CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

----- X

November 17, 2022 Start: 1:15 p.m. Recess: 5:16 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Alexa Avilés

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Ayala Charles Barron

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Darlene Mealy Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Pierina Ana Sanchez

Julia Won

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Aixa Torres Resident Association President of Alfred E. Smith Houses

Marquis Jenkins Residents to Preserve Public Housing

Ann Valdez Community Voices Heard

Manny Martinez

Denise Allen NYCHA Resident

Barbara McFadden CCOP Chair Brooklyn South, Vice Chair for Citywide Council of President

Ukah Busgith NYCHA Executive Vice President for Resident Services, Partnerships and Initiatives

DaVida Rowley-Blackman Senior Director of Resident Participation and Civic Engagement

Brian Honan Senior Vice President for Intergovernmental Affairs

Simon Kawitzky Vice President of Portfolio Planning

Marvin Walton
Deputy Chief Operating Officer at NYCHA

Danette Chavis La Guardia Houses Tenant Association President

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Karen Blondel Tenant Association

Beverly MacFarlane Taft Houses President

Tamika Mapp State Committeewoman $68^{\rm th}$ Assembly District

Terry

Rakhil Tilyayeva Manhattan Legal Services, Tenant's Rights

Carmen Quinones

Dana Elden St. Mary's Houses Resident Council President

Samantha Guzman Fordham Law Student

Russell Taylor Council Committeewoman 69th District

DJL7 Street Activist

Mildred Martinez Campos Plaza Resident

Crystal Glover Washington Houses Resident

	4

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Good afternoon and welcome to today's hybrid New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Public Housing. To minimize disruptions, please place all electronic devices to vibrate or silent mode. If you'd like to submit testimony you may do so via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again that is testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your cooperation. One moment.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [gavel] This meeting is coming to order. Good afternoon everyone and welcome to this hearing of the Committee on Public Housing. I am Council Member Alexa Avilés, and I chair the Committee on Public Housing. I'd like to thank you all for attending this important hearing, and I would also like to thank you for your patience as this hearing was rescheduled from a previous date. We are joined by my colleagues Council Member Charles Barron, Council Member Carmen De La Rosa, and Council Member Lincoln Restler. So the subject of today's hearing is resident engagement at NYCHA. This is a broad topic, but long overdue. The last time this committee conducted oversight over any element of resident engagement was April 2019 in a hearing on

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

tenant participation activity funds. Federal rules, otherwise known as Section 964, lay out specific requirements regarding tenant participation in housing, and I'd like to begin by reading the purpose statement of that section. The purpose of this part is to recognize the importance of resident involvement in creating a positive living environment and in actively participating in the overall mission of public housing, actively participating. To me, this means having a meaningful voice at the table, having full representation at the table, and having decision-making power at the table. As the Chair of this committee, I often hear NYCHA residents' concerns that this purpose is not met. Residents are not adequately informed about major policy decisions regarding their homes. There are also ongoing concerns about deficiencies in voting processes for choosing who will represent residents in their respective resident associations. I have actually witnessed these problems first-hand. While there may have been some steps forward, we seem to be stuck, and despite NYCHA having piloted more robust partnerships with engagements with community-based organizations, showing actual improved results.

2	These lessons seem not to stick. In preparation for
3	this hearing, I reviewed some press articles as far
4	back as 2015 and earlier, citing the very same
5	frustrations around resident engagement and the cycle
6	of distrust that this particular problem perpetuates.
7	An organized, well-represented tenant voice is one of
8	NYCHA's greatest assets, and it also seems to be one
9	of its greatest fears. If the authority truly seeks
10	to preserve and improve public housing, it must lean
11	into not only engaging but truly empowering residents
12	through ensuring that they are not just being heard,
13	but they are in decision-making roles. More
14	recently, the process around the public [inaudible]
15	Trust has been [inaudible]. In the summer of 2020
16	when we were at the height of the pandemic, NYCHA
17	blindsided residents by announcing this plan. Early
18	this year, the state enacted legislation to allow the
19	creation of the Trust, and NYCHA has pointed to the
20	fact that the version of the legislation that passes
21	allows for resident engagement in the process. For
22	example, this version supposedly allows tenants to
23	vote, opt-in, to the Trust. NYCHA is currently in
24	the process of adopting rules for this voting
25	procedure, but there are many issues with these

2	proposed rules, and I expect to address these
3	concerns today. I look forward to hearing from the
4	NYCHA residents who have joined us this afternoon. I
5	want to thank the incredible, committed, and talented
6	residents who despite little resource and support
7	have jumped into leadership through associations,
8	through roundtables, through surveys, and through
9	just standard community engagement. Over these weeks
10	I have heard many agencies use the word complex as a
11	cover for the hard work that needs to take place.
12	Complex, as a scapegoat to avoid shifting power.
13	Complex, as a way to keep the status quo. I submit to
14	you, there is nothing complex about empowering
15	residents. It is a decision and a commitment. We
16	will take engagement, but what we want is
17	empowerment. There is nobody better situated to help
18	the members of this committee and decision-makers at
19	NYCHA to understand the issues so that we can
20	ultimately work together to improve the living
21	conditions at NYCHA. I would like to thank my staff,
22	Christina Bottego, Edward Cerna [sp?], James
23	Neimeister, along with the Public Housing Committee
24	staff, Audrey Son, Jose Conde, Ricky Chawla, and Dan

Kroop, for all the

from conception, and that's not us-- that's not the

2 case, right? And the issue that we're having with 3 this Preservation is that we were not included from 4 conception, and now we're at this voting thing and we're still not included from conception, and the 5 problem is that NYCHA is asking for 10 percent based 6 on their data, based on our elections, and I venture 8 to say that what needs to happen is that it should be no less-- I think it should be 100 percent, but sometimes we have to compromise, and I would say 66 10 11 percent, but no further than that. You cannot have--12 yesterday, we were in a meeting, and so one resident 13 got up. She goes, "I only have like 150 units." That 14 means that 15 people can make the decision for 150 15 families. That's what we're talking about when you 16 look at the real numbers, right? And so it has to be more than that. And in terms of resident engagement, 17 18 this is no reflection on the staff, right? This is a 19 reflection on the Chairman who doesn't even live 20 here, and I would venture to say that I don't 21 understand how that happened, but the people who are running NYCHA, it's not working. 2.2 I believe in 2.3 resident management. I think that when residents-when residents run NYCHA, which it has in the past, 24 NYCHA was better for it because we had to reserve of 25

2 like in the billions of dollars, and where is our

3 money? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you, Ms.

5 Torres.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MARQUIS JENKINS: Thank you, Council Members, especially Councilwoman Alexa Avilés, for holding this very important hearing today. You all have a copy of our testimony as well as a copy of our recommendations as it relates to the New York City Housing Authority's draft voting regulations. My name is Marquis Jenkins, and I'm here representing the Residents to Preserve Public Housing, or RPPH. submits this written testimony to stand with public housing residents citywide who have constantly organized to make their voices heard and exercise decision-making power in their communities and within the Housing Authority. For years, NYCHA has maintained a formal resident engagement department, but despite its mission has repeatedly proven itself to be inadequate in addressing resident concerns and empowering residents towards collective decisionmaking. Most recently, this status quo of superficial resident engagement has been on display through the ongoing PACT conversion, despite resident opposition,

2	such as in Harlem River Houses among others. The
3	passage of the Public Housing Preservation Trust,
4	despite widespread resident opposition citywide and
5	even formal written opposition from the Housing
6	Authority's officially recognized resident
7	representation body, the Citywide Council of
8	Presidents, and the delayed and unclear
9	communications surrounding potential public health
10	crisis on display at the recent water supply concerns
11	at Jacob Riis Houses. NYCHA's Resident Engagement
12	Department claims that residents are at the "heart of
13	NYCHA," but instead of residents being at the center
14	of the Housing Authority's plan, residents are often
15	the afterthought. Within NYCHA's consistent
16	commitment to streamline and improve such dramatic
17	institutional restructuring in the face of years of
18	vocal disapproval as well as obscure and potential
19	health risks, it is clear that residents should have
20	little confidence in the integrity or effectiveness
21	of NYCHA's current model of resident engagement. I'm
22	going fast forward just to the end of my
23	presentation. Due to the due to the consistent
24	patterns of shortcoming in NYCHA's resident
25	engagement model, it is clear that this process is in

dire need of redesign for its resident empowerment,	
leadership and decision-making at its center,	
benefitting the residents' role as the heart of	
NYCHA, as such until a robust resident-led redesign	
of NYCHA resident engagement is complete, it is	
unconscionable for the Housing Authority to continue	
with any ongoing or upcoming public housing	
conversion through RAD, PACT or the Trust in additio	n
to any resident engagement efforts, and NYCHA must b	е
accompanied by a renewal investment in public funds	
to facilitate this redesign, but also to address	
repair, maintenance, management concerns within	
which would allow residents to make real decision in	
their communities without the cohorts [sic] and sub-	
standard living condition. As a first step towards	
creating a meaningful resident engagement, RPPH call	S
for 225 million budget allocation to facilitate a	
resident building developing and sustaining a	
resident management corporation through a combinatio	n
of start-up funds, vocational training, and	
establishing a new department of resident management	
to provide centralized support for RMCs at NYCHA's	
communities across the City. Thank you.	

2.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

UNIDENTIFIED: This on? Oh, good, great. Good afternoon to the Public Housing Good afternoon. Committee of New York City Council and to honorable Council Member Alexa Avilés. Thank you so much for holding this. I would like to start off my part of the testimony with NYCHA's mission where it says to provide quality housing to New Yorkers that is inclusive, that is safe, and that it is sustainable while fostering opportunities for economic mobility. Now, this speaks to what Section 9 public housing is, which is not Section 8, and we continue to see this comparison as if Section 8 and Section 9 are apples to apples, to which they're not. Section 9 invests into the people that live in public housing and to the community, and that investment, that return on investment was to be seen in the rent, but NYCHA has been treating Section 9 public housing like Section 8 for the last 30 years which is why it has not been fulfilling its promise, not because it's deficient, but because the obstruction from the public housing agency in New York City and their greed does not allow it to happen. When you look at Section 3 and the multiple billions of dollars of contracts that

trigger Section 3, you do not see the result that's

intended in regards to training, which there is none
in which I receive from the General Counsel of NYCHA
saying that there's no obligation for training, even
though that's countering the part 135 and part 75
regulations regarding Section 3. When you look at
also home ownership programs in which you have 100
and not you. NYCHA has 130 boarded up FHA homes
and they have over 5,000 households that are paying
flat rent, and they're not offering those home
ownership opportunities to these households. When
you look at how NYCHA has been engaging their
responsibility into Section 9 for the households, it
has not been met, and this has been huge, huge
obstruction to the families who are predominantly
black and Hispanic who are living in public housing
throughout the five boroughs. Let's also pay
attention that each even though not every public
housing development is in a redline community, but
every reline community has a public housing
development in it, as well as the fact that in 19
in 2021, US UCLA has identified New York City as
being the most segregated school system in the
country. Most of those schools are adjacent from a
public housing development. And so now, we fast

2	forward to today and the recent occurrences that's
3	happening with the vote that they're now trying to
4	propose and their conversions to private management
5	under project-based Section 8 or for NYCHA to
6	continue its management under Section 8, or what they
7	call a status quo which is to maintain Section 9
8	public housing which they have not allowed for the
9	return in investment to actually flourish into our
10	community. resident management is also a part of
11	those strategies that would allow for the
12	redistribution of federal funds to come into our
13	community and affect the people who it's supposed to
14	affect, which is the public housing resident. We
15	look at how NYCHA's resident engagement and outreach
16	has disadvantaged and is currently dismantling
17	Section 9. We have to consider recent activities
18	that's happened in citywide elections, of which I was
19	a candidate of, in which I ran, and there was a 55/54
20	election count. Now, mind you, I proved to them that
21	they engaged in election ballot stuffing. They did
22	an online registration for online voters to vote, and
23	when you have more votes than you have people who've
24	registered online, then that means that that was
25	ballot stuffing. If you have 60 people who

2	

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

registered and 100 people who voted, that is what election ballot stuffing is. And then they also tried to hold a ballot that is supposed to be void. And I gave these protests, and I also -- evidence precedence in election law for New York State, and what they did was they sent it to HUD when I requested was it a 964 independent arbitration. sent it to HUD in order to park the protest so that they could push forward this Trust voting policy without anyone being vocal enough to bring attention to what they're doing. And even though in the Trust voting policy, they said they're going to give thirdparty arbitration, and these types of protests still go, still has to go to NYCHA. And so if they're able to do this now with this kind of protest, doesn't matter how many third-parties we have, they would still be able to put their hands on the scale and continue to abuse our communities, and this has to stop, and I thank you.

ANN VALDEZ: Good afternoon. My
testimony is going to be slightly different, because
mine will be a little more personal, hopefully not
depressing. Good afternoon. My name is Ann Valdez.

I am a third generation resident of Grayson Houses in

which I also sit on the resident board. During the
past 20 years, I've also been an active member of
Community Voices Heard. First and foremost, I would
like to thank the Council for this opportunity to
testify here. I have been a life-long resident of
NYCHA. I have a clear recollection of when the
relationship between management and residents wasn't
contentious as it is now. Growing up it was evident
that this place I called home felt more like a
community. The local patrolling officers knew the
people in the neighborhood by their names, which
created more of an overall comradery. I moved into
my residence in 2005 as a victim of domestic violence
and was handed keys to an incomplete apartment. Many
things needed to be fixed. Many things needed to be
fixed, as my windows, the closet doors, even the lock
in my front door. NYCHA personnel stated they were
coming to do the repairs. They fixed my front door
cylinder and one window with springs to prevent it
from slamming. They still managed to neglect a lot
of the improvements that needed to be done in my
house. Whereas there was supposed to be contractors
working on the roofs to prevent leaking, and instead
it leaked so bad that it destroyed the apartment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

above me and started to do severe damage of my apartment. When I reported it and put in a ticket in July of 2011, they gave me a date that they were going to come to look at it and repair it in December of 2012. By that time, New York City had already been hit by two hurricanes, an earthquake and a tornado. Nothing got done. The destruction became so bad, and NYCHA wasn't listening, and I also at the time was living under the Department of Social Services, so I had to run over to their programs, and I didn't have time to sit home because when NYCHA said they would come, they didn't come. Alright, so as a result it took-- I'm living there now almost 18 years. It took them approximately 17 years and with the help of my State Assemblywoman Doctor Mathylde Frontus and the media to finally get someone to pay attention, and then there was a group that came that was supposed to be put together from the courts with all the complaints that NYCHA residents were making. They were called -- I forgot the name of the group. Anyway, they finally came and it took them two weeks to renovate my apartment. It took NYCHA another three weeks to put in a radiator, to repair a radiator. So five weeks I was out of my home, and by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that time I had so much mold that was in my apartment I was breathing that I now have to live my life quite often during the year -- every month I spend at least two weeks out of a month on a nebulizer, taking all types of medications. My son who will be 24 tomorrow has only spent, prior to the fixing, had only spent maybe a handful of times in his own bedroom, because I feared for his health. If it hadn't been for my Assemblywoman, I would still be living in those conditions. NYCHA did not listen. Instead, my assistant manager who is now my manager spoke to me like I created the problems, like I wasn't worthy of living there, and even threatened that with those conditions I could be evicted, even though it wasn't my fault. And I plead with you, please understand that these so-called improvements or switching into Preservation Trust is not going to benefit the residents, not in the state that it's going. We need to make sure that the residents have more of a say than as they say the color of their walls. We need to be a part of the planning, and I would appreciate if we could keep it as Section 9, which really represents and looks out for the residents instead of the management. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We're also hearing from Denise Allen [sp?] and Barbara McFadden via Zoom.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: The first panel, I'm sorry, we wanted to ask a question. I'm so sorry.

Council Member Barron, go for it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: First of all, your testimony is the most powerful testimony we will hear today, so I'm glad you did make it personal, because all of this is personal. People have to live like that. I grew up in the Lillian Wald Houses on the Lower Eastside for 30 years. My momma was there for 60 years. Jacob Riis was right across the street, and we have little stuff here and there with them, but I know what it means to be neglected like that. So I just wanted to commend you for coming forth and all of you, and to say we have over 500,000 residents, over 500,000 residents. Please don't allow them to manipulate you against each other to take power away from you. So, they gonna [sic] come with all these fancy things on how wonderful PACT/RAD and the Trust is. Don't trust the Trust, because I'm telling you, when it comes to -- in my district where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we have RAD and PACT and Linden Houses and Boulevard Houses, they having all kinds of problems, and these superficial fix-ups where they give you new, you know, awnings for your sink or whatever, but a year later -- this is why it should have never been moved from Section 9 to Section 8. And if you are going to move it, move it to the residents. Move it to the residents.

