COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND

ELECTIONS

----- X

July 7, 2022

Start: 11:32 a.m. Recess: 2:17 p.m.

HELD AT: HYBRID HEARING - COUNCIL CHAMBERS -

CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Keith Powers, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Adrienne E. Adams, SPEAKER

Joseph C. Borelli Justin L. Brannan

Gale Brewer

Selvena Brooks-Powers

Crystal Hudson Rafael Salamanca Pierina Ana Sanchez

APPEARANCES

Ngozi Okaro Nicole Yearwood Christine Yoon David Gold Rasmia Kirmani-Frye Juan Camilo Osorio 2 NAZLY PAYTUVI: This is a mic

2.1

2.2

check for Committee on Rules, Privileges and
Elections. Today's date is July 7, 2022. Location
Chambers. Recorded by Nazly Paytuvi.

SERGEANT PEREZ: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to this hybrid meeting of the Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections.

Please silence all electronic devices.

Anybody that would like to testify, again you can sign up at the Sergeant's desk. For those people that would like to email testimony, you can email it to us at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [GAVEL] Good morning. Thank you, everyone, for being patient as we get ourselves acquainted to this world of Zoom. Welcome to the Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections. My name is Keith Powers. I'm the Chair of this Committee.

Before we get moving, I'd like to introduce the Council Members of the Committee who have joined us here today. We're joined by our Speaker Adrienne Adams, Minority Leader Joe Borelli

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 4
was here and I think will be back, Council Member
Justin Brannan, Council Member Gale Brewer, Council
Member Crystal Hudson, Council Member Selvena BrooksPowers, I believe Council Member Sanchez is joining
us via Zoom shortly, and we will be joined by others
as well.

2.2

2.3

I'd also like to acknowledge the

Council's General Counsel sitting next to me, Jason

Otano, serving as the Committee Counsel and the staff

members of the Council's Investigative Unit Francesca

Della Vecchia, Director of Compliance and

Investigations Unit and Investigators Alycia Vassell

and Ramses Boutin as well.

Welcome to all of the folks that are here today being nominated. Today, we're going to consider a number of nominations for a number of vital public bodies here in New York City.

The first 2 candidates under consideration for direct appointments by the City Council to the Equal Employment Practices Commission. Nicole Yearwood has been nominated to serve out the remainder of a 4-year term that expires June 30, 2024, and Ngozi Okaro, you're going to have to correct me if I was wrong on that pronunciation, has

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 5
been nominated to serve the remainder of a 4-year
term that expires June 30, 2023.

2.2

2.3

The next candidate, Christine Yoon, has been nominated by the Mayor for an appointment as a member to the Board of Standards and Appeals serving the remainder of a 6-year term that expires on September 1, 2027.

Finally, we will consider 3 nominations to the New York City Planning Commission. Juan Camilo Osorio has been nominated by the Brooklyn Borough President and, if appointed, will serve the remainder of a 5-year term that expires on June 30, 2027. The Mayor has nominated 2 appointees to the New York City Planning Commission who are both here with us today, David Gold and Rasmia Kirmani-Frye. Should Mr. Gold receive the advice and consent of the City Council, he will serve the remainder of a 5-year term that expires on June 30, 2026. I hope you're paying attention to all these dates here. Should Miss Kirmani-Frye receive the advice and consent of the City Council, she will serve the remainder of a 5-year term that expires on June 30, 2024.

We are going to begin today with the candidates for the New York City Equal Employment

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS Practices Commission. Under the City Charter, the EEPC is charged with the duties of reviewing, evaluating, and monitoring the employment practices, procedures, and programs of city agencies and the Department of Citywide Administrative Services. The goal is to continue an effective affirmative employment program of equal employment opportunity for minority group members and women employed by or seeking employment with city agencies. Some specific powers are monitoring employment practices of all local agencies, reviewing the implementation of agency's affirmative employment programs, and auditing agency's efforts to ensure fair and effective equal employment opportunities for minority group members and women. The EEPC consists of 5 members, 2 appointed by the Mayor and 2 appointed by the City Council, the Mayor and Speaker jointly appoint the Chair. Compensation is on a per diem basis, 250 dollars for a member and 275 dollars for the Chair.

Today, we'd like to welcome Miss Yearwood and Miss Okaro to the Chamber. Can you please both raise your right hand to be sworn in?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

2

1

3

4

truth?

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

NOMINEES: (INAUDIBLE)

COMMITTEE COUNSEL OTANO: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL OTANO: Do you swear to

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We would like to welcome both nominees to make an opening statement.

tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

NICOLE YEARWOOD: Good morning, Speaker Adams, Chairperson Powers, and Members of the Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections.

I am honored to be considered for an appointment to the Equal Employment Practices Commission by this Council, the most diverse Council in the city's history. I'm excited about the opportunity to serve my city in this capacity. Since my time as an undergraduate, I have worked on issues of representation of historically underrepresented voices. As an adult, I have witnessed the positive impact of having all voices present in the rooms where decisions are made. Having everyone at the table is critically important when the goal is to make decisions for and to serve a city as diverse as ours, which is the role of our city agencies. People

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS of diverse backgrounds, cultures, and experiences are what make New York City New York City. With the city being its largest employer, its workforce should naturally reflect the people who are here. Unfortunately, we know that is not always the reality. As a nation, we are striving for fairness and inclusion in all areas of public life. We are working to correct and overcome historical disparities in education which, by extension, have caused disparities in access to employment opportunities for generations of women and minorities. We have begun to recognize the intersectionality of race and gender and its combined impact on a person's experience in the workforce. Our city's workforce is not immune. Watching past meetings and reviewing staffing data, I can see how gender roles and stereotypes have factored into the employment composition of our agencies. This Commission in its role can assure fairness and equity in the agency's hiring and promotion of employees, and it can create new opportunities for individuals and future generations.

We often speak of the importance of

representation for the next generation. Even in a

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS city as diverse as ours, there are historically underrepresented communities in many of our city agencies. This is especially true for young girls and other marginalized people. We must also look at the financial benefit for the groups the Commission is mandated to assist, especially during the pandemic. Data has shown that women, especially women from minority groups, have suffered the most when it comes to job loss. Women's advancement in the workforce has seen major setbacks due to the pandemic. Job loss means loss of benefits, possible pension, and all benefits that come with city employment. Eliminating systemic inequities that lead to the undue burden of job loss on a particular group for generations is something we can resolve. These are just a few priorities I would like to bring to the Commission. The Commission has begun the work. I intend to bring my expertise, passion, and commitment to help bring about change in the city's hiring practices and build a talent pipeline that positively impacts communities. Together, we can help our city break down barriers to unfair hiring practices and be a model for others to follow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

I would just like to conclude my remarks also by thanking the City Council's Investigation

4 Committee for all their help through this process.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll come to questions afterward.

NGOZI OKARO: Good morning, Speaker Adams, Chair Powers, Committee Members, officials, staff, and New Yorkers. My name is Ngozi Okaro, and I appreciate the opportunity to talk about my background and suitability as an appointee to the Equal Employment Practices Commission.

A bit about me. I am a black person, a woman, born in the United States, but the child of an immigrant. I am gender-conforming, have some disability. I am a Christian and a native English speaker. None of the above should provide advantage or disadvantage, but many of these data points do provide advantage and disadvantage. Some of the most important things about me are that I believe in fairness for all. I'm a systems thinker, a researcher, an entrepreneur, a lawyer, a lifelong learner, and one of New York City's biggest fans. I did not grow up in New York but consider myself a

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 11 naturalized New Yorker since I've lived here for more than 20 years and have lived in 3 boroughs.

I moved to New York because it represented to me a place where people can be who they are and contribute to a thriving community. It takes the best of all us to keep New York City great, and I believe that people must feel confident that they will be treated fairly in order to give their best in community and especially at their workplaces.

Collaborative, a nonprofit social enterprise that supports no- and low-income women who are creating careers in sustainable fashion. I started Custom Collaborative to provide opportunity to women who have been marginalized and to guide the fashion industry to become more equitable and inclusive. My organization works with women who come from 25 different countries, and equity and inclusion are part of our organizational core values. I instituted trainings and policies and standardized ways of working with people so they will not feel like they are subject to favor or to whim. The staff and participants at Custom Collaborative regularly go

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 12 through trainings, and we have had our materials and practices audited and evaluated by others.

2.2

2.3

I have experienced someone reviewing my work so I understand what it is like to hear that what we designed could be better, even though I've put forth my best efforts, but, because the goal is to provide equal opportunity, I understand that the impact and not the intent is what matters.

I sit before you honored to be entrusted with auditing, reviewing, and monitoring the employment practices of the City of New York to help sustain a fair workplace for all including women, minorities, the disabled, and all people. I personally have worked with many organizations in New York including FHEPS neighborhoods and Brooklyn Hospital Center, law firms like Goodkind, Labaton, and others. I'm also a Coro Leadership New York graduate.

I bring to the table and to this work the person who decided at age 6 to be a lawyer to advocate for justice. I also bring before you the person who decided at age 30 that practicing corporate law in a large law firm was not going to measurably advance justice. I commit to bringing all

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 13

of the skills and lessons that I've learned to the

EEPC to serve the city, its entities, and its

citizens. Thank you for your time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We're going to now turn to Speaker Adrienne Adams for a few questions and then I'll ask a few questions to follow. Thanks.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you so much, Mr.

Chair. Good morning to our illustrious candidates and congratulations to all of you on your nominations.

Happy to have you here in the people's house.

I'm going to first start with you, Miss Yearwood. Welcome once again and congratulations. In your pre-hearing questions, you stated specific concerns about the city's ability to attract people and how that may be affected because of the mandated back-to-work requirement. How would you balance this with concerns about perceived public opinions that the city's workforce should be at the workplace?

NICOLE YEARWOOD: Thank you so much for the question, Speaker Adams. I think that what I've observed from some people who I know who are employed by city entities, agencies, some elected officials' offices is that there is flexibility based on the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 14

current pandemic numbers and rates so I think that

having some flexibility around that based on what is

going on currently with our healthcare system and

with the COVID numbers I think would go a long way to

helping to facilitate some sort of balance.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Thank you for that.

Are you at all concerned with equity issues that may arise with parts of the city's workforce having the benefit of a hybrid work model when other employees such as our essential workers don't have that same opportunity?

NICOLE YEARWOOD: Thank you once again. I think that we definitely should consider various roles and people's positions. I believe that if someone is an essential worker that there should be something for them to mitigate that as well. I'm not exactly sure what that should be as yet, but I think that everyone, considering all that happened and what I've seen, I've been fortunate, I actually was working from home prior to the pandemic, and there are benefits to coming in office at times, but you also like and enjoy that flexibility. It was, of course, beneficial during the pandemic to be able to transition, and I do understand that some people

couldn't transition because of the nature of their work so it is difficult for some who are essential but I think that there's definitely room, whether that's giving time off, spacing that out so some people would have to come in, maybe they have time off, then another group comes in. That was one of the things that worked, even when I worked from home, there were some people who had to go in so they staggered hours so there was a schedule, week A some people came in, week B others came in.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you. I think that's fair in taking a look at the big picture and realizing that there are ways to work with "hybrid" perspectives and completely in-person perspectives so I think that's totally fair. Thank you for your response to that.

Miss Okaro, welcome to you as well. We have something in common. As I was reading and listening to you speak in hearing that you had a bit of a break with your corporate life as I did with mine. At a certain point in your corporate life, you decided to break from it because, I guess perhaps like myself, there was something on the inside that just wasn't working there, realizing that there was a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 16
bigger picture and something larger to fulfil because
of intestinal fortitude so I recognize that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In your pre-hearing questions, you state that your entire career has focused on ensuring safe and just spaces for people to work. Could you please expand on that for us?

