CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

April 12, 2022 Start: 10:10 a.m. Recess: 12:41 p.m.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING - VIRTUAL ROOM 1

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Shaun Abreu

Erik D. Bottcher David M. Carr Kamillah Hanks Farah N. Louis Francisco P. Moya Lynn C. Schulman

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Eric Vath
Goldman and Harris

Nicole Vlado Principal of Shakespeare Gordon Vlado Architects

Susan Albrecht
Executive Director of Catholic Homes New York

Drew Kiriazides Associate Director of Catholic Homes New York

Caroline Harris
Partner at Goldman Harris

Richard Lobel Sheldon Lobel, P.C.

Kevin Williams
Equity Environmental

Mark Spitzer Applicant

Chesky Weiss Member of public

David Niederman Member of public

Dharminder Singh Applicant

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Manish Savani Project architect

Barbara Brown Member of public

Lonnie Glover Member of public

William Perkins Member of public

Felipe Ruiz Member of public

Subcommittee on March 8th and March 21st and to hold

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 6 this meeting at the Council's website. If you need an accessible version of any of the presentations shown today please send an e-mail request to

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When called to testify individuals appearing before the Subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the Chair to speak. Applicant teams will be recognized as a group when called first followed by members of the public. When the Chair recognized you, your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

Public testimony will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing here before the Subcommittee you may e-mail it to again, landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate the LU number and or project name in the subject line of your e-mail.

During the hearing Council Members with questions should use the Zoom raise hand function, which appears at the bottom of your participant panel SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

of the primary viewing window. Council Members with
questions will be announced in the order as they
raise their hands and Chair Riley will then recognize
members to speak. Witnesses are requested to remain

6 in the meeting until excused by the Chair as Council

7 Members may have questions.

Finally, there may be pauses over the course of this meeting for various technical reasons and we ask that you please patient as we work through any issues and just a quick reminder for the Council Members, we're gonna be controlling your mics.

And now Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda items.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council.

Before I talk about what we are voting on today I

just wanna note that we will... we will be laying over

LU's 20, 21, and 22 related to the 1034-1042 Atlantic

Avenue rezoning proposal and LU's 23, 24, and 25

relating to the 80's... 870-888 Atlantic Avenue

rezoning proposal, both in Council Member Hudson's

district in Brooklyn.

Now for our votes today, today we will vote to approve with modifications preconsider's LU's

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 29 and 30 relating to the 1377 Sutter Avenue rezoning 3 in Council Member Barron's district in Brooklyn.

The proposal will rezone an R5 District to an R6A/C2-4 District and establish and MIH program area utilizing, excuse me, utilizing option one and two. Our modification will reduce the proposal rezoning area by excluding portions of the Sutter Avenue frontage and making a corresponding reduction to the proposed MIH area while also striking the MIH option two and adding the deep affordability option while retaining option one.

These modifications would allow the creation of a new 100% affordable housing development through MIH that otherwise would not be able to be developed on this site and balance new growth with protecting existing residents from displacement.

Land use patterns in the immediate surrounding areas are reflected by lower scale attached and semi... semi-detached residential buildings generally with two or three stories. On the other hand, R6A districts, which allow development up to 85 feet in height and up to a maximum FAR of 3.6 are significantly higher in density an up zoning to this degree could result in displacement of existing

and then sometimes it's 107 and so on and so forth,

but so 70 and 80% of that is like \$80,000.00. Our

24

10

12

25

affordability as we define affordability and that is

family of three. We were able to get these 28 units

10

11 30 to 60% of the AMI. So three of the apartments will

be for the homeless, another three will be for the

that would've been not affordable to us to

13 extremely low income, so that's six, then we got 14

14 of the 28, so with that six it'll be 20, 20 of the 28

15 apartments are 60% and low, down to 30% and with

16 homeless and that is our range of affordability. And

then we know we do have some of our people that are... 17

18 are... are teachers or sanitation workers that do make

19 60 and \$70,000.00, so we left eight units for those

20 in our community that do make 60, 70 and 80,000,

21 'cause sometimes those are the people that are hit

2.2 hardest, 'cause they're not making enough not to get

2.3 these breaks and they can't get the breaks, so this

is 100% affordable according to the income ban of our 24

neighborhood and we're not... they're not displacing

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the commercial businesses below it on the ground level, they're negotiating with them. Most of them will come back, there's one project that they're negotiating with to see how that could happen, but this is 100% affordable and they was only gonna do it for like 30 years. You should always try to get perpetuity, affordability forever, but if you can't get at least 60 years. So this project will be 60 years in affordability and then we're puttin' some clause in there where it'll be in their benefit to re-up for another 60 after the 60 years is gone, so if they think, because I'm 70 years old that I'll be gone by then, I plan on living to at least 200, so when I... 60 plus 70 is 150, I'll still be 150 years old and on their case.

I thank my colleagues. I support this project 100%.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much Council Member Barron.

We will also vote to approve with modification preconsidered LU's 32 and 33 for the 3285 Fulton Street rezoning proposal relating to property in Council Member Nurse district. The proposal will reduce an existing commercial overlay

2.2

2.3

district boundary rezoning R5/C2-3 District to an R7A/C2-4 District and establish an MIH program area utilizing option one and two.

Our modification will be decrease, excuse me, will be to decrease the proposed rezoning area by excluding portions of the Fulton Street frontage.

Accordingly, we will also modify the proposal... the proposing zoning tax amendment, so that the proposed MIH area is consistent with our modified rezoning area.

We will also modify the MIH options by striking option two and adding the deep affordability option while retaining option one. In addition, we will remove the proposed special enhanced commercial district. With the modifications we are allowing the creation of a new 100% affordable housing development through MIH that otherwise would not be able to be developed on these blocks and balance new growth with protecting existing residents from displacement.

Land use patterns in the immediate surrounding areas are reflected by primarily lower scale two story townhouses on the north south side streets and mix use three story row houses with ground floor commercial along Fulton Street. In

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

7 upcoming result in displacement of existing res...

residents without the protection of rent regulations 8

built character, thus, while not the intent this

13

by modifying to limit the boundaries of the rezoning

area we aim to strike a balance between encouraging 10

11 new residential development with MIH to address the

citywide need for affordable housing and preserving

the established character of this area. 13

> Council Member Nurse is in support of this proposed as modify. I would like to allow Council Member Nurse to give any remarks regarding this project. Good morning, Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Good morning, thank you, Chair.

Also just wanted to acknowledge the tragic events that are happening this morning, been a really rough morning for our Council Member Alexa Avilés, who is out in the streets right now supporting her community, so I just hope we're thinking about her today.

2.2

2.3

But thank you, Chair. A few weeks ago I attended this Subcommittee meeting and I have expressed support for the child care and senior housing space, but I was really concerned about the unit sizes for the senior and the much greater rezoning footprint, which has seemed unnecessarily to up-zone the entire... the entire rest of the block and would not include Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and likely increase speculative pressure on the block and surrounding area, which is quite frankly already been fully up-zoned from the East New York rezoning, so therefore in my mind there really was no rational... rational for rezoning this entire block.

I do wanna thank Brooklyn Borough

President Antonio Reynoso and the land use office for working with us over the last few weeks to come up with a good solution and also with the applicants, many in Cypress Hills, LDC, we really wanted to make this work. We knew... we know that it would result in much needed senior housing and much needed affordable child care, but we didn't agree with having the entire block moved up to R7A particularly, because almost all of those ten... tenants and apartments were unregulated apartments.

being... we're partners in this process and especially for me doing a first time land use rezoning it was really, really heartening to see that we could actually significantly reduce that footprint, so I... I really believe that with these amendments we're in a good place and we're able to protect those tenants that are unregulated and so I just wanna really express my full support for this project with these amendments and thank you Chair for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council

Member Nurse and just wanna echo your sentiment of

the tragic events that happened this morning in

Sunset Park and wanna send our prayers to our

colleague Council Member Avilés and the community in

that area. Thank you.

Members of the Subcommittee who have questions or remarks today or excuse me, or remarks about today's items should use the raise hand button now. Counsel will announce members in that order that hands are raised.

Counsel, are there any Council Member with questions or remarks at this time?

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 17
2	proposal and preconsiders LU, LU's 32 and 33 relating
3	to the 3285 Fulton Avenue rezoning proposal.
4	Counsel, please call the roll.
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Riley?
6	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Aye on all.
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Moya?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I vote aye.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Louis?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Permission to
11	explain my vote?
12	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Permission granted.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you Chair
14	Riley.
15	I also wanna express my sympathy, my
16	prayers with Council Member Avilés and her community
17	today, I know that we'll all be in touch with her.
18	I did have concerns about Fulton Street,
19	but I wanna thank Council Member Nurse for her
20	staunch advocacy and for fighting hard as well as
21	Council Member Barron for always leading the way in
22	housing justice, so I look forward to these long term
23	efforts for housing justice for our New Yorker's and

with that I vote aye on all. Thank you.

administer the affirmation.

ERIC VATH: Good morning Council Members,

staff, my name is Eric Vath of Goldman and Harris. I

24

Catholic Homes New York is the housing

affiliate for Catholic Charities and the Archdiocese.

Our... our mission is to develop and provide safe

2.3

24

2 affordable housing for families and seniors and 3 people with special needs based on our belief that

4 | having a home is a sacred human right.

Our housing portfolio currently includes

14 projects with over 2,900 units of affordable
housing for families and seniors. This is an
illustration of three recently completed projects in
the Bronx. The one on the left is located at West
Farms, 319 units of affordable housing, the one in
the middle is located on the site of the former Saint
Augustine Church in Morrisania with 112 units and the
one on the right is the Saint Vincent de Paul Senior
Housing with 89 units. Eric.

to walk through the proposed rezoning. On the left is the existing zoning map. You'll note in the shade of red the existing R6 zoning within 100 feet of Morris Avenue there is a C1-4 commercial overlay. On the right is the proposed zoning district, an R7A, which will maintain the C1-4 overlay within 100 feet of Morris Avenue. The R7A is consistent with the surrounding R7-1, R7-2 and C4-4, which is a R7-2 equivalent zoning district. Next slide please.

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 And with this slide I'd like to segue 3 over to the...

DREW KIRIAZIDES: They jumped ahead a bunch of slides.

