CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----- X

September 30, 2022 Start: 9:30 a.m. Recess: 1:03 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Kamillah Hanks

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Joann Ariola Erik D. Bottcher Justin L. Brannan Tiffany Cabán

Carmen N. De La Rosa Robert F. Holden Rita C. Joseph Darlene Mealy Althea V. Stevens

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Nora Daniel Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice Chief of Staff

Michael McMahon Richmond County District Attorney

Amanda Berman Center for Court Innovation

Shane Correia
Center for Court Innovation

Lenore Lebron
Center for Court Innovation

Marva Brown Legal Aid Society

Raji Edayathumangalam New York County Defenders

Kimberly Blair NAMI NYC

Ayanna Bates NAMI NYC

Jeanette Beck Harrell NAMI NYC

Yung Mi Lee Brooklyn Defender Services

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning,

3 everyone. Welcome to today's New York City Council hearing Committee on Public Safety. Use this time to 4 5 silence all cell phone and electronic devices. you wish to submit testimony, you may send it to 6

8

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Chair, we may begin.

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that's

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Good morning. I am Council Member Kamillah Hanks, Chair of the Committee on Public Safety, and I am joined by my committee member Council Member Bottcher. Before we begin today's hearing, I want to take this opportunity to pay my respects to the family of FDNY EMS Lieutenant Alison Russo-Elling who was fatally stabbed in Queens yesterday. Alison Russo exemplified the best of all New Yorkers, having dedicated her life to the service of others. She was an inspiration to our city, and I want to offer my deepest condolences to her family and the entire FDNY community for their loss. Today, the Committee will be examining a topic that I am very familiar with and which is very important to me and should be to all New Yorkers, Community and Problem-Solving Courts. Problem-Solving Courts provide a non-punitive alternative to conventional

Criminal Court adjudication offering criminal
defendants with services that aim to address the
underlying causes of criminal behavior. Important
services such as job skills training placement, drug
and mental health treatment, housing assistance, and
community mediation. Community Courts are often
located in underserved neighborhoods, geographically
distant from a centralized courthouse and provide a
combination of conventional criminal adjudication
with alternative programming using a problem-solving
model to provide neighborhood focus approach to
addressing localized issues to breaking cycle of
criminal conduct. Under the supervision of a judge
and clinical staff, these courts provide
individualized treatment plans and court monitoring
to help address specific needs of participating
defendants in an effort to reduce recidivism and to
promote positive social outcomes. Through
collaborative effort of the New York State Unified
Court System and the Center of Court Innovation and
other partners, New York is the home to an array of
Problem-Solving Courts including Mental Health
Courts, Domestic Violence Courts, Drug Treatment
Courts, and Youth Courts, community courts such as

the Midtown Community Court and Red Hook Community
Justice Center. I have visited many of these courts
and have been impressed by what I have seen, welcome
court room, supportive judges and staff that fostered
and accessible, inviting, and easy to navigate
environment. I am encouraged by this approach to
providing holistic services to criminal defendants
and aims at reducing recidivism and improving the
quality of life for our communities while holding
people accountable for their actions. I am eager to
examine the success and limitation of this
alternative approach to criminal adjudication,
evaluate concerns and criticisms regarding the
effectiveness in Problem-Solving Courts, and explore
needed changes to improve court functioning and case
outcomes. I hope we can assess the potential for
expansion of these courts through growing capacity at
existing locations or opening new facilities to
underserved communities citywide. And finally, I
believe we must continue our oversight in the various
components of the criminal justice system with a
commitment to evaluating what policies and approaches
are effective at helping individuals break cycles of
criminal conduct. With that, I look forward to

make our city safer. Our city's public safety

continuum is broad and includes a number of programs

and interventions that are specifically designed to

programs and services that help to keep people from

promote public safety by providing the particular

21

2.2

2.3

24

2	entering or returning to jail or prison. The
3	programs include alternatives to incarceration, re-
4	entry services and transitional housing, as well as
5	Community Courts. The Mayor's Office of Criminal
6	Justice advises Mayor Adams on criminal justice and
7	public safety policy as the Mayor's representative to
8	courts, District Attorneys, defenders, state criminal
9	justice agencies, and other system actors. MOCJ
10	moves our city forward by implementing Mayor Adams'
11	vision for a safer city for all New Yorkers. MOCJ's
12	programs and services reinforce enhanced public
13	safety while maintaining fairness. In order to
14	provide judges with meaningful options for
15	accountability, alternatives to incarceration, also
16	known as ATIs, promote public safety without
17	requiring an individual to spend time in custody.
18	ATI programs are funded by MOCJ which allow nonprofit
19	organizations to deliver much-needed services and
20	support to participants while deterring them from
21	serving a jail or prison sentence. These programs
22	also lower the jail population and allow people to
23	remain in their communities while increasing
24	stability and wellbeing. Currently, MOCJ has 32
25	million in contracts in EV23 with 14 nonprofit

organizations that run 24 ATI programs throughout New
York City. ATI programs have the capacity to serve
approximately 5,500 cases, as well as to provide
additional behavioral health services to ATI
participants and housing resources for women enrolled
in ATI programs. Recently, the city has strengthened
its ATI programs even further to provide additional
supportive services to address participants' needs
more fully. Today, these ATI programs provide a
multitude of wraparound services such as counseling,
job readiness training, mental health services, basic
needs, housing assistance and more. In addition to
ATIs, we also have re-entry services. We
strengthened our re-entry programming to improve
transition and release planning and services. The
City has invested 20 million into this program which
builds upon the success of the Jail to Jobs Re-entry
Services Program that was launched in 2018. During
incarceration, jail, or prison, individuals work with
transition coordinators to create discharge plans for
when they are released, and also work with a re-entry
mentor to help facilitate their re-entry process on
an individualized basis. The re-entry mentors
develop relationships with released individuals to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

encourage participation of relevant services and programs. The supports provided by this time of services providers include assistance locating temporary or permanent stable housing, mental healthcare, substance use treatment, counseling, paid transitional employment, job training, career certifications and education assistance among other services depending on the specific needs of each returning individual. MOCJ is also contracting with Unite Us, a web-based referral management platform and service directory that streamline service referrals among providers to ensure that individuals are quickly connected with the right services to meet their needs. We anticipate that the case planning and coordination combined with expanded services and stronger service offerings and stronger relationships will help ease the path to a stable life outside of DOC and DOC custody and helps hopefully reduce the likelihood of return. Additionally, in order to maximize safety during the public health emergency, MOCJ worked with agency and nonprofit partners to stand up an entirely new set of service in underenrolled hotels in New York City. Beginning in late March 2020, MOCJ with the New York City Office of

2	Emergency Management and nonprofit partners to
3	provide emergency re-entry housing to clients leaving
4	jail and prisons. These hotels have been vital to
5	maintaining safety as we transition out of the
6	pandemic, and we are incredibly proud of the work
7	done by MOCJ staff and our providers to ensure that
8	those leaving custody had a safe, secure place to go
9	The Emergency Hotels Program has provided a much-
10	needed bridge to the full implementation of MOCJ's
11	Transitional Housing Program. Transitional housing
12	provides housing resources to individuals impacted by
13	the criminal justice system. MOCJ is currently
14	implementing and expanding its Transitional Housing
15	Program to 1,000 beds by the end of FY 23. These
16	programs provide participants a safe supportive
17	environment to live as they participate in services
18	to reduce their likelihood of re-arrest and/or re-
19	incarceration, and stabilize their reintegration into
20	their community. The Transitional Housing Program
21	will be administered by five providers who will offer
22	necessary supports and services to a wide range of
23	individuals with different needs. Their services
24	the services available to Transitional Housing client

include public benefits enrollment, education, family

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

services, individual group counseling, metro cards, assistance with identifying appropriate permanent housing opportunities, and childcare services. addition to these, we also have and we also work with the Community Courts. Center for Court Innovation operates Community Courts and Community Justice Centers with city funding. MOCJ works in coordination with the Center for Innovation -- the Center for Court Innovation and the Office of Court Administration and Community Courts in efforts to provide quality services to individuals who are impacted by the criminal justice system as a way to reduce recidivism and the likeliness of future involvement in the criminal justice system. funds the following through a contract with OTA, the Midtown Community Court, Red Hook Community Justice Center, Brownsville Community Justice Center, Bronx Youth Court, and Queens Community Justice at the Rockaways which provides services including ATIs, individual and group counseling, mentoring, education and employment support and mental health and substance use treatment to individuals who encounter the Criminal Justice Center. While MOCJ's role is primarily of a coordinating partner, we deeply value

2 CCI's work and believe that programs provide a vital

3 community-based avenue for the justice-involved.

4 Thank you for allowing me to discuss alternatives to

5 | incarceration, re-entry services and traditional

6 housing as well as Community Court. I'm happy to

7 answer any questions the Committee has.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much. I appreciate it, Ms. Daniel. So I have a few questions based on your testimony. What is the City's total investment in Problem-Solving and Community Courts, and how has that amount changed in recent fiscal years?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: so, the current investment is about four million across the Community Court span, for the ones that we're talking about right now. And I am not sure of the full history of that— of that investment, but that's what the current investment is for FY 23.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Are there any specific geographic areas or target populations you believe that MOCJ should be in and be better served by non-traditional court adjudication. So where else could you-- you think that you could be?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

^

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, that kind of— like, the kind of analysis that we would do for that would be pretty detailed, and I think that it would involve looking at which communities have a harder time coming into the more centralized court system and where we're seeing the most need for that based on what's happing in the court system that we're seeing. Currently, I don't have any specific locations identified, but definitely something we can continue to look at.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Yeah, I think that's something that we would like to look into more. We would identify spaces where we need community courts.

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: Right.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: That's a loaded question because I'm from Staten Island. So, describe, you know, MOCJ's involvement with the establishment, you know, funding, operations of problem-solving in community courts. Like, kind of just talk about that, you know.

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: Sure. So the Community Court started as an initiative of this body, and we have taken over the-- MOCJ has taken over the contract and we work with the-- through OCA

and through CCI, the provider of this contract

3 through both those entities to implement the courts.

4 And we primarily serve as, you know, as the

5 contracting body and with a certain amount of program

6 management as well, mostly related to managing the

7 contract.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: How would MOCJ evaluate program success with contracted partners?

And how would you--

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: Largely through services rendered and participants, like is the space we'll use, that kind of thing. Is the contract going the way the way that it's supposed to?

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: So what would be some of the outcomes that MOCJ would be measuring to find out of these programs are effective?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, I don't think we looked at the Community Courts as like a singular entity to themselves. I think we primarily look at the kind of interventions that we fund and that we track. So like ATIs' re-entry services, that kind of thing. The broader aspect of the Community Courts is definitely something that we should—that we are interested in taking a look at and we'd be happy to

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: so, to build on that, to what extend does MOCJ monitor case outcomes and empirically study effectiveness as programming?

Like, how do we know when we're winning?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: For our ATI programs, we look at re-arrest or recidivism, and there's a pretty low re-arrest rate for folks who are in ATIs, and I can get you those numbers. So that's the kind of thing that we would look at.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: thank you. And finally, before I pass it on to my colleagues, how does the Administration plan on improving early interventions, including in the school system to ensure that at-risk youth receive the necessary services before becoming involved in the criminal justice system?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, we have a few programs. One, I think that the Administration made a significant— or the Administration has made an enormous investment in jobs for youth, and I think that continuing that investment as well as looking into other ways to ensure that students don't

L	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY	17
2	continue or don't go down the wrong path and are	
3	interrupted before they get to that point are vit	ally

through the MAP program as well.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

important. So we have programs also like school 5 mediation services that we have through our crisis management system as well as youth interventions and 6

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Finally. Do you think that anything else needs to be done to strengthen the preventative side and how interface with Community Courts and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: I think there's always form for improvement, and that's something that we are consistently looking at to try to see places where we can improve.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you so much. So I would like to recognize my colleagues, Council Member Holden, Council Member Ariola, and Council Member De Le Rosa, and Council Member Rita Joseph is joining us online. And with that, if any of my colleagues have any questions that they would like to ask of Ms. Daniel.

Council District Three, the district I represent is

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Moring.

2 home to Midtown Community Court. Are you very

3 familiar with this court?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: I am somewhat familiar with this court. I would not say very, but somewhat, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Great. So, the Midtown Community Court recently opened a Misdemeanor Behavioral Health Court. The Midtown Community Court's been open for many years but they recently launched this Behavioral Health Court, but it's only open one day a week. And recently my colleague, Senator Brad Hoylman and I and other colleagues wrote the State Office of Court Administration asking why that Misdemeanor Health Court was only open one day a week, given everything that's going on in the City. And the answer we got back was that they don't have the caseloads to warrant it being opened more than They said that few defendants have one day a week. opted to take advantage of this Behavioral Health Court, and to quote the State Office of Court Administration in their reply to us, they said that mental -- they said that, "In an effort to increase volume we opened this misdemeanor health court. Few defendants offered to take advantage of this

2	opportun
3	individua
4	receive v
5	adjournme
6	Center St
7	there.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ity." Most defendants who fall into-- most als who fall under either of these categories very advantageous plea offers, including ent and contemplation of dismissal at 100 treet and prefer to have their cases heard So, people suffering from serious mental illness who are being accused of misdemeanor crimes are having their cases dismissed down at 100 Center Street. They're not going to the Misdemeanor Health Court which is designed to help get them treatment. What is the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice doing to address this? Do you think that's an issue? people with misdemeanor charges with serious mental illness, are they getting help at 100 Center Street or not?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, it's my understanding that there are services available throughout the court system for people who have serious mental illnesses. Whether or not like the specific data on who's obtaining mental health services at 100 Center, I don't have currently, but I can look into it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Have you had any conversations with Midtown Community Court about

the fact that few people are apparently taking advantage of their mental health court?

With conversations that have been had, but there could have been some conversations had about that. I know we are aware that the caseloads—that OCA did not feel that the caseloads were high enough to warrant it. I definitely think it's something that we could continue looking into.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: What services does someone with serious mental illness get when they get charged with a misdemeanor crime and their case is processed at 100 Center Street? What kind of services are they getting? So, we're talking about someone with serious mental illness.