> Thank you. UNIDENTIFIED:

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And we should not allow them to tell us that residential management can't happen. We can get lawyers. We can get accountants. We can get people who know construction developers. All that others are getting, we can get. They don't know And I've met with developers. nothing, they just have connections. Anybody could hire a construction worker. Anybody can hire a lawyer or accountant. That ain't no big thing. You ain't gotta [sic] go to Yale to do that. So, I just wanted to come because I do have to get back to East New We have several issues we gotta deal with, but I wanted to be here with you today to say don't give up the fight. Don't give into the Trust. Don't give into PAD-- PACT. Don't give into RAD. These are

2 de

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

destructive forces and politicians in Albany are going to come in and say look what we got for you. The feds didn't do nothing. The state didn't do nothing. The city didn't do it. Look what we got. And they going to offer these things, and then after the first year, if we make it then, all hell's going to break loose. So, I just want to encourage you to fight on. You know we going to be with you. You could not have a better Chair of this committee than the Chair that we have. I really appreciate this sister so much, because it's not easy to be in here and say some of the things that we say. So I just wanted to say that. I can stay around for a little while longer and ask you how close are we of having a citywide -- I know they have an organization already, but where is that at, the citywide residents, you know, leadership coming together, because that's where our power is. Is there anybody--

UNIDENTIFIED: I think we could do it.

MARQUIS JENKINS: So, I'm definitely going to tip it over to Mr. Mannie Martinez, but I represent the residents to Preserve Public Housing, and we are a citywide group, and in partnership with many other groups we have been fighting the fight,

2.2

and if it were not for our group, this legislation
would have been passed in 2018. And I we take
credit for making sure it is not destructive as when
it was written when it was first written. And to
Manny who sits on the Citywide Council of Presidents,
he was one of the people who drafted the letter on
behalf of the officially recognized body. The
residents the Citywide Council of Presidents to
write a letter objecting to this legislation. so we
are here and we're still moving, and we'd love to
have you come and speak on one of our Sunday meetings
to talk to the residents, to uplift them and let them
know that we do have strong support standing with
Councilwoman Alexa Avilés and the City Council.

I'd be glad to come and I want to thank the Council

Member to say these few words, and say that when we

get that—because when that legislation is passed—

the bottom line is you. When I go into my

neighborhoods—but they manipulate the residents so

skillfully and put fear in them. You're going to

lose your lease. You're going to be kicked out if

you don't' vote for this and that. So sometimes even

the residents are saying, hey, we want it. So my

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 position is that I don't think we should accept any 3 three of these Trusts, RAD and PACT. If you do, 4 then we need to get together to make sure you're not harmed by it. If we can't stop it, then we got to 5 make it work for us. And I think that we cannot go 6 7 for politicians that are just coming in and saying 8 that this is the greatest thing that ever happened since sliced bread. But we have to make sure that the residents not have engagement, that have power as 10

the Chair said has power. So my thing is say no to

all of it, but if you do I'll be there to fight with

you to make it right for you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I just want to underscore why it's important to have colleagues like Council Member Barron who has your back, because I want to thank you all for sharing your stories and your experience. And thank you for your consistent advocacy and holding us accountable, and making sure that we don't get fleeced with pretty stories, but that the reality is in the room. And so I just wanted to ask you very quickly before we move to the next panel. And if we could— if we could try to answer as briefly as possible, and maybe we can do each of you. I know you are long-standing residents.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

How many-- what would you-- what grade would you give resident engagement for NYCHA, and what suggestion, one concrete suggestion would you offer?

ANN VALDEZ: Okay, this is not a

reflection on the staff, but I would definitely give it an F, because you have certain staff members that do resident engagement, true, but it is the people who are on top who are calling the shots, and what needs to happen is we need to be included from conception, not at the end, not show us a PowerPoint. This is what we want to do, how we want to do it, The conception pieces, something needs to get right? done, what are your ideas? Can we think-tank, right? What is it that -- what are the steps that need to happen to make this happen? But when it comes to resident engagement, the-- it's not there. It's not-- the word engagement is not there. It's like a hand-me-down, right? It's like they show us a PowerPoint, then we're supposed to critique it, and it's somebody else's work. It's not ours. And I don't think that people understand that, right? There's some people in resident engagement that I would give an A to, right? But the reality of it is, as a whole when it's being done, it's not-- we're not

2.2

2.3

part of the process from conception, and that is the issue that a lot of people actually don't understand. And I've been— you know, I've been told by somebody who's not in resident management but who handles, supposed to be, that her biggest problem that we—we're not sophisticated as residents to understand, and that's her biggest issue. Yeah. And so when you have that kind of mentality and it trickles down to the staff, then that becomes an issue. Thank you. And Councilwoman, I want to thank you for being an advocate for the residents of public housing and holding these hearings and respecting what we have to say.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Mr.

Martinez, what grade would you provide and what

concrete solutions would you offer or approaches that

could be changed?

MANNY MARTINEZ: So, public housing has an exclusionary list that if you commit a crime, you will be excluded from the grounds, public housing grounds. Now, given that resident engagement recently conducted in election fraud, I think they should be excluded from the grounds of NYCHA. They get an F. When any organization or entity or group

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

engages in any kind of illegalities in order for them to get their way, that's an F. I think that what we need citywide from a help-- like it's not something that can happen within NYCHA because NYCHA's workplace culture and mindset has been so tainted for so long that it is almost impossible to change that from within. I think that what we need is a citywide initiative, a nonprofit initiative that's going to provide these resident councils with nonprofit funding, with nonprofit establishment with nonprofit support so that they can become the independent resource and representation for these communities with the most power as possible in collaboration with the City Council. And I think that would be the most effective route to go. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you.

AIXA TORRES: Well, I agree with what

Manny has said with one thing he left out. Through

the nonprofit collaborations, I believe that it

should be a nonprofit that trains the council, the

Resident Engagement Council on what it is to be a

leader and how to represent the residents you're

supposed to be representing instead of them sitting

around like-- instead of them sitting around waiting,

waiting to be told from the top of NYCHA down what to say, what not to say, what to tell your resident leaders. No, I believe that it should be a nonprofit, and I have a couple of them in mind, that should be able to teach the resident, the resident engagement how to truly engage the residents and have an open conversation, speak their minds, talk about what's needed, and not let it be told to them so that they have to carry it to the residents without any actual input.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. You'll have to indulge me just one small bit. By a show of hands, can-- I know you again are long-standing leaders. How many of you have received workshops around resident engagement? And tools? Let the record show--

ANN VALDEZ: [interposing] Their idea—wait a minute. Their idea of a workshop is that they send an email to my TA president and she tells me and the rest of our board, that we have to get online for a zoom meeting and basically they're telling us what needs to get done, how it gets done. That's their workshop.

2.2

2.3

2	AIXA TORRES: As I as I said, you know,
3	that some of the staff would get an A. I my
4	experience has been that we've gotten that kind of
5	training in terms of leadership and things like that.
6	So NYCHA does have staff that has the capacity and
7	the capability, but most of the ones who do that,
8	guess what? They're residents in public housing.
9	There's a sense of respect that a lot of times we
10	don't get. And so when I say when I say that, I'm
11	saying, you know, once again, that the people who are
12	on the top in NYCHA who are running NYCHA, basically,
13	some of them have never lived in public housing,
14	don't have a clue what it's like to live in public
15	housing. So when you have staff that's in resident
16	from resident management who have been public housing
17	residents or who still are, there is a sense of
18	ownership and there's a sense of respect that you
19	get. So I have had positive, right, reflects [sic]
20	I'm not going to say. And that's why I said like
21	some of the staff members, they absolutely get an A,
22	but as a whole, the whole concept of resident
23	management, it is what it is. It's not. Thank you.

you for that, Ms. Torres. It's important to note,

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Thank

24

right, that there are staff members, I think, that

are doing excellent work and trying their best on

constraining conditions. Nevertheless, the top line

issue here is a culture of a lack of accountability

and belief in resident engagement is what it seems.

We'll talk more about and get some more specific

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

information from NYCHA. So, I think I'll leave it at there. There's a-- oh, yes. MARQUIS JENKINS: I just want to take the opportunity to piggy-back on what was said. I think it would behoove us to remember that there are

yet we never hear from them, and that' snot because of their effectiveness. That is because of the way the structure is set up. They serve at the will of the Housing Authority and at the will of the Mayor. And in terms of my recommendation for what needs to

residents who actually serve on the NYCHA board, and

are the majority of the board to really make sure that there's true decision-making and accountability

be done, is we need to have a board of residents who

coming from the residents.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Very critical addition. So, I think with that, I think we go to the Administration. Thank you so much.

_

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll hear

from Denise Allen and Barbara McFadden. Ms. Allen,

Okay. Hello all. My name is Denise

we'd like to close and move to the next panel before

you may begin when you're ready.

Allen. I have been living in-- I'm a tenant in NYCHA public housing since 2019. I always believe that living in public housing would be a comfort living environment, an easy way to live, but that has not been the case. Since moving in my apartment, I have more complaining-- complex [sic] living in my development. It has got to stop. [inaudible] I am a wheelchair bound-- I am a wheelchair person, [inaudible] that reside on the eighth floor in a development that has [inaudible] frequently out-of-service elevator. [inaudible] at times missing my appointments, especially my medical appointments going out doing [inaudible].

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll now hear from Barbara McFadden.

DENISE ALLEN: [inaudible]

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 33
2	BARBARA MCFADDEN: Good afternoon,
3	everyone.
4	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Good afternoon. We
5	hear you.
6	BARBARA MCFADDEN: Hello?
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear.
8	Ms. McFadden, you may proceed.
9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, you're muted.
10	Can somebody please
11	BARBARA MCFADDEN: Hello everyone. Can
12	everyone hear me?
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes, we can hear
14	you.
15	BARBARA MCFADDEN: Hello?
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We can hear you,
17	please proceed.
18	BARBARA MCFADDEN: Hello?
19	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, we can hear you.
20	BARBARA MCFADDEN: Okay, alright. Good
21	afternoon, everyone. My name is Barbara McFadden. I
22	am the CCOP Chair for the Brooklyn South, and I'm the
23	first Vice Chair for Citywide Council of Presidents.
24	I'm very thrilled to stand before you to inform you
25	how I feel about the new resident engagement

participation and civic engagement team. Even though
I have been the resident leader for 14 consecutive
years at Sheepshead Bay, Nostrand Housing, I had the
equal opportunity to work with the old Administration
and the new Resident Engagement Civic Engagement
Team. I am very pleased with the new team because
they are hands-on now. They're very proactive, fair
[inaudible] the next 50 or 60 years I may not be
here. I'm glad that I have the equal opportunity to
work along with NYCHA to bring forth change so that
every resident can have a better quality of life.
[inaudible] I had a problem with [inaudible]. I
reported the I reported the issue to my citywide
[inaudible] resident engagement [inaudible] because
one thing I'm [inaudible] tolerate is inappropriate
behavior [sic], because it goes against the
[inaudible] conduct, and they did handle it
[inaudible] immediate response. I want to give
thanks to a few people that I work with on a couple
of projects. Her name is Ms. [inaudible], Curtis
Williams, Gregory Russ, [inaudible], Erica Desus
[sp?], Brian Holden [sic], Arva Turner [sic],
Courtney Yoo [sp?], Andrew Kaplan, Mr. Danny Barbara
[sic], and last but not least, I want to give all

breaking up.

minimizing crime, because no residents can feel safe in New York City Housing Authority if the crime rate is up. We need to have those crime interrupters on the ground, and I did bring this issue to our mayor, Mayor Eric Adams, and maybe at the next hearing, we can invite him. I yield.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you, Ms. McFadden.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I do have to get back to East New York, but I want to say this and honestly compels me to say, I have not met a person in the Housing Authority, Ms. McFadden, across the City that thinks Greg Russ is doing a good job.

[applause]

OUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I haven't met one. That's number one. Number two, I really think that he should be fired or resign because of incompetency with such a large access to resources, and lastly, I agree with you about the violence interrupters. We need to have more of them in our neighborhoods, and I think also when we talking about crime, let's not forget to deal with poverty, unemployment, and mental health. You said invite a mayor. This is a mayor who cut the education budget

on Public Housing, other distinguished guests,

2	distinguished members of the City Council, NYCHA
3	residents, community advocates and members of the
4	public, good afternoon. My name is Ukah Busgith and
5	I'm the Executive Vice President for Resident
6	Services Partnerships and Initiatives. I am pleased
7	to be joined today by DaVida Rowley-Blackman, our
8	Senior Director of Resident Participation and Civic
9	Engagement; Brian Honan, our Senior Vice President
10	for Intergovernmental Affairs; and Simon Kawitzky,
11	Vice President for Portfolio Planning. Thank you for
12	this opportunity to discuss our robust efforts to
13	engage and partner with NYCHA residents as we build
14	stronger communities together. We understand that
15	partnership with our stakeholders is fundamental to
16	improving the quality of life in NYCHA communities.
17	In particular, we know that resident engagement and
18	outreach is key to our success. Our Resident
19	Services, Partnerships, and Initiatives, RSPI,
20	department is solely dedicated to this work. Every
21	day, its more than 250 passionate staff connect NYCHA
22	residents to critical and empowering programs and
23	services while also engaging them on agency and
24	community priorities. RSPI also supports the
25	extensive network of resident associations and

2 manages partnerships, programs, and initiatives 3 involving economic opportunity as well as senior--4 youth, senior, and social services. Beyond RSPI, 5 resident engagement and outreach is woven into the fabric of our work, whether it's communicating about 6 7 repairs, the new capital preservation programs that 8 will fully rehabilitate and upgrade residents' homes, our latest-- or the latest sustainability measures we're implementing. To keep residents informed, last 10 11 year alone we published over 460 articles on our websites, drafted dozens of resident emails and 12 13 letters, released 45 videos, translated over 2,700 14 documents, fulfilled over 400 interpretation 15 requests, and posted over 3,600 items on social 16 media, and regularly distributed robocalls to nearly 17 320,000 phone numbers. This same year, our Customer Contact Center, CCC, handled nearly two million 18 19 Every time there is a relevant service calls. 20 outage, we post flyers at developments and 21 disseminate robocalls to residents. Our monthly rent inserts provide information by mail to 105,000 2.2 2.3 households and to over 58,000 households online. All of the vital information we disseminate is available 24 in the covered languages of English, Spanish, 25

Simplified Chinese, Traditional Chinese, and Ru	ssian,
and we provide interpretation services as neces	sary.
Oftentimes, we conduct direct outreach to resid	ents.
on important topics through door-knocking. We	meet
and speak with resident leaders on a very regul	ar
basis, and we offer opportunities for residents	to
get involved through platforms such as resident	
associations and the Resident Roundtable. To en	nsure
that RSPI is successful in its work to empower	
residents and have their voices heard, we recen	tly
restructured the department, in line with our o	verall
efforts to transform NYCHA's organization and	
operations. We also aligned RSPI with our new	
Neighborhood Model for operations, streamlining	the
communications involved in supporting residents	. RSPI
partnership-based service coordination model in	volves
more than 150 community-based partners. Former	ly
known as Community Engagement & Partnerships, R	SPI.
supports residents, partners, and the Authority	
through the following sub-departments: our Resi	dent
Engagement our Resident Participation & Civic	
Engagement focuses primarily on coordination an	d
partnership with resident associations, but als	0
outreach to all residents on policies and initi	atives

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

that impact their lives. Our Resident Outreach
Services focuses on engagement with residents
surrounding NYCHA's preservation, rehabilitation, and
modernization programs to educate about the impacts
of these programs on specific developments. Our
Relocation Services Department helps provide families
to temporary hospitality units or hotels to
facilitate repairs in the apartments. It reaches out
directly to impacted families and develops relocation
plans, considering residents' special needs,
preferences, and any services needed. Our Resident
Economic Empowerment and Sustainability Department,
REES, supports residents involved in employment and
advancement programs, business development, adult
education and training, and financial literacy and
asset building. Health Initiatives manages
partnerships and leads interagency efforts to
conduct connect residents to preventive health
resources, create healthier indoor environments, and
cultivate resident leadership in health. Our Family
Partnerships Department connects residents to
critical youth and social services program, also
including seniors, from external providers and City
agencies. The Office of Public Private/Partnerships

2	builds collaborations with public with private,
3	public, and philanthropic sectors to support NYCHA
4	goals of preserving public housing and improving
5	residents' access to community-based services and
6	opportunities. We cannot transform NYCHA without
7	input from residents and other stakeholders. There
8	are several organizations that facilitate partnership
9	and resident engagement, and I'll explain a few of
10	them now. Resident associations, composed of elected
11	members, are a critical avenue for residents to
12	support each other through events and connections to
13	resources. They also enable residents to collaborate
14	with NYCHA staff at every level, ensuring that they
15	are part of the decision-making process at
16	developments and across the Authority. Over the past
17	few years, we have made sure that resident
18	associations have a place to meet, and we implemented
19	a series of election reforms in partnership with the
20	resident associations to increase resident
21	participation. That includes virtual voting, more
22	open voting, and regular civic engagement events. I
23	am pleased to report that over 250 I'm sorry. I'm
24	pleased to report that 249 resident associations'

elections have been held across the city since 2020.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