ERIC VATH: Yeah, yeah, it looks like we might've jumped ahead.

DREW KIRIAZIDES: Go to slide three.

great. Yeah, if we can go back to the transit map, that would be terrific. One more slide. Perfect, thank you. This site is located in a transit zone, a... a transit rich area, which is approximate to bus, subway and commuter rail lines. The 245 subway runs along 40... 149th Street. The BX32 bus runs along Morris Avenue and the BX29 and 19 are on 149th street. There was also a Metro North Station approximately four blocks north of this site. Next slide please.

And with this I'd like to introduce
Nicole Vlado with Shakespeare Gordon Vlado Architects
to discuss the design of the buildings.

NICOLE VLADO: Thanks Eric and good morning. Again, Shakespeare Gordon Vlado is a woman owned architecture firm, we're based in Brooklyn and

2 we have a practice that's rooted in providing you

3 know and sustaining affordable housing in this city

4 and we're very grateful to be a part of this project

5 and for your time this morning.

2.2

2.3

I'll briefly describe the architectural design of the project. The two interior lots sit back to back with a shared yard, on each site we propose a new as of right nine story building that's compliant with the proposed R7A zoning. The two buildings are designed with strong architectural relationship to one another using the same materials colors and features. The north building, which is located at East 151st Street uses a light colored brick at the front and dark at the set back and rear while the south building inverts this palette to bring the dark brick to the front and light colored brick to the back and set back. You'll be able to see this more clearly on the next slide. Next.

Great, thank you. The use of contrasting brick serves to minimize the appearance of the bulk of these two buildings, which we also achieve by stepping down the front volumes and breaking the building into bays to respect the neighbors to the east and west. We also use dormers to minimize their

2.2

2.3

bulk and individually punched windows to referenceour historic neighbors.

The buildings will confirm to the latest energy and Enterprise Green Communities criteria and will be strong candidates for solar design and in support of the city's mission towards carbon neutrality. The owner has expressed an interest in all electric approach for both buildings.

Combined the two buildings will introduce 188 to 202 new affordable apartments including a range of studios, one, two and three bedrooms. Next slide please.

In addition to their shared palette as I mentioned earlier the rear yards of the north and south buildings connect. This unique condition of our site allows these yards to be combined and create a very special and large outdoor space that is a strong focal point for our project. The space, outdoor space, will include a shared yard for tenants old and young and include dedicated areas for group activities, gatherings, quiet contemplation and of course areas for children's play.

As per the community and Council Member's feedback we have also integrated 14 at gray parking

2.2

2.3

spaces on a portion of the yard, which you can see in gray on this plan. This lot is accessible via an existing curb cut at East 150th Street and through the south building as you can see with the dashes

indicated on the lower right. Next slide.

As was requested during certification we've included illustrative floor plans as part of our presentation. Here we see typical residential floor plans showing the proposed mixed again of studios, one, two and three bedrooms. The units are designed for families and will have state of art security, ample storage and closet space, large windows to bring in views, light and air.

Again, the goal of the project is not only to provide much needed affordable housing for families to the community, but also to create a welcoming and safe space encouraging the health and wellness of its tenants and the neighborhood at large. So if we go to the next slide I'll pass the presentation onto Susan, who will speak more about the services that will be provided.

SUSAN ALBRECHT: Thanks Nicole. As... as the team mentioned our goal is to provide the highest quality affording housing in a welcoming environment.

Again, units are designed for families with state of the art security, ample storage and closets, light filled apartments with large windows and actually the... the two photographs on the left are from our other development at Saint Augustus that gives you an illustration of kind of work that we do together with open space for recreation and multi-purpose community rooms. Next slide.

As a mission driven affordable housing developer and provider essential component of all our housing is its connection to services and the services of Catholic Charities. The Catholic Charities Housing Support Services Program provides on-site support services and community engagement activities to the individuals and families who live in our buildings who help them thrive in their apartments.

In addition to on-site case management services the program includes a wide range of programs to assist with employment and job training, emergency food distribution, financial literacy and health programs including on-site vaccination programs.

2.2

2.3

2	We look forward to continuing this
3	program at the new Our Lady of Pity apartments on
4	behalf of Catholic Charities and Catholic Homes I'd
5	like to thank the Committee for your consideration
6	and ask for your support for our plans to rezone this
7	site for 100% affordable housing. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

SUSAN ALBRECHT: Next. I think we just had one more slide.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, I'm s... I'm so sorry.

SUSAN ALBRECHT: Yeah, it's okay. No, it's just that... that's it, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much for the presentation. I just have a couple of questions and give me just... okay, I just have a couple of questions, I'm gonna see if my colleagues have any questions.

Giving community boards, community board one's concerns regarding overdevelopment in the surrounding South Bronx area, can you provide some additional insight into the wrap around services you hope to provide to tenants at this building as well

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

as the common indoor and outdoor spaces that are
being created with this development?

SUSAN ALBRECHT: Sure. If you go back, oh, yeah, I don't know if we need to go back to the slides, but as mentioned in the... in the final slide we will have on site services that's part of all of the housing that we provide that has the housing support services and again you know as I mentioned it was case management and so forth. When it comes to the public spaces, I don't know, Nicole, you wanna talk a little bit about that, we know that one of the concerns with the community board was parking, which is what we added to this proposal, although, it's in the... in a transit rich zone and no parking is required, we did accommodate those spaces as illustrated in the slide earlier.

NICOLE VLADO: And just to elaborate on that again, the exterior recreation space will provide a series of different types of outdoor spaces for the tenants to use, in addition to that the ground floor of the south building will also include amenities for the tenants, which will include offices for services that will be provided as well as areas

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 for tenants to congregate indoors as well as outdoors.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. My last question is with the solution you've presented do you feel your team has adequately addressed the concerns regarding traffic and parking availability that community board once highlighted in their recommendations?

SUSAN ALBRECHT: Uhm...

ERIC VATH: I'll speak to that.

SUSAN ALBRECHT: Yeah.

mentioned even though it's not required for 100% affordable project we... we met with Council Member Salamanca and... and worked through several design solutions that would offer parking to alleviate the concerns of the community board and I... I think we're all please with the result. I also wanna that Manana Construction who's handling the project, they're a known developer of affordable housing and... and they have spoken to the other concerns of the community board including traffic during construction, they also perform outreach to local community groups, they provide walk in candidate applications for community

will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not

no other members of the public who wish to testify on

be with you, you do a great job leading this

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

Committee and I really am fortunate to have you as a colleague here on the Council.

Now before the applicant begins I just wanna say we've struggled on communication with this applicant. It's been... it took our office reaching out to get them to engage with us and I've been disappointed by the engagement with the surrounding community as well. We're trying our best to improve the communication and address the concerns.

Fundamentally our primary is... my primary issue and concern with this project is that the... the unit sizes that are planned simply do not reflect the needs of the surrounding community and... and the average family sizes in this area of South

Williamsburg are you six to eight children per family and when this appli... when this application went... was certified it was all one bedrooms and two bedrooms and one three bedroom unit, so it just simply has... they've been working toward making some slight modifications, but there is significant work still to be done before this is a project that effectively meets the needs of the community in South

Williamsburg, so look forward to hearing from the

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 35
2	applicant today and and having the opportunity to
3	to ask some questions. Thank you so much.
4	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council
5	Member Restler.
6	Counsel, please call the first panel for
7	this item.
8	COMMITTEE COUNCIL: The applicants panel
9	for this item is Richard Lobel, Mark Spitzer, Fayanne
10	Betan and Kevin Williams.
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, please
12	administer the affirmation.
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Applicants, I'll call
14	on each of you individually to answer the following
15	question. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole
16	truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony
17	before the Subcommittee and in your answers to all
18	Council Member questions. Richard Lobel?
19	RICHARD LOBEL: I do.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Fayanne Betan?
21	FAYANNE BETAN: I do.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Kevin Williams?
23	KEVIN WILLIAMS: I do.

You may begin.

2.2

2.3

Members, Richard Lobel of Sheldon Lobel, P.C. for the applicant Mark Spitzer. It's a pleasure to be in front of you this morning on an application for 103

Lee Avenue that enjoyed the near unanimous support of Brooklyn Community Board 1, the support of the Brooklyn Borough President and the support of the City Planning Commission. We hope Council Members that you will find it meritorious as well and support this project. Next slide or if you can load the slides that'd be great.

So the 103 Lee Avenue rezoning, next slide. What are we seeking to do here? This application is for zoning amendment to rezone Brooklyn Block 2200, Lot 6 and Block 2199, Lot 22 as well as part... parts of several other lots, which would not be infected by the rezoning from an existing R6 to a proposed R7X.

It's worth noting that the existing R6 has an underlying floor area ratio of 4.8 in a mixed use building. The R7X that is sought here would produce a 5.95 FAR.

As with other rezoning's of this type we would also seek to amend the text of the zoning

2.2

2.3

Next slide.

resolution to provide for mandatory inclusionary housing options one and two and result of the eventual approval would be for a new eight story development at again roughly 5.95 FAR. This would produce roughly 52 dwelling units of which 15 would be affordable pursuant option two. There would also be parking spaces provided at the development site.

The next slide has the numbers behind the proposal. Again, this is for an eight story building in area where there are a number of larger buildings including six to seven story buildings on the same lot and adjacent blocks. The floor area again would be roughly 52,925 square feet with 45,000 such square feet being residential and importantly 7,700 square feet being commercial. This is important, because Lee Avenue in this area operates as a commercial thoroughfare and despite much commercial activity in the area this block is... does not contain a commercial overlay, so in addition the residential benefits here you'd have the benefit of allowing for additional commercial on this frontage.

Total height of the building would be 84 feet set back at the 8th story at 15 feet, there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would be 15 vehicular parking spaces and 52 dwelling units, again, breaking down to 13 units affordable under option one and 16 units affordable under option two. Next slide.

The next slide demonstrates the zoning map and what can be seen here is that you've got an existing R6 as was... was discussed before. This is not an isolated area of R6, it's a rather large expansive R6 area permitting again, densities up to 2.43 and 3 for residential to 4.3 for mixed use community facility as well as existing R7-1's in the southwest of the site, so this is not an area in which density is unknown and the R7X here importantly and unlike many of these other districts would result in the production of affordable housing, which is much needed in the area. Next slide, which has the flip side of the zoning map again showing the easterly portion of the area. Again, notice the R6 prevalent in much of the area as well as selected R7-1 and R7A Districts to the southeast. Next slide.

