CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

06/14/2022

Start: 12:45 P.M. Recess: 2:39 P.M.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING, VIRTUAL ROOM 2

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCILMEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
Erik Bottcher
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Farah N. Louis
Francisco P. Moya

Lynn Schulman Shahana Hanif Julie Won

Lincoln Restler

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Richard Lobel
Attorney, Applicant, Wetherole Street

Domenic Recchia
Applicant, Wetherole Street

Rebecca Davoudian
Applicant, Wetherole Street

Jay Goldstein Attorney, Applicant 77-39 Vleigh

Justin Sherman Architect, Applicant 77-39 Vleigh

Kevin Williams
Applicant 77-39 Vleigh

Emanuel Yelizarov Resident

Rabbi Itzhak Yehoshua Resident

Diana Rachnaev Resident

Eduard Yagudayev Resident

Nathan Rubinov Resident

Richard Lobel

Attorney, Applicant, 41 Summit Street

Frank St. Jacques Attorney, Applicant, 11th Street and 34th Avenue

David Nidus
Executive Director, Andromeda Community
Initiative

Ferasi Juste[sp?]
Employee, Andromeda Community Initiative

Richard Khuzami
President, Old Astoria Neighborhood
Association

Larry Wilson
Member, 32BJ Labor Union

Ceima Perkins President, Our Children

Brendan Leavy Member, Queens Chamber of Commerce

Councilmembers Abreu, Carr, Schulman, Hanks, Louis,

25

- 2 Councilmember Hanif, Councilmember Restler,
- 3 Councilmember Moya.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: And Councilmember Schulman, if you didn't say...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, I said Councilmember

Schulman. Thank you Counsel. Today we will for a rezoning proposal for 98 Third Avenue in Brooklyn and 4541 Furman Avenue in the Bronx that we heard by the Subcommittee on May 31. We will also hold public hearings for three rezoning proposals in Queens for Wetherole Street and 67th Avenue, 77-39 Vleigh Place, and 11th street and 34th Avenue, and one rezoning proposal in Brooklyn for 41 Summit Street. Before we begin, I recognize the subcommittee counsel to review the hearing procedures.

I am Angelina Martinez-Rubio, counsel to the subcommittee. Members of the public wishing to testify where else to register for today's hearing.

Do you wish to testify and have not already registered, please do so now by visiting the New York City Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/LandUse to sign up. Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's

is on before you begin speaking.

2.2

2.3

website. If you need an accessible version of this, or any of the presentations shown today, please send an email request to LandUseTestimony@Council.NYC.gov. When called to testify, individuals appearing before the subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the Chair to speak. Applicant teams will be recognized as the group and call first followed by members of the public. When the Chair recognizes you your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic

Public testimony will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing here before the subcommittee, you might email it to, again,

LandUseTestimony@Council.NYC.gov. Please indicate the LU number and/or project name and the subject line of your email.

During the hearing Councilmembers with questions should use the Zoom race hand function which appears at the bottom of either your participant panel or the primary viewing window. Councilmembers with

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 questions will be announced in order that they're

3 raising their hands, and Chair Riley or I will then

4 recognize members to speak. Witnesses are requested

5 to remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair,

6 as Councilmembers may have questions for you.

Finally, there will be pauses over the course of this meeting for various technical reasons and we ask that you please be patient as we work through any issues. Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Counsel.

Today we will vote to approve LU65 and 66 relating to the 98 Third Avenue rezoning proposal in Councilmember Restler's district in Brooklyn. The proposal includes a zoning map amendment to rezone the existent M1-2 district to an R7D/C2-4 and R6-V district, and the related zoning text amendments for the MIH program area utilizing option one and two. Councilmember Restler is in support of this proposal. And I would just like to recognize councilmember Restler to give any brief remarks regarding this proposal. Councilman Restler?

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Thank you Chair Riley, and thank you to all the members of this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

subcommittee. This was yet another rezoning proposal that certified during my predecessors tenure, and so we didn't have the opportunity to shape it in... in the ways that I would have fully hoped. But we did work closely with the applicant and ... and reached an agreeable outcome. This is the last fully M-zone block in Boerum Hill, and this site in particular is a gas station that's been rezoned to allow for mixed use development. The developer has agreed to go beyond the MIH requirements, and I believe 31% or 32% of the units will be affordable housing with an average of 68% AMI, across the affordable units. It's a relatively small project: Just 29 units in total, with commercial ground floor. We've talked to the developer at length about trying to prioritize fresh produce and some sort of market of some kind on the ground floor if that's feasible, though this isn't a particularly large site.

And we've really prioritize the needs of the longtime community in the area as well. So in addition to the developer exceeding the MIH requirements, they have committed to making a consequential contribution to the Trust for Public Land to upgrade public space at the adjacent NYCHA

2.2

2.3

development across the street that will serve the whole community. It's critically important to me that as we allow for yet further rezonings and greater density in our community, that developers take responsibility for investing in the infrastructure of our community as well, whether that be park and playground space, or sewer infrastructure, or school capacity, or whatever the case may be, we need developers to help our community meet our growing needs as they contribute to that very growth. So I'm pleased that we were able to achieve a reasonable outcome here. And it was a rather to kind of tense negotiation, but... but it... it worked itself out.

I'd like to thank the applicant, Mr. Wolf and their team, Eric and Ryan and others, for bearing with me and our team. And I especially want to thank my Chief of Staff, Marian Alexander, who in all things is just superb, and our Vincent Wally, who does our land use and is also our, you know, is just a tremendous member of our team and did a great job navigating this one. And lastly, I just want to appreciate Brian Paul... recognize Brian Paul, from

2.2

2.3

isn't here.

the Land Use Division for his assistance in helping us work through this.

So thank you to all of the... to my colleagues.

Sorry for dragging on a little bit there, but I just wanted to recognize the people who helped make this all happen.

Restler. Thank you. We will also vote to approve with modifications LU63 and 64 and 51 for the 4541

Furman Avenue rezoning in Councilmember Dinowitz district in the Bronx. This proposal will rezone existing M1-1 district to an R7D/C-4 district and the related zoning text amendment to establish an MIH program, area utilizing options one and two. The council's modification will eliminate the proposed extension of the transit zone. Councilmember

Dinowitz is in support of this proposal as modified

I just want to make sure Councilmember Dinowitz

Members of the subcommittee who have any questions or remarks about SES either she used the raise hand button now. Council will announced members in the order that hands are raised. Counsel,

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Councilmember Schulman?

25

2.2

2 COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: Aye on all.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Councilmember Carr?

COUNCILMEMBER CARR: Aye on all.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The vote currently stands at 7 in the affirmative, no negative, no abstensions, and we will leave the vote open.

COUNCILMEMBER RILEY: Thank you, counsel. To continue with today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on pre-considers LUC-210375ZMQ, and N210376ZRQ, relating to the Wetherole Street and 67th Avenue rezoning proposal in Councilmembers Schulman's district and Queens.

This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone the existing R4B to R6A, and a related zoning text amendment to establish an MIH program area.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/LandUse. Once again, that's council.nyc.gov/LandUse. Now I'd like to allow Councilmember Schulman to give any remarks regarding this project that she has. Councilmember Schulman?

COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: Yes. So first, I want
to commend the applicant team for their willingness
to engage with the community and its concerns
throughout the public review process. I'm proud to
support the creation of affordable housing in my
district, and this project would create permanently
affordable units in a walkable, bikable pot of Fores
Hills, well served by public transportation. I have
some questions, but we can do that when we get to
that point.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Councilmember Schulman. Counsel, please call the first panel for this item.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Um, I just... I want to... I will call it, and if there's anyone missing let me know, because I see a discrepancy between the names that were submitted, but the panel will be:

Richard Lobel, Domenic Recchia, Kevin Williams, and Rebecca Davoudian. I'm sorry, if I mispronounced your name. Is that correct, Richard?

MR. LOBELL: That's correct.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: So panelists... Sorry, Chair, you can tell me to administer the oath.

- 2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, go ahead, counsel.
- 3 Counsel, please administer that affirmation.
- 4 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: I got ahead of it.
- 5 Panelists, can you please raise your right hand? And
- 6 answer -- I will call on you individually to answer
- 7 | the following question. Do you affirm to tell the
- 8 | truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in
- 9 your testimony before the subcommittee, and in your
- 10 answer to all Councilmember questions?
- 11 Richard Lobel?
- 12 MR. LOBEL: I do.
- 13 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Domenic Recchia?
- 14 MR. RECCHIA: I do.
- 15 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: And Rebecca Davoudian?
- 16 MS. DAVOUDIAN: I do.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing
- 18 | public, if you if you need an accessible version of
- 19 | this presentation, please send the email request to
- 20 LandUseTestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the
- 21 applicant team may begin.
- 22 Panelists as you begin, I'll just ask that you
- 23 please restate your name and organization for the
- 24 record? You may begin.

2.2

MR. LUBEL: Richard Lobel, Of Sheldon Lobel PC,
for the applicant. Good afternoon. I thank
Councilmember Schulman for her kind words and we're
thrilled to take this to the Community Board, which
voted in favor of this rezoning. This is the
Wetherole Street and 67th Avenue rezoning. I will
quickly run through the presentation and then the
entire applicant team is happy to answer any
questions. Please load the slides.

So the next slide, the next slide contains a summary of the actions here the rezoning itself is very straightforward. The applicant is proposing a zoning map amendment to rezone block 3157, Lots 143 to 147, 149, 150, and 151, and part 152 from an R4B to an R6A zoning district. This would facilitate the development of two lots, 6645 and 6647 Wetherole Street with a new eight-story approximately 18,000 square foot residential building with approximately 21 units including five permanently affordable units. In addition, we are proposing as with all such rezonings a text amendment to map mandatory inclusionary housing, which would provide for option one and option two. The applicant currently

2.2

2.3

contemplates option one, which would provide for five permanently affordable units. Next slide.

So the proposal has a building which is projected eight stories, roughly 18,000 square feet with a 3.6 floor area ratio, the base height of 65 feet, total height of 75 feet. It will be setback at the seventh floor. It will contain eight regular parking spaces and 11 bike parking spaces, and again 21 units with five affordable, option one.

The next page has the zoning map, which really demonstrates in the first instance why this is an appropriate zoning action. As you can see, the R4B here is a relatively small district, and is surrounded by larger density districts including the R7B to the southwest on Austin, and the R7-1, which surrounds the property to the east, west and north.

The development that this has resulted in from these R7-1 districts, including adjacent to this zoning district on this block have produced buildings ranging from six to eight stories and taller as you'll see in the area map, and really demonstrates why this is an appropriate area for this rezoning.

