CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

January 24, 2023 Start: 1:20 p.m. Recess: 3:39 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Pierina Ana Sanchez, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Aviles
Charles Barron
Eric Dinowitz
Oswald Feliz
Crystal Hudson
Keith Powers
Lincoln Restler
Shahana K. Hanif
David M. Carr
Ari Kagan

APPEARANCES

Kim Dargo, Deputy Commissioner for Development at New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Lucy Joffe, Assistant Commissioner for Housing Policy at Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Guillermo Patino, Deputy Commissioner for External Affairs at the New York City Department of Buildings

Joseph Ackroyd, Assistant Commissioner for Technical Affairs and Code Development

Esther Brunner, Deputy Director of the Mayor's Office of Environmental Coordination

Harvey Epstein, New York State Assembly Member

Moses Gates, Vice President for Housing and Neighborhood Planning at Regional Plan Association

Jishian Ravinthiran, Legal Aid Society

Sylvia Morse, Policy Program Manager at the Pratt Center for Community Development

Ryan Chavez, Director of the Basement Apartment Conversion Pilot Program at Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation

Katherine Leitch, Senior Policy Analyst at the Citizen's Housing and Planning Council

Alia Soomro, Deputy Director for New York City Policy at the New York League of Conservation Voters

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Shravanthi Kanekal, Resiliency Planner with the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance

Sadia Rahman, Deputy Director of Policy at Chhaya Community Development Corporation

Rami Dinnawi, Environmental Justice Coordinator for El Puente

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Microphone check, one, two, one, two. Today's date is January 24, 2023. This is the Committee on Housing and Buildings. It's being recorded by Michael Leonardo in the Council Chambers.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Please start the webinar.

Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing of the Committee on Housing and Buildings.

To minimize disruptions, please place all electronic devices to vibrate or silent mode.

If you wish to submit testimony, you may send it to testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that's testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: [GAVEL] Good

afternoon, everyone. I am Council Member Pierina

Sanchez, Chair of this Committee on Housing and

Buildings. I want to thank you all for joining

today's hearing to discuss accessory dwelling units

and basement apartment legalization. The Committee

will also hear several bills relating to matters that

I will discuss in a moment.

2.2

2.3

I would like to acknowledge my Colleagues from the City Council who are present, Council Member Barron and Council Member Feliz is with us virtually.

It has been 18 long months since historic rainfall during Hurricane Ida flooded tens of thousands of homes and took 11 New Yorkers from basement apartments in Queens. The dangers faced by residents in unregulated units is undeniable. I want to lift up and honor some of those lives that we tragically lost that day. Yue Lian Chen, Hongsheng Leng, Darlene Lee, Lopsang Lama and his parents, Ang Gelu Lama and Mingma, Mr. Bravo.

These lives are a reminder that when we talk about basement apartments, the stakes are people's lives. For nearly a decade, advocates for basement apartment legalization have fought for the legalization of basement units as a way to bring existing dwelling units up to residential code by assuring they have proper exits, enough light and air to be safe, and a framework similar to how the city brought unpermitted loft apartments in former industrial spaces in 1982 Loft Law could be used. Legalizing accessory dwelling units which include basement apartments, garages, and more that can be

have in the past years, flood risk has become a more prominent reason for seeking basement conversion, but these units can also be fire traps, carbon monoxide poisoning risks, and all of this must be mitigated. 13 It is hard to know the precise number of these units, 14 yet advocates, think tanks, and even the city have 15 provided stunning estimates. A Pratt Center analysis for the BASE campaign finds that there's at least 16 17 200,000 potential basement and cellar units. With 18 strategic zoning changes, it could be even 400,000. 19 The City estimated roughly 100,000 New Yorkers 20 already live in 50,000 unregulated basement apartments. Regional Plan Association has estimated 21 114,000 ADUs could be further legalized in the next 2.2 2.3 decade in New York City, and, most recently, the City's Comptroller, Brad Lander, estimated that there 24

could be as many as 424 basement and cellar units

My hope with this hearing today is that we can learn from the challenges to inform future

24

2.2

2.3

efforts and legislation. We cannot wait for another

hurricane season to pass. We're hearing Resolution

161 sponsored by Council Member Hanif which calls on

the State to pass legislation to legalize basement

apartments in New York City in support of our state

7 partners who are considering this exact issue.
8 Finally, I want to recognize the

Finally, I want to recognize the advocates from the BASE coalition who have advocated for these changes for years. Chhaya CDC, CHPC, Communities Resist, Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation, Queens Legal Services, Center for New York City Neighborhoods, Pratt Center for Community Development.

Next, as we look at retrofitting New York
City basement homes to meet the challenges of climate
change, we're also considering several pieces of
legislation today. Two bills come to the Committee at
the request of the Mayor.

Intro 875 relates to technical corrections to the New York City Construction Code which were last updated by Local Law 126 of 2021. I want to acknowledge upfront that this version of the bill includes language regarding renewable energy credits crafted by the administration that this

- 2 | Council has serious concerns about. In particular,
- 3 about the potential for RECs, renewable energy
- 4 | credits, to undermine the robust climate,
- 5 environmental, economic, and health goals of Local
- 6 Law 97. Thus, to the extent that RECs are going to be
- 7 | allowed, we want to ensure proper regulation
- 8 | including considering caps on their use. I've heard
- 9 anywhere from 10 percent of a building's emission
- 10 reduction to 30 percent. I look forward to discussing
- 11 | this with DOB in greater detail today and going
- 12 forward to understand the parameters envisioned by
- 13 the Department to achieve the environmental goals of
- 14 Local Law 97.

- The second bill at the request of the
- 16 Mayor is Intro 876 relating to green building
- 17 standards and repealing Section 224.1 of the New York
- 18 | City Charter.
- 19 In addition, we're going to be hearing
- 20 Intro 150, sponsored by Council Member Brannan,
- 21 relating to electric vehicle charging stations in
- 22 open parking lots and parking garages.
- 23 Lastly, Intro 886, sponsored by Council
- 24 Member Powers and I, extending the moratorium on

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

accessory sign violations by another two years to 2 3 protect our small businesses.

We have a lot on the agenda today, and there is a lot of important work that needs to be done to make the city a safer and fairer place to live. To that end, I look forward to a productive discussion with the administration and with advocates today.

I would like to thank my Staff, as always, my Chief, Sam Cardenas, my Legislative Director Kadeem Robinson, as well as Housing and Buildings Committee Staff, Audrey Son, Taylor Zelony, Jose Conde, Charles Kim, and Dan Kroop.

With that, I'd like to turn it over to my Colleagues who have introductory remarks. Before I do so, I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Powers, Council Member Restler, and Council Member Ari Kagan, and Council Member Carr.

Council Member Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. It's nice to see everyone here today. Nice to see my friends from HPD here as well today.

I'm here to speak on a bill that I introduced just recently with the Chair and a number

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of my Colleagues which is related to extending the moratorium on signage, against enforcement on signage here for many of our storefronts and small businesses here. This is a law that we passed last term, and I think we've extended at least once in response to a number of sweeps that were happening where businesses were getting fines for, sometimes out of their knowledge, illegal signage, and it was a common sense law to pass back then to give us all a little more time to figure out what's the right path forward and, as we see the expiration of that law earlier this year, it felt urgent but common sense to reintroduce a bill to continue extend that moratorium. I do want to note I do see the administration is supportive of that so I'm thankful of them, and I also want to note there, which I did not know about, have a program that you can call 3-1-1 to get a no-fine inspection which I think is a great strategy for how to handle small businesses in this city, is to give them tools, hold off on fining them, and frankly to me, I don't see why we are fining small businesses for things that are not related to public health or public safety or anything like that. It feels sort of common sense to continue this in perpetuity in order to

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Yes.

2.2

2.3

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER JOFFE: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. You may begin when ready. Just a note to the members of the administration who are present to answer questions.

I'll administer the oath again if at any point you are called up to respond to questions.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Good

afternoon, Chair Sanchez and Members of the Committee

on Housing and Buildings. My name is Kim Darga, the

Deputy Commissioner for Development at the New York

City Department of Housing Preservation and

Development, joined by my Colleague, Lucy Joffe,

Assistant Commissioner for Housing Policy, to discuss

HPD's work related to accessory dwelling units, ADUs.

ADUs are secondary, self-contained dwelling units located on the same lot as a primary dwelling. ADUs can play an important role in Mayor Adams' goal to address our longstanding housing and affordability crisis and to increase New York City's housing supply by 500,000 units over the next decade by providing additional housing opportunities in low-density communities. In addition, they represent an important tool in combatting the City's longstanding housing crisis and the City's legacy of housing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

segregation. Neighborhoods that are low-density generally have had very few lower-cost housing options including rental homes, effectively excluding low- and moderate-income New Yorkers of color. ADUs are another tool we can use to create housing opportunities in these neighborhoods that have been out of reach for generations. We also recognize that many New Yorkers have created ADUs outside of the current legal requirements for a variety of reasons. Some homeowners need rental income to be able to remain in their homes, to make space for an aging parent who needs to be close by, or to house a child who's having trouble finding somewhere to live in the city where they were raised. Many renters are finding that illegal ADUs often located in basements and cellars are the only housing they can afford in their community. These basement apartments already service an important supplement to the housing stock that disproportionately serves low-income owners and tenants, immigrants, and other New Yorkers who lack access to affordable options in the housing market. While ADUs can provide much needed housing, complex and often outdated codes and regulations make it difficult to bring these units into safe and legal

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 use, creating the potential for unsafe living

3 conditions where residents lack sufficient light,

4 ventilation, and egress. Flooding can exacerbate

5 safety risk for basement occupants because their

6 homes are below street level. As we tragically

7 | learned in the aftermath of Hurricane Ida, this can

8 be a matter of life and death.

