if you could be clear on that and then how they're used and then Secure Us I think is the contractor. There has, I want to know what their contract budget is, how long it is, and then there was a concern about privacy on that particular contract. Those are my questions.

MALA SRINIVASAN: Thank you for your question Council Member. The Secure Us contract, we have a base contract that provides tablets to the population. The contract, the annual value of the contract is \$5.4 million and the contract, the renewal contract ends in the end of this calendar year 2024.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, are there any concerns about privacy? How was that handled in terms of peoples information? I mean you know, we're all concerned about that, cameras, AI etc., but is there some oversight on how information, in other words, private contractor, access to the tablets? How do you monitor, make sure that peoples private information is not part of the private contract? It's not just this contract, you know but in general; we're concerned about privacy.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: That's something that our IT division works closely with with OTI and when we're negotiating these contracts. So, that's something that discussed as we're negotiating the contract.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah, but you have the contract now.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, could somebody get back to me to make sure that this is being monitored? I have heard a lot of complaints about it, so that's why I'm asking. Because people are worried about their private information. You know if it was you running it, they may not feel the same way but this

is a private contractor. Obviously, private conversations need to be private and not shared with a private contractor. You understand what I'm trying to say.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I agree.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And I understand that's not happening so I'd like to know from you what safeguards we have to be sure that this company is not taking any information that they shouldn't be.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: I will check with OIT division and get back to you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I just have a couple more questions. I know you all are running on the clock as well. I wanted to talk about the use of chemical agents or pepper spray. The Board of Correction released a report that looked at a number of incidents where chemical agents were used. Uhm, it looked at a period about ten months. The first ten months of 2023. It was used 2,972 times. The report lists several instances where officers used a chemical agent on individuals who appear to be inflicting self-harm on eight separate occasions as well as an instance where a detainee was shackled to

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a wheelchair later to find that he was only out of his cell because he had not received his blood pressure medication for two weeks.

We also saw that the report showed that officers are utilizing the strongest form of chemical agent and may not have been trained recently or retrained recently on this. So, how are you all responding to that report? What actions are you taking to ensure that officers are following proper de-escalation protocols and proper protocols for the use of chemical agents?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, we just received that report, so we are looking through that report internally. We're actually uhm, presenting at a Board of Correction hearing next week to go through it but we're still going through it right now and we could follow up with you in terms of what steps we're going to take but we're still, that's still ongoing internally.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. Okay, I breezed through that in a day. It had some pretty clear recommendations. The BOC report, this report also noted that fewer than half of the incidents were captured on body worn cameras because staff were not

wearing them. So, are officers required to be wearing body worn cameras during their shifts?

2.2

2.3

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Yes, they are and we are looking into that in terms of a training point with ADC Johnson and his team.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay and what actions were taken in response to officers who were found not to be wearing that during some of these instances?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Again, I don't have all the information with respect to that report but we can follow up with you afterwards.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, just a couple more questions. How much money did the department spend to settle misconduct cases in FY23?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: So, I don't have that data point with me.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, would love that in follow up and if you could include year to date for FY24 as well and if you have any projections for FY25. I have one last question from Council Member Narcisse who had to leave earlier and then truly, it is my last question. We understand that DOC operates several energy generators on Rikers Island that burn gas and diesel fuels. DOC has filed an application

2.2

2.3

to remove the pollution limits from the state that
the state puts on the facility. This would increase
the amount of air pollution, incarcerated people,
workers on Rikers Island and in surrounding
communities are exposed to. Is the project, is this
project moving forward in 2024? How much is budgeted
and if you have any, if you've spend any money on
consultants for this project?

PATRICK BENN: Good afternoon. I'm Deputy

Commissioner Patrick Benn DOC, I'm in charge of FMRD so it falls on me. Yes, the generator pollution project is moving forward and the exact numbers, I don't know off the top of my head. I will have to get back to with it but yes, it is moving forward to remove the pollution.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, uhm, sorry I did have one more question. I'd be remiss if I didn't ask.

Has DOC been in any conversations regarding the now decommissioned buildings in terms of when we might be turning the new building, vacancy and vacant land over to DCAS? Have you been in any conversations where there is a plan being developed?

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: We continue to assess. Right now there are no available properties to turn

2 over but that's something that's ongoing, our
3 assessing of that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Yeah, when we toured, we toured fully outside of facilities, a swath of open vacant land that has no plans you know, storage containers that probably need to be emptied. Offices that are being used. It seems like there was actually an abundance of land available to transfer over. As we know, the Administration is now almost, coming up on almost five times of being noncompliant with the renewable Rikers law of 2019. There's just really no rationale for not transferring these parcels of land open. We're not building new jails, so any vacant land should just be turned over and it would be really great to see some kind of plan for how the city can become compliant because it really would be very simple to start handing over some of those parcels over to DCAS.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay great. Thank you

Commissioner. It was nice to meet you in person.

Thank you for being here and we're going to take

another break. Thank you.

LYNELLE MAGINLEY-LIDDIE: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2 BREAK [04:04:08] - [04:18:39]

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Alright ladies and gentlemen

were going to keep going. Welcome, welcome to our

Friday hearing. I'm going to turn it to our

Committee Counsel to swear you in.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I'll now swear in our last panel of Administration witnesses from the Board of Corrections. We have Jasmine Georges-Yilla, Melissa Cintron Hernandez, Danielle Ortega, and Katrina Blackman. If you could all please raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and respond honestly to Council Member questions? Noting for the record, all witnesses answered affirmatively. You may begin your testimony.

JASMINE GEORGES-YILLA: Thank you. Good

afternoon, Chair Nurse and members of the New York

City Council Committee on Criminal Justice. I am

Jasmine Georges-Yilla, Executive Director of the New

York City Board of Correction. I am joined today by

BOCs Deputy Executive Director of Administration,

Danielle Ortega, our Assistant Executive Director of

Monitoring, Katrina Blackman, and our General

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Counsel, Melissa Cintron Hernandez. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

The Board has carried out critical independent oversight of the city's jails since 1957, despite being a relatively small agency with limited resources. The New York City Charter outlines the Boards broad mandates to establish local regulations, investigate any matter within the jurisdiction of the Department of Correction and evaluate the Department and Correctional Health Services performance. The Boards minimum standards set the baseline for what must be provided to people in custody.

We thank the City Council for investing in the Board as a key partner in the strategy to transform the jail system and the broader criminal justice The one-year funding that the Council system. provided for four positions in Fiscal Year 2024 was extremely helpful. Indeed, despite six employee separations in the past year, we were able to hire two additional correctional standard review specialists to monitor the jails and we created and filled a Director of Appeals position and an Assistant Executive Director of Intergovernmental Affairs position. We also restructured our

operations to optimize productivity and performance as we work to fulfill the priorities of the Board and comply with the laws enacted by the City Council.

Last year, despite difficult circumstances, we published two death reports, a report on the North Infirmary Command Fire, and a progress report on the City's Borough Based Jails plan. So far in 2024, we have published on death report and assessment on the Departments use of chemical agents, and a Borough Based Jails progress report.

In 2024, and beyond, we are committed to providing more frequent and timely reporting centered on deaths, serious incidents, and Minimum Standard Compliance. With more resources we can do much more.

Despite the Board's huge mandate and ambitious goals, we are a very small agency with an expense budget of \$3.17 million, which supports a headcount of 29 positions in Fiscal Year 2025. At present the Board has 24 active staff and nine vacant positions, which we are working to fill. As part f the Fiscal 2025 Financial Plans, the Board sustained budget reductions totaling \$672,000 in Fiscal Year 2024 and \$313,000 in Fiscal Year 2025, a part of citywide programs to eliminate the gap. The cuts included the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

agency's loss of two full-time positions in Fiscal Year 2024 and the outyears, a research and compliance associate position and a Special Investigations Coordinator position. For an agency as small as the Board, this will present serious challenges to meeting our Charter and legislative mandate.

Indeed, these cuts reduced the Board's alreadysmall research operations by 25 percent, a move from four to three research staff. And we currently only have one Research and Compliance Associate due to two separations. This loss impedes the agency's progress towards robust and timely data reporting.

Following the Council's historic vote to fund new jail construction and close Rikers Island, this is a moment for the expansion of independent jail oversight, not retraction. As it stands, the Board's Fiscal Year 2025 budget represents .3 percent of the budget of the Department. Adjusting our Fiscal Year 2025 funding and headcount to our initial Fiscal Year 2024 budget, which is 35 positions and \$3.8 million, which amounts to just \$673,000, will allow us to meet our mandates more effectively. In Fiscal Year 2025, the Board requires additional funding to increase its staff so we can consistently publish data driven

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

reports, meet requirements set by City Council, increase public engagement, and monitor conditions of confinement for the thousands of people in custody during DOCs 24-hour operation. Specifically, the Board is seeking funding for 20 new positions across the agency and the ability to fill four additional positions using existing vacancies.

Monitoring staff are critical to BOC's mission. We currently have only 12 monitoring staff who work in the jails, court pens, and hospital jail wards, speaking to people in custody and resolving complaints about conditions of confinement. As you know, there are thousands of people in custody. Yesterday, there were 6,246 people in the Departments care. Funding to expand the Board's monitoring operations is crucial because lives and fundamental fairness are at stake.

Furthermore, it is vital that BOC have sufficient headcount to thoroughly research and understand the numerous data points and material that we receive from both DOC and CHS. While we anticipate having a Director of Research and Monitoring Compliance join our sole Research and Compliance Associate later this month, there is still a need to increase the research

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

staff and analytical capacity of our agency. Funding for five additional research staff will support our commitment to evaluating and analyzing operations and outcomes in the jails, increasing transparency and timely data sharing.

We also request more funding and headcount for our Legal team. The Special Investigations unit is a two-person unit responsible for investigating various incidents within DOCs facility. This includes deaths in custody, unresponsive persons in custody, fires, or any other incident that falls under the unusual incident category. An additional Special Investigations Coordinator would allow the Board to identify many more incidents in custody and is essential for the Board to fulfill its mandate to investigate incidents in custody and issue timely public reports. The Special Investigations unit would also benefit greatly from a part time doctor or nurse practitioner with the expertise to analyze medical records, give independent advice, and help lead the joint reviews with the CHS staff.

Moreover, to support the agency's vital work related to visit appeals and its impact on both incarcerated persons and their loved ones, BOC

requests one position and associated funding to hire an appeals coordinator to join our one-person appeals unit. More funding and headcount will also bolster our violence prevention unit. More resources will allow the Violence Prevention Unit to conduct routine assessments of matters of violence in the jails and issue more frequent comprehensive reports that make recommendations in areas of key correctional planning.

Additionally, the agency currently does not have any staff dedicated to monitoring the standards on the elimination of sexual abuse and sexual harassment under the Prison Rape Elimination Act. We are requesting funding to add one PREA Research Associate and one PREA Coordinator to the Violence Prevention Team. These new positions would allow BOC to routinely assess DOCs compliance with the PREA reporting provisions, conduct annual audits of DOCs closing memos on investigations of sexual abuse and harassment allegations, analyze sexual abuse and harassment allegations, and closely monitor the housing decisions for transgender individuals in custody.

6

7 8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Boards General Counsel Office is also a oneperson unit. Currently, our General Counsel handles all requires made under the Freedom of Information Law, all policy and rule-making matters and serves as the agencies Chief Contracting Officer and Records Management Officer. The Board is in the process of drafting amendments to Chapter 6 of its rules to align its existing standards banning solitary confinement with the requirements of Local Law 42 for the year 2024. The Board would greatly benefit from the addition of a Deputy General Counsel and Legal Associate to assist with this rule making process and all other legal matters.

We also request more funding and headcount for our Administration and IT units, specially we need a dedicated staff person to work on human resources and EEO matters, and a dedicated budget analyst.

Moreover, BOC only has one IT staff person, a Director of IT, who supports all agency operations across two BOC office locations in downtown Manhattan and on Rikers Island. One IT person is not sufficient for the long-term stability of the agency. The Board needs six new positions to support its IT operations and systems.

BOC has previously requested funding for a new secure information technology and data management system that will keep pace with DOCs transition to new technology and the Boards mandates to investigate and evaluate jail conditions and monitor compliance with the minimum standards. We renew that request for future funding today. Investment in a new technology system is critical to BOCs long term stability and necessary to continue the Boards data driven oversight.

BOC also needs additional staff to focus on the review of the city's borough-based jails plan, as required by Local Law 192 for the year 2019.