The next slide, actually next two slides demonstrates on the tax map where exactly the zoning would be applied. Again, you see that the primary thrust of the zoning would be at 103 Lee Avenue and

at 100 Lee Avenue across the street, these are the only two lots which would be wholly encompassed by the rezoning to allow for potential redevelopment. You also notice that is not merely for R7X, but that there is also a commercial overlay proposed at this site and if you can skip two slides you will see why that is important and merited here. As you look to the surrounding area the 13 surrounding blocks on the north and south side of Lee Avenue here are all overlaid with commercial zoning. This is the only really half block that is not and so proposing a commercial overlay here without the added benefit of evening out the zoning map allowing for this continuous commercial thoroughfare and commercial use on the ground floor of this building.

The next several slides demonstrate the pictures of the surrounding site. To note, the BQE is located within 200 feet of the site, so again, a major thoroughfare in the area. Other photos are included, including the building which itself is partially under construction.

The slide after the photographs shows the zoning change map, which merely demonstrates what the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 map would like after proposed rezoning. Next slide.

3 One more. Yeah, there we go.

2.2

2.3

District overlaid over both the east and west portion of Lee... of Lee Avenue. Again, a few things to note, the first being that this half block is the only half block in the immediate area you can see without a commercial overlay, so this would correct that condition and in addition you've got you know the existing R6, which is prevalent in the area, the R7-1 in the southeast as well as the street system here, which allows for a variety of transit options and good access to the site, so all of these things would merit for the additional density of the site, which again, would produce affordability.

The next slide shows a rendering of the property and after that we show a site plan and various floors plans, the site plan demonstrating the 7,700 square feet of commercial use on the ground floor. Next slide.

And then various sections in elevation, the elevation showing the... I'm sorry, the section showing the 15 foot setback at the 8th story, beyond that, next slide, we've got the ground floor or

2.2

2.3

actually cellar parking and then in the slides beyond those I think you can page through, show potential room and unit layouts, so I think there's really only two other things to note with regards to the proposal as we page through quickly the remainder of the slides, photos and renderings of the site that have been previously produced. You can go to the last slide I believe, or the second to last slide, we've got a breakdown of the units. Again, we add various slides, which demonstrate the views eastward and west... westward of the site including six and seven story to 21 story building.

I will just summarize the... the unit counts, so the next slide shows the unit count, which includes as was stated by Council Member Restler, 21 bedroom units, two... 31 two bedroom units and one three bedroom unit, so the... the... this is a building which is heavily favored over one bedroom, the average is greater than one bedroom with two bedrooms and three bedrooms, you know and understanding the comments with regards to the area and what's required the applicant here is making efforts to increase the size of the units. We have engaged a separate consulting architect who has does much work in the

2.2

2.3

area and he's currently looking at the opportunity

to... to enlarge some of the units by reducing some of

the unit counts.

The next slide also the mandatory inclusionary housing at this site, which demonstrates under each option the different AMI's that would be afforded again, option one and option two were offered at this site with option one resulting in approximately 13 affordable units and option two resulting in appro... approximately 16 units.

We were... we were very happy to... to have worked with the community board on this to achieve an approval. There was potentially as a right development at this site, which would produce limited affordability as there's no MIH at the site currently, so the proposal does allow for a modest increase in density, but more importantly it creates you know an additional roughly 19 units of affordability along with the additional units that are created or... or the building generally, so again, given the street access to the site, other buildings in the area and the... and the commercial overlay we think that this application is merit and with that the applicant team is happy to answer any questions.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. I have a few questions and I'll pass it over to Council Member Restler to see if he has any questions.

The proposed development site is already under construction with the as of right R6 zoning, how many units and story's will be building... will the building be under R6? Why did you deci... decide to apply for rezoning if there... there is a viable as of right development and will the current partially constructed building be adapted to fit the proposed R7X zoning?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, thank you Chair
Riley. So the building was under construction at a
time when construction prices were rising and the
applicant importantly conceived of this as a rezone
property, so the building started and as it was...
became apparent that financially there was gonna be
stress on the building and on the applicant that's
when they essentially came into City Planning and
with the concurrence of City Planning applied for
this rezoning, so the existing four and a half story
building to be... which would be able to be built as a
right would contain roughly 29 units of which six
units would be affordable under existing

large gap between the potential allowable height and

what is being presented, can you explain why your

building's proposed at only eight story's?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

now an eight story building.

nature of the building allows the building to take advantage of... of... of lack of rear yard requirements to build on a prior floor plan and the building has been engineered as I said for a... for an eight story building, actually for nine story building, which is

The applicant as we went through the public review process, it became clear that despite the fact that City Planning approved this at an R7X and saw the merit to having an opportunity to do a 14 story building here, the applicant has no... no financial reason or otherwise to do a 14 story building, so both the community board the Brooklyn Borough President made recommendations that in the event that the application was finally approved that the applicant enter into a voluntarily restrictive declaration to tie the application to eight story's. This has... this had been totally acceptable to the applicant and judging... after the conversation with... with Council Member Restler, assuming that the application did go forward, we would record such a declaration on the site, so as to provide for a cap.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Again, from a land use standpoint the...

the City Planning Commission was clear that R7X was
appropriate and the area would merit that building.

Having said that the applicant has no desire nor any
need or you know or physical reason to go that high
and so we would cap the building at eight.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The South Williamsburg community is known for large family sizes and high demand for large apartments, three bedrooms, have you considered the proposed unit size mixed with this in mind and have you discussed this issue with Council Member Restler and the local community?

RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, so I'll start on that and... and leave it open to other members of the applicant team to talk. The... the community board here had a... an additional condition, which was that they understood the... the need for additional housing and for affordability, but they weren't interested in smaller units, so as it stands right now, again, as part and parcel of the decision to cap the building at eight story's there was a... the building at 52 units, so that you wouldn't be able to in essence create additional... additional units and... and raise the numbers in the bill.

2.2

2.3

There... you know the applicant here who's a long standing member of the Williamsburg community has had many conversations with people in the area. I think you know in essence the discussions that have taken place primarily over the last few days in good faith are that we do have consulting architects now who have discussed the opportunity here for combining units and for reducing unit counts. But I think that one of the things we're looking at of course in addition to the Williamsburg community is the community in general and I know that Kevin Williams who's on the... has looked at as part of the environmental review demographics of the area. Kevin, do you wanna just speak briefly to... to what those are and how they apply to the larger community?

KEVIN WILLIAMS: Sure, so one of the things I wanted to... to state about the Chair's last question, the FAR is actually maxed out at eight story's you know so that's... that's one of the... you know you... you reach the full allowed under... under the proposed rezoning, so going up higher also is sort of obviated by... by that.

In terms of the demographics, so as Mr. Lobel indicated we... we're in discussions and... and we

circumstances in this neighborhood that dictate the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

need for larger units from a market perspective and from a future need, but what is clear is you... you have, so in 2006 to 2010 25% of the population was under five years, that's declined to 17.6% as you know those children have aged and then when you look to similar age cohorts from five to nine and 10 to 14 you know, but represent each one of those classes represented about 9% of the population and in 2015 to 2019 those increased to 13% and to 10%, but what's also reciprocally interesting is from age 20 to 29 you actually have a significant reduction, almost a 50% reduction, in both of those age groups and the... the indication or the way that I'm interpreting the data and obviously statistics are open to interpretation, is that you have young families that lived in a communal setting in this area with their larger families as Council Member Restler noted you know you have families with five, six and seven people in a household and that is for now actually the average household size in this area for the 2020 census is 3.9 persons per quarter... per dwelling unit and so you do have a considerable family size there, but what's clear from the statistics is that you know young adults are leaving this area and not... not

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

staying you know close to their... their family and I think the primary reason is the lack of available and affordable housing and I... and I think that that is where Spit... Mr. Spitzer's mind was is supplying you know one and two bedroom units that ... that would accommodate small families as they move out and they try to establish a work life in the area and... and so given those statistics you know and also the need to provide broader affordability, because you know three bedroom units are quite expensive whether affordable or... or market rate you know that... that's something that you know families having a... a... a range of choices to accommodate their financial needs and their family size is something that we're trying to... to balance out. So I just wanted to kind of summarize those... those characteristics and anybody can pull that up on New York Population fact finder, a service provided by New York City Department of City Planning to kind of get that interesting shift over the past 10 years in... in demographics towards basically the movement of young adults out of the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Kevin. And my last question before I turn it to Council Member

2 Restler to see if he has any questions, the

3 application also includes 100 Lee Avenue across the

4 street as a... as a projected development site, have

5 there been any conversation's with this property

6 owner?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, so thank you Chair. So there have been as with other rezoning applications when... when creating a land use rational the applicant looks to other properties in the area, so as to include other properties that are affected by the same condition, so we included 100 Lee Avenue, that... that was a land use rational that was developed in conjunction with City Planning. We have reached out to that applicant who despite the fact that they also currently benefit from an R6 zoning district with a commercial overlay, have only built to roughly a one FAR, so as... as our current conversations have gone not only have they not redeveloped in the last 40 years, but their intention right now as they stated to us would be not to redevelop, so again, obviously a conversation, which many Council Members engage in, but they are currently including the rezoning, but as per our conversation with them they have no present intention of redevelop.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I would now like to turn it over to Council Member Restler to see if he has any questions. Council Member Restler?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you again
Chair Riley. I should've begun my remarks earlier
with just expressing my thoughts and prayers with
everyone in Sunset Park. This a very... this is a scary
morning for Brooklyn and for New York City and... and
beyond and hoping that... that everybody... all 13 folks
who have been taken to the hospital are okay and that
there's no further carnage and bloodshed in our
borough.

I would also like to express my warmest wishes to Mr. Spitzer who has... who has had some health challenges and hoping for a full a swift recovery for him and appreciate you taking the time to... to speak with us last week and... and again to join us today as you're in recovery, so thank you for that.