The City has been working to make it easier to create accessory dwelling units and to legalize basement apartments without compromising on safety. The City committed to making it easier to build new ADUs in Mayor Adams' Housing Our Neighbors Blueprint and Where We Live New York City. The administration has most recently committed to local reforms to make it easier to build new ADUs through the City of Yes Zoning for Housing Opportunity Initiative. These commitments build on earlier work through which the City partnered with the City Council on a Basement Pilot program launched in July 2019 in Brooklyn Community Board 5. The goal of the pilot was to test potential strategies to facilitate basement conversions including understanding the impact of local code changes and the feasibility of bringing basements and cellars into safe and legal

low- and moderate-income homeowners.

2.2

2.3

Much of what we need requires state

legislation which is why HPD was supportive of the

legislative efforts in Albany last session that would

allow the City to waive sections of the Multiple

Dwelling Law to facilitate the conversion of basement

and cellars to apartments. We will also need local

partnership to make it possible to build new

accessory dwelling units and legalize basement

apartments, and we need support from the Council and

New Yorkers in recognizing the important function

that ADUs and safe, legal basement apartments can

play in our housing market and in rectifying

longstanding obstacles to fair housing that have

limited housing choices for New Yorkers of color.

Some regulatory barriers to the development of ADUs and the legalization of basements are rooted in a history of discrimination and exclusion. Removing those obstacles and updating our zoning and housing regulations will allow us to better meet New Yorkers' current and very urgent housing needs and to combat the legacy of redlining and segregation in our city and the region.

We'd like to thank the City Council for hosting this conversation today since we are all

potentially eligible just based on data so we're

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

talking about small residential properties. We were looking owner-occupied properties. We excluded properties in the coastal flood zone, and there were a few other factors. We also looked at zoning as a preliminary screen to make sure that the properties could potentially be eligible. From that was the 8,000. There were about 2,000 of the 8,000 of the homeowners that engaged in actual conversation with either the City or our partners, and, of those, about 800 were preliminarily interested. I think that in and of itself is a really important takeaway from the pilot program which is that of the homeowners that were surveyed, the interest rate was about 40 percent or even 10 percent if you're looking at the 8,000 that were even contacted. That's a pretty significant rate of interest of homeowners that I think were intrigued because this is a way to increase the value of their property, to address the needs of family members for additional housing, to improve their livelihoods by increasing income and revenue for their families. That I think is one takeaway. From that 800, we did detailed home assessments after doing some prescreening for the 800, detailed home assessments for just over 100 properties. Those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

detailed home assessments did a deeper dive into potential eligibility. This was actually going out to the buildings, inspecting the site, making sure there were not physical obstacles that would've prevented a conversion from moving forward, making sure that what on paper looked potentially eligible that in-person was actually eligible, talking to the owners about their ability to undertake a project so really doing the really in-depth study. Before the pandemic started, we did cost estimates for about 100, and 12 of those homeowners were interested and thought that between the City's program and their own resources could undertake the project. I think had the pandemic not hit, we probably would've had time to go through that process with a few more folks, but, unfortunately, the pandemic really impacted the ability to engage further. There were 12 owners by February/March 2020 that were interested. Eight of those owners actually submitted to DOB by the legislative deadline so the legislation that the City Council passed that was enacted and effective by mid-2019, we ended up extending because of the pandemic, the date to file with DOB was mid 2021. Basically, eight folks filed by then. Of the eight, one has

4.5 million dollars in expense funds and 6.8 million

actually skip that one.

2.2

2.3

in capital funds were added to the Basement Apartment
Pilot Program. At that time, it was estimated that
5,000 affordable units could be created. Pausing to
look at that gap, I guess you spoke to this a little
bit on the barriers that were faced so let me

Moving to the learnings of working with these five property owners, these five homeowners, can you talk a little bit about the kind of work that was required for the conversion, what kind of technical assistance did the homeowners need in order to remain in the program at this stage?

mentioned, on face value I think while we were trying to target about 40 homeowners, we have five active, that doesn't seem like a great ratio, but as I mentioned, the goal was really to try to understand the impact of the regulations and other factors as well as the code changes authorized by City Council, the impact that those would have on viability, and in terms of other factors, one of the things I think we were really interested in understanding was owner interest and what type of support owners would need to navigate a conversion projection. There are a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

couple of takeaways. First, the regulations are extremely complex. We're talking about building code, zoning, we're talking about state regulations that make it pretty complicated even for an architect to navigate the process and certainly very complex for a traditional owner that may not be an architect themselves to be able to go through this process. The regulatory framework, beyond being complex, the regulations impose significant requirements that add real costs that make it very difficult for a conversion project to be financed. Let me speak a little more specifically to that. I mentioned first and foremost the pilot didn't really address zoning. We agreed back in pre-2019 that that was going to be something we would come back to, that we really wanted to look at the Building Code requirements. What we found is that the Local Code amendments that were authorized as part of the 2019 legislation to look at fire safety and ventilation and light were certainly impactful, but they are not enough. The 2019 code looked at modifications for one-family homes converting to two-family and for two-family adding kind of a bedroom. They did not deal with the requirements for a two-family home to be converted to

there is sound attenuation, that you are dealing

egress from multiple units on different floors

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

potentially, and fire safety issues, and I think what we have found is that for an existing private dwelling that might be one unit above ground today or two units above ground and you're adding a unit on the ground floor that those requirements that are really about the height of a multiple dwelling don't really make sense the same way. One of the requirements of the Multiple Dwelling Law is to address roof safety. When we're converting a basement to a legal residential unit, we're not changing the height of the home overall, we're just converting use of an existing space and so a requirement, the roof is no different, the building above ground is no different so adding requirements like you need a railing or different parapet on the roof are really completely unnecessary. There are other things in there like the Basement Pilot, one of the code requirements coming out of the 2019 legislation was that to deal with fire safety there was an agreement to sprinkler the basement apartment. That's one of the major risks everyone is concerned with. In converting to a multiple dwelling, you not only have to sprinkler the new basement unit, you also have to install a sprinkler system in the existing units in

and feasibility.

2.2

2.3

the home so there are a number of requirements like
that that make it very, very expensive, and I think
we all need to grapple with whether or not we are
actually striking the right balance between safety

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. I'm going to ask just one more question and then I'm going to turn it over to the resolution sponsor, Council Member Hanif, for some opening remarks on the legislation as well as some questions.

Being optimistic about the passage in Albany of basement legalization, ADU legalization, what does the administration have in the works in terms of planning for a broad scale legalization of basement apartments?

I'm so glad you asked this. This administration, this is a real priority, and we're trying to tackle this on many fronts. We're not waiting for the pilot to be over in order to tackle the issue. Certainly, the pilot has informed a lot of what we know at this point in time. We're trying to act on some of that now. First, we are continuing to work with folks in the pilot. We are also exploring whether there are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

other ways that we can help owners financially. We've applied to the State for some funding to continue to experiment with that. At the local level, we are as part of the City of Yes Zoning for Housing Opportunity Initiative we are considering citywide text amendments that would particularly look at amongst other things low-density parts of the city and how to potentially make conversions or ADUs more feasible. That work is just starting, and it will take a real partnership with City Council to tackle that, but it really would mean the ability to convert existing basements or cellars or build ADUs across New York City. Those are a couple of the big things that we are working on. We certainly are very interested in what our State Colleagues also do. This really is something that needs to be taken seriously both at the City and the State level in order for us to make any real progress. The State requirements, if we don't tackle them, will really limit the ability to do conversions because of financial feasibility issues in New York City.

Just one other note, the Building Code changes that were authorized in 2019, those have expired at this point. If you didn't file by the

living in basement apartments that are currently

classified as illegal. The vast majority of these

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

residents are working-class immigrant New Yorkers who cannot afford other types of housing. Because these units are illegal, they do not have safety regulations or protections and tenants often do not report unsafe conditions out of fear that they will lose their homes. This dynamic has devastating results as we saw in September 2021 when flooding caused by Hurricane Ida killed 11 people who were living in substandard basement apartments. As the threat of more frequent and severe storms looms on the horizon due to climate change, we must do everything we can to prevent a repeat of this tragedy. With the skyrocketing price of housing in our city, we know that basement apartments are not going to disappear. Bringing these units up to code and making them safe is the best path forward for our communities. This will increase our city's safe housing stock, present opportunities for small homeowners to rent out, and extend tenant protections to those previously carved out.

I additionally want to share that as we call on the State to act here, our City needs to step up and lead as well. As a condition of the East New York Rezoning, the City committed to operating the

- 2 | Basement Apartment Conversion Pilot Program,
- 3 Brooklyn's Community District 5. This program had
- 4 | successfully launched, but in recent Fiscal Years
- 5 funding has been stripped away from the budget. This
- 6 | funding must be restored and expanded.
- 7 I'm going to close by thanking all of the
- 8 Members of the BASE Campaign who have led much of the
- 9 organizing and policy work around this issue. I also
- 10 deeply appreciate groups presenting recommendations
- 11 today that could strengthen the legislation further
- 12 and ensure it can be implemented in a way that meets
- 13 | its intent.

- 14 I'll pass it back now to Chair Sanchez.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
- 17 | Council Member Hanif.
- 18 What I'm going to do is have folks ask
- 19 \parallel questions if you have them for HPD, and then I would
- 20 like to ask DOB and MOEC to actually read your
- 21 | testimony into the record because we're doing this
- 22 live folks so it's hard to juggle so it'd be good to
- 23 hear what you all have to say and then we can
- 24 | continue with those questions. Do Colleagues want to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ask questions? I want to recognize Council Member 3 Barron first.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you very much. The East New York Project to me was a failure. It was not a success. 10 percent certainly isn't a success, and defunding it is certainly not something I would consider successful.