Currently, BOC does not have a dedicated staff person in this area, which has resulted in delayed reporting. We hope to hire a Program Associate to fill this void. In the meantime, the Board will continue to seek opportunities to be involved in discussions concerning the design and construction of the new borough-based facilities to ensure the minimum standards are met.

The Board is essential to the success of the reforms that the City Council and the Administration seek to realize. Without the appropriate funding,

2.2

2.3

the Board will struggle to meet these shared goals and legislative mandates. It is essential that funding for our critical positions be restored and additional funding be provided, so we can meet both our mandate and our promise.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify today. I'm happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you so much. Uhm, I just have a few questions. So, I wanted to talk about your headcount vacancy rate and the impact of that on charter mandated reports and then some of the stuff that you brought up in your testimony.

The Board Chair has recommended increasing the presence of Board Monitoring staff in the jails by expanding monitoring hours to nights and weekends.

How many additional staff with the Board need to expand its monitoring hours?

JASMINE GEORGES-YILLA: Thank you for that question. That was actually one of our priorities last year when we testified before the Committee and we requested 27 additional staff to be able to implement that sort of vision. And we did not receive that funding unfortunately and we've had to restructure due to the PEGs. So, I would say 27 as

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: And so, with that 27 staff

that you were hoping for, that would be a part of -

it would be overlapping work in that?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2.2

2.3

2 JASMINE GEORGES-YILLA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. Uhm, you know when we asked Commissioner Jiha was it Monday? Monday was the hearing like two weeks ago, you know why there was a proposed cut for the Board of Corrections, he said, "well, you know these positions are underutilized, they are vacant and that's seems to be an ongoing issue." That's what he said to us. My understanding is these are really challenging positions to fill given the nature of the work.

Could you expand a little bit more on what are those challenges? Why is it hard to fill these positions?

Uhm, you know you could touch upon salary if you want that we could use in advocating for more funding for you all.

JASMINE GEORGES-YILLA: Yes, I would say the challenges at least this year has been the hiring freeze. We actually had postings for a part-time position that would assist our special investigations unit to review medical documentations. We had to pull that due to the hire freeze. We also had a part time HR specialist that we were going to hire for. So, the nature of monitoring inside the jails is definitely difficult but we want to increase our

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

staff so we can accomplish our mandate. So, we should not be restricted by hiring freezes or any thing of the such and we will definitely, wholeheartedly move forward with filling any vacancies.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Right and we argue that this is a key function of public safety, which was one of the exemptions for some of the folks from having a hiring freeze. Prior to the hiring freeze, what type of outreach had been done by the Board to try to fill these positions?

DANIELLE ORTEGA: Sure, so the Board. So, I just want to talk a little bit about free hiring freeze a little bit because when we talk about our vacancies and we talk about our vacancies right? Uhm, in Fiscal Year 2023, the Board actually did make increasing its headcount, increasing it's active staff from 23 to 28, right? And so, as you start the fiscal year, you know it further displays the impact of the hiring freeze to the fact that we're now at 24, right? So, just to kind of talk a little bit about our vacancies and give context that we are trying and we are working.

So, what did we do? Uhm, so our postings, when we post them, we post them on Jobs NYC, we also post them on our website and you know to the fact that opportunities for internal promotion are important, we also spread them around internally so that our staff are aware. One of the things that we are looking at is how in the future, as we have opportunities to hire, is to make more public our vacancies and our postings and that includes working for job boards, such as LinkedIn and Indeed and also looking at opportunities by criminal justice schools.

One of our requests for an HR Generalist, uhm, would also help with some of our recruiting and retention activities.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. I just want to turn to Charter mandated reports. The Board recently released a report regarding the unnecessary use of chemical agents on people in custody at Rikers. The DOC Commissioner testified that they're going through it but could you please share for the record the recommendations that the Board has or any that you particularly would like to highlight?

Recommendations that you have made to the Department

in order to avoid unnecessary use of chemicals, of these chemicals moving forward.

JASMINE GEORGES-YILLA: Yes, I'll turn it over to my General Counsel but I just wanted to initially say that we did share a draft of the report with the Department in January and there's actually an addendum to the report, which is a response from DOC and CHS. So, and also a part of our restructuring has been to restructure our Board meetings to center around minimum standards and to address issues such as the use of the chemical agents, which is what our meeting next week will focus on. So, we hope that the Department comes prepared to address the recommendation.

MELISSA CINTRON HERNANDEZ: Thank you Chair nurse. Some of the recommendations that the Board of Correction made in its report on the use of chemical agents in the jails, include on training. The Department should closely examine the current chemical agent training for both officers and captains. That also relates to recertification. One of the findings was that officers are not getting recertified on a timely basis and that a very small

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

percentage of captains are also not getting recertified.

So, one of the recommendations is to increase its training pace. In the aspect of monitoring, we noted that there are issues with completing use of force reports. So, one of the recommendations that we made is identifying incomplete or incorrect use of reports, ensuring that officers with expired OC Training Certifications are not issued OC handheld units, assessing the availability and response time of captains to call us for assistance. We also made recommendations when it comes to their policies. So, where applicable, the Department should revise its current chemical agent policies and practices related to the following concerns. The authorization for the use MK9, which is a very strong chemical agent that is usually used for crowd control. We're advised the anticipated use of force tracking and reporting protocol, expand the use of body worn camera use in the jails to always be on during the full shift, attempt mental health interventions prior to chemical agent deployment and review lower strength handheld OC units. Pending to our report, the Department did include some information about how they reviewed the

2.3

report and some of the recommendations that they will take into consideration including reviewing the trainings. And ensuring that officers and captains are trained.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you for that and the Commissioner did testify that she was preparing to come to you all with some response, so hopefully that happens.

Whm, I think that's one of my last questions. I mean, I have a couple, just two more. The Boards compliance dashboard of DOCs and Correctional Health Services sexual abuse and harassment minimum standards related reporting haven't been updated since mid-2022 and the Department has not produced a semi-annual report evaluating sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations which is required by those standards since August 2020. Is the Boards delay in reporting this information related to insufficient staffing?

JASMINE GEORGES-YILLA: Yes it is and a part of our restructuring to accommodate that is that we've moved that reporting under our violence prevention team and we hope to get back up to robust and timely

2.2

2.3

2	reporting	in	that	area	but	we	do	require	additional
3	staff.								

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Last question I think we have from a Committee Member who uhm — okay, okay, okay. I guess we have no further questions. Is there anything that you would like to add to anything that you've heard today? Anything you'd like the Council to know while you're here at the table?

JASMINE GEORGES-YILLA: No, we just really want to thank the Council for always supporting. We feel it and we appreciate it and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you for coming. Okay, alright, thank you all for being here and happy
Friday. We're going to transition to testimony. I'm going to kick it over to our Committee Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Madam Chair. We will move onto public testimony. Just a quick announcement for those wishing to testify in person, please see the Sergeant at Arms in the back of the room and fill out a testimony slip. Even if you registered online, please fill out a testimony slip with the Sergeants. All testimony must be on topic. This hearing is being livestreamed and recorded.

Witnesses may not use a recording device to film

2.2

2.3

themselves or the proceedings while they are testifying. For those wishing to testify and are Zoom, after the in-person testimony, we will move onto virtual testimony. Those on Zoom will be called and promptly unmuted and we will be limiting public testimony today to three minutes each.

Uhm, with that, I'll call up the first panel,
which includes Hannah May-Powers, Dalvanie K. Powell,
Amanda Berman, Barbara Hamilton and Sabastian
Soloman. You can start your testimony in whatever
order.

DALVANIE K. POWELL: Good afternoon. I was going to say good morning. Good afternoon Chairperson

Nurse and Members of the Committee. My name is

Dalvanie and I'm the President of the United

Probation Officers Association. I want to thank the

Committee for affording me on behalf of the members

of the UPOA the opportunity to testify on employment

issues impacting the future criminal justice, the

future of criminal justice and the City of New York

for your consideration and preparing your

recommendations for the next fiscal years budget.

Probation Officers work every day to keep our city

safe while giving New Yorkers involved in the

criminal justice system a second chance. UPOA members have bachelor's and masters degree in education and work experience in social work, which we use to help our clients begin to live lawful lives.

We are charged by the city to oversee approximately 15,000 men, women, and youth who have been convicted of crimes and sentenced to probation instead of jail, prison and placement. When we are successful, we save the city and the state millions of dollars by diverting people from being sentenced and incarcerated. We are responsible for making sure that they do not violate the terms of their probation and work with them to turn their lives around. Our members are peace officers, they serve on a taskforce with other agencies such as US Marshals, Drug Enforcement Agencies and NYPD. We carry firearms, make arrests and execute search warrants and arrest warrants.

Despite the role we play in law enforcement, our members are considered civilians rather than law enforcement officers which impacts our salaries and benefits. Currently, we have approximately 668 probation officers, supervising probation officers

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

serving the Department of Probation. The vast majority of our members are women and people of color. This is a significant decrease from a decade ago.

Prior to the Bloomberg Administration, there were approximately 1,550 probation officers working in New York City. Over the past several years, staffing levels at the Department of Probation have reduced by more than 50 percent. I am also providing you with the DOP's resignation rate from January 2018 to December 2022.

As staffing levels have decreased, our work load has continued to increase. This is in part due to bail reform and raise the age, which have increased a number of the clients served on probation. This cannot continue. To be able to perform our duties and responsibilities, we need more support and your help.

In June 2023, I was invited by DCAS to testify in a public hearing to consider a proposal to temporarily classify 3,000 noncompetitive class under the Hiring Emergency Limited Placement, known as HELP program. Unfortunately, we met the criteria and I'm also providing with a notice for your review. The

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Department of Probation has issues with retention and recruitment. Our members are leaving for higher paying positions. In the criminal justice system, this includes other law enforcement agencies in New York City as well probation departments in neighboring counties. Our compensation lacks far behind other law enforcement agencies even though we work the same population and face the same dangers. For example, based on our last contract, which expired in November 2020, the hiring rate of probation officers is 45,934, which is far lower than the starting salaries in the NYPD, DOC and other agencies. Although there's a salary range for our titles, the majority of our member are suppressed at the lower end of the range with almost no opportunity to earn more to reach the top salaries.

Compared to other probation departments, our salaries are lowest in the metropolitan area, including Nassau, Suffolk County and Westchester County. We do to the same work as Probation Officers and nearby jurisdictions deserve the same pay. We recently had the civil service examination for probation officers in August 2023, for supervised probation officers in May of 2021, and there has not

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

been a civil service exam for Administrative

3 Probation Officers since 2017. However, our recent

4 Administration has petitioned DCAS for Administrative

5 Probation Office Exam and finally it now begins April

6 3rd to the 23rd. We have begun to work with the

7 Mayor's Office to address some of these changes and

8 | are hopeful that the newly appointed Department of

9 | Probation Commissioner Juanita Holmes and her team

10 | will be receptive. But if history is our guide, we

11 | will need the Council's support to ensure that

12 members of Probation feel respected because they are

13 the frontlines as other alternatives to sentencing at

14 | a time when we are striving to lower the population

15 of those who are incarcerated. I would appreciate

16 the opportunity to discuss with you further. Thank

17 | you and I stand to answer any questions you may have

18 ∥ now and look forward to meeting with you and your

19 representative in the future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Thank you very much. Uhm, I didn't mention but you know everyone's got three minutes. I usually let people in person go a little bit longer but if after the bell rings you could wrap up your remarks, I would suggest you know long introductions by your organizations can be in

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

your thing. You know highlight what you really need in that three minutes please. Thank you.

Good afternoon Chair Nurse and thank you also to the members of the Criminal Justice Committee for holding this hearing today. My name is Hannah May-Powers and I am here today on behalf of Brooklyn Borough President Antonio Reynoso to say unequivocally that Rikers Island must be closed by the legally mandated date of August 31, 2027.

According to the Vera Institute, the Department of Correction spends more than \$556,000 per person per year currently incarcerated on Rikers Island. This is nearly 350 percent more per person than the Los Angeles and Cook County systems. Yet Rikers Island remains a humanitarian crisis. Even a single death of an incarcerated person is too many; however, 30 people have died on Rikers Island alone since January 2022.

Despite these egregious numbers, Mayor Adams wants to cut funding to some of the most impactful, research driven programs that help keep people out of These budget cuts include \$6.7 million for jail. alternatives to incarceration, \$13 million for

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

incarceration, investing in our youth and keeping our

supervised release, and \$8 million for reentry services.