Currently, a total of 249 development, NYCHA
developments, have resident associations. To build
strong and productive relationships, property
managers are expected to meet monthly with resident
association leadership. In fact, our Neighborhood
Model facilitates enhanced coordination between
resident associations and property management staff.
Resident also associations also work closely with
property managers to review and inform priorities
within the local property-based budgets. Our work
with resident associations also involve the
distribution and management of tenant participation
funds. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
Development, HUD, provides tenant participation funds
to support the work of resident associations in
strengthening and supporting their communities. For
instance, for educational classes and workshops,
information dissemination and resource fairs,
development clean-up days, and resident association
office supplies. We implemented several reforms to
improve the process for disbursing funds. For
example, we updated the written agreement between
NYCHA and resident associations on the use of the
funds, based on comments from resident leaders and

advocates; revised the plain language guidebook that
explains the funding process it's available on our
website; Streamlined the process for spending funds
by introducing a commercial card that resident
associations can use to approved purchases up to
\$5,000; created online tip sheets to help resident
associations make the best use of the funds; and
Increased transparency by posting online quarterly
budget reports that list the funds spent and still
available by development. We know the transition to
this new system of funding was a difficult adjustment
for some associations. Of course, we are open to
incorporating additional feedback from residents and
other stakeholders on how to improve the tenant
participation funds process. Every president of a
recognized resident association is a member of the
Citywide Council of Presidents, CCOP, which is
organized into 10 districts. Resident association
presidents elect the Board Committee to represent
their district and the CCOP Executive Board. The
CCOP works with NYCHA leadership to address quality
of life issues at NYCHA developments, advise on
operations, and engage with government at all levels
to advance the interests of NYCHA residents. Members

of the DCOP and CCOP Executive Board automatically
become members of the Resident Advisory Board, RAB,
which primarily provides recommendations for NYCHA's
annual and five-year agency plans. To further our
partnerships, last year NYCHA and the CCOP signed a
new Memorandum of Agreement that officially
recognized the CCOP as the Authority-wide voice for
residents and formalizes its role in advising on all
areas of NYCHA's portfolio. Specifically, the
agreement establishes a framework for NYCHA and CCOP,
specifically sorry. The agreement establishes a
framework for NYCHA and the CCOP to work together to
enhance the Authority's operations and management,
amplify resident participation, and better address
the issues that are most important to residents. The
agreement also outlines how NYCHA and the CCOP will
share information and communicate as we jointly
reimagine NYCHA's future. I would like to
acknowledge all the CCOP members, including CCOP
Chair Daniel Barber, for their efforts here. I would
like to note that while the CCOP has long worked with
NYCHA on issues affecting residents, this is the
first time NYCHA and the CCOP have had an official
agreement since 1993 To further illustrate the

2	progress we're making, NYCHA and the CCOP used to
3	meet twice a year. We are now meeting with the CCOP
4	every week. We have begun a new HUD-funded training
5	program for resident leaders and employees on this
6	new agreement, as well as the federal 964
7	regulations, which govern resident participation in
8	all aspects of the Authority's mission and operation.
9	Our goal is to train residents and employees side by
10	side so they learn together and jointly understand
11	the expectations for that partnership that are shared
12	by NYCHA and CCOP executive leadership. Last year,
13	the Resident Roundtable launched last year, the
14	Resident Roundtable provides an opportunity for
15	residents to help design the implementation of
16	NYCHA's Transformation Plan. It is more than its
17	more than 30 members represent each of the
18	Authority's Neighborhood portfolios as well as
19	Section 8 voucher holders in PACT developments. They
20	provide valuable feedback and recommendations on
21	matters such as lease enforcement, residents' quality
22	of life, safety and security, communication between
23	residents and NYCHA, and other areas identified by
24	residents and staff. We work we work intensively
25	to keep residents informed, and solicit their

2	feedback on key initiatives that include PACT, the
3	New York City Public Housing Preservation Trust, as
4	well as other as our Sandy recovery and our capital
5	project more broadly. Based on the feedback we hear
6	from residents, community members, and other
7	stakeholders, we make ongoing improvements to ensure
8	that resident expertise and input are in the center
9	of the PACT planning process. Resident leaders now
10	participate in selecting the developers, general
11	contractors, property managers, and social service
12	providers who will renovate and maintain their
13	developments. They review proposals, interview
14	development teams, and help us select the partners
15	that are best suited to serve their community. The
16	PACT Resource Team pairs residents with trusted,
17	third-party advisors and consultants to support these
18	efforts. To engage and inform residents about PACT,
19	we host meetings and info sessions that feature live
20	interpretation, and we provide printed materials,
21	videos, and online resources that are available in
22	multiple languages. Residents also have access to a
23	dedicated PACT hotline to ask questions and discuss
24	concerns. Our engagement on the Trust, which was

authorized this summer by the State, involves regular

2	town halls where residents get to get their
3	questions answered. We have also provided a suite of
4	materials explaining how the Trust works, including
5	how it will bring billions of dollars of
6	comprehensive renovations to NYCHA developments while
7	maintaining residents' rights and a public workforce
8	and keeping their properties 100 percent public.
9	Residents will also be part of the process for
10	selecting vendors to perform the rehabilitation work
11	and can serve on committees that check the quality of
12	this work. Residents will be able to choose whether
13	or not to include their developments in the Trust.
14	We recently presented for public comment the draft
15	Trust opt-in voting procedures, which were developed
16	in collaboration with resident leaders and policy
17	advocates. These are just some examples of how we
18	engage with our residents on major policy, capital,
19	or operational initiatives. We are grateful for your
20	support as we engage NYCHA residents and improve
21	their quality of life. We are committed to
22	comprehensive communication with residents, and we
23	strive to incorporate resident voices, ideas, and
24	perspectives in our work. We look forward to renewed
	1

partnerships with residents and other stakeholders,

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 50
2	including members of the Council, and we continue to
3	make progress as we continue to make progress
4	together. Thank you. We are happy to answer any
5	questions you may have.
6	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much,
7	and we have many, many questions for you. So, I
8	thank you for your patience. Let's just start with
9	kind of the big picture aspects here. What is the
10	overall budget of resident engagement?
11	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Our
12	budget is approximately 50 million dollars, 29
13	million for staff, and 20 for 20+ for OTPS
14	expenditures.
15	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, you said 50
16	million I'm sorry, [inaudible]
17	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
18	[interposing] Approximately 50 million.
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 50 million?
20	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: 30 for
21	staffing and 20 for OTPS expenditures.
22	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 30 for staffing and
23	29 for OTPS.
24	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

Twenty, sorry.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 51
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: That would equal 50.
3	Thank you. Had some math problems. How many
4	staffers does NYCHA resident engagement employ?
5	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
6	Currently we have 273 staffer with about 16
7	vacancies.
8	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 16 vacancies.
9	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are these all full-
11	time positions?
12	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How many are NYCHA
14	residents?
15	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: We
16	have 43 NYCHA residents employed in our Department.
17	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, 43 of the 278.
18	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: 273.
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 273. Do you know
20	how long what is the how long the NYCHA resident
21	who are employed in resident engagement have been
22	there, like their annual retention? Have they been
23	part of the Agency for a long time?
24	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I

don't have that particular detail broken down,

see what the breakdown is--

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:

2.2

2.3

3 [interposing] Yep. We can get you that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: in terms of the organizational roles. Can you describe to us how the Department is organized to address the needs of the residents?

is organized to service residents in several capacity, and I mentioned some of the Departments under the seven sub-departments so we can relocate residents, connect residents to employment opportunities, provide social services to address hoarding conditions that we were finding in the unit, relocate residents to address mold or lead abatement issues or asbestos, and we connect residents to health initiatives or preventive measures. An example— one example for our Health Department, our Health Initiative Department is to work on our smokefree initiative where we educate and bring resources to residents who may desire to cease smoking.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, seven subdepartments. I heard one relocation.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

Relocation, and then we have our Office of Public

Private Partnership that may bring resources to our residents whether it's tickets to a particular cultural event or apply for grants and bring additional partners to support the various initiatives at other priorities for NYCHA. Our Resident Engagement Department, of course, work with our network of resident associations and also bring support policies and enhancement in terms of connections to services. And our Resident Outreach Services Department engage residents around PACT/RAD conversions, and that their sole mission is to engage residents on every single aspect of that program in support of our-- with support from our Real Estate Development Team. And I think the Family Partnership, of course, they provide social services and I think I mentioned everyone [inaudible]. So, those seven departments, whether it's providing employment opportunity or social services for residents in supporting their tenancy is our goal.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, in terms-- so, the Resident Engagement is a sub-department within the resident engagement overall. How many people actually work on resident engagement?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 55
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I'll
3	pass to DaVida.
4	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: Hi
5	everyone. DaVida Rowley-Blackman, Senior Director
6	for Resident Participation and Civic Engagement, and
7	a former resident of Seth Low Houses. We, when we'r
8	fully staffed, we'll have about 70 on the team.
9	Right now we have approximately 60. So we do have
10	vacancies that we need to fill that are probably the
11	bulk of the overarching department.
12	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. So, 70, and
13	the 70 really are in charge of the resident the TA
14	election.
15	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: The
16	Resident Association, the elections, the family days
17	engaging residents on priorities of the Authority,
18	including the Trust, and any events that are
19	happening throughout the Authority.
20	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And how do you
21	distribute the 70 individuals over 335 developments?
22	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: It
23	really depends on the nature of the engagement. So
24	depending on the engagement, we will prioritize
25	staffing. Staff are dedicated to specific boroughs.

2.3

In the case of the resident associations, they have specific set of development RA's that they're charged with working with and assisting with TPA family days and any support that they need.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Do you think that is a sufficient level of staffing for the charge?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: I think the overall Department— so, we're engaging residents on particular areas such as RA and events, but when you look at the overall Department and the staffing of 273, I do think that we can make it work. As far as engaging residents, of course, you know, more staff is always great in order to deepen our reach with residents and really address some of the challenges that we've heard today and that we've been hearing, of course, over a period of time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: But for the resident engagement, in particular, with the 70 that are allocated, do you think that is sufficient amount to efficiently, you know, conduct the work that is being charged?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: I think a higher number of staff would help us to go even further.

1

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What do you think

3 4

response.

the number should be?

5

have a number in my head of what I think it could be,

6

but happy to think about that question and provide a

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: I don't

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I think it's clear that it's insufficient, given the early feedback, and certainly to recognize the work that is happening, I think-- I'm sure many of your colleagues in resident engagement would be welcomed having additional capacity and support, and I think it is okay to say that we need more capacity in the areas that directly touch residents.

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: you.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So, I just want to point out that it really should be noted that there is no dedicated federal funding to resident engagement, right? So this is money that comes out of NYCHA's operating funds. Years ago it was something known under the Clinton Administration as drug elimination money. That money was zeroed out in the early 2000s. So, the work that Ukah and DaVida's

just to clarify, there were 75 staff positions in the old RE Department when you adopted your 2021 budget.

Now there's 273 in the newly restructured department.

How many new roles are in the RE that have been added during the year? Or are these staff being reshuffled around with fewer-- no new positions?

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Can you clarify the number you referenced, Council Member?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: The 75 staff positions were from the 2021 budget, and then we saw

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 59
2	the newly constructed department being 273 which is
3	the staff line items that you noted. So I guess what
4	we'd like to know is oh, in the 22, right, the
5	Fiscal 22, the increase was to 273.
6	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
7	Instead of 75?
8	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah.
9	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, I
10	think that number might have referenced the specific
11	resident, the former Resident Engagement Department
12	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Oh,
13	maybe that's
14	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
15	[interposing] and so yeah
16	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: the sub-department.
17	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yeah.
18	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, okay. Thank
19	you. Thank you so much. In Fiscal 22, the Resident
20	Engagement Department saw an increase in contract
21	expenditures from 35,000 dollars in the previous
22	Fiscal Year to 519,000 dollars, an increase of
23	484,000. Can you explain what accounts for this huge
24	jump?

were not part of the ERAP program.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

Initially we were applying for ERAP, but--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Well, everybody applied, but it was clearly that we were last. NYCHA was last on the list if any money were to become available.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: still wanted to be-- to ensure that residents who are eligible can apply in case the state decides to fund them. And we also usually have a door-knocking contract for our annual plan in terms of led engagement to ensure residents who have-- our residents six or under complete a survey to let us know whether they have a household member under six.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Is that contract someone you've been using? I guess, who's holding the contract, and have you been using them for a long period of time.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: just want to clarify, you know, we will get back to you if that's the same contract. Our team negotiated and awarded the contract and work with our lead team in our CEO's office.

centers and daycare centers, and we work with those

T know

2	
3	

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

organizations to support their outreach to ensure residents are aware of some of the programming they offer. So, yes, we're continuously working with all of our CBO organizations. We have close relationships with their sister -- the agencies that are funding them, and want to ensure that it's providing the best services to our residents, and absolutely, on any given day I may receive 20 to 30 phone calls from CBOs or emails requesting support, and we're readily available to provide that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. In terms of-- in terms-- you reported in your testimony, you know, all the published articles on the website. will say your website does have an enormous amount of information on it, relatively impenetrable. Have you looked at the analytics of your engagement and the efficiency of your engagement? We have, you know, all the requests. You have all the out numbers, but is there any assessment around the analytics of who looks at those articles? How much engagement are you having from residents on all the website stuff?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: our Communications Department do look at that. I don't know, Brian, if you have more information.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Yes, we do keep track of, and we don't-- we can provide the numbers to you. We do keep track of the number of

people who follow us on social media [inaudible]

opener [sic], the emails that we send in terms of--

and also the number of people who use the MyNYCHA app

which is getting close to about 100,000 unique users.

And so all this digital communication, we do have

track of that, and we can definitely give that to

you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, we'll dig in a little bit later around that particular. NYCHA seems to most frequently employ two tools for resident engagement, flyering [sic] and robocalls. Has NYCHA ever measured the effectiveness of these tools, and what is the cost that it has dedicated to each of these pieces?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: terms of -- we do flyer and at one point we conducted a survey where residents told us that they prefer to receive a flyers in terms of communication. We do door knock and provide information to resident on specific initiatives, especially our PACT/RAD program, and--

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] So who's responsible for the flyers? Are you talking about individual flyers to a resident or you're talking about putting them up on the building?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: We do a combination of both. So, if--

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: What time of day are these door knockings happening? Is it happening usually during the daytime? Because often times residents are at work or do you just slip the flyer under the door?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: do all of it.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ:

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

Sometimes we door knock. We'd once [sic] -- if we're doing a survey, for instance, we'll door knock and ensue that the resident is available to answer questions. Recently, for our application to a HUD grant, we had to survey over 50 percent of residents, and particular development that we're engaging, and those were door knocks, and we had to do weekends if necessary, evening hours or day hours. So we understand we have a large workforce of residents who

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 67
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I
3	Council Member, I don't have that number right now.
4	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Could you
5	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
6	[interposing] But we have
7	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: provide us of what
8	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
9	[interposing] Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: the annual budget
11	for robocalls are?
12	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: We'll
13	follow up.
14	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great, thank you.
15	We'll pass it on to Council Member Ossé.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you, Chair
17	Avilés. Often times, I mean, the I've just been
18	listening to the testimony. Whenever we have these
19	advisory boards and we get, you know, our NYCHA
20	residents involved, sometimes they feel like they are
21	speaking up about the issues that they are
22	experiencing, but they're not heard in terms of the
23	solutions that are provided, and I wanted to start
24	off by asking how exactly are residents providing

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

2 their input on the Resident Advisory Board and at 3 NYCHA Roundtables?

So, the NYCHA Advisory Board comprised of resident associations who are representing the districts. So we have the CCOP organization. There are 10 districts across the City. In those district we elected— we elect officers to serve the— for example, Manhattan Nor— has a North and South District. So, those 10— those 10 districts, they have a combination of maybe six or seven members. Some may have more, some may have less, but those bodies of resident association members comprise of the RAB. And so the RAB group, the Resident Advisory Board, meets to inform NYCHA on their annual plan submissions to HUD.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: And do you have specific examples in terms of how NYCHA has used and implemented the resident's input and recommendation into their work?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, the annual plan-- and again, we do have a public meeting annually where residents and community and even elected officials could provide comments, and

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

every one of those comments or requests for
additional funding are supported even regarding
repairs. Our team prepare responses and provide
feedback directly to residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: And how often do these roundtables and other resident meetings happen?

