CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON
TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE

Jointly with the

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

----- X

Friday, January 13, 2023

Start: 12:23 p.m. Recess: 3:37 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Selvena Brooks-Powers, Chairperson

Amanda Farías, Chairperson

COUNCILMEMBERS: Joann Ariola

David M. Carr Amanda Farías

Jennifer Gutiérrez

Ari Kagan Linda Lee

Farah N. Louis Lincoln Restler Kevin C. Riley Carlina Rivera

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Nantasha M. Williams

Julie Won

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Christopher Ward 2008-2011 Executive Director Port Authority of New York and New Jersey

John Williams
Chief Executive Officer
Autocase Inc.

Mikelle Adgate Senior Vice President Community Relations NYC Economic Development Corporation

Andrew Genn Senior Vice President Transportation NYC Economic Development Corporation

Charles Ukegbu
Assistant Commissioner
Regional and Strategic Planning
NYC Department of Transportation

Diniece Mendes
Director, Office of Freight Mobility
NYC Department of Transportation

Michael Pilecki Deputy Chief, Transportation Bureau New York City Police Department

Michael Clarke
Director
New York City Police Department

Leroy Comrie Senator, 14th Senatorial District New York State Senate

James Sanders, Jr. Senator, 10th Senatorial District New York State Senate

Khaleel Anderson Assemblymember, District 31 New York State Assembly

Fay Hill District Leader Community Board 31B

Reverend Roxanne Simone Lord
President
Southeast Queens Chamber of Commerce
Member
JFK Redevelopment Advisory Council

Stacey Osbourne
President of a Block Association
Rosedale, Queens

Yvette [no last name] New York City Resident Minority Business Owner COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE Jointly with the

1 COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

SERGEANT AT ARMS: -- to fill out one of these testimony slips. written testimony can be emailed to testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again that is testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your cooperation. Chairs we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Good morning and thank you for attending today's joint hearing of the Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure and Economic Development on the topic of the JFK redevelopment program. Thank you to my colleagues and government from Southeast Queens for your participation today, as well as I see we have members from the community here as well.

Today's hearing is about the historic investment in redeveloping and improving John F. Kennedy
Airport. This issue is of crucial importance to New
Yorkers. JFK is an economic engine for the region
that supports hundreds of thousands of jobs and
generates tens of billions in annual sales and wages.
But the communities that surround the airport,
primarily black and brown, environmental justice
communities, have for decades endured the pollution,
the noise and the congestion. JFK produces.

2.2

2.3

The JFK redevelopment program affords us an enormous opportunity to ensure the airport provides long-term economic benefits for New York, and to do right by impacted communities and neighborhoods.

Since it opened in 1948, JFK has been an essential gateway to New York City and the greater metropolitan region.

Over the years the airport has expanded rapidly, and has increased capacity for how many passengers planes and goods it can transport. Currently, the airport has six operating terminals, which include 128 gates, handling nearly 62 million passengers annually, supporting 280,000 jobs, and generating more than \$51 billion in sales and \$17.1 billion in wages.

JFK is operated by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, but the land is owned by the City of New York. We have a lease agreement with the Port Authority. Under the stewardship of the City's Economic Development Corporation, the City entered into lease negotiations for a 10-year extension. Be clear, without this renegotiation, it would not have been possible for this program to move forward. It is crucial we understand how the redevelopment of our

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

property is doing, and most of all the commitments
agreed to.

Notably in January of 2017, then New York State Governor Andrew Cuomo presented a vision plan written by the airport advisory panel to transform JFK Airport into a more modern 21st Century airport. vision plan noted that JFK Airport ranked poorly when compared to the world's top airports, and fell below global standards. What was needed was clear: a wholesale revision of how JFK operated. If not address the possible economic loss to the state and greater area would be devastating. The vision plan specifically outlined JFK's challenges which fell into five broad categories: An unbalanced fragmented airport due to separately operating terminals; airport roadway networks that were often congested and difficult to navigate; inefficient airport operations and increase delays; inefficient cargo operations; and increasingly crowded, congested, and unreliable transportation access to the airport. Without any improvements to meet these challenges, and given estimates that by 2030, the number of passengers at JFK is expected to increase to over 75 million passengers, it was projected that the airport

would not be able to handle this growth level effectively. Thus, the JFK Redevelopment Program was born.

The JFK Redevelopment Program represents \$18 billion investment into the JFK Airport, including a capital investment from the Port Authority of \$2.9 billion dollars, and a more than five-to-one leveraged private investment of over \$15 billion. Ιt is estimated that the program will add more than 15,000 jobs to the area with 9,600 direct jobs coming from the redevelopment. The main four projects in the program include a \$9.5 billion project called The New Terminal One to redevelop JFKs Terminal One, Terminal Two, and former Terminal Three. A project with Delta Airlines and JFK Air Terminal LLC to develop JFK Terminal Four, an over-\$2-billion project in collaboration with JMP and JetBlue to redevelop JFK Terminal Six, and a project with American Airlines Holt Constructions, and A-CON to redevelop JFK Terminal Eight, which has recently been completed. The projects would expand capacity for the entire airport while improving and modernizing many of the airport's terminals.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Today I am particularly interested in a few issues relating to the JFK Redevelopment Program.

First, I want to understand whether the program is meeting its stated goals for contracting with minority and women-owned businesses. I have long advocated for increased utilization of MWBEs. Businesses across the city owned by women and Yorkers of color deserve the opportunity to obtain major government contracts. Ensuring diverse businesses have equitable access to those opportunities strengthen communities and extends economic opportunity across the city. The Port Authority has publicly committed to a goal of attaining a 30% participation by minority and women owned businesses in all program elements for all projects. We need to know whether the JFK Redevelopment Program is actively meeting that goal, and if not what can be done to make sure it does.

Second, I want to talk about how the redevelopment program can meet the needs and concerns of the Southeast Queens communities adjacent to the airport. The airport has long placed substantial burdens on surrounding communities. For example, my council district which contains JFK ranks 50 of 51 in

2.2

2.3

noise pollution. Of all of the city's industrial business zones the area surrounding JFK sees the most activity. Noise, air pollution and congestion all have consequences for the health and quality of life of the residents. Given the impacts on adjacent communities. I am interested in understanding how the JFK redevelopment can be used to benefit those communities, from job creation to educational

opportunities to improved transportation options.

Before turning to testimony, I want to know that precedent exists with the engagement of this City Council's Transportation Committee and the Port Authority dating back to former transportation committee Chair, now State Senator John Liu, and as recent as 2017.

We invited the Port Authority to this hearing, but they declined by choice. The Port Authority operates JFK and leads the redevelopment program. They are an essential voice to this conversation. We were informed the Port Authority already provides enough information to the City. The Port Authority only meets with the community on their terms and with their selected agenda. Today, they refuse to sit for questions before the city's duly-elected council.

2.2

2.3

The Port Authority operates on the city's land. I'm going to repeat that. The Port Authority operates on the city's land, and the impacts of the airport are felt most acutely by the city's residents. The City deserves the opportunity to talk directly and publicly with the Port Authority to get answers that are so crucial to the health and livelihood of the city's communities.

I'd like to remind the Port Authority of their public interest obligations. We intend to hold hearings on this matter as well as other issues impacting the city of New York in the future including LaGuardia Airport and the bus terminal.

I am hopeful that the Port Authority will consider joining us especially considering Governor Hochul's commitment to resetting the city and state relationship. Governor Hogan has established an important new tone, which places the public interest first. The governor's leadership team Karen Keough, Kathryn Garcia, and Stacy Lynch have all done the same, and I am thankful for that. Yet, the Port Authority's posture continues to ignore that memo. I will say affirmatively this committee has seen the reset through state agencies such as the MTA.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Ensuring the JFK redevelopment is successful will require cooperation across the various levels of government, and most of all true engagement of the community. And lieu of the Port Authority. I am pleased that we will begin today's hearing with a panel featuring Christopher Ward, the former executive director of the Port Authority, and John Williams, the CEO of Autocase Inc. Mr. Ward will offer crucial context on the background of the port authority's lease agreement with the city and the benefits the airport can generate for the community and the city. And Mr. Williams will discuss the use of community equity agreements as a means of securing meaningful benefits to communities adjacent to major infrastructure development projects.

After they testify, we are pleased that EDC will be joining us from the Administration to provide information on its role as the leaf manager for JFK. I look forward to hearing from advocates and members of the public as well. Now, I will turn it over to my fellow Co-Chair, councilmember Amanda Farías, for her opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Thank you Councilmember

Brooks-Powers. My name is Amanda Farías, and I have

2.2

2.3

the privilege of chairing the Council's Committee on Economic Development. I would like to thank the members of both committees for coming for today's hearing. We are joined by Councilmembers Kagan, Louis, Riley, Lee, Ariola, Carr, and Restler.

New York City has traditionally been a major hub for domestic and international aviation. New York averages around 50 million tourists per year, making it one of the world's most visited cities, and JFK International Airport is many foreign visitors first stop in the United States.

The city itself is over 35% foreign born, meaning that a sizable chunk of our 8.5 million residents have strong ties to somewhere else, and they often travel back to their country of origin to visit family and friends.

Since 1947, the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey has managed all three of the city's area airports, and in the decades since it has invested billions of dollars in capital improvements into all three. Unfortunately, these capital improvements have not always kept pace with technology. In 2017. The airport advisory panel found that JFKs facilities in particular were reaching capacity, and that the

2.2

2.3

airport itself offered an inconsistent passenger experience that would need to be improved and modernized in order for JFK to remain competitive in the global travel market.

In 2019, JFK had over 62 million passengers,
450,000 flights and 1.3 million tons of cargo pass
through its runways. While the pandemic temporarily
reduced those numbers over the past two years, the
airport advisory panels projections remain for JFK to
hit 75 million annual passengers by 2030. If
improvements are not made soon, JFK's demand will
outstrip its capacity and the airport will cease to
be competitive in the global travel market. This
doesn't mean just a lack of travelers. It also means
hundreds of millions of dollars lost in wages and
annual sales, and thousands of regional jobs lost for
New Yorkers.

According to the airport advisory panel, the major challenges facing JFK fall into five separate buckets: Inefficient terminal design, a disorganized roadway network, persistence operational delays, outdated cargo facilities and unreliable transit options to the airport. The Port Authority's JFK Redevelopment Plan aims to address many of these

issues by developing a more efficient roadway and airport transit system, building a 2 million square foot terminal known as New Terminal One, and expanding existing Terminals Four, Six, and Eight to accommodate additional passengers, cargo space, and wide body jets. The Port Authority also convened the JFK Redevelopment Community Advisory Council to ensure community engagement in the airport redevelopment plans.

While the Port Authority itself is not present for today's hearing, we look forward to hearing from the City's Economic Development Corporation in regard to the details of the JFK Redevelopment Plan, including actual expenditures and job creation numbers, specifics on how the Community Benefit Agreements, and what the public can expect from a completed and modernized JFK.

Before I turn the floor over to EDC, I would like to thank my committee staff Senior Counsel Alex

Polinoff, Senior Policy Analyst William Hoggnotch,

and Finance Analyst Glenn Martinelli for their hard

work putting this hearing together. I'll now turn it

over to our Committee Counsel to administer the oath.

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

raised.

COUNSEL BREITBART: Thank you. I'm Sam Breitbart Counsel to the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee of the New York City Council. We're going to begin with a panel, with our first witnesses Christopher Ward, former executive director of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, and John Williams, CEO of Autocase, Inc.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I'd also like to acknowledge that we've been joined by Councilmembers Won and Salamanca and Councilmember Ariola and Councilmember Carr.

COUNSEL BREITBART: You may begin when ready.

Good morning. Thank you very much. With that introduction, I face a bit of trepidation having been previously the executive director of the Port Authority and the remarks by the Chairs, as well as other members of the City Council speak to the pressing need for improved communication and cooperation between the Port Authority and the city of New York, given the pressing issues that were

So let me start with my testimony, and then I'd be glad to take any questions that you may have. My name is Chris Ward. I'm currently the Executive Vice

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

President for Business Development for Bravo, Inc.

an MWBE firm offering architectural engineering

4 services here in the local market. From 2008 through

5 2011, however, I was the Executive Director of the

6 Port Authority of New York, New Jersey, and prior to

7 that, I was the Chief of Planning and External

8 Affairs at the Port from 97 to 2002. I also served

9 as the Commissioner of the Department of

10 Environmental Protection for the first term of the

11 | Bloomberg Administration. So it's a familiar,

12 | familiar haul to be in and I thank you for the

13 | opportunity to return.

Today, I would like to offer you my thoughts on the emerging role of equity and environmental justice in the economic development and public infrastructure market, specifically with regards to the upgrade and redevelopment of the region's airports.

I think most importantly, one of the messages that I'm trying-- John and I are trying to deliver today is these very questions extend well beyond simply JFK in the public and private community.

This-- this will be a question for private sector companies throughout the country going forward as we begin to understand the localized impacts of

2.2

2.3

business. So, again, we're speaking specifically about the JFK project and the Port Authority. But I do think this issue is relevant throughout the country, as we think about reconstituting the economic relationship between communities and large-scale public infrastructure.

So with that, I would like to begin with a couple of observations that will help frame the issue in terms of the Port Authority and the future of JFK International Airport. And I take this part of my testimony with a certain grain of sand given the introduction that was posed.

First, the Port Authority, as we heard today, has long been viewed as a huge but largely opaque agency operating outside of local influence and responsiveness. Ironically, this perception was likely and remains likely the result of the very creation of the Port Authority in 1927. And I do this as a bit of a history lesson only for the purposes of creating a strong foundation for what we wish to do going forward. But just to be clear, as a Progressive Era creation, the Port Authority was specifically created to avoid the undue influence of politics and corruption. The role of the two

2	governors on the board was to make long-term public				
3	investment decisions without fear or favor to local				
4	interest. While that perception persists, and as we				
5	heard, sits at the heart of the issue today. I would				
6	like to argue that since essentially the early 1960s,				
7	the Port Authority He has in fact recognized its				
8	local role and responsibility in key areas and work				
9	to address that challenge. And I think the message				

2.2

2.3

the Port Authority He has in fact recognized its local role and responsibility in key areas and work to address that challenge. And I think the message that I would like to deliver today is that the world is changing and the Port Authority needs to begin to consider new models for that engagement. But nonetheless, they have been involved in the very question of public investment, given infrastructure impacts long term. And if the port has lagged in this work, I think it's important to recognize that it's largely the result of the dynamic transformation of the regional and national economy, with all of the resulting social and public policy issues that created the problem to begin with.

Second, from a Port Authority financial perspective, we need to understand that the agency is essentially running on the fumes of the auto industry. The toll and fare structure, which underpins the port's entire operation has reached, I

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Authority.

believe, likely its financial and political limits.