The most bloated areas of spending for DOC, 88.3 of agency expenses are staff, salaries, benefits, and overtime. There are approximately 901 current vacancies of uniformed DOC staff, yet even with these, the ratio of uniform staff to incarcerated individuals is more than four times the national average. Rightsizing DOC by cutting these vacancies could free up \$162 million in Fiscal Year 2025 alone. It is also necessary to hold uniform DOC employees accountable for chronic absenteeism.

As of December 2023, the number of uniformed DOC officers taking sick leave is nearly twice that of pre-pandemic rates within the Department. Approximately 8.49 percent of uniformed DOC employees are out sick on any given day, which is nearly twice the rate of NYPD and FDNY, agencies also have unlimited sick time. Relevant budget areas include: justice involved, support housing, and mental health safety net, increasing the Board of Correction headcount, increasing and funding alternatives to

communities out of Rikers Island for their own safety.

Thank you for this opportunity to participate in the hearing today. The Brooklyn Borough President looks forward to working with you to ensure that we can keep our commitments to all New Yorkers and address the many injustices happening daily on Rikers Island. It's time to invest our public dollars to supporting our neighbors instead of incarcerating them.

AMANDA BERMAN: Good afternoon Chair Nurse and esteemed staff of this Committee. My name is Amanda Berman. I'm here today on behalf of the Center for Justice Innovation and I'm here to talk a little bit more about the critical need that we face for continued funding of alternatives to incarceration and alternatives to detention, which I know you know full well Chair Nurse how critical they are. They are critical to the goals of closing Rikers, improving public safety, and also producing much needed cost savings. And as you said Chair earlier today in your opening remarks, we should be doubling down in this moment on programs that have proven

J

_ _

track records of reducing recidivism and promoting healing in this moment.

The Center for Justice Innovation, our work spans every borough of the city and the entire justice continuum, beginning with community-based prevention efforts. Through prearrangement diversion, and pretrial supervision to alternatives to incarceration for both felonies and misdemeanors. And not only do we provide these services but we also study them. We evaluate everything that we do to identify what works and spread best practices in the field to produce better outcomes. What do those better outcomes look like?

For our participants it means meeting their immediate needs, to provide a better sense of stability, and assessing their long-term needs and goals and connecting them to resources and services that are designed to set them up for longer-term success. Whether that be part of their mandate with us or to follow up on a voluntary basis for them after their case is over. So, this also includes mental health, substance use services, of course education and employment and housing just to name a few. And throughout that process, we center the

2.2

2.3

dignity of every person that we serve and the humanity of everyone of our participants. And better outcomes are also captured in our data and that data is often reflective of what we know to be the research and the data in the field more broadly around alternatives like this.

So, for example, our Brooklyn Mental Health Corp works with participants who have serious mental illness and have been released to our program as an alternative to incarceration on serious felony charges and we have a proven track record of reducing recidivism. Our active participants in that court are 46 percent less likely to be rearrested while they are engaged with us, and the graduates are 29 percent less likely to be reconvicted.

Similarly, through our work at the Red Hook

Community Justice Center, we've also managed to

reduce recidivism, 10 percent for adults, 20 percent

for juveniles, and also resulted in cost savings of

\$6.8 million a year.

And finally, in our Supervised Release Program, which we operate in the boroughs of Brooklyn and Staten Island, we serve nearly 9,000 participants a year to ensure that they return to court.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

I'll wrap up if you'll indulge me with just another moment. In Supervised Release, we are making sure participants return to court and then connecting them with services to make sure they have the stability we need. We have an impressive 90 percent appearance rate in that program, even as we serve people with the most serious charges. And I know that we have been in moment where there's a lot of discussion right now about Rikers closure and whether it is feasible to meet the deadline of 2027 and as we see the population increase and I think it's clear, we know what the answers are. We have those tools. We know that these programs work and they can bring the Rikers population down and it is just critical we continue to invest. So, thank you for your time today.

BARBARA HAMILTON: There we go. My name is

Barbara Hamilton. I've been working on city jail

issues since 2008 and I am not the Supervising

Attorney of Incarcerated Client Services at Legal

Aid. Thank you for the opportunity to testify here

briefly about the need to expand our work within the

city jails, as well as the need to increase

programming within the city jails.

2.2

Needless to say, people in carceral settings have significant obstacles at hand and Legal Aid has worked to support and to improve those conditions of confinement in the city jails and to further the end, Legal Aid operates an incarcerated persons crisis hotline and has paralegals posted in every facility to address the needs, not only of our own clients but everybody within the city jails. And through this testimony, Legal Aid is urging the City Council to invest more funding to expand our hotline services and our facility staff in each one of those jails.

DOC has even filed a letter of support in our funding application to the City Council and they recognize us as a critical resource and the need for expansion. And second, uhm at a time when the city's supposed to be on a path to closing Rikers Island and creating smaller and more humane jails, divestments in programming for incarcerated people are actually contrary to these significant goals. And recent cuts during the last Fiscal Year to programming predictably had a decline for people in custody. And to date, service providers at Rikers are not working for free with limited services and limited staff.

This of course is not sustainable and not sustainable

for the future of the City jails in New York, and although the Mayor has recently announced \$14 million in programming, this is still \$3 million short of the \$17 million budget cuts that happened last fiscal year. And to remedy this, Legal Aid urges the City Council not only to reinstate the funding but to look to increase it moving forward so we further that goal of creating a more just system. Thank you.

SEBASTIAN SOLOMAN: Good afternoon Chair Nurse.

My name is Sebastian Soloman and I am the Associate

Director of Policy of the Greater Justice New York

Program at the Vera Institute of Justice. Thank you

for the opportunity to testify.

We spend more than \$14 billion on jails and police in New York City every year, yet many New Yorkers still do not feel safe. Continuing to overstaff and overfund our jails will not make us safer. Yet once again, the Mayor's Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2025 shows continued overspending on incarceration instead of adequate investment in community-based safety solutions. It is past time to listen to the evidence and take a different approach.

We urge the City Council to pass a budget that invests in community-based supports to prevent crime

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

before it happens instead of just reacting to it afterwards. The FY 2025 preliminary budget maintains astronomical funding for the Department of Correction, despite the city's commitment to decarcerate, close, and replace Rikers Island with a smaller borough-based jail system.

DOC's budget is set to decrease by just 3.3 percent compared to estimated FY 2024 spending, remaining above \$2.6 billion. Meanwhile, despite growing concerns about New York City's inability to care for people experiencing mental illness, substance use disorder, and homelessness, funding for the departments serving these populations is set to shrink significantly more in FY 2025.

The preliminary budget decreases funding for the Department of Youth and Community Development by 25.4 percent, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene by 20.4 percent, and the Department of Social Services by 14.9 percent, compared to their estimated FY 2024 expenditures.

According to the New York City Comptroller's office, in 2023, DOC employed approximately 400 more correction officers than people in detention. contrast, in 2022, the typical American jail had a

uniformed workforce roughly one-quarter the size of its jail population. The overstaffing on Rikers inevitably results in overspending. Personnel costs represent 88 percent of the Department's bloated \$2.6 billion budget.

Although the decarceration and construction plans are behind schedule, New York City has a legal obligation to close Rikers Island by 2027. To do so, New York City must revamp DOC with staffing proportionate to the smaller capacity of the borough-based jail system and begin reducing budgeted officer positions now. Current government projections indicate that DOC intends for budget for 7,060 uniformed officers through the end of FY 2028, suggesting plans to offset attrition with significant hiring despite the decreasing need for officers. Eliminating these uniformed vacancies to bring budgeted headcount in line with actual headcount would save a total of \$162 million next fiscal year alone.

In addition to eliminating vacancies, DOC must rein in overtime spending, which at \$157.9 - I'll just skip through, but which is obviously way over budget from what it was supposed to be and will be

much greater than it will be expected next year. So, instead of continuing to fund DOCs mismanagement, we've listed some alternative investments that we think the City Council should focus on and longer term, DOC must also right size its uniformed workforce to match the smaller footprint of the borough-based jails.

Natural attrition is neither fast nor targeted enough to do so, and mass layoffs are not a viable option. The city must enable DOC staff to begin transitioning to agencies and sectors that provide the same economic opportunity and job security without the trauma of working on Rikers Island.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at the Vera
Institute of Justice may provide further support.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you all. Thank you for coming. Thank you spending all that time waiting. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, I'll call up the next panel. Victor Herrera, Darren Mack, Ashley Santiago, Joanne Delapaz, Megan French-Marcelin, Jennifer Parish and Yonah Zeitz.

We can start at this end of the table.

Madam Chair, do you mind if I just preface with something that I wanted to reiterate throughout the hearing today and thank you for the Committee Members for allowing me to testify. I have the honor of representing a directly impacted woman who is a member of Freedom Agenda today and I'm honored to read her testimony but it would be remiss of me to not bring up Mary Ahuda today who lost her life on DOC. A lot that came up today was how closely DOC and Correctional Health Services work. When we saw that Mary Ahuda upon intake was never checked for diabetes, a complaint from February 2nd until her loss of her life in May about shortness of breath, pain in her arms and then DOC slandered her name and said her death was because of a fentanyl overdose.

So, I just wanted to uplift her name today. Uhm, today I am representing a Freedom Agenda member who we will refer to as Ms. B. She has chosen to omit her name because of her experiences. They continue to make her fearful that law enforcement will retaliate against her even though she has finished serving her time.

Uhm, with me is a survivor of Rikers Island in the New York State prison system a deeply traumatic

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time in her life that she will be forever recovering from. In her words, when I think about the time I spent in jail and in prison, my mind never goes to anything my mom and dad, who I lost — my mind never goes to anything positive that was done for me.

On the contrary I think of being away from my newborn son and my mom and dad who I lost while serving time in jail and prison. I think of the Corrections Officers accumulating vacation time and getting new cars and homes while I was getting sexually abused by the people entrusted to be professional and provide care. I was punished for that addiction so much more than the big players who run the drug game and kept substance use going on behind the walls at Rikers. Me and my people who come from severely underfunded communities remain easy targets for broken windows policing and fueling mass incarceration when for decades are needs have yet to be met. Instead of the ridiculous amount that the city has spent to keep me locked up in traumatic conditions away from my son, my family, my community, that money could have been better funded to my healing and to address the root causes of difficulties I was experiencing.

That money should have been used to invest in

quality mental healthcare, crisis respite centers,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

education and transition to long term housing, except

the city year after year, continues to write blank checks for the Department of Corrections instead of

the services that have been proven to work. The

Mayor's budget shows to me that several New York City

agencies are going to suffer with less funding or

cuts such as the education system, the Health

Department and Youth Services. Even the Parks and

Recreation Department and Sanitation. The Mayor's

Budget promises to punish more poor people who have

no access to resources to get arrested and sent to

jail. The figures in this budget are also punishment

to taxpayers and an unmerited award to a grossly

mismanaged department.

New Yorkers are counting on our allies and City
Council to divest from the Department of Corrections
and invest in local communities, especially the ones
in the poorest parts of our city. That is the plan
to close Rikers that I fought for and we know we can
work with this Council to make a reality. Thank you
so much.

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

over staffing. The ratio of uniformed staff to

JOANNE DELAPAZ: Good afternoon Committee

Thank you for allowing me to testify today. My name is Joanne Delapaz, I'm a member of Freedom

Agenda. I live in Harlem New York. I am a mother to

two boys who are currently in Rikers Island and have

been there for the last year and a half fighting

their cases. Both of my sons were there because of a

Judge set a bail amount that I couldn't afford.

back and forward from court dates alone is taking a

toll on my financially. There is no way I can pay

ransom they demand for my children's freedom.

The city has spent close to \$2 million so far

keeping my children on an Island where they are isolated form the rest of the city. There is more

money than I ever thought invested in their wellbeing

by our city government and what kind of treatment do

they get for the cost, half of a million dollars per

Since they have been there, they person per year.

have been subjected to violence attacks like being

stabbed 12 to 14 times. They see plenty of

correction officers roaming around but not assigned

to their posts. Most of the DOCs costs are driven

_

incarceration people is more than four times higher than the national average.