Did you say every week now?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: The CCOP meetings— the CCOP meets with NYCHA on a weekly basis, every Thursday during the day at 2:00 at their request and they provide agenda items. Since the RAB, the Advisory Board, supports NYCHA annual plan, there's a schedule of meetings, and we are in discussion with the CCOP and how we can make it more effective, meaning should we provide trainings, do we do a combination of in-person and virtual meetings so they can get more information and provide feedback to our team.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: And do you know if these roundtables are happening at the same frequency across all NYCHA developments?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, the RAB is a different body of residents who are volunteering to serve on the roundtable, and we have

know, my residents in Albany Houses are not the same

Of

that individuals that live in Sumner are having.

3 course, there's some commonality between the two, but

4 obviously there can be some issues that are very

5 | diverse per NYCHA establishment.

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Right. So, this is just one group. I think for engagement around, particularly Sumner and other developments, the resident associations are the ones that will represent that development. The roundtable will look at a neighborhood, the roundtable member and all the developments in that particular neighborhood and try to audit with their needs may be around safety and security and then provide us some feedback. So, I think there are distinct groups, right? We have the roundtable. We have resident associations, RAB advisory boards, and CCOP.

resident association meetings, I've been to plenty in my district, and it is rare for me to see NYCHA staff at meetings and if staff shows up, it's someone from the management who shows up. Has any staff that isn't part of the on-site management team, for example, higher ups from the agency, come to our RA meetings?

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes,
3	so the RA meetings are the RA meetings. So NYCHA
4	can't just, you know, invite themselves. Resident
5	associations will invite who they would like to
6	present. So for example, they may invite someone from
7	the heating team right before winter, or they may
8	invite the elevator department, or our team, or the
9	Chair, or the CCO, and I've seen that happening
10	across the City. So it's up to the resident
11	associations to invite NYCHA staff to address the
12	specific concerns in their development.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: And usually NYCHA
14	staff
15	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
16	[interposing] Will attend.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: attends if they are
18	asked?
19	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes,
20	absolutely.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: I also want to say,
22	every time I head over to an RA meeting, residents
23	will tell me how they have not been heard by NYCHA,

nor has anyone been transparent with them, especially

fix that.

_

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:

okay, great. Thank you for that question. We really appreciate the opportunity to address you. I'm Marvin Walton. I'm the Deputy Chief Operating Officer for NYCHA. We take this manner very seriously, and each and every time we get such complaints, we do proper follow-up and we investigate to make sure that the work that was to be done is done. If it's not, we do have a team that goes back out and follow up with the resident. So we do take it very seriously, each complaint that we receive like this.

council Member Ossé: And can you elaborate on what that follow-up looks like? Is that door-knocking. Is that robocalls? Is that, I don't know, personal flyering to individuals that have these complaints? What does that look like?

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:

Absolutely, with our new work order reform process

that we have, each of the residents are left with a

slip that has a number for their neighborhood

planner, and/or their secretary. So we have

resources that are available to work directly with

the resident. They do not have to call our customer

care center, contact center— they contact their

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

neighborhood planner and secretary to have any of those matters addressed in reference to their work orders.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Wait, so their neighborhood-- they're supposed to contact their neighborhood secretary to-- for the follow-up? Or the neighborhood secretary calls them?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:

Member, thank you so much. So, the complaints that you hear are valid because they're true. And for far too long, the burden on getting complaints satisfied has been on the resident to follow up. Work orders were closed without work being properly done or at work being completed. As part of the transformation plan that we put together under the 2019 HUD Agreement, we have come -- and we'll be happy to come to your district to talk about this. It is a program that is not finalized yet, but it is a work in progress. It is called Work Order Reform. Under this plan, we have put together smaller districts within neighborhoods that each have a neighborhood administrator and a neighborhood planner. In the past, when you would call for a complaint -- say you had a hole in the wall, right? And you'd say,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

[inaudible] calls the customer contact center and says I got a whole in the wall. It needs to be completed. Somebody form NYCHA would come, look, and they would say yep, you have a hole in the wall.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Or they won't come.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Or they wouldn't correct, yes. Or they wouldn't come. Under the new system, it is the burden-- and the onus is not on the resident to get every step of the way that repair completed. Somebody will come. Say you have a hole in the wall. You need a plumber to fix the broken pipe that caused the hole in the wall. You need a plasterer to come after that to make sure that the hole is sealed once the plumber, you know, fixes the issue. And then finally, you need a painter to paint the room to make sure that it looks decent. All of that needs to be worked out with the planner at a time that works for the resident. Just the way I would want it in my home if I was having repairs done in my home. I couldn't come-- I wouldn't tell a contractor to come whenever you feel like, or when a time that works for them. It is a time that works for the resident.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: I'm so sorry Chair.

When is this plan? First and foremost, when is this

plan expected to be finalized?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: It is still a work in progress, and as I said, we will come to your district to explain. We will come to you personally to explain it. We come to your tenant leaders to explain it. Tonight, I'm going to be in your district at Albany Houses. You asked if senior staff go to developments. I go-- I'm at developments at least three times a week. Each of the residents behind me, I've been their developments. In Council Member Avilés' district tonight we'll be in Red Hook West, as well. NYCHA staff are often, you know, at resident association meetings. I've been to every single development in your district's tenants association.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Brian, can you-- can you tell me with specificity of the 335 developments, what percentage is fully operational under this new model?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So we started in Queens's end and Staten Island and it is not fully-operational. I would not present it to be

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 78
2	that way. It is still a pilot in place, and I think
3	this is something that we heard residents say. It
4	should the burden should not be on us to make sure
5	that our repairs are complete. The burden number
6	one. It should be a partnership. We hear from day
7	one. We explain to you what the issue is, and we
8	come up with a schedule in order to get the repair
9	completed.
10	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, the so, just
11	I want to make sure that I'm hearing you correctly.
12	So for the record, this new model, neighborhood
13	model, has not been implemented fully anywhere?
14	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So, we do
15	have neighborhood planners in every single
16	neighborhood throughout the City, and it is in its
17	infancy in other places. It is not it is in all
18	five boroughs. We did start in Queens and in Staten
19	Island, but I would not say I would not say it is
20	right now fully operational. It is in its infancy.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, so what
22	developments is it is it
23	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:

[interposing] Each neighborhood like Red Hook has,

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 79
2	you know, a planner, and I'll make sure that you have
3	that person's name.
4	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: No, no, no. I want
5	to know specifically what developments. You said
6	Staten Island and?
7	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Staten
8	Island, Queens where we first started, but
9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Right.
10	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: every
11	single
12	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] What
13	developments is it operational in in Staten Island
14	and in Queens?
15	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Everyone
16	has
17	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing]
18	Everyone in Queens and Staten Island
19	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:
20	[interposing] And Staten Island, that's correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: is under this plan?
22	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: That's
23	right.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. It might be in Red Hook, but I'll tell you my office fields this consistent very issue every single day.

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:

So, if you don't mind, Brian, let me speak to that to give the full clarity. Work order reform is a part of the transformation plan for the neighborhood The neighborhood model has rolled out completely across all -- we call them four boroughs, because we combine Queens and Staten Island. They're together as one. So the entire neighborhood model and the work order reform has rolled out. Queens and Staten Island was initiated, was the initial in 2020-- in late 2021, early 2022 and throughout this year each quarter a new borough was introduced to the neighborhood -- to the work order reform process. Manhattan went last. So we are fully operational in all the boroughs, and it's a work in progress, because we're continuing to improve. Throughout this process, the residents are more involved. I stated earlier that residents now have a direct resource to use, and as Brian stated, that is correct. In the past, a lot of the burden has been on the resident, but now we have dedicated resources that can work

promise in this model, but telling us that it is

operational in all five boroughs when your colleague

24

2	just said it is in pilot phases in two boroughs and
3	not fully implemented is a very confusing situation.
4	So, I think we need to get our stories straight. We
5	understand that you've adopted a model and it takes
6	time to operationalize it, and we know there are
7	shortages. We have, you know, specific trades that
8	are in particular need like plumbers, but I think we
9	have to, you know, be realistic in how we are
10	painting this picture. I think the desire is to get
11	there, but we are not there. So, I'd like to move
12	DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:
13	[interposing] Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: because obviously we
15	could have a hearing all day about repairs. And it
16	is
17	DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:
18	[interposing] Exactly, I agree.
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: the most persistent
20	issue that residents face on a daily basis. But I'm
21	going to pull us back to the resident engagement
22	piece, and this is clearly all inter-linked, right?

How we engage spans every issue that is confronting

NYCHA and its residents. But I want to just get back

2.2

2.3

2 to resident management corporations. Can you define
3 resident managed corporation for us?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Sure. So a resident management corporation could take on many different forms. It could be residents decided they want to manage an entire property. It could be that the residents want to manage a certain aspect of a property. So, say like janitorial, you know, duties. It could be that the residents want to manage a community center. So, it is not just one size. It is dependent on what the residents themselves would like to do and the plan that they put together.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Fantastic. What resources has NYCHA committed to establishing resident managed corporations?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So under the current HUD rules right now it says that residents may, you know, deal with the Housing Authority in order to form a resident management corporation. To date, there is one tenant association in New York City that we are currently engaged with in order to from a resident management corporation. They have a limited corporation in mind. They would like to manage the janitorial

services in their development. We are working very closely with them, including, you know, giving them outside independent help as well in order to make sure that they're successful.

interesting because in one of the resident roundtables, 48 percent of the responses had indicated that they would be willing to even help and maintain their own buildings. So, it's interesting that there's one TA that is pursuing that in earnest. So, in terms of— so are there dedicated resources within the NYCHA budget within resident engagement that is specifically allocated to resident managed corporations.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: so,

Council Member, our RES [sic] team is actually

working on a training and develop a white paper

around resident management corporation and in the

process of meeting with internally to determine a

work plan to implement those. We understand there's

one at the-- one development that wants-- that are a

little further ahead, but we did have some interest

from some developments in Brooklyn and others and

we're working on a training-- on developing training

[sic] is in the process of developing a training. Is

that the full scope of the work dedicated to resident management cooperation at this point?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: At this point we developed a paper and we're now trying to identify the type. We identified some of the trainings that are recommended. We want to fund it and create a roll-out plan. So that's where we are in the process.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Have you received inquiries from residents about resident managed corporations? And so in terms of right now, in terms of the one resident managed corporation that is looking specifically at janitorial services, what's the level of engagement that is happening there? I think you started to explain that, Brian, but if you could color that a little bit more for me.

you can also add to, but the TA President there and the residents in her development who have been supporting this idea meet regularly with-- at the time, it was Daniel Chirad [sp?] who was our COO at the highest level and including Ukah's team. So there are regular meetings. The conversations have been round like what would this-- it has never been

done in New York City. In fact, nationwide I believe there's only one resident management corporation in existence right now. And so it is, you know,—— the conversation on would be, you know, who's responsible for what, what does the funding come with, you know, look like, you know, how do you actually put this thing together? So it is in its infancy, but it is at the highest levels of operations, those conversations.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: And one of the requirement for HUD is that 51 percent of the eligible residents to vote must support the RMC. So it's just-- actually, it's 50 percent of the residents plus one must support the RMC in order--

that is— thank you for that, because— and yet, the Trust is proposing 10 percent. I— I— there is a full disconnect with the expectations here. Yeah, I almost have to leave it there. We'll get back to that. In terms of— you mentioned, I know there was the memorandum of understanding that was newly created for the CCOP, and actually a good number of revisions to the bylaws relatively recent, and an

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Again,

our goal is to have CCOP at the table, and so

24

engaged.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. I just-- I'm

just trying to be clear. And in fact, with all the

24

Т	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 91
2	residents engagement bodies, the roundtable, CCOP,
3	the TA's and probably many other iterations of
4	residents that have come together, including
5	potentially even the board which is not a majority
6	residents, I think. How many how many residents
7	sit on the NYCHA board?
8	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Three.
9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Three residents out
10	of how many?
11	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Three
12	residents on the NYCHA board.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: so, in no area is
14	there any legal binding authority for NYCHA to
15	actually listen to residents?
16	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So,
17	the residents are part of the NYCHA board. They vote
18	on all
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Sure,
20	but they're not a majority.
21	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: No,
22	they're not.
23	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And they're
24	appointed by whom?

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: The

3 Mayor.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. It's not a democratically elected body of residents that are appointed by their fellow residents. It is—- it is an appointed body. So—-

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:

[interposing] Council Member, it's no different than any of the other board members, and their vote is the same as any other board member's. so, the resident's vote is the same as the Chairs vote, is the same as anyone else on that board, and they were all appointed by the Mayor.

Standard model, you're absolutely right to point out that is not distinct from any other bodies. It holds the same problems of an appointed body that doesn't reflect a democratically-elected body of people who are supposed to be represented. So, I know that's not— I know that's not specific to NYCHA. This is the problem of governing we have across the board where other people represent other people instead of allowing them to represent themselves. I think the point to underscore here is that we have an enormous

that have been identified there?

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

amount of resident engagement and leaders at NYCHA that can cite 964 very clearly and expertly, and yet we have no binding authority anywhere which is just a fundamental problem that I'm trying to underscore and point out here. Can you explain why certain residents' councils -- why certain developments don't have resident councils, and what are the challenges

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Council Member, our goal is to establish a resident council in every single development, and we reach out to residents with a flyer during the summer months, even this last summer. We went to every development that doesn't have a council, posted flyers, actually door knocking and deliver under every door encouraging residents to formulate a resident association. Some did and some did not. Some may identify one or two residents that are interested, and we work really hard with them to identify or recruit other residents to can fully form a board. We must have a minimum of five to do that, so having two is not enough. We definitely have to have at least five officers on a resident association board to fully recognize them. And again, we continue to

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 95
2	funds in 2021 3.8 million, and that's prorated based
3	on the subsidy.
4	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Apologies, can you
5	repeat that oen more time?
6	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So,
7	2022 we were allocated 3.9 million dollars for
8	resident association with TPA funds.
9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay.
10	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: In
11	2021, 3.8.
12	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 3.8?
13	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. And then
15	2020?
16	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: That
17	number I don't have.
18	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, I'd love to
19	get it when you make it available. Did NYCHA receive
20	the full appropriation for each of those years?
21	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: In
22	actually, in 2022 we received 1.4, 104 percent, and
23	in 2021, 96.74 percent.
24	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 2020 I'm sorry.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: 2021--

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 96
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Can
3	you speak closer to the microphone?
4	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Sorry.
5	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: It's very hard
6	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: 2021,
7	96.74 percent.
8	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [inaudible]
9	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: 96.74
10	percent.
11	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 96.74 percent in
12	Fiscal Year 2021.
13	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: One,
14	yeah.
15	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: In Fiscal Year 2022-
16	_
17	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:
18	[interposing] It was actually over 100 percent.
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Over 100 percent.
20	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: 104.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, 104 percent.
22	And we'll get the information about 2020.
23	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What are the
25	stipulations for TPA funds?

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

Stipulations to spend? I'll pass that to DaVida.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: Sure.

So, the eligible activities for TPA include consultation and outreach efforts, any activities that inform residents about issues about their households or their living environment, annual membership events, resident council training, resident council elections and organizing, reasonable refreshment and light snack cost, stipends, self-sufficiency and capacity building activities, social support needs, resident management training and training related to the development of resident-owned businesses and technical assistance.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And how does-- how often does NYCHA assess spending, like, the eligible spending?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: There are annual spending plans that each resident association has to submit for review before the allocation can even go to their resident association account, and then as they spend there are also proposals that come with every single request that they have to spend those funds, and those are

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 98
2	assessed for eligibility. And in the in some case:
3	where they go over a certain dollar amount, they are
4	also subject to procurement rules.
5	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And who does that
6	assessment?
7	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: So, we
8	have a TPA unit. We also have our finance team, and
9	again, in the event where it goes over the certain
10	dollar amount, we have the procurement team.
11	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How much of the
12	money that was allocated remains unspent at the end
13	of each Fiscal Year?
14	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: Right
15	now we have over 12 million dollars that's unspent.
16	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: 12 million for
17	Fiscal Year 22?
18	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: So,
19	that is total. We could provide the breakdown by
20	Fiscal Year if that's needed for sure.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And so 12 million
22	total. This rolls over year after year?
23	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: It does
24	roll over from year to year.

from by development?