NGOZI OKARO: Absolutely. Just to give a little bit more background, when I was 6 and I decided that I wanted to be a lawyer, it was because somebody said, little girl, you talk a lot, you should be a lawyer. My mother is a librarian and so everything I wanted to know I had to look up and so when I looked what lawyers did and found out who they were I realized that Ralph Nader was a lawyer who fought against Ford which was particularly important because we had a Pinto and, one day, when my mother was driving me to school our car caught on fire and so I was like okay, so there are types of people who can help to bring justice and fairness and equity and that's the type of person that I wanted to be. I always thought that I would work on women's and children's issues, and, in fact, when I was in law school I worked in a domestic violence clinic that helped people who were trying to get orders of

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 17

protection. Basically, as I said, that has always

been my goal. I have a few core values and among them

include justice so even when I have not been

practicing law, although I've never worked in HR,

I've always advocated for just treatment for myself

but also mostly for others.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Thank you very much.

I'll just ask one more question. In your pre-hearing question, similar to the question that I asked Miss Yearwood, you state that an employment practice that might affect the work of EEPC is "work-from-home policies." In light of any experiences that you may have had over the last 2 years or so, do you have any insight as to work-from-home policies and which policies work best?

NGOZI OKARO: Yes. I have a different type of leadership style than maybe what would work with the city with such a large workforce and unions, but I try to engage my team in collaborative thinking about what our return to office process is, how many people we'll have in the office, even though the state and the city have recommended however many people per square foot, do we feel comfortable about that. For me, it's very important to find out what it

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 18 2 is that people want, what works for everyone, and 3 then to involve them in the process. Some of our work 4 requires us to be in-person and so, for me, it seemed to be only fair that even those of us who don't have 5 to work in-person all the time that we would still 6 7 work in-person so people would not feel like there 8 was a like a segregated or essential and nonessential because all of us are essential in terms of making the work go forward. For me, it has been about 10 11 being very in tune to what people want and need, 12 especially as we think about some people who are

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Thank you very much for your answers. Thank you both of you. I'm going to turn it back over to the hands of the Chair.

parents and their children might get sick or school

and reasonable and will keep the organization going

as smoothly as possible.

might close and also balancing that with what is fair

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, Madam Speaker. Just a few questions myself and then I'm going to hand it over to Members to ask questions as well.

To Miss Okaro, in your prehearing questions, you mentioned the need for popular

confidence as essential to effective equal employment opportunity programs. Can you expand in terms of what you mean on that and how do you think you, personally, can help the EEPC to improve this popular

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

confidence?

NGOZI OKARO: Sure. I think that popular confidence is a phrase that I just kind of made up, but it means to me that people trust that those who are to regulate us and determine our workspaces and that we're all working under the same rules, that people have confidence in that. I think that we can see, even looking, I would say especially looking at our federal government, there is a crisis of confidence. Some people believe elections work; some people don't believe that elections work. So in order to actually do effective work, people have to have confidence that there are rules, that the rules are applicable to everyone, that the rules are understandable and why the rules exist in the first place. I think that all of those things are important if we want people to, again, come to work and do their best and not just do what they need to do and be grumpy. We really want people, I think, to be confident and comfortable in their workplaces and

2.2

2.3

2 know that in the event that something does happen

3 there's a process, that everyone goes through the

4 same process and that is as objective as possible.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. Maybe just the second part of the question. Can you talk how you specifically, if appointed to the EEPC, would improve on this public confidence or instill that into the agency and the work they do.

NGOZI OKARO: Yeah. That's a great question. I think that part of the answer is understanding if and what the issues are, like why people don't feel confident if that is in fact the case. As I said, I'm a researcher, and part of solving any problem is actually finding out how it manifests itself, what is the symptom, and then figuring out what is the root so I can't say today what I would do but it would be a robust and thoughtful process along with the other Commissioners.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. Going to move to Miss Yearwood for a second. I know that you had also in your questions had a pre-hearing questionnaire and mentioned that you have a plan to increase awareness about the EEPC. Can you give us

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 21 some examples of how you think that can be improved and what you might do to help improve that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NICOLE YEARWOOD: Sure. My background is government relations community outreach as well. I would probably implore some of the tactics I used as a partnership specialist for the census. I could even go back to my days as a community liaison. I think one of the important things is having people understand the civil service exam and application process and how that works so I would begin to tap into local organizations, traditional ones, for example our local Community Boards maybe with expanded staffing and 2 additional Commissioners maybe going out and really talking to people, working with DCAS to educate them about the process and how to apply. We could start with local Community Boards. We could start with community-based organizations. I'm a member of several. I'm a member of a sorority. A member of the NAACP. Developed connections with several faith-based organizations during my work with the census so I would tap into a lot of the partners that I've developed over the last 4 to 5 years specifically to talk to them about how to gain city employment, and I would work with my fellow

2 Commissioners on that work as well as the Commission

3 staff.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. Thank you. I'm just going to take a minute to move over a moment to move over to Colleagues here to ask some questions. We have first Council Member Brewer followed by Council Member Hudson.

much. The first thing I'm going to say is Aldrin
Bonilla, who will be your Chair, is the best Chair in
the United States. He was my Deputy as Borough
President, and there's nobody better so
congratulations to be working with him. That's number
one.

The second issue is picking up on what the Speaker stated, all the agencies have no staff and so her comments, I know the Law Department is down 150 and the list goes on, I give the Mayor credit because he wants others to come back in the city and so the city, he thinks, should be 5 days a week. I think it's a great idea, but nobody's going to work here for 5 days a week and they're telling me and they're telling the world. So picking up on what the Speaker stated, because of COVID and all the

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 23 effects, specifically what would you think to do to attract folks to come, not only for the civil service talent, which is part of what we need, but also just in general. Would you urge the Mayor to do part-time or maybe keep it full-time? How would you address this massive lack of people applying for these jobs? That's number one.

2.2

2.3

The second, of course, is I think there's probably still a pink ghetto at the civil service. We love the union. We respect them. I worked in a union shop. I was the head of a union shop, but I do think often there is a pink ghetto as I call it and so I want to know how you would address those 2 issues. Thank you.

NICOLE YEARWOOD: I never heard of that phrase so you might need to explain that one.

 $\begin{tabular}{llll} {\tt COUNCIL} & {\tt MEMBER} & {\tt BREWER: Pink ghetto means} \\ \\ {\tt women at the bottom.} \\ \end{tabular}$

NICOLE YEARWOOD: Okay. Thank you. That's a new term. I think that in terms of the first part of your question, I would probably encourage some flexibility. That is why I did raise that as a concern because now there are so many other opportunities for people that are offering that

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 24 flexibility of this hybrid work-from-home so I would encourage the Mayor. Again, we would also have to look at the data. Who actually needs to physically be in the workplace to accomplish their jobs because sometimes there are situations where people need to physically be there and then how do we offer them flexibility as well as be open because it's really about us. We want to attract, of course, the best and the brightest to these roles, and when they have so many other businesses that are offering this flexibility they have choices and so they're going with those other options as you've already stated that people don't want to come back. We have to somehow evolve with the times while making sure the city runs efficiently. I also understand that part of it as well is probably driven by economics. We have businesses that thrive based on people coming into the workplace, local restaurants, specific communities. I know I used to work down here in Lower Manhattan when I was at One Center Street working for the Borough President's office. There were businesses that were only open on the weekdays because they dealt with traffic from people who worked at those buildings and then they would close on the weekends

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 25 because we weren't there. It's negotiating a lot of things and it's a complex issue. I'm not going to pretend I can answer it in this hearing, but I think that we need to examine all of those things so that we can attract more people to come in and apply for these roles.

In terms of addressing the pink ghetto so to speak, I think that's not a unique issue.

Unfortunately in terms of just historically it's always, we find that women are the backbone of a lot of organizations so I think as a member of the

Commission when we're examining the data and seeing how we're to reflect it in our city's workforce, I think that's one of the things we can address during the audit period and recommend corrective actions that are taken, and we can probably work to suggest ways that agencies can mitigate that so if we see that that's reflected in the data of an agency, okay, what ways can you work to ensure that women are moving up the ranks.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Thank you. I love Custom Collaborative as you know and visiting was fabulous. Go ahead. Same issue. Same question.

2.2

2.3

2 NGOZI OKARO: Thank you so much. I am new 3 to this pink ghetto phrase. I think that there are 2 4 different things that we have to consider. One is what are the formal ways that promotion and retention, how do they operate, and then the other 6 7 question is what are the informal ways, who is 8 encouraged to apply for positions, are we reviewing applications on a blind basis like not knowing the people's names so I think that there are lots of ways 10 11 to modernize systems. I also think that as great as 12 New York is it's always important to look to others 13 to see what they're doing so are other 14 municipalities, whether here or internationally, do 15 they have better rates of women being promoted in 16 higher level positions, and, if so, what has worked 17 and what can we adopt. Sometimes I think it takes a 18 step back and reframing of the issue and the, of 19 course, creating some new processes and training and 20 making people accountable to the outcomes through key 21 performance indicators or whatever else, and I think 2.2 that is one of the most important things, making 2.3 someone accountable for it, like whose job performance takes into account where women are within 24

question.

2.2

2.3

is how are you going to handle, picking up on the excellent question by the Speaker, we've got nobody applying for jobs in the City of New York and it's because the outside has a different schedule and it's all COVID-related so how would you address that. The Law Department is down 150.

NGOZI OKARO: Yes, I think it is also a question of realism and I think everything that
Nicole said is absolutely right. I also think that my personal experience as an employer would also inform some of how I would address it and how I think about it. For example, our staff, except for the people who have to be in-person every day because they're working with participants, we have one day a week that people have to go to work, but I recently lost a very good employee because he went somewhere where they could work from home every day so 80 percent time at home is not enough for some people so I think it's about being realistic. For me, that made me thing what are the other things that we can do to attract and retain the people who we really want to

nominations. Miss Okaro, you just sort of addressed

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 29 one of the questions that I had or the question that I had so, Miss Yearwood, I would love to hear from you is coming from the private sector and being at an organization like Lime, are there any current values or practices that you would bring to the EEPC or things that you think the city could be doing or learning from what you're seeing in the private

2.2

2.3

sector?

NICOLE YEARWOOD: I would definitely say of course, and I haven't been private sector long, just 6 months. Most of my career has been in the public sector and in government. I would say that one of the key pieces of our mission is we say that we want to create transportation that is shareable and affordable as well as carbon-free. In that mission, we strive, our team, and we're global, to make sure that our services are accessible to everyone, and I think that I can bring that specifically to serve in this Commission where that is our goal, is to make sure that everyone is involved and engaged and has equal access.

COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you. I'll just end with just a statement. I've read through both of your resumes and responses to the questions.

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 30 2 I think you're both very qualified to be on the 3 Commission. Again, I congratulate you. My partner was 4 actually formerly the Chair of the EEPC and so I would encourage you to make sure that you continue to 5 push these institutions that are historically 6 7 discriminatory, and I think both of you, as black women can probably identify more so than maybe some 8 other folks how these systems work against people like us and so I just encourage that, no matter what, 10 11 you're being appointed by institutions and I just encourage you to push those institutions to be the 12

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Council Member

Brooks-Powers had to step out so we're going keep

moving. Thank you both for your testimony.

best that they absolutely can be. Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're now going to move on to the Board of Standards and Appeals. Moving on to BSA, just a little bit of background for folks, the BSA consists of 5 Commissioners, each appointed by the Mayor for a term of 6 years. The City Charter provides that one of the BSA members shall be a planner with professional qualifications and at least 10 years of experience as a planner, one of the members should be a registered architect and should have at least 10

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 31 years of experience as an architect, and one of the members should be a licensed professional engineer and should have at least 10 years of experience as an engineer. The particular qualifications of the 2 remaining members are not delineated in the Charter. The Mayor designates one of the members with the required experience of an architect, planner, or engineer to serve as Chair and designates another member to serve as Vice-Chair. No more than 2 members may reside in any one borough. Each member of the board receives a salary and may not engage in any other occupation, profession, or employment. The Chair earns an annual salary of 227,786 dollars, the Vice-Chair receives 187,479 annually, while the remaining members earn annual salaries of 174,827 dollars. The BSA has the power to determine and vary the applications of the zoning resolutions and to issue special permits as authorized by the zoning resolution. The BSA may also consider appeals to vary or modify any rule or regulation of the provisions of any law relating to the construction, use, structural changes, equipment, alteration, or removal of buildings or structures or vaults in the sidewalks where there are practical difficulties or unnecessary

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

and Appeals. Both of my parents immigrated to the

United States from South Korea in the '70s, starting their American lives as many immigrants do here in New York City. After meeting each other and marrying, they eventually relocated down to Houston, Texas where I was born and raised. Coincidentally, my first apartment when I moved here was in Sunnyside Queens, just a couple of blocks from my mother's first

2.2

2.3

apartment in 1972.