My sons awful experience and the rest of the people on Rikers Island who are sharing the same experience are prime examples of every day that's wrong with our city budget promises. We are the only jail systems in the nation where more guards than people in custody and its more we are throwing away while we cut funding from things our community needs. Year after year, I hope that the city will find the resources and service that makes our community healthy and safe like putting mental health programs and recreation centers. Instead, this city continues to make sons, daughters, brothers, nieces, and family invisible and when the Mayor looks to balance his budget, the only system of care that he loves to cut.

I really call the City Council to pass a budget that will reflect community needs, ways to support and uplift our communities, not more punishment measures that leave our loved ones worse off. We cannot keep the torture chambers open. Please work with us. Pass a budget that ends the special treatment of DOC and put the resources in place to

q

close the torture chambers sitting in the middle of our city. Thank you.

DARREN MACK: Thank you Chair Nurse for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Darren Mack and I'm a Co-Director of Freedom Agenda. New York City has committed to closing Rikers Island because mass incarceration is a policy failure, and so is banishing people awaiting trial to a penal colony built on toxic land. We have moved past debating if Rikers should close or can close. Rikers is legally required to close by 2027, and our city budget must put all the necessary resources in place to give the support people need, close the pipelines that feed incarceration, and shift to a smaller borough jail system.

The average jail system in our country has one uniformed officer for four people in custody. If you applied that same ratio to the current New York City jail population of about 6,200 people, that would amount to 1,550 officers. Instead, DOC has 6,000 uniformed officers, and is budgeted for 7,060. Yet they still aren't providing basic services for people in custody, including recreation, laundry, and access to medical care. What are officers doing with their

1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

time? Based on many reports from the Federal monitor, they are too often responding to incidents with overwhelming force, and many are still abusing sick leave.

Mayor Adams' budget proposal shows a plan to maintain 7,060 uniform officers in the Department of Correction into Fiscal Year 2028, when Rikers is required to close. The borough-based jail system and secure hospital units, even with the Mayor's plans to increase the number of beds, would have a maximum capacity of about 4,000 people. There is clearly no need for 7,060 officers in that system, and the city can start rightsizing the DOC workforce this year by eliminating their 1,450 projected vacancies. You will hear the Administration say they are expecting the jail population to rise. I can see how they would expect that when all their policies are designed to create that outcome, including slashing \$28 million from alternatives to incarceration and re-entry programs, and refusing to fund supportive housing or mental health treatment to scale, despite the Mayor's lip service to addressing the mental health crisis.

J

But the Mayor doesn't govern this city alone.

This City Council knows that Rikers must close and you know that the safest communities are the ones with the most resources, not the most incarceration. In the full written testimony that we submitted; you'll see a full budget analysis from the Campaign to Close Rikers. Thank you.

MEGAN FRENCH-MARCELIN: Thank you so much
Chairwoman Nurse and Members of the Committee. My
name is Megan French-Marcelin, I am the Senior
Director of New York State Policy at Legal Action
Center.

Today, I testify on behalf of the New York City
ATI and Reentry Coalition. The coalition is a
collaborative of eleven service providers with
decades of experience. Coalition members offer a
broad range of alternative to detention and
incarceration programs as well as reentry services to
New Yorkers returning home from prison. Our noncarceral, I'll say that again, non-carceral,
community-based programs include counseling and youth
development, treatment-rich housing, and jobs skills
training. Coalition members offer services specially
tailored for specific populations such as women,

young men, gender non-conforming and gender-expansive folks, and people with mental illness.

Collectively, we serve upwards of 25,000 justice—involved individuals each year. City Council funding for these programs has been immensely important and that support will be even more critical this year as we prepare to continue to provide services amid the proposed funding cuts for our programs. And despite an ever-increasing need for our services.

Accordingly, we are requesting a measly \$1.1 million

in additional funds for the member of our coalition.

ATI programs improve public safety and build healthy vital and economically strong neighborhoods. These programs help move people away from criminal legal involvement by forging pathways to employment, secure housing, better health and family stability with a wide range of interventions and programs. Our services have been shown to reduce incarceration and detention, lower recidivism rates, and help New Yorkers achieve stable employment, housing and health.

Additionally, studies have also consistently shown that these programs yield significant cost savings when compared to incarceration. While

simultaneously reducing crime rates. The average cost of one of our programs is just 8,500 per participant. For what it costs to detain just one person at Rikers, we could serve at least 57 people through programs that don't just keep people out of jail but also build communities.

If we maintain as our coalition does, that closing Rikers is essential to the public safety of this city, we must continue to scale the funding for those programs. Thank you.

VICTOR HERRERA: Hi, my name is Victor Herrera.

I'm a directly impacted — directly and indirectly impacted. I'm a leader and member of Freedom Agenda and uh, I'm going to be honest okay, I'm not going to put a dollar sign on human life, okay. I'm going to talk about my brother, okay? My brother is incarcerated right now and I advocated real difficult — real, real hard for him okay for him to get diversion, and today, he's still on Rikers Island, 56 years old. Why? Because DOC and CHS collectively obstructing his ability to get to his appointments. For CGI to meet their requirements okay for the diversion that I advocated with the Kings County District Attorney's Office, who conceded to provide

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

him the services that he needs for his dual
diagnosis.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm done with this dollar sign thing that's branded on every human being that goes through Rikers Island. We are not a dollar sign for profit, okay. Every one of those individuals is suffering in there and I don't want to wait till the day that my brother has to wait for ATI reentry okay, that something drastic happens to him. He's 56 years old okay. am a survivor of Rikers Island and lucky for me, I've been a member of the community for the last 24 years okay advocating for those who don't have a voice. This needs to stop. There is no more branding of a dollar value on human life. We need to give something about DOC and CHS and hold them accountable for what's going on. These appointments that they're required to meet, okay, they need to get to them. So, CJI and diversion and the courts can do what they need to do to get these individuals the services they require. Thank you.

YONAH ZEITZ: Thank you Chair Nurse and Member of the Committee for holding this budget hearing today.

My name is Yonah Zeitz and I'm the Director of Advocacy at the Katal Center for Equity, Health, and

2.2

Justice and we submit this testimony today to bring your attention to the crisis at Rikers and the need to immediately shutter the notorious and torturous jail complex.

New Yorkers across the city including our members are deeply concerned about what's unfolding at Rikers, and they're also concerned about its enormous fiscal costs. As we've heard repeatedly today, the cost of incarceration at Rikers is over \$550,000 a person per year which is over \$1,500 a day, and so why are we, why are libraries, universal Pre-K, summer youth program, educational programs and other essential services being cut while the city continues to excessively fund incarceration at this deadly jail complex.

As a lot of folks have said, the Mayor is proposing a very bloated and excessive \$2.6 billion budget for the DOC in fiscal year 2025 while also cutting \$28 million in alternatives to incarceration, supervised release and reentry services. And we know alternatives to incarceration work. They are much cheaper and diversion options are available right now to reduce the jail population.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The reports by Lippman Commission and Institute for State and Local Government have found that the closing of Rikers Island will save city taxpayers \$1.3 billion annually, even after accounting for the implementing costs of the plan. Yet, we have a Mayor with a jail first approach that is costing taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars while threatening the closure of Rikers. As many of you all know in the room, for over two decades, the population at Rikers was actually generally on a downward trend but since the Mayor took office, he's worked to reverse that and he's been successful. There were about 5,000 people in city jails when the Mayor took office and today, there are more than 6,200 people in city jails and they're not done. The previous DOC Commissioner told the Council that they are planning for the jail population to hit 7,000 by the end of the year and its been said that budgets are moral documents that reflect priorities and the Mayor's budget is morally bankrupt and shows that he completely intends to keep Rikers open.

Adding another 800 people to the city's jails will using these figures cost nearly half a billion dollars. This is outrageous, irresponsible and

clearly untenable to the plan to close Rikers. We urge members of the New York Council to take drastic steps to get the city back on track to fulfilling its commitment to close Rikers.

We have three points. First, cut the budgets used for caging people. The DOCs budget is bloated, wasteful and must be cut. The city must also cut the number of people incarcerated at Rikers through increasing funding for ATIs, supervised release and reentry services. And second, the closure of Rikers is not just a moral and legal imperative but given the extraordinary savings that could be realized, it's a fiscal imperative for the city. And so, the Council must pass a budget that advances efforts to shutter the notorious jail complex.

And third, we just maintain investments in things that we know actually produce real public safety, housing, healthcare, education and jobs. And finally, with regards to Rikers and the human beings detained there and working there, there's one more thing the Council can do right now, which is to pass Resolution 186, which calls for a federal receiver.

While the Council continues to work for fighting for a budget that will allow all New Yorkers to

Э

2.2

And what's more, the Mayor's budget includes no funding for developing a true community based mental

thrive, we urge the Council to take immediate action to relieve their suffering at Rikers by passing this Resolution. This Resolution is a concrete step this Council can take right now to address the crisis at Rikers. Until Rikers is closed, there must immediate action to improve conditions, save lives and invest in alternatives to incarceration and other non-carceral solutions that are proven to increase public safety. Thank you.

JENNIFER PARISH: Good afternoon. My name is

Jennifer Parish. I work at the Urban Justice Center

Mental Health Project. I'm a member of the Solitary

Campaign, the Jails Action Coalition and the Campaign
to Close Rikers.

You would think that the city's legal obligation to close Rikers by 2027 would actually be spurring investment and preventive services and interventions designed to decrease the jail population, but instead the Mayor's proposed budget slashes funding for services that have demonstrated success in reducing incarceration such as supervised release and alternatives to incarceration.

health safety net, which is desperately needed, given that 20 percent of the jail population, actually 21 percent of the jail population now has a serious mental illness. We know that forensic act teams, forensic Assertive Community Treatment teams, known as ACT teams and justice involved supportive housing are effective but the Administration has chosen not to expand those services.

It's vital that the Council rely on evidence about what creates actual community safety and provides for individual growth and recovery. The Department of Correction does not promote public safety. We know that incarceration has little effect on crime rates and can actually lead to increased crime.

Everything possible must be done to keep people out of this harm inducing system. You have to look no further than the presentation that Health and Hospitals, Correctional Health Services presented to the Board of Correction on February 27th. They talked about the psychological impact of jail itself and how that those conditions such as being separated from the community, the disruption it caused, exposure to trauma, loss of control in that

unpredictable setting. All of that leads to anxiety, mood changes and causes people to have worse mental health conditions when they came in or to development one if they didn't have that already.

It's shameful that we have about 1,300 people who are diagnosed with a serious mental illness on Rikers Island right now and what does that look like to them. I mean, if you look at the report that Board of Correction just recently introduced that was talked about in this hearing about use of chemical agents, what does it say about how people with serious mental illness are treated? 48 percent of the cases they looked at involved people with a recent history of being housed in a specialized mental health unit. That's not even the whole 1,300 people. They can't even all qualify to be in a specialized mental health unit.

To be in a specialized mental health unit, you need to be needing serious care and yet 48 percent of the ones were subjected to chemical spray of the ones that they looked at. And 16 percent of the people who were sprayed in those cases, had engaged, were actually engaged in self-harm or had a ligature around their neck. That's shameful.

_ _

In December, the Mental Health Project put out a report to address how all of these systems are really interconnected and we need to find services in the community for people with mental health. We need to find services that get them out, like forensic

Assertive Community Treatment needed and we also need to expand alternatives to incarceration that are specifically focused on this population. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to the panel. The next panel will include David Long, Christopher

Jefferies, Jay Edidin, Akiana Smith, Megan Carr,

Mollie Beebe, and King Downing.

DAVID LONG: Good afternoon Council Member Nurse and staff. Thank you for allowing me to testify today. My name is David Long, I am the Executive Director of the Liberty Fund. This testimony will outline our programming, how funding from the New York City Council can continue to enable our justice reform work, while also ensuring public safety in the city.

Since 2016, the Liberty Fund has successfully provided a set of services and access to resources for the pretrial jail population using our courtbased approach. As part of the Liberty Funds model,

_

our team addresses critical needs, helping clients meet their court requirements while connecting them to the best fit services especially in housing and workforce development.

The Liberty Funds mission is to reduce the pretrial jail population in order to prevent the poorest New Yorkers from having their lives upended and their freedom sacrificed. Our goal is to provide support in order to avoid future interactions with the criminal justice system.

We achieve our mission by providing pretrial services including bail and robust case management to any qualifying bail or ROR, Released on Recognizance client throughout the pendency of their court case.