1

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. And do you 3 have an assessment of where the 12 million is coming

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: provide a breakdown by development. We do have that information.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: That's great. As part of this funding, does NYCHA allocate any dollar amount to capacity building for resident associations?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: resident associations can opt to use their funds for that. They're the ones that are coming up with the spending plan and deciding what to spend their dollars on. And so as long as it's eligible we are granting those requests. We certainly have plans to work with resident associations to think more creatively about how to use those funds, and then to provide them with the support to do so.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Does NYCHA provide a record to residents about TPA funds and their use per development?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: present, other than the quarterly report that is 1 posted online, the specific breakdown by development 2 3 to each resident is not provided. We do encourage 4 the treasurers on those boards to provide that information during the resident association meetings, but that is not audited in terms of if that is 6 7 actually happening.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: It's definitely not happening. When a resident council is not authorized by NYCHA, what does NYCHA do with the TPA funds for that development?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: So, those funds still remain in the account because they belong to the specific development and not to the particular folks that are in the office at that time. so when the offices re-establish or the association, rather, is re-established, or in the event of a turnover from one association to another, those funds are still allocated to that development.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And does it just stay in an account in perpetuity for those developments that don't have associations or have never had? What happens to those resources?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: It does stay allocated to those accounts, and as I stated we

1 do have plans to have discussions with the CCOP and 2 3 the district members as well to think about ways to 4 encourage those developments to establish boards. 5 Many of the boards that are vacant right now are our FHA homes which are spread out throughout the City, 6 7 so they are not a congruent set of developments in the way that others are. So we are thinking about 8 ways to even get those individuals involved so that the spending can begin. 10 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Got it. And if-- in 11 order to change that allocation or to use those 12 13 resources, what would be required? SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: 14 I think it would involve a conversation at the CCOP level of 15 16 ways to re-allocate the funds, specifically for FHA 17 homes. It's something we're looking at. In other 18 cases, we do anticipate that those developments will 19 establish board and will be able to utilize their 20 funds. CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, CCOP has the 21 authority to decide what to do with TPA funds that 2.2

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: I would not say the authority, per say, but definitely they

2.3

24

25

are unspent?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 102
2	are a partner to us in thinking about solutions
3	across the City when it comes to any and all things
4	that have to do with resident associations.
5	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: But who has the
6	authority? If CCOP said we want a, I don't know, do
7	it for a basketball tournament
8	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN:
9	[interposing] And I think that's something
10	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] and
11	y'all didn't agree,
12	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN:
13	[interposing] Yeah.
14	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: who has the
15	authority to make the decision around unspent
16	allocated TPA funds?
17	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: Once we
18	have this conversation around what CCOP wants to
19	propose, we will be getting approval from HUD to make
20	those adjustments?
21	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, HUD has the
22	authority to shift
23	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN:
24	[interposing] HUD is the provider of those funds, so
25	we would seek that information from HUD.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 103
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, thank you.
3	All I was trying to get at. In terms of do
4	resident associations have separate agreements and
5	spending stipulations? Does each resident
6	association have a different set of agreements and
7	stipulations?
8	SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: Yes.
9	Each resident association has a spending plan and a
10	spending agreement that goes online each year.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: It's online?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: Meaning that it gets activated each year. So each year we put a spending agreement in place with the resident association.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: If a resident wanted to find what the spending plan for their development was and doesn't have a good relationship with the TA president, where could they find that information?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: My office can provide that. We will make sure that the contact information for Resident Participation and Civic Engagement is available to everyone in this room.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great, thank you. 3 What type of trainings does NYCHA provide to the resident councils?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: So we have a cluster meeting schedule that roles out each year that is currently in negotiation with CCOP, and it covers budgeting, leadership, how to engage residents in the resident association and topics as such. We also will be encouraging residents associations to enroll in HUD's Lead the Way training which covers how to manage finances among other topics, and we're working with a HUD technical assistance provider CBR to also roll out a training for resident associations.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So do you keep track of who has attended your trainings and have you assessed how effective those trainings are at least with the feedback of the residents that engage?

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: keep track. We did conduct surveys at the end of last year's training, and as I stated, we are in conversation with CCOP about their feedback. They've provided us with some great feedback on things that they would like to see for the coming year, and our

SENIOR DIRECTOR ROWLEY-BLACKMAN: IN some cases, the trainings are helpful, particularly when new boards are being established. In the cases where boards have already been in place for numerous years, there is more customized training that is needed, and that is what we'll be working to understand, how we can best support different resident associations based on their needs and not necessarily have a cookie-cutter approach to the training.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great. In terms of CCOP-- I can't remember this, so forgive me. There was a development of a code of conduct that was eventually going to be developed. Did that ever get developed?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

Council Member, yes, we did develop a code of conduct that's in the-- the revised by-laws and we're now working with CCOP on how to enforce that code of conduct.

2.2

2.3

office staff may also give out the wrong policy

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

information and outdated information. And there are many, many claims of discriminatory and retaliatory behavior on staff. What kind of training are you providing to your employees in customer service?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

start this response and then I'll pass it on to Marvin Walton. So one of the things that we're piloting and I mention in my testimony is training that a HUD provider -- HUD is funding training for resident associations and property management staff, and NYCHA staff as a whole, to engage in-- to sit side by side and participate. And we did do a pilot, and it was enlightening for property management to really acknowledge that this is a valuable tool and they say the importance of collaborating with residents. So, I think in the future as we roll out this new training where resident associations and property managers are in the same room hearing the same thing, and planning how to work together, it will improve the customer service that they're providing to residents. And $I^{\prime}d$ like to pass it on to Marvin. I know him and our CO are also planning some trainings. Marvin?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:

Oh, thank you. Thank you for unmuting me. Very good question and another topic that we take extremely serious. Yes, the COO's office is working on this issue. We get -- we get complaints and each compliant that we get, we do investigate, and we make sure the appropriate disciplinary measures are taken. value our residents and we encourage our employees to provide the quality customer service to them, but there is a plan that's going to be developed that's going to be addressing and retraining our frontline staff to provide better customer service to our residents.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, what is appropriate disciplinary measure look like?

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON: Because of these collective bargaining agreements, and most time with the civil service status, everyone is entitled to a fair process and often times it involves suspensions and it could lead up to termination as well as -- and possible demotions as well.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How much of your property management team has been disciplined?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 109
2	DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON: I
3	do not have that information, but we can definitely
4	provide that to you, Council Member.
5	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'd also love to
6	know how many complaints you've received, and if we
7	see any geographic concentration or particular
8	concentrations per development. Could you provide
9	that for us?
10	DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:
11	I'm not sure if we have that information, but we can
12	check, and if we do we'd be happy to share.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great, we look
14	forward to receiving it.
15	DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:
16	Okay.
17	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How is How does
18	NYCHA ensure that its property management team has
19	the most updated information? Again, to this point
20	of receiving misinformation, how does NYCHA staff
21	communicate with its colleagues?
22	DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:
23	We have the structure we have with in each of ou

neighborhoods, there's a neighborhood administrator,

and the administrator is on the ground working daily

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with each of the property managers, and we make sure information is passed across the board. There's a series of trainings that we take. We have our employees to attend. Often times, updating our policies on an ongoing basis and we make sure that staff is properly updated on that information.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So does that mean-so neighborhood administrators, what are the goals and metrics that they are measured by? [inaudible] engage--

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON: [interposing] The neighborhood administrators-- one more time, sorry. Can you repeat please?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: No, that's okay. Oh, sure, sure. I was going to-- what's the metrics that neighborhood administrators are held to? Is there an expectation of monthly trainings? Is there, you know, metrics around customer service and receiving feedback? I'm just trying to better understand what that actually looks like on the ground, or is it to the discretion of the administrator, which could be all kinds of things?

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON: Great question, Council Member. Currently, there is

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

no documented matrix for the neighborhood
administrators when it comes to training of their
front line staff. We do require that they have a
presence at the development, because of the
neighborhood model we have. They have a smaller
geographical area, so they're on the ground on a
daily basis visiting properties every week, and they
are able to observe the front line staff working
specifically in the management office and observing
and making sure that they're providing the quality
customer service that we that our residents
deserve.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How many NYCHA com--how many complaints about staff has NYCHA received in Fiscal Years 20, 21, and 22?

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:

Council Member, I'm not sure if NYCHA tracks that

information, so I cannot speak to that at this point.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. I think that's a pertinent piece of feedback that I think the agency should really take seriously. It drives at the heart of, you know, this comment around misinformation, disrespect, and disregard that is very commonly discussed when you talk to residents

)

2.3

across developments in New York City. Without tracking that, there's no way to address it properly, so I would suggest the agency look very closely at tracking that and reporting it.

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON: We would— we would definitely take that into consideration. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes, thank you. In terms of— okay. There's always so many questions, so many questions. Give me one quick second here.

So here's another example that happens quite a lot, and I— for the record, I know Brian Honan is one of the most hard—working NYCHA individuals and takes our calls all day long. But in terms of in a development there's a gas outage, what is NYCHA's protocol to communicate with residents and resident leadership?

So how does NYCHA ensure that residents in impacted apartments are given notice? What is the required notice of service interruption?

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:

So, first of all, whenever there-- great question.

And whenever there is a gas outage at a development,

we make sure we communicate primarily with the tenant
association president if there's an active tenant

cases of the capital project, replacing the entire gas lines at a development, and it's a long process that could take up to nine months in most cases, and sometimes a little longer because of the external partners that are involved. But throughout the process, there are periodic updates with all of the

residents in the buildings that are impacted.

periodic -- we try to do it bi-weekly at each of the

developments to provide statuses of the gas outages.

The gas outage process, it entails replacing most

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And so are these-what is the NYCHA protocol for updating these notices
in accordance with Local Law 47? I'm sorry, I can't-

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:
[interposing] Sorry, I [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Should I repeat? I can repeat the question.

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON: Yes, please.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What is NYCHA's protocol for updating these notices in accordance

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

with Local Law 47, especially with an anticipated date of service restoration?

DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WALTON:

I'm sorry, but I do not have a precise answer for
that question at this time, but we can definitely get
back to you with that information.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. We look forward to receiving it. Thank you. So, just switching very quickly to-- okay, I think we need to wrap it up. We don't want to keep you here all night In terms of the Public Housing Preservation Trust, just to shift very quickly-- oh, okay, here we go. In terms of -- obviously, we are in open comment period around the Trust for the voting process. law requires two choices on the ballot, but the proposed voting rules say that there are going to be three choices on the ballot: join the Trust, join PACT, or remain in Section 9, which is keeping the status quo. Having the three choices creates a possibility that one of them might win with just 34 percent of the vote. Do you believe that a major change to the lives of development's residents should go forward if only approved by such a minority of votes?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So, just
3	like any thank you, Council Member. Just like in
4	any election, like you know, election for City
5	Council, election for the Governor, elections of the
6	President, it is the it is a winner take all
7	system. So it is a you know, the side that's able
8	to garner the most votes, they will rule the day.
9	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, your answer is
10	yes.
11	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: That's
12	correct.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Have you considered
14	establishing a minimum percentage of votes such as 40
15	or 50 percent?
16	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: so, this
17	was so we the way we put this rule together was a
18	committee made up of 10 resident leaders. Each
19	boroughs was represented in community-based
20	organizations like Community Voices Heard, Legal Aid,
21	Community Service Society an unlimited number of
22	NYCHA staff. This question a threshold is required
23	by the law, and this question was probably the most
24	debated question in our meetings. We met we've

been meeting for two months now. There were many

25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

folks who thought the number should be very low, even lower than the 10 percent that's currently proposed, and there were folks who thought, you know, a higher 30 or 40 percent number was more reasonable. issue is here we don't-- we have-- this is the first of its kind in the nation. We do not have a lot of data to support what would be the appropriate number. What we did look at is tenant association elections, and we know there that the average turnout for tenant association elections is about 7.7 percent. addition, we looked at municipal elections as well, including City Council, Mayor, and we looked at Presidential elections and elections for Governor. The highest elections that we saw were, of course, in Presidential elections. That's true across the board, whether it's for public housing residents or any other residents, but even in those cases, the development with the highest number of turnout was still below 50 percent. So, I think what is most important here is engagement, and making sure that people understand that is happening, why they're going to vote and do everything impossible to make sure that we get the highest turnout possible. What we're doing in these elections is ensuring that

2.2

2.3

tenants have many opportunities to vote. They will have 21 days in order to cast their ballot. They can do that in-person, by mail, and online. That type of, you know, voting system does not— that is all the good government suggested voting systems in place right now. It does not exist, you know, in other types of elections, and you know, it is in our best interest to make sure that we have the highest turnout as possible.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can I ask why resident-managed corporations were not an option on the three options?

nothing in the law that would prevent it being as an option, and it could be. In the proposed rules, there is a period of time where the resident association and NYCHA will meet to discuss the election, the options on the ballot, and also you know, some things that the tenant association may tell us about a development, you know, that would be really important for us to know to run a successful election. There is no reason why resident management corporations could not be on the ballot, and that is something— if that is something that residents say

)

they wanted to see on the ballot, the law does not prevent that.

not on it, it's not an option. Can you explain to me the difference in rationality around how we can follow a 50 plus one for resident-managed corporation election and then do a 10 percent threshold? And then compare that with such a low bar of our own democracy which we are really fighting hard to change. How do we rationalize that we are fine to follow 50 percent plus one for resident-managed corporations, but 10 percent for this entity?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Sure, and let me just say, too, the proposal that's out there is just that. It's a proposal. It can change and I encourage everyone in this room, elected officials, residents, and community-based organizations to let us know that that number is too low. Let us know the number should go up, and that is something that, you know, we need to hear before the final rule comes out. I cannot comment on the federal, you know, the federal rule, and you know, the State Legislature, you know, made the law on the Trust that only required a minimum threshold. I think what is

1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

important here is again to make sure that proper outreach is done, to make sure that people are given a reason to vote and to make sure that we maximize participation, and you know, that is our goal.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: What do you mean specifically by proper outreach?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Sure. at a minimum, the law requires that residents need to be contacted in four different ways. They need to be contacted by email. They need to be contacted by They need to be contacted with notices, snail mail. you know, in the development and they need to be contacted by phone. So that is a minimum threshold. The proposed voting rules also require a minimum as well. The minimum is at least four meetings have to be held, one on each topic, and so if it the three choices on the ballot are RAD, the Trust, and what it means to, you know, remain in Section 9, all of those things need to be, you know, covered in one specific meeting and then a general meeting, too, on the voting process as well or whatever else residents, you know, need to discuss.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: I'm sorry, Brian,
3 for meeting-- all of New York City or per
4 development?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: No, per development.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So, yeah, exactly. No, not all the of the-- I'm sorry. This is all on a per development basis, correct. So, and that is a minimum. So we can, you know-- and if you compare that to other programs, especially federal, you know, federal programs it is, you know, way greater engagement than is required under those programs.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, in terms of-- I know you've had a good number of sessions and there will be one tonight at my development. Have you kept track of how many residents have actually attended these sessions to-date? And you-- can you provide the breakdown of attendance for every session?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: We can and we'll be sure to get you that. I've been to-- so far we've done eight sessions just on the voting roll-- voting procedure and proposal. I've attended six of

25

2	them so far. I was just in one last night in Rutgers
3	Houses. I think the attendance has been I will
4	just give you anecdotally you know, somewhere
5	between 75 and 100 residents in each of them. The
6	residents that come are very have been, you know,
7	common, knowledgeable. They come in with strong
8	opinions both on the Trust itself and the voting
9	procedure itself, and you know, we are using their
10	comments also in order to engage the final product.
11	So we are taking comments by you know, in writing
12	and taking comments orally as well. And in addition
13	to that, too, we've gone to about 20 tenant
14	association meetings where invited, including yours
15	tonight, but I'm going to split up tonight. I have
16	Albany Houses, too, on the same topic as well, and
17	we'll continue to do that throughout this process.
18	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Oh, okay. We'd like
19	to recognize Council Member Sanchez who's on Zoom.
20	Thank you for joining us, Council Member. The
21	proposed rules say that you will translate materials
22	into commonly encountered languages identified
23	pursuant to the language assistance services standard
24	procedure and some of those translated materials

will only be made available upon request. Your

So, if--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

1314

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

language assistance procedure manual only lists three covered non-English languages, Chinese, Russian, and Spanish. Will you commit to translating the ballots and all of the education and outreach materials into at least the 10 designated citywide languages?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:

you know, so if you -- I will give you an example of, you know, if you were at the meeting last night and many-- and some of the residents here were at the meeting last night at Rutgers Houses. We definitely did translate in Spanish, two Chinese dialects, Russian as well. And this is where those meetings in advance of an election are really important, because we will, you know, have conversations and also base it on services that we have to make sure that we are covering the languages that are important to that development. For instance, my colleague Simon did a series of meetings in Williamsburg recently, and the residents there told him if you don't have Yiddish, you will not be able to conduct, you know, strong and productive meetings. In other places people will tell you you need French Creole. In Long Island City people will tell you that you need-- you have to make sure that Bangladesh, you know, Bengali, excuse me,

COMMITTEE	\cap NI	DIIRT.TC	HOUGTNG
	OIA	горытс	TIOOSING

2.2

2.3

is used here. So, yes, we will, you know,

especially, you know, where it is identified to us

that this is a need.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Will you commit to just making translated ballots and outreach materials available to residents without having them request it? That is a barrier, right? Most people actually don't know they can request it. Now they have to then go through the extra effort of doing so.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: We definitely will on the covered languages. It is—

let me get— let me get back to you on that, Council

Member. I just don't want to commit to something that

I have to— but it is something that we want to make

sure— we definitely want to make sure that everybody

who wants to participate in this not matter what

language they speak is able to do it, you know,

without any burden.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Does NYCHA, in your estimation, actually have the capacity to provide interpretation?