My life has always been tethered to this city, and, since moving here in 2008, I continue to be fascinated and amazed at its rich hospitals and constantly evolving present. I humbly ask for your consideration of my nomination to participate in shaping its exciting future.

In my career to date, I've been fortunate to work as an architect, consultant, and real estate developer and have overseen many executed projects from concept through construction from these different roles. I believe that my perspectives from sitting on multiple sides of the table through a variety of projects equip me with the ability to review issues through a multifaceted and pragmatic lens. A majority of my professional experience has been focused on the design and development of new

construction of affordable housing in New York City which has introduced me to communities across multiple boroughs and solidifies my position that safe, welcoming, and well-designed affordable housing is not only possible but necessary, and, by investing in access to housing, we can integrate, stabilize, and uplift communities.

I've also worked on projects of various typologies in other cities and countries with entirely different regulatory parameters. This has allowed me to develop my skillset in understanding the intent of and interpretation of codes in new contexts. As a Commissioner, I will my diversity of experience to consistently provide rigorous analyses, fair assessments, and considered judgement that results in decisions that serve the local community, public interest, and the positive evolution of our city.

Thank you, again, for your time, and I welcome any questions that you may have for me in your consideration of my nomination.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. First, I'm going to hand it over to the Speaker, Adrienne Adams,

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 35 2 and then I'll follow up with some questions myself.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr.

Chair. Welcome, Miss Yoon. Good to see you. I just have a couple of question for you. Have you sought any advice from the Conflicts of Interest Board in connection to any potential conflicts that may arise between your private architectural practice and your

CHRISTINE YOON: I have not as I am terminating my employment with my current office, of course, and will be full-time as a Commissioner.

Should such a conflict arise, I will certainly consult them.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Very good. Thank
you. I noticed that in your pre-hearing questions you
state that matters before the BSA should be
"deliberated upon specific to that site and the facts
presented." What weight should be given to the wishes
of the community? I'm a former Chairperson of the
second largest Community Board in Queens so this is
of particular interest to me. What weight should be
given to the wishes of the community, particularly

2.2

2.3

Thanks.

service on the BSA?

some of the past Councils, there's been actually a

focus a little bit on the BSA. Specifically, one of

my Colleagues who was neighboring to me had focused a

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

37

2 | lot on it and feeling there wasn't a lot of

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 transparency. Obviously, I think not a lot of

4 understanding from the public either about exactly

5 what the function of the BSA is and a feeling that

6 often the decisions were fairly a rubberstamp so can

7 | you talk to us a little bit about how you might

8 address some of those issues but also expand

9 transparency in the workings of the BSA?

understand the workings of the BSA, all deliberations that we have as Commissioners must happen in a public setting so the transparency that exists of any sort of thought process or concerns that I may have personally would be before the public in that setting. I'm sorry. There was an earlier part of the question.

is, and maybe I can just spin off the Speaker's question as well. There were communities, Community Boards, Council Members who had felt often the way that the BSA operated and the votes and the decisions of the BSA often reflected sort of an automatic approval from any of the applications that came before it and so had wished to see something that

reflected a balance between what are the concerns being raised versus the decisions that were coming out of the agency. I remember being at these hearings and this being a big point discussion and also as part of (INAUDIBLE) my neighbor and Council Member so this was things that my community was talking about as well so I guess how does one address as a Commissioner that feeling that sometimes the communities don't perhaps even a basis understanding of the BSA and its approval processes but also maybe don't feel like they are always able to communicate and have meaningful input into the outcomes of the Board?

2.2

2.3

CHRISTINE YOON: I believe that all deliberation that we do have in the public setting needs to clearly address what it is we have the authority to make a decision on and what is under our discretion versus what is statutory or part of code and regulation, and I think providing that transparency in the deliberation is helpful for concerned community members to understand what powers the Board actually does have. Of course, when an application comes before the Board, it's likely been clear to the applicant what discretion and powers the

2 Board does have but perhaps it's an effort for the

3 Commissioners to be clear and transparent as they're

4 deliberating it for the benefit of the larger public

5 and the concerned community. Does that answer your

6 question, Chair?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: It does a bit. I obviously recognize that these are public meetings. I think that also there's a desire to have a better kind of clearer understanding, in fact maybe this means modernizing the agency's mission and its function and its statutory obligations and everything else which I think is perhaps also maybe part of the conversation. The BSA sort of sits in an interesting place when it comes to all the other city agencies. We have City Planning folks here who have I think more of a broadcasted function in terms of what their role is in the ULURP process. It seems like BSA sort of sits in an interesting place which I think also sometimes leaves communities, especially ones, I have a well-resourced community that can hire folks to go and help this process, but often leave others maybe without a clear understanding of that so I think rather than ask another question about it, I think what I implore folks to do is to maybe look at the

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 40 2 agency's overall functions and its role in the whole 3 land use process and figure out a way that the public 4 can better understand what it does and how it might (INAUDIBLE) update the statutory obligations of it. 5 I'm asking a lot of you. You're just one 6 7 Commissioner, but I just say this because I do recall 8 this being part of the dialogue but it gets lost because we so rarely encounter BSA as part of our everyday lives or, no offense to City Planning folks, 10 11 we see and talk about their functions a whole lot so 12 I think it is always a good moment as we're talking 13 to folks who are coming before us to also think about 14 what the role of the BSA is and what its obligations 15 or where the handoff between those two things might 16 occur. With that being said, the Speaker has one more 17 question. 18

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just have one more. Still looking at your pre-hearing questions. Have you looked at or considered or researched the staffing level at BSA right now?

CHRISTINE YOON: I have not.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Around that same issue, as far as your current knowledge of BSA,

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 41 what's your comfort level with your current knowledge of BSA, its function, and its parameters.

2.2

2.3

CHRISTINE YOON: I have a familiarity, of course, with the Board's role in land use in New York City. I have not personally worked on a project that has appeared before the Board. I have had some conversations with some of the staff at the BSA, but I'm very eager to learn more as it's quite premature for me to make any kind of assessment on the staffing or resourcing that currently exists.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We're going to go to Council Member Brooks-Powers who I think also had previous questions for the last panel and then we're going to go Council Member Brewer and Council Member Salamanca.

council Member Brooks-Powers: Thank you so much. First, I'd like to acknowledge Mrs. Nicole Yearwood, someone who I've known for quite some time who has done tremendous work in communities that are underrepresented and underserved so I'm pretty excited about this opportunity to bring those skills into a space that is needed, especially in light of when we see that women of color, especially black

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NICOLE YEARWOOD: Thank you for the question, Council Member Brooks-Powers. That's kind of why I raised the issue of work from home being a challenge. One of the things that I've noticed in the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 43 trends and as a member of a sorority of women's organizations and serving on a Commission that worked with the U.N., understanding that globally the pandemic impacted women more, especially mothers because once schools closed the caretaking of children fell on the mother and this happened during the pandemic so this is part of the reason why women were more impacted by the pandemic in the workplace, just for that reason, for being that person, being that caretaker having to care for their children when schools closed so that was the first thing. The second thing with that is why I would encourage, again, some sort of flexibility because I think that people kind of got used to the flexibility of working from home allows a little bit more space. As long as people are still being productive and getting the job done, getting the work done, I think that we can negotiate that so that the mother who has to care for her child who may have to stay home because the school closed, they can still perform, have access to that employment, and still be able to take care of their children. Again, not having examined the data yet, I definitely encourage flexibility and just understanding the complexity of those issues. I think

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 44 that this is not the first time in history that women have been impacted by this type of thing, when anything happens because we have that caretaking role, it shifts to us and so I think it's just something that's important for the city to really consider to again attract women. Women need to be at the table. Women need to be in these spaces because all of our city agencies are tasked with serving everyone in this city. I just think about an example I saw recently, and this is again the importance of having that diversity and having people present. A nurse told a story, black woman, nurse, and she said that they had a patient, they were on rotation, and another nurse almost recommended a patient for a psych evaluation because this particular patient who was a black woman was patting her head like this. Now, as black women, we know why somebody might be doing this. It could be just because someone is wearing hair extensions, a weave, or a wig. That's all, but, because this person who was not from that culture, she did not realize that this woman was simply patting her head because of her hairstyle and she was literally about to put this person into a position where they would need a psych eval so these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 45 are the kinds of things that having women in the workplace, having women of color in the workplace, this is what we bring, those cultural understandings so I would, again, definitely go for having those conversations, we have to have some sort of flexibility because we need to be there. Also, even having a mother's perspective in the workplace is also helpful. There could be things and issues that come up, I personally don't have children, but I do have nieces and nephews and some godchildren, that I might understand or that a person who has a child may have a deeper understanding of that I may not be considering so it's all about having everyone present at the table, especially in the workforce, in the workplace.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NGOZI OKARO: Thank you so much. In terms of the question, as I said earlier, I think it's very important to have flexibility, but I also think it's important for employees to know that people see them so recognizing that sometimes women, especially women of color, especially black women, are stuck under a glass ceiling. I think it's important to ask people about their ambitions because in doing that you say that there is a future, there are things for you

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 46 here, and then also it gets people to think about what more they might be doing. Another thing that I would think of is to talk to people what do they think gets in the way of them achieving those ambitions because some of the things that we think might be getting in the way may not be the same as people perceive and so, understanding that that might not be possible on a one-by-one basis for the whole city workforce at the same time, I think there are ways that it can be possible. For example, on my team there are 10 of us working. I can't have that type of conversation with everyone regularly and still do the other things that I'm supposed to do so then I've mandated that each employee meet quarterly with our Chief-of-Staff and then that is someone who they can talk to about different things, get some ideas, say what their concerns are, talk about their goals, and then that can filter back to their supervisor or to me so I think that there are ways that we can be creative but it's really important to be in tune with what people want and to let them know that we see them and we think that there is more possibility for them and to help train them as necessary to get to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

both for that and the fact that you're thinking through that lens going into these roles because, in time, things change and so this is important to be able to retain and attract women of color because I know that there are women who have been considered for Commissioner roles and things like that, but, because of the lack of flexibility with the city, we have lost out on some great talent.

Lastly, I'd like to just pivot over to

Miss Yoon. Similar to the Speaker, we share a

neighboring district, and we see quite a bit of land

use exercises happening in our districts so I would

like to understand a little bit more granular in

terms of how you see in your role working with the

Council Members. I know the Speaker focused on the

Community Board which is really important too and the

members of the community, but I'm interested in also

understanding how you would engage with the Council

Members in your role. Then, I would like to know your

thoughts on development as it relates to global

warming, and why I ask that is because I represent

the 31st Council District, which a part of that district is a coastal community. We have significant up-zoning that has taken place there and development underway there right now, and I never really hear City Planning talking about evacuation routes. When you start to change the footprint of a community, especially a coastal community, what that entail does to the resiliency of the community, the ability to evacuate especially in light of Superstorm Sandy so I'd like to know your thoughts around that too. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHRISTINE YOON: Thank you for the questions. As far as how to I can engage with Council Members and the community, to be honest I'm not even clear how frequently that's meant to happen per application or per case, but I am looking very much forward to meeting Council Members and discussing the concerns of that community as applications come up.

