CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

----X

February 28, 2023 Start: 1:24 p.m. Recess: 5:22 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Alexa Avilés

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Ayala Charles Barron

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Darlene Mealy Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Pierina Ana Sanchez

Julie Won

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dana Elden
Residents to Preserve Public Housing

Danette Chavis

Joel Kupferman
Environmental Justice Initiative

Jaron Burke WE ACT

Brad Greenburg NYCHA Chief Compliance Officer

Daniel Greene NYCHA Senior Vice President for Healthy Homes

Joy Sinderbrand NYCHA Senior Vice President for Capital Programs

Josephine Bartlett NYCHA Senior Director of Pest Management

Vlada Kenniff NYCHA Senior Vice President for Sustainability

Michael Ohora
Environmental Justice Initiative

Lonnie Portis WE ACT

Lavelle Shaw [sp?]

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Christopher Morris Perry [sp?]

Beverly MacFarland
Taft Houses Council President

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing on the Committee on the Committee on Public Housing. At this time, we ask that you silence cell phones and electronic devices to minimize disruptions throughout the hearing. If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record you may do so via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov. We thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

is coming to order. Good afternoon everyone and welcome to this hearing of the Committee on Public Housing. I am Council Member Alexa Avilés, the Chair of the Committee on Public Housing, and I'd like to thank you all for attending this oversight hearing to examine air quality in NYCHA apartments. We are joined by my colleague Council Member Carmen De La Rosa. Adverse air quality can have serious and severe impacts on our health and wellbeing. Over the last three years, as we all have spent more time than we ever could have imagined in our homes and apartments, we saw the importance of making sure that the air we breathed was as healthy as possible. The

tenants in NYCHA have fought for years for clean air
in their apartments, in their developments, and truly
for all residents in the City, and too often they
face the day-to-day reality of knowing the air in
their buildings wasn't healthy due to mold and pests
inside their apartments as well as construction and
other causes outside their buildings. One of if not
the main reason for the imposition of a Federal
Monitor at NYCHA was the ongoing inability to correct
known causes of adverse air quality like mold and
pests. Today, we will aim to learn from NYCHA on how
they have changed the way they handle complaints and
conditions that implicate air quality both inside and
outside NYCHA buildings. I'm interested to know what
steps they have taken to remediate these conditions
as well as what inspections and follow-ups are done
after to ensure the work is completed kindly and
correctly. I want to know what oversight and rules
are in place to ensure NYCHA's staff and outside
contractors work quickly and efficiently both inside
and outside NYCHA buildings and what steps are taken
to make sure that any dust or debris caused by
construction does not become yet another hazard that
tenants have to endure. This includes what tenants

in buildings still fully under NYCHA as well as those
whose development have undergone conversion to
RAD/PACT. Finally, I'm interested in the proactive
steps that NYCHA has taken to improve resident air
quality. We cannot simply accept actions which
correct a condition back to the status quo, but
should be comprehensively and decisively working to
make sure that NYCHA tenants will breathe clean air
inside and outside their homes today and in the
future. Today, we will also hear Resolution 190
which I sponsored which calls for the New York State
Legislature and Governor to provide their share of an
additional three billion dollars that is needed to
address capital needs resulting from the decades of
disinvestment in NYCHA's building stock. I would
like to thank my staff, Christina Bottego, Edward
Cerna, and James Nemeister, along with the Public
Housing Committee Staff, Jose Conde, Ricky Chawla ,
and Connor Mealey for all the work that they've put
into this hearing. In keeping with the tradition of
this committee, before we hear from NYCHA, we will
first hear from a panel of residents and advocates
who closely work with

NYCHA. Not only are we in need of repair, but when

should be kept and adhered to in regard to the air

quality and environment for our residents. I say

repair is done there are certain standards that

2.2

2.3

24

2	this because soon I am to receive new roofs for six
3	of my my six buildings, and I know that there's
4	going to be a lot of debris that comes from that.
5	The roofs that are on our buildings now are original
6	roofs, and the buildings were constructed in 1958.
7	Thus, when we begin to remove that roof by layers
8	there are asbestos and other chemicals and materials
9	that we used back in that time that will have to be
10	removed safely. And for the sake of our residents, 1
11	am concerned that there will be issues in regarding
12	to air quality, not only outside the buildings
13	themselves but in the apartments that are on the $20^{ ext{th}}$
14	and 21 st floors. So, I believe that NYCHA will try
15	to do the best that it can to adhere to any issues ir
16	regard to air quality, but it is a big concern. And
17	as a member of Residents to Preserve Public Housing,
18	I would also like to address that funding is needed,
19	not just for capital funds which we're requesting 3.4
20	billion per year. However, there will be additional
21	monies that will be necessary for residents to be
22	either transferred to courtesy apartments or hotels
23	if they reject a courtesy apartments. So there's so
24	many issues that are around the quality of life when
25	it comes to air quality in my development, and from

2.2

2.3

what I've heard in the last couple weeks, this is an issue with many other developments as well. I was standing with dirt that has been dumped in areas, garbage, littering, pest control. So it's a wide issue but in regards to construction purposes, I think it's important that we seek funding for those projects that are in work right now, but for future projects in the coming years where we will be concerned with the materials that are used or removed from older sites. And I thank you, and I yield the floor.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Ms. Elden.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ms. Chavis, you should be receiving an invite to unmute yourself shortly. You can begin as soon as it is unmuted.

DANETTE CHAVIS: Hi, I think I'm unmuted now. Okay, so thank you for inviting me to this forum and in fact having this forum to discuss the environmental conditions within NYCHA apartments. I think the conditions outside the development should be given consideration as well such as construction. For me, the issue is money or the lack thereof, because if you don't have sufficient funding, you

2	can't address the problems that are highlighted,
3	right? I've seen a whole lot of effort into
4	highlighting the need for repairs and things like
5	that such as what was recently done by the
6	Comptroller, but with no money going along with what
7	is highlighted, it seems to me a effort in vain. We
8	had 40 billion on the table, okay, to fully fund
9	NYCHA that got taken off the table, and now we're
10	dealing with the Perseveration Trust. There is again
11	70 billion on the table, and I hear crickets about it
12	with a lot of effort in assistance in bills being put
13	before the Governor to partially fund NYCHA. I
14	appreciate the efforts by the bills being proposed,
15	but I feel that if the government is the cause of the
16	reason of continual disinvestments from NYCHA, then
17	the focus ought be on the Governor, particularly wher
18	money is currently on the table seeking to fund
19	NYCHA. That's all I have to say. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much

Ms. Chavis. I have questions for Ms. Elden. I'll

guess I'll start there. Ms. Elden, if you're still

on the Zoom.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

speak?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: There should be an invite to unmute yourself shortly. Ms. Elden, I believe you're not muted if you--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Ms. Elden, can you hear me?

UNIDENTIFIED: She had to step away for a moment.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, Ms. Elden, I was curious -- thank you for your testimony and certainly your concern. I was curious if you could speak to the communication that has been provided by NYCHA around the replacement of the roofs, and particularly safety protocols? Ms. Elden, we can't hear you if you're talking. Okay. Okay, I think we will-- we will continue with the in-person testimony, and then maybe when Ms. Elden gets back we'll be able to follow up on that question. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So now we'll hear from the in-person panel, Joel Kupferberg [sic] and we'll go with Joel Kupferberg first and then Jaron Burke will be next. So sorry [sic].

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Joel Kupferman. If I could make a request. My intern is with me. Can he JOEL KUPFERMAN:

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll have him speak at a next panel, at the next panel after the Agency speaks.

Okay.

I'm Joel

Kupferman, Executive Director, Attorney at the Environmental Justice Initiative. I'm personally familiar with the air problems in NYCHA as counsel at Tenants Associations and all BPH. Much of the exposure can be prevented. It's a question of will, not money. Much of the indoor air toxicity is coming from the outside. Indoor air quality is highly dependent upon the immediate outdoors, loose disturbed soils, soils laden with dangerous levels of lead, arsenic, hexavalent Chromium and such. disturbed soils, once covered with some kind of ground cover has been disturbed by uncontrolled construction. In the name of good, in the name of resiliency, trenches are dug for pipes, soils are piled up in front of tenants' windows. We've learned from 9/11 that the windows do not block the dust. Soils are distributed onto the paths and they're trekked into the apartments. NYCHA residents are certainly vulnerable, old, young, disabled, asthmatic and people of color all subject to cumulative chronic

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's impact is multi-vector and multisource, with little chance to escape. EJI would like to point out that NYCHA and its contractors have continuously violated a major provision in their contracts, appendix two, dust control. This provision states that dust control must occur in order to prevent exposures to residents and workers. Failure to contain the soil violates city, state, federal storm water regulations and many other regulations. As a matter of fact, one of the sections requires that the contractor remove toxic soils from the site, not just keep them cover, to remove it. We've been after NYCHA at Smith, at St. Nicks and elsewhere pointing out the high levels of this toxicity and it has gone basically on deaf ears. At Riis, -- and my intern will further explain-- is a major hot-spot that people are being exposed to this soil that could easily be prevented. The prevention is covering it up before the removal. We ask, demanded, and we actually did walk-throughs, with Daniel Green and [inaudible] people who going to testify today, and we showed them the problems at smith, how high levels there were of the arsenic. One of the quick fixes is covering up the soil, using TO textile, using grass

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

cover. That was not done for years. When we saw the problem at Riis, I was astonished that not only was the soil uncovered, there was pesticide application there. Pesticides being used-- can I continue for-
CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Go ahead.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: What's upsetting about

pesticides, when the city got hit we learned from West Nile virus, that it's important for the doctor to know what people are being sprayed with inside the apartments and outside. So here we have at Riis, unnotified, unlabeled pesticides being used. know that the arsenic that people are being exposed to comes from a long-standing rat control program that the City Health Department did. It took us a lot to bend the City's arm to come inspect Smith, and Smith wrote up a violation saying you can't have this high level of soil uncovered. And what did Smith do? What did the contractors at Smith do? Nothing. allow it to continue, to let those soils expose. what's upsetting, and I think you might want to ask is how can the contractors get away with these contract violations over and over again, that Smith 85 million dollars was paid. Nothing was held back in reserve. There was no request for remediation.

2	And we also learned that there was the monitoring
3	was faulty on the outside. Through the walk-throughs,
4	we found that the monitor was on one side away from
5	the work, and also we're very concerned that they
6	were actually cutting into silica concrete right
7	below tenants' windows who had two asthmatics. We
8	also learned the Environmental Justice Initiative
9	did a lot of work with 9/11. We learned from 9/11
10	that it was the silica alone was the killer. So,
11	it's not just the high levels of arsenic and lead
12	that's in the soil. It's the PM2.5 that's bad.
13	Harvard studies have shown and we distributed to
14	everybody that a slight increase in exposure to
15	PM2.5, chronic that's all these residents are
16	facing leads to a major increase in COVID death.
17	So, the onslaught of this dust exposure is not liner
18	[sic] it's not inconvenient, but also it could be
19	controlled, and it's the contractors a lot of it's
20	coming from malfeasant contractors, and it's Smith,
21	it was Navalis [sic] and the construction manager is
22	named STV, and now STV has been promoted by NYCHA to
23	higher position. They're using something called self-
24	certification where they're just testifying and
25	signing off that their work is fine without bringing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the outside inspectors. So we believe that there's a systematic under-assessment of the problem, that we're relying on contractors that are hiring contractors to tell everyone that it's okay. tests are faulty. There's not enough monitoring, and also I think it's important to point out at Riis which is emblematic elsewhere, that we're not testing the people themselves. That's important. And it's classic environmental injustice that people complain about when they go to a doctor that there's many, many tests that are ordered. At Riis we've had a death of someone -- the doctor came out and it was -turns out to be high arsenic blood levels. doctor asked that people be tested and NYCHA and the City said no. You're probably getting arsenic poisoning from eating seafood. I think it's important for you to ask how many people are eating seafood in-- at Riis, and also that they're kids are not playing in that soil that's piled up. So I think it's a question -- the air quality is a major problem and it's chronic. Okay. But also I just want to really point out that it's malfeasance of the contractors that are getting paid federal and state money to do this work that could be prevented.

2	only is this work bad, it's also it's killing the
3	natural resources that's so important for NYCHA.
4	2,700 acres of land is NYCHA property that should be
5	positive natural resources that provide the trees to
6	flourish, to block the dust that comes down and to
7	provide cooling. Yet, this faulty unfettered
8	construction is allowed to take place where we've
9	showed and we've shown these people themselves that
10	the trees are still going down at Smith. They didn't
11	deal with a contractor to fix the soil, to fix the
12	destruction and let those trees flourish. And so
13	now, what's upsetting to me as an environmental
14	lawyer that's doing all this work that is federally-
15	funded money that's actually exacerbating the
16	problem. And then part of our mantra of our
17	organization is to take away that mantra, the halo
18	[sic], that people say that they're doing the good
19	work, and yet they're actually increasing people's
20	vulnerability and exposure that could be easily
21	prevented. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much,
Mr. Kupferman. Can you tell me what was the name of
the contractor that you are referring to in your
testimony?

2.	l	
_	ı	ı

It's Navalis and STV. JOEL KUPFERMAN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

hundreds of thousands of dollars and there's not much

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

And I think that's a major part of the problem. We've had whistle-blowers that have come to us that had actually been fired by NYCHA, been told that when this contract review -- just push it through. contractors will ask for change work orders for scrutiny of that money.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And do what agencies did you report your findings when you noted the high levels of arsenic and other toxins? Was that just simply to NYCHA--

JOEL KUPFERMAN: [interposing] NYCHA through the City Health Department and the State Health Department and DEP.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And did any of those agencies respond?

JOEL KUPFERMAN: They responded and we also-- they responded irresponsibly. It was a letter from the City Health Department basically that laid out the seafood. But we're-- I think it's one of the worst environmental insults to everybody. There's a Deputy Health Commissioner and Deputy DEP

Commissioner came out with NYCHA staff giving out

bottles of water telling people that the arsenic was
not a problem, just the lab made a mistake. It's
also interesting at that they spent so much time
trying to undo that lab without doing other testing
that we asked for over and over again, and Smith and
all the other NYCHA houses where there's
construction, the soil's being disturbed. But they
also said this in front of the piles of soil. The
soil's eight feet high, right below the windows and
we know that that's a possible, probably source of
arsenic in lead. The reason why the feds stepped in
is there was insufficient testing of blood and the
lead, not just insufficient, it was malfeasant
testing. So here, we have a similar problem that
there's not just arsenic, there's lead in the soil,
yet NYCHA continues to refuse to test and let people
know what the problem is.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can I ask you, in terms of the uncovered piles of dirt, how long did that condition persist? How often did residents--

JOEL KUPFERMAN: I believe it happened for months, and I believe that some of that soil is still uncovered. It was moved from pile to site to site.

And then we also will present in our other testimony

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is that it's the piles that are up there and this is occurring in many other NYCHA houses, there's trenching that goes on. Trenching, the soil is removed piled up, and not covered. And it should be removed let alone covered.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: That's correct.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Okay? And also I just-there's a way of monitoring this. There's-basically no one wants to know. This is a 200 dollar air monitor in my hand, plugs into the computer and it starts giving us live data that everyone could see in terms of all the way down to 0.1 which is even smaller than the 2.5 which everybody's concerned about. So the monitoring isn't there. We-- NYCHA relies on the monitors of the contractors where they only set up one or two and they just count the work that's happening. We're told when we were cutting that silica that the soil wasn't being disturbed, and we know it went into the apartments. So how could they claim that there's not penetration into these buildings without testing it? And also, 85-- these contracts are still another half a billion dollars or so to go for the [inaudible] stuff. So, it's a combination of Sandy recovery. We still know that

2	the flooding's going to happen again. Studies that
3	we presented from Louisiana and other places that
4	when the water comes up it takes the arsenic and lead
5	in the soil and redistribute and leaves it there. So
6	we have a problem that we're spending this money and
7	the residents are going through this, and also
8	there's a fake rebuild. At Smith, they were paid and
9	the construction corrections were not made. You
10	might want to ask, where was the money set aside for
11	the trees to be rebuilt, to be restored. The
12	contractor just basically just walked away. So, I
13	have a, I guess, as a long-time environmental lawyer,
14	I just have a feeling that especially the
15	contractors, it's almost like they're laughing at us,
16	laughing at everyone here that no matter what we
17	point out, they still know they're going to get paid,
18	and there's something called a bad actor policy that
19	the City and the State has used to stop bad
20	contracting and NYCHA's going to tell you that
21	they're mandated that the low bid wins. The low bid
22	is attracted the largest array of bad contractors
23	that definitely know they're getting away, you know,
24	with this work that this NYCHA should start enforcing
25	the law and start nutting penalties onto who they

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

hire, and by doing this we're going to get rid of the bad guys and start rewarding the good contractor that's there.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: so, last question for you, Mr. Kupferman, because I-- we have other testimony, but in your estimation was any of your data evidence that you've collected on behalf of the residents taken seriously by NYCHA?

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Was it taken seriously?
CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, yeah.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: No. And also, I just want to take a little umbrage also and say-- but also, we use their data of high levels of SOC's [sic] of exceedances, and then what happens is they gave us an executive summary that said it was just minor exceedance. You might want to ask who gave them the power to say minor exceedance. We also know-- just I know the most about Smith-- is that Smith has several people die in the last few years. It was in the 9/11 envelope as is this building.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yep.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: And we would-- okay. So, it's part of the problem is that it's amazing that for the want of a few thousand dollars to do

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

that soil coverage, we've actually endangered that
vulnerable population, and especially at Smith, you
have 9/11 victims that now they're beginning to die
of cancer. So I don't think it was taken seriously
at all.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you for your work and thank you for the work you've done on behalf of the residents. We appreciate you, and I'm going to switch quickly to Ms. Elden to ask some questions around her testimony, if you don't mind, and then we'll continue here. Ms. Elden, thank you for coming back. I--

DANA ELDEN: I have [inaudible] so that's why I stepped away quickly.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Oh, look at that.

DANA ELDEN: [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: No worries. Thank
you so much for returning. I wanted to know, Ms.

Elden, you mentioned that seven of the buildings n
your development are going to be receiving new roofs.

Obviously something clearly needed. Hopefully we'll
stop the leakage that I'm sure is occurring
throughout all the buildings. Can you tell me how

NYCHA has communicated this process with you and the

2 tenants, and if at all you have received any safety

protocols around what is about to happen within the

4 buildings?

2.2

2.3

DANA ELDEN: Well, basically we had a meeting with the design team of NYCHA. Well, I did and my board, [inaudible] of my board, that were present [inaudible] use but it was finally [inaudible] that was close to the [inaudible]. So it's still kind of material like that. [inaudible] the excavation [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Ms. Elden, it's a little-- Ms. Elden, I'm so sorry, it's a little difficult to actually hear you. I'm not sure--

DANA ELDEN: [interposing] Oh, I'm sorry.

It might be [inaudible] so there were some issues about the work on the top floor. And that resulted in [inaudible] knowing that there's asbestos on the roof and then there's the layers could contain a brick mortar [inaudible] asbestos materials. That's as much as I know [inaudible] that is the original roof on six buildings. So, it was quite a concern to me [inaudible] construction on removing the roof, that it would be a lot of particles as it was

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

explained to me that may fall from the top of the building. There was also concern about the asbestos that is on the roof, that was part of the [inaudible] 1950's [sic].

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure. I--

DANA ELDEN: [interposing] That's my concern.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Were you at all--was any of the tenants offered air purifiers or anything of that sort that might help to mitigate what is potentially--

DANA ELDEN: There's been no conversation about what are the needs [inaudible] those on the top floors. The only explanation that residents have gotten so far [inaudible] at public meetings, and I explained to them the possibility of [inaudible] those layers being taken off the roof. That was [inaudible] we had so much scaffolding stretched out over the property, and so I explained it in the process that we went through [inaudible] that want to [inaudible] such expensive scaffolding. So every meeting I find [inaudible] what I know thus far. And so far I know that somewhere in November—not November, September, anywhere from July to September

construction will begin, but nothing has been said about what procedures will be taken prior to.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Oh, okay. So, Ms.