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: We do. And we have-- from our Department of Communications staff we have a contract with different interpretation

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

services, and we are able to regularly provide that,

3 you know, at meetings and in other places where

available. 4

> CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, language accessibility I think firsthand certainly in Red Hook is a serious issue despite repeated attempts accessing interpretation. They have received none. I've witnessed and election process, a process of explaining what the -- all the presentations were done in English. There was not -- no interpret or no translated materials. There was no one live interpreting. It was a Zoom call with hundreds of residents. There was no space for engagement, which I have been on the record with NYCHA about. What improvements have there been made particularly around

> EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Council Member, I'll take that one. Currently, we're in the process of administering 23 elections across the City and at every one of those meetings. Meetings were having in-person interpreters in the languages that Brian referenced, Spanish, simplified Chinese, Chinese, and Russian. If the particular development demographics calls for another one, we

elections to address language access.

four years for City Tax Levy contributions to NYCHA

for OTPS. Will these cuts beginning with the 7.3

24

25

3 resident engagement programs?

not. We can get back to you.

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: A this-- I haven't herd that that will impact our program now.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: You haven't heard anything yet? What-- do you know what the cuts will impact, or you haven't talked about that quite yet?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Have

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay. Okay, thank you. Thank you so much. Okay. I think I-- I think with that, can we just quickly talk about resident elections. Is there a developed protocol around how resident elections are held that is distributed and available to residents?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, we do have a-- I think since the last time the team spoke to you or testified, we did develop some Q&A's that are posted online governing resident elections. DaVida Rowley-Blackman is new in her role, but we are in the process of developing written protocols in conducting elections.

2	

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So there is no-yeah, protocol around elections.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: It's not a formal protocol, but we will have one. working -- our commitment is to develop one.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: How many elections have been contested?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I don't have that information available right now, Council Member, but we can share that with you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, I'd love to know what is the protocol to address that contestation.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So once we conduct an election, the resident -- any resident may have 72 hours to submit a written contestation of the election process. They can send that via email to the residents engagement mailbox or mail it directly as long as it's post-marked a particular date, and we recently revised our nomination form to reflect that. So with the -- if we-- any protest received, we provide background information to our law department for review and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

advisement on whether to re-- to conduct another election or accept the results of it.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And how many complaints have you received about elections -election administration at NYCHA?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: don't have that number handy, but we can get to you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: and when you respond -- I quess -- I quess, walk me through what the response would look like. Who is involved in making those decisions, and are the decisions articulated in responses to residents?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So a resident may cite particular issues that they have with a particular -- with that election. not have the ballot. It was not translated or folks were electioneering in the polling site itself, or the resident association, the current ward, stayed in the election room or the polling room. And so whatever they articulate we will respond to each complaint and provide those responses to the law department for review to ensure that we're not violating any residents' rights, and they will

is because I personally witnessed a conflation of all

25

I'm

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 2 these things, lack of translation, electioneering, 3 confusion, ballots that were not actually translated, 4 misinformation being provided by the people on-site around whether or not someone was eligible to vote 5 all in one fell swoop with no-- with complaints that 6 7 were launched and no actual outcome. It just sailed 8 through with no problem. Everything apparently was perfectly fine. So, I'm just curious around how-what's the level of discretion that is being provided 10 11 around how one evaluates, whether or not there's 12 shenanigans going on and the residents deserve to get 13 a different process. 14 EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sorry to hear about your experience in that particular election, Council Member. I can only speak going forward. We're ensuring that all protests are received. We respond to each individual complaint, send our responses to our NYCHA law department for a final review, and they will provide a ruling as to whether we need to conduct elections all over again.

> CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Great.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: But I do know, as I've stated earlier, that we're ensuring

2.2

at least we have interpreters, we have adequate staff on site, and the Q&A's are available for residents to--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Are staff that's deployed to the sessions around elections actually trained around how to facilitate a conversation about what is being proposed to the residents in terms of these different positions, and what is the responsibility of the roles that they are asking— are they proposing?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes, so every election we-- the staff are trained and we actually have a civic engagement group and staff will take turns leading those elections so that we're clear, and there's a script that's developed so that across the city we are speaking with one voice around elections and conducting elections, and the team will explain all the various roles that residents are running for prior to the nomination process or at the general meeting.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, I have not witnessed such an occurrence. What I have seen is staff come in and put on a PowerPoint presentation and a Zoom call and never once stop when they see

2	half their audience will have no idea what is
3	happening on that Zoom call because they don't speak
4	that language, ever ask if they understood what was
5	being proposed. They never stopped and asked if
6	there were questions around what is happening and
7	what the information that is being proposed. When I
8	ask staff about that, what I was told was, "we were
9	just told to put on the Zoom," which is fine and
10	maybe that was what they were just told to do. There
11	was no engagement with the residents around what in
12	fact was the purpose and the importance of what was
13	being done. The people on the Zoom had no
14	opportunity to ask calls to ask questions, forgive
15	me. So, I'm not sure where all this engagement is
16	happening. I have not witnessed it. And I look
17	forward to improving, and in fact, I spoke to the
18	Chair I spoke to Chair Russ about it and my
19	concerns, and particularly around if NYCHA didn't
20	have the capacity to do that, that they could partner
21	with organizations, in fact, that understood
22	community engagement and what it was to what it's
23	like to have a dialogue in a respectful manner with
24	people, and that could be something maybe election
25	administration is not something NYCHA should be

doing. So, the Chair's response was, "Oh, yeah, maybe we should be partnering with local organizations around facilitations. So I guess my—the long/short of the story is that residents didn't—the staff did not have the appropriate training to even facilitate a dialogue. They didn't even introduce themselves. They didn't have people engage at all with the materials, our truly lost opportunity of frustration because much of the people just walked out and no idea what was discussed at that meeting.

Council Member, DaVida and I, we're committed to reforming that entire process. I have to concur with you, I witnessed— new in my role, I had witnessed what you— some of what you were stating and we're already on the process of reforming that. Yes, you need to introduce yourself, communicate the intentions of the elections and be available to or see where folks may have confusion and address that. But we are working to retrain the team and to ensure that we're speaking with one voice when it comes to elections across the City.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. I think-I think with that we can turn it over to public

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

comment. Thank you for your patience, for your We look forward to, obviously, all the answers. responses of the questions you were not able to answer today. You know, this was not -- I just have to underscore, this was not meant to be certainly a session on bashing NYCHA staff. We recognize that there are many staff who are doing great jobs. are also many staff who are not, and you know, I think-- I understand the challenges that we're all facing, but I do want to thank those staff who show up, love, and do the work above and beyond for the residents under very difficult conditions. You know, what do they say, teacher-- classrooms are teacher's learning environments, workplace environments, and this is very much the case here. But we do see a culture that is truly problematic, and I think what we've heard from the residents over and over again is we have a long way to go and communication is critical, honest communication, not the we're going to do this over here in 10 years, but to just be honest in acknowledging the missteps and where we currently are with clarity. And so, you know, we look-- we look forward to continuously-- I'd like to see residents in decision-making roles, not in

1

3

4

6

7

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

advisory roles where NYCHA gets to pick and choose when they want to use residents, because that is certainly what seems to be occurring in many cases. So, I thank you for your work, and I think with that we're going to move to public testimony.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Thank you so

much, Council Member. And can I-- speaking of honesty, can I correct something that I said earlier just for the record? So, on work order reform, you know, I had said that the work order reform rolls out in Queens and Staten Island at first in 2021. start as a pilot there. We did learn a lot there and now each of the boroughs has a planner and are using, you know, the tools of worker order reform in now all five boroughs. I also said it's in its infancy, you know, and it is very early in the program so it's too soon to judge it. But I think, you know, they are using the tools from Staten Island and Queens in all five boroughs in order to make sure that customer service is improved. This is something that I would like to make sure that we brief this committee on and the members, too, to make sure that we get your feedback on this and make sure that it is rolled out correctly. It is something that staff has. Also,

I've met with the CCOP now on several times, too, to get their feedback on to make sure that the program is-- most importantly works for the resident as well.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: We look forward to receiving further information. Thank you for the correction. I think it is still very much hard to hear that it is in all five boroughs. Residents will tell you it is not. So, I think more to come on that, specifically.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much. We will now move to testimony from members of the public. We thank you for your patience. We will take testimony from individuals who are joining via Zoom and those who filled out a witness slip to testify in-person. Because there are a number of registrants, unfortunately, we do have to set a timer to two minutes per registrant. So, please do try to keep your testimony within that time. First we will hear from Danette Chavis [sp?] and Karen Blondel who are joining via Zoom. Please listen for your name to be called and accept the prompt to unmute.

Unfortunately, you are not able to unmute yourself,

so please accept the prompt when you receive it. We will also hear from Beverly MacFarlane, Tamika Mapp,

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Terry Manuel Compizano [sp?], and Rakhil Tilyayeva, who are here in-person. Ms. Chavis, whenever you're ready you may begin.

> DANETTE CHAVIS: Hi, can you hear me? COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

DANETTE CHAVIS: Hello? Good evening

everyone. I'm sorry the two minutes is only be allotted on such a huge conversation. But my name is Danette Chavis and I'm the TA President of La Guardia, and I have the following questions and concerns which I believe should be brought to the table in regards to the manner in which resident engagement conveys information to tenant and tenant leaders and how NYCHA holds them accountable for doing so. At the top, first of all, there was zero communication given regarding of the Preservation Trust. Tenant leaders and housing advocates had to call in emergency rally at City Hall the day before its passage, while the Mayor, fully informed and in support of its passage held his own rally and press conference to champion its passage. The question is, what is the level of transparency when moving forward in an action that has not been sufficiently conveyed to tenant and the option of making an informed

The HUD regulations that

1 2 decision because of it. 3 require NYCHA to meet and inform and tenants of 4 actions being taken regarding their housing have been 5 violated. They neither gave sufficient notice on the intent to move forward, nor met with any of the 6 tenant leaders, and because of the swiftness in which 8 they move forward, whatever good intent existed has been sabotaged by their own failure to be transparent in the intentions to move forward. In addition, the 10 11 content of the legislation passed remains uncompleted 12 with issues that need to be worked out and addressed 13 regarding voting. So how can this be considered 14 favorable in the eyes of any resident who now must be 15 walked through decisions made concerning them when 16 they ought to have been present as those decisions 17 were being made. In regards to resident engagement, 18 those within resident engagement have been given the 19 responsibility of training and advising resident

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

association leaders--SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you for your testimony. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ms. Blondel? if you could please accept the prompt to unmute, and we'll take your testimony.

KAREN BLONDEL: Okay, good afternoon.

3 Thank you all. Thank you, Council Member Avilés. 4 The one thing I do say is that we never stay on I thought the agenda was about resident 5 agenda. engagement, but it was started off by a panel that 6 7 talked about the Preservation Trust. And just for 8 the record, you can tell Mr. Barron, I am another person who likes Greg Russ. I don't-- I don't-- I don't discriminate against a person based off they're 10 11 from New York, white, black, because that gets public 12 housing residents nowhere when everybody's classing 13 us anyway. So let me get to my point. To Ms. Ukah, 14 when you guys did the revamp of the TPA funds, that 15 was prior to the elections that took place in the 16 last year and a half where we have over 100 new resident leaders including myself, and I still find 17 18 that it has been difficult to manage smart data and 19 to use the commercial card, and I think that we 20 should revisit that again. I also think that some of 21 the people at Resident Engagement think that the 2.2 residents are electing them instead of the people who 2.3 they are electing, and that is very un-democratic to be vindica-- treated vindictively and retaliatory 24 just because you are selected as the leader and maybe 25

they were comfortable with the people who are already in. As far as CCOP and district council, I don't see the synchronicity between the local resident association and how that ties into DCOP. We are doing much better in Brooklyn South thanks to the fact that we have a new district leader, Ms. Barbara McFadden, but that is not standard across the board.

I think that resident engagement in New York City is

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time has expired.

too big to be done in the manner that it is being

done, and we should start on the local level--

me. I waited four hours to speak, so give me another minute, please. Again, we have to change the way resident engagement is working because this is not a rural or an urban area. This is a very dense city area, and it is too much to have all of this under one council, because we're meeting. We're not seeing them. We're not getting the postings, and it's not going fairly. So I will stop my comment right here, but I have a lot more to say, and we shouldn't have these meetings once every five years. If we're going to change this, we need this on the calendar at least

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

quarterly. Thank you for your time. Have a good Thanksgiving.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Ms. Blondel, don't I want to ask you some follow-up questions. I go. think you brought up some really critical points I'd like you to expand on for the record.

KAREN BLONDEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Can you tell us some more about the revamps around your experience with the TPA funds and what you would like to see specifically?

KAREN BLONDEL: Yeah, so you know, we came in new this year or last year when it should have took two weeks to turn over everything to us. We got nothing turned over. We had to start from scratch. We had to start from lead abatement in the unit where the tenants were meeting for year, and from there buying equipment and stuff like that. I remember the first January, they gave us the current budget, but we had another reserve budget that we couldn't access until June. And then with that being said, there were asking you to put in a whole year's annual budget, but then when you try to put it based off of the current and the reserve, it got very

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

nasty, to the point where I had to ask for my
resident engagement personnel to be removed from Red
Hook, because I had to keep telling her these
residents did not elect you, they elected me. And
with that being said, she should be working with me
and not the other way around. I felt like they were
very top heavy with how they wanted you to deal with
the Police Department, the PSA, the manager and
elected officials. And I'm sorry, I say that Alexa,
but it's all true.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, yeah.

KAREN BLONDEL: I am here for the residents, that's who I'm here for. Police

Department has its own meetings. Elected officials have their meetings, and yes, there is synchronicity, but my mission is to elevate the voices of the residents in Red Hook West.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yes, and you have been doing an incredible job.

KAREN BLONDEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: In terms of-- what was the recourse when you started to experience the friction with resident engagement, what steps did you have to take in order for NYCHA--

2 KAREN BLONDEL: [interposing] Well, I 3 will say that there has been a big transformational 4 push and change at New York City Housing Authority. Two years ago I would have came on here and try to rip their jugular out. I would have said that all of 6 7 them up until CCOP need to be gone, but I have seen 8 significant changes that are being implemented. they have not turned this ship around. But it is starting to turn. And so with that being said, I'm 10 11 trying to work not only from the outside as a resident leader, but from the inside to understand 12 13 the mechanisms and how NYCHA work so that we can have 14 a future here in New York City. Because right now we 15 have three choices on the table. We have RAD/PACT. 16 We have the Preservation Trust, and we have status 17 quo. Those are the choices. Now, as for as the 18 voting I have also worked on that working group, 19 Council Member, and yes, there were different voices, 20 some saying 10 percent was too high, some saying it 21 was too low, but we are presenting it to the 2.2 communities and taking back their comments, and we're 2.3 going to keep on, and I'm going to hold everybody's feet to the fire, residents, New York City Housing 24 Authority, elected officials, other resident leaders, 25

loosen this bill pin [sic] up a bit. We have 100 new

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much.

We will now hear from Beverly MacFarlane, Tamika

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Mapp, Terry Emanuel Companzano [sp?], and Rakhil Tilyayeva.

BEVERLY MACFARLANE: Okay. afternoon and thank you Councilwoman, that you gave us this opportunity. Today when we speak about resident engagement, there was no-- there haven't been adequate engagement. They have had very little engagement, let my residents. I'm form Taft Houses. I'm the President of Senator Robert A. Taft Houses in East Harlem, and last night was my monthly meeting, and I had 70 members at my monthly meeting last night, and not one of them heard about the Trust. Not one of them knew about RAD and PACT, and no one really did not know that we was in Section 9. there has been no resident engagement in terms of educating our residents on what is coming and the negative impact that would-- for our community. one thing that I-- I'm not understanding why this legislation was passed, because NYCHA has an active federal lawsuit Consent Decree right now in place from lead and mold, and they have not expedited that. They have not fulfilled that obligation. So why did the state and city step in and do a leg-- pass this legislation that they-- that would affect, that would

2	affect our residents, our homes. And not only that,
3	why do they have to use Section 18, which is
4	abolishing the deposition of our homes? So they
5	can exercise that if they come into these apartments
6	and into our homes and see they deem they have to
7	deem it inhabitable in order to get these vouchers,
8	these TPA vouchers. So once they they are deeming
9	our home inhabitable, they can exercise the right to
10	abolish the building if they if they choose to
11	because that's in the language. It' sin the language
12	of the legislation. so we want I want to be very
13	put it on record, that why are they using Section 18,
14	which for the TPV vouchers, Tenant Prevention
15	Vouchers, to tell for HUD to give them these
16	vouchers to make sure that I'm sorry, because I'm
17	getting I'm very passionate about what's going on,
18	and I want to say my home, and when I'm reading the
19	language with thee TPV vouchers. It's the same thing
20	that happened in Cabrini [sp?] Green in Chicago can
21	happen to us in New York. So we have to be very
22	careful, and I just don't understand why this
23	legislation was passed, because they have an active
24	dissent decree under the Federal Government. That's
25	why we have a federal monitor. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. And—
thank you. And I just— I don't need to remind you
or anyone of this. This is a state authority where
the city government has very little jurisdiction
over. And so— and while it feels like
jurisdictional confusion, because it's ful— It's
almost majorly funded by the Federal Government and
under federal regulation. I would implore you that
it is a state authority and we need to get our state
legislators fully on board to follow the residents
and their needs.