As for my feelings about how we should build and think about impacts of global warming on new development and existing communities, of course I feel quite strongly that we need to make a number of changes and New York City, thankfully, has been quite aggressive in adopting new energy codes and policies

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 49 2 that help not just resiliency along the coast but 3 also the other things that impact our floodwaters and 4 our temporary and our carbon footprint. Thinking about it holistically, I believe that as we move towards electrification and renewable resources in 6 addition to considerations of immediate resiliency 8 and long-term planning, I believe that we need to put those all the very forefront of what we're doing, and, again, I feel very fortunate to be a part of New 10 11 York City where some of those measures have already been codified in a way that's been much more 12 13 progressive than some of our counterparts in other 14 cities.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Before we move forward, we have a tour from the School of the New York Times visiting us today so welcome, everyone. Thanks for being here today.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're going to now move over to Council Member Brewer and will be followed by Council Member Salamanca.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. Obviously, the BSA, for those of us in the community, is not a pleasant experience when we end

and honesty about the experience, and I have heard

some similar testimony about appearing before the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 51 2 BSA. Philosophically or as a broader question, of 3 course increasing access points and even just userfriendliness to what the BSA is how it makes its 4 5 votes and what it deliberates over I think is very important to get a better understanding for the 6 7 community and for the public. I cannot speak to any kind of broader strokes of how we would move forward. 8 It's very premature for me to make such a judgement, but I do hear what you're saying and I am very 10 excited to take all of that into consideration as we 11 12 move forward.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. We will now go to Council Member Salamanca.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. First, I want to congratulate all of the panelists on getting to this level so congratulations on your nominations.

My question is just a followup on the BSA questions. I'm a former District Manager before I became a Council Member. One of the things that I disliked the most was when I got mail from the BSA in my Community Board office because I knew that regardless of what recommendations my Community Board had they were going to be ignored because the BSA was

just going to approve the applications. I know that you've been asked these questions, but I'm going to ask again. As a Commissioner, what role are you going to play in ensuring that the communities have access

6 to 1) the meetings that you're having and 2) that

their voices are actually being heard when these

8 various applications are before you?

2.2

2.3

CHRISTINE YOON: Of course, with the actual access to the hearings, right now, as I understand it, it is completely hybrid as we are doing here, and I believe that the hybridization due to the pandemic has actually had the positive benefit of increasing access for people to attend the hearings live. Also, there is access to all of the video footage on YouTube which increases that ability even if you're not able to attend in person or live via some remote call-in, you're able to access all of the deliberation that happens prior to the following day's judgements.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: As a BSA

Commissioner, when you are going to determine or

vote, how do we know that your vote is going to be in

line with recommendations from the local electeds,

Community Boards, and community members?

2.2

2.3

CHRISTINE YOON: It's impossible for me to commit to any alignment when I have to review a case on its specific basis, but, of course, the community recommendation has to be factored into the decision that is made and the discretion that's used by each of the Commissioners.

reputation for being too lenient when applications come before them. I remember Council Member Brewer was Borough President a few years back, we had then Council Member Margaret Chin, and there was an application in front of the BSA, Two Bridges, and the applicant wanted to double the size of the development and the BSA said it was a minor modification. I don't know how doubling the size of a, I don't want to call it a skyscraper, but a huge development, that's considered a minor modification. How would you have voted?

CHRISTINE YOON: It's impossible for me to make an opinion that I am unfamiliar with.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Do you not think that doubling the size of a development is not a minor modification and it should've gone in front a Land Use or a ULURP application?

2.2

2.3

it, it sounds egregious, but I have no facts, I have no context in which to make an opinion about that. I do believe the BSA has some ability to help shape the final outcome of the application, and, ideally, all of the components that go into the application including community recommendations are factored into how we help shape the ultimate approved application if it is approved.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for allowing me to ask my questions.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We have a question from Council Member Sanchez.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you, Chair.

My first question will be Miss Yoon. Miss Yoon, how

did you come to be appointed to the BSA?

CHRISTINE YOON: I haven't been appointed yet. I was nominated by the Vice Chair of the BSA, Commissioner Shampa Chanda.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you.

Second, can you tell us a little bit about your

philosophy? Of course, there's going to be standards

and rules and laws and all of that that you're going

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 55 2 to be looking at as you consider the specific facts 3 of an application before the BSA, but what is your 4 philosophy, what are your priorities? Are there any projects that should be considered differently than others? Perhaps, Council Member Brooks-Powers asked 6 about climate resilience. Do you have a background in 8 affordable housing? Are there any specific philosophical priorities that you would have coming in as a Commissioner? 10 11 CHRISTINE YOON: As you mentioned, I've already described a couple of priorities that I have 12 13 as a person. As an objective Commissioner who needs to remain unbiased in reviewing applications, I hope 14 15 to keep that objectivity, of course, always keeping in mind the idea of the positive evolution of the 16

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you. For the EEPC candidates, Miss Yearwood and Miss Okaro, you've gotten a number of questions from my Colleagues on this front. I feel this is...

city and the context around that certain application.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Council Member, they've actually left, the EEPC candidates have left.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Well, then, I will maybe share this at a different forum. Okay.

3 Thank you, Chair. I really appreciate it.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, Council Member. Without any other questions, we will now move on to the City Planning Commission. Thank you for your testimony and answering questions. You're excused if you would like to leave. Thank you.

Thank you. We're going to now move to City Planning Commission. Just a little bit of background on the City Planning Commission. Pursuant to the New York City Charter, the City Planning Commission must consist of 13 members with 7 appointments which includes appointment of the Chair made by the Mayor, 1 appointment each made by the Public Advocate and each Borough President. All members except the Chair are subject to the advice and consent of the City Council. According to the Charter, the members should be chosen for their independence, integrity, and civic commitment. CPC members other than the Chair are not considered regular city employees, and there's no limitation on the number of terms that a member may serve. However, members are prohibited from holding any other city office while serving on the CPC. CPC has a number of

24

25 RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: I do.

DAVID GOLD: I do.

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: I do.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL OTANO: Thank you. You can proceed.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll allow all of you to make an opening statement. We can start over here and move down. Thanks.

DAVID GOLD: If it's okay with everyone, I'll take my mask off.

Good morning and thank you, Chair Powers,
Speaker Adams, and Honorable Committee Members. I
appreciate the opportunity to address you today. I'm
happy to be considered for the City Planning
Commission.

By way of background, I'm a lifelong New Yorker. I've lived in 3 of the 5 boroughs with my formative years spent on Staten Island and then in Brooklyn. I'm an attorney admitted to practice for 25 years. I completed college and law school, a 7-year program in just over 4 years. I was extremely driven and motivated though by necessity. My family struggled after the death of my father when I was 11 following a 7-year bout with a terminal, debilitating illness. The emotional toll on my mom and my family was huge. The medical bills also wiped us out

low- and moderate-income families, and these were

ideals that I learned at a very early age.

59

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In 1985, at a dedication for low-income housing development in fact, Mayor Koch said about my dad's involvement public service is the noblest of professions if it's done honestly and if it's done well, he does it honestly and he does it superbly. The reason I tell you all of this is because my experiences at a young age really helped shape my life and are responsible in a large part for my success.

Professionally, I spent nearly 20 years evaluating companies' strategic challenges, providing COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 60 problem-solving advice, and doing research for some of the sharpest economic minds. For the last 7 years, I've served on the board of a New York City based bank helping small businesses grow and helping to fund a variety of real estate projects, both residential and commercial so I understand what it takes to make a project work financially, but, at the same time, I have done pro bono work for military personnel and veterans, helping them prepare their affairs and stave off foreclosure. I will never forget the soldier who came to us for help when his home was illegally foreclosed while he was overseas fighting for our country. I also work closely with the dependents of first responders killed or injured in the line of duty, and, as you can imagine, housing becomes a primary concern of a household after losing their breadwinner.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

While I've been able to help on a one-onone basis, joining the City Planning Commission

positions me to take a more systemic approach.

Affordable housing is the number one challenge for

New York City and where I can make a meaningful

impact and better support vulnerable populations. My

life experience combined with legal, financial, and

committee on Rules, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 61
economic training give me a unique perspective that I
can utilize to bolster the invaluable work of the
City Planning Commission.

2.2

2.3

First, my pro bono work related to foreclosure prevention for veterans and others has given me a firsthand look at the housing challenges that persist across the city. Losing your home is extremely scary with significant long-term effects on the entire family.

Second, with over 2 decades of service on non-profit and for-profit boards, I've learned to look at challenges from multiple angles, balancing the needs of various constituencies while building consensus. As a result, my insight into the cost and impact of real estate development, residential and commercial, has grown exponentially.

Finally, I'm extremely interested in helping advance the growth of New York City by ensuring our infrastructure can support increasing access to affordable housing, transportation, and critical services.

I know we have some challenges ahead, but
I also believe there's no other place with the energy
or potential of New York City. We have significant

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 2 need for additional affordable housing, but we also have amazing opportunities with the Mayor's City of 3 Yes Initiatives. I believe the partnership between 4 the Mayor, the Council, and the City Planning Commission can bolster the leadership position of our 6 7 city at a time when there are many who think New York 8 has lost its edge, and I deeply want to help

and ensure its success.

Thank you for the time today, and I'm now available to answer any questions you may have.

facilitate the balanced, equitable growth of our city

62

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll do testimony from all 3 and then ask questions. Thanks.

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you, Chair Powers, Speaker Adams, and Honorable Council and Committee Members.

For context and background, I've lived in Brooklyn for 27 years, from Windsor Terrace to Sunset Park, Brownsville to Fort Greene and now in Bedford-Stuyvesant. I have an 11-year-old son, Kabir (phonetic), who's here with me today. Council Member Hudson, you recently spoke at his PS-11 graduation, and he wanted to see what you did in real life.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I was born and raised outside of Boston in a closeknit extended Pakistani family and community. In terms of family, it was amazing, but I always knew since about Kabir's age that I wanted to live in New York City, a city where I knew I would experience belong instead of mostly feeling like an outsider and that's been 100 percent true. The process of planning the city's infrastructure and strengthening neighborhoods has always fascinated me. My undergraduate thesis was about the transformation of Soho from an industrial sector to a community where art and land use became part of a social moment in the '60s so this feels like a very full circle moment for me.

I've spent almost 30 years deeply embedded in work that invests in New York City communities and the people who live in them. My first job, the summer between my junior and senior years in college, was running an SYEP program Sara D. Roosevelt Park with University Settlement. This was in '94, and the transformation of that park was just the beginning. Young people from the community built the Children's Garden that summer and helped to

2.2

2.3

Festival with their community.

2.2

2.3

After college, in '95, I worked at the then fledgling Time Square Building Improvement

District, and I learned so much about changing the narrative of a place, the power of zoning and community engagement, the power of corporations, and kind of my first peak into what public/private partnerships can do.

My next job was as the first Brooklyn

Outreach Coordinator for another startup organization

called Partnerships for Parks, and I got to know the

432, at the time parks and playgrounds in Brooklyn,

the communities, residents, how the Parks Department

works, the challenge with interagency projects, the

vital role of the City Council. I learned about the

importance of space and place to communities and that

deep engagement that values communities and their

expertise is so crucial to land use decisions.

I was a founding member of the

Brownsville Partnership team in 2008 with the

unofficial/official mayor of Brownsville, Greg

"Jacko" Jackson, who has since passed and is the

greatest mentor, teacher, and friend that I've ever

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 65 had. The Brownsville Partnership evolved into a network of over 2 dozen organizations working together with residents to build on the assets of Brownsville. What I learned in Brownsville, what I have learned everywhere I have worked was to listen and then to bring together residents, government, organizations, and other stakeholders to lean all the way in, moving past discomfort to imagine and help realize a neighborhood shaped by the people who live there.