The Liberty Fund is an independent 501 C3 organization originally established in 2016 in partnership with the New York City Council and the DOE Fund, New York City's leading agency in reducing recidivism and homelessness through social enterprise coupled with transitional housing and supportive service including workforce development.

Over the past eight years, the Liberty Fund has helped over 1,500 clients access community-based services, prevented 7,000 days of detention and

facilitated an annual cost savings of \$5.1 million on the city's incarceration spending and achieved an 88 percent client court appearance rate.

More specifically, the last four years, our cutting-edge ROR case management program has served over 325 people in need of critical pretrial services, conducted over 12,000 court reminder and wellness calls, sent over 6,500 client updates to assigned attorneys, made 428 referrals to community-based organizations and providers, and maintained an 88 percent client court appearance rate.

The Liberty Fund will provide our unique ROR case management program to approximately 250 unique individuals during the FY 2025 contract year, approximately 20 cases per year. We will achieve this target through our formal referral partnerships with key defense agencies. Our model has four innovative features for impact. Free trial innovations are initiated at the most critical touchpoint for success. It's voluntary with nonmandated services, which ensure no additional restrictions are placed on clients to prevent further net widening as they stabilize their lives. Its person-incented services determined by the client to

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

better meet, to best meet their individual needs, promote personal agency and connect to best fit services and it's court based. Low touch interventions leveraged during court appointed for effective time usage.

In conclusion, I would say that the Liberty Fund has been part of the justice reform and is a key part to hopefully closing Rikers and to give the services to the folks that are no longer incarcerated there. Thank you.

CHRISTOPHER JEFFRIES: Good afternoon Chair and Council. Thank you for having me. My name is Christopher Jeffries and I'm a Youth Advocate at Bronx Connect, which is an alternative to incarceration. As you can see, I don't have any numbers printed out. I wanted to speak from the heart today because I have a unique experience. So, I'm impacted by being on Rikers Island and in Upstate Prison. Also, I have experienced working inside of juvenile detentions for ACS at Crossroads Detention Facility in Brooklyn. And like I stated now, currently, I am in the field of ATIs.

So, I can see the difference works with ATI.

It's more effective. It's more cost effective. Wh

_

)

I was a prisoner on Rikers Island, I was getting — well, the city, it was costing them over \$500,000 to house me but that \$500,000 didn't benefit me at all.

I didn't get any rehabilitative services. I didn't learn any new skills. I didn't get any mental health check ins, anything like that.

And the same thing with my time as a Youth
Development Specialist at Crossroads Juvenile
Detention. They don't get, they barely get
schooling. They don't have any resources. The food
is bad. The living conditions are bad. It's
violent. It's unsafe for staff but as a youth
advocate for Bronx Connect, I see the success through
our participants. I see them getting resources. I
see them getting job opportunities. I see them
taking places in our Youth Council where they get to
learn about politics and how things work. I see them
qualifying for grants. I see them not returning to
jail after they complete the program.

Bronx Connect has a 97 percent chance of the participants not coming back. That's way better than any jail that I've been in or worked for. Uhm, and when people go through these situations and they come out unrehabilitated, they're subjected to go back.

So, when the Mayor is making cuts to programs that can directly solve these issues in favor of more jails, in my opinion it just shows gross negligence and it comes off as tyrannical. Thank you.

JAY EDIDIN: Thank you Chair Nurse and Member of the Criminal Justice Committee. My name is Jay Edidin and I am the Director of Advocacy at the Women's Community Justice Association. Like many of the people here today, I have come to speak about and on behalf of people incarcerated at Rikers Island. Specifically on this Internation Women's Day, I want to bring your attention to the budgets impact on a population that frequently gets left out when we're talking about people involved in the criminal legal system, specially the women and gender expansive people incarcerated at the Rose M. Singer Center.

As you already know, the Adams Administrations plans for the upcoming Queens Borough Jail more than triple the agreed-on number of beds for women and gender expansive people from 126 to 450. This is happening at a time when mass incarceration of women is rising at an alarming rate, disproportionate to either the incarceration of men or and this is the

1

3

J

4

C

6

/

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

part that should make you particularly angry, wait of criminal offense.

The Administration has claimed that its expansion of mass incarceration is a matter of simple math beyond its control and they make this claim in the same breath as a budget that includes catastrophic cuts to community services, including our alternatives to incarceration and detention.

I want to return to the women and gender expansive people currently incarcerated at RMSC. percent of the more primary care givers. 80 percent have some kind of mental health concern. Either of those facts alone would justify diversion from incarceration and together they represent a mandate. And yet, thanks to pressure again from the current administration, judges and prosecutors at whose sole discretion those options are available, are taking less and less advantage of alternatives to incarceration and detention and sending more and more women and gender expansive people to jail. asking you to resist that fear mongering and to look at the actual crime statistics and the price to human and financial of the city's embracive mass incarceration, the destruction of lives and families,

2.3

the waste of taxpayer dollars and for what? A bloated, inhumane and ultimately ineffective system.

Look at the Women's Community Justice Association and Lippman Commissions path to 100 report, which offered simple, direct and effective interventions to lower the population of RMSC below 100. Look at the data on re-offense and how it differs between incarceration and ATIs.

Mayor Adams, DOCs and the NYPD will tell you that mass incarceration is the cost of safety. You and I know that it is not. I call on this Committee to prioritize community resources and alternatives to incarceration and to resist the growing sprawl of the borough jails. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to testify.

MOLLIE BEEBE: I'd like to thank Chair Nurse and the Committee Members for their unwavering commitment to offering viable alternatives to incarceration.

Thank you for taking the time today to hear this testimony. I am Mollie Beebe, the Assistant Vice President of the Families Rising Program. I have worked with justice involved young people for over ten years. I know how critically important access to trauma informed mental health is for young people.

2.2

The New York Foundling citywide program called,
Families Rising, works with young people and their
families to reduce family conflict, substance abuse,
recidivism and violent behavior in the long term.
Since its inception, Families Rising has served 513
young people and their families. In Fiscal Year
2023, 93 percent of clients who completed services
successfully and whose court case has resolved have
avoided jail or prison sentence. Despite its
success, the program is currently facing budget cuts

due to the city's program to eliminate the gap.

We estimate for every \$100,000 cut in our funding, 16, young people will not be able to receive our mental health treatment. Clients like Karime highlight the importance of alternative to incarceration programs and second chances. When Karime, who was a high school senior at the time was arrested for criminal possession weapon, he was referred to families rising. Shortly after his referral, he was rearrested. With the support of their therapist, the family strengthened their relationship and lessoned Karime's dependence on negative peers in the community.

)

By the end of therapy, he received his youthful offender adjudication, graduate high school and was headed to college on a full scholarship. If we want these programs to continue to serve and stabilize young people at home and with their families, must invest in the professionals who provide these critical services and restore the budget cuts from the last financial plan.

This work is important to us. Please see the Foundling as a partner and call on us to help address this issue. Please come visit and see the programs impact on the families we serve for yourself. Thank you Council Members for taking the time to hear this testimony today and for your continued efforts to fund alternative to incarceration programs citywide.

AKIANA SMITH: Good afternoon Chair and Members of the Committee. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to testify. I also want to acknowledge that today is

International Women's Day. My name is Akiana Smith and I am the Community and Court Navigator at the Women's Community Justice Association. An organization dedicated to improving the lives of women and gender expansive New Yorkers impacted by

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

mass incarceration. I'm here today on behalf of the Beyond Rosie's Campaign and on behalf of communities in particular, danger of being negatively impacted by the Mayor's budget.

As of this week, at least one in five of the women and gender expansive people currently incarcerated at the Rose M Singer Center on Rikers Island is unhoused. One in five. In the charges that the population faces, are overwhelmingly for nonviolent survival crimes. Crimes they wouldn't have needed to even consider if they had access to resources to which every New Yorkers, every human being, has a fundamental right. Over 77 percent are caregivers and over 80 percent have mental health concerns. The Mayor's budget prioritizes incarceration over essential services and alternatives, and if passed, it will create a New York where even more people are incarcerated because of the absence of those services and alternatives. This is not what justice looks like. True justice is supportive housing. True justice is accessible mental health services that allow people to stay in their communities. True justice is food. It is healthcare and it is offering employment

2.3

opportunities. It is prioritizing alternatives to locking away our mothers and daughters for the crime of surviving in this hostile world.

Lastly, we would like to thank the Chairwoman of the Criminal Justice Committee, Council Member Sandy Nurse, the fierce Speaker and our majority women led City Council for sparking the changes needed. Thank you.

Thank you Chair Nurse and Council Members for the opportunity to testify before you today. My name is Megan Carr and I'm a Legal Fellow at New York Lawyers for the Public Interest. We advocate for health disability and environmental justice and I'd like to talk about Rikers Island which has been an environmental injustice from the start. The penal colony nestled in the middle of the East River was literally built upon mounds of trash, as landfill was transported to expand the island and make it suitable for development.

It is well documented that this decomposing garbage releases high levels of methane gas on the island and poor air quality on Rikers is further exacerbated by the many industrial facilities and polluting sources in the neighboring South Bronx and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Northern Queens, including multiple Peaker plants and waste transfer stations. Rikers is required by law to close by 2027, but this Administration seems unconcerned with taking the necessary actions to enforce the law and shut down Rikers. We see this through the DOC's actions, and in the proposed budget, which continues to prioritize mass incarceration over vital services that make us safer, such as housing, healthcare, and education.

The requested budget for fiscal year 2025 is a continuation of this trend and we urge the City Council to intervene. Far from actualizing the vision of a Renewable Rikers, DOC seems intent on keeping Rikers open and perpetuating the carceral and environmental injustice that lives there. For example, the gas-powered cogeneration plant that powers the island has been operating under an expired Title V air permit since 2018, for six years. has applied to have that expired permit renewed and modified, seeking to lift the emission caps on nitrogen oxides and fine particulate matter. Nitrogen oxides alone are harmful to human health, and when emitted can react with other chemicals in the air to form additional particulate matter and

ozone, compounding risks for those that have to breathe this polluted air, the people that are kept on Rikers and in the surrounding environmental justice communities.

We are deeply concerned about the attempts to pollute more at Rikers Island, which can and should be transformed into a clean energy and thriving green jobs hub, as the latest feasibility studies show, it can be. We are also troubled that, according to the DOC's own documents, this request for an increase in emissions is just to reflect what the plant is already currently emitting, meaning that they are already exceeding the limits of their six-year expired permit. This shows us once again that the DOC believes they can act beyond the bounds of the law.

DOC has budgeted over \$3 million for maintenance of this powerplant the Riker's. It's a lot of money but it's just a small slice of DOC's staggering budget. The consultancy and other costs that DOC may be paying to pursue changes to the existing power plant are not shown in the agency's budget documents. Yet, there are even more costs posed by this powerplant in its proposed modification. There are

2.3

the cost to human health of nitrous oxide and PM10. There are the costs of human life and dignity of detaining people on Rikers Island, a place that has become synonymous with cruelty and injustice.

And if I may briefly conclude, beyond this enormous unnecessary and costly proposed budget, there are the unknown future costs that come with every decision that locks in continued operations at Rikers Island and the human cruelty and environmental injustice that comes with it. Thank you.

KING DOWNING: Good afternoon. Thank you

Councilperson Nurse and thank you to the Council for
having us here and we appreciate the work that you've
been doing. My name is King Downing. I am the

Director of Healing Justice for the New York, New

Jersey American Friend Service Committee, also known
as AFSC. The advocacy group that was brought
together by the Quakers. We deal with criminal
justice incarceration. We go into the prisons. We
have people provide counseling. We work with youth
who have been impacted either through their families
or directly by holding camps and workshops for them.
We were the first group to create along with
returning citizens the Campaign to end the new Jim

Crow series of organizations that formed around the country after Michelle Alexanders book. I'm also a Lawyer.

I knew that the statistics were going to be presented here, so I just tried to take a little bit of a different take that reflects my personal experience. So, I had three points. So, this idea of program budget cuts, we've been there. We've done that. So, why are we going back down this road again? And my second point is, now, we're going to move in that same direction and the only reason why I could see doing it is we expect different results but I don't there are going to be and I'm going to present some data. And the third is that there's some actions that I hope that we take along those lines.

So, to my first point, been there, done that.

Before law school and before AFSC, a good number of years back, I ran a GED program that was right at the boarder of El Barrio and Yorkville. I brought a group of students down here who all are probably fully grown and their own families now because there were going to be budget cuts to the Summer Youth Employment Project. So, we came down here and

testified and if somebody said, we'd be back here doing the same thing again, I'd probably tell them that they were crazy.