So in short, the widespread perception that the Port

Authority is continually flush with cash must come to
an end. The institution will not be able to spend
its way out of its challenges. Rather, it will be
our own creativity, to seek new ways to create a
relationship between the community and the Port

With these two thoughts in mind, how should elected and local officials think about addressing the very real community impacts as well as potential opportunities of JFK airport? I think recent Port Authority history can provide a guide and a framework for the development of a new model of engagement that considers the fact that JFK is a lynchpin in global commerce and the future of our online economy, whereby economic traffic is shifting from tunnels and bridges to airways and local streets. There is a social, environmental, and economic cost being paid by communities surrounding JFK that goes largely uncompensated, as compared to the economic benefits that are generated by the airport itself. And to develop this new model of engagement, it is essential that we recognize that these examples are the result

of the economic and social preconditions of the time. In the history of the Port Authority, perhaps the largest community impact project would have to be the creation of the World Trade Center, and the Port Authority agreeing to take over the failing PATH system as a straight quid pro quo for the financial and community impacts of a project on a wide scale. Today, the Port Authority continues to subsidize PATH fares at more than \$300 million a year. Those subsidies accrue to an established community of users, but gain enhanced access to and from Manhattan

In the early 70s, the city was falling into financial default to unemployment and inflation, and Executive Director, Peter Goldmark, in response transformed the Port's mission to specifically include industrial development as a job creation opportunity in impoverished communities from Yonkers to Brooklyn. Again, a straightforward response to the larger need for community revitalization. Both examples clearly more regional and approach, but nonetheless demonstrate that the Port has over time sought to address important social questions.

2.2

2.3

as a result.

2.2

2.3

Building on that history, the Port was also an early innovator in the more localized community level, given two certain large scale projects. These two that come to mind are: The Local Environmental Mitigation Fund to address the potential negative ecological impacts of the 50-foot channel deepening project. In all, the Port Authority funded more than \$60 million and vital restoration projects. And when we think of the economic return to the region of what deepening does, and for the shipping lines to call on the port, and today, the port is the number one port in terms of container activity in the United States. The economic value of that deepening was enormous. But at the time, the community benefit was, from an ecological perspective was limited to \$60 million.

The other project hews most closely to the issue of today, and that was the development of the JFK AirTrain project. In recognizing the construction disruption and long term impact, the Port Authority provided \$90 million in mitigation funds for the greening of the Van Wyck expressway job development, and site restoration. And I think it's clear that without this critical innovation, the project might likely have failed to gain approval, setting the new

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

model that community engagement and development can in fact go hand in hand.

The last example is the most critical as we move forward into the increasingly challenged economic landscape, and this was referenced in the Chairpersons' introductory remarks, and this is the Port Authority's Pilot Payment: Payment in lieu of taxes paid to local communities. In effect, these payments are a Community Investment Fund, albeit on a citywide level. Today the Port Authority pays approximately \$100 million in Pilot Payments which go into the General Fund, and are spent according to citywide, not local, needs. Created during a particular period, when the focus was more macro and not local, there is no reason why the pilot could not fund on an annual basis specific local community development projects to address the very equity and environmental justice questions we have. And most importantly, it is an existing funded obligation that, if redirected, would not increase the Port Authority's financial obligations or risks.

I have provided these projects in framework to illustrate the contrary to popular opinion, the Port Authority has, and can continue to work, to address

the community impacts in a new emerging world of social infrastructure.

But I worry that this is not enough, even within the opportunity of redirecting the Pilot Payment.

Not enough in that the environmental justice communities often make contributions to regional transportation infrastructure, including JFK, that far surpasses long-term benefits they receive as host communities. Also construction jobs, apprenticeships, and procurement preferences are viewed as compensation for impacts. They sunset as development is completed, while economic benefits are generated throughout the life of the assets for the host community.

Michael Harrington, one of my great heroes, the American social critic, said that a fair and just society is based on an economy of surplus and the democratic means of distributing it. With more than \$30 billion in private investment potentially being spent at JFK by the private sector, we must develop a model of funding built on that investment in longterm returns to those who contribute to making them possible. In this way the private sector prices any

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

project, the new model must be embedded as a fixed costs of community impacts.

Harrington really characterized the fundamental challenge as the Port Authority within the regional economy has transformed and changed. We need to think of new ways of extracting the necessary wealth out of the economic development of JFK for the purposes of historically embedding that relationship in a positive way for the issues associated with environmental justice and equity.

Thank you very much. I will now turn it over to my colleague, John Williams. Unless you would prefer to take questions now or later.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: We can hear both testimonies and then we'll ask the questions. Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is John Williams, and I'm CEO at Autocase, a software as a service company focused on the automation of economic analysis for investments in the built environment. To put it simply, we measure the value of public benefit associated with investments in major infrastructure projects. I'm also the former Board Chair at the Institute for Sustainable

2.2

2.3

Infrastructure or ISI, the force behind the Envision Rating System for sustainable infrastructure. I want to thank you Councilmember Brooks-Powers, and all the other Councilmembers present with us this afternoon.

Before going any further, I want to stress that my remarks reflect my personal views, and not necessarily the views of my company, our customers, or other organizations that I'm affiliated with.

My comments are based on my experience as a practicing design professional in New York City since 1979. I'm also a veteran program manager for public-private partnerships, including the new Moynihan Train Hall, and I'm a leader in the use of cost-benefit analysis, and triple-bottom-line economic analysis for infrastructure and building projects.

At the beginning of my career, I was very interested in major transportation projects developed a few decades earlier. Those projects were dominated by the vision and efforts of one man, Robert Moses, the personality behind the story told by Robert Caro and his best-selling book entitled The Power Broker. In the book there was extensive detail as to the vision, planning, engineering, and ultimately the birth of what we now refer to as highway, parkway,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

regional energy networks, and park systems. These systems originated across New York State and were replicated in most other cities and states across North America. Moses planted the seeds that led to the current dependence upon personal automobiles and the demise of trolleys and streetcar systems by the middle of the last century.

When I started my career in 1979, I joined a company that was part of the network of engineering, architecture, and landscape architecture firms that Moses turned to to execute his New York projects. Over time those firms grew and put down roots across the nation. I was and I am incredibly proud of my start with Andrews and Clark. Like its sister firms, there was a high level of emphasis on professionalism and design excellence. I could not have worked for a better employer. I recall walking around the office and admiring these large, black-and-white photographs of projects that the firm was responsible for, and they included the Brooklyn Queens Expressway and the Brooklyn Heights promenade, Park Avenue Tunnel, UN Plaza, Jones Beach, both World's Fairgrounds, the Northern State Parkway, just to name a few. There was one project that really got my attention: The

2.2

2.3

Alexander Hamilton Interchange across the Harlem River between northern Manhattan and the South Bronx. That interchange was just part of another famous project (or maybe I should say infamous project) the Cross Bronx Expressway. This was a project from the 1950s, around the time I was born. After the fact I learned that it carved a canyon through a vibrant community made up of people of color, new immigrants, and working class families. Those people lived together they worked played and prayed together with their neighbors residing in the same community.

Today, we think of this as one of the premier examples of an environmental justice community.

Their essential connectivity was sacrificed so that people who own cars and wanted to drive between New Jersey, Manhattan, and the wealthy suburbs of Westchester County, or to visit relatives in Boston, could do it as quickly as possible in the comfort of their 1955 Oldsmobile. That's the year I was born.

By the time Moses fell from power in 1968, his vision was cast in stone. His methods and standard practices were replicated across the country, and evolved into the way things were done until the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 came along.

1

25

2 Prior to NEPA, federal agencies were mission 3 oriented. Results were all about building 4 infrastructure on time and on budget. And highways were to be over the shortest possible route 5 regardless of who was in the way or how they were 6 7 impacted. NEPA changed that by requiring that all 8 federal agencies evaluate the environmental effects of their actions. NEPA was the catalyst for environmental assessments and environmental impact 10 11 statements that we read about today. And of course, 12 New York State and New York City have specific 13 processes that followed in the tracks of NEPA, but 14 they echoed the buzz emphasis on how we assess 15 environmental impacts. Now, in 1979, I was hired to 16 be the community outreach person charged with helping 17 to expand the Long Island Expressway through the 18 Borough of Queens. That \$2.3 billion project (those 19 were 1979 dollars) would stretch just three miles 20 along the edges of neighborhoods that remembered 21 Moses and the construction of the original highway in 2.2 the 1950s and 60s. They recalled the letters that 2.3 their neighbors got, that neighbors that were in the path of the project, and basically the neighbors 24 heard that we're going to take your home, we're going

	_			
1	COMMITTEE	ON	ECONOMIC	DEVELOPMENT

to pay you X for it, and you must be out by this date. Period. They remembered that. Nearly 20 years later, we followed NEPA, elements of New York State's Environmental Quality Review Act, and the city environmental quality review process, and by the end of the community outreach process, we received unanimous support from all five community planning boards. And I was proud of that, and I was proud of that project, because it reflected a new way of thinking about infrastructure and avoiding environmental impacts. But fast forward more than 40 years today, and I will admit before you right here that we the process missed something. We missed something. Beyond reducing traffic spill over into adjacent neighborhoods, that huge investment, \$2.3 billion, did very little to improve the lives of the people who don't own cars, or don't make use of the expressway. It did nothing to create green space, to improve mass transit, to increase access to affordable housing, or to lift people up while other people drove to their homes on Long Island. really haunts me, and that's why I'm before you today. Those communities deserved more.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 Working with community plann

2.2

2.3

Working with community planning boards on the LIE project taught me that community acceptance is key.

Acceptance by key stakeholder groups go a long way toward building community equity into project design, as well as avoiding protracted litigation, and ultimately securing support needed to see projects built that will be profitable with benefits accruing to the facility users.

Now, as Mr. Ward referenced a couple of minutes ago, a new model for sharing benefits associated with development of major transportation infrastructure, particularly public-private partnership projects at JFK is called for.

Just as the environmental review process revolutionized project development over the last half century, Community Equity Agreements -- or I'll refer to them as CEAs -- will revolutionize project development in this century.

Now, NEPA requires that any federal agency considering approval of a project take a hard look at the project's environmental impacts and consider alternatives and incorporate mitigation measures.

NEPA requires that a specific process be followed, but it does not mandate a specific result. The

2.2

2.3

process creates a structure for making decisions leading to better, more-carefully-thought-out projects. But it does not account for equitable distribution of benefits generated because of that development project.

I should point out that the Port Authority is exempt from the detailed requirements of NEPA, but as Mr. Ward said, it has a long history of engaging and investing in impact mitigation strategies.

Community Equity Agreements would help the Port
Authority and others respond to 21st Century
realities by addressing ongoing benefits as an
approach to deliberately considering environmental
justice and community equity early in project
development.

And when we speak of community equity, what do we really mean? Well, it has its roots in fairness and in justice. And we all know that not everyone starts from the same place in life. And the differences need to be acknowledged and the imbalances corrected as opportunities arise. The beginning of project planning and development, or the renegotiation of public private partnerships linked to long term land leases at JFK are a perfect time to start. CEAs will

2.2

2.3

voluntarily elevate long-term community equity considerations at a point in project development where their input and support are essential.

It's one thing to design a project that does not disproportionately impact minority communities. It's another thing to design projects with ongoing benefits to host communities. That's the goal.

That's the goal. Deliver a portion of project benefits over the life of the project to host communities that pay an ongoing price for their development. This broader perspective is already evolving amongst sophisticated developers with communities that they're working with to create new frameworks for engagements.

And so allow me to offer this fictitious example, focused on the creation of a new air cargo facility at JFK: For the project developer and their investors, equity means an ownership interest in the facility. It can also mean a seat at the table when decisions are made early in the process. For community members, equity means more than just avoiding disproportionate impacts. Will the community have a stake in the success of the project? They are after all, hosting the facility. They're

providing workers for operations. They are home to supply chain links between the airport and final cargo recipients. They pay a big price for that. So what might their stake in the development look like? How long will benefits flow to that host community? As long as the facility ground lease lasts? It really should.

How will the economic benefits enjoyed by the developer of the facility be shared with the host community? will the community's views be sought in advance or treated as an afterthought in response to opposition to a project? If the community's views are sought, what process will be followed, and will it be accessible and transparent? Will the project developer be open to thinking more broadly about the project's value to the community than would typically be the case? And we all know that the-- the standard deal with host communities is always focused on construction jobs, procurement preferences, and apprenticeships. What happens after the construction is over? Could the project create opportunities for education and job training? Could the project address childcare and healthcare needs within the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

community? Could it contribute to strengthening
schools and other community resources?

In short, how will social and economic benefits of the project be measured, shared, and monitored over time? How will people whose voices have not been front and center in the past be afforded a real chance to make their points of view known on an equal footing with better-resourced stakeholders?

This may sound overwhelming, but I remind you that communities are left in the wake of major infrastructure projects in ways that can be overwhelming for generations to come -- again, think about the Cross Bronx Expressway -- or they can invest in engagement. That was clearly the case. In terms of the-- if you compare the outcome of the Cross Bronx Expressway with the construction of the BQE and the Promenade in Brooklyn Heights: A totally different approach to project development that resulted in one of the most magnificent neighborhoods in New York City.

Private sector companies, including developers in the example of JFK that I just mentioned, as well as the terminals themselves, and their host communities can thrive when they work together. This is an

2.2

2.3

example of a broader movement in the private sector that involves engagement on producing environmental, social, and governance outcomes.

Companies can learn from the communities in which they operate, engagement and these issues is good for business and good for communities. So when you think of a Community Equity Agreement, created at the beginning of the development process, think of it as a process for community engagement, starting with public notices, involvement of elected officials and community organizations, the definition of the area to be impacted by the project, and possibly funding community representatives to retain advisors.

The process, yes, it could take several months, but the result would be a negotiated agreement that clearly defines its purpose, and the process leading up to it. It would address amenities and services, local hiring and wages, local contracting and materials procurement, education and workforce training, housing development and subsidies, and climate resiliency measures. The benefits could be linked to the economic success of the project, with the community having a direct stake in the project's profit margins. The agreement could include

2.2

2.3

monitoring and dispute resolution procedures to resolve disagreements through mediation instead of litigation.

Sophisticated developers are already thinking along these lines, increasing the odds of it becoming a best practice. Project development initiatives that leverage public-private partnerships are ideally suited to play a catalytic role. Project locations such as JFK are in a unique position to tap the economic potential of influence over a global supply chain that depends on access to Metropolitan New York to complete the journey to our doorsteps.

We all benefit from that access, yet some pay a higher price (including the host communities) than others. Let's make those economic opportunities a path to equitable communities. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thanks so much for both testimonies. I felt like that was a walk down history. And it was great context as we look at JFK, but I think as it was alluded to in both of your testimonies it, it also gives insight in terms of the future of major infrastructure projects in New York City. So when EDC is administering leases, how we should start to look at these opportunities.

2.2

2.3

So just a few questions. First for Chris:

Historically, has the Port Authority reinvested money received from operating the airports in the surrounding communities?

MR. WARD: Um, I think the answer to that is essentially no: That the Port Authority's investments are directly related -- as John was making clear -- to the project itself. The AirTrain project was an exception where there was a segregated community benefit agreement for the Van Wyck. But generally, the Port Authority does not build into its project development, that kind of host community agreements that John was referring to.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Do you know why the Port Authority has been reluctant to do so?

MR. WARD: Well, I'd like part of the theme of what John and I are talking about today is that you can't ask people to operate outside of the historical experience that they find themselves in.