2.2

2.3

I think it came as a surprise to many people that I left Brownsville in 2015 to join the Executive Team at the New York City Housing Authority as the Director of the Office of Public/Private Partnerships. Besides the connection to the Parks Department, I had never worked in government before, but it was a relatively simple decision actually. Brownsville has a high concentration of public housing, and, after seeing counsel and guidance from many Brownsville residents, I reached out and was offered this position. Public housing, as we all know, is the most affordable housing in New York City. Public housing residents work overwhelmingly in jobs that keep the city moving, but so often we don't

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 66 value public housing the way we value other types of affordable housing. After coming from a career in community organizing, nonprofit management, working at NYCHA was certainly different, and it's one of the best decisions I've ever made. Many of the same strategies that I used to build trust in communities, one-on-one, deep listening, and learning with residents, some ideation, and certainly credibility building with partners were great tools in breaking through, if you will, the intense bureaucracy and hierarchy at NYCHA. My role was to increase NYCHA's external relationships and partnerships. I created and led and independent non-profit organization to serve as NYCHA's innovation escape hatch. I was the founding President of the Fund for Public Housing, an independent 501(c)(3) organization that still exists, of course, and invests in public housing residents and communities to improve the way public housing works.

Then as an independent consultant, I have continued to work with incredible organizations, communities, government stakeholders, all committed to the equitable growth and development of New York City.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

Today, New York City is at a crossroads of epic proportions as we all know. The COVID-19 pandemic has laid bare the deep inequities that face our city demanding a reckoning and deep change. Mayor Adams has put forth a bold and inclusive vision for growth for our incredible city. The plan will require DCP and CPC to center neighborhoods through equitable and imaginative planning across our city, that we partner early and often with the City Council, that deep community engagement moves beyond public hearings. We must respond with a commitment to planning efforts that supports inclusive transformation such as last year's passage of the Racial Impact Study Legislation.

In terms of housing, supply absolutely matters. Empirical research shows that the supply and demand issue is very real and that adding supply is incredibly important as part of the solution to the problem. Every neighborhood needs to contribute in order to solve the housing crisis, and, while we need all types of housing including market rate housing, the city is in desperate need of deeply affordable housing. Marginalized communities and people are suffering the most right now, and every New Yorkers

deserves a safe and affordable place to live.

Mandatory inclusionary housing, a tool the Council passed and is a national model for cities, is essential in holding developers accountable to creating affordable units, but it's not enough. It must be maximized in every community. The ULURP process and the rezonings that will emerge take years. It's critical that the Mayor, Council,

Community Boards, communities, DPC, and CPC work together to speed up the process of creating deeply affordable housing. It will take all of us to creatively reshape policies and protocols, but we can

2.2

2.3

do this together.

In closing, let me say this. I moved to Brooklyn 27 years ago and I have spent, as I said, my career working in or on behalf of New York City communities, the intersection of the physical environment, health, socioeconomic issues, housing, deep engagement, and more. I'm a connector, and I value and get immense joy from relationships above all else. I'm so looking forward to working with the City Council, with all of you. We are partners in the work, we can't do it alone, ensuring an inclusive and equitable growth strategy so that all New Yorkers can

thrive, and, at the end of the day, it is the people, the communities, neighborhoods who are the greatest asset in the City of New York. It's the residents of New York City that we are all accountable to. We are in this today and will benefit from a deep and committed relationship of trust and collaboration.

I'm excited for what we'll do together to support equitable growth and it will really be my honor to serve on the CPC and serve the people of our great city.

2.2

2.3

Thank you so much, and I'm, of course, open to any questions.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you.

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Good afternoon. I
want to start by thanking the City Council's
Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections as I'm
grateful for the opportunity to discuss my nomination
by the Brooklyn Borough President, Antonio Reynoso,
to serve on the City Planning Commission.

Building on 16 years of professional planning work, I'm eager to activate my perspective as an architect and urban planner to help guarantee critical and objective planning and decision making. I bring my experience providing technical assistance

to community-based planners, conducting environmental justice research and advocacy, and advancing climate change mitigation and (INAUDIBLE) planning. I know firsthand how important the CPC is in guaranteeing the rigorous evaluation of proposals, and I've always seen it as a critical space in which to debate public concerns, respond to community needs and priorities, and inspire a dialogue that guides decisions toward achieving the greatest public good.

2.2

2.3

As an academic researcher, my work is focused on conducting scientific investigations to tackle the complexity of the issues affecting cities like New York and design innovative ways to respond with ethical and political commitment. I've learned to ensure that planning includes both comprehensive and strategic initiatives to address structural and procedural conflicts between local community priorities with citywide goals. This includes, for example, ensuring that planning proposals geared to accelerate climate action or build community resiliency also address environmental justice issues in communities that have historically experienced a disproportionate environmental burden from infrastructure, utilities, and (INAUDIBLE) land uses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In nominating me, the Brooklyn Borough President and his staff have shown they trust my perspective on planning issues, granting me the autonomy I need to exercise sound independent judgement. I see myself representing the entire borough of Brooklyn which includes all the individual communities whose voices need to be amplified in the context of citywide planning priorities. Otherwise, efforts to address the overlapping crisis of housing availability and affordability, poverty, public health, and climate change can lead to further displacement and/or concentrate or reproduce inequality. The New York City Charter mandates that the CPC lead the evaluation of research to guarantee a comprehensive analysis of projects' impacts at all stages of the planning process. Per the City Council's recent legislative initiatives, the CPC is uniquely positioned to oversee detailed investigations on the impacts of rezonings on racial equity but also to apply lessons learned from the intended and unintended effects of previous Planning actions and making any needed corrections to ensure the effective and integrity of future decisionmaking.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

2.2

2.3

In addition, the CPC can and should initiate its own plans. This is not limited to Land Use policy in and of itself or strictly confined within the build environment but rather to embrace the opportunities and implications of Land Use decisions on larger domains such as economic development, physical, social, and mental well-being, ecological sustainability and environmental justice to name a few. These are ambitious undertaking that no single body can accomplish alone.

If appointed, I would be honored to join Chair Garodnick and other Commissioners in partnering with the City Council to rebuild trust on the Land Use planning process and strengthen the leadership of the CPC.

Thank you for considering my nomination.

I would be happy to address any questions from the

Committee.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll now move into questions for the nominees. We'll start with our Speaker, Adrienne Adams.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My time here in this hearing is limited so I'm just going to ask one question for the 3 of you, and I

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 73 apologize in advance if I probably won't be able to hear all 3 answers.

2.2

2.3

While most of the recent attention in the Planning Community ha focused on affordable housing, I know that we here in the Council have certainly focused and that is our utmost priority, at least one of them. There are still so many other areas in need that have to be addressed. One, of course, being the economy. Where do you see potential areas of growth or areas that can be developed in New York City's economy that can keep us competitive in the 21st century? We can start with Mr. Gold.

DAVID GOLD: Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Economically speaking, generally of late Fintech,

financial technology, has been particularly sought

after and New York has the talent and the resources,

and that, to me, is an area where I think we need to

spend some more time. Many of the areas have started

to build here in the city, and I think we have the

talent and I think we have the ability so it's one of

the areas that I think actually would be quite good.

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you so much for the question. I think as you've heard that it's incredibly important that community engagement is at

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 74 the core of any decision-making and planning, whether it be housing or economic development, and every neighborhood is different. The assets can be viewed so differently by the people who live there where they're kind of creating the narrative where so often the external narrative of a place is created by external people so there are likely areas of growth in terms of economic development if we asked folks what they're interested in and also what the land can be used for or buildings or infrastructure that can feed into equitable economic development so I'm always going to say let's go and ask people and then see where those connections and inroads can be made based on what people want and what works for our city. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you very much for your question. I'm very excited about it. I think that we are at a historic moment where we're about to have the opportunity attract hundreds of thousands of jobs to New York City that are going to be resulting from the implementation of state climate change legislation. The CLCPA is going to require that we activate New York City, all sectors of the economy, to be able to cater to the adaptation economy. All

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 75 the different services and goods that will be required to update the entire building stock, not to mention the improvement of public infrastructure, across the 5 boroughs. I'm specifically excited about the question because I served on the Land Use, I was appointed by the Governor to serve on the Land Use and Local Government Advisory Panel for the implementation of the CLCPA, and I currently serve in the advisory council convened by the city for offshore wind. In order to do that, we need to plan, and the CPC is uniquely positioned to guarantee that we align our different policies to make that happen, first and foremost that we protect land that is zoned for industrial uses because otherwise we're going to lose that opportunity to manufacture these goods and services forever. We have existing tools to do that, the Waterfront Revitalization Program to name one and a historic undertaking of the Department of City Planning provides the to ensure that projects and proposals are consistent with long-term planning policies to attract the type of infrastructure projects that will allow us to preserve manufacturing, particularly along the waterfront. In addition to that, all of our planning decisions

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 76
should be geared to creating a workforce, increasing
access particularly from low-income and communities
of color to be able to take advantage of these jobs
so that the economic development that can derive from
this can serve as a form of reparation in places
where climate change has had a historic
disproportionate impact in New York City.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I have a round of questions, but I know of a few Members who need to run so I'm going to first go to Council Member Brooks-Powers, Council Member Borelli, and Council Member Hudson, Council Member Brewer, and then I'll come back.

for that. I know that the buzz statement is about affordable housing these days, and it's often, when we talk about affordable housing, that we talk about rental, and I'm interested in understanding the vision around helping families, especially low-income families and communities of color to be able to get a stake in the game and build generational wealth. I think that a part of housing dignity is giving people that opportunity to that American Dream and that is of home ownership. I also have found in my short time

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 77 in the Council, a little over a year, in the Land Use applications that have come before the Council and really directly in my District, there have been a number of unintended consequences is what I like to call it because I couldn't imagine that this was intentional but we saw zoning changes that led to a lot of hotels coming in areas that have no tourist attractions that were converted into shelters, also brick-and-mortar shelters so my District is one of the districts in Queens, I think it's the second, if not the first, most populated in terms of sheltering systems (INAUDIBLE) the zoning process has allowed organizations and entities to be able to build those as opposed to creating opportunities for homeownership so that's an ongoing concern. Then what I often find is that there's been a lack of vision with development in terms of looking at it more holistically so the infrastructure, the roads, the evacuation route, no one in the City Planning team has at all brought up evacuation routes as we look at zoning plans, health infrastructure. These are just to name a few areas that are critical but not really discussed so in your role I would love to have a commitment that you will be more intentional and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

taking into account the community circumstances, and I was so happy to hear you say all communities are not the same because they truly are not, and, as you heard me say earlier to Miss Yoon, I represent a coastal community so we cannot be viewed in the same lens and, as much as my community wants to be a part of a citywide conversation to address the housing crisis, we can't shoulder the burden the same way on a peninsula, a geographically isolated piece of land, so I would really like to hear that commitment from each of you.