Secondly, we have to really look into the reasons why all of these homeowners would do this without having it regulated or doing by regulation. Cost is an issue, particularly with architects and all of the costs. The bureaucracy of getting permits and the regulations is another reason why people do it. Racism is the other reason why people don't go through the system because of things like you mentioned, redlining and other kinds of things. There's a lot of racism in the process so if we're going to resolve this, then it has to be a genuine commitment to these pilot projects. Not something that you say 8,000 people, we got 100 of them and 10 percent so we're happy and then defund. To me, that's not my idea of something being successful so there needs to be money in it for homeowners who are struggling in community just to meet mortgage and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

trying to do certain things. There needs to be a financial commitment, not rhetoric, not studies, not pilots, but a real financial commitment and a real streamlining of the regulatory process because if that doesn't happen we're going to have a very big problem. I'll give an example. It may not be totally related, but I had an issue in my community where I think they were building garages in front of the house without getting the proper permits, and someone was coming by who wanted to gentrify our neighborhood, and they went and they started complaining to the Department of Buildings so they can get fines and they was accumulating a bunch of fines and then when they didn't pay the fines they were going to have them in foreclosure so watch out for that strategy and tactic too where there are some rich developers who will send someone around and get all these fines. I was able to get in touch with the Department of Buildings and, when we saw the pattern that was happening, the person didn't want to say who they were, they were just putting it in, we were able to come to a compromise where DOB said the fines were like 20, 30, 40, 50,000 so they reduce all the fines to 1,000 dollars and then they worked with all of the homeowners to get these things legitimized with the funding assistance and with some regulatory assistance so I think we should put that into place and that kind of context. Sometimes we talk about issues, but when you put it into context of an East New York community or a Brownsville or a Harlem or a South Bronx community where low-income people are challenged and even if you're homeowners in these communities, there are still a lot of challenges so I would just want you to keep that in mind as we go

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Thank you,

Council Member. Maybe a couple of comments. We

understand the frustration on the funding cuts

related to the Basement Program. We were absolutely

disappointed, but the City was faced with making some

very hard choices at the beginning of the pandemic...

forth with these bills and regulations.

council Member Barron: Well, let me just say this real quick, sorry, Madam Chair, for interrupting. I don't want to hear that. We're not frustrated. We're livid that these things, so it's not a little passive frustration, and the City does have money and why didn't it happen?

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: If I can

complete my thought here, HPD, the City could not

allocate expense funding at the time. There were a

lot of other emergencies that the City was

addressing. We did raise separately a million dollars

to continue the pilot program. The City has

continued...

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Madam Chair, I do have to leave, and I don't mean to be rude, but I know you keep repeating something that just simply is not true, the City did not have money because of the pandemic. That is simply not true. You're with an agency. We see the whole budget.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: We know that is not true so let's tell the truth and come up with real solutions, but that is not true no matter how many more times you say it, and a million dollars is chump change as we say in the neighborhood when it comes to dealing with this issue.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Okay, so I can't change the past. I can tell you what we did about it. We raised about a million dollars in non-city sources to continue the pilot program. We did

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

work with every active owner that enrolled by the time pandemic hit. We agree with you. We have to streamline this. There is absolutely no question that the myriad regulations and the complexity in navigating those regulations is a really significant problem, and we agree that both in terms of fines, this was I think one of the lessons learned from what worked in the 2019 legislation is that we need to be flexible about addressing violations and fines for people that are willing to do what they need to do to make it right, and we also agree that we need to be able to have resources to help every type of homeowner, that this can't be just a program ultimately that benefits wealthy people that have means to actually be able to undertake these very expensive projects. To address all of these things, we really need support both at the local level and dealing with zoning requirements, code requirements, and at the state level related to regulations that make this very difficult for a normal person to be able to actually do.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Council Member Barron, and it's a really good point. Fiscal 2023-2027 Capital Commitment Plan has 1.08 million

2 dollars in capital funds for the program, and that's

3 compared to that 6.8 million that was there before,

4 and that's the capital side. That's not even the

5 expense side so just a followup on the Council

6 Member's question. How much have we spent with the

7 | five homes that we have?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: I don't have how much we have spent. I can tell you that the final cost for the project that closed on construction was above what we can actually lend through our loan authority, which is another issue that we have to address I haven't mentioned yet. HPD is authorized state law to make loans for particular purposes, and the state law that we use limits the amount of assistance that we can provide a homeowner to 60,000 dollars per unit so for a one-family home converting to two families, that's a maximum of 120,000 dollars. The preliminary cost information that we had from that initial kind of survey work that we did was that most of the conversion projects would cost between 200,000 and 500,000 dollars. The participants that actually moved forward in the pilot program, the 12 that started the process, most of them were on the lower end of that spectrum in part because we don't

these projects. If we don't address the MDL

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 requirements, the cost will be out of reach for 3 almost everybody in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, Deputy Commissioner.

Council Member Hanif.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you. Just to get clarity on this number again. The cost to convert a basement apartment is half a million?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: The cost that we're seeing right now for the four remaining homes in the pilot program are above half a million dollars.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So 12 is the amount that were converted or in the process of being converted?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: There were 12 homeowners that at the beginning of the pandemic, that was the stage where we were doing outreach and assessments of homeowners potentially for eligibility, there were 12 that had gone through that screening, were eligible and had costs on the lower end of that range that I quoted, the kind of 200,000 to 500,000 dollar range, and know what we could under a loan authority that was a major concern for us

2 because we were working with low-income homeowners. Some of the homeowners were moderate income, but it's 3 overall lower-income folks, and so 12 by the time the 4 pandemic started had indicated interest. We went through a financial screening with them. Cypress 6 7 Hills helped us go through that process with all the 8 homeowners to determine if they had the ability to help cover costs if the cost went above 120,000. Nobody at that point in time would've anticipated the 10 11 cost associated with the MDL requirements. Of the 12, 12 only eight filed with DOB on time. There were a 13 number of issues in there. That was during the 14 pandemic. Some folks' personal situations had changed 15 and so they were no longer interested, but eight did 16 file, and I think maybe you came in after I mentioned 17 this, but three of them subsequently dropped out 18 because of zoning or ceiling height issues so there 19 are five active at this point. Dropped out meaning 20 they're just not feasible under current regulations. 21 This is not an interest issue. This is a regulatory issue. The five that remain, one has closed and is in 2.2 2.3 construction, four are two-unit properties converting to three units and therefore the Multiple Dwelling 24 Law regulations kick in, and that is driving the cost 25

of Council because of the pandemic so the ultimate

basically two years from the effective date to file

deadline was June 2021 which gave eventually

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

with DOB. I think but for the complexity of the

pandemic this would not have taken this long, and now

I think the issue is working through the complexity

of the Multiple Dwelling Law to help these final

6 homeowners get to where they need to be.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: What steps need to be taken at the State level if the State were to pass A9802/S8783, how many housing units could be potentially created and in how many years?

question. Let me try to tackle it on a couple of parts. First, I would just say that we absolutely support efforts at the State level to reduce the barriers associated with creation of ADUs as well as conversion of basement or cellar units, and I think the legislation referenced, I haven't fully digested, I think the legislation referenced was legislation that we had supported last year that would have provided amnesty if there was an existing occupied unit. I think one thing to note is that absolutely we need to be doing everything we can to provide safe housing for people that are living in spaces today. We also have a housing supply issue in New York City that is creating a housing crisis, and so I think

that we need to think about this as both a safety
issue and a housing supply issue, and anything we do
should eliminate barriers with those two issues in

5 mind.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So then what steps are necessary to ensure tenant safety throughout the process? Were the eight units converted, were there residents staying at the basements that were transferred or staying somewhere else? Could you walk us through that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: This is a tricky issue. I think this is important to note. HPD is an enforcement agency as well as providing incentives so we have our community-based partner that is responsible if there are residents with working with them to relocate during construction period. If we know there's somebody illegally living in a property, we have potentially to vacate a building which would create additional housing instability so our community-based partners are the ones that are working with those residents. We do know that for some of the buildings...

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: For the

relocations?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: If there's relocation necessary in order to do construction work to legalize the basement or cellar. We do know based on the initial survey and home assessment information that there were a number of illegally occupied basements and cellars.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Were they safely relocated?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: If the project moved forward, they would be relocated.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay. I just want to better understand so no one through HPD is being forced to vacate but rather there's a community partner that you all work with to ensure that...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: To help them relocate during construction because you can't do these types of projects with people living in those spaces.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Right. Finally, just trying to understand, according to the Comptroller's August 2022 report, 10 percent of basement units in one-, two-, and three-family buildings face flooding risks, and this is estimated to increase to over 30 percent by 2050. What steps

are being taken to ensure that these units are not at risk of flooding as storms intensify? Thank you so

4 much.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Yeah. This is so complicated. Safety is absolutely I think one of the utmost priorities here, and it's tricky to balance that with the need to provide more housing, especially where you know there are illegal units. As part of the pilot, we explicitly excluded coastal areas with coastal flood risk. I think Ida changed our perspective on this issue to a large degree. We had been thinking coastal flood risk. There's also inland flood risk, and we saw the very dire consequences of not grappling with that issue. I would say I don't know that there's a clear exact path for balancing these things. It may not be possible to legalize every basement or cellar because you can't adequately manage the risk. That being said, we have two studies we're undertaking to try to understand this better. First, the City more generally led by MOCEJ is undertaking a backwater valve study which will hopefully give us a better understanding of where backwater valves can actually be impactful in managing this type of risk. The other

2.2

2.3

thing that we are doing, we applied for CDBG-DR funds in order to do a basement apartment flood mitigation study to specifically understand what type of mitigation might be necessary in order to reduce flood-related risk in basement or cellar dwellings specifically.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Do the costs you mentioned earlier include flooding mitigation or climate protection?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: No, they don't because we explicitly excluded properties that had a coastal flood risk, and I don't know offhand how much of this particular community, so this is Brooklyn Community Board 5, where there are inland flooding issues, but I don't remember that being a specific issue during the pilot program.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Finally, when will these studies be released or available to the public?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: I believe that the backwater valve study should be complete late this year, and the basement apartment flood mitigation study we just got awarded those funds and so I think within two years we should have that information.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Council
Member Hanif.