And perhaps I'm being overly defensive, having been the Executive Director of the Port Authority -- and I regret that the Port decided not to be here today -- that when you think about the way the Port Authority is developed, it developed from the most

2.2

2.3

macroeconomic development perspective, and slowly but surely, over time, as we've gotten smarter, better at what we do, we've begun to realize the kind of issues that the airports, or the bus terminal, or the ports end up creating, from communities. And we've refined that relationship over time.

I think what John and I are arguing is that now the time has come that we break the historic model of community relations for the Port Authority, and create a much more dynamic one. That we can't afford to see projects simply as a one-off and secure a \$60 billion Community Investment Fund for mitigation. We need to come up with a new model that's reflective of the economy today. You could not have done probably a Community Benefit Agreement or even doing the equity agreement that John is recommending at a different historical time. The point is, this is the opportunity now to recast that economic landscape and build community benefits into projects at the beginning.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And do you see any type of, like, mechanisms to acquire the Port or that would, you know, leave the Port to make such an investment in surrounding communities?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. WARD: Well, one would hope that political leadership would bring the Port Authority to understand that their long-term future is tied to-tied to this this kind of relationship. The Port, you know, particularly given its financial situation, really must find new ways to do business, must find new ways to connect with communities. I'm not sure that the City outside of its relationship through the pilot payment, has any mechanism to say, "You must". Although the ULURP process for certain projects, could give the Council an ability to insist at the front end of a project that certain benefits be built into it. But each project would have to be dealt through the ULURP process. I think that's probably the most sort of significant point of engagement where you could begin to put these kinds of programs together.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And, John, you spoke about the new way of looking at Community Benefit Agreements. And I thought it was interesting, especially because when I think about JFK, even though it's in a redevelopment program, right now, JFK is always being redeveloped. It's always going to be a neighbor and sit within the city center. And

like and include?

2.2

2.3

so, like, what could that look like at, for example,
in an airport, what could a long-term agreement look

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, just as you said,

Councilmember, it's in— it's in a constant state of

flux. The Port Authority relies largely on public—

private partnerships to redevelop the airport. They

have a lot of power over what they require in a

procurement with a private partner to develop a new

terminal or an air cargo facility.

If they decide that they want to provide ongoing benefits to the host community, that can be part of the procurement or the contract renegotiations.

Okay? And they can take into to account that, in fact, a land lease, for instance, for an air cargo terminal could be 20, 30, 40, 50 years. That means that transaction is going to generate economic benefit to the developer over the entire length of that period of time. Where is the community's stake? Because again, they're the host, they're experiencing the ongoing impacts, where is the community's stake in that? And why not not only give the community a seat at the table in discussing this at the

2.2

2.3

network.

project that delivers economic outcomes that are translated into, for instance, childcare, or subsidized housing, or improvements in worker training, or educational systems, et cetera. You can carve out whatever you want at the beginning of that project, build it into the pro forma for the deal, and that's passed on to the global supply chain

Now, I'm certain people would say, "Well, that would burden us and put us at a disadvantage." Well, tell me what portion that network does not want access to JFK. Yet that network gains the benefits of having access to JFK, why wouldn't the host community?

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. And just really quickly going back to Chris, and then I'm going to pass it to Chair Farías and our colleagues. You spoke about investments in the community, with AirTrain in particular, which is closely related to redevelopment in the communities that are impacted. What did that, like. level of engagement look like? And what was-- what is your thoughts about how that process went and the engagement with the community? I know-- I will say that the Port Authority has a

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Community Advisory Council now. I'm not sure, at that time, if that was something that was established or not. But the Port Authority really has the control. Like they set when that council meets, what the agenda is. And I've heard like a lot of stories in the community, of course, in terms of some of the benefits from AirTrain, whether it's jobs, that today you see at the local Long Island Railroad at Sutphin or beautification along the Van Wyck. So I'm really interested from your perspective, having been the Executive Director, what that -- was it called a CBA, or what was it called? What was the arrangement? MR. WARD: I don't think it actually even-- I'm not sure it really actually even had a name. I would say that, going back to my earlier point -- oh, do I need to press that? I think going back to my earlier point, there wasn't a name for it then. And I look at then-Councilman Leroy Comrie, who's here with us today, and I think back to the -- the very conversation with the community. And you know, let's be honest, Southeast Queens, African American community, has some of the highest homeownership rates in the United States for African American communities. And here's suddenly this large project,

1

3 down the middle of the Van Wyck. And everybody's

4 like going well, just as John would say, like, "Well,

5 wait a minute. Why does this community, of all

6 communities, have to now add on to the impacts of the

7 | airport a train system going down the Van Wyck?" But

8 | without a doubt, connectivity to the economic

9 activity at Kennedy was critical, and borne

10 organically out of that through groups like Amity

11 | that are in Southeast Queens and the political

12 | leadership of then-Councilman Leroy Comrie, where

13 | organically a conversation grew out of that, that

14 what can the Port Authority do to make sure that this

15 project, which should happen for economic reasons,

16 | isn't so disruptive to their community. And so it

17 | really was -- I was the lead for the AirTrain

18 ∥ approval project through ULURP, but it really was the

19 creation of this fund to address the one-time impacts

20 (as John has made clear), of AirTrain. What we

21 | faltered on was building it into a long-term, almost

22 | investment bank, for the community.

And I think what we're seeing is the evolution of

24 | the Port Authority hopefully being responsive to

25 \parallel these very sorts-- sorts of issues. And I gave that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as an example that it was in direct response to a community need. So therefore, we have the will to do it. We've done it before. We could do it through the pilot.

And I want to come back to one thing John said which is so critical: That pricing the community out because we can't afford it is no longer acceptable. You would not say to a developer, "You can't use concrete," in the same way, we would not say, "You can't address the community -- you have to address the community issues as part of a built infrastructure in the cost model." The cost models for the reasons why projects are failing or are challenged are not because of community investments. It's faltering, because of larger economic risks, long-term equity investments, et cetera. So I really dislike it when people end up saying, "Well, we can't afford to do that," when in fact, if it was at the front end, like the price of concrete, the community impacts could be built into the model itself.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And I appreciate that. And I thank again, both of you for your testimony, and just giving that historical context and a global perspective and vision for what is

possible, especially as we look at other major

projects across the city, but in particular, the JFK

redevelopment. And so I will pass it over to Chair

Farías.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: I-- you took my question, pretty much. You answered my question before I was even able to ask it.

I guess on top of that, I would like to know:

Have prior conversations ever occurred while you were

there in regards to looking at the Port's Pilot and

saying, "Instead of going to the general fund, let's

create a completely separate fund where we can then

dedicate, or have more discretion over, you know,

where some of this money is going and how it gets

invested back into the community or what it is

utilized for."

MR. WARD: The answer to that is no. The Port Authority, having paid it, I think, relied on the city for the best use of how those funds should be dispersed throughout the general fund.

My point is, is a narrow one, but an important one. One, let's be careful about what we do cost the Port Authority because of their financial issues.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sure.

2.2

2.3

> MR. WARD: And, two, the Pilot Payment is in effect a Community Development Fund, although it just goes into the broader community. There's no reason on earth why that couldn't be more narrowly focused and funding specific projects in Southeast Queens.

> CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay, thank you for that. Yeah. I mean, I agree with your -- obviously with your suggestion. And I did just want to highlight or really thank John for including the Cross Bronx as an example. I represent council district 18, which receives the direct negative impacts and lack of community intentions and like deliberateness on the creation of a lot of the Robert-Moses-era infrastructure projects. So I really appreciate you bringing that aspect in. And I'm in full agreement with-- with what you folks are talking about today, especially as a District 18 native, as an asthmatic that has received negative impacts from all of that overdevelopment and lack of, you know, open green space and investment in community health implications, and so on and so forth. So I did just want to acknowledge that and say, Thank you.

MR. WARD: Thanks.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: I will yield right now to see if anyone has any other questions and continue to ideate a little bit. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Councilmember Lee? COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Thank you so much. It was interesting. And thank you so much for Chair Brooks-Powers and Chair Farías for holding this hearing. And it was interesting to hear you talk about the cargo facilities as a creative plan and solution. And I know something that a bunch of us have been talking a lot about, and this is sort of like a side note, is -- is just on the whole, you know, illegal truck parking issue and transportation which is tied to a lot of the facilities and cargo. And so just out of curiosity, because I know that Councilmember Williams, myself, and Chair Brooks-Powers have-- have been talking about this a lot in our districts, but is there a way to, I guess, creatively think about the truck parking, you know, JFK as a possibility for that space. And has that been brought up at all in any of the Advisory Council conversations or discussions that you've been part of? And just in terms of: If it has been, are there any changes or adjustments that it has led to in the redevelopment

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

plan? Or has that been incorporated? Just out of
curiosity?

MR. WARD: I wish the Port Authority was here because they could probably give you a much better answer than I could, because mine might be slightly out of date.

But, um, I think one of the problems-- the-- the short answer is no. I don't think really the-- the implications of what air cargo will mean in terms of its externalities, its local community impacts, particularly the issue of truck idling and truck parking. And again, the Port Authority has struggled to bring air cargo and air cargo projects to the location, and so has been reluctant, I think, to expand the conversation of how air cargo does have those community impacts. I think right now, as John alluded to, we are on the cusp of an air cargo industry that is exploding with goods movement. for any projects now, going forward, recognizing that trucks need to drive into Kennedy to pick up this cargo needs to be built into whatever air cargo model that we're developing. And I know the Port Authority would like to bring more air cargo on airport to free up space to have a more regulated controlled trucking

49

3 happened yet. But with-- where air cargo is likely

4 going, given the Amazon economy, I think now's the

5 time to start to stitch together a new fabric, if you

6 | will, around the air cargo facilities, which, you

7 know, move out from the leasehold of JFK into

8 | Southeast Queens. But I don't think those

9 discussions have really taken place in any robust way

10 to date.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

2

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay, thank you. And just wanted to give a special shout out, because I know folks from DOT and NYPD are here that have been helping a lot with the enforcement of that. So I just wanted to say thank you.

MR. WARD: Great.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: I'd also like to take a moment to acknowledge we've been joined by Councilmembers Williams, Rivera, and Gutiérrez.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. There are no more questions with this.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.

MR. WARD: Thank you very much.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And Councilmember Lee with the agencies, we will be able to ask that question again.

COUNSEL BREITBART: Our next witnesses will be Mikelle Adgate and Andrew Genn, from EDC.

And I'll administer the oath and I'll-- I'll do

it also for the representatives from DOT who are with

us, Charles Ukegbu and Diniece Mendes, and from the

NYPD, Deputy Chief Michael Pilecki and Michael

Clarke. I know you're here for answering questions,

but I figured we can administer the oath together.

Please raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth before these committees and to respond honestly, to Councilmember questions.

ALL: I do.

COUNSEL BREITBART: You may begin when ready.

MR. GENN: Good afternoon, Chairs Farías and
Brooks-Powers and members of the Economic Development
and Transportation Committees. My name is Andrew
Genn, and I serve as the Senior Vice President of
Transportation for the New York City Economic
Development Corporation. I'm joined by my colleague
Mikelle Adgate, Senior Vice President in our

2 Government and Community Relations Department. An with us today are also Charles Ukegbu, Assistant

4 Commissioner Regional and Strategic Planning, and

Diniece Mendes, Director of the Office of Freight

6 Mobility from the Department of Transportation as

7 | well as Deputy Chief Michael Pilecki, and director

8 Michael Clark from the New York City Police

9 Department.

1

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you for this opportunity to testify about EDCs role in the JFK Redevelopment Program. John F. Kennedy International Airport is critical to New York City's economy. It supports tens of thousands of direct and indirect jobs, generates over \$40 billion in economic activity, while connecting city residents to the world and bringing the world to us. Prior to the pandemic in 2018, JFK accounted for nearly 41,000 direct jobs and 278,000 indirect jobs. During the height of the COVID 19 pandemic, as you can imagine JFK suffered tremendous losses with total passenger volume shrinking by 70%. However, JFK and the other regional airports rebounded just like New York City, and has since regained passengers. In November 2022. JFK saw an increase of 34.5% in passenger volumes over the previous year. This included a 15% increase

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in domestic passengers and a healthy 65% increase in international travelers. These monthly increases followed even stronger recovery months through the first half of the year, reflecting a rebound in air travel Following the disruptions that occurred in the two previous years due to COVID.

JFK in New York City have enormous competitive advantages that the airport continues to capitalize First, New York City is by far the nation's largest consumer market with a diverse population that reflects the entire world. It is a gateway for millions of tourists and provides New Yorkers with access to every corner of the globe. In 2019, the airport served a record 62.5 million passengers, over half of whom were international passengers, also a record. Even in 2021, during the recovery from COVID, it served more than 30 million travelers. JFK is also a major gateway for trade. Shippers with goods destined for the metropolitan area, New England, and mid-Atlantic and beyond often make JFK their first choice. And JFK has the most international traffic of any airport in the United States. Every international flight to New York City can carry cargo in its hold. Well over 50% of JFK

2.2

2.3

cargo comes in the belly of commercial aircrafts, with the rest arriving on dedicated freighter aircraft. This enormous lift provides shippers with a cost advantage out of JFK for many foreign markets. Frequent service with multiple flights per day is available to key cargo gateways in Europe, the Middle East, and elsewhere.

Additionally, JFK has specialized facilities that can handle almost every type of cargo. JFK has an unparalleled network of freight forwarders, customs brokers, and trucking companies that can process and ship this cargo and are familiar with the everchanging customs and security regulations.

Lastly, JFK has enormous room and potential for growth. With almost 5000 acres JFK can accommodate forecast demand for cargo facilities for the next 30 years.

I now want to turn to the role that the City of New York and EDC plays with JFK. The City of New York owns the land that both LaGuardia and JFK are located on. We at EDC represent the counterparty to the City's agreement with the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey for both sides. The current lease began in 2004 and was set to expire in 2050,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

before the 2021 lease extension extended the time to 2060. Under the terms of what's known as a triple net lease agreement, the Port Authority has complete operational control of the airport.

Through its operational control, the Port Authority oversees capital planning such as renovations, as well as the current redevelopment The Port Authority is also responsible for all aspects of airport functions including airside operations, asset management, maintenance, customer experience, capacity utilization, environmental stewardship, safety, security, and community relations. Many of these activities it carries out through subleases vendor agreements and concessions. On the other hand, the city, and by extension EDC, have a far more limited role under the terms of the lease agreement. To monitor the Port Authority's performance however, the 2004 lease created an Airport Board, which is empowered to review municipal air terminal operations against certain financial, operational, and performance standards that were established by the City and the Port Authority. board includes four mayoral appointees and for representatives from the Port Authority. The Airport

Board provides an enhanced ability for the City to engage with the Port Authority using key performance metrics including market share for passenger and freight relative to competing airports, passenger and freight air services by global region, delays, and capital investment.

And as I previously mentioned in 2021, the city in close coordination with local elected officials agreed to extend the lease 10 years to 2060 to facilitate the ambitious and needed redevelopment of JFK that envisions a unified interconnected world-class airport with the capacity to handle a forecasted 100 million passengers by 2050. The JFK redevelopment will result in the construction of four new terminals at Terminals One, Six, Four, and Eight, supported by \$15 billion in private investment and \$3 billion investment by the Port Authority.