Elden it's still a little hard to heart, but I think
with your last statement that nothing has been said
about procedure so far is an important one. So we
will follow up with you offline around making sure
that the residents have a full set of information
around what is going to be happening in these
buildings, particularly because it implicates, you
know, the health and safety--

DANA ELDEN: [interposing] Yeah, but they [inaudible] showing whether or not they want to [inaudible] apartments usually [inaudible] and of course, we have so many vacancies, I'm sure they will be able to move some to a [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay.

DANA ELDEN: If they want to do this process, but still. That stuff is going to come down. It's going to come down. It's going to be in the air. [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much,
Ms. Elden, we will follow-up and hopefully get a

J

a

better connection next time. I think we got most of what you said, but we'll work on it. Thank you.

DANA ELDEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'd also like to acknowledge my colleagues who have joined us. We have Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala and Council Member Carmen De La Rosa, and Council Member Barron seems to have stopped out for a minute. Last but not least we'll have Jaron Burke from WE ACT.

JARON BURKE: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Chair Avilés and the Committee on Public Housing. My
name is Jaron Burke. I'm the Environmental Health
Manager at WE ACT for Environmental Justice, and I
have my NPH from Columbia University and a focus on
air pollution specifically. Indoor air quality is
one of the many environmental challenges that NYCHA
responsibility face, and that includes exposure to
particulate matter, nitrogen oxides and carbon
monoxide. The majority of these pollutants are
generated through gas combustion, specifically from
gas appliances such as stoves and boilers, and
obviously there are other sources of indoor air
pollution as well. These pollutants are a concern
because they exacerbate cardio respiratory health

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

conditions, including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, or COPD, and can also increase the risk for heart attacks. In NYCHA developments specifically, residents are disproportionately bearing the health impacts of indoor air pollution relative to other housing residents citywide. Between 2010 and 2014, the age adjusted rate of preventable asthma hospitalizations was more than 300 percent higher among public housing residents than any other residents citywide. This increased burden of hospitalization is not shared evenly across all NYCHA developments. For example, some NYCHA developments has up to a three times greater risk of hospitalization for COPD compared to other developments. In addition to air pollution from gas combustion, mold and pests should be treated as indoor air quality concerns as well because of their role that they play in negative health outcomes associated with asthma. Exposure to mold has been associated with childhood wheezing and childhood asthma while mold sensitization and exposure has been associated with asthma symptoms, exacerbations, emergency visits for asthma, and lower lung function for urban children living with asthma.

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

allergens such as mouse and cockroach allergens is
also a major cause of urban pediatric asthma
morbidity. Despite these well-documented health
impacts, there is no clear effort from NYCHA to
monitor indoor air quality in a meaningful way within
or across developments. While NYCHA does report to
have an Indoor Air Quality Oversight Team, or IAQOT,
as part of their Environmental Health and Safety
Department. The team only includes one administrator
and five specialists which is drastically
insufficient for monitoring the indoor air of all 326
NYCHA developments. To properly address the major
health threat that indoor air quality poses to NYCHA
residents, NYCHA must significantly scale up the
Indoor Air Quality Oversight Team to carry out
routine air quality monitoring along with targeted
air quality assessments in response to resident
complaints. Air quality assessments should be
prioritized for residents living with asthma, COPD
and other respiratory conditions. NYCHA should also
prioritize hiring current NYCHA residents for those
roles. I also want to say that NYCHA should also
coordinate with other third-party environmental
management testing companies to conduct random

testing throughout NYCHA development to ensure the validity of air quality assessments conducted by their own team. With that, I'll end, and thank you for allowing me to speak.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Thank you so much. Can you tell me a little bit about your experience? What do you see happening on the ground with the tenants that you work with in terms of NYCHA's activity on air quality?

NYCHA residents that we work with that had very little, if any, exposure to this Indoor Air Quality Oversight Team. I don't think any of them have expressed a direct interaction with them themselves and have not seen any direct indoor air quality assessments. Most of what we hear from our residents are complaints about some of the other things that I mentioned like mold and pests and those exposures to indoor allergens and pollutants and concerns about their gas stoves and the shortness of breath and other health impacts and emergency department visits that they've experienced as a result, but very little mention of any kind of testing that has happened as a result on the part of NYCHA.

L	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 31
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Have you seen any
3	written communications around any of these issues at
4	NYCHA, testing, any safety protocols, anything of
5	that nature?
6	JARON BURKE: Not to my knowledge, no.
7	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And in terms of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you mentioned -- you mentioned the three times greater rate of hospitalizations for COPD, do you know which developments those are referring to possibly offhand?

JARON BURKE: I can't speak off the top of my head, but I can-- in my written testimony I'll submit-- I'll include a reference. That study is relatively recent as of 2020, and so I should be able to include that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: We'd love to follow up on that. Thank you.

JARON BURKE: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you for the work that you do. Thank you for supporting the residents. Thank you.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: If I could just say something about mitigation? I believe under the Baez [sic] agreement stipulation is that to combat mold,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that HEPA vacuums would be provided. It's something that it's probably one of the easiest fix in terms of environmental impact. These HEPA vacuums grab the dust, hold the dust that's there. EPA has used this to fight lead, lead problems up in Syracuse and elsewhere, and there's ways of providing them on a lending basis, people could share. So, NYCHA hasn't been fulfilling their obligation of HEPA vacuums, but also the HEPA would deal with mold, also deal with all that lead dust and the other dust that's coming not only that, when they would use the NYCHA-when HEPA vacuum would grab those samples, and it gives us an archive to let people know what they're being exposed to. So it's like a one-two punch. part of the problem also at NYCHA, people are being exposed to pesticides fighting bedbugs and the like, and there's plenty of programs out there that using HEPA vacuums and steamers, and allowing the residents to participate in this prevents pesticide exposure, and also is one of the best ways of fighting bugs. So, my opening talk was about the pesticides that are in the soil. There's plenty of pesticide use that's definitely overused and not controlled at NYCHA. So the solutions are there, and I think one of the

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 33
2	questions on the financial end is like why can't they
3	go through the pyramid [sic] of sing [sic] which is
4	the cheapest way to expend some of this money and
5	even let the tenants participate in the cleanup and
6	the analysis. Besides the air monitor that I showed
7	you, I have a little 100 dollar thermometer,
8	electronic thermometer that will allow the tenants to
9	figure out where the water leak is in the wall so
10	they can help identify. So I think part of all that
11	money that's being spent the Section 3 that people
12	are being hired there should be training programs
13	that people become environmental analysts alone with
14	just a little bit of equipment. You should ask NYCHA
15	why didn't they ask EPA has a whole citizens
16	science program, that we could arm the tenants with a
17	little bit of training, minimal equipment, to be
18	you know, to be their own monitors. Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you.

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: As a reminder, all witnesses can submit written testimony through council.nyc.gov/testify. And I apologize misstating your name earlier, Mr. Kupferman. My apologies.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: It's happened to the best of us.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll now call the representatives of NYCHA.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'd like to recognize we're joined by Council Member Pierina Sanchez and we're joined again by Council Member Barron.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If everyone's who going to testify for NYCHA-- you could just raise your hand and I'll administer the oath. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? You may begin.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Chair Avilés, members of the Committee on Public Housing, other distinguished members of the City Council, NYCHA residents, community advocates, members of the public, good afternoon. I am Brad Greenburg, the Chief Compliance Officer at NYCHA. I am pleased to be joined by Daniel Greene, our Senior Vice President for Healthy Homes; Joy Sinderbrand, our Senior Vice President for Capital Programs; Josephine Bartlett, our Senior Director of Pest Management; and other members of NYCHA's team. Thank you for this

2	opportunity to discuss air quality in NYCHA
3	apartments. Improving residents' quality of life is
4	at the heart of our mission at NYCHA. In the last few
5	years, we have focused on building out programs that
6	are helping to improve indoor air quality. Today,
7	I'll discuss some of those programs and the changes
8	we've made since we signed our Agreement with HUD,
9	the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District
10	of New York, the EPA, and the City of New York. I
11	also look forward to discussing with you where we
12	must focus next and how we can work together to
13	invest in NYCHA's apartments. I know all of us care
14	deeply about the work we must do together to ensure
15	NYCHA's apartments remain a source of stable, deeply
16	affordable, and healthy housing for the hundreds of
17	thousands of New Yorkers who call NYCHA home. As you
18	know, NYCHA signed its Agreement with HUD in January
19	2019. The Agreement represented an inflection point
20	for NYCHA's history and we need to get it right. The
21	Agreement focuses on several critical pillar areas
22	that most impact residents. Many of these areas were
23	a focus of the Agreement precisely because of their
24	impact on indoor air quality and resident health.
25	That includes mold and leaks, pests and waste

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

management, and the need to invest capital in our
heat systems and other areas that can make a
difference for indoor air quality. I'd like to
highlight a few of the changes and investments we
have made in the last few years to help improve
indoor air quality. First, with respect to mold:
Mold tends to grow in wet or damp places, and
exposure to mold can cause allergic reactions or
asthma. NYCHA, in collaboration with our Independent
Data Analyst and Independent Mold Analyst and in
accordance with our Mold Action Plan, has focused on
a number of initiatives to help reduce mold growth ir
NYCHA apartments. One key way that we're working to
improve air quality and reduce the occurrence of mole
is by enhancing and modernizing mechanical
ventilation systems at our developments. To improve
our ventilation systems, we had engineering firms
proactively inspect our fans and then we installed
oversized fans to ensure air can move more easily
through lateral vents. We also launched the Clean
Vents Initiative, where NYCHA staff use a HEPA vacuum
to remove dust and debris that have built up in
bathroom and kitchen vents over many decades. When
we do this work, we measure airflow volume to ensure

2	the upgrades are working. This was a massive
3	undertaking, launched during the pandemic, and we
4	recently celebrated the completion of the roof fan
5	portion of the project. Over the past two years, we
6	replaced 6,188 roof fans across the Authority.
7	Engineers determined that many of NYCHA's other roof
8	fans, 2,248 in total, are modern and function
9	effectively. Ensuring that roof fans are functioning
10	properly provides better ventilation for residents
11	and helps prevent humid, moist conditions that can
12	give rise to mold. New York City's Comptroller
13	audited the roof fan program and found that NYCHA's
14	Office of Mold Assessment and Remediation maintained
15	adequate controls over the project, including by
16	taking steps to combat delays, by using emergency
17	contracts, by mobilizing an asbestos team, and by
18	working with manufacturers to deliver thousands of
19	rooftop fans. NYCHA's Federal Monitor in a recent
20	report also noted that with completion of the roof
21	fan portion of the project, "Mold cases have been
22	reduced significantly in the past year." These are
23	steps in the right direction. We also recently
24	celebrated a milestone in our Clean Vents Initiative.
25	We have cleaned wents in nearly 70 000 apartments

across the city. Cleaning bathroom and kitchen vents
dramatically improves ventilation and air circulation
in apartments by an average of 37 percent. We aim to
finish this proactive effort to clean vents in all
applicable apartments by the middle of this year. To
date, the roof fan and ventilation-cleaning
initiatives have helped improve the quality of life
for about 85,000 NYCHA families. In the last few
years, NYCHA also rolled out the Mold Busters
initiative to ensure we properly inspect for mold and
excessive moisture conditions using objective
standards of measurement. Mold Busters involves
enhanced tools, materials, and strategies, along with
staff training and greater accountability through
photo documentation and follow-up inspections. As
part of Mold Busters, NYCHA staff must work through a
set of tasks, from cleaning vents and repairing
windows to remediating leaks and using mold-resistant
paint, all as part of a holistic approach to
remediating mold in a resident's apartment. We
ensure compliance with this new business process
through re-inspections by our Independent Mold
Analyst and our Environmental Health and Safety
Department and by using data tools developed by our

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

Independent Data Analyst. We also focus now on
completing the tasks identified in the unit during an
inspection that we know will help address the health
hazard in the more immediate term. Our work to
prevent and remediate mold is making a difference for
residents. To date, our Office of Mold Assessment
and Remediation, in collaboration with our Operations
team, closed nearly 17,000 mold-cleaning and mold-
resistant paint work orders as part of Operation Mold
Cleanup, which was launched in May 2022. We also
created a team dedicated to cleaning up mold. It has
completed about 2,300 mold-cleaning work orders to
date. Since it was established last year, our Mold
Inspection Initiative team has completed about 1,400
mold inspections at high-risk locations. A key tool
in fighting mold in the past year was the
introduction of the Mold and Leaks Scorecard, a
visual and assessment tool that rates the performance
of every single NYCHA development, providing us with
a greater understanding of where we need to focus our
Mold Busters-related resources. We use the Scorecard
to select developments with the most mold-related
work orders for our Enhanced Oversight Program,
creating performance milestones for these

2 developments and providing staff training when 3 necessary. As part of this program, we help close 4 mold inspections, mold-cleaning work orders, tub 5 enclosure replacement work orders, and other skilled trades work. Our Building Line Initiative is 6 7 replacing entire plumbing systems in select building lines that are failing quickly, leading to leaks up 8 and down the building line. This initiative helps to address mold while upgrading kitchens and bathrooms. 10 11 Our Comprehensive Modernization program and our PACT 12 program also include plumbing systems in the scope of work. Roofs and facades are also being addressed at 13 some locations using these and other capital sources. 14 15 But replacing our plumbing systems and addressing sources of moisture penetration through our roofs and 16 17 facades across NYCHA's more than 2,000 buildings in 18 the long term requires tens of billions of dollars 19 and a real investment across the portfolio. 20 emphasize this point: we have a short window to 21 address NYCHA's plumbing and its physical 2.2 infrastructure before the systems fail. Most of our 2.3 buildings were constructed in the 1940s and 1950s and have not had their plumbing systems replaced since 24 they were originally constructed. To prevent moist 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and damp conditions in NYCHA apartments, we need to invest significantly in the properties. Now, we'll talk about pests and waste management. Like mold, managing pests in a way that protects residents' health is an important pillar of our Agreement with HUD. The Agreement focuses on the extermination of pests, including rats, mice, and cockroaches, which can be sources of indoor allergens. The Agreement also requires that we adopt Integrated Pest Management, or IPM, an environmentally sensitive approach to extermination that ensures we are not impacting air quality with excessive spraying of pesticides. NYCHA's Pest Control Department, in collaboration with the Federal Monitor under our Pests and Waste Management Action Plan, has focused on a number of initiatives to help reduce pest populations in NYCHA apartments. For instance, NYCHA has been changing its business process, using a new IPM Standard Procedure designed with the Federal Monitor's expert entomologist, to emphasize a set of tasks that reduces indoor allergens while also getting at the root cause of pest infestations. accordance with IPM principles, NYCHA uses residual sprays less often and instead applies more gel in our

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2	pest treatments, benefitting residents' health.
3	NYCHA's exterminators also use a HEPA vacuum to
4	remove any roach shells or rodent droppings, which
5	can impact respiratory conditions. And our
6	exterminators use exclusion techniques to prevent
7	entry by pests into the apartment in the long term.
8	As with the Mold Busters process, we built these
9	steps into staff's handheld devices so that we can
10	review compliance with these new requirements. And
11	we launched several trainings for our exterminators
12	and their supervisors on these new techniques. We
13	also put in place an accountability structure to
14	ensure compliance with these requirements on an
15	ongoing basis. This includes the use of data tools,
16	re-inspections and observations by our Quality
17	Assurance Department and our Environmental Health and
18	Safety Department, and the Federal Monitor. We
19	expect that a continued focus on IPM principles will
20	reduce the pest population over the long term. In
21	2022 we had almost 15 percent fewer verified pest
22	complaints from residents compared to the year prior,
23	a strong early indicator that IPM is working. The
24	Agreement also requires that we provide an expedited
25	response to pest conditions in households designated

2	as pest-sensitive. NYCHA now conducts outreach to
3	residents so they know they can self-identify as a
4	household where someone in the family has a
5	respiratory illness, immune deficiency or
6	suppression, or someone's health is otherwise
7	impacted by insects or rodents. We are using this
8	information to prioritize inspections and treatment
9	in these households. We use multiple sources of data
10	to ensure we appropriately tag these units for
11	expedited service. Now, I'll talk about
12	sustainability initiatives. There are many other
13	efforts underway that will help improve indoor air
14	quality at NYCHA. Our range of sustainability
15	initiatives not only help to improve service delivery
16	and enhance the performance of building systems, but
17	they also benefit the environment and provide
18	residents and the community at large with a cleaner,
19	healthier environment. Our Agreement with HUD
20	requires that we replace 500 boilers through both
21	PACT and our capital program. At many sites, both
22	our PACT partners and NYCHA Capital Projects team are
23	leading projects that will help us move away from
24	fossil fuel-powered heat and hot water systems, by
25	deploying geothermal energy and electric heat pumps.

2	Burning fossil fuels on our campuses contributes to
3	greenhouse gas emissions that impact air quality.
4	Through the Clean Heat for All Challenge, a
5	collaboration between NYCHA, the New York Power
6	Authority, and the New York State Energy Research and
7	Development Authority, an initial \$70 million
8	investment will result in the development and
9	production of 30,000 new heat pumps for NYCHA
10	residents. This electric, efficient, easily
11	installable technology will provide reliable heating
12	and cooling for thousands of residents. NYCHA has
13	also been partnering with WE ACT for Environmental
14	Justice, our nonprofit partner, to replace gas
15	stoves, which studies show can impact indoor air
16	quality. Soon, 1471 Watson Avenue will become the
17	first NYCHA building to fully convert from gas to
18	electric use when all residents' gas stoves are
19	replaced with electric induction stoves. We want to
20	upgrade all our campuses with modern heating,
21	cooling, and cooking systems. If we invest, we can
22	move more of our buildings toward full
23	electrification, installing new appliances and
24	cleaner building systems. I'd like to mention some
25	other initiatives that demonstrate our commitment to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

improving air quality in NYCHA apartments. Smoke-Free NYCHA initiative promotes healthier homes for residents and healthier working environments for employees by reducing exposure to secondhand smoke and providing support and resources to residents and employees who want to quit smoking. In accordance with HUD regulations, smoking is prohibited inside NYCHA buildings and within 25 feet of NYCHA buildings. NYCHA's Smoke-Free team uses evidencebased methods to provide education on the harms of tobacco and exposure to secondhand smoke. Project staff includes the Smoke-Free NYCHA Liaison team of community health workers who provide strategic support at a borough level. NYCHA's Health Initiatives team also recently launched the Healthy Start at NYCHA program. This program provides supplies and assistance to expecting and pregnant residents, and like pest-sensitive households, we tag these units to ensure we prioritize repairs that may impact the health of our future NYCHA residents. Ensuring that our developments are safe and healthy places for residents to live is our top priority at NYCHA. We believe that we are making progress across many of the areas that I discussed today, from pest

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

management to mold remediation, areas that are critical to residents' quality of life. We know too that there's considerably more work still to do and more investment needed to ensure NYCHA's residents live in the high-quality, modern housing they deserve. We appreciate your partnership as we continue working to promote healthy homes for NYCHA residents. We're happy to answer any questions that you may have, and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much. Thank you so much for your testimony and your work. I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues to ask their questions first, and then we can go to my very mundane questions after that. Oh, first we're going to hear from Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Madam Chair. You know every time I hear these testimonies, read this report, we would think that we living just so well in NYCHA residence and everybody's doing well, that molding is being dealt with. Still a little more work to do. And we have pests. We have big rats that you call pests. But they big rats and they in many, many residence. And so when I hear these reports, it doesn't match the reality. It just