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, it depends on how their case moves through and what is happening with that case, and also, you know, we work with defense attorneys as well, and so they're often the—you know, the voice of the client. They are the voice of their client. And so that kind of depends on how that works through. But if— I think that it's definitely something that we can talk through in detail about.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Can you give me an example of case that's a success story? A person with serious mental illness gets charged with let's say shoplifting. They're someone who is in need of mental health treatment. They go to 100 Center Street. What specifically is happening? Can you give me an example of an instance where a person got help?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, we have many where people have gotten help because there is help available through the ATI programs, through -- like, if they are on supervised release, or if they are put into another program, or if they are put into another program through their defense attorney. But a specific individual, I would not know, but I am happy to look into that and get back to you on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: What are some of the nonprofits that are part of the ATI programs, the Alternatives to Incarceration down at 100 Center Street?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: Our ATI providers include Fortune Society, CCI, Cases [sic]. 14 of them, so those are a few.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Those are all great nonprofits. I would love to talk to you more about making better use of the Mental Health Court at Midtown Community Court. Midtown Community Court as a program is opened five days a week, but the court is only hearing cases one day a week, and the fact that we have a specialized mental health court in 2022, in the midst of this crisis, that's not getting the volume of people to help that it is, I would-- I think that's something that the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice should focus on.

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: Okay. We can definitely discuss it some more.

> COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Council Member De La Rosa?

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for being here today. My question kind of piggybacks on Council Member Bottcher's question which is, is there any intersectional services? we have a young person, a youth, that would normally go through a youth court, is exhibiting a mental health crisis. Is there any cross work that is done or management in order to provide the services or

2 make sure that the person is in the right type of program?

2.2

2.3

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, yes, the programs have services for people as young as 13.

The ATI programs do. And there is a lot of cross work that's done across different organizations to make sure that young people have the right services.

There's also often ACS involvement, and I know that our providers do work closely with ACS and with the—they often have different lines of service that folks can access.

young person is put through Youth Court, and it is determined that the person has a severe mental illness, does that person then get transferred to the Mental Health Court or the Drug Treatment Court depending on the need, or just simply by the fact that the person is under 18, they go through the Youth Court?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: I think it very much depends on the case, and I would have to get a little bit more information about what we're seeing in order to, you know, provide you a little bit of a more detailed answer.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2	COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: And does the
3	Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice track sort of the
4	types of cases that are coming in and where they're
5	coming in from? Is that information that you all
6	have?
7	CUIDE OF STAFF DANIEL . That is

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: That is information that we have through-- yes, that is information we have.

 $\label{eq:council_member_def} \mbox{COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay. And} \\ \mbox{then my last--}$

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: [interposing] It's primarily tracked through the Office of Court

Administration, but we are able to work with them to provide [inaudible]

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay. And then my last question is language access. I always ask about this. What is the situation if the person does not speak English?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: I believe that there are— that there is language access at the court, but I will get more information on that for you.

2.2

I look

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: There is oversight for that to make sure that they're going.

2.3

24

25

qoinq?

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA:	Is there
3	consequence if they don't go?	

2.2

2.3

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: There can be consequences if they don't go, yes.

La Rosa brings up a good point because there are other courts that have people who are arrested come before them that have mental illness, and they're—if there is no intersectional kind of program, then a lot of people are falling through the cracks, and that's why we're having such an issue with, you know, mental health and people with mental health issues on our streets. So, there is a program in Rockaway, and I represent a portion of the Rockaway. Our Majority Whip Selena Brooks-Powers represents the other end, the Queens Center, it's in Rockaway. So, that particular center, do you know how many cases they see?

CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: So, that

particular center is not doing services at this time,

because they're ramping up to their full

implementation. When they are fully implemented,

they expect to have about a thousand participants

come through the center.

DA and the Center for Court Innovation where they

2 have like the first touch on a lot of these issues.

2.2

2.3

So, and I thank you very much, Ms. Daniel for your testimony and coming in today. Thank you.

5 CHIEF OF STAFF DANIEL: Thank you very 6 much, Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much.

Next we'll turn to DA Michael McMahon from Richmond

County. DA McMahon will be joining us via Zoom, so

just make sure he's unmuted and ready to go.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Can you hear me, Madam Chairwoman?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Okay, thank you, Counsel. Good morning Chair Hanks and members of the Public Safety committee of the New York City Council. My name is Michael McMahon. I'm privileged and honored to serve the people of Staten Island as their District Attorney, and it's an honor and pleasure to speak before the City Council on this very important topic this morning. I hope you and your staffers and families are all well during these difficult times, and I look forward to continuing our work to improve public safety, and I thank the Chairwoman for giving a special shout-out to the EMT

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Lieutenant who lost her life yesterday. Just another point that underscores how important the work of this committee is. We thank you for the opportunity to appear this morning and to submit testimony regarding our borough's Problem-Solving Courts, and we will submit a lengthier testimony with this. We hope that you come to realize that we need to have a community justice center here on Staten Island. And before I got into this critical need, I'd just like to speak about our approaches to the alternatives to incarceration efforts that we now have underway on Staten Island. We call in the broader headings, Staten Island Problem-Solving Courts, and for us these include the HOPE Program, which is Heroin Overdose Prevention and Education Program, the Overdose Avoidance and Recovery Court, the Drug Treatment Court, Veterans Court, and Mental Health Courts. And in their dedicated mission, these specialized court parts offer individuals meaningful opportunities to avoid a path through conventional prosecutions in favor of a more person-centered approach to addressing the root causes of crimes, and they offer engagement with professionals to get at those root causes. So let me just explain these

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

different initiatives and how they work together in our Problem-Solving Court approaches. So, the HOPE program, as we call it HOPE 1.0, began in 2017 when we realized in Staten Island that we were in the throes of a raging opioid overdose epidemic, which unfortunately still continues to this day, and indeed the epidemic from COVID has exacerbated, but unfortunately overshadowed this crisis. We see that overdose deaths are the highest in New York City and the highest in our country than ever before during the past year. That being said, the way the HOPE program works is that someone is arrested for misdemeanor possession charges of controlled substances. They are met at the precinct by a peer mentor who offers to them this program, explains it to them and tells them within seven days if you get an assessment and for 30-day-- assessment and recommendation as for a very individualized treatment program, whatever that individual needs, and if they undertake that for 30 days, then at the end of those 30 days, that case will be dismissed and sealed. fact, it's never actually arraigned. This is a prearraignment approach. I mention that because it's not exactly court driven, although the courts are our

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

partners and the Police Department are our partners, but we learned certain elements of that program are very effective in other programs, and those include immediate connection to a peer mentor and offer that tailored exactly to that individual, a program that is meaningful but not arduous. It doesn't last an extensive period of time. And then finally at the end, dismissal and sealing of cases as if the arrest never existed, and that has led to over 1,000 Staten Islanders getting that offer and following through on it, and having their cases dismissed and sealed. It's a program that has been duplicated throughout the other boroughs in the City of New York. And recently in 2022, the White House and its Office of the National Drug Council Control policy cited the groundbreaking program as a model for the nation in combatting the opioid epidemic. The other component of that is HOPE 2.0, and that is where the court becomes involved because the offer of diversion is made either at arraignment or post-arraignment, and that is for cases where the HOPE 1.0 isn't available because maybe the individual's record, maybe the charges are more severe, but we still want to have that problem-solving approach. And so we make it at

2	that time, and then we the period of time that they
3	have to engage is a little bit longer, but at the
4	end, if they do then again, the case is dismissed and
5	sealed. And between the two programs, we've had
6	close to 1,500 individuals from Staten Island who
7	have found a better path. We also have on Staten
8	Island what is called the Overdose Avoidance and
9	Recovery Court, or OAR Court, which was begun
10	throughout the city by Judge George Crosso [sp?] and
11	OCA, and this is a more traditional model of Drug
12	Treatment Court where the judges are very much
13	involved, and this is for individuals who have more
14	serious histories, the charge is more serious, but
15	it's quite clear to everyone that the individuals are
16	one usage away from overdosing and perhaps dying.
17	And I'm sure as everyone on this panel knows, with
18	the advent of fentanyl and now Xylazine which is
19	actually an animal tranquilizer one more usage
20	because these narcotics are so deadly could mean an
21	overdose that leads to death, and that's why these
22	courts are all programmed, if you will, or calculated
23	to have immediate impact to try to get intervention.
24	We also have in Staten Island the traditional Staten
25	Island Drug Treatment Court, and again, this is one

where the courts, the defense attorney, the providers
are all involved. The involve a guilty plea being
taken, and then as part of the sentencing there is a
course of what is prescribed for that individual, and
upon completion of that then the case will either be
dismissed or the charge will be reduced, and that's
the traditional model. It's a little it's not as
nimble as the other programs, but in certain
instances still very effective. We also have in
Staten Island, the Richmond County Veterans Treatment
Court, which is again an alternative to jail and is
designed to provide supportive services for
individuals identified as veterans. The key to that
is that we have the Veterans Administration as our
partner. They are in the court room with us, as well
as we have OCA resource council there as well, and
then again, that usually involves a guilty plea being
taken, and then the curative for alternative steps,
if you will, that follow it are taken after the plea,
but pre-final sentencing, and that's again a more
traditional model. Staten Island also has a Mental
Health Treatment Court. We began in 2010 under my
predecessor for felony cases only. Again, a model
similar to the Drug Treatment Court and the Veterans

2	Treatment Court where a plea is taken. We advocated
3	for years to get one for misdemeanors and with
4	earlier intervention, and we were able to begin that
5	this year. So we finally have Mental Health
6	Treatment Court for both felonies and misdemeanors.
7	And we're proud of the fact that we continue to
8	advocate within the courts to expand these programs.
9	We continue to advocate to have resources in the
10	court room, and that's the key to success that you
11	could have a court operating in a under this model
12	in a holistic approach, but if you don't have the
13	resources immediately present and the follow-up and
14	the ability to provide what these individuals need
15	whether it's mental health, addiction counseling,
16	vocational training, education, family counseling,
17	then you this would fail. And that leads me to the
18	second part of my testimony which is and as Chief
19	of Staff from MOCJ said how important the Community
20	Justice Centers are we would like a Community
21	Justice Center on Staten Island. We deserve it, and
22	I know the Chairwoman is strongly behind this, and I
23	hope that everyone understands that it's unfair for
24	nearly 500,000 Staten Islanders not to have their
25	access to this type of resource when it's available

to individuals in the same circumstances in the rest
of the City of New York. And as this panel has
heard, a successful Community Justice Center model
provides opportunity and access to vital services no
only in response to the crime, but also as a
preventative opportunity to work through conflict,
educate our youth and communities, as well as
[inaudible] sustainable network of support to
minimize recidivism and cultivating lasting positive
change, and that's really the key that the Justice
Center Community Justice Center is a gateway to
services even without an arrest being made, and
that's something that the people of Staten Island
don't have. Now, I want to just report briefly to
the Committee that the work that we've been doing to
try to get the center here, and it's been an ongoing
road. COVID obviously set us back a little bit, but
we are determined with the Chairwoman's partnership
and leadership to get this done, and we've really
been advocating for a Community Justice Center since
I first ran for office in 2015. And then when
selected, we were joined with former Borough
President James Oddo, created a taskforce of Staten
Island community leaders, brought them to visit the

2	Community Justice Court in Red Hook as well as the
3	center in Brownsville. We had numerous meetings.
4	We've talked with CCI and MOCJ, and we've worked on
5	this resolutely throughout. And January 2018 with
6	funding provided by our office, CCI would be our
7	partner, and just as you heard [inaudible] too. We
8	took the next crucial step and conducted a
9	feasibility study, a concept agreement with Community
10	Justice Center to Staten Island, and the results to
11	put it simply were positive, and we'd be glad to
12	share that study with anyone here. Over nine months
13	CCI studied the issue and came back with a positive
14	report. Part of this study was interviewing
15	community leaders. I just want to share with you one
16	of the quotes from a Northshore resident of Staten
17	Island as part of that report. She said, "You don't
18	feel as if you're going to be treated fairly,
19	especially if you're a person of color going through
20	the court system on Staten Island. Stakeholders and
21	community members consistently argue that there is a
22	pressing need to reimagine the justice system on
23	Staten Island." And as one profit leader argued, "A
24	community court would be great here on Staten Island.
25	It would address some of the disparities in treatment

2	and disparities in adjudication of cases. It will
3	give people who don't have the resources the ability
4	to have these kinds of alternatives in ways that they
5	don't have now when they go through the traditional
6	Criminal Court system." I think it's obvious that we
7	all agree that the Community Justice approach works,
8	and it's one hat we don't have fully here on Staten
9	Island, and it's one that we deserve. So, yeah, so
10	CCI produced a report saying that this could
11	function, and they identified a suitable location for
12	the Community Justice Center here on Staten Island.
13	That would be the former Criminal Court in Staten
14	Island which is located in the Stapleton rea of the
15	borough. In 2015, that court closed when our courts
16	consolidated in St. George in the new Supreme Court
17	Criminal Court building, and that building has
18	remained empty. It's a perfect size, more than
19	25,000 square feet, will need some renovation, but it
20	could be an amazing space for justice and for the
21	communities of Stapleton, Park Hill, and the rest of
22	the Northshore, which in deed has the vital need, are
23	most diverse, but also through the crime waves that
24	we see now in the City, one that is the most
25	challenged because of so many conditions that this

2 court would address. I should also say that we've 3 not sat idly by and said, well, if we don't have a location we're not going to provide the services to 4 Staten Island. And so the community solutions pilot has been a programmatic foundation for a fully 6 7 realized Staten Island Community Justice Center, and those community's solutions are things that we're 8 working on with CCI who's really upped their presence on Staten Island and has provided some great services 10 11 to the islander, and provides some of the services 12 that exist-- that would exist through a Community 13 Justice Center. They have a Staten Island Justice Center here now that provides certain services that 14 15 we talk about, and I won't list them all. 16 problem is, is that it's scattered. It's not 17 centrally located. It's not directed-- connected 18 directly to the court, and it doesn't provide us the 19 full holistic approach that we need. But we've been 20 successful in those efforts, and we will continue to 21 work with CCI to create the Community Justice Center almost virtually, if you will, but we don't have the 2.2 2.3 physical space. Some of the programs that we would enhance and fully realize if we had-- and I know this 24 goes to some of the Council Member's questions, what 25

2	happens in a community Justice Court setting where we
3	would be able to establish neighborhood base
4	mentoring programs, place-keeping, and place-making,
5	neighborhood safety initiatives, commercial corridor
6	re-invigoration which is really palpable if you go
7	visit the Brownsville Community Justice Center,
8	violence prevention through youth organizing,
9	restorative justice programs, and re-entry programs
10	which Staten Island we are part of a Staten Island
11	Re-entry Taskforce, but we really don't have
12	significant re-entry programs here in our borough,
13	increased access to justice and having a housing
14	resource center, and also having training one of
15	the sort of bigger areas of concern we have here is
16	vehicular crimes. Staten Island perhaps has more than
17	our brothers and sisters in the other boroughs. I
18	know you have some, but given our nature of not
19	having much public transportation and relying more on
20	cars, we could have good driver training programs
21	there as well. So, what's next? The roadmap to
22	Staten Island having a establishing a Community
23	Justice Center relies on you, my friends from the
24	City Council. Former member, I know the budget power
25	that you have. We need the budget resources, and I

2	know that the Chairwoman has given us money this year
3	to continue the process, to continue the studying
4	process, and we have as I've said, we've taken
5	several steps. What we need to do is to pilot and
6	expand CCI's Community Solutions Program at the
7	Staten Island Justice Center which exists now by
8	providing additional funding for CCI's work. So, I'm
9	asking for funding for them so they can do more.
10	Engage in the community in the project's
11	participatory planning process through a needs
12	survey, and this is what the chairwoman has funded in
13	this year's budget, and then we need an architectural
14	vision and planning to deal with with the building,
15	and that work has been partially funded in 23, and
16	then doing a cost assessment and projection for
17	renovation to secure the necessary capital funding
18	for this project. So, in conclusion, we
19	wholeheartedly endorse the benefits of alternatives
20	to incarceration. We wholeheartedly thank this
21	committee for looking into granularly with these
22	initiatives and see which ones work and where they
23	are needed in our city, in our borough, in particular
24	Staten Island if I haven't mentioned that yet. And
25	we look forward to working with you in this regard.