Multiple representatives from the City family participated in the negotiations that led to the lease extension including EDC and elected officials. Through this broad participation in this negotiation, a package of community benefits was identified and ultimately secured.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

With respect to workforce development, this City has set high expectations for the Port Authority to ensure that 30% of jobs are minority hires and 7% are women hires across all construction trades, as well as a higher 40% minority hire among laborers. We require best efforts to hire first from zip codes around JFK Airport, followed by all of Queens. We require new pre-apprenticeship programs prioritizing local residents in coordination with the Buildings and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York.

We also expanded the Council for Airport

Opportunity in downtown Jamaica and Far Rockaway to

facilitate long term local hiring. The CAO is a

nonprofit trade association whose mission is to place

local residents in airport jobs through job training,

job readiness, and other services.

In addition, we also secured a commitment to fund an Office of Second Chance Employment to connect formerly incarcerated individuals or those with past involvement in the criminal justice systems with jobs at the airport.

When it comes to business development, we secured a commitment for the Port Authority to engage with MWBE, contracting across their financing construction

2.2

2.3

design and operation needs, including prioritization of local MWBEs. We also required that they hire independent MWBE compliance consultants to focus on meeting, monitoring, and reporting on MWBE goals as well as develop a business development opportunity center to facilitate local capacity building and matchmaking focusing on local MWBEs. To ensure that the redevelopment creates opportunities for youth in Queens, the City and elected leaders included a commitment for the Port Authority to create scholarship programs for local, middle, and high school students and establish an aviation-focused STEM program at York College.

These efforts also include the expansion of a summer mini-camp in collaboration with the Eastern Queens Alliance that educates middle school students on environmental stewardship and sustainability.

These students also participate in multiple high school career fairs annually and have opportunities through local internship programs. The 2021 lease also ensured commitments related to environmental sustainability. The Port Authority has committed to a minimum of LEED Silver at the new terminals and the new terminals will transition diesel-powered ground

service equipment to electric. During redevelopment, the construction equipment is required to be lowest reasonable emissions use, including limiting engine size, requiring electric vehicles wherever possible, and enforcing idling restrictions. Implementing marine-based barging of materials to reduce local delivery trucks, and deploying low emission vehicles shuttle service from Aqueduct to JFK for all construction crews.

Taken together implementing these measures will result in a model for large-scale construction in New York.

In closing, I wish to restate our sincere commitment to working with the City Council and local stakeholders to ensure that JFK Airport not only is the premier international gateway for travelers and goods, but a continuing source of economic vitality to the community. We believe that the recent lease extension commitments, its reporting structures and strong community involvement provide the right framework to harness this economic engine for the betterment of the surrounding community, the borough of Queens, and the entire city.

2.2

2.3

- 2 Thank you for the opportunity to testify.
- 3 Mikelle and I are -- as well as our colleagues from
- 4 DOT and NYPD -- are now available for questions.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you so much for
- 7 | that. So let's start with the Airport Board
- 8 | agreement. Under its lease with the city, EDC has
- 9 seats on the Airport Board alongside the Port
- 10 Authority, as you mentioned in your statement. Can
- 11 | you let us know if all of the seats on the Airport
- 12 | Board are currently filled?
- 13 MR. GENN: Councilmember. Yeah, they are filled
- 14 | through-- through the positions at EDC and Small
- 15 Business Services.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And what-- what are
- 17 | those positions and who are on the board?
- 18 MR. GENN: They are the Executive Vice Presidents
- 19 of Asset Management and Planning at EDC, the Aviation
- 20 | Director -- and I'm playing that role today -- and
- 21 | the Commissioner or the First Deputy Commissioner of
- 2.2

SBS.

- 23 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So that's three.
- 24 What's the fourth one?

MR. GENN: Oh, I'm sorry. It's two Executive

Vice Presidents, one for Asset Management and one for
our Planning Division.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And my understanding is the Aviation Director position is currently vacant.

MR. GENN: It is, but I am serving as-CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Acting--

MR. GENN: I'm acting aviation director. Yes, Councilmember.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So there are no community members on the Board. Could there be community members, since this is appointed by the mayor?

MS. ADGATE: Thank you for the question,

Councilmember. So as you mentioned, these are

mayoral appointees. In 2004, these were the

appointments at the time. We have raised this

question with senior leadership at City Hall. And

our understanding is that they'll be following up

with you about opportunities, or-- basically, to get

back to the question on whether there's room for the

mayor to add or change appointments.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sorry. In part of these conversations, have we thrown around the idea of maybe the-- the Council Speaker having a couple of appointments to ensure that there's community members and/or local community input?

MS. ADGATE: That has not been raised yet, to my understanding, but we're happy to take that back to senior leaders in the administration.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: That'd be great. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: What duties does the

Airport Board have to oversee the JFK Redevelopment

Program, just more generally, in terms of the

operations of JFK?

MR. GENN: Sure. No-- and one thing, I want a little history, the-- you know, prior to the 2004 lease that we're really operating under, the city had really no say at all, and we got very little in terms of economic benefit from the two airports. So the Airport Board was seen as a real reform, you know, that give gave us basically an advisory seat at the table. So the way it's structured is the Port Authority has a-- has to provide reports on all of these performance metrics that I can-- including, you know, how the airports are doing in terms of market

1	COMMITTEE	ON	ECONOMIC	DEVELOPMENT

share; passenger services, in terms in terms of where the flights are going, that we're maintaining our flight presence around the world; cargo services and how they're doing; operations, including delays; the capital spending, including the redevelopment program; the quality of services given across a broad set of metrics; community outreach goals, including reports on how the Council of Airport Opportunity, and the other organizations that are set up to interface with the community, are doing. And then in the 2021 lease extension, we added environmental sustainability metrics, including their-- their ability to reduce emissions through those things that I mentioned in my testimony, as well as the-- the JFK redevelopment community benefits that were part of that, including progress on the milestones relating to the MWBE goals that I also talked about in my testimony.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I'm going to turn back to the community benefits in a moment. But staying in line with the Airport Board Agreement: How frequent are the board-- the board meetings?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 MR. GENN: They are twice a year and they are 3 timed to the release of those performance reports, 4 which we get every six months. So...

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So when is the last time you met and the next time you're going to meet?

MR. GENN: Well, the last time we met was in February of 22. And we were planning our next-CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Last year? Last February.

11 MR. GENN: Last February. Yup.

12 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So you only met one 13 time last year?

14 MR. GENN: Yup.

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

15 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay.

MR. GENN: We've-- we have continuing dialogues with-- with Port Authority staff, but the next meeting will be coming up in February.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And are minutes taken?

MR. GENN: We do have minutes, yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Where can those minute meetings be found?

MS. ADGATE: So at this point in time, the minutes have not been shared publicly. But you know,

2.2

2.3

we understand that— that is, you know, of interest to the Committee and to the Council. And so I think that is one of the things that we want to take back with the rest of the Board in conversation with the Port.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Can others from the City attend? Like any of us could attend any meetings, or...?

MS. ADGATE: At this point, they've been closed meetings. And again, that is, you know, based on how this was negotiated in 2004, when the Airport Board was created.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Who determines when you all meet?

MR. GENN: Its mutual agreement, but it's tied to the release of those reports. So they send us the report, we review it, and then we come up with questions that we can bring up with them at the meetings.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: What information has the Port Authority provided in the updates?

MR. GENN: They provide a wide array of data, including, kind of, progress on the redevelopment plan. They provide really updates on all the things

about open meetings law.

2.2

2.3

that I said, including, you know, passenger volume, cargo volume, how we're doing in terms of comparison with our competitive US airports and world airports.

They provide, you know, the full panoply of things that they're required to. They have done, I would say, a good job in issuing those reports.

Board meetings subject to the open meetings law?

MS. ADGATE: That-- I actually don't have an answer to that. You know, given that they were structured in a way that they were closed meetings.

I would have to follow up with you on the specifics

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Is -- is the Airport

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. I have a lot more questions. So I'm going to yield to some of my colleagues to get some questions out as well. We have Councilmember Lee.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Sorry, I'll ask my question, again to this panel from the previous one as well.

So just in your conversations -- first of all, I'm just kind of absorbing what you had just mentioned, and I'm kind of wondering why the -- especially if it's appointees -- why the meetings -- if there's a portion of them that would be allowed to be public,

2.2

2.3

or if there's an opportunity to engage the public and some of the feedback that you guys are-- that are coming out of the meetings, I would say.

MS. ADGATE: Yeah, so there— there is a public forum that the Port has that Councilmember Brooks— Powers mentioned in her opening statement, in terms of the Community Advisory Council and, you know, we—members of our team do attend those where, you know, the— the Port does presentation. That council is Chaired by Congressman Meeks and Queensboro President Richards. But I do hear the question that— about the Airport Board, and whether that information can be made public. I think that is something that, you know, having these conversations with the Council, we will take back.

COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay, thank you. And then I know this is going a little bit more into a somewhat, not direct, but related topic of conversation regarding, you know, the cargo units, because just wondering if it is being up to— if it's being met in terms of industry standards right now, currently, as it is? And are there— is there an opportunity and are the cargo facilities and systems being upgraded as part of the redevelopment plan?

2.2

2.3

MR. GENN: Not directly, as part of the redevelopment plan that I was describing, but EDC and the Port Authority have done two air cargo studies that resulted in plans— action plans to expand air cargo at JFK, and to modernize the facilities. That has resulted in new investment, particularly the Aeroterm Project, which you may have heard of, but it's one of the first of the new air cargo facilities that's being built, and we hope will be— there'll be others as well.

mentioned earlier, because the issue of the truck parking, if— if it has not been raised, you know, I would really want to see if this could be addressed, and just out of curiosity hasn't been raised at all in any of the conversations? And I guess it's because, you know, with a lot of the more international shipments and all the, you know, industry and the way it's changing with E commerce, I think that is also impacting the roads as well. And so just how does that all play in together? If you could go into that a little bit.

MS. ADGATE: Yeah. Thank you for the question. We're actually joined by colleagues from the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Department of Transportation who have been, you know, really engaged on the issue of truck parking. So I will hand that over to them.

MS. MENDES: Thank you for the question. So after hearing a series of community concerns and raising concerns around-- and electeds as well-around overnight truck parking, we recognize this as a city-wide issue. The city kicked off a multiagency task force around overnight truck parking. This task force consists of multiple agencies across the mayor's offices, NYPD, DSNY, DOT, EDC, DCAS, Department of City Planning, and the Sheriff's Office. The goal of the task force is really to come up with long term solutions for-- more sustainable solutions for solving the issue. Enforcement is certainly a critical part of that. But we recognize there's a need for addressing supply as well, particularly as there's only one public truck parking facility in all of New York City.

The goals of the task force is really using sort of a data-informed approach to identify the areas-or problematic areas with the highest incidence as well as potential sites for truck parking. This month, the task force will be sending out

- questionnaires to electeds, community groups, and
 industry groups as well to help assess their needs
 and also better determine the most appropriate
 solutions. We are certainly on track to share more
 detailed recommendations from the task force this
 spring, and we welcome the opportunity to brief your
 - COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Sure. And just really quickly: How-- sorry, when was that task force created, just out of curiosity?
- 12 MS. MENDES: Early in September, last year.
- 13 COUNCILMEMBER LEE: Okay, thank you.
- 14 MS. MENDES: Sure.

offices first.

8

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- 15 CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Next up, we have
- 16 | Councilmember Williams.
 - COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Hello. I think I'm a little confused, because there was a lot of "we language", and I never ever interacted with EDC on the creation of any of the things that you said in your testimony. So I'm a little confused about that.

 Do you have an explanation? Because...?
 - MS. ADGATE: I think you might be referring to the 2021 lease extension, and the community commitments that were laid out at that time. And so,

2.2

2.3

discussed.

you know, in conversation with the Councilmember, the Borough President, that was, you know, really, when those commitments, the MWBE goals, and the environmental and sustainability goals were-- were

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah. You said, "we require" and then you said something, "We require new pre-apprenticeship programs," and then you said, "We also expanded the Council for Airport Opportunities," but EDC was never ever a part of any of those discussions in creating that.

MS. ADGATE: So I'll turn it over to my colleague to talk specifically about the lease agreement and EDC's role as the administer of that lease agreement.

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: But some of this stuff is not baked into the lease agreement. Some of this stuff just came out of conversations with the Community Advisory Council. And he also stated that you attend Advisory Council meetings, and I know maybe you've attended the last one, but the program has existed for well over three years, and I've never, ever seen EDC attend any of those meetings.

MR. GENN: We-- we have a mayoral appointee, and we have been attending-- the Aviation Director does

2.2

2.3

attend those meetings. So right now, we don't have one, so we may have missed a meeting or two. But our intention is to attend those and— and I appreciate that the comment, and we— we will be attending all future meetings.

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: And it's a little misleading to kind of insinuate that you all were a part of any of the initiatives that took place.

Maybe you were a part of some high-level discussions that might have happened at the Port level in terms of intentionality around the lease agreements, but EDC was not a part of any of the things that you just mentioned in your testimony.

MR. GENN: We were part of a negotiating team that was led by City Hall at the time, but we were very much-- because the lease sits with us and we were--

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: No. But at the time it was Cuomo and Bill de Blasio, and they were not even really in--

Anyway, I just want to state for the record that
I have not seen EDC be a part of anything in
reference to the initiatives that you referenced in
your testimony. I mean, you swore yourself in but

like, EDC has not been a part of any of this stuff.

Maybe there was some high level conversations that happened with the Port Authority, but in terms of your role in creating any of these initiatives, like you were not there, like that is like a factual, like, thing. Like, you weren't not—EDC was not a part of any of that. I remember one time EDC was a part of one conversation in reference to cargo. And this was like four or five years ago and in that conversation, EDC pushed everything off to the Port Authority and absolve themselves of any responsibility to address the cargo operations in and around JFK.

So the next question, you mentioned the Aviation

Director. Can you please explain the Aviation

Director's role, like a full detailed scope. Like what do you actually do?

MR. GENN: So the-- the 2004 lease included a provision for an Aviation Liaison that would be a staff member at EDC. And since I've been at EDC, we've had-- we've had four Aviation Directors, and their role specifically is to interface with the Port Authority, with the airlines, and with the community

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

on all things related to the airports and the heliports and the seaplane base.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Who are you guys working with in the Port Authority?

MR. GENN: We work with Susan Warner-Dooley and Hersh Parekh in the Aviation Department.

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, and what has the--been the extent of your role?

MR. GENN: Um, the major things that we have done are the two air cargo studies that we-- that we did in the 2015 and 2012. And those helped form the basis for, you know, defining where air cargo expansion could take place at JFK. We also worked on the LaGuardia Redevelopment Program including the-- the ULURP that was responsible for allowing the new terminals to be pushed out towards the-- towards the parkway. So we-- we have been part of all of those discussions.

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. And in reference to the cargo operations, is EDC committed to also looking at space in and around the airport to support cargo operations? So, I specifically remember a conversation, and it was really focused on the property that the Port Authority leases out on

2.2

2.3

airport property, but the City also owns property around surrounding areas of the airport. And when this question was brought up, EDC had nothing to say. And this was about four or five years ago. I don't remember the person who attended the meeting, but you know, as we talked about an interagency task force, I guess, as EDC is attempting to play a bigger role, it will be helpful if you all can commit to looking at other properties you have to support trucking around that area. As so eloquently mentioned, there is not a lot of infrastructure to support trucks.