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

doesn't match the reality. When I go to Linden [sp?] Houses, you know, Boulevard, all of the 10 developments in my district, it doesn't match that reality. And I just want to know what are we going to do in the next year with what kind of capital commitments. Are you getting from the City, State, feds? And how are we utilizing the capital money you already have, and how much is that? And not that this matters a whole lot, sometime, but I also find it interesting, you don't have a black person up here, and most of our residents are, I mean, black and brown. But yet, when you make these presentations -- and unless y'all can find a black or brown person that will go along with all of us. don't have any. If someone's going to be strong and objective and take the side of the residents, they don't seem to get in these high positions. So, I want to have a frank, real frank talk with you, not you know, what you prepared for us. Molding is a serious problem, and in one of my developments, I joined people who had to take NYCHA to court for lead paint. Lied and said they did testing that never happened, and didn't fix up places that needed to be fixed up. So when it comes to NYCHA residents, I just

2	don't think that we respect them, forget as citizens,
3	but human beings, because there's no way you would
4	allow human beings to live the way our residents live
5	in NYCHA. I grew up in the Lillian Wald [sp?] Houses
6	on Avenue D and Sixth Street. For 30 years I lived
7	in a NYCHA residence, and I know what happens, what
8	goes on with management and some of the management is
9	very disrespectful. They have no respect for the
10	residents and these are major, major issues, and
11	that's because I don't think they think we're human
12	beings of the quality that they might respect for the
13	upper class, but when it comes to us, it's a whole
14	different story. And finally, it seems like much of
15	the capital money that's being allocated by the City
16	and maybe by NYCHA is going to those who are in PACT,
17	and if they're not in PACT, they don't get the same
18	priority. So that's a way of twisting arms and
19	convincing residents to get in the PACT/RAD, and I
20	don't trust the Trust program. So these are some
21	very issues as NYCHA begins to privatize. You know, I
22	support 100 percent I don't support those three
23	programs, but I support my tenants if they decide to
24	do that. We want to make them do it right. Even in
25	PACT we went to some of the places in PACT, holes

all in the wall. Apartments are being done over with
the residents still in there. We had a case in
Linden Houses where the workers that PACT hired
robbed a tenant three times, and we still dealing
with some stuff. So, you know, on the ground we're
suffering. On the ground, people are living in very
unhealthy conditions. In the richest city in the
world, the richest state in the world, the richest
country in the world, how the hell do we have
residents living the way that they're living? So
don't come with no flowery report and then when we
come to the reality, it's just totally different than
everything that you just said, because I walk the
streets with my residents. I go into their
buildings, into their homes, and I know that this is
not the reality that they're living. So I want to
address some of that. First, how come you don't have
no black people up here? I mean, y'all can even pick
out one that would agree with you.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Sure. I'm happy to talk about the need for-- I don't think any of us disagree hit you that a lot of our properties are not in the conditions that our residents deserve. It comes from decades of disinvestment. I was at the

outside it looks done, and on the inside it's some

doing alright. No, that's not true.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

cheap superficial facial stuff that they put up to make the residents think that they got something good. Heating was a problem in all of those developments. Mold was still a problem. Holes were still in their apartments. So don't-- don't tell people -- quickly talk over it like I've been to Boulevard, I've been to Penn-Wortman, and they're

CHIEF GREENBURG: I agree with you that there's definitely a lot more work to do. You know, like I said in the testimony, we at NYCHA want every possible resource to invest in the properties. think it's important that the building is rehabilitated to a state of good repair. think that I would ever characterize the condition of the properties as being what it needs to be for NYCHA residents. I think all of us on this panel agree with that characterization. So, I thank you for what you said.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I don't want you to thank me for anything, and don't placate me and speak like that to me. I don't like that. And I know everybody wants everybody to do well, but with the resources you have, there's been mismanagement,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 with what you have, not what you need to get and want 3 to get better, but just maximizing on the resources 4 that you do have and the quality of management that can be done. In the management office, get rid of 5 disrespectful, discourteous people that speak down to 6 7 our people, because they have a disdain for people 8 who are struggling. So don't placate me with now superficial answer and thank me for anything. I'm making these statements so that we can tell the truth 10 11 about what's going on in NYCHA development, 335 development over 530,000 residents and 177,000 units. 12 We have serious problems. 13

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you, Council
Member Barron. I want to acknowledge we've also been
joined by Council Member Lincoln Restler and Council
Member Mealy. Thank you both for joining us. And
next we'll have Council Member Sanchez.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you.

Thank you so much, Chair, and good afternoon NYCHA.

So, Council Member Barron touched on these, but one of the concerns that I've had and that I've heard from residents in my district who have not yet undergone RAD/PACT conversions but are in discussions

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and who are getting presentations about the Trust is

3 what happens during construction, right?

4 thinking about air quality, what are the safeguards

that are in place? I understand that NYCHA has 5

requirements for contractors that do work at NYCHA, 6

7 but what about during these construction -- these

8 conversion processes?

> CHIEF GREENBURG: So, we don't treat a RAD/PACT development differently. You know, like I said, I was at a bunch of RAD/PACT sites recently. There's a long process that we have in place prior to the conversion, that there are submissions that take place for environmental review process. We also do a lot of physical testing and other testing of environmental hazards, and then we uphold a really high standard for our PACT developers. So we have a third party independent mold analyst that looks at mold at PACT sites. We also have an environmental engineering firm, a third party firm, that is our oversight entity for all of lead-based paint-related work that happens at a PACT site. So we take really seriously our obligation also at PACT sites to make sure we manage the construction. We're very conscientious about the environmental hazards that

_ 1

exist at the property and that we manage the construction effectively. And it's something that's very important to us. Obviously, I think the PACT program is one way that we have to rehabilitate properties. There are many others that we're hoping will be successful as well that are internally managed like the comprehensive modernization program that I think you alluded to, and you know, we really want to have one consistent standard across all of our properties when it comes to environmental hazards and construction management.

to follow up on that, and if the Committee doesn't already have this would be good to just see spelled out what are those, you know, dust and debris remediation measures, just what those protocols are and those requirements. And you mentioned testing that happens on construction sites, that would be helpful to have. my follow-up question is how does NYCHA respond to complaints about construction site dust and debris, and in particular have you noticed or do you keep record of whether there is a difference between complaints by number of sites, you

2 know, just normalize it, but is there a difference by
3 NYCHA Section 9 and converted sites?

4 CHIEF GREENBURG: That's a good question,

5 Council Member. I mean, I'll ask-- I'll have Joy

6 kind of talk about the way that the capital projects

7 | folks manage complaints that come in about dust

8 related to construction. We do take-- in the

9 | Compliance Department at least we take complaints

10 | from any resident, whether it's a resident of a PACT

11 | side or a resident at a public housing site, a

12 | Section 9 site. We also monitor mold and leak

13 | complaints and other pest-related complaints at both

14 sets of developments. We do notice that when we

15 | invest in the property, especially at the PACT sites

16 when we invest in a comprehensive renovation, the

17 | number of complaints like mold-related complaints

18 comes down significantly. So within a couple years

19 of construction starting you see a lot fewer

20 complaints. You see the ability to respond much more

21 | quickly. The complexity of the complaint is not

22 | nearly as troubling as it sometimes at the properties

23 | that haven't been invested in. But the Compliance

24 Department gets routine reporting from kind of

25

everybody and we do follow-up investigations in both

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And that's-- that's the main trend we see programs. is that as you invest there are fewer related air quality complaints like mold and pests. But Joy, do you want to talk about dust control at NYCHA construction?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Sure. Thank you for the question.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Hi, Joy.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:

Hello. I wanted to thank you for the question because we've actually invested additional resources in both addressing dust mitigation complaints, but also giving residents more opportunities to make complaints not just through the formal channels, but also through community outreach staff who are making in-roads at the developments with the tenants associations and property management to make sure that construction is not a disruptive-- sorry-- a disruptive force. We've-- one second. Very limited number of our projects involve major excavation and moving of soil, but even so we know that there's disruption when do projects in apartments and around the buildings, so we have city, state, and federal requirements to follow code in our contracts.

2 have construction management on the ground, and we

3 also have a safety and quality group within NYCHA

that makes unannounced visits to sites to oversee 4

where there are complaints. So if we hear a pattern 5

of complaints, we make sure that that group makes 6

7 additional visits so that we can respond in real

8 time.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you, and thank you for your long-standing service at NYCHA. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I will say hi Dan while I'm at it. I think next we'll move to Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much, Chair Avilés. Pierina's always a very tough act to follow, but I have a few additional questions I'd like to ask. You know, there's so many contributors to poor air quality in NYCHA apartments, from mold to pests, or as Council Member Barron artfully pointed out, rats, aging building, ventilation infrastructure, but one of the things that I think easy for us to fix are gas stoves. Cooking a standard meal on a gas stove in the Bronx results in nitrogen dioxide concentrations above what

the EPA considered "unhealthy for sensitive groups."
A recent study from December 2022 in east Bronx
apartments estimated that 19 percent of childhood
asthma cases in New York might be prevented if
households didn't have gas stoves. NYCHA did a pilot
I believe of just 10 apartments in the Bronx that
found households with inductions or electric ovens
had a 35 percent decrease in concentrations of
nitrogen dioxide, 43 percent reduction in carbon
monoxide, and residents reportedly were very happy
with their cooking, importantly. So what would it
take for NYCHA to switch out gas stoves in all units?
Is NYCHA pursuing replacements of gas stoves in
current renovations that are happening?

CHIEF GREENBURG: Well, definitely have Vlada Kenniff who's our Sustainability Initiatives head come up and talk about the pilot.

council Member Restler: And I can just offer some color. There are comprehensive modernizations happening in multiple developments in my district and nobody's talking about replacing gas stoves despite our pushing and prodding and encouraging it. So, that's the context of the

question. We don't see it happening even in places
where work is going on.

4 CHIEF GREEN

2.2

2.3

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, we can-- I'll talk to the folks from the Comp [sic] Mod [sic] team. I mean, I think one of the things that-- it's not so simple. So you know, we either see very old buildings and very old electrical wiring, and so the electrical wiring, and so the electrical wiring, and so the electrical capacity isn't there to support stoves in every unit. And so as part of the comprehensive renovation, it's definitely something that we can try to do, but it's challenging because of the state of the infrastructure to try to electrify the buildings. But Vlada [sp?] You can talk about.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If you could—sorry,

I'm just going to have you identify yourself by name

and then we'll have swear the oath. Please raise

your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth,

the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your

testimony for the committee and to respond honestly

to Council Member questions?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Yes

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And just for the record, if you could say your name and title so that we have it for the transcript.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: SVP for Sustainability, Vlada Kenniff. Thank you for that question. We're meeting tomorrow, Council Member Restler, to talk about heat pumps. We proactively worked with WE ACT when they approached us to do the pilot that we spoke about at 1471 Watson. before the Federal Government has released many of the studies that you're quoting and worked to monitor the air quality in those 10 apartments, and you quoted the results. It is very clear that there are reductions. The-- unfortunately, the installation of induction stoves is not that simple. The reason we were able to do it in those 10 apartments is because we had a weatherization assistance program provider that was able to provide the electrical upgrades that were minimal. We will need to upgrade the electrical infrastructure in the building to implement across the rest of the units in that particular building, and in the process we learned that although the technologies exist, the state of the building as Brad had earlier said is such that the electrical

look into those--

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 62
2	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing] Is
3	that some that NYCHA
4	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF:
5	opportunities.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: is considering
7	or working toward?
8	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF:
9	Absolutely. Absolutely.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing]
11	What do you have to show for that?
12	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: I'm
13	sorry?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: What do you have
15	to show for that?
16	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: I would
17	like to talk more about it. I think that we'll be
18	making a more formal announcement similar to Clean
19	Heat for All, and we are working with the NYSIRTA
20	[sp?] and other partners to address your specific
21	question.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I think this is
23	an opportunity to directly address the health
24	conditions of NYCHA residents, and it is a question
25	of political will and the resources that we're

2	prepared to commit. I just it's a final question.
3	I'm very excited about the work that you're doing
4	around the 30,000 heat pumps, and that's a meaningful
5	number, but it is a sixth for last of the NYCHA
6	portfolio. Is there a broader plan that you can
7	speak to for how we're going to try to move more of
8	our development away from boiler systems? And I
9	just and kind of traditional fossil fuel and gas
10	heat. The and I ask that question because we're
11	still investing enormous sums of money in replacing
12	boiler systems around the NYCHA portfolio. So it
13	feels like we're putting all of these resources in
14	exactly the wrong place for our climate future,
15	rather than improving the air quality and making
16	sustainable investments that our climate requires,
17	and it would be a huge boom to the health of the
18	NYCHA residents. So, could you speak to the broader
19	plan on heat pumps beyond this initial number and why
20	we are continuing to make such deep investments in
21	antiquated boiler systems?
	1

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Yeah, we don't disagree, but these investments need to be made because you know, the heating systems are at the end of their useful lives, and they're in progress.

2	There are in technologies that compete with the cost
3	of the boiler replacements, and that's what
4	precisely why we pursue the Clean Heat for All and
5	the Basement [sic] challenge to try to get the cost
6	of those technologies down so that they can actually
7	compete. And we shifted some of the funding that was
8	dedicated to boiler replacements toward the Heat Pump
9	Challenge. So those are really important steps that
10	we already took. We continue to advocate. We have
11	released the sustainability agenda and the climate
12	mitigation road map, very clearly identified each one
13	of our developments. There's a public de-
14	carbonization map, if you look for it. It's going to
15	show you exactly where we're targeting scopes for
16	clean heat and clean domestic hot water systems, and
17	we're using that as a tool for advocacy, both with
18	state and city and federal partners and for the
19	funding that's out there through IRA and other
20	incentives.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Well, I think
we're meeting next week, but I'm really looking
forward to helping support those efforts in any way
that I can. And we met with Gradient last week to
learn a little bit more about their model in

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular on heat pumps. I was very impressed. So, we're eager to be partners in advancing these goals and helping to identify the resources that are needed. So thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Next we will have-- we want to recognize Council Member Chi Ossé, and next we will have Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Good afternoon. I'm going to piggyback off of some of what my colleagues have already said, but in regards to the construction debris and oversight, I had-- you know, I just want to get on record that at Mitchell Houses we had a situation like that where they were doing the roof and there was a lot of debris that fell onto the air conditioners of the residents on the top floors, and then they were advised that there may potentially be lead in that debris, and they had already been using the air conditioning. So, you know, it had not been addressed until-- by accident, you know, I happened to be knocking on doors that day and was presented with this circumstance and I was able to bring it back to and NYCHA quickly pivoted to the contractor and had them come in and look at it,

2 but I think similarly you're seeing and what you're 3 hearing is that there's an oversight issue at NYCHA. I think we all understand the issues, right? Mold is 4 5 not good for you. Rat feces is not good for you. know, construction debris is not good for. Lead is 6 7 not good for you. The fuel that's coming out of the 8 temporary boilers are not good for you. We know Unfortunately, we're not monitoring with the level of consistency that is required to ensure that 10 11 the human beings that are left to live in those 12 conditions are not subject to that. And so I would 13 like to hear more, because I think you know, it's redundant to kind of go over-- we already know what's 14 15 happening. I have seen a tremendous increase in the number of complaints that my office receives 16 17 regarding rats which horrifies me. I have a lady 18 that is a resident of Wagner Houses that had a rat 19 infestation that required, you know, emergency 20 services to come in and, you know, and remediate, but these were two senior citizens that were living in 21 2.2 that apartment, right? I wonder, like, isn't 2.3 management -- isn't the manager's responsibility at the development to actually walk around, to get out 24 of the office, to go through the development, to 25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 visit the buildings, to speak to the residents?

3 Because I can tell you that's not happening. So you

4 do have a management issue, and I just really want to

5 | hear, you know, if that's something that you're

6 working, you know, to address and what, you know--

7 what the-- how often is whoever's responsible for

8 monitoring these conditions doing that?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Thank you for that question, and I'm not personally aware of the Mitchell Houses issues, but I'll look into it, because that should never have happened.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Yeah.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:

Particularly, before some days, but just in general, we require our contractors to secure their materials. There should never be anything going off the roof, let alone anything that could be harmful to a resident. The field staff, either our Construction Management agents or our Field Operation staff, they should be in the field daily or on a regular basis, and I can't speak to the property management staff, but contract responsibilities fall under me. My team needs to be monitoring the contractors. It's not up

to property management to be on top of them.

need to make sure we have the right level of oversight, and if you have any conditions like that, please let us know right away. We will make sure--

able to do that from City Hall, from your offices downtown? Do you have staff at every borough that is doing that for you that is reporting back to you?

Are you-- who's reporting back to you?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: So, every project is managed in the field either through our agent— so we either hire a company to represent NYCHA in the field, or we use our Project Management staff, our Field Operations staff to represent us in the field. We're currently managing about 600 active projects in various stages. So, depending on how many projects we have active, we either have in-house staff or external staff.

know. I don't see how it's possible to have, you know, the level of oversight needed at that many sites with the current staffing ratios, and I think that—again, that's what's leading to your management issue. Even when it comes to the contractors regarding the—you know, and the issue

2 at Mitchell was, you know, we had it addressed. 3 one of the things that we, you know, highlighted, you 4 know, during that visit was also that there were these nets that were put in behind the fencing, because of the construction, and behind the nets 6 there was a huge accumulation of garbage that was 8 also know very attractive to the rats, and in fact there was a rat sitting -- and I have the video, I still have it somewhere in my phone-- sitting on the 10 11 stool eating an orange while we were coming in and 12 out of the building. Didn't move. Didn't care. 13 buddies were, you know, hanging out on the side, but 14 a lot of that was because of the garbage build-up 15 that had been there for such a long time that I 16 almost literally climbed the fence myself and cleaned 17 it because I was so irate. You know, and so-- look, 18 I don't have any reason to believe that any one of 19 you sitting there is insensitive to these issues. I 20 really just want somebody to sit through and, you 21 know, and really come up with a comprehensive plan, 2.2 because at the end of the day these are people that 2.3 have-- we have people that have serious respiratory issues. I have some of the highest asthma rates in 24 my-- you know, in my district, both East Harlem and 25

2.2

2.3

the South Bronx. My kids are already, you know, living bordered in between highways. They're-- you know, and I have portable boilers everywhere. I have complaints of soot coming from those portable boilers from tenants across the street from Metro Houses, Metro North Houses. You know, that shouldn't happen. Families, because you know, because you live in a certain zip code should not be subject to these conditions. They're serious enough that they, you know, they merit an emergency response, and I think that that's my problem is that in my observation there's no immediacy here to really treat these conditions that are literally killing black and brown people. Thank you.

I'm the Senior Director of Pest Management, and I just want to speak about what we're doing for pest management, making sure that we have eyes on a lot of different places. So, starting in January 30th, all of pest management is now under the Pest Management Department. It used to be operated by a bunch of different groups, and because of that merger, we have people in the office who are able to close work orders, do things that are, you know, paper-heavy,

_	COMMITTED ON TODIC HOODING
2	anything like that. Previously, the supervisor of the
3	exterminators were doing a lot of this work, and
4	that's not what they should be doing. Now they're
5	they're shadowing their staff a lot more. The need
6	to be doing it at least twice a week along with
7	inventory checks and all the emergency things that
8	come up, but they're able to do that a lot more. We
9	have and this is for each group of 15. We also
10	have two administrators that I never see in the
11	office. They are always popping on staff. We also
12	have our director of our exterminators who is
13	primarily in the field, the Wagner situation you had
14	and myself and director was there when we had to
15	remove the rat. Also, something that when Council
16	Member Avilés and we were at Red Hook, the
17	communication between what's going on in the
18	construction sites and us needs to be stronger. One
19	thing that we've implemented within PMD just in the
20	last month is we have a QA team of 10 people that
21	work with our vendors. We use three different
22	vendors to help us get to pest work orders in a
23	timely matter, because we are slowing down because we
24	are using IPM. All these work orders take a lot more

time, but we're seeing less repeat infestations. But

2 we use vendors to supplement, but we want to make 3 sure that we're doing good work, so we have this team 4 with them 80 percent of the time watching them, 5 making sure they're doing the caulking right, make sure they're sealing the holes, but something we've 6 7 added with the construction site is this QA team on the report of every day they do a walk-through, and 8 if they see any burrows, they note it on our report, and we sent it over to capital. We're having -- it's 10 11 going to be-- it's a monthly meeting to go through our tracker, but we're talking a lot more. 12 13 new process to really make sure that my team sees 14 something, it gets reported. It was more like, oh we 15 see this. This is way more formalized, and I think 16 that we're going to have better results of this. 17 also-- just to get a head of a lot of things. 18 when our exterminators go in, they treat an issue, 19 they put corrective action taken. They have to mark 20 the level of infestation they see. Where for the 21 high infestations which is a small universe, we're 2.2 making sure that we're going -- the supervisor of 2.3 exterminators that are out in the field, every-- as those come in, they go and knock on the doors and see 24 what other things are contributing, because a lot of 25

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

these, there's you know, skilled trades that we need
to escalate, follow-ups that need to be coordinated
lot more closer. We need to do more we're already
building in the follow-ups that are needed. Pests,
you don't just one and done. But these high
infestation pro-active, you know, checks, I think
also are allowing us to get more oversight and, you
know, eyes on what's going on.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I just want to ask a question because I know you brought it up. The extermination, does NYCHA exterminate every unit on a monthly basis?