2.2

2.3

I would also like to understand what through your own individual experiences are you bringing to the table to be able to tackle some of the things I spoke to, particularly the need for more affordable homeownership, creating more opportunities for generational wealth, especially black generational wealth. I was happy when in the Presidential election it was starting to be discussed. Nothing really came out of it since then, but I would love to hear how you see leveraging these roles to creating that path and then also what you see as ways to mitigate unintentional consequences through City Planning and zoning plans for the city.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DAVID GOLD: First, thank you for the question. Speaking on generational wealth and homeownership, to me, I've spent a lot of my life staving off foreclosure for folks who were in over their head, and that happens for a couple of reasons, but, coming back to the root of it, when I think about the project that I mentioned in my opening statement that my dad was a champion of many years ago, it was a project that was made for low- and moderate-income to be able to actually get homeownership. It was a federally funded program, it was in partnership with our federal government partners, and the concept was to basically make it so that instead of renting folks could get the keys and have their own home and that was really the start of it. Now, as I did a lot more research over certainly the past few years, at some point unfortunately the federal partners didn't come in as heavily as they should've and what ended up happening was it was shifted to essentially a community rental project, but the concept I think very much works. I do think we need help from our federal partners. We need, especially in this environment, an ability to provide low mortgage rates. Mortgage rates are a problem

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 80 right now for everyone. They've really actually tripled as I understand it in the past few months, but let's go one step further. It's not just the mortgage. It's the ability to navigate, to be able to purchase that first home, and everyone has to start somewhere. My personal experience, as I say I've been involved with a bank, and we actually have a program that goes out and teaches in the schools. It teaches how to manage money and how to start to save up for that down payment but also what the resources are that are out there that basically can help you get there because, as I'm sure you know, it's not an easy process. It's not an easy process, both you need the capital but also the ability to get a mortgage. It's a difficult process, and it's just gotten a lot harder so I think there's a lot there. I think that we do need help from our partners, some of the agencies and also our federal partners to help there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The second question, I do believe that every community is different, and I do believe that every community should have their input and have to be looked at with a lens. I think the Commission's role as I see it is to put on that citywide lens so you're absolutely right that there needs to be some

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 81

protections from the Commission for different areas

for sure but obviously taken in balance. I think

about it as my 3 children. Each child has a different

need, and you need to have the community engagement

and understand from both the community and the

Council what the issues are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you for the questions. I'm going to start with a little bit of my personal history and be totally open and honest. I'm 49 years old. I've forever rented. I'm a renter now, and in some periods of time that's been a really great thing. Other periods of time it's a really, really hard thing, but a huge barrier for me has been the down payment, and this I think is true for so many people and so many New Yorkers, particularly low-income New Yorkers, New Yorkers of color, also navigating that process, who gets loans, who doesn't. I don't come from a family that I can say can you help me out with a down payment. We were figuring out how are we going to pay off college loans. That took the priority. My mom bought a house in her 50s. My parents are divorced, but they're like BFFs. It's fantastic. My dad too in his 60s bought a home. It wasn't like they got married, bought a house, had a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 82 kid, and followed that path of generational wealth building. When my mom, who has very severe Alzheimer's, her care became, of course, a priority and when I sold her house, what we decided as a family was that money would go to pay off education debt. That was the decision and the decision of certainly my mom prior to her illness so again I'm a great renter. I pay my rent. I would be a great homeowner and so would many, many New Yorkers. I will be completely honest with you. Sometimes it's like I don't know how I'm going to get there, and I'm somebody with a graduate school degree, have had consistent employment, and I'm constantly aware if that's my experience, what's the experience like for people who are low-income. I talk about this with people all the time. What are we doing to make that easier or to change the system. I just wanted to bring a little bit of my personal experience and the frustration. I go through periods of where I'm like, it's okay, I can be a renter for the rest of my life, like that's okay, and then I think about Kabir, I think about what am I doing so it's stressful and frustrating and, collectively, what can we do to shift that.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2 In terms of the uniqueness of every 3 community, as much as each neighborhood is unique 4 with a unique set of needs and infrastructure as you've said from housing to transit to coastal issues 5 and resiliency to arts and culture and health and 6 education, how are we taking a citywide perspective 8 so that no single community is overburdened. I think that's a really important question to ask. I don't know that I have an answer, but it's incredibly 10 11 important to me that every community and neighborhood 12 is contributing to the growth and development of New 13 York City but that we examine what communities are overburdened in what way and then that leads to your 14 15 question about unintended consequences, and, again, I 16 go back to what was the deep engagement, did it 17 happen, were there those contact points with 18 residents, with communities, and then how was that 19 listened to and applied because unintended 20 consequences, and I so appreciate you saying that, 21 how do we make unintended consequences not intended 2.2 consequences and I think we do that with deep, honest 2.3 engagement and the communication and partnership between CPC, City Council, and communities. Thank you 24 for the question. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you for your questions. I think that this is a really exciting opportunity to discuss the connection between some of the topics that you raised. Affordable housing experts have been advocating for decades for a combined approach to new housing development with preservation efforts through a comprehensive strategy. In other words, building new units is not enough. We really need to address homelessness, prevent displacement, encourage homeownership as you've mentioned, but also (INAUDIBLE) tenants' rights and rent regulation improve vulnerable living conditions to secure safety and address environmental justice and sustainability. In order to do that, we need a central planning muscle that is thinking in that direction. We also need a city that is investing in public infrastructure to achieve the public good. I think that we can also take advantage of the tools by the solidarity economy and economic democracy to not just aim to increase local employment but, as you said, increase local wealth, and I'm talking about community land trusts that can extend the ownership and the control of local communities over land, over infrastructure, over the economy as well as workerCOMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 85 owned cooperatives that can engage New Yorkers, particularly from low-income and communities of color in developing the skills that will be required to take advantage of the hundreds of thousands of jobs that the CLCPA and other legislation is going to bring to New York. Otherwise, they're going to go somewhere else. This is all about planning, and I think that the CPC is uniquely positioned to do that, but, in order to do that, we need to combine the local capacity to plan with comprehensive planning. In order to do that, I think that long-term comprehensive planning and the local capacity to plan need to work in tandem to address imbalances between local priorities and citywide goals, but we can do that by enforcing legislative initiatives that have already been introduced and passed by this City Council. I'm going to focus on 2 concrete opportunities where I see the CPC taking a leading role in this. Number 1, in ensuring that the investigation of the intended and unintended impacts of planning actions in communities are actually achieving its goals and to what extent they're actually resulting in forced displacement. I think that doing that is the first step. The second step

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

should reflect the lessons learned in updating the tools that the City Planning Commission uses for their review of proposals to make sure that we improve the calculations and the projections made to make sure that we strengthen future decision-making, which is the second part.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The second point that I wanted to make has to do with taking advantage of some of the tools. I can think of at least 4 different tools that have been created following legislation from the City Council that are currently disconnected. Going back to the monitoring of the impacts of planning actions, I want to point out how important it would be to have a tool that can overlay the data that has recently been made available showing potential risks to displacement with the rezoning tracker that shows the actual rezoning, the areas that have been rezoned and the commitments that are in place to make sure that as we monitor the impacts of the rezonings on displacement we can ensure whether or not the commitments have been made. Right now, these are 2 separate tools that do not allow the public to see them in context. I think that the other thing that I wanted to point out in terms of the other 2 tools

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 87 have to do with connecting the risks of displacement with rezoning and other planning interventions with climate change. Right now, the tools that have been provided to track coastal flooding vulnerability or heat vulnerability, which are 2 different, disconnected tools, should be interconnected to be able to see again the risk of displacement, current rezonings, and rezoning commitments with a vulnerability to climate change. I mentioned this because if we don't do that, we're not just facing potential threats of forced displacement in the form of gentrification but experience a new type of potential threats in the form of environmental and climate gentrification.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

One last thing that I wanted to say in terms of the personal connection that you raised is that I am accountable to the communities that rely on solid, rigorous research at the CPC, and I believe that the CPC can be activated to do that, but, in addition, it could recognize local priorities that have been recognized by the City Charter through Section 197-A, the 197-A plans, 13 of them, which is a very little number, adopted over the last 3 decades by the CPC that should be used as policy to guide

committee on Rules, privileges and elections 88 decision-making and are currently being ignored. I think that the expertise of the CPC could be activated in partnership with the Department of City Planning, of course, to provide technical assistance to Community Boards in planning for their future, who should be engaged at all phases in the process, not just have an advisory role in making decisions to determine what type of growth, what type of housing should be developed in their communities, where and when. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Can I just say I have a very deep appreciation for your response just now. I do want to say thank you for that in terms of proposing that the Commission look at it through a much more targeted and strategic lens, and I would love to see something like that implemented.

I also want to say that when we look at the citywide view, it's important when we look in communities to also do that birds-eye view because sometimes we may be focused on particular lots to upzone but then we have to think about what's happening surrounding those properties. In terms of housing, one thing I didn't hear which I would love to see, I'm wrapping up now, that I would like to see is that

just like we are making housing available for rental, what I'm hearing from people who are also low-income is that they cannot find housing that's affordable enough to purchase and so the same way that we leveraged these different mechanisms in terms of funding streams we need to prioritize affordable homeownership opportunities so I will end with that. I thank you, Chair, for the opportunity.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We're now going to hear from Council Member Borelli followed by Council Member Hudson.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Thank you. Can you all just individually summarize your thoughts on single-family home zoning and whether you think there's an appropriate place for it in New York City?

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you. Hi.

Thanks for the question. I do think that there's a place for it, and I believe that we are in need of all types of housing in New York City and I go back to what I've said before about what do communities want, and it's incredibly important to engage communities and listen to what communities want and then figure out how to deliver on that. That's my perspective, that communities are really unique, have

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 90
2 unique needs, wants, desires. There's no uniform
3 assumption I don't think that should be on the
4 desires and needs and wants of communities, that deep

5 engagement is really the answer and then how do we

6 respond to that.

2.2

2.3

DAVID GOLD: Follow that by saying I do believe there's a place for single-family.

Essentially, I think the characteristic of the community is super important. It's not only what does the community want but what does the community need based on the resources that are there and it's really the characteristics. It's what fits, what are the community members used to and what do they think is appropriate for the neighborhood, but I do believe there's a place.

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you for the question. I think that I very much understand the importance of recognizing and acknowledging the importance of single-family zoning in neighborhoods that rely on it. I think that oftentimes we make assumptions about who lives in these communities and we tend to think that these are high-income communities and that there's privilege that is associated with it and in many cases we're actually

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 91 talking about working-class families that, as I said, require them and that the affordability that we're trying to protect can be deeply threatened as soon as there's a mapping initiative to begin identifying underutilized land or as soon as the Department of City Planning announces a rezoning study to understand the issues. This creates environments of speculation that can hurt them. I think that, as my Colleagues have mentioned before, every community has specific needs, and I think that we need to be very careful about making these decisions, particularly in the lack of a comprehensive planning framework, but, again, the CPC is uniquely positioned to partner with the City Council, again building on legislation that you've introduced to develop the data-driven methodologies to understand how do we actually make decisions to balance what the Council Member was talking about in terms of identifying conflicts and addressing potential imbalances between local priorities and citywide goals. I do feel that every community has to contribute to accommodating growth, but that growth needs to happen in places where the infrastructure has been built. I think that accommodating growth or rezoning shouldn't be used ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

1

3

4

J

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Professor, you led into my next question which is in areas of low density where there's an effort to rezone for higher density, should the rezoning come first or should the infrastructure required to handle populations come first?

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you. I can answer that question if that's okay with my colleagues. I believe that the infrastructure improvements need to be built first as a matter of principle because we have to take into account histories of disinvestment, segregation, and other forms of discriminatory planning practices that have left several communities in New York City behind, places where the infrastructure used to exist but has been lost, and, therefore, the need for the infrastructure shouldn't be used to justify the rezoning but the infrastructure should be built and growth should be considered afterward. I also just want to say that it shouldn't be the city deciding where growth should go, but, again, developing the local planning capacity so communities can decide what type of growth they want, where they want it, and when they want to build it.

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you for the question. I tend to agree with my colleague about infrastructure and looking at the history of infrastructure investment, but we also know that rezonings historically have taken a really long time and so are there aspects of rezonings that can happen in tandem so it's not necessarily build the infrastructure then think about the rezoning because that could just extend the time really, really, really far, particularly for communities that don't have the infrastructure. I think also really important is to look creatively at what is in included in those rezonings and in development and how we're creatively using space and then again, and I know I sound like a broken record, but I really, really mean it, what do communities want. What is that deep engagement from communities who are the experts on what they need and what they want and how is that being incorporated? I think it's incredibly important that the infrastructure is there. I think it's less of a sequential, completely linear process, and that there can be overlap and should be overlap with an eye towards efficiency.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: I'm just

2.2

concerned because we're building some mass transit infrastructure now for 30 years, and we've seen development around that so my cautionary urging is that we do in some ways are stricter towards ensuring the infrastructure happens in a timely manner before

we just allow development. I'm sorry. Mr. Gold.