I'd like to also acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Aviles.

To follow up on what you're sharing with us about the costs, you said some of these conversions are costing between half a million and a million dollars and that for those conversions that are now triggering MDL requirements that is doubling the costs, so what are the other factors that are causing this to cost 500,000 dollars?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: The cost of doing these conversion projects, putting aside the MDL requirements, cost escalation has driven costs up across the board for doing renovation projects so the earlier cost information we have would've been a couple hundred thousand dollars and we were working with homeowners to access other sources to address the gap between what we can fund under our loan authorities and the cost of the projects. The MDL requirements are the requirements that have basically doubled the cost so the range is now between half a million and a million dollars.

need to happen through local law?

2.2

2.3

Turning to a future focus, what steps do you think are necessary to safely legalize basement apartments.

We've seen the legislation. They are specific changes that are giving the City authority to determine appropriate ceiling heights, parking requirements, and certain MDL waivers. What are some of those details? What is a safe ceiling height? What are some of those MDL requirements? You mentioned changes to roofs, but what are some of the others? Just to add on to that, are there any anticipated changes that

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: I will do my best. I am not a code expert. I will just preference my response with that. Based on what I've seen in the pilot program, I'll do my best to try to answer the question. First and foremost, I think the amendments that we made at the local level to the code related to ceiling height, egress, light, ventilation have certainly made a difference in terms of viability so certainly any program going forward, I see no reason at this point in time based on what we've seen to reconsider some of that although I think we would want to engage Department of Buildings and the Fire

Department and many others to make sure and certainly 2 3 look at flood risk in relation to some of those issues as well. I think we also at the local level 4 have to address zoning. The number of owners that were ineligible to participate because of zoning-6 7 related requirements was very significant so my 8 recollection is about a third of the properties that we had talked with had coverage issues, parking issues, fire issues, floor area issues and so those 10 11 were the most common barriers from a zoning 12 perspective in being able to move forward. Those are going to be issues that exist whether you're thinking 13 14 about ADUs or legalization of basement or cellar 15 units. At the local level, those are two big 16 takeaways. I would say in addition to the regulatory issues, as I mentioned, I think regulatory change can 17 reduce cost, but we still need a way to support 18 19 owners in actually moving forward. We did some kind 20 of assessment of what has happened nationally around 21 ADUs over the last few years, and some places have 2.2 gone so far as to have templates of how to undertake 2.3 this or checklists to help owners navigate this. It's very complex work. As part of the pilot, we had 24 community-based organizations helping, we had the 25

City helping, we had architects involved so we need
to figure out a way to make it easier for normal
people to actually undertake this.

At the State level, there are the two big things. We have a loan authority problem we have to fix, 60,000 a unit has been in statute for decades. It doesn't even help us, at this point it's a limit in just being able to help a homeowner do basic repairs. Certainly, it's not sufficient to address a conversion project, or if we wanted to go to ADUs wouldn't be enough to help with ADUs. I talked about the Multiple Dwelling Law requirements. We really need the ability for those requirements to be waived. You could think about in a private dwelling that is adding another unit as an ADU instead of a multiple dwelling, you could come up with a different definition that would potentially exempt either in local zoning or at the state level those buildings from having to comply with certain requirements. Again, I'm not a code expert. I think we ultimately would need DOB, Fire, lots of other people to help look at those to make sure, certainly DCP, to help us look at the myriad regulations to make sure we're

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

addressing appropriately, but those were the big issues that we've seen.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER JOFFE: If I may,
I'll just add that this is a cornerstone of our Fair
Housing strategy. We really need the support of the
Council and of New Yorkers to recognize the
importance of these issues in order to be able to
move forward. All of these steps will take that
partnership.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

Moving to tenant protection and the right to return,

the State Legislation as currently written includes

language about a right to return, but the State with

the City's enablement would be conferring a new

income source to house owners so what affordability

restrictions or commitments would the administration

be supportive of?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: I think this is a much more complex issue than it seems on face value, and that's coming from somebody that finances affordable housing. What we have seen is that today in the pilot program we're working with lower-income homeowners. Those homeowners really need additional revenue to stabilize their own households

financially. The pilot is taking place in a community that has had much more high foreclosure risk, lots of issues that homeowners are facing, and so I think whatever we do to protect tenants also has to keep in mind that there are owners that may be facing their own challenges. In the pilot program, we do have basically an option of the resident to return at their last rent charged if there is a resident, and we also have through the financing program a requirement that if the unit is vacant, there's not a returning resident, that the owner lease to a household at a rent that is affordable at or below 80 percent AMI, but we have an ability to waive that requirement if there is potential hardship for the homeowner itself. What we've seen in our home repair programs is that many of the homeowners are highly dependent on rental income, and this is in the oneto four-family home space, highly dependent on rental income for their own livelihood. In fact, it sometimes is the primary source of income or one of the primary sources of income. Again, I think this is much more complicated than it seems on face value. Of course, we want to protect residents, but that's not

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BRUNNER: I do, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Good afternoon, Chair Sanchez and Members of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. I am Guillermo Patino, Deputy Commissioner for External Affairs at the New York City Department of Buildings. I am joined today by Joseph Ackroyd, Assistant Commissioner for Technical Affairs and Code Development. We are pleased to offer testimony in support of Intro 875 which makes technical corrections, clarifications, and modifications to certain provisions of the 2022 New York City Construction Codes, Intro 886 which extends the moratorium on the issuance of business sign violations for two additional years, and Intro 150 which expands electric vehicle charging readiness requirements and requires the installation of electric vehicle charging stations.

The Department is required to keep the Construction Codes up to date with the International Code Council's I-Codes and began its previous Construction Code revision cycle in 2015. This public/private partnership which spanned several years involved over 650 industry professionals and stakeholders who volunteered their time and sat on

building emissions limits are for emissions generated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

by the consumption of electricity, and allowing the Department to limit the deductions allowed for renewable energy credits, expanding the allowing for small encroachments into the public right of way when additional installation is required to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, eliminating the requirement for the Department to witness fire pump acceptance tests which are conducted by qualified individuals while maintaining the ability to audit as needed, and aligning site safety plan submission requirements according to application filing date rather than the date of Department approval which allows these requirements to be consistently applied in accordance with the Department's industry guidance regarding this matter. Of note, the Department is already organizing a new series of committees to help draft the next set of revisions to the Construction Codes. Applications to join the upcoming Code revision cycle will be accepted by the Department through the end of this month. Extensive outreach to the industry is being conducted, and we encourage those who are interested in helping the Department shape the future of New York City's build environment to apply. The Department anticipates that it will begin submitting

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

proposed revisions to the City Council updating the Construction Codes in 2024.

Turning now to Intro 886 regarding business signs. Business signs must comply with requirements in both the New York City Building Code and the New York City Zoning Resolution. The regulations in the Building Code address permitting and structural issues, and the regulations in the Zoning Resolution address issues including permissible surface area, projection, and height. Collectively, these regulations exist to protect the public from dangerous or illegally installed signs and to reduce visual clutter. The Department has taken the existing moratorium as an opportunity to focus on educating businesses about sign regulations and to introduce new resources to assist businesses with the process of installing signs. We have sent letters to businesses who have received violations from the Department for illegally installed in the past accompanied by information about sign regulations and the moratorium on the issuance of business sign violations which went into effect in 2019. While educating businesses about existing regulations is critical, we believe more can be done

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to support businesses. Last summer, the Department launched an annual No Penalty Business Sign Inspection Program which allowed businesses to request an inspection from the Department to determine if their sign complies with applicable regulations by calling 3-1-1. That's a program that we expect to continue moving forward as well. This type of compliance inspection incentivizes small business owners and other property owners to ensure their buildings are safe without the worry of a penalty if there's an issue that needs to be corrected. The Department has also updated the resources on its website pertaining to sign installation and has simplified the sign permit process. We also recently announced the availability of dedicated resources to assist small businesses with any issues they might have including questions pertaining to installing a sign or about any other construction project they may be pursuing. The Department is fully supportive of Intro 886, which will extend the moratorium on the issuance of business sign violations for two additional years. We recognize the need to continue to support small businesses, and this bill does just that. We look

2 forward to working with this Committee to make it

3 easier and more affordable for businesses to bring

4 their signs into compliance with applicable

5 regulations.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Finally, the Department is also supportive of the intent of Intro 150, which would expand electric vehicle charging readiness requirements and requires the installation of electric vehicle charging stations. Electric vehicles present an opportunity to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to improve air quality, which is aligned with New York City's goal of reaching carbon neutrality by 2050. This proposal builds upon existing Building Code requirements that require that parking lots be capable of supporting electric vehicle charging stations and goes further by requiring that electric vehicle charging stations be installed in certain instances. We look forward to working with the City Council and our partner agencies on this proposal.

We thank the City Council for its continued support and look forward to continuing our work together to improve the Department for the benefit of all New Yorkers. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BRUNNER: Hello. Good afternoon, Chair Sanchez and the Members of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. My name is Esther Brunner, and I am the Deputy Director of the Mayor's Office of Environmental Coordination. We are very pleased to offer testimony in support of Intro 876, which seeks to update the City's green building standards for city-owned and some city-funded capital projects involving building as organized in Charter Section 224.1, Green Buildings Standards.

established as part of Local Law 86 in 2005 and later updated as part of Local Laws 31 and 32 of 2016.