So, of course we did not win. We did not succeed. The cuts were made and right after that happened, I was walking through our neighborhood and I saw one of my students on the corner. He was a lookout for one of the drug posts and I pulled him aside and found out that he was making \$25 for showing up in the morning and working until past midnight.

What would have happened if that Summer Youth Job Program had been there? I wonder now, is he alive? Is he incarcerated? Is he dead? What happened? That one job could have taken him out of that life and whatever direction that pointed to him. And at that time, the only evidence I had about this problem was anecdotal. Now, I've gone to law school for better or for worse and now the information that I get is more statistical and that type of evidence.

So, just quickly, the evidence shows the alliance for educational justice show all of the statistical impacts of the budget cuts. And we can't go down

a

that road anymore and I hope that you all will take action around that. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uhm, this is the last in-person panel before we move to Zoom. If anybody is present in the room that wishes to testify and your name hasn't been called after I call this panel, please see the Sergeant at arms in the back.

So, the last in person panel will be Prime Freedmen, Jason Alleyne, Batman Gee, Tiffany Sloan, Alex Stein.

PRIME FREEDMEN: Oh, sorry, thank you. Peace,
Peace, Peace. My name is Prime Freedmen. I'm an
Organizer, a Reparations and I'm an American Freedman
Citizen, so my perspective will be from the American
Freedmen perspective, which means I am a descendent
of persons who were nationally emancipated by way of
the 13th Amendment because my ancestors built this
country, New York City included along with the other
millions of people. I work alongside organizations
like the US Freedmen Project and the United Sons and
Daughters of Freedmen. I'm also in here in support
of I'll say the abolitionist organizations like
Freedom Agenda and other ones as well, Community not
Cages and things like that.

So, I was listening the entire time. I've been here since the beginning and unfortunately I was hearing a billion-dollar budget to incarcerate, to enslave and to kill the people who live in neighborhoods like the Bronx, Harlem, Brownsville, etc.. Now, that was very, very personal to me because those are the areas that I'm from, Harlem and the Bronx. I call it HBO, Harlem, Bronx Only. So, that's why I'm here. I am most definitely negatively impacted both directly and indirectly. I noticed when the City Council, they asked specific questions and those questions, they weren't able to answer them. So that's very unfortunate.

So, I'll just get to the solutions, because I see my time is limited. Close Rikers, Close Rikers, Close Rikers, Close Rikers, Shutdown Rikers and I'll say abolish Rikers. Now that is just mandated by law. I would also include reparations for the American Freedmen State acts of annulment, we have a State Assembly woman by the name of Nicky Lucas who has proposed a bill, A07828B and also prioritizing the youth. A lot of times I hear people say, we have to elevate the youth but many of the times they're not listened to. They're ignored. We have to grant access and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunities for the youth and the families. heard a lot of budgets and numbers talking about the millions and millions of dollars that were saved but there were no millions and millions or quantification's or the metrics of the millions of families that would be saved or the millions of mothers and fathers and the children that should be saved. So, I think that we need to do some metrics on that. Stop discriminating against the people who were incarcerated because now they carry the state property badge or the badge of being a felon.

Yeah, so, get the job done. Uhm, we are at City Hall, the moral argument is clear as well as the legal argument. So, thank you.

JASON ALLEYNE: Thank you Speaker Adams, Chair Nurse and distinguished members of the Council for the opportunity to speak here today. My name is Jason Alleyne and I am the Chief Program Officer at Exalt. An organization that since 2006 has been dedicated to elevating expectations of personal success for young people who have been involved in the criminal justice system. Our powerful combination of classes for tangible skill development to navigate the education and criminal justice

_

system, placement and paid internships and an alumni network of resources equips our youth with the tools and experiences necessary to avoid recidivism and reinvigorate their lives.

At Exalt we empower youth to see a future filled with hope and we provide the roadmap to get there.

Exalt serves criminal justice impacted youth between the ages of 15 to 19. Many of them come to us chronically disengaged from their respective schools, over age, under credited and reading at a fourthgrade level. All of our participants have five staff members working with them throughout their involvement in a cycle. These staff members include a program coordinator, teacher, educational advocate, internship liaison and an alumni liaison.

Although our program generally requires that our youth be enrolled in school, if they are not, our educational advocate works in collaboration with them to enroll them in a school best designed to support their academic advancement. In their first six weeks with us, they are immersed in our pre-internship training, which includes our culturally competent, responsive and academically tested curriculum that rejuvenates their love of learning by focusing on

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

content that is applicable to their lived experiences and curated in a way that is engaging. Our preinternship program also provides all of our young people with professional skills training, such as resume building, MOC interviewing and digital literacy. With our curriculum as a vehicle, Exalt enhances four core skills: communication; resource management; critical thinking; and creative problem solving. Upon completion of the pre-internship program, our participants are placed in an eight-week paid internship in high demands fields throughout New York City.

Our core program participants are paid \$18 an hour. Our list of internship partners includes a vast array of nonprofit business and government entities. While our students are actively engaged in the educational employment components of our core program, Exalts court advocacy department supports those that arrive to us with open court cases navigate the complex landscape of the criminal justice system. Our advocates build deep partnerships with major stakeholders that comprise the system, including district attorney's, parole officers and judges. Exalt has been able go on

remarkable results with the young people that in many ways society at large has failed. We are proud to say that 70 percent of the young people that come to us with open cases have their sentences reduced or dismissed, 95 percent do not recidivate two years after graduating from our program, 98 percent are on track to graduate by age 20, and 100 percent of our young people become more employable.

Currently, Exalt is in the midst of a three-year strategic plan that is focused on increasing capacity, strengthening our alumni program and establishing an Exalt learning institute.

Historically, our organization has relied heavily on the philanthropic sector with 90 percent of our organizational budget coming from foundations. As we look to deepen the impact of our work, partnership with government is of the upmost importance to our growth as we look to change the landscape of juvenile justice here in New York City.

We ask as you prepare to make budget decisions that best advance your criminal justice goals that you support Exalt and the work we do to help facilitate the greatness in our young people. We thank you for your leadership and dedication to

addressing how the criminal justice system impacts young New Yorkers. Thank you for your time today.

TIFFANY SLOAN: Hello, thank you for the opportunity to testify for the first time today. My name is Tiffany Sloan and I am from Punishment to Public Health Initiative at John Jay College. P2PH works to transform the infrastructure of public health and public safety. We do this by convening stakeholders across the system of care, drawing on their expertise to build and scale equitable solutions grounded in the health and wellbeing of families and communities.

Throughout my journey in the city, I have witnessed the advantages of public health-oriented initiative, conversely, I observed the detrimental impact of over policing and mass incarceration particularly within African American communities.

This city is more dynamic than any other place in the world. I, myself, moved to the city to continue my education. Despite the advantages of the city, many New Yorkers are still struggling to get back on their feet after the COVID shutdowns, and it's important that we continue to support the most vulnerable members of our community. A lot of New Yorkers are

2.2

2.3

understandably concerned about the spikes in crime during the COVID years but it's important to recognize that 2023 was not 2021. Violent crime is down 14 percent.

To effectively decrease involvement in the criminal justice system and subsequently lower mass incarcerates in the city, individuals must have access to fundamental necessities such as housing, employment and healthcare. These needs must be addressed as a priority, regardless of any prior involvement in the criminal justice system.

When this body voted to close Rikers by 2027, it acknowledged that the status quo of mass incarceration and surveillance has failed to protect marginalized communities from the root causes of violence. This years budget is a change to renew that commitment to the families and communities most impacted by poverty, violence and housing insecurity. This budget debate is a clear message to our neighbors in need. Do we expand access to drug treatment and harm reduction services or do we revert back to trying to punish our way out of addiction? A strategy we know results in stark racial inequalities. Do we invest in community mental

health resources or more involuntary commitments to
locked hospital wings and rows of cages on Rikers
Island? Do we increase the number of pretrial

programs and alternatives to incarceration programs

6 or do we continue to increase jails and prison

7 populations and facilities that are not properly

8 taken care of. At P2PH we are lucky to be surrounded

9 by organizations and advocates that work directly at

10 the heart of these issues. Our cross-sector advocacy

11 group convenes stakeholders from mental health,

12 housing, substance use and criminal justice reform to

13 focus on areas where public health solutions can play

14 a leading role in creating more equitable and dynamic

15 communities.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I thank you again for the opportunity to speak with you today and we look forward to sharing additional resources for your consideration and our written testimony.

ALEX STEIN: What's up Council? It's me,

Primetime 99 Alex Stein. I know you guys know who I

am. Obviously, I'm world known and I'm also very

close friends with the 45th President of the United

States Donald Trump and it's sad that you guys are

allocating all our resources to arrest the President

but you guys are doing nothing about the Venezuelans that are cooking rats on the street.

you there sir? Let's see if he's there, hold on. Are you there President Trump? Oh my gosh. Oh my gosh, I don't have him. Let's see if he answers. But no, seriously you guys are spending all these resources trying to arrest the President when we know that the city is crumbling. We know that crime is at a rate where all these people are talking about. President Trump, are you there?

PRESIDENT TRUMP: Well of course, you know we know that the city, I'm not supposed to be there. I'm not supposed to come there and because they have a judge, a terrible judge.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Do you have testimony.

ALEX STEIN: It's the President.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I don't care about that. Do you have testimony?

ALEX STEIN: Hey Sandy, have some respect. Hey, you're just a dumb City Council women.

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I just don't want the video. I don't want the video.

24

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 276
2	ALEX STEIN: President Trump, just go ahead she's
3	too stupid —
4	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: You can fill your thing. You
5	can finish your testimony.
6	PRESIDENT TRUMP: People are going to do what
7	they're going to do and unfortunately what's
8	happening is terrible you know they don't want to
9	hear me talk about —
10	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I would ask that you please
11	stick to the topic for today's hearing.
12	PRESIDENT TRUMP: They're coming after me and
13	it's awful to see what they're doing. You know saw
14	Laticia James. She got peekaboo by the FDNY
15	yesterday. She got peek a booed. She got booed
16	worse than I did and Philadelphia and it's a shame to
17	see what happened and they love me.
18	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Do you have any testimony
19	that's related to the topic at hand?
20	ALEX STEIN: Yeah, I'm here. Hey, you're too
21	stupid. This is the President, have some respect.
22	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, I need to know, do you
23	have testimony related to the topic?

ALEX STEIN: Hey, what are you going to do?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2//
2	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: No, no, no, don't worry about
3	him sir. Sergeant, can you please excuse me, can you
4	please ask him to leave? Please ask him to leave.
5	ALEX STEIN: Do you even know who the President
6	is?
7	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Please ask him to leave.
8	ALEX STEIN: I don't know — listen Sandy, I'm
9	going to show you. I'm here trying to talk. The
10	President is trying to talk. I don't know what's
11	going on.
12	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Do you have something related
13	to this topic?
14	ALEX STEIN: The crime in New York City Sandy,
15	but you're too stupid to know that I'm here to talk
16	about the crime.
17	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: [GAVEL] Sergeant, please.
18	Sir, sir.
19	[SCREAMING IN BACKGROUND [05:59:12]- [05:59:22]
20	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Sergeant, can we please have
21	order here in this court house? [SCREAMING IN
22	BACKGROUND 05:59:25] - [05:59:27] You have nothing on
23	topic. You have nothing on topic. You have nothing

on topic. No you don't. You have nothing on topic.

- 2 Sir. [05:59:38] [05:59:48]. Run the rest of the six seconds please.
- 4 ALEX STEIN: You know you all are ridiculous.
 5 Hey, President Trump, are you there?
- PRESIDENT TRUMP: Of course, I'm listening to it.

 What a crazy thing. It's a witch hunt. They don't
 - ALEX STEIN: I didn't get my three minutes. I didn't get my three minutes. President Trump this guy interrupted my speech. Why are you grabbing me? [06:00:24] [06:01:43]
- Okay, when you're ready.

like me and -

1

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- BATMAN GEE: Howdy, I would like to deescalate this toxic tension with my beautiful sign. It says, We the Youth of New York want you to invest in our Future. [APPLAUSE]. Thank you.
- Howdy, my name is Batman but I'm not Bruce Wayne,
 I'm here representing myself. An Afro Latino
 fighting for the liberation of Black and Brown people
 by any means necessary. I am a Youth Advocate. I
 Youth represent. We facilitate outreach to youth.
 Hold spaces for youth to express themselves and
 promote positive change in communities. Outside of
 Youth Represent, I advocate for social and racial

justice. I am a child entertainer and birthday planner/organizer for kids and adults, an excentric artist and passionate longboarder. Unfortunately most youth and young people lack the ability to receive or retrieve proper and positive resources to sustain themselves in society. We desperately need programs and services that target these specific needs such as the youth physical, health, mental health, afterschool programs that engage their interest and promote positivity such as tutoring, extra-curricular activities, art and music programs, job opportunities that focus on resume building, job hunting, interview prep and how to write a check and food services.