MR. GENN: The first thing I want to say is that we do want to work in that manner that you're describing, that we want to be present, and we agree with what your-- your statement. I also want to just take the opportunity to say that another thing that we worked on was Gateway JFK, the-- the Industrial Business Improvement District, and that was also a major EDC initiative with our, you know, parent agency, Small Business Services. So-- and all with the goal of, you know, being a greater presence in Springfield Gardens and addressing issues, like the truck-- the truck issues that we were talking about before. So we will be present.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, my time is up. just hope that this is an opportunity-- I know this is primarily under the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey to carry out this project. But I always felt while working for the JFK Redevelopment Program, it was a missed opportunity on the city side to support the program in terms of building opportunities for people, especially economic opportunities for people, to leverage the billiondollar project happening in our backyard, happening on property that the city owns. And so I was a little frustrated to see that you all claimed to do all these things, and EDC has been pretty quiet and absent on anything. And I always think of the lens of LAX. LAX, as they redeveloped, the state participated, local LA participated in creating a plethora of opportunities for community members to be a part.

We certainly want to hold the terminal developers accountable, we certainly want to hold the Port Authority accountable, but if we're all working in the name of helping New Yorkers access opportunities, building, you know, a vital economic system for our city, then I do think this is an opportunity for EDC

to leverage existing programs. You have existing programs that can support the redevelopment. And over the last four years, I have not seen anything that EDC has done to contribute to that at all. So I hope that this hearing sparked something into the Administration to leverage this opportunity and be supportive of the program from the city level. Thank you.

MS. ADGATE: Yes, and thank you for the comment, Councilmember. You know, we certainly see ourselves as a partner with the Committee and the Council and the community. And I believe we have an upcoming meeting with you and your team to talk about these very issues. And so I look forward to engaging and working closely together.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that,

Councilmember Williams. I was confused about that as

well, because one of my questions from your remarks

was: When he spoke about the scholarship programs

for local middle and high school, do you know that

has been established yet?

MR. GENN: My understanding is that it has, but we have to dive into it and understand kind of where

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 it's taking place. And I know the York College
3 program has started.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: My understanding is that it has not.

MR. GENN: It has not? Then that gives...

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Right. So again, these-- Councilmember Williams and I are both very familiar with this program inside and out. And so when I saw that, I was wondering about the statement. And maybe you meant that these are things that have been committed by the Board--

MR. GENN: They are on paper, exactly, and we have to implement.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: --but not the EDC.

But that's what I'm interested in understanding, and

I think that the committee is interested in

understanding, because New York City has leased this

property. So we have an interest and also the restin the community. And so how are we playing the role
in terms of administrating this lease to ensure that
the Port Authority is following through?

You also mentioned in your testimony, in terms of standards that, you know, have been established, performance standards, looking at the financials,

- operational. So I'm interested in knowing what
 mechanism exists, if the Port Authority ever slips,
- 4 or if they are slipping, I don't know. But what
- 5 mechanism exists to protect the interest of the City
- 6 in this?
- 7 MR. GENN: It's really born out of that 2004
- 8 lease where we had no mechanisms, and now we do have
- 9 at least the tracking of the standards. And I'll be
- 10 | honest with you. The-- the role of the Board is
- 11 | advisory. EDCs role is as the lease administrator,
- 12 you know, of a triple net lease. So what we are
- 13 seeking through this is cooperation, a partnership
- 14 | with the Port Authority, and with the community to
- 15 | you know-- with, with all this infrastructure that we
- 16 have that comes out of the lease and the lease
- 17 extension to get all these things right.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Now during the
- 19 pandemic were reports provided for EDC during that
- 20 time?
- 21 MR. GENN: We-- Yes, they were. Yes, they were.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: How frequently?
- 23 MR. GENN: Twice a year.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: During the pandemic
- 25 in 2020 and 2021, you received reports twice a year?

2 MR. GENN: We did.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: But last year, in the recovery, you only got one report?

MR. GENN: We have the one report, and then there's one on its way that's late.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Yeah. Okay. And what-- what information is just, globally speaking, in those reports?

MR. GENN: So they are very thorough reports that includes passenger counts, cargo counts, you know, number of, you know, number of destinations served, how that's changed over time, account of the airlines, an update on the on capital improvements, both by the Port Authority as well as by the-- the private developers of the terminals.

And it also includes metrics, like, you know, how clean are the bathrooms, you know. So it's-- it's quite wide ranging, and it gives us a nice look at both airports. And as I said, it also includes now how well they're doing on sustainability goals and MWBE goals.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: During the-- oh, before I go there, in terms of the pandemic. So in the reporting, does it also include like the, when

Airlines too. I'm interested in knowing what the

25

3 So it'd be great if we could get access to those 4 reports as well.

MR. GENN: I have that—— I have that data in terms of MWBE participation at Terminal—— at Terminal—Four and Terminal——

reporting show to you in terms of the participation.

81

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: No, you could share it, but like, it would be great if you can provide it to us. I'm a visual person, so...

11 MR. GENN: Sure.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. ADGATE: And just to be clear, Councilmember, are you asking about construction activity only? Or are-- because I think the data that we're referring to is, you know, post-2021, and the redevelopment commitments. I just want to be clear on what we're following up with you on.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So the Airport Board was formed in the 2004 Lease Agreement. Correct?

MS. ADGATE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So the reporting has dated back to 2004?

MS. ADGATE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So in 2020, and 2021, there was a pandemic. But you said that you received

2.2

2.3

two reports each year from the Port Authority. I want to know what it shows.

MS. ADGATE: Understood.

MR. GENN: We can -- Sure. We can provide --

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I think there's a misrepresentation in terms of activity at JFK during the pandemic, that nothing happened at JFK, which we know is not true, because there was just a ribbon cutting at Terminal Eight. So...

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: I'm sorry, I just wanted to really quickly ask about some of these questions I heard leasing, and I didn't get to ask it earlier.

So the lease dates that the quarterly updates from the Port Authority to the Airport Board-- Board are supposed to include updates with regards to potential collaboration with EDC and the City to foster development opportunities. Can-- has the Port Authority discuss those areas for collaboration with you folks at EDC?

MR. GENN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay. Can you discuss what some of them are?

MR. GENN: Well, some of the things we mentioned, particularly air cargo modernization, and also, you

2.2

2.3

know, we have, you know, talked about the MWBE goals, talked about, you know, the sustainability goals in particular.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay. And then, in your testimony, you mentioned that in 2021 the City is in close coordination with local elected officials, and they agreed to extend the lease 10 years through 2060. Is there any place in this world where we might reconsider not extending the lease, especially, I mean, I think, with their lack thereof, participation here today and transparency and accountability on our city property, is there anywhere where we do not see ourselves extending the lease?

MS. ADGATE: I mean, I don't think that's been contemplated. As-- as Andrew said, you know, we see the Port as partners, not just with JFK, but LaGuardia and other critical infrastructure that supports the city and supports, you know, economic development across the city. And so, you know, we, as you know, we have these conversations with the Council and with the community. We certainly want to get better in terms of not only the-- articulating the commitments, but also ensuring compliance with

them. But, you know, we see that relationship as being one that is important for the city of New York and one that we should continue to keep strong.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Yeah, I mean, I completely agree with— with, you know, what you just stated, and I know, it's definitely not on the EDCs responsibility to get the Port Authority here in any capacity. And so I say that to say, you know, we're having a hearing where they should be at the dais with you in terms of transparency, accountability, and answering a lot of these questions, and they're not, and I think their lack of presence says a lot more.

And you know, I'm always appreciative that you folks show up when we need you to here, especially when you are hands-on on projects. But thank-- thank you for entertaining my question.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: How much rent does the Port Authority pay the city?

MR. GENN: Current rent is \$153 million annually, with-- and there is an escalator-- a 3% escalator every year.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: How is it calculated?

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. GENN: Um, it's-- well, it's a three-- it started about \$90 million. And then it's just escalated. And then in the lease extension, we were able to win another \$155 million additional by the Port Authority paying us additional rent over until 2060.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Section 410 of the Lease Agreement appears to give the city the right to adjust the rent paid by the Port Authority. Has the city ever made any such adjustments?

MR. GENN: Only in the lease extension.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And what does the city do with those funds?

MS. ADGATE: So the fund does not come to EDC. As you mentioned, it goes to the City's general fund. And so we'd have to defer to our colleagues at the Office of Management and Budget about how those funds are specifically allocated.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So you wouldn't be able to answer to what extent those funds are currently redistributed into the community as well as impact.

MS. ADGATE: No, but we can certainly take that back to OMB and ask them to follow up with you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. I'm going to break to ask a question on behalf of some of my colleagues in Government.

What happened in the 2004 lease agreement that projected that Queens residents would have the full benefit of the \$100 million in capital projects?

What is the status of these projects?

MR. GENN: I think we have to get back to you on that. The Queen's Capital Fund is-- that is that we are aware of it and we will get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. What mechanisms does your-- does EDC have in place to ensure the lease agreements from 2004 and 2021 are wholly implemented?

MR. GENN: The lease is, you know, as a triple net lease, we do have remedies at our disposal if the Port Authority defaults. And they're traditional, you know, in terms of we can default them, we can terminate the lease. It's highly unlikely that we would. You know, we had— I'm old enough to remember when the city did do an RFP for a new terminal operator for JFK and LaGuardia. And it really was clear, I think that the Port Authority, because of its overall accountability to government, would be a

2.2

2.3

better actor. However, you know, those-- those rights and remedies do exist in the lease.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Which is good to know, because my understanding is that the Board of Commissioners had, during the pandemic, softened penalties for not meeting the MWBE goals during the COVID-19 pandemic. I'm not sure if the decision has been reversed now that things are opening back up, which would be good to know. But when the Board of Commissioners makes that decision as its own entity, like, where does the City fall on that type of situation? Because the City, as you said, has a high goal which is not even 30% but being at 40% dynamic to it. So how is that then enforced or taken account for?

MR. GENN: We have to say yes. And I think we were going through the same thing at EDC with-- with our tenants and--

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And that was not good.

MR. GENN: Yeah. So I think I think we-- we've-we allowed it but I would say that the extension
provided us the means to then kind of go back to a
better place, with--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So when you say that you allowed it, the Port Authority reached out to EDC and formally requested the ability to relax the penalties?

MR. GENN: I don't remember that myself. But we can get back to you on that if there's any memorandum or any letters.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I'm going to yield to Councilmember Gutiérrez.

COUNCILMEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you, Chairs for hosting this hearing. My question is regarding environmental mitigation. Southeast Queens is an environmental justice community. My colleagues here have been sounding the alarm on the importance of environmental justice and equity. I'm curious what each agency's role is in ensuring that mitigations, as the revisioning plan gets realized phase by phase, is meeting environmental justice goals. there's any detail you can share on any on-the-ground mitigations that aren't further going to disproportionately, negatively impact the residents in and around JFK. Thank you.

MS. ADGATE: So first of all, I'll turn to Andrew to talk about the redevelopment plan in particular

2.2

2.3

and then I think also pass it to our colleagues at-at DOT to talk about the surrounding communities,

particularly as-- in related-- in relation to

trucking. So...

MR. GENN: The-- the lease extension did mandate that the Port Authority implement decarbonization strategies for all ground handling equipment, as well as implementation of electric vehicles wherever possible for, you know, construction activities, the barging of materials in and out to avoid local roads is also part of that agreement. The new terminal buildings are all have to be a minimum LEED Silver as well. So these are, these are all the on-terminal improvements that now are embedded in the agreement.

COUNCILMEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Can I-- before passing it to DOT, can I just ask a follow up question?

Are these-- where are these, like, where does this live? Like, how do people know that these are the requirements that you all have to fulfill? And my understanding also is that these are like minimum requirements to meet to reduce carbon footprint. Is there anything that you are all doing beyond the minimum? I just-- I'm-- I think with something as large as this construction project, I think it's

2.2

2.3

necessary that we be bolder with some of these mitigations. And so I'm curious if you are all looking at doing more, because this-- and I'm hearing this for the first time, it sounds very minimal. So if there's more that we can expect, because you agree this climate change is real, and we want to be better. I would love to hear that. And then of

course, from -- from the DOT. Thank you.

MS. ADGATE: Yeah, thank you, Councilmember. I think-- so just to be clear, in terms of the-- the implementation and the strategies, that does live with the-- the Port Authority, and not EDC. So while there was, you know, negotiation and commitments outlined in the lease agreement -- so that's where the commitments live -- the actual, you know, implementation, the details, that is part of the Port Authority's operations.

COUNCILMEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: But who-- and who enforces that they're-- they're following this?

MS. ADGATE: So, you know, as we discussed with the Advisory Board, we do receive these, you know, twice-a-year reports that talks about compliance and the strategies that are being used. So that is, you know, it's advisory. You know, there's communication

25

so I need...

2 MS. ADGATE: Right. So I'll turn it back to 3 Andrew to follow up on the response about the--

2.2

2.3

MR. GENN: I think the answer is that we have a mechanism to track their responsiveness. And from what we're hearing at this hearing, this is—these are things that we are very serious in tracking and making sure that they implement and do what they say they will do in the agreements from the 2021 Lease Extension.

COUNCILMEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Well, I'm curious to hear from that Community Advisory Board, with that—that all checks out, and then DOT. Sorry, thank you. That's it. I think I saw you looking over. I was like, I'm sorry. Please. Thank you.

MR. UKEGBU: Thank you. The question you asked,
I'm just going to mention that this is the JFK
Redevelopment Program. And we're currently reviewing
the Supplemental Environmental Assessment, including
a traffic study component for the JFK Redevelopment
Program, and coordinating with the Port Authority
regarding the statements that they made in there. We
take that very seriously. But it's not the first
time that we have looked at issues surrounding the
neighborhood around JFK. I can say that, in fact,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for years, we have done studies of the areas adjacent to the airport proactively. We have what we call area wide studies, and in fact, the areas around most of our Business Improvement Districts, which in most cases are adjacent to environmental justice communities, there are various steps that we take to ensure that we understand the impacts of any, whether it's deleterious siting of facilities or the traffic flows and volumes that go through those areas.

So in 2015, we did at Laurelton-Rosedale Transportation Study of the area where we assessed existing and future traffic conditions and developed recommendations, some of which have been implemented, some of which have been turned into capital projects that are now with DDC, some of which most of us see as we traverse that area that are ongoing right now.

Also, in 2016, we did another study that was a little north of that area in the Springfield JFK area. I think the border was on Merrick all the way south to the -- the belt.

And then, most recently, we completed another study on the Springfield Gardens, Jamaica study.

The main thing with these studies are that we assess traffic conditions. We-- of course, we start

2.2

2.3

with a land use analysis, you know, understand what the land use are. We get data on what the projected new developments are coming in in place, and we assess what the demand and impacts would be on the adjacent neighborhoods.

Of course, we do have a limited role in that, including also understanding that there are truck routes. There's these are the demand generators. The land uses are really what generate the demand. Because when adjacent to a major industrial business area, trucks are the major means of movement in that. The challenge we always have is designating that the truck routes that the trucks can stay on the truck routes and not veer into particular neighborhoods, and then cause additional concerns— neighborhood concerns.