These policies place energy efficiency and LEEd design standards on city-funded and city-owned capital projects, ensuring that city buildings help deliver the deep carbon reductions we need to meet our ambitious climate goals. The recommended amendments as part of Intro 876 would streamline compliance, simplify the required pathways for rigorous energy reduction, align standards with the recently adopted New York City Energy Conservation Code, and align with Local Law 97 of 2019 to

and in a way that's mutually supportive and

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

reinforcing of recent efforts around emission and renewable energy.

We thank the City Council and this

Committee for its continued support in advancing

legislation to address the climate crisis and improve

the sustainability of our building processes and the

structures they create. We welcome any questions you

may have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
Deputy Director and Deputy Commissioner.

Just to start and then I'm going to turn over to Council Member Restler who has been patiently waiting for a bit. For DOB, the clean-up bill includes a section on the limitation of the use of renewable energy credits that may be issued via rule. The Department lists three factors that it will consider in issuing this rule. Regarding those factors, first, how will or does DOB determine the availability or expected availability of renewable energy credits. Second, how does DOB currently approach environmental justice? What is the definition that DOB is contemplating? Third, who would complete the environmental justice assessment?

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Would DOB consult with experts and stakeholders and,
if yes, which ones?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Thank you.

First, I'll start by mentioning that last month was a significant month in terms of Local Law 97 implementation. The Department issued the Advisory Board report. The Department has been working with stakeholders very closely for the past several years, and over 300 meetings were held as part of that process. The report we issued last month includes the recommendations that came out of that Advisory Board process so we're very thankful for all the Advisory Board members that participated in that process.

Secondly, I'll mention that last month we also finalized our first major Local Law 97 rule, and that rule did take a significant step forward as it relates to renewable energy credits, or RECs, and it specified that RECs can only be used to offset electricity emissions and not for emissions produced on-site, for example from oil or gas boilers, and we believe this is a very fair approach and it's in line with how the state and the federal government approach RECs, but we believe that our ability to make future determinations around the use of RECs can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be made clearer so that's why we included language in the clean-up bill that you mentioned, Intro 875, that does just that. The bill allows us to make further determinations around the use of RECs, and we're going to be doing that by rule so the rule will also be a public process. There will be a public hearing for that rule, and we're approaching this issue very carefully. We appreciate that it's a significant issue, both for the stakeholders, the advocate community, and for the building owners who need to comply with Local Law 97 so we're going to take a very careful approach, and right now we've engaged NYSERDA to study this issue so we're talking to NYSERDA both to better understand the RECs market, how the RECs market will operate and how RECs will impact Local Law 97 compliance so we're currently engaged with NYSERDA as part of that process.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, before you turn to the environmental justice question, just wanted to do a quick followup. The Comptroller's Office produced a report in December on Local Law 97 modelling different RECs limit scenarios so 10 percent, 30 percent, and 50 percent. The Office outlined the impacts of various RECs limits on the

ı	COMMITTEE	\cap	HOLICING	7/ JVI D	ח דדוום	TNICC
ı	[(.() V V ` `` `H, H,	() [\	HUUSING	ANI	BUILL	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 - 5

2 overall targeted emissions reductions. I understand

3 the limitation for electrification, but what about

4 limiting the overall reduction required by a

5 building, limiting how much of that is able to be

6 offset via RECs?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: That's something we're studying right now along with our partners at NYSERDA to better understand the market and how RECs will impact the implementation of Local Law 97 so that's why we're not putting forward limits in the bill before you today. We're just clarifying that the Department has the ability to limit RECs further, and our plan is to do that by rule, and we certainly look forward to engaging the City Council as part of that process as well.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you.

Moving to the environmental justice factor, who would
be completing the environmental justice impact
assessment? Will DOB consult experts and
stakeholders? If so, which ones? What exactly do you
envision that the impact assessment would entail?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: That's something we're currently studying as well with our

partners at NYSERDA so we'll have more updates for
you on that front as well.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. The third factor is a catchall. Any other relevant factor determined to be related to the use or restriction on the use of such credits? I'm a little troubled by the lack of specificity here. What are other relevant factors that DOB could consider?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: That's also something we're currently looking at. Right now, we're studying this issue with NYSERDA. Once we conclude that study and those conversations, we'll be looking to promulgate rules around this issue so we're certainly going to be sharing more information with you on this issue moving forward.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you. As you know, this Council, myself, we're laser-focused on making sure that the environmental benefits of Local Law 97 are not eroded in any way, and so we're very vigilant about any discussion about renewable energy credits.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Thank you. We appreciate that and completely agree.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Of course. Council
3 Member Restler.

much, Chair Sanchez. We are really fortunate to have you leading and in this role, serving as the Chair of this vital Committee. I also want to just echo your congratulations to Kim on your appointment as Deputy Commissioner. It's good to see you. We're fortunate as a city to have you in that role, Deputy Commissioner Darga, and I also just want to thank Guillermo who is incredibly responsive and helpful. I imagine that like every other Council Member in the City of New York we have DOB-related crises at the most random hours of the night and on weekends, and I am deeply appreciative of your help in addressing issues in real time in our community. Thank you for going above and beyond.

I just wanted to echo some of the points that Chair Sanchez was making as it relates to Intro 875, this Code bill. Firstly, I want to underscore again at this point DOB has no specific percentage in mind for a cap that you would put on RECs, there's no analysis that's been conducted internally to inform what cap you would plan to impose?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Not at this time. We don't have a limit that we would propose at this time. We're really committed to looking at this issue carefully. This administration has taken a very careful approach to the implementation of Local Law 97. DOB has established a Sustainability Bureau which is led by Laura Popa, and she is fully committed to this issue as well so we're taking a very careful approach to this, and our goal is to study this issue carefully and then to come forward with...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I really appreciate that, Deputy Commissioner. Careful is important but so is speed, and we have no time to waste, and so should the Council move forward on this Code bill, what would be the timeline for DOB getting these rules implemented?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: We anticipate doing a lot of rulemaking around Local Law 97 this year so emissions limits begin in 2024 and then owners begin reporting their compliance to the Department of Buildings in 2025 so we fully appreciate how important it is for owners to have guidance around this issue and for the advocate

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 further rules relating to RECs and to impose further 3 caps?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: We do believe that our ability to make future determinations on the issue of RECs and to place a cap on RECs that we would need this legislation, yes.

question and then I'll make a comment if that's okay.

As of reports late last year, DOB had the highest rate of vacancy of any rate in the city, and the work of DOB inspectors and the enforcement work you all do is essential to our collective safety. I am deeply concerned about the dramatic reduction in headcount that we're experiencing across all of city government and you all are under oath so you have to be honest with me, I know you are too, how are the vacancies at DOB impacting your ability to swiftly implement Local Law 97?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Thank you. We're definitely hiring for the Sustainability Bureau which was recently established, and the way that we plan to implement Local Law 97, we needed a smaller team to begin with, and our plan is for that team to definitely grow as the Law continues to be

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Deputy

Commissioner, I appreciate your responses, and I appreciate the thoughtfulness with regard that you're trying to bring to Local Law 97 and that I know

Deputy Commissioner Popa is trying to bring as well.

I just have to underscore it's not just thoughtfulness. It's also speed, and we need to move aggressively and swiftly to limit RECs. The loopholes that we have right now are wholly unacceptable, and if DOB does not act appropriately then I certainly believe the Council must and so if you all are unable to resolve this in rulemaking then we should push through aggressive legislation to impose those requirements ourselves. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,

Council Member Restler. Following up on the same

issue, you mentioned this, DOB is currently working

on a renewable energy credits limit study with

NYSERDA. What's the timeline for the completion of

the study?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: We don't have a timeline for completing the study at this time, but

would be a public hearing as part of that process and

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 then after the hearing we would have to move to 3 promulgate a final rule.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

The next question is on Intro 876. For the sake of the public, can you please walk us through the provisions of this bill and how this bill will change the existing Green Buildings Law?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR BRUNNER: Thank you, Chair Sanchez, for your question. This Charter Section, again, is comprised of the original law from 2005 and then amendments from 2013, and basically it provides two different tracks of Green Building Standards and implementation applicable to the City's own buildings and a very, very small universe of city co-funded buildings, and the two tracks are one, for larger projects that they have to be built to LEED Green Building Standards so LEED Gold for certain occupancy groups and just LEED Certified for others then the second track is city buildings through systems replacements. There again, there is a certain monetary threshold which if reached the law kicks in, and that is true for the LEED threshold as well. There it actually matters what the construction costs of the city project will be. There are different

2 ranges, and depending on which range a project falls

3 | it has to certify to certain levels and/or also

fulfill additional energy cost-saving requirements.

 $\mathsf{S} \mid \mathsf{The} \; \mathsf{idea} \; \mathsf{overall} \; \mathsf{is} \; \mathsf{that} \; \mathsf{the} \; \mathsf{City} \; \mathsf{leads} \; \mathsf{by} \; \mathsf{example.}$

6 That was the original idea in 2005 with sustainable

7 and green buildings.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

The bill as currently drafted grants the Mayor the authority to allow alternative standards to be adopted for certain occupancy groups, specifically the legislation allows for less stringent alternative standards to be adopted for these occupancy groups so can you explain why this waiver is necessary? Is there a way for those occupancy groups to meet the same or at least equally stringent standards as other buildings?

amendments in today's build-up bill are not making any changes to mayoral authorities so that is an original provision from the 2005 bill, and so the idea I think at that point was we were in an entirely different environment in terms of Green Building. At the time, a lot of city agencies were very concerned about how they would implement those provisions, and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 and for only very specific occupancy groups. Yes,

3 there may be the necessity to go to a less stringent

4 level than otherwise will be required than if you go

5 for a LEED Certified or LEED Gold Certified level.

