CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

May 31, 2022

Start: 10:22 a.m. Recess: 11:34 a.m.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING - VIRTUAL ROOM 1

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
Erik D. Bottcher
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Farah N. Louis
Francisco P. Moya
Lynn C. Schulman

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

Eric Dinowitz Lincoln Restler

APPEARANCES

Eric Palatnik
Brian Neumann
Anna Martynova
Nora Martins
Josh Weissman
Bill Bollinger

please do so now by visiting the New York City

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up.

Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

If you need an accessible version of any of the presentations shown today, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

When called to testify, individuals appearing before the Subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the Chair to speak. Applicant teams will be recognized as a group and called first followed by members of the public.

When the Chair recognizes you, your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

Public testimony will be limited to 2 minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing here before the Subcommittee, you may email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please

2.2

2.3

subject line of your email.

2.2

2.3

During the hearing, Council Members with questions should use the Zoom raise hand function which appears at the bottom of either your participant panel or the primary viewing window. Council Members with questions will be announced in order as they raised their hands, and Chair Riley will then recognize Members to speak.

Witnesses are requested to remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair as Council Members may have questions.

Finally, there will be pauses over the course of this meeting for various technical reasons, and we ask that you please be patient as we work through any issues.

Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda items.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel.

Today, we will vote to approve LUs 58 and 59 relating to the 1930 Adee Avenue rezoning proposal in my district in the Bronx. The proposal includes a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing R4 district to an R6B district and the related zoning text amendment to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7

establish an MIH program area utilizing Options 1 and

2, and I am in support of this proposal.

Preconsidered LUs 50 and 51 for the 840 Lorimer

Street rezoning in Council Member Restler's district in Brooklyn. The proposal would rezone existing M1
2/R6 and an R6A/C2-4 district to a C4-5D district and the related zoning text amendment to establish an MIH program area utilizing Options 1 and 2. Council

Member Restler is in support of this proposal, and I would like to recognize Council Member Restler to give any remarks regarding this proposal. Council

Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Firstly, I believe congratulations are in order, Chair Riley, so we're thinking of you and your family. Hope everything is going well and everyone's in good health.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:council_member_restler:} \mbox{I'm very, very}$ excited for you.

I want to just again apologize to the Council staff and to all my Colleagues for taking a

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

few minutes past 10 o'clock to finalize the terms on this one.

Our district has experienced more development than any other in the city of New York over the last 15 years by significant margins, and, unfortunately, we haven't seen the infrastructure investments to keep up so we need every new private applicant to step up and invest in our communities, and I'm very pleased that Mr. and Mrs. Parnes have agreed to do so. This is probably my least favorite part of the job, negotiating these Land Use deals, but they're very important. While Mr. and Mrs. Parnes are community members, small-time property owners, they're going to have the opportunity to build a development here and we need them to invest in our community, and they've graciously agreed to do so, and I want to thank them for their partnership.

In this development, 74 units, we have secured 35 percent of the housing as affordable housing at 80 percent AMI, and we've also secured a commitment to invest up to a million dollars in McCarren Park to create a new dog run for our community, and they've agreed to an expeditious timeline to make that happen, which we are

appreciative of. This building snakes around an existing building so they've offered and agreed to cover the expenses including legal fees for the adjacent property owner where one of our beloved neighborhood restaurants, Bernie's, is located and so I'm appreciative of their work on each of those

2.2

2.3

fronts.

We have memorialized this agreement in a community benefits agreement with St. Nicks Alliance and North Brooklyn Parks Alliance, 2 of our beloved community-based organizations as well as the adjacent property owner so that we can ensure that the Parneses are held to account to follow through on these commitments.

Lastly, the applicant is going to make best efforts to hire graduates from St. Nicks
Alliance and the Workforce Development Training for construction and other jobs so we see this development in total as a critical investment in our parks, above and beyond the requirements on affordable housing, assurances that they will be a good neighbor, and a commitment on best efforts on local hiring. Those are the different components that we should want to see in every new development

2.2

2.3

project, and I'm pleased that we were able to come to
a positive outcome.

I know I can be a difficult negotiating partner so I do especially want to thank Mr. and Mrs. Parnes and each of their representatives for bearing with me and getting to an agreement in the end.

I want to thank my team, especially my
Chief-of-Staff Mariana and our tremendous Land Use
Director Arvind Sindhwani who did a terrific job and
the Council Land Use staff as well for helping us
navigate all this. Thank you all and thank you again
to my Colleagues and apologies for the delay.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Restler.

Finally, regarding the One 45/Museum of Civil Rights, the redevelopment proposal on today's agenda, I note that the Council is in receipt of a written statement from the applicant that the application has been withdrawn. Therefore, pursuant to Council rule 11.60B, Preconsidered LUs 53 through 57 relating to the One 45/Museum of Civil Rights redevelopment proposal are void, and I make a motion to file the items to remove them from the Council's calendar.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Are

there any other Council Members with questions? I

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 12
2	don't see any other hands up, but I just want to make
3	sure I'm not missing anybody.
4	Chair, there's no other Council Members
5	with questions at this time.
6	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel. I
7	now call for a vote to approve Preconsidered LUs 50
8	and 51 for the 840 Lorimer Street rezoning proposal
9	and LUs 58 and 59 for the 1930 Adee Avenue rezoning
10	proposal and to file Preconsidered LUs 53, 54, 55,
11	56, and 57 relating to the One 45/Museum of Civil
12	Rights redevelopment proposal.
13	Counsel, can you please call the roll?
14	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:
15	Chair Riley.
16	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Aye on all.
17	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:
18	Council Member Moya.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I vote aye.
20	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:
21	Council Member Louis.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: I vote aye.
23	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:
24	Council Member Abreu.
	di

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Aye.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2	SUBCOMMITTEE	COUNSEL	MARTINE 7.	-RIIRTO ·
_			LIVILIII	KODIO.