And again, the bottom line is this, we can speak to
the need to address the mental health crisis in the
City of New York. We can speak to the need to
address the addiction crisis in the City of New York.
We can speak to the need of addressing the crisis.
we see an increase in criminal behavior because of
those underlying causes, add to that poverty, lack of
education, breakdown of the family structure, but if
we don't put in build out that safety net around
our court and round our criminal justice system,
we'll never get the results that we so, so, so
obviously need in our city right now. So, I thank
you for your service to our city, and for your
attention to the needs if the borough of Staten
Island. Thank you.

McMahon. You know my commitment to making sure that there is a Community Court on Staten Island, and we have equity as we do in all the other borough, and the importance of non-punitive alternatives to conventional criminal justice is exactly what we need in Staten Island. So having said that, I just have a few questions. I mean, you really covered a lot, but—so, you understand the importance of having

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community courts, but in light of the tragic killing of the EMS Lieutenant Alison Russo, how are we ensuring that people who perform violent crimes are not being let back out onto the street and placing our fellow New Yorkers in danger, notwithstanding that the gentleman never had a prior arrest record, but how do the courts distinguish whether someone who's been arrested should be eligible for these community courts, and when are other alternatives used?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Yeah, so listen, the earlier the intervention when someone is in-- has mental health illness or is in crisis, the better, right? We all know that. And quite often the criminal justice system is a pathway into services for someone who is in need, but if we're not doing an assessment right at the inception of the case, so at arrest or arraignment -- which in Staten Island we're not doing because we're not in the court room doing the assessments. People have to be referred to another location. Quite often they can be, I would say lost, but lost in the shuffle, perhaps [inaudible] say it, we're not doing what we are promising to do. I'll also say that when people

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in [inaudible] the individual who brutally slayed Lieutenant Alison Russo may not have had a brush with the law, maybe didn't have an arrest record, but if the mental health diversion centers that were promised by the prior Administration were really up and running, perhaps he would have been diverted I don't know, but certainly when people reach that level of crisis, there are usually indicators along the way, and quite often they are in contact with the criminal justice system. So maybe in that case, he wouldn't have succeeded. Sadly, and again my heart goes out to her family and her colleagues who risk their lives for us every day, but we can certainly have a more positive impact if we have more immediate assessment if we have a place for them to go for treatment, and if necessary for diversion, and we have not fully realized the usage of the centers. There are some in the other boroughs, not here in Staten Island yet, mental health diversion centers-they need to be better implemented and utilized, and a way to do that is through the criminal justice system. Going back to the principles that I spoke to before that we learned from our HOPE program, intervention from a peer mentor immediately.

2 has to happen at the precinct. You know, we do ment-3 - we do health screenings of individuals at the

4 precinct when they're arrested. Why aren't we doing

5 mental health screenings right then are there? And

6 then we would know. But we're not and then we see

7 what happens.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much.

Before I continue with my questioning, I would like to recognize my colleague Council Member Cabán has joined us. So, how would a prosecutor evaluate a defendant eligibility for participating in programming offered through a Problem-Solving and Community Court?

a myriad of pathways into the ATI court system.

Certainly, sometimes we create the program chargespecific. That was how I described the HOPE 1.0

program. Now we've expanded that more. There is-- I

have-- on my team, I have the individuals in the ATI

program that screen every arrest, and those
individuals include a prosecutor, but also social

workers and people who are specialists in treatment,
and they're always looking to see if there are cases
that are eligible. We look at an individuals'

history as we know it. We also receive request from
the Defense Bar and sometimes from the court, and
then courts also have a resource person who also does
some evaluations. So, there are a few different
ways. It's not a perfect system. It would be better
if an assessment, again, was done at arrest, as I
said. And if we you know, in every precinct now we
have someone doing health screening. We have a
victim advocate through Safe Horizons. Why don't we
have somebody doing a mental health screening as
well. That, to me, would be then you would know
immediately that this is an individual that if we
can, we'll find a way to steer them to alternatives
to incarceration

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. So what would you say we need in order to be prepared in order to make these assessments right then and there?

Is there budgetary implications? Is it staffing implications?

at the program that puts-- it's a nurse doing screenings in most precincts, or at least in most APOs where, you know, where arraignments are done.

25 I'm sorry, where bookings are done before they go for

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

arraignment to the courthouse. So, I would have somebody there, so you don't need it in every precinct. In Staten Island we have one precinct where the individuals are brought for their booking. It's called the APO, and then they go up for arraignment. In the other boroughs, maybe-- I'm not sure how it's structured, but I would look at that. And so at least there's a point where someone gets a mental health screening early on, and if there-- if there's an issue, there's mental health illness or crisis, then someone should be able to get some sort of treatment along the way. And if we're not-because we do that for health. We certainly do that for our victims in the precinct by victim advocates in my office as well-- do an incredible job. So we're doing that, but we're not dealing with mental health. And listen, whether it's a brutal beating in the subway, whether it's a stabbing of this-- of the lieutenant, we know that a lot of this activity is driven by the mental health crisis that this nation finds itself in right now, and it's not being treating. And we need a national policy. We need a state policy, but certainly what the City can do at least incrementally is at least look at those

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

individuals who are touched by criminal justice system and see that they are connected to some kind of service to help maybe get them the treatment, perhaps the medication, that they need to avoid tragedies that we are seeing now more and more.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. What are-what's the process of -- to identify candidates for the alternative courts and Problem-Solving Courts?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Yeah, as I said, so sometime it's almost automatic if their case is charge-specific. So that would be a 220-03 charge for the HOPE programs pre-arraignment. Then it's other charge specific for HOPE 2.0 and the OAR court, and then the other cases it's a little bit of, you know, the evaluation on the circumstances around the case. It's a little harder with mental health, obviously than it is with drug cases, because it is charge-specific. If someone is arrested for possessing a low-level sale, history of using drugs, we know. With mental health it's a little bit harder for civilians to assess. That's why we need professionals on the front line doing that assessment to tell us, okay, you've got an individual in your system now who has mental health illness who are

2 conditioned and needs to be part of their outcome, if

3 you will, dealing with that, and that's something

you will, dealing with that, and that's something

4 that we need to work on. We work with our partners

at CCI, EAC, TAS [sic], and a myriad of others to do

6 that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Lastly,
before I pass it on to my colleagues who may have
questions—— I also want to recognize Council Member
Mealy has joined us. What ongoing monitoring does
the DA undertake to ensure defendants compliance with
the terms of the program participation, and how could
this process be improved?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Sure. So, compliance is really important, right, because there still has to-- you know, in most cases someone is charged with a crime that we believe they committed. Sometimes they are taking a plea to it. So they're admitting guilt and then they're getting treatment. So, accountability is really important. Sometimes courts are involved directly. That's the traditional model. Up front with the HOPE program we're doing it, and in between there are partners who do it who report back to the court and to us and to the defense bar as to how the individual is proceeding. I have

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much. Pass it along to my colleagues.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We're going to Council Member Cabán. If any other Council Members have questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you. Good morning. I thank you for your testimony.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Yep.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: I want to hit two Wanted to start with the mental-- the acute mental health crisis our city is struggling with that you talked a lot about, and obviously we see unaddressed and unsupported mental health needs leading to different outcomes, both violent unfortunately, and non-violent, but recognizing this common core of untreated mental health conditions. actually represent the district where the horrific event occurred yesterday and we lost a Lieutenant, and my condolences to her family and the entire FDNY family. But you know, to your point, the information we have now is this is an individual with known serious mental health issues, not criminal legal system history, but known in the neighborhood to be somebody who struggled. And so, you know, when we talk about these alternatives and the programming, the assessments and the eligibility, you know, question I have for you and honestly for the rest of our District Attorneys is the positioning on eligibility for programming if the person has some sort of a history for violence. And I know in my experience as a public defender, it was at the front

end, you know, a complete a non-starter if there was

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

any of that kind of history, and the question we would often ask is -- you know, we talk about return on investment sand treating that root cause, it almost feels like it makes more sense to double down on, you know, mandating and providing services for folks who struggle, and it manifests in violent behavior toward themselves or others because that treatment can literally be life-saving. So I'd love to hear you talk a little bit about what recommendations your office makes in terms of participation in these Problem-Solving or Therapeutic Courts. And then the other piece has to do with the opioid and drug use/drug treatment parts, and you know, whether your office requires or-- I'm not familiar with the courts in your borough, but whether the entry into the Drug Court requires pre-pleading. You know, that is something that gets required in a lot of different places with some not great results, and whether there is an openness or what are the options in terms of harm reduction. You know, a lot of these programs, they require abstinence. If the person struggles, if they relapse, which is part of recovery, then you can be expelled from a program and sentenced and sometimes penalized, you know, more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

than what was being recommended initially. I'll give you a quick example. I had a client who was charged with multiple counts of grand larceny. He would break into cell phone stores and steal the cell phones to support his opioid use. Did pre-plead drug treatment diversion, because it was the best option we could get. Had to sign a contract where if he failed in treatment would have to serve consecutive instead of concurrent sentences. He went to this program on that first day. Another participant was using in a bathroom. It freaked him out. We was missing for 24 hours, and because of that contract he signed was sentenced to a-- a sentence of seven to 14, right, consecutive. It was, you know, really a terrible outcome. My question to you-- I know that was a long-winded way, but are there-- is there support for harm reduction approaches to these drug treatment courts and working with participants who may be on MAT who may be on Suboxone, who may use marijuana, and kind of follow the health and science behind some of those harm reduction services. I know that was a lot. It was long-winded way to ask to big-- also very big questions. So I appreciate your patience with me.

2	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: No, and I
3	appreciate your questions, Councilwoman, and as I
4	said in my opening remarks, all of us in the City,
5	our hearts were broken at the loss of the EMT
6	Lieutenant and our heart goes out to her family, her
7	colleagues, and all of your constituents as well from
8	that lovely neighborhood she was killed in. But let
9	me talk let me kind of go backwards on your
10	questions and talk first about the questions about
11	Drug Court and how we screen cases and how we deal
12	with them here. I don't use you'll hear from the
13	beginning of my testimony when I described our HOPE
14	1.0 and 2.0, and those programs, those offers of
15	diversion or ATI are made pre-play [sic] and they are
16	the it's a undertaking that the individuals has to
17	do to be successful is described directly for them.
18	I do not have I don't want to say that I'm agnostic
19	about it, but I am I set it up exactly so that
20	professionals could say that this individual needs
21	inpatient treatment. This individual needs
22	outpatient treatment. This individual needs
23	vocational training. This individual needs
24	employment training. This individual needs family
25	counseling, mental health intervention, and that

could well include Suboxone or other MAT. We don't
engage in that, and I can tell you that one of the
leaders of our ATI initiative comes from the harm
reduction world. She spent a prior career doing
counseling at the YMCA and dealing with individuals.
So there's advocacy within my office for that, and we
try to tailor the past individual for that person so
that they're guaranteed success. So we definitely
take that approach and that is in all of our
instances. One of the reasons we devise that program
is because we saw it in traditional Drug Treatment
Court. It was post-plea. Sometimes the individuals
were almost doomed to failure because the
prescriptions were so arduous, and we wanted to build
a program that would be successful, and so that's
what we have done, and we'd be glad I'd be glad to
have my staff meet with your staff and describe that
more, and that's why the program has been followed in
other jurisdictions, including un Queens, and it's
also why the White House endorsed it as a national
model. So we're very proud of that. In terms of the
mental health, the question is if someone commits a
violent act can still be have mental health
treatment, you know, or Mental Health Treatment Court

has an alternative, it's part of the balance that we
have to make and we decide every single case, because
if someone commits a really heinous act and you have
a victim, you have to have justice for the victim and
the individuals who committed the crime, and so we
have to find that balance and try to find a way to
make sure that that just doesn't happen. I am sure
that in most instances where someone commits a
violent act and they have an underlying Mental Health
Treatment Court, there were signals along the way,
right? Now, I know in this particular case that
happened yesterday, he did not have a brush with the
criminal justice system. But we also need to look at
our civil side of this and whether civil confinement
[inaudible] and how we as society say to individuals
who do have mental health conditions, you need to
take your medication. That's how we prevent or
whatever the doctors say. I don't pretend to be a
doctor, but in most instances we know that medication
can at least abed [sic] the condition and avoid a
violent crisis, and that is where we need to have
more resources on the criminal justice side, on the
civil justice side, in treatment. Make it more
readily available. I don't know this individual's

2.2

2.3

history, but I'm sure there were times in his life that maybe if more treatment were available we wouldn't be talking about the tragedy of that fallen [sic] our Lieutenant yesterday. So we need to have that approach, and we try every day in our office to have an approach that protects the rights of the victim and we care for the victim, but also to find an approach to the punitive side of what we do if necessary is also much more meaningful. I hope I

answered most of the parts of your question.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: I just wanted to briefly kind of respond and thank you for the thoughtfulness of your response, and just pose a question that certainly I think myself and others have been struggling with, as to your point there is a complete gap in infrastructure to meet people's mental health needs. And what we're seeing is like at what point is the intervention occurring, and unfortunately, we're seeing a late intervention at the point where it reaches down the road to the criminal legal system. And I hear what you're saying about violence, but the thing that I struggle with is whatever point of that intervention ends up being,

how do we ensure the best outcomes possible? How do
we change behavior? And so, you know, just urge us
all to grapple with the hard question of like does
that mean really, really doing the hard work of
engaging with folks who commit acts of violence,
clearly struggling and not cutting off avenues for
treatment and support because [inaudible] obviously
just carceral consequences. You know, we're throwing
people back 97 percent of people who go to a jail
or prison reenter our communities, and you know, no
better for the where. So I just we'll close with
that and hope it's something that collectively our
body, all of your offices and the city at large can
grapple with. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Council Member. Next, we'll go to Council Member Bottcher followed by Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Good morning.