6 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

7 Last set of questions for me and looking over to

8 Colleagues if y'all have any additional questions.

9 With respect to Intro 886, glad to hear the

10 administration is supportive of this and looking

11 | forward to working on making sure that our small

12 | businesses are not penalized. Does DOB have this

13 | estimate, how many noncompliant signs remain in the

14 | City of New York?

25

1

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: We don't have

16 | that estimate, but I can look into this issue further

17 | and see what information we can pull. Perhaps we can

19 \parallel installed signs in the past and try to gauge that,

20 | but we have seen consistently an uptick in the number

21 of sign permits that have been pulled since the

22 moratorium has been in place which is promising.

23 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you.

24 | Just looking backwards, prior to the current

moratorium, in 2016 and 2017, DOB received about

1,000 such complaints resulting in 800 inspections and about 500 violations. If the City Council does not enact this proposed legislation, what happens to the businesses that have not been able to correct

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: They would potentially be on the hook for violation should we receive a complaint. We're not proactively enforcing this requirement so what happened pre-moratorium was that we were receiving complaints and in 2018 there was a significant uptick in complaints so we're obligated to respond to every complaint we receive so potentially they could receive violations, but I will note as part of the Mayor's Executive Order 2 Small Business Forward, one proposal that DOB did put forward is that we're no longer going to be imposing 6,000 dollar work without a permit penalties on small businesses so the regulatory framework is certainly much more improved than it was in 2018 for businesses, and we've also extended the time period that a time period that a business has to correct violations so should they receive a violation once the moratorium does end, they will no longer be on

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

their signs?

the hook for a 6,000 dollar work without a permit
penalty and they'll also have more time to correct.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. According to the latest information that we have, there are only 25 special sign hangers who are licensed to do the remediation work required here. This is a very limited pool of workers for a lot of businesses in the City of New York so what are some ideas that the Department has considered to increase the number of workers that are allowed to do sign hanging?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: As part of the original law that put into place the moratorium, we were tasked with establishing a taskforce to explore this issue, and one of the ideas that came out of this taskforce was allowing other folks to also be able to install signs. One of the proposals was perhaps allowing general contractors to install smaller signs so that was one idea that came out of that taskforce which would certainly open up the pool of individuals who could hang signs.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

Actually, just one question on Intro 150. Are there

currently any incentive programs available for the

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE		

2.2

2.3

2 City to level the playing field for EV supply 3 equipment installation?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: I'm not aware of any offhand, but we'll look into this and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: We have to do more because private owners are not necessarily going to allow this. I want to acknowledge Council Member Aviles.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Thank you so much,
Chair Sanchez. I have a couple of questions across
all the pieces of legislation, but since we last were
talking about 150 in terms of the charging stations.

Does this include charging for e-bikes or are we
specifically just talking about cars?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: This would just be specifically for vehicles so not e-bikes.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Is the City recognizing the significant increase in the usage of e-bike and e-bike usage and the need for charging infrastructure that is public outside of people's homes as it relates to all the safety issues, have you been considering that in terms of expanding the infrastructure, and what would that look like?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: That's a 3 really good point, Council Member. We're certainly 4 supportive of the intent of this bill, but I think we definitely want to discuss the technical requirements in the bill and what are the percentages for EV 6 7 charging readiness and the actual installation of EV 8 chargers are appropriate so I think we should certainly discuss that issue as part of that conversation as well. 10

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: That's great.

We've written to the federal government around the need for this and particularly the integration of e-bikes and that specific consideration so we don't go so far down as to build an infrastructure that then we have to adapt which we know is significantly more expensive in the end so I implore you to include e-bikes into that consideration across the city.

In terms of Local Law 97, could you repeat what the rule, you mentioned the group finalized one specific rule, could you repeat what that was again in terms of the RECs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Yeah, of course. Last month, we finalized our first major Local Law 97 rule, and what that rule does is it

explains to building owners how they can calculate 2 3 their building emissions for the purposes of complying with the law, how they're going to be 4 submitting those emissions to the Department for review in 2025 in terms of how they're complying with 6 the law, and finally the last thing that I mentioned is that we also took a step forward on the issue of 8 RECs and we're limiting deductions from RECs to just

produced on-site for example through oil or gas

electricity uses within a building so not emissions

boilers so we did limit the use of RECs to just 12

13 electricity in that rule that we finalized in

December. 14

1

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Got it. Thank you so much. I just have to underscore my Colleague, Council Member Lincoln Restler's call for urgency and speed. I think what we heard here today obviously is that there's a lot of rulemaking that needs to happen that will happen this year, but a very kind of dot, dot, dot in terms of the wrapping up of the study and in terms of like really moving forward with the RECs. I think time is not on our side with climate change and, while we need to move thoughtfully, we do need to move with urgency because the loopholes are quite

significant so I have to underscore that. I think we feel very urgent around this issue to make sure we close those loopholes and not linger on for quite a

5 long time.

2.2

2.3

I think I forgot my last question. I may have to turn it over to the Chair and then I'll maybe remember. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: No problem. HPD, one last question that I have for you. You talked a little bit about your existing loan authority and the need for Affordability Plus so can you just specifically describe what changes you would be seeking through Affordability Plus to enable more convergence?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: Absolutely.

Most of HPD's loan authorities which are granted through the state are decades old. With regards to ADUs and conversions, there are a couple of issues.

One, the statute that we use to provide assistance to one- to four-family homes caps the level of assistance at 60,000 dollars per dwelling unit so a one-family converting to a two-family, the maximum loan would be a 120,000 dollars. For a two-family converting to a three-family, the maximum loan would

2.2

2.3

be 180,000 dollars. Even if HPD wanted to provide
more assistance, we could not so that's issue one.

authorities that we have including the loan authority for one- to four-family homes dates back decades, the primary issue that the public purpose that we are achieving through making a loan is primarily related to addressing blight, which makes sense if you think of the era in which these loan authorities were created. We are looking for more flexibility under our loan authority to address myriad housing issues including resiliency, conversions, a number of housing issues that property owners are dealing with today. Those are the two big issues that we need to address with regards to conversions or ADUs. There's a whole slew of other issues when we're talking about housing more generally.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much
HPD, DOB, MOEC, Members of the Administration for
taking my gratitude, but I have a Council Member who
has remembered her question.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: I just remembered.

Thank you. I'm so sorry to interrupt. It was actually for HPD. Particularly when you mentioned the study

that kind of general usage?

2.2

2.3

around the backwater valves, is that specifically relegated to their impact for potential ADUs or is

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: I will speak about what I know, but maybe some of my Colleagues know more. The backwater valve study specifically was something that we wanted to do after Ida because of inland flood-related issues to understand the effectiveness of using backwater valves to manage the risk in terms of geography and other issues.

District 38 in South Brooklyn and certainly
experience quite a lot of flooding any time we have
rain so this has been an intervention that I think we
have heard a lot of mixed reviews about. Some
homeowners say it's great, and others are like that's
the greatest waste of money and time, and I'm utterly
frustrated so I think we definitely have to figure
out how to support our homeowners and bring relief on
this issue.

I was curious if the learnings around the ADUs, obviously we're trying to correct the housing stock that is varied and quite old is many ways, have we updated regulations around how new structures when

they're being built so that they don't have some of
these issues that we're seeing with older stock that
was built during different times and under different
regulations in terms of like what an accessory

6 dwelling units could look like?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DARGA: I don't know that I can adequately answer that question. I think certainly from a zoning perspective, there are substantial limitations. I'm going to do my best to answer it, but I might need some help from others or I may have to circle back. The zoning regulations certainly do substantially constrain what can be built today, and that impacts both ADUs as well as conversion projects, and that is because of coverage requirements as well as floor area ratios, unit limits, parking requirements, a whole slew of issues. In addition to that, within the Building Code, I don't know. I don't know if my Colleague is prepared to talk about that. If not, we can get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Yeah. I'm sure much has evolved since many of those decades and maybe some of this is moot, but maybe some of it is not and we continue to kind of perpetuate some things

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that are going to need to be addressed in the future.
3 Thank you.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER JOFFE: I'll just add on that. Some of this that we've been talking about is legalizing basements, and that's where you will find some of our work dealing with existing structures, but as we've talked about off and on today some of this is about creating the space to build new accessory dwelling units and so while that might be about new housing that we're constructing generally, it might be totally unrelated but not have the same constraints as basements and, as we mentioned, zoning can be an issue for that as well. We do see this as a really important part of both combatting the housing supply crisis that we're struggling with here in the city, the lack of accessible, low-cost options for New Yorkers, and New Yorkers should be able to choose the neighborhood that works best for them so this is also a really important fair housing consideration for us and one of the reasons why we're so committed both to the creation of ADUs and to legalizing basements.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Thank you so much.

One last question, Chair, for DOB. I'm not sure if

waiving of that fee moving forward?

2.2

2.3

I'm calling it the right thing, but in terms of the moratorium around the fine for not having the sign, the 6,000 dollar fine which is what I know it as in community with having quite a number of residents who have been fined the 6,000 dollar fee, is that moratorium retroactive to a certain date or is that a

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: It applied to violations I believe violations issued after 2019 so nothing before 2019.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: After 2019?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Interesting. Okay. Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: You're welcome, but it will also apply moving forward because we amended our regulations to do away with that fine altogether for small businesses.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: That's great. I'd love to in the future need to talk a little bit more about that entire process and the burden of how the violations are put forward. Residents have been told they have to pay the violations whether or not they plead guilty first and then it sounds like a very

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 87 2 illogical process in many ways so I'd love to talk 3 some more offline. 4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PATINO: Of course. 5 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: All right. I'd also 6 7 like to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Dinowitz. 8 9 With that, for real this time, thank you to the Administration for your testimony today. 10 11 Please consider us partners in the conversations 12 around legalizing basements. There's a lot of 13 priorities that we want to meet, the affordability, 14 the tenant protections, but also the homeowner 15 benefits and everything in between so thank you for 16 the discussion, and we look forward to aging these 17 bills together. 18 COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: We will now turn 19 to testimony from participants from the public. 20 Please listen for your name to be called whether you 21 are here in person or joining via Zoom. 2.2 If you are joining via Zoom, when it is 2.3 your turn to testify, you will be prompted to unmute.