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: No.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: No.

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: No. We do not have routines at this time, but so if you-- one way that we're getting to a lot more apartments than if you just call it in, because we know that not everyone is calling these in. So if there's a mold inspection, when-- if they see any signs of pests, then the extermination work order is put it in. We go in. Also, the annual-- the maintenance inspections that happen, they see pests, the extermination work order is created. We go in. And

then also if the exterminator goes and depending on
the level of infestation. So any level rats in the
apartments, any level of bed bugs, medium-high mice,
or high roaches, adjacent apartments have to be
checked. So, the exterminator marks the adjacent
apartment. Work orders are created. We schedule it
with the resident and we go in. So it is not routine
and maybe something that we can work into in the
future is definitely on our mind, but right now this
is how we're doing a wider universe than just call
in.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And you're educating the residents how? So, I mean it's-- because I don't remember seeing any type of posting in the building that says hey, if you have roaches or if you have mice and you're in need of exterminating, you know, please make sure to contact management. I mean, that level of education, you know, it continues to be needed. It's not something that, you know, people just-- not everybody just thinks that, you know,-
SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: [interposing]

23 Yeah, call us.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: some people have been living in these conditions for so long that it's been normalized, right?

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: Yeah, I mean, we're-- we're creating posters that are going to be around that, but is around preparedness for us, so it would definitely have people's mind. We're using graphics like, "If you clear out your cabinets, we can see more holes. If you see-- if you pull out things from your closet." So that would kind of address what you're saying as well. It's something that we're definitely looking into.

just-- my concern is that, you know, most of the times the complaints that we're receiving at the district offices are from people that have been, you know, -- like the lady with the rat infestation, like she had it for-- she had those rats in her apartment for, you know, for quite a while, and it wasn't until it was so many of them that she was, you know, basically locked out of her own apartment that she came to us. And you know, we had to intervene and that was how we got emergency services out there.

That shouldn't have happened. So I think that, you

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 know, that level of education is super important,
3 especially when we're talking from-- you know, about

4 small children with asthma, right? What are those

5 triggers, right? Roaches are triggers. Mice feces

6 are triggers, dust. Alright? There are a lot of

7 things that contribute, so-- before you respond, and

8 I just want to notify that I'll be handing over the

9 role of Chair to Deputy Speaker Ayala in an interim

10 period. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I was doing such a good job that she was like, you know, you just take it. Yes.

CHIEF GREENBURG: I was just going to say, I mean, what Josey-- we do require resident literature to be provided to everybody kind of when we do an extermination ticket so there's a way we check also to make sure people are providing that resident literature to folks, because it is really important that people know that, you know, even if we treated it once, we want you to call us back if you see any other signs of pests again, and just stay on top of it. People should put in complaints. I tell residents all the time, if you put in a complaint it helps us also track whether or not we have

Division. All of them except for one are natural

2.2

2.3

24

25

gas, not oil burning. And then our Heating Management team which tends to need to put the mobile boilers in places for an emergency basis. I have 25 mobiles that are active right now. It obviously changes day to day. Most of the-- all of those run on oil. Some of them are dual fuel, but they all can also run on oil. And that's because we're putting up a mobile boiler at a site, in order to put-- hook up to the gas. Usually there's a lot more advance [inaudible] place. Sometimes we're doing that as part of the big upgrade to the whole boiler program, and we can sort of design out also the placement of the mobiles and the installation of mobiles. So for Capital Projects they can do that and make sure it's going to kind of a more -- a natural gas powered global when they site it. For our Heating Management Services Department they're usually putting in a mobile kind of on an emergency basis to provide heat because of a failure of one of our very old boilers, and it's much easier to site an oilfired boiler plan, but we obviously would like to transition like we said in my testimony, we want to transition the whole portfolio to cleaner sources of energy. So Vlada talked about our heat pump

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

projects. A lot of the installations that we're doing now of new boilers, new boiler plants. We're doing geothermal. We're doing a lot of decoupling of heat and hot water so that we can be more efficient, and in general we're moving away from oil, oil boilers.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: And doe SNAP generally get complaints about soot, you know, coming into apartments from residents that are living within close proximity to these sites, to these dummy [sic] boilers?

CHIEF GREENBURG: My experience, my personal experience, at least, the Metro North Plaza one was pretty unique. We don't typically see a lot of complaints about the installation of the mobile and the soot that comes from the mobile. I know that we did walk-- we did some testing and some other items with neighboring property owner for Metro north Plaza. It was running efficiently. We don't think there was necessarily a lot of emissions happening from that mobile, but that was a pretty unique situation, but I don't think we usually see that with the mobiles.

2.2

2.3

respiratory ailment because I was cold and needed to
be heated as well. I think we can do both.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, and then our

it. I get -- I just don't want to die of a

21

25

goal--

/

think it's our responsibility that we're putting it there, that we're at least-- yeah, I get the-- I understand the reason why. What I'm getting at is, you know, is-- does NYCHA even test, right, those-- the air quality in those apartments that in prox-- in close proximity to the boiler units just to make sure. Have you ever tested?

CHIEF GREENBURG: I know in that situation we did do some testing. Typically what we do is we do tune-ups of the mobile itself and we try to see if we can run tests on the way the mobile itself is operating and the emissions that are coming off of that mobile boilers. So we're really focused on the piece of equipment and make sure the equipment's running efficiently. But our ultimate goal obviously when we install a mobile is to not have the mobile be there for that long and try to restore the plan if we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I mean, that's always the optimal goal, right? But at Metro North, we've had that dummy boiler there for three-plus years. At Paterson Houses, we had dummy boilers for, you know, over five, six years. So, you know, I get

Deputy speaker, I appreciate the quick reprieve to

2.2

2.3

24

25

another hearing. And thank you all for your patience. I think on the heels of that, I feel a similar concern that I've seen in Red Hook is, you know, we have lighting concerns because of all the fencing on the development because of the construction, and one of the remedies to that is to run a diesel generator light all night long that is directly below people's windows. Clearly, that also emits very unhealthy things, and that's been the solution for several years now. It's unacceptable, unacceptable. And I guess, you know, part of the question is I hope this is not the case in other developments across New York City, but if it is, it is not an acceptable solution when, you know, lights can be made-- you know, electrified. We're not talking about stadium lighting. We're talking about being able to erect, you know, one or two posts. that's more of a comment rather than a question. Not very good. But I'm going to take you back to some fundamental questions for the record to make sure that we're clear about certainly some of the testimony, and I have some questions related specifically to your testimony, but if you bear with me, I'll kind of take a quick step back. And I'd

CHIEF GREENBURG:

2

3

1

4

_

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

_ -

25

love to know is there an internal categorization and tracking of complaints that implicates air quality?

Is there-- like, how does NYCHA categorize and manage that?

Sure.

So the most

typical complaints that we get from residents are really about, you know, a physical condition in their apartment that we know will impact air quality. the most typical complaints that come into our system that we have-- and I talked about in my testimony are around mold and pests which we know are indoor allergens and will impact people's respiratory conditions. So that's the most typical way. Obviously, a complaint that comes in for mold and for pests are a really high priority for us under our agreement with HUD. A mold complaint that comes in automatically gets a mold parent [sic] inspection work order we call it, and it requires a set of objective measurements as part of the inspection. every time you do a mold parent [sic] inspection work order you have to be specially trained by a thirdparty trainer. You're using a set of tools, objective tools to take measurements in the apartment, including you take a moisture reading of a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

wall, you take humidity readings. You're obligated to take readings of the air flow so we know whether or not there's solid airflow in the apartment. these are all things that has to take place as part of a mold inspections. You're taking photo documentation. There's lots of different folks who are reviewing inspector's work product from the mold inspections. Dan and his team review it. Mv team reviews it. Independent mold analyst from the Baez case, the Federal Monitor are all constantly reviewing the mold inspections to take place, and we're making sure people do it properly because the remediation plan that comes off of that parent work order is based on the objective reading. So if you have an objective reading that shows the airflow is not what it needs to be, it means that we need to go back and clean the vent, go back and check the roof fan and make sure the ventilation system is working properly, make sure the window's operable, if it's a window, a natural ventilation building. So there's lots of things that we've built into our processes to check air quality, and the same goes for pests. we've now built into our handheld devices a set of inspection questions for every extermination work

2	order. It require them to look at things like, you
3	know, you have to use a HEPA vacuum to vacuum up fras
4	[sic] and shells [sic] which are respiratory which
5	are a problem for respiratory standpoint. It
6	requires that they do things like exclusion work.
7	Other kind of innovative pest management principles
8	are checked off as they go through the process, and
9	these are all ways for us to make sure that we're
10	tracking we're track if they use any residuals, any
11	kind of sprays. These are all ways for us to track
12	both remotely and with in-person inspections whether
13	people are adhering to the new process we have at
14	NYCHA to address complaints that come in from
15	residents that impact air quality. So, that's kind
16	of that's a long answer to a very simple question,
17	but a lot of the complaints that come in are
18	obviously about a condition in someone's apartment
19	that we know can impact air quality, so we treat it
20	with a level of seriousness.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are there other--would there be other categorized complaints that

NYCHA would attribute air quality issues with as

well, besides mold and pests?

2	

2.2

2.3

the two predominant ones. I mean, there are other things that residents can put work orders in if they call. If they, for example, have a concern about a gas stove or a gas leak, they can put in a ticket for that, but they're-- those are the predominant ones related to air quality.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: The dust and debris, would that be categorized as--

CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] You can put in a-- yeah, you can put in a work order for debris, for sure, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I guess what I'm getting at is how directly NYCHA cross-categorizes things, right? If it comes in a gas thing, is it also tagged as an air quality issue?

CHIEF GREENBURG: I mean, obviously when we respond our goal is to remediate the physical condition that's being reported to us as a landlord. So, often times the air quality issues flows from the physical condition, and so we're going in there and we do things that we think are the right approach of an air quality standpoint, but really what we're

verified complaints across the public health pest

types, and that's also a that's a 15 percent
reduction from 2021, actually. In 2021, we received
47,799 verified pest complaints. So I think some of
our approaches that we rolled out in the last few
year are working to reduce the number of complaints,
but that's those are the numbers across mold and
pests. And Dan and Dan and Josey can talk in a lot
more detail about all the data we have on mold and
pests if you're interested.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Do we have similar complaints around construction debris?

CHIEF GREENBURG: We didn't-- we didn't bring that for you, but we can definitely try to pull that for you after the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Do you hold complaints around mobile boilers or any of the other kind of not as targeted air quality, but certainly--

CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] I don't know that we have a way of tagging specifically to a mobile boiler in our work order system, but I can try to find out.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: In terms of-- can you talk to us a little bit about what the training

2.2

2.3

is a third-party-- is provided by a third-party

entity, and it was designed in accordance with our

24

independent mold analyst which was appointed by the
court, and everything is based on our procedure
manual. I think the mold procedure manual at NYCHA is
one of the best procedure manuals that maybe has ever
existed at NYCHA, and it has it underwent
comprehensive drafting and also worked with experts
and plaintiffs to make sure that it was a sound
procedure. So right now we've trained so the
trained program for Mold Busters launched in December
2019, and we initially trained 2,870 staff on Mold
Busters. The training had a pause during COVID and
then restarted in September 2020, and since September
2020, we have trained 1,070 staff on remediation
methods, 196 new staff on inspections. Inspection is
one of the most if not the most important part of the
Mold Busters process that has to be done correctly
and has a lot of oversight to make sure it's done
correctly. We also have additional courses on
inspection such as Building Science where 183 staff
were trained; 429 staff were maintenance workers
received Building Science training as well.
Maintenance workers are kind of the first line of
defense for a lot of the mold issues. We've actually
started to have some of our maintenance workers

25

2 actually do the inspections. Prior to that it was 3 only property managers and superintendents and assistant superintendents, but we're trying to 4 alleviate some of the staffing issues and get more 5 inspections done more quickly. Part of that is 6 7 getting maintenance workers better trained on those methods as well. We have refresher courses. 8 we've given the 430 staff refresher on inspector courses, and we've given Mold Buster remediation 10 11 refresher training to over 2,500 employees. This is 12 an ongoing training program. It's provided to new staff soon after they are hired to enroll them in the 13 14 Mold Buster training program. There are controls in 15 the system in Maximo [sic] to make sure that only 16 trained staff can be handling the inspection work 17 orders especially to make sure that those are being 18 done by the proper staff. In the early years of Mold 19 Busters, the inspections weren't being done properly. 20 Now I can say they're being done not only properly-that was correct -- but also more frequently. We've 21 2.2 done a tremendous job over the past year reducing the 2.3 backlog of mold inspections. There was a backlog about over 1,200 mold inspections earlier last year. 24

We're down to just 400 active ones around that right

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

now approximately that are all pretty new. really trying to get those inspections done within the required period of time or shortly thereafter based on the residents' scheduling. So, that is the Mold Busters training. I'm happy to answer any questions about it.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, thank you. I'd love to hear a little bit more about how NYCHA is meeting the benchmarks set out in the HUD Agreement around the mold Action Plan?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Sure. Let me pull out another new page. So, I'm just going to, you know, at the outset say if you look at the mold metrics both in the HUD Agreement and in Baez, we're not in compliance with the mold requirements. And I can go through them one by one, but there is a tremendous issues. all the-- a lot of the requirements are based on time of response, and right now our response time to complete the full work, the full suite of the mold work is too long. We're trying to take that in a comprehensive way by, I said, like focusing on the inspections, making sure that those are done timely. Focusing on mold cleaning -- we -- as Mr. Greenburg mentioned, we did

Operation Mold Clean-Up. We had a backlog of 24,000 cleaning tickets last march. we have done 17,000 of those and continue to work on that backlog every single day to make sure the mold is being remediated so that residents are not living with the hazard in their apartment while they wait for other repairs. So I'll get into the HUD metrics. I'll pull that page out if you give me just one moment. Or Brad, if you— if you [inaudible] please go ahead.

thing I'll say is the way the HUD Agreement is sort of structured is you have recurrence metrics and then you have timely respond metrics usually in the agreement. On the recurrence front we do very well. We actually do meet the HUD Agreement requirement that we not have mold cases recur within a year in most our cases. So, we do meet that benchmark which is really important because like Dan said, you know, our goal is to really bend the curve. So, as we kind of do the inspections, as we kind of— if we're doing the remediation plan correctly, we want it not to recur again, which means we're going to have fewer complaints which is what we've seen overtime. So the

2.2

2.3

2 recurrence metric we meet. Time to respond metric,
3 that's where we really struggle.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Say that—- say that one more time. I'm sorry, I missed it.

CHIEF GREENBURG: So, sorry. So there's like a-- the way the Agreement is structured is you have-- you tend to have in operational areas both the recurrence metric and our time to respond metric.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Timely response.

Side, we do very well with mold now. We don't have cases recurring within a year of completion which is really important because that helps us bend the curve from the number of complaints. For the time to complete metrics, we don't-- there's a set of them. one of them is to do inspections within a certain amount of time, which we do-- are doing much better at since Dan has been pushing inspections so well on the operational side. We still don't hit the metric, but we're doing better to get our inspections done within five business days. We also have to respond to whether it's a simple or complex repair within seven or 15 days. You have to complete all the work, and that's where we tend to really struggle because

So, one of

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have to sequence lots of trades, and the mold remediation plan can be quite extensive. We can't always get into the-- all the work done.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And is this an area where additional resources would make a difference?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE:

the things that we've tried to do over the past year in the Baez case, if you set everything based of the HUD Agreement metrics and the Baez like baseline, baseline performance metrics. It's hard to tell who's doing good and who's doing bad, because the numbers were all pretty bad. So what we've done over the past year is work without independent data analyst to develop a way to become more efficient and to focus on those developments where we really see a failure of the Baez requirements of working well where there's large backlogs disproportionate to other developments where there's outstanding inspections. So what we've done is develop the Mold and Leak Scorecard with our independent data analysts. It looks at 11 very practical factors including resident satisfaction at that development based on surveys that are done after the work order is completed to see how developments are scoring.

2 From that we've been able to track trends. The Score 3 Card has been up and running and also we've educated staff on how to use it. We've been able to see 4 5 trends here we need to deploy resources and additional effort at those properties and additional 6 7 oversight to start to work at the ones who are 8 performing the worst. And that hopefully by improving conditions at those developments, you will hopefully start to see more of a trend towards better 10 11 performance overall, because we have certain 12 developments that are really bringing down our 13 overall numbers. And also, the residents at those 14 developments are facing perpetual issues with mold 15 and leaks. So I think the resource question, 16 resources had been added to the Work Order Reform 17 Initiative. Now we need to deploy them more 18 intelligently. Also, I think that the other pieces 19 that is really important, we will be working with the 20 Federal Monitor. We will be releasing a report also 21 for Congressman Torres later this spring that looks at where do we go now on Baez. We've made a lot of 2.2 2.3 We've seen improvements, but we still improvements. see lagging behind, and we need to find ways to look 24 into the backlog that exists and target work orders 25

2 tha

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

that are really a high value, plumbing tickets, tickets for tub enclosures in bathrooms where we can really have an impact hopefully on where work is lagging, because a lot of the backlog also is like things like paint work orders. That's the last step, and it's-- it's important but it's not like critical to addressing the health condition. So I think the question is we have added more resources, and we want

10 to make sure that we're using them more intelligently

than we have in the past, more efficiently so that we can try to get at some of these endemic problems with

13 mold or leaks.

14 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I appreciate that.

15 And obviously we want you to use the resources as

16 | intelligently and efficiently as possible.

17 Nevertheless, it sounds like if the response times

18 continue to be way too long, we haven't been able to

19 fully address the backlog, right? There's still--

20 it's not efficiency per say, right? I mean, can you-

21 - where can you squeeze out efficiencies where there

22 are insufficient workers to do the work or money to

23 pay the workers to do the work or money to pay the

workers to do that work. I'm having a little

1

3

4

_

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

_ ¬

25

challenge understanding how this is an efficiency problem and not a capital one.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, I mean, I think it's definitely both. I mean, like I said in my testimony there are physical conditions that we face in NYCHA properties that make the apartments moist or damp or have chronic leaks that lead to the mold, and at some point it does become more difficult to patch those problems and kind of go along day to day with the existing level of resourcing. I think like Dan said, there are things we can do better still on the operational front with the staff we do have. Obviously, there is a moment in time happening now with some of the plumbing systems, some of the roofs, some of the facades in buildings that are 80 years old, 70s years old, and have not been rehabilitated where, you know, we've worked with our independent mold analysts to study what is the correct response to that. You know, are there ways for you to try to improve the plumbing system in the short-term? Are there things that we need to look at from a more comprehensive standpoint? And so it's a challenge. There's no doubt about it. It's not just an efficiency standpoint, it's also a capital issue.

2 3 0

4

1

6

7

8

9

10 11

1213

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can I ask in terms of what is the current number of open mold requests?

