CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----- X

April 12, 2022 Start: 2:24 p.m. Recess: 2:40 p.m.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING - VIRTUAL ROOM 1

B E F O R E: Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Joseph C. Borelli Erik D. Bottcher

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

Kamillah Hanks

Ari Kagan

Shekar Krishnan
Farah N. Louis
Darlene Mealy
Francisco P. Moya
Kevin C. Riley
Carlina Rivera

Pierina Ana Sanchez

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

2.2

		SERGEANT	BRADLEY:	PC	Recording	is	up.
--	--	----------	----------	----	-----------	----	-----

SERGEANT HOPE: Cloud recording rolling.

SERGEANT BRADLEY: All right, good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing on land use. At this time will all panelists please turn on your videos.

To minimize disruption place electronic devices on vibrate or on silent mode.

Thank you. Chair, you're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA JR: Thank you,

Sergeant of Arms and good afternoon and welcome to

the Committee of Land Use. I am Council Member Rafael

Salamanca, Chair of this Committee. I wanted to

welcome my esteemed colleagues who have joined us

today. We've been joined by Council Members Bottcher,

Hanks, Kagan, Krishnan, Moya, Chair Riley, Rivera,

Sanchez, and minority leader Borelli.

I would like to thank Chair Louis and
Chair Riley for the work on our two Subcommittees.

Today we will vote on a number of applications
referred out from our Subcommittees, but before we
begin I would like to recognize the Committee Council
to review the hearing procedures.

2.2

2.3

Salamanca. I am Arthur Huh, Council to this

Committee. Council Members who would like to ask

questions or make remarks should use the Zoom raise

hand function. The raise hand button should appear on

the bottom of your participant panel or main viewing

window. Chair Salamanca or I will then recognize

members to speak.

We ask that you please be patient if any technical difficulties arise today. Chair Salamanca will now continue with today's agenda.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Thank you,

Council. I would like to also ensure that I recognize
that we've been also joined by Chair Louis. I will
first note that LU items 16, 17 and 18 and 19 of
today's agenda have been laid over. Today we will

vote to approve the modifications LU numbers 32 and
33 for the 3285 Fulton Street rezoning related to
property Council Member Nurses District. The proposal
would reduce an existing commercial overlay District
boundary rezoning R5/C2-3 District to an R7A/C2-4
District and establish and MIH program area utilizing
option one and two.

2.2

2.3

our modifications will be to decrease the							
proposed rezoning area by excluding portions of the							
Fulton Street frontage. Accordingly, we will also							
modify the proposed zoning tax amendment, so that the							
proposed MIH area is consistent with our modified							
rezoning area and we will also modify the MIH options							
by striking option two and adding the deep							
affordability option while retaining option one.							
Finally, our modification will remove the proposed							
special enhanced commercial District.							

With these modifications we are allowing the creation of a new 100% affordable housing development through MIH and otherwise would not have been able to be developed on this block. At the same time we want to balance new growth with protecting existing residence from displacement. Land use patterns in the immediate surrounding area are reflected by primarily lower scale two story townhouses on the north side... north/south side streets and mixed use three story row houses with ground for commercial along Fulton Street.

In contrast, R7A Districts allow development up to 95 feet in height and up to a maximum residential FAR of 4.6. These bulk rules are

2.2

2.3

significantly more permissive in terms of both density and height than the prevailing built character. Thus, while not the intent the sub-zoning could result in displacement of existing residents without the protections of rent regulations. By modifying to limit the boundaries of the rezoning area we need to strike a balance between encouraging new residential development with MIH to address the city wide need for affordable housing and preserving the established character of this area.

We will also vote to approve the modifications LU's numbers 29 and 30 for the 1377 Sutter Avenue rezoning related to property and Council Member Barron's District. The proposal would rezone an R5 District to an R6A/C24 District and establish an MIH program area utilizing option one and two.

Our modification would be to reduce the proposed rezoning area by excluding portions of Sutter Avenue frontage. We will make a corresponding reduction to the proposed MIH area and we will modify the MIH options by striking option two and adding the deep affordability while retaining option one.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

By implementing these modifications we are allowing the creation of a new 100% affordable housing development through MIH that otherwise would have not been able to be developed on this site.

We also want to balance... we also want to balance new growth with protecting existing residents from displacement, land use pattern in immediate... in the immediate surrounding area are reflective by lower scale attached, semi-attached residential buildings generally with two or three stories. On the other hand, an R6A District allows development up to 85 feet in height and up to an FAR of 3.6. These bulk rules, which are significantly higher in density than the prevailing build character and the zoning of this degree will result in displacement of existing residents without the protection of rent regulation. Reducing the rezoning area with our modifications in an attempt to balance encouraging new residential development with MIH while addressing the city wide need for additional affordable housing and preserving the established character of the area.