In Manhattan we have Mental Health Court in my
district in Hell's Kitchen. At Midtown Community

Court we have a Mental Health Court. That Mental

Health Court is only open one day a week. How many
days a week is Staten Island's Mental Health Court

open?

actually— the court itself only meets once a month to go through the cases, new and old. You know, so whether new cases qualify and to update. But the supportive work that it lays out continues ongoing, but in my opinion— I think for Staten Island it should be once a week, and I would think for a place like Manhattan, it should really be almost every day, because you have the— you know, you have the intake of new cases coming, and they have— those cases have to wait until the court convenes. So, certainly at least a few days a week in Manhattan would be my recommendation, and we need more on Staten Island.

But we— again, resources are an issue.

State Office of Court Administration responded to a letter that State Senator Brad Hoylman and my colleagues and I sent asking for that Mental Health Court to be open more days a week, and in their response they said that they didn't have the number of cases that would necessitate the court being open more days a week, because most defendants are opting to have their case considered down at 100 Center Street, because they're getting more favorable terms.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Listen, the--

2

1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These are people with serious mental illness who aren't being heard in the Mental Health Court. What do you think is going on there? What's your opinion of that?

you know, the part of the criminal justice reform was to-- you know, it used to-- I'll give you a perfect example. The Community Justice Center in Red Hook Brooklyn [inaudible] which was [inaudible] Judge Calabrese's leadership there, partnership with the CCI, they did amazing work because he was [inaudible] within you know, a few hours at the time of arrest, so at arraignment. When the criminal justice reform came and took, you know, all misdemeanors and put them into a DAT system where people are coming in almost three weeks later after their arrest to a see a judge, that takes away some of the effectiveness of that approach, and so new approaches have to be found. So what has to happen is that even if the individual is not appearing before a judge on the DAT, that the outreach -- so the screening if it took place, you're still in the precinct. You're still at the APO being booked. At that time, the screening has to take place and maybe earlier intervention is

the way to deal with the fact that the individual is not seeing a judge for almost three weeks.

2.2

2.3

words, the Mental Health Court is really when someone's being charged with a crime and they're not just getting a desk appearance ticket. What we've got to do is really font load those mental health services early on, as early as at the precinct, at the time of arrest at the 100 Center Street Court. We're going to hear today from a lot of advocates that really like to dig into what services specifically are being provided throughout the process with nonprofits, and what I'd like to hear is some specific examples of the services that are in place working. The stories of how they've actually worked. Thank you.

I'm going to adopt your word. It was a great one, frontload— the services now, because the court appearance is somewhat back—loaded. The inter— the assessment and intervention and offer services has to be frontloaded. It's still a great opportunity when someone is, you know, in custody in the precinct, it's a great time to have that conversation.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member

Holden?

2.2

2.3

and thank you, DA, for your excellent work and, you know, helping with certainly keep our neighborhoods safe in New York City. I have questions on Kendra's Law. What are some of the obstacles that we're seeing? Whether it's in the referral area-- we're hearing that we're not getting enough referrals, especially from, you know, hospitals let's say. Do you find that's true?

know, the problem-- I mean, I'm not an expert, right?
But under Kendra's Law someone has to be deemed a
threat to themselves or to others, and that's a very
difficult burden to meet. So I don't know if the
language of that law has to be revisited. You have-you know, the situation in our emergency rooms, our
psychiatric emergency rooms, I know here on Staten
Island. We have limited resources. It exists-- it
coexists next to a general health emergency room.
It's a safety concern. There are not enough beds. I
think they have 20 beds for a community of 500,000

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So there's a burden on them to make that determination, and then if that determination is made, they have to get into court and have a court make that determination. It is very difficult right now, and I think that that whole idea of civil-- you know, the word is civil confinement, but I think that's the wrong-- it should be civil intervention, right? It has to be revisited, and that's really something that we should urge our state legislature to go back and really look at so that families, loved ones, medical professionals, even the police officers may be able to say I've got someone here who's in mental health crisis. They're not dealing with-they're not getting admitted into the CPAP [sic], the psychiatric emergency room beds, and how-- we built out these diversion, these mental health diversion centers in the City of New York. They, as I understand -- we don't have one in Staten Island, but I am going to visit one in the next week or two in Manhattan. I understand that they're being underutilized totally. So, how do we fix that system? Because we have to intervene before the crime is committed, right? That's our-- that's our obligation as leaders of the city or the communities

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2 that we reside in, and we're not meeting that
3 challenge right now.

2.2

2.3

way to go on that. Thank you. Just one other question on-- from Queens, we have a community service program, you know, alternatives to incarceration, and they were doing-- before the pandemic, they were doing let's say working in Parks or working for Sanitation. Has your borough started that up, community service? Because they--

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: [interposing]
Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: used the pandemic to stop it, at least in Queens.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Yeah, we are seeing that just picking up again, and we're just getting to that point, but it has been a real challenge for us, and I'm hopeful that we will get more individuals into what I think is a very meaningful outcome and what's good for the community as well, the cleaning of parks, the removal if graffiti, working with-- you know, depending on the case, working with individuals. So, I see that as a positive. We had the same problem that our providers

we will be supportive of that. We think it's time to

24

and/or capacity building way if you can.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: I-- for us, I mean, what you speak it what I saw as a need and was one of the reasons that I ran for District Attorney because I thought that the District Attorney's office, in particular, was sort of this monolithic [inaudible] up on a hill and nobody knew how to get to. Nobody understood what the office did, and so we came in and we created the Community Partnership Unit that goes to the schools, that goes to the community meetings, Community Board, that is out tabling at events and is explaining what it is we do, what it is where we can help, and where we are a resource for people who are in crisis. We do the same with our Family Justice Center which we brought to Staten Island, the last borough. Believe it or not, the last borough to get one. And again, we are-- as a perfect example where they out in the community somewhat truncated because of COVID, but now hopefully coming back to explain that these resources are there for people who are in some sort of crisis that could lead to entanglement with the criminal justice system, which is what we want to avoid at all costs, and at the same time get people the help that they need, and that's what we work on. But I think

communication we do breakfast with our educators to
explain what we do and to hear what their problems
are. School safety obviously is a big issue, and the
list goes on, but it's about communication. It's
giving having that partnership approach to the work
that you do and to go from there. But any resources
that you can allocate in that regard would be
helpful. It kind of I always go back to the mental
health opioid addiction on this crisis that we have,
that more people need to know that resources are
available. Since we started our HOPE before I
started the HOPE program, so many people would say to
me, hey what I do? My son's not been arrested but
he's got an addiction crisis. Well, I hired peer
mentors who I can now say call this individual. I'll
have this individual call you so that they can speak
to them and deal with the issue. But across the
different agencies, there has to be more
communication, more openness, more transparency so
people know where they can go when they have these
crises. Most people who are involved in mental
health-driven violence have a history of difficulty
with their families, that the family could not deal
with it, and then went down a bad path. And that's

2 something-- that's anecdotal. I'm not an expert, but

3 I see that in many of the cases that we deal with.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: I agree with that 100 percent. I think that that last piece is something that, you know, especially this body and particularly this committee will be talking about it in earnest. So thank you.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Thank you very much. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: One question I have-COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Hi, again. I'm
sorry about that. Hi again. You just said
something. How many programed do you get that if a
child-- you just said if the family member wanted the
individual instead of going to jail to go into a
program or get a peer person to speak to them. How
many organizations you can send individuals in that
predicament to.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: so, you're talking about pre-arrest. I was talking about pre-involvement with the criminal justice system. So we have a menu of private agencies on Staten Island, community health action, the YMCA, the Silver Lake Organization, Building Bridges. So I've got a few

then I said I better find out what was the reason

they got in trouble. And I said wait a minute. I

24

25

2.2

2.3

put it on hold. So instead of me just opening up my office to that. I said I do have programs in my district in which does that, but I was wondering how many programs the City has that we can send these individuals to instead of incarceration, because now that everything is open, is those programs open now?

think for you the Community Justice Center that's near— in your district or near your district would be the perfect place to talk to, because they are—they understand the mandates from the justice system, and they also are connected to those providers that could give you the pathway that that young individual needed with perhaps the supervision that I think I'm hearing you say might be needed in certain cases, right? So, that's why it goes back to our original point, that Community Justice Centers are such a great model, and that's why we need one in Staten Island.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay now.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: I'm working on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You got that one.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Thank you

very much everybody.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 71
2	CHAIRPERSON HANKS: thank you so much,
3	DA
4	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: [interposing]
5	Thank you for your time.
6	CHAIRPERSON HANKS: McMahon, pleasure.
7	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Thank you.
8	Thanks everybody.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, thank you.
10	So next we'll hear from the Center for Court
11	Innovation. We have three individuals from there.
12	We'll hear from Lenore Lebron, Amanda Berman, and
13	Shane Correia.
14	AMANDA BERMAN: Do I have to turn this
15	on? Okay, is that better?
16	CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Morning.
17	AMANDA BERMAN: Try that again. Good
18	morning everyone, and good morning to you Chair Hanks
19	and to the esteemed members of this committee. My
20	name is Amanda Berman. I'm the Deputy Director of
21	Regional Programs at the Center for Court Innovation.
22	We are grateful for the opportunity to speak today
23	about our diverse range of programs. You've heard a
24	little bit about them in the testimony already, and

these programs address public safety issues through

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the lens of equity, fairness and humanity. Our work at the Center for Court Innovation spans the entire justice continuum, from community-based violence prevention to pre-arraignment diversion and postconviction alternatives to incarceration. Over the past 25+ years we have designed and developed dozens of programs in courts and in communities with documented results. In the brief time that I have here, I'd like to provide an overview of how these programs operate and how they've played a critical role in making our city safer. In partnership with the New York State Unified Court System, the Center operates several Problem-Solving Courts in New York City. You've heard about some of those today. A few examples include our Brooklyn Mental Health Court or the Manhattan Felony ATI Court which are located in Supreme Court in Brooklyn and Manhattan respectively. We also operate three community courts that you've heard about, including Midtown, Red Hook and the Harlem Community Justice Center. All of these Problem-Solving Courts are defined by a common set of goals and common set of features at their core. First, these courts seek to go beyond just processing cases to address the underlying issues that

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

contribute to the person's justice system

involvement. Some have a specialized focus to address

4 a particular case or population such as mental

5 health, substance use, domestic violence, or human

6 trafficking. Or in the context of our community

7 courts, they focus on serving a defined geographical

8 area rather than a specific problem or type of case.

9 Regardless of the area of focus, a defining feature--

10 oh, okay. I was going to say that went by really

fast. Okay, I'll keep going with your indulgence.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: [inaudible] timed on your testimony, so. That's an error.

AMANDA BERMAN: Okay, thank you. I don't have too much longer but a little bit more. So regardless of the area of focus, a defining feature of these courts is that they provide meaningful and proportionate alternatives to traditional system responses such as jail or fines with the goal of breaking the cycle of recidivism and reducing the harms that our legal system has historically inflicted upon many communities, particularly as we know black, indigenous, and people of color. Problem-Solving Courts have dedicated staff who play an integral role in supporting the participants each

2.2

2.3

24

25

step of the way. And I know there were some questions earlier about how we screen participants and decide who is brought into some of these Problem-Solving Courts, and that is an important role that the Center for Court Innovation staff plays. Our clinical staff conduct assessments of each participant. They identify their history and their needs, and they develop treatment plans accordingly, connecting the participant directly with needed resources including community-based providers and other wraparound services as needed. And then finally, the staff monitor the participant's progress and report back to the court and all of the court parties on the participant's compliance. Dispositions are negotiated up front between the parties. So, the participant is aware of what benefit they should receive from successfully completing the program, whether that be a dismissal or a reduced charge or something else. Another core feature of our Problem-Solving Court model is that we utilize a collaborative framework that requires partnership with judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys, community-based providers, and others. And the Center plays an important role in convening

have reduce the use of jail by 35 percent as compared

supporting so many of these programs, and we look

forward to your continued partnership in the years to

24

come. Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and
I'm happy to address any questions along with my

colleagues who are here today.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much. Appreciate you coming out today. you know my commitment and dedication to the great work that you're doing, having been—started Staten Island's first Youth Build program in dealing with young people who are adjudicated, and when the community wraps their arms around them the outcome are always better. So to that point, and I ask this of everyone. You know, what would you say is your biggest challenge, and what do you think needs to be done to strengthen and improve your outcomes as far as on a budgetary or partnership perspective? Just let us—let me know.

AMANDA BERN: You know, we're fortunate to have tremendous support for a lot of our programs, but a lot of programs also rely on services in the community that we know are often lacking. So, I'll say, you know, one area that comes up all the time is lack of housing. We do provide as much as we can case management sand support around identifying housing options for our participants, but the reality

2	is that it's difficult for a lot of our clients to
3	participate in long-term meaningful engagement and
4	maintain stability without housing. So I'll say big
5	picture that is one of the best needs. We have a
6	robust network of partnerships that we rely on when
7	it comes to mental health treatment. We oftentimes
8	are the we are the staff that are doing the
9	assessments. We are doing the case management, the
10	monitoring, and sometimes there are not mental health
11	services that are community-based in the
12	neighborhoods where our participants are living.
13	Sometimes they are forced to wait on wait lists.
14	Sometimes those services re not as readily available
15	as we would like them to be. So I would say within
16	the community, housing and mental health services are
17	issues that we're constantly seeing come up. I'm
18	going to pass it my colleague to add a little bit
19	more texture to some of the other needs that were
20	seeing.