Next slide.

2.2

2.3

So the next slide merely shows the tax map and is a little bit more of a focused view on the lots that would be rezoned. There are five lots adjacent to the property to the east, as well as the two applicant owned lots that are highlighted in red, and one lot to the west. Additionally, due to the even dimension of 175, there's five feet of another lot which would be also included, but no development would be projected on that site to this small area within the rezoning. Next slide.

The next slide, which is the area map really demonstrates why the bulk here is appropriate. You can see in the orange color, all of these multifamily buildings, long standing pre-war buildings, all of which are at six to eight stories immediately around the applicant site. So this is not an area in which density is unknown. And this is one of the reasons we were happy to proceed with this rezoning, and we're really thrilled with our dialogue with the Community Board. The Community Board kind of understood that if you wanted to create new residential units in this area, and importantly, new affordable units in this area, you had to find places where that density would be appropriate, and here,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the fact that on the doorstep of this rezoning area are six and eight story buildings, many of which are almost as tall within five to 10 feet of the projected building at the site including the six story building on the block, it... the Community Board really considered that and demonstrated that they felt that it was really within the character to allow these buildings to move forward in R6A. can even see on the block to the south there's an existing 13 story building. Moreover, two blocks south -- or two to three blocks south depending on the measurement -- is Queens Boulevard, an extra wide boulevard over 200 feet with several subway lines and excellent transportation. So despite the fact that there was a rezoning of the entirety of the area in an area wide rezoning in 2002, members of the Community Board understood that so much has changed in the last 20 years, and that it was appropriate now to reasonably map an R6A district here. Next slide.

The next slide shows a before and after of the zoning map. Again, you can see the existing R4B existing along 67th Avenue and Wetherole Street, after which a 17,500 square foot area, including the applicant's 5000 square feet would be rezoned to R6A.

The next slide and remaining slides have
photographs of the area, as well as the building
itself, superimposing the area. Again, you can see
buildings in the foreground and the background all
have heights which approximate the proposed height of
this building, if you can page through the
photographs to the first page of plans, which
contains zoning calculations. Again, relatively
typical floor plan layouts, roughly 2900 square feet
of floor, and if you want to just quickly page
through those those slides, you'll see ground
floor parking with residential units above, and the
eight parking spaces within the building with 11 bike
parking spaces. The The bedrooms are typical, one
to two family, one to two bedroom units going up
through the building, and then finally at the eighth
floor there's a setback inclusive of a terraced
garden at the at the top floor.

The last two slides, if you'd be so kind to forward through to the AMI... the Adjusted Median Income levels, at 60% AMI, you would average \$50,160 for income for family one all the way through \$71,000 For a family of four. Those would be averages. Of

2.2

2.3

course, because we're... we would be providing
affordability in option one, 10% would be at 40% AMI.

So the next slide also demonstrates rents for this for the AMI units, which you can see averaging at 60% would be \$956 through \$1400, and at 40% levels would be roughly \$600 to \$900.

So, you know, we were really thrilled to get

Community Board 6 support on this, as well as the

Queensboro president. You know, I've been doing this

for some time, and I've been to Queens Community

Board 6 and of course throughout the city and

Community Boards throughout the city. The nature and

quality of the discussion around this rezoning,

frankly, made me proud to be a New Yorker, you know,

really a recognition that, that this was going to be

a benefit to the community, and the opportunity to

really provide some affordability in an area where

you don't necessarily find new units of this type.

So with that, again, we think councilmember

Schulman for her discussion on this, and the entire

team is happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. I just have a question, and I'm going to turn it over to Councilmember Schulman. Rezoning from an R4A to an

- 2 R6A is a pretty large increase in density from 0.75
- 3 FAR to a 3.6 FAR. Could you just restate the land
- 4 use rationale for the increase date at this project
- 5 site?

- 6 MR. LOBEL: Happy to do so Chair. I think maybe
- 7 just as... by way of putting something on the screen,
- 8 if... if we could load up the area map for a moment,
- 9 | which is the colored map, but I'll continue talking
- 10 while that's done.
- 11 So it's true that the R4A has an existing
- 12 | residential Floor Area Ratio of 0.75, and 0.9 with
- 13 | the attic rule. But interestingly, the R4A also
- 14 carries with it a... an FAR Floor Area Ratio of 2 for
- 15 medical office and medical facility. And in fact,
- 16 the applicant here -- Novel Medicine is the name of
- 17 | the applicant -- is an applicant which has developed
- 18 \parallel a community facility, medical institutions in the
- 19 past. And so the square footage of this site would
- 20 | enable for a 10,000 square foot community facility
- 21 medical office. That's not what we're doing here.
- 22 | Obviously, we're going to have an 18,000 square foot
- 23 residential building. But I think one of the things
- 24 that was compelling to the Community Board was the
- 25 | fact that the existing as-of-right option, somewhat

2.2

2.3

2 approximates, or at least comes closer to what your
3 proposed residential option would be.

Secondly, the fact that this is literally across 67th Avenue from an R7-1 district, which is a district with no similar height cap -- it is a non-contextual district -- and that the... the building size of the... at the site is going to be within 5 to 10 feet of buildings both across the street and on the very block of this rezoning was also persuasive to the community.

In addition, the fact that there is a plethora of transportation options nearby, that's something that city planning looks to and something that's been recognized in ULURP as being great for this development. People will be able to get to and from this site, very... in a very... you know, in a... in a manner which... which allows for additional density at the site.

So all of those things taken together: The fantastic transportation options, the fact that you've got density in the area... immediately in the area both adjacent to this development site, as well as across the street, really kind of allows for this

2.2

2.3

2 R6A density to exist here, and... and you know... and 3 the community would really agree with them.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. I now turn it over to Councilmember Schulman for some questions. Councilmember Schulman?

COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: Thank you Chair Riley.

The Community Board and Borough President both indicated that having local and MWBE hiring targets in this 30% range for construction are important goals for the community. Do you still commit to those goals? And do you have a plan for regular reporting on progress to Community Board sets? And if you could give me specifics that would be helpful.

MR. LOBEL: Sure. Thank you, Councilmember. So we do commit to those goals. Rochelle came off who's the applicant, and I saw his listening in on the... on the presentation. He and I have talked about this, and he understands what the community is doing here and trusting him with, and so he's happy to commit to the MWBE and LC goals as stated. I know that we've talked in the past, and I've talked about reporting to the Queensboro president's office. And so what we would propose here, similarly, would be to allow for regular reporting with regards to such

2.2

2.3

employment during the time of construction of the building, and so while we don't have a specific interval, I imagine it would be something akin to quarterly reporting we would provide a list to the Queensboro president's office of MWBE and LC hiring would include the Community Board on those communications, and hopefully that would satisfy any

informal reporting requirements from those agencies.

COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: Yeah, if you would... if you would make sure to include the Community Board, but I may ask that for that to be separate as well, because I have other applicants that have done that. And I think it would be much appreciated, especially since you've had a good relationship with... with the board. And I'm still committed to having good faith -- I know this is a small project -- to having good faith discussions with local unions to ensure the building is built by workers with prevailing wage and benefits.

MR. LOBEL: So we have committed to that, and as you can probably see in the presentation, Domenic Recchia is also on the call with us. Domenic is the governmental relations professional who is associated

<INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

2.3

with the project. I don't know whether or not
Domenic wants to address that right now.

MR. RECCHIA: Yeah, we are going to reach out with all, you know, the parties involved in unions and work and make sure everything's done prevailing wage, and living wage, and make sure there's benefits. And we want to work with the Community Board, with your office, and with the City Council, to make sure that everything's complied with, as you all wish, and we will have conversations with everybody involved.

COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: I appreciate that. And if you can keep us updated, because I know, like I said, it's a small project, so some unions may not feel it's worth that getting involved. And I understand that, but at least if we know what the communication was and what their response back was, I think that would be helpful too. Just in terms of transparency.

MR. RECCHIA: Okay. I will keep you up to date, Councilmember.

COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: And can you speak to any environmental or sustainability features you plan to include in the building design?

MR. LOBEL: So, sadly given the change in the
council's schedule, our architect Tony Shitemi, who
was was available for prior conversations with the
Community Board is not available to us. So I'm at
I'm somewhat hamstrung in that Tony is much better
equipped to have that discussion. Having said that,
I know that there are certain environmentally
sensitive measures, Tony's architectural firm Urban
Architectural Initiatives, is well versed in both
private and public projects, including environmental
sustainability measures on the buildings, and so it
may be more appropriate to follow up with our office
in writing, and we can kind of give you some of those
measures. But I know that Tony has provided many
plans and materials which demonstrate, I believe
water retention and other measures, which would be
taken at the building in order to allow for the
modest environmental footprint for the bill.
COUNCIL MEMDED COULT MAN. What wolld do to wall

COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: What we'll do is we'll follow up in writing to you, and then you can follow up back with us. So that would be helpful. And...

MR. LOBEL: Thank you, Councilmember.

COUNCILMEMBER SCHULMAN: That's all the questions

I have Chair.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

questions?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Councilmember Schulman. I now invite my colleagues ask questions. If you have any questions for this applicant panel, please use the raise hand button on the participant panel. Counsel, are there any Councilmembers with

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, I don't see any Councilmembers with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no further questions, the applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on the Wetherole Street at 67th Avenue proposal?

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, there are no members of the public who signed up to testify on this proposal.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who wish to testify or reconsider LUC210375CMQ and N21037ZRQ relating to the Wetherole Street and 67th Avenue rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

Counsel, before we go into the next hearing, I see... we are joined by Councilmember Bottcher.

Could you take his vote, please?

<INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Yes, will do. And
Chair, just a heads up. We are going Vleigh Place
next. So the hearing that we had as the last
hearing, that's going to be our next hearing. So we
will wait for Councilmember Hanif to come back for
Summit.

So continuing the vote on LU65 and 66 for Third Avenue and LU63 and 64 for Furman Avenue, Councilmember Bottcher?

COUNCILMEMBER BOTTCHER: I vote aye.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you. The final vote is eight in the affirmative, no negatives, no abstentions. The items are approved and will be recommended to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Counsel. I will now open up the public hearing on pre-considers

LUC210128CMQ and N210129CRQ relating to the 77-39

Vleigh Place rezoning proposal in Councilmember

Gennaro's district in Queens. This application seeks rezoning map amendment to rezone the existing R3
2/C1-2 to an R6A/C2-3, and a related zoning text amendment to establish an MIH program area. For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so you must register online, and you

2 may do that now by visiting the council's website at

3 council.nyc.gov/LandUse. Counsel, can you please

4 | call the first panel for this item?