BEVERLY MACFARLANE: And we really, but
the state legislators, they should—the state
legislation—legislators should understand what's
going on in turn and the negative impact that this
Section 8 TPV voucher, because it's not traditional
Section 8. It's TPV that stays in the home and it
stays in the apartment. So, it's not some
traditional Section 8 that you can take with you. It
will only design to—for that apartment. So, our
legislation—legislators need to know the negative
impact because NYCHA's only giving them the, "Oh,
they going to get a new kitchen and bathroom." They

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

can have that. I have a new kitchen and bathroom. I worked all my life.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tamika Mapp?

TAMIKA MAPP: Hi, I'm Tamika Map, the State Committeewoman for the 68th Assembly District. So I'm here to read some comments from Princess, which is a NYCHA tenant resident. She said: "We the residents are glad to see NYCHA will be required to engage a third-party vote administrator for all elections. Our residents, 18 of age and older with permission from NYCHA to reside in apartment can vote, but the voting process must be meaningful [inaudible] requirement. The draft regulations only require 10 percent of households at the development to cast a vote for the results to consider valid. This is much low for the key decision. It is irrelevant that the turnout for the tenant leadership elections is below eight percent. NYCHA explains that the low threshold is appropriate because turnout's typically low is irrelevant or demands that the quorum [sic] need to be raised 66 percent of all eligible voters. The draft regulations are missing critical support for resident decision-making. Prior to notice, residents must be provided [sic] access

2	with an independent tenant advisor to guide outreach
3	strategy and assist in evaluation. NYCHA must also
4	provide an independent physical needs assessment of
5	their campuses as part of the required outreach
6	material. NYCHA's own assessment is not enough.
7	These materials must also describe potential impacts
8	on staffing of each of options presented. The
9	mandated outreach materials must also disclose how
10	much financing backed with the property of the Trust
11	would give for NYCHA and be used for the Preservation
12	Trust option. NYCHA needs to be more transparent and
13	make truthful statements during the proposed rules in
14	the Trust PowerPoint meetings that will govern how
15	residents will vote on the future of the
16	developments. There's been widespread manipulative
17	messages and convey of disinformation strategies by
18	NYCHA staff members at the Trust meetings repeatedly
19	to influence the residents on whether or not we
20	should be part of the Trust, RAD/PACT, or remain
21	Section 9 public housing. NYCHA wasn't all
22	forthcoming on borough [sic] protections of the 964
23	regulations, including statements that the Trust is
24	still considered public housing, succession rights
25	nrotection trust relocation process " And one of

2 t

_

-

2.2

the-- just going to address the very important. It is, "Resident social leaders and districtwide council should be able to use TPA funds to educate their residents on a four-week trust voting process and legislation that was recently passed. The 964 regulations [inaudible] opportunities under the TPA eligibility activities. And thank you so much for your time."

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much for your testimony, and I feel like I should have explicitly asked that question. TPA funds can't be used for outreach around the voter procedures? Yes, oaky. Okay, thank you.

TERRY CAMPIZANO: Hi, my name is Terry and I'm the TA President of Meltzer Tower, a senior building, and it's kind of like NYCHA has really let us down very bad. We have the doors constantly broken by squatters in the building, people moving in, sleeping in the hallways, defecating. The renta-quards that are there and the ones that have, you know, very little training, they don't ask the people that are coming in for their names or anything. So we have junkies in the back lot. We have

1 2 prostitution. Like I said, NYCHA security is non-3 existent. We have cameras in the basement with 4 nobody to monitor them. I wear the NYCHA badge. I have the NYCHA emblem with pride. Not even in Queensbridge were we going through such conditions as 6 7 this that we're dealing with now. So basically, we been just handed-- you know, we've accepted the--8 basically set that the RAD/PACT thing, because at least we have promises of an improvement in our 10 11 conditions, because we can't do anything. You know, 12 we just don't have the funds or they're telling us we don't have the funds. There's so much that has to be 13 14 done. This is a senior building, and they're putting 15 us at risk. It's just unbelievable. Last night at 16 11:45, somebody walks in there with a big yellow 17 suitcase and then had the nerve to say, "Oh, I guess 18 I'm the youngest one here." And you know, the guards 19 asked them nothing. So this is the conditions that 20 we're living in so our promise is with RAD and PACT. That's what we have available right now. 21

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: In the process of conversion?

2.2

2.3

24

25

TERRY CAMPIZANO: We're at the beginning process. We haven't really seen like the-- what do

2 you call it? No, we're starting out. We're in the

very beginning process of it. You know, we're 3

4 looking at buildings. We're looking at developers

5 and we're going to work, but you know, we're

basically -- there's nothing else for us to do there. 6

I mean with NYCHA, they've just torn us apart.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And are you working with a specific organization, or--

TERRY CAMPIZANO: [interposing] I think--

11 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Who's

12 walking you through this process? If you could

13 explain what the engagement--

squatters are in the building.

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TERRY CAMPIZANO: [interposing] We're workin-- we're going to be working with Vantage. They're a consultant agency, but the whole, you know, the whole thing, the whole process is that NYCHA can't do anything for us. I mean, we have doors that are constantly being broken. They're the electronic doors with the magnets. We can't do anything until they straighten out the problems within the building, and that's the squatters that are there. This is a senior building. We have like 20 apartments vacant, and I don't even want to know how many of the

Cases to resolve repair and rent arear issues.

2 During the course of my career, I have seen many 3 issues that NYCHA tenants' experience, which I will 4 briefly summarizes here. First, NYCHA fails to provide timely and adequate notice regarding the 5 nature and timeline of repairs with some tenants 6 waiting up to three years for basic repairs or up to a year with mold or a year without a bathroom. 8 often closes repair tickets without doing the repair work or notifying tenants that the tickets were 10 11 closed. Next, NYCHA fails to provide proper notice 12 regarding building-wide repairs or outages. Often, 13 NYCHA tenants wake up without hot water and there's 14 no public notices that are posted. NYCHA fails to 15 provide information and guidance about the 16 ombudsperson. Many NYCHA tenants are unaware of how 17 to contact mold ombudsperson. Just as well, many RAD 18 tenants are not aware that they can still access the 19 mold ombudsperson. Next, NYCHA fails to inform 20 tenants how to resolve rent disputes through its newly administrated -- new administrative grievance 21 2.2 procedure. Most importantly, now that NYCHA has 2.3 suspended a lot of the eviction proceedings, NYCHA tenants can no longer seek a rent abatement for lack 24 of repairs and essential services. NYCHA fails to 25

2	apprise tenants undergoing RAD conversions about the
3	new ways that they can obtain repairs. Specifically,
4	NYCHA tenants in the RAD program can now request HPD
5	inspections by calling 311 like private tenants,
6	instead of waiting for a court order like other
7	public housing tenants. It's important that they
8	know this, because these are part of their rights.
9	And then finally, NYCHA fails to provide written
10	notifications to tenants in languages other than
11	English. One of my Spanish-speaking clients reports
12	that at least three other tenants in her building
13	only speak Spanish as well. She was never given any
14	notice in Spanish. I hope that this testimony will
15	be one that the City Council can take into
16	consideration when making their policies. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much.
18	Thank you for your testimony. We'll follow up.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll now
20	hear from Carmen Quinones, Dana Elden, Samantha
21	Guzman, and again, please accept the unmute prompt
22	when you receive it on Zoom, and we will also hear
23	form Russell Taylor [sp?], Christina Chase [sp?] who

CARMEN QUINONES: Do you hear me?

I believe are present.

24

everybody for taking the time, you know, to really

2

1

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.

CARMEN QUINONES: First I want to thank

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

come here and talk about what's really going on. I think it's just so sad that we're in 2022 and we're still doing the same thing and talking about the same thing. And I think that what we need to really look at is elected officials, we really -- they really need to really get on their job because, you know, there's so many elected officials that are with this RAD/PACT blueprint and stuff like that. And this is just really killing public housing. We're on the verge of literally, literally privatizing all of public housing and demolishing it at the same time. And people are being put out like it's going out of This is nothing new. It's been going on for style. years. It has just been packaged differently. When we talk about the 964 regs, I hope everybody does get savvy with it. We are trying to-- I'm trying to my best. I have been trying to get resident management corporation, and that is that the residents get to do are the ones that can deliver their own services looking at residents managing their own developments.

I think we've been doing it for so long that nobody

COMMITTEE	\cap	DIIDI TC	TIOTIC TNO
	OIA	LODLIC	HOUSTING

2.2

does it better than the residents. Resident
engagement, we need to really, really look at that
inside out. There's been problems with resident
management for years, and what happens is is they
move them around, and nothing really gets solved,
right? It's just like they say they got rid of Russ.
Russ is still here. Greg Russ is still here.
There's nothing wrong with Greg Russ. I like Greg
Russ. I can work with Greg Russ. At least he
doesn't lie to me

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing]
[inaudible] your testimony. Your time has expired.

And so we really need to really be looking at resident management corporation. Please look it up.

We are entitled to do that. And again, we need to make sure that— that you know, that the New York

City Housing Authority isn't also trying to run that.

So there are different things we need to do.

Residents, presidents need to stick together, back each other up. If one president is having a problem, then we all need to show up, because that's the only way we're going to get this done. Nothing is going to get done if we keep being separated, and that is what

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 2 New York City housing has done and continues to do, 3 is separate, separate. But also, our 4 council people and our state people and our congress 5 people, they need to really look at what's happening when it comes to PACT, RAD and the Blueprint. And 6 7 again we still need to fix a lot of things on that 8 Preservation Trust. There's thing that we need to do. First of all, we were never engaged in any of these process, and you're talking about our lives, 10 11 you're talking about my grandchildren, you're talking 12 about my great grandchildren. That's a problem. 13 That is a problem in itself when you are making 14 decisions for me and mine. When I stick my neck out, 15 every day, every day as a President it's a non-- I 16 tell you this job is a thankless job, but we do it 17 because we care, and that's the real reason we do it. It's not because we have to, because we don't have 18 19 This actually has become abusive to the 20 presidents. But again--

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Ms. Ouinones?

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CARMEN QUINONES: I just want to say, I hope that this council -- and I want to thank this -- I want to thank you for holding this councilwoman.

manage. However, there is no little-- there's little

kitchens and bathrooms is also unacceptable. In many

cases, with RAD developments --

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

Ms. Elden.

24

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you. Your time has expired.

DANA ELDEN: Could I finish, please? There are resident councils at those developments that cosmetic changes are just that. There is little to no work done on the infrastructure such as electrical lines, plumbing, roofing, etcetera. Coming from a development that is 100 percent of its residency on a financial scale that was considered middle class, we lived in an environment that was well respected and secure. Since the mid-1980s we he welcomed total of 128 section 8 residents. This development is 1,007 strong. This is a Section 9 development. To convert everyone would be an injustice to the many working and retired professional. They would also increase their rents due to the fact that many our residents -- in fact, one-third, that's 343 have Social Security and pensions. This would ruin them. So thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much,

3

4

1

5

6

7

8

_

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now hear from Samantha Guzman followed by Russel Taylor and Christina Chase [sp?].

SAMANTHA GUZMAN: Good afternoon. I'm a

third-year law student at Fordham Law, and I've been working with a group of students and faculty in conducting research on public housing issues in New York City, including the new Preservation Trust. colleagues and I wanted to use this opportunity to express our concerns with the Trust's lack of resident involvement and give our recommendations to empower residents within the context of the Trust. First, residents through their resident councils or tenant associations need to be full decision-making participants at all stages of redevelopment, including in the development plan and scope of work, the selection of builders, architects and other vendors, and in the oversight and monitoring of their work. Residents through their councils or tenant associations must be made legal parties to key agreements in order to accomplish this. Second, residents need genuine opportunities to form RMCs to take on many aspects of operating their developments. This approach has been highly successful in other

2 instances where RMCs have proven more accountable and 3 effective, have provided decent jobs to many residents and have given them some control over their 4 living conditions. We recommend the creation of a 5 NYCHA department responsible for leading the 6 7 formation and operation of RMCs and to work in 8 contracting with them. NYCHA needs to provide dedicated financial support for the establishment of RMCs and continue -- and commit to a streamlined 10 11 process for direct funding to those RMCs. To use 12 these opportunities effectively, thirdly, residents and their resident councils and tenant associations 13 14 need access to experts who can help them 15 realistically assess options and learn the skills and 16 develop the practical capacity for managing their 17 projects successfully. Fourth and finally, to 18 guarantee their rights to participation, resident 19 management and involvement along with other rights 20 set out in the Act, the residents and the resident councils and tenant associations need access to an 21 2.2 independent auditor or monitor, and finally, to be 2.3 full legal parties to all agreements with the power to take legal action to enforce them if need be. 24 hope our research and recommendations will be taken 25

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

into consideration to assist in empowering residents with regards to the Trust and strengthening resident participation across the City. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much for that testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, can you just turn on the microphone?

Thank you very much, Council woman Alexa Avilés. My name is Russell Taylor. I live at 830 [inaudible] Avenue, NYCHA resident, and I'm also a recently elected Council Committee in the 69th Assembly District. As an activist, community engagement outreach specialist in 2007 I participated in supervised street outreach by tabling [sic] and our banners and handouts and going door to door, phone banking, attending Zoom meetings, and having informative, meaningful conversation with NYCHA residents and diverse community members supporting Section 9 public housing. We charge that the government through HUD, New York State legislative bodies, Governor and New York City Mayor are practicing social engineering and capital racism under the pretense of betterment for public housing

2 residents as was done throughout the 40s and 50s 3 where white people living in public housing were 4 guaranteed home loans through the FHA to move to 5 rural suburban areas and purposely excluded people of color form the same opportunity residing in public 6 7 housing. Separate is not equal. I stand against the 8 project-based vouchers. They do not protect the tenants but actually protect NYCHA Department and give NYCHA more money to mismanage. Ignorance is not 10 11 bliss, only for insatiable predators looking to devour us. two out of 10 people living in NYCHA that 12 13 I've canvased are not aware that they are even 14 Section 9 low, moderate income public housing, or 15 residents of public housing or aware of this 964 legislation. Empowerment and promises to the 16 residents meaningful involvement in management and 17 18 operations of their development forming resident 19 management corporations of their own, let alone 20 continue to be used as mindless and cash cows. In my 21 development, 830 Amsterdam Avenue, eight meetings since December have been missed. There's no way that 2.2 2.3 my people in my building are going to make an informed decision. Whose responsibility to-- since 24 the resident council's malfeasance. It's Residents 25

Engagement's job to come out and see how they're
doing which they're not doing. So I had a garden
destroyed in NYCHA. Do you know the problem I had to
go through to one resident engagement in Queens?
One resident engagement here and they're not
responding back at all for several months, whatever,
and even I who is the legal gardener could even get
my garden agreement form my management or form NYCHA
records department, and I'm looking forward to taking
them to court very soon. That's how bad it is with
these people, and yes, I did speak to Brian Honan
also who's also in attendance, and they just have no
regard for our rights. And you know,
superintendents, they know what's going to happen
before it happened, and why did they put me in place
as the NYCHA resident in that place in which we're
getting the heating and cooling system, and they had
to destroy my garden. So, I'm just saying, we need
more oversight with resident council, so that's why I
am supporting [inaudible] reintroduction of 9414
giving residents I mean, giving City Council more
oversight. And I do understand that the jurisdiction
there, because the location, you know, it's a state

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 entity, NYCHA is. So, I'm going to stop it there,
3 but thank you very much. I yield the floor.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. Thank you.