I think you mentioned it, but I may have missed it.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE:

for mold alone there's around 14,000 parent work orders, but that equates to about 29,000 child work orders, because the parent-- basically the way that mold works is, the parent is the inspection. When that inspection is performed, it creates a series of child work orders. So just in raw numbers, if you just-- yeah, I know, it's very nice terms. currently speaking if you looked at all the open mold work orders, there's really about-- when you include quality assurance inspections, and all the-- when you got to go all in, it's about 35,864 work orders that are out of the Mold Busters program. That affects 16,294 apartments. Just to break it down. Because mold has a lot of complexities to it and how the work orders are constructed.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: And that does not include leaks. That is just mold.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: That is just-- that is just mold. And so in terms of-- so what is the

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

current average number of days that-- before
remediation takes place?

2.2

2.3

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: So, to close the parent work order, the current time to complete based on our quarter 36 report which will be released to the court is about 290 days to close all the work orders. It does not mean no work has been done in the unit. Certain work has been done to assess the unit, to do some of the maintenance work related to it, caulking, maybe just cleaning out the vent, but the full scope of the work has not been completed, and that is the current— that is the current time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, just, if you bear with me. I'm a resident. I call you. I see mold all over the place. Walk me through exactly what happens or what's supposed to happen?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Sure, and there's some important points here actually that's important to get out. So you call. In an ideal world a superintendent or another senior staff person who has Mold Buster--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Is the ideal or the protocol?

Yeah. So,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: This is the protocol and what happens a lot of times, you know? So--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] This is important. the reason why I say that is it's important for residents to hear what the protocol and the level of service that they should be expecting, because as you have witnessed, often what is the protocol and what is the reality are far few in between. So, I'd love for you to talk through what the protocol is.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: step one, resident calls the CCC. They report a mold condition in their apartment. Step two, a superintendent mainly who's received Mold Busters training will go to the apartments. superintendent will bring equipment with them, him or her, to the apartment. They will bring a moisture meter. They will bring an anemometer which measures the air flow, and then we'll bring a hydrometer that measures humidity. It will take that in their handheld, go to the apartment. They're supposed to be a parent inspection for every individual room to increase tracking. Our staff rally love that. But

2	basically they have they have to do the inspection
3	per the protocol. They take wet measurements on the
4	walls, ceilings and floors if necessary to try to
5	identify any source of moisture that could be from a
6	leak. It could be from condensation from piping. It
7	could be due to humidity in the unit, the windows not
8	being opened. It could be from the mechanical
9	ventilation not working properly. They measure the
10	if there is a mechanical vent, meaning a roof fan,
11	there's not that in every NYCHA apartment, but there
12	is. They will measure the air flow to make sure that
13	it' at 25 feet cubic feet per minute or CFMs to make
14	sure that it's adequate. And then they will also
15	take the humidity reading in the apartment. They
16	plug all this information into the work order. Most
17	importantly, they also look for mold, and they've
18	been trained to identify mold. And I know that that-
19	- before Baez changes came into effect, what as mold
20	was kind of a debate at NYCHA, but it no longer is.
21	We have about I want to say roughly I have it
22	here in my papers, but I'm not going to go through.
23	But over 70 percent found, right? It even might be
24	higher than that. We have that number of where
25	they're finding it. They're actually saying yes,

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there's mold. It's a founded condition. 2 So it's 3 much higher than it used to be, and we can monitor that remotely of who's saying found [sic] or not 4 5 [sic]. So they do that and then they create their child work orders. So, if they see-- if they see 6 7 mold, that will create a mold cleaning remediation 8 ticket. Depending upon the square footage of the mold, which is one of the factors they have to document in the handheld. That will either go to a 10 caretaker x who's been trained if it's a small amount 11 12 of mold. Generally, it's either 10 square feet or 20 13 square feet depending upon the development that 14 you're in, or if it's above that threshold but under 15 100 it goes to a trained NYCHA painter, and if it's

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So it gets triaged based on what the observation is.

above 100 it goes to a lead abatement worker who

actually reports to me.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: For the square footage, correct. Then there's also-- if there's a leak, a plumbing ticket will need to be created so that there is-- there is basically an investigation of that leak, and also maintenance workers might need to trace that leak to other

apartments such as above. If there's a problem with
the tub enclosure, which is I think a very common
problem and one of my pet peeves, that's coming off
the wall and the water is getting behind it, they
will create a work order for a tub enclosure which
goes to a carpenter. So there's a variety of work
orders that will be addressed. If it's a simple case,
it'll be a couple, one for a caretaker x, maybe for a
maintenance worker, but if it's complex it's going to
go to a painter. It's going to go to a plumber or
it's going to go to a carpenter, and potentially all
the trades could have some role and also a plasterer.
The other thing they have to look for is water
damage. That's the other critical criteria that will
trigger child work orders. So that's an important
consideration. If you get a wet reading above 599,
that's going to trigger child work orders if on
your moisture reader. If you see visible mold, that
will trigger child work orders to address the mold
condition. And if you see water damage, that's the
third factor and one that we really try to emphasize
with staff that's sometimes under-reported, but water
damage from a prior leak, that also has to be
reported, and that will be generally plastering work,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

perhaps maybe sheetrock work as well depending upon the type of development. That process, the work orders get created and then they go to the planning unit to schedule them, hopefully in a sequence that makes sense. One thing that we try to emphasize, though, now, and this comes out of the HUD agreement which has a specific provision on this, we want to get the mold cleaned as close as possible to five That does -- that we're not close to coming into compliance with that number, but that is the-that was the intent of Operation Mold Clean-up, is that we saw a huge backlog of actual cleaning tickets. When we clean the mold, it has to be done with special cleaning that's been selected by our -cleaning detergents that have been selected by our independent mold analysts, and they've identified those are actually specified in the procedures of exactly what the developments have to buy, and then they want to also see the mold-resistant paint applied quickly after the cleaning because that will prevent the colony from reforming. So that's what our IMA has really recommended. That has not been happening, but what we're trying to do is turn the process right now. We're trying to get a lot of

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 those cleaning tickets out of the system, and then we can focus on also the root cause to repair. Doesn't 3 4 mean we're going to stop-- we're stopping to work on any of those. That has just been my office's role 5 this year is to really try to prioritize where 6 7 there's been failures. So the trade will respond. 8 They will go in there. They will close out the tickets on their devices, on their handhelds, or they'll-- they can also work off of a paper work 10 11 order potentially. The work orders get closed. all of the child work orders get closed-- it could be 12 13 a couple, it could be a lot-- that means the parent 14 ticket will close, and that was that 14,000 number. 15 That's the parent ticket.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Right.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: When the parent tickets close, it generates a QA inspection.

So every— after the child ticket is closed, it generates a Quality Assurance inspection. The Quality Assurance inspection from Mold Busters is performed by development staff, and they will go back into the apartment and they will basically repeat the same process again. They will see if there's visible mold. They will see if there's any wet reading.

given staffing limitations.

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay.

1

3 SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Somebody 4 ha-- somebody with the training has to go into the 5 unit, and I think we sent the message. You know, I was formerly in compliance. I'm now the SVP for 6 Healthy Homes, that it's really important not to 8 fudge the numbers on mold or to fudge your inspections. So you have to go back there, and they do create a pretty significant number of re-10 11 inspection tickets that where they see that the mold 12 has either returned or the leak has returned and 13 additional work has to be done in those apartments, 14 and that's all tracked. We have very good tracking on 15 Based on this stack of papers, maybe too much 16 tracking, but we have a lot. But the key-- the other 17 key party here to mention on mold is the OCC or the 18 Ombudsperson Call Center. The Ombudsperson Call 19 Center is available to all NYCHA residents. 20 created by the Federal Court in the Baez case. It is-21 - there-- the independent ombudsperson is Caesar 2.2 Decastro [sp?]. He is an attorney, and he oversees a 2.3 team that is staffed by the independent data analyst, so it's separate from NYCHA. And any resident who is 24 25 waiting too long for an inspection, waiting beyond

2	that period of time when their work is supposed to be
3	done can call the OCC. They will open a ticket, and
4	then they basically send that to my team where we
5	have case managers that work to basically unstick
6	cases that get stuck either because of a missed
7	appointments or because of misunderstanding about
8	what the condition is that needs to be fixed. So
9	they've actually resolved 15,000 cases. And the OCC
10	contact number you can go on the web you can go
11	on the web and just google them, but we try to
12	promote them wherever we go, because we've been
13	really they've been an incremental instrumental
14	part, excuse me, of how we deal with mold to make
15	sure that the urgent cases are being addressed and
16	they improve our performance. So the number for the
17	OCC is 1-888-341-7152. They have live call agents
18	Monday through Friday 9:00 to 5:00, and you only want
19	to call the OCC after you've called NYCHA and created
20	your tickets, but they will follow up where NYCHA is
21	not meeting its objectives, and they will they have
22	very empathetic, well-trained staff that will then
23	create OCC cases to monitor individual apartments and
24	make sure the work gets done, and their final step is
25	resident satisfaction. If the resident is not

_

J

satisfied for reasonable purposes, they will require NYCHA to keep it open and they will make us do additional work in those apartments to satisfy the resident. So it's been an instrumental part of how we're dealing with mold. It's a standard that we're trying to aspire to, and I think it's going to drive the change that we need to really tackle this.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, clearly you've made enormous amounts of progress without question, and yes, there is enormous amount of work to be done. What is holding NYCHA back from integrating this function of resident satisfaction being the place we are, and/or resolving these tickets in a timely manner without needing an additional layer over oversight?

I think that the-- so some of the lessons by the OCC are being listened to by other teams. So I think it's-- definitely think it's something that's having an influence on other parts of the agency.

Certainly, I also oversee the lead program, and as we start to do our abatement program where we're moving residents to hotels while we conduct the abatement in their apartment, which is also a change that we've

2.3

_ 1

done to improve health and safety protocols during environmentally-sensitive work. We've noticed there that some of the residents have not been fully satisfied with the experience. Maybe we're late with doing the abatement and they have some problems with the return to unit process. We're actually going to meet, making sure that we work with the OCC because they are very good with making sure that resident satisfaction is really understood, and that is like sort of the final step of the process. I think the Pest Department is working towards similar protocols to make sure that they are also— and I'll let Josey speak to how some of their— what they're doing.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Compliance also now has a resident satisfaction survey. So every complaint that comes through our department is only closed once they pass a resident satisfaction survey, which is a very simple are you satisfied or are you not.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, I appreciate that. But I'm still left with a question, why. Why does NYCHA need the OCC to do the work it's supposed to do?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Because we weren't doing it properly, and we still need day-to-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

day oversight. I mean, NYCHA is working through a process where it is improving its operations. think NYCHA has learned tremendous amount, but this is a big complex agency with big complex problems. So, I think that we are learning, you know, learning from working with entities like the OCC, and that will improve our operations overall in other areas, and I think we can provide examples of that. that is kind of the standard of where we are right now, and we're actually working with the OCC to expand some of their outreach where one of the things we've worked with them over the past year as they were doing proactive call-outs. If you have a ticket older than 400 days old that's a leak, we want them reaching out to those residents to try to see if they need an OCC assistance. They're also identifying using our data sources which refer -- again, mold and leak is very strong. Identifying clusters of leaks where a particular line might have a very big problem on the line, but it's not being reported or seen, because they're at the ground level, but they're looking at it from a bird's eye view, they will reach out to those residents, and again, open up tickets if they are struggling with a line-wide failure, a line-

a

wide leak that is a problem. So I think we're actually trying to expand these efforts because it pushes us to better performance.

Would say that my 50 colleagues across the city who have NYCHA developments are also spending an enormous amount of time kicking NYCHA in the pants around the things they're supposed to be doing for residents or why work orders, or anything for that matter, is taking absurdly long. In terms of— can you— is there any prioritization in the process for residents with respiratory issues? Is that at all flagged and considered on how elements are tracked?

talk about our pest-sensitive work orders. So, any apartment that has identified that they're using a life-sustaining device on their annual review.

They've had a model mildew reasonable accommodation. They've had a DOHMD code violation, or they just mention it to the CCC when they're putting in a pest work order. So they call and they say I have mice, my child has asthma. The representative can check the pest-sensitive button. This automatically bumps you up in priority. So most of our pest complaints

2.2

2.3

2 are a level four, get that-- get to it within seven

working days, but if you're pest-sensitive the goal

4 is to meet it within 48 hours.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And is there something similar for mold as well?

of the things we track is reasonable accommodation requests related to mold which is a frequent basis for reasonable accommodations and that helps prioritize work. OCC can also prioritize tickets based on resident sensitivity to mold. We also have the ability to take on complex cases with contractors, and we relocate residents if they are experiencing a health effect because of mold, and then we can do the remediation when they're relocated. So there is ways that we also do that for mold.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. And in terms of the contractors that y'all utilize, obviously there are different sets of contractors that you use. Can you talk to me about how many contractors does your unit actually utilize?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: Right now we're predominantly using Jach's [sp?] contracting

which is line item contracting where you have
basically a pre-defined pricing for certain types of
work, and we work with our Jach's contractor
primarily, but we do have other options. I think
it's about three or four other contractors that have
mold remediation licenses that we can use, but we
reserve those contracts that we oversee for really
highly complex repairs, either in apartments or also
in community centers that have also had serious leaks
and mold issues. So we do use the contractors for
that work as well, and we have a very tight overnight
with our team over that work. We also did the
oversight of the roof fan contract where we were
pleased to see given the efforts and I don't want
to take credit because that started before me, and
the team at Omar [sic] did an amazing job. Just
underwent like a nine-month comptroller audit for how
they managed that contract and the oversight that was
done for the ventilation project, and again, for a
comptroller audit I don't want to speak for the
comptroller but I thought that they found strong
controls over contractor oversight for that
initiative and we apply similar methodologies for

like and whether they're doing it properly.

work being done and making sure they have-- they put

their notes, their photos of the work on the work 3 order so we're able to make sure that okay, this work

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was being overseen directly by NYCHA staff.

Sure.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Thank you. In terms of -- can you tell us how m any employees the Environmental Health and Safety Department has?

CHIEF GREENBURG:

environmental Health and Safety Department has I believe it's 48 active employees. I think they're budgeted for 51 employees, and they have-- you know, it's a -- I quess a relatively small but mighty team. They have an Environmental Health and Safety Officer. They have a director and then they have three units. They have an Employee Safety Unit, Environmental Hazards Unit, and a Building Systems Unit. And under the Environmental Hazards Unit they have teams who are dedicated to indoor air quality which is mostly mold and asbestos. They also have a team that does-our lead oversight team which looks at all leadrelated workflows. They also have a team that does IPM oversight, so Integrated Pest Management oversight. The Building Systems Team has an elevator oversight team and a heat oversight team. So they

really have specializations within those teams so

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 120
2	they can go out and see how the operational folks are
3	doing, you know, across the portfolio. And they also
4	have staff augments from other places too that they
5	bring in for very specialized types of inspections
6	and testing.
7	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, the Health and

Safety Department is over--

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHIEF GREENBURG: They report directly to the Chair, sort of the CEO now. The Environmental Health and Safety Officer reports to the CEO and it's a requirement of the agreement with HUD.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'd love to see an organizational chart for this along with like the distribution of staff members and levels. That would be very helpful.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, of course, we can get that to you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: In terms of -- have there been reports or recommendations that this team has put forward?

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yes. I mean, Dan and Joy and Josey and myself get lots of recommendations from this team. They're really excellent. They do-there are some that they do as a requirement of the

2 HUD Agreement, so they do a root cause failure 3 analysis for every hating outage that goes over 12 4 hours where they kind of back-track and figure out 5 what went wrong, because for us, that's a failure to go over 12 hours. They also do-- you know, they take 6 7 samples of different kinds of workflows. So, like I 8 said, they have an IPM oversight team that goes kind of after extermination has taken place or during in the middle of it, and they go and see how folks are 10 11 doing. They do it on the lead front as well. get-- I get escalation reports from them if there are 12 13 particular employees or particular issues that happen 14 that require disciplinary action. We also get a 15 quarterly report from them, all of us each quarter 16 that gives a set of a recommendations for kind of 17 business process changes or other changes we need to 18 make as an organization, and then they track those 19 each quarter thereafter. So we get tracked against 20 our implementation of those recommendations, and it's 21 a really good process that I think holds individuals accountable if they see something directly happening 2.2 2.3 with that individual, but also you know, operational units accountable to figuring out how to improve a 24 business process or another way of tracking or -- and 25

if you're working on like heating oversight team,

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 123
2	you're mostly in the boiler plants and the tank room,
3	so maybe you're not seeing residents in those spaces,
4	but they do go into units sometimes if there are
5	units that have a heat failure. So I would say the
6	vast majority of them are def they're all in the
7	field all the time. They should be interacting with
8	residents, and you know, for Dan and Josey's teams,
9	they're definitely in units. Sometimes we've
10	obviously relocated a resident as part of the lead
11	abatement jobs, and the resident is not in the unit
12	when the EHNS person is there.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure, sure.
14	CHIEF GREENBURG: But they're they're
15	actively in units all the time. And the fire we
16	also have a Fire Safety team on that HNS Department
17	which is also in the field every day.
18	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Right. And so it's
19	50/50 folks. Let's say it's staff to fully staffed,
20	50 folks for the 355 developments citywide.
21	CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, that's right.
22	And some obviously staff augment vendors that we use
23	for special types of inspections.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure, sure. Got it. Can I ask a-- I'm going to switch to mold very

quickly, I realize. What's the mold complaint inspection and follow-up process for residents living in RAD/PACT?

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: So, I'm going to refer this over to Brad, but I will say that last year we negotiated a settlement agreement to have the PACT developments covered by the Baez Consent Decree. So that was a major shift, and I'll let Brad explain the changes that happened as a result of that.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, so there's a number of changes that I think have been super positive for the PACT program, especially with respect to mold and leaks. There's a-- first of all, they have to produce an operations and maintenance plan for mold prior to closing that we have to approve along with an independent mold analyst specialized in the PACT program, is assigned to the PACT program who reports out and reviews those plans. We make sure that they have basically a procedure that's similar to the procedure we have on the public housing side that we think is a model. We also then, you know, after closing, my team takes every single work order that was open at the time of closing in mold and leaks, and we track them one-by-one until

the resident is satisfied and make sure that they're
closed by the new PACT developer, which they're doing
right now at a site that closed earlier in January.
And we track each complaint. We require pictures. We
require phone calls to residents to make sure they're
satisfied. We also have regular reporting that has
to take place from the PACT developer to NYCHA that
reports out on all of the open mold and leak
complaints that were opened and what was closed,
whether they were closed within 30 days which is the
time frame required under that settlement agreement.
If they exceed 30 days, then they come to my team in
the Compliance Department and we take each of those
ones in excess of 30 days for mold and leaks, and we
call the resident, call the developer, see if we can
kind of unstick the process like Dan spoke about the
OCC. And we either accept the developer's
explanation or we don't, and if we don't, sometimes
we do like I've done field visits to see, you know,
what's the problem here, and we kind of hold their
feet to the fire to make them complete the work, and
we work with the OCC on that as well. And then
[inaudible] some elements of the oversight process.
We also have an independent mold analyst for the BACT

program who goes out and reviews the work of PACT developers in response to mold and leak tickets, and they report out on whether people are complying with their ONM plan. So we think-- you know, we've built a lot in the last year and a half for so around making sure that we have adequate oversight of the PACT sites with respect to mold and leaks.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Do you know how man complaints you've received from PACT sites on mold and pests?

CHIEF GREENBURG: I don't know how many complaints we've received. We can get that to you. I know how many requests we get that we have to investigate.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay.

when one of the PACT sections first closes we usually have a lot, because it's very difficult to keep up with the demand of the mold and leaks without the capital repairs. So you know, the most we've had has been across the PACT portfolio which is smaller.