But I must say, though, that during the pandemic, and in fact, even more recently, with the growth of E commerce, we have seen particular trends that make sure that there are trucks, especially box trucks, in some cases, larger ones that come into the neighborhoods, whether it's to deliver a mattress which can come by bicycle, or as it were. So we do observe these but then when we get complaints, we go

in and we look at what the issues are. In some cases, it's a matter of enforcement. And for those we turn over to NYPD, and they have been very able partners in addressing some of these issues.

COUNCILMEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: So I just have a couple questions for you all.

So according to the Airport Advisory Panel projections, JFKs demand for passenger traffic could exceed its its capacity by up to 3 million passengers annually within the next few years. For every million passengers not accommodated, the New York New Jersey region, and I quote, "loses approximately 140 million in annual wages 400 million in annual sales and 2500 jobs." Are these numbers still accurate?

By your expression, roughly accurate?

MR. GENN: I don't-- I'm not familiar with those numbers, I'll be honest, but it sounds right. And I would just say that it was that kind of concern that led to the 2017 panel. And then, you know, led us to feel that it was important to extend the lease. So that-- to allow for the developments to take place.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sure. Are there new estimates since the pandemic -- I mean 2017 is prepandemic -- to gauge economic loss to the area if the JFK Redevelopment Plan does not accommodate the capacity?

MR. GENN: I am not aware of that. But that's something we can follow up. And...

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Yeah, that would be great.

Because if not, we would-- we would want to make that economic study or evaluation.

And will JFK be able to handle its projected capacity of 75 million travelers by 2030?

MR. GENN: We believe that if the JFK
Redevelopment Project is implemented successfully, as
it's described, that it will.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay. And I-- just for the sake of it-- and will it be able to handle it's projected capacity of 100 million travelers by 2050?

MR. GENN: Again, that's the plan and we will be holding the Port Authority to account.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Great. And to that vein, what plans are in place to ensure those numbers are met.

2.2

2.3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MR. GENN: Playing our role at EDC and doing our 3 job.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay, great. Thanks. I have I have actually I can ask on behalf of a-- a colleague in Government. I think this is referring back to before Councilmember Gutiérrez asked her questions: How often does EDC exercise its remedies process, if at all, and what triggers them?

MR. GENN: There are there are certainly instances -- I don't have exact data. I think the right answer is to say that we will get back to you with that.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Sure.

MR. GENN: It has happened in the past. I'll say--

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: So we don't have an exact example or anything off the top of mind.

MR. GENN: No, I'm not an expert in that area.

I'm not at lease person, I guess. But...

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: That's fine. We will definitely follow up.

MR. GENN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay. The JFK Vision Plan outlined five broad areas in which JFK encountered

2.2

2.3

challenges, some of which I mentioned: inefficient terminal design, airport roadway networks, airports operations and delays, cargo operations, crowded and congested and unreliable transportation access. How is the JFK Redevelopment Program addressing these challenges, and specifically, what steps are being implemented for each challenge, if any?

MR. GENN: Let me just— my understanding is that the designs that that have been developed through the Port Authority with the partners modernize the airports in many critical ways, including really just the— the demolition of the old inefficient terminals, the combining of terminals into one, and really reaching modern, you know, world class standards for development. And in doing so, also the redevelopment of the roadway network, the integration with the with AirTrain, you know, will result in a much better passenger experience and the ability to meet those, you know, the passenger goals.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Okay, great, thank you. And then there are four major components to the program in JFKs transformation, including new Terminal One, JFK Terminal Four, Six and Eight. Do we have an update on the current statuses of these projects?

MR. GENN: The-- each of the terminal developments as-- as we're speaking, is-- are on schedule and are meeting their targets. But we're really more comfortable if that question was asked of the Port Authority.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Hmm. Okay, we'll make sure to follow up with them. I'm done for now. Thank you, folks.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I just have a few more because I know we have folks from the public that are eagerly waiting. You mentioned about the decarbonization strategy. What's the status on that?

MR. GENN: The-- the new terminal developments will integrate those. And right now we're waiting for the next report to get the status. We know that the overall goal is to convert all of the ground handling equipment to zero emissions. But I don't have an update on that, Councilmember.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And then do you have any updates on the implementation of the Marine Base Barging.

MR. GENN: I do not. No. That was something the Port Authority would be better positioned.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: [inaudible] the EDC.

Okay. So in the lease, it talks about environmental community benefits, which is a really big issue in the district and the community that surrounds JFK.

And I just want to understand from EDCs perspective, what your understanding of what that could be. So for example, noise mitigation, air quality, health studies. These are things that have come up. Is there a role for the City to play, like maybe with our agencies? Like what is EDCs, I guess, vision for that, and how do you understand it considering this was a part of the lease agreement?

MR. GENN: We have participated in the noise, the Part 150 process with the FAA. We are, you know, we are more in an advisory capacity for—for the noise mitigation, and we will continue to track it. And that's, I think, another area where we can cooperate with the Council and the local communities.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Yeah, because the benefits is the-- is the term that's used in the lease. And so I think there's an expectation, I would think that EDC had of the Port and a mutual understanding that there would be a benefit. So like LEED, and all those other dynamics, electrification,

2.2

2.3

those are great, especially in terms of the overall footprint. But in terms of the community, the Part 150 studies goes up to, I think, 55 decibels when the community thinks it should go to 65. So is there opportunity in that gap there for the City to play a role for any level of Government to play a role, or even the Port and the terminal developers?

MS. ADGATE: Yeah, I think that's a really important question, Councilmember, and one that, you know, we see our ourselves as collaborating with our agency partners, whether it's, you know, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice, Department of Environmental Protection, the folks who are, you know, really leading the charge on issues related to air quality, noise pollution, and so on.

And so, you know, in our role, not just as lease administrator, but also economic activity throughout all five boroughs, we, you know, see ourselves as a partner to those agencies as that vision continues to be-- continues to be realized, because I think the administration really has a key focus on environmental justice. And so we want to be a partner as that work progresses.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. just have three more questions that I'll run through. So thank you for being here. Thank you for your participation. So I'm glad a lot of my colleagues talked about the trucking and cargo because this is a city wide issue. We're looking to have a hearing actually on trucking solely. And we have a number of bills in the Council that we're looking to move around that also. But we hear frequently from residents of the neighborhoods adjacent to JFK that the airport causes considerable traffic congestion. Furthermore, we hear that commercial trucks making trips to the airport idle or park for extended periods in residential areas nearby, including between the hours of 9pm and 5am, when they are forbidden to do so under the city's parking rules.

So a couple of questions on this: What is the city doing to prevent the trucks from parking or idling where they should not be? What have the results from the NYPD operation heavy duty enforcement that was announced over the summer? Then, visually, it was amazing in Southeast Queens quite honestly, we're just now seeing trucks start to come back, which, you know, underscores the need for

2.2

2.3

a more sustainable long-term solution. And I'm interested, in terms of NYPD, how many summonses has NYPD-- NYPD issued for illegally parked trucks in New York JFK.

DEPUTY CHIEF PILECKI: Good afternoon to both

Chairs and to our distinguished Councilmembers and

members of the community. I'm Deputy Chief Michael

Pilecki. I'm the Executive Officer of the NYPD's

Transportation Bureau.

Interesting to note when we're doing truck enforcement is that there is a section of law -- it's 4-08K6 of the New York City traffic rules -- that makes it illegal for a commercial vehicle to park from 9pm to 5am in a residential area. There are two different summonses that can be issued under that section of law. One summons is specifically for the larger type commercial vehicles -- tractor trailers detached trailers, combinations. Those summonses would be issued on the Finance Code Number six, and that summons carries with it a \$250 fine for the first offense and a \$500 fine for the second offense within six months by the same operator. The other summons that we can issue to commercial vehicles is for smaller type commercial vehicles, box trucks,

2.2

2.3

plumbers vans, things of that nature. And those summons is issued on the Finance Code 78 which carries with it a \$65 fine.

Last year when we were analyzing our commercial vehicle enforcement, we saw that the Summons Code Six, the violation to the larger trucks was being underutilized. So we began training our precinct executives at our weekly traffic safety forums, which is similar to the CompStat meetings that the department uses to drive crime down, these meetings are specific towards driving down collisions and fatalities. We began explaining these two different—this section of law in the two different summonses to our executives, and we let them know if you have community complaints regarding larger vehicles, that you should issue those vehicles the summons under Code Six.

So the results are as follows: Citywide Code Six summonses went up 271%, 5102 versus 1377 the prior year. So we were up by 3700. In Queens South specifically, we were up 246%: 2202 versus 636 in Queens South. So we were up a raw number of 1566. With regard to the Code 78 summonses, which were issued to commercial vehicles again to smaller

3 versus 26,234. So we were up roughly 14,000. In

4 Queens South again specifically, we were up 41%, Code

5 | 78 in Queens south, we had 9397 versus 6671. So we

6 were up roughly 2700 of those types of summons in

7 Queens South. We were also up in towing as well, if

you would like those. Would you like that data as

9 well? Sure. Okay.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Total commercial vehicles towed throughout the city was 1204 versus 683. So we were up 76%. Queens South, total vehicles -- total commercial vehicles towed was 318 versus 26. So we were up to 292, or 1123%. With regard when we break out the heavy duty, the larger vehicles from that overall commercial vehicle number, citywide we were up 192%, 658 versus 225. When we broke out the heavy duty tows in Queens south, we were up 2100%, 180 versus 8. So we were up a raw number of 172. When we took a look at our tows for overnight parking of commercial vehicles under Code Six, we were up-- well, we had 97 and 2022 versus zero the prior year. In Queen South, we were up 62 versus zero the prior year. regard to the Code 78 tow city wide, there were 328 versus 4. So we were up 8100%. And in Queen South

for Code 78, we were 188 versus 3, we were up 185 versus 6100.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Do you think that this stems from the work that this Admin has been doing in terms of Operation Heavy Duty? Do you think that's--

MR. PILECKI: It was pushed very, very early on by the Administration. And in Queens South, as you know, there were several initiatives--

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: They did an amazing job in Queens South.

MR. PILECKI: What made them so successful is that they were able to identify a location where we could tow these commercial vehicles to, to store them for a period of time, so that the owners could go to that location and reclaim them. And that's why it worked so well.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And to that point, I know in the testimony, or in the comments at this point, I can't even remember, but it was talked about— about 5000 like acres or something like that, at JFK. And I know we've created like somewhat of a working group to talk about trucking in that area.

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And I'm wondering if as a part of your air, Airport Board meetings, you can also use that as a space to talk about expanding the airport trucking parking there. And then with DOT, I would like for DOT to explore and report back to the Council the feasibility of creating a special ongoing task force to monitor and enforce the truck idling and parking in the surrounding communities of the airport. that could either be a City-funded dynamic, and would love to know what the dollar tag is, or it could be something the Port Authority takes on. Because this is, again, one of the impacts that lives beyond the redevelopment. But because of the redevelopment, I think my colleague mentioned about 100 million more people coming into my community, I can only imagine what that air traffic is going to be and the impact on the community in terms of cargo also.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: And I just wanted to add for DOT, beyond just looking at the-- the airport itself, trucking-- I mean as stated by my-- my Co-Chair, we all know trucking and the idling and the parking in our communities is happening citywide particularly because I have the Cross Bronx, the Bruckner, the Sheridan, the Hutch, the Bronx River, all the-- all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the great parkways and highways, we see a lot of the tracking surrounding my community as well. And my precincts are really great at trying to move them as frequently as they can when they have the ability, when they have the space, which is the most important part. So if we can continue on and-- or have a study that is a bit expansive on identifying locations that are not necessarily within our neighborhoods, adjacent to even. You know, I mean, I'll probably get a call After saying this, but, you know, the Bronx is on mainland, areas like in Westchester County potentially where we can speak to other local governments to see if that's a possibility, wherever there's space to kind of identify where we can have trucking, parking would be super helpful. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And I know that the-the City has a Trucking Task Force, correct?

MR. UKEGBU: Yes. Yes, we do. And in fact, if I may just pick up on the last point with regard to the borders: Yes, that's one of the issues or concerns that have been raised in Southeast Queens too, that sometimes it may be-- and what-- that's part of the survey we will be doing. It could be that it's drivers who live across the border in Nassau County,

and they park in our neighborhood and then go over. In other cases, we don't know whether its neighbors, people who live -- who work in -- who live in the area, and just park illegally, and all those. So those will be some of the things we're going to be looking I think we've-- my colleague already mentioned that we do have a survey that will be-- and in fact, one of our goals, one of our requests, is to have the neighborhood Councilmembers, elected officials be part of our eyes and ears. As you get complaints, we'll want-- want to get that feedback so that we can coordinate with our colleagues in the enforcement bureau with regard to implementation. And I think you also mentioned the Cross Bronx. And yes, we do also have a visioning study. That includes reconnecting the neighborhoods, that's part of our issue, and addressing some of the deleterious effects that have been lingered for years in the area. recognize and take these very seriously. In that case, we actually got a federal grant to do that study.

CHAIRPERSON FARÍAS: Thank you for that. And be careful what you ask for. My community might just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Brooks-Powers, to Chair Farías, to the worthy

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Councilpeople, it is good to be back here. It's been a while. I must state that I'm very sad that the Port Authority did not see fit to be here. And I think that that is a-- just a symbol of the problem that we're having, just a sign that there is a problem.

Anytime that there is massive development, the community that's there has to go through many different things. They're going to deal with added noise. They're going to deal with air pollution. They're going to deal with light pollution. They're also going to deal with crippling traffic. Our traffic, of course, is noted and well known. The Van Wyck is known as the world's largest parking lot. It's the only road that an 18-wheeler can take to get into Kennedy. This rule of course is flouted, flouted all of the time. 18-wheelers are always going into other communities. So when you say a massive mega development is going to take place, you have to look at: What are you desiring? economic development? Or is it community economic development? And there is a difference. development is building an airport. Community economic development is using the airport to build

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the community. To build the airport, but to come build a community at the same time. I must admit that, just as in full disclosure, I have been a critic of the process that the Port Authority has used from day one. I've been a critic. Usually, communities in mega developments create, CBAs Community Benefits Agreements. So also, one speaker earlier said CEA, Community Equity Agreement. And-interesting. We have to figure out what that means. But that's all right. The original CBA was built around the Stapleton Arena in Los Angeles, where it was-- an arena was being built in a community of color, as a matter of fact, and the community came together and said, "We will consent to this arena being built, if we do it, and the community benefits," and there was a community benefits agreement that was noted. This model is widespread, and it has been used in New York and several different places, including: The Barclay Center had a Community Benefits Agreement. It's widespread and well-known. The former executive of the PA, Chris Ward, said that the -- the Community Benefits Agreement that that they did when they were building the World Trade was that the World Trade had to take

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

over the PATH system. And that was a \$300-million-ayear benefit to-- by them taking over the PATH system. Now notice, if the PATH system-- if the World Trade was that much, we should say, "How much should a local community get?" If we were to look at the dollars, the World-- the original World Trade was probably built not that much more than the \$22 billion dollars projected for JFK. And if that 22 billion yielded a \$300 million Community Benefits Agreement, than the JFK should have contributed a significant -- in the hundreds of millions of dollars. So-- but a-- it's not that the community did not submit a CBA to the Port Authority. A CBA was presented to the Port Authority. It's not that no one in the community said, "We should have something for our community." This CBA, a 17-pager, which I just happen to have -- and would be glad, in fact I will find a way to make sure the committee has it so you can put it onto your records -- was overseen by Latoya Benjamin who's actually sitting in the audience. And she and the community got together under the auspices of my office and made sure that there was a CBA that was presented to Port Authority.