CHRISTINA CHASE: Hey, I'm Christina I'm the Second Vice President over at Chase. Ravenswood Houses. NYCHA has not made any initiative to meaningfully engage western Queens, including my development. There was one in-person town hall nearby at Queensbridge Houses, but it was cancelled last minute. I'm a board member and there has not been any direct outreach to our members. Virtual town halls, which include only a handful of residents, have been more about exploiting NYCHA's plan rather than gathering important information or incorporation. Presentations and circulating literature continuously frame Section 9 public housing as status quo which is deceptive and fosters the belief that the Trust or PACT are better options, which is not true. The Trust completely transforms the finance and government structures further away from the people who call NYCHA home and into the hands of banks and undefined stakeholders while PACT privatizes our homes via private management. I'm

2 astounded that the Trust has even got this far, 3 considering the years of resident descent. I implore 4 you to watch or listen to the public hearings 5 archived on NYCHA's site. Majority of residents have said no to the Blueprint, no to RAD and PACT and no 6 7 to the Trust. However, NYCHA continues to move forward with their agenda of establishing a real 8 estate portfolio that can bring profit for private actors and money as well as power further away from 10 11 residents. I moved into NYCHA when I was six years old, and because of NYCHA I'm still-- I'm still here 12 13 in New York City. Because of the housing security I experienced I've been able to pursue my education to 14 15 the highest levels and give back to my city. And I stand before you a few weeks shy of my 33rd birthday, 16 17 and I'm about to give birth to my first child, and 18 hope to-- and I hope to raise my son in the same 19 community that raised me, that protected me, that 20 loved me. We need to protect NYCHA so our families 21 are not displaced. We need your help as public 2.2 official. We need you to stop NYCHA from moving 2.3 forward with policies that will harm us under the guise of helping us. We need you to redefine what is 24 accountability is by exemplifying it and standing by 25

presented. I don't know who this Buk-- Ukah Busgith

dishonest, and you pointed out a lot of issues with

person is, but this document is the probes. It is

it, and you did a great job on that.

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILES: DJ, can you move closer to the mic.

DJL7: Yeah, putting letters on doors.

What about results? In school results matter. politics results don't matter, unfortunately, it's just about connections. I dare you to run a survey right now to find out how people in public housing, how much they actually know about these issues. the fact of the matter is let's look at the real estate industry's number one corrupting [sic] factor of New York City politics, right? The fact of the matter is that we look at the-- what's it the real estate agencies. They have HGL [sic] TV. They have a whole entire channel devoted towards pushing their They have such commercial impact. How can small organizers like us compete? In fact, the fact while all these guys back here are pulling a salary today and getting paid, right? Yeah, let me tell you what I did. First of all, right, I did probably more tabling family days, [inaudible] than the rest of say Section 9 combined because I would-- I'm super passionate about this issue. Another thing we could do is we could hang signs off the building. They have buildings that are by the East Side highway by--

2 you know with the huge real estate we can hang signs 3 of the building and let residents know, right? Yeah, we can all do-- by the way, also-- I have worked on 4 5 program called [inaudible] for 22. I had over 30 candidates across the nation breaking ranks with 6 7 party leadership and we had a managed agreement from 8 candidates to support public housing. However, right, and I know Greg Russ gets my emails and that's-- there's proof of that and I have-- I can 10 11 show you on my phone the read receipts. The fact of the matter is that my work on his matter has been 12 13 suppressed and aristocracy of activist media and 14 party [inaudible] should work to maintain the status 15 quo. In fact, you know, let's talk about some of what's happened at these-- at these workshops. 16 17 fact of the matter is that how are residents getting 18 value out of these workshops? They're-- you get his 19 boring ass presentation with nothing. In fact, what 20 they really need is to have when they're buying like kitchen appliances from God knows who, right, or 21 they're getting these services, right, like lead 2.2 2.3 paint inspection, right? The residents should know what to look for or, you know, have some say in terms 24 of-- they're changing the windows out instead of--25

2 and they buy these windows on discount and then 3 instead of actually fixing the mold which goes for 4 years unaddressed, right. They're just taking care 5 of what benefits themselves. The fact of the matter is that management companies cannot be trusted and 6 7 let's look at the facts. Riseborough [sic] 8 Management who is paid off by the Democratic Party leadership and also we take a look at [inaudible] Chino [sic] whose work in terms of addressing his 10 11 building. Management companies have swept in and 12 then they put a lot of-- they took the building and 13 they started getting a lot of loans on it, right? 14 And then finally went to the residents who are living 15 there and they have to now pay off the mismanagement that was done by the resident company who's trying to 16 17 be NYCHA who has been ranked number one in terms of 18 the worst landlord in the City for a long ass time, 19 right? These people cannot be trusted. And once 20 again, right, if they're going to work with people, 21 why don't they get people like Marquis, the residents 2.2 involved, right, yeah, with the decision-making 2.3 instead of paying themselves. They should be incorporating us into the decision-making. That's 24 absolutely not happening. So, once again, thank you 25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

very much. I rep my block. We're trying to work to get people in public housing to actually run for office because if you will not help us, we will do the job ourselves. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you.

I like you a lot. You're pretty DJL7:Thank you. Thank you, yeah. cool.

MILDRED MARTINEZ: How you doing? My name is Mildred Martinez and I'm from Campos Plaza. I have a list of things, a lot of the things that have been said, I'm not going to repeat it, and I'm in favor of everything that was said, except for the NYCHA section, okay? Because of the fact that I have been black listed. They don't talk about black list. They don't talk about if you're too aggressive, and not aggressive in a negative way but too assertive of what they're doing and questioning everything, they block you. And then when they rushed in the RAD on 2014, they were promised wooden floors, parquet floors, beautiful counters, cabinets, state of the art appliances, right, a beautiful bathroom for 90,000 per apartment, right? Try going over there. They couldn't even send Campos Plaza II across two buildings to go see the beautiful supplies and

2 equipment's that they put in there, right? Because 3 they didn't. And then Bill de Blasio got the nerve 4 to say, "Oh, I apologize. Something went wrong." 5 Okay, so let's be accountable for the 90,000 times 250 that comes out to 22 million dollars. 6 7 still with that money, okay? I put in a request for the federal -- the Freedom of Information. They don't 8 have not one document on Campos Plaza I. Where did all that money go to? That's one. Okay? And then 10 11 when I started complaining about everything that was 12 being put in public with the pictures, just videos, 13 just this, just that, but you can't ask any 14 questions. The majority of the people that were 15 fooled into signing their petition because NYCHA 16 residents and NYCHA has to come aboard as a team, and 17 we're not a team, okay? I can show you the videos. 18 They have rushed in now, 2021, into Campos Plaza to 19 rip [sic] off Campos Plaza II to because they're 20 running out of time, afraid for all the process [sic] that are coming into play, right? And now they're 21 asking for 145,000 dollar apartments. 2.2 But before I 2.3 go to that, I did my own research in 2014 at the same time that Campos Plaza was being renovated, I did the 24 same -- I looked into the research of Stuyvesant that 25

2 is just across the street. They paid for a total renovation 40,000 dollars per apartment. Now, you 3 4 tell me, what did they do in Campos Plaza I when 5 Campos Plaza is prime real estate? It is the last one of the last of the Mohicans that have been made. 6 7 So, why would they pay them so much money and the 8 only thing that they actually delivered for 205 was the internal -- the central air. They didn't tell them that your bill was going to get up higher. 10 11 didn't tell them all the changes that they were going 12 to go through. They didn't tell them how many people were going to be evicted. They didn't say anything 13 14 about the parking spaces that have been taken over 15 and being given to the private sector, okay? 16 come in and out. We have a gate, which I have 17 pictures if you want to see. I'm constantly closing 18 the gate because it's supposed to be protecting the 19 rights of -- the livelihood of these young kids that 20 play in front of our building. They leave it open. 21 People think that they can just zoom right through. But that's another story, right? In regards to the 2.2 black listing, good-- rather the RAD, okay? 2.3 can't stand me because I intervene in 2014. 24 T ′ m doing it again in 2021 when New York City Housing 25

2	Authority Campos Plaza II. They are selling them the
3	bridge, okay? And they have misinformed everybody.
4	Everybody think they're going to buy their apartment.
5	When I was taking a survey to see how many people
6	would be willing to get their families together and
7	purchase their apartment, or go into the management
8	incorporation, they don't want you to know anything
9	about it, okay? And that's the sad part, because of
10	the fact that they misinform. I call the Russell
11	Greg Russell and I send him a letter. I even put an
12	investigation on them as well. I put it through the
13	Inspector General. How do I get an answer for that?
14	I know that they're sugar coating [sic] it and
15	probably through it out, okay, but that's another
16	story to that. When I went to the meeting they
17	rushed in and I September September, exactly,
18	September the 16 th , 2021, they started the meeting,
19	and we were like, okay. So then I'm trying to get
20	some questions out. Oh, no, no. [inaudible]
21	They'll get a group of people to start yelling and
22	screaming. They have I had a group of people that
23	were in favor of what I was doing. They don't even
24	go to the meetings because they're intimidated at the
25	way they were acting with me, and I'm assertive

enough to stand up and be counted. They said, "No,
Mildred, I don't want to get involved with that. I
see how they try to block you." They're not strong
enough to stand up and fight, okay? But the sad part
to all this, in the meetings, public meetings, you
can't speak because they only want you to see what's
on the that mid rather, the television, right?
And then on the website, rather, on the Zoom, they
clock you off. And I'm like, I want to speak, and
I'm sending them a message. They clock you off. So
how exactly is it that they're being engaging with
the residents? They're not. It's a nice pull wool
over everybody's head. All the residents are afraid
to speak up, and then when you're too vocal, they
blacklist you. They took me to court on 2018, okay,
because I said I was the President for 15 years in
Campos Plaza, and the majority of the things that I
did was out-of-pocket, because they all the monies
are not there. Oh, we have to get back to you. Oh,
this and that and that. I didn't have time to wait.
So I took it out of my pocket. Eventually, when it
comes I'll get it back. Never got it back. That's
one. So what happened to that budget, and how did

Martinez, thank you for your passion, and I didn't

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 180
2	want to cut you off from giving your experience.
3	Nevertheless
4	MILDRED MARTINEZ: [interposing] Let me
5	tell you that I won the case.
6	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Hold on, let me tell
7	you one thing. At no point do I ever want to convey
8	that the residents cannot make changes. There is
9	nothing set in stone, and I believe in resident
10	power. I believe in people power.
11	MILDRED MARTINEZ: I convinced the
12	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] So, if
13	I conveyed that
14	MILDRED MARTINEZ: [interposing] whole
15	entire building.
16	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: at any the point,
17	that is not that is not the message I am ever
18	wanting to convey. I do believe in people power and
19	the ability to transform even in the most difficult
20	circumstances.
21	MILDRED MARTINEZ: They need to be
22	investigated.
23	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: so, I will follow up
24	with you offline and I appreciate your patience

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MILDRED MARTINEZ: [interposing] Thank

3 you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And you being here all this time. I know this is a -- this is a burden for working-class people to sit here all day to wait for their moment. So I appreciate all that you offered.

MILDRED MARTINEZ: And I want to say thank you to you and Bardon [sic] on-- what's his name? I'm sorry, Barron. He expressed himself so well, and I feel so-- I'm so glad that I waited to the very end, because I feel it in my bones that it's going to work with you two guys. Working as a team, it's going to happen, okay? Because they're not going to be able to sugarcoat and get their way because they're working for New York City Housing Authority. There used to be the lines of communication working alongside of the residents and the -- but when I took the head of New York City Housing Authority, resident -- no, the resident -- she was before the resident engagement. They used to be called-community operations. I pulled up the Director of Community Operations, because it turned out that she was in favor of Sheldon Silver [sic]. She was the

MILDRED MARTINEZ: Thank you.

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 2 CRYSTAL GLOVER: Am I pronouncing your 3 name right, Avilés? Thank you for allowing me to 4 speak. It's very personal what I'm getting ready to say. I called -- good day. I called Resident 5 Engagement directly, Gina Watkins, in October 2021. I 6 7 wanted to serve on my Washington Houses Resident 8 Council. I was the president back in 2012, so I knew the value of an association. Gina Watkins said to the board that was at that -- the board that was there 10 11 from 2016 to 2019. She told them to carry on in 12 their position because with COVID-19 it pushed a lot of stuff back. If they wanted to stay in position, 13 14 fine. Ms. Watkins also said that HUD was waiving the 15 bylaws and that elections had to take place as soon 16 as possible for residents 18 and older to vote. on November 29th, 2021, elections took place at 17 18 Washington Houses. Not one tenant came out to vote. 19 The po-- everybody including me got in unopposed. 20 Resident Engagement hadn't gotten back to me in December of -- December 2021. So I called -- December, 21 2.2 the day 21. So I called them. By January the 2.3 buildings were posted saying who the new board was.

As a financial secretary, I asked the president -- I sent emails, I text, -- that's one point I forgot to 25

2 bring up. Unfortunately, Washington -- unfortunately, 3 some of Washington Houses board members and myself 4 have missed understandings from the past that have 5 not been resolved, have not been resolved. So when I became the financial secretary, I was concerned about 6 like Juneteenth programs and youth programs. I was 7 8 concerned. As financial secretary I wanted to see receipts. I wanted to see information because for instances, for the Juneteenth program as a board 10 11 member I wasn't even included in the planning. Okay? 12 The president -- I'm not going to say no names out of 13 respect. They all planning all these programs, and I'm on board as of January 1st, 2022, I became 14 15 financial secretary, so I had a right to that 16 information and I asked for it. I felt like I was 17 being excluded from the board, because I would send 18 the president text/emails. I said all that already. 19 Resident Engagement -- okay, I called Resident 20 Engagement. I got Genil Hudson [sp?], I got Ukah 21 Basquez [sic]. She said to Watkins, "How can I I told her my situation. She said she would 2.2 2.3 send a letter to Washington Houses president asking for any correspondence she made to reach out to me. 24 She gave her 30 days. The 30 days passed. I kept 25

2 emailing Resident Engagement. Erica DeJesus [sp?] 3 who Ms. Watkins put to assist me, was supposed to 4 follow up with me. She didn't. Next thing I know, a 5 very disturbing list of accusations were made on me from Washington Houses Resident Association President 6 7 that were lies. Washington Houses board proceeded to have a meeting to have me removed from office. 8 I am trying to -- what am I trying to prove? Resident Engagement left me high and dry. Instead of 10 11 following through, they gave existing board members 12 time to work up their trumped accusations which are 13 filthy and disgusting lies that they didn't prove. 14 They couldn't prove any of it, and at the removal 15 meeting -- at the removal meeting for the tenants, 16 Resident Engagement and the CCOP Presidents were 17 present. At that meeting, instead of bringing up the 18 filth that they claimed I did like exposing my body 19 to her daughter -- the President said this. I pulled 20 a knife on her. Why you didn't call the cops if I 21 pulled a knife on you? All of this filth was being said about me because the board did not want me to 2.2 2.3 see, because of past situation we had when I was president. I'm bringing it home, give me a minute. 24 Okay, so what did they do? They can't prove that I 25

1			COMMIT	TEE	ON	PUBLI	C	HOUS	ING
2	pulled	а	knife.	The	ev	can't	p:	rove	tha

pulled a knife. They can't prove that I showed my body because it didn't happen, so they brought up an issue with a neighbor that I had that live on top of me. He's dropping cinder blocks on my head and constantly dropping stuff on my head. I put in tickets. I contacted manager. I told my housing assistant. Mind you, this tenant used to give me money to go to Pathmark [sic] to buy his son birthday cake. That's how long ago it was, because Pathmark been closed forever.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Are you bringing it home, finally?

CRYSTAL GLOVER: Okay, I'm bringing it home.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Final sentence? Final sentence?

CRYSTAL GLOVER: And what did the
Washington Houses Resident Council do? By spying on
me, they put that information. They took the paper
that I left on the guy's door telling him to stop
dropping heavy objects on my head. Okay. I'm
bringing it home. January--

2.2

2.3

CRYSTAL GLOVER:

The thing about it is, I

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Last seconds. And I would love to receive your testimony after.

had every right -- I had every right as a resident to be on that board. If Resident Engagement really wanted to help, they could have fought for me. Gina Watkins from Resident Engagement said that if we couldn't get alone as a board, there'll have to be another election. Resident Engagement Council President are really-- resident [inaudible]-resident engagement and the District Council of Presidents don't have a clue of what's going on with the residents. They only cater to the presidents. They only cater to the boards, and if you have a board that's not doing -- that's not efficient with bringing information -- I'm not insinuating that that's what my president is doing, but if you have a president like that, if you have a board like that, lots of information is not given back to the residents. Resident Engagement -- there is so much more that I would like to say. This was personal for me, because I served Washington Houses from 2011 to 2015, and we got along good, Corey, Claudia and all

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 188
2	of us got along good, but because somebody gave me
3	some clothes he gave me the clothes, and one thing
4	leading to another, it blew everything out.
5	Everything started getting stup8id and getting messed
6	up.
7	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: So, Ms
8	CRYSTAL GLOVER: [interposing] So, on
9	that note
10	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: [interposing] Thank
11	you.
12	CRYSTAL GLOVER: Resident Engagement
13	really don't know what they doing, and even worse,
14	the Council of Presidents, because there's no way
15	if I was still President, there's no way that there'd
16	be rats. [inaudible] be all in the same building. I
17	can guarantee you. That monstrosity that our
18	superintendent built outside our building was because
19	I met with him.
20	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah.
21	CRYSTAL GLOVER: I had meetings with him.
22	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Ms. Glover?
23	CRYSTAL GLOVER: I sat back there for how
24	many hours? Give me one more minute.

so much for letting me speak.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING	190
2	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: The meeting is	
3	adjourned.	
4	[gavel]	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 30, 2022_____