We've had 16 I think at the most that go over 30 days. In the last month we had two. So, as the construction progressed in the last round of

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

closings, we've seen the number of complaints
exceeding 30 days go way down.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Thank you for that. Yeah. I'll move on from there. I guess we'll segue really quickly again to pest management. Certainly, thanks to your team who came up to Red Hook where there were clear infestations, where some of the staff was like, "Wow, this needs to get treated every two days not once every several months." And yes, I mean, what-- what that visit illuminated was an enormous amount of work still needs to get done with coordination of construction and the pest management teams. And there was a representative from construction there who could help, but nevertheless, there was still like-- was that you? Is that me? I was like, there's no fence here. So why are we asking this question? What do we need to do make sure that it gets done? Can you tell us what are some of the proactive pest management strategies that NYCHA is using to control and prevent infestation?

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: Yeah, sure.

So, proactively, I mean, the mold inspections, if we go in there's any signs of roaches or mice,

extermination work orders are created. Maintenance
assessments, if they see any signs of pests,
extermination work orders are created. The levels of
infestation when an exterminator goes creates
adjacent work orders so that we go and check the
adjacent units. We have grounds routes where we're
hitting every NYCHA site. The developments that have
more rats, we're there once or twice a week.
Sometimes, I mean Red Hook we're there about two to
three days a week. But we're on a route so that
we're there and that is not necessarily tied to
tickets on the grounds or in public spaces. So we're
able to rodents, roaches in basements. So that's
proactive approach on that. Something we've started
doing is doing inspections on the high infestations,
seeing what else needs to be done on those areas, and
highlighting that. I think those are our main
channels.
CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. One of the

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. One of the-obviously, one of the things that we see particularly
with the rats is trash. In Red Hook there's no place
for a trash can or somebody put a little tiny trash
can for like, you know, 6,000 residents. Doesn't

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: If it's functional. And having the correct amount of trash receptacles through the neighborhood rat reduction program. There were-- I think it was 43 million dollars put toward interior and exterior compactors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it's functional.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Where does that go? Do you know?

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: That went to the Neighborhood Rat Reduction sites so that was the first set of Neighborhood Rad Reduction sites in the lower east side, Chinatown, Bushwick, Bed-Stuy, and South Bronx area.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Vlada, if you want to come up you can talk. We have a huge waste infrastructure pipeline that we also have as part of our -- you know, we got city capital funds. Joy can--

we have a problem with in the interior compactor

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2 rooms. So, it's a huge investment in waste
3 infrastructure.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. I look

forward to that. I mean, I think our development, of

course, I will continue to speak about needs,

receptacle. It needs basic stuff, like appropriately

sized receptacles for the level of buildings and

equipment.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, and one of the good things about the new waste yards, we can-- we're all very way too enthusiastic about trash. But one of the good things about the new waste yards, we can-- we're all very way too enthusiastic about trash. But one of the good things about the waste yards is it's also going to encourage good recycling practices. So we'll have places for a lot of the cardboard and bulk trash which tend to be a big problem at NYCHA sites and other ways of also making sure that we manage the waste kind of across the whole campus in a more effective way. So, I think it's going to be really exciting. I think it's going to help the pest problem. It's going to help the problem on the ground. Hopefully, it'll be aesthetically more pleasing as well.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can I ask in terms

of the removing pests— so I'm thinking rats in particular, but you mentioned for roaches using the gel and using less of the aerosol type pesticide. Is there an accounting of the cumulative like impacts of different treatments being done? So, let's continue to use Red Hook for instance. There's obviously a treatment that's done for the boroughs outside in the soil, and then there's whatever's done in the building, and then there's like all the construction debris around blowing everything around everywhere. Like, is there any sense of looking at like the

collective impact of everything that a particular

development is facing to understand truly how people

are being impacted by what is happening around them

and within the buildings?

mean, one thing that we've been working with data scientists from HUD and also the monitor's expert entomologists on is trying to understand the baseline of what the pest population was and is in every NYCHA development. We've been doing-- Josey and her team to active inspections each year and kind of a sample of apartments. They also do burrow counts on the

2.2

2.3

count is super high one month, has it come down based on our treatment, which she can talk about. So there's lot of ways we try to track the data. it's challenging to try to count pests, and that's kind of what we're trying to do, but there are ways that we developed with some of our experts to try to understand where we see pockets of too many pests and

grounds to figure out whether or not if the burrow

SENIOR DIRECTOR BARTLETT: Yeah. I mean,

I do want to add that the burrow counts are done by

the Department of Health. We do our own burrow

counts, but it's not just us doing our own counts,

and we do track all of our pesticide usage and that's

submitted to the DEC and the DOHMH each year.

where we see kind of an inability to bend the curve

on it. Is that a good-- that's a good

characterization I think of what we've done.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, and you know, as far as the Department of Health, Red Hook is good. So, there's some clear problem with how it's getting assessed when we see the level of infestation that we've seen in Red Hook Houses. In terms of construction, Joy, how is— how are the contractors held to account for— what are they actually

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

accountable for in terms of pest management and managing waste, and how are they held to account to do those things?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:

you for that question. I really have appreciated working with Josey over the last two years. expertise of the Pest Management Unit has extended beyond that unit, and one of the things they've done is partner with capital to make sure that our specifications to contractors really reflect the best practices that they're finding on the ground so that we can be in lock-step. As you noted, at Red Hood we're actually really collaborating. We're doing walk-throughs together. We're making sure that a space is owned by one party or the other to make sure that every area is being addressed. Sometimes it actually can be grey who owns a particular space in terms of pest management. The contractors are required to manage pests that are within their construction areas. The Red Hook project and the Sandy projects are somewhat unusual for the portfolio. Typically we are working in a much more constrained area of a development, and so the contractor, it's much easier for them to understand

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

where their area of responsibility is, whether it's a rooftop or whether it's a site lighting [sic] replacement or a playground job. That work is embedded within their contract, but it's also part of what we talk about every time we meet with a contractor. We have regular meetings with the tenant association and the property management to make sure that we're all on the same page about what's going on and who's taking responsibility. And then on top of that, I think I'd mentioned earlier, some of that oversight within the capital depar-- within capital we have a safety and quality group of about 20 people that make unannounced visits and this is part of what they're looking for, to see if there's debris or to see if the mitigation is happening properly. We certainly take complaints and observations from residents both through formal channels and through our community outreach teams to make sure that we're being responsive.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. And I will say, you know, Red Hook residents have seen improvements for sure, and if there is coordination that's not happening, they're going to demand it's going to happen, and so by hell or high water we're

2.2

2.3

going to make sure it happens. In terms of— sorry, y'all, but I gotta keep talking about Red Hook. It's just a perfect kind of case and point of a conflation of many things that certainly other developments are facing, particularly in the lower east side. You know, the mountains of soil, they're supposed to be covered, but they're not. Not all of them, right? This is not a zero sum situation, but we still see just like the same problems over and over again.

What is NYCHA doing beyond us calling you ad nauseam every single time we see a violation. How is NYCHA monitoring this enormous contractor, right? Some of the biggest contracts we see in this situation.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: So,

I'll talk about Red Hook, but I also just want to

talk about the portfolio in general. In general, we

are doing very small number of projects that have

that level of intermittent excavation. That said,

going forward, the work that we're talking about

through comprehensive modernization, the trust, we

want to be able investing that level into more

developments. So, the lessons learned from these

major projects is helping us guide going forward how

we're going to monitor our contractors for the Sandy

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

program specifically and Red Hook in particular. During the work day when contractors are using the dirt, the pile may not be covered. It's too -- if you--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] The piles are not covered at night, Joy. Like this is not like a, "oh, we're covering our pile now, we're done." Like they're just not covered, like-- or they're like-- there's a little strip of covered and trees growing through it. Like, that's actually what you see a lot in Red Hook, trees growing through the piles of dirt.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: You should never see pile completely uncovered overnight after work is done. That's something we really strive to push the contractor to do. The primary responsibility for oversight at Red Hook is our construction management agent in the field. So we've hired a firm who has boots on the ground every day. Red Hook, in particular, has hundreds of workers on site every day so NYCHA staff can't-- we don't have enough staff to manage it with in-house staff. We're using this construction management firm, Muro [sic]. They're on site every day. We also have project

management oversight at NYCHA that we are both
partnering with and overseeing that construction
management firm. The Sandy program has additional
resources of oversight. So we leave actually paid
for a third-party monitor. This happens at some
programs at NYCHA where there's extraordinary amount
of investment. And so in addition to the safety and
quality group and their unannounced visits, this high
priority monitor also make unannounced visits to look
at the work that we're doing. And property
management is again there every day. They're helpful
for making observations to us if something isn't
noticed that both of those development together are
about 40 acres. So there's a lot of work happening
at a lot of different places, and property management
is a great partner in making sure that we know things
are going on that we need to fix.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How much staff would you say you need to do an appropriate-- not-- and not to suggest you're doing inappropriate, but to do a sufficient level of oversight and, you know, boots on the ground to perform your function? How many additional staff would you need to meet that mandate?

3

4

5

let's answer both.

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: On a program level you're talking about or as a portfolio?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I think let's do--

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: So, on a program level, you know, we have a lot of layers of oversight for the Sandy recovery program which is the most impactful construction we're doing right now, and I would say we are trying to be as responsible as possible with the disaster recovery funding so that the oversight isn't taking funds away from the actual construction project. That's something we're very confident of. As a portfolio as a whole, we're actually trying to re-level the number of projects for project manager for exactly that reason. Compared to our partner agencies, NYCHA project managers have far more projects, and so we've been working with program managers. As NYCHA gets additional resources, often it's a large amount of money that has to be spent very quickly, and in that case, we might have program managers come on so that we're not overburdening project managers with even more projects. We're trying to make sure that that

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 140
2	level of projects per person stays at a reasonable
3	level.
4	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And what is that
5	level?
6	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Right
7	now, it varies, but we have an average of about 14.
8	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'm sorry?
9	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: An
10	average of about 14.
11	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Fourteen, okay.
12	CHIEF GREENBURG: Fourteen projects per
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'm sorry.
14	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:
15	Sorry, my throat is just
16	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [inaudible]
17	terrible. So an average person managing 14 projects.
18	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:
19	Project manager, yeah.
20	CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, I mean it's
21	important to keep the scope and scale of projects
22	varies significantly.
23	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Vary. And I'm sure
24	there's a particular standard. Would that be

considered above standard in terms of--

CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] So, one

2 3 4 r 5 r 6 r 7 6 r 9 t 10 --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the things Joy mentioned is that we have program management firms that take over kind of some of the pipelines, like our boiler pipeline or elevator pipeline with state funds that are in a lot of different developments, but they can throw more resources at it and manage it effectively. So we, I think most of the projects—correct me if I'm wrong—but most of the projects that are managed in-house tend to be smaller projects, and larger projects we

because it's-- you couldn't have one person manage 14
boiler projects, for example. Maybe you can for some
community center projects.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Sure. So it's--

try to use a lot of other resourcing to manage,

it's fluid. But Joy, I heard you say you needed more capacity and then kind of walked it back. So, do you need more capacity?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: On the project management side, we certainly—— we could certainly use more resources, but we also want to be able to have the capacity for additional funding when it comes in. that's, you know, that's the ongoing challenge is we have a static number of people,

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

static headcount, and we want to be able to be ready for when those additional funds come so we can hit the ground running.

CHIEF GREENBURG: One of the challenges that I'll say we face, and Dan faces it too, because Dan has a lot of city capital money for the lead program, is project management costs are not capitally eligible for the City or the State. So we really can't use a lot of the funds that we might get for capital projects for just regular project management staffing, and that means that we have these expense dollars for it or other kind of federal dollars which is more limited for us. And so some folks will say, oh, we're going to fund a lot of capital work, but not—we don't have the money for project management side, and that's the challenge that we have.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And given deficits and, you know, rental arears--

CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] Expense budget is very tight.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: it's going to get a very particular problem. A very specific question, I think this is probably for you, Joy. Crystalline

2	silica or silica dust has been identified by the US
3	CDC as hazardous material that become airborne during
4	activity such as cutting, drilling, or other
5	construction techniques used by projects like the
6	ones on NYCHA properties, particularly like probably
7	Red Hook and the other Lower East Side resiliency

Red Hook and the other Lower East Side resiliency

8 projects. So remediation measures does NYCHA require

contractors to take to prevent the spread of silica

10 dust?

2.2

2.3

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: I can speak to dust in general. I'd have to get back to with specifics for silica dust, but in general we have techniques like wetting and tarping, and in very specific conditions when regulatory agencies are involved, there might be a particular for different construction workers who are closest to the dust and they would have to wear particular personal protective equipment. And also in very specific situations we would monitor—regulatory agencies we would have potentially a monitoring. At certain sites where we—

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] can you speak a little bit closer to the mic?

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

2	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Sorry
3	about that. At certain sites where we've been
4	working close to a building, we might also install
5	plastic sheeting over windows and air conditioners
6	during a time that excavation or dirt moving is
7	occurring. I would get back I'll have to get back
8	to you about specifically about the silica dust,
9	though.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: You would put protective sheeting where?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Red

Hook is a good example where we were doing excavation
of foundations close to residential buildings when we
were actively excavating. In addition the wetting,
we would also speak to the residents ahead of time
and put plastic sheeting over windows and air
conditioners in order to protect any intrusion from
the dust.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And is there— are there the provision of any air filter, air monitors provided to residents during any of that? Because the dust is pretty significant.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: The-Red Hook specifically we developed our air monitoring

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 145		
2	plan in coordination with EPA and essentially		
3	mirrored the plan that they had put in place to the		
4	south of the site in the ball field, even though EPA		
5	had determined that Red Hook didn't have the same		
6	impact from the smelting operations that impacted the		
7	ball field. So we followed their guidance to		
8	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing]		
9	Although, I will say for the record that that is		
10	under some contention for sure. I'm not sure people		
11	believe that, in particular. Nevertheless, you don't		
12	have to debate that with me. So in terms of so		

what was -- so sheeting, and then what was provided,

14 | nothing?

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Well, our goal—— I think this is similar to the question about the temporary boiler and soot. Our goal is to control the dust where it's occurring. So——

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Right, and it wasn't controlled. So what happens when it's not controlled? Because it was not controlled.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: So, we did about 44,400 individual air monitoring tests at Red Hook between May 2020 and February 2023.

25 These are 10-minute intervals and they're done by a

they found nothing.

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Well,

we found— at least 45 times we stopped work to

determine if there was something additional we could

do to mitigate the dust, and then that we were able

to restart work and not have the positive reoccur.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And in terms of the

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And in terms of the Department of Health, the Department of Health is tracking as well, or is this just the independent contractor through NYCHA?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Well, the test results are on a public website, so anyone is able to review that material, and I can send you think if you don't--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I've seen it before. We'll look at it again. In terms of-- I guess, let's play out this circumstance. If let's say there were more findings of alarming things. You mentioned your stopped particular work. When does the Department of Health get involved?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: the

Department of Health doesn't have a formal role in

this particular oversight where we're not following a

regulatory agreement, but they've certainly been a

great partner in places where people had concern, and

_

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they've been more than happy to look at our plans or visit with residents who have personal concerns.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. So there were -- I guess I'm trying to-- I guess they are if you bring them in, right, to maybe look at stuff and to collaborate on what you're finding, they don't' necessarily have a formal role in testing and responding.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Well, so the initial testing of the soil is obviously is done by EPA. we have other regulators that we partner with around testing. It wouldn't really be the city Department of Health that would do soil testing. And likewise, at other locations we have D-- New York City DEC do soil -- that has had to do soil testing. But it's a-like Joy said, you know, I think-- they set up a-we complied to also reviews of the air monitoring results, and we also do on-site announce visits to see whether or not people are tarping the soil properly and whether the soil is covered. Sometimes we find that there's broken seams, or other problems with the trap, but in general I think it's like a pretty-- it's a really rigorous oversight over the project at this point.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Have you guys ever clawed back resources or reprimanded a contractor or provided— not reprimand, the financial penalty for inadequate work, very late work, any of the above and certainly related to, you know, mitigation or remediation efforts or inappropriate behavior, or not doing what they're supposed to be doing?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: we certainly have a Law Department that is available as a resource to determine if the situation leads us to a path of mitigation. But on every project we retain at least—you know, five percent age retainage on the project as we're going through. So every invoice, five percent of the construction dollars are retained exactly for those purposes and case work has to be done on the contractor's behalf in case it's discovered that an error was made and something needs to be corrected, and so that's the purpose of that work, that retainage.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Could you provide for the record a list of all the contractors that where the five percent was in fact retained for work, post and o/r the contractors for whom NYCHA's in

2.3

litigation with and/or reprimanding, or asserting financial penalties?

talk through the request a little bit. I mean, we-we obviously have lots of-- lots of back and forth
with contractors all the time on the PACT side, on
the capital side, in Dan's program, and Josey, and
sometimes we say, no, we're not going to pay for
that. Sometimes we say, I'm sorry please go back out
there, and we do this all the time. So we could give
you probably every contract in some ways, but we do
try to actively manage our contracts. So I think-we're happy to talk through it.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: I mean, I think the-- that's exactly right. We are frequently receiving claims from contractors, some of which we reject and some of which we accept that are well before that five percent retainage would be release, and so it's throughout the course of the project.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, I appreciate that will be along gigantic list. Nevertheless, I think what I'm getting at here certainly is what you hear from residents around porch [sic] contractors

being used over and over again, and certainly a good number of documentation around contract overrides.

And I know this hearing is not on contracts per-say, nevertheless contracting oversight is a huge ongoing issue of concern, and it certainly implicates air quality, quality of life for just every kind of dimension that residents have to deal with. So, I think--

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:

[interposing] It's a bit afield from the topic, but we are actually working very hard to strengthen our training of project managers and to increase the consistency across the project managers for how they do that oversight, and so that's an initiative of us and capital management that's being rolled out this year. Some of those trainings are under development, and some of those key performance indicators are part of this year's goals to make sure people are making it to the site and make sure that they're doing oversight with the same kind of consistency that, you

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, and quite frankly, our city should not be engaged in business with bad contractors regardless of their affiliations

know, Dan and Josey have been talking about.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

and associations. Public dollars should not reward bad behavior and offer terrible work, but we continue to do that certainly. So I'd love to-- we can talk some more about that. In terms of-- let's see, I'm sorry. I lost my place here. Can you explain a little bit what the difference is between the NYCHA Healthy Homes Department, NYCHA's Independent Construction Safety Unit, and NYCHA's Health and Safety Unit?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT GREENE: sure. So, Healthy Homes which I oversee is an operational department. We report to the COO and we manage now four programs that do work in apartments on environmental issues. So we manage the lead testing and abatement program. We manage the mold program, obviously. And mold is -- it has various elements, but it basically is an over-- it's a quasi-oversight entity that deals directly with the Baez case and the experts that are associated with it. We also oversee contractors doing complex jobs. We also have the staff that interact with the OCC. We do special projects such as the ventilation project. So it's sort of a clearing house for mold issues, and we do a ton oversight-related activities on mold and leak

performance that's done by operations. Ninety-nine
percent of the day-to-day mold work is done by
plumbers, carpenters, painters that work for
operations. Then I also oversee the asbestos
department, which is also air quality, and that
Department does the asbestos compliance and abatement
and testing for operations, not for capital projects,
just for the operations. Examples of this are the
floor tiles, which we've had a huge push to get
better in compliance with the vinyl asbestos floor
tiles which do contain asbestos in many
circumstances, and also the glue that is used to
the mastic it's called. So we oversee the
inspection. If a resident has broken floor tiles in
their apartment, we make sure it's inspected. If it
has asbestos, we actually work to relocate that
resident during the course of that work and also the
residents' belongings while we conduct the asbestos
abatement. We also abate at turnover as well, at
apartment turnover which we which was a subject of
last months' hearing. The fourth department that
we've now taken on is water, which is an office, the
Office of Water Quality which is nested within the
mold department just to it's a small department. so

2

3

4

5

6

-

/

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we want to make sure there's adequate staffing and oversight. They're' overseeing legionella response efforts is their primary role, and they're also building the water program out, new procedural manners on water, sort of arising from the incidents of last summer. So those are our four program areas. Environmental health and safety is an oversight entity. They report not to the COO. They report to the CEO or formerly the Chair. They were created specifically by the HUD Agreement, but the SDNY to oversee it. So, they look at my work. person abating the lead. They will send their inspectors out to make sure that we are meeting all the requirements, and they would have a different reporting chain so fi there are conflicts or disagreements that we can get over-ruled and implement, you know, whatever recommendations they So they are an oversight entity. I'm not sure about the third entity. I think that that might be Joy.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: So, then the Construction Safety and Quality Group is part of asset and capital management, and they really have a few roles. One is that unannounced visit,

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 155		
2	boots the ground, oversight for the safety and		
3	quality of capital projects, and they also have an		
4	Environmental Compliance Unit, and they are very key		
5	in the work that when we do asbestos work, they play		
6	very key role in helping us follow all of the		
7	regulatory guidelines and permitting requirements to		
8	network done.		
9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. Whew, this		
10	is like the biggest organizational chart on the		
11	planet.		
12	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: We're		
13	a little city.		
14	CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah. I guess the way		
15	to think about it is, yeah, we have operational		
16	units, we have capital units, and then we have		
17	general oversight units that are really tying enforce		
18	the		
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] And		
20	then we have like one caretakers how has to deal with		
21	6,000 residents.		
22	CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, we try.		
23	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I get it. I get it.		