SHANE CORREIA: Absolutely. Actually, just to expand a little bit, and good afternoon or good morning, Council Members. To expand on some of the issues in coordinating with other parts of city government, you know, doing this work for 25 years

2.2

2.3

and developing a more robust understanding of the specialized needs of clients who are hitting [sic] the justice system. the approach right now is very much viewed through almost one lens of public safety where only up until a couple of years ago have we started working more closely with agencies like the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, as well as approaching different committees for City Council to brief on, you know, how to best serve this population as they're coming in route to the court system as well as before they ever get to that point. So some of the things that we're hoping to see more investment in re those upstream services before an individual is getting arrested or making contact with the police.

AMANDA BERMAN: And the last thing I just wanted to add is that, you know, we-- what we see often is our staff are juggling caseloads that are higher than they should be, and--

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: [interposing] Explain that a little bit.

AMANDA BERMAN: Absolutely. You know, we have social workers, case managers, peer advocates, peer navigators, a number of different staff that are

2	doing, you know, direct client services, and this is
3	across our program. So it may be working with folks
4	who have serious mental illness and may be working
5	with folks who have a variety of issues that are
6	bringing them to the Justice System, and in many
7	cases they can't provide the level of individualized
8	attention that we would like them. you know,
9	obviously we stand behind the work and we know that
10	they're doing great work, but we know the challenges
11	that come when we can't hire as many staff as we
12	would like if there are budget constraints, and that
13	unfortunately means that caseloads can be higher than
14	we would ideally set them at. And so when resources
15	are devoted to these programs that allow us to hire
16	more staff so that they can provide more
17	individualized attention to each client, and it may
18	also be the difference of a staff member being able
19	to accompany someone to an appointment. For example,
20	an intake appointment at a treatment provider, or
21	attending a fair hearing and advocating on their
22	behalf. Those are important services that can often
23	make a tremendous difference in the life of one of
24	our clients, but if we don't have adequate staffing
25	taking someone out of the office to do something like

_

2.2

that may not always be realistic. So to the extent that we can always try to focus on investment and the staff that are on the front lines doing the work both in the community and in these court-based programs.

I think that's always beneficial.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. I think that, you know, possibly a joint hearing with Mental Health is something that we want to do. In recent years there have been provisions added, raise the age for criminal responsibility, a desire for increased bail reform. Based on the current reforms, is there something we can do to make these community courts stronger, improve their outcomes and making sure that the state legislation is working on the ground, and anything that we can do to help improve those outcomes?

AMANDA BERMAN: So, when it comes to working with young people, we know that meeting them where they're at is critical. Young people don't necessarily want to be served in a centralized court house, and so that's where the community court model, our Community Justice Centers we know are so critical, and the types of services that they're interested in engaging in look very different. So

we've learned, for example, through our Brownsville
Community Justice Center that, you know, interspace
programming is key. You know, they're able to reach a
lot of the young people who would have otherwise
never been interested in engaging in these kinds of
services or would have never been interested in being
connected with, you know, a justice center, but they
come because their needs are being met. So really
focusing on what it is and you know this, Chair,
from your own background in youth development work,
really focusing on what are the youth's interests,
what are the youth's needs. And we know that
whether, you know, they're going through the juvenile
system or the Criminal Court system or hopefully if
we're getting there beforehand, you know, through the
upstream prevention work we're doing, we have to
just we have to speak to, you know, where they're
at, at that time in their life.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. I'm-just one more question and then I'm going to pass it
off to my colleagues. How regularly of programming
evaluated for the effectiveness of reducing
recidivism for your participants, and role does CCI

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

play in quality assurance and program evaluation? She's smiling because [inaudible] shine.

AMANDA BERMAN: I'm passing it to my very capable colleague, Lenore, who can speak to all things data and research.

LENORE LEBRON: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Yeah, it's all about the data for me.

LENORE LEBRON: I'm the Director of Data Analytics and Applied Research at the Center for Court Innovation. So one of the pillars that the center holds near and dear is to be evaluating and critically assessing the work that they're doing. So we make sure that we're tracking data on all of the clients that we're serving, cases and their outcomes, as well as surveying the community and stakeholders that these programs are working with and in. So we do through our own-- either our own budgets or we go out and look for other grants such as federal grants, to be able to do some of these evaluations, as well as partner with independent research organizations. So some of the statistics that Amanda had previously shared were research projects that we've done in connection with the National Center for Safe Courts,

/

2.3

as well as the Rand Corporation or Urban, and so there's other-- we take research very seriously. So on our side whenever we are starting a project, we make sure that we're tracking the data and tracking what we're doing with the clients and what outcomes we'd like to see and think about the theory of change for that project, and then we like to partner with an outside agency to be able to conduct the full scale evaluation of said project.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Talk to me a little bit about the-- being in youth development, the follow-up and the measurable after they've completed whatever program or they're no longer. what do you think of what needs to be done to improve the off-ramp and how we can continually support the criminally justice-involved-- criminal justice-involved folks and what we can do to expand on that off-ramp where the community continues to be involved and that they're-- the progress doesn't end with when they walk out the door.

SHANE CORREIA: So, I can speak partially to this through anecdote of my own experience. I came to the Center as a program participant when I was 14 years old, and two of my siblings were

2	actually arrested for violent felonies before they
3	were subsequently deported. When I came to the
4	Center I was as truant missing about half of the
5	school days that there were, and I was not very
6	engaged at all. It was because of Center
7	programming, similar to what operates as Youth Impact
8	in Brownsville where I was able to learn a little bit
9	about something that was engaging with adults who
10	were able to meet me where I was at. And you know,
11	it wasn't in a community justice center, which would
12	have been fantastic, but I was lucky enough to sort
13	of stumble onto an application process. So, just in
14	terms of increasing supports and access to programs
15	like this, wherever the youth actually are, whether
16	it be when they come into contact with the justice
17	system and putting them directly in a community
18	justice center with pro-social voluntary programming
19	or increasing the applications of services through
20	the schools. Those off-ramps have a tremendous
21	difference. And now I'm back at the Center as one of
22	their employees. So I can speak to it at least
23	anecdotally.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you for that powerful testimony. Thank you so much. So I'm going

24

2 to pass it on to my colleagues who may have
3 questions.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

AMANDA BERMAN: Chair, if I may, I just wanted to add one point to my colleague's answer on that question, which is that we can't underestimate the importance of trust building, and from the moment that somebody walks through our doors or we meet them on the street outside of our building, we know that whether they're young or whether they're an adult, we know that the role of trust is critical in initially engaging them, but also maintaining that engagement. And so what we found is that because we're able to start forming relationship from that moment of meeting them for the first time, they know that they can continue to come back no matter what the issue is and how long after the case has been closed that we never see our engagement as confined by the boundaries of a timeline of their case, but it is really we're here for you however you need us whenever you need us.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much.

We look forward to having a Community Court in Staten

Island. I know we've been working. So thank you for

all of your insight, hard work, and look forward to

it. So I'd like to pass it along to Council Member

3 Brewer. She has questions.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very I'm obviously a big supporter of the Center. [inaudible] Lauren [sic] I know she escaped. [inaudible] giving you money from the DA's Office. So, I do know-- I think I've had 25 press conference at least. Where are the judges? So, you have a wonderful judge on Fridays, but he doesn't have the authority to do as much as we like. So I just got a letter from the court system saying, "Sorry, Gale, not enough clients." What are you out of your mind? I'm so-- I'm going to do another 25 press conferences, and so is Brad Hoylman. To have this amazing resource, and everybody complains about quality of life. So when you get one in Staten Island, if there's no judge, don't do it. You got to have a judge. So are you-- am I-- are we the only ones screaming and yelling about this? Are you saying something?

AMANDA BERMAN: So, I--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] It makes no sense. The entire city would be better if these courts operated with a good judge. I mean, I

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] We

25 know.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 89
2	AMANDA BERMAN: of Court Administration.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: That's not a good
4	enough excuse.
5	AMANDA BERMAN: And so so what we have
6	tried to do is to ensure that to the extent that we
7	have control over reaching as many people as we can,
8	we're determined to do that. So we are out in the
9	community, both in Red Hook and in Midtown, all the
10	time, every day doing events, doing outreach, making
11	sure that if we're missing anyone who isn't making it
12	through our doors because we're not fully
13	operational, that we're hopefully reaching them in
14	some other capacity.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I guess my
16	question is, it would help I mean, I'm listening to
17	Lauren every minute and talking to her every day,
18	just so you know.
19	AMANDA BERMAN: Yeah.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So the question
21	is when you're downtown, you're 100 Center, wherever
22	you are, you're not going to go uptown. You're just

AMANDA BERMAN: Right.

not going to go to $54^{\rm th}$ Street.

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, stupid court 3 system. Have a judge where you are and then the young 4 people or anybody gets the services. How much 5 discussions are you really having? Because my letter said, "Sorry, Gale, Brad Hoylman and everyone else, 6 7 we don't have enough staff, blah, blah, blah." You know what you don't have enough staff for, people who 8 need help, who need support, and go out on the street, that is where you will come in and you will 10 11 solve all their problems as you have for many, many 12 years. I really was so angry about this. This is the solution. You are the solution. Go ahead. 13 14 SHANE CORREIA: One, we appreciate the

SHANE CORREIA: One, we appreciate the vigorous support. As someone who works--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I'm livid.

SHANE CORREIA: As someone who works as the Deputy Director for Government Partnerships, I can attest that on a daily basis, weekends included. We exchange emails on trying to figure out how to work with the situation that we're in. I do want to state, in addition to operating our community courts, the problem-solving approach is something that we've seen to also be effective without a judge or a court

part present within the Community Justice Center.

One of the models that we've seen effective in a community that didn't want a courthouse was actually in Brownsville in Council Member Mealy's district.

Using the problem-solving approach, while it doesn't necessarily rely on the sanction that come with the court or the court location services where an individual can immediately access them right after arraignment, there are still things that we've seen to be effective without having that judge present.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know, but if you're downtown with a judge, you get X-- you're supposed to go to 50-- you're not going to go.

SHANE CORREIA: 100 percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay? I mean, I had 35 foster care kids. I know they're not going to go. So you need to have a compassionate, intelligent judge at your location. Then the person goes there. They get the services. I hope you're advocating, not saying you don't need a judge.

SHANE CORREIA: We are absolutely advocating for having a judge, and stating that with or without one we will continue to do the best that

2.2

2.3

1		
_		

_

we can to serve the community. But of course, we would hope for that co-location services as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright.

just-- just so you know, I think your voice would be louder-- could be louder, that would be appreciated. And to say that, you know, this whole city is under siege, perception, and people need support. So I don't under-- this is beyond anybody's understanding with the best solution right in front of people and not using it. And I know you have a very good judge who's been assigned, so why can't he be there five days a week, do you know? Or you can't say?

AMANDA BERMAN: I mean, I don't-- I would imagine that he does. I can't really speak to that-
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]

Fabulous.

AMANDA BERMAN: because I don't know. He is a fantastic judge, but my-- our understanding is that the staffing issue is on the court officer and clerk, and I know that that's been an ongoing issue since the onset of the pandemic.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Council

3 Member Cabán?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Yeah. Before T start my questions I just want to kind of add and piggyback on Council Member Brewer's points. You know, I think-- I think also it's like a yes/and, because as somebody who practiced in that court who represented clients in that town community court, you know, I can say that there is certainly-- the staff has always been wonderful. You know, the programming, people are deeply, deeply invested, but there are limitations. So yes, there are folks that come through that really benefit, but I'll be honest, there are folks that were known by the staff. were by us the attorneys who had rap sheets that, you know, consisted 100+ convictions, and that doesn't make them a horrible monster. It's actually the most explicit example of a failure of, you know, city and government infrastructure that there is, and so you know, the -- I just also want us to thinking about yes and the limitations of these courts and actively being untied on this front to advocate for alternative infrastructure because the person with that many convictions dealing with these things comes

into community court, gets their free McDonald's
lunch, you know, that day, goes upstairs for their
session, is sent on their way, and there's no real
continuity of care or significant changes in
circumstances and support to adequately like be able
to change the trajectory of that person's, you know,
living situation or on a day-to-day basis. So that
is just something I wanted to add. But I wanted to
talk a little bit about the court parts. You talked
about the special courts, the higher compliance, the
lower recidivism, and all these different benefits.
And some of these questions admittedly might be more
appropriate for MOCJ to answer, and I wasn't able to
be here for their testimony, but the degree that you
can offer some answers. Just wondering like the
training and appointing more judges for these
specialized courts again, going to give a small
example. But in the Bronx, it's currently one judge
that handles like multiple, you know, special court
parts, and she is clearly very overwhelmed and
overbooked, and so what is you know, what is the
plan for training and appointing more judges for the
specialized court parts?

AMANDA BERMAN: I'm looking to my

colleagues because I don't have any direct information regarding plans for additional judges.

Is your question, Council Member, just to make sure I

understand, is the question whether there are plans for additional judges to be added to these specialized parts so that there is more capacity?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Yeah, is there-is there training, you know, program in place? Is
there a timeline for the scaling of more judges being
trained to sit on the bench in these parts? And
then, again, like appointing more judges to these
parts.