5 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Sorry Chair. I was on

6 mute. The panelists for this item that I have are

7 | Jay Goldstein and Justin Sharman. Mr. Goldstim, can

you just confirm that just... that's all I have for

9 you guys?

8

10

11

I think you're on mute.

So I have Jay Goldstein, Kevin Williams, and

12 Justin Sharman.

13 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Kevin Williams was the last name.

14 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: So panelists, can you

15 | please raise your right hand so that I can administer

16 | oath?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth

18 \parallel and nothing but the truth and your testimony to the

19 | subcommittee and in your answer to all Councilmember

20 | questions? I will call on you individually to

21 answer. Jay Goldstein?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes.

23 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Kevin Williams? You're

24 | already sworn in, so I'll just remind you that you're

25 under oath. And Justin Sherman?

2 MR. SHERMAN: I do.

2.2

2.3

3 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, counsel. For the viewing public, if you need accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to LandUseTestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the applicant team may begin.

Panelists as you begin, I'll just ask you please restate your name and organization for the record.

You may begin.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good afternoon, Jay Goldstein on behalf of VP Capital Holdings LLC. If we can bring up the slides. Next slide please.

We're here this afternoon to request a zoning map and text amendment for the subject site. The site as you can see is an irregularly shaped lot between seventh eighth avenue of life place and 77th Road, Vleigh Place, and 77th Road in the Kew Garden Hill section of Queens, Community Board 8, Councilmember Gennaro's district. The development has approximately 90 feet of frontage on 77th Road, 220 feet of frontage on Vleigh Place, and 171 feet of frontage on 78th Avenue. Next slide please.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The project area has been zoned R3-2 with a C1-2 since 1961. The subject lot is 34,500 square feet of lot area. And as-of-right we'd be able to build approximately 55,000 square feet of a mixed use building. Residential, commercial, and community facility would all be allowed as-of-right in this district. Next slide please.

The proposal before you seeks to rezone the area as mentioned to an R6A with a C2 overlay. This would permit an eight story mixed use building with a max residential floor area ratio of 3.6, community facility floor are ratio of 3.0, commercial floor area ratio of 2.0. The proposed development would also seek a text amendment to map this areas options one and two for MIH. And is anticipated to utilize option two for the proposal, 80% AMI. This was chosen in response to many of the comments and... and many of the conversations we've had in the community where the need for affordable housing was greater than the need for deep affordable housing. Therefore option two seemed... which would give us a larger percentage of units... seemed to be a better fit. The as-of-right AMI... The AMI, rather, tied to the 80% affordability would be in line with what the

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

average income is for this area of Kew Garden Hills,
which typically sees a little higher incomes than
other areas. Next slide please.

So as-of-right, the rezoning would allow for an eight story building with 124,000 square feet of floor area. 104,000 square feet would be residential, it'd be 119 units, 83 were market, 36 affordable. And then as you can see the cellar and the first floor would be a mix of community facility and commercial uses. At the cellar level... at the sub-cellar level sorry... we have 126 parking spaces proposed. Land use rationale for this project was based on the fact that this is a short commute to the Kew Gardens Interchange. It has significant access to major roadways, highways, as well as local and express buses. And it's a short walk to the subway E and F station so there's access... transportation is plentiful for this site and therefore it was deemed to be a good site. There is also open space directly across from the property, and the site itself is uniquely situated that it's a large site that can accommodate housing and affordable housing on this... in this area, which has not seen much growth in recent years. Next slide, please.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So as mentioned, the as-of-right R6A would permit an eight story building. However, in response to many of the comments that we... and community feedback that we've received over the course of the ULURP, the owners made a number of commitments both to the Community Board and to the Councilmember. We've committed to reducing the scale of the building. As you can see on your left hand side of the screen, and in the next number of pictures, the same juxtaposition that the left hand side would be the as-of-right eight story building in the R6A, and on the right-hand side, you have a five story with a partial six story, and you can see at the sixth floor, heavily setback along Vleigh Place and the two side streets. And you could see the rooftop area would be open for tenants as well as the green roof as part of the amenities.

If you can go the next slide, we'll just... it shows further angles of the building and you can see the reduction that was... that was committed by this applicant. Next slide please.

And then the final slide please.

So here at the rear of the building there is actually on top of the first floor, there'll be an

2.2

2.3

open terrace at the second floor that is open space
for the tenants of the building.

The R6A would again, as mentioned, be reduced artificially, and we've committed to work with counsel through a restrictive (inaudible) and commitments made by my client, to ensure that we build this building as proposed before you today.

We anticipate that the reduced building will have instead of 119 units, 90 units that will have a 27 MIH units which has a net loss of 9 from the originally proposed.

Additionally, in response to comments from members of the community, it originally was proposed to have 25 two bedrooms out of 119 units. We've increased that number to approximately 50% of the building having two bedroom units, 45 units. And these units are intended to be for family size units to encourage people to stay in the area. And finally in response to comments about parking and traffic, we'll maintain the 126 parking spaces that are that are in the subcellar... that can be accommodated in the subcellar, and we've committed to providing one free space to all of the tenants in the building... to all of the dwelling units in the building.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As mentioned, we've made the commitments to... My client has made the commitment in writing to the Community Board and to the Councilmember. We also wanted to read if I may a letter from my client that will be submitted post hearing further attesting to his commitments for this project.

So if you'll permit me I'll read that letter to you now:

My name is Avi Matatov. I'm the developer for 77-63 Vleigh Place. Under the requested R6A zoning, our proposed development would be able to build up to eight stories. During the public review process process, my team has pledged to restrict the height of the development via a restrictive declaration, to six stories. It's come to my attention that some have concerns about the enforceability of the restrictive declaration on height. local developer with deep roots in the community and a strong interest in continuing to work in and around Community Board 8, my word and reputation are very important to me. I am entering the statement as part of the city council record under oath that I have every

<INSERT TITLE OF MEETING> intention of keeping my word to restrict this building to six stories under the R6A zoning. If there are further assurances I can provide, I would be happy to happy to discuss those. So that is... On behalf of my client, that's the letter that will submit into the record. And finally, there's been some discussion back

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and forth from the Borough President's office regarding the proposal being modified perhaps to an R6B. So the R6B zoning district doesn't work for this project, because there R6B would allow... would allow up to 2.2 FAR, and the proposed building as modified is somewhere around 3 FAR. The R6B would result in a net loss of approximately 51,000 square feet, and only... only produce 14 MIH units which was is net loss of 22 MIH units. The cost and construction associated with the smaller building and the operating of these units would not allow my... would not allow my client to realize a reasonable return and would make the project infeasible.

I'm happy to answer any other questions that you may have.

Thank you, Mr. Goldstein. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: just have a few questions for the applicant team.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 Plans were filed and construction has... was
- 3 beginning on and as-of-right low-rise commercial
- 4 | building. Could you just, for the record -- I know
- 5 you probably stated in your presentation, but for the
- 6 record -- why did you decide to pause this project
- 7 and pursue a rezoning for larger mixed use
- 8 residential building?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: So the... the history of this building. It was historically a one story commercial building that burned down. So my client bought the property and cleared away the damaged building, and there was remediation on the property that had to be performed. As a result in order to perform the remediation and build the foundation, he dug out the property, and can leave a hole in the property. while we were doing this and going forward with the plan for the rezoning, he built an as-of-right structure, just to get in the ground to have... He has right now the subcellar, cellar, first and second floor as you see on the proposed plans. If the rezoning happens, then he'll continue to build in accordance with the plans as we presented them. if the rezoning doesn't, he hasn't as-of-right

building. So it was just strictly to fill backfill

2.2

2.3

danger.

the property and to get our feet in the ground so
that we can have a property that is not, you know, a

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How did you determine the proposed R6A district was the appropriate density for the site?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Given the... As mentioned before, given the access to local transit and given the fact that this site is a large site that's uniquely able to accommodate affordable housing, we felt that we would take advantage of this... of the site, which can accommodate a larger bulk than a smaller site could.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So how far with this building be from a local train station?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: It's about a half a mile. You walk straight down Union Turnpike, but the express bus to Union Turnpike is only a few minutes' walk on Union Turnpike, and there are local buses that take you directly to Jamaica Station as well.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Last question: The Borough President recommended that the rezoning be modified from R6A to R6B, and I believe that you touched on this just a while ago, to address the community

2.2

2.3

concerns about the building's height and bulk. Is
the applicant open to that modification, and what

trade-offs would arise from that?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: So the applicant right now views the R6B as not a viable option for this project. It results in a much smaller building that wouldn't produce a return that makes this project viable. Therefore, we've... in response to the concerns about the height of the building, we've reduced the building to what amounts to a five story with a significantly setback sixth floor so that we can try and address those concerns but still maintain a building that provides community facility space, commercial space, and affordable housing units that are needed in this area.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And you stated that the applicant is from this area?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: The applicant lives in Forest
Hills. He has family in this area, and there's a
significant Orthodox Jewish community that he belongs
to that is present in this area.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I'd now like to invite my colleagues to ask any questions. Counsel, are there any Councilmembers with any questions?

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, I don't see any Councilmembers with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no further questions, the applicant panel is now excused. Thank you. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on the 77-39 Vleigh Place proposal?

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, we have currently four members of the public present on the hearing who are ready to testify.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Members...

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, you cut out but you can do... you can do the announcements to the to the witnesses.

Of the public who have testified, please note the witnesses will generally be called to the panel on the floor. If you are a member of the public signed up to testify on the proposal, please stand by when you hear your name being called and prepare to speak when I call your name. Please also note that once all panelists in your group have completed their testimony, you will be removed from the main as a group, and the next group of speakers will be

2.2

2.3

introduced. Once removed, participants may continue to view the live stream broadcast of this hearing on the Council's website. Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin

until the Sergeant of Arms has started the clock.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Uh, Chair, I will call the names of the panel but... There's actually five people to testify. I will call your names. Some of you may or may not be members of applicant panel. So if you're not here to provide testimony, let us know but I will call you nonetheless. So the panel will be Emanuel Yelizarov, and I apologize if I mispronounce your names, Eduard Yagudayev, Iana Rachman, Nathan Dorvanov, and Rabbi Itzhak Yoshua.

The first panelist will be Emanuel Yelizarov.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

MR. YELIZAROV: Hi, can I start?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, you may be begin.