I really wanna thank you Chair Riley for your questions that really get I think at... at the heart of... of many of... of my concerns as well. You know I think that plainly it's disingenuous for the applicant team to claim that their proposal of one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and two bedrooms when... when this proposal reached the community board and the Borough President it was entirely one and two bedrooms with one three bedroom that this is to serve the needs of the community when the average family size is five, six, seven, eight people and to claim that this ... that providing one bedroom units is going to address the displacement of young families from this community who have no place to live is infuriating. It is not true and what this community desperately needs is more three and four family... three and four bedroom units and if this developer was focused on meeting the needs of the community rather than just making as much money as absolutely possible, that's exactly what they would've proposed and they would... and they would be building here, but it's not. So I... I just wanna ask plainly, you have upped your proposal now to four additional three bedroom units, is the development team prepared to continue to revisit the architectural plans to add additional three bedroom units to this proposal?

RICHARD LOBEL: We... we benefit from having Mr. Spitzer here from us today. Mark, are able to confirm what the Council Member just said?

MARK SPITZER: Good morning gentlemen. I

don't know if you can hear me. Am I... can you hear me?

RICHARD LOBEL: Yes.

5 MARK SPITZER: Sorr

2.2

2.3

MARK SPITZER: Sorry about that. Good morning esteemed Council Members. My name is Mark Spitzer and of those are wondering I am not related to the previous Governor. I have no connection in any which way and not monetarily, I'm not inheriting anything and I'm not in there.

We're a small town developer, we actually bought... my father-in-law who passed away about two years ago had bought this property for the purpose of developing, unfortulously... unfortunately he's not gonna see the light of the... of the... the end game about what we anticipated here. I grew up in Leesburg all the way 'til my father passed away. I have currently multiple family members, I have lots of friends, close family, extended family, I know the area extremely well and as Councilman Restler probly knows and we had this discussion before, there are multiple weddings and engagements on a daily basis where they're splitting at the seams. People are living in homeless shelters, they're living in their parents basements, they're living in backyards,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they're live... living with multiple extended family members just to get a roof on their heads, yes, everybody needs three bedroom or four bedroom or five bedroom, but I have a little stupid little piece of property and I'm trying to maximize of who I can get in there that makes sense. The more larger apartments we build the less we can accommodate. It's a simple calculation and this isn't a huge money making b... business, I... my costs today, estimated costs of when we started is six fold of when we started. It's almost not worth to go down this route. The cost of financing is through the roof, utilities is through the roof, water is through the roof, you have to make a calculation of how you're gonna make this thing work, so we came up with a formula that would help the most amount of people. Yes, it is not the three or four bedroom, but I don't have this kind of land to do this. If God gave me more land I would consider indefinitely, it's a no brainer. I can only do work with what I have. There's a limited amount of what I have and what I can work with, so yes, it has to work structurally, financially, feasibly, there's a lot of factors that go into this and it's not a one minute answer. We've hired an outside consultant, an outside

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

architect who would not look at this project before just to get a clear view and an outside opinion of what we can make work. Yes, if you can make it work I'm all for it. It comes down to dollars and cents, it comes back to feasibility, it comes back to accessibility and what is required to accommodate. My goal here was to give the young couples who are getting married a roof over their head to start their life for a couple years. I gave them a recreation area away from the hustle and bustle, a nice quiet rec... refute where they can have their life start out at least the first few years of their married life they can enjoy in peace without having the hustle and bustle and happy to be able to live in a home that they can call home giving them full living room, dining room, giving them full bedroom, multiple closets, washer and dryers, recreation area, everything that you can think of that you would be proud of to call home. That was my goal. Yes, there is people who need large apartments, I can't please everybody. As Mayor Adams recently said in a city like this everybody's got an opinion, you're never gonna please everybody. I've love to, but I'm not a magician and I don't have the ... (inaudible) to do it

and I don't have the resources to do it. I would... I

just don't understand where I'm coming from and this

4 is where I stand and I'd love to help everybody if I

5 can and this is where I'm at.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SPITZER: Mr. Spitzer, I appreciate your effort and your desire to please everybody, I'm looking for you to please somebody, so you know in... over the course of this project nobody, neither you nor anyone from your applicant team ever contacted our office or engaged us, we had to pin you again and again to get your attention to engage on this project. Community leaders, key community leaders that have been representing the South Williamsburg community for decades never heard from you, did not get responses from you and your team, so to act like you're trying to please everybody is inaccurate. I'm not... from my vantage point you have an existing building that is financially viable and workable, you're asking us to hand you over a pile of cash, so that you can build more by up-zoning the development. In return, we're asking you to meet the needs of the community, that that is the deal and that is the expectation of going through this process is that you aren't making... you aren't investing to

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

address the needs of our community and I have not asked for the sun, the moon and the stars here, I have not asked for how we revitalize Lee Avenue and invest in our... and... and improve the conditions on Lee, I have not asked for you to... to take a look at the... the schoolyard at PS 716 down the block that's in terrible condition. I've just asked for you to build housing that actually meets the needs of the community, simple as that. That is our goal. I'm not saying this is some person who had some narrow interests here, I say this as the representative of our community that we need family units that actually accommodate the needs of families and you have refused and resisted and only made the most minor modifications and so I wanna be very clear, if you... if you would like my support in up-zoning this development it's critical to me that we actually build to meet the needs of the community, so I really do hope that you will work with us in the weeks ahead to address the needs of the community, which require larger unit sizes. It's as simple as that. One more question and then I'll... I'll defer back to the Chair. You all referenced a plan for restrictive deck for this site to limit the ... the building to eight

2 | story's and I believe Mr. Williams indicated his

3 | indication that this fully built out the FAR

4 | obviating any opportunity for additional height on

5 | the building, just wanted to affirm on the record

6 that our desire for community benefits agreement is

7 something that you all will work with us on if we're

8 able to meet an acceptable mixture of unit ca... of

9 unit sizes to moralize our agreement in tangible and

10 enforceable... in an... in an enforceable document.

and to engage in such an agreement.

Member. So we... we have engaged in some more community benefits agreements most recently in the Pacific and Grand rezoning in Brooklyn Community Board 8, which had been vetted by the... by the Council and, yes, I can confirm that we would be happy to work with your office going forward to have an appropriate co-party

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, and then actually just one final question, regarding the affordable housing administering agent for the new development is there any plan for the marketing of the new units or the selection of that administering agent?

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

RICHARD LOBEL: So I know Mr. Spitzer has had serious conversations with... with a local administering agent. I know that there is a limited universe of such agents basically based upon HPD's approved list, so I know that... I'm not sure whether he has come to final terms, but it is one that he's met with within the local Williamsburg community.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, cultural competency here makes a great deal of difference and...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You could go ahead Council Member Restler.

cultural competency here makes a great deal of difference and we very much hope that in... from everything from the design of the units you know to accommodate holidays like Pesach and Sukko... and Sukkot to the marketing of the affordable units that they'll be thoughtful... they'll be a thoughtful approach to really effective meets the needs of the local community, so I really appreciate the opportunity for... for some questions. We clearly have quite a bit of work to do before I'm prepared to

1 SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 62 2 support this development, so I look forward to ... to 3 working closely with the applicant team in the days 4 and weeks ahead to try to get us to a... to a better 5 place. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council 6 7 Member Restler. I know invite my colleagues to ask questions. If you have any questions for this 8 applicant panel please use the raise hand button on the participant panel. 10 11 Counsel, are there any Council Members with questions? 12 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, I don't see any 13 14 Council Members with questions at this time. 15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no further 16 questions this applicant panel is excused. 17 Counsel, are there any members of the 18 public who wish to testify on 103 Lee Avenue 19 proposal? 20 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Chair, we have at 21 least two members of the public who are ready to testify. We have Chesky Weiss and David Niederman and 2.2 2.3 if the unknown caller from... with whose number ends in

0743 is here to testify on Lee Avenue please press

2.2

2.3

2 star nine, so our staff can identify you and promote 3 you, but for now we'll start with Chesky Weiss.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant at Arms has started the clock.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You may begin.

CHESKY WEISS: Hello.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you. You may begin.

CHESKY WEISS: Okay, so I was just... I just wanted to say and to put for Mark Spitzer. Basically this... this guy, I know him as I have stated before, I know him the last few years. He is here to help the community and as... as he said as you heard before he's trying to maximize, so with putting in more three room apartments, three bedroom apartments it's just gonna make it a less of an opportunity to give more people their needs for the young couples. Now they are asking he should accommodate... (inaudible) which gonna mean that he's gonna have to build for bigger apartments, he's gonna have to give a special kitchen for... (inaudible) and then he's gonna have to make a

2 bigger porch, so people should be able to build ... 3 (inaudible) which is just gonna make him have to do 4 less, a smaller amount of apartments, because he has 5 to be able to push in another kitchen and he has to push in a bigger porch for the community, because as 6 7 our community is growing there needs place for older kids to be able to fit... fit in the... in the... in the... 8 in the porch, so now when he builds it for smaller... smaller apartments, which needs young couples, first 10 11 of all there is young couples... there's more young 12 couples that are capable to have apartment and then 13 there is... he doesn't have to put in a small other 14 kitchen or a bigger porch, because most of the young 15 couples are going out to eat by their parents, 16 especially... (inaudible) and holiday. So it's just a 17 less of a benefit if he has to put in more three 18 bedroom apartments that means that bigger families 19 and that means less apartments, so there's less 20 people and if there is less... less people and again, I 21 am from around the area and I need more customers, so 2.2 more apartments, smaller apartments means more 2.3 customers and I... as I have stated a while ago on the last meeting that we need the customers since most of 24 25 the developments is going down... going down the other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

area like... (inaudible) or... or... (inaudible) and... and forgot... (inaudible) all the other places, but Lee Avenue, so yeah, we need smaller apartments in this area with more people around in this area and I have another question. How would you put in bigger families in such a small area? I mean where will the kids play? Where will... where ... where will there be parking for... because a young couple, most of the young people don't have cars, so you have an issue with parking, yeah, if you're gonna put in bigger families means all the people... all the people do have cars, so you're just trying to take away a benefit for him, because quote, unquote benefit for the community, but then it's not gonna be a benefit for the community, because two things, number one, most of the people that are growing up are not living in rental apartments, most of them are going into selling apartments and the young couples, they're moving in to rental apartments. And let me ask another question, how many rental developments in this area have three bedroom apartments? I... I haven't heard of it. There's maybe one that's built the last few years, a rental building with three bedroom apartments. Nobody has it, so the benefit for the

is so crucial and yes, you have different voice. You

heard somebody, I don't know who that is talking
about his view of what's needed and what's not
needed, you know I don't know who he is, who he

5 represents, he could be repre... he's represent

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

7 perspective the need for affordable... for affordable

definitely himself, but from a w... wider community

8 three and four bedrooms is unbelievable and therefore

9 I commend the Council Member to push that it ought to

10 be... that the project should meet the community needs

11 and I agree with the developer, that sounds like some

12 are going to be one's and two's, but you really have

13 | to address the crunch of the larger units.