Port Authority rejected the CBA. And by doing so,

2.2

2.3

they then created a top-down approach. Unheard of.

Never been done anywhere in the US. They created a

top-down approach, where they directed it from-- from

when you meet, where you meet, what you talk about,

what you don't talk about, they even tell you where

to sit, and what time to leave. So under those

conditions, this Port Authority control process-- if

they have taken this process, then the Port Authority

is now responsible for a community economic

development, since they pushed the community and

said, "We will use this process," then they have the

responsibility to make sure that their process has a

benefit for the community.

I warned from the beginning that, seemingly, the Port Authority was designing failure. That their process would end in failure. I warned from the beginning that the process is not over. But as of this moment, it cannot be said that it had been a success. They—part of their problem is that they have no real goals that they set for their committees. They have few guidelines. And they say that—they say that this is something positive that will have no goals and no guidelines and the community will come up with something. Very unfair

2.2

2.3

to a community. How do you know if you're supposed to go for \$5 or \$5 million? How do you-- how do you know if you're-- it's just an unfair process.

I still try to use their process. And I call for a retreat, a place where the community comes together and figures out what they want. The Port Authority shot it down. And they said that if it was going to happen, it would have to be in the retreat. I said, "Wait a minute. We're not in the room when you guys talk about what you want to do to this community. How are you going to be in a room when the community organizes itself?" They said—— Ultimately there was no retreat. So the blind were sent to lead the blind. No one knew what they were supposed to do. And somehow they were supposed to come up with something.

And to this day, nothing has come up with-- and let's be clear, that process has been going on for more than two years. And nothing has come from it.

Yes, there was a pandemic. But let-- let the record also show that they still were cutting deals and money was going on. So-- so if the money is going out of the house and the process is not taking place, then you're looking out for one interest, a business

2 interest, and not looking out for the community's

3 interests.

2.2

2.3

So many large contracts were-- were signed during the pandemic period, and have been signed with no Community Benefits Agreement there. There's nothing in those contracts that say what those contracts are going to do for the community. And this-- and if-- you and I both know-- all of us know that if it's not written, it's not real. If it's not in the law, then it-- then the business communities will not do it.

One example of this-- one glaring example of why this process that they're having is detrimental to the community is you're building an aviation incredible airport. Jobs are being created. We've heard all of these numbers thrown about. But yet, our Aviation High School, August Martin, sits in a terrible shape. Its program of aviation is defunct, and no one is talking about putting money into it.

If you're not training the youth of the local community for the jobs that you're creating, then you are creating jobs from people outside of the community and have nothing to do with this community. And this is just the most glaring of examples.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now let me alert people that there is a difference between MWBE and CBAs. MWBE, of course, I know-- I and then Council-- then Councilman Comrie, wrote it. We know what an MWBE-- I wrote it for the-- for the Council when I was here. I wrote it for the Senate upstate. There's a difference between a CBA and the MWBE. The goals of what you're doing with-- MWBE may have no impact or little impact on the local community while a CBA is directly speaking about what is happening in that community.

So I would say to all of us, my friends, when agencies and authorities fail to look after the wellbeing of a community, then the government must act. Then it's the purview of the government to move in there, whether it's city, state, or federal, the government must come in, because its job is to defend the community. And-- and I'm absolutely calling on the City, the State and the Federal to get together and defend their neighbors. These are the people who voted for us. These are the people who sent us. were not sent by the giant corporations that are making money from Kennedy. We were sent by the everyday people who want their children, their lives, and the lives of their children to be better.

2 right now, we are not doing it at the level that we 3 need to. I encourage all of us to-- to defend them.

4 Otherwise, history will-- as I close, history will

5 recall that we were silent at-- at major violence

done against our community, and that we spoke of

7 Robert Moses and his destruction of local

8 communities. If nothing is changed, then the record

9 | will recall that the Executive Director of the Port

10 Authority, Rick Cotton, is the Robert Moses of his

11 day. Thank you very much.

6

21

2.2

24

12 SENATOR COMRIE: Good afternoon. Councilmember.

13 | I want to thank Councilmembers, Brooks-Powers and

14 | Councilmember Farías -- is that the proper way to

15 pronounce it -- and the Committee members and

16 | colleagues. I'm Leroy Comrie. I'm honored now to be

17 | state senator for 14th Senate District in Queens.

18 And I want to thank the Transportation Committee and

19 | the Infrastructure Committee, Economic Development

20 Committee for doing this joint hearing.

It's important today that we work to understand - oh, and also I have to thank Speaker Adrienne Adams

23 for allowing us to-- and for the Committee Chairs for

allowing us to testify also today. I want to read my

25 statement and add on to it afterwards.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Understanding the importance of ensuring that the JFK Redevelopment Project continues to be one that will be future forward in both the thinking and its conception and its implementation and especially its impact on our adjacent communities that surround the The redevelopment program and the need to airport. ensure the communities that surround the airport are not left behind is critical to how we rethink our access and relationship with JFK and the Port Authority.

Unfortunately, as has been stated earlier, and by my colleague, Senator Sanders, and earlier speakers, we have not had equitable access to opportunities, either through jobs, businesses, educational, or financial opportunities for our Southeast Queens community.

Before the pandemic upended global travel, it was estimated at approximately 62.5 million passengers went through JFK in 2019, making it one of the busiest airports in the United States. redevelopment program was estimated to exceed \$13 billion back in 2019, and projected to create over 15,000 jobs. With these billion dollars that are at stake and growing, ensuring jobs that will be

2 equitably interspersed in Southeast Queens is
3 essential to the community success and the project's

4 | long term opportunity.

2.2

2.3

I am deeply appreciative of partnerships across government working to make sure this important development lives up to its promise. Leadership from across Southeast Queens, including councilmember Brooks-Powers, Councilmember Williams, Adrienne Adams, and our elected leaders on city, state and federal levels need to join together to focus on the need for a new day in our dealings with JFK and the Port Authority.

We must ensure that we are getting the Port
Authority to understand that they have to contribute
to the full environmental life of our community,
creating a permanent school to an education-to-work
pipeline from elementary school level through high
school to make sure young people within Southeast
Queens will know that there's a pipeline to
education, a pipeline to employment, a pipeline to
opportunity right at JFK. Senator Sanders alluded to
the fact that we have an aviation school, August
Mountain high school that I personally have spoken to
the Port Authority about since day zero of this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

project that they still haven't funded the first dollar to August Mountain High School, which is deliberate in this-- at this point, because they haven't done it and they've been told repeatedly.

The funds that have been delivered for this project should take into account the businesses across the community and incorporate the culture of Southeast Queens and the broader New York City metropolitan area into this project. Stakeholders have outlined today, and at previous times, making sure that the future of their delivery of these funds go back to community and supplement the community. In doing so we will highlight the diversity in life that exists across New York for the world to see. As New York continues to be the economic engine of the United States and its cultural epicenter, we ought to ensure that we are putting the love and passion of small businesses and owners on display. Whether this is coming in form of our projects throughout the airport, both large and small to the new terminals, within upgraded facilities, are showcasing the work of local contractors, and their commitment to quality within the community. We must ensure that those abroad who come here finding solace knowing that in

2.2

2.3

anyone from anywhere can be reminded of the true global footprint of New York City, from local food vendors to musicians and all who have traveled the globe, or come from outside of the United States and brought their culture here to be cherished, they should be strongly considered and sourced for jobs at the airport from the surrounding community.

With that being said, it is my hope that there was a comprehensive Community Benefit Agreement between stakeholders, those that are working within the JFK airport community, those are working with the Port Authority, and the local community as has been stated earlier.

In addition, we bring a shared vision on the need for small businesses and minority and women-owned businesses. Opportunities to include concerted efforts to overcome the challenges small businesses and MBEs face like securing access to funding, access to bonding, financing, and insurance. The 30% contracting goal cannot just be a goal. We must press for achieving that goal and surpassing it, and also ensuring that the goal is not of the 30%, 20%, W and not the M or B or E. Major goals need to be focused on making sure that there is ongoing

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

engagement imperative to ensure that Southeast Queens communities realize the true benefits of this investment. I also believe that we must remain cognizant of the quality of life that impacts JFK.

The quality-- I'm sorry, let me do it again.

I also believe we must remain cognizant of the quality of life impact that JFK consistently has on our communities. This includes the environmental impact, and the State's goal towards reducing the climates and mission in the CLCPA, the Community Leadership and Community Protection Act. addition, we must make sure that the concerns regarding noise, traffic, air quality, and other potential negative impacts are dealt with in a different way. We must do what we can to ameliorate these concerns totally. Working collectively across Government, we can take steps to ensure that the relevant authorities, whether it be the FAA, Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, or federal and state and local government authorities take our constituents input into consideration and use it to guide deliberations and future plans.

Given the best oversight is collective oversight,

I want to share that my staff and I are listening to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

today's hearing intently, and by next month in Albany, Senator Sanders and I will be doing a joint hearing regarding the Port Authority. And we will incorporate points that you're making today and what we're hearing from everyone to deal with these issues in the coming weeks.

I must tell you that on a personal level, I'm Chair of the Corporations Committee which has oversight and the Port Authority has elected never to come to our budget hearings. So we have the same type of access issues with the Port Authority, and it's time to change that script. It's time to change that reality. The Port Authority should be here today, because the city has loaned them and leased the property. They have an obligation to speak to the City and give you regular updates on what's going on. And they have an even higher obligation to come to the State and report on what's going on not at just JFK, but all of the airports within the Port Authority window, and all of the things that they're doing at the docks as well. The Port Authority has been totally focused on an old draft of what needs to be done to be responsive, and an our agency that cares about their communities that they're servicing.

2.2

2.3

And now it's time starting with his hearing, to hope to change that and refocus the Port Authority, to make them a truly responsible agency that comes before the public and testifies on a regular basis about the billions of dollars that they're spending.

It's not just JFK, they're spending re-spending billions of dollars by building the new bus terminal that's being done. Also, they are looking to build new towers down at the World Trade Center, and also looking at redoing the Oculus and other projects. We must hear from the Port Authority about how they're spending the billions of dollars that they're raising, even though they're raising it through the taxes that they're charging people through the airport, that's still public dollars that they're getting.

Finally, I just want to make one correction. The AirTrain project was started in 1998, before I became a city Councilmember. So while Chris Ward earlier gave me credit for the Port Authority— for the AirTrain project. That was done by my predecessor, Archie Spigner. Actually constructed started in 1998, and I started my opportunity to serve in the city council in 2002.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I just want to end by saying that we have a real problem that has to be fixed. It's a-- I was not surprised that they did not show up today. But the primary issue is that we have to change their thinking. We have to make them be more responsible. The numbers that they're claiming for MWBE are false, the numbers that they're claiming for community engagement is not done (they haven't done the project at York College yet), they've been moving people back and forth in circles. And I have to also agree with Senator Sanders. He did fight for a CBA in the beginning. He got pushback. We deferred to trying to make this scheme work with a community advisory board. It does not work. And I'm here today to say it's time to end it, along with Senator Sanders and move to a real CBA so that we can get some definitive things that we can glean from the Port Authority. When they can give \$300 million to a PATH project, when they can do other projects for dredging, and they only want to give a number of like \$40 to \$60 million for a project to JFK, when JFK will consistently be in redevelopment it's time to change the paradigm. So I'm here today to recommit myself as the Chair of the Corporations Committee at the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

state level, but also primarily as an elected

official of Southeast Queens, to make sure that the

Port Authority does more for our community. Thank

you very much.

SENATOR SANDERS: [ASIDE TO SENATOR COMRIE] 300 a year 300.

SENATOR COMRIE: [ASIDE TO SENATOR SANDERS] 300 a year. Right.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER ANDERSON: Thank you so much to my colleagues who spoke previously and to Chairwoman Brooks-Powers as well as Chairwoman Farías for having us here at this very, very important oversight hearing around the JFK redevelopment through the New York-- Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. want to speak to -- I am going to speak from two angles today. One, speaking on transparency and government accountability for the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, but also for the city agency that is-- the city entity, excuse me, that is responsible for lease negotiations and agreements with the land that is being leased under JFK, which is the Economic Development Corporation. going to start with EDC and then go into my comments related to Port Authority.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Earlier today, we watched as EDC testified and spoke to the issues that the committee had brought up as it relates to the redevelopment: everything from the projects that are in the pipeline to the-- the elements in which they're supposed to be negotiated in the lease. Under numerous occasions EDC misrepresented their role in the lease negotiations, everything from sharing that they were-- and I think there was a correction -- but sharing that they were the impetus of helping organize some of the benefits that came in the lease that Port Authority of New York and New Jersey is responsible for implementing. EDC also misrepresented the roles of the community advisory committees as it relates to retaining and receiving information when Councilwoman Gutiérrez had asked if reporting information is being made available to the committee's as it relates to environmental harms and mitigation. And they said yes. That is a false-- that is a false thing. is not true. And I had stated that as I was sitting in the audience. And so the truth of the matter is, is that there is no formal mechanism to hold EDC-hold Port Authority of New York and New Jersey accountable to the elements of the lease.

2.2

2.3

unfortunately, EDC did not have the courage to tell the truth and share that there is no formal mechanisms to hold them accountable.

And this is— this is an example of a dereliction of the duties of EDC, because as— as the entity that is formally responsible for the business negotiations on behalf of the City of New York and lease negotiations, they are also responsible for our taxpaying dollars to ensure that those leases have a benefit to the community.

This is not the first, second, third, fourth or fifth time that EDC has been in a dereliction of their duties. If you look-- refer over to the 2017 report by New York State Comptroller Tom DiNapoli, it was a report that looked into the New York City Airport leases, and it said that there's \$100 million that was negotiated in 2004 for a lease, and in that lease, there was supposed to be an Airport Board, and \$100 million, excuse me, set aside for community benefits and-- and projects, excuse me, through Queens capital projects.

And so through that period, if you look at the report, a lot of those projects, even 10 years after the report looks at 2003 to 2013, even 10 years after

2.2

2.3

commitments.

that report, you still see that EDC and Port
Authority of New York and New Jersey have, one, not
had obligated dollars to those projects. But, two,
had no formal mechanism of holding Port Authority of
New York and New Jersey accountable to those

If you read on to the report, there had been \$75 million of that \$100 million for projects proposed by EDC, but there's still very little transparency and reporting as to where those dollars are and where those projects are being made whole.

The report also says that the Port Authority had not fully complied with the terms of the ancillary agreements, which included an obligation to provide information and support to the Airport Board. This is another example of how EDC has fallen on—fallen down on a job and are not being held accountable to the things that they're committed to in terms of making sure that the lessees and lessors of the agreements are being held accountable.