MR. YELIZAROV: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Emanuel Yelizarov, and I'm here to testify on behalf of the Matatovs that are planning to build this beautiful facility on a place that was completely contaminated, and the family got the certification

from the state, cleaned it up. And you know how

2 the... it is not easy. And, as it was already 3 mentioned, that they are local developers and very 4 known people in the community. And, obviously, we read the newspapers and stuff about various community 5 meetings, and you could see that the applicant 6 7 already compromised having a six story building, which offered... instead of eight, which offers for 8 all residents free parking, a Shabbat elevator, which is very important because a lot of elders live in 10 11 that area. And fortunately, they're leaving the 12 place because the buildings practically don't have elevators. And you can see like, within the last 50 13 14 years, there was no new construction in the area. 15 Obviously (inaudible) affordability, you know, we 16 have new affordable houses and buildings in our 17 neighborhoods. And it is very important that he is 18 willing to accommodate 35 affordable units out of 19 approximately like 90 apartments. And I would like 20 to close, I know the person really... the family for 21 a couple of decades, and it's a very respected family 2.2 in our community and they are not to make money and 2.3 They are in the community and they know what they're doing, and they obviously deliver whenever 24 they promise. If I have more time, I would like to 25

- help, maybe the community maybe the committee to
 understand that we need to inspire developers to

 build and have new developments, because the

 demographics are changing and needs for the most

 affordable units is rising and good families, local

 families that are trying to build and make the

 community better. I think we need just to give them
- 10 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Emanuel.
- 11 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, the next speaker
 12 on this panel will be Rabbi Itzhak Yehoshua.
- 13 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.
- 14 RABBI YEHOSHUA: Hello, shalom. Do you hear me?
- 15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. Good afternoon, Rabbi.
- 16 We can hear you.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hands to help.

RABBI YEHOSHUA: Okay. Thank you for the hearing. The Bhukarian community in the last 70 years settled in this part of Queens and brought a lot of new life to the Jewish community and to the entire community. In the last few years because of the pricing and because of the need for... for housing apartments, I'm... I'm going to endorse and

I'm going to encourage every developer who would like

to build more affordable because, I'm... The young

```
1
    <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>
                                                        44
     community at this moment, they are moving now to the
2
3
     Five Towns, to Long Island, which is wonderful.
     It's... It's the United States too, but I would like
4
5
     to keep Queens as the nucleus of our community. And
     I believe that cooperating with this very special
6
7
     family, which are all members and leaders in our
     community, will benefit all of us, the community, the
8
     family of course, and the ... and the Jewish
     community. So I would like you... to ask you to do
10
11
     whatever you do in order to help the community... to
     cooperate and make the ruling in the... for this
12
     important mission.
13
14
        CHAIRPERSON RILEY:
                             Thank you, Rabbi.
15
        RABBI YEHOSHUA: God bless you.
16
        CHAIRPERSON RILEY: God bless you too.
17
        COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The next speaker will be
     Diana Rachna.
18
19
        SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.
20
        MS. RACHNAEV: Hello.
21
        CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good afternoon, Diana, you
2.2
    may begin.
2.3
        MS. RACHNAEV: Sorry about my surroundings.
     just actually stepped out of a meeting and it's quite
24
```

loud in there, and so I won't be able to enter again.

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm actually advocating for the development of this property on Vleigh Place, (A) because of the fact that we see a great rise and a lot of changes in what's happening with COVID that took place, a lot of people aren't able to afford their houses that they currently have, especially the elderly community. I've been a resident here in this community for my whole life, actually. My family came here in 1975. And I understand how important it is to develop the community, especially when it comes to providing ... You know, for example, we have a lot of young people who are getting ready, and they don't have anywhere to turn in terms of living, because there aren't enough places to move into. Homes are not always ideal for you know, newlyweds as it is a cost that not everyone can afford. And the providing of... of being able to allow, even supported housing, as I understood here is also an option. I see how it can benefit everyone tremendously.

I know that there's always a fear of, you know, what happens when we don't have control over, over, you know, who comes in and who comes out. And I think that should not be what we should be looking at, we should be looking at how this actually can

2.3

benefit the community. So I want to advocate for this, because this is very, very important for those who are young, for those who are looking for a living space for those who are on the older generation who can't afford any more to be able to live in their

homes, with maintenance and whatever else comes up.

Aside from the fact that this also is in the heart of a very prominent neighborhood where there is, you know, religious serving places and schools. And again, this is something that we need to think about how this can actually benefit the community, rather than how this can provide any negative situation. I think there's always going to be a catch 22 whichever way you look at this, but everything has, you know, polar opposite sides of every aspect that we can see as a negative and positive. So we need to see this... how this can actually really benefit the community. And like I said, I'm for and pro this building and what it's going to be able to provide for our community.

22 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Diana.

MS. RACHNAEV: Thank you.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: I think there's a couple that are calling witnesses, so we're going try to get

- 2 you. So the next speaker will be Emanuel
- 3 Yelizarov... I'm sorry. You spoke. Sorry. Let me
- 4 get you. Eduard Yagudayev.
- SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.
- 6 MR. YAGUDAYEV: Hello.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. We can hear you.
- 8 MR. YAGUDAYEV: Hi, my name is Eduard Yagudayev.
- 9 I'm speaking today in favor of Vleigh Place
- 10 development. I'd like to touch upon a few points
- 11 pertaining to the previous administration, I was very
- 12 optimistic with the previous administration's promise
- 13 for affordable housing. In the past eight years, in
- 14 our particular neighborhoods, we haven't really see
- 15 any changes. Everywhere else in the five boroughs,
- 16 | including parts of Queens, developers were welcome to
- 17 | build affordable housing. I'm sure many of you see
- 18 the constructions going up in other parts of Queens,
- 19 and other boroughs as well. But for whatever reason,
- 20 | there isn't much change in this particular
- 21 | neighborhood for the past 50-70 years, with the
- 22 exception of one or two... with the exception of one
- 23 or two that were built maybe 20 years ago.

2.2

2.3

I believe there's a lot of special interest in this neighborhood, which is probably why it hasn't

4 been developed in 70 years or so.

We have approximately 50 synagogues within a mile radius, and not even one new affordable building.

I'm living in this neighborhood for about 30 years, and I don't want to move out, and we have grown children as well. And we'd like our children to stay in this neighborhood as well if that's possible. But as you are seeing, a lot of the younger people are being priced out and they are forced to move to other neighborhoods, Long Island, New Jersey, (inaudible) other than queens here.

My understanding is that the developer is willing to allocate 30 to 35 affordable apartments. It seems like the neighborhood could use it, but it also seems like neighbors is against a six story building. I'm urging the council... I'm urging the council to (inaudible) on affordable housing and (inaudible) families that have a big influence (inaudible). Again, my name is Eduard Yagudayev, and I am for the project. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Eduard.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you. The last speaker on this panel... and also our call-in speaker is Nathan Rubinov.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

MR. RUBINOV: Hello?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you Nathan.

MR. RUBINOV: Thank you. I was muted. afternoon, everyone. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak. My name is Nathan Rubinov. have been in the area as a business owner and as a resident for the last 30 years, and I would like to, you know, to vote in favor of this project. This is a very important project for the community and for the area for many different reasons, including, you know, low-income housing, as well... as well as commercial... commercial space that will be available. You know, Main Street rents are very expensive, in addition to the housing that is available in the area, which hasn't been built for many, many, many years outside of the Opel Building that was built some 15 or 20 years ago. There isn't any... anything going up there. We as a community need for our kids to stay close to us. And there is no... there's no housing available, other than the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

old two-family homes that have been there for almost 100 years now. They're dirty, they're not elevated, they're smelly, you know. So we need this. And there's a lot of people who are just afraid to speak for the project, because there's a big gang style, you know, threats in the community where people are being threatened that they shouldn't be talking for this project, because this is not good for some, you know. So some people under... you know, in the in the area. But this is... this is needed. We need it in the community, and it will be very, very helpful for, you know, the leaders of the community to listen, not necessarily to the majority, but come in and look at the area. I'm sure you know, the area, look at the area and see the need yourself. And you'll quickly understand what is needed in the area. And that is the housing that's being put up there. appreciate all of you guys in advance for making the right choices. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Nathan.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, that was the last speaker who have signed up to testify on this project.

24

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council. There being no... Thank you for your testimony. Are there any Councilmember with questions for this panel? If you are joining remotely, please indicate by using the raise hand button. Counsel?

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Uh no. No questions for the panel Chair. So... And then also no additional witnesses to testify on this project. So we can go ahead and close it here.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, counsel. There being no more questions for this panel, the witness panel is now excused.

There'll be no more members of the public who want to testify on pre-considers LUC210128CMQ, and N210129ZRQ relating to the 77-39 Vleigh Place rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair. We can do 41 Summit next.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel. To continue with today's meeting, I will now open a public hearing on pre-considers LUC200317ZNK, relating to the 41 Summit Street rezoning proposal in Councilmember Hanif's district in Brooklyn. This

2.2

2.3

application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing M1-1 district to an R6B district. For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so you must register now. And you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/LandUse. And I would like to allow

8 Councilmember Hanif to give the remarks regarding
9 this project. Councilmember Hanif?

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Good afternoon. Thank you so much, Chair Riley. I'm Councilmember Shahana Hanif, and I want to thank the applicant for being here this afternoon.

So this is a project that was originally during... came through during my predecessor's time, and when I came onto the Council, the applicant reapplied for a smaller building which does not fall under MIH, and I have some concerns that I'll raise right now: For a small spot rezoning with no MIH requirement, and a loss of manufacturing amid a housing... housing crisis, I have concerns given that over the last decade in my district, we have not seen affordable housing get created. And I've come in pretty staunch about making sure that we are doing everything to expand affordability in... in the 39th.

space.

- I've got concerns around the industrial retention in
 my district, and I do not want to set precedent
 for... for future chipping away at manufacturing
- 41 Summit is adjacent to the IBC here, and I've 6 7 heard from various businesses and groups like the SB... SBIDC that have serious concerns about what 8 building residential units could do to the manufacturing integrity of the 39th. I am calling 10 11 for community benefits, affordability. Despite the 12 despite how small this project is, I'd like to see 13 measures around flood resiliency in this part of the 14 district. And really, housing that meets the
 - So I'll end there, I do have some questions, but look forward to the presentation that the applicant has prepared for all of us.

criteria of this affordability crisis.

- CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Councilmember
 Hanif. Counsel, please call the first panel for this
 item.
- COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Let me just confirm:

 Richard, is it just you here for this one?
- 24 MR. LOBEL: It is.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Alright. So just... So

Chair, I don't need to swear him in, since he has

been sworn in. So just Richard, just a reminder... a

reminder, you're still under oath.

MR. LOBEL: Thank you. I also would add, I believe the applicant, Jaco Cicero, may be on the call as well, although he may not be...