SHANE CORREIA: So, I can actually answer it and shed some light on that. So, the Center for Court Innovation has three distinct divisions, one of which begin the direct services part, one being the research part, and then the other also being our technical assistance part. So utilizing that research, partnering with other jurisdictions, we do take some of the best learned lessons in things like human trafficking and domestic violence and Drug Court issues and Community Courts, and we partner with the Judicial Training Institute based out of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PACE to provide those trainings on an annual basis to the judges of New York State. In terms of specialized training, you know, through continuing legal education credits and things like that, those are more in a voluntary sign-up basis, but we do offer them through the Center on a variety of topics that we teach.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Okay, thank you. And you spoke a bit about participants who have been accused of violent offenses, and again, getting those good out-- programmatic outcomes, and I won't take them all off, but I think it's worth starting by saying, you know, for the change that we're all wanting to see in terms of safer, healthier communities we cannot be afraid and cannot shy away from leaning into addressing violent offenses and violent occurrences, and you know, I think it's not just clear anecdotally, but clear through research and data that when you ask survivors what their top priorities are, even when there is a very [sic] human in a lot of cases, the desire for, you know, punishment for example, consistently we'll always, always prioritize a couple of different things, and it's one, having the opportunity to health

2	themselves. Two, making sure that they are never
3	hurt in the same, again, and then three, making sure
4	that nobody else was hurt in the way that they were
5	hurt. And so what becomes clear to me is like a very
6	deep desire to change that boils down to changing
7	behavior, changing someone who has harmed's [sic]
8	behavior and asking what needs to be present, what
9	healing has to take place, what tools do those people
10	need to change behavior. And so there are programs,
11	like for example, Common Justice, who I know that
12	you're familiar with, do really good work. They're
13	not getting as many participants as they could or
14	would like or having trouble, you know, being
15	welcomed by certain District Attorneys in certain
16	boroughs to expanding it to other boroughs. We're
17	seeing good results, because obviously it's a program
18	that deals directly with violent offenses. You know,
19	there are only two very small gun programs in the
20	Bronx. Those are two examples of like, how is
21	there a plan to expand those? Why aren't we
22	especially, you know, considering the outcomes that
23	we're talking about? And then my last question
24	related to this is you also mentioned housing as
25	being like super critical. My understanding is that

the re-entry hotels managed by Exodus are going to be closed out by the end of the year, starting with the

2.2

2.3

4 Wilcott [sp?] hotel this Saturday. And so is there a

5 plan that y'all are involved in or are you involved

6 in any of the conversations to continue to provide

7 | emergency housing to people leaving Rikers?

AMANDA BERMAN: Okay, my colleague is going to start. Thank you, Council Member. My colleagues going to start to respond on the first question, and then I'll jump in.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you.

SHANE CORREIA: So, in terms of plans to start, we have seen a lot of movement, since the push to close Rikers, in wanting to invest in a lot of these other successful practices to address those harder-to-reach cases. specifically, with our experience in piloting the Brooklyn Mental Health Court, which has been operating for over 20 years, we've seen that we've been able to take some of those practices which have been so effective working with individuals with severe mental health issues and violent felonies while they're successfully being served in community, and operate them out of centralized courthouses. Where we've seen part of

the issue is actually getting the funding, and you
know, to expand it and touch as many cases as are
qualified. Currently, you know, it's been an issue
where the state has viewed anyone who had a felony
that was going into the prison system as something
for their parole services to touch and handle.
Whereas, at the City level, it was mostly just
misdemeanors that were targeted and supported by our
city government's budget. What we have seen over the
past year with the Schedule C funds from City
Council, actually, was taking and expanding services
in Brooklyn in partnership with the Brooklyn DA to
serve a much larger amount of felony cases with
alternatives to incarceration services in multiple
court parts. Similarly, we are currently partnering
in Manhattan with DA funding, but that's set to
sunset at a certain point, and due to a lack of
funding we're not currently able to expand into other
boroughs, but we're very eager to partner with folks
as those availables investments become available.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: and I want to just interject to kind of lean into the common justice example. Like, I understand what you're saying, but like here's a program that's saying that they have

more capacity, that they want to take on, you know,
more cases. What does the work look like to kind of
like bridge that gap, get more buy-in for District
Attorneys to join in Defense Attorney's applications
for participation in these programs because the
acceptance rates are like very, very low at this
point. Knowing that, you know, quite often judges
will defer to whether or not DA's are joining in the
application. How do we get, again, more judges to be
admitting folks into these things? Like, there is the
question of growth, yes, and then there's the
question of like there are alternatives that deal
with explicitly deal with violence, that are not
hitting their capacity, because there's not they
people are not enough people are consenting to it
or buying into it, despite the clearly laid out
outcome benefits from the programs.

AMANDA BERMAN: So, I'm glad you asked the question and with respect to, you know, the desire of people who have been harmed, you know, people who've experienced harm, whether they identify as victims or survivors, that it is absolutely the case that what we have heard directly and also through so many research studies that have been done

2 is that, you know, they want as you've just expressed. They want to make sure that they are not 3 4 harmed again. They wnt to see behavior change, and in some situations they want answers and they want to actually sit down with the person and get those 6 answers. We have a Restorative Practices Department at the Center for Court Innovation. We incorporate 8 restorative justice into many of our programs, programs in schools, programs in courts, and a lot of 10 11 our community-based programming. So I just want to 12 first of all point out we're doing -- we're actively, 13 you know, we're actively operating these programs. 14 In Manhattan we have a Restorative Justice Program at 15 our Manhattan Justice Opportunities site where they 16 get referrals for non-violent felonies, currently 17 misdemeanors. We have a Restorative Justice Program 18 in Red Hook called Peacemaking, and that has been 19 extremely successful and been operating for almost 10 20 years now. And we've seen great results. So, we are 21 very much in favor of trying to expand the use. I think what we have found to be effective is when we 2.2 2.3 have District Attorney's offices who are willing to engage in training around, you know, what is 24 restorative justice or, you know, what are-- what is 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

What are the programs that we are trying to implement and hoping to get more referrals for? do they operate? What is the science behind trauma? What is the science, if we're focusing on a youth population, behind brain development, adolescent brain development? We have found that some of the District Attorney's offices are very open to participating in those training. Sometimes we bring in guest trainers. Sometimes our staff are the experts and we're the ones who are conducting training. We've seen -- so we have seen that that helps, and we also know that, you know, there is resistance over the years, because this is still considered something new, and so we're changing culture within the offices and trying to change minds and get them to understand the benefits of these kinds of programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: I thank you. I thank you for your efforts, especially the educational outreach, and I think like, you know, scaling that is really important. again, just as an example, at like a caucus weekend event where there was a panel and it was very clear that critical people making these decisions, you know, Department

2.2

2.3

of-- like the DA's Department of Probation's role and some other folks that were there, it was very clear that there was no distinction between restorative justice and alternatives to incarceration, and they are not the same thing, and they don't necessarily produce the same outcomes depending on what they are, and I think that is really key, because at least what I am seeing is that there is-- there's real conflation happening there.

AMANDA BERMAN: Right. No, absolutely, and I think that to the extent that we can continue, you know, to engage with all of the court parties, you know, but particularly prosecutors and judges since, you know, they may— they have exhibited more concerns or just have more questions about the efficacy and whether, you know, we can produce the same results in terms of safe communities and compliance, and we believe that these are— if we are going to continue on the path toward trying and we hope we are continuing on the path toward trying to close Rikers and reduce the jail population. We have to be willing to explore the release and treatment and engagement of people who are sitting in on the violent felonies. We know that we'll never get to

look like? And I think it's the beginning of a

conversation, but I just would like to hear your

thoughts on culturally competent judges, judges of

2.3

24

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Judge?

color who understand, and if they're not what could we be doing to get more of those kinds of judges involved to really—because this is a labor of love, and there really needs to be a certain mindset on how they can look at, you know, this criminal justice and being a community court judge, Problem—Solving Court

sure, I mean, so as a AMANDA BERMAN: first step, right, I think we need more judges from the communities that are most impacted by the justice system. And so I think if there's a judge who has lived experience who can relate to the folks that are coming before them and who have lived in communities that are similar to the community where maybe the court is located, or that have been impacted by the justice system. That way, I think that's one really important step. Another important step is for judges to be-- to have an opportunity to sit down and hear from people who have been through the system so that they know if they have not had that personal experience, hearing from people who have. And I think that one thing we've seen that Judge Calebrese for example, and Red Hook has done so effectively, is that he's just made himself so accessible both on the

bench and off the bench. And so spending time in the
community, going to the events, going to meetings,
hearing from people about their concerns directly,
being able to respond to them, and for people to feel
that they can connect with them because he is a
person and he sees them as a whole person as well.
It sounds very basic and perhaps obvious, but I don't
think that that's necessarily in every judicial
training curriculum. And as my colleague Shane said
earlier, the Center doe utilize our expertise by
conducting judicial trainings. That's something that
we do both locally, statewide and nationally, and
those trainings I think are critical for them to
understand especially trauma, and almost everyone who
is coming before a judge, has likely experienced some
kind of trauma, and we know that because the data and
the research tell us that. And how that trauma plays
out, whether that trauma is part of what drove the
person into the system to begin with or how it's
playing out when the person exhibits certain
behaviors while they're standing before the court in
that moment, It's critical that everyone in the
courtroom understand that so that we know how to
respond effectively

staff attorneys dedicated to representing clients in

2	those courts every day. These include the
3	misdemeanor and felony Drug Treatment Courts, the
4	Youth Courts, Mental Health Courts, as well as the
5	Midtown Community Court and the Red Hook Community
6	Justice Center. The Legal Aid Society has been the
7	primary provider for public defender services in the
8	Midtown Community Court for more than 20 years. We
9	know the community, the clients and the overall
10	structure of MCC best, and we know from our
11	experience that Problem-Solving Courts are a crucial
12	part of ending our over-reliance on jailings and
13	warehousing our community members. The Community
14	Courts work to address the drivers of involvement in
15	the criminal legal system. they understand that
16	overcoming substance use disorders and understanding
17	mental health diagnosis are not always simple,
18	straightforward process, and that a little grace goes
19	a long way to eventual and lasting success, and
20	because they seek to treat people in our community
21	and city jails. In a year when 16 people have
22	recently died in New York City DOC custody, we must
23	push to de-carcerate by directing resources away from
24	ungovernable jail and to the individuals in
25	communities who need it most While Midtown

2	Community Court has continued a hybrid in-person
3	virtual schedule throughout the pandemic, we know
4	there is a call to return to a five day a week in-
5	person operation. However, just as the OCA and the
6	DA's offices are dealing with attrition and staffing
7	shortages, the Legal Aid Society must be funded
8	fairly and fully to meet staffing needs should the
9	court reopen fully. These courts and the lawyers who
10	work in them have been crucial to ending the
11	revolving door of mass incarceration by finding new
12	ways of addressing and repairing harm to community
13	and community members. Problem-Solving Courts cannot
14	be the only tool to build a sense of public safety.
15	Their model of using alternatives to incarceration is
16	crucial to ending the moral crisis of mass
17	incarceration, but until we also fully fund emergency
18	reentry housing, transitional housing, and permanent
19	housing, public healthcare, schools, childcare, and
20	job training programs, we will not address the root
21	causes of inequality or disproportionate policing and
22	incarceration. We must fully invest in the
23	communities in which we have too long intentionally
24	underinvested. Courts alone, no matter how
25	innovative cannot make communities feel safe. The

2 safest communities are those with the most resources. 3 I currently have a client who I'll refer to as Mr. G. 4 When I first met him he was in throes of psychosis 5 and undergoing withdrawals from opioids. He didn't remember the conversation that we had and meeting 6 7 him. His physical appearance was underweights, skin ashened [sic], black circles and circles-- sunken-in 8 He was unfocused, unresponsive, and unable to assist in his defense. He was held at the jail in 10 11 mental observation, and he was given proper treatment 12 for his diagnosis. We were then able to discuss his 13 case, the benefits of going to Mental Health Court, 14 and he agreed to treatment. The transition was 15 remarkable. I'm able to now converse with Mr. G, and I'm able to see a difference. He appears taller, 16 17 stronger, healthier, and he has begun to set goals 18 for his life, the first of which is being a steady 19 presence in his son's life. He wants to be 20 motivational speaker to young people so that they don't make the same mistakes he did. Problem-Solving 21 2.2 Courts like Mental Health Court give people like Mr. 2.3 G the resources they so desperately need to be able to connect again with themselves, their family and 24 their communities, all of which ultimately reduces 25

recidivism, creates opportunity for involvement in 2 3 the community, and strengthens our mutual sense of safety. In closing, I must mention that this council 4 has a Resolution pending, 156-2022, calling on the New York State Legislature to pass and the Governor 6 7 to sign the Treatment Not Jails Act. The Act would 8 extend treatment court opportunities across the state to those who have underlying mental health This is particularly relevant because 10 challenges. 11 the Mental Health Court where Mr. G's case is pending is ad-hock. The District Attorney's office had the 12 13 final say as to whether or not Mr. G would receive 14 treatment. There is currently no diversion court 15 statute for mental health issues, even though mental 16 health issues are prominent in the criminal legal 17 system and are indeed widely prevalent in our 18 society. One in five New Yorkers have a mental 19 health diagnosis, and roughly half of the New York 20 City jail population is recommended to mental health 21 treatment, though few receive it. As it now stands, 2.2 many clients are rejected from drug Treatment Courts, 2.3 because substance us is not their primary diagnosis. The consequence is that those with mental health 24 issues are often excluded from any treatment court 25

2	opportuni

2.2

2.3

opportunities, and instead are sent to jail or prison, where upon their release they are without supports, without care, and without a home, all of which can lead to drug use, psychiatric decompensation and hospitalization, and ultimately reoffending. Problem-Solving Courts work. They must be expanded and access must be uniform across this city and across this state. I strongly urge this council to vote to pass Resolution 156-2022 and to robustly fund Problem-Solving Courts and the lawyers and staff needed to make them run. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much for your testimony.

RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: Good afternoon and thank you to the committee and thank you for this opportunity to speak. My name is Raji
Edayathumangalam, and I'm a social worker at New York
County Defender Services. I am-- prior to my role as a forensic social worker, I was a community mental health practitioner fulltime and I still practice in a community clinic part-time. I'm a licensed clinical social worker and so the topic of mental health and mental illness is very pertinent and near and close to my work. You know, what we're talking

1 2 about is an un-remedying pandemic of a different kind 3 that our city has been facing long before the pandemic of COVID-19, and that pandemic is called 4 5 disenfranchisement, and we're all talking about a complex web of public issues here, the need for fair 6 access to resources and opportunities for everyone 8 and especially those communities that are impacted in various ways. We're also talking about that issue being closely linked to concerns for public safety 10 11 for everyone, as well as issues in the criminal legal system, and not to mention the melt-down that is 12 Rikers. It's been a hell hole for a while now. So in 13 14 that regard, I'm a social worker, like I said, with 15 one foot in a public defender setting and another in community mental health. And over the years I've 16 17 served in the Brooklyn neighborhood of Brownsville, 18 in East Harlem and elsewhere in the City, and my best 19 teachers are clients, and when we ask them what it is 20 that they need, they can very clearly tell us again 21 and again. One of the things they tell us is they 2.2 need stable housing, they need education, and they're 2.3 asking for vocation. They're asking for a fair shot at family life and at civic participation and for 24

humane treatment and for procedural justice.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

more importantly, they're asking for the illusive dream of— the American dream of opportunity, and they're also asking to—

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: [interposing]
Continue.

RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: Yeah, sorry, yeah. And they're al-- they also do not want to be relegated to the margins. They don't want to fail. They don't want to suffer. And like all of us here, they want to contribute to our society. So I want to be a little bit specific about what it is all of us are-- I don't want to purport to know anyone here, but at least some of us have had something called a vaccine of opportunities, and that's exactly what clients are asking for, and I also support the ask about treatment, not jail, because some of the things that I will specifically allude to that's been brought up here. So I have worked with a couple of hundred clients, and approximately 10 percent of clients have had the opportunity to engage in some kind of treatment and programming through the Problem-Solving Courts. Seven to eight percent of that ten percent are in Problem-Solving Courts, and others have had other opportunities that are not

through the Mental Health Court, the Drug Court or
the ATI Court part. Currently, I would say in terms
of number give or take, I try to quickly count that
last night, but 19 clients of mine are engaged in
treatment and programming through Problem-Solving
Courts and not all of them are currently, but a few
of them are being actively considered. Now many of
my clients are doing exceeding well exceedingly
well, and including most importantly, clients with
violent felony charges. That's very important part
that we've been talking about here. I'm going to
use I'm going to just highlight one example, and
that's Mr. T. I'm going to call Mr. T. Mr. T was
charged with a felony, violent felony charge, and he
was he had to undergo a competency exam, the 730
exam, and he was in a state psychiatric center for
some months. He came back to Rikers. He was you
know, because he's very sensitive to medications, at
some point he decompensated because of medication
change. At which point, we worked the defender
office. Our staff worked closely with Correctional
Health Services, and then we were over time, he was
able to stabilize. At which point he met with the
prosecutor's office. The prosecutor's office denied

2	him Mental Health Court. However, and then also
3	objected to his treatment. Now, over his over the
4	objection of the prosecutor, Mr. T. was allowed a
5	plea to treatment and he went to his program in the
6	community where he is still an outstanding member of
7	his program. He has and he's not an exception in
8	the sense that there other clients, but I highlight
9	him for a specific reason today because of the
10	discussion of violent felony. He has psychotic
11	illness diagnosis. He's on anti-psychotic
12	psychotropic medication. Now, the issue for him was
13	he did go to the program and he decompensated again,
14	but we all corralled together, Correctional Health
15	Services staff, defense, prosecutor, and the
16	treatment staff at the program who wanted to
17	discharge him, but we stood firmly to give him a
18	second chance, because we knew how sensitive his
19	mental illness was. At which point they gave us one
20	more chance. They gave Mr. T one more chance. It's
21	been over a year since he has been doing splendidly
22	well. Now, I could say all I want about Mr. T, but
23	I'm going to say when I thanked the program director,
24	the clinical director for giving Mr. T this chance,
25	he wrote back to say that the program is truly

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

grateful to have Mr. T as a participant, that he himself, the director, has had many of pleasant and meaningful interactions with him and that in fact right now they are considering him for a, potentially -- they're offering him or considering him for a position in their clinical team. So what I want to say about him, he's escorting others to programs. He's a thought leader, and he's a peer leader in that program. Now, without this opportunity, someone like him-- we need treatment not jail because in this situation he was allowed the plea about the objection of the prosecution. Now, there's two other things I want to bring up that came in these discussions this morning is the need through Treatment Not Jail Act will make available expand services during arraignment, pre-arraignment. the other thing that I would also say is, someone here, I think it was DA McMahon, who asked for the need for professionals on the front line, and from my own experience, what I can say is I remember staff from CCI speaking. They talked about having adequate staffing. I would second that, but I will also add that in my personal and professional experience coming from community mental health to public

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

defender office, I do not see the presence of experienced mental health staff. I'm a licensed clinical social worker with several years of mental health experience. Working -- having worked in that mental health setting, you can see what crisis looks like. You can see what long-term work looks like, but often there is a graduate school to private practice pipeline, and there's nothing wrong with that, but we also need a robust pipeline of senior psychologists, senior mental health professionals, senior social workers and mental health counselors and others in public defender offices, in courts, and in other places, because those are the people with the experience to work with people with serious mental illness, alongside newer clinicians. So that would be one more thing that I would say. And then I appreciate -- the last thing I would say is the certain mindset that you had asked about, and that's about cultural competency. The idea of a person being a whole person, and we do not have to look to too many new thesis and hypothesis and scientific evidence. What we need for solutions is to look at what parents do every day for their children through the vaccine of opportunities. We use every

Thank you both so

1

2

3

4

_

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

preventable measure of education. We send our children to the best schools, the best healthcare, and that's exactly what clients asking. If they're provided with substandard, inadequate treatment and care, they're not going to be able to succeed. Thank you very much for this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS:

much for these powerful testimonies. I really don't have questions, because your testimonies were so thoughtful and in-depth, but what I would say when we talk about solutions and as this committee moves forward, and again, this is first of many conversations, but let's talk about workforce development. We want to talk about pay parity and we want to talk about access, right? And I think that as part of, you know, even when you look at the Mayor's blueprint on, you know, criminal justice and blueprint on gun violence, I mean, we're really not going back, back, back to the intervention and the prevention pieces, which is what we really want this committee to be focused on. And so, you know, you're talking about social workers and competency throughout every industry we are seeing-- this is what I would call a COVID coma, which is people are

2	not looking to be in these roles, and we are sorely
3	needed. It's not just social work. It's not just
4	anyone who does this kind of work. It's everywhere,
5	whether it's ferry workers, whether it's laborers and
6	medical. We're seeing this everywhere. So what
7	could we do as far as outreach to get people
8	interested, taking it down even to the education
9	piece? I don't know if you were here when I
10	originally did my statement and we talked about
11	journey mapping and knowing when to intervene,
12	knowing when to prevent, and having the relay races
13	of folks, whether it's community, whether it's
14	education, law enforcement, that we're all speaking
15	together in order to keep people out of the criminal
16	justice system, and this is what I've heard
17	resounding and so let's try to like, speak to me a
18	little bit about how do we make this workforce how
19	do we make people interested in doing this work? How
20	do we retain them? What is the pay parity? You
21	know, I know that public defenders have the biggest
22	argument in pay parity. You can't pay them enough to
23	stay, but the DA's have that exact same argument.
24	And what's happening as a result? People are
25	languishing in Rikers much longer because we just

2.2

2.3

don't have the capacity to continue to, you know, work these cases in a timely manner. So just talk to me little bit about the idea of being a social worker, how we can do some outreach, and how we can really build an under— and build capacity so we have future folks like Mr. T, and I have those stories too and they're extremely powerful, you know, where someone is in a program is now being and doing the work, and that's the best we can ask for. How do we

create more Mr. T's, I quess is what I'm saying?

MARVA BROWN: Well, I'll start to address your comments about pay parity in that, you know, we were talking about Midtown Community Court, and the Legal Aid Society in Manhattan. Our criminal defense practice had 125 attorneys prior to the pandemic starting. They're now down to 85 attorneys. And we're talking about opening the court five days a week. We need attorneys to staff that part fully, and the previous Administration promised us pay parity and it started in the first phase where attorneys who were year one through four were put on an even level with the District Attorney's Office. And now we're talking about senior attorneys and midlevel attorneys who are not on par with our

2	counterparts in the District Attorney's Office. So,
3	you know, when you're young and in the City it's fun
4	and all, you know, representing your clients, yes,
5	but having a great time in the City, but when you get
6	older and you're talking about starting a family,
7	buying a home, being able to plan for retirement,
8	year senior attorneys are left behind, and that's on
9	the defense bar. The difference between being a
10	public defender and a District Attorney is that we
11	don't have a pension. We don't have the same pay
12	scale. So, we're talking about pay parity, but also
13	organizational funding fairness, because we have to
14	pay our own healthcare. We have to pay rent. We have
15	to contribute to our own retirement funds. And so
16	when we're talking about having attorneys who have
17	the experience to represent clients on these violent
18	felony cases, we need people who have seven, 10, 15
19	years or more of experience, and when they leave the
20	Legal Aid Society, the system, our clients suffer.
21	So we are asking for organizational funding fairness
22	in that regard. We also have social workers at the
23	Legal Aid Society on staff. It's the same thing for
24	them, right? Social work is very important. We need
25	them on our team. They're integral to our

_

•

2.2

2.3

representation of our clients, doing evaluations, referrals, and supporting our clients through the programs and the Problem-Solving Courts. And they have to pay back student loans just like the lawyers do. And so when we're talking about the Council creating loan repayment assistance, social workers should be included in that as well. Attorneys get a little bit of it. There's bills pending in the State Legislature to give us more. The City Council could offer benefits to attorneys, to social workers who are working in the public interest, because you want people to stay working in the public sector and contributing to our society in that way, and often times it is the finances that's the problem.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much.

RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: Thank you. I just wanted to add and stren-- also support some of what you shared right now. And one of the things that-- you know, pay parity is critical because everybody-- this is New York City and I think that says it. And then in terms of supports, other supports that are not as tangible or not as countable in terms of just what has been said. These are challenging complex cases and situations and lives, and we need

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

experienced people to be able to stay in their role so that we can all learn form and we can grow with each other. So I think there have to be incentives again to support people to stay in the public sector. There's attrition. And I think those incentives have to look like certain kinds of supports, because people come in with dreams and aspirations to work in the public sector. And the other-- another thought is to have consultants, so I have, you know, experienced colleagues from other places contributing part of their time in-- say in the courts. So we need-let's say we need a mental health professional in court to evaluate people, and if you're not able to hire full-time staff, but some kind of call in the city full of experienced attorneys and social workers and other mental health professionals to invite them back so that they feel a sense of contribution to the community in some kind of, you know, incentivization [sic] program to call them back, not entirely but at least part of the item to serve. Some kind of -- some parallel of City Corps, Ameri Corps, something that is created in the longer term. Also education in the community has been brought up because we sort of live in silos, as in this happens in this community, and

that doesn't happen there, and that's parallel again
to the vaccine thing where certain countries have
access to certain vaccines for COVID and other's
don't, and it's not as if the person without the
vaccine doesn't get on the plane and others don't get
sick as a result. If you see it as oh, this problem
stays here and doesn't touch my neighborhood. That
is not that's the collective mentality that we
need, and I think that education through
understanding of trauma through understanding of this
person is a person with a community and a history and
aspirations, as opposed to just through the illness
and criminal legal model is something that will go.
Yes, it's something that can be counted in the same
way, but it will go a long way. And I think to your
part, I am you know, I am keen and I can speak to
my colleagues at NYCDS. We are keen to work with all
stakeholders because the us versus them isn't helping
anyone. So, we all have to come together. These re
very, very entrenched and complex problems and we
need to work together, which I'm doing in some ways.
CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much.

I will be calling on you. This is the first of many conversations. We're trying to have a community

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

court in Staten Island, and so having these conversations, I would love to continue it, and doing more site-specific. So I'll just pass along to my colleagues to see if they have anything to ask.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Cabán followed by Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you. want to use my time to respond to your testimony and thank you. Thank you both for the work that you do. I-- it is an honor to be able to say that I worked for both of the same organizations that y'all are at now. And I just want to like emphasize a couple of the pieces that you talked about. The staffing shortages for public defenders is real. I think we've heard throughout this entire hearing how critical these alternative treatment courts are, but we need to say that they don't work or run or get the outcomes that they-- that we want them to have without public defenders. You know, currently DA resources dwarf what public defenders get access to. Y'all are the first line of defense, but also when we talk about getting to those root causes and needing individual needs to change behavior, to change longterm trajectories, y'all I know very well are also

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the people with the most insight into the root causes of those behaviors, because you have out of that entire system the most intimate relationships with the folks that are affected here, with the folks that we're trying to see the change in, and that is like really, really critical. Y'all know what those people's family members and loved ones know, that they are human beings with value who have a lot to offer, who deserve an opportunity to heal, that while they may have hurt one individual, have been a lifesaver to another, how they can go on to be peers to then, like you know, have those outcomes be exponential. I think of Mr. T's story that you shared. But it's not just pay parity, right? It's really expanding across the board the number of attorneys and the resources that y'all have in your offices. You know, caseloads and things like that lead to burnout. Our clients deserve every effort to get the best outcomes possible, and what we're seeing is that necessarily, folks in your offices are rationing resources. We are not doing 100 percent of the things we can for one client because we're just trying to make the pieces fit and doing what we can for every client, and that is-- those are like really

terrible and difficult places to be in terms of
decision-making, and I want to acknowledge that and
say, that five to 12 year period is really, really
important. I know that when I left New York County
Defender Services after my seventh year of service, I
was on the younger end of what we call fully
certified attorneys, and there weren't a lot of us
left. The majority of our attorneys were only
qualified to carry misdemeanor caseloads, which meant
that myself and my colleagues had full entire
caseloads of just very complex violent felonies, and
it is really unstainable to deliver the
constitutional services that these people, but also
entirely unsustainable for us as human beings taking
in vicarious trauma and trying to represent folks to
not burn out and leave. We need these fully
certified experienced folks, and critically that year
five to 12, 13, 14 area, and so I can't stress that
enough. And continue to urge my colleagues to join
in advocating to get y'all the money and resources
that you deserve. So I just want to thank you for
the work that you do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Council

Member. Council Member Brewer?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Well, I certainly 3 echo all of that. We had a hearing recently and the 4 whole City has no hiring because nobody wants to work for less money, no hybrid, and challenging circumstances in terms of whether it's public health, 6 7 affordable housing, whatever. So, we certainly will advocate for legal aid, social workers, every single 8 level. So that number of 85 versus 125 is frightening. So I'm going to be supportive of the 10 11 courts. You heard me earlier. I've been doing this 12 for a long time. I guess my specific question in 13 addition to the amazing work that you do. Would it help to have a judge at Midtown, and how-- I mean, I 14 15 know I how the DA money works to a certain extent, 16 not just for salary, but even just for programs. 17 Because what the DA in Manhattan tells me, and I meet 18 with them often, is two things. He tells me that 19 the-- I think the Mental Health Court in particular 20 is not open enough days. So how do we get it open 21 more days? If you think that's appropriate. And you 2.2 know, if you don't have it open, then you don't bring 2.3 clients here because it's not open. So I'd like to hear a little bit more about that, because obvious 24

the mental health issues is what's on the streets.