I'm happy and I voted for this project,
because I... I'm happy that there is... that there is a
project coming forward and... and I... I'm happy that the
Congressman and the Councilman is pushing forward for
tweaking the project, so that really needs all the
community needs. I don't wanna go in... going back to
Mr. Weiss who his point of view rebuttal that,
because that... that's not the issue.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

DAVID NIEDERMAN: I just thank... thank the Councilman, I thank Mr. Spitzer for his commitment to work with the Councilman to ensure the appropriate

- 2 mix of... of units and in a way nobody wants to... to...
- 3 that the developer goes bankrupt on that. Thank you
- 4 so much.

- 5 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council. Are
- 6 there any other members of the public who wish to
- 7 | testify on this item?
- 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I don't see any
- 9 others, but I'll just make the announcement once
- 10 again. If there's any unknown callers who wish to
- 11 | testify on the avenue can you please press star nine
- 12 | now, so we can identify you. Doesn't look like anyone
- 13 | else is here for that Chair, so we can go ahead and
- 14 close the hearing.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other
- 16 members of the public who wish to testify on
- 17 preconsidered LU's related to ULURP's numbers
- 18 | C210312ZMK and N210313ZRK relating to the 103 Lee
- 19 | Avenue proposal the public hearing is now closed and
- 20 the items are laid over.
- I now open the public hearing on
- 22 preconsiders LU's related to ULURP's number
- 23 C210098ZMK and N210099ZRK relating to the 1220 Avenue
- 24 P rezoning proposal in Council Member Vernikov
- 25 district in Brooklyn.

2.2

2.3

This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing RFB to an R7A and the rel... related zoning tax amendment to establish an MIH program. For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so you must register now and you may do that by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

I would now like to allow Council Member Vernikov to give any remarks regarding this project. Council Member Vernikov.

everyone. Thank you Chair Riley and thank you Council Members. I'd first just like to echo the sentiments of my colleagues regarding the horrific events that took place this morning and of course any prayers to my colleague Council Member Avilés and the victims as well as her constituents.

Regarding 1212 Avenue P, this is a facility, a medical facility that I am familiar with, it's been in the district for quite some time. They provide great service to the community, I've spoken to many community members who... who patronize the place and really enjoy the services. I visited it myself for quite some time and I know that the

an e-mail request to

2.2

2.3

2 landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. And now the
3 applicant team may begin. Panelists as you begin I'll
4 just ask you to please reinstate your name and
5 organization for the record. You may begin.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair Riley.

Council Members once again, Richard Lobel of Sheldon

Lobel, P.C. for the applicant. Good morning and good

morning to Council Member Vernikov. We also thank her

for her careful consideration of what we view to be a

meritorious application and one which allows to the

enlargement of the heavily utilized medical center in

the community.

I'm joined by Kevin Williams from Equity
Environmental. And so on the screen before you, you
see the 1220 P Avenue rezoning presentation. The
building as it exists is currently a five story
medical center of roughly 19,000 square feet. If we
can go to the next page we'll have a project summary,
which talks about what we would do here.

So the rezoning that's proposed here would take three Lots from Block 6774 and seven Lots from Block 6775 and rezone those from an R5B District to an R7A District. To note, this lot was previously zoned R6 prior to the 2006 Homecrest rezoning and was

2.2

2.3

then zoned to R5B at the time, but at the time that big building or the five story building had already been built, so we're seeking to restore the same approximate bulk of that building to allow for this enlargement, so what does that do? It creates a roughly four story, 11,000 square foot addition to the medical center located on two adjacent lots, so that the entirety of the building would have appromapproximately 30,700 square feet and FAR 3.08.

Importantly we also as per rezonings of this nature would map mandatory inclusionary housing to allow for MIH to apply for all sites within the project area while development or redevelopment of the site is not intended, this would mean that anytime in the future whether the subject lots or the adjacent lots were redeveloped they would be required to provide affordable housing. Next slide.

So the next slide discusses the proposed development and importantly here one of the reasons that we do have a very compelling case is because there's an as of right option here, which is way worse, so the proposal allows for this four story enlargement, which will be able to attach to the existing five story building and allow for patients

2.2

2.3

to travel seamlessly between the old space and the new space and... and this is important, because many of these patients are frail and elderly and it would make no sense to require them to exit the facility to go to a new facility, go up stairs, down stairs, elevators, what have you, so the five story building has 19,500 square feet approximately and then new enlargement would be roughly 11,200 square feet to allow for a total building of 30,000 square feet.

An as of right scenario would allow for development of three story buildings on each of the other lots within the development site, which would itself result in a additional enlargement of 9,400 square feet, so roughly within 1,700 square feet of what's going to be done with the rezoning.

Importantly though there are two factors to consider, the first is that no parking would be required for those additional buildings, they would each fall under the requirement in terms of allowing for a waiver of parking, so you'd get three additional buildings with all that square footage with no additional parking. And also importantly as was mentioned, this would mean that people would need... need to go outside the building within the new

So the next slide and the following slide goes into the land use of the area. Once again you can see the R5B District here, we are merely a block west or actually a block west of us is the R7A District that exists along Coney Island Avenue and this is important for several reasons, you'll be able to see it in terms of the land use map, but... but basically as you get to larger thoroughfares it

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

becomes more and more appropriate to have larger zoning districts, so even without the important work that the medical center performs having a... a... a step up in zoning here makes sense given transit in the area and the fact that you're sitting not merely on a 100 foot wide street, but within a block and a half of a 100 foot wide street as well.

The next two slides show the tax map, which shows in particularity you can see the rezoning area, particularly the development site highlighted in red and in the dotted area, the area of the entirety of the rezoning, this essentially stretches an existing R7A Zoning District to the east, which allows for context for this proposed rezoning as often is the case. It helps for a land use rationale to take an existing district and to use that to emcompass the site as is done here. Next slide.

So the next slide shows the land use map, which again demonstrates why the rezoning here is particularly important. You can see the existing five story building on the corner of Avenue P and East 13th, that's the existing facility and you can also see that we sit on Avenue P a 100 foot wide street and we sit a block and a half east or more

the context of the area. Next slide.

2.2

2.3

particularly the rezoning boundary itself sits half a block east of Coney Island Avenue and is adjacent to that R7A, so again, conscious in the surrounding area you see in the dark orange a number of six story buildings in the area, our building would not exceed those buildings in height, including on our own block and across East 13th, so as far as a bulk stand... or from a bulk standpoint this really is entirely within

So the next several slides and obviously, this is a long day at the Council, we'll... we'll page through them. If you can page through the photos you can pictures of the existing facility building on the lower left and in front of you and then that is followed by the building plans. The building plans merely demonstrate the proposed floor plans for the facility, you see the existing building along the bottom of the screen and the enlargement as it appears perpendicular to that on the left portion of the screen under proposed construction.

So with that if you wanna page through to the end, these are all the treatment spaces and you... you would come to a rendering, which again, is the... the... some of proposal is at the rendering

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

demonstrates a seamless building, which would... which would attach to the existing building and would provide again, one important center, the Levit Medical Center for all of these varied specialties.

One... and I think probly the most important thing, which I would close on would be that you don't have a lot of facilities like this in the immediate area in Brooklyn. What the medical center here is able to do is to provide for specialty services to allow for patients to not have to travel to Manhattan to receive you know many treatments that they would otherwise be required to... to travel miles and miles to... to... to receive, so again, it's the Levit Medical Center of Midwood, it's been a... as the Council Member mentioned, it's been a long-standing partner to the community here and with that we'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Richard.

Just a few questions before I turn it over to Council

Member Vernikov. Has the applicant... has the applicant

been in touch with the community board since they

disapproved the application to discuss the parking

and traffic situation? What is the current status of

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 those discussions and how is your team planning to 3 address their concerns?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure Chair, so I will tell you that pre-Covid this application came before the community board almost five years ago. In its first iteration and at the time particularly based upon the compelling story that is told by Susan Levit, the Executive Director and Doctor at the Center, the community board at that time issued a conditional letter saying that if the applicant was able to provide I think at the time 12 additional parking spaces that the… at least the Land Use Committee or Brooklyn Community Board 15 was in favor.

Since that time there was discussions, the application was voted down by the community board, the... the applicant has solidified the number of spaces at 14 spaces and attended spaces, so this is not merely you know self-park, it's able... we're able to better coordinate patient visits. Since that time as well Kevin Williams, who's on the phone with us, has done additional parking and traffic studies, importantly demonstrating that this is one of those cases where you've got an option without a rezoning, but it is worse for everyone. The center does not get

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

seamless space and the traffic and parking in the area would actually be worse given the fact that there would now be multiple drop off locations and you would not get the benefit of these 14 spaces, so although communications with the community board at the last... at the... the last hearing did not continue we continue to discuss this with local elected officials and the Brooklyn Borough President who approved this application and, so you know we're really focused on the fact that we're gonna be good neighbors and we've taken a lot of efforts to address what those parking and traffic concerns will be.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Richard. I would like to turn it over to Council Member Vernikov to see if she has any questions. Council Member Vernikov.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you Chair.

Just to clarify, I don't know if I made it clear in

my remarks, I do... after doing some research I do

believe in the need for the expansion, so I just

wanted to make that clear.

My first question is will the parking provided for the facility be a surface parking lot or below grade parking lot?

2.2

2.3

2 RICHARD LOBEL: It will be a surface 3 parking lot.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And if the applicant knows the current demand for health care facilities in the area and is... is there a current demand for health care facilities in the area generally, and if so, will this expansion help the overburdened facilities?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, so I'll start.

Kevin, I'm not sure whether or not any of that is part of the EAS, but just from my visits to the center and discussing with... discussing this with the applicant it appears to me that the... the center is heavily utilized and that there is continuing demand for these facilities. As far as documenting that Kevin, I don't know whether or not there's any... you have any statistics on that.