Please accept the prompt and begin your testimony.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In the interest of time, public testimony will be limited to two minutes per person.

We will begin with Moses Gates, Jishian
Ravinthiran, Sylvia Morse, Ryan Chavez, and Katherine
Leitch. If you're here in person, you may come up to
the witness table.

Thank you. Mr. Gates, if you're ready, you may begin.

MOSES GATES: Thanks so much for having me. Thank you, Chair Sanchez and City Council. I wanted to quickly address the ADU issue and how we can really help address our housing crisis through better incentives and better framework for accessory dwelling units. There's really two different regulatory issues that we need to address. The first one is the zoning issue, and that's what I wanted to spend most of my time on. Without the proper zoning as you heard from HPD, there's no way to really move forward with any legalization of accessory dwelling units in many of our areas. If you do have the proper zoning, there are still many hurdles to overcome as you also heard the expense through the Multiple Dwelling Law, a state law that only applies to New York City and was put in place before our existing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Building Code was put in place I might add so that provides a lot of constraints as does some other Building Code issues and, of course, the financing and the financial environment that we find ourselves under. With zoning, the first thing I always want to point out is in New York City only about 10 percent of the housing stock consists of single-family homes, but they take up 40 percent of the residential land, and between single-family and two-family homes, they take up two-thirds of the residential land here in New York City. This is not only in places like the South Shore of Staten Island or Far Eastern Queens. There are many, many places zoned for exclusively single-family use that are closer into the center of the city, very subway accessible, and areas that really have the existing infrastructure to accommodate more residents through one- to two-family conversions and through accessory dwelling units. You would think that we would be incentivizing this, but instead we've gone the opposite way. Over the last 20 years, we've actually had 10,000 more parcels zoned for single-family home use than we did in 2002 so we're going the opposite way. We believe at Regional Plan Association that we could add about 100,000

2.2

2.3

units through just the better zoning incentives and reducing some of those MDL requirements for accessory dwelling units, many of which as I've pointed out would be in these transit-accessible locations near jobs, near transit, near the subway. That's based on one-third of the possible universe that could be created through these reforms. As you heard from HPD, with about 40 percent uptick in East New York, that's something we think is well within the realm of feasibility.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.
We've actually been joined by the bill sponsor in
Albany, Assembly Member Harvey Epstein, so if panel
wouldn't mind to hold for a second so we can hear
from Assembly Member Epstein.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER EPSTEIN: Thank you,

Council Member. I am sorry to interrupt your

proceedings. I really do appreciate you taking the

time to let me speak. We're up here in Albany and so

I appreciate you allowing me to do that remotely.

First of all, thank you for holding this
Committee on basement apartments. Estimates say that
there's at least 115,000 basement apartments in New
York City. Chhaya and Pratt Center say there's closer

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to 300,000 to 500,000 units. Honestly, during law school, when I lived in Flushing Queens, I lived in one and so basement apartments play a critical role in our housing stock and we need to make sure that they're regulated and safe units. We all know about a year and a half ago we lost 11 New Yorkers who were living in basement apartments after Hurricane Ida flooded their units. We can do better. We can protect those New Yorkers. Tragedies like those are avoidable if we recognize the existence of basement apartments and create pathways to legalize them and that's what this resolution does and that's what my bill in Albany does as well. The bill which is (INAUDIBLE) to the resolution before you creates a pathway to legalization and creates multiple opportunities to waive issues like the Multiple Dwelling Law which now prevents some of those units from becoming legal. It's not about safety; it's just kind of legislative problems that we need to overcome. Tens of thousands of New Yorkers could benefit from a safe and affordable apartment as long as the City Council and the State Legislature work together to pass this legislation. Luckily, Government Kathy Hochul just two weeks ago talked about support for basement

2.2

2.3

legalization, and we've heard from Mayor Adams who has also been supportive. It's really important to know that we have an affordable housing crisis with 60,000 homeless New Yorkers every day, people living in homeless shelters, seeing migrants coming to New York and having no place to live. This can create one facet of the affordable units that we need around our city.

Council to pass this resolution and then we'll be able to move forward and pass this statewide. It's a critical moment in New York, and we have to decide with our priorities what we're going to do. We need to stand with New Yorkers who are the most vulnerable who are living in those basement apartments and ensure that this resolution gets passed and we help create a pathway to legalization for all those families that are living in basement units.

Thank you, again, for allowing me to take a moment to speak, and I encourage all my Colleagues on the Council to support this resolution.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
Assembly Member, to you and to Senator Kavanaugh, for
championing this in Albany. Appreciate you.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Go ahead.

JISHIAN RAVINTHIRAN: Thank you,

Chairperson Sanchez and Members of the Committee. My name is Jishian Ravinthiran, pronouns he/him, and I am very happy to be here on behalf of the Legal Aid Society. Many of our clients are particularly immigrants and people of color who live in the basement units at the heart of this resolution, which seeks to support the State legislative proposal A1075 allowing the City to establish a program to safely legalize these units.

we believe A1075 is a step forward for ensuring tenants have a right to live somewhere with security, peace, and dignity, and to name just one example of how much of a beneficial impact this could have, in one of my cases the ceiling collapsed on a client and her 3-year-old daughter. However, our concern is it only guarantees tenants an option to return to the unit after necessary alterations. Since these apartments are unregulated, there's nothing to stop a landlord from giving tenants their right to return but also hiking the price of these units or even terminating their unregulated tenancy as currently allowed by law to make them leave. This is

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 common now, and it'll get worse as landlords seek to 3 justify rent increased based on these alterations. 4

For example, in that same case the landlord had tried to hike the rent from 1,000 dollars a month to 1,700 dollars a month. We would hope that this Committee and the Council collaborate with their Colleagues in Albany to provide for good-cause eviction protections in these basement units to ensure that they aren't subject to these type of rent increases and also have a right to a lease renewal. This is particularly important because the State Legislature proposal

Lastly, there's nothing in the bill currently that addresses the displacement of tenants. In that case, for example, I don't know what I would've told a single mother and her two minor kids to go while these renovations were being made. Thank you.

exempts certain owner-occupied buildings that these

basement units are typically a part of.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you for that. Just a quick followup. Is it Legal Aid Society's position that these amendments should be made in the State Legislation or enable the Council to make the changes?

2.2

2.3

JISHIAN RAVINTHIRAN: Yes, we believe it should be in the State Legislation because they would need to provide the authority to the City Council to provide for those good-cause eviction protections.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

JISHIAN RAVINTHIRAN: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. We will now move to Sylvia Morse, Ryan Chavez, and Katherine Leitch.

SYLVIA MORSE: Hello, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Yes.

SYLVIA MORSE: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Chair Sanchez and Members of the Committee. Thank you
for holding this hearing on the urgent issue on
basement legalization. I'm Sylvia Morse, the Policy
Program Manager at the Pratt Center for Community
Development who has been working on basement
apartment safety for 15 years with the BASE
Coalition. Basement apartments are a critical part of
the city's low-income housing stock, home to tens of
thousands of New Yorkers. Pratt Center found that
unaccounted-for units are concentrated in Community
Districts that are majority people of color and who

two- and three-family homes, which would be

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. Ryan

Chavez followed by Katherine Leitch.

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 RYAN CHAVEZ: Good afternoon. Can everyone 3 hear me?

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Yes.

RYAN CHAVEZ: Good afternoon, Chair Sanchez and Members of the Committee. My name is Ryan Chavez, Director of the Basement Apartment Conversion Pilot Program at Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation. Founded in 1983, Cypress is a non-profit community development organization and settlement house based in East New York. We are also members of the BASE Coalition. As you know, four years ago New York City in partnership with Cypress launched the East New York Basement Conversion Pilot. Through our ongoing work on this pilot, we have found that under current Codes, it is extraordinarily difficult to bring most basement apartments into compliance. This is due to several different regulatory barriers. In some cases, these barriers make it legally impossible to add a new unit. Minimum parking requirements is one example of this, and this is a barrier that the city has the power to lower. In other cases, the barrier is not legally prohibitive but rather makes the conversion financially infeasible. The State's Multiple Dwelling Law, or MDL, is one example of

24 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

now turn to Katherine Leitch followed by Warren

Schreiber and Jacqueline Crawley (phonetic).

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 KATHERINE LEITCH: Good afternoon. Can
3 everyone hear me adequately?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Yes.

KATHERINE LEITCH: Great. My name is Kate Leitch. I am a Senior Policy Analyst at the Citizen's Housing and Planning Council. CHPC has spent over a decade researching basement conversions, and we are the program evaluator for the East New York Pilot. In all facets of our work, we have seen that the snarl of city and state regulations make it either financially or physically infeasible for homeowners to bring their basement apartments into compliance. The City must streamline a path to legalization that ensures that critical safety standards like emergency egress are met, but without State action the City can only improve conditions in single-family homes, and this is because two-family homes or multiple dwellings that add a basement apartment are dually regulated by both City and State. Overlapping City and State regulations make administration and compliance more costly and more difficult. It also makes amending and refining a basement legalization program needlessly complex. This is the central reason why government has not yet acted to address

Jacqueline Crawley is also not currently

present so we'll move to Alia Soomro.