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: We tried to promote him, and he wasn't accepting the promotion. So... So for now, it's just you, and if he is able to join, we'll septic arthritis him in.

MR. LOBEL: Understood. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to LandUseTestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now this applicant team may begin. Panelists as you begin, I'll just ask you to please re-state your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

MR. LOBEL: Thank you Chair Riley. Again,
Richard Lobel, of Sheldon Lobel PC, for the applicant
Jack Cicero. We thank Councilmember Hanif for her
comments. We've had some thoughtful conversations
around this application. And while the presentation

2.2

2.3

2 | is hopefully being loaded, I would add that

3 Councilmember Hanif raises the fact that this

4 previously was before the council as an R7A rezoning,

5 which we discuss later in the presentation.

I'm pleased to report that in 2018, when voted upon by Brooklyn Community Board 6, this was rejected by a vote of 28 in favor and zero against, and in its most recent iteration, the building that you see in front of you was reversed and was approved by 28 to 1 in favor. So the entirety of the Community Board was against this as an R7A with affordability. The entirety of the Community Board is in favor of this application, as you see before you -- except for one guy, but you know, we're having coffee later. Next slide, please.

So, what are we seeking here is a very simple and straightforward rezoning. This is an applicant who's proposing a rezoning of 41 Summit Street, block 352, lot 60 and part of lot 1. We'll show you where that is on the map soon, within Brooklyn Community Board 6. The rezoning would be from an M1-1 district to an R6B to facilitate a four-story plus cellar residential building. The building would have 5000 square feet on a 2500 square foot lot, and a 2.0 FAR

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

allotted for non-inclusionary housing buildings would result in 5000 square feet. So this is the proposed development site. Next slide.

The next slide demonstrates the last proposal versus this proposal. The last proposal included three lots, lots 1, 3, and 60. In conjunction with city planning, oftentimes when we look at the area around the property, the street widths around the property, the surrounding uses, we will include a greater number of lots within the area other than just the applicant's lot. So three lots were included at the time, that proposal would have resulted in seven dwelling units in a seven story plus cellar residential building twice the size of this building. That application was withdrawn. application would have included affordability pursuant to MIH. The current proposal is for lot 60, which is the applicant's lot, and a five foot portion of the adjacent lot one. We can talk about that as well. This development would result in a four story building 40 feet tall with 5000 square feet and four dwelling units.

The next slide is a slide which shows the zoning map, which I think is better viewed in a slide... one

2.2

2.3

2 slide further. This shows you where the existing R6B is, and what this would do.

You know, there's a dotted area on the... on the half of the slide to the... to the right. And you can see basically that all this does is evens out the zoning district boundary between that existing R6B and the adjacent M1-1, and that's it. This basically allows for the inclusion of one additional lot, and we can talk about that as well.

Then the next slide is going to show the area map and why... I'm sorry, that's the tax map, which shows the duration of the rezoning this one lot. Again, evening out that zoning district boundary. And why this rezoning makes sense, I think is best viewed on this map, which is the area map.

So you can see the subject block here on which the dotted line appears, and so what do you see on this... on this block. You see that the majority of the block is zoned R6B. And that was accomplished through five individual or city planning sponsored rezonings that resulted in those 20 lots ended up as residential lots. So you've got 20 lots that are zoned residential. To the west of that is the M1-1 portion of the property. And those lots... of those

2.2

2.3

17 lots, 16 of them are either open uses, vacant uses, gardens. There is a beloved community garden taking up about seven lots. And there's one commercial property. So of the 37 lots on this block other than the applicant's site, there's one commercial use. That commercial use, as was discussed in the previous iteration of this rezoning, is a bank building. It's a 6000 square foot commercial building, and it's vacant and has been for some time now. The bank went out. So when we look at the character of the area and what's appropriate on this block, this is a residential block.

And while we value job creation and the retention of the city's manufacturing base, particularly in M1 districts, there are appropriate places for that and even appropriate places to increase that manufacturing density. This is not one of them.

This... Basically to keep this property as an M1 property would actually do damage to the zoning plan here. You would have an M1 use, a use which by as-of-right would allow... that be allowed to have noxious uses, environmentally insensitive uses, uses with... with contaminants and such right in the middle of these residential properties. It's

2.2

2.3

inappropriate. The transportation here would be
inappropriate to allow for potentially trucks to move
in and out of the property. Again, we... we are
frequently in communication with Community Boards as
well as industry associations with regards to

industry and manufacturing tenants. It's just not the right use here and... and the Community Board agreed with that, overwhelmingly.

The next slide shows pictures of the area.

Again, you've got an existing 3500 square foot

building, which has been vacant for four years. You

see the Chase building on the lower left adjacent to
the property. That is gone. You know there's...

there's not really much of a commercial presence on
this block. It's an overwhelmingly residential
block.

If you want to fast forward through some of the additional photographs, you can additionally see those pictures as well as the venting for the battery tunnel to the lower left. So the modest density that would be engendered by the R6B here is entirely appropriate, as almost all of the residential properties on this on this block are zoned R6B.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're closing with some slides which basically demonstrate what... you know... how attractive the building would be in terms of layout. This building provides a 49 foot rear yard so they are clearly almost 50 feet from their neighbors in the rear. Ιt is a very modest building. The intention of the building would be for the owner, Jack Cicero to live there in one of the four units, and his daughters to live in the other one of the units. The remaining units is a ground floor unit which is, you know, somewhat smaller due to the entryway and... and mechanical uses, and then there's a fourth story. add that there's zoning calculations here. not belabor those with regards to the council and will not ... I will not disturb the council's time by doing that.

What I will say as we page through the remainder of these slides, is one of the reasons why affordability is not required on this site. So Article Two, Chapter Three of the zoning resolution discusses the affordability program. You actually cannot have mandatory inclusionary housing on this site. It's too small, they won't map it. And one of the reasons for that is as follows, I would close

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with this, which is an important thing to consider
with regards to this property:

You've got a 2500 square foot lot, the R6B here would permit a 5000 square foot building. existing M1-1 would permit at a 2.4 FAR a 6000 square foot building. So we're actually, in terms of the building envelope, reducing the impact of the building here. We're going from a 6000 square foot building, which by the way, in a non-contextual district would not be capped at height, and coming down to a 5000 square foot building and to impose mandatory inclusionary housing as per the courts of the state of New York and the zoning resolution would actually be a regulatory taking. You'd be... You'd actually be taking rights from the owner, given to the fact that you are not adding to the size of this building. This is a smaller building. building without noxious uses. We are thrilled that the Community Board overwhelmingly... almost unanimously voted in favor of this. And we're hopeful that we can work with the council and the Councilmember to come to a, you know, approval of the application. And with that, I'm happy to answer questions.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. I just have one question before I turn it over to Councilmember Hanif. This site is currently zoned for manufacturing in close proximity to an active IDC. Can you please describe any steps you have taken to explore possible manufacturing or industrial uses on the site?

MR. LOBEL: Sure. So, you know, importantly, Chair Riley IDC's are unable to be rezoned pursuant to policies initiated by the administration for the last more than 10 years. So if you're in an IDC, and you have a manufacturing zone property, if you approached city planning and attempted to rezone that to residential, they would not accept your application. The fact that there is adjacency to the IDC is meaningful. You know there are... and sometimes I'd like to reload the area map here. I don't know if that can be done. But if you look at the colors on the area map, I'm not sure whether that could be... if it can be reloaded, that's great. But, ah... there we go. Thank you, James. take a look at the area map here, you know, everything to the south, southwest, and even to the northwest of this of this parcel and this block is...

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is commercial/manufacturing. So you see the dense commercial purple and red colors to the south and southwest of this property. You see the commercial and manufacturing uses to the north of this property. What do you see on this block, you see yellow, which is residential, multifamily residential, you see orange, which is in some cases commercial, but in other cases, is mixed use. There's three... to the West, the existing M1-1 has three existing nonconforming three-story residential buildings. two stories on the corner of Carroll Street and Van Brunt are existing multifamily buildings with ground floor commercial. I believe at least one of those is vacant. And then you have seven lots which make up the community garden. To allow for a new M1-1 use here... because it's the city of New York, and it's an M-1property, you know, something will happen here. And as we've talked about before, the lowest common denominator in commercial uses these days is truck traffic. Truck traffic it is... it is, you know, warehousing, its last-mile delivery centers, and also it's, frankly, as a use, restaurants and bars. you know, in our view, while we understand the importance of retention of the city's manufacturing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

base, this is not the right spot for that. 2500 square foot lot. And we feel that just given the synergies in this area and the fact that you got this... this long standing community garden, which was really the reason why the R7A wasn't approved. The reason the R7A wasn't approved four years ago was because people were worried about the impact on the community gardens with tall buildings. You now have a rezoning, where not only are you going to be taking out the two other lots that potentially would do damage to the garden, but you're rezoning to a district where the building actually gets smaller. And then in addition to that, we'd like to go on the record as saying that we would be thrilled to work with the community, and importantly, the advocates of the community garden to see whether or not there's anything we can do for them to help them beautify and improve that garden. It is somewhat rundown, despite the fact that it is a local resource. And wouldn't it be nice if ... if we ended up with a property, which would not cause any environmental contamination to the garden, which would reduce the size of the building, and potentially reduce any shading and effects on the garden, and also to protect

- 2 potentially add amenities to the garden, and really
- 3 allow this to be, you know, better utilized by the
- 4 | community? So I guess that's kind of what we'd
- 5 answer about the use as an M1.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. Uh, I'm
- 7 going to yield my time over to Councilmember Hanif.
- 8 Councilmember Hanif?
- 9 COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Thank you. Thank you,
- 10 Richard. I wanted to know if you've been able to get
- 11 | in touch with the community gardens since we last
- 12 spoke, to think through what could be possible
- 13 | community benefits.
- MR. LOBEL: So we first we spoke with the
- 15 applicant after talking to you. We took a look at
- 16 some of the notes that we took from the time, because
- 17 | there were prior conversations with the with the
- 18 | garden people. And I have to... I think that Jack
- 19 | has reached out preliminarily, but I don't think he's
- 20 received a response yet. So I would say to you,
- 21 | Councilmember Hanif, two things: The first is, after
- 22 | this meeting, I'm going to re-up with the applicant
- 23 and make sure that that communication happens
- 24 | immediately. And second of all, just because of the
- 25 posture we're in, I understand that there's a gap

between today's date and the eventual vote by the

council. We would be 100% thrilled to engage in that

conversation and to come back to you repeatedly with

5 the results of those conversations in the next two

6 and a half weeks.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Got it. So going back to the affordability of this: Could you share what income levels you expect these apartments to be affordable for? And what type of units will be included?