In terms of-- how logn does it take NYCHA to review

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

include any environmental concerns, it would definitely have to be reviewed, and if there's particular safety issues like requiring crane lifts or some other potential safety concern, then that would also require safety plan view.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, in terms of the- I guess, are any of the contractors ever required
to-- there's an obvious like behind the fence you've
got to pick up your trash and you don't. Are
contractors every required to do additional
janitorial services on the campuses? Or is it just
their kind of work site?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:

Right, so the contractors' contract obligates them to maintain work areas, and again this varies project by project because many projects are building-specific r components that's specific. On the Sandy program where we've had to do much more site-wide work, there's much more collaboration between us and property management, pest management, and also even within capital between teams. There may be more than one team active on a site managing projects and making sure that the garbage is being done appropriately. So various contractors have taken it

2	upon themselves to hire more labor to do that kind of
3	garbage cleaning, to have it done more frequently.
4	But we're finding that even in places where we're
5	doing it, every two or three days there's still an

accumulation, and so we're trying to keep up with

7 that as best we can.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. I had no intention asking this question, but I can't help myself. Whose responsibility is it to clean the trash on the scaffolds?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: is a shared responsibility--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] That no one is doing.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: - depends on whether the scaffolding is part of an active project or part of a safety mitigation, and we don't have funds for an active project. so, sites where we're doing Local Law 11 work, where the contractor is deployed to actively fix the building, they're on-site regularly and they are doing that work on a-- it should be a daily basis or a regular basis. But there are sites where unfortunately we don't have the funding to do the Local Law 11

2.2

2.3

repairs. The scaffolding is installed as, you know,
as soon as possible as part of complying with
Department of Buildings requirements, but there is no
staffing on-site from that scaffolding-- from that
scaffolding company, and so there is much more of a
collaboration with property management to make sure

that we can maintain those sites over time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, again, in the case of Red Hook where we have scaffolding everywhere and a huge accumulation of trash on all the scaffolding, it implicates pests and other fun situations, there is no money and just sits there.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: I believe Red Hook has funding for some of the Local Law 11 work. I can't speak off-hand to which buildings, but Red Hook's a great example of where we have that kind of coordination where certain buildings are going to be under active construction. Other buildings we might only have the funding to put up the sidewalk shedding I think is what you're referring to around the buildings to prevent debris from potentially hitting residents. And then the fencing is sometimes installed instead of sidewalk sheds. So, in order to reduce the amount of

shedding, which people have said they find dark, that we put in the fencing to keep people out of larger areas near the buildings where we aren't able to do the repairs right away due to funding.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. You see how much I love the shedding in Red Hook that's sitting there with tons and tons of trash. It's-- yeah, it's a thing. I guess, you know-- I think with that-- in terms of-- can you tell us a little bit about what soil testing does NYCHA and/or any contractors hired by NYCHA undertake when they do construction that would disturb the soil on NYCHA property?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: I'm going to start. I'm actually going to hand it over to Vlada, because her group manages this, and this is an evolving process for us. So we are actually in the process of improving this very piece. There's--NYCHA follows the city, the state, and the federal, so SECRE [sic], SECRA [sic] and NIPA [sic], but what we have is a two-phase process, and we're going to introduce another acronym to you for another group that is doing oversight of environmental concerns.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Thank you, Joy. The team recently came over to work under

_	COMMITTEE ON FOBIC HOOSING 101
2	my portfolio, so we are closely working at whenever
3	there is soil disturbance and capital work, we follow
4	the NIPA, SECRE and SECRA as Joy has just mentioned.
5	You know, when there are triggers that require
6	compliance with those requirements, we conduct a
7	phase one desktop analysis to see if there are
8	environmental concerns in the area, if there are
9	underground storage tanks. If there's spills, if
LO	there's industrial, we work with DEP to do that
11	analysis. And then if DEP recommends we move onto a
L2	phase two and do testing of the soil, of the area
L3	where the disturbance would be happening, and based
L 4	on the results of the phase two report, there would
L5	be a recommendation if other measures need to be
L 6	taken, if we need to cap the soil, if it needs to be,
L7	you know, two feet needs to be taken out and clean
L8	soil need to be put in, if any venting needs to
L 9	happen. So all of that happens in a phase two.
20	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And are those
21	results provided to the public?
22	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: They go
23	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Easily

accessible place.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

_ -

25

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: So, they go through a process, you know. DEP looks at the results with us. I don't know if there's a repository for all these files, but I can get back to you.

CHIEF GREENBURG: I will say, I mean, there are some sites that are actively managed by a regulator. So there are parts of Riis Houses, not all of Riis Houses, but parts of Riis Houses that's under a DEC interim site management plan that's based on DEC's testing of the soil from a few years back. That's all publicly available. DEC makes it available. There's other testing that happens at NYCHA sites that's been a regulator that's publicly available, too. so it varies based on the project, the regulatory oversight exists for a particular site, and kind of what the particular project is that's happening, whether it's disturbing the soil. I know it's a hard answer, but that's really how it's-how we gauge it.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: But in terms of-- so for a project with DEC, they are in charge of reporting it. NYCHA doesn't necessarily say, hey residents, are you interested in your-- here's the link to where you can find--

2.2

2.3

CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] Yeah, I mean, so DEC-- so, for example, the Riis Houses is a former manufactured gas plan from the 1850s.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yep.

CHIEF GREENBURG: It's like portions of the basically northeast part of the property, the campus that are part of the interim site management plan. I think DEC has done of lot of sessions with residents. They should— I mean, it'd be great if they did more. We definitely talked to residents a lot about it, but yeah, it does kind of sometimes come down to different entities doing the testing, and I don't think we can— we don't always have the ability to say, okay, go to DEC, because I think it's a more confusing place even than just coming—

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing]

Totally, and if it's comprehensible, right?

CHIEF GREENBURG: Right.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: If you need PHD program to discern the five font of numbers that don't make sense.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, they're very long documents and they're sometimes challenging to

2.2

2.3

navigate. So, I think we can come up with ways to make it more accessible.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, I think the tenants merit that, particularly environmental justice communities, right? They are facing—that is only but one component of pollutants that they're dealing with on a daily basis and deserve to get the full scope of what is happening to them and their families—

CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] Yeah.

exposed to, quite frankly, in discernable ways. So I would love to see publicly available information for residents. I would like to go back to Ms. Elden's comments at the top of the meeting where she mentioned the replacement of, you know, these roofs. Can you walk us through what is NYCHA supposed to be doing in terms of informing residents, not only informing them of the entire process, but you know, the protocols and what will happen with seven layers of ancient roofs with a whole lot of asbestos to those residents, and not just the top two residents, right? The whole building, asbestos will fly in the air, so what's going to happen to those residents?

Well,

2

3

4

5

6

8

_

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

What can they expect in terms of information and support to ensure their health and safety?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND:

you've heard a lot today about new standard procedures that the Agency has rolled out, and that is also true for stakeholder management, stakeholder communication in the Asset and Capital Management Department. So what we're finalizing now is actually having increased touch-points with both the resident leadership and the residents and property management to make sure that everybody's really on the same page of projects. This includes during the planning phase, during the procurement phase, during when does the construction start and then at certain points during construction. The tenants association president is always invited to the recurring meetings, and there's also other touch-points with the residents during their resident -- sorry, their resident leadership meetings onsite, and with the stakeholders for that development which may include not just residents but community centers, senior centers, and other providers. with asbestos specifically prior to any abatement, NYCHA will use the services of an environmental service vendor, and

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

so these provide Department of Labor licensed
asbestos project monitors to oversee the abatement,
to operate and monitor the air monitoring equipment
and ensure compliance with all applicable
regulations. And before that, we have that step where
the contractor has to submit a plan to the
environmental compliance unit. So there's really a
multi-phased approach. that plan goes to the
Environmental Compliance Unit is and again, I'll
get back to you about the turnaround times, but
that's reviewed for compliance with all of the
Oversight Agency requirements to make sure that that
third party monitoring firm is appropriate and is
licensed, and then to make sure that the plan is
being followed, we have the oversight pieces of NYCHA
doing those unannounced visits in addition to the
project management teams.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And will any of the residents there have to be moved while they're making-- replacing these roofs?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: I

don't know enough about these projects, so I'd have

to get back to you. Typically, we do not have move

residents for a typical roof replacement. We're able

J

to put down-- we're able to require the contractors to phase their removal such that there aren't large swaths of roof that are not protected at any particular period of time, and we're also very cognizant of weather. So we try to schedule the roofing replacement so that it's not during the winter seasons.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, how does NYCHA ensure that the residents' air quality is safe? You have the contractor obviously say they're going to agree to these certain things, but is there any way that NYCHA actually definitely knows whether or not there is contamination coming from these projects? Is there any proactive monitoring happening?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: So again, we're dealing with the root source. So, at the project there have to be a dust mitigation and debris control measures. Those are overseen by the construction management or project manager who visit the site, and then we are, you know, held in-check by other parts of the agencies and monitoring that occurs with unannounced visits when we're giving feedback on the work that we're doing.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 167	
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: There's no is	
3	their air monitoring happening?	
4	CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, so if it's during	
5	an asbestos if it's containing contaminated	
6	material, obviously we have to do air monitoring	
7	during the abatement. If we're going to disturb the	
8	material, we have to air monitoring as well, which i	
9	not done by the contractor, it's done by a third	
10	party. So there's air monitoring. If it's specific	
11	to asbestos, that is a requirement that we have.	
12	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. So there	
13	will be air monitoring done here.	
14	CHIEF GREENBURG: I honestly don't know	
15	enough about the project to know	
16	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Sure.	
17	CHIEF GREENBURG: whether we've done an	
18	investigation for asbestos and we found it, but we	
19	can certainly look into it.	
20	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: If we can commit to	
21	Ms. Elden to certainly follow up with a clear	
22	protocol, I'll be	
23	CHIEF GREENBURG: And I will say yeah,	
24	it's also written [sic] by DEP [inaudible] which is	

true. So, the other thing I'll say is it's been

25

really heartening to watch for the ANCM [sic] team.

3 They do have these progress meetings now, and every

4 couple weeks the tenant association board is invited

5 to the meeting to kind of sit in on the project, hear

6 | what the two-week look-out looks like, hear about

7 kind of what's happening. So I've had a lot of

8 residents give me really positive feedback about that

9 process for some of the boiler projects. I do think

10 they're doing a lot more stakeholder engagement and

11 | try to keep people informed about what's happening,

12 | and it's really important because it's obviously

13 where residents live, and there's a lot of work

14 | happening at NYCHA. The good thing is we've got a

15 | lot of money, but not enough, but we've gotten a lot

16 and there's a lot more work happening. So the

17 | stakeholder engagement is catching up to all the work

18 | that's happening I think.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Unfortunately, I know Ms. Elden wants to jump in here, but I think it's against protocol Ms. Elden, so could we-- we're at the end, okay. Oh, yeah. Ms. Elden, as we close out the panel, I'm happy to have you jump in again, okay? Oh, yes, yes, yes. Thank you. Has NYCHA explored entering any partnerships with federal state

25

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2 agencies such as OSHA to produce standard
3 construction safety protocols?

2.2

2.3

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: Well,
OSHA is our Bible. We have to abide by OSHA
guidelines and requirements, and we measure our TCIR
which now, of course, I'm blanking on what it means,
but we measure our safety record according to their
rules. Generally speaking, NYCHA is safer than the
state and the country, but not always, and we're
working very hard to keep our safety record as good
as possible.

CHIEF GREENBURG: We're doing partnerships, I will say, all the time. So, like Joy said, we partnered at EPA at Red Hook. Vlada's team is partnering all the time with NYPA and NYCERTA [sic] on some sustainability initiatives. Anybody that wants to partner with NYCHA, our door's open, I promise.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, I guess similar to not only partnership, but what funding sources is drawing on in terms of like federal pockets of funding for any of these particular areas?

CHIEF GREENBURG: We're open to any funding source. So anybody's that's got money--

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 171
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] You
3	know, I appreciate that
4	CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing]
5	[inaudible]
6	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Everybody's open,
7	but not everybody is applying, right?
8	CHIEF GREENBURG: Apply [inaudible]
9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Like, this is a very
10	common response we get from agencies. We get the
11	response of oh, we need money but we'll never say it,
12	right?
13	CHIEF GREENBURG: [inaudible]
14	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And we'll take any
15	money, but we won't necessarily apply or there's no
16	real like evidence why we aren't maximizing
17	CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] Yeah.
18	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: drawing down on
19	different funding parts, and that could be for very
20	legitimate reasons, right? Like, not having adequate
21	staff to actually do that work, I don't know.
22	CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah.
23	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: But what we'd like
24	CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] I hear

you.

J

debate.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: to see is clear

evidence of where NYCHA is actually drawing down those pots of resources so that if there is an instance where we can complement and certainly advocate to maximize those resources like we do every single day, because we-- the situation is a real one, and we want to have the sufficient resources for our residents, right? So, I'd love to see very tangible evidence around what that actually is, where are we drawing funding from, where can we also partner with elected officials to make sure that they are weighing in and supporting those applications. I have never heard one of my colleagues say that they have weighed in to an application from NYCHA to a federal or state

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, we'll let Vlada talk about some of the grant applications we're putting in now. I will say we've won a lot of grant applications in the last couple years for HUD resources, for state--

agency around a particular program, and maybe that's

because I'm new. So that's the challenge for you to

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Yes,
3	and I know Vlada's the star candidate here, so I'm
4	not so sure.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Yeah, so we'll-- you might regret saying that because we might ask you for a quote now for every applications.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I want more. I want more.

CHIEF GREENBURG: Good. Go ahead, Vlada.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: My team

is actually applying for a grant today that's due at five o'clock, so--

team is good. So you brought your star player to the press, and you're the-- you're another star player, but I appreciate that. Tell us, because residents do want to know that, and I will say your work has been one of the few where it's like clear that that is happening, but we haven't been very clear about where we deploy our collective power to make sure that NYCHA is in fact maximizing resources from all these other venues.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Yeah, I think that there-- it's going to become even more

2	clear, right, because there's a lot of funding out
3	there, and my team together with, you know, my
4	colleagues here around the table, we're looking at
5	all the opportunities. There's a lot of funding
6	coming out of IRA, the EPAGHC [sic] fund, the CPC
7	funds, so we're watching every single opportunity.
8	We're trying to figure out how to go after it. We've
9	gotten quite a bit of it through the Weatherization
10	Assistance Program. You know, there's funding that
11	comes from the state and we match. We also finance
12	through the Energy Performance contracts and
13	partnership with HUD. So we're always very creative.
14	We got a letter of support from Ritchie Torres on the
15	Geothermal Grant that we applied for with the Federal
16	Government. We have a partnership with National Grid
17	that is demonstrating a thermal energy network. They
18	went public in the rate [sic] case, so that's out
19	there. That's what is it, 38 million dollars in
20	Vendelia [sp?] Avenue in Brooklyn. So, you know,
21	these are things that are, you know, they're small
22	on their own, but they add up and they're really
23	important. They're really important to our community.
24	so, you know, I think that it is a little bit easier
25	for me to go after this funding, because we've been

2.3

thinking about some of this work creatively and over the years, and to the extent that we can bring that value to our residents, it's really, really important. But it's not done just by my team. It's done in partnership and there's a lot of conversation around how do we be strategic about going after this funding.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT SINDERBRAND: And I think that's a-- you asked a good question in terms of support. so Vlada did not mention all of the applications she's been working on, on behalf of the capital group, but one of the challenges for NYCHA, particularly for the federal applications is if there's a local match, we're a federally funded agency, and so we find ourselves in positions where you have to apply for things maybe multiple years in advance, and align it with our existing capital program, and then you know, we really have to have a local match that we can rely on, and that's something we are very-- very cognizant of.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah. No, and thank you for pointing that out. I mean, I know these are not without complexities, and clearly NYCHA's one of the more complex entities because of its funding

1
_

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

CHIEF GREENBURG: Appreciate it.

structure and its actual structure. But I think the point I hope you hear is that we want to be able to support you, to support the authority to maximize any pot that it can to ensure that we get the best and fastest most effective services for the residents who in many circumstances are living in unacceptable conditions, just no other way to put it. And so--CHIEF GREENBURG: [interposing] Yeah, we agree.

that. SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: I don't

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So we thank you for

think I gave credit to the Deputy Mayor Josey [sic] of Operations. She's been supporting us also on many of the applications. I have to give her credit.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. Well, thank you. I think with that -- yeah. Yeah, I think --We'll follow up with some other additional questions later. Yeah, I think we'll turn to public testimony. Thank you all for bearing with us through these questions, and more to come. We will certainly follow up on the things we've talked about today.

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, we'll be moving
3	on to public testimony. We'll start with any member
4	of the public who are here present, and then we'll
5	move on to any members of public that are on Zoom.
6	Our first panel will be Michael Ohora and Lonnie
7	Portis, followed up by followed by Lavelle Shaw
8	[sp?] and Christopher Morris my apologies Porrie
9	[sp?], I believe. If you all want to make your way
LO	up to the desk, and then we can Start with Mr.
L1	Ohora. There'll be the Sergeant at Arms will have
L2	a timer, but we, you know, we will obviously the
L3	Chair will follow up with questions as she would
L 4	like. You can go ahead, Mr. Ohora.
L5	MICHAEL OHORA: [off mic] [inaudible]
L 6	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Mic.
L7	MICHAEL OHORA: Thank you. Thank you.
L8	Am I good now?
L 9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: You're good.
20	MICHAEL OHORA: Good afternoon. My name
21	is Michael Ohora. I'm a second-year law student at
22	the Elisabeth Haub School of Law Pace University in
23	White Plains, New York. I'm an intern for the New
24	York Environmental Law and Justice Project, also

known as the Environmental Justice Initiative led by

25

2 Mr. Joel Kupferman. Regarding air quality at NYCHA 3 properties, I wish to specifically address the Jacob 4 Riis Houses. Located on the Riis property is a state-5 run field site which has site code 231110. resiliency construction activities at this site has 6 7 caused the soil to be greatly disturbed and become piled up in front of the apartments and the windows 8 at the Riis properties. A 2007 report prepared for Con-Eddison took soil samples from the Riis property 10 and arsenic levels were found to be two or three 11 12 times higher than the threshold level for the DEC 13 soil clean-up objectives in nine of the 12 samples 14 Thus, NYCHA has notice of the alarmingly high taken. 15 levels of arsenic and other heavy metals within this 16 development. NYCHA's failure to ensure that the soil 17 is covered means that children are playing in it. 18 The soil's running off into the sewers, people can 19 walk through it and bring it into their homes, and it 20 can of course be blown into the windows and air 21 conditioning units as it quite literally right next to the apartments. We're exceptionally concerned 2.2 2.3 about the death of Ms. Josepha Bonet [sp?]. If I mispronounced that, I apologize. She was a Riis 24

project resident who died in October of 2022 with

four times the acceptable level of arsenic in her 2 3 blood. Her physician, Doctor Rain [sp?], of Mount 4 Sinai made the prudent request to the state and the 5 city to have all Riis residents tested out of concern following this patient's death, and failure to do so 6 7 is hiding the facts from Riis residents of what is in the air that they're breathing. He wasn't asking for 8 an entire evaluation, just a simple 24-hour urine collection which is standard for arsenic testing. 10 11 Inorganic arsenic is commonly found in rodenticides. 12 It doesn't degrade, and it can remain in the soil indefinitely. NYCHA has not taken any initiative to 13 test Riis House residents for arsenic, lead, or any 14 15 other heavy metals. According to the World Health Organization, the long-term health effects of arsenic 16 17 exposure include cancer, developmental effects, 18 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, adverse pregnancy 19 outcomes, and infant mortality. These air quality 20 concerns do not only impact the residents of Jacob Riis House residents, they impact the health of 21 construction workers for the resiliency projects and 2.2 2.3 Riis employees. OSHA reached out to NYCHA as part of the OSHA's Strategic Partnership Program and offered 24 to perform an inspection at no cost to NYCHA. 25

_

,

agreed to this, and then pulled out of this. Had they found anything, they do defer penalties to allow NYCHA to remedy the situation, and they hadn't. The New York State Constitution now says that all New Yorkers have a right to clean air, water and healthy environments. We are asking NYCHA to take this crisis seriously. One death is too many. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much.