24

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Sorry. RPA, before
3 you leave, this is a question for any of the previous
4 panelists. In terms of changes or amending the MDL,
5 are you all advocating or what are you uniquely
6 advocating to change in particular? Is it let's

create a new category for accessory dwelling units or

8 is it particular components of the MDL?

MOSES GATES: I will let others answer this question too because I think we all might have slightly different answers. I would say the largest exemption that could be made in the MDL is exempting the two-family homes that convert to three-family homes through a basement apartment or otherwise to exempt them I would say most necessarily from bringing the entire building up to MDL standards and codes, but also to exempt the basement apartment to essentially treat it as a one-family to two-family conversion under the Multiple Dwelling Law. On a numbers perspective, that would be the most valuable in being able to scale up any conversion programs without incurring that additional cost, but I think other organizations will probably have some more nuanced answers to this.

24

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: All right. Thank
3 you. Anyone else want to add anything?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Any of the panelists that were joining via Zoom have a response to the question? Just use the Zoom raise hand function, and you will receive a prompt to unmute.

understand that there's a very large snarl of regulations here that need to be streamlined. One of the things we would caution the City Council is I believe in previous iterations of the State bill they were thinking of eliminating a second egress requirement. We're definitely not building experts at the Legal Aid Society, but we are hoping that the Committee prioritizes tenant safety as they streamline these processes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. We will now move to Alia Soomro followed by Shravanthi Kanekal and April McIver.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

ALIA SOOMRO: Good afternoon. My name is

Alia Soomro, and I'm the Deputy Director for New York

City Policy at the New York League of Conservation

Voters. Thank you, Chair Sanchez and Members of the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Housing and Buildings Committee, for the opportunity 3 to testify today.

One of NYLCV's top policy goals is moving New Yorkers away from fossil fuel powered vehicles to fight climate change and improve the city's air quality. Fossil fuel powered vehicles damage our public health by emitting harmful pollutants, most often concentrating air pollution in low-income and communities of color due to historic environmental racism in the siting of toxic waste facilities and our country's historic highway construction. NYLCV supports the passage of Intro 150 sponsored by Council Member Justin Brannan which would require that 40 percent of all parking spaces in existing garages and open lots be capable of supporting EV charging stations by 2030. Expanding EV charging infrastructure is vital as the City strives to meet the State's emissions reduction goal set out in the CLCPA. As our power grid switches to renewable energy such as solar, wind, and hydro power, EVs will become an even cleaner way to get around as they have a much smaller carbon footprint on average than conventional cars. While we recognize that EVs are not the sole solution to fighting climate change, it is one tool

in our mitigation toolbox. Prioritizing EV charging
infrastructure in existing parking lots along with
policies that invest in our public transportation
system make our streets safer and more pedestrian
friendly and encourage alternative modes of

transportation are key to making our city more

8 equitable.

2.2

2.3

We look forward to working with the City
Council and city agencies to move this bill forward.
This legislation was included in our 2021 City
Council Environmental Scorecard and will be included in our 2022 Scorecard. We urge you to prioritize
Intro 150 and vote yes when the bill comes up to a vote. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. Before moving to the next panelist, we're going to return to Katherine Leitch who had a response to the Chair's previous question.

KATHERINE LEITCH: I just wanted to add that we think that the proposed legislation would be a great success, but we do hope that the State provides enough latitude for the City to amend and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 refine any legalization program so we don't end up 3 back here in short order.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. We will now move to Shravanthi Kanekal followed by April McIver and Kadisha Davis (phonetic).

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time will begin.

SHRAVANTHI KANEKAL: Good afternoon, Chair Sanchez and Members of the Council. My name is Shravanthi Kanekal, and I am the Resiliency Planner with the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance, also known as NYCEJA. I'm testifying today on behalf of NYCEJA and the Climate Works for All Coalition which has actively been working to first pass Local Law 97 and then towards its equitable implementation since its introduction in 2019. I will be speaking to the pieces of Intro 875 specifically around renewable energy credits. Climate Works for All strongly supports the need to limit the percentage of a building's electricity overage that can be offset by RECs. This will encourage more building retrofits, reduce emissions, and uphold the intent of Local Law 97 to decarbonize our buildings. Ensuring that buildings transition away from using fossil fuels can have a number of beneficial equality and healthy impacts, especially in environmental justice communities. As per analysis conducted by the Comptroller's Office, if RECs were applicable only to 10 percent of electricity overage, the overall reduction in emissions would be 93 percent. If that limit was set at 30 percent, building emissions reductions would be at 79 percent. This analysis makes it clear that restrictions on the use of RECs for compliance would preserve the ability of Local Law 97 to achieve its goal to significantly reduce emissions in New York City's building sector. Climate Works for All supports a 10 percent RECs limit with no more than 30 percent for buildings overage.

Additionally, our Coalition wants to see rapid decarbonization of buildings to meet state and climate goals. False solutions and technology such as carbon capture that don't actually reduce carbon emissions are not the path forward to Local Law 97 compliance, and we are increasingly concerned with technologies like this being developed and deployed in the city as we speak with minimal oversight.

I'd also like to highlight a couple of amendments to the legislation. We're calling for...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 SHRAVANTHI KANEKAL: I can submit our 3 written comments.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: You can finish up.

SHRAVANTHI KANEKAL: Oh, thank you, Chair.

Just two more points. We'd like the legislation to change that from "the Department may" to "the Department shall." This is to confirm DOB's authority to ensure that a limit is actually put on the purchase of RECs and it would be implemented. We also would recommend that each REC limit be introduced as soon as possible or that a clear timeline be given for when those regulations will be determined.

Lastly, we'd like a clear explanation of what environmental justice impacts are being considered and to clarify who, if anyone, with DOB would be consulted on this particular issue. We want to make sure that co-pollutant and emissions reductions are in EJ communities and that there are no unintended consequences in communities that have historically suffered from air pollution.

Sorry for going over and thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: No problem. Thank you so much. Very helpful.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. We will

3

next hear from April McIver, Kadisha Davis, and Sadia

4

Rahman.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

6

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: I believe April

7

McIver is not on so we will move to Kadisha Davis.

8

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

9

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Okay. I believe

10

Kadisha Davis is no longer on so we will move to

11

Sadia Rahman.

12

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

13

SADIA RAHMAN: Thank you, Chair Sanchez

14

and the rest of the Committee. Good afternoon. I'm

15

sorry, I'm catching my breath. I just ran out to go

16

pick up my kids and came back so I'm a little out of

Director of Policy at Chhaya CDC, which was founded

to address the housing and economic needs of low-

income South Asian and Indo-Caribbean New Yorkers.

17

breath.

18 My name is Sadia Rahman. I am the Deputy

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Campaign, and for over 15 years the Campaign has been

fighting on behalf of low-income homeowners and

Chhaya is a founding member of the New York City BASE

basement tenants to make their apartments a safe,

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

legal part of the housing stock in New York City. We support Resolution 161, which calls on the State

4 Legislature to pass A9802 and S8783.

As you are all aware, New York has long suffered an affordable housing crisis, and the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the issues of struggling tenants and homeowners. On the frontline of this crisis are low- and moderate-income immigrants, communities of color, and essential workers. Many are undocumented and have limited English proficiency, and basement apartments are one of the few affordable housing options available to many in our communities. Tenants are struggling to find affordable housing, aspiring homeowners can no longer afford to buy in their neighborhoods, and existing homeowners are struggling even to make their mortgage payments with rising inflation costs. Legalizing basement units can be the difference between sustainable homeownership and displacement. These apartments are also a means to add to the affordable housing stock, preserve our diverse communities, and prevent displacement. With the passage of this legislation, in time thousands of affordable housing units would be created. Best of all, the beneficiaries of the rental income wouldn't

be sort of largescale developers but instead
struggling homeowners. The bill is a strong tool to
prevent the type of displacement that threatens lowand moderate-income homeowners, particularly in
communities of color, who have been historically
marginalized. As council Member Barron mentioned
earlier, these are also historic victims of racism...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

referenced the catastrophe of Hurricane Ida. If we don't move forward on this, then we go backwards, and if Ida proved anything it's that we can't afford to go backwards. We can't afford to go to the pre-pilot policy of heightened enforcement with DOB fines, vacate orders, and evictions. We need to move forward and we need to create a pathway in this resolution, and the State bills are the first step to creating safe livable conditions for New Yorkers living in basement apartments.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

SADIA RAHMAN: Thank you and I appreciate the time to testify.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. We will now move to Rami Dinnawi, Kiera Armstrong (phonetic), and Duong Nuk Phet (phonetic).

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

RAMI DINNAWI: Good afternoon. Thank you,
Chair Sanchez and Members of the Committee, for the
opportunity to be able to testify and provide
feedback for you here today. My name is Rami Dinnawi.

I am the Environmental Justice Coordinator for El
Puente, a human rights organization based in South
Williamsburg, and a member of the Climate Works for
All Coalition. I'm here to talk to you about the
provision in Intro 875 that addresses renewable
energy credits as it pertains to Local Law 97.

Seeing as Local Law 97's compliance period is upon us, we call on the administration to add an amendment that outlines a timeline for when these regulations and rules on RECs will be determined. In addition, we are also calling on DOB to limit renewable energy credits to 10 percent of building overage, and that is to ensure compliance of almost 93 percent of targeted buildings. This percentage is based on a thorough report released by the Comptroller in late of last year. The legislation

longer present so we will move to Duong Nok Phet.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

24

22

COMMITTEE	OM	HOUSTNG	ΔND	BUILDINGS

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: All right, it appears this individual is also no longer on the Zoom call which brings us to the end of public testimony.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. I want to thank the Committee Staff, my Staff at the District office for a great hearing, thank you to the administration for your testimony and participation.

I look forward to being a partner in the legalization of basement apartments and to protecting the climate goals of Local Law 97 together. Thank you. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 29, 2023