MR. LOBEL: Yeah, I mean, we're... we're a little... we're a little bit hamstrung... not really hamstrung, but the affordability is affected by the fact that this is going to be owner occupied. So he's got... he's got to have his own units in there, which is, you know, he's someone who's been in the area for a while. So we're happy that he can be able to stay potentially. The other two units, I know that Jack has looked at the surrounding market, as well as the likely rental prices for those units. I think probably what I would be happy to do is to follow up with you in writing, and in other conversations, because I know Jack is speaking to some local community members, and is getting some

2.2

2.3

2 information back, but I don't have actual hard 3 numbers for you right now.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Okay. And we definitely want to follow up about that.

MR. LOBEL: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: And... and then aside from the community garden, are there any other actions you're willing to take to benefit the broader community, particularly around the concerns of manufacturing preservation?

MR. LOBEL: You know, I think... The reason it's a challenge, I think, is because you've got a building here which is 5000 square feet of floor area. So each unit, given common space is going to be roughly 1100... 1000 to 1100 square feet. And then you've got your two units, which are owner occupied. So you're left with about 2000 square feet of additional floor area.

You know, when we came with an R7A for this property, there was... there was a discussion with the community around things that we're going to be able to provide, and frankly, that building, with affordability allowed the owner to do that. It was at a... you know, an R7A would have been a 10,000

square foot building or greater. So we don't have
that right now, and, you know, I think that I
think we're happy to explore that. I don't really
know where we are as far as manufacturing retention.
I think honestly, and again, we're frequent filers of
the at the City Planning Commission and come
before the council regularly. I think that that's
going to be tough for us, but but I would value
any suggestions. Again, we do speak with the
manufacturing community regularly, and often are
involved in up-zonings with regards to manufacturing
problems. So I'm happy to work with my contacts, and
to have some more meaningful discussions around that.
But I do know, at a minimum that the community garder
was literally the reason that we were unanimously
rejected the last time. So so I know how much
people love it. And if you walk by, it's not in the
greatest shape. I think that I'm focusing our
attention on that, as we've done on other parklands
within the area, and within Brooklyn, I think is
going to be a pretty good thing. But, you know,
again, happy to engage in that conversation over the
next few weeks,

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Could you share why the

site has been vacant over the last four years? And

have you marketed it to industrial businesses?

MR. LOBEL: Yeah, you know, I think that Jack marketed it for a limited amount of time. And then, you know, at some point, particularly given his familiarity with the area and the fact that every other lot to the east of that line had been rezoned to R6B, and this was not... this was not an R6B zoning which... which, just which just sprang up. It was one where, you know, I can list off 2007 Carroll Street rezoning, 45 Summit Street rezoning in 2007, Carroll Gardens and Columbia Street in 2009. list goes on and on. And so Jack, basically, after being in this area for some time, just looked at this notch, talked to the city, and after the R7A was rejected, the city said, "Look, if you want to come back to us with an R6B, it's okay." And the reason it's okay is because you hear a lot about the term "spot zoning" the term spot zoning comes before the council a lot. Why isn't this a spot zoning? isn't a spot zoning, because the nature of the rezoning activity on this block is such that every other parcel again, to the east of that line has been

zoned R6B, so allowing him to straighten the zoning
district boundary and to restore residential to this
site, which is already adjacent to residential lots,
is seen as being within the context of the area.
That's why the city agreed to it and and basically
encouraged us to do it. So you know, I just think
that Jack eventually realized that a manufacturing
use here may be challenging, and the subsequent
closure of the Chase Bank next door, which has a
floorplate of over 6000 square feet, and, frankly, is
is a fantastic commercial site for anyone. I think
that that kind of sealed the deal in terms of the
viability of this small site with limited access
COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Got it. And then what will
the applicant do if the property is not approved for
rezoning?
MR. LOBEL: It's a challenging question. And, I
think, basically, if you walk the block and walk

MR. LOBEL: It's a challenging question. And, I think, basically, if you walk the block and walk around the block and walk to Columbia Street, and you look to sales and leasing a property in... in this area in Brooklyn, generally, I think it probably becomes a bar slash restaurant or you know a last mile delivery center, somewhere where you can store trucks, or a site which you can use for warehousing.

2.2

2.3

All as-of-right, and all, you know, not the greatest
use is for being immediately adjacent to to
residential uses and to families. You know, I think
that Like I've been in front of CB6 a lot. And I
rarely get a unanimous or near-unanimous vote from
them. The fact that they were really kind of
complementary the applicant and didn't really ask him
a lot, you know, for us is speaks speaks
volumes.

So, again, you know, we thank you for all the dialogue. We're going to come back to you with solid information and proposals, and we hope that we can benefit from that and the community conversations and come to a resolution.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Thank you. And then my final question is: What strategies are you going to employ to limit energy use and increase resiliency through new construction on this site?

MR. LOBEL: Yeah. So Jack has some experience in construction. He's built other buildings, and has...

You know, would... would commit to resiliency and sustainability measures. This would be a green building, I think one of the benefits of having a smaller building is that it's manageable in terms of

2.2

2.3

questions?

area.

materials, cost of construction, and such. So should
the Councilmember move forward on this, we're happy
to make those commitments to allow for a sustainable
building, which, you know, which will benefit the

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Got it. And then just to go back to the question around how long it was marketed to industrial businesses. I know you mentioned brief. Do you have a timeline?

MR. LOBEL: I don't put I'm happy to follow up on that. You know, because I know, the property was... has been vacant for four years. I can talk to Jack about what happened after the vacancy, and I can give you some specifics as far as any marketing that took place.

COUNCILMEMBER HANIF: Understood. Okay, that's all for me.

MR. LOBEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Councilmember

Hanif. I now invite my colleagues ask questions. If

you have any questions for the applicant panel,

please use the raise hand button on a participant

panel. Counsel, are there any Councilmember

2.2

2.3

2 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, there are no 3 Councilmembers questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no further questions this applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 41 Summit Street?

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair there are no members of the public who signed up to speak on this.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who wish to testify on pre-considers

LUC200317ZMK relating to the 41 Summit Street rezoning proposal, the public area is now closed and the items are laid over.

I will now open a public hearing on pre-considers LUC210234ZMQ and N210235ZRQ, relating to the 11th Street and 34th Avenue rezoning proposal in Councilmember Wan's district in Queens. This application is to the zoning map amendment to rezone the existing R5 district to an M1-5/R68 district, and a related zoning text amendments to establish a special mixed use district with special height and setback regulations, as well as establishing MIH program area. For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so you must

1 | <INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

- 2 register online and you may do that now by
- 3 visiting... visiting the Council's website at
- 4 | council.nyc.gov/LandUse. Counsel, please call the
- 5 | first panel for this item.
- 6 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The panel for this item
- 7 | is Frank St. Jacques and David Nidus. I'm sorry
- 8 David, if I'm mispronouncing your name.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, please administer
- 10 the affirmation.
- 11 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Applicants, I will call
- 12 on each of you individually to answer the following
- 13 | question. Can you please raise your right hand? And
- 14 do you affirm to tell the truth the whole truth?
- 15 Nothing but the truth in your testimony for the
- 16 subcommittee and in your answers to all Councilmember
- 17 | questions? Frank St. Jacques?
- 18 MR. ST. JACQUES: I do.
- 19 | COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: And David Nidus?
- MR. NIDUS: Yes.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing
- 22 | public. If you need an accessible version of this
- 23 presentation, please send the email request to
- 24 LandUseTestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the
- 25 applicant team may begin. Panelists as you begin

2.2

2.3

2 I'll just ask you please to restate your name and 3 organization for the record. You may begin.

MR. ST. JACQUES: Thank you. Next slide, please.

Good afternoon, Chair Riley, Councilmember Wan,
Councilmembers. I'm Frank St. Jacque with Akerman

LLP. We're the land use counsel on this application.

And I'm joined by David Nides, the Executive Director
of the Andromeda Community Initiative.

I'll give a brief presentation with... with David to follow to give some information about ACI, or Andromeda Community Initiative.

This application is seeking zoning map and text amendments to establish a special mixed use or MX district paring M15 and R6A zoning districts with modified height, and a zoning text amendment to create a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing or MIH area. These actions would facilitate the development of two new eight-story buildings with approximately 332 units including 100 permanently income restricted MIH units. You'll note that this project was... was initially filed with... with fewer MIH units. But after discussion with councilmember Won, we have increased the number of MIH units from about 82 to 100.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The goal of the project is to bring new investment to the Ravenswood area of Western Queens resulting in new housing and job-generating uses which I'll go into detail in, in a moment. Next slide please.

This area of Ravenswood was rezoned R5 with the enactment of the 1961 zoning resolution. With the exception of a few recent private rezonings, R5 remains in place today despite the prevalence of industrial uses, which are shown in purple and higher density residential developments, which are shown in yellow, sort of on the lower right hand side of the screen and then north of Broadway, within the neighborhood. Based on a study of Ravenswood the Department of City Planning established a general framework in 2019 for mixed use development in the area through the use of special mixed use or MX The two development sites and the proposed zoning. rezoning area are shown in the center of the screen and are labeled as such. Next slide please.

This aerial view looking south shows the lower density warehouse context surrounding the rezoning area which is shaded in red at the center of the screen, and the residential context to the east and

2 southeast, including the NYCHA Ravenswood houses, and 3 Queensview Co Ops. This site is also close to Rainey

4 Park, Socrates Sculpture Park, and the new Gucci

Museum, which are all of the west and northwest of

6 the zoning area.

2.2

2.3

North of the rezoning area along Broadway in an existing R7A C2-3 district, there is additional multifamily residential context. Several eight story buildings line Broadway just north of the project area. The recent private rezonings are also shown to the north and southwest of the rezoning area and those are labeled on the bottom of the page, the Broadway and 11th Street rezoning which established an MX with an R7A and an M1-4, the Vernon Boulevard and Broadway rezoning which established an R7X and R6D within the context of a large scale general development, and the pending 3501 Vernon Boulevard rezoning, which would establish an M1-4 R7A, also an MX district. Next slide please.