These are the things that the city must invest and to keep us safe instead of pouring more funds into policing, detaining and jailing young people.

Alternative to incarceration programs such as Exalt,

Youth Represent and After School programs grant youth the opportunity, another chance to change their adverse situation into a pragmatic and beneficial one. Exalt is a program that youth up to the age of 19 can be sent to instead of being locked up and may

provide courses that prepare youth for real internships.

Youth represent is a nonprofit that provides free legal services to young people up to the age of 25 and also provide opportunities for youth to learn about laws and advocacy. By investing in programs like these, it gives youth the opportunity to find a healthy way to express themselves and learn to be better members of society. With the help of these programs, it will not only inform youth of better opportunities but place these young people in the positive environments necessary to be their better selves, as well as being able to sustain that positive motion moving forward in life and to not need to fall back into a toxic cycle this unjust racial system has created.

Mental health, especially for young people, is another saliant factor to invest in because not every child or young adult has other resources at home to succeed in school or society. With the absence and lack of investments and programs and mental health that helps them to engage youth to be the best version of themselves and offer a system of support, they are doomed to repeat unhealthy patterns such as

2.2

2.3

emotional outbreaks and/or lashing out from bottling emotions, isolation issues, bullying, delayed learning and comprehension skills and seeking other outlets to express themselves that they may or may not know is unhealthy. By investing in important programs like these, it is undoubtedly proven that it will increase mental stability, help young people focus on goals in life and become excited to show up and learn.

My experience receiving support with mental health and wellness services with Youth Represent has personally changed my outlook on life. I left a hospital environment and became homeless through some circumstances. Youth Represent was able to support me financially by helping buy groceries, so I could eat. They helped me build my resume and apply for a new state ID so I could be eligible to apply for job opportunities. Most importantly, they helped me provide counseling to get me through my current housing transition and due to the support of Youth Represent, my mental health is feeling optimistic and bright because I'm being constantly encouraged to be my best version of myself.

2.2

2.3

I no longer waiver in my emotions and feel sad without any options to help myself. Thank you for your consideration and time listening to me today. I hope that everyone listening here today does not just consider investing in programs for youth but comprehend the urgent need for the city to start investing in the future of its youth in a positive way. The youth will eventually grow to fill the seats of those who retire. How much time and effort we invest into their growth as members of society will impact the state and the country. How we treat the youth in the present time is how we treat the future of our nation. And I close with this sign that says let's create opportunities for our youth. [APPLAUSE].

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you. Thank you dear.

I think that is everyone in person. If we missed anyone, you need to let us know in the back. We're going to move to Zoom.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, for the virtual panelists on Zoom, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will set the timer and give you the go ahead to begin.

I'm just going to list off the people we're going to

2.2

2.3

2 start with. So, we're going to go with Tanesha Grant 3 then Ronald Day then Danile Gerard.

TANISHA GRANT: Hello everyone. Thank you Chair Nurse for this important committee meeting. We appreciate your efforts. My name is Tanesha Grant. I am the Executive Director of Parents Supporting Parents New York and Moms United for Black Lives New York City.

Today, I want to give testimony about family separation that happens when parents are unlawfully incarcerated. There are many children suffering behind their mothers and fathers being on Rikers Island. This leads to much generational harm and a vicious cycle. I ask that this Committee and the City Council continue to hold the DOC accountable and ensure that Rikers Island is closed. I also ask that money be put into the budget to support our parents with services when they come home and resources to stay with their family.

Parents deserve a productive way to reenter society and stay with their children and their families. It is very, very important that we invest in our parents. If we invest in our parent then we are invested in our children. We often know that

2.2

2.3

when you have a parent that has been locked up that sometimes that cycle is over to the children repeating that vicious cycle. What if we took all of this money that we give to the Department of Corrections, that we give to Rikers Island and really invest it in our families?

As I heard before on testimony, it is the communities that have the most resources that have the less crime. So again, I implore everyone to think about how parents are affected and how youth are affected when their parents are held in jails for a long period of time for no good reason. That is the end of my testimony. I will be submitting written testimony and again, thank you so much Chair for holding this important hearing.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next up, Ronald Day.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

RONALD DAY: Good afternoon Council Committee

Chair Nurse and Members of the Committee on Criminal

Justice and thank you for the opportunity to provide

testimony today.

My name is Ronald Day and I'm Senior Vice

President at the Fortune Society. I bring you a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

unique perspective to this discussion having spent one year on Rikers Island in 1992. I've been with the Fortune Society for over nine years managing various units including discharge planning, employment services and our research and policy centers.

The Fortune Society with this 56-year legacy is committed to supporting successful reentry from incarceration and providing alternatives to incarceration. In Fiscal Year 2023, we served over 11,000 individuals across diverse programs including nearly 2,700 people in our city jails. heartened to see the Administrations recent announcement about advancing programming and services for people in DOC. The services described and the \$14 million in funding do not amount to a full restoration. It is also not clear, even after the testimony offered today, what services the \$14 million will pay for. Before contract cancelation last year, Fortune and our sister providers collectively engage with nearly 1,700 people on a daily basis across 200 housing units in seven jail facilities, in group sessions, hard skills training and other supports and activities.

DOC claimed it could provide identical

programming with in-house resources. We know now and

we knew then that that was wishful thinking. Thank

you for your time and consideration. Recognizing the

challenging financial decision the city confronts, it

is imperative to adopt a forward-thinking perspective

for the enhancement of our shared safety and well
being.

We must remain vigilant against exacerbating racial and socioeconomic inequalities. Investing in proven strategies not only aligns with Fiscal wisdom, but it's also a moral necessity that forces economic justice, advances racial equality, and upholds our collective humanity.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify here today and my testimony will be submitted in full. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, Daniele Gerard.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DANIELE GERARD: Thank you Chair Nurse, Council Member Brewer, who I know was just there and Committee Members. Children's Rights is a national

2.2

2.3

advocate for youth in state systems. My name is Daniele Gerard and I am a Senior Attorney here.

We are also a member of the New York City Jails Action Coalition. We advocate on behalf of young adults on Rikers. As you have heard repeatedly today, New York City operates both the most overstaffed and excessively funded jail system in the country. Despite the enormous sums poured into the system, countless reports show that incarcerated persons are subjected to some of the most dangerous, degrading, and inhumane conditions in the country. We need look no further than the Nunez monitor's regular reports and the February 28, 2024 report filed in Benjamin v. Molina detailing vermin infestation and sanitary violations on Rikers.

Serving time on Rikers can also be a death sentence: since Mayor Adams took office, 30 incarcerated people have lost their lives there. Rikers must close by 2027 in accordance with the law. Yet Mayor Adams's proposed budget will undoubtedly reduce the chances of this happening by cutting funding for alternatives to incarceration and reentry services and failing to adequately fund community resources such as supportive housing and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

community-based mental health treatment. The proposed cuts pile on to the recent elimination of \$17 million for programming and social service provision in the city's jails.

The cuts that the Administration is proposing will uniquely impact young adults incarcerated on Rikers. Evidence shows that incarceration reduces youth success in education and employment and also leads to lasting damage to young people's health and wellbeing. Evidence also shows that alternatives to incarceration lead to equal or better outcomes for youth and adolescents, all while costing far less than incarceration. Reducing funding for alternatives to confinement and reentry services makes no sense morally, ethically or fiscally. Negotiating changes to the Mayor's proposed budget provides an opportunity to move the city in the right direction, all while rightsizing the expenditure of taxpayer dollars. Instead of allocating \$2.6 billion to the Department of Correction, we urge the Council to provide sufficient funding for alternatives to incarceration, supervised release and reentry programs, supportive and affordable housing and community mental health services. We urge the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

Council to fund the Board of Correction adequately so

it can provide meaningful oversight of the

abomination that is Rikers.

We look to you and Speaker Adams to negotiate a fair, just and reasonable budget that serves all New Yorkers including young adults and everyone else incarcerated on Rikers. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I refer you to our written testimony for further detail.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, I'll announce the next participants. Kelly Grace Price, Amanda Granger, and then Eileen Maher. Kelly Grace Price.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: Oh hi, good afternoon. You caught me off guard there. I'm so happy to follow Batman. Those are kind of some hard big shoes to follow. Uhm, and I'm very disappointed I can't be there today for your first Criminal Justice hearing. Council Member Nurse, I'm very excited for your Chairmanship of this Committee. Jeremy as well, I'm sorry I missed you. I'm in a hospital waiting room waiting for a doctor's appointment, so I might have to log off if security catches me but I just want to

/

say to preface, congratulations to all the women bosses in the room today. I don't think there's ever been a criminal justice committee hearing where the Commissioner of DOC was a woman. The Commissioner of Parole was a woman. The Chair of the Council was a woman and the Executive Director of BOC was a woman. What a great look for us New York City gals today. I just wanted to take a moment to celebrate that if it hadn't been already.

I missed a lot of the hearing but uhm, I caught most of it but uhm, I caught most of it and I just want to say please, uhm stay on Commissioner,

Assistant Deputy Commissioner Torres. She's been promising a hand list of programs for years now.

Every time she shows up, she has asked for it and please share it when you get it. We'd be very interested in having that. I'll turn in my copious written testimony but I just took off a few of the highlights from it very quickly.

Again, I'm Kelly Grace Price from Close Rosies.

If you don't mind, I'd like to reemphasize a few things I emphasize every year at this budget hearing and I have since 2013 when I started coming to them when it was still the Fire and Safety Committee. We

О

need budget parity with the Board of Correction.

Please answer all of the Executive Director's Budget requests. I can't emphasize enough how important that is. I support all of her budget requests and urge the Council to expand on them. We still don't have enough BOC employees to do the work of rule making audits. We haven't had a PREA audit since 2019 and even then it was partial.

People are being raped and sexually assaulted and we have no one to do the work of the audits. I fear the same for whatever resolution comes with the solitary confinement rule, we'll have no one to do the audits and to hold the Departments feet to the fire in the Administration.

I'd also like to emphasize uhm, that as the BOC Charter is being rewritten or revamped, because of some legislation put through by the former Chair of this Committee involving, reporting on deaths on the Island, there is an opportunity to go back and look at the BOC Charter for parity.

I want to just move along quickly. As far as access to cameras, I heard Tina Longo from Legal Aid say this. I've heard her say it -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Rosies.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: Thank you for your time.

I'll include my written comments but I just want to

say New York City sends seven percent of the world's

female cage population into cages and we need to do

better. Thank you so much. Kelly Grace Price, Close

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, Amanda Granger.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

AMANDA GRANGER: Good afternoon, Chair Nurse and Committee Members. My name is Amanda Granger, I am the Senior Director of Communications at CASES. We're one of the leading providers of alternatives to incarceration in New York City and we're so honored to be here today with so many of our partners and We specialize in working with people with allies. serious mental illness and criminal legal system involvement. Every year, we provide hope and healing to almost 10,000 New Yorkers. The vast majority of them are low-income, Black and Brown people in over policed and under resourced communities. We are perhaps most well-known for the Nathaniel Assertive Community Treatment or Nathaniel Act, ATI program. This is the city's first ATI for people living with

serious mental illness who have a felony arrest.

through Nathaniel ACT, participants are able to

And

access the treatment and support they need, which leads to healthier and safer communities for all of us.

I'd like to share the story of Michael, who is not his real name but he is a recent Nathiel Act

graduate who came to CASES after being charged with a violent felony for an incident while he was in a psychiatric crisis.

As a child, Michael was adopted from the foster system. In school, he was bullied and despite frequent panic attacks, he still managed to enroll in college. Unfortunately, he began drinking and using drugs as a coping mechanism, eventually becoming homeless and dropping out. He soon began experiencing symptoms of serious mental illness, including disorganized thinking and hallucinations. Our Nathaniel ACT ATI team worked with Michael for three years. They supported him to complete a substance use treatment program, obtain permanent housing and find full-time employment. Today, he enjoys concerts and cultural events. He designs his

_

Maher.

own clothing, and he has friends who love him for who he is and he is committed to staying in treatment.