3 | Council Member Bottcher.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I vote aye.

Chair, what are we voting on with respect to Harlem

One 45? I know it's being withdrawn, but what is the

aye for here?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: You're voting to remove from our calendar.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Okay. Permission to explain my vote, please.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Permission granted,
Council Member Bottcher.

all. Good job with the dog run in particular,
Lincoln. With respect to Harlem One 45, this fiasco
is an example of why we need a comprehensive citywide
planning framework. (INAUDIBLE) while only producing
a fraction of the fraction of housing that we need so
we need to get together as a Body and have a
conversation about how we're going to approach land
us because if we keep going on this path we're going
to be in a lot of trouble, and I'm hoping that we can
use this as a conversation starter as a Body so we

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 14				
2	can sit down together and move forward because we can				
3	look at San Francisco and Los Angeles and that's a				
4	window into our future if we don't start planning for				
5	the future of housing in New York City.				
6	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council				
7	Member Bottcher.				
8	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:				
9	Chair, I would just add, just to clarify, again,				
10	we're just removing it from our calendar, but				
11	essentially a withdrawal letter means that the				
12	application no longer exists. This was introduced and				
13	we know it was heard, but the procedural part is that				
14	it no longer exists in our calendar. This is what we				
15	need to do to remove it from our pipeline.				
16	Council Member Hanks.				
17	COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: I vote aye.				
18	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:				
19	Council Member Schulman.				
20	COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: I vote aye.				
21	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:				
22	Council Member Carr.				
23	COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Aye on all.				
24	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The				
25	Land Use items are approved and referred to the Land				

2 Use Committee by a vote of 8 in the affirmative, no negative, no abstentions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel. To continue with today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on LUs 65 and 66 relating to the 98th Third Avenue rezoning proposal in Council Member Restler's district in Brooklyn.

This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing M1-2 district to an R7D/C2-4 and R6B districts and a related zoning text amendment to establish an MIH program area.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again, that's council.nyc.gov/landuse.

I would now like to allow Council Member Restler to give any remarks regarding this project. Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you, Chair Riley. I feel like I should just become a Member of your Committee. I spend so much time with you here, but it's good to be with you all.

2.2

2.3

Z 4

The project at 98th Third Avenue is on essentially the last remaining kind of industrial manufacturing block in the Boerum Hill neighborhood. This is an old gas station that's been operating there for many, many years, and they're proposing to turn it into luxury housing, the same thing that everybody else wants to do with MIH.

This project is relatively modest in scale. I continue to be a bit perplexed and confounded that they didn't work with the adjacent property owner that owns most of the block on a single rezoning for the full block that would've been much preferred. That rezoning is planning to certify later this year so we now have to deal with multiple ULURP actions on the same block as a result of their inability to coordinate. As of now, the developer has not really offered, we've been in communication, but we haven't yet received commitments around the types of community investments and benefits that we need.

This site is across the street from 2 of our local public housing developments, most notably Wyckoff Gardens where we have over 500 units of public housing and 572-574 Warren which is about 150 units. For me, any development needs to, of course,

2.2

2.3

be appropriate in scale and density and we want to maximize affordable housing and get the best possible commercial use of the commercial space on the first floor. We also need to see investments in our public housing developments which have been neglected for far too long, and so I look to private applicants such as this one at 98th Third who is intending to convert a gas station into primarily luxury housing to also invest in meeting the needs of our most vulnerable residents. That's what we're still looking to hear from this applicant. We'll see if they're able to come up with a package that is adequate in meeting the needs of our community and look forward to the hearing today and further conversations in advance of the conclusion of this process.

number of different ULURP applications that began during my predecessor's tenure and has continued into this new term so we were not consulted precertification on these projects, we did not have any ability to shape this project in any meaningful way and are kind of left to try to make some adjustments at the margins to make it better but frankly have our hands tied because of the way that

ERIC PALATNIK: I do.

of some congratulations. I heard there's some good

news in his life when I was at a Community Board
meeting the other night.

I want to thank everybody for the time today. I recognize we're the last one on your agenda today, and I know the Council Member had some questions and some issues that he raised that I'd very much like the chance to answer and give hopefully adequate responses for the Councilman and for the remainder of the Committee and then maybe us and the Council Member can spend some time talking after this to try to find a common solution because I think we share the same exact goals.

This is the building that we're asking you to build. It's a relatively small building. It's only 29 units. It's about 28,000 square feet of floor area. It's 29 units. I say that. Those are actually mostly studios and one-bedrooms. They're kind of squeezed in there. Of the 28,000 square feet of floor area, includes about 3,500 square feet of ground floor commercial which we are keenly desirable of meeting the Council Member's desire. He expressed a desire of some kind of food store or similar type of establishment on the ground floor, a small grocery.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Of course, it's only 3,500 square feet, but we'd like to meet that.

Really, what's more telling than this picture though because this is just a picture of another new building in New York City. As good as Brian Neumann, our architect, is, it's not much different than any of them that we see around the city. Really, what's telling is, if you go to the next slide, which is what the Council Member mentioned, which is the last remaining manufacturing block. If you can just go to the next slide, please.