KEVIN WILLIAMS: Yeah, I can do my best.

You know the... the environmental assessment focusing sort of generically on... on a worse case condition actually evaluates you know the... the... both the non-applicant sites and this and so it... it... it kind of looks at a different textured scenario to assess the environmental impact, but I will say this, there's

been significant population growth. The R7A has been
put in place on Coney Island Avenue has seen recent
development. The based on the scheduling information
I've been able to both speak with the Levit's and
that's available online you know indicates a long
wait for doctor's appointments, but given the
population growth in the area and the the lack of
additional facilities of this type I would say it's
absolutely in demand and then I think the Levit's
would also note how under duress this facility was
during Covid, which is an ongoing challenge that
seems to be living with us moving forward and so I
think those those two notions that you have a
growing population and that you have obviously we've
all experienced emergent needs for both the
compulent comp complications resulting from Covid
as well as the treatment from Covid you know
certainly and and anecdotal information online
related to the difficulty of getting scheduled at the
current facility, all indicate a a a great need
for for the additional services.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I don't have any more questions, thank you everyone.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council

Member. I know invite my colleagues to ask any

questions. If you have any questions for this

applicant panel please use the raise hand button on
the participant panel.

Counsel, are there any Council Member questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, no Council Members with questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no further questions the applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 1220 Avenue P proposal?

members of the public that's signed up, but we do have an unidentified caller in meeting, so if you're here to testify on Avenue P could you please hit star nine on your phone?

Chair, it doesn't look like the caller is here for this item, so we can move on and close the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There will be... there being no other members of the public who wish to testify on preconsidered LU's related to ULURP

I've been having a little bit of technical

2 challenges, but good morning everyone. Thank you

3 Chair Riley and Committee staff for convening this

4 hearing. I'm here to discuss the item concerning 146-

5 | 9... 93 Guy R.... R. Brewer Boulevard located in my

6 district.

2.2

2.3

I'd like to first thank the civic leaders from my community as well as the development team and the staff and the Council's Land Use Office for the series of constructive conversation's that we have had surrounding this project. A perspective of the local community is paramount when it comes to questions around our land use and I am glad that all partys have come to the table to present their vision for this space and ensure that the final project reflects the preferences of the local community.

The proposal as originally submitted would up-zone the site to R6A with a commercial overlay to permit an eight story mixed use building totaling about 40 units, 25% affordable under MIH.

Members of the community have raised serious concerns and as the members of the Subcommittee may be aware the project was unanimously disapproved by the community board. A development of this size and height would be out of character in... in the context

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the nearby residential neighborhood, which is
almost exclusively single family housing as well as a

4 manufacturing district next to JFK airport.

It would also introduce a new burden on our resources increasing strain on local infrastructure and adding congestion to already busy streets and I might add that we currently are undergoing a massive infrastructure... 2 billion dollar infrastructure upgrade that was con... it was being constructed based on the current density of the community, so up-zoning would you know further strain something that is now being invested in and the developers have been in touch with my office and have shared alternate plans for the site with me and we're still collecting feedback from the community including hosting a town hall to discuss the near... to discuss with nearby residents what kind of development they would like to see on Guy Brewer Boulevard.

It's my hope that we can bring this feedback to the committee and adjust the slot in a way that is in mind with what the community would like to see. And that concludes my remarks and thank you once again, Chair.

1	SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 86
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Majority
3	Whip.
4	Counsel, please call the first panel for
5	this item.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: For this item is
7	Richard Lobel, Kevin Williams, Dharminder Singh and
8	Manish Savani.
9	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, please
10	administer the affirmation.
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: For Kevin Williams and
12	Richard Lobel, just a reminder you are still under
13	oath and for the rest of you I'll call you
14	individually to answer the following question. Can
15	you please raise your right hand and do you affirm to
16	tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
17	truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and
18	in your answers to all Council Member questions?
19	Dharminder Singh?
20	DHARMINDER SINGH: I do.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And Manish Savani?
22	MANISH SAVANI: I do.
23	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the
24	benefit of the viewing public if you need a

2 accessible version of the presentation please send a
3 e-mail request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the applicant team may begin.

Panelists, as you begin I'll just ask you to please reinstate your name and organization for the record.

You may begin.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair Riley.

Good morning Council Members. Once again, Richard

Lobel of Sheldon Lobel, P.C. for the applicant. If

you can load the presentation.

Of course first we would like to... first and foremost thank Council Member Brooks-Powers who has done the hard work here to bring many constituencies and stakeholders into the room together repeatedly and given over time generously and... and I do not say that lightly, we... as you may be aware from this morning, are involved in a number of rezoning applications and she's really been first rate in that regard, so thank you Council Member and we do look forward to hopefully a successful conclusion to this process and this rezoning, which you see before you today, the 146-93 Brewer Boulevard rezoning. Next slide.

2.2

2.3

rezoning without considering what has been here since
this district was zoned in 1961 and it's been a gas
station and has allowed for vacant uses, storage of
automobiles, it has really not been a productive site

So it's impossible to consider this

7 for the community. I think one thing that everyone is

8 united in... is in wanting this to be something

else and something better. Next slide.

So given the long history of the site and the long environmental challenges facing this site, Kevin Williams, who is on the call with us from Equity Environmental, his initial calculations with regards to what it would take to produce a feasible development here began at the cleaning of the site and decontamination of the site, which would result in costs upwards of 600 to \$800,000.00. This is probably why the site has remained undeveloped. It is a corner lot adjacent to an M1-1 District. It is located across the street from a four story homeless hotel and it is located across Guy Brewer vard... Guy R. Brewer Boulevard from another homeless institution. You know it's... it is a challenging spot, this... this landscape and this intersection, so with

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

that we... we do attempt to come before the Council and to try to make it better. Next slide.

so what we're asking for here you can see the... the site 146-93 Guy R. Brewer as well as the sites to the north of that as proposed to be rezoned from an R3 to... to an R6A/C2-2 District just by way of how we got here for our ULURP hearings, the Council member said, as sa... as stated the local community board did not support this at an R6A. The Queens Borough President supported it at an R6B and the City Planning Commission has approved it at an R6A, so with that we understand obviously that our modifications, which will be made the Council Member has asked us for a list of options, which will be presented, but having said that you know again, I think we're unified here in wanting something better.

In the existing R6A District as with other rezonings we would be able to map an inclusionary housing district, which would require in the current iteration option one or producing roughly 25% of units at 60% AMI. Next slide.

So what you see before you is the four alternatives, which have been presented to the Council Member. The original proposal was approved by

meeting recreation space.

2.2

2.3

the City Planning Commission most recently was at an R6A for an eight story building plus cellar. You see the square footage breakdowns before you, 38,000 square foot building, about 3,000 square feet of commercial space, 35,000 square feet of residential space and a 1,000 square foot ground floor tenant and

I won't go through the details of each iteration with you, but just hitting on the highlights the... the proposal as it was approved at City Planning would result in 45 units in varied unit mixes four studios, 29 one's, 10 two bedrooms and two three bedrooms and 11 affordable units at MIH option one. The alternative to that was approved by the Queens Borough President five story building, 23,000 square feet, again, the same 3,000 square foot commercial and 1,000 square foot ground floor tenant recreation space, but this would be reduced to 20,000 square feet of residential use producing a building of again, five storys, 27 units of housing with seven at MIH option one.

Important to note that the... the R6B option produces a building, which is similar in height to the hotel, now a homeless hotel, south of

2.2

2.3

the building on 147th Avenue, so again as far as the bulk concern and overall height are concerned this proposal nears those other buildings in the area.

We also note that as you will see from the other two alternatives this is the last remaining alternative that produces MIH affordability as pursuant to Article 2 of the zoning resolution anything below R6B is not mapped with MIH, so we did provide the Council Member with two other alternatives, the R5D and the R5B. The R5D producing a four story building with 24 units of housing and the R5B a three story building with 16 units of housing.

You know as you go to the... the lower buildings and the fewer units you run into some significant challenges, again, Kevin has only provided us an estimate of the remediation costs at the site at 800,000, this... this may and probably has gone up over the last six months, so we're now facing a position where we're... we're... we're coming somewhat closet to an area where the building could potentially not be built and the extended additional bulk was not provided. We would also add that the 5D and... and 5B alternatives produce buildings that are...

are now would be smaller than the adjacent building along 147th Avenue, so you know with that obviously I'm sure there are questions and people will look at the data, but this is the full range of options as we're presented to Council Member Brooks-Powers. Next slide.

So you see the additional materials, which are provided. We provided renderings and plans with each of the options, again, the original R6A presented on the upper left portion of the screen dialing down to the R6B on the upper right portion and then the R5D and R5B along the lower portion.

Next slide.

So this is a copy of the zoning map and again, we're just gonna lightly run through the land use map and then you know Manish Savani, the project architect is here and can show the plans. This is the... the delineation of the zoning district boundary, again, it would encompass the site and the five... four sites to the north of the property, so the site itself is roughly 10,000 plus square feet, those additional sites also roughly 10,000 square feet.

Next slide.

2.2

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It would also have the benefit of adding a commercial overlay. You can see the land use map here and there is a real distinction between the manufacturing districts to the south and the residential district to the north. Importantly, this corner itself is somewhat unique in having nonconfirming commercial uses on the northwest corner and what amounted to be a parking lot slash gas station on the northeast corner, so this corner has always been challenging. One of the reasons that we think that this application is merited and a rezoning here is merited is because you've got two 80 foot wide streets that intersect at the property, this is seen as a hallmark of an opportunity to create density. We are not going deep into the R3-2 portion of the ... of the zoning map. You can see we're here on the edge of that district. You do have very good site access and that usually you know is a sign that density... additional density is merited, so really you got a tough site. You got a tough site in terms of remediation and a tough site in terms of what your surrounding uses are. Next slide.

These are pictures of the site again, in

interest... in the interest of the Council Member's

airport parking and such.

2.2

2.3

time if you could just page through these pictures you can see that the site itself undeveloped, you know not in the... you know not just the... this... this site is not in great shape. You can see the... the homeless hotel across the street from this site in the lower left and additional commercial uses. And... and again, just again for like context, we sit roughly 11,000 feet... linear feet south of... or... or adjacent to JFK, so challenging site, which has produced a lot of... you know a lot of parking for

We include the original proposal, the R6A, and I think maybe Manish Savani, the project architect could merely just run through the distinctions between those.