2.2

2.3

So little bit about the judge, mental health, and then what from your perspective where do the DA's put their money? I know they put them in basketball. I know they put them in some programs. They have money. The DAs have so much money, particularly in Manhattan. It's a little bit more on the program side, and are there programs that they should be putting—— I don't know that they going to salaries, which is a little bit of a conflict, maybe I don't know. But the government should pay you more, period, but the issue is Judge, DA money, and Mental Health Court.

MARVA BROWN: Yes. Yes, there should be a judge at the Midtown Community Court every day. I think that also opening the parameters of the types of clients that can get into the Mental Health Court is very important and that's why we both brought up Treatment Not Jails, right? Because right now, the way the law is, they're no mandate for Mental Health Court in the state of New York. If it's not substance use, alcoholism, it's no guarantee that a judge can say you're accepted into this court. It's all based on referrals from the District Attorney's Office and ultimately whether or not they consent to

	COMMITTEE ON FORLIC SAFEIT 131
2	a client going into Mental Health Court. So we have
3	to change that law so that more people can get into
4	Mental Health Court, and then you'll have the
5	referral based on a competent judge sitting on the
6	bench who understand mental illness and what is
7	required to have someone be successful in Mental
8	Health Court. I can't speak to the District
9	Attorney's Office in terms of funding, but we know
LO	they just got about 40 million dollars from the state
L1	in terms of electronic discovery, right? The
L2	defense bar gets that electronic discovery from the
L3	District Attorney's Office. We are constitutionally
L4	mandated providers of legal services, so why are we
L5	not getting that 40 million dollars to cover the
L6	resources and information that we're receiving from
L7	the District Attorney's office? And so in that
L8	sense, their money is used however they see fit, but
L9	we're just not getting that same money and those
20	resources to provide legal representation that the
21	constitution requires.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. That's very helpful.

22

23

24

25

RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: I will add that, you know,-- I will also say some of the same things,

which is both of us are talking about Treatment Not

Jail Act will actually expand that because the

_

1

2

3

4

_

6

•

8

_

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Treatment Not Jail will expand the Drug Court statute passed several years ago, and right now the Mental Health Court is on an ad-hock basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I know.

RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: And the problem with that is like I said in the example that I gave for Mr. T, he was excluded, and yet, if someone with psychotic illness doesn't get mental health treatment, I do not know then who else will. And also there are charges then that are not considered right now. There is no treatment for persons with sex offense charges. There's no treatment for persons with arson-related charges, and yet there are many people who desperately need help. And in fact, there are many red flags that, again, if there's partnership with professionals, mental health professionals, those red flags are treatable. For example, you know, we need to expand the scope of Mental Health Court as it exists right now, because a lot of persons with violent felony charges, it's automatically-- it's a grave concern when to bring

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that case, or people with paranoia, or people with issues of "medication compliance" or medication adherence, or even diagnosis. So I think that those need to be expanded, and so that I will say. And yes, having a judge in the Midtown Court would be helpful if it then parallels increase and access to services and resources for clients who are in need of mental health, but also non-treatment based services that have to go hand-in-hand with mental health treatment such as vocation, education, and housing. And then as far as the DA's office goes in terms of programming, I'm not familiar -- I can't speak to the funding piece, but what I can say is I'm in partnership working with prosecutors on a working group trying to actually speak from the place that I know about programming in services.

me today that there aren't the programs that fit the need of the client, or in his case. So I don't know.

I mean, I'm down-- I go down sometimes to night court and just listen, but I do think either they're not putting the two together or the program isn't there.

Of course, I think the alternative courts would take care of all that, but right now, we have a disconnect

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 134
2	I think. I don't know. You know better than I. Go
3	ahead.
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Guys, we have another
5	hearing here at one, so we're going to kind of move
6	on to the next panel.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, alright.
8	Can I just get that answer quickly to see if you
9	RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: Yes. Yes. There
10	are challenges. I will say that there are challenges
11	in terms of because I come from a community-based
12	provider perspective, and it has the civil needs
13	have been spoken to before, so I think that is why
14	the coming together of all stakeholders, even the
15	community-based providers have to part of that
16	conversation, because there are real challenges as
17	well.
18	MARVA BROWN: And there are many
19	community-based organizations that are working on
20	implementing court-mandated programs and services.
21	They just need the approval and the funding from the
22	District Attorney's Office to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright thank you.

2.2

2.3

RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: And many are also open to innovative solutions to expand the services. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, thanks

everyone. Next we're going to hear from Kimberly

Blair who is on the Zoom call. Kimberly, are you

available? She represents the National Alliance of

Mental Illness for New York City. Kimberly? I will

move on to the next person. You could hop on when

you're ready. Next, we'll hear from Ay-- oh, there's

Kimberly. Go ahead, Kimberly. We got you.

KIMBERLY BLAIR: Can you hear me now?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, go ahead.

KIMBERLY BLAIR: I'm so sorry. Okay. Hi
everyone. Good morning Chair. Good morning members
of the Committee. So, I'm Kimberly Blair. I'm the
Director of Public Policy at NAMI NYC. however,
you're going to receive my organization's testimony
via written, because there's somebody who really
wanted to be there with you today and she got into-she's recovering from an accident, and she really
wants this written-- I mean, stated on the record.
So, I will be reading some of her testimony, and she

2	submitted it as written as well. "Hello, my name is
3	Tanisha Smith, and I live in the Bronx. I'm speaking
4	to you today as the cousin of 31-year-old Elijah
5	Muhammad who lived with bipolar and schizophrenia
6	disorder. My cousin died on Rikers Island under DOC
7	custody in July. I'm submitting testimony today in
8	support of this committee signing on to modifications
9	to Mental Health and Drug Courts proposed in state
10	legislation through the Treatment Not Jails Act. The
11	state bill would expand treatment eligibility for
12	court-involved individuals and divert people like my
13	cousin who would be benefited by treatment away from
14	incarceration and toward community support.
15	Currently, Resolution 156 is sitting in the Committee
16	on Criminal Justice, and once it's passed it would
17	call upon the New York State Legislature and Governor
18	to pass and enact Treatment Not Jail Act. I urge
19	every member of this committee to cosponsor the
20	resolution and commit to working with your colleagues
21	to get it passed. I'm speaking with the hope that
22	changes are made so people in the future do not end
23	up with the same fate, but my family and I cannot get
24	my cousin Elijah back. I believe that a timely
25	diversion to Mental Health Court treatment could have

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 137
2	saved his life, but currently there's no uniform
3	process to ensure that people who need critical off-
4	ramps from the criminal legal system receive them.
5	When my cousin Elijah was 16 years old, his mother
6	passed away
7	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time's
8	expired.
9	KIMBERLY BLAIR: which was a would you
10	like me to continue or stop?
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: In the interest of
12	time, could you please try to kind of summarize here.
13	KIMBERLY BLAIR: Wrap up? Yep.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much.
15	KIMBERLY BLAIR: So, I'm just going to go
16	through. "His mother passed away. It was a tough
17	transition for him and he ended up incarcerated.
18	While being incarcerated several incidents occurred
19	to him, and it shifted his overall personality, and
20	we don't know what could have helped, but it led to
21	his untimely death and he's no longer here today.
22	It's difficult to look at the failings of the system
23	and see what could have been, but I hope no one else
24	has to struggle the way Elijah did. Please consider

supporting the Treatment Not Jail Act to expand

Treatment Not Jail Act. This state bill would expand

2	treatment eligibility for court-involved individuals
3	and divert people who would be benefitted from
4	treatment away from incarceration and towards
5	community support. Currently read, 0156-2022 is
6	sitting in the Committee on Criminal Justice. Once
7	passed by City Council, it would call on the New York
8	State legislature and governor to pass and enact the
9	Treatment Not Jail Act. I'm coming to you as an
10	older sister to my 21-year-old brother who lives a
11	borderline personality disorder and has struggled
12	with suicidal thoughts. When my brother was a
13	teenager, a close friend was nervous of my brother's
14	safety, and due to this suicidal ideation they called
15	the police. As a young black man, encountering the
16	police for the first time during a mental health
17	crisis. This sparked the fear that rippled across
18	our entire family. What my brother needed at that
19	time was a counselor or a social worker, or peer to
20	intervene. Luckily that interaction did not end u
21	with my brother getting arrested or worse. These
22	kinds of situations where police respond to the
23	mental health crisis often escalate the situation. I
24	still think about how how had he been arrested that
25	day despite not doing anything wrong, what would

like to emphasize my support of this committee

signing on to the modifications to Mental Health and

24

2	Drug Courts proposed in state legislation through the
3	Treatment Not Jail Act. The bill will expand
4	treatment eligibility for people who would be
5	benefitted by treatment that brings them away from
6	incarceration and toward community support.
7	Resolution 0156-2022 is currently laid over in the
8	Committee on Criminal Justice. Every day that bill
9	sits there without passing, someone with a known
10	mental health condition is caged on Rikers Island
11	waiting months for psychological evaluation that can
12	help prove the eligibility for mental health or drug
13	court diversion programs and get the life-saving
14	treatment they need. My nephew Elijah was one of
15	those people. Elijah was kind, loving, and smart.
16	His mother died when he was in his teens and
17	afterwards he faced difficulties that ultimately
18	ended up with him in jail. Excuse me. I will never
19	see Eli again in this life. It's very difficult to
20	talk about, but I cannot remain silent any longer, as
21	we have all seen too many lives lost on Rikers. I
22	believe that property treatment instead of
23	incarceration would have saved Eli's life. Too many
24	people like Eli cannot get the help that is needed in

1

New York City. Without treatment, people with mental

health conditions on drugs--

4

3

5 expired.

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time

JEANETTE BECK HARRELL: or drug use

challenges sometimes have issues that leave them contact with law enforcement and the carceral system. Mental Health and Drug Courts are in place to help those who couldn't get the help needed beforehand. But I believe that the Mental Health Court system in place is not being utilized equitably or adequately, creating barriers to entry. A properly functioning and expanded Mental Health and Drug Court system and funding for community-based mental health support will ensure that people get the treatment and not just thrown in jail. And I want to prevent another family from receiving the worst notification possible. I am asking for adequate funding, staffing, and comprehensive reform of the Mental Health and Drug Treatment Courts through the Treatment Not Jail Act. Excuse me. Changes would include expanding New York's judicial diversion law by including people with mental health challenges, intellectual, neurological, physical and other

24

2	disabilities who can benefit from treatment, ensuring				
3	that Treatment Court participants are not in jail				
4	without due process, and eliminating coercive and				
5	ineffective mandated treatment by [inaudible]				
6	participation in Treatment Court without requiring a				
7	guilty plea. Expand the eligibility by eliminating				
8	charge-based exclusion, encouraging judges to				
9	strongly consider the best clinical options for each				
10	participant, and prioritize behavioral health needs				
11	over punitive responses. New York over-relies on				
12	jails and prisons as a primary treatment provider for				
13	people with mental health needs. By supporting				
14	Resolution 0156-2022 and the statewide Treatment Not				
15	Jail Act, we can provide opportunities to access				
16	mental health resources to those who need them most,				
17	allowing a greater number of people that benefit of				
18	an off-ramp to incarceration. I can't get my nephew				
19	back, but I hope this committee truly hears my				
20	testimony in support of increasing access and				
21	improvements to systemic barriers to mental health				
22	and Drug Court treatment programs. Thank you for				
23	listening to my testimony, respectfully.				

Harrell. I'm so sorry for you loss, and I really 25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you, Ms.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appreciate your powerful testimony. Thank you for testifying today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. Next, we'll hear from Yung Mi Lee.

YUNG MI LEE: Good afternoon. Thank vou Chair and the Public Committee for holding this incredibly vital and important hearing. I do want to extend my condolences to the family of Eli Muhammad. I am so sorry for your loss. With the support of the City Council, we at BDS are able to provide robust support services to people who may have avoided court involvement in the first place. If they had had access to services sooner, such as assistance navigating benefits applications, affordable housing processes, quality mental health care, substance use treatment, educational support, respite centers, or immigration assistance. So, I thank the City Council for that. BDS is also proud to have played an important role in the creation of the Brooklyn Mental Health Court, otherwise known as MD1 in 2002. So we have over 20 years of experience with how the Brooklyn Mental Health Court works, and I have to say it works. MD1 is open to all sorts of felonies, including violent felonies, and it is very clinically

_	1	
Ξ		7

based. And I want to talk about-- obviously we have

Step [sic] the Brooklyn Treatment Court, Misdemeanor

4 Brooklyn Treatment Court, the Veterans Court, the Red

5 Hook Community Justice Center, but I also want to

6 talk about what makes treatment work and how to

7 incentivize more people to utilize themselves of the

8 | treatment options. Obviously, funding and resources

9 is an important issue. I can tell you that doing

10 years and years of work trying to get people into a

11 program in the first place, there is a clear need for

12 supportive housing, especially for those who are

13 mentally ill. But what is that makes people not

14 necessarily want to avail themselves of a court-

15 mandated treatment? First of all, this--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time

17 expired.

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

YUNG MI LEE: again, has to do with resources. There's a long wait time and not enough beds, and so many people give up after waiting sometimes weeks and weeks at Rikers Island as they're waiting for a treatment program to open up for them. We want to ensure that confidentiality is kept because that is what allows people to speak freely

about their mental health issues and to obtain the

proper and appropriate treatment. We also are very
concerned that at times people have heard about the
jail sanctions that may be imposed as they go through
the treatment process. We all know that clinically
relapses occur and compliance is not 100 percent.
People oftentimes find themselves that when they are
not 100 percent compliant they end up in jail for
jail sanctions, which again is incredibly disruptive
to their lives, even benefits, and of course the risk
of losing vital housing. So, I say this because I've
been working with the Treatment Not Jails Coalition.
I urge you as have others before me to pass the
Resolution to support, to urge the state legislature
and the Governor to pass TNJ. I know I'm very
limited in time, but we do have longer testimony, and
that will be written testimony, and that will be
submitted. Thank you.

everyone who came out today to-- on this very important hearing. I'd like to thank my public Safety Committee staff Josh Kingsley and Chad Benjamin for all their hard work in pulling this together, and thank you so much. This meeting is adjourned.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 1	47
2	[gavel]	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 18, 2022