DAVID GOLD: Thank you. I guess I would preface my answer with the fact that I grew up on Staten Island waiting for that bus that you never knew when it was coming, this was before the internet, and also waiting for the one train that we had out there so I would agree I think it's an areaby-area decision but it really is a function of what the community is goaling for and really what are the needs. In some areas, there were a lot more drivers. Right now by nature, they have to be there but, candidly, the infrastructure does have to be looked at and be figured out before you can overburden the roads.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Thank you.

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Can I add something

24 to my response?

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Of course.

2.2

2.3

that I don't think that we should increase growth just because we can. I think that there are places where we shouldn't be increasing density, and I want to emphasize places that are extremely vulnerable to coastal flooding, where infrastructure improvements can be created to create that as long as the private sector can afford it, but I don't think that that's good planning.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We're going to go to Council Member Hudson followed by Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you. I just have 2 questions, one for Mr. Gold and then another for everyone.

You shared a lot about your personal upbringing and then obviously your professional path so I'm wondering, and I saw in your CV that you've refereed state foreclosure proceedings so I'm wondering is your orientation to preventing foreclosures and keeping people in their homes or is to the opposite?

DAVID GOLD: Thank you for the question. I got involved refereeing foreclosures at the ask of a

1 | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

2 judge on Staten Island to basically make sure the

3 process was fair, and the referee's role on a

4 foreclosure is making sure, maybe (INAUDIBLE) can

5 | speak a little bit more about it, but basically

6 making sure that everything is done properly, that

7 | the I's are dotted, and, in many cases, as you may

8 | imagine, the paperwork gets sent back and it becomes

9 a process where they do have to do the right thing.

10 They basically have to have hearings and conferences

11 | with the homeowners so actually, as I said, what

12 | brought me into the process was the request and part

13 | of that was my life experience, both working with

14 | folks and actually, personally, my family being

15 | foreclosed when I was a young child. It's something,

16 | as you can imagine, it's been a thread through my

17 life.

18 COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Yeah. I guess I

19 was just trying to reconcile what you've shared as

20 your personal experience with then your professional

21 | path.

25

22 DAVID GOLD: Sure. Professionally, let me

23 | rewind for a second. I graduated law school and went

24 on to do 2 things professionally. I ended up taking a

job at a small business, small bank, and I worked

there for many years up until a few years ago, but at the same time I spent at varying times between 20 and 50 percent of my time doing non-profit work and basically volunteering and helping, as I said, much of the time with the military veterans who were coming back overseas and had issues. Before they left, we helped them to put banks on notice so that they couldn't touch their house and should stop the payments, and, when they came back in the cases where the banks had not followed the law and hadn't listened and foreclosed someone who is overseas, who couldn't have been noticed, we stepped up to act to try to get those back and work on that.

Second, with the families of first responders, generally who were killed in the line of duty, some who were injured in the line of duty, but, as you might imagine, often that's the breadwinner in the house, and the first challenge is we're a family, we need to figure this out and so some of that is actually asking whether it's the landlord, whether it's the bank who holds the mortgage to hold off, give us a little bit of time to figure this out because this family really needs the help and they're

2.2

2.3

yes, absolutely. In appointing me, the Brooklyn

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Borough President has recognized the autonomy that I
3 require to make sound and independent judgement.

COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you.

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thanks for the question. Yes, I'm very aware that I'm a Mayoral designee, but I think part of the reason that I was nominated is because of me as an independent person, and I think as you've heard and we've discussed I really do feel empowered to make those independent decisions. At the end of the day, for me, what is most important is working together in partnership that equitable growth and development really means equitable growth and development across the city, that every neighborhood is contributing, every neighborhood is benefiting, that we are creating alignment, and that I weigh any recommendation in the context of what is best for the people of the City of New York and for communities so I feel very empowered to make that statement and to carry that through in voting.

COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you.

DAVID GOLD: I would say I definitely feel empowered to be independent. A couple of things. One, when this position first came up I went to read the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 100

Charter, and the word that stood out in my mind was integrity. It's basically a key characteristic of the Charter. Second to that, in my life, as I've said,

I've been on a number of boards, some non-profit, one for-profit, and often, in many cases, I'm not the Chairman, I'm a voice in the room fielding and bridging consensus if you will and taking in the views of the different stakeholders, and, candidly, the Chairman often has a big stick if you might imagine. I have always shown my independence, and I think that's one of the reasons that I've been put forward.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll hear

from Council Member Brewer followed by Council Member

Sanchez and I will do a round of questions next.

much. As Borough President, I think I did 200 ULURPs so I have a sense, more than the rest of the boroughs combined, and one of the questions that keeps coming up is preplanning before you end up with a situation at the Community Board or even at the City Planning, etc., planning so I'm wondering what your positions, obviously it may not be for a single but for everyone

of these rezonings. Some of them, obviously the one that Dan Garodnick and I did on East Midtown it was a commercial so it was easier, but preplanning produced positive result so my question is what's your position? We tried to get into the Charter, and it was denied, into the Charter Revision Proposal by then Mayor De Blasio.

2.2

2.3

Number two is do you think there are some aspects of the ULURP process that could be improved because you will be very much involved as I have been for 8 years and 12 years before. Those are my 2 questions.

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: I can start. Thank
you so much for your questions. I also just wanted to
say that, Council Member Brewer, when I came to New
York to start working as an urban planner in 2006, I
was greatly inspired by your leadership in fighting
for democratizing data. I think that a lot of what I
said today and I've written in the documents that
I've submitted are inspired by the opportunity to
take control over the research and secure that these
debates happen at the CPC.

I think that one of the big opportunities that we have in front of us which is not new, in fact

the effort that you co-facilitated with then Council
Member Reynosa as part of Inclusive City pointed this
out, the CPC can be activated to, per the Charter
mandate in terms of providing guidelines for
planning, take a stronger role in formalizing
discussions that take place precertification process
so that the public can know about them beyond the 30day window that is now allowed and formalize
otherwise private agreements that are impossible to
implement and to enforce so that's one.

2.2

2.3

Two, the CPC should oversee the analysis and research that the Department of City Planning does throughout the planning process, not just after proposals have been certified, which includes making sure that draft environmental impact statements are accurate and support the Department of City Planning in engaging with the developer in conversations to make sure that the quality and the accident these studies is complete before ULURP starts, another recommendation that Inclusive City has made.

Perhaps the most important one, I think, has to do with really elevating the importance of the 197-A plans because these are documents, as you know, that reflect decades of work in some cases that are

being completely ignored and could actually be used as policy to inform and guide decision-making throughout the ULURP process and where the CPC can actually take an important role in that. In terms of changes to the ULURP process that I think could happen, of course I would aim to change the City Charter so that Community Boards can have a binding vote in the ULURP process. That is a much larger transformation, again something that has been proposed for decades, but something very easy that we could do from the City Planning Commission is really acknowledge that these plans exist, use them, and elevate their contribution to Land Use Review.

2.2

2.3

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you so much for the question. I want take time echoing so much of what my Colleague said that I agree with. What I will say is that I think that my answer is similar to both questions on preplanning and improvement on ULURP, which is they're both incredibly important and I think that not enough residents and communities have access or know about what are the processes and what is involved and how can they be involved in either of those lanes so ULURP, there's lot of people that I've talked to where have heard but like what is it so how

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 104 can we improve the CPC specifically, the initial communication on just what is it, what does the CPC do, what does the DCP, and then, again for both questions, what is that deep engagement. As a member of the CPC, whether it's customary to do or not, that really deep hanging out and being available in partnership with the City Council, I think, is incredibly important to constantly have an open door and how are we partnering so that folks are involved in both of those processes. That creates better planning. That creates better policies, protocols, and better democratization not just in data but of processes.

2.2

2.3

DAVID GOLD: I would say a couple of things. One, earlier community involvement can only be a benefit, and, candidly, as one looks at the ULURP process, yes there are hearings at the Community Board level but to someone's earlier question and answer, many folks either don't know about it or don't go to the Community Board hearings so having more deeper community engagement I think is important at an early process because I do think that many people feel that at the time they find out about it or see it or learn about it the decisions have

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 105 already been made. It's just way too late. I think that would be a spot where there is room there.

2.2

2.3

Second, more broadly, it does feel to me, and maybe this is early and you've looked at, as you said, about 200 of them, that the ULURP preprocess is taking quite a while and we do need the housing so I think it's a balance. I think it's a balance. I think we need to have more engagement, earlier engagement, and that could actually potentially accelerate the process because now the community has buy-in and interest and working with the Council Members and working with the community you get to a finished product that is perhaps much more both acceptable and fitting to the community.

Manhattan is a different animal from the other boroughs, just completely different because we're very built up, we deal with air rights, we don't know that they're being transferred. That's another discussion that we could have. Same thing with the lot mergers. Same thing with some of the mechanicals. We've done all 197-A. I don't know if there's anything left to do on 197-A. We could do them again, but they're not binding. We know that. I put a lot of

time into improving the Community Boards, not every
Borough President has done that, I'll be honest with
you, so you need to, in giving them more power which
I am for, they have to be better appointed so there's
a lot moving parts to all of this, but there's only
one issue in New York City, real estate, real estate,
and real estate so, if appointed and nominated and
accepted, you have a really important job in front of
you. Anna Levin was my appointment for 8 years, and I
have to say she's the best, and there's some good
people on it now, but I congratulate you to getting
to this point and I have to say of all the
appointments yours is the most important today. thank

2.2

2.3

you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We're now going to go to Council Member Sanchez who I think is joining us on Zoom.

council Member Sanchez: Hello. Thank you so much, Chair, and congratulations to all 3 of you on your appointment. I just want to do a quick editorial note because I know 2 of you, and I want to speak to that real quick. Rasmia, I had the opportunity to work with Rasmia while she was at NYCHA and was just always impressed with how much she

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 107
2 centered resident voices and how much she really
3 wanted to accomplish in that role while she was
4 there. I just want to appreciate, and I know some of
5 your previous work and where your intentions come
6 from so congratulations on being here today,

recommend you to my Colleagues.

2.2

2.3

Professor Osorio, it's so great to see you. To my Colleagues, I would say that Juan Camilo is one of the most brilliant minds we have right now in New York City planning in our generation. He's one of the most thoughtful planners that I've met. I'm really glad that the Borough President has appointed you to be on here and that you're before us today.

I just wanted to start with those points and encourage my Colleagues that you both are really wonderful.

Mr. Gold, I haven't had the honor and the pleasure of meeting you just yet but appreciate your responses today and looking forward to getting to know you.

I just have one question. It's a sort of scenario-based question. The Land Use projects that come before you at the CPC are difficult. They're difficult choices. There's never a clear answer. It's

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 108 always contextual so would you share with us here what would drive your own decision-making, which you have said that you feel could be independent no problem, but what would drive your decision-making process in cases where serious priorities, big priorities, conflict. For example, there isn't enough affordability on a project for what the neighborhood is asking for, but there would be good jobs created that pay well and are union and whatever and the project itself, the development itself, is a beautiful contextual project. On the flip side, there's a different project where it does reach deep affordability levels, but the community is asking for good jobs with benefits and that's not a part of it, etc. There's a million ways that this all can go. Can you just talk to us about how you would weigh opposing priorities in these situations and how we can expect you to navigate? DAVID GOLD: First, thank you for the question, and, unfortunately, we haven't had the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity.

As I think about it, community engagement and involvement is step one so there are, to your

pleasure to meet just yet but I look forward to that

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 109 2 point, if you had competing priorities, first it's what is the community saying, what is the community 3 4 putting forward, what do they need, what are their needs. Second, as I think about that, there's 5 involvement of our partners on the ground, which to 6 7 me is City Council, the City Council person for the community also generally has a really good ear and a 8 good eye and good knowledge so if there are competing interests, I think about it as the community first 10 11 and should have the loudest voice but, with that, the Council Member that they put forward also has really 12 13 that on the ground important knowledge to help bring 14 it home.