The proposed specially mixed use or MX district allows for a new medium density, mixed use development with a maximum total FAR a 5.0, residential uses permitted up to 3.6 FAR, and requires income-restricted housing under MIH. A

2 modified maximum height of 95 feet above a 75 foot

3 base is to allow non residential uses to have

4 adequate ceiling heights. The MX allows a wider

5 range of uses and flexibility than a standard

6 commercial overlay, which is consistent with the

7 | industrial and Artspace character of the

neighborhood, and area-wide planning goals. Next

9 | slide please.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So starting with the... the first of the two development sites, this is the northern site, the proposal is for a new eight story mixed use building with 194 Total Units, 59 of which would be permanently income restricted under MIH. That's an increase from 48 previously proposed. David will speak in a moment about the Andromeda Community Initiative trade school, which is on the ground floor of the building. And then there's also a film and television production trade school in affiliation with York Studios, which has locations currently in Maspeth and Soundview for its actual film and television studios. And this would be to provide training to incoming workers in that industry. is also space for artists studios to be run by a nonprofit, as well as a retail space that is expected

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to be divided into smaller spaces for local retail and service uses. I'll note that the unit distribution is roughly 50/50 between studio and one bedroom units, and two and three bedroom units.

Across the both projects, we raised the number of family-size units significantly by reducing Studio and one bedroom units and increasing the number of three bedroom units. And I'll show you a overall breakdown in a moment. Next slide please.

So this is the building on the southern side of the proposed rezoning area. There's also a new eight story mixed use building. This has fewer units at 138, but 41 of which would be permanently income restricted under MIH. This building also has a nonresidential component that includes local retail, a food distribution business that's an existing business in New Jersey that currently serves the five boroughs. This expansion location would help it serve its Queens and Brooklyn customers more efficiently. And there's also a second floor, which is something that's... that's permitted by the MX or special mixed use district that would have office space that would be marketed to tech companies, and about 10,000 square feet of local nonprofit office

2.2

2.3

space. We've been in discussion with several nonprofit organizations, and also the Jacob Riis Settlement Houses, regarding carving out space for their use in the southern building of about 3500 square feet to meet their needs. They currently have space in the NYCHA Ravenswood houses for a community center that's in a state of disrepair. So we'll continue that conversation with respect to potentially locating them in the southern building. But ownership is committed to having them in the

Oh, I'm sorry, if we can just stay on that slide for one more moment. Also note that the unit distribution split is roughly 50/50 here, between studios in ones, and twos and threes, again at the request of the local Community Board. Sorry. Now next slide please.

space, if they're interested. Next slide, please.

So with respect to the mandatory inclusionary housing breakdown for this project, the applicants have selected MIH option one, which requires a setaside of 25% of the residential floor area at a weighted average of 60% AMI. As I noted at the outset, we've increased that total number of units to resemble a set-aside increase from 25% to about 30%,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 which results in a breakdown of 33 units at 40% AMI,

3 | 34 units at 60% AMI, and 33 units at 80% AMI. These

4 AMIs are in line with the range of area median

5 incomes within community district one, and we believe

6 | will serve the community well. You'll also note that

7 there's a breakdown shown for the unit sizes, the...

8 the number at each income level and the corresponding

rents and income limits. And if needed, we can also

10 provide that by building. Next slide please.

I neglected to say on that... last slide that those MIH units are provided without any city subsidy. This is entirely privately financed permanently income restricted housing.

With respect to sustainability, there'll be a number of sustainable features incorporated into this development to both improve efficiency and reduce emissions, including green roofs and solar panels, and then more efficient building infrastructure and construction methods. Next slide please.

And then finally, the last two slides I have before I turn it over to David just show the building form in these illustrative renderings. I noticed that these are both eight story buildings but height is concentrated on the... the northern and southern

2.2

2.3

ends of the block at eight stories and both step down toward the mid-block to six and four stories, which you can see in these renderings.

Also note that street trees are required every 25 feet along each of the four street frontages, which results in about 50 new street trees to enhance the block. I believe there's currently zero street trees on this block. So we... we believe that alone will be a significant improvement to the public realm.

Next slide, please.

And then finally, before I turn it over to David, these renderings show how the pedestrian experience would be improved by both active ground floor uses in the buildings as well as some of the street trees. The sidewalks would be entirely rebuilt from their current state and activated by the presence of ground-floor non-residential use.

So now I'll turn it over to David, who will discuss the Andromeda Community Initiative, their role in the project, and the proposed trade school in the northern building or development site one.

David, I'll turn it over to you, and next slide please.

2 MR. NIDUS: Ah, now I can unmute. Hi, my name is 3 David Nidus. I'm the Executive Director of the 4 Andromeda Community Initiative. We are an organization that trains people in construction, 5 focusing on masonry restoration, which as I'm sure 6 7 the council's aware is the repair work that goes on 8 on... on buildings after local law, inspection, as well as general construction. We run safety-oriented trainings that involve both certifications and hands-10 11 on construction training. We serve multiple people 12 referred from community-based organizations 13 throughout the city, giving them about 190 hours of 14 skills-based training. About 62 hours of it is 15 certifications, where they're getting their SST... 16 Site Safety Training. They're getting their OSHA, 17 they're getting their suspended and supported 18 scaffolding, there's silica awareness. And we're 19 serving roughly about 200 people now a year. 20 then give them career guidance and job placements. 21 And as you can see, we're running with our job 2.2 placements of roughly 80% and people making \$19 an 2.3 hour. Actually right now we're up to around \$20 an hour, and things are going really well. Next slide 24 25 please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Right. And so you can see we were established in 2018, and we are a trusted partner to many community based organizations. We are free to the participants. We use grants and leveraged assistance to try to provide our programming. We're happy to be partnering in the very near future. We have a cycle actually set up with Urban Upbound. And we hope to offer great services to residents in the local community with respect to construction training. And really, the construction training we provide as the benefit of giving them the certifications you need and must have to get on to a job site, giving people who maybe didn't have access before, maybe people who are coming off of welfare and criminal justice reentry, or sometimes they're dealing with homelessness and unparalleled access to a profession, which they wouldn't normally have.

I'd like to turn it over to Ferasi Juste[sp?], who works for us at ACI that share a couple of little comments.

MR. JUSTE[SP?]: Yes, my name is Ferasi

Juste[sp?]. I'm basically born in Brooklyn, New

York. I was... I came to ACI through HRA, and it was
a very great experience. It actually helped me gain

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

employment over here. So it was a very great experience. They taught me how to lay brick, mix cement, caulking, pointing. And it was just... It was an awesome experience. So it was just something that I needed. As far as when I was coming here, I was homeless, I was looking for housing, and they hired me, and it was a... it just changed my life.

Now that they invested into me to become an OSHA trainer, I have a training this week, this new cohort, and it was just an awesome experience. I like about the job is very rewarding to give back as an alumni and to get these students to be prepared for the workforce. And I think that if the city council support that, it will be very good for the community and to serve the community in a positive way that if we can have these students become great role models and examples for the construction industry. So ACI has done a lot for me, and it continues to do a lot for people, and we have a lot of alumni that call back and explain where they're at right now and the great stories. We have a lot of success stories of these students. And we're just happy to be a part of their lives and to be a part of their success. And I'm very grateful to be hired by

- ACI, and for the great jobs that we're continuing to do.
- 4 MR. NIDUS: Thanks Ferasi[sp?]. And now with 5 that, I'll turn it back to you all.
 - MR. ST JACQUES: And that concludes our presentation. We're happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. I just have a few questions. The M1-5 is a very flexible zoning district in terms of use groups, particularly in an MX context, like the proposed rezoning. How is the applicant demonstrating a commitment to the mixed use program presented, instead of a wholly residential or wholly industrial use as a higher density?

MR. ST JACQUES: Sure, so a few reasons. I mean,
I think that the first of which is that both of these
sites, the applicants are owners of the sites and
intend to develop them. And that's how this
programming for both buildings originated. Each...
each owner of each site has owned the site for a
number of years, and it's their vision to redevelop
them with... with uses as prevent as presented.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

So, so there's a, you know, really the... the MX is... is a means to, for them to realize their vision for the programming, which... which wouldn't be permitted utilizing other methods, such as I noted in our presentation, a commercial overlay, the use simply wouldn't be permitted pursuant to the zoning.

The other thing I'll note is that, you know, with respect to an entirely manufacturing building, you know, relative to a mixed use building, which is what is proposed here, the financials just don't bear out. You know, obviously, residential has a, a higher return. And the residential is actually what's... what's helping sort of cross-subsidize some of these uses: The construction trade schools and the artist studios, you know, are not, you know, high-rent tenants, nor is the community facility use. So that... that's essentially cross-subsidized by the market rate residential, which is also why the cross subsidizing has the permanently income restricted housing under MIH.

And then finally, I'll note that the M1-5 combined with the R6A, has an overall FAR of 5.0. But residential is capped at 3.6 FAR. So if you were to do an entirely residential building, that would be

well in this location?

2.2

2.3

significantly smaller than... than the mixed use
building that's proposed here, you'd essentially be
leaving about 1.4 FAR of floor area unused, which is,

you know, significant on... on these larger sites.

So, you know, I'd say that there's just in summary, there's really, you know, three reasons.

The first is that this is the owners' vision...

owners' (plural) vision for these sites. The zoning allows them to... to establish this programming. And the third is that, you know, sort of a market-based

development for this site, utilizing the MX seven.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Why do you think

mixed light industrial and residential uses will work

argument that this is the most financially feasible

MR. ST JACQUES: Sure. So this is actually part of a lengthier conversation with the Department of City Planning. They've released some... some really interesting studies with respect to both the viability and the compatibility of residential and light industrial. Here, you know, the uses proposed are on the lighter side of... of industrial. And there are in both buildings, essentially a built in separation. So the MX permits two floors here of

non-residential use. So essentially, there's a... a
buffer and both buildings of office use, separating
residential from the light industrial uses within

both buildings.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The other aspect that we discussed in length in our latest application materials, is this area was flagged by the Department of City Planning as appropriate for MX zoning, because of its... its historic, industrial and Artspace character. expanding the range of uses to include light industrial uses, such as the ones that are proposed here, and the uses that were proposed and are intended to be developed in the neighboring rezonings adds to, and complements that existing character allowing a wider range of job-creating uses than a typical commercial overlay would. So there's... there's more engineering involved in making sure that there's not conflict between the non-residential uses and the residential uses, but in speaking with our clients and in speaking with the Department of City Planning, it's the same kind of consideration that would go into locating, for example, a successful restaurant, or music venue, or gym, within a mixed use building that includes residential use. So I

think we're conscious of that, but are implementing
the right engineering to make sure that they work

4 together.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can you describe the environmental sustainability features on the proposed development? And do you expect to target any LEED certification?