We will also vote to approve the modifications LU's 20, 21 and 22 related to 1034-1042 Atlantic Avenue rezoning proposed in Council Member

2.2

2.3

Hudson's District in Brooklyn. This proposal seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone and existing M1-1 District to a C6-3A and an R7A/C2-4 District. A relate... a related zoning tax amendment to establish an MIH program area utilizing option one and two and a special permit to reduce residential off street parking. Our modification would be to reduce the rezoning boundaries and to strike MIH option two and add deep affordability option while retaining option one.

For nearly a decade past and present

Council Members, Borough Presidents and Community

Board Members together in coalition with community

advocates have all pushed for a city led neighborhood

plan for an area around Atlantic Avenue that

encompass... encompasses both of the rezoning proposal

before us today. The M Crown planning vision calls

for a dynamic mixed use community with city

investments and affordable housing creation and

preservation, street design and public realm

improvements and all aspects of infrastructure

necessary to support increased density.

Council Member Hudson has secured a commitment from the administration to undertake a

2.2

2.3

community wide plan for this area of Atlantic Avenue,
which will ensure that the growth of this
neighborhood will be accompanied by a robust public
planning process and city investments.

Our modifications for the 1034 Atlantic

Avenue proposal would allow the creation of a new affordable housing development through MIH that otherwise would not be possible on the development site. Council Member Hudson has secured unprecedented commitments by deeply affordable housing and community benefits from the advocate with a commitment to 35% of the units set aside for affordable housing with 15% at 40% AMI, 15% at 60% AMI and 5% at 80% AMI. Our modifications will also reduce the proposed rezoning area to maintain more of the existing M zone in an effort to maintain a broad mix of land use in the area until the comprehensive planning effort to treat the broader community can be realized.

Also in Council Member Hudson's District we will vote to approve the modifications LU's 23, 24 and 25 related to the 870-888 Atlantic Avenue rezoning proposal. This proposal seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing M1-1 District to a

2.2

2.3

C6-3A District and a related zoning tax amendment to establish an MIH program area utilizing option two and the work force option and a special permit to reduce the residential off street parking. Our modification would be to strike MIH option two and the work force option and add option one and the deep affordability option.

This application has also committed to 35% of the units set aside for affordable housing with a 15% at 40% AMI, 15% at 60% AMI and 5% at the 80% AMI. Both applicants have also committed to provide... to committed to provide to mixed use community serving space, contributions to antidisplacement organizations and other benefits.

I would like to congratulation Council
Member Hudson for negotiating this package.
Congratulations, Council Member, you did an
outstanding job ensuring that you got the best deal
possible. You went far beyond what MIH actually
requires and I truly congratulate you on this... on
this big win for yourself and your community.

And with that are there any Council Members who have questions or remarks? Please use the

can to... to fight displacement and... and that's the ...

25

recognize Council Member Barron.

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, so I just

joined you. Was... did my project come up? The Sutter

Avenue.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. Yes, Council Member, I believe we spoke on your project. Would you like to speak on it?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, I wanted to say that I'm in support of it 100% and as Council Member Sanchez says, we don't want just anything, we have to demand affordability, so we started off with a project that was not affordable and now it's 28 units and 20 of those units will be at 40 and 30% of the AMI and the other 8 units will be at 60% of the AMI. You know we had to change our priority of the AMI years ago, it was 80 and 60, like 60% of the AMI was 64,000 and... and... and matter of fact was even lower. 80% of the AMI years ago was 64,000 and 60% was like 30, 40,000, which was in our range, but now with the AMI at 104,000 it's 60 and 30, so they did make the adjustment and they kept it for 60 years. I was trying to get it in perpetuity, but they are gonna keep that kind of affordability for instead of 30 years, 60 years and as I told the zoning Committee I'm 70 years old, they thought I was gonna die by

130, but I will be around to make sure even when I						
get to 130 when the 60 years is up that they keep it						
affordable, so I'm not going nowhere and you know						
even if I have to stay around to 200 years to make						
sure the affordability is in perpetuity, but and also						
make sure when they're zoning that it's just for that						
project, it's not for a whole extended area, so that						
they don't make the zoning changes for the whole						
block or two blocks and it should be just that area.						
And they're gonna take care of the commercial people						
that are already there now, so it's a project that						
the Community Board approved, I approved and it's						
100% affordable.						

And I thank you, Chair, for your encouragement and your willingness to intervene to do whatever we could to make this happen, so it has happened. It's 100% affordable.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Congratulations

Council Member Barron. I know we spoke a few times on
this project and I really wanna congratulation for
your good work. Thank you.

Council, is there any other members who wish to speak?

This... this meeting is hereby adjourned.

25

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 13, 2022