Michael's success story is not unique. Two years after completing Nathaniel ACT, 93 percent of clients have no new convictions and 100 percent have no new violent felony convictions. Participants achieve a 70 percent reduction in homelessness and a 49 percent decrease in psychiatric hospitalizations. And given the cost of a stay at Rikers or an inpatient facility, which we all know, Nathaniel Act has saved the city millions of dollars. Nathaniel ACT currently had a waitlist and with the Rikers population growing, now is not the time to make new cuts to ATI's, reentry, and supervised release programs.

CASES ask that the Council fully fund the ATI reentry coalition initiative requests supporting programs like Nathaniel Act, and that the city restore cuts to programs like our impact youth mentoring program, which was cut by the Department of Probation as well as Fortune Osborne's work at Rikers. Thank you so much for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, Eileen

SERGEANT AT ARMS:

Starting time.

EILEEN MAHER: Hi, good afternoon. My name is

Eileen Maher. I am a Civil Rights Union Leader from

VOCAL New York, a social worker and a survivor of the

acute human rights disaster that is Rikers Island and

DOC, where I spent over 420 days as a detainee, aka

hostage.

By law, Rikers must close by 2027. This budget that our punitive in carceral mayor lauded in the press last night cuts millions in scientifically proven services that are necessary to end most mass incarceration and close Rikers Island. Most importantly, ATI's and reentry services and possibly elevating the detainee population up to 7,000 human beings who have not been convicted of a crime yet are detained aka incarcerated.

Clearly our Mayor's little regard for the city
law mandating Rikers closures just as prominent DOC
and members have repeatedly voiced over the years.
While allegedly this budget proposal reinstates and creates additional and enhanced programming, I can say that "doesn't matter."

For decades, DOC correction officers and staff have notoriously ignored programming and mandated

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

programs such as law library, religious services, education, court, medical and recreation at their own whim. What I mean is that the officer or staff don't feel like escorting or holding the program or monitoring the service or program, they simply don't. Hence, methadone, medical education and other medication services such as insulin and finger sticks, many others are remaining nonexistent. problem lies in the incompetency, ignorance and sadomasochistic proclivities of the officers. simply do not care nor do they wish to care or even do their jobs at all. It seems that the only time they "work" is when torturing detainees, example the use of pepper spray, violating the state and city laws are in solitary confinement, raping and beating detainees without provocation and of course, the ageold past time of New York City DOC, the trafficking and of weapons, contraband, narcotics and now with the added ingredient of fentanyl. Violence reduction in the facilities can only be achieved if the COs is continued choreographic said violence.

Even though there are literally rooms full of toilet paper and sanitary napkins, these items continue to be scarce for the detainees, never mind

J

mattresses because the COs have repeatedly stated that they just don't feel like getting the items.

These were issues when I was at Rosie's. They were issues 50 years prior to that and they are currently as intimated to me this week, by current male and female detainees and by three anonymous COs, who work in the DOC facilities currently. It is transparently obvious that New York City DOC is incapable of doing any and all of their jobs.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

EILEEN MAHER: Can you just give me like one more minute? Retraining does not work, for example, the academy trains them not to traffic in contraband, rape, so forth and so on but they do it anyway. The new Commissioner murdered two detainees her first month on the job. Mr. Williams and Mr. Luna that we know of. Those are the only names that have been released. I really just don't trust the members anymore.

Control of the city jails must be turned over to someone such as a federal receiver but really anyone that's not New York City DOC. ATI's reentry and real programming must be implemented and actually provided properly. Close the Island, decarcerate, remove New

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

York City DOC from all and any facilities and replace
them with properly vetted psychiatrically evaluated
humane correction officers and staff. Increase ATI's
reentry community-based programs, such as mental
health, health, education, violence interrupters,
career training programs, housing and supportive
housing. Become a catalyst not in the plague of
maintaining a mass incarceration pandemic but in
creating positive change in services that ends mass
incarceration and serves as an example to the world.

Oh, and court food is, as it has always been, has been a honey sandwich or a cheese sandwich and sometimes milk. Fruit is a fantasy. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next up we'll hear from LuAnne Blaauboer then Nicole Farrelly, and then finally Lauren Stephens-Davidowitz. LuAnne.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

LUANNE BLAAUBOER: Thank you Chair Nurse and Committee for the opportunity to testify today. My name is LuAnne Blaauboer and I am the Vice President of Vocational Programs at Good Shepherd Services.

So I oversee several programs that are funded by the Department of Probation through the Works Plus

Contract and I'll highlight the fact that they

S

resemble the recently shutdown Next Steps program in terms of size and funding dollars. So, I'm very concerned about the future direction of this agency. I'm here today to underscore the value of these small community specific programs that support vulnerable populations like justice involved or out of school, out of work youth.

So, enormous programs that serve large numbers of people with one size fits all outcomes might seem like the efficient solution to challenging issues like poverty and recidivism but they're not because those who are most at risk, disconnected from school and work, lives disrupted by the legal system, living in communities that are suffering from the long term consequences of systemic racism, may not have the resources, soft skills or even the baseline stability required to engage with those kind of programs at all.

If we want to move the needle on poverty or on community violence, on recidivism, then small, hyperlocal, high touch programs delivered by organizations with deep expertise, deep roots in communities that we serve. Those programs are the answer. Programs that allow us as providers to give

intensive levels of support to those most at risk of falling through the cracks are a crucial part of the solution. Our programs start by connecting with young adults because we know that for young adults, supportive, transformational relationships trump every other intervention, including high tech CGI gadgets right?

So, in addition to standard work readiness content, we highlight outcomes like showing up, attendance, because attendance issues are the number one reason young people lose their jobs and we highlight social, emotional outcomes because dysregulation on the job isn't conducive to job persistence.

So, our programs support young adults in building stability along with building their capacity to be able to take advantage of career level training.

These small programs are a vital link in a system of opportunities because without them, those who need the resources the most are the most in danger of being left behind by one size fits all programming.

And we will never be able to move that needle if we refuse to invest in the people who are most at risk.

Please help us protect high touched community-based programming with realistic outcomes that serve and support vulnerable youth across New York City.

Thank you for your time today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, Nicole Farrelly.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

NICOLE FARRELLY: Thank you. My name is Nicole Farrelly and I'm a Senior Mitigation Specialist with Osborne Associations Court Advocacy Services, providing advocacy for indigent clients.

Osborne serves 10,000 participants annually and has provided core advocacy services for 30 years. My written testimony details Osborne's funding requests and calls for the closure of Rikers, the restoration of the \$17 million that funded programs on Rikers until it was eliminated last June and the restoration of the \$2.5 million for the next steps.

A nine-year-old program providing mentors and services to young people that the Department of Probation eliminated with only days' notice. We are requesting increased investments in programs that keep people out of jail and prison and support them when they are coming home. The effectiveness of ATIs

2.2

cannot be overstated. In one year, we reduced sentences by 1,300 years, saving taxpayers tens of millions of dollars and 98 percent of our clients avoided detention by meeting their court requirements.

The cost of one year on Rikers is \$556,000 per person. For that, ATI's can serve over 30 people and yield life changing results that make us all safer. As compelling as these numbers are alone, I want to tell you about two of our clients. It's important that we remember that every one of our more than 6,000 people on Rikers is a person with a story.

When I met Mr. Lopez, he was 30 years old and 6 years into his 10-year probation sentence. He was on Rikers for violating probation because he missed appointments and dropped out of required treatment due to a painful back injury that left him almost immobile. He had committee no new crimes and was a loving husband and father to two young children. On Rikers Island, Mr. Lopez's physical health deteriorated. He was in severe pain and became wheelchair bound. He never received his promised MRI's or pain medication.

In one meeting, he told me, this place is
literally killing me. I would take hundreds of years
of house arrests rather than be in here. With our
advocacy, he was restored to probation after spending
months deteriorating on Rikers Island. With proper
supports now in place, his probation requirements
adjusted to accommodate his physical limitations. He
has reenrolled in treatment, is back with his family
and is living a law-abiding life.

Another client, MT, is a 23-year-old nonbinary individual who is facing 25 years in prison. We gathered background information to understand their needs and presented them to the court. MT had significant childhood trauma, faced many challenges and had a difficult time on Rikers Island. We found a residential program where MT was accepted, achieved sobriety and thrived. We advocated for a reduction in their charges and a nonincarcerated sentence with a longer-term residential program as an ATI.

The Judge and ADA agreed. MT has maintained sobriety for over one year, completed vocational training program and is now employed full time. They received a housing voucher and are looking for independent long-term housing. The court recently

decided that upon completion of the program, MT will be able to re plea to a misdemeanor in May.

Without court advocacy and community-based programs, MT could have spent decades in prison towards what gain-

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

NICOLE FARRELLY: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Lauren Stephens-Davidowitz and then the last person will be Peleya Patterson. Lauren.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

LAUREN STEPHENS-DAVIDOWITZ: Hi, thank you all so much for giving the Legal Aid Society the chance to address the Preliminary Budget for the Board of Correction. We strongly oppose the proposed cuts to the small but vital protector of the people held in the city's jails. Jails present the challenge to democracy. Behind closed doors, the government exerts maximum control over someone's life. To ensure accountability in the exercise of this power, the City Charter gives the Board of Correction authority to monitor the city's jails.

The Board is in effect, the publics only window into the closed world of corrections. City law

_

_

makers and residents and families of those in custody, depend on the board for reliable information about the jails, admits the mounting Rikers death toll and DOCs inaccurate or shifting explanations.

The Board has provided high quality information about the often deeply disturbing circumstances leading to each death.

Recently the Board issued an in-depth analysis of DOCs use of chemical agents against people who present passive resistance, argue with staff, or attempt to inflict self-harm. And it released a report on a destructive fire in 2023 where people remain locked in cells whose sprinklers had been turned off. The Board also regularly releases data about restrictive housing, young adults in custody, and efforts to stop sexual abuse.

Notably for most of the past year, the Board provided its oversight despite City Halls decision to cut off its access to jail surveillance videos. A violation of the City Charter that was only reversed after the Board took court action. The Board cannot perform its role without a fully funded and well-resourced staff and the city needs a watchdog over its jails now more than ever. The Council is well

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

aware of DOCs failures to provide basic services and to keep those in custody safe. The Council must ensure that the Boards pivotal role is sustained with sufficient funding. Thank you.

Thank you. Next, Peleya COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Patterson.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

PELEYA PATTERSON: Good afternoon. Thank you Chair Nurse and the members of the Committee on Criminal Justice for the opportunity to testify on the preliminary budget hearing for the Department of Probation.

My name is Peleya Patterson and I am the Vice President of Justice Initiatives at Good Shepherd Services. Good Sheperd Services is a provider of community-based youth justice programs, cure violence, family enrichment centers, and community partnerships programs as well as community centers and after school programing.

When I last testified before the Committee in September, I was demanding that the Department of Probation reinstate the next steps mentoring program which the Department of Probation abruptly terminated in August of last year.

Today, I am urging the Council to restore cuts to the Arches program which were announced in the November plan and to protect programs that support youth across New York City, such as Arches, Work Plus and many more. I heard testimony today about the need for educational and the vocational opportunities but a failure to recognize the impact that credible messengers, positive adult relationships and mentoring have on youth that help them navigate the challenges they face to attain these opportunities.

Credible messengers not only help youth cope with and navigate the daily challenges of their lives but also provide youth with tools that can later serve as a foundation for future success.

In a time of financial crisis, young people should not be under attack. The city has decided to remove critical services that will have a negative impact across the city. Young people are facing so many issues and they are asking us to reinvest in them, not take resources away. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uhm, I'm just going to note for the record, those whose signed up to testify today and those names are Michael

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 308
2	McWillan(SP?), Tonya Crupat(SP?), Trinity
3	Moralas(SP?), Candy Johnson, Julian Calvin Harris,
4	MaryLynn Worlwas(SP?), and Julian Calvin Harris and
5	that's all.
6	CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you to everyone
7	who testified today and stayed and testified online.
8	Thank you to all the staff here including the
9	Sergeants, the tech folks, everyone here. This
10	concludes our Preliminary Budget Hearing. [GAVEL]
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 8, 2024