Lonnie Portis?

LONNIE PORTIS: Good afternoon. I'm

Lonnie Portis, the Environmental Policy and Advocacy

Coordinator at WE ACT-- excuse me-- WE ACT for

Environmental Justice. I'll try to keep my comments

here brief, however, WE ACT will be submitting a

detailed written testimony after this hearing. WE

ACT convenes a group of members living in NYCHA

developments to discuss and organize around the

various environmental health hazards that currently

exist in our homes and communities. NYCHA faces many

problems and challenges as we discussed today, most

of which are tied to the fact that it has been

chronically underfunded for years. As a result, the

New Yorkers who ran apartments in NYCHA developments

often have disproportionately endured environmental

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

challenges such as mold, lead, asbestos, and pests, along with the substandard service in terms of repairs and basic issues. according to a NYCHA presentation given to Manhattan Community Board 10 on January 23rd of this year, out of the approximately 40 billion dollars in capital needs for NYCHA, over 40 percent of that is estimated to-- is estimated for remediating environmental health hazards like lead, mold, and pests all of which negatively impact health of residents, resulting in increased hospitalizations and medical expenses. NYCHA residents deserve to live in safe and healthy homes. Living in a healthy environment is key to gaining social capital and strengthening residents' physical and mental health. That's why WE ACT is testifying in support of Resolution 190 which would-- which calls for the New York State Legislator and Governor to do their part and invest in health and safety of NYCHA residents by providing additional three billion dollars annually to address capital needs resulting from decades of dis-investment into its building stock. We all know this type of neglect is considered unacceptable in private housing. It should also be unacceptable in public housing and the state must do right by public

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

housing residents. In addition, we strongly urge this committee to hold an oversight hearing on NYCHA's sustainability agenda which I quote says, "Details -- the agenda details the commitments that NYCHA will make over the next 10 years to improve resident wellbeing and operate in an effective and efficient landlord. This includes indoor air quality issues as mold, pests, secondhand smoke, VOC-- which are volatile organic compounds -- and lead." It's been six years. This council the public and NYCHA residents deserve to know how committed NYCHA has been to their commitments that they stated in their NYCHA sustainability agenda. Oversight and accountability is key to improving the lives of nearly 600,000 New Yorkers. Public housing residents should not be an afterthought to elected officials at the city, state, or federal level. There's no environmental social or housing justice if public housing residents are not included and prioritized. Thank you again, Chair Avilés and Committee on Public Housing, for allowing me to testify on such an important matter to WE ACT.

> COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Lavelle

25 Shaw? [sp?]

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LAVELLE SHAW: How are you? Thank you for having me here today. My name is Lavelle Shaw. I'm 26 years old. From what I gathered, the overall objective of this hearing is to ensure the, you know, the wellbeing of NYCHA residents, especially as it relates to the housing. I personally have been homeless since age 16 back in 2013, and I'm still homeless now. I'm in transitional housing, which is considered homelessness, where they're taking more than 80 percent of my income, while permanent housing is 30 percent of my income. It doesn't make any sense. So I'm wondering what's to be done about us millennials and Gen Z that are in record numbers becoming homeless, and really not much is being done about it but giving us the runaround.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, thank you. Thank you. Lavelle. Our last hearing, we actually talked about vacancies in NYCHA properties in particular, right? And we are in a serious situation around that. We have the largest number of vacancies we've ever had and a continued slowed process which is partially attributed to—from what is reported, the type of repairs and abatement that has to be done, and there's a—then there's a big question mark,

which we did not receive adequate response from NYCHA
around why we are in this particular situation or at
least an explanation that tells us this percentage of
units are out of commission for this reason, this for
this reason, this for that reason. We have yet to
receive that information from NYCHA. But I will say
to your point is that correcting that situation is
urgent. We need every single one of those units
exactly for someone like you who deserve to be in
adequate housing, not only in NYCHA, but in New York
City on the whole. So your question I think is not
only related to that issue, but to New York City. I
think what you are saying here in your testimony, and
thank you for that, is to say what is this city doing
for its young people to ensure that we are in safe,
dignified housing, and we are clearly not doing
enough. And that's going to require this mayor to
fully invest in not only supportive housing, but the
housing low income housing in general, right? And
I say that very specifically because affordable
housing means a lot to a lot of people and it's
mostly unaffordable to New Yorkers. And so it's a
the budget will be coming up and we will be fighting
about this budget around what the real commitments

2	
3	
1	

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

are to low income housing, to affordable housing. The policy commitments we're going to pa-- will we pass laws that will actually help, or are we passing fake laws that don't mean anything to real people, right? And are we making sure that our agencies are doing what they can? Do they have the workforce, right, that they need? Is HPD, the agency that manages much of the housing, is it fully staffed? Does it have the inspectors that we need to make sure that those units are okay? So there's an enormous amount of work to be done in this area, and I'm sorry that our city is failing you, and we are here working to fix that. I wish I had a silver bullet to say we're going to change your condition tomorrow. unfortunately do not, but I would love to continue to talk to you after this hearing and thank you again for your testimony. I just wanted to-- I'm sorry, get to Mr. Ohora, if you -- is that your name? Did I-

20

21

MICHAEL OHORA: It's Ohora.

22

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Ohora, apologies.

23

We've got a back track record here with names today.

24

Apologies, sir. Could you talk to me a little bit

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

_ .

21

2223

_ .

24

25

about some of the more alarming elements that you've seen in your testimony?

MICHAEL OHORA: Certainly. I think the most alarming thing is that the Doctor of the woman who died in the Jacob Riis Houses with this arsenic exposure, he did his due diligence. He reached out to the City and the state and told them that the arsenic may have played a role in this woman's death and he was ignored. The New York City Health Commissioner in a letter, which I have with me, told him it's their diet. It's the shellfish. seafood that they're eating and he's ignoring this publicly available data of the arsenic levels in the soil. It's almost a complete avoidance of NYCHA's responsibility to provide a safe, healthy environment for folks to live in and we really don't know how widespread this issue is because no testing has been There's been calls for this, but NYCHA has not acted on this at all.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: That is in fact quite frightening. I will say for the record, and obviously our condolences to the family, and you know, it is still yet a mystery why-- why NYCHA has not purchased these little air majiggys [sic], why it

2.2

2.3

has not allowed people to get tested to calm their sensibilities around whether it's the water or something else than arsenic, while they will say that, you know, obviously they have testified there was no arsenic in the water, yet residents don't trust it for very clear reasons. We have an enormous amount of work to do, but thank you for that. And obviously, we would demand that not only NYCHA, that every city and state agency that is dependent on public dollars respond to the public good and respond to the residents that actually make the government work. They have a responsibility to do that. So thank you for the work that you're doing, and we'll continue this fight. We appreciate you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to that panel. I'll call the next panel. Christopher Morris Perry [sp?], Annette Tumlin [sp?], Marquis Jenkins, and Laura Lugo [sp?]. If you could all-- anyone who's still here could come up to the-- Mr. Morris Perry, you can go ahead.

CHRISTOPHER MORRIS PERRY: Hi. Thank you for having me. And thank you, NYCHA, for staying, because I went to a committee meeting yesterday and after it was over with, they all left the room. It

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

just shows their seriousness. People don't take it too serious. I'm a little bit nervous when I'm speaking in public as you guys can tell, but my passion for change overrides that. I'm just trying to figure out. We hold a NYCHA meeting here, but it's no NYCHA residents in the back of me. trying to figure this out here. You understand? Ι'm also-- this just seem like a case of the police policing the police. Everybody's passing the blame on each other. The chickens could never come home to roost if this is continuing to happen. problems are not new. They've been going on for quite some time, so excuse me for my frustration, you understand? I want to bring up something else before my time expire. I am going to ask for some more time, because it's going down very fast. stipulation in the Section 8 NYCHA agreement. woman, and I'm talking about the elephant in the room, that black woman cannot have no man in their house while they're getting NYCHA-- while they on the NYCHA program, you understand? And after the Great Depression, Franklin D. Roosevelt, he came up with these programs to help the country and the economy. And in-- it was about 92 white residents,

CHRISTOPHER MORRIS PERRY: I can come

back and speak, I'm just upset. This brings me tears.

25

2.3

State residents and deserve that investment. So your

outrage is shared here for sure.

24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 191
2	CHRISTOPHER MORRIS PERRY: Thank you so
3	much. I appreciate it.
4	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And we will look
5	into we will look into that awful disgusting
6	provision. If we can find it in the books, it is
7	unacceptable, I agree.
8	CHRISTOPHER MORRIS PERRY: Okay. And I
9	can submit some documentation regarding that.
10	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Absolutely.
11	Absolutely. Thank you for that.
12	CHRISTOPHER MORRIS PERRY: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: You're a welcome
14	voice.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Having no other
16	public witnesses in the room today, we'll move to the
17	Zoom. First person on Zoom will be Christina Chase
18	[sp?], and then Ms. Elden, we'll call you after.
19	Christina chase, you should be receiving an invite to
20	unmute shortly.
21	CHRISTINA CHASE: Hi, good evening. Can
22	you hear me okay?
23	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes, we can.
24	CHRISTINA CHASE: Okay. I was just with

you guys actually, but I have a newborn home-- at

2 home, so I just went home. Thank you for everyone 3 who stayed. My name's Christina Chase, and I'm a 4 resident of [inaudible] Houses. I don't even know 5 where to begin. There's so much that I just learned today during the meeting, but my first concern is the 6 7 lack of oversight of contractors. In 2015, my 8 building, we experienced an asbestos abatement of our roofs. I'm on the top floor which is the sixth floor and all of that debris fell into my home, into my air 10 11 conditioner which I still have, actually. So I have 12 no idea what particles I'm still breathing in till 13 this day. But I have-- and I have photographs to substantiate the fact that all of this debris did 14 15 fall into our homes and into air conditioners, and so 16 I think it's important for us to rethink what 17 oversight looks like of these different contractors. 18 The same thing goes with asbestos abatement of the 19 apartments. Right next door, all of that exhaust is 20 going into the hallways where there's no window, so 21 there's no one keeping contractors accountable. And 2.2 a piece of paper to let us know that this work is 2.3 being done is not enough. We don't know the consequences of these abatements as residents, and we 24 should be fully informed. The second thing I wanted 25

but as a person with a newborn--

to bring up is although we did recently undergo a new
ventilation system, the upkeep hasn't been as NYCHA
says. For example, the dates that we were told a
worker was going to come and clean those vents,
people took the day off and waited and no one ever
came. This is not a first with NYCHA, but it's
becoming unacceptable, particularly under the guise
of them changing an act that is still the same. And
so I guess I just wanted to second someone else's
assertion that there should be a random third-party
testing of indoor air and outdoor. And then I think
Councilwoman Avilés, you brought this up, the light
towers that are used to provide lighting during
scaffolding and/or the police light towers that are
used to compensate for broken exterior light are
responsible for diesel emissions that are
complicating respiratory issues for youth and for
elders, as well as, you know, people my age, in
between. I think that those have to go and there
needs to be some kind of stipulation saying that
contractors are no longer allowed utilize those, and
we have to come up with like a plan b. I don't know,

3

expired.

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Houses in Queens.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time

CHRISTINA CHASE: I can't sit idly by

while I can actually inhale and smell the exhaust

coming from these light towers. And then lastly, I

wanted to speak to the fact that I didn't know until

today's meeting the negative consequences of using

temporary boilers. I'm still learning this myself.

I'm a person with a Master's Degree. It has nothing

to do with education level. It's about access to

information. And so I'm curious as to how we can

hold NYCHA accountable for A, using these temporary

boilers, but B, informing residents of the negative

consequences of these temporary solutions for

problems that have existed for decades. And I guess

that's all I have to say as of now, but I'm here for

any questions.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Christina, for your testimony and sticking around for

this hearing. Can you remind me what development

CHRISTINA CHASE: I'm in Ravenswood

you're in?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Ravenswood, got it.

3 Yeah, you're next to the giant NRG plant.

CHRISTINA CHASE: Yes, so we are known as "Asthma Alley" already. And I know that there's only so much that NYCHA can do from other institutions responsible for air pollution, but I'm here [inaudible] maybe there are things we can do immediately to address it on our different developments.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can you tell NYCHA two things -- that they are here in the room. have stayed and they have been staying at every hearing which I am very appreciative of, to listen to this testimony which is really important. Can you give us two concrete things you think would help to make a difference that they could do for residents in--

CHRISTINA CHASE: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: this area?

CHRISTINA CHASE: I mean, you just sort of reminded me. I mean, well first, there's actually informing residents of what they can do if they see any issues. I know it's already information overload with the different flyers, but I don't think that

2	people fully understand. Also, I think having someone
3	within the management office that can be responsible
4	for these kinds of complaints or grievances, because
5	a lot of time calling a number or even emailing can
6	be a bit daunting, whereas some folks are old-school
7	and prefer to just go in-person to say something. But
8	that leads me to my next point. When we were
9	discussing work orders during the hearing earlier, I
10	don't think fully understand the work order reform
11	that NYCHA has undergone in the last few years.
12	Specifically, residents don't know that you have to
13	call the Neighborhood Planning Unit to make an
14	appointment for say an exterminator. So, if a ticket
15	is created saying that this resident needs an
16	exterminator, a lot of residents they think it's like
17	the old system where once the ticket's in, NYCHA's
18	going to come. A lot of them don't know that once
19	that ticket's made, that it's up to them to call the
20	Neighborhood Planning Unit and create that to
21	schedule that appointment. Moreover, people in the
22	management office, the front desk workers, do not
23	fully understand that. And so when residents come in
24	with particular issues with contracted labor that
25	have to go through the Neighborhood Planning unit,

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

the staff persons don't know where to point
residents, and so I think there needs to be training
for staff persons to understand how to deal with
capital complaints and the different forms of labor
and how they're how maintenance is not the same as
a Neighborhood Planning Unit.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Christina, this was very helpful, and I absolutely 100 percent agree with that. Thank you for your testimony.

CHRISTINA CHASE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next witness on Zoom will be Beverly MacFarland, and then we'll hear from Dana Eden-- Elden, rather. Ms. MacFarland, you'll be receiving an invite to unmute yourself.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

BEVERLY MACFARLAND: Yes, my name is

Beverly MacFarland. I am the resident Council

President for Taft, Senator Robert A. Taft Houses in

East Harlem. It's just daunting how the residents

have testified today because we have an-- NYCHA has

an active consent decree that they have not yet

filled the obligation of their-- that decree, but yet

our local officials dropped the ball and had put this

2	Trust into place knowing there's negative impacts of
3	this trust for our development the developments.
4	At my developments, we are responsible to train,
5	educate our residents. NYCHA have left our residents
6	association leadership, too. It's like doing their
7	job. We are here educating and posting flyers for
8	things that they're doing. It's just it's just
9	daunting, you know. I'm not understanding why the
10	elected officials like our Governor as well as our
11	Mayor is giving public money to private entities as
12	PACT and RAD and now the Trust. This is upsetting
13	and it doesn't make any sense to me. Why is our
14	public dollars not being sent over to our Section 9
15	program? Why are they not saving Section 9? Because
16	that is the only low-income housing mechanism in our
17	city today, and we the problems that we having
18	right now in homelessness. We're affordable housing,
19	but housing affordable to whom? And we all know we
20	have these lottery that they you got to have almost
21	100,000 dollars to be affordable housing. NYCHA is
22	the only public housing is the only entity in our
23	city that will save our that we can save our home
24	as working New Yorkers, but yet, the gov the
25	politician some elected officials, assembly people,

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Ms. MacFarland.

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ms. Elden, you should be getting an invitation to unmute yourself.

DANA ELDEN: Thank you. Thank you again for letting me speak again, and I wanted to touch base on what the housing panel was speaking on in regards to the testing when you asked them about air qualities and such. So, for my development there was someone, I don't know from what agency. I'm assuming it was the EPA who came and took a roof sample. don't know which building they took it from, but the major issue here with the roof replacement is that for 15 months one of our buildings had a broken water tank on top. So it destroyed the structure of the roof, and in fact the contractor that did the roof tank, water tank, and replaced it had to shore up the roof so that the workers could replace the panels to the water tank for a new water tank. Also, it was discovered that many of the apartments, or one particular apartment underneath the water tank which was 21E was destroyed. So the resident had to be transferred out immediately. If you walked in the apartments, the water will come up through the tiles. There were plants growing out of walls and the The ceiling was almost due to collapse. So

there were numerous issues in that one building. so,
yes, there is a question as to how they're going to
take apart that roof when there was so much damage
done over a 15-month period of having a busted after
tank, and I am fearful since it is the first building
that they're going to do, as to how that's going to
work out. And if there will be any daily testing of
air around the building and inside the building as
they take apart that roof, because it's a piece
it's not even a level piece that you could say maybe
12 by 12 section. The roof is genuinely in pieces,
and this is why we've had so many problems with
leakages through the building and mold in some cases.
And I have pictures of a couple of the apartments
that were affected by that water tank leak. However,
I'm concerned where the panel from NYCHA says that
there's all kinds of funding available, but are this-
- is this funding for Section 9? We are a Section 9
development and do not intend our converting to
Section 8.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time is expired.

BEVERLY MACFARLAND: We have 210 Section

8 residents and the predominant are Section 9. We

have a 1,007 apartments. So this is a Section 9

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

development, and having the funding to complete this work, which I know that they have not foreseen in the future as far as cost will be available. And of course, there's other funding they need to be available for Section 9. That is public housing. That is public housing, Section 9. And what is done-- and at RAD and PACT, that's somebody else's business, but my business, my concern is for the residents of St. Mary's Park [sic] Houses. That is a Section 9 development, and that we get exactly what we need after being ignored for so many years since

the time the building was built. And I thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Ms. Elden. Thank you for your patience and all your work. And we will follow up on the air monitoring regarding whether it's going to be a continuous air monitoring at that site and where those locations So, we'll be in touch. And with that, our will be. hearing today concludes. I think what we have seen is there is enormous work that has been done, clearly, as one of the residents pointed out on the Improvements in many areas, and many consent decree. areas that still require enormous work to be done, but rest assured the residents are monitoring every

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING 203

single day and we will hold each other to account, myself and every other elected official, every person that works in every agency to make sure that we do the best by our residents and mitigate these harms, remove the harms. So, thank you, again, for your patience, and for being here and for your care for public housing. Thank you so much.

[gavel]

COMMITTEE ON PUBIC HOUSING

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 9, 2023