This gives you a good picture for what we're talking about here. This is the last remaining manufacturing block. This site we're asking you to rezone and act upon today, we're asking you to go from an M1-2 district to an R7D with a C2-4 commercial overlay on this 5,000 square foot lot.

Now, the Council Member pointed out that there's a series of rezonings around us, and he's 1,000 percent right. There's the Ulano Corporation which is a large film-making company, like the film that goes over your credit card, the wrap-around stuff. That was produced in the building to the right there, that brick building you see, which is a whole

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

complex. The remainder of Third Avenue, which I'll show you in a second, which the block front is owned by DCAS. The reason that we're here ahead of them and a different schedule, unfortunately, it predates probably even the previous Council Member. We started this request to build a residential building here in New York City, if you can believe this, 12 years ago under Meenakshi Srinivasan at the Board of Standard and Appeals where it was warmly received and then those in administration changed just like now. It ended up in the de Blasio administration at the Board of Standard and Appeals under the new regime that operated there, and they were not so pleased with it. The entire time, we've been meeting with the Council Member, Council Member Stephen Levin, we've been meeting with the Community Board on multiple, multiple, multiple occasions. We had full support of everybody. This is over the past decade, a decade of support. We've met with Community Board 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 different times. The Board of Standard and Appeals did not like it. They rejected it. We explained to them it's all residential around it. They rejected it so then we ended up in City Planning where we pursued the rezoning application you're acting upon right

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

now. This rezoning application wound its way through, unfortunately again before Council Member Restler was elected or was even involved at that point and admittedly we could've come to him before he took office but we came to him right after he took office, and at that point we had almost unanimous Community Board support. Never in my career, only 1 other time, the last application I had before you, was there unanimous support. There was only 1 person objected. 35 people out of 37 supported it. Then we met with Howard Kotkin (sic) who runs the Block Association next door. We spent 2 or 3 meetings with Howard. He runs the Block Association. He supported it. We met with the Borough President's office, and they supported it. Council Member Restler, I think likes it from what I can tell, but he's not pleased I don't think with our community gifts so we're going to try to make him happy in the community gifts, and, in that respect, what we've tried to encourage and explain that we'd be happy to do is to contribute to Wycoff Gardens. They have a fund. We've also tried to work and will continue to work on the affordability. I was just very pleased to hear the previous application that he made some comments, which I was

2 unaware of, and I got a little bit of insight for

3 some ideas, Council Member, that I might be able to

4 present to you to make you a little bit happier in

5 | what we're doing.

2.2

2.3

The problem for all the Board Members, if you can skip forward, I'll go through the presentation in a few more seconds. Just for all the Committee Members, you can understand the pressures that are on the developers, and I can understand where the Council Member is coming from, but the ULURP process doesn't allow us to time things so well, and that's what we're not timed up with Ulano next door who's doing a larger rezoning. If you go to the next slide, I'll show you everything. Next slide, please.

This slide gives you a pretty good

depiction of what's going on around us. You can see

here that you've got the project area, and our site

is just a small little pimple in it. Where the words

"Project Area" are, where you see the parking lot,

that's owned by the Department of Citywide

Administrative Services. That is not our property.

The property in the far end to the left is owned by,

I believe, a fertility doctor. That's the block front

you look across the street at the bottom of the map,

that's all zoned residential so our block is

24

2 literally, and I'll show you that in a second, next 3 slide, please.

I'm sorry if I'm taking too long, but there is a long history here and we've been at this for a decade so I appreciate you taking the time to listen to this. It's a little bit complicated on this map. The rezoning that we are undertaking here is doing a couple of different things. It's shown right here on the tax map. If you look on the right side of the tax map, you can see in red our property. That's all that's being rezoned under our action, but we are also doing the block front as I mentioned a moment ago, and that's all going to become R7D. You'll also notice in the bottom right of that yellow map, you'll see R6B with an asterisk next to it. That was done at the suggestion and advice of the Planning Department so that they could fill in that zoning area because that lot was otherwise zoned manufacture so they thought that would be awkward to leave that. Again, what I'm trying to demonstrate to you here is we're cleaning up the map. If you go through the next few slides, I'll show you how we're becoming consistent with the block front. If you go to the next slide.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This slide shows you, actually, the next slide shows it even more clear. There you go. Beautiful. This slide's perfect. The left side shows you the existing zoning map and the right side shows you the proposed. As you can see, we're the green on the left side in the middle of the map. Everything around us, if you look to the bottom, the right, and the left of the top is all R7A, R6B, R7B, R6B, and R6 so obviously I think the zoning district makes sense that we're asking for. This is an R7D and that requires us to provide affordable housing under the MIH program. This gives you an idea for the land use itself. Go now to the next slide, please. I think we're going to skip forward a couple of slides. We're going to go right now to the affordability slide so I don't waste everybody's time. About 2 or 3 more slides forward, and you'll see a, it's not numbered, I apologize. One more I think. One more. There you go. Beautiful.