Manish, starting at the R6A do you wanna just run through very briefly what the distinctions are in these buildings as they page through the plans?

MANISH SAVANI: Thank you Richard. This is Manish Savani, architect PC based out of Jamaica-Queens. I'm the architect for the project. What you're looking at currently is the site plan, which shows the attendant parking to the east and the

2.2

2.3

building located on the northwest or northeast corner

of the site. Next slide please.

The cellar, which basically has the storage and the utilities, nothing else. Next slide.

The first floor has commercial spaces and community facility spaces and the resident recreational space towards the back and shows the basic attendant parking layout. Next slide.

The floor second to the third floor, which is a larger floor plan, it's eight units, the apartment mix noted on the lower left corner. Next slide.

The photo of the sixth floor and then the seventh and the eighth floor, which kind of shows the entire layout and here is the elevation for the original eight story R6A building. Let's see, okay. Yeah, next slide please. Thanks.

Your alternative, okay. That was quick.

The R6B is basically the same layout except for the bulk has been changed. The site plan's the same, same amount of parking and the location of the building, cellar is the same exact as the original R6A, the first floor layout is typically the same and the uppers floors. Next slide please.

Second and third floor we made no changes and yeah, third floor a smaller unit layout, because of the setbacks and the square footage. A five story elevation, yeah. So next slide please.

You still maintain the parking at the... on the east side and the building bulk is still the same, just the height has been reduced and we kept on reducing the size, the height of the building as the zones went down. Cellars, you will notice is the same layout, same as the first floor has been kept the same and the next slide, yes, the second and the third floor is the same exact, but eight units each.

For the R5B, next one, next slide please, yeah. The last alternative, here the size has changed, the… the building is a three story, 11 parking in the back, no more attendant anymore, it's self-parking. Next slide.

It's been kept the same for accessory users, the layout of the commercial and the community facility has been slightly modified as the size of the building has changed. There are only three units on the first floor, a commercial and community facility and the second and third are the same with

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

eight units each on the floors. That would preserve

16 units. That's a much smaller building.

Manish. So with that we just close with... with AMI's and which in this building based upon option one would produce 60% AMI's at the family size intended for the building you'd have income ranges between 50,000 and 64,000 to 71,000. Next slide.

And then the rents would be between 956 upwards through 1,653 with you know 10% of the building being at 598 per studio to 1,000 for a three bedroom and I think it's important that we close with this considering why we're here and why we're often here for rezoning, which is that with the inclusion and mandatory inclusionary housing we address issues as are raised by the community and when I say that not merely by members in the community who were at the community board or who speak, but also those who don't speak and may not have a voice and that... in this community it's particularly prevalent when we look at the census data for the last 10 years you're looking at an increase in the number of households by 11% while there is a median household income, which is decreased by 16%. All of this results in per

capita in... income decreases, increased housing with a... with a decrease in vacant... vacancies, which is all related to housing pressure. And here that is particularly prevalent given that the occupied housing units and what is termed to be overcrowding has increased by you know from roughly 100 and... from 67 units to roughly 117 units, so a rather marked increase and this is basically how many families are... are occupying fewer units, how many units are now occupied with more than one occupant per room, there's a housing crisis. The housing crisis in accordance with these demographics has hit this area hard. It would be a shame to not produce first of all housing at this area, in this area and second of all

So with that again and with thanks to the Council Member, Council Member Brooks-Powers for... for hosting any of these conversation's we're happy to answer any questions.

affordable housing to address some of these dire

needs as expressed by the census data.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, just a few... couple questions before I pass it to Majority Whip Brook-Powers. Could you confirm how

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 many affordable housing units will be created by the 3 proposed project?

RICHARD LOBEL: Chair, that's difficult at this point, so what I would instead say would that... would be that under the two scenarios including the one, which was approved by City Planning and the one at an R6B, those being the two scenarios that would actually produce affordability in accordance with MIH, in the R6A scenario you would have 45 total units of which 11 would be affordable, and that's at option one, and then under the R6D alternative you would have 27 units produce, of which seven would be affordable at option one. Of course, in the event option two were selected you would have a slight increase in those number of units, roughly 14 for op... for the R6A option and roughly 10 for the R6B option.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Is the height of an R6A building consistent with the surrounding neighborhood?

RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, so it's a great question. I mean it produces an eight story building under this scenario. We were able to reduce the height of that building to the extent that we added additional floor area and... and made the building

envelope more squat, but you know we do arguably
think that it's important to produce a building of
this size. You know when you look at precedent in
the in the area there is not a lot of eight story
precedent in the area. You have five story buildings,
further afield you have taller buildings, but in the
immediate area you don't and it's kind of just a
question of what you do on this site and whether or
not the density is appropriate, not merely for the
existing context, but also on a going forward basis.
The reason we think that is and the reason we argued
for it was, because this is one of those rare sites
in the area where you do have the opportunity for
transportation, you do have two 80 foot wide streets
and really kind of where you wanna locate your
housing. We've talked in other applications of 100
foot wide boulevards and avenues, we don't have that
here in this area of Queens, but as far as this area
goes this is where you want to put additional density
and you know hopefully we're getting something, here
or maybe not at an eight story building.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. I would not like to turn it over to Majority Whip

2 Brooks-Powers to see if she has any questions for this panel.

MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you so much again, Chair Riley and Mr. Lobel. I just want for you to discuss a little bit more the feasibility of the alternatives that you provided. What would the developer build or more so what are the economic implications for each that you see with the alternatives?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, so right, so in essence what I like to say sometimes is that we're... it's less that we're seeking a rezoning and more that we're seeking a... a project and... and really a feasible project.

So here you know we've looked at four options and the lowest of those is the R5B. The R5B scenario in this presentation, it has community facility use, which shows what the maximum square footage could look like under that scenario.

As far as the applicant and their calculations, however, this would not produce a financially feasible scenario. It would be insufficient to allow what here is going to amount to

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 be costly clean up upwards of as we calculated likely 3 a minimum of \$800,000.00.

So despite the breakdown of the uses presented, first of all the R5B would you know it would unlikely to produce anything other than residential use, so you'd probly have a fully residential building, but even with that and... and with that scenario I don't... I don't think it gets built at an R5B and that's basically just you know a fact of the matter and... and what's... what... what about the numbers.

So you know with regards to the other scenarios and... and you know obviously this is part of our ongoing conversations, the R6B building is the lowest district require inclusionary and so both the R5D and R5B produce... don't produce any affordable units, produced or pursuant to MIH, so it's complicated, because there are competing goals here. One is you don't want a building that's eight story's, understood, you want a building that produces affordability, it... you know it's... it's... it becomes a... a shorter building, but you can still do it and then once you go to the R5B option we don't think that that would produce a building at all you

- 2 know, so... so what we're looking at as far as
- 3 | feasibility is... is an R6B if you want the
- 4 | affordability and an R5D if you don't.
- With regards to the bulk of the building again, you know this... the building at an R5D is lower
- 7 than and an R6B is at the height of the building a...
- 8 across 147th Avenue.
- 9 MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: Now you're...
- 10 and just really quickly, 'cause you... you're saying
- 11 | that the R6B like... likely would have nothing built
- 12 there, but my understanding...
- 13 RICHARD LOBEL: No, no, the R5... the R5B,
- 14 I'm sorry.
- MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: The R5B, but
- 16 my understanding from our last conversation was if
- 17 | that was built it would either be townhomes or like a
- 18 | plaza of retail, so are you saying that you guys have
- 19 come to the conclusion that those are no longer an
- 20 option?
- 21 RICHARD LOBEL: I... I would actually
- 22 | have Dharminder Singh weigh in if he'd like to.
- 23 Dharminder, I know that you've looked most close at
- 24 | the numbers as whether or not the R5B would produce

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 sufficient development to counter the cost of 3 remediation and to actually build a building.

DHARMINDER SINGH: Yeah, would... we would... (inaudible) on the building to go by that... (inaudible) and construction costs going and you know all the construction costs going like 30% up in the materials and everything and the way looking at to produce this and it's not come up to the financing and the banking, it... you know we... we need to get some financing on the building, 'cause of the construction and... (inaudible) financial institution and if they completely denying with that avenue gonna produce setbacks from the costs. And it will look like it will likely... (inaudible) we cannot... (inaudible) blessings from that neighborhood or... or the Council Member or the board and the plan is now to... we... we... we're looking... we're looking at... the goal was to produce the housing, affordable housing here too and we had some statement and some... from the bank and from... (inaudible) financials based on that when we start this project. And I think the way they're running up to 5D, it does make sense to... to do it, but there's no property's in building height, but to center on that 421... (inaudible) go through that... the

2.2

2.3

construction providing... (inaudible) and would make sense on that more to go towards the R5, R6B.

That's our plan and that's what all the financial decision and to making the towards the bankers and all we're looking at.

MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: Yeah, 'cause as I've said in past conversation's you know when you purchased this lot you knew what the zoning was and so... and my understanding was that you were open to even like the... the retail, which was your initial plan for that lot, but I'd also like to know if the developers have made any further contact with the community since our most recent discussion and what that has been?

DHARMINDER SINGH: Yes, I am... we are contacting all that, the neighbors in... in the area and asking for to see what... what is feasible and what they want to see in there and what kind of apartment and what the demands are and I believe we are getting a lot of support from the immediate neighborhood like block around and two blocks around in the area and people want to see that progress and that's just the general feedback. I think we will try to get... if there's any petition needs to be submitted to... to

some of them, but the needs in the area if you Google

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it or anywhere in the rental... or any rental market there the price are skyrocketing on that. There... it's not affordable and people, when I was talking to even the... to the neighbors when I go by the area and the rental, families are getting better and the people, they're seeing a... a... they are moving to other ... finding opportunity and other affordable like going to Brooklyn, because there's more buildings built, they are going to Long Island City and going applying for that in that larger system and people are aware of this and they need the... they wanna see their loved one in the area if they can move to the area and have some kind of opportunity and to see that if this gonna be built then maybe... (inaudible) they can have some kind of medical needs or some other kind of... or daycare centers you know, which can be more you know gives to the community and their needs. And I also ... I will see that objection community board had here, which personally I didn't like it, because they were saying there's a shelter, why is the shelter there? Why people they are in shelters? Because they couldn't afford an affordable house and I'm completely against as a human, as the ... if there's a shelter and we cannot discriminate that, there's

2.2

2.3

2 | already shelter there in the area, there's no, that

3 shelter is for the people, for us you know, it's... the

4 people don't... they not affording the housing and

5 | they're just going to the shelter and they're looking

6 for affordable opportunity to go into housing.