From a broader perspective, of course everything has to be looked at with a citywide lens, that's the job of the CPC, but the neighborhood, to me, speaks first and the community involvement is just so important to the process. I would put a lot of written testimony there.

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you for the question, and it's wonderful to see you and congratulations in everything that is going on in your life.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

There are going to be competing priorities. Planning, and you know this, is such a complex issue even within communities, what the priority is, and you've heard me say this before. My whole career has been about listening to communities and respecting that people who live and work in communities are the experts on what is important to them. They are planners. Bringing together sometimes unlikely stakeholders, sometimes folks who don't like each other, it's incredibly that we do that to really get to what the priorities are for communities. For me, community voice, community engagement, that's the most important thing.

Then, I think if there are competing priorities, it's also about how do we take a goal and have that be the center rather than ego, rather than politics, how do we get everyone to work towards a goal, and there may be priorities that are sequential so I think it's less about saying this is the single priority and none of these other things matter but what's the sequence in which other priorities can be woven into the process and being explicit and open about that. Is it about what resources can be leveraged first? Is it about incremental steps that

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 111 can be made? Be explicit about it. Here are the goals, here are the deliverables, here's how we're going to meet them, but, for me, absolutely community voice is the most important thing. Thanks for the guestion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you so much, Council Member, for your words. They mean a huge deal to me. Thank you so much for your question as well. It gives me the opportunity to emphasize one more time the importance of being able to create a comprehensive planning framework, to be able to do exactly what you just said. Balance the conflicts, balance the imbalances between locally established priorities and citywide goals. If we had that, a data-drive methodology to be able to assess this and make the most out of the tools that we have because they're not perfect. We make decisions based on calculations and projections, but we don't know the outcome. It is only now, thanks to recent legislation, that we'll be able to actually observe and measure and monitor the impacts of planning actions, but if the CPC, for example, doesn't take advantage of the current opportunity to use these reports and updates the (INAUDIBLE) manual, update

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 112 the tools that we have to make these projections then we would be missing the opportunity. I think that this is another way of saying that we require local planning to be strengthened which requires, as an urgent priority, because if we have the comprehensive planning framework but we don't have strong local planning capacity then we're only halfway there. In strengthening the local capacity to plan, to articulate local visions and priorities, we need to provide technical assistance so that those plans are in place, and I'm not just talking about the Community Boards but also acknowledging other types of community-based planners across all sectors of the city. I have most worked with the environmental justice movement, but these plans are everywhere. However, they're rarely consulted and oftentimes ignored so having both in place is critical but, because the decisions shouldn't just be reacting to proposals that come from outside, should actually be inspired and guided by the local priorities that have already been articulated.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In that, I want to say a few things about what the space that you allude to currently looks like. Right now, as Colleagues mentioned, these

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

much. I know this hearing has been going on for a

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you so

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 114 2 while, but just to comment that I want to appreciate 3 how much you all are giving weight to community voice 4 but also we know that there are going to be times 5 where community voices, one that's being an exclusionary community, one that is rejecting 6 7 affordable housing, one that is rejecting 8 opportunities for others and so there's always going to be that balance. Those are things that will come up in your deliberations. The City Planning 10 11 Commissioners have leverage so when these conflicts 12 do come up, I completely appreciate all your 13 responses but you will have the opportunity to push 14 those applicants to make changes so it's helpful to 15 hear how you're going to be thinking about that. 16 Thank you, Chair. 17

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Thank you,
Council Member Sanchez. I'm going to now have a few
questions, some followups from earlier. Thank you all
for taking the time. I want to just go through some
testimony and other questions that came up and just
ask some followups.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm just going to start with Miss

Kirmani-Frye. In your testimony, you had mentioned

it's critical the Mayor, Council, communities, DCP,

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 115

and CPC work together toe speed up the process of

creating deeply affordable housing. Can you give me

some specific examples you might recommend to do

2.2

2.3

that?

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thank you for the question and for being here. I think, and this has come up in some of my other responses, that rezoning takes a long time. The ULURP process can take a long time. I don't have specific solutions, but I think that how we can shorten that time should be a priority for both the DCP and CPC to really talk about, evaluate, and put recommendations forward so that's my short answer.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Just to follow up on that. For instance, would you be supportive of speeding up the ULURP process in projects where there's a certain percentage of affordable housing that is included in the project?

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: I don't want to commit to agreeing to something specifically, but as a concept and philosophically, yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Osorio, when you were talking to Council Member Borelli, his question about infrastructure, which

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 116 should come first, infrastructure versus development, you had noted that you think the infrastructure should come first, is the way I heard it. I think that the Colleagues sitting next to you had sort of made a note that that could potentially leave projects decades, when we're talking about infrastructure we're using a vague concept, but certainly it might include mass transportation, it might include sewer systems, it might include many other things that folks would consider infrastructure yet leave many neighborhoods potentially undeveloped or underdeveloped or not meeting their affordable housing goals under the guise of waiting for infrastructure to come first which I think was probably the point of Council Member Borelli's question so I just want to revisit that for a second. How do you respond to that, that there would be potentially, as I said the candidates sitting next to you had noted the tension that takes place on those 2 items so how do we do infrastructure first if that potentially leaves us with swaths of the city that would be under- or undeveloped?

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you for your

question. I appreciate the opportunity to clarify and

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Just a followup on that. From all the candidates, we've heard a lot of

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 1 118 2 talk about community input and the need for 3 incorporating the community's feedback, but I think often we find communities at odds with the 4 developmental world when it comes to things like 5 affordable housing, when it comes to projects that 6 include infrastructure upgrades so that growth in 8 areas where there might be transient housing, schools, things like that. This is a question for all 3 of you I suppose. How do you approach that when the 10 11 community, when there's a project that is meeting the 12 citywide goals or meeting the regional goals when it comes to housing, maybe providing other amenities and 13 14 upgrades as well versus what the community is saying. 15 I think for Mr. Osorio, particularly being a BP's 16 recommendation, he also will have a part of the 17 process in the projects that come from Brooklyn so 18 how do you also handle and account for his feedback 19 which comes before you in the process when evaluating 20 and analyzing and ultimately voting (INAUDIBLE) support or oppose a project? I guess we can start 21 2.2 with you and then go down to your colleagues. 2.3 JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you for the

question. I appreciate that. It gives me an

opportunity to say that oftentimes we make

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Can I just follow up with that? Then I'll come to the other guys. Don't both exist though? Isn't there a trend of opposition against new development in communities throughout the city, whether you go into Midtown Manhattan which I represent to Staten Island to other areas of the

city. There is a frustration of process undoubtedly, but I do think you solve for some of those frustrations, you make the ULURP process longer or you have a pre-ULURP process, you do comprehensive planning, stuff like that. To me, that will not necessarily unlock support entirely from communities. I do think it will relieve pressure from them and potentially open up opportunities for more input in identifying sites for things. To be fair, I don't want to let you off the hook for that answer. I think that there is a tension that still exists where there is an opposition to growth and we have to unlock that. Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

Want to respond to what you said because I said with what you said. This exists, this is real, and this is part of the planning process, but I think that's what the CPC is there for too. We all have very specific roles in ULURP, but the CPC is there to produce and oversee the type of research required to make sure that all of these perspectives are heard and at the end of the day that we have a system to be able to balance them. I also just wanted to say just as you point out that there are places that may necessarily

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 121 have very fixed positions on this that there are other places where communities are not just against development, but they have their own version of what development could look like and that's important too. The CPC is uniquely positioned to be able to facilitate that process.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I appreciate that.} \\$ Thank you.

RASMIA KIRMANI-FRYE: Thanks for the question, and that last point was actually my first point in my head so I totally agree with my colleague and I 100 percent agree with you that that is the reality too. Whether it's Midtown or Coney Island or wherever that there is that tension even within communities of folks in communities who are defining growth one way, other folks who are defining it another way, and then there's a whole tension about no we don't want growth, and I think like so many terms, and this may sound too academic but I don't think that it is, how are communities defining growth. I think people hear it and assume that it also means, just like we may rush to the assumption of skepticism, communities hear growth and what do they think that means. Do they think that it means

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 122 immediately gentrification, being priced out, or can it mean that there is an openness to have conversations about what growth means in communities? I do think that there are processes that could kind of speed up that discussion, and we're always going to be burdened, I think appropriately, within many communities a healthy mistrust of planning processes, I mean pick your government agency, a mistrust of that particular workflow or system and how do we begin to build back the trust. It happens every day. You take one step forward, one step back, you keep showing up, you keep having the conversations, but I think so often if we can move closer to co-governance and co-creation and having these honest conversations we can get through that frustrating point, but it's

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks.

always going to be there.

DAVID GOLD: I think that answer sort of starts and ends with the word compromise because at the end of the day I think the community, as I've said, is very important, but, to your point, there may be some communities that don't want to do their part. Every community really has to do their part, and in a perfect world everyone accepts that and

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 123 understands it. I think at the end of the day the role of the process really is to balance that. It's to balance the concept that there are some who don't want to do enough on the one hand and on the other hand there are needs for the city, affordable housing and otherwise, so as I think about the process I think the process has a number of opportunities, community input is super important, I think we've all said that and I think we can all agree on that, but there's also the Borough President's lens, there's also the Council Member's lens, there's also the CPC, and there's also the Council, and it seems to me that many of the ULURPs, basically at the end if they don't get to the right answer they do get modified by the Council and they do come back so there is room in there and I think much of the process has to basically think about it from the standpoint of a good compromise is better than a loss on both sides.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Just to follow up on that, I don't disagree with the idea that compromise in a process sort of can create tension to create a compromise although it feels like that's not the case these days. It seems like there is a change in terms

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 124 of how few folks view compromise and whether that is the goal so how does one address that?

DAVID GOLD: Say that again.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: How do you adjust for the fact that whether in the process or in the process that exist or in the policymaking about how to, if compromise would be the goal, that it seems like that perhaps is not.

DAVID GOLD: I think it's a couple of things. I think one, the role of Commissioner is working with the Department of City Planning to be sure that there's the proper mindset, if you will.

Second, thinking about the Commission as a whole with 12 or 13 members there's always that balance of compromise and making sure that there's enough different viewpoints in the room so one wants to have that discussion, one wants to invite the discussion, and certainly wants to know what the viewpoints are, but, at the same time, just like the different development folks versus the antidevelopment folks even in the room on the Commission, I believe you have enough different viewpoints where you do work to get to a healthy compromise, and I think folks have

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 125 to want to do it. I think I can raise my hand and say I want to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I'll leave it at that since we have such a captive audience here of people still paying attention.

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Can I add something to that question? Thanks, again, for the question. I just wanted to emphasize that the CPC should be a space for debate where these different opinions should actually sort of enter dialogue. That's actually one of the main problems that I see right now with the process which is that in hearings rarely there's a conversation between the community, the applicant, and the Commission in engaging in the complexity of understanding where those compromises actually lie and to what extent they can be enforced. I wanted to just say that creating a transparent process where everybody can relate to the methodologies and see how we're making decisions in a way that those compromises are clear in terms of who's winning and who's not and then how do we compensate for that actually is what builds that trust. In many cases, when we increase the capacity for development, we're creating a lot of wealth out

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 126 thin air, and I think at the end of the process, this is about how do we make decisions, how do we direct investments which should be based on need, and to what extent a lot of this value can be recaptured so that everybody can win in this way.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Thank you, guys, for answering, especially thank you for your patience (INAUDIBLE) and congratulations to you on your nomination. This is, without question, one of the most important city agencies that we have and just your appointments hopefully will further that, but I think you all are very qualified and your answers have given a lot more confidence to all of us that you have thought about these questions and have taken this job seriously so I want to congratulate you all on your nominations and thank you for spending your morning/afternoon with us as well.

Thanks.

With that, we are adjourned. Thank you, guys. [GAVEL]

2.2

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date August 22, 2022