Anna from City5 Consulting is on the phone, and she's created this matrix. We created this matrix at Option 1, and I just heard a second ago a community benefits agreement the Council Member made with another developer. I think they provided more

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

than 25 percent of the floor area. I thought I heard that was at Option 2, but I'm not sure. These are things that we are coming back to the Council Member with numbers to show the Council Member that we can do more than what we're showing right here, whether it be by changing the affordability, the MIH Option to the 80 percent option and increasing some of the units or whether it be by keeping it at Option level 1 and increasing some of the units at a different amount, but the most important part that we want to let the Council Member know is we spent Memorial Day weekend, other than the one day I got to go to the beach with my kids yesterday, working on a matrix to provide to him a transparency, our whole spreadsheet. We want to show him exactly what our costs are and what we're up against and what we can afford to give and still make the project worthwhile. We have worked very hard on this. We're giving him exactly what Bill Wolf Petroleum is working off of and it includes the new inflationary costs, it includes the new supply chain issues, it includes the cost of labor, it includes the 421 extinguishing, and he's doing that to show the Council Member and the Council and the Committee from a transparent perspective what it will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

cost to build the building and operate the building and where his breaking point is as a reasonably prudent investor developing a building. Of course, there is a benefit to be had from the rezoning, but there's a limit to the benefit, and, when that limit is reached, then the developer can no longer develop. We have been speaking with the Council Member, and our initial discussion, we think we kind of might have gone beyond our limit so we wanted to come back to the Council Member and to the Committee and to provide you with real numbers, maybe you could use it in future applications, and you can see exactly what things are costing us, exactly what we're estimating, exactly what the affordability component is going to cost us, exactly what the developer is being forced

Now, in large rezonings, sometimes there's more meat on the bone. We're a tiny rezoning that's been at this for a decade. We are willing to give to the community. We're willing to give funding where it's needed. We're willing to give more than is necessary on the MIH. What we are asking as an exchange, and I think we have it here because I now most of the Council Members and I've read about the

to bear the burden of in exchange for the rezoning.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New York City.

rest of them, I think we have a reasonable bunch of people here including the Council Member, most importantly the Council Member, who could take a look at the numbers that we are going to provide and say to themselves these people are not full of it. They're telling me what it's going to cost. Then we can all decided together where the breaking point is, and, if the breaking point is too much, we'll agree not to push on with the application and we'll withdraw, but if the breaking point is at a point that we can all agree to we are eager to seek the approval and build housing here. Obviously, it's a gas station. Although it's making money today, the writing is on the wall. It's 6 bucks a gallon and electric cars on the way. Gas is not the future of

We thank you very much for your time. I'd like to pause here. I don't think you need to see too much more unless you want to. We have our full team here to answer any questions. We have Anna from City5 Consulting, we have Brian Neumann who is our project architect. You can take down the screen now. We can show au any slides you want. I think you're all very well-educated and you don't need to see much more

5 happy to talk about anything you want.

2.2

2.3

Thank you very much for giving me all the time you gave me. You've been more than generous.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eric. I just have about 3 questions and then I'm going to pass it to Council Member Restler.

My first question is there's currently a separate private application, 280 Bergen, for our city plan to rezone the rest of this block from manufacturing to residential. Did you consider coordinating with this application and/or why did you decide to advance your own separate application?

ERIC PALATNIK: Okay. Like everything in life, there's always a back story on everything, right? The first part of the story goes that they were at a much different timing situation at the time. We have to go back in time a little bit. At the time, they came in behind us. We had been speaking with the Council Member. We had been speaking with the Community Board. We were speaking with Department of City Planning. Everybody knew about what was going

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on around, and nobody had a problem with us moving
ahead at the pace we were moving.

Also, as time went on, the development pressure got different on different parties in it, and suffice it to say that not all the roosters get along so well in the henhouse, but, even if the roosters did get along beautifully and they were able to, we were at a much different time and we checked it all off with everybody because we were trying very hard to make sure that we were okay. We recognized that this was a potential for an issue so what we did when we set forth the rezoning ideas here is the ideas that were set forth as I said include the Ulano property, which is one of the properties we're talking about, and included in the district that we were rezoning and that was done in an effort to coordinate into what they were doing, and that's also with the R6B in the backdrop. We did try very hard, the best we could, to make sure everybody was okay with everything. You know, you can't always force everybody to do everything together the way we'd all want obviously, but we did try very hard in the previous group of people, and, as the Council Member said, he came in in the middle and we respect his

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

wishes, but the timing just worked out where he just walked in right smack when we were getting certified.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eric. What types of businesses do you envision occupying the ground floor retail space?

ERIC PALATNIK: We want to work very closely with the Council Member to try to find a food store of some sort. We're going to use our best efforts to try to find something that can come in there. It is only 3,500 square feet so it's not that big. He has expressed in a meeting that there's a shortage of fresh food around there. It's not going to be a supermarket of any sort, if we can even find anybody. As you know, retail has taken an extreme twist in New York City so it's not as if it's a player's market for picking out users, but Howard Kotkin (sic) who lives next door to us and is the head of the neighborhood block association also sits on, I believe, the board of Atlantic Avenue where they track commercial users. We'd like to work with the Council Member and Howard and Council Member's staff to try to find users in there. We cannot commit to a food store because, if somebody could force a food store to come into us, we'll commit but absent

2.2

2.3

time.

somebody saying to us we have a food store for you
and they'll take and they'll take it at a rent that
you could afford to pay your bills on, it goes back
to the spreadsheet we're going to send, we'd be happy
to. We're trying to accommodate everybody's desires
and needs and create a feasible building at the same

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eric. My last question is do you have a plan in place to ensure local hiring and M/WBE participation during construction?

here is Bill Wolf Petroleum. Bill Wolf Petroleum is building in quite a few different locations right now. They only hire M/WBE contractors to work for them. They are not an M/WBE organization because they are neither a minority or women. It will have minority/women-owned business enterprises working for them. They're also going to try to be using locally sourced labor within the building. We did present the building to 32BJ for their (INAUDIBLE). It's too small a building for their desires, and I didn't mention a moment ago but the building's going to have all the latest green features as well as be up to

somebody who's invested in reducing personal car

2 ownership, it's not my singular top priority, but I

3 represent a sizeable swath of Brownstone Brooklyn,

4 Dumbo and Brooklyn Heights, Downtown Brooklyn, Boerum

5 | Hill, into Gowanus. With the conversion of this gas

6 station into yet more luxury housing, do you know how

7 | many gas stations will remain in my district? If not,

8 | the answer's one, and I worry that the remaining gas

9 stations are able to just jack up prices even more

10 and take advantage of consumers because of the lack

11 of competition that we're seeing. We've seen gas

12 station after gas station in our community get

13 converted into luxury housing because the opportunity

14 to make money is so great for property owners and

15 developers.

25

1

16 ERIC PALATNIK: Council Member, if I may.

17 I was muted before. I had muted myself when you

18 spoke. I learned a lesson here. You cannot unmute

19 yourself if you mute yourself here on this Zoom so I

20 apologize. I was trying to answer you.

Obviously, you know the answer. There's

22 only one. Let me just speak practically from this

23 automotive service station so you can understand the

24 dilemma it's facing. Whether we like it or not, I

represent British Petroleum. Everybody's going

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

electric, and the gas stations are no different. This station is the smallest possible gas station that could ever be. It was much smaller than every other gas station around that ever was or is, and it was created specifically to fuel up the trucks in the manufacturing district around there. They were all on account. The problem for us is, as a community, is that Shell or any supplier is not so anxious to be sending gas over there because it's not pumping as much gas as where else they could be so gas companies are not really so interested in the site. The reason Bill Wolf Petroleum is here in front of you right now is simply because the gas companies are not excited about this site, it's not in their future. He has to do something with the property. It's probably not going to stay as a gas station much longer unless he gets lucky and this gas shortage turns into a bonanza for them in which case maybe he will stay open, but right now they don't make the money off the gas shortage. I think the suppliers are making the money off the gas shortage, not the dealers.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I'm not here to protect the fossil fuel industry or to sustain personal car ownership. Those are not my policy

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

note.

objectives, but I do worry about the lack of competition in the marketplace, and this is yet another step that is taking us in that direction.

I've seen the Mobil on Flatbush that charges an arm and a leg just outside of our district, and I think that we are unfortunately going to see gas stations be able to take advantage of consumers who need cars

to get around more and more as a result of yet another transition here. Again, it's not my overarching policy concern, but it is an issue of

The supermarket site, I just wanted to give a little context on that again for the record for why it's an area of concern. For some reason in the Gowanus rezoning, the primary site where we have a supermarket that serves our 3 large public housing developments in this area, where we have about 2,000 units of public housing, is a CTown on Bond, and that is a soft site in the Gowanus rezoning, and so, unfortunately, what my friends in the land use business tell me is that it's an inevitably that that site is going to get rezoned and we're going to lose the one deeply affordable supermarket that meets the needs of NYCHA residents of low-income residents in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our profoundly gentrified area and so it is over grave concern to me that our 2,000 units of NYCHA residents, probably 6,000-plus people are no longer going to have an affordable place to do their shopping so we're just looking at every opportunity and every turn where we can preserve that so, if there was an opportunity for deeply affordable produce and goods at this location, it would be appreciated and meaningful and make a difference in ensuring affordability for the community because, of course, affordability is not just being able to afford the roof over your head, it's being able to pay for the basic necessities that you have in your neighborhood from laundromats to supermarkets and etc.

Next, on the affordable housing, I just want to ask kind of briefly for the applicant to reiterate that they are committed to going above the MIH requirements at this location and that we will work together closely in the ensuing couple of weeks to realize a more generous mix of affordable housing at this location.

ERIC PALATNIK: We are going to show you our bank account, and we're all going to decide

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

together at what point the amount of money left in the account after we spend it is worthy of spending the money and if it's a worthy decision. If it is, we will wholeheartedly build it. We're going to give you the spreadsheet. We spoke on the phone. You asked for transparency. We are giving you our accounting spreadsheet, the same one that is being given to our investors just so everybody is alert to everything. It is a windfall for a developer when they get a rezoning. I always say this to everybody. Nothing makes more money than a rezoning so you're 1,000 percent correct, but there's a balance on the windfall as well. There's a risk against it. If you talk to some of my clients right now who can't get windows on buildings that are built and the buildings are boarded up while they're waiting 8 months for windows and they're paying a bank note every month and they're second mortgaging their house, all of this stuff, you're the Zoning Committee, you're building housing, you should be alert. It is an issue. We are keenly trying to address your concern and show you our numbers inside out, and we want to come to an agreement with you, and we want to provide, yes, more than the bare minimum and we want

2.2

2.3

to provide more than that and we want to find a term
that you'll be comfortable with.

appreciate your desire to be transparent here and to open up your books. I find from my days dating back to serving on the Community Board the developers finances are opaque and so we have no idea just what are appropriate and reasonable asks and what are the right expectations for community benefits and investments because we have no idea how much money you're making. I just know that we're upzoning a site and allowing for luxury development on a location that will represent piles and piles of cash for the owner and so I'm interested in your commitment to transparency. We will review any and all information you provide rigorously and hope to get to a better place.

ERIC PALATNIK: So do we.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Two more questions and comments. One is we've engaged in preliminary conversations with the Trust for Public Land about a commitment to work with NYCHA to upgrade facilities at Wycoff Gardens and just wanted to affirm that the applicant is open to and interested

2.2

2.3

in potentially supporting the Trust for Public Land
at a project that would be beneficial to the
residents of Wycoff Gardens and NYCHA developments.

asked for affordable ground floor, you just asked for deeper affordability on the remaining amount of small units upstairs, and you just asked for cash in pocket to the Trust Fund, okay? If we can afford to spend that money, we're going to show it to you. If we're going to look at that with you and you're going to say, if I was investing with you, I would rather put my money in the bank, I wouldn't do it here, then we're not going to do it. We're going to leave it to you to look at it. I understand you're super intelligent, we've spoken at length, you understand it very, very well, and I think we'll...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: If you lie on the record, you're going to get in trouble.

ERIC PALATNIK: No, you're smart. Melinda

Katz told me something once when she was Borough

President, and you just said it the same way. She

said I don't care what game we're playing, just tell

me the rules, and I think that's what you just said

to me. Just tell me what you got going on. I'm going

just leave it where it is.

2.2

2.3

to show it to you. If there's enough money left in the piggybank after we spend it on all the candy we just desired to put into our tummies, then we will, of course, proceed and hopefully come to a good spot or we could say to one another, you know what, you can't give enough, nothing to get out of this, and

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I just want to be clear.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sorry. We have to wrap it up in a few so have to wrap it up, Council Member.

being lighthearted and jovial in my approach, but I just want to be very clear. We're 17 years after the Downtown Brooklyn rezoning and we have not seen 1 inch of park space or 1 inch of new schools open in our community and we have tens of thousands of new residents in the area so we need real community investments to address the needs of our growing community. Not any small developer is going to be able to solve for all of that, but our expectations are significantly higher than what our predecessors' have been and so I want to be very clear that we look forward to a transparent process, but we are dead

have no members of the public who signed up to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 45
testify on this proposal, Chair, so we can go ahead
and close.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LUs 65 and 66 relating to the 98th Third Avenue rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the items are laid over.

I will now open the public hearing on LUs 63 and 64 relating to the 4541 Furman Avenue rezoning proposal in Council Member Dinowitz' district in the Bronx.

This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing M1-1 district to an R7D/C2-4 district and the related zoning text amendment to establish an MIH program area.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Just checking if Council Member Dinowitz is here.

Counsel, please call the first panel for this item.

2.2

BILL BOLLINGER: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Now the applicant team may begin.

Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask you please restate your name and organization for the record.

You may begin.

NORA MARTINS: Hi. Good morning, Chair
Riley, Council Members. Nora Martins from Akerman LLP
representing Markland 4551 LLC, the applicant for the
proposed Land Use application which will facilitate
redevelopment of an underutilized site located at
4541 Furman Avenue in Community District 12 in the
Bronx with a mixed-use 100 percent affordable housing
building.

I'm also joined by Josh Weissman and Bill Bollinger from JCAL Development Group, the proposed developer of the project who will address the proposed project's retail program and economic benefits as well as the proposed affordable housing program. Next.

This land use application includes the following 3 land use actions. First, a zoning map

2 amendment from the existing M1-1 zoning district to

3 an R7D district with a C2-4 commercial overlay along

4 White Plains Road together with zoning text

5 amendments to establish a mandatory inclusionary

6 housing area, Option 1, and to extend the transit

7 zone. Next.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This slide shows the site location. This is the development site location. The rezoning area includes the development site as well as the remainder of the block to the north, but the red outline shows the development site, which has frontage on both White Plains Road adjacent to the elevated train and on Furman Avenue. Next.

Here we have the area map showing the proposed rezoning and surrounding context. You can see the proposed C2-4 overlay on White Plains Road which is consistent with the existing commercial overlay that extends along both sides of White Plains Road to the north and south of the site. White Plains Road is the main, really the only commercial corridor in this community district. Next.

These photos show existing conditions on the site from both the White Plains Road and Furman Avenue frontages. The top 2 photos are along Furman

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Avenue which is the main building (INAUDIBLE) the existing building on the site is a 1-story warehouse building which is temporarily occupied but does not have really an operating business, and the bottom 2 photos are a view of the site from White Plains Road. There is a significant grade change from White Plains Road sloping down to Furman Avenue so the building looks very small from White Plains Road. The main entrance is on Furman Avenue. This grade change I'll talk about a little bit more, but it is a unique site condition that makes providing a parking level in this project more feasible than typical and affordable housing developments and allows us to provide a significant number of parking spaces in the development in response to feedback from the community as well as the Council Member. Next.

On the left, you have the existing map which shows the entire block between Furman and White Plains Road zoned M1-1, which is a manufacturing district.

Including the development site, we're rezoning 17 properties on the block, more than half of which are occupied by non-conforming residential already so the proposed rezoning would legalize those non-conforming

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 residential uses as well as facilitate redevelopment

3 of the block in the future consistent with

4 surrounding land use. On the right, you can see the

5 proposed zoning district, which is the R7D and a C2-4

6 commercial overlay along White Plains Road. The

7 proposed zoning is essentially an extension of a

8 rezoning that was done about 3 years ago to the

9 north. You can see the R7D zone block to the north.

10 Just continuing that proposed zoning, pulling that

11 southward to cover about 2/3 of the block. Next.

This map shows the existing transit zone. Circled in red is our block, the subject block, which is currently excluded from the transit zone. The transit zone is shown shaded in gray. It was established in 2016 to help alleviate parking burden and facilitate the development of affordable housing near transit. It was extended to include the block to the north of us in 2019 as part of that rezoning, and the subject application proposes to include our entire block consistent with surrounding land use and affordable housing policy and to really just fill in that gap in the transit zone. It wasn't initially

included because it was zoned for manufacturing, and

the transit zone designation is only relevant to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 residential development, affordable housing 3 specifically. Next slide.

Here you can just see what the proposed transit zone map would look like, filling in that gap in the map. Next slide, please.

In brief, the proposed development would be a 10-story mixed-use building with 2 segments fronting on White Plains Road and Furman Avenue. Approximately 136,500 square feet of floor area, 5.5 FAR. 5.6 is the max in the proposed zoning district. Approximately 130,000 square feet of residential floor area which would be comprised of 148 incomerestricted dwelling units. Initially, we proposed 150 units, but, in response to feedback received during the public review process to date, we have actually redone the unit distribution to propose less studios and more 2 and 3-bedroom units. The current distribution proposes 35 percent of the units as 2 and 3-bedroom family sized units. While all 148 units would be affordable, income-restricted pursuant to a term sheet, 37 would be permanently income-restricted pursuant to MIH Option 1. We're also proposing 52 parking spaces. Initially the project proposed 22, increased that number to 46 and then we were able to

2 push it a little bit more to 52 spaces in response to

3 | feedback that we've heard through this process.

4 Building amenities include outdoor recreation space,

5 gym, laundry room, bicycle storage, package room,

6 community room, and afterschool study room. In

7 addition to the residential component of the

8 building, the ground floor will contain fronting on

9 | Furman Avenue, approximately 11,100 square feet of

10 community facility space, and fronting on White

11 | Plains Road 7,000 square feet of commercial space

12 | intended to be occupied by local retail. Next.

This slide shows the site plan from an aerial view. You can see the 2 building segments fronting on White Plains Road to the north and Furman Avenue to the south and then the outdoor recreation space which connects to indoor amenity space in the 2 building segments located on the lowest roof levels adjacent to each building segment. Next.

This section diagram just illustrates the residential. You have in yellow the residential, in red you have the proposed commercial on White Plains Road. You can see the elevated train adjacent. In the blue/purple color you have the community facility

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

fronting on Furman Avenue and then below that space is the proposed parking level. Next.

This is the street level rendering from White Plains Road showing the proposed building façade. Next.

We have a bird's-eye rendering from Furman Avenue showing the entire development in context.

We'll now turn it over to Josh Weissman to discuss the proposed commercial component retail program along with other economic benefits based on JCAL's experience developing similar affordable housing mixed-use buildings in the Bronx.

JOSH WEISSMAN: Sure. Thank you, Nora. Next slide, please.

This is a map showing the commercial corridor on White Plains Road. As you can see, there's a numerous amount of smaller retail on the 2 or 3 blocks between the 2 subway stops. There are some other new buildings being built now that will also have some commercial area, but we really think that we can upgrade the commercial use here because we are between 2 subway stops and we're going to have about 7,000 new square feet for our building. You see

a new hotel opened up. There's a few bars and grills,

a barbershop, Metro PCS, there's a Dunkin' Donuts on

4 the corner. This is a corridor that can be upgraded

5 but does have a numerous amount of uses. Next slide,

6 please.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In our buildings throughout the Bronx, we have a wide array of different uses. We do have big box stores in some of our larger spaces like daycares, Link Fitness, Crunch Fitness, dialysis center, etc., but we also are able to get smaller mom-and-pop and local entrepreneurial retail like we did down in Mott Haven on Alexander Avenue. Down here in Mott Haven where our office is and where we own a couple of walk-up buildings, we've got tenants that really made the area vibrate and a destination area. We have the Lit. Bar, which at the time she opened up, Noelle Santos, who was born and raised in the Bronx, was the only bookstore in the Bronx. We have a bistro, hip-hop-themed restaurant that's doing amazingly well. They have outdoor seating in that restaurant, and we close the streets for salsa nights and open mic nights and stuff like that. We opened up a deli in this area because it was desperately needed for our neighborhood, and, even though we had other

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

please.

more professional office space wanting that vacant spot, we said no, we're going to wait and find something that's really beneficial to the neighborhood. We also have a pizza place that was needed as well across the street. We're able to really craft what's needed and what's the best use for the neighborhood that we build in. Next slide,

Just to go over the economic development of a project like this that we hope to build. We anticipate to have 175 construction jobs throughout the process and 20 permanent jobs which will be the building staff, the staff of the community facility like a daycare or an urgent care or a not-for-profit office as well as for the retail on White Plains Road which we hope to be some sort of restaurant, bar, or gastropub kind of option. In all of our projects that we do and ones that were financed by HPD, we have to meet a 25 percent M/WBE requirement, and that's something that we put a lot of effort into. On our current project down in Port Morris where we're building 133 affordable ELLA units, we had a 3 million dollar, 25 percent requirement, and the building is now 90 percent bought out and we're at 15

million dollars in M/WBE subcontracts. We've been 2

3 working in the Bronx for over 20 years. We have a

4 very good Rolodex filled with not only local subs and

5 suppliers but M/WBE subcontractors as well. We are

always trying to increase our Rolodex, and we have 6

7 Zoom meetings with some of our not-for-profit

partners where we'll have a Zoom, we'll put up our 8

project, we'll answer questions with local minority

and women-owned businesses, and, if they're able to 10

11 meet the insurance requirements, we'll send them out

bid packages. We have a really good relationship with 12

13 working with local not-for-profits in the areas that

14 we build, and we have a good commitment to local

15 hiring. Next slide, please.