MR. ST JACOUES: So we hadn't discussed LEED. I'm not familiar enough with... with LEED, but I think our architect could speak to that if further information is required. I will say that the Local Law 97, and the package of legislation that was passed a few years back in New York, the Climate Mobilization Act, has... has really increased, you know, just simply compliance with new building code regulations, and the Climate Mobilization Act will significantly reduce both emissions from this building, as well as stormwater management in the building. But some of the other features that will be included in this development are high-efficiency windows, increased installation, Energy Star appliances, LED lighting, smart technologies, simply to reduce costs and reduce energy use. We've also discussed implementing both green roofs and solar

retention.

2.2

2.3

- panels. This area is apparently a good location for solar. And then stormwater management, which would include pervious pavement, rooftop retention, and landscaping with... with bioswales. I mentioned the 50 street trees, that would be a really great opportunity to incorporate some of the stormwater
 - CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Just to clarify the... it's the Leadership, Energy and Environmental Design certification.
 - MR. ST JACQUES: Got it. Yeah. And apologies,

 I... I can't speak directly to LEED, but I can ask

 our architect whether any of the measures that...

 that we propose to implement would allow these

 buildings to hit any of the LEED certifications, or

 if they're actually, you know, going for LEED Gold or

 Platinum with this building. I'm not aware of that,

 but I can... I can certainly ask the architect to

 follow.
 - CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Counsel, are there any Councilmembers who have any questions for this applicant panel?
 - COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: I see that Councilmember Won is here and she has her hand up.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, I'm so sorry,

Councilmember Won. We're joined by Councilmember

Won. Councilmember Won, I will yield to you to ask

5 your question.

2.2

2.3

Riley. I just had a few questions. Frank and Steve have been good-faith partners to us so far. We have been meeting prior to this, and they have been very receptive to our inquiries. Steve or Frank: Could you just... I heard you say that you had increased the number of MIH units. Could you confirm that you have committed to providing 30% of the total housing units of MH option level one?

MR. ST JACQUES: That's correct, Councilmember
Won. And so when... when we initially spoke with
you, our proposal included the 25% set-aside under
MIH option one, which resulted in -- forgive me -- 82
units of permanently income restricted housing. The
applicants have agreed to increase that number to
100, which represents the 30% set aside in option
one.

COUNCILMEMBER WON: Okay, great. And can you just help me understand the breakdown? Are they

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

going to be one bedrooms, two bedrooms, for the new units that have been added?

MR. ST JACQUES: Yeah, sure. It's... So it's... it's essentially, they've been distributed proportionally. And, sorry... just looking for my notes, just give me one second. What I can do is... is just send over the... the prior breakdown and the new breakdown. But... so the 18 units that were added, we essentially split that into three portions of six units, and then spread those units across the studios, ones, twos and threes. Ultimately, because of the nature of the MIH program, it made most sense to just distribute those... those additional units as evenly as possible across both the income bands, the three income bands at 40%, 60%, and 80% AMI, as well as the unit distribution. That was that was sort of the... the easiest, and... not so much that it was easy, but that the... the concern was... was maintaining compliance with MIH. So what I can do is send you over the... a comparison chart, so you can see where those units were added. But essentially, it's distributed evenly across income bands and the units.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER WON: Okay, great. And have you engaged with the owners of the properties in the rezoning area not controlled by the applicants? Your new neighbors?

MR. ST JACQUES: Yes, and forgive me because I think that there's been more recent discussion, and I just... I... At the moment, my understanding is that the property owners that are not included... or they're not applicant controlled, but they're included with the rezoning are aware and there has been outreach. I just don't know when the... the most recent conversation between the applicant ownership and... and those property owners is but I can report back on that.

COUNCILMEMBER WON: Got it. If you could just continue to commit to engage with the area residents by distributing flyers or door knocking or whatever it is that you can do before you move forward in construction, just to make sure that everyone is aware, including the business owners. I would really appreciate that, and are you still committed to working with my office to identify local MWBE business... businesses to meet the borough

4

5

6

7

8

- president's recommendation of the target of 30% for
 construction?
 - MR. ST JACQUES: Yes, absolutely. That's... You know, we'd like to continue to be in touch with... with your office on this project generally, and then specifically, as it relates to outreach to the local community, as well as hitting MWBE targets and local hiring targets for this project.
- 10 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.
- 11 COUNCILMEMBER WON: Okay. Thank you.
- 12 MR. ST JACQUES: Thank you, Councilmember Won.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Councilmember Won.
- 14 Counsel, are there any more Councilmembers with any 15 questions?
- 16 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: I don't see any
- 17 Councilmembers with questions at this time, Chair.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no questions,
- 19 this applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there
- 20 any members of the public who wish to testify on 11th
- 21 | Street 34th Avenue proposal?
- 22 COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: We do have a few members
- 23 of the public who signed up to testify on this. So
- 24 | if you want to make the announcement, then I'll give
- 25 the names.

2.2

2.3

Chair, do you want to make the announcement of the two minutes for the public witnesses. We have 1... 2... 3... 4 witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Members of the public will be given 2 minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant of Arms has started to your clock.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Um, the first panel for this item will be Richard Khuzami, Larry Wilson, Ceima Perkins, and Brendan Leavy.

So the first speaker will be Richard Khuzami.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You may begin.

MR. KHUZAMI: Thank you so much. I'm Richard
Khuzami, president of the Old Astoria Neighborhood
Association, and we are this local civic association
that represents this area. We are in favor of this
project. We think that the merits of this project
over... over any political considerations I think,
make approval an obvious choice. I have a number of
reasons why the proposal is consistent with the goals
identified by the Ravenswood Study and Cornell
Technion promoting mixed-use development in underunderutilized properties near transit, and include
income-restricted housing and space for job creating

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 businesses. The project has 100 permanently 3 affordable MIH units, which is in desperate need in Queens, and it's based on option one of 40/60/80%, 4 5 and 50% of these apartments are family oriented, two and three bedrooms, and we find particularly exciting 6 is the two new training schools, the Andromeda 8 Community Initiative and York Studios providing Pathways to careers that help create pathways to the middle class for underemployed and unemployed New 10 11 Yorkers. Also, these trades are important to keep

these industries competitive in New York City.

We are told that the project will create 396 jobs, 163 with the trade schools, 96 office jobs, 72 retail, 30 with the Community Facilities space, 16 artists studios and two jobs with the food distributor.

Also it's an important project for Long Island
City and Queens. By adding to the our Queens economy
through the construction jobs and 200 plus jobs
generated post construction. Badly needed studio
space for artists is also created. A food
distribution company will... will continue to keep
our tax base and our (crosstalk)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

<INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

1

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MR. KHUZAMI: Okay. Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Richard.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Next speaker is Larry Wilson.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You may begain, Larry. 6

MR. WILSON: Hello, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Good afternoon Chairman Riley and members of the subcommittee. My name is Larry Wilson, and I'm a member of 32BJ. I'm here today on behalf of our union and our 85,000 property service workers here in New York City to express our support for the proposal at 11 St and 34th Avenue Project. 32BJ supports responsible developers who invest in the communities where they I'm happy to report that developers affiliated with JPP 33rd Street LLC and Lillian John Realty Inc. have reached out early and made a credible commitment to creating prevailing wage building service jobs at this site. This commitment means that workers and queens will have access to

We estimate that a mixed use development like this one proposed by the developer will be

family sustaining wages, retirement qualities, health

benefits at a time when New Yorkers need them most.

2.2

2.3

permanently staffed by an estimated six building service workers. The 11th Street... The 11th street and 34th Avenue Project will also have approximately 100 affordable housing units in accordance with the mandatory inclusionary housing program. For these reasons, we are in full support of this project. We have full confidence that JPP 33rd Street LLC, and Lilly John Realty will be responsible employers and presence in the community. For these reasons, we respectfully urge you to approve this rezoning.

Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Larry.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Next speaker is Ceima Perkins.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You may begin Ms. Perkins.

MS. PERKINS: Good afternoon, I am the Senior
Director of Operations for Our Children. We are a
local nonprofit organization in very close proximity
to the site, walking distance actually. And the
purpose of our not-for-profit is we work with
incarcerated and formerly incarcerated mothers. We
provide them supportive and transitional housing. So
needless to say, we are very excited for a project
like this to be brought to our area. We are severely

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

lacking in affordable housing for the women that we serve. We also provide them vocational services, job training, and we have various other arms and programs of our organization such as a food pantry, and various things of that nature that will benefit greatly from a site like this and a development like this, not only just for the women that we serve, but also the staff members of our organization. A great deal of them also are within the income bracket for the permanent affordable housing. We are very excited about and do support this program wholeheartedly. It is in line with our concerted efforts to minimize the recidivism rate of the women that we serve. And we hope that this development site gets approved very much. So thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Ms. Perkins.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Last speaker is Brendan Leavy.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You may begin, Mr. Leavy.

MR. LEAVY: Hi, everyone. Brendan Leavy with the Queens Chamber of Commerce. The Queens Chamber stands in favor of this project. We know the owners and they're very reputable. They always make strong

2.2

commitments to the communities they serve. I've
personally visited the Andromeda Community Initiative
at the current site, and it's amazing work that they
do. And there was a testimonial earlier to the good
work that they do and how they meaningfully change

7 lives. So we're very strong supporters of ACI.

So I echo the sentiments of earlier folks testifying. The project is going to bring online approximately 100 new permanent affordable housing units through the city's MIH program. We're in desperate need of these in Queens, particularly for people earning the 40/60/80% of the area median income. Again, ACI is a tremendous program that's going to draw, you know, propel people from minimum wage jobs and get them on a pathway to middle class.

Construction jobs, and potentially jobs in the motion picture industry, which is a booming industry in Queens and throughout the city. Projects also creating approximately 400 total jobs, including jobs associated with the trade school, retail jobs, jobs associated with the community space, incorporating 16 artists studio jobs, and two jobs with a food distributor.

2.2

2.3

Again, it's important for LIC and the Queens community by helping to significantly add to the Queens economy to construction jobs that'll generate also 200 jobs post construction, which is going to be a boon to the local economy.

With us emerging from the you know, this post pandemic nightmare, we've all been through, these are desperately needed jobs. So the Queens Chamber stands wholeheartedly in favor of this... this project. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Leavy. Thank you for your testimony. Counsel, are there any Councilmembers who have questions?

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: No Councilmembers with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no questions for his panel, the witness panel is now excused.

COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, there are no more members of the public who have signed up to testify so we can go ahead and close it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on pre-considers LUC210234ZMQ and N210235CRQ, relating to the 11th

<INSERT TITLE OF MEETING> street and 34th Avenue rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed and items are laid over. That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, subcommittee Council Land Use, and other council staff and Sergeant At Arms for participating in today's hearing. This meeting is hereby adjourned. Thank you. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 07/27/2022