I... I have other buildings somewhere and had one apartment for rent and there's so many people approaching for that just one apartment, little apartment, from that... from the shelter.

MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: So you're absolutely right there, there's been a housing crisis in New York City for many years, but if you understand the layout of Southeast Queens you would know that Southeast Queens has been the location and continues to be location with substantial supportive housing developments have been built there in addition to the homes that are already there and so we're trying to be able to balance that out and... and as well.

And I... and I invite you, you know we're doing a town hall coming up, I invite you and Mr.

Lobel and Manish to also join and... and speak on behalf of the project further in another platform, which is not only the community board, but the

2 members of that immediate community, because what

3 I've been hearing from people in that immediate area

4 | is it's a lot of congestion over there and

5 | infrastructure does not support it and so I... I also

6 ask that you invite the people that you said that you

7 spoke to in the immediate area that may be in support

8 of it you know, 'cause I wanna make sure I'm hearing

9 | from everyone, but that's not consistent in what I've

10 been hearing to date.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we need to come and demonstrate that to the people...

(inaudible) so people are doing it and I spoke to a couple pastor in church in the area and stuff too, you know this... they are... they... they seen the need there, but some people in... in a business sense and also you'll see that... that little strip there two blocks from the store to there... (inaudible) that... those stores are always vacant. There's no business, so there's no movement there. There's... there's no movement at all and there is no... there's a... there's couple store there, they shut down, they close down, because there's no business there. The businesses, the economy is... it's disasters on that block and the... so it's... this is kind of built and this will bring to

2.2

2.3

that nature of more people, activities and you know encourage the business and you know economy of this area and also we are now... now looking, we're seeking at the same height as the hotel next door we have. It's just right across from us, it's like 53 feet height and also the school on that little north of our building, the school is also four story's, but the is five story's, it seems like more than 50 or 65 feet high school building, so we're not just gonna pop up in the neighborhood and just a... a very high... high rise building, so it... if... if that's something you can consider or the Committee can consider on this, this is just our appeal to you and the Committee Members.

MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: Again, thank you for your comments. I look forward to continuing to engage to a point that we're able to find a space that's mutually acceptable between you and the community.

Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Majority
Whip. I now invite my colleagues to ask questions. If
you have any questions for this applicant panel
please use the raise hand button on the participant

proposed structure is non-conforming in height and

character to the residential community. It would be taller than any structure in the vicinity, the homes, the shelters, the air cargo buildings just south of the site and the local school and you can see that from the slides that were shown a little… a few

As a resident it's proximity to JFK

International Airport constitutes a non-compatable

land use and so putting a tower or putting any kind

of residence really there is... contradicts the FAA

land use guidelines and certainly to put a tower

there it... it... it would be against the guidelines.

The proposed structure is also situated in a very active traffic corridor. The presentation made it sound like it's... like a boulevard, traffic is often at a standstill there, we're talking about single lanes in each direction and so this proposed project would only worsen the condition of the already clogged streets there.

On the street parking is almost nonexistent and I understand that from the... the 6A, 6B
they're talking about stackable parking for
residents, that would be totally unacceptable to one

2.2

2.3

minutes ago.

2 and two family story's... one and two family homes 3 immediately adjacent to the property.

The site is also in the footprint of an old gas station as was stated, so the community is looking for appropriate... an appropriate R3-2 use of the site with perhaps a commercial overlay and the land your... lord knew that it wasn't an R3-2 zone when he purchased it, so he should be willing to plan accordingly. To apply to build an eight story tower and this site just so he can pay the expenses necessitated to remediate the ground field is unacceptable. That R3-6 zone will essentially enable him to qualify for the low income tax... low income housing tax credits and other subsitees... subsidies for affordable comes a buzzword, which has not been proved as needed in that immediate area.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

BARBARA BROWN: This application should be denied. It... it's placing an unfair burden on the community... the local community and I...

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Barbara.

Chair, I can call on the next members of this panel,
so the next people that we're gonna hear from are

2.2

2.3

looking to kind of maintain the character of our

neighborhood, but recognize something has to go there
and we're willing to work with that. Let... let me
comment on a couple of things that was mentioned

5 here.

2.2

2.3

First of all, the hotel across the street from the proposed development is in a different zone, so and... and then the school building is not... I... I wouldn't say in the same kind of structure that we're talking about here. We're talking about residential houses and the proposed structure of R6A will be the largest development in Southeastern Queens, which as our Council Member mentioned our community is primarily single and... and two family homes.

We have been working as civics and working with our Council Members and elected officials you know since we were made aware of this. I will tell you, we have not yet and neither the... the developer or Council has really approached us to talk about, "Hey, how can we work together?" yet besides that, we have as a community come together and said, "We do want something there."

The proposed structure of being 65 or 75 feet is just again, out of the character, so as

Barbara Brown mentioned who is you know the Chair of

the Eastern Queens Alliance as well as a community organization here in Southeastern Queens, we would rather keep it as an R3-2, however, recognizing that the R5B would provide a little bit more housing space, that's something that the community was amenable to do, so I do wanna make sure that the... the... the Council is... is clear that the community is working through this looking for something to be there, but that R5B would at least keep the structure at a height size, which is in the character of this

Barbara did get into just the congestion, just as you know there are a number of environmental factors...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

WILLIAM PERKINS: Congestion alone is significant, because where they're proposing the parking space and where they're proposing you know where you would egress, it's... it... it's... it's in a very trafficked area and it's up the street from the... a major highway, so any given afternoon you have difficulty already getting this community through that... through that street.

2.2

2.3

particular community.

2	There are a number of issues regarding
3	just the the the sewage and the the the work that
4	we're doing with stew sewage, etcetera that need to
5	be taken into account. Again, we're willing to work
6	with the developer, but we wanna make sure that the
7	developer is doing something in the character of this
8	community and we would ask that you've had Council,
9	you've had Council working with this with elected
10	officials, but you have yet to come to any of our
11	civic or community meetings to talk about what you're
12	gonna do. To me, don't do it to us, work with us and
13	if you wanna work with us, work with a R5B at the
14	most that prefers to… preserves the character of the
15	community and get something done. Thank you.
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker on
17	this panel, we can try to go back to Lonnie. Lonnie,
18	can you unmute yourself?
19	LONNIE GLOVER: It's not working.
20	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can we get Lonnie, we
21	can hear you.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We can hear you, we
23	can hear you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: We can hear you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: And your time will 3 begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Lonnie, we can see and hear you, you could just begin. Maybe he can't hear us. Okay. Counsel, do you wanna move on to the next applicant, we'll come back and try him again?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yeah, we'll come back to Lonnie. So the next speaker on this panel is Felipe Ruiz.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

FELIPE RUIZ: Good afternoon everyone, I think that Lonnie would be able to actually address some key points I think that one of which was the chemicals that were left over by the gas station. I don't think that anything has been said regarding exactly how they're proposed to clean that lot up.

Secondly, I think that Miss Brown and the other members have address this matter quite eloquently a rise in opposition to this program and that it's gonna lead to a lot of congestions, a lot of negative conditions that are clearly visible and... and if the individuals or the principles of this project have ever taken the time to adventure and spend time in the location they would clearly would

come to the conclusion, which just something that is somewhat easy and I'm communicating this discussion from other members of my community that this location, it is not a prime nor a perfect location for anyone seeking to have a place to come home and rest and find that place to be home. It's completely congested, there is heavy traffic, we're suffering in this area in the vicinity where I currently live with a tremendous amount of heavy 18 wheeler traffic that is... they direct response to Fed-Ex and other locations to the... to the airport and quite simply because Amazon and Fed-Ex have utilized this particular structure located on 146th Avenue and 147th Road in this area of Springfield Boulevard as the center for distribution, so I can only imagine that adding additional foot work in that location and traffic is gonna lead to a complete nightmare to what is already a very difficult situation for single family homes and residents of this location.

I reside in an area where senior citizens have resided and lived in this area for the past 60 years. They are civic servants and they... they deserve their peace and quiet. They have retired...

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

LONNIE GLOVER: See, Bill. Bill. Come on.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.

24

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Now, hold on. Oh, God.

like Mr. Perkins is signaling.

2	WILLIAM PERKINS: Yeah, what what I was
3	saying everyone, he has a written statement,
4	testimony, that he's put in and I don't know if if
5	it can be read, but he does have his testimony, which
6	is the primary thesis of his update today.
7	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, no problem.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, Mr. Perkins, we
9	can tell him to submit the testimony, Barbara, if
10	you're listening to us,
11	landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov, so so if we could
12	just get it from him in writing it will be put into
13	the record.
14	WILLIAM PERKINS: Okay, absolutely and
15	we'll follow up as well. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.
17	MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you,
18	Chair.
19	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem Majority
20	Leader.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, there's no more
22	members to testify and I don't know if the Majority
23	Whip wants to say anything else, 'cause I see her

hand is still up.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Majority Whip, did you wanna give any last remarks regarding this project?

MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: I was... when they were talking I had wanted them to highlight the communities that they represent, 'cause I know it would've made a statement in terms of not being from that immediate area, but I will say that both Lonnie Glover and Barbara Brown reside in the immediate community in Springfield Gardens where this project would go up, so I did wanna highlight that and the other questions I had they pretty much answered in their remarks in terms of what they would like to see and you know thank you for the opportunity to have this... another platform to move the conversation forward.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Any time. Thank you Majority Whip. There being no other members of the public who wants to testify on precondisered LU's related to ULURP's numbers C200246ZMQ and N200247ZRQ relating to the 146-93 Guy R. Brewer Boulevard rezoning proposal the public hearing is now closed and items are laid over.

That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my

SUBCOMMITTE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, land use and other Council staff and Sergeant at Arms for participating in today's meeting. This meeting is hereby adjourned, thank you [GAVEL].

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 25, 2022