I'll turn it over to by business partner, 16

17 Bill, who will go over the unit distribution and AMI

levels. 18

1

19 BILL BOLLINGER: Hi, all. Thank you very

20 much. Again, for the record, William Bollinger, JCAL

21 Development.

25

This distribution comports with an HPD 2.2

2.3 ELLA project. I do want to make one note. Nora had

mentioned that 25 percent of the units, of course, 24

are permanently affordable through the MIH

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 requirements. One of the things that HPD policy

3 requires is that if you do take financing from them

4 through an MIH site, an additional 15 percent of the

5 units have to be set aside for permanently

6 affordable. In this case, we'll have 40 percent, or

7 | roughly 60 units, will be set aside for permanently

8 affordable housing. Here, you'll see a typical

9 | breakdown of the ELLA units. We'll have, of course,

10 the homeless set aside and then we have ones that

11 | range from 30 percent AMI all the way up to 80

12 percent AMI so we have workforce housing that we

13 | blend with deeper affordability levels. The chart is

14 | right here. I'm not going to read through everything,

15 but we try to push the limits that we have a good mix

16 of both. Next slide, please.

As far as housing, the marketing strategy, of course because this is a HPD project it goes through the Housing Connect process, through a lottery process, so that will require that 50 percent of the units be given to people within Community Board 12. Again, that's the minimum. We like to do everything possible to not just meet that requirement but to exceed it by as much as we possibly can.

Hence, like the word lottery, the more people you

2.2

2.3

have submitted to the lottery the better chance that you have. In past projects, right before the marketing process window opens, we like to go back to the Community Boards, we like to go back to the local Council Member, and we'll even meet with local area not-for-profits to do workshops or trainings or just nothing more than just to make sure people are aware that the marketing window opens because it's usually a 45 to 60-day window, and the last thing we want is to have people who were in the neighborhood otherwise maybe qualify just miss the window because life is busy so we want to try to make sure that everybody is as informed as possible as the lottery process is set to embark. Next slide, please.

I believe that's it. Correct, Nora.

She may be muted. I think that's our presentation. We'd be glad to take any...

NORA MARTINS: Thank you. Yes, that's our presentation. Happy to respond to questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I'm going to ask 2 questions, and I see Council Member Dinowitz and I'm going to pass it to him afterwards.

This is right in my community as well as on the border of where me and Council Member Dinowitz

built without that text amendment?

2.2

2.3

represent. The transit zone, can your team please
discuss what you believe the public benefit to
including a transit zone as part of this project
given that the parking currently proposed could be

NORA MARTINS: Sure. Exactly. Right now,
the way we've proposed the project, we include enough
parking to not actually need the benefit of the

10 transit for our development site as proposed.

However, we are including in the transit zone and within the rezoning 16 other properties, not all of which are development sites, especially not in the near future, but looking forward as we want to plan for the long-term and not just this project, the transit zone extension allows reduction in the number of required parking for affordable housing units. Not all affordable housing as defined by the city. It has to be specifically income-restricted housing, and that's at 80 percent AMI and below. That allows you to reduce the requirement from 15 percent of those units to 0. However, you're still required any, even affordable units that are above 80 percent AMI and any market-rate, we will still be required to provide the required parking in a district. So it doesn't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

totally eliminate any parking requirement on the block for residential development. I think that's important. What it does do is remove a barrier to development of new residential including Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and affordable housing for smaller sites that may be less able to actually provide parking feasibly. This site has a unique site condition where they have a really almost a 1-story grade change from one frontage to the other and an existing building that has basically excavated the site already so you almost already have that subcellar level for parking that is so costly for many projects, especially publicly financed affordable housing projects to provide. That is the public benefit. It's not necessarily for this project, but it's consistent with surrounding land use and future development of the block.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Nora. In the Bronx, there's a high need for affordable units accessible to households in need of 2 to 3-bedroom units. Can you discuss how your project will aid in that need for much-needed affordable housing?

NORA MARTINS: Bill, if you want to take

25 | this one?

2.2

2.3

the Council Member, we did increase the units from 30 percent, I believe HPD's minimum guidelines are just 30 percent twos and threes and all of those could be just 2 so we've increased it up to 35 percent total and we have a large share of those as 3-bedroom units so we've pushed that number up high as far as our experience with HPD, but we feel that's a totally understandable and justified number considering the need for larger-sized units in districts such as yourself and Council Member Dinowitz.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Bill. I would now like to allow Council Member Dinowitz to ask any questions or give any remarks. Council Member Dinowitz.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: Thank you.

Council Member, one of the great things about having a neighboring Council Member in Council Member Riley, he's a good partner and we both care and represent the same area of Wakefield.

My concerns also dealt with the transit zone, the need for this particular project to expand the transit zone. Again, it seems like for this particular project, it is unnecessary, and I had the

questions, this applicant panel is excused.

SUBCOMMITTEE	OM	ZONTNG	ΔND	FRANCHISES

Counsel, are there any members of the

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other

That concludes today's business. I would

Chair, there are no members of the public who signed

up to testify on this item so we can go ahead and

members of the public who wish to testify on LUs 63

and 64 relating to the 4541 Furman Avenue rezoning

proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the

Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other

participating in today's meeting. This meeting is

hereby adjourned. Have a good day, everyone. [GAVEL]

like to thank the members of the public, my

Council staff, and the Sergeant-at-Arms for

public who wish to testify on 4541 Furman Avenue

63

2

1

3

4

proposal?

close the hearing.

items are laid over.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 15, 2022