So I just want to be clear to the public that EDC, again, is the official-unofficial entity and body that is responsible for major development in this city. And they have continuously, continuously

2.2

2.3

been unable and inept at holding entities who they lease with and work with accountable to that. JFK redevelopment, vis-a-vis Port Authority, is a prime example of that.

So I'm going to go into my testimony today as it relates to the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey and their commitments to the redevelopment.

When we talk about quantitative data, \$18 billion of investment in New York's primary international airport, in JFK, it is established— it is estimated that the program will create more than 15,000 jobs in the area with 9,600 jobs directly coming from the redevelopment. This is what is being advertised.

As part of the Administration's plan to create the Advisory Council was also used to utilize funding between developers and the Port Authority to create lasting impacts with a nexus of development. But my question to the Port Authority -- and I know that they're not here today, and it's shameful, that they continue to evade transparency and public accountability, and they have done this, this is their history -- how much community betterment fund or funding exists for community benefit-- for the community benefit? Right?

> This is a question that a Port Authority has refused to answer. And the sadness of it is that in 2001, there was an extension of the lease. And here we are again, the city agency that's supposed to have our backs in the EDC has fallen down on the job because they have not been able to provide an answer to that question.

> Next, as a part of the betterment funds and dollars, what initiatives exist? I know that earlier today EDC testified a number of different initiatives. If you ask some -- if you poke any holes into the initiatives that they named. Let's talk about one. They said there's an Office of Second Chance Employment. Where is this happening? Who's in charge of Second Chance Employment? As far as I know, there is no one in charge of Second Chance Employment because they haven't hired anyone. But EDC has it in the agreement, and they are not holding that entity accountable.

And so the next piece: What is a long term plan on each initiative? They can't answer that. created them? And what's the process for community members and advisory members for revamping and

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

introducing new initiatives? This doesn't exist. It doesn't exist. It's not real.

Under new Government and Community Relations,

Leadership, we have not seen any strategic planning
and execution to engage priority zip codes. If we
look at the process of the redevelopment, they're
supposed to be priority zip codes that—which—
where which local hiring takes place, as well as
local contracting and the meeting of MWBE goals.

How many local businesses have gotten MWBE certified through the Port Authority's liaison outreach office? No? Right? Because they're not here. They can't answer the question. But this information is not being made available.

I'm just sharing with the committee, the constant, constant, constant lack of transparency and governmental accountability with public dollars.

You also -- I believe the -- the Committee also asked about the Airport Board, which was supposed to be created by the 2004 agreement. And when it was asked, "Hey, is this board subject to New York State Public Meetings Law?" They couldn't answer. So this board which is responsible for assisting in making sure that resources are getting out the door and

2.2

2.3

Port Authority.

commitments are being held, they don't even have meetings, they may not even be subject to public meeting laws. If— if I were someone, and I represent, you know, the airport in the State Assembly — if I were someone just walking off the street, I'd say that some of the claims and the things that I'm sharing with you all today the— are scandalous in nature, through EDC, and through the

The next question I had for the entity is environmental justice, focusing on that issue area.

I know a number of committee members have brought up issues and concerns around environmental justice.

In EDCs testimony today, they listed out a bevy of different environmental commitments, idling trucks, things of that nature, air pollution quality. And when you ask, did EDC participate in the 150 study— The Part 150 Study with the FAA, they say yes. What does participation mean and look like from an entity that's supposed to hold the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey accountable? They can't answer this question.

And so I have two more questions before I close: When I'm taking a look at some of the different

2.2

2.3

agencies, community groups, and organizations that
are responsible for helping with the workforce
component of the redevelopment, what resources and
dollars are being provided to ensure that true
accountability and hiring are— is happening? When—
if and when Port Authority in New York and New Jersey
reports that there has been X amount of hires, local
hires, X amount of businesses trained. Who's
responsible for keeping that data? Where is that
data? How can we see it? Do we have a city agency
that's held— that is held accountable, and is making
sure that those contracts are being enforced? No,
no, no, we don't, because EDC is asleep at the wheel.

And so when we've seen recent reports of contracting online now from Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, after continuously, continuously pushing them, they're inept. The reporting that the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey is doing is from a standpoint that there is inequity. So that means there's a standpoint of natural inequity. That means that if there is a person of color, a black person that is hired on—on any of the projects, there's also a person that is not of color hired on those projects. Is that creating true equity? We're

not sure. EDC claims that this is happening in their statements earlier, but this is not.

Last question: How many individuals, how many local individuals have been placed in construction related jobs for the duration of the project? This is also something that cannot be answered.

And so you know, as I conclude with those last final questions, my overall statements and testimony today is surrounded around the concept that the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey is not following through with the commitments made in the lease, and EDC is a culprit and ensuring that that continues to happen, because they do not have any formal mechanisms of holding this entity accountable.

So I am asking that the members of this Committee consider investigations into the JFK redevelopment, whether it's through working with our New York City Comptroller to look into the financing and the contracts and the commitments that were being made in the City lease, whether it's working on the state level with our State Comptrollers, or even with the New York City DOI, to ensure that EDC is not in a dereliction of their duty -- which I believe that

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they are -- on these projects and on the commitments made.

You know, this is a very, very serious project that's taking place in our district. As I mentioned, \$18 billion of investment, private investment on public land. And so we have to ensure that through this process, that there's transparency, and accountability. And we're not seeing that from the City agencies. And we're not seeing that from a quasi-governmental agency in the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. The truth of the matter is, is that they don't have to show up today. They don't even have to show up to the meeting-- the hearing that Senator Comrie and Senator Sanders are having later next month. And part of the reasons why they feel that they don't have to show up is that they're emboldened by their quasi-governmental status. So I think it's important that as we move forward with this project, and as this committee looks into the testimony that I had given today, and those after me have given today, and those before me given today, that there has to be serious consideration into improprieties that might have existed in this project, and other things that might be going wrong

2.2

2.3

as it relates to the accountability. Thank you so much to the committee.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that.

Just two things. Well, three things: One, the questions you asked if you could provide it in writing, because we're going to do a follow up with a letter to the Port Authority and EDC with those questions, as well as some other questions that we have.

Also, just for clarification, the Office of Second Chances does exist. There was someone hired, but we will add a question in terms of has someone been hired in the absence of who was there, but it was operating at one point.

And I agree on August Martin high school, but I will say the New Terminal One did make a slight investment in the beginning, which was \$62,000. So I-- I do want to highlight, you know, the work that the New Terminal One did with that very early on.

That was very small. I understand. I see the looks. But it was, to me, very important to see the terminal developer, a terminal developer, take that type of action before even signing the lease agreement, even though, as you mentioned, there's so much potential

2.2

2.3

in this and I think we need to continue to have those discussions. But thank you all for your testimony.

SENATOR COMRIE: Thank you, Madam Chairwoman for your focus and determination to hold this hearing today. I look forward to continuing to work with you as we change the mindset of the Port Authority to be a true community partner. Thank you Madam Chairpersons.

SENATOR SANDERS: Amen.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. And we will be in Albany for the hearing.

COUNSEL BREITBART: Thank you. We will now turn to additional public testimony. For panelists who are testifying remotely, once your name is called a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant At Arms will give you the go ahead to begin.

Please wait for the Sergeant At Arms to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

I would now like to welcome Fay Hill to testify.

MS. HILL: Am I on? Good evening. Am I on?
Yes.

MS. HILL: Okay. Good evening. My name is Fay Hill. I'm the District Leader for Community Board 31B. And I've lived in Springfield Gardens for 45

2 I like-- I remember seeing the growth of JFK, 3 which was formerly Idlewild Airport, developed around 4 our area. And there was -- there is an impact on the-- the ravish of our neighbors and under the residents 5 in Springfield gardens where we are the high 6 7 percentage of asthma and upper respiratory conditions 8 affected us. And to hear the hearing today, I'm in--I am floored what is what is going on with JFK. I'd like to know if this redevelopment goes forward, 10 11 we would like to get benefit. I didn't even know 12 that the city, the land, or the property where JFK is 13 owned by the City of New York. Why couldn't we have like hospitals where we were looking for hospitals 14 15 for our community, for libraries for senior-- senior 16 centers and so forth, could be built on a soundproof 17 condition to avoid the noise from the airport. 18 we'd like to have a restoration of Springfield and Farmers Boulevard restored in our neighborhood. 19 we like-- we would like also to have a lot of things 20 21 done to restore or neighbors that have been -- been 2.2 rampant with air pollution, noise, and etc. 2.3 like to continue to hear more hearings on this project, because of the millions of dollars that's 24 25 poured into JFK and is handed out to rich

I'm the President of the Southeast Queens Chamber of

25

2.2

2.3

Commerce. I am on several of the JFK Redevelopment

Advisory Council and I would like to give my

testimony.

I represent hundreds of small businesses of color in Southeast Queens, predominantly African American business owners. When speaking to many of them about the airport opportunities, many of them say that they are certified and they have not received any work or any contracts. Many still do not know about opportunities at the airport. So by holding meetings, conducting outreach, and MWBE, ACDBE training here at our headquarters on Sutphin Boulevard, we at the Southeast Queens Chamber of Commerce, we are striving to reach more entrepreneurs of color in Southeast Queens.

In 2022, after the pandemic, the Southeast Queens Chamber of Commerce were contacted by managers, community liaison managers, Tunisia Morrison and Rachel Antoine. We were encouraged to keep on speaking up and trying to go out there and help many more entrepreneurs in our community.

These two young ladies were very passionate about this cause and so their renewed hope and optimism, and my board and I, that people of color in tiers one

2.2

2.3

and two of the JFK airport would indeed be able to take part in and gain meaningful benefits from the JFK redevelopment. [BELL RINGS]

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

DR. LORD: So the chamber initiated partnerships with Rockaway RISE and York College SBDC to lead more outreach in Southeast Queens in order to produce higher numbers of MWBEs. It was a winning plan, a winning proposal. However, in the middle of it all, we learned that Tunisia Morrison was fired for no apparent reason. Both the work and our hope halted, because she was really very zealous in her approach to get more black and brown people certified. And we felt that we can trust her in this common mission.

Is there any accountability or transparency as to why this community outreach manager at the JFK Redevelopment Project was fired? In addition to this, the proposal that the Southeast Queens Chamber of Commerce and RISE Again Far Rockaway, initiated, was also shut down, and no reason was given.

We know our community and we know our people.

Our community, we need community people at PANYNJ

who see us, can identify with us, and know our

struggle.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We know that the Southeast Queens Chamber of Commerce, RISE, and York College SBDC will come together could create a huge paradigm shift. And people of color really being able to be nurtured and improved--

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I'm sorry-- I'm sorry, Dr. Lord. One quick question, but we do have to wrap because we have a few more folks waiting to testify. You said something was shut down-- what-- I missed what you said. What was shut down?

DR. LORD: What was shut down was our proposal that we the -- Southeast Queens Chamber, Rise, and the York College SBC, we made a proposal that because we are in the community, we know our businesses, we see them struggle every day, we propose that we work together to bring them in, outreach, true outreach and bring them in, hold their hands, you know, help them to pass barriers to contracts, to different things that small businesses in our community have. We face different needs, and only people in the community, living in the community. You can't expect someone else in the community to tell you that this is what you need. So we know what we need. And so we three will set up a proposal so that we could

- 2 bring our community what they need. That was shut
- 3 down. So the only thing I wanted to say again, is
- 4 | that we hope to see some more meaningful benefits.
- 5 We need lampposts. We need more green spaces with
- 6 beautiful flowers to promote peaceful lifestyles. We
- 7 | need to inspire and--
- 8 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Dr. Lord. I'm sorry.
- 9 I'm so, so sorry, Dr. Lord. I'm going to just ask if
- 10 you could submit the rest of this in writing, because
- 11 | we have to get to the next few people that's left,
- 12 okay?
- DR. LORD: Okay.
- 14 COUNSEL BREITBART: Thank you. I'd like to now
- 15 | welcome David Cockfield to testify.
- 16 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.
- 17 COUNSEL BREITBART: Is David Cockfield on? Okay,
- 18 | he apparently no longer on the Zoom. We will then
- 19 move on. I would like to now welcome Stacy Osborne
- 20 to testify.
- 21 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.
- MS. OSBOURNE: Hi, how are you? I would like to
- 23 | thank the-- the elected officials for speaking for
- 24 our communities. And thank you Selvene Brooks-Powers
- 25 | for being a wonderful Chair.

1 COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I wish you know, by learning so many additional things that's going on. I wish my main question to the Port Authority was to question how often the noise complaint 1-800 number -- that 1-800-225-1071-is being checked and also the submission that's done online, regarding the you know, the airport noise. mean, I am a Rosedale resident. I'm like a president of the Block Association. And many of our community-- our you know, folks that live here constantly saying, "Oh, well, we call we have complaint." And there's kind of no relief in the noise complaint. There's -- there's no form of saying okay, between six and eight, it's going to be quiet. I thought the brunt of the noise was supposed to be shared between us, you know, Nassau, and so forth. But it's just no relief of the noise and it's just becoming overbearing. So that was the major thing, but they're not there to answer that question of how often you know, if it's being checked that we're calling into complaint, so something could be done. So that's one thing. Another thing is the-- the

25 it's not just nights. It's during the days. I could

truck issues we're having. Springfield Boulevard,

Brookfield Boulevard, I mean, it's an influx of...

And the questions that were asked were extremely

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

important. As a HVAC minority business metropolis HVAC contractors, we are certified with Port Authority in New York and New Jersey. We are looking into putting in for jobs at JFK Airport. But one of the things that they do is they have what's called pre-qualifications. And you have to submit these pre-qualifications over and over and over for various companies that are working. And it's very seldom if ever, you get called. So the questions and comments that were made earlier are definitely appropriate. So there should be some oversight with regards to that. And so as I listened to the hearing and the points made, I think that's something that should be looked into. And if the land is actually owned by the city, and they are the lessee, there should be some accountability. And I like to know, what can the Council do to ensure accountability and to ensure that minority business owners who are bidding or would like to bid on some of the projects, how they can be handled, and that the projects that they're allowed to bid on are appropriate size for the entities, because sometimes they're too big to where a small minority contractor cannot bid on them because they're too large.

It says you're unmuted.

And so if there's a way that the jobs can be broken down to where the contractors that are minority and certified can actually participate.

Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

COUNSEL BREITBART: Thank you and we'd like to try again to call on Kendrick Williams to testify. When prompted please unmute

Okay, if not there we can move on to closing out.
Oh, Mr. Williams, are you are you there?

Okay, we're going to move on to closing out.

If we have— if we have inadvertently missed anyone that is registered to testify today, and has yet to be called, please use the Zoom hand function if you're testifying remotely, and you'll be called in the order that your hand has been raised. Or if you're testifying in person, please come to the dais. Seeing none, I'll now turn over to Chair Brooks—Brooks—Powers for closing remarks.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So before I close, I want to shout out EDC for saying here for this hearing. We appreciate it and your presence and

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 150
2	commitment to working with us on this. And with that
3	this hearing is closed.
4	[GAVEL]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
11	
12	
L3	
L 4	
15	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	COMMITTEE ON Jointly with	TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE	
1	COMMITTEE ON	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	